Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.scanner

2002-03 - 2002-06

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 2002-03-01 through 2002-06-30

Source text: rec.radio.scanner.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 4,924

Message records with no readable body available in the source text: 6

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. Pro 2053 is on sale again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-01 00:35:07 UTC
From: B <bpk8227nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <%0Af8.16582$s03.606949@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 51417
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

$180 at Rat Shack. Great features and performance for cheap.

2. Re: CE-232 Motorola Chips

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-01 01:01:15 UTC
From: Devin <a@a.com>
Message-ID: <vpAf8.170623$A44.10036552@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 51418
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

ahhh. now it looks more familiar. :)

"ted hay" <tedh1@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:3C7E8DC7.FEF45C23@sympatico.ca...
> Uses a Motorola 68HC11 microcontroller Try Digikey (www.digikey.com)
> they
> sell some of the 68HC11 family.
>
> Ted
>
> Devin wrote:
>
> > hmm, I haven't seen ce-232 chips lately is it a chip so you can
interface a
> > computer comport to a radio or anything else external. I know of a
ce-232
> > interface. only 232's I have seen lately are MAX232 chips. but. I
probably
> > wrong that they are what you are looking for. I will look further. I am
> > stocked on the MAX232 type chips. but. I have to find out if the
CE-232's
> > are the same.
> >
> > Dev
> >
> > "William Ferguson" <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net> wrote in message
> > news:Xns91C0D8B4AC78CfbiagentREMOVEMEchar@209.249.90.101...
> > > Hi I remember talking w/ someone who said that they still have
these
> > > chips available for sale. If anyone out there still has them I'd like
to
> > > know.
>

3. High End Trunked Radio Equipment for Auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-01 01:19:57 UTC
From: "Lindsay C. Blanton" <lindsay@lcblanton.com>
Message-ID: <1HAf8.2262$XP3.686959742@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51419
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

Looking for that perfect ASTRO Saber, XTS3000, or LPE-200. Try these pre-programmed searches for Trunked Radio Equipment available on Ebay at the following link:

http://www.trunkedradio.net/trunked/equipment/ebay.htm

Here you can find pre-determined searches for all kinds of Trunked Radio Equipment. Hope this is a useful service, and feedback is ALWAYS welcome via private mail.

Warm regards,

--
Lindsay C. Blanton
Webmaster and Administrator

The Trunked Radio Information Homepage
http://www.trunkedradio.net
The Trunked Radio Information User Forums
http://www.trunkedradio.net/groups

4. Re: (*) Mods Webpage! (*)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-01 01:51:53 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <39Bf8.203$a87.265971@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 51420

That page looks stolen from another persons page verbatim...

Shouldn't steal pastor, cause you know where you're going to go...

"Pastor Brian" <NOSPAMpastorbrian@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message
news:K3yf8.8373$j47.3854550@twister.socal.rr.com...
> http://liun.hektik.org/scanner/radio_shack/mods.html
>
> --
> Will you be in heaven?
> http://www.salvation.com/si/
>
>
>
>

5. WTB: Faceplate for Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-01 02:41:06 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020228214106.07473.00000892@mb-ce.aol.com>
Source record: 51421

Want to buy a used but in VERY good to EXCELLENT condition faceplate assembly for an older PRO-48 crystal scanner by RadShack.Will also consider one from a PRO-47 (will work but PREFER the 48 one.)
Will also consider buying entire NON-WORKING PRO-48 with good to A-1 cosmetics if you have one that's broken.
Please Email me directly with what you have and price in first Email. ALL replies answered.
Many Thanks for any help U can provide !

6. Re: Pro 2053 is on sale again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-01 02:45:20 UTC
From: Charles Gorgen <gorgenc@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3XBf8.25692$Dv1.79793@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 51423
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Got one a month ago. It's great.
"B" <bpk8227nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:%0Af8.16582$s03.606949@news2.east.cox.net...
> $180 at Rat Shack. Great features and performance for cheap.
>
>

7. Scanners 4 Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-01 02:58:53 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020228215853.07481.00000870@mb-ce.aol.com>
Source record: 51425

I have the following scanners for sale...

1.) Regency Z-10 professionally converted from 10 to 30 channels with beep-delete and audio enhancement mod.Radio is a SOLID 9 out of 10 both cosmetically and functionally, and includes back-of-set antenna and power cord (no box or booklet).Scanner is in AMAZING shape for its age and is housed in an attractive, wood-look cabinet with LARGE bright green LCD display . Covers 30-50/144-174/406-512 Mhz. $40 SHIPPED

2.) Bearcat BC-12 CRYSTAL 10-channel base unit. These are quite rare to find, and this one is in BEAUTIFUL, virtual MINT condition (SOLID 9 of 10 !).Has scan speed adjustment control and delay on/off switch. Includes antenna and power cord (no box or book).Works and looks great..a must for collectors or, as a reliable backup radio ! $40 SHIPPED

Radios shipped by USPS parcel post. Insurance optional if requested as is different shipping method for additional cost as per USPS rates. If interested or have any questions, feel free to Email me @ any time. All replies answered. Payment via M.O. or personal check (w/ 14-day waiting period for clearance).

Thank You and Happy Monitoring !!

8. Re: (*) Mods Webpage! (*)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-01 03:37:41 UTC
From: Devin <a@a.com>
Message-ID: <9ICf8.24165$kb.1692675@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 51426

lol
stealers shouldn't take credit for something that they exactly copied.
Besides changing the background and suck. The site and the true RS scanner
mod site isn't 100% up to date. if you want to include test modes and
discrim tap mods. I know my 2045 has a few key combinations to set it to
test mode of factory test freqs, display test. um. To auto turn on the radio
light and off.

here is the true site Mr. Theif

http://www.leto.net/docs/rsmods.html

atleast if you steal. make it look different.

Dev.

"Rob Taylor" <fireboy001@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:39Bf8.203$a87.265971@news.uswest.net...
> That page looks stolen from another persons page verbatim...
>
> Shouldn't steal pastor, cause you know where you're going to go...
>
>
> "Pastor Brian" <NOSPAMpastorbrian@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:K3yf8.8373$j47.3854550@twister.socal.rr.com...
> > http://liun.hektik.org/scanner/radio_shack/mods.html
> >
> > --
> > Will you be in heaven?
> > http://www.salvation.com/si/
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

9. Re: Surgery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-01 04:12:55 UTC
From: "Al, N2NKB"
Message-ID: <bdDf8.5694$gK2.432225@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51427

At least Dad didn't say anything that he could
be embarrassed about.
Al

"J Rob" <jrobmills@aol.comrx320> wrote in message
news:20020228092709.03106.00001090@mb-fe.aol.com...
> >Opps, LOL I think someones pushed the wrong button
>
> Maybe we should send flowers.
>
> RM ~

10. 900mhz phones??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-01 04:22:48 UTC
From: Skyhawk <sky444@mint.net>
Message-ID: <smDf8.4687$zU2.766179@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 51428

Are the 900 mhz cordless phones FM or FMN...is there a site that tells what mode the 25-1300mhz bands are??

11. Seeking Dec '95/Jan '96 (Vol 9, #1) US Scanner News article

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-01 04:47:43 UTC
From: kirkhere <>
Message-ID: <uu1u7u43hea8gtkcpss5ncqgthsgnuslqc@4ax.com>
Source record: 51429

Does anyone have a copy of this issue they might be able to locate?

It contained an article by Steve Donnell about modifying an AR8000 to reduce audio clipping, along with an important diagram referenced by the article. The article is online but without the pictures.

There were 3 diagrams, one of which showed the relationship of the 5-pin IF filter you needed to remove compared to the 3-pin IF filter you replace it with. The text speaks of the diagram showing how to handle the pin difference.

If anyone has this issue, or knows where I might locate one, please let me know! I'll gladly cover photocopy/mailing cost, if needed. Or perhaps you could fax my office.

You can find the article (sans pictures) here:
http://web.archive.org/web/20020228142402/http://www.geocities.com/capecanaveral/hangar/1251/8kclip.html

Thanks for any help,

James

12. 900 MHz Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-01 05:10:40 UTC
From: Darryl Mouck <darryl@mouck.com>
Message-ID: <k3Ef8.10860$E11.1609@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 51430
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Can someone tell me a good antenna to use for the 900 Mhz cordless range?
Homemade or bought, it doesn't matter.

Thanks!

13. Re: (*) Mods Webpage! (*)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-01 06:42:00 UTC
From: Pastor Brian <NOSPAMpastorbrian@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <YoFf8.8606$j47.4061314@twister.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 51431

I never claimed to AUTHOR the post...

"Devin" <a@a.com> wrote in message
news:9ICf8.24165$kb.1692675@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> lol
> stealers shouldn't take credit for something that they exactly copied.
> Besides changing the background and suck. The site and the true RS scanner
> mod site isn't 100% up to date. if you want to include test modes and
> discrim tap mods. I know my 2045 has a few key combinations to set it to
> test mode of factory test freqs, display test. um. To auto turn on the
radio
> light and off.
>
> here is the true site Mr. Theif
>
> http://www.leto.net/docs/rsmods.html
>
> atleast if you steal. make it look different.
>
> Dev.
>
>
> "Rob Taylor" <fireboy001@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:39Bf8.203$a87.265971@news.uswest.net...
> > That page looks stolen from another persons page verbatim...
> >
> > Shouldn't steal pastor, cause you know where you're going to go...
> >
> >
> > "Pastor Brian" <NOSPAMpastorbrian@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:K3yf8.8373$j47.3854550@twister.socal.rr.com...
> > > http://liun.hektik.org/scanner/radio_shack/mods.html
> > >
> > > --
> > > Will you be in heaven?
> > > http://www.salvation.com/si/
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

14. Re: Cap... And Above Thread

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-01 07:27:11 UTC
From: Ric Letson <rletson@twcny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <7fbu7u45q5fnl6c7f2oqam458ivqkivmih@4ax.com>
Source record: 51432
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm in CAP and only have 3 antennae on my vehicle,
Fire (Lowbander) , CAP VHF Antenna & A 2M Antenna

Although I have considered making a doppler array or similar
switched array for ELT tracking.

What do ya think of the CAP / NTIA regulation change as of
the Dec 2001 sundown date.. alot less traffic than there was before...

2/Lt. Fredric Letson, CAP
Medical Officer / Comm's Officer
Ft. Drum Composite Squadron of the Civil Air Patrol
Ft. Drum, NY

On Wed, 27 Feb 2002 20:40:04 GMT, "Devin" <a@a.com> wrote:

>alarms have dropped lots. If you install it yourself. Way cheaper again.
>Fairly basic to install too. If you have patience of drilling small holes
>and such.
>
>Dev
>
><tucansam@whodis.org> wrote in message news:a5gdrs$d2$1@reader2.panix.com...
>> :>HOw many antennas and what type are on your car, truck, or vehicle ??
>>
>> Wow. In reading all the followups, I see that I am not insane. I was
>thinking about putting four
>> antennas on my vehicle. Well, *in* my vehicle, actually. Which is why I
>posted this followup... Do
>> you folks have alarms? Isn't ten antennas on your roof the same as
>blasting your $10,000 stereo in a
>> bad neighborhood? I am concerned that having antennas on my car
>advertises the contents and exposes
>> me to theft. Since I'm too cheap to put an alarm on a 87 Lebaron with a
>blue book of less than a
>> Whopper with cheese, I figured I'd mount the antennas I need inside the
>vehicle (behind tint) and
>> stash a magmount in the trunk for when I really need the height.
>

15. Re: Am I missing a lot?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-01 10:21:18 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3C7F561E.DA0DF945@mitre.org>
Source record: 51433

Go to <http://www.grove-ent.com> and check out the ICOM IC R-2. Bob also has several R-3's and a R-2 in his used equipment section BOB'S BARGAIN BIN <http://www.grove-ent.com/hmpgbbb.html>

For the price the ICOM IC R-2 is a great wide band AM/FM scanning receiver. Neither the R2 or R3 support Signal Side Band, but will work bands not available on your Uniden BC 245 XLT.
A AOR AR 8200 MK II will give you a wide band scanning receiver with SSB but no trunking. The Uniden BC 780 XLT will give you more bands and trunking along with tone squelch!
Jim
Faive wrote:

> I recently bought a BearCat BC245XLT. I dont like its cheap
> construction and feeling. The only reason I bought it was because of
> its multitrunking capabilities. I love this hobby so that its why
> everything I can hear I like it (from taxis to airplanes from police
> patrols to 900 mhz cordless phones). Im my country there is no
> restriction to hear anything you want.
>
> I have seen in the market other scanners such as ALINCO (DJ-X3T,
> DJ-X10T, DJ-X2000T), AOR (AR8200), ICOM (R-2, R-10), YAESU (VR-500)
> but alas, they dont have trunk trackers capabilities.
>
> Am I missing a lot not having those equipments and having a bc245xlt?
> Better reception, more sensitivity, more chances to explore (for
> instance, in 900mHz the bc2454xlt ONLY ALLOW 12.5 mhz steps), more
> features, more options?
>
> What can you tell me please? I dont know if I should buy of these and
> sell the bc245xlt to my sister cos she want it!
>
> Thanks!

16. Re: Newbie needs help on a product selection... Taxicab channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-01 10:54:17 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3C7F5DD9.3FF425F6@mitre.org>
Source record: 51434
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Go to a frequency data base and look up the taxi cab frequencies in the area where your going to be listening or working
to see if the taxi company's frequency is on the standard VHF or UHF taxi band or if it's on another business band
frequency or a trunking system. Look at the antennas on the cabs, this will give you an idea of the band/frequency they are on. Try this site:

< http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts>

Check out the FREQUENCY and TRUNKING boards at <http://www.strongsignals.net> down at the bottom
of these boards are great frequency data bases that complement the FCC database. You may find the taxi company
there or place a request for the taxi company's frequency, mentioning it's name and the city/state where its located on the FREQUENCY board. Look in a local telephone book for the correct and complete name and location of the cab company to key into the data bases and posts

Remember the taxies in the VHF and UHF taxi band work DUPLEX most of the time, I.E. the BASE station is on one frequency and the TAXI is on another(unrepeated) frequency. If they us a business
band or other Land Mobile band frequency they probably will be just on one frequency either SIMPLEX or repeaterized.

Once you've got the frequency then make a chose on a radio scanner that will work with the company's channels.
A hand held unit would be the best way to go, with a mobile mag mount scanner antenna or cell phone mag mount for
trunking on top of your vehicle. Another last resort is to us a FREQUENCY COUNTER and stand like right next to a
cab and "capture" the frequency on the read out.Then compare the frequency to listeing in the data bases.
Jim

Harry Marnell wrote:

> Just about ANY scanner will pick up the "traditional" taxicab frequencies in
> the VHF (152 & 157 MHz) and UHF (452/457 Mhz) bands; many cab companies,
> especially in large cities have gone to Nextel, which no scanner can
> receive, or to 800-band trunking, for which you would need a trunk-tracking
> scanner, such as the Uniden BC245, BC780XLT and several Radio Shack models.
>
> I would strongly suggest a handheld model if you'll be using it while
> driving.
>

> Harry Marnell
> http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications
> History
>
> "Lon Ponschock" <lon@execpc.com> wrote in message
> news:a5gr40$79fqm$1@ID-77270.news.dfncis.de...
> >
> >
> > So far I have checked at the Uniden site for refurbed scanners
> > but am completely new to the product.
> >
> > I have one application:
> > To be able to listen to taxicab calls in various locales.
> >
> >
> > I know that a local Radio Shack will probably have channel lists
> > for these calls.
> >
> >
> > My question for the group here is what is the minimum product to
> > use for this activity? I am a driver myself and am planning to move to
> > a new area.
> >
> >
> > Is there a scanner product from Uniden/Bearcat or at auction that can
> > perform this function in all areas of the country?
> >
> > cc: lon@athenet.net and I'll check back here i a bit.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

17. Re: FS:Kenwood R-5000 receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-01 12:55:56 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3C7F7A5C.74D8A564@USA.net>
Source record: 51435
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

It we have to beg for the price it's overpriced.

Bob Stamper wrote:

> My Kenwood R-5000 communications receiver is available again.This receiver
> is in excellent condition and works perfectly.It does have a couple marks on
> the top.It covers from 100 kHz to 30 MHz in AM/USB/LSB/CW/FSK modes.It comes
> with the manual and the AC power cord.
>
> Regards
> Bob Stamper

18. Re: Am I missing a lot?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-01 12:59:07 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3C7F7B1B.16A14DA1@USA.net>
Source record: 51436

It's obvious your not happy, sell it.

If you go for the R-10, make sure you drop by radio shack and pick up the high capacity rechargeable batteries. You get about 4 hours of use out of standard "AA" batteries. With the high capacity you get about 9 hours. These are $5@ but well worth the price, you need 4.

Faive wrote:

> I recently bought a BearCat BC245XLT. I dont like its cheap
> construction and feeling. The only reason I bought it was because of
> its multitrunking capabilities. I love this hobby so that its why
> everything I can hear I like it (from taxis to airplanes from police
> patrols to 900 mhz cordless phones). Im my country there is no
> restriction to hear anything you want.
>
> I have seen in the market other scanners such as ALINCO (DJ-X3T,
> DJ-X10T, DJ-X2000T), AOR (AR8200), ICOM (R-2, R-10), YAESU (VR-500)
> but alas, they dont have trunk trackers capabilities.
>
> Am I missing a lot not having those equipments and having a bc245xlt?
> Better reception, more sensitivity, more chances to explore (for
> instance, in 900mHz the bc2454xlt ONLY ALLOW 12.5 mhz steps), more
> features, more options?
>
> What can you tell me please? I dont know if I should buy of these and
> sell the bc245xlt to my sister cos she want it!
>
> Thanks!

19. Help w/ Glass Mount Scanner Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-01 13:36:06 UTC
From: watnny@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020301083606.20965.00001607@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 51437

Can anyone tell me if the glass mount antennas work with a sh*t ??? It'd be one less hole to drill in the NEW car for antennas.

Thanks.

Mike

20. Re: Help w/ Glass Mount Scanner Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-01 13:43:10 UTC
From: dinoal7lz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020301084310.09730.00001732@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 51438

I've used one of the Radio Shack thru the glass antennas on my truck for 10 years...they work ok for what I use them for. One tip though....seal the edge of the foot on the outside of the windshield with silicone as I've had two of the darn things literally blow off the vehicle (RS sold me the replacement at "cost") which is 'bout all I could ask for.

GL -- Dino KLï¿½S/4

21. Re: f.s. amateur radio portable yaseu ft 411-e 43 channels with ctcss extended tx and rx 150.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-01 14:42:25 UTC
From: JJJJJJJJJJ <oaktownboy@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <cYBf8.3869$fV.97608@read1.cgocable.net>
Source record: 51422

SOLD, THANKS TO ALL WHO REPLIED

"JJJJJJJJJJ" <oaktownboy@cogeco.ca> wrote in message news:...
> for sale:
>
>
> yaseu FT-411 E
>
> portable radio
> 43 channels with scan
> 2 vfo selections
> 1 search between 2 channels
> built in ctcss tx/tx
> instant programming through keyboard
>
> transmits and receives
> 130 - 173.995 Mhz
> with original box, manual, wall charger/adapter, leather carrying case
> and rubberduck antenna. In excellent condition
>
> 150.00US includes 4 day shipping with tracking number
>
> paypal, certified bank check or international (pink) postalchecks
>
> thanks
> shyguy@cogeco.ca
>
>
>

22. Re: f.s. yaseu ft 411-e 2 meter portable with ext rec/tx,ctcss,accessories 115.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-01 14:43:17 UTC
From: JJJJJJJJJJ <oaktownboy@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <IYBf8.2694$DD.110003@read2.cgocable.net>
Source record: 51424
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.swap,rec.ham-amateur.swap,rec.ham-radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.scanner

SOLD, THANKS TO ALL WHO REPLIED

"JJJJJJJJJJ" <oaktownboy@cogeco.ca> wrote in message news:...
> for sale:
>
>
> In excellent condition
>
> yaseu ft 411-e
> has keyboard mod done to receive 130 -173.9 mhz
> and 140 - 173.9 mhz transmit.
>
>
> 43 channels
> 2 vfo
> search between 2 freqs
> instant call channel button
> signal meter
> 2.5 watts or 5 watts
>
> comes with optional ctcss toneboard installed
> comes with good batterypack FNB-17
> comes with wall charger/adapter NC 28B
> comes with original box
> comes with original manual
> comes with original rubberduck
> comes with optional yaseu leather case
>
> 115.00US includes express 4 day shipping via USPS with tracking #
>
> paypal, certified bank check or international (pink) postal check
>
> thanks
> oaktownboy@cogeco.ca
>
>
>

23. Re: DB9 to USB w/ IC-PCR100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-01 15:26:30 UTC
From: J <dataless@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <G4Nf8.62112$c01.861719704@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51439
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Ok, so it will work. Now, does anyone know whether or not the Belkin USB to DB9 adapter work well?

Thanks in advance,

Joe
KD5QAC

"J" <dataless@swbell.net> wrote in message news:m2ke8.60830$pZ5.331169932@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> Anyone one know a DB9 to USB adapter will work with the Icom IC-PCR100 using
> talkpcr? I would appreciate any type of feedback. Please email me
>
> kd5qac@swbell.net
>
> Joe
> KD5QAC
>
>

24. Re: FS:Kenwood R-5000 receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-01 18:33:58 UTC
From: **Sorcerer** <rogqmail@Spambtinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a5ohim$9ku$1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 51440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

How much is it?

--
Sorcerer
remove Spam to reply

.

<Pappy@USA.net> wrote in message news:3C7F7A5C.74D8A564@USA.net...
> It we have to beg for the price it's overpriced.
>
> Bob Stamper wrote:
>
> > My Kenwood R-5000 communications receiver is available again.This
receiver
> > is in excellent condition and works perfectly.It does have a couple
marks on
> > the top.It covers from 100 kHz to 30 MHz in AM/USB/LSB/CW/FSK modes.It
comes
> > with the manual and the AC power cord.
> >
> > Regards
> > Bob Stamper
>

25. Re: Need a scanner for Taxicab channels... help with suggestions pls.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-01 18:47:51 UTC
From: Lon Ponschock <lon@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <a5oiea$9000v$1@ID-77270.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 51441

Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:nlWe8.1278$pR3.283019@news.uswest.net...
> Hahaha, are you going to steal fares??
>

hahaha. No.

But thanks to the rest who replied.

I want to be able to go into a new city and listen to the dispatch calls
to see if I can pick up driving driving there. I've heard all too
often some
new driver being trained. Even in a small place it's easy to get lost.

lon

>
> "Lon Ponschock" <lon@execpc.com> wrote in message
> news:a5grip$7bg6c$1@ID-77270.news.dfncis.de...
> >
> >
> > I am new to this group and have a specific application for a used or
> > refurbed scanner: to listen to taxicab calls from the various
> > companies in a specific locale.
> >
> >
> > Are these frequencies pretty much standard?
> >
> >
> > Can they be programmed into an inexpensive used/refurbed unit?
> >
> >
> > Any suggestions on source of supply besides Uniden refurbs and eBay
> > for-sales?
> >
> >
> > cc: lon@athenet.net and I'll check back here shortly too.
> >
> >
>
>

26. Re: Newbie needs help on a product selection... Taxicab channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-01 18:53:45 UTC
From: Lon Ponschock <lon@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <a5oipc$93ijt$1@ID-77270.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 51442
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks for these suggestions.

I am a driver who would like to move into a new city.
But I want to know that I can understand other local dispatches
beforehand.

lon

Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org> wrote in message
news:3C7F5DD9.3FF425F6@mitre.org...
> Go to a frequency data base and look up the taxi cab frequencies in the
area
> where your going to be listening or working
> to see if the taxi company's frequency is on the standard VHF or UHF taxi
band
> or if it's on another business band
> frequency or a trunking system. Look at the antennas on the cabs, this
will give
> you an idea of the band/frequency they are on. Try this site:
>
> < http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts>
>
> Check out the FREQUENCY and TRUNKING boards at
<http://www.strongsignals.net>
> down at the bottom
> of these boards are great frequency data bases that complement the FCC
database.
> You may find the taxi company
> there or place a request for the taxi company's frequency, mentioning it's
name
> and the city/state where its located on the FREQUENCY board. Look in a
local
> telephone book for the correct and complete name and location of the cab
> company to key into the data bases and posts
>
> Remember the taxies in the VHF and UHF taxi band work DUPLEX most of the
time,
> I.E. the BASE station is on one frequency and the TAXI is on another(
> unrepeated) frequency. If they us a business
> band or other Land Mobile band frequency they probably will be just on one
> frequency either SIMPLEX or repeaterized.
>
> Once you've got the frequency then make a chose on a radio scanner that
will

> work with the company's channels.
> A hand held unit would be the best way to go, with a mobile mag mount
scanner
> antenna or cell phone mag mount for
> trunking on top of your vehicle. Another last resort is to us a FREQUENCY
> COUNTER and stand like right next to a
> cab and "capture" the frequency on the read out.Then compare the frequency
to
> listeing in the data bases.
> Jim
>
>
> Harry Marnell wrote:
>
> > Just about ANY scanner will pick up the "traditional" taxicab
frequencies in
> > the VHF (152 & 157 MHz) and UHF (452/457 Mhz) bands; many cab companies,
> > especially in large cities have gone to Nextel, which no scanner can
> > receive, or to 800-band trunking, for which you would need a
trunk-tracking
> > scanner, such as the Uniden BC245, BC780XLT and several Radio Shack
models.
> >
> > I would strongly suggest a handheld model if you'll be using it while
> > driving.
> >
> > Harry Marnell
> > http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications
> > History
> >
> > "Lon Ponschock" <lon@execpc.com> wrote in message
> > news:a5gr40$79fqm$1@ID-77270.news.dfncis.de...
> > >
> > >
> > > So far I have checked at the Uniden site for refurbed scanners
> > > but am completely new to the product.
> > >
> > > I have one application:
> > > To be able to listen to taxicab calls in various locales.
> > >
> > >
> > > I know that a local Radio Shack will probably have channel lists
> > > for these calls.
> > >
> > >
> > > My question for the group here is what is the minimum product to
> > > use for this activity? I am a driver myself and am planning to move
to
> > > a new area.
> > >

> > >
> > > Is there a scanner product from Uniden/Bearcat or at auction that
can
> > > perform this function in all areas of the country?
> > >
> > > cc: lon@athenet.net and I'll check back here i a bit.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
>

27. Re: FA: Scantenna base scanner antenna w/coax and BNC adapter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-01 19:41:18 UTC
From: randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com
Message-ID: <b03b87e2.0203011141.32ea264e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51443

Man they are selling like hotcakes! Instead of posting specific ads,
please visit my eBay AboutMe page for the latest Scantenna scanner
antenna auctions:

http://members.ebay.com/aboutme/ranhefner/

randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com (Randy A. Hefner) wrote in message
news:<b03b87e2.0202271619.10284831@posting.google.com>...
> Wow! The first antenna went FAST! I have another one, though.
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1336041860
>
> Thanks.

28. Re: Help needed with NRDWIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-01 20:19:29 UTC
From: marula31@hootmail.com
Message-ID: <3c7fd36c.6267015@news.freeserve.net>
Source record: 51444
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 28 Feb 2002 22:54:24 +0000 (UTC), "Stuart Meredith"
<Info@Smartmarks.co.uk> wrote:

>Hi,
>
>I have a nrd 545 and the nrdwin software which controls the radio.
>
>How do you load a number of memories from NRDWIN to the radio?

I don't think you can.

As I said before, I have software to do the job.

--
J+

29. Fort Bliss Trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-01 21:34:05 UTC
From: J <dataless@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <htSf8.2406$QR6.764066985@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51347
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone know what kind of trunking is done at Fort Bliss? Or maybe the frequencies they are on?

Thanks in advance,

Joe
KD5QAC

30. Re: FA: Scantenna base scanner antenna w/coax and BNC adapter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-01 22:22:37 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <0ovv7ukk7s1h406nlhircnmbhirgj6sgpq@4ax.com>
Source record: 51445

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com (Randy A. Hefner) spake thusly

>Man they are selling like hotcakes!

Yeah, they're selling SO fast, there's no bids yet !!

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

31. Daytona Police Live Audio - Bike Week in Full Swing - The Nuts are OUT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-01 22:49:52 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C800590.5030708@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 51446
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Daytona Beach Police/Fire Comms are live and online at
http://www.MilAirComm.com I've also listed a complete set of 10-codes
so you can follow the auction.

Just minutes ago we had a strange call. Person checked out of his
motel room but left his pet Alligator behind. When the police arrived
sure enough there was a 3 1/2 foot long alligator in the room.
BikeWeek not a full day old and the nuts are already in full force......

Weekend weather is for highs near 80 and scattered Thunderstorms so Its
going to be a great first weekend to bikeweek. Officials forecast
500,000 bikers in town for the events. After dinner we're going to
take a ride downtown and check out the nuts first hand.

Check out the live comms at http://www.MilAirComms.com

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Action, Photos and
LIVE Scanner!

--

_____
I make Over $5000 per Week on eBay!
Make eBay(tm) Your Job and Earn BIG $$$
---->http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay<----
_____

32. Re: Fort Bliss Trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-01 23:43:17 UTC
From: martin butcher <mbutcher@railfan37.fsnet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <a5p3nkvsp1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 51348
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Who or what is fort bliss
"J" <dataless@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:htSf8.2406$QR6.764066985@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> Anyone know what kind of trunking is done at Fort Bliss? Or maybe the
> frequencies they are on?
>
> Thanks in advance,
>
> Joe
> KD5QAC
>
>

33. Re: FA: Scantenna base scanner antenna w/coax and BNC adapter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 00:09:57 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a5p57u$932v5$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 51349

He's sold 3 in the last 2 days...
http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?MfcISAPICommand=ViewListedItems&us
erid=ranhefner&include=0&since=7&sort=3&rows=25

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:0ovv7ukk7s1h406nlhircnmbhirgj6sgpq@4ax.com...
> Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog,
randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com (Randy A.
> Hefner) spake thusly
>
> >Man they are selling like hotcakes!
>
> Yeah, they're selling SO fast, there's no bids yet !!
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

34. Re: Kennedy Space Center LIVE Comms & MilAirComms.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 00:14:02 UTC
From: soupman67@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020301191402.03658.00001251@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 51350

great site ..fun to listen to

35. Pro-93 two way interface cable.....awesome

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 00:35:08 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020301193508.28984.00000225@mb-cd.aol.com>
Source record: 51351

I just received the 2-way PC to scanner interface cable. I hooked it up to port 1 on my Presario 7360, downloaded/installed the Win93 from web page (www.pro93.com) and everything communicates awesome!! I recommend people to use this combination (2 way cable and program).
Eric G.
education CANNOT buy common sense

36. A question for the hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 00:38:57 UTC
From: tucansam@whodis.org
Message-ID: <a5p6v1amu1@reader2.panix.com>
Source record: 51352

Have some scanner/ham questions for you folks in the know.

I have read some recent articles in various places that talk about some technologies that I find interesting, but am unfamiliar with. I have tried to locate data on each technology, but I end up surfing all over the place and get scattered information at best.

Specifically, I am interested in the technology/devices/software that allow one to pull data off the air, ie, the various types of data transmissions used, satellite weather reports, etc. I am also interested in setting up a packet gateway to the internet. All of the all-caps acronyms that I see flying around here so regularly just confuse me, and putting each one in a search engine sometimes doesn't pull up anything at all (and sometimes pulls up so much I am simply overwhelmed). I need a primer.

The problem I have right now is that I can't find one or two really good sources of information... Does anyone have a good catch-all site or book/article that can introduce me to all of the things I can do with my scanner and radios other than just voice? I am truly interested in everything and would like to learn about it, and then possibly set up rigs to work each.

Thanks.

--
569@72649.266

37. Re: Pro-93 two way interface cable.....awesome

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 01:36:33 UTC
From: soupman67@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020301203633.11763.00000914@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 51353

My gateway piece of junk did not have the right fittings for it on back all I have are USB connections .I got a adapter at staples usb pda adapter for $40

38. Re: FA: Scantenna base scanner antenna w/coax and BNC adapter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 01:39:11 UTC
From: farmandjr69@cs.com
Message-ID: <20020301203911.27838.00001296@mb-fg.news.cs.com>
Source record: 51354

Is that the 20-044 model being sold for $9.99 at your local radio shack that has been discontinued?

39. Re: A question for the hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 01:59:07 UTC
From: Tony Napolitano <n2xjs@optoline.net>
Message-ID: <3C803211.6040406@optoline.net>
Source record: 51355

Try this site:

http://www.packetradio.com/

tucansam@whodis.org wrote:

> Have some scanner/ham questions for you folks in the know.
>
> I have read some recent articles in various places that talk about some
> technologies that I find interesting, but am unfamiliar with. I have
> tried to locate data on each technology, but I end up surfing all over the
> place and get scattered information at best.
>
> Specifically, I am interested in the technology/devices/software that
> allow one to pull data off the air, ie, the various types of data
> transmissions used, satellite weather reports, etc. I am also interested
> in setting up a packet gateway to the internet. All of the all-caps
> acronyms that I see flying around here so regularly just confuse me, and
> putting each one in a search engine sometimes doesn't pull up anything at
> all (and sometimes pulls up so much I am simply overwhelmed). I need a
> primer.
>
> The problem I have right now is that I can't find one or two really good
> sources of information... Does anyone have a good catch-all site or
> book/article that can introduce me to all of the things I can do with my
> scanner and radios other than just voice? I am truly interested in
> everything and would like to learn about it, and then possibly set up rigs
> to work each.
>
> Thanks.
>
>
>
>

40. Re: Pro-93 two way interface cable.....awesome

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 02:08:22 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <p6c08uk11kl9msmt5phhpr7qd7ugto5vdi@4ax.com>
Source record: 51363

On 02 Mar 2002 00:35:08 GMT, emoney250@aol.com (Eric G.) wrote:

>I just received the 2-way PC to scanner interface cable. I hooked it up to
>port 1 on my Presario 7360, downloaded/installed the Win93 from web page
>(www.pro93.com) and everything communicates awesome!! I recommend people to
>use this combination (2 way cable and program).

Win93 should *not* be available from www.pro93.com.

What exactly did you download, and from where?

Ken Plotkin

41. New ICOM R10 scanner f/s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 03:25:26 UTC
From: majorwiseandhammer <pcarpenter@charter.net>
Message-ID: <u80ito5qqajecb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51356

As new Icom R10, still in the box. Bought about 4 weeks or less ago. Software & cable due from Icom. Radio & receipt for full warranty. $ 250 shipped & Insured via FedEx, conus. Thanks for reading. Phil

42. Re: Help w/ Glass Mount Scanner Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 04:07:19 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <BbYf8.35419$sH1.2393582@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 51357

I used a glass mount for a while on my truck but found that
it did not work as well as my trunk mount on my car. The
range did not seem as good as the glass mount. The glass
mount worked fine locally but seemed lacking on the
fringes where the trunk mount still worked well.

FYI - These were 2M/440 amateur radio antennas.

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

"WatnNY" <watnny@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020301083606.20965.00001607@mb-cg.aol.com...
> Can anyone tell me if the glass mount antennas work with a sh*t ??? It'd
be
> one less hole to drill in the NEW car for antennas.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Mike

43. Re: FS:Kenwood R-5000 receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 04:41:13 UTC
From: Alfred Carlson <wa7uhr@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3C805806.DFC09044@gte.net>
Source record: 51358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

I offer 100 US Dollars, even pay shipping.

Bob Stamper wrote:

> My Kenwood R-5000 communications receiver is available again.This receiver
> is in excellent condition and works perfectly.It does have a couple marks on
> the top.It covers from 100 kHz to 30 MHz in AM/USB/LSB/CW/FSK modes.It comes
> with the manual and the AC power cord.
>
> Regards
> Bob Stamper

44. Re: Help w/ Glass Mount Scanner Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 04:57:30 UTC
From: watnny@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020301235730.21767.00002612@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 51360

THANKS to everyone for their opinions. Guess I will go with the drill-a-hole mounts again. ;-)

Mike

45. Re: Help w/ Glass Mount Scanner Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 05:00:15 UTC
From: Chuck Dennis <chuckd@milwpc.com>
Message-ID: <3c80592e$0$3038$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 51359

I have a RS one on my motorhome and on my van. They seem to work without
any problem. Can't say I ever had one blow off though...

"WatnNY" <watnny@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020301083606.20965.00001607@mb-cg.aol.com...
> Can anyone tell me if the glass mount antennas work with a sh*t ??? It'd
be
> one less hole to drill in the NEW car for antennas.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Mike

46. mod for pro-67?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 05:23:04 UTC
From: sd56ddfs <sdaf@sdfgsdfggggf.com>
Message-ID: <3c805f2a$1_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 51361

mod for pro-67?

47. Re: FA: Scantenna base scanner antenna w/coax and BNC adapter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 05:38:26 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20020302003826.17751.00001174@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 51362

>Is that the 20-044 model being sold for $9.99 at your local radio shack that has been discontinued?<

Smells like it, don't it. Only problem is that someone has bought them all up. I live in a city with 8 RS's and I only found two antennas. I took one and the clerk at RS took the other.

RM ~

48. Re: Pro-93 two way interface cable.....awesome

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 06:08:03 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <h%Zf8.114$yH6.181872@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 51364

Did the adapter work? My notebook has no serial ports, so I went the USB/serial route too. I bought a PDA adapter but it never worked ever, so I bought a usb/serial adapter from pfranc.com. What a great cable. Works like I actually have a serial port.

When I bought this laptop I never thought I'd need a serial port.

A lot of the mid-end notebooks do not have serial ports.

And may I recommend the Win93 program from http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/Win93/index.html ?

It kills that pro93.exe program hands down.

Rob in Salt Lake

"SOUPMAN67" <soupman67@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020301203633.11763.00000914@mb-cq.aol.com...
> My gateway piece of junk did not have the right fittings for it on back all I
> have are USB connections .I got a adapter at staples usb pda adapter for $40

49. Re: Help w/ Glass Mount Scanner Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 06:26:53 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <Pc_f8.1$bA2.4456@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 51365

What kind of RF environment are you in? If it's a RF-rich (UHF repeaters, 800 MHz trunking, etc.) metro environment, you'll probably be OK. If you are needing to monitor non-repeater systems (VHF- high or low, etc.) with mobiles more than a couple of miles away, or UHF/800 systems at a distance, forget it. I tried one with my BC-245 when I first bought my car, and found I could do better putting the factory duckie on one of the window-lip mounts that RS sells.

Mark

"WatnNY" <watnny@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020301083606.20965.00001607@mb-cg.aol.com...
> Can anyone tell me if the glass mount antennas work with a sh*t ??? It'd
be
> one less hole to drill in the NEW car for antennas.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Mike

50. Re: Help selecting scanner for very specific purpose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 10:37:22 UTC
From: "D. Jacobs" <dju@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <raa18us22gu98raqvspuo49dl53bn7o1vv@4ax.com>
Source record: 51366

On Wed, 27 Feb 2002 23:40:53 -0600, "Lurking Fool" <Lurker@Home.Com>
wrote:

>I forgot the exact freqs, can you post them?

It's 72.010 (ch11) through 72.990 (ch 60) with 20khz spacing. I've
heard that there are other services that use the slots in between some
of the channels.

Here's an RC specialized receiver that's available. It doesn't have
all the req's you want, though.

http://www.geocities.com/roger_forgues/Frequency.html

Dave

51. Re: Motorola Radius GP300 Help Required.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 12:11:23 UTC
From: Bry <Bry@home.com>
Message-ID: <u81fqj9todpn37@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51367

Thanks John,
The model number is P93YPC00D2AA
I have had a look at Batlabs.com and though they have some interesting info
I still cannot find out the basics about this particular model.
Next to the volume knob there is another knob numbered 1-7 is that a squelch
or for changing channels?

And above the large button on the side that is pressed to transmit there are
two small buttons but they are unmarked, I would also like to know what they
do.

Thanks again,
Bry

52. Re: Motorola Radius GP300 Help Required.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 12:18:01 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <nospam@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <fuvFZmA5LMg8EwoY@g7hid.lineone.net>
Source record: 51368

In article <u81fqj9todpn37@corp.supernews.com>, Bry <Bry@home.com>
writes
>Thanks John,
>The model number is P93YPC00D2AA
>I have had a look at Batlabs.com and though they have some interesting info
>I still cannot find out the basics about this particular model.
>Next to the volume knob there is another knob numbered 1-7 is that a squelch
>or for changing channels?

For changing channels, it has 8.. The squelch is preset - no knob..
>
>And above the large button on the side that is pressed to transmit there are
>two small buttons but they are unmarked, I would also like to know what they
>do.

IIRC they can be programmed to send 5 tone signalling, if you press them
and they go 'burp', they are not programmed..

--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
: don't fix it !

53. Re: FA: Scantenna base scanner antenna w/coax and BNC adapter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 12:59:25 UTC
From: randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com
Message-ID: <b03b87e2.0203020459.72364a79@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51369

Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:<0ovv7ukk7s1h406nlhircnmbhirgj6sgpq@4ax.com>...
>
> Yeah, they're selling SO fast, there's no bids yet !!
>
>
>
>
>
>

I have sold 4 in two days. Do you want one?

54. Re: FA: Scantenna base scanner antenna w/coax and BNC adapter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 13:01:04 UTC
From: randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com
Message-ID: <b03b87e2.0203020501.7628930d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51370

farmandjr69@cs.com (Farmandjr69) wrote in message
news:<20020301203911.27838.00001296@mb-fg.news.cs.com>...
> Is that the 20-044 model being sold for $9.99 at your local radio shack that
> has been discontinued?

Yes it is. I would suggest checking your local Radio Shack first! Be
advised that they are EXTREMELY difficult to find. If you do find
one, two, three, etc. BUY THEM! If you can't find one locally (I bet
this is the case), buy one from me!

55. Re: FA: Scantenna base scanner antenna w/coax and BNC adapter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 13:03:29 UTC
From: randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com
Message-ID: <b03b87e2.0203020503.96f4608@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51371

jrobmills@aol.comrx320 (J Rob) wrote in message
news:<20020302003826.17751.00001174@mb-fn.aol.com>...
> >Is that the 20-044 model being sold for $9.99 at your local radio shack that
> has been discontinued?<
>
> Smells like it, don't it. Only problem is that someone has bought them all up.
> I live in a city with 8 RS's and I only found two antennas. I took one and the
> clerk at RS took the other.
>
> RM ~

I got lucky. I found 11 within 30 miles of my home. I bought every
one of them. They are getting extremely hard to find. The simple
fact remains:

These are the EXACT antenna as the Scantenna being sold on Grove
Enterprises for $55. They are the EXACT same antenna being solf on
eBay by AntennaWarehouse for $36. I beat both prices and have cheaper
shipping charges. Free Enterprise...Gotta love it!

56. Re: VR-500 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 13:23:42 UTC
From: murrayr@eisa.net.au
Message-ID: <e1cdaab3.0203020523.5c19f5d1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51372

"Graeme" <gcfarmer@optushome.com.au> wrote in message
news:<3c7c1130$0$16634$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>...
> Dick Smith does not have it in stick anymore and can not say if they will be
> stocking it again
>
>
<snip>

Graeme,
I purchased a copy of the ADMS-3 software and cable from DSE
Dandenong Vic this morning. They stated that it was the last copy
they had in store, any stores actually, but would receive more stock
the middle of March 2002.

The software was written by RT Systems in Huntsville AL U.S.A. URL:
http://www.rtsars.com/yaesu_template.cfm. You can purchase a copy
from them for $20.00 US and their will email you the software so
delivery is almost instant. Product code is ADMS-3U. The real
problem is the cable.

Please note that unlike what is published on the web the vr-500 cable
does NOT appear to be the same as that used in the VX-1/VX-5. The
VX-1/VX-5 units use a 4 pole mini stero plug whilst the VR-500 used a
3 pole mini stero plug. They may be compatible but I'm not sure. DSE
have stock of the VW-1/VW-5 software and cable but I'd try the cable
before using it. DITTO for building one from the spec's listed on the
net.

Hope this helps.
Murray.

57. How's the AOR 5000+

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 13:36:44 UTC
From: Stuart Meredith <Info@Smartmarks.co.uk>
Message-ID: <a5qkhb$s2b$1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 51316
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

Can anybody comment is the 5000+ a good radio and can you control everything from PC.

Thanks
Stuart

58. Icom R8500 & XP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 13:36:45 UTC
From: Stuart Meredith <Info@Smartmarks.co.uk>
Message-ID: <a5qkhc$s2b$2@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 51317
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

Will the R8500 not work with Windows XP.

STUART

59. Re: 900mhz phones??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 15:06:01 UTC
From: rdcole@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <20020302.15121500@chris.n5hyh.homeip.net>
Source record: 51373

What phones on the band that are Analog are NBFM. Look between 902-915.

Most phones are Digital and use Frequency Hopping or Direct Sequence spreading so picking them up on todays scanners is not possible.

Ron

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Original Message <<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<

On 2/28/02, 10:22:48 PM, "Skyhawk" <sky444@mint.net> wrote regarding 900mhz phones??:

> Are the 900 mhz cordless phones FM or FMN...is there a site that tells what
> mode the 25-1300mhz bands are??

60. AR3000 Website - UPDATED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 15:12:35 UTC
From: MadDogMcQ <tom.mcq@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a5qq52$5l2$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 51328

Hi All,
Just to let you know that the website has been updated again. In particular, new software links have been added to the Downloads Page (thanks to Mike Agner for the links).

Enjoy!

Tom McQuiggan
www.ar3000.info

61. Re: FA: Scantenna base scanner antenna w/coax and BNC adapter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 15:34:04 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20020302103404.01714.00001221@mb-ft.aol.com>
Source record: 51374

>I got lucky. I found 11 within 30 miles of my home. I bought everyone of them. They are getting extremely hard to find. The simple fact remains:<

Did you get lucky enough to find a 2066? I bombed out on that one.

62. Re: Pro-93 two way interface cable.....awesome

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 15:36:26 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <_j6g8.5190$k5.2393373@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51375

Did you go to the link he referenced? It's not Win93, but a utility that
allows you to back-up, and restore.

Bill Crocker

"Ken Plotkin" <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com> wrote in message
news:p6c08uk11kl9msmt5phhpr7qd7ugto5vdi@4ax.com...
> On 02 Mar 2002 00:35:08 GMT, emoney250@aol.com (Eric G.) wrote:
>
> >I just received the 2-way PC to scanner interface cable. I hooked it up
to
> >port 1 on my Presario 7360, downloaded/installed the Win93 from web page
> >(www.pro93.com) and everything communicates awesome!! I recommend people
to
> >use this combination (2 way cable and program).
>
> Win93 should *not* be available from www.pro93.com.
>
> What exactly did you download, and from where?
>
> Ken Plotkin

63. Re: FA: Scantenna base scanner antenna w/coax and BNC adapter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 15:44:12 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ex6g8.100$bA2.124219@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 51376

In article <b03b87e2.0203020503.96f4608@posting.google.com>,
randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com says...
> jrobmills@aol.comrx320 (J Rob) wrote in message
news:<20020302003826.17751.00001174@mb-fn.aol.com>...
> > >Is that the 20-044 model being sold for $9.99 at your local radio shack that
> > has been discontinued?<
> >
> > Smells like it, don't it. Only problem is that someone has bought them all up.
> > I live in a city with 8 RS's and I only found two antennas. I took one and the
> > clerk at RS took the other.
> >
> > RM ~
>
>
> I got lucky. I found 11 within 30 miles of my home. I bought every
> one of them. They are getting extremely hard to find. The simple
> fact remains:
>
> These are the EXACT antenna as the Scantenna being sold on Grove
> Enterprises for $55. They are the EXACT same antenna being solf on
> eBay by AntennaWarehouse for $36. I beat both prices and have cheaper
> shipping charges. Free Enterprise...Gotta love it!
>

And unlike AntennaWarehouse you aren't making false claims about your
product!!!!

Glenn

64. Bose Wave Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 16:06:55 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <zM6g8.6319$zU2.1269920@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 51377
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a black Bose wave radio that I am looking to sell.It comes with credit card remote,book and box.This is just the am and fm model.No cd or cassett.It works and looks great.Beautiful sound.I don't use it so I am getting it out for sale.I will take $200.oo for it and something for shipping.Email me if interested.

65. CIPA slip on tow mirrors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 16:33:03 UTC
From: Jim
Message-ID: <397g8.37850$Dv1.120072@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 51378

I have a 97 Chevy extend cab with black swing away mirrors (the plastic ones) Does anyone know if the CIPA slip on tow mirrors from Camping World would work. By the description it says it is for my model of truck but the picture is only for the chrome ones.

Thanks.

Jim
--
Remove <nospam> from address to respond directly

66. Icom IC-R7000 Low Sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 16:38:29 UTC
From: PK <pnkay@freenet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <9e7g8.49744$766.1760919@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 51379
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Can anyone suggest a possible cure for the following fault on my Icom IC-R7000?

After 30 minutes from switch on, sensitivity starts to fall until after 2 hours the radio is completely deaf.

I have replaced the capacitors on the DC to DC Unit, C19 & C20 on the Display Unit, C135 on the IF Unit and all capicitors on the Reg Unit as suggested by various modification sites.

All have had no effect on curing the fault.

Any suggestions greatly appreciated.

Thanks.
Peter Kay.

67. Re: A question for the hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 16:42:08 UTC
From: Brett Powell <powell.brett@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Ah7g8.9052$gK2.661222@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51380

Allso search for TAPR thats Tuscon Amatuer packet radio I belive and check
out the Hoka Code Three Gold and Wavecom decoders.
"Tony Napolitano" <n2xjs@optoline.net> wrote in message
news:3C803211.6040406@optoline.net...
> Try this site:
>
> http://www.packetradio.com/
>
>
>
> tucansam@whodis.org wrote:
>
> > Have some scanner/ham questions for you folks in the know.
> >
> > I have read some recent articles in various places that talk about some
> > technologies that I find interesting, but am unfamiliar with. I have
> > tried to locate data on each technology, but I end up surfing all over
the
> > place and get scattered information at best.
> >
> > Specifically, I am interested in the technology/devices/software that
> > allow one to pull data off the air, ie, the various types of data
> > transmissions used, satellite weather reports, etc. I am also
interested
> > in setting up a packet gateway to the internet. All of the all-caps
> > acronyms that I see flying around here so regularly just confuse me, and
> > putting each one in a search engine sometimes doesn't pull up anything
at
> > all (and sometimes pulls up so much I am simply overwhelmed). I need a
> > primer.
> >
> > The problem I have right now is that I can't find one or two really good
> > sources of information... Does anyone have a good catch-all site or
> > book/article that can introduce me to all of the things I can do with my
> > scanner and radios other than just voice? I am truly interested in
> > everything and would like to learn about it, and then possibly set up
rigs
> > to work each.
> >
> > Thanks.
> >
> >
> >
> >

>

68. Re: CIPA slip on tow mirrors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 17:04:07 UTC
From: Jim
Message-ID: <bC7g8.36317$TB2.159835@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 51381

sorry posted this to wrong newsgroup

"Jim jdc503news@attbi.com>" <<nospam> wrote in message
news:397g8.37850$Dv1.120072@rwcrnsc53...
> I have a 97 Chevy extend cab with black swing away mirrors (the plastic
> ones) Does anyone know if the CIPA slip on tow mirrors from Camping World
> would work. By the description it says it is for my model of truck but the
> picture is only for the chrome ones.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Jim
> --
> Remove <nospam> from address to respond directly
>
>

69. Re: Icom IC-R7000 Low Sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 18:19:08 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C81179B.6018B213@optonline.net>
Source record: 51382
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Send it to ICOM for ICOM service?

PK wrote:
>
> Can anyone suggest a possible cure for the following fault on my Icom
> IC-R7000?
>
> After 30 minutes from switch on, sensitivity starts to fall until after 2
> hours the radio is completely deaf.
>
> I have replaced the capacitors on the DC to DC Unit, C19 & C20 on the
> Display Unit, C135 on the IF Unit and all capicitors on the Reg Unit as
> suggested by various modification sites.
>
> All have had no effect on curing the fault.
>
> Any suggestions greatly appreciated.
>
> Thanks.
> Peter Kay.

70. Re: Icom IC-R7000 Low Sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 18:28:53 UTC
From: Clif Holland <radio.service@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <FR8g8.8056$va.3479@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 51383
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Replace the trimmer caps in the PLL unit.

--
Clif Holland, KA5IPF
AVVid
Authorized Kenwood and Icom Amateur Service
www.avvid.com
1-800-214-5779
"PK" <pnkay@freenet.co.uk> wrote in message
news:9e7g8.49744$766.1760919@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk...
> Can anyone suggest a possible cure for the following fault on my Icom
> IC-R7000?
>
> After 30 minutes from switch on, sensitivity starts to fall until after 2
> hours the radio is completely deaf.
>
> I have replaced the capacitors on the DC to DC Unit, C19 & C20 on the
> Display Unit, C135 on the IF Unit and all capicitors on the Reg Unit as
> suggested by various modification sites.
>
> All have had no effect on curing the fault.
>
> Any suggestions greatly appreciated.
>
> Thanks.
> Peter Kay.
>
>
>

71. Re: Pro-93 two way interface cable.....awesome

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 18:30:18 UTC
From: Highlander <jsimon@trillius.com>
Message-ID: <QS8g8.2242$17.1407538@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 51384

Actually it's a non-utility that doesn't run on my PC and littered the
registry with useless additions. Typical Scanpro performance.

"Bill Crocker" <billcrocker@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:_j6g8.5190$k5.2393373@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> Did you go to the link he referenced? It's not Win93, but a utility that
> allows you to back-up, and restore.
>
> Bill Crocker

72. BC245xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 18:33:27 UTC
From: Lonna Shadman <lshadman@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <XV8g8.32179$mG.120269@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 51385

Is there a way to make the bc245 display RADIO ID's? Either on the display itself or through rs232 control? Not group id but individual radio's (units).

Tnx in advance

73. New Domain - k7on.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 18:39:08 UTC
From: Brian Short <k7on@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.16eb29648820ad1a9896e7@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 51386
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I have registered a new domain k7on.com and my web page
will be re-located on managed servers with high-bandwidth
connections.

Please replace any bookmarks or links with:
http://www.k7on.com/

For the time being, while DNS caches update, I will
keep the qsl.net/k7on web page online, but expect no
updates.

Thanks, Brian

--
People who think they know it all are annoying
to those of us who do.
--
See my web page: http://www.k7on.com/
--

74. Re: Icom IC-R7000 Low Sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 18:46:42 UTC
From: Richard <richard@REMOVEmekka.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <a5r724$870$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 51387
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

"PK" wrote in message
news:9e7g8.49744$766.1760919@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk...
> Can anyone suggest a possible cure for the following fault on my Icom
> IC-R7000?
>
> After 30 minutes from switch on, sensitivity starts to fall until after 2
> hours the radio is completely deaf.
>

Not familiar with this rx. but have a lot of Icom gear here. Is this gradual deafness on all ranges or just one "band"? These sorts of fault can be an absolute b***er to find :-(

Initial thoughts: does it happen with the rx out of the case? Can you accelerate the onset of deafness by applying GENTLE heat to a PCB? After 2 hours, when the thing is deaf, how long does it take to recover? Instantly, or a few minutes, or hours?

Have you tightened all screws? Common fault in Icom gear seems to be a poor connection to chassis earth, although this usually results in intermittency rather that gradual deafness.

What is the S-meter doing during the couple of hours?

Richard

--
Richard

75. Re: BC245xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 18:52:23 UTC
From: Gary S <nospam@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <1103_1015095599@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51388

On Sat, 02 Mar 2002 18:33:27 GMT, "Lonna Shadman" <lshadman@mchsi.com> wrote:
> Is there a way to make the bc245 display RADIO ID's? Either on the display
> itself or through rs232 control? Not group id but individual radio's
> (units).

No. You need a program called Trunker, a laptop and a scanner with a discrimator output to get the individual IDs.

Gary

76. Re: Daytona Police Live Audio - Bike Week in Full Swing - The Nuts are OUT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 19:43:31 UTC
From: Harry <hgk@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <3C812B63.89CB1CB2@centurytel.net>
Source record: 51389
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

And what frequencies are these, in case I wanted to hear them live?

GeorgeF wrote:
>
> Daytona Beach Police/Fire Comms are live and online at
> http://www.MilAirComm.com I've also listed a complete set of 10-codes
> so you can follow the auction.
>
> Just minutes ago we had a strange call. Person checked out of his
> motel room but left his pet Alligator behind. When the police arrived
> sure enough there was a 3 1/2 foot long alligator in the room.
> BikeWeek not a full day old and the nuts are already in full force......
>
> Weekend weather is for highs near 80 and scattered Thunderstorms so Its
> going to be a great first weekend to bikeweek. Officials forecast
> 500,000 bikers in town for the events. After dinner we're going to
> take a ride downtown and check out the nuts first hand.
>
> Check out the live comms at http://www.MilAirComms.com
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Action, Photos and
> LIVE Scanner!
>
> --
> ____
> I make Over $5000 per Week on eBay!
> Make eBay(tm) Your Job and Earn BIG $$$
> ---->http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay<----
> ____

77. Re: Daytona Police Live Audio - Bike Week in Full Swing - The Nuts are OUT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 20:14:44 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C8132B4.1060206@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 51390
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Harry wrote:

> And what frequencies are these, in case I wanted to hear them live?

All the freqs are listed here:
http://www.milaircomms.com/police_fl_volusia.html

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

--

_____
I make Over $5000 per Week on eBay!
Make eBay(tm) Your Job and Earn BIG $$$
---->http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay<----
_____

78. Re: Help needed with NRDWIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 20:51:28 UTC
From: marula31@hootmail.com
Message-ID: <3c812cf1.1743153@news.freeserve.net>
Source record: 51391
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 01 Mar 2002 20:19:29 GMT, I wrote:

>On Thu, 28 Feb 2002 22:54:24 +0000 (UTC), "Stuart Meredith"
><Info@Smartmarks.co.uk> wrote:
>
>>Hi,
>>
>>I have a nrd 545 and the nrdwin software which controls the radio.
>>
>>How do you load a number of memories from NRDWIN to the radio?
>
>I don't think you can.
>
>As I said before, I have software to do the job.

You just can't help some people!!

--
J+

79. Re: Fort Bliss Trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 20:53:32 UTC
From: David <David@qthlondon.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <a5re0k$me7$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 51392
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

"martin butcher" <mbutcher@railfan37.fsnet.co.uk> wrote in message
news:a5p3nkvsp1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk...
> Who or what is fort bliss

http://www.bliss.army.mil/newpages/aboutfb.htm

Doesn't help radio wise though :-)

> "J" <dataless@swbell.net> wrote in message
> news:htSf8.2406$QR6.764066985@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> > Anyone know what kind of trunking is done at Fort Bliss? Or maybe the
> > frequencies they are on?
> >
> > Thanks in advance,
> >
> > Joe
> > KD5QAC
> >
> >
>
>

80. Re: Pro-93 two way interface cable.....awesome

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 20:59:22 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <l1f28u8udsg69lrbfqut24a99kdttolacd@4ax.com>
Source record: 51393

On Sat, 02 Mar 2002 15:36:26 GMT, "Bill Crocker"
<billcrocker@ameritech.net> wrote:

>Did you go to the link he referenced? It's not Win93, but a utility that
>allows you to back-up, and restore.

Why do you think I questioned whether he got Win93 there?

81. Re: Icom IC-R7000 Low Sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 21:07:13 UTC
From: Andrew Smith <a_w_smith@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <XV54unHB8Tg8Ew6e@ntlworld.com>
Source record: 51394
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

It could be the voltage on the front end tracking filters drifting, have
you changed the caps on those voltage lines ?

Andy.

In message <9e7g8.49744$766.1760919@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk>, PK
<pnkay@freenet.co.uk> writes
>Can anyone suggest a possible cure for the following fault on my Icom
>IC-R7000?
>
>After 30 minutes from switch on, sensitivity starts to fall until after 2
>hours the radio is completely deaf.
>
>I have replaced the capacitors on the DC to DC Unit, C19 & C20 on the
>Display Unit, C135 on the IF Unit and all capicitors on the Reg Unit as
>suggested by various modification sites.
>
>All have had no effect on curing the fault.
>
>Any suggestions greatly appreciated.
>
>Thanks.
>Peter Kay.
>
>
>

--
Andrew Smith

82. Re: Fort Bliss Trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 21:09:30 UTC
From: ve6nu@shaw.ca
Message-ID: <3c813f4a.2385449@news>
Source record: 51306
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Oh man...Fort Bliss is where you should go to become a real man!
(Fort Bliss, TX)

On Fri, 1 Mar 2002 23:43:17 -0000, "martin butcher"
<mbutcher@railfan37.fsnet.co.uk> wrote:

>Who or what is fort bliss
>"J" <dataless@swbell.net> wrote in message
>news:htSf8.2406$QR6.764066985@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
>> Anyone know what kind of trunking is done at Fort Bliss? Or maybe the
>> frequencies they are on?
>>
>> Thanks in advance,
>>
>> Joe
>> KD5QAC
>>
>>
>
>

I hear, and I forget
I see, and I remember
O do, and I understand

83. Re: Bose Wave Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 21:25:12 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Yqbg8.6435$zU2.1336752@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 51395
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Check it out here.
http://www.sharperimage.com/us/en/catalog/productview.jhtml;$sessionid$1J05J
TJM3ZOVDQFIA2SCHPQ?sku=JS174&siteid=lHgHaWIqj%2Fg-QtxslXgfOeR%2FnquDT6lKUg&L
source=10716&_requestid=34993

I am also a non smoker so it won't smell of tobacco.
"N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:zM6g8.6319$zU2.1269920@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> I have a black Bose wave radio that I am looking to sell.It comes with
> credit card remote,book and box.This is just the am and fm model.No cd or
> cassett.It works and looks great.Beautiful sound.I don't use it so I am
> getting it out for sale.I will take $200.oo for it and something for
> shipping.Email me if interested.
>
>

84. Re: 900mhz phones??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 21:26:55 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3C81439F.6CE7D29D@erols.com>
Source record: 51396

No. I disagree. Their analog signal bandwidth is closer to 10-15 khz., not 5-7.5 khz. Depending upon what receiver you use and what it processes as NBFM, that may be all the choice you have vice the WBFM for FM stations. The ICOM 7100 in the FM mode is quite compatable with the signals, but not the NBFM mode.

rdcole@yahoo.com wrote:

> What phones on the band that are Analog are NBFM. Look between 902-915.
>
> Most phones are Digital and use Frequency Hopping or Direct Sequence
> spreading so picking them up on todays scanners is not possible.
>
> Ron
>
> >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Original Message <<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<
>
> On 2/28/02, 10:22:48 PM, "Skyhawk" <sky444@mint.net> wrote regarding 900mhz
> phones??:
>
> > Are the 900 mhz cordless phones FM or FMN...is there a site that tells
> what
> > mode the 25-1300mhz bands are??

--

85. Re: FA: Scantenna base scanner antenna w/coax and BNC adapter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 21:29:52 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3C814450.E2C57AD7@erols.com>
Source record: 51297

Bullshit. Bob Grove of Grove Enterprises has all you want to buy.

"Randy A. Hefner" wrote:

> farmandjr69@cs.com (Farmandjr69) wrote in message
news:<20020301203911.27838.00001296@mb-fg.news.cs.com>...
> > Is that the 20-044 model being sold for $9.99 at your local radio shack that
> > has been discontinued?
>
> Yes it is. I would suggest checking your local Radio Shack first! Be
> advised that they are EXTREMELY difficult to find. If you do find
> one, two, three, etc. BUY THEM! If you can't find one locally (I bet
> this is the case), buy one from me!

86. Re: Icom IC-R7000 Low Sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 21:34:55 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3C81457F.9D7EA949@erols.com>
Source record: 51298
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Yeah, send it back to the factory repair facility in Bellvue, Washington and quit tryingto fix a sophisticated receiver yourself without the proper test equipment and most likely needed components. I've done it and it works. They will repair your R7000 at a reasonable labor rate plus parts.

PK wrote:

> Can anyone suggest a possible cure for the following fault on my Icom
> IC-R7000?
>
> After 30 minutes from switch on, sensitivity starts to fall until after 2
> hours the radio is completely deaf.
>
> I have replaced the capacitors on the DC to DC Unit, C19 & C20 on the
> Display Unit, C135 on the IF Unit and all capicitors on the Reg Unit as
> suggested by various modification sites.
>
> All have had no effect on curing the fault.
>
> Any suggestions greatly appreciated.
>
> Thanks.
> Peter Kay.

87. Re: FA: Scantenna base scanner antenna w/coax and BNC adapter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 21:47:30 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a5rh8i$9l893$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 51299

I am sure he meant hard to find the RS boxed units. I am sure they are still making the Scantenna. RS is just carrying them anymore.

I bought 3 for 9.99. Thats all they had in my area RS.

"John Wilson" <jwilson9@erols.com> wrote in message news:3C814450.E2C57AD7@erols.com...
> Bullshit. Bob Grove of Grove Enterprises has all you want to buy.
>
>
>
>
> "Randy A. Hefner" wrote:
>
> > farmandjr69@cs.com (Farmandjr69) wrote in message news:<20020301203911.27838.00001296@mb-fg.news.cs.com>...
> > > > Is that the 20-044 model being sold for $9.99 at your local radio shack that
> > > > has been discontinued?
> > >
> > > Yes it is. I would suggest checking your local Radio Shack first! Be
> > > advised that they are EXTREMELY difficult to find. If you do find
> > > one, two, three, etc. BUY THEM! If you can't find one locally (I bet
> > > this is the case), buy one from me!
>
>
>

88. Re: FA: Scantenna base scanner antenna w/coax and BNC adapter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 21:48:13 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a5rh9t$9q2mj$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 51300

Ooopps

RS is just NOT carrying them anymore.

"Lurking Fool" <Lurker@Home.Com> wrote in message
news:a5rh8i$9l893$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> I am sure he meant hard to find the RS boxed units. I am sure they are
still
> making the Scantenna. RS is just carrying them anymore.
>
> I bought 3 for 9.99. Thats all they had in my area RS.
>
>
> "John Wilson" <jwilson9@erols.com> wrote in message
> news:3C814450.E2C57AD7@erols.com...
> > Bullshit. Bob Grove of Grove Enterprises has all you want to buy.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > "Randy A. Hefner" wrote:
> >
> > > farmandjr69@cs.com (Farmandjr69) wrote in message
> news:<20020301203911.27838.00001296@mb-fg.news.cs.com>...
> > > > Is that the 20-044 model being sold for $9.99 at your local radio
> shack that
> > > > has been discontinued?
> > >
> > > Yes it is. I would suggest checking your local Radio Shack first! Be
> > > advised that they are EXTREMELY difficult to find. If you do find
> > > one, two, three, etc. BUY THEM! If you can't find one locally (I bet
> > > this is the case), buy one from me!
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

89. Icom IC-R10 with Extras!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 21:53:19 UTC
From: ircSLUG <N-O-S-P-A-MMM-noneya@noneya.com>
Message-ID: <u82ichlka2pc8a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51301

I have the following receiver listed for auction. The unit is in GREAT condition, and
the ONLY mod done is the Discriminator mod done to the un-used CI-V ring....WORKS GREAT!

It is on E-Bay item #1336650495

Good luck, and HAPPY BIDDING!!!

90. WTB: Pro-2053

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 22:02:27 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.com
Message-ID: <5e5fccab.0203021402.2c6df491@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51302

WTB - Radio Shack Pro-2053 scanner. These units are currently on sale for $180 new, so I'd be willing to go up to $100 for a unit that works 100%. Email me if interested.

91. Base scanner antenna question ..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 23:13:38 UTC
From: mjlabo2@eatel.net
Message-ID: <C0dg8.7738$pN4.1264306@bin8.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 51303

I have and use 2 base scanners and for now have a base antenna for each radio.
My question is: If by inserting a Y connection to the coax coming from a
single antenna allowing both scanners to be connected to a single antenna,
would that allow for any dead zones in any freqency ranges?

Reply to mjlabo2@eatel.net.
Many thanks to this group.

Bayou Biscuit
mjlabo2@eatel.net

92. Re: Pro-2053

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-02 23:19:10 UTC
From: B <bpk8227nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <O5dg8.23459$s03.810244@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 51319

good luck

"vhfradiobuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.com> wrote in message
news:5e5fccab.0203021402.2c6df491@posting.google.com...
> WTB - Radio Shack Pro-2053 scanner. These units are currently on sale
> for $180 new, so I'd be willing to go up to $100 for a unit that works
> 100%. Email me if interested.

93. Re: Bose Wave Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 00:02:56 UTC
From: B <bpk8227nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <QKdg8.23572$s03.813248@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 51321
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I will take. Please email me at bpk8227@cox.net

"N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:Yqbg8.6435$zU2.1336752@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> Check it out here.
>
http://www.sharperimage.com/us/en/catalog/productview.jhtml;$sessionid$1J05J
>
TJM3ZOVDQFIA2SCHPQ?sku=JS174&siteid=lHgHaWIqj%2Fg-QtxslXgfOeR%2FnquDT6lKUg&L
> source=10716&_requestid=34993
>
>
> I am also a non smoker so it won't smell of tobacco.
> "N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:zM6g8.6319$zU2.1269920@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> > I have a black Bose wave radio that I am looking to sell.It comes with
> > credit card remote,book and box.This is just the am and fm model.No cd
or
> > cassett.It works and looks great.Beautiful sound.I don't use it so I am
> > getting it out for sale.I will take $200.oo for it and something for
> > shipping.Email me if interested.
> >
> >
>
>

94. BC895XLT/Frequencies Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 00:43:35 UTC
From: justme810@37.com
Message-ID: <e5a1b984.0203021643.480f431f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51304

Does anyone have or know where to get the police, fire, federal etc
frequencies for the Detroit-Port Huron area?
Thank You
justme810@37.com

95. Re: FS:Kenwood R-5000 receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 00:49:10 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <jonvanallen@msn.com>
Message-ID: <3C817306.7ED3678D@msn.com>
Source record: 51305
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

Typical ham, offer 1/4 of what it's worth and would rather insult someone
than make a serious offer. Sheesh...

Jon
KF7YN

Alfred Carlson wrote:

> I offer 100 US Dollars, even pay shipping.
>
> Bob Stamper wrote:
>
> > My Kenwood R-5000 communications receiver is available again.This receiver
> > is in excellent condition and works perfectly.It does have a couple marks on
> > the top.It covers from 100 kHz to 30 MHz in AM/USB/LSB/CW/FSK modes.It comes
> > with the manual and the AC power cord.
> >
> > Regards
> > Bob Stamper

96. Re: Base scanner antenna question ..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 01:25:56 UTC
From: "Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca>
Message-ID: <Pine.A41.4.05.10203021825150.16124-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca>
Source record: 51307

If you mean unblocking blocked frequencies in the scanners, then the
answer is no.

On Sat, 2 Mar 2002, Bayou Biscuit wrote:

> I have and use 2 base scanners and for now have a base antenna for each radio.
> My question is: If by inserting a Y connection to the coax coming from a
> single antenna allowing both scanners to be connected to a single antenna,
> would that allow for any dead zones in any freqency ranges?
>
> Reply to mjlabo2@eatel.net.
> Many thanks to this group.
>
>
>
> Bayou Biscuit
> mjlabo2@eatel.net
>
>
>

97. Re: Help w/ Glass Mount Scanner Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 01:41:14 UTC
From: n9mxt1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020302204114.28387.00001581@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 51308

All I know is that I noticed a huge loss in db on the glass mount antenna. I just recently ripped the darn thing off the window and bought another NMO trunk-lip mount. Works a so much better.

98. Re: VR-500 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 02:20:50 UTC
From: Troy <troyrow@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c8188c2$0$18470$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 51309

DSE are supposed to be the Australian stockist for Yaesu products. Keep on to them.

"Murray" <murrayr@eisa.net.au> wrote in message news:e1cdaab3.0203020523.5c19f5d1@posting.google.com...
> "Graeme" <gcfarmer@optushome.com.au> wrote in message news:<3c7c1130$0$16634$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>...
> > Dick Smith does not have it in stick anymore and can not say if they will be
> > stocking it again
> >
> >
> <snip>
>
> Graeme,
> I purchased a copy of the ADMS-3 software and cable from DSE
> Dandenong Vic this morning. They stated that it was the last copy
> they had in store, any stores actually, but would receive more stock
> the middle of March 2002.
>
> The software was written by RT Systems in Huntsville AL U.S.A. URL:
> http://www.rtsars.com/yaesu_template.cfm. You can purchase a copy
> from them for $20.00 US and their will email you the software so
> delivery is almost instant. Product code is ADMS-3U. The real
> problem is the cable.
>
> Please note that unlike what is published on the web the vr-500 cable
> does NOT appear to be the same as that used in the VX-1/VX-5. The
> VX-1/VX-5 units use a 4 pole mini stero plug whilst the VR-500 used a
> 3 pole mini stero plug. They may be compatible but I'm not sure. DSE
> have stock of the VW-1/VW-5 software and cable but I'd try the cable
> before using it. DITTO for building one from the spec's listed on the
> net.
>
> Hope this helps.
> Murray.

99. Pro 46 cell mod question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 02:31:55 UTC
From: "Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca>
Message-ID: <Pine.A41.4.05.10203021931000.22290-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca>
Source record: 51310

Let's say that (hypothetically) I had temporary charge and possession of a Pro 46 scanner with the following stuff on the back part of the case:

model #: 20-305
FCC ID #: AAO20-305
serial #: 35056xxx

and a white label that says simply: 10A3

Is this one of the date codes before 2A4 or after? That is, is this one of the older Pro 46s that could be modified by removing one surface mount diode and moving another? Or is this one of the factory re-modified radios which requires "surgery" just to re-enable the keyboard test mod?

Part of the instructions for doing the cell mod on this radio (regardless of which type it is; see above) includes the following: "Unsolder the copper-coated plastic shield from the microprocessor..." There are two such shields inside the Pro 46 to which I have access. Which shield is meant in the instructions...the larger of these two shields, attached to the keyboard/speaker/front-of-case part of the radio, or the smaller shield, attached to a smaller, "inner" board accessed by disassembling the device? In other words, is "the" micontroller attached to the keyboard PC board, or to the "inner" PC board? I would rather pose these questions to the group before pulling a soldering iron on one of these shields and then finding out that I unsoldered the wrong shield.

Thanks in advance,

Ian Douglas

100. FS Yaesu FT-50R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 03:15:49 UTC
From: debo@comcast.net
Message-ID: <21fb63d.0203021915.4c742356@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51311

Mint condition dual bander unit, bought in January need the cash, paid
190
sell here for 150 no scratches or any kind of blemishes. with charger
battery
antenna. With this you get a transmitting unit that will scan to,
picks up uhf vhu 500 mhz, 800 mhz email me direct if interested
Tom D
150.00

101. Re: VR-500 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 03:23:49 UTC
From: John P Vassel <john@vassel.net>
Message-ID: <3c819744$0$15925$2c3e98f8@news.voyager.net>
Source record: 51312

"Troy" <troyrow@optusnet.com.au> wrote in
news:3c8188c2$0$18470$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au:

> Please note that unlike what is published on the web the vr-500 cable
>> does NOT appear to be the same as that used in the VX-1/VX-5. The
>> VX-1/VX-5 units use a 4 pole mini stero plug whilst the VR-500 used a
>> 3 pole mini stero plug. They may be compatible but I'm not sure. DSE
>> have stock of the VW-1/VW-5 software and cable but I'd try the cable
>> before using it. DITTO for building one from the spec's listed on the
>> net.
>

The cable for the Ft-50 is basically a db-9 to 3 pole plug, with a 4 pole
adaptor for the Ft-50. However, the 3 pole cable will even program Icom
rigs. So I'd suspect, that this cable would do the VR-500, just unplug the
adaptor. I've even had luck making a 3-pole to rj-11x plug adaptor to
program my ft-90 with the same cable.

--
John Vassel
KC8SKE
RTFD Volunteer Firefighter

102. Re: how many antennas on your car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 03:24:08 UTC
From: N4IXT <n4ixt@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <a5s53d$94k9v$1@ID-105647.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 51313
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh-rrs@kd5nrh.net> wrote in message
news:6E118FB1E8BC003E.2ED253AC788C5769.6C98DB854C9D7094@lp.airnews.net...
> On Mon, 25 Feb 2002 18:01:05 -0500, "KC8JBO" <kc8jbo@alt.net> wrote:
>
>I wonder if his vehicle looks like a porcupine with all those antennas? ;)
>
> I saw one with six on the centerline; my first thought was that it
> looked like a porcupine with a mohawk.

You can see for yourself, I setup a temp website until my QSL account gets
setup:

http://personal.bhm.bellsouth.net/~rccain

Click on the Mobile button. It's a little hard to see the 10m antenna, but
you can tell it's there if you look at the bases.

73,

Robert / N4IXT

103. Re: how many antennas on your car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 03:29:28 UTC
From: N4IXT <n4ixt@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <a5s5d6$9nelm$1@ID-105647.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 51314
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Carl" <n7kuw@dropthisnospam.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:ARse8.393$vj1.565@rwcrnsc53...
> Only 14. Includes 4-ham, commercial vhf-lo, commercial vhf-hi, commercial
> uhf, gmrs, 2 scanners, ELT tracking antenna, DGPS, GPS, commercial am/fm.
>
> Carl.

I'm jealous. I can tell I need more antennas! Hmm, I wonder how much a 3
element 10m yagi would drag down my gas mileage?

73's

Robert / N4IXT

104. Re: Base scanner antenna question ..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 04:34:58 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <YKhg8.377$Cw3.266368@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 51315

That's not what he means. If you've ever used a portable you'll know what a dead spot is.

"Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca> wrote in message news:Pine.A41.4.05.10203021825150.16124-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca...
>
> If you mean unblocking blocked frequencies in the scanners, then the
> answer is no.
>
> On Sat, 2 Mar 2002, Bayou Biscuit wrote:
>
> > I have and use 2 base scanners and for now have a base antenna for each radio.
> > My question is: If by inserting a Y connection to the coax coming from a
> > single antenna allowing both scanners to be connected to a single antenna,
> > would that allow for any dead zones in any freqency ranges?
> >
> > Reply to mjlabo2@eatel.net.
> > Many thanks to this group.
> >
> >
> >
> > Bayou Biscuit
> > mjlabo2@eatel.net
> >
> >
> >
>

105. FA: CE-232 Interface Microprocessors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 04:46:06 UTC
From: RC <nospam@me.com>
Message-ID: <iUhg8.28354$TV4.4051578@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 51320

These are my last two Motorola MC68HC11F1FN microprocessor chips for the CE-232 interface.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1336788488
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1336789302

Any questions, please contact me directly.

Thanks,

Robert
spectrum@cfl.rr.com

106. Re: VR-500 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 04:53:18 UTC
From: ghs521@aol.comiamnot
Message-ID: <20020302235318.09689.00002065@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 51318

> However, the 3 pole cable will even program Icom
>rigs.

True, I use the same cable for an R2 and the VR500.

Greg

107. Re: VR-500 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 05:24:01 UTC
From: John <fltsatcom@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3c81b33f_2@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 51322

Last Friday I picked up my VR500 from my local DS store but the
software/cable that I had also bought was nowhere to be seen.
I was told the cable/software was being transferred from another store and
will be here soon?
"Murray" <murrayr@eisa.net.au> wrote in message
news:e1cdaab3.0203020523.5c19f5d1@posting.google.com...
> "Graeme" <gcfarmer@optushome.com.au> wrote in message
news:<3c7c1130$0$16634$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>...
> > Dick Smith does not have it in stick anymore and can not say if they
will be
> > stocking it again
> >
> >
> <snip>
>
> Graeme,
> I purchased a copy of the ADMS-3 software and cable from DSE
> Dandenong Vic this morning. They stated that it was the last copy
> they had in store, any stores actually, but would receive more stock
> the middle of March 2002.
>
> The software was written by RT Systems in Huntsville AL U.S.A. URL:
> http://www.rtsars.com/yaesu_template.cfm. You can purchase a copy
> from them for $20.00 US and their will email you the software so
> delivery is almost instant. Product code is ADMS-3U. The real
> problem is the cable.
>
> Please note that unlike what is published on the web the vr-500 cable
> does NOT appear to be the same as that used in the VX-1/VX-5. The
> VX-1/VX-5 units use a 4 pole mini stero plug whilst the VR-500 used a
> 3 pole mini stero plug. They may be compatible but I'm not sure. DSE
> have stock of the VW-1/VW-5 software and cable but I'd try the cable
> before using it. DITTO for building one from the spec's listed on the
> net.
>
> Hope this helps.
> Murray.

108. Re: VR-500 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 05:51:42 UTC
From: Scrapps <scrapps@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3C81B9EE.258F1B80@optushome.com.au>
Source record: 51323

sounds like bad luck, Murrays got yours

John wrote:
>
> Last Friday I picked up my VR500 from my local DS store but the
> software/cable that I had also bought was nowhere to be seen.
> I was told the cable/software was being transferred from another store and
> will be here soon?
> "Murray" <murrayr@eisa.net.au> wrote in message
> news:e1cdaab3.0203020523.5c19f5d1@posting.google.com...
> > "Graeme" <gcfarmer@optushome.com.au> wrote in message
> news:<3c7c1130$0$16634$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>...
> > > Dick Smith does not have it in stick anymore and can not say if they
> will be
> > > stocking it again
> > >
> > >
> > > <snip>
> > >
> > > Graeme,
> > > I purchased a copy of the ADMS-3 software and cable from DSE
> > > Dandenong Vic this morning. They stated that it was the last copy
> > > they had in store, any stores actually, but would receive more stock
> > > the middle of March 2002.
> > >
> > > The software was written by RT Systems in Huntsville AL U.S.A. URL:
> > > http://www.rtsars.com/yaesu_template.cfm. You can purchase a copy
> > > from them for $20.00 US and their will email you the software so
> > > delivery is almost instant. Product code is ADMS-3U. The real
> > > problem is the cable.
> > >
> > > Please note that unlike what is published on the web the vr-500 cable
> > > does NOT appear to be the same as that used in the VX-1/VX-5. The
> > > VX-1/VX-5 units use a 4 pole mini stero plug whilst the VR-500 used a
> > > 3 pole mini stero plug. They may be compatible but I'm not sure. DSE
> > > have stock of the VW-1/VW-5 software and cable but I'd try the cable
> > > before using it. DITTO for building one from the spec's listed on the
> > > net.
> > >
> > > Hope this helps.
> > > Murray.

109. Re: Pro-93 two way interface cable.....awesome

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 05:56:39 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020303005639.15509.00001172@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 51324

I guess I should be more specific for those of you too lazy to open up the Pro93.com web page. Type http://wwwpro93.com into your computer. Wella, a web page opens that offers freeware (a zip program for Pro-93 scanner) for the scanner to comm with PC. This same web page offers a method to order the one way/two way cables for this pupose also. Damn, that was hard. Even I could follow directions, order the shit, hook it up and get my scanner to comm with my PC (using Win93version 00.17).
Good luck out there sports fans.....
Eric G.
education CANNOT buy common sense

110. Re: Pro-93 two way interface cable.....awesome

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 06:21:27 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <q1g38uc60ghbaj2dc4eh11ocvl7u2re4i9@4ax.com>
Source record: 51325

On 03 Mar 2002 05:56:39 GMT, emoney250@aol.com (Eric G.) wrote:

>I guess I should be more specific for those of you too lazy to open up the
>Pro93.com web page. Type http://wwwpro93.com into your computer. Wella, a web
[snip]

I'm not too lazy to open that page. I know what's there and what's
not there. And what should not be there.

Maybe the problem is people who are too stupid to correctly describe
what they find.

Ken Plotkin

111. mod for a Nissan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 06:49:28 UTC
From: B <bpk8227nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <YHjg8.24504$s03.842805@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 51326
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi I own a 01 Nissan Maxima GLE witht the Bose system. Is there any way this deck can be modified to pick up cell?

112. AOR 3000 Comments???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 07:22:44 UTC
From: Skyhawk <sky444@mint.net>
Message-ID: <8bkg8.6931$zU2.1484392@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 51327

any comments on this scanner AOR 3000 compared to a PRO-2006 with 456 Board
???

113. PRO-70 mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 08:52:24 UTC
From: tooch <t00ch@iprimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c81e416_2@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 51329

Anyone know of any mods to this scanner to let it recieve 131.500 MHZ?

114. Re: PRO-70 mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 08:59:38 UTC
From: tooch <t00ch@iprimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c81e5c9$1_2@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 51330

Sorry, i meant 131.550

"tooch" <t00ch@iprimus.com.au> wrote in message
news:3c81e416_2@news.iprimus.com.au...
> Anyone know of any mods to this scanner to let it recieve 131.500 MHZ?
>
>

115. Re: AOR 3000 Comments???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 09:12:36 UTC
From: Stockman Lieven <lieven.stockman@pandora.be>
Message-ID: <8Olg8.229574$rt4.22230@afrodite.telenet-ops.be>
Source record: 51331

Why ????
AOR ar 3000A is simply the best

http://www.naswi.navy.mil/vaq-128/110.jpg

AOR 3000A in EA6B Fighter

"Skyhawk" <sky444@mint.net> schreef in bericht
news:8bkg8.6931$zU2.1484392@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> any comments on this scanner AOR 3000 compared to a PRO-2006 with 456
Board
> ???
>
>

116. For sale: AOR AR-3000A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 09:37:26 UTC
From: Percom <percom@zonnet.nl>
Message-ID: <a5sr82$lr3$1@cyan.nl.gxn.net>
Source record: 51332

For Sale: professional monitor receiver AOR AR-3000A in mint condition with box and instruction manual.
100 kHz - 2036 MHz, 400 memories, modes USB, LSB, CW, AM, NFM en WFM with discriminatorconnection for POCSAG, ERMES etc.
EURO 725,-. without shipment. Look at www.percom.myweb.nl

117. Re: mod for a Nissan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 09:49:05 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u83sa97ts4uj80@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51333
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Excuse, please? You factory stereo picking up cell phones? Now that would be a trick even I'd like to see....

In short, if that is what you are referring to, no, not a chance...

PH

"B" <bpk8227nospam@cox.net> wrote in message news:YHjg8.24504$s03.842805@news2.east.cox.net...
> Hi I own a 01 Nissan Maxima GLE witht the Bose system. Is there any way this
> deck can be modified to pick up cell?
>
>

118. Re: Icom R2 US Version VS Unblocked Sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 10:00:23 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.boogers>
Message-ID: <Xumg8.51328$kb.3654223@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 51334
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

well, I will probably be selling mine. gotta sell most of my equip. I am a touch behind on house rent. The R2 is maybe a max 3 months old. I will have to check the receipt for exact date. it is a can r2. I will post the sale in the yahoo group soon. Great radio and I am gonna miss it.

Dev.

"Sabrina Frederick" <Sabrina_Frederick@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:23e8cbcf.0202270157.31c2c80b@posting.google.com...
> I purchased my R2 from Atlantic Ham Radio in Toronto almost 3-years
> ago. It has worked great with no problems ever since. No problems at
> the border, via regular mail, but that was before 9/11, and the
> cellphone band works awesome. I can pick up signals on the R2 that
> the modified Pro-43 doesn't even see. I have noticed some intermod
> problems in the 450-460mhz range but I'm in an area that has an
> abundance of signals in that range. Once I get out of my area, there
> doesn't appear to be any problems. Once you get used to its odd
> function buttons, its a very neat receiver. I also got the computer
> program so I can program the channels with my keyboard. Sure makes
> entering channels and banks a lot easier.

119. Re: mod for a Nissan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 10:07:39 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.boogers>
Message-ID: <LBmg8.51379$kb.3657464@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 51335
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

you could own a great GM vehicle or ford or dodge. It may have Bose. But I like Pioneer. Cell listening is illegal in the states but not in eu or Canada. If you read up on basic frequency info. Normal radio station is what. 87 - 108 or something. and cells are 800 Mhz area and 1.? Ghz area. I don't need to post the freq's simce most have a idea. you will have to buy a can or eu scanner to rx cell. You can buy the best Stereo System out there for your vehicle. A scanner or other type of rx equipment will be neededto receive the cell band. Not to say a half decent antenna.

Dev

"B" <bpk8227nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:YHjg8.24504$s03.842805@news2.east.cox.net...
> Hi I own a 01 Nissan Maxima GLE witht the Bose system. Is there any way
this
> deck can be modified to pick up cell?
>
>

120. Re: (*) Mods Webpage! (*)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 10:10:48 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.boogers>
Message-ID: <IEmg8.51428$kb.3658847@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 51336

lol. I guess we all need to let some steam off. If it was atleast a half decent non copy of the website. That be different. But since it is the same, without tables. thatis stupid.

Dev

"tw��,.." <.tw,@nothomeexcite5,yahoomsnATTBI.org.com> wrote in message news:yTLf8.28051$TB2.128270@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> X-No-Archive: yes
>
> "Pastor Brian" <NOSPAMpastorbrian@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message news:YoFf8.8606$j47.4061314@twister.socal.rr.com...
> > I never claimed to AUTHOR the post...
>
> So, you're saying that you didn't post the original post with the url link in it right?
>
>

121. Trade FT100 D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 11:07:08 UTC
From: nestore <nestore@wanadoo.fr>
Message-ID: <a5svukdvv1@wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 51337

Echange FT100D + alim + duplexeur + cordon packet avec factures neufs sous garantie contre recepteur AR8200 2 e Version + complement n gocier.

nestore@wanadoo.fr

122. Re: mod for a Nissan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 11:36:40 UTC
From: roughplanet <roughplanet@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c820ac7$0$18469$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 51338
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"B" <bpk8227nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:YHjg8.24504$s03.842805@news2.east.cox.net...
>Hi I own a 01 Nissan Maxima GLE witht the Bose system. Is >there any way
this deck can be modified to pick up cell?

Is this a trick question?

Ruff

123. Search behavior is odd (how do scanners determine starting freq, with a given step?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 12:11:02 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <hc448ugemk5hhrtfss7qtf4gcsbdukhlff@4ax.com>
Source record: 51339

My question may or may not be specific to the AR8000 (it may be common all all scanners), but I needed to find out from other people how this works for them....

I saw a 'band plan' chart that showed a step of 200 was recommended for searching in the FM band. Since my AR8000 was using a step of 100, I figured it would make the search faster and more efficient to change to 200. I was showing a friend how easy it is to set up a search using the FM band when I caught onto something unexpected.

I set up a search from 88.1 to 107.9, WFM, step 200, but the scanner was locking onto even-numbered frequencies, such as stopping on 97.400 instead of 97.300 where the station signal really is. I expected it to begin at 88.1 and hop in increments of 200 searching through (88100, 88300, 88500, etc). I thought I'd "hit" all the US FM stations in the area. Instead, it was only locking onto even frequencies, 100 off of the real station frquency.

Did I do something wrong, or miss something? If I set the step to 100 the AR8000 "does the right thing," so I know it can work fine, but I'd like to understand what's up all the same.

I suspect the scanner does not use the starting frequency as the basis for the step, so instead of hitting on 88100, 88300, 88500, etc., it went 88200, 88400, 88600, and so on until it was close enough to a radio station to stop). This explanation, while logical, sounds like a goofy way for it to work. However, whether I start the range on 88100 or 88000, it seems to work this way.

I'd like to find out if anyone knows for sure.

Perhaps there are other settings affecting this?

Thanks for listening!

James

P.S. In looking at this closer, when using a step of 100, it still sometimes locks onto the even-numbered frequency just above the true station frequency. What would cause that? Is the scanner in need of an adjustment via software, or service from AOR, perhaps?

124. Re: mod for a Nissan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 13:52:34 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0203030552.673c695c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51340
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"B" <bpk8227nospam@cox.net> wrote in message
news:<YHjg8.24504$s03.842805@news2.east.cox.net>...
> Hi I own a 01 Nissan Maxima GLE witht the Bose system. Is there any way this
> deck can be modified to pick up cell?

Why heck yes: Three progressive 2-barrel carbs and a hi-rise
crankshaft and you should be able to pick up anything you want. And
don't forget the lakespipes and glasspaks!

125. Please, help me!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 14:12:12 UTC
From: kml@digi.com.br
Message-ID: <3c821cd6.11030400@news.digi.com.br>
Source record: 51341
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Dear Fellows:

I am planning to purchase a new scanner and I don't know what
them: YAESU VR-5000 or AOR-AR8600... I would like to know
what is the best performance... etc!!!
I thanks in advance for your valuable help.
Vy 73 de PS7KM,

Karl Leite
Natal, RN, Brasil
eMail: kml@digi.com.br
kl@samnet.com.br
LightHouse PostCard Collector

126. Re: Icom R8500 & XP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 14:45:49 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3c823922$0$35575$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 51342
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

The R8500 radio is not dependent on the operating
system you use. That's a dependency of whatever control
software you use.

ICOM RS-8500 Software

I have ICOM's RS-8500 software. It works on Windows 98
but I don't know if it works on Windows XP.

tk8500 Open Source Software

I've had reports from users of tk8500 software
that it works fine on Windows XP. On the other hand, one
user couldn't get it and another radio program
to work on Windows XP so he restored
Windows 98 and the radio software started working
again. (I'm using tk8500 on Linux as I type this.)

tk8500 may work on MacOS X, too, but I don't have a Mac
so I can't test it there.

See my web page, http::/members.core.com/~parnass
for more info and tk8500 download.

On Sat, 02 Mar 2002 07:36:45 -0600, Stuart Meredith wrote:

> Will the R8500 not work with Windows XP.
>
> STUART

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

127. Re: Pro-93 interface help.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 15:28:05 UTC
From: Runnyriver <noton6@microbrain.com>
Message-ID: <a5teq5$9r7ib$1@ID-121301.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 51343

"Ken Plotkin" <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com> wrote in message
news:csqq7ugv7hkcgg0jgoq67k48n9gedo4gm0@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 27 Feb 2002 16:46:40 -0600, "Scott"
> <scott5mi@cox-internet.com> wrote:
>
> >I recently purchased a Radio Shack Pro-93 scanner, and I am confused as
to
> >what cable to use to interface the scanner with my PC. I have seen
> >conflicting accounts on various websites, and I thought I would go here
and
> >ask the real experts.
>
> The cable for the PRO-93 is exactly the same as the cable for the
> PRO-92.
>
> http://www.pro-92.com/pcifcable.htm
>
> has information on buying or building a cable. Skip the GRE cable,
> since that's part of a bundle with software for the 92.
>
> For software for the PRO-93, get Don Starr's "Win93" from

does the Win93 program work on the pro 92 as well? if not, what program
would be similar?
Thanks!

128. Re: Pro-93 interface help.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 15:39:30 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C8243B1.37AAF2FA@optonline.net>
Source record: 51344

For the PRO-92, you would use Win92, also by Don Starr.

There is a link to the software at www.pro-92.com.

Walt

Runnyriver wrote:
>
> "Ken Plotkin" <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com> wrote in message
> news:csqq7ugv7hkcgg0jgoq67k48n9gedo4gm0@4ax.com...
> > On Wed, 27 Feb 2002 16:46:40 -0600, "Scott"
> > <scott5mi@cox-internet.com> wrote:
> >
> > >I recently purchased a Radio Shack Pro-93 scanner, and I am confused as
> to
> > >what cable to use to interface the scanner with my PC. I have seen
> > >conflicting accounts on various websites, and I thought I would go here
> and
> > >ask the real experts.
> >
> > The cable for the PRO-93 is exactly the same as the cable for the
> > PRO-92.
> >
> > http://www.pro-92.com/pcifcable.htm
> >
> > has information on buying or building a cable. Skip the GRE cable,
> > since that's part of a bundle with software for the 92.
> >
> > For software for the PRO-93, get Don Starr's "Win93" from
>
> does the Win93 program work on the pro 92 as well? if not, what program
> would be similar?
> Thanks!

129. Re: UBC 3000XLT Worth The $$$?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 16:41:29 UTC
From: David <bianchi@ttlc.net>
Message-ID: <3C825239.6080609@ttlc.net>
Source record: 51345
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

I haven't had any problems with them. I'm only on my 3rd pack since 1996.
I've paid $35 for the packs through Scanner World.

Pappy@USA.net wrote:

>Yep, "IF" they didn't have anything to bitch about they wouldn't have
>anything to say.
>
>Point: When you buy those over priced scanners always expect to pay big
>for accessories. If they don't get you now, they WILL get you later.
>
>
>
>B wrote:
>
>>>I have a 2500 and it's worthless because I refuse to pay that kind of
>>>money for a shit-ass terrible battery packs.
>>>
>> Don't you have to love the fine, friendly folks in newsgroups??
>>
>

--

_____
David in Mass.
BC-3000xlt, Pro-2030, BCT-7 mobile
Sony ICFW-SW7600G

130. Re: aor 5000 and icom 8500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 16:42:11 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3c82524e$0$15925$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 51346
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I'm not familiar with the differences (if any) between
the AOR 5000+3 and AOR AR-5000.
I've tested both an AOR AR5000 and ICOM R8500 and published
full length reviews and comparisons in Monitoring Times magazine.
They are both great radios, but I chose to purchase R8500 after
testing both. Here are the passages I wrote in my FAQ "Buying a Used
Scanner:"

AR5000: 1996 vintage. approx. 30 kHz - 2600 MHz coverage
super receiver. Good sensitivity throughout. AM, SSB, CW,
FM detectors. Frequency readout to 1 Hz. More features
than any other radio. DTMF reader and RS-232 interface
included. Multiple settings for IF and AF selectivity,
attenuator, AGC, deemphasis, etc. DB9 RS232C connector.
Negatives include low contrast LCD display and difficult
user interface. NFM squelch closes slowly, causing noise
burst at end of each NFM transmission. Electromechanical
relays click at various frequency boundaries, including 400
MHz, producing a clickety-clack noise -- annoying while
scanning banks of mixed band frequencies. Great radio,
otherwise. See "AOR's AR5000 All-in-One Receiver," by Bob
Parnass, in Monitoring Times, December 1996.

R8500: 1996 vintage. 100 kHz - 2000 MHz coverage. 20 banks
of 40 channels although bank sizes can be changed. 100 Auto
store and 100 Skip memories but that can be increased by
reallocating channels from the conventional scan banks.
Fixed and programmable step sizes. Relays clickey-clack
while scanning across band boundaries. Triple conversion,
First IF is 48.8, 778.7, or 266.7 MHz, depending on band.
Second and third IFs are 10.7 and 0.455 MHz. Weak to
moderate cellular images starting near 1106 MHz. Rugged
construction. External power supply. DB25 RS232C
connector. Audio peak filter. Ok scanning and great
searching performance. See "ICOM R8500 Receiver," by Bob
Parnass, in Monitoring Times, January 1997.

Reprints of articles from Monitoring Times are available
from: http://www.monitoringtimes.com/reprints.html

I enjoyed owning and using a NRD535D and NRD545 for a few years
and much prefer the NRDs over the AR-5000 for shortwave utility
listening. The NRDs' variable bandwidth and IF notch filter
are two important features lacking in both the AR-5000 and R-8500.

That said, I found the R8500 better suited for SWL than
the AR5000 due to its IF shift and AF peak capabilities.
The AR5000 I tested had more uniform sensitivity in the
1000 - 2000 MHz range than the R8500.

The AR5000 has more features than the R8500 for UHF/VHF
monitoring. The AR5000's LCD contrast is poor, making it more difficult to read.
I found maddening its complex multi-keystroke sequences, required
to perform simple operations. Its 100 channel memory banks
are too large for organizing frequencies.

The R8500 is built massively on a cast metal chassis.
The AR5000 is smaller and much lighter in weight.
I found what looked like a few extra components "tack soldered"
on the bottom of at least one printed circuit board in
the AR5000 I tested. Overall, the AR5000 is more flexible (except in memory bank
organization), but the R8500 is better built and much easier to use. Good luck.

On Sun, 03 Mar 2002 08:44:06 -0600, Jonnymachon wrote:

> Hi, I am looking at both of these radios which is the best one also wich
> is the best on HF.
>
> Cheers,
>
> Jonny

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

131. September 11 communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 16:52:00 UTC
From: rifleslug@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020303115200.01342.00001078@mb-de.aol.com>
Source record: 51247

I started to look back on that fateful day in New York City. I live and work on Manhattan island and pratice emergency prepardedness. I remember my boss telling me that a plane hit the first tower. My first thought it was a small single engine plane. Little did I know what would have been the out come in the next hour.

This is more of a reflection on communications and I know there are a few New Yorkers who will understand my points I will make. At the office I have a portable radio that not only picks up AM/FM bands but also the TV and weather band. We at the office were glued to listening to CBS local station channel 2. In my opinion, I found listening to the TV band in general was better than local FM or AM broadcast. I guess that I perfer to get my news from the TV source and never give the other a better chance. You can visit web site:

http://www.npr.org/news/specials/americatransformed/audio/010911.audio.html

for radio broadcast of that day. Excellent and this is what the internet can do. Also when I got back home I turn on my TV and never known that standard broadcast for TV was off the air...unless you have cable TV. Like I mention I was glued to the TV and TV was the choice for information. I encourage to all that you should purchase back up rabbit ears antenna as a possible that your cable may go off line as well. Even better yet a pocket LCD TV.

On my scanner I program police, fire, oem, ems, ham bands in general. This is what I notice. I was shock on such little or no traffic on the fire and ems citywide channels. There was more traffic on EMS than Fire. Police was busy on Citywide 1 & 2. It appears that police were doing rescue from people making 911 phone calls on there cell phones and responding. They were trap in the various offices in the areas and could not escape. Folkes having a cell phone is as important to make 911 calls as 121.5 for avation and Marines channel 16 for boaters. If you are a police, fire or emergency personal, a cell phone may save your life when your portable radio goes out or in a dead spot.

I started much to listen to OEM and RACES frequencies that night. I found it to be my first choice these days. I like to point out on how a misinterpertation on traffic can be embrassing or possible getting yourself in trouble. I pick up a message in which they were going to shut down the power grid from east to west. I live not too far from the Trade center and I thought I was going to loose power and started to fill up my 5 gallon water jugs. I keep it to myself and of course the power was never turn off. It was only in that grid by the trade center. But we did loose local phone service for almost a month. That following morning I help this lady who walk a mile to find a working phone. She ask me to use my cell phone and I smile and let her use it and others as well.

I was shock that the EBS system was not activited and even NOAA weather band. NOAA is not only for weather emergency but also non related as well. I read

some of the message that can be broadcast and some of it is very scary.

I walk home and know how important communications is. People had there radios turn up in their cars people listening over. At local radio shack stores and any thing with TV people just stop and watch and listen. This person put his TV on a crate with the cable out his window and people sat on the sidwalk just to watch. Of course we knew what was happening but just to have more information is so important. I saw this women with a walkman radio with headphone, she was walking fast and wonder what she heard. As I look back I will not change anything except that I will purchase a pocket size radio with headphones that not only picks up AM/FM, but also TV and weather band. Thank you.

132. Re: Donald Duck Encryption <-- Files Posted...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 16:58:55 UTC
From: "Irene@EuroEuro" <irene@euroeuro.net>
Message-ID: <a5tkob$r7j$2@newsreader.mailgate.org>
Source record: 51248

Hi PH,
i am sorry but i don't have access to alt.binaries
Could you please tell me how i can gain read access to such thoose groups or could you email me thoose files (i already jhave the 260 kb program, just missing the 2 others)
Thanks a lot!
Irene

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET> ha scritto nel messaggio news:u7pua060pi9f45@corp.supernews.com...
> The files have been posted to alt.binaries.radio-scanner
>
> PH
>
>

133. Oceana NAS Live Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 17:37:05 UTC
From: copper@bis.on.ca
Message-ID: <3c825ecf.1090580@news.brant.net>
Source record: 51249

I read a post by heather and greg about their web sight having live audio for Oceana NAS. I tried their URL but it dosent work. If some has had success let me know or Greg and Heather please re-post

134. Re: Icom R8500 & XP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 18:07:32 UTC
From: Martin Gebhart <mgebhart@aon.at>
Message-ID: <3c826667$0$18842$6e365a64@newsreader02.highway.telekom.at>
Source record: 51250
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

The RS-8500 Original Software works good on Windows XP Pro
Software, with RS232 Cable, and have No Problems.

Vy73 from OE2.......Martin

"Stuart Meredith" <Info@Smartmarks.co.uk> schrieb im Newsbeitrag
news:a5qkhc$s2b$2@knossos.btinternet.com...
> Hi,
>
> Will the R8500 not work with Windows XP.
>
> STUART
>
>

135. Re: Pro-93 two way interface cable.....awesome *ERIC*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 18:58:12 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <wnug8.81$so3.42488@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 51251

Eric,

I think what Ken is trying to say is that is it IMPOSSIBLE to download Win93 from that web site. If you can do it, if you can seriously download Win93 from pro93.com, I will come to your house and be your slave for 1 year. I'm not kidding. You look on your program and tell us where on the program it says Win93. Take a screenshot of it and post it here. I'll fly out tomorrow.

And your right, education can not buy common sense. So you should quit while your ahead.

Rob in Salt Lake

"Ken Plotkin" <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com> wrote in message news:q1g38uc60ghbaj2dc4eh11ocvl7u2re4i9@4ax.com...
> On 03 Mar 2002 05:56:39 GMT, emoney250@aol.com (Eric G.) wrote:
>
> >I guess I should be more specific for those of you too lazy to open up the
> >Pro93.com web page. Type http://wwwpro93.com into your computer. Wella, a web
> [snip]
>
> I'm not too lazy to open that page. I know what's there and what's
> not there. And what should not be there.
>
> Maybe the problem is people who are too stupid to correctly describe
> what they find.
>
> Ken Plotkin
>

136. Re: Search behavior is odd (how do scanners determine starting freq, with a given step?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 19:24:08 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.boogers>
Message-ID: <sLug8.201073$A44.12297263@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 51252

you can set your steps to whatever you like.in a band plan. Most radios are sopposed to stick to it. if you wanted to scan that area at 5k steps. hehe. Go happy. the radio area you said. hmm. 200k. Would work better at 100K. Most newer car radios are at 100k now.

Dev

<james> wrote in message news:hc448ugemk5hhrtfss7qtf4gcsbdukhlff@4ax.com...
> My question may or may not be specific to the AR8000 (it may be common
> all all scanners), but I needed to find out from other people how this
> works for them....
>
> I saw a 'band plan' chart that showed a step of 200 was recommended
> for searching in the FM band. Since my AR8000 was using a step of 100,
> I figured it would make the search faster and more efficient to change
> to 200. I was showing a friend how easy it is to set up a search using
> the FM band when I caught onto something unexpected.
>
> I set up a search from 88.1 to 107.9, WFM, step 200, but the scanner
> was locking onto even-numbered frequencies, such as stopping on 97.400
> instead of 97.300 where the station signal really is. I expected it to
> begin at 88.1 and hop in increments of 200 searching through (88100,
> 88300, 88500, etc). I thought I'd "hit" all the US FM stations in the
> area. Instead, it was only locking onto even frequencies, 100 off of
> the real station frquency.
>
> Did I do something wrong, or miss something? If I set the step to 100
> the AR8000 "does the right thing," so I know it can work fine, but I'd
> like to understand what's up all the same.
>
> I suspect the scanner does not use the starting frequency as the basis
> for the step, so instead of hitting on 88100, 88300, 88500, etc., it
> went 88200, 88400, 88600, and so on until it was close enough to a
> radio station to stop). This explanation, while logical, sounds like a
> goofy way for it to work. However, whether I start the range on 88100
> or 88000, it seems to work this way.
>
> I'd like to find out if anyone knows for sure.
>
> Perhaps there are other settings affecting this?
>
> Thanks for listening!
>

> James
>
> P.S. In looking at this closer, when using a step of 100, it still
> sometimes locks onto the even-numbered frequency just above the true
> station frequency. What would cause that? Is the scanner in need of an
> adjustment via software, or service from AOR, perhaps?

137. Re: Icom R8500 & XP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 19:44:44 UTC
From: Bruce Oltman <baoltman@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <baoltman-4F6CD0.13444303032002@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 51253
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

In article <a5qkhc$s2b$2@knossos.btinternet.com>,
"Stuart Meredith" <Info@Smartmarks.co.uk> wrote:

> Hi,
>
> Will the R8500 not work with Windows XP.
>
> STUART
>
>

The Icom software works for me with WinXP home version.

--
----+----1----+----2----+----3----+----4----+----5----+----6----+----7--
Bruce A. Oltman | 42.064 N. Latitude
baoltman@attbi.com | 88.038 W. Longitude

138. Re: Help w/ Glass Mount Scanner Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 21:43:26 UTC
From: PaulandJacki <jacki2@gwis.com>
Message-ID: <3C8298FE.3035@gwis.com>
Source record: 51254

Absolutely!
A mag mount, lip mount or better yet a permanent (hole drilled) mount
will ALWAYS blow away the performance of a glass mount.
Paul

N9mxt1 wrote:
>
> All I know is that I noticed a huge loss in db on the glass mount antenna. I
> just recently ripped the darn thing off the window and bought another NMO
> trunk-lip mount. Works a so much better.

139. Re: how many antennas on your car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 21:44:41 UTC
From: PaulandJacki <jacki2@gwis.com>
Message-ID: <3C829948.5260@gwis.com>
Source record: 51255
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I have three. And my wife hates everyone of them!

140. Re: Pro-93 two way interface cable.....awesome *ERIC*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 22:06:05 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <t1758u4nsjpl0djtj59drjggttub3fhfh9@4ax.com>
Source record: 51256

On Sun, 3 Mar 2002 11:58:12 -0700, "Rob Taylor"
<fireboy001@hotmail.com> wrote:

>I think what Ken is trying to say is that is it IMPOSSIBLE to download Win93
>from that web site. If you can do it, if you can seriously download Win93
[snip]

Lest we confuse Eric (or anyone else reading this thread) here's the
background.

pro93.com is the same person as pro92.com. He sells one-way cables he
makes himself, and two-way cables made by Purple computing.

He is also in the habit of selling (or packaging with the cables)
copyrighted software that he does *not* have permission to distribute.
AFAIK, his PRO-92 software may still be a camouflaged version of
92ware, which he is not authorized to sell/distribute.

He did respond positively when Don told him to remove Win93 from his
site.

(Rob - be careful. If Mr. pro93.com forgets his promise, and the
timing is right, you may have just committed yourself for a year.)

Eric, I had no idea of whether you're simply thrilled to have found
something that works for you (and if it works, it beats the socks off
punching those little buttons) or if you were just another one of his
shill posts. Either way, it was worth pointing out that Win93 is not
available from (and has no connection with) pro93.com.

BTW, if you'd like to see something amusing, see if you can find
pro93.com's personal site. (His initials are mjf, but I won't
compromise his privacy beyond that.) Look at his "Things I like to
bitch about" page.

Ken Plotkin

141. Re: Bose Wave Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 22:29:59 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Htxg8.7588$zU2.1691380@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 51257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Radio is still for sale as the other guy has back out after he made me two
different offers.Oh well someone else will get a good deal on it.
"N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:zM6g8.6319$zU2.1269920@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> I have a black Bose wave radio that I am looking to sell.It comes with
> credit card remote,book and box.This is just the am and fm model.No cd or
> cassett.It works and looks great.Beautiful sound.I don't use it so I am
> getting it out for sale.I will take $200.oo for it and something for
> shipping.Email me if interested.
>
>

142. FS: Scanners and Shortwave Receivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 23:51:07 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@njmc.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.16ec80e925b933da989707@news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 51258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi all,

Got some bad news this week about the condition of the engines in two of my three vehicles, so I need to move some equipment that I'm not using right now. All resonable offers will be considered, however I will most likely only contact those whose offers I accept. To be fair, if multiple offers are received at the same price and those offers are the highest ones, I'll accept the first I accept. If you have any questions at all, please feel free to email me.

PRO-2006 Scanner, very good condition, bright display, just completed replacing the keypad and half of the case (it was missing a foot). Now it works like new. Full coverage, diode speed mod done. Original antenna, box, and manual included. Asking $275.

PRO-51 handheld scanner, yes you can hear that with this one too. Very good condition, no manual or box, low usage - mostly sat on my desk - very little travel. Original rubber duck and RS telescoping whip included. Asking $100.

Grundig YB-400PE, maybe a year old. Original box, manual, and all original accessories that came with it. Excellent condition, less than 30 hours use I estimate. Paid $185, asking $100.

Radio Shack DX-392, clone of Sangean 818-CS. Nice receiver with cassette recorder/player. No manual or box, just the rig. Very good condition, very little use - probably less than 20 hours. I kept this one at my folks place and only used it when I was there every couple of months or so. Asking $90.

Kenwood R-5000, very good condition with extra filters added, high visability numbers added to keypad, plus other mods. Email for entire list of info. I have the original manual for this rig. Some keybounce, but once you get the feel for the rig it is easy to work around. A real nice rig that I never thought I'd part with. I paid close to $1000 for this one a few years ago, asking $600.

That's it folks. Thanks for taking a look!

--
John LeMay
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC

Sent with MicroPlanet Gravity 2.5

143. newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-03 23:52:29 UTC
From: &#65533; <bwliles@dellepro.com>
Message-ID: <3c82c22c_3@news1.prserv.net>
Source record: 51260

I'm looking for a reaseable $$$ < scanner for general police band. Would be nice for mods' though..

Kevin
kevin_liles@hotmail.com

144. Re: Pro-93 two way interface cable.....awesome *ERIC*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-04 00:23:37 UTC
From: Runnyriver <noton6@microbrain.com>
Message-ID: <a5ue67$a90uo$1@ID-121301.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 51259

could it possibly be

http://www.futuresouth.com/~mjf/bitch.htm

???

145. $179!!! Optoelectronics 3000A+ Handheld Frequency Counters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-04 00:32:30 UTC
From: David Tharrett <davidthNS@NOterraworld.SPAMnet>
Message-ID: <ygzg8.3067$1X.1029702812@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51261
Cross-posted to: sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

We have reduced the price to $179 !!! Get one while they last, we only have a few left.

We have a supply of Optoelectronics 3000A Plus Counters. A very special purchase allows us to offer Optoelectronics 3000APlus top of the line portable frequency counters that are absolutely brand new, complete with accessories, still in the original factory boxes!. See more details at the ebay auction link below. Go to this link for details on purchasing one - http://www.datadeliverydevices.com/opto.htm or you can email me (remove all CAPS from the email address)

The Optoelectronics website has all specs, details
and the pdf manual at http://www.optoelectronics.com/3000a.htm

146. Re: Pro-93 two way interface cable.....awesome *ERIC*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-04 00:52:26 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <55h58u8ne30fu37lndt3hhc2kd3vojqom2@4ax.com>
Source record: 51262

On Sun, 3 Mar 2002 19:23:37 -0500, "Runnyriver"
<noton6@microbrain.com> wrote:

>
>could it possibly be
[snip]

Anything is possible. Am I on the list? :-)

147. Re: Optoelectronic Scout 40 make me an Offer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-04 02:13:23 UTC
From: roughplanet <roughplanet@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c82d841$0$18470$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 51263
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hi Richard. I'm in Australia & have just swapped an 8200 Mk II for an AR-3000A. Will your cable work with this receiver? If so, let me know your asking price and if acceptable, I'll send you a bank draft in US dollars the next day for the price of the Scout plus postage.
You can keep your wall warts though, as I have a box full of 240 VAC adapters already LOL.
Thanks,

Ruff
roughplanet@optushome.com.au

"Richard A. Tyszka" <rtyszka@snet.net> wrote in message news:R0Wc8.3084$C66.560719944@newssvr10.news.prodigy.com...
>Basically new in box Scout 40 with the reaction tune cable for the >AOR 8200 have DB32 antenna, 2 AC adapters, box, cable and >manual. Has less that 4 hours total use.

148. Re: WTB: Pro-2053

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-04 02:45:12 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020303214512.17969.00001791@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 51264

>Good luck.. The lowest I've seen one go for was $140.

If I was going to spend $140, I'd just go up $40 more and get a brand new one,
with a warentee.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

149. Re: aor 5000 and icom 8500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-04 04:06:49 UTC
From: roughplanet <roughplanet@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c82f2d7$0$18468$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 51265
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Well said Bob. I found myself nodding in agreement with every point you made. I kept the R-8500 also, mainly for the reasons you mentioned, even though in my opinion, the AR-5000 was a very slightly better performer on SHF, but the difference was really marginal. But oh, those menus in the AR-5000. They were nearly as bad as those in the Yaesu VR-5000 (but not quite hihi). Good of you to take the time to answer questions from ordinary hobbyists like us. Your comments (and your software; it's working great on my Linux box) are always welcome here I'm sure.

Ruff

"Bob_Parnass_AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net> wrote in message news:3c82524e$0$15925$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com...
>I'm not familiar with the differences (if any) between the AOR >5000+3 and AOR AR-5000.
>I've tested both an AOR AR5000 and ICOM R8500 and >published full length reviews and comparisons in Monitoring Times >magazine.
>They are both great radios, but I chose to purchase R8500 after
>testing both. Here are the passages I wrote in my FAQ "Buying a >Used Scanner:" <snip>

>>On Sun, 03 Mar 2002 08:44:06 -0600, Jonnymachon wrote:
>>Hi, I am looking at both of these radios which is the best one also
>>which is the best on HF.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> Jonny

>===
>Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net >http://members.core.com/~parnass
> Linux user

150. Re: Bose Wave Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-04 04:41:18 UTC
From: B <bpk8227nospam@cox.net>
Message-ID: <OVCg8.25312$s03.923988@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 51271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Wasn't aware a Bose radio is a scanner.
"N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:Yqbg8.6435$zU2.1336752@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> Check it out here.
>
http://www.sharperimage.com/us/en/catalog/productview.jhtml;$sessionid$1J05J
>
TJM3ZOVDQFIA2SCHPQ?sku=JS174&siteid=lHgHaWIqj%2Fg-QtxslXgfOeR%2FnquDT6lKUg&L
> source=10716&_requestid=34993
>
>
> I am also a non smoker so it won't smell of tobacco.
> "N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:zM6g8.6319$zU2.1269920@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> > I have a black Bose wave radio that I am looking to sell.It comes with
> > credit card remote,book and box.This is just the am and fm model.No cd
or
> > cassett.It works and looks great.Beautiful sound.I don't use it so I am
> > getting it out for sale.I will take $200.oo for it and something for
> > shipping.Email me if interested.
> >
> >
>
>

151. FA: RS Pro-90 Trunk Tracking Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-04 05:21:17 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020304002117.17319.00000424@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 51266

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1337162020

This auction is for a Radio Shack Pro-90 handheld trunking scanner. It is a 9.5 out of 10 physically due to a few very minor scratches. It has been used only in my shack, a non-smoking environment. I am selling it to get money for a dual-trunking base scanner. It has never been opened and comes with the charger and orignal antenna.

This item is NOT sold "as-is". It is guarenteed to work when shipped and will arrive in that condition, unless damaged or abused by the shipping company. Insurance is REQUIRED to ensure that the buyer does not lose any money.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

152. F.S. Pro 2045 Mint condition With CTCSS TONE BOARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-04 05:45:11 UTC
From: wilfkelly@rogers.com
Message-ID: <1e9d473c.0203032145.4d7f4da5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51267

I have a Pro 2045 Base scanner in mint condition .Comes with
everything including original box,ac/dc adapter,manual,and the
optional CTCSS Tone board.This scanner has to many features to list so
if you go to thei url you can see what it can do.$155.00 shipped to
your door with tracking number etc.Email me if interested.Thanks
http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/33680.htm

153. F.S. BC 895XLT TRUNK TRACKER MINT CONDITION IN THE BOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-04 05:51:40 UTC
From: wilfkelly@rogers.com
Message-ID: <1e9d473c.0203032151.29e6997c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51268

I have BC 895XLT Trunk Tracker in mint condition .This scanner is like new in the box and comes with everything it did when was new.I will also include the Original Winscan version 1.0 and version 2.0 update.Plus the cable to hook up to the computer.I will sell complete package for $160.00 Email me if interested Thanks Here is the link to see what it can do http://www.uniden.com/product.cfm?product=BC895XLT

154. Re: Fort Bliss Trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-04 05:58:47 UTC
From: J <dataless@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <r2Eg8.3128$l51.1063062617@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51269
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

I can really feel the love in the group. Ya know the kinda of love that
make people wonder, "With friends like these, who needs enemies!"

Now, back to the subject related to radios....Does anyone know the
frequencies that Fort Bliss, TX uses for their trunking system? And for
those un-educated, Fort Bliss is the home of the Patriot Missle Defense
System.

(now I am getting ready to be flamed by the weak minded)

Thanks in advance
"martin butcher" <mbutcher@railfan37.fsnet.co.uk> wrote in message
news:a5p3nkvsp1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk...
> Who or what is fort bliss
> "J" <dataless@swbell.net> wrote in message
> news:htSf8.2406$QR6.764066985@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> > Anyone know what kind of trunking is done at Fort Bliss? Or maybe the
> > frequencies they are on?
> >
> > Thanks in advance,
> >
> > Joe
> > KD5QAC
> >
> >
>
>

155. Keypad problem - PRO-43.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-04 08:09:06 UTC
From: Matt Brummer <mbrummer@metc.net>
Message-ID: <3C832BA2.44FD6BD8@metc.net>
Source record: 51270

Scanner buttons were not working well & most had to be pressed hard to
get them to work. They were slowly improving, but in my infinite wisdom,
I dis-assembeled the PRO-43 & cleaned the contacts with a pencil eraser.

I thought this was an appropriate solution for the situation.

Now, none of the keys respond. The scanner comes on in scan mode
& works fine, but none of the keys work. The key-lock is off.
I opened it back up & checked the wiring connections. They look to
be in tact.

Any thoughts on what went wrong? Anything else I should try cleaning
the board or contacts with?

Thanks! --Matt

156. Re: Fort Bliss Trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-04 08:51:35 UTC
From: proma1 <proma1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <XyGg8.42901$Hg1.7179590@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 51272
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

the problem is when you cross post and come onto a group that is not in the US,whick surprisingly alt.radio.scanner.uk is then how do you expect people to know where it is.

we all have a duty on the net to explain more,i would not ask on a US group,does anyone have the freqs for Wattisham base???

you are unlikely to know what or where that is,but i still may ask the question on a US group if it was a US owned facility as you may be able to give some sort of clue,but i would say where it was not just UK but county as well.

the more detail the asker provides,the more help others can give.

even in the US,i bet most people do not know where Fort Bliss is,and still you have not told us.

why should i have to keep searching the net for the info,you asked the question,so tell us where it is,sometimes we travel around and pick things up and do not know exactly where the signals come from,as we do not know what is in the area,so they may have the freqs but not really know it.

regards

--

Paul Wey[PROMA1]

moderator of following scanning groups

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk

"J" <dataless@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:r2Eg8.3128$l51.1063062617@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> I can really feel the love in the group. Ya know the kinda of love that
> make people wonder, "With friends like these, who needs enemies!"
>
> Now, back to the subject related to radios....Does anyone know the
> frequencies that Fort Bliss, TX uses for their trunking system? And for
> those un-educated, Fort Bliss is the home of the Patriot Missle Defense
> System.
>

> (now I am getting ready to be flamed by the weak minded)
>
> Thanks in advance
> "martin butcher" <mbutcher@railfan37.fsnet.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:a5p3nkvsp1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > Who or what is fort bliss
> > "J" <dataless@swbell.net> wrote in message
> > news:htSf8.2406$QR6.764066985@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> > > Anyone know what kind of trunking is done at Fort Bliss? Or maybe the
> > > frequencies they are on?
> > >
> > > Thanks in advance,
> > >
> > > Joe
> > > KD5QAC
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

157. Longshot i know, need mainboard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-04 09:03:46 UTC
From: Jim <diane.ryan@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <CLGg8.42912$Hg1.7192671@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 51273

As i said bit of a longshot i know but have tried here in the uk now clutching at straw's. I dont know how much it will cost to get to the uk but can only try.

Anyone got a damaged aor1000/Fairmate, i need the mainboard out of it if i can buy it off of you, or maybe do a trade for something.
Thanks

158. Re: Surgery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-04 09:40:29 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <u86gc572n6c096@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51274

"Al, N2NKB" <n2nkb@n2nkb@postoffice.worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:bdDf8.5694$gK2.432225@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> At least Dad didn't say anything that he could
> be embarrassed about.
> Al
>
Yeah its not like it was a message to his girlfriend
Or his bookie.........

> "J Rob" <jrobmills@aol.comrx320> wrote in message
news:20020228092709.03106.00001090@mb-fe.aol.com...
> > >Opps, LOL I think someones pushed the wrong button
> >
> > Maybe we should send flowers.
> >
> > RM ~
>
>

159. September 11th ATC communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-04 09:59:23 UTC
From: two-six@choppers.fsnet.co.uk
Message-ID: <3c83455c.2406785@news.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 51275

Hi All,
I was wondering if anybody is aware of any downloadable recorded communications made by US citizens of conversations between any of the hijacked planes and Airtraffic Controllers on the day of September the 11th.

160. FS/FT BC895XLT W/Winscan 2.1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-04 12:22:12 UTC
From: John Burricelli <NOSPAM_jburricelli@rcn.com>
Message-ID: <a5voti$7io$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 51276

Also comes with the computer cable, original box and documentation and has the discriminator tap mod. Asking $160 or will trade for Either a Pro92B or Pro2067.

161. Re: Pro-93 two way interface cable.....awesome

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-04 13:31:01 UTC
From: Stanley Kaliski <tanker001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <GsGAvo.GvI@news.boeing.com>
Source record: 51278

To those out there watching, I would like to second Eric's evaluation of the cable. I'm using it with an older Compaq Armada 120 Laptop, and Win93. However, I downloaded Win93 from www.win93.com.

"Eric G." <emoney250@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020301193508.28984.00000225@mb-cd.aol.com...
> I just received the 2-way PC to scanner interface cable. I hooked it up to
> port 1 on my Presario 7360, downloaded/installed the Win93 from web page
> (www.pro93.com) and everything communicates awesome!! I recommend people to
> use this combination (2 way cable and program).
> Eric G.
> education CANNOT buy common sense

162. Re: Keypad problem - PRO-43.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-04 13:42:42 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020304084242.17353.00000392@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 51277

You could always try standard contact cleaner. I had one of these Pro-43's a while back and the same thing happened to me. I sent it off to Radio Shack and they wanted some rediculous amount of money to fix it, saying it needed a new board where the keypad is.. you're better off just buying a newer scanner, unless you got a buddy working for the RS repair place.

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-

73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

163. Computer control R-7000?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-04 14:23:49 UTC
From: JohnS <w6ibl@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <VrLg8.33452$ZC3.2743111@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 51279

I understand computer control of the R-7000 is possible, but I have no further information. Can anyone please direct me to a source....possibly instructions, schematics, etc?

Many thanks,

John

164. Re: VR-500 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-04 14:38:54 UTC
From: murrayr@eisa.net.au
Message-ID: <e1cdaab3.0203040638.1b173c15@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51280

John / Graeme,
Note that the VR-500 ADMS-3 kit (software and cable) is still
available at DSE:

Cat #D3761: VR-500
NSW: Chullora Showroom DSE, Glendale DSE
QLD: Buranda DSE.

Ask for an inter-store transfer to a location near you. I called
before picking up mine and they quoted 7-10 days for the transfer -
hence the drive in. You really do need it for organising more than 20
channels.

If you cannot get the VR-500 then the VX-1R/VX-5R (VR-500 cable
compat) kit is also available:

Cat #D3754: VX-1R/VX-5R
Store: NSW: North Parramatta PowerHouse, Penrith PowerHouse
VIC: Carnegie PowerHouse

Write to me privately if you purchase the VX-1R/VX-5R kit.

Cheers,
Murray.

165. Re: Please, help me!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-04 16:06:39 UTC
From: europeans <europeans@poczta.onet.pl>
Message-ID: <a6066a$32g$1@news.tpi.pl>
Source record: 51281
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Check out reviews on www.strongsignals.net and other sites. I have read that the AR is better than the VR, although the VR looks more sexy(!). I am planning to buy the classic MVT7100

Richard ex- g6gge
U�ytkownik Karl Leite <kml@digi.com.br> w wiadomo�ci do grup dyskusyjnych napisa�:3c821cd6.11030400@news.digi.com.br...
> Dear Fellows:
>
> I am planning to purchase a new scanner and I don�t know what
> them: YAESU VR-5000 or AOR-AR8600... I would like to know
> what is the best performance... etc!!!
> I thanks in advance for your valuable help.
> Vy 73 de PS7KM,
> ------------------------------
> Karl Leite
> Natal, RN, Brasil
> eMail: kml@digi.com.br
> kl@samnet.com.br
> LightHouse PostCard Collector

166. Re: Alinco DJ-X10T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-04 17:06:39 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <Srfl3vDfm6g8Ewo3@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 51282

In article <5WCe8.60970$cC7.435128478@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>, Bill Wyatt <bwyatt@sbcglobal.net> writes

>I have an Alinco DJ-X10 and it does a very good job for me however, I never
>see any comments on the groups about them. Also, I don't see any software
>for them. Is there a reason for this?

There are a couple of software packages available that support the DJ-X10:

Excellent Shareware DOS based package from Bruce Pope
http://people.we.mediaone.net/baptpdc/djx10.htm

Commercial Windows package from RT Systems
http://www.rtsars.com/

There could be others but I am not aware of them.
--
Cheers,
JC
Javiation - Scanners & receivers from all the leading manufacturers
01274 639503
http://www.javiation.co.uk

167. FS:Kenwood R-5000 receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-04 17:45:26 UTC
From: Bob Stamper <rstamp@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <WoOg8.14283$gK2.1017687@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51283

"Bob Stamper" <rstamp@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:...
> I have a Kenwood R-5000 communication's receiver in excellent
> condition.Frequency coverage from 100 kHz to 30 MHz.It operates in mode's
> USB,LSB,FM,AM,CW/FSK.It comes with the original manual and power
cord.Asking
> $375.00 + $10.00 shipping and insurance to any lower 48 location.
>
> Regards
> Bob Stamper
>
>
>
>

168. FS:NEC Laptop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-04 17:48:54 UTC
From: Bob Stamper <rstamp@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <asOg8.14300$gK2.1018107@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51284

"Bob Stamper" <rstamp@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:...
> I have a laptop computer for sale with the following:
>
> NEC Versa 4050C
> Pentiun 90
> 815 meg hard drive
> 16 meg ram
> 1.44 meg floppy (removeable for CD)
> 10.4 color TFT acrive matrix screen
> stereo sound with built in speakers
> 2 good batteries
> charger/power supply
> 2 PCMCIA slots
> touch pad mouse
> windows 95 & more
> NEC leather carry case
> CD player (removable).CD does not work.
> The laptop is in excellent working and looking condition.
> Asking $220.00 shipping and insurance included to any lower 48 location.
>
> Regards
> Bob Stamper
>
>
>
>

169. Re: Pro-93 two way interface cable.....awesome

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-04 17:53:32 UTC
From: Stanley Kaliski <tanker001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <GsGn18.G9K@news.boeing.com>
Source record: 51286

Oops, I got the program right, I hadn't had the second dose of caffeine
yet.

Cable from: http://www.pro93.com/

Software (Win93) from: http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/win93/

Both great.

"Keith" <nospamplease@newsguy.com> wrote in message
news:Xns91C755954D2FE321newsguy@209.155.56.82...
> news:GsGAvo.GvI@news.boeing.com Stanley Kaliski wrote:
>
> > www.win93.com
>
> I think you mean
> http://www.pro93.com/
>
>
>
> --
> Best Regards,
>
> Keith
> http://n6jpa.home.attbi.com

170. ATT:D.M. Keene,Ontario(scanner on the way)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-04 18:08:13 UTC
From: Skyhawk <sky444@mint.net>
Message-ID: <hKOg8.7975$zU2.1964414@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 51285

Hey David I have a PRO-2006 with a thermonuclear LCD display,it is LOADED has been modded to the hilt,has a built in pisser which is totally awesome,when you cut it on it pisses in your face......then when you try and shut it off the nice blow up feature kicks in,guaranteed to leave you disfigured and maimed for life....FREE SHIPPING to your door in Keene,Ontario

171. Icom R 10 F/S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-04 19:12:59 UTC
From: majorwiseandhammer <pcarpenter@charter.net>
Message-ID: <u87j6hoe53ks9f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51287

Mint, not previously used. Still in wrapper. Clone cable and Software included. $250 shipped & Insured lower 48. Questions reply to Phil.

172. Re: How's the AOR 5000+

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-04 21:27:54 UTC
From: Alberto <tosetti@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <WERg8.1927$5z3.50554@twister2.libero.it>
Source record: 51288
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

I own several good receivers and I like very much AR5000+ with 3 collins
filters.
AR5 + SR5 are very good control software.

73 de IZ1CCF
Alberto

"Stuart Meredith" <Info@Smartmarks.co.uk> ha scritto nel messaggio
news:a5qkhb$s2b$1@knossos.btinternet.com...
> Hi,
>
> Can anybody comment is the 5000+ a good radio and can you control
everything
> from PC.
>
> Thanks
> Stuart
>
>

173. Whats the best mobile antenna out there?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-04 21:33:55 UTC
From: soupman67@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020304163355.14837.00000782@mb-ff.aol.com>
Source record: 51289

Whats the best mobile antenna out there to pick up 25-1000 mhz.

174. Scanning weird range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-04 21:39:46 UTC
From: uid0-41441-bla@catastrophe.net
Message-ID: <71f23384.0203041339.465867ca@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51290

Hi -

I have an AR8200. I want to scan the following range.

470.9875
471.0375
471.0625
471.0875
471.1125

It's kinda weird though because if I setup a search group, it doesn't
appear to be able to scan on 25k increments (which I've found the above
to be based on).

I can do step-adjust +.5k, but it doesn't like being on the

.xx7x
.xx2x

instead of

.xx0x
.xx5x

Any help is appreciated-

-#0

p.s. this is for the Chicago Transit Authority if anyone is keeping track :)

175. Monitoring 900 mHz cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-04 22:42:29 UTC
From: faive@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <305ca694.0203041442.392bea6c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51291

In my country is not illegal to listen to any kind of cordless phone conversations. I want to know wich is the best scanner to listening to cordless phones conversations. I have a BC245XLT and it works quite good to my knowledge. Im getting an specialized 800-900mHz antenna to improve my monitoring. Is there a better scanner than the bc245xlt for listening to those conversations. This because on those bands the bc245xlt would only search and scan through 12.5 steps and I dont know if Id buy e.g. a scanner that has selectable steps I would get more conversations. Is there a RShack, ICOM, Alinco, Yaesu or other brand better suited for this matters (better reception and sensitivity, etc, etc.). Thanks a lot for your help!

176. Re: How's the AOR 5000+

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-04 22:45:16 UTC
From: eavesdropper <eavesdropper2001@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <a60tec$8k9$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 51292
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

the dogs nuts and I wish i had never sold mine !!

:-(

Ian.

"Alberto" <tosetti@bigfoot.com> wrote in message
news:WERg8.1927$5z3.50554@twister2.libero.it...
> I own several good receivers and I like very much AR5000+ with 3 collins
> filters.
> AR5 + SR5 are very good control software.
>
> 73 de IZ1CCF
> Alberto
>
>
> "Stuart Meredith" <Info@Smartmarks.co.uk> ha scritto nel messaggio
> news:a5qkhb$s2b$1@knossos.btinternet.com...
> > Hi,
> >
> > Can anybody comment is the 5000+ a good radio and can you control
> everything
> > from PC.
> >
> > Thanks
> > Stuart
> >
> >
>
>

177. whats the best mobile antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-05 00:26:07 UTC
From: soupman67@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020304192607.17565.00001703@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 51293

looking for the best antenna to pick up 25-1000 mhz to use in my truck

178. Re: Computer control R-7000?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-05 00:51:22 UTC
From: Brian Short <k7on@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.16ee241322b34dbb9896e9@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 51294

You need to build an RS-232 to TTL converter. Also, some software
will operate faster while scanning if the "remote" output intended
for controlling a tape recorder is connected to an RS-232 control
pin.

Try the RadioManager program by Ben Saladino.

In article <VrLg8.33452$ZC3.2743111@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
w6ibl@earthlink.net says...
> I understand computer control of the R-7000 is possible, but I have no
> further information. Can anyone please direct me to a source....possibly
> instructions, schematics, etc?

179. WTB: Scanner Faceplate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-05 02:00:13 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020304210013.00960.00001962@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 51295

Want to buy a used but in VERY good to EXCELLENT condition faceplate assembly for an older PRO-48 crystal scanner by RadShack.Will also consider one from a PRO-47 (will work but PREFER the 48 one.)
Will also consider buying entire NON-WORKING PRO-48 with good to A-1 cosmetics if you have one that's broken.
Please Email me directly with what you have and price in first Email. ALL replies answered.
Many Thanks for any help U can provide !

180. Re: Pro-93 two way interface cable.....awesome

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-05 02:23:19 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <6pa88ukj5uoa10fqj7l5tteh6veq00nsq1@4ax.com>
Source record: 51296

On Mon, 4 Mar 2002 17:53:32 GMT, "Stanley Kaliski"
<tanker001@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Cable from: http://www.pro93.com/
>

Cable made by Purple Computing, http://www.pfranc.com

Fun site to visit, if you want to learn about cables, patents,
submarines and hardware shareware.

Ken Plotkin

181. Re: Anyone with Information on a Pro 2030 Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-05 02:45:26 UTC
From: Patrick Dickey <pdickey43@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u88c5r9qo752a5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51147

I am reposting this message, due to the fact that it seems to be missing..
Any help will be greatly appreciated.
Thanks again,
patrick.

"Patrick Dickey" <pdickey43@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:...
> hey there everyone,
> I have an old Realistic Pro 2030 scanner, and am curious about how to
> modify it.. I'm not so much interested in getting the "cellular
Frequencies" since listening to them in the United States is illegal,
> (realize that's a shock), but I do want to open it up between 174 and
> 380MHZ.. Currently, it has all of the channels between 30 and 174 (minus
> the FM radio stations), and then 380 to 512, and the 800 and 900 MHZ.. I
> want to get the areas that I'm missing out on if possible..
> Any information will be greatly appreciated, and I do have a copy of
the
> technical manual, so I can find the areas that you will be addressing..
> Thanks again.
> pat.
>
>

182. Re: Please, help me!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-05 02:55:31 UTC
From: roughplanet <roughplanet@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c8433a2$0$18474$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 51148
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I just swapped my AR-3000A for an AR-8200 MkII and boy, what a brilliant handheld. Even with the relatively small (but very well built) telescopic antenna that came with it, it is picking up SW on both AM & SSB without any trouble at all. I have (or had) a whole range of handheld scanning receivers, including an Icom R-10, AR-1000, Yupiteru 7100, BC245XLT and an Alinco DJ-X2000 and this baby runs rings around all of them. What a truly superb performer. And with a swag of accessories, it can do almost anything (except trunking which I have a Uniden 780 for anyway). Do yourself a favour & check one of these handhelds out before you buy anything
else. It'll be the last handheld, or even desktop (the antenna turns through 90 degrees) I will ever need. A true gem.

Ruff
P.S. The VR-5000 is an awful receiver. Not only is it's performance rather ordinary, but as it has few analogue controls, it relies on a very clumsy menu system to alter almost any of it's parameters, and this can drive you mad after a while. It's even worse than the AR-5000, and that's pretty bad too.

>"europeans" <europeans@poczta.onet.pl> wrote in message
>news:a6066a$32g$1@news.tpi.pl...
>Check out reviews on www.strongsignals.net and other sites. I >have read that the AR is better than the VR, although the VR looks >more sexy(!). I am planning to buy the classic MVT7100
>
>Richard ex- g6gge

>>U�ytkownik Karl Leite <kml@digi.com.br> w wiadomo�ci do >>grup dyskusyjnych
>>napisa�:3c821cd6.11030400@news.digi.com.br...
>>Dear Fellows:
>>I am planning to purchase a new scanner and I don�t know what
>>them: YAESU VR-5000 or AOR-AR8600... I would like to
>>know what is the best performance... etc!!!
>>I thanks in advance for your valuable help.
>> Vy 73 de PS7KM,
>> ----------
>> Karl Leite
>> Natal, RN, Brasil
>> eMail: kml@digi.com.br
>> kl@samnet.com.br
>> LightHouse PostCard Collector

183. Re: Scanning weird range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-05 03:14:02 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C8437F6.7BAEBE07@optonline.net>
Source record: 51149

How about a step adjust of 0.0125 Mhz?

Rewt wrote:
> Hi -
>
> I have an AR8200. I want to scan the following range.
>
> 470.9875
> 471.0375
> 471.0625
> 471.0875
> 471.1125
>
> It's kinda weird though because if I setup a search group, it doesn't
> appear to be able to scan on 25k increments (which I've found the above
> to be based on).
>
> I can do step-adjust +.5k, but it doesn't like being on the
>
> .xx7x
> .xx2x
>
> instead of
>
> .xx0x
> .xx5x
>
> Any help is appreciated-
>
> -#0
>
> p.s. this is for the Chicago Transit Authority if anyone is keeping track :)

184. Re: Help w/ Glass Mount Scanner Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-05 03:23:47 UTC
From: Patrick Dickey <pdickey43@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u88ednt8vep89f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51150

I used one with a Realistic Pro 2030 scanner in GMC Sierra Pickup.. I was picking up everything within 20 miles of me, and was even picking up the "MED" frequencies in Omaha, NE (70 miles away).
pat.
"WatnNY" <watnny@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020301083606.20965.00001607@mb-cg.aol.com...
> Can anyone tell me if the glass mount antennas work with a sh*t ??? It'd be
> one less hole to drill in the NEW car for antennas.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Mike

185. Live Scanner Audio Software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-05 03:35:57 UTC
From: officerb@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020304223557.16987.00001788@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 51151

What software do I use?

I have a cable modem and I would like to set up a live scanner link. What software/hardware do I need to make it work?

186. NYC & West Coast Scanners Online

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-05 03:54:31 UTC
From: ScanMan <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com>
Message-ID: <XjXg8.2916$YtJ.2134@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 51152
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I was just wondering if there was anyone here in these groups that are in NYC and would be able to broadcast the fire ch. and quite possibly the NYPD ch's.

I was just wondering if anyone else found it annoying to have to have 5 windows open at once on thebravest.com . I think it would be nice to see a few of these companies perhaps merge or something. With NYPD.com

Sites included are as follows:

http://thebravest.com/bronx/bronx.htm
http://thebravest.com/queens/queens.htm
http://thebravest.com/brooklyn/brooklyn.htm
http://thebravest.com/manhattan/manhattan.htm
http://www.nypd.com/news.htm

http://www.firedispatch.com/
and maybe something like.... Any suggestions

Great sites, but I think it could do a bit better with maybe one broadcast.

I would think too, that a web site could be made in California that could do the whole state. That would sound like a wicked online scanner site, with all the activity that would happen out there.

Randy

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.330 / Virus Database: 184 - Release Date: 2/28/2002

187. Re: Live Scanner Audio Software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-05 04:04:26 UTC
From: ScanMan <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com>
Message-ID: <etXg8.2996$YtJ.2292@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 51153

Come join us in our chat room about stuff like that. Many discussions about this. http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

If ya go to http://www.shoutcast.com and download the software it's free.

but there are other ones also.

What's your location? for the scanner broadcast??

"OfficerB" <officerb@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020304223557.16987.00001788@mb-cp.aol.com...
> What software do I use?
>
> I have a cable modem and I would like to set up a live scanner link. What
> software/hardware do I need to make it work?

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.330 / Virus Database: 184 - Release Date: 2/28/2002

188. Re: VR-500 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-05 06:01:11 UTC
From: John <fltsatcom@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3c845ef9_1@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 51154

Many Thanks Murray !
"Murray" <murrayr@eisa.net.au> wrote in message
news:e1cdaab3.0203040638.1b173c15@posting.google.com...
> John / Graeme,
> Note that the VR-500 ADMS-3 kit (software and cable) is still
> available at DSE:
>
> Cat #D3761: VR-500
> NSW: Chullora Showroom DSE, Glendale DSE
> QLD: Buranda DSE.
>
> Ask for an inter-store transfer to a location near you. I called
> before picking up mine and they quoted 7-10 days for the transfer -
> hence the drive in. You really do need it for organising more than 20
> channels.
>
> If you cannot get the VR-500 then the VX-1R/VX-5R (VR-500 cable
> compat) kit is also available:
>
> Cat #D3754: VX-1R/VX-5R
> Store: NSW: North Parramatta PowerHouse, Penrith PowerHouse
> VIC: Carnegie PowerHouse
>
> Write to me privately if you purchase the VX-1R/VX-5R kit.
>
> Cheers,
> Murray.

189. Rogers Cable Freq's in Ottawa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-05 06:02:40 UTC
From: ScanMan <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com>
Message-ID: <4cZg8.12097$aFN.7390@news1.bloor.is>
Source record: 51155
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Any idea as to the frequencies these guys would be on in the Ottawa area.
'

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.330 / Virus Database: 184 - Release Date: 3/1/2002

190. MFJ Freq Counter Q

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-05 06:46:33 UTC
From: tucansam@whodis.org
Message-ID: <a61pk8hls1@reader2.panix.com>
Source record: 51156

Hi all,

I recently picked up an MFJ-886 frequency counter. I read some posts and thought I knew what to expect (not terribly great accuracy, but some at least). I've heard that these things require "practice" to master, and I'll admit I have all of about 10 minutes experience with the thing, but I have some questions...

This is the model that claims to cover 1Mhz to 3Ghz. I held it a few feet from my 2 watt handheld and keyed up a freq and it picked it right up, showing a very strong signal. However, holding it up to my cell phone when its off hook (800-900mhz) or up to my 802.11b card when I'm pinging away (2.4Ghz) I get all kinds of squirly results, none of which register any signal strenght at all, and none of which are remotely close to the actual frequency in use.

Is this thing only going to be able to pickup signals coming in at high power, like my 2 watt handheld, or should the sub-500mw signals sent out by my phone and laptop register as well?

Thanks!

191. Re: Live Scanner Audio Software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-05 13:34:13 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <pP3h8.6408$k5.2573808@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51157

Best choice is Live365 if you want continuous, www.live365.com

- Rob

"OfficerB" <officerb@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020304223557.16987.00001788@mb-cp.aol.com...
> What software do I use?
>
> I have a cable modem and I would like to set up a live scanner link. What
> software/hardware do I need to make it work?

192. Choice ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-05 13:52:59 UTC
From: nestore <nestore@wanadoo.fr>
Message-ID: <a62iddpjn1@wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 51158

MVT900 MKII Yupiteru or AOR8200 MKII ?

Thanks for your responses.

193. Wanted Standard C5900

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-05 14:35:39 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <%I4h8.8588$zU2.2233289@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 51159
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I am looking for the Standard C5900 tri bander.I f you got one to part with,let me know.I am interested in trades if possible or what ever.Give me your best overall deal.Thanks Email me.

194. Re: Keypad problem - PRO-43.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-05 15:26:39 UTC
From: Bigdaddyking <bdk1@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.16eeacd3cf61a1e19896c2@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 51160

In article <20020304084242.17353.00000392@mb-cf.aol.com>,
vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux says...
> You could always try standard contact cleaner. I had one of these Pro-43's a
> while back and the same thing happened to me. I sent it off to Radio Shack and
> they wanted some rediculous amount of money to fix it, saying it needed a new
> board where the keypad is.. you're better off just buying a newer scanner,
> unless you got a buddy working for the RS repair place.
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> http://edfas.tripod.com
>

Or just buy the keypad, it's only 7 or 8 bucks, and takes about 15 or 20
minutes to change the first time. It's not very hard to do, I do a lot
of them, and usually charge 20 bucks plus the pad. Trying to clean the
pad almost never works..

BDK

195. fire frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-05 16:19:54 UTC
From: Emilio Goldstein <egoldstein@sofa.net>
Message-ID: <Ke6h8.4846$L7.7554@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 51161
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I guess I just wonder how legal is it to play my scanner at the fire scenes. I have been asked a lot of questions lately by firemen and police, and I wonder if they don't like my scanner.

I have been monitoring fire frequencies for almost 4 months now. During that time our county has had a dramatic rise in the number of arson incidents. In every case I happened to not be at work or home when they happened, so I drove over to the scene to see how I could help.

I keep an empty 5 gallon gas can in my dodge colt for emergency purposes. The police dogs seem to like it cause they always seem to find it - I think they want to pee on it.
I don't like dogs much. One bit me when I was a kid, and I peed the bed for a long time after that.

So when I try to get the dogs away from my colt, the public service officials start talking to me, and I don't know what they want.

I think I must be playing my scanner too loud.
This has happened 7 times now. Should I turn off my scanner?

196. FS: AOR 8200 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-05 16:23:09 UTC
From: Duc996 <duc996@mac.com>
Message-ID: <B8AA5B1F.8FBA%duc996@mac.com>
Source record: 51162

Great condition.
$300

197. Need to receive 30-200 frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-05 16:31:20 UTC
From: "Don E. Foster" <dfoster3@tds.net>
Message-ID: <sp6h8.14407$IN3.2599367@kent.svc.tds.net>
Source record: 51163

I am in need of a hand held scanner that gets 30-200 frequency. Any ideas?
Thanks.

198. Re: fire frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-05 16:49:32 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020305114932.21406.00001236@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 51165

TROLL >>>>>>>

199. FS:Radio Shack PRO-23

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-05 16:49:46 UTC
From: Bob Stamper <rstamp@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <KG6h8.16321$gK2.1181037@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51164
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

I have a scanner model PRO-23,a handheld scanner in good working
condition.The band coverage is:
108-136.975,137-174,406-512,806-823.9375,851-868.9375,896.1125-956MHz.It has
50 channel memory + pre-programed weather frequencies.It has direct entry
keypad for easy programing.Asking $65.00 shipped to any lower 48 location.

Regards
Bob Stamper

200. Re: Scanning weird range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-05 16:54:25 UTC
From: uid0-41441-bla@catastrophe.net
Message-ID: <71f23384.0203050854.5c802846@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51166

Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:<3C8437F6.7BAEBE07@optonline.net>...
>
> How about a step adjust of 0.0125 Mhz?
>

Doesn't look like the AR8200 does a step adjust of that size.

It seems to only do multiples of .5

-#0

201. Frequencys for NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-05 18:00:40 UTC
From: Tony DeOliveira <tony.deoliveira@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <cJ7h8.16450$gK2.1188426@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51167
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have tried for several times to find frequencies for Elizabeth/Newark, NJ
and I can't. Can anyone help?
Thanks in advance for any assistance

Tony

202. Re: Scanning weird range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-05 18:14:53 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C850B1B.5AAAE5C7@optonline.net>
Source record: 51168

???

12.5 kHz / 0.5 kHz = 25

i.e.,

25 x 0.5 = 12.5

Rewt wrote:
>
> Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:<3C8437F6.7BAEBE07@optonline.net>...
> >
> > How about a step adjust of 0.0125 Mhz?
> >
>
> Doesn't look like the AR8200 does a step adjust of that size.
>
> It seems to only do multiples of .5
>
> -#0

203. Re: Frequencys for NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-05 18:20:34 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C850C71.2B246C1D@optonline.net>
Source record: 51169
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Try

http://uweb.superlink.net/jcr1434/scanner/

or

http://home.att.net/~rpribush/

as a start.

Walt

Tony DeOliveira wrote:
>
> I have tried for several times to find frequencies for Elizabeth/Newark, NJ
> and I can't. Can anyone help?
> Thanks in advance for any assistance
>
> Tony

204. Re: FA: Scantenna base scanner antenna w/coax and BNC adapter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-05 19:19:13 UTC
From: randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com
Message-ID: <b03b87e2.0203051119.50351071@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51170

I have another one for sale. Get it for $25!

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1337464477

205. Re: Frequencys for NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-05 19:30:00 UTC
From: Tony DeOliveira <tony.deoliveira@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Y09h8.16566$106.1305399@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51171
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thank you.

"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3C850C71.2B246C1D@optonline.net...
> Try
>
> http://uweb.superlink.net/jcr1434/scanner/
>
> or
>
> http://home.att.net/~rpribush/
>
> as a start.
>
> Walt
>
> Tony DeOliveira wrote:
> >
> > I have tried for several times to find frequencies for Elizabeth/Newark,
NJ
> > and I can't. Can anyone help?
> > Thanks in advance for any assistance
> >
> > Tony

206. Re: fire frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-05 20:10:55 UTC
From: Dennis <DennisF@ABC.NET>
Message-ID: <a638k7$b7gns$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 51172
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

When shall we meet Emilio again
In thunder, lightning, or in rain?
Static brings great pain to thine ears on such frightening nights
But wait brave shortwaver. Why must thou troll,
For brave Emilio,-well he deserves that name.
What! can the devil speak true?
I can doubt the words that pour from his soul,
Are words of fool, words that sustain the misery his life has become.
Oh what comic relief thou bringeth to our souls!
Thine weary heart must not take offense,
When we discard the skull of a fool into
The abysmal depths of blood stained killfile!

"Emilio Goldstein" <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:Ke6h8.4846$L7.7554@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> I guess I just wonder how legal is it to play my scanner at the fire
scenes.
> I have been asked a lot of questions lately by firemen and police, and I
> wonder if they don't like my scanner.
>
> I have been monitoring fire frequencies for almost 4 months now. During
that
> time our county has had a dramatic rise in the number of arson incidents.
In
> every case I happened to not be at work or home when they happened, so I
> drove over to the scene to see how I could help.
>
> I keep an empty 5 gallon gas can in my dodge colt for emergency purposes.
> The police dogs seem to like it cause they always seem to find it - I
think
> they want to pee on it.
> I don't like dogs much. One bit me when I was a kid, and I peed the bed
for
> a long time after that.
>
> So when I try to get the dogs away from my colt, the public service
> officials start talking to me, and I don't know what they want.
>
> I think I must be playing my scanner too loud.
> This has happened 7 times now. Should I turn off my scanner?
>
>
>

207. FS:Radio Shack PRO-89 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-05 20:38:28 UTC
From: Bob Stamper <rstamp@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <81ah8.16656$106.1311658@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner

I have a Radio Shack PRO-89 hand held race scanner in excellent condition.It is a 200 channel VHF--UHF--AIR scanner and covers the following frequencies. 29-54,108-174,380-512,806-823.9875,849-868.9875,894-960 MHz.It has per-programed weather channels.Asking $80.00 shipped and insured to any lower 48 location.

Regards
Bob Stamper

208. FA: RADIO SHACK PRO 2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-05 20:39:41 UTC
From: K8CMA <k8cma@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <h2ah8.18923$e07.2974@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 51174

Brand new mobile scanner
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1337313502

209. Re: F.S. Pro 2045 Mint condition With CTCSS TONE BOARD SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-05 21:01:45 UTC
From: wilfkelly@rogers.com
Message-ID: <1e9d473c.0203051301.739d4b3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51175

wilfkelly@rogers.com (Wilf) wrote in message
news:<1e9d473c.0203032145.4d7f4da5@posting.google.com>...
> I have a Pro 2045 Base scanner in mint condition .Comes with
> everything including original box,ac/dc adapter,manual,and the
> optional CTCSS Tone board.This scanner has to many features to list so
> if you go to thei url you can see what it can do.$155.00 shipped to
> your door with tracking number etc.Email me if interested.Thanks
> http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/33680.htm

Scanner Has Been SOLD Thanks

210. PRO-2006 values

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 00:07:38 UTC
From: William Ferguson <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net>
Message-ID: <Xns91C8C2941111FfbiagentREMOVEMEchar@209.249.90.101>
Source record: 51176
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This may sound dumb, but judging from some of the ebay prices for modified pro-2006 would you say the modified ones go for more or less than a straight stock one? Also, is it economical to modify one if it is more? (would you get as much or for then the mods cost)?

211. Re: PRO-2006 values

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 00:19:03 UTC
From: Darrin <darrin99@nospam.iname.com>
Message-ID: <d1oa8uokir175a3guo1bmovrlc7k3bk5qh@4ax.com>
Source record: 51177
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 06 Mar 2002 00:07:38 -0000, William Ferguson
<fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net> wrote:

>This may sound dumb, but judging from some of the ebay prices for modified
>pro-2006 would you say the modified ones go for more or less than a
>straight stock one? Also, is it economical to modify one if it is more?
>(would you get as much or for then the mods cost)?

Prices seem to vary more from the condition of the radio, than whether
the diode has been clipped. FYI, I still can't believe what some
people pay for a 2006. I still have one, and I'm thinking of selling
it while people are still paying big$ for them.

212. Alaska School Bus Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 00:23:14 UTC
From: unixpunkx@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <14e196c1.0203051623.1b24396@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51178

Hey does anyone know any anchorage alaska school bus frequencies i would appreciate it or any other freq's for the aforementioned state i don't need the cops but any other little known freq's would be great.

213. Re: PRO-2006 values

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 01:15:31 UTC
From: Mommayu <inthebiglanding@moposo.com>
Message-ID: <LWdh8.1999$L2.63051@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 51179
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

people will always spend big $$ for them, because they do many things better than the new ones. Like for instance, you don't have to get the manual out to use the scanner! And lock out review is right there on the front, has its own button. And does mode, and step. Very user friendly. Plus the value just keeps going higher as they are running out of parts for them at RS, like you cannot buy the knobs or the feet anymore.

"Darrin" <darrin99@nospam.iname.com> wrote in message
news:d1oa8uokir175a3guo1bmovrlc7k3bk5qh@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 06 Mar 2002 00:07:38 -0000, William Ferguson
> <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net> wrote:
>
> >This may sound dumb, but judging from some of the ebay prices for
modified
> >pro-2006 would you say the modified ones go for more or less than a
> >straight stock one? Also, is it economical to modify one if it is more?
> >(would you get as much or for then the mods cost)?
>
> Prices seem to vary more from the condition of the radio, than whether
> the diode has been clipped. FYI, I still can't believe what some
> people pay for a 2006. I still have one, and I'm thinking of selling
> it while people are still paying big$ for them.

214. So just how remote can a speaker be? and other Qs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 01:23:58 UTC
From: Bill Seward <seward@northstate.net>
Message-ID: <t2ra8ucelo9ubiat8q8iq99l645d14k2r4@4ax.com>
Source record: 51181
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Here's the situation: The wifely unit does not want my ugly scanner antennas (or any other antennas, for that matter) on her house. However, I'm allowed to put up any and everything I want on my outbuilding, which house my workshop. A decent compromise, except for the fact that I'm usually in the house, not the workshop.

The workshop is 150' or more from the house. Too far for a long antenna cable, no matter how low loss. One of my primary scanner targets is our local 800 Mhz trunked system, and the loss at that frequency is going to be bad when you consider the total length of the cable. Not to mention the cost (I still feel faint from pricing it).

In another forum, someone mentioned the idea of putting the scanner as close as possible to the antenna, then using a remote speaker. Not a perfect solution, since I can't see the display, but it could work. My question is, how? Can I simply put the remote speaker where it needs to be, and run a really long speaker wire to the scanner? And what size wire? I've also considered some sort of FM wireless extension speaker if I can find one.

I'd really rather have a remote display and speaker. Is there any relatively simple way to echo the scanner display to a remote display, or am I getting in way to deep for the electronically challenged?

Any suggestions would be gratefully received.

Bill Seward
http://web.northstate.net/~seward

215. Re: fire frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 01:26:34 UTC
From: John P Vassel <john@vassel.net>
Message-ID: <3c857048$0$35570$2c3e98f8@news.voyager.net>
Source record: 51180
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Emilio Goldstein" <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in
news:Ke6h8.4846$L7.7554@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net:

> I think I must be playing my scanner too loud.
> This has happened 7 times now. Should I turn off my scanner?
>
>
>

Nice to see Emilio is still at it. Some things never change.

--
John Vassel
KC8SKE
RTFD Volunteer Firefighter

216. Re: Wireless Computer Networks and Radio Interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 01:45:54 UTC
From: Robert Richards <hvymtl@tampabat.rr.com>
Message-ID: <mxeh8.52597$TV4.8978989@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 51182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

That is wireless 802.11b is 2.4 gigahertz spread spectrum, cell phones, and microwave ovens are known to interfere wit it. It has a max 11mb/s throughput. 802.11a on the other hand is 5 gigahertz and has a max throughput of 54mb/s.. I hope this helps a little, and don't be cheap.. it's worth spending the couple of extra dollars, and getting the 802.11a
"Dennis" <DennisF@ABC.NET> wrote in message
news:a5e4um$6gcre$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> Wireless is 2.4 spread spectrum.
>
> I use a Lucent 128 bit wireless card with my PCR-1000 both connected to a
> notebook - Inspiron 8000. This then connects to an Airport base station
> modified with a gold card as well. That plugs into my hub. I have not
> noticed any interference but to say there is none is crazy, I just don't
> notice. I have 2 possibilities of interference, the PC and the cards. The
> PCR-1000 range is up to 1300 MHz.
>
> IMHO of using ALL wireless. Just do wireless where you have to, do roaming
> laptops and where you are unable to install ethernet cable. 10/100 cards
are
> much nicer to move large files around. Leave at least one port open to
plug
> in a laptop for file maintenance and/or backup. I have everthing centered
> around a switch instead of a hub but I dont get much traffic on my network
> ;)
>
> Time was terriblly long to xfer a large directory of MP3s wirelessly. I
> stopped the process and used the 10/100 port in the laptop finally. Hard
> wired is cheaper as well, but wireless has come way down. I bought
> moderately early in its introduction. I use the wireless cards strictly
for
> watching TV and playing on the laptops at the same time. Using 10/100
> hardwired is much better for LAN parties!
>
> Look ma! No wires.
>
>
> "GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
> news:3C7A8685.4040707@licensed4fun.com...
> > I'm thinking of hooking up all 5 of my home computers together via a
> > Wireless Network. Wondering if anyone who has done this has noticed
> > the network causing Interference to HF, VHF or UHF?
> >
> > George

> > http://www.MilAirComms.com <-HF VHF UHF Military Freqs, Photos and MORE
> >
> >
>
>
>

217. Another Programming Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 01:52:04 UTC
From: gwheeler@vmguys.com
Message-ID: <e8bcf171.0203051752.6535b52d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51183

I just bought a Pro-93 yesterday, and found I already had a cable for it! The programming cable from my Icom IC-T2A handheld transceiver works great! Bidirectional! All I had to do was use a stereo-to-mono adapter.

BTW, in this area (SW Florida) the Pro-93 was advertised in last weekend's Sunday paper for the $169 sale price. I hadn't noticed it before, so I don't know how long the sale has been on. According to the fine print it continues to the end of March.

The unit I bought is firmware 1.03. Works very nicely. Has replaced my Pro-94 as my main scanner.

Gerry

218. So just how remote can a speaker be? and other Qs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 02:16:09 UTC
From: Bill Seward <seward@northstate.net>
Message-ID: <ruua8uknhgmi3t2tam8iceq2f6cub2crm7@4ax.com>
Source record: 51184

Here's the situation: The wifely unit does not want my ugly scanner antennas (or any other antennas, for that matter) on her house. However, I'm allowed to put up any and everything I want on my outbuilding, which house my workshop. A decent compromise, except for the fact that I'm usually in the house, not the workshop.

The workshop is 150' or more from the house. Too far for a long antenna cable, no matter how low loss. One of my primary scanner targets is our local 800 Mhz trunked system, and the loss at that frequency is going to be bad when you consider the total length of the cable. Not to mention the cost (I still feel faint from pricing it).

In another forum, someone mentioned the idea of putting the scanner as close as possible to the antenna, then using a remote speaker. Not a perfect solution, since I can't see the display, but it could work. My question is, how? Can I simply put the remote speaker where it needs to be, and run a really long speaker wire to the scanner? And what size wire? I've also considered some sort of FM wireless extension speaker if I can find one.

I'd really rather have a remote display and speaker. Is there any relatively simple way to echo the scanner display to a remote display, or am I getting in way to deep for the electronically challenged?

Any suggestions would be gratefully received.

Bill Seward
http://web.northstate.net/~seward

219. Re: Wireless Computer Networks and Radio Interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 02:20:17 UTC
From: Dennis <DennisF@ABC.NET>
Message-ID: <a63u8p$bi3f4$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 51185
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

That is new to consumers. It was accepted with IEEE at I think the same time as 802.11b which is pretty darn weird.

Now if that WAS offered when I purchased my equipment, I would have gone that way due to the throughput. I end up having to plugin hard-wired if I transfer files. The 802.11b is WAY too slow. It is still a great way to do wireless at home. I don't have interference with my X10 products either. As long as I don't get that equipment between me and the base.

802.11b itself was plagued with security issues to begin with. I have not heard or even bothered with reading about 802.11a since its new to consumers as an end product.Again with 802.11b, it was FCC unregulated frequency and phones and X10 and other devices snapped up in the freq band quickly. Again its a matter of time before 802.11a is plagued with RF interference since that is supposed to be expected.

802.11b is still VERY dominant int he WLAN arena. 802.11a is new and with the draft or 802.11g to be expected at the end of the year, is 802.11a even going to have a strong hold in the market? 802.11g will expectedly be backwards compatible with 802.11a. But compatibilty amongst the different network componetry will be based upon who is making the cards,routers and other equipment. 802.11x is simply the technology used and compatibility among each manufacturer is the consumers worst nightmare.

"Robert Richards" <hvymtl@tampabat.rr.com> wrote in message
news:mxeh8.52597$TV4.8978989@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> That is wireless 802.11b is 2.4 gigahertz spread spectrum, cell phones,
and
> microwave ovens are known to interfere wit it. It has a max 11mb/s
> throughput. 802.11a on the other hand is 5 gigahertz and has a max
> throughput of 54mb/s.. I hope this helps a little, and don't be cheap..
it's
> worth spending the couple of extra dollars, and getting the 802.11a
> "Dennis" <DennisF@ABC.NET> wrote in message
> news:a5e4um$6gcre$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> > Wireless is 2.4 spread spectrum.
> >
> > I use a Lucent 128 bit wireless card with my PCR-1000 both connected to
a
> > notebook - Inspiron 8000. This then connects to an Airport base station
> > modified with a gold card as well. That plugs into my hub. I have not
> > noticed any interference but to say there is none is crazy, I just don't
> > notice. I have 2 possibilities of interference, the PC and the cards.
The

> > PCR-1000 range is up to 1300 MHz.
> >
> > IMHO of using ALL wireless. Just do wireless where you have to, do
roaming
> > laptops and where you are unable to install ethernet cable. 10/100 cards
> are
> > much nicer to move large files around. Leave at least one port open to
> plug
> > in a laptop for file maintenance and/or backup. I have everthing
centered
> > around a switch instead of a hub but I dont get much traffic on my
network
> > ;)
> >
> > Time was terriblly long to xfer a large directory of MP3s wirelessly. I
> > stopped the process and used the 10/100 port in the laptop finally. Hard
> > wired is cheaper as well, but wireless has come way down. I bought
> > moderately early in its introduction. I use the wireless cards strictly
> for
> > watching TV and playing on the laptops at the same time. Using 10/100
> > hardwired is much better for LAN parties!
> >
> > Look ma! No wires.
> >
> >
> > "GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
> > news:3C7A8685.4040707@licensed4fun.com...
> > > I'm thinking of hooking up all 5 of my home computers together via a
> > > Wireless Network. Wondering if anyone who has done this has noticed
> > > the network causing Interference to HF, VHF or UHF?
> > >
> > > George
> > > http://www.MilAirComms.com <-HF VHF UHF Military Freqs, Photos and
MORE
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

220. Re: So just how remote can a speaker be? and other Qs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 02:34:37 UTC
From: Len <Len.C@sympNOCRAPatico.ca>
Message-ID: <frva8ugimr6o2d716p09s5eec7it7p4dod@4ax.com>
Source record: 51186
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If you want just sound a baby monitor will do the job, for display and sound one of those 900mhz remote video security systems or even a wired one should be fine at 150 feet or so.
Funny its her house and not our house, maybe time to suck up and assert yourself for a little old discone.

On Tue, 05 Mar 2002 20:23:58 -0500, Bill Seward <seward@northstate.net> wrote:

>Here's the situation: The wifely unit does not want my ugly scanner
>antennas (or any other antennas, for that matter) on her house.
>However, I'm allowed to put up any and everything I want on my
>outbuilding, which house my workshop. A decent compromise, except for
>the fact that I'm usually in the house, not the workshop.
>
>The workshop is 150' or more from the house. Too far for a long
>antenna cable, no matter how low loss. One of my primary scanner
>targets is our local 800 Mhz trunked system, and the loss at that
>frequency is going to be bad when you consider the total length of the
>cable. Not to mention the cost (I still feel faint from pricing it).
>
>In another forum, someone mentioned the idea of putting the scanner as
>close as possible to the antenna, then using a remote speaker. Not a
>perfect solution, since I can't see the display, but it could work.
>My question is, how? Can I simply put the remote speaker where it
>needs to be, and run a really long speaker wire to the scanner? And
>what size wire? I've also considered some sort of FM wireless
>extension speaker if I can find one.
>
>I'd really rather have a remote display and speaker. Is there any
>relatively simple way to echo the scanner display to a remote display,
>or am I getting in way to deep for the electronically challenged?
>
>Any suggestions would be gratefully received.
>
>
>---------------------------------
>Bill Seward
>http://web.northstate.net/~seward

REMOVE the NOCRAP in my address to reply.

221. Re: fire frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 02:36:36 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3C8580B4.1635D09A@mitre.org>
Source record: 51187
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Why don't' you try a pair of WALKMAN head phones plugged into the scanner's
headphone jack so people won't hear the scanner! I do this in the library and
shopping malls also air shows. Keep the scanner inside your
clothing and folks may feel you ONLY HAVE A WALKMAN stereo. Sparkies a re a
staple of fire seines however
psychological profiles of arsonist relate they like to return the the fire they
just may have set!. This is why folks are questioning you. sign on with a local
newspaper and get a PRESS PASS, then write up the fire for them.
JUST stay several blocks away from the fire seine.
Jim
Emilio Goldstein wrote:

> I guess I just wonder how legal is it to play my scanner at the fire scenes.
> I have been asked a lot of questions lately by firemen and police, and I
> wonder if they don't like my scanner.
>
> I have been monitoring fire frequencies for almost 4 months now. During that
> time our county has had a dramatic rise in the number of arson incidents. In
> every case I happened to not be at work or home when they happened, so I
> drove over to the scene to see how I could help.
>
> I keep an empty 5 gallon gas can in my dodge colt for emergency purposes.
> The police dogs seem to like it cause they always seem to find it - I think
> they want to pee on it.
> I don't like dogs much. One bit me when I was a kid, and I peed the bed for
> a long time after that.
>
> So when I try to get the dogs away from my colt, the public service
> officials start talking to me, and I don't know what they want.
>
> I think I must be playing my scanner too loud.
> This has happened 7 times now. Should I turn off my scanner?

222. Re: So just how remote can a speaker be? and other Qs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 03:10:51 UTC
From: John P Vassel <john@vassel.net>
Message-ID: <3c8588b9$0$89924$2c3e98f8@news.voyager.net>
Source record: 51188
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Bill Seward <seward@northstate.net> wrote in
news:t2ra8ucelo9ubiat8q8iq99l645d14k2r4@4ax.com:

> I've also considered some sort of FM wireless
> extension speaker if I can find one.
>

Be careful here. Rebroadcasting the police reception 'could' pose a
problem if someone else discovered it.

--
John Vassel
KC8SKE
RTFD Volunteer Firefighter

223. Re: Wireless Computer Networks and Radio Interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 03:12:50 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@njmc.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.16ef534094899e66989710@news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 51189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <a63u8p$bi3f4$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>, DennisF@ABC.NET
says...
> That is new to consumers. It was accepted with IEEE at I think the same time
> as 802.11b which is pretty darn weird.
>

What is wierder is 802.11g, the 22 Mbit/s 2.4GHz system that I haven't
seen a single unit of yet.

802.11a manufacturer's are adding proprietary compression to these
systems to get 70 - 100 Mbit/s throughput. However, 802.11b was half
duplex. "Real" Fast Ethernet can be full duplex at 100 Mbit/s for a max
200 Mbit/s (100 each way). Same with gigE - 2G/s max.

--
John LeMay
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC

Sent with MicroPlanet Gravity 2.5

224. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 03:25:13 UTC
From: Kevin in San Diego <kevin_hedstrom@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <t_fh8.1422$1c3.65775@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 51190
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

You can never watch your kids too closely. Its not the kids actions I worry about its the other whackos in the world. Look at San Diegos Danielle V. Case, the parents didnt watch carefully or lock their door because they were too busy smoking pot and getting laid to think about their children.
Kevin

<Robert> wrote in message
news:1hta8u05bdh3d48h0d69bd00u2baun5igq@news-west.newscene.com...
> On Sat, 16 Feb 2002 23:31:32 -0500, nospam@nospam.com wrote:
>
> >John P Vassel wrote:
> >>
> >> Kevin <nomail@nospam.com> wrote in
> >> news:a4mlo5rip1@driftwood.ccs.carleton.ca:
> >>
> >> > Actually, Dick, that reminds me of something I never thought of that
> >> > would make any concerned parent rest easy: the parent should keep a
> >> > radio on too, monitoring the children's frequency.
> >>
> >> The main reason we send the kids out with these, is to keep track of
them.
> >> It also allows them the freedom to go to another friends house, and not
> >> have to come all the way back home to check in with us.
> >> We always monitor the radio, and the kids go out with fixed channel
> >> radios. (the older boy had the habit of 'accidently' turning the
channel
> >> and not hearing the call to come home.)
> >>
> >> --
> >> John Vassel
> >> KC8SKE
> >> RTFD Volunteer Firefighter
> >
> >Christ! Why not just put a leash on the kids if you have
> >to go through all that trouble?
> >
> >Remember when kids used to be kids, and life was easy?
>
> Remember when kids were young goats and not children?
> By the way, life was never easy.
>
> >Why do parents worry so damned much? You actually sit there
> >and monitor when they aren't at home? Don't you trust your kids?

> >
> > Ted
>

225. Re: So just how remote can a speaker be? and other Qs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 03:30:03 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <783b8ug7l6ehn4gbvp4b0vf9qikf6av9se@4ax.com>
Source record: 51191
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, Bill Seward <seward@northstate.net> spake thusly

>Here's the situation: The wifely unit does not want my ugly scanner
>antennas (or any other antennas, for that matter) on her house.
>However, I'm allowed to put up any and everything I want on my
>outbuilding, which house my workshop. A decent compromise, except for
>the fact that I'm usually in the house, not the workshop.

Easy answer...

Spend more time in the workshop.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

226. Re: So just how remote can a speaker be? and other Qs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 03:44:24 UTC
From: Skweezieweezie <noway@thistime.now>
Message-ID: <sggh8.8026$r5.1308709@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 51192
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

How about inside the attic or crawlspace??

--

skweezieweezie

` X o'
-----ooo-0-ooo-----
~

227. Re: So just how remote can a speaker be? and other Qs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 04:08:27 UTC
From: Bill Wyatt <bwyatt@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <%Cgh8.63073$rO2.1485211756@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51193
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I would suggest you carry a half full, leaking gas can with you at all times
and take up smoking. Be sure to keep your scanner tuned to the fire freq.
so you can hear the trucks coming to put your sorry, smokin butt out.

Oh, you might save a channel for your local funeral home freq. just in case
the fire trucks get sent to a wrong location. Then you can hear them haul
your smokin butt to the undertaker.

"Bill Seward" <seward@northstate.net> wrote in message
news:t2ra8ucelo9ubiat8q8iq99l645d14k2r4@4ax.com...
> Here's the situation: The wifely unit does not want my ugly scanner
> antennas (or any other antennas, for that matter) on her house.
> However, I'm allowed to put up any and everything I want on my
> outbuilding, which house my workshop. A decent compromise, except for
> the fact that I'm usually in the house, not the workshop.
>
> The workshop is 150' or more from the house. Too far for a long
> antenna cable, no matter how low loss. One of my primary scanner
> targets is our local 800 Mhz trunked system, and the loss at that
> frequency is going to be bad when you consider the total length of the
> cable. Not to mention the cost (I still feel faint from pricing it).
>
> In another forum, someone mentioned the idea of putting the scanner as
> close as possible to the antenna, then using a remote speaker. Not a
> perfect solution, since I can't see the display, but it could work.
> My question is, how? Can I simply put the remote speaker where it
> needs to be, and run a really long speaker wire to the scanner? And
> what size wire? I've also considered some sort of FM wireless
> extension speaker if I can find one.
>
> I'd really rather have a remote display and speaker. Is there any
> relatively simple way to echo the scanner display to a remote display,
> or am I getting in way to deep for the electronically challenged?
>
> Any suggestions would be gratefully received.
>
>
> ---------------------------------
> Bill Seward
> http://web.northstate.net/~seward

228. Realistic PRO-2006 Scanner BEAUTIFUL!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 04:09:33 UTC
From: criflorida@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020305230933.03475.00002138@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 51194

See it and BID at:
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1336321912

The CLASSIC Realistic PRO-2006 Programmable scanner
FEATURES INCLUDE:

Liquid Crystal Display-shows the channel and the frequency you have selected, as well as other information.

Two-Second Scan Delay-helps to prevent your losing replies on a channel while you are scanning.

Memory Back-up-keeps the channel frequencies stored in your scanner's memory if a power failure occurs.

Lock-Out Function-lets your scanner skip over a specified channel or group of channels.

Ten Channel Storage Banks-allow you to group your stored frequencies so that calls are easier to identify.

Priority Channel-helps to keep you from missing important calls on the selected channel.

Direct Frequency Search-allows you to scan through every available frequency to find interesting broadcasts

Monitor Banks-allow you to save up to ten additional channels located during a frequency search.

Sound Squelch-keeps the scanner from stopping on a channel that is only broadcasting a carrier, with no voice or other sound.

covers a wide frequency range: 25-520 MHz, 760-823.945 MHz, 851-868.945 MHz, 896-1300 MHz

As you can se by the pictures, this is an extremely clean unit.. There are also modifications all over the net to do other things with the scanner… That’s all up to you… You are bidding on he scanner alone with no antenna or manual The manual is available online at

http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/8246.htm .

Take a look at the picture and BID TODAY!! If you have any other questions about this unit feel free to connect me at CRIFlorida@aol.com.. Lucky winner pays shipping FOB Miami, Florida.. Happy Bidding!!

229. FS: YEASU FRG-9600 ALL MODE SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 04:13:22 UTC
From: criflorida@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020305231322.03475.00002139@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 51196

Guess what!! Ebay has pilled this scanner from sale, so here it is for you guys.. If interested, email me at CRIFlorida@aol.com and we can talk!!

PICTURES AVAILABLE!!

The FRG-9600 is an all-mode scanner that covers 60 through 905 MHZ continuously and comes complete with 100 keypad-programmable memory channels.

In addition to FM wide (for FM and Television broadcasts), FM narrow (for two-way police, military, business and amateur communications) and AM wide and narrow (for aeronautical and amateur communications), the FRG-9600 also provides SSB (single sideband) reception up to 460 MHZ, allowing reception of amateur and military SSB, as well as the ACSB mode. The SSB mode also provides for easy reception of CW (radiotelegraph), and a front panel tuning knob is provided to simplify the tuning of SSB, CW and narrow-band AM frequencies. Seven scanning/tuning rates between 100 Hz and 100 kHz assure quick and efficient tuning and scanning in all modes.

The scanning system allows either full or limited (keypad programmed) band scanning as well as memory channel scanning with auto-resume. In addition to carrier sensing scan stop, audio scan stop sensing is also selectable to avoid stopping on inactive "carrier-only" channels. Scanning steps are selectable, with the wide steps indicated on the front panel display. Signal strength is indicated by a dual color graphic S-meter on the front display. A 24-hour clock/timer is also included, along with a recorder output (for automatic power on/off switching and recording of transmissions at any time). Additional jacks provide CPU band selection outputs, multiplexed (FM wide) output, AF and RF mute and other control signals for maximum expansion potential with future options or for those who wish to provide their own add-on hardware for
special applications.

The Yaesu CAT system provides a direct control link to the CPU in the FRG-9600, thus allowing operators of personal computers to add virtually unlimited customized control functions in software (such as multiple, organized memory banks, automatic tuning and customized scanning systems) using most of any personal computers and a Yaesu FIF CAT interface unit.

A television video IF unit may also be installed as an option, allowing reception of television images (NTSC format) with a video monitor connected to the video jack on the rear panel.

SPECIFICATIONS

Frequency Range 60 - 905 MHZ (up to 460 MHZ for SSB)

Modes, 3dB Bandwidth FM Narrow (15 kHz BW)
FM Wide (180 kHz
BW)
AM Narrow (2.4 kHz
BW)
AM Wide (6 kHz
BW)
SSB (2.4 kHz BW)

Conversion Schemes Triple (FM-N, AM, SSB)
Double (FM-W)
Single (Optional
TV Video Unit)

Intermediate Frequencies 45.754, 10.7 MHZ and 455 kHz

Image Rejection 60 - 460 MHZ -50dB typical
460 - 905 MHZ
-40dB typical

Typical Sensitivity FM-N 0.5uV (for 12dB SINAD)
FM-W 1.0uV (for
12dB SINAD)
AM-N 1.0uV (for
10dB S+N/N)
AM-W 1.5uV (for
10dB S+N/N)
SSB 1.0uV (for
15dB S+N/N)

Tuning Steps FM-N: 5/10/12.5/25 kHz
FM-W: 100 kHz
AM-N: 100 Hz/1 kHz
AM-W: 5/10/12.5/25
kHz

Memory Channels 100 Channels

Audio Output 1W (into 8ê with less than 10%
THD)

Power Supply Voltage 12 - 15 VDC

Power Supply Current Operating: 550 mA (maximum)
Power Off: 100 mA
DC Supply Off: 3
uA max (B/U)

Case Size (WxHxD) 180 x 80 x 220 (mm)

Weight 2.2 kg (4.9 lbs) without
options

As you can see by the pictures, the unit is in very good to excellent condition
with a few scratches here and there and also the face trim on the top face of

the unit (which has the yeasu logo and the model number is also missing.. That, of course, does not affect the functionality of the unit.. Im supplying the unit with a 12v AC adapter so that you can plug it in right out of the box.. Ive done some basic reception tests and everything sounds good.. I don’t know, however, what if any options are installed in the unit.. The sale is for the scanner alone (with power supply) with no antenna or manual.

230. Re: fire frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 04:13:34 UTC
From: Bill Wyatt <bwyatt@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <OHgh8.63075$XX2.1485830191@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51195
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I would suggest you carry a half full, leaking gas can with you at all times
and take up smoking. Be sure to keep your scanner tuned to the fire freq.
so you can hear the trucks coming to put your sorry, smokin butt out.

Oh, you might save a channel for your local funeral home freq. just in case
the fire trucks get sent to a wrong location. Then you can hear them haul
your smokin butt to the undertaker.

"Emilio Goldstein" <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:Ke6h8.4846$L7.7554@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> I guess I just wonder how legal is it to play my scanner at the fire
scenes.
> I have been asked a lot of questions lately by firemen and police, and I
> wonder if they don't like my scanner.
>
> I have been monitoring fire frequencies for almost 4 months now. During
that
> time our county has had a dramatic rise in the number of arson incidents.
In
> every case I happened to not be at work or home when they happened, so I
> drove over to the scene to see how I could help.
>
> I keep an empty 5 gallon gas can in my dodge colt for emergency purposes.
> The police dogs seem to like it cause they always seem to find it - I
think
> they want to pee on it.
> I don't like dogs much. One bit me when I was a kid, and I peed the bed
for
> a long time after that.
>
> So when I try to get the dogs away from my colt, the public service
> officials start talking to me, and I don't know what they want.
>
> I think I must be playing my scanner too loud.
> This has happened 7 times now. Should I turn off my scanner?
>
>
>

231. Re: So just how remote can a speaker be? and other Qs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 04:16:37 UTC
From: Bill Wyatt <bwyatt@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <FKgh8.63076$Q33.1486346350@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51197
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Well.....I hope everyone gets at least one mistake.

Very sorry to Bill Seward and to the rest of the group, my last message, which ended up here, was met for the troll doing the fire freq thing.

Again, my apologies to Bill and to the group.

I bend over for my 20 swats......

Bill

"Bill Wyatt" <bwyatt@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message news:%Cgh8.63073$rO2.1485211756@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> I would suggest you carry a half full, leaking gas can with you at all times
> and take up smoking. Be sure to keep your scanner tuned to the fire freq.
> so you can hear the trucks coming to put your sorry, smokin butt out.
>
> Oh, you might save a channel for your local funeral home freq. just in case
> the fire trucks get sent to a wrong location. Then you can hear them haul
> your smokin butt to the undertaker.
>
>
> "Bill Seward" <seward@northstate.net> wrote in message
> news:t2ra8ucelo9ubiat8q8iq99l645d14k2r4@4ax.com...
> > Here's the situation: The wifely unit does not want my ugly scanner
> > antennas (or any other antennas, for that matter) on her house.
> > However, I'm allowed to put up any and everything I want on my
> > outbuilding, which house my workshop. A decent compromise, except for
> > the fact that I'm usually in the house, not the workshop.
> >
> > The workshop is 150' or more from the house. Too far for a long
> > antenna cable, no matter how low loss. One of my primary scanner
> > targets is our local 800 Mhz trunked system, and the loss at that
> > frequency is going to be bad when you consider the total length of the
> > cable. Not to mention the cost (I still feel faint from pricing it).
> >
> > In another forum, someone mentioned the idea of putting the scanner as
> > close as possible to the antenna, then using a remote speaker. Not a
> > perfect solution, since I can't see the display, but it could work.
> > My question is, how? Can I simply put the remote speaker where it
> > needs to be, and run a really long speaker wire to the scanner? And
> > what size wire? I've also considered some sort of FM wireless
> > extension speaker if I can find one.

> >
> > I'd really rather have a remote display and speaker. Is there any
> > relatively simple way to echo the scanner display to a remote display,
> > or am I getting in way to deep for the electronically challenged?
> >
> > Any suggestions would be gratefully received.
> >
> >
> > ---------------------------------
> > Bill Seward
> > http://web.northstate.net/~seward
>
>

232. Re: Digital Scanners and PDA's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 04:22:51 UTC
From: Fred Zalupski <zalupski-nospam@verizon-nospam.net>
Message-ID: <vQgh8.485$J3.199@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 51198

I like your idea. I've always imagined that digital scanners would be black boxes handling the RF with a USB connection to a PC that would do the digital demodulation in software and play audio through the sound card. The key here is to get enough processor power in the PDA to run the digital decoder. Not insurmountable, they have a cell phone attachment for the Handspring. But imagine the benefits of flexible software performing multiple types of digital demodulation, computer controlled scanning, data logging and sound recording all under unified control. A hobbyist's dream. Two practical problems: demod schemes are usually manufacturer-proprietary and the commercial market is also too small to support development. But I can still dream.

N. Hall <no-email@no-email.com> wrote in message
news:bxYe8.5300$r5.889213@news1.epix.net...
> From what I have read, the cost of digital scanners will be quite high by
> the time you buy the scanner and then the add-on card. I was wondering if
> anyone has seen or heard any consideration being given to produce a
"Scanner
> Card" be it Compact Flash, PCMCIA, etc. for use in a Windows CE / Pocket
PC
> based PDA such as a Compaq IPAQ or HP Jornada.
>
> These PDA's can take expansion cards, and already have the necessary sound
> capabilities. I would think that someone could produce a card at a
> relatively low cost, and have all of the necessary Digital Support,
> Trunk-Tracking, Frequency Storage, etc. could be handled inexpensively by
> software running on the PDA. Not to mention the ease at which users could
> download updates for whatever the future of digital and trunked radio
brings
> next.
>
> Since the future of Hand-Held PC's is obviously looking very bright and
> probably within a few years everyone who has a PC today will have one of
> them, it seems like this would be a good thing for the scanner
maufacturers
> to look at.
>
> There is of course the other major benefit - instead of running around
> looking like a dork with a scanner, you will look like a cool dude with
your
> handheld computer and earphone and nobody will know what you are listening
> to.
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>

233. Re: Pro-93 two way interface cable.....awesome

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 04:49:07 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020305234907.13681.00001907@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 51199

Boy , some people go off on a tangent to confuse themselves into thinking they are so right about.....what? I checked the verison/name of the free program I downloaded from a link on the Pro93 web site. The version says 'Win93 00.17'. That tells me it is a win93 program. Now since I accessed that download from the Pro93.com web site, I am not sure where I misstated myself in the original post. Is there some moron out there who couldn't figure it out eventhough I laid out the red carpet.....so they get mad and lash out at the person who posted the steps to do it (as it worked for them)? Got to wonder where these people come from.
Now, before spatting off, go to Pro93.com, then click on link to download freeware for scanner, after unzipping/loading freeware in PC, open program, in upper right hand will be a "help" option, click it and click on the 'about win93' and read the information there. It mentions some guy named Don and a web page of www.starrsoft.com/freeware. Nonetheless, I downloaded the Win93 using the Pro93 web page. Who gives a fu** where it originally came from.....the idea I was conveying to people who are looking for good tips is "Here is what I did, try it for yourself". You losers with no job and nothin better to do than post a bunch of irrelevant blah blah I have advice for. Posting this nonsense only gives negative attention to yourself (as your e-mail is posted at the top) and tells the intelligent/reasonable people out there not to waste any time reading your replies as you are troublemakers.....THE END
Eric G.
education CANNOT buy common sense

234. Re: Pro-93 interface help.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 04:52:44 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020305235244.13681.00001908@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 51200

go to Pro93.com and you will find everything you need there. A link to a program (Win93 version 00.17) and a one way or two way cable to order. I got the 2 way cable and used the Win93 on my Presario 7360 and my Pro93 scanner communicates with my PC using the freeware (Win93) . Easy stuff!!
Eric G.
education CANNOT buy common sense

235. FS Pro 2045 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 05:00:46 UTC
From: kn6ep@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <9146f9f5.0203052100.2957662b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51201

FS - RS Pro 2045 scanner, with CTCSS Board, with manual, in excellent condition. See Radio Shack for multiple features like mil air, count, Wx alert, etc. $155 shipped to CONUS.

George
Sacramento, CA

236. Re: Alaska School Bus Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 05:07:38 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <uuhh8.1554$B%.62332@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 51202

I don't know if they do school buses there, but Laidlaw Transit Inc is
licensed in Anchorage on
464.175
462.15
464.625
452.425
464.8
464.025
and over in Wasilla, they're on
461.725 as "LAIDLAW EDUCATIONAL SERVICES"

Anchorage School District, who may do their own school buses, is licensed
on:
863.8625
864.8625
865.8625
--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications
History

"paracord" <unixpunkx@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:14e196c1.0203051623.1b24396@posting.google.com...
> Hey does anyone know any anchorage alaska school bus frequencies i
> would appreciate it or any other freq's for the aforementioned state i
> don't need the cops but any other little known freq's would be great.

237. Re: fire frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 05:46:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <gYhh8.326$m_3.420661@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 51203
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What, nobody beat you up and stomped on your scanner? You're slipping,
Emilio! This one just isn't up to the standards set by your previous
"tales". Try harder next time!

Mark

"Emilio Goldstein" <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:Ke6h8.4846$L7.7554@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> I guess I just wonder how legal is it to play my scanner at the fire
scenes.
> I have been asked a lot of questions lately by firemen and police, and I
> wonder if they don't like my scanner.
>
> I have been monitoring fire frequencies for almost 4 months now. During
that
> time our county has had a dramatic rise in the number of arson incidents.
In
> every case I happened to not be at work or home when they happened, so I
> drove over to the scene to see how I could help.
>
> I keep an empty 5 gallon gas can in my dodge colt for emergency purposes.
> The police dogs seem to like it cause they always seem to find it - I
think
> they want to pee on it.
> I don't like dogs much. One bit me when I was a kid, and I peed the bed
for
> a long time after that.
>
> So when I try to get the dogs away from my colt, the public service
> officials start talking to me, and I don't know what they want.
>
> I think I must be playing my scanner too loud.
> This has happened 7 times now. Should I turn off my scanner?
>
>
>

238. Re: Another Programming Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 05:49:37 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a64all$bk0n8$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 51204

This must be going pretty good for you huh? Will you buy me a lottery ticket?

I took my 94 back for a 93. I love it. I know for a fact that it is WAY more sensitive that the 94. I can pick up almost across Houston with mine while in the house.

"Gerry Wheeler" <gwheeler@vmguys.com> wrote in message news:e8bcf171.0203051752.6535b52d@posting.google.com...
> I just bought a Pro-93 yesterday, and found I already had a cable for
> it! The programming cable from my Icom IC-T2A handheld transceiver
> works great! Bidirectional! All I had to do was use a stereo-to-mono
> adapter.
>
> BTW, in this area (SW Florida) the Pro-93 was advertised in last
> weekend's Sunday paper for the $169 sale price. I hadn't noticed it
> before, so I don't know how long the sale has been on. According to
> the fine print it continues to the end of March.
>
> The unit I bought is firmware 1.03. Works very nicely. Has replaced my
> Pro-94 as my main scanner.
>
> Gerry

239. Re: Rogers Cable Freq's in Ottawa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 06:23:40 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <MBih8.225828$A44.13629577@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 51212
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

what type of freqs from Rogers. Can you be more Specific.
Dev

"ScanMan" <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com> wrote in message
news:4cZg8.12097$aFN.7390@news1.bloor.is...
>
> Any idea as to the frequencies these guys would be on in the Ottawa area.
> '
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.330 / Virus Database: 184 - Release Date: 3/1/2002
>
>

240. Re: Rogers Cable Freq's in Ottawa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 06:23:40 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <BCED9767330536479E416EFC52D3F9B9028EA046@pfs01.ex.nus.edu.sg>
Source record: 51214
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

what type of freqs from Rogers. Can you be more Specific.
Dev

"ScanMan" <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com> wrote in message
news:4cZg8.12097$aFN.7390@news1.bloor.is...
>
> Any idea as to the frequencies these guys would be on in the Ottawa
area.
> '
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.330 / Virus Database: 184 - Release Date: 3/1/2002
>
>

Path: pfs21.ex.nus.edu.sg!ocean.singnet.com.sg!mawar.singnet.com.sg!mango.singnet.com.sg!n
ewsfeed!enews.sgi.com!telocity-west!TELOCITY!hub1.nntpserver.com!peer1-sjc1.usenetserver.c
om!usenetserver.com!pd2nf1so.cg.shawcable.net!residential.shaw.ca!news2.calgary.shaw.ca.PO
STED!not-for-mail
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.64.2.78
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Subject: Re: Rogers Cable Freq's in Ottawa
Message-ID: <MBih8.225828$A44.13629577@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Date: Wed, 6 Mar 2002 14:23:40 +0800
Lines: 19
X-Newsreader: Microsoft (R) Exchange Internet News Service Version 5.5.2653.11
Organization: Shaw Residential Internet
References: <4cZg8.12097$aFN.7390@news1.bloor.is>

what type of freqs from Rogers. Can you be more Specific.
Dev

"ScanMan" <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com> wrote in message
news:4cZg8.12097$aFN.7390@news1.bloor.is...
>
> Any idea as to the frequencies these guys would be on in the Ottawa
area.
> '
>

>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.330 / Virus Database: 184 - Release Date: 3/1/2002
>
>

Path: nus.edu.sg!ocean.singnet.com.sg!mawar.singnet.com.sg!mango.singnet.com.sg!newsfeed!enews.sgi.com!telocity-west!TELOCITY!hub1.nntpserver.com!peer1-sjc1.usenetserver.com!usenetserver.com!pd2nf1so.cg.shawcable.net!residential.shaw.ca!news2.calgary.shaw.ca.POSTED!not-for-mail
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.64.2.78
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Subject: Re: Rogers Cable Freq's in Ottawa
Message-ID: <MBih8.225828$A44.13629577@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Date: Wed, 6 Mar 2002 14:23:40 +0800
Lines: 19
X-Newsreader: Microsoft (R) Exchange Internet News Service Version 5.5.2653.11
Organization: Shaw Residential Internet
References: <4cZg8.12097$aFN.7390@news1.bloor.is>

what type of freqs from Rogers. Can you be more Specific.
Dev

"ScanMan" <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com> wrote in message
news:4cZg8.12097$aFN.7390@news1.bloor.is...
>
> Any idea as to the frequencies these guys would be on in the Ottawa
area.
> '
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.330 / Virus Database: 184 - Release Date: 3/1/2002
>
>

241. Trunking Scanners To Choose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 06:35:00 UTC
From: ScanMan <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com>
Message-ID: <oMih8.16461$xG.5497@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 51205
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Here's a pretty good list of all the trunking scanners that are available.

I think this list can help determine, which scanner is best for you.

http://trunkedradio.net/trunked/equipment/tt_equipment.htm

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.330 / Virus Database: 184 - Release Date: 2/28/2002

242. Re: FS: YEASU FRG-9600 ALL MODE SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 07:01:07 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <qifb8us7ejnfpd1uiaei0v53nes6ra8q08@4ax.com>
Source record: 51206

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, criflorida@aol.com (CRIFlorida) spake thusly

>The FRG-9600 is an all-mode scanner

It's a 'communications receiver' that does limited scanning.

As I recall, it ONLY stops on an active freq for 6 seconds, then resumes scanning.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

243. BC250D question: Local "encrypted" digital signal or no?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 08:03:41 UTC
From: Snomanbym <snomanbym.remove.@bci.org>
Message-ID: <3C85EB15.D3ED7F5D@bci.org>
Source record: 51213
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm one of those many who are dying to get their hands on the new bc250d as soon as it is released later this year. I have a question regarding it's usability that I'm still a little shaky on.

Scenario:
Our local Police department switched over recently to a 800 MHz Motorola ASTROï¿½ SMARTNETï¿½ DIGITAL radio system.
(http://www.motorola.com/LMPS/pressreleases/CGISS0010.html)
<--- press release regarding switch over.

As far as I know from what I've read, the bc250d will pick up their communications ok, as long as it is not an encrypted signal. The press release says the Police radio system operates in both digital and analog for interconnectivity with other agencies, and I am guessing that means that it won't be encrypted, but rather basic digital modulation.
However, one of their Forensic Ident guys says the system is "encrypted".
I don't really think many of the officers were educated on the system, other then how to use it, so can I assume from the press release and common sense that he probably just confused encryption with normal trunked digital modulation?

I don't want to spend $1000 canadian on the scanner and find out it doesn't work!

Thanks in advance for your input,
Geoff Howe
Photographer - Moose Jaw Times-Herald

244. Re: Pro-93 two way interface cable.....awesome

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 08:08:15 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <s4jb8ug32jht57jtjrf5qvun9jpe2vpgqo@4ax.com>
Source record: 51207

On 06 Mar 2002 04:49:07 GMT, emoney250@aol.com (Eric G.) wrote:

[snip]
>program I downloaded from a link on the Pro93 web site. The version says
>'Win93 00.17'. That tells me it is a win93 program. Now since I accessed that
>download from the Pro93.com web site, I am not sure where I misstated myself in
>the original post. Is there some moron out there who couldn't figure it out
[snip]

Here's the deal, pinhead.

Win93 is not supposed to be available at pro93.com. He had it there
for a short time, and was told to remove it. Last time anyone who
knew what they were doing checked, it was indeed gone.

So yu got it there during the brief period of bootlegery, but by the
time you proclaimed pro93.com as the place to go for this, you were
wrong.

FWIW, if you paid attention to where Win93 actually comes from, you
could be using the updated version.

>using the Pro93 web page. Who gives a fu** where it originally came
>from.....the idea I was conveying to people who are looking for good tips is
[snip]

The man who wrote the program cares. And you're pointing people to
the wrong place.

Ken Plotkin

245. Re: Pro-93 interface help.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 08:11:18 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <4jjb8ucpu5l0ft7rlub9o73nthnr0hi639@4ax.com>
Source record: 51208

On 06 Mar 2002 04:52:44 GMT, emoney250@aol.com (Eric G.) wrote:

>go to Pro93.com and you will find everything you need there. A link to a
>program (Win93 version 00.17) and a one way or two way cable to order. I got
[snip]

When did you last check to see if Win93 was actually at (or referred
to) at pro93.com?

246. Re: fire frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 09:06:43 UTC
From: Andy <"acorbin\\@*nospam* roanoke.infi.net>">
Message-ID: <a64m6a$h0l$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51209
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

John P Vassel wrote:

> "Emilio Goldstein" <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in
> news:Ke6h8.4846$L7.7554@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net:
>
>
>>I think I must be playing my scanner too loud.
>>This has happened 7 times now. Should I turn off my scanner?
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
> Nice to see Emilio is still at it. Some things never change.

Actually, in comparison to some of his other posts, I would rate this
one only a C+.

247. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 09:38:01 UTC
From: rstevew@deeptht.armory.com
Message-ID: <3c85e378$0$79553$8eec23a@newsreader.tycho.net>
Source record: 51210
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

In article <1hta8u05bdh3d48h0d69bd00u2baun5igq@news-west.newscene.com>,
<Robert> wrote:
>On Sat, 16 Feb 2002 23:31:32 -0500, nospam@nospam.com wrote:
>
>>John P Vassel wrote:
>>>
>>> Kevin <nomail@nospam.com> wrote in
>>> news:a4mlo5rip1@driftwood.ccs.carleton.ca:
>>>
>>> > Actually, Dick, that reminds me of something I never thought of that
>>> > would make any concerned parent rest easy: the parent should keep a
>>> > radio on too, monitoring the children's frequency.
>>>
>>> The main reason we send the kids out with these, is to keep track of them.
>>> It also allows them the freedom to go to another friends house, and not
>>> have to come all the way back home to check in with us.
>>> We always monitor the radio, and the kids go out with fixed channel
>>> radios. (the older boy had the habit of 'accidently' turning the channel
>>> and not hearing the call to come home.)
>>>
>>> --
>>> John Vassel
>>> KC8SKE
>>> RTFD Volunteer Firefighter
>>
>>Christ! Why not just put a leash on the kids if you have
>>to go through all that trouble?
>>
>>Remember when kids used to be kids, and life was easy?
>
>Remember when kids were young goats and not children?
>By the way, life was never easy.

Meaningless. You are living a delusion. You're in a thousand times greater
danger if you smoke around your kids or ever let them take off their
seatbelt! The number of child-stealers in the population is negligible.
It's really as rare as as being struck by lightning.
Steve

>>Why do parents worry so damned much? You actually sit there
>>and monitor when they aren't at home? Don't you trust your kids?
>>
>> Ted

>

248. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 09:40:26 UTC
From: rstevew@deeptht.armory.com
Message-ID: <3c85e40a$0$22477$8eec23a@newsreader.tycho.net>
Source record: 51211
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

In article <t_fh8.1422$1c3.65775@news2.west.cox.net>,
Kevin in San Diego <kevin_hedstrom@yahoo.com> wrote:
>You can never watch your kids too closely.

Sure you can. The number of child-stealers in the population is so tiny as
to be the silliest thing to worry about compared to REAL danger!!

>Its not the kids actions I worry
>about its the other whackos in the world. Look at San Diegos Danielle V.
>Case, the parents didnt watch carefully or lock their door because they were
>too busy smoking pot and getting laid to think about their children.
>Kevin

What is the population of San Diego and the surrounding cities for 50
miles?? 20 million?

Do the math!
Steve

><Robert> wrote in message
>news:1hta8u05bdh3d48h0d69bd00u2baun5igq@news-west.newscene.com...
>> On Sat, 16 Feb 2002 23:31:32 -0500, nospam@nospam.com wrote:
>>
>> >John P Vassel wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Kevin <nomail@nospam.com> wrote in
>> >> news:a4mlo5rip1@driftwood.ccs.carleton.ca:
>> >>
>> >> > Actually, Dick, that reminds me of something I never thought of that
>> >> > would make any concerned parent rest easy: the parent should keep a
>> >> > radio on too, monitoring the children's frequency.
>> >>
>> >> The main reason we send the kids out with these, is to keep track of
>them.
>> >> It also allows them the freedom to go to another friends house, and not
>> >> have to come all the way back home to check in with us.
>> >> We always monitor the radio, and the kids go out with fixed channel
>> >> radios. (the older boy had the habit of 'accidently' turning the
>channel
>> >> and not hearing the call to come home.)
>> >>
>> >> --
>> >> John Vassel
>> >> KC8SKE

>> >> RTFD Volunteer Firefighter
>> >
>> >Christ! Why not just put a leash on the kids if you have
>> >to go through all that trouble?
>> >
>> >Remember when kids used to be kids, and life was easy?
>>
>> Remember when kids were young goats and not children?
>> By the way, life was never easy.
>>
>> >Why do parents worry so damned much? You actually sit there
>> >and monitor when they aren't at home? Don't you trust your kids?
>> >
>> > Ted
>>
>
>

249. Re: Keypad problem - PRO-43.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 12:43:39 UTC
From: Peter Baran <pbaran@sk.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <u8c3a695kg9h59@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51215

I had the same problem withmy Pro-43 a couple of years back. A new rubber keypad from Radio Shack solved that problem... no big deal. I only wish I would have ordered a second pad at the same time... for future use. The pad was only a few dollars (even in CDN$:-))

Peter

"Matt Brummer" <mbrummer@metc.net> wrote in message news:3C832BA2.44FD6BD8@metc.net...

Scanner buttons were not working well & most had to be pressed hard to get them to work. They were slowly improving, but in my infinite wisdom, I dis-assembeled the PRO-43 & cleaned the contacts with a pencil eraser. I thought this was an appropriate solution for the situation.
Now, none of the keys respond. The scanner comes on in scan mode & works fine, but none of the keys work. The key-lock is off.
I opened it back up & checked the wiring connections. They look to be in tact.
Any thoughts on what went wrong? Anything else I should try cleaning the board or contacts with?
Thanks! --Matt

250. New Scanner User Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 12:53:38 UTC
From: devnull105@aol.com
Message-ID: <10cad4ab.0203060453.faf5f1d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51216

I am a brand new scanner owner. I purchased a Sportcat SC200 primarily for use at motor sporrs events. However, curiosity has gotten the better of me and I have been researching this hobby. Book resources seem to be scarce (I have searched online book retailer), and a lot of the online sources seem to be directed at people with some idea of what the hobby is about. I have some questions that I hope some of you more experienced scanner users can answer:

1- Why scan and what do you listen to? I have figured out how to do band searches and how to program the police and fire frequencies. Some interesting stuff can be found. What else is there out there to listen to?
2- The manual that came with my scanner tells me what frequency ranges are, but not examples of what I am likely to find there. Does this vary by area? Is there a source with better explanations?
3- I am looking for technical sources relating to this hobby. Preferably directed at the newbie. I am not afraid of technical content, just need to get the fundamentals down before I move on. Again, I have found it hard to find good sources on this.

Thanks
-=JP=-

251. Re: VR-500 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 13:35:53 UTC
From: murrayr@eisa.net.au
Message-ID: <e1cdaab3.0203060535.24dbbbd4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51217

Gentlemen,
I was wondering if it might be of mutual benefit to share the frequencies loaded into one's rig. Here are mine. The ";" character is the comment marker. To ease the pain of importing to another rig's software try to post tables of fixed column width padded with the space characters only. No TAB spacing as it can make importing a pain.

Oh - YMMV - if any of the above is a pain in the arse then please just post your frequencies. I'm sure they will still be greatly appreciated.

Cheers,
Muz.

Melbourne Frequencies:

```
Freq Band Name(8) ; Comment
=====================================================
0.62100 AM ABC RNAT ; ABC Radio National
0.69300 AM MAGIC693 ;
0.77400 AM ABC Talk ; ABC Radio Talkback
0.92700 AM SPORT927 ;
1.02600 AM ABC NEWS ;
1.11600 AM 3AK TALK ;
1.17900 AM BBC WRLD ;
1.22400 AM SBS ;
1.27800 AM 3AW ;
1.37700 AM 3MP ;
91.50000 WFM KIKS ;
93.10000 WFM SBS ;
94.90000 WFM JOY ;
97.40000 WFM STERO947 ;
101.10000 WFM TTFM ;
101.90000 WFM FOX ;
102.70000 WFM RRR ;
103.50000 WFM 3MBS ;
105.10000 WFM MMM ;
105.90000 WFM ABC CLSS ; ABC Classical FM
107.50000 WFM JJJ ; ABC JJJ FM
118.90000 AM AIR DEPT ; Mel Airport Departure
132.00000 WFM AIR APPR ; Mel Airport Approach
135.70000 WFM AIR RADR ; Mel Airport 100K Radar
316.60000 AM AIR TOWR ; Mel Airport Tower
```

413.07500 NFM AMB WST3 ; Mel Ambulance West Ch #3
413.15000 NFM AMB WST5 ; Mel Ambulance West Ch #5
413.22500 NFM AMB WST7 ; Mel Ambulance West Ch #7
413.35000 NFM AMB EST9 ; Mel Ambulance East Ch #9
413.10000 NFM AMB ES11 ; Mel Ambulance East Ch #11
412.85000 NFM AMB ES13 ; Mel Ambulance East Ch #13
466.88750 NFM FIRE STH ; Mel Fire South
467.47500 NFM FIRE NTH ; Mel Fire North
467.47500 NFM FIRE WST ; Mel Fire West
467.77500 NFM FIRE CBD ; Mel Fire CBD
467.97500 NFM P06 SKLD ; Mel Police St.Kilda Ch#6
468.72500 NFM P36 CBD ; Mel Police CBD Ch#36
469.32500 NFM P60 BXHL ; Mel Police Box Hill Ch#60
468.15000 NFM P65 ALTO ; Mel Police Altona Ch#65
468.20000 NFM P65 LAVR ; Mel Police Laverton Ch#65
469.40000 NFM P65 WILI ; Mel Police Wil'stownCh#65
468.82500 NFM P66 COBG ; Mel Police Coberg Ch#66
468.85000 NFM P66 MPND ; Mel Police Moon'PondCh#66
469.37500 NFM P66 WMEA ; Mel Police W'MeadowsCh#66
131.60000 AM POL AIRW ; Mel Police Air
486.12500 WFM TRM YRRA ; Mel Yarra Tram
486.85000 WFM TRM SWAN ; Mel Swan Tram
507.37500 WFM BUS LINK ; Mel CBD Bus

252. tutorial

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 13:50:37 UTC
From: mitbr <hmm@anywhere.net>
Message-ID: <N8ph8.1433$J3.677@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 51218

I have a Shoutcast tutorial on how to broadcast your policescanner on the net on my web page below. Listen to my live broadcast of fire and ems traffic from Pinellas County Florida daily from 7pm to 10 east coast usa time. Lots of live scanner links and info.
Tim
KG4JJS
Please visit my Tampa Bay Radio Page at the url below
http://home1.gte.net/res0plht/Mi01000.htm

253. Re: fire frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 13:57:05 UTC
From: Den6N <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <u8c81qg6pc4g31@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51219
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I think he ought to put the scanner in the gas can, and leave the hatch on the Colt open, as it will echo / amplify the audio and allow him to listen without the firemen knowing where it was coming from. As long as the dogs don't pee in it, of course.

==========
Den6N
Putnam Co NY
==========

"Emilio Goldstein" <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message news:Ke6h8.4846$L7.7554@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> I guess I just wonder how legal is it to play my scanner at the fire scenes.
> I have been asked a lot of questions lately by firemen and police, and I
> wonder if they don't like my scanner.
>
> I have been monitoring fire frequencies for almost 4 months now. During that
> time our county has had a dramatic rise in the number of arson incidents. In
> every case I happened to not be at work or home when they happened, so I
> drove over to the scene to see how I could help.
>
> I keep an empty 5 gallon gas can in my dodge colt for emergency purposes.
> The police dogs seem to like it cause they always seem to find it - I think
> they want to pee on it.
> I don't like dogs much. One bit me when I was a kid, and I peed the bed for
> a long time after that.
>
> So when I try to get the dogs away from my colt, the public service
> officials start talking to me, and I don't know what they want.
>
> I think I must be playing my scanner too loud.
> This has happened 7 times now. Should I turn off my scanner?
>
>
>

254. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 14:06:12 UTC
From: Bart Bailey <f@l.se>
Message-ID: <3C862254.7C107AB5@amsat.org>
Source record: 51220
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

Kevin in San Diego wrote:

> You can never watch your kids too closely. Its not the kids actions I worry
> about its the other whackos in the world. Look at San Diegos Danielle V.
> Case, the parents didnt watch carefully or lock their door because they were
> too busy smoking pot and getting laid to think about their children.
> Kevin

I saw some yellow checkout rag claiming Brenda and David were "more" than just
neighbors.
If that's the case, then he might have used the key she gave him.
Maybe the irony of such a scenario explains why the whole thing seems to stay
hung up on continuing memorials,
as if to delay acceptance of the sordid facts.

~Bart~

255. SCHEMATIC FOR BC 210 XW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 14:21:15 UTC
From: eg9k@aol.com
Message-ID: <1508acc5.0203060621.9b8025a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51221

Sill looking for the Schematic for the BC 210XW Schematic. Uniden doesn't have it and I've tried about everwhere to find one, would like the whole service manual, but I think that's impossible to find.I'm beginning to believe they never printed one. I have the BC 210XL schematic, but it's not much help, It seems there was 7 different models of it alone. I hate like hell to trash this thing, and I probably won't, It's just one of those things that BUGS a person of not getting fixed. Thanks for reading please help if you can
Harold

256. Re: New Scanner User Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 14:45:52 UTC
From: Den6N <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <u8cat4ql5cr610@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51222
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,devnull105@aol.com

Radio Shack sells books called 'Police Call' with a lot of frequency
information. It's also available on CD ROM. Much is available on
the net as well.

Some URL's to look at:

Rich Wells' website - http://www.strongsignals.net

The Radio Scanner WebRing:
http://www.webring.org/cgi-bin/webring?ring=radioscanner;index;id=1

The Public safety Communications WebRing:
http://www.webring.org/cgi-bin/webring?ring=breakroom;index

The RadioCommunication WebRing:
http://nav.webring.org/cgi-bin/navcgi?ring=radiocomm;list

The Local Scanner WebRing:
http://www.webring.org/cgi-bin/webring?ring=localscanner;list

The Scanner Frequencies WebRing:
http://www.rlwd.net/freqring/

And you can look up the frequencies at any airport at
http://www.airnav.com

==========
Den6N
Putnam Co NY
==========

"JP" <devnull105@aol.com> wrote in message
news:10cad4ab.0203060453.faf5f1d@posting.google.com...
> I am a brand new scanner owner. I purchased a Sportcat SC200 primarily
> for use at motor sporrs events. However, curiosity has gotten the
> better of me and I have been researching this hobby. Book resources
> seem to be scarce (I have searched online book retailer), and a lot of
> the online sources seem to be directed at people with some idea of
> what the hobby is about. I have some questions that I hope some of you
> more experienced scanner users can answer:
>
> 1- Why scan and what do you listen to? I have figured out how to do
> band searches and how to program the police and fire frequencies. Some
> interesting stuff can be found. What else is there out there to listen
> to?
> 2- The manual that came with my scanner tells me what frequency ranges

> are, but not examples of what I am likely to find there. Does this
> vary by area? Is there a source with better explanations?
> 3- I am looking for technical sources relating to this hobby.
> Preferably directed at the newbie. I am not afraid of technical
> content, just need to get the fundamentals down before I move on.
> Again, I have found it hard to find good sources on this.
>
> Thanks
> -=JP=-

257. WTB: Pro-2053 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 15:10:10 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020306101010.17271.00000759@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 51223

I am looking to buy a Pro-2053 scanner. It must be in good working order and
good physical shape. Willing to pay $100 due to the current sale price of $180.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

258. Re: aor8200 vs icom ic-r8500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 16:17:20 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3c864318$0$35577$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 51225
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The good outdoor antenna system is the key to better reception of
distant or low power signals.

Your IC-R8500 will probably get less intermod interference
than the portable AR-8200 when you connect your IC-R8500 to an
outdoor antenna.

On Wed, 06 Mar 2002 08:28:24 -0600, Jonnymachon wrote:

> I own a aor 8200 radio and just ordered myself the Icom ic-r8500 what
> diffference in performance can I expect, e.g. On airband will i receive
> more and bring barely readable signals on my aor 8200 to be clear.

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

259. Re: BC250D question: Local "encrypted" digital signal or no?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 16:21:46 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u8cgehk8kjc941@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51224
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

My assumption would be that it would NOT be encrypted... If it were, how would it allow for "interconnectivity with other agencies"? I can't see how it would...

By the sounds of it, being in both digital and analog, you probably wouldn't even need the digital scanner. Would sound to me that most communications would be rebroadcast in analog anyway... I'm sure there will be some that would not, but generally I would believe that most would be...

PH

P.S. Just an opinion, no childish remarks from anyone are welcome.

"Snomanbym" <snomanbym.remove.@bci.org> wrote in message news:3C85EB15.D3ED7F5D@bci.org...
> I'm one of those many who are dying to get their hands on the new bc250d
> as soon as it is released later this year. I have a question regarding
> it's usability that I'm still a little shaky on.
>
> Scenario:
> Our local Police department switched over recently to a 800 MHz Motorola
> ASTRO SMARTNETT DIGITAL radio system.
> (http://www.motorola.com/LMPS/pressreleases/CGISS0010.html)
> <--- press release regarding switch over.
>
> As far as I know from what I've read, the bc250d will pick up their
> communications ok, as long as it is not an encrypted signal. The press
> release says the Police radio system operates in both digital and analog
> for interconnectivity with other agencies, and I am guessing that means
> that it won't be encrypted, but rather basic digital modulation.
> However, one of their Forensic Ident guys says the system is
> "encrypted".
> I don't really think many of the officers were educated on the system,
> other then how to use it, so can I assume from the press release and
> common sense that he probably just confused encryption with normal
> trunked digital modulation?
>
> I don't want to spend $1000 canadian on the scanner and find out it
> doesn't work!
>
> Thanks in advance for your input,
> Geoff Howe
> Photographer - Moose Jaw Times-Herald
>

260. Re: SCHEMATIC FOR BC 210 XW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 16:24:46 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3c8642b6$0$1600$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 51226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The BC-210XW was actually a Uniden model, built by
Uniden after Electra sold out. Therefore, I think
the BC-210XW circuitry would
be similar to a Uniden BC-800XLT
but without the 800 MHz front end board.

On Wed, 06 Mar 2002 08:21:15 -0600, Harold Howe wrote:

> Sill looking for the Schematic for the BC 210XW Schematic. Uniden
> doesn't have it and I've tried about everwhere to find one
> ... I have the BC 210XL
> schematic, but it's not much help...

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

261. Re: So just how remote can a speaker be? and other Qs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 17:57:35 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020306125735.19107.00000002@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 51227

>Subject: So just how remote can a speaker be? and other Qs.
>From: Bill Seward seward@northstate.net
>Date: 3/5/2002 7:23 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <t2ra8ucelo9ubiat8q8iq99l645d14k2r4@4ax.com>
>
>Here's the situation: The wifely unit does not want my ugly scanner
>antennas (or any other antennas, for that matter) on her house.
>However, I'm allowed to put up any and everything I want on my
>outbuilding, which house my workshop. A decent compromise, except for
>the fact that I'm usually in the house, not the workshop.
>
>The workshop is 150' or more from the house. Too far for a long
>antenna cable, no matter how low loss. One of my primary scanner
>targets is our local 800 Mhz trunked system, and the loss at that
>frequency is going to be bad when you consider the total length of the
>cable. Not to mention the cost (I still feel faint from pricing it).
>
>In another forum, someone mentioned the idea of putting the scanner as
>close as possible to the antenna, then using a remote speaker. Not a
>perfect solution, since I can't see the display, but it could work.
>My question is, how? Can I simply put the remote speaker where it
>needs to be, and run a really long speaker wire to the scanner? And
>what size wire? I've also considered some sort of FM wireless
>extension speaker if I can find one.
>
>I'd really rather have a remote display and speaker. Is there any
>relatively simple way to echo the scanner display to a remote display,
>or am I getting in way to deep for the electronically challenged?
>
>Any suggestions would be gratefully received.
>
>
>---------------------------------
>Bill Seward
>http://web.northstate.net/~seward

If you aren't in a very strong signal area where even the best will overload, a quality broadband amp with more gain than the loss in your cable, leaves you just as well off as you would be if the scanner were mounted right under the antenna. A top notch antenna mount amp can push 25-30 db gain at 800 Mhz. That would cover 300-500 feet of the cheapest RG-6. Even buriable RG-6 is not prohibitively expensive, compared to what you lose not having the scanner at hand.
You could mount a video camera and run video over along with the auidio,

but you still can't lock out temporary stuck mics, tones, noise that just breaks squelch or hit delay or manual on something really noisy you want to stay on...
If you insist on running the audio, for a 200 foot run you could get away with 12 to 14 guage wire (outdoor extention cords are 14 or 12), without losing too much signal power. Better way to do it is line transformers (I think radio shack still sells them). You put one at the scanner and one at the remote speaker. They raise the audio to higher impedance, higher voltage to overcome line resistance. Then you could use 20 or 22 guage lightweight wire).

Neutrodyne

"My opinion is not relevant." - "Dr." Laura Schlessinger, February 20, 2002

262. Re: Bose Wave Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 17:59:41 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020306125941.19107.00000003@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 51228

>Subject: Re: Bose Wave Radio
>From: "B" bpk8227nospam@cox.net
>Date: 3/3/2002 10:41 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <OVCg8.25312$s03.923988@news2.east.cox.net>
>
>Wasn't aware a Bose radio is a scanner.

For the price of a new one it ought to have one built in along with a wet bar
and swimming pool.

263. Trunking vs. Dual Trunking Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 18:03:40 UTC
From: Horse Thief <HorseThief57@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <a65lqq$7nl$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51229

What's the difference between these- and which should I buy?

Hoss

264. Re: F.S. BC 895XLT TRUNK TRACKER MINT CONDITION IN THE BOX SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 18:20:13 UTC
From: wilfkelly@rogers.com
Message-ID: <1e9d473c.0203061020.3491b9ee@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51230

wilfkelly@rogers.com (Wilf) wrote in message
news:<1e9d473c.0203032151.29e6997c@posting.google.com>...
> I have BC 895XLT Trunk Tracker in mint condition .This scanner is like
> new in the box and comes with everything it did when was new.I will
> also include the Original Winscan version 1.0 and version 2.0
> update.Plus the cable to hook up to the computer.I will sell complete
> package for $160.00 Email me if interested Thanks Here is the link to
> see what it can do http://www.uniden.com/product.cfm?product=BC895XLT SCANNER HAS BEEN
SOLD Thanks
SOLD,SOLD,SOLD

265. Re: SCHEMATIC FOR BC 210 XW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 18:22:59 UTC
From: Mac <none@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u8co5c4ram71ca@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51231
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Harold, I missed your original post, but I found the reply from Bob Parnass.

I have a schematic for the 210XW. I have scanned it and will attempt to
post it here. I've never tried to do this before so if something fouls up
please bear with me. I can email it to you as a jpeg if necessary.

Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net> wrote in message
news:3c8642b6$0$1600$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com...
> The BC-210XW was actually a Uniden model, built by
> Uniden after Electra sold out. Therefore, I think
> the BC-210XW circuitry would
> be similar to a Uniden BC-800XLT
> but without the 800 MHz front end board.
>
>
> On Wed, 06 Mar 2002 08:21:15 -0600, Harold Howe wrote:
>
> > Sill looking for the Schematic for the BC 210XW Schematic. Uniden
> > doesn't have it and I've tried about everwhere to find one
> > ... I have the BC 210XL
> > schematic, but it's not much help...
>
> --
> ==
> Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
> Linux user

266. Motorola hts FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 18:40:18 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <moth8.9991$zU2.2604107@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 51232
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have five Motorola UHF single channel radios that work great.Two are going to need batteries as they are junk.These are great for construction trade or whatever.I will throw in a Radius SP-21 VHF ht that works great just to get rid of these.They are taking up space on my shelf.I will let the bunch go for $150.00 plus $10.00 shipping.Email me.

267. Uniden Bearcat 350A Scanner BRAND NEW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 18:42:27 UTC
From: S Ecurity Unlimited <securit1951@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <nqth8.41115$0C1.3297061@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 51233
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hello all I have about 5 of these scanners left brand new i am asking
$90.00 each i will ship next day air.

268. Re: Uniden Bearcat 350A Scanner BRAND NEW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 18:52:48 UTC
From: S Ecurity Unlimited <securit1951@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4Ath8.41134$0C1.3297624@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 51234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I will also do trades as well.

269. Re: BC-560XLT Keypad Functions Help Requested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 19:21:12 UTC
From: Mac <none@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u8cr2j903d1cb1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51235
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Looking through some old posts today and found this one. Not sure if you ever found the problem or not, but you might want to take a look at C209. The original capacitor is (or should be) a .47 uF aluminum electrolytic. Try replacing that cap with a .47 uF tantalum.

It's been a while since I've done one and I can't remember all of the steps, but I remember you need to take out the four screws which hold the front of the scanner to the rest of the chassis. Seems there are a couple of plugs that need to be unplugged (EASY!) from the main circuit board. Once you get the front part of the scanner off, remove the screws holding the microprocessor board (the rectangular board with one corner notched out). C409 is located toward the bottom of the board (in the notched corner) in about the 7:00 position as you are looking straight on from the component side. I'm not 100% sure that cap will affect memory, but I believe it does. Another symptom, when that cap fails, is that the scanner sometimes is delayed powering up when you first turn it on. In other words, you turn the scanner on and nothing happens. The scanner eventually powers up after a period of time, ranging from a few seconds to minutes. Hope this helps. If you run into any problems with this repair you can email me by removing the obvious..... ***nospamcthirty7@hotmail.comnospam***

<jr127@USA.net> wrote in message news:3C4DB5A2.5ACDD59E@USA.net...
> No Walt this 560 doesn't have an internal battery. I checked for a 9v and lithium
> battery back up but none. The only thing it could have is a cap that retains
> memory. I'm just not sure what cap it is.
>
> Any ideas?
>
> Walt Bertram wrote:
>
> > Does it have an internal battery that needs replaced? Older scanners
> > used a battery to retain the info in a CMOS memory.
> >
> > jr127@USA.net wrote:
> > >
> > > A 16 channel is worth worth working on if one can't afford a new scanner.
> > >
> > > That why I ask the BC-560XLT question originally and no one seems to know the
> > > answer.
> > >

> > > "Question" was: How do I retain the memory after power is removed.
My 560
> > > began losing it's memory last week when I turn off the key in my
vehicle.
> > > Any ideas?
> > >
> > > Gutt Brothers Towing wrote:
> > >
> > > > Thats my next move, to get in there and eyeball it just to see what
I
> > > > can see. (Tarnnish, corrosion, ect.)
> > > >
> > > > As for re-setting the cpu I don't have a clue how to ?
> > > >
> > > > And for the person (W.F.) who said 16 channels isn't worth working
on
> > > > I guess it's the same as the old saying about the eye of the
beholder.
> > > > One scanner I own is a RS PRO-2004 that has 300 channels available
> > > > and I bet that I keep less than a dozen of them filled. So. . . ?
> > > > >
> > > > > >
>

270. Re: Alaska School Bus Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 19:22:56 UTC
From: unixpunkx@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <14e196c1.0203061122.5a6f21f2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51236

Hey thank's those work great

271. Baby monitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 19:31:42 UTC
From: unixpunkx@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <14e196c1.0203061131.75e4985d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51237

Hey does anyone know some average baby monitor freq's?

272. Re: FS: YEASU FRG-9600 ALL MODE SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 20:04:11 UTC
From: HM George VII <rogomail@Spamhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <a65snr$a2s$1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 51238

Just because your radio got kicked of ebay you think we, on this group
should be interested? Stuff-it
HM George VII

.

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:qifb8us7ejnfpd1uiaei0v53nes6ra8q08@4ax.com...
> Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, criflorida@aol.com
(CRIFlorida) spake thusly
>
> >The FRG-9600 is an all-mode scanner
>
> It's a 'communications receiver' that does limited scanning.
>
> As I recall, it ONLY stops on an active freq for 6 seconds, then resumes
scanning.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

273. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 20:32:34 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <a4vc8ukp3u98tp3br3099eb12rhovq80km@4ax.com>
Source record: 51239
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, Bart Bailey <f@l.se> spake thusly

>Kevin in San Diego wrote:
>
>> You can never watch your kids too closely. Its not the kids actions I worry
>> about its the other whackos in the world. Look at San Diegos Danielle V.
>> Case, the parents didnt watch carefully or lock their door because they were
>> too busy smoking pot and getting laid to think about their children.
>> Kevin
>
>I saw some yellow checkout rag claiming Brenda and David were "more" than just
>neighbors.
>If that's the case, then he might have used the key she gave him.
>Maybe the irony of such a scenario explains why the whole thing seems to stay
>hung up on continuing memorials,
>as if to delay acceptance of the sordid facts.

The house had an alarm system.

Mom comes home at 2:30am, finds the garage door open, lights on, and alarm OFF,
but she DOESN'T go check on her kids.

Stupidity, or ? ? ?

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

274. Re: Baby monitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 21:07:52 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <lyvh8.232096$A44.13715756@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 51240

don't know the new 900 Mhz ones.

49.83
49.845
49.86
49.875

Dev

"paracord" <unixpunkx@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:14e196c1.0203061131.75e4985d@posting.google.com...
> Hey does anyone know some average baby monitor freq's?

275. Re: Please, help me!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 21:46:19 UTC
From: kml@digi.com.br
Message-ID: <3c85e8e4.5445800@news.digi.com.br>
Source record: 51241
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks a lot....
Vy 73,
Karl

On Sun, 03 Mar 2002 14:12:12 GMT, kml@digi.com.br (Karl Leite) wrote:

>Dear Fellows:
>
>I am planning to purchase a new scanner and I don't know what
>them: YAESU VR-5000 or AOR-AR8600... I would like to know
>what is the best performance... etc!!!
>I thanks in advance for your valuable help.
>Vy 73 de PS7KM,
>------------------------------
>Karl Leite
>Natal, RN, Brasil
>eMail: kml@digi.com.br
> kl@samnet.com.br
>LightHouse PostCard Collector

<><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><>
Karl Leite
Natal, RN, Brasil
eMail: kml@digi.com.br
kl@samnet.com.br
LightHouse PostCard Collector

276. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 22:04:16 UTC
From: grtpmpkin32@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020306170416.16661.00000054@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 51242

>Good. So you just go ahead and ignore your kids (which you don't have anyway
>or you wouldn't be saying that crap)

Wow. What a string of completely illogical reaction and assertion.
I happen to share the earlier post's viewpoint. Mainly, concern is different than panic, and responsibility is not the same as hysteria.
HOW does that opinion prove or disprove the fact that I have children, hhmm?
Also, how does having such an opinion equate to ignoring my children?
Being a scanner enthusiast, I'm quite aware of the level of safety and crime in my environment, and while I would CERTAINLY lay down my life for my children, I am not about to go fearfully prying and peeking into every corner of their lives and playgrounds to find potential dangers, when real dangers are far more prevalent and obvious, and therefore far easier to avoid and correct.
As a parent I can most assuredly sympathize with the concept of preservation of my child's well-being, to the point of paranoia. It's natural for loving parents to be watchdogs at all times, and that's as it should be. But at what point does concern over strangers and molestors become not letting then climb trees or stay at a friend's house overnight? There are a million ways for people, including children, to be hurt or killed, and there's not a whole hell of a lot that any parent, no matter how responsible or caring, can do about it.
Linus

277. Re: Baby monitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 23:14:32 UTC
From: randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com
Message-ID: <b03b87e2.0203061514.349be472@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51243

http://members.tripod.com/columbus_east/baby.shtml

unixpunkx@yahoo.com (paracord) wrote in message
news:<14e196c1.0203061131.75e4985d@posting.google.com>...
> Hey does anyone know some average baby monitor freq's?

278. FA: VR-5000 Wide-Band Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 23:41:52 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <4Pxh8.6795$k5.2742076@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51245

For Auction on Ebay:

Yaesu VR-5000 Wide-Band Receiver
3-days, LOW reserve!

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1337760817

Thanks,
Bill Crocker
Michigan

279. Re: MFJ Freq Counter Q

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 23:44:54 UTC
From: Marc <marc_k@NoSpamreva.sixgirls.org>
Message-ID: <u8dafm3vpn7ba5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51244

tucansam@whodis.org wrote:

> Hi all,

> I recently picked up an MFJ-886 frequency counter. I read some posts and
> thought I knew what to expect (not terribly great accuracy, but some at
> least). I've heard that these things require "practice" to master, and
> I'll admit I have all of about 10 minutes experience with the thing, but I
> have some questions...

> This is the model that claims to cover 1Mhz to 3Ghz. I held it a few feet
> from my 2 watt handheld and keyed up a freq and it picked it right up,
> showing a very strong signal. However, holding it up to my cell phone
> when its off hook (800-900mhz) or up to my 802.11b card when I'm pinging
> away (2.4Ghz) I get all kinds of squirly results, none of which register
> any signal strenght at all, and none of which are remotely close to the
> actual frequency in use.

> Is this thing only going to be able to pickup signals coming in at high
> power, like my 2 watt handheld, or should the sub-500mw signals sent out
> by my phone and laptop register as well?

> Thanks!

Most frequency counters can't "lock" on a digital signal-and both of the above
are(unless the phone is a 900 Mhz analog model(possible-some are, but not many).

280. Re: Baby monitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-06 23:59:18 UTC
From: DougSlug <dw_firewall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <q3yh8.4719$gQ.2247232@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 51246

Useful link, Randy, but it's got pop-ups from HELL!

POP-UPS MUST DIE!!!

"Randy A. Hefner" <randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com> wrote in message
news:b03b87e2.0203061514.349be472@posting.google.com...
> http://members.tripod.com/columbus_east/baby.shtml
>
>
>
> unixpunkx@yahoo.com (paracord) wrote in message
news:<14e196c1.0203061131.75e4985d@posting.google.com>...
> > Hey does anyone know some average baby monitor freq's?

281. FS: RADIO SHACK HANDHELD SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 00:02:39 UTC
From: QSTDR@HOTMAIL.COM
Message-ID: <8ccada17.0203061602.64b95012@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51097

PRO 43
MOD, UNBLOCKED 800MHZ, GOOD CONDITION, 200 CHANNELS
NICADS, MANUAL, AC WALL CHARGER

ASKING $165.00 OBO

E-MAIL ME AT QSTFLYOU@HOTMAIL.COM

282. Re: Baby monitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 01:02:14 UTC
From: Alexander McNaughton <treehug3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <PZyh8.13601$4E4.2438970@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 51098

I once heard a drug transaction over a baby monitor.. they planned on hiding the crack in the baby's diaper and then shipping the baby to Taiwan in a boz.

> Hey does anyone know some average baby monitor freq's?

283. PRO-2053 Display

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 01:32:25 UTC
From: aellery@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020306203225.19837.00000093@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 51099

Saw a 2053 in RS the other day, but didn't have time to really look at it. Is the display larger on the 2053 than it is on the PRO-93? Couldn't believe it's so big compared to my 2067, but if the display is easier to read, then I might pick one up while they are on sale.

Allen

284. Re: PRO-2053 Display

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 01:41:17 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <1zzh8.6858$k5.2757743@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51101

The display on the PRO-2053 is large, and very easy to read! About the only thing it lacks from the PRO-2067 is support for CTCSS.

Bill Crocker

"AEllery" <aellery@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020306203225.19837.00000093@mb-cc.aol.com...
> Saw a 2053 in RS the other day, but didn't have time to really look at it. Is
> the display larger on the 2053 than it is on the PRO-93? Couldn't believe it's
> so big compared to my 2067, but if the display is easier to read, then I might
> pick one up while they are on sale.
>
> Allen

285. Re: Baby monitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 01:42:16 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com>
Message-ID: <Yzzh8.117959$Hu6.29626901@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 51100

"DougSlug" <dw_firewall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:q3yh8.4719$gQ.2247232@news02.optonline.net...
> Useful link, Randy, but it's got pop-ups from HELL!
>
> POP-UPS MUST DIE!!!

AMEN!
DeWayne KE9K

>
>
> "Randy A. Hefner" <randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com> wrote in message
> news:b03b87e2.0203061514.349be472@posting.google.com...
> > http://members.tripod.com/columbus_east/baby.shtml
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > unixpunkx@yahoo.com (paracord) wrote in message
> news:<14e196c1.0203061131.75e4985d@posting.google.com>...
> > > > Hey does anyone know some average baby monitor freq's?
>
>

286. Need Los Angeles Area Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 02:30:52 UTC
From: michaeled@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <3c86d07c.1816818@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 51102

Hi Everyone,

I have been scanning for over 20 years in and around the Los Angeles area. But took off about 4 years, I recently returned to find out that the LAPD frequencies went digital on me.

My question to any scanner nut, like myself is....What the frequencies for the LAPD all of you are listening to? OR Point me in the direction of a website that would tell me a good set-up for my scanner.

thanx in advance,
Mike

287. Re: PRO-2006 values

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 03:03:12 UTC
From: William Ferguson <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net>
Message-ID: <Xns91C9E05BDBFF4fbiagentREMOVEMEchar@209.249.90.101>
Source record: 51104
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On that note, does anyone have any extra feet I could purchase? I wasn't refering to just cliping those two diodes. I want to build mine with SCA, S-meter, and other stuff

288. Re: CE-232 Motorola Chips

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 03:03:46 UTC
From: William Ferguson <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net>
Message-ID: <Xns91C9E07475FA8fbiagentREMOVEMEchar@209.249.90.101>
Source record: 51105
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Devin" <a@a.com> wrote in
news:MBbf8.160022$A44.9236381@news2.calgary.shaw.ca:

> hmm, I haven't seen ce-232 chips lately is it a chip so you can
> interface a computer comport to a radio or anything else external. I
> know of a ce-232 interface. only 232's I have seen lately are MAX232
> chips. but. I probably wrong that they are what you are looking for. I
> will look further. I am stocked on the MAX232 type chips. but. I have
> to find out if the CE-232's are the same.
>
> Dev
>
> "William Ferguson" <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net> wrote in message
> news:Xns91C0D8B4AC78CfbiagentREMOVEMEchar@209.249.90.101...
>> Hi I remember talking w/ someone who said that they still have
>> these
>> chips available for sale. If anyone out there still has them I'd like
>> to know.
>
>

I meant the chip that goes into the CE-232

289. Re: PRO-2053 Display

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 03:12:00 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3c86dc88$0$35579$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 51107

The PRO-2067 can track LTR systems, but the
PRO-2053 does not.

On Wed, 06 Mar 2002 19:41:17 -0600, Bill Crocker wrote:

> The display on the PRO-2053 is large, and very easy to read! About the
> only thing it lacks from the PRO-2067 is support for CTCSS.

--
==
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

290. Re: Need Los Angeles Area Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 03:14:46 UTC
From: Larry Levy <levys@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <anmd8uko1difem6grpb8udo9a6f1l9lauj@4ax.com>
Source record: 51106

Hi, Michael:

Bottom line: You're pretty much screwed.

You can still listen to the old Tac 1 on 154.830 for hot shot dispatches and other stuff, but forget about routine stuff in your own division.

Every once in a while, you can hear some air to ground units on 484.4375.

-Larry

On Thu, 07 Mar 2002 02:30:52 GMT, michaeled@earthlink.net (Michael E. Mattel) wrote:

>Hi Everyone,
>
>I have been scanning for over 20 years in and around the Los Angeles
>area. But took off about 4 years, I recently returned to find out that
>the LAPD frequencies went digital on me.
>
>My question to any scanner nut, like myself is....What the
>frequencies for the LAPD all of you are listening to? OR
>Point me in the direction of a website that would tell me a good
>set-up for my scanner.
>
>thanx in advance,
>Mike

http://www.geocities.com/levys2/ham.html

291. Speech Inversion - What Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 03:22:05 UTC
From: Pete Ritter <cpritter@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <x1Bh8.2376$eM.2552@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 51108

What services typically use speech inversion and on what freqs?

--
**
** This space for hire
**

292. Re: So just how remote can a speaker be? and other Qs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 03:32:44 UTC
From: Hall <no-email@no-email.com>
Message-ID: <wbBh8.8083$r5.1356129@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 51109
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

For audio only, I use a set of 900 MHz wireless speakers. Since these speakers are stereo, I couple the two RCA jacks on the scanner end into one jack and plug that into the scanner.

Obviously this doesn't help with the display, but by purchasing a set of these, you also get two speakers, so you can put them in two different locations, and each one of them has it's own volume control.

Good Luck

"Bill Seward" <seward@northstate.net> wrote in message news:t2ra8ucelo9ubiat8q8iq99l645d14k2r4@4ax.com...
> Here's the situation: The wifely unit does not want my ugly scanner
> antennas (or any other antennas, for that matter) on her house.
> However, I'm allowed to put up any and everything I want on my
> outbuilding, which house my workshop. A decent compromise, except for
> the fact that I'm usually in the house, not the workshop.
>
> The workshop is 150' or more from the house. Too far for a long
> antenna cable, no matter how low loss. One of my primary scanner
> targets is our local 800 Mhz trunked system, and the loss at that
> frequency is going to be bad when you consider the total length of the
> cable. Not to mention the cost (I still feel faint from pricing it).
>
> In another forum, someone mentioned the idea of putting the scanner as
> close as possible to the antenna, then using a remote speaker. Not a
> perfect solution, since I can't see the display, but it could work.
> My question is, how? Can I simply put the remote speaker where it
> needs to be, and run a really long speaker wire to the scanner? And
> what size wire? I've also considered some sort of FM wireless
> extension speaker if I can find one.
>
> I'd really rather have a remote display and speaker. Is there any
> relatively simple way to echo the scanner display to a remote display,
> or am I getting in way to deep for the electronically challenged?
>
> Any suggestions would be gratefully received.
>
>
> ---------------------------------
> Bill Seward
> http://web.northstate.net/~seward

293. Breaking news - Hostage Standoff, Valley Stream, NY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 04:13:44 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3c86e957.6278854@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 51110

```
NCPD 5 th PCT
478.2125
478.5625 (tactical)
```

294. Re: WTB: Pro-2053

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 04:31:04 UTC
From: gerr1919 <gerr1919@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3C86EC94.32B8097A@gte.net>
Source record: 51111

My goodness you are very liberal,I hope you suceed

vhfradiobuff wrote:

> WTB - Radio Shack Pro-2053 scanner. These units are currently on sale
> for $180 new, so I'd be willing to go up to $100 for a unit that works
> 100%. Email me if interested.

295. Re: Need Los Angeles Area Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 04:35:51 UTC
From: ScanMan <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com>
Message-ID: <H6Ch8.52097$aFN.45570@news1.bloor.is>
Source record: 51112

I think you'll find what you need sufficiently at this site.
http://www.freqofnature.com/

And if your interested in learning how to put your scanner online from LA,
then I would suggest checkin out
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

"Michael E. Mattel" <michaeled@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3c86d07c.1816818@news.earthlink.net...
> Hi Everyone,
>
> I have been scanning for over 20 years in and around the Los Angeles
> area. But took off about 4 years, I recently returned to find out that
> the LAPD frequencies went digital on me.
>
> My question to any scanner nut, like myself is....What the
> frequencies for the LAPD all of you are listening to? OR
> Point me in the direction of a website that would tell me a good
> set-up for my scanner.
>
> thanx in advance,
> Mike

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.330 / Virus Database: 184 - Release Date: 2/28/2002

296. Re: BC250D question: Local "encrypted" digital signal or no?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 04:40:48 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <kbCh8.6916$k5.2784848@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51114
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> I don't really think many of the officers were educated on the system,
> other then how to use it, so can I assume from the press release and
> common sense that he probably just confused encryption with normal
> trunked digital modulation?

That's not a valid assumption. There's no reason to believe the system isn't encrypted. Many police agencies do that. Here in Michigan, most State Police transmissions are in the "digital" clear but detectives & vice are encrypted. You can hope that only a few groups of yours are encrypted.

- Rob

297. Re: BC250D question: Local "encrypted" digital signal or no?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 04:41:47 UTC
From: Robert Dale <rdale@skywatch.org>
Message-ID: <fcCh8.6917$k5.2785074@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51113
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET> wrote in message
news:u8cgehk8kjc941@corp.supernews.com...
> My assumption would be that it would NOT be encrypted... If it were, how
> would it allow for "interconnectivity with other agencies"? I can't see
how

Because the other agencies probably have the decryption key, or they use a
separate non-encrypted group.

> By the sounds of it, being in both digital and analog, you probably
wouldn't
> even need the digital scanner. Would sound to me that most communications
> would be rebroadcast in analog anyway... I'm sure there will be some that
> would not, but generally I would believe that most would be...

Nothing in that release indicates anything of the sort.

- Rob

298. Re: PRO-2053 Display

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 05:11:04 UTC
From: aellery@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020307001104.17067.00000125@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 51115

Thanks for the replies! Unfortunately, I don't have any LTR or non-digital trunking systems near me. :-(But, I do like the text tags and the larger display.

Allen

>Subject: Re: PRO-2053 Display
>From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net
>Date: 3/6/02 10:12 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <3c86dc88$0$35579$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
>
>The PRO-2067 can track LTR systems, but the
>PRO-2053 does not.
>
>On Wed, 06 Mar 2002 19:41:17 -0600, Bill Crocker wrote:
>
>> The display on the PRO-2053 is large, and very easy to read! About the
>> only thing it lacks from the PRO-2067 is support for CTCSS.

299. Seattle Area Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 05:14:33 UTC
From: tazdog <tazdog-3@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <ZGCh8.14493$aP6.17925@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 51116
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone around here have the Seattle and Puget sound freqs for police and fire..?

Trying to find them and get the trunking straight for Valley comm. and King County

Any help would be great
thanks
Scott

300. Re: New Scanner User Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 05:25:42 UTC
From: Patrick Dickey <pdickey43@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u8dua4fh4b0j72@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51117

One more website for frequencies in your area.. Really logical..lol
http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm
The FCC's database.. you can search by State, County, Type of use (Public Service, sports, air, railroad), or frequency...

Police Call is an excellent source of information for newbies also.. As for what you can listen to, the skies the limit...
pat.
"JP" <devnull105@aol.com> wrote in message
news:10cad4ab.0203060453.faf5f1d@posting.google.com...
> I am a brand new scanner owner. I purchased a Sportcat SC200 primarily
> for use at motor sporrs events. However, curiosity has gotten the
> better of me and I have been researching this hobby. Book resources
> seem to be scarce (I have searched online book retailer), and a lot of
> the online sources seem to be directed at people with some idea of
> what the hobby is about. I have some questions that I hope some of you
> more experienced scanner users can answer:
>
> 1- Why scan and what do you listen to? I have figured out how to do
> band searches and how to program the police and fire frequencies. Some
> interesting stuff can be found. What else is there out there to listen
> to?
> 2- The manual that came with my scanner tells me what frequency ranges
> are, but not examples of what I am likely to find there. Does this
> vary by area? Is there a source with better explanations?
> 3- I am looking for technical sources relating to this hobby.
> Preferably directed at the newbie. I am not afraid of technical
> content, just need to get the fundamentals down before I move on.
> Again, I have found it hard to find good sources on this.
>
> Thanks
> -=JP=-

301. importing scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 05:36:13 UTC
From: rml6565@ksu.edu
Message-ID: <5a301de4.0203062136.60301047@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51118

I've been wanting to buy an Alinco DJ-X2000 with continuous coverage. The lowest price I found was at Javiation. I was just wondering if scanners are still getting through customs without a problem most of the time. Any firsthand experience would be great. Thanks.

302. Re: WTB: Pro-2053

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 05:48:11 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020307004811.06032.00000099@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 51119

>My goodness you are very liberal,I hope you suceed

Don't quite understand what you're talking about...
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

303. Re: Baby monitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 05:55:19 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <a66l90hvv1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51103

I guess Emilio *and* his whole family are back....

Alexander McNaughton <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:PZyh8.13601$4E4.2438970@news20.bellglobal.com...
> I once heard a drug transaction over a baby monitor.. they planned on
hiding
> the crack in the baby's diaper and then shipping the baby to Taiwan in a
> boz.
>
> > Hey does anyone know some average baby monitor freq's?
>
>

304. Re: Pro-93 two way interface cable.....awesome

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 06:03:57 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020307010357.29694.00000127@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 51120

Now, without even wasting time to read the replies, I must inform some of you people how I handle 'flamers'. First of all, e-mailing me something (whether it is positive or negative) in regards to a NG post goes UNREAD. If someone doesn't have the nerve to post it for everyone to read, you must not believe in whatever it was you e-mailed to me. For those of you who appreciate the help I offer , you are very welcome.
Eric G.
education CANNOT buy common sense

305. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 06:17:29 UTC
From: Kevin in San Diego <kevin_hedstrom@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ZBDh8.4213$H04.77653@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 51121
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

Like I said, in my opinion, something was and is very wrong in that household.
Kevin

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message news:a4vc8ukp3u98tp3br3099eb12rhovq80km@4ax.com...
> Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, Bart Bailey <f@l.se> spake thusly
>
> >Kevin in San Diego wrote:
> >
> >> You can never watch your kids too closely. Its not the kids actions I worry
> >> about its the other whackos in the world. Look at San Diegos Danielle V.
> >> Case, the parents didnt watch carefully or lock their door because they were
> >> too busy smoking pot and getting laid to think about their children.
> >> Kevin
> >
> >I saw some yellow checkout rag claiming Brenda and David were "more" than just
> >neighbors.
> >If that's the case, then he might have used the key she gave him.
> >Maybe the irony of such a scenario explains why the whole thing seems to stay
> >hung up on continuing memorials,
> >as if to delay acceptance of the sordid facts.
>
> The house had an alarm system.
>
> Mom comes home at 2:30am, finds the garage door open, lights on, and alarm OFF,
> but she DOESN'T go check on her kids.
>
> Stupidity, or ? ? ?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

306. Forsale: NIB PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 07:27:28 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@cox.net>
Message-ID: <ADEh8.679$Y75.90600@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 51122
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a NIB PRO-2006, and am interested in offers over $350.00 and will accept the best offer. I paid over $350 for this scanner and have the original receipt. This is the best scanner ever made. I purchase this 2006 about 8 years ago and it has only been out of the box for 5 minutes to ensure that it functioned properly. This is your chance to get a real new in box PRO-2006. I am in Southern California if anyone would like to see the scanner in person.

Thanks,

-jim

307. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 08:19:42 UTC
From: rstevew@deeptht.armory.com
Message-ID: <3c87229d$0$22477$8eec23a@newsreader.tycho.net>
Source record: 51123
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

In article <nf1c8uo353b8ochl7dkhu85et144ji5p5u@4ax.com>,
Richard <no@mail.com> wrote:
>On 06 Mar 2002 09:40:26 GMT, rstevew@deeptht.armory.com (Richard Steven Walz)
>wrote:
>
>>In article <t_fh8.1422$1c3.65775@news2.west.cox.net>,
>>Kevin in San Diego <kevin_hedstrom@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>You can never watch your kids too closely.
>>>------------------------------
>>Sure you can. The number of child-stealers in the population is so tiny as
>>to be the silliest thing to worry about compared to REAL danger!!
>
>Good. So you just go ahead and ignore your kids (which you don't have anyway
>or you wouldn't be saying that crap), and I'll keep watching mine. You're
>helping increase the odds that MY kids won't be the target here....

The best way to protect your kids is to expose them to the truth and stand
back. They're smarter than you trying to keep them ignorant can ever be
effective. Expose them to sex at home and talk about everything and they
will know how to avoid people who might be dangerous, and all entirely
without you even worrying about it.
Steve

308. Re: PRO-2053 Display

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 11:15:44 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <AZHh8.7091$k5.2810733@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51124

Oops...forgot about LTR. They don't use it around where I live, so I don't think about it.

Bill

"Bob_Parnass_AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net> wrote in message news:3c86dc88$0$35579$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com...
> The PRO-2067 can track LTR systems, but the
> PRO-2053 does not.
>
> On Wed, 06 Mar 2002 19:41:17 -0600, Bill Crocker wrote:
>
> > The display on the PRO-2053 is large, and very easy to read! About the
> > only thing it lacks from the PRO-2067 is support for CTCSS.
>
>
> --
> ==
> Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
> Linux user

309. Re: SCHEMATIC FOR BC 210 XW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-07 14:36:35 UTC
From: ted hay <tedh1@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3C877AF3.78ED6C1C@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 51125
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi

Could you email the schematic to me also, it would be appreciated.

Ted

Mac wrote:

> Harold, I missed your original post, but I found the reply from Bob Parnass.
>
> I have a schematic for the 210XW. I have scanned it and will attempt to
> post it here. I've never tried to do this before so if something fouls up
> please bear with me. I can email it to you as a jpeg if necessary.
>
> Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net> wrote in message
> news:3c8642b6$0$1600$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com...
> > The BC-210XW was actually a Uniden model, built by
> > Uniden after Electra sold out. Therefore, I think
> > the BC-210XW circuitry would
> > be similar to a Uniden BC-800XLT
> > but without the 800 MHz front end board.
> >
> >
> > On Wed, 06 Mar 2002 08:21:15 -0600, Harold Howe wrote:
> >
> > > Sill looking for the Schematic for the BC 210XW Schematic. Uniden
> > > doesn't have it and I've tried about everwhere to find one
> > > ... I have the BC 210XL
> > > schematic, but it's not much help...
> >
> > --
> > ==
> > Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
> > Linux user

310. FA: Pro-90 Trunktracking scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 14:51:45 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020307095145.09842.00000230@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 51126

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1337162020

This auction is for a Radio Shack Pro-90 300 channel handheld trunking scanner. It is a 9.5 out of 10 physically due to a few very minor scratches. It has been used only in my shack, a non-smoking environment. I am selling it to get money for a dual-trunking base scanner. It has never been opened and comes with the charger and orignal antenna. You can view complete details on this scanner at http://www.radioshack.com/searchplus.asp?type=ALL&find=pro-90#. The manual is also available there in PDF format.

This item is NOT sold "as-is". It is guarenteed to work when shipped and will arrive in that condition, unless damaged or abused by the shipping company. Insurance is REQUIRED to ensure that the buyer does not lose any money.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

311. Re: PRO-2006 values

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 14:55:07 UTC
From: gerr1919 <gerr1919@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3C877ED7.D6976146@gte.net>
Source record: 51127
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello Bill
Really to modify a 2006 it only means clipping one wire,
regards gerr1919

William Ferguson wrote:

> This may sound dumb, but judging from some of the ebay prices for modified
> pro-2006 would you say the modified ones go for more or less than a
> straight stock one? Also, is it economical to modify one if it is more?
> (would you get as much or for then the mods cost)?

312. Re: Pro-93 interface help.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 16:41:05 UTC
From: Don <don@starrsoft.com>
Message-ID: <ue5f8ug4e9k7qqtv788umv6c7td9qe6k86@4ax.com>
Source record: 51128

On 06 Mar 2002 04:52:44 GMT, emoney250@aol.com (Eric G.) wrote:

>go to Pro93.com and you will find everything you need there. A link to a
>program (Win93 version 00.17)
[snip]

You WILL NOT find Win93 or a link to Win93, any version, at
www.pro93.com.

The owner of pro93.com included it, without permission, in his ZIP
file for a brief period at the beginning of February. He was asked to
remove Win93 from his web site on February 7, and he did so.

Please do not tell people to look for Win93 at pro93.com. Such
information will merely frustrate the people you're trying to help.

The correct place to find Win93 is:
http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/Win93/index.html.

-Don

_____
Posted Via Uncensored-News.Com - Still Only $9.95 - http://www.uncensored-news.com
With NINE Servers In California And Texas - The Worlds Uncensored News Source

313. Re: Pro-93 two way interface cable.....awesome

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 16:59:40 UTC
From: Don <don@starrsoft.com>
Message-ID: <mr5f8u4vag95e445aosoghq6t87gklepsl@4ax.com>
Source record: 51129

On 06 Mar 2002 04:49:07 GMT, emoney250@aol.com (Eric G.) wrote:

>Now, before spatting off, go to Pro93.com, then click on link to download
>freeware for scanner, after unzipping/loading freeware in PC, open program, in
>upper right hand will be a "help" option, click it and click on the 'about
>win93' and read the information there.

Go try those steps yourself. Make sure you purge your browser's cache
or history first (to ensure that you're actually downloading the
"current" version of the ZIP file from the web site. Win93 is NOT
there. It hasn't been since February 7, 2002.

>The version says 'Win93 00.17'

Version 00.17 was created on February 21, 2002. The CAB file that is
embedded in the ZIP file on pro93.com is dated January 18, 2002. The
owner of pro93.com has reverted his distribution to a point BEFORE he
included Win93. (The initial release of Win93 was on February 03,
2002, well after the pro93.com CAB file was created).

>It mentions some guy named Don

That would be me.

>and a web page of www.starrsoft.com/freeware.

Actually, it mentions:
http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/Win93/index.html

That is the ONLY official place to get Win93.

>Nonetheless, I downloaded the Win93 using the Pro93 web page.

Not recently, you didn't.

The owner of pro93.com included Win93 in his ZIP distribution on or
about February 7, 2002. On that date, I noticed the inclusion and sent
him a message asking him to remove Win93. He did so immediately. Since
February 7, 2002, Win93 has NOT been available at pro93.com.

Your persistent statement that it IS available at pro93.com will only
serve to frustrate the very people you purport to help here. On March
5, 2002, you instructed people to go to pro93.com and
download/unzip/install the software they find there. That information,
while perhaps well-meaning, is nearly a month out of date.

>Who gives a fu** where it originally came from

I certainly do. So does Ken, as he had a hand in its creation. The
"About" box you mentioned should tell you that.

-Don Starr
(AUTHOR of Win93 and Win92).

Posted Via Uncensored-News.Com - Still Only $9.95 - http://www.uncensored-news.com
With NINE Servers In California And Texas - The Worlds Uncensored News Source

314. Re: Pro-93 two way interface cable.....awesome

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 18:04:04 UTC
From: Don <don@starrsoft.com>
Message-ID: <3daf8u0p1grammknnqrrpp8g6j3frf1dbp@4ax.com>
Source record: 51130

Incidentally, I tried it this morning. I first removed all instances
of Win93.exe from my computer. I browsed to pro93.com and downloaded
"pro93freeware.zip" (after purging my cache and temporary files). A
2.7 MB ZIP file was downloaded. I opened that ZIP and ran the
SETUP.EXE it contains. It installed a whole bunch of stuff into my
system directory, as well as into a directory under "Program Files". I
next searched my hard drives for Win93.exe. NOT THERE.

Conclusion: Win93.exe is not available from pro93.com.

(After this test, I ran GoBack to revert my hard drives to the state
they were in before the test. I don't want all that crap in my
registry and in my system directory).

-Don

On 7 Mar 2002 16:59:40 GMT, Don <don@starrsoft.com> wrote:

>On 06 Mar 2002 04:49:07 GMT, emoney250@aol.com (Eric G.) wrote:
>
>>Now, before spatting off, go to Pro93.com, then click on link to download
>>freeware for scanner, after unzipping/loading freeware in PC, open program, in
>>upper right hand will be a "help" option, click it and click on the 'about
>>win93' and read the information there.
>
>Go try those steps yourself. Make sure you purge your browser's cache
>or history first (to ensure that you're actually downloading the
>"current" version of the ZIP file from the web site. Win93 is NOT
>there. It hasn't been since February 7, 2002.
>

Posted Via Uncensored-News.Com - Still Only $9.95 - http://www.uncensored-news.com
With NINE Servers In California And Texas - The Worlds Uncensored News Source

315. Re: Trunking vs. Dual Trunking Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 18:30:07 UTC
From: Horse Thief <HorseThief99@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <a68bjjito1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 51131

"Keith" <nospamplease@newsguy.com> wrote in message
news:a66qp80f5p@enews4.newsguy.com...
> Horse Thief wrote:
>
> >What's the difference between these- and which should I buy?
>
> Dual Trunking refers to ericsson and Motorola trunk tracking and also
> allows scanning of conventional frequencies.
> The Radio Shack Pro-93, Pro-2053 support this mode.
> The Uniden BC245xlt & BC780xlt support dual trunking, actually the 780 can
> also trunk track LTR systems.
>
>
>
> --
> Best Regards,
>
> Keith
> ==
> My Home Page http://n6jpa.home.attbi.com/
> The Reply-to Address of this post is valid.
> Medical care is a human right, not a profit margin.
> ==

Thanks for the info!

Hoss

316. Re: Uniden Bearcat 350A Scanner BRAND NEW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 18:32:19 UTC
From: Wayne <wayneleake@wayneleake.net>
Message-ID: <u8fchjsvrlja81@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51132
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

How about a baby fig tree?
You can grow it in a pot.

"S Ecurity Unlimited" <securit1951@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:4Ath8.41134$0C1.3297624@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I will also do trades as well.
>
>

317. FS: UNIDEN BEARCAT BC9000-XLT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 18:44:27 UTC
From: Bob Schoenleber <bobs711@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <i4df8uolbnslk2prt90humlqltlf3gjcmj@4ax.com>
Source record: 51133
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

FOR SALE: UNIDEN BEARCAT BC9000-XLT Scanner.

Complete, with OPTIONAL CTCSS TONE BOARD. This models covers virtually every frequency from 25 MHz to 1300 MHz (less cellular). It receives military frequencies not found on most other scanners. You get 500 channels organized in 20 banks of 25 channels each. A VFO control (manual tuning knob) enables fast selection of memories or frequencies. TurboScan allows you to scan up to 100 channels per second and TurboSearch lets you select either 100 or 300 steps per second when searching VHF frequencies. The automatic store feature searches out active frequencies and automatically stores them for you in an available memory location. Selectable mode allows you to change the default receiving mode (AM, FM-wide or FM-narrow) to hear all the action. Advanced features include: Two Line Alpha LCD, Delay key (2 seconds), Channel Lockout, Data Skip (the scanner skips over non-voice channels), Backlight Dimmer, High Cut Audio Filter and tape recorder activation. External jacks include: BNC antenna, external speaker, line out, aux jack and DC power. The BC9000XLT comes with: AC adapter, telescopic antenna original carton and Owner's Manual. Size: 10.5x3.5x7.375 inches. Frequencies covered: 25~550MHz, 760~824MHz, 849~869MHz, and 894~1300MHz! Excellent Condition. $210.00 shipped within CONUS.

Thanks for reading....Bob

318. Re: importing scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 18:46:28 UTC
From: Harry <hgk@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <3C87B584.8000032B@centurytel.net>
Source record: 51134

I ordered one from
www.cbshop.nl
The shipping and DHL insurance was high $97.65
It took 8 days to get here from the time that I ordered and they even
sent a tracking number, it was shipped as a DJX2000 computer interface!
Ryan wrote:
>
> I've been wanting to buy an Alinco DJ-X2000 with continuous coverage.
> The lowest price I found was at Javiation. I was just wondering if
> scanners are still getting through customs without a problem most of
> the time. Any firsthand experience would be great. Thanks.

319. UNIDEN BEARCAT 3000XLT $200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 18:58:31 UTC
From: Bob Schoenleber <bobs711@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <erdf8u03gmnvjum3vsd3l8ds9cd75vbq0d@4ax.com>
Source record: 51135
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

UNIDEN BEARCAT 3000XLT Scanner.

400 Channel Continuous Band Scanner. 25 MHz-1.3GHz. FEATURES: 400 Channel, High Performance Continuous Band Scanner, 20 Banks, 10 Priority Channels, Frequency transfer, Automatic Store, Automatic Sorting, Speed Key, Delay Key, Channel Lockout
Key, and more. Step Key, Turbo Frequency Search, BRAND NEW BP-2500 1000mAh NiMH Rechargeable Battery Pack. (Excludes Cellular Frequencies.) Excellent Condition.

$200 including shipping to CONUS.

Thanks for reading....Bob

320. Re: Seattle Area Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 19:17:04 UTC
From: wilrobnson@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020307141704.07185.00000189@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 51136

>
>Anyone around here have the Seattle and Puget sound freqs for police and
>fire..?
>
>Trying to find them and get the trunking straight for Valley comm. and King
>County
>

try http://www.northwestradio.com/interceptnw

321. FS Drake SW8 1997 model

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 19:51:41 UTC
From: gerr1919 <gerr1919@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3C87C456.E745A9A4@gte.net>
Source record: 51137
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have a SW8 1997 year vintage to sell it has all the improvments to
make it
perfom well. on a scale of 1 to 10 it is a 9. I have the canvas carrying
bag
which cost extra, the power pack, owners manuel, and the box. It is in
"pristine" cond. and performs as well. I will sell it for $450 shipped
Priority
mail. I will ship when I receive a postal moneyorder. if interested you
can call me at 727 547 6337 in St. Petersburg, Fl gerr1919@gte.net

322. Re: importing scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 20:09:46 UTC
From: HM George VII <rogomail@Spamhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <a68he9pcb1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 51138

It can be tricky at times, I, myself have lost three scanners since Sep 11th.
Having said that, I have shipped about 2 dozen to the US since then as well, and all but the three got through.

HN

.

"Harry" <hgk@centurytel.net> wrote in message news:3C87B584.8000032B@centurytel.net...
>
> I ordered one from
> www.cbshop.nl
> The shipping and DHL insurance was high $97.65
> It took 8 days to get here from the time that I ordered and they even
> sent a tracking number, it was shipped as a DJX2000 computer interface!
> Ryan wrote:
> >
> > I've been wanting to buy an Alinco DJ-X2000 with continuous coverage.
> > The lowest price I found was at Javiation. I was just wondering if
> > scanners are still getting through customs without a problem most of
> > the time. Any firsthand experience would be great. Thanks.

323. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 20:48:32 UTC
From: grtpmpkin32@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020307154832.06297.00000221@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 51139

>>Wow. What a string of completely illogical reaction and assertion.
>
>To someone without kids perhaps.....
>
WHERE in God's green Earth do you have ANY support for this idea that I don't have children?
Apparently you didn't read the rest of my post, or simply couldn't understand it.
I asked how having a differing opinion than yours, in the matter of child safety, was any kind of proof of whether one had children or not. Your reply here indicates you have no answer to my question.
If you DO have children, I suggest you get yourself some help in parenting and anxiety management... your tendency to immediately equate common sense honesty and talking to children about touchy subjects, with allowing pedophiles to hurt your children is a seriously irrational pattern, and should be corrected. It serves your children poorly. Get some help.
Linus

324. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 20:55:00 UTC
From: grtpmpkin32@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020307155500.06297.00000225@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 51140

>>The best way to protect your kids is to expose them to the truth and stand
>>back.
>
>Hmmm. Good idea. I'll put my kids in a room with a pedophile to expose them
>to the reality that they exist.
>

Another string of nonsensical hysterical reactions.
Take a deep breath and think for a second about how completely ridiculous your position is.
Based on your presumption here, you must also think that Steve was suggesting that the best way to teach a child to stay away from drugs is to get them hooked on heroine...
I'd hate to be in your children's shoes if that's the kind of parental knee-jerking I was being raised under.
Linus

325. Ambulance Freqs in San Jose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 21:15:51 UTC
From: "D. Jacobs" <dju@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <svlf8ugelnulj2schot6jca4j7ktp5i2c3@4ax.com>
Source record: 51141
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anybody know what they are? I already know of 453.100 but when I scan what I thought was Medivac's freqs, I get nothing.

A URL to a San Jose freqs page would be great if anyone has one.

Thanks!

Dave

326. Re: Ambulance Freqs in San Jose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 21:23:43 UTC
From: ScanMan <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com>
Message-ID: <zTQh8.37982$xG.1758@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 51142
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I think this would be your best bet. http://www.freqofnature.com/

http://pages.prodigy.net/hounddog/sanjose.html

"D. Jacobs" <dju@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:svlf8ugelnulj2schot6jca4j7ktp5i2c3@4ax.com...
> Does anybody know what they are? I already know of 453.100 but when I
> scan what I thought was Medivac's freqs, I get nothing.
>
> A URL to a San Jose freqs page would be great if anyone has one.
>
> Thanks!
>
> Dave

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.330 / Virus Database: 184 - Release Date: 2/28/2002

327. Online retailer - Comm HQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 22:25:12 UTC
From: GoogleFish <ryanhuang99@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <xNRh8.108101$JZ.14277044@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 51143

http://www.chq-inc.com/

Anyone ever deal with the e-tailer - any comments good or bad would be appreciated.

328. Re: Baby monitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 22:41:38 UTC
From: nojunk@this_address.com
Message-ID: <1f8ojb7.1u1pqlblx0qaqN%nojunk@this_address.com>
Source record: 51144

DougSlug <dw_firewall@hotmail.com> wrote:

> Useful link, Randy, but it's got pop-ups from HELL!
>
> POP-UPS MUST DIE!!!

Just turn off Javascript in your browser before going to any tripod.com sites.

I never see *any* popups unless I forget to turn Javascript back off when I leave a site that requires it to access anything.

--
Mike KD7PVT
NAR #70953 - Sr/HPR Level-1 ~ SeaNAR - The Seattle NAR Section #568
NO Junk Email, please! Real email to: amphoto [at] blarg [dot] net.
<Vegetables aren't food; vegetables are what the food eats!>

329. Re: PRO-2006 values

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 22:55:18 UTC
From: Rich <trevise@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <qdSh8.154484$s43.35507323@typhoon.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 51145
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

<SNIP>
Hello Bill
Really to modify a 2006 it only means clipping one wire,
regards gerr1919
<SNIP>

That all depends upon which modifications you're referring to. There are (additional) hardware modifications that can do things such as increase the number of channels, etc.

330. Am I really missing that much not having an unblocked scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-07 23:56:36 UTC
From: rml6565@ksu.edu
Message-ID: <5a301de4.0203071556.51f87543@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51146

Is having an unblocked scanner worth getting? Also, is voice
inversion decryption worth getting?

331. Re: Am I really missing that much not having an unblocked scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 00:03:33 UTC
From: Steve Bowyer <wz7e@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <pdTh8.177$q2.110@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 51047

Most guys who want "unblocked" scanners are interested in listening to cell phones. If you are not interested in that (in most cases it's illegal anyway) then save the money and go for an unblocked scanner. Sorry, I'm not much familiar with voice inversion.
Steve B

"Ryan" <rml6565@ksu.edu> wrote in message
news:5a301de4.0203071556.51f87543@posting.google.com...
> Is having an unblocked scanner worth getting? Also, is voice
> inversion decryption worth getting?

332. Re: Am I really missing that much not having an unblocked scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 00:04:26 UTC
From: Alexander McNaughton <treehug3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <GdTh8.108138$JZ.14336745@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 51049

It depends if you want to listen to cell phone calls, and there are still many analog phones in use today so unblocked scanners aren't useless yet.

333. Re: Am I really missing that much not having an unblocked scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 00:06:43 UTC
From: Dennis <DennisF@ABC.NET>
Message-ID: <a68v68$cocfa$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 51048

Unblocked, no.. All those areas are now digital.

VI: dunno...

"Ryan" <rml6565@ksu.edu> wrote in message
news:5a301de4.0203071556.51f87543@posting.google.com...
> Is having an unblocked scanner worth getting? Also, is voice
> inversion decryption worth getting?

334. Re: Am I really missing that much not having an unblocked scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 00:07:47 UTC
From: Alexander McNaughton <treehug3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <OgTh8.108140$JZ.14338600@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 51050

> Unblocked, no.. All those areas are now digital.

That's not true.

335. Re: Am I really missing that much not having an unblocked scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 00:43:28 UTC
From: Dennis <DennisF@ABC.NET>
Message-ID: <a691b5$cd227$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 51051

You're right. its a matter of time tho..

"Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:OgTh8.108140$JZ.14338600@news20.bellglobal.com...
>
> > Unblocked, no.. All those areas are now digital.
>
> That's not true.
>
>

336. Re: Am I really missing that much not having an unblocked scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 00:44:01 UTC
From: Dennis <DennisF@ABC.NET>
Message-ID: <a691c5$cfq29$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 51052

Well I mean for cell phones and I am sure that is what it is for right?

"Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:OgTh8.108140$JZ.14338600@news20.bellglobal.com...
>
> > Unblocked, no.. All those areas are now digital.
>
> That's not true.
>
>

337. Re: Am I really missing that much not having an unblocked scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 00:51:28 UTC
From: Alexander McNaughton <treehug3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <MVTh8.108180$JZ.14366333@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 51053

Yes, but there are still plenty of cell phones in use today that are not digital and therefore are subject to being monitored on unblocked scanners. In fact, I realized recently that my own mother's phone is analog-only.

> Well I mean for cell phones and I am sure that is what it is for right?
>
>

338. Re: Am I really missing that much not having an unblocked scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 01:30:58 UTC
From: Dennis <DennisF@ABC.NET>
Message-ID: <a69447$cn1je$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 51054

Hmm... I dont pick up anything on my PCR-1000 (UK Ver). I just got an R10
that can be modded for full freq. Hmmmm....

"Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:MVTh8.108180$JZ.14366333@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Yes, but there are still plenty of cell phones in use today that are not
> digital and therefore are subject to being monitored on unblocked
scanners.
> In fact, I realized recently that my own mother's phone is analog-only.
>
> > Well I mean for cell phones and I am sure that is what it is for right?
> >
> >
>
>

339. Re: FS Drake SW8 1997 model

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 01:32:12 UTC
From: gerr1919 <gerr1919@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3C881427.CC2843F6@gte.net>
Source record: 51055
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

SORRY THE SW8 HAS BEEN SOLD

gerr1919 wrote:

> I have a SW8 1997 year vintage to sell it has all the improvments to
> make it SORRY IT HAS BEEN SOLD

>
> perfom well. on a scale of 1 to 10 it is a 9. I have the canvas carrying
> bag
> which cost extra, the power pack, owners manuel, and the box. It is in
> "pristine" cond. and performs as well. I will sell it for $450 shipped
> Priority
> mail. I will ship when I receive a postal moneyorder. if interested you
> can call me at 727 547 6337 in St. Petersburg, Fl gerr1919@gte.net

340. SW8 SOLD SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 01:39:38 UTC
From: gerr1919 <gerr1919@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3C8815E7.2E3DB96E@gte.net>
Source record: 51056
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Sorry my SW8 has been SOLD, Thank you all for your replys
gerr1919 my best regards

341. Re: Pro-93 interface help.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 01:46:14 UTC
From: Highlander <jsimon@trillius.com>
Message-ID: <wJUh8.2419$17.1517908@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 51057

Your misdirection helps no one. Win93 is not at pro93.com. Either you are a troll or a complete idiot.

"Eric G." <emoney250@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020305235244.13681.00001908@mb-fw.aol.com...
> go to Pro93.com and you will find everything you need there. A link to a
> program (Win93 version 00.17) and a one way or two way cable to order. I got
> the 2 way cable and used the Win93 on my Presario 7360 and my Pro93 scanner
> communicates with my PC using the freeware (Win93) . Easy stuff!!
> Eric G.
> education CANNOT buy common sense

342. Re: FS Drake SW8 1997 model

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 01:57:59 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com>
Message-ID: <HUUh8.120091$Hu6.30465989@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 51058
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"gerr1919" <gerr1919@gte.net> wrote in message
news:3C881427.CC2843F6@gte.net...
> SORRY THE SW8 HAS BEEN SOLD

Why are you sorry?

>
> gerr1919 wrote:
>
> > I have a SW8 1997 year vintage to sell it has all the improvments to
> > make it SORRY IT HAS BEEN SOLD
>
> >
> > perfom well. on a scale of 1 to 10 it is a 9. I have the canvas carrying
> > bag
> > which cost extra, the power pack, owners manuel, and the box. It is in
> > "pristine" cond. and performs as well. I will sell it for $450 shipped
> > Priority
> > mail. I will ship when I receive a postal moneyorder. if interested you
> > can call me at 727 547 6337 in St. Petersburg, Fl gerr1919@gte.net
>

343. 2 scanners=2 different receptions-Why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 02:31:09 UTC
From: Paul Lochner <paulsfd@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <NnVh8.21170$gQ.5733073@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 51059

OK. Riddle me this--I have a BC350A in my car with a Radio Shack trunk mounted antenna and it picks up AMAZINGLY on low band (it also picked up the same way when I used it in my shed). My base scanner is a BC780XLT hooked up to a Diamond D-130J antenna mounted on a mast 35 feet up on the roof of my house and it picks up low band very good, but nothing like the BC350A. Why??
I have 50 feet of RG-8/X going from the Diamond to the BC780 with one adaptor to BNC...can I loose this much signal strength? I have an amplifier hooked into it also, but this only improves VHF/UHF reception.

--
Get in there you cowards!

344. Re: Seattle Area Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 03:08:11 UTC
From: tazdog <tazdog-security@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <vWVh8.1161$af7.867@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 51060

Hey thanks a lot
Scott

"WilRobnson" <wilrobnson@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020307141704.07185.00000189@mb-cr.aol.com...
> >
> >Anyone around here have the Seattle and Puget sound freqs for police and
> >fire..?
> >
> >Trying to find them and get the trunking straight for Valley comm. and
King
> >County
> >
>
> try http://www.northwestradio.com/interceptnw

345. Pro-93 Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 03:49:36 UTC
From: Dan <lists@elp.rr.com>
Message-ID: <60dg8u42sd7fp8ump0l8elqbep51t5rs7k@4ax.com>
Source record: 51061

Well I bought the Pro93 appears to be a great scanner but I am having problems trunking. The system our city uses is Motorola II - Smart I programed the scanner for the 20+ trunking freqs and when I go to scan it does not work unless I go back to pgm>trunk>mode and switch to EDacs not Motorla. When it scans the led does have the MO at top righ line so I assume I am just misunderstadning how it works. What I am saying if I do not switch off on Mot mode ot edsac mode then it seesms to scan but ni audio unless I go back to Edsca mode.

thanks

346. Forsale: NIB PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 03:53:49 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@cox.net>
Message-ID: <hBWh8.3351$Y75.174237@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 51063
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a NIB PRO-2006, and am interested in offers over $350.00 and will accept the best offer. I paid over $350 for this scanner and have the original receipt. This is the best scanner ever made. I purchase this 2006 about 8 years ago and it has only been out of the box for 5 minutes to ensure that it functioned properly. This is your chance to get a real new in box PRO-2006. I am in Southern California if anyone would like to see the scanner in person.

Thanks,

-jim

347. Handheld Antenna's question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 03:54:10 UTC
From: tazdog <tazdog-security@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <CBWh8.1883$uA5.3559@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 51062
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a bc220xlt handheld
and is there any way to get another\better antenna to increase range and/or
better reception.

Thanks for your help,
Newbie - Scott

348. FS: BC245xlt Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 04:16:58 UTC
From: juafi@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3c883b28.7099665@news1.coam.net>
Source record: 51065

Picked up in a partial trade, a like brand new BC245xlt Trunking handheld scanner. Comes with a leather carry case, two batteries, charging craddle so you can always have a battery charged up, wall and car charger.

No manual but you can find it on the net.

The extra battery and craddle is just bought last week and they are still shrinked wrapped in the package.

I don't need this. I have enough scanners already.

Price: $160 shipped COD or PrePay from Las Vegas

349. Re: Seattle Area Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 04:35:04 UTC
From: Mark <n5bto@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <YbXh8.1592$af7.2387@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 51064
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Scott,
Try this website...
http://www.bearcat1.com/fleetwa.htm

Mark

"tazdog" <tazdog-3@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:ZGCh8.14493$aP6.17925@rwcrnsc54...
> Anyone around here have the Seattle and Puget sound freqs for police and
> fire..?
>
> Trying to find them and get the trunking straight for Valley comm. and
King
> County
>
> Any help would be great
> thanks
> Scott
>
>
>

350. Re: Pro-93 interface help.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 05:19:22 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020308001922.09801.00000307@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 51066

Some of you idiots don't seem to understand than when someone finds something that works for them, they simply recommend the EXACT same steps (that he/she took) to make it easy for someone else to accomplish the same objective. Too hard for you boozers to understand I guess. Have another drink already.....
Eric G.
education CANNOT buy common sense

351. Re: Seattle Area Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 05:21:36 UTC
From: tazdog <tazdog-3@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <ATXh8.2028$ZR2.2041@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 51067
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Great site, thanks Mark...
I might as well throw this out as well

Anyone know where I can get some good info on the web about how to figure
out trunking systems and new trunking radios..?
Again thanks
Scott

"Mark" <n5bto@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:YbXh8.1592$af7.2387@rwcrnsc53...
> Scott,
> Try this website...
> http://www.bearcat1.com/fleetwa.htm
>
> Mark
>
> "tazdog" <tazdog-3@attbi.com> wrote in message
> news:ZGCh8.14493$aP6.17925@rwcrnsc54...
> > Anyone around here have the Seattle and Puget sound freqs for police and
> > fire..?
> >
> > Trying to find them and get the trunking straight for Valley comm. and
> King
> > County
> >
> > Any help would be great
> > thanks
> > Scott
> >
> >
> >
>
>

352. Re: Seattle Area Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 05:39:45 UTC
From: Mark <n5bto@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <B8Yh8.1862$af7.2580@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 51068
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> Anyone know where I can get some good info on the web about how to figure
> out trunking systems and new trunking radios..?

Scott,

A lot of stuff at...
http://www.trunkedradio.net/

Mark

353. Re: Pro-93 interface help.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 06:07:08 UTC
From: Don <don@starrsoft.com>
Message-ID: <agkg8u4an1j749kh1u9i4obht03igpfg7v@4ax.com>
Source record: 51069

On 08 Mar 2002 05:19:22 GMT, emoney250@aol.com (Eric G.) wrote:

>Some of you idiots don't seem to understand than when someone finds something
>that works for them, they simply recommend the EXACT same steps (that he/she
>took) to make it easy for someone else to accomplish the same objective.

Nobody is faulting your desire or attempt to help others. The problem
is that the steps you recommend DO NOT WORK. Have you tried to
download Win93 from pro93.com since your first post? It isn't there.

People are getting on your case not because you are trying to help
others, but because you don't seem to accept the fact that what you
are recommending cannot possibly work.

You haven't even qualified your recommendation with a statement like
"it worked when I tried it a while back, maybe it doesn't now". If you
had ever said something like that, nobody would be giving you a hard
time (because your steps did, in fact, work for a very brief period at
the beginning of February).

All you've done is repeat the same "hey idiots - go to pro93.com and
download it and look at the Help->About info".

Anybody who follows your instructions will say "hey, this buffoon
doesn't know what he's talking about. I guess Ken, Don, and the others
were right".

-Don

Posted Via Uncensored-News.Com - Still Only $9.95 - http://www.uncensored-news.com
With NINE Servers In California And Texas - The Worlds Uncensored News Source

354. Re: Online retailer - Comm HQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 06:10:46 UTC
From: aa1339@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3c885521.3081821@news.gl.centurytel.net>
Source record: 51070

>http://www.chq-inc.com/
>
>Anyone ever deal with the e-tailer - any comments good or bad would be
>appreciated.
>

Bought an HT from them a few years ago- They seemed to be a little
awkward on handling an online order, as if they never did that before
(example- no order confirmation email) but a follow-up phone call
fixed that and my order arrived complete and correct in a few days. I
would deal with them again.

355. Re: 2 scanners=2 different receptions-Why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 06:16:07 UTC
From: Mike <room4me@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <HGYh8.1399$q2.309@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 51071

My first guess, is the D130J is designed for 60-1000mHz. As such you would expect most antennas including a discone with no gain, to perform poorly at it's band edge of 50mHz let alone down to 30mHz.

Is the amplifier designed for VHF HI-UHF and not passing the VHF-Low (30-50mHz) signals well?

Remove the amplifier and see if the problem goes away.

Swapping the receivers will rule out them as a variable.

Does your car antenna have any gain at VHF-Low which would make a noticeable difference in comparison?

Does your radio have an attenuator which may be on?

Your coax loss at 50mHz and the connector should not be much more than 2 db. That should not be enough to make the remarkable difference as you are indicating.

Mike

"Paul Lochner" <paulsfd@optonline.net> wrote in message news:NnVh8.21170$gQ.5733073@news02.optonline.net...
> OK. Riddle me this--I have a BC350A in my car with a Radio Shack trunk
> mounted antenna and it picks up AMAZINGLY on low band (it also picked up the
> same way when I used it in my shed). My base scanner is a BC780XLT hooked
> up to a Diamond D-130J antenna mounted on a mast 35 feet up on the roof of
> my house and it picks up low band very good, but nothing like the BC350A.
> Why??
> I have 50 feet of RG-8/X going from the Diamond to the BC780 with one
> adaptor to BNC...can I loose this much signal strength? I have an amplifier
> hooked into it also, but this only improves VHF/UHF reception.
>
> --
> Get in there you cowards!
>
>
>
>

356. Uniden Bearcat BC60XLT-1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 07:36:23 UTC
From: Michael <mf@mf.com>
Message-ID: <3c886a9e@news.turbotek.net>
Source record: 51072

The only known mod I found for this thing is bridging pin #1 and pin #2, anyone know how this is done? To make it from 30 channels to 80 channels. I have the schematic but not really much of an electronic guy. I assume just joining pin 1 and 2 together, by soldering them together but nothing on the boards say pin #1 and pin #2. Thanks in advance.

357. Brussels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 08:03:07 UTC
From: tct@clara.net
Message-ID: <93b76ddb.0203080003.7083d800@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51073

Anyone know of frequencies
Police
Airport
Embassies?
Thanks

358. Re: Brussels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 08:27:48 UTC
From: nospam.Belgascan@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3c8875e7.1002266@news.pi.be>
Source record: 51074

See: http://rainbow.mybravenet.com/belgascan/

On 8 Mar 2002 00:03:07 -0800, tct@clara.net (TCT) wrote:

>Anyone know of frequencies
>Police
>Airport
>Embassies?
>Thanks

359. Re: Brussels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 08:35:19 UTC
From: ScanMan <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com>
Message-ID: <bJ_h8.41053$xG.12560@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 51075

Sorry I don't eat those.... :P

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.330 / Virus Database: 184 - Release Date: 2/28/2002

360. Re: Ambulance Freqs in San Jose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 09:42:13 UTC
From: ScanMan <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com>
Message-ID: <VH%h8.69040$aFN.26366@news1.bloor.is>
Source record: 51076
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.cityfreq.com:81/ca/sanjose/

"D. Jacobs" <dju@nospam.com> wrote in message

news:svlf8ugelnulj2schot6jca4j7ktp5i2c3@4ax.com...
> Does anybody know what they are? I already know of 453.100 but when I
> scan what I thought was Medivac's freqs, I get nothing.
>
> A URL to a San Jose freqs page would be great if anyone has one.
>
> Thanks!
>
> Dave

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.330 / Virus Database: 184 - Release Date: 2/28/2002

361. Re: Baby monitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 12:19:26 UTC
From: MRK <me@you.com>
Message-ID: <u8ilakehguf5d9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51016

49MHz
"paracord" <unixpunkx@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:14e196c1.0203061131.75e4985d@posting.google.com...
> Hey does anyone know some average baby monitor freq's?

362. Re: Can my setup monitor MDT's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 12:20:30 UTC
From: MRK <me@you.com>
Message-ID: <u8ilcjp8cv9uf9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51017
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

This is stupid I know, but what does MDT stand for. Is it police telemetry
"Kevin G" <nyceguy@jps.net> wrote in message
news:eaf4749a.0203081347.59f7bb5d@posting.google.com...
> HI all,
>
> OK, I know that monitoring MDT's is supposedly illegal, however, I am
> curious. I have a PRo 2006 with the discrimnator tap mod done. This
> unit also has the OS-456 FULL board installed. Do I need anything
> else besides the MDT software? Do I need a different interface
> besides the OS 456? I have read about it and it a bit confusing.
> Please let me know...
>
> Kevin
>
> E-mail nyceguy@jps.net

363. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 12:23:44 UTC
From: MRK <me@you.com>
Message-ID: <u8iliqk8jur960@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51018
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

Just implant your children with the Digital Angel and you'll never have to
know where there at. It will also be usefull when the gov't outlaws paper
money and everyone goes to the chip. The only drawback is that they'll burn
in Hell for taking the Beast.
<Robert> wrote in message
news:1hta8u05bdh3d48h0d69bd00u2baun5igq@news-west.newscene.com...
> On Sat, 16 Feb 2002 23:31:32 -0500, nospam@nospam.com wrote:
>
> >John P Vassel wrote:
> >>
> >> Kevin <nomail@nospam.com> wrote in
> >> news:a4mlo5rip1@driftwood.ccs.carleton.ca:
> >>
> >> > Actually, Dick, that reminds me of something I never thought of that
> >> > would make any concerned parent rest easy: the parent should keep a
> >> > radio on too, monitoring the children's frequency.
> >>
> >> The main reason we send the kids out with these, is to keep track of
them.
> >> It also allows them the freedom to go to another friends house, and not
> >> have to come all the way back home to check in with us.
> >> We always monitor the radio, and the kids go out with fixed channel
> >> radios. (the older boy had the habit of 'accidently' turning the
channel
> >> and not hearing the call to come home.)
> >>
> >> --
> >> John Vassel
> >> KC8SKE
> >> RTFD Volunteer Firefighter
> >
> >Christ! Why not just put a leash on the kids if you have
> >to go through all that trouble?
> >
> >Remember when kids used to be kids, and life was easy?
>
> Remember when kids were young goats and not children?
> By the way, life was never easy.
>
> >Why do parents worry so damned much? You actually sit there
> >and monitor when they aren't at home? Don't you trust your kids?
> >
> > Ted

>

364. ICOM R 10 f/s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 14:07:21 UTC
From: majorwiseandhammer <pcarpenter@charter.net>
Message-ID: <u8hipldm265uf6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51078

Bought about 4 wks ago. Not removed from carton. $ 250 shipped lower 48.
Thanks, Phil

365. Re: Seattle Area Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 14:14:00 UTC
From: tazdog <tazdog-3@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <IG3i8.3829$uA5.5675@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 51077
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks again

"Mark" <n5bto@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:B8Yh8.1862$af7.2580@rwcrnsc53...
> > Anyone know where I can get some good info on the web about how to
figure
> > out trunking systems and new trunking radios..?
>
> Scott,
>
> A lot of stuff at...
> http://www.trunkedradio.net/
>
> Mark
>
>

366. FS: Optoelectronics Optolinx Interface/Dataslicer $85.00 shipped.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 15:36:14 UTC
From: kc1ua@scancapecod.net
Message-ID: <9b093b3c.0203080736.7b514bc2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51079
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Greetings,

I have for sale an original Optoelectronics Optolinx interface. This is the interface that will allow computer control of the AOR-8000 portable scanner (with proper cabling - not included) as well as just about every computer controllable Icom receiver. It also has a built-in dataslicing circuit that allows it to decode various HF/VHF/UHF/800/900 digital modes including ACARS, Trunker, etc. Unit is in very good physical and excellent operating condition.

Reason for selling: No longer in use.

Price: $85.00 shipped UPS Ground US only on receipt of US POSTAL MONEY ORDER ONLY! I will provide you with the UPS tracking number when the package is enroute.

More info on the Optolinx and its capabilities can be found by clicking this link:

http://www.optoelectronics.com/optolinx.htm

Please respond to my e-mail address if interested. Thanks for reading.

Scott
Scan Cape Cod

367. Probe Version 7.0?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 16:25:53 UTC
From: Sam Warren <mrsamwarren@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <IC5i8.26$Kt6.24856@news3.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 51080

Is Probe Version 7.0 out yet? I first purchased at 3.0 and have been receiving the upgrade offers for years. But I have not seen anything for 2 years or so from Datafile.

--

SAM

368. Re: importing scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 16:34:02 UTC
From: PaulandJacki <jacki2@gwis.com>
Message-ID: <3C88E7FA.769D@gwis.com>
Source record: 51081

I ordered a Yupiteru MVT-9000 from Bandercom. It arrived in a week. No problems at all.
Paul
> .
>
> "Harry" <hgk@centurytel.net> wrote in message
> news:3C87B584.8000032B@centurytel.net...
> >
> > I ordered one from
> > www.cbshop.nl
> > The shipping and DHL insurance was high $97.65
> > It took 8 days to get here from the time that I ordered and they even
> > sent a tracking number, it was shipped as a DJX2000 computer interface!
> > Ryan wrote:
> > >
> > > I've been wanting to buy an Alinco DJ-X2000 with continuous coverage.
> > > The lowest price I found was at Javiation. I was just wondering if
> > > scanners are still getting through customs without a problem most of
> > > the time. Any firsthand experience would be great. Thanks.

369. Re: Handheld Antenna's question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 16:40:09 UTC
From: PaulandJacki <jacki2@gwis.com>
Message-ID: <3C88E969.3837@gwis.com>
Source record: 51083
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

tazdog wrote:
>
> I have a bc220xlt handheld
> and is there any way to get another\better antenna to increase range and/or
> better reception.
>
> Thanks for your help,
> Newbie - Scott

Get yourself a telescopic antenna. You will be amazed at the increase in range. Radio Shack has them for around ten bucks. If you want a flexible antenna, try the Diamond brand model RH-77CA. It costs around forty dollars. You can get them at Ham Radio dealers. I have one and it has nearly doubled my receiving range.
PHF

370. Re: Baby monitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 16:42:15 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u8hqdihoo8mg53@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51082

Ah, the luxury of free software... Never saw a single one, just a bunch of "POPS"... Get yourself PopUp Killer.. Works wonders... Of course, you have to be running WinBlows to use it...

http://software.xfx.net/utilities/popupkiller/

Apparently the "project has been scrapped, however the program is still available for download...

PH

"DougSlug" <dw_firewall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:q3yh8.4719$gQ.2247232@news02.optonline.net...
> Useful link, Randy, but it's got pop-ups from HELL!
>
> POP-UPS MUST DIE!!!
>
>
> "Randy A. Hefner" <randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com> wrote in message
> news:b03b87e2.0203061514.349be472@posting.google.com...
> > http://members.tripod.com/columbus_east/baby.shtml
> >
> >
> >
> > unixpunkx@yahoo.com (paracord) wrote in message
> news:<14e196c1.0203061131.75e4985d@posting.google.com>...
> > > Hey does anyone know some average baby monitor freq's?
>
>

371. Re: Uniden Bearcat BC60XLT-1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 16:43:18 UTC
From: PaulandJacki <jacki2@gwis.com>
Message-ID: <3C88EA26.59E6@gwis.com>
Source record: 51084

Michael wrote:
>
> The only known mod I found for this thing is bridging pin #1 and pin #2,
> anyone know how this is done? To make it from 30 channels to 80 channels.
> I have the schematic but not really much of an electronic guy. I assume
> just joining pin 1 and 2 together, by soldering them together but nothing on
> the boards say pin #1 and pin #2. Thanks in advance.

Go to www.mods.dk
it has the mod for the 60 xlt. I did it on mine and it works great.
Paul

372. Re: Am I really missing that much not having an unblocked scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 16:50:32 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020308115032.00803.00000270@mb-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 51085

>Subject: Re: Am I really missing that much not having an unblocked scanner?
>From: "Alexander McNaughton" treehug3@hotmail.com
>Date: 3/7/2002 6:04 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <GdTh8.108138$JZ.14336745@news20.bellglobal.com>
>
>
>It depends if you want to listen to cell phone calls, and there are still
>many analog phones in use today so unblocked scanners aren't useless yet.
>

Depends where you are. In wide open rural spaces they may never convert.
Digital doesn't cover the same range as analog and they are barely covering
costs in rural situations now. Despite the misleading ads, didgital cellular &
PCS is useless over a majority of the land area of the US.
Voice inversion "scrambling" is a matter of this question: Does anyone in
your area use it? It's really 40's technology and I am at a loss as to why
anyone would use it today but if they use it, it might make sense to add the
capability to your scanner. A lot like VHF low band equipment, it's totally
outdated but a minor annoyance they might feel the need to throw at scanner
users. I used to maintain radios for a sheriff's department that had us set the
modulation way low on their base station so that if the scanner listeners
turned up their scanners enough to hear them, then they would blast their ears
off when the city police or somebody else talked. Their cars didn't notice with
the volume way up on the county radio, because they had a separte radio for the
other frequencies. They kept it up until they got single unit scanning radios
in their cars, then they had to stop. It was all just to annoy scanner
listeners, kind of childish I thought.
Neutrodyne

"My opinion is not relevant." - "Dr." Laura Schlessinger, February 20, 2002

373. WTB:Handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 16:53:38 UTC
From: indyham <k9dzdd@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <m06i8.1158$702.7938@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 51086

im looking for a handheld scanner,trunk or conventional..that will receive 800MHZ public service

monte k9dzdd@insightbb.com

374. Re: <_**Israel Has Hijacked American Government**_>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 17:04:23 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ra6i8.22128$106.1810798@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51087
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Well, well, our resident bozo has gotten his 'post drivel to five unrelated groups' off his chest early this week. Must be playing paintball with the rest of the militia.

"Ed Bradley" <Ed. Bradley@cbs.com> wrote in message news:l84h8ugqrjkcnqkkhm623b7cqbfq30l1np@4ax.com...
> ++
>
> N.J. Homeland Security Adviser Resigns Amid Questions About His Qualifications
>
> By John P. Mcalpin Associated Press Writer
> Published: Mar 7, 2002
>
>
> TRENTON, N.J. (AP) - New Jersey's homeland security adviser has quit amid a
> furor over his lack of law enforcement experience and because his Israeli
> nationality prohibits him from obtaining a security clearance, Gov. James
> McGreevey said Thursday.
>
> Golan Cipel, 33, will be kept on the governor's staff and retain his $110,000
> salary, with duties assigned on an "as-needed" basis, McGreevey said.
>
> Cipel previously held jobs in television news and public relations. He has
> also worked for the state Democratic committee and for a major donor to
> McGreevey and other state Democrats.
>
> On Wednesday, Republican lawmakers threatened to block all of McGreevey's
> remaining appointments unless Cipel testified before the Senate Judiciary
> Committee about his background.
>
> The governor said Cipel first asked to quit more than two weeks ago "due to
> his Israeli citizenship status." Security clearances are normally required for
> all Cabinet members and major nominations.

>
> Cipel is not the state's homeland security director. That job belongs to
> Assistant Attorney General Kathryn Flicker, who fills a Cabinet-level
post as
> head of the state's homeland security panel.
>
> A former Israeli sailor and a published poet, Cipel had worked as a
spokesman
> for the Israeli Consulate in New York. Last year he took a job with the
state
> Democratic Committee. During the gubernatorial campaign, he was
McGreevey's
> liaison to the Jewish community and an informal adviser on security
matters.
>
> Cipel has also worked for real estate developer Charles Kushner, a major
> supporter of New Jersey Democrats. That job was left off the biography
of
> Cipel distributed by McGreevey's office.
>
> The governor's staff has barred Cipel from interviews or public comment
about
> his background or the state job.
>
> http://ap.tbo.com/ap/breaking/MGAGZHRBJYC.html

375. Re: Handheld Antenna's question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 17:09:10 UTC
From: faive@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <305ca694.0203080909.7d7cff4b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51088
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"tazdog" <tazdog-security@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<CBWh8.1883$uA5.3559@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>...
> I have a bc220xlt handheld
> and is there any way to get another\better antenna to increase range and/or
> better reception.
>
> Thanks for your help,
> Newbie - Scott

Sure! Almost any aftermarket antenna would do better than the stock
antenna your hh brings. Take a look at the different reviews of
antennas that are in strongsignals.net and make your decision. In my
opinion, one of your best bet would be to buy an antenna called Watson
W889, available at http://www.durhamradio.ca/scanacc.htm#HSA or the
ant06 available at grove-ent.com

Both are telescopic antennas that can be suited to best match your
needs. All the way extended for the 108-137 band, for instance, or
just at 6" for the 800-900 mHz band.

Have fun!

376. Re: Handheld Antenna's question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 17:19:43 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <Po6i8.827$4H5.13397@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 51089
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Be VERY careful with those telescoping antennas...their rigidity can cause an otherwise minor drop-to-the-floor to break the innards of your scanner's antenna connection. Which can render the scanner totally useless until repaired.

Ditto on the Diamond RH-77... it is terrific. Similar to the Diamond, and just a bit bettter in my opinion, is the "CC Super Gainer" from C Crane Co at http://www.ccrane.com/scanner_antennas.asp They're excellent with customer service and returns, if necessary.

Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications History

"PaulandJacki" <jacki2@gwis.com> wrote in message news:3C88E969.3837@gwis.com...
> tazdog wrote:
> >
> > I have a bc220xlt handheld
> > and is there any way to get another\better antenna to increase range and/or
> > better reception.
> >
> > Thanks for your help,
> > Newbie - Scott
>
> Get yourself a telescopic antenna. You will be amazed at the increase in
> range. Radio Shack has them for around ten bucks. If you want a flexible
> antenna, try the Diamond brand model RH-77CA. It costs around forty
> dollars. You can get them at Ham Radio dealers. I have one and it has
> nearly doubled my receiving range.
> PHF

377. Re: WTB:Handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 17:23:14 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u8hsqa4k5e9be7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51090

Look five posts up... Or about that, may vary slightly on others news servers...

PH

"indyham" <k9dzdd@insightbb.com> wrote in message news:m06i8.1158$702.7938@sccrnsc02...
> im looking for a handheld scanner,trunk or conventional..that will receive
> 800MHZ public service
>
> monte k9dzdd@insightbb.com
>
>
>
>
>

378. Re: unblocked scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 17:40:50 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <CI6i8.877$4H5.15798@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 51091

Hey "Neutrodyne" -

Never heard of THAT trick before.. that's a hoot! I love it. Kinda goofy, but funny.

--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications History

"Neutrodyne" <neutrodyne@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020308115032.00803.00000270@mb-ck.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Am I really missing that much not having an unblocked scanner?
> >From: "Alexander McNaughton" treehug3@hotmail.com
> >
> >It depends if you want to listen to cell phone calls, and there are still
> >many analog phones in use today so unblocked scanners aren't useless yet.
> >

I used to maintain radios for a sheriff's department that had us set the
modulation way low on their base station so that if the scanner listeners
turned up their scanners enough to hear them, then they would blast their ears
off when the city police or somebody else talked. Their cars didn't notice with
the volume way up on the county radio, because they had a separte radio for the
other frequencies. They kept it up until they got single unit scanning radios
in their cars, then they had to stop. It was all just to annoy scanner
listeners, kind of childish I thought.

Neutrodyne

379. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 18:07:18 UTC
From: Bart Bailey <f@l.se>
Message-ID: <3C88FDD5.77A51553@amsat.org>
Source record: 51092
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

Richard Steven Walz wrote:

> <~~~>
> -------------------------
> The best way to protect your kids is to expose them to the truth and stand
> back. They're smarter than you trying to keep them ignorant can ever be
> effective. Expose them to sex at home and talk about everything and they
> will know how to avoid people who might be dangerous, and all entirely
> without you even worrying about it.
> Steve

Humans have evolved with a large curious brain;
....as parents, you have the first shot at "spinning" the data they will
inevitably acquire and assimilate.
If you chose to reject, ignore, deny,
and/or distort the answers to your children's questions,
don't be surprised later on if you are likewise rejected.

~Bart~

380. Re: Am I really missing that much not having an unblocked scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 18:39:36 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u8i19jns5lsd9d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51093

"Neutrodyne" <neutrodyne@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020308115032.00803.00000270@mb-ck.aol.com...

> I used to maintain radios for a sheriff's department that had us set the
> modulation way low on their base station so that if the scanner listeners
> turned up their scanners enough to hear them, then they would blast their
ears
> off when the city police or somebody else talked. Their cars didn't notice
with
> the volume way up on the county radio, because they had a separte radio
for the
> other frequencies. They kept it up until they got single unit scanning
radios
> in their cars, then they had to stop. It was all just to annoy scanner
> listeners, kind of childish I thought.
> Neutrodyne
>

Sounds like the crap they do around here... The cars are actually really
low in modulation, and the "base" is loud... Irritates the hell out of me..
It's they are whispering, and I have to strain to hear what they are
saying... It's not that the signals are weak, and they are very strong,
just really quiet... Maybe it has something to do with the local repeater
or something... Who knows, but my guess would be what you are describing,
as they use ancient Motorola's, with I believe 3 separate radios in the
car...

PH

381. Re: PRO-2006 values

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 19:17:40 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <jonvanallen@msn.com>
Message-ID: <3C890E54.C0869863@msn.com>
Source record: 51094
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

William, I think you have to buy the bottom cover with new rubber feet,
but it's not very much $10 or so last time I bought one. Hope this helps.

Jon

==========

200-0145 PROGRAMMABLE SCANNER Faxback Doc. # 30383

To order parts call 1-800-843-7422 or visit your local RadioShack store.

Reference # Cat.No. Description NP
Part #
5 11187689 CABINET ASSY,BOTTOM GREY Z2839
11187689 WITH FEET
Z2839

==========

William Ferguson wrote:

> On that note, does anyone have any extra feet I could purchase? I wasn't
> refering to just cliping those two diodes. I want to build mine with SCA,
> S-meter, and other stuff

382. Re: Forsale: NIB PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 19:26:13 UTC
From: Just Joe <nospam@iname.com>
Message-ID: <m34i8ugc62b0g90inip1838ftl7akvkfud@4ax.com>
Source record: 51095
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 08 Mar 2002 03:53:49 GMT, "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@cox.net> wrote:

>I have a NIB PRO-2006, and am interested in offers over $350.00 and will
>accept the best offer. I paid over $350 for this scanner and have the
>original receipt. This is the best scanner ever made. I purchase this 2006
>about 8 years ago and it has only been out of the box for 5 minutes to
>ensure that it functioned properly. This is your chance to get a real new in
>box PRO-2006. I am in Southern California if anyone would like to see the
>scanner in person.
>
>Thanks,
>
>-jim
>

Just one question. Why did you buy a $350 radio, take it out of the
box to test it, and then put it away for 8 years??

383. Re: Brussels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 20:34:19 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020308153419.10809.00000200@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 51096

>Subject: Re: Brussels
>From: "ScanMan" MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com
>Date: 3/8/2002 2:35 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <bJ_h8.41053$xG.12560@news2.bloor.is>
>
>Sorry I don't eat those.... :P

I knew a comment like this would sprout up from somewhere.
Neutrodyne

"My opinion is not relevant." - "Dr." Laura Schlessinger, February 20, 2002

384. Notch filter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 20:49:34 UTC
From: "Irene@EuroEuro" <irene@euroeuro.net>
Message-ID: <a6b84ddej1@newsreader.mailgate.org>
Source record: 50997

Hi everybody!
Has anybody got a simple schematic of a passive notch filter stopping the band 88-108? It seems like my scanner is overloaded from thoose emissions!
(i suppose that it's 88-108 the problem... anyone hase a better idea?)
Thanks!
Irene

385. WTB MFJ-959B ATU (or similar receiver ATU)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 20:53:59 UTC
From: MadDogMcQ <tom.mcq@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a6b8d7$1ff$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 50998

Anyone have a decent ATU for sale for receive-only ???

Location : NW UK. Will travel up to 50miles.

Tom.
www.ar3000.info

386. Re: Brussels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 21:27:56 UTC
From: ScanMan <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com>
Message-ID: <w1ai8.46115$xG.20945@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 50999

There you just completed it..... The brussels sprouted up.....

"Neutrodyne" <neutrodyne@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020308153419.10809.00000200@mb-fp.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Brussels
> >From: "ScanMan" MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com
> >Date: 3/8/2002 2:35 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <bJ_h8.41053$xG.12560@news2.bloor.is>
> >
> >Sorry I don't eat those.... :P
>
> I knew a comment like this would sprout up from somewhere.
> Neutrodyne
>
> "My opinion is not relevant." - "Dr." Laura Schlessinger, February 20,
2002

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.332 / Virus Database: 186 - Release Date: 3/6/2002

387. PRO-93 new firmware is out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 21:35:51 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <X8ai8.7599$k5.3021717@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51000

New firmware for the PRO-93 has been release. I picked up a PRO-93 today, on sale at Radio Shack, $169.99. I checked the firmware in the store, and it's v 1.01.

Bill Crocker

388. FA: Pro-90 Trunktracking handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 21:42:48 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.com
Message-ID: <5e5fccab.0203081342.2c5ff923@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51001

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1337162020

This auction is for a Radio Shack Pro-90 300 channel handheld trunking scanner. It is a 9.5 out of 10 physically due to a few very minor scratches. It has been used only in my shack, a non-smoking environment. I am selling it to get money for a dual-trunking base scanner. It has never been opened and comes with the charger and orignal antenna. You can view complete details on this scanner at http://www.radioshack.com/searchplus.asp?type=ALL&find=pro-90#. The manual is also available there in PDF format.

This item is NOT sold "as-is". It is guarenteed to work when shipped and will arrive in that condition, unless damaged or abused by the shipping company. Insurance is REQUIRED to ensure that the buyer does not lose any money.

389. Can my setup monitor MDT's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 21:47:52 UTC
From: nyceguy@jps.net
Message-ID: <eaf4749a.0203081347.59f7bb5d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 51002
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

HI all,

OK, I know that monitoring MDT's is supposedly illegal, however, I am curious. I have a PRo 2006 with the discrimnator tap mod done. This unit also has the OS-456 FULL board installed. Do I need anything else besides the MDT software? Do I need a different interface besides the OS 456? I have read about it and it a bit confusing. Please let me know...

Kevin

E-mail nyceguy@jps.net

390. Re: Pro-93 interface help.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 21:48:00 UTC
From: Highlander <jsimon@trillius.com>
Message-ID: <akai8.2460$17.1539018@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 51003

Clearly you are the product of generations of inbreeding and any
recommendations from you should be ignored. PLONK!!!!!!!!

"Eric G." <emoney250@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020308001922.09801.00000307@mb-mp.aol.com...
> Some of you idiots don't seem to understand than when someone finds
something
> that works for them, they simply recommend the EXACT same steps (that
he/she
> took) to make it easy for someone else to accomplish the same objective.
Too
> hard for you boozers to understand I guess. Have another drink
> already.....
> Eric G.
> education CANNOT buy common sense

391. talkgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 21:51:36 UTC
From: Terry Ashworth <tashworth@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3C893240.4000301@attbi.com>
Source record: 51006

I have programmed all of the talk groups listed on trunkedradio.com for my county (Gwinnett, Georgia). Several of the talkgroup id's are invalid. Also, several talkgroups are used heavily on their trunking system that are not listed on trunkedradio.com. I havent been able to determine what department or service these unknown talkgroups are for. Can anyone tell me how to find this info. Following are the invalid talkgroups and the unknown(unlisted) talkgroups just in case someone here already knows.

Invalid ones from trunkedradio.com: 3475, 2690 and 2674
Unlisted but in use but unable to determine user: 9712, 3472 and 4336

Thanks

Terry

392. Need someone in the Orlando area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 21:53:34 UTC
From: Amy <ACWconsult@juno.com>
Message-ID: <ypai8.4189$Nd.274719@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 51004

I am looking for a couple of people to take turn to tune in to the Orange County, Florida (and also adjacent couties) fire departments' dispatches. If you are interested in being paid to listen, please contact Amy at acwconsult@Juno.com

Thanks.

393. Re: Notch filter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 22:03:54 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020308170354.10809.00000224@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 51005

>Subject: Notch filter
>From: "Irene@EuroEuro" irene@euroeuro.net
>Date: 3/8/2002 2:49 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <a6b84ddej1@newsreader.mailgate.org>
>
>Hi everybody!
>Has anybody got a simple schematic of a passive notch filter stopping the
>band 88-108? It seems like my scanner is overloaded from thoose emissions!
>(i suppose that it's 88-108 the problem... anyone hase a better idea?)
>Thanks!
>Irene

Radio Shack sells one pre assembled for $4.99. I believe it should be avalable at www.radioshack.com. Other sources would be TV antenna/distribution equipment companies that carry a full Winegard or Channel Master product line. The item you want is commonly called an "FM Trap".
It isn't rocket science to make your own, but the actual construction is more complex and exacting than the schematic would imply. To keep from cutting a lot of signal at 50mhz or at 120 Mhz, you need carefully chosen & constructed coils & circuit board design.

394. Re: PRO-93 new firmware is out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 22:14:22 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <2Jai8.7608$k5.3027259@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51007

Hum...I was just informed by Gerry Wheeler that v 1.03 is out, so I guess mine is not the latest, and greatest. :(Might mean a trip back to the Shack.

Thanks for the heads-up Gerry,

Bill Crocker

"Bill Crocker" <billcrocker@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:X8ai8.7599$k5.3021717@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> New firmware for the PRO-93 has been release. I picked up a PRO-93 today,
> on sale at Radio Shack, $169.99. I checked the firmware in the store, and
> it's v 1.01.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>

395. Re: So just how remote can a speaker be? and other Qs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 23:08:55 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <53586FC5A6CF9104.26841C69982305B2.976BF69523C9EDDC@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 51008
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 05 Mar 2002 20:23:58 -0500, Bill Seward
<seward@northstate.net> wrote:

>Here's the situation: The wifely unit does not want my ugly scanner
>antennas (or any other antennas, for that matter) on her house.
>However, I'm allowed to put up any and everything I want on my
>outbuilding, which house my workshop. A decent compromise, except for
>the fact that I'm usually in the house, not the workshop.

Move her out to the workshop, and tell her you'll visit sometime when
she's more rational.

Better yet, put your antenna on a mast 1' away from the house.

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net
http://www.kd5nrh.net

396. Re: How's the AOR 5000+

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 23:17:32 UTC
From: baz <barry.smith@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <3c8945d1_3@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 51009
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Yep, I agree with Eavesdropper top radio, regret selling it.
"Stuart Meredith" <Info@Smartmarks.co.uk> wrote in message
news:a5qkhb$s2b$1@knossos.btinternet.com...
> Hi,
>
> Can anybody comment is the 5000+ a good radio and can you control
everything
> from PC.
>
> Thanks
> Stuart
>
>

397. Daytona Beach Police Live, Bikeweek's Last Weekend.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 23:31:46 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C8949E2.1060004@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 51010
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

This being the last weekend of BikeWeek here in Daytona Beach I'll be broadcasting Live Police/Fire from now until Monday morning.

I just drove down the main drag and WOW the bikes. Officials estimate about 500,000. Talk about sites, it looks like Mardigras in New Orleans complete with woman showing bear breasts in exchange for beads..... And that was just at 5PM, wait until SunSet and I'm sure the scanner will be pretty good.

Check it out at http://www.MilAirComms.com

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

398. Re: Am I really missing that much not having an unblocked scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 23:33:46 UTC
From: robert@bonomi.invalid
Message-ID: <uTbi8.8461$A%3.68693@ord-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 51011

In article <u8i19jns5lsd9d@corp.supernews.com>,
Power House <NunYa@Business.NET> wrote:
>
>"Neutrodyne" <neutrodyne@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020308115032.00803.00000270@mb-ck.aol.com...
>
>
>> I used to maintain radios for a sheriff's department that had us set the
>> modulation way low on their base station so that if the scanner listeners
>> turned up their scanners enough to hear them, then they would blast their
>ears
>> off when the city police or somebody else talked. Their cars didn't notice
>with
>> the volume way up on the county radio, because they had a separte radio
>for the
>> other frequencies. They kept it up until they got single unit scanning
>radios
>> in their cars, then they had to stop. It was all just to annoy scanner
>> listeners, kind of childish I thought.
>> Neutrodyne
>>
>
>Sounds like the crap they do around here... The cars are actually really
>low in modulation, and the "base" is loud... Irritates the hell out of me..
>It's they are whispering, and I have to strain to hear what they are
>saying... It's not that the signals are weak, and they are very strong,
>just really quiet... Maybe it has something to do with the local repeater
>or something... Who knows, but my guess would be what you are describing,
>as they use ancient Motorola's, with I believe 3 separate radios in the
>car...

More likely explanation: short-field "noise cancelling" microphone in the
car -- these are still *usable* with the siren going full blast. *BUT*,
you have to be _right_on_top_ of the mic, and speak up, to get decent audio
out of it. The officers that hold it 6+" away from their mouth, and speak
casually/quietly... well that results in *low* audio out. Friend of mine
used to work in a P.D. radio shop -- had recurring problems with folks
complaining about 'bad radios', when in reality it was not using the mic right.

399. Re: Can my setup monitor MDT's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 23:37:22 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <6oii8ugehh5jdp1ncmv2fbbporufc48q9c@4ax.com>
Source record: 51012
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, nyceguy@jps.net (Kevin G) spake thusly

>HI all,
>
>OK, I know that monitoring MDT's is supposedly illegal,

Not according to the law as it currently exists.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

400. Re: <_**Israel Has Hijacked American Government**_>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-08 23:42:39 UTC
From: Hal <hal@optonline.com>
Message-ID: <P%bi8.31004$gQ.8399530@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 51013
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Ed Bradley" <Ed. Bradley@cbs.com> wrote in message
news:kk3h8usu7h9asld1r4rv3643t5jj2p8ts8@4ax.com...
> >>
> <<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<
>.....
><>
> N.J. Homeland Security Adviser Resigns Amid Questions About His Qualifications
>
> By John P. Mcalpin Associated Press Writer
> Published: Mar 7, 2002
>
>
> TRENTON, N.J. (AP) - New Jersey's homeland security adviser has quit amid a
> furor over his lack of law enforcement experience and because his Israeli
> nationality prohibits him from obtaining a security clearance, Gov. James
> McGreevey said Thursday.
>
> Golan Cipel, 33, will be kept on the governor's staff and retain his $110,000
> salary, with duties assigned on an "as-needed" basis, McGreevey said.
>
> Cipel previously held jobs in television news and public relations. He has
> also worked for the state Democratic committee and for a major donor to
> McGreevey and other state Democrats.
>
> On Wednesday, Republican lawmakers threatened to block all of McGreevey's
> remaining appointments unless Cipel testified before the Senate Judiciary
> Committee about his background.
>
> The governor said Cipel first asked to quit more than two weeks ago "due to
> his Israeli citizenship status." Security clearances are normally required for
> all Cabinet members and major nominations.
>
> Cipel is not the state's homeland security director. That job belongs to
> Assistant Attorney General Kathryn Flicker, who fills a Cabinet-level post as
> head of the state's homeland security panel.
>
> A former Israeli sailor and a published poet, Cipel had worked as a spokesman
> for the Israeli Consulate in New York. Last year he took a job with the state
> Democratic Committee. During the gubernatorial campaign, he was McGreevey's
> liaison to the Jewish community and an informal adviser on security matters.
>
> Cipel has also worked for real estate developer Charles Kushner, a major
> supporter of New Jersey Democrats. That job was left off the biography of

> Cipel distributed by McGreevey's office.
>
> The governor's staff has barred Cipel from interviews or public comment about
> his background or the state job.
>
> http://ap.tbo.com/ap/breaking/MGAGZHRBJYC.html

It's not just the government and the tax money they steal, it's their overwhelming influence in the media, particularly in the "entertainment" industry. They have systematically destroyed most of the racial roots of people of European decent in America.

They also never serve a real sentence in prison when they are caught committing a crime. Think Michael Milken, Ivan Bosky, Marc Rich and the little Long Island PR agent Lizzie Grubman who ran over 16 White people with her SUV after screaming "White trash!" before stepping on the accelerator.

That's what Jews think of White people. They think almost all of us are "White trash", though the term they like to use is "goyium" or Goys" which means "cattle". They look on us as genetic garbage that are here to serve them.

American Dissident Voices Broadcast of March 2, 2002

Jewish Hate, the Media, and the ADL
By Dr. William Pierce

Hello!

Last week I mentioned that a record company I own, Resistance Records, was attacked in an hour-long special TV program on one of Sumner Redstone's music television channels, VH1. Redstone, who was born with the name Murray Rothstein, is one of the most powerful of the Jewish media masters. He owns not only VH1, but also MTV and the CBS network. His MTV specializes in encouraging young Whites to mix with and act like Blacks. He promotes "hip hop" culture and rap music among White kids, persuading White boys to talk Black and wear baggy shorts and backward baseball caps -- and persuading teenaged White girls to have sex with Blacks. He is probably the most destructive and hate-filled of all the Jewish media bosses.

The special VH1 program to which I referred was called "Inside Hate Rock." "Hate rock" is what Redstone and his people call the music I produce and distribute. Actually there's nothing hateful at all about my music. Its purpose is to help young White people have a sense of identity and pride and purpose, all things that Redstone and company are trying to take away from them. Redstone's aim -- and the aim of the Jewish media bosses generally, whether they're in Hollywood or on Madison Avenue, is to alienate young White people, to uproot them, destroy their sense of racial identity, confuse them, make them forget their traditions and their history, and persuade them to mix with Blacks and other non-Whites. That's why Redstone promotes rap music so heavily. His ultimate aim is to destroy our people, our race. And because I'm trying to counter that with Resistance Records, he calls me a "hater"

and calls the music I produce and distribute "hate rock."

Well, in addition to music, Resistance Records also produces and distributes video games. A new game that we've just begun distributing is named Ethnic Cleansing. The kids really love it. It's selling like hotcakes. Not only was our music attacked in the VH1 special I mentioned, but our new video game also was denounced as "hateful." And then one of the most powerful and hateful Jewish pressure groups, the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith, also jumped on the bandwagon and began screaming about our new game. "It's violent!" they screamed. "It teaches children to hate!" they screamed.

Let me tell you: every video game I've seen is violent. Combat is the most common theme of video games. That was true even back in the days of the first, primitive video games, such as "Donkey Kong" and "Pac Man." Most video games today involve shooting people or hacking people up with swords or other weapons. And I'm talking about games marketed by Sumner Redstone's fellow tribesmen. I'm talking about games produced and distributed by Jewish media companies that make regular donations to the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith. The Anti-Defamation League doesn't scream about those games.

Some parents are alarmed by the high level of violence in the video games their children play, but the Anti-Defamation League isn't. Perhaps violent video games aren't good for kids. I don't really know, but they are a fact of life. Many kids spend most of their free time playing video games.

The point is that Sumner Redstone and the Anti-Defamation League think our game is "hateful" and "dangerous," but not other video games. Why is that? What's the difference?

I'll tell you: our game -- Ethnic Cleansing -- is a game designed to raise the racial consciousness of the White kids who play it. That's why Sumner Redstone and the Anti-Defamation League hate it. That's why they are screaming about it. That's why they're denouncing our game as "dangerous" and complaining about the violence in it, but they aren't complaining about other video games that are at least as violent. A game that has an American GI in the Second World War shooting Germans is OK with Sumner Redstone and the Anti-Defamation League. Likewise for a Civil War game in which Yankees and Rebels slaughter each other. They think that's fine. But our game, which involves urban guerrilla warfare between Whites and non-Whites they call "hateful" and "dangerous." In other words, it's OK for Whites to fight against each other. It's OK for Whites to kill Whites. But it's not OK for Whites to fight against non-Whites. That's taboo.

Anyway, our game, Ethnic Cleansing, is designed to break that taboo. Our game is designed to teach White kids to stick up for their own people. It's designed to help White kids shed the racial guilt and self-hatred, the sense of racial inferiority, that Sumner Redstone and the folks in the Anti-Defamation League have worked so hard to instill in them. It's

designed to help them think in terms of Whites against non-Whites instead of Whites against other Whites. That's why VH1 and the Anti-Defamation League hate it.

But, you know, we shouldn't be surprised about that. VH1 is owned by a Jew. It's a Jewish propaganda channel. The Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith is a Jewish pressure group. Their aim is to destroy White racial consciousness and to break down any feeling of White solidarity. The last thing they want is for Whites to learn to stick up for themselves. So we expect them to hate us and denounce us. They have their agenda, and we have ours.

Everyone should understand that, but most people don't. The reason they don't is that all of the mass media, even those few not yet owned by Jews, collaborate to keep the Jewish agenda concealed from the public. The media routinely refer to the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith -- and from here on I'll just call it "the ADL" for short -- they routinely refer to the ADL not as a Jewish pressure group or a Jewish propaganda organization or even as a Jewish lobbying group, but as a "human rights organization" or as a "civil rights group." Really. The media want the public to believe that the ADL is an impartial group concerned with what's good for America rather than with what's good for the Jews. In fact, most media won't even tell you that it's a Jewish organization, with strong ties to Israel.

So let me tell you a little about the ADL, and you'll understand better why they do what they do. And you'll also see a little more clearly the crookedness of virtually all of the mainstream media in America. The ADL was organized in 1913 by a group of rich Jews specifically as a response to a notorious child-rape and murder in Georgia. Leo Frank was a Jew who owned a pencil factory in Atlanta. In 1913 he raped and murdered one of his White female employees, 14-year-old Mary Phagan. He was tried, convicted, and sentenced to death. The governor of Georgia, in response to Jewish lobbying -- and, most believe, in response to a large Jewish bribe -- almost immediately commuted the death sentence. Angry Georgians then seized the Jewish child-rapist and murderer and hanged him.

The Frank case was widely reported in Georgia newspapers in great detail and caused much hostile feeling against Jews. The purpose of the ADL was to deal with such matters in the future by attacking and attempting to discredit anyone opposed to Jews or Jewish policies, by generating pro-Jewish propaganda, and by exerting influence on legislators and other public officials in a more discreet manner than the rather clumsy way in which the Georgia governor had been persuaded to commute the death sentence of Leo Frank. After the formation of the state of Israel in 1948 lobbying for military and financial aid for Israel also became a major ADL activity.

The ADL still does all of those things today, and in my case the group's principal activity has been attacking me and attempting to discredit me. In fact, the ADL devotes so much of its effort to attempts to discredit anyone who opposes Jewish policies that it is known in some quarters as

"the Defamation League." The ADL regularly refers to me as a "terrorist" and claims that I am "linked" not only to various terrorist acts, such as the Oklahoma City bombing, but also to bank robberies, murders, and other acts of criminal violence. For example, my "link" to the Oklahoma City bombing is that Tim McVeigh, whom I didn't even know existed until I saw him on television after he was arrested -- Tim McVeigh is said to have read and been fond of a novel I wrote 27 years ago, The Turner Diaries. The other so-called "links" are even more tenuous. And yet the mainstream media regularly quote the ADL in saying that I am "linked" to terrorist and criminal activity, and they describe the ADL almost as if it were an official source of information.

So let me tell you: I have never been involved in any terrorist or criminal activity of any sort. But the ADL has. In November 1992, after confidential police files were stolen from the San Francisco Police Department, an investigation was begun that led eventually to search warrants being issued. On April 8, 1993, both the San Francisco and the Los Angeles offices of the ADL were searched by police teams armed with these warrants, and more than 12,000 stolen confidential police files were recovered. Most of these confidential police files didn't have anything to do with criminal activity but instead contained personal information on individuals collected by the police in connection with driver's license applications, pistol permits, security clearances, and other routine matters. What the individuals in all of these police files had in common is that the ADL considered them "enemies" because they had at one time or another, in some way, opposed some Jewish policy or some Jewish interest. Some of the individuals in the stolen files were legislators or other public officials that the ADL wanted to bring pressure against.

Other criminal activity by the ADL in addition to the possession of the stolen police files also came to light in 1993. A San Francisco Police Department inspector, Tom Gerrard, who had been receiving payments by the ADL to steal the files for them, fled to the Philippines to avoid prosecution. And evidence was found during the search of the ADL's San Francisco and Los Angeles offices that the same sort of bribery, corruption of police agencies, and illegal collection of confidential police files was occurring in New York, Washington, Chicago, St. Louis, and Atlanta.

Unfortunately, the ADL already had corrupted the judicial system in California to the point that it was able to stifle further law enforcement action, and very shortly thereafter the mass media in California, which initially had given substantial coverage to the case, were persuaded to drop the matter as well.

Some of the individuals whose confidential files had been stolen by the ADL were not ready to drop the matter, however, and they filed civil suits against the ADL for invasion of their privacy. With the enormous resources of organized Jewry behind it the ADL fought these lawsuits tenaciously, appealing every adverse decision. Finally, however, after

nine years in the courts, the ADL last week paid damages of $178,000 to the last three victims of its illegal spying. I'm sure that you didn't see anything about that in the news last week, because after the initial publicity back in 1993 the mainstream media have ignored the case. They continue to refer with respect to the ADL as a "human rights organization," with never a mention of its criminal activity. And they continue to quote the ADL's hints and suggestions and insinuations that I am somehow "linked" to terrorist and criminal activity. It is, of course, the ADL, not I, who is engaged in criminal activity, but you would never know that if your source of information is the mainstream media. For those listeners who have access to good reference sources, however, find yourself a copy of the Los Angeles Times from April 9, 1993, and read all about it.

Actually, the ADL's stealing of police files is the least of its criminal activities. It just happens to be one activity where the group made a slip and got exposed momentarily. Far more serious are the ADL's links to organized crime: to the Jewish master swindler Marc Rich, for example, or to the Jewish gang boss Moe Dalitz. If you were following the news closely in January of last year, when Bill Clinton, just hours before leaving the White House, issued a number of pardons for criminals, an inordinately large percentage of whom were Jews, you will perhaps remember the controversy around his pardon for Marc Rich. Rich is a billionaire international commodities trader. He was indicted on a large number of criminal charges, including wire fraud and money laundering, before fleeing the country to avoid prosecution. He has given huge sums from his ill-gotten loot to Israel and to the ADL. The ADL chairman, Abe Foxman, wrote to Clinton specifically requesting a pardon for Rich -- and of course, Rich got his pardon.

Moe Dalitz was the boss of Detroit's all-Jewish "Purple Gang," which got its start smuggling illegal whiskey into the country and then branched out into White slavery, loan-sharking, illegal gambling, and contract murder. After the Second World War Dalitz moved into the casino business in Las Vegas and eventually became the leading gang boss there. And he gave generously to the ADL and other Jewish organizations. He was one of the ADL's biggest donors during the 1970s and early 1980s. The ADL reciprocated by presenting Dalitz with its annual "Torch of Liberty" award at a formal dinner in his honor in Las Vegas in 1985. Dalitz and seven other gangsters were killed in a gang shootout shortly thereafter.

What's important is not that the ADL consorts with gangsters and engages in criminal activity. What's important is that the news media conceal that from the public and treat the ADL as a respectable "human rights organization" that can be relied on for accurate information about people like me -- or about anyone the Jews consider an obstacle to their policies. That's bad. That's very bad, and it's dangerous -- and not just because it misinforms the public about people like me. It's dangerous because it allows the ADL to represent itself as an authority. It gives the ADL much more power to corrupt.

It was bad enough when Jewish groups had only their money to bribe politicians and judges and law-enforcement officials, as in the days of Leo Frank. But now, with the collusion of the mass media, the ADL is able to wear a false cloak of authority. And the unfortunate fact is that whenever a military or law-enforcement person sees someone wearing a cloak of authority, his tendency is to salute and wait for orders. Most of us have at least a bit of an authoritarian streak in us, and I don't think that's necessarily a bad thing. Military and law-enforcement people, on the average, have a much bigger streak of authoritarianism in their personalities, and that's not necessarily a bad thing either. But when the ADL uses its false cloak of authority to influence America's legislative, judicial, military, and law-enforcement establishments, that's a very bad thing -- and very dangerous for the freedom and even for the survival of our people.

And in fact, the ADL is using the false cloak of authority bestowed on it by the mass media to cozy up to and corrupt military and law-enforcement people all across America. The Jewish mass media have prepared the ground by talking so much about "hate crimes" and "hate speech" that they have convinced large segments of the public that any printed material or public statement that is not Politically Correct is "hate." Anything I publish, for example, is automatically labeled as "hate" by the media. If I criticize America's insane immigration policy, the media will call that "hate." If I complain about the government's policy of putting Israel's interests ahead of America's interests in the Middle East, that's "hate" too. I could publish a book on bicycle repair, and the Jewish media would call it "hate." The media have the public sensitized to that word -- and they've done that deliberately. And they have the more simpleminded elements of the public convinced that there's something illegal about publishing or distributing anything the media have labeled as "hate."

If, for example, members of my organization, the National Alliance, distribute leaflets calling for a halt to immigration from the Third World -- or even demanding that the government enforce the immigration laws it already has by stopping illegal aliens from coming across our southern border -- the media invariably will use the word "hate" in every other sentence in reporting our distribution. And then some of the simpletons will call the local police or the FBI to report that our leaflets were left on their front porches, believing that a crime has been committed.

All of that is bad enough. But then somebody from the local office of the ADL will approach the local police chief and offer the ADL's help as an authority on "hate" and "extremism." "We will explain to you how to recognize 'hate crimes' and 'speech crimes,'" the ADL will say. "We will teach your officers who the haters are, and we will explain why you should keep an eye on them and harass them and arrest them whenever you can."

Ordinarily any self-respecting police chief would resent someone like that telling him how to do his job. He would tell the ADL busybody to

buzz off -- especially if he knows that the organization offering its advice is a criminal organization. But usually he doesn't know that, because the media have kept that a secret. Usually he thinks that the ADL is an authority, almost with an official status, because the media deliberately have created that impression. And so the ADL is able to worm its way into local law enforcement and exert its destructive influence on the way the law is enforced. In many cases it is able to persuade the police to violate the civil rights of people it doesn't like. For the police to let the ADL tell them how to recognize and treat so-called "haters" is like the police going to the Mafia and seeking advice on how to deal with organized crime.

And the ADL does the same thing with our armed forces. It's like having the Pentagon hiring active, practicing al-Quaeda members to teach the military about terrorism and how to recognize a terrorist. It sounds crazy, but we actually have the greasiest of Jews whispering into the ears of police and military officials all over America, telling them how they should do their jobs. We actually have such Jews with ADL connections installed in offices in the Pentagon, in the White House, and in the Justice Department.

That's a bad situation. Like many other bad situations in America today it has arisen directly from the Jewish control of the mass media.

What can we do about it? Well, you know what I'm doing. I'm building alternative media as fast as I can to counter the Jewish media. I'm happy to have your support for my work.

Here's something else you can do. If you know anyone in law enforcement, ask him whether or not his department has been approached by the ADL or has hired the ADL to give training of any sort to the personnel in his department or whether or not any ADL propaganda has been circulating among members of his department. If his department has had any contact at all with the ADL, tell him what you know. Tell him that you are alarmed and outraged that his department is involved with a criminal, anti-American organization that is working to undermine our Bill of Rights and destroy our Constitution. Get him to help you spread this information to other members of his department.

Thanks for being with me again today.

==> The National Alliance has a strict anti-spamming policy. This information is intended for interested parties only and is not to be indiscriminately distributed via mass e-mailing or newsgroup posting.

401. Re: talkgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 00:04:53 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C8951A3.99D55366@optonline.net>
Source record: 51014

What makes a talkgroup ID "invalid"?

Maybe there is just very little routine traffic?

3475 and 3472 are so close they would most likely be part of the same
agency.

Walt

Terry Ashworth wrote:
>
> I have programmed all of the talk groups listed on trunkedradio.com for
> my county (Gwinnett, Georgia). Several of the talkgroup id's are
> invalid. Also, several talkgroups are used heavily on their trunking
> system that are not listed on trunkedradio.com. I havent been able to
> determine what department or service these unknown talkgroups are for.
> Can anyone tell me how to find this info. Following are the invalid
> talkgroups and the unknown(unlisted) talkgroups just in case someone
> here already knows.
>
> Invalid ones from trunkedradio.com: 3475, 2690 and 2674
> Unlisted but in use but unable to determine user: 9712, 3472 and 4336
>
> Thanks
>
> Terry

402. Re: Am I really missing that much not having an unblocked scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 00:17:44 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020308191744.10789.00000244@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 51015

```
>Subject: Re: Am I really missing that much not having an unblocked scanner?
>From: robert@bonomi.invalid
>Date: 3/8/2002 5:33 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <uTbi8.8461$A%3.68693@ord-read.news.verio.net>
>
>In article <u8i19jns5lsd9d@corp.supernews.com>,
>Power House <NunYa@Business.NET> wrote:
>>
>>"Neutrodyne" <neutrodyne@aol.com> wrote in message
>>news:20020308115032.00803.00000270@mb-ck.aol.com...
>>
>>
>>> I used to maintain radios for a sheriff's department that had us set the
>>> modulation way low on their base station so that if the scanner listeners
>>> turned up their scanners enough to hear them, then they would blast their
>>ears
>>> off when the city police or somebody else talked. Their cars didn't notice
>>with
>>> the volume way up on the county radio, because they had a separte radio
>>for the
>>> other frequencies. They kept it up until they got single unit scanning
>>radios
>>> in their cars, then they had to stop. It was all just to annoy scanner
>>> listeners, kind of childish I thought.
>>> Neutrodyne
>>>
>>
>>Sounds like the crap they do around here... The cars are actually really
>>low in modulation, and the "base" is loud... Irritates the hell out of me..
>>It's they are whispering, and I have to strain to hear what they are
>>saying... It's not that the signals are weak, and they are very strong,
>>just really quiet... Maybe it has something to do with the local repeater
>>or something... Who knows, but my guess would be what you are describing,
>>as they use ancient Motorola's, with I believe 3 separate radios in the
>>car...
>
>More likely explanation: short-field "noise cancelling" microphone in the
>car -- these are still *usable* with the siren going full blast. *BUT*,
>you have to be _right_on_top_ of the mic, and speak up, to get decent audio
>out of it. The officers that hold it 6+" away from their mouth, and speak
>casually/quietly... well that results in *low* audio out. Friend of mine
>used to work in a P.D. radio shop -- had recurring problems with folks
>complaining about 'bad radios', when in reality it was not using the mic
>right.
```

They had those good old Motorola back noise cancelling mics in the cars, which is what gave them the idea of cutting back the repeater deviation a bit. They got complaints from scanner listeners that their cars were too quiet when the new Micor radios were installed. You know how small towns are, the little old ladies just picked up the phone and called in to complain! So the sheriff got the idea of making it worse for the scanner crowd by turning down the repeater deviation a bit. MIcors have plenty of extra audio gain to compensate and on the base you can compensate in the console module so it sounds just like the other modules. When I was installing the radios, they had a big sheet metal console fabricated to mount 3 control heads, 3 mics, a CB, a siren, light controls, flashlight mounts & whatever else the individual officers wanted crammed onto it.
In my experience working on them the integibility of a weak signal is better with the deviation down around 3.5-4.0 Kc & the audio in the system cranked up a bit.

403. Re: Am I really missing that much not having an unblocked scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 00:28:25 UTC
From: Greg <not@shown.here>
Message-ID: <smli8ucamtlbrbkdkvi1dm6jkepr4j9n2e@4ax.com>
Source record: 51019

On 7 Mar 2002 15:56:36 -0800, rml6565@ksu.edu (Ryan) wrote:

>Is having an unblocked scanner worth getting? Also, is voice
>inversion decryption worth getting?

As far as unblocked goes - don't bother. Unless you enjoy listening to brief snippets of conversations. "Honey, pick up a gallon of milk on your way home." "Yes dear".

Of course that's just my opinion....

404. Re: Can my setup monitor MDT's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 00:46:53 UTC
From: Dennis <DennisF@ABC.NET>
Message-ID: <a6bltf$d9orf$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 51020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

MDT - Mobile Data Terminal

Anyone monitor or ever heard of SCMODS?

"MRK" <me@you.com> wrote in message
news:u8ilcjp8cv9uf9@corp.supernews.com...
> This is stupid I know, but what does MDT stand for. Is it police
telemetry

405. Cheap ctcss scanner wanted possibly in Ontario

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 00:54:45 UTC
From: ScanMan <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com>
Message-ID: <p3di8.47223$xG.29381@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 51021
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What scanner has the least amount of ch's but would still have ctcss capabilities. I might be looking for a cheap scanner, but the town is small and is run by the OPP. So I need ctcss capabilites.

Not much other than that. The fire dept's are csq and ambulance ya can get away with not having ctcss, but it's the policing for the region that has it.

help on this would be great.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.332 / Virus Database: 186 - Release Date: 3/6/2002

406. Re: Cheap ctcss scanner wanted possibly in Ontario

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 00:55:25 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <14di8.7724$k5.3047363@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51022
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Icom's IC-R2!

Bill Crocker

"ScanMan" <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com> wrote in message
news:p3di8.47223$xG.29381@news2.bloor.is...
> What scanner has the least amount of ch's but would still have ctcss
> capabilities. I might be looking for a cheap scanner, but the town is
small
> and is run by the OPP. So I need ctcss capabilites.
>
> Not much other than that. The fire dept's are csq and ambulance ya can
get
> away with not having ctcss, but it's the policing for the region that has
> it.
>
> help on this would be great.
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.332 / Virus Database: 186 - Release Date: 3/6/2002
>
>

407. Re: Cheap ctcss scanner wanted possibly in Ontario

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 01:12:37 UTC
From: ScanMan <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com>
Message-ID: <9kdi8.47279$xG.27048@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 51023
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Where can I get some stats on this radio...

"Bill Crocker" <billcrocker@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:14di8.7724$k5.3047363@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> Icom's IC-R2!
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "ScanMan" <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com> wrote in message
> news:p3di8.47223$xG.29381@news2.bloor.is...
> > What scanner has the least amount of ch's but would still have ctcss
> > capabilities. I might be looking for a cheap scanner, but the town is
> small
> > and is run by the OPP. So I need ctcss capabilites.
> >
> > Not much other than that. The fire dept's are csq and ambulance ya can
> get
> > away with not having ctcss, but it's the policing for the region that
has
> > it.
> >
> > help on this would be great.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ---
> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.332 / Virus Database: 186 - Release Date: 3/6/2002
> >
> >
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.332 / Virus Database: 186 - Release Date: 3/6/2002

408. Need help choosing mobile antenna...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 01:12:38 UTC
From: soupman67@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020308201238.07205.00000321@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 51024

looking for the best antenna to pick up 25-1000 mhz to use in my truck

409. LAST DAY Icom IC-R10 NR!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 01:13:30 UTC
From: ircSLUG <N-O-S-P-A-MMM-noneya@noneya.com>
Message-ID: <u8iocvlo7q9060@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51025

I have the following listed for auction. The unit is in GREAT condition, and the ONLY mod done is the Discriminator mod done to the un-used CI-V ring....WORKS GREAT!

eBay auction # 1336650495

Good luck, and HAPPY BIDDING!!!

410. Re: B2 RADIO ! We're spanking gorgeous !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 01:28:09 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <3C896529.F5EB91B@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 51026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman

B2RADIO wrote:
>
> B2:RADIO! is a live broadcast internet radio station hosted by the good
> people at Live365.com and promises to have a good poke around the vile
> underbelly of Internet society.

Does this post mean that you're going to be featuring Roger Wiseman's
Greyhound Men's Room Band?

P L E A S E S A Y I T I S N ' T S O ! ! ! !

411. Re: <_**Israel Has Hijacked American Government**_>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 03:04:48 UTC
From: Spoon Man <Stan@NeverFalls.com>
Message-ID: <wYei8.428379$n73.12876811@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 51029
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

>: That's what Jews think of White people.

Most Jews people are white.

Stan

412. Re: PRO-93 new firmware is out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 03:06:59 UTC
From: Dan <lists@elp.rr.com>
Message-ID: <u1vi8ug8adtviu6efc75ebn43baa66no8e@4ax.com>
Source record: 51027

Yep firmware 1.03 is the latest not 1.02

On Fri, 08 Mar 2002 22:14:22 GMT, "Bill Crocker"
<billcrocker@ameritech.net> wrotd:

>Hum...I was just informed by Gerry Wheeler that v 1.03 is out, so I guess
>mine is not the latest, and greatest. :(Might mean a trip back to the
>Shack.
>
>Thanks for the heads-up Gerry,
>
>Bill Crocker
>
>
>"Bill Crocker" <billcrocker@ameritech.net> wrote in message
>news:X8ai8.7599$k5.3021717@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
>> New firmware for the PRO-93 has been release. I picked up a PRO-93 today,
>> on sale at Radio Shack, $169.99. I checked the firmware in the store, and
>> it's v 1.01.
>>
>> Bill Crocker
>>
>>
>

413. Radio Shack Scanners Which Is The Best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 03:07:57 UTC
From: "Jim L. Brooks" <jlbrooks@yhti.net>
Message-ID: <u8iv7qc1d1pl3d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 51028

I will be buying one of the following scanners from Radio Shack. Pro-2053 -- Pro-2052 -- Pro-2067 -- Pro-92.

I would like to hear some opinions on which is the best scanner.

414. Re: <_**Israel Has Hijacked American Government**_>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 03:14:48 UTC
From: Col <I'm@the.bar.drunk>
Message-ID: <B36JPDtY8=jixhdnLFKR=Ayu1zAt@4ax.com>
Source record: 51030
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving

On Fri, 8 Mar 2002 21:04:48 -0600, "Spoon Man" <Stan@NeverFalls.com> wrote:

>>: That's what Jews think of White people.
>
>Most Jews people are white.
>
>
>Stan
>
>
most people dont post this type of crap in a cricker newsgroup.

--
Col

Col's law.
Thinly sliced cabbage..

415. Re: Fort Bliss Trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 03:46:00 UTC
From: J <dataless@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <Yzfi8.9647$hr7.2089329819@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 51031
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Paul,
Thanks for the explanation and for your courtesy. Fort Bliss is located adjacent to El Paso, Tx, in far west tx. It is also located near White Sand Missile Range and McGregor Test Range and Biggs Army Airfield. All of which are in the proximity of Southern New Mexico and Far West Texas.

Joe
KD5QAC
"proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:XyGg8.42901$Hg1.7179590@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com...
> the problem is when you cross post and come onto a group that is not in
the
> US,whick surprisingly alt.radio.scanner.uk is then how do you expect
people
> to know where it is.
>
>
> we all have a duty on the net to explain more,i would not ask on a US
> group,does anyone have the freqs for Wattisham base???
>
>
> you are unlikely to know what or where that is,but i still may ask the
> question on a US group if it was a US owned facility as you may be able to
> give some sort of clue,but i would say where it was not just UK but county
> as well.
>
>
> the more detail the asker provides,the more help others can give.
>
>
> even in the US,i bet most people do not know where Fort Bliss is,and still
> you have not told us.
>
>
> why should i have to keep searching the net for the info,you asked the
> question,so tell us where it is,sometimes we travel around and pick things
> up and do not know exactly where the signals come from,as we do not know
> what is in the area,so they may have the freqs but not really know it.
>
>
> regards
>
> --

>
>
>
> Paul Wey[PROMA1]
>
> moderator of following scanning groups
>
>
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk
>
>
>
> "J" <dataless@swbell.net> wrote in message
> news:r2Eg8.3128$l51.1063062617@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> > I can really feel the love in the group. Ya know the kinda of love that
> > make people wonder, "With friends like these, who needs enemies!"
> >
> > Now, back to the subject related to radios....Does anyone know the
> > frequencies that Fort Bliss, TX uses for their trunking system? And for
> > those un-educated, Fort Bliss is the home of the Patriot Missle Defense
> > System.
> >
> > (now I am getting ready to be flamed by the weak minded)
> >
> > Thanks in advance
> > "martin butcher" <mbutcher@railfan37.fsnet.co.uk> wrote in message
> > news:a5p3nkvsp1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > > Who or what is fort bliss
> > > "J" <dataless@swbell.net> wrote in message
> > > news:htSf8.2406$QR6.764066985@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > Anyone know what kind of trunking is done at Fort Bliss? Or maybe
the
> > > > frequencies they are on?
> > > >
> > > > Thanks in advance,
> > > >
> > > > Joe
> > > > KD5QAC
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >

> >
>
>

416. Re: talkgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 03:50:06 UTC
From: Bill Cherepy <jcherepy@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <sf1j8uodbua9ev0ehv7op3rrfedmv5piec@4ax.com>
Source record: 51033

On Fri, 08 Mar 2002 21:51:36 GMT, Terry Ashworth <tashworth@attbi.com>
wrote:

>I have programmed all of the talk groups listed on trunkedradio.com for
>my county (Gwinnett, Georgia). Several of the talkgroup id's are
>invalid. Also, several talkgroups are used heavily on their trunking
>system that are not listed on trunkedradio.com. I havent been able to
>determine what department or service these unknown talkgroups are for.
>Can anyone tell me how to find this info. Following are the invalid
>talkgroups and the unknown(unlisted) talkgroups just in case someone
>here already knows.
>
>Invalid ones from trunkedradio.com: 3475, 2690 and 2674
>Unlisted but in use but unable to determine user: 9712, 3472 and 4336
>
>

Here's my list pf Gwinnett ID's

48 Fire/Ems Dispatch
80 TAC 7, Fire/EMS
112 TAC 8, Fire/EMS
144 TAC 2, Fire/EMS
176 TAC 3, Fire/EMS
208 TAC 4, Fire/EMS
240 TAC 5, Fire/EMS
272 TAC 6, Fire/EMS
304 Hospital to Med Unit (Ems 1)
336 Hospital to Med Unit (Ems 2)
368 Command Fire/EMS
400 Fire/Ems Admin Ch
432 TAC 9, Fire/EMS
464 TAC 10, Fire/EMS
496 TAC 11, Fire/EMS
528 All County
1648 GCPD, Westside CH1
1680 GCPD, Southside CH2
1712 GCPD, Northside CH3
1744 GCPD, Eastside CH4
1776 GCPD, CID
1808 GCPD TAC 1
1840 GCPD TAC 2
1872 GCPD TAC 3
1904 GCPD TAC 4
1936 GCPD TAC 5

1968 GCPD, Central CH5
2000 GCPD Command
2096 GCPD SIS 1
2128 GCPD SIS 2
2160 GCPD Admin 1
2192 All DPS
2224 Animal Control
2416 Snellville PD Dispatch
2448 Snellville PD, TAC
2480 Norcross PD
2512 Norcross PD, TAC
2544 Lawrenceville PD
2576 Lawrenceville PD TAC
2608 Duluth PD
2640 Duluth PD. TAC
2672 Lilburn PD
2704 Lilburn PD TAC 1
2736 Suwanee PD
2768 Lawrencville Command
2800 Suwanee PD TAC
3248 GCSO Ops
3280 GCSO TAC
3312 GCSO Courts
3344 County Jail
3376 Jail Transport
3440 Jail TAC 1
3472 GCSO TAC 1
3504 GCSO, Courts TAC
3536 GCSO TAC 2
3568 GCSO Ch 4
3600 Jail Ops
3632 GCSO
4208 Talked about a case?
4464 ?
4624 Traffic Signals
4912 Permits
4944 Permits (ch 2)
6448 Utilities Management 1
6480 Utilities Management 2
6544 Fleet Operations
6576 Fleet Maintainence
6640 Lawrenceville PD
6800 Lilburn PD, TAC
8048 Traffic TAC 1
8080 DOT Operations
8112 Traffic TAC 2
8208 GA DOT
8240 Traffic Command
9648 Water Admin 1
9680 Water Admin 2

9712 Water Collections 1
9744 Water Collections 2
9776 Water Collections 3
9808 Water Engineering 1
9840 Water Engineering 2
9860 Water Dept.
9872 Water Engineering 3
9904 Water Planning
9936 Water Production
9968 Water Reclamation
11248 Parks & Recreation
11280 Parks and Recreation
11312 Parks and Recreation
11472 Parks and Recreation
11504 Parks and Recreation
11536 Parks and Recreation ?
11568 Parks and Recreation
11600 Gwinnett Human Services
11696 Dept. of Recreation, Maint.
12848 CI Roads
12880 CI Facilities
12912 CI Admin
12944 CI TAC 1
12976 CI TAC 2
14640 Lawrenceville Utils.
14672 Animal Control Ch 2

Bill Cherepy
Grayson, GA

417. Re: <_**Israel Has Hijacked American Government**_>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 03:50:57 UTC
From: Spoon Man <Stan@NeverFalls.com>
Message-ID: <NDfi8.432618$n73.12901121@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 51032
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
The "Col" right-wing extremist censor-nazi whines -
> On Fri, 8 Mar 2002 21:04:48 -0600, "Spoon Man"
wrote:
>
> >>: That's what Jews think of White people.
> >
> >Most Jews people are white.
> >
> >
> >Stan
> >
> >
> most people dont post this type of crap in a cricker newsgroup.
>
> --
> Col
>
> Col's law.
> Thinly sliced cabbage..
```

418. Re: Ambulance Freqs in San Jose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 04:24:25 UTC
From: Arleigh Movitz <amovitz@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <amovitz-0629DD.20242208032002@nnrp05.earthlink.net>
Source record: 51034
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <svlf8ugelnulj2schot6jca4j7ktp5i2c3@4ax.com>,
D. Jacobs <dju@nospam.com> wrote:

> Does anybody know what they are? I already know of 453.100 but when I
> scan what I thought was Medivac's freqs, I get nothing.
>
> A URL to a San Jose freqs page would be great if anyone has one.
>
> Thanks!
>
> Dave

http://www.sccfd.org/frequencies.html

419. Re: Pro-93 interface help.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 05:02:58 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020309000258.03902.00000460@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 51035

without even reading the 2 replies I see to my post........I must say to the jerkoffs who don't know when to shut up..........I DON'T CARE!!!!!!!!!!
GET A JOB
Eric G.
education CANNOT buy common sense

420. Re: PRO-93 new firmware is out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 05:05:23 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020309000523.03902.00000461@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 51036

just an observation.....I was looking at a display model of the Pro-2053 (the base model of the Pro-93) and when I checked the firmware version (turn unit on and press "3" while display reads 'Welcome to Dual Trunking'), I believe it read Version 3.00 or 3.01. Would this be different because it is a base unit?
Eric G.
education CANNOT buy common sense

421. Re: Handheld Antenna's question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 05:14:15 UTC
From: tazdog <tazdog-3@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <HSgi8.8030$uA5.8428@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 51037
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks for all your help
I will check this out and try to get better reception =)

thanks
Scott

"Faive" <faive@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:305ca694.0203080909.7d7cff4b@posting.google.com...
> "tazdog" <tazdog-security@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<CBWh8.1883$uA5.3559@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>...
> > I have a bc220xlt handheld
> > and is there any way to get another\better antenna to increase range
and/or
> > better reception.
> >
> > Thanks for your help,
> > Newbie - Scott
>
>
> Sure! Almost any aftermarket antenna would do better than the stock
> antenna your hh brings. Take a look at the different reviews of
> antennas that are in strongsignals.net and make your decision. In my
> opinion, one of your best bet would be to buy an antenna called Watson
> W889, available at http://www.durhamradio.ca/scanacc.htm#HSA or the
> ant06 available at grove-ent.com
>
> Both are telescopic antennas that can be suited to best match your
> needs. All the way extended for the 108-137 band, for instance, or
> just at 6" for the 800-900 mHz band.
>
> Have fun!

422. Re: talkgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 05:18:52 UTC
From: Terry Ashworth <tashworth@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3C899B14.60805@attbi.com>
Source record: 51038

Based on what win93 says when i try to input the "invalid" ones, it is because they aren't divisible evenly by 16.

Maybe the 3475 was meant to be 3472. Thanks.

Terry

Walt Bertram wrote:

> What makes a talkgroup ID "invalid"?
>
> Maybe there is just very little routine traffic?
>
> 3475 and 3472 are so close they would most likely be part of the same
> agency.
>
> Walt
>

423. Re: talkgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 05:28:30 UTC
From: Terry Ashworth <tashworth@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3C899D55.3060701@attbi.com>
Source record: 51039

Thanks alot! You have some I haven't seen. Now I only need to
figure out who 4336 is.

Terry

424. FT: MINT Sony 2010

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 05:44:41 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <djhi8.1267$Ex5.111588@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 51040

Purchased brand new February 2001. Looking to swap for full coverage handheld scanner with shortwave SSB coverage. Let me know what you have.....

Frank

425. Getting rid of SCAN DEFEAT tones ... g/wiz or such?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 06:12:03 UTC
From: Snomanbym <snomanbym.remove.@bci.org>
Message-ID: <3C89C567.2815668C@bci.org>
Source record: 51041
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm looking for a mod equivalent to Gwiz to douse scan defeat tones on
our local GE/Erickson EDACS system.
Anyone know anything that will work with the bc245xlt? Or even
techniques that will bypass it?
I've heard there's supposed to be a bunch of options out there but my
internet searches are coming up empty. Is g/wiz the only one? (if
they're even still in business).

Thanks,
Geoff.

426. Looking for freqencies for Mexico

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 06:42:54 UTC
From: T0M <NotForYourEyes@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <69i08ug1a7prkrtvh1sroicmtkdf0irmmr@4ax.com>
Source record: 51042
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm leaving in Mexico city for 2 weeks now and I'm looking for anyone who know frequencies (all types) from this city and for this country
TIA

T0M

427. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 07:11:41 UTC
From: rstevew@deeptht.armory.com
Message-ID: <3c89b5ad$0$79563$8eec23a@newsreader.tycho.net>
Source record: 51043
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

In article <u8iliqk8jur960@corp.supernews.com>, MRK <me@you.com> wrote:
>Just implant your children with the Digital Angel and you'll never have to
>know where there at. It will also be usefull when the gov't outlaws paper
>money and everyone goes to the chip. The only drawback is that they'll burn
>in Hell for taking the Beast.

This is the kind of fucking stupidity you get from reading those stupid
funnybooks like the fucking bible or whatnot that were written by
semi-illiterate sheepfuckers who didn't know the world was round!

These morons used to tell us that travel at more than 20 mph would make us
die or go crazy, and that flying on airplanes was impossible or would
invariably send us right to hell. These are the people who were sure the
world was ending at least 50 times in the last 500 years! They're crazy, or,
if they aren't, nobody will ever know WHEN they aren't being crazy, so just
fucking ignore them!

We're going to have "bone-phones" embedded in our skulls shortly, and we'll
be able to call each other anywhere. This will make people being lost or
disappearing a thing of the past while granting kids a degree of freedom
not to have to report constantly to be assured of being safe. You won't
even know who's talking to anyone else. It will become totally silent and
it won't be your business, just like it is now as to who's on call waiting
on the other end while you're talking to someone unless they tell you or
connect you on three-way.
Steve

><Robert> wrote in message
>news:1hta8u05bdh3d48h0d69bd00u2baun5igq@news-west.newscene.com...
>> On Sat, 16 Feb 2002 23:31:32 -0500, nospam@nospam.com wrote:
>>
>> >John P Vassel wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Kevin <nomail@nospam.com> wrote in
>> >> news:a4mlo5rip1@driftwood.ccs.carleton.ca:
>> >>
>> >> > Actually, Dick, that reminds me of something I never thought of that
>> >> > would make any concerned parent rest easy: the parent should keep a
>> >> > radio on too, monitoring the children's frequency.
>> >>
>> >> The main reason we send the kids out with these, is to keep track of
>them.
>> >> It also allows them the freedom to go to another friends house, and not
>> >> have to come all the way back home to check in with us.

>> >> We always monitor the radio, and the kids go out with fixed channel
>> >> radios. (the older boy had the habit of 'accidently' turning the
>channel
>> >> and not hearing the call to come home.)
>> >>
>> >> --
>> >> John Vassel
>> >> KC8SKE
>> >> RTFD Volunteer Firefighter
>> >
>> >Christ! Why not just put a leash on the kids if you have
>> >to go through all that trouble?
>> >
>> >Remember when kids used to be kids, and life was easy?
>>
>> Remember when kids were young goats and not children?
>> By the way, life was never easy.
>>
>> >Why do parents worry so damned much? You actually sit there
>> >and monitor when they aren't at home? Don't you trust your kids?
>> >
>> > Ted

428. Re: Need help choosing mobile antenna...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 07:31:08 UTC
From: ScanMan <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com>
Message-ID: <0Tii8.50479$xG.19571@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 51045

I always like the cellular lookalike antenna that just mounts to the window.

"SOUPMAN67" <soupman67@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020308201238.07205.00000321@mb-cr.aol.com...
> looking for the best antenna to pick up 25-1000 mhz to use in my truck

429. Re: Need help choosing mobile antenna...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 07:33:20 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <XOii8.14$HK6.24403@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 51044

Spectra, by Austin Antennas. Expensive, but well worth it.

Mark

"SOUPMAN67" <soupman67@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020308201238.07205.00000321@mb-cr.aol.com...
> looking for the best antenna to pick up 25-1000 mhz to use in my truck

430. Re: Forsale: NIB PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 07:48:21 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@cox.net>
Message-ID: <97ji8.893$4d.157849@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 51046
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I ordered it from a Radio Shack Store in Canada. I have several other 2006 units so this one just stayed in the box on the top shelf of the closet. Anyone that is interested and lives in Southern California is welcome to see the unit.

-jim

"Just Joe" <nospam@iname.com> wrote in message news:m34i8ugc62b0g90inip1838ftl7akvkfud@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 08 Mar 2002 03:53:49 GMT, "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@cox.net> wrote:
>
> >I have a NIB PRO-2006, and am interested in offers over $350.00 and will
> >accept the best offer. I paid over $350 for this scanner and have the
> >original receipt. This is the best scanner ever made. I purchase this
2006
> >about 8 years ago and it has only been out of the box for 5 minutes to
> >ensure that it functioned properly. This is your chance to get a real new
in
> >box PRO-2006. I am in Southern California if anyone would like to see the
> >scanner in person.
> >
> >Thanks,
> >
> >-jim
> >
>
> Just one question. Why did you buy a $350 radio, take it out of the
> box to test it, and then put it away for 8 years??
>

431. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 08:52:25 UTC
From: Jonners <jon@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <a6cif1$cq3$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 50897
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

> This is the kind of fucking stupidity you get from reading those stupid
> funnybooks like the fucking bible or whatnot that were written by
> semi-illiterate sheepfuckers who didn't know the world was round!

Excuse me... when did this discussion get around to slagging Christians and
holy books off???

A bit of respect, please!

432. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 09:06:31 UTC
From: rstevew@deeptht.armory.com
Message-ID: <3c89d097$0$79553$8eec23a@newsreader.tycho.net>
Source record: 50898
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

In article <a6cif1$cq3$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>, Jonners <jon@nospam.com> wrote:
>> This is the kind of fucking stupidity you get from reading those stupid
>> funnybooks like the fucking bible or whatnot that were written by
>> semi-illiterate sheepfuckers who didn't know the world was round!
>
>Excuse me... when did this discussion get around to slagging Christians and
>holy books off???
>
>A bit of respect, please!

Fuck off, you little Xtian Bigot!!
Xtians NEED the fucking shit slapped out of them!
Steve

433. Re: <_+=-Jew Spys From Israel Deported-=+_>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 09:30:31 UTC
From: Spoon Man <Stan@NeverFalls.com>
Message-ID: <8Cki8.438760$n73.13071674@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50899
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Make light of every word, you, hear" - Brave Combo
+- +- +- +-
"Harold Dow" <Harold.Dow@cbs.com> wrote in message
news:ujjj8uo8ubq1eutu3sa4pm8p66pstmqd8t@4ax.com...
> ++
>
> US arrests 200 young Israelis in spying investigation
> By Ben Fenton in Washington
>
>
> Up to 200 young Israelis, some of them former members of military
intelligence
> units, have been arrested in America in the past year, a leaked government
> report disclosed yesterday.
>
> Some had used cover stories to gain access to sensitive government
buildings
> and the homes of American officials. The report said the actions of some
of
> the Israelis, most of whom had outstayed tourist visas, "may well be an
> organised intelligence-gathering activity".
>
> None of the Israelis, about 140 of whom are believed to have been detained
> before the September 11 attacks, has been charged and most have been
deported,
> according to the US government.
>
> A spokesman for the Israeli Foreign Ministry, Yaffa Ben-Ari, said it was
> "nonsense" that they had been spying on America. There has been no formal
> American allegation of spying by Israel although Tel Aviv has launched
> espionage operations against its principal ally in the past.
>
> The leaked report was compiled by the Drug Enforcement Administration
after
> some of its offices were allegedly targeted by Israelis posing as art
> students. "That these people are now travelling in the US selling art
seems
> not to fit their background," the DEA report said.
>
> On Oct 31, the FBI and Immigration and Naturalisation Service officers
> arrested about 60 young Israelis in San Diego, Kansas City, Cleveland,
Houston
> and St Louis. All had been selling toys at kiosks in shopping centres

across
> America and the FBI is reported to have been investigating this as a front
> operation for espionage activities.
>
> Before that date, about 140 young Israelis had been detained, some for
long
> periods, by the FBI and other federal agencies.
>
> There is no evidence linking these arrests to the September 11 attacks
> although some intelligence commentators have pointed to allegations that
> Israel may have been shadowing Arab militants in America without sharing
its
> knowledge with Washington.
>
> The DEA report said most of the students questioned by American
investigators
> acknowledged having served in units of the Israeli armed forces
specialising
> in military intelligence, electronic signals interception or explosive
> ordnance.
>
> It added that one person questioned was the son of an Israeli general,
another
> had served as the bodyguard to the head of the Israeli Army and a third
served
> in a Patriot missile unit.
>
> Young Israelis are required to serve in the military and there is a long
> tradition that after completing their national service they take advantage
of
> their freedom to go out and see the world.
>
> In 1984, Jonathan Pollard, a US naval intelligence officer, was sentenced
to
> life in prison for spying for Israel.
>
>
http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/main.jhtml?xml=/news/2002/03/07/wspy07.xml&s
Sheet=/news/2002/03/07/ixworld.html

434. Re: B2 RADIO ! We're spanking gorgeous !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 11:34:32 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <a6cuatmdc7@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 50901
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.packet,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.cocksucker.douglas-gerry

"Stagger 'TexASS TURD' Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote in message
news:3C896529.F5EB91B@houston-hunks.com...
> B2RADIO wrote:
> >
> > B2:RADIO! is a live broadcast internet radio station hosted by the good
> > people at Live365.com and promises to have a good poke around the vile
> > underbelly of Internet society.
>
> Does \ /

Anonymous coward and all-around fuckwit
"Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
revealed what a hypocrite he is and ironically admits he has no credibility
in message
<3C36FDCC.76EC185D@houston-hunks.com> :

"Therefore, I find your story difficult to believe. Your own anonymous
status makes the story even less credible."

Stagger Lee will try to cheat you by selling you non-fucntional equipment,
just like he's done to a few hams at hamfests in the past.
--
Anonymous coward and all-around fuckwit
"Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
revealed what a hypocrite he is and ironically admits he has no credibility
in message
<3C36FDCC.76EC185D@houston-hunks.com> :

"Therefore, I find your story difficult to believe. Your own anonymous
status makes the story even less credible."

435. Re: Cheap ctcss scanner wanted possibly in Ontario

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 11:36:30 UTC
From: Richard Fisher <rfisher@stx.rr.com>
Message-ID: <2tmi8.64227$6j2.3753207@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 50900
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If you can find a ADI AT-600 Dual Bander, it has CTCSS scanning capability.

"ScanMan" <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com> wrote in message
news:p3di8.47223$xG.29381@news2.bloor.is...
> What scanner has the least amount of ch's but would still have ctcss
> capabilities. I might be looking for a cheap scanner, but the town is
small
> and is run by the OPP. So I need ctcss capabilites.
>
> Not much other than that. The fire dept's are csq and ambulance ya can
get
> away with not having ctcss, but it's the policing for the region that has
> it.
>
> help on this would be great.
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.332 / Virus Database: 186 - Release Date: 3/6/2002
>
>

436. FOR SALE: UNIDEN 235 TRUNKTRACKER HANDHELD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 12:27:26 UTC
From: bobar8@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020309072726.15200.00000454@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 50902

for sale: uniden bearcat 235xlt trunktracker 300 ch. handheld scanner:
unit is in a++ mint condition:
includes, 2 batteries, charging cradle, ac adap. ant, and all manuals and box.
also have custom real leather case also in mint condition included.

will sell for $110.00 including usps priority shipping.
WILL ONLY ACCEPT A POSTAL MONEY ORDER FOR PAYMENT.

PLEASE CALL ME AS I DO NOT CHECK MY EMAIL THAT OFTEN.
MY PHONE NUMBER IN FLORIDA IS
561-434-7994
THANK YOU
BOB

437. Re: <_+=-Jew Spys From Israel Deported-=+_>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 12:31:29 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Bgni8.1617$Ex5.154824@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 50903
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Before biting on this hook, note that this is how neo-nazis recruit.

"Harold Dow" <Harold.Dow@cbs.com> wrote in message
news:ujjj8uo8ubq1eutu3sa4pm8p66pstmqd8t@4ax.com...
> ++
>
> US arrests 200 young Israelis in spying investigation
> By Ben Fenton in Washington
>
>
> Up to 200 young Israelis, some of them former members of military
intelligence
> units, have been arrested in America in the past year, a leaked
government
> report disclosed yesterday.
>
> Some had used cover stories to gain access to sensitive government
buildings
> and the homes of American officials. The report said the actions of some
of
> the Israelis, most of whom had outstayed tourist visas, "may well be an
> organised intelligence-gathering activity".
>
> None of the Israelis, about 140 of whom are believed to have been
detained
> before the September 11 attacks, has been charged and most have been
deported,
> according to the US government.
>
> A spokesman for the Israeli Foreign Ministry, Yaffa Ben-Ari, said it was
> "nonsense" that they had been spying on America. There has been no
formal
> American allegation of spying by Israel although Tel Aviv has launched
> espionage operations against its principal ally in the past.
>
> The leaked report was compiled by the Drug Enforcement Administration
after
> some of its offices were allegedly targeted by Israelis posing as art
> students. "That these people are now travelling in the US selling art
seems
> not to fit their background," the DEA report said.
>
> On Oct 31, the FBI and Immigration and Naturalisation Service officers

> arrested about 60 young Israelis in San Diego, Kansas City, Cleveland,
Houston
> and St Louis. All had been selling toys at kiosks in shopping centres
across
> America and the FBI is reported to have been investigating this as a
front
> operation for espionage activities.
>
> Before that date, about 140 young Israelis had been detained, some for
long
> periods, by the FBI and other federal agencies.
>
> There is no evidence linking these arrests to the September 11 attacks
> although some intelligence commentators have pointed to allegations that
> Israel may have been shadowing Arab militants in America without sharing
its
> knowledge with Washington.
>
> The DEA report said most of the students questioned by American
investigators
> acknowledged having served in units of the Israeli armed forces
specialising
> in military intelligence, electronic signals interception or explosive
> ordnance.
>
> It added that one person questioned was the son of an Israeli general,
another
> had served as the bodyguard to the head of the Israeli Army and a third
served
> in a Patriot missile unit.
>
> Young Israelis are required to serve in the military and there is a long
> tradition that after completing their national service they take
advantage of
> their freedom to go out and see the world.
>
> In 1984, Jonathan Pollard, a US naval intelligence officer, was
sentenced to
> life in prison for spying for Israel.
>
>
http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/main.jhtml?xml=/news/2002/03/07/wspy07.xml
&sSheet=/news/2002/03/07/ixworld.html

438. Re: Radio Shack Scanners Which Is The Best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 13:16:12 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a6d1tu$deol4$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50904

What systems are you planning on listening to MOT,EDAC,LTR? Conventional or trunking?
Do you want a base or handheld unit?
Would you want to program it by a PC?
Do you want/need text tags for trunking id groups?

"Jim L. Brooks" <jlbrooks@yhti.net> wrote in message news:u8iv7qc1d1pl3d@corp.supernews.com...
> I will be buying one of the following scanners from Radio Shack. Pro-
> 2053 -- Pro-2052 -- Pro-2067 -- Pro-92.
>
> I would like to hear some opinions on which is the best scanner.
>
>

439. AOR AR3000/a Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 13:24:53 UTC
From: MadDogMcQ <tom.mcq@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a6d2f5lva1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 50905

Specially tailored to suit the AR3000 owner, but with something for scanner enthusiasts in general.

www.ar3000.info

440. Re: Getting rid of SCAN DEFEAT tones ... g/wiz or such?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 14:14:54 UTC
From: jeffl@sigecom.net
Message-ID: <vNoi8.70$HK6.125466@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 50906
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <3C89C567.2815668C@bci.org>, Snomanbym <snomanbym.remove.@bci.org> wrote:
>I'm looking for a mod equivalent to Gwiz to douse scan defeat tones on
>our local GE/Erickson EDACS system.
>Anyone know anything that will work with the bc245xlt? Or even
>techniques that will bypass it?
>I've heard there's supposed to be a bunch of options out there but my
>internet searches are coming up empty. Is g/wiz the only one? (if
>they're even still in business).
>
>Thanks,
>Geoff.
>
Get ahold of Scannermaster.\Terry Brennan is still doing the Gwhiz board and
yes it will work with a 245 Wait I missed something you will NOT have the anti
scanner tones with a 245 you must not have it programmed right?

Jeff

441. Italian freq!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 14:18:19 UTC
From: "Irene@EuroEuro" <irene@euroeuro.net>
Message-ID: <a6d5io$c7c$1@newsreader.mailgate.org>
Source record: 50907

Hi everybody,
has someone got frequencies (all kinds!) for italy?
Bye!
Irene

442. Re: <_+=-Jew Spys From Israel Deported-=+_>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 14:43:02 UTC
From: MadDogMcQ <tom.mcq@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a6d71l$1g1$1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 50908
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Well said Richard!
Tom Mcq www.ar3000.info

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:Bgni8.1617$Ex5.154824@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Before biting on this hook, note that this is how neo-nazis recruit.
>
> "Harold Dow" <Harold.Dow@cbs.com> wrote in message
> news:ujjj8uo8ubq1eutu3sa4pm8p66pstmqd8t@4ax.com...
> > ++
> >
> > US arrests 200 young Israelis in spying investigation
> > By Ben Fenton in Washington
> >
> >
> > Up to 200 young Israelis, some of them former members of military
> intelligence
> > units, have been arrested in America in the past year, a leaked
> government
> > report disclosed yesterday.
> >
> > Some had used cover stories to gain access to sensitive government
> buildings
> > and the homes of American officials. The report said the actions of some
> of
> > the Israelis, most of whom had outstayed tourist visas, "may well be an
> > organised intelligence-gathering activity".
> >
> > None of the Israelis, about 140 of whom are believed to have been
> detained
> > before the September 11 attacks, has been charged and most have been
> deported,
> > according to the US government.
> >
> > A spokesman for the Israeli Foreign Ministry, Yaffa Ben-Ari, said it was
> > "nonsense" that they had been spying on America. There has been no
> formal
> > American allegation of spying by Israel although Tel Aviv has launched
> > espionage operations against its principal ally in the past.
> >
> > The leaked report was compiled by the Drug Enforcement Administration
> after
> > some of its offices were allegedly targeted by Israelis posing as art

> > students. "That these people are now travelling in the US selling art
> seems
> > not to fit their background," the DEA report said.
> >
> > On Oct 31, the FBI and Immigration and Naturalisation Service officers
> > arrested about 60 young Israelis in San Diego, Kansas City, Cleveland,
> Houston
> > and St Louis. All had been selling toys at kiosks in shopping centres
> across
> > America and the FBI is reported to have been investigating this as a
> front
> > operation for espionage activities.
> >
> > Before that date, about 140 young Israelis had been detained, some for
> long
> > periods, by the FBI and other federal agencies.
> >
> > There is no evidence linking these arrests to the September 11 attacks
> > although some intelligence commentators have pointed to allegations that
> > Israel may have been shadowing Arab militants in America without sharing
> its
> > knowledge with Washington.
> >
> > The DEA report said most of the students questioned by American
> investigators
> > acknowledged having served in units of the Israeli armed forces
> specialising
> > in military intelligence, electronic signals interception or explosive
> > ordnance.
> >
> > It added that one person questioned was the son of an Israeli general,
> another
> > had served as the bodyguard to the head of the Israeli Army and a third
> served
> > in a Patriot missile unit.
> >
> > Young Israelis are required to serve in the military and there is a long
> > tradition that after completing their national service they take
> advantage of
> > their freedom to go out and see the world.
> >
> > In 1984, Jonathan Pollard, a US naval intelligence officer, was
> sentenced to
> > life in prison for spying for Israel.
> >
> >
> http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/main.jhtml?xml=/news/2002/03/07/wspy07.xml
> &sSheet=/news/2002/03/07/ixworld.html
>
>

443. Re: PRO-93 new firmware is out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 15:07:55 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <929k8uk5uh1f7hd5fume55icks899iornp@4ax.com>
Source record: 50909

On Sat, 09 Mar 2002 03:06:59 GMT, Dan <lists@elp.rr.com> wrote:

>
>Yep firmware 1.03 is the latest not 1.02
>

For some reason, there never was a 1.02. I don't believe anyone has figured out the difference between 1.01 and 1.03.

PRO-93's with 1.01 or 1.03 have actually been around for some time. It's just been a matter of finding one among the 1.00's that are still in stock - or have been swapped for 1.01/3. The bigger news is that a 1.00 owner has reported on the Yahoo! group that he's about to get his radio back with the upgrade. That means that 1.00 owners who need it will be taken care of without having to hunt and swap.

BTW - I see Eric G has blown it by referring to a 2053 with 3.00 or 3.01. He really had several of us going with his pro93.com-Win93 thread. But the 3.00/3.01 thing is just too big a gag to put over on anyone. Too bad, Eric - you're just no Emilio.

Ken Plotkin

444. Re: PRO-93 new firmware is out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 15:14:45 UTC
From: Darrin <darrin99@nospam.iname.com>
Message-ID: <so9k8uooa4f3859finas2fb2k3o7dmtn2c@4ax.com>
Source record: 50910

On 09 Mar 2002 05:05:23 GMT, emoney250@aol.com (Eric G.) wrote:

>just an observation.....I was looking at a display model of the Pro-2053
>(the base model of the Pro-93) and when I checked the firmware version (turn
>unit on and press "3" while display reads 'Welcome to Dual Trunking'), I
>believe it read Version 3.00 or 3.01. Would this be different because it is a
>base unit?
>Eric G.
>education CANNOT buy common sense

The latest version for the 2053 is also 1.03.

445. Re: <_+=-Jew Spys From Israel Deported-=+_>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 15:22:36 UTC
From: sdspecialdeliveryjones@stalag13.com
Message-ID: <e1665b4c.0203090722.399e376d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50911
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Spoon Man" <Stan@NeverFalls.com> wrote in message
news:<8Cki8.438760$n73.13071674@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>...
> "Make light of every word, you, hear" - Brave Combo
> +- +- +- +-
> "Harold Dow" <Harold.Dow@cbs.com> wrote in message
> news:ujjj8uo8ubq1eutu3sa4pm8p66pstmqd8t@4ax.com...
> > ++
> >
> > US arrests 200 young Israelis in spying investigation
> > By Ben Fenton in Washington
> >
> >
> > Up to 200 young Israelis, some of them former members of military
> intelligence
> > units, have been arrested in America in the past year, a leaked government
> > report disclosed yesterday.
> >
> > Some had used cover stories to gain access to sensitive government
> buildings
> > and the homes of American officials. The report said the actions of some
> of
> > the Israelis, most of whom had outstayed tourist visas, "may well be an
> > organised intelligence-gathering activity".
> >
> > None of the Israelis, about 140 of whom are believed to have been detained
> > before the September 11 attacks, has been charged and most have been
> deported,
> > according to the US government.
> >
> > A spokesman for the Israeli Foreign Ministry, Yaffa Ben-Ari, said it was
> > "nonsense" that they had been spying on America. There has been no formal
> > American allegation of spying by Israel although Tel Aviv has launched
> > espionage operations against its principal ally in the past.
> >
> > The leaked report was compiled by the Drug Enforcement Administration
> after
> > some of its offices were allegedly targeted by Israelis posing as art
> > students. "That these people are now travelling in the US selling art
> seems
> > not to fit their background," the DEA report said.
> >
> > On Oct 31, the FBI and Immigration and Naturalisation Service officers
> > arrested about 60 young Israelis in San Diego, Kansas City, Cleveland,

> Houston
> > and St Louis. All had been selling toys at kiosks in shopping centres
> across
> > America and the FBI is reported to have been investigating this as a front
> > operation for espionage activities.
> >
> > Before that date, about 140 young Israelis had been detained, some for
> long
> > periods, by the FBI and other federal agencies.
> >
> > There is no evidence linking these arrests to the September 11 attacks
> > although some intelligence commentators have pointed to allegations that
> > Israel may have been shadowing Arab militants in America without sharing
> its
> > knowledge with Washington.
> >
> > The DEA report said most of the students questioned by American
> investigators
> > acknowledged having served in units of the Israeli armed forces
> specialising
> > in military intelligence, electronic signals interception or explosive
> > ordnance.
> >
> > It added that one person questioned was the son of an Israeli general,
> another
> > had served as the bodyguard to the head of the Israeli Army and a third
> served
> > in a Patriot missile unit.
> >
> > Young Israelis are required to serve in the military and there is a long
> > tradition that after completing their national service they take advantage
> of
> > their freedom to go out and see the world.
> >
> > In 1984, Jonathan Pollard, a US naval intelligence officer, was sentenced
> to
> > life in prison for spying for Israel.
> >
> >
> http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/main.jhtml?xml=/news/2002/03/07/wspy07.xml&s
> Sheet=/news/2002/03/07/ixworld.html

well very interesting.....now if we can get them to perform
due dilligence on the fucking 5,000,000 illegal damn mexicans we have
in this country.....then.....and only then will i wave and jump
up and down.....sdj is down.....

446. Re: <_+=-Jew Spys From Israel Deported-=+_>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 16:08:33 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <5sqi8.23866$106.1987091@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 50912
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

```
Almost hate to mention it. Now some flunky is in trouble with the
uberfuehrer.

"MadDogMcQ" <tom.mcq@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:a6d71l$1g1$1@knossos.btinternet.com...
> Well said Richard!
> Tom Mcq www.ar3000.info
>
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:Bgni8.1617$Ex5.154824@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Before biting on this hook, note that this is how neo-nazis recruit.
> >
> > "Harold Dow" <Harold.Dow@cbs.com> wrote in message
> > news:ujjj8uo8ubq1eutu3sa4pm8p66pstmqd8t@4ax.com...
> > > ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
> > >
> > > US arrests 200 young Israelis in spying investigation
> > > By Ben Fenton in Washington
> > >
> > >
> > > Up to 200 young Israelis, some of them former members of military
> > intelligence
> > > units, have been arrested in America in the past year, a leaked
> > government
> > > report disclosed yesterday.
> > >
> > > Some had used cover stories to gain access to sensitive government
> > buildings
> > > and the homes of American officials. The report said the actions of
some
> > of
> > > the Israelis, most of whom had outstayed tourist visas, "may well be
an
> > > organised intelligence-gathering activity".
> > >
> > > None of the Israelis, about 140 of whom are believed to have been
> > detained
> > > before the September 11 attacks, has been charged and most have been
> > deported,
> > > according to the US government.
> > >
> > > A spokesman for the Israeli Foreign Ministry, Yaffa Ben-Ari, said it
was
```

> > > "nonsense" that they had been spying on America. There has been no
> > formal
> > > American allegation of spying by Israel although Tel Aviv has
launched
> > > espionage operations against its principal ally in the past.
> > >
> > > The leaked report was compiled by the Drug Enforcement
Administration
> > after
> > > some of its offices were allegedly targeted by Israelis posing as
art
> > > students. "That these people are now travelling in the US selling
art
> > seems
> > > not to fit their background," the DEA report said.
> > >
> > > On Oct 31, the FBI and Immigration and Naturalisation Service
officers
> > > arrested about 60 young Israelis in San Diego, Kansas City,
Cleveland,
> > Houston
> > > and St Louis. All had been selling toys at kiosks in shopping
centres
> > across
> > > America and the FBI is reported to have been investigating this as a
> > front
> > > operation for espionage activities.
> > >
> > > Before that date, about 140 young Israelis had been detained, some
for
> > long
> > > periods, by the FBI and other federal agencies.
> > >
> > > There is no evidence linking these arrests to the September 11
attacks
> > > although some intelligence commentators have pointed to allegations
that
> > > Israel may have been shadowing Arab militants in America without
sharing
> > its
> > > knowledge with Washington.
> > >
> > > The DEA report said most of the students questioned by American
> > investigators
> > > acknowledged having served in units of the Israeli armed forces
> > specialising
> > > in military intelligence, electronic signals interception or
explosive
> > > ordnance.
> > >

> > > It added that one person questioned was the son of an Israeli
general,
> > another
> > > had served as the bodyguard to the head of the Israeli Army and a
third
> > served
> > > in a Patriot missile unit.
> > >
> > > Young Israelis are required to serve in the military and there is a
long
> > > tradition that after completing their national service they take
> > advantage of
> > > their freedom to go out and see the world.
> > >
> > > In 1984, Jonathan Pollard, a US naval intelligence officer, was
> > sentenced to
> > > life in prison for spying for Israel.
> > >
> > >
> >
http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/main.jhtml?xml=/news/2002/03/07/wspy07.xml
> > &sSheet=/news/2002/03/07/ixworld.html
> >
> >
>
>

447. Re: Forsale: NIB PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 16:26:28 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <KIqi8.96$HK6.161281@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 50913
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

How long can the radio stay out of the box and be used before it is no longer considered NIB? :-)

In article <97ji8.893$4d.157849@news1.west.cox.net>, jwayda@cox.net says...
> I ordered it from a Radio Shack Store in Canada. I have several other 2006
> units so this one just stayed in the box on the top shelf of the closet.
> Anyone that is interested and lives in Southern California is welcome to see
> the unit.
>
>
> -jim
>
>
> "Just Joe" <nospam@iname.com> wrote in message
> news:m34i8ugc62b0g90inip1838ftl7akvkfud@4ax.com...
> > On Fri, 08 Mar 2002 03:53:49 GMT, "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@cox.net> wrote:
> >
> > >I have a NIB PRO-2006, and am interested in offers over $350.00 and will
> > >accept the best offer. I paid over $350 for this scanner and have the
> > >original receipt. This is the best scanner ever made. I purchase this
> 2006
> > >about 8 years ago and it has only been out of the box for 5 minutes to
> > >ensure that it functioned properly. This is your chance to get a real new
> in
> > >box PRO-2006. I am in Southern California if anyone would like to see the
> > >scanner in person.
> > >
> > >Thanks,
> > >
> > >-jim
> > >
> >
> > Just one question. Why did you buy a $350 radio, take it out of the
> > box to test it, and then put it away for 8 years??
> >
>
>
>

448. New IRC chat server irc.usachat.net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 17:04:39 UTC
From: Richard Burwell <rburwell@bright.net>
Message-ID: <Vkri8.1556$0e1.310991@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 50915

Hello, I have started a new IRC chat server. I am a shortwave monitor and scanner freak myself and you guys are all welcome to use the server and create channels there. The address that you put into your irc client like mIRC is irc.usachat.net. Get mIRC here www.mirc.com

449. Re: PRO-93 new firmware is out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 17:24:35 UTC
From: Goober179 <goober179@tromaqz.com>
Message-ID: <dzri8.2499$17.1556769@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 50914

My radio is 3.01. My friend didn't believe it so I told him to come over and look for himself. He said i had the radio upside down. We turned it over and now all the channels match what is in Police Call. It don't pick up the digital channels the cops use anymore so i am gonna put it back the way it was.

"Ken Plotkin" <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com> wrote in message news:929k8uk5uh1f7hd5fume55icks899iornp@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 09 Mar 2002 03:06:59 GMT, Dan <lists@elp.rr.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >Yep firmware 1.03 is the latest not 1.02
> >
>
> For some reason, there never was a 1.02. I don't believe anyone has
> figured out the difference between 1.01 and 1.03.
>
> PRO-93's with 1.01 or 1.03 have actually been around for some time.
> It's just been a matter of finding one among the 1.00's that are still
> in stock - or have been swapped for 1.01/3. The bigger news is that a
> 1.00 owner has reported on the Yahoo! group that he's about to get his
> radio back with the upgrade. That means that 1.00 owners who need it
> will be taken care of without having to hunt and swap.
>
> BTW - I see Eric G has blown it by referring to a 2053 with 3.00 or
> 3.01. He really had several of us going with his pro93.com-Win93
> thread. But the 3.00/3.01 thing is just too big a gag to put over on
> anyone. Too bad, Eric - you're just no Emilio.
>
> Ken Plotkin

450. Re: Cheap ctcss scanner wanted possibly in Ontario

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 17:52:19 UTC
From: PaulandJacki <jacki2@gwis.com>
Message-ID: <3C8A4BD3.2662@gwis.com>
Source record: 50916
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

ScanMan wrote:
Check out the Relm HS-200. It's a great unit for under $200.00 (deal
includes Nicads and charger). Scannerworld still has them I think.
PHF

> What scanner has the least amount of ch's but would still have ctcss
> capabilities. I might be looking for a cheap scanner, but the town is small
> and is run by the OPP. So I need ctcss capabilites.
>
> Not much other than that. The fire dept's are csq and ambulance ya can get
> away with not having ctcss, but it's the policing for the region that has
> it.
>
> help on this would be great.
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.332 / Virus Database: 186 - Release Date: 3/6/2002

451. Good buying experience

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 18:02:01 UTC
From: tucansam@whodis.org
Message-ID: <a6dimp$9au$1@reader2.panix.com>
Source record: 50917

Just a quick note...

Frank Bals recently advertised a Pro-2004 for sale in the group, and I emailed him expressing interest. We haggled a bit on the price and came to an agreement, and I purchased the scanner. It arrived well in time and was packaged quite well. It is a beautiful scanner, is in fine shape, and works quite well. Frank thew in a couple of antennas. He also continues to email me, following up on the sale, offering to answer any questions, and making sure that I am satisfied. Well, I am satisfied. The scanner is great (picking up all sorts of things that my Pro-79 can't get) and dealing with Frank was painless.

So just a note for the archive servers for future readers, anyone wondering about dealing with Frank Bals need not worry, he is an honest guy and does his best to make sure the buyer is satisfied.

Thanks Frank!

452. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 18:09:42 UTC
From: Jonners <jon@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <a6dj3t$54o$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 50918
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

Firstly, I'm not a Christian. Secondly, I'm not a bigot. Thirdly, I simply asked that the beliefs of others be respected when discussing something totally unrelated to religion.

Finally, however, I refuse to take part in any of this bickering and infantile name calling and swearing... I guess I've just grown up!!!

"Richard Steven Walz" <rstevew@deeptht.armory.com> wrote in message news:3c89d097$0$79553$8eec23a@newsreader.tycho.net...
> In article <a6cif1$cq3$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>, Jonners <jon@nospam.com> wrote:
> >> This is the kind of fucking stupidity you get from reading those stupid
> >> funnybooks like the fucking bible or whatnot that were written by
> >> semi-illiterate sheepfuckers who didn't know the world was round!
> >
> >Excuse me... when did this discussion get around to slagging Christians and
> >holy books off???
> >
> >A bit of respect, please!
> ------------------------
> Fuck off, you little Xtian Bigot!!
> Xtians NEED the fucking shit slapped out of them!
> Steve
>
>

453. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 18:46:46 UTC
From: grtpmpkin32@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020309134646.04060.00000256@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 50919

>Fuck off, you little Xtian Bigot!!
>> Xtians NEED the fucking shit slapped out of them!
>> Steve

I'm out of this thread. I shared the belief you had a brain in your head. My mistake.
Linus

454. Re: Handheld Antenna's question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 19:49:33 UTC
From: Tom Clyne <tc60@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C8A6763.1E0095B@optonline.net>
Source record: 50922
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Funny, I had the same question today! EXCEPT, I have an ICOM R2, which uses an SMA connector. Thanks to the answers to your q., I now have info about alternatives, BUT does anyone out there have any experiences with this??? Many thanks.

Tom

tazdog wrote:

> I have a bc220xlt handheld
> and is there any way to get another\better antenna to increase range and/or
> better reception.
>
> Thanks for your help,
> Newbie - Scott

455. ic-pcr1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 19:50:21 UTC
From: papa <doc_jpp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3c8a6782$0$8739$626a54ce@news.free.fr>
Source record: 50920

comment g&#65533;rer ic-pcr1000 sous win xp?
merci

does ic-pcr1000 works under winxp?
thanks

456. FA: VR-5000 Yaesu, 3.5 hrs left...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 19:50:40 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <klti8.8141$k5.3185854@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50921

For Auction On Ebay, with only 3.5 hours left.

Yaesu VR-5000 Wide-Band Communications Receiver

Includes optional "Instant-Replay" digital recorder module!
Includes optional "Voice-Synthesizer" module!

New, with original box, manual, warranty, receipt!

Currently @ $500.00 (a $790.00 value)

Thanks,
Bill Crocker
Michigan

457. Re: importing scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 20:01:08 UTC
From: Anonymous User <anonymous@remailer.havenco.com>
Message-ID: <6ffef6433496c1c42861456c8017d2ba@remailer.havenco.com>
Source record: 50923

I just purchased a used scanner from an overseas individual. It is in transit. Some of this particular model were made before the ban, others after. Do not know when this was made or if it makes a difference. I also do not know how he listed it on the customs form.

458. Re: ic-pcr1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 20:11:38 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a6dq8p$dg1ta$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50924

Utilisez le programme TALKPCR. Cela fonctionne avec le PCR-1000 parfaitement. Vous constaterez que TALKPCR est un meilleur programme que le programme d'cIcom avec lequel l'unit&#65533; est venue. J'utilise ma radio avec WindowsXP et TalkPCR et tout fonctionne parfaitement. J'ai entendu que quelques utilisateurs ont des probl&#65533;mes avec les Windows XP et le logiciel d'cIcom.

"papa" <doc_jpp@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3c8a6782$0$8739$626a54ce@news.free.fr...
> comment g&#65533;rer ic-pcr1000 sous win xp?
> merci
>
> does ic-pcr1000 works under winxp?
> thanks
>
>

459. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 20:17:10 UTC
From: rstevew@deeptht.armory.com
Message-ID: <3c8a6dc6$0$36736$8eec23a@newsreader.tycho.net>
Source record: 50925
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

In article <a6dj3t$54o$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>, Jonners <jon@nospam.com> wrote:
>Firstly, I'm not a Christian. Secondly, I'm not a bigot. Thirdly, I simply
>asked that the beliefs of others be respected when discussing something
>totally unrelated to religion.

Everything, and all abuse, evil, and cruelty in the whole WORLD is related
to religion, and not a whole fucking lot else!!

>Finally, however, I refuse to take part in any of this bickering and
>infantile name calling and swearing... I guess I've just grown up!!!

That or else you're showing off backhandedly. Bet you are!
Steve

>"Richard Steven Walz" <rstevew@deeptht.armory.com> wrote in message
>news:3c89d097$0$79553$8eec23a@newsreader.tycho.net...
>> In article <a6cif1$cq3$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>, Jonners <jon@nospam.com>
>wrote:
>> >> This is the kind of fucking stupidity you get from reading those stupid
>> >> funnybooks like the fucking bible or whatnot that were written by
>> >> semi-illiterate sheepfuckers who didn't know the world was round!
>> >
>> >Excuse me... when did this discussion get around to slagging Christians
>and
>> >holy books off???
>> >
>> >A bit of respect, please!
>> ---
>> Fuck off, you little Xtian Bigot!!
>> Xtians NEED the fucking shit slapped out of them!
>> Steve
>>
>>
>
>

460. Bose Wave Radio FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 20:24:35 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <7cui8.5322$RB.936139@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50926
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a black Bose wave radio that I am looking to sell.It comes with credit card remote,book and box.This is just the am and fm model.No cd or cassett.It works and looks great.Beautiful sound.I don't use it so I am getting it out for sale.I will take $200.oo for it and something for shipping.Email me if interested.

I am interested in a 6 meter mobile unit if you got one to sell or trade.Triband or what.

461. GE SuperBase FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 20:32:38 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Gjui8.5332$RB.936948@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50927
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Model 3-5875A
SSB radio in great shape.Has the two antenna inputs on back.
It comes with the hand mike and I have a Turner Expander 500 desk mike.I
just got to remember where I put the desk mike.The radio is in real good
shape and works great.I just don't use it so I want it out of my way as it
takes up to much desk space for me. I will give you a personal guarrentee on
it.Let me know what you
decide.Thanks Email me.
I am interested in a triband mobile with 6 meters on it also
Make me a reasonable offer on it as I want to move it to a good home.I have
had several people interested,but no solid deal on it yet.

462. Motorola UHF and VHF HTs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 20:38:08 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Qoui8.5338$RB.935353@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50928
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Ok I have five Radio Shack Motorola UHF hts for sale with one Radius SP-21 VHF radio.They all work great but two do need batteries as they are junk.I will sale these for $160.00 shipped if interested.O r will trade for ???? 6 meter,Scanners,GPS or what may be of interest.

463. Re: Am I really missing that much not having an unblocked scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 21:29:08 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <E8vi8.2565$%6.129920@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 50929

Why spend the money. Most scanners can be unblocked in under 5 minutes. I have a pro-2022 and an Alinco DJ-580 and both were unblocked in less then 5 minutes. If you have a scanner then you should be able to unblock it costing you nothing. I love mine and love to listen to the nuts on cell phone, (not illegal in Canada).

"Ryan" <rml6565@ksu.edu> wrote in message news:5a301de4.0203071556.51f87543@posting.google.com...
> Is having an unblocked scanner worth getting? Also, is voice
> inversion decryption worth getting?

464. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 22:35:11 UTC
From: grtpmpkin32@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020309173511.15690.00000606@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 50930

>Everything, and all abuse, evil, and cruelty in the whole WORLD is related
>to religion, and not a whole fucking lot else!!
>

Oh, I guess it ain't MONEY!
The blind hatred of other's beliefs and religions has been at least as much a cause of abuse and evil in the world as the misled and misquoted religions themselves.
Go to school, get a brain and a decent vocabulary, and come back some other day.
In the meantime, the ORIGINAL topic of child safety and local crime awareness was at least mildly associated with scanner monitoring.
You've ventured into the realm of the troll.
Go bother some other goats.
Linus

465. Cherokee County Sheriff's Office - Streaming Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 23:02:26 UTC
From: Michael Martin <mmartin64@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <6wwi8.23126$WP2.4868206@typhoon.atl.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 50933

ScanAtlanta proudly is running the Cherokee County Sheriff's Office on
streaming audio via Windows Media Player.

Open WMP, Open URL and put in http://24.98.226.168:8080/

--

/\/\|k.e

Webmaster
www.ScanAtlanta.com

mm505@mindspring.com
webmaster@scanatlanta.com

466. Re: Fort Bliss Trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 23:16:22 UTC
From: proma1 <proma1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <KHwi8.7922$xO2.554624@news11-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 50931
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks Joe.

many of us will use search engines to find out the info required,but others are not sure of the net,and how to find the info,so its best to explain in detail the info required and for what area.

i often do searches on yahoogroups for UK base scanning email groups and am amazed that so many people do not explain where they are based and what they cover.

if i see in the details of the group Birmingham scanner group,i have to join as there is no mention in the details of which Birmingham ie Birmingham Alabama USA or Birmingham West Midlands UK.

if it had said that in the first place it would be easier.

regards

--

Paul Wey[PROMA1]

moderator of following scanning groups

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk

"J" <dataless@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:Yzfi8.9647$hr7.2089329819@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> Paul,
> Thanks for the explanation and for your courtesy. Fort Bliss is located
> adjacent to El Paso, Tx, in far west tx. It is also located near White
Sand
> Missile Range and McGregor Test Range and Biggs Army Airfield. All of
which
> are in the proximity of Southern New Mexico and Far West Texas.
>
> Joe
> KD5QAC
> "proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
> news:XyGg8.42901$Hg1.7179590@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com...
> > the problem is when you cross post and come onto a group that is not in

> the
> > US,whick surprisingly alt.radio.scanner.uk is then how do you expect
> people
> > to know where it is.
> >
> >
> > we all have a duty on the net to explain more,i would not ask on a US
> > group,does anyone have the freqs for Wattisham base???
> >
> >
> > you are unlikely to know what or where that is,but i still may ask the
> > question on a US group if it was a US owned facility as you may be able
to
> > give some sort of clue,but i would say where it was not just UK but
county
> > as well.
> >
> >
> > the more detail the asker provides,the more help others can give.
> >
> >
> > even in the US,i bet most people do not know where Fort Bliss is,and
still
> > you have not told us.
> >
> >
> > why should i have to keep searching the net for the info,you asked the
> > question,so tell us where it is,sometimes we travel around and pick
things
> > up and do not know exactly where the signals come from,as we do not know
> > what is in the area,so they may have the freqs but not really know it.
> >
> >
> > regards
> >
> > --
> >
> >
> >
> > Paul Wey[PROMA1]
> >
> > moderator of following scanning groups
> >
> >
> >
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk
> >
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio
> >
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech

> >
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk
> >
> >
> >
> > "J" <dataless@swbell.net> wrote in message
> > news:r2Eg8.3128$l51.1063062617@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> > > I can really feel the love in the group. Ya know the kinda of love
that
> > > make people wonder, "With friends like these, who needs enemies!"
> > >
> > > Now, back to the subject related to radios....Does anyone know the
> > > frequencies that Fort Bliss, TX uses for their trunking system? And
for
> > > those un-educated, Fort Bliss is the home of the Patriot Missle
Defense
> > > System.
> > >
> > > (now I am getting ready to be flamed by the weak minded)
> > >
> > > Thanks in advance
> > > "martin butcher" <mbutcher@railfan37.fsnet.co.uk> wrote in message
> > > news:a5p3nkvsp1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > > > Who or what is fort bliss
> > > > "J" <dataless@swbell.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:htSf8.2406$QR6.764066985@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > Anyone know what kind of trunking is done at Fort Bliss? Or maybe
> the
> > > > > frequencies they are on?
> > > > >
> > > > > Thanks in advance,
> > > > >
> > > > > Joe
> > > > > KD5QAC
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

467. Oregon Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 23:24:12 UTC
From: "R.U. Amused?" <n6ttd@spamsuxarrl.net>
Message-ID: <wQwi8.11005$ZR2.6396@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 50932

Hello;

Would anyone be able to point me to a good website where I may find Oregon Public Safety frequencies? Thanks.

468. Re: <_+=-Jew Spys From Israel Deported-=+_>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 23:33:02 UTC
From: timf1964@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <f906e847.0203091533.3531d6f8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50934
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

```
"Spoon Man" <Stan@NeverFalls.com> wrote in message
news:<8Cki8.438760$n73.13071674@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>...
> "Make light of every word, you, hear" - Brave Combo
> +- +- +- +-
> "Harold Dow" <Harold.Dow@cbs.com> wrote in message
> news:ujjj8uo8ubq1eutu3sa4pm8p66pstmqd8t@4ax.com...
> > ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
> >
> >

Straw man arguments!
```

469. Re: <_+=-Jew Spys From Israel Deported-=+_>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 23:33:03 UTC
From: timf1964@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <f906e847.0203091533.4b313ff7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50935
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Spoon Man" <Stan@NeverFalls.com> wrote in message
news:<8Cki8.438760$n73.13071674@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>...
> "Make light of every word, you, hear" - Brave Combo
> +- +- +- +-
> "Harold Dow" <Harold.Dow@cbs.com> wrote in message
> news:ujjj8uo8ubq1eutu3sa4pm8p66pstmqd8t@4ax.com...
> > ++
> >
> >

Straw man arguments!

470. FA: Pro-90 Handheld Trunktracking scanner, LAST DAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 23:33:37 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.com
Message-ID: <5e5fccab.0203091533.4d9c092f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50936

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1337162020

This auction is for a Radio Shack Pro-90 300 channel handheld trunking scanner. It is a 9.5 out of 10 physically due to a few very minor scratches. It has been used only in my shack, a non-smoking environment. I am selling it to get money for a dual-trunking base scanner. It has never been opened and comes with the charger and orignal antenna. You can view complete details on this scanner at http://www.radioshack.com/searchplus.asp?type=ALL&find=pro-90#. The manual is also available there in PDF format.

This item is NOT sold "as-is". It is guarenteed to work when shipped and will arrive in that condition, unless damaged or abused by the shipping company. Insurance is REQUIRED to ensure that the buyer does not lose any money.

471. F/S Uniden BC700A scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-09 23:55:13 UTC
From: rob34 <rob34@infinet.com>
Message-ID: <3c8aa0eb$0$1599$4c5ecdc7@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 50937

I bought this scanner a while back in order to repair it.When it was almost new the scanner was mounted in a moble,but for some reason the metal case came in contact with circuit board.This caused a couple of foil strips to be burned off the board,I bypassed the strips with some wire and was able to get the scanner to start working again.It will pick up 806- 956 mhz,but for some reason it will not seem to receive anything below that,but it will scan it.This will make a nice project for someone has more time to mess with it.The scanner is in descent shape and I have the manual for it and the power pack,but it does not have the schematic.I am asking $30.00 for it plus shipping.There is some very minor rust spots on on one side as you can see from the pictures: http://angel-pal.tripod.com/scanner1.JPG
http://angel-pal.tripod.com/scanner2.JPG
http://angel-pal.tripod.com/scanner3.JPG
http://angel-pal.tripod.com/scanner4.JPG
http://angel-pal.tripod.com/scanner5.JPG Rob WB8VWI

472. Live fire and ems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 00:05:54 UTC
From: mitbr <hmm@anywhere.net>
Message-ID: <Crxi8.181$z63.117@nwrddc03.gnilink.net>
Source record: 50938

Live fire and ems scanner online tonight and every night from Pinellas
County Florida 7pm to 10 pm east coast usa time
Tim
Please visit my Tampa Bay Radio Page at the url below
http://home1.gte.net/res0plht/Mi01000.htm

473. FIELD PROGRAMABLE VHF 40CH PORTABLE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 01:30:05 UTC
From: David M Schertzer <schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3ndl8uc0tdm16i7e8nb69nts3nr64c6f9b@4ax.com>
Source record: 50939

(1) ICOM V68 *40ch* 5-watt -VHF-

*** DTMF (User programmable - Front face) ****

(1) ICOM BC75A Rapid Desk Charger
(1) ICOM BP160 Rechargeable Battery pack
(1) ICOM BP130 Rechargeable Battery pack
(1) ICOM "AA" Emergency Battery pack (6- AA type batteries)
(1) EM46 Sprk-mic
(1) CP13L Cigarette Lighter adaptor with Noise filter
(1) Org. Instruction Manual + boxes

$225USD + $15USDship Payment via USPS International M/O.

Email for questions or info, spec sheet avail also.
This is the equiv. to the Motorola GP68 version.

474. Re: PRO-93 new firmware is out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 01:53:03 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <iqel8u4ab75eft4f7q177h4ullaa402cn7@4ax.com>
Source record: 50940

On Sat, 09 Mar 2002 17:24:35 GMT, "Goober179" <goober179@tromaqz.com>
wrote:

>My radio is 3.01. My friend didn't believe it so I told him to come over and
>look for himself. He said i had the radio upside down. We turned it over and
>now all the channels match what is in Police Call. It don't pick up the
>digital channels the cops use anymore so i am gonna put it back the way it
>was.

Yes - you can do that with a 2053. RS sells those little stick-on
feet that you can put on the top, so your desk does not get scratched.

A friend tried it with his 93. Unfortunately, the belt clip is
one-way, as is gravity. We'll have to try something else when it gets
back from the shop.

Ken Plotkin

475. Uniden 780XLT & Tait trunking in NZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 03:23:07 UTC
From: george <frnk2431@removehotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9lAi8.2095$Qo2.208399@news02.tsnz.net>
Source record: 50941

Hi everyone.

I'm in NZ and was wondering whether a Uniden 780XLT will pick up a Tait
trunking network.

I think it is a E2 UHF type II network. When scanning with my 120XLT i pick up these radios
between 414-418Mhz, other 'tone' signals
can
also be heard in this range. I don't know to much about trunking so was wondering if I
spent the money on a 780XLT scanner will I
be able to trunk this network. Also what other info do I need to find out.

Thanks in advance
George

476. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 03:27:04 UTC
From: Kevin in San Diego <kevin_hedstrom@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <coAi8.10573$5S6.380406@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 50942
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

Hehe, there sure are some angry people in the world. Everyone of intelligence knows that every segment of the population has biggoted people who need the fucking shit slapped out of them, not just christians. Steve, consider yourself slapped.
Kevin

> -------------------------
> > Fuck off, you little Xtian Bigot!!
> > Xtians NEED the fucking shit slapped out of them!
> > Steve
> >
> >
>
>

477. Re: Uniden 780XLT & Tait trunking in NZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 04:28:12 UTC
From: george <frnk2431@removehotmail.com>
Message-ID: <diBi8.2102$Qo2.209364@news02.tsnz.net>
Source record: 50943

Tait Communications http://www.taitworld.com

They run the trunking network, have their own branded radios etc..

george

"Keith" <nospamplease@newsguy.com> wrote in message news:a6el8t0pnq@enews1.newsguy.com...
> george wrote:
>
> >I'm in NZ and was wondering whether a Uniden 780XLT will pick up a Tait
> >trunking network.
>
> Is Tait trunking a protocol like Motorola or EDACS? If this is a motorola
> or EDACS OR LTR then you can track them. You will need the base frequency
> and the offset frequency. Ask around in a NZ scanner news group or email
> group.
>
>
> --
> Best Regards,
>
> Keith
> ==
> My Home Page http://n6jpa.home.attbi.com/
> The Reply-to Address of this post is valid.
> Medical care is a human right, not a profit margin.
> ==

478. Re: Ambulance Freqs in San Jose

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 04:29:30 UTC
From: "D. Jacobs" <dju@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <frnl8u4rdr1db1rcr8bcjgaiq8togj15jb@4ax.com>
Source record: 50944
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks for the urls. ScanMan too!!

I've been monitoring the 856.4375 and 857.4375 freqs and there's definitely a lot of traffic on them so these seem to be exactly what I've been looking for. Too bad they're in the 8xx range because I'd like to be able to listen in on my car radio too (which is a Kenwood TM-D700 with the extended range mod applied). But the Kenwood's only good for the UHF and VHF freqs. But my home unit picks them up fine.

Regards,
Dave

On Sat, 09 Mar 2002 04:24:25 GMT, Arleigh Movitz
<amovitz@earthlink.net> wrote:

>In article <svlf8ugelnulj2schot6jca4j7ktp5i2c3@4ax.com>,
> D. Jacobs <dju@nospam.com> wrote:
>
>> Does anybody know what they are? I already know of 453.100 but when I
>> scan what I thought was Medivac's freqs, I get nothing.
>>
>> A URL to a San Jose freqs page would be great if anyone has one.
>>
>> Thanks!
>>
>> Dave
>
>
>http://www.sccfd.org/frequencies.html

479. Re: Can my setup monitor MDT's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 04:38:23 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <41nl8us274jph1ss8nnc2ndgr6e0kclmq1@4ax.com>
Source record: 50945
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I recommend that you first try to discover what kind of (so called)
MDTs you plan to decode. The only currently available software
(on the web) is used to decode the MDC-4800 type of MDT.
The VAST majority of people that want to decode MDTs
waste many manhours just to give up in utter fustration.
You can avoid this problem with this simple information.
Very few metro areas , in the USA , still use the old MDC-4800
protocol MDT encoding. There are some , but they are far and few
in between.
First , it's best to confirm that you do not have MDT systems (
locally) that are on digital trunking systems (like L.A. , NYC,
D.C., Dallas , Miami, and many more.) , or MDTs that use the newer
, more current , types of encoding ..and there are several.
If you have ANY other systems than the old 4800 encoding types
, forget it ... with your current equipment. (you can buy MDTs on
ebay in the $1100 to $1600 range , used , that will work on many
modern systems.)

To confirm if you have decodeable MDTs .. find and listen to your
local MDT systems. Check the way they sound against the sound files
of MDC- 4800 systems ... found on many of the web scanner sites.
(check in Google for the sound files) If you can confirm that they
are the 4800 types (highly unlikely) then you can use mdtmonitor
to decode them. If they are NOT like the scanner site sound bites (
highly probable) ... then forget it .. or buy a decoder on ebay ..!

Most users of MDTs do not want people to eavesdrop on them.
Because this is true ..these people have gone to great (and usually
expensive) lengths to prevent their signals from being intercepted.
(these days) There are VERY few people , in the USA these days ,
that can still intercept MDTs sucessfully. The smartest approach is
to discover if it is possible (in your area) at all. Identify the
type of MDT you have , locally , ... it's easy to do and will save a
" ton of agony " ...! If you can identify 4800s , you're home free.
If they aren't 4800s ... forget it. Plain and simple. All you need is
to listen to your local MDTs and compare them to sound files , to
know if you have any chance to succeed.

http://www.kb9ukd.com/digital/

krackula

On 8 Mar 2002 13:47:52 -0800, nyceguy@jps.net (Kevin G) wrote:

>HI all,
>
>OK, I know that monitoring MDT's is supposedly illegal, however, I am
>curious. I have a PRo 2006 with the discrimnator tap mod done. This
>unit also has the OS-456 FULL board installed. Do I need anything
>else besides the MDT software? Do I need a different interface
>besides the OS 456? I have read about it and it a bit confusing.
>Please let me know...
>
>Kevin
>
>E-mail nyceguy@jps.net

480. Re: Uniden 780XLT & Tait trunking in NZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 04:46:13 UTC
From: george <frnk2431@removehotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6zBi8.2111$Qo2.209563@news02.tsnz.net>
Source record: 50946

I've been going through their website and its listed as a "MPT Trunked Network"
http://www.taitworld.com/index.cfm/11,160,32,html

Never heard of this before.

George

"Keith" <nospamplease@newsguy.com> wrote in message news:a6el8t0pnq@enews1.newsguy.com...
> george wrote:
>
> >I'm in NZ and was wondering whether a Uniden 780XLT will pick up a Tait
> >trunking network.
>
> Is Tait trunking a protocol like Motorola or EDACS? If this is a motorola
> or EDACS OR LTR then you can track them. You will need the base frequency
> and the offset frequency. Ask around in a NZ scanner news group or email
> group.
>
>
> --
> Best Regards,
>
> Keith
> ==
> My Home Page http://n6jpa.home.attbi.com/
> The Reply-to Address of this post is valid.
> Medical care is a human right, not a profit margin.
> ==

481. Re: Forsale: NIB PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 09:06:06 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@cox.net>
Message-ID: <2mFi8.1726$4d.570048@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 50947
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am not sure. That is a really good question.

"Glenn" <ggrrhh@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:KIqi8.96$HK6.161281@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> How long can the radio stay out of the box and be used before it is no
> longer considered NIB? :-)
>
>
>
>
>
>
> In article <97ji8.893$4d.157849@news1.west.cox.net>, jwayda@cox.net
> says...
> > I ordered it from a Radio Shack Store in Canada. I have several other
2006
> > units so this one just stayed in the box on the top shelf of the closet.
> > Anyone that is interested and lives in Southern California is welcome to
see
> > the unit.
> >
> >
> > -jim
> >
> >
> > "Just Joe" <nospam@iname.com> wrote in message
> > news:m34i8ugc62b0g90inip1838ftl7akvkfud@4ax.com...
> > > On Fri, 08 Mar 2002 03:53:49 GMT, "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@cox.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > >I have a NIB PRO-2006, and am interested in offers over $350.00 and
will
> > > >accept the best offer. I paid over $350 for this scanner and have the
> > > >original receipt. This is the best scanner ever made. I purchase this
> > 2006
> > > >about 8 years ago and it has only been out of the box for 5 minutes
to
> > > >ensure that it functioned properly. This is your chance to get a real
new
> > in
> > > >box PRO-2006. I am in Southern California if anyone would like to see
the
> > > >scanner in person.
> > > >

> > > >Thanks,
> > > >
> > > >-jim
> > > >
> > >
> > > Just one question. Why did you buy a $350 radio, take it out of the
> > > box to test it, and then put it away for 8 years??
> > >
> >
> >
> >

482. Re: frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 09:07:22 UTC
From: MRK <me@you.com>
Message-ID: <u8niqc9aod9h26@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50991

The local WALMART uses FRS radios now. They used to use the red dot/blue dot/green dot type radios. Taco Bell and Burger King use 460-470MHZ. You can find the fast food freqs on the web.
"steve birdcreek" <sbi961@airmail.net> wrote in message news:EC6A6536A6DA5215.B00AE233E006C920.903F866B1F57D371@lp.airnews.net...
> can any body point me towards a site that lists business wal-mart, taco
> bell and the likes i checked police calls and some others but cant find
> any
> thanks steve
>

483. Re: Optoelectronic Scout 40 make me an Offer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 10:00:26 UTC
From: roughplanet <roughplanet@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c8b2eae$0$6218$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 50948
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Richard A. Tyszka" <rtyszka@snet.net> wrote in message
news:R0Wc8.3084$C66.560719944@newssvr10.news.prodigy.com...
>Basically new in box Scout 40 with the reaction tune cable for the >AOR
8200 have , DB32 antenna, 2 AC adapters, box, cable and >Manual. Has less
that 4 hours total use.

What's the point of posting a FS notice if you're not going to answer the
enquiries? What are you, thick, impolite or just American? (rhetorical
question...I don't really expect you to reply).

Ruff

484. Re: <_==-Jew Spys From Israel Deported-==_>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 10:27:27 UTC
From: Hal <hal@optonline.com>
Message-ID: <jyGi8.56940$gQ.13669786@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 50949
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Harold Dow" <Harold.Dow@cbs.com> wrote in message
news:tpij8u4lv5pk5dbi612jh1a0v1u01maveg@4ax.com...
>---
>---
>
>**************************************
> US arrests 200 young Israelis in spying investigation
> By Ben Fenton in Washington
>
>
> Up to 200 young Israelis, some of them former members of military intelligence
> units, have been arrested in America in the past year, a leaked government
> report disclosed yesterday.
>
> Some had used cover stories to gain access to sensitive government buildings
> and the homes of American officials. The report said the actions of some of
> the Israelis, most of whom had outstayed tourist visas, "may well be an
> organised intelligence-gathering activity".
>
> None of the Israelis, about 140 of whom are believed to have been detained
> before the September 11 attacks, has been charged and most have been deported,
> according to the US government.
>
> A spokesman for the Israeli Foreign Ministry, Yaffa Ben-Ari, said it was
> "nonsense" that they had been spying on America. There has been no formal
> American allegation of spying by Israel although Tel Aviv has launched
> espionage operations against its principal ally in the past.
>
> The leaked report was compiled by the Drug Enforcement Administration after
> some of its offices were allegedly targeted by Israelis posing as art
> students. "That these people are now travelling in the US selling art seems
> not to fit their background," the DEA report said.
>
> On Oct 31, the FBI and Immigration and Naturalisation Service officers
> arrested about 60 young Israelis in San Diego, Kansas City, Cleveland, Houston
> and St Louis. All had been selling toys at kiosks in shopping centres across
> America and the FBI is reported to have been investigating this as a front
> operation for espionage activities.
>
> Before that date, about 140 young Israelis had been detained, some for long
> periods, by the FBI and other federal agencies.
>
> There is no evidence linking these arrests to the September 11 attacks

> although some intelligence commentators have pointed to allegations that
> Israel may have been shadowing Arab militants in America without sharing its
> knowledge with Washington.
>
> The DEA report said most of the students questioned by American investigators
> acknowledged having served in units of the Israeli armed forces specialising
> in military intelligence, electronic signals interception or explosive
> ordnance.
>
> It added that one person questioned was the son of an Israeli general, another
> had served as the bodyguard to the head of the Israeli Army and a third served
> in a Patriot missile unit.
>
> Young Israelis are required to serve in the military and there is a long
> tradition that after completing their national service they take advantage of
> their freedom to go out and see the world.
>
> In 1984, Jonathan Pollard, a US naval intelligence officer, was sentenced to
> life in prison for spying for Israel.
>
>
http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/main.jhtml?xml=/news/2002/03/07/wspy07.xml&sShee
t=/news/2002/03/07/ixworld.html

Like the "hippie" of the '60s, the white nigger is a complete
consumer package -- the expensive-to-buy, cheap-to-make sweatshop
clothes from the perennial Jewish "rag trade," as Jews call it, the
"music" and gang movies that pour billions of White dollars into
outstretched Jewish hands. It's called "disposable income" for a
reason -- money that Whites are throwing away. Let's not forget the
made-in-Israel, smuggled-by-Hasids "Ecstasy" pills made to dissolve
individuals into one great big sweating touchy-feely, aracial mass,
twitching and jumping on the floor of a Jew-owned night club as the
silly lights flash and the cash register rings frantically. Five
dollars for a small bottle of water! Such a business....!! Jews need
rakes to pull in your money, White boy.

With the clothing and the noise and the stupid behavior come the
stupid attitudes, the self-destructiveness and self-hatred, the
Jewish poison spooned down us. Concidentally, I looked up from
writing this to see a Frontline special on PBS titled The Merchants
of Cool about precisely this subject.

Typically, a program such as this can go on for hours showing us one
hooked nose after another, subjecting us to one abrasive Jewish voice
after another directing, inventing, promoting, selling, researching
-- and never once use the word "Jew."

The Merchants of Cool showed us how the current niggerization of
youth, the coarsening, the dumbing-down, the filth, violence, and sex
are all products of the same mega-Jewish "entertainment"

corporations. One character on Murray Rothstein's ("Sumner Redstone") MTV is "The Mook," Tom Green, who specializes in idiotic behavior, vomiting on cue, striking himself in the crotch with a stick, waving his rear end back and forth while walking on a table. In one scene, "Mook" goes "poo diving." He dons flippers, face mask, and snorkel, and leaps into achurning brown tank of sewage at a treatment plant.

One finds oneself cheering on the possibility of hepatitis, TB, meningitis, flesh-eating staph. Go, microbes!

The show whined that the poor teens are "trying to invent their own culture, all to themselves," but that the "greedy corporations" keep taking it from them and "commercializing" it. In the first place, children have never created and run their own culture. In healthy, racially homogeneous nation-states, there can be continuity, with several generations living under one roof and children learning from parents and grandparents. Normal human life flows as a continuum; it does not progress in a series of Jew-driven commercial jerks with new clothes, new "music," new "causes" every ten years. Old and young are not each other's enemies.

Secondly, there is no low point beyond which Jews will not go. The program showed how teens have become louder and louder, more and more vulgar and obscene, with "rage rock," desparately trying to swear loud enough and act repellent enough and paint themselves weirdly enough that "society will leave them alone." It showed how the loudest and filthiest of teen bands one day...was appearing on MTV the next.

Kids, it isn't possible. You can't out-dirty a Jew. No matter what you do, Jews will eagerly scoop up your filth, package it, and sell it back to you.

While White teens watch 15 hours or more of "professional wrestling" every week, lumbering around wearing convict headrags, biker boots, and carrying baseball bats wrapped in barbed wire and injuring each other in after-school fights based on the contrived nonsense they see on TV, Jewish kids are studying like mad to ace their SATs to ensure that they end up hiring these Whites to mop floors in a few years. Get ready to serve a sharp little Jewish boss, White boy -- if he'll have you at all. The Mexicans and other aliens Jews are flooding our country with work a lot cheaper than you do.

Hip-Hop White Boy, you're a hip-hop sucker. While you slide down someone's expensive railing on your skateboard (that you bought from a Jew) and then split your head open on the cement, and stagger around saying "Oh wow, oh wow," Jews are banding together to take over entire towns, stealing money and fiddling with the census figures so that taxpayers will support segregated Hebrew schools where Jewish kids can learn WITHOUT HAVING TO SIT NEXT TO IDIOTS LIKE YOU.

You can't out-dirty a Jew -- but you can outsmart him. If you'll listen to the older White men the Jews are trying so hard to make you hate, we'll tell you how to do it. We're not like Jews -- we have nothing to sell you. We will give you a future, though.

Want to have your own culture? Then do so. Reject the Jew, the criminal nigger, the alien.

White culture is the greatest culture the world has ever known. Proof? Look at that stupid TV (that Whites invented). LOOK at it. The whole world is wearing blue jeans and T-shirts and sneakers and ball caps and carrying handy little backpacks, or wearing business suits and shirts and ties. Everyone wants a car and an air conditioner and a refrigerator and a computer...all White inventions of the last few centuries in the greatest upsurge of technological innovation ever seen. The whole world wants to have what we've built; all the blacks and Chinese and Mexicans put together did not do, could not do in 10,000 years what we've done in 100.

Don't buy expensive, silly clothes made for pennies in Jew-run foreign sweatshops. Don't buy music, support causes, or watch programs that teach you to hate yourself, your family, and your race. If you want to rebel, REBEL AGAINST THE JEW WHO CONTROLS YOU, right down to the clothes on your back, everything you're taught in school, and everything you're allowed to see, read, hear, and buy.

Many of your parents are also victims of what Jews have done to our society; they are often as confused as you are, as full of self-hate -- as the rebel, you may have to lead the way in your family. Do everything that Jews don't want you to do! Stay away from booze, drugs, and promiscuous sex. Dress simply, be kind and respectful. "Please," "Thank you," and "I'm sorry" do not mean weakness, and they will be repaid many times over. Did a Jew ever tell you to cultivate spirituality in yourself, to learn the value of cleanliness, quiet, and order? Learn to use tools, to live and find your way in the outdoors, to fix and make and grow things; let the fools run to the mall and spend all their money on nigger CDs and makeup and baggy clothes. If your parents won't or can't teach you these things, get books and teach yourself and your friends.

Jews aren't cool. They're parasites, manipulators, speculators, middlemen who live by buying and selling the work of others. We don't need them for anything. Niggers aren't cool either. Niggers know how to break bottles and make gang signs and pee in other people's hallways. I'm a middle-aged White guy. I'm cool.

I don't smoke. I don't drink. I don't do drugs. I'm normal, not queer, and I don't screw around. I don't gamble. I don't hang around dangerous places with stupid people. I don't waste money on Jew-pushed, foreign-made consumer junk. That's why I don't have cancer or cirrhosis or AIDS or huge emergency room bills. I don't have lawyers after me for child support and collection agencies after me for

unpaid bills and loan sharks after me for gambling debts and drug dealers ringing my bell wanting their money.

I don't have a hangover or a system full of toxic, made-from-God-knows-what drug residue to get rid of. I exercise, walk, run, ride bikes. In the winter, too. Niggers laugh at me -- from heated buses. Can't catch me. When they're doubled over gasping and puking up McDonald's and KFC, I'm fine, good for another twenty miles. I don't eat that stuff. When niggers are crying about cold, bundled up in their huge down "Starter jackets," I'm wearing shorts. White people are hard, fast, strong, tough, resilient. Don't let TV-Jews tell you any different or put you down. Niggers just play basketball -- Whites invented it.

I don't sit and watch other people do things on TV. I do things for myself. I know how to put a computer together and how to sleep outside, how to build a fallout shelter, how to kill and cook a rabbit. I can make a camera from scratch and take pictures with it and develop the pictures. I can not only take the pictures, but I can write and edit the whole magazine and run the printing press. I can build radio equipment. I can run almost anything in a machine shop, and make almost any kind of tool, often from discarded junk. I know how to use microscopes and Geiger counters and welding torches. As SF writer Heinlein said, "Specialization is for insects."

I am so cool that I screw caps back on fire hydrants and pick up trash from the street and call to get traffic lights fixed. I am an asset to everyone around me, and I have improved every place I've ever lived, just by being myself and doing the things that responsible White men do. What did your nigger "friends" ever do for you except borrow stuff and not return it, steal money from your parents' dresser the second they got into your house, and try to screw your sister?

I am one of the people who helps to design, build, and maintain our civilization. I'm way cooler than any finger-popping nigger ever was, and I know it. That's why I'm so quiet you hardly know I'm around. I don't need a loud car stereo or a ring in my nose -- I've got a life. You don't see me down on the corner, clutching at my genitals, shouting obscenities, and beating up parking meters. I'm at work, or at home, listening to classical music and trying to help my race by writing material like this, to try to make Whites feel good about themselves and to get them to spit up some of the Jew-poison we're soaked in day and night.

You can have a life too. Start NOW to make yourself a future; if you're going to "collect" anything, make it gold and silver coins, firearms, items of intrinsic value, not some Jew swindler's worthless baseball cards and phony autographed bats. Don't laugh at "materialism;" by the time you're 45, you'll want some money in the bank and a house in a quiet, safe area very badly. Don't throw it away on dope, on stupid clothes, at the "raves," or in living high in

some "hot area." Trust me on this.

Get a grip on your world; if you understand the past and present, you'll have an easier time shaping a future. Turn off the TV -- it is poison. Study some history -- just the recent history of America. Start with the last 50 years or so, and work back. This country has changed for the worse so much in the last few decades that it's almost unbelievable. Seek out and study census figures showing the immense racial changes that have been engineered by Jews.

Save and cherish your family history, the old photos and scrapbooks. Old people are a priceless resource. Talk to them. They can tell you what was across the street before that ugly mall was put in, how people used to live, where and how they worked. You will always be glad that you spent time with them, and you'll always remember the things they can tell you; fifty hours or fifty years of MTV contain no value at all and will be forgotten in no time. Look at maps and aerial photos on the Web, and historical pages. Try to find old books, magazines, and newspapers that will show you more accurately what American society used to be like before MTV. Many libraries have old magazines like Good Housekeeping and Popular Science on microfilm. Use these resources to challenge the crap, the Jewish-controlled lies you're being taught in school these days.

What was really wrong with The Merchants of Cool? The premise of the program was that we should calmly sit down with Jews and discuss their unparallelled destruction of White culture as the destruction continues. What was right about the show? It proved, yet again, why Jews have no place in civilized society. Thanks, PBS, for the pictures of underage girls gyrating in whipped-cream bikinis, the images of idiots vomiting on themselves and swimming in excrement, that leave no doubt as to what must be done for White culture to survive.

It's not fair to you kids, but there's a war on. We're sorry it's gotten this far, but we're working against a very powerful enemy; the Jew makes up for his tiny numbers with his tenacity and his racial cohesiveness. Jews stick together like glue, and Whites must learn to do the same.

Don't be a white nigger. Just Say No -- To Jews.

-- Taken from article by Chuck Pearson --

485. Re: importing scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 11:24:17 UTC
From: AV <av@sover.net>
Message-ID: <3C8B4261.2EF9@sover.net>
Source record: 50950

Anonymous User wrote:
>
> I just purchased a used scanner from an overseas individual. It is in
> transit. Some of this particular model were made before the ban, others
> after. Do not know when this was made or if it makes a difference. I
> also do not know how he listed it on the customs form.

Your questions assumes that I know the model you are talking about, or
else you simply aren't being clear.

Anyway, sounds like you are doing exactly what I decided NOT to do.
Maybe you are braver, or stand to lose a lot less money, but I was
thinking about buying a unit from someone in Australia, complete
coverage, made before the ban.

But I inquired at the FCC. Got an answer directly from someone who was
personally involved in the rule making, and the answer is simple. You
cannot import anything with complete coverage, whenever it was made.

Now, if you can sneak it through, that's your business. I didn't wish to
risk confiscation, losing $2500 and probably a big fat penalty.

av

486. Re: ic-pcr1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 11:58:15 UTC
From: papa <doc_jpp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3c8b4a5b$0$21246$626a54ce@news.free.fr>
Source record: 50951

merci...ou trouver talkpc?
amiti&#65533;s
"Lurking Fool" <Lurker@Home.Com> a &#65533;crit dans le message de news:
a6dq8p$dg1ta$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> Utilisez le programme TALKPCR. Cela fonctionne avec le PCR-1000
> parfaitement. Vous constaterez que TALKPCR est un meilleur programme que
le
> programme d'cIcom avec lequel l'unit&#65533; est venue. J'utilise ma radio avec
> WindowsXP et TalkPCR et tout fonctionne parfaitement. J'ai entendu que
> quelques utilisateurs ont des probl&#65533;mes avec les Windows XP et le logiciel
> d'cIcom.
>
> "papa" <doc_jpp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3c8a6782$0$8739$626a54ce@news.free.fr...
> > comment g&#65533;rer ic-pcr1000 sous win xp?
> > merci
> >
> > does ic-pcr1000 works under winxp?
> > thanks
> >
> >
>
>

487. Re: VR-5000 Yaesu, 3.5 hrs left...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 12:03:20 UTC
From: Ivor Bigun <rogomail@Spamhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <a6fi28$c83$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 50952

We are not interested, if we were we would go to ebay and look for the thing

--
Sorcerer
remove Spam to reply

.

"Bill Crocker" <billcrocker@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:kIti8.8141$k5.3185854@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> For Auction On Ebay, with only 3.5 hours left.
>
> Yaesu VR-5000 Wide-Band Communications Receiver
>
> Includes optional "Instant-Replay" digital recorder module!
> Includes optional "Voice-Synthesizer" module!
>
> New, with original box, manual, warranty, receipt!
>
> Currently @ $500.00 (a $790.00 value)
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker
> Michigan
>
>

488. Re: ic-pcr1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 12:14:10 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a6fila$doodg$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50953

Vous pouvez le trouver ici. Ayez l'amusement. C'est vraiment un programme
fantasic. Je sugg�rerais d'utiliser une bonne antenne avec le PCR-1000.
L'antenne incluse n'est pas bonne.
http://www.mahy.demon.co.uk/tpv20/tp24.htm

"papa" <doc_jpp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3c8b4a5b$0$21246$626a54ce@news.free.fr...
> merci...ou trouver talkpc?
> amiti�s
> "Lurking Fool" <Lurker@Home.Com> a �crit dans le message de news:
> a6dq8p$dg1ta$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> > Utilisez le programme TALKPCR. Cela fonctionne avec le PCR-1000
> > parfaitement. Vous constaterez que TALKPCR est un meilleur programme que
> le
> > programme d'cIcom avec lequel l'unit� est venue. J'utilise ma radio avec
> > WindowsXP et TalkPCR et tout fonctionne parfaitement. J'ai entendu que
> > quelques utilisateurs ont des probl�mes avec les Windows XP et le
logiciel
> > d'cIcom.
> >
> > "papa" <doc_jpp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:3c8a6782$0$8739$626a54ce@news.free.fr...
> > > comment g�rer ic-pcr1000 sous win xp?
> > > merci
> > >
> > > does ic-pcr1000 works under winxp?
> > > thanks
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

489. Re: ic-pcr1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 12:15:38 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a6fio2$e2ufp$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50954

Vous devez ?galement faire une sauvegarde du PCR1000 EEPROM. L'utilitaire de sauvegarde est ?tabli dans le programme de TalkPCR.

"papa" <doc_jpp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3c8b4a5b$0$21246$626a54ce@news.free.fr...
> merci...ou trouver talkpc?
> amiti?s
> "Lurking Fool" <Lurker@Home.Com> a ?crit dans le message de news:
> a6dq8p$dg1ta$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> > Utilisez le programme TALKPCR. Cela fonctionne avec le PCR-1000
> > parfaitement. Vous constaterez que TALKPCR est un meilleur programme que
> le
> > programme d'cIcom avec lequel l'unit? est venue. J'utilise ma radio avec
> > WindowsXP et TalkPCR et tout fonctionne parfaitement. J'ai entendu que
> > quelques utilisateurs ont des probl?mes avec les Windows XP et le
logiciel
> > d'cIcom.
> >
> > "papa" <doc_jpp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:3c8a6782$0$8739$626a54ce@news.free.fr...
> > > comment g?rer ic-pcr1000 sous win xp?
> > > merci
> > >
> > > does ic-pcr1000 works under winxp?
> > > thanks
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

490. FS:PRO-62 200CH.PORTABLE SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 12:27:36 UTC
From: mag0355@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020310072736.25877.00000347@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 50955

SCANNER IS NEW IN THE BOX WITH MANUAL.30-50MHZ 380-512 MHZ 800-960.00 MHZ
$125.00+SHIPPING

491. Re: VR-5000 Yaesu, 3.5 hrs left...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 12:40:58 UTC
From: isobad <i@so.bad>
Message-ID: <uvli8.59093$gQ.13837132@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 50956

I didn't realize we had a usenet spokes person.

"Ivor Bigun" <rogomail@Spamhotmail.com> wrote in message
news:a6fi28$c83$1@helle.btinternet.com...
> We are not interested, if we were we would go to ebay and look for the
thing
>
>
> --
> **Sorcerer**
> remove Spam to reply
>
>
>
> .
>
>
> "Bill Crocker" <billcrocker@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> news:kIti8.8141$k5.3185854@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > For Auction On Ebay, with only 3.5 hours left.
> >
> > Yaesu VR-5000 Wide-Band Communications Receiver
> >
> > Includes optional "Instant-Replay" digital recorder module!
> > Includes optional "Voice-Synthesizer" module!
> >
> > New, with original box, manual, warranty, receipt!
> >
> > Currently @ $500.00 (a $790.00 value)
> >
> > Thanks,
> > Bill Crocker
> > Michigan
> >
> >
>
>

492. Re: Uniden 780XLT & Tait trunking in NZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 12:42:50 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <g7hid@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <GnxT7hAKT1i8EwrF@g7hid.lineone.net>
Source record: 50957

In article <a6fciq71hjd@enews4.newsguy.com>, Keith
<nospamplease@newsguy.com> writes
>In article <6zBi8.2111$Qo2.209563@news02.tsnz.net>,
> frnk2431@removehotmail.com Wrote:
>> I've been going through their website and its listed as a
>> "MPT Trunked Network"
>> http://www.taitworld.com/index.cfm/11,160,32,html
>>
>> Never heard of this before.
>>
>
>It looks like the UBC-780XLT doesn't support MPT systems. Check the manual and
>see if it talks about them.
>
>Here is software that allows you to follow MPT systems, but you will need two
>receivers and a discriminator tap.
>
>http://www.winradio.com/home/trunking.htm

No the UBC780 does not support MPT1327 Trunked systems, but the
following package now supports the UBC780 to track MPT1327..:

http://www.tbsa.com.au/trunk.html

--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
: don't fix it !

493. winradio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 12:48:56 UTC
From: "Irene@EuroEuro" <irene@euroeuro.net>
Message-ID: <a6fkn2afe1@newsreader.mailgate.org>
Source record: 50958

Hi!!
Has anybody tested Winradio cards? What do you think about it? Any comment appreciated!!
Bye,
Irene

494. Re: Handheld Antenna's question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 12:57:58 UTC
From: Den6N <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <u8mm2qa8eh3ua6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50959
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I've seen references to SMA-BNC adapters on the net somewhere,
but I couldn't tell ya where - anyone?

Den6N
Putnam Co NY
==========
"Tom Clyne" <tc60@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3C8A6763.1E0095B@optonline.net...
> Funny, I had the same question today! EXCEPT, I have an ICOM R2, which
uses an
> SMA connector. Thanks to the answers to your q., I now have info about
> alternatives, BUT does anyone out there have any experiences with this???
Many
> thanks.
>
> Tom
>
> tazdog wrote:
>
> > I have a bc220xlt handheld
> > and is there any way to get another\better antenna to increase range
and/or
> > better reception.
> >
> > Thanks for your help,
> > Newbie - Scott
>

495. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 13:33:44 UTC
From: Kevin <nomail@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <a6fnbo$s7v$1@driftwood.ccs.carleton.ca>
Source record: 50960
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

In alt.radio.family Kevin in San Diego <kevin_hedstrom@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Hehe, there sure are some angry people in the world. Everyone of
> intelligence knows that every segment of the population has biggoted people
> who need the fucking shit slapped out of them, not just christians. Steve,
> consider yourself slapped.

In this entire thread, the only one who is acting extremely religious is
Steve.

And yes, I count devoted atheism as a religion.

496. Re: Radio Shack Scanners Which Is The Best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 13:49:07 UTC
From: farmandjr69@cs.com
Message-ID: <20020310084907.06373.00000536@mb-mo.news.cs.com>
Source record: 50961

Pro-26 or Pro-26 or Pro-43
Best they ever made!

497. Re: importing scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 14:05:42 UTC
From: Runnyriver <noton6@microbrain.com>
Message-ID: <a6foj3$e0clt$1@ID-121301.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50962

You probably need to go back and ask him if you should be in here reading all this propaganda about freedom, it is pretty much an illegal assembly and he will probably need to write you a citation on the spot. Best thing for you to do is erase your hard drive then burn the computer. It could be used as evidence you were here at your trial. Then move to China, I hear they have much better control over the law breakers over there.

>
> But I inquired at the FCC. Got an answer directly from someone who was
> personally involved in the rule making, and the answer is simple. You
> cannot import anything with complete coverage, whenever it was made.
>
> Now, if you can sneak it through, that's your business. I didn't wish to
> risk confiscation, losing $2500 and probably a big fat penalty.
>
> av
>

498. AOR 5000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 14:22:53 UTC
From: Stuart Meredith <Info@Smartmarks.co.uk>
Message-ID: <a6fq7t$3ba$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 50963
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

I'm trying to control an AOR 5000 via the pc using spectrum master.

Do you need the actual rs232 lead from AOR.

Stuart

499. Re: Radio Shack Scanners Which Is The Best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 14:41:00 UTC
From: Darrin <darrin99@nospam.iname.com>
Message-ID: <e7sm8ukr6d6212jt9f353u8nah84tigti9@4ax.com>
Source record: 50964

On 10 Mar 2002 13:49:07 GMT, farmandjr69@cs.com (Farmandjr69) wrote:

>Pro-26 or Pro-26 or Pro-43
>Best they ever made!

The Pro-26 has the worst audio on any scanner I've ever owned though.

500. Re: Uniden Bearcat 350A Scanner BRAND NEW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 15:13:48 UTC
From: Runnyriver <noton6@microbrain.com>
Message-ID: <a6fsio$dkne9$1@ID-121301.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50965
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

How about a lawn mower? It was running last summer.

> "S Ecurity Unlimited" <securit1951@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:4Ath8.41134$0C1.3297624@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > I will also do trades as well.
> >
> >
>
>

501. Re: <_==-Jew Spys From Israel Deported-==_>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 15:15:55 UTC
From: "j.botham" <j.botham@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <pMKi8.12850$xO2.1046004@news11-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 50968
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

BORING!

502. Re: Optoelectronic Scout 40 make me an Offer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 15:26:53 UTC
From: "Richard A. Tyszka" <rtyszka@snet.net>
Message-ID: <1XKi8.6558$mW1.1526717460@newssvr10.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50969
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I apologize to all for not responding. I have attempted to respond to all mail messages, but my ISP SBC communications has had numerous problems with mail and news servers plain old shoddy service.

The scout was sold 1st day I posted it. I then removed the post from the server which never worked and as folks mailed me I responded, or tried to.

Oh yea BTW I am American and darned proud.

Cheers

Richard

"roughplanet" <roughplanet@optushome.com.au> wrote in message
news:3c8b2eae$0$6218$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> "Richard A. Tyszka" <rtyszka@snet.net> wrote in message
> news:R0Wc8.3084$C66.560719944@newssvr10.news.prodigy.com...
> >Basically new in box Scout 40 with the reaction tune cable for the >AOR
> 8200 have , DB32 antenna, 2 AC adapters, box, cable and >Manual. Has less
> that 4 hours total use.
>
>
> What's the point of posting a FS notice if you're not going to answer the
> enquiries? What are you, thick, impolite or just American? (rhetorical
> question...I don't really expect you to reply).
>
> Ruff
>
>
>

503. Re: (*) Mods Webpage! (*)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 15:27:53 UTC
From: Runnyriver <noton6@microbrain.com>
Message-ID: <a6ftd5$dojen$1@ID-121301.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50966

Maybe I am missing something here, but that site is nothing but a display of dead links. Has been dead for months. So I guess when someone goes to the trouble to get all this information together again, and posts it for our free use, you gotta knock him for using a great interface we already know and like?

We should be thanking Brian for pointing us to a site that is not all dead links....

"Devin" <a@a.com> wrote in message
news:9ICf8.24165$kb.1692675@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> lol
> stealers shouldn't take credit for something that they exactly copied.
> Besides changing the background and suck. The site and the true RS scanner
> mod site isn't 100% up to date. if you want to include test modes and
> discrim tap mods. I know my 2045 has a few key combinations to set it to
> test mode of factory test freqs, display test. um. To auto turn on the
radio
> light and off.
>
> here is the true site Mr. Theif
>
> http://www.leto.net/docs/rsmods.html
>
> atleast if you steal. make it look different.
>
> Dev.
>

504. Re: (*) Mods Webpage! (*)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 15:30:06 UTC
From: Runnyriver <noton6@microbrain.com>
Message-ID: <a6ftha$di4oc$1@ID-121301.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50967

You guys always try to start a fight.
What is stupid is defending a page of dead links, putting down someone who worked hard to get a good mod site up again, and your bad grammar.

"Devin" <a@b.boogers> wrote in message
news:IEmg8.51428$kb.3658847@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> lol. I guess we all need to let some steam off. If it was atleast a half
> decent non copy of the website. That be different. But since it is the
same,
> without tables. thatis stupid.
>
> Dev
>
> "tw��,.," <.tw,@nothomeexcite5,yahoomsnATTBI.org.com> wrote in message
> news:yTLf8.28051$TB2.128270@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> > X-No-Archive: yes
> >
> > "Pastor Brian" <NOSPAMpastorbrian@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:YoFf8.8606$j47.4061314@twister.socal.rr.com...
> > > I never claimed to AUTHOR the post...
> >
> > So, you're saying that you didn't post the original post with the url
link
> in it right?
> >
> >
>
>

505. Telescopic Antenna Length Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 15:55:33 UTC
From: Terry <nepvetm@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <VlLi8.84872$dj3.2841951@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 50970
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Often i hear to adjust a telescopic antenna to the band you are listening to
(higher, shorter, etc.)
But why then is the signal sometimes better to have it at full length than
shorter (say 800 mhz listening)?

506. Re: Handheld Antenna's question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 15:59:15 UTC
From: Ken Roberts <kenr55@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1gLi8.34459$Yd.1828609@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50971
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

There are LOTS of antennas out there with SMA connectors. There are also lots of sources out there for BNC to SMA adapters. A guy named Stephen Guylas offers a custom BNC to SMA adapter. Don't have an e-mail address right now. For SMA antennas try www.aesham.com.
--
Ken Roberts, KG4RWM
kenr55@bellsouth.net

Den6N <djmr26@bestweb.net> wrote in message
news:u8mm2qa8eh3ua6@corp.supernews.com...
> I've seen references to SMA-BNC adapters on the net somewhere,
> but I couldn't tell ya where - anyone?
>
> Den6N
> Putnam Co NY
> ==========
> "Tom Clyne" <tc60@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:3C8A6763.1E0095B@optonline.net...
> > Funny, I had the same question today! EXCEPT, I have an ICOM R2, which
> uses an
> > SMA connector. Thanks to the answers to your q., I now have info about
> > alternatives, BUT does anyone out there have any experiences with
this???
> Many
> > thanks.
> >
> > Tom

507. Re: AOR 5000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 16:02:34 UTC
From: Snowbat <snowbat@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <1vum8u0d9l3v5r4rtnc2u3d9k8267do49v@4ax.com>
Source record: 50972
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Stuart Meredith wrote in message <a6fq7t$3ba$1@helle.btinternet.com>:

>I'm trying to control an AOR 5000 via the pc using spectrum master.

You should also have a look at Butel's ARC5000 demo.
http://www.butel.nl/ar5000/5000soft.html
http://www.butel.nl/ar5000/5000down.html

>Do you need the actual rs232 lead from AOR.

No - any standard "straight through" RS232 cable will work (eg. modem cable). Pins 2,3,5,7, and 8 must be connected. A crosswired RS232 cable (aka null-modem/laplink/DCC cable) will NOT work. I made my own cable.
http://www.butel.nl/ar5000/5000remote.html

Make sure the radio and software use the same baud rate. 19200 is the fastest rate supported and the best one to use.

SM/setup/Communications/set 19200 and the appropriate com port/OK.
Shut down the program.

On the 5000 press FUNC, kHz, UP, UP, UP, rotate the sub VFO until you see BPS 19200 and press enter (MHz).

Restart SM.

508. frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 16:33:17 UTC
From: steve birdcreek <sbi961@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <EC6A6536A6DA5215.B00AE233E006C920.903F866B1F57D371@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 50973

```
can any body point me towards a site that lists business wal-mart, taco
bell and the likes i checked police calls and some others but cant find
any
thanks steve
```

509. Re: Telescopic Antenna Length Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 16:36:54 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <GYLi8.8524$k5.3329687@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50974
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The higher the frequency, the shorter the antenna. The lower the frequency, the longer the antenna. So for the 800MHz band, I'd say collapse it all the way. For the 29~50MHz band, go full length. The 150~450 bands, adjust somewhere in-between.

Bill Crocker

"Terry" <nepvetm@gt.rr.com> wrote in message
news:VlLi8.84872$dj3.2841951@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
> Often i hear to adjust a telescopic antenna to the band you are listening
to
> (higher, shorter, etc.)
> But why then is the signal sometimes better to have it at full length than
> shorter (say 800 mhz listening)?
>
>

510. Re: importing scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 16:43:55 UTC
From: Tom Norman <tnorman71@columbus.rr.com>
Message-ID: <f3Mi8.173616$s43.38226516@typhoon.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 50975

Ryan,

I ordered a scanner from Javiation a couple of months ago. If you did not choose to have it shipped EXPRESS MAIL then it will take a LONG time. I made this mistake myself. Don't go by what the page says for estimated delivery to USA. I also found that my scanner was not even shipped right away, but that it was shipped a few days later, so I was pulling my hair out thinking that it had been seized.
I can pretty much guarantee that you WILL get it from Javiation. I WOULD buy from Jonathan again. But just try to forget about it. You WILL get it in a couple of weeks or so. And next time, pick the Express Mail method, the extra money you spend is worth the lack of worry.

Tom

"Ryan" <rml6565@ksu.edu> wrote in message
news:5a301de4.0203062136.60301047@posting.google.com...
> I've been wanting to buy an Alinco DJ-X2000 with continuous coverage.
> The lowest price I found was at Javiation. I was just wondering if
> scanners are still getting through customs without a problem most of
> the time. Any firsthand experience would be great. Thanks.

511. Re: VR-500 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 16:46:54 UTC
From: Tom Norman <tnorman71@columbus.rr.com>
Message-ID: <26Mi8.173632$s43.38228697@typhoon.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 50976

Hello,

I own the VR500 and the software as well. But shouldn't there be a webpage where we can download each others datafiles?

Tom

512. Re: Radio Shack Scanners Which Is The Best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 16:51:42 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <yaMi8.10217$Vx1.759239@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 50977

I will definitely second that!
It's signal rejection in the FM radio band is horrible also.
Other than my R-2, it is my favorite scanner. If I want to hear FM radio,
I'll listen to my 400PE.
"Darrin" <darrin99@nospam.iname.com> wrote in message
news:e7sm8ukr6d6212jt9f353u8nah84tigti9@4ax.com...
> On 10 Mar 2002 13:49:07 GMT, farmandjr69@cs.com (Farmandjr69) wrote:
>
> >Pro-26 or Pro-26 or Pro-43
> >Best they ever made!
>
> The Pro-26 has the worst audio on any scanner I've ever owned though.

513. Re: frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 16:56:14 UTC
From: Tony DeOliveira <tony.deoliveira@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <OeMi8.1066$tP2.130548@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 50978

I have these
Mcdonalds:
30.8400 31.0000 33.1400 33.4000 33.7150 35.0200 35.1200 67.7750 154.4900

049.3000----BABY (BABY MONITOR - USA)
049.8300----BABY (BABY MONITOR)
049.8450----BABY (BABY MONITOR)
049.8600----BABY (BABY MONITOR)
049.8750----BABY (BABY MONITOR)
049.8900----BABY (BABY MONITOR)

Personal Handheld Radio

469.2625----HANDHELD (MOTOROLA SPIRIT PRO+ 2 WATT UHF)
469.2625----HANDHELD (MOTOROLA HANDI-COM 2 WATT UHF)
458.6625----HANDHELD (UNIDEN 4 WATT UHF - CH 1)
469.2625----HANDHELD (UNIDEN 4 WATT UHF - CH 2)
151.0550----HANDHELD (UNIDEN 5 WATT VHF - CH 1)
151.0550----HANDHELD (UNIDEN 5 WATT VHF - CH 2)
154.1000----HANDHELD (UNIDEN 5 WATT VHF - CH 1)*
158.9400----HANDHELD (UNIDEN 5 WATT VHF - CH 2)*

Cordless Phones
OLDER FREQUENCIES
Ch 01..... 46.610
Ch 02..... 46.630
Ch 03..... 46.670
Ch 04..... 46.710
Ch 05..... 46.730
Ch 06..... 46.770
Ch 07..... 46.830
Ch 08..... 46.870
Ch 09..... 46.930
Ch 10..... 46.970
NEWER FREQUENCIES
Ch 11..... 43.720
Ch 12..... 43.740
Ch 13..... 43.820
Ch 14..... 43.840
Ch 15..... 43.920
Ch 16..... 43.960
Ch 17..... 44.120
Ch 18..... 44.160
Ch 19..... 44.180
Ch 20..... 44.200

Ch 21....... 44.320
Ch 22....... 44.360
Ch 23....... 44.400
Ch 24....... 44.460
Ch 25....... 44.480

"steve birdcreek" <sbi961@airmail.net> wrote in message
news:EC6A6536A6DA5215.B00AE233E006C920.903F866B1F57D371@lp.airnews.net...
> can any body point me towards a site that lists business wal-mart, taco
> bell and the likes i checked police calls and some others but cant find
> any
> thanks steve
>

514. R/S - PRO-2053 - Comments ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 17:12:19 UTC
From: Ken Foshee <kenfoshee@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <qgMi8.34958$Yd.1849424@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50979

I am interested getting a newer scanner. I saw that R/s had this scanner on sale for $179.00 (Retail $249.). Would appreciate any comments or suggestions. I am currently using a BC860XLT.
Any and all help appreciated.
Ken

515. ICOM R-2 LNIB $125

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 17:36:56 UTC
From: bfdp1@msn.com
Message-ID: <1235373c.0203100936.53cbe759@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50980

Excellent Icom R-2 Scanner with nicads and charger, box, manual.

$125 + 7.00 shipping/insurance. Scans available.

John

516. Re: Telescopic Antenna Length Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 17:38:18 UTC
From: DougSlug <dw_firewall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <eSMi8.60203$gQ.14621440@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 50981
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

We should be a little more scientific about the actual length to use. A whip adjusted to be 1/4 of the wavelength of the signals you are intending to receive would be the best, but without an antenna analyzer of some sort to check the resonant frequency, the best you can probably do is use the following formula:

1/4 wavelength in feet = 234 / freq in MHz

Multiply by 12 to get inches to make it easier to measure. Having said all of this, though, the tuning of the antenna really applies more to transmitting than receiving because an antenna that is not properly tuned to the frequency of transmission can cause excess transmit power to be reflected back into the radio, resulting in poor performance and potentially causing damage. For scanning, I find that a fully extended whip seems to do well at all frequencies commonly monitored in a handheld. You may get slightly better results for weak signals by adjusting the length, but it will depend more on the height and position of your antenna relative to the source as well as the sensitivity of your receiver.

- Doug

"Bill Crocker" <billcrocker@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:GYLi8.8524$k5.3329687@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> The higher the frequency, the shorter the antenna. The lower the
frequency,
> the longer the antenna. So for the 800MHz band, I'd say collapse it all
the
> way. For the 29~50MHz band, go full length. The 150~450 bands, adjust
> somewhere in-between.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "Terry" <nepvetm@gt.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:VlLi8.84872$dj3.2841951@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
> > Often i hear to adjust a telescopic antenna to the band you are
listening
> to
> > (higher, shorter, etc.)
> > But why then is the signal sometimes better to have it at full length
than
> > shorter (say 800 mhz listening)?
> >
> >

>
>

517. Unblocking an Alinco DJ-X2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 17:48:26 UTC
From: rml6565@ksu.edu
Message-ID: <5a301de4.0203100948.65cd0457@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50982

Just wondering if anyone can give me any information about unblocking
a DJ-X2000. It was bought in the U.S. if that makes any difference.

518. Scanner Programs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 18:15:06 UTC
From: Tony DeOliveira <tony.deoliveira@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <KoNi8.1287$tP2.144069@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 50983
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone know of any downloadable programs for frequency or scanners?

Tony

519. Re: frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 18:47:40 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <gTNi8.57829$991.13826930@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 50984

"steve birdcreek" <sbi961@airmail.net> wrote in message
news:EC6A6536A6DA5215.B00AE233E006C920.903F866B1F57D371@lp.airnews.net...
> can any body point me towards a site that lists business wal-mart, taco
> bell and the likes i checked police calls and some others but cant find
> any
> thanks steve
>

My local Wal-Mart runs on 154.570. Check out 154.540, .570 & .600. Another source is this URL:

http://exo.com/~rbarron/

520. Re: Radio Shack Scanners Which Is The Best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 19:03:09 UTC
From: PaulandJacki <jacki2@gwis.com>
Message-ID: <3C8BADEC.1721@gwis.com>
Source record: 50985

Darrin wrote:
>
> On 10 Mar 2002 13:49:07 GMT, farmandjr69@cs.com (Farmandjr69) wrote:
>
> >Pro-26 or Pro-26 or Pro-43
> >Best they ever made!
>
> The Pro-26 has the worst audio on any scanner I've ever owned though.

I would say the PRO-26 is one of the WORST scanners ever made. Who cares about all that great coverage on a handheld unit if you can't hear the thing at full volume?
Paul

521. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 19:14:44 UTC
From: rstevew@deeptht.armory.com
Message-ID: <3c8bb0a4$0$79559$8eec23a@newsreader.tycho.net>
Source record: 50986
Cross-posted to: misc.kids,alt.parenting.solutions,rec.radio.scanner,school.teachers

In article <a6fnbo$s7v$1@driftwood.ccs.carleton.ca>,
Kevin <nomail@nospam.com> wrote:
>In alt.radio.family Kevin in San Diego <kevin_hedstrom@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Hehe, there sure are some angry people in the world. Everyone of
>> intelligence knows that every segment of the population has biggoted people
>> who need the fucking shit slapped out of them, not just christians. Steve,
>> consider yourself slapped.
>
>In this entire thread, the only one who is acting extremely religious is
>Steve.
>
>And yes, I count devoted atheism as a religion.

Religious? Me? Yes!
I'm not an atheist at all!!

I certainly DO believe in God!
I just don't believe in YOUR fucking god!!

You CLOWNS imagine that people who aren't Xtian bigots
must surely be atheists!

How preciously ignorant of you!!!
Why I'm a God-fearing Heathen in Good Standing!!
Steve

522. Re: <_==-Jew Spys From Israel Deported-==_>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 19:28:23 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <rtOi8.1391$tP2.153159@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 50987
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"j.botham" <j.botham@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:pMKi8.12850$xO2.1046004@news11-gui.server.ntli.net...
> BORING!
>
>

Yeah, from the responses our neo-nazi recruiter will never be promoted to
Obersturmbahnkellner.

523. Re: Optoelectronic Scout 40 make me an Offer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 20:05:41 UTC
From: Barry <balsapilot@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3C8BBCB4.2FFE3920@yahoo.com>
Source record: 50988
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Richard A. Tyszka" wrote:
>
> I apologize to all for not responding. I have attempted to respond to all
> mail messages, but my ISP SBC communications has had numerous problems with
> mail and news servers plain old shoddy service.

I have the same ISP and have noticed no problems in months. <G>

Barry

524. FS : Yupiteru MVT 9000 MK II receiver, unblocked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 20:09:46 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <e4Pi8.34247$in3.10519181@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 50989
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

I am selling a Yupiteru MVT 9000 MK II hand held wide receiver, Euro version
with
NO gaps. The radio is brand new in the box with manual and all accessories.
This is the latest version of the MVT 9000.

$350.00 shipped via USPS Priority Mail, insured.

I will accept a US Postal money order or PAYPAL only.

Check my eBay feedback under OFFDAN.

E-mail if interested, or if you have any questions.

Dan

525. Re: children molested on radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 20:38:18 UTC
From: grtpmpkin32@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020310153818.06915.00000591@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 50990

>I certainly DO believe in God!
>I just don't believe in YOUR fucking god!!

Weren't you the same guy who said you hated religion because all the abuse and evil in the world was based on religion?
You've just proven my point. The evils and abuses you ascribe to religion are based on the very same "my god's better than your god" mentality you've shown here. You promote the very evil and abuse you whined about originally.
Slapped indeed.
Linus

526. Re: FS: UNIDEN BEARCAT BC9000-XLT SOLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 21:28:45 UTC
From: Bob Schoenleber <bobs711@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <2vjn8ukjc6v829k4nq76a1aru01hpje3oe@4ax.com>
Source record: 50992
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 07 Mar 2002 18:44:27 GMT, Bob Schoenleber
<bobs711@comcast.net> wrote:

>FOR SALE: UNIDEN BEARCAT BC9000-XLT Scanner.
>

>Thanks for reading....Bob

527. scannerpage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 22:12:39 UTC
From: Peter Christiansen <mcfly@mail1.stofanet.dk>
Message-ID: <3c8bda24$0$250$ba624c82@nntp02.dk.telia.net>
Source record: 50993

New page, for the danish scanner people put in the wide world.

Look at http://home1.stofanet.dk/mcfly/

Peter Christiansen
Webmaster on the McFly homepage

528. X-No-Archive: yes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 22:14:16 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <kWQi8.457$DN2.68858@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 50994
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Do you ever have anything intelligent to say but that line? Ever?? It doesn't even mean anything.

529. Re: Unblocking an Alinco DJ-X2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 22:17:51 UTC
From: "R. LeBreton" <r.lebreton@NOSPAM.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <g%Qi8.33962$4E4.4700233@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 50995

FYI,

The "T" and "TC" models have the speech inversion and cell bands blocked.
Apparently the "E" model has no such blocks.

"Ryan" <rml6565@ksu.edu> wrote in message
news:5a301de4.0203100948.65cd0457@posting.google.com...
> Just wondering if anyone can give me any information about unblocking
> a DJ-X2000. It was bought in the U.S. if that makes any difference.

530. 200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 22:49:12 UTC
From: eric kaelin <rifraf714@core.com>
Message-ID: <3c8be515$0$35574$ac966d11@news.raex.com>
Source record: 50996

can any1 tell me how much a 200xlt with all 800 band is worth???
thanx

531. Re: importing scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-10 23:49:40 UTC
From: WiCkEd1 <nunya@home.com>
Message-ID: <s7sn8uobf50b6aksau8kn7kvm811shq6a0@4ax.com>
Source record: 50847

what are the repercusions if the scanner is seized I mean as far as
penaltywise and such.

On Sun, 10 Mar 2002 16:43:55 GMT, "Tom Norman"
<tnorman71@columbus.rr.com> wrote:

>Ryan,
>
>I ordered a scanner from Javiation a couple of months ago. If you did not
>choose to have it shipped EXPRESS MAIL then it will take a LONG time. I
>made this mistake myself. Don't go by what the page says for estimated
>delivery to USA. I also found that my scanner was not even shipped right
>away, but that it was shipped a few days later, so I was pulling my hair out
>thinking that it had been seized.
> I can pretty much guarantee that you WILL get it from Javiation. I
>WOULD buy from Jonathan again. But just try to forget about it. You WILL
>get it in a couple of weeks or so. And next time, pick the Express Mail
>method, the extra money you spend is worth the lack of worry.
>
>Tom
>
>"Ryan" <rml6565@ksu.edu> wrote in message
>news:5a301de4.0203062136.60301047@posting.google.com...
>> I've been wanting to buy an Alinco DJ-X2000 with continuous coverage.
>> The lowest price I found was at Javiation. I was just wondering if
>> scanners are still getting through customs without a problem most of
>> the time. Any firsthand experience would be great. Thanks.
>

532. Re: Unblocking an Alinco DJ-X2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 01:38:41 UTC
From: rml6565@ksu.edu
Message-ID: <5a301de4.0203101738.6e1069ab@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50848

"R. LeBreton" <r.lebreton@NOSPAM.sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:<g%Qi8.33962$4E4.4700233@news20.bellglobal.com>...
> FYI,
>
> The "T" and "TC" models have the speech inversion and cell bands blocked.
> Apparently the "E" model has no such blocks.

I know that you can buy them already unblocked from outside the U.S.,
but it's cheaper to buy it in the U.S. I just thought that if anyone
could tell me how to unblock the cell freqs, that I could save some
money.

>
> "Ryan" <rml6565@ksu.edu> wrote in message
> news:5a301de4.0203100948.65cd0457@posting.google.com...
> > Just wondering if anyone can give me any information about unblocking
> > a DJ-X2000. It was bought in the U.S. if that makes any difference.

533. Re: Pro 2053 is on sale again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 01:44:48 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@1stconnect.com>
Message-ID: <GVTi8.17565$hK4.6383695@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50849
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> $180 at Rat Shack. Great features and performance for cheap.

How does the 2053 compare to the 2067? Better or worse?

Roland

534. Re: Pro 2053 is on sale again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 01:45:15 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@1stconnect.com>
Message-ID: <4WTi8.17566$hK4.6383723@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50850
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> Got one a month ago. It's great.

Is it better than the 2067?

Roland

535. Re: PRO-2053 Display

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 01:46:40 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@1stconnect.com>
Message-ID: <qXTi8.17568$hK4.6383862@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50851

> Saw a 2053 in RS the other day, but didn't have time to really look at it.
Is
> the display larger on the 2053 than it is on the PRO-93? Couldn't believe
it's
> so big compared to my 2067, but if the display is easier to read, then I
might
> pick one up while they are on sale.

What I would like to know is if the VHF-HI section is prone to intermod as
the 2067 is. I have the latter and HAVE TO use the attenuator in order to
be able to listen to VHF-HI.

Roland

536. Re: PRO-2053 Display

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 01:47:34 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@1stconnect.com>
Message-ID: <gYTi8.17569$hK4.6384495@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50852

> The display on the PRO-2053 is large, and very easy to read! About the
only
> thing it lacks from the PRO-2067 is support for CTCSS.

No good then. Why would they take it out? How much cost savings could they
get by removing PL from the radio?

Roland

537. Realistic Pro - 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 01:53:59 UTC
From: Richard Burwell <rburwell@bright.net>
Message-ID: <q9Ui8.1715$0e1.339222@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 50854

Does anyone know what a mint condition Pro - 2006 with the box, manual, and all the packaging be worth? It has only had the scanning speed and 800 mhz mods done to it.

538. Re: Unblocking an Alinco DJ-X2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 01:59:36 UTC
From: Red TR1 <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <hcUi8.19205$N7.4279627@ruti.visi.com>
Source record: 50853

You cannot unblock it.

GR
"Ryan" <rml6565@ksu.edu> wrote in message
news:5a301de4.0203101738.6e1069ab@posting.google.com...
> "R. LeBreton" <r.lebreton@NOSPAM.sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:<g%Qi8.33962$4E4.4700233@news20.bellglobal.com>...
> > FYI,
> >
> > The "T" and "TC" models have the speech inversion and cell bands
blocked.
> > Apparently the "E" model has no such blocks.
>
> I know that you can buy them already unblocked from outside the U.S.,
> but it's cheaper to buy it in the U.S. I just thought that if anyone
> could tell me how to unblock the cell freqs, that I could save some
> money.
>
>
> >
> > "Ryan" <rml6565@ksu.edu> wrote in message
> > news:5a301de4.0203100948.65cd0457@posting.google.com...
> > > Just wondering if anyone can give me any information about unblocking
> > > a DJ-X2000. It was bought in the U.S. if that makes any difference.

539. Re: winradio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 02:10:24 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020310211024.04931.00000577@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 50855

>Subject: winradio
>From: "Irene@EuroEuro" irene@euroeuro.net
>Date: 3/10/2002 6:48 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <a6fkn2afe1@newsreader.mailgate.org>
>
>Hi!!
>Has anybody tested Winradio cards? What do you think about it? Any comment
>appreciated!!
>Bye,
>Irene

www.google.com. Hundreds and hundreds of posts about it i the archives.

540. Re: VR-500 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 02:27:54 UTC
From: John <fltsatcom@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3c8c15fe_1@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 50856

Check out the VR-500 Yahoo Groups, there are some files there.....
John (recent VR500 owner)
"Tom Norman" <tnorman71@columbus.rr.com> wrote in message
news:26Mi8.173632$s43.38228697@typhoon.columbus.rr.com...
> Hello,
>
> I own the VR500 and the software as well. But shouldn't there be a
webpage
> where we can download each others datafiles?
>
> Tom
>
>
>

541. Re: X-No-Archive: yes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 02:59:14 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <z5Vi8.252$wn3.83706@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 50857
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

0hhhh.

"tw��,.," <.tw,@nothomeexcite5,yahoomsnATTBI.org.com> wrote in message
news:U_Qi8.18488$q2.2154@sccrnsc01...
> X-No-Archive: yes
>
> "Rob Taylor" <fireboy001@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:kWQi8.457$DN2.68858@news.uswest.net...
> > Do you ever have anything intelligent to say but that line? Ever?? It
> > doesn't even mean anything.
>
>
> Yes it does mean something. It stops archiving programs from archiving the
post.
>
>

542. Re: Realistic Pro - 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 02:59:59 UTC
From: Alexander McNaughton <treehug3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <m4Vi8.10113$Yg2.613446@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 50858

$225 - $300

> Does anyone know what a mint condition Pro - 2006 with the box,
manual,
> and all the packaging be worth? It has only had the scanning speed and 800
> mhz mods done to it.
>
>

543. Re: Realistic Pro - 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 03:26:09 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <ltVi8.10953$4H5.763787@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 50859
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

A LOT! When I worked at a Radio Store (www.ccrane.com) from about
1994-1998, we'd get calls from all over the country for that radio.
There weren't any new ones out, but we'd always take them as
trade-ins... easily got $200 -$300 even then. Great radio, even though
it doesn't have some of today's bells & whistles.

take a look at the STARTING prices on Ebay for example, see
< http://makeashorterlink.com/?O18B2138 >... then click on "watch this
item" and check back in a few days to see what they sold for...
--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications
History

"Richard Burwell" <rburwell@bright.net> wrote in message
news:q9Ui8.1715$0e1.339222@cletus.bright.net...
> Does anyone know what a mint condition Pro - 2006 with the box,
manual,
> and all the packaging be worth? It has only had the scanning speed and
800
> mhz mods done to it.
>
>

544. Re: Optoelectronic Scout 40 make me an Offer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 04:07:29 UTC
From: roughplanet <roughplanet@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c8c2d75$0$6219$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 50860
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Barry" <balsapilot@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3C8BBCB4.2FFE3920@yahoo.com...
>>Richard A. Tyszka" wrote:
>>
>>I apologize to all for not responding. I have attempted to respond >>to
all mail messages, but my ISP SBC communications has had >>numerous problems
with mail and news servers plain old shoddy >>service.

>I have the same ISP and have noticed no problems in months. <G>
>
>Barry

That's because your not full of s**t like Mr. Tyska, Barry.<G>

Ruff

545. ARNewsline 1282 - March 8 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 04:12:36 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020310231236.24765.00001097@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 50861

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1282 – March 8, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1282 with a release date of
Friday, March 8th, 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T.

The Dayton Hamvention announces the EMMY AND OSCARS of Amateur Radio. We have the winners on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1282 coming your war right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

HAMVENTION 2002: AWARD WINNERS NAMED

The Dayton Hamvention has named Radio Amateur Information Network founder Alanson “Hap” Holly, KC9RP, as its 2002 Radio Amateur of the Year. Holly received the news of his selection on Thursday evening February 28th in a phone call from Cathi Hoskins, N8ZCQ, who chairs the Hamvention Awards and Banquet Committee. KC9RP tells Amateur Radio Newsline that he was completely taken by surprise:

--
Holly KC9RP: “I was absolutely stunned! In fact, all I could say was me? I mean seriously, I was just absolutely floored.”
--

Holly, lives in Des Plaines Illinois has been licensed since 1965. He began his ham radio informational programming career in 1984 on a local Chicago Area repeater. This eventually lead him to become founder, moderator and guiding light of a weekly amateur radio audio feature magazine known as the RAIN Report.

--
Sample audio from Rain Report.
--

RAIN programming is distributed to hundreds of repeaters across the country via a telephone dial-up line, the rainreport.com website, by a subscription tape service and broadcast over W-A-Zero-R-C-R's weekly 160 meter informational net.

But that’s is only a small part of the Hap Holly story. Originally licensed in Escondido California at age 14, Holly who has been blind since age 7 served as a phone-patch station and net control for the famed WESTCARS traffic net until 1970. He then headed off to Principia College in Illinois and from 1970 to graduation in 1974, ran phone patches and kept radio schedules for many of

his fellow students.

Holly graduated Principia with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Sociology, and soon found himself in the Chicago area. There, he sought out world-class jazz accordionist Leon Sash, to pursue further training in music. As a professional keyboard player, Holly’s diverse repertoire of American music of the past six decades has made him a popular choice in the Chicago area.

Hap met his wife-to-be while he was teaching a class in non-visual perception to high school students at a summer camp in Buena Vista, Colorado. The two were married in August of 1976. Stephanie, who is sighted, received her ham ticket and KA9WKD callsign in 1986.

Over the years, Hap Holly has written articles for the Spec-Com Journal, Radio Scan Magazine and occasionally reports for us here on the Amateur Radio Newsline. Holly is also a common sight at the Dayton Hamvention, taping forums which are then incorporated throughout the year into his RAIN Reports. And since 1975 KC9RP has been an honored member of the Des Plaines Lions Club. He has also been a member of the Des Plaines Toastmasters since 1976. He and Stephanie are also active with Des Plaines Illinois Emergency Medical Alert system.

Named as this years Hamvention Technical Excellence award winner is Alan Waller, K3TKJ, of Laurel, Delaware. Waller was chosen for his work that lead to the interfacing the Internet to Amateur Radio.

First licensed in 1961, Waller combined his decades-long love for Amateur Radio and a burgeoning interest in the then-new Internet to design and manage the www.qsl.net and www.qth.net web sites. That was back in 1993. Since that time, Alan Waller's web sites have come to serve the needs of tens of thousands of ham radio operators worldwide by providing a vast technical reference platform, an electronic mail service, web page hosting services, and links to thousands of other ham radio related sites. And in the true spirit of the Amateur Radio, Waller's initial work utilized leading edge experimentation to see what could be done with the technology then available. This has developed into a mature, reliable service to the world wide ham radio community.

Rounding out this seasons winners are a pair of pair of space exploring hams who have been named as co-recipients of the 2002 Hamvention Special Achievement Award. Former astronauts Owen Garriott, W5LFL, and Tony England, W0ORE, are being honored for paving the way for manned ham radio operations from the space shuttles that has made ham radio a permanent part of mans exploration of space.

Owen Gariott, W5LFL was first. On November 28, 1983, Garriott, was launched into space aboard the space ship Columbia for the STS-9 mission. It was the Spacelab 1 mission and Garriott brought along the first Amateur Radio station on a crew-tended space vehicle. It was a simple Motorola hand held transceiver connected to a special antenna designed to fit in the Space Shuttle’s window.

Three days later, W5LFL came on the air and hams across the United States and around the world were witness to a historic radio transmission:

--
Owen Garriott W5LFL: “This is W5LFL in Columbia. W5LFL in Columbia orbiting the Earth at an altitude of 135 nautical miles passing over the U.S. West Coast and calling CQ.”
--

Among those who heard Owen Garriott's Amateur Radio transmissions from space was Lance Collister, WA1JXN of Frenchtown Montana. Collister, who is now W7GJ, is credited with being the first amateur to work an astronaut in orbit. Take a listen:

--
Lance Collister WA1JXN/W7GJ: “W5LFL on Columbia, WA1JXN, W-A-1-Japan - Xray-Norway, WA1JXN Frenchtown Montana standing by.”

Owen Garriott, W5LFL: “Hello W1JXN, WA1JXN, WA1-Juliet-Xray-November. This is W5LFL. You’re our first contact from orbit. WA1JXN, how do you read? Over?”
--

The success of Garriott’s mission lead to the development of SAREX -- The Shuttle Amateur Radio Experiment. And, over the years, SAREX permitted youngsters in classrooms around the world to speak directly with astronauts in space. But for this to happen, the technology of manned ham radio operations from space had to be enhanced. This aspect of the then new SAREX program fell to the next ham radio operator orbited: Tony England, W0ORE.

W0ORE flew into space on the shuttle Challenger in 1985. It was Mission 51F, Spscelab 2. In addition to the 2 meter FM voice gear, Tony England also had with him the first ever ham television station to go into space. Slow Scan yes, but capable of sending back high resolution images which hams on the ground equipped with SSTV gear could view live or record on a simple audio cassette for later viewing and historical archiving. From space, Tony England described the station he was using:

--
Tony England, W0ORE: “Essentially we’ve got a commercial TV camera that anyone could go out to their local radio store ant buy. We feed this into a scan converter built by a commercial outfit and modified by NASA Amateur Radio clubs and this takes a snapshot of the scene and digitizes it and put it in a memory.

Then its sent to a handie-talkie like this. From there we will send it over a wire up to the upper window upstairs and to this antenna when I get it up there in the window. Then it will be transmitted to the ground.

When we get going, we will be able to send color TV images of what we are doing on board as a series of snapshots updated every 10 or 20 seconds. Amateurs anywhere on the ground will be able to receive them, and ones with scan converters will see the pictures.”
--

That flight also marked another first. The first ever two way television -- ham radio television -- to and from space.

Tony England went on to flight prove both the improved FM voice and then new SSTV systems. The SSTV was so successful that NASA gave very serious consideration to a permanent installation on all shuttles for back up communications. More important, the early on-orbit operations by Own Garriott W5LFL and Tony England W0ORE made possible the thousands of educational contacts between children in school classrooms and the crews flying in space. First, on board the shuttles, and now as a permanent part of the International Space Station.

Hap Holly KC9RP, Alan Waller K3TKJ, Owen Garriott W5LFL and Tony England W0ORE will receive their honors at the Hamvention Awards Banquet slated for Saturday evening, May 18th at the Nutter Center in Dayton Ohio. Amateur Radio Newsline will be there to bring you this story and all the news about Hamvention 2002. (ARNewsline™ from Dayton Hamvention® news release. Some audio from "Amateur Radio's Newest Frontier" and "SAREX - The Shuttle Amateur Radio Experiment" video presentations)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the Indiana Hamfest Association net serving Indianapolis Indiana.

(5 sec pause here)

**

RADIO RULES: FCC RESUMES VANITY PROCESSING

Vanity license processing is getting back on tract. According to a Legaue bulletin the FCC now has all outstanding vanity applications filed last October in hand and is ready to resume routine vanity processing. Until recently a single missing vanity application had been holding up a backlog of more than 2000 vanity applications. The FCC credits the ARRL in part with helping to locate applicants and resolving the problem. (ARRL, FCC)

**

RESCUE RADIO: AMATEUR RADIO EMERGENCY COMMS SUMMIT

The first ever Amateur Radio Emergency Communications summit was held in Indianapolis last week. Amateur News Weekly's Jack Parker, W8ISH, reports on the success of this first meeting.

--
They came from the Red Cross, Salvation Army, ARES, RACES and even CB-radio's REACT team. Two dozen representatives of different Amateur Radio emergency communications groups met in a Federal Building training room to find ways of helping local and state emergency management organizations. The summit was organized by Marion County ARES director Mike Palmer, N9FEB. Palmer cited a need to develop better communications and cooperation between the different groups.

Many amateurs wear two or three different hats when it comes to providing public service. Palmer wants to identify those who are willing to help and to better utilize the voice and data communications available in the Marion County Amateur Radio Community. Many of the summit participants agreed this type of effort is long overdue.

Members of Army-Navy and Air Force Mars, as well as the Indiana Wing of the Civil Air Patrol offered their services during times of disaster. Everyone agreed this was a good start and look forward to future efforts to better train and organize Amateur Radio Emergency Communications in Marion County and Central Indiana.

Reporting from Indianapolis for Amateur News Weekly, this is Jack Parker W8ISH.

--

According to Jack, everyone came away from the meeting knowing that cooperation between groups is a key element in providing better service to the public. (ANW)

**

RADIO RULES: FCC ADOPTS AMBER EAS EVENT CODE FOR CHILD ABDUCTION CASES
The Federal Communications Commission has announced the adoption of a Report and Order amending the Emergency Alert System rules. Included are several new EAS event and location codes, which broadcast stations and cable systems may use to alert the public in the event of state and local emergencies. Of these, a new Child Abduction Emergency event code may be the most interesting to hams involved in Search and Rescue and who have E-A-S decoders installed in their repeaters.

The plan is described as a voluntary partnership between law enforcement agencies and the media that will be used to alert the public of serious child abduction cases where police believe that the child is in danger of bodily harm or death. Under the plan, radio and television stations will be permitted but not required to interrupt programming to disseminate information concerning serious child abductions when the new Child Abduction Emergency code is received. It could also be used by hams as an automated call to action to help find a missing child if used to activate an E-A-S equipped Amateur Service repeater.

Police departments say that every minute counts in dealing with an abducted child situation. In adding the Child Abduction Emergency code the FCC says that its responding to public concerns. (FCC)

**

ENFORCEMENT: FCC COMPLETES GEORGIA ARRL VEC EXAM SESSION AUDIT

The FCC has wrapped up an investigation into apparent irregularities at five ARRL VEC-sponsored Amateur Radio examination sessions in Georgia during 2000 and 2001. As a result of the probe, FCC Special Counsel Riley Hollingsworth says that several examinees will be called back for partial retesting:

--
Riley Hollingsworth: “We determined reviewing all of the information that was brought to our by the League that eight examinees will have to be retested on the code element. The ARRL has also relieved ten VE’s of their duties as volunteer examiners.”
--

According to the ARRL Letter, all V-E’s who might have been involved were suspended after the FCC investigation began last year. (FCC, RAIN)

**

ENFORCEMENT: A REPEATER OUTSIDE OF THE BAND

The FCC is also looking at the operation of repeater in the Metro New York City area. Again, the FCC’s Riley Hollingsworth:

--
Riley Hollingsworth: “We opened an inquiry into allegations that an uncoordinated repeater operated by Thomas Batista, KC2DDD, and Gerardo Arias, N2IFU, in Corona and Staten Island New York. Now that repeater is not only causing interference to a coordinted repeater, but the input is apparently on a frequency not even allocated to the Amateur band. So, needless to say we are real interested in reviewing that response when it comes in.
--

What Hollingsworth will be looking for is an answer to why the machine is using 143.020 MHz as an input frequency as well as why its on the air without coordination. 143.020 MHz is a full 800 Kilohertz below the bottom edge of the 2 meter Amateur band. (FCC, RAIN)

**

CONVENTIONS: SOUTH EAST VHF SOCIETY CONFERENCE

On a far lighter note, the Sixth Annual Southeastern VHF Society Conference will take place April 26th through the 27th in Oak Ridge, Tennessee. And they too are putting out a call for papers. If you are interested in participating, please contact KG4QDZ by e-mail to kg4qdz@arrl.net. (VHF Reflector)

**

CONVENTIONS: CHARLESTON HAMFEST MARCH 16

And the 18th Annual Charleston Area Hamfest and Computer Show will be held Saturday, March 16, 2002 from 9 AM to 3 PM at the Coonskin Armory in Charleston, West Virginia. Talk-in is on the 145.35 MHz repeater. Admission is $5 and there will be free parking. More information is available by e-mail to n8tmw@arrl.net. (N8TMW)

**

BREAK 2

Here when you need us 52 weeks a year. We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

(5 sec pause here)

**

HAM RADIO POLITICS: NCI LEADER CALLS FOR ELECTIONS

Bruce Perens, K6BP, the founder and an incumbent director of No Code International says that its time for new directions for the group and has called for elections. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Norm Seeley, KI7UP, has more:

--
According to Bruce Perins, K6BP, when he started NCI in 1997, he never dreamed that it’s goals would be achieved so quickly. But says Perins; “we are most of the way there.”

Perins notes that last year the International Amateur Radio Union voted to ask for the removal of the international treaty provision requiring Morse Code testing for Radio Amateurs. Now Perins observes that even ARRL has voted in favor of removing code requirements from the international Radio Regulations.

With what he terms as -- no remaining credible opposition to NCI's cause left on the international level -- Perins says that it is time to turn NCI’s focus to domestic policy. He says that for NCI to take an appropriate role in the end-game of the no-code battle means cooperation with national societies like the American Radio Relay League. And to do this, Perrins believes may take some new people.

So Perins has asked that an election for new directors be held no later than April 30th of this year. He also says that any person who was an NCI member on December 31, 2001 can be a candidate for director, and is eligible to vote in the election.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Norm Seeley, KI7UP.

--

More information about No Code International is on the web at www.nocode.org. (ARNewsline with information from K6BP)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: AO-40 GPS TEST RESULTS PUBLISHED

The February issue of GPS World included an article titled Formation Flying in Space. Authored in-part by AMSAT North America's Frank Bauer, KA3HDO, the essay shows G-P-S results from the receivers that NASA’ Goddard Space Flight Center provided for flight on the AMSAT OSCAR 40 bird. According to Tom Clark, W3IWI, the data shown in the article was downloaded using AO-40's RUDAK system by WD0E and KB0G. (ANS)

**

WRTC-2002: ORGANIZING COMMITTEE ANNOUNCES THE COMPLETE LIST OF OFFICIAL ON-SITE REFEREES

The WRTC 2002 Organizing Committee has named a full list of on-site referees for the upcoming games. The committee says that it received more than 100 highly qualified applications and those chosen were from that group. A full list of referees and other information is available on the web at www.wrtc2002.org (WRTC2002)

**

DX

In D-X, word that Dave Cree, G3TBK, has returned to work in the Caribbean. Throughout March Dave will be active as J88DR from St. Vincent. For the first two weeks of April look for him J3 slash G3TBK from Grenada. Operations will be on all bands, but concentrating on the WARC bands, mostly on C-W, but with some SSB. QSL to Dave's home call either direct or via the RSGB bureau. (RSGB)

The New Zealand Amateur Transmitters Society is warning hams world wide of what they say is a pirate operation. According the NZART News, a maritime mobile station using the callsign ZL2TO and the name Tony has been heard recently operating on nets on 15 Meters. This station currently gives his location as the Cape Verde Islands. Only one problem. The ZL2TO call sign is allocated the Waitara Amateur Radio Club. (NZART)

And the South Africa Radio League news service says that anyone who has held a QSO's with 7Q8 slash ZS6GH or C9 slash ZS6GH should write them off . SARL says that these have been declared null and void because license or authorization to operate was issued by the telecommunications regulators in Malawi or Mozambique. (SARL)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: NOMINATIONS SOUGHT FOR THE YOUNG HAM OF THE YEAR AWARD

And finally, we started this weeks newscast with a story about awards. Lets end it on a similar note as we here a Amateur Radio Newsline officially open the 2002 nominating season for our own Young Ham of the Year Award.

The Young Ham of the Year award was created in 1986 by amateur Radio Newsline producer Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, to fill what he viewed as a void where younger members of ham radio society were often overlooked:

--
Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF: “When I was a young ham in the 50’s and 60’s the good deeds of young hams were often overlooked and ignored. When I grew up I was fortunate enough to be in a position to remedy this and thats how the Young Ham of the Year Award program came about. Thats how it came into being”
--

The award as originally envisioned as very low budget. One idea was for a certificate that would be mailed to a ham, age 18 or younger who had shown some exceptional ability or performed some heroic deed that set him or her apart from the crowd. When Bill mentioned the idea to Chip Margelli K7JA, of Vertex Standard back in the company’s days as Yaesu USA, the idea quickly expanded. Margelli offered company support on the spot:

--
Chip Margelli, K7JA: “When Bill Pasternak gave me a call and dsescribed the project to me in the tiniest bit of detail it was obvious that this was a no-brainer and something that we as a company at Yaesu wanted to encourage. So, I jumped at the opportunity to be a major contributor and it has been a great project ever since.”
--

The award now had substance, but it lacked a permanent home. One was found in 1993 when it was invited to the Huntsville Hamfest:

--
Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF: “The way we got to Huntsville? Simple. We advertised. We put a notice into the newscast that we were searching for a permanent home. The answer came in a note from Scotty Neustadter, now W4WW, who is the Huntsville Hamfest Chairman. Scotty basically said bring it to Huntsville. And we did.”
--

While the presentation ceremony did go to Seaside Oregon in 1994, it returned to Huntsville in 1995 where it has been ever since. And in 1996 a second major corporate underwriter came on board. C-Q Magazine. Rich Moseson W2VU, is editor:

--
Rich Moseson, W2VU: “We at CQ have always thought that it is very important to promote Amateur Radio to young people and we saw that co-sponsorship of the award was the way to help do that.
--

What CQ did was to add to the Young Ham of the Year awards chest something very special. A week of fun and excitement at Spacecamp. Today, with corporate sponsorship from Vertex-Standard USA and CQ Magazine, and support from countless others including the ARRL, Dave Bell Associates and Rosewood Communications the Young Ham of the Year Award continues to honor the Amateur Radio related achievements of those hams age 18 or younger. And now its time to find a fitting recipient for 2002.

Full details, rules and a nominating form are available right now on our website at www.arnewsline.org. Just scroll down to the Young Ham of the Year Award area and click to download. Or you can send a self addressed stamped envelope to the 2002 Newsline Young Ham of the Year Award, 28197 Robin Avenue, Santa Clarita California 91350 and we will mail back the materials.

The Amateur Radio Newsline Young Ham of the Year Award is a one of a kind. It honors the youth of ham radio and in doing so, we hope it helps to insure a bright future for the hobby and the radio service that all of us love. (ARNewsline™)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline@arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

Before we go, another reminder that the nominating period for the 2002 amateur Radio Newsline Young Ham of the Year is now open. Nominees must be 18 or younger. Full rules and an application is on our website at www.arnewsline.org.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm Roy Neal, K6DUE, thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

546. Need Computer Software for radio shack model Pro 2036

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 04:18:59 UTC
From: michaeled@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <3c8c2e91.3691344@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 50862

Hi everyone,

I was just wondering if there is any computer software for programing
a r/s Pro 2036 for frequencies from a spreedsheet program like Excel.

Thanx in advance,

Mike

547. Re: Realistic Pro - 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 04:59:02 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020310235902.04935.00000691@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 50863

>Subject: Re: Realistic Pro - 2006
>From: "Alexander McNaughton" treehug3@hotmail.com
>Date: 3/10/2002 8:59 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <m4Vi8.10113$Yg2.613446@news20.bellglobal.com>
>
>$225 - $300
>
>> Does anyone know what a mint condition Pro - 2006 with the box,
>manual,
>> and all the packaging be worth? It has only had the scanning speed and 800
>> mhz mods done to it.

Don't mention mods if you try and sell it on Ebay, they usually bounce the ad
if you do.
Neutrodyne

"My opinion is not relevant." - "Dr." Laura Schlessinger, February 20, 2002

548. Radio Shack Pro-2053 - Need help working -

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 05:11:15 UTC
From: alexwright321@altavista.com
Message-ID: <1a7c4a77.0203102111.1122f2de@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50864

I'm new to using scanners and I don't understand the manual that much with this scanner. I'm trying to set it up to listen to the local Police in my area (Denver, CO). Their using a trunk system. I would like to set it up to monitor dispatch in a couple of Police districts.

Is their a dummies text file or can someone help me ?

Thanks... Please email my privately if you like *pop357@yahoo.com

Remove the * in the above email address.

549. FS: BC245xlt Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 05:59:37 UTC
From: juafi@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3c8c47c1.3354798@news1.coam.net>
Source record: 50867

Picked up in a partial trade, a like brand new BC245xlt Trunking handheld scanner. Comes with a leather carry case, two batteries, charging craddle so you can always have a battery charged up, wall and car charger.

No manual but you can find it on the net.

The extra battery and craddle is just bought last week and they are still shrinked wrapped in the package.

I don't need this. I have enough scanners already.

Price: $160 shipped COD or PrePay from Las Vegas

550. FS : Uniden 210 XL base scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 06:07:30 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <CQXi8.57584$Ci6.13419199@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 50865
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have an absolute MINT Uniden 210 XL base, programmable scanner for sale. This scanner does not have a mark, scratch or scuff on it, works perfectly. Excellent for a scanner collector or someone looking for the quality afforded in these older base units.

I have no idea what it is worth, so I will entertain serious, reasonable offers, and ask for an extra 10 bucks to ship it via US Postal, Priority mail.

I will accept a US Postal money order or PAYPAL only. If you must, a personal check is OK, but shipping will be delayed until the check clears. NO C.O.D.

ebAy feedback under OFFDAN. NO TRADES.

E-mail if interested, or with your offer.

Dan

551. FS : Radio Shack Pro-2004 base scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 06:13:20 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <4WXi8.57585$Ci6.13422534@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 50866
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

With Spring cleaning I am forced to let go of another gem from my collection of base scanners.

This one is the Radio Shack (Realistic) Pro-2004 base scanner. Solid, sensitive, great shielding, great audio, and unblocked! In absolute Excellent condition and fully functional.

I am asking $150.00 shipped via US Postal Priority Mail, insured.

I will accept a US Postal money order or PAYPAL. If you must a personal check would be OK, but will delay shipping until it clears. NO C.O.D.

eBay feedback under OFFDAN - NO TRADES.

E-mail if interested.

Dan

552. Re: Realistic Pro - 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 06:24:13 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@cox.net>
Message-ID: <h4Yi8.3044$4d.893193@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 50868
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I paid over $350 for mine. It is new in the box and I am taking bids over $350. If anyone would like to see the unit, I am located in Southern California. Please present your bids. It is the absolute best scanner ever made. They don't make them this good anymore!!

-jim

"Harry Marnell" <marnells@snowcrest.net> wrote in message news:ltVi8.10953$4H5.763787@news1.east.cox.net...
> A LOT! When I worked at a Radio Store (www.ccrane.com) from about
> 1994-1998, we'd get calls from all over the country for that radio.
> There weren't any new ones out, but we'd always take them as
> trade-ins... easily got $200 -$300 even then. Great radio, even though
> it doesn't have some of today's bells & whistles.
>
> take a look at the STARTING prices on Ebay for example, see
> < http://makeashorterlink.com/?O18B2138 >... then click on "watch this
> item" and check back in a few days to see what they sold for...
> --
> Harry Marnell
> http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications
> History
>
>
> "Richard Burwell" <rburwell@bright.net> wrote in message
> news:q9Ui8.1715$0e1.339222@cletus.bright.net...
> > Does anyone know what a mint condition Pro - 2006 with the box,
> manual,
> > and all the packaging be worth? It has only had the scanning speed and
> 800
> > mhz mods done to it.
> >
> >
>
>

553. WTB Uniden ubc 9000 xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 07:10:49 UTC
From: cbvarmint@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020311021049.09231.00000805@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 50869

WTB Uniden ubc 9000 xlt in excellant condition. Must be reasonable. glen

554. Re: Realistic Pro - 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 08:22:18 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020311032218.04939.00000593@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 50870

>Subject: Re: Realistic Pro - 2006
>From: "Jim Wayda" jwayda@cox.net
>Date: 3/11/2002 12:24 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <h4Yi8.3044$4d.893193@news1.west.cox.net>
>
>I paid over $350 for mine. It is new in the box and I am taking bids over
>$350. If anyone would like to see the unit, I am located in Southern
>California. Please present your bids. It is the absolute best scanner ever
>made. They don't make them this good anymore!!
>
>-jim
>
>"Harry Marnell" <marnells@snowcrest.net> wrote in message
>news:ltVi8.10953$4H5.763787@news1.east.cox.net...
>> A LOT! When I worked at a Radio Store (www.ccrane.com) from about
>> 1994-1998, we'd get calls from all over the country for that radio.
>> There weren't any new ones out, but we'd always take them as
>> trade-ins... easily got $200 -$300 even then. Great radio, even though
>> it doesn't have some of today's bells & whistles.
>>
>> take a look at the STARTING prices on Ebay for example, see
>> < http://makeashorterlink.com/?O18B2138 >... then click on "watch this
>> item" and check back in a few days to see what they sold for...
>> --
>> Harry Marnell
>> http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications
>> History
>>
>>
>> "Richard Burwell" <rburwell@bright.net> wrote in message
>> news:q9Ui8.1715$0e1.339222@cletus.bright.net...
>> > Does anyone know what a mint condition Pro - 2006 with the box,
>> manual,
>> > and all the packaging be worth? It has only had the scanning speed and
>> 800
>> > mhz mods done to it.
>> >
>> >

I paid over $1500 for a 486DX/40 system about the time the 2006 came out.
Will you give me $350 for it now?
Neutrodyne

"My opinion is not relevant." - "Dr." Laura Schlessinger, February 20, 2002

555. Re: Pro 2053 is on sale again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 08:28:12 UTC
From: Steve Matzura <number6@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <bjqo8u47cb2daegpsrtfm7l9g9o37ub6b9@4ax.com>
Source record: 50871
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 10 Mar 2002 20:44:48 -0500, "Roland Stiner"
<nk2u@1stconnect.com> wrote:

>> $180 at Rat Shack. Great features and performance for cheap.
>
>How does the 2053 compare to the 2067? Better or worse?
>
>Roland

I's the same receiver. Only the bells and whistles--CTCSS decoder,
more channels, etc.--are different. Not worht the extra money, unless
you've got to have two hundred more channels and a PL decoder.

556. Re: Am I really missing that much not having an unblocked scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 11:11:14 UTC
From: kml@digi.com.br
Message-ID: <3c8c8043.1117907@news.digi.com.br>
Source record: 50872

Sir:
What I do to unblock my Uniden Bearcat 895XLT scanner???
In Brazil is not illegal to hear cell phone and/or wireless phone.
But you can't divulge what your heard.
Thanks in advance!
Vy 73 de PS7KM,

On Sat, 09 Mar 2002 21:29:08 GMT, "WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote:

>Why spend the money. Most scanners can be unblocked in under 5 minutes. I
>have a pro-2022 and an Alinco DJ-580 and both were unblocked in less then 5
>minutes. If you have a scanner then you should be able to unblock it costing
>you nothing. I love mine and love to listen to the nuts on cell phone, (not
>illegal in Canada).
>
>"Ryan" <rml6565@ksu.edu> wrote in message
>news:5a301de4.0203071556.51f87543@posting.google.com...
>> Is having an unblocked scanner worth getting? Also, is voice
>> inversion decryption worth getting?
>
>

<><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><>
Karl Leite
Natal, RN, Brasil
eMail: kml@digi.com.br
kl@samnet.com.br
LightHouse PostCard Collector

557. FS:PRO-2006 with the OS456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 12:49:50 UTC
From: OptoScan <pro_2006_os456@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <OJ1j8.6531$RB.1471273@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50873

PRO-2006 with the OS456 Opto Board. with all :cable,docs,boxes,etc.

$500/BEST OFFER

Papypal accepted

558. Re: Realistic Pro - 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 13:25:43 UTC
From: Darrin <darrin99@nospam.iname.com>
Message-ID: <itbp8uc0p0vdb70oc23alqjprpq2s59lai@4ax.com>
Source record: 50874
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Mon, 11 Mar 2002 06:24:13 GMT, "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@cox.net> wrote:

>I paid over $350 for mine. It is new in the box and I am taking bids over
>$350. If anyone would like to see the unit, I am located in Southern
>California. Please present your bids. It is the absolute best scanner ever
>made. They don't make them this good anymore!!
>
>-jim
>
It was the best scanner of its time. I still have one next to my
BC780XLT. The fact is though, the 780 blows it away in every way
possible, except for the fact the 2006 800MHz can be unblocked.

559. Re: Pro 2053 is on sale again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 13:29:29 UTC
From: Darrin <darrin99@nospam.iname.com>
Message-ID: <a6cp8u8eijutulq96il99lbgnudrf1hrl3@4ax.com>
Source record: 50875
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 11 Mar 2002 08:28:12 GMT, Steve Matzura
<number6@speakeasy.net> wrote:

>On Sun, 10 Mar 2002 20:44:48 -0500, "Roland Stiner"
><nk2u@1stconnect.com> wrote:
>
>>> $180 at Rat Shack. Great features and performance for cheap.
>>
>>How does the 2053 compare to the 2067? Better or worse?
>>
>>Roland
>
>I's the same receiver. Only the bells and whistles--CTCSS decoder,
>more channels, etc.--are different. Not worht the extra money, unless
>you've got to have two hundred more channels and a PL decoder.

The only thing the 2067 and 2053 have in common is that they are both
made by GRE. They are not the same receiver.

560. WTB:PRO-92 /PRO-94A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 15:25:08 UTC
From: indyham <k9dzdd@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <o%3j8.23293$uA5.25681@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 50876

im looking for a good,Radio shack PRO-92
or, PRO-94A

monte k9dzdd@insightbb.com

561. Re: Handheld Antenna's question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 15:37:38 UTC
From: Tom Clyne <tc60@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C8CCF5C.4BB68F85@optonline.net>
Source record: 50877
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Gracias. Happy Scanning.

TC

Ken Roberts wrote:

> There are LOTS of antennas out there with SMA connectors. There are also
> lots of sources out there for BNC to SMA adapters. A guy named Stephen
> Guylas offers a custom BNC to SMA adapter. Don't have an e-mail address
> right now. For SMA antennas try www.aesham.com.
> --
> Ken Roberts, KG4RWM
> kenr55@bellsouth.net
>
> Den6N <djmr26@bestweb.net> wrote in message
> news:u8mm2qa8eh3ua6@corp.supernews.com...
> > I've seen references to SMA-BNC adapters on the net somewhere,
> > but I couldn't tell ya where - anyone?
> >
> > Den6N
> > Putnam Co NY
> > ==========
> > "Tom Clyne" <tc60@optonline.net> wrote in message
> > news:3C8A6763.1E0095B@optonline.net...
> > > Funny, I had the same question today! EXCEPT, I have an ICOM R2, which
> > uses an
> > > SMA connector. Thanks to the answers to your q., I now have info about
> > > alternatives, BUT does anyone out there have any experiences with
> this???
> > Many
> > > thanks.
> > >
> > > Tom

562. What can you do with a RS 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 15:48:18 UTC
From: kh6zv9@pe.net
Message-ID: <6l4j8.691$HNk2.34996257@news2.randori.com>
Source record: 50878

Picked up a 2003 scanner at the swapmeet for $5 bucks. Anything
Interesting that can be done with this scanner?

Bob Masse' kh6zv9@PE.NET
---

563. Re: So just how remote can a speaker be? and other Qs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 16:59:00 UTC
From: Rocinante <matrixoutsider@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <2eop8ucfhtr1agpvsvpdr6oji1fhlknpgc@4ax.com>
Source record: 50879
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You are as dumb as he is pussywhipped.

On Wed, 06 Mar 2002 04:16:37 GMT, "Bill Wyatt" <bwyatt@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>Well.............I hope everyone gets at least one mistake.
>
>Very sorry to Bill Seward and to the rest of the group, my last message,
>which ended up here, was met for the troll doing the fire freq thing.
>
>Again, my apologies to Bill and to the group.
>
>I bend over for my 20 swats......
>
>Bill
>
>"Bill Wyatt" <bwyatt@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
>news:%Cgh8.63073$rO2.1485211756@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
>> I would suggest you carry a half full, leaking gas can with you at all
>times
>> and take up smoking. Be sure to keep your scanner tuned to the fire freq.
>> so you can hear the trucks coming to put your sorry, smokin butt out.
>>
>> Oh, you might save a channel for your local funeral home freq. just in
>case
>> the fire trucks get sent to a wrong location. Then you can hear them haul
>> your smokin butt to the undertaker.
>>
>>
>> "Bill Seward" <seward@northstate.net> wrote in message
>> news:t2ra8ucelo9ubiat8q8iq99l645d14k2r4@4ax.com...
>> > Here's the situation: The wifely unit does not want my ugly scanner
>> > antennas (or any other antennas, for that matter) on her house.
>> > However, I'm allowed to put up any and everything I want on my
>> > outbuilding, which house my workshop. A decent compromise, except for
>> > the fact that I'm usually in the house, not the workshop.
>> >
>> > The workshop is 150' or more from the house. Too far for a long
>> > antenna cable, no matter how low loss. One of my primary scanner
>> > targets is our local 800 Mhz trunked system, and the loss at that
>> > frequency is going to be bad when you consider the total length of the
>> > cable. Not to mention the cost (I still feel faint from pricing it).
>> >
>> > In another forum, someone mentioned the idea of putting the scanner as

>> > close as possible to the antenna, then using a remote speaker. Not a
>> > perfect solution, since I can't see the display, but it could work.
>> > My question is, how? Can I simply put the remote speaker where it
>> > needs to be, and run a really long speaker wire to the scanner? And
>> > what size wire? I've also considered some sort of FM wireless
>> > extension speaker if I can find one.
>> >
>> > I'd really rather have a remote display and speaker. Is there any
>> > relatively simple way to echo the scanner display to a remote display,
>> > or am I getting in way to deep for the electronically challenged?
>> >
>> > Any suggestions would be gratefully received.
>> >
>> >
>> > ---------------------------------
>> > Bill Seward
>> > http://web.northstate.net/~seward
>>
>>
>

564. FS: Radio Shack DX-398 Mint Condition $150.00 shipped.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 17:02:28 UTC
From: kc1ua@scancapecod.net
Message-ID: <9b093b3c.0203110902.53983710@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50880
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

Greetings,

I have for sale a "used once" Radio Shack DX-398 (Sangean ATS-909 clone) portable shortwave receiver. Unit is in mint condition with original box, case, owners manual, and an ATS-909 fact sheet printed from internet information. Sells new for $239.95 and up.

Reason for selling: I purchased it at the end of September, 2001 but have only used it once. Liquidating for other radio purchases.

Price: $150.00 shipped UPS ground USA only upon receipt of US POSTAL MONEY ORDER ONLY! I will provide you with a UPS tracking number when the shipment is enroute.

Please contact me via e-mail if interested. Thanks for reading.

Scott
Scan Cape Cod

565. Re: What can you do with a RS 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 17:06:37 UTC
From: ScanMan <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com>
Message-ID: <xu5j8.75139$xG.60309@news2.bloor.is>
Source record: 50881

Turn it on an ya may hear things..on radio frequencies....

<kh6zv9@pe.net> wrote in message
news:6l4j8.691$HNk2.34996257@news2.randori.com...
> Picked up a 2003 scanner at the swapmeet for $5 bucks. Anything
> Interesting that can be done with this scanner?
>
>
>
>
> --------------------------------
> Bob Masse' kh6zv9@PE.NET
> --------------------------------
>
>
>
>
>

566. Radio Shack Pro-39 scanner beep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 17:19:20 UTC
From: Rusty <rusty@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3C8CE718.3010505@dccnet.com>
Source record: 50882

Hi there,
Does anyone know how to turn off the kepad beep on a Pro-39 scanner?
I do not have a manual for the radio but don't think it was in there
anyways?...
Any help would be appreciated!
-Thanks-Russ

567. Re: Radio Shack Scanners Which Is The Best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 19:11:37 UTC
From: TexasSteven <rothrock@nospamforme.stic.net>
Message-ID: <3c8d0088$0$3035$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 50883

Sorry to say...that I wouldn't touch Radio Shack Scanner with a 10 foot pole

TexasSteven

568. Re: VR-5000 Yaesu, 3.5 hrs left...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 19:40:57 UTC
From: Ivor Bigun <rog@walesuk.net>
Message-ID: <a6j188$765$1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 50884
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
>>>>>I didn't realize we had a usenet spokes person<<<<<<

well, now you know.

.

"isobad" wrote in message
news:uvIi8.59093$gQ.13837132@news02.optonline.net...
> I didn't realize we had a usenet spokes person.
>
> "Ivor Bigun" wrote in message
> news:a6fi28$c83$1@helle.btinternet.com...
> > We are not interested, if we were we would go to ebay and look for the
> thing
> >
> >
> > --
> > **Sorcerer**
> > remove Spam to reply
> >
> >
> >
> > .
> >
> >
> > "Bill Crocker" wrote in message
> > news:kIti8.8141$k5.3185854@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > > For Auction On Ebay, with only 3.5 hours left.
> > >
> > > Yaesu VR-5000 Wide-Band Communications Receiver
> > >
> > > Includes optional "Instant-Replay" digital recorder module!
> > > Includes optional "Voice-Synthesizer" module!
> > >
> > > New, with original box, manual, warranty, receipt!
> > >
> > > Currently @ $500.00 ( a $790.00 value)
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > > Bill Crocker
> > > Michigan
> > >
> > >
> >
```

> >
>
>

569. Re: UNIDEN BEARCAT 3000XLT $200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 19:51:40 UTC
From: rjpanj@verizon.net
Message-ID: <4b75007.0203111151.3a113dc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50885
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Bob Schoenleber <bobs711@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:<erdf8u03gmnvjum3vsd3l8ds9cd75vbq0d@4ax.com>...
> UNIDEN BEARCAT 3000XLT Scanner.
>
> 400 Channel Continuous Band Scanner. 25 MHz-1.3GHz. FEATURES: 400
> Channel, High Performance Continuous Band Scanner, 20 Banks, 10
> Priority Channels, Frequency transfer, Automatic Store, Automatic
> Sorting, Speed Key, Delay Key, Channel Lockout
> Key, and more. Step Key, Turbo Frequency Search, BRAND NEW BP-2500
> 1000mAh NiMH Rechargeable Battery Pack. (Excludes Cellular
> Frequencies.) Excellent Condition.
>
> $200 including shipping to CONUS.
>
> Thanks for reading....Bob

BUYER BEWARE
BOB RENIGS ON DEALS, AND IS TOTALLY ARROGANT AFTER DOING SO.

570. Re: Am I really missing that much not having an unblocked scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 20:43:36 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <D8CC35FFF1E20F8C.6BDFEA743EEB801D.B5EE73CAC6C1E53B@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 50886

On 7 Mar 2002 15:56:36 -0800, rml6565@ksu.edu (Ryan) wrote:

>Is having an unblocked scanner worth getting? Also, is voice
>inversion decryption worth getting?

As far as "unblocked" scanner go, it isn't worth your time or
money to worry about it.

571. Re: PRO-2006 values

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 21:13:01 UTC
From: William Ferguson <fbiagent@REMOVEMEcharter.net>
Message-ID: <Xns91CEA511686DfbiagentREMOVEMEchar@209.249.90.101>
Source record: 50887
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Jon Van Allen <jonvanallen@msn.com> wrote in
news:3C890E54.C0869863@msn.com:

> William, I think you have to buy the bottom cover with new rubber
> feet, but it's not very much $10 or so last time I bought one. Hope
> this helps.
>
> Jon
>
> ==
>
> 200-0145 PROGRAMMABLE SCANNER Faxback Doc. # 30383
>
> To order parts call 1-800-843-7422 or visit your local RadioShack
> store.
>
> Reference # Cat.No. Description
> NP Part #
> 5 11187689 CABINET ASSY,BOTTOM GREY Z2839
> 11187689 WITH FEET
> Z2839
>
> ==
>
>
> William Ferguson wrote:
>
>> On that note, does anyone have any extra feet I could purchase? I
>> wasn't refering to just cliping those two diodes. I want to build
>> mine with SCA, S-meter, and other stuff
>

I don't think they have any left them any more

572. NAS Oceana Live Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 21:17:14 UTC
From: copper@bis.on.ca
Message-ID: <3c8d1eb5.1213700@news.brant.net>
Source record: 50888

Where is the website for the streaming audio?

573. Re: Radio Shack Pro-39 scanner beep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 22:49:10 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a6jc8d$eqv87$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50889

Here is the manual
http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/doc66/66173.pdf

"Rusty" <rusty@dccnet.com> wrote in message
news:3C8CE718.3010505@dccnet.com...
> Hi there,
> Does anyone know how to turn off the kepad beep on a Pro-39 scanner?
> I do not have a manual for the radio but don't think it was in there
> anyways?...
> Any help would be appreciated!
> -Thanks-Russ
>

574. Pro 43 keypad needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 23:05:58 UTC
From: Ron <prpuckett@mail.earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <qLaj8.8069$P4.662701@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 50890

Does anyone know how to obtain a new keypad for the Pro 43 ? What about part numbers for Radio Shack ??? Thanks.

575. Re: Radio Shack Pro-39 scanner beep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-11 23:37:45 UTC
From: Alexander McNaughton <treehug3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Ccbj8.134703$JZ.16327876@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 50891

That's for the PRO-93, not the PRO-39

> Here is the manual
> http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/doc66/66173.pdf
>
>
>
>
> "Rusty" <rusty@dccnet.com> wrote in message
> news:3C8CE718.3010505@dccnet.com...
> > Hi there,
> > Does anyone know how to turn off the kepad beep on a Pro-39 scanner?
> > I do not have a manual for the radio but don't think it was in there
> > anyways?...
> > Any help would be appreciated!
> > -Thanks-Russ
> >
>
>

576. Re: Radio Shack Pro-39 scanner beep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 00:06:05 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a6jgok$er636$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50892

DOH!

"Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Ccbj8.134703$JZ.16327876@news20.bellglobal.com...
> That's for the PRO-93, not the PRO-39
>
> > Here is the manual
> > http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/doc66/66173.pdf
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > "Rusty" <rusty@dccnet.com> wrote in message
> > news:3C8CE718.3010505@dccnet.com...
> > > Hi there,
> > > Does anyone know how to turn off the kepad beep on a Pro-39 scanner?
> > > I do not have a manual for the radio but don't think it was in there
> > > anyways?...
> > > Any help would be appreciated!
> > > -Thanks-Russ
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

577. Re: Realistic Pro - 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 00:57:09 UTC
From: Runnyriver <noton6@microbrain.com>
Message-ID: <a6jj4e$f198r$1@ID-121301.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50893
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

486 computers don't work with 2002 stuff, 2006's do. And the 2006 scanner blows away the 780 in another way--you don't need the friggin manual to use it!

No more RTFM

KISS
KISS
KISS

> >-jim
> >
> It was the best scanner of its time. I still have one next to my
> BC780XLT. The fact is though, the 780 blows it away in every way
> possible, except for the fact the 2006 800MHz can be unblocked.
>

578. Re: Radio Shack Scanners Which Is The Best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 01:03:24 UTC
From: Runnyriver <noton6@microbrain.com>
Message-ID: <a6jjg4$f34dj$1@ID-121301.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50894

Well, from one Texan to possibly another, Uniden and Radio Shack are both SCANNER HEADQUARTERED in Ft. Worth. And Radio Shack only sells scanners. They are made by GRE and Uniden. And if you don't like either one of those, good for you buddy, cause the demand is way too high and the prices are going up! Enjoy reading the manual every time you use your Yugosacki radio! I hope it is printed in Japanese!

"TexasSteven" <rothrock@nospamforme.stic.net> wrote in message news:3c8d0088$0$3035$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net...
> Sorry to say...that I wouldn't touch Radio Shack Scanner with a 10 foot
> pole
>
> TexasSteven
>
>
>

579. Re: X-No-Archive: yes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 01:39:11 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <x0dj8.227$UI4.110796@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 50895
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Whoa. I never knew that. Sorry if I sounded like a dick then. I just always saw that but never understood.

"Usenet Fool" <Usenet_Fool@usenet-fools.com> wrote in message news:vrkp8ugehivjrpq4ojs9ambb18sv6nin9e@4ax.com...
> X-No-Archive: yes
>
> "Rob Taylor" <fireboy001@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> > Do you ever have anything intelligent to say but that line?
> > Ever?? It doesn't even mean anything.
>
>
> click here:
>
> http://groups.google.com type "fireboy001@hotmail.com" in the search box.
>
>
http://groups.google.com/groups?q=fireboy001%40hotmail.com&hl=en&btnG=Google+Search
>
>
> Every message you post to usent gets archived by Google.com. 20 years of messages are archived.
>
>
> > 6. Can I prevent my message from becoming a permanent part of Google Groups?
> >
> > By default, any message you post will be accessible to anyone looking at the
> > newsgroup in which you posted it. However, you can always choose to reply directly
> > to a post by email instead of posting a reply within a newsgroup. With email, only the
> > author will see your message.
> >
> > You can also type "X-No-archive: yes" in the first line of your message. Messages
> > containing this command are sent to Usenet and are available to other newsreaders,
> > but will not be saved in most Usenet archives, including Google

Groups.
>
> http://www.google.com/googlegroups/posting_faq.html#prevent

580. Question on buying a used scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 01:53:57 UTC
From: spa232@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <30600840.0203111753.8b6a383@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50896

I was thinking of buying a decent scanner. It doesn't have to be top of the line, but I'm sick of my old 10-channel and 40-channel models. I was thinking of getting a scanner with about 100 channels and looking to pay less than $250 or so.

Is it legal to buy a used scanner that doesn't block so many frequencies (like cell frequencies)? I'm not necessarily looking to buy one but I was wondering what the deal was. Can anyone recommend a good model to buy?

Any information is appreciated.

581. Re: X-No-Archive: yes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 03:11:00 UTC
From: Jeff Santee <Jeff352@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <8lej8.20242$702.11059@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 50797
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Rob Taylor" <fireboy001@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:x0dj8.227$UI4.110796@news.uswest.net...
> Whoa. I never knew that. Sorry if I sounded like a dick then. I just always
> saw that but never understood.
>

You arent the only one who didnt.

Jeff

582. FS: /\/\ UHF Portables

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 03:30:02 UTC
From: emtspruitt@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020311223002.05954.00001397@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 50798

FS: Motorola UHF Portables listed below. Both have antennas, chargers, and batteries. Both power up and transmit and recieve well.

1 P-1225, 16 channel, front display, DTMF pad, speaker mic. 9 on 1-10 scale. NO belt clip. Model # P94ZPD90C2AA $325

1 GP-350, 16 channel. 9 on 1-10 scale. Believed to be 403-470 split. Unfortunately the sticker has been removed, so model number is not avail. $300

Take both radios for $600 and I will include shipping. Buy seperate and you will have to pay shipping from 35811. Both radios are open for offers. please email direct. EMTSPruitt@aol.com

583. Re: X-No-Archive: yes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 03:55:41 UTC
From: Tom Clyne <tc60@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C8D7C52.22C1D0F4@optonline.net>
Source record: 50799
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ok... but WHY do you not want any of your posts to be archived??? I could see if I wrote some flame
mail that I might want not saved; or if I had to ask a question that I thought might be a stupid
question (like THIS one, perhaps); but why No-Archive everything?

TC

BTW, it IS a cool trick - thanks for the tip - got any more?

Usenet Fool wrote:

> X-No-Archive: yes
>
> "Rob Taylor" <fireboy001@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> > Do you ever have anything intelligent to say but that line?
> > Ever?? It doesn't even mean anything.
>
> click here:
>
> http://groups.google.com type "fireboy001@hotmail.com" in the search box.
>
> http://groups.google.com/groups?q=fireboy001%40hotmail.com&hl=en&btnG=Google+Search
>
> Every message you post to usent gets archived by Google.com. 20 years of messages are archived.
>
> > 6. Can I prevent my message from becoming a permanent part of Google Groups?
> >
> > By default, any message you post will be accessible to anyone looking at the
> > newsgroup in which you posted it. However, you can always choose to reply directly
> > to a post by email instead of posting a reply within a newsgroup. With email, only the
> > author will see your message.
> >
> > You can also type "X-No-archive: yes" in the first line of your message. Messages
> > containing this command are sent to Usenet and are available to other newsreaders,
> > but will not be saved in most Usenet archives, including Google Groups.
>
> http://www.google.com/googlegroups/posting_faq.html#prevent

584. Re: Pro 43 keypad needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 07:00:12 UTC
From: Bigdaddyking <bdk1@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.16f770ac915824019896ee@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 50800

In article <qLaj8.8069$P4.662701@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
prpuckett@mail.earthlink.net says...
> Does anyone know how to obtain a new keypad for the Pro 43 ? What about part
> numbers for Radio Shack ??? Thanks.
>
>
>

Go to the RS website and look around it's called something like "keytop
pad" or something stupid like that..

BDK

585. Re: WTB Uniden ubc 9000 xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 07:06:33 UTC
From: Tone <kingfish_327@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <a6k9a5bvs1@lust.ihug.co.nz>
Source record: 50801

I have the handheld version FS. 3000xlt for NZ$400 (appx US$190) plus p + p
"CB Varmint" <cbvarmint@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020311021049.09231.00000805@mb-cs.aol.com...
> WTB Uniden ubc 9000 xlt in excellant condition. Must be reasonable. glen

586. AOR 3000 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 08:59:13 UTC
From: Andre Georgel <andre.georgel@noos.fr>
Message-ID: <3C8DC361.B4B46314@noos.fr>
Source record: 50818

Hi,

I want find a PC software for my "old" AOR3000.
I've write a softwrae in text mode in Quick basic but it is too old for
the new pc's.

If somebody know a software for Windows 98 not too expansive.

Thank you in advance for the help.
--

Andre Georgel

Email : andre.georgel@noos.fr

La perfection n'est pas lorsqu'il n'y aï¿½plus rien ï¿½
ajouter, mais lorsque qu'il n'y a plus rien ï¿½ enlever.
(A. de Saint Exupery)
---

587. AR-3000A circuit diagram

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 08:59:28 UTC
From: Mike Alpha <mike_alpha61@hotmailDELETE.com>
Message-ID: <3c8dc472$0$11564$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 50844

Anyone know where I can download a circuit diagram for the AOR AR-3000A?

Thanks
Mike

588. Re: Pro 43 keypad needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 10:03:33 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <qsWbmxC1Jdj8Ewnx@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 50802

In article <qLaj8.8069$P4.662701@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, Ron
<prpuckett@mail.earthlink.net> writes

>Does anyone know how to obtain a new keypad for the Pro 43 ? What about part
>numbers for Radio Shack ??? Thanks.

If they still have them Try Tandy National Parts. There support web site
suggests their part number is KC0385 and you can get them on
1-800-843-7422. If not I think we still have a few left.

--
Cheers,
JC
01274 639503
http://www.javiation.co.uk

589. Radio Shack Pro 90 Mods ???????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 10:53:53 UTC
From: ajo55 <ajo55@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <3C8DDDAA.7F3A4C7F@comcast.net>
Source record: 50803

Does anyone know of any mods to this scanner, specifically a way to
increase
the volume output similar to the same mod that was available for the Pro
43,
that one worked like a charm !!!!!

Also if anyone is not aware, the web page of Firehouse magazine,
www.firehouse.com has 11 downloadable audio files from NYPD and FDNY
radio
the day of Sept 11th, very interesting listening, even for those NOT
affiliated with Fire and Police scanning.....Look for the link on the
first
page that says "911 Disaster", then click on audio and video files.

AJ

Balto Co MD FD

590. F/S Pro 2006 scanner better than mint

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 11:08:26 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Kklj8.8845$E_3.966241@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 50804
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

PRO 2006 scanner. Extremely clean (non smoker) condition and works as new. Clean as you will ever find. Extremely little use on it even the volume dial is still stiff! Comes complete with manual and original antenna. Scanner has the cellular and speed mods completed. I accept money orders only. Price is very firm at $250 shipped via UPS. I am sure anyone who knows about these will be extremely happy. thanks bruceinomaha@yahoo.com
http://mediaservice.photoisland.com/auction/Mar/20023111127685076677451.jpg

591. f/s Pro 26 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 11:11:48 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Unlj8.8900$E_3.966442@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 50805
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Pro 26 300 channel scanner. One of the great ones. 25-1300 mhz. Picks up cb, tv, fm radio, police, fire, etc.. Very fast scanning and searching. Comes with small external speaker I purchased yesterday along with ac adapter yesterday, antenna and fresh aa batteries. Speaker is blown hence the external speaker. Other than that the unit works great and is clean. Very impressive. Price includes UPS shipping. I accept money order only. thanks http://mediaservice.photoisland.com/auction/Mar/2002395338290496268535.jpg

592. Re: R/S - PRO-2053 - Comments ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 11:12:33 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Bolj8.8911$E_3.966521@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 50806

Definately worth it. Great audio and alot of bells and whistles.
"Ken Foshee" <kenfoshee@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:qgMi8.34958$Yd.1849424@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> I am interested getting a newer scanner. I saw that R/s had this scanner
on
> sale for $179.00 (Retail $249.). Would appreciate any comments or
> suggestions. I am currently using a BC860XLT.
> Any and all help appreciated.
> Ken
>
>
>

593. Re: f/s Pro 26 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 11:15:15 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7rlj8.8949$E_3.966896@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 50807
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Price is $125 including shipping.
"Bruce" <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Unlj8.8900$E_3.966442@news2.east.cox.net...
> Pro 26 300 channel scanner. One of the great ones. 25-1300 mhz. Picks up
cb,
> tv, fm radio, police, fire, etc.. Very fast scanning and searching. Comes
> with small external speaker I purchased yesterday along with ac adapter
> yesterday, antenna and fresh aa batteries. Speaker is blown hence the
> external speaker. Other than that the unit works great and is clean. Very
> impressive. Price includes UPS shipping. I accept money order only. thanks
> http://mediaservice.photoisland.com/auction/Mar/2002395338290496268535.jpg
>
>

594. speech inversion descrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 13:20:53 UTC
From: ctpds49@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020312082053.08654.00001495@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 50808

Voice Frequency Inversion

Speech Inversion is accomplished by mixing the speech spectrum (typically 300-3000 Hz) with a tone (commonly 3500 Hz) to produce some audio frequencies (3800-6500 Hz) then filtering to 3200-500 Hz constituting the speech inversion.

members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html

595. Stabo Interface Cable XR-1800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 14:20:57 UTC
From: Sebastian Gansemer <gansemer-iserlohn@t-online.de>
Message-ID: <3C8E0EC9.C1F8B6D6@t-online.de>
Source record: 50809

Hi,

iï¿½m searching for a circuit plan from the Stabo Interace Cable for
XR-1800.
Did somebody have this plan?

Best regards, Sebastian

596. Pro 2053

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 15:10:06 UTC
From: Muverick <vze24njg@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <iToj8.4296$dn2.4264@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 50810

'Morning, group,

Just bought one of the "on sale" 2053's, and visited the RS site in an attempt to locate one of the interface kits that is advertised via an insert. No joy. The part number is not available on their site, and I have asked them to 'splain why. Thought I'd check the assembled knowledge base here, for an opinion as to whether the kit is worth pursuing, and if it is available elsewhere.

TIA.

Jim

597. Re: f/s Pro 26 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 15:27:32 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <E7pj8.9759$P4.809567@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 50811
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Gee, how do you blow the speaker out of a Pro-26?
They barely go loud enough to hear in the first place.
I have one myself. I know. Good price, though.
"Bruce" <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:7rlj8.8949$E_3.966896@news2.east.cox.net...
> Price is $125 including shipping.
> "Bruce" <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Unlj8.8900$E_3.966442@news2.east.cox.net...
> > Pro 26 300 channel scanner. One of the great ones. 25-1300 mhz. Picks up
> cb,
> > tv, fm radio, police, fire, etc.. Very fast scanning and searching.
Comes
> > with small external speaker I purchased yesterday along with ac adapter
> > yesterday, antenna and fresh aa batteries. Speaker is blown hence the
> > external speaker. Other than that the unit works great and is clean.
Very
> > impressive. Price includes UPS shipping. I accept money order only.
thanks
> >
http://mediaservice.photoisland.com/auction/Mar/2002395338290496268535.jpg
> >
> >
>
>
>

598. FS Toshiba Tecra 500CDT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 15:58:44 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <UApj8.104$x_2.20791@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50812
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.sys.laptops.alcam,comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

This is a nice laptop for someone who don't want to spend a lot.It has the floppy and cd rom.Its a p-133 with 32 megs ram and a 1.2 gig HD.It also comes with extra battery,and I will throw in a laptop bag.This laptop is great begiiner.I will ship this in US for $300.00.
It has great sound and great resolution.No pad pixels.You won't regret buying this one.
Email me or call 978-281-3359 in Mass.
Got a good police scanner to trade or ?.Let me know.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.333 / Virus Database: 187 - Release Date: 3/8/2002

599. FS: Radio Shack PRO-2004/HB-232 Package $200 shipped.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 16:01:12 UTC
From: kc1ua@scancapecod.net
Message-ID: <9b093b3c.0203120801.37f9a7cb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Greetings:

I have a Realistic PRO-2004 with HB-232 computer interface for sale.
Asking $200 shipped on receipt of US POSTAL MONEY ORDER ONLY! A
detailed explanation of this radio, which has undergone numerous
modifications and enhancements, can be read at
http://www.scancapecod.net/2004sale.html. Pictures of the radio are
also available at this link.

Please e-mail me if interested. Thanks for reading.

Scott/KC1UA
Scan Cape Cod

600. FS PRO-2037 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 16:10:14 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <GLpj8.120$x_2.24632@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50814
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

This is a nice little 200 channel base scanner.I am starting to weed out some of the toys I don't need anymore.I will sell this shipped in USA for $110.00.Email me or call 978-281-3359

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.333 / Virus Database: 187 - Release Date: 3/8/2002

601. FS Motorola UHF Hand Held

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 16:13:27 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <HOpj8.122$x_2.25549@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50815
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have five hand held radios that are on UHF for sale.Two of them will need batteries as they are no good.The radios all work great.I will ship them in USA for $100.00.
Call or Email me 978-281-3359
Got something to trade ,let me know.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.333 / Virus Database: 187 - Release Date: 3/8/2002

602. Re: Pro 2053

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 16:15:02 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C8E2986.2FAFBF7D@optonline.net>
Source record: 50816

I assume you are referring to the computer interface kit. It is not yet
available from RS.

Go here

http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/Win93/index.html

to download a free program, WIN93, which works great, and for
information on building or buying cables.

Go here

www.pro-92.com

for info on a just released commercial program.

Walt

Walt

Muverick wrote:
>
> 'Morning, group,
>
> Just bought one of the "on sale" 2053's, and visited the RS site in an
> attempt to locate one of the interface kits that is advertised via an
> insert. No joy. The part number is not available on their site, and I have
> asked them to 'splain why. Thought I'd check the assembled knowledge base
> here, for an opinion as to whether the kit is worth pursuing, and if it is
> available elsewhere.
>
> TIA.
>
> Jim

603. Motorola Radius SP-21 VHF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 16:16:03 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <7Rpj8.125$x_2.26229@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50817

I have a VHF Radius SP-21 I will ship in USA for $90.00.Nothing wrong with this radio.I have no charger.I use a universal one for my radios.Email me Do you have a swap for it??

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.333 / Virus Database: 187 - Release Date: 3/8/2002

604. GE SuperBase FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 16:21:16 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <0Wpj8.130$x_2.27895@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50819
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I still have that GE SuperBase CB for sale or swap.This is a great radio but takes up to much space on my desk.It has the Turner Expander Desk mike and a hand mike if I can find that one.It has the dual antenna connections on back of rig.Let me know hwat you have.Email me or call 978-281-3359

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.333 / Virus Database: 187 - Release Date: 3/8/2002

605. Apelco VHF Marine FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 16:24:59 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <vZpj8.133$x_2.28902@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50820
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a marine hand held,Apelco vHF 501 plus to sell.Its in the box with all that come with it.I used it last summer for a short time and put it back in the box.I will sell it shipped for $90.00 or what you got to swap.Email me

---
Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.333 / Virus Database: 187 - Release Date: 3/8/2002

606. WTB:Pro-2005 / pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 16:30:03 UTC
From: indyham <k9dzdd@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <f2qj8.27490$ZR2.13779@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 50821

i am looking fro either a pro-2005,or,pro-2006 working or repairable

monte k9dzdd@insightbb.com

607. Bose Wave Radio FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 16:34:39 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <z6qj8.152$x_2.31962@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Cleaning out my toys.
I have a black Bose wave radio that I am looking to sell.It comes with credit card remote,book and box.This is just the am and fm model.No cd or cassett.It works and looks great.Beautiful sound.I don't use it so I am getting it out for sale.I will take $200.oo for it and something for shipping.Email me if interested.Call 978-281-3359 in Mass.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.333 / Virus Database: 187 - Release Date: 3/8/2002

608. Kenwood MC-50 Desk Mike

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 16:39:02 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Gaqj8.155$x_2.33330@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50823
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Got a real nice desk mike to sell.Asking $50.00 plus $3.00 shipping.Email me.What you got to trade.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.333 / Virus Database: 187 - Release Date: 3/8/2002

609. Re: Question on buying a used scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 16:40:58 UTC
From: Richard Burwell <rburwell@bright.net>
Message-ID: <Zeqj8.2220$0e1.367911@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 50824

It is illegal to make a new scanner with the cell phone channels in it. If you find a used Pro 200x scanner and decide to clip the resistor lead that restores the cell phone channels, who is going to know the difference LOL Now they are forcing the makers of scanners to block those channels more permanently than when they first made it illegal. a Realistic Pro 2004,5,6 used scanner would always be a good choice.

610. Bose Wave radio FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 16:50:52 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Mlqj8.160$x_2.37153@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50825
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Cleaning out my toys.
I have a black Bose wave radio that I am looking to sell.It comes with credit card remote,book and box.This is just the am and fm model.No cd or cassett.It works and looks great.Beautiful sound.I don't use it so I am getting it out for sale.I will take $200.oo for it and something for shipping.Email me if interested.Call 978-281-3359 in Mass.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.333 / Virus Database: 187 - Release Date: 3/8/2002

611. External CD Rom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 16:52:04 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Umqj8.175$x_2.37316@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50826
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.sys.laptops.alcam,comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.scanner

I have an external cd rom for sale that you can use on any parralel port or any laptop with the PCMCIA port.This is new condition and was used two times.I paid over$190.00 for it and will ship it for $125.00.I will consider swaps also.Email me

---
Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.333 / Virus Database: 187 - Release Date: 3/8/2002

612. Re: FS Motorola UHF Hand Held

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 17:12:46 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <iGqj8.191$x_2.44256@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50827
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
These are the BTX-126 UHF hand helds.
"N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:HOpj8.122$x_2.25549@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> I have five hand held radios that are on UHF for sale.Two of them will
need
> batteries as they are no good.The radios all work great.I will ship them
in
> USA for $100.00.
> Call or Email me 978-281-3359
> Got something to trade ,let me know.
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.333 / Virus Database: 187 - Release Date: 3/8/2002
>
>

---
Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.333 / Virus Database: 187 - Release Date: 3/8/2002
```

613. CB & HAM Frequicies for AR5000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 18:33:06 UTC
From: Stuart Meredith <Info@Smartmarks.co.uk>
Message-ID: <a6lhl1$p4s$1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 50829
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

I was just wondering if anybody has all the CB & Ham bands to upload to a AR5000 Using Spectrum Master.

Thanks
Stuart

614. Re: importing scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 18:33:17 UTC
From: xganon <nobody@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <d3ad87acf48a8348e747db49351421de@xganon.com>
Source record: 50828

"Tom Norman" <tnorman71@columbus.rr.com> wrote in message
news:f3Mi8.173616$s43.38226516@typhoon.columbus.rr.com...
>
>Ryan,
>
>I ordered a scanner from Javiation a couple of
> months ago. &#65533; If you did not choose to have it
> shipped EXPRESS MAIL then it will take a
> LONG time. I made this mistake myself. Don't
> go by what the page says for estimated
> delivery to USA. I also found that my scanner
> was not even shipped right away, but that it
> was shipped a few days later, so I was pulling
> my hair out thinking that it had been seized.
> &#65533;&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; I can pretty much guarantee that you WILL
> get it from Javiation. I WOULD buy from
> Jonathan again. But just try to forget about it.
> You WILL get it in a couple of weeks or so.
> &#65533; And next time, pick the Express Mail
>method, the extra money you spend is worth
> the lack of worry.
>
> Tom

615. Re: f/s Pro 26 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 18:49:10 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <G4sj8.10000$E_3.1020492@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 50830
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I wasn't aware of this. Maybe that is the problem.
"Jim Hackett" <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:E7pj8.9759$P4.809567@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Gee, how do you blow the speaker out of a Pro-26?
> They barely go loud enough to hear in the first place.
> I have one myself. I know. Good price, though.
> "Bruce" <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:7rlj8.8949$E_3.966896@news2.east.cox.net...
> > Price is $125 including shipping.
> > "Bruce" <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:Unlj8.8900$E_3.966442@news2.east.cox.net...
> > > Pro 26 300 channel scanner. One of the great ones. 25-1300 mhz. Picks
up
> > cb,
> > > tv, fm radio, police, fire, etc.. Very fast scanning and searching.
> Comes
> > > with small external speaker I purchased yesterday along with ac
adapter
> > > yesterday, antenna and fresh aa batteries. Speaker is blown hence the
> > > external speaker. Other than that the unit works great and is clean.
> Very
> > > impressive. Price includes UPS shipping. I accept money order only.
> thanks
> > >
> http://mediaservice.photoisland.com/auction/Mar/200239533829049626853 5.jpg
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

616. Re: Handheld Antenna's question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 18:57:21 UTC
From: faive@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <305ca694.0203121057.19ffc8b8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50831
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

SMA to BNC? Try www.grove-ent.com or www.lentinicomm.com or look at
all the other dealers listed at www.strongsignals.net
Since you have the wonderful IC-R2 why dont you try, if you havent
already, the internet group: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/icomr2/

Have fun!

617. Re: winradio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 19:11:34 UTC
From: "Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Gpsj8.11510$u72.3349968@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 50832

Yes I have, and Winradio isn't bad - if you're in to the PC thing. I prefer tabletops and use a BC-780xlt for UHF/VHF stuff and a Drake R8B for the HF. One radio for both purposes doesn't exactly get it with respect to antenna switching, in my opinion. Also, people change computers often and the more you pull cards, the greater chance that it'll be the last time it works for lots of reasons.

But I like to spin the knobs and all that old-fashioned stuff, so I prefer the traditional radio thing. <g>

Cheers!

Paul, KC2FNC

"Irene@EuroEuro" <irene@euroeuro.net> wrote in message
news:a6fkn2afe1@newsreader.mailgate.org...
> Hi!!
> Has anybody tested Winradio cards? What do you think about it? Any comment
> appreciated!!
> Bye,
> Irene
>
>
>

618. Re: FS Toshiba Tecra 500CDT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 19:41:15 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <vRsj8.241$x_2.78963@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50833
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.sys.laptops.alcam,comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Laptop has been sold.
"N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:UApj8.104$x_2.20791@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> This is a nice laptop for someone who don't want to spend a lot.It has the
> floppy and cd rom.Its a p-133 with 32 megs ram and a 1.2 gig HD.It also
> comes with extra battery,and I will throw in a laptop bag.This laptop is
> great begiiner.I will ship this in US for $300.00.
> It has great sound and great resolution.No pad pixels.You won't regret
> buying this one.
> Email me or call 978-281-3359 in Mass.
> Got a good police scanner to trade or ?.Let me know.
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.333 / Virus Database: 187 - Release Date: 3/8/2002
>
>

---
Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.333 / Virus Database: 187 - Release Date: 3/8/2002
```

619. Re: Handheld Antenna's question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 20:30:52 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <0Atj8.14871$Vx1.1226175@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 50834
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You can pick one up at Ham Radio Outlet also, if you happen to live near
one.
"Faive" <faive@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:305ca694.0203121057.19ffc8b8@posting.google.com...
> SMA to BNC? Try www.grove-ent.com or www.lentinicomm.com or look at
> all the other dealers listed at www.strongsignals.net
> Since you have the wonderful IC-R2 why dont you try, if you havent
> already, the internet group: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/icomr2/
>
> Have fun!

620. Computer brands that cause RFI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 21:00:33 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C8E6C71.2060600@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 50835
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.alt.scanner

Time for a new computer. I currently have 3 Compaqs (2 500 MHz and a 933 MHz) in the radio shack. I haven't yet to receive any RFI from these computer (all three are on 24 hours/7days a week).

In the past I've had some older "no-names" which produced so much RF that it wasn't worth turning on the radios.

I'm thinking of a Gateway 2GHz machine. Wondering if anyone can report using a Gateway with their radios and not experence any RFI.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

621. Re: Question on buying a used scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 21:38:08 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <A63E5D9EB09ED709.61D02EB1A0D4D444.F187E2E7FB500E36@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 50836

On 11 Mar 2002 17:53:57 -0800, spa232@yahoo.com (Rea) wrote:

[snip]

I would look for a good used Uniden Bearcat model BC200XLT.
It's a great 100 channel scanner that can be had used for well
under $100 and can be "cell-unblocked" with the removal of a
10K chip resistor.

622. Re: PRO-2053 Display

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 21:53:45 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <JNuj8.9919$k5.3668764@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50837

Maybe it's a marketing ploy to convince people to buy the more expensive PRO-2067.

Bill Crocker

"Roland Stiner" <nk2u@1stconnect.com> wrote in message news:gYTi8.17569$hK4.6384495@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > The display on the PRO-2053 is large, and very easy to read! About the
> only
> > thing it lacks from the PRO-2067 is support for CTCSS.
>
> No good then. Why would they take it out? How much cost savings could
they
> get by removing PL from the radio?
>
> Roland
>
>
>

623. Can't get front plastic for a 2006 from RS anymore!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 22:18:52 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@njmc.com>
Message-ID: <a6ludg$fk5bt$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50838

Just thought I'd let everyone know that I was just informed by RS parts that the front plastic ("escatcheon") for a PRO-2006 has been discontinued.

Anyone have one they would like to part with, or know if I can get one through GRE?

thanks!

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.11.2 running on Mandrake Linux 8.1

624. sold

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 22:28:50 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Civj8.11515$E_3.1045306@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 50839
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

sold
"Bruce" <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Kklj8.8845$E_3.966241@news2.east.cox.net...
> PRO 2006 scanner. Extremely clean (non smoker) condition and works as new.
> Clean as you will ever find. Extremely little use on it even the volume
dial
> is still stiff! Comes complete with manual and original antenna. Scanner
has
> the cellular and speed mods completed. I accept money orders only. Price
is
> very firm at $250 shipped via UPS. I am sure anyone who knows about these
> will be extremely happy. thanks bruceinomaha@yahoo.com
>
http://mediaservice.photoisland.com/auction/Mar/2002311112768507667745 1.jpg
>
>
>

625. sold

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 22:29:05 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Rivj8.11518$E_3.1045276@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 50840
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Bruce" <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Unlj8.8900$E_3.966442@news2.east.cox.net...
> Pro 26 300 channel scanner. One of the great ones. 25-1300 mhz. Picks up
cb,
> tv, fm radio, police, fire, etc.. Very fast scanning and searching. Comes
> with small external speaker I purchased yesterday along with ac adapter
> yesterday, antenna and fresh aa batteries. Speaker is blown hence the
> external speaker. Other than that the unit works great and is clean. Very
> impressive. Price includes UPS shipping. I accept money order only. thanks
> http://mediaservice.photoisland.com/auction/Mar/2002395338290496268535.jpg
>
>

626. Re: Can't get front plastic for a 2006 from RS anymore!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 22:44:01 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@njmc.com>
Message-ID: <a6lvsl$fba6c$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50841

On Tue, 12 Mar 2002 17:18:52 -0500, John LeMay wrote:

> Just thought I'd let everyone know that I was just informed by RS parts
> that the front plastic ("escatcheon") for a PRO-2006 has been
> discontinued.

Can't get one for the 2005 either. However, the two clam halves of the
case are still available! Go figure!

Tried getting hold of GRE. You can't get anywhere at www.greamaerica.com
or via their customer service number, they refer you to the Japan
company. However, I'm not about to call Japan and when I click on their
email link my browser (Galean 1.03) crashes.

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.11.2 running on Mandrake Linux 8.1

627. Re: Pro 43 keypad needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 22:54:49 UTC
From: Richard Fisher <rfisher@stx.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ZGvj8.90023$0y2.4329023@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 50842

Try this - it should be RS National Parts:

1-800-843-7422

NP Part#:
KC0385

Catalog#:
10789809

"Ron" <prpuckett@mail.earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:qLaj8.8069$P4.662701@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Does anyone know how to obtain a new keypad for the Pro 43 ? What about
part
> numbers for Radio Shack ??? Thanks.
>
>

628. Northern VT freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 22:57:42 UTC
From: Richard Fisher <rfisher@stx.rr.com>
Message-ID: <GJvj8.90042$0y2.4329800@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 50843

Would like a good list of freqs for Northern VT and Canada

629. Live Daytona Beach Police during SpringBreak!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-12 23:39:21 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C8E91A9.2080004@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 50845
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hear Live Daytona Beach Beach Patrol, Police and Fire during Spring Break 2002. We'll be broadcasting 24 hours a day unless we have Thunderstorms in the area. You can listen live at http://www.MilAirComms.com

Starting 3/11 begins Spring Break and it will last until 3/31. 200,000 Drunk College students invade Daytona Beach. On just day 2 local TV stations report larger crowds and more underage drinking then ever before. So far this is the largest springbreak in the last 10 years.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

630. Re: VR-500 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 01:03:39 UTC
From: Bill Becker <the.bill@cox.net>
Message-ID: <3C8EA56A.9E4D901F@cox.net>
Source record: 50846

I agree with John...

I've utilized all the resources available on that Yahoo group. It's a good one for us VR-500 owners!

Bill

631. Re: What can you do with a RS 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 01:32:36 UTC
From: farmandjr69@cs.com
Message-ID: <20020312203236.17011.00000989@mb-fr.news.cs.com>
Source record: 50747

Paper weight? Shelf decoration? Give it to me to use? Best idea yet! lol

632. Remote Circuit for Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 01:36:59 UTC
From: Jim Foust <jfoust@bgnet.bgsu.edu>
Message-ID: <u8tbtrmmi26h18@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50750

Hello all. . . .

My ICOM R-75 SW receiver has a remote jack that will control the remote of a tape recorder--it only runs the tape when it receives a signal. Anyone know of plans for a similar circuit I could build for a scanner?

Alternately, are there computer audio programs out there that will just record when a signal is received?

Thanks!

Jim

633. Re: (*) Mods Webpage! (*)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 01:42:24 UTC
From: BHall <bhall7@email.com>
Message-ID: <a6maq1tii1@acs2.byu.edu>
Source record: 50748

Try www.mods.dk

-BHall

"Runnyriver" <noton6@microbrain.com> wrote in message
news:a6ftd5$dojen$1@ID-121301.news.dfncis.de...
> Maybe I am missing something here, but that site is nothing but a display
of
> dead links. Has been dead for months. So I guess when someone goes to the
> trouble to get all this information together again, and posts it for our
> free use, you gotta knock him for using a great interface we already know
> and like?
>
> We should be thanking Brian for pointing us to a site that is not all dead
> links....
>
>
>
>
>
> "Devin" <a@a.com> wrote in message
> news:9ICf8.24165$kb.1692675@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> > lol
> > stealers shouldn't take credit for something that they exactly copied.
> > Besides changing the background and suck. The site and the true RS
scanner
> > mod site isn't 100% up to date. if you want to include test modes and
> > discrim tap mods. I know my 2045 has a few key combinations to set it to
> > test mode of factory test freqs, display test. um. To auto turn on the
> radio
> > light and off.
> >
> > here is the true site Mr. Theif
> >
> > http://www.leto.net/docs/rsmods.html
> >
> > atleast if you steal. make it look different.
> >
> > Dev.
> >
>
>
>

634. Re: Question on buying a used scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 01:44:31 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <ulbt8u01buiu069kfvqoug8bibio86p1ju@4ax.com>
Source record: 50749

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land> spake thusly

>I would look for a good used Uniden Bearcat model BC200XLT.
>It's a great 100 channel scanner that can be had used for well
>under $100 and can be "cell-unblocked" with the removal of a
>10K chip resistor.

Sorry, I'm NOT selling mine for less than $150.

It already gets cellphones, of course.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

635. Re: Remote Circuit for Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 01:55:51 UTC
From: ScanMan <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com>
Message-ID: <Hkyj8.8292$_L.1990@news1.bloor.is>
Source record: 50751

Go to http://www.davee.com

You'll find it here.

"Jim Foust" <jfoust@bgnet.bgsu.edu> wrote in message
news:u8tbtrmmi26h18@corp.supernews.com...
> Hello all. . . .
>
> My ICOM R-75 SW receiver has a remote jack that will control the remote of
a
> tape recorder--it only runs the tape when it receives a signal. Anyone
know
> of plans for a similar circuit I could build for a scanner?
>
> Alternately, are there computer audio programs out there that will just
> record when a signal is received?
>
> Thanks!
>
> Jim
>
>

636. BC 895 Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 02:04:25 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Jsyj8.501$x_2.168616@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50752

Need help on setting up the interface with the computer and radio.I press the remote/alert and it stays there at 9600 or what I set it to.What are the steps on getting it to show on the computer.I am using radio manager for the 895.I just don't know how to do anything with the scanner to make it talk or show on the computer.I use com 2 but nothing happens on radio.it stays on the remote/alert. ny help is welcomed.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.333 / Virus Database: 187 - Release Date: 3/8/2002

637. Re: Computer brands that cause RFI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 02:32:54 UTC
From: Rollo&#65533; <Rollo_member@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <a6mdom02b7c@drn.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50755
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.alt.scanner

In article <3C8E6C71.2060600@licensed4fun.com>, GeorgeF says...
>
>I'm thinking of a Gateway 2GHz machine. Wondering if anyone can report
>using a Gateway with their radios and not experence any RFI.

I don't think you can reliably associate RFI with a particular brand.

All of my computers are homebrew. I have traced my RFI down to a single program on one of my machines that runs Linux that sends keep-alive packets to my ISDN terminal adapter.

I remember in the olden days, the FCC used to issue certifications. I'm not sure if they still do or if the requirements have been relaxed. My point was that if you run down the REAL source of the RFI, it's likely to surprise you.

638. Re: External CD Rom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 02:47:47 UTC
From: biteme@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3c8ebb7d.72977104@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 50753
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.sys.laptops.alcam,comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.scanner

what's your email address?
what brand is it?

On Tue, 12 Mar 2002 16:52:04 GMT, "N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net>
wrote:

>I have an external cd rom for sale that you can use on any parralel port or
>any laptop with the PCMCIA port.This is new condition and was used two
>times.I paid over$190.00 for it and will ship it for $125.00.I will consider
>swaps also.Email me
>
>
>
>---
>Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
>Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
>Version: 6.0.333 / Virus Database: 187 - Release Date: 3/8/2002
>
>

639. Re: Can't get front plastic for a 2006 from RS anymore!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 02:51:35 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020312215135.12303.00001275@mb-ci.aol.com>
Source record: 50754

>Just thought I'd let everyone know that I was just informed by RS parts that
>the
>front plastic ("escatcheon") for a PRO-2006 has been discontinued.
>
>Anyone have one they would like to part with, or know if I can get one
>through GRE?
>

You could always post a message, looking for non-working 2006's.. maybe you'd
even find someone willing to send you the piece at the cost of postage...
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

640. Re: Computer brands that cause RFI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 03:10:54 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a6mfv4$f751s$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50756
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.alt.scanner

Mine is my monitor, not the machine.

"Rollo" <Rollo_member@newsguy.com> wrote in message
news:a6mdom02b7c@drn.newsguy.com...
> In article <3C8E6C71.2060600@licensed4fun.com>, GeorgeF says...
> >
> >I'm thinking of a Gateway 2GHz machine. Wondering if anyone can report
> >using a Gateway with their radios and not experence any RFI.
>
> I don't think you can reliably associate RFI with a particular brand.
>
> All of my computers are homebrew. I have traced my RFI down to a single
program
> on one of my machines that runs Linux that sends keep-alive packets to my
ISDN
> terminal adapter.
>
> I remember in the olden days, the FCC used to issue certifications. I'm
not
> sure if they still do or if the requirements have been relaxed. My point
was
> that if you run down the REAL source of the RFI, it's likely to surprise
you.
>

641. Re: Pro 2053

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 03:11:01 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <9rzj8.10000$k5.3706412@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50757

Click here...
http://www.scanstar.com/ts9293.htm

Bill Crocker

"Muverick" <vze24njg@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:iToj8.4296$dn2.4264@nwrddc02.gnilink.net...
> 'Morning, group,
>
> Just bought one of the "on sale" 2053's, and visited the RS site in an
> attempt to locate one of the interface kits that is advertised via an
> insert. No joy. The part number is not available on their site, and I have
> asked them to 'splain why. Thought I'd check the assembled knowledge base
> here, for an opinion as to whether the kit is worth pursuing, and if it is
> available elsewhere.
>
> TIA.
>
> Jim
>
>

642. Re: 200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 03:30:57 UTC
From: DRY <youngd@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <RJzj8.15359$u72.4628657@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 50758

About $125
"eric kaelin" <rifraf714@core.com> wrote in message
news:3c8be515$0$35574$ac966d11@news.raex.com...
> can any1 tell me how much a 200xlt with all 800 band is worth???
> thanx
>
>

643. Re: Pro 2053

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 04:39:02 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C8ED7E5.F6AE3DD2@optonline.net>
Source record: 50759

Does anyone know if this is considered an upgrade for the original
RS/GRE software for the PRO-92?

My serial number/activation code/CD wouldn't activate the program.

The Signal Intelligence "Help" page wouldn't process my questions.

Walt

Bill Crocker wrote:
>
> Click here...
> http://www.scanstar.com/ts9293.htm
>
> Bill Crocker
>
> "Muverick" <vze24njg@verizon.net> wrote in message
> news:iToj8.4296$dn2.4264@nwrddc02.gnilink.net...
> > 'Morning, group,
> >
> > Just bought one of the "on sale" 2053's, and visited the RS site in an
> > attempt to locate one of the interface kits that is advertised via an
> > insert. No joy. The part number is not available on their site, and I have
> > asked them to 'splain why. Thought I'd check the assembled knowledge base
> > here, for an opinion as to whether the kit is worth pursuing, and if it is
> > available elsewhere.
> >
> > TIA.
> >
> > Jim
> >
> >

644. Re: Handheld Antenna's question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 05:03:43 UTC
From: Tom Clyne <tc60@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C8EDDC6.7130066C@optonline.net>
Source record: 50760
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Excellent!! Thanks Faive & Jim. Did not even THINK about such a group existing.
Also, no HRO around here (Suffolk County, Long Island), but I found their website, so that'll work.

Jim Hackett wrote:

> You can pick one up at Ham Radio Outlet also, if you happen to live near
> one.
> "Faive" <faive@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:305ca694.0203121057.19ffc8b8@posting.google.com...
> > SMA to BNC? Try www.grove-ent.com or www.lentinicomm.com or look at
> > all the other dealers listed at www.strongsignals.net
> > Since you have the wonderful IC-R2 why dont you try, if you havent
> > already, the internet group: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/icomr2/
> >
> > Have fun!

645. Re: Handheld Antenna's question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 05:09:35 UTC
From: Tom Clyne <tc60@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C8EDF28.EECCF52F@optonline.net>
Source record: 50761
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

DOH!
I forgot to mention that the website for HRO is http://www.hamradio.com/

Jim Hackett wrote:

> You can pick one up at Ham Radio Outlet also, if you happen to live near
> one.
> "Faive" <faive@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:305ca694.0203121057.19ffc8b8@posting.google.com...
> > SMA to BNC? Try www.grove-ent.com or www.lentinicomm.com or look at
> > all the other dealers listed at www.strongsignals.net
> > Since you have the wonderful IC-R2 why dont you try, if you havent
> > already, the internet group: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/icomr2/
> >
> > Have fun!

646. Re: CB & HAM Frequicies for AR5000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 05:31:06 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <a6nllm32fmb@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50770
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

160 Meters 1.8-2.0Mhz
80 Meters 3.5-4.0Mhz
40 Meters 7.0-7.3Mhz
30 Meters 10.0-10.15Mhz
20 Meters 14.0-14.35Mhz
18 Meters 18.068-18.168Mhz
15 Meters 21.00-21.45Mhz
12 Meters 24.89-24.99Mhz
10 Meters 28.00-29.70Mhz
6 Meters 50.00-54.00Mhz
2 Meters 144.00-148.00Mhz
1.25 Meters 219-225 Mhz
70cm 420.000-450.000Mhz
33cm 902.000-928.000Mhz
23cm 1240-1300Mhz
13cm 2300-2310Mhz and 2390-2450Mhz
There are some more above the 13cm band, but figured your radio might not go
above that. If you want those or more definitive information about
particular bands and mode usage, let me know.

Ryan KC8PMX

"Stuart Meredith" <Info@Smartmarks.co.uk> wrote in message
news:a6lhl1$p4s$1@paris.btinternet.com...
> Hi,
>
> I was just wondering if anybody has all the CB & Ham bands to upload to a
> AR5000 Using Spectrum Master.
>
> Thanks
> Stuart
>
>

647. Win93 help.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 05:33:40 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020313003340.25072.00001231@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 50762

I accessed the Win93 freeware using a link by way of the WWW.Pr093.com web site. Now, I originally downloaded the Win93 using Windows 98 and I got the version 3.01. I just got a new Pc with Windows XP Home Version, went to Pro93.com and used the same link to download the freeware. What I got this time is a version 0.11 and it looks different than the version I downloaded before!! Any reason to this? I would really like to use the 3.01 version again. I liked it better. Any help from someone who knows what they are talking about is appreciated. Flamers......go ahead....make my day........
Eric G.
education CANNOT buy common sense

648. Re: WTB:Pro-2005 / pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 05:51:08 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@cox.net>
Message-ID: <gNBj8.9198$4d.1428772@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 50763

I have a new in the box PRO-2006 forsale for $375.00. I am in Southern California if you would like to see the unit.

-jim

"indyham" <k9dzdd@insightbb.com> wrote in message news:f2qj8.27490$ZR2.13779@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> i am looking fro either a pro-2005,or,pro-2006 working or repairable
>
> monte k9dzdd@insightbb.com
>
>
>

649. Re: What can you do with a RS 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 06:00:05 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020313010005.17003.00000945@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 50764

>Subject: Re: What can you do with a RS 2003?
>From: farmandjr69@cs.com (Farmandjr69)
>Date: 3/12/2002 7:32 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20020312203236.17011.00000989@mb-fr.news.cs.com>
>
>Paper weight? Shelf decoration? Give it to me to use? Best idea yet! lol
>

Change the "3" to a "6", say it was only used by a little old lady to hear the weather report on Sundays & ask $400 for it?

650. Re: External CD Rom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 06:24:34 UTC
From: Pg <punkguy61386@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <CgCj8.140939$Hu6.34287426@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 50765
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.sys.laptops.alcam,comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.scanner

I have an external cdrw (Zip) and I'll take less than that for it.

"N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:Umqj8.175$x_2.37316@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> I have an external cd rom for sale that you can use on any parralel port
or
> any laptop with the PCMCIA port.This is new condition and was used two
> times.I paid over$190.00 for it and will ship it for $125.00.I will
consider
> swaps also.Email me
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.333 / Virus Database: 187 - Release Date: 3/8/2002
>
>

651. FS: MAX233 IC for computer interface cable.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 08:22:11 UTC
From: nr6z@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9c46f45b.0203130022.7f05e5f9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50766

I have 5 of MAX233 RS232 transceiver chips for sale.
It can be used to build very simple computer interface
for all kinds of scanners and two way radios.
It requires no external capacitors like MAX232.

Check out the interface schematic diagram using this IC:
http://www.qsl.net/nr6z/images/max233_interface1
http://www.qsl.net/nr6z/images/max233_interface2

Asking $5 each includes shipping in USA.

If interested, please email directly to me at
nr6z@yahoo.com

652. FS: MAX233 IC for computer interface cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 08:32:26 UTC
From: nr6z@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9c46f45b.0203130032.4f329c6b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50767

I have 5 of MAX233 RS232 transceiver chips for sale.
It can be used to build very simple computer interface
for all kinds of scanners and two way radios.
It requires no external capacitors like MAX232.

Check out the interface schematic diagram using this IC:
http://www.qsl.net/nr6z/images/max233_interface1.gif
http://www.qsl.net/nr6z/images/max233_interface2.gif

Asking $5 each includes shipping in USA.

If interested, please email directly to me at
nr6z@yahoo.com

653. Re: Computer brands that cause RFI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 12:50:43 UTC
From: Stanley Kaliski <tanker001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Gswx0H.AFy@news.boeing.com>
Source record: 50769

I use my Pro-93 at work with a Dell OptiPlex GX1 and a 21-inch monitor, maker unknown, it has dell on the front and the word "Trinitron". Whether the hole monitor is made by Sony or just the tube some of you out there may know.

I get a lot of RFI from this monitor depending what's on the screen. I try to place the scanner as far away from the computer as practical. I'm sure its what is on the screen because when the scanner locks up on nothing but static and garbage it stops as soon as I hit the show desktop button (closes all windows with one click) on the menu bar. I have done this to many times for it to be coincidence.

Stan

"Lurking Fool" <Lurker@Home.Com> wrote in message
news:a6mfv4$f751s$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> Mine is my monitor, not the machine.
>
>
> "Rollo" <Rollo_member@newsguy.com> wrote in message
> news:a6mdom02b7c@drn.newsguy.com...
> > In article <3C8E6C71.2060600@licensed4fun.com>, GeorgeF says...
> > >
> > >I'm thinking of a Gateway 2GHz machine. Wondering if anyone can report
> > >using a Gateway with their radios and not experence any RFI.
> >
> > I don't think you can reliably associate RFI with a particular brand.
> >
> > All of my computers are homebrew. I have traced my RFI down to a single
> program
> > on one of my machines that runs Linux that sends keep-alive packets to
my
> ISDN
> > terminal adapter.
> >
> > I remember in the olden days, the FCC used to issue certifications. I'm
> not
> > sure if they still do or if the requirements have been relaxed. My
point
> was
> > that if you run down the REAL source of the RFI, it's likely to surprise
> you.
> >
>

>

654. Re: What can you do with a RS 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 13:01:27 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3c8f4fb4$0$35577$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 50768

You can decrease the squelch hysteresis. See:

ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner/Improve_the_Squelch_in_Your_Monitor_Receiver

On Mon, 11 Mar 2002 09:48:18 -0600, kh6zv9 wrote:

> Picked up a 2003 scanner at the swapmeet for $5 bucks. Anything
> Interesting that can be done with this scanner?

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

655. Pro-76 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 14:43:24 UTC
From: "T.E.W." <tew@fast.net>
Message-ID: <gAJj8.8556$dn2.5133@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 50771
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a Pro-76 Scanner that fell off the table with the power cord plugged into the CHARGER terminal, not the POWER terminal. As Murphy's Law kicked in, it landed on the side of the scanner and directly on the power cord's plug, snapping the terminal off the circuit board.

One would think that all I need to do is plug the power cord into the power terminal and it would work, right? Not. Seems that the scanner's circuitry checks to see where the power cord is plugged into and with the terminal being broken off, it just powers off.

My question is, should I take it to RS for repair (and how much would I expect to pay for the repair) or should I just solder a jumper on the circuit board? If the jumper is the answer, which of the three contacts should I solder?

656. Re: Pro-76 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 15:40:07 UTC
From: Mike/Amy <ambraun@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <rpKj8.14713$Or3.2160939@typhoon.mn.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 50772
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

send it back in to radio shack if hay cant fix it hay will get you a new one

"T.E.W." <tew@fast.net> wrote in message
news:gAJj8.8556$dn2.5133@nwrddc02.gnilink.net...
> I have a Pro-76 Scanner that fell off the table with the power cord
plugged
> into the CHARGER terminal, not the POWER terminal. As Murphy's Law kicked
> in, it landed on the side of the scanner and directly on the power cord's
> plug, snapping the terminal off the circuit board.
>
> One would think that all I need to do is plug the power cord into the
power
> terminal and it would work, right? Not. Seems that the scanner's circuitry
> checks to see where the power cord is plugged into and with the terminal
> being broken off, it just powers off.
>
> My question is, should I take it to RS for repair (and how much would I
> expect to pay for the repair) or should I just solder a jumper on the
> circuit board? If the jumper is the answer, which of the three contacts
> should I solder?
>
>

657. Re: Pro 2053

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 15:48:16 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020313104816.25208.00001022@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 50773

If he's talking about the cable to computer program the scanner, you can also try http://www.pro93.com. They sell the 1 way cable for $15 shipped and the 2 way cable for $22 shipped.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

658. Re: FS : Radio Shack Pro-2004 base scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 15:52:12 UTC
From: gerr1919 <gerr1919@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3C8F752F.D3AE332F@gte.net>
Source record: 50774
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hello Dan, How brite is the lite? Interested gerr1919

Dan Conti wrote:
>
> With Spring cleaning I am forced to let go of another gem from my collection
> of base scanners.
>
> This one is the Radio Shack (Realistic) Pro-2004 base scanner. Solid,
> sensitive, great shielding, great audio, and unblocked! In absolute
> Excellent condition and fully functional.
>
> I am asking $150.00 shipped via US Postal Priority Mail, insured.
>
> I will accept a US Postal money order or PAYPAL. If you must a personal
> check would be OK, but will delay shipping until it clears. NO C.O.D.
>
> eBay feedback under OFFDAN - NO TRADES.
>
> E-mail if interested.
>
> Dan

659. Re: Realistic Pro - 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 16:47:35 UTC
From: Delta5 <computer_tech_in_training@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <BuLj8.2327$0e1.389525@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 50776
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The PRO-2006 rocks! If you can find one in good shape it is worth buying.

660. 2 Scanners 800Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 16:51:43 UTC
From: Rusty <rusty@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3C8F839F.8020209@dccnet.com>
Source record: 50775

Hi there,
I have 2 scanners for sale.,
PRO-46 100 Channel hand held,800 Mhz, recieves full cellular
In good condition asking $95 plus shipping

Pro-51 with 800 Mhz Date code 7A6 200 channel hand held
In very good condition asking $95 plus shipping

Any questions please e-mail me directly
Thanks-Russ
rusty@dccnet.com

661. Re: (*) Mods Webpage! (*)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 17:16:12 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <wPLj8.87817$eb.3726963@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 50777

the original creator of that sites text and design is still going strong on the original site. most of us don't like to see people stealing sites and links. If they steal a link or a site. make it atleast look like they created it themself.

Dev

"Runnyriver" <noton6@microbrain.com> wrote in message
news:a6ftha$di4oc$1@ID-121301.news.dfncis.de...
> You guys always try to start a fight.
> What is stupid is defending a page of dead links, putting down someone who
> worked hard to get a good mod site up again, and your bad grammar.
>
>
>
>
> "Devin" <a@b.boogers> wrote in message
> news:IEmg8.51428$kb.3658847@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> > lol. I guess we all need to let some steam off. If it was atleast a half
> > decent non copy of the website. That be different. But since it is the
> same,
> > without tables. thatis stupid.
> >
> > Dev
> >
> > "tw��,.," <.tw,@nothomeexcite5,yahoomsnATTBI.org.com> wrote in message
> > news:yTLf8.28051$TB2.128270@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> > > X-No-Archive: yes
> > >
> > > "Pastor Brian" <NOSPAMpastorbrian@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:YoFf8.8606$j47.4061314@twister.socal.rr.com...
> > > > I never claimed to AUTHOR the post...
> > >
> > > So, you're saying that you didn't post the original post with the url
> link
> > in it right?
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>

662. Re: MAX233 IC for computer interface cable.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 17:16:46 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <2QLj8.87818$eb.3727147@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 50778

5 bucks for 1 ?

"NR6Z" <nr6z@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:9c46f45b.0203130022.7f05e5f9@posting.google.com...
> I have 5 of MAX233 RS232 transceiver chips for sale.
> It can be used to build very simple computer interface
> for all kinds of scanners and two way radios.
> It requires no external capacitors like MAX232.
>
> Check out the interface schematic diagram using this IC:
> http://www.qsl.net/nr6z/images/max233_interface1
> http://www.qsl.net/nr6z/images/max233_interface2
>
> Asking $5 each includes shipping in USA.
>
> If interested, please email directly to me at
> nr6z@yahoo.com

663. Re: MAX233 IC for computer interface cable.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 17:18:56 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <4SLj8.87821$eb.3727861@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 50779

I sell mine for 2.13 US each. with free shipping.

"NR6Z" <nr6z@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:9c46f45b.0203130022.7f05e5f9@posting.google.com...
> I have 5 of MAX233 RS232 transceiver chips for sale.
> It can be used to build very simple computer interface
> for all kinds of scanners and two way radios.
> It requires no external capacitors like MAX232.
>
> Check out the interface schematic diagram using this IC:
> http://www.qsl.net/nr6z/images/max233_interface1
> http://www.qsl.net/nr6z/images/max233_interface2
>
> Asking $5 each includes shipping in USA.
>
> If interested, please email directly to me at
> nr6z@yahoo.com

664. New version of Win93 available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 17:39:58 UTC
From: Don Starr <don@starrsoft.com>
Message-ID: <k03v8uss906gh4s0bn1eoit041vph8a7bl@4ax.com>
Source record: 50780

Win93 version 00.19 for the Pro 93/2053 is available. The new version cleans up the default channel alpha tags, and adds an option to control the "ID sub-bank on/off" mode (the mode in which the scanner displays "T IDbk 01..." when it stops on a voice transmission).

The second change was added only because a user had somehow managed to create a P93 file that always set his scanner into this mode - the new change allowed him to "fix" that, so that the third line of the display shows the channel alpha tag instead of "T IDbk 01..." when the scanner stops on a voice transmission in a trunked system. I'm aware that this mode can normally be controlled via FUNC TRUNK, but this user's P93 file was overriding that setting: every time he power-cycled the scanner, it entered that mode again.

The new version is available here:
http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/win93/index.html

-Don Starr

Posted Via Uncensored-News.Com - Still Only $9.95 - http://www.uncensored-news.com
With NINE Servers In California And Texas - The Worlds Uncensored News Source

665. Re: FS Toshiba Tecra 500CDT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 17:43:17 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <VcMj8.1083$Ty4.200426@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50781
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.sys.laptops.alcam,comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

THIS LAPTOP WAS SOLD .SOLD1 SOLD1 SOLD1

"N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:UApj8.104$x_2.20791@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> This is a nice laptop for someone who don't want to spend a lot.It has the
> floppy and cd rom.Its a p-133 with 32 megs ram and a 1.2 gig HD.It also
> comes with extra battery,and I will throw in a laptop bag.This laptop is
> great begiiner.I will ship this in US for $300.00.
> It has great sound and great resolution.No pad pixels.You won't regret
> buying this one.
> Email me or call 978-281-3359 in Mass.
> Got a good police scanner to trade or ?.Let me know.
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.333 / Virus Database: 187 - Release Date: 3/8/2002
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.333 / Virus Database: 187 - Release Date: 3/8/2002

666. George Bentley UK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 18:56:09 UTC
From: gbmw1@ukonline.co.uk
Message-ID: <b265ab47.0203131056.53e0ec75@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50782

To the group
I have been getting parcels arrive at my home here in the UK,, from the USA and Canada, They contain various equipment such as radio's, computer hardware etc. Now I know nothing at all about these items. It would appear that they have been sent to me by way of some form of trade. At first I was simply returning them to the sender at my expense, but know I'm afraid it is starting to get a little expensive, I have therefore had to write a letter of explanation to the senders and ask them to either arrange for Fedex or similar to pick the items up, or send me the funds needed for me to return the goods to thier owners. I therefore request please that no-body sends any goods to George Bentley from Wales in the UK. (this is not my name anyway, it is the name I use on the internet) For those of you who have sent goods to my address, if indeed there are any others. (I have returned 7 packets to date) please do not worry as you shall be contacted and your goods returned. I think I may know who is responsible for this *prank* but cannot prove it.
I hope that nobody has been unduly worried about this.I promise you will have your goods returned. I am however half way through a deal with one guy, a Mr P knode. this is the only deal that I am involved in.
thanks
GB
PS. if you have sent anything to me and you have not heard anything please write me at
gbmw1@ukonline.co.uk

667. Re: External CD Rom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 20:12:59 UTC
From: biteme@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3c8fb093.5583035@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 50783
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.sys.laptops.alcam,comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.scanner

Is it a PCMCIA connection? does the card come w/ it?

On Wed, 13 Mar 2002 06:24:34 GMT, "Pg" <punkguy61386@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>I have an external cdrw (Zip) and I'll take less than that for it.
>
>
>"N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>news:Umqj8.175$x_2.37316@news1.news.adelphia.net...
>> I have an external cd rom for sale that you can use on any parralel port
>or
>> any laptop with the PCMCIA port.This is new condition and was used two
>> times.I paid over$190.00 for it and will ship it for $125.00.I will
>consider
>> swaps also.Email me
>>
>>
>>
>> ---
>> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
>> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
>> Version: 6.0.333 / Virus Database: 187 - Release Date: 3/8/2002
>>
>>
>
>

668. Anyone try the new Trunk Scan Star software - Pro93 version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 20:44:01 UTC
From: Dan <lists@elp.rr.com>
Message-ID: <m9ev8uogu497moq4grafnbjmnqb8aatjlf@4ax.com>
Source record: 50784
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am interested in this software but would like more info and maybe even some screen shots prior to buying.. Has anone tried it yet.. here is a link to it description sounds great (but also sound like same features as WIN93) for the Pro93

http://www.scanstar.net/ts9293.exe

669. Re: Anyone try the new Trunk Scan Star software - Pro93 version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 21:32:11 UTC
From: "David B." <ddrew@nhDOTultranet.com>
Message-ID: <vzPj8.40604$jj4.3381539@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 50785
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Why is a description of an application in .EXE format?

--

_____
"Dan" <lists@elp.rr.com> wrote in message
news:m9ev8uogu497moq4grafnbjmnqb8aatjlf@4ax.com...
> I am interested in this software but would like more info and maybe
> even some screen shots prior to buying.. Has anone tried it yet.. here
> is a link to it description sounds great (but also sound like same
> features as WIN93) for the Pro93
>
> http://www.scanstar.net/ts9293.exe

670. Re: Win93 help.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 21:39:34 UTC
From: "David B." <ddrew@nhDOTultranet.com>
Message-ID: <qGPj8.40605$jj4.3381955@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 50787

Looks like version 0.19 is the latest, don't know where you got 3.01 from.
http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/Win93/index.html#revisions

--

_____
"Eric G." <emoney250@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020313003340.25072.00001231@mb-fe.aol.com...
> I accessed the Win93 freeware using a link by way of the WWW.Pr093.com web
> site. Now, I originally downloaded the Win93 using Windows 98 and I got the
> version 3.01. I just got a new Pc with Windows XP Home Version, went to
> Pro93.com and used the same link to download the freeware. What I got this
> time is a version 0.11 and it looks different than the version I downloaded
> before!! Any reason to this? I would really like to use the 3.01 version
> again. I liked it better. Any help from someone who knows what they are
> talking about is appreciated. Flamers......go ahead....make my day........
> Eric G.
> education CANNOT buy common sense

671. Re: Anyone try the new Trunk Scan Star software - Pro93 version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 21:39:42 UTC
From: Dan <lists@elp.rr.com>
Message-ID: <6mhv8u8fk3a9evretm2i5ni3sg13ib16e5@4ax.com>
Source record: 50786
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Sorry abt that here is the html site. I placed the update link on here
forst time my mistake. Anyway, you canno tupdate withour a
registration of the software
sooo here is the corerect link:

http://www.scanstar.net/ts9293.htm

On Wed, 13 Mar 2002 21:32:11 GMT, "David B." <ddrew@nhDOTultranet.com>
wrotd:

>Why is a description of an application in .EXE format?

672. Re: Pro 2053

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 22:17:01 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <ndQj8.2631$17.1652702@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 50788

"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3C8ED7E5.F6AE3DD2@optonline.net...
> Does anyone know if this is considered an upgrade for the original
> RS/GRE software for the PRO-92?
>
> My serial number/activation code/CD wouldn't activate the program.
>
> The Signal Intelligence "Help" page wouldn't process my questions.
>
> Walt

This is an entirely new program designed to separate you from your money.
The old Data Manager program is listed as discontinued on the GRE site and
the last update was almost a year ago. Don't expect any consideration
regarding a reduced price for upgrading.

673. 400mhz to 800mhz converter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 22:55:45 UTC
From: xlt_escape@rogers.com
Message-ID: <61179e8f.0203131455.b7f09e4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50789

Anyone know where to get one or has one for sale?

674. FRG-7700 and Active antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 22:59:56 UTC
From: "Wayne Wicks Sr." <w.l.wicks@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <B8B527F3.91F%w.l.wicks@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 50790

I am looking for an FRG-7700 with matching active antenna. Had one but it got lost in move. Please respond with condition and price.

Wayne Wicks
W.L.Wicks@att.net

675. Opinions of Burghardt's (RANT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 23:23:02 UTC
From: Dennis <DennisF@ABC.NET>
Message-ID: <a6oms1$frqnv$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50791
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Do they normally charge so much for shipping? I mean $10.84 for UPS ground shipping for an Icom R2 case? Jeez! It is my fault for not asking for sure so I have no recourse.

After hearing of another customer receiving an R2 with battery draw problems and them telling him to deal with Icom directly (initially, then replacing it) seems like poor service. They have a lower price, then gouge in shipping and that is awful to me.

I am new to radios and am getting my feet wet with dealers. Sorry to say They will be off my list for future purchases.

676. Re: Opinions of Burghardt's (RANT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 23:27:10 UTC
From: laborkei <laborkeiNOSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3c8fe423_3@nopics.sjc>
Source record: 50792
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Dennis,

I have done business with these folks for a number of years,
and I can't comment on the UPS charges,
but they have gone out of my way to make me a happy customer.

They have swapped out numerous pieces of hardware for me,
where the return shipping was at my expense, but the replacement
was on there nickel.

Just another opinion,
Keith - WD5BJQ

--

CQ WAS & DX Stations !
Join me on the Century Club Nets
Schedule: http://www.qsl.net/wd5bjq/netsched.htm

"I can hear you...
The rest of the world hears you...
And the people who knocked these buildings down will hear us soon."
President George W Bush - Sept 14th, 2001

"Dennis" <DennisF@ABC.NET> wrote in message
news:a6oms1$frqnv$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> Do they normally charge so much for shipping? I mean $10.84 for UPS ground
> shipping for an Icom R2 case? Jeez! It is my fault for not asking for sure
> so I have no recourse.
>
> After hearing of another customer receiving an R2 with battery draw
problems
> and them telling him to deal with Icom directly (initially, then replacing
> it) seems like poor service. They have a lower price, then gouge in
shipping
> and that is awful to me.
>
> I am new to radios and am getting my feet wet with dealers. Sorry to say
> They will be off my list for future purchases.
>
>
>
>

677. Re: Opinions of Burghardt's (RANT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 23:46:18 UTC
From: "Mark D. Fossum" <n0nsv@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <3C8FE4B7.3B05E3ED@amsat.org>
Source record: 50793
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Sorry you had a bad experience Dennis. I've dealt with them for just over 10 years now and they've always been stand-up in those dealings. One of the best things is the fact that you can get in touch with the owner just about any day of the week.

While I can't really speak for the UPS charges, I know that recent rate hikes from UPS have forced me to look at alternatives in the shipping business. USPS & FedEx Ground are getting the bulk of my outbound now due to the UPS increases.

My suggestion would be to call Burghardts and question the charge with the owner. I'm sure that if you're reasonable you'll be able to come to some type of agreement. (at least I hope you can)

Best wishes,

Mark - N0NSV

Dennis wrote:
>
> Do they normally charge so much for shipping? I mean $10.84 for UPS ground
> shipping for an Icom R2 case? Jeez! It is my fault for not asking for sure
> so I have no recourse.
>
> After hearing of another customer receiving an R2 with battery draw problems
> and them telling him to deal with Icom directly (initially, then replacing
> it) seems like poor service. They have a lower price, then gouge in shipping
> and that is awful to me.
>
> I am new to radios and am getting my feet wet with dealers. Sorry to say
> They will be off my list for future purchases.

678. Re: 400mhz to 800mhz converter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 23:51:56 UTC
From: Richard Fisher <rfisher@stx.rr.com>
Message-ID: <wCRj8.322$KY6.60153@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 50795

Check "spy supply" magazines. The used to sell downconverters for 800 to 400 mhz. These are the mags they advertise in "Popular Electronics" and such for spy equipment. Let me know if you find one....

RSF

679. WTB Programable Mobile Police Scanner at good price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-13 23:55:12 UTC
From: william deem <wdeem1@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <AFRj8.150923$Hu6.34593313@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 50794

Hi, im looking for a good mobile police scanner for my van, it would settle for a 16 channel uniden or a 800mhz scanner depending on the price, cosmetic condition not real important but it must work good with no problems, Im also looking for a through the glass 2 meter antenna and a couple of 811A tubes, if you have any of these please let me no thanks Bill

--
wc8i@neo.rr.com

680. Re: Opinions of Burghardt's (RANT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 00:19:37 UTC
From: "A. Christian Smith" <chevyorange2@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <B8B52C97.1AA69%chevyorange2@yahoo.com>
Source record: 50796
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On 03/13/2002 3:23 PM, in article a6oms1$frqnv$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de,
"Dennis" <DennisF@ABC.NET> came hurtling through the Ether with:

> Do they normally charge so much for shipping? I mean $10.84 for UPS ground
> shipping for an Icom R2 case? Jeez! It is my fault for not asking for sure
> so I have no recourse.
>
> After hearing of another customer receiving an R2 with battery draw problems
> and them telling him to deal with Icom directly (initially, then replacing
> it) seems like poor service. They have a lower price, then gouge in shipping
> and that is awful to me.
>
> I am new to radios and am getting my feet wet with dealers. Sorry to say
> They will be off my list for future purchases.
>
>
>
>
I shipped a 5 pound box 2 Day Air for $12 a week ago..... They're making $$$
on their shipping.

acs

Pacific Northwest USA SWL --
Satellit 800, 100ï¿½ Random Wire
Radio Homepage: <http://homepage.mac.com/chevyorange/>
Pulling signals out of the thin air since 1992.
Powered by Macintosh OS X ï¿½ Virus Free

681. Re: Win93 help.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 00:51:27 UTC
From: Ralph <mmman_90@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <u8vsvisjdvp4b0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50697

"David B." <ddrew@nhDOTultranet.com> wrote in message
news:qGPj8.40605$jj4.3381955@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net...
> Looks like version 0.19 is the latest, don't know where you got 3.01 from.
> http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/Win93/index.html#revisions

Eric doesn't know where he got it either. He has been arguing with the
author of the software as to where the software is located for a few days
now. Evidently Eric has neither common sense nor an education.
All persons wishing to download the software for the should go to the link
that David shows. Since Eric has already insulted every one he talks with
we'll let him solve his problem.
Ralph

> _____
> "Eric G." <emoney250@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020313003340.25072.00001231@mb-fe.aol.com...
> > I accessed the Win93 freeware using a link by way of the WWW.Pr093.com
web
> > site. Now, I originally downloaded the Win93 using Windows 98 and I got
the
> > version 3.01. I just got a new Pc with Windows XP Home Version, went to
> > Pro93.com and used the same link to download the freeware. What I got
this
> > time is a version 0.11 and it looks different than the version I
downloaded
> > before!! Any reason to this? I would really like to use the 3.01
version
> > again. I liked it better. Any help from someone who knows what they
are
> > talking about is appreciated. Flamers......go ahead....make my
day........
> > Eric G.
> > education CANNOT buy common sense

682. Re: Opinions of Burghardt's (RANT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 00:53:27 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C8FF486.AD2C1FB2@optonline.net>
Source record: 50698
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Insurance? The insurance on a shipment with a value of several hundred
$s can be significant. Dit you insure the contents of your 5lb. box?

"A. Christian Smith" wrote:
>
> On 03/13/2002 3:23 PM, in article a6oms1$frqnv$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de,
> "Dennis" <DennisF@ABC.NET> came hurtling through the Ether with:
>
> > Do they normally charge so much for shipping? I mean $10.84 for UPS ground
> > shipping for an Icom R2 case? Jeez! It is my fault for not asking for sure
> > so I have no recourse.
> >
> > After hearing of another customer receiving an R2 with battery draw problems
> > and them telling him to deal with Icom directly (initially, then replacing
> > it) seems like poor service. They have a lower price, then gouge in shipping
> > and that is awful to me.
> >
> > I am new to radios and am getting my feet wet with dealers. Sorry to say
> > They will be off my list for future purchases.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> I shipped a 5 pound box 2 Day Air for $12 a week ago..... They're making $$$
> on their shipping.
>
> acs
>
> ------
> Pacific Northwest USA SWL --
> Satellit 800, 100ï¿½ Random Wire
> Radio Homepage: <http://homepage.mac.com/chevyorange/>
> Pulling signals out of the thin air since 1992.
> Powered by Macintosh OS X ï¿½ Virus Free
>

683. Re: FS : Radio Shack Pro-2004 base scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 01:13:09 UTC
From: gerr1919 <gerr1919@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3C8FF8A9.D0DD0B03@gte.net>
Source record: 50699
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hi Dan give me your phone no. gerr1919 mine is 727 547 6337 St. Pete.FL

gerr1919 wrote:

> Hello Dan, How brite is the lite? Interested gerr1919
>
> Dan Conti wrote:
> >
> > With Spring cleaning I am forced to let go of another gem from my collection
> > of base scanners.
> >
> > This one is the Radio Shack (Realistic) Pro-2004 base scanner. Solid,
> > sensitive, great shielding, great audio, and unblocked! In absolute
> > Excellent condition and fully functional.
> >
> > I am asking $150.00 shipped via US Postal Priority Mail, insured.
> >
> > I will accept a US Postal money order or PAYPAL. If you must a personal
> > check would be OK, but will delay shipping until it clears. NO C.O.D.
> >
> > eBay feedback under OFFDAN - NO TRADES.
> >
> > E-mail if interested.
> >
> > Dan

684. Re: Opinions of Burghardt's (RANT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 01:28:46 UTC
From: "A. Christian Smith" <chevyorange2@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <B8B53CCC.1AADA%chevyorange2@yahoo.com>
Source record: 50700
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On 03/13/2002 4:53 PM, in article 3C8FF486.AD2C1FB2@optonline.net, "Walt Bertram" <wbertram@optonline.net> came hurtling through the Ether with:

> Insurance? The insurance on a shipment with a value of several hundred
> $s can be significant. Dit you insure the contents of your 5lb. box?
>

Yep, for $200. Luckily, didn't have to use it.

acs

Pacific Northwest USA SWL --
Satellit 800, 100ï¿½ Random Wire
Radio Homepage: <http://homepage.mac.com/chevyorange/>
Pulling signals out of the thin air since 1992.
Powered by Macintosh OS X ï¿½ Virus Free

685. Re: Opinions of Burghardt's (RANT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 01:31:43 UTC
From: Dennis <DennisF@ABC.NET>
Message-ID: <a6ouda$g2o75$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I don't think my Icom R2 case, shipment and insurance was worth almost
$11.00
:)

"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3C8FF486.AD2C1FB2@optonline.net...
> Insurance? The insurance on a shipment with a value of several hundred
> $s can be significant. Dit you insure the contents of your 5lb. box?

686. Re: Opinions of Burghardt's (RANT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 01:32:50 UTC
From: Dennis <DennisF@ABC.NET>
Message-ID: <a6oufd$ffueh$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50702
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Oh yeah, to top it off. It was a reused box. I still had USPS stamps on it right next to the USPS Ground label.

"Dennis" <DennisF@ABC.NET> wrote in message
news:a6ouda$g2o75$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> I don't think my Icom R2 case, shipment and insurance was worth almost
> $11.00
> :)
>
>
> "Walt Bertram" <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:3C8FF486.AD2C1FB2@optonline.net...
> > Insurance? The insurance on a shipment with a value of several hundred
> > $s can be significant. Dit you insure the contents of your 5lb. box?
>
>

687. Kenwood RZ-1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 02:24:57 UTC
From: "J. Harley" <harley@jharleydavidson.com>
Message-ID: <jSTj8.523$6y5.101621802@twister1.starband.net>
Source record: 50703

I am looking for an RZ-1 in good condition for a reasonable price.
If you have one and want to part with it, respond via eMail with
details and price.

Thanks

J. Harley

688. Re: frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 02:33:58 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3C900C16.16FB856B@mitre.org>
Source record: 50704

steve birdcreek wrote:

> can any body point me towards a site that lists business wal-mart, taco
> bell and the likes i checked police calls and some others but cant find
> any
> thanks steve

689. Flying with scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 02:39:31 UTC
From: Bob Fitch <crfitchjr@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <df309ukf50o73r3pvb52qcsdgj82dghg1p@4ax.com>
Source record: 50705

I plan to fly this weekend to San Francisco. Of course I would like to take my scanner. I have not flown since the new security procedures. Will the screeners freak out if I have a scanner in my carry-on satchel? Should I put in my check-in luggage?

Thanks

690. Re: FCC form for GMRS license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 03:19:15 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <TEUj8.10321$k5.3848689@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50707

Here are a few links that may help.

http://www.pe.net/~atd/fcc605.htm
http://www.gmrsweb.com/gmrs.html
http://www.popularwireless.com./cgi-bin/Ultimate.cgi

Bill Crocker

"Toscano" <toscano2@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:a6p3maucu1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
> I would like to apply for a GMRS license. Anyone know which FCC form I
> should use and how I could request one? Are they available at fcc.gov?
> Thanks!
>
>

691. WTB: Scanner Parts....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 04:34:11 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020313233411.09406.00001240@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 50708

Wanted to buy: Good to excellent condition FACEPLATE and outer METAL CABINET for older Radio Shack PRO-48 or PRO-47 crystal-type scanner. If necessary, will buy entire non-working scanner if exterior trim parts are in VERY good to great shape.
Please Email me directly with description and price of what U have.ALL replies answered.

Many Thanks for your help !! (<:

692. WTB: Scanner programming "cards"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 04:46:27 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020313234627.09355.00001209@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 50709

Seeking the cardboard, printed "programming cards" used to program the older, 16-channel "SEAR'S-brand" card-programmable scanner (made by SBE?). Please advise what U have by Emailing me directly and price thereof. ALL replies answered. THANKS !

693. Re: Win93 help.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 04:55:20 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <E4Wj8.129$dx2.273917@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 50710

Eric, Eric, Eric... We've been through this before. You can not download Win93 from that site you listed. You can download pro93.exe from there, but not Win93. Where on that program did you ever see Win93??? Seriously, I don't know what drugs your taking but you've asked this question before and gotten the same answer as to what I just gave you. Short attention span?

If you go to that pro93.com site, you can download that version 3.01 program you used. If you go to the starrsoft site you get Win93. Now, what part of that don't you understand? Is it too hard to download both programs and look at them??? Or are you on a 14.4K connection?

Sheesh... You remind me of those people who are too lazy to read the owners manual, instead you post questions on how to operate the thing first before reading (downloading in this case). I hate people like that. I think everyone does.

BTW, do you go to Burger King to order a Big Mac?

"Eric G." <emoney250@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020313003340.25072.00001231@mb-fe.aol.com...
> I accessed the Win93 freeware using a link by way of the WWW.Pr093.com web
> site. Now, I originally downloaded the Win93 using Windows 98 and I got
the
> version 3.01. I just got a new Pc with Windows XP Home Version, went to
> Pro93.com and used the same link to download the freeware. What I got
this
> time is a version 0.11 and it looks different than the version I
downloaded
> before!! Any reason to this? I would really like to use the 3.01 version
> again. I liked it better. Any help from someone who knows what they are
> talking about is appreciated. Flamers......go ahead....make my
day........
> Eric G.
> education CANNOT buy common sense

694. FCC form for GMRS license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 05:54:40 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <a6p3maucu1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50706

I would like to apply for a GMRS license. Anyone know which FCC form I should use and how I could request one? Are they available at fcc.gov? Thanks!

695. Looking-ICOM r-3 Govt.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 06:01:53 UTC
From: Jason Helmick <kanjiroo@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <71fk8.237$C82.129112@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 50673

I want to buy an Icom r-3 with full bandwidth. Anyone got one "new-in-box"
for sale?

RS: mailto://kanjiroo@hotmail.com

696. Re: Win93 help.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 06:48:33 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020314014833.19495.00000746@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 50712

too easy to push your buttons nowadays kids.....just want to see who the gullible ones are out there (oh yeah.and the ones who don't have a job and think they are important because they can type ona keyboard and feel like someone cares what they say)
Eric G.
education CANNOT buy common sense

697. Re: Win93 help.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 06:49:01 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020314014901.19495.00000747@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 50711

forgot.....one more thing

<snip>
Eric G.
education CANNOT buy common sense

698. Re: Radio Shack Scanners Which Is The Best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 07:09:55 UTC
From: TexasSteven <rothrock@nospamforme.stic.net>
Message-ID: <3c904bdf$0$3037$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 50713

Runnyriver Wrote:

Well, from one Texan to possibly another, Uniden and Radio Shack are both SCANNER HEADQUARTERED in Ft. Worth. And Radio Shack only sells scanners. They are made by GRE and Uniden. And if you don't like either one of those, good for you buddy, cause the demand is way too high and the prices are going up! Enjoy reading the manual every time you use your Yugosacki radio! I hope it is printed in Japanese!

Sheesh I HATE it when someone puts words in my mouth...I said I would touch a Radio Shack scanner...I know who makes scanners for them. I own , myself, a Uniden -- Bearcat scanner (30 channel) <Note: someone here said that Uniden don't make scanners for Radio Shack> Last time I heard ...they did (maybe years ago...and I asked to be corrected if I was wrong)

Anyways......I still wouldn't buy a RS scanner ...(The brand name)

TexasSteven

p.s. don't need anymore scanners...got other HAMM radio toys to play with now.

699. Re: MAX233 IC for computer interface cable.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 07:26:37 UTC
From: nr6z@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9c46f45b.0203132326.6a605cfb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50714

All of them are sold.
This is MAX233A which is a more expensive version of MAX233.

"Devin" <a@b.c> wrote in message news:<4SLj8.87821$eb.3727861@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>...
> I sell mine for 2.13 US each. with free shipping.
>
> "NR6Z" <nr6z@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:9c46f45b.0203130022.7f05e5f9@posting.google.com...
> > I have 5 of MAX233 RS232 transceiver chips for sale.
> > It can be used to build very simple computer interface
> > for all kinds of scanners and two way radios.
> > It requires no external capacitors like MAX232.
> >
> > Check out the interface schematic diagram using this IC:
> > http://www.qsl.net/nr6z/images/max233_interface1
> > http://www.qsl.net/nr6z/images/max233_interface2
> >
> > Asking $5 each includes shipping in USA.
> >
> > If interested, please email directly to me at
> > nr6z@yahoo.com

700. Re: Opinions of Burghardt's (RANT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 07:46:32 UTC
From: Mike <mdickman@bevcomm.net>
Message-ID: <3C9055F2.F233A054@bevcomm.net>
Source record: 50715

I refuse to use UPS, because of the cost and the "non"service, i.e. drop off on the doorstep, leave w/neighbor. I have dealt w/Burghardt's quite a bit and they are only charging what UPS tells them the cost is.

I never use UPS unless I am absolutely forced to, I would rather look my local postmaster in the eye...

Dennis wrote:

> Do they normally charge so much for shipping? I mean $10.84 for UPS ground
> shipping for an Icom R2 case? Jeez! It is my fault for not asking for sure
> so I have no recourse.
>
> After hearing of another customer receiving an R2 with battery draw problems
> and them telling him to deal with Icom directly (initially, then replacing
> it) seems like poor service. They have a lower price, then gouge in shipping
> and that is awful to me.
>
> I am new to radios and am getting my feet wet with dealers. Sorry to say
> They will be off my list for future purchases.

--
Mike
KD4LLA

701. Re: Opinions of Burghardt's (RANT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 07:52:54 UTC
From: Mike <mdickman@bevcomm.net>
Message-ID: <3C905770.4E35D719@bevcomm.net>
Source record: 50716

And you know what the really crooked thing is? They are shipping these items by truck, NOT 2 day air. It happens all the time in the shipping business. People are being charged air freight rates from Minneapolis to Chicago--guess what? It only takes a truck driver six and one-half hours to get there. You pay $4 a pound for "air freight" and UPS takes $2 and the trucking company takes $2, and both laugh all the way to the bank...

"A. Christian Smith" wrote:

> On 03/13/2002 3:23 PM, in article a6oms1$frqnv$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de,
> "Dennis" <DennisF@ABC.NET> came hurtling through the Ether with:
>
> > Do they normally charge so much for shipping? I mean $10.84 for UPS ground
> > shipping for an Icom R2 case? Jeez! It is my fault for not asking for sure
> > so I have no recourse.
> >
> > After hearing of another customer receiving an R2 with battery draw problems
> > and them telling him to deal with Icom directly (initially, then replacing
> > it) seems like poor service. They have a lower price, then gouge in shipping
> > and that is awful to me.
> >
> > I am new to radios and am getting my feet wet with dealers. Sorry to say
> > They will be off my list for future purchases.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> I shipped a 5 pound box 2 Day Air for $12 a week ago..... They're making $$$
> on their shipping.
>
> acs
>
> ------
> Pacific Northwest USA SWL --
> Satellit 800, 100ï¿½ Random Wire
> Radio Homepage: <http://homepage.mac.com/chevyorange/>
> Pulling signals out of the thin air since 1992.
> Powered by Macintosh OS X ï¿½ Virus Free
>

--
Mike

Until recording companies and their artists find a way to work together
and bring a real Napster experience to paying customers, no service will

be a success. As a sign of how anemic the new services are, neither will publicize the number of new subscribers.

And as long as recording companies can be accused of doing to musicians what Napster did to them, they look like hypocrites. Turns out they only solved the online-music problem for themselves, not artists. Meanwhile, they created a new world of headaches for online-music users.

702. Re: Win93 help.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 08:38:23 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a6pni4$ftqtk$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50717

People TRY to help people here, especially with a title of "Win93 help".
They help you and you get upset and tell them they are wrong when they
aren't. Then you come back a week later to just simply try to incite
everyone again.

People read your post to help you because people DO care what you say. Its
called common sense and willingness to keep this hobby intresting for
EVERYONE. They come here to learn and teach others if someone has the
knowledge. I am new and learn much from this newsgroup and read MANY posts
if not all.

You come here to demean people and provoke like a troll.

welcome to my killfile

"Eric G." <emoney250@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020314014833.19495.00000746@mb-cb.aol.com...
> too easy to push your buttons nowadays kids.....just want to see
who
> the gullible ones are out there (oh yeah.and the ones who don't have a job
and
> think they are important because they can type ona keyboard and feel like
> someone cares what they say)
> Eric G.
> education CANNOT buy common sense

703. Re: Opinions of Burghardt's (RANT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 09:11:56 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com>
Message-ID: <wPZj8.151708$Hu6.34821990@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 50718
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Burghardt's charged me $11.44 UPS ground for my R2. I returned the defective
R2 and received a new replacement shipping paid.
DeWayne KE9K

"Mark D. Fossum" <n0nsv@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:3C8FE4B7.3B05E3ED@amsat.org...
> Sorry you had a bad experience Dennis. I've dealt with them for just
> over 10 years now and they've always been stand-up in those dealings.
> One of the best things is the fact that you can get in touch with the
> owner just about any day of the week.
>
> While I can't really speak for the UPS charges, I know that recent rate
> hikes from UPS have forced me to look at alternatives in the shipping
> business. USPS & FedEx Ground are getting the bulk of my outbound now
> due to the UPS increases.
>
> My suggestion would be to call Burghardts and question the charge with
> the owner. I'm sure that if you're reasonable you'll be able to come to
> some type of agreement. (at least I hope you can)
>
> Best wishes,
>
> Mark - N0NSV
>
>
>
>
> Dennis wrote:
> >
> > Do they normally charge so much for shipping? I mean $10.84 for UPS
ground
> > shipping for an Icom R2 case? Jeez! It is my fault for not asking for
sure
> > so I have no recourse.
> >
> > After hearing of another customer receiving an R2 with battery draw
problems
> > and them telling him to deal with Icom directly (initially, then
replacing
> > it) seems like poor service. They have a lower price, then gouge in
shipping
> > and that is awful to me.
> >

> > I am new to radios and am getting my feet wet with dealers. Sorry to say
> > They will be off my list for future purchases.

704. Re: FS Motorola UHF Hand Held

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 10:23:03 UTC
From: Ralph Crapia <metro121@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3C907A32.6535A532@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50719
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

what model are they. ht600, mt1000 or ???

N1RSP wrote:

> I have five hand held radios that are on UHF for sale.Two of them will need
> batteries as they are no good.The radios all work great.I will ship them in
> USA for $100.00.
> Call or Email me 978-281-3359
> Got something to trade ,let me know.
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.333 / Virus Database: 187 - Release Date: 3/8/2002

705. F/S relisted PRO 2006 REDUCED to $230 ship like new

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 11:11:51 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xz%j8.18345$E_3.1495678@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 50720
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Sold the Pro 26 I listed. Still have the like new Pro 2006 for sale. It includes original antenna and manual. Was $250 shipped and is now $230 shipped UPS. Money order only. This scanner is truly in flawless condition. Bright backlight and extremely clean to say the least. Works like new in every way. Cell and speed modifications completed. Here is a pic: http://mediaservice.photoisland.com/auction/Mar/20023111127685076677451.jpg Email me at bruceinomaha@yahoo if interested. Serious inquires only please.

706. F/S relisted PRO 2006 REDUCED to $230 ship like new

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 11:14:00 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <YB%j8.18347$E_3.1496038@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 50721
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Sold the Pro 26 I listed. Still have the like new Pro 2006 for sale. It includes original antenna and manual. Was $250 shipped and is now $230 shipped UPS. Money order only. This scanner is truly in flawless condition. Bright backlight and extremely clean to say the least. Works like new in every way. Cell and speed modifications completed. Here is a pic: http://mediaservice.photoisland.com/auction/Mar/20023111127685076677451.jpg Email me at bruceinomaha@yahoo if interested. Serious inquires only please.

707. Re: AOR 3000 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 11:52:25 UTC
From: Huub Roem <huub.roem@hetnetniet.nl>
Message-ID: <3c908ee0$0$14422$4d4ebb8e@read.news.nl.uu.net>
Source record: 50722

You can find FREE software(by W.Spaans) on my site:
http://home.hetnet.nl/~hmroem and use link scannerdata.

Good listening !

Huub

"Andre Georgel" <andre.georgel@noos.fr> schreef in bericht
news:3C8DC361.B4B46314@noos.fr...
> Hi,
>
> I want find a PC software for my "old" AOR3000.
> I've write a softwrae in text mode in Quick basic but it is too old for
> the new pc's.
>
> If somebody know a software for Windows 98 not too expansive.
>
> Thank you in advance for the help.
> --
> ----------
> Andre Georgel
>
> Email : andre.georgel@noos.fr
>
> La perfection n'est pas lorsqu'il n'y a plus rien
> ajouter, mais lorsque qu'il n'y a plus rien enlever.
> (A. de Saint Exupery)
> ----------

708. Re: AR-3000A circuit diagram

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 11:55:20 UTC
From: Huub Roem <huub.roem@hetnetniet.nl>
Message-ID: <3c908f8f$0$14427$4d4ebb8e@read.news.nl.uu.net>
Source record: 50723

Try this one: http://www.servicedocs.com/

For AOR 3000a software and more..... http://home.hetnet.nl/~hmroem

Good listening!

Huub Roem

"Mike Alpha" <mike_alpha61@hotmailDELETE.com> schreef in bericht
news:3c8dc472$0$11564$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> Anyone know where I can download a circuit diagram for the AOR AR-3000A?
>
> Thanks
> Mike
>
>

709. Re: 400mhz to 800mhz converter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 12:57:02 UTC
From: dgrup@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020314075702.22437.00001210@mb-mi.aol.com>
Source record: 50724

>Anyone know where to get one or has one for sale?

Ramsey used to make an inexpensive kit that worked well.
Dennis

710. Re: Win93 help.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 14:35:32 UTC
From: Ralph <mmman_90@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <u91d8r8jcs1q3a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50725

"Eric G." <emoney250@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020314014833.19495.00000746@mb-cb.aol.com...
> too easy to push your buttons nowadays kids.....just want to see
who
> the gullible ones are out there (oh yeah.and the ones who don't have a job
and
> think they are important because they can type ona keyboard and feel like
> someone cares what they say)
> Eric G.
> education CANNOT buy common sense

Just another post from a worthless piece of trash.
Ralph

711. Opinions Of Uniden BC7T and Driving Cross-Country

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 14:52:09 UTC
From: ncoburn@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <7ede397c.0203140652.7cb4826f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50726
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

For a driving trip across the country, what would be a better choice of units - the Uniden BC7T (with preprogrammed highway patrol frequencies), or a higher-end unit (such as the 780xlt), where one would have to manually program in the frequencies in each state? The user is a novice to scanners.

Any opinions of the BC7T or similar units would be appreciated.

Thanks!

712. Alinco DJ-X2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 15:08:28 UTC
From: mke65@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3c90bb11.6625426@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 50727

I am seriously considering buying this radio. Would love to hear some of the pros and cons of the operability of the radio before I plunk the money down. Have previously owned aor 8200, yupi 9000, and other similar type scanners.

Keith

713. TKA Condor 3000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 15:11:34 UTC
From: Vjouwn <vjouwn@planet.nl>
Message-ID: <a6qej9$h1f$1@reader08.wxs.nl>
Source record: 50728

Z.G.A.N. Bearcat 760 XLT 100 kanaals computerscanner.
66 t/m 956 MHZ AM-FM met antenne voor op de auto.
115 euro.

Condor 3000 (politie mobi)

Van 430-470 MHZ met zendfunctie op de lpd freq (15 Watt)
dus met srpeeksleutel.Ingebouwde KLPD datakiller.plus Katrein K711-721
magneetantenne.

vjouwn@planet.nl of 06-22192741.

714. FA:2006/os456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 15:14:09 UTC
From: OptoScan <pro_2006_os456@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <573k8.412$Zr3.231876@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50729

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1339367558

715. Re: Opinions Of Uniden BC7T and Driving Cross-Country

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 15:26:37 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <u91g6mok20bm66@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50730
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

If he's a novice, he'd probably be better off with the pre-programmed unit...

Opinion: The BCT7 isn't really all that desirable to a seasoned user... Though it takes one to program in your own frequencies... Talk about tedious!

PH

"N Coburn" <ncoburn@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:7ede397c.0203140652.7cb4826f@posting.google.com...
> For a driving trip across the country, what would be a better choice
> of units - the Uniden BC7T (with preprogrammed highway patrol
> frequencies), or a higher-end unit (such as the 780xlt), where one
> would have to manually program in the frequencies in each state? The
> user is a novice to scanners.
>
> Any opinions of the BC7T or similar units would be appreciated.
>
> Thanks!

716. NJSP talkgroups and frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 15:38:00 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020314103800.25245.00001318@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 50731

Hello. I recently programmed by new Pro-2053 and I am happily listening to the NJSP. Unfortunately I am not sure if I am getting the NJSP for my area (Dover Twsp). From the transmissions, it sounds like it's too far south. Can anyone tell me what the right talkgroups are for my area (I'm using the talkgroups for zone C) and which frequencies are used? I have found conflicting lists. Some have frequencies that others do not.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

717. Re: Win93 help.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 15:40:46 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020314104046.25245.00001319@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 50732

>> > I accessed the Win93 freeware using a link by way of the WWW.Pr093.com
>web

Just look at the original message. He downloaded ScanPro93, which is downloaded
from http://www.pro93.com. That's why the author of Win93 doesn't know why he
would have that version... I've tried both and I personally like Win93 better.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

718. Rebuilt radio battery packs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 16:02:06 UTC
From: Rusty <rusty@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3C90C97E.6080500@dccnet.com>
Source record: 50733

Hi there,
Where is the best place to buy rebuilt scanner and Amateur radio battery
packs from?
Do you reccomend Nimh or Ni-Cad
Thanks for any help!
Please e-mail me directly at
rusty@dccnet.com

719. Re: NJSP talkgroups and frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 16:40:00 UTC
From: Home user <Wave@idt.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.16fa9c6d66582a3398968d@news.exit109.com>
Source record: 50734

In article <20020314103800.25245.00001318@mb-ca.aol.com>,
vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux says...

> Hello. I recently programmed by new Pro-2053 and I am happily listening to the
> NJSP. Unfortunately I am not sure if I am getting the NJSP for my area (Dover
> Twsp).

deja vu

720. Re: F/S relisted PRO 2006 REDUCED to $230 ship like new

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 16:52:05 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@cox.net>
Message-ID: <Vy4k8.11784$4d.1795794@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 50735
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The 2006 is the *BEST* scanner ever made. Why are you selling it for such as low price? You could easily get $300. I have a new in the box 2006 and I pain $360 for it about 8 years ago. I will not sell it for less than $360. It is worth every Penney.

-jim

"Bruce" <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:Xz%j8.18345$E_3.1495678@news2.east.cox.net...
> Sold the Pro 26 I listed. Still have the like new Pro 2006 for sale. It
> includes original antenna and manual. Was $250 shipped and is now $230
> shipped UPS. Money order only. This scanner is truly in flawless condition.
> Bright backlight and extremely clean to say the least. Works like new in
> every way. Cell and speed modifications completed. Here is a pic:
>
http://mediaservice.photoisland.com/auction/Mar/20023111127685076677451.jpg
> Email me at bruceinomaha@yahoo if interested. Serious inquires only please.
>
>

721. Re: Realistic Pro - 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 16:53:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@cox.net>
Message-ID: <Mz4k8.11788$4d.1796422@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 50736
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have one forsale for $360. It is new in the box and never used. I originally paid $360 for it.

-jim

"Delta5" <computer_tech_in_training@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:BuLj8.2327$0e1.389525@cletus.bright.net...
> The PRO-2006 rocks! If you can find one in good shape it is worth buying.
>
>

722. Re: MAX233 IC for computer interface cable.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 17:01:43 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <XH4k8.143387$kb.7871923@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 50737

cool. great to hear taht they are sold. Keep up the good work.

Dev.

"NR6Z" <nr6z@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:9c46f45b.0203132326.6a605cfb@posting.google.com...
> All of them are sold.
> This is MAX233A which is a more expensive version of MAX233.
>
>
> "Devin" <a@b.c> wrote in message
news:<4SLj8.87821$eb.3727861@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>...
> > I sell mine for 2.13 US each. with free shipping.
> >
> > "NR6Z" <nr6z@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:9c46f45b.0203130022.7f05e5f9@posting.google.com...
> > > I have 5 of MAX233 RS232 transceiver chips for sale.
> > > It can be used to build very simple computer interface
> > > for all kinds of scanners and two way radios.
> > > It requires no external capacitors like MAX232.
> > >
> > > Check out the interface schematic diagram using this IC:
> > > http://www.qsl.net/nr6z/images/max233_interface1
> > > http://www.qsl.net/nr6z/images/max233_interface2
> > >
> > > Asking $5 each includes shipping in USA.
> > >
> > > If interested, please email directly to me at
> > > nr6z@yahoo.com

723. Re: Tecra 500 CDT FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 17:13:33 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <1T4k8.471$Zr3.259040@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50738
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.sys.laptops.alcam,comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

```
Laptop Was SOLD
"Dick" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:9D6e8.11430$xs1.3445747@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> Have for sale a nice compact little unit with Windows 98SE and 32 megs ram
> with a 1.2 gig Hard Drive.Also have 2 extra batteries,CD Rom,and Floppy
> drive with a carry bag.This unit runs nice but can use a little more
> memory.I am asking $300.00 or best resonable offer plus shipping.Great
> starter laptop.CPU speed is 133 MHz.Great sound for listening to your
> CDs.Email me if any interest or call:978-281-3359
>
>
>

---
Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.333 / Virus Database: 187 - Release Date: 3/8/2002
```

724. Re: NJSP talkgroups and frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 17:23:43 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020314122343.13450.00001373@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 50739

>deja vu
>

Thanks for the useless response.

I've listened some more and it seems like I must have the right talkgroups and freqs programmed in because I've heard some traffic stops up on Rt 70. I guess that's about all I'll be hearing in my area for them.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

725. Re: F/S relisted PRO 2006 REDUCED to $230 ship like new

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 17:26:07 UTC
From: "Lou G." <nospam@ny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <l7n19u8284aoj48judod5mv17mmt7fbrmc@4ax.com>
Source record: 50740
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 14 Mar 2002 16:52:05 GMT, "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@cox.net> wrote:

>The 2006 is the *BEST* scanner ever made. Why are you selling it for such as
>low price? You could easily get $300. I have a new in the box 2006 and I
>pain $360 for it about 8 years ago. I will not sell it for less than $360.
>It is worth every Penney.
>
>-jim
>
>

LOL! You love your 2006 so much, but haven't taken it out of the box
in 8 years?
BTW, lotsa luck getting that much.

726. FA : RS Pro-2004 base scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 17:58:01 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Jw5k8.66296$Ci6.15450766@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 50742
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1339461938

727. Re: Radio Shack Scanners Which Is The Best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 17:59:21 UTC
From: TexasSteven <rothrock@nospamforme.stic.net>
Message-ID: <3c90e414$0$3039$39cecf19@news.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 50741

DISREGARD PREVIOUS MESSAGE!! This Message has been corrected!

Runnyriver Wrote:

Well, from one Texan to possibly another, Uniden and Radio Shack are both SCANNER HEADQUARTERED in Ft. Worth. And Radio Shack only sells scanners. They are made by GRE and Uniden. And if you don't like either one of those, good for you buddy, cause the demand is way too high and the prices are going up! Enjoy reading the manual every time you use your Yugosacki radio! I hope it is printed in Japanese!

Sheesh I HATE it when someone puts words in my mouth...I said I would not touch
a Radio Shack scanner...I know who makes scanners for them. I own , myself, a Uniden -- Bearcat scanner (30 channel) <Note: someone here said that Uniden don't make scanners for Radio Shack> Last time I heard ...they did (maybe years ago...and I asked to be corrected if I was wrong)

Anyways......I still wouldn't buy a RS scanner ...(The brand name)

TexasSteven

p.s. don't need anymore scanners...got other HAMM radio toys to play with now.

728. SCANNER 400 CHANNELS (Mint)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 18:22:12 UTC
From: Rusty <rusty@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3C90EA54.6000804@dccnet.com>
Source record: 50743

I have a beautiful condition Pro-2041 Base Scanner for sale,
400 channel base scanner 29-960 Mhz with the usual gaps.
10 banks of 40 channels
Has Band scan and lots of other features.
I can send you a picture if you are intersted.
No scratches or scuffs, comes with manual
$150 plus shipping

Please e-mail me directly
rusty@dccnet.com

729. Re: Opinions Of Uniden BC7T and Driving Cross-Country

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 18:23:54 UTC
From: Greg <not@shown.here>
Message-ID: <fkq19u80isa6hi2vduej20h26t5uju0ct6@4ax.com>
Source record: 50744
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 14 Mar 2002 11:51:19 -0500, Tony Malesic
<blackflag@mindspring.com> wrote:

>Pre-programming a scanner such as a 780XLT for a cross-country trip, in my
>opinion, would be a pretty labor-intensive exercise. I would go with the BCT7.

FWIW, if you download some of the software for the 780 and plug it
into the computer, programming's pretty simple.

730. ICOM R-2 LNIB $125

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 18:42:43 UTC
From: bfdp1@msn.com
Message-ID: <1235373c.0203141042.7c74e2de@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50746

Like new Icom R-2. have scan on request. All accessories included.

John

Boise, Idaho

731. Re: F/S relisted PRO 2006 REDUCED to $230 ship like new

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 18:43:01 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <dnr19ucg09k5822qbgr2grjp7icudp3d0s@4ax.com>
Source record: 50745
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@cox.net> spake thusly

>The 2006 is the *BEST* scanner ever made.

No, mit's not. It WAS the best of it's time, but that was some years ago.

>Why are you selling it for such as
>low price? You could easily get $300.

Maybe he's just NOT a greedy bastard.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

732. Re: Win93 help.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 18:47:15 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <spr19u0r7j23vq6iush7oobn205rvlq873@4ax.com>
Source record: 50649

On 14 Mar 2002 06:48:33 GMT, emoney250@aol.com (Eric G.) wrote:

>too easy to push your buttons nowadays kids.....just want to see who
[snip]

Problem is that in this group we're used to *competent* trollers, like
Emilio and Cheek vs Mackey.

Go practice on rec.pets.cats or something, and come back when you've
got something worth showing.

733. Re: Scanner Programs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 19:02:50 UTC
From: Willi Passmann <DJ6JZ@compuserve.de>
Message-ID: <a6qs6s$g8b$06$1@news.t-online.com>
Source record: 50647
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> Does anyone know of any downloadable programs for frequency or
scanners?

Tony: You will find dozens via
http://www.radio-portal.org

Click on "Search in broad" and combine the categories "Scanners" and
"Software".

Regards,
Willi

734. Re: NJSP talkgroups and frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 19:14:37 UTC
From: Home user <Wave@idt.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.16fac0a32b3b1d8398968e@news.exit109.com>
Source record: 50648

In article <20020314122343.13450.00001373@mb-fn.aol.com>,
vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux says...
> Thanks for the useless response.

Sorry you took it the wrong way... it's just that three weeks ago you
requested the same information including GSP freqs/talkgroups and myself
and several other newsgroup members responded in detail...

> I've listened some more and it seems like I must have the right talkgroups and
> freqs programmed in because I've heard some traffic stops up on Rt 70. I guess
> that's about all I'll be hearing in my area for them.

Road Troopers patrol "Zones" Dover Township is a "No" Zone area, it's
not a designated/defined area of a structured Station Zone. If a Trooper
from say Allenwood Barracks finds himself in TR perhaps on a follow-up
invest and observes a speeding motorist he can make the stop and report
it as a "No Zone" area "moving" stop.

There are exceptions:

CVI units can and do set up inspection stops in Dover Township, more
often than not along 37 or 9... and on weekend summer nights TAC PAC
units are sent out of Princeton into the Dover Township area to patrol
but most of them make their way into Seaside Heights for obvious
reasons.

735. Re: Opinions of Burghardt's (RANT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 19:46:50 UTC
From: john445@sillymoose.com
Message-ID: <d2d6a1e5.0203141146.2754a233@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50650

IMHO, when I purchased 2nd Day Air I am buying the guarantee the package will arrive the second day. I certainly do not care if it actually goes in a plane or in a truck, just get it there on time. I used to be in a mail order company and we shipped nationwide from Kansas City. We knew most packages shipped UPS ground would usually reach Omaha overnight, but there was no guarantee. If we shipped "Air" to Omaha, it most likely went via truck, but arrived as scheduled. Just some thoughts.

John

736. Re: 200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 21:06:52 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <5DCF4EC0A2195D16.4F1148EF78EEB725.F9E95D11D357B754@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 50651

On Wed, 13 Mar 2002 03:30:57 GMT, "DRY" <youngd@optonline.net> wrote:

>About $125
>"eric kaelin" <rifraf714@core.com> wrote in message
>news:3c8be515$0$35574$ac966d11@news.raex.com...
>> can any1 tell me how much a 200xlt with all 800 band is worth???
>> thanx

I purchased two BC200XLT's new about five years ago for $170 each.
We still use them regularly in our business, and $125 is a good
price for this great scanner.

However with so much stuff going to trucked systems, the price of
non-trunking scanners has been dropping. Do a little shopping around
and you can buy one used for under $100. (I have seen them go for
as low as $80.)

As far as "all 800 band" goes, any BC200XLT can be simply restored to
full coverage with the removal of one 10K chip resistor (look for any
mods page on the web), so that should not effect the price you pay.

-Art

737. Re: Opinions Of Uniden BC7T and Driving Cross-Country

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 21:10:16 UTC
From: davidm3123@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020314161016.21367.00002108@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 50652

The seamless operation of the bct7 is worth a lot. I use the bct 12 for traveling and do not have a concern about when I am in or out of a specific broadcast entity.

I know there are some who use their scanners as an excuse to display their prowess in programming.

Call me lazy, but I do not get any big thrill l out of obcessively tracking down all possible freqs for my intended route and getting them into the scanner. I doubt that I miss very much on my travels as a result of this.

My idea of fun is listening...not programming.

Just my 2 cents...

738. Re: Rebuilt radio battery packs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 21:11:16 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <F15B97A80F3BB106.D2920CC64C366E76.FEF247B6B8B28A88@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 50653

On Thu, 14 Mar 2002 08:02:06 -0800, Rusty <rusty@dccnet.com> wrote:

>Hi there,
>Where is the best place to buy rebuilt scanner and Amateur radio battery
>packs from?
>Do you reccomend Nimh or Ni-Cad
>Thanks for any help!
>Please e-mail me directly at
>rusty@dccnet.com

Sorry can't Email, but www.primecell.com is a fine source. You can
have them rebuild or buy the cell packs to do it yourself.

I highly recommend NiMH cells.

-Art

739. Re: Yupiteru MVT 9000 MK II receiver, unblocked - SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 21:51:46 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <SX8k8.66329$Ci6.15537962@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 50654
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

This unit has been SOLD!

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:e4Pi8.34247$in3.10519181@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> I am selling a Yupiteru MVT 9000 MK II hand held wide receiver, Euro
version
> with
> NO gaps. The radio is brand new in the box with manual and all
accessories.
> This is the latest version of the MVT 9000.
>
> $350.00 shipped via USPS Priority Mail, insured.
>
> I will accept a US Postal money order or PAYPAL only.
>
> Check my eBay feedback under OFFDAN.
>
> E-mail if interested, or if you have any questions.
>
> Dan
>
>

740. Re: RS Pro-2004 base scanner - SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 21:52:25 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <tY8k8.66330$Ci6.15538083@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 50655
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Gone with BUY IT NOW
"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:Jw5k8.66296$Ci6.15450766@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1339461938
>
>
>

741. Re: Opinions of Burghardt's (RANT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 22:28:55 UTC
From: AB7RS <ab7rs@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <u9292eccvlvs42@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50656
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I had a terrible experience with the service department.

"Dennis" <DennisF@ABC.NET> wrote in message
news:a6oms1$frqnv$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> Do they normally charge so much for shipping? I mean $10.84 for UPS ground
> shipping for an Icom R2 case? Jeez! It is my fault for not asking for sure
> so I have no recourse.
>
> After hearing of another customer receiving an R2 with battery draw
problems
> and them telling him to deal with Icom directly (initially, then replacing
> it) seems like poor service. They have a lower price, then gouge in
shipping
> and that is awful to me.
>
> I am new to radios and am getting my feet wet with dealers. Sorry to say
> They will be off my list for future purchases.
>
>
>
>

742. Re: 400mhz to 800mhz converter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 22:36:19 UTC
From: Jeff Santee <Jeff352@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <DB9k8.35042$702.15870@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 50657

"Dgrup" <dgrup@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020314075702.22437.00001210@mb-mi.aol.com...
> >Anyone know where to get one or has one for sale?
>
> Ramsey used to make an inexpensive kit that worked well.
> Dennis

Do you mean a 800 to 400 downconverter? Not many of them
around much anymore and when you see one on e bay they go
for rediculous prices. Not that they work all that well for cell
anyhow. Keep in mind most scannners in the 400mhz range scan
in 12.5khz steps, analog cell is spaced at 30khz. See the conflict??

Jeff

743. Re: Opinions Of Uniden BC7T and Driving Cross-Country

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 22:40:05 UTC
From: Jeff Santee <Jeff352@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <9F9k8.35054$702.15861@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 50658

>
> Call me lazy, but I do not get any big thrill l out of obcessively tracking
> down all possible freqs for my intended route and getting them into the
> scanner. I doubt that I miss very much on my travels as a result of this.
>
> My idea of fun is listening...not programming.
>
>
> Just my 2 cents...

But how much time does it take for the BC 7 or BC 12 to scan
from one end of the spectrum to the other? and how much would you
miss in that time span?

Jeff

744. Re: BCT 7 - locking out "Priority" freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 22:59:33 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <pX9k8.24334$4H5.1590694@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 50659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'd go with the BCT-7 too, for long-distance driving. When you're on the interstates, all you're normally gonna be interested in is the Hwy patrol/State police, which are preprogrammed... plus you can add 25 of your own freqs to that bank as well... like if you're into trains, aircraft or - heaven forbid - CB. If you're barreling through a city, you'll be in and out of range in too short a time to bother scanning them...generally

Seems to me there IS a way to lockout the priority frequencies. I believe you go to the state and service (e.g. NY - HwyPat), turn the squelch up (to "noisy") and TAB advance one step at a time through the preset freqs. I think the priority channels, usually "extenders," are at the end of the list and you can do a standard lockout there.

--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications History

"DAVIDM3123" <davidm3123@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020314161016.21367.00002108@mb-mq.aol.com...
> The seamless operation of the bct7 is worth a lot. I use the bct 12 for
> traveling and do not have a concern about when I am in or out of a specific
> broadcast entity.
>
> I know there are some who use their scanners as an excuse to display their
> prowess in programming.
>
> Call me lazy, but I do not get any big thrill l out of obcessively tracking
> down all possible freqs for my intended route and getting them into the
> scanner. I doubt that I miss very much on my travels as a result of this.
>
> My idea of fun is listening...not programming.
>
>
> Just my 2 cents...

745. Re: Rebuilt radio battery packs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 23:04:41 UTC
From: rbnhood125@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020314180441.08631.00002201@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 50660

Try the NiCd Lady Company. Excellent service, excellent priceing. See their site at
www.nicdlady.com

John

746. What scanner to purchase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 23:23:12 UTC
From: Mike <michaelthalman@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <Ahak8.15752$P4.1357535@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 50661

I'm trying to decide which handheld scanner to purchase. The Alinco DJX-2000T or the AOR 8200II Mark B. If anyone has any opinion or info on the the above two models. I would be interested in hearing from you.

Thanks

747. WTB. Uniden ubc 9000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 23:29:16 UTC
From: cbvarmint@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020314182916.16152.00001592@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 50662

WTB Uniden ubc 9000xlt, Must be in good condition & reasonable. Glen

748. Re: Win93 help.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-14 23:40:21 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020314184021.14587.00001374@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 50663

Oops...I also forgot to mention that I DO NOT read e-mails sent to me. If you don't have the balls to post your dumb ass response for everyone to see, maybe you shouldn't e-mail it.....thus you may keep a little integrity for your self.
Eric G.
education CANNOT buy common sense

749. Re: Flying with scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-15 00:46:44 UTC
From: RAR <iowaboy46@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns91D1BF057215iowaboy46hotmailcom@216.148.227.77>
Source record: 50664

Bob Fitch <crfitchjr@attbi.com> wrote in
news:df309ukf50o73r3pvb52qcsdgj82dghg1p@4ax.com:

> I plan to fly this weekend to San Francisco. Of course I
would like
> to take my scanner. I have not flown since the new security
> procedures. Will the screeners freak out if I have a
scanner in my
> carry-on satchel? Should I put in my check-in luggage?
>
> Thanks
>

i had a friend who just returned the nascar race in vegas and
she said the screeners (in vegas and at our local airport)
never gave her scanner a second thought. now, i just returned
from a trip of my own and kept mine in the checkin luggage.
so, i guess how lucky do you feel. :)

750. Re: NJSP talkgroups and frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-15 01:40:45 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.com
Message-ID: <5e5fccab.0203141740.3ec83c80@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50665

Home user <Wave@idt.net> wrote in message
news:<MPG.16fac0a32b3b1d8398968e@news.exit109.com>...

> Sorry you took it the wrong way... it's just that three weeks ago you
> requested the same information including GSP freqs/talkgroups and myself
> and several other newsgroup members responded in detail...

Well, when I asked several weeks ago, I was given the information, but
it appeared to be flawed because I received at least 3 different lists
of frequencies, so I was seeking a confirmation as to the TG's and the
frequencies to be sure I had them right. BTW - What TG should I expect
to hear GSP stops in the Toms River area?

> Road Troopers patrol "Zones" Dover Township is a "No" Zone area, it's
> not a designated/defined area of a structured Station Zone. If a Trooper
> from say Allenwood Barracks finds himself in TR perhaps on a follow-up
> invest and observes a speeding motorist he can make the stop and report
> it as a "No Zone" area "moving" stop.

I was hearing a number of stops being conducted by NJSP on Rt 70
today, all of them being reported as a "moving" stop... ?

> There are exceptions:
>
> CVI units can and do set up inspection stops in Dover Township, more
> often than not along 37 or 9... and on weekend summer nights TAC PAC
> units are sent out of Princeton into the Dover Township area to patrol
> but most of them make their way into Seaside Heights for obvious
> reasons.

TAC? PAC?

751. Re: Anyone try the new Trunk Scan Star software - Pro93 version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-15 01:42:18 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.com
Message-ID: <5e5fccab.0203141742.58f10154@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50666
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Dan <lists@elp.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<m9ev8uogu497moq4grafnbjmnqb8aatjlf@4ax.com>...
> I am interested in this software but would like more info and maybe
> even some screen shots prior to buying.. Has anone tried it yet.. here
> is a link to it description sounds great (but also sound like same
> features as WIN93) for the Pro93

Why would you pay for a program for the Pro93 when you can download
one for free? I've tried both ScanPro93 and Win93 and I think Win93 is
better...

752. Re: Opinions Of Uniden BC7T and Driving Cross-Country

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-15 02:23:06 UTC
From: davidm3123@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020314212306.14541.00001894@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 50667

I have a life that does not let worry about such things. You are driving across the country and are trying to keep amused by listening to the cops or weather, not attempting to capture everything that is going on.

It does not cover the entire spectrum. Just that portion of the spectrum that I got out of the manual:

37.02-4602

138.3450-172.02

423.0-508-4875

806.0125-868.9875

And, I am not sure about this -- but it is implied in the manual, I think the coverage is truncated depending on the state you select.

If you are concerned about your local area---of course get a program it yourself unit. But for seamless travel in "alien" areas that you may go through again, I recommend something like a BCT7 or 12.

753. Re: NJSP talkgroups and frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-15 02:51:42 UTC
From: Home user <Wave@idt.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.16fb2bc5fdf87e2b98968f@news.exit109.com>
Source record: 50668

In article <5e5fccab.0203141740.3ec83c80@posting.google.com>,
vhfradiobuff@aol.com says...
> Well, when I asked several weeks ago, I was given the information, but
> it appeared to be flawed because I received at least 3 different lists
> of frequencies, so I was seeking a confirmation as to the TG's and the
> frequencies to be sure I had them right. BTW - What TG should I expect
> to hear GSP stops in the Toms River area?

Granted there is much confusion with system information...one of the
features of the 2053 is the control channel decode - enter the control
channels 857.7125 858.7125 859.7125 860.7125 and the frequencies are
generated for you, the voice freqs are displayed on the second line.

GSP type ll talkgroups which patrol the TR area include 30720 and 26960

> I was hearing a number of stops being conducted by NJSP on Rt 70
> today, all of them being reported as a "moving" stop... ?

A vehicle stopped for what appears to be a moving violation.

>
> > There are exceptions:
> >
> > CVI units can and do set up inspection stops in Dover Township, more
> > often than not along 37 or 9... and on weekend summer nights TAC PAC
> > units are sent out of Princeton into the Dover Township area to patrol
> > but most of them make their way into Seaside Heights for obvious
> > reasons.
>
> TAC? PAC?
>

754. Re: Anyone try the new Trunk Scan Star software - Pro93 version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-15 02:52:36 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C9161F3.4F433D21@optonline.net>
Source record: 50669
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

But, he is not talking about ScanPro, he is talking about
TrunkStar9293. Which, since it works on both radios, the PRO92 and the
PRO93, might allow for easy transfer of data between the two. This
could prove to be a very useful feature.

Walt

vhfradiobuff wrote:
>
> Dan <lists@elp.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<m9ev8uogu497moq4grafnbjmnqb8aatjlf@4ax.com>...
> > I am interested in this software but would like more info and maybe
> > even some screen shots prior to buying.. Has anone tried it yet.. here
> > is a link to it description sounds great (but also sound like same
> > features as WIN93) for the Pro93
>
> Why would you pay for a program for the Pro93 when you can download
> one for free? I've tried both ScanPro93 and Win93 and I think Win93 is
> better...

755. Re: Makes and models of DSSS 2.4GHz cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-15 02:59:21 UTC
From: carl <farcaster_no_spam@onebox.com>
Message-ID: <dsdk8.107$zV3.55772@news3.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50670
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Yep,
All uniden phones appear to be DSSS.
Thanks
"Harry Marnell" <marnells@snowcrest.net> wrote in message
news:6fIb8.1546$7Q6.77817@news1.east.cox.net...
> I've got a Uniden 24-148, DSS which I'm very happy with. Got it at Costco
> for $89 or so.
>
> I've uploaded the specs page for you to:
> http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/retrieve.pdf
>
>
> Harry Marnell
> http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications
> History
>
>
>
>
>
> "rbaker" <rbaker_nospam_68x@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:rCFb8.1146$V81.203586@news3.news.adelphia.net...
> > I'm looking for makes and models of 2.4GHz Digital Spread Spectrum
> cordless
> > phones. I don't want to us FHSS Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum
because
> I
> > run an 802.11b wireless LAN. Any makes and models appreciated.
> >
> >
> >
>
>

756. Re: Frequency Lists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-15 04:30:45 UTC
From: Monty Python <radioman@thebigdial.com>
Message-ID: <2au29u42e25ti80m6v8g4aef7udq6rbhqc@4ax.com>
Source record: 50671

Mike,

They're independent ... that's what trunking is ..
you and others in a group are assigned certain
ID's that are bounced from frequency to frequency.

monty

"Mike" <kilroy70@swbell.net> wrote:

>How will I know what ID's go with what Freq's?
>
>Mike
>
>
>> This is a motorola type 2 trunked system.
>> You need to select a bank for trunked use and program the freq's in.
>> Once that's done, go to trunk mode and enter in ID's.
>> > >
>> > > Beaumont Police Department.
>> > >
>> > > System Frequencies:
>> > > 01. 855.7375
>> > > 02. 855.9625
>> > > 03. 857.7375
>> > > 04. 858.7375
>> > > 05. 859.7375
>> > > 06. 860.7375
>> > > 07. 866.2750
>> > > 08. 866.5375
>> > > 09. 866.7875
>> > > 10. 866.9875
>> > > 11. 867.2625
>> > > 12. 867.2875
>> > > 13. 867.7000
>> > > 14. 868.2875
>> > > 15. 868.5500
>> > > 16. 868.7125
>> > > 17. 868.8125
>> > >
>> > > System Talkgroups
>> > > 048 Patrol 1
>> > > 080 Patrol 2
>> > > 112 Patrol 3
>> > > 144 Patrol 4

>> > > 176 Supervisor Channel
>> > > 208 Tac 1
>> > > 240 Tac 2
>> > > 272 Tac 3
>> > > 304 Narcotics 1
>> > > 336 PD Common
>> > > 784 Tac 4
>> > > 816 Narcotics 2 (selectable)
>> > > 848 Narcotics 3 (selectable)
>> > >
>> > > Beaumont Fire 154.400
>>
>>
>

757. Re: F/S relisted PRO 2006 REDUCED to $230 ship like new

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-15 04:39:36 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@cox.net>
Message-ID: <cWek8.15587$4d.1933596@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 50672
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I three 2006 scanners. I use two of them regularly. The other unit has
remained in the box.

"Lou G." <nospam@ny.rr.com> wrote in message
news:l7n19u8284aoj48judod5mv17mmt7fbrmc@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 14 Mar 2002 16:52:05 GMT, "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@cox.net> wrote:
>
> >The 2006 is the *BEST* scanner ever made. Why are you selling it for such
as
> >low price? You could easily get $300. I have a new in the box 2006 and I
> >pain $360 for it about 8 years ago. I will not sell it for less than
$360.
> >It is worth every Penney.
> >
> >-jim
> >
> >
>
> LOL! You love your 2006 so much, but haven't taken it out of the box
> in 8 years?
> BTW, lotsa luck getting that much.

758. Re: X-No-Archive: yes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-15 05:39:45 UTC
From: Me <Dontemailme@mycomputer.net>
Message-ID: <BOfk8.20265$E_3.1697066@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 50674
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Tom Clyne" <tc60@optonline.net> wrote in message news:3C8D7C52.22C1D0F4@optonline.net...
Ok... but WHY do you not want any of your posts to be archived??? I could see if I wrote some flame mail that I might want not saved; or if I had to ask a question that I thought might be a stupid question (like THIS one, perhaps); but why No-Archive everything?
TC

BTW, it IS a cool trick - thanks for the tip - got any more?

Tom,

Information about a poster that is archived is available for a LONG time. A very long time ago, I managed to become the target of a bored troll in another group. He followed me around for a while and would harrass and pester me. Upon checking the headers, he was posting through the now defunct DejaNews. I tried to access his account just to mess with his head. But, alas, I could not crack his password. So, I began researching him. Discovered his wife also used their Deja account to post friendly messages to other groups. Then, I began taking notes. The wife had posted to several genealogical (sp) groups tracing her family roots. What is the most comonly used hint for lost passwords? Why - your mother's maiden name - right? Guess what his wife had posted and had been archived from years gone by? After I managed to access his email and sent him a letter to his ISP POP3 acct from his own Deja acct., he decided to leave me alone.

I did later drop the guy a note and apologize for my invasive methods. And I then told him how I had done it, so that hopefully he would learn to not leave sensitive info on the net.

Mik

759. Re: TKA Condor 3000 (Dutch language)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-15 05:53:34 UTC
From: Peter Hunt <news@peterhunt.zoetermeernet.nl>
Message-ID: <a6s244$8a9$1@news1.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 50675

> Z.G.A.N. Bearcat 760 XLT 100 kanaals computerscanner.
> 66 t/m 956 MHZ AM-FM met antenne voor op de auto.
> 115 euro.

Je kunt deze advertentie wel in alle mogelijke nieuwsgroepen kwakken, maar wees dan wel van te voren op de hoogte van de taal die men in bepaalde nieuwsgroepen speekt. Het lezen van enkele berichten in de groep had je geleerd dat men hier *ALLEEN* Engelstalige berichten post.

~ So please only use the Dutch language in nl.* newsgroups ~

Peter.

760. Discone Antenna Tuning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-15 07:21:12 UTC
From: "T.E.W." <tew@fast.net>
Message-ID: <Ihhk8.15479$dn2.12924@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 50676
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

When you are only receiving, not transmitting, is it possible to tune the antenna with an SWR meter or other equipment, or doesn't it matter when just receiving?

761. Re: Looking-ICOM r-3 Govt.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-15 08:32:52 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <Ukik8.146826$kb.8063234@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 50677

you don't need a government one. get a European one or Canadian one. Isn't that simple.

Dev

"Jason Helmick" <kanjiroo@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:71fk8.237$C82.129112@news.uswest.net...
> I want to buy an Icom r-3 with full bandwidth. Anyone got one "new-in-box"
> for sale?
>
> RS: mailto://kanjiroo@hotmail.com
>
>
>
>

762. Re: FCC form for GMRS license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-15 09:55:09 UTC
From: glock45srock@aol.comCANNDHAM
Message-ID: <20020315045509.07367.00001242@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 50678

I may be wrong, but don't they put a license application when you buy a new FMRS radio? Or ask the radio dealer.

Matt.

763. PA-SitRep e-mail list for PA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-15 11:59:36 UTC
From: owner@pa-sitrep.com
Message-ID: <3c91e02e.301592581@news.city-net.com>
Source record: 50679
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Emergency Situation Report e-mail list for Pennsylvanians

PA-SitRep is a free, 100% non-commercial,
nonprofit, e-mail list and web site.

The PA-SitRep emergency traffic e-mail list is a
tool that will enable you to monitor and report on
major emergency situations throughout the State
of Pennsylvania including weather emergencies,
natural disasters, environmental disasters, civil
disturbances and threats of terrorism, major fires,
and manhunts.

Subscribers are encouraged to utilize their home,
work and cell phone e-mail addresses for receiving
messages from the PA-SitRep e-mail list.

Message volume on PA-SitRep is EXTREMELY
low and users may subscribe and unsubscribe
at any time.

PA-SitRep is also building a list of PA-SitRep
Contacts, many of whom are Amateur Radio
Operators with HF capabilities. Contacts monitor
our higher volume SitRep/Discussion list and
have unrestricted posting privilages to the
main Situation Report list which is moderated.

Please visit the site to learn more about the
PA-SitRep mail list and volunteering as a
PA-SitRep Contact:

http://www.pa-sitrep.com/pasitrep.htm

Thanks. We'll look forward to your participation.

-David Kleber, KB3FXI
PA-SitRep List Owner and PA-SitRep.com Founder
www.PA-SitRep.com

764. Re: Discone Antenna Tuning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-15 12:18:54 UTC
From: Brad Kellison <KA8TOK@woh.rr.com>
Message-ID: <0ap39u8d03l0789m98rk260jacqq7bcffj@4ax.com>
Source record: 50680
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

SWR meters are only used for Transmitting a signal. Rx it doesn't
matter but most use math formulas to get the antenna close to the
desired frequency for better reception of those frequencies.

On Fri, 15 Mar 2002 07:21:12 GMT, "T.E.W." <tew@fast.net> wrote:

>When you are only receiving, not transmitting, is it possible to tune the
>antenna with an SWR meter or other equipment, or doesn't it matter when just
>receiving?
>
>

765. Re: Anyone try the new Trunk Scan Star software - Pro93 version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-15 14:48:56 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020315094856.15174.00001309@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 50681

>But, he is not talking about ScanPro, he is talking about
>TrunkStar9293. Which, since it works on both radios, the PRO92 and the
>PRO93, might allow for easy transfer of data between the two. This
>could prove to be a very useful feature.

I know he's not talking about ScanPro or Win93, but that is why I mentioned them. As far as transfering between the 2 scanners, I suppose if you actually own both (why, I can't imagine..), then I suppose something like that would be useful...

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-

73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

766. Re: Anyone try the new Trunk Scan Star software - Pro93 version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-15 15:27:22 UTC
From: Don Starr <don@unspamstarrsoft.com>
Message-ID: <70349u45mh2kjdiip1rte3rmkd3vtdng16@4ax.com>
Source record: 50682

On 15 Mar 2002 14:48:56 GMT, vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
(VHFRadioBuff) wrote:

> As far as transfering between the 2 scanners, I suppose if you actually
>own both (why, I can't imagine..),

How about if you were writing programs for both of them ? :)

> then I suppose something like that would be
>useful...

When developing Win93, I considered letting the program read the
Pro-92's SPG files. I also mentioned to Ken and Bill the possibility
of an SPG -> P93 file converter. Neither of these came to be because:

* what do you do with the extra 20 channels per bank when going
from the Pro-92 to the Pro-93?
* PL and DPL channel Rx modes?
* LTR bank mode?
* The Pro-93 only needs the control channels for trunking systems;
the voice-only frequencies required by the Pro-93 are extraneous.
Moving them over would use up the already-limited channel space
in the Pro-93.
* Copy / paste seems to work well enough (for me and others
that have brought it up, at least).

When I want to program my Pro-93 with data that exists only in an
Pro-92-style SPG file, I open both Win92 and Win93 (they're "kind"
enough to Windows that they should run together on just about any
machine with at least 8MB of RAM). I open the SPG file in Win92 and do
a File->New in Win93. I then do a bunch of copy/paste operations to
get the data from Win92 to Win93. Of course, if the SPG file has more
than 300 channels filled, or if its channel organization doesn't map
well into the Pro-93's 10 x 30 scheme, I'll have to either create 2
P93 files or trim out things I don't need (like the
non-control-channel frequencies in trunking systems).

I suppose that if people really find the ability to transfer between
Pro-92 and Pro-93 formats within the same program useful, I could add
such features to Win92 and Win93. It just seemed like a function that,
if the programs were doing it instead of the user explicitly moving
data, might lead to user frustration when dealing with the radios'
differences in features and capabilities.

I haven't seen the new TrunkStar program - do we even know if it lets
the user "convert" an SPG file to a P93? It is conceivable that the
program merely supports both SPG and P93 files, and allows the user to

copy/paste between them (pure speculation here).

-Don

_____
Posted Via Uncensored-News.Com - Still Only $9.95 - http://www.uncensored-news.com
With NINE Servers In California And Texas - The Worlds Uncensored News Source

767. Re: Looking-ICOM r-3 Govt.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-15 15:51:36 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <0A+ctnBlihk8Ew$g@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 50683

In article <Ukik8.146826$kb.8063234@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>, Devin
<a@b.c> writes

>you don't need a government one. get a European one or Canadian one. Isn't
>that simple.

A European version would have PAL video display, Canadian & Japanese
NTSC.

--
Cheers,
JC
Javiation - Scanners & receivers from all the leading manufacturers
01274 639503
http://www.javiation.co.uk

768. Re: Looking-ICOM r-3 Govt.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-15 17:46:11 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <g7hid@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <Ehdz6SBjNjk8Ew6g@btinternet.com>
Source record: 50684

In article <0A+ctnBIihk8Ew$g@Javiation.co.uk>, Jonathan Clough,
Javiation <Clough@Javiation.co.uk> writes
>In article <Ukik8.146826$kb.8063234@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>, Devin
><a@b.c> writes
>
>>you don't need a government one. get a European one or Canadian one. Isn't
>>that simple.
>
>A European version would have PAL video display, Canadian & Japanese
>NTSC.

Wonder how many have been caught out doing that??

--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
: don't fix it !

769. Re: Rebuilt radio battery packs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-15 17:59:03 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <DF3220D356327D46.0B596BE6128FD00C.934B235ACFBAD74F@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 50685

On 14 Mar 2002 23:04:41 GMT, rbnhood125@aol.com (RBNHOOD125) wrote:

>Try the NiCd Lady Company. Excellent service, excellent priceing. See their
>site at
>www.nicdlady.com
>
>
>John

Dittos. Sorry I did forgot to mention The Nicad Lady company.

We have used them also in the past and they were very good.
(They sold us replacement NiMH inserts for BP2500 packs.)

-Art

770. Re: X-No-Archive: yes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-15 18:36:03 UTC
From: Tom Clyne <tc60@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C923F2E.6365467E@optonline.net>
Source record: 50686
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks.

Me wrote:

>
>
> "Tom Clyne" <tc60@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:3C8D7C52.22C1D0F4@optonline.net...Ok... but WHY do you
> not want any of your posts to be archived??? I could see if
> I wrote some flame mail that I might want not saved; or if I
> had to ask a question that I thought might be a stupid
> question (like THIS one, perhaps); but why No-Archive
> everything?
>
> TC
>
> BTW, it IS a cool trick - thanks for the tip - got any more?
>
>
>
>
>
> Tom,
>
> Information about a poster that is archived is available
> for a LONG time. A very long time ago, I managed to become
> the target of a bored troll in another group. He followed me
> around for a while and would harrass and pester me. Upon
> checking the headers, he was posting through the now defunct
> DejaNews. I tried to access his account just to mess with
> his head. But, alas, I could not crack his password. So, I
> began researching him. Discovered his wife also used their
> Deja account to post friendly messages to other groups.
> Then, I began taking notes. The wife had posted to several
> genealogical (sp) groups tracing her family roots. What is
> the most comonly used hint for lost passwords? Why - your
> mother's maiden name - right? Guess what his wife had posted
> and had been archived from years gone by? After I managed to
> access his email and sent him a letter to his ISP POP3 acct
> from his own Deja acct., he decided to leave me alone.
>
> I did later drop the guy a note and apologize for my
> invasive methods. And I then told him how I had done it, so
> that hopefully he would learn to not leave sensitive info on
> the net.

>
>
>
> Mik
>

771. Re: FCC form for GMRS license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-15 19:53:26 UTC
From: Clay <clayschn@fast.net>
Message-ID: <3c92515e_1@news7.fast.net>
Source record: 50687

Cobra [and, I'm sure others] are packing two gmrs in a blister pack without the hint of an application. And I don't suppose the 'radio dealer' at office depot checkout counter would even know what you were asking for....

"Matthew W. Este" <glock45srock@aol.comCANNDHAM> wrote in message news:20020315045509.07367.00001242@mb-ch.aol.com...
> I may be wrong, but don't they put a license application when you buy a new
> FMRS radio? Or ask the radio dealer.
>
> Matt.

772. FS: PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-15 20:31:18 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@njmc.com>
Message-ID: <a6tl6v$hbspn$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50688

Hi all,

PRO-2006 in very nice condition, backlight is bright, new keypad, some wear on the front panel, great working condition. Overall a very nice sample from a classic series. Popular diode mods done for speed and coverage. Pictures available upon request. $250 includes shipping and insurance to anywhere in the lower 48. Guaranteed no DOA!

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.11.2 running on Mandrake Linux 8.1

773. Re: Discone Antenna Tuning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-15 20:47:36 UTC
From: Jim Vecchiola <jimkr2t@magpage.com>
Message-ID: <a6tml8$7v0$0@216.155.62.200>
Source record: 50689
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Well, it's possible to tune it with something like the MFJ Antenna
Analyzer that provides a low powered signal to use for tuning, but since
you're only receiving with the discone, and it's a wide band receptor, I
don't think you'll find much improvement in tuning it.

Jim KR2T

"T.E.W." wrote:
>
> When you are only receiving, not transmitting, is it possible to tune the
> antenna with an SWR meter or other equipment, or doesn't it matter when just
> receiving?

774. Portable scanner to monitor Long Island Cablevision channel 24, audio only!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-15 23:12:37 UTC
From: dogmarty@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020315181237.11884.00002567@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 50690

Can someone tell me models of scanners that will pick up TV channel 24's audio on Long Island? I think someone mentioned I need an FM scanner...but what models are FM...in portable units? Is their any "tricks" to picking up this audio on a conventional/ trunk scanner? (i.e Radio Shack PRO 90)

Thank You

775. Re: Portable scanner to monitor Long Island Cablevision channel 24, audio only!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-15 23:58:07 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3c928b42.9973987@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 50691

What is the actual frequency? I'll try my Sony and my 780

On 15 Mar 2002 23:12:37 GMT, dogmarty@aol.com (Dogmarty) wrote:

>Can someone tell me models of scanners that will pick up TV channel 24's audio
>on Long Island? I think someone mentioned I need an FM scanner...but what
>models are FM...in portable units? Is their any "tricks" to picking up this
>audio on a conventional/ trunk scanner? (i.e Radio Shack PRO 90)
>
>Thank You

776. Re: ICOM R-2 LNIB $125

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-16 00:02:29 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3c928c37.10218987@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 50692

UH, Lentini sells them BRAND NEW for $159 INCLUDING the sotware and
cable AND WARRANTY!!!!!!!!!!
Maybe $75.00

On 14 Mar 2002 10:42:43 -0800, bfdp1@msn.com (John Miller) wrote:

>Like new Icom R-2. have scan on request. All accessories included.
>
>John
>
>Boise, Idaho

777. FS: MAX232 IC for PC to Radio Interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-16 00:36:29 UTC
From: nr6z@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9c46f45b.0203151636.61b9bce3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50693

I have four MAX232 RS232 transceiver IC chips for sale.
Asking $3 each includes postage for mailing in USA.
or $10 for all 4.

Check out a radio interface using a MAX232:
http://www.qsl.net/nr6z/images/max232_interface.gif

If interested, please email me directly
nr6z@yahoo.com

do not reply to this post.

778. Pro2024 Help Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-16 01:28:00 UTC
From: R1rob
Message-ID: <3c92ab1e.0@mercury.planet.net.au>
Source record: 50694
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a Pro2024 I think it is an Aus model and does not cover 30-50Mhz
Does somebody have a version that does?
Could they send me a photo of the PCB so I can compare links.

TIA
Rob

779. Re: Remote Circuit for Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-16 01:38:22 UTC
From: R1rob
Message-ID: <3c92ad8c.0@mercury.planet.net.au>
Source record: 50695

try scanner recorder
Software that will record to a PC when the squelch opens.

R1rob

"Jim Foust" <jfoust@bgnet.bgsu.edu> wrote in message
news:u8tbtrmmi26h18@corp.supernews.com...
> Hello all. . . .
>
> My ICOM R-75 SW receiver has a remote jack that will control the remote of
a
> tape recorder--it only runs the tape when it receives a signal. Anyone
know
> of plans for a similar circuit I could build for a scanner?
>
> Alternately, are there computer audio programs out there that will just
> record when a signal is received?
>
> Thanks!
>
> Jim
>
>

780. Re: Opinions of Burghardt's (RANT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-16 01:51:30 UTC
From: Randy <randyap@geocitiesdot.com>
Message-ID: <Cyxk8.247298$pN4.15184592@bin8.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 50605
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

You can go to the UPS web site http://www.ups.com to check UPS rates. From Burghardt's to my address, for example, for a 2 lb package via ground to a residential address, UPS charges the shipper $5.11. Maybe you were charged a handling charge or other fee to make the rate so high.

"Dennis" <DennisF@ABC.NET> wrote in message news:a6oms1$frqnv$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> Do they normally charge so much for shipping? I mean $10.84 for UPS ground
> shipping for an Icom R2 case? Jeez! It is my fault for not asking for sure
> so I have no recourse.
>
> After hearing of another customer receiving an R2 with battery draw problems
> and them telling him to deal with Icom directly (initially, then replacing
> it) seems like poor service. They have a lower price, then gouge in shipping
> and that is awful to me.
>
> I am new to radios and am getting my feet wet with dealers. Sorry to say
> They will be off my list for future purchases.
>
>
>
>
>

781. FIELD PROGRAMABLE VHF 40CH PORTABLE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-16 02:04:51 UTC
From: David M Schertzer <schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <bv959uggv0rmqc9nsbnn393qhrl67809gi@4ax.com>
Source record: 50696

(1) ICOM V68 *40ch* 5-watt -VHF-

*** DTMF (User programmable - Front face) ****

(1) ICOM BC75A Rapid Desk Charger
(1) ICOM BP160 Rechargeable Battery pack
(1) ICOM BP130 Rechargeable Battery pack
(1) ICOM "AA" Emergency Battery pack (6- AA type batteries)
(1) EM46 Sprk-mic
(1) CP13L Cigarette Lighter adaptor with Noise filter
(1) Org. Instruction Manual + boxes

$225USD + $15USDship Payment via USPS International M/O.

Email for questions or info, spec sheet avail also.
This is the equiv. to the Motorola GP68 version.

782. Spread Spectrum Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-16 02:27:12 UTC
From: MRK <me@you.com>
Message-ID: <u95bcpn2g6j063@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50597

Is there any way to hack Frequency Hoping Digital Spread Spectrum? Assuming money was no object. I'm talking about buying governmet equipment. Is there a program that will crack the algorithim?

783. Re: Portable scanner to monitor Long Island Cablevision channel 24, audio only!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-16 02:30:57 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C92AE60.836D2781@optonline.net>
Source record: 50598

You need a wideband receiver/scanner with the capability to receive
wideband FM signals. In particular, it needs the ability to cover the
complete range from 60MHz thru 7 or 800 MHz if you want to receive the
cable TV channels. I don't remember the exact frequency of cable
channel 24, but 181.75 rings a bell.

Some examples of applicable receivers would be the AOR 8200 or the ICOM
R2. These are only two. There are probably another dozen or so
available.

But, a "Police" scanner, such as the PRO-93, Bearcat 780, does not have
the ability to tune to this frequencu or to receive wideband FM signals.

Dogmarty wrote:
>
> Can someone tell me models of scanners that will pick up TV channel 24's audio
> on Long Island? I think someone mentioned I need an FM scanner...but what
> models are FM...in portable units? Is their any "tricks" to picking up this
> audio on a conventional/ trunk scanner? (i.e Radio Shack PRO 90)
>
> Thank You

784. Todd Road jail frequency Ventura County

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-16 03:51:08 UTC
From: mark <mb18766@directvinternet.com>
Message-ID: <3c92c50a$1_3@nopics.sjc>
Source record: 50599

I am looking desperatly for the frequency to the todd road jail Can someone
please help!please!
you can email me at mb18766@directvinternet.com

thank you

785. Re: Discone Antenna Tuning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-16 05:09:21 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020316000921.05804.00001538@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 50600

>Subject: Discone Antenna Tuning
>From: "T.E.W." tew@fast.net
>Date: 3/15/2002 1:21 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <Ihhk8.15479$dn2.12924@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
>
>When you are only receiving, not transmitting, is it possible to tune the
>antenna with an SWR meter or other equipment, or doesn't it matter when just
>receiving?

You don't tune a discone, it's a wideband antenna. "Tuning" it at any given frequency would make others useless & defeat the whole purpose. A discone is a poor compromise compared to a narrow band antenna when compared side by side on one frequency, but it covers a wider range of frequencies effectively than most other designs.
In the case of transmitting on a discone, an SWR meter can confirm the transmission line is intact & the connections are good but there's nothing to adjust on the antenna. If the frequency is within the acceptable range of the discone, the SWR will be (marginally at the edges of the coverage) OK.

786. Re: X-No-Archive: yes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-16 05:20:21 UTC
From: Me <Dontemailme@mycomputer.net>
Message-ID: <pCAk8.1322$PL1.103944@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 50601
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Not a problem. I haven't covered my tracks in a long time. Even using a spoofed return address, one could find out a great deal about me with minimal effort.

Mik
"Tom Clyne" <tc60@optonline.net> wrote in message news:3C923F2E.6365467E@optonline.net...
Thanks.
Me wrote:

"Tom Clyne" <tc60@optonline.net> wrote in message news:3C8D7C52.22C1D0F4@optonline.net...Ok... but WHY do you not want any of your posts to be archived??? I could see if I wrote some flame mail that I might want not saved; or if I had to ask a question that I thought might be a stupid question (like THIS one, perhaps); but why No-Archive everything?
TC

BTW, it IS a cool trick - thanks for the tip - got any more?

Tom,

Information about a poster that is archived is available for a LONG time. A very long time ago, I managed to become the target of a bored troll in another group. He followed me around for a while and would harrass and pester me. Upon checking the headers, he was posting through the now defunct DejaNews. I tried to access his account just to mess with his head. But, alas, I could not crack his password. So, I began researching him. Discovered his wife also used their Deja account to post friendly messages to other groups. Then, I began taking notes. The wife had posted to several genealogical (sp) groups tracing her family roots. What is the most comonly used hint for lost passwords? Why - your mother's maiden name - right? Guess what his wife had posted and had been archived from years gone by? After I managed to access his email and sent him a letter to his ISP POP3 acct from his own Deja acct., he decided to leave me alone.

I did later drop the guy a note and apologize for my invasive methods. And I then told him how I had done it, so that hopefully he would learn to not leave sensitive info on the net.

Mik

787. Re: Flying with scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-16 05:20:59 UTC
From: Pat Hart <pathart@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <u95lli4oqoem13@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50602

I've flown across the country twice in 3 months. Carried a scanner & other
toys. If it doesn't look like a bomb then don't worry.

"Bob Fitch" <crfitchjr@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:df309ukf50o73r3pvb52qcsdgj82dghg1p@4ax.com...
> I plan to fly this weekend to San Francisco. Of course I would like
> to take my scanner. I have not flown since the new security
> procedures. Will the screeners freak out if I have a scanner in my
> carry-on satchel? Should I put in my check-in luggage?
>
> Thanks

788. Re: Anyone try the new Trunk Scan Star software - Pro93 version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-16 06:16:26 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020316011626.15962.00001368@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 50603

I agree with the post that says Win93 00.19 is better by far.

Eric G.
education CANNOT buy common sense

789. Re: Spread Spectrum Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-16 06:27:02 UTC
From: Mike <room4me@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <WABk8.50466$uA5.55385@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 50604

Yes there is. You need a device called a Bragg Cell, which is used in conjunction with a wide band receiver, a LASER and a high speed photodiode array. The unit breaks a wide RF spectrum into the constituent data bits, which to the ear sound like noise but represent the spread spectrum signals as they move in a pseudo random pattern. This is fed into the Bragg cell while the LASER is projected thru the Bragg Cell. Like a prism, it projects these noise bursts onto the individual diodes in the photodiode array. It takes a CRAY or similar computer with the appropriate software which can look at them in near real time and determine that there is "intelligence" present in the noise. This then manages a receiver to track and decode the signal.

This is a big $ solution, but not very mobile.

Mike

"MRK" <me@you.com> wrote in message
news:u95bcpn2g6j063@corp.supernews.com...
> Is there any way to hack Frequency Hoping Digital Spread Spectrum?
Assuming
> money was no object. I'm talking about buying governmet equipment. Is
> there a program that will crack the algorithim?
>
>

790. Re: Discone Antenna Tuning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-16 14:46:47 UTC
From: DougSlug <dw_firewall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <rVIk8.9445$Wp2.2271236@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 50606

Actually, the Radio Shack discone has a 6m coil and whip for tuning. The whip is shipped a little longer so that it can be trimmed down for tuning within the 50-54 MHz range. I was able to tune it very nicely using an SWR meter. The performance, however, is probably not as good as a narrow band antenna designed for that band (as you stated).

- Doug

"Neutrodyne" <neutrodyne@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020316000921.05804.00001538@mb-bk.aol.com...
> >Subject: Discone Antenna Tuning
> >From: "T.E.W." tew@fast.net
> >Date: 3/15/2002 1:21 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <Ihhk8.15479$dn2.12924@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
> >
> >When you are only receiving, not transmitting, is it possible to tune the
> >antenna with an SWR meter or other equipment, or doesn't it matter when
just
> >receiving?
>
> You don't tune a discone, it's a wideband antenna. "Tuning" it at any
> given frequency would make others useless & defeat the whole purpose. A
discone
> is a poor compromise compared to a narrow band antenna when compared side
by
> side on one frequency, but it covers a wider range of frequencies
effectively
> than most other designs.
> In the case of transmitting on a discone, an SWR meter can confirm
the
> transmission line is intact & the connections are good but there's nothing
to
> adjust on the antenna. If the frequency is within the acceptable range of
the
> discone, the SWR will be (marginally at the edges of the coverage) OK.

791. FS...BC9000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-16 14:54:17 UTC
From: swardfoto@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020316095417.23216.00001754@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 50607

I have a BC9000XLT for sale. It has the CTCSS board installed as well as a discrminator tap. It includes the stock antenna, power supply, operating manual and original packaging.

Asking $225 shipped within CONUS.

792. help with UHF trunking.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-16 15:35:55 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020316103555.07712.00001143@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 50608

I have at least one UHF trunked system in my area (Shasta County, Calif). A company I work for uses it (as well as several others). I know at least 5 trunked frerquencies that OUR company uses for this system. I have located what appears to be 3 data channels int he 400 Mhz range (based on the analog sound it puts out). My question is, with my Pro 93 scanner, how can I find out if it is an EDACS system (the only UHF trunked a Pro-93 is capable of receiving) by experimentation with inputting the control frequencies and/or the 5 voice frequencies I know of? I would like to filter out other businesses and be bale to hear my company on my scanner. I have yet to find anyone who knows how to successfully program a UHF system in their scaner.
Eric G.
education CANNOT buy common sense

793. Most common text file format for online frequency lists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-16 15:47:32 UTC
From: agrumpyoldfart@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <mkq69ucsr5266m6m7uevhlu8kdfsuoqbfi@4ax.com>
Source record: 50609

Anyone have views on the most common frequency lists I can get online?
I want to write an excel spreadsheet to sort and maintain them.

I'd like to use the 1 or 2 most common formats so I can have excel
import it as a delimited file and stuff the right things in the right
places in the spreadsheet.

Any suggestions will be greatfully received.

--

Grumpy The Third Dwarf
AKA Dave Johnson

==========
Metamucil, the ultimate load binder
==========

794. Re: help with UHF trunking.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-16 15:53:19 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <nospam@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <x0OuPWAvp2k8Ewtx@g7hid.lineone.net>
Source record: 50610

In article <20020316103555.07712.00001143@mb-mb.aol.com>, Eric G.
<emoney250@aol.com> writes
>I have at least one UHF trunked system in my area (Shasta County, Calif). A
>company I work for uses it (as well as several others). I know at least 5
>trunked frerquencies that OUR company uses for this system. I have located
>what appears to be 3 data channels int he 400 Mhz range (based on the analog
>sound it puts out). My question is, with my Pro 93 scanner, how can I find out
>if it is an EDACS system (the only UHF trunked a Pro-93 is capable of
>receiving) by experimentation with inputting the control frequencies and/or the
>5 voice frequencies I know of? I would like to filter out other businesses and
>be bale to hear my company on my scanner. I have yet to find anyone who knows
>how to successfully program a UHF system in their scaner.

The PRO-93 is also capable of receiving UHF Motorola trunked systems..

--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
: don't fix it !

795. Re: Discone Antenna Tuning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-16 15:56:39 UTC
From: Dale Parfitt <parinc@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3C936A22.839612BB@gte.net>
Source record: 50611

DougSlug wrote:

> Actually, the Radio Shack discone has a 6m coil and whip for tuning. The
> whip is shipped a little longer so that it can be trimmed down for tuning
> within the 50-54 MHz range. I was able to tune it very nicely using an SWR
> meter. The performance, however, is probably not as good as a narrow band
> antenna designed for that band (as you stated).
>

It IS a narrowband antenna when used on 6M- nothing more than an inductively loaded ground plane- with short radials. It is not functioning as a discone at these frequencies.

Dale W4OP

796. RS Scanner Crystals?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-16 16:45:47 UTC
From: Rusty <rusty@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3C9376BB.2030102@dccnet.com>
Source record: 50612

Hi there, can someone tell me where the cheapest place to buy Radio
Shack scanner crystals from other than Radio Shack.
Thanks for any help!
please respond to the address below
rusty@dccnet.com

797. Re: Discone Antenna Tuning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-16 17:21:11 UTC
From: "Ed G." <Spurious@_REMOVE_StarBand.net>
Message-ID: <Xns91D35F47CE643Frog207Junocom@148.78.248.76>
Source record: 50613
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> When you are only receiving, not transmitting, is it possible to tune
> the antenna with an SWR meter or other equipment, or doesn't it matter
> when just receiving?
>

With the possible exception of the low-band vertical radiator at the top of some discones, there's nothing to tune. It's an extremely broadband antenna, anyway. Nothing to tune to, in a practical sense.

Ed

798. New Channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-16 17:50:02 UTC
From: Andrew P Long <along@adcweb.com>
Message-ID: <eBLk8.194555$s43.42368369@typhoon.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 50614

I have added a new live scanner feed to my web site:
http://radioscanning.wox.org

The new feed futures the Columbus Police Departments Zone 3 Dispatch Channel
and can be found at:
http://radioscanning.wox.org/Live_audio/cpd_live_radio_audio.htm

Enjoy,

Andrew

799. Re: Flying with scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-16 18:51:16 UTC
From: *Sorcerer* <rog@spamwalesuk.net>
Message-ID: <a70473cbt1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 50615

>>>>>I've flown across the country twice in 3 months<<<<<<<<
your arms must be worn out

Sorcerer
Please Remove spam for reply

"Pat Hart" <pathart@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:u95lli4oqoem13@corp.supernews.com...
> . Carried a scanner & other
> toys. If it doesn't look like a bomb then don't worry.
>
> "Bob Fitch" <crfitchjr@attbi.com> wrote in message
> news:df309ukf50o73r3pvb52qcsdgj82dghg1p@4ax.com...
> > I plan to fly this weekend to San Francisco. Of course I would like
> > to take my scanner. I have not flown since the new security
> > procedures. Will the screeners freak out if I have a scanner in my
> > carry-on satchel? Should I put in my check-in luggage?
> >
> > Thanks
>
>

800. Re: FS: MAX232 IC for PC to Radio Interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-16 19:11:40 UTC
From: nr6z@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9c46f45b.0203161111.6e96db2f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50616

only have two MAX232 left over for $3 each.

nr6z@yahoo.com (NR6Z) wrote in message
news:<9c46f45b.0203151636.61b9bce3@posting.google.com>...
> I have four MAX232 RS232 transceiver IC chips for sale.
> Asking $3 each includes postage for mailing in USA.
> or $10 for all 4.
>
> Check out a radio interface using a MAX232:
> http://www.qsl.net/nr6z/images/max232_interface.gif
>
> If interested, please email me directly
> nr6z@yahoo.com
>
> do not reply to this post.

801. China/Beijing Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-16 20:38:58 UTC
From: wilrobnson@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020316153858.07176.00001482@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 50617

Does anyone know where I can get some info on scanning (esp police/public safety) in China, esp the Beijing area? I've looked all over the web with no results other than HF stuff. I'm probably going to be over that way this summer, and the girlfriend has agreed to translate what I hear (probably not as often as I'd like though). I had this vague idea they're in the 300mhz range, but cannot find anything to confirm this...

TIA
Brett

802. Re: icom ic-r8500 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-16 20:57:39 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3c93b1a2$0$1410$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 50618
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You can download and install tk8500 from
my web page. Tk8500 is open source software for
the ICOM IC-R8500 and tk8500 works on several
operating systems.

I don't warrant tk8500, but it won't cost
you anything.

On Sat, 16 Mar 2002 10:07:01 -0600, Jonnymachon wrote:

> what is the best computer controlled software for this radio and where
> can i find it

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

803. 499 Dollars for Plug Uniden Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-16 21:20:49 UTC
From: Tom <death.to@spam.box>
Message-ID: <a70d0901bjv@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50619

Hi,
At work we just got a packet of information on the upcoming Uniden Digital Scanners at the department store I work at. The scanner itself has a suggested retail price of $350.00, but the plug in card to hear the police encrypted channels will retail for $499.00. Don't expect the price to be much lower, maybe twenty dollars less because the Uniden actual price for retailers only allows a very small profit above wholesale price. To get the best profit a retailer needs to order 2500 units at a time.
My boss said to go ahead with the scanners, but we will not sell the digital boards, unless they become popular.

804. Re: 499 Dollars for Plug Uniden Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-16 22:00:15 UTC
From: n9llo@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020316170015.06120.00001613@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 50620

I'm glad you won't be selling them since you know nothing about them. It has nothing to do with "encryption"

Chris

805. Re: 499 Dollars for Plug Uniden Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-16 22:45:11 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a70hsb$hsh2o$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50621

Aww. c'mon now.. he stated he works at a department store and it is NOT a specialty radio store. Do you honestly expect a department store to know very much about the digital systems that he is talking about. Heck he most likely knows more about it than I do since he just received the information packet from Uniden. maybe just excited he got it and want to share it with us. He shows enough interest in the hobby and product. Be glad that at least he will probably be the most informed employee concerning the item. You never know if that is the closest/cheapest place to buy it when they begin their deployment of it.

I think it is a very good post clearly stating that Uniden IS sending out information packets concerning their radios to their retailers. He talks of the MSRP, a quantity break for the units and even the fact that they do NOT plan on stocking the digital boards unless they are inquired about often enough.

Thanks Tom.

"Chris" <n9llo@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020316170015.06120.00001613@mb-mc.aol.com...
> I'm glad you won't be selling them since you know nothing about them. It
has
> nothing to do with "encryption"
>
> Chris
>

806. Re: 499 Dollars for Plug Uniden Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 00:26:10 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3c93e34f.6262316@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 50622

I suspect that he misread it, and that the radio WITH the digital
board will be $499

On Sat, 16 Mar 2002 16:45:11 -0600, "Lurking Fool" <Lurker@Home.Com>
wrote:

>Aww. c'mon now.. he stated he works at a department store and it is NOT a
>specialty radio store. Do you honestly expect a department store to know
>very much about the digital systems that he is talking about. Heck he most
>likely knows more about it than I do since he just received the information
>packet from Uniden. maybe just excited he got it and want to share it with
>us. He shows enough interest in the hobby and product. Be glad that at least
>he will probably be the most informed employee concerning the item. You
>never know if that is the closest/cheapest place to buy it when they begin
>their deployment of it.
>
>I think it is a very good post clearly stating that Uniden IS sending out
>information packets concerning their radios to their retailers. He talks of
>the MSRP, a quantity break for the units and even the fact that they do NOT
>plan on stocking the digital boards unless they are inquired about often
>enough.
>
>Thanks Tom.
>
>
>"Chris" <n9llo@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020316170015.06120.00001613@mb-mc.aol.com...
>> I'm glad you won't be selling them since you know nothing about them. It
>has
>> nothing to do with "encryption"
>>
>> Chris
>>
>
>

807. Cheap laptop for sale - control your scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 00:27:49 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3c93e385.6316605@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 50623

Toshiba Portege 660CDT
Pentium 150
11.3" active color screen
1.4 gig hard drive
32 MB RAM
28.8 modem built in
Sound
1.44 floppy drive internal
Excellent condition.
$210.00 plus shipping
PCMCIA CD-ROM drive available for $50.00 extra
Above laptop is tested and working with Win98 SE
Has serial, parallel and PCMCIA ports - perfect for taking that
computer controlled receiver in the car with you!

808. FS/FT: /\/\ UHF P1225 & GP350

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 01:03:12 UTC
From: emtspruitt@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020316200312.11066.00002758@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 50624

P1225 16 channel, display, DTMF, speaker mic, charger. $350 or make an offer
GP350 16 channel with charger $300 or
make an offer

Programming available. Looking for VHF handheld. Please email direct with any offers. Shipping charges to be discussed depending on sale of trade.

809. Re: winradio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 01:25:28 UTC
From: befeleme@hushmail.com
Message-ID: <3c93ef0a.5149834@news-server>
Source record: 50625

On Tue, 12 Mar 2002 19:11:34 GMT, "Paul J. Wolsko"
<pwolsko@optonline.net> wrote:

I love my WR-1550e. But it is an external unit not a card!
It works quite well on HF (I use a preselector anyway) but my main
purpose for this radio is VHF/UHF scanning.

The good old fashioned tuning knob is a good thing, but after I have
tried the various PC tuning methods I can see the benefit on that side
too. There are some things you can't do with a conventional radio but
are great on a PC.

Frank

>Yes I have, and Winradio isn't bad - if you're in to the PC thing. I prefer
>tabletops and use a BC-780xlt for UHF/VHF stuff and a Drake R8B for the HF.
>One radio for both purposes doesn't exactly get it with respect to antenna
>switching, in my opinion. Also, people change computers often and the more
>you pull cards, the greater chance that it'll be the last time it works for
>lots of reasons.
>
>But I like to spin the knobs and all that old-fashioned stuff, so I prefer
>the traditional radio thing. <g>
>
>Cheers!
>
>Paul, KC2FNC
>
>
>
>
>"Irene@EuroEuro" <irene@euroeuro.net> wrote in message
>news:a6fkn2afe1@newsreader.mailgate.org...
>> Hi!!
>> Has anybody tested Winradio cards? What do you think about it? Any comment
>> appreciated!!
>> Bye,
>> Irene
>>
>>
>>
>
>

810. Re: China/Beijing Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 01:33:59 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020316203359.14740.00002452@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 50626

>Does anyone know where I can get some info on scanning (esp police/public safety) in China, esp the Beijing area?

I would check with the U.S. State Department before considering taking a scanner to China ... and then, leave it home.

Several weeks ago, a number of Americans traveling as a group were arrested, and jailed without visitation for several days, in Bejing for taking an interest in China's internal affairs. Several came back to the U.S. with broken bones and serious injuries.

There is alot of tension between the U.S. and China, currently, involving both trade and arms negotiations.

I can assure you that being seen with a scanner in Bejing will not be as pleasant an experience as being caught with one in a motor vehicle in Michigan, Florida, etc. You will have NO rights in China.

Now that I've expressed an opinion ... you might find someone on the vhfskip list on Yahoogrroups.com who is familiar with low and mid band communications in Southeast Asia. There are several prominent list members from New Zealand, Australia and the Pacific Rim.

Have a good, safe trip, Wil. If you get a chance, visit the Yantzee River power project ... awesome!

Jeff Multer
Fort Mill, S.C.

811. Re: 499 Dollars for Plug Uniden Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 02:27:46 UTC
From: teeavee@aol.comGETLOST
Message-ID: <20020316212746.21391.00003056@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 50627

What I first heard about the scanner when it was announced is that the add-on board would be in the $400.00 range and the scanner would be in the $350.00 dollar range...I think the sales guy might know what he is talking about....
SCANMAN@SCANNIN U .COM

812. Re: 499 Dollars for Plug Uniden Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 02:57:58 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a710m9$hhv86$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50628

I heard the same rumor..

750 for a total digital receiving radio..
outta my $$ range!

"Teeavee" <teeavee@aol.comGETLOST> wrote in message
news:20020316212746.21391.00003056@mb-mq.aol.com...
> What I first heard about the scanner when it was announced is that the
add-on
> board would be in the $400.00 range and the scanner would be in the
$350.00
> dollar range...I think the sales guy might know what he is talking
about....
> SCANMAN@SCANNIN U .COM

813. Re: FS: BC245xlt Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 03:13:56 UTC
From: rightwingx3000@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020316221356.18233.00002106@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 50629

where's the manual vitto ...

814. Re: help with UHF trunking.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 05:06:31 UTC
From: Peter Hunt <news@peterhunt.zoetermeernet.nl>
Message-ID: <a7183m$38h$1@news1.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 50630

> My question is, with my Pro 93 scanner, how can I find out if it is an
EDACS system

Take a look at: http://www.trunkedradio.net/digital/download_old.htm

Here you can find digital samples of several trunked systems, which can help
you finding out which kind of system you are receiving.

Good luck!

Bye, Peter Hunt.

815. Re: China/Beijing Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 05:35:54 UTC
From: "Ed G." <Spurious@_REMOVE_StarBand.net>
Message-ID: <Xns91D3DBC09CC94Frog207Junocom@148.78.248.76>
Source record: 50631

> Does anyone know where I can get some info on scanning (esp
> police/public safety) in China, esp the Beijing area? I've looked all
> over the web with no results other than HF stuff. I'm probably going to
> be over that way this summer, and the girlfriend has agreed to
> translate what I hear (probably not as often as I'd like though). I had
> this vague idea they're in the 300mhz range, but cannot find anything

Listen to Jeff, Bret. China is NOT the US and you would be taking a BIG
risk to do as you're suggesting.

Ed

816. Re: FS: BC245xlt Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 06:15:07 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <u98d0r26erdl97@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50632

On Uniden's website, Jerome...

PH

"Rightwingx3000" <rightwingx3000@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020316221356.18233.00002106@mb-fg.aol.com...
> where's the manual vitto ...

817. FS: Realistic Pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 06:54:52 UTC
From: Ron Wilbanks <NYC6011@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3C943EC9.F0AEB9C3@ameritech.net>
Source record: 50633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave

Hello everyone:

I have a Realistic Pro-2004, 400 channel, continuous coverage receiver for sale. Unit is in great condition and comes from a non-smoking environment! Asking price is $285 with shipping included via UPS ground or Best Offer! Unit is guaranteed not DOA. However, with all electronics, it's sold as is.

Feel free to e-mail any questions.

73's
--
==========

Ron Wilbanks

Freelance Photographer, Writer, Historian, Railfan, Roadgeek, and
Michigan State Editor, for "Monitor America"

*** Spam Filter in place 6011-11 = correct reply address! ***

"Enjoy and explore our Nation's U.S. Highway System:
you'll be richer for the endless experiences that await you!!!!" :)
==========

818. Re: China/Beijing Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 09:18:18 UTC
From: "Hanno, DG8JZ" <hanno@dg8jz.de>
Message-ID: <a71n7abdc05$1@news.t-online.com>
Source record: 50634

Hello,
I`ve been to China, Shanghai 2 years ago and of course looked around. I would strictly recommend to take NO ham or scanner equipment with you. Be careful...and to make it a little bit easy...I think it will give you only pleasure if you speak the language. No official speak any english words etc... everything is in chinease language.
Greetings

Hanno, DG8JZ
"WilRobnson" <wilrobnson@aol.com> schrieb im Newsbeitrag news:20020316153858.07176.00001482@mb-cr.aol.com...
> Does anyone know where I can get some info on scanning (esp police/public
> safety) in China, esp the Beijing area? I've looked all over the web with no
> results other than HF stuff. I'm probably going to be over that way this
> summer, and the girlfriend has agreed to translate what I hear (probably not as
> often as I'd like though). I had this vague idea they're in the 300mhz range,
> but cannot find anything to confirm this...
>
> TIA
> Brett

819. Re: EDACS Radio System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 12:15:16 UTC
From: n9llo@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020317071516.06043.00001650@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 50635

>My local police department and sherrifs department recently switched
>>> over to a 900Mhz EDACS based radio system.

My BC245 wont do 900Mhz Edacs. What is different about 900 ? Also use MDT's
on local 800 Edacs system. Anyone got any info on Edacs MDT's

820. Rear Window Defogger Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 12:17:27 UTC
From: a@b.invalid
Message-ID: <a721gn$6rv$1@plonk.apk.net>
Source record: 50636
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I've noticed that many late model cars incorporate the AM/FM antenna in to the vehicle's rear window defogger. I was wondering if anyone has any information on this matter. I have mildly experimented with this idea (I currently have my car radio antenna inductivly coupled (via a modified RadioShack glass-mount scanner antenna) to one of the power leads (via two alligator clips and a short piece of wire) of my rear window's defogger.

Currently, FM reception is good to very good, but AM is terrible (lots of electrical interference.)

I've noticed that vehicles which utilize this method of radio reception have a more complex series of traces on the window. These traces reminded me of circuit board antennas, and if anyone has any good links on that subject, I would appreciate any information.

I was thinking of making a more permananent installation of this type of antenna, using a capacitor to connect the center conductor of my coax to the defogger, and grounding the shield of the coax. I would appreciate any suggestions for capacitor values.

Finally, I have noticed no difference in reception when the defogger is on or off.

Thanks,

Dan

821. Re: FS: Realistic Pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 13:12:08 UTC
From: Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ll599u8j7hjahksqtga02o9eea24bu9kfi@4ax.com>
Source record: 50637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 17 Mar 2002 06:54:52 GMT, Ron Wilbanks <NYC6011@ameritech.net>
wrote:

>Hello everyone:
>
>I have a Realistic Pro-2004, 400 channel, continuous coverage receiver
>for sale. Unit is in great condition and comes from a non-smoking
>environment! Asking price is $285 with shipping included via UPS ground
>or Best Offer! Unit is guaranteed not DOA. However, with all
>electronics, it's sold as is.
>
>
>Feel free to e-mail any questions.
>
>73's

$285 for a 2004?? <shaking head>

822. Re: FS: Realistic Pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 13:31:03 UTC
From: John McCabe <Nospam@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6b699usa22rtrk852cag5nj4lcljjb8sas@4ax.com>
Source record: 50638
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 17 Mar 2002 13:12:08 GMT, Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com> wrote:

>On Sun, 17 Mar 2002 06:54:52 GMT, Ron Wilbanks <NYC6011@ameritech.net>
>wrote:
>
>>Hello everyone:
>>
>>I have a Realistic Pro-2004, 400 channel, continuous coverage receiver
>>for sale. Unit is in great condition and comes from a non-smoking
>>environment! Asking price is $285 with shipping included via UPS ground
>>or Best Offer! Unit is guaranteed not DOA. However, with all
>>electronics, it's sold as is.
>>
>>
>>Feel free to e-mail any questions.
>>
>>73's
>
>$285 for a 2004?? <shaking head>

Hell, you can get a near mint 2006 for less than $285. A near mint
2004 goes for less than $200 shipped.

823. Re: 499 Dollars for Plug Uniden Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 13:41:12 UTC
From: Troy <troyrow@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c949d23$0$11564$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 50639

That makes sense. Most of the R&D cost would have gone into the digital board. The scanners themselves are just evolutions of the 780.

"Teeavee" <teeavee@aol.comGETLOST> wrote in message news:20020316212746.21391.00003056@mb-mq.aol.com...
> What I first heard about the scanner when it was announced is that the add-on
> board would be in the $400.00 range and the scanner would be in the $350.00
> dollar range...I think the sales guy might know what he is talking about....
> SCANMAN@SCANNIN U .COM

824. Re: Realistic Pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 14:32:28 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <0O1l8.3575$Zr3.1277267@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50640
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave

Damm I will take $225.00 for a 1000 channel scanner.Pro-2042 in great condition.I should try for $325.00.On Ebay you may get some fool to buy it for that.Oh well!
"Ron Wilbanks" <NYC6011@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:3C943EC9.F0AEB9C3@ameritech.net...
> Hello everyone:
>
> I have a Realistic Pro-2004, 400 channel, continuous coverage receiver
> for sale. Unit is in great condition and comes from a non-smoking
> environment! Asking price is $285 with shipping included via UPS ground
> or Best Offer! Unit is guaranteed not DOA. However, with all
> electronics, it's sold as is.
>
>
> Feel free to e-mail any questions.
>
> 73's
> --
> ==========
>
> Ron Wilbanks
>
> Freelance Photographer, Writer, Historian, Railfan, Roadgeek, and
> Michigan State Editor, for "Monitor America"
>
> *** Spam Filter in place 6011-11 = correct reply address! ***
>
> "Enjoy and explore our Nation's U.S. Highway System:
> you'll be richer for the endless experiences that await you!!!!" :)
> ==========
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.333 / Virus Database: 187 - Release Date: 3/8/2002

825. scanner broadcasting tip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 15:01:03 UTC
From: mitbr <hmm@anywhere.net>
Message-ID: <Pc2l8.6081$9F2.2111@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 50641

For those of you who are using the Shoutcast server to broadcast your scanner on the net you can set up a chat room to be accessed from the Shoutcast yp directory or your mirc client very easily. To set up the text chat room via the 1-8-0 dsp place #scanner in the white box beside irc , if you are using the 1-8-2 dsp place the word scanner with no # sign in front in the white box labled irc in the yellow pages tab. I sugessted the chat room named scanner to get every one into a common chat room.Now when you click on the chat button in the Shoutcast yp directory beside your broadcast it will launch the chat room. You can use the chat room to chat with others about the hobby. Also if you havn't done so already put scanner as one of the genres for your broadcast and you will show up in the scanner directory on the Shoutcast directory. The url is below for this directory.
http://yp.shoutcast.com/directory/?s=scanner&st=gs&l=50
Want to broadcast your scanner on the net but don't know how.Please visit my tutorial on this topic,its easy to set up and 100% free.
Happy scanning!
Tim
KG4JJS
Please visit my Tampa Bay Radio Page at the url below
http://home1.gte.net/res0plht/Mi01000.htm

826. Re: Realistic Pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 15:51:07 UTC
From: steve <stevejfranklin@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3C94B983.2855E125@attbi.com>
Source record: 50642
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave

I got a 2004 also , the LCD does not light up , but any offers?

>

827. Re: BC245xlt Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 16:24:20 UTC
From: Mike/Amy <ambraun@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <Uq3l8.15105$Or3.2585903@typhoon.mn.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 50643

the web sit to Uniden OK www.uniden.com

"RadAct" <juafi@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3c883b28.7099665@news1.coam.net...
> Picked up in a partial trade, a like brand new BC245xlt Trunking
> handheld scanner. Comes with a leather carry case, two batteries,
> charging craddle so you can always have a battery charged up, wall and
> car charger.
>
> No manual but you can find it on the net.
>
> The extra battery and craddle is just bought last week and they are
> still shrinked wrapped in the package.
>
> I don't need this. I have enough scanners already.
>
> Price: $160 shipped COD or PrePay from Las Vegas

828. help me with a PRO-64 PLEASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 16:53:02 UTC
From: Mike/Amy <ambraun@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <OR3l8.15107$Or3.2588476@typhoon.mn.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 50644

Hello I am Michael

I know the PRO-64 can be uploaded from a PC.
can any one tell me how to do that I cant see well so if I can get this to
work I can PGM my scanner agen if you can help please leat me know thank you

thank you from Michael / Amy

829. Re: Realistic Pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 16:57:15 UTC
From: Alexander McNaughton <treehug3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <dV3l8.896$mZ3.103532@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 50645
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave

> Asking price is $285 with shipping included via UPS ground

Hahahahahahahahahahahhahahahahhahahahahahhahahahahahhahahahahaha
Is that a joke?

830. Re: Realistic Pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 17:17:55 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@cox.net>
Message-ID: <7d4l8.5502$J54.534446@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 50646
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave

I am selling my NIB 2006 for $375, so the 2004 is not a bad deal.

"Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:dV3l8.896$mZ3.103532@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > Asking price is $285 with shipping included via UPS ground
>
> Hahahahahahahahahahahhahahahahhahahahahahhahahahahahhahahahahaha
> Is that a joke?
>
>

831. Re: Rear Window Defogger Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 17:31:52 UTC
From: ATD <capt-tripps@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <3C94D307.1D92C0D2@comcast.net>
Source record: 50547
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Why not get a 'y' splitter cable and tap into the antenna that way.
Also consider adding a small wideband preamp in line to compensate for
the 3db loss.

I'm sure this will work well for casual listening.

ATD

a@b.invalid wrote:
>
> I've noticed that many late model cars incorporate the AM/FM
> antenna in to the vehicle's rear window defogger. I was wondering if
> anyone has any information on this matter. I have mildly experimented
> with this idea (I currently have my car radio antenna inductivly coupled
> (via a modified RadioShack glass-mount scanner antenna) to one of the
> power leads (via two alligator clips and a short piece of wire) of my rear
> window's defogger.
>
>

832. Re: help me with a PRO-64 PLEASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 18:00:04 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <jQ4l8.2752$17.1743258@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 50548

There are programs for the PRO-64 at
http://home.cox.rr.com/kplotkin/scanner.htm. I believe you can buy a cable
that works with the PRO-64 at Radio Shack. Ask for catalog number 20-048. It
comes with software but the software is not usable with the PRO-64. Package
price is only $15 so it's worth it just to get the cable.

"Mike/Amy" <ambraun@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:OR3l8.15107$Or3.2588476@typhoon.mn.ipsvc.net...
> Hello I am Michael
>
> I know the PRO-64 can be uploaded from a PC.
> can any one tell me how to do that I cant see well so if I can get this to
> work I can PGM my scanner agen if you can help please leat me know thank
you
>
> thank you from Michael / Amy
>
>

833. Re: Realistic Pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 20:07:02 UTC
From: Ron Wilbanks <NYC6011@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3C94F870.1CF7ABB8@ameritech.net>
Source record: 50549
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave

Notice I said "Best Offer" :-) My friend sold his 2004 on e-bay for $335.00,
last year and I wasn't certain about what price to ask for.

I have no problem with it going out the door for $200.00 + shipping!

73's

Ron

--
==========
Ron Wilbanks

Freelance Photographer, Writer, Historian, Railfan, Roadgeek, and
Michigan State Editor, for "Monitor America"

*** Spam Filter in place 6011-11 = correct reply address! ***

"Enjoy and explore our Nation's U.S. Highway System:
you'll be richer for the endless experiences that await you!!!!" :)
==========

834. Re: FS: Realistic Pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 20:33:05 UTC
From: yippykaiyo <yippykaiyo@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8ev99u0m1u3av7tv2avj0od5uscpan17gh@4ax.com>
Source record: 50550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave

the going price for the pro-2006 is about $210.00 list and $190.00
on sale ... maybe people would pay so much for a 2004 ... but why ...

http://www.hammerheadtech.com/Merchant2/merchant.mv?Screen=PROD&Store_Code=HT&Product_Code
=Realistic+Pro-2006

idunno

On Sun, 17 Mar 2002 06:54:52 GMT, Ron Wilbanks <NYC6011@ameritech.net>
wrote:

>Hello everyone:
>
>I have a Realistic Pro-2004, 400 channel, continuous coverage receiver
>for sale. Unit is in great condition and comes from a non-smoking
>environment! Asking price is $285 with shipping included via UPS ground
>or Best Offer! Unit is guaranteed not DOA. However, with all
>electronics, it's sold as is.
>
>
>Feel free to e-mail any questions.
>
>73's

835. Re: Realistic Pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 20:42:20 UTC
From: yippykaiyo <yippykaiyo@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <civ99u4smdutdbj0r9j9cdolvp6k8ou9hp@4ax.com>
Source record: 50551
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave

even THAT would be a bit excessive when you consider the faster
speed and features of the flagship of the pro-200X line ...and it's
usual price is only $210.00 and $190.00 on sale here ....

http://www.hammerheadtech.com/Merchant2/merchant.mv?Screen=PROD&Store_Code=HT&Product_Code
=Realistic+Pro-2006

buying thru established merchants (with a return privilege) is
highly desirable ... not to mention these 2006s have ALL the mods
(even slicer) done in a professional shop.
I have several pro-2005s and 2006s and most of them do poorly
with programs like MDTMonitor , mobitex and banapia ..but these
pro modified units provide slicer outputs which allow these programs
to work perfectly. A plus worth thinking about too.

cheers
idunno

On Sun, 17 Mar 2002 20:07:02 GMT, Ron Wilbanks <NYC6011@ameritech.net>
wrote:

>Notice I said "Best Offer" :-) My friend sold his 2004 on e-bay for $335.00,
>last year and I wasn't certain about what price to ask for.
>
>
>I have no problem with it going out the door for $200.00 + shipping!
>
>
>73's
>
>Ron

836. Re: Rear Window Defogger Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 20:44:58 UTC
From: Mike <room4me@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <df7l8.57648$af7.42242@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 50552
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Dan,

You maybe thinking of flex PCB material. I've worked in the industry and I don't think it would be cost effective to do that as the engineering costs to make up one or two would be prohibitive unless you want to market them. Most small shops who can and will do small quantities of PCB's , don't have the technology for flex PCB's.

There was a company making on the glass AM/FM antennas which had the wire embedded in the tape. I bought one years ago from JC Whitney. You stuck it around the inside of your window and tucked the cable under the dash. They have a web site, jcwhitney.com. but I didn't see this on the site. It may still be in the catalog.

You might experiment with the self stick foil made for alarm systems to put on glass. It has self stick terminals made for attaching wires to it. Once you're happy with the tuning, just put a little clear acrylic over to protect it. If you used a metal straight edge and a razor knife, you could make it thinner, hence not as obtrusive as the full width.

Another thought is to use the window defroster repair liquid and make up a stencil from tape and paint that on, assuming it will stick directly to glass. I'm not sure how to terminate it to the coax however.

Mike
<a@b.invalid> wrote in message news:a721gn$6rv$1@plonk.apk.net...
>
> I've noticed that many late model cars incorporate the AM/FM
> antenna in to the vehicle's rear window defogger. I was wondering if
> anyone has any information on this matter. I have mildly experimented
> with this idea (I currently have my car radio antenna inductivly coupled
> (via a modified RadioShack glass-mount scanner antenna) to one of the
> power leads (via two alligator clips and a short piece of wire) of my rear
> window's defogger.
>
> Currently, FM reception is good to very good, but AM is terrible
> (lots of electrical interference.)
>
> I've noticed that vehicles which utilize this method of radio
> reception have a more complex series of traces on the window. These
> traces reminded me of circuit board antennas, and if anyone has any good
> links on that subject, I would appreciate any information.
>
> I was thinking of making a more permananent installation of this
> type of antenna, using a capacitor to connect the center conductor of my

> coax to the defogger, and grounding the shield of the coax. I would
> appreciate any suggestions for capacitor values.
>
> Finally, I have noticed no difference in reception when the
> defogger is on or off.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Dan
>
>

837. Re: Realistic Pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 22:02:13 UTC
From: OptoScan <pro_2006_os456@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Fn8l8.4243$Zr3.1370687@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50553
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave

$500 and I'll pay corporate jet shipping.... :O)

"steve" <stevejfranklin@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:3C94B983.2855E125@attbi.com...
>
> I got a 2004 also , the LCD does not light up , but any offers?
>
> >
>

838. Re: Bose Wave radio FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 22:47:21 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3c951ff9@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 50554
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Send it back to Paul Harvey fow ALL yo $$$ Back!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:Mlqj8.160$x_2.37153@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> Cleaning out my toys.
> I have a black Bose wave radio that I am looking to sell.It comes with
> credit card remote,book and box.This is just the am and fm model.No cd or
> cassett.It works and looks great.Beautiful sound.I don't use it so I am
> getting it out for sale.I will take $200.oo for it and something for
> shipping.Email me if interested.Call 978-281-3359 in Mass.
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.333 / Virus Database: 187 - Release Date: 3/8/2002
>
>

839. Scanner reccomendation for 16 year old?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 23:00:17 UTC
From: Jeff Lackey <jlackey@chartermi.com>
Message-ID: <D320D4737EB85CBB.D0FA8F66AEAB482A.F02005CFBBD44420@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 50555

Looks like my son is going to get a shortwave radio and a scanner radio for his 16th birthday. I haven't checked out scanners in a while (I still use my old RS 2006 <G>) - what's a decent beginners scanner, i.e., good quality at a decent price? We're in a smaller town in Michigan, so 400 channels of storage isn't needed. However, good reception would be great - the signals aren't real strong around here and for now he'll have to use an indoor (i.e., telescoping) antenna (if there are any really good replacement antennas these days, that would be good info also.)

Thanks.

Jeff

840. Re: FS: Realistic Pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 23:07:05 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@cox.net>
Message-ID: <tk9l8.7714$J54.614838@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 50556
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave

The 2006 sold for over $350 new. I can't believe that the best scanner ever made is only going for $210.

"yippykaiyo" <yippykaiyo@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:8ev99u0m1u3av7tv2avj0od5uscpan17gh@4ax.com...
> the going price for the pro-2006 is about $210.00 list and $190.00
> on sale ... maybe people would pay so much for a 2004 ... but why ...
>
>
http://www.hammerheadtech.com/Merchant2/merchant.mv?Screen=PROD&Store_Code=HT&Product_Code=Realistic+Pro-2006
>
>
> idunno
>
>
>
> On Sun, 17 Mar 2002 06:54:52 GMT, Ron Wilbanks <NYC6011@ameritech.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Hello everyone:
> >
> >I have a Realistic Pro-2004, 400 channel, continuous coverage receiver
> >for sale. Unit is in great condition and comes from a non-smoking
> >environment! Asking price is $285 with shipping included via UPS ground
> >or Best Offer! Unit is guaranteed not DOA. However, with all
> >electronics, it's sold as is.
> >
> >
> >Feel free to e-mail any questions.
> >
> >73's
>

841. Re: Realistic Pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 23:13:11 UTC
From: Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <hr8a9ucq1l0cm03o48o481tfcq90ul06ff@4ax.com>
Source record: 50557
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 17 Mar 2002 17:17:55 GMT, "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@cox.net> wrote:

>I am selling my NIB 2006 for $375, so the 2004 is not a bad deal.

.....Then you woke up and faced reality.

>"Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:dV3l8.896$mZ3.103532@news20.bellglobal.com...
>> > Asking price is $285 with shipping included via UPS ground
>>
>> Hahahahahahahahahahahhahahahahhahahahahahhahahahahahhahahahahaha
>> Is that a joke?
>>
>>
>

842. Re: Realistic Pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-17 23:20:07 UTC
From: N8KDV <n8kdv@iserv.net>
Message-ID: <3C9524A7.5EB35DAF@iserv.net>
Source record: 50558
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave

PRO-2004's have been going on Ebay for $150-175

Darrin wrote:

> On Sun, 17 Mar 2002 17:17:55 GMT, "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@cox.net> wrote:
>
> >I am selling my NIB 2006 for $375, so the 2004 is not a bad deal.
>
> .....Then you woke up and faced reality.
>
> >"Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:dV3l8.896$mZ3.103532@news20.bellglobal.com...
> >> > Asking price is $285 with shipping included via UPS ground
> >>
> >> Hahahahahahahahahahahhahahahahhahahahahahhahahahahahhahahahahaha
> >> Is that a joke?
> >>
> >>
> >

843. Re: Scanner reccomendation for 16 year old?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 00:29:51 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@cox.net>
Message-ID: <3yal8.8347$J54.632299@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 50559

Why don't you get him a used PRO-2006? They are still the best scanner ever made.

"Jeff Lackey" <jlackey@chartermi.com> wrote in message news:D320D4737EB85CBB.D0FA8F66AEAB482A.F02005CFBBD44420@lp.airnews.net...
> Looks like my son is going to get a shortwave radio and a scanner
> radio for his 16th birthday. I haven't checked out scanners in a while
> (I still use my old RS 2006 <G>) - what's a decent beginners scanner,
> i.e., good quality at a decent price? We're in a smaller town in
> Michigan, so 400 channels of storage isn't needed. However, good
> reception would be great - the signals aren't real strong around here
> and for now he'll have to use an indoor (i.e., telescoping) antenna
> (if there are any really good replacement antennas these days, that
> would be good info also.)
>
> Thanks.
>
> Jeff

844. Re: Realistic Pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 00:53:43 UTC
From: mankind@aol.com
Message-ID: <91D4C9092mankindaolcom@68.39.105.157>
Source record: 50560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave

>PRO-2004's have been going on Ebay for $150-175

That's correct. I just checked my reference software which has about 10 2004s sold in ebay. Average price is around $150. For a 2005/2006 the average price is around 225

--
73 !

John :)

845. Re: Realistic Pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 01:05:27 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@cox.net>
Message-ID: <r3bl8.8700$J54.641196@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 50561
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave

I paid $360 for the 2006 in 1994. I still have the original receipt and the scanner is still new in the box. I bought three 2006s at that time and only used two of them.

"Darrin" <nospam@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:hr8a9ucq1l0cm03o48o481tfcq90ul06ff@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 17 Mar 2002 17:17:55 GMT, "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@cox.net> wrote:
>
> >I am selling my NIB 2006 for $375, so the 2004 is not a bad deal.
>
> .....Then you woke up and faced reality.
>
> >"Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:dV3l8.896$mZ3.103532@news20.bellglobal.com...
> >> > Asking price is $285 with shipping included via UPS ground
> >>
> >> Hahahahahahahahahahahhahahahahhahahahahahhahahahahahhahahahahaha
> >> Is that a joke?
> >>
> >>
> > >
>

846. Re: 499 Dollars for Plug Uniden Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 01:09:50 UTC
From: Tom <jbgreen@home.com>
Message-ID: <y7bl8.140693$uv5.10912007@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 50562

When can we get one?
Tom B.

"Troy" <troyrow@optusnet.com.au> wrote in message
news:3c949d23$0$11564$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> That makes sense. Most of the R&D cost would have gone into the digital
> board. The scanners themselves are just evolutions of the 780.
>
>
> "Teeavee" <teeavee@aol.comGETLOST> wrote in message
> news:20020316212746.21391.00003056@mb-mq.aol.com...
> > What I first heard about the scanner when it was announced is that the
> add-on
> > board would be in the $400.00 range and the scanner would be in the
> $350.00
> > dollar range...I think the sales guy might know what he is talking
> about....
> > SCANMAN@SCANNIN U .COM
>
>
>

847. Re: Scanner reccomendation for 16 year old?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 01:32:09 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020317203209.24376.00001448@mb-de.aol.com>
Source record: 50563

>Subject: Scanner reccomendation for 16 year old?
>From: Jeff Lackey jlackey@chartermi.com
>Date: 3/17/2002 5:00 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id:
><D320D4737EB85CBB.D0FA8F66AEAB482A.F02005CFBBD44420@lp.airnews.net>
>
>Looks like my son is going to get a shortwave radio and a scanner
>radio for his 16th birthday. I haven't checked out scanners in a while
>(I still use my old RS 2006 <G>) - what's a decent beginners scanner,
>i.e., good quality at a decent price? We're in a smaller town in
>Michigan, so 400 channels of storage isn't needed. However, good
>reception would be great - the signals aren't real strong around here
>and for now he'll have to use an indoor (i.e., telescoping) antenna
>(if there are any really good replacement antennas these days, that
>would be good info also.)
>
>Thanks.
>
>Jeff

If you don't need trunk tracking there are many good choices. I like handhelds because you can always plug in a speaker & a wall wart and they are as good as any base unit (open up a newer Uniden base & guess what's inside - the circuit board looks small enough to be a handheld!) 200-400 channels won't cost much more than 50, especially in the used market. A handheld that sells pretty cheap ($75 or so for a very clean one on Ebay) is the PRO-64. It has a good functional auto-search & self programming functions, it has respectable RF performance & a good scan rate.
Mine isn't for sale, but they come up on Ebay regularly. I think you get better RF sections in the units with more than the bare minimum number of channels. Radio Shack and Uniden both have many models that are similar but I'm quite familiar with the PRO-64. It isn't the best scanner ever made, but it isn't a $350 investment either.

Neutrodyne

848. Re: Scanner reccomendation for 16 year old?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 01:35:47 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <Tvbl8.10954$k5.4099261@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50564

Uniden Bearcat BC895-XLT @ $189.95 is a very good value!

Bill Crocker

"Jeff Lackey" <jlackey@chartermi.com> wrote in message
news:D320D4737EB85CBB.D0FA8F66AEAB482A.F02005CFBBD44420@lp.airnews.net...
> Looks like my son is going to get a shortwave radio and a scanner
> radio for his 16th birthday. I haven't checked out scanners in a while
> (I still use my old RS 2006 <G>) - what's a decent beginners scanner,
> i.e., good quality at a decent price? We're in a smaller town in
> Michigan, so 400 channels of storage isn't needed. However, good
> reception would be great - the signals aren't real strong around here
> and for now he'll have to use an indoor (i.e., telescoping) antenna
> (if there are any really good replacement antennas these days, that
> would be good info also.)
>
> Thanks.
>
> Jeff
>

849. Re: ham radio operators excempt from scanner laws?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 01:57:20 UTC
From: §§§ Raven §§§ <Americanmade3@excite.com>
Message-ID: <3C95497F.FE625F10@excite.com>
Source record: 50565
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.legal

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> I live in NC, and I am NOT aware of any State statute that makes listening
> to any radio comms
> illegal. Except, of course, for the Federal restriction on cellular
> monitoring. There are no *Local* statutes I know of, either, and it isn't
> illegal for an ordinary citizen to have a scanner in
> his vehicle so long as he does not use what he hears for monetary gain, nor
> reveals what he hears to 3rd parties, OR to evade capture while committing a
> crime.
>
> Jerry
Interesting note about such:
Floridas law reads "a scanner may not be permanantly mounted
in a vehicle.....
Wonder if that means a handheld laying in the front seat is ok?

850. Re: FS: Realistic Pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 02:31:05 UTC
From: roughplanet <roughplanet@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c95514e$0$16633$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 50567
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave

'The best scanner ever made'? Boy, that's what I call going out on a limb. You've obviously never owned a REAL scanning receiver. I can think of at least 10 that make the 2006 look like what it is; a cheap Radio Shack 'scanner', albeit the best of a bad lot. To get a serious scanning receiver, you have to pay serious money. And US$210 ain't gonna do the trick Jim. Try an Icom R-8500 if you want a REAL scanning receiver (sorry, I hate the word 'scanner' as it conjures up the sort of cheap & nasty efforts made by Uniden et.al.). You'll be glad you did. Oh, and by the way, anything with gaps doesn't count ;-).

Ruff

"Jim Wayda" <jwayda@cox.net> wrote in message
news:tk9l8.7714$J54.614838@news1.west.cox.net...
>The 2006 sold for over $350 new. I can't believe that the best >scanner ever made is only going for $210.

"yippykaiyo" <yippykaiyo@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:8ev99u0m1u3av7tv2avj0od5uscpan17gh@4ax.com...
>>the going price for the pro-2006 is about $210.00 list and >>$190.00 on sale ... maybe people would pay so much for a >>2004 ... but why ...

http://www.hammerheadtech.com/Merchant2/merchant.mv?Screen=PROD&Store_Code=H
>>>T&Product_Code=Realistic+Pro-2006
>> idunno

On Sun, 17 Mar 2002 06:54:52 GMT, Ron Wilbanks NYC6011@ameritech.net wrote:
>>>Hello everyone:
>>>I have a Realistic Pro-2004, 400 channel, continuous coverage >>>receiver for sale. Unit is in great condition and comes from a >>>non-smoking environment! Asking price is $285 with shipping >>>included via UPS ground or Best Offer! Unit is guaranteed not >>>DOA. However, with all electronics, it's sold as is.
>>>Feel free to e-mail any questions.
>>>
>>>73's

851. Re: Opinions of Burghardt's (RANT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 02:46:07 UTC
From: Runnyriver <noton6@microbrain.com>
Message-ID: <a73jo7$hsevv$1@ID-121301.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50566

"Mike" <mdickman@bevcomm.net> wrote in message
news:3C9055F2.F233A054@bevcomm.net...
> I refuse to use UPS, because of the cost and the "non"service, i.e. drop
off on
> the doorstep, leave w/neighbor. I have dealt w/Burghardt's quite a bit
and they
> are only charging what UPS tells them the cost is.
>
> I never use UPS unless I am absolutely forced to, I would rather look my
local
> postmaster in the eye...
>

ever notice lots of people say: I ship UPS only!

The bulk of UPS business is done by force, not cause people want to deal
with them!
I sure as hell never want the damn brown machine coming around here!

852. Cock fighting....RE: Realistic PRO -2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 02:57:23 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <nlcl8.28957$Vx1.2411940@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 50568

Gentlemen, and I use that term loosely...
Why all the hostility over what someone perceives to be the "best" scanner
or what they think is a fair price? If you don't like it, there's always
the delete key.
--
Jim

853. Iowa City, IA scanner frequency list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 03:14:00 UTC
From: john-thompson@uiowa.edu
Message-ID: <386e4ae3.0203171914.c8e2d3f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50569

Im having trouble finding IC frequencies, but here's what Ive got so far. None of these are verified (Im currently out of the state). If anyone has more, please post here. I would really like Univ of Iowa DPS and IC police... Hopefully, those will be the 8xx freqs.

IOWA:
DNR 155.595 MHZ
155.430 Iowa State Patrol CAR to CAR
155.445 " " " CIS
155.505 " " " AIR
458.700 " " " Portable Ch
458.625 " " " Portable Ch

155.595 DNR Car to Car

154.920 DCI "Purple" Car to Car

Iowa City Police, Fire, Transit, University of Iowa, Downtown Parking Garage and others

Frequencies:
856.2625 857.2625 858.2625 859.2625
860.2625
855.7125 856.9375

IA 122.750 AIRCRAFT-AIRCRAFT (AIR FREQ)
IA 154.710 CARS
IA 154.785 CARS
IA 154.920 CARS
IA 155.370 BASE-BASE
IA 155.430 AIRCRAFT / CAR-CAR
IA 155.445 AIRCRAFT / CAR-CAR
IA 155.505 AIRCRAFT / CAR-CAR
IA 155.655R 154.755M STATE PATROL / DPS CEDAR RAPIDS

854. Re: Cock fighting....RE: Realistic PRO -2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 03:40:18 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Ckdl8.76376$Ci6.17522257@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 50570

This rhetorical question constantly appears hypocritically in a forum supposedly designed for DISCUSSION.

So there you have your answer. It's not hostility, it's an open forum where discussion of such topics is it's primary goal, pro or con, good or bad, agree or disagree.

There is really not much substance here now as it is. Can you imagine what it would be if everyone just hit their delete key?

Dan

> Gentlemen, and I use that term loosely...
> Why all the hostility over what someone perceives to be the "best" scanner
> or what they think is a fair price? If you don't like it, there's always
> the delete key.
> --
> Jim
>
>

855. Re: Opinions of Burghardt's (RANT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 03:45:06 UTC
From: "Gary \"Joe\" Mayfield" <gary_mayfield@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3c95630a$0$3188$9bdb8983@news1>
Source record: 50571
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Burghardt's have always been straight with me. UPS is another story.
Shipping from or to South Dakota via UPS is a nightmare. I know I live
there. The charges never match what the web site says.

Joe
ka0yos(at)amsat.org

"Dennis" <DennisF@ABC.NET> wrote in message
news:a6oms1$frqnv$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> Do they normally charge so much for shipping? I mean $10.84 for UPS ground
> shipping for an Icom R2 case? Jeez! It is my fault for not asking for sure
> so I have no recourse.
>
> After hearing of another customer receiving an R2 with battery draw
problems
> and them telling him to deal with Icom directly (initially, then replacing
> it) seems like poor service. They have a lower price, then gouge in
shipping
> and that is awful to me.
>
> I am new to radios and am getting my feet wet with dealers. Sorry to say
> They will be off my list for future purchases.
>
>
>
>

856. Uniden BC-3000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 03:50:00 UTC
From: Rusty <rusty@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3C9563E8.40307@dccnet.com>
Source record: 50572
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hi there,
Can anyone tell me if the BC3000XLT had a battery pack problem similar
to the 200xlt where the low battery beep and blink warning would come on
even though the battery was not low?...
I charged the pack up for about ten hours and turned it on and found
that the low battery warning would come on right away but the scanner
would still work for a few hours before the battery would die?
Does theis just mean I should replace the battery cells or maybe the
whole pack completely?..
I have only had this scanner for a few days so I am not that familiar
with the battery operateion.
Another thing does anyone else find the audio kinda bad in this
radio?..just seems not very loud or clear?...
Thanks for any help you may provide!
please e-mail me directly so I get your reply!
Thanks-Russ
rusty@dccnet.com

857. Re: Cock fighting....RE: Realistic PRO -2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 03:50:28 UTC
From: OptoScan <pro_2006_os456@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8udl8.4751$Zr3.1446242@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50573

cock
fighting??
?????????????
"Jim Hackett" <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:nIcl8.28957$Vx1.2411940@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Gentlemen, and I use that term loosely...
> Why all the hostility over what someone perceives to be the "best" scanner
> or what they think is a fair price? If you don't like it, there's always
> the delete key.
> --
> Jim
>
>

858. BC100XLT repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 03:58:16 UTC
From: ballgofar@retardsrule.com
Message-ID: <302f180.0203171958.1ccc4c7f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50574

Can you tell me how to find this Q201 everyone seems to be talking about?
I have a BC100XLT that has all the symptoms of this seemingly coomon problem yet I dont know how to locate it on the board. Any help would be greatly apprciated. Someone HAS to have done this repair?! PLEASE HELP if at all possible.

Thanks,

Paul

Uniden/Bearcat BC200XLT Portable - Loss of Audio and Dial Lamp
If you can program frequencies into your BC200XLT but there is no audio and
the green backlight no longer functions, a tiny transistor may have failed.
Check for a defective PNP surface mount transistor, Q201 (2SB815B6-YDY).
Q201 is used as a switch to furnish 8 VDC to several stages of the BC200XLT.
Its main purpose is to switch off power hungry stages of the BC200XLT when
the CPU thinks the NiCd voltage has fallen below a threshhold. That's an
attempt to limit the current drain on weak NiCds to avoid permanent damage.

Q201 is located on the foil side of the "Micom" board, adjacent to the black
multi-pin connector which mates the Micom and main boards together.

Q201 can be destroyed by a few different causes, primarily, by something in
the scanner drawing too much current through it. In one case, capacitor C36
shorted. It's a 220 uF 10v electrolytic, located on the component side of
the main board, connected from pin 8 of the audio IC (IC2 NJM386SL) to ground. Capacitor C55 shorted in another BC200XLT. Gary Bean reports he
substituted a 2SA1298 for Q201 and it worked fine. In a pinch you bypass
Q201 by soldering a short piece of bare wire between the collector and emitter, but you must first fix the component which caused Q201 to fail

859. Re: Scanner reccomendation for 16 year old?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 04:11:58 UTC
From: Alexander McNaughton <treehug3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <LNdl8.4540$mZ3.351215@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 50575

Will you please stop saying that, you have no idea what you are talking about.

> Why don't you get him a used PRO-2006? They are still the best scanner ever
> made.
>
>
> "Jeff Lackey" <jlackey@chartermi.com> wrote in message
> news:D320D4737EB85CBB.D0FA8F66AEAB482A.F02005CFBBD44420@lp.airnews.net...
> > Looks like my son is going to get a shortwave radio and a scanner
> > radio for his 16th birthday. I haven't checked out scanners in a while
> > (I still use my old RS 2006 <G>) - what's a decent beginners scanner,
> > i.e., good quality at a decent price? We're in a smaller town in
> > Michigan, so 400 channels of storage isn't needed. However, good
> > reception would be great - the signals aren't real strong around here
> > and for now he'll have to use an indoor (i.e., telescoping) antenna
> > (if there are any really good replacement antennas these days, that
> > would be good info also.)
> >
> > Thanks.
> >
> > Jeff
>
>

860. Re: Scanner reccomendation for 16 year old?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 04:15:30 UTC
From: Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <fhqa9usnkgqprgdelt71l6f30rtaj3lnj0@4ax.com>
Source record: 50576

On Mon, 18 Mar 2002 01:35:47 GMT, "Bill Crocker"
<billcrocker@ameritech.net> wrote:

>Uniden Bearcat BC895-XLT @ $189.95 is a very good value!
>
>Bill Crocker
>
>

So is the Radio Shack 2053 on sale for $180.

861. Re: Scanner reccomendation for 16 year old?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 04:16:52 UTC
From: Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <rkqa9u8fsv6ir705mle6l0p1n412t1cdkb@4ax.com>
Source record: 50577

On Mon, 18 Mar 2002 00:29:51 GMT, "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@cox.net> wrote:

>Why don't you get him a used PRO-2006? They are still the best scanner ever
>made.
>
>

....Still having trouble unloading your ridiculously priced 2006, huh?

862. Re: FS: Realistic Pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 04:27:28 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <k3ra9uc3uenj0m76605cj4blmr3a9cu0fs@4ax.com>
Source record: 50578
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, "roughplanet" <roughplanet@optushome.com.au> spake thusly

>Try an Icom R-8500 if you want a REAL scanning receiver

Or better yet, an R-9000.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

863. Re: Cock fighting....RE: Realistic PRO -2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 04:47:26 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <yjel8.23657$P4.2080258@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 50579

```
The term does conjure up quite a hilarious image...
En Garde!
"OptoScan" <pro_2006_os456@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:8udl8.4751$Zr3.1446242@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> cock
>
fighting??????????????????????????????????????????????????????????????
> ?????????????
> "Jim Hackett" <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:nIcl8.28957$Vx1.2411940@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Gentlemen, and I use that term loosely...
> > Why all the hostility over what someone perceives to be the "best"
scanner
> > or what they think is a fair price? If you don't like it, there's
always
> > the delete key.
> > --
> > Jim
> >
> >
>
>
>
```

864. Re: 499 Dollars for Plug Uniden Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 04:50:25 UTC
From: Eduardo <eo@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <a73rna$7hv$1@paperboy.getnet.net>
Source record: 50583

Time to wait for ebay auctions!

heheheh

Tom <jbgreen@home.com> wrote in message
news:y7bl8.140693$uv5.10912007@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> When can we get one?
> Tom B.
>
> "Troy" <troyrow@optusnet.com.au> wrote in message
> news:3c949d23$0$11564$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> > That makes sense. Most of the R&D cost would have gone into the digital
> > board. The scanners themselves are just evolutions of the 780.
> >
> >
> > "Teeavee" <teeavee@aol.comGETLOST> wrote in message
> > news:20020316212746.21391.00003056@mb-mq.aol.com...
> > > What I first heard about the scanner when it was announced is that the
> > add-on
> > > board would be in the $400.00 range and the scanner would be in the
> > $350.00
> > > dollar range...I think the sales guy might know what he is talking
> > about....
> > > SCANMAN@SCANNIN U .COM
> >
> >
> >
>
>

865. Re: Scanner reccomendation for 16 year old?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 06:09:27 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <9D6077213487C3CD.6200DA687EF10B89.3D9CCAF4803AF588@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 50580

On Sun, 17 Mar 2002 18:00:17 -0500, Jeff Lackey
<jlackey@chartermi.com> wrote:

>Looks like my son is going to get a shortwave radio and a scanner
>radio for his 16th birthday. I haven't checked out scanners in a while
>(I still use my old RS 2006 <G>) - what's a decent beginners scanner,
>i.e., good quality at a decent price? We're in a smaller town in
>Michigan, so 400 channels of storage isn't needed.

Well, if you can still find them, the PRO-76s are dirt cheap, 200ch,
with pretty good sensitivity. No 220MHz, but unless you've got a lot
of milair stuff up there, that isn't likely to be too exciting.

>However, good
>reception would be great - the signals aren't real strong around here
>and for now he'll have to use an indoor (i.e., telescoping) antenna
>(if there are any really good replacement antennas these days, that
>would be good info also.)

I get my best overall results from the small groundplane I made for my
ham HT. Click on the picture on my website for details. When I have
a place to set it up outside, I use a copper pipe J-pole for 2m ham,
but it's pretty darn good for scanning too.

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net
http://www.kd5nrh.net

866. Re: FS: Realistic Pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 07:37:23 UTC
From: roughplanet <roughplanet@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c959919$0$16639$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 50581
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, "roughplanet"
<roughplanet@optushome.com.au>
spake thusly
>Try an Icom R-8500 if you want a REAL scanning receiver

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:k3ra9uc3uenj0m76605cj4blmr3a9cu0fs@4ax.com...
>>Or better yet, an R-9000.

No, not 'better yet'. I have sold one of my R-9000's, as they are pretty old
technology nowadays. After all, they were really only an R-71A and an R-7000
in the one cabinet, with a CRT display and 1000 alpha-numerically labelled
memories. The performance of the R-8500 is actually better than the R-9000,
as is the NRD-545, which is what I am using forr HF. If you want an R-9000,
I can let you have my other one, complete with an SP-20 speaker, for US$2500
plus freight. As they currently sell for around the US$12,000 mark, that has
to be a good buy. But don't let the CRT die, as Icom are no longer able to
supply replacements. Still interested?

Ruff

>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

867. Re: Looking-ICOM r-3 Govt.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 08:53:32 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <O7eij3CMsal8EwC7@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 50582

In article <Ehdz6SBjNjk8Ew6g@btinternet.com>, Mike Burgess
<g7hid@lineone.net> writes

>>A European version would have PAL video display, Canadian & Japanese
>>NTSC.
>
>Wonder how many have been caught out doing that??

..and t'other way.

--
Cheers,
JC

868. Re: FCC form for GMRS license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 11:08:38 UTC
From: glock45srock@aol.comCANNDHAM
Message-ID: <20020318060838.28443.00003264@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 50584

Just curious, what price did you pay for them? Have you tried them out yet (I know, not without a license).

>Cobra [and, I'm sure others] are packing two gmrs in a blister pack without
>the hint of an application. And I don't suppose the 'radio dealer' at
>office depot checkout counter would even know what you were asking for....

869. Re: Cock fighting....RE: Realistic PRO -2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 13:53:06 UTC
From: Andy <"acorbin\\@*nospam* roanoke.infi.net>">
Message-ID: <a74rekhee1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50585

Jim Hackett wrote:

> Gentlemen, and I use that term loosely...
> Why all the hostility over what someone perceives to be the "best" scanner
> or what they think is a fair price? If you don't like it, there's always
> the delete key.
> --
> Jim

Interesting choice of terms.....

However, I think some of it may be the "My scanner is the bestest" syndrome.

No one wants to admit they made a wrong decision. Their decision on
what type of scanner to buy reflects back on them, so they "defend"
their decision, ergo, the scanner of their choice.

I see this same type of argument in other Newsgroup forums.

I am sure not all of the scanner debate boils down to this theory, but
I'll bet some of it does.

870. Re: FCC form for GMRS license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 14:23:24 UTC
From: Clay <clayschn@fast.net>
Message-ID: <3c95f867_2@news7.fast.net>
Source record: 50586

$89 for the pair [on sale, regularly $99]. I didn't like the audio quality at all -- muddy and full of static, even at short range [I know, it's fm, but still, a lot of static]. The range, even on high power, wasn't much better [if at all] compared to a pair of cherokee 460's over identical distances and conditions. They went back [another reason to be glad I bought them at an office depot...].

"Matthew W. Este" <glock45srock@aol.comCANNDHAM> wrote in message news:20020318060838.28443.00003264@mb-cg.aol.com...
> Just curious, what price did you pay for them? Have you tried them out yet (I
> know, not without a license).
>
> >Cobra [and, I'm sure others] are packing two gmrs in a blister pack without
> >the hint of an application. And I don't suppose the 'radio dealer' at
> >office depot checkout counter would even know what you were asking for....
>
>

871. Re: ham radio operators excempt from scanner laws?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 14:25:38 UTC
From: Rancy Snyder <rancy@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <5Eml8.102411$Yd.5274659@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50587
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,misc.legal

"��� Raven ���" <Americanmade3@excite.com> wrote in message
news:3C95497F.FE625F10@excite.com...
>
> Interesting note about such:
> Floridas law reads "a scanner may not be permanantly mounted
> in a vehicle.....
> Wonder if that means a handheld laying in the front seat is ok?
>

Doesn't the Florida law go on to say something about unless you are a legitimate news organization? The newpapers are the first people to say that in gathering news, they should have no special treatment that a regular Joe doesn't have. I have never heard of anyone prosecuted for using a scanner improperly and not being a legitmate news organization. And there is no defintion of legitmate news organization in the statute, correct?

872. Re: Flying with scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 15:06:10 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <780c9usn0o7qkpeisl77ovjlefeone454o@4ax.com>
Source record: 50588

On Thu, 14 Mar 2002 02:39:31 GMT, Bob Fitch <crfitchjr@attbi.com>
wrote:

>I plan to fly this weekend to San Francisco. Of course I would like
>to take my scanner. I have not flown since the new security
>procedures. Will the screeners freak out if I have a scanner in my
>carry-on satchel? Should I put in my check-in luggage?

To prevent any misunderstanding, I'd put it in the check-in luggage.
This has nothing to do with terrorism. There are a few portable
electronic do-dads which are capable of interfering with aircraft
navigation systems. While most, maybe even 99% of all these portable
devices are benign, the cabin crew doesn't have any way of knowing
what will cause trouble and what won't.

If you want to be sure you won't get hassled, check it in your
luggage.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

873. Re: Spread Spectrum Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 15:27:35 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <pl0c9uok2gjt3n641s92motc2m0s8e8kgr@4ax.com>
Source record: 50589

On Fri, 15 Mar 2002 20:27:12 -0600, "MRK" <me@you.com> wrote:

>Is there any way to hack Frequency Hoping Digital Spread Spectrum? Assuming
>money was no object. I'm talking about buying governmet equipment. Is
>there a program that will crack the algorithim?

Crack the algorithm? That may take time. But they will know
something's on the air.

I say this only because my employer's telemetry system was interfered
with on several occasions by something that had to be a Frequency
Hopping Spread Spectrum signal. It was strong enough that we could
clearly see it spiking around on a service monitor.

We were not amused. Just be advised that some folks really do have
mobile DF setups to hunt down sources of interference. My employer is
one of them. Use the least amount of power necessary and use
directional antennas. There are folks out there who really do care
about rogue signals.

IMHO, you'd be better off on narrow band gear than a FHSS system. The
former doesn't arouse too much curiosity, but the latter WILL.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

874. LNIB PRO-2067 $200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 15:39:07 UTC
From: bfdp1@msn.com
Message-ID: <1235373c.0203180739.35c54f40@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50590

This scanner is only a month old. All accessories, box,manual, trunking guide.

$200 + $8 shipping in lower 48

John
Boise, Idaho

875. LNIB ICOM R-2 $125

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 15:41:16 UTC
From: bfdp1@msn.com
Message-ID: <1235373c.0203180741.607c6d47@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50592

MINT perfect Icom R2, all accessories.

$125 shipped.

US Version.

John
Boise, Idaho

876. Re: Realistic Pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 15:41:56 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3C960AC4.C1C637D5@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 50591
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave

Jim Wayda wrote:
>
> I paid $360 for the 2006 in 1994. I still have the original receipt and the
> scanner is still new in the box. I bought three 2006s at that time and only
> used two of them.
>

So you don't even know if it works?

Dave

877. Pro-2004 excellent scanner ....But

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 15:49:51 UTC
From: bfdp1@msn.com
Message-ID: <1235373c.0203180749.1809d0a1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50593
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave

No parts are available from National Parts. I've heard someone on the net who has an aftermarket LCD pannel. This is the most offen replaced part.

Another issue is E-Bay. It's unrealiatic to use e-bay as any form of price gage.
E-bay is an auction a virtual one but an auction just the same we all been to the real live ones. It's an emotional ride. And quite offen we pay more for an item MUCH MORE that we planned or the item is really wort at a FAIR MARKET VALUE. I know been there done that...

But to try to base a price on e-bay is just silly. As we all know the WIDE varation between prices is proof that someone will pay $75 for a PRO-2004 and the next day $350 for one.

Just my .02

JM

878. Re: Pro-2004 excellent scanner ....But

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 15:54:39 UTC
From: N8KDV <n8kdv@iserv.net>
Message-ID: <3C960DBF.26919BA4@iserv.net>
Source record: 50594
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave

You will only pay MUCH MORE on Ebay if YOU bid that amount, if you bid a high price, then expect to pay a high price.

John Miller wrote:

> No parts are available from National Parts. I've heard someone on the
> net who has an aftermarket LCD pannel. This is the most offen replaced
> part.
>
> Another issue is E-Bay. It's unrealiatic to use e-bay as any form of
> price gage.
> E-bay is an auction a virtual one but an auction just the same we all
> been to the real live ones. It's an emotional ride. And quite offen we
> pay more for an item MUCH MORE that we planned or the item is really
> wort at a FAIR MARKET VALUE. I know been there done that...
>
> But to try to base a price on e-bay is just silly. As we all know the
> WIDE varation between prices is proof that someone will pay $75 for a
> PRO-2004 and the next day $350 for one.
>
> Just my .02
>
> JM

879. Re: Pro-2004 excellent scanner ....But

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 16:15:11 UTC
From: "another view ...." <err@notexactly.com>
Message-ID: <6h3c9u0kqt0gjh1p0vqsq02m4e2cnsus8g@4ax.com>
Source record: 50595
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave

well ....er ....

>
>Another issue is E-Bay. It's unrealiatic to use e-bay as any form of
>price gage.
>E-bay is an auction a virtual one but an auction just the same we all
>been to the real live ones. It's an emotional ride. And quite offen we
>pay more for an item MUCH MORE that we planned or the item is really
>wort at a FAIR MARKET VALUE. I know been there done that...

well thats one possible view .... more realistic speaking " ebay " is
THE price determining mode of current times. If an item consistantly
sells on ebay for $200 then that is what a person can get for it and
what it's worth, period. It doesn't matter what you " might " luck on
it for at a swap meet .. even if YOU buy it for $75 ..you can still
sell if for $200. That is what it is worth ... not what you stole if
for.

>
>But to try to base a price on e-bay is just silly. As we all know the
>WIDE varation between prices is proof that someone will pay $75 for a
>PRO-2004 and the next day $350 for one.

actually this thought isn't up with modern times either. Have you
ever heard of the Foothills Swap meet ...?? It is / was the largest
electronic swap meet in the world ..! It is held all summer long at
Foothills College in the silicone valley of coastal California.
(every weekend)
It used to be the greatest electronic windfall since popcorn ..!
ALL the latest and most exotic surplus and ham gear was always
available there. The event was held at Foothills because it is in the
electronic toy mother lode ...in silicone valley. WELL ....
that was then ..NOW (in the last two years) ..it has fallen into
disarray ... this because ALL the good stuff is being sold on ebay and
other auction houses and there is NO need to leave your living room
to get the same stuff. Also ..ebay has actually driven DOWN the price
of most sold stuff sold at Foothills. The reality is that Tek scopes (
as a typical example) that sold at Foothills for $600 to $800 each ,
are selling on ebay for $250 now. HP spectrum analyzers that sold
regularly at Foothills for $850 (the price fixed by groups of local
vendors to maintain profit levels) now sell on ebay in the $450 to
$550 range. This because those same vendors have to compete with the
whole world now and it drives the prices down.
There can be a certain amount of emotional buying on ebay but it

isn't the norm and doesn't happen as often as you would want. I have an ebay rating of nearly 300 and can say from personal experience that emotional wild budding is VERY rare ... more the case is (in reality) multiple scmemes to drive the prices donw by last minute torpedo bidding. The act of bidding only at the last minute to get the least high price and be the last , highest bid, is the norm on 99% od all sales. If you sell on ebay , you know what I'm talking about. I wish I could run across those emotional bidders that you mention , but NEVER have.

like it or not ..ebay is a phenonom of the current times ... maybe even the example of all auctions of the future. In my own experience , it provides ME access to items I could NEVER find elsewheres ..and at prices set by the public bidders at large. There bidders , nearly all , trying to (like you) get something for nothing.

my .02 cents worth also ..

>
>
>Just my .02
>
>JM

BTW: do a ebay search of pro-200Xs for current and closed out auctions and you will see that there has been NO emotional wild bidding at all. The prices ALL average in the same ballpark (as another poster has already noted) .... what " could be " and " what is " are quite different things.

880. Re: Spread Spectrum Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 16:19:25 UTC
From: MRK <me@you.com>
Message-ID: <u9c4t4c3lg1cc3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50596

What I have is a Radioshach FHDSS phone. I wan't to know how easy/hard it would be monitor the calls. Also, your service monitor was it an IFR?
"Jake Brodsky" <frussle@erols.com> wrote in message news:pl0c9uok2gjt3n641s92motc2m0s8e8kgr@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 15 Mar 2002 20:27:12 -0600, "MRK" <me@you.com> wrote:
>
> >Is there any way to hack Frequency Hoping Digital Spread Spectrum? Assuming
> >money was no object. I'm talking about buying governmet equipment. Is
> >there a program that will crack the algorithim?
>
> Crack the algorithm? That may take time. But they will know
> something's on the air.
>
> I say this only because my employer's telemetry system was interfered
> with on several occasions by something that had to be a Frequency
> Hopping Spread Spectrum signal. It was strong enough that we could
> clearly see it spiking around on a service monitor.
>
> We were not amused. Just be advised that some folks really do have
> mobile DF setups to hunt down sources of interference. My employer is
> one of them. Use the least amount of power necessary and use
> directional antennas. There are folks out there who really do care
> about rogue signals.
>
> IMHO, you'd be better off on narrow band gear than a FHSS system. The
> former doesn't arouse too much curiosity, but the latter WILL.
>
>
> Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> "Beware of the massive impossible!"

881. Re: Pro-2004 excellent scanner ....But

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 16:33:42 UTC
From: N8KDV <n8kdv@iserv.net>
Message-ID: <3C9616E6.2643533@iserv.net>
Source record: 50497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave

That was very well put....applause!

"another view ...." wrote:

> well ....er ....
>
> >
> >Another issue is E-Bay. It's unrealiatic to use e-bay as any form of
> >price gage.
> >E-bay is an auction a virtual one but an auction just the same we all
> >been to the real live ones. It's an emotional ride. And quite offen we
> >pay more for an item MUCH MORE that we planned or the item is really
> >wort at a FAIR MARKET VALUE. I know been there done that...
>
> well thats one possible view .... more realistic speaking " ebay " is
> THE price determining mode of current times. If an item consistantly
> sells on ebay for $200 then that is what a person can get for it and
> what it's worth, period. It doesn't matter what you " might " luck on
> it for at a swap meet .. even if YOU buy it for $75 ..you can still
> sell if for $200. That is what it is worth ... not what you stole if
> for.
>
> >
> >But to try to base a price on e-bay is just silly. As we all know the
> >WIDE varation between prices is proof that someone will pay $75 for a
> >PRO-2004 and the next day $350 for one.
>
> actually this thought isn't up with modern times either. Have you
> ever heard of the Foothills Swap meet ...?? It is / was the largest
> electronic swap meet in the world ..! It is held all summer long at
> Foothills College in the silicone valley of coastal California.
> (every weekend)
> It used to be the greatest electronic windfall since popcorn ..!
> ALL the latest and most exotic surplus and ham gear was always
> available there. The event was held at Foothills because it is in the
> electronic toy mother lode ...in silicone valley. WELL ....
> that was then ..NOW (in the last two years) ..it has fallen into
> disarray ... this because ALL the good stuff is being sold on ebay and
> other auction houses and there is NO need to leave your living room
> to get the same stuff. Also ..ebay has actually driven DOWN the price
> of most sold stuff sold at Foothills. The reality is that Tek scopes (
> as a typical example) that sold at Foothills for $600 to $800 each ,
> are selling on ebay for $250 now. HP spectrum analyzers that sold
> regularly at Foothills for $850 (the price fixed by groups of local

> vendors to maintain profit levels) now sell on ebay in the $450 to
> $550 range. This because those same vendors have to compete with the
> whole world now and it drives the prices down.
> There can be a certain amount of emotional buying on ebay but it
> isn't the norm and doesn't happen as often as you would want. I have
> an ebay rating of nearly 300 and can say from personal experience that
> emotional wild budding is VERY rare ... more the case is (in reality
>) multiple scmemes to drive the prices donw by last minute torpedo
> bidding. The act of bidding only at the last minute to get the least
> high price and be the last , highest bid, is the norm on 99% od all
> sales. If you sell on ebay , you know what I'm talking about. I wish
> I could run across those emotional bidders that you mention , but
> NEVER have.
>
> like it or not ..ebay is a phenonom of the current times ... maybe
> even the example of all auctions of the future. In my own experience ,
> it provides ME access to items I could NEVER find elsewheres ..and at
> prices set by the public bidders at large. There bidders , nearly all
> , trying to (like you) get something for nothing.
>
> my .02 cents worth also ..
>
> >
> >
> >Just my .02
> >
> >JM
>
> BTW: do a ebay search of pro-200Xs for current and closed out auctions
> and you will see that there has been NO emotional wild bidding at all.
> The prices ALL average in the same ballpark (as another poster has
> already noted) .... what " could be " and " what is " are quite
> different things.

882. Re: Iowa City, IA scanner frequency list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 17:39:54 UTC
From: wleamons <wleamons@socket.net>
Message-ID: <u9c9jfaqpsof0b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50498

(TRY CITYFREQ.COM)
"John Thompson" <john-thompson@uiowa.edu> wrote in message
news:386e4ae3.0203171914.c8e2d3f@posting.google.com...
> Im having trouble finding IC frequencies, but here's what Ive got so
> far. None of these are verified (Im currently out of the state). If
> anyone has more, please post here. I would really like Univ of Iowa
> DPS and IC police... Hopefully, those will be the 8xx freqs.
>
>
> IOWA:
> DNR 155.595 MHZ
> 155.430 Iowa State Patrol CAR to CAR
> 155.445 " " " CIS
> 155.505 " " " AIR
> 458.700 " " " Portable Ch
> 458.625 " " " Portable Ch
>
> 155.595 DNR Car to Car
>
> 154.920 DCI "Purple" Car to Car
>
> Iowa City Police, Fire, Transit, University of Iowa, Downtown
> Parking Garage and others
>
> Frequencies:
> 856.2625 857.2625 858.2625 859.2625
> 860.2625
> 855.7125 856.9375
>
> IA 122.750 AIRCRAFT-AIRCRAFT (AIR FREQ)
> IA 154.710 CARS
> IA 154.785 CARS
> IA 154.920 CARS
> IA 155.370 BASE-BASE
> IA 155.430 AIRCRAFT / CAR-CAR
> IA 155.445 AIRCRAFT / CAR-CAR
> IA 155.505 AIRCRAFT / CAR-CAR
> IA 155.655R 154.755M STATE PATROL / DPS CEDAR RAPIDS

883. Re: Pro-2004 excellent scanner ....But

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 18:02:57 UTC
From: ".." <ham@nos.pam>
Message-ID: <3C962BD3.DF8AEBE7@nos.pam>
Source record: 50499
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave

"another view ...." wrote:actually this thought isn't up with modern times either. Have you

> ever heard of the Foothills Swap meet ...?? It is / was the largest
> electronic swap meet in the world ..! It is held all summer long at
> Foothills College in the silicone valley of coastal California.
> (every weekend)

NO, but I've heard of FOOTHILL COLLEGE that isn't in a RUBBER (Silicone???) VALLEY but in the northern edge of Silicon Valley and isn't in COASTAL California either, but on the inland side of the coastal range, separated from the coast by a mountain ridge of a couple of thousand feet and near San Francisco Bay.

> It used to be the greatest electronic windfall since popcorn ..!
> ALL the latest and most exotic surplus and ham gear was always
> available there. The event was held at Foothills because it is in the
> electronic toy mother lode ...in silicone valley. WELL ....
> that was then ..NOW (in the last two years) ..it has fallen into
> disarray ... this because ALL the good stuff is being sold on ebay and
> other auction houses and there is NO need to leave your living room

I think you're getting carried away. The swap meet had gone downhill well before eBay became as popular as it now is. Going to Foothill you'd find 90% garage sale stuff and if lucky 10% electronics stuff. A lot of the JUNK for sale was the dregs of computer companies, having little to do with radio or electronics in the sense that those who grew up in the 1950s 60s and 70s were used to. People seemed to think that garden tools, cheap import household tools, old clothing and the like were appropriate for an electronics swap meet.

Four or five years ago I got tired of having to sort through all the crap to fine so little that had anything to do with electronics. I rarely bother going there anymore and consider it amazing if there are 3 or 4 sellers who are selling anything remotely related to "electronics" and "radio" as it was many years ago.

The PRO-2004 is thought by many to be a better scanner than the 2005 and 2006. The case is metal and the performance comparable if not a bit better according to many.

If you don't like the price somebody asks, don't attack him or the price, just move on and DON'T BUY IT!

I think a lot of people have too much time on their hands!

884. Re: Cock fighting....RE: Realistic PRO -2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 18:24:52 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Uhql8.5546$Zr3.1662447@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50500

Just think about this for one minute.Everyone wants to say something about this and that,how and why about someone elses items.All well and good to do that,at least it gives US all the chance not to bitch at our wifes or girl friends.You can say it here.....Uhhhmmmm
"Jim Hackett" <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:nIcl8.28957$Vx1.2411940@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Gentlemen, and I use that term loosely...
> Why all the hostility over what someone perceives to be the "best" scanner
> or what they think is a fair price? If you don't like it, there's always
> the delete key.
> --
> Jim
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.333 / Virus Database: 187 - Release Date: 3/8/2002

885. Re: Pro-2004 excellent scanner ....But

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 18:32:51 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020318133251.00779.00001626@mb-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 50501

>Subject: Re: Pro-2004 excellent scanner ....But
>From: ".." ham@nos.pam
>Date: 3/18/2002 12:02 PM Central Standard Time

>I think a lot of people have too much time on their hands!

And then there are the folks who have nothing better to do that monitor and comment on what those people do with the surplus of time on their hands.....

886. Pro-93 At The Races, and Undocumented Feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 20:47:42 UTC
From: gwheeler@vmguys.com
Message-ID: <e8bcf171.0203181247.6769fdea@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50502

I took my Pro-93 to the races in Sebring, FL, this weekend. I wanted to share a couple of things. Note that this was all done using conventional frequencies -- there are no trunked systems at the race track. In fact, I didn't press the Trunk button once all weekend.

I found the global attenuator was very good when I was near the pits. That's where all the teams have their repeaters, and race control is also in that area. In the past, I have swapped the normal antenna for a stubby one to reduce the signal strength and avoid overload problems. In this case I just turned on the global attenuator and it worked great!

When at the track, one of the first things I need to do is capture all the frequencies I can. Then I can filter out the junk, and categorize the good stuff. In this case I also had an Optoelectronics Scout with me, so by watching that for a while I could see that almost all the radios in use were in the same band -- 161 to 164 MHz, more or less. I used the range-limited search to watch for activity in that range, and saved whatever I found into the lower banks using the Func-Enter command. I used banks 0, 1, and 2 for captured frequencies.

I was able to use the alpha tagging to help a lot! First thing I did was tag the banks, so I could remember what I was putting where. I tagged each frequency when I was able to figure out what it was. In some cases all I knew was it was a car, but not which one. By just tagging it as "CAR" I knew it was something I had half-recognized. Sometimes I would hear the driver's name, and I would add that to the tag. That allowed me to recognize the same car again, even though I still didn't know which one it was. And then, if I was finally able to identify a particular car, I tagged it with the car's number and make (e.g. "38 AUDI"). (These cars are driven by teams of several drivers, so it didn't make sense here to use the driver's name.)

I reserved some other banks for various categories -- 3 for media (TV and radio broadcasts), 5 for aircraft (there is an airport right next to the track), 7 for local police, fire, and EMS, 8 for miscellaneous trackside stuff, and 9 for IMSA channels -- race control, ops, medical, etc.

One thing I really needed was a way to copy a frequency from one of the low banks, where I initially placed it, into a different bank appropriate for its category. I found what I believe is an UNDOCUMENTED FEATURE of the radio: If you're looking at a frequency stored in a channel, pressing Func-Tune will put you into tuner mode set at that frequency. (You then need to press Pause fairly quickly so the tuner will remain at that frequency.)

So, to copy a frequency from one place to another I used this sequence: find the channel in question, either manually or using Scan, press Func-Tune-Pause to copy that frequency into tuner mode, press Func-Enter to copy it into a different bank (or press Func-Pgm to assign it to a specific channel). Sure saved a lot of typing. Unfortunately, the alpha tag is not copied to the new bank -- if anyone has a better way to do this I'd appreciate hearing about it.

I found another use for the same feature -- it can adjust a saved frequency. Sometimes the frequency I captured in my initial scans was a little off, and I would notice some distortion in the voice. So, I would press Func-Tune-Pause to copy the frequency to the tuner, then use the up and down arrows to tune to adjacent frequencies to find the exact one. After that, pressing Func-Pgm would display the channel it had been copied from, and pressing Enter would assign the adjusted frequency back into that channel.

I have uploaded my final results as a P93 file to the web site in case it's useful to someone. See http://groups.yahoo.com/group/PRO-93 and look for the files section.

Gerry

887. Re: Cock fighting....RE: Realistic PRO -2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 21:05:05 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ADsl8.100$Rs4.83936@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 50503

Mine's bigger than yours!

In article <Uhql8.5546$Zr3.1662447@news1.news.adelphia.net>,
grburke@adelphia.net says...
> Just think about this for one minute.Everyone wants to say something about
> this and that,how and why about someone elses items.All well and good to do
> that,at least it gives US all the chance not to bitch at our wifes or girl
> friends.You can say it here.....Uhhhmmmm
> "Jim Hackett" <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:nIcl8.28957$Vx1.2411940@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Gentlemen, and I use that term loosely...
> > Why all the hostility over what someone perceives to be the "best" scanner
> > or what they think is a fair price? If you don't like it, there's always
> > the delete key.
> > --
> > Jim
> >
> >
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.333 / Virus Database: 187 - Release Date: 3/8/2002
>
>
>

888. F/S Bc 245xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 21:34:13 UTC
From: xlt_escape@rogers.com
Message-ID: <61179e8f.0203181334.2f0a9203@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50504

I have a three month old 245xlt in great shape and all books and boxes with it.
I got it as a gift and dont have much use of the trunk portion.
Asking $300 can or 175 us and $10 shipping

889. Re: 329 Dollars for Plug Uniden Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 22:07:54 UTC
From: Tom <jbgreen@home.com>
Message-ID: <_ytl8.50063$1g.4273963@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 50505

I found out today from Uniden,
Availability: October.
Price not cast in stone yet, this is most recent expected price.
Scanner BC250D Handheld, or BC785D Base/Mobile: $350.
APCO25 Digital Card: BCi25D: $329.
Tom B.

"Eduardo" <eo@nospam.net> wrote in message
news:a73rna$7hv$1@paperboy.getnet.net...
> Time to wait for ebay auctions!
>
>
> heheheh
>
> -------
> Tom <jbgreen@home.com> wrote in message
> news:y7bl8.140693$uv5.10912007@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> > When can we get one?
> > Tom B.
> >
> > "Troy" <troyrow@optusnet.com.au> wrote in message
> > news:3c949d23$0$11564$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> > > That makes sense. Most of the R&D cost would have gone into the
digital
> > > board. The scanners themselves are just evolutions of the 780.
> > >
> > >
> > > "Teeavee" <teeavee@aol.comGETLOST> wrote in message
> > > news:20020316212746.21391.00003056@mb-mq.aol.com...
> > > > What I first heard about the scanner when it was announced is that
the
> > > add-on
> > > > board would be in the $400.00 range and the scanner would be in the
> > > $350.00
> > > > dollar range...I think the sales guy might know what he is talking
> > > about....
> > > > SCANMAN@SCANNIN U .COM
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

890. Re: Spread Spectrum Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 22:10:50 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <v9pc9uccv5r90rqb6i2r3hcossmohsfd88@4ax.com>
Source record: 50506

On Mon, 18 Mar 2002 10:19:25 -0600, "MRK" <me@you.com> wrote:

>What I have is a Radioshach FHDSS phone. I wan't to know how easy/hard it
>would be monitor the calls. Also, your service monitor was it an IFR?

First, RS doesn't sell a real FH phone. They sell multi-channel
phones that can be redirected to a new channel each time it's used.
That's not exactly frequency hopping. It's very easy to monitor
cordless phones if you really want to. Just buy another phone of the
same model number and you'll be able to listen in to your heart's
content.

Second, yes, one of the service monitors was an IFR. We also had a
Tektronix 494 spectrum analyser trained on the signal to help us find
and peak it.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

891. Re: Realistic Pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 23:00:58 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@njmc.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.17003bb8b55deccc98975f@news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 50507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3C9524A7.5EB35DAF@iserv.net>, n8kdv@iserv.net says...
> PRO-2004's have been going on Ebay for $150-175
>

That's about where I would expect them to be. When I saw the post I was thinking $125 - $150 would be fair for a good condition to mint, $150 - $200 for a very mint to new in box. I wouldn't worry too much about speed or channels compared to the 2005/2006's since the 2004 is capable of just about the same once you dig into it, clip this, replace that. About an hour's work for someone that knows the rigs. About a half day for someone who doesn't.

--
John LeMay
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC

Sent with MicroPlanet Gravity 2.5

892. Re: FS: Realistic Pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 23:03:04 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@njmc.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.17003c37cde27b3e989760@news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 50508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <tk9l8.7714$J54.614838@news1.west.cox.net>, jwayda@cox.net
says...
> The 2006 sold for over $350 new. I can't believe that the best scanner ever
> made is only going for $210.
>

I don't have my RS catalogs handy, but I believe it was $399 or $419
regular and $369 on sale. Same as the 2005, more or less. I'm thinking
they both started at $419 and ended up at $399 a few months after
release. Anyone recall?

--
John LeMay
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC

Sent with MicroPlanet Gravity 2.5

893. Re: FS: Realistic Pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 23:25:38 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020318182538.05080.00001500@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 50509

>Subject: Re: FS: Realistic Pro-2004
>From: John LeMay jlemay@njmc.com
>Date: 3/18/2002 5:03 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <MPG.17003c37cde27b3e989760@news.cis.dfn.de>
>
>In article <tk9l8.7714$J54.614838@news1.west.cox.net>, jwayda@cox.net
>says...
>> The 2006 sold for over $350 new. I can't believe that the best scanner ever
>> made is only going for $210.
>>
>
>I don't have my RS catalogs handy, but I believe it was $399 or $419
>regular and $369 on sale. Same as the 2005, more or less. I'm thinking
>they both started at $419 and ended up at $399 a few months after
>release. Anyone recall?
>
>--
>John LeMay
>Senior Enterprise Consultant
>NJMC, LLC
>

Any antique Radio Shack catalog collectors out there who can look it up? I'd guess the paper might be pretty yellowed but might still be readable. It should be a few pages before the Atari 2600 accessories.

Neutrodyne

"My opinion is not relevant." - "Dr." Laura Schlessinger, February 20, 2002

894. Good Guy, Dan Conti

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-18 23:36:17 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <RRul8.11071$k5.4187095@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50510

Good Guy, Dan Conti, Report!

I just purchased a Yupiteru MVT-9000 MKII scanner from Dan Conti. I sent him payment via PayPal. It arrived quickly, and was packed very well. The scanner, box, manual, case, etc, looked brand new, and it works perfectly!

I would not hesitate to do business with Dan again, and highly recommend him.

Bill Crocker

895. Re: What scanner to purchase

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 02:15:40 UTC
From: "R. LeBreton" <r.lebreton@NOSPAM.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <Ydxl8.10002$V94.782510@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 50511

That's a no brainer!!

Get the Alinco DJX-2000. It has all of it's features built-in with no need for expansion slots. If you live in Canada order it from Javiation and get the "E" model, not the "T" model.

"Mike" <michaelthalman@sprintmail.com> wrote in message news:Ahak8.15752$P4.1357535@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I'm trying to decide which handheld scanner to purchase. The Alinco
> DJX-2000T or the AOR 8200II Mark B. If anyone has any opinion or info on
> the the above two models. I would be interested in hearing from you.
>
> Thanks
>
>

896. Pro-60

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 02:28:36 UTC
From: Paul Gour <paul.gour@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3C96A254.326C4C13@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 50513

i have radio shack pro-60 scanner it receives the 30-
512,760-824,849-869,894-999.987 range. I don't get
any cells nor am i able to get the OPP(ontario provincial police)
since it dosn't have the ctcss mod or crystal whatever you call it
Is this scanner worth more to the USA cause you are able to get police
and i am not. what can it be worth, cause i'm mostly interested in
cell and opp.

So if you guys no more than i do please help i'm looking to sell this
scanner
for one that i need.

Take care!

897. Re: help me with a PRO-64 PLEASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 02:29:40 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <b98d9u8aftap6uducd0aor1fome88suick@4ax.com>
Source record: 50512

On Sun, 17 Mar 2002 18:00:04 GMT, "Frank346" <frank346@groznyk.com>
wrote:

>There are programs for the PRO-64 at
>http://home.cox.rr.com/kplotkin/scanner.htm. I believe you can buy a cable
>that works with the PRO-64 at Radio Shack. Ask for catalog number 20-048. It
>comes with software but the software is not usable with the PRO-64. Package
>price is only $15 so it's worth it just to get the cable.

The 20-048 cable definitely works with the 64.

If you build your own cable, you only need two wires: the ones to the
barrel and ring of the stereo plug. Also, don't include the resistor
shown in some schematics.

Ken Plotkin

898. Re: Scanner reccomendation for 16 year old?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 03:19:44 UTC
From: isobad <i@so.bad>
Message-ID: <k7yl8.10301$bj1.4098771@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 50514

LMAO

"Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:LNdl8.4540$mZ3.351215@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Will you please stop saying that, you have no idea what you are talking
> about.
>
> > Why don't you get him a used PRO-2006? They are still the best scanner
> ever
> > made.
> >
> >
> > "Jeff Lackey" <jlackey@chartermi.com> wrote in message
> >
news:D320D4737EB85CBB.D0FA8F66AEAB482A.F02005CFBBD44420@lp.airnews.net...
> > > Looks like my son is going to get a shortwave radio and a scanner
> > > radio for his 16th birthday. I haven't checked out scanners in a while
> > > (I still use my old RS 2006 <G>) - what's a decent beginners scanner,
> > > i.e., good quality at a decent price? We're in a smaller town in
> > > Michigan, so 400 channels of storage isn't needed. However, good
> > > reception would be great - the signals aren't real strong around here
> > > and for now he'll have to use an indoor (i.e., telescoping) antenna
> > > (if there are any really good replacement antennas these days, that
> > > would be good info also.)
> > >
> > > Thanks.
> > >
> > > Jeff
> >
> >
>
>

899. Re: China/Beijing Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 03:31:08 UTC
From: isobad <i@so.bad>
Message-ID: <0iyl8.10312$bj1.4141323@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 50515

Great Idea to walk around a Communist country with a scanner.

"WilRobnson" <wilrobnson@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020316153858.07176.00001482@mb-cr.aol.com...
> Does anyone know where I can get some info on scanning (esp police/public
> safety) in China, esp the Beijing area? I've looked all over the web with
no
> results other than HF stuff. I'm probably going to be over that way this
> summer, and the girlfriend has agreed to translate what I hear (probably
not as
> often as I'd like though). I had this vague idea they're in the 300mhz
range,
> but cannot find anything to confirm this...
>
> TIA
> Brett

900. Re: Iowa City, IA scanner frequency list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 03:51:47 UTC
From: ghs521@aol.comiamnot
Message-ID: <20020318225147.14727.00002921@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 50516

>Im having trouble finding IC frequencies, but here's what Ive got so
>far. None of these are verified (Im currently out of the state). If
>anyone has more, please post here. I would really like Univ of Iowa
>DPS and IC police... Hopefully, those will be the 8xx freqs.

http://listen.to/iowacity/

http://www.geocities.com/iascanner/

Also, join the scaniowa group on yahoo groups.

Greg

901. Re: Scanner reccomendation for 16 year old?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 03:57:28 UTC
From: Alexander McNaughton <treehug3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <dGyl8.6163$Ff3.969301@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 50517

```
It's true, this guy is spreading all this garbage so he can unload his 2006
for $360..

> LMAO
>
> "Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:LNdl8.4540$mZ3.351215@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > Will you please stop saying that, you have no idea what you are talking
> > about.
> >
> > > Why don't you get him a used PRO-2006? They are still the best scanner
> > ever
> > > made.
> > >
> > >
> > > "Jeff Lackey" <jlackey@chartermi.com> wrote in message
> > >
> news:D320D4737EB85CBB.D0FA8F66AEAB482A.F02005CFBBD44420@lp.airnews.net...
> > > > Looks like my son is going to get a shortwave radio and a scanner
> > > > radio for his 16th birthday. I haven't checked out scanners in a
while
> > > > (I still use my old RS 2006 <G>) - what's a decent beginners
scanner,
> > > > i.e., good quality at a decent price? We're in a smaller town in
> > > > Michigan, so 400 channels of storage isn't needed. However, good
> > > > reception would be great - the signals aren't real strong around
here
> > > > and for now he'll have to use an indoor (i.e., telescoping) antenna
> > > > (if there are any really good replacement antennas these days, that
> > > > would be good info also.)
> > > >
> > > > Thanks.
> > > >
> > > > Jeff
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
```

902. Re: Pro-2004 excellent scanner ....But

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 05:42:58 UTC
From: "another view ...." <err@notexactly.com>
Message-ID: <0cid9u4o00rfjboc7oqdobkpom3pncoa5d@4ax.com>
Source record: 50519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 18 Mar 2002 18:02:57 GMT, ".." <ham@nos.pam> wrote:

>
>
>"another view ...." wrote:actually this thought isn't up with modern times
>either. Have you
>
>> ever heard of the Foothills Swap meet ...?? It is / was the largest
>> electronic swap meet in the world ..! It is held all summer long at
>> Foothills College in the silicone valley of coastal California.
>> (every weekend)
>
>NO, but I've heard of FOOTHILL COLLEGE that isn't in a RUBBER
>(Silicone???) VALLEY but in the northern edge of Silicon Valley and isn't
>in COASTAL California either, but on the inland side of the coastal range,
>separated from the coast by a mountain ridge of a couple of thousand feet
>and near San Francisco Bay.
>

goodness ..we wouldn't want people to get such important location
details wrong ... and my spelling of silicone is " exactly " what I
intended..! (duhhhhhhh .... lighten up)

>
>> It used to be the greatest electronic windfall since popcorn ..!
>> ALL the latest and most exotic surplus and ham gear was always
>> available there. The event was held at Foothills because it is in the
>> electronic toy mother lode ...in silicone valley. WELL ....
>> that was then ..NOW (in the last two years) ..it has fallen into
>> disarray ... this because ALL the good stuff is being sold on ebay and
>> other auction houses and there is NO need to leave your living room
>
>I think you're getting carried away. The swap meet had gone downhill well
>before eBay became as popular as it now is. Going to Foothill you'd find
>90% garage sale stuff and if lucky 10% electronics stuff. A lot of the
>JUNK for sale was the dregs of computer companies, having little to do with
>radio or electronics in the sense that those who grew up in the 1950s 60s
>and 70s were used to. People seemed to think that garden tools, cheap
>import household tools, old clothing and the like were appropriate for an
>electronics swap meet.
>
>Four or five years ago I got tired of having to sort through all the crap
>to fine so little that had anything to do with electronics. I rarely
>bother going there anymore and consider it amazing if there are 3 or 4

>sellers who are selling anything remotely related to "electronics" and
>"radio" as it was many years ago.

well ....don't attack the messenger. I'm only repeating the
laments of " huge " dealers that no longer go to the swap meet ..
because " all the best stuff is sold on ebay now and little
or none of gets to the meet anymore. "..! Consider that " other "
people may not have shared YOUR appreciation for certain types
of items and felt differently about it than you. You are entitled to
your interpretation of things ... others may disagree " strongly "
with your perspective. One particular person (that I know) lived and
breathed the swapmeet and the telescope meet down south.
He still goes to the southern meet ...but has abandoned Foothills
for the reasons I mentioned. His life is less for the experience now,
and THIS remains the personal observations and experience I have.
(and a reflection of HIS thoughts of how it got that way)

>
>The PRO-2004 is thought by many to be a better scanner than the 2005 and
>2006. The case is metal and the performance comparable if not a bit better
>according to many.

I agree about the shielding .. in general... but both scanners have
the same (excellent by today's standards) internal shielding. The
newer models have faster cpu clock speeds and thus faster
channel scanning speeds. This speed translates to much faster search
speed (up to 100 cps with the 2006 / probe) when using computer
control too. (the faster CPUs seem to track much better) . Most of
my pro-2005 /6s use the opto computer boards ..I have no remaining
pro-2004s. Another problem with the older 2004 is the severe cases of
circuit connector arthritis they seem to have. Tho, this will probably
show in the 2005 /6s eventually too. In my own experience the pro-2006
more than the 2005s and WAY more than the 2004s seems to do
a better job translating the digital outputs of a slicer disc tap.
None of my 2005s do well at all on several of the disc output decode
programs ..and only a couple of several of the 2006s preform well
on these same programs. (ie: mdtmonitor, mobitex, ardis, banpaia)
These are my own experiences..your's may be quite different. Even
location can play a role in some of these problems. In my location ,
humidity is nearly non-existant .. much of the year.. (mid central
valley) ... tho helpful in ways ..it too can be detrimental to my
equipment , drying out the rubber and silicone parts and causing
lots of other problems.

>
>If you don't like the price somebody asks, don't attack him or the price,
>just move on and DON'T BUY IT!

precisely ... if you had read the whole thread .. you
would realize that you are echoing my point exactly.

>
>I think a lot of people have too much time on their hands!

Yes .... some people certainly do ...eh ....?? ha ha hha a aha
saaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaay ....sounds like you might know me ....!!
hummmmmmm ......is this a clue here ...?? ha h ah ah aaha ah a
(being a person of leisure , ALL of my time is on my hands ...
and THAT makes me one happy puppy. <G>)

itsmefersure

903. Re: Pro-2004 excellent scanner ....But

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 05:46:24 UTC
From: "another view ...." <err@notexactly.com>
Message-ID: <p6kd9uk440v8i9js6dimvlntue1808ko6s@4ax.com>
Source record: 50520
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave

well ...thanks for saying so ... <G> quite a nice guy yer self..!

73's
woulfhung
itsmefersure
HPM2

On Mon, 18 Mar 2002 11:33:42 -0500, N8KDV <n8kdv@iserv.net> wrote:

>That was very well put....applause!
>
>"another view ...." wrote:
>
>> well ....er ....
>>
>> >
>> >Another issue is E-Bay. It's unrealiatic to use e-bay as any form of
>> >price gage.
>> >E-bay is an auction a virtual one but an auction just the same we all
>> >been to the real live ones. It's an emotional ride. And quite offen we
>> >pay more for an item MUCH MORE that we planned or the item is really
>> >wort at a FAIR MARKET VALUE. I know been there done that...
>>
>> well thats one possible view .... more realistic speaking " ebay " is
>> THE price determining mode of current times. If an item consistantly
>> sells on ebay for $200 then that is what a person can get for it and
>> what it's worth, period. It doesn't matter what you " might " luck on
>> it for at a swap meet .. even if YOU buy it for $75 ..you can still
>> sell if for $200. That is what it is worth ... not what you stole if
>> for.
>>
>> >
>> >But to try to base a price on e-bay is just silly. As we all know the
>> >WIDE varation between prices is proof that someone will pay $75 for a
>> >PRO-2004 and the next day $350 for one.
>>
>> actually this thought isn't up with modern times either. Have you
>> ever heard of the Foothills Swap meet ...?? It is / was the largest
>> electronic swap meet in the world ..! It is held all summer long at
>> Foothills College in the silicone valley of coastal California.
>> (every weekend)
>> It used to be the greatest electronic windfall since popcorn ..!
>> ALL the latest and most exotic surplus and ham gear was always
>> available there. The event was held at Foothills because it is in the

>> electronic toy mother lode ...in silicone valley. WELL ....
>> that was then ..NOW (in the last two years) ..it has fallen into
>> disarray ... this because ALL the good stuff is being sold on ebay and
>> other auction houses and there is NO need to leave your living room
>> to get the same stuff. Also ..ebay has actually driven DOWN the price
>> of most sold stuff sold at Foothills. The reality is that Tek scopes (
>> as a typical example) that sold at Foothills for $600 to $800 each ,
>> are selling on ebay for $250 now. HP spectrum analyzers that sold
>> regularly at Foothills for $850 (the price fixed by groups of local
>> vendors to maintain profit levels) now sell on ebay in the $450 to
>> $550 range. This because those same vendors have to compete with the
>> whole world now and it drives the prices down.
>> There can be a certain amount of emotional buying on ebay but it
>> isn't the norm and doesn't happen as often as you would want. I have
>> an ebay rating of nearly 300 and can say from personal experience that
>> emotional wild budding is VERY rare ... more the case is (in reality
>>) multiple scmemes to drive the prices donw by last minute torpedo
>> bidding. The act of bidding only at the last minute to get the least
>> high price and be the last , highest bid, is the norm on 99% od all
>> sales. If you sell on ebay , you know what I'm talking about. I wish
>> I could run across those emotional bidders that you mention , but
>> NEVER have.
>>
>> like it or not ..ebay is a phenonom of the current times ... maybe
>> even the example of all auctions of the future. In my own experience ,
>> it provides ME access to items I could NEVER find elsewheres ..and at
>> prices set by the public bidders at large. There bidders , nearly all
>> , trying to (like you) get something for nothing.
>>
>> my .02 cents worth also ..
>>
>> >
>> >
>> >Just my .02
>> >
>> >JM
>>
>> BTW: do a ebay search of pro-200Xs for current and closed out auctions
>> and you will see that there has been NO emotional wild bidding at all.
>> The prices ALL average in the same ballpark (as another poster has
>> already noted) .... what " could be " and " what is " are quite
>> different things.
>

904. Re: Good Guy, Dan Conti

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 07:33:38 UTC
From: California Dreamin' <Stickit@jerk.com>
Message-ID: <mRBI8.71071$q2.8604@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 50521

How well does the frequency counter work?
"Jon Van Allen" <jonvanallen@msn.com> wrote in message news:3C96C2B3.B97D0B24@msn.com...
Ditto. I bought a Alinco DJ-X2000 from Dan on Friday, it arrived here today
(Monday!). This radio is MINT, better than he described! It was well packed,
insured and as usual, it is a pleasure trading with him.
Jon

Bill Crocker wrote:

Good Guy, Dan Conti, Report!
I just purchased a Yupiteru MVT-9000 MKII scanner from Dan Conti. I sent
him payment via PayPal. It arrived quickly, and was packed very well. The
scanner, box, manual, case, etc, looked brand new, and it works perfectly!

I would not hesitate to do business with Dan again, and highly recommend
him.

Bill Crocker

905. Fort Erie & Buffalo NY Frequencies Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 08:30:11 UTC
From: Steve St Denis <steve.st@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <Y2Al8.5947$XN1.969061@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 50518

I would lik to find some one who has a list of fequencies for Fort Erie and Buffalo NY, something different from what I have been finding on all these web sites, if you have a private list you want to share with me please send it ot me at
steve.st@sympatico.ca
thank you !

906. Re: FS: Realistic Pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 09:39:45 UTC
From: mankind@aol.com
Message-ID: <91D621F83mankindaolcom@68.39.105.157>
Source record: 50522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave

>I don't have my RS catalogs handy, but I believe it was $399 or $419
>regular and $369 on sale. Same as the 2005, more or less. I'm thinking
>they both started at $419 and ended up at $399 a few months after
>release. Anyone recall?

I paid $399 for my 2005 in 1989. Sold it on ebay 10 years later for $375
and just bought another one on ebay this past year for $200 :) I missed it
and had to have another one :) So I guess the fair market value of the
2005/2006 has come down the last 10 years

--
73 !

John :)

907. Radio Shack Model TRC-485 Digital Readout 40 channel SSB Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 13:31:15 UTC
From: william deem <wdeem1@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <D4HI8.167985$Hu6.39092002@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 50523

I have a nice Radio shack Model TRC-485 Digital Readout 40 channel mobile, it is USB,LSB,AM and has the following features it has scan function, you can brighten or lower the face , and has a mode function and large digital readout. This has a few scratches on the top plate but nothing bad and none on the face, it is very nice and has high ouput power, $90.00 Plus shipping costs, can e-mail a picture if requested.

--
wc8i@neo.rr.com

908. Radio Shack Model TRC-492 CB Base Station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 13:33:56 UTC
From: william deem <wdeem1@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <87Hl8.167993$Hu6.39093895@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 50524

I have a nice and like new Radio Shack Model TRC-492 CB Base station, it is like new and looks new, it works excellent and is loaded with features. It has automatic channel 9 and 19 and loads of other things, this was one of there best stations, $50.00 Plus shipping costs, can e mail a picture if requested.

--
wc8i@neo.rr.com

909. Radio Shack Model TRC-449 SSB-AM 40 channel Mobile with Power Mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 13:36:56 UTC
From: william deem <wdeem1@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Y9HI8.168002$Hu6.39095817@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 50525

Radio shack model TRC-449 , forty channel Mobile , it is USB,LSB,AM, it has very good output and is a very nice mobile. It has a lot of features about it and comes with a like new Radio shack Power Microphone, $75.00 Plus shipping costs, can e mail a picture if requested.

--
wc8i@neo.rr.com

910. Nascar Winston Cup Freqs. Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 14:57:25 UTC
From: George Joslyn <grj2@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <pIII8.1234$MC5.122074@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 50526

Any URL's available for current Winston Cup Freq's??

Thanks In Advance!!

George

911. Re: FS: Realistic Pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 15:14:02 UTC
From: gerr1919 <gerr1919@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3C975533.92BE0047@gte.net>
Source record: 50527

Well I got a 1993 catalog and the 2006 was $399.95 and the Pro 43
which was the best handheld then was $349.95. regards gerr1919

Neutrodyne wrote:

> >Subject: Re: FS: Realistic Pro-2004
> >From: John LeMay jlemay@njmc.com
> >Date: 3/18/2002 5:03 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <MPG.17003c37cde27b3e989760@news.cis.dfn.de>
> >
> >In article <tk9l8.7714$J54.614838@news1.west.cox.net>, jwayda@cox.net
> >says...
> >> The 2006 sold for over $350 new. I can't believe that the best scanner ever
> >> made is only going for $210.
> >>
> >
> >I don't have my RS catalogs handy, but I believe it was $399 or $419
> >regular and $369 on sale. Same as the 2005, more or less. I'm thinking
> >they both started at $419 and ended up at $399 a few months after
> >release. Anyone recall?
> >
> >--
> >John LeMay
> >Senior Enterprise Consultant
> >NJMC, LLC
> >
>
> Any antique Radio Shack catalog collectors out there who can look it up? I'd
> guess the paper might be pretty yellowed but might still be readable. It should
> be a few pages before the Atari 2600 accessories.
>
> Neutrodyne
>
> "My opinion is not relevant." - "Dr." Laura Schlessinger, February 20, 2002

912. Re: Pro-60

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 15:28:34 UTC
From: WILLIAM MASERANG <wlm1@flash.net>
Message-ID: <COII8.8388$Y75.1599786099@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50528

I am interested
Paul Gour wrote in message <3C96A254.326C4C13@sympatico.ca>...
>i have radio shack pro-60 scanner it receives the 30-
>512,760-824,849-869,894-999.987 range. I don't get
>any cells nor am i able to get the OPP(ontario provincial police)
>since it dosn't have the ctcss mod or crystal whatever you call it
>Is this scanner worth more to the USA cause you are able to get police
>and i am not. what can it be worth, cause i'm mostly interested in
>cell and opp.
>
>So if you guys no more than i do please help i'm looking to sell this
>scanner
>for one that i need.
>
>Take care!
>Paul. I am interested in the pro 60. Please e mail me at wlm1@flash .net
Bill

913. Looking for VHF Frequency Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 15:46:44 UTC
From: ohiohippie18@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020319104644.15223.00001961@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 50529

I am looking for Information to Activate a repeater on the VHF side. I know that on the UHF side..say 464.xxxx you need to transmit 5mhz higher then the assigned frequency to activate the repeater. Would anyone happen to know the MHZ step I would need to transmit on the VHF side...say 155.xxxx?

914. Re: FCC form for GMRS license?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 15:47:48 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020319104748.02938.00000351@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 50530

In article <20020318060838.28443.00003264@mb-cg.aol.com>,
glock45srock@aol.comCANNDHAM (Matthew W. Este) writes:

>Just curious, what price did you pay for them? Have you tried them out yet (I
>know, not without a license).

License? What license?

The GMRS simplex frequencies in my area are becoming almost as congested as the
FRS channels. Lots of beeps, bloops, and calling noises.

Me thinks this battle has been lost. Next, expect the FCC to "solve" the
problem of unlicensed operation by eliminating the licensure requirement. This
is exactly what happened with CB and, later, VHF interant business frequencies
(now MURS.)

915. Re: ham radio operators excempt from scanner laws?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 15:47:48 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020319104748.02938.00000352@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 50531

In article <3C95497F.FE625F10@excite.com>, =?iso-8859-1?Q?=A7=A7=A7?= Raven =?iso-8859-1?Q?=A7=A7=A7?= writes:

>Floridas law reads "a scanner may not be permanantly mounted
>in a vehicle.....
>Wonder if that means a handheld laying in the front seat is ok?

Yes, IF it is self-contained. In other words, a handheld scanner with NO external power or antenna connection IS legal. Adding either of these components makes it a "permanent" installation and thus illegal.

Stupid, I know.

In the real world, though, most cops could care less...unless you give them an attitude or are making a nuisance of yourself with the scanner.

I used to live in Florida and was stopped twice. Once for speed warning and once for having my tag light out. In both cases, there were multiple scanners visible and operational (I even heard him call in my tag number!) Vehicle had no fewer than 4 antennas. Neither cop said anything about the scanners.

In short, don't make it an issue and the cops won't, either. Trust me, Florida law enforcement has MUCH more pressing concerns than scanner laws to worry about. The place has become an overcrowded cesspool of crime...which is why I moved outta there!

916. FS/Trade: Bearcat BC9000XLT for a HF mobile ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 18:05:10 UTC
From: Gregory Lotoczky <galotoczky@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <q5Ll8.62696$lb6.5172274@bin7.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 50532
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Hello all.

I have an absolutely mint condition Uniden scanner for sale. It comes with the stock antenna, manual, service manual, and power plug. Sorry, no original box. Asking $300 shipped CONUS

Also willing to trade for a kick-butt HF (80-6m) mobile antenna, preferably a screwdriver or something similar Please make an offer.

Thanks for your interest.

-Greg

kb8ltf

917. 400 CHANNEL SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 18:52:44 UTC
From: Rusty <rustyspam@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3C9788FC.6090005@dccnet.com>
Source record: 50533

Hi there,
I have a mint condtion Radio Shack Pro-2041 400 channel scanner for sale
Lots of features, manual and antenna included
Asking $150 plus shipping CONUS
Please reply to rusty@dccnet.com
Thanks-Russ

918. Re: Is a Realistic Pro-2008 just totally useless?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 19:07:59 UTC
From: Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <173f9ugeiarmdmqo646rbhv5slp4tk2d23@4ax.com>
Source record: 50534

On Tue, 19 Mar 2002 17:18:35 GMT, Sparkle <Nobody@home.org> wrote:

>got it for $2.00 at at garage sale.
>
>S

It's a simple 8 channel scanner. It will pick up the basic UHF/VHF
freqs.

919. Re: George Bentley UK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 19:57:02 UTC
From: MadDogMcQ <tom.mcq@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a7856d$i26$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 50535

Yeah, I sent you a Yeasu FT1000MP valued at over £2000. Please return it straight away or £1500 for cash. :-))))

"G A Bently" <gbmw1@ukonline.co.uk> wrote in message
news:b265ab47.0203131056.53e0ec75@posting.google.com...
> To the group
> I have been getting parcels arrive at my home here in the UK,, from
> the USA and Canada, They contain various equipment such as radio's,
> computer hardware etc. Now I know nothing at all about these items. It
> would appear that they have been sent to me by way of some form of
> trade. At first I was simply returning them to the sender at my
> expense, but know I'm afraid it is starting to get a little expensive,
> I have therefore had to write a letter of explanation to the senders
> and ask them to either arrange for Fedex or similar to pick the items
> up, or send me the funds needed for me to return the goods to thier
> owners. I therefore request please that no-body sends any goods to
> George Bentley from Wales in the UK. (this is not my name anyway, it
> is the name I use on the internet) For those of you who have sent
> goods to my address, if indeed there are any others. (I have returned
> 7 packets to date) please do not worry as you shall be contacted and
> your goods returned. I think I may know who is responsible for this
> *prank* but cannot prove it.
> I hope that nobody has been unduly worried about this.I promise you
> will have your goods returned. I am however half way through a deal
> with one guy, a Mr P knode. this is the only deal that I am involved
> in.
> thanks
> GB
> PS. if you have sent anything to me and you have not heard anything
> please write me at
> gbmw1@ukonline.co.uk

920. Re: Looking for VHF Frequency Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 20:13:33 UTC
From: MRK <me@you.com>
Message-ID: <u9f70dpotj05cb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50536

VHF has no set step. Hams have the 600Khz split, but the FCC has no set splits for comercial radios that I know of.
"Ohio Hippie 18" <ohiohippie18@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020319104644.15223.00001961@mb-mh.aol.com...
> I am looking for Information to Activate a repeater on the VHF side. I know
> that on the UHF side..say 464.xxxx you need to transmit 5mhz higher then the
> assigned frequency to activate the repeater. Would anyone happen to know the
> MHZ step I would need to transmit on the VHF side...say 155.xxxx?

921. Re: George Bentley UK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 20:31:35 UTC
From: ",..,.,.," <ghy@spamwalesuk.net>
Message-ID: <a78776rhl1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 50537

```
Hey, I have had three of these turn up, can you describe yours to me, any
distinctive marks etc, Don't worry if you can't remember, I will send you
the $2000.00 anyway..:-)
GB

.
```

"MadDogMcQ" <tom.mcq@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:a7856d$i26$1@helle.btinternet.com...
> Yeah, I sent you a Yeasu FT1000MP valued at over ?2000. Please return it
> straight away or ?1500 for cash. :-))))
>
> "G A Bently" <gbmw1@ukonline.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:b265ab47.0203131056.53e0ec75@posting.google.com...
> > To the group
> > I have been getting parcels arrive at my home here in the UK,, from
> > the USA and Canada, They contain various equipment such as radio's,
> > computer hardware etc. Now I know nothing at all about these items. It
> > would appear that they have been sent to me by way of some form of
> > trade. At first I was simply returning them to the sender at my
> > expense, but know I'm afraid it is starting to get a little expensive,
> > I have therefore had to write a letter of explanation to the senders
> > and ask them to either arrange for Fedex or similar to pick the items
> > up, or send me the funds needed for me to return the goods to thier
> > owners. I therefore request please that no-body sends any goods to
> > George Bentley from Wales in the UK. (this is not my name anyway, it
> > is the name I use on the internet) For those of you who have sent
> > goods to my address, if indeed there are any others. (I have returned
> > 7 packets to date) please do not worry as you shall be contacted and
> > your goods returned. I think I may know who is responsible for this
> > *prank* but cannot prove it.
> > I hope that nobody has been unduly worried about this.I promise you
> > will have your goods returned. I am however half way through a deal
> > with one guy, a Mr P knode. this is the only deal that I am involved
> > in.
> > thanks
> > GB
> > PS. if you have sent anything to me and you have not heard anything
> > please write me at
> > gbmw1@ukonline.co.uk
>
>

922. BC2500XLT - What is it worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 20:33:17 UTC
From: pat.szuch@swagelok.com
Message-ID: <761a8bd8.0203191233.5e9c487e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50538

Hello,

I have a BC2500XLT scanner (with cell mod) that I am considering
selling.
It's in like-new condition. But I have no idea what these things are
worth these days... Anyone had recent experience buying or selling a
similar hand held scanner?

Thanks!
Pat

923. Is Pro-2036 = BC890XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 20:41:45 UTC
From: JELo <jelo@nospammail.com>
Message-ID: <3c979fee_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 50539

Picked up a used Radio Shack Pro-2036 for $50
no manual, needs a new back-up battery.

Is the Pro-2036 TRULY a Bearcat BC890XLT or just
similar to it? Will the 800MHz BC890XLT resistor move
mod work on the Pro-2036 or not?

Also, I figured out the special key sequences of:

2-9-E-PowerOn === clear programmed frequencies
2-9-Scan-PowerOn = loads 1-31 with test frequencies

Any other special key sequences of note? JELo

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

924. 1000 Channel Scanner FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 21:18:12 UTC
From: Me <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <oWNI8.8044$Zr3.2058293@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50540
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have the Pro-2042 base scanner for sale.I have 2 of these and only need one.It has the book,and antenna and no box.I want $250.00 for it.Email me if interested.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.333 / Virus Database: 187 - Release Date: 3/8/2002

925. Re: Scanner reccomendation for 16 year old?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 21:19:13 UTC
From: "Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <IXNI8.15422$bj1.6137894@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 50541

ICOM R-2, for around $140 isn't bad, and has a computer interface. Receives well and is sturdy.

Paul

"Jeff Lackey" <jlackey@chartermi.com> wrote in message news:D320D4737EB85CBB.D0FA8F66AEAB482A.F02005CFBBD44420@lp.airnews.net...
> Looks like my son is going to get a shortwave radio and a scanner
> radio for his 16th birthday. I haven't checked out scanners in a while
> (I still use my old RS 2006 <G>) - what's a decent beginners scanner,
> i.e., good quality at a decent price? We're in a smaller town in
> Michigan, so 400 channels of storage isn't needed. However, good
> reception would be great - the signals aren't real strong around here
> and for now he'll have to use an indoor (i.e., telescoping) antenna
> (if there are any really good replacement antennas these days, that
> would be good info also.)
>
> Thanks.
>
> Jeff

926. UBC 890XLT Base scanner FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 21:20:39 UTC
From: Me <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <HYNl8.8055$Zr3.2059018@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50542
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Have the UBC 890XLT Base scanner for sale.I am asking $200.00 shipped in USA.Email me if interested.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.333 / Virus Database: 187 - Release Date: 3/8/2002

927. MC-50 Desk Mike

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 21:22:36 UTC
From: Me <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <w_NI8.8061$Zr3.2059082@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Have for sale asking $55.00 shipped in USA.Email me.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.333 / Virus Database: 187 - Release Date: 3/8/2002

928. Pro-2037 200 Channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 21:24:21 UTC
From: Me <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <90Ol8.8067$Zr3.2060079@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50544
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

For sale a Pro-2037 200 channel base scanner.Asking $125.00 shipped in USA.Eamil me

---
Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.333 / Virus Database: 187 - Release Date: 3/8/2002

929. Yaesu FT-470 Dual Band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 21:26:43 UTC
From: Me <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <n2OI8.8074$Zr3.2059899@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50545
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Have for sale the FT-470 dual band ht with several batteries,book,chargers
and box.I am aking $220.00 shipped in USA.Email me

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.333 / Virus Database: 187 - Release Date: 3/8/2002

930. FS : BC2500XLT handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 21:40:07 UTC
From: pat.szuch@swagelok.com
Message-ID: <761a8bd8.0203191340.60e66d17@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50546

Hello,

I have a Uniden BC2500XLT scanner for sale. Scanner is in like-new condition, including leather case, NiCad pack, wall charger, and original box and manual. The scanner has the cell-mod (continuous 800mhz coverage). This is a 400 channel, 25-1300mhz scanner. I am asking $150.00+shipping. Please email if you are interested or have any questions.

Thanks!
-Pat

931. Re: BC2500XLT - What is it worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 22:04:59 UTC
From: Smorg@ert.con
Message-ID: <3c97b576.21141389@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 50464

On 19 Mar 2002 12:33:17 -0800, pat.szuch@swagelok.com (PatrickS)
wrote:

>Hello,
>
>I have a BC2500XLT scanner (with cell mod) that I am considering
>selling.
>It's in like-new condition. But I have no idea what these things are
>worth these days... Anyone had recent experience buying or selling a
>similar hand held scanner?
>
>Thanks!
>Pat

I'm afraid that the battery packs that it takes really kills the
resale value of this radio. Example: I may have to rebuild the packs
at a cost of $30 a piece. So right there is $60. I really don't know
what they go for.

932. Re: FS : BC2500XLT handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 22:14:24 UTC
From: Al Kyder <OsamaYomama@al.kyder.net>
Message-ID: <190320021614246662%OsamaYomama@al.kyder.net>
Source record: 50447

So, it's like new, except someone has gone in and altered the
electronics on it? :-)

In article <761a8bd8.0203191340.60e66d17@posting.google.com>, PatrickS
<pat.szuch@swagelok.com> wrote:

> Hello,
>
> I have a Uniden BC2500XLT scanner for sale. Scanner is in like-new
> condition, including leather case, NiCad pack, wall charger, and
> original box and manual. The scanner has the cell-mod (continuous
> 800mhz coverage). This is a 400 channel, 25-1300mhz scanner. I am
> asking $150.00+shipping. Please email if you are interested or have
> any questions.
>
> Thanks!
> -Pat

933. Re: Is a Realistic Pro-2008 just totally useless?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-19 22:21:35 UTC
From: lbrcly@cs.com
Message-ID: <20020319172135.14550.00002747@mb-da.news.cs.com>
Source record: 50448

>Subject: Is a Realistic Pro-2008 just totally useless?

It depends in what freqs are active in your area. Where I'm at it would be good for fire,ems,state police and other stuff. Good price by the way.

934. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-20 00:56:22 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <3C98089F.7040404@mchsi.com>
Source record: 50449

Get over it

>
>
>

935. Tennessee BATF Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-20 01:44:56 UTC
From: §§§ Raven §§§ <Americanmade3@excite.com>
Message-ID: <3C97E970.18CA79A6@excite.com>
Source record: 50450

Anyone have any ATF Freqs for Tennessee?

*****
Those who would give up freedom for security, deserve neither.

Benjamin Franklin

936. Re: Cap... And Above Thread

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-20 01:48:33 UTC
From: §§§ Raven §§§ <Americanmade3@excite.com>
Message-ID: <3C97EA49.9B82C6ED@excite.com>
Source record: 50451
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I used to live in a high crime area and was worried about my antennas
advertising "electronics" Available....

I put a "T" in the fm radio antenna cable and hooked the scanner
up with it. Worked quite well.

Ric Letson wrote:
>
> I'm in CAP and only have 3 antennae on my vehicle,
> Fire (Lowbander) , CAP VHF Antenna & A 2M Antenna
>
> Although I have considered making a doppler array or similar
> switched array for ELT tracking.
>
> What do ya think of the CAP / NTIA regulation change as of
> the Dec 2001 sundown date.. alot less traffic than there was before...
>
> 2/Lt. Fredric Letson, CAP
> Medical Officer / Comm's Officer
> Ft. Drum Composite Squadron of the Civil Air Patrol
> Ft. Drum, NY
>
> On Wed, 27 Feb 2002 20:40:04 GMT, "Devin" <a@a.com> wrote:
>
> >alarms have dropped lots. If you install it yourself. Way cheaper again.
> >Fairly basic to install too. If you have patience of drilling small holes
> >and such.
> >
> >Dev
> >
> ><tucansam@whodis.org> wrote in message news:a5gdrs$d2$1@reader2.panix.com...
> >> :>HOw many antennas and what type are on your car, truck, or vehicle ??
> >>
> >> Wow. In reading all the followups, I see that I am not insane. I was
> >thinking about putting four
> >> antennas on my vehicle. Well, *in* my vehicle, actually. Which is why I
> >posted this followup... Do
> >> you folks have alarms? Isn't ten antennas on your roof the same as
> >blasting your $10,000 stereo in a
> >> bad neighborhood? I am concerned that having antennas on my car
> >advertises the contents and exposes
> >> me to theft. Since I'm too cheap to put an alarm on a 87 Lebaron with a
> >blue book of less than a
> >> Whopper with cheese, I figured I'd mount the antennas I need inside the

> >vehicle (behind tint) and
> >> stash a magmount in the trunk for when I really need the height.
> >

--
"The people never give up their liberties but under some delusion."
Edmund Burke (1784

937. streaming scanner broadcasts for Seattle?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-20 02:16:22 UTC
From: Thomas Field <coffee@speakeasy.org>
Message-ID: <3C97F0F7.B81980CF@speakeasy.org>
Source record: 50452

Hello,

I have been searching online for streaming scanner
broadcasts (RealAudio, MP3, other) online - and I
have been having more difficulty than I expected.

I found this site...

http://www.apbonline.com/scanner/index_blank.html

...but the links are not working even though the
PlugIn appears to load correctly.

I finally found some working streams at:

http://www.policescanner.com/police.stm

But no Seattle stream - can anyone recommend
any other sites similar to those above?

938. Re: Looking for freqencies for Mexico

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-20 02:25:36 UTC
From: §§§ Raven §§§ <Americanmade3@excite.com>
Message-ID: <3C97F2F7.672DEBA6@excite.com>
Source record: 50453
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

CAREFUL

Those folks (read corrupt politicians)
don't like citizens like you listening in on their
radio conversations and will likely get you jailed.

Possession of a bullet down there will get you 20 years.
I'd hate to imagine what a scanner might get you.

T0M wrote:
>
> I'm leaving in Mexico city for 2 weeks now and I'm looking for anyone who know
> frequencies (all types) from this city and for this country
> TIA
>
> T0M

--
"The people never give up their liberties but under some delusion."
Edmund Burke (1784

939. PRO-26 FS $165 shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-20 02:36:40 UTC
From: bfdp1@msn.com
Message-ID: <1235373c.0203191836.635d9794@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50454

MINT PRO-26 NOT A SCRATCH. No wear, marks etc.

Date code 3A5 (March 1995)
Antenna, original manual, ac/charger.

$165 shipped.

John

940. Re: streaming scanner broadcasts for Seattle?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-20 03:00:53 UTC
From: ScanMan <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com>
Message-ID: <FXSI8.5039$oG11.3847@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 50455

In that case, I would like to invite you to join our group. You'll, I'm sure find what you're looking for here.
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

--
The National Capital Region Public Safety Internet Scanner Broadcast
RS-Pro2037 Scanner. Cities of Ottawa, Kanata, Nepean, Gloucestor. Fire, EMS, RCMP Police, Various Security Agencies
http://www.OttawaOntarioScanner.dns2go.com

"Thomas Field" <coffee@speakeasy.org> wrote in message
news:3C97F0F7.B81980CF@speakeasy.org...
> Hello,
>
> I have been searching online for streaming scanner
> broadcasts (RealAudio, MP3, other) online - and I
> have been having more difficulty than I expected.
>
> I found this site...
>
> http://www.apbonline.com/scanner/index_blank.html
>
> ...but the links are not working even though the
> PlugIn appears to load correctly.
>
> I finally found some working streams at:
>
> http://www.policescanner.com/police.stm
>
> But no Seattle stream - can anyone recommend
> any other sites similar to those above?
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.338 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002

941. Test of "Please Do Not Send TEST Messages here Thank you"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-20 03:47:34 UTC
From: Emilio Golstein <egoldstein@sofa.net>
Message-ID: <qDTl8.73664$702.20368@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 50456
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I just want to see if this sort of message stops people from posting tests.

Please don't reply to this message
Thank you

942. Re: streaming scanner broadcasts for Seattle?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-20 04:08:22 UTC
From: ScanMan <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com>
Message-ID: <WWTl8.5433$oG11.2320@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 50457

```
>
> In that case, I would like to invite you to join our group. You'll, I'm
> sure find what you're looking for here.
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
>
>
> --
> The National Capital Region Public Safety Internet Scanner Broadcast
> RS-Pro2037 Scanner. Cities of Ottawa, Kanata, Nepean, Gloucestor. Fire,
> EMS, RCMP Police, Various Security Agencies
> http://www.OttawaOntarioScanner.dns2go.com
>
>
>
> "Thomas Field" <coffee@speakeasy.org> wrote in message
> news:3C97F0F7.B81980CF@speakeasy.org...
> > Hello,
> >
> > I have been searching online for streaming scanner
> > broadcasts (RealAudio, MP3, other) online - and I
> > have been having more difficulty than I expected.
> >
> > I found this site...
> >
> > http://www.apbonline.com/scanner/index_blank.html
> >
> > ...but the links are not working even though the
> > PlugIn appears to load correctly.
> >
> > I finally found some working streams at:
> >
> > http://www.policescanner.com/police.stm
> >
> > But no Seattle stream - can anyone recommend
> > any other sites similar to those above?
> >
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.338 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002
>
>
```

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.338 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002

943. Re: China/Beijing Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-20 04:31:01 UTC
From: Frank <fbrody@snet.net>
Message-ID: <9gUl8.8200$DE7.2074846341@newssvr10.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50459

I spent a LOT of time in China (PRC - The People's Republic of China. call it by it's proper name and the local folks will treat you better) doing some high tech work. Listening in on police, government, or military broadcast
is a very serious crime there. Getting a two way radio license, even for a radio
manufacturer, is about as tough as getting a concealed carry gun permit in NYC or Paris.

Violating the radio security laws will get you years in the gulag and no help from the US embassy! Many other countries have such similar laws - all designed around protecting their 'security'. Tread with care!

China strictly enforces it's security laws, including the banning of 'transceivers', scanners, and GPS receivers. AM/FM broadcast receivers are Ok. Cell phones and pagers are OK and quite common. Two way radios and scanners are a NO-NO.

They're just waking up to computer controlled radios such as ICOM's PR1000 or WinRadio's products. Even a personal size shortwave radio raises some eyebrows in customs. If you arrive on a jumbo jet at any of the large international airports (Beijing, Shanghai, Cheng-Du, Xian, etc), chances are there will be so many people deplaning that customs will not even bother looking in your bags. Tote a bunch of cardboard boxes, however, and they do stop you to look for dutiable items. Arrive looking like a 'hippie' (disheveled and carrying only a backpack) and you will be asked to open your bags and empty your pockets. Drug laws are also draconian (like Singapore and Malaysia where possession of more than 15 grams of anything mandating a death sentence).

Don't forget to get a visa BEFORE you go - your travel agent can help. Rumors to the contrary, you cannot get a visa upon arrival in the PRC. The airlines will not let you board without a visa. You can arrive in Honk Kong without a PRC visa, but you will generally need to stay there for a day or two days for your PRC visa to be approved - if you have a letter of invitation from an in-country organization.

Tread with care! The PRC laws are a bit draconian. I am a pilot and I was able to fly freely in-country, but until 1995 was not able to drive! Our phones at
the hotel were listened in on. Our faxes were intercepted. Anything copied or printed in the hotel 'business office' was copied to the local security folks. We had to use the encrypted ARINC radio in
the aircraft and our on-board encrypted aircraft satellite phone for any real private
conversations.

With all that said, it's a wonderful place to visit - enjoy....

"WilRobnson" <wilrobnson@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020316153858.07176.00001482@mb-cr.aol.com...
> Does anyone know where I can get some info on scanning (esp police/public
> safety) in China, esp the Beijing area? I've looked all over the web with
no
> results other than HF stuff. I'm probably going to be over that way this
> summer, and the girlfriend has agreed to translate what I hear (probably
not as
> often as I'd like though). I had this vague idea they're in the 300mhz
range,
> but cannot find anything to confirm this...
>
> TIA
> Brett

944. Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-20 04:35:13 UTC
From: John Medica - Listening-Post <medica@cyberspace.org>
Message-ID: <3C981181.AF5980D@cyberspace.org>
Source record: 50458
Cross-posted to: phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide is dedicated to scanner radio hobbists who are
frequency hunters. You will find lists of Ontario radio frequencies that are
not listed on any web page, published in any scannner book (Haruteq or Scantrix)
or listed in the government frequency list (Industry Canada's TAFL). This list
is all based on research and the patience of the people who contribute to this web page.

Yahoogroups: http://www.yahoogroups.com/group/oufg

945. Re: Test of "Please Do Not Send TEST Messages here Thank you"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-20 04:40:09 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a793re$iv2in$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50460
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Getting lame EG.
Think of something better !

"Emilio Golstein" <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:qDTl8.73664$702.20368@sccrnsc02...
> I just want to see if this sort of message stops people from posting
tests.
>
> Please don't reply to this message
> Thank you
>
>

946. Re: PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-20 05:24:06 UTC
From: "\"Q\"" <trevise@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <W1Vl8.203930$s43.45953742@typhoon.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 50461

Better drop the price on that. You can order a brand new one from the UK for less - shipped.

"John LeMay" <jlemay@njmc.com> wrote in message news:a6tl6v$hbspn$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de...
Hi all,

PRO-2006 in very nice condition, backlight is bright, new keypad, some wear on the front panel, great working condition. Overall a very nice sample from a classic series. Popular diode mods done for speed and coverage. Pictures available upon request. $250 includes shipping and insurance to anywhere in the lower 48. Guaranteed no DOA!

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.11.2 running on Mandrake Linux 8.1

947. WinScan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-20 05:27:06 UTC
From: "\"Q\"" <trevise@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <K4Vl8.203931$s43.45955933@typhoon.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 50462

Does anybody have a copy of WinScan? Even the eval...?
Thanks.

948. Re: WTB:Pro-2005 / pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-20 05:28:10 UTC
From: "\"Q\"" <trevise@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <K5Vl8.203932$s43.45957630@typhoon.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 50463

You can order a 2006 from the UK - no blocks - SHIPPED - for allot less than
THAT.

"Jim Wayda" <jwayda@cox.net> wrote in message
news:gNBj8.9198$4d.1428772@news1.west.cox.net...
I have a new in the box PRO-2006 forsale for $375.00. I am in Southern
California if you would like to see the unit.

-jim

"indyham" <k9dzdd@insightbb.com> wrote in message
news:f2qj8.27490$ZR2.13779@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> i am looking fro either a pro-2005,or,pro-2006 working or repairable
>
> monte k9dzdd@insightbb.com
>
>
>

949. Re: FS : BC2500XLT handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-20 14:03:09 UTC
From: pat.szuch@swagelok.com
Message-ID: <761a8bd8.0203200603.21a4adab@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50465

Al,

Ok... I guess it should read "better than new".

-Pat

Al Kyder <OsamaYomama@al.kyder.net> wrote in message
news:<190320021614246662%OsamaYomama@al.kyder.net>...
> So, it's like new, except someone has gone in and altered the
> electronics on it? :-)
>
> In article <761a8bd8.0203191340.60e66d17@posting.google.com>, PatrickS
> <pat.szuch@swagelok.com> wrote:
>
> > Hello,
> >
> > I have a Uniden BC2500XLT scanner for sale. Scanner is in like-new
> > condition, including leather case, NiCad pack, wall charger, and
> > original box and manual. The scanner has the cell-mod (continuous
> > 800mhz coverage). This is a 400 channel, 25-1300mhz scanner. I am
> > asking $150.00+shipping. Please email if you are interested or have
> > any questions.
> >
> > Thanks!
> > -Pat

950. Will WinRadio give me an IF (pre discriminator) output?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-20 14:42:05 UTC
From: johnfofawn@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d89364bd.0203200642.7e86a0e2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50466
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi,

I would like to use WinRadio or something like it for processing digital signals. I would like to get an IF output (ideally digitized) that I can then do signal processing on.

What are the output options on WinRadio? Can you get IF output? If not, can you get discriminator output? Or, are you limited to audio output?

Thanks,
John

951. Re: streaming scanner broadcasts for Seattle?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-20 17:21:02 UTC
From: Thomas Field <coffee@speakeasy.org>
Message-ID: <3C98C4FD.1865800A@speakeasy.org>
Source record: 50467

> Name: NET SCANNERS.url
> NET SCANNERS.url Type: unspecified type (application/octet-stream)
> Encoding: x-uuencode

Thanks for the link to the Netscanners page -

http://www.angelfire.com/on/netscanners/

- and the Yahoo Group and its bookmarks at:

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

There's a Seattle ATC that works but the only
Seattle PD link around appears to be...

http://www.apbonline.com/scanner/seascanner.html

...and this is the one that isn't working on my
iMac. Looking at the source and trying to open
the link directly, it looks like this is the problem:

http://tm.intervu.net/template/smirror/ivtemplates/apbonline/apb.rpm?stream='scannerlive_s
ea';prestream='scanner.rm';xtn=.rpm

Intervu's site redirects to Akamai (who bought them) -
and I suspect the problem is there [which will suck
if you are dependent on Intervu content like the
apbonline site].

Looking through Google, it looks like every once
and a while, someone sets up a MP3 stream off
their scanner [this happened during WTO] - I'll
keep my eye on the Yahoo Group to see if a Seattle
stream pops up and maybe the apbonline issue is
temporary [or I'm doing something really stupid].

952. Re: ham radio operators excempt from scanner laws?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-20 17:49:26 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020320124926.25208.00002511@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 50468

>Interesting note about such:
>Floridas law reads "a scanner may not be permanantly mounted
>in a vehicle.....
>Wonder if that means a handheld laying in the front seat is ok?

I would suspect that as long as you don't superglue it to the seat, you'd be
alright. :)
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

953. Re: PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-20 18:14:06 UTC
From: Mike <room4me@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <Oj4m8.76853$af7.50206@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 50469

John,

You've made 2 posts indicating the price is too high and you can order a brand new one from UK for less shipped. How about providing the link so we can benefit from your information?

Thanks

Mike

""Q"" <trevise@despammed.com> wrote in message
news:W1Vl8.203930$s43.45953742@typhoon.columbus.rr.com...
> Better drop the price on that. You can order a brand new one from the UK
for
> less - shipped.
>
> "John LeMay" <jlemay@njmc.com> wrote in message
> news:a6tl6v$hbspn$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de...
> Hi all,
>
> PRO-2006 in very nice condition, backlight is bright, new keypad, some
> wear on the front panel, great working condition. Overall a very nice
> sample from a classic series. Popular diode mods done for speed and
> coverage. Pictures available upon request. $250 includes shipping and
> insurance to anywhere in the lower 48. Guaranteed no DOA!
>
> --
> John LeMay Jr.
> Senior Enterprise Consultant
> NJMC, LLC.
>
> Sent with PAN 0.11.2 running on Mandrake Linux 8.1
>
>

954. Re: PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-20 18:22:30 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20020320132230.12278.00002479@mb-ci.aol.com>
Source record: 50470

>brand new one from UK for less shipped

Doesn't the UK version have a stepping rate that is not compatable with US monitoring.

955. Re: Is a Realistic Pro-2008 just totally useless?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-20 19:58:57 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020320145857.06362.00002071@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 50471

>Subject: Re: Is a Realistic Pro-2008 just totally useless?
>From: Sparkle Nobody@home.org
>Date: 3/20/2002 12:04 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20032002130418727 3%Nobody@home.org>
>
>All I can get so far (Pinellas County) is NOAA and Critical
>Intervention, whatever that is - so far, just people driving around
>lost...
>
>Looks like the Sheriff and fire are using trunked lines in the 800MHz
>range, using VHF or UHF for backup. We have a lot of vhf boat traffic
>here, but I have a 80 channel uniden marine radio.
>
>Also It's a hassle to manually input each freq., then be only able to
>scan 10 of those.
>
>Am I missing something? Is there a range I can input to scan for (Can
>you guess it didn't come with a manual?)
>
>Should I just change that last model number digit to a 6 and bite the
>bullet on ebay?
>
>S
>
>
>
>In article <20020319172135.14550.00002747@mb-da.news.cs.com>, Lbrcly
><lbrcly@cs.com> wrote:
>
>> >Subject: Is a Realistic Pro-2008 just totally useless?
>>
>> It depends in what freqs are active in your area. Where I'm at it would be
>> good
>> for fire,ems,state police and other stuff. Good price by the way.

There are a lot of secondary uses for such a radio, but it's only going to be worth $10-20, for someone who happens to need one. Take it to a local auction house and have them sell it on consignment in an estate sale. You might get lucky (like a dozen I've seen locally) and have some rube bid it up past the cost of a brand, mediocre bakelite table radios & old color TV consoles seem to attract old guys who don't know jack about them but think they are worth big bucks.
Neutrodyne

"If you have comments, please don't call." - "Dr." Laura Schlessinger, March 18, 2002

956. Re: U.S. frequencies ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-20 22:11:59 UTC
From: GARY <k3cwc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a7b1g7$j84$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 50473
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts

957. Re: Is a Realistic Pro-2008 just totally useless?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-20 22:53:06 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020320175306.05863.00001982@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 50474

```
>Subject: Re: Is a Realistic Pro-2008 just totally useless?
>From: neutrodyne@aol.com (Neutrodyne)
>Date: 3/20/2002 1:58 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20020320145857.06362.00002071@mb-mo.aol.com>
>
>>Subject: Re: Is a Realistic Pro-2008 just totally useless?
>>From: Sparkle Nobody@home.org
>>Date: 3/20/2002 12:04 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <200320021304187273%Nobody@home.org>
>>
>>All I can get so far (Pinellas County) is NOAA and Critical
>>Intervention, whatever that is - so far, just people driving around
>>lost...
>>
>>Looks like the Sheriff and fire are using trunked lines in the 800MHz
>>range, using VHF or UHF for backup. We have a lot of vhf boat traffic
>>here, but I have a 80 channel uniden marine radio.
>>
>>Also It's a hassle to manually input each freq., then be only able to
>>scan 10 of those.
>>
>>Am I missing something? Is there a range I can input to scan for (Can
>>you guess it didn't come with a manual?)
>>
>>Should I just change that last model number digit to a 6 and bite the
>>bullet on ebay?
>>
>>S
>>
>>
>>
>>In article <20020319172135.14550.00002747@mb-da.news.cs.com>, Lbrcly
>><lbrcly@cs.com> wrote:
>>
>>> >Subject: Is a Realistic Pro-2008 just totally useless?
>>>
>>> It depends in what freqs are active in your area. Where I'm at it would be
>>> good
>>> for fire,ems,state police and other stuff. Good price by the way.
>

Correction, don't ask me wher the words went... \

>There are a lot of secondary uses for such a radio, but it's only going to be
>worth $10-20, for someone who happens to need one. Take it to a local auction
```

>house and have them sell it on consignment in an estate sale. You might get
>lucky (like a dozen I've seen locally) and have some rube bid it up past the
>cost of a brand,

new one. It seems scanners,

mediocre bakelite table radios & old color TV consoles seem
>to
>attract old guys who don't know jack about them but think they are worth big
>bucks.
>Neutrodyne
>
>"If you have comments, please don't call." - "Dr." Laura Schlessinger, March
>18, 2002

958. U.S. frequencies ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-20 23:52:08 UTC
From: Steve St Denis <steve.st@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <5G6m8.12444$XN1.1582462@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 50472
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Can anyone tell me how to get the frequencies from the F.C.C. Government site..... from 30-mhz to 1000-mhz
1 What is the web site address for these frequencies ?
2 What files do I download ?
Thank for any help with this matter.
Steve
steve.st@sympatico.ca

959. Pro 92, What's it worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-20 23:53:59 UTC
From: chief305@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18548-3C992117-33@storefull-2332.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 50477

I have a new it the box Pro-92, 500 channel trunking scanner that I picked up at an auction. I will be putting this radio up for sale next week. How much is this radio worth?

Thanks in Advance!

Dave

960. Boston Area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-21 00:23:36 UTC
From: iiesinc@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020320192336.04401.00002002@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 50475

Can someone please e-mail me with links to Boston area scanning pages. I've searched with every possible combination and can only find 5 or 6 pages with frequency lists. Any help is greatly appreciated. Thanks in advance.

961. Surveyor 10HLP Scanner info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-21 00:31:10 UTC
From: impact@snet.net
Message-ID: <3c992a79.9349745@news.snet.sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 50483

Has anyone ever heard of a Surveyor 10HLP Scanner
This is a 10 Channel Crystal High/Low band scanning radio
I wanted to know if it uses 10.7 If or 10.8 If crystals

appreciate all replies

Bill

962. Re: Boston Area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-21 00:40:17 UTC
From: ScanMan <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com>
Message-ID: <RZ9m8.5418$_L.1908@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 50476

You can always try http://www.scanboston.com

--
The National Capital Region Public Safety Internet Scanner Broadcast
RS-Pro2037 Scanner. Cities of Ottawa, Kanata, Nepean, Gloucestor. Fire,
EMS, RCMP Police, Various Security Agencies
http://www.OttawaOntarioScanner.dns2go.com

"Iies inc" <iiesinc@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020320192336.04401.00002002@mb-ms.aol.com...
> Can someone please e-mail me with links to Boston area scanning pages.
I've
> searched with every possible combination and can only find 5 or 6 pages
with
> frequency lists. Any help is greatly appreciated. Thanks in advance.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.338 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002

963. Re: Boston Area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-21 00:53:44 UTC
From: Den6N <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <u9ibqdrkv2b7f9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50478

Perhaps you haven't seen one or more of these...

http://rob.millbury.net/scan/

http://www.capecod.net/~bhendric/scanner.html

http://people.ne.mediaone.net/halligan/capefreq.html

http://www.panix.com/~clay/scanning/index.html

http://www.agt.net/public/gpnet/

http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/

http://come.to/SamHomepage

http://www.cnusa.com/scanner/

Not positive they're all gonna have what you want, but they're worth a
check - also check the Radio Scanner WebRing:

http://www.webring.org/cgi-bin/webring?ring=radioscanner;index;id=1

and the Local Scanner Webring:

http://www.webring.org/cgi-bin/webring?ring=localscanner;list

Den6N
Putnam Co NY
==========

"Iies inc" <iiesinc@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020320192336.04401.00002002@mb-ms.aol.com...
> Can someone please e-mail me with links to Boston area scanning pages.
I've
> searched with every possible combination and can only find 5 or 6 pages
with
> frequency lists. Any help is greatly appreciated. Thanks in advance.

964. Re: U.S. frequencies ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-21 00:58:22 UTC
From: wleamons <wleamons@socket.net>
Message-ID: <u9ic1gnft3hoeb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50479
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

THIS MAY HELP YOU TRY CITYFREQ.COM
"Steve St Denis" <steve.st@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:5G6m8.12444$XN1.1582462@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Can anyone tell me how to get the frequencies from the F.C.C. Government
> site..... from 30-mhz to 1000-mhz
> # 1 What is the web site address for these frequencies ?
> # 2 What files do I download ?
> Thank for any help with this matter.
> Steve
> steve.st@sympatico.ca
>
>

965. Re: Boston Area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-21 00:59:23 UTC
From: wleamons <wleamons@socket.net>
Message-ID: <u9ic3ieqbrt636@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50480

try this cityfreq.com
"Iies inc" <iiesinc@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020320192336.04401.00002002@mb-ms.aol.com...
> Can someone please e-mail me with links to Boston area scanning pages.
I've
> searched with every possible combination and can only find 5 or 6 pages
with
> frequency lists. Any help is greatly appreciated. Thanks in advance.

966. Re: U.S. frequencies ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-21 01:10:33 UTC
From: wleamons <wleamons@socket.net>
Message-ID: <u9icoim86n9e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50481
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

this may help try cityfreq.com
"Steve St Denis" <steve.st@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:5G6m8.12444$XN1.1582462@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Can anyone tell me how to get the frequencies from the F.C.C. Government
> site..... from 30-mhz to 1000-mhz
> # 1 What is the web site address for these frequencies ?
> # 2 What files do I download ?
> Thank for any help with this matter.
> Steve
> steve.st@sympatico.ca
>
>

967. american speed assoc scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-21 01:36:40 UTC
From: ajrazorfeet@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5ff8cec6.0203201736.7f36afa7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50482
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

hi

i need asa freqs for usa speedway. does
anyone have them?

968. Re: 499 Dollars for Plug Uniden Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-21 02:57:17 UTC
From: bmiller <bmiller@woh.rr.com>
Message-ID: <h_bm8.174071$Hu6.40430855@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 50484

This represents the good old " what ever the market will bear "
marketing strategy.

Between the Motorola and Uniden monopoly ,what choices have we .?
Kinda reminds me of how "they" arrive at gasoline pricing.

b.j.

969. Where to Download Scanman.exe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-21 03:43:29 UTC
From: mark12085@aol.comSPAMMO
Message-ID: <20020320224329.06023.00002198@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 50485

I remember I downloaded a set of .exe's a while ago of southern california frequencies. one of the files was titled scanman.exe .Does anyone know where I can find those files? Thanks.

970. Re: Surveyor 10HLP Scanner info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-21 03:45:05 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3c995952$0$35576$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 50486

```
The Survey 10HLP is double conversion scanner.
It employs a 10.7 MHz first IF and 455 kHz
second IF.
--
================================================================================
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user
```

971. Re: PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-21 03:53:01 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@cox.net>
Message-ID: <xOcm8.27673$J54.1368583@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 50487

This is really too bad. The PRO-2006 is a legend and is the best scanner that was ever made. I have a new unit in the box that I am selling for $360 is anyone is interested in acquiring a new and unused 2006. It is probably one of the only new 2006 units that is available. I am in Southern California if anyone would like to personally see the unit.

Regards,

-jim

"Jon Van Allen" <jonvanallen@msn.com> wrote in message news:3C98FB69.E5BC470A@msn.com...
The 2006, Commtel 205 (or any variants of the 2006) are no longer available
in the UK or anywhere else.
Just ask Javiation, it has been at least 3 years since they had any in stock.

Jon

Mike wrote:

John,
You've made 2 posts indicating the price is too high and you can order a
brand new one from UK for less shipped. How about providing the link so we
can benefit from your information?

Thanks

Mike

""Q"" <trevise@despammed.com> wrote in message
news:W1Vl8.203930$s43.45953742@typhoon.columbus.rr.com...
> Better drop the price on that. You can order a brand new one from the UK
for
> less - shipped.
>
> "John LeMay" <jlemay@njmc.com> wrote in message
> news:a6tl6v$hbspn$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de...
> Hi all,
>
> PRO-2006 in very nice condition, backlight is bright, new keypad, some
> wear on the front panel, great working condition. Overall a very nice
> sample from a classic series. Popular diode mods done for speed and
> coverage. Pictures available upon request. $250 includes shipping and
> insurance to anywhere in the lower 48. Guaranteed no DOA!
>
> --
> John LeMay Jr.
> Senior Enterprise Consultant
> NJMC, LLC.

>
> Sent with PAN 0.11.2 running on Mandrake Linux 8.1
>
>

972. Re: speech inversion descrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-21 04:02:52 UTC
From: Jeff <iride2fast@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u9imrjp45d62@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50488

or just get a soundblaster card with EAX...

"Quantam Physics" <Quantam Physics@quantam-minds.psy> wrote in message
news:u9ji9uo7na0h8vnejspdboot6pkeifuapu@4ax.com...
> (CTPDS49) wrote:
>
> > Voice Frequency Inversion
> >
> > Speech Inversion is accomplished by mixing the speech spectrum
> > (typically 300-3000 Hz) with a tone (commonly 3500 Hz) to produce some
> > audio frequencies (3800-6500 Hz) then filtering to 3200-500 Hz
> > constituting the speech inversion.
>
>
>
> Transcrypt LMR Security Modules, DES Series Digital Encryption
> http://www.transcryptsecure.com/products/lmr_security.html
>
>
> Audio Samples (windows media player)
> http://www.transcryptsecure.com/audio/pages/audiosample_e_480.html
>
>
>
> SelecTone Voice Encryption
>
> ST-20B/C Speech Inversion Scrambler
> ST-25B/C Rolling Code Encryption Modules
> ST-50 Series Time Domain Encryption Modules
> http://www.com-spec.com/selectone/npb/private/private.htm
>
>
>
> Scrambling Essentials
> http://www.transcryptsecure.com/techcorner/scrames.html

973. Re: PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-21 04:43:02 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020320234302.19107.00002248@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 50489

```
>Subject: Re: PRO-2006
>From: "Jim Wayda" jwayda@cox.net
>Date: 3/20/2002 9:53 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <xOcm8.27673$J54.1368583@news1.west.cox.net>
>
>This is a multi-part message in MIME format.
>
>------=_NextPart_000_0091_01C1D048.D710CDF0
>Content-Type: text/plain;
> charset="iso-8859-1"
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
>
>This is really too bad. The PRO-2006 is a legend and is the best scanner =
>that was ever made. I have a new unit in the box that I am selling for =
>$360 is anyone is interested in acquiring a new and unused 2006. It is =
>probably one of the only new 2006 units that is available. I am in =
>Southern California if anyone would like to personally see the unit.
>
>Regards,
>
>-jim
>
```

Maybe he figures if he posts the ad often enough we'll all get so tired of seeing it we'll all chip in and give him the $360 just to shut up about it.
If it's so great Jim, put it up for sale on Ebay with no reserve and a $1 starting bid. If it's what you say (and say and say and say) it is, you'll have no trouble getting what you want for it.
How about some real sharp, clear photos of the scanner, the box and of the manual still in it's undisturbed, still taped shut wrapper? If you had other 2006's when you bought this one there never would have been a need to look at the manual, now would there. A couple of macro focus photos of the knobs to show there is no dust or dirt hiding where a q-tip won't go? Now those would make a good Ebay ad. You might even get $400 for it!
You want to sell it to someone close enough to come and look at it in person, cough up the lousy $5 to advertise it in the local pennysaver.

974. Re: FS: Pro-2050 Trunktracker SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-21 04:44:54 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020320234454.19107.00002249@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 50490

>Subject: FS: Pro-2050 Trunktracker SOLD
>From: Jon Van Allen jonvanallen@msn.com
>Date: 3/20/2002 11:37 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3C98C8C9.370E9361@msn.com>
>
>Pro-2050 is sold, thanks.

Isn't it amazing when you ask a fair price for something you don't have to make any wild claims & repost your ad 17 times? You just sell it right away.

975. Re: Pro 92, What's it worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-21 05:00:25 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C9968E8.762838A2@optonline.net>
Source record: 50491

Probably somewhat less than what RS charges when they are on sale. The non-sale price is $250.

David Williams wrote:
>
> I have a new it the box Pro-92, 500 channel trunking scanner that I
> picked up at an auction. I will be putting this radio up for sale next
> week. How much is this radio worth?
>
> Thanks in Advance!
>
> Dave

976. ARNewsline 1283 - March 15 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-21 06:12:52 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020321011252.08627.00004017@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 50492

Amateur Radio Newsline Report 1283 – March 15, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1283 with a release date of Friday, March 15th, 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T.

Restructuring at the FCC should not impact on Amateur Radio and a first for the Dayton Hamvention. These stories are first on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1283 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

REGULATORY ISSUES : FCC REORGANIZES LATER THIS MONTH

A reorganization of the Federal Communications Commission is set to become final later this month. This, as the agency stresses that realignment of responsibilities between various bureaus will make it more efficient and help it better respond to a changing technological marketplace. Amateur Radio Newsline’s David Black, KB4KCH, takes a look at some of the changes.

--
It’s an independent government agency that reports directly to Congress. It’s mission is to regulate communications. One of the services it oversees is Amateur Radio, but most observers of the reorganization of the Federal Communications Commission see little impact on hobby communications.

As part of the reorganization a new Media Bureau will combine the duties of the current Cable and Mass Media bureaus. The goal of the combined bureau? To treat competitors of multiple entertainment delivery systems equally and consistently. This includes broadcasters, cable operators and satellite service providers to name only a few.

Other changes include a renamed Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau. Also, a realigned International Bureau still will handle satellite licensing but most policy issues will now be the domain of the newly created Media Bureau.

These are just a few of many changes taking place. Most appear aimed at commercial interests. For ham radio, C-B, G-M-R-S and most two-way radio users the shift will probably be transparent. In other words, for these spectrum users and most others, it looks like business as usual within a soon to be restructured FCC.

From the South-East Bureau in Birmingham Alabama, I’m David Black, KB4KCH, reporting for the Amateur Radio Newsline. Don.

--

Congress endorsed the plan late last year. The FCC then approved the overhaul in January. The changes will take effect March 25th. You can read more on the web at www.fcc.gov. (ARNewsline (tm) from published news reports)

**

RADIO LAW: FCC REFUSES TO SIDE WITH CB'ER IN LOCAL CITATION CASE

The FCC has refused to hear an appeal by an Illinois C-B operator who was convicted of Disorderly Conduct for using an 11 meter Class D Citizens Band Radio. Amateur Radio Newsline's Norm Seeley, KI7UP, has the details:

--
Gary D. White is among the first C-B operators dinged under a new authority granted by the Federal Government to states and municipal law enforcement agencies. Authority to control interference caused by operators of Class D 11 Meter C-B radio sets.

White was convicted on a state Disorderly Conduct charge of operating his C-B equipment in an unreasonably loud, offensive, harassing or threatening manner. He was not convicted of violating any FCC rules. White believed that the citation was based on interference to a neighbors telephone and television receiver. This in itself is not a violation of FCC Part 95 rules. And because of this he also believed that local authorities had no justification to sanction him. So he appealed the conviction to the FCC.

But the FCC says that the proof of guilt on the Disorderly conduct allegation is not in its preview to consider. This is because no FCC rules were violated. Also, under a law enacted by Congress in November of 2000, state and local governments are free to enact laws to enforce existing Part 95 Citizens Radio Service rules.

Bottom line. The FCC will not interfere in the case and White will have to find other avenues if he wishes to continue his appeals.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Norm Seeley, KI7UP.

--

Congress enacted the law to give state and local authorities enforcement authority over 11 meter C-B operators due to the rising tide of unnecessary and harmful interference to other people using radio devices in near-by spectrum. This includes corded and wireless telephones, television receivers, stereo Hi-Fi sets and other consumer electronic gear. Ham radio is specifically exempted from the law and any ordinance it may be used to create. (W5YI Report)

**

HAM RADIO RIGHTS: NM. AND W.VA. JOIN THE PRB-1 BANDWAGON

The ARRL Letter reports that New Mexico and West Virginia have become the 14th and 15th states to adopt pro Amateur Radio antenna bills based on the limited

federal preemption known as PRB-1. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Jeff Clark, K8JAC, reports:

We have this report:

--
In New Mexico Governor Gary Johnson signed House Bill 314 on March 5th. This, after it easily passed both houses of the legislature last month with just two opposing votes during a short session that typically only deals with appropriations bills. Called New Mexico's "Emergency Communication Preservation Act" the measure was sponsored by State Representative Mimi Stewart and Senator Ramsay Gorham. It stipulates that municipal or county ordinances regulating Amateur Radio antennas cannot obstruct or preclude amateur radio service communications. Also, that such ordinances shall reasonably accommodate amateur radio service communications.

Here in West Virginia Governor Bob Wise signed House Bill 4335 into law on March 7th. The bill provides that antenna structures may be erected at heights and dimensions sufficient to accommodate amateur radio service communications but does not include a minimum regulatory height schedule. The measure also exempts Amateur Radio antenna towers constructed prior to the effective date from subsequent zoning regulation changes.

From Charleston West Virginia, I’m Jeff Clark, K8JAC.

--

In signing the West Virginia bill into law, Governor Wise, whose late father held the call sign W-A-8-A-Y-P, also proclaimed March 7 as Amateur Radio Operators Appreciation Day across the state. (ARRL)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the Northcoast Amateur Radio Club repeater serving Cleveland Ohio.

(5 sec pause here)

**

AMERICA AT WAR: NEW TERRORISM ALERT SYSTEM

The United States has a new color-coded terrorism warning system. It was revealed on Tuesday, March 12th by Homeland Security chief Tom Ridge.

The five-level system is a response to public complaints that broad terror alerts issued by the government since the Sept. 11 attacks raised alarm without providing useful guidance. The lowest-status warning is green, followed by blue, yellow, orange and red as the perceived dangers intensify.

As the terrorism warning system was unveiled, Ridge said that the nation is on yellow alert. This means that the United States is facing a significant risk

of danger but not the highest stage.

The alert system is in force immediately for federal agencies, and Ridge is urging state and local governments to adopt it, too. It will be subject to a 45-day comment period, after which it probably will be revised. It's not known what part if any Amateur Radio will play in the new enhanced terrorism alert scheme. (Published news reports)

**

ENFORCEMENT: TAKE THAT UNCOORDINATED REPEATER OFF THE AIR

The FCC has told the operator of an uncoordinated North Carolina repeater to stop interfering with a coordinated machine, even if it means immediately taking his system off the air. This is the edict handed down to the operators of the NY4X repeater by FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH.

--
Hollingsworth: " We also notified Richard Howell, NY4X, of Greer South Carolina and John Parker, AG4AZ, of Brevard Norrth Carolina that upon reciept of the letter, the NY4X repeater that they operate had to either shut down, obtain coordination or submit a detailed plan to the Commission to prevent interference to the KU4OL [repeater]."

"Now this interference has been going on for quite some time. We notified Parker and Howell back in November that something had to be done. Nothing was accomplished in regard to coordination and nothing was done in regard to the interference."
--

Hollingsworth letter says that Howell and Parker had more than ample time to fulfill their obligation under Section 97.205 of the Commission's rules. And late word is that the repeater has been shut down. (FCC, RAIN)

**

ENFORCEMENT: TAKE THAT BROKEN REPEATER OFF THE AIR

The NY4X repeater is not the only machine currently under FCC scrutiny. Another one is in Northern California. This one is having technical problems and is causing interference to machines on adjacent channel pairs. Again, the FCC's Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH:

--
Hollingsworth: "We notified Wendall Peterson of Walnut Creek California, operator of the K6POU repeater that more than enough time has elapsed for him to correct the technical and control problems with the repeater. His earlier response to our inquiry showed that the bandwidth was indeed so wide that it caused problems to two other repeaters. We have gotten complaints about that. And the repeater system is just not operating in accordance with good Amateur practice."
--

Hollingsworth says that his office expects the problems with the repeater to be corrected or that the machine will be taken off the air. He also gave Peterson 20 days from the date of his letter to respond. (FCC, RAIN)

**

ENFORCEMENT: THE RESPONSIBILITY OF REPEATER OWNERS

The FCC enforcement involving the NY4X and K-6POU repeaters are just the latest in a series of actions aimed at cleaning up the problems that have been plaguing the F-M community. Whether it's an uncoordinated repeater causing havoc to a coordinated machine, or a long established system in need of maintenance, the FCC's Hollingsworth says responsibility comes with repeater ownership:

--
Hollingsworth: "We want to emphasize that repeaters are voluntary. Nobody has to put one up. But if you do put one up you have a responsibility to maintain it, to get coordination if that's necessary, and to operate in accordance with good Amateur practices, especially if other repeaters are being detrimentally affected."
--

In reality, the only things the FCC is asking of those who own and operate repeaters is to be good neighbors and to adhere to the proper technical standards. In this way, the repeaters themselves can better serve their service area and the ham radio community in it. (FCC, RAIN)

**

RESCUE RADIO: KNOWING WHERE YOU ARE IN THE MID WEST

If you drive the highways and by-ways of Kentucky, you need never worry again about where you are. And if you get in a bind, rescuers will be able to find you. That is, if you are a ham radio operator equipped with a mobile APRS station. Jack Parker, W8ISH, of Amateur News Weekly, says that in his part of the world it is a mode that is truly coming of age:

--
Good news from the Kentuckey Automatic Position Reporting Society" their network of APRS digipeters is growing like well bred Kentucky Race Horses.

According to Brian Walker, KC4FIE, several new sites will be coming on line very soon. New digi's are planned for Grand Rivers, Morgantown, Owensboro, Lawrenceburg, and Dry Ridge to name a few.

The Murry State University club has added a digipeter and two stations along the Kentuckey – Tennessee border have extended APRS coverage into that state. These efforts effective extend the Mid-West network of APRS digipeters established by the Tri-State Working Group in Ohio, Indiana and Illinois.

If you need more information on the Automatic Position Reporting System just check the APRS Working Group website at www.tawg.org.

Reporting for Amateur News Weekly, this is Jack Parker, W8ISH.

--

With interest in A-P-R-S growing and ham gear that includes the mode as a standard feature, look for a defacto nationwide network to be in place by the end of the decade. (ANW)

**

HAMVENTION 2002: HAM TESTS WILL BE AT THE HARA

The Dayton Hamvention has asked that we pass along a correction to some information in its recently mailed pre-show brochure. The pamphlet incorrectly lists Meadowdale High School as the venue for Amateur Radio license exams. This is incorrect. The Hamvention says that all license exams will be held at the HARA Arena Hamvention site. (Hamvention(r))

**

HAMVENTION 2002: QCWA BANQUET

And attention QCWA members. This years annual banquet in conjunction with the Dayton Hamvention will be hosted by the South West Ohio chapter and will be held on Friday, May 17th. The venue is Alex's Continental Restaurant near Miamisburg. Starting time is 7:30 P.M. and reservations are required.

This years speaker will be General Raymond Moorman. He will be talking about the United States Air Force Museum and plans for the upcoming Centennial of Flight celebration in 2003. For more information and to make reservations please contact Ed Sonnanstine by e-mail to EdSonny@aol.com (QCWA)

**

CONVENTIONS - UTAH: UTAH HAMFEST

The 2002 Rocky Mountain Division ARRL Convention and 2002 Utah Hamfest will be held July 12th to the 14th at Ruby's Inn at Bryce, Utah. The venue is near Bryce Canyon National Park. For more information please visit www.utahhamfest.org on the world wide web. (Utah Hamfest)

**

CONFERENCES: ARRL/TAPR DIGITAL COMMUNICATIONS CONFERENCE

Technical papers are being solicited for presentation at the 21st Annual ARRL and TAPR Digital Communications Conference. The event will be held this September in Denver, Colorado. Presentations will also be publicized in the Conference Proceedings. Submission of papers is due by August 5th and in-person presentation at the conference is not required for publication. Conference registration and additional details are available on the web at www.tapr.org/dcc (TAPR, ARRL)

**

BREAK 2

Here when you need us 52 weeks a year. We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, with links to the world from our only official website at www dot a r newsline dot

o-r-g.

(5 sec pause here)

**

NAMES IN THE NEWS: HELPING HAND NET

We want to take a moment to welcome a new and very interesting net to the Amateur Radio Newsline family. It is on the AD6XK repeater here in Los Angeles California on 145.440 MHz.

What makes this net very special is that its Control Operator Simon Rosconi, K6DXN, is visually impaired. According to Dave Estrada, KD6HDX, Rosconi lost his vision at age 6. Estrada says that even without sight, Rosconi works 40 hours a week, and still finds time to volunteer his time as control operator for the nets.

But Rosconi is not the only vision impaired ham involved. Estrada tells Amateur Radio Newsline that Brian Coponiti, KG6BVO, who is also partially blind, has also volunteered. Coponiti downloads and plays back Amateur Radio Newsline to the net.

Estrada adds that this particular repeater group also has two other operators that are visually impaired. They are Gary La Duke, WB6WJW and Ivan Simer, K6TTA. (KD6HDX)

**

NAMES IN THE NEWS: EDWARD T. CLEGG, W8LOY FAMILY CELEBRATION

A few weeks ago we told you about plans by the family of the late Edward T. Clegg, W8LOY, to celebrate the life of this ham radio pioneer. The gathering is being planned by Ed’s widow Martie, her daughter Linda and Linda’s husband Rob Mc Guffie, K2HZN. Recently, RAIN producer Hap Holly, KC9RP, had a chance to speak with Rob about the upcoming event:

--
NO TEXT AVAILABLE – AUDIO ONLY
--

Hap conducted an extensive interview with Rob Mc Guffie. It really tells the whole story of Ed Clegg and his famed Clegg Labs. Part 1 is online right now at www.rainreport.com or by telephone at 847-827-7246. (RAIN)

**

RADIO REGULATIONS: AVOIDING A PHONE NUMBER CRUNCH

The nation's supply of telephone phone numbers is depleting rapidly and the government is taking steps to make sure what is left lasts longer. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ, has more:

--
Over the past several years, area codes have multiplied to cope with the

explosion in technology. Regions have responded by splitting up area codes among geographic areas or laying another area code over an existing one.

But in 1996 the Federal Communications Commission banned such overlays dedicated to specific kinds of technology. The Commission enacted the ban because it considered separate area codes for cellular telephones phones and pagers unfair to wireless companies and their customers. This is because everyone would have to dial 10 digits instead of seven to reach wireless customers.

But states including California, Massachusetts and Ohio had been been asking for ways to prevent existing area codes from running out of phone numbers, the agency said. The FCC says that it will now hear proposals from states on how they intend to conserve area codes on a case-by-case basis, including plans to use technology-specific area codes.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ, in West Orange New Jersey.

--

The FCC, which is responsible for overseeing the supply of phone numbers, says that without action the number pool will run out in less than ten years. (FCC)

**

HAM RADIO BUSINESS: A NEW HOME FOR VERTEX STANDARD/YAESU

Vertex Standard USA, better known in ham radio circles as Yaesu, is moving to a huge, beautiful new facility. The new address is Vertex Standard U-S-A, 10900 Walker Street, Cypress, California, 90630. The new main phone number is area code 714-827-7600. All e-mail addresses will remain the same but telephone extensions will change. Vertex Standard makes the move -- no joke -- on Monday, April 1st. (Vertex-Standard)

**

INTERNATIONAL-CANADA: VE7BFK IS NEW RAC ARDF COORDINATOR

Radio Amateurs of Canada has named Gordon Young, VE7BFK as it's news R-A-C National Amateur Radio Direction Finding Coordinator. Young replaces Perry Creighton, VA7PC who held that appointment for the past several years. (RAC)

**

CONTESTS: SOUTH WEST OKLAHOMA SPRINTS

The first ever South West Oklahoma Spring Sprints sponsored by the Lawton VHF Contesting Club will take place in just a few weeks. Sprints are short period contests held primarily on a specific band or using a specific mode. The South West Oklahoma Spring Sprints are band exclusive. The 2 meter sprint is on April 1st from 7 pm to 11 p.m. Central U-S time. The 70 centimeter sprint is April 13th also from 7 to 11 Central. Both are open to all Oklahoma hams living south of Interstate highway 40 and West of Interstate 35. For more

information e-mail ne0p@arrl.net (VHF Reflector)

**

DX

In DX, K8PT and K3PLV are in the Channel Islands and operating as MJ slash K8PT and MJ slash K3PLV from Jersey through the 19th of March. The emphasis is on CW and RTTY in the WARC bands during daylight and 30, 40 and 80 meters during the evenings. Q-S-L as directed on the air. (RSGB)

Also word that Laurence Howell, GM4DMA, who is now resident in Anchorage, Alaska, will be travelling to warmer climates. This, as part of his work for the youth development charity Raleigh International. Howell say that he plans to be active as V51 slash G4DMA from Namibia from the 16th of March for two weeks. Look for him on 160 through 6 meters as his work schedule allows. (RSGB)

**
THAT FINAL ITEM: A WEDDING AT HAMVENTION 2002

And finally this week, get ready for a first. The first ever wedding to be held at the Dayton Hamvention.

No, we are not kidding. For the first time in Hamvention history, wedding vows will be exchanged at the show. This as Cyndi Krieger and Mark Elliot, N8WZW, will be married Saturday, May 18th at the HARA Arena during Hamvention 2002.

Mark and Cyndi met about thirteen years ago through a mutual friend. On their first date Mark and Cyndi went out for dinner and a movie. According to Hamvention sources, they have been an "item" ever since.

Mark introduced Cyndi to amateur radio by going to a hamfest. Cyndi had no idea what a hamfest was, but it sounded interesting. It was and she was hooked! Cyndi is now studying for her license and may test for it before Hamvention weekend.

Why get married at Hamvention? Mark and Cyndi couldn't think of a better place to share their love for each other and for amateur radio than by getting married at the world's largest amateur radio trade show. The ceremony takes place at 3 PM in Forum Room 3 immediately following the Amateur Radio Newsline produced Ham Radio Town Meeting. (Hamvention (r))

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the Dayton Hamvention, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline@arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937,

Arcadia, California 91066.

Before we go, a reminder that the nominating period for the 2002 amateur Radio Newsline Young Ham of the Year is now open. No significant changes from last year. Nominees must be 18 or younger. Full rules and an application is on our website at www.arnewsline.org.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm Don Wilbanks, KC5MFA saying 73, and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

977. Re: Where to Download Scanman.exe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-21 07:13:31 UTC
From: cousinprozac@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <956bb0d1.0203202313.1868d928@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50493

mark12085@aol.comSPAMMO (Mark Mark) wrote in message
news:<20020320224329.06023.00002198@mb-dd.aol.com>...
> I remember I downloaded a set of .exe's a while ago of southern california
> frequencies. one of the files was titled scanman.exe .Does anyone know where I
> can find those files? Thanks.

If this is what I'm thinking of, it's called the Scanman's Handbook.
Quite an old program, circa 1996, IIRC. A lot of the frequencies are
outdated, I believe.

Try here, search the page for "scanman":

http://www.staticdischarge.org/Radio/Scanner/

978. OT: Sort of...TV on the Internet' resurrected in new venture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-21 08:13:21 UTC
From: ScanMan <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com>
Message-ID: <BCgm8.6950$_L.5314@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 50494
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.radio.scanner,ott.general,rec.radio.scanner

I thought I would bring this too attention to people out there. This
certainly is a big step in Transmitting Signals over the internet.

`TV on the Internet' resurrected in new venture

http://makeashorterlink.com/?D23C12F8

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.338 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002

979. Re: Pro 92, What's it worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-21 13:59:25 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020321085925.00500.00000004@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 50495

I'm not gonna tell you what it's worth - you can decide that - but Radio Shack periodically puts the Pro-93 (a better scanner) on sale for $180. Currently the base version (the Pro-2053) is on sale for $180.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

980. FA**Realistic VHF Monitor Receiver PRO-1B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-21 16:39:41 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020321113941.05049.00000063@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 50496

```
Item name: Realistic VHF Monitor Receiver PRO-1B
Item number: 1340185096
Minimum bid: $5.00
Reserve price: N/A
Quantity: 1
End date: Sunday, Mar 24, 2002 at 15:20:14 PST
To view your item, click here:
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1340185096
```

981. W.T.B. PRO-2001 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-21 17:01:15 UTC
From: Rusty <rustyspam@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3C9A11DB.2090206@dccnet.com>
Source record: 50397

Hi there,
Does anyone have an old PRO-2001 Scanner they are willing to sell in
good to excellent condition?
Please e-mail me directly with the condition and your asking price?
e-mail me directly at rusty@dccnet.com
Thanks-Russ

982. Trunking + MilAir

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-21 18:20:07 UTC
From: gsheppar@doh.state.ut.us
Message-ID: <cc4b4dd9.0203211020.5bd4fdbc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50398

I see that the Pro-93 covers 216-225. Will this range pick up any MilAir, or is there another hand-held scanner that has Military Air capability and Trunk Tracking capability? Thanks for any help with this.

983. Re: China/Beijing Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-21 18:32:55 UTC
From: jr127@USA.net
Message-ID: <3C9A2757.3ED86BDB@USA.net>
Source record: 50399

Frank, with all that information I would stay home if I where Wil. Wil, tour the "USA", we have many sights I would bet you haven't seen. And, you can take your scanner and NOT be arrested.
Tour San Francisco's China town and pretend your in China.

Frank wrote:

> I spent a LOT of time in China (PRC - The People's Republic of China. call
> it by it's proper name and the local folks will treat you better) doing
> some high tech work. Listening in on police, government, or military
> broadcast
> is a very serious crime there. Getting a two way radio license, even for a
> radio
> manufacturer, is about as tough as getting a concealed carry gun permit in
> NYC or Paris.
>
> Violating the radio security laws will get you years in the gulag and no
> help from the US embassy! Many other countries have such similar laws - all
> designed around protecting their 'security'. Tread with care!
>
> China strictly enforces it's security laws, including the banning of
> 'transceivers', scanners, and GPS receivers. AM/FM broadcast receivers are
> Ok. Cell phones and pagers are OK and quite common. Two way radios and
> scanners are a NO-NO.
>
> They're just waking up to computer controlled radios such as ICOM's
> PR1000 or WinRadio's products. Even a personal size shortwave radio raises
> some eyebrows in customs. If you arrive on a jumbo jet at any of the large
> international airports (Beijing, Shanghai, Cheng-Du, Xian, etc), chances are
> there will be so many people deplaning that customs will not even bother
> looking in your bags. Tote a bunch of cardboard boxes, however, and they do
> stop you to look for dutiable items. Arrive looking like a 'hippie'
> (disheveled and carrying only a backpack) and you will be asked to open your
> bags and empty your pockets. Drug laws are also draconian (like Singapore
> and Malaysia where possession of more than 15 grams of anything mandating a
> death sentence).
>
> Don't forget to get a visa BEFORE you go - your travel agent can help.
> Rumors to the contrary, you cannot get a visa upon arrival in the PRC. The
> airlines will not let you board without a visa. You can arrive in Honk Kong
> without a PRC visa, but you will generally need to stay there for a day or
> two days for your PRC visa to be approved - if you have a letter of
> invitation from an in-country organization.
>
> Tread with care! The PRC laws are a bit draconian. I am a pilot and I was

> able to fly freely in-country, but until 1995 was not able to drive! Our
> phones at
> the hotel were listened in on. Our faxes were intercepted. Anything copied
> or printed in the hotel 'business office' was copied to the local security
> folks. We had to use the encrypted ARINC radio in
> the aircraft and our on-board encrypted aircraft satellite phone for any
> real private
> conversations.
>
> With all that said, it's a wonderful place to visit - enjoy....
>

984. Re: Pro 92, What's it worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-21 19:35:29 UTC
From: Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <1tck9ug3ohi73hv04a4stv0k8fa998vkag@4ax.com>
Source record: 50400

On 21 Mar 2002 13:59:25 GMT, vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
(VHFRadioBuff) wrote:

>I'm not gonna tell you what it's worth - you can decide that - but Radio Shack
>periodically puts the Pro-93 (a better scanner) on sale for $180. Currently the
>base version (the Pro-2053) is on sale for $180.
>=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
>73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
>Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
>http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
>http://edfas.tripod.com

The Pro-93 better than the Pro-92? I'd like to disagree with that.
FWIW, the 93 is on sale until 3/31 for $169.99.

985. Re: PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-21 19:38:06 UTC
From: Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <mvck9usjecltv2ksf3sg5qjh2htu1f24fq@4ax.com>
Source record: 50401

On 21 Mar 2002 04:43:02 GMT, neutrodyne@aol.com (Neutrodyne) wrote:

> Maybe he figures if he posts the ad often enough we'll all get so tired of
>seeing it we'll all chip in and give him the $360 just to shut up about it.
> If it's so great Jim, put it up for sale on Ebay with no reserve and a $1
>starting bid. If it's what you say (and say and say and say) it is, you'll have
>no trouble getting what you want for it.
> How about some real sharp, clear photos of the scanner, the box and of
>the manual still in it's undisturbed, still taped shut wrapper? If you had
>other 2006's when you bought this one there never would have been a need to
>look at the manual, now would there. A couple of macro focus photos of the
>knobs to show there is no dust or dirt hiding where a q-tip won't go? Now those
>would make a good Ebay ad. You might even get $400 for it!
> You want to sell it to someone close enough to come and look at it in
>person, cough up the lousy $5 to advertise it in the local pennysaver.
>

I believe he put in the usual disclaimer "Only taken out of the box to
test it", so you can't complain when you get an opened box with a used
radio.

986. Cloning Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-21 20:04:29 UTC
From: Wayne SIKORSKI <wsikorski@snip.net>
Message-ID: <3c9a3cc7$1_1@news7.fast.net>
Source record: 50402
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Anyone know an online source for the ERW-4C cable used by the Alinco DJ-X3?
Thanks,
Wayne

987. Cobra MicroTalk powerpack voltage ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-21 20:56:08 UTC
From: Frank <fbrody@snet.net>
Message-ID: <INrm8.8511$XP6.2164341887@newssvr10.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50405

Does anyone know what the specs are for the AC powerpack to recharge the Cobra PR900 GMRS/FRS radio with NiMH batteries ?

Great pocket size radio with 15 channels (1-7 shared with FRS), 2W output, pretty clear audio, CTCSS on all channels, Vox without a headset, auto-squelch, and busy-channel-lockout. It even has additional GMRS frequencies that the Motorola Dx and other 10 channel GMRS units do not have. The two pack (two radios!) was only US$90, but at that price does not come with the batteries or charger powerpack. If only the antenna would fold down !!

I already have a box of AC power packs and would hate to have to add yet another power strip if one of my existing powerpacks would do the job. No matter how often I 'neat-it-up', the area behind my radio bench always looks like a rats nest. My wife thinks I'm going to dim the lights in the entire neighborhood.

Specs needed are:

Output Voltage (like maybe 12V DC ?)
Output current (like maybe 200ma ?)
plug (like maybe 6MM coaxial ??)
Tip positive or negative ?? (I would guess tip positive)

Cobra does not supply any of this info on their site (of course -- they're trying to sell accessories)

I'd really appreciate if someone would read the info off their powerpack & post a reply for all.

Thanks a megabyte ...

Frank

--
<<--- END OF MESSAGE --->>

988. Re: Trunking + MilAir

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-21 20:56:27 UTC
From: Chris <n8cop@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <_Nrm8.188962$uv5.16052074@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 50403

No Gary, you will need coverage between 225 and 400 mhz. I also believe
mil-air transmissions are mostly in the am mode.

Chris

"Gary" <gsheppar@doh.state.ut.us> wrote in message
news:cc4b4dd9.0203211020.5bd4fdbc@posting.google.com...
> I see that the Pro-93 covers 216-225. Will this range pick up any
> MilAir, or is there another hand-held scanner that has Military Air
> capability and Trunk Tracking capability? Thanks for any help with
> this.
>

989. Re: Trunking + MilAir

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-21 23:17:55 UTC
From: Den6N <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <u9kqi8hn1i9r1b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50404

I can only think of two BASES offhand that cover both trunking and the
225 - 400 band: the PRO-2052 & the Bearcat BC780XLT. There may
be others but I don't think there are any handhelds that do it.

Den6N
Putnam Co NY
==========

"Chris" <n8cop@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:_Nrm8.188962$uv5.16052074@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> No Gary, you will need coverage between 225 and 400 mhz. I also believe
> mil-air transmissions are mostly in the am mode.
>
>
> Chris
>
>
> "Gary" <gsheppar@doh.state.ut.us> wrote in message
> news:cc4b4dd9.0203211020.5bd4fdbc@posting.google.com...
> > I see that the Pro-93 covers 216-225. Will this range pick up any
> > MilAir, or is there another hand-held scanner that has Military Air
> > capability and Trunk Tracking capability? Thanks for any help with
> > this.
> >
>
>

990. Re: Pro 92, What's it worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-22 00:15:50 UTC
From: Dennis <DennisF@ABC.NET>
Message-ID: <a7dsup$knu5k$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50406

I'd say that it is better. Better reception, sensitivity text tagging the talkgroups ID.

"Darrin" <nospam@nj.rr.com> wrote in message news:1tck9ug3ohi73hv04a4stv0k8fa998vkag@4ax.com...
> On 21 Mar 2002 13:59:25 GMT, vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
> (VHFRadioBuff) wrote:
>
> >I'm not gonna tell you what it's worth - you can decide that - but Radio Shack
> >periodically puts the Pro-93 (a better scanner) on sale for $180. Currently the
> >base version (the Pro-2053) is on sale for $180.
> >=-
> >73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> >Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
> >http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> >http://edfas.tripod.com
>
> The Pro-93 better than the Pro-92? I'd like to disagree with that.
> FWIW, the 93 is on sale until 3/31 for $169.99.

991. Re: Pro 92, What's it worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-22 01:09:01 UTC
From: Runnyriver <noton6@microbrain.com>
Message-ID: <a7dvhs$ktjbg$1@ID-121301.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50407

I bought one in the last 2 months from Ebay for only $115. It is in mint condition but the antenna was broken and the rechargeable battery holder and the manual were missing. I am very happy with it. You need to identify the model, there are 3 different versions. Mine is the oldest version, and is 1.0.

"David Williams" <chief305@webtv.net> wrote in message news:18548-3C992117-33@storefull-2332.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I have a new it the box Pro-92, 500 channel trunking scanner that I
> picked up at an auction. I will be putting this radio up for sale next
> week. How much is this radio worth?
>
> Thanks in Advance!
>
> Dave
>

992. Re: Test of "Please Do Not Send TEST Messages here Thank you"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-22 01:10:21 UTC
From: Runnyriver <noton6@microbrain.com>
Message-ID: <a7dvkc$ko9bs$1@ID-121301.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50408
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Lurking Fool" <Lurker@Home.Com> wrote in message
news:a793re$iv2in$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> Getting lame EG.
> Think of something better !
>
eg has never been lame, obvious to me an fake.

993. Re: Pro 92, What's it worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-22 01:24:24 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1Kvm8.178$pq5.95593@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 50409

Sure, the 92 is better for LTR and P/L tones. But also remember with the 92 you must enter every single control channel AND voice frequency.

"Darrin" <nospam@nj.rr.com> wrote in message news:1tck9ug3ohi73hv04a4stv0k8fa998vkag@4ax.com...
> On 21 Mar 2002 13:59:25 GMT, vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
> (VHFRadioBuff) wrote:
>
> >I'm not gonna tell you what it's worth - you can decide that - but Radio Shack
> >periodically puts the Pro-93 (a better scanner) on sale for $180. Currently the
> >base version (the Pro-2053) is on sale for $180.
> >=-
> >73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> >Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
> >http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> >http://edfas.tripod.com
>
> The Pro-93 better than the Pro-92? I'd like to disagree with that.
> FWIW, the 93 is on sale until 3/31 for $169.99.

994. Re: Pro 92, What's it worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-22 01:58:33 UTC
From: Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <0t3l9ucdgi8po0d1oeqq71q0ggphqo0drt@4ax.com>
Source record: 50410

On Thu, 21 Mar 2002 18:15:50 -0600, "Dennis" <DennisF@ABC.NET> wrote:

>I'd say that it is better. Better reception, sensitivity text tagging the
>talkgroups ID.
>

I have both, and my 92 receives as well as my 93, although I know most
disagree. The 93 doesn't have CTCSS, which automatically makes it a
lesser radio IMO. The only things I like better about the 93 is it's
freq coverage, the control channel only trunking, and it's speed.

>
>"Darrin" <nospam@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:1tck9ug3ohi73hv04a4stv0k8fa998vkag@4ax.com...
>> On 21 Mar 2002 13:59:25 GMT, vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
>> (VHFRadioBuff) wrote:
>>
>> >I'm not gonna tell you what it's worth - you can decide that - but Radio
>Shack
>> >periodically puts the Pro-93 (a better scanner) on sale for $180.
>Currently the
>> >base version (the Pro-2053) is on sale for $180.
>> >=-
>> >73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
>> >Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
>> >http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
>> >http://edfas.tripod.com
>>
>> The Pro-93 better than the Pro-92? I'd like to disagree with that.
>> FWIW, the 93 is on sale until 3/31 for $169.99.
>

995. Re: Pro 92, What's it worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-22 02:02:10 UTC
From: Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <324l9usj8b5aio6u10tt830si158fb43qh@4ax.com>
Source record: 50411

On Thu, 21 Mar 2002 18:24:24 -0700, "Rob Taylor"
<fireboy001@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Sure, the 92 is better for LTR and P/L tones. But also remember with the 92
>you must enter every single control channel AND voice frequency.
>
Yup, you're right. The control channel only is nice. But IMO, the
CTCSS alone makes the 92 better. If you don't use PL tones than 93
might be a better choice. I have 3 towns around me that use the same
155.490 frequency and a couple that use 155.730. Without CTCSS, I
would never be able to tell them apart.

>
>"Darrin" <nospam@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:1tck9ug3ohi73hv04a4stv0k8fa998vkag@4ax.com...
>> On 21 Mar 2002 13:59:25 GMT, vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
>> (VHFRadioBuff) wrote:
>>
>> >I'm not gonna tell you what it's worth - you can decide that - but Radio
>Shack
>> >periodically puts the Pro-93 (a better scanner) on sale for $180.
>Currently the
>> >base version (the Pro-2053) is on sale for $180.
>> >=-
>> >73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
>> >Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
>> >http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
>> >http://edfas.tripod.com
>>
>> The Pro-93 better than the Pro-92? I'd like to disagree with that.
>> FWIW, the 93 is on sale until 3/31 for $169.99.
>

996. Re: Text labels on Pro-93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-22 03:57:13 UTC
From: Gray-Beard <gray-beard@NOSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <3C9AAB99.47E37682@NOSPAM.net>
Source record: 50412
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yes, 100 per bank for a total of 1,000
GB

Jim Martin wrote:

> Is there a limit for the number of text labels you can enter on Pro-93

997. Redshift and Pro-Turbo software... where are they?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-22 04:11:38 UTC
From: Jim Dickerson <jrdickerson@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <_9ym8.1119$K13.326238@typhoon.mn.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 50413

I've been trying obtain the Redshift s/w for the Pro-2006/4. The website is around but when I sent a check to buy the s/w it was returned. Plan B was the Pro-Turbo s/w, but it's site no longer responds.

Can anyone provide me with information where these companies went to?

Thanks,
Jim Dickerson

998. Re: Handheld Antenna's question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-22 07:16:19 UTC
From: multi <multi@bahn.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.17047a2644252d10989680@news.verizon.net>
Source record: 50414
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Radio Shack Suck!

In article <3C88E969.3837@gwis.com>, jacki2@gwis.com says...
> tazdog wrote:
> >
> > I have a bc220xlt handheld
> > and is there any way to get another\better antenna to increase range and/or
> > better reception.
> >
> > Thanks for your help,
> > Newbie - Scott
>
> Get yourself a telescopic antenna. You will be amazed at the increase in
> range. Radio Shack has them for around ten bucks. If you want a flexible
> antenna, try the Diamond brand model RH-77CA. It costs around forty
> dollars. You can get them at Ham Radio dealers. I have one and it has
> nearly doubled my receiving range.
> PHF
>

999. PCR-1000 EURO VERSION FA!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-22 07:45:24 UTC
From: ircSLUG <N-O-S-P-A-MMM-noneya@noneya.com>
Message-ID: <u9lo70bl7eg4b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50415

This is a Euro version that covers it ALL!

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1341192225

Good luck!

1000. Re: Pro 92, What's it worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-22 08:19:47 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a7epda$kum0e$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50416

I myself have not come across the need for the CTCSS itself so that is not a factor.It would be nice if it did though, I agree.

I had the Pro-92 before and quickly sold it. I did not like the sensitivity for my location at all. The Pro-93 had outperformed the 92 in sensitivity from my same location so much it seemed that the 92 was not even on for even mild distance transmissions.

"Darrin" <nospam@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:0t3l9ucdgi8po0d1oeqq71q0ggphqo0drt@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 21 Mar 2002 18:15:50 -0600, "Dennis" <DennisF@ABC.NET> wrote:
>
> >I'd say that it is better. Better reception, sensitivity text tagging the
> >talkgroups ID.
> >
>
> I have both, and my 92 receives as well as my 93, although I know most
> disagree. The 93 doesn't have CTCSS, which automatically makes it a
> lesser radio IMO. The only things I like better about the 93 is it's
> freq coverage, the control channel only trunking, and it's speed.
>
> >
> >"Darrin" <nospam@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
> >news:1tck9ug3ohi73hv04a4stv0k8fa998vkag@4ax.com...
> >> On 21 Mar 2002 13:59:25 GMT, vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
> >> (VHFRadioBuff) wrote:
> >>
> >> >I'm not gonna tell you what it's worth - you can decide that - but
Radio
> >Shack
> >> >periodically puts the Pro-93 (a better scanner) on sale for $180.
> >Currently the
> >> >base version (the Pro-2053) is on sale for $180.
> >> >=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
> >> >73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> >> >Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
> >> >http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> >> >http://edfas.tripod.com
> >>
> >> The Pro-93 better than the Pro-92? I'd like to disagree with that.
> >> FWIW, the 93 is on sale until 3/31 for $169.99.
> >
>

1001. Pro 2006 W/ Opto456 $300.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-22 11:08:15 UTC
From: cwest69521@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020322060815.13390.00002070@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 50417

For sale a Pro 2006 w/Opto board installed aand cable $300.00 shipped here in the USA. Prepayment of aUS Postal Money Order
Pickups welcome here in NJ (Jersey City)

1002. Re: AFP digital radio's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-22 11:52:12 UTC
From: johnfofawn@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d89364bd.0203220352.217996a9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50418
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Demodulating the APCO-25 9600bps control channel is easy enough. The key is figuring out the encoding used. My demodulator *SEEMS* to be doing well, but without any info on the encoding used I have no hope of making any real use of it.

This is only for the control channel. The data channels use a different modulator and encoding.

They are typically not encrypted, but in addition to writing a demodulator and decoder, you'll need to write or get a vocoder. That's the hard part.

Uniden will probably license the vocoder for their upcoming APCO-25 scanner from the company that makes it.

We can probably come up with a reasonable approximate for the vocoder through trial and error.

Uniden really ticked me off so I'm trying to do as much of this development as possible and then give away everything I do for free. Ideally, if I can get a $500 WinRadio to do APCO-25 (astro) scanning, then that should really put a dent in any scanner Uniden builds for $400-$500.

If anyone has any technical info on the APCO-25 control channel encoding or data/traffic channel, I would really appreciate taking a look at it.

John

"Matt" <doormattNOSPAM@bigpond.com> wrote in message news:<ZoAl8.14855$uR5.33290@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>...
> Having lived in the ACT for two years before moving north at the end of
> last year, I had many trials at trying to "decode" with a variety of
> radios and software, and had no joy. The ASTRO is itself not an
> encryption system (let alone a highly secure encryption) - it is merely
> a mode of "modulating", and whilst these signals can be encrypted, I
> understand from talking with one person in the know (a tech for the AFP)
> that they are no encrypting their everyday comms. They believe that the
> lack of a scanner which is capable of resolving digital signals means
> that their everyday comms are sufficiently secure. However that will
> likely change when the soon to be released scanner from Uniden (and no I
> don't know when) is available for the public to buy and it will be able
> to listen in to ASTRO comms.
> If your mates have been able to "crack" the AFP ASTRO, then I would
> really like to know how and with what they have been able to do it.

> When they need to, the AFP use some encryption that no one short of a
> national agency (i.e. DSD/NSA) would have a chance to crack (from memory
> it is DVP from motorola) - if they can hack the AFP encryption, then I
> know an organisation that will pay them some HUGE dollars to show them
> how they did it.
>
>
>
>
> Matt
>
> Vks737 Adelaide Base <vks737adelaide@yahoo.com.au> wrote in message
> news:755236ff.0203182117.78d9e477@posting.google.com...
> > I have friends who have decoded the AFP astro saber mkII whilste in
> > transit throught the ACT.
> > I am not lying the ubc785xlt which is available in the UK can decode,
> > this so called highly secure encryption.How do you believe the
> > American public or the british public listen to their emergency
> > services???
> >
> > Yours Sincerely,
> > VKS737 Adelaide Base

1003. Jeopardy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-22 11:55:47 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7ZEm8.42393$PL1.1473432@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 50419

$360

1004. Re: Redshift and Pro-Turbo software... where are they?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-22 12:06:48 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <87Fm8.2889$17.1883480@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 50420

Redshift did you a favor by returning the check. Their software is awful.
Pro-Turbo is the one to get. Start here:
http://www3.sympatico.ca/len.c/index-main.html

"Jim Dickerson" <jrdickerson@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:_9ym8.1119$K13.326238@typhoon.mn.ipsvc.net...
> I've been trying obtain the Redshift s/w for the Pro-2006/4. The website
is
> around but when I sent a check to buy the s/w it was returned. Plan B was
> the Pro-Turbo s/w, but it's site no longer responds.
>
> Can anyone provide me with information where these companies went to?
>
> Thanks,
> Jim Dickerson
>
>

1005. [SCA] Belgian Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-22 13:35:55 UTC
From: Seb <bs824974@skynet.be>
Message-ID: <3c9b342f$0$260$ba620e4c@news.skynet.be>
Source record: 50421

I'm looking for different official belgian frequencies... Mail, please, if you cant help me...

Thanks

1006. help wanted re:cell tracking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-22 14:14:32 UTC
From: eastcoastinvest@aol.com
Message-ID: <5a18a93f.0203220614.4cf7fd8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50423

Does anyone have the know how/equipment to determine the location of
an individual if their cell phone is on??
Please reply via email or telephone
(518)424-4700
www.eastcoastinvestigations.com
email eastcoastinvest@aol.com

1007. Re: Will WinRadio give me an IF (pre discriminator) output?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-22 14:15:35 UTC
From: jra@febo.com
Message-ID: <4lcf7a.4ds.ln@24.123.66.139>
Source record: 50422
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <d89364bd.0203200642.7e86a0e2@posting.google.com>, johnfofawn@hotmail.com (John) wrote:
>Hi,
>
>I would like to use WinRadio or something like it for processing
>digital signals. I would like to get an IF output (ideally digitized)
>that I can then do signal processing on.
>
>What are the output options on WinRadio? Can you get IF output? If
>not, can you get discriminator output? Or, are you limited to audio
>output?

My WinRadio (the 1000e I think -- can't remember exactly, but it was the current one at Hamvention last year) has a discriminator output that works in the narrow FM mode. There's no direct IF tap.

John N8UR
jra@febo.com

1008. Re: Pro 92, What's it worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-22 15:51:12 UTC
From: kh6zv9@pe.net
Message-ID: <PpIm8.1848$JFw2.94765226@news2.randori.com>
Source record: 50424

Regarding the Pro-93

Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com> wrote:
: freq coverage, the control channel only trunking, and it's speed.

Please explain these three areas. Thanks.

Bob Masse' kh6zv9@PE.NET

1009. Re: help wanted re:cell tracking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-22 17:43:09 UTC
From: Thomas Field <coffee@speakeasy.org>
Message-ID: <3C9B6D2D.DCE38BCE@speakeasy.org>
Source record: 50425

pi007 wrote:

> Does anyone have the know how/equipment to determine the location of
> an individual if their cell phone is on??
> Please reply via email or telephone
> (518)424-4700
> www.eastcoastinvestigations.com
> email eastcoastinvest@aol.com

A good place to start might be to look
at web information on e911 and how
different systems get their location data:

http://www.911dispatch.com/911_file/location_tech.html

If you have legitimate need for the information,
just ask the cellular provider - a basic CS Rep
could look up the city/whether there were roaming
charges/etc. for their calls. More advanced
personnel could probably tell you the cell tower
location that a call was sent through. You'll
(hopefully) need a court order to violate the company's
privacy policy.

1010. Re: IC-R8500 10.7 MHz IF output: useable for panorama adaptor?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-22 17:57:31 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3c9b7064$0$1426$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 50426
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

I had the same problem when using a modified Kenwood
SM-220 panadaptor with ICOM receivers -- not enough
signal to produce good vertical deflection.

I solved the problem by inserting an Ameco FET shortwave preamp
between the radio's 10.7 MHz IF output and the panadaptor's vertical
input (coupled through a DC blocking capacitor).

Make sure you tune the preamp to 10.7 MHz.

On Fri, 22 Mar 2002 08:38:40 -0600, Eric F. Richards wrote:

> This is a followup to your request about info regarding a panadaptor on
> the ICOM 8500......

> I feed it from the IF out on my '8500 through a blocking cap (there's a
> DC component on the IF out). The problem is that even at its most
> sensitive setting, the scope isn't sensitive enough to use with the
> ICOM.

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

1011. Re: Pro 92, What's it worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-22 18:00:17 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020322130017.17179.00000212@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 50427

>The Pro-93 better than the Pro-92? I'd like to disagree with that.
>FWIW, the 93 is on sale until 3/31 for $169.99.

What does the Pro-92 have that the Pro-93 doesn't? I see that both the 93 and the 2053 are on sale for almost the same price now. The 2053's $10 more, but it's worth it.

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

1012. Re: Pro 92, What's it worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-22 18:55:01 UTC
From: Smorg@ert.con
Message-ID: <3c9b7dfc.8319342@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 50428

On Thu, 21 Mar 2002 18:15:50 -0600, "Dennis" <DennisF@ABC.NET> wrote:

>I'd say that it is better. Better reception, sensitivity text tagging the
>talkgroups ID.
>
>

me too!

1013. Re: Pro 92, What's it worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-22 18:58:33 UTC
From: Smorg@ert.con
Message-ID: <3c9b7e9b.8477640@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 50429

On Fri, 22 Mar 2002 02:02:10 GMT, Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com> wrote:

>Yup, you're right. The control channel only is nice. But IMO, the
>CTCSS alone makes the 92 better. If you don't use PL tones than 93
>might be a better choice. I have 3 towns around me that use the same
>155.490 frequency and a couple that use 155.730. Without CTCSS, I
>would never be able to tell them apart.
>

Most advanced hobbyist would know what channel and service it was
without looking at the display. You aren't a fanboy are you?

1014. Should I crap up this NG with Eric G hate mail?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-22 19:04:51 UTC
From: buskerdog@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <4be573f7.0203221104.663c9590@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50430

A regular visitor to rec.radio.scanner named Eric G regularly (read: constantly) craps up dog-related newsgroups with posts about how all dogs are evil and are killers etc... Now, I don't know what happened in his life to cause him to be such a rant-and-raver but since his posts are public domain I wondered what would happen if someone responded over here. Don't worry, I won't spam this NG up since only fools engage in that behavior. I was just curious as to what people here thought about the endless barrage of posts Eric G inflicts on other NGs and whether or not he has good newsgroup etiquette.

(By the way, Eric, it's spelled "homicide" and not "homocide."

1015. Re: Jeopardy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-22 19:12:09 UTC
From: Smorg@ert.con
Message-ID: <3c9b8203.9350475@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 50431

On Fri, 22 Mar 2002 11:55:47 GMT, "Bruce" <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>$360
>
>
I know this one.

1016. Re: IC-R8500 10.7 MHz IF output: useable for panorama adaptor?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-22 20:05:32 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dim.com>
Message-ID: <jg3n9uggp4th1na81silrkmhci5ckqhhkc@4ax.com>
Source record: 50379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net> wrote:

> I had the same problem when using a modified Kenwood
> SM-220 panadaptor with ICOM receivers -- not enough
> signal to produce good vertical deflection.
>
> I solved the problem by inserting an Ameco FET shortwave preamp
> between the radio's 10.7 MHz IF output and the panadaptor's vertical
> input (coupled through a DC blocking capacitor).
>
> Make sure you tune the preamp to 10.7 MHz.
>

I was thinking of doing more-or-less the same thing. At home
somewhere, lost in the move, is a Ten-Tec T-Kit broadband preamp,
essentially one MMIC with support parts, which would be perfect
for the job. But I am hoping there is a coarse setting internal
to the Telefunken panadapter.

Eric

> On Fri, 22 Mar 2002 08:38:40 -0600, Eric F. Richards wrote:
>
> > This is a followup to your request about info regarding a panadaptor on
> > the ICOM 8500......
>
> > I feed it from the IF out on my '8500 through a blocking cap (there's a
> > DC component on the IF out). The problem is that even at its most
> > sensitive setting, the scope isn't sensitive enough to use with the
> > ICOM.

--
Eric F. Richards, efricha@dim.com
"This book reads like a headache on paper."
www.cnn.com/2001/CAREER/readingup/08/14/getting.things.done/index.html

1017. Re: Pro 92, What's it worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-22 20:18:52 UTC
From: Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <p84n9u48b9p1casfmskfbem8b3fik94eob@4ax.com>
Source record: 50432

On 22 Mar 2002 18:00:17 GMT, vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
(VHFRadioBuff) wrote:

>>The Pro-93 better than the Pro-92? I'd like to disagree with that.
>>FWIW, the 93 is on sale until 3/31 for $169.99.
>
>What does the Pro-92 have that the Pro-93 doesn't?

Better audio, better selectivity, CTCSS/DCS, 500 channels, LTR
Trunking.

1018. Re: Pro 92, What's it worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-22 20:24:09 UTC
From: Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <dh4n9ugk62nlrjk89grhhmqdrg727n5p8s@4ax.com>
Source record: 50433

On Fri, 22 Mar 2002 18:58:33 GMT, Smorg@ert.con (Smorg) wrote:

>On Fri, 22 Mar 2002 02:02:10 GMT, Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com> wrote:
>
>
>>Yup, you're right. The control channel only is nice. But IMO, the
>>CTCSS alone makes the 92 better. If you don't use PL tones than 93
>>might be a better choice. I have 3 towns around me that use the same
>>155.490 frequency and a couple that use 155.730. Without CTCSS, I
>>would never be able to tell them apart.
>>
>
>Most advanced hobbyist would know what channel and service it was
>without looking at the display. You aren't a fanboy are you?

Either you're confusing text tags with CTCSS/DCS, or you don't have a
clue what you're talking about. What does the display have to do when
three agencies are talking over each at once, because the receiver
doesn't have CTCSS?

1019. Re: AFP digital radio's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-22 20:35:23 UTC
From: nospam@dingoblue.net.au
Message-ID: <3c9b9500.47749840@news.dingoblue.net.au>
Source record: 50434
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

John. YOU are talking about APCO25 TRUNKING here, and the rest of the
thread is talking about the actual APCO25 digital voice mode.
Its quite simple. If you want to listen to normal unencrypted APCO25
now, buy a Motorola ASTRO brand radio, or wait till Uniden bring out
the APCO25 scanners and the decoder card.
If you want to listen to APCO25 ENCRPTED... dream on

Dave

On 22 Mar 2002 03:52:12 -0800, johnfofawn@hotmail.com (John) wrote:

>Demodulating the APCO-25 9600bps control channel is easy enough. The
>key is figuring out the encoding used. My demodulator *SEEMS* to be
>doing well, but without any info on the encoding used I have no hope
>of making any real use of it.
>
>This is only for the control channel. The data channels use a
>different modulator and encoding.
>
>They are typically not encrypted, but in addition to writing a
>demodulator and decoder, you'll need to write or get a vocoder. That's
>the hard part.
>
>Uniden will probably license the vocoder for their upcoming APCO-25
>scanner from the company that makes it.
>
>We can probably come up with a reasonable approximate for the vocoder
>through trial and error.
>
>Uniden really ticked me off so I'm trying to do as much of this
>development as possible and then give away everything I do for free.
>Ideally, if I can get a $500 WinRadio to do APCO-25 (astro) scanning,
>then that should really put a dent in any scanner Uniden builds for
>$400-$500.
>
>If anyone has any technical info on the APCO-25 control channel
>encoding or data/traffic channel, I would really appreciate taking a
>look at it.
>
>John
>
>"Matt" <doormattNOSPAM@bigpond.com> wrote in message
news:<ZoAl8.14855$uR5.33290@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>...
>> Having lived in the ACT for two years before moving north at the end of

>> last year, I had many trials at trying to "decode" with a variety of
>> radios and software, and had no joy. The ASTRO is itself not an
>> encryption system (let alone a highly secure encryption) - it is merely
>> a mode of "modulating", and whilst these signals can be encrypted, I
>> understand from talking with one person in the know (a tech for the AFP)
>> that they are no encrypting their everyday comms. They believe that the
>> lack of a scanner which is capable of resolving digital signals means
>> that their everyday comms are sufficiently secure. However that will
>> likely change when the soon to be released scanner from Uniden (and no I
>> don't know when) is available for the public to buy and it will be able
>> to listen in to ASTRO comms.
>> If your mates have been able to "crack" the AFP ASTRO, then I would
>> really like to know how and with what they have been able to do it.
>> When they need to, the AFP use some encryption that no one short of a
>> national agency (i.e. DSD/NSA) would have a chance to crack (from memory
>> it is DVP from motorola) - if they can hack the AFP encryption, then I
>> know an organisation that will pay them some HUGE dollars to show them
>> how they did it.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Matt
>>
>> Vks737 Adelaide Base <vks737adelaide@yahoo.com.au> wrote in message
>> news:755236ff.0203182117.78d9e477@posting.google.com...
>> > I have friends who have decoded the AFP astro saber mkII whilste in
>> > transit throught the ACT.
>> > I am not lying the ubc785xlt which is available in the UK can decode,
>> > this so called highly secure encryption.How do you believe the
>> > American public or the british public listen to their emergency
>> > services???
>> >
>> > Yours Sincerely,
>> > VKS737 Adelaide Base

1020. Re: PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-22 21:54:23 UTC
From: bill <scalp2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <jKNm8.271578$TV4.35871379@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 50435

i live in florida. if you bring it here and let me see it, i'll probably pay your asking price.
"Jim Wayda" <jwayda@cox.net> wrote in message
news:xOcm8.27673$J54.1368583@news1.west.cox.net...
This is really too bad. The PRO-2006 is a legend and is the best scanner that was ever made. I have a new unit in the box that I am selling for $360 is anyone is interested in acquiring a new and unused 2006. It is probably one of the only new 2006 units that is available. I am in Southern California if anyone would like to personally see the unit.

Regards,

-jim

"Jon Van Allen" <jonvanallen@msn.com> wrote in message news:3C98FB69.E5BC470A@msn.com...
The 2006, Commtel 205 (or any variants of the 2006) are no longer available
in the UK or anywhere else.
Just ask Javiation, it has been at least 3 years since they had any in stock.

Jon

Mike wrote:

John,
You've made 2 posts indicating the price is too high and you can order a
brand new one from UK for less shipped. How about providing the link so we
can benefit from your information?

Thanks

Mike

""Q"" <trevise@despammed.com> wrote in message
news:W1Vl8.203930$s43.45953742@typhoon.columbus.rr.com...
> Better drop the price on that. You can order a brand new one from the UK
for
> less - shipped.
>
> "John LeMay" <jlemay@njmc.com> wrote in message
> news:a6tl6v$hbspn$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de...
> Hi all,
>
> PRO-2006 in very nice condition, backlight is bright, new keypad, some
> wear on the front panel, great working condition. Overall a very nice
> sample from a classic series. Popular diode mods done for speed and
> coverage. Pictures available upon request. $250 includes shipping and
> insurance to anywhere in the lower 48. Guaranteed no DOA!
>

> --
> John LeMay Jr.
> Senior Enterprise Consultant
> NJMC, LLC.
>
> Sent with PAN 0.11.2 running on Mandrake Linux 8.1
>
>

1021. Re: Pro 92, What's it worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-22 23:20:31 UTC
From: Smorg@ert.con
Message-ID: <3c9bba1a.6696448@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 50436

On Fri, 22 Mar 2002 20:24:09 GMT, Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com> wrote:

>Either you're confusing text tags with CTCSS/DCS, or you don't have a
>clue what you're talking about. What does the display have to do when
>three agencies are talking over each at once, because the receiver
>doesn't have CTCSS?

No. I know who's talking without any display, period! Trunked systems don't use CTCSS. My point was that advanced hobbyist know who's talking without any CTCSS display or any other kind. I don't need to see a display in trunk or conventinal mode to know who's talking. I have a trained ear.

As for the agencies talking over others, all you said that is that you need it (CTCSS) to know who's talking. Thus my reply.

1022. Re: AFP digital radio's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 00:30:22 UTC
From: Troy <troyrow@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c9bccc3$0$16633$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 50437
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Unless of course you work for DSD.

"Davo" <nospam@dingoblue.net.au> wrote in message
news:3c9b9500.47749840@news.dingoblue.net.au...
> John. YOU are talking about APCO25 TRUNKING here, and the rest of the
> thread is talking about the actual APCO25 digital voice mode.
> Its quite simple. If you want to listen to normal unencrypted APCO25
> now, buy a Motorola ASTRO brand radio, or wait till Uniden bring out
> the APCO25 scanners and the decoder card.
> If you want to listen to APCO25 ENCRPTED... dream on
>
>
> Dave
>
>
> On 22 Mar 2002 03:52:12 -0800, johnfofawn@hotmail.com (John) wrote:
>
> >Demodulating the APCO-25 9600bps control channel is easy enough. The
> >key is figuring out the encoding used. My demodulator *SEEMS* to be
> >doing well, but without any info on the encoding used I have no hope
> >of making any real use of it.
> >
> >This is only for the control channel. The data channels use a
> >different modulator and encoding.
> >
> >They are typically not encrypted, but in addition to writing a
> >demodulator and decoder, you'll need to write or get a vocoder. That's
> >the hard part.
> >
> >Uniden will probably license the vocoder for their upcoming APCO-25
> >scanner from the company that makes it.
> >
> >We can probably come up with a reasonable approximate for the vocoder
> >through trial and error.
> >
> >Uniden really ticked me off so I'm trying to do as much of this
> >development as possible and then give away everything I do for free.
> >Ideally, if I can get a $500 WinRadio to do APCO-25 (astro) scanning,
> >then that should really put a dent in any scanner Uniden builds for
> >$400-$500.
> >
> >If anyone has any technical info on the APCO-25 control channel
> >encoding or data/traffic channel, I would really appreciate taking a

> >look at it.
> >
> >John
> >
> >"Matt" <doormattNOSPAM@bigpond.com> wrote in message
news:<ZoAl8.14855$uR5.33290@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>...
> >> Having lived in the ACT for two years before moving north at the end of
> >> last year, I had many trials at trying to "decode" with a variety of
> >> radios and software, and had no joy. The ASTRO is itself not an
> >> encryption system (let alone a highly secure encryption) - it is merely
> >> a mode of "modulating", and whilst these signals can be encrypted, I
> >> understand from talking with one person in the know (a tech for the
AFP)
> >> that they are no encrypting their everyday comms. They believe that
the
> >> lack of a scanner which is capable of resolving digital signals means
> >> that their everyday comms are sufficiently secure. However that will
> >> likely change when the soon to be released scanner from Uniden (and no
I
> >> don't know when) is available for the public to buy and it will be able
> >> to listen in to ASTRO comms.
> >> If your mates have been able to "crack" the AFP ASTRO, then I would
> >> really like to know how and with what they have been able to do it.
> >> When they need to, the AFP use some encryption that no one short of a
> >> national agency (i.e. DSD/NSA) would have a chance to crack (from
memory
> >> it is DVP from motorola) - if they can hack the AFP encryption, then I
> >> know an organisation that will pay them some HUGE dollars to show them
> >> how they did it.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Matt
> >>
> >> Vks737 Adelaide Base <vks737adelaide@yahoo.com.au> wrote in message
> >> news:755236ff.0203182117.78d9e477@posting.google.com...
> >> > I have friends who have decoded the AFP astro saber mkII whilste in
> >> > transit throught the ACT.
> >> > I am not lying the ubc785xlt which is available in the UK can decode,
> >> > this so called highly secure encryption.How do you believe the
> >> > American public or the british public listen to their emergency
> >> > services???
> >> >
> >> > Yours Sincerely,
> >> > VKS737 Adelaide Base
>

1023. FIELD PROGRAMABLE VHF 40CH PORTABLE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 01:06:21 UTC
From: David M Schertzer <schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <36ln9ugajpvgmud5h5ueu1opp80kgfgu38@4ax.com>
Source record: 50438

(1) ICOM V68 *40ch* 5-watt -VHF-

*** DTMF (User programmable - Front face) ****

(1) ICOM BC75A Rapid Desk Charger
(1) ICOM BP160 Rechargeable Battery pack
(1) ICOM BP130 Rechargeable Battery pack
(1) ICOM "AA" Emergency Battery pack (6- AA type batteries)
(1) EM46 Sprk-mic
(1) CP13L Cigarette Lighter adaptor with Noise filter
(1) Org. Instruction Manual + boxes

$200USD + $15USDship Payment via USPS International M/O.

Email for questions or info, spec sheet avail also.
This is the equiv. to the Motorola GP68 version.

1024. Re: Should I crap up this NG with Eric G hate mail?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 03:12:18 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020322221218.20099.00000258@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 50439

tough crowd out there....I appreciate the support..............spelling check
please
Eric G.
education CANNOT buy common sense

1025. control channel sound difference?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 03:15:54 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020322221554.20099.00000259@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 50440

I know the sound of a Motorola trunked system as I have listened to one for about 8 years. New around here is a 400 Mhz trunked systyem. I have found what I believe are 2 trunked control frequencies for at least one of the trunked systems. Would an EDACS 400 Mhz system's control channel have a much more rapid 'pulse' and have a higher pitch/sound?
Eric G.
education CANNOT buy common sense

1026. Re: Jeopardy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 03:30:33 UTC
From: Tom Clyne <tc60@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C9BF6F5.60C9BA05@optonline.net>
Source record: 50441

Bruce wrote:

> $360

You're a damn genius, but cruel.

Every time I think I've forgotten about this, "$360" pops back up in my
head,
and I start laughing again.

--
Tom
BackEast

1027. HELP 900Mhz pone codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 03:42:14 UTC
From: Karen Williams <kanesgirl@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <qQSm8.7012$Tk.385214@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 50442

Does anyone know where to find codes for the cordless phones Specifically
UNIDEN phones...
I can find them for almost every other brand of phone but Uniden.
Any Help??? PLEASE...

If possible reply to me personally not on the group.

my email is
kanesgirl@earthlink.net

Thanks

--
Karen...

http://karenswrestlingpix.tripod.com

1028. Re: Pro 92, What's it worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 03:48:52 UTC
From: Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <sjun9us8djuck4ls4mankh2t9lrnrs0jt6@4ax.com>
Source record: 50443

On Fri, 22 Mar 2002 23:20:31 GMT, Smorg@ert.con (Smorg) wrote:

>On Fri, 22 Mar 2002 20:24:09 GMT, Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>>Either you're confusing text tags with CTCSS/DCS, or you don't have a
>>clue what you're talking about. What does the display have to do when
>>three agencies are talking over each at once, because the receiver
>>doesn't have CTCSS?
>
>No. I know who's talking without any display, period! Trunked systems
>don't use CTCSS. My point was that advanced hobbyist know who's
>talking without any CTCSS display or any other kind. I don't need to
>see a display in trunk or conventinal mode to know who's talking. I
>have a trained ear.
>
>As for the agencies talking over others, all you said that is that you
>need it (CTCSS) to know who's talking. Thus my reply.

You still don't get it. You don't use CTCSS to SEE who's talking. You use it to listen to the agency on the frequency that you want to listen to. I have 3 towns all talking at the same time on one frequency. With PL tones, I only hear the one I want.

1029. Re: Jeopardy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 04:28:16 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <AvTm8.7776$DX6.133960@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 50444

Sounds like you got it. Anyone else get this?
"Tom Clyne" <tc60@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3C9BF6F5.60C9BA05@optonline.net...
> Bruce wrote:
>
> > $360
>
> You're a damn genius, but cruel.
>
> Every time I think I've forgotten about this, "$360" pops back up in my
> head,
> and I start laughing again.
>
>
> --
> Tom
> BackEast
>
>

1030. Re: Pro 92, What's it worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 04:46:24 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020322234624.17234.00000348@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 50445

>Better audio, better selectivity, CTCSS/DCS, 500 channels, LTR
>Trunking.
>

Dunno about the 1st 2 - PL tones - something I have no use for (and who really uses DCS? a few agencies in the whole country?), 500 channels?! I can barely fill half of the ones in the 2053 I have. What's LTR trunking? Does anyone use it?

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

1031. Yupiteru MVT9000 Fault

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 04:58:09 UTC
From: RayC <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz>
Message-ID: <oXTm8.1092$eF4.129527@news02.tsnz.net>
Source record: 50446

Yesterday I turned on my scanner and all of the 1010
memories have been deleted. Including the search memories.

There was no prior warning at all.

I cannot load any memory location at all.

But reception is ok on direct freq. entry

Question--- Is there a backup battery or a memory capacitor that
may have gone faulty.

What can I look at as a possible cause of this fault.

1 week before the Easter break----Jeez

Can any one recommend a repair shop for this model.

1032. Re: Pro 92, What's it worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 05:08:53 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3c9c0dbe$0$1608$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 50347

I think the other fellow misinterpreted what you wrote
but inadvertantly hit upon another use for CTCSS/DCS
decoding.

If you had 3 different agencies sharing the same
frequency but using different CTCSS or DCS codes,
you could program that same frequency into 3 different
memory channels. In each channel, program a different
CTCSS/DCS code and alpha label corresponding to
each of the users.

Then, you *could* see who was talking.

On Fri, 22 Mar 2002 21:48:52 -0600, Darrin wrote:

> You still don't get it. You don't use CTCSS to SEE who's talking. You
> use it to listen to the agency on the frequency that you want to listen
> to. I have 3 towns all talking at the same time on one frequency. With
> PL tones, I only hear the one I want.

--
==
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

1033. Re: Handheld Antenna's question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 05:30:02 UTC
From: PaulandJacki <jacki2@gwis.com>
Message-ID: <3C9C12DA.7DB7@gwis.com>
Source record: 50348
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What an insighful comment. Have another beer or go back to grade school.

multi wrote:
>
> Radio Shack Suck!
>
> In article <3C88E969.3837@gwis.com>, jacki2@gwis.com says...
> > tazdog wrote:
> > >
> > > I have a bc220xlt handheld
> > > and is there any way to get another\better antenna to increase range and/or
> > > better reception.
> > >
> > > Thanks for your help,
> > > Newbie - Scott
> >
> > Get yourself a telescopic antenna. You will be amazed at the increase in
> > range. Radio Shack has them for around ten bucks. If you want a flexible
> > antenna, try the Diamond brand model RH-77CA. It costs around forty
> > dollars. You can get them at Ham Radio dealers. I have one and it has
> > nearly doubled my receiving range.
> > PHF
> >

1034. Re: Should I crap up this NG with Eric G hate mail?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 06:03:28 UTC
From: Runnyriver <noton6@microbrain.com>
Message-ID: <a7h55q$l9ivd$1@ID-121301.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50349

no suprise there, he is a loose cannon
besides, everyone knows only the big dogs are killers.

big dog=small penis

"buskerdog" <buskerdog@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:4be573f7.0203221104.663c9590@posting.google.com...
> A regular visitor to rec.radio.scanner named Eric G regularly (read:
> constantly) craps up dog-related newsgroups with posts about how all
> dogs are evil and are killers etc... Now, I don't know what happened
> in his life to cause him to be such a rant-and-raver but since his
> posts are public domain I wondered what would happen if someone
> responded over here. Don't worry, I won't spam this NG up since only
> fools engage in that behavior. I was just curious as to what people
> here thought about the endless barrage of posts Eric G inflicts on
> other NGs and whether or not he has good newsgroup etiquette.
>
> (By the way, Eric, it's spelled "homicide" and not "homocide."

1035. Re: Pro 92, What's it worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 06:25:06 UTC
From: Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ho7o9ugqdnvvf30rhln8n4qr24dicurc8d@4ax.com>
Source record: 50350

On Fri, 22 Mar 2002 23:08:53 -0600, Bob_Parnass_AJ9S
<parnass@megsinet.net> wrote:

>I think the other fellow misinterpreted what you wrote
>but inadvertantly hit upon another use for CTCSS/DCS
>decoding.
>
>If you had 3 different agencies sharing the same
>frequency but using different CTCSS or DCS codes,
>you could program that same frequency into 3 different
>memory channels. In each channel, program a different
>CTCSS/DCS code and alpha label corresponding to
>each of the users.
>
>Then, you *could* see who was talking.

Very true. I do the same thing. Most of the time I only listen to
the one township, but I have the others also programmed in with their
P/L's in case I want to listen to them too.

>On Fri, 22 Mar 2002 21:48:52 -0600, Darrin wrote:
>
>> You still don't get it. You don't use CTCSS to SEE who's talking. You
>> use it to listen to the agency on the frequency that you want to listen
>> to. I have 3 towns all talking at the same time on one frequency. With
>> PL tones, I only hear the one I want.

1036. Re: control channel sound difference?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 06:28:29 UTC
From: Peter Hunt <news@peterhunt.zoetermeernet.nl>
Message-ID: <a7h77d$l6t$1@news1.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 50351

Hi Eric,

> I know the sound of a Motorola trunked system as I have listened to one
for
> about 8 years. New around here is a 400 Mhz trunked systyem. I have
found
> what I believe are 2 trunked control frequencies for at least one of the
> trunked systems. Would an EDACS 400 Mhz system's control channel have a
much
> more rapid 'pulse' and have a higher pitch/sound?

Could be...

Take a look at: http://www.trunkedradio.net/digital/download_old.htm

Here you can find digital samples of several trunked systems, which can help
you finding out which kind of system you are receiving.

> Eric G.

Bye, Peter Hunt.

1037. Re: PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 06:42:37 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@cox.net>
Message-ID: <xtVm8.30652$J54.1850630@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 50352

Bill,

I can't bring it to Florida, but I will offer you a 3 day money back guarantee.

-jim

"bill" <scalp2000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:jKNm8.271578$TV4.35871379@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
i live in florida. if you bring it here and let me see it, i'll probably pay your asking price.
"Jim Wayda" <jwayda@cox.net> wrote in message
news:xOcm8.27673$J54.1368583@news1.west.cox.net...
This is really too bad. The PRO-2006 is a legend and is the best scanner that was ever made. I have a new unit in the box that I am selling for $360 is anyone is interested in acquiring a new and unused 2006. It is probably one of the only new 2006 units that is available. I am in Southern California if anyone would like to personally see the unit.

Regards,

-jim

"Jon Van Allen" <jonvanallen@msn.com> wrote in message news:3C98FB69.E5BC470A@msn.com...
The 2006, Commtel 205 (or any variants of the 2006) are no longer available
in the UK or anywhere else.
Just ask Javiation, it has been at least 3 years since they had any in stock.

Jon

Mike wrote:

John,
You've made 2 posts indicating the price is too high and you can order a
brand new one from UK for less shipped. How about providing the link so we
can benefit from your information?

Thanks

Mike

""Q"" <trevise@despammed.com> wrote in message
news:W1Vl8.203930$s43.45953742@typhoon.columbus.rr.com...
> Better drop the price on that. You can order a brand new one from the UK
for
> less - shipped.
>
> "John LeMay" <jlemay@njmc.com> wrote in message
> news:a6tl6v$hbspn$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de...
> Hi all,

>
> PRO-2006 in very nice condition, backlight is bright, new keypad, some
> wear on the front panel, great working condition. Overall a very nice
> sample from a classic series. Popular diode mods done for speed and
> coverage. Pictures available upon request. $250 includes shipping and
> insurance to anywhere in the lower 48. Guaranteed no DOA!
>
> --
> John LeMay Jr.
> Senior Enterprise Consultant
> NJMC, LLC.
>
> Sent with PAN 0.11.2 running on Mandrake Linux 8.1
>
>

1038. Avalanche Beacon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 07:02:48 UTC
From: Emilio Golstein <egoldstein@sofa.net>
Message-ID: <sMVm8.107403$uA5.88094@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 50353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Well I live in a small skiing town, and I heard on the scanner that this local guy named Ed got caught up in an avalanche. I listened as the search and rescuers went up there to save his life. How dramatic! Thank god he had his avalanche beacon turned on because rescuers were able to find him. That beacon broadcasts for two miles! Unfortunately he was dead. I went to the funeral because he was a friend of mine, and when no one was looking I slipped a brand new avalanche beacon into his casket. Now when skiers drive out of town they go past the graveyard, and many slow down because they pick up the signal. They think it is the ghost of Ed and it is spooky.

1039. Re: Avalanche Beacon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 08:21:36 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <kWWm8.195399$kb.10913599@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 50354
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

ahh a trool or some sick freak. I hope you get caught. Remember. You just stated that you did this. So when the tax payers, rescue team and the police want to find out who did this. atleast we all have proof it was a crackhead like you. lol. Hope you rot in jail for this. Giving US scanner and amateur radio ops a bad name. No wonder everyone is going to digital or hard to crack encryted voice. It is due to losers like you. Ya troll. get lost.

Dev

"Emilio Golstein" <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:sMVm8.107403$uA5.88094@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> Well I live in a small skiing town, and I heard on the scanner that this
> local guy named Ed got caught up in an avalanche. I listened as the
search
> and rescuers went up there to save his life. How dramatic! Thank god he
> had his avalanche beacon turned on because rescuers were able to find him.
> That beacon broadcasts for two miles! Unfortunately he was dead. I went
to
> the funeral because he was a friend of mine, and when no one was looking I
> slipped a brand new avalanche beacon into his casket. Now when skiers
drive
> out of town they go past the graveyard, and many slow down because they
pick
> up the signal. They think it is the ghost of Ed and it is spooky.
>
>
>

1040. Re: Looking for freqencies for Mexico

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 08:34:38 UTC
From: ttandemonium@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020323033438.00655.00000375@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 50355

Go to the Comet Search Engine on Yahoo and enter police scanner to search. I saw Mexico frequencies there last night. I believe you will find it between pages 40 and 50.

Ttandemonium@aol.com

1041. Re: Baby monitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 08:39:43 UTC
From: ttandemonium@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020323033943.00655.00000376@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 50356

Here are five: 49.830, 49.845, 49.860,
49.875, 49.890

Ttandemonium@aol.com

1042. Re: Should I crap up this NG with Eric G hate mail?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 11:38:14 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <u9oqfpjeu8ou2b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50357

Why the hell would we care ? We dont go to those groups. Maybe he got attacked in a hallway and got all torn up , and nobody gave a shit..... But if you start this crap on here, you graduate to my twit filter - and maybe a complaint to your isp for good measure....

"buskerdog" <buskerdog@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:4be573f7.0203221104.663c9590@posting.google.com...
> A regular visitor to rec.radio.scanner named Eric G regularly (read:
> constantly) craps up dog-related newsgroups with posts about how all
> dogs are evil and are killers etc... Now, I don't know what happened
> in his life to cause him to be such a rant-and-raver but since his
> posts are public domain I wondered what would happen if someone
> responded over here. Don't worry, I won't spam this NG up since only
> fools engage in that behavior. I was just curious as to what people
> here thought about the endless barrage of posts Eric G inflicts on
> other NGs and whether or not he has good newsgroup etiquette.
>
> (By the way, Eric, it's spelled "homicide" and not "homocide."

1043. Re: Handheld Antenna's question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 13:15:22 UTC
From: Aaron <longwalker@ksserv.ks.com>
Message-ID: <ppvo9uk0dl5f34rpqp1nntjsrth2lqbnm6@4ax.com>
Source record: 50358
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 23 Mar 2002 00:30:02 -0500, PaulandJacki <jacki2@gwis.com>
wrote:

>> > > I have a bc220xlt handheld
>> > > and is there any way to get another\better antenna to increase range and/or
>> > > better reception.
>> > >
>> > > Thanks for your help,
>> > > Newbie - Scott

http://www.radio-ware.com/products/sek800.htm

Take a look at this site. I have one and it really did improve
reception.

1044. Re: AFP digital radio's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 13:30:27 UTC
From: johnfofawn@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d89364bd.0203230530.689032cc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50359
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Sorry, I didn't mean to confuse things by bringing up trunking while you guys are talking PTT.

> If you want to listen to APCO25 ENCRPTED... dream on
>
>
> Dave

If we've learned anything over the past few years, it is that encryption is an often mis-used term.

Yes, if an APCO25 system is encrypted properly, then it will be very difficult for us to break. However, what usually happens is systems will have one or more flaws:

1) Pick a crappy algorithm that doesn't require brute force to attack (DVD -- decss http://www-2.cs.cmu.edu/~dst/DeCSS/)
2) Do a horrible job of key management making it easy to figure out keys (802.11b http://www.wardriving.com/)
3) Don't really do encryption at all, but claim that since it's digital it's automatically encrypted (Panasonic 900MHz and 2.4GHz phones)

So don't throw in the towel until people like me (and there are lots of us) have spent time studying the signals to figure out techniques to break them.

In addition to enjoying a good technical challenge, I'm also motivated by my distaste for Uniden. One of their managers really jerked me around so I'm interested in doing everything I can to put out free software that does everything their new APCO-25 scanner will do. For ~$500 (slightly more than the current Bearcat) you can get a WiNRADiO receiver. I plan to do my implementation on WiNRADiO and encourage others to buy WiNRADiO and use my free software instead of buying Uniden scanners. Or, if you can get a wide enough IF out of your current scanner, you can use my software and your sound card to hear APCO-25 traffic. No new hardware needed.

It's important for patriotic people like me to attack these systems because our public servants rely on them to protect us. If the system is easily compromised by me, then it's certainly easy for terrorists to compromise it too. A false sense of security is a lot worse than no security at all.

John

nospam@dingoblue.net.au (Davo) wrote in message
news:<3c9b9500.47749840@news.dingoblue.net.au>...
> John. YOU are talking about APCO25 TRUNKING here, and the rest of the
> thread is talking about the actual APCO25 digital voice mode.
> Its quite simple. If you want to listen to normal unencrypted APCO25
> now, buy a Motorola ASTRO brand radio, or wait till Uniden bring out
> the APCO25 scanners and the decoder card.
> If you want to listen to APCO25 ENCRPTED... dream on
>
>
> Dave
>
>
> On 22 Mar 2002 03:52:12 -0800, johnfofawn@hotmail.com (John) wrote:
>
> >Demodulating the APCO-25 9600bps control channel is easy enough. The
> >key is figuring out the encoding used. My demodulator *SEEMS* to be
> >doing well, but without any info on the encoding used I have no hope
> >of making any real use of it.
> >
> >This is only for the control channel. The data channels use a
> >different modulator and encoding.
> >
> >They are typically not encrypted, but in addition to writing a
> >demodulator and decoder, you'll need to write or get a vocoder. That's
> >the hard part.
> >
> >Uniden will probably license the vocoder for their upcoming APCO-25
> >scanner from the company that makes it.
> >
> >We can probably come up with a reasonable approximate for the vocoder
> >through trial and error.
> >
> >Uniden really ticked me off so I'm trying to do as much of this
> >development as possible and then give away everything I do for free.
> >Ideally, if I can get a $500 WinRadio to do APCO-25 (astro) scanning,
> >then that should really put a dent in any scanner Uniden builds for
> >$400-$500.
> >
> >If anyone has any technical info on the APCO-25 control channel
> >encoding or data/traffic channel, I would really appreciate taking a
> >look at it.
> >
> >John
> >
> >"Matt" <doormattNOSPAM@bigpond.com> wrote in message
news:<ZoAl8.14855$uR5.33290@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>...
> >> Having lived in the ACT for two years before moving north at the end of
> >> last year, I had many trials at trying to "decode" with a variety of
> >> radios and software, and had no joy. The ASTRO is itself not an
> >> encryption system (let alone a highly secure encryption) - it is merely

> >> a mode of "modulating", and whilst these signals can be encrypted, I
> >> understand from talking with one person in the know (a tech for the AFP)
> >> that they are no encrypting their everyday comms. They believe that the
> >> lack of a scanner which is capable of resolving digital signals means
> >> that their everyday comms are sufficiently secure. However that will
> >> likely change when the soon to be released scanner from Uniden (and no I
> >> don't know when) is available for the public to buy and it will be able
> >> to listen in to ASTRO comms.
> >> If your mates have been able to "crack" the AFP ASTRO, then I would
> >> really like to know how and with what they have been able to do it.
> >> When they need to, the AFP use some encryption that no one short of a
> >> national agency (i.e. DSD/NSA) would have a chance to crack (from memory
> >> it is DVP from motorola) - if they can hack the AFP encryption, then I
> >> know an organisation that will pay them some HUGE dollars to show them
> >> how they did it.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Matt
> >>
> >> Vks737 Adelaide Base <vks737adelaide@yahoo.com.au> wrote in message
> >> news:755236ff.0203182117.78d9e477@posting.google.com...
> >> > I have friends who have decoded the AFP astro saber mkII whilste in
> >> > transit throught the ACT.
> >> > I am not lying the ubc785xlt which is available in the UK can decode,
> >> > this so called highly secure encryption.How do you believe the
> >> > American public or the british public listen to their emergency
> >> > services???
> >> >
> >> > Yours Sincerely,
> >> > VKS737 Adelaide Base

1045. Re: Yupiteru MVT9000 Fault

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 14:46:33 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <dz0n8.12157$k5.4502539@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50360

The 1000 channel memory in the MVT-9000 is non-volatile, meaning you should not be having this problem. The people at Bandercom are very experienced with the Yupiteru scanners...not just from a sales point of view, but from in-depth technical experience! Click below for contact information. Please keep us (me) informed. I have one of theses also. Good luck!

http://www.bander.com/usa/contact.html

Bill Crocker

"RayC" <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz> wrote in message
news:oXTm8.1092$eF4.129527@news02.tsnz.net...
> Yesterday I turned on my scanner and all of the 1010
> memories have been deleted. Including the search memories.
>
> There was no prior warning at all.
>
> I cannot load any memory location at all.
>
> But reception is ok on direct freq. entry
>
> Question--- Is there a backup battery or a memory capacitor that
> may have gone faulty.
>
> What can I look at as a possible cause of this fault.
>
> 1 week before the Easter break----Jeez
>
> Can any one recommend a repair shop for this model.
>
>
>
>
>

1046. Watkins Johnson .. D.F. rack closes tonignt on ebay ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 16:27:24 UTC
From: onone <nobody@nowhere.net>
Message-ID: <g27p9uk7ega4b3vivfpsgato6ns1mlt72o@4ax.com>
Source record: 50361
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

For all you scanner hobbyists that use (the best) Watkins Johnson Government scanning / eavesdropping receivers a rare moment is at hand.

A " highly desirable " MODEL 6 direction finding rack accessory , for your WJ / CEI receiving rack has come available. Although ALL models are desirable ..this is one of the most recent they made and has those two rows of illuminated control push buttons.

Hardly any of the " coveted " accessories (the speaker rack, the digital multi -readout panel etc .) adds pure eavesdropping / monitoring pleasure more than finding new and unusual signals (many of which , only scanning / monitoring equipment like WJ / CEI can hear < radio shack and bearcat etc. doesn't even dream of it > and looking away from your panadaptor tuning display to see the actual direction the new / found signal is being transmitted from. (is it from the antenna farm up on Bear mountain, from downtown , perhaps moving around ...??)

When locating any new signal to try to develop information like where it is coming from , who is transmitting it , what type of signal is it , (all to try to learn how to hear or decode it) ... this beautiful receiving accessory is indispensable. If you have one and two other friends that have them too ...it's VERY easy to nearly " pin point " the location of ANY SIGNAL , almost instantly. This makes GPS / FCC database and / or physical inspection of transmitting locations a breeze. Wanna know where that swat team action is located ..? , wonder where that new hopping spread spectrum transmitter is located (to see whom is using it ..?) ..? , this equipment makes it quick and easy.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1340008352

here are some other " nice " Watkins Johnson receiving accessories currently available on ebay too.

need bandwidths to allow sharp weather sat picture copy or hear (finally) those spread spectrum signals other narrowband radios (like ALL home scanners) cannot hear ...

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1715301560

yep ..another one ...a WJ speaker ... but grab your wallet ..these are selling briskly at over $130 to nearly $300 ea. just for a speaker ..

available
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1341206065

just sold and 29 bids too .. it was a war ..
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1337273107

and this is nice too .but remember it ISN'T a receiver ..it's just a tuner ..and has less front end sensitivity than the WJ receivers of the same frequency range

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1715293493

noone important

fYI:
if you are reading this and don't know about the " commercial " government and military surveillance / monitoring / scanning receivers like Watkins Johnson , CEI , Racal and many more ... then there are a LOT of scanning eavesdropping signals that YOU are completely missing , not able to find or hear with " consumer " level equipment. (like Bearcat , Radio Shack, AOR ... ALL home scanners) Plus the ability of this equipment to find and hear signals on ALL frequencies and at immense distances (under ANY urban conditions) is unparalleled. Once again , signals lost , masked or plain not heard in home style equipment are found easily ..strong and clear ..with this style of equipment.
Affordable equipment from the mid '70 (better BY FAR than any home equipment) .. can be had reasonably. This would be (say) two rack receivers < total tuning range from 20mhz to 1.2gigs > .. one rack panadapter < used in PRO equipment for tuning instead of a dial like home equipment > , a speaker rack (optional) , and a control panel < antenna switch for Rx front ends and I.F. panadatper out switch to switch between the Rx i.f decks > ..would likely only cost around $600 to $800 depending upon quality and extras.
More modern style with similar frequency coverage ...and digital readout and control ... up to about $3000. More modern yet , with extended frequency coverage ... say up to about 40 gigs .. could cost over $10,000 used surplus. It's expensive (and highly popular) because of what it can do / hear. Tune (or scan) YOUR consumer scanner from (say) 800mhz to 1.2 ghz ... side by side with " any " of these ..and you will discover instantly why it is so expensive and highly sought after. The difference is literally shocking. (signals that you never knew existed, come pouring through, signals that you thought existed < after all , you hear them > don't really exist because they are just images in the consumer stuff , from other bands and frequencies . Types of signals like certain digital , frequency hopping and spread spectrum types ... nearly totally invisible to home scanners .. are easily found / seen .. loud and clear ..in this type of equipment. The difference IS profound. < and YES .. expensive too .. unfortunately >)

1047. Re: Pro 92, What's it worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 16:35:48 UTC
From: Smorg@ert.con
Message-ID: <3c9cade0.2237116@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 50362

On Sat, 23 Mar 2002 03:48:52 GMT, Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com> wrote:

>
>You still don't get it. You don't use CTCSS to SEE who's talking. You
>use it to listen to the agency on the frequency that you want to
>listen to. I have 3 towns all talking at the same time on one
>frequency. With PL tones, I only hear the one I want.

I deleted the post with your quote in it. You said you needed CTCSS to
know who was talking because others use the same freq... I then said
that an advanced hobbyist usually knows which agency it is by ear.
I know what CTCSS is. I have around a half-dozen radios with it.

You did not say that you only wanted to hear only one. You something
like I need to see or know who's talking. Since I delete the messages
after I read them, I can't paste what you said.

1048. Re: Pro 92, What's it worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 17:59:54 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020323125954.13164.00000893@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 50363

>> You still don't get it. You don't use CTCSS to SEE who's talking.

You might if you are searching for new users / agencies. Seeing both the frequency and tone would immediately tell me if the transmission was someone I had already I-D'd.

>> With PL tones, I only hear the one I want.

When two agencies are talking simultaneously, though, you'll only hear your chosen agency if it has the stronger signal.

>> Most advanced hobbyist would know what channel and service it was without looking at the display.

Depends on how many agencies and channels you monitor. If you're monitoring just your local agencies, possibly.

I would be hard-pressed to recognize the "sound" of every agency I monitor at home, particularly when several receivers are scanning simultaneously with file sizes as large as 600 channels (freq and tone).

Jeff Multer
Fort Mill, S.C.

1049. Anyone own a Pro-2053 AND an Icom R-2?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 18:15:28 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4D3n8.7905$oi.456143@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 50364

I was just wondering if one or the others cable will work with BOTH units.
The R-2 uses a stereo plug and the 2053 uses a mono plug. Has anyone tried
this?

--
Jim

1050. Re: Baby monitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 19:20:42 UTC
From: "R.F Gain" <rog@spamwalesuk.net>
Message-ID: <a7iki9$sg0$1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 50365

What the hell do you want to listen to baby-monitors for.

RFG

.

"TTandemonium" <ttandemonium@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020323033943.00655.00000376@mb-fv.aol.com...
> Here are five: 49.830, 49.845, 49.860,
> 49.875, 49.890
>
> Ttandemonium@aol.com
>

1051. Re: Baby monitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 19:48:30 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <i_4n8.196741$kb.10980397@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 50367

R.F. Gain is just having a attitude problem. If you look at all his post he freaks out on others. sorta makes me weary to buy that radio from him. You might get shot or something if you fart wrong.

hmm. now if anyone had the new audio 900Mhz ones that be fun to know too. I have a few neighbors that bought them. Cant get close enough with a couter to see. Maybe I will just buy a 900 one and post the freqs for others.

I was surprised when I went down to washington state. People say the fast food restaurants headsets that are grey in color are around the 400 - 500 mhz area. I never ever got anything there. So I decided to scan the 900Mhz area. Since I know our fast foods in BC canada are 902.300 almost everywhere. They look like the old 33.16/154.515 sets. Anyways without babbling. I found burger king is on 904.?? I forget the rest. The headset is always keyed up. but not to the com outside. Sorta like a wireless mics those new headsets are. But. I see they are going up in frequency more and more.

Dev

"Justin W. Pauler" <justin@pauler.org> wrote in message
news:9atp9ug6iugssiv74r099vje1h7toua25f@4ax.com...
> Think about it, they make EXCELLENT eavesdropping tools. Stick one
> behind a dresser or something and you can be in the next house
> listening to an entire conversation (or so I am told) :P
>
> -- jwp
>
> On Sat, 23 Mar 2002 19:20:42 +0000 (UTC), "R.F Gain"
> <rog@spamwalesuk.net> wrote:
>
> >What the hell do you want to listen to baby-monitors for.
> >
> >RFG
> >
> >
> >
> >.
> >
> >"TTandemonium" <ttandemonium@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20020323033943.00655.00000376@mb-fv.aol.com...
> >> Here are five: 49.830, 49.845, 49.860,
> >> 49.875, 49.890
> >>
> >> Ttandemonium@aol.com

> >>
> >
>

1052. House Cleaning Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 19:48:52 UTC
From: Me <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <E_4n8.15317$Zr3.3310124@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50368
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Check it out here.
http://www.msnusers.com/DicksFTP

---
Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.333 / Virus Database: 187 - Release Date: 3/8/2002
```

1053. Looking For

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 19:52:25 UTC
From: Me <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Z15n8.15325$Zr3.3310739@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I am looking for a tri band mobile such as the Standard C5900 or Kenwood.I need 2m,6m,and 70meters on it.I will trade stuff I have and maybe a little cash.I am unemployed still til May.Email me with info.Or call 978-281-3359

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.333 / Virus Database: 187 - Release Date: 3/8/2002

1054. Re: Baby monitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 21:11:40 UTC
From: "R.F Gain" <rog@spamwalesuk.net>
Message-ID: <a7ir2bafk1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 50371

> R.F. Gain is just having a attitude problem. If you look at all his post
he
> freaks out on others. sorta makes me weary to buy that radio from him. You
> might get shot or something if you fart wrong.
>

Point taken, My apologies..:-))
take care
RFG

.

"Devin" <a@b.c> wrote in message
news:i_4n8.196741$kb.10980397@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> R.F. Gain is just having a attitude problem. If you look at all his post
he
> freaks out on others. sorta makes me weary to buy that radio from him. You
> might get shot or something if you fart wrong.
>
> hmm. now if anyone had the new audio 900Mhz ones that be fun to know too.
I
> have a few neighbors that bought them. Cant get close enough with a couter
> to see. Maybe I will just buy a 900 one and post the freqs for others.
>
> I was surprised when I went down to washington state. People say the fast
> food restaurants headsets that are grey in color are around the 400 - 500
> mhz area. I never ever got anything there. So I decided to scan the 900Mhz
> area. Since I know our fast foods in BC canada are 902.300 almost
> everywhere. They look like the old 33.16/154.515 sets. Anyways without
> babbling. I found burger king is on 904.?? I forget the rest. The headset
is
> always keyed up. but not to the com outside. Sorta like a wireless mics
> those new headsets are. But. I see they are going up in frequency more and
> more.
>
> Dev
>
>
> "Justin W. Pauler" <justin@pauler.org> wrote in message
> news:9atp9ug6iugssiv74r099vje1h7toua25f@4ax.com...
> > Think about it, they make EXCELLENT eavesdropping tools. Stick one
> > behind a dresser or something and you can be in the next house
> > listening to an entire conversation (or so I am told) :P
> >
> > -- jwp

> >
> > On Sat, 23 Mar 2002 19:20:42 +0000 (UTC), "R.F Gain"
> > <rog@spamwalesuk.net> wrote:
> >
> > >What the hell do you want to listen to baby-monitors for.
> > >
> > >RFG
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >.
> > >
> > >"TTandemonium" <ttandemonium@aol.com> wrote in message
> > >news:20020323033943.00655.00000376@mb-fv.aol.com...
> > >> Here are five: 49.830, 49.845, 49.860,
> > >> 49.875, 49.890
> > >>
> > >> Ttandemonium@aol.com
> > >>
> > >
> >
>
>

1055. Re: Anyone own a Pro-2053 AND an Icom R-2?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 21:25:19 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a7irqt$ldomn$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50372

I have a Pro-93 and a R2. I will try tonight but I think there may have to be mono-stereo adjustments. I can't remember how the R2 is but the Pro-93 is mono (I think).

"Jim Hackett" <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:4D3n8.7905$oi.456143@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I was just wondering if one or the others cable will work with BOTH units.
> The R-2 uses a stereo plug and the 2053 uses a mono plug. Has anyone tried
> this?
>
> --
> Jim
>
>

1056. Re: Baby monitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 21:36:56 UTC
From: "Justin W. Pauler" <justin@pauler.org>
Message-ID: <9atp9ug6iugssiv74r099vje1h7toua25f@4ax.com>
Source record: 50366

Think about it, they make EXCELLENT eavesdropping tools. Stick one
behind a dresser or something and you can be in the next house
listening to an entire conversation (or so I am told) :P

-- jwp

On Sat, 23 Mar 2002 19:20:42 +0000 (UTC), "R.F Gain"
<rog@spamwalesuk.net> wrote:

>What the hell do you want to listen to baby-monitors for.
>
>RFG
>
>
>
>.
>
>"TTandemonium" <ttandemonium@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020323033943.00655.00000376@mb-fv.aol.com...
>> Here are five: 49.830, 49.845, 49.860,
>> 49.875, 49.890
>>
>> Ttandemonium@aol.com
>>
>

1057. Re: Baby monitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 21:38:28 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <oB6n8.345674$A44.19550246@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 50373

okey. hehe. have yourself a great day too. :)

Dev

"R.F Gain" <rog@spamwalesuk.net> wrote in message
news:a7ir2bafk1@knossos.btinternet.com...
> > R.F. Gain is just having a attitude problem. If you look at all his post
> he
> > freaks out on others. sorta makes me weary to buy that radio from him.
You
> > might get shot or something if you fart wrong.
> >
>
> Point taken, My apologies..:-))
> take care
> RFG
>
>
>
> .
>
> "Devin" <a@b.c> wrote in message
> news:i_4n8.196741$kb.10980397@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> > R.F. Gain is just having a attitude problem. If you look at all his post
> he
> > freaks out on others. sorta makes me weary to buy that radio from him.
You
> > might get shot or something if you fart wrong.
> >
> > hmm. now if anyone had the new audio 900Mhz ones that be fun to know
too.
> I
> > have a few neighbors that bought them. Cant get close enough with a
couter
> > to see. Maybe I will just buy a 900 one and post the freqs for others.
> >
> > I was surprised when I went down to washington state. People say the
fast
> > food restaurants headsets that are grey in color are around the 400 -
500
> > mhz area. I never ever got anything there. So I decided to scan the
900Mhz
> > area. Since I know our fast foods in BC canada are 902.300 almost
> > everywhere. They look like the old 33.16/154.515 sets. Anyways without
> > babbling. I found burger king is on 904.?? I forget the rest. The

headset
> is
> > always keyed up. but not to the com outside. Sorta like a wireless mics
> > those new headsets are. But. I see they are going up in frequency more
and
> > more.
> >
> > Dev
> >
> >
> > "Justin W. Pauler" <justin@pauler.org> wrote in message
> > news:9atp9ug6iugssiv74r099vje1h7toua25f@4ax.com...
> > > Think about it, they make EXCELLENT eavesdropping tools. Stick one
> > > behind a dresser or something and you can be in the next house
> > > listening to an entire conversation (or so I am told) :P
> > >
> > > -- jwp
> > >
> > > On Sat, 23 Mar 2002 19:20:42 +0000 (UTC), "R.F Gain"
> > > <rog@spamwalesuk.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > >What the hell do you want to listen to baby-monitors for.
> > > >
> > > >RFG
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >.
> > > >
> > > >"TTandemonium" <ttandemonium@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > >news:20020323033943.00655.00000376@mb-fv.aol.com...
> > > >> Here are five: 49.830, 49.845, 49.860,
> > > >> 49.875, 49.890
> > > >>
> > > >> Ttandemonium@aol.com
> > > >>
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1058. NHRA freqs needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 22:28:36 UTC
From: Barry Beuershausen <bment@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <3C9D0122.FCA19DB3@prodigy.net>
Source record: 50380

New to group. Anyone out there have some freqs that are good for the NHRA series? Going in a couple of weeks and would like to maybe listen in. Please email directly to bment@prodigy.net. Thanks in advance.

--
Ride Recumbents and Scarab Trikes-"For the ride of your life!"
Barry Beuershausen
B&M Enterprises
212 Dowlor
Refugio, TX 78377
361) 526-4458
http://www.scarabtrikes.com

1059. Re: Anyone own a Pro-2053 AND an Icom R-2?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 23:22:35 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a7j2mp$lfotk$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50375

Have you tried an adapter, stereo-mono?

"Lurking Fool" <Lurker@Home.Com> wrote in message
news:a7irqt$ldomn$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> I have a Pro-93 and a R2. I will try tonight but I think there may have to
> be mono-stereo adjustments. I can't remember how the R2 is but the Pro-93
is
> mono (I think).
>
>
> "Jim Hackett" <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:4D3n8.7905$oi.456143@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > I was just wondering if one or the others cable will work with BOTH
units.
> > The R-2 uses a stereo plug and the 2053 uses a mono plug. Has anyone
> tried
> > this?
> >
> > --
> > Jim
> >
> >
>
>

1060. Re: Avalanche Beacon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 23:23:38 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <V08n8.424$vl3.514854@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 50374
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Chill out, guys, Emilio is a writer of poor fiction. I hesitate to even call him a troll, his stories are so-o-o bogus. Although his name used to be Emilio Goldstein (with a "D"), so this may be a copycat. (The email "address" matches, though.) When last heard from, he was living in a large city (New York?) and got beat up by a dept. store security guard when the audio on his scanner tipped of a shoplifter. His stuff used to be fairly entertaining, but they've gotten pretty lame lately.

Mark

"Devin" <a@b.c> wrote in message
news:kWWm8.195399$kb.10913599@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> ahh a trool or some sick freak. I hope you get caught. Remember. You just
> stated that you did this. So when the tax payers, rescue team and the
police
> want to find out who did this. atleast we all have proof it was a
crackhead
> like you. lol. Hope you rot in jail for this. Giving US scanner and
amateur
> radio ops a bad name. No wonder everyone is going to digital or hard to
> crack encryted voice. It is due to losers like you. Ya troll. get lost.
>
> Dev
>
>
> "Emilio Golstein" <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
> news:sMVm8.107403$uA5.88094@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> > Well I live in a small skiing town, and I heard on the scanner that this
> > local guy named Ed got caught up in an avalanche. I listened as the
> search
> > and rescuers went up there to save his life. How dramatic! Thank god
he
> > had his avalanche beacon turned on because rescuers were able to find
him.
> > That beacon broadcasts for two miles! Unfortunately he was dead. I went
> to
> > the funeral because he was a friend of mine, and when no one was looking
I
> > slipped a brand new avalanche beacon into his casket. Now when skiers
> drive
> > out of town they go past the graveyard, and many slow down because they
> pick
> > up the signal. They think it is the ghost of Ed and it is spooky.
> >

> >
> >
>
>

1061. Re: Avalanche Beacon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 23:32:04 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <Uf8n8.346008$A44.19572705@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 50376
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

wasn't that last year ? Didn't he ask us all for info for a lawyer and such.
I am not mad. I think it is funny and stupid. it is getting old though.

"M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
news:V08n8.424$vI3.514854@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> Chill out, guys, Emilio is a writer of poor fiction. I hesitate to even
> call him a troll, his stories are so-o-o bogus. Although his name used to
> be Emilio Goldstein (with a "D"), so this may be a copycat. (The email
> "address" matches, though.) When last heard from, he was living in a
large
> city (New York?) and got beat up by a dept. store security guard when the
> audio on his scanner tipped of a shoplifter. His stuff used to be fairly
> entertaining, but they've gotten pretty lame lately.
>
> Mark
>
> "Devin" <a@b.c> wrote in message
> news:kWWm8.195399$kb.10913599@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> > ahh a trool or some sick freak. I hope you get caught. Remember. You
just
> > stated that you did this. So when the tax payers, rescue team and the
> police
> > want to find out who did this. atleast we all have proof it was a
> crackhead
> > like you. lol. Hope you rot in jail for this. Giving US scanner and
> amateur
> > radio ops a bad name. No wonder everyone is going to digital or hard to
> > crack encryted voice. It is due to losers like you. Ya troll. get lost.
> >
> > Dev
> >
> >
> > "Emilio Golstein" <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
> > news:sMVm8.107403$uA5.88094@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> > > Well I live in a small skiing town, and I heard on the scanner that
this
> > > local guy named Ed got caught up in an avalanche. I listened as the
> > search
> > > and rescuers went up there to save his life. How dramatic! Thank god
> he
> > > had his avalanche beacon turned on because rescuers were able to find
> him.
> > > That beacon broadcasts for two miles! Unfortunately he was dead. I

went
> > to
> > > the funeral because he was a friend of mine, and when no one was
looking
> I
> > > slipped a brand new avalanche beacon into his casket. Now when skiers
> > drive
> > > out of town they go past the graveyard, and many slow down because
they
> > pick
> > > up the signal. They think it is the ghost of Ed and it is spooky.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1062. mtexpress

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-23 23:55:29 UTC
From: rob <robchris@surenet.net>
Message-ID: <UY5n8.2377$je5.19020@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 50370

ne one got pdf 's of this
pls e me at robchris@NOSPAMsurenet.net
tanks

1063. Re: HELP 900Mhz pone codes loser who can't spell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 01:19:21 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <PQ9n8.716$JN1.108703@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 50377

Here's something I don't get. We all have scanners. What do you do with a scanner? Why don't you sit, like I have, and find them yourself? I have a lot of cordless phone frequencies. Because I found them myself. And they are mine. I don't work for you.

I hate lazy people who post here first before doing their own homework, like reading their own manual and finding the most basic frequencies. Get a clue. I actually know of a GOVERNMENT website that has all that info your looking for. Their called the FCC. I've gotten a lot from there. Now quit bothering us with dumb questions and do your own work for a change.

"Karen Williams" <kanesgirl@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:qQSm8.7012$Tk.385214@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Does anyone know where to find codes for the cordless phones Specifically
> UNIDEN phones...
> I can find them for almost every other brand of phone but Uniden.
> Any Help??? PLEASE...
>
> If possible reply to me personally not on the group.
>
> my email is
> kanesgirl@earthlink.net
>
> Thanks
>
> --
> Karen...
>
> http://karenswrestlingpix.tripod.com
>
>

1064. Re: Baby monitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 01:55:31 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020323205531.29951.00000525@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 50378

>Subject: Re: Baby monitors
>From: "R.F Gain" rog@spamwalesuk.net
>Date: 3/23/2002 1:20 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <a7iki9$sg0$1@knossos.btinternet.com>
>
>What the hell do you want to listen to baby-monitors for.
>
>RFG
>
>
>
>.
>
>"TTandemonium" <ttandemonium@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020323033943.00655.00000376@mb-fv.aol.com...
>> Here are five: 49.830, 49.845, 49.860,
>> 49.875, 49.890
>>
>> Ttandemonium@aol.com
>>

You'll probably hear a lot more secrets on those frequencies than you will on cell phone frequencies. People leave them on 24/7 and forget they are there. They note that the little receiver that comes with barely covers their house and have no idea a good scanner can hear them 1/4 mile away or more. I've heard them, still plugged in & running when the "baby" was 6 years old....
Neutrodyne

1065. Re: HELP 900Mhz pone codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 02:06:35 UTC
From: keith <klo@westnet.net.au>
Message-ID: <a7jche$c1t$1@nsnmpen2-gest.nuria.telefonica-data.net>
Source record: 50394

me 2 please ;-)

kl01960@hotmail.com

"Karen Williams" <kanesgirl@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:qQSm8.7012$Tk.385214@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Does anyone know where to find codes for the cordless phones Specifically
> UNIDEN phones...
> I can find them for almost every other brand of phone but Uniden.
> Any Help??? PLEASE...
>
> If possible reply to me personally not on the group.
>
> my email is
> kanesgirl@earthlink.net
>
> Thanks
>
> --
> Karen...
>
> http://karenswrestlingpix.tripod.com
>
>

1066. Re: HELP 900Mhz pHone codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 02:51:32 UTC
From: cryppie <nitr0man@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u9qfpcca00crda@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50381

Wow, kind of harsh. Have a bad day Rob? Karen, he is right. The best way to find the frequency is to do a search. Try from 902mhz to 908mhz, and 925mhz to 929mhz. Keep in mind that there are many Uniden 900mhz spread spectrum phones that you will not be able to hear. I use one of these and you can pick it up at 918mhz, but its just a tone. Good luck.

cryppie
SC150B
BC780XLT

"Rob Taylor" <fireboy001@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:PQ9n8.716$JN1.108703@news.uswest.net...
> Here's something I don't get. We all have scanners. What do you do with a
> scanner? Why don't you sit, like I have, and find them yourself? I have a
> lot of cordless phone frequencies. Because I found them myself. And they
are
> mine. I don't work for you.
>
> I hate lazy people who post here first before doing their own homework,
like
> reading their own manual and finding the most basic frequencies. Get a
clue.
> I actually know of a GOVERNMENT website that has all that info your
looking
> for. Their called the FCC. I've gotten a lot from there. Now quit
bothering
> us with dumb questions and do your own work for a change.
>
>
> "Karen Williams" <kanesgirl@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:qQSm8.7012$Tk.385214@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Does anyone know where to find codes for the cordless phones
Specifically
> > UNIDEN phones...
> > I can find them for almost every other brand of phone but Uniden.
> > Any Help??? PLEASE...
> >
> > If possible reply to me personally not on the group.
> >
> > my email is
> > kanesgirl@earthlink.net
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> > --

> > Karen...
> >
> > http://karenswrestlingpix.tripod.com
> >
> >
>
>

1067. Re: HELP 900Mhz pone codes loser who can't spell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 02:51:34 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <Wabn8.149824$eb.7556602@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 50382

lol. I totally agree. and they aren't pone codes. These are called Cordless Phone Frequencies.

Dev

too funny

"Rob Taylor" <fireboy001@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:PQ9n8.716$JN1.108703@news.uswest.net...
> Here's something I don't get. We all have scanners. What do you do with a
> scanner? Why don't you sit, like I have, and find them yourself? I have a
> lot of cordless phone frequencies. Because I found them myself. And they
are
> mine. I don't work for you.
>
> I hate lazy people who post here first before doing their own homework,
like
> reading their own manual and finding the most basic frequencies. Get a
clue.
> I actually know of a GOVERNMENT website that has all that info your
looking
> for. Their called the FCC. I've gotten a lot from there. Now quit
bothering
> us with dumb questions and do your own work for a change.
>
>
> "Karen Williams" <kanesgirl@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:qQSm8.7012$Tk.385214@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Does anyone know where to find codes for the cordless phones
Specifically
> > UNIDEN phones...
> > I can find them for almost every other brand of phone but Uniden.
> > Any Help??? PLEASE...
> >
> > If possible reply to me personally not on the group.
> >
> > my email is
> > kanesgirl@earthlink.net
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> > --
> > Karen...
> >
> > http://karenswrestlingpix.tripod.com

> >
> >
>
>

1068. F/S Bearcat 9000xlt scanner Like new condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 05:21:52 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Qndn8.74076$7B2.1762977@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 50383
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

9000xlt for sale (relisted). Like new in the box. Perfect condition in every way. No scratches and shows no sign of use whatsoever. Functionally flawless. 25-1300 minus cell but there are cell images. 500 channels in a very handy 20 banks. Alpha naming, count, and very fast. Excellent sensitivity. Price is $215 and I will pay UPS shipping in the lower 48. Here is a pic.
http://mediaservice.photoisland.com/auction/Mar/2002321741552053547O507.jpg
It is an actual pic from my Photo Island account. Once again this item is as good as new. Please email me at bruceinomaha@yahoo.com if interested in purchasing.

1069. Re: 499 Dollars for Plug Uniden Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 06:44:53 UTC
From: ads@athomesafe.com
Message-ID: <3c9d753d.12802609@news.directvinternet.com>
Source record: 50384

The market will probably flood with good scanners once digital comes
out. Shouldn't be long before other manufacturers have digital boards
too. I'm wondering if AOR will be able to make a digital card for the
AR-8200, or if they'll just design a new scanner.

On Sat, 16 Mar 2002 13:20:49 -0800, Tom <death.to@spam.box> wrote:

> Hi,
> At work we just got a packet of information on the upcoming Uniden Digital
>Scanners at the department store I work at. The scanner itself has a suggested
>retail price of $350.00, but the plug in card to hear the police encrypted
>channels will retail for $499.00. Don't expect the price to be much lower,
>maybe twenty dollars less because the Uniden actual price for retailers only
>allows a very small profit above wholesale price. To get the best profit a
>retailer needs to order 2500 units at a time.
> My boss said to go ahead with the scanners, but we will not sell the digital
>boards, unless they become popular.
>
>

1070. Cayman Islands FREQs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 06:56:27 UTC
From: Tom Norman <tnorman71@columbus.rr.com>
Message-ID: <vMen8.221003$s43.50234148@typhoon.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 50385

Hello,

Does anyone know of a webpage that lists frequencies in the Cayman Islands?
Thanks

Tom

1071. FS: ICOM IC-R9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 07:12:40 UTC
From: onair_9 <onair_9@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3C9D7C68.70901@hotmail.com>
Source record: 50386
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

ICOM IC-R9000

Price: over USD2900 best offer

Cosmetic Condition:
Exellent
(a little scratches at top and side. Front and other are so beautiful.
Total condition is so exellent.)
Unblock
With AC cord and Carring handle(Front and rear) (No manual, No box)
CRT condition: Great(No Burnning)

Buyer pay shipping fee and TAX
Shipping: UPS only
Shipping fee: Japan to US)...USD335

Working condition... great(Sold as-is)

AC power:115V(100 or 230V setting available by Jumpper)

onair_9 <Japan>

1072. Re: 499 Dollars for Plug Uniden Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 08:26:47 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <b5gn8.348069$A44.19637895@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 50387

well.they probably make a new scanner. I notice lots of radio manufactures do taht. They say the original radio a person has may be upgradable or have IC addon boards. But no one ever really sees them.

Dev

"Ricky" <ads@athomesafe.com> wrote in message news:3c9d753d.12802609@news.directvinternet.com...
> The market will probably flood with good scanners once digital comes
> out. Shouldn't be long before other manufacturers have digital boards
> too. I'm wondering if AOR will be able to make a digital card for the
> AR-8200, or if they'll just design a new scanner.
>
> On Sat, 16 Mar 2002 13:20:49 -0800, Tom <death.to@spam.box> wrote:
>
> > Hi,
> > At work we just got a packet of information on the upcoming Uniden Digital
> >Scanners at the department store I work at. The scanner itself has a suggested
> >retail price of $350.00, but the plug in card to hear the police encrypted
> >channels will retail for $499.00. Don't expect the price to be much lower,
> >maybe twenty dollars less because the Uniden actual price for retailers only
> >allows a very small profit above wholesale price. To get the best profit a
> >retailer needs to order 2500 units at a time.
> > My boss said to go ahead with the scanners, but we will not sell the digital
> >boards, unless they become popular.
> >
> >
>

1073. Re: F/S Bearcat 9000xlt scanner Like new condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 08:27:09 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <x5gn8.74978$7B2.1785960@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 50388
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I will take to $210 shipped. Lowest price I will go on this. thanks

"Bruce" <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Qndn8.74076$7B2.1762977@news1.east.cox.net...
> 9000xlt for sale (relisted). Like new in the box. Perfect condition in
every
> way. No scratches and shows no sign of use whatsoever. Functionally
> flawless. 25-1300 minus cell but there are cell images. 500 channels in a
> very handy 20 banks. Alpha naming, count, and very fast. Excellent
> sensitivity. Price is $215 and I will pay UPS shipping in the lower 48.
Here
> is a pic.
>
http://mediaservice.photoisland.com/auction/Mar/2002321741552053547 0507.jpg
> It is an actual pic from my Photo Island account. Once again this item is
as
> good as new. Please email me at bruceinomaha@yahoo.com if interested in
> purchasing.
>
>

1074. Re: control channel sound difference?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 08:29:04 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020324032904.24649.00000672@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 50389

thanks for the link.............
Eric G.
education CANNOT buy common sense

1075. Free SWL LOG - Anyone interested ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 09:11:25 UTC
From: MadDogMcQ <tom.mcq@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a7k57s$j26$1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 50390

Hi All,
I've just written a database for myself and wonder if anyone else is interested in having a copy? I'm going to upload it shortly, but in the meantime you can find out more about it and tell me whether you are interested or not. Any constructive comments would be welcome.

The information page is pretty large, so loading won't be instant :-)

http://www.tom.mcq.btinternet.co.uk/ar3000/mcqlog.htm

Regards,

Tom McQ
www.ar3000.info

1076. Re: Free SWL LOG - Anyone interested ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 11:11:13 UTC
From: MadDogMcQ <tom.mcq@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a7kc8g$f7v$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 50391

23 Registrations in first 2 hours! Cool.
There's obviously an interest in the database.
Thanks also for the kind comments with the registration emails - it's
appreciated.

Kind regards,
Tom McQ http://www.tom.mcq.btinternet.co.uk/ar3000/mcqlog.htm

"MadDogMcQ" <tom.mcq@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:a7k57s$j26$1@paris.btinternet.com...
> Hi All,
> I've just written a database for myself and wonder if anyone else is
> interested in having a copy? I'm going to upload it shortly, but in the
> meantime you can find out more about it and tell me whether you are
> interested or not. Any constructive comments would be welcome.
>
> The information page is pretty large, so loading won't be instant :-)
>
> http://www.tom.mcq.btinternet.co.uk/ar3000/mcqlog.htm
>
> Regards,
>
> Tom McQ
> www.ar3000.info
>
>
>
>

1077. AOR ARD-2 ACARS decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 13:02:20 UTC
From: Troy <troyrow@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3c9dce80$0$11451$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 50392

Hi all,

Does anybody have any experience with these units?
Are they any good? Are there any reviews available?

I did a web search and turned up nothing of any use.

Any and all help greatly appreciated.

Regards
Troy

1078. AntennaMast.Com that was advertised in PopComm?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 13:05:07 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C9DCF03.7090706@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 50393
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

Was browsing through an issue of Popcomm at the bookstore and seen an ad for portable antenna masts. I happen to be very interested in one so I went to their website (www.antennamast.com). I liked what I seen however when I clicked on the US distributor the link was dead. Then I email the people at antennamast.com however that email bounced.

I can't believe they pay the money to run an ad in Popcomm but don't exist. Anyone have any info on these people?
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Freqs and MORE!

1079. Re: AOR ARD-2 ACARS decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 15:10:30 UTC
From: Red TR1 <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <K%ln8.41909$N7.7308536@ruti.visi.com>
Source record: 50395

I had one, a very spendy device that works well but unless you really need a portable device not really worth the money.
I have since gone to a Lowe Airmaster 2000, using it with Airnav on a small laptop. This setup works great and is much less in cost than the AOR.

Greg
"Troy" <troyrow@optusnet.com.au> wrote in message news:3c9dce80$0$11451$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> Hi all,
>
> Does anybody have any experience with these units?
> Are they any good? Are there any reviews available?
>
> I did a web search and turned up nothing of any use.
>
> Any and all help greatly appreciated.
>
> Regards
> Troy
>
>
>
>

1080. Re: AntennaMast.Com that was advertised in PopComm?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 15:55:08 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3C9DF700.7171867F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 50396
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

Morning,
Went right in.
Check your computer

GeorgeF wrote:

> Was browsing through an issue of Popcomm at the bookstore and seen an ad
> for portable antenna masts. I happen to be very interested in one so
> I went to their website (www.antennamast.com). I liked what I seen
> however when I clicked on the US distributor the link was dead. Then I
> email the people at antennamast.com however that email bounced.
>
> I can't believe they pay the money to run an ad in Popcomm but don't
> exist. Anyone have any info on these people?
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Freqs and MORE!

1081. FS Motorola MT1000 Low Band (Pair)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 16:04:13 UTC
From: abbylind@aol.com
Message-ID: <b1bfa7c.0203240804.5a17192d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50297
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Hi
Ive got two MT1000 Low band portables H41GCU7160AN
42-50Mhz 6 channel
Package includes 2 radios with belt clips, antennas
(one needs replacing)and two pocket chargers (no batteries)
Radios look and work fine
$400 + Shipping
Thanks
Fowler
Abbylind@aol.com

1082. Re: Free SWL LOG - Anyone interested ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 16:21:10 UTC
From: MadDogMcQ <tom.mcq@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a7kudm$jv5$1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 50298

Okay many thanks to everyone....the emails are coming in thick and fast.
Suggestions/Requests have also been coming in and I've already made some
changes and have more to follow.

Mods Done:
* Include Compass Heading for Beams.
* Include URL-Holder for stations that have them.
* Include TX Field

Any more?

Tom http://www.tom.mcq.btinternet.co.uk/ar3000/mcqlog.htm

"MadDogMcQ" <tom.mcq@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:a7k57s$j26$1@paris.btinternet.com...
> Hi All,
> I've just written a database for myself and wonder if anyone else is
> interested in having a copy? I'm going to upload it shortly, but in the
> meantime you can find out more about it and tell me whether you are
> interested or not. Any constructive comments would be welcome.
>
> The information page is pretty large, so loading won't be instant :-)
>
> http://www.tom.mcq.btinternet.co.uk/ar3000/mcqlog.htm
>
> Regards,
>
> Tom McQ
> www.ar3000.info
>
>
>
>

1083. FM broadcast station on VHF!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 16:42:02 UTC
From: "Matt J. McCullar" <mccullar@flash.net>
Message-ID: <ulnn8.12041$Hj.2153926689@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50299

I pulled a Standard VHF/UHF HT transceiver out of mothballs a couple of nights ago so I could get back onto the ham bands. It's also a great scanner because it's got more capabilities than my old Bearcat 220 scanner (though it can't cover many of the same bands).

Anyway, while just skimming through the frequencies, I picked up music on 161.76 MHz. A little distorted, but very enjoyable. Very OLD music, too. After listening for a while I found out that it's a commercial FM radio station, 89.5 MHz, coming out of Weatherford, TX (about 40 miles west of where I live). Funny thing is, I cannot pick up that station on my FM receiver in the den! And I can't get this signal on 161.76 on my Bearcat, either. I'm guessing that this is an intermod effect, inherent to my Standard HT.

I'm no expert on how this works. Any ideas?

Matt J. McCullar, KJ5BA
Arlington, TX

1084. WANTED: IRL frequencies.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 17:06:08 UTC
From: 800mhz <listeningwatch@nospam.att.net>
Message-ID: <4Inn8.3995$se.372557@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 50300

I'm looking for the frequencies being used by the IRL teams/drivers for this season.
thnx
listeningwatch@att.net

1085. Re: FM broadcast station on VHF!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 17:44:02 UTC
From: Den6N <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <u9s438gavptge3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50301

161.76 is used for news gathering purposes, between the station and
news crews. I would think that perhaps it would have something to do
with this, but it was distorted, which means it was probably an image of
a wide FM transmission; this frequency is used in narrow FM, and
the fact that you didn't catch it on another radio seems to back that up.
The IF is probably different on the two radios, so an image on one would
not necessarily show up on the other.

Den6N
Putnam Co NY
==========
"Matt J. McCullar" <mccullar@flash.net> wrote in message
news:ulnn8.12041$Hj.2153926689@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> I pulled a Standard VHF/UHF HT transceiver out of mothballs a couple of
> nights ago so I could get back onto the ham bands. It's also a great
> scanner because it's got more capabilities than my old Bearcat 220 scanner
> (though it can't cover many of the same bands).
>
> Anyway, while just skimming through the frequencies, I picked up music on
> 161.76 MHz. A little distorted, but very enjoyable. Very OLD music, too.
> After listening for a while I found out that it's a commercial FM radio
> station, 89.5 MHz, coming out of Weatherford, TX (about 40 miles west of
> where I live). Funny thing is, I cannot pick up that station on my FM
> receiver in the den! And I can't get this signal on 161.76 on my Bearcat,
> either. I'm guessing that this is an intermod effect, inherent to my
> Standard HT.
>
> I'm no expert on how this works. Any ideas?
>
> Matt J. McCullar, KJ5BA
> Arlington, TX
>
>

1086. Re: On Board Police Video Cameras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 17:48:39 UTC
From: Den6N <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <u9s4bork372963@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50302

I've seen the frequency of 171.805 referenced as one used - it may have been specifically for the NYPD or some other dept, though. I imagine they have a range of frequencies around what's been given here that could be used. Do a search for "wireless mic frequencies" or similar, as I have seen lists on the net of generic frequencies for wireless microphone use in the upper VHF HI area.

Den6N
Putnam Co NY
==========

"Tim" <Nightwatch01@home.com> wrote in message
news:3C02E391.9274D4D7@home.com...
> Nice try (not...), but they're not on continuously. Most are rigged so
> that the VCR only starts recording once the emergency lights are on, and
> the wireless mics are manually turned on by the officer.
>
> Anyway, the RF is usually in the 169-216MHz spectrum.
>
> dj wrote:
> >
> > goto where they stop for coffee and scan them.
> > look in the window or ask to sit'n'see, look for brand names and post or
> > search for what the company says there product can do.
> > Goto where they speed trap alot and scan for the mic or the rx mixer IF
> > oscillator (advanced).
> >
> > John <fltsatcom@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:3bef15e5_1@news.iprimus.com.au...
> > > first get very close to the cop car.............
> > > "Waldo Bassett" <topflight70env@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3bda2b96.13971040@news-east.newscene.com...
> > > > Hey guys help me out. How do you pic up the wireless mics used by
the
> > > > police that work with the incar video camera.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Thanks
> > > > Waldo
> > > >
> > >
> > >

1087. Re: AntennaMast.Com that was advertised in PopComm?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 17:59:46 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C9E1412.3080007@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 50303
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

Recheck that Burr. Its not the antennamast.com site that was failing,
it was the link to their US distributor linke
(http://www.seacove.net/worldmarketing/) that was failing.

Never mind however as I just received an email from antennamast.com,
NEARLY $600 for a 28' mast! WOW I don't think so! 30' mast at
HomeDepot is $44.00......

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

Burr wrote:

> Morning,
> Went right in.
> Check your computer
>
> GeorgeF wrote:
>
>
>>Was browsing through an issue of Popcomm at the bookstore and seen an ad
>>for portable antenna masts. I happen to be very interested in one so
>>I went to their website (www.antennamast.com). I liked what I seen
>>however when I clicked on the US distributor the link was dead. Then I
>>email the people at antennamast.com however that email bounced.
>>
>>I can't believe they pay the money to run an ad in Popcomm but don't
>>exist. Anyone have any info on these people?
>>George
>>http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Freqs and MORE!
>>
>

1088. Re: Pro 2050 question re. trunking in Calgary, Alberta

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 18:12:37 UTC
From: Den6N <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <u9s5oo54ti2b99@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

It sounded at first that you weren't getting the data channel well enough to 'trunk', but the fact that you intermittently get the dashes in place of the frequencies in the display indicates that you are. When the frequencies show in the display and it's in trunk mode, that indicates that you're NOT getting the data signal and trunking, but once the frequencies disappear and are replaced by the dashes, this indicates that you ARE getting the data channel and have started trunking.

I'm not sure what exactly your problem is, but it may have to do with having to program the fleetmap in, or correcting an erroneous fleetmap entry. I trunk
with a PRO-91 handheld - it may be set up a little differently than the 2050, I
don't know. I've seen trunking websites that include not only the frequencies,
but also the fleetmap that has been determined by trial and error by someone.
Could your trunksystem be a hybrid, and you're set up to trunk only a type1 system? Or possibly you're on the fringe of the system's reach, and just not
getting it solidly enough to hold on to it for any length of time? I work for
NYC Transit, and I can hear them great at work on my -91, but I live 40 miles from there, and I can't get anything of the system where I live, with a
PRO-2052 and a roof antenna, because the 800 band just doesn't travel like the lower frequencies. I've heard of some folks getting trunksystems from quite a distance, but I believe you'd have to have a yagi for that band pretty high up to catch it.

The only thing you will get at this time is random active talkgroups by 'search', as the 'scan' function will only do something after you've pro-grammed specific talkgroups into the slots allocated for the 'scan' mode. This is similar to the way you'd program specific frequencies into channels in conventional scanning, so that you only hear the talkgroups you're looking to monitor and not other things you wouldn't want to hear. You can then jump between the two modes as you would jump between scan & search in conventional mode.

Den6N
Putnam Co NY
==========

"Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca> wrote in message
news:Pine.A41.4.05.10111262140310.31292-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca...
>
> I have a copy of the Alberta Frequency List, and in it I have looked
> up the Motorola Type I trunk frequencies for my area. I have
> entered these frequencies into a Radio Shack Pro 2050 Trunk Tracker
> scanner while the scanner was in trunk mode. I put all of these
> frequencies into a single channel in a single bank, in ascending
> order. The frequencies in the book range from 853.4875, 853.7875,
> etc., up to 858.9875 MHz.
>
> There are no sounds coming out of the scanner's speaker when I put
> the scanner into trunk tracking mode and get it to scan this bank.
> If I scan these exact same frequencies in conventional mode, I get
> voices, beeps, and squawks. I have let the scanner "scan" in trunk
> mode for several hours during the day (at the same general times
> as when I tried the same channels in conventional mode) but have
> not heard anything.
>
> Sometimes while in the trunk scanning mode the display goes out and
> a square channel activity indicator goes on, and the frequency
> number is replaced by dashes; but there is still nothing from the
> speaker, and no ID numbers appear. Pushing "SCAN" and "SEARCH" at
> this time do not help.
>
> I set the squelch down to nothing while in trunk scan mode, just as
> the manual said. The Pro 2050 does not have an adjustable search
> step, but is hard wire progammed to track Motorola Types I and II
> systems, as well as hybrid systems. These are systems I am trying
> to track, if they exist in my area. I have not tried to track
> Motorola Type II systems or hybrid systems as of yet, because there
> are already signals happening on the (listed but not to me certain)
> Type I systems here.
>
> There is no-one available at the local ham radio club (which I have
> contacted) who understands trunk tracking scanner programming.
>
> Apparently, trunking is used in Lethbridge, which is a smaller city
> near Calgary; so there is a strong possibility that trunking is used
> in Calgary as well.
>
> I have done some web searches about trunk tracking scanning, but
> they have not yielded the kind of results I need in order to get the
> Pro 2050 up and running in trunk tracking mode. The search results
> just give ads for scanners and explanations of basic principles of
> trunked systems and scanning them.
>
> An additional disadvantage I have is that I have never used a
> trunked system to talk to other trunked radio users.
>
> The scanner works fine in conventional mode (to listen to air

> traffic controllers, etc.).
>
> My questions are these:
>
> 1) How much voice activity (if any) is on Motorola Type I trunked
> systems in Calgary? Are these systems used only for things like gas
> meters (if at all) in this city?
>
> 2) Why can't I get my scanner to work in trunk tracking mode?
>
> Thanks in advance for any help,
>
> Ian Douglas
>

1089. Re: FM broadcast station on VHF!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 18:33:00 UTC
From: John Mullaney <johnster@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <wZon8.38472$44.12938311@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 50272

161.67 is one of several channels used for auxiliary broadcasts for Part 74 users. This can be Remote Radio Broadcasts, Cueing or IFB use, Two-way radio for TV, Radio Stations, Network Entities or Large Cable Systems (for above uses). From what you where hearing my first guess would be a return link or IFB feed but it could possibly the actual broadcast link. RPU transmitters on that Freq will only pass about 50HZ-7 1/2KHZ so typically they are only used for voice remotes but as you get to smaller markets you will hear them doing more over them. In total emergencies they have even been used as back up Studio-Transmitter links.
It varies by where you are in the country but in most places the others VHF ones are:
161.64, 67, 70, 73, 76, There are also some shared ones in the 153. area plus 166.250 and 170.150
On UHF 450.05-455.95 and 455.05-455.95 with telemetry channels on both ends. Local coordination has a lot to do with how the channels are used and now bandwidth is almost completely dependent on what's going on in your area. However many older cities stick to the earlier designated 25, 50, and 100KHz channels just because of coordination with older systems that are already in place.
Here in Boston through coordination 161.64-76 166.25 are used just by radio for remote broadcasts. 450.05-65 and 455.05-65 is used for two-way/traffic with 450.65-95 and 455.65-95 used for remotes.

Well that's probably way more than you wanted to know so I'll stop there. Check put Part74 for more details.

John

John Mullaney
Minuteman Communications http://www.minutemancomm.com

"Den6N" <djmr26@bestweb.net> wrote in message
news:u9s438gavptge3@corp.supernews.com...
> 161.76 is used for news gathering purposes, between the station and
> news crews. I would think that perhaps it would have something to do
> with this, but it was distorted, which means it was probably an image of
> a wide FM transmission; this frequency is used in narrow FM, and
> the fact that you didn't catch it on another radio seems to back that up.
> The IF is probably different on the two radios, so an image on one would
> not necessarily show up on the other.
>
> Den6N
> Putnam Co NY
> ==
> "Matt J. McCullar" <mccullar@flash.net> wrote in message

> news:ulnn8.12041$Hj.2153926689@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> > I pulled a Standard VHF/UHF HT transceiver out of mothballs a couple of
> > nights ago so I could get back onto the ham bands. It's also a great
> > scanner because it's got more capabilities than my old Bearcat 220
scanner
> > (though it can't cover many of the same bands).
> >
> > Anyway, while just skimming through the frequencies, I picked up music
on
> > 161.76 MHz. A little distorted, but very enjoyable. Very OLD music,
too.
> > After listening for a while I found out that it's a commercial FM radio
> > station, 89.5 MHz, coming out of Weatherford, TX (about 40 miles west of
> > where I live). Funny thing is, I cannot pick up that station on my FM
> > receiver in the den! And I can't get this signal on 161.76 on my
Bearcat,
> > either. I'm guessing that this is an intermod effect, inherent to my
> > Standard HT.
> >
> > I'm no expert on how this works. Any ideas?
> >
> > Matt J. McCullar, KJ5BA
> > Arlington, TX
> >
> >
>
>

1090. Re: AntennaMast.Com that was advertised in PopComm?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 18:44:47 UTC
From: CW <clinton.magers@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <z8pn8.120164$uA5.95902@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 50305
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

I just sent them an email. Went fine. They also have a phone number.
"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3C9DCF03.7090706@licensed4fun.com...
> Was browsing through an issue of Popcomm at the bookstore and seen an ad
> for portable antenna masts. I happen to be very interested in one so
> I went to their website (www.antennamast.com). I liked what I seen
> however when I clicked on the US distributor the link was dead. Then I
> email the people at antennamast.com however that email bounced.
>
> I can't believe they pay the money to run an ad in Popcomm but don't
> exist. Anyone have any info on these people?
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Freqs and MORE!
>
>

1091. Re: FM broadcast station on VHF!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 19:04:00 UTC
From: Jim Vecchiola <jimkr2t@magpage.com>
Message-ID: <a7l7v0$d22$0@216.155.62.187>
Source record: 50306

Well, 161.76 is a broadcast aux freq, one that could be used by the FM station in remote pick-up mode, but that doesn't explain why you couldn't pick it up on the BC220. 'course the 220 could be a poor performer! I don't think it's an image freq because you would also pick up some local FM stations in the same range.

Jim KR2T

"Matt J. McCullar" wrote:
>
> I pulled a Standard VHF/UHF HT transceiver out of mothballs a couple of
> nights ago so I could get back onto the ham bands. It's also a great
> scanner because it's got more capabilities than my old Bearcat 220 scanner
> (though it can't cover many of the same bands).
>
> Anyway, while just skimming through the frequencies, I picked up music on
> 161.76 MHz. A little distorted, but very enjoyable. Very OLD music, too.
> After listening for a while I found out that it's a commercial FM radio
> station, 89.5 MHz, coming out of Weatherford, TX (about 40 miles west of
> where I live). Funny thing is, I cannot pick up that station on my FM
> receiver in the den! And I can't get this signal on 161.76 on my Bearcat,
> either. I'm guessing that this is an intermod effect, inherent to my
> Standard HT.
>
> I'm no expert on how this works. Any ideas?
>
> Matt J. McCullar, KJ5BA
> Arlington, TX

1092. Re: On Board Police Video Cameras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 19:49:28 UTC
From: Leonard <louis@prism.netxx>
Message-ID: <27bs9uothiiru0vfp1qtj926jj0a5ota0e@4ax.com>
Source record: 50307

On Tue, 27 Nov 2001 04:10:28 GMT, "BJ" <Unknown@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Trust me... I have reps across 6 states selling in-car video/audio systems.
>As to the range... Most are 50mW and VHF (Kustom Eyewitness / Mobile Vision
>System 7) with a typical distance of 250' (sure, under very good conditions
>sometimes further). The in-car video system we install (Lear CruiseCam)
>comes with a 50mW UHF transmitter that will reach out to 500' (our field
>tests yield transmissions to 650' under various conditions, but that's about
>it). Your right in that it is the published specs., however published specs
>(while conservative) are published for a reason.
>
>Intermittent was misinterpreted. The officer only turns his wireless on
>(manually) only when he needs it (reason- battery drain: 8hrs max).

How long have you been installing the Lear systems? How do they hold
up so far? We are looking at them to install in our 2002 Crown Vics.
We are down to either the Mobile Vision piggy backing on a state
contract or bidding the Lear. We are testing a Lear right now and are
leaning very strongly toward it.

1093. Uniden's Digital Scanner Press Release URL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 20:18:51 UTC
From: ads@athomesafe.com
Message-ID: <3c9e3417.13128921@news.directvinternet.com>
Source record: 50308

Press release from January 8th, 2002 about the new Uniden Digital Scanner. Not much info. You'll need Adobe Acrobat to read it.

http://www.uniden.com/press/2-20-02_CES_Scanner_Release.pdf

1094. Realistic Pro-39 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 20:46:28 UTC
From: tonycor1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020324154628.05369.00000608@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 50309

Realistic Pro-39 - handheld 200 ch. scanner for sale. Cell ready. Includes power adapter. Excellent condition. $150 obo.

Email if interested: tonycor1@aol.com

1095. Re: AntennaMast.Com that was advertised in PopComm?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 21:08:52 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <0frn8.737$vl3.863613@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 50310
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

George,

I'm sure AntennaWarehouse will sell you a 10 foot section of mast and
say it will reach 30 feet.

In article <3C9E1412.3080007@licensed4fun.com>,
av8tor@licensed4fun.com says...
> Recheck that Burr. Its not the antennamast.com site that was failing,
> it was the link to their US distributor linke
> (http://www.seacove.net/worldmarketing/) that was failing.
>
> Never mind however as I just received an email from antennamast.com,
> NEARLY $600 for a 28' mast! WOW I don't think so! 30' mast at
> HomeDepot is $44.00......
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com
>
>
>
>
> Burr wrote:
>
> > Morning,
> > Went right in.
> > Check your computer
> >
> > GeorgeF wrote:
> >
> >
> >>Was browsing through an issue of Popcomm at the bookstore and seen an ad
> >>for portable antenna masts. I happen to be very interested in one so
> >>I went to their website (www.antennamast.com). I liked what I seen
> >>however when I clicked on the US distributor the link was dead. Then I
> >>email the people at antennamast.com however that email bounced.
> >>
> >>I can't believe they pay the money to run an ad in Popcomm but don't
> >>exist. Anyone have any info on these people?
> >>George
> >>http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Freqs and MORE!
> >>
> >
>
>

>

1096. Re: Avalanche Beacon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 21:32:55 UTC
From: badger88778@yahoo.co.uk
Message-ID: <8ff798f3.0203241332.106195f7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50311
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Devin" <a@b.c> wrote in message news:<Uf8n8.346008$A44.19572705@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>...
> wasn't that last year ? Didn't he ask us all for info for a lawyer and such.
> I am not mad. I think it is funny and stupid. it is getting old though.
>
> "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:V08n8.424$vI3.514854@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > Chill out, guys, Emilio is a writer of poor fiction. I hesitate to even
> > call him a troll, his stories are so-o-o bogus. Although his name used to
> > be Emilio Goldstein (with a "D"), so this may be a copycat. (The email
> > "address" matches, though.) When last heard from, he was living in a
> large
> > city (New York?) and got beat up by a dept. store security guard when the
> > audio on his scanner tipped of a shoplifter. His stuff used to be fairly
> > entertaining, but they've gotten pretty lame lately.
> >
> > Mark
> >
> > "Devin" <a@b.c> wrote in message
> > news:kWWm8.195399$kb.10913599@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> > > ahh a trool or some sick freak. I hope you get caught. Remember. You
> just
> > > stated that you did this. So when the tax payers, rescue team and the
> police
> > > want to find out who did this. atleast we all have proof it was a
> crackhead
> > > like you. lol. Hope you rot in jail for this. Giving US scanner and
> amateur
> > > radio ops a bad name. No wonder everyone is going to digital or hard to
> > > crack encryted voice. It is due to losers like you. Ya troll. get lost.
> > >
> > > Dev
> > >
> > >
> > > "Emilio Golstein" <egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
> > > news:sMVm8.107403$uA5.88094@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> > > > Well I live in a small skiing town, and I heard on the scanner that
> this
> > > > local guy named Ed got caught up in an avalanche. I listened as the
> search
> > > > and rescuers went up there to save his life. How dramatic! Thank god
> he
> > > > had his avalanche beacon turned on because rescuers were able to find
> him.

> > > > That beacon broadcasts for two miles! Unfortunately he was dead. I
> went
> to
> > > > the funeral because he was a friend of mine, and when no one was
> looking
> I
> > > > slipped a brand new avalanche beacon into his casket. Now when skiers
> drive
> > > > out of town they go past the graveyard, and many slow down because
> they
> pick
> > > > up the signal. They think it is the ghost of Ed and it is spooky.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >
yes, I do remember the story of him 'being beaten up'
well as far as I am concerned he can go on posting, as long as they are
interesting, witty & amusing - and occasional. Nice story about the grave,
well I beleived it !

1097. Bearcat BC220XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 21:46:37 UTC
From: DA <da_3995@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <a7lhg9dtb1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50312

Anyone know where I can download an owners manual or operating instructions for a Uniden/Bearcat BC220XLT scanner. Thanks in advance for any help anyone can give.
DA

1098. yupiteru mvt-7300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 23:11:16 UTC
From: Ricky <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <a7lmej$ag1$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 50313

Does anyone have any comments either positive or negative about this radio?
what's it like compared to the mvt-7100 in terms of problems with
birdies/internally generated noise?

R

1099. INFO:value regency mx-7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 23:17:51 UTC
From: indyham <k9dzdd@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <y8tn8.106891$af7.57473@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 50314

im looking for a value foe a very nice
Regency MX-7000 scanner

monte k9dzdd@insightbb.com

1100. Re: FM broadcast station on VHF!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-24 23:36:58 UTC
From: Russelltodd@istoreplus.net
Message-ID: <d6c7c304.0203241536.6ec06a19@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50315

Jim Vecchiola <jimkr2t@magpage.com> wrote in message news:<a7l7v0$d22$0@216.155.62.187>...
> Well, 161.76 is a broadcast aux freq, one that could be used by the FM
> station in remote pick-up mode, but that doesn't explain why you
> couldn't pick it up on the BC220. 'course the 220 could be a poor
> performer! I don't think it's an image freq because you would also pick
> up some local FM stations in the same range.
>
I'm a Chief Engineer at a group of stations in the Northeast and on
some occassions we've used our BA Broadcasts Auxilary frequencies as
an STL Studio Transmitter Link when or regular STL or phone lines were
out of order. 161.76 is a BA freq and it sounds like they are using
it for a situation like this. There are a couple of places you'll
find Broadcast Aux transmissions. Try searching 25.870 to (I'm doing
this from memory so I might be off a little, its been a while) to
26.400 in NFM. TV stations use this as IFB (talk to on the scene
reporters) in many markets or they use it in WFM to send audio to a
source. Search 450mhz to 451 and 455-456mhz in nfm for the same
thing. Lot of remotes, station to jock/reporter, traffic reports and
on the scene stuff here. If you have the ability, 948-955 WFM has a
lot of broadcast STL's but you've got to be in the path of the signal
to hear it. Again, I may be off by a freq or two, its been years
since I've looked at this stuff, but its there if you want to listen
to it. I like to listen to the Metro/Shadow traffic reports from the
Helicopters here. Actually I like to listen to what the reporters are
ssaying off the air, it far more entertaining.

By the way, we threw our Bearcat scanners out the window years ago.
Horrible performance. My news departments raised hell about all the
stuff they were missing. Maybe they've improved now, but you couldn't
prove it by me.

Good luck.

1101. Re: HELP 900Mhz pone codes loser who can't spell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 00:04:29 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <MQtn8.59$Zx1.157705@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 50316

I'm sorry if I was harsh. I see this a lot and it bugs me sometimes.

"Rob Taylor" <fireboy001@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:PQ9n8.716$JN1.108703@news.uswest.net...
> Here's something I don't get. We all have scanners. What do you do with a
> scanner? Why don't you sit, like I have, and find them yourself? I have a
> lot of cordless phone frequencies. Because I found them myself. And they
are
> mine. I don't work for you.
>
> I hate lazy people who post here first before doing their own homework,
like
> reading their own manual and finding the most basic frequencies. Get a
clue.
> I actually know of a GOVERNMENT website that has all that info your
looking
> for. Their called the FCC. I've gotten a lot from there. Now quit
bothering
> us with dumb questions and do your own work for a change.
>
>
> "Karen Williams" <kanesgirl@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:qQSm8.7012$Tk.385214@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Does anyone know where to find codes for the cordless phones
Specifically
> > UNIDEN phones...
> > I can find them for almost every other brand of phone but Uniden.
> > Any Help??? PLEASE...
> >
> > If possible reply to me personally not on the group.
> >
> > my email is
> > kanesgirl@earthlink.net
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> > --
> > Karen...
> >
> > http://karenswrestlingpix.tripod.com
> >
> >
>
>

1102. Re: Pro 92, What's it worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 00:14:08 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3c9e6de3$0$35575$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 50317

I spend as much time scanning business comms as
public safety.

LTR trunking is used here (in IL) and is growing
more popular among businesses on the 450 - 490 MHz band. Many of the local
businesses in my community use a 900 MHz LTR repeater.

The police in the town closest to me use DCS and DCS is quite
popular with business communications.

On Fri, 22 Mar 2002 22:46:24 -0600, VHFRadioBuff wrote:

> Dunno about the 1st 2 - PL tones - something I have no use for (and who
> really uses DCS? a few agencies in the whole country?), 500 channels?! I
> can barely fill half of the ones in the 2053 I have. What's LTR
> trunking? Does anyone use it?

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

1103. Snohomish Co, Wa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 00:36:09 UTC
From: humptydumpty <humptydumpty@where.com>
Message-ID: <3c9e7241$1_4@news.meganetnews.com>
Source record: 50319

Anybody know what type of trunked system will be in place this summer?
Analog? Digital? ???

1104. Re: On Board Police Video Cameras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 00:36:13 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3c9e70d4$0$1410$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 50318

I can hear the 170.245 MHz wireless mike transmitter from
squad cars about 1,000 ft away from my home when I use an
outdoor antenna.

I scan these wireless mike frequencies:

169.345, 169.445, 169.505, 169.545, 170.245, 170.305, 170.345,
170.445, 171.045, 171.105, 171.845, 171.905, 173.225, 173.275,
173.325, 173.375, 175.4, 176.425, 177.65, 178.225, 179.2, 181.4,
181.85, 182.55, 184.025, 185.125, 187.8, 188.2, 189.325, 190.075,
190.6, 191.3, 192.325, 193.825, 194.4, 195.425, 195.972, 196.8
--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

1105. Re: Snohomish Co, Wa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 00:48:52 UTC
From: "b.baldwin" <b.baldwin@webmanixhosting.com>
Message-ID: <u9ssvlfsgdms15@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50320

I believe they are going to be analog

--

Brian Baldwin
Webmanix Hosting
Security/Abuse Administrator

"humptydumpty" <humptydumpty@where.com> wrote in message
news:3c9e7241$1_4@news.meganetnews.com...
> Anybody know what type of trunked system will be in place this summer?
> Analog? Digital? ???
>
>

1106. Re: INFO:value regency mx-7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 01:15:32 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@1stconnect.com>
Message-ID: <kOun8.15137$To6.4821450@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50321

> I'm looking for a value foe a very nice
> Regency MX-7000 scanner

I have that rig. Although it is only a 20 channel scanner, it is un-blocked which makes it quite valuable. I would look for at least $200 for it.

Roland

1107. BC895xlt Mod ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 01:33:39 UTC
From: Paul Gour <paul.gour@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3C9E7E73.EE991091@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 50323

Can someone help me find the cell mod for the bearcat 895xlt.

Thanks for the help guys!

Paul!

1108. Re: Snohomish Co, Wa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 01:38:30 UTC
From: humptydumpty <humptydumpty@where.com>
Message-ID: <3c9e7f3f$1_1@news.meganetnews.com>
Source record: 50322
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

I have the King Co info but don't know yet if i'll be able to t/t Sno Co's new system. New freq's weren't listed in the 2002 police call.

"b.baldwin" wrote in message news:u9ssvlfsgdms15@corp.supernews.com...
> I believe they are going to be analog
>
> --
> ---
> Brian Baldwin
> Webmanix Hosting
> Security/Abuse Administrator
>
>
> "humptydumpty" wrote in message
> news:3c9e7241$1_4@news.meganetnews.com...
> > Anybody know what type of trunked system will be in place this summer?
> > Analog? Digital? ???
> >
> >
>
>

1109. Re: BC895xlt Mod ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 02:00:57 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <txvn8.12336$k5.4579345@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50324

It does not exist.

Bill Crocker

"Paul Gour" <paul.gour@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:3C9E7E73.EE991091@sympatico.ca...
> Can someone help me find the cell mod for the bearcat 895xlt.
>
> Thanks for the help guys!
>
> Paul!
>
>

1110. Re: BC895xlt Mod ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 02:13:49 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <xJvn8.153822$eb.7760869@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 50325

where in canada are you paul ?

almost all new uniden and radioshack models are not modable. Should of looked elsewhere before going to canada radioshack. What is there to listen to in the cell band anyways. it is boring. Very lame if you don't know the person. and more boring.

"Paul Gour" <paul.gour@sympatico.ca> wrote in message news:3C9E7E73.EE991091@sympatico.ca...
> Can someone help me find the cell mod for the bearcat 895xlt.
>
> Thanks for the help guys!
>
> Paul!
>

1111. Re: Bearcat BC220XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 02:42:39 UTC
From: Dalan <nobody@spamcop.net>
Message-ID: <012t9u05ghac8dej48o48rmnrnpcj76tkq@4ax.com>
Source record: 50326

On Sun, 24 Mar 2002 16:46:37 -0500, "DA" <da_3995@mindspring.com>
wrote:

>Anyone know where I can download an owners manual or operating instructions
>for a Uniden/Bearcat BC220XLT scanner. Thanks in advance for any help anyone
>can give.
>DA

The most obvious place is www.uniden.com. Look in the support area.

D.

1112. Thanks for being a DICK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 02:54:42 UTC
From: Karen Williams <kanesgirl@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Sjwn8.3319$ub3.1548@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 50327

Wow I ask a simple f**king question... because I simply didn't know and get totally screamed at...

But on another note I will not be coming back to this LIST...
But god have some fucking respect for the people that are new and don't expect that someone that asks a questions asks it to be an asshole...

Thanks to the guy who did help I am looking into searching for them thanks for the starting points.

--
Karen...

http://karenswrestlingpix.tripod.com

1113. Re: Thanks for being a DICK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 03:03:06 UTC
From: Alexander McNaughton <treehug3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <jrwn8.2234$ra.343235@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 50328

don't hit your ass on the way out

Karen Williams <kanesgirl@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:Sjwn8.3319$ub3.1548@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Wow I ask a simple f**king question... because I simply didn't know and
get
> totally screamed at...
>
> But on another note I will not be coming back to this LIST...
> But god have some fucking respect for the people that are new and don't
> expect that someone that asks a questions asks it to be an asshole...
>
> Thanks to the guy who did help I am looking into searching for them thanks
> for the starting points.
>
>
> --
> Karen...
>
> http://karenswrestlingpix.tripod.com
>
>

1114. PDA/Scanner Programmer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 03:53:14 UTC
From: Eric Ferguson <GEFERG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Kaxn8.5303$mH5.1009354864@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50329

Has anybody come up with a way to program a programmable scanner from a Sony Clie, or Palm IIIxe, IIIc, etc.? With the new memory sticks and such, it would be great to travel about and be able to reprogram a scanner as needed with out a laptop. Any ideas, anyone?

1115. PRO-2005: Flickering Display after Replacement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 04:24:11 UTC
From: John LeMay <johnlemay@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a7m8oe$mglni$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50330

Hi all,

Since replacing the El display in my 2005, I've noticed the display flickers an awful lot. This was the first (and only) display swap I've done. I'm thinking one (or both) of the EL leads may not have a good contact to the board.

Has anyone else seen this in these displays? Am I on the right track? Thought I'd ask before I pull it apart again.

thanks!

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Note the email address used for posting is not valid.

Sent with PAN 0.11.2.90 running on Mandrake Linux 8.1

1116. Re: Anyone own a Pro-2053 AND an Icom R-2?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 04:25:39 UTC
From: "(Will Blaylock) see tag below for email" <user@spamfree.org>
Message-ID: <5d9t9uoha9jgecffsnh7d2ikun9e2b52b4@4ax.com>
Source record: 50331

"Jim Hackett" <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net> wrote:

>I was just wondering if one or the others cable will work with BOTH units.
>The R-2 uses a stereo plug and the 2053 uses a mono plug. Has anyone tried
>this?

A Pro 93 and Icom R2 seem to work with each others cables most of the
time with appropriate adapters.

one or two pieces of software has given me weird grief (I cant
remember which at the moment but the ones I have ended up using
'win93' and rt systems 'icom r2 cloning software' seem to work ok a
majority of the time). I suspect most of the current issue sI have
are windows related because they only fail to work on each others
cables when I have used my serial port to talk to 1) kodak dc215
digital camera 2)an old serial photo port scanner or 3)an old mp3
player...

KEEP **OUR** internet FREE from ALL censorship.

W. A. Blaylock
http://www.xmission.com/~wblalok
wblalok at xmission dot com
p.o. box 526092 Salt Lake, UT 84152

1117. Re: AntennaMast.Com that was advertised in PopComm?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 04:27:35 UTC
From: John LeMay <johnlemay@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a7m8up$mbqo0$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50332
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

On Sun, 24 Mar 2002 08:05:07 -0500, GeorgeF wrote:

> Was browsing through an issue of Popcomm at the bookstore and seen an ad
> for portable antenna masts. I happen to be very interested in one so
> I went to their website (www.antennamast.com). I liked what I seen
> however when I clicked on the US distributor the link was dead. Then I
> email the people at antennamast.com however that email bounced.
>
> I can't believe they pay the money to run an ad in Popcomm but don't
> exist. Anyone have any info on these people? George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Freqs and MORE!

Judging by the prices of parts below, the awful graphics on the front
page of the site, the overall amateur feel of the site in general, and
the misspellings on the site, I don't think I'll waste much time browsing
there.

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Note the email address used for posting is not valid.

Sent with PAN 0.11.2.90 running on Mandrake Linux 8.1

1118. drive true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 04:40:54 UTC
From: bule_light@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3343-3C9EAA56-398@storefull-2374.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 50335

hello i like to listen to fast food places like Mc danalds and stuff 457 range and 30-35 range like 31.160 jack in the box by me but outer ones in 457.512 i heard Mc Danalds 2 of them in like 8 mils but also a taco bell on the same frequency 31.160 also starbucks in my town hay its fun sometimes

1119. Re: Anyone own a Pro-2053 AND an Icom R-2?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 04:42:38 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <2Vxn8.3587$ub3.3558@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 50333

I have found with both the r2 and the 2053, that's it's MUCH safer to
download from the radio, make your changes, then upload back to radio.
Just loading the data file then making changes and dumping to radio has not
been a pleasant experience ALL the time.
"(Will Blaylock) see tag below for email" <user@spamfree.org> wrote in
message news:5d9t9uoha9jgecffsnh7d2ikun9e2b52b4@4ax.com...
> "Jim Hackett" <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> >I was just wondering if one or the others cable will work with BOTH
units.
> >The R-2 uses a stereo plug and the 2053 uses a mono plug. Has anyone
tried
> >this?
>
> A Pro 93 and Icom R2 seem to work with each others cables most of the
> time with appropriate adapters.
>
> one or two pieces of software has given me weird grief (I cant
> remember which at the moment but the ones I have ended up using
> 'win93' and rt systems 'icom r2 cloning software' seem to work ok a
> majority of the time). I suspect most of the current issue sI have
> are windows related because they only fail to work on each others
> cables when I have used my serial port to talk to 1) kodak dc215
> digital camera 2)an old serial photo port scanner or 3)an old mp3
> player...
>
> KEEP **OUR** internet FREE from ALL censorship.
>
> W. A. Blaylock
> http://www.xmission.com/~wblalok
> wblalok at xmission dot com
> p.o. box 526092 Salt Lake, UT 84152
>

1120. Re: PRO-2005: Flickering Display after Replacement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 04:44:23 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20020324234423.00386.00000734@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 50334

Probably a long shot but worth looking in to. I purchased a 2004 at an estate sale once and it had been in storage over 10 years. When I got it home it had no backlight activity at all. About 6 months later and many frustrated flips of the backlight switch it came alive like a new display. You might try some contact cleaner on the switch, it's a cheap effort.

Rob Mills ~~~

1121. Re: HELP 900Mhz pone codes loser who can't spell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 05:46:43 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <WJyn8.862$vl3.1010886@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 50336

I take it you were never a newbie who didn't know all the proper terms, and never needed help?

Mark

"Those of you who think you know it all seriously annoy the hell out of those of us who actually do."
(Don't know who said it but I've always liked it.)

"Rob Taylor" <fireboy001@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:PQ9n8.716$JN1.108703@news.uswest.net...
> Here's something I don't get. We all have scanners. What do you do with a
> scanner? Why don't you sit, like I have, and find them yourself? I have a
> lot of cordless phone frequencies. Because I found them myself. And they are
> mine. I don't work for you.
>
> I hate lazy people who post here first before doing their own homework, like
> reading their own manual and finding the most basic frequencies. Get a clue.
> I actually know of a GOVERNMENT website that has all that info your looking
> for. Their called the FCC. I've gotten a lot from there. Now quit bothering
> us with dumb questions and do your own work for a change.
>
>
> "Karen Williams" <kanesgirl@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:qQSm8.7012$Tk.385214@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Does anyone know where to find codes for the cordless phones Specifically
> > UNIDEN phones...
> > I can find them for almost every other brand of phone but Uniden.
> > Any Help??? PLEASE...
> >
> > If possible reply to me personally not on the group.
> >
> > my email is
> > kanesgirl@earthlink.net
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> > --
> > Karen...

> >
> > http://karenswrestlingpix.tripod.com
> >
> >
>
>

1122. Re: drive true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 10:45:35 UTC
From: "\"Q\"" <trevise@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <jdDn8.222578$s43.51626879@typhoon.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 50337

wow...you must be REAL lonely...or bored...

<bule_light@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:3343-3C9EAA56-398@storefull-2374.public.lawson.webtv.net...
hello i like to listen to fast food places like Mc danalds and stuff 457
range and 30-35 range like 31.160 jack in the box by me but outer ones
in 457.512 i heard Mc Danalds 2 of them in like 8 mils but also a
taco bell on the same frequency 31.160 also starbucks in my town hay its
fun sometimes

1123. Re: Thanks for being a DICK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 12:18:51 UTC
From: Mille18 <mille18@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <LAEn8.38994$44.13464412@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 50268

Tell ya what: Let's wrestle. If I lose, I'll tell ya what ya wanna know. If I win, you'll get the hell outta here.

"Karen Williams" <kanesgirl@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:Sjwn8.3319$ub3.1548@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Wow I ask a simple f**king question... because I simply didn't know and get
> totally screamed at...
>
> But on another note I will not be coming back to this LIST...
> But god have some fucking respect for the people that are new and don't
> expect that someone that asks a questions asks it to be an asshole...
>
> Thanks to the guy who did help I am looking into searching for them thanks
> for the starting points.
>
>
> --
> Karen...
>
> http://karenswrestlingpix.tripod.com
>
>

1124. Re: Bearcat BC220XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 12:37:32 UTC
From: DA <da_3995@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <a7n5mvmdt1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50338

Already tried it, not there.
Thanks
"Dalan" <nobody@spamcop.net> wrote in message
news:012t9u05ghac8dej48o48rmnrnpcj76tkq@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 24 Mar 2002 16:46:37 -0500, "DA" <da_3995@mindspring.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Anyone know where I can download an owners manual or operating
instructions
> >for a Uniden/Bearcat BC220XLT scanner. Thanks in advance for any help
anyone
> >can give.
> >DA
>
> The most obvious place is www.uniden.com. Look in the support area.
>
> D.
>
>
>

1125. Re: AntennaMast.Com that was advertised in PopComm?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 12:52:51 UTC
From: cryppie <nitr0man@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u9u7dclhev3c90@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50339
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

Hey GeorgeF, you forgot:
http://www.licensed4fun.com/
http://home.flash.net/~av8tor/webeng/
http://www.topsecretbooks.com/

Pretty lame way to advertise GeorgeF, or should I call you G.W.Fetter...
You should go ahead and advertise your web services too, Fetter, since your
work is so great lol. Try spell check on your site next time before you
spam it. Scamming people to get them to go to your website is not a good
way to start a business Fetter, unless your scamming them with your product
also (wouldn't be surprised).

cryppie

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3C9DCF03.7090706@licensed4fun.com...
> Was browsing through an issue of Popcomm at the bookstore and seen an ad
> for portable antenna masts. I happen to be very interested in one so
> I went to their website (www.antennamast.com). I liked what I seen
> however when I clicked on the US distributor the link was dead. Then I
> email the people at antennamast.com however that email bounced.
>
> I can't believe they pay the money to run an ad in Popcomm but don't
> exist. Anyone have any info on these people?
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Freqs and MORE!
>
>

1126. Re: Thanks for being a DICK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 13:00:24 UTC
From: Evil TONY <apschebenNOSPAM@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <u9u7rfrmutkd1d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50340

I agree with Karen See ya all...You may not recognize the name but screw you all!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Karen Williams" <kanesgirl@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:Sjwn8.3319$ub3.1548@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Wow I ask a simple f**king question... because I simply didn't know and get
> totally screamed at...
>
> But on another note I will not be coming back to this LIST...
> But god have some fucking respect for the people that are new and don't
> expect that someone that asks a questions asks it to be an asshole...
>
> Thanks to the guy who did help I am looking into searching for them thanks
> for the starting points.
>
>
> --
> Karen...
>
> http://karenswrestlingpix.tripod.com
>
>

1127. Speech inversion descrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 13:25:56 UTC
From: ctpds49@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020325082556.21809.00000006@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 50341

Voice Frequency Inversion

Speech Inversion is accomplished by mixing the speech spectrum (typically 300-3000 Hz) with a tone (commonly 3500 Hz) to produce some audio frequencies (3800-6500 Hz) then filtering to 3200-500 Hz constituting the speech inversion

members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html.

1128. Re: Voice Frequency Inversion descrabling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 13:33:51 UTC
From: cryppie <nitr0man@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u9u9qmbc36pre5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50342
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Or don't buy anything and use your soundblaster soundcard with environment control (most of them). Took me 20 minutes to crack it. Email for details.

cryppie

"CTPDS49" <ctpds49@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020325082522.21809.00000005@mb-ch.aol.com...
> Voice Frequency Inversion
>
> Speech Inversion is accomplished by mixing the speech spectrum
> (typically 300-3000 Hz) with a tone (commonly 3500 Hz) to produce some
> audio frequencies (3800-6500 Hz) then filtering to 3200-500 Hz
> constituting the speech inversion
>
> members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html.

1129. Re: Bearcat BC220XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 14:12:36 UTC
From: Dalan <nobody@spamcop.net>
Message-ID: <i0cu9ucfecfct8kti1dl1hnlk9m6ue5u3e@4ax.com>
Source record: 50343

On Mon, 25 Mar 2002 07:37:32 -0500, "DA" <da_3995@mindspring.com>
wrote:

>Already tried it, not there.
>Thanks

http://www.uniden.com/productsupport.cfm?product=BC200XLT It's there
when I look.

D

1130. Re: Bearcat BC220XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 14:16:52 UTC
From: Dalan <nobody@spamcop.net>
Message-ID: <68cu9u8ak4unhtdrmflj3uls3unlfa54f2@4ax.com>
Source record: 50344

On Mon, 25 Mar 2002 14:12:36 GMT, Dalan <nobody@spamcop.net> wrote:

On a follow up. Although the model number is not the same, the manual
for the 200, 220, and 230 are pretty much the same.

>On Mon, 25 Mar 2002 07:37:32 -0500, "DA" <da_3995@mindspring.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Already tried it, not there.
>>Thanks
>
>http://www.uniden.com/productsupport.cfm?product=BC200XLT It's there
>when I look.
>
>
>D

1131. looking for an aor 8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 14:41:48 UTC
From: john smith <see@dog.run>
Message-ID: <u9uf1dlbd62e2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50345

anyone have an unblocked 8200 that they are looking to sell? email me at armax66SPAM@yahoo.com. (remove "SPAM" to email) thanks!

1132. Massachusetts Live Scanner Streams Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 15:33:12 UTC
From: John Mullaney <johnster@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <YqHn8.39037$44.13573412@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 50270
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Mass Live Feeds

Boston Area:

ScanBoston WM Visual Scanner:

http://www.scanboston.com/bosscan.asx

(Video portion requires high speed connection)

ScanBoston Real Audio:

http://www.scanboston.com/live.ram

(Same scanner as above with 18K real audio feed)

Mass State Police Boston Area Audio:

http://www.live365.com/cgi-bin/directory.cgi?autostart=mspah

(Live 365 stereo feed MSP A&H left. F, Massport, Logan Fire, Bapern3 right)

Metro Boston Area Fire Departments:

http://www.live365.com/cgi-bin/directory.cgi?autostart=tede911

(Live365 stream of 80 Boston Area Fire Departments from Ted Pendercast)

Boston Fire

http://www.thebravest.com

Cape Cod:

ScanCapeCod WM Visual Scanner:
http://www.scancapecod.net/scancapecod.asx

(Video portion requires high speed connection)

Cape Cod Fire Departments:

http://home.attbi.com/~n1riw/ccfdlive.asx

Tim Caldwell's Windows Media Stereo feed of Cape Cod Fire Departments

Central Mass:

Worcester Area Scanner
http://web.njctech.com:8080/

Live Windows Media audio stream of Worcester Trunk, WPI PD and C troop

Western Mass:
Springfield PD
http://www.masslive.com/police/
Mass Live

http://www.scanboston.com for more info on all of the above

1133. Re: BC895xlt Mod ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 15:49:59 UTC
From: Paul Gour <paul.gour@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3C9F4727.AD2E92A8@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 50247

I'm in the ottawa area!

Devin wrote:

> where in canada are you paul ?
>
> almost all new uniden and radioshack models are not modable. Should of
> looked elsewhere before going to canada radioshack. What is there to listen
> to in the cell band anyways. it is boring. Very lame if you don't know the
> person. and more boring.
>
> "Paul Gour" <paul.gour@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
> news:3C9E7E73.EE991091@sympatico.ca...
> > Can someone help me find the cell mod for the bearcat 895xlt.
> >
> > Thanks for the help guys!
> >
> > Paul!
> >

1134. Massachusetts Live Audio Scanner Streams Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 15:51:51 UTC
From: John Mullaney <webmaster@scanboston.com>
Message-ID: <rIHn8.39048$44.13583570@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 50271

Mass Live Feeds

Boston Area:
ScanBoston WM Visual Scanner:
http://www.scanboston.com/bosscan.asx
(Video portion requires high speed connection)

ScanBoston Real Audio:
http://www.scanboston.com/live.ram
(Same scanner as above with 18K real audio feed)

Mass State Police Boston Area Audio:
http://www.live365.com/cgi-bin/directory.cgi?autostart=mspah
(Live 365 stereo feed MSP A&H left. F, Massport, Logan Fire, Bapern3 right)

Metro Boston Area Fire Departments:
http://www.live365.com/cgi-bin/directory.cgi?autostart=tede911
(Live365 stream of 80 Boston Area Fire Departments from Ted Pendercast)

Boston Fire
http://www.thebravest.com

Cape Cod:
ScanCapeCod WM Visual Scanner:
http://www.scancapecod.net/scancapecod.asx
(Video portion requires high speed connection)

Cape Cod Fire Departments:
http://home.attbi.com/~n1riw/ccfdlive.asx
Tim Caldwell's Windows Media Stereo feed of Cape Cod Fire Departments

Central Mass:
Worcester Area Scanner
http://web.njctech.com:8080/
Live Windows Media audio stream of Worcester Trunk, WPI PD and C troop

Western Mass:
Springfield PD
http://www.masslive.com/police/
Mass Live

http://www.scanboston.com for more info on all of the above

1135. Re: FM broadcast station on VHF!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 15:55:22 UTC
From: randy <bearcat@astound.net>
Message-ID: <3C9F486A.8070401@astound.net>
Source record: 50346

FHS wrote:

> "Matt J. McCullar" wrote:
>
>
>>I pulled a Standard VHF/UHF HT transceiver out of mothballs a couple
>>of nights ago so I could get back onto the ham bands. It's also a great
>>scanner because it's got more capabilities than my old Bearcat 220
>>scanner (though it can't cover many of the same bands).
>>
>>Anyway, while just skimming through the frequencies, I picked up music
>>on 161.76 MHz. A little distorted, but very enjoyable. Very OLD music, too.
>>After listening for a while I found out that it's a commercial FM radio
>>station, 89.5 MHz, coming out of Weatherford, TX (about 40 miles west
>>of where I live). Funny thing is, I cannot pick up that station on my FM
>>receiver in the den! And I can't get this signal on 161.76 on my Bearcat,
>>either. I'm guessing that this is an intermod effect, inherent to my
>>Standard HT.
>>
>>I'm no expert on how this works. Any ideas?
>>
>>Matt J. McCullar, KJ5BA
>>Arlington, TX
>>
>
>
> Welcome to the KZEE 1220 and KYQX 89.5 Web Site!
> http://www.kzee.com/
>
>
>
> By tuning your scanner to 161.76 Mhz. you are in violation
> of Title 18 USC subpart 2510, as ammended by the ECPA of 1986
>
> Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986 (ECPA)
>
> Public Law: 99-508 (10/21/86)
> H.R.4952 SPONSOR: Rep Kastenmeier (introduced 06/05/86)
> H.R.3378 SPONSOR: Rep Kastenmeier (introduced 09/19/85)
> S.1667 SPONSOR: Sen Leahy (introduced 09/19/85)
>
>
> The Electronic Communications Privacy Act (ECPA) of 1986 was adopted to address
> the legal privacy issues that were evolving with the growing use of computers

> and other new innovations in electronic communications.
>
> The ECPA updated legislation passed in 1968 that had been designed to clarify
> what constitutes invasion of privacy when electronic surveillance is involved.
>
> President Reagan signed the Electronics Communication Privacy Act into law on
> October 21, 1986. The ECPA was designed to expand Title III privacy protection
> to apply to radio paging devices, electronic mail, cellular telephones, private
> communication carriers, and computer transmissions
>
> The ECPA was originally endorsed by the ACLU and promoted to protect civil liberties.
>
> http://www.digitalcentury.com/encyclo/update/ecpa.html#Background
>
>
>
> United States Code TITLE 18 - CRIMES AND CRIMINAL PROCEDURE
> PART I - CRIMES
> CHAPTER 119 - WIRE AND ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
> INTERCEPTION AND INTERCEPTION OF ORAL COMMUNICATIONS
>
> (16) ''readily accessible to the general public'' means, with
> respect to a radio communication, that such communication is not:
>
>
> (E) transmitted on frequencies allocated under part 25,
> subpart D, E, or F of part 74, or part 94 of the Rules of the
> Federal Communications Commission, unless, in the case of a
> communication transmitted on a frequency allocated under part
> 74 that is not exclusively allocated to broadcast auxiliary services,
> the communication is a two-way voice communication by radio;
>
> http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/18/2510.html
>
>
> PART 74--EXPERIMENTAL RADIO, AUXILIARY, SPECIAL BROADCAST AND
> OTHER PROGRAM DISTRIBUTIONAL SERVICES
>
> Subpart D--Remote Pickup Broadcast Stations. Sec. 74.402 Frequency assignment.
> http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/get-cfr.cgi?TITLE=47&PART=74&SECTION=402&YEAR=20
00&TYPE=TEXT
>
> Group K 161.645 ; 161.675 ; 161.70; 161.73; 161.76.
>
>
>
> Subpart E--Aural Broadcast Auxiliary Stations. Sec. 74.502 Frequency assignment.
> http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/get-cfr.cgi?TITLE=47&PART=74&SECTION=502&YEAR=20
00&TYPE=TEXT
>

>
> Subpart F--Television Broadcast Auxiliary Stations. Sec. 74.602 Frequency assignment.
> http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/get-cfr.cgi?TITLE=47&PART=74&SECTION=602&YEAR=2000&TYPE=TEXT
>

Is this supposed to scare us! Pay attention to the post. He was skimming
(scanning) the frequencies. He did not say he tuned to your station.

1136. Re: Bearcat BC220XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 16:25:15 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <mlVIURBr90n8EwW5@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 50248

In article <a7n5mvmdt1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>, DA
<da_3995@mindspring.com> writes

>Already tried it, not there.

>"Dalan" <nobody@spamcop.net> wrote in message
>news:012t9u05ghac8dej48o48rmnrnpcj76tkq@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 24 Mar 2002 16:46:37 -0500, "DA" <da_3995@mindspring.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Anyone know where I can download an owners manual or operating
>instructions
>> >for a Uniden/Bearcat BC220XLT scanner. Thanks in advance for any help
>anyone
>> >can give.
>> >DA
>>
>> The most obvious place is www.uniden.com. Look in the support area.

For whatever reason the 220/230XLT manual is not online however the
120XLT manual is and short of the extra coverage above 512MHz the two
are the same. Use that one, you should be OK.

http://www.uniden.com/pdf/BC120XLTom.pdf

--
Cheers,
JC
Javiation - Scanners & receivers from all the leading manufacturers
01274 639503
http://www.javiation.co.uk

1137. FS:HT1000 Motorola UHF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 18:32:55 UTC
From: sbs <sbs20@cvip.net>
Message-ID: <3C9F6D56.33BC2234@cvip.net>
Source record: 50249
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Hello,I have for sale in very good condition,a Motorola HT1000,UHF,16 Ch,ModelH01SDC9AA3DN.Included is a new quick charger,new nicad battery,new speaker mike and belt clip.Price is $310 + shipping to 48 states.Payment by cashiers check or money order.If interested e-mail.Thanks.

1138. Re: drive true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 18:53:08 UTC
From: Bruce Markowitz <scosgt@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <omKn8.5152$Eb5.521253@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 50250

Listening to people ordering burgers is interesting?
Get a life!
<bule_light@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:3343-3C9EAA56-398@storefull-2374.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> hello i like to listen to fast food places like Mc danalds and stuff 457
> range and 30-35 range like 31.160 jack in the box by me but outer ones
> in 457.512 i heard Mc Danalds 2 of them in like 8 mils but also a
> taco bell on the same frequency 31.160 also starbucks in my town hay its
> fun sometimes
>

1139. Re: ICOM IC-R9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 18:55:34 UTC
From: Bruce Markowitz <scosgt@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <GoKn8.5156$Eb5.521358@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 50251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

$2900 for the scanner and $335 for shipping?
Maybe he got his exchange rates a bit messed up.....
Hell, for $335.00 I'll go pick it up (maybe it's a Japanese Scanner
Delivery)
"onair_9" <onair_9@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3C9D7C68.70901@hotmail.com...
> ICOM IC-R9000
>
> Price: over USD2900 best offer
>
> Cosmetic Condition:
> Exellent
> (a little scratches at top and side. Front and other are so beautiful.
> Total condition is so exellent.)
> Unblock
> With AC cord and Carring handle(Front and rear) (No manual, No box)
> CRT condition: Great(No Burnning)
>
> Buyer pay shipping fee and TAX
> Shipping: UPS only
> Shipping fee: Japan to US)...USD335
>
> Working condition... great(Sold as-is)
>
> AC power:115V(100 or 230V setting available by Jumpper)
>
> onair_9 <Japan>
>

1140. Re: AntennaMast.Com that was advertised in PopComm?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 19:02:48 UTC
From: "Mark S. Holden" <mark@bmas.org>
Message-ID: <3C9F7458.76DE30F4@bmas.org>
Source record: 50252
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

cryppie wrote:
>
> Hey GeorgeF, you forgot:
> http://www.licensed4fun.com/
> http://home.flash.net/~av8tor/webeng/
> http://www.topsecretbooks.com/
>
> Pretty lame way to advertise GeorgeF, or should I call you G.W.Fetter...
> You should go ahead and advertise your web services too, Fetter, since your
> work is so great lol. Try spell check on your site next time before you
> spam it. Scamming people to get them to go to your website is not a good
> way to start a business Fetter, unless your scamming them with your product
> also (wouldn't be surprised).
>
> cryppie
>
>

If you're complaining about his tag line link to
http://www.MilAirComms.com/
I think you're off base. That site is related to the hobby, and
it seems to have a lot of free information.

If you think he's related to antennamast.com - I doubt it - he posted a
message later indicating he found their prices and thought they were
expensive.

1141. Re: Bearcat BC220XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 19:08:33 UTC
From: DA <da_3995@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <a7nsk7$qv4$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 50253

Thanks I'll give the 120XLT a try.
DA
"Jonathan Clough, Javiation" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk> wrote in message
news:mlVIURBr90n8EwW5@Javiation.co.uk...
> In article <a7n5mvmdt1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>, DA
> <da_3995@mindspring.com> writes
>
> >Already tried it, not there.
>
> >"Dalan" <nobody@spamcop.net> wrote in message
> >news:012t9u05ghac8dej48o48rmnrnpcj76tkq@4ax.com...
> >> On Sun, 24 Mar 2002 16:46:37 -0500, "DA" <da_3995@mindspring.com>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Anyone know where I can download an owners manual or operating
> >instructions
> >> >for a Uniden/Bearcat BC220XLT scanner. Thanks in advance for any help
> >anyone
> >> >can give.
> >> >DA
> >>
> >> The most obvious place is www.uniden.com. Look in the support area.
>
> For whatever reason the 220/230XLT manual is not online however the
> 120XLT manual is and short of the extra coverage above 512MHz the two
> are the same. Use that one, you should be OK.
>
> http://www.uniden.com/pdf/BC120XLTom.pdf
>
> --
> Cheers,
> JC
> Javiation - Scanners & receivers from all the leading manufacturers
> 01274 639503
> http://www.javiation.co.uk

1142. Re: AntennaMast.Com that was advertised in PopComm?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 19:33:50 UTC
From: cryppie <nitr0man@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u9uut7jftb7a0f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50254
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

Hint #1 Mark:

From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>

Let me know if you would like more.

HAGO
cryppie

"Mark S. Holden" <mark@bmas.org> wrote in message
news:3C9F7458.76DE30F4@bmas.org...
> cryppie wrote:
> >
> > Hey GeorgeF, you forgot:
> > http://www.licensed4fun.com/
> > http://home.flash.net/~av8tor/webeng/
> > http://www.topsecretbooks.com/
> >
> > Pretty lame way to advertise GeorgeF, or should I call you
G.W.Fetter...
> > You should go ahead and advertise your web services too, Fetter, since
your
> > work is so great lol. Try spell check on your site next time before you
> > spam it. Scamming people to get them to go to your website is not a
good
> > way to start a business Fetter, unless your scamming them with your
product
> > also (wouldn't be surprised).
> >
> > cryppie
> >
> >
>
> If you're complaining about his tag line link to
> http://www.MilAirComms.com/
> I think you're off base. That site is related to the hobby, and
> it seems to have a lot of free information.
>
> If you think he's related to antennamast.com - I doubt it - he posted a
> message later indicating he found their prices and thought they were
> expensive.

1143. Re: AntennaMast.Com that was advertised in PopComm?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 19:43:18 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C9F7DD6.5060000@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 50255
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

Hey, I never advertised my other sites, you did! You forgot two others
such as www.AuctionWholesaler.com and the other I won't mention here.
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <-- The only website in my sig file....

cryppie wrote:

> Hey GeorgeF, you forgot:
> http://www.licensed4fun.com/
> http://home.flash.net/~av8tor/webeng/
> http://www.topsecretbooks.com/
>
> Pretty lame way to advertise GeorgeF, or should I call you G.W.Fetter...
> You should go ahead and advertise your web services too, Fetter, since your
> work is so great lol. Try spell check on your site next time before you
> spam it. Scamming people to get them to go to your website is not a good
> way to start a business Fetter, unless your scamming them with your product
> also (wouldn't be surprised).
>
> cryppie
>
> "GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
> news:3C9DCF03.7090706@licensed4fun.com...
>
>>Was browsing through an issue of Popcomm at the bookstore and seen an ad
>>for portable antenna masts. I happen to be very interested in one so
>>I went to their website (www.antennamast.com). I liked what I seen
>>however when I clicked on the US distributor the link was dead. Then I
>>email the people at antennamast.com however that email bounced.
>>
>>I can't believe they pay the money to run an ad in Popcomm but don't
>>exist. Anyone have any info on these people?
>>George
>>http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Freqs and MORE!
>>
>>
>>
>
>

1144. Re: PDA/Scanner Programmer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 19:47:03 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a7nuq3$mo1gd$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50256

I just recently introduced the idea in the Pro-93 yahoo group only to find out that it was discussed before there.
The end was that many PDAs have different voltages from the unit to the serial port. I think that if a program was generated for a basic unit, hopefully a Palm since I have one :), that users could make the small purchase for it only. Problem I am sure is that many peole have Casios, Handsprings, and I suspect that different Palms may have problems as the same. I have a unit that has a serial so I figured that if the PDA goes to serial voltages, that a program SHOULD be able to use that signal. I guess it more in depth than what I thought.

I recently got my M100 from ebay for $33.00 shipped especially for my Icom PCR-1000. I use the program
http://www.users.bigpond.com/geoffwicks/PCRPilot.htm to control it. I tried emailing the author but had no response. I wanted to further the program to use DSP. I also would like to have maybe a minor 'scan' which I would like it to switch the programmed channels say every 2 secs and maybe expand the number of programmed channels, only 26.

"Eric Ferguson" <GEFERG@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:Kaxn8.5303$mH5.1009354864@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> Has anybody come up with a way to program a programmable scanner from a
Sony
> Clie, or Palm IIIxe, IIIc, etc.? With the new memory sticks and such, it
> would be great to travel about and be able to reprogram a scanner as
needed
> with out a laptop. Any ideas, anyone?
>
>

1145. Re: Pro 92, What's it worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 19:54:10 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020325145410.00788.00000093@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 50257

>LTR trunking is used here (in IL) and is growing
>more popular among businesses on the 450 - 490 MHz band. Many of the local
>businesses in my community use a 900 MHz LTR repeater.
>
>The police in the town closest to me use DCS and DCS is quite
>popular with business communications.

Well, the majority of towns around here in NJ are still operating in the 150mhz area. My county PD is using a standard 400mhz trunked system, but they dispatch a limited number of police departments. All EMS and fire is in the 150's or 450's. Amazingly my town's police just recently went to a digital system. Oh, and the NJSP use an 800mhz trunked system.

My Pro-2053 handles the local traffic no problem. Therefore, I think I'd find LTR or DCS useless around here.

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

1146. Re: AntennaMast.Com that was advertised in PopComm?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 20:04:06 UTC
From: cryppie <nitr0man@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u9v0m2euj3aqbd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

Way to go Fetter. You have now earned yourself the reputation of a deceptive liar. I'm sure everyone will be running to your sites to flood you with their hard earned money...

Glad I could help

cryppie

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message news:3C9F7DD6.5060000@licensed4fun.com...
> Hey, I never advertised my other sites, you did! You forgot two others
> such as www.AuctionWholesaler.com and the other I won't mention here.
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com <-- The only website in my sig file....
>
> cryppie wrote:
>
> > Hey GeorgeF, you forgot:
> > http://www.licensed4fun.com/
> > http://home.flash.net/~av8tor/webeng/
> > http://www.topsecretbooks.com/
> >
> > Pretty lame way to advertise GeorgeF, or should I call you G.W.Fetter...
> > You should go ahead and advertise your web services too, Fetter, since your
> > work is so great lol. Try spell check on your site next time before you
> > spam it. Scamming people to get them to go to your website is not a good
> > way to start a business Fetter, unless your scamming them with your product
> > also (wouldn't be surprised).
> >
> > cryppie
> >
> > "GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
> > news:3C9DCF03.7090706@licensed4fun.com...
> >
> >>Was browsing through an issue of Popcomm at the bookstore and seen an ad
> >>for portable antenna masts. I happen to be very interested in one so
> >>I went to their website (www.antennamast.com). I liked what I seen
> >>however when I clicked on the US distributor the link was dead. Then I
> >>email the people at antennamast.com however that email bounced.
> >>

> >>I can't believe they pay the money to run an ad in Popcomm but don't
> >>exist. Anyone have any info on these people?
> >>George
> >>http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Freqs and MORE!
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>
>

1147. Re: ICOM IC-R9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 20:11:47 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <m31v9ucdm7ppbu3hej1eq5hcptus0d16md@4ax.com>
Source record: 50259
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, "Bruce Markowitz" <scosgt@worldnet.att.net> spake thusly

>$2900 for the scanner and $335 for shipping?
>Maybe he got his exchange rates a bit messed up.....

Well, the R9000 is a BIG and HEAVY radio. You can't just drop it in a UPS box.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

1148. Re: Should I crap up this NG with Eric G hate mail?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 20:14:48 UTC
From: buskerdog@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <4be573f7.0203251214.1aefee50@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50260

> Why the hell would we care ? We dont go to those groups. Maybe he got
> attacked in a hallway and got all torn up , and nobody gave a shit..... But
> if you start this crap on here, you graduate to my twit filter - and maybe a
> complaint to your isp for good measure....

I have no intention of crapping here (In fact, I stated that). I just
wanted to ask what people thought. Back in the day some people took NG
etiquette pretty seriously and I was curious what you guys thought of
one of your guys spamming up another NG. That's all.

1149. Re: AntennaMast.Com that was advertised in PopComm?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 20:15:38 UTC
From: "Mark S. Holden" <mark@bmas.org>
Message-ID: <3C9F856A.21126295@bmas.org>
Source record: 50261
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

cryppie wrote:
>
> Hint #1 Mark:
>
> From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
>
> Let me know if you would like more.
>
> HAGO
> cryppie
>

I don't doubt the same guy runs the other sites you listed, I just don't understand why you'd be bothered by the link in his tag line.

1150. Re: AntennaMast.Com that was advertised in PopComm?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 20:43:11 UTC
From: cryppie <nitr0man@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <u9v2v7rpc914d1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

"cryppie" <nitr0man@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:u9v0m2euj3aqbd@corp.supernews.com...
> Way to go Fetter. You have now earned yourself the reputation of a
> deceptive liar.

Ok, that was kind of harsh, and I take it back. I usually give people the benefit of the doubt, but this one seemed like a lemon. The website is registered in the same area that GeorgeF's is. That doesn't necessarily "prove" that the site is his, but I find it very big coincidence that he is, in a way, advertising this site, and has his own similar websites located very close to this one. I also took his reply as a "yeah, its mine and here are my others" reply. So if I am wrong George, I apologize.

> "GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
> news:3C9F7DD6.5060000@licensed4fun.com...
> > Hey, I never advertised my other sites, you did! You forgot two others
> > such as www.AuctionWholesaler.com and the other I won't mention here.
> > George
> > http://www.MilAirComms.com <-- The only website in my sig file....
> >
> > cryppie wrote:
> >
> > > Hey GeorgeF, you forgot:
> > > http://www.licensed4fun.com/
> > > http://home.flash.net/~av8tor/webeng/
> > > http://www.topsecretbooks.com/
> > >
> > > Pretty lame way to advertise GeorgeF, or should I call you
> G.W.Fetter...
> > > You should go ahead and advertise your web services too, Fetter,
since
> your
> > > work is so great lol. Try spell check on your site next time before
you
> > > spam it. Scamming people to get them to go to your website is not a
> good
> > > way to start a business Fetter, unless your scamming them with your
> product
> > > also (wouldn't be surprised).
> > >
> > > cryppie
> > >
> > > "GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3C9DCF03.7090706@licensed4fun.com...

> > >
> > >>Was browsing through an issue of Popcomm at the bookstore and seen an
ad
> > >>for portable antenna masts. I happen to be very interested in one
so
> > >>I went to their website (www.antennamast.com). I liked what I seen
> > >>however when I clicked on the US distributor the link was dead. Then
I
> > >>email the people at antennamast.com however that email bounced.
> > >>
> > >>I can't believe they pay the money to run an ad in Popcomm but don't
> > >>exist. Anyone have any info on these people?
> > >>George
> > >>http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Freqs and MORE!
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1151. Re: ICOM IC-R9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 21:38:48 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brandad@kornet.net>
Message-ID: <a7o4i0bth1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 50263
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

And international shipping rates are VERY high. I shipped a small AM table radio from here to Japan (I live in S. Korea, so Japan is only about 900 miles away) and it cost me nearly $30.. the package weighed a little over 2 Kg (around 5 lbs.)

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message news:m31v9ucdm7ppbu3hej1eq5hcptus0d16md@4ax.com...
> Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, "Bruce Markowitz" <scosgt@worldnet.att.net>
> spake thusly
>
> >$2900 for the scanner and $335 for shipping?
> >Maybe he got his exchange rates a bit messed up.....
>
> Well, the R9000 is a BIG and HEAVY radio. You can't just drop it in a UPS box.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

1152. Re: Pro 92, What's it worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 21:41:07 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C9F9971.1B06EEF8@optonline.net>
Source record: 50264

Au Contraire!

I follow a large number of towns here in central NJ. The majority of the police agencies are VHF-Hi and UHF, with some fire agencies on VHF-Lo. Almost all of these agencies use some form of CTCSS, either PL or DPL.

Some of the VHF-Hi towns share a frequency with agencies in Penna., often with the hi-powered Pa State Police. Using PL eliminates the annoyance of hearing these Pa. based transmissions.

My home town shares a UHF frequency (500.xxxx) with some very busy commercial enterprise. Again, using PL eliminates the annoyance of hearing these transmissions.

Many of the VHF-Lo fire agencies share frequrncies with similar agencies in south NJ. Again, ...

All in all, using some form of CTCSS makes the scanning much more enjoyable.
Walt

VHFRadioBuff wrote:
>
> >LTR trunking is used here (in IL) and is growing
> >more popular among businesses on the 450 - 490 MHz band. Many of the local
> >businesses in my community use a 900 MHz LTR repeater.
> >
> >The police in the town closest to me use DCS and DCS is quite
> >popular with business communications.
>
> Well, the majority of towns around here in NJ are still operating in the 150mhz
> area. My county PD is using a standard 400mhz trunked system, but they dispatch
> a limited number of police departments. All EMS and fire is in the 150's or
> 450's. Amazingly my town's police just recently went to a digital system. Oh,
> and the NJSP use an 800mhz trunked system.
>
> My Pro-2053 handles the local traffic no problem. Therefore, I think I'd find
> LTR or DCS useless around here.
>
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> http://edfas.tripod.com

1153. Re: Should I crap up this NG with Eric G hate mail?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 22:08:47 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <FdNn8.2927$17.1976608@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 50265

"buskerdog" <buskerdog@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:4be573f7.0203251214.1aefee50@posting.google.com...
> > Why the hell would we care ? We dont go to those groups. Maybe he got
> > attacked in a hallway and got all torn up , and nobody gave a shit......
But
> > if you start this crap on here, you graduate to my twit filter - and
maybe a
> > complaint to your isp for good measure....
>
> I have no intention of crapping here (In fact, I stated that). I just
> wanted to ask what people thought. Back in the day some people took NG
> etiquette pretty seriously and I was curious what you guys thought of
> one of your guys spamming up another NG. That's all.

One of 'our guys'? Every individual has responsibility for his own posts.
The best way to deal with a spammer is to ignore him and not reply. There is
no fun for the spammer then and he will leave eventually. But that takes
more self control than some people have.......

1154. Discriminator tap info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 22:09:37 UTC
From: urei@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020325170937.10688.00000128@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 50266

Having read the Bill Cheek piece on the discriminator tap, I intend to do the operation on my BC-760XLT, but have run into a problem. I bought the required 1.0mf tantalum cap, and the directions call for the + leg of the cap to be connected to the chip. Problem is, the cap I bought appears to be non-polarized!
What's the deal here? Did I buy the wrong cap? Read the directions wrong? Am I reading the cap wrong?
Canv anyone help? Thanks. Mike.

1155. Re: Discriminator tap info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 22:15:02 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ejNn8.5340$b3.2829704@twister.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 50267

"Urei" <urei@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020325170937.10688.00000128@mb-fo.aol.com...
> Having read the Bill Cheek piece on the discriminator tap, I intend to
do
> the operation on my BC-760XLT, but have run into a problem. I bought the
> required 1.0mf tantalum cap, and the directions call for the + leg of the
cap
> to be connected to the chip. Problem is, the cap I bought appears to be
> non-polarized!
> What's the deal here? Did I buy the wrong cap? Read the directions
wrong?
> Am I reading the cap wrong?
> Canv anyone help? Thanks. Mike.

Mike on my BC780XLT I used a generic disc capacitor. Don't worry - just hook
it up and it will work fine either way. Enjoy!

73's de Ken KG0WX

1156. Good indoor antenna for pro-2053?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 22:21:24 UTC
From: John Piddock <john3420@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <EpNn8.52890$7b.5397391@bin7.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 50269

I am looking for a good *indoor* antenna for my Pro-2053. Anything that would be better than the one included, without having to mount anything on my house. Any ideas? Thanks.

-John

1157. Re: drive true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 22:42:16 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0203251442.12f2ae28@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50273

"Bruce Markowitz" <scosgt@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<omKn8.5152$Eb5.521253@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> Listening to people ordering burgers is interesting?
> Get a life!
> <bule_light@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:3343-3C9EAA56-398@storefull-2374.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> > hello i like to listen to fast food places like Mc danalds and stuff 457
> > range and 30-35 range like 31.160 jack in the box by me but outer ones
> > in 457.512 i heard Mc Danalds 2 of them in like 8 mils but also a
> > taco bell on the same frequency 31.160 also starbucks in my town hay its
> > fun sometimes
> >

And learn how to spell, too! It's: blue, thru, and McDonald's. Not to
mention other, miles, and hey.

And it's only fun if you have a two-way radio that can transmit on
those frequencies! But what can you expect from webtv?

1158. Re: Pro 92, What's it worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 23:18:17 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020325181817.21490.00000113@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 50274

>I follow a large number of towns here in central NJ. The majority of
>the police agencies are VHF-Hi and UHF, with some fire agencies on
>VHF-Lo. Almost all of these agencies use some form of CTCSS,

Since I am only interested in local comms, I use the telescoping antenna that comes with the 2053, thus eliminating the need for PL tones... I know many towns use PL tones, but that's a feature that is not needed for my uses.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

1159. Re: Good indoor antenna for pro-2053?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 23:19:11 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020325181911.21490.00000114@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 50275

>I am looking for a good *indoor* antenna for my Pro-2053. Anything that
>would be better than the one included, without having to mount anything on
>my house. Any ideas? Thanks.
>

Hmm.. I use the included antenna and I could actually hear things I couldn't
with the mobile scanner antenna I have on my shed in the backyard, particuarly
the 800mhz trunked system in use by the NJSP.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

1160. Re: Good indoor antenna for pro-2053?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 23:34:18 UTC
From: Dennis <DennisF@ABC.NET>
Message-ID: <a7oc0n$mki0j$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50276

I used the now discontinued RS Scantenna mounted in the attic.
It works great and better than I expected.

"John Piddock" <john3420@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:EpNn8.52890$7b.5397391@bin7.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> I am looking for a good *indoor* antenna for my Pro-2053. Anything that
> would be better than the one included, without having to mount anything on
> my house. Any ideas? Thanks.
>
> -John
>
>

1161. Re: drive true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-25 23:55:14 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020325185514.00521.00000065@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 50277

In article <omKn8.5152$Eb5.521253@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "Bruce Markowitz" <scosgt@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>Listening to people ordering burgers is interesting?

You don't get it.

Listening to customers talk about burgers is not interesting. BUT listening to employees talk about the customers can be VERY interesting...especially if the customer is YOU! :-)

1162. Re: drive true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 00:46:22 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <yxPn8.208199$kb.11666480@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 50278

ya. Got this dumb *** fired for saying rude things abut me. I asked for teh manager. She didn't know that we heard her. She was just mocking the radio's in the car and so on. I asked formy money back and told her nothing is private. she learned now. the Mc D's manager said "Well, Our employees don't talk like this. " oh well.

"TooManyRadios" <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020325185514.00521.00000065@mb-md.aol.com...
> In article <omKn8.5152$Eb5.521253@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "Bruce
> Markowitz" <scosgt@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
> >Listening to people ordering burgers is interesting?
>
>
> You don't get it.
>
> Listening to customers talk about burgers is not interesting. BUT listening to
> employees talk about the customers can be VERY interesting...especially if the
> customer is YOU! :-)
>
>

1163. Re: Thanks for being a DICK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 00:51:59 UTC
From: myersprop <myersprop@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <u9vhhgnibi1nb2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50279

Don't take it personal!

Remember this isn't face to face communication or your best friend giving advice. It's easy to hide behind a username and give everyone shit. Some folks live on a constant "high" doing this.

A few good souls kindly respond to questions and really contribute to the scanning hobby. Just simply ignore everyone else. You have just as much right to be here as anyone. Over time you'll learn who contributes and who needs to be placed in your kill file.

"Karen Williams" <kanesgirl@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:Sjwn8.3319$ub3.1548@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Wow I ask a simple f**king question... because I simply didn't know and get
> totally screamed at...

1164. F/S Bearcat 9000xlt LIKE NEW IN BOX $195 SHIPPED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 00:59:09 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <xJPn8.100057$7B2.2083122@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 50280
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Perfect condition and like new in box with everything. Box, antenna,
manual, everything. Very low use. Price includes UPS shipping. $195 shipped.

1165. Re: FM broadcast station on VHF!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 01:11:22 UTC
From: "David L. Wilson" <dlwilson@erols.com>
Message-ID: <a7ohqp$ho9$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 50281

> 161.76 is used for news gathering purposes, between the station and
> news crews. I would think that perhaps it would have something to do
> with this, but it was distorted, which means it was probably an image of
> a wide FM transmission; this frequency is used in narrow FM, and

Also 161.75 is the wide FM audio for one of the cable TV channels. As there is always some leakage from cable TV, you should check if their audio is background audio for a cable TV channel if you have cable TV in your area. This could very well be what you heard. Other frequencies to check also include 143.75, 149.75, 167.75, 173.75, and others (note the 6 MHz spacing).

1166. Re: Thanks for being a DICK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 01:12:49 UTC
From: "J. Harley" <harley@jharleydavidson.com>
Message-ID: <GWPn8.118$652.47318201@twister2.starband.net>
Source record: 50282

Isn't it nice to see the 'children' crawlin outta the woodwork!......
The one thing they have in their entire life to give them value is
to trash someone who is quietly looking for assistance.

It ain't the whole human race, Karen...... just the dregs from the
bottom of the oldest septic tank in town... not even good for
fertilizer....
Smile and remember.........
"Karen Williams" <kanesgirl@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:Sjwn8.3319$ub3.1548@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Wow I ask a simple f**king question... because I simply didn't know and
get
> totally screamed at...
>
> But on another note I will not be coming back to this LIST...
> But god have some fucking respect for the people that are new and don't
> expect that someone that asks a questions asks it to be an asshole...
>
> Thanks to the guy who did help I am looking into searching for them thanks
> for the starting points.
>
>
> --
> Karen...
>
> http://karenswrestlingpix.tripod.com
>
>

1167. FS AOR AR-2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 01:23:25 UTC
From: 1ARTB <rbouder@dejazzd.com>
Message-ID: <b0jv9u4qtu1imju2do591t8f1n7pjr3ltv@4ax.com>
Source record: 50283

AOR AR-2002 Communications Receiver / Scanner

25-550 800-1300
IT does a lot and HEARS.... a lot!!!
That says it ALL -- E NuFF SAID!!

$200 OBO

Eastern PA

1168. Major update for Scan-TR4k software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 01:34:38 UTC
From: Maz <systematic@SPAMFREEntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <BfQn8.964$2D4.148963@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 50284

Updated with all main functions now enabled including fully working signal meter, one-click record and audio log manager.

http://homepage.ntlworld.com/systematic/tricam.html
Or
http://www.mazfiles.net/scantr4k/

Have fun
Maz

1169. Re: Pro 92, What's it worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 02:03:38 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3C9FD6F8.B50F6E98@optonline.net>
Source record: 50285

I use the rubber duck antenna that came with the '92. Those rubber ducks, as well as the telescoping ones like you use, work pretty well. The need for the use of PL/DPL exists even with the little itty bitty rubber ducks and telescopers.

From my location in the center of NJ, the Penna stations come booming in. And stations from south jersey come booming in. They all interfere with local stations, even using the itty bitty rubber duck.

Thus, the use of CTCSS is a big advantage in enjoying the hobby.

Walt

VHFRadioBuff wrote:
>
> >I follow a large number of towns here in central NJ. The majority of
> >the police agencies are VHF-Hi and UHF, with some fire agencies on
> >VHF-Lo. Almost all of these agencies use some form of CTCSS,
>
> Since I am only interested in local comms, I use the telescoping antenna that
> comes with the 2053, thus eliminating the need for PL tones... I know many
> towns use PL tones, but that's a feature that is not needed for my uses.
> =-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> http://edfas.tripod.com

1170. Re: AntennaMast.Com that was advertised in PopComm?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 02:43:28 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3C9FE050.8060800@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 50286
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

I really still don't understand a damn thing about the connection! First which

sites is crappy reffering too? The antennamast site? If so that site
is registered in Virgina. Most of my sites crappy refered to are
regitered in Lancaster, PA (Check your maps, not really close to
Virgina). Since the registration of most of my sites I have moved to
Daytona Beach FL (that is where MilAirComms.com is registered at).
Again, check you maps, that is even farther way from Virgina!

I did NOT mention ANY OF MY COMMERICAL SITES AND AGAIN I DID NOT IN THIS
POST! I do not understand what crappy's attack was all about! Some
people just have "hair trigger anti-spam guns" I guess.

I have NEVER advertised a commerical sites unless it was in my SIG file.
As per the charger of most NG's is legal! In the post in question
the only URLs in my post was the website in question (not mine) and my
Hobby site in my SIG file (non commerical).

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <- A NON COMMERICAL ADVERTISEMENT (some
people might call it a PSA)

> Ok, that was kind of harsh, and I take it back. I usually give people the
> benefit of the doubt, but this one seemed like a lemon. The website is
> registered in the same area that GeorgeF's is. That doesn't necessarily
> "prove" that the site is his, but I find it very big coincidence that he is,
> in a way, advertising this site, and has his own similar websites located
> very close to this one. I also took his reply as a "yeah, its mine and here
> are my others" reply. So if I am wrong George, I apologize.
>

1171. FS or FT: Regency HX2200 Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 02:43:28 UTC
From: "Sam E. Hall" <sehall@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <a7oql8$k1r$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 50289

For sale or trad a Regeny HX2200 Handheld Scanner.
$80 plus shipping or will trade for Bearcat of equal value.

Thanks

1172. Re: Baby monitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 02:46:51 UTC
From: eric kaelin <rifraf714@core.com>
Message-ID: <3c9fe11e$0$3767$ac966d11@news.raex.com>
Source record: 50287

he wants to listen to baby monitors, whats the problem ASSHOLE!!!
"R.F Gain" <rog@spamwalesuk.net> wrote in message
news:a7iki9$sg0$1@knossos.btinternet.com...
> What the hell do you want to listen to baby-monitors for.
>
> RFG
>
>
>
> .
>
> "TTandemonium" <ttandemonium@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020323033943.00655.00000376@mb-fv.aol.com...
> > Here are five: 49.830, 49.845, 49.860,
> > 49.875, 49.890
> >
> > Ttandemonium@aol.com
> >
>
>

1173. F/A LAST 3 days Icom PCR-1000 NON Blocked with extras!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 03:09:29 UTC
From: ircSLUG <N-O-S-P-A-MMM-noneya@noneya.com>
Message-ID: <u9vpgrfbktj97f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50288

I have the following Item up for auction at eBay....The current bid is at $400.00, but lets see what you get!

1. NON-BLOCKED PCR-1000 ($349.00 retail for the blocked version)
2. Icom UT-106 DSP unit (Installed) ($149.95 Retail price)
3. PCR-1000 Power Supply/Original Antenna/Serial Cable (Comes with radio)
4. REGISTERED VERSION of TalkPCR ($45.00 Web site purchase)
5. Icom PCR-1000 Service Manual ($25.00)
6. 50' Coax ($20.00 from RadioShack)
7. RadioShack TOP OF THE LINE Discone antenna (Never used outdoors!) ($60.00 retail)

All of that totals to over $590.00 retail, but only for the BLOCKED VERSION.....this is the full freq version + the extras!

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1341192225&ssPageName=ADME:B:LC:US:1

Check it out and bid if you wish....Thanks for reading, and happy bidding!

Scott
AKA
SaleStalker

1174. FS : Radio Shack Pro-2042 base scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 04:50:45 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <F6Tn8.67061$in3.19747543@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 50290
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

This is the Radio Shack Pro-2042 1000 channel base scanner. In like new condition, in the box with the manual, antenna, etc.

$200.00 shipped USPS Priority, insured.

Postal MO or PAYPAL only. No C.O.D.

Photos available on request, eBay feedback under OFFDAN.

e-mail only if interested.

Dan

1175. FS : Radio Shack Pro-92 B hnd held scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 04:56:45 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <hcTn8.67075$in3.19751625@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 50291
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Radio Shack Pro-92 B hand held trunking scanner. Unit is in like new condition and includes the custom soft leather case from Radio Shack, High capacity 1800 maH NMH batteries, and instructions. No stock antenna - lost it, never used it.

$200.00 shipped via Postal Priority mail, insured.

Postal MO, or PAYPAL, no COD.
No trades.

Photos available upon request, eBay feedback under OFFDAN.

E-mail only if interested.

Dan

1176. FS : Radio Shack Pro-43 hand held scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 05:04:00 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <4jTn8.67079$in3.19756599@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 50292
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Pro-43 hand held classic scanner. Absolutely MINT, in the custom Radio Shack soft leather case, also includes MAHA 1700 maH NMH batteries and the instructions. No stock antenna, lost it a long time ago and never used it anyway. Yes, the scanner receives the ENITRE 800 Mhz band.

$200.00 shipped via Postal Priority Mai, insured.

Postal MO, or PAYPAL. NO COD.
No trades.

Photos available upon request. eBay feedback under OFFDAN.

E-mail only if interested.

Dan

1177. Make Offer, Cheek Manuals and News Letters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 05:43:54 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20020326004354.26870.00000173@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 50293

Cleaning house, Have Scanner modification manuals 1 and 2 and 20 issues of Commtronic Engineering news letters consisting of Volumes 4, 6 -10, Volumes 5, 1 -10 and Volumes 6, 1- 5. This is a lot of info and all are in good condition, make me an offer. Will mail in 48 only.

Drop RX320 and e-mail me.
Rob Mills~

1178. help with UHF trunnmked system

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 06:24:04 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020326012404.04419.00000236@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 50294

I have posted some inquiries about this and appreciate posts/e-mails I have received.
I have another request. has anyone with a Pro-92/93 (or base equivalent) successfully programmed a UHF 400 Mhz trunked system (specifically an EDACS or Motorola). If so, could you please (in detail by steps) help me with programming a system in my area. I have located 2 data channels (possible for 2 different systems). I have located 5 voice channels/frequencies that I am certain I need to implement in programming the UHF system I am focusing on. Question: Is there a LGN 'logical order number' these frequencies need to be entered? How about the data channel? Does it need to entered as a 'base' frequency? I don't understand the 'frequency steps' process. Does that apply to an EDACS or Motorola?
Eric G.
education CANNOT buy common sense

1179. Re: Canadian Scanner Frequencies Here !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 08:43:56 UTC
From: nospam@xis.com.au
Message-ID: <3ca034c4.45443834@news.xis.com.au>
Source record: 50295
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Whats worse, the idiot is doing it on an Australian saccing news
group.

NoSpam

On Mon, 25 Mar 2002 22:27:25 -0500, "Alexander McNaughton"
<treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Selling free frequency lists?? You stupid moron
>
>Steve St Denis <steve.st@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
>news:9FRn8.7642$mA1.691141@news20.bellglobal.com...
>> For Canadian Scanner Frequencies;
>> There are no free frequencies on this web site, but you may be interested
>!
>> http://www3.sympatico.ca/steve.st/
>>
>>
>
>

1180. Re: FM broadcast station on VHF!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 11:42:33 UTC
From: "David L. Wilson" <dlwilson@erols.com>
Message-ID: <a7pmq8gid1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 50296

--
--
David L. Wilson dlwilson@erols.com
AC4IU http://www.erols.com/dlwilson
"FHS" <FHS@Filesystem.Hierarchy.Standard.fhs> wrote in message
news:u7f0aukndtvm825sos2p449qicaa4vkr49@4ax.com...
> "David L. Wilson" wrote:
>
> > Also 161.75 is the wide FM audio for one of the cable TV channels. As
there
> > is always some leakage from cable TV, you should check if their audio is
> > background audio for a cable TV channel if you have cable TV in your
area.
> > This could very well be what you heard. Other frequencies to check also
> > include 143.75, 149.75, 167.75, 173.75, and others (note the 6 MHz
spacing).
>
> If the leakage is that bad, the cable company is required to discontinue
> service to him until the problem is corrected.

Only if "excessive". A scanner in a house will receive well below the
"excessive" level.
I lived in 4 separate houses and could recieve the cable audio on a scanner
in all of them.

Another one to try is to drive around with one of those frequencies in a
scanner. You will fine places where again you can receive the audio.

1181. Re: Thanks for being a DICK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 13:05:45 UTC
From: Mille18 <mille18@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Jm_n8.40775$44.14225147@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 50010

Well Harley why didn't you answer her question? Are you just a pontificating loudmouth or do you actually know something about this stuff? Time to put up or shut up genius.

"J. Harley" <harley@jharleydavidson.com> wrote in message news:GWPn8.118$652.47318201@twister2.starband.net...
> Isn't it nice to see the 'children' crawlin outta the woodwork!......
> The one thing they have in their entire life to give them value is
> to trash someone who is quietly looking for assistance.
>
> It ain't the whole human race, Karen...... just the dregs from the
> bottom of the oldest septic tank in town... not even good for
> fertilizer....
> Smile and remember.........
> "Karen Williams" <kanesgirl@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:Sjwn8.3319$ub3.1548@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Wow I ask a simple f**king question... because I simply didn't know and
> get
> > totally screamed at...
> >
> > But on another note I will not be coming back to this LIST...
> > But god have some fucking respect for the people that are new and don't
> > expect that someone that asks a questions asks it to be an asshole...
> >
> > Thanks to the guy who did help I am looking into searching for them thanks
> > for the starting points.
> >
> >
> > --
> > Karen...
> >
> > http://karenswrestlingpix.tripod.com
> >
> >
>
>

1182. 400 channel scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 14:55:16 UTC
From: Russell <rustyspam@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3CA08BD4.4080008@dccnet.com>
Source record: 50197

Hi there,
I have a mint condtion Radio Shack Pro-2041 400 channel scanner for sale
Lots of features, manual and antenna included
Asking $139 plus shipping CONUS
Please reply to rusty@dccnet.com
Thanks-Russ

1183. Re: help with UHF trunnmked system

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 14:59:26 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3CA08CCB.E988548A@optonline.net>
Source record: 50198

In a NOT system, the frequency a message going to be being transmitted
no is transmitted on the Control Channel as a hex number, which we will
designate as "m".

The receiver then calculates the frequency to tune the receiver to from
the formula:

Frecv = Fbase + m * Fstep,

where Frecv is the frequency to which the receiver is to be tuned, Fbase
is a base frequency, and Fstep is the step frequency.

In an 800 MHz system, there is a single value for Fbase and Fstep, and
this information is programed into the receiver.

In a UHF system, any value can be used for Fbase, and Fstep can be
either 12.5, 25, or 50 kHz. Thus, for a UHF MOT trunked system, you
must program these data into the receiver, along with the actual channel
frequencies.

In most listings of UHF trunked systems, including those in Police Call,
Fbase and Fstep are given along with the actual channel frequencies. If
you only have a listing of the channel frequencies, then you must do the
math to fit the channel frequencies to the above formula and determine
Fbase and Fstep yourself.

The channel frequencies for a UHF MOT system, including the control
channels, can be programmed into the receiver in any order. The manuals
tell you how to enter Fbase and Fstep. If you are using Win92 or Win93
to program the scanner, there is a place on the bank page to enter these
frequencies.

Walt

"Eric G." wrote:
>
> I have posted some inquiries about this and appreciate posts/e-mails I have
> received.
> I have another request. has anyone with a Pro-92/93 (or base equivalent)
> successfully programmed a UHF 400 Mhz trunked system (specifically an EDACS or
> Motorola). If so, could you please (in detail by steps) help me with
> programming a system in my area. I have located 2 data channels (possible for
> 2 different systems). I have located 5 voice channels/frequencies that I am
> certain I need to implement in programming the UHF system I am focusing on.
> Question: Is there a LGN 'logical order number' these frequencies need to be
> entered? How about the data channel? Does it need to entered as a 'base'
> frequency? I don't understand the 'frequency steps' process. Does that apply

> to an EDACS or Motorola?
> Eric G.
> education CANNOT buy common sense

1184. Re: drive true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 15:06:09 UTC
From: "\"Q\"" <trevise@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <B70o8.226066$s43.53020990@typhoon.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 50199

LMAO!!!! Here - have a copy of "Hooked On Phonics"!!! By the way.....you want fries with that?

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:c5ecbb69.0203251442.12f2ae28@posting.google.com...
"Bruce Markowitz" <scosgt@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:<omKn8.5152$Eb5.521253@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> Listening to people ordering burgers is interesting?
> Get a life!
> <bule_light@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:3343-3C9EAA56-398@storefull-2374.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> > hello i like to listen to fast food places like Mc danalds and stuff 457
> > range and 30-35 range like 31.160 jack in the box by me but outer ones
> > in 457.512 i heard Mc Danalds 2 of them in like 8 mils but also a
> > taco bell on the same frequency 31.160 also starbucks in my town hay its
> > fun sometimes
> >

And learn how to spell, too! It's: blue, thru, and McDonald's. Not to mention other, miles, and hey.

And it's only fun if you have a two-way radio that can transmit on those frequencies! But what can you expect from webtv?

1185. Re: drive true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 15:07:55 UTC
From: "\"Q\"" <trevise@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <f90o8.226073$s43.53022316@typhoon.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 50200

"TooManyRadios" <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020325185514.00521.00000065@mb-md.aol.com...
In article <omKn8.5152$Eb5.521253@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Bruce
Markowitz" <scosgt@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>Listening to people ordering burgers is interesting?
You don't get it.
Listening to customers talk about burgers is not interesting. BUT listening
to
employees talk about the customers can be VERY interesting...especially if
the
customer is YOU! :-)

This is your idea of 'interesting'? Fun??? Wow...maybe I'll invite ya over
the next time I pick lint out of my belly button....

1186. Re: FM broadcast station on VHF!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 15:44:16 UTC
From: Elephant <elephant@the-zoo.com>
Message-ID: <3CA09763.237A@the-zoo.com>
Source record: 50201

> I lived in 4 separate houses and could recieve the cable audio on a scanner
> in all of them.
>
> Another one to try is to drive around with one of those frequencies in a
> scanner. You will fine places where again you can receive the audio.

I use to live in Norfolk, NE. The leakage from their system
was so bad, that I use to be able to drive almost all over the
city and listen to MTV in my car (that's when they use to simulcast
over FM before MTS Stereo). Too bad they got so many complaints
and finally fixed the problem. It was great listen to MTV, The Movie
Channel, HBO, ect in the car!!!!

1187. Re: Thanks for being a DICK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 16:42:01 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020326114201.06482.00000127@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 50202

>Subject: Re: Thanks for being a DICK
>From: "myersprop" myersprop@ix.netcom.com
>Date: 3/25/2002 6:51 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <u9vhhgnibi1nb2@corp.supernews.com>
>
>Don't take it personal!
>
>Remember this isn't face to face communication or your best friend giving
>advice. It's easy to hide behind a username and give everyone shit. Some
>folks live on a constant "high" doing this.
>
>A few good souls kindly respond to questions and really contribute to the
>scanning hobby. Just simply ignore everyone else. You have just as much
>right to be here as anyone. Over time you'll learn who contributes and who
>needs to be placed in your kill file.
>

She didn't quote the offenders, or name them. All a lot of us have seen is Karen popping up out of nowhere, swearing like a Teamster about some supposed mistreatment. People who assume everybody in any newsgroup has read every post ever made there tend to be the type bushwhacked for asking the kind of questions that stir up the critics...
Neutrodyne

1188. REDUCED $175 SHIPPED Like new

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 17:14:29 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <V%1o8.109568$7B2.2213755@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 50203
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

A real bargain!
"Bruce" <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:xJPn8.100057$7B2.2083122@news1.east.cox.net...
> Perfect condition and like new in box with everything. Box, antenna,
> manual, everything. Very low use. Price includes UPS shipping. $195
shipped.
>
>

1189. PL's for Oregon Freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 18:14:33 UTC
From: "r.u. amused" <???@spamsux.net>
Message-ID: <dU2o8.114196$ZR2.43584@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 50204

Does anyone know of a website or anything that would have the PL tones associated with frequencies for Oregon Public Safety Agencies? Any help would be appreciated.

Thanks.

JT

1190. FS : CT8200 CTCSS borard for AR8200 MKII

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 20:06:04 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Mw4o8.67405$in3.20066318@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 50205
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

CT-8200 CTCSS tone board for AOR AR 8200 MKII receiver. Brand new never used still in packaging. Photo available on request. eBay feedback under OFFDAN.

$65.00 shipped Priority, insured. NO COD

PAYPAL, or Postal money order only. No trades.

E-mail if interested.

Dan

1191. FS : AOR 8200 PCComputer Control LEad (Interface) for AOR AR 8200 MKII

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 20:09:26 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Wz4o8.67406$in3.20067065@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 50206
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

AOR 8200 PC Computer COntrol Lead (interface) for AOR AR 8200 MKII receiver. Brand new never used still in packaging. Photo available on request. eBay feedback under OFFDAN.

$75.00 shipped Priority, insured. NO COD

PAYPAL, or Postal money order only. No trades.

E-mail if interested.

Dan

1192. Re: REDUCED $175 SHIPPED Like new

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 20:23:41 UTC
From: Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ibm1au4l7b1n104osnorn24f4s2d8flbo8@4ax.com>
Source record: 50207
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 26 Mar 2002 17:14:29 GMT, "Bruce" <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>A real bargain!
>"Bruce" <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:xJPn8.100057$7B2.2083122@news1.east.cox.net...
>> Perfect condition and like new in box with everything. Box, antenna,
>> manual, everything. Very low use. Price includes UPS shipping. $195
>shipped.
>>
>>

Ok, I give up. What is it?

1193. Re: F/A LAST 3 days Icom PCR-1000 NON Blocked with extras!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 22:25:07 UTC
From: Dennis <DennisF@ABC.NET>
Message-ID: <a7qsau$n93ru$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50208

Heck, I have the same thing, Icom PCR-1000 with UT-106 (DSP). NEW in box RS Scantenna (not the discone tho). I bought the scantenna for a backup and the box is still sealed. Registered TalkPCR sooftware plus coax.

If yours goes up high enough, I may try the same. Oh yeah UK unblocked as well. :)

"ircSLUG" <N-O-S-P-A-MMM-noneya@noneya.com> wrote in message news:u9vpgrfbktj97f@corp.supernews.com...
> I have the following Item up for auction at eBay....The current bid is at
> $400.00, but lets see what you get!
>
> 1. NON-BLOCKED PCR-1000 ($349.00 retail for the blocked version)
> 2. Icom UT-106 DSP unit (Installed) ($149.95 Retail price)
> 3. PCR-1000 Power Supply/Original Antenna/Serial Cable (Comes with radio)
> 4. REGISTERED VERSION of TalkPCR ($45.00 Web site purchase)
> 5. Icom PCR-1000 Service Manual ($25.00)
> 6. 50' Coax ($20.00 from RadioShack)
> 7. RadioShack TOP OF THE LINE Discone antenna (Never used outdoors!) ($60.00
> retail)
>
> All of that totals to over $590.00 retail, but only for the BLOCKED
> VERSION.....this is the full freq version + the extras!
>
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1341192225&ssPageName=ADM
> E:B:LC:US:1
>
> Check it out and bid if you wish....Thanks for reading, and happy bidding!
>
> Scott
> AKA
> SaleStalker
>
>

1194. Re: PL's for Oregon Freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 22:26:08 UTC
From: Keith <nospamplease@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <a7qsij01php@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50209

r.u. amused wrote:

>Does anyone know of a website or anything that would have the PL tones
>associated with frequencies for Oregon Public Safety Agencies? Any help
>would be appreciated.

What Part of Oregon?

I have some for Salem, OR Area
http://member.newsguy.com/~nworegon/ NW Oregon Radio Page
http://member.newsguy.com/~nworegon/orfreq.html

This site has PL's for OSP VHF Statewide:
http://members.dsl-only.net/~varine/osp2.htm
--
Best Regards,

Keith
==========
My Home Page http://n6jpa.home.attbi.com/
The Reply-to Address of this post is valid.
Medical care is a human right, not a profit margin.
==========

1195. NOTICE regarding free SWL LOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-26 23:12:10 UTC
From: MadDogMcQ <tom.mcq@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a7qv8a$43l$1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 50210

Hi All,
I should point out that the free SWL LOG which I recently advertised to any interested parties, needs the recipient to have a copy of Microsoft Access in order for it to work. I assumed that all those people who registered their interest on the website realised this, but maybe not all.

So for the sake of clarification, here's the System Requirements:
* Windows 98/ME/2K/XP
* Microsoft Access 2000
* 64Mb Ram

Owners of Access'97 may be able to run the database with some loss of features. Tests to be carried out shortly.

Regards, Tom McQ.

==========
ORIGINAL MESSAGE
Posted 24/3/02 Tom McQuiggan
==========
Hi All,
I've just written a database for myself and wonder if anyone else is interested in having a copy? I'm going to upload it shortly, but in the meantime you can find out more about it and tell me whether you are interested or not. Any constructive comments would be welcome.

The information page is pretty large, so loading won't be instant :-)

http://www.tom.mcq.btinternet.co.uk/ar3000/mcqlog.htm

Regards,

Tom McQ.
www.ar3000.info

.

1196. Re: F/S Bearcat 9000xlt LIKE NEW IN BOX $165 shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 00:41:34 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2z8o8.109875$7B2.2277398@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 50211
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

$165 shipped now.
"Bruce" <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:xJPn8.100057$7B2.2083122@news1.east.cox.net...
> Perfect condition and like new in box with everything. Box, antenna,
> manual, everything. Very low use. Price includes UPS shipping. $195
shipped.
>
>

1197. Re: F/A LAST 3 days Icom PCR-1000 NON Blocked with extras!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 00:57:44 UTC
From: Clay <clayschn@fast.net>
Message-ID: <3ca11913_1@news7.fast.net>
Source record: 50212

"Dennis" <DennisF@ABC.NET> wrote in message
news:a7qsau$n93ru$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> Heck, I have the same thing, Icom PCR-1000 with UT-106 (DSP). NEW in box RS
> Scantenna (not the discone tho). I bought the scantenna for a backup and the
> box is still sealed. Registered TalkPCR sooftware plus coax.
>
> If yours goes up high enough, I may try the same. Oh yeah UK unblocked as
> well. :)
>

Hmm... isn't that about what it would cost to go round-trip newark to gatwick,
rent a car, drive to walters and stanton, pick up a 1000 and still have change
for a pint?

1198. Re: PL's for Oregon Freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 01:16:18 UTC
From: "r.u. amused" <???@spamsux.net>
Message-ID: <C39o8.135563$uA5.117850@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 50213

Pretty much the I-5 corridor, and then the Oregon Coast. Driving from Sacramento, CA. next month, and I want to listen in.

Thanks!

James

"Keith" <nospamplease@newsguy.com> wrote in message news:a7qsij01php@enews4.newsguy.com...
> r.u. amused wrote:
>
> >Does anyone know of a website or anything that would have the PL tones
> >associated with frequencies for Oregon Public Safety Agencies? Any help
> >would be appreciated.
>
> What Part of Oregon?
>
> I have some for Salem, OR Area
> http://member.newsguy.com/~nworegon/ NW Oregon Radio Page
> http://member.newsguy.com/~nworegon/orfreq.html
>
> This site has PL's for OSP VHF Statewide:
> http://members.dsl-only.net/~varine/osp2.htm
> --
> Best Regards,
>
> Keith
> ==
> My Home Page http://n6jpa.home.attbi.com/
> The Reply-to Address of this post is valid.
> Medical care is a human right, not a profit margin.
> ==

1199. Re: F/A LAST 3 days Icom PCR-1000 NON Blocked with extras!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 02:14:43 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a7r9sj$nmovq$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50214

Mmmmmmmmmmmm Beer !

"Clay" <clayschn@fast.net> wrote in message
news:3ca11913_1@news7.fast.net...
>
> "Dennis" <DennisF@ABC.NET> wrote in message
> news:a7qsau$n93ru$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> > Heck, I have the same thing, Icom PCR-1000 with UT-106 (DSP). NEW in box
RS
> > Scantenna (not the discone tho). I bought the scantenna for a backup and
the
> > box is still sealed. Registered TalkPCR sooftware plus coax.
> >
> > If yours goes up high enough, I may try the same. Oh yeah UK unblocked
as
> > well. :)
> >
>
> Hmm... isn't that about what it would cost to go round-trip newark to
gatwick,
> rent a car, drive to walters and stanton, pick up a 1000 and still have
change
> for a pint?
>
>
>
>
>
>

1200. Re: NOTICE regarding free SWL LOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 02:27:34 UTC
From: Aaron <longwalker@ksserv.ks.com>
Message-ID: <geb2ausag1fnhp8966hsh45m69a5tep8i6@4ax.com>
Source record: 50215

On Tue, 26 Mar 2002 23:12:10 +0000 (UTC), "MadDogMcQ"
<tom.mcq@btinternet.com> wrote:

>Hi All,
>I should point out that the free SWL LOG which I recently advertised to any
>interested parties, needs the recipient to have a copy of Microsoft Access
>in order for it to work. I assumed that all those people who registered
>their interest on the website realised this, but maybe not all.
>
>So for the sake of clarification, here's the System Requirements:
>* Windows 98/ME/2K/XP
>* Microsoft Access 2000
>* 64Mb Ram

You have the office developers toolkit? IF so, put it out as a
distributable instead of requiring the users to have Access
installed.

Just a thought.

1201. Flight 93 audio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 03:20:10 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020326222010.08056.00000326@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 50216

I was just on MilAirComm.com and I'm downloading a wav file that is supposedly a recording of the terrorists on Flight 93, the plane that crashed on 9/11 in PA. Supposedly they accidentally talked over the radio instead of the PA a few times. Does anyone know if this is legit? The URL is:

http://www.milaircomms.com/audio_ua93.html
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

1202. Re: Flight 93 audio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 03:36:39 UTC
From: Alexander McNaughton <treehug3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <G6bo8.5439$oz6.1315963@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 50217

Sounds legit to me!!

VHFRadioBuff <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020326222010.08056.00000326@mb-cr.aol.com...
> I was just on MilAirComm.com and I'm downloading a wav file that is
supposedly
> a recording of the terrorists on Flight 93, the plane that crashed on 9/11
in
> PA. Supposedly they accidentally talked over the radio instead of the PA a
few
> times. Does anyone know if this is legit? The URL is:
>
> http://www.milaircomms.com/audio_ua93.html
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> http://edfas.tripod.com

1203. Re: HELP 900Mhz pone codes loser who can't spell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 03:53:00 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <onbo8.115$1j5.204700@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 50218

Pretty much. I was scanning way before the internet. I figured stuff out on my own. I never knew anyone else with a scanner, just me. And I actually scanned the airwaves. I would enter a high and a low and let it go. I figured things out on my own by trial and error and reading my owners manual. Simple.

I wanted to find the frequencies for my cordless phone. So I turned the phone on and scanned. When I got it, I'd save the frequency and change the channel on the phone, and repeat the process over and over. In less than an hour I found what I wanted to find. People today have no ingenuity these days. Too quick to ask someone for the info they had to find out themselves, for the most part. I call it being lazy and riding on the backs of others.

That's one of the things I love most about scanning. Just letting it scan. Not my programmed stuff, but starting low and just going until it hits the top. It's fun to see what you can find. Then finding something new and trying to figure out what it is. Finding new stuff on your own is fun, and it's why I still scan, and actually enjoy it. Discovering new (new to me) stuff is fun. Figuring out who it is, is fun. Asking someone else for the data and just plugging it in and listening isn't fun. It's boring.

"M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
news:WJyn8.862$vI3.1010886@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> I take it you were never a newbie who didn't know all the proper terms,
and
> never needed help?
>
> Mark
>
> "Those of you who think you know it all seriously annoy the hell out of
> those of us who actually do."
> (Don't know who said it but I've always liked it.)
>
> "Rob Taylor" <fireboy001@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:PQ9n8.716$JN1.108703@news.uswest.net...
> > Here's something I don't get. We all have scanners. What do you do with
a
> > scanner? Why don't you sit, like I have, and find them yourself? I have
a
> > lot of cordless phone frequencies. Because I found them myself. And they
> are
> > mine. I don't work for you.
> >
> > I hate lazy people who post here first before doing their own homework,
> like

> > reading their own manual and finding the most basic frequencies. Get a
> clue.
> > I actually know of a GOVERNMENT website that has all that info your
> looking
> > for. Their called the FCC. I've gotten a lot from there. Now quit
> bothering
> > us with dumb questions and do your own work for a change.
> >
> >
> > "Karen Williams" <kanesgirl@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:qQSm8.7012$Tk.385214@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > Does anyone know where to find codes for the cordless phones
> Specifically
> > > UNIDEN phones...
> > > I can find them for almost every other brand of phone but Uniden.
> > > Any Help??? PLEASE...
> > >
> > > If possible reply to me personally not on the group.
> > >
> > > my email is
> > > kanesgirl@earthlink.net
> > >
> > > Thanks
> > >
> > > --
> > > Karen...
> > >
> > > http://karenswrestlingpix.tripod.com
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1204. Re: Thanks for being a DICK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 04:15:30 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <3CA1479E.AF92B01C@mchsi.com>
Source record: 50219

>

Hi Karen,

What was the question? Can you please restate it?

Thanks Mike k8MB

1205. Re: Flight 93 audio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 05:08:46 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <ytco8.1005$0b.169815@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 50220

Airdisaster.com is a legit outfit, but that's not to say whether this recording is real--- or from them. I've heard it elsewhere in the last week or two.

Harry

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message news:20020326222010.08056.00000326@mb-cr.aol.com...
> I was just on MilAirComm.com and I'm downloading a wav file that is supposedly
> a recording of the terrorists on Flight 93, the plane that crashed on 9/11 in
> PA. Supposedly they accidentally talked over the radio instead of the PA a few
> times. Does anyone know if this is legit? The URL is:
>
> http://www.milaircomms.com/audio_ua93.html
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> http://edfas.tripod.com

1206. Re: HELP 900Mhz pone codes loser who can't spell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 05:42:45 UTC
From: kh6zv9@pe.net
Message-ID: <oZco8.812$HxK2.75825268@news2.randori.com>
Source record: 50221

Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com> wrote:
: stuff is fun. Figuring out who it is, is fun. Asking someone else for the
: data and just plugging it in and listening isn't fun. It's boring.

Huh?!? Listening to interesting freqs is what scanning in all about.
To each his own I guess.

Bob Masse' kh6zv9@PE.NET

1207. Re: Opinions Of Uniden BC7T and Driving Cross-Country

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 05:52:03 UTC
From: stavros@agora.rdrop.com
Message-ID: <3CA15F65.9F5A7DA3@agora.rdrop.com>
Source record: 50222
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

N Coburn wrote:

> For a driving trip across the country, what would be a better choice
> of units - the Uniden BC7T (with preprogrammed highway patrol
> frequencies), or a higher-end unit (such as the 780xlt), where one
> would have to manually program in the frequencies in each state? The
> user is a novice to scanners.
>
> Any opinions of the BC7T or similar units would be appreciated.

I've got the BCT 7, and been happy with it over the few years I've had it. However, it's uses are getting more limited as more cities get trunking. Most HP's haven't changed much, nor have the Air Patrols, so the alerts for them are still usefull-not 100%, but useful. I've found on the road that
being able to scan the CB channels to catch the trucker cross-talk is extremely helpful.

So, as to which is "better," that would depend on how much time and effort you want to put into it. You can add freqs. to the BCT7, but it's a pain, and the amount you can add is split into the different banks.

When I'm driving, I also use an AOR 8000 with all the city and county freqs

in it that I'm traveling through, along with a regular CB radio. My car looks
like an antenna farm.. <g>

--

-TTFN

-Steven

1208. Re: Thanks for being a DICK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 06:32:48 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <5Bdo8.643$yv4.225313@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 50223

She is looking for any 900MHz cordless phone frequencies, especially Uniden units. I gave her the link to Strong Signals website, but if you have any info at hand, I'm sure she'd appreciate it.

As for those who criticized her grammar and spelling (not you, Mike), this is not an English class, there are no "A"s being given out for correct syntax. As there are no perfect people on these NGs, how about lightening up! This is a hobby, it's supposed to be FUN!!

Mark

"Mike Burch" <libertybell7@mchsi.com> wrote in message news:3CA1479E.AF92B01C@mchsi.com...
> >
>
> Hi Karen,
>
> What was the question? Can you please restate it?
>
> Thanks Mike k8MB
>
>

1209. FLEX paging protocol ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 06:49:01 UTC
From: Peter Hunt <news@peterhunt.zoetermeernet.nl>
Message-ID: <a7rptr$56g$1@news1.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 50224
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi!

I'm looking for people who are familiar with the FLEX protocol
and can tell me something more about FLEX. Here in Holland
the dynamical group codes on our public safety FLEX network
are used a bit different than on commercial networks.

Also I'm looking for information on A/B/C/D FLEX and
4 level.

Bye, Peter Hunt.
Holland.

1210. Re: Opinions Of Uniden BC7T and Driving Cross-Country

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 06:55:30 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <C1eo8.1145$0b.195379@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 50225
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

A lot of "hard core" scannerheads, myself included, kinda shyed away
from
the BCT-7 since it's so time-consuming to put in a bunch of specific
frequencies... no number-pad. Not nearly as flexible as the main-line
radios.

But I got one at a good price, and found it terrific for
travel. It's beginning to get a bit "dated," with all the new 800-MHz
frequencies, but I found that the state-by-state preprogrammed police,
Highway Patrol & highway department "banks" are actually quite
accurate...even today. It also has "banks for, Fire/EMS, CB (I think),
News Media,
and a 25-channel "do-it-yourself" bank.

That, plus each of the service banks (police, Fire...) has from 10 to 25
empty channels where you can stash more stuff you want.

On the highway, I've found that you seldom have more than a few minutes
to listen to local PDs along the way... mainly State Police/Highway
Patrol...

I usually use the BCT7, but keep a more main-line handheld (I use a
BC2500xlt or a BC245) along for quick programming... but don't use it
all that much.

Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications
History

<stavros@agora.rdrop.com> wrote in message
news:3CA15F65.9F5A7DA3@agora.rdrop.com...
> N Coburn wrote:
>
> > For a driving trip across the country, what would be a better choice
> > of units - the Uniden BC7T (with preprogrammed highway patrol
> > frequencies), or a higher-end unit (such as the 780xlt), where one
> > would have to manually program in the frequencies in each state?
The
> > user is a novice to scanners.
> >
> > Any opinions of the BC7T or similar units would be appreciated.
>
> I've got the BCT 7, and been happy with it over the few years I've had
it.
> However, it's uses are getting more limited as more cities get

trunking.
> Most HP's haven't changed much, nor have the Air Patrols, so the
alerts
> for them are still usefull-not 100%, but useful. I've found on the
road
> that
> being able to scan the CB channels to catch the trucker cross-talk is
> extremely helpful.
>
> So, as to which is "better," that would depend on how much time and
effort
> you want to put into it. You can add freqs. to the BCT7, but it's a
pain,
> and the amount you can add is split into the different banks.
>
> When I'm driving, I also use an AOR 8000 with all the city and county
freqs
>
> in it that I'm traveling through, along with a regular CB radio. My
car
> looks
> like an antenna farm.. <g>
>
>
> --
>
> -TTFN
>
> -Steven
>
>

1211. Re: Radio Shack Pro-2042 base scanner SOLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 07:02:09 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <R7eo8.68219$in3.20450333@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 50226
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

This scanner has been SOLD!

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:F6Tn8.67061$in3.19747543@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> This is the Radio Shack Pro-2042 1000 channel base scanner. In like new
> condition, in the box with the manual, antenna, etc.
>
> $200.00 shipped USPS Priority, insured.
>
> Postal MO or PAYPAL only. No C.O.D.
>
> Photos available on request, eBay feedback under OFFDAN.
>
> e-mail only if interested.
>
> Dan
>
>

1212. AOR-2500 Opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 08:19:24 UTC
From: OptoScan <pro_2006_os456@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ggfo8.1366$Ns3.126149@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50227

Any opinions on the AOR-2500 and what kind of software is available for it
???

1213. Re: FLEX paging protocol ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 08:39:13 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <3CA18531.8BFCD095@nospam.com>
Source record: 50228
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,tnn.comm.pager,misc.emerg-services

Peter Hunt wrote:
>
> Hi!
>
> I'm looking for people who are familiar with the FLEX protocol
> and can tell me something more about FLEX. Here in Holland
> the dynamical group codes on our public safety FLEX network
> are used a bit different than on commercial networks.
>
> Also I'm looking for information on A/B/C/D FLEX and
> 4 level.
>
> Bye, Peter Hunt.
> Holland.

FLEX is fast! 64,000 bauds.

-Fred

1214. Re: FLEX paging protocol ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 08:50:58 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <SJfo8.368053$A44.21334534@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 50229
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,tnn.comm.pager,misc.emerg-services

?
to my knowledge flex is 3200 and 6400 baud.

<nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message news:3CA18531.8BFCD095@nospam.com...
> Peter Hunt wrote:
> >
> > Hi!
> >
> > I'm looking for people who are familiar with the FLEX protocol
> > and can tell me something more about FLEX. Here in Holland
> > the dynamical group codes on our public safety FLEX network
> > are used a bit different than on commercial networks.
> >
> > Also I'm looking for information on A/B/C/D FLEX and
> > 4 level.
> >
> > Bye, Peter Hunt.
> > Holland.
>
> FLEX is fast! 64,000 bauds.
>
> -Fred

1215. Re: AOR-2500 Opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 09:11:50 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <SZVFb5CWzYo8EwrH@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 50230

In article <ggfo8.1366$Ns3.126149@news1.news.adelphia.net>, OptoScan
<pro_2006_os456@yahoo.com> writes

>Any opinions on the AOR-2500 and what kind of software is available for it

Not the greatest unit ever produced. Based around the "logic" of the
AR2515 that was itself a modified AR2002 by ACE Communications but much
faster and with considerable more memory.

As for software a package produced by GESMUNDO was originally available
for it but the only other package to support it that I can recall was
ScanCat (DOS version).

--
Cheers,
JC
Javiation - Scanners & receivers from all the leading manufacturers
01274 639503
http://www.javiation.co.uk

1216. Re: F/A LAST 3 days Icom PCR-1000 NON Blocked with extras!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 12:13:32 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <1rd3aukmigkld92eebav1c5q8j0j1g075a@4ax.com>
Source record: 50231

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, "Dennis" <DennisF@ABC.NET> spake thusly

>If yours goes up high enough, I may try the same. Oh yeah UK unblocked as
>well. :)

Despite his pimping the auction here, the price hasn't gone up any further.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

1217. Re: FLEX paging protocol ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 13:26:49 UTC
From: Peter Hunt <news@peterhunt.zoetermeernet.nl>
Message-ID: <a7sh5r$la7$1@news1.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 50232
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,tnn.comm.pager,misc.emerg-services

> to my knowledge flex is 3200 and 6400 baud.

And to my knowlegde is FLEX 1600 and 3200 baud, only
4-level makes it 3200 and 6400 baud, not the actual bitrate.

These are things I'd like to discuss with someone
who *really* knows how the protocol works.

Bye, Peter Hunt.
Holland.

1218. Trunk Tracker with Radio-ID ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 13:30:08 UTC
From: Peter Hunt <news@peterhunt.zoetermeernet.nl>
Message-ID: <a7shc1$m8e$1@news1.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 50233
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi!

I'd like to know if Uniden or RadioShack has Trunk Trackers which are able
to show the Radio-ID of the transmitting radio.

This IS possible, that's for sure, but I was wondering if one of the
manufacturers
has already added this feature to one of their Trunk Trackers...

Bye, Peter Hunt.
Holland.

1219. What are your 5 favorite Harmonica MIDI Music file website?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 14:47:17 UTC
From: michaeled@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <3ca1da9b.1146859@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 50234

Hi Everyone:

I recently downloaded Harping program from www.harpingmidi.com on the advice of the knowledgeable harpsters from this newsgroup. Thanx, I love it. The program does everything that I need at this time in my harp playing experience.

BUT, I am having trouble finding Harmonica MIDI music files on the Web to download to play through the program. So, my question is.....

What are your 5 favorite Harmonica MIDI music file websites?

Thanx to all on the group for taking time in furthering my love of the of the Harmonica.

Michael

1220. Pro 94 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 14:57:59 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <X5lo8.2103$Ns3.225989@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50235

I have one for sale but don't know what a fair price is for it.Anyone interested please email me with serious offer.Or tell me what it is worth.Its in near mint condition with wall wart,and book no box.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002

1221. WinScan v2.1 Demo- New File?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 15:26:20 UTC
From: franka99@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <b6474f65.0203270726.6d26f2b3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50236

I just purchased the Unidex BC245XLT.

I downloaded and installed (on NT 4.0) the demo version of WinScan (2.1). When I click on New File..., I receive no data. Also, I do not know where to enter my zip code. Am I completely off track? Does the demo version do any downloading of frequencies?

If so, is this tool downloading the same data that the 1-900 number downloads?

Thanks in advance,
Frank

P.S. Is there a better 3rd party tool.

franka99@ix.netcom.com

1222. Re: Trunk Tracker with Radio-ID ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 15:31:10 UTC
From: List Administration <pro93-owner@smartgroups.com>
Message-ID: <Xns91DE6BB232533listowner@204.127.36.1>
Source record: 50237
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Peter Hunt" <news@peterhunt.zoetermeernet.nl> wrote in
news:a7shc1$m8e$1@news1.xs4all.nl:

> Hi!
>
> I'd like to know if Uniden or RadioShack has Trunk Trackers which are
> able to show the Radio-ID of the transmitting radio.
>
> This IS possible, that's for sure, but I was wondering if one of the
> manufacturers
> has already added this feature to one of their Trunk Trackers...
>
> Bye, Peter Hunt.
> Holland.
>
>
>
>

You mean does any scanner have the capability to allow the user to enter in
the ID of the transmitting station? The RS pro 92 and 93 have the ability
to do this.

--
PRO-93 Mailing List:
http://www.smartgroups.com/groups/pro93
pro93-subscribe@smartgroups.com

1223. Re: Trunk Tracker with Radio-ID ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 15:55:55 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com>
Message-ID: <rich-6A41ED.09555527032002@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50238
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <a7shc1$m8e$1@news1.xs4all.nl>,
"Peter Hunt" <news@peterhunt.zoetermeernet.nl> wrote:

> Hi!
>
> I'd like to know if Uniden or RadioShack has Trunk Trackers which are able
> to show the Radio-ID of the transmitting radio.
>
> This IS possible, that's for sure, but I was wondering if one of the
> manufacturers
> has already added this feature to one of their Trunk Trackers...
>
> Bye, Peter Hunt.
> Holland.
>
>
>

None of the current generations of TrunkTrackers (Either Uniden or Radio
Shack's) have this feature. You could use a software program such as
Trunker to display subscriber radios ID's as they come up on the system.
With one radio following the data channel with Trunker and another used
for voice following you could see this real-time.

Go to Lindsay Blanton's wonderful website at www.trunkedradio.net for
Trunker and more info.

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG
IL Highways and Scanner page: http://www.n9jig.com
Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association: http://www.carmachicago.com

1224. Re: FLEX paging protocol ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 16:14:06 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <idmo8.370346$A44.21383103@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 50239
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,tnn.comm.pager,misc.emerg-services

ya. I forgot about those two too. Haven't used it in awhile.

Dev

"Peter Hunt" <news@peterhunt.zoetermeernet.nl> wrote in message
news:a7sh5r$la7$1@news1.xs4all.nl...
> > to my knowledge flex is 3200 and 6400 baud.
>
> And to my knowlegde is FLEX 1600 and 3200 baud, only
> 4-level makes it 3200 and 6400 baud, not the actual bitrate.
>
> These are things I'd like to discuss with someone
> who *really* knows how the protocol works.
>
> Bye, Peter Hunt.
> Holland.
>
>
>

1225. CANADA:DAVID MONROE (PRO-2006 Shipped)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 17:25:50 UTC
From: Boom!Boom! <yomamma@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ygno8.167$SG2.19501@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50240

Hi David Monroe in Canada,I have the most special of all 2006's awaiting to grace your mailbox.

Features:Case with no Plastic (totally redone with x-ray proof whimsidookle for shipping of highly explosive parts)

LCD Display has been modified for direct injection of Hydrochloric acid directly to your face.(Only activated if you tell a lie)

Power Modification has been all done,you can attach it directly to your balls for the most intense scanning you have ever seen.

Ah yes and the most of the most of mods.....The DELAY. Delay has been totally redone.I'm so excited ,the delay has been arranged so that when you sit for x amounts of minutes in front of this glorious rig,scanning,ripping people off,sending bogus newsgroup ripoff messages,it senses your tenseness and automatically redirects your computer to the nearest frapping site on the internet...

And for you David what does this all cost,for you I think it should be free,yes even with all these fantastic mods that have been included,you pay nothing....kinda goes along with what you are - don't ya think??

1226. Re: FLEX paging protocol ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 18:40:38 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <vj34au089m86e0j6nomlgc8pm68f518gn3@4ax.com>
Source record: 50241
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Peter ......

The subject of 4 level flex is enormous and the amount of
available information is equally large. This page is a good start ..

http://www.google.com/search?q=cache:Wyve3ITcOnUC:www.mazumiwireless.com/flex_messaging_protocol.pdf+flex+4+level+protocol&hl=en

it and as many as 16,500 (search flex 4 level protocol ... and
230,000 searching flex 4 level) like it are available at a search
engine called google

http://www.google.com/

you will find engines like Google and Yahoo ..extremely useful
for subjects with so large an information base. The information
available there is likely to be WAY more complete and useful
than that type of information found here in this News Group.
At least , it would be a great starting place , maybe seeking
fill-in or clarification information on this news group ..or even on
one of the pager related NGs like alt.cellular .motorola ...
alt.cellular.tech ... where people that actually work on them
are hanging out.

I even use Google " when ever " I'm looking for a ANY type of
information and have found it " hugely " helpful nearly every time.

I recommend it ....

krackula

On Wed, 27 Mar 2002 07:49:01 +0100, "Peter Hunt"
<news@peterhunt.zoetermeernet.nl> wrote:

>Hi!
>
>I'm looking for people who are familiar with the FLEX protocol
>and can tell me something more about FLEX. Here in Holland
>the dynamical group codes on our public safety FLEX network
>are used a bit different than on commercial networks.
>
>Also I'm looking for information on A/B/C/D FLEX and
>4 level.
>
>Bye, Peter Hunt.
>Holland.

>
>

1227. Re: FS : Radio Shack Pro-92 B hnd held scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 19:00:22 UTC
From: PretzelKid <pretzelkid@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3CA216C4.559C02C0@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 50242
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hi Dan,
Quick question...does the B designation indicate the latest firmware version?
I'm not up on pro92 info yet, but I understand 3.28 is the latest firmware
version.
What version is the radio?
Not to worry on the stock ducky...got a whole pile of them in a box around here

somewhere....*s*...send a pic ok?
Thanks,
John

Dan Conti wrote:

> Radio Shack Pro-92 B hand held trunking scanner. Unit is in like new
> condition and includes the custom soft leather case from Radio Shack, High
> capacity 1800 maH NMH batteries, and instructions. No stock antenna - lost
> it, never used it.
>
> $200.00 shipped via Postal Priority mail, insured.
>
> Postal MO, or PAYPAL, no COD.
> No trades.
>
> Photos available upon request, eBay feedback under OFFDAN.
>
> E-mail only if interested.
>
> Dan

1228. SCANNER CLEAR OUT (5)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 19:41:54 UTC
From: Russell <rustyspam@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3CA22082.4060908@dccnet.com>
Source record: 50243

Hi there,
I have 5 scanner for sale,

-Pro-46 100 channel hand held, cellular capable in good condition
asking $150 plus shipping

-BC-3000XLT excellent condition, with box and manual, has been sitting
for a while and will need the battery replaced about $20
asking $130 plus shipping

-BC-760XLT 100 channel monile, not cellular capable,as ggod as new in
the box with all accessories
asking $140 plus shipping

-Pro-2041 400 channel base unit, mint condition with manual
asking $125 plus shipping

-Pro-2046 100 cahnnel mobile, with manual and mounting bracket,
excellent condition, recieves 800mhz, cell blocked
asking $75 plus shipping

I have pictures available if needed
Please e-mail me directly at the below address for any questions
Thanks-Russ rusty@dccnet.com

1229. Re: F/A LAST 3 days Icom PCR-1000 NON Blocked with extras!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 20:21:38 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a7t9ib$o531i$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50244

Yeah, I love my radio but I am not going to sell it unless the price is
right, which is probably more than what its worth... :)

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:1rd3aukmigkld92eebav1c5q8j0j1g075a@4ax.com...
> Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, "Dennis" <DennisF@ABC.NET>
spake thusly
>
> >If yours goes up high enough, I may try the same. Oh yeah UK unblocked as
> >well. :)
>
> Despite his pimping the auction here, the price hasn't gone up any
further.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

1230. Re: NOTICE regarding free SWL LOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 21:11:35 UTC
From: MadDogMcQ <tom.mcq@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a7tci6$dj2$1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 50245

I only wish I did have a copy of the Developer's Edition :-)))

McQ.

"Aaron" <longwalker@ksserv.ks.com> wrote in message
news:geb2ausag1fnhp8966hsh45m69a5tep8i6@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 26 Mar 2002 23:12:10 +0000 (UTC), "MadDogMcQ"
> <tom.mcq@btinternet.com> wrote:
>
> >Hi All,
> >I should point out that the free SWL LOG which I recently advertised to
any
> >interested parties, needs the recipient to have a copy of Microsoft
Access
> >in order for it to work. I assumed that all those people who registered
> >their interest on the website realised this, but maybe not all.
> >
> >So for the sake of clarification, here's the System Requirements:
> >* Windows 98/ME/2K/XP
> >* Microsoft Access 2000
> >* 64Mb Ram
>
> You have the office developers toolkit? IF so, put it out as a
> distributable instead of requiring the users to have Access
> installed.
>
> Just a thought.
>
>

1231. Re: CANADA:DAVID MONROE (PRO-2006 Shipped)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 21:25:25 UTC
From: Alexander McNaughton <treehug3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <xMqo8.8150$n82.1722420@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 50246

LOL

> Power Modification has been all done,you can attach it directly to your
> balls for the most intense scanning you have ever seen.

1232. Butt-fuckin Mediterranean virgins

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 22:40:32 UTC
From: Jim Whiteford <jimw@home.com>
Message-ID: <ATro8.19803$8r.5489@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 50147
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.sys.palmtops,alt.comp.sys.palmtop.pilot,comp.sys.hp48,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

About the reasons why it is practised other than personal preference, in several countries, or in certain minor cultures (specially in the Mediterranean area), anal intercourse is not eminently intended as a contraception method, but mainly as a way to perform sexual activities while preserving female virginity until marriage, this quality being sometimes the main virtue of a wife (and its loss before a formal marriage resulting in the worse moral crime a woman could commit).
Especially in less developed areas, a severe tradition regarding the first wedding night required that "the morning after" the husband exposed on a balcony the sheet used for nuptial bed, which had to present an evident blood spot, as a proof of previous chastity of the wife, presuming that the defloration necessarily produces bleeding (since this does not necessarily happen in every case, the lack of the sheet's exposition, or of the spot, could cause serious troubles to both, even in the case of a perfectly innocent woman who simply failed to bleed). Virginity, and its "correct" loss, had to be demonstrated this way to the public, as a matter of public relevance.

The reason why women accepted the practice of anal sex before marriage has to be therefore found in the need of offering a material proof of love, so that the promised spouse would not lose interest in them, marriage being the principal scope of a woman (in these cultures), without which she would be worth nothing. It has to be recalled that in small villages there were old women (former midwives) specialised in examining the conditions of hymen before the wedding.

In the same mentality, women were not officially allowed to find pleasure in sex, therefore sex was only served as a sort of marital prostitution; in this sense, under the sole husband's determination, anal sex was a form of contraception too in the case of couples who already had too many babies, since the ignorance about other methods was total. Similar facts were reported up to a very few decades ago, and it is currently impossible to certify whether this mentality has been totally abandoned or has otherwise been substancially modified.

1233. Butt-fuckin Mediterranean virgins

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 22:40:45 UTC
From: Jim Whiteford <jimw@home.com>
Message-ID: <NTro8.19806$8r.13622@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 50148
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.sys.palmtops,alt.comp.sys.palmtop.pilot,comp.sys.hp48,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

About the reasons why it is practised other than personal preference, in several countries, or in certain minor cultures (specially in the Mediterranean area), anal intercourse is not eminently intended as a contraception method, but mainly as a way to perform sexual activities while preserving female virginity until marriage, this quality being sometimes the main virtue of a wife (and its loss before a formal marriage resulting in the worse moral crime a woman could commit).
Especially in less developed areas, a severe tradition regarding the first wedding night required that "the morning after" the husband exposed on a balcony the sheet used for nuptial bed, which had to present an evident blood spot, as a proof of previous chastity of the wife, presuming that the defloration necessarily produces bleeding (since this does not necessarily happen in every case, the lack of the sheet's exposition, or of the spot, could cause serious troubles to both, even in the case of a perfectly innocent woman who simply failed to bleed). Virginity, and its "correct" loss, had to be demonstrated this way to the public, as a matter of public relevance.

The reason why women accepted the practice of anal sex before marriage has to be therefore found in the need of offering a material proof of love, so that the promised spouse would not lose interest in them, marriage being the principal scope of a woman (in these cultures), without which she would be worth nothing. It has to be recalled that in small villages there were old women (former midwives) specialised in examining the conditions of hymen before the wedding.

In the same mentality, women were not officially allowed to find pleasure in sex, therefore sex was only served as a sort of marital prostitution; in this sense, under the sole husband's determination, anal sex was a form of contraception too in the case of couples who already had too many babies, since the ignorance about other methods was total. Similar facts were reported up to a very few decades ago, and it is currently impossible to certify whether this mentality has been totally abandoned or has otherwise been substancially modified.

1234. Re: Butt-fuckin Mediterranean virgins

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 23:03:13 UTC
From: g@t.net
Message-ID: <20020327180313.186$1f@newsreader.com>
Source record: 50149
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.sys.palmtops,alt.comp.sys.palmtop.pilot,comp.sys.hp48,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Especially in less developed areas, a severe tradition regarding the
> first wedding night required that "the morning after" the husband exposed
> on a balcony the sheet used for nuptial bed, which had to present an
> evident blood spot, as a proof of previous chastity of the wife,
> presuming that the defloration necessarily produces bleeding (since this
> does not necessarily happen in every case, the lack of the sheet's
> exposition, or of the spot, could cause serious troubles to both, even in
> the case of a perfectly innocent woman who simply failed to bleed).

If I saw a white sheet with a red spot hanging from a balcony, I would
think they were flying a Japanese flag!

1235. Re: Butt-fuckin Mediterranean virgins

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 23:39:13 UTC
From: Sparks W4EAS <sparksw4eas@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <3CA25821.7888@mindspring.com>
Source record: 50150
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.sys.palmtops,alt.comp.sys.palmtop.pilot,comp.sys.hp48,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

And shoot the mother down! :-)

1236. Re: F/A LAST 3 days Icom PCR-1000 NON Blocked with extras!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-27 23:47:44 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020327184744.12052.00000272@mb-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 50151

>Subject: Re: F/A LAST 3 days Icom PCR-1000 NON Blocked with extras!!!
>From: Never anonymous Bud thekat@san.rxyzr.com
>Date: 3/27/2002 6:13 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1rd3aukmigkld92eebav1c5q8j0j1g075a@4ax.com>
>
>Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, "Dennis" <DennisF@ABC.NET> spake
>thusly
>
>>If yours goes up high enough, I may try the same. Oh yeah UK unblocked as
>>well. :)
>
>Despite his pimping the auction here, the price hasn't gone up any further.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
>Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
>It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

Did he remember to say it was the best radio ever made and that it was only
used on Sundays by a little old lady, at very low volume?

Neutrodyne

1237. Re: CT8200 CTCSS borard for AR8200 MKII SOLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 00:11:34 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Wcto8.68829$in3.21108101@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 50152
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

This item has been sold!

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:Mw4o8.67405$in3.20066318@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> CT-8200 CTCSS tone board for AOR AR 8200 MKII receiver. Brand new never
> used still in packaging. Photo available on request. eBay feedback under
> OFFDAN.
>
> $65.00 shipped Priority, insured. NO COD
>
> PAYPAL, or Postal money order only. No trades.
>
> E-mail if interested.
>
> Dan
>
>

1238. Re: AOR 8200 PCComputer Control LEad (Interface) for AOR AR 8200 MKII sold!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 00:12:24 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ldto8.68832$in3.21108696@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 50153
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

This item has been sold!

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:Wz4o8.67406$in3.20067065@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> AOR 8200 PC Computer COntrol Lead (interface) for AOR AR 8200 MKII
> receiver. Brand new never used still in packaging. Photo available on
> request. eBay feedback under OFFDAN.
>
> $75.00 shipped Priority, insured. NO COD
>
> PAYPAL, or Postal money order only. No trades.
>
> E-mail if interested.
>
> Dan
>
>

1239. Re: Pro 92, What's it worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 01:03:46 UTC
From: Nekochan <not@real.email.com>
Message-ID: <Xns91DECC18F3D7Cnotherecom@209.249.90.102>
Source record: 50154

vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux (VHFRadioBuff) wrote in
news:20020322234624.17234.00000348@mb-dd.aol.com:

>>Better audio, better selectivity, CTCSS/DCS, 500 channels, LTR
>>Trunking.
>>
>
> Dunno about the 1st 2 - PL tones - something I have no use for (and
> who really uses DCS? a few agencies in the whole country?)

Surprisingly, DPL/DCS comes in *amazingly* handy if one is scanning at car races. (I'd say probably *most* NASCAR Busch and Winston Cup frequencies, including the race control channels NASCAR themselves use, use DPL; a few are still using PL tones.)

I would also note that at least at some tracks (Bristol Motor Speedway among others) DPL/PL capability is almost *essential* if one doesn't want to deal with rather severe bleedover/interference problems. (Seventy-odd people broadcasting in a rather limited frequency space--in combination with all the OTHER traffic such as initerants, the usual business frequency users, etc.--means interference, which means DPL becomes essential.)

Incidentially, part of why car drivers have gone to DPL largely is the space in normal CTCSS tones isn't quite large enough, especially if one is dealing with fifty-odd users and around 200 frequencies, some of which are shared among teams. :)

(As a minor aside, yes, I do race scanning, and right now there are maybe two or three scanners on the market (the Pro92/Pro2067, the Relm/Racing Electronics RE2000 Alpha, and one of the newer Uniden models) that do have PL/DPL built in. Enough people have discovered how darned USEFUL PL/DPL capability is in a scanner that an awful lot of frequency guides for races include the DPL codes along with scanner frequencies :)

> 500
> channels?! I can barely fill half of the ones in the 2053 I have.

Depends on the area and what one is using the scanner for. Monitoring just police, fire, ambulance, hams, utilities, railroad and marine frequencies in my area (just outside of Louisville, KY) I can EASILY use up the 500 channels--and this isn't even including the trunking systems in use in my area, and this is just including the conventional frequencies in around a 10 mi radius! There is *no* way I could fit even all the police/fire/rescue frequencies plus utils on a Pro93; especially true if I want to do trunking of just the country trunked system.

> What's LTR trunking? Does anyone use it?

LTR is a trunking system used largely by businesses and the like--we have around four or five systems alone (including Business Radio and two separate systems run by Ford Motor Co.) that use LTR around here--in fact, LTR is actually more common than EDACS and is about even with Motorola systems around here.

The few non-LTR systems here include the county trunked system (mostly airport rescue and public works at this point, a strange little Motorola type IIi hybrid system), a brand new unknown-type system for the county school system, a small EDACS system run by General Electric, Radioland's Motorola type II, and UPS' monstrous Type II system. (The state police just converted to an ASTRO 25 digital system, but I don't count that because there isn't anything out yet that can decode it, and there won't be anything out for some time that isn't $800 a pop that can :P)

1240. MURS Radio Service is Starting to Grow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 02:07:14 UTC
From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5f4fe4c2.0203271807.3c05cbca@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50155

After a tentative beginning, overshadowed by Motorola's initial attempts to ban the service, and later attempts to water down the external antenna and data/telemetry permissions, the MURS service has gained a foothold, and is starting to see significant growth, with a number of manufacturers beginning to offer MURS-specific solutions.

MURS (Multi-Use Radio Service) is a fairly new no-license VHF personal radio service which operates on 151.820, 151.880, 151.940, 154.570, and 154.600 MHz with a maximum ERP (Effective Radiated Power) of 2 watts. The FCC MURS rules permit voice, data, and image communication; as well as external antennas. Unlike the Amateur Service, there are no restrictions on business activities with MURS, and the 2W ERP limit works as a major benefit for building a nationwide Internet-backboned public-access wireless network. Any low-power VHF business radio capable of meeting the newer FCC-mandated 7.5Khz channel spacing and 11.25Khz bandwidth limitations(most manufacturers refer to this as 12.5Khz channel spacing), can be used on the MURS frequencies; this includes most handheld business radios built after 1995, as well as some mobile radios, such as the Radio Shack 19-1210.

For discussions and more information on MURS, see:

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MURS-OPEN/

1241. SATCOM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 03:10:19 UTC
From: HFUTILITYMAN
Message-ID: <3ca288bc.3706769@news.newsgroups.st>
Source record: 50156

Presummed SATCOM on 265.550 NFM

3\25\02 00:00 utc Two OM's in SS ended with Ciao Ciao

3\26\0201:00 utc Digital

3\27\02 utc Digital

Anyone else have a good possible ID of the bird? Hearing Same?01:00
Digital seems to be a regular sked.

1242. New MDT Software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 03:39:34 UTC
From: NJSCAN <njscan@lycos.com>
Message-ID: <Wfwo8.25212$u77.9519659@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 50157

I've been hearing about a new version of MDT software that can copy 19,200 baud format. Any info?

1243. Re: REPOST:**New Jersey Declares NIGGERS Hazardous**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 04:47:52 UTC
From: Rats <rats@i4free.REMOVE.co.nz>
Message-ID: <a7u7cj$o39m8$1@ID-35866.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

They should try not to slash so hard. If you can prevent them from slashing so hard then the slip fielders may be spared.

Also if they are really that hazardous, perhaps the slip fielders and the keepers should try to improve their catching techniques?

Cheers
Rats "anyone remember that Faulty Towers episode?"

1244. Keypad wear-out prevention

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 05:19:50 UTC
From: Alexander McNaughton <treehug3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <fJxo8.8957$n82.2026194@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 50159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi

I was wondering how to prevent keypad wear-out, and I mean the numbers disappearing off the buttons. Is this caused by the secretions from the fingers? If so, would a crude solution like putting a piece of saran wrap over the keypad prolong the whiteness of the numbers?

Thanks

1245. Re: SATCOM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 05:32:24 UTC
From: J <jca1954@iprimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <3ca2aad5_1@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 50160

Where are you located ?

<HFUTILITYMAN> wrote in message news:3ca288bc.3706769@news.newsgroups.st...
> Presummed SATCOM on 265.550 NFM
>
> 3\25\02 00:00 utc Two OM's in SS ended with Ciao Ciao
>
> 3\26\0201:00 utc Digital
>
> 3\27\02 utc Digital
>
> Anyone else have a good possible ID of the bird? Hearing Same?01:00
> Digital seems to be a regular sked.
>

1246. Re: REPOST:**New Jersey Declares IGNORANCE Hazardous**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 06:03:31 UTC
From: glock45srock@aol.comCANNDHAM
Message-ID: <20020328010331.00556.00000854@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 50161

I believe in free speech and your right to be stupid, but this has nothing to do with the topic of scanners.

>
>March 27, 2002 Posted: 2:58 PM EST (1958 GMT)

>
>TRENTON, New Jersey (CNN) -- A New Jersey study suggesting that

1247. Re: REPOST:**New Jersey Declares NIGGERS Hazardous**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 06:09:19 UTC
From: Jason Derby <shadow007@iinet.net.au>
Message-ID: <3ca2b33e$0$5556@echo-01.iinet.net.au>
Source record: 50162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Rats" <rats@i4free.REMOVE.co.nz> wrote in message
news:a7u7cj$o39m8$1@ID-35866.news.dfncis.de...
> They should try not to slash so hard. If you can prevent them from
slashing
> so hard then the slip fielders may be spared.
>
> Also if they are really that hazardous, perhaps the slip fielders and the
> keepers should try to improve their catching techniques?
>
> Cheers
> Rats "anyone remember that Faulty Towers episode?"
>
>
LOL!! Now that beats the standard posting that follows something such as
this. Very witty.

Jason.
--
We live in the midst of the incomprehensible which is also detestable.
- Joseph Conrad

1248. Re: REPOST:**(garbage)**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 06:21:27 UTC
From: "\"Q\"" <trevise@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <HDyo8.233683$s43.54735720@typhoon.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 50163
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

What a maroon - the idiot that posted this already has had his account terminated. All that, just to post something like this. Makes ya wonder...

Just another one of those things that make ya go..."HMMmmmm..."

"Scott Pelley" <scott.pelley@cbs.com> wrote in message news:sn75au4uqn7h3gi0jtvta1ohfp2e10irsg@4ax.com...
REPOST
****
****

1249. Re: REPOST:**(garbage)**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 06:36:58 UTC
From: Dusty Trale <Dusty_Trale@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <eSyo8.647$BT6.69913@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 50164
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Why was his account canceled?

Dusty

""Q"" <trevise@despammed.com> wrote in message
news:HDyo8.233683$s43.54735720@typhoon.columbus.rr.com...
> What a maroon - the idiot that posted this already has had his account
> terminated. All that, just to post something like this. Makes ya wonder...
>
> Just another one of those things that make ya go..."HMMmmmm..."
>
>
> "Scott Pelley" <scott.pelley@cbs.com> wrote in message
> news:sn75au4uqn7h3gi0jtvta1ohfp2e10irsg@4ax.com...
> REPOST
> **********
> **********
>
>
>

1250. Re: Trunk Tracker with Radio-ID ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 07:16:29 UTC
From: Peter Hunt <news@peterhunt.zoetermeernet.nl>
Message-ID: <a7ufrdfuh1@news1.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 50165
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> None of the current generations of TrunkTrackers (Either Uniden or Radio
> Shack's) have this feature. You could use a software program such as
> Trunker to display subscriber radios ID's as they come up on the system.
> With one radio following the data channel with Trunker and another used
> for voice following you could see this real-time.

I know, I already use Trunker / E-trunker, but I was just wondering
if a scanner is already capable of capturing the Radio-ID's.

Thanks anyway :)

> Rich Carlson, N9JIG

Bye, Peter Hunt PE1RFR.

1251. Re: REPOST:**(garbage)**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 07:41:27 UTC
From: Jason Derby <shadow007@iinet.net.au>
Message-ID: <3ca2c8d6$0$5563@echo-01.iinet.net.au>
Source record: 50166
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

""Q"" <trevise@despammed.com> wrote in message
news:HDyo8.233683$s43.54735720@typhoon.columbus.rr.com...
> What a maroon

??????? From one moron to another it seems.

Jason.
--
We live in the midst of the incomprehensible which is also detestable.
- Joseph Conrad

1252. Re: HELP 900Mhz pone codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 10:00:57 UTC
From: Terry Bull <bbdb@asdf.com>
Message-ID: <tRBo8.126941$af7.67128@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 50167

Oh that makes a lot of sense. Waste a lot of your time telling her to do things the hard way.
It's that kind of thinking that really pulls civilization forward.

How do you know she hasn't already searched?
More to the point, that's why society invented questions. To find out something that someone already knows, so the work won't have to be redone. Imaging all of those questions that have been asked throughout history. Now Bozo you tries to thwart that cause you had to do some work, but in the end you had to *ask* someone or some computer the same question anyway. Yet you claim you did all the work yourself. No, you found out from somewhere because you asked.

As if his question has something to do with you and the trials you've been through.

As the saying goes, lead , follow, or get out of the way. It does not say OBSTRUCT people.

"Karen Williams" <kanesgirl@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:qQSm8.7012$Tk.385214@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Does anyone know where to find codes for the cordless phones Specifically
> UNIDEN phones...
> I can find them for almost every other brand of phone but Uniden.
> Any Help??? PLEASE...
>
> If possible reply to me personally not on the group.
>
> my email is
> kanesgirl@earthlink.net
>
> Thanks
>
> --
> Karen...
>
> http://karenswrestlingpix.tripod.com
>
>

1253. Re: REPOST:**New Jersey Declares NIGGERS Hazardous**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 10:25:49 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <NcCo8.10171$Eb5.910312@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 50168
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Keep it up, you'll make Oberkellner yet.

"Scott Pelley" <scott.pelley@cbs.com> wrote in message
news:sn75au4uqn7h3gi0jtvta1ohfp2e10irsg@4ax.com...
> REPOST
> **********
> **********
>

1254. Re: Keypad wear-out prevention

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 11:16:55 UTC
From: nrf <n.r.fildes@att.net>
Message-ID: <HYCo8.9928$se.969554@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 50169
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm not sure if you are talking about an ar8000, but clear nail polish can help - just re-apply before it is totally worn off....

nrf

"Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:fJxo8.8957$n82.2026194@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Hi
>
> I was wondering how to prevent keypad wear-out, and I mean the numbers
> disappearing off the buttons. Is this caused by the secretions from the
> fingers? If so, would a crude solution like putting a piece of saran wrap
> over the keypad prolong the whiteness of the numbers?
>
> Thanks
>
>

1255. Re: REPOST:**(garbage)**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 12:58:30 UTC
From: Mille18 <mille18@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <WrEo8.42125$44.15825824@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 50012
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Uh, I guess you just don't get it. Looks like other moron would be you.

"Jason Derby" <shadow007@iinet.net.au> wrote in message
news:3ca2c8d6$0$5563@echo-01.iinet.net.au...
> ""Q"" <trevise@despammed.com> wrote in message
> news:HDyo8.233683$s43.54735720@typhoon.columbus.rr.com...
> > What a maroon
>
> ??????? From one moron to another it seems.
>
> Jason.
> --
> We live in the midst of the incomprehensible which is also detestable.
> - Joseph Conrad
>
>
>

1256. Re: REPOST:**(garbage)**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 12:59:25 UTC
From: Mille18 <mille18@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <NsEo8.42126$44.15825975@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 50013
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

What, are you this stupid in real life or do you just play a dope on the Internet?

"Dusty Trale" <Dusty_Trale@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:eSyo8.647$BT6.69913@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Why was his account canceled?
>
> Dusty
>
> ""Q"" <trevise@despammed.com> wrote in message
> news:HDyo8.233683$s43.54735720@typhoon.columbus.rr.com...
> > What a maroon - the idiot that posted this already has had his account
> > terminated. All that, just to post something like this. Makes ya wonder...
> >
> > Just another one of those things that make ya go..."HMMmmmm..."
> >
> >
> > "Scott Pelley" <scott.pelley@cbs.com> wrote in message
> > news:sn75au4uqn7h3gi0jtvta1ohfp2e10irsg@4ax.com...
> > REPOST
> > **********
> > **********
> >
> >
> >
>

1257. Re: REPOST:**(garbage)**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 13:34:44 UTC
From: DougSlug <dw_firewall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <UZEo8.30601$u77.10746927@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 50170
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Seems Q's usage of "maroon" was ironic: a "maroon" is "a fugitive Negro slave of the West Indies and Guiana in the 17th and 18th centuries". I bet you didn't know that when Bugs Bunny said it!

I like the Conrad quote, Jason.

"Jason Derby" <shadow007@iinet.net.au> wrote in message news:3ca2c8d6$0$5563@echo-01.iinet.net.au...
> ""Q"" <trevise@despammed.com> wrote in message
> news:HDyo8.233683$s43.54735720@typhoon.columbus.rr.com...
> > What a maroon
>
> ??????? From one moron to another it seems.
>
> Jason.
> --
> We live in the midst of the incomprehensible which is also detestable.
> - Joseph Conrad
>
>
>

1258. Re: Nut

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 13:44:54 UTC
From: cryppie <nitr0man@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ua67itsrmgk75e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50171

Terry, stay on the medication please.

"Terry Bull" <bbdb@asdf.com> wrote in message
news:tRBo8.126941$af7.67128@rwcrnsc53...
> Oh that makes a lot of sense. Waste a lot of your time telling her to do
> things the hard way.
> It's that kind of thinking that really pulls civilization forward.
>
> How do you know she hasn't already searched?
> More to the point, that's why society invented questions. To find out
> something that someone already knows, so the work won't have to be redone.
> Imaging all of those questions that have been asked throughout history.
> Now Bozo you tries to thwart that cause you had to do some work, but in
the
> end you had to *ask* someone or some computer the same question anyway.
Yet
> you claim you did all the work yourself. No, you found out from somewhere
> because you asked.
>
> As if his question has something to do with you and the trials you've been
> through.
>
> As the saying goes, lead , follow, or get out of the way. It does not say
> OBSTRUCT people.
>
>
>
>
>
> "Karen Williams" <kanesgirl@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:qQSm8.7012$Tk.385214@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Does anyone know where to find codes for the cordless phones
Specifically
> > UNIDEN phones...
> > I can find them for almost every other brand of phone but Uniden.
> > Any Help??? PLEASE...
> >
> > If possible reply to me personally not on the group.
> >
> > my email is
> > kanesgirl@earthlink.net
> >
> > Thanks
> >

> > --
> > Karen...
> >
> > http://karenswrestlingpix.tripod.com
> >
> >
>
>

1259. Re: Keypad wear-out prevention

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 14:14:50 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20020328091450.22971.00000321@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 50172

>, would a crude solution like putting a piece of saran wrap over the keypad prolong the whiteness of the numbers?<

No doubt that it would help but would Probably be a big pain contending with the wrap. It might be easier to just order a new set (or two) of button covers (it's usually just a single sheet that covers all) while parts are still available for your scanner. It's too bad the manufacture can't just stamp the panel instead of the rubber buttons.

RM~

1260. Re: Keypad wear-out prevention

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 17:27:18 UTC
From: RadAct <jeniku@pobox.com>
Message-ID: <a7vjj601cf@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50173

I use a piece cut out from those page protectors that you put documents into. It's a little heavier plastic and it sort of clings together if you wrap it on itself. I use it for my BC245xlt, since there are two big holes in the leather case where the keypad and display are. I just wrap it around the scanner, then put the case on and there you go. On one of my scanners I even went as far as to turn the case inside out and super glue the piece of plastic over the hole and then turn the case right side out and that works great. I get about 1yr out of the plastic before it starts to look tatered.

Just my two cents.

> >, would a crude solution like putting a piece of saran wrap over the keypad
> prolong the whiteness of the numbers?<
>
> No doubt that it would help but would Probably be a big pain contending with
> the wrap. It might be easier to just order a new set (or two) of button covers
> (it's usually just a single sheet that covers all) while parts are still
> available for your scanner. It's too bad the manufacture can't just stamp the
> panel instead of the rubber buttons.
>
> RM~
>
>

1261. Re: 2ndREPOST:**New Jersey Declares NIGGERS Hazardous**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 18:01:27 UTC
From: ScanMan <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com>
Message-ID: <XTIo8.2282$cO1.784@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 50174
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

I must say. This guy makes a very poor troll.

"Scott Pelley" <scott.pelley@cbs.com> wrote in message
news:53l6au4l5b9qsfe720kh91ef74s9e80mtq@4ax.com...
> 2ndREPOST
> **********
> **********
>
> March 27, 2002 Posted: 2:58 PM EST (1958 GMT)
>
> New Jersey Finds Niggers to be Hazardous Drivers
>
> TRENTON, New Jersey (CNN) -- A New Jersey study suggesting black drivers
speed
> more than other drivers was released this week despite federal government
> concerns about its accuracy.
>
> The study found African-American motorists are more likely than white
drivers
> to exceed the 65 mph speed limit on the southern end of the New Jersey
> Turnpike. On a more urban stretch of the turnpike, where the speed limit
is 55
> mph, no difference was found, the report said.
>
> The state attorney general's office ordered the study as part of its
effort to
> comply with a consent decree it entered into with the U.S. Department of
> Justice, which accused State Police in April 1999 of racial profiling --
> targeting minority drivers for traffic stops -- and discriminating against
its
> own officers.
>
> The release of the study came Monday despite concerns by the Justice
> Department. Federal officials had questioned its methods and asked that it
be
> withheld.
>
> "We continue to believe that the survey results have not been shown to be
> valid or reliable," Mark A. Posner, a Justice Department attorney, wrote
in a
> January 8 letter to the New Jersey attorney general's office, according to
a
> report by The Associated Press.

>
> A spokeswoman with the Justice Department did not return a telephone
messages
> by the AP.
>
> A 1998 shooting of four minority men by troopers on the New Jersey
Turnpike
> inflamed accusations that state police targeted minority motorists.
>
> A year later, state officials admitted troopers practiced racial profiling
and
> agreed to reforms, including monitoring the race of motorists stopped by
> troopers, to avoid a Justice Department lawsuit.
>
> The study, conducted last spring and summer by the Public Services
Research
> Institute, was aimed solely at describing the racial and ethnic
distribution
> of speeders and non-speeders on the turnpike. Speeding was defined as
> exceeding the speed limit by 15 mph.
>
> "Ours is simply a survey of speeding. It's not a study of profiling
because
> for profiling you need two sets of information -- you need the data we
> produced on speeding and information on who the police cite, or ticket,"
said
> Robert Voas, senior research scientist with the Pacific Institute for
Research
> and Evaluation in Calverton, Maryland, of which the Public Services
Research
> Institute is a division.
>
> According to the study findings, in the 65 mph zone where motorists enter
the
> turnpike from Pennsylvania, drivers identified as African-American were 64
> percent more likely to be speeding than those of similar age and sex who
were
> identified as white.
>
> About 4,100 of the 26,334 drivers in the study were identified as
> African-American.
>
> Hispanics were not significantly different from white drivers, but those
> classified as "other" were 18 percent more likely to speed, the study
showed.
>
> The study found that drivers younger than 45 were more than three times
more
> likely to speed, and men were more likely to speed than women.
>

> In the 55 mph speed zone in the northern end of the turnpike, which is
more
> urban, there was no statistically reliable difference found between
> African-American and white drivers, the study found.
>
> The study, which was done between March and June 2001, matched photographs
of
> drivers taken by special cameras with radar-gun readings of their speed
along
> 14 locations on the turnpike.
>
> Three people scored each picture independently, and made a decision on
> ethnicity. At least two evaluators agreed on 26,334 of the drivers, said
> Robert Voas, senior research scientist at the Pacific Institute for
Research
> and Evaluation.
>
> The ethnic makeup was nearly identical to a study done a year earlier in
which
> motorists identified their own ethnic makeup, he said.
>
> "That gave us more confidence in our results," Voas said.
>
> The state police have adopted a formal policy prohibiting troopers from
> considering a person's race except where that "characteristic is directly
and
> specifically related to particular criminal activity."
>
> New Jersey state Attorney General David Samson said the release of the
report,
> ordered by Gov. James McGreevey, will allow for a public evaluation of its
> results, The Associated Press reported. The Justice Department has
> commissioned its own study of the report's reliability, Samson said.
>
> http://www.cnn.com/2002/LAW/03/27/nj.speeding.study/index.html
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.343 / Virus Database: 190 - Release Date: 3/22/2002

1262. 900 mhz phone Frequently's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 18:19:15 UTC
From: "Mrs. Baker" <Baker@bkonlinenet.com>
Message-ID: <D8Jo8.125650$ZR2.50534@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 50175
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I was wondering. What frequencies are there for 900 mhz phones? Doesn't matter what kind of phone - I'll take whatever you got!!

Please hurry though.

1263. Re: 900 mhz phone Frequently's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 18:38:03 UTC
From: JELo <jelo@nospammail.com>
Message-ID: <3ca36058_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 50176
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

> I was wondering. What frequencies are there for 900 mhz phones? Doesn't
> matter what kind of phone - I'll take whatever you got!!
>
> Please hurry though.
Search the web, there is publicly available information from sites like:
http://www.totse.com/en/media/radio_scanner_frequency_lists/

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1264. Re: 900 mhz phone Frequently's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 18:50:40 UTC
From: ScanMan <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com>
Message-ID: <4CJo8.2738$0x1.2236@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 50177
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I don't believe his ? was is it illegal or not. He wanted to know what frequencies they are.

I will help you with that.

They are in the 900 MHz ranges.

:P

"tw��,.." <.tw,@nothomeexcite5,yahoomsnATTBI.org.com> wrote in message news:LbJo8.151780$702.27264@sccrnsc02...
> X-No-Archive: yes
>
> "Mrs. Baker" <Baker@bkonlinenet.com> wrote in message news:D8Jo8.125650$ZR2.50534@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> | I was wondering. What frequencies are there for 900 mhz phones?
Doesn't
> | matter what kind of phone - I'll take whatever you got!!
> |
> | Please hurry though.
>
> It is illegal in the United States to monitor cordless and cellular phone transmissions.
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.343 / Virus Database: 190 - Release Date: 3/22/2002

1265. Re: Keypad wear-out prevention

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 18:54:10 UTC
From: "Mrs. Baker" <Baker@bkonlinenet.com>
Message-ID: <mFJo8.147279$uA5.128835@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 50178
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Keypad wear-out is not caused so much by the secretions of your fingers as it is the moisture in your breath. Put a roll of Saran Wrap around your head.

"Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:fJxo8.8957$n82.2026194@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Hi
>
> I was wondering how to prevent keypad wear-out, and I mean the numbers
> disappearing off the buttons. Is this caused by the secretions from the
> fingers? If so, would a crude solution like putting a piece of saran wrap
> over the keypad prolong the whiteness of the numbers?
>
> Thanks
>
>

1266. Re: 900 mhz phone Frequently's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 19:02:04 UTC
From: "Edward B." <nospam@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <MMJo8.1143$9K7.55836147@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50179
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

try this link.....

http://www.angelfire.com/sc/scannerpost/phone.html

ED

1267. Re: 2ndREPOST:**New Jersey Declares NIGGERS Hazardous**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 19:13:07 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7XJo8.10737$Eb5.964449@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 50180
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"ScanMan" <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com> wrote in message
news:XTIo8.2282$cO1.784@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> I must say. This guy makes a very poor troll.
>

He is a neo-Nazi recruiter bucking for Oberkellner down at the militia.
He hasn't figured out yet that an Oberkellner is a headwaiter.

1268. Buffalo Area Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 19:37:40 UTC
From: Adam Carter <scrod21@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <B8C8DB34.C8F%scrod21@adelphia.net>
Source record: 50181

I'm looking for a Recent list of Buffalo area (Tonawanda, Buffalo, Kenmore)
It appears that Radioshack no longer prints their Police call book with
frequencies Listed... Any help be it websites, or posted/ e-mailed lists
would be helpful.

1269. Re: 900 mhz phone Frequently's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 20:46:21 UTC
From: MRK <me@you.com>
Message-ID: <ua709qelkr7n69@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Base to handset is usually 920-930 Handset to base is usually 900-910 (give or take a few megahertz)

"Mrs. Baker" <Baker@bkonlinenet.com> wrote in message news:D8Jo8.125650$ZR2.50534@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> I was wondering. What frequencies are there for 900 mhz phones? Doesn't
> matter what kind of phone - I'll take whatever you got!!
>
> Please hurry though.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1270. Re: 900 mhz phone Frequently's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 21:34:50 UTC
From: fusQuanto <futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <20020328153502.141664da.futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Source record: 50183
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 28 Mar 2002 18:22:36 GMT
"twï¿½ï¿½,.," <.tw,@nothomeexcite5,yahoomsnATTBI.org.com> wrote:

> X-No-Archive: yes
>
> "Mrs. Baker" <Baker@bkonlinenet.com> wrote in message
news:D8Jo8.125650$ZR2.50534@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> | I was wondering. What frequencies are there for 900 mhz phones? Doesn't
> | matter what kind of phone - I'll take whatever you got!!
> |
> | Please hurry though.
>
> It is illegal in the United States to monitor cordless and cellular phone transmissions.
>
>

shut up fag

1271. Re: BC895xlt Mod ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 23:07:55 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <fnNo8.227112$kb.12684811@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 50184

if you are in toronto. try buying something that is a canadian model. most uniden and radioshack models are not canadian. canadian restricions on radios are different then US models.

Dev

"Paul Gour" <paul.gour@sympatico.ca> wrote in message news:3C9F4727.AD2E92A8@sympatico.ca...
> I'm in the ottawa area!
>
> Devin wrote:
>
> > where in canada are you paul ?
> >
> > almost all new uniden and radioshack models are not modable. Should of
> > looked elsewhere before going to canada radioshack. What is there to listen
> > to in the cell band anyways. it is boring. Very lame if you don't know the
> > person. and more boring.
> >
> > "Paul Gour" <paul.gour@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
> > news:3C9E7E73.EE991091@sympatico.ca...
> > > Can someone help me find the cell mod for the bearcat 895xlt.
> > >
> > > Thanks for the help guys!
> > >
> > > Paul!
> > >
>

1272. WTB Uniden ubc 9000xlt or ubc 780xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-28 23:38:51 UTC
From: cbvarmint@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020328183851.15155.00000601@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 50185

WTB uniden ubc 9000xlt or ubc 780xlt units must be in new condition. With box manual antenna & power supply. Must be reasonable priced.

1273. FIELD PROGRAMABLE VHF 40CH PORTABLE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-29 01:21:15 UTC
From: David M Schertzer <schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <18g7au8891qeqjk5rq69affeaedk76qs7c@4ax.com>
Source record: 50186

(1) ICOM V68 *40ch* 5-watt -VHF-

*** DTMF (User programmable - Front face) ****

(1) ICOM BC75A Rapid Desk Charger
(1) ICOM BP160 Rechargeable Battery pack
(1) ICOM BP130 Rechargeable Battery pack
(1) ICOM "AA" Emergency Battery pack (6- AA type batteries)
(1) EM46 Sprk-mic
(1) CP13L Cigarette Lighter adaptor with Noise filter
(1) Org. Instruction Manual + boxes

$200USD + $15USDship Payment via USPS International M/O.

Email for questions or info, spec sheet avail also.
This is the equiv. to the Motorola GP68 version.

1274. FS or Trade Trunk Tracker Pro-94 1000 Channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-29 01:56:35 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <nRPo8.5057$SG2.413550@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50187
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have one for sale but don't know what a fair price is for it.Anyone interested please email me with serious offer.Or tell me what it is worth.Its in near mint condition with wall wart,and book no box.I am also interested in trades.Let me know what you got.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002

1275. Re: yupiteru mvt-7300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-29 02:00:04 UTC
From: bobar8@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020328210004.01915.00000710@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 50188

hi i have a yupi 7300 and simply love it.
never used a 7100 so i cant compare
them. but the 7300 has excellent sensitivity
especially in the aircraft band. i love
the dual color display and the descambler.
bob

1276. Re: Radio Shack Pro-92 B hnd held scanner - SOLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-29 02:04:51 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <7ZPo8.69525$in3.22178820@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 50189
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

This item has been SOLD!

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:hcTn8.67075$in3.19751625@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> Radio Shack Pro-92 B hand held trunking scanner. Unit is in like new
> condition and includes the custom soft leather case from Radio Shack, High
> capacity 1800 maH NMH batteries, and instructions. No stock antenna -
lost
> it, never used it.
>
> $200.00 shipped via Postal Priority mail, insured.
>
> Postal MO, or PAYPAL, no COD.
> No trades.
>
> Photos available upon request, eBay feedback under OFFDAN.
>
> E-mail only if interested.
>
> Dan
>
>

1277. Re: 2ndREPOST:**New Jersey Declares NIGGERS Hazardous**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-29 02:46:18 UTC
From: djdoom <djdoomdumas@yahoo.ca>
Message-ID: <3ca3d27e$1_4@news.nntpserver.com>
Source record: 50190
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

And your article isn't biased calling black people niggers at the start...
I wonder in what newspaper that's been published... My guess is that it
wasn't in the New-York Times!

1278. Re: FS or Trade Trunk Tracker Pro-94 1000 Channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-29 02:59:10 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <2MQo8.5199$SG2.423650@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50191
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

This scanner aslo has 4 new nicads and AC charger that I will throw in.
"N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:nRPo8.5057$SG2.413550@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> I have one for sale but don't know what a fair price is for it.Anyone
> interested please email me with serious offer.Or tell me what it is
> worth.Its in near mint condition with wall wart,and book no box.I am also
> interested in trades.Let me know what you got.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002

1279. Bendix King LPX2101 800 Mhz LTR Portable (3) FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-29 03:23:47 UTC
From: bfdp1@msn.com
Message-ID: <1235373c.0203281923.14aa4b87@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50192

Bendix King LPX2101 800 Mhz LTR Portables

Have three, two with antennas, They power up. One battery, which is good and takes a charge. Radios are well used, with metal cases. All are intact with no dents or dings. All knobs. Will sell all three for $25 + $ 6 shipping.

John

Boise, Idaho

1280. Bendix King LPX2101 800 Mhz LTR Portable (3) FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-29 03:29:04 UTC
From: bfdp1@msn.com
Message-ID: <1235373c.0203281929.3c940c8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50193

Bendix King LPX2101 800 Mhz LTR Portables

Have three, two with antennas, They power up. One battery (fully
charged) which is good. Radios are well used, with metal cases. All
are intact with no
dents or dings. All knobs. Will sell all three for $25 + $ 6 shipping.
Have scan

John

Boise, Idaho

1281. Re: 900 mhz phone Frequently's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-29 04:30:23 UTC
From: "Mrs. Baker" <Baker@bkonlinenet.com>
Message-ID: <z5So8.128309$ZR2.51765@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 50194
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Gee! It looks like my question created quite a stir!

I apologize.

You see, I am 60 year old grandmother of 4 and I am blind. I must conduct all of my business over the phone. I am able to send limited email with my transcriber, but I am not able to search the "web" as you call it.

So you see I want to make sure that my telephone calls are secure. A friend of mine warned my that my calls me be scannable, so I am desperate to find out.

I did call Uniden, and they would not tell me. I also called the FCC and got no response. In fact I can't seem to get help anywhere.

I appreciate your thoughtfulness, and I am most happy to find out for my self, I just don't know where to start.

So I did not expect to get a big bowl of idiocy from you jackass moron clowns. If I could make you a heaping plateful of Grandma's shove it, it would make me happy. You obviously were shortchanged by the Lord, your perents were alcoholic zoo animals.

"Mrs. Baker" <Baker@bkonlinenet.com> wrote in message
news:D8Jo8.125650$ZR2.50534@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> I was wondering. What frequencies are there for 900 mhz phones? Doesn't
> matter what kind of phone - I'll take whatever you got!!
>
> Please hurry though.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1282. Re: 900 mhz phone Frequently's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-29 04:42:17 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <JgSo8.227935$kb.12793308@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 50195
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

lol, that there prove you aren't a old bat.

"Mrs. Baker" <Baker@bkonlinenet.com> wrote in message
news:z5So8.128309$ZR2.51765@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> Gee! It looks like my question created quite a stir!
>
> I apologize.
>
> You see, I am 60 year old grandmother of 4 and I am blind. I must conduct
> all of my business over the phone. I am able to send limited email with
my
> transcriber, but I am not able to search the "web" as you call it.
>
> So you see I want to make sure that my telephone calls are secure. A
friend
> of mine warned my that my calls me be scannable, so I am desperate to find
> out.
>
> I did call Uniden, and they would not tell me. I also called the FCC and
> got no response. In fact I can't seem to get help anywhere.
>
> I appreciate your thoughtfulness, and I am most happy to find out for my
> self, I just don't know where to start.
>
> So I did not expect to get a big bowl of idiocy from you jackass moron
> clowns. If I could make you a heaping plateful of Grandma's shove it, it
> would make me happy. You obviously were shortchanged by the Lord, your
> perents were alcoholic zoo animals.
>
>
>
> "Mrs. Baker" <Baker@bkonlinenet.com> wrote in message
> news:D8Jo8.125650$ZR2.50534@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> > I was wondering. What frequencies are there for 900 mhz phones?
Doesn't
> > matter what kind of phone - I'll take whatever you got!!
> >
> > Please hurry though.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
>
>

1283. Re: ***Niggers Gang Rape Young Girls: England***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-29 07:21:09 UTC
From: Spoon Man <Stan@NeverFalls.com>
Message-ID: <AAUo8.11457$Ou.5574@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50196
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"What are these guys doing up here?" - Todd Rundgren

1284. Re: ***Niggers Gang Rape Young Girls: England***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-29 09:08:03 UTC
From: kopperflash <kopperflash@pdq.net>
Message-ID: <94E8E581D7EE60B0.AA78363B4C10005D.72981563DFE00172@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 50097
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

It was already struck out by the server when I tried to open it
So who owes beer for a first.
Me for never seeing this N.G. edited , or the N.G. for actually editing .
O.K. I'll by beer on my end
"Spoon Man" <Stan@NeverFalls.com> wrote in message
news:AAUo8.11457$Ou.5574@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
> "What are these guys doing up here?" - Todd Rundgren
>
>
>

1285. Re: ***Niggers Gang Rape Young Girls: England***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-29 10:15:46 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <m9Xo8.11795$Eb5.1066353@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 50098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Spoon Man" <Stan@NeverFalls.com> wrote in message
news:AAUo8.11457$Ou.5574@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
> "What are these guys doing up here?" - Todd Rundgren

A neo-Nazi trolling for recruits.

1286. Re: Handheld Antenna's question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-29 12:58:17 UTC
From: Toydriver <"Toy driver"@ded.com>
Message-ID: <a81ode$hq6$1@suaar1aa.prod.compuserve.com>
Source record: 50099
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Such insight Multi
Just one quick question before I sell you a cellphone
What other chain store has as much scanner stuff that you can look at and
touch BEFORE you buy?
Don't just be negative, offer me a suggestion as to where to shop instead!

"multi" <multi@bahn.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.17047a2644252d10989680@news.verizon.net...
> Radio Shack Suck!
>
> In article <3C88E969.3837@gwis.com>, jacki2@gwis.com says...
> > tazdog wrote:
> > >
> > > I have a bc220xlt handheld
> > > and is there any way to get another\better antenna to increase range
and/or
> > > better reception.
> > >
> > > Thanks for your help,
> > > Newbie - Scott
> >
> > Get yourself a telescopic antenna. You will be amazed at the increase in
> > range. Radio Shack has them for around ten bucks. If you want a flexible
> > antenna, try the Diamond brand model RH-77CA. It costs around forty
> > dollars. You can get them at Ham Radio dealers. I have one and it has
> > nearly doubled my receiving range.
> > PHF
> >

1287. Need discriminator mod BC650xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-29 13:59:17 UTC
From: Paul Kersey <mr_upskirt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Po_o8.567$1W1.18970@news1.mts.net>
Source record: 50100

Anyone know where I can find the discriminator output for this model?

1288. Re: FS or Trade Trunk Tracker Pro-94 1000 Channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-29 14:04:18 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020329090418.21793.00000261@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 50101

In article <nRPo8.5057$SG2.413550@news1.news.adelphia.net>, "N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> writes:

>I have one for sale but don't know what a fair price is for it.Anyone >interested please email me with serious offer.Or tell me what it is >worth.Its in near mint condition with wall wart,and book no box.I am also >interested in trades.Let me know what you got.

"What it's worth" is what someone is willing to pay for it. Check eBay's completed auctions. These days, this is the most accurate indication of "real world" pricing on any given item.

1289. Re: ***Niggers Gang Rape Young Girls: England***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-29 14:35:41 UTC
From: twinzlo@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <9dcd5bec.0203290635.13bdafc0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50102
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Spoon Man" <Stan@NeverFalls.com> wrote in message
news:<AAUo8.11457$Ou.5574@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>...
> "What are these guys doing up here?" - Todd Rundgren

S.M.A.

1290. Comments on PRO-2053: Pro or Con ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-29 15:16:03 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020329101603.16889.00000608@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 50103

I'm interested in any opionions from users of the PRO-2053 scanner. I'm conisdering one for a friend who will use it only at home.

I wasn't sure if you could program the Alpha-Numeric talk group ID tags into this one like you can with the 2067.

Many thanks,
Geo.

1291. What happened to MESSAGE TRACKER?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-29 15:19:33 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020329101933.16889.00000609@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 50104

Does anyone know what became of K&L Technology's MESSAGE TRACKER?

This was the serial interface and software used for monitoring alpha numeric paging systems. It could read POCSAG and GOLAY and perhaps other forms.

I wondered if MESSAGE TRACKER could copy some of the MDT traffic?

Thanks,

Geo.

1292. Cops using Laptops not MDT's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-29 15:22:12 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020329102212.16889.00000613@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 50105

In our area the local police are using laptops mounted in the cruisers rather than the regular Motorola Mobile Data Terminals as many other departments use.

Any idea what frequency range they would use such a the alpha-numeric paging channels ?

Thanks,

Geo.

1293. Re: Need discriminator mod BC650xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-29 15:39:19 UTC
From: Paul Kersey <mr_upskirt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <CS%o8.580$1W1.18999@news1.mts.net>
Source record: 50106

Should be a model 560.

"Paul Kersey" <mr_upskirt@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Po_o8.567$1W1.18970@news1.mts.net...
> Anyone know where I can find the discriminator output for this model?
>
>
>

1294. Re: ***Niggers Gang Rape Young Girls: England***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-29 17:28:22 UTC
From: Terry <nepvetm@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Wu1p8.91477$Vl.3296636@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 50107
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

kopperflash <kopperflash@pdq.net> wrote in message
news:94E8E581D7EE60B0.AA78363B4C10005D.72981563DFE00172@lp.airnews.net...
> It was already struck out by the server when I tried to open it
> So who owes beer for a first.
> Me for never seeing this N.G. edited , or the N.G. for actually editing .

Thats just on your side then because the post came thru to mine.

> O.K. I'll by beer on my end
> "Spoon Man" <Stan@NeverFalls.com> wrote in message
> news:AAUo8.11457$Ou.5574@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
> > "What are these guys doing up here?" - Todd Rundgren

1295. Re: ***Niggers Gang Rape Young Girls: England***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-29 17:33:33 UTC
From: Das Rat <allyourrat@arebelong.us>
Message-ID: <Xns91E061A2EAF57yuoassnozzler@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 50108
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Terry" <nepvetm@gt.rr.com> wrote in
news:Wu1p8.91477$Vl.3296636@typhoon.austin.rr.com:

>
> kopperflash <kopperflash@pdq.net> wrote in message
> news:94E8E581D7EE60B0.AA78363B4C10005D.72981563DFE00172@lp.airnews.net.
> ..
>> It was already struck out by the server when I tried to open it
>> So who owes beer for a first.
>> Me for never seeing this N.G. edited , or the N.G. for actually
>> editing .
>
> Thats just on your side then because the post came thru to mine.
>
>> O.K. I'll by beer on my end
>> "Spoon Man" <Stan@NeverFalls.com> wrote in message
>> news:AAUo8.11457$Ou.5574@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
>> > "What are these guys doing up here?" - Todd Rundgren
>
>
>

Heh, reminds me of a year ago when I was in Iowa with friends and we stopped at this bar in the middle of
nowhere, right next to some farmlands. We got drunk and started fooling around. At one point we made a bet - I
boasted that I could bring a cow to an orgasm in 5 minutes.

So we got out, walked for about 6 minutes to the nearby paddocks, I jumped over the fence and there was a
bunch of sleeping cows on the ground. So I sneaked up behind one, dropped my pants, lifted up the tail, used
the fingers to spread the entry, and moved in all the way. But the thing is quite loose, so I inserted the index and
middle finger of my right hand as well, while keeping the tail out of the way with left hand. Done that, started
pumping in and out. The cow woke up, got confused at first, but then it frightened her and she started thrashing
around. I kept balance for a bit, but then lost control and she has thrown me away. Lost the damn bet.

But that was good fun, the problem started later. A few days after, I got a rash on my genitals, the scrotum
started itching and got red. I went to the doc, he looked at it, then slowly looks back at

me and first thing he
says "You havent been doing anything with farm animals, have you?". Oh man, that was so embarassing. I
couldnt admit it with a straight face and mumbled some excuse. He gave me a cream that I had to apply to the
affected area daily, and the problem passed after a week or so.

1296. Re: Buffalo Area Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-29 18:00:26 UTC
From: wleamons <wleamons@socket.net>
Message-ID: <ua9au8r10thg74@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50109

try cityfreq.com
"Adam Carter" <scrod21@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:B8C8DB34.C8F%scrod21@adelphia.net...
> I'm looking for a Recent list of Buffalo area (Tonawanda, Buffalo,
Kenmore)
> It appears that Radioshack no longer prints their Police call book with
> frequencies Listed... Any help be it websites, or posted/ e-mailed lists
> would be helpful.
>

1297. Re: Keypad wear-out prevention

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-29 18:03:02 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ua9avgqog52185@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50110
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

By the sounds of your intelligent response, I would assume that you have already tried your idea... Only someone who has brain damage due to lack of oxygen would have come up with a response like yours...

PH

"Mrs. Baker" <Baker@bkonlinenet.com> wrote in message news:mFJo8.147279$uA5.128835@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> Keypad wear-out is not caused so much by the secretions of your fingers as
> it is the moisture in your breath. Put a roll of Saran Wrap around your
> head.
>
> "Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:fJxo8.8957$n82.2026194@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > Hi
> >
> > I was wondering how to prevent keypad wear-out, and I mean the numbers
> > disappearing off the buttons. Is this caused by the secretions from the
> > fingers? If so, would a crude solution like putting a piece of saran
wrap
> > over the keypad prolong the whiteness of the numbers?
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> >
>
>

1298. Re: ***Niggers Gang Rape Young Girls: England***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-29 18:05:22 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ua9b3p3pvi8de5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50111
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Terry" <nepvetm@gt.rr.com> wrote in message
news:Wu1p8.91477$Vl.3296636@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
>
> kopperflash <kopperflash@pdq.net> wrote in message
> news:94E8E581D7EE60B0.AA78363B4C10005D.72981563DFE00172@lp.airnews.net...
> > It was already struck out by the server when I tried to open it
> > So who owes beer for a first.
> > Me for never seeing this N.G. edited , or the N.G. for actually editing
.
>
> Thats just on your side then because the post came thru to mine.

Lucky guy you are... I never saw it.. Didn't even come here. Cool thing
is most of these types of posts never do... That goes for all the other
types of SPAM as well. Most never show up.. Thankfully!

PH

>
> > O.K. I'll by beer on my end
> > "Spoon Man" <Stan@NeverFalls.com> wrote in message
> > news:AAUo8.11457$Ou.5574@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
> > > "What are these guys doing up here?" - Todd Rundgren
>
>

1299. Re: Cops using Laptops not MDT's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-29 18:11:16 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <872p8.381897$A44.22226800@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 50112

They could be like most of British Columbia. These termonals in our cars are laptops. The way they are set up here may differ from other places. This came from a techie. These laptops are now CDPD. CDPD is a ecrypted digital data signal. Ran in the cellular phone band on unused 800 Mhz frequencies. CDPD is getting more popular day by day. I know they used to use modified phones that hookedup to the CDPD terminals in the trunk, then it went to the laptop.

Dev

"LEADENWAH" <leadenwah@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020329102212.16889.00000613@mb-mh.aol.com...
> In our area the local police are using laptops mounted in the cruisers
rather
> than the regular Motorola Mobile Data Terminals as many other departments
use.
>
> Any idea what frequency range they would use such a the alpha-numeric
paging
> channels ?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Geo.

1300. FA---***MINT*** Pro-2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-29 19:07:32 UTC
From: "." <rff@klanders.com>
Message-ID: <a82e1k$1fq$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 50113

http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1342635500

.

1301. Re: ***Niggers Gang Rape Young Girls: England***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-29 21:37:45 UTC
From: Spoon Man <Stan@NeverFalls.com>
Message-ID: <G75p8.28247$Ou.9998@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50114
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:m9Xo8.11795$Eb5.1066353@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Spoon Man" <Stan@NeverFalls.com> wrote in message
> news:AAUo8.11457$Ou.5574@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
> > "What are these guys doing up here?" - Todd Rundgren
>
> A neo-Nazi trolling for recruits.

That's what Todd was pointing at. They're onionheads.

Stan

1302. Re: Comments on PRO-2053: Pro or Con ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-30 01:30:13 UTC
From: Keith <nospamplease@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <a834gj060o@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50115

LEADENWAH wrote:

>I'm interested in any opionions from users of the PRO-2053 scanner. I'm
>conisdering one for a friend who will use it only at home.

I've been using a 2053 for several months and it is a great scanner for the
$179 price.

>
>I wasn't sure if you could program the Alpha-Numeric talk group ID tags into
>this one like you can with the 2067.

Yes, you can alpha-numeric tag banks, Talk Groups and channels.

--
Best Regards,

Keith
==========
My Home Page http://n6jpa.home.attbi.com/
The Reply-to Address of this post is valid.
Medical care is a human right, not a profit margin.
==========

1303. Free Teddy Bear Re: Check out this free site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-30 02:15:15 UTC
From: alicia78hodge@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <23fa7ef4.0203291815.25c7f284@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50116

Oh is cute. I love it very much! Thanks! ~ Ann :)

>Hi,
>
> I got a Free Pocket Teddy Bear for my child. It is very cute and my
> child loves it! Hurry up and get one for yourself while it is still
> available.
>
> http://track.floppybank.com/click-21953-81562
>
> Get one now before it is too late. :-)

1304. Re: SATCOM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-30 02:53:28 UTC
From: HFUTILITYMAN
Message-ID: <3ca52887.29947006@news.newsgroups.st>
Source record: 50117

On Thu, 28 Mar 2002 05:32:24 GMT, "J" <jca1954@iprimus.com.au> wrote:

>Where are you located ?

West Coast Seattle.

1305. Re: SATCOM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-30 03:18:47 UTC
From: MRK <me@you.com>
Message-ID: <uaabltlfiig5f7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50118

what kind of antenna are you using and what mode is the downlink?
<HFUTILITYMAN> wrote in message news:3ca52887.29947006@news.newsgroups.st...
> On Thu, 28 Mar 2002 05:32:24 GMT, "J" <jca1954@iprimus.com.au> wrote:
>
> >Where are you located ?
>
> West Coast Seattle.

1306. Re: Cops using Laptops not MDT's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-30 04:56:49 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <tAbp8.212$az4.94694@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 50119

any computer in a car used my a cop is an MDT - Mobil Data Terminal. A
CAD- Computer Aided Dispatch is what we use in our dispatch centers, or
PSAP- Public Safety Answering Point.

Sheesh, acronyms anyone? You know how government is...

"Devin" <a@b.c> wrote in message
news:872p8.381897$A44.22226800@news2.calgary.shaw.ca...
> They could be like most of British Columbia. These termonals in our cars
are
> laptops. The way they are set up here may differ from other places. This
> came from a techie. These laptops are now CDPD. CDPD is a ecrypted digital
> data signal. Ran in the cellular phone band on unused 800 Mhz frequencies.
> CDPD is getting more popular day by day. I know they used to use modified
> phones that hookedup to the CDPD terminals in the trunk, then it went to
the
> laptop.
>
> Dev
>
>
>
> "LEADENWAH" <leadenwah@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020329102212.16889.00000613@mb-mh.aol.com...
> > In our area the local police are using laptops mounted in the cruisers
> rather
> > than the regular Motorola Mobile Data Terminals as many other
departments
> use.
> >
> > Any idea what frequency range they would use such a the alpha-numeric
> paging
> > channels ?
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Geo.
>
>

1307. Ontario, Canada scanner web page and yahoogroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-30 07:51:39 UTC
From: Matthew Stone <mbstone99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <f8ep8.1506$ml2.139612@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 50120

The Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide is dedicated to scanner radio hobbists. On here you
will find lists of Ontario radio frequencies that are not listed on any web page, published
in any scannner book (Haruteq or Scantrix) or listed in the government frequency list
(Industry Canada's TAFL). The Ontario Frequency Guide mailing list (oufg) is for scanner
radio hobbyists so they have a public forum to be able to chit chat with each other about
anything relating to the radio scanner hobby in Ontario as well you will be able to recieve
updates of what changes I have made to the Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide web pages.

Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide - http://oufg.webhop.net/
Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide Yahoogroup (oufg) - http://groups.yahoo.com/group/oufg/

Matthew Stone
Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide/oufg Yahoogroup
http://oufg.webhop.net/

1308. NEW THREAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-30 09:05:31 UTC
From: kopperflash <kopperflash@pdq.net>
Message-ID: <46C7515386B80D92.4226C551B1EEE702.C31445D0DB3F01F0@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 50121
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

> Go Sterling Marlin

O.K. I'll bite "Sterling Marlin"

" Silver fish" is this the new award for the best troll?

1309. Re: Cops using Laptops not MDT's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-30 10:19:11 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020330051911.00989.00001361@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 50122

>In our area the local police are using laptops ...

>Any idea what frequency range they would use

Geo,

I'm presuming you are in Charleston County, S.C. Chances are the county sheriffs are using CDPD. I don't think they have their own dedicated conventional 800 MHz frequencies for data.

Consider posting your request on the CharlestonScan list on Yahoogroups. One of the members should be familiar with the county's laptop use.

Jeff Multer
Fort Mill, S.C.

1310. Re: NEW THREAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-30 11:21:38 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6dhp8.13292$Eb5.1225471@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 50123
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"kopperflash" <kopperflash@pdq.net> wrote in message
news:46C7515386B80D92.4226C551B1EEE702.C31445D0DB3F01F0@lp.airnews.net...
>
> > Go Sterling Marlin
>
> O.K. I'll bite "Sterling Marlin"
>
> " Silver fish" is this the new award for the best troll?

Bite all you like but does it have to be on five unrelated groups???

1311. WANTED: IRL driver/team freq.'s.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-30 12:33:05 UTC
From: 800mhz <listeningwatch@nospam.att.net>
Message-ID: <5gip8.13103$se.1274284@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 50124

I'm looking for the current IRL driver and team freq.'s for the this season.
Also any good freq around Indy.............
thnx
please email direct

1312. Re: Cops using Laptops not MDT's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-30 12:42:58 UTC
From: David Starr <davestarr2@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <h7dbauggc18grobro8n4q0ge0a5kib5mt7@4ax.com>
Source record: 50125

On Fri, 29 Mar 2002 21:56:49 -0700, "Rob Taylor"
<fireboy001@hotmail.com> wrote:

>*any* computer in a car used my a cop is an MDT - Mobil Data Terminal. A
>CAD- Computer Aided Dispatch is what we use in our dispatch centers, or
>PSAP- Public Safety Answering Point.
>
>Sheesh, acronyms anyone? You know how government is...

EIEIO - Electronically Integrated Engineering Information Organisation

:-)

- -
Professional Shop Rat: 37 years in an auto plant.
- -

1313. Re: Cops using Laptops not MDT's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-30 13:41:48 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <nospam@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <Ku$AZWBcCcp8EwCu@btinternet.com>
Source record: 50126

In article <h7dbauggc18grobro8n4q0ge0a5kib5mt7@4ax.com>, David Starr
<davestarr2@comcast.net> writes
>On Fri, 29 Mar 2002 21:56:49 -0700, "Rob Taylor"
><fireboy001@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>*any* computer in a car used my a cop is an MDT - Mobil Data Terminal. A
>>CAD- Computer Aided Dispatch is what we use in our dispatch centers, or
>>PSAP- Public Safety Answering Point.
>>
>>Sheesh, acronyms anyone? You know how government is...
>
>EIEIO - Electronically Integrated Engineering Information Organisation

No that one is from a nursery rhyme:

Old MacDonald had a farm - E I E I O :-)

--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
: don't fix it !

1314. Re: Cops using Laptops not MDT's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-30 14:36:34 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <m3kp8.36253$b3.10663782@twister.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 50127

"Mike Burgess" <nospam@lineone.net> wrote in message
news:Ku$AZWBcCcp8EwCu@btinternet.com...
> In article <h7dbauggc18grobro8n4q0ge0a5kib5mt7@4ax.com>, David Starr
> <davestarr2@comcast.net> writes
> >On Fri, 29 Mar 2002 21:56:49 -0700, "Rob Taylor"
> ><fireboy001@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> >>*any* computer in a car used my a cop is an MDT - Mobil Data Terminal. A
> >>CAD- Computer Aided Dispatch is what we use in our dispatch centers, or
> >>PSAP- Public Safety Answering Point.
> >>
> >>Sheesh, acronyms anyone? You know how government is...
> >
> >EIEIO - Electronically Integrated Engineering Information Organisation
>
> No that one is from a nursery rhyme:
>
> Old MacDonald had a farm - E I E I O :-)
>
> --
> Mike Burgess : University of Life...
> Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
> : don't fix it !

http://www.acronymfinder.com/

1315. PRO 2006 SCANNER -NICE-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-30 17:59:22 UTC
From: lrsavage@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <aed6ed74.0203300959.46f2979@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50128

Hear EVERYTHING YOU WANT!!! On eBay right now at the BUY IT NOW price
of $279. Look here.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1343000632&ssPageName=ADME:B:LC:US:1

1316. RS Pro 2022 Only $1299.99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-30 19:07:35 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com>
Message-ID: <X1op8.201844$Hu6.48893591@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 50129
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1342945290

Buy it now for only $1299.99. They even ship next day air anywhere (For an additional cost, of course) It won't last long at this price! Minimum bid is $6 :)
DeWayne KE9K

1317. Re: RS Pro 2022 Only $1299.99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-30 19:33:14 UTC
From: mike II <ohmwork@spots.ab.ca>
Message-ID: <3CA612FA.CADC12D0@spots.ab.ca>
Source record: 50130
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

DeWayne wrote:
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1342945290
>
> Buy it now for only $1299.99. They even ship next day air anywhere (For an
> additional cost, of course) It won't last long at this price! Minimum bid is
> $6 :)
> DeWayne KE9K

A quote from the eBay advert...

I KNOW MY RADIOS & SCANNERS! THIS
SCANNER DOES NOT AND WILL NOT RECIEVE 800 GIGA-HERTZ.***(NOTE: IT WILL
NEVER BE ABLE TO RECIEVE 800 GIGAHERTZ AND UP)

What good is it if it can't receive 800 GIGA hertz? <g>

mike II

--

__ __ __ __ __ __ __ __
/ /\ / /\ / /\ / /\ / /\ / /\ / /\ / /
/ /\ \/ /\ \/ohmwork@spots.ab.ca/\ \/ /\ \/ /
/_/ \/_/ \/_/ \/_/ \/_/ \/_/ \/_/ \/_/

1318. Re: RS Pro 2022 Only $1299.99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-30 19:39:33 UTC
From: Alexander McNaughton <treehug3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <mvop8.24508$mA1.3031381@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 50131
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Buy it Now for only $1300?! And I guess the reserve is set at a mere $1000 !

1319. Re: RS Pro 2022 Only $1299.99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-30 19:53:08 UTC
From: Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <r06cau8524jeau8kgolsgq9ui4t8794skm@4ax.com>
Source record: 50132
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 30 Mar 2002 19:07:35 GMT, "DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote:

>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1342945290
>
>Buy it now for only $1299.99. They even ship next day air anywhere (For an
>additional cost, of course) It won't last long at this price! Minimum bid is
>$6 :)
>DeWayne KE9K
>

Ok, this is funny....

1320. Taxi cab DDS hacking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-30 20:20:28 UTC
From: Alan Hubbard <ahub@rady.com>
Message-ID: <a856m5$1l3$1@peabody.colorado.edu>
Source record: 50136

Anyone have any info/links on hacking DDS?

1321. Re: 2ndREPOST:**New Jersey Declares NIGGERS Hazardous**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-30 20:21:55 UTC
From: Bruce Tyler <top@bottom.au>
Message-ID: <q77caucqjiju04qsuim1shtnc0a155ocss@4ax.com>
Source record: 50133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Scott, Scott, Scott......

It happens.. Get over it and move on.

The fact that you are a nigger hater is something you'll have to live
with.... You and Vicky obviously hold hands in the dark...

Besides,, who cares...????

On Thu, 28 Mar 2002 09:35:43 -0800, Scott Pelley
<scott.pelley@cbs.com> wrote:

>2ndREPOST
>**********
>**********
>
>March 27, 2002 Posted: 2:58 PM EST (1958 GMT)
>
>New Jersey Finds Niggers to be Hazardous Drivers
>
>TRENTON, New Jersey (CNN) -- A New Jersey study suggesting black drivers speed
>more than other drivers was released this week despite federal government
>concerns about its accuracy.
>
>The study found African-American motorists are more likely than white drivers
>to exceed the 65 mph speed limit on the southern end of the New Jersey
>Turnpike. On a more urban stretch of the turnpike, where the speed limit is 55
>mph, no difference was found, the report said.
>
>The state attorney general's office ordered the study as part of its effort to
>comply with a consent decree it entered into with the U.S. Department of
>Justice, which accused State Police in April 1999 of racial profiling --
>targeting minority drivers for traffic stops -- and discriminating against its
>own officers.
>
>The release of the study came Monday despite concerns by the Justice
>Department. Federal officials had questioned its methods and asked that it be
>withheld.
>
>"We continue to believe that the survey results have not been shown to be
>valid or reliable," Mark A. Posner, a Justice Department attorney, wrote in a
>January 8 letter to the New Jersey attorney general's office, according to a
>report by The Associated Press.
>
>A spokeswoman with the Justice Department did not return a telephone messages

>by the AP.
>
>A 1998 shooting of four minority men by troopers on the New Jersey Turnpike
>inflamed accusations that state police targeted minority motorists.
>
>A year later, state officials admitted troopers practiced racial profiling and
>agreed to reforms, including monitoring the race of motorists stopped by
>troopers, to avoid a Justice Department lawsuit.
>
>The study, conducted last spring and summer by the Public Services Research
>Institute, was aimed solely at describing the racial and ethnic distribution
>of speeders and non-speeders on the turnpike. Speeding was defined as
>exceeding the speed limit by 15 mph.
>
>"Ours is simply a survey of speeding. It's not a study of profiling because
>for profiling you need two sets of information -- you need the data we
>produced on speeding and information on who the police cite, or ticket," said
>Robert Voas, senior research scientist with the Pacific Institute for Research
>and Evaluation in Calverton, Maryland, of which the Public Services Research
>Institute is a division.
>
>According to the study findings, in the 65 mph zone where motorists enter the
>turnpike from Pennsylvania, drivers identified as African-American were 64
>percent more likely to be speeding than those of similar age and sex who were
>identified as white.
>
>About 4,100 of the 26,334 drivers in the study were identified as
>African-American.
>
>Hispanics were not significantly different from white drivers, but those
>classified as "other" were 18 percent more likely to speed, the study showed.
>
>The study found that drivers younger than 45 were more than three times more
>likely to speed, and men were more likely to speed than women.
>
>In the 55 mph speed zone in the northern end of the turnpike, which is more
>urban, there was no statistically reliable difference found between
>African-American and white drivers, the study found.
>
>The study, which was done between March and June 2001, matched photographs of
>drivers taken by special cameras with radar-gun readings of their speed along
>14 locations on the turnpike.
>
>Three people scored each picture independently, and made a decision on
>ethnicity. At least two evaluators agreed on 26,334 of the drivers, said
>Robert Voas, senior research scientist at the Pacific Institute for Research
>and Evaluation.
>
>The ethnic makeup was nearly identical to a study done a year earlier in which
>motorists identified their own ethnic makeup, he said.

>
>"That gave us more confidence in our results," Voas said.
>
>The state police have adopted a formal policy prohibiting troopers from
>considering a person's race except where that "characteristic is directly and
>specifically related to particular criminal activity."
>
>New Jersey state Attorney General David Samson said the release of the report,
>ordered by Gov. James McGreevey, will allow for a public evaluation of its
>results, The Associated Press reported. The Justice Department has
>commissioned its own study of the report's reliability, Samson said.
>
>http://www.cnn.com/2002/LAW/03/27/nj.speeding.study/index.html

1322. Re: For Sale Pro-2006 Scanner (pic)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-30 20:22:23 UTC
From: Aaron & Lisa <lismac@nbnet.nb.ca>
Message-ID: <38pp8.7179$DZ4.413177@news-nb00s0.nbnet.nb.ca>
Source record: 50134

Sold

"Aaron & Lisa" <lismac@nbnet.nb.ca> wrote in message
news:k9ip8.7023$DZ4.401930@news-nb00s0.nbnet.nb.ca...
> Hello,
>
> I have for sale (1) 400 channel Realistic programmable scanner. The
Scanner
> is the Pro-2006 with Hyperscan. The scanner is a 9 out of ten and has
never
> been abused or opened up. The model number is 20-145 and monitors the
> following ranges.
> 25-512, 760-823.945, 851-868.945, 896-1300. The radio operates perfectly
> and has the a bnc connector on back of radio, tape out jack, external
> speaker jack, battery back up as well as AC and DC jacks. The scanner
also
> has a very loud internal speaker, sound squelch, dimmer. The scanner
works
> great and has plenty of keypad controls.
>
> Price is $ 165.00 US funds (check ebay it's going cheap)
> See pic below.
> Purchaser agrees to pay actual shipping from
> New Brunswick, Canada
>
>
> thanks if interested email me at
> lismac@nbnet.nb.ca
>
>
>

1323. Excuse me For Asking This Here But Here Goes!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-30 20:25:01 UTC
From: nvavro@ptd.net
Message-ID: <3ca61d7f.5019435@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 50137

I have a cellular phone that dies and I need a group or help what to look for. it is past warranty. it is A erickson Model 280. AT&T said to toss it but I figure if I get it going I have an extra phone. It receibves no power and won't charge and display is out. has to be in some kind of power from battery that is charged on phone circuit. Is it possibe to fix myself. Nick

1324. Dumb bc780xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-30 20:30:53 UTC
From: Greg <not@shown.here>
Message-ID: <pu7caug5lur0379ble01u6ijaokk23v1t6@4ax.com>
Source record: 50135

Do frequencies for a trunked system need to be put anywhere special?

For all of the trunked systems I've got programmed in, I've got them as the first few freqs in the channel. Is it ok to have them at the end? As long as they're in the right order?

1325. Re: RS Pro 2022 Only $1299.99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-30 20:42:35 UTC
From: "J. Harley" <harley@jharleydavidson.com>
Message-ID: <lrpp8.644$Wi1.319916192@twister1.starband.net>
Source record: 50138
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hmmmmmmm... guess anybody who snaps this up at ...$1300 must have a wooden handle
sticking out of their ass!!..... definitely a 'SUCKER'.... but I ain't had a good laugh lately....
"DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message news:X1op8.201844$Hu6.48893591@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1342945290
>
> Buy it now for only $1299.99. They even ship next day air anywhere (For an
> additional cost, of course) It won't last long at this price! Minimum bid is
> $6 :)
> DeWayne KE9K
>
>

1326. Re: RS Pro 2022 Only $1299.99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-30 20:50:27 UTC
From: jim menning <jmenning@new.rr.com>
Message-ID: <nypp8.376$X9.20930@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 50139
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"mike II" <ohmwork@spots.ab.ca> wrote in message news:3CA612FA.CADC12D0@spots.ab.ca...
>
> I KNOW MY RADIOS & SCANNERS! THIS
> SCANNER DOES NOT AND WILL NOT RECIEVE 800 GIGA-HERTZ.***(NOTE: IT WILL
> NEVER BE ABLE TO RECIEVE 800 GIGAHERTZ AND UP)
>
>
> What good is it if it can't receive 800 GIGA hertz? <g>
>
>
> mike II

Mike

Scanner buyers know better. He probably said that just to confuse Ebay. (Ebay staffers don't know
their gigas from their megas.) Ebay will not let him sell if he advertises that it has been cellular
modified. But if you will look at the pictures, you will see it is currently tuned to 888.9600 MHz.
Many Ebay scanner sellers display their modified radios this way, using the picture to show the cellular
capability.

jim menning

1327. Re: RS Pro 2022 Only $1299.99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-30 20:50:47 UTC
From: CW <clinton.magers@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <Hypp8.139851$ZR2.57927@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 50140
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The used price list I have says $181.00. A little steep, aren't you?
--
CW
KC7NOD

"DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message
news:X1op8.201844$Hu6.48893591@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1342945290
>
> Buy it now for only $1299.99. They even ship next day air anywhere (For
an
> additional cost, of course) It won't last long at this price! Minimum bid
is
> $6 :)
> DeWayne KE9K
>
>

1328. Re: RS Pro 2022 Only $1299.99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-30 20:51:13 UTC
From: Alexander McNaughton <treehug3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <xypp8.24527$mA1.3058503@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 50141
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

And also, why does the listing say the scanner doesn't pick up 800mhz frequencies and cell frequencies, when all 3 pictures show the scanner on a cellular 800mhz freq!

1329. MCQ_LOG has just been released !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-30 21:44:23 UTC
From: MadDogMcQ <tom.mcq@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a85bjn$435$1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 50142

Hi All,
Just a quickie to let you know that MCQ_LOG has been released. Those people who previously registered their interest will find a copy of the database in their mail-box.

If you've never heard of it before, MCQ_LOG is a totally free SWL LOG that I created purely for my own use but decided to offer it to the Public Domain. Many people asked for modifications to the database to suit their individual needs and I accomodated as many as possible.

To find out more about the database, go to :
http://www.tom.mcq.btinternet.co.uk/ar3000/mcqlog.htm

73's

Tom www.ar3000.info

1330. MCQ_LOG has just been released !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-30 22:45:16 UTC
From: MadDogMcQ <tom.mcq@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a85f5sedo1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 50143

Hi All,
Just a quickie to let you know that MCQ_LOG has been released. Those people who previously registered their interest will find a copy of the database in their mail-box.

If you've never heard of it before, MCQ_LOG is a totally free SWL LOG that I created purely for my own use but decided to offer it to the Public Domain. Many people asked for modifications to the database to suit their individual needs and I accomodated as many as possible.

To find out more about the database, go to :
http://www.tom.mcq.btinternet.co.uk/ar3000/mcqlog.htm

73's

Tom www.ar3000.info

1331. Congress moves to Ban Certain Receivers, New Addition to Home Security Act

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-30 23:29:37 UTC
From: congress@gone.crazy.org
Message-ID: <a85hqm0318l@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 50146

Senator Leahy, Democrat - Vermont, has proposed a new Senate Bill. The bill with 25 co-sponsors will enhance the US Home Security Act by:
1. Banning digital capable receivers from being sold, imported or manufactured in the USA that receive frequencies in the listed ranges in part 2.
2. Ban the reception of any frequencies in the:
a. 30-49.999 MHz range
b. 72-75 MHz Range
c. 108.1-174 MHz Range
d. 225.0001-512 MHz range
e. 700-1239.9999 MHz Range, excludes TVRO until 2010
3. The bill has no effect on licensed radio systems and commercial transceivers such as cellular telephones, cordless phones or TVRO systems.
4. The penalties for having a receiver with this capability will be a $500,000 fine and 10 years in prison.
5. There is an exemption for law enforcement and local government stations. For example a local volunteer fire fighter will be obtain a permit from the FCC to have a receiver. The FCC is allowed to charge a $25 administrative fee for each permit application.
6. Amateur radio transceivers will be required to block expanded receive capability in part 2 frequency ranges, but current ham radio transceivers will have a grandfather clause until 2006. However, it will be illegal for amateur radio operators to listen to any frequency in part 2.

http://www.senate.gov/~leahy/

1332. WTB: Old Lafayette rcvr

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-30 23:46:41 UTC
From: JohnS <w6ibl@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <B7sp8.3422$nt1.318203@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 50144

Anyone remember the old Lafayette 6-channel crystal (10.7) 12 VDC monitor receivers? They are about 2 X4 X 6", black and silver, and are not scanners.

I'm looking for the VHF hi-band model.

Thanks,

John

1333. BC8500 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-30 23:52:07 UTC
From: ksk63521@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020330185207.04427.00001397@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 50145

hi all, have a mint condition 8500, non-smoker original owner, $190 shipped....

1334. Re: Dumb bc780xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 00:27:18 UTC
From: Terry <nepvetm@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <GJsp8.54929$J53.1945226@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 50047

Greg <not@shown.here> wrote in message
news:pu7caug5lur0379ble01u6ijaokk23v1t6@4ax.com...
> Do frequencies for a trunked system need to be put anywhere special?
>
> For all of the trunked systems I've got programmed in, I've got them
> as the first few freqs in the channel. Is it ok to have them at the
> end? As long as they're in the right order?

It depends what kind of system you are tracking. Motorola systems it doesn't matter where you put it. EDACS needs to be put in a certain order at certain places in the bank.

1335. Re: Congress moves to Ban Certain Receivers, New Addition to Home Security Act

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 00:30:01 UTC
From: Terry <nepvetm@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <dMsp8.54932$J53.1945616@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 50048

troll

<congress@gone.crazy.org> wrote in message
news:a85hqm0318l@enews4.newsguy.com...
> Senator Leahy, Democrat - Vermont, has proposed a new Senate Bill. The
bill
> with 25 co-sponsors will enhance the US Home Security Act by:
> 1. Banning digital capable receivers from being sold, imported or
manufactured
> in the USA that receive frequencies in the listed ranges in part 2.
> 2. Ban the reception of any frequencies in the:
> a. 30-49.999 MHz range
> b. 72-75 MHz Range
> c. 108.1-174 MHz Range
> d. 225.0001-512 MHz range
> e. 700-1239.9999 MHz Range, excludes TVRO until 2010
> 3. The bill has no effect on licensed radio systems and commercial
> transceivers such as cellular telephones, cordless phones or TVRO systems.
> 4. The penalties for having a receiver with this capability will be a
$500,000
> fine and 10 years in prison.
> 5. There is an exemption for law enforcement and local government
stations.
> For example a local volunteer fire fighter will be obtain a permit from
the
> FCC to have a receiver. The FCC is allowed to charge a $25 administrative
fee
> for each permit application.
> 6. Amateur radio transceivers will be required to block expanded receive
> capability in part 2 frequency ranges, but current ham radio transceivers
will
> have a grandfather clause until 2006. However, it will be illegal for
amateur
> radio operators to listen to any frequency in part 2.
>
> http://www.senate.gov/~leahy/
>
>
>

1336. FS: AOR AR8200 MKIIB RECEIVER & MANY EXTRAS-one day left!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 00:45:48 UTC
From: ads@athomesafe.com
Message-ID: <3ca65bf6.22690468@news.directvinternet.com>
Source record: 50049

AOR AR8200 Mark II B in EXCELLENT condition with lots of extras!!!

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1341839281

ONLY ONE DAY LEFT! PRICE IS VERY LOW RIGHT NOW!

This is an AOR 8200 MKIIB (European version) scanner in excellent condition along with all the accessories needed to fully exploit its incredible capabilities. The scanner is a full coverage radio from low band through shortwave frequencies and on up through UHF. The complete specifications for the radio are available at : http://www.aoruk.com/8200.htm

The AR8200 has sophisticated programming functions that are accessible via the keypad or which can be controlled through a computer interface. Included is a JAV-232 computer interface from Javiation with the OS-8200/DIN lead that is required to use the interface with the AR8200. I am including an extra computer control plug and cable for use with your custom application. A &#65533;custom&#65533; prepared cable is included for the interface that is designed for recording the audio out from the radio and for tapping the discriminator output from the radio. A complete description of the interface is available on the Javiation web site : http://www.javiation.co.uk/

Included is a registered copy of the latest Butel computer programming software that makes setup and frequency management of the radio simple. Details of the Butel ARC8200 software are available at their web site : http://www.butel.nl/ A computer is necessary to use the Javiation interface and the Butel software. A computer is not included in this auction.

The radio also comes with a reaction tuning interface cable for use with the Optoelectronics Scout frequency finder. This auction does not include the Scout, however, a description of it can be found on the Optoelectronics web site: http://www.optoelectronics.com/scout.htm

For shortwave listening, I am including the Grove Enterprises &#65533;Active Duck&#65533; amplified antenna. This antenna does an incredible job of pulling in the HF stations. If you are going to listen to shortwave in a portable situation, this antenna is really a great item to have. Full details are available on the Grove web site : http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT36.html

For descrambling voice inversion encrypted transmissions, included is the VI-8200 - Voice inverter card. The VI8200 enables the AR8200 to recover intelligible audio from certain types of analog transmissions

which would otherwise sound scrambled. They operate by splitting up the audio spectrum and "flipping" the upper and lower tones around a certain frequency point. The VI8200 enables 157 different "flip points" to be selected in the frequency range of 2.4kHz to 5.6kHz.

The features of the AR8200 include those listed below. For complete information, visit the AOR web site and read the review on the Strong Signals web site : http://www.strongsignals.net/access/reviews/reviews.cgi?type=display&rtype=fi&class=recv&num=020

Frequency coverage 530 kHz ~ 2040 MHz
All mode reception with Super narrow FM plus Wide and Narrow AM in addition to the standard modes (CW, SSB, etc.)
True carrier re-insertion in SSB mode
Re-progammable Band Plan
Alpha tagging of Scan, Search and Memory Banks
RF preselection of mid VHF bands
Detachable MW bar aerial with negative feedback
14 Segment S-meter
Tuning steps programmable in multiples of 50 Hz in all modes, 8.33 kHz airband step correctly supported
Step-adjust, frequency offset, AFC
Noise limiter & attenuator
Versatile band scope with save trace facility and 7 ranges
Twin frequency readout with 14 segment bar signal meter
Battery save facility with battery low legend
Separate controls for volume & squelch
Arrow 4-way side rocker with separate main tuning dial
Configurable keypad beep / illumination & LCD contrast
Write protect & keypad lock
Programmable scan & search including LINK, FREE, DELAY, AUDIO, LEVEL, MODE
Computer socket fitted for control, clone & record
Flash-ROM memory (no battery required)
Voice inverter card
Accessories Included: Whip Antenna, MW Bar Aerial, 4 AA NiCad Batteries, AC Charger, Cigarette Adapter, Hand Strap, Vinyl case Belt Hook with 2 screws, Operating Manual.
In summary, what you are bidding on is an AR8200 scanner in excellent condition with all factory accessories and manual in the original box, a JAV-232 computer interface with the necessary control cables, Butel computer setup software, a voice inverter option card, an Optoelectronics reaction tune cable, and a Grove Active Duck antenna. Because this was purchased abroad, it did not come with a 110 VAC adapter. I have prepared a 110 adapter/battery charger by installing the proper sized connector on a suitable wall power supply (included).

The terms of the auction are as follows: Expertly packed and shipped as soon as payment is received. Winner to pay fixed shipping costs of $8.95 for insured Priority Mail. Payment is to be received within 10

days of the auction close in the form of Paypal, money order, personal check or cashiers check. I reserve the right to cancel bids from buyers with excessive negative feedback.

This is a sweet little receiver for anyone with serious listening needs be it for police or emergency calls, amateur radio (ham), commercial or military listening or whatever. I spent some effort acquiring this set of accessories but I must part with it to fund other projects. The radio has had very little use and is in excellent condition. I warranty it not to be DOA.

This is a fairly complicated radio that requires some effort on the part of the operator to utilize all of its features. Please serious bidders only. Thanks for looking and good luck!

1337. Re: Congress moves to Ban Certain Receivers, New Addition to Home Security Act

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 01:01:50 UTC
From: Paul Jensen <pjensen@gnt.net>
Message-ID: <uaco06sbivqtee@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50050

<congress@gone.crazy.org> wrote in message
news:a85hqm0318l@enews4.newsguy.com...
> Senator Leahy, Democrat - Vermont, has proposed a new Senate Bill. The
bill
> with 25 co-sponsors will enhance the US Home Security Act by:
> 1. Banning digital capable receivers from being sold, imported or
manufactured
> in the USA that receive frequencies in the listed ranges in part 2.
> 2. Ban the reception of any frequencies in the:
> a. 30-49.999 MHz range
> b. 72-75 MHz Range
> c. 108.1-174 MHz Range
> d. 225.0001-512 MHz range

He wants to ban the owning of a television set???

1338. Re: Congress moves to Ban Certain Receivers, New Addition to Home Security Act

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 01:10:14 UTC
From: Den6N <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <uacoi4tlm50vbf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50051

Damn, just put another antenna on the roof, too! Guess I'll have to make
them all look like
something else now. Any suggestions?

Den6N
==========
<congress@gone.crazy.org> wrote in message
news:a85hqm0318l@enews4.newsguy.com...
> Senator Leahy, Democrat - Vermont, has proposed a new Senate Bill. The
bill
> with 25 co-sponsors will enhance the US Home Security Act by:
> 1. Banning digital capable receivers from being sold, imported or
manufactured
> in the USA that receive frequencies in the listed ranges in part 2.
> 2. Ban the reception of any frequencies in the:
> a. 30-49.999 MHz range
> b. 72-75 MHz Range
> c. 108.1-174 MHz Range
> d. 225.0001-512 MHz range
> e. 700-1239.9999 MHz Range, excludes TVRO until 2010
> 3. The bill has no effect on licensed radio systems and commercial
> transceivers such as cellular telephones, cordless phones or TVRO systems.
> 4. The penalties for having a receiver with this capability will be a
$500,000
> fine and 10 years in prison.
> 5. There is an exemption for law enforcement and local government
stations.
> For example a local volunteer fire fighter will be obtain a permit from
the
> FCC to have a receiver. The FCC is allowed to charge a $25 administrative
fee
> for each permit application.
> 6. Amateur radio transceivers will be required to block expanded receive
> capability in part 2 frequency ranges, but current ham radio transceivers
will
> have a grandfather clause until 2006. However, it will be illegal for
amateur
> radio operators to listen to any frequency in part 2.
>
> http://www.senate.gov/~leahy/
>
>
>

1339. Re: WTB: Old Lafayette rcvr

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 01:30:33 UTC
From: Otis Cribblecoblis <alaininvc@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3CA666B9.D77B5F61@netscape.net>
Source record: 50052

John,

Have you tried EBAY? I've seen a lot of Lafayette stuff there recently.

Alain

JohnS wrote:

> Anyone remember the old Lafayette 6-channel crystal (10.7) 12 VDC monitor
> receivers? They are about 2 X4 X 6", black and silver, and are not
> scanners.
>
> I'm looking for the VHF hi-band model.
>
> Thanks,
>
> John

1340. Re: Congress moves to Ban Certain Receivers, New Addition to Home Security Act

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 01:47:54 UTC
From: ads@athomesafe.com
Message-ID: <3ca669f5.26273109@news.directvinternet.com>
Source record: 50053

What's the name of this bill? I can't find anything on it.

On Sat, 30 Mar 2002 15:29:37 -0800, congress@gone.crazy.org wrote:

> Senator Leahy, Democrat - Vermont, has proposed a new Senate Bill. The bill
>with 25 co-sponsors will enhance the US Home Security Act by:
>1. Banning digital capable receivers from being sold, imported or manufactured
>in the USA that receive frequencies in the listed ranges in part 2.
>2. Ban the reception of any frequencies in the:
> a. 30-49.999 MHz range
> b. 72-75 MHz Range
> c. 108.1-174 MHz Range
> d. 225.0001-512 MHz range
> e. 700-1239.9999 MHz Range, excludes TVRO until 2010
>3. The bill has no effect on licensed radio systems and commercial
>transceivers such as cellular telephones, cordless phones or TVRO systems.
>4. The penalties for having a receiver with this capability will be a $500,000
>fine and 10 years in prison.
>5. There is an exemption for law enforcement and local government stations.
>For example a local volunteer fire fighter will be obtain a permit from the
>FCC to have a receiver. The FCC is allowed to charge a $25 administrative fee
>for each permit application.
>6. Amateur radio transceivers will be required to block expanded receive
>capability in part 2 frequency ranges, but current ham radio transceivers will
>have a grandfather clause until 2006. However, it will be illegal for amateur
>radio operators to listen to any frequency in part 2.
>
>http://www.senate.gov/~leahy/
>
>
>

1341. Re: Congress moves to Ban Certain Receivers, New Addition to Home Security Act

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 01:48:17 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <qhqcauk45t8f8t4a0jl21rcgpj4obntdei@4ax.com>
Source record: 50054

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, congress@gone.crazy.org spake thusly

> Senator Leahy, Democrat - Vermont, has proposed a new Senate Bill. The bill
>with 25 co-sponsors will enhance the US Home Security Act by:
>1. Banning digital capable receivers from being sold, imported or manufactured
>in the USA that receive frequencies in the listed ranges in part 2.

That's odd, I didn't find any such bill mentioned on the link you provided.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

1342. Re: SATCOM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 01:51:54 UTC
From: HFUTILITYMAN
Message-ID: <3ca66b7c.30286326@news.newsgroups.st>
Source record: 50056

Standard scanner antenna with a Cable TV Amplifier mounted at the
antenna. The mode was NBFM.

On Fri, 29 Mar 2002 21:18:47 -0600, "MRK" <me@you.com> wrote:

>what kind of antenna are you using and what mode is the downlink?
><HFUTILITYMAN> wrote in message
news:3ca52887.29947006@news.newsgroups.st...
>> On Thu, 28 Mar 2002 05:32:24 GMT, "J" <jca1954@iprimus.com.au>
wrote:
>>
>> >Where are you located ?
>>
>> West Coast Seattle.
>
>

1343. Re: Congress moves to Ban Certain Receivers, New Addition to Home Security Act

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 01:52:00 UTC
From: Alexander McNaughton <treehug3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <zYtp8.24719$mA1.3160947@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 50055

Yeah sure buddy!

<congress@gone.crazy.org> wrote in message
news:a85hqm0318l@enews4.newsguy.com...
> Senator Leahy, Democrat - Vermont, has proposed a new Senate Bill. The
bill
> with 25 co-sponsors will enhance the US Home Security Act by:
> 1. Banning digital capable receivers from being sold, imported or
manufactured
> in the USA that receive frequencies in the listed ranges in part 2.
> 2. Ban the reception of any frequencies in the:
> a. 30-49.999 MHz range
> b. 72-75 MHz Range
> c. 108.1-174 MHz Range
> d. 225.0001-512 MHz range
> e. 700-1239.9999 MHz Range, excludes TVRO until 2010
> 3. The bill has no effect on licensed radio systems and commercial
> transceivers such as cellular telephones, cordless phones or TVRO systems.
> 4. The penalties for having a receiver with this capability will be a
$500,000
> fine and 10 years in prison.
> 5. There is an exemption for law enforcement and local government
stations.
> For example a local volunteer fire fighter will be obtain a permit from
the
> FCC to have a receiver. The FCC is allowed to charge a $25 administrative
fee
> for each permit application.
> 6. Amateur radio transceivers will be required to block expanded receive
> capability in part 2 frequency ranges, but current ham radio transceivers
will
> have a grandfather clause until 2006. However, it will be illegal for
amateur
> radio operators to listen to any frequency in part 2.
>
> http://www.senate.gov/~leahy/
>
>
>

1344. Re: Congress moves to Ban Certain Receivers, New Addition to Home Security Act

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 02:51:51 UTC
From: at_the_helms@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <621d1b6e.0203301851.429d8e9b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50057

This slime is going to get away with anything as long long as it
serves the NWO, but what do you expect from representation that
embraces communism and condones same sex marriages?

Now you know why they blew up the towers folks.

> congress@gone.crazy.org wrote in message news:<a85hqm0318l@enews4.newsguy.com>...
> Senator Leahy, Democrat - Vermont, has proposed a new Senate Bill. The bill
> with 25 co-sponsors will enhance the US Home Security Act by:
> 1. Banning digital capable receivers from being sold, imported or manufactured
> in the USA that receive frequencies in the listed ranges in part 2.
> 2. Ban the reception of any frequencies in the:
> a. 30-49.999 MHz range
> b. 72-75 MHz Range
> c. 108.1-174 MHz Range
> d. 225.0001-512 MHz range
> e. 700-1239.9999 MHz Range, excludes TVRO until 2010
> 3. The bill has no effect on licensed radio systems and commercial
> transceivers such as cellular telephones, cordless phones or TVRO systems.
> 4. The penalties for having a receiver with this capability will be a $500,000
> fine and 10 years in prison.
> 5. There is an exemption for law enforcement and local government stations.
> For example a local volunteer fire fighter will be obtain a permit from the
> FCC to have a receiver. The FCC is allowed to charge a $25 administrative fee
> for each permit application.
> 6. Amateur radio transceivers will be required to block expanded receive
> capability in part 2 frequency ranges, but current ham radio transceivers will
> have a grandfather clause until 2006. However, it will be illegal for amateur
> radio operators to listen to any frequency in part 2.
>
> http://www.senate.gov/~leahy/

1345. Re: Congress moves to Ban Certain Receivers, New Addition to Home Security Act

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 02:55:38 UTC
From: wf3h@ptd.net
Message-ID: <3ca67a87.40939675@news.ptdprolog.net>
Source record: 50061

On 30 Mar 2002 18:51:51 -0800, at_the_helms@yahoo.com (C. Helms)
wrote:

>This slime is going to get away with anything as long long as it
>serves the NWO, but what do you expect from representation that
>embraces communism and condones same sex marriages?
>
>Now you know why they blew up the towers folks.
>

geeze, and i thought only muslim fanatics hated america.

here's another guy that does.

"This difference between liberalism and conservatism
must not be obscured by the fact that in the United
States it is still possible to defend individual
liberty by defending long-established institutions.
To the liberal they are valuable not mainly because
they are long established or because they are
American but because they correspond to the
ideals which he cherishes."

F. A. Hayek in "Why I am not a Conservative"

1346. For Barter: ICF-2010

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 02:56:21 UTC
From: at_the_helms@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <621d1b6e.0203301856.180e3eec@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50058

I have a Sony ICF-2010 for barter.

It was purchased new in 9/97 from Grove Enterprises, and comes with original packaging, related materials and receipt. I keep it covered when it's not in use and even oil the antenna periodically. It's in perfect, unaltered factory condition, but I simply don't have the time to devote to the radio much any more.

I'd like to trade it for a decent 800MHz handheld scanner (preferably unblocked), transceivers of any type, or a base CB unit in similar condition. Mods are OK as long as long as it improved performance and was done professionally.

I paid $364 for the 2010, but I'm not expecting a dollar-for-dollar exchange here - just a fair swap for respectfully handled gear that I have a realistic use for. I'll consider anything you've got, except for junk and stolen property.

I think it's only fair that we each pay our own insured shipping. If you're interested, please contact me directly. I'm in no hurry and Thank You.

1347. Re: Flying with scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 03:08:42 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3CA67DBA.DB18E8CE@analon.com>
Source record: 50062

I ALWAYS fly with atleast one scanner, sometimes two.. I also have taken
a optoelectronics frequency counter with me..... and NEVER had any
problem at US airports... often the security people ask me to let them
listen to the tower or police.....

Plus at the gate, I usually know when the plane is late and why

Bob Fitch wrote:

> I plan to fly this weekend to San Francisco. Of course I would like
> to take my scanner. I have not flown since the new security
> procedures. Will the screeners freak out if I have a scanner in my
> carry-on satchel? Should I put in my check-in luggage?
>
> Thanks

--
* -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting
ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
--

1348. Arizona & southern ca freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 03:23:08 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3CA6811C.248C8A15@analon.com>
Source record: 50063
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have a spread sheet of Arizona and Southern California frequencies....

would like to send it to you.. you can edit, add to it and send it
back....

JOHN

--
* -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting
ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
--

1349. Re: RS Pro 2022 Only $1299.99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 04:23:35 UTC
From: John LeMay <xyz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1710594d99cffa6a98976f@news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 50064
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3CA612FA.CADC12D0@spots.ab.ca>, ohmwork@spots.ab.ca says...
> I KNOW MY RADIOS & SCANNERS! THIS
> SCANNER DOES NOT AND WILL NOT RECIEVE 800 GIGA-HERTZ.***(NOTE: IT WILL
> NEVER BE ABLE TO RECIEVE 800 GIGAHERTZ AND
>

I thought that was a great line myself. It's true, of course.

--
John LeMay
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC

The email address used as the reply to address
in this post is not valid. To send email directly
to me please use address "jlemay at njmc dot com".

Sent with MicroPlanet Gravity 2.5

1350. Michigan Freqs.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 12:26:54 UTC
From: pro2036@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13939-3CA7008E-149@storefull-2356.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 50067

Hi
Anyone have towing or taxi frequency's for
Calhoun,Ingham,Washtenaw or Wayne Co. in Michigan?? You can email me
direct @ pro2036@webtv.net Thanks!

1351. Re: WTB: Old Lafayette rcvr

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 12:30:59 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020331073059.02561.00001433@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 50065

Although I'm not familiar with the high band unit, they had a series of low band receivers with model numbers starting with "HA."

The size was closer to 2x5x7, with a rotary six-position channel selector. There was a lighted window in the 12 o'clock position, so you could see which channel was selected at night.

The only other controls were volume and squelch. The rear connector was an SO-238. The speaker fired through a grill in the underside cover.

The low-band version could handle a 2-meg spread, so there was a model for 31, 35, 39, 42 and 45 MHz.

Lafayette Electronics (Long Island, N.Y.?) discontinued the low band receivers around 1971.

I had an "HA-39" ... the 39 MHz receiver. The receiver you might be looking for may have a model number along the lines of "HA-155" or similar.

Good luck, John.

Jeff Multer
Fort Mill, S.C.

1352. **Question -- is this OK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 12:34:07 UTC
From: Xaing Dia <cds@viacom.com>
Message-ID: <3nDp8.10853$9d3.284@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 50066
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.sys.palmtops,alt.comp.sys.palmtop.pilot,comp.sys.hp48,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Oral sex is the term used for all sexual activities involving the use of the mouth, tongue, etc., to stimulate the sex organs. Done on the genitals of a man (especially the penis), it is technically called fellatio. (The street term for an act of fellatio is blow job.) Done on the genitals of a woman (the vulva and especially the clitoris), it is technically called cunnilingus. Most people usually use euphemisms or slang terms when talking about oral sex.

Oral sex has been taboo to a greater or lesser extent in many Western countries since the Middle Ages. Some activities are actually illegal in some jurisdictions.

Oral sex is considered a superb method of contraception (birth control), since pregnancy is impossible unless semen (sperm) comes into contact with the vagina or vulva. Many heterosexual people often use oral sex for this reason.

Many people find oral sex very pleasurable. In particular, receiving oral sex is for many women one of the better ways or reaching orgasm. Thus oral sex is used for pleasure even when avoiding pregnancy is not a concern.

Oral sex can be enjoyed in a wide variety of positions. Normally one partner performs while the other receives, but it can be performed by both parteners at the same time in a "sixty-nine" position.

1353. Re: RS Pro 2022 Only $1299.99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 14:06:07 UTC
From: Randy <randyap@geocitiesdot.com>
Message-ID: <jJEp8.180181$2q2.14991246@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 50068
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I was so busy reading all the disclaimers that I didn't think to look at the pictures!

"Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:xypp8.24527$mA1.3058503@news20.bellglobal.com...
>
> And also, why does the listing say the scanner doesn't pick up 800mhz
> frequencies and cell frequencies, when all 3 pictures show the scanner on a
> cellular 800mhz freq!
>
>

1354. f.s. mint condition with accessories yupiteru mvt-7100 FULL COVERAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 15:00:45 UTC
From: JJJJJJJJJJ <oaktownboy@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <f0vp8.5692$xB.845568@read2.cgocable.net>
Source record: 50059

for sale:

Yupiteru mvt 7100 with full coverage 0.1 - 1650 mhz
1000 channels, signal meter, research for all the rest of info please

mint condition

with new rechargeable batteries, wall charger/adapater, original manual,
rubberduck antenna, cigarettelighter plug.

300.00 US shipped or best offer

thanks

oaktownboy@cogeco.ca

price includes express post insured shipping

1355. Re: f.s. mint condition with accessories yupiteru mvt-7100 FULL COVERAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 15:03:50 UTC
From: JJJJJJJJJJ <oaktownboy@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <D3vp8.7069$Yt.424781@read1.cgocable.net>
Source record: 50060

p.s. includes leather case as well

"JJJJJJJJJJ" <oaktownboy@cogeco.ca> wrote in message
news:f0vp8.5692$xB.845568@read2.cgocable.net...
> for sale:
>
> Yupiteru mvt 7100 with full coverage 0.1 - 1650 mhz
> 1000 channels, signal meter, research for all the rest of info please
>
>
> mint condition
>
> with new rechargeable batteries, wall charger/adapater, original manual,
> rubberduck antenna, cigarettelighter plug.
>
> 300.00 US shipped or best offer
>
>
> thanks
>
> oaktownboy@cogeco.ca
>
>
> price includes express post insured shipping
>
>

1356. Re: Congress moves to Ban Certain Receivers, New Addition to Home Security Act

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 15:33:30 UTC
From: Steve B <nospam@home.com>
Message-ID: <e%Fp8.38285$q92.1019643@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 50069

Here is link to a list of Senator Leahy's bills Senator that he has written
and introduced.
I don't see any such bill to enhance the US Home Security Act.

http://www.senate.gov/~leahy/bills/index.html

<congress@gone.crazy.org> wrote in message
news:a85hqm0318l@enews4.newsguy.com...
> Senator Leahy, Democrat - Vermont, has proposed a new Senate Bill. The
bill
> with 25 co-sponsors will enhance the US Home Security Act by:
> 1. Banning digital capable receivers from being sold, imported or
manufactured
> in the USA that receive frequencies in the listed ranges in part 2.
> 2. Ban the reception of any frequencies in the:
> a. 30-49.999 MHz range
> b. 72-75 MHz Range
> c. 108.1-174 MHz Range
> d. 225.0001-512 MHz range
> e. 700-1239.9999 MHz Range, excludes TVRO until 2010
> 3. The bill has no effect on licensed radio systems and commercial
> transceivers such as cellular telephones, cordless phones or TVRO systems.
> 4. The penalties for having a receiver with this capability will be a
$500,000
> fine and 10 years in prison.
> 5. There is an exemption for law enforcement and local government
stations.
> For example a local volunteer fire fighter will be obtain a permit from
the
> FCC to have a receiver. The FCC is allowed to charge a $25 administrative
fee
> for each permit application.
> 6. Amateur radio transceivers will be required to block expanded receive
> capability in part 2 frequency ranges, but current ham radio transceivers
will
> have a grandfather clause until 2006. However, it will be illegal for
amateur
> radio operators to listen to any frequency in part 2.
>
> http://www.senate.gov/~leahy/
>
>
>

1357. Re: Dumb bc780xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 15:37:08 UTC
From: Steve B <nospam@home.com>
Message-ID: <E2Gp8.38303$q92.1020119@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 50070

Also an LTR trunk system the frequncies need to be put into a certain order
like the EDACS trunk system.

"Greg" <not@shown.here> wrote in message
news:pu7caug5lur0379ble01u6ijaokk23v1t6@4ax.com...
> Do frequencies for a trunked system need to be put anywhere special?
>
> For all of the trunked systems I've got programmed in, I've got them
> as the first few freqs in the channel. Is it ok to have them at the
> end? As long as they're in the right order?

1358. Digital Signals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 15:42:19 UTC
From: Steve B <nospam@home.com>
Message-ID: <v7Gp8.38331$q92.1020588@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 50071

There was a web site that had .wav files of different trunk system data channels and other digital signals. Anyone now of the web address.

Thanks,

Steve

1359. Re: **Question -- is this OK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 15:51:28 UTC
From: MadDogMcQ <tom.mcq@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a87ba0$j9n$1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 50072
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.sys.palmtops,alt.comp.sys.palmtop.pilot,comp.sys.hp48,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Xaing Dia" <cds@viacom.com> wrote in message
news:3nDp8.10853$9d3.284@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> Oral sex is the term used for all sexual activities involving the use of
the
> mouth, tongue, etc., to stimulate the sex organs. Done on the genitals of
a man
> (especially the penis), it is technically called fellatio. (The street
term for
> an act of fellatio is blow job.) Done on the genitals of a woman (the
vulva and
> especially the clitoris), it is technically called cunnilingus. Most
people
> usually use euphemisms or slang terms when talking about oral sex.
>
> Oral sex has been taboo to a greater or lesser extent in many Western
countries
> since the Middle Ages. Some activities are actually illegal in some
> jurisdictions.
>
> Oral sex is considered a superb method of contraception (birth control),
since
> pregnancy is impossible unless semen (sperm) comes into contact with the
vagina
> or vulva. Many heterosexual people often use oral sex for this reason.
>
> Many people find oral sex very pleasurable. In particular, receiving oral
sex
> is for many women one of the better ways or reaching orgasm. Thus oral sex
is
> used for pleasure even when avoiding pregnancy is not a concern.
>
> Oral sex can be enjoyed in a wide variety of positions. Normally one
partner
> performs while the other receives, but it can be performed by both
parteners at
> the same time in a "sixty-nine" position.
>
That's amazing! I never even heard of that before. I'll go mention it to my
wife straight away.

:-))

1360. Re: Digital Signals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 15:53:31 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <%hGp8.13023$k5.4887373@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50073

This might be what you're looking for:

Digital Modes Samples
http://www.kb9ukd.com/digital/

Bill Crocker

"Steve B" <nospam@home.com> wrote in message
news:v7Gp8.38331$q92.1020588@news1.east.cox.net...
> There was a web site that had .wav files of different trunk system data
> channels and other digital signals. Anyone now of the web address.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Steve
>
>
>

1361. F.A. 400 CHANNEL 800 MHZ CANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 16:18:13 UTC
From: Russell <rustyspam@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3CA736C5.1050402@dccnet.com>
Source record: 50074

In excellent conditon with manual....

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1342439324&r=0&t=0&showTutorial=0&ed=1017708684&indexURL=0&rd=1

1362. 2.4 GHz SST Cordless telephone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 16:46:31 UTC
From: Tommy <janie_jer86@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a87ebh$9f4$1@ins22.netins.net>
Source record: 50075

Could somebody explain how these telephones work? I thought that you
weren't suppose to beable to pick these up on scanners in the 900 range.
Thanks

1363. Re: Digital Signals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 16:51:37 UTC
From: Steve B <nospam@home.com>
Message-ID: <t8Hp8.38695$q92.1029724@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 50076

Yes it is Bill, Thank You!

Steve

"Bill Crocker" <billcrocker@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:%hGp8.13023$k5.4887373@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> This might be what you're looking for:
>
> Digital Modes Samples
> http://www.kb9ukd.com/digital/
>
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>
> "Steve B" <nospam@home.com> wrote in message
> news:v7Gp8.38331$q92.1020588@news1.east.cox.net...
> > There was a web site that had .wav files of different trunk system data
> > channels and other digital signals. Anyone now of the web address.
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Steve
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1364. Re: Congress moves to Ban Certain Receivers, New Addition to Home Security Act

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 17:08:33 UTC
From: at_the_helms@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <621d1b6e.0203310908.5316c6ff@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50077

>wf3h@ptd.net wrote in message:

>>This slime is going to get away with anything as
>>long long as it serves the NWO, but what do you
>>expect from representation that embraces communism
>>and condones same sex marriages?

>>Now you know why they blew up the towers folks.

>geeze, and i thought only muslim fanatics hated
>america. here's another guy that does.

My GOD ... Resentment of communism, homosexuality and
goverment fascism constitutes "HATING AMERICA"? Do you
even know which country you live in douche bag?

1365. Re: 2.4 GHz SST Cordless telephone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 17:24:02 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <SCHp8.13030$k5.4889597@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50078

Absolutely not. The "900 range", is 900MHz. The 2.4GHz band is 2400MHz,
over 2.6 times higher frequency. In addition to that, many of the 2.4GHz
phones are using digital spread spectrum, which in two words or less, means
no way!

Bill Crocker

"Tommy" <janie_jer86@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:a87ebh$9f4$1@ins22.netins.net...
> Could somebody explain how these telephones work? I thought that you
> weren't suppose to beable to pick these up on scanners in the 900 range.
> Thanks
>
>
>

1366. Re: F.A. 400 CHANNEL 800 MHZ CANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 17:26:43 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <9mheauo2v9ot5eqegu1vv0usi644gi8tg7@4ax.com>
Source record: 50079

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, Russell <rustyspam@dccnet.com> spake thusly

>In excellent conditon with manual....

Sorry, I really don't need another Canner right now.....

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

1367. Re: 2.4 GHz SST Cordless telephone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 17:57:23 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <76Ip8.398060$A44.23110897@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 50080

some 2.4 gig phones do that. where I forget which. The handset tx's in 900
or the base tx's in 900. and the other is 2.4 gigs. but. someone here should
be able to tell you which one does. I even heard a few 2.4's do this too.
some companies make these.

Dev

"Tommy" <janie_jer86@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:a87ebh$9f4$1@ins22.netins.net...
> Could somebody explain how these telephones work? I thought that you
> weren't suppose to beable to pick these up on scanners in the 900 range.
> Thanks
>
>

1368. Re: 2.4 GHz SST Cordless telephone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 19:46:14 UTC
From: donfanucci@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020331144614.06563.00000977@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 50081

We can put a man on the moon, Carrot Top makes a good living for himself, yet we cannot intercept 2.4 gig transmissions via a scanner? Won't this *ever* be possible?

1369. 8 Hours Left on AOR AR-8200 Mark IIB Auction! Lots of Extras- going cheap!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 20:06:09 UTC
From: ads@athomesafe.com
Message-ID: <3ca76bea.6473515@news.directvinternet.com>
Source record: 50082

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1341839281

or do an Ebay search for item 1341839281

This thing ROCKS! Check out all it comes with! It's got the VI-8200 Voice inverter card, JAV-232 PC interface, registered Butel Software, Scout cable, a Grove "active" antenna, car charger, case, box, manual, etc!

Auction price is still VERY low and NO RESERVE!

ONLY 8 HOURS LEFT IN THE AUCTION!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Description:

This is an AOR 8200 MKIIB (European version) scanner in excellent condition along with all the accessories needed to fully exploit its incredible capabilities. The scanner is a full coverage radio from low band through shortwave frequencies and on up through UHF. The complete specifications for the radio are available at : http://www.aoruk.com/8200.htm

The AR8200 has sophisticated programming functions that are accessible via the keypad or which can be controlled through a computer interface. Included is a JAV-232 computer interface from Javiation with the OS-8200/DIN lead that is required to use the interface with the AR8200. I am including an extra computer control plug and cable for use with your custom application. A custom prepared cable is included for the interface that is designed for recording the audio out from the radio and for tapping the discriminator output from the radio. A complete description of the interface is available on the Javiation web site : http://www.javiation.co.uk/

Included is a registered copy of the latest Butel computer programming software that makes setup and frequency management of the radio simple. Details of the Butel ARC8200 software are available at their web site : http://www.butel.nl/ A computer is necessary to use the Javiation interface and the Butel software. A computer is not included in this auction.

The radio also comes with a reaction tuning interface cable for use with the Optoelectronics Scout frequency finder. This auction does not include the Scout, however, a description of it can be found on the Optoelectronics web site: http://www.optoelectronics.com/scout.htm

For shortwave listening, I am including the Grove Enterprises ?Active Duck? amplified antenna. This antenna does an incredible job of pulling in the HF stations. If you are going to listen to shortwave in a portable situation, this antenna is really a great item to have. Full details are available on the Grove web site : http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT36.html

For descrambling voice inversion encrypted transmissions, included is the VI-8200 - Voice inverter card. The VI8200 enables the AR8200 to recover intelligible audio from certain types of analog transmissions which would otherwise sound scrambled. They operate by splitting up the audio spectrum and "flipping" the upper and lower tones around a certain frequency point. The VI8200 enables 157 different "flip points" to be selected in the frequency range of 2.4kHz to 5.6kHz.

The features of the AR8200 include those listed below. For complete information, visit the AOR web site and read the review on the Strong Signals web site : http://www.strongsignals.net/access/reviews/reviews.cgi?type=display&rtype=fi&class=recv&num=020

Frequency coverage 530 kHz ~ 2040 MHz
All mode reception with Super narrow FM plus Wide and Narrow AM in addition to the standard modes (CW, SSB, etc.)
True carrier re-insertion in SSB mode
Re-progammable Band Plan
Alpha tagging of Scan, Search and Memory Banks
RF preselection of mid VHF bands
Detachable MW bar aerial with negative feedback
14 Segment S-meter
Tuning steps programmable in multiples of 50 Hz in all modes, 8.33 kHz airband step correctly supported
Step-adjust, frequency offset, AFC
Noise limiter & attenuator
Versatile band scope with save trace facility and 7 ranges
Twin frequency readout with 14 segment bar signal meter
Battery save facility with battery low legend
Separate controls for volume & squelch
Arrow 4-way side rocker with separate main tuning dial
Configurable keypad beep / illumination & LCD contrast
Write protect & keypad lock
Programmable scan & search including LINK, FREE, DELAY, AUDIO, LEVEL, MODE
Computer socket fitted for control, clone & record
Flash-ROM memory (no battery required)
Voice inverter card
Accessories Included: Whip Antenna, MW Bar Aerial, 4 AA NiCad Batteries, AC Charger, Cigarette Adapter, Hand Strap, Vinyl case Belt Hook with 2 screws, Operating Manual.
In summary, what you are bidding on is an AR8200 scanner in excellent condition with all factory accessories and manual in the original box, a JAV-232 computer interface with the necessary control cables, Butel

computer setup software, a voice inverter option card, an Optoelectronics reaction tune cable, and a Grove Active Duck antenna. Because this was purchased abroad, it did not come with a 110 VAC adapter. I have prepared a 110 adapter/battery charger by installing the proper sized connector on a suitable wall power supply (included).

The terms of the auction are as follows: Expertly packed and shipped as soon as payment is received. Winner to pay fixed shipping costs of $8.95 for insured Priority Mail. Payment is to be received within 10 days of the auction close in the form of Paypal, money order, personal check or cashiers check. I reserve the right to cancel bids from buyers with excessive negative feedback.

This is a sweet little receiver for anyone with serious listening needs be it for police or emergency calls, amateur radio (ham), commercial or military listening or whatever. I spent some effort acquiring this set of accessories but I must part with it to fund other projects. The radio has had very little use and is in excellent condition. I warranty it not to be DOA.

This is a fairly complicated radio that requires some effort on the part of the operator to utilize all of its features. Please serious bidders only. Thanks for looking and good luck!

1370. Re: 2.4 GHz SST Cordless telephone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 20:19:34 UTC
From: Tommy <janie_jer86@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a87qr7$u1f$1@ins22.netins.net>
Source record: 50083

I couldn't understand why it happened, but it happened when the phone was off the hook by the TV and you heard everything but later when a call was made it was stopped on the same frequency but you could not hear the talking. I didn't know why that would happen. Just alittle bit confused.Thanks again!

"." <donfanucci@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020331144614.06563.00000977@mb-mo.aol.com...
> We can put a man on the moon, Carrot Top makes a good living for himself, yet
> we cannot intercept 2.4 gig transmissions via a scanner? Won't this *ever* be
> possible?

1371. Las Vegas Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 21:22:24 UTC
From: frieden <stefan@pclv.com>
Message-ID: <uaevls6n3ljbac@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50084

If any one has the new Las Vegas Police frequencies could you send them to me. I heard that they changed some of them. Also what frequencies are the police using when they are on stake outes?

1372. Re: 2.4 GHz SST Cordless telephone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 21:35:13 UTC
From: Tommy <janie_jer86@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a87v97$55j$1@ins22.netins.net>
Source record: 50085

One more time again. I did hear the talking this time, I don't ever
remember hearing talking on this phone before. I thought you weren't
suppose to be able to. I hope you can help me out. Could something be
wrong with me phone? Thanks again.

"Tommy" <janie_jer86@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:a87qr7$u1f$1@ins22.netins.net...
> I couldn't understand why it happened, but it happened when the phone was
> off the hook by the TV and you heard everything but later when a call was
> made it was stopped on the same frequency but you could not hear the
> talking. I didn't know why that would happen. Just alittle bit
> confused.Thanks again!
>
>
>
> "." <donfanucci@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020331144614.06563.00000977@mb-mo.aol.com...
> > We can put a man on the moon, Carrot Top makes a good living for
himself,
> yet
> > we cannot intercept 2.4 gig transmissions via a scanner? Won't this
ever
> be
> > possible?
>
>

1373. Re: Congress moves to Ban Certain Receivers, New Addition to Home Security Act

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 22:20:44 UTC
From: Barry <balsapilot@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3CA78BE1.E29A4EA7@yahoo.com>
Source record: 50086

congress@gone.crazy.org wrote:
>
> Senator Leahy, Democrat - Vermont, has proposed a new Senate Bill. The bill
> with 25 co-sponsors will enhance the US Home Security Act by:

A little early for April Fools day?

1374. Re: Congress moves to Ban Certain Receivers, New Addition to Home Security Act

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-03-31 23:47:23 UTC
From: AV <av@sover.net>
Message-ID: <3CA7A00B.D4E@sover.net>
Source record: 50087

C. Helms wrote:
>

> My GOD ... Resentment of communism, homosexuality and
> goverment fascism constitutes "HATING AMERICA"? Do you
> even know which country you live in douche bag?

Yeah, one where ad hominem attacks are rampant and a poor substitute for logical and fair debate.

av

1375. Re: Cops using Laptops not MDT's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-01 00:24:41 UTC
From: Dennis <DennisF@ABC.NET>
Message-ID: <a8896t$qdirl$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50088

I know that the laptop is the mean to communication as far as the terminal. The problem is the frequency the system uses right? I mean the laptop (MDT system) is simply plugged into a radio. THAT radio can be convention simplex freq to trunking 800 to cell phones. Please let me know if I am wrong on that since I have seen new Motorola MW520 systems simply plug into the radio with is a trunking 800 MHz system. I am willing to bet that same system could be used with a cell phone system. I have seen another agency (constables) actually buy their own laptops (Compaq,Dell,IBM) and have the MDT program installed on their laptop. The laptop serial cable is then connected to a radio and communications is completed in that manner. The Constables loved it since they could get laptops with DVD players in them ;)

Other systems such as the Motoroal MW520 systems are fixed PC systems with only the MDT in a type of flash bios installed on a RAM-Drive during bootup, but it may be a HD in there as well.
http://www.motorola.com/LMPS/RNSG/mobile_data.htm

"LEADENWAH" <leadenwah@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020329102212.16889.00000613@mb-mh.aol.com...
> In our area the local police are using laptops mounted in the cruisers rather
> than the regular Motorola Mobile Data Terminals as many other departments use.
>
> Any idea what frequency range they would use such a the alpha-numeric paging
> channels ?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Geo.

1376. Re: Cops using Laptops not MDT's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-01 00:44:20 UTC
From: Dennis <DennisF@ABC.NET>
Message-ID: <a88abo$psc1e$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 50089

oops,, maybe its not a radio and just a radio booster

"Dennis" <DennisF@ABC.NET> wrote in message
news:a8896t$qdirl$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de...
> I know that the laptop is the mean to communication as far as the
terminal.
> The problem is the frequency the system uses right? I mean the laptop (MDT
> system) is simply plugged into a radio. THAT radio can be convention
simplex
> freq to trunking 800 to cell phones. Please let me know if I am wrong on
> that since I have seen new Motorola MW520 systems simply plug into the
radio
> with is a trunking 800 MHz system. I am willing to bet that same system
> could be used with a cell phone system. I have seen another agency
> (constables) actually buy their own laptops (Compaq,Dell,IBM) and have the
> MDT program installed on their laptop. The laptop serial cable is then
> connected to a radio and communications is completed in that manner. The
> Constables loved it since they could get laptops with DVD players in them
;)
>
> Other systems such as the Motoroal MW520 systems are fixed PC systems with
> only the MDT in a type of flash bios installed on a RAM-Drive during
bootup,
> but it may be a HD in there as well.
> http://www.motorola.com/LMPS/RNSG/mobile_data.htm
>
>
>
>
> "LEADENWAH" <leadenwah@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020329102212.16889.00000613@mb-mh.aol.com...
> > In our area the local police are using laptops mounted in the cruisers
> rather
> > than the regular Motorola Mobile Data Terminals as many other
departments
> use.
> >
> > Any idea what frequency range they would use such a the alpha-numeric
> paging
> > channels ?
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Geo.

>
>

1377. Re: Make Offer, Cheek Manuals and News Letters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-01 00:50:06 UTC
From: KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <29Op8.145269$ZR2.61688@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 50090

I'll give you $2.

J Rob <jrobmills@aol.comrx320> wrote
> Cleaning house, Have Scanner modification manuals 1 and 2 and 20 issues
of
> Commtronic Engineering news letters consisting of Volumes 4, 6 -10,
Volumes 5,
> 1 -10 and Volumes 6, 1- 5. This is a lot of info and all are in good
condition,
> make me an offer. Will mail in 48 only.
>
> Drop RX320 and e-mail me.
> Rob Mills~

1378. VR-500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-01 01:48:57 UTC
From: Graeme <gcfarmer@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3ca7bc7c$0$11453$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 50091
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

anyone know how to manually switch VR-500 to NAM (narrow AM0 mode

1379. FA: ICOM R10 wideband receiver, Euro version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-01 02:00:44 UTC
From: Michael White <m.white66@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <gbPp8.9646$id3.1578@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 50092
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

At auction on ebay, an ICOM R10 wideband receiver. This is the euro version with continuous coverage from .5 - 1300 MHz; no gaps. Please point your browser to:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1343308818

Thanks.

Mike, N4PDY

1380. New Canada Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-01 02:13:30 UTC
From: rescue@pcpostal.com
Message-ID: <d3ae859e.0203311813.4fb0b1eb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50093

New group for Canadian Radio Hobbyist
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/NINN_Canada/

1381. Data Slicer Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-01 02:25:45 UTC
From: me <cbkid113@athotmael.com>
Message-ID: <JyPp8.46979$a3.19153508@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 50096

I want to make the little data slicer that's been floating around the net for some time, found here:
http://www.trunkedradio.net/trunked/trunker/circut.gif

I am unclear on a few things though. Are C1-C3 electrolytics or are they just regular unpolarized caps? Or is it just C2 and C3 that are the electrolytics and if so, which way are they oriented? Are there any specific types of capacitors I should use (ceramic vs.......)?

Thanks!

1382. Re: Congress moves to Ban Certain Receivers, New Addition to Home Security Act

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-01 02:31:26 UTC
From: wf3h@ptd.net
Message-ID: <3ca7c649.125830885@news.ptdprolog.net>
Source record: 50094

On 31 Mar 2002 09:08:33 -0800, at_the_helms@yahoo.com (C. Helms)
wrote:

>>wf3h@ptd.net wrote in message:
>
>>>This slime is going to get away with anything as
>>>long long as it serves the NWO, but what do you
>>>expect from representation that embraces communism
>>>and condones same sex marriages?
>
>>>Now you know why they blew up the towers folks.
>
>>geeze, and i thought only muslim fanatics hated
>>america. here's another guy that does.
>
>My GOD ... Resentment of communism, homosexuality and
>goverment fascism constitutes "HATING AMERICA"? Do you
>even know which country you live in douche bag?

hmmm....guess you can't read, can you?

saying these things CAUSED the terrorism is hating america

of course you bigoted fascists have much in common with your al qaida
brothers in arms.

"This difference between liberalism and conservatism
must not be obscured by the fact that in the United
States it is still possible to defend individual
liberty by defending long-established institutions.
To the liberal they are valuable not mainly because
they are long established or because they are
American but because they correspond to the
ideals which he cherishes."

F. A. Hayek in "Why I am not a Conservative"

1383. ONE HOUR LEFT- AOR AR-8200 Mark IIB Auction + Extras!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-01 02:41:47 UTC
From: ads@athomesafe.com
Message-ID: <3ca7c8b0.30223468@news.directvinternet.com>
Source record: 50095

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1341839281

GREAT package deal! Full Coverage AOR AR-8200 Mark IIB

Comes with:
JAV-232 computer interface
Registered Butel Software
Optoelectronics Scout Cable
Grove Enterprises "Active Duck" amplified antenna
VI-8200 - Voice inverter card
Soft case
Box, manual, etc!

SELLER IS HIGH VOLUME WITH ALL POSITIVE FEEDBACK!

JUST OVER AN HOUR LEFT IN THE AUCTION!

_____
ITEM DESCRIPTION:

This is an AOR 8200 MKIIB (European version) scanner in excellent condition along with all the accessories needed to fully exploit its incredible capabilities. The scanner is a full coverage radio from low band through shortwave frequencies and on up through UHF. The complete specifications for the radio are available at : http://www.aoruk.com/8200.htm

The AR8200 has sophisticated programming functions that are accessible via the keypad or which can be controlled through a computer interface. Included is a JAV-232 computer interface from Javiation with the OS-8200/DIN lead that is required to use the interface with the AR8200. I am including an extra computer control plug and cable for use with your custom application. A custom prepared cable is included for the interface that is designed for recording the audio out from the radio and for tapping the discriminator output from the radio. A complete description of the interface is available on the Javiation web site : http://www.javiation.co.uk/

Included is a registered copy of the latest Butel computer programming software that makes setup and frequency management of the radio simple. Details of the Butel ARC8200 software are available at their web site : http://www.butel.nl/ A computer is necessary to use the Javiation interface and the Butel software. A computer is not included in this auction.

The radio also comes with a reaction tuning interface cable for use with the Optoelectronics Scout frequency finder. This auction does

not include the Scout, however, a description of it can be found on
the Optoelectronics web site: http://www.optoelectronics.com/scout.htm

For shortwave listening, I am including the Grove Enterprises ﷺActive
Duck﷽ amplified antenna. This antenna does an incredible job of
pulling in the HF stations. If you are going to listen to shortwave
in a portable situation, this antenna is really a great item to have.
Full details are available on the Grove web site :
http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT36.html

For descrambling voice inversion encrypted transmissions, included is
the VI-8200 - Voice inverter card. The VI8200 enables the AR8200 to
recover intelligible audio from certain types of analog transmissions
which would otherwise sound scrambled. They operate by splitting up
the audio spectrum and "flipping" the upper and lower tones around a
certain frequency point. The VI8200 enables 157 different "flip
points" to be selected in the frequency range of 2.4kHz to 5.6kHz.

The features of the AR8200 include those listed below. For complete
information, visit the AOR web site and read the review on the Strong
Signals web site :
http://www.strongsignals.net/access/reviews/reviews.cgi?type=display&rtype=fi&class=recv&n
um=020

Frequency coverage 530 kHz ~ 2040 MHz
All mode reception with Super narrow FM plus Wide and Narrow AM in
addition to the standard modes (CW, SSB, etc.)
True carrier re-insertion in SSB mode
Re-progammable Band Plan
Alpha tagging of Scan, Search and Memory Banks
RF preselection of mid VHF bands
Detachable MW bar aerial with negative feedback
14 Segment S-meter
Tuning steps programmable in multiples of 50 Hz in all modes, 8.33 kHz
airband step correctly supported
Step-adjust, frequency offset, AFC
Noise limiter & attenuator
Versatile band scope with save trace facility and 7 ranges
Twin frequency readout with 14 segment bar signal meter
Battery save facility with battery low legend
Separate controls for volume & squelch
Arrow 4-way side rocker with separate main tuning dial
Configurable keypad beep / illumination & LCD contrast
Write protect & keypad lock
Programmable scan & search including LINK, FREE, DELAY, AUDIO, LEVEL,
MODE
Computer socket fitted for control, clone & record
Flash-ROM memory (no battery required)
Voice inverter card
Accessories Included: Whip Antenna, MW Bar Aerial, 4 AA NiCad
Batteries, AC Charger, Cigarette Adapter, Hand Strap, Vinyl case Belt

Hook with 2 screws, Operating Manual.
In summary, what you are bidding on is an AR8200 scanner in excellent condition with all factory accessories and manual in the original box, a JAV-232 computer interface with the necessary control cables, Butel computer setup software, a voice inverter option card, an Optoelectronics reaction tune cable, and a Grove Active Duck antenna. Because this was purchased abroad, it did not come with a 110 VAC adapter. I have prepared a 110 adapter/battery charger by installing the proper sized connector on a suitable wall power supply (included).

The terms of the auction are as follows: Expertly packed and shipped as soon as payment is received. Winner to pay fixed shipping costs of $8.95 for insured Priority Mail. Payment is to be received within 10 days of the auction close in the form of Paypal, money order, personal check or cashiers check. I reserve the right to cancel bids from buyers with excessive negative feedback.

This is a sweet little receiver for anyone with serious listening needs be it for police or emergency calls, amateur radio (ham), commercial or military listening or whatever. I spent some effort acquiring this set of accessories but I must part with it to fund other projects. The radio has had very little use and is in excellent condition. I warranty it not to be DOA.

This is a fairly complicated radio that requires some effort on the part of the operator to utilize all of its features. Please serious bidders only. Thanks for looking and good luck!

1384. Re: F.A. 400 CHANNEL 800 MHZ CANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-01 02:50:57 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020331215057.18328.00001051@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 49997

>Subject: Re: F.A. 400 CHANNEL 800 MHZ CANNER
>From: Never anonymous Bud thekat@san.rxyzr.com
>Date: 3/31/2002 11:26 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <9mheauo2v9ot5eqegu1vv0usi644gi8tg7@4ax.com>
>
>Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, Russell <rustyspam@dccnet.com>
>spake thusly
>
>>In excellent conditon with manual....
>
>
>Sorry, I really don't need another Canner right now.....

Maybe if the green bean crop is good you'll need an extra one.
Neutrodyne

1385. Re: Data Slicer Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-01 04:41:08 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3CA7E4E2.842C8DAD@optonline.net>
Source record: 49998

For C2 and C3 I used Tantalum capacitors from RS, and yes they are polarized. Just connect the + side of the capacitor to where the circuit diagram indicates +.

Walt

I just followd

me wrote:
>
> I want to make the little data slicer that's been floating around the net
> for some time, found here:
> http://www.trunkedradio.net/trunked/trunker/circut.gif
>
> I am unclear on a few things though. Are C1-C3 electrolytics or are they
> just regular unpolarized caps? Or is it just C2 and C3 that are the
> electrolytics and if so, which way are they oriented? Are there any
> specific types of capacitors I should use (ceramic vs.......)?
>
> Thanks!

1386. Lawrence County (New Castle area), PA scanner yahoogroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-01 04:53:32 UTC
From: Matthew Stone <mbstone99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <gJRp8.6990$ml2.540258@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 49999

The Lawrence County PA Scanner Association, a mailing list for scanner radio enthustiants in Lawrence County located in Western Pennsylvania. Posting of "Working Incidents", discussion and requests to provide current frequency information for any type of radio service is also encouraged.

Lawrence County PA Scanner AssociationYahoogroup (lcpsa) -
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/lcpsa/

Matthew Stone/lcpsa Yahoogroup
http://lcpsa.webhop.net/

1387. Re: HELP 900Mhz pone codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-01 06:07:02 UTC
From: GH <gdh54@att.net>
Message-ID: <3CA7F90E.4C7A3D77@att.net>
Source record: 50000

Just for Karen and Terrys' info incase they aren't aware.
It is illegal to listen to cordless phones as well as cell phones in the US.

Having said that, if you do not tell any one that you listened to them, who is
to know.

GH

Terry Bull wrote:

> Oh that makes a lot of sense. Waste a lot of your time telling her to do
> things the hard way.
> It's that kind of thinking that really pulls civilization forward.
>
> How do you know she hasn't already searched?
> More to the point, that's why society invented questions. To find out
> something that someone already knows, so the work won't have to be redone.
> Imaging all of those questions that have been asked throughout history.
> Now Bozo you tries to thwart that cause you had to do some work, but in the
> end you had to *ask* someone or some computer the same question anyway. Yet
> you claim you did all the work yourself. No, you found out from somewhere
> because you asked.
>
> As if his question has something to do with you and the trials you've been
> through.
>
> As the saying goes, lead , follow, or get out of the way. It does not say
> OBSTRUCT people.
>
> "Karen Williams" <kanesgirl@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:qQSm8.7012$Tk.385214@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Does anyone know where to find codes for the cordless phones Specifically
> > UNIDEN phones...
> > I can find them for almost every other brand of phone but Uniden.
> > Any Help??? PLEASE...
> >
> > If possible reply to me personally not on the group.
> >
> > my email is
> > kanesgirl@earthlink.net
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> > --
> > Karen...

> >
> > http://karenswrestlingpix.tripod.com
> >
> >

1388. Anyone listening to SCA stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-01 06:17:41 UTC
From: "Matt J. McCullar" <mccullar@flash.net>
Message-ID: <9YSp8.1750$Mo2.294055027@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50001

SCA signals ride on commercial FM broadcast signals as a subcarrier. I bought the Ramsey kit that lets you listen to these signals and it works very well... I bought their FM radio receiver kit to go along with it to make it easier to interface the SCA, and learned enough from that to be able to attach the SCA decoder to other FM radio receivers, such as junked-out car stereos. I've since bought an old tabletop radio receiver because it's got enough room inside to let me mount the SCA adapter on a permanent basis.

Listening to Fort Worth/Dallas SCA signals, I've picked up things such as digital data (don't know what info it's transmitting), foreign language news (I think it's Korean), and even country music (riding on the signal of a country music station, but different songs!).

I'm just wondering what other folks can tune in on SCA signals elsewhere.

I'm also wondering if Muzak still does SCA stuff or if they've switched to satellite downlinks. I hear music in fast-food joints with no commercials.

"Stop the Muzak -- 25 cents."

Matt J. McCullar, KJ5BA
Arlington, TX

1389. MCQ_LOG to include ***WorldWide Station Locator***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-01 06:22:06 UTC
From: MadDogMcQ <tom.mcq@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a88uae$g3d$1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 50002
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

MCQ_LOG, the totally free SWL/HAM Logging Database is to be upgraded to include a WorldWide Station Locator with excellent search facilities!!

For more info, see http://www.tom.mcq.btinternet.co.uk/ar3000/mcqlog.htm

Regards, Tom.
*..• •••)) -:•:-
•.•• .••••))
((••.•• .•• ..-:•:- * www.ar3000.info * -:•:-
-:•:- ((••.••*

1390. Re: RS Pro 2022 Only $1299.99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-01 06:31:48 UTC
From: mike II <ohmwork@spots.ab.ca>
Message-ID: <3CA7FED4.D6D2FD64@spots.ab.ca>
Source record: 50003
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

jim menning wrote:

> But if you will look at the pictures, you will see it is currently tuned to 888.9600 MHz.
> Many Ebay scanner sellers display their modified radios this way, using the picture to show the cellular
> capability.

Shucks..Mr DeWayne isn't dumb at all, is he Mister Menning? I dun owe him an apologee...

mike (it's hard to be a khz in a MHZ world...) II

--

__ __ __ __ __ __ __ __
/ /\ / /\ / /\ / /\ / /\ / /\ / /\ / /
/ /\ \/ /\ \/ohmwork@spots.ab.ca/\ \/ /\ \/ /
/_/ \/_/ \/_/ \/_/ \/_/ \/_/ \/_/ \/_/

1391. Re: VR-500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-01 07:20:41 UTC
From: drexcia@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <b5dec431.0203312320.6fff6350@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50004
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I've had the radio since October and I must say it's an exceptional performer on UHF and it's features are great, they take a little while to get used to but read the manual, it's quite well written!

I'm only using the standard Rubber Ducky aerial and have found that it's quite a poor performer on VHF Airband. I live about 15kms from the Airport and I have to have the volume up full to hear ATIS. When I'm at the airport it works like a dream so I would say it would be an aerial issue. You can't expect the standard aerial to cover 0.1khz - 1300mhz perfectly. So I would suggest purchasing a seperate aerial for Airband.

Cheers Guys..

"Graeme" <gcfarmer@optushome.com.au> wrote in message news:<3ca7bc7c$0$11453$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>...
> anyone know how to manually switch VR-500 to NAM (narrow AM0 mode

1392. Pro-2030 no squelch and low audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-01 07:45:19 UTC
From: Coppernoll <jc92033@navix.net>
Message-ID: <3CA8100F.B6F371DD@navix.net>
Source record: 50005

I was wondering if anybody who has previous experience with the 2030 could give any suggestions. I looked on the internet and couldn't find any schematics for it, and didn't see any parts on the board that were visibly damaged. I was connecting my pro 2030 to the PC's sound card like I've done before to decode digital signals and record audio. I wasn't getting it to work right on the discriminator tap (moded for over a year) or from the factory audio out so I disconnected it and tried normal listening. It still receives fine but it only has about 1/4 the audio power of before and no squelch action at all so I figured I could have had a shorted cable or something and it damaged the radio. Also its the newer revision that cannot receive cell.

Thanks
Jackson

1393. FS: ICOM IC-R10 wideband receiver, Euro version no gaps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-01 10:49:20 UTC
From: Michael White <m.white66@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <QWWp8.2917$AE1.586@nwrddc03.gnilink.net>
Source record: 50006
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

For Sale: ICOM IC-R10 wideband receiver. This is the European version, covers .5 - 1300 MHz with no gaps. In excellent condition, works great. Includes antenna, wrist strap, manual on disk, PC interface cable and Windows software for managing memories. Pictures at:

http://members.verizon.net/~vze27dkg/R10a.jpg
http://members.verizon.net/~vze27dkg/R10b.jpg

$310 + shipping. Email if interested. Thanks...

Mike, N4PDY

1394. Re: Anyone listening to SCA stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-01 12:44:44 UTC
From: Bart Bailey <nothere@nethere.net>
Message-ID: <3CA8563B.8B7F978@amsat.org>
Source record: 50007

Matt J. McCullar wrote:

> SCA signals ride on commercial FM broadcast signals as a subcarrier. I
> bought the Ramsey kit that lets you listen to these signals and it works
> very well... I bought their FM radio receiver kit to go along with it to
> make it easier to interface the SCA, and learned enough from that to be able
> to attach the SCA decoder to other FM radio receivers, such as junked-out
> car stereos. I've since bought an old tabletop radio receiver because it's
> got enough room inside to let me mount the SCA adapter on a permanent basis.
>
> Listening to Fort Worth/Dallas SCA signals, I've picked up things such as
> digital data (don't know what info it's transmitting), foreign language news
> (I think it's Korean), and even country music (riding on the signal of a
> country music station, but different songs!).
>
> I'm just wondering what other folks can tune in on SCA signals elsewhere.
>
> I'm also wondering if Muzak still does SCA stuff or if they've switched to
> satellite downlinks. I hear music in fast-food joints with no commercials.
>
> "Stop the Muzak -- 25 cents."
>
> Matt J. McCullar, KJ5BA
> Arlington, TX

I used to have an SCA box for the Physicians Radio Network (PRN)
that rode on KYXY (96.5) that played the sleepy "easy listening" Muzak stuff.
I traded that one off to someone who wanted to experiment with putting a tunable
tank in place of the xtal oscillator.
I don't know if he was ever successful or not.
And since I no longer have it, I don't know if Muzak is still there.
I still have one of the "In Touch Network" blind reading service units that
rides on KPBS (89.5).
I like to keep the volume sorta low,
and fall asleep while they are reading the newspaper,
then later I wake up informed, subliminally. ;-)

~Bart~

1395. Re: Anyone listening to SCA stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-01 13:14:11 UTC
From: gszwiec@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <108087d5.0204010514.31318263@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50008

Check out the threads for "Muzak on the internet" in this group for a
good discussion on this.

"Matt J. McCullar" <mccullar@flash.net> wrote in message
news:<9YSp8.1750$Mo2.294055027@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>...
> SCA signals ride on commercial FM broadcast signals as a subcarrier. I
> bought the Ramsey kit that lets you listen to these signals and it works
> very well... I bought their FM radio receiver kit to go along with it to
> make it easier to interface the SCA, and learned enough from that to be able
> to attach the SCA decoder to other FM radio receivers, such as junked-out
> car stereos. I've since bought an old tabletop radio receiver because it's
> got enough room inside to let me mount the SCA adapter on a permanent basis.
>
> Listening to Fort Worth/Dallas SCA signals, I've picked up things such as
> digital data (don't know what info it's transmitting), foreign language news
> (I think it's Korean), and even country music (riding on the signal of a
> country music station, but different songs!).
>
> I'm just wondering what other folks can tune in on SCA signals elsewhere.
>
> I'm also wondering if Muzak still does SCA stuff or if they've switched to
> satellite downlinks. I hear music in fast-food joints with no commercials.
>
> "Stop the Muzak -- 25 cents."
>
> Matt J. McCullar, KJ5BA
> Arlington, TX

1396. Bearcat 800XLT- Freq. Display Very Dim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-01 14:29:16 UTC
From: "J. Richard Hanna" <wa8npr@sssnet.com>
Message-ID: <uagrlgjbi3av19@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50009

The display on my Bearcat 800XLT has become so dim I can hardly read it anymore. The digits are still there but are now very, very dim.

Has anyone else had this problem with their 800XLT and if so what parts need replaced to correct the dim display?

Scanner works fine otherwise.

Thanks, Rich

1397. Re: VR-500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-01 14:50:17 UTC
From: Tim Sanders <n0zmnospam@mho.com>
Message-ID: <0qsgau8smk6sc4gd3ntuls9ef000h8g4k4@4ax.com>
Source record: 50011

look in Yahoo groups vr500 there has been a very recent discussion on
how to do it with a file template.

Tim

On Mon, 1 Apr 2002 11:48:57 +1000, "Graeme"
<gcfarmer@optushome.com.au> wrote:

>anyone know how to manually switch VR-500 to NAM (narrow AM0 mode
>

1398. Spring Cleaning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-01 17:20:14 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <iF0q8.9583$SG2.1410262@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 50014
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Click on link to see what I have for sale.Then click the photos.Email me for
any info or what.Check it out now.
http://www.msnusers.com/DicksFTP

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002

1399. No Doubling!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-01 17:45:02 UTC
From: zerg90@webtv.net
Message-ID: <732-3CA89C9E-31@storefull-2398.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 50017

http://www.salon.com/tech/feature/2002/03/28/heterodyne/index.html

A very well written article about the problems caused by doubling in the aviation arena focusing on the Tenerife crash. I worry that their cure might be worse than the cause - but I dont have any real details on the cure.

(If anyone has any info on the device mentioned in the article, can you drop me a note at zerg90@webtv.net? - Thanks - Peter S)

1400. Boston Area Scanner Meeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-01 17:52:01 UTC
From: zerg90@webtv.net
Message-ID: <732-3CA89E41-33@storefull-2398.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 50016

When - April 17, 2002 - a Wednesday - 630PM to 845PM

Where - Robbins Library in Arlington Center, Arlington Massachusetts - top floor Conference Room

What - talking about scanners

Why - why not?

If anyone has any questions - drop me a note at zerg90@webtv.net or post a message at www.yahoogroups.com/group/sme2

See ya there - Peter S

(the library is handicap accessible)

1401. Re: Anyone listening to SCA stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-01 17:54:14 UTC
From: MRK <me@you.com>
Message-ID: <uah7n3hhrok939@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50015

I used to live in Miami and attached the ramsey SCA to my Pro-2042. Works,
but there is no SCA audio in my area now.
"Matt J. McCullar" <mccullar@flash.net> wrote in message
news:9YSp8.1750$Mo2.294055027@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> SCA signals ride on commercial FM broadcast signals as a subcarrier. I
> bought the Ramsey kit that lets you listen to these signals and it works
> very well... I bought their FM radio receiver kit to go along with it to
> make it easier to interface the SCA, and learned enough from that to be
able
> to attach the SCA decoder to other FM radio receivers, such as junked-out
> car stereos. I've since bought an old tabletop radio receiver because
it's
> got enough room inside to let me mount the SCA adapter on a permanent
basis.
>
> Listening to Fort Worth/Dallas SCA signals, I've picked up things such as
> digital data (don't know what info it's transmitting), foreign language
news
> (I think it's Korean), and even country music (riding on the signal of a
> country music station, but different songs!).
>
> I'm just wondering what other folks can tune in on SCA signals elsewhere.
>
> I'm also wondering if Muzak still does SCA stuff or if they've switched to
> satellite downlinks. I hear music in fast-food joints with no
commercials.
>
> "Stop the Muzak -- 25 cents."
>
> Matt J. McCullar, KJ5BA
> Arlington, TX
>
>
>

1402. Re: **Question -- is this OK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-01 18:53:37 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <R02q8.13063$9H3.879324@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 50018
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.sys.palmtops,alt.comp.sys.palmtop.pilot,comp.sys.hp48,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

It's OK with ME, by golly!

"Xaing Dia" <cds@viacom.com> wrote in message
news:3nDp8.10853$9d3.284@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> Oral sex is the term used for all sexual activities involving the use
of the
> mouth, tongue, etc., to stimulate the sex organs.

1403. Re: Bearcat 800XLT- Freq. Display Very Dim

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-01 19:29:35 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3ca8b4ed$0$3105$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 50019

I describe a fix for the dim display in
my FAQ "Repair Tips for Bearcat Scanner Radios."

View it at:

ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner/Repair_Tips_for_Bearcat_Scanner_Radios

On Mon, 01 Apr 2002 08:29:16 -0600, J. Richard Hanna wrote:

> The display on my Bearcat 800XLT has become so dim I can hardly read it
> anymore. The digits are still there but are now very, very dim.
>
> Has anyone else had this problem with their 800XLT and if so what parts
> need replaced to correct the dim display?
> Scanner works fine otherwise.

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

1404. Re: VR-500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-01 20:24:36 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <kaghauocisnuhmeb9nbpdf5msfr584rsms@4ax.com>
Source record: 50020
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, drexcia@hotmail.com (Ben) spake thusly

>I've had the radio since October and I must say it's an exceptional
>performer on UHF and it's features are great, they take a little while
>to get used to but read the manual, it's quite well written!
>
>I'm only using the standard Rubber Ducky aerial and have found that
>it's quite a poor performer on VHF Airband. I live about 15kms from
>the Airport and I have to have the volume up full to hear ATIS. When
>I'm at the airport it works like a dream so I would say it would be an
>aerial issue. You can't expect the standard aerial to cover 0.1khz -
>1300mhz perfectly. So I would suggest purchasing a seperate aerial for
>Airband.

A good part of the problem isn't the antenna, but that fact that few scanners
are optimized for AM reception. AM calls on a scanner will almost always be
harder to understand than FM.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

1405. Re: Anyone listening to SCA stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-01 21:19:35 UTC
From: RussellTodd@istoreplus.net
Message-ID: <217b8ae4.0204011319.4c85fd07@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50021

Try tuning around the PBS/NPR stations, they usually have a "Radio Reading Service" for the blind. Can be interesting. I've got pretty much the same as you, Muzak of one kind or another. Easy listening, Rock and Country along with data transmissions. Gets old after a while and makes you wonder why you bothered to go to all the hassle of getting it. At least thats the way I feel.

1406. Re: Data Slicer Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-01 21:27:56 UTC
From: Adam Carter <scrod21@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <B8CE3B0B.DDF%scrod21@adelphia.net>
Source record: 50022

This is a newbie question, but What is a data Slicer?

On 3/31/02 9:25 PM, in article
JyPp8.46979$a3.19153508@typhoon.southeast.rr.com, "me"
<cbkid113@athotmael.com> wrote:

> I want to make the little data slicer that's been floating around the net
> for some time, found here:
> http://www.trunkedradio.net/trunked/trunker/circut.gif
>
> I am unclear on a few things though. Are C1-C3 electrolytics or are they
> just regular unpolarized caps? Or is it just C2 and C3 that are the
> electrolytics and if so, which way are they oriented? Are there any
> specific types of capacitors I should use (ceramic vs.......)?
>
>
> Thanks!
>
>
>

1407. Re: Congress moves to Ban Certain Receivers, New Addition to Home Security Act

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-01 22:02:16 UTC
From: Patrick Dickey <pdickey43@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uahm08emaheedd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 50023

Ok, here's my two cents worth on this subject...
1 (Cent), the last time I checked, it already is ILLEGAL to intercept DIGITAL communications of any kind. So, this is another null and void law (Realizing that noone else has actually SEEN the bill in text anywhere).
2 (Cent), Personally, if making sure that everyone can use a DIGITAL Cell phone, or other wireless aparatus, will allow me to KEEP and USE my analog Scanner, then Where do I vote? Enough law enforcement agencies, and public service agencies (not mentioning aircraft, marine, and military) will continue to use ANALOG for quite some time.. I'm not worried about "Missing out on the action".
I'm speaking as a scanner AND a cell phone owner. I wonder how Congress@gone.crazy.org would feel if I were listening to his private cell calls... He probably doesn't use either a cell or a cordless phone (Analog, at least), because he knows that "WE ARE OUT THERE."
Pat.
<congress@gone.crazy.org> wrote in message news:a85hqm0318l@enews4.newsguy.com...
> Senator Leahy, Democrat - Vermont, has proposed a new Senate Bill. The bill
> with 25 co-sponsors will enhance the US Home Security Act by:
> 1. Banning digital capable receivers from being sold, imported or manufactured
> in the USA that receive frequencies in the listed ranges in part 2.
> 2. Ban the reception of any frequencies in the:
> a. 30-49.999 MHz range
> b. 72-75 MHz Range
> c. 108.1-174 MHz Range
> d. 225.0001-512 MHz range
> e. 700-1239.9999 MHz Range, excludes TVRO until 2010
> 3. The bill has no effect on licensed radio systems and commercial
> transceivers such as cellular telephones, cordless phones or TVRO systems.
> 4. The penalties for having a receiver with this capability will be a $500,000
> fine and 10 years in prison.
> 5. There is an exemption for law enforcement and local government stations.
> For example a local volunteer fire fighter will be obtain a permit from the
> FCC to have a receiver. The FCC is allowed to charge a $25 administrative fee
> for each permit application.
> 6. Amateur radio transceivers will be required to block expanded receive
> capability in part 2 frequency ranges, but current ham radio transceivers

will
> have a grandfather clause until 2006. However, it will be illegal for
amateur
> radio operators to listen to any frequency in part 2.
>
> http://www.senate.gov/~leahy/
>
>
>

1408. Re: Congress moves to Ban Certain Receivers, New Addition to Home Security Act

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-02 00:08:48 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <pjthaug29omicb9ge88ungon0445tdqebt@4ax.com>
Source record: 50024

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, "Patrick Dickey" <pdickey43@hotmail.com> spake thusly

>Ok, here's my two cents worth on this subject...
> 1 (Cent), the last time I checked, it already is ILLEGAL to intercept
>DIGITAL communications of any kind.

No, they're not.

Only encrypted comms and cell/cordless phones are illegal to intercept,

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

1409. Re: Anyone listening to SCA stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-02 00:09:56 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <emthau4i88onr6nl08qn75fh7icke23obl@4ax.com>
Source record: 50025

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, Lexus_Liberal
<Lexus_Liberal@beverly-hills-lexus.com> spake thusly

>[It may be illegal to tune to the SCA services because SCA signals)
>(are not ''readily accessible to the general public". (ECPA of 1986))

It's illegal to listen to them because they were specifically mentioned in the ECPA.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

1410. Has anyone ever heard...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-02 00:39:46 UTC
From: donfanucci@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020401193946.27692.00001238@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 50026

the sounds of parents screwing in the room next to the baby monitor?

That is, before you realized that it was illegal to scan the 46MHz range...

1411. Re: Has anyone ever heard...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-02 00:47:18 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <qc7q8.13127$k5.4941005@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 50027

It's not illegal to scan the 46MHz range. It is only illegal to intentionally monitor cordless phone calls in the 46MHz range. Baby monitors are open season, and as some have pointed out, can be quite entertaining!

Bill Crocker

"." <donfanucci@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020401193946.27692.00001238@mb-bj.aol.com...
> the sounds of parents screwing in the room next to the baby monitor?
>
> That is, before you realized that it was illegal to scan the 46MHz range...
>

1412. Re: Has anyone ever heard...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-02 00:53:33 UTC
From: donfanucci@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020401195333.27692.00001239@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 50028

>From: "Bill Crocker"

>It's not illegal to scan the 46MHz range. It is only illegal to intentionally monitor cordless phone calls in the 46MHz range.

You knew what I meant... ;-)

>Baby monitors are open season, and as some have pointed out, can be quite entertaining!

Do tell! Don't make me go to Google... I'm not motivated enough tonight.

1413. Re: Anyone listening to SCA stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-02 02:33:49 UTC
From: Screaming Skull <KG4IVT@hot.mael.spicedmeat.sucks.leave.me.alone.com>
Message-ID: <hM8q8.1067$pe6.789387@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 50029

Anybody know what kind of data is sent on these things?

"Matt J. McCullar" <mccullar@flash.net> wrote in message
news:9YSp8.1750$Mo2.294055027@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> SCA signals ride on commercial FM broadcast signals as a subcarrier. I
> bought the Ramsey kit that lets you listen to these signals and it works
> very well... I bought their FM radio receiver kit to go along with it to
> make it easier to interface the SCA, and learned enough from that to be
able
> to attach the SCA decoder to other FM radio receivers, such as junked-out
> car stereos. I've since bought an old tabletop radio receiver because
it's
> got enough room inside to let me mount the SCA adapter on a permanent
basis.
>
> Listening to Fort Worth/Dallas SCA signals, I've picked up things such as
> digital data (don't know what info it's transmitting), foreign language
news
> (I think it's Korean), and even country music (riding on the signal of a
> country music station, but different songs!).
>
> I'm just wondering what other folks can tune in on SCA signals elsewhere.
>
> I'm also wondering if Muzak still does SCA stuff or if they've switched to
> satellite downlinks. I hear music in fast-food joints with no
commercials.
>
> "Stop the Muzak -- 25 cents."
>
> Matt J. McCullar, KJ5BA
> Arlington, TX
>
>
>

1414. FS:Yupiterau MVT 7100 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-02 03:08:56 UTC
From: dedger@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020401220856.00784.00001709@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 50030

I have a Yupiterau MVT7100 scanner, unblocked, in absoultely like new condition for sale. Comes with telescoping antenna, 4 AA ni-cads (hardly used), and a cigarette lighter charging cable. Includes instruction manual and original box. This is the most sensitive scanner I have ever used, but I have no more need for it.
You can see complete specifications at www.javiation.com if you are not familiar with the radio.
I will sell it for $200. You pay the postage.
Send e-mail if interested to DEdger@aol.com

1415. Re: Has anyone ever heard...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-02 03:52:39 UTC
From: Randy <bearcat@astound.net>
Message-ID: <3CA92B07.9080503@astound.net>
Source record: 50031

God dam TROLL!!!

1416. Re: Has anyone ever heard...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-02 04:33:28 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020401233328.12072.00000807@mb-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 50032

>Subject: Re: Has anyone ever heard...
>From: donfanucci@aol.com (.)
>Date: 4/1/2002 6:53 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20020401195333.27692.00001239@mb-bj.aol.com>
>
>>From: "Bill Crocker"
>
>>It's not illegal to scan the 46MHz range. It is only illegal to
>intentionally
>monitor cordless phone calls in the 46MHz range.
>
>You knew what I meant... ;-)
>
>
>
>>Baby monitors are open season, and as some have pointed out, can be quite
>entertaining!
>
>Do tell! Don't make me go to Google... I'm not motivated enough tonight.

It's not illegal to listen to a baby monitor on a scanner but has been illegal to reveal the contents of any transmission that's not a licensed, intentional broadcast since 1934. We can tell you it's interesting but any details are up to your imagination.
Neutrodyne

1417. Re: 8 Hours Left on AOR AR-8200 Mark IIB Auction! Lots of Extras- going cheap!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-02 04:41:26 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020401234126.12072.00000808@mb-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 50033

>Subject: 8 Hours Left on AOR AR-8200 Mark IIB Auction! Lots of Extras-
>going cheap!
>From: ads@athomesafe.com (Ricky)
>Date: 3/31/2002 2:06 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3ca76bea.6473515@news.directvinternet.com>
>

<snip short novel posing as sales pitch>

What are the numbers off of all the IC's in it? While you're at it could you please post the rest of the owners manual, I think you left a couple of pages out.

1418. RJ-45 to SMA ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-02 05:38:12 UTC
From: sunny81@rediffmail.com
Message-ID: <721ded72.0204012138.420aeddb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50034

Does anyone have an idea where one can get an RJ-45 to SMA connector??
Thanx in advance.
Sunny

1419. Re: RJ-45 to SMA ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-02 05:53:52 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <QHbq8.407605$A44.23957744@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 50035

RJ-45 is a 8 pin networking end. sorta like a empreganated phone jack. If you are talking about a BNC to SMA or a SMA to BNC. You can find them cheap. Cheap if you buy froma electronic wholesaler. Expensive when you buy from a radio store. I usually buy half decent ones. they may even be better then half decent ones. In my eye they werk very well. Never wore out yet. andthey can be bought for less then $4 canadian wholesale.

Dev

"Vikrant Rawat" <sunny81@rediffmail.com> wrote in message news:721ded72.0204012138.420aeddb@posting.google.com...
> Does anyone have an idea where one can get an RJ-45 to SMA connector??
> Thanx in advance.
> Sunny

1420. Re: Has anyone ever heard...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-02 05:55:19 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <bJbq8.209566$eb.11221255@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 50036

it is not at all illegal here where I live.

Dev

"." <donfanucci@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020401195333.27692.00001239@mb-bj.aol.com...
> >From: "Bill Crocker"
>
> >It's not illegal to scan the 46MHz range. It is only illegal to
intentionally
> monitor cordless phone calls in the 46MHz range.
>
> You knew what I meant... ;-)
>
>
>
> >Baby monitors are open season, and as some have pointed out, can be quite
> entertaining!
>
> Do tell! Don't make me go to Google... I'm not motivated enough tonight.
>
>

1421. Re: -+La Raza Burns White Man+-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-02 06:16:02 UTC
From: djdoom <djdoomdumas@yahoo.ca>
Message-ID: <3ca94960$1_4@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 50037
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

I'm from Canada, one month ago, a policeman was shot, the guy who shot him was white... We had problems with bikers since the last three years and more, couple of innocent people been shot, don't ever remember one biker being of an other skin color than white... that's searching for 5 seconds, now what if I put as much effort to it than you do, how much history will I get?

DooM who says he just has better things to do, I guess that shows where your priorities are!

1422. Re: Has anyone ever heard...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-02 06:24:49 UTC
From: Valley Wanderer <MyScannerIsOn@MyPlace.com>
Message-ID: <R8cq8.26123$dVT.5961@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 50038

No but I've unfortunately heard Gay neigbours jerking off to there gay talk lines. And lonely guys meeting women over the internet talking to there women over the cordless.

btw, it's legal here too, to listen to cordless.

Cells can be fun, but it's better to know who your neighbours are.

My advice, by a digital cell phone, and buy a digital cordless if you must have these.

"." <donfanucci@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020401193946.27692.00001238@mb-bj.aol.com...
> the sounds of parents screwing in the room next to the baby monitor?
>
> That is, before you realized that it was illegal to scan the 46MHz range...

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.343 / Virus Database: 190 - Release Date: 3/23/2002

1423. Re: -+La Raza Burns White Man+-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-02 06:34:22 UTC
From: Spoon Man <Stan@NeverFalls.com>
Message-ID: <vgcq8.122320$Ou.71736@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Make light of every word, you, hear" - Brave Combo
+- +- +- +-
"djdoom" <djdoomdumas@yahoo.ca> (ie; 'Sasquatch' (Camel)) wrote in message
news:3ca94960$1_4@news.teranews.com...
> I'm from Canada, one month ago, a policeman was shot, the guy who shot him
> was white... We had problems with bikers since the last three years and
> more, couple of innocent people been shot, don't ever remember one biker
> being of an other skin color than white... that's searching for 5
seconds,
> now what if I put as much effort to it than you do, how much history will
I
> get?
>
> DooM who says he just has better things to do, I guess that shows where
your
> priorities are!
>
>

1424. Re: Has anyone ever heard...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-02 06:57:40 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <giliausld7gq4l9vq907k78toitg3dml7o@4ax.com>
Source record: 50040

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, neutrodyne@aol.com (Neutrodyne) spake thusly

> but has been illegal
>to reveal the contents of any transmission that's not a licensed, intentional
>broadcast since 1934.

THAT was unintentionally changed by one of the successors to the ECPA.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

1425. f/spro93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-02 12:37:22 UTC
From: jvillafane@aol.com1iwilly
Message-ID: <20020402073722.20871.00001184@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 50041

hello i have a pro93 for salei bought it on 11/01 still in box bought a base unit so i don't need it anymore.am asking $175.00 thanks jose n1xwl jvillafane

1426. Re: Anyone listening to SCA stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-02 13:00:33 UTC
From: Sparks W4EAS <sparksw4eas@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <3CA9AB71.4596@mindspring.com>
Source record: 50042

Lot's of it is meter readings from the transmitter site so the control room operator can log them...

1427. keypad beep on PRO-51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-02 13:07:28 UTC
From: Peep <peep@ofpeeps.com>
Message-ID: <cViq8.32419$To6.8328902@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 50043
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

How do I disable the tone when I push a button? I don't have a manual.
Where could I get a manual?

Thanks,
Peep

1428. Re: -+La Raza Burns White Man+-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-02 15:08:52 UTC
From: perishaboykin@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <329ce59b.0204020708.2c536f89@posting.google.com>
Source record: 50044
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Spoon Man" <Stan@NeverFalls.com> wrote in message
news:<vgcq8.122320$Ou.71736@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>...
> "Make light of every word, you, hear" - Brave Combo

That's very interesting, especially, all, the unnecessary, commas.

1429. Re: Has anyone ever heard...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-02 16:25:10 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020402112510.12076.00001065@mb-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 50045

>Subject: Re: Has anyone ever heard...
>From: Never anonymous Bud thekat@san.rxyzr.com
>Date: 4/2/2002 12:57 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <giliausld7gq4l9vq907k78toitg3dml7o@4ax.com>
>
>Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, neutrodyne@aol.com (Neutrodyne)
>spake thusly
>
>> but has been illegal
>>to reveal the contents of any transmission that's not a licensed,
>intentional
>>broadcast since 1934.
>
>THAT was unintentionally changed by one of the successors to the ECPA.

>To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
>Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
>It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

Really? I guess it's down to civil liability then.
Neutrodyne

1430. Re: keypad beep on PRO-51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-02 17:51:09 UTC
From: Adam Carter <scrod21@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <B8CF59BB.E53%scrod21@adelphia.net>
Source record: 50046
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I've got a pro 94, but try holding the Lockout button and turning the radio on.

On 4/2/02 8:07 AM, in article cViq8.32419$To6.8328902@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com, "Peep" <peep@ofpeeps.com> wrote:

> How do I disable the tone when I push a button? I don't have a manual.
> Where could I get a manual?
>
> Thanks,
> Peep
>
>
>

1431. FIELD PROGRAMABLE VHF 40CH PORTABLE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-02 18:13:25 UTC
From: David M Schertzer <schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <b0tjaugfpomfpuav0kq8rphdjfi9hqavkp@4ax.com>
Source record: 49947

(1) ICOM V68 *40ch* 5-watt -VHF-

*** DTMF (User programmable - Front face) ****

(1) ICOM BC75A Rapid Desk Charger
(1) ICOM BP160 Rechargeable Battery pack
(1) ICOM BP130 Rechargeable Battery pack
(1) ICOM "AA" Emergency Battery pack (6- AA type batteries)
(1) EM46 Sprk-mic
(1) CP13L Cigarette Lighter adaptor with Noise filter
(1) Org. Instruction Manual + boxes

$200USD + $15USDship Payment via USPS International M/O.

Email for questions or info, spec sheet avail also.
This is the equiv. to the Motorola GP68 version.

1432. Re: REPOST: -+La Raza Burns White Man+-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-02 20:20:19 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3CAA1283.5060102@csotbs.org>
Source record: 49948
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Crossposted trollcrap.

Connie Chung wrote:
<a bunch of snipped BS>
--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

1433. Re: -+La Raza Burns White Man+-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-02 21:17:46 UTC
From: 9/11 Victim <victim@ZOG.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1713bfd4e584cded98968a@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 49949
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

In article <7nidau8npf1rtcl4clhmgekmccacp4aqv5@4ax.com>, Connie.Chung@cnn.com says...
: ==
:
: 3 held in pickup homicide
:
: Two Fresno men, boy arrested in burning of a Fowler man.
: By Jennifer Fitzenberger
:
: The Fresno Bee
: (Published Thursday, March, 28, 2002 5:06AM)
:
:
:
: Two Fresno men and a boy with gang ties were arrested Tuesday night
: on suspicion of murdering a Fowler man and burning his body in the bed of a
: pickup truck.
:
: Jesus Rosalio Lopez, 27, Ramiro Roman Jr., 18, and a 17-year-old boy
: are in custody on charges of killing D.J. Hunter, a 19-year-old Fowler High
: School graduate and Reedley College student.
:
: Hunter, who was burned beyond recognition, was positively identified
: Wednesday through dental records.
:
: Firefighters found Hunter's charred remains and his stripped GMC
: truck about 6 a.m. Friday on South Muscat Avenue west of West Avenue
: southwest of Fresno.
:
: Hunter is the grandson of former Fowler Mayor Don Wright. His family
: owns an oil company in Fowler, a community of about 4,100 residents in
: south Fresno County.
:
: "You just get kind of numb," Sandra Feaver, a longtime family friend,
: said of Hunter's death. "Now you know it's real."
:
: The suspects also face charges including carjacking, robbery, arson,
: kidnap and receiving stolen property. They are being jailed in lieu of more
: than $1 million bail each.
:
: Feaver said Hunter's family is glad the suspects were arrested so
: soon: "People are so jealous of what other people have that they would take
: a life for a pickup. It's scary to know these people live in this area."
:

: Lopez and Roman were arrested during the execution of four search
: warrants on Fresno homes Tuesday. The boy was arrested after authorities
: found him riding in a car, sheriff's Sgt. Toby Rien said Wednesday.
:
: Tips from community members led authorities to the suspects, Rien
: said.
:
: Lopez is on federal probation for drug charges, officials said. It
: was not immediately known whether Roman or the boy have criminal histories.
:
: Authorities found stereo parts from Hunter's truck and other evidence
: inside the suspects' homes, said Rien, adding that his detectives are
: searching for more suspects. "This vehicle was stripped, and it takes a lot
: of people to do that," he said.
:
: Officials are investigating whether three guns found in the homes are
: linked to the crime.
:
: Although an autopsy has been completed, authorities have not released
: Hunter's cause of death.
:
: "This has been like a puzzle to our detectives, and we're putting the
: pieces in place," Rien said. "This still is early in the investigation. We
: have a lot of unanswered questions."
:
: Detectives don't believe the suspects knew Hunter before meeting him
: after 1:30 a.m. Friday at a convenience store in southeast Fresno. Rien
: would not name the store or say exactly where it is located.
:
: Hunter reportedly had left a friend's Fowler house about 12:30 a.m.
: Friday and was on his way home.
:
: "There really wasn't much of a connection other than being in the
: same place at the same time," Rien said. "Their meeting probably was not a
: positive one."
:
: The sergeant would not say what happened afterward, or pinpoint a
: motive.
:
: Rien, however, said "it's a possibility" the suspects killed Hunter
: to get to his truck, which is worth about $20,000 and had 20-inch chrome
: rims.
:
: The truck was voted best car by students at Fowler High School.
: Hunter, who played varsity basketball there, kept the truck spotless.
:
: Hunter's friends have tied yellow ribbons around light posts, taped
: photos of him around town and made key chains and car decals in his memory.
:
:

: The reporter can be reached at jfitzenberger@fresnobee.com or (559)
: 441-6313.
:
:
: ---
:
: http://www.fresnobee.com/local/story/1974844p-2160147c.html
:
:
:
:
:
: ---

Another American killed by terrorists, this time by the La Raza terrorists who Bush gives amnesty to so they can continue to come here by the tens of thousands and then breed like cockroaches and cleanse Whites from the continent.

1434. WTB: RELM 10CH SLANT FRONT (UHF MODEL-NO VHF)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-02 21:19:00 UTC
From: Don <hgtsrecycling@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3caa1db1$2_5@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 49950

Email me with cost of unit. Needs at least a mic with it and I am not worried about mounting bracket or power cord. Please make sure it's a working unit, dead units do not do me any good. :-)

Thank You,
Don

1435. Re: -+La Raza Burns White Man+-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-02 21:23:08 UTC
From: 9/11 Victim <victim@ZOG.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1713c112626342f498968b@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 49951
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

In article <7nidau8npf1rtcl4clhmgekmccacp4aqv5@4ax.com>, Connie.Chung@cnn.com says...
: ==
:
: 3 held in pickup homicide
:
: Two Fresno men, boy arrested in burning of a Fowler man.
: By Jennifer Fitzenberger
:
: The Fresno Bee
: (Published Thursday, March, 28, 2002 5:06AM)
:
:
:
: Two Fresno men and a boy with gang ties were arrested Tuesday night
: on suspicion of murdering a Fowler man and burning his body in the bed of a
: pickup truck.
:
: Jesus Rosalio Lopez, 27, Ramiro Roman Jr., 18, and a 17-year-old boy
: are in custody on charges of killing D.J. Hunter, a 19-year-old Fowler High
: School graduate and Reedley College student.
:
: Hunter, who was burned beyond recognition, was positively identified
: Wednesday through dental records.
:
: Firefighters found Hunter's charred remains and his stripped GMC
: truck about 6 a.m. Friday on South Muscat Avenue west of West Avenue
: southwest of Fresno.
:
: Hunter is the grandson of former Fowler Mayor Don Wright. His family
: owns an oil company in Fowler, a community of about 4,100 residents in
: south Fresno County.
:
: "You just get kind of numb," Sandra Feaver, a longtime family friend,
: said of Hunter's death. "Now you know it's real."
:
: The suspects also face charges including carjacking, robbery, arson,
: kidnap and receiving stolen property. They are being jailed in lieu of more
: than $1 million bail each.
:
: Feaver said Hunter's family is glad the suspects were arrested so
: soon: "People are so jealous of what other people have that they would take
: a life for a pickup. It's scary to know these people live in this area."
:

: Lopez and Roman were arrested during the execution of four search
: warrants on Fresno homes Tuesday. The boy was arrested after authorities
: found him riding in a car, sheriff's Sgt. Toby Rien said Wednesday.
:
: Tips from community members led authorities to the suspects, Rien
: said.
:
: Lopez is on federal probation for drug charges, officials said. It
: was not immediately known whether Roman or the boy have criminal histories.
:
: Authorities found stereo parts from Hunter's truck and other evidence
: inside the suspects' homes, said Rien, adding that his detectives are
: searching for more suspects. "This vehicle was stripped, and it takes a lot
: of people to do that," he said.
:
: Officials are investigating whether three guns found in the homes are
: linked to the crime.
:
: Although an autopsy has been completed, authorities have not released
: Hunter's cause of death.
:
: "This has been like a puzzle to our detectives, and we're putting the
: pieces in place," Rien said. "This still is early in the investigation. We
: have a lot of unanswered questions."
:
: Detectives don't believe the suspects knew Hunter before meeting him
: after 1:30 a.m. Friday at a convenience store in southeast Fresno. Rien
: would not name the store or say exactly where it is located.
:
: Hunter reportedly had left a friend's Fowler house about 12:30 a.m.
: Friday and was on his way home.
:
: "There really wasn't much of a connection other than being in the
: same place at the same time," Rien said. "Their meeting probably was not a
: positive one."
:
: The sergeant would not say what happened afterward, or pinpoint a
: motive.
:
: Rien, however, said "it's a possibility" the suspects killed Hunter
: to get to his truck, which is worth about $20,000 and had 20-inch chrome
: rims.
:
: The truck was voted best car by students at Fowler High School.
: Hunter, who played varsity basketball there, kept the truck spotless.
:
: Hunter's friends have tied yellow ribbons around light posts, taped
: photos of him around town and made key chains and car decals in his memory.
:
:

: The reporter can be reached at jfitzenberger@fresnobee.com or (559)
: 441-6313.
:
:
: ---
:
: http://www.fresnobee.com/local/story/1974844p-2160147c.html
:
:
:
:
:
: ---

Another American killed by terrorists, this time by the La Raza terrorists who Bush gives amnesty to so they can continue to come here by the tens of thousands and then breed like cockroaches and cleanse Whites from the continent.

1436. FS: AOR 8200 MKII on eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-02 21:23:11 UTC
From: "Michael A. Nunes" <p@pcmike.net>
Message-ID: <6apq8.15586$0T6.5248906@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49952
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Link to listing:
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1343698653

--
/pcmike at pcmike dot net

1437. tk500, new multiplatform, open source software for Yaesu VR-500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-02 21:48:39 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3caa294e$0$35575$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 49953
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The Yaesu VR-500 is a portable 0.1 - 1300 MHz receiver.

I wrote tk500, a new open source computer program for
Linux, Windows, and (perhaps) MacOS X which lets you:

(1) Read a memory image from the VR-500 receiver.

(2) Read an RT Systems .RDF file and extract the memory image.
(Thanks to Dan Wanchic, WA8VZQ for posting detailed
information about the RDF file layout.)

(3) Display data from a memory image using a graphical user
interface.

(4) Save a memory image in a template file.

(5) Extract memory channel information from a memory image
and export it to a .csv (comma-separated values) file.

This is an early, experimental version of tk500.
It will read data from the radio and let you edit it via
a graphical user interface.

However, I've not yet developed the software to write the
changes back out the radio.

You can learn about and download a beta test version of tk500
from my home page:

http://members.core.com/~parnass

I don't warrant the software, though it will cost you nothing.
--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

1438. ACARS Log Analyser - new release available now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-02 22:05:17 UTC
From: mark.avey@virgin.net
Message-ID: <21fc4b52.0204021405.304f0014@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49954

Hi all,

Log Analyser version 1.6.0 is now available.

This release adds the much requested WACARS Log Importing function.

This is a free upgrade for registered users. A demo and the upgrade can be downloaded from http://www.acarsonline.co.uk

(This is the release version and is now available from our main download site).

Thanks,

Mark Avey

1439. Re: RJ-45 to SMA ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-02 22:32:12 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@dialpoint.net>
Message-ID: <3CAA316C.E47FE9EA@dialpoint.net>
Source record: 49955

What in the world are you trying to connect with this combination ?

The sma stuff is usually used for RF at high frequencies with coaxial
type cable and the rj-45 is for audio and computer networking with
several twisted pairs.

Maybe you ment to use another type of connector on one end ?

Vikrant Rawat wrote:
>
> Does anyone have an idea where one can get an RJ-45 to SMA connector??
> Thanx in advance.
> Sunny

1440. Re: f/spro93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 00:25:45 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <d_rq8.10698$SG2.1793631@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49956

Interested in trading for anything??If so check out some stuff I have on my page.Click on pictures to look at three pages.
http://www.msnusers.com/DicksFTP

"jose" <jvillafane@aol.com1iwilly> wrote in message news:20020402073722.20871.00001184@mb-mm.aol.com...
> hello i have a pro93 for salei bought it on 11/01 still in box bought a base
> unit so i don't need it anymore.am asking $175.00 thanks jose n1xwl
> jvillafane

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002

1441. Re: f/spro93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 00:25:53 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <I_rq8.10699$SG2.1793510@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49957

Interested in trading for anything??If so check out some stuff I have on my page.Click on pictures to look at three pages.
http://www.msnusers.com/DicksFTP

"jose" <jvillafane@aol.com1iwilly> wrote in message news:20020402073722.20871.00001184@mb-mm.aol.com...
> hello i have a pro93 for salei bought it on 11/01 still in box bought a base
> unit so i don't need it anymore.am asking $175.00 thanks jose n1xwl
> jvillafane

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002

1442. BC 8500 XLT FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 01:03:57 UTC
From: ksk63521@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020402200357.01829.00002030@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 49958

HI ALL, HAVE A BC8500 BASE NON-SMOKER, ORIGINAL OWNER...HAS CTCSS TONE BOARD INSTALLED....REAL BEAUTY $175 I SHIP.....KEVIN

1443. One side on 870 to 890 Mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 01:21:44 UTC
From: Alexander McNaughton <treehug3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <dOsq8.5261$3z6.1481069@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 49959
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Isn't 870 to 890 Mhz supposed to be the tower side of the cellular band, therefore both sides of the conversation should be listenable (meaning 825 to 850 is the mobile side, where you hear only the other side of the convo). I ask because half of the cellular calls I hear in the 870-890 range are one-sided.

1444. Re: FS:Yupiterau MVT 7100 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 01:54:50 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com>
Message-ID: <Khtq8.221235$Hu6.52047395@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 49960

Interesting that you had an MVT7100 for $500 a while back:

From: DEdger (dedger@aol.com)
Subject: Yupiteru 7100 For Sale
Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap
Date: 1998/02/07

" I have a new in the box Yupiteru 7100 receiver for sale. This
outstanding
radio covers HF through 1 ghz, am/fm/ssb. This radio is full spectrum with
no
frequency gaps. Comes with telescoping whip antenna and ni-cad batteries.
Does not have (not supplied when purchased) a battery charger, but any 12
volt
output charger works great.
Moving forces sale. I paid $600 new. Will sell for $500 plus
shipping.
Respond via E-mail please to DEdger@aol.com"

"DEdger" <dedger@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020401220856.00784.00001709@mb-bg.aol.com...
> I have a Yupiterau MVT7100 scanner, unblocked, in absoultely like new
> condition for sale. Comes with telescoping antenna, 4 AA ni-cads (hardly
> used), and a cigarette lighter charging cable. Includes instruction
manual and
> original box. This is the most sensitive scanner I have ever used, but I
have
> no more need for it.
> You can see complete specifications at www.javiation.com if you are
not
> familiar with the radio.
> I will sell it for $200. You pay the postage.
> Send e-mail if interested to DEdger@aol.com

1445. WTB: UBC 3000 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 02:38:48 UTC
From: fell-off-the-truck <felloffthetruck@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <kUtq8.14120$ku5.1192048@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49961

Wanted a Bearcat UBC 3000 XLT mint in Original Box?
Thanks,

1446. Re: One side on 870 to 890 Mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 03:54:44 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <82vq8.1901$%3.197293@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 49962
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

all depends. if you live in the states I will not pass on any info cause I have not seen your name enough times. if you live in canada like I do then I can pass on some info. But, Someone else may help you.

Dev

"Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:dOsq8.5261$3z6.1481069@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Isn't 870 to 890 Mhz supposed to be the tower side of the cellular band,
> therefore both sides of the conversation should be listenable (meaning 825
> to 850 is the mobile side, where you hear only the other side of the convo).
> I ask because half of the cellular calls I hear in the 870-890 range are
> one-sided.
>
>

1447. Re: One side on 870 to 890 Mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 04:01:36 UTC
From: Alexander McNaughton <treehug3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <48vq8.6020$3z6.1594174@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 49963
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm an anglophone from Montreal Quebec (it's up to you whether you think
Quebec is a part of Canada :).

Devin <a@b.c> wrote in message
news:82vq8.1901$%3.197293@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> all depends. if you live in the states I will not pass on any info cause I
> have not seen your name enough times. if you live in canada like I do then
I
> can pass on some info. But, Someone else may help you.
>
>
> Dev
>
>
> "Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:dOsq8.5261$3z6.1481069@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > Isn't 870 to 890 Mhz supposed to be the tower side of the cellular band,
> > therefore both sides of the conversation should be listenable (meaning
825
> > to 850 is the mobile side, where you hear only the other side of the
> convo).
> > I ask because half of the cellular calls I hear in the 870-890 range are
> > one-sided.
> >
> >
>
>

1448. Re: tk500, new multiplatform, open source software for Yaesu VR-500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 04:10:01 UTC
From: Ed Laughery <laughery@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <06vq8.19621$0T6.6316991@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49964
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hello All

If anyone is interested in reading a great article and looking at screen shots of terrific looking control software, I would invite you to read Bob's recent entry in the April issue of Monitoring Times.

Hats off Bob! Great Job.

Regards
Ed Laughery

"Bob_Parnass_AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net> wrote in message news:3caa294e$0$35575$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com...
> The Yaesu VR-500 is a portable 0.1 - 1300 MHz receiver.
>
> I wrote tk500, a new open source computer program for
> Linux, Windows, and (perhaps) MacOS X which lets you:
>
> (1) Read a memory image from the VR-500 receiver.
>
> (2) Read an RT Systems .RDF file and extract the memory image.
> (Thanks to Dan Wanchic, WA8VZQ for posting detailed
> information about the RDF file layout.)
>
> (3) Display data from a memory image using a graphical user
> interface.
>
> (4) Save a memory image in a template file.
>
> (5) Extract memory channel information from a memory image
> and export it to a .csv (comma-separated values) file.
>
>
> This is an early, experimental version of tk500.
> It will read data from the radio and let you edit it via
> a graphical user interface.
>
> However, I've not yet developed the software to write the
> changes back out the radio.
>
> You can learn about and download a beta test version of tk500
> from my home page:
>
> http://members.core.com/~parnass

>
> I don't warrant the software, though it will cost you nothing.
> --
> ===
> Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
> Linux user

1449. Re: -+La Raza Burns White Man+-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 04:39:30 UTC
From: Spoon Man <Stan@NeverFalls.com>
Message-ID: <TGvq8.19904$CL6.18841@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49965
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Poohbear:> "Spoon Man" <Stan@NeverFalls.com> wrote in message
news:<vgcq8.122320$Ou.71736@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>...
> > "Make light of every word, you, hear" - Brave Combo
>
>
> That's very interesting, especially, all, the unnecessary, commas.

Those are kind've pauses in the singing.

Stan,
www.thesequencers.com/BraveCombo
"FIGHT LIKE A BRAVE!" - Red Hot Chili Peppers

1450. Re: -+La Raza Burns White Man+-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 04:59:44 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <4%vq8.118502$VI.4023893@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 49966
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Spoon Man" <Stan@NeverFalls.com> wrote in message
news:TGvq8.19904$CL6.18841@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
> Poohbear:> "Spoon Man" <Stan@NeverFalls.com> wrote in message
> news:<vgcq8.122320$Ou.71736@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>...
> > > "Make light of every word, you, hear" - Brave Combo
> > >
> > >
> > > That's very interesting, especially, all, the unnecessary, commas.
> >
> > Those are kind've pauses in the singing.
> >
> >
> > Stan,
> > www.thesequencers.com/BraveCombo
> > "FIGHT LIKE A BRAVE!" - Red Hot Chili Peppers
> >
> >
> >

WTF? And who cares?

1451. Re: One side on 870 to 890 Mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 05:16:58 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <efwq8.2032$f4.239108@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 49967
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

lol. To me canada is canada. the new $5 dollar bills I seen today are different. Sometime It happens that you hear only one side. Since it is not illegal to listen to cells in canada. I have had that a few times happen here. Maybe the input has both sides. I think it may be one of our 3 providers. since one pushes CDMA, the other TDMA, and the one Euro Uses. ummm. GSM. I know rogers is CDMA if I remeber and mobility the TDMA. and fido GSM. but. Maybe it is something with one of the networks. I never spent the time listening to the input to see if, for some reason they were reversed. Would be nice to know :)

Dev

"Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:48vq8.6020$3z6.1594174@news20.bellglobal.com...
> I'm an anglophone from Montreal Quebec (it's up to you whether you think
> Quebec is a part of Canada :).
>
> Devin <a@b.c> wrote in message
> news:82vq8.1901$%3.197293@news1.calgary.shaw.ca...
> > all depends. if you live in the states I will not pass on any info cause
I
> > have not seen your name enough times. if you live in canada like I do
then
> I
> > can pass on some info. But, Someone else may help you.
> >
> >
> > Dev
> >
> >
> > "Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:dOsq8.5261$3z6.1481069@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > Isn't 870 to 890 Mhz supposed to be the tower side of the cellular
band,
> > > therefore both sides of the conversation should be listenable (meaning
> 825
> > > to 850 is the mobile side, where you hear only the other side of the
> > convo).
> > > I ask because half of the cellular calls I hear in the 870-890 range
are
> > > one-sided.
> > >
> > >
> >

> >
>
>

1452. Re: -+La Raza Burns White Man+-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 05:22:26 UTC
From: Spoon Man <Stan@NeverFalls.com>
Message-ID: <5jwq8.21716$CL6.230@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49968
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:4%vq8.118502$Vl.4023893@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
> > Stan,
> > www.thesequencers.com/BraveCombo
> > "FIGHT LIKE A BRAVE!" - Red Hot Chili Peppers
>
> WTF? And who cares?

I certainly don't expect the enemy to join in the fight.

Stan

1453. Newbie reception question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 06:00:20 UTC
From: donfanucci@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020403010020.29703.00001565@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 49969

Will electrical tape on a rubber antenna (I have a PRO-93 scanner) hurt reception in any way? My earpiece was hanging too low, so I folded the slack on the cord a bit, and used electrical tape to secure it to the antenna. Just wondering if this will effect my reception.

Thanks.

1454. VR 500 software FREE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 06:27:40 UTC
From: J <jca1954@iprimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <3caaa076_1@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 49971

Free VR 500 software can be found at
http://members.core.com/41/2D/parnass/tk500/

_____
John ICQ#:144795355 Current ICQ status: SMS:
(Send an SMS message to my ICQ): +2783142144795355 More ways to contact me:
http://wwp.icq.com/144795355 _____

1455. TRC-465 SSB CB Up for grabs soon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 06:43:15 UTC
From: CB Freak <CB@Radio.com>
Message-ID: <7wxq8.40766$09u.36700@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 49972
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,ott.general,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

How much would a Radio Shack TRC-465 SSB CB Radio go for if one were to sell it. What is a good starting price for an online auction? And for those that reply. Please make your reply in $$$ Canadian funds please.

Thanks.

CB Thinker

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.343 / Virus Database: 190 - Release Date: 3/23/2002

1456. Re: Anyone listening to SCA stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 08:50:25 UTC
From: Marc <marc_k@NoSpamreva.sixgirls.org>
Message-ID: <ualgihpoc2qicd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49973

Bart Bailey <nothere@nethere.net> wrote:
> Matt J. McCullar wrote:

>> SCA signals ride on commercial FM broadcast signals as a subcarrier. I
>> bought the Ramsey kit that lets you listen to these signals and it works
>> very well... I bought their FM radio receiver kit to go along with it to
>> make it easier to interface the SCA, and learned enough from that to be able
>> to attach the SCA decoder to other FM radio receivers, such as junked-out
>> car stereos. I've since bought an old tabletop radio receiver because it's
>> got enough room inside to let me mount the SCA adapter on a permanent basis.

I had lousy luck with the Ramsey unit-but I did not use their FM radio, so maybe
I did not interface it properly.

>> Listening to Fort Worth/Dallas SCA signals, I've picked up things such as
>> digital data (don't know what info it's transmitting), foreign language news
>> (I think it's Korean), and even country music (riding on the signal of a
>> country music station, but different songs!).
>>
>> I'm just wondering what other folks can tune in on SCA signals elsewhere.
>>
>> I'm also wondering if Muzak still does SCA stuff or if they've switched to
>> satellite downlinks. I hear music in fast-food joints with no commercials.
>>
>> "Stop the Muzak -- 25 cents."
>>
>> Matt J. McCullar, KJ5BA
>> Arlington, TX

> I used to have an SCA box for the Physicians Radio Network (PRN)
> that rode on KYXY (96.5) that played the sleepy "easy listening" Muzak stuff.
> I traded that one off to someone who wanted to experiment with putting a tunable
> tank in place of the xtal oscillator.

The only PRN box I ever worked on(a wide squat black box, with a tv-style whip
and 2 knobs) was tunable-it took a while, but you could move it across the FM
band with nothing more than a alignment tool-I ended up moving it to the local
station that hosts the "In Touch Network".
Prehaps the unit you had was different though.

BTW, AFAIK, PRN is gone.

> I don't know if he was ever successful or not.
> And since I no longer have it, I don't know if Muzak is still there.
> I still have one of the "In Touch Network" blind reading service units that
> rides on KPBS (89.5).

> I like to keep the volume sorta low,
> and fall asleep while they are reading the newspaper,
> then later I wake up informed, subliminally. ;-)

> ~Bart~

1457. Re: Newbie reception question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 08:59:29 UTC
From: Marc <marc_k@NoSpamreva.sixgirls.org>
Message-ID: <ualh3hrn90ab7a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49974

. <donfanucci@aol.com> wrote:
> Will electrical tape on a rubber antenna (I have a PRO-93 scanner) hurt
> reception in any way? My earpiece was hanging too low, so I folded the slack on
> the cord a bit, and used electrical tape to secure it to the antenna. Just
> wondering if this will effect my reception.

> Thanks.

The tape should not cause problems, but the WIRE may-any metal close to an antenna
will affect it's performance-not always for the worse, BTW.
In general, it probably will not effect the performance much, but you may want to do
tests with and without the wire taped to the antenna.
Try this on as many different frequency ranges possible.
The VHF-high is the easiest-NOAA
For other ranges, paging or digital signals can be a good source of continuous
signals for tests.

1458. Help identify amp/transistor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 09:20:47 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <a8e78p$pm5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 49970

I know I am crossposting, but am hoping that someone in some group can answer my questions.

I picked up a small linear this past weekend with intent to use it VHF high band. I have no clue if that was its true intention, so I am asking:

1) What frequencies might this cover?

2) What would be the power output?

Here are the details: VHF Engineering, Model PA4010H, Serial: 165X

Transistor inside: Motorola pill 2N6084

1459. Loft mounted antenna - effect of water tank ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 11:02:55 UTC
From: Nobby Nohope <Nobby@Nohope.com>
Message-ID: <epnlausvkobe12pfvlm70u3q5pfkiq7e40@4ax.com>
Source record: 49975

Quite new to the scanning scene, so this may be a dumb question. I have just put a discone antenna in the loft. The easiest place to put this happened to be sitting on top of the cover on my cold water tank. The discone has made a huge difference to the reception on my AOR8200, but I was wondering if the large body of water sitting underneath the antenna could have a detrimental effect on reception?

Also, another dumb question regarding earthing of antennas. Is advisable to do this with an internal mounted antenna ? If so where is the best place to take this from (cold water pipe or electrical mains earth). Should the antenna cable be earthed at the antenna end or the radio end ? Is this still appropriate with a handheld scanner ?

Hope someone can enlighten me on the above points.

Regards

Nobby

1460. Re: Newbie reception question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 11:06:21 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <NmBq8.13248$k5.5001852@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49976

I agree with Marc. Also, most electrical tape adhesives tend to get gooey after awhile, which might gunk up your antenna. Most of the solvents to clean off the goo will probably hurt the rubber on the antenna.

Bill Crocker

"Marc" <marc_k@NoSpamreva.sixgirls.org> wrote in message news:ualh3hrn90ab7a@corp.supernews.com...
> . <donfanucci@aol.com> wrote:
> > Will electrical tape on a rubber antenna (I have a PRO-93 scanner) hurt
> > reception in any way? My earpiece was hanging too low, so I folded the slack on
> > the cord a bit, and used electrical tape to secure it to the antenna. Just
> > wondering if this will effect my reception.
>
> > Thanks.
>
> The tape should not cause problems, but the WIRE may-any metal close to an antenna
> will affect it's performance-not always for the worse, BTW.
> In general, it probably will not effect the performance much, but you may want to do
> tests with and without the wire taped to the antenna.
> Try this on as many different frequency ranges possible.
> The VHF-high is the easiest-NOAA
> For other ranges, paging or digital signals can be a good source of continuous
> signals for tests.
>
>

1461. Is it possible to trunktrack with two non-trunking radios? (in)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 12:38:53 UTC
From: james <>
Message-ID: <totlauoprrnqgbabii31jokh7irvj3ct7l@4ax.com>
Source record: 49977

I've read old posts by the late Bill Cheek about a CE-232 interface he created for this purpose, but it appears that was for a couple of specific RS base models. At least as far as I can tell.

Is it possible to do with handhelds, and is there anything speical required to achieve this (like another interface or something)? I haven't been able to find out, and wondered if there were any boards or sites (or threads) about getting it set up.

Thanks for any help you can offer.

James

P.S. Please reply here, as I've gotten too much spam in the past.

1462. Re: Newbie reception question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 13:13:01 UTC
From: Kello <kello@kello.org>
Message-ID: <xdDq8.213983$q2.18132@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 49978

you might want to try a small zip tie

1463. Carrier Recovery at 1500MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 13:36:39 UTC
From: sunny81@rediffmail.com
Message-ID: <uam1b7gr4t1h23@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49979
Cross-posted to: comp.dsp,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.shortwave

Hello all,
I need some information regarding carrier recovery at 1.5GHz. What I am trying to do is to demodulate BPSK signal at 1.5GHz. The recovery of the signal is complicated by the fact that such high frequencies the phases of the local oscillator at the transmitting end is far from ideal and hence demodulation is rather difficult. Does anyone have any suggestions/ideas/solution for this problem. Thanx in advance.

Vikrant

1464. Yahoo Groups - SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 13:40:23 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3CAB0647.2237CA02@USA.net>
Source record: 49980

> Those of you who belong to and read Yahoo Groups beware.
>
> Yahoo did an upgrade to their system and changed everyone's preferences
> to receive "ALL" emails.
>
> If you have been receiving a ton of extra email you should check your
> Yahoo Groups email preferences and check NO on them again if you do NOT
> want all that spam.
>
> I have been receiving a load of spam from Korea and I now know how they
> received my address. Like myself i'm sure you don't a load of porn
> coming into your home, and that is what the majority of it is. Also, I
> don't read Korean.
>
> "God Bless America"

1465. Re: Is it possible to trunktrack with two non-trunking radios? (in)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 14:33:17 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3CAB12AC.6058F948@optonline.net>
Source record: 49981

Yes. It requires two radios and a computer. One, which monitors the
Control Channels, must have a Discriminator output. The other, which is
tuned to the active voice frequencies by the computer, must be computer
controllable. A simple "data slicer" interface is required, plus the
two programs Trunker (for MOT systems) or ETrunker (for EDACS systems).
Except for the fact that it requires two radios and a computer, this
method of trunk tracking works better than, and is more versatile than,
any of the trunk tracker radios.

Details can be found at http://www.trunkedradio.net/

Click on the Trunker/Etrunker icon.

For small systems, you can also just put the frequencies into a
conventional scanner. Lock out the active control channnel. You will
hear the voice channels as they become active, but they will not be
"tracked" if more than one talk group is active.

Walt

james wrote:
>
> I've read old posts by the late Bill Cheek about a CE-232 interface he
> created for this purpose, but it appears that was for a couple of
> specific RS base models. At least as far as I can tell.
>
> Is it possible to do with handhelds, and is there anything speical
> required to achieve this (like another interface or something)? I
> haven't been able to find out, and wondered if there were any boards
> or sites (or threads) about getting it set up.
>
> Thanks for any help you can offer.
>
> James
>
> P.S. Please reply here, as I've gotten too much spam in the past.

1466. Re: keypad beep on PRO-51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 18:01:48 UTC
From: Mike W <MNMikeW@aolspam.com>
Message-ID: <3cab4185@news.mhogaming.com>
Source record: 49983

For the manual:

http://www.radioshack.com/ProdSupport/DocumentDetail/DocumentDetailIndex.asp
?P=support_electronics&D=2849&T=htm

Mike

"Peep" <peep@ofpeeps.com> wrote in message
news:cViq8.32419$To6.8328902@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> How do I disable the tone when I push a button? I don't have a manual.
> Where could I get a manual?
>
> Thanks,
> Peep
>
>
>

1467. Re: Carrier Recovery at 1500MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 18:18:59 UTC
From: Emilio Goldstein <Egoldstein@sofa.net>
Message-ID: <uamhsjr0f7jr17@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49984
Cross-posted to: comp.dsp,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.shortwave

When I was in the Military we had a saying - "You trim you win".
Half the battle when demodulating any BPSK signal is to decapsulate any
remaining strong-field signal before interspersion. This having been said,
once the distance has had it's carrier amplitude modulated, a BPSK signal
above the SK density ratio will occupy greater bandwidth. My supervisor
once proved this to me by slamming me in the head with a metal mop bucket
over and over till I passed out. Then we played "Hello broom handle".I was
made to clean up the whole mess before I went to sick bay. So I don't think
demodulating BPSK signal at 1.5GHz.is going to be easy.

"Vikrant Rawat" <sunny81@rediffmail.com> wrote in message
news:uam1b7gr4t1h23@corp.supernews.com...
> Hello all,
> I need some information regarding carrier recovery at 1.5GHz. What I
> am trying to do is to demodulate BPSK signal at 1.5GHz. The recovery
> of the signal is complicated by the fact that such high frequencies
> the phases of the local oscillator at the transmitting end is far from
> ideal and hence demodulation is rather difficult. Does anyone have any
> suggestions/ideas/solution for this problem. Thanx in advance.
>
> Vikrant
>

1468. Re: Yahoo Groups - SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 18:23:56 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020403132356.20782.00001607@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 49985

>Subject: Yahoo Groups - SPAM
>From: Pappy@USA.net
>Date: 4/3/2002 7:40 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3CAB0647.2237CA02@USA.net>
>
>> Those of you who belong to and read Yahoo Groups beware.
>>
>> Yahoo did an upgrade to their system and changed everyone's preferences
>> to receive "ALL" emails.
>>
>> If you have been receiving a ton of extra email you should check your
>> Yahoo Groups email preferences and check NO on them again if you do NOT
>> want all that spam.
>>
>> I have been receiving a load of spam from Korea and I now know how they
>> received my address. Like myself i'm sure you don't a load of porn
>> coming into your home, and that is what the majority of it is. Also, I
>> don't read Korean.
>>
>> "God Bless America"

That's funny, I'm in a dozen groups over there and none of my preferences have changed.
Neutrodyne

1469. Does the UBC 780 XLT work Fine in the US ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 19:13:38 UTC
From: fell-off-the-truck <felloffthetruck@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <VsIq8.19752$ku5.1813032@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49986

How well does the UBC 780 XLT work here in the US?
Any problems with Trunking ETC??
Thanks..

1470. Re: TRC-465 SSB CB Up for grabs soon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 19:48:21 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <a8fc1v$58b$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 49982
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,ott.general,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

I sold one NIB a few years ago and only got US$40 on eBay...good luck.

CB Freak <CB@Radio.com> wrote in message
news:7wxq8.40766$09u.36700@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> How much would a Radio Shack TRC-465 SSB CB Radio go for if one were to
sell
> it. What is a good starting price for an online auction? And for those
> that reply. Please make your reply in $$$ Canadian funds please.
>
> Thanks.
>
> CB Thinker
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.343 / Virus Database: 190 - Release Date: 3/23/2002
>
>

1471. Re: Loft mounted antenna - effect of water tank ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 19:57:03 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020403145703.20790.00001711@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 49987

>Subject: Loft mounted antenna - effect of water tank ?
>From: Nobby Nohope Nobby@Nohope.com
>Date: 4/3/2002 5:02 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <epnlausvkobe12pfvlm70u3q5pfkiq7e40@4ax.com>
>
>
>Quite new to the scanning scene, so this may be a dumb question. I
>have just put a discone antenna in the loft. The easiest place to put
>this happened to be sitting on top of the cover on my cold water tank.
>The discone has made a huge difference to the reception on my AOR8200,
>but I was wondering if the large body of water sitting underneath the
>antenna could have a detrimental effect on reception?
>
>Also, another dumb question regarding earthing of antennas. Is
>advisable to do this with an internal mounted antenna ? If so where is
>the best place to take this from (cold water pipe or electrical mains
>earth). Should the antenna cable be earthed at the antenna end or the
>radio end ? Is this still appropriate with a handheld scanner ?
>
>Hope someone can enlighten me on the above points.
>
>Regards
>
>Nobby

A ground plane under the discone should not hurt and might even help. I
wouldn't ground it, the insulation of the air and the roof will protect you
from any lightning strike that wasn't already destined to hit the water tank.
Neutrodyne

1472. Re: Loft mounted antenna - effect of water tank ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 20:12:55 UTC
From: Jim Vecchiola <jimkr2t@magpage.com>
Message-ID: <a8fno7jqm0@216.155.62.202>
Source record: 49988

Actually, the "cone" part of the discone isolates it from the structure
it's mounted on, so the water tank shouldn't have any undesirable
effects. As for grounding an indoor antenna, it shouldn't be necessary.

Jim KR2T

Nobby Nohope wrote:
>
> Quite new to the scanning scene, so this may be a dumb question. I
> have just put a discone antenna in the loft. The easiest place to put
> this happened to be sitting on top of the cover on my cold water tank.
> The discone has made a huge difference to the reception on my AOR8200,
> but I was wondering if the large body of water sitting underneath the
> antenna could have a detrimental effect on reception?
>
> Also, another dumb question regarding earthing of antennas. Is
> advisable to do this with an internal mounted antenna ? If so where is
> the best place to take this from (cold water pipe or electrical mains
> earth). Should the antenna cable be earthed at the antenna end or the
> radio end ? Is this still appropriate with a handheld scanner ?
>
> Hope someone can enlighten me on the above points.
>
> Regards
>
> Nobby

1473. Re: TRC-465 SSB CB Up for grabs soon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 20:35:07 UTC
From: CB Freak <CB@Radio.com>
Message-ID: <%HJq8.34970$dVT.9846@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 49989
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,ott.general,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

I'll have to think on this for a bit I guess. That works out to about $63
Canadian.

Thanks for the tip.

:)

"Toscano" <toscano2@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:a8fc1v$58b$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> I sold one NIB a few years ago and only got US$40 on eBay...good luck.
>
>
> CB Freak <CB@Radio.com> wrote in message
> news:7wxq8.40766$09u.36700@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> > How much would a Radio Shack TRC-465 SSB CB Radio go for if one were to
> sell
> > it. What is a good starting price for an online auction? And for those
> > that reply. Please make your reply in $$$ Canadian funds please.
> >
> > Thanks.
> >
> > CB Thinker
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ---
> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.343 / Virus Database: 190 - Release Date: 3/23/2002
> >
> >
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002

1474. St. Louis, MO Fire Department Equipment Roster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-03 21:25:50 UTC
From: Stanley Kaliski <tanker001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Gu0Gv2.4ur@news.boeing.com>
Source record: 49990

I recently bought a new scanner and I'm gathering info and freqs. Do any fellow listeners out there know of any web sites listing St. Louis City fire equipment. I found a site that lists St. Louis COUNTY equipment and there station assignments, but haven't been able to locate a list for St. Louis CITY.

Thanks, Stan

1475. Re: St. Louis, MO Fire Department Equipment Roster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-04 00:23:43 UTC
From: Gray-Beard <gray-beard@NOSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <3CAB9D0F.510C5E3F@NOSPAM.net>
Source record: 49993

http://www.trunkedradio.net/cgi-bin/display.pl

GB

Stanley Kaliski wrote:

> I recently bought a new scanner and I'm gathering info and freqs. Do any
> fellow listeners out there know of any web sites listing St. Louis City fire
> equipment. I found a site that lists St. Louis COUNTY equipment and there
> station assignments, but haven't been able to locate a list for St. Louis
> CITY.
>
> Thanks, Stan

1476. Re: Newbie reception question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-04 00:24:04 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@dialpoint.net>
Message-ID: <3CAB9D24.2D51376F@dialpoint.net>
Source record: 49991

The tape won't hurt, but the wire from the earpiece probably will.
Find another way to get the wire out of your way.

"." wrote:
>
> Will electrical tape on a rubber antenna (I have a PRO-93 scanner) hurt
> reception in any way? My earpiece was hanging too low, so I folded the slack on
> the cord a bit, and used electrical tape to secure it to the antenna. Just
> wondering if this will effect my reception.
>
> Thanks.

1477. Re: Help identify amp/transistor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-04 00:30:20 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@dialpoint.net>
Message-ID: <3CAB9E9C.34747F78@dialpoint.net>
Source record: 49992

An old ad for them lists it as a 2 meter amp that takes 10 watts in and puts out 40 watts. That is about right for the transistor that is used. If you drive it with less power , expect to get about 6 db of gain from the amp.

BTW, this amp is not really a linear for use on SSB but is operating class C and works fine for CW or FM.

Toscano wrote:
>
> I know I am crossposting, but am hoping that someone in some group can
> answer my questions.
>
> I picked up a small linear this past weekend with intent to use it VHF high
> band. I have no clue if that was its true intention, so I am asking:
>
> 1) What frequencies might this cover?
>
> 2) What would be the power output?
>
> Here are the details: VHF Engineering, Model PA4010H, Serial: 165X
>
> Transistor inside: Motorola pill 2N6084

1478. New To Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-04 00:33:10 UTC
From: Greg Moline <gmoline@dellepro.com>
Message-ID: <qe3mauofriulo80a7f959rkg98dkcfo9lh@4ax.com>
Source record: 49994

Dear Friends:
I am new to scanning and just bought a used Bearcat 245XLT from a friend. Are there any good web sites that have freeware or demo ware for programming frequencies or other modification programs? Are there any good sites to look up frequences for scanning? I live in the Mpls St. Paul area of Minnesota. Any help would be GREATLY APPRECIATED!!

Thanks to all in advance.
Greg

1479. Re: Loft mounted antenna - effect of water tank ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-04 00:38:18 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@dialpoint.net>
Message-ID: <3CABA07A.AC8B21B4@dialpoint.net>
Source record: 49995

Don't just sit it on the water tank if the tank is a conductor. If you mount a foot or so above the tank then you should be ok.

The antenna does not need a ground to work. For the discone antennas the ground is for lightning protection. As you have the antenna inside, if lightning does hit, it has already done its damage.

Most antennas for frequencies about 30 mhz or so are made so they do not to be 'earthed' to work. Doing so will not help with the exception that a foot or two of wire handing off the receiver may act as part of th eantenna and may help. This makes up for the 'missing half' of the antenna of the internal mounted antenna.

1480. Re: New To Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-04 02:52:01 UTC
From: Gray-Beard <gray-beard@NOSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <3CABBFD1.14824094@NOSPAM.net>
Source record: 49996

http://www.trunktracker.com/

GB

Greg Moline wrote:

> Dear Friends:
> I am new to scanning and just bought a used Bearcat 245XLT from a
> friend. Are there any good web sites that have freeware or demo ware
> for programming frequencies or other modification programs? Are there
> any good sites to look up frequences for scanning? I live in the Mpls
> St. Paul area of Minnesota. Any help would be GREATLY APPRECIATED!!
>
> Thanks to all in advance.
> Greg

1481. DJ-X3 Etc Programming Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-04 03:35:40 UTC
From: Pete Ritter <cpritter@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <gSPq8.219691$q2.19266@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 49847
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Lately there have been a few inquiries on these groups asking for a schematic for a programming cable for the DJ-X3 and other radios. These radios require a "level shifter" circuit to change the PC's RS232 voltages to the radio's TTL voltages and vice versa. I believe the specification is called "CI-V". A few people have posted ciruits. I decided to build one and am happy to report that it works and I can program my DJ-X3 with it. The circuit I built is found at this link:

http://www.qsl.net/nr6z/images/max233_interface2.gif

This ciruit derives its power from the the PC's DTR and RTS lines. Some PCs may not be to supply enough current to power the circuit and nr6z has supplied a self powered version here (well, it requires an external source of DC):

http://www.qsl.net/nr6z/images/max233_interface1.gif

I built mine "ugly" style on a piece of perf board. I used tie wraps to anchor the two cables to the board. I covered the wired board with a couple of layers of electrical tape. I believe it could be built inside the shell of a DB9 or DB25 connector.

The MAX233 cost me $4.95 from a local electronics store and the other components came from the junk box. One of the mail order houses wanted $35 or $40 plus shipping for the Alinco cable. Mine solution isn't very pretty but it works and it's cheap.

Thanks to NR6Z.

--
*
* This Space For Hire
*

1482. Re: AOR 8200 MKII on eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-04 08:41:43 UTC
From: steve strange <stevestrange@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <olUq8.5463$LI2.598823@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 49849
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

invalid item ??

"Michael A. Nunes" wrote in message
news:6apq8.15586$0T6.5248906@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> Link to listing:
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1343698653
>
> --
> /pcmike at pcmike dot net
>
>
>

1483. Re: AOR 8200 MKII on eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-04 11:09:59 UTC
From: roughplanet <roughplanet@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3cac347a$0$11450$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 49850
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Probably mentioned 'not cellular blocked' or similar. That's the end of any scanner ad on eBay.

Ruff

"steve strange" wrote in message
news:olUq8.5463$Ll2.598823@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com...
>invalid item ??

"Michael A. Nunes" wrote in message
news:6apq8.15586$0T6.5248906@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>>Link to listing:
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dllViewItem&item=1343698653
>> --
>>/pcmike at pcmike dot net

1484. Re: St. Louis, MO Fire Department Equipment Roster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-04 12:55:34 UTC
From: Stanley Kaliski <tanker001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Gu1nwn.4yy@news.boeing.com>
Source record: 49851

Thanks GB, its a great site, however, I was looking for someone who has compiled a list of St. Louis City fire fighting apparatus. Similar to http://stlmetrofire.8k.com/ click on ID # System. Caution this guy uses a lot of Pop-up windows.

Regardless, I bookmarked the site you posted I hadn't seen that one, thanks again.

Stan

"Gray-Beard" <gray-beard@NOSPAM.net> wrote in message news:3CAB9D0F.510C5E3F@NOSPAM.net...
> http://www.trunkedradio.net/cgi-bin/display.pl
>
> GB
>
> Stanley Kaliski wrote:
>
> > I recently bought a new scanner and I'm gathering info and freqs. Do any
> > fellow listeners out there know of any web sites listing St. Louis City fire
> > equipment. I found a site that lists St. Louis COUNTY equipment and there
> > station assignments, but haven't been able to locate a list for St. Louis
> > CITY.
> >
> > Thanks, Stan
>

1485. Re: New To Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-04 13:37:06 UTC
From: Randy <bearcat@astound.net>
Message-ID: <3CAC5702.30900@astound.net>
Source record: 49852

Cityfreq.com

Gray-Beard wrote:

> http://www.trunktracker.com/
>
> GB
>
> Greg Moline wrote:
>
>
>>Dear Friends:
>>I am new to scanning and just bought a used Bearcat 245XLT from a
>>friend. Are there any good web sites that have freeware or demo ware
>>for programming frequencies or other modification programs? Are there
>>any good sites to look up frequences for scanning? I live in the Mpls
>>St. Paul area of Minnesota. Any help would be GREATLY APPRECIATED!!
>>
>>Thanks to all in advance.
>>Greg
>>
>

1486. Re: Carrier Recovery at 1500MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-04 14:08:28 UTC
From: bpnjensen@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <uaonisl0o8oaa6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49853
Cross-posted to: comp.dsp,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.shortwave

"Emilio Goldstein" <Egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:<uamhsjr0f7jr17@corp.supernews.com>...
> When I was in the Military we had a saying - "You trim you win".
> Half the battle when demodulating any BPSK signal is to decapsulate any
> remaining strong-field signal before interspersion. This having been said,
> once the distance has had it's carrier amplitude modulated, a BPSK signal
> above the SK density ratio will occupy greater bandwidth. My supervisor
> once proved this to me by slamming me in the head with a metal mop bucket
> over and over till I passed out. Then we played "Hello broom handle".I was
> made to clean up the whole mess before I went to sick bay. So I don't think
> demodulating BPSK signal at 1.5GHz.is going to be easy.

If you don't mind me saying so, your supervisor was a violent, brutal
asshole. Now that you're out, you ought to look him up and beat him
senseless with a boatanchor.

Bruce Jensen

1487. Re: Carrier Recovery at 1500MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-04 14:08:30 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <uaoniu10p8asa7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49854
Cross-posted to: comp.dsp,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.shortwave

if you were to. you cannot use a typical 2lvl data slicer. higher the
frequency the more they use the 4lvl dataslicer.

Dev

"Vikrant Rawat" <sunny81@rediffmail.com> wrote in message
news:uam1b7gr4t1h23@corp.supernews.com...
> Hello all,
> I need some information regarding carrier recovery at 1.5GHz. What I
> am trying to do is to demodulate BPSK signal at 1.5GHz. The recovery
> of the signal is complicated by the fact that such high frequencies
> the phases of the local oscillator at the transmitting end is far from
> ideal and hence demodulation is rather difficult. Does anyone have any
> suggestions/ideas/solution for this problem. Thanx in advance.
>
> Vikrant
>

1488. Re: keypad beep on PRO-51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-04 14:36:10 UTC
From: Kilgore Trout Jr <nospam.nonews@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uxZq8.15861$ml2.1239149@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 49855
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Go to this link for an online manual. Doesn't look like you can turn off the beep for this model.

http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/7508.htm

"Peep" <peep@ofpeeps.com> wrote in message news:cViq8.32419$To6.8328902@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> How do I disable the tone when I push a button? I don't have a manual.
> Where could I get a manual?
>
> Thanks,
> Peep
>
>
>

1489. Re: DJ-X3 Etc Programming Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-04 14:53:45 UTC
From: Wayne SIKORSKI <wsikorski@snip.net>
Message-ID: <a8hpd8$sakfm$1@ID-131691.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 49856

Pete,

Thanks for posting the information. I'm going to put one of these together
this evening.

Wayne

"Pete Ritter" <cpritter@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:gSPq8.219691$q2.19266@sccrnsc01...
> Lately there have been a few inquiries on these groups asking for a
> schematic for a programming cable for the DJ-X3 and other radios. These
> radios require a "level shifter" circuit to change the PC's RS232 voltages
> to the radio's TTL voltages and vice versa. I believe the specification
is
> called "CI-V". A few people have posted ciruits. I decided to build one
> and am happy to report that it works and I can program my DJ-X3 with it.
> The circuit I built is found at this link:
>
> http://www.qsl.net/nr6z/images/max233_interface2.gif
>
> This ciruit derives its power from the the PC's DTR and RTS lines. Some
> PCs may not be to supply enough current to power the circuit and nr6z has
> supplied a self powered version here (well, it requires an external source
> of DC):
>
> http://www.qsl.net/nr6z/images/max233_interface1.gif
>
> I built mine "ugly" style on a piece of perf board. I used tie wraps to
> anchor the two cables to the board. I covered the wired board with a
> couple of layers of electrical tape. I believe it could be built inside
> the shell of a DB9 or DB25 connector.
>
> The MAX233 cost me $4.95 from a local electronics store and the other
> components came from the junk box. One of the mail order houses wanted
$35
> or $40 plus shipping for the Alinco cable. Mine solution isn't very
pretty
> but it works and it's cheap.
>
> Thanks to NR6Z.

1490. FS:Pro-92 v3.28

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-04 14:54:30 UTC
From: "Edward S." <wolfpack25@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <GOZq8.3568$s22.679085177@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49857

Radio Shack Pro-92 v3.28 hand held trunking scanner. Unit is in like new condition, Ni-Cad 1000mAh batteries, and instructions. Stock antenna. GRE Scanner Data Manager Software included, no cable.
$175.00 shipped via Postal Priority mail, insured.

Postal Money Order. No trades.

E-mail only if interested.

Wolfpack25
Wolfpack25@prodigy.net

1491. Re: Yahoo Groups - SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-04 15:04:11 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3CAC6B6B.5A4B4BDA@USA.net>
Source record: 49858

Well Sport, nothing funny about it, all of mine where changed, I had to go into the system and put them back where I had them.

Neutrodyne wrote:

> >Subject: Yahoo Groups - SPAM
> >From: Pappy@USA.net
> >Date: 4/3/2002 7:40 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <3CAB0647.2237CA02@USA.net>
> >
> >> Those of you who belong to and read Yahoo Groups beware.
> >>
> >> Yahoo did an upgrade to their system and changed everyone's preferences
> >> to receive "ALL" emails.
> >>
> >> If you have been receiving a ton of extra email you should check your
> >> Yahoo Groups email preferences and check NO on them again if you do NOT
> >> want all that spam.
> >>
> >> I have been receiving a load of spam from Korea and I now know how they
> >> received my address. Like myself i'm sure you don't a load of porn
> >> coming into your home, and that is what the majority of it is. Also, I
> >> don't read Korean.
> >>
> >> "God Bless America"
> >
> That's funny, I'm in a dozen groups over there and none of my preferences have
> changed.
> Neutrodyne

1492. Re: TRC-465 SSB CB Up for grabs soon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-04 15:04:29 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020404100429.20518.00001994@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 49859

>Subject: TRC-465 SSB CB Up for grabs soon
>From: "CB Freak" CB@Radio.com
>Date: 4/3/2002 12:43 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <7wxq8.40766$09u.36700@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
>
>How much would a Radio Shack TRC-465 SSB CB Radio go for if one were to sell
>it. What is a good starting price for an online auction? And for those
>that reply. Please make your reply in $$$ Canadian funds please.
>
>Thanks.
>
>CB Thinker

Though RS made some good rigs over the years, they just don't hold a reputation among collectors or users. Same way with their old SW receivers and (with a somewhat irrational exception for the 2004-5-6 series) - scanners. Identical Bearcat models that were sold under the RS brand can bring 30-70% more as Bearcats.
Neutrodyne

1493. Motorola Select Clear adaptor voltage needed please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-04 15:21:52 UTC
From: RADIOBOY@TELUS.NET
Message-ID: <53482059.0204040721.315c52c5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49860

Hi Folks,

I have a Motorola Secure Clear cordless phone Series 600 and I am in need of the wall power supply adaptor. Could someone be so kind as to look at theirs to see what the voltage is, AC or DC and the polarity. Please and thanks.

Paul

1494. f.s. bc200xlt with full cellular 110.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-04 15:57:37 UTC
From: JJJJJJJJJJ <oaktownboy@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <%dQq8.10880$Yt.577161@read1.cgocable.net>
Source record: 49848

for sale:

bc 200xlt with full cellular coverage.

with custom leathercase, with 2 rechargeable batterypacks, wall
charger/adapter.

sorry no manual.

110.00US includes insured express shipping with tracking number

thanks
shyguy@cogeco.ca

1495. Does the BC 780 XLT use the same Power supply as the 9000 XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-04 16:46:10 UTC
From: GlockGuy <felloffthetruck@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <nh%q8.33114$0T6.10380726@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49861

Does the BC 780XLT use the same power supply as the 9000XLT?

1496. Nascar dpl/pl tones for scanner needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-04 17:40:40 UTC
From: Evan <Razorsedge@usaonline.net>
Message-ID: <uap40b3i3hdq10@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49862
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone have these or know of a web site that does???

Thanks
Evan

1497. UNIDEN PARTS - DID YOU KNOW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-04 19:02:50 UTC
From: ljyg <kuyfg@uyt.net>
Message-ID: <ur1r8.230960$Hu6.53504372@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 49863
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

DID YOU KNOW THAT UNIDEN WILL NOT SELL YOU A VOLUME\SQUELCH CONTROL FOR THE BC 780.

I DIDN'T TILL TODAY. NOW THAT'S SERVICE!

1498. Re: UNIDEN PARTS - DID YOU KNOW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-04 19:45:42 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <G32r8.12073$f4.899541@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 49864
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

lol. sorta like nokia. Nokia does that too.

"ljyg" <kuyfg@uyt.net> wrote in message
news:ur1r8.230960$Hu6.53504372@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> DID YOU KNOW THAT UNIDEN WILL NOT SELL YOU A VOLUME\SQUELCH CONTROL FOR
THE
> BC 780.
>
> I DIDN'T TILL TODAY. NOW THAT'S SERVICE!
>
>
>
>
>
>

1499. Re: UNIDEN PARTS - DID YOU KNOW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-04 20:09:20 UTC
From: GlockGuy <felloffthetruck@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Of2r8.34320$0T6.10438297@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49865
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Will they sell you a Power Supply ?

"ljyg" <kuyfg@uyt.net> wrote in message
news:ur1r8.230960$Hu6.53504372@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> DID YOU KNOW THAT UNIDEN WILL NOT SELL YOU A VOLUME\SQUELCH CONTROL FOR
THE
> BC 780.
>
> I DIDN'T TILL TODAY. NOW THAT'S SERVICE!
>
>
>
>
>
>

1500. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-04 20:27:56 UTC
From: Kevin Cheek <slade@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <uaq30g828crsfb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49876
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

RS is not going to stop selling small parts. they have discontinued several that were being used primarily for illegal purposes, what, I do not know. The part was a Quad Line Receiver (1488). But, for you guys that are serious, find a franchise store instead of a company store and they will usually cut you a deal.

1501. Re: UNIDEN PARTS - DID YOU KNOW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-04 21:15:02 UTC
From: cryppie <nitr0man@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uapgi61q5j8744@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49866
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Or a new caps lock key? Apparently yours is stuck...

"GlockGuy" <felloffthetruck@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Of2r8.34320$0T6.10438297@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> Will they sell you a Power Supply ?
>
>
> "ljyg" <kuyfg@uyt.net> wrote in message
> news:ur1r8.230960$Hu6.53504372@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> > DID YOU KNOW THAT UNIDEN WILL NOT SELL YOU A VOLUME\SQUELCH CONTROL FOR
> THE
> > BC 780.
> >
> > I DIDN'T TILL TODAY. NOW THAT'S SERVICE!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>

1502. Re: Nascar dpl/pl tones for scanner needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-04 21:44:56 UTC
From: Otis Cribblecoblis <alaininvc@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3CACC958.E2A14D1E@netscape.net>
Source record: 49867
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Evan,

Try...www.gasolinealleyonline.net

Evan wrote:

> Does anyone have these or know of a web site that does???
>
> Thanks
> Evan

1503. Re: Carrier Recovery at 1500MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-04 22:04:11 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dim.com>
Message-ID: <uapjersacr4301@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49868
Cross-posted to: comp.dsp,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.shortwave

bpnjensen@yahoo.com (bpnjensen) wrote:

> "Emilio Goldstein" <Egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:<uamhsjr0f7jr17@corp.supernews.com>...
> > When I was in the Military we had a saying - "You trim you win".
> > Half the battle when demodulating any BPSK signal is to decapsulate any
> > remaining strong-field signal before interspersion. This having been said,
> > once the distance has had it's carrier amplitude modulated, a BPSK signal
> > above the SK density ratio will occupy greater bandwidth. My supervisor
> > once proved this to me by slamming me in the head with a metal mop bucket
> > over and over till I passed out. Then we played "Hello broom handle".I was
> > made to clean up the whole mess before I went to sick bay. So I don't think
> > demodulating BPSK signal at 1.5GHz.is going to be easy.
>
> If you don't mind me saying so, your supervisor was a violent, brutal
> asshole. Now that you're out, you ought to look him up and beat him
> senseless with a boatanchor.
>
> Bruce Jensen

Bruce, you just got hooked by a troll. "Emilio" is a canadian troll
and script kiddy who got convicted of some hacking a couple years
back. I don't recall his real name but he uses that alias all over
the place. Just plonk it and ignore it. Maybe if we're lucky he
can get to be bubba's girl again.

1504. Re: Does the BC 780 XLT use the same Power supply as the 9000 XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-04 22:22:59 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Mn4r8.16822$1a.4717223@twister.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 49869

My 780 didn't come with a power supply - it runs on 12 volts DC.

--

/`\\\
(@ @)
--------ooO---(_)---Ooo--------
Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KG WX
Design Services Company
http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com
Smoke free since 9/30/96!
ICQ# 63379650

"GlockGuy" <felloffthetruck@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:nh%q8.33114$0T6.10380726@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> Does the BC 780XLT use the same power supply as the 9000XLT?
>
>
>

1505. Modifying RS PRO-2053 to receive 300mhz range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-04 22:30:22 UTC
From: "Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <2u4r8.12418$SG2.2356442@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49870

Can it be done? Any info?

thanks

1506. Any opinions on Yupiteru MVT-7300?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-04 22:37:23 UTC
From: "Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <DA4r8.12423$SG2.2358057@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49871

?

1507. What are your opinions on yupiteru 7300?/recos for handhelp scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-04 23:13:39 UTC
From: "Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <D65r8.12440$SG2.2365866@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49872

Should I buy or not? What are the other recomendations for handheld scanner with coverage in the 300mhz range?

thanks

1508. FS UHF MT1000 16ch DTMF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 00:30:23 UTC
From: abbylind@aol.com
Message-ID: <b1bfa7c.0204041630.254a02ce@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Hi
For Sale
1 MT1000 UHF 16 channel mod # H44GCU7100BN
DTMF front
1 Battery 99 date code
1 Pocket charger with wall wart
$200 + shipping
Thanks
Fowler
abbylind@aol.com

1509. Re: Any scanners that recieve the 700 MHz Band?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 01:19:25 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <xY6r8.13870$k5.5084143@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49874
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The Yupiteru MTK-9000EUMKII will.

Bill Crocker

"24hoursupport.helpdesk" <24hoursupport.helpdesk@24hoursupport.helpdesk.com>
wrote in message news:53spau01llrlsia7bg478midur5pcfaiem@4ax.com...
> Are there any scanners that cover the 700 MHz Band?
>
> The 700 MHz Band consists of:
>
> 1) The Upper 700 MHz Band which used to be UHF-TV Channels 60 - 69
> 2) The Lower 700 MHz Band which used to be UHF-TV Channels 52 - 59
>
> There will be 3 groups of licensees who will "win" frequencies in the 700
MHz band,
>
> 1) Guard Band Managers
> 2) Public Safety organizations
> 3) Commercial Moblie Radio Service licensees (regulated under Part 20 of
the FCC Rules)
>
> The 700 MHz frequencies will be awarded to the highest bidder during the
Auction process:
>
> PART 27--MISCELLANEOUS WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES
> Subpart F--Competitive Bidding Procedures for the 746-764 MHz and 776-794
MHz Bands
> Sec. 27.501 746-764 MHz and 776-794 MHz bands subject to competitive
bidding.
>
> a) Mutually exclusive initial applications for licenses in the 746 - 764
MHz and 776 - 794 MHz bands
> are subject to competitive bidding procedures.
>
>
http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/get-cfr.cgi?TITLE=47&PART=27&SECTION
=501&YEAR=2001&TYPE=TEXT
>
>
> Auction 33: Upper 700 MHz Guard Bands (9/6/2000 - 9/21/2000)
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/auctions/33/index.html
>
> Auction 38: Upper 700 MHz Guard Bands (2/13/2001 - 2/21/2001)
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/auctions/38/

>
> Auction 31: Upper 700 MHz Scheduled for June 19, 2002
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/auctions/31/index.html
>
> Upper 700 MHz Band Plan 746 MHz- 794 MHz (.PDF File)
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/auctions/data/bandplans/700band.pdf
>
>
> Auction 44: Lower 700 MHz Scheduled for June 19, 2002
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/auctions/44/
>
> Lower 700 MHz Band Plan 698 MHz - 746 MHz (.PDF File)
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/auctions/data/bandplans/700lower.pdf
>
>
> The Public Safety section of the 700 MHz Band will be allocated
> to Part 90 Licensees, and will be the APCO 25 standard,
> APCO 25 Encryption is permitted in all but the two
> nationwide interoperability calling channels
>
> PART 90--PRIVATE LAND MOBILE RADIO SERVICES
> Subpart R--Regulations Governing the Licensing and Use of
> Frequencies in the 764-776 and 794-806 MHz Bands
> Sec. 90.531 Band plan.
>
> This section sets forth the band plan for the 764-776 MHz and 794-806 MHz
public safety bands.
> (a) Base and mobile use. The 764-776 MHz band may be used for base,
> mobile or fixed (repeater) transmissions. The 794-806 MHz band may be
> used only for mobile or fixed (control) transmissions. (Note UHF CH's 68
& 69)
> (b) Narrowband segments. There are four band segments that are
> designated for use with narrowband emissions. Each of these narrowband
> segments is divided into 480 channels having a channel size of 6.25 kHz
> as follows:
>
> ---
> Frequency range Channel Nos.
> ---
> 764-767 MHz..... 1-480
> 773-776 MHz..... 481-960
> 794-797 MHz..... 961-1440
> 803-806 MHz..... 1441-1920
>
> ---
> Frequency range Channel Nos.
> ---
> 767-773 MHz..... 1-120
> 797-803 MHz..... 121-240.
> ---

>
>
http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/get-cfr.cgi?TITLE=47&PART=90&SECTION
=531&YEAR=2001&TYPE=TEXT
>
>
> Sec. 90.553 Encryption.
> (a) Encryption is permitted on all but the two nationwide
> Interoperability calling channels. Radios employing encryption must have
> a readily accessible switch or other readily accessible control that
> permits the radio user to disable encryption.
> (b) If Encryption is employed then the following encryption protocol
> must be used: TIA/EIA IS AAAA-A Project 25 DES.
> (c) Copies of the standards listed in this Section that are
> incorporated by reference can be purchased from TIA/EIA, 2500 Wilson
> Boulevard, Arlington, VA, 22201, or Global Engineering Documents, 155
> Inverness Way East, Englewood, CO 80112.
>
>
http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/get-cfr.cgi?TITLE=47&PART=90&SECTION
=553&YEAR=2001&TYPE=TEXT
>
>
> The Guard Band Manager's license information is available in the FCC ULS
Database:
>
> FCC General Menu Reports version 2.0
> http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm
>
> Choose "state/radio service" pick your state and 700 MHz Bands [WX]
>

1510. Re: Any scanners that recieve the 700 MHz Band?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 01:34:46 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Wa7r8.17244$ml2.1331639@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 49875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The Icom R-2 and the Pro-26 will...
"24hoursupport.helpdesk" <24hoursupport.helpdesk@24hoursupport.helpdesk.com>
wrote in message news:53spau01llrlsia7bg478midur5pcfaiem@4ax.com...
> Are there any scanners that cover the 700 MHz Band?
>
> The 700 MHz Band consists of:
>
> 1) The Upper 700 MHz Band which used to be UHF-TV Channels 60 - 69
> 2) The Lower 700 MHz Band which used to be UHF-TV Channels 52 - 59
>
> There will be 3 groups of licensees who will "win" frequencies in the 700
MHz band,
>
> 1) Guard Band Managers
> 2) Public Safety organizations
> 3) Commercial Moblie Radio Service licensees (regulated under Part 20 of
the FCC Rules)
>
> The 700 MHz frequencies will be awarded to the highest bidder during the
Auction process:
>
> PART 27--MISCELLANEOUS WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES
> Subpart F--Competitive Bidding Procedures for the 746-764 MHz and 776-794
MHz Bands
> Sec. 27.501 746-764 MHz and 776-794 MHz bands subject to competitive
bidding.
>
> a) Mutually exclusive initial applications for licenses in the 746 - 764
MHz and 776 - 794 MHz bands
> are subject to competitive bidding procedures.
>
>
http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/get-cfr.cgi?TITLE=47&PART=27&SECTION
=501&YEAR=2001&TYPE=TEXT
>
>
> Auction 33: Upper 700 MHz Guard Bands (9/6/2000 - 9/21/2000)
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/auctions/33/index.html
>
> Auction 38: Upper 700 MHz Guard Bands (2/13/2001 - 2/21/2001)
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/auctions/38/
>
> Auction 31: Upper 700 MHz Scheduled for June 19, 2002

> http://wireless.fcc.gov/auctions/31/index.html
>
> Upper 700 MHz Band Plan 746 MHz- 794 MHz (.PDF File)
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/auctions/data/bandplans/700band.pdf
>
>
> Auction 44: Lower 700 MHz Scheduled for June 19, 2002
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/auctions/44/
>
> Lower 700 MHz Band Plan 698 MHz - 746 MHz (.PDF File)
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/auctions/data/bandplans/700lower.pdf
>
>
> The Public Safety section of the 700 MHz Band will be allocated
> to Part 90 Licensees, and will be the APCO 25 standard,
> APCO 25 Encryption is permitted in all but the two
> nationwide interoperability calling channels
>
> PART 90--PRIVATE LAND MOBILE RADIO SERVICES
> Subpart R--Regulations Governing the Licensing and Use of
> Frequencies in the 764-776 and 794-806 MHz Bands
> Sec. 90.531 Band plan.
>
> This section sets forth the band plan for the 764-776 MHz and 794-806 MHz
public safety bands.
> (a) Base and mobile use. The 764-776 MHz band may be used for base,
> mobile or fixed (repeater) transmissions. The 794-806 MHz band may be
> used only for mobile or fixed (control) transmissions. (Note UHF CH's 68
& 69)
> (b) Narrowband segments. There are four band segments that are
> designated for use with narrowband emissions. Each of these narrowband
> segments is divided into 480 channels having a channel size of 6.25 kHz
> as follows:
>
> ---
> Frequency range Channel Nos.
> ---
> 764-767 MHz..... 1-480
> 773-776 MHz..... 481-960
> 794-797 MHz..... 961-1440
> 803-806 MHz..... 1441-1920
>
> ---
> Frequency range Channel Nos.
> ---
> 767-773 MHz..... 1-120
> 797-803 MHz..... 121-240.
> ---
>
>

http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/get-cfr.cgi?TITLE=47&PART=90&SECTION
=531&YEAR=2001&TYPE=TEXT
>
>
> Sec. 90.553 Encryption.
> (a) Encryption is permitted on all but the two nationwide
> Interoperability calling channels. Radios employing encryption must have
> a readily accessible switch or other readily accessible control that
> permits the radio user to disable encryption.
> (b) If Encryption is employed then the following encryption protocol
> must be used: TIA/EIA IS AAAA-A Project 25 DES.
> (c) Copies of the standards listed in this Section that are
> incorporated by reference can be purchased from TIA/EIA, 2500 Wilson
> Boulevard, Arlington, VA, 22201, or Global Engineering Documents, 155
> Inverness Way East, Englewood, CO 80112.
>
>
http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/get-cfr.cgi?TITLE=47&PART=90&SECTION
=553&YEAR=2001&TYPE=TEXT
>
>
> The Guard Band Manager's license information is available in the FCC ULS
Database:
>
> FCC General Menu Reports version 2.0
> http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm
>
> Choose "state/radio service" pick your state and 700 MHz Bands [WX]
>

1511. Re: New To Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 03:00:19 UTC
From: johnb <borregoj@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3CAD1496.9070002@sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 49877

another good place (although not online) is the police call book from radioshak, that latest version includes a cd of frequency info on the whole us. have a great time!

Greg Moline wrote:

> Dear Friends:
> I am new to scanning and just bought a used Bearcat 245XLT from a
> friend. Are there any good web sites that have freeware or demo ware
> for programming frequencies or other modification programs? Are there
> any good sites to look up frequences for scanning? I live in the Mpls
> St. Paul area of Minnesota. Any help would be GREATLY APPRECIATED!!
>
> Thanks to all in advance.
> Greg
>

1512. Can we get a Toronto Scanner Online

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 04:27:31 UTC
From: CB Freak <CB@Radio.com>
Message-ID: <TI9r8.6494$TN1.4875@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 49878
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

Just wondering if there is anyone out there that is capable of putting a live scanner broadcast of the Toronto Police dept. with there scanner. If you can, we'd like to hear it. Check us out at http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

Later,

:)

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002

1513. Pro 89 Mod?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 04:39:25 UTC
From: MrPoster <jj0071@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3jaqauskr7tgl3p0k5voosrdvg7rohrron@4ax.com>
Source record: 49879

Is there a mod for the RS Pro 89?

1514. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 07:06:02 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <u1cr8.2748$8r5.1556@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 49880
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hay if you are interested there is a outlet parts place I sometimes use it
is called MCM electronics out if ohio the phone number is 1 800 543 4330 ask
for A catalog and they have A very large selection of parts for TV ,vicar ,
and semiconductors at A good price
--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

"Kevin Cheek" <slade@hiwaay.net> wrote in message
news:uaq30g828crsfb@corp.supernews.com...
>
> RS is not going to stop selling small parts. they have discontinued
several
> that were being used primarily for illegal purposes, what, I do not know.
> The part was a Quad Line Receiver (1488). But, for you guys that are
> serious, find a franchise store instead of a company store and they will
> usually cut you a deal.
>
>

1515. Re: What are your opinions on yupiteru 7300?/recos for handhelp scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 09:16:08 UTC
From: std4643@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020405041608.04419.00002877@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 49881

My buddy had one and compared to my Yupi 7100 it was not as good JMHI.

1516. Re: Does the BC 780 XLT use the same Power supply as the 9000 XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 10:26:05 UTC
From: Blitz <WTVKZJHZMOYD@spammotel.com>
Message-ID: <1Zer8.4786$QC1.462427@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 49883

I don't know what the 900 uses but my 780 came with a 12VDC AC Wall Power
Supply.
As well as 12VDC cable.

"GlockGuy" <felloffthetruck@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:nh%q8.33114$0T6.10380726@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> Does the BC 780XLT use the same power supply as the 9000XLT?
>
>
>

1517. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 11:30:04 UTC
From: BobC <123@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uaqrc5if78n7c3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49882
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Tom Bridge - Doc" <t.bridge@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:u1cr8.2748$8r5.1556@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> Hay if you are interested there is a outlet parts place I
sometimes use it
> is called MCM electronics out if ohio the phone number is
1 800 543 4330 ask
> for A catalog and they have A very large selection of
parts for TV ,vicar ,
> and semiconductors at A good price
> --
> Best Regards!
> - Doc -
> http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/
>
> "Kevin Cheek" <slade@hiwaay.net> wrote in message
> news:uaq30g828crsfb@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > RS is not going to stop selling small parts. they have
discontinued
> several
> > that were being used primarily for illegal purposes,
what, I do not know.
> > The part was a Quad Line Receiver (1488). But, for you
guys that are
> > serious, find a franchise store instead of a company
store and they will
> > usually cut you a deal.
> >

Radio Shack seems to be removing slow moving items from the shelves even though these items are a high profit sale.

You can still buy whatever you want from RS. You just need to get hold of their commercial accounts catalog in which you'll find all the parts you've ever seen elsewhere. It's a separate catalog that you need to ask for. They may not even have one in stock but it can be had for the asking.

1518. Re: Anyone listening to SCA stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 11:47:24 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3CAD8ECC.99F16E75@mitre.org>
Source record: 49884

This may be off topic but I just tried the FM carrier87 Mhz to 108 Mhz on the Cable TV system. It had many repeats of the NOAA weather forecast in digital voice. There were may full carriers that pegged
the LED s-meter on a Uniden CR 2021 AM/FM /SW receiver but NO AUDIO present. Several NPR stations on frequencies up the band from their normal 88 Mhz-91 Mhz area.
Ten years ago the stereo audio for many of the music channels and premium movie channels were on the FM band.with the cable feed. They all seem to be gone now. Of course the cable system has change owners several times over the years.
Jim

"Matte J. McCullar" wrote:

> SCA signals ride on commercial FM broadcast signals as a subcarrier. I
> bought the Ramsey kit that lets you listen to these signals and it works
> very well... I bought their FM radio receiver kit to go along with it to
> make it easier to interface the SCA, and learned enough from that to be able
> to attach the SCA decoder to other FM radio receivers, such as junked-out
> car stereos. I've since bought an old tabletop radio receiver because it's
> got enough room inside to let me mount the SCA adapter on a permanent basis.
>
> Listening to Fort Worth/Dallas SCA signals, I've picked up things such as
> digital data (don't know what info it's transmitting), foreign language news
> (I think it's Korean), and even country music (riding on the signal of a
> country music station, but different songs!).
>
> I'm just wondering what other folks can tune in on SCA signals elsewhere.
>
> I'm also wondering if Muzak still does SCA stuff or if they've switched to
> satellite downlinks. I hear music in fast-food joints with no commercials.
>
> "Stop the Muzak -- 25 cents."
>
> Matt J. McCullar, KJ5BA
> Arlington, TX

1519. Descrambler

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 12:03:21 UTC
From: jank <jankkk@poczta.onet.pl>
Message-ID: <a8k3h0$j90$1@news.onet.pl>
Source record: 49885

Hi,
Is there any serious software / hardware work available for descrambling
transmissions ?
Scrambling method is rolling one (not ordinary inverting, so common known
soft decramblers available on the Net are useless).

jank

1520. Wanted: Mobile mounting bracket for a Pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 14:13:27 UTC
From: john_breland@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <416331df.0204050613.5cba0d34@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49886

Anyone got one of these? Radio Shack part # 20-0001 I think.

Thanks

1521. BC=245 + Extras $155

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 14:49:05 UTC
From: bfdp1@msn.com
Message-ID: <1235373c.0204050649.569d611e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49887

I have a MINT 245 6 months old. Padded nylon carry case, 2 OEM nicad battery paks 600 ma and a 800 ma. Uniden CRX-120 charger (charges one external pak and a radio) Boxes, all accessories, auto charger cable.

$155 shipped in US

PayPal ok

John
Boise, Idaho

1522. For Trade: VHS collections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 14:53:05 UTC
From: at_the_helms@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <621d1b6e.0204050653.1d708268@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49888

I have the following VHS tapes for barter. They are the original unedited master prints from the Columbia House libraries. I'd like to trade them for a good base CB unit, handheld scanner, or transceiver of any kind.

The playback qualities of these tapes are superb and they're all in perfect condition. They each come with hard shell cases and a retail price of $23.89 which you can verify for yourself by calling Columbia House.

I'm not expecting a dollar-for-dollar exchange and I'll consider anything you've got, but please make certain it's for something you'd be willing to trade for yourself. I'll gladly ship the tapes 2nd-Day air to your location, but not for junk or stolen property!

If interested, write directly, and Thanks!

Columbo episodes:

Etude In Black
An Exercise In Fatality
Mind Over Mayhem
Negative Reaction
Blueprint For Murder
By Dawn's Early Light
Dagger Of The Mind
A Friend In Deed
Prescription: Murder

Star Trek episodes (2 on each tape):

Arena
The Alternative Factor
Tomorrow Is Yesterday
The City On The Edge Of Forever
The Naked Time
Balance Of Terror
What Are Little Girls Made Of?
Miri
A Taste Of Armageddon
The Devil In The Dark
A Piece Of The Action
By Any Other Name

1523. Re: For Trade: VHS collections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 15:08:13 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b6jr8.18424$1a.5938297@twister.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 49889

"C. Helms" <at_the_helms@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:621d1b6e.0204050653.1d708268@posting.google.com...
> I have the following VHS tapes for barter. They are the
> original unedited master prints from the Columbia House
> libraries. I'd like to trade them for a good base CB
> unit, handheld scanner, or transceiver of any kind.
>
> The playback qualities of these tapes are superb and
> they're all in perfect condition. They each come with
> hard shell cases and a retail price of $23.89 which you
> can verify for yourself by calling Columbia House.
>
> I'm not expecting a dollar-for-dollar exchange and I'll
> consider anything you've got, but please make certain
> it's for something you'd be willing to trade for
> yourself. I'll gladly ship the tapes 2nd-Day air to
> your location, but not for junk or stolen property!
>
> If interested, write directly, and Thanks!
>
> Columbo episodes:
> -----------------
> Etude In Black
> An Exercise In Fatality
> Mind Over Mayhem
> Negative Reaction
> Blueprint For Murder
> By Dawn's Early Light
> Dagger Of The Mind
> A Friend In Deed
> Prescription: Murder
>
> Star Trek episodes (2 on each tape):
> ------------------------------------
> Arena
> The Alternative Factor
> Tomorrow Is Yesterday
> The City On The Edge Of Forever
> The Naked Time
> Balance Of Terror
> What Are Little Girls Made Of?
> Miri
> A Taste Of Armageddon
> The Devil In The Dark

> A Piece Of The Action
> By Any Other Name

You've GOT to be kidding!

/`\\\
(@ @)
--------ooO---(_)---Ooo----------

�Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KG�WX�

� Design Services Company �

1524. Re: Radio Shack stops selling diodes, res, caps (etc..) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 15:34:29 UTC
From: Dave <ws2l@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <9ujr8.154893$u77.34398743@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 49890
Cross-posted to: alt.radio-shack,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Radio Shack will continue to sell Diodes, Resistor's ect, they are in the process of remodeling all stores. To save space, all small parts are being sent back to Ft. Worth to be repackaged and will be sent back to the stores in a drawer type setup. Many new changes will be made during the year 2002 and this is one of them, currently they are doing away with car audio.

Dave

1525. Re: Wanted: Mobile mounting bracket for a Pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 15:57:21 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20020405105721.25222.00001949@mb-ci.aol.com>
Source record: 49892

Did you try RS? That bracket worked with a lot of different scanners.

1526. SOS------>Icom-R2<----------Wanting to UnBlock to Full ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 15:57:27 UTC
From: straykatndslov2@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020405105727.01793.00002754@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 49891

Is the a link or the how too's in Unblocking this unit to a full version , and the Schemantics ??? thanks_PeaceOut

1527. Re: SOS------>Icom-R2<----------Wanting to UnBlock to Full ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 16:24:50 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <mdkr8.18493$2j3.1206367@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 49893

in this radio. Look at the US model compared to the Canadian Model. The only thing you have blocked are the analog cell band. that is it. Nothing more, nothing less.

Dev

"StrayKatNdsLov2" <straykatndslov2@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020405105727.01793.00002754@mb-cg.aol.com...
> Is the a link or the how too's in Unblocking this unit to a full version , and
> the Schemantics ??? thanks_PeaceOut

1528. Re: Can we get a Toronto Scanner Online

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 17:00:49 UTC
From: Shutup_cartman@remove.hotmail.this.com.now
Message-ID: <3cadbfe4.8193321@news.aei.net>
Source record: 49894
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 05 Apr 2002 04:27:31 GMT, "CB Freak" <CB@Radio.com> wrote:

>Just wondering if there is anyone out there that is capable of putting a
>live scanner broadcast of the Toronto Police dept. with there scanner. If
>you can, we'd like to hear it.

I hate to tell you this, but that would be quite illegal. The scanner
laws are quite clear in Canada. You may listen to *ANY* frequency
(yes including cellular and cordless phones), but to repeat, broadcast
or rebroadcast what you hear is a big nono.

Allen

1529. Re: Can we get a Toronto Scanner Online

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 17:27:24 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <08lr8.18768$2j3.1220799@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 49895
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

And I DO know that they enforce it. well they do definately out here in BC
and Alberta.

"Allen the Magic Goose" <Shutup_cartman@remove.hotmail.this.com.now> wrote
in message news:3cadbfe4.8193321@news.aei.net...
> On Fri, 05 Apr 2002 04:27:31 GMT, "CB Freak" <CB@Radio.com> wrote:
>
> >Just wondering if there is anyone out there that is capable of putting a
> >live scanner broadcast of the Toronto Police dept. with there scanner.
If
> >you can, we'd like to hear it.
>
> I hate to tell you this, but that would be quite illegal. The scanner
> laws are quite clear in Canada. You may listen to *ANY* frequency
> (yes including cellular and cordless phones), but to repeat, broadcast
> or rebroadcast what you hear is a big nono.
>
> Allen

1530. Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 17:50:04 UTC
From: MinisterNeo <scrod12@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <B8D34DFB.1030%scrod12@adelphia.net>
Source record: 49896
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

This Might be one of the dumbest Ideas I've come up with, but I'm a newbie, and come up with crazy Ideas, it's my job. I'm in a situation where I can't mount an outdoor antenna therefore I'm stuck with very limited range for scanning. I was thinking if it would be possible to pickup a BNC connector from radioshack and hook a split off my cable line, hence giving me TONS of antenna. How well are cable lines sheilded against scanner feqs. If this isn't a viable option, what other ideas do you guys have for improving reception without mounting an outdoor antenna.

1531. Re: Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 18:08:34 UTC
From: Senior Me <MrMr@MeAndYou.com>
Message-ID: <CKIr8.1560$Oo1.465@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 49897
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Using your cable doesn't work very well, I've tried it. My suggestion, and this is what I'm using for my apt. is the radio shack, cellular lookalike scanner antenna from Radio Shack. Very cheap, nice coverage, and very easy to install on the side of your window. Besides what's wrong with putting a magnet mount antenna outside the window.

"MinisterNeo" <scrod12@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:B8D34DFB.1030%scrod12@adelphia.net...
> This Might be one of the dumbest Ideas I've come up with, but I'm a newbie,
> and come up with crazy Ideas, it's my job. I'm in a situation where I can't
> mount an outdoor antenna therefore I'm stuck with very limited range for
> scanning. I was thinking if it would be possible to pickup a BNC connector
> from radioshack and hook a split off my cable line, hence giving me TONS of
> antenna. How well are cable lines sheilded against scanner feqs. If this
> isn't a viable option, what other ideas do you guys have for improving
> reception without mounting an outdoor antenna.
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002

1532. CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 18:32:36 UTC
From: MadDogMcQ <tom.mcq@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a8kqk4roc1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49898
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It takes a lot to get me wound up, but today I received FIVE emails from the same guy telling me how disappointed he was in my "free" software because it required a password and to obtain that password he had to email me. He made his feelings very clear by saying that I was lame and a lousy programmer.

AND ALL BECAUSE HE DIDN'T LIKE THE IDEA OF HAVING TO ENTER A PASSWORD!!!

I spent a long time getting this SWL Logging Database right and placed it in the Public Domain for the benefit of all the SWL/HAM Community. To get an idea of how many people were downloading and using the software, I put a password on the zipfile and a comment asking people to email me for the password - just like registering free software, really. Not much to ask I thought.

Well apparently, it was too much for this particular guy! He ranted and raved, so I replied to him and told him the password was "kissmyass" without the quotation marks! What a tosser!! I find it hard to believe that there are people like this in the radio community. No doubt he's an arrogant, spoiled, petulent little boy who slams doors when he doesn't get his own way. His alias was "bridge2nowhr" FYI.

Anyway, for anyone who is interested in a FREE SWL/HAM Logging Database and would like to know more, please feel free to read thru the info at :

http://www.tom.mcq.btinternet.co.uk/ar3000/mcqlog.htm

But for God's sake, don't complain about having to email for the password :-)))

Regards, Tom.
*..)) -: :-
. .))
((. . ..-: :- * www.ar3000.info * -::-
-::- ((. *

1533. Re: CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 19:29:42 UTC
From: Monitor <armani.uk++@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <AWmr8.3786$q03.947965@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 49899
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Tom,
You have some good software. Take no notice of this critic whoever he may be. I bet if he produced work to the same standard, he would want paying for it !
Keep up your good work.
Dave.

1534. Re: CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 19:36:48 UTC
From: "David B." <ddrew@nhDOTultranet.com>
Message-ID: <k1nr8.178089$af7.89332@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 49900
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Tell him you'll ship him a non password protected copy for $50.

--

"MadDogMcQ" <tom.mcq@btinternet.com> wrote in message news:a8kqk4roc1@helle.btinternet.com...
> It takes a lot to get me wound up, but today I received FIVE emails from the
> same guy telling me how disappointed he was in my "free" software because it
> required a password and to obtain that password he had to email me. He made
> his feelings very clear by saying that I was lame and a lousy programmer.
>
> AND ALL BECAUSE HE DIDN'T LIKE THE IDEA OF HAVING TO ENTER A PASSWORD!!!
>
> I spent a long time getting this SWL Logging Database right and placed it in
> the Public Domain for the benefit of all the SWL/HAM Community. To get an
> idea of how many people were downloading and using the software, I put a
> password on the zipfile and a comment asking people to email me for the
> password - just like registering free software, really. Not much to ask I
> thought.
>
> Well apparently, it was too much for this particular guy! He ranted and
> raved, so I replied to him and told him the password was "kissmyass" without
> the quotation marks! What a tosser!! I find it hard to believe that there
> are people like this in the radio community. No doubt he's an arrogant,
> spoiled, petulent little boy who slams doors when he doesn't get his own
> way. His alias was "bridge2nowhr" FYI.
>
> Anyway, for anyone who is interested in a FREE SWL/HAM Logging Database and
> would like to know more, please feel free to read thru the info at :
>
> http://www.tom.mcq.btinternet.co.uk/ar3000/mcqlog.htm
>
> But for God's sake, don't complain about having to email for the password
> :-)))
>
> Regards, Tom.
> *..□ □□□)) -:□:-
> □.□□ .□□□□))
> ((□□.□□ .□□ ..-:□:- * www.ar3000.info * -:□:-
> -:□:- ((□□.□□*
>
>
>

>

1535. Re: CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 19:37:11 UTC
From: CW <clinton.magers@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <H1nr8.174346$ZR2.94160@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 49901
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I had someone email me one time criticizing my website. He said that it was incomplete and I should have shown research data, test results, response curves, ect. I responded by explaining to him that is was intended for the mainstream radio enthusiast, not the engineering crowd and technical details such as he was asking for were beyond the scope of the site. He wrote back saying that I was just to lazy to do it right and if I did not want to do it right, I should remove the website.
You can't please everybody. You just have to keep in mind that for every one of these types, there are a hundred that appreciate what you are doing. I have taken a look at your software and it is quite well done. Sending for a simple password is not to much to ask. You offer something for free and there are people out there that act like they are doing you a favor by taking it. Fortunately, those people are few and far between.
--
CW
KC7NOD
Web Page http://www.kc7nod.20m.com

"MadDogMcQ" <tom.mcq@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:a8kqk4roc1@helle.btinternet.com...
> It takes a lot to get me wound up, but today I received FIVE emails from
the
> same guy telling me how disappointed he was in my "free" software because
it
> required a password and to obtain that password he had to email me. He
made
> his feelings very clear by saying that I was lame and a lousy programmer.
>
> AND ALL BECAUSE HE DIDN'T LIKE THE IDEA OF HAVING TO ENTER A PASSWORD!!!
>
> I spent a long time getting this SWL Logging Database right and placed it
in
> the Public Domain for the benefit of all the SWL/HAM Community. To get an
> idea of how many people were downloading and using the software, I put a
> password on the zipfile and a comment asking people to email me for the
> password - just like registering free software, really. Not much to ask I
> thought.
>
> Well apparently, it was too much for this particular guy! He ranted and
> raved, so I replied to him and told him the password was "kissmyass"
without
> the quotation marks! What a tosser!! I find it hard to believe that there
> are people like this in the radio community. No doubt he's an arrogant,

> spoiled, petulent little boy who slams doors when he doesn't get his own
> way. His alias was "bridge2nowhr" FYI.
>
> Anyway, for anyone who is interested in a FREE SWL/HAM Logging Database
and
> would like to know more, please feel free to read thru the info at :
>
> http://www.tom.mcq.btinternet.co.uk/ar3000/mcqlog.htm
>
> But for God's sake, don't complain about having to email for the password
> :-)))
>
> Regards, Tom.
> *..<illegible> -:<illegible>:-
> <illegible> .<illegible>))
> ((<illegible> .<illegible> ..-:<illegible>:- * www.ar3000.info * -:<illegible>:-
> -:<illegible>:- ((<illegible>*
>
>
>
>

1536. Re: Wanted: Mobile mounting bracket for a Pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 20:00:56 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <Ynnr8.3634$%A.406377@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 49902

R/shack has a "universal" bracket set I saw just yesterday, looks like you could use it to mount several of ANYTHING! Their part number is #270-023, for $3.99 Online at:

http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=CTLG%5F002%5F001%5F019%5F005&product%5Fid=27%2D023 or, use this "shortlink': http://makeashorterlink.com/?Q113233A

They also have #270-011 for the same price, http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=CTLG%5F002%5F001%5F019%5F005&product%5Fid=27%2D011 - though the one in the catalog looks different from the website.

I'd go to a store and look at both... may be in the Auto/Audio Installation stuff area.
--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications History

"John" <john_breland@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:416331df.0204050613.5cba0d34@posting.google.com...
> Anyone got one of these? Radio Shack part # 20-0001 I think.
>
> Thanks

1537. Re: Any scanners that recieve the 700 MHz Band?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 20:11:26 UTC
From: Randy <bearcat@astound.net>
Message-ID: <3CAE04EE.7070604@astound.net>
Source record: 49903
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The AOR 8200IIB will.

Jim Hackett wrote:

> The Icom R-2 and the Pro-26 will...
> "24hoursupport.helpdesk" <24hoursupport.helpdesk@24hoursupport.helpdesk.com>
> wrote in message news:53spau01llrlsia7bg478midur5pcfaiem@4ax.com...
>
>>Are there any scanners that cover the 700 MHz Band?
>>
>>The 700 MHz Band consists of:
>>
>>1) The Upper 700 MHz Band which used to be UHF-TV Channels 60 - 69
>>2) The Lower 700 MHz Band which used to be UHF-TV Channels 52 - 59
>>
>>There will be 3 groups of licensees who will "win" frequencies in the 700
>>
> MHz band,
>
>>1) Guard Band Managers
>>2) Public Safety organizations
>>3) Commercial Moblie Radio Service licensees (regulated under Part 20 of
>>
> the FCC Rules)
>
>>The 700 MHz frequencies will be awarded to the highest bidder during the
>>
> Auction process:
>
>>PART 27--MISCELLANEOUS WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES
>>Subpart F--Competitive Bidding Procedures for the 746-764 MHz and 776-794
>>
> MHz Bands
>
>>Sec. 27.501 746-764 MHz and 776-794 MHz bands subject to competitive
>>
> bidding.
>
>>a) Mutually exclusive initial applications for licenses in the 746 - 764
>>
> MHz and 776 - 794 MHz bands
>
>>are subject to competitive bidding procedures.
>>

>>
>>
> http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/get-cfr.cgi?TITLE=47&PART=27&SECTION
> =501&YEAR=2001&TYPE=TEXT
>
>>
>>Auction 33: Upper 700 MHz Guard Bands (9/6/2000 - 9/21/2000)
>>http://wireless.fcc.gov/auctions/33/index.html
>>
>>Auction 38: Upper 700 MHz Guard Bands (2/13/2001 - 2/21/2001)
>>http://wireless.fcc.gov/auctions/38/
>>
>>Auction 31: Upper 700 MHz Scheduled for June 19, 2002
>>http://wireless.fcc.gov/auctions/31/index.html
>>
>>Upper 700 MHz Band Plan 746 MHz- 794 MHz (.PDF File)
>>http://wireless.fcc.gov/auctions/data/bandplans/700band.pdf
>>
>>
>>Auction 44: Lower 700 MHz Scheduled for June 19, 2002
>>http://wireless.fcc.gov/auctions/44/
>>
>>Lower 700 MHz Band Plan 698 MHz - 746 MHz (.PDF File)
>>http://wireless.fcc.gov/auctions/data/bandplans/700lower.pdf
>>
>>
>>The Public Safety section of the 700 MHz Band will be allocated
>>to Part 90 Licensees, and will be the APCO 25 standard,
>>APCO 25 Encryption is permitted in all but the two
>>nationwide interoperability calling channels
>>
>>PART 90--PRIVATE LAND MOBILE RADIO SERVICES
>>Subpart R--Regulations Governing the Licensing and Use of
>>Frequencies in the 764-776 and 794-806 MHz Bands
>>Sec. 90.531 Band plan.
>>
>>This section sets forth the band plan for the 764-776 MHz and 794-806 MHz
>>
> public safety bands.
>
>>(a) Base and mobile use. The 764-776 MHz band may be used for base,
>>mobile or fixed (repeater) transmissions. The 794-806 MHz band may be
>>used only for mobile or fixed (control) transmissions. (Note UHF CH's 68
>>
> & 69)
>
>>(b) Narrowband segments. There are four band segments that are
>>designated for use with narrowband emissions. Each of these narrowband
>>segments is divided into 480 channels having a channel size of 6.25 kHz
>>as follows:

>>
>>---
>>Frequency range Channel Nos.
>>---
>>764-767 MHz..... 1-480
>>773-776 MHz..... 481-960
>>794-797 MHz..... 961-1440
>>803-806 MHz..... 1441-1920
>>
>>---
>>Frequency range Channel Nos.
>>---
>>767-773 MHz..... 1-120
>>797-803 MHz..... 121-240.
>>---
>>
>>
>>
> http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/get-cfr.cgi?TITLE=47&PART=90&SECTION
> =531&YEAR=2001&TYPE=TEXT
>
>>
>>Sec. 90.553 Encryption.
>>(a) Encryption is permitted on all but the two nationwide
>>Interoperability calling channels. Radios employing encryption must have
>>a readily accessible switch or other readily accessible control that
>>permits the radio user to disable encryption.
>>(b) If Encryption is employed then the following encryption protocol
>>must be used: TIA/EIA IS AAAA-A Project 25 DES.
>>(c) Copies of the standards listed in this Section that are
>>incorporated by reference can be purchased from TIA/EIA, 2500 Wilson
>>Boulevard, Arlington, VA, 22201, or Global Engineering Documents, 155
>>Inverness Way East, Englewood, CO 80112.
>>
>>
>>
> http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/get-cfr.cgi?TITLE=47&PART=90&SECTION
> =553&YEAR=2001&TYPE=TEXT
>
>>
>>The Guard Band Manager's license information is available in the FCC ULS
>>
> Database:
>
>>FCC General Menu Reports version 2.0
>>http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm
>>
>>Choose "state/radio service" pick your state and 700 MHz Bands [WX]
>>
>>

>
>

1538. Re: CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 20:17:07 UTC
From: MadDogMcQ <tom.mcq@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a8l0o3nvd1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49904
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yeah, I know what you mean about people criticising websites. I've had that too. One of my favourite places for a laugh is Harry's Homebrew FAQ Page. Harry designs radio-related electronic projects and shares them with the visitor's to his website. Apparently, some people think that Harry is there to design free projects on demand and get really upset when he refuses. There are some incredible and hilarious stories on there. Well worth a look......

http://pmp3.net/harry/info/fasq.htm
You'll laugh your head off :-)))

Tom www.ar3000.info

"CW" <clinton.magers@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:H1nr8.174346$ZR2.94160@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> I had someone email me one time criticizing my website. He said that it
was
> incomplete and I should have shown research data, test results, response
> curves, ect. I responded by explaining to him that is was intended for the
> mainstream radio enthusiast, not the engineering crowd and technical
details
> such as he was asking for were beyond the scope of the site. He wrote back
> saying that I was just to lazy to do it right and if I did not want to do
> it right, I should remove the website.
> You can't please everybody. You just have to keep in mind that for every
one
> of these types, there are a hundred that appreciate what you are doing. I
> have taken a look at your software and it is quite well done. Sending for
a
> simple password is not to much to ask. You offer something for free and
> there are people out there that act like they are doing you a favor by
> taking it. Fortunately, those people are few and far between.
> --
> CW
> KC7NOD
> Web Page http://www.kc7nod.20m.com
>
> "MadDogMcQ" <tom.mcq@btinternet.com> wrote in message
> news:a8kqk4roc1@helle.btinternet.com...
> > It takes a lot to get me wound up, but today I received FIVE emails from
> the
> > same guy telling me how disappointed he was in my "free" software
because

> it
> > required a password and to obtain that password he had to email me. He
> made
> > his feelings very clear by saying that I was lame and a lousy
programmer.
> >
> > AND ALL BECAUSE HE DIDN'T LIKE THE IDEA OF HAVING TO ENTER A PASSWORD!!!
> >
> > I spent a long time getting this SWL Logging Database right and placed
it
> in
> > the Public Domain for the benefit of all the SWL/HAM Community. To get
an
> > idea of how many people were downloading and using the software, I put a
> > password on the zipfile and a comment asking people to email me for the
> > password - just like registering free software, really. Not much to ask
I
> > thought.
> >
> > Well apparently, it was too much for this particular guy! He ranted and
> > raved, so I replied to him and told him the password was "kissmyass"
> without
> > the quotation marks! What a tosser!! I find it hard to believe that
there
> > are people like this in the radio community. No doubt he's an arrogant,
> > spoiled, petulent little boy who slams doors when he doesn't get his own
> > way. His alias was "bridge2nowhr" FYI.
> >
> > Anyway, for anyone who is interested in a FREE SWL/HAM Logging Database
> and
> > would like to know more, please feel free to read thru the info at :
> >
> > http://www.tom.mcq.btinternet.co.uk/ar3000/mcqlog.htm
> >
> > But for God's sake, don't complain about having to email for the
password
> > :-)))
> >
> > Regards, Tom.
> > *..<illegible>))) -:<illegible>:-
> > <illegible>.<illegible> .<illegible>))
> > ((<illegible>.<illegible> .<illegible> ..-:<illegible>:- * www.ar3000.info * -:<illegible>:-
> > -:<illegible>:- ((<illegible>.<illegible>*
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1539. Re: CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 20:31:42 UTC
From: Barry in Indy <barryleadindy@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <OQnr8.14023$k5.5118642@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49905
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

MadDogMcQ wrote:
> Yeah, I know what you mean about people criticising websites. I've
> had that too. One of my favourite places for a laugh is Harry's
> Homebrew FAQ Page. Harry designs radio-related electronic projects
> and shares them with the visitor's to his website. Apparently, some
> people think that Harry is there to design free projects on demand
> and get really upset when he refuses. There are some incredible and
> hilarious stories on there. Well worth a look......
>
> http://pmp3.net/harry/info/fasq.htm
> You'll laugh your head off :-)))
>

It works both ways. I once emailed the designer of a website, suggesting nicely that he use a spell checker. His reply simply told me to get my head out of my ass. I don't bother making what I think are helpful suggestions anymore.

--
barry in indy

Get the lead out to reply.

1540. Re: CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 20:35:10 UTC
From: CW <clinton.magers@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <2Unr8.174661$ZR2.94366@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 49906
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I've been to Harry's site and read those letters. There are some clueless
people around.
--
CW
KC7NOD
Web Page http://www.kc7nod.20m.com

"MadDogMcQ" <tom.mcq@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:a8l0o3nvd1@knossos.btinternet.com...
> Yeah, I know what you mean about people criticising websites. I've had
that
> too. One of my favourite places for a laugh is Harry's Homebrew FAQ Page.
> Harry designs radio-related electronic projects and shares them with the
> visitor's to his website. Apparently, some people think that Harry is
there
> to design free projects on demand and get really upset when he refuses.
> There are some incredible and hilarious stories on there. Well worth a
> look.....
>
> http://pmp3.net/harry/info/fasq.htm
> You'll laugh your head off :-)))
>
> Tom www.ar3000.info
>
>
> "CW" <clinton.magers@attbi.com> wrote in message
> news:H1nr8.174346$ZR2.94160@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> > I had someone email me one time criticizing my website. He said that it
> was
> > incomplete and I should have shown research data, test results, response
> > curves, ect. I responded by explaining to him that is was intended for
the
> > mainstream radio enthusiast, not the engineering crowd and technical
> details
> > such as he was asking for were beyond the scope of the site. He wrote
back
> > saying that I was just to lazy to do it right and if I did not want to
do
> > it right, I should remove the website.
> > You can't please everybody. You just have to keep in mind that for every
> one
> > of these types, there are a hundred that appreciate what you are doing.
I

> > have taken a look at your software and it is quite well done. Sending
for
> a
> > simple password is not to much to ask. You offer something for free and
> > there are people out there that act like they are doing you a favor by
> > taking it. Fortunately, those people are few and far between.
> > --
> > CW
> > KC7NOD
> > Web Page http://www.kc7nod.20m.com
> >
> > "MadDogMcQ" <tom.mcq@btinternet.com> wrote in message
> > news:a8kqk4roc1@helle.btinternet.com...
> > > It takes a lot to get me wound up, but today I received FIVE emails
from
> > the
> > > same guy telling me how disappointed he was in my "free" software
> because
> > it
> > > required a password and to obtain that password he had to email me. He
> > made
> > > his feelings very clear by saying that I was lame and a lousy
> programmer.
> > >
> > > AND ALL BECAUSE HE DIDN'T LIKE THE IDEA OF HAVING TO ENTER A
PASSWORD!!!
> > >
> > > I spent a long time getting this SWL Logging Database right and placed
> it
> > in
> > > the Public Domain for the benefit of all the SWL/HAM Community. To get
> an
> > > idea of how many people were downloading and using the software, I put
a
> > > password on the zipfile and a comment asking people to email me for
the
> > > password - just like registering free software, really. Not much to
ask
> I
> > > thought.
> > >
> > > Well apparently, it was too much for this particular guy! He ranted
and
> > > raved, so I replied to him and told him the password was "kissmyass"
> > without
> > > the quotation marks! What a tosser!! I find it hard to believe that
> there
> > > are people like this in the radio community. No doubt he's an
arrogant,

> > > spoiled, petulent little boy who slams doors when he doesn't get his
own
> > > way. His alias was "bridge2nowhr" FYI.
> > >
> > > Anyway, for anyone who is interested in a FREE SWL/HAM Logging
Database
> > and
> > > would like to know more, please feel free to read thru the info at :
> > >
> > > http://www.tom.mcq.btinternet.co.uk/ar3000/mcqlog.htm
> > >
> > > But for God's sake, don't complain about having to email for the
> password
> > > :-)))
> > >
> > > Regards, Tom.
> > > *..<illegible> -:<illegible>:-
> > > <illegible>.<illegible> .<illegible>))
> > > ((<illegible>.<illegible> .<illegible> ..-:<illegible>:- * www.ar3000.info * -:<illegible>:-
> > > -:<illegible>:- ((<illegible>.<illegible>*
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1541. Yes I Can Believe It *!*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 21:13:26 UTC
From: Danny Brown <Irish_Shergar@btopenworld.com>
Message-ID: <a8l41m$le5$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49907
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi Tom...
Just want to say that I appreciate all the great work you have done. This guy is the same as those type that are always posting messages asking for keys for perfectly good software that someone has worked their b*lls off to produce (not saying you haven't because the hard work you've put in is there for all to see).

I therefore wouldn't take it personally... there is always an a**hole no matter where your interests lie. I hope that you will continue to develop other projects that you may have in the pipeline and you don't let this guy get to you.

--
Regards
Danny

Coordinates: 55.0000° N, 7.3330° W

1542. Re: **Question -- is this OK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 21:14:38 UTC
From: HockeyTownUSA <fighterpilot@usa.com>
Message-ID: <2tor8.16575$w7.1217728@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 49908
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.sys.palmtops,alt.comp.sys.palmtop.pilot,comp.sys.hp48,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I prefer to use my palm to oral sex <ggg>

"Xaing Dia" <cds@viacom.com> wrote in message
news:3nDp8.10853$9d3.284@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> Oral sex is the term used for all sexual activities involving the use of
the
> mouth, tongue, etc., to stimulate the sex organs. Done on the genitals of
a man
> (especially the penis), it is technically called fellatio. (The street
term for
> an act of fellatio is blow job.) Done on the genitals of a woman (the
vulva and
> especially the clitoris), it is technically called cunnilingus. Most
people
> usually use euphemisms or slang terms when talking about oral sex.
>
> Oral sex has been taboo to a greater or lesser extent in many Western
countries
> since the Middle Ages. Some activities are actually illegal in some
> jurisdictions.
>
> Oral sex is considered a superb method of contraception (birth control),
since
> pregnancy is impossible unless semen (sperm) comes into contact with the
vagina
> or vulva. Many heterosexual people often use oral sex for this reason.
>
> Many people find oral sex very pleasurable. In particular, receiving oral
sex
> is for many women one of the better ways or reaching orgasm. Thus oral sex
is
> used for pleasure even when avoiding pregnancy is not a concern.
>
> Oral sex can be enjoyed in a wide variety of positions. Normally one
partner
> performs while the other receives, but it can be performed by both
parteners at
> the same time in a "sixty-nine" position.
>
>
>

1543. Re: Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 21:20:43 UTC
From: Alexander McNaughton <treehug3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <iyor8.14998$3z6.3088923@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 49909
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi I was wondering what the part number is for this antenna, I'm interested in buying it. Thanks.

Senior Me <MrMr@MeAndYou.com> wrote in message news:CKlr8.1560$Oo1.465@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
>
> Using your cable doesn't work very well, I've tried it. My suggestion, and
> this is what I'm using for my apt. is the radio shack, cellular lookalike
> scanner antenna from Radio Shack. Very cheap, nice coverage, and very easy
> to install on the side of your window. Besides what's wrong with putting a
> magnet mount antenna outside the window.
>
>
>
> "MinisterNeo" <scrod12@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:B8D34DFB.1030%scrod12@adelphia.net...
> > This Might be one of the dumbest Ideas I've come up with, but I'm a
> newbie,
> > and come up with crazy Ideas, it's my job. I'm in a situation where I
> can't
> > mount an outdoor antenna therefore I'm stuck with very limited range for
> > scanning. I was thinking if it would be possible to pickup a BNC
> connector
> > from radioshack and hook a split off my cable line, hence giving me TONS
> of
> > antenna. How well are cable lines sheilded against scanner feqs. If this
> > isn't a viable option, what other ideas do you guys have for improving
> > reception without mounting an outdoor antenna.
> >
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
>
>

1544. Re: Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 21:23:54 UTC
From: Senior Me <MrMr@MeAndYou.com>
Message-ID: <KBor8.5663$cN1.5017@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 49910
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Cat.#: 20-011 in Radio Shack @ http://makeashorterlink.com/?U285113A

"Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:iyor8.14998$3z6.3088923@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Hi I was wondering what the part number is for this antenna, I'm
interested
> in buying it. Thanks.
>
> Senior Me <MrMr@MeAndYou.com> wrote in message
> news:CKlr8.1560$Oo1.465@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> >
> > Using your cable doesn't work very well, I've tried it. My suggestion,
> and
> > this is what I'm using for my apt. is the radio shack, cellular
lookalike
> > scanner antenna from Radio Shack. Very cheap, nice coverage, and very
> easy
> > to install on the side of your window. Besides what's wrong with
putting
> a
> > magnet mount antenna outside the window.
> >
> >
> >
> > "MinisterNeo" <scrod12@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> > news:B8D34DFB.1030%scrod12@adelphia.net...
> > > This Might be one of the dumbest Ideas I've come up with, but I'm a
> > newbie,
> > > and come up with crazy Ideas, it's my job. I'm in a situation where I
> > can't
> > > mount an outdoor antenna therefore I'm stuck with very limited range
for
> > > scanning. I was thinking if it would be possible to pickup a BNC
> > connector
> > > from radioshack and hook a split off my cable line, hence giving me
TONS
> > of
> > > antenna. How well are cable lines sheilded against scanner feqs. If
> this
> > > isn't a viable option, what other ideas do you guys have for improving
> > > reception without mounting an outdoor antenna.
> > >
> >

> >
> > ---
> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
> >
> >
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002

1545. Re: Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 21:38:44 UTC
From: Alexander McNaughton <treehug3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aPor8.15077$3z6.3096289@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 49911
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Thanks, I appreciate it. You use this for your apartment? Because that's what I'm interested in, not for my car.

> Cat.#: 20-011 in Radio Shack @ http://makeashorterlink.com/?U285113A
>
>
>
> "Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:iyor8.14998$3z6.3088923@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > Hi I was wondering what the part number is for this antenna, I'm
> interested
> > in buying it. Thanks.
> >
> > Senior Me <MrMr@MeAndYou.com> wrote in message
> > news:CKlr8.1560$Oo1.465@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> > >
> > > Using your cable doesn't work very well, I've tried it. My
suggestion,
> > and
> > > this is what I'm using for my apt. is the radio shack, cellular
> lookalike
> > > scanner antenna from Radio Shack. Very cheap, nice coverage, and very
> > easy
> > > to install on the side of your window. Besides what's wrong with
> putting
> > a
> > > magnet mount antenna outside the window.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > "MinisterNeo" <scrod12@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> > > news:B8D34DFB.1030%scrod12@adelphia.net...
> > > > This Might be one of the dumbest Ideas I've come up with, but I'm a
> > > newbie,
> > > > and come up with crazy Ideas, it's my job. I'm in a situation where
I
> > > can't
> > > > mount an outdoor antenna therefore I'm stuck with very limited range
> for
> > > > scanning. I was thinking if it would be possible to pickup a BNC
> > > connector
> > > > from radioshack and hook a split off my cable line, hence giving me
> TONS

> > > of
> > > > antenna. How well are cable lines sheilded against scanner feqs. If
> > this
> > > > > isn't a viable option, what other ideas do you guys have for
improving
> > > > > reception without mounting an outdoor antenna.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > ---
> > > > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > > > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > > > Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
>
>

1546. Re: Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 21:45:39 UTC
From: Senior Me <MrMr@MeAndYou.com>
Message-ID: <7Wor8.4452$Oo1.1956@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 49912
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Yup, actually, I had it on the window at first then took it off, and am now left with no tape on it, so I jimmy rigged it and it's all together now, and working excellent.

"Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:aPor8.15077$3z6.3096289@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Thanks, I appreciate it. You use this for your apartment? Because that's
> what I'm interested in, not for my car.
>
> > Cat.#: 20-011 in Radio Shack @ http://makeashorterlink.com/?U285113A
> >
> >
> >
> > "Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:iyor8.14998$3z6.3088923@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > Hi I was wondering what the part number is for this antenna, I'm
> > interested
> > > in buying it. Thanks.
> > >
> > > Senior Me <MrMr@MeAndYou.com> wrote in message
> > > news:CKlr8.1560$Oo1.465@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> > > >
> > > > Using your cable doesn't work very well, I've tried it. My
> suggestion,
> > > and
> > > > this is what I'm using for my apt. is the radio shack, cellular
> > lookalike
> > > > scanner antenna from Radio Shack. Very cheap, nice coverage, and
very
> > > easy
> > > > to install on the side of your window. Besides what's wrong with
> > putting
> > > a
> > > > magnet mount antenna outside the window.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "MinisterNeo" <scrod12@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:B8D34DFB.1030%scrod12@adelphia.net...
> > > > > This Might be one of the dumbest Ideas I've come up with, but I'm
a
> > > newbie,
> > > > > and come up with crazy Ideas, it's my job. I'm in a situation

where
> I
> > > > can't
> > > > > mount an outdoor antenna therefore I'm stuck with very limited
range
> > for
> > > > > scanning. I was thinking if it would be possible to pickup a BNC
> > > > connector
> > > > > from radioshack and hook a split off my cable line, hence giving
me
> > TONS
> > > > of
> > > > > antenna. How well are cable lines sheilded against scanner feqs.
If
> > > this
> > > > > isn't a viable option, what other ideas do you guys have for
> improving
> > > > > reception without mounting an outdoor antenna.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > ---
> > > > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > > > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > > > Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> > ---
> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
> >
> >
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002

1547. Re: Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 22:06:05 UTC
From: Alexander McNaughton <treehug3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Qcpr8.15205$3z6.3107185@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 49913
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Neat, where have you mounted it now?

Senior Me <MrMr@MeAndYou.com> wrote in message
news:7Wor8.4452$Oo1.1956@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
>
> Yup, actually, I had it on the window at first then took it off, and am
now
> left with no tape on it, so I jimmy rigged it and it's all together now,
and
> working excellent.
>
> "Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:aPor8.15077$3z6.3096289@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > Thanks, I appreciate it. You use this for your apartment? Because that's
> > what I'm interested in, not for my car.
> >
> > > Cat.#: 20-011 in Radio Shack @ http://makeashorterlink.com/?U285113A
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > "Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:iyor8.14998$3z6.3088923@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > > Hi I was wondering what the part number is for this antenna, I'm
> > > interested
> > > > in buying it. Thanks.
> > > >
> > > > Senior Me <MrMr@MeAndYou.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:CKlr8.1560$Oo1.465@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > Using your cable doesn't work very well, I've tried it. My
> > suggestion,
> > > > and
> > > > > this is what I'm using for my apt. is the radio shack, cellular
> > > lookalike
> > > > > scanner antenna from Radio Shack. Very cheap, nice coverage, and
> very
> > > > easy
> > > > > to install on the side of your window. Besides what's wrong with
> > > putting
> > > > a
> > > > > magnet mount antenna outside the window.
> > > > >
> > > > >

> > > > >
> > > > > "MinisterNeo" <scrod12@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:B8D34DFB.1030%scrod12@adelphia.net...
> > > > > > This Might be one of the dumbest Ideas I've come up with, but
I'm
> a
> > > > > newbie,
> > > > > > and come up with crazy Ideas, it's my job. I'm in a situation
> where
> > I
> > > > > can't
> > > > > > mount an outdoor antenna therefore I'm stuck with very limited
> range
> > > for
> > > > > > scanning. I was thinking if it would be possible to pickup a
BNC
> > > > > connector
> > > > > > from radioshack and hook a split off my cable line, hence giving
> me
> > > TONS
> > > > > of
> > > > > > antenna. How well are cable lines sheilded against scanner
feqs.
> If
> > > > this
> > > > > > isn't a viable option, what other ideas do you guys have for
> > improving
> > > > > > reception without mounting an outdoor antenna.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > ---
> > > > > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > > > > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > > > > Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > ---
> > > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > > Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>

>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
>
>

1548. Re: Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 22:14:28 UTC
From: Senior Me <MrMr@MeAndYou.com>
Message-ID: <8lpr8.4576$Oo1.4508@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 49914
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I taped the base of it together with the antenna part. now it's just sittin
on the window cill.

"Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Qcpr8.15205$3z6.3107185@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Neat, where have you mounted it now?
>
> Senior Me <MrMr@MeAndYou.com> wrote in message
> news:7Wor8.4452$Oo1.1956@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> >
> > Yup, actually, I had it on the window at first then took it off, and am
> now
> > left with no tape on it, so I jimmy rigged it and it's all together now,
> and
> > working excellent.
> >
> > "Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:aPor8.15077$3z6.3096289@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > Thanks, I appreciate it. You use this for your apartment? Because
that's
> > > what I'm interested in, not for my car.
> > >
> > > > Cat.#: 20-011 in Radio Shack @ http://makeashorterlink.com/?U285113A
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:iyor8.14998$3z6.3088923@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > > > Hi I was wondering what the part number is for this antenna, I'm
> > > > interested
> > > > > in buying it. Thanks.
> > > > >
> > > > > Senior Me <MrMr@MeAndYou.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:CKlr8.1560$Oo1.465@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Using your cable doesn't work very well, I've tried it. My
> > > suggestion,
> > > > > and
> > > > > > this is what I'm using for my apt. is the radio shack, cellular
> > > > lookalike
> > > > > > scanner antenna from Radio Shack. Very cheap, nice coverage,
and
> > very

> > > > > easy
> > > > > > to install on the side of your window. Besides what's wrong
with
> > > > putting
> > > > > a
> > > > > > magnet mount antenna outside the window.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > "MinisterNeo" <scrod12@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:B8D34DFB.1030%scrod12@adelphia.net...
> > > > > > > This Might be one of the dumbest Ideas I've come up with, but
> I'm
> > a
> > > > > > newbie,
> > > > > > > and come up with crazy Ideas, it's my job. I'm in a situation
> > where
> > > > I
> > > > > > can't
> > > > > > > mount an outdoor antenna therefore I'm stuck with very limited
> > range
> > > > for
> > > > > > > scanning. I was thinking if it would be possible to pickup a
> BNC
> > > > > > connector
> > > > > > > from radioshack and hook a split off my cable line, hence
giving
> > me
> > > > TONS
> > > > > > of
> > > > > > > antenna. How well are cable lines sheilded against scanner
> feqs.
> > If
> > > > > > this
> > > > > > > isn't a viable option, what other ideas do you guys have for
> > > improving
> > > > > > > reception without mounting an outdoor antenna.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > ---
> > > > > > > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > > > > > > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > > > > > > Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >

> > > > ---
> > > > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > > > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > > > Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> > ---
> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
> >
> >
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002

1549. Re: Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 22:28:05 UTC
From: MinisterNeo <scrod12@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <B8D38F24.1078%scrod12@adelphia.net>
Source record: 49915
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

My windows are 2 Pane with air inbetween.. Is that going to work with this antenna?

On 4/5/02 5:14 PM, in article 8lpr8.4576$Oo1.4508@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com, "Senior Me" <MrMr@MeAndYou.com> wrote:

> I taped the base of it together with the antenna part. now it's just sittin
> on the window cill.
>
>
>
> "Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:Qcpr8.15205$3z6.3107185@news20.bellglobal.com...
>> Neat, where have you mounted it now?
>>
>> Senior Me <MrMr@MeAndYou.com> wrote in message
>> news:7Wor8.4452$Oo1.1956@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
>>>
>>> Yup, actually, I had it on the window at first then took it off, and am
>> now
>>> left with no tape on it, so I jimmy rigged it and it's all together now,
>> and
>>> working excellent.
>>>
>>> "Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:aPor8.15077$3z6.3096289@news20.bellglobal.com...
>>>> Thanks, I appreciate it. You use this for your apartment? Because
> that's
>>>> what I'm interested in, not for my car.
>>>>
>>>>> Cat.#: 20-011 in Radio Shack @ http://makeashorterlink.com/?U285113A
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:iyor8.14998$3z6.3088923@news20.bellglobal.com...
>>>>>> Hi I was wondering what the part number is for this antenna, I'm
>>>>> interested
>>>>>> in buying it. Thanks.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Senior Me <MrMr@MeAndYou.com> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:CKlr8.1560$Oo1.465@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
>>>>>>>

>>>>>>> Using your cable doesn't work very well, I've tried it. My
>>>> suggestion,
>>>>>> and
>>>>>>> this is what I'm using for my apt. is the radio shack, cellular
>>>>> lookalike
>>>>>>> scanner antenna from Radio Shack. Very cheap, nice coverage,
> and
>>> very
>>>>>> easy
>>>>>>> to install on the side of your window. Besides what's wrong
> with
>>>>> putting
>>>>>> a
>>>>>>> magnet mount antenna outside the window.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "MinisterNeo" <scrod12@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>> news:B8D34DFB.1030%scrod12@adelphia.net...
>>>>>>>> This Might be one of the dumbest Ideas I've come up with, but
>> I'm
>>> a
>>>>>>> newbie,
>>>>>>>> and come up with crazy Ideas, it's my job. I'm in a situation
>>> where
>>>> I
>>>>>>> can't
>>>>>>>> mount an outdoor antenna therefore I'm stuck with very limited
>>> range
>>>>> for
>>>>>>>> scanning. I was thinking if it would be possible to pickup a
>> BNC
>>>>>>> connector
>>>>>>>> from radioshack and hook a split off my cable line, hence
> giving
>>> me
>>>>> TONS
>>>>>>> of
>>>>>>>> antenna. How well are cable lines sheilded against scanner
>> feqs.
>>> If
>>>>>> this
>>>>>>>> isn't a viable option, what other ideas do you guys have for
>>>> improving
>>>>>>>> reception without mounting an outdoor antenna.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> ---
>>>>>>> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.

>>>>>>> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
>>>>>>> Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> ---
>>>>> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
>>>>> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
>>>>> Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> ---
>>> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
>>> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
>>> Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
>
>

1550. Re: Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 22:36:18 UTC
From: Senior Me <MrMr@MeAndYou.com>
Message-ID: <CFpr8.4693$Oo1.746@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 49916
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

hmmmmm, unless you want to leave one window slightly open about an inch or
two.

"MinisterNeo" <scrod12@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:B8D38F24.1078%scrod12@adelphia.net...
> My windows are 2 Pane with air inbetween.. Is that going to work with this
> antenna?
>
> On 4/5/02 5:14 PM, in article
> 8lpr8.4576$Oo1.4508@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com, "Senior Me"
> <MrMr@MeAndYou.com> wrote:
>
> > I taped the base of it together with the antenna part. now it's just
sittin
> > on the window cill.
> >
> >
> >
> > "Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:Qcpr8.15205$3z6.3107185@news20.bellglobal.com...
> >> Neat, where have you mounted it now?
> >>
> >> Senior Me <MrMr@MeAndYou.com> wrote in message
> >> news:7Wor8.4452$Oo1.1956@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> >>>
> >>> Yup, actually, I had it on the window at first then took it off, and
am
> >> now
> >>> left with no tape on it, so I jimmy rigged it and it's all together
now,
> >> and
> >>> working excellent.
> >>>
> >>> "Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >>> news:aPor8.15077$3z6.3096289@news20.bellglobal.com...
> >>>> Thanks, I appreciate it. You use this for your apartment? Because
> > that's
> >>>> what I'm interested in, not for my car.
> >>>>
> >>>> Cat.#: 20-011 in Radio Shack @ http://makeashorterlink.com/?U285113A
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>

> >>>>> "Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >>>>> news:iyor8.14998$3z6.3088923@news20.bellglobal.com...
> >>>>>> Hi I was wondering what the part number is for this antenna, I'm
> >>>>> interested
> >>>>>> in buying it. Thanks.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Senior Me <MrMr@MeAndYou.com> wrote in message
> >>>>>> news:CKlr8.1560$Oo1.465@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Using your cable doesn't work very well, I've tried it. My
> >>>> suggestion,
> >>>>>> and
> >>>>>>> this is what I'm using for my apt. is the radio shack, cellular
> >>>>> lookalike
> >>>>>>> scanner antenna from Radio Shack. Very cheap, nice coverage,
> > and
> >>> very
> >>>>>> easy
> >>>>>>> to install on the side of your window. Besides what's wrong
> > with
> >>>>> putting
> >>>>>> a
> >>>>>>> magnet mount antenna outside the window.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> "MinisterNeo" <scrod12@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> >>>>>>> news:B8D34DFB.1030%scrod12@adelphia.net...
> >>>>>>>> This Might be one of the dumbest Ideas I've come up with, but
> >> I'm
> >>> a
> >>>>>>> newbie,
> >>>>>>>> and come up with crazy Ideas, it's my job. I'm in a situation
> >>> where
> >>>> I
> >>>>>>> can't
> >>>>>>>> mount an outdoor antenna therefore I'm stuck with very limited
> >>> range
> >>>>>> for
> >>>>>>>> scanning. I was thinking if it would be possible to pickup a
> >> BNC
> >>>>>>> connector
> >>>>>>>> from radioshack and hook a split off my cable line, hence
> > giving
> >>> me
> >>>>>> TONS
> >>>>>>> of
> >>>>>>>> antenna. How well are cable lines sheilded against scanner
> >> feqs.
> >>> If

> >>>>>> this
> >>>>>>>> isn't a viable option, what other ideas do you guys have for
> >>>> improving
> >>>>>>>> reception without mounting an outdoor antenna.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> ---
> >>>>>>> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> >>>>>>> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> >>>>>>> Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>> ---
> >>>>> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> >>>>> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> >>>>> Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> ---
> >>> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> >>> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> >>> Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> > ---
> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
> >
> >
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002

1551. Re: Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 22:45:26 UTC
From: MinisterNeo <scrod12@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <B8D39335.107E%scrod12@adelphia.net>
Source record: 49917
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Can't its 1 unit Window-->|air/gas|<--widow

On 4/5/02 5:36 PM, in article
CFpr8.4693$Oo1.746@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com, "Senior Me"
<MrMr@MeAndYou.com> wrote:

> hmmmmm, unless you want to leave one window slightly open about an inch or
> two.
>
>
> "MinisterNeo" <scrod12@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:B8D38F24.1078%scrod12@adelphia.net...
>> My windows are 2 Pane with air inbetween.. Is that going to work with this
>> antenna?
>>
>> On 4/5/02 5:14 PM, in article
>> 8lpr8.4576$Oo1.4508@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com, "Senior Me"
>> <MrMr@MeAndYou.com> wrote:
>>
>>> I taped the base of it together with the antenna part. now it's just
> sittin
>>> on the window cill.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> "Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:Qcpr8.15205$3z6.3107185@news20.bellglobal.com...
>>>> Neat, where have you mounted it now?
>>>>
>>>> Senior Me <MrMr@MeAndYou.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:7Wor8.4452$Oo1.1956@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
>>>>>
>>>>> Yup, actually, I had it on the window at first then took it off, and
> am
>>>> now
>>>>> left with no tape on it, so I jimmy rigged it and it's all together
> now,
>>>> and
>>>>> working excellent.
>>>>>
>>>>> "Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:aPor8.15077$3z6.3096289@news20.bellglobal.com...
>>>>>> Thanks, I appreciate it. You use this for your apartment? Because
>>> that's

>>>>>> what I'm interested in, not for my car.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Cat.#: 20-011 in Radio Shack @ http://makeashorterlink.com/?U285113A
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> "Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>> news:iyor8.14998$3z6.3088923@news20.bellglobal.com...
>>>>>>>> Hi I was wondering what the part number is for this antenna, I'm
>>>>>>> interested
>>>>>>>> in buying it. Thanks.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Senior Me <MrMr@MeAndYou.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>> news:CKlr8.1560$Oo1.465@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Using your cable doesn't work very well, I've tried it. My
>>>>>> suggestion,
>>>>>>>> and
>>>>>>>>> this is what I'm using for my apt. is the radio shack, cellular
>>>>>>> lookalike
>>>>>>>>> scanner antenna from Radio Shack. Very cheap, nice coverage,
>>> and
>>>>> very
>>>>>>>> easy
>>>>>>>>> to install on the side of your window. Besides what's wrong
>>> with
>>>>>>> putting
>>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>> magnet mount antenna outside the window.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> "MinisterNeo" <scrod12@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>>>> news:B8D34DFB.1030%scrod12@adelphia.net...
>>>>>>>>>> This Might be one of the dumbest Ideas I've come up with, but
>>>> I'm
>>>>>>> a
>>>>>>>>> newbie,
>>>>>>>>>> and come up with crazy Ideas, it's my job. I'm in a situation
>>>>> where
>>>>>>>> I
>>>>>>>>> can't
>>>>>>>>>> mount an outdoor antenna therefore I'm stuck with very limited
>>>>> range
>>>>>>>>> for
>>>>>>>>>> scanning. I was thinking if it would be possible to pickup a
>>>> BNC
>>>>>>>>> connector
>>>>>>>>>> from radioshack and hook a split off my cable line, hence
>>> giving

>>>>> me
>>>>>>> TONS
>>>>>>>>> of
>>>>>>>>>> antenna. How well are cable lines sheilded against scanner
>>>> feqs.
>>>>> If
>>>>>>>> this
>>>>>>>>>> isn't a viable option, what other ideas do you guys have for
>>>>>> improving
>>>>>>>>>> reception without mounting an outdoor antenna.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> ---
>>>>>>>>> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
>>>>>>>>> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
>>>>>>>>> Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> ---
>>>>>>> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
>>>>>>> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
>>>>>>> Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> ---
>>>>> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
>>>>> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
>>>>> Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> ---
>>> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
>>> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
>>> Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
>>>
>>>
>>

>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
>
>
Can't its 1 unit Window-->|air/gas|<--widow

1552. FA: YUPITERU MVT-9000EUMKII Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 22:48:03 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <DQpr8.14108$k5.5124495@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49918

For Auction:

Yupiteru MVT-9000EUMKII Wide-Band Scanner
Full Coverage
De-Scrambling Feature
Leather Case
Rich Well's Published MVT-9000 Owner's Guide!

All like new!

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

1553. Re: YUPITERU MVT-9000EUMKII Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 22:56:39 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <HYpr8.14110$k5.5125027@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49919

Oops...suffering from CRS Syndrome, (Can't Remember Shit!). Here's the
link:
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1344180782

Sorry.

Bill

"Bill Crocker" <billcrocker@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:DQpr8.14108$k5.5124495@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> For Auction:
>
> Yupiteru MVT-9000EUMKII Wide-Band Scanner
> Full Coverage
> De-Scrambling Feature
> Leather Case
> Rich Well's Published MVT-9000 Owner's Guide!
>
> All like new!
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>

1554. FS: Icom R10 wideband receiver no gaps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 23:31:54 UTC
From: Michael White <m.white66@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <Ktqr8.4244$7F1.3896@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 49920
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

For Sale: ICOM IC-R10 wideband receiver. This version
covers .5 - 1300 MHz with no gaps. In excellent condition, works great.
Includes antenna, wrist strap, manual on disk, PC interface cable and
Windows software for managing memories. Pictures at:

http://members.verizon.net/~vze27dkg/R10a.jpg
http://members.verizon.net/~vze27dkg/R10b.jpg

$295 delivered in the US. Email if interested. Thanks...

Mike, N4PDY

1555. Re: Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 23:34:55 UTC
From: Senior Me <MrMr@MeAndYou.com>
Message-ID: <zwqr8.5884$cN1.4421@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 49921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

well, then stick it together, so it looks as if it has a base, stick it out the window, standing straight up of course, or if you must, with a bit of a slant on it away from the window, and and leave the window as wide as the coax cable is. That's pretty much the same set up as myself.

"MinisterNeo" <scrod12@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:B8D39335.107E%scrod12@adelphia.net...
> Can't its 1 unit Window-->|air/gas|<--widow
>
> On 4/5/02 5:36 PM, in article
> CFpr8.4693$Oo1.746@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com, "Senior Me"
> <MrMr@MeAndYou.com> wrote:
>
> > hmmmmm, unless you want to leave one window slightly open about an inch
or
> > two.
> >
> >
> > "MinisterNeo" <scrod12@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> > news:B8D38F24.1078%scrod12@adelphia.net...
> >> My windows are 2 Pane with air inbetween.. Is that going to work with
this
> >> antenna?
> >>
> >> On 4/5/02 5:14 PM, in article
> >> 8lpr8.4576$Oo1.4508@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com, "Senior Me"
> >> <MrMr@MeAndYou.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>> I taped the base of it together with the antenna part. now it's just
> > sittin
> >>> on the window cill.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> "Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >>> news:Qcpr8.15205$3z6.3107185@news20.bellglobal.com...
> >>>> Neat, where have you mounted it now?
> >>>>
> >>>> Senior Me <MrMr@MeAndYou.com> wrote in message
> >>>> news:7Wor8.4452$Oo1.1956@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Yup, actually, I had it on the window at first then took it off, and
> > am

> >>>> now
> >>>>> left with no tape on it, so I jimmy rigged it and it's all together
> > now,
> >>>> and
> >>>>> working excellent.
> >>>>>
> >>>>> "Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >>>>> news:aPor8.15077$3z6.3096289@news20.bellglobal.com...
> >>>>>> Thanks, I appreciate it. You use this for your apartment? Because
> >>> that's
> >>>>>> what I'm interested in, not for my car.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Cat.#: 20-011 in Radio Shack @
http://makeashorterlink.com/?U285113A
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> "Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >>>>>> news:iyor8.14998$3z6.3088923@news20.bellglobal.com...
> >>>>>>> Hi I was wondering what the part number is for this antenna, I'm
> >>>>>> interested
> >>>>>>> in buying it. Thanks.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> Senior Me <MrMr@MeAndYou.com> wrote in message
> >>>>>>> news:CKlr8.1560$Oo1.465@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> Using your cable doesn't work very well, I've tried it. My
> >>>>>> suggestion,
> >>>>>>> and
> >>>>>>>> this is what I'm using for my apt. is the radio shack, cellular
> >>>>>> lookalike
> >>>>>>>> scanner antenna from Radio Shack. Very cheap, nice coverage,
> >>> and
> >>>>> very
> >>>>>>> easy
> >>>>>>>> to install on the side of your window. Besides what's wrong
> >>> with
> >>>>>> putting
> >>>>>>> a
> >>>>>>>> magnet mount antenna outside the window.
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> "MinisterNeo" <scrod12@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> >>>>>>>> news:B8D34DFB.1030%scrod12@adelphia.net...
> >>>>>>>>> This Might be one of the dumbest Ideas I've come up with, but
> >>>> I'm
> >>>>> a
> >>>>>>>> newbie,
> >>>>>>>>> and come up with crazy Ideas, it's my job. I'm in a situation

> >>>>> where
> >>>>>> I
> >>>>>>>> can't
> >>>>>>>>>> mount an outdoor antenna therefore I'm stuck with very limited
> >>>>> range
> >>>>>>> for
> >>>>>>>>>> scanning. I was thinking if it would be possible to pickup a
> >>>> BNC
> >>>>>>>> connector
> >>>>>>>>>> from radioshack and hook a split off my cable line, hence
> >>> giving
> >>>>> me
> >>>>>>> TONS
> >>>>>>>> of
> >>>>>>>>>> antenna. How well are cable lines sheilded against scanner
> >>>> feqs.
> >>>>> If
> >>>>>>>> this
> >>>>>>>>>> isn't a viable option, what other ideas do you guys have for
> >>>>>> improving
> >>>>>>>>>> reception without mounting an outdoor antenna.
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>> ---
> >>>>>>>> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> >>>>>>>> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> >>>>>>>> Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> ---
> >>>>>> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> >>>>>> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> >>>>>> Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> ---
> >>>> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> >>>> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> >>>> Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
> >>>>
> >>>>

> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> ---
> >>> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> >>> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> >>> Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >
> >
> > ---
> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
> >
> >
> Can't its 1 unit Window-->|air/gas|<--widow
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002

1556. Re: ���La Raza Hunting White Children���

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-05 23:35:53 UTC
From: 9/11 Victim <victim@ZOG.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1717d4b4c288ae5f989726@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 49922
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

In article <m8vkaucl2olh68h2nd1tdcu1blq1p6f2gu@4ax.com>, Ann.Curry@nbc.com says...
: ---
:
: Portland
:
: Suspicious man attempts to lure young girl into car
:
: Police have released a composite of a suspicious man who allegedly
: attempted to lure a young girl into his car earlier this month.
:
: On several occasions earlier this March, a suspicious man parked across the
: street from a school bus stop at southwest Alexander and southwest Alicia
: Streets in Aloha tried to lure a 10 year-old girl into his car.
:
: He told the girl, "I know your Mom, it's O.K. to get in my car."
:
: The suspicious man is described as Hispanic, in his late 20's to early 30's
: with a mustache and bushy eyebrows. The girl also said he was wearing a
: white baseball cap.
:
: The vehicle he was driving is described as a red early to mid 90's Toyota
: with a narrow black stripe running along the mid-section of the car from
: front to back. Detectives say the narrow stripe is possibly rubber door
: trim.
:
: Similar reports of a suspicious Hispanic man sitting in a red car near
: schools or playgrounds have been reported in the last few weeks in North
: Plains and Hillsboro.
:
: http://www.katu.com/news/story.asp?ID=43583
:
:
: March 24, 2002
:
: New roadside memorial goes up for slain Tahoe girl
:
: CARSON CITY, Nev. (AP) - After repeated vandalism, a new roadside memorial
: has been installed for a young Lake Tahoe murder victim.
:
: With the help of a crane, a 1-ton metal cross went up Saturday near the
: spot where the body of 9-year-old Krystal Steadman was found two years ago.
: The cross features a heart with Krystal's initials. Toys also were left in

: her memory.
:
: Three previous memorials at the site along U.S. Highway 50 west of Carson
: City were desecrated.
:
: "This memorial is made of solid steel and concrete, and I'm confident it'll
: survive," said project organizer Gary James.
:
: "Krystal had such a short time to enjoy life, but had to endure such pain
: and misery ... To me, the memorial is not only for her but for all children
: that have suffered untimely deaths."
:
: James is a friend of Krystal's father, John Steadman of Gatlinburg, Tenn.
:
: Krystal was killed at Lake Tahoe in March 2000. The man charged with her
: murder, Thomas Soria Sr., committed suicide in the Douglas County jail in
: January 2001.
:
: The first memorial to the girl disappeared in October 2000. The second was
: vandalized a month later.
:
: Earlier this month, vandals damaged a cross made of wood and train rails
: with a chainsaw, and tossed toys into a nearby canyon.
:
: Stormy Stone, 9, of Carson City, was among about 30 people who watched as
: the new memorial was installed. She left some of her favorite toys.
:
: "I wanted to come to support the family," she said. "This memorial is
: special to us."
:
: Krystal, a fourth-grader from South Lake Tahoe, Calif., disappeared after
: Thomas Soria Jr. lured her to the apartment he shared with his father in
: Stateline, where her mother was visiting friends.
:
: The child's nude, battered body was found the following day along Highway
: 50 about 20 miles away. Authorities said she was raped and tortured before
: her throat was slashed.
:
: Soria Jr. is serving a no-parole life term for his role in the crime.
:
: http://www.lasvegassun.com/sunbin/stories/archives/2002/mar/24/032410381.html?%22slain%2BTahoe%2Bgirl%22

Another American killed by terrorists, this time a child by the La Raza terrorists who Bush gives amnesty to so they can continue to come here by the tens of thousands and then breed like cockroaches and cleanse Whites from the continent.

If you read "The Color of Crime" (http://www.amren.com) you will discover that the "Justice" Department in Washington D.C. classifies all Mexicans (mestizos) and Puerto Ricans (a lot of black blood) as "White". All of their sex offenses, all of their "Hate Crimes" are blamed on real White people.

The media casts all sex offenders (particularly child molesters) as White by broadcasting their pictures and publishing them repeatedly in their "news"papers, while hiding from public view the much larger number of spic mongrels and niggers who commit far more offenses of a deviant nature, most notably "Hate Crimes" in which White people are usually the *victim* of a nigger or spic mongrel offender.

Naturally niggers are never charged with committing a "hate Crime" against Whites and a "Hispanic" can't be charged with committing a "Hate Crime" against a White person because these mud colored animals are classified as White.

1557. Re: **Question -- is this OK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 00:07:21 UTC
From: Jean-Yves Avenard <jean-yves@avenard.org>
Message-ID: <MUqr8.30278$uR5.71407@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 49923
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.sys.palmtops,alt.comp.sys.palmtop.pilot,comp.sys.hp48,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi

"HockeyTownUSA" <fighterpilot@usa.com> wrote in message
news:2tor8.16575$w7.1217728@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> I prefer to use my palm to oral sex <ggg>
>

I'm afraid to think what your palm looks like. I hope for everybody's sake
you don't lend it to anyone

Jean-Yves

1558. Re: **Question -- is this OK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 00:08:57 UTC
From: The Ferret <nooneis@mycomputer.not>
Message-ID: <53fsaugplcfi7a1944d874mt9nc4tjthhl@4ax.com>
Source record: 49924
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.sys.palmtops,alt.comp.sys.palmtop.pilot,comp.sys.hp48,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Sure It's ok! But, what the hell does it have to do with this group?

On Sun, 31 Mar 2002 12:34:07 GMT, Xaing Dia <cds@viacom.com> wrote:

>Oral sex is the term used for all sexual activities involving the use of the
>mouth, tongue, etc., to stimulate the sex organs. Done on the genitals of a man
>(especially the penis), it is technically called fellatio. (The street term for
>an act of fellatio is blow job.) Done on the genitals of a woman (the vulva and
>especially the clitoris), it is technically called cunnilingus. Most people
>usually use euphemisms or slang terms when talking about oral sex.
>
>Oral sex has been taboo to a greater or lesser extent in many Western countries
>since the Middle Ages. Some activities are actually illegal in some
>jurisdictions.
>
>Oral sex is considered a superb method of contraception (birth control), since
>pregnancy is impossible unless semen (sperm) comes into contact with the vagina
>or vulva. Many heterosexual people often use oral sex for this reason.
>
>Many people find oral sex very pleasurable. In particular, receiving oral sex
>is for many women one of the better ways or reaching orgasm. Thus oral sex is
>used for pleasure even when avoiding pregnancy is not a concern.
>
>Oral sex can be enjoyed in a wide variety of positions. Normally one partner
>performs while the other receives, but it can be performed by both parteners at
>the same time in a "sixty-nine" position.
>

1559. Re: YUPITERU MVT-9000EUMKII Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 01:08:31 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <jUrr8.14127$k5.5131090@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49925

Ebay killed my auction. Will sell for $350.00

Bill

"Bill Crocker" <billcrocker@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:DQpr8.14108$k5.5124495@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> For Auction:
>
> Yupiteru MVT-9000EUMKII Wide-Band Scanner
> Full Coverage
> De-Scrambling Feature
> Leather Case
> Rich Well's Published MVT-9000 Owner's Guide!
>
> All like new!
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>

1560. Re: YUPITERU MVT-9000EUMKII Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 01:38:36 UTC
From: "Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <wksr8.13503$SG2.2652841@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49926

```
Cell blocked or not? Condition?
"Bill Crocker" <billcrocker@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:jUrr8.14127$k5.5131090@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> Ebay killed my auction. Will sell for $350.00
>
> Bill
>
> "Bill Crocker" <billcrocker@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> news:DQpr8.14108$k5.5124495@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > For Auction:
> >
> > Yupiteru MVT-9000EUMKII Wide-Band Scanner
> > Full Coverage
> > De-Scrambling Feature
> > Leather Case
> > Rich Well's Published MVT-9000 Owner's Guide!
> >
> > All like new!
> >
> > Thanks,
> > Bill Crocker
> >
> >
> >
>
>
```

1561. Re: Wanted: Mobile mounting bracket for a Pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 01:50:02 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020405205002.17964.00002048@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 49927

>Subject: Wanted: Mobile mounting bracket for a Pro-2006
>From: john_breland@yahoo.com (John)
>Date: 4/5/2002 8:13 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <416331df.0204050613.5cba0d34@posting.google.com>
>
>Anyone got one of these? Radio Shack part # 20-0001 I think.
>
>Thanks

I bet it's expensive. It's the best scanner bracket ever made, you know!

1562. Bose Wave Radio FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 02:09:11 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <bNsr8.13514$SG2.2657509@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49928
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

have a black Bose wave radio that I am looking to sell.It comes with credit card remote,book and box.This is just the am and fm model.No cd or cassett.It works and looks great.Beautiful sound.I don't use it so I am getting it out for sale.I will take $200.oo for it and something for shipping.Email me if interested.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002

1563. MC-50 Desk Mike FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 02:10:16 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <cOsr8.13515$SG2.2654841@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49929
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Have for sale asking $55.00 shipped in USA.Email me.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002

1564. BTX-126 UHF radios HTs FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 02:11:42 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <yPsr8.13516$SG2.2653594@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have five Motorola UHF single channel radios that work great.Two are going to need batteries as they are junk.These are great for construction trade or whatever.I will throw in a Radius SP-21 VHF ht that works great just to get rid of these.They are taking up space on my shelf.I will let the bunch go for $150.00 plus $10.00 shipping.Email me.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002

1565. Pro-2037 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 02:13:17 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <1Rsr8.13517$SG2.2653841@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49931
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

This is a nice little 200 channel base scanner.I am starting to weed out some of the toys I don't need anymore.I will sell this shipped in USA for $110.00.Email me or call 978-281-3359

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002

1566. Marine HT FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 02:14:23 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3Ssr8.13518$SG2.2655904@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49936
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a marine hand held,Apelco vHF 501 plus to sell.Its in the box with all that come with it.I used it last summer for a short time and put it back in the box.I will sell it shipped for $90.00 or what you got to swap.Email me

---
Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002

1567. Re: YUPITERU MVT-9000EUMKII Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 02:18:24 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3cae5bb1.16851505@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 49932

Why wuld they kill that?
Did you say unblocked or something?

On Sat, 06 Apr 2002 01:08:31 GMT, "Bill Crocker"
<billcrocker@ameritech.net> wrote:

>Ebay killed my auction. Will sell for $350.00
>
>Bill
>
>"Bill Crocker" <billcrocker@ameritech.net> wrote in message
>news:DQpr8.14108$k5.5124495@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
>> For Auction:
>>
>> Yupiteru MVT-9000EUMKII Wide-Band Scanner
>> Full Coverage
>> De-Scrambling Feature
>> Leather Case
>> Rich Well's Published MVT-9000 Owner's Guide!
>>
>> All like new!
>>
>> Thanks,
>> Bill Crocker
>>
>>
>>
>
>

1568. Marine HT FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 02:30:27 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <75tr8.13523$SG2.2660859@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49933
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a marine hand held,Apelco vHF 501 plus to sell.Its in the box with all that come with it.I used it last summer for a short time and put it back in the box.I will sell it shipped for $90.00 or what you got to swap.Email me

---
Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002

1569. Yaesu FT-470 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 02:30:57 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <B5tr8.13524$SG2.2660984@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49934
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Have for sale the FT-470 dual band ht with several batteries,book,chargers
and box.I am aking $220.00 shipped in USA.Email me
This has both bands at once if you wanted.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002

1570. GE SuperBase FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 02:31:00 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <E5tr8.13525$SG2.2660894@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49935
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Model 3-5875A
SSB radio in great shape.Has the two antenna inputs on back.
It comes with the hand mike and I have a Turner Expander 500 desk mike.I
just got to remember where I put the desk mike.The radio is in real good
shape and works great.I just don't use it so I want it out of my way as it
takes up to much desk space for me. I will give you a personal guarrentee on
it.Let me know what you
decide.Thanks Email me.
I am interested in a triband mobile with 6 meters on it also
Make me a reasonable offer on it as I want to move it to a good home.I have
had several people interested,but no solid deal on it yet.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002

1571. Re: CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 03:02:51 UTC
From: phil <just_rtfm@yahoo.REMOVETHIScom>
Message-ID: <uaspck37ujskbe@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49937
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

hi Tom!

> He made his feelings very clear by saying that I was lame and a lousy programmer.

don't worry about that, i have made many programs for others and trust me you cannot please everyone... i like your password of "kissmyass" LOL.

i know how i would have handled this guy: what you do is be very nice and polite and send him a password that he's not sure is an insult... one like 'uRAto553r'. then you send him a 'special' version of your software that has a 15 minute delay splash screen that reads 'who's the lousy programmer NOW bitch!' :D

you can also build this into your program generically by having all passwords starting with 'uRA' put up a similar splash screen (or worse ;)). i always make sure to put in some good easter eggs for all the nice folk too :p.

phil :)

1572. Re: GE SuperBase FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 03:10:35 UTC
From: JohnL <xyz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1718313299efdae6989687@news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 49938
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In article <E5tr8.13525$SG2.2660894@news1.news.adelphia.net>,
grburke@adelphia.net says...
> Model 3-5875A
> SSB radio in great shape.Has the two antenna inputs on back.
> It comes with the hand mike and I have a Turner Expander 500 desk mike.I
> just got to remember where I put the desk mike.The radio is in real good
> shape and works great.I just don't use it so I want it out of my way as it
> takes up to much desk space for me. I will give you a personal guarrentee on
> it.Let me know what you
> decide.Thanks Email me.
> I am interested in a triband mobile with 6 meters on it also
> Make me a reasonable offer on it as I want to move it to a good home.I have
> had several people interested,but no solid deal on it yet.
>
>

Had one of these "in the day". It was absolutely the finest rig I had
ever used, and I've used my share. The DSP worked like a charm - really
cleaned up the audio. Not too big either - smaller than the Cobra 2000.
Closer to the Uniden/President Washington in size. Closer to the 2000 or
a good Saturn in performance.

--
Note the return email address used in this post is not valid.

1573. Hand Held Scanner Recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 03:18:46 UTC
From: JohnL <xyz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1718332351fb3538989688@news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 49939

Hi folks,

I recently sold my PRO-51 and I'm getting rid of at least one of my PRO-2006's. I'd really like to get a good trunk tracking hand held. However, I haven't really kept up with technology in the scanning market. I've been thinking about getting something besides a RS model, but the only rigs that have caught my eye ARE RS models - the 92, 93, and 94 to be exact. I've checked over at Stong Signals, but wanted to get some more input about these.

Which is the most flexible relative to what it can receive? What is the difference between dual and multi trunking? Anyone in NJ have any of these rigs and know which work or don't work for listening to the NJ State Police? Any other recommendataions?

Thanks in advance!

--
Note the return email address used in this post is not valid.

1574. Re: For Trade: VHS collections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 03:20:23 UTC
From: GlockGuy <felloffthetruck@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <_Mtr8.38222$ku5.3290626@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49940

I got some old Smut magazines that I would like to trade for some Ham Gear,
Must be new in the Boxes ???
COOL !!!!!

"Ken Bessler" <kg0wx@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:b6jr8.18424$1a.5938297@twister.kc.rr.com...
> "C. Helms" <at_the_helms@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:621d1b6e.0204050653.1d708268@posting.google.com...
> > I have the following VHS tapes for barter. They are the
> > original unedited master prints from the Columbia House
> > libraries. I'd like to trade them for a good base CB
> > unit, handheld scanner, or transceiver of any kind.
> >
> > The playback qualities of these tapes are superb and
> > they're all in perfect condition. They each come with
> > hard shell cases and a retail price of $23.89 which you
> > can verify for yourself by calling Columbia House.
> >
> > I'm not expecting a dollar-for-dollar exchange and I'll
> > consider anything you've got, but please make certain
> > it's for something you'd be willing to trade for
> > yourself. I'll gladly ship the tapes 2nd-Day air to
> > your location, but not for junk or stolen property!
> >
> > If interested, write directly, and Thanks!
> >
> > Columbo episodes:
> > ----------
> > Etude In Black
> > An Exercise In Fatality
> > Mind Over Mayhem
> > Negative Reaction
> > Blueprint For Murder
> > By Dawn's Early Light
> > Dagger Of The Mind
> > A Friend In Deed
> > Prescription: Murder
> >
> > Star Trek episodes (2 on each tape):
> > ----------
> > Arena
> > The Alternative Factor
> > Tomorrow Is Yesterday

> > The City On The Edge Of Forever
> > The Naked Time
> > Balance Of Terror
> > What Are Little Girls Made Of?
> > Miri
> > A Taste Of Armageddon
> > The Devil In The Dark
> > A Piece Of The Action
> > By Any Other Name
>
> You've GOT to be kidding!
>
> /`\\\
> (@ @)
> *--------ooO---(_)---Ooo----------*
> �Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KG�WX�
> Design Services Company
> http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com
> Smoke free since 9/30/96!
> ICQ# 63379650
> *---------------------------------------*
>
>
>

1575. Re: Modifying RS PRO-2053 to receive 300mhz range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 03:24:17 UTC
From: JohnL <xyz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.171834712e17427b989689@news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 49941

In article <2u4r8.12418$SG2.2356442@news1.news.adelphia.net>,
mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org says...
> Can it be done? Any info?
>
> thanks

Probably not. Most scanners don't receive specific portions of the band because they do not have the circuitry necessary to receive those portions. You could try to find a rig that does receive those freqs. The PRO-2004/2005/2006/2035/2043 and PRO-26 all do, IIRC.

--
Note the return email address used in this post is not valid.

1576. Re: CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 03:59:00 UTC
From: VX <vortNOSPAM@look.ca>
Message-ID: <uassj4mg591915@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49942
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hey Tom, I have no problem believing that guy.

Bravo on your work! And thanks very much indeed for some fine FREE software.

As far as the criticism.....Well, you'll find jealousy and lack of
respect
for hard work certainly more often than should be expected. I just shake my
head and move on .
On the other hand, there are plenty of fine individuals that give credit ,
respect
and support when due, and judging by the responses to the post they
surely outnumber the Knobs. And that would be a good thing in my humble
estimation

P.S I enjoyed your website and information presented!!!

Keep on trucking

D.J

"MadDogMcQ" <tom.mcq@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:a8kqk4roc1@helle.btinternet.com...
> It takes a lot to get me wound up, but today I received FIVE emails from
the
> same guy telling me how disappointed he was in my "free" software because
it
> required a password and to obtain that password he had to email me. He
made
> his feelings very clear by saying that I was lame and a lousy programmer.
>
> AND ALL BECAUSE HE DIDN'T LIKE THE IDEA OF HAVING TO ENTER A PASSWORD!!!
>
> I spent a long time getting this SWL Logging Database right and placed it
in
> the Public Domain for the benefit of all the SWL/HAM Community. To get an
> idea of how many people were downloading and using the software, I put a
> password on the zipfile and a comment asking people to email me for the
> password - just like registering free software, really. Not much to ask I
> thought.
>
> Well apparently, it was too much for this particular guy! He ranted and
> raved, so I replied to him and told him the password was "kissmyass"
without
> the quotation marks! What a tosser!! I find it hard to believe that there
> are people like this in the radio community. No doubt he's an arrogant,

> spoiled, petulent little boy who slams doors when he doesn't get his own
> way. His alias was "bridge2nowhr" FYI.
>
> Anyway, for anyone who is interested in a FREE SWL/HAM Logging Database
and
> would like to know more, please feel free to read thru the info at :
>
> http://www.tom.mcq.btinternet.co.uk/ar3000/mcqlog.htm
>
> But for God's sake, don't complain about having to email for the password
> :-)))
>
> Regards, Tom.
> *.. -: :-
> .))
> ((. . ..-::- * www.ar3000.info * -::-
> -::- ((.*
>
>
>
>

1577. Re: Hand Held Scanner Recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 04:06:32 UTC
From: johnb <borregoj@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3CAE759D.1010004@sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 49943

I don't know anything about the rs ones....but many people have enjoyed the uniden bearcats...i think the model is the 245xlt that does trunking in a nice hand held (a bit big, but makes the buttons usable). its a kindof olderish model so likely lots of people here have had some real exp with it....

JohnL wrote:

> Hi folks,
>
> I recently sold my PRO-51 and I'm getting rid of at least one of my PRO-
> 2006's. I'd really like to get a good trunk tracking hand held. However,
> I haven't really kept up with technology in the scanning market. I've
> been thinking about getting something besides a RS model, but the only
> rigs that have caught my eye ARE RS models - the 92, 93, and 94 to be
> exact. I've checked over at Stong Signals, but wanted to get some more
> input about these.
>
> Which is the most flexible relative to what it can receive? What is the
> difference between dual and multi trunking? Anyone in NJ have any of
> these rigs and know which work or don't work for listening to the NJ
> State Police? Any other recommendataions?
>
> Thanks in advance!
>
>

1578. Re: Pro-2037 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 04:40:05 UTC
From: OptoScan <sky444@mint.net>
Message-ID: <2husaug54i7edbtr2uhup4ru05k0a3u7rt@4ax.com>
Source record: 49944
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

how much for the weed and what kind and how much weight wise ????

On Sat, 06 Apr 2002 02:13:17 GMT, "N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net>
wrote:

>This is a nice little 200 channel base scanner.I am starting to weed out
>some of the toys I don't need anymore.I will sell this shipped in USA for
>$110.00.Email me or call 978-281-3359
>
>
>
>---
>Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
>Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
>Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002
>

1579. FS: Pro-64 and Yaesu FT-50R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 05:13:40 UTC
From: todds1@swbell.net
Message-ID: <90c32b9d.0204052113.29e65745@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49945

Both are complete and in excellent condition. The Yaesu, I may have the box and manual for but the 64 is just the scanner and antenna. I have the antenna, battery and charger for the Yaesu but I will have to hunt for the box. If you need specifics on the radios check the manufactures websites or call me on the phone. I want $100 for the 64 and $150 for the Yaesu and I'll pay the shipping.

Todd
318-208-3130

1580. Re: Pro-2037 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 06:08:42 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Khwr8.16737$wt5.408393@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 49946
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Guess you had to be there.....

"OptoScan" <sky444@mint.net> wrote in message
news:2husaug54i7edbtr2uhup4ru05k0a3u7rt@4ax.com...
> how much for the weed and what kind and how much weight wise ????
>
> On Sat, 06 Apr 2002 02:13:17 GMT, "N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net>
> wrote:
>
> >This is a nice little 200 channel base scanner.I am starting to weed out
> >some of the toys I don't need anymore.I will sell this shipped in USA for
> >$110.00.Email me or call 978-281-3359
> >
> >
> >
> >---
> >Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> >Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> >Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002
> >
>

1581. Re: ���La Raza Hunting White Children���

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 06:10:50 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <Kjwr8.7378$7F1.5561@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 49797
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

So this has something to do with rec. radio cb so have I been asleep or
what???????
--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

"9/11 Victim" <victim@ZOG.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.1717d4b4c288ae5f989726@127.0.0.1...
> In article <m8vkaucl2olh68h2nd1tdcu1blq1p6f2gu@4ax.com>, Ann.Curry@nbc.com
says...
> : ---
> :
> : Portland
> :
> : Suspicious man attempts to lure young girl into car
> :
> : Police have released a composite of a suspicious man who allegedly
> : attempted to lure a young girl into his car earlier this month.
> :
> : On several occasions earlier this March, a suspicious man parked across
the
> : street from a school bus stop at southwest Alexander and southwest
Alicia
> : Streets in Aloha tried to lure a 10 year-old girl into his car.
> :
> : He told the girl, "I know your Mom, it's O.K. to get in my car."
> :
> : The suspicious man is described as Hispanic, in his late 20's to early
30's
> : with a mustache and bushy eyebrows. The girl also said he was wearing a
> : white baseball cap.
> :
> : The vehicle he was driving is described as a red early to mid 90's
Toyota
> : with a narrow black stripe running along the mid-section of the car from
> : front to back. Detectives say the narrow stripe is possibly rubber door
> : trim.
> :
> : Similar reports of a suspicious Hispanic man sitting in a red car near
> : schools or playgrounds have been reported in the last few weeks in North
> : Plains and Hillsboro.
> :

> : http://www.katu.com/news/story.asp?ID=43583
> :
> :
> : March 24, 2002
> :
> : New roadside memorial goes up for slain Tahoe girl
> :
> : CARSON CITY, Nev. (AP) - After repeated vandalism, a new roadside
memorial
> : has been installed for a young Lake Tahoe murder victim.
> :
> : With the help of a crane, a 1-ton metal cross went up Saturday near the
> : spot where the body of 9-year-old Krystal Steadman was found two years
ago.
> : The cross features a heart with Krystal's initials. Toys also were left
in
> : her memory.
> :
> : Three previous memorials at the site along U.S. Highway 50 west of
Carson
> : City were desecrated.
> :
> : "This memorial is made of solid steel and concrete, and I'm confident
it'll
> : survive," said project organizer Gary James.
> :
> : "Krystal had such a short time to enjoy life, but had to endure such
pain
> : and misery ... To me, the memorial is not only for her but for all
children
> : that have suffered untimely deaths."
> :
> : James is a friend of Krystal's father, John Steadman of Gatlinburg,
Tenn.
> :
> : Krystal was killed at Lake Tahoe in March 2000. The man charged with her
> : murder, Thomas Soria Sr., committed suicide in the Douglas County jail
in
> : January 2001.
> :
> : The first memorial to the girl disappeared in October 2000. The second
was
> : vandalized a month later.
> :
> : Earlier this month, vandals damaged a cross made of wood and train rails
> : with a chainsaw, and tossed toys into a nearby canyon.
> :
> : Stormy Stone, 9, of Carson City, was among about 30 people who watched
as
> : the new memorial was installed. She left some of her favorite toys.

> :
> : "I wanted to come to support the family," she said. "This memorial is
> : special to us."
> :
> : Krystal, a fourth-grader from South Lake Tahoe, Calif., disappeared
after
> : Thomas Soria Jr. lured her to the apartment he shared with his father in
> : Stateline, where her mother was visiting friends.
> :
> : The child's nude, battered body was found the following day along
Highway
> : 50 about 20 miles away. Authorities said she was raped and tortured
before
> : her throat was slashed.
> :
> : Soria Jr. is serving a no-parole life term for his role in the crime.
> :
> :
http://www.lasvegassun.com/sunbin/stories/archives/2002/mar/24/032410381.htm
l?%22slain%2BTahoe%2Bgirl%22
>
> Another American killed by terrorists, this time a child by the La Raza
terrorists who
> Bush gives amnesty to so they can continue to come here by the tens of
thousands and
> then breed like cockroaches and cleanse Whites from the continent.
>
> If you read "The Color of Crime" (http://www.amren.com) you will discover
that the
> "Justice" Department in Washington D.C. classifies all Mexicans (mestizos)
and Puerto
> Ricans (a lot of black blood) as "White". All of their sex offenses, all
of their "Hate
> Crimes" are blamed on real White people.
>
> The media casts all sex offenders (particularly child molesters) as White
by
> broadcasting their pictures and publishing them repeatedly in their
"news"papers, while
> hiding from public view the much larger number of spic mongrels and
niggers who commit
> far more offenses of a deviant nature, most notably "Hate Crimes" in which
White people
> are usually the *victim* of a nigger or spic mongrel offender.
>
> Naturally niggers are never charged with committing a "hate Crime" against
Whites and a
> "Hispanic" can't be charged with committing a "Hate Crime" against a White
person
> because these mud colored animals are classified as White.

1582. Re: Does the BC 780 XLT use the same Power supply as the 9000 XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 06:14:39 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <jnwr8.26185$oN6.408412@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 49798

no, 9000 needs a 13.8
"GlockGuy" <felloffthetruck@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:nh%q8.33114$0T6.10380726@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> Does the BC 780XLT use the same power supply as the 9000XLT?
>
>
>

1583. Best BNC antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 07:35:01 UTC
From: donfanucci@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020406023501.21615.00002104@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 49799

If I (hypothetically, of course) wanted to exponentially increase the range on my handheld scanner in the 903MHz area, what's the premier BNC antenna?

Cost is not a factor, but being limited to ONLY the 900MHz range is. I need to still be able to receive every frequency that the scanner provides.

Thank you.

1584. Re: Best BNC antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 07:54:36 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <0Rxr8.4103$%A.545284@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 49800

An antenna specifically designed for that band,; look at what Larsen has
to offer at
http://www.larsenantennas.com/products/portable/800-900tcd.html

Harry

"." <donfanucci@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020406023501.21615.00002104@mb-fa.aol.com...
> If I (hypothetically, of course) wanted to exponentially increase the
range on
> my handheld scanner in the 903MHz area, what's the premier BNC
antenna?
>
> Cost is not a factor, but being limited to ONLY the 900MHz range is. I
need to
> still be able to receive every frequency that the scanner provides.
>
> Thank you.

1585. Re: Best BNC antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 08:43:15 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <Dyyr8.22975$f4.1747831@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 49801

buy a antenna for that specific set of frequencies. You will never go wrong
if you buy a antenna specifically for the frequency range you want.

"." <donfanucci@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020406023501.21615.00002104@mb-fa.aol.com...
> If I (hypothetically, of course) wanted to exponentially increase the
range on
> my handheld scanner in the 903MHz area, what's the premier BNC antenna?
>
> Cost is not a factor, but being limited to ONLY the 900MHz range is. I
need to
> still be able to receive every frequency that the scanner provides.
>
> Thank you.

1586. Re: Anyone listening to SCA stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 09:12:53 UTC
From: inter1097@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020406041253.00784.00002558@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 49802

>Ten years ago the stereo audio for many >of the music channels and premium
>movie
>channels were on the FM band.with the >cable feed. They all seem to be gone
>now.
>Of course the cable system has change >owners several times over the years.

Ahh so is this why I used to hear MTV Audio on 97.7 MHz on my stereo? My cable wires were right next to the stereo.. The only difference is if the local cable company ran 1 of those localised commercials over the commercials MTV would be playing, I would still be able to hear the MTV commercial on the stereo...

thanks for the post :)

1587. Re: CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 11:32:33 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@charter.net>
Message-ID: <uatn7gs5k4n7fd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49803
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Tom...that's what its all about nowadays...negativity! I sure don't get it either. Hey...have you ever been out and about in your local community, and bumped into someone you haven't seen in years.....AND the first thing they mention, is something negative, or bad? "Hey...I heard this about you"!!! Well...that's it....same thing! Just ignore the person!

--
radiofun@charter.net
Web Site: www.polkcounty.org/radiofun

"MadDogMcQ" <tom.mcq@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:a8kqk4roc1@helle.btinternet.com...
> It takes a lot to get me wound up, but today I received FIVE emails from
the
> same guy telling me how disappointed he was in my "free" software because
it
> required a password and to obtain that password he had to email me. He
made
> his feelings very clear by saying that I was lame and a lousy programmer.
>
> AND ALL BECAUSE HE DIDN'T LIKE THE IDEA OF HAVING TO ENTER A PASSWORD!!!
>
> I spent a long time getting this SWL Logging Database right and placed it
in
> the Public Domain for the benefit of all the SWL/HAM Community. To get an
> idea of how many people were downloading and using the software, I put a
> password on the zipfile and a comment asking people to email me for the
> password - just like registering free software, really. Not much to ask I
> thought.
>
> Well apparently, it was too much for this particular guy! He ranted and
> raved, so I replied to him and told him the password was "kissmyass"
without
> the quotation marks! What a tosser!! I find it hard to believe that there
> are people like this in the radio community. No doubt he's an arrogant,
> spoiled, petulent little boy who slams doors when he doesn't get his own
> way. His alias was "bridge2nowhr" FYI.
>
> Anyway, for anyone who is interested in a FREE SWL/HAM Logging Database
and
> would like to know more, please feel free to read thru the info at :
>
> http://www.tom.mcq.btinternet.co.uk/ar3000/mcqlog.htm

>
> But for God's sake, don't complain about having to email for the password
> :-)))
>
> Regards, Tom.
> *..☐ ☐☐☐)) -:☐:-
> ☐.☐☐ .☐☐☐☐))
> ((☐☐.☐☐ .☐☐ ..-:☐:- * www.ar3000.info * -:☐:-
> -:☐:- ((☐☐.☐☐*
>
>
>
>

1588. Re: Does the BC 780 XLT use the same Power supply as the 9000 XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 11:47:20 UTC
From: tester <tester@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <Xns91E844A6A4C14tester@63.209.170.232>
Source record: 49804

"Bruce" <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com> wrote in news:jnwr8.26185$oN6.408412
@news1.east.cox.net:

> no, 9000 needs a 13.8
> "GlockGuy" <felloffthetruck@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:nh%q8.33114$0T6.10380726@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>> Does the BC 780XLT use the same power supply as the 9000XLT?
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>

I have run both radios on the same power supply. I think one of the
supplied adapters is rated a little higher than the other but they seem to
work fine.

1589. Re: For Sale Pro 2006 Scanner see (pic)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 12:41:09 UTC
From: Aaron & Lisa <lismac@nbnet.nb.ca>
Message-ID: <F1Cr8.3967$Lh6.126026@news-nb00s0.nbnet.nb.ca>
Source record: 49805

Sold

"Aaron & Lisa" <lismac@nbnet.nb.ca> wrote in message
news:ftPq8.2734$Lh6.66086@news-nb00s0.nbnet.nb.ca...
> Hello,
>
> I have for sale (1) 400 channel Realistic programmable scanner. The
Scanner
> is the Pro-2006 with Hyperscan. The scanner is a 9 out of ten and has
never
> been abused or opened up. The model number is 20-145 and monitors the
> following ranges.
> 25-512, 760-823.945, 851-868.945, 896-1300. The radio operates perfectly
> and has the a bnc connector on back of radio, tape out jack, external
> speaker jack, battery back up as well as AC and DC jacks. The scanner
also
> has a very loud internal speaker, sound squelch, dimmer. The scanner
works
> great and has plenty of keypad controls.
>
> Price is $ 165.00 US funds (check ebay it's going cheap)
> See pic below.
> Purchaser agrees to pay actual shipping from
> New Brunswick, Canada
>
>
> thanks if interested email me at
> lismac@nbnet.nb.ca
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1590. PA-SitRep e-mail list for PA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 12:43:20 UTC
From: owner@pa-sitrep.com
Message-ID: <3caeed1a.91795755@news.city-net.com>
Source record: 49806
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Emergency Situation Report e-mail list for Pennsylvanians

PA-SitRep is a free, 100% non-commercial,
nonprofit, e-mail list and web site.

The PA-SitRep emergency traffic e-mail list is a
tool that will enable you to monitor and report on
major emergency situations throughout the State
of Pennsylvania including weather emergencies,
natural disasters, environmental disasters, civil
disturbances and threats of terrorism, major fires,
and manhunts.

Subscribers are encouraged to utilize their home,
work and cell phone e-mail addresses for receiving
messages from the PA-SitRep e-mail list.

Message volume on PA-SitRep is EXTREMELY
low and users may subscribe and unsubscribe
at any time.

PA-SitRep is also building a list of PA-SitRep
Contacts, many of whom are Amateur Radio
Operators with HF capabilities. Contacts monitor
our higher volume SitRep/Discussion list and
have unrestricted posting privilages to the
main Situation Report list which is moderated.

Please visit the site to learn more:

http://www.pa-sitrep.com/pasitrep.htm

Thanks. We'll look forward to your participation.

-David Kleber, KB3FXI
PA-SitRep List Owner and PA-SitRep.com Founder
www.PA-SitRep.com

1591. Belgian frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 13:00:04 UTC
From: Cleopatra <Cleo@saint.net>
Message-ID: <3caef110$0$72174@news2.zeelandnet.nl>
Source record: 49807
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,de.alt.radio-scanner,fr.rec.radio,rec.radio.scanner

Visit : http://rainbow.mybravenet.com/belgascan/

1592. Bearcat UBC 9000 XLT For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 14:01:57 UTC
From: GlockGuy <felloffthetruck@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <d3Dr8.684$VM5.14377@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49808

Bearcat UBC 9000 XLT Scanner, Original Box and Instructions.
CTCSS Tone Board installed with the US Power Cord. Absolutely 100% Mint/New Condition. $250.00 plus $15.00 for Priority Mail Shipping.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1343985018

1593. Re: Any scanners that recieve the 700 MHz Band?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 14:17:35 UTC
From: nvalov55@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020406091735.22806.00002104@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 49809

pico rivera sherriff

1594. Re: Pro-2037 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 14:41:12 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <cODr8.13740$SG2.2793262@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49811
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

You *ucking guys are a bunch of *##hole on these news groups.Always cutting
someone up instead of trying to tell them that the price may be to high or
try to help them out.You are the one that buy it for a dollar and sell it
for 50.00.So rather than critisize someone,why don't you try to encourage
them and try to give them an idea of a going price.Is that hard to do??
Go on Ebay and you pay tripple the prices.No wonder why you see more FA on
here than FS.
So if all you want to do is jump down someones throat and make yourselfs
look like idiots,don't even make a response to me unless you want to help or
buy.
I also buy a lot of these groups and I don't ask for much.I try to get what
I got in the item.Some of you out there have sold and bought from me and
have had good deals.
Again, keep your yap shut or try to help out rather than bitch.
"N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:1Rsr8.13517$SG2.2653841@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> This is a nice little 200 channel base scanner.I am starting to weed out
> some of the toys I don't need anymore.I will sell this shipped in USA for
> $110.00.Email me or call 978-281-3359
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002

1595. Re: Best BNC antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 14:47:08 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3CAF0A67.E442B74F@optonline.net>
Source record: 49810

Once you have a halfway decent antenna, the only way to increase the range at 900MHz is to raise the antenna height. 900MHz is strictly "line-of-site".

Get an antenna which covers the frequencies you desire, and put it up on the highest mast you can afford. Of course, coax cable can be very lossy at 900MHz, so be sure to get the lowest loss coax available. And, since even the lowest loss cable is still pretty lossy, you may want to consider putting a high quality preamp at the masthead.

You can calulate the approximate range from the following formula:

Range(miles) = (2*Height Rcvr Ant)^0.5 + (2*Height Trans Ant)^0.5

Walt

"." wrote:
>
> If I (hypothetically, of course) wanted to exponentially increase the range on
> my handheld scanner in the 903MHz area, what's the premier BNC antenna?
>
> Cost is not a factor, but being limited to ONLY the 900MHz range is. I need to
> still be able to receive every frequency that the scanner provides.
>
> Thank you.

1596. Re: Hand Held Scanner Recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 15:13:36 UTC
From: JohnL <xyz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <a8n36l$trm2r$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 49812

On Fri, 05 Apr 2002 23:06:32 -0500, johnb wrote:

> I don't know anything about the rs ones....but many people have enjoyed
> the uniden bearcats...i think the model is the 245xlt that does trunking
> in a nice hand held (a bit big, but makes the buttons usable). its a
> kindof olderish model so likely lots of people here have had some real
> exp with it....
>

Thanks John. I think I found the one I want. I'm going to hold out for the BC250D. Maybe I'll replace my other 2005/2006's with a BC785D at the same time. Now I just have to wait for Uniden to release them.

--
John L

Note the email address used for posting is not valid.

Sent with PAN 0.11.2.91 running on Mandrake Linux 8.1
ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½

1597. Re: CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 16:43:36 UTC
From: MadDogMcQ <tom.mcq@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a8n8jo$k69$1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49813
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Many thanks to everyone for the support.
I'm also very pleased that everyone likes the database.
It was fun working on it.
Regards,
Tom.
www.ar3000.info

"MadDogMcQ" <tom.mcq@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:a8kqk4roc1@helle.btinternet.com...
> It takes a lot to get me wound up, but today I received FIVE emails from
the
> same guy telling me how disappointed he was in my "free" software because
it
> required a password and to obtain that password he had to email me. He
made
> his feelings very clear by saying that I was lame and a lousy programmer.
>
> AND ALL BECAUSE HE DIDN'T LIKE THE IDEA OF HAVING TO ENTER A PASSWORD!!!
>
> I spent a long time getting this SWL Logging Database right and placed it
in
> the Public Domain for the benefit of all the SWL/HAM Community. To get an
> idea of how many people were downloading and using the software, I put a
> password on the zipfile and a comment asking people to email me for the
> password - just like registering free software, really. Not much to ask I
> thought.
>
> Well apparently, it was too much for this particular guy! He ranted and
> raved, so I replied to him and told him the password was "kissmyass"
without
> the quotation marks! What a tosser!! I find it hard to believe that there
> are people like this in the radio community. No doubt he's an arrogant,
> spoiled, petulent little boy who slams doors when he doesn't get his own
> way. His alias was "bridge2nowhr" FYI.
>
> Anyway, for anyone who is interested in a FREE SWL/HAM Logging Database
and
> would like to know more, please feel free to read thru the info at :
>
> http://www.tom.mcq.btinternet.co.uk/ar3000/mcqlog.htm
>
> But for God's sake, don't complain about having to email for the password
> :-)))

>
> Regards, Tom.
> *..☐ ☐☐☐)) -:☐:-
> ☐.☐☐ .☐☐☐☐))
> ((☐☐.☐☐ .☐☐ ..-:☐:- * www.ar3000.info * -:☐:-
> -:☐:- ((☐☐.☐☐*
>
>
>
>

1598. Re: ???La Raza Hunting White Children???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 17:28:34 UTC
From: EnglishMum61@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <eac8f4a7.0204060928.1067cbff@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49814
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

In responding to such drivel, it's helpful to exclude the original posting. In that way when Google kill files the user the posting, in effect, dies after a few days. By including the original posting in any response it sadly lives on.

1599. FAO : GIRARD BOWE !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 17:44:51 UTC
From: MadDogMcQ <tom.mcq@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a8nc6h$qh4$1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49815
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Giz,
You sent me an email but replies keep bouncing back to me so I can't answer your question by email.

In case you read this message though, McQ_Log will give an error message of "Unreconized Database Format" if you are running Office/Access 97.

There is no way around this from what I can see (other than to get Access2000).

Regards, Tom.
FREE SW LOG DATABASE http://www.tom.mcq.btinternet.co.uk/ar3000/mcqlog.htm
*.. -::-
. .))
((. . ..-: :- * www.ar3000.info * -::-
-::- ((.*

1600. Re: Hand Held Scanner Recommendation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 18:37:38 UTC
From: smartwhack@ionet.org
Message-ID: <3caf3ffb.11388445@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 49817

On Sat, 06 Apr 2002 10:13:36 -0500, JohnL <xyz@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>> I don't know anything about the rs ones....but many people have enjoyed
>> the uniden bearcats...i think the model is the 245xlt that does trunking
>> in a nice hand held (a bit big, but makes the buttons usable). its a
>> kindof olderish model so likely lots of people here have had some real
>> exp with it....
>>
>
>Thanks John. I think I found the one I want. I'm going to hold out for the
>BC250D. Maybe I'll replace my other 2005/2006's with a BC785D at the same
>time. Now I just have to wait for Uniden to release them.
>
>--
Get a Pro 93 for now because they're here and they're cheap if they
are on sale. You can get a 250 and 785 IF or WHEN they ever come out.
Be advised that I was on a list for a 780 for about 2 years until they
came out. Get one that you can use now. I've learned my lesson.

1601. Re: CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 18:37:50 UTC
From: Screaming Skull <KG4IVT@hot.mael.spicedmeat.sucks.leave.me.alone.com>
Message-ID: <2gHr8.14587$2J2.3780294@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 49816
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
<hypocrisy> This is also the Internet, a place where a lot of people feel
big by talking a lot of crap to someone that they don't even know. In
public, they wouldn't dare. </hypocrisy>
```

"radiofun" <radiofun@charter.net> wrote in message
news:uatn7gs5k4n7fd@corp.supernews.com...
> Tom...that's what its all about nowadays...negativity! I sure don't get it
> either. Hey...have you ever been out and about in your local community,
and
> bumped into someone you haven't seen in years.....AND the first thing they
> mention, is something negative, or bad? "Hey...I heard this about you"!!!
> Well...that's it....same thing! Just ignore the person!
>
> --
> radiofun@charter.net
> Web Site: www.polkcounty.org/radiofun
>
>
>
> "MadDogMcQ" <tom.mcq@btinternet.com> wrote in message
> news:a8kqk4roc1@helle.btinternet.com...
> > It takes a lot to get me wound up, but today I received FIVE emails from
> the
> > same guy telling me how disappointed he was in my "free" software
because
> it
> > required a password and to obtain that password he had to email me. He
> made
> > his feelings very clear by saying that I was lame and a lousy
programmer.
> >
> > AND ALL BECAUSE HE DIDN'T LIKE THE IDEA OF HAVING TO ENTER A PASSWORD!!!
> >
> > I spent a long time getting this SWL Logging Database right and placed
it
> in
> > the Public Domain for the benefit of all the SWL/HAM Community. To get
an
> > idea of how many people were downloading and using the software, I put a
> > password on the zipfile and a comment asking people to email me for the
> > password - just like registering free software, really. Not much to ask
I
> > thought.

> >
> > Well apparently, it was too much for this particular guy! He ranted and
> > raved, so I replied to him and told him the password was "kissmyass"
> without
> > the quotation marks! What a tosser!! I find it hard to believe that
there
> > are people like this in the radio community. No doubt he's an arrogant,
> > spoiled, petulent little boy who slams doors when he doesn't get his own
> > way. His alias was "bridge2nowhr" FYI.
> >
> > Anyway, for anyone who is interested in a FREE SWL/HAM Logging Database
> and
> > would like to know more, please feel free to read thru the info at :
> >
> > http://www.tom.mcq.btinternet.co.uk/ar3000/mcqlog.htm
> >
> > But for God's sake, don't complain about having to email for the
password
> > :-)))
> >
> > Regards, Tom.
> > *..<illegible>)) -:<illegible>:-
> > <illegible>.<illegible> .<illegible>))
> > ((<illegible>.<illegible> .<illegible> ..-:<illegible>:- * www.ar3000.info * -:<illegible>:-
> > -:<illegible>:- ((<illegible>.<illegible>*
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1602. Re: CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 19:39:10 UTC
From: marula31@hootmail.com
Message-ID: <3caf3d05.4080536@news.freeserve.net>
Source record: 49818
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 05 Apr 2002 19:37:11 GMT, "CW" <clinton.magers@attbi.com>
wrote:

>it right, I should remove the website.
>You can't please everybody. You just have to keep in mind that for every one
>of these types, there are a hundred that appreciate what you are doing.

It's very important, particularly with freeware, that you
EMail the author and let him know that you appreciate
his efforts.

--
J+

1603. Re: Does the BC 780 XLT use the same Power supply as the 9000 XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 19:59:19 UTC
From: Blitz <WTVKZJHZMOYD@spammotel.com>
Message-ID: <rsIr8.7884$Rw2.664091@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 49819

13.8=12VDC

"Bruce" <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:jnwr8.26185$oN6.408412@news1.east.cox.net...
> no, 9000 needs a 13.8
> "GlockGuy" <felloffthetruck@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:nh%q8.33114$0T6.10380726@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > Does the BC 780XLT use the same power supply as the 9000XLT?
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1604. Re: CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 19:59:22 UTC
From: CW <clinton.magers@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <usIr8.183985$af7.91171@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 49820
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yes, that would be a very good idea. Let the author know that his effort is appreciated.
--
CW
KC7NOD

"---" <marula31@hootmail.com> wrote in message news:3caf3d05.4080536@news.freeserve.net...
> It's very important, particularly with freeware, that you
> EMail the author and let him know that you appreciate
> his efforts.
>
> --
> J+

1605. Re: Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 20:14:02 UTC
From: Paul Jensen <pjensen@gnt.net>
Message-ID: <uaulodq59qfhb8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49821
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

If the glass-mount doesn't work, try the Radio Shack telescoping anenna. It attaches straight to your scanner. It's about ten bucks, and works quite a bit better than the rubber duckie.

1606. Re: CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 20:37:30 UTC
From: Emilio Goldstein <Egoldstein@sofa.net>
Message-ID: <e0Jr8.236538$q2.24352@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 49822
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I downloaded the program and used Kissmyass as the password both upper and lower case. It still does not work. Is this a bogus program or is the password based on timezone.

I'm getting sick of this because I am way behind logging my radio info.

1607. Reception questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 20:54:43 UTC
From: scanning68@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020406155443.19086.00002326@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 49823

Hi all,
I performed an experiment with a 900MHz analog cordless phone that I had sitting around my house, with interesting (to me, anyway) results.

I left my buddy inside the house, and had him call another friend of ours. I wasn't sure what frequency my phone was on, so I set the range from 902-908. It settled in on a frequency in the mid 903's. Two things surprised me a bit:

1) I walked exactly 100' feet in front of my house (with my handheld scanner that has the original cheap rubber duckie antenna), and heard the call perfectly. Then I walked exactly 100' to either SIDE of my house, and again heard everything clear as a bell. But when I walked 100' behind my house, the scanner lost the frequency. If you get a minimum range of 100' in three different directions, I was under the impression that it would hold true for the fourth direction, as well. FWIW, by the time I walked 100' to the rear of my house, I was in the woods. I could understand not getting the signal in the summer with all the trees in bloom, but these trees are bare. But still, I'm assuming that the woods interfered with the transmission somehow, anyway. Would this be a correct assumption?

2) Even though my phone was on a 903 (i.e. base) frequency, I only heard one end of the conversation. I only heard my buddy who was nearby in my house. My other friend to whom he was speaking lives a couple of towns away, and I could not make out a single word he said during the whole conversation. It was to the point that I could barely tell that someone was on the other end. His voice came across very, very softly. What could be the reason for this?

Thanks in advance!

Greg

1608. Re: CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 21:23:47 UTC
From: MadDogMcQ <tom.mcq@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a8np13$8qa$1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49824
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hahahahahaaa.
I take it you *ARE* joking!
Tom www.ar3000.info

"Emilio Goldstein" <Egoldstein@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:e0Jr8.236538$q2.24352@sccrnsc01...
> I downloaded the program and used Kissmyass as the password both upper and
> lower case. It still does not work. Is this a bogus program or is the
> password based on timezone.
>
> I'm getting sick of this because I am way behind logging my radio info.
>
>
>
>

1609. McQ_Log UPDATED - due to missing menu

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 21:31:40 UTC
From: MadDogMcQ <tom.mcq@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a8npfsaba1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49825
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Whoops!! Many thanks to Derek Culloch for informing me that I'd forgot to include the "Accessories Menu" in the Setup section of the database!

I've now put it in (V0.94)

Available here.....
http://www.tom.mcq.btinternet.co.uk/ar3000/mcqlog.htm

FREE SWL LOG DATABASE
Regards, Tom.
*..• •••)) -:•:-
•.•• .•••••))
((••.•• .•• ..-:•:- * www.ar3000.info * -:•:-
-:•:- ((••.••*

1610. Need Mod for RS Pro 2032

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 21:36:23 UTC
From: impact@snet.net
Message-ID: <3caf6aae.1425181@news.snet.sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 49826

Hi All does anyone have the Mod (you know which one I mean)
for the Radio Shack Pro 2032
Could you upload me the instructions and any JPEGS if possible to
show the correct diode to cut and any soldered back on
I will try to return the favor on something you may need

Thanks all
Bill

1611. Re: Need Mod for RS Pro 2032

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 22:13:50 UTC
From: "Dont ya think....." <AboutThatPerson@TheDoor.com>
Message-ID: <yqKr8.14019$Oo1.9806@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 49828
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Atleast this guy is trying to cover up by talkin about the supid cell phone listening. Just so it don't spark a flame in the group. You guys in the states have shitty scanner laws I'm sorry, even in Canada I don't think they're this bad. You have lowered yourself to the gov't. You have to talk about something that is totally available to the general public, but yet you have to be so secretive about it.

It's a great day to be a Canadian. :)

"WR" <impact@snet.net> wrote in message
news:3caf6aae.1425181@news.snet.sbcglobal.net...
> Hi All does anyone have the Mod (you know which one I mean)
> for the Radio Shack Pro 2032
> Could you upload me the instructions and any JPEGS if possible to
> show the correct diode to cut and any soldered back on
> I will try to return the favor on something you may need
>
>
> Thanks all
> Bill

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002

1612. Pager/ Audio Decoding for Mac

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 22:19:11 UTC
From: MinisterNeo <scrod12@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <B8D4DE8E.123B%scrod12@adelphia.net>
Source record: 49831
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am looking for software that will use the sound in on my macintosh to
decode radio transmissions recived by my scanner.
Thanks
Minister Neo

1613. [SOLD] Re: YUPITERU MVT-9000EUMKII Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 22:32:01 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <BHKr8.14283$k5.5184318@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49829

Scanner has been sold.

Thanks,
Bill

"Bill Crocker" <billcrocker@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:DQpr8.14108$k5.5124495@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> For Auction:
>
> Yupiteru MVT-9000EUMKII Wide-Band Scanner
> Full Coverage
> De-Scrambling Feature
> Leather Case
> Rich Well's Published MVT-9000 Owner's Guide!
>
> All like new!
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>

1614. Re: Yaesu FT-470 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 22:43:31 UTC
From: Technomage <proudhawk@uswestmail.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.04.06.15.43.31.90.26347@uswestmail.net>
Source record: 49830
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

to begin with,
you are posting in a group that is inappropriate for this
(rec.radio.cb).

secondly, that particular radio is nearly 10 years old (as the line was
discontinued in 1992) and I have several here that I paid less than
$150.00 each for them (including accessories).

you are asking a bit much.

Technomage

In article <B5tr8.13524$SG2.2660984@news1.news.adelphia.net>, "N1RSP"
<grburke@adelphia.net> wrote:

> Have for sale the FT-470 dual band ht with several
> batteries,book,chargers and box.I am aking $220.00 shipped in USA.Email
> me This has both bands at once if you wanted.
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free. Checked by AVG anti-virus system
> (http://www.grisoft.com). Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 -
> Release Date: 3/14/2002

--
I will not be pushed, filed, stamped, indexed, briefed, debriefed, or numbered!
My life is my own - No. 6

1615. Re: Wanted: Mobile mounting bracket for a Pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 22:48:36 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020406174836.11771.00003094@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 49832

>I bet it's expensive. It's the best scanner bracket ever made, you know!

The bracket that was made for the 2006 ran almost $30 new.

It was custom made for the dimensions of the 2006, with foam padding in the corners to prevent scratching and freeplay.

The assembly consisted of a mounting bracket, and two brackets that hung from the mounting bracket and held the 2006 in place. Two knurled knobs held the radio brackets to the mounting bracket, and allowed the scanner to pivot up and down.

The upper and lower brackets around the scanner hooked on one side, and used a fold-over latch on the other side. They were sturdy-enough to mount / hang a second scanner off the lower bracket.

1616. REQ: Ct Scanner Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 22:54:12 UTC
From: "sledge.com" <res0nycv@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <o0Lr8.6053$Sa2.4016@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 49833

anyone witha listing of Ct. freqs?

thanks in advance.

sledgecom

1617. Portable case for BC780-XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 22:58:15 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <b4Lr8.14285$k5.5185447@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49834

I'm considering making a Uniden Bearcat BC780-XLT scanner, portable.
Possibly using a soft case of some type, to hold gel-cel batteries, and the
radio. Has anyone already done this? Any suggestions?

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

1618. Which tuning steps to use for what frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 23:20:24 UTC
From: "Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <YoLr8.14041$SG2.2889225@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49748

See subj.

thanks

1619. Re: Wanted: Mobile mounting bracket for a Pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 23:26:40 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020406182640.28285.00002363@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 49835

I HAVE 6 OF THEM I GOT FOR $5.00 EACH ON CLOSE-OUT

1620. Which tuning steps to use for what frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-06 23:56:11 UTC
From: "Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <vWLr8.14072$SG2.2895112@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49836

See subj. t

thanks

1621. Which tuning steps to use for what frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 00:01:13 UTC
From: "Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <d%Lr8.14075$SG2.2896501@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49837

Pleas see subject

1622. Re: Yaesu FT-470 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 00:15:25 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <xcMr8.14084$SG2.2900145@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49838
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

With what I have to go with it,I think is a fair price.Don't you think
before you speak to ask what goes with this package.I will not give it away!
"Technomage" <proudhawk@uswestmail.net> wrote in message
news:pan.2002.04.06.15.43.31.90.26347@uswestmail.net...
> to begin with,
> you are posting in a group that is inappropriate for this
> (rec.radio.cb).
>
> secondly, that particular radio is nearly 10 years old (as the line was
> discontinued in 1992) and I have several here that I paid less than
> $150.00 each for them (including accessories).
>
> you are asking a bit much.
>
> Technomage
>
>
> In article <B5tr8.13524$SG2.2660984@news1.news.adelphia.net>, "N1RSP"
> <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote:
>
> > Have for sale the FT-470 dual band ht with several
> > batteries,book,chargers and box.I am aking $220.00 shipped in USA.Email
> > me This has both bands at once if you wanted.
> >
> >
> > ---
> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free. Checked by AVG anti-virus system
> > (http://www.grisoft.com). Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 -
> > Release Date: 3/14/2002
>
>
> --
> I will not be pushed, filed, stamped, indexed, briefed, debriefed, or
numbered!
> My life is my own - No. 6

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002

1623. Re: Yaesu FT-470 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 00:18:00 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <YeMr8.14088$SG2.2900579@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49839
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Besides that,are you the official news group cop that tells me where I can
post a for sale item?I really get a kick out of you people.You spend your
time to critisize others.Have a great day.
"N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:B5tr8.13524$SG2.2660984@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> Have for sale the FT-470 dual band ht with several batteries,book,chargers
> and box.I am aking $220.00 shipped in USA.Email me
> This has both bands at once if you wanted.
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002

1624. Re: Yaesu FT-470 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 01:05:59 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <XXMr8.17062$4L4.583430@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 49841
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:xcMr8.14084$SG2.2900145@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> With what I have to go with it,I think is a fair price.Don't you think
> before you speak to ask what goes with this package.I will not give it
away!

Actually, he's right. It is a bit pricey, but hopefully you'll find some new
ham that will think it's worth it. It is a very durable HT.

1625. Re: Yaesu FT-470 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 01:14:06 UTC
From: voobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <fbc7a2.0204061714.2c834194@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"N1RSP" grburke@adelphia.net wrote:
>Besides that,are you the official news group cop that
>tells me where I can post a for sale item? I really get
>a kick out of you people.You spend your
>time to critisize others.Have a great day.

If you had posted an amplifier or HF radio he could use illegally on
CB you'd be welcomed with open arms....

-Aaron-

1626. Re: Wanted: Mobile mounting bracket for a Pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 01:22:35 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20020406202235.00874.00001903@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 49843

You might check Grove, I'm pretty sure they had them at one time.

Rob Mills ~~~

1627. Question of programming Yupi 9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 01:53:21 UTC
From: "Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <lENr8.14158$SG2.2920747@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49749

Is there any other way to program banks besides first tuning to every frequency?

thanks

1628. Re: Pro-2037 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 02:04:15 UTC
From: Browning Ping <sky444@mint.net>
Message-ID: <78avausrv3s0rcoir7jn6qu97h3tqlm91q@4ax.com>
Source record: 49844
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Har har ...got his goat ......

On Sat, 06 Apr 2002 14:41:12 GMT, "N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net>
wrote:

>You *ucking guys are a bunch of *##hole on these news groups.Always cutting
>someone up instead of trying to tell them that the price may be to high or
>try to help them out.You are the one that buy it for a dollar and sell it
>for 50.00.So rather than critisize someone,why don't you try to encourage
>them and try to give them an idea of a going price.Is that hard to do??
>Go on Ebay and you pay tripple the prices.No wonder why you see more FA on
>here than FS.
>So if all you want to do is jump down someones throat and make yourselfs
>look like idiots,don't even make a response to me unless you want to help or
>buy.
>I also buy a lot of these groups and I don't ask for much.I try to get what
>I got in the item.Some of you out there have sold and bought from me and
>have had good deals.
>Again, keep your yap shut or try to help out rather than bitch.
>"N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>news:1Rsr8.13517$SG2.2653841@news1.news.adelphia.net...
>> This is a nice little 200 channel base scanner.I am starting to weed out
>> some of the toys I don't need anymore.I will sell this shipped in USA for
>> $110.00.Email me or call 978-281-3359
>>
>>
>>
>> ---
>> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
>> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
>> Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002
>>
>>
>
>
>---
>Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
>Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
>Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002
>

remove (no spam) to reply

1629. Re: Portable case for BC780-XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 02:29:51 UTC
From: Blitz <WTVKZJHZMOYD@spammotel.com>
Message-ID: <zaOr8.7976$QC1.735103@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 49845

Get your case here Bill:
http://www.pelican.com/

"Bill Crocker" <billcrocker@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:b4Lr8.14285$k5.5185447@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> I'm considering making a Uniden Bearcat BC780-XLT scanner, portable.
> Possibly using a soft case of some type, to hold gel-cel batteries, and
the
> radio. Has anyone already done this? Any suggestions?
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker
>
>

1630. WTB: Ranger AR-3300/AR-3500 10 Meter radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 02:35:07 UTC
From: radioguyglenn@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020406213507.20766.00001948@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 49846

Hello. I am looking for Ranger AR-3300 or AR-3500 10 meter radios. 30 watt or 100 watt models, black or grey. I would prefer working units, but will take non working units. Cosmetics negotiable. These are older 10 meter radios made by Clear Channel, not RCI models that are now made by Ranger Com.If you have any of these and would like to sell it for a reasonable price, please Email me direct. Again, reasonable prices only, these units are over 10 years old. I have cash or used commercial radio equipment if you want to trade. Thank you in advance!
Glenn- KB2GQZ

1631. Re: Pro-2037 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 02:46:01 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <JpOr8.23037$ml2.1773198@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 49747
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Congratulations, your mother must be proud!

"Browning Ping" <sky444@mint.net(no spam)> wrote in message
news:78avausrv3s0rcoir7jn6qu97h3tqlm91q@4ax.com...
> Har har ...got his goat ......
>
> On Sat, 06 Apr 2002 14:41:12 GMT, "N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net>
> wrote:
>
> >You *ucking guys are a bunch of *##hole on these news groups.Always
cutting
> >someone up instead of trying to tell them that the price may be to high
or
> >try to help them out.You are the one that buy it for a dollar and sell it
> >for 50.00.So rather than critisize someone,why don't you try to encourage
> >them and try to give them an idea of a going price.Is that hard to do??
> >Go on Ebay and you pay tripple the prices.No wonder why you see more FA
on
> >here than FS.
> >So if all you want to do is jump down someones throat and make yourselfs
> >look like idiots,don't even make a response to me unless you want to help
or
> >buy.
> >I also buy a lot of these groups and I don't ask for much.I try to get
what
> >I got in the item.Some of you out there have sold and bought from me and
> >have had good deals.
> >Again, keep your yap shut or try to help out rather than bitch.
> >"N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> >news:1Rsr8.13517$SG2.2653841@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> >> This is a nice little 200 channel base scanner.I am starting to weed
out
> >> some of the toys I don't need anymore.I will sell this shipped in USA
for
> >> $110.00.Email me or call 978-281-3359
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> ---
> >> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> >> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> >> Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002
> >>

> >>
> >
> >
> >---
> >Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> >Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> >Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002
> >
>
> remove (no spam) to reply
>

1632. Re: Excuse me For Asking This Here But Here Goes!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 04:15:26 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3CAFC7DE.A797EC08@mitre.org>
Source record: 49750

Try taking it to Radio Shack they may be able to send it out for repair.
If it's a ANALOGUE only cell phone no one
is going to give you much service. Is the telephone number and account
associated with this phone still active
are you paying the bill on the phone? This may give you some leverage
when trying to get it repaired. A price analysis of the repair job
verses a new Digital PCS cell phone/account may give you a better idea
of which deal is better and will work
Jim.
-
Nick wrote:

> I have a cellular phone that dies and I need a group or help what to
> look for. it is past warranty. it is A erickson Model 280. AT&T said
> to toss it but I figure if I get it going I have an extra phone. It
> receibves no power and won't charge and display is out. has to be in
> some kind of power from battery that is charged on phone circuit. Is
> it possibe to fix myself. Nick

1633. Re: Yaesu FT-470 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 04:16:33 UTC
From: voobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <fbc7a2.0204062016.5b3de03@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49751
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Randy" rchapman8@houston.rr.com wrote:
"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:fbc7a2.0204061714.2c834194@posting.google.com...
>> "N1RSP" grburke@adelphia.net wrote:
>> >Besides that,are you the official news group cop that
>> >tells me where I can post a for sale item? I really get
>> >a kick out of you people.You spend your
>> >time to critisize others.Have a great day.
>>
>> If you had posted an amplifier or HF radio he could use illegally
on
>> CB you'd be welcomed with open arms....
>>
>> -Aaron-
>
>Once again, Mr. Tunnelvision strikes and skews the post! ROFL!

Sorry if it sounded like I was criticizing Technomage. It was not
intended. I was merely pointing out that N1RSP would have gotten a
warm reception from the illegal CBers in the NG if he had a HF amp for
sale - rather than a VHF rig :)

-Aaron-

1634. Re: Yaesu FT-470 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 04:25:03 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <zSPr8.14117$ud6.549818@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 49752
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:fbc7a2.0204062016.5b3de03@posting.google.com...
> "Randy" rchapman8@houston.rr.com wrote:
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >news:fbc7a2.0204061714.2c834194@posting.google.com...
> >> "N1RSP" grburke@adelphia.net wrote:
> >> >Besides that,are you the official news group cop that
> >> >tells me where I can post a for sale item? I really get
> >> >a kick out of you people.You spend your
> >> >time to critisize others.Have a great day.
> >>
> >> If you had posted an amplifier or HF radio he could use illegally
> on
> >> CB you'd be welcomed with open arms....
> >>
> >> -Aaron-
> >
> >Once again, Mr. Tunnelvision strikes and skews the post! ROFL!
>
> Sorry if it sounded like I was criticizing Technomage. It was not
> intended. I was merely pointing out that N1RSP would have gotten a
> warm reception from the illegal CBers in the NG if he had a HF amp for
> sale - rather than a VHF rig :)
>
> -Aaron-

Understood. And like I stated before:

Once again, Mr. Tunnelvision strikes and skews the post! ROFL!

1635. Re: Yaesu FT-470 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 04:27:54 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <eVPr8.14118$ud6.551832@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 49753
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:zSPr8.14117$ud6.549818@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:fbc7a2.0204062016.5b3de03@posting.google.com...
> > "Randy" rchapman8@houston.rr.com wrote:
> > "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > >news:fbc7a2.0204061714.2c834194@posting.google.com...
> > >> "N1RSP" grburke@adelphia.net wrote:
> > >> >Besides that,are you the official news group cop that
> > >> >tells me where I can post a for sale item? I really get
> > >> >a kick out of you people.You spend your
> > >> >time to critisize others.Have a great day.
> > >>
> > >> If you had posted an amplifier or HF radio he could use illegally
> > on
> > >> CB you'd be welcomed with open arms....
> > >>
> > >> -Aaron-
> > >
> > >Once again, Mr. Tunnelvision strikes and skews the post! ROFL!
> >
> > Sorry if it sounded like I was criticizing Technomage. It was not
> > intended. I was merely pointing out that N1RSP would have gotten a
> > warm reception from the illegal CBers in the NG if he had a HF amp for
> > sale - rather than a VHF rig :)
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
> Understood. And like I stated before:
>
> Once again, Mr. Tunnelvision strikes and skews the post! ROFL!
>
>
>

Also Bonehead, it's a dual band HT. I'll leave it to you figure which 2
bands...although my 14 year old knows already.

1636. Re: Carrier Recovery at 1500MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 04:50:31 UTC
From: eric.jacobsen@ieee.org
Message-ID: <uavk0nsuit2qc3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49754
Cross-posted to: comp.dsp,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 03 Apr 2002 13:36:39 -0000, sunny81@rediffmail.com (Vikrant Rawat) wrote:

>Hello all,
>I need some information regarding carrier recovery at 1.5GHz. What I
>am trying to do is to demodulate BPSK signal at 1.5GHz. The recovery
>of the signal is complicated by the fact that such high frequencies
>the phases of the local oscillator at the transmitting end is far from
>ideal and hence demodulation is rather difficult. Does anyone have any
>suggestions/ideas/solution for this problem. Thanx in advance.
>
>Vikrant

1.5GHz isn't that high, really. If the oscillator isn't some extremely cheap piece of crap, it shouldn't be that tough to demodulate BPSK from 1.5GHz. A Costas loop can still do the job of carrier recovery for BPSK. What is the symbol rate of the desired signal? The lower the symbol rate the harder the problem will be, but I would think that with a reasonable oscillator anything above about 32kbaud should be doable without too much trouble. Obviously, YMMV.

If, however, as you've hinted, the oscillator _is_ an extremely cheap piece of crap, there are a few options. Raising the symbol rate helps, since then the Costas loop bandwidth can be increased to span the bandwidth of the phase noise. If that's not possible, switching to differential detection or differentially coherent detection may help, although there are performance penalties associated with both (which may be preferrable to not being able to lock at all).

Hope that helps a little.

Cheers,
Eric Jacobsen
Minister of Algorithms, Intel Corp.
My opinions may not be Intel's opinions.
http://www.ericjacobsen.org

1637. Motorola Astro SmartNet II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 04:51:49 UTC
From: Rick Colombo <Colombo@Ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3CAFD42F.9040803@Ameritech.net>
Source record: 49755

Sorry if this has been asked before, but will a BC-235 TT pick up the (newish) Astro SmartNet II system? If not, what (if anything) will?

Rick Colombo@Ameritech.net
BC100, BC235, BC895, Pro90, Pro2035

1638. Re: Need Mod for RS Pro 2032

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 06:30:16 UTC
From: BKT <bthomas1@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <9DRr8.25560$1a.8859457@twister.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 49756

```
*****************************************************************************
* * THE ULTIMATE CELLULAR MODIFICATION MANUAL * * released by * * %%%%%%%%
Dr. Bloodmoney %%%%%%%%%% * * June 1, 1992 *
*****************************************************************************
* The following information was gathered from various sources and is not
meant to be a technical treatise on the cellular network. There are plenty
of other files out there on the subject. This file specifically deals with
the processes involved in modifying cellulars. I put together this file
because I have not seen one that actually tells how to do this. When some of
you start reading this you might say to yourselves "Hey, some of this is
almost word for word from Brian Oblivion's article (incidently, one of the
best I have seen on the subject) in Phrack #38 or so and so's article in so
and so magazine". Well, not to discount anyone who has written anything on
cellular phones, but all the information in this file was purchased by me
from various ads in the back of various radio and communications magazines.
I make no pretense as to where the original source of this material comes
from. In fact, most of the t-files I have seen contain some of the
Consumertronics info. word for word, and the writers try and claim it as
their own. Or maybe vice versa. I make no claim to have written this manual
(but beleive me after putting this together I could). The only thing I have
done is spent many hours compiling what I believe to be a very good file on
the subject.
=============================================================================
= THE ULTIMATE CELLULAR MODIFICATION MANUAL TABLE OF CONTENTS PART I. WHAT
IS BROADCAST FROM A CELLULAR TOWER? PART II. DEFINITIONS PART III. TYPES OF
NAMS PART IV. NAM FORMAT MAP PART V. STANDARD NAM FORMATS PART VI. NAM
REPROGRAMMING INSTRUCTIONS FOR 30+ PHONES PART VII. THE ELECTRONIC SERIAL
NUMBER - AN INTRODUCTION PART VIII. IDENTIFYING THE ESN IN YOUR CELLULAR
PHONE PART IX. SCANNING TO FIND THE ESN/MIN PAIR PART X. A FEW COMMON
SCANNER MODIFICATIONS PART XI. THE 40-50 MHZ CELLULAR SCANNER PART XII. HOW
THE ESN IS REPLACED PART XIII. EQUATIONS FOR PROGRAMMING THE CHIPS PART XIV.
MANUFACTURER'S ESN CODE LISTING PART XV. HOME SYSTEM ID LISTING (A-L) PART
XVI. HOME SYSTEM ID LISTING (M-Z) PART XVII. "THE ROAMING SCAM" PART XVIII.
MERCHANDISE SHEET
*****************************************************************************
* Comments can be left to me at RIPCO (bloodmoney),Blitzkrieg,or Lucid
Dreams PART I. WHAT IS BROADCAST FROM A CELLULAR TOWER? When a cellular
phone makes a call, it normally transmits it's Electronic Security
Number(ESN),Mobile Identification Number(MIN),it's Station Class Mark(SCM)
and the number called in a short burst of data. This burst is the short buzz
you hear after you press the SEND button and before the tower catches the
data. These four things are the components the cellular provider uses to
ensure that the phone is programmed to be billed and that it also has the
identity of both the customer and the phone. There are usually two cellular
```

phone companies in an area. One is the wire-line carrier (Band B), which is usually Bell, and the other is the non-wireline carrier (Band A). Within the two bands are 832 cellular phone channels. Each one has 416 bands, and within the bands are voice channels that actually transmit and receive information from cellular phones. The ESN and the phone number (MIN) are the two primary identifiers for any cellular phone. By changing both, the cellular carrier will accept the call and bill it to either a wrong account or provide service based on the fact that it is NOT a disconnected receiver. It will also look at the other two components, in order to insure that it is actually a cellular phone and to forward billing information to that carrier. The Station Class Mark can also be changed if you wish to prevent the cellular carrier from determining the type of phone that is placing the call. By providing the cellular tower with a false SCM, the cellular carrier, the FCC, or whoever happens to chase down cellular fraud is often looking for a particular phone which in reality is not the phone they are looking for. For example, you can provide the SCM for a Radio Shack phone, when in reality you are using a Novatell (How this is done from changing the SCM I do not know...remember...I didn't write this). The Number Assignment Module (NAM) also has the SIDH (System Identification for Home System) number programmed into it. Refer to SIDH TABLE. The transmittal of the SIDH number tells the carrier where to forward the billing information to in case the user is "roaming". The SIDH table tells the major cities and their identifying numbers. Changing an SIDH is programming job that takes only minutes, but be aware that the ESN is still sent to the cellular phone company. After they realize that the ESN is connected to either a fake number or a phone that is not in the network, they will block service. They only way around this is to reprogram the ESN.

****

* PART X. A FEW COMMON SCANNER MODIFICATIONS Here a few scanners and what it takes to modify them. If your scanner is not shown here, don't worry. Ham Radio BBS's are all over the country. You should be able to find the instructions for modifying your scanner there. Restoration of Cellular Frequency Coverage on Radio Shack PRO-34 Handheld Scanner 1. Remove battery cover and battery, four black screws on rear cover, and volume and squelch knobs. 2. Remove rear cover, lifting back and up to clear controls. Do not remove belt clip or circuit board screws. 3. Unplug the brown volume control connector (grn/yel/blk) and white squelch control connector (wht/blk/red) from the linear circuit board. 4. Unsolder the ground lead from T111 (at corner of linear circuit board above the external power connectors). Unsolder the two power switch leads from the back of the volume control. Unsolder the antenna connector center pin and ground wires from the l 5. Unscrew the four combination screws that hold the linear circuit board and received the back cover screws. Grasp the linear board at the top and lift it straight away from the front case, unplugging the 16-pin connector. 6. Remove the three screws holding the metal frame assembly which held the linear board to the front panel. Unplug the red-black power lead and lay the frame aside. It is still connected to the battery contacts. 7. Locate diodes D9 - D12 on the volume control side of the of the logic circuit board under T1; D10 and D11 are marked. Clip one lead of D11, separating the gap. This may be resoldered later if desired. 8. Reassemble the board by reversing the

disassembly procedures above. Restoration of Cellular Frequency Coverage on Radio Shack PRO-2004 Scanner By cutting diode D513 on the PC 3 sub chassis in the Realistic PRO-2004 Scanner you can re-enable the 825.00 to 845.00 and 870-00 to 890.00 frequency selection. To scan in 30KHZ steps press "STEP-RESET". Restoration of Cellular Frequency Coverage on Radio Shack PRO-2005 Scanner 1. Unplug the radio 2. Remove the screws and take off the top cover. 3. Look for D502 on the inside right hand-side of the front panel. (No need to unsolder the silver plate protecting the vertical board!) 4. Simply cut the diode and reverse the process. Aren't you glad I only chose to cover Radio Shack models. I'm sure you appreciate the fact that I only deal with quality merchandise. If you have some cash to blow (like $500), I would recommend buying the ICOM R-1 handheld scanner. It scans from 1 to 1400 in 1/2 step increments and already includes the cellular frequencies. Plus is is about as tall as a box of 3.25 floppies and about as wide as a 16 oz. coke bottle. It is the smallest and one of the more powerful hand-scanners around.
****
* PART XI. THE 40-50 MHZ CELLULAR SCANNER The cellular phone freqs. occupy the UHF spectrum previously assign to commercial TV stations. Since a TV channel occupies a Bandwidth(BW) of 6 MHZ and each cellular channel requires 24 KZ (for a plus and minus 12 KHZ signal) and a 3KHZ guard band for each audio signal (thus the 30KHZ spacing). 200 cellular channels can fit into one UHF TV channel. Thus, with a little fine tuning, and old TV set with variable VHF tuning can tune in all cellular freqs. between 824 and 890 MHZ. UHF TV tuners ares designed to convert these UHF freqa. to intermediate (difference) freqs. between 41 and 47 MHZ. Thus, by purchasing a commonly available UHF tuner (check sith a TV shop and try to get a copy of the SAMS for powering the AFC info, and avoid those with tubes). Tuner voltage is usually 8-24 volts, and must be correctly connected up. You should also remove with the tuner, the TV's channel select and fine tuning controls for ease of use - and they should be in good condition. Once you have the tuner, you can then wire it between a 30-50 MHZ scanner and a UHF antenna (highly directional yagi type is preferred). Since the tuner will probably have a 300 ohm input impedance, a twin antenna cable is preferred (Yes Radio Shack has 'em). If you are into directional-finding, the UHF antenna should NOT have AGC (automatic Gain Control) as those with active AGC will amplify reflections, resulting in readings from so many directions that the target will be lost. Because of the use of inconspicuous, commonly available, inexpensive, high-gain UHF antennas, using a good UHF tuner to scan cellular channels is a good method of doing it. Tuner output is usually through an RCA-type plug. CAUTION: BE SURE TO COUPLE YOUR SCANNER TO THE UHF TUNER WITH A 0.01-0.1 mf (50 V min.) CAPACITOR FOR DC BLOCKING. AND DO NOT TRY TO OPERATE THE TUNER THROUGH ITS TV SET AS THE DANGER OF HIGH-VOLTAGE DISCHARGE IS HIGH. Also, connect a ground wire between the tuner and the scanner. The table below describes how cellular freqs. can be downconverted by a commonly available UHF TV tuner (all freqs. are in MHZ) CELLULAR MOBILE FREQS & SCANNER EQUIVALENTS TV BAND CELL. CHAN. SCAN TV OSCIL CHAN. # and FREQ. FREQ. FREQ. LIMIT ---------- ---------- ----- -------- ----- 73 (first) 0001-825.03 45.97 871 824-830 73 (last) 0166-829.98 41.02 871 824-830 74 (first) 0167-830.01 46.99 877 830-836 74 (last) 0366-835.98 41.02 877

830-836 75 (first) 0367-836.01 46.99 883 836-842 75 (last) 0566-841.98 41.02 883 836-842 76 (first) 0567-842.01 46.99 889 842-848 76 (last) 0766-847.98 41.02 889 842-848 77 (first) 0767-848.01 46.99 895 848-854 77 (last) 0799-848.97 46.03 895 848-854 CELLULAR PHONE FREQS. HAVE NOT BEEN ASSIGNED FOR CHANNELS 800-990 73 (first) 0991-824.04 46.96 871 824-830 73 (last) 1023-825.00 46.00 871 824-830 80 (first) 0001-870.03 42.97 913 866-872 80 (last) 0066-871.98 41.02 913 866-972 81 (first) 0067-872.01 46.99 919 872-878 81 (last) 0266-877.98 41.02 919 872-878 82 (first) 0267-878.01 46.99 925 878-884 82 (last) 0466-883.98 41.02 925 878-884 83 (first) 0467-884.01 46.99 931 884-890 83 (last) 0666-889.98 41.02 931 884-890 83 (**) 0667-890.01 46.99 931 884-890 83 (**) 0799-893.97 37.03 931 884-890 CELLULAR PHONE FREQS. HAVE NOT BEEN ASSIGNED FOR CHANNELS 800-990 80 (first) 0991-869.04 43.96 913 866-872 80 (last) 1023-870.00 43.00 913 866-872 (**) These freqs. are outside of the normal Channel 83 BW. However, most UHF tuners have a fine tuner that can be adjusted up to about another 6 MHZ. Note that the term "first" and "last" refers to the first and last cellular channels receivable by the UHF tuner for the given TV channel. Base voice channels are monitored when both sides of the conversation is required. Mobile voice channels or base control channels are monitored to locate a cellular phone. Tuning is simple: (1) Decide which cellular channel or freq. you wish to monitor. (2) Find what UHF channel includes that freq. and switch the TV to that channel. (3) Using the table, look up the corresponding TV oscillator freq. (ex: 919 MHZ for TV channel 81). Subtract the cellular channel freq. from the TV oscillator freq. (4) Tune your scanner to the difference freq. When you select a scanner, you should pick one that will scan in 30 MHZ increments to efficiently receive cellular transmissions. If you can't get one like that, then get one that will scan in 15,10 or 5 KHZ increments.

****

* PART XII. HOW THE ESN IS REPLACED It takes some electronics skill to pull or unsolder the ESN. If you are sketchy about messing around with your phone, I suggest practicing on something else first, like an old calculator or something. It is also a good idea to use the proper tools (A very small soldering tip,chip pullers, It is imperative NOT to touch any of the surrounding connections, soldering joints, or chips. The job MUST be done right the very first time. After that it is not so important, because after the first time you should have the ESN information stored safely to disk. The only dangers remaining are physically damaging the chip. (Note: There are devices that wipe PROMs clean in the event of programming errors). When removing the ESN, try to follow these 5 steps: A. Remove the PC board containing the ESN from the entire phone unit. The boards are usually screwed in with Phillips heads. This will insure against damage to the rest of the unit. B. Ascertain the correct chip. Find the letters on the chip, and check it against the letters from the IDENTIFYING THE ESN section. Refer to the .Gif file included if necessary. C. It is a good idea to draw a sketch to help you remember which way the chip went in. You may laugh, but do it anyway. D. Carefully remove the chip. Take your time and use the proper tools. E. Solder in a zero insertion force (ZIF) replacement, so that replacement chip can be changed easily. After the ZIF socket has been successfully soldered in, reinsert the ESN and attempt to make a phone call

(Be sure the NAM is programmed correctly). If it doesn't, check the leads on the ZIF to insure that you have soldered them correctly. After that, insert your ESN into your PROM reader and make sure it provides some sort of reading. You should use the search mode to look for the manufacturers serial number. (see MANUFACTURER'S ESN CODE LISTING) to identify the address on the PROM where to reprogram the ESN.
****
* PART XIII. EQUATIONS FOR PROGRAMMING THE CHIPS In most instances, you will not be able to tell the code on a PROM because the manufacturer will have blown the security fuse in order to prevent people from obtaining the codes to reprogram their own chips. Therefore, it might be necessary to produce a set of equations that are programmed into the cip to produce a bogus ESN. The bogus chips must contain the first three digits of the manufacturer's code listing, which is consequently the first marker of the actual ESN. Experimentation might be necessary, but hey, isn't that half the fun? With the aid of an EPROM emulator, the whole process should be able to be completed in under an hour - this includes pulling the chip, creating a new ESN, programming the chip, and replacing it. So know you're saying "Holy Cow this project is getting expensive!". Well it can get that way, but the long run payoff is worth it. I have seen both emulators and burners for under $200 (I'm not talking about those spiffy models that program RAM, just the basic EPROMs...in fact I can buy them for under $150. Same with the emulator. Just look around.) I wouldn't be surprised if actual ESN data started appearing on boards in the near future. I know when I finsish my phone (Hopefully soon) I plan on U/L the ESN info somewhere.
****
PART XIV. MANUFACTURER'S ESN CODE
LISTING ----------
------- MANUFACTURER DECIMAL HEX CODE OCTAL
CODE ----------
---- Alpine Electronics # 150 96 226 Antel (see Emptel,Sanyo) ARA * AT&T Technologies (see notes) 158 9E 236 Astrotel (see OKI) Audiovox-Audiotel (see notes) 138 8A 212 Blaupunkt (R. Bosch) # 148 94 224 Clarion Company Ltd. 140 8C 214 Clarion Manufacturing Co. 166 A6 246 CM Communications 153 99 231 Diamondtel (See Mitsubishi) DI-BAR Electronics 145 91 221 E.F. Johnson # 131 83 203 Emptel Electronics Co. 178 B2 262 Ericsson 143 8F 217 Ericsson GE Mobile 157 9D 235 Fujitsu # 133 85 205 Gateway Telephone 147 93 223 General Electric # (mini is 134)146 92 222 Glenayre (see notes) Goldstar Products Co. # 141 8D 215 Harris # 137 89 211 Hitachi # 132 84 204 Hughes Network Systems 164 A4 244 Hyundai 160 A0 240 Japan Radio Co. 152 98 230 Kokusai 139 8B 213 Mansoor Electronics 167 A7 247 Mitsubishi (see notes) 134 86 206 Mobira (Nokia-Kinex) # 156 9C 234 Motorola 130 82 202 Motorola Int'l. 168 A8 250 Murata Machinery LTD. 144 90 220 NEC # 135 87 207 Nokia # 165 A5 245 Novatel 142 8E 216 OKI # 129 81 201 Panasonic (Matsushita) # 136 88 210 Phillips Telecom # 170 AA 252 Phillips Circuit 171 AB 253 Qualcomm, Inc. 159 9F 237 Sanyo 175 AF 257 Satellite Technology 161 A1 241 Samsung Communications 176 B0 258 Shintom West (Audio-Vox BC-20)# 174 AE 256 Sony Corp. 154 9A 232 Sun Moon Star # 178 B2 262 Tama Denki Co. 155 9B 233 Tandy/Mobira # 165 A5 245 TacTel (see notes) Technophone # 162 A2 242 Toshiba 138 8A 212 Uniden Corp. of America # 172 AC 254 Uniden Corp. of

Japan 173 AC 255 Universal Cellular 149 95 225 USA Corp. # (see notes) Walker (JRC, Technophone) 152 98 230 Western Electric # (see notes) Western Union # (see notes) Yupiteru Industries 163 A3 243 NOTES: The hexidecimal ESN is an 11-digit number, first three are manufacturer's decimal code, next two are reserved (but may contain zeros or numbers); remaining six are the decimal serial number. These companies use phones from various manufacturers, code will be of actual manufacturer. Alpine 9510 is Fujitsu 362A - Antel, use GE, Emptel, Sanyo - ARA varies- ATT 1300, 1800 use Mitsubishi - AT&T 1100,1400,1440,1700,1710 use Hitachi - Audiotel 1000,3000,500,BC-40,400,450,550,600 use Toshiba - PC100,200 use Technophone - BC-20,CMT-125 use Shintom - TacTel use Toshiba,Blaupunkt, most are Panasonic, some are Blaupunkt - GE Mini, Gelayre 301 , USA A&B use Mitsubishi - Mitsubishi 460 use Toshiba - Walker Pocketphone use JRC or Technophone - Western Electric use Hitachi - Western Union use E.F. Johnson. # - Chassis number abd ESN correspond to each other. Decimal ESN conversion is required for serial numbers over 1,000,000 or 2,000,000, etc. Simply drop the millions digit and add 262,144 times the millions digit to the remaining number. For example, 01,123,456 = 385,600 or 02,123,46 = 647,744. Then affix the Manufacturers Decimal Code plus two zeros on left to yield 11-digit ESN. The Hex ESN may be found by converting this number to hexidecimal.
****
* PART XV. HOME SYSTEM ID LISTING (A-L) SYSTEM NON(A) WIRE(B) Abilene,TX 131 422 Aguadilla 605 188 Aiken,GA 181 084 Akron,OH 073 054 Albany,GA 241 204 Alburquerque,NM 079 110 Alexandria,LA 243 212 Allentown,PA 103 008 Alton,IL 017 046 Altoona,PA 247 032 Amarillo,TX 249 422 Anchorage,AK 251 234 Anderson,IN 253 080 Anderson,SC 139 116 Anniston,AL 113 098 Appleton,WI 217 240 Asheville,NC 263 246 Ashland,WV 307 TBA Athens,AL 203 198 Athens,GA 041 034 Atlanta,GA 041 034 Atlantic City,NJ 267 250 Augusta,GA 181 084 Aurora,IL 001 020 Austin,TX 107 164 Bakersfield,CA 183 228 Baltimore,MD 013 018 Bangor,ME 271 254 Baton Rouge,LA 085 106 Battle Creek,MI 403 256 Beaumont,TX 185 012 Bellingham,WA 047 006 Beloit,WI 217 210 Benton Harbor,MI 277 260 Biddeford,ME 501 484 Billings,MT 279 262 Biloxi,MS 281 264 Binghamton,NY 283 266 Birmingham,AL 113 098 Bismarck,ND 285 268 Bloomington,IL 455 532 Boise,ID 289 272 Boston,MA 007 028 Bradenton,FL 175 042 Bremerton,WA 047 006 Bridgeport,CT 119 088 Bristol,TN 149 074 Brownsville,TX 451 434 Bryan,TX 297 280 Buffalo,NY 003 056 Burlington,NC 069 144 Burlington,VT 313 300 Canton,OH 073 054 Casper,WY 301 284 Ceder Falls,IA 589 568 Cedar Rapids,IA 303 286 Champaign,IL 305 532 Charleston,WV 307 290 Charleston,SC 127 156 Charlotte,NC 139 114 Charlottesville,VA 309 292 Chattanooga,TN 161 148 Chicago,IL 001 020 Chico,CA 311 294 Cincinnati,OH 051 014 Clarksville,TN 179 296 Cleveland,OH 015 054 College Station,TX 297 280 Colorado Springs,CO 045 180 Columbia,MO 317 298 Columbia,SC 189 182 Columbus,GA 319 302 Columbus,OH 133 138 Corpus Christi,TX 191 184 Council Bluffs,IA 137 152 Cumberland,MD 321 304 Dallas,TX 033 038 Danville,VA 323 306 Davenport,IA 193 186 Dayton, OH 163 134 Daytona Beach,FL 325 308 Decatur,IL 327 532 Dennison,TX 033 038 Denver,CO 045 058 Des Moines,IA 195 150 Detroit, MI 021 010 Dothan,AL 329 312 Dover,NH 501 484 Dubuque,IA 331 314 Duluth,MN 333 316 Durham,NC 069 144 Eau Claire,WI 335 318 Elgin,IL 001 020 El Paso,TX 097 092 Elkhart,IN 549 530 Elmira,NY 283 266 Elyria,OH TBA 054 Enid,OK 341 324 Erie,PA 343 326 Eugene,OR 061 328 Evansville,IN 197 190 Fargo,ND 347 330 Fayettesville,NC

349 100 Fayetteville,AR 607 342 Flint,MI 021 010 Florence,AL 113 334
Florence,SC 377 350 Fort Collins,CO 045 336 Fort Lauderdale,FL 037 024 For
Meyers,FL 355 042 Fort Pierce,FL 037 340 Fort Smith,AR 359 342 Fort Walton
Bch,FL 361 344 Fort Wayne,IN 199 080 Fort Worth,TX 033 038 Fresno,CA 153 162
Gadsden,AL 113 098 Gainesville,FL 365 348 Galveston,TX 367 012 Gary,IN 001
020 Glens Falls, NY 063 078 Grand Forks,ND 371 356 Grand Rapids,MI 021 244
Granite City,IL 017 046 Great Falls, MT 373 358 Greeley,CO 045 360 Green
Bay,WI 217 362 Greensboro,NC 095 142 Greenville,SC 139 116 Gulf of Mexico,LA
171 194 Gulfport,MS TBA 264 Gunterville,AL 203 198 Hagerstown,MD 381 364
Hamilton,OH 383 366 Harlingen,TX 451 434 Harrisburg,PA 159 096 Hartford,CT
119 088 Hickory,NC 385 368 Honolulu,HI 167 060 Houma,LA 387 370 Houston,TX
035 012 Huntington,WV 307 196 Huntsville,AL 203 198 Indianapolis,IN 019 080
Iowa City,IA 389 286 Jackson,MI 391 374 Jackson,MS 205 160 Jacksonville,FL
075 136 Jacksonville,NC 393 376 Janesville, WI 217 210 Johnson City,TN 149
074 Johnstown,PA 039 032 Joliet,IL 001 020 Joplin,MO 401 384 Kalamazoo,MI
403 386 Kankakee,IL 001 020 Kansas City,KS/MO 059 052 Kennewick,WA TBA 500
Kenosha,WI 217 044 Killeen,TX 409 392 Kingsport,TN 149 074 Knoxville,TN 093
104 Kokomo,IN 411 080 La Crosse,WI 413 396 Lafayette,IN 415 080 Lafayette,LA
431 414 Lake Charles,LA 417 400 Lakeland,FL 175 042 Lancaster,PA 159 096
Lansing,MI 021 188 Laredo,TX 419 402 Las Cruces,NM 097 404 Las Vegas,NV 211
064 Lawrence,KS 059 406 Lawton,OK 425 408 Lewiston,ME 427 482 Lexington,KY
213 206 Lima,OH 021 412 Lincoln,NE 433 416 Little Rock,AR 215 208 Long
Branch,NY 173 022 Longview,TX 229 418 Lorain,OH 437 054 Los Angeles,CA 027
002 Louisville, KY 065 076 Lubbock,TX 439 422 Lynchberg,VA 441 424
****
* PART XVI. HOME SYSTEM ID LISTING (M-Z) SYSTEM NON(A) WIRE(B) Macon,GA 443
426 Madison,WI 217 210 Manchester,NH 445 428 Mansfield,OH 447 430
Marshall,TX 229 418 Mayaguez 449 432 McAllen,TX 451 434 Medford,OR 061 436
Melbourne,FL 175 068 Memphis,TN 143 062 Miami,FL 037 024 Midland,TX 459 422
Millville,NH TBA 250 Milwaukee,WI 005 044 Minneapolis,MN 023 026 Mobile,AL
081 120 Modesto,CA 233 224 Moline,IL 193 186 Monroe,LA 463 440 Monterey,CA
527 126 Montgomery,AL 465 444 Moorehead,ND TBA 330 Muncie,IN 467 080
Muskegon,MI 021 448 Nashua,NH 445 428 Nashville,TN 179 118 NE Pennsylvania
103 172 New Bedford,MA 119 028 New Brunswick,NY 173 022 New Haven,CT 119 088
New London,CT 119 088 New Orleans,LA 057 036 Newport News,VA 083 168 New
York,NY 025 022 Norfolk,VA 083 168 Ocala,FL 473 348 Odessa,TX 475 422
Oklahoma City,OK 169 146 Olympia,WA 047 006 Omaha,NE 137 152 Orange
County,NY 479 486 Orlando,FL 175 068 Owensboro,KY 197 190 Oxnard,CA 027 002
Panama City,FL 483 462 Parkersburg,WV 485 032 Pascagoula,MS 487 264 Pasco,WA
TBA 500 Pensacola,FL 361 120 Peoria,IL 221 214 Petaluma,CA 031 040
Petersburg,VA 071 472 Philadelphia,PA 029 008 Phoenix,AZ 053 048 Pine
Bluff,AR 215 208 Pittsburg,PA 039 032 Pittsfield,MA 119 480 Ponce,PR 497 082
Portland,ME 499 482 Portland,OR 061 030 Portsmouth,NH 501 484
Poughkeepsie,NY 503 486 Providence,RI 119 028 Provo,UT 091 488 Pueblo,CO 045
490 Racine,WI 217 044 Raleigh,NC 069 144 Rapid City,SD 511 494 Reading,PA
103 008 Redding,CA 513 294 Reno,NV 515 498 Richland,WA 517 500 Richmond,VA
071 170 Roanoke,VA 519 502 Rochester,NH 501 484 Rochester,MN 521 504
Rochester,NY 117 154 Rockford,IL 217 506 Sacramento,CA 129 112 Saginaw,MI
021 389 Salem,OR 061 030 Salinas,CA 527 126 Salt Lake City,UT 091 094 San
Angelo,TX 529 510 San Antonio,TX 151 122 San Diego,CA 043 004 San

Francisco,CA 031 040 San Jose,CA 031 040 Terre Haute,IN 567 080
Texarkana,AR/TX 229 550 Toledo,OH 021 130 Topeka,KS 059 552 Trenton,PA 029
008 Tuscon,AZ 053 140 Tulsa,OK 111 166 Tuscaloosa,AL 577 098 Tyler,TX 579
418 Utica,NY 235 226 Vallejo,CA 031 040 Victoria,TX 581 562 Vineland,NJ 583
250 Visalia,CA 153 162 Waco,TX 587 566 Warren,OH 089 126 Washington,DC 013
018 Waterloo,IA 589 568 Wausau,WI 591 570 West Palm Beach,FL 037 024
Wheeling,WV 039 032 Wichita Falls,TX 595 574 Wichita,KS 165 070 Wilkes
Barr,PA 103 172 Williamsport,PA 103 576 Wilmington,DE 123 008 Wilmington,NC
599 578 Winston-Salem,NC 095 142 Worcester,MA 007 028 Yakima,WA 601 580
York,PA 159 096 Youngstown,OH 089 126 Yuba City,CA 129 112
****
* PART XVI. "THE ROAMING SCAM" Some people who are playing with phones that
have been originally registered but have been turned off for non-payment of
bills have used the "Roaming Scam" to place free calls. NOTE: The cellular
carriers will still have records of these calls, and will prosecute those
they eventually catch up to (yeah,right). However, industry standards have
shown that they pursue less than one percent of the fraudulent calls placed.
It is far more economical for them to build software and hardware traps to
prevent unbilled calls from being placed rather than attempt to collect on
the other end which involves greater amounts of personnel and manpower with
smaller actual collections. People have performed the roaming scam by taking
their phones into areas where the SIDH numbers are different from the ones
currently programmed into their phones. Refer to the SIDH listing in this
file for the codes for particular cities. By reprogramming the NAM and
inserting a fake SIDH, the cellular carrier will often accept the phone
call, but on occassion the user will get a message that the phone must have
a local code in order to access the system. As cellular carriers grow larger
in size, this message is less frequently heard. At this point, the cellular
carrier instructs the user to contact them. I don't think so. The cellular
service has the best chance of of catching a spoofer who either calls a
friend continually at home or by developing traceable trends such as calling
the same number from within the same cell at the same time every day. Or
doing something stupid like ordering a Pizza. "But I want to hook up the
phone to an acoustic coupler, d00d, and call all the k-rad out-state-boards
for the latest PyRut WaR3z!4@$$!$@!@" <-lamer. Well, one of the properties
of cellular phone systems is that the transmitter freqs. may be changed or
"hopped" in the constant effort to allocate freqs. Because of freq. hopping
it is very difficult to triangulate a cellular phone using standard
directional finding methods (trace you, d00d). Further, it is known that a
directional antenna randomly aimed at cellsite repeaters will confuse
directional finding equipment being used by them that is synced to their
freq. hopping scheme.
****
* PART XVIII. MERCHANDISE SHEET CELLULAR PHONE SUPPLIERS NCI R/M Wholesale
Communications 744 Roble Road, Suite 185 800-837-5532 Allentown,PA 18103
800-669-5167 215-264-5117 Superior Cellular Products Cellular Enterprises,
Inc. 3925 N. Rosemead Blvd. #205 813-885-7766 Rosemead,CA 91770 818-280-6665
Dynatek Communication Dist. Wholesale Cellular, Inc. 340 Constance Dr. 5720
West 71st St. Warminster,PA 18974 Indianapolis, IN 46278 215-672-5000
317-297-6100 CELLULAR SERVICE MONITORS InTouch USA 800-USA-ROAM 800-872-7626

CELLULAR TEST EQUIPMENT WAVETEK 1-800-223-WVTK Ask for free Communications Catalog CELLULAR SERVICE MONITORS Communication Instruments 356 Hillcrest Street El Segundo, CA 90245 800-288-8223 213-322-3666 CELLULAR PHONE REPAIRS Communication Consultants Co. Cellular Phone Services, Inc 16128 Cohasset St. 403 E. Gude Dr. Van Nuys, CA 91406 Rockville, MD 20850 818-901-9711 800-326-7901 ext. 101 PROM EMULATORS Parallax, Inc. Incredible Technologies 6200 Desimone Lane, #69A 708-437-2433 Citrus Heights, CA 95621 916-726-1905 Technical Solutions P.O. Box 462101 Dept. 101 Garland, TX 75046 214-272-9392 PROM PROGRAMMERS BP Microsystems Link Computer Graphics 10681 Haddington 369 Passaic Road, Ste. 100 Houston,TX 77043 Fairfield, NJ 07004 713-461-9430 201-808-8990 MVS Needhamps Electronics Box 994 4539 Orange Grove Ave. Merrimack, NH Sacramento, CA 95841 508-792-9507 916-924-8037 PROM CHIPS National Semiconductor Offices throughout the U.S. 408-721-5000 JDR Microdevices 800-538-5000 Easy Tech 2917 Bayview Drive Fremont, CA 94538 800-582-4044 PART II. DEFINITIONS The following is a list of commonly used abbreviations used in cellular phones. SIDH A 15-bit field in the NAM designating the System Identification for the Home System. Bit 0 of the SIDH corresponds to the Preferred System flag used elsewhere in the NAM. Bits 6 and 5 of byte 0 are international code bits. Normally the SIDH is entered during programming of the phone as a 5 digit decimal number. Enter 0's to the left-most unused positions when reprogramming. L.U. Local Use Flag. Tells the cellular phone user if it must preregister with the system. Preregistration with the system means that a mobile must transmit its parameters to the Cellular System as soon as the power-up task and the control channel tasks are completed. "1" enables the flag. Usually set to "1". MIN MARK A 1-bit flag designating that MIN2 (area code) is always sent when making system access. "1" enables the flag. Usually set to "1". MIN2 A 10-bit field representing the area code of the mobile ID number. MIN1 A 24-bit field representing the mobile telephone number. MIN2 plus MIN1 equals MIN, the 10-digit phone number. SCM A 4-bit field designating the Station Class Mark. A (3-Watt) 832 channel mobile unit typically will be 1000, a 1.2 Watt portable 1001 or a 0.6 Watt handheld 1010 or 1110 (discontinuous transmission, meaning push-to-talk). These are class I, Class II and Class III power levels respectively. With the SCM the cellular system determines whether or not a cellular phone can be switched to one of the 156 channels. Bit-1 is "0" for 666 and "1" for 832. (See cellular freq. list) Bit-2 is "0" for a mobile unit and "1" for a voice-activated transmit. Bit-3 and -4 identify the power class of the phone: "00" = 3.0 watts "01" = 1.2 watts "10" = 0.6 watts "11" is not assigned IPCH An 11-bit field designating the initial paging channel to be used if in the home system. Normally it is 334 for wireline systems, 333 for non- wireline systems. But most phones allow other settings for test purposes. ACCOLC A 4-bit field designating the overload class for the cellular phone. The intention of this entry is to allow the Cellular System to be able to determine priority in the event of a system overload, however it is currently useless as the system operators have generally not provided guidance for thier installers. The usual (and correct) system now in effect (in U.S.) is to use a "0" plus the last digit of the phone number. Test phones should be set at "10",emergency vehicles at "11","12" through "15" are reserved. (A class 15 system is supposed to be police, fire, or military). P.S. 1 1-bit flag designating the preferred

system. If PS is "0", channels 334 through 666(EVIL!!!) are used. If PS is "1" then channels 1 through 333 are used. Even numbered system numbers (B systems) require a PS of "0", odd system numbers (A systems) require a "1". GIM A 4-bit field designating the Group Identification Mark. This number tells the Cellular system how far to look in the SIDH to determine if it is roaming in a system which may have a roam agreement with the phone system. It is usually set to "10". LOCK DIGITS A 4-bit field designating the unlock code. The digit "0" in the lock code is represented by an "A" in the actual NAM hexidecimal data. A lock code of all "0" sometimes unlocks the cellular phone.(Note: Lock codes are 3 digits. When programming a phone use "0" as the first number.) E.E. A 1-bit flag designating that end-to-end signaling is enabled. End-to-end signaling means that the DTMF tones will be transmitted on the voice channel as well as being echoed on the handset. This feature in necessary for such services as Bank by Phone, activating answering machines and in third party long distance services such as Sprint and MCI. A "1" enables the flag. Usually set to "1". REP A 1-bit flag designating that repertory memory (speed dialing) in the cellular phone is enabled. And once a again a "1" enables the flag. H.A. A 1-bit flag designating that the horn alert feature in enabled. "1" enables the flag. H.F. A 1-bit flag designating that the handsfree option is enabled. A "1" enables the flag. Often, transceivers supplied as hands-free units require that this flag be left at "0".

****

* PART III. TYPES OF NAMS NAM Types Used in Cellular Phones NAM- Number Assignment Module - A 32 word by 8 bit PROM The NAM contains all the information that can be programmed to the phone directly from the handset. (i.e. SIDH,MIN,LOCK-CODE,etc.). All phones except NEC will accept tri-state NAMs. NEC requires an open collector NAM. Fujitsu phones will accept either open collector or tri- state NAMs. Brand Qualifications: Fujitsu and Alpine phones will not operate properly with any Harris Brand NAM or with any Signetics brand NAMs with a part number NOT ending with an "A". TI NAMs must not be used in GE Star models. Panasonic has suggested only TI NAMs should be used in their phones. NAMs are available in ceramic (F) or plastic encapsulation (N). BRAND OPEN COL. TRI-STATE OPEN COL. TRI-STATE

==========

= Signetics 82S23 82S123 Texas Inst. 74S188 74S288 TBP18SA030 TBP18S030 AMD AM27LS18 AM27LS19 AM27S18 AM27S19 Texas Inst. TBP38SA030 TBP38S030 Harris HM7602 HM7603 MMI 53/6330 53/6331 MMI 53/63S080 53/63S081 NSC DM54S188 DM54S288 DM74S188 NSC DM82S23 DM82S123 Motorola This is for a special NAM used in some Motorolas. Requires an adapter. Fujitsu MB7056 MB7051

****

* PART IV. NAM FORMAT MAP HEX MARK DEFINITION MOST <- BIT SIGNIFICANCE -> LEAST

ADDRESS ----------

-------- 0 SIDH (14-8) 00 SIDH (7-0) 01 LU=LOCAL USE** 000000 MIN 02 LU A/B* RI* MIN2(33-28) 03 MIN2(27-24) 0000 04 0000 MIN1(23-20) 05 MIN1(19-12) 06 MIN1(11-4) 07 MIN1(3-0) 0000 08 0000 SCM(3-0) 09 00000 IPCH(10-8) 0A IPCH(7-0) 0B 0000 ACCOLC(3-0) 0C PS=PREFERRED** 0000000 PS 0D SYSTEM 0000 GIM(3-0) 0E LOCK DIGIT 1 LOCK DIGIT 2 0F LOCK DIGIT 3 LOCK SPARE BITS 10 EE=END TO END EE 000000 REP 11 SIGNALING HA 000000 HF 12

REP=REPERTORY ---------- HA=HORN ALERT 13 HF=HANDS FREE 14 15 16 SPARE LOCATIONS (13-1D) 17 CONTAIN ALL ZEROS EXCEPT 18 FOR MANUFACTURERS OPTIONS 19 1A 1B 1C 1D NAM CHECKSUM ADJUSTMENT 1E NAM CHECKSUM 1F The Checksum Adjustment and Checksum are calculated automatically after the data has been edited for the NAM. The sum of all words in the NAM plus the last two must equal a number with "0" in the last two digits. The radio checks this sum and if it isn't correct, the radio assumes the NAM is bad or that it has been tampered with. * - These bits are used only by AT&T,Hitachi and Mitsubishi. They are A/B Enable and Roam Inhibit. They must be "0" for all other models. ** - My information does not agree here. One source claims that address 03 has 6 bits and that address 0D has 7 bits. The other source states the the exact opposite.
****
* PART V. STANDARD NAM FORMATS NAMs are generally mapped the same in all cellular phones, and the ones that have no "fancy" options are generally programmed the same. This chart provides the usual digits and settings for almost every NAM. ITEM DESCRIPTION NO. OF DIGITS USUAL SETTING ----------
------ 1 First 3 digits 3 XXX of phone number 2 Last 4 digits 4 XXXX of phone number 3 Lock Code(LOCK) 3 or 4 XXXA or XXXX 4 Area Code (MIN2) 3 XXX 5 Home Area System ID No. (SIDH) 4* XXXX 6 Horn Alert (HA) 1 0 or 1 7 Hands Free (HF) 1 0 or 1 8 End-to-End Signaling 1 1 (EE) 9 Repertory Mark (REP) 1 1 10 Group Identification 2 10 Mark (GIM) 11 Access Overload Class 2 XX* (ACCOLC) 12 Station Class Mark (SCM) 4* XXXX 13 Local Use Mark (LU) 1 1 14 Min Mark (MIN) 1 1 15 Initial Paging Channel 3 333 or 334* (IPCH) 16 Preferred System Mark 1 0 or 1* (PS) * Notes- 1. Home Area ID Number normally consists of five digits. However, four are usually sufficient is the U.S. 2. Access Overload Class is generally made up of a "0" plus the last digits of the telephone number. Some systems set at "15" or "00". 3. Station Class Mark is expressed as four binary digits. 4. Initial Paging Channel is 334 for wireline, 333 for non-wireline systems. 5. The Preferred Mark System is set to "0" for wireline, "1" for non- wireline systems.
****
* PART VI. NAM Programming (Reprogramming) Instructions For 30+ Cellular Telephones ----------
------------ PLEASE NOTE: Area specific numbers contained within these programming instructions may not be accurate for your cellular area. ----------
------- Programming Instructions for: DIAMONDTEL MESA90X HANDHELD ACTION TO STORE DISPLAY USED EQUIPMENT: TO DISPLAY CURRENT UNLOCK CODE - PRESS FCN 7 ENTER 4 DIGIT SECURITY CODE ENTER NEW 3 DIGIT UNLOCK CODE PRESS CLR PWR up unit Press "END" and hold within 10 seconds of pwr up Enter "5132920" Release END key. 0 SEND DUAL NO XXXXXXXXXX SEND NO1 _ _ _ _ _ SEND SID1 1 SEND LU1 1 SEND EX1 334 SEND IPCH1 07 SEND ACCOLC1 0 SEND PREF1 10 SEND GIM1 0 SEND RI1 1 SEND DTX1 1 SEND AR1 1234 SEND SEC 1 SEND EE 1 SEND C TONE 0 SEND AL 0 SEND BO 1 SEND BEEP TO EXIT PROGRAMMING MODE PRESS "END" AT ANY TIME TO RESET NAM FROM THE LIMIT OF 3 PROGRAM ATTEMPTS PWR up unit Press "END" and hold within 10 seconds of pwr up TO RESET NAM OF MESA 90 HANDHELD USE THE CODE "6972814" Programming Instructions for: DIAMONDTEL MESA99X HANDHELD ACTION TO STORE DISPLAY USED EQUIPMENT: TO DISPLAY CURRENT UNLOCK

CODE - PRESS FCN 7 ENTER 4 DIGIT SECURITY CODE ENTER NEW 3 DIGIT UNLOCK CODE PRESS CLR PWR up unit Press "END" and hold within 10 seconds of pwr up Enter "5132920" Release END key. 0 SEND DUAL NO XXXXXXXXXX SEND NO1 _ _ _ _ _ SEND SID1 1 SEND LU1 1 SEND MIN MARK1 334 SEND IPCH1 07 SEND ACCOLC1 10 SEND GIM1 0 SEND RI1 0 SEND DTX1 0 SEND AR1 1234 SEND SEC 1 SEND CONTINUE D.T.M.F. 0 SEND AUTO LOCK 0 SEND BOOSTER TO EXIT PROGRAMMING MODE PRESS "END" AT ANY TIME Programming Instructions for: GATEWAY CP 900 HANDHELD USED EQUIPMENT: TO DISPLAY CURRENT UNLOCK CODE - CURRENT UNLOCK CODE CAN NOT BE SEEN AND MUST BE KNOWN TO CHANGE THE CURRENT UNLOCK CODE. PRESS MENU ENTER 03 ENTER CURRENT 4 DIGIT SECURITY CODE ENTER NEW 4 DIGIT SECURITY CODE PHONE WILL AUTO EXIT TO READY ACTION TO STORE DISPLAY PRESS MENU 99 ENTR SCRTY CODE(FCTRY PRST IS 9999) PROGRAM NAM _ _ _ _ _ SEND SID XXXXXXXXXX SEND PHONE NUMBER 0334 SEND IPC 07 SEND ACCOL 10 SEND GIM 1 SEND MOBILE I.D. NUMBER 1 SEND LOCAL USE MARK 2 SEND SYSTEM SELECT (B) UPON PRESSING SEND THE PHONE WILL CYCLE TO WAIT AND THEN RETURN TO THE READY MODE. TO DISPLAY THE NEW PHONE NUMBER: PRESS RCL 00 Programming Instructions for: GENERAL ELECTRIC MINI II ACTION TO STORE DISPLAY USED EQUIPMENT: TO DISPLAY CURRENT UNLOCK CODE - PRESS FCN 7 ENTER 4 DIGIT SECURITY CODE ENTER NEW 3 DIGIT UNLOCK CODE PRESS CLR PWR up unit Press and hold END key within 10 seconds of pwr up Enter "6282905" Release END key 0 Press SEND DUAL NO XXXXXXXXXX Press SEND NO1 _ _ _ _ _ Press SEND SID1 1 Press SEND LU1 1 Press SEND EX1 0334 Press SEND IPCH1 07 Press SEND ACCOLC1 0 Press SEND PREF1 10 Press SEND GIM1 0 Press SEND RI1 1 Press SEND DTX1 1 Press SEND AR1 1234 Press SEND SEC 1 Press SEND EE 1 Press SEND C DTMF 0 Press SEND AL 0 Press SEND BEEP 0 Press SEND BO TO EXIT PROGRAMMING MODE PRESS "END" AT ANY TIME Programming Instructions for: GENERAL ELECTRIC MINI ACTION TO STORE DISPLAY USED EQUIPMENT: TO DISPLAY CURRENT UNLOCK CODE - UNLOCK CODES WILL BE CHANGED ONLY IN PROGRAMMING MODE FOLLOW THE INSTRUCTIONS THAT FOLLOW PWR up unit Press and hold CL key within 10 seconds of pwr up 0Enter "7591122" Release CL key XXXXXXXXXX PRESS SEND MIN 123 PRESS SEND UNLOCK _ _ _ _ _ PRESS SEND SID 1 PRESS SEND LU 1 PRESS SEND MIN MARK 334 PRESS SEND IPCH 07 PRESS SEND ACCOLC 0 PRESS SEND PS 10 PRESS SEND GIM 1 PRESS SEND EE 0 PRESS SEND BOOSTER 1 PRESS SEND AR TO EXIT PROGRAMMING MODE PRESS "END" AT ANY TIME Programming Instructions for: MITSUBISHI 800 ACTION TO STORE DISPLAY PWR up unit Press and Hold STO within 10 Seconds of pwr up Enter "5474432" Release STO 0 Press SEND dUAL XXXXXXXXXX Press SEND NO1 _ _ _ _ _ Press SEND SId1 1 Press SEND LU1 0 Press SEND MIN Mark 0334 Press SEND IPCH 07 Press SEND ACCOLC 0 Press SEND PS1 10 Press SEND GI1 1234 Press SEND SECUrity 1 Press SEND EE 0 Press SEND dt 1 Press SEND HF 0 Press SEND InHIbit 1 Press SEND C tOnE 0 Press SEND SyS A/B 0 Press SEND dUAL HS 0 Press SEND InHibit Ld TO EXIT PROGRAMMING MODE PRESS "END" AT ANY TIME Programming Instructions for: MITSUBISHI 900 ACTION TO STORE DISPLAY PWR up unit Press and Hold END key within 10 seconds of pwr up Enter "6972814" Release END key 0 Press SEND DUAL NO XXXXXXXXXX Press SEND NO1 _ _ _ _ _ Press SEND SID1 1 Press SEND LU1 0 Press SEND EX1 0334 Press SEND IPCH1 07 Press SEND ACCOLC1 0 Press SEND PREF1 10 Press SEND GIM1 0 Press SEND RI1 1 Press SEND DTX1 1 Press SEND AR1 1234 Press SEND SEC 1 Press SEND EE 1 Press SEND C DTMF 0 Press SEND AL 0 Press SEND BO 1 Press SEND BEEP TO EXIT PROGRAMMING MODE PRESS "END" AT ANY TIME TO ENTER TEST MODE HOLD END ON PWR UP-CODE 0944635 Programming Instructions for: MOTOROLA 8000H ACTION TO STORE DISPLAY USED EQUIPMENT: TO

DISPLAY CURRENT UNLOCK CODE - THIS FUNCTION IS PROVIDED IN STEP 9 OF THE PROGRAMMING MODE PWR up unit Enter STORE #123456123456 RCL If the phone is fresh from factory then Enter STORE #000000000000 RCL If the phone is used or already programmed then Enter STORE #123456123456 RCL DISPLAY WILL SHOW 01 PRESS * _ _ _ _ _ * 02 (SID) XXX * 03 (Area Code) XXX XXXX * 04 (Phone #) 14 * 05 07 * 06 00 * 07 123456 * 08 123 * 09 334 * 10 010100 * 11 000 * PRESS * TO REVIEW ENTRIES TO BURN NAM: PRESS SEND WHILE 01,02,03, ETC. IS DISPLAYED SET TO SCAN B MODE BY : RCL * : PRESS * UNTIL SCAN B MODE SHOWS then: press store Programming Instructions for: MOTOROLA ULTRA CLASSIC HANDHELD ACTION TO STORE DISPLAY USED EQUIPMENT: TO DISPLAY CURRENT UNLOCK CODE - THE UNLOCK CODE IS PROGRAMMED IN STEP 8 OF THE PROGRAMMING MODE FOR NEW PHONE: Press FCN, 0 + Security code entered twice (Factory preset is 000000), RCL The message 01 will appear in the display to confirm programming mode. Press * 01 Press * 1) _ _ _ _ _ Press * 02 Press * 2) XXX Press * 03 Press * 3) XXX XXXX Press * 04 Press * 4) 14 Press * 05 Press * 5) 07 Press * 06 Press * 6) 10 Press * 07 Press * 7) 123456 Press * 08 Press * 8) 123 Press * 09 Press * 9) 0334 Press * 10 Press * 10) 010101 Press * 11 Press * 11) 101 Press * 12 Press * Review entries by pressing "*" repeatedly. Press SEND to program phone. Programming Instructions for: NEC P300 ACTION TO STORE DISPLAY THESE INSTRUCTIONS FOR SERIAL NUMBERS AFTER 135-839601 Insert NAM Programming Adapter (NECAM #41-2019) into plug connector on P300 phone bottom. PWR On RCL # 0 1 to enter test mode. Phone will display shaded blocks. RCL # 7 6 to select NAM. Phone will show 76- Press 0 # to program NAM 1. (NAM 1=0,NAM 2=1,NAM 3=2,NAM 4=3) RCL # 7 1 to enter programming mode. XXXXXXXXXX PRESS # MIN 1234 PRESS # LOCK CODE _ _ _ _ _ PRESS # SID 10 PRESS # GROUP I.D. 0334 PRESS # INITIAL PAGING CH 0 PRESS # SYSTEM SELECT (1=A) 07 PRESS # ACCOLC 1 PRESS # MIN MARK 1 1 PRESS # LOCAL USE 911 PRESS # EMERGENCY NO. Press Clr (and hold) to exit programming and return to TEST MODE. Press RCL # 0 2 to burn and exit to standby. THESE INSTRUCTIONS FOR SERIAL NUMBERS PRIOR TO 135-839601 Insert NAM Programming Cable (41-2019) PWR on RCL # 7 6 to enter TEST MODE Press 0 # to select NAM. RCL # 7 1 You are now in Programming Mode. Enter NAM info as above. Press and hold CLR to exit. Pwr down. Programming Instructions for: NEC P9100 ACTION TO STORE DISPLAY USED EQUIPMENT: TO DISPLAY CURRENT UNLOCK CODE - UNLOCK CODES WILL BE CHANGED ONLY IN PROGRAMMING MODE FOLLOW THE INSTRUCTIONS THAT FOLLOW Make sure NAM battery is fully charged before attempting programming Switch power on Press RCL #01 display will then show shaded blocks For a USED phone - to clear nam and accumulated call timer Press RCL #39 To program NAM1 Press RCL #760# To enter programming mode Press RCL #71 XXXXXXXXXX Press # MIN 1234 Press # Lock Code _ _ _ _ _ Press # SYS. I.D. 10 Press # G.I. Mark 0334 Press # First Paging Channel 0 Press # System Select 07 Press # ACCOLC 0 Press # MIN Mark 1 Press # Local Use TO EXIT PROGRAM MODE AT THIS TIME PRESS CLR AND HOLD DISPLAY WILL SHOW TEST MODE TO EXIT TEXT MODE PRESS RCL#02 IF THE MEMORY IS CLEARED VIA RCL #39 DURING THE PROGRAMMING THEN THE PHONE WILL AUTOMATICALLY ENTER FULL-LOCK AFTER EXITING THE TEST MODE TO UNLOCK: FCN #XXXX(4 DIGIT LOCK CODE FOR LAST NAM PROGRAMMED). Programming Instructions for: NOKIA P-30 ACTION TO STORE DISPLAY USED EQUIPMENT: TO DISPLAY CURRENT UNLOCK CODE - PRESS SEL 7 UNLCODE APPEARS ON THE DISPLAY ENTER 5 DIGIT SECURITY CODE AND THE CURRENT UNLOCK CODE APPEARS IN THE DISPLAY PRESS CLR AND ENTER THE NEW FOUR DIGIT UNLOCK

CODE PRESS SEL TO STORE THE NEW CODE - NOTE: IF YOU DON'T PRESS SEL WITHIN FIVE SECONDS THE DISPLAY WILL CLEAR AND CANCEL THE FUNCTION PWR up unit Enter *17*2001*12345* HO-Id must appear on display Press SEL to view current value Display will be one step behind TO STORE instructions _ _ _ _ _ Press SEL ACCESS (SID) 1 Press SEL LOCAL 1 Press SEL PhonE n XXXXXXXXXX Press SEL CLASS 10 Press SEL PAGE ch 334 Press SEL O-LOAd 07 Press SEL GrouP 10 Press SEL SEC 12345 Press SEL AUTO EXIT PROGRAM MODE TO EXIT PROGRAMMING MODE AT ANY TIME PRESS "END" WHEN THE SEL KEY IS PRESSED FOLLOWING THE LAST PARAMETER VALUE, THE PHONE WILL AUTOMATICALLY EXIT THE NAM PROGRAMMING MODE AND RETURN TO NORMAL OPERATION Programming Instructions for: NOVATEL PTR800 ACTION TO STORE DISPLAY USED EQUIPMENT: TO DISPLAY CURRENT UNLOCK CODE - UNLOCK CODES WILL BE CHANGED ONLY IN PROGRAMMING MODE FOLLOW THE INSTRUCTIONS THAT FOLLOW PWR up unit Press FCN Press FCN again Enter *626776* Display will show CMT REV and a date code - Press Volume Up Display will show NAM SELECT1 Press Volume Up _ _ _ _ _ PRESS # PRESS VOLUME UP SIDH PRESS VOLUME UP SCM XXXXXXXXXX PRESS # PRESS VOLUME UP MIN 0333 PRESS # PRESS VOLUME UP IDCCA 0334 PRESS # PRESS VOLUME UP IDCCB 0334 PRESS # PRESS VOLUME UP IPCH 07 PRESS # PRESS VOLUME UP ACCOLC 10 PRESS # PRESS VOLUME UP GIM 123 PRESS # PRESS VOLUME UP LOCK A 456 PRESS # PRESS VOLUME UP LOCK B 1 PRESS # PRESS VOLUME UP OPTION LC 1 PRESS # PRESS VOLUME UP OPTION EX 0 PRESS # PRESS VOLUME UP OPTION PS 0 PRESS # PRESS VOLUME UP OPTION NSC 1 PRESS # PRESS VOLUME UP OPTION EE 1 PRESS # PRESS VOLUME UP OPTION REP 0 PRESS # PRESS VOLUME UP OPTION HA 0 PRESS # PRESS VOLUME UP OPTION HF 0 PRESS # PRESS VOLUME UP OPTION F1 0 PRESS # PRESS VOLUME UP OPTION F2 0 PRESS # PRESS VOLUME UP OPTION F3 0 PRESS # PRESS VOLUME UP OPTION F4 TO EXIT PROGRAMMING MODE AT ANY TIME PRESS FCN END FCN FCN *6462257* WILL CLEAR THE NAM IF IT HAS BEEN PROGRAMMED MORE THAN 3 TIMES OR IF THE NEED EXISTS TO CLEAR THE MEMORY Programming Instructions for: NOVATEL PTR825 ACTION TO STORE DISPLAY PWR up unit Press FCN Press FCN again Enter *697201* Display will show CMT REV 972 105 Press Volume Up 1 PRESS # VOL UP NAM SELECT 1 _ _ _ _ _ PRESS # VOL UP SIDH 10 PRESS VOL UP SCM XXXXXXXXXX PRESS # VOL UP MIN 0333 PRESS VOL UP IDCCA 0334 PRESS VOL UP IDCCB 0334 PRESS # VOL UP IPCH 07 PRESS # VOL UP ACCOLC 10 PRESS # VOL UP GIM 123 PRESS # VOL UP LOCK A 123 PRESS # VOL UP LOCK B 1 PRESS # VOL UP LC 1 PRESS # VOL UP OPTION EX 0 PRESS # VOL UP PS - PREF SYSTEM 0 PRESS VOL UP OPTION NSC 1 PRESS # VOL UP OPTION EE-END TO END 1 PRESS # VOL UP OPTION REP 0 PRESS # VOL UP HORN ALERT 0 PRESS # VOL UP HANDS FREE 0 PRESS # VOL UP OPTION F1 0 PRESS # VOL UP OPTION F2 0 PRESS # VOL UP OPTION F3 1 PRESS # VOL UP OPTION F4 AIR RND UP 0 PRESS # VOL UP OPTION F5 FUTURE USE 0 PRESS # VOL UP OPTION F6 FUTURE USE 0 PRESS # VOL UP OPTION F7 FUTURE USE Programming will now wrap to beginning SIDH display. Press VOL UP to review entries Programming Instructions for: OKI HANDHELD MODEL # 750 ACTION TO STORE DISPLAY USED EQUIPMENT: TO DISPLAY CURRENT UNLOCK CODE - THIS FUNCTION IS PROVIDED FOR IN THE PROGRAMMING SEQUENCE Pwr up unit Press (*) and (#) simultaneously Enter 10 digit Sec Code as follows: *12345678# What follows can be done only once! The display "Enter NEW PW-Sto" Enter 0123456789 then press STORE Pwr unit down. Pwr up unit Press MENU and RCL simultaneously Enter 0123456789 Software Version and ESN Number in HEX Clears in 2 secs. Spd Dial Mem Clear Press 0 Press STO Def Data Reset Press 0 Press STO NAM 1 Mode Own #111 111-1111 XXX XXX XXXX Press STO Vol Up Security 123456 Press STO Vol Up OPTION 1100 Press STO Vol Up SCM

1010 Press STO Vol Up GIM 10 Press STO Vol Up Unlock # 1234 Press STO Vol Up ACCOLC # 07 Press STO Vol Up IPCH NO. 0334 Press STO Vol Up _ _ _ _ _ Press STO Vol Up System ID: At this time you may exit the programming mode by pressing CLR to bypass the other NAM modules. Programming Instructions for: OKI HANDHELD MODEL # 900 ACTION TO STORE DISPLAY USED EQUIPMENT: TO DISPLAY CURRENT UNLOCK CODE - THIS FUNCTION IS PROVIDED FOR IN THE PROGRAMMING SEQUENCE Pwr up unit Press RCL and MENU simultaneously Enter 10 digit Sec Code as follows: *12345678# What follows can be done only once! The display "Enter NEW PW-Sto" Enter 0123456789 then press STORE. The display "Re-Enter New PW-Sto" Enter 0123456789 then press STORE to enter Programming Mode. IF You don't wish to keep the new password then Pwr unit down. Software Version and ESN Number in HEX Clears in 2 secs. Spd Dial Mem Clear Press * Press STO SPD DIAL MEM CLEAR Press * Press STO DEFAULT DATA CLEAR NAM 1 Mode Own #111 111-1111 XXX XXX XXXX Press STO Vol Up MIN 123456 Press STO Vol Up SECURITY _ _ _ _ _ Press STO Vol Up SYSTEM ID: 0334 Press STO Vol Up IPCH 07 Press STO Vol Up ACCOLC # 15 Press STO Vol Up GROUP I.D. 1234 Press STO Vol Up UNLOCK CODE 1010 Press STO Vol Up STATION CLASS 1110 Press STO Vol Up OPTION At this time you may exit the programming mode by pressing CLR to bypass the other NAM modules. Programming Instructions for: PANASONIC EB3500 Charged Battery and Nam Adaptor are needed. ACTION TO STORE DISPLAY USED EQUIPMENT: TO DISPLAY CURRENT UNLOCK CODE - THIS FUNCTION IS PROVIDED FOR IN THE PROGRAMMING SEQUENCE *0000# to enter program mode *1 Press SND NAM 1 MODE _ _ _ _ _ Press STO 01 SIDH XXXXXXXXXX Press STO 02 OWNDL 0 Press STO 03 PRESYS 334 Press STO 04 IPCH 07 Press STO 05 ACCOLC 10 Press STO 06 GIM 00 Press STO 07 DLMT 10 Press STO 08 SCM 911 Press STO 09 SPDL 1234 Press STO 10 LOCK 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 Press STO 11 FCN 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 Press STO 12 FCN 2 1 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 Press STO 13 FCN 3 STO ** END to program phone Programming Instructions for: COLT TRANSPORTABLE ACTION TO STORE DISPLAY USED EQUIPMENT: TO DISPLAY CURRENT UNLOCK CODE - UNLOCK CODES WILL BE CHANGED ONLY IN PROGRAMMING MODE FOLLOW THE INSTRUCTIONS THAT FOLLOW Phone must be locked, to accomplish: press FUNC 5 Enter: FUNC #626# FUNC The software revision date will be shown. Press SEND This will advance phone through memory locations. E.S.N. will be displayed, press SEND again. XXX Press SEND AREA CODE XXX XXXX Press SEND PHONE NUMBER _ _ _ _ _ Press SEND SYSTEM ID 07 Press SEND ACCOLC 10 Press SEND GIM 1 Press SEND LOCAL USE MARK 1 Press SEND MIN MARK (MOBILE ID) 123 Press SEND LOCK CODE 0 Press SEND AUTOMATIC LOCK 123 Press SEND CALL RESTRICTION 12 Press SEND CALL COUNTER RESET 1 Press SEND ENABLE HANDSFREE 0 Press SEND DISABLE HORN ALERT 0 Press SEND HA TURN OFF TIME 12 Press SEND TOTAL AIRTIME RESET TO REVIEW PROGRAMMING AT THIS TIME PRESS SEND. TO EXIT PROGRAMMING AND STORE DATA AT ANY TIME PRESS END FUNC END - WAKE UP WILL SOUND, PHONE WILL BE LOCKED ENTER UNLOCK CODE- 123 SYSTEM PREFERENCE MUST BE KEYPAD SELECTED! PRESS FUNC 7 FOR "A" NON W/L OR PRESS FUNC 8 FOR "B" W/L SYS. Programming Instructions for: DIAMONDTEL MESA 55 TRANSPORTABLE ACTION TO STORE DISPLAY PWR up unit Press "CL" and hold within 10 seconds of pwr up Enter "1951426" Current Mobile I.D. will display XXXXXXXXXX Press SEND MIN 123 Press SEND 1 SECURITY _ _ _ _ _ Press SEND 2 SID 1 Press SEND 3 LU 1 Press SEND 4 MIN MARK 334 Press SEND 5 IPCH 07 Press SEND 6 ACCOLC 0 Press SEND 7 PREF SYS 10 Press SEND 8 GIM 1 Press SEND 9 EE 1 Press SEND 10 ENBL HANDSFREE 0 Press SEND 11 RI 04 Press SEND 12 AUX 1 07 Press SEND 13 AUX 2 Phone automatically returns to show the 10 digits MIN

number at this time and to indicate that the NAM has been programmed. The END key should be pressed to burn the NAM. Programming Instructions for: DIAMONDTEL MESA95 TRANSPORTABLE ACTION TO STORE DISPLAY PWR up unit Press and hold Clr within 10 Seconds of pwr up Enter "1951426" Release Clr 0 Press SEND duaAL no XXXXXXXXXX Press SEND no1 _ _ _ _ _ Press SEND SId1 1 Press SEND LU1 1 Press SEND E1 334 Press SEND IPCH1 07 Press SEND ACCOLC1 0 Press SEND PS1 10 Press SEND GI1 5 Press SEND t InC1 1234 Press SEND SECUrIty 1 Press SEND EE 0 Press SEND dt 0 Press SEND HF 0 Press SEND InHIbIt 1 Press SEND Ctone 0 Press SEND dIS CU 0 Press SEND dIS IGn SEnSE 0 Press SEND DUAL HS TO EXIT PROGRAMMING MODE PRESS "END" AT ANY TIME TO RESET NAM FROM THE LIMIT OF 3 PROGRAM ATTEMPTS FOLLOW THE BLOCK OF INSTRUCTIONS AT TOP USING "8291112" W/CLR TO RESET NAM OF MESA 90 HANDHELD USE THE CODE "6972814" Programming Instructions for: FUJITSU MOBILE PHONE ACTION TO STORE DISPLAY PWR up unit Unit must be locked to program. To lock press F+LOCK PWR down unit. PWR up unit. Within 10 seconds press #626#7764726 (#NAM#PROGRAM) A continuous tone will be heard for 7 seconds. PRESS AND HOLD THE * KEY WHILE THE TONE SOUNDS, DO NOT LET GO! The tone will change to an intermittent tone, then it will stop. Release the * key. CONGRATULATIONS!!! YOU ARE NOW IN PROGRAMMING MODE! _ _ _ _ _ PRESS STOR 1 SIDH 1 PRESS STOR 2 LOCAL 1 PRESS STOR 3 MIN MARK XXXXXXXXXX PRESS STOR 4 MIN 10 PRESS STOR 5 STATION 0334 PRESS STOR 6 IPCH 07 PRESS STOR 7 ACCOLC 0 PRESS STOR 8 PS 10 PRESS STOR 9 GIM 1234 PRESS STOR 10 LOCK 1 PRESS STOR 11 CALL TIME 2 PRESS STOR 12 AUTO LOCK 1 PRESS STOR 13 CALL REST PRESS STOR TO REVIEW ENTRIES. WHEN AT MODE #1 PRESS SEND TO BURN NAM AND RETURN TO NORMAL OPERATION. IF PROGRAMMING WAS DONE INCORRECTLY A SHORT HIGH TONE WILL BE HEARD, YOU MUST THEN REPEAT DATA ENTRY. YOU MUST PRESS STOR AFTER EACH ENTRY FOR THE CHECKSUM FUNCTION TO BE FULFILLED. Programming Instructions for: GENERAL ELECTRIC CARFONE XR3000 ACTION TO STORE DISPLAY USED EQUIPMENT: TO DISPLAY CURRENT UNLOCK CODE - THE UNLOCK CODE IS PROGRAMMED IN STEP 2 OF THE PROGRAMMING MODE PWR up unit Press "CL" and hold within 10 seconds of pwr up Enter "923885" Serial # will display Press Send key to advance to first entry XXXXXXXXXX Press SEND MIN 123 Press SEND UNLOCK _ _ _ _ _ Press SEND SID 1 Press SEND LU 1 Press SEND MIN MARK 334 Press SEND IPCH 07 Press SEND ACCOLC 0 Press SEND PS 10 Press SEND GIM 0 Press SEND AUX 1 Press SEND HANDS PRESS SEND TO REVIEW ENTRIES. NOTE: AREA CODE (402) WILL DISPLAY FOR MIN THEN AUTO SWITCH TO REST OF NUMBER ON DISPLAY - BE PATIENT PRESS "E" KEY TO COMPLETE PROGRAMMING OF THE XR 3000 AT THIS TIME Programming Instructions for: GOLDSTAR SERIES 5000 MOBILE ACTION TO STORE DISPLAY PWR up Unit Press FCN 4 to see the selected NAM. Press * to advance. Select NAM1. Press FCN, 9, 9, * "Enter Code" will be displayed. Enter 1234567890 XXXXXXXXXX PRESS MEM Enter MIN _ _ _ _ _ PRESS MEM Enter System ID 0334 PRESS MEM Enter IPCH 07 (ACCOLC) PRESS MEM Enter OVLD Class 1234 PRESS MEM LOCK CODE 123456 PRESS MEM SECURITY CODE 1234 PRESS MEM ALARM DISARM CODE 0 PRESS MEM PREFFERED SYSTEM 0 PRESS MEM STATION CLASS MARK 1 PRESS MEM HANDS FREE MARK (ON) 1 PRESS MEM LOCAL USE MARK (ON) 1 PRESS MEM MIN MARK (ON) 0 PRESS MEM HORN ALERT (OFF) 0 PRESS MEM OPT. SPEAKER (OFF) TO SAVE TO NAM NOW PRESS MEM TO REVIEW ENTRIES USE THE VOLUME UP OR DOWN KEYS Programming Instructions for: MITSUBISHI 555,560,600 ACTION TO STORE DISPLAY USED EQUIPMENT: TO DISPLAY CURRENT UNLOCK CODE - TO PROGRAM THIS FUNCTION YOU MUST BE OUT OF THE PROGRAMMING MODE ENTER FCN 6 AND THEN ENTER THE NEW 3 DIGIT UNLOCK CODE

PRESS CLR. To program from keypad remove and discard Nam Pad PWR up unit Press and Hold STO key within 10 seconds of pwr up Enter "5474432" Release STO key XXXXXXXXXX Press SEND MIN 123 Press SEND SECURITY CODE _ _ _ _ _ Press SEND SID 1 Press SEND LU 1 Press SEND MIN MARK 334 Press SEND IPCH1 07 Press SEND ACCOLC1 0 Press SEND PS1 10 Press SEND GIM 1 Press SEND EE 1 Press SEND HANDS FREE 0 Press SEND ROAM INHIBIT 0 Press SEND A/B SELECT 00 Press SEND f3-f0 DUAL HEAD 00 Press SEND f7-f4 LD INH TO EXIT PROGRAMMING MODE PRESS "END" AT ANY TIME Installing the LOCK CODE To program the customer's lock code, the phone must be out ot the programming mode. To program, enter FCN, 6,3-digit security code, the a 3-digit lock code. Press CLR. Programming Instructions for: NEC M3700 SERIES MOBILE ACTION TO STORE DISPLAY USED EQUIPMENT: TO DISPLAY CURRENT UNLOCK CODE - UNLOCK CODES WILL BE CHANGED ONLY IN PROGRAMMING MODE FOLLOW THE INSTRUCTIONS THAT FOLLOW A NAM PROGRAMMER ADAPTOR (NECAM #41-2012) IS REQUIRED PWR UP TO ENTER TEST MODE: RCL, #,0,1. WILL CYCLE TO SHADED TO CLEAR MEMORY: RCL # 3 9 select nam RCL # 7 6 0 # nam 1 RCL # 7 6 1 # nam 2 TO ENTER PROGRAMMING MODE: RCL #71 XXX XXX XXXX PRESS # Telephone No. (MIN) 1234 PRESS # Lock Code _ _ _ _ _ PRESS # Home Area (SYS I.D.) 10 PRESS # G-NO (Group I.D.) 0334 PRESS # First Paging Channel 0 for wireline PRESS # System Select 07 PRESS # ACCOLC 1 PRESS # ACCESS 1 PRESS # Local Use To exit PROGRAMMING MODE PRESS CLR and hold TEST MODE will show to exit TEST MODE RCL #02 IF MEM WAS CLEARED VIA RCL #39, PHONE WILL AUTOMATICALLY ENTER FULL-LOCK AFTER EXITING THE TEST MODE. TO UNLOCK PRESS FCN # 1234. Programming Instructions for: NOKIA LX-11 ACTION TO STORE DISPLAY USED EQUIPMENT: TO DISPLAY CURRENT UNLOCK CODE - PRESS SEL,5 THEN ENTER 5 DIGIT SECURITY CODE PRESS SEL TO RECEIVE DISPLAY OF CURRENT UNLOCK CODE PRESS 5 NOW TO CLEAR ALL CALL TIMERS ENTER THE NEW UNLOCK CODE PRESS SEL TO ACCEPT PWR up unit Enter *3001#12345 Then - SEL 9 END IdEnt IF InFO should appear on display Pressing END will move you through the parameters Pressing SND will toggle between choices available _ _ _ _ _ Press END HO-Id (SID) 1 Press END ACCESS 1 Press END LOCL OPt XXXXXXXXXX Press END Phonxx 08 Press END St CLASS 334 Press END PAging Ch 07 Press END O-LOAd CLASS B Press END PrEF SyS 10 Press END grOUP Id 12345 Press END SECUrIty -------- (Can't be changed) Press END 1 dAtE 00/00/90 (INSTALLATION DATE) Press END 2 dAtE TO EXIT PROGRAMMING MODE AT ANY TIME PRESS "END" TO STORE LAST PARAMETER THEN POWER DOWN WHEN THE "END" KEY IS PRESSED FOLLOWING THE LAST PARAMETER, THE TEXT Prog donE WILL APPEAR ON DISPLAY Programming Instructions for: NOKIA M-10 ACTION TO STORE DISPLAY USED EQUIPMENT: TO DISPLAY CURRENT UNLOCK CODE - THIS FUNCTION IS PROVIDED BY THE SECURITY CODE PROGRAMMED AT THE TIME OF PROGRAMMING PWR up unit Enter *17*3001*1234* HO-Id must appear on display Press SEL to view current value Display will be one step behind TO STORE instructions _ _ _ _ _ Press SEL ACCESS (SID) 1 Press SEL LOCAL 1 Press SEL PhonE n XXXXXXXXXX Press SEL CLASS 08 Press SEL PAGE ch 334 Press SEL O-LOAd 07 Press SEL GrouP 10 Press SEL SEC 1234 Press SEL AUTO EXIT PROGRAM MODE TO EXIT PROGRAMMING MODE AT ANY TIME PRESS "END" WHEN THE SEL KEY IS PRESSED FOLLOWING THE LAST PARAMETER VALUE, THE PHONE WILL AUTOMATICALLY EXIT THE NAM PROGRAMMING MODE AND RETURN TO NORMAL OPERATION Programming Instructions for: NOVATEL 8305 TRANSPORTABLE CA08 SOFTWARE VERSION USED EQUIPMENT: TO DISPLAY CURRENT UNLOCK CODE - IF EQUIPMENT IS PROVIDED WITH A MENU KEY THEN ENTER THE MENU PORTION OF THE PHONE AND DISPLAY AND IF NECESSARY REPROGRAM THE UNLOCK CODE

FROM THERE. IF PHONE DOES NOT HAVE A MENU KEY THEN THERE CAN BE NO PROGRAMMING OF THE UNLOCK CODE... THE SECURITY CODE WILL BE ALL THAT IS PROVIDED Lock Phone by pressing FCN 1 Enter Programming Mode by pressing #259 Screen will display the software revision number Press Volume Up Screen will display Phone's E.S.N. Press Volume Up Screen will display INIT REP USE SND Press SEND to erase any numbers stored in the phones memory Press Volume Up _ _ _ _ _ Send Vol. Up SIDH system I.D. XXX XXX XXXX Send Vol. Up MIN mobile I.D. Must be changed when done Send Vol. Up LOCK CODE 1 programming - BY CUSTOMER Must be changed when done Send Vol. Up LOCK CODE 2 programming - BY CUSTOMER SET Vol. Up Option EX extnd adrss 334 press send to change Vol. Up IPCH initial pge 07 Send Vol. Up ACCOLC overload 10 Send Vol. Up GIM group i.d. 333 Vol. Up IDCCA initl a 334 Vol. Up IDCCB initl b 1 Vol. Up REG TBL SIZE Volume up through the four invalid System I.D. addresses SET Vol. Up OPTION LU local use B press send to change Vol. Up OPTION PS (prefered sys) CLR Vol. Up OPTION IRI rm inhbt CLR Vol. Up OPTION SSD SET Vol. Up OPTION QRC qck rcall SET Vol. Up OPTION QST qck store SET Vol. Up OPTION WUT wake tone SET Vol. Up OPTION EE use dtmf SET Vol. Up OPTION FD use dtmf SET Vol. Up OPTION MFD ext dtmf SET Vol. Up OPTION 32D dgt dial CLR Vol. Up OPTION MLH timer CLR Vol. Up OPTION LHM timer CLR Vol. Up OPTION CRU timer dsp CLR Vol. Up OPTION NLM timer SET for on CLR for off Vol. Up OPTION HA hrn alert CLR Vol. Up OPTION ONL diagnostc END to exit or VOLUME UP to review entries. Programming Instructions for: OKI CDL400 ACTION TO STORE DISPLAY To Enter Programming mode: Press IN SEQUENCE: END RCL FUNC CLR SND Screen shows Entr id Enter 08693427 XXX XXX XXXX PRESS # PRESS * PHon _ _ _ _ _ PRESS # PRESS * S id no 0334 PRESS # PRESS * iPCH 07 PRESS # PRESS * ACC oLC 123 PRESS # PRESS * LoC Cod 10 PRESS # PRESS * G id 0000 PRESS # PRESS * Stn CLS 0111 PRESS # PRESS * HORN ALERT, HANDS FREE, LOCAL USE, MIN. MARK. PRESS END AT THIS TIME TO EXIT PROGRAMMING MODE.

TO REPROGRAM TELEPHON NUMBER AND SYSTEM I.D. # - PRESS IN SEQUENCE THE FOLLOWING KEYSTROKES: FUNC 90 * 123 (SECURITY CODE) PHon WILL DISPLAY ENTER NEW PHONE NUMBER XXX XXX XXXX PRESS # PRESS * PHon _ _ _ _ _ PRESS # PRESS * S id no PRESS END AT THIS TIME TO EXIT PROGRAMMING MODE.

To Re-Initialize Nam Memory for Handset Programmable Models - Func 99* Enter Last 8 digits of S.N. Press * Programming Instructions for: PANASONIC EB362 Charged Battery and Nam Adaptor(Grey Cord w/25pin Connector)are needed. ACTION TO STORE DISPLAY USED EQUIPMENT: TO DISPLAY CURRENT UNLOCK CODE - THIS FUNCTION IS PROVIDED FOR IN THE PROGRAMMING SEQUENCE *0000# to enter program mode *1 Press SND 50 Press SND NAM 1 MODE _ _ _ _ _ Press STO 01 SIDH XXXXXXXXXX Press STO 02 OWNDL 1 2 3 Press STO 03 LOCK 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 (12) Press STO 04 SPDL 00 Press STO 05 SCM 334 Press STO 06 IPCH 07 Press STO 07 ACCOLC 10 Press STO 08 GIM 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 Press STO 09 FEATURE A 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Press STO 10 FEATURE B 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 Press STO 11 FEATURE C 00 Press STO 12 DLMT STO ** Turn power off Programming Instructions for: PANASONIC EB-500 OR TP-500 ACTION TO STORE DISPLAY USED EQUIPMENT: TO DISPLAY CURRENT UNLOCK CODE - THIS FUNCTION IS PROVIDED FOR IN THE PROGRAMMING SEQUENCE Attach Nam Programmer Cable (Our Stock # 823) Pwr up unit Enter *0000# 0 *1 SND You are now in the NAM 1 Program mode. _ _ _ _ _ Press STO 01 S.I.D. XXXXXXXXXX Press STO 02 OWN # 0 Press STO 03 O for W/L

system 334 Press STO 04 IPCH 07 Press STO 05 ACCOLC 10 Press STO 06 GIM 00 Press STO 07 Digit Dial Limit 08 Press STO 08 SCM (3watt i.d.) 911 Press STO 09 Rcl 00 1234 Press STO 10 Security Code 11000000 Press STO 11 FUNCTION BYTE 1 00010010 Press STO 12 FUNCTION BYTE 2 10010111 Press STO 13 FUNCTION BYTE 3 TO BURN NAM AT THIS TIME Press STO ** NAM is burned Turn off unit Detach programming cable Programming Instructions for: RADIO SHACK 17-1002 TRANSPORTABLE THIS UNIT REQUIRES A SERVICE HANDSET TO BE PROGRAMMED!!! A Mobira Service Handset (Modified) may be used. It is made from an ME53 or ME57 handset by opening and adding a jumper to the left of the one factory installed just below the white 24 pin connector joining the top and bottom PCB's. Also, pins 1 and 14 of the handset connector must be jumpered at the radio end. To disassemble the handset, carefully pry off the plastic earpad retaining housing to expose on hexdrive screw. Two other screws are under the rubber plugs at either side of the microphone. The handset then splits apart. This is a ticklish job and isn't recommended except in an extreme case and not with the customer's handset. The modification does not affect normal operation of the handset. It is not known if a Radio handset will work the same. After the Service Handset has been applied: To enter LOCAL MODE (which takes the phone off the air and allows service commands to be obeyed) press 01#. The display should clear and noise is heard from the earpiece. To enter the NAM programming mode, enter 48#. The display shows 48 briefly and clears. ACTION KEYBOARD ENTRY DISPLAY 0 * (5-digit SID) 1 * 1 * XXXXXXXXXX * 10 * 334 * 07 * 0 * 10 * 12345 * Press * to exit NAM programming mode and return to Local Mode. To enter the NAM reading mode, press 49#. The display will show 49.

****

* PART VII. THE ELECTRONIC SERIAL NUMBER - AN INTRODUCTION When a cellular phone is removed from factory packaging, the ESN normally can be found in the document package (or on a sticker stuck to the phone). This ESN is usually correct and system registration procedes quickly. If the phone is used or has been turned off for non-payment of bills, the person who restores the service needs the ESN in order to program the system with an MIN. Every manufacturer assigns their own ESN, consequently it is possible to have a number of the same ESN's, although each may be produced by a seperate manufacturer. For example, there may be a Motorola ESN of 123456, an Audiovox ESN of 123456, etc. With this in mind it is a possibility that a person could broadcast without changing the ESN, but rather changing the SCM and the SIDH. The ESN is a 32-bit number which uniquely identifies each unit. This ESN is factory programmed and installed and cannot be changed in the field without removing it and reprogramming a new chip. The ESN may or may not be related to the serial number stamped on the outside of the transceiver chassis. The ESN is encoded into messages which are interchanged with the cellular tower. The ESN must be provided when the phone is registered for service. The ESN is an 11-digit number. THE FIRST THREE NUMBERS ARE THE MANUFACTURERS DECIMAL CODE, THE NEXT TWO ARE RESERVED (BUT MAY CONTAIN ZEROS OR NUMBERS) AND THE REMAINING SIX ARE THE DECIMAL SERIAL NUMBER.

****

* PART VIII. IDENTIFYING THE ESN IN YOUR CELLULAR PHONE Depending on what model phone you have, the ESN will be located on a PROM. The PROM is

programmed at the factory, and installed usually with the security fuse blown to prevent tampering. The code on the PROM might possibly be obtained by unsoldering it from the cellular phone, putting it in a PROM reader, and then obtaining a memory map of the chip. The PROM is going to have from sixteen to twenty-eight leads coming from it. It is a bipolar PROM. SEE ESN.GIF FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION. The majority of phones will accept the National Semiconductor 32x8 PROM, which will hold the ESN and cannot be reprogrammed. If the ESN is known on the phone, it is possible to trace the memory map by installing the PROM into a reader, and obtaining the fuse map from the PROM by triggering the "READ MASTER" switch of the PROM programmer. In addition, most PROM programming systems include a verify and compare switch to allow you to compare the programming of one PROM with another. As said earlier, the ESN is uniformly black with sixteen to twenty-eight leads emanating from it's rectangular body, or square shaped body. If it is the dual-in-line package chip, (usually found in transportables and installed phones),it is rectangular. If it is the plastic leaded chip carrier (PLCC), it will be square and have a much smaller appearance. Functionally, they are the same chip, but the PLCC is used with hand held cellular phones because of the need for reduced size circuitry. It will have a notch within, and also have writing in small white letters on it. (A black chip with small white letters? Should be easy to spot huh?). Look for the follow letters on the chip: MMI TI NS HARRIS NSC MB DM HM AMD TBP MOTOROLA AMPS Once you have found the chip, try ordering a new one (maybe a couple of new ones) from the businesses in the MERCHANDISE SECTION located at the end of this file.
****
* PART IX. SCANNING TO FIND THE ESN/MIN PAIR Well if you haven't guessed by now, the mobiles ESN and MIN must match in the switch or no go. This is required for billing purposes. If one had the ESN and the mobile phone number (MIN), he could call anytime and anyplace without fear of a trace - let alone a bill. The ideal setup would let you listen with a scanner to the reverse control channel, record and display heard working numbers and ESN's, and recall them as one needs to make calls. This would be it, but we are not quite there yet. But some of the hard work has already been done for us. All the aforementioned codes are sent in hex, in NRZ code (phase-key shifting), when a cellular subscriber places a call. But guess what? All phones have an NRZ receiver and transmitter built right into them. All that has to be done is to have a receiver on the reverse control channel, recover the other subscibers data and save it or print it out. Cellular phones operate on a full duplex channel. One frequency is used for transmission from the base to the phone, while another is used for transmission from the phone to the base. The base frequencies are always exactly 45 MHZ higher than the phone frequency, and both of these are incremented by 30 KHZ as the progression of channels increases from Channel #1 to Chanel #1023 (NOTE: There are no channels between 800 and 990). With some systems (not all) the cellular transmission is received by the base and retransmitted on the base frequency. When this is done, a scanner can listen to both sides of the conversation by simply monitoring the base frequency. When this is not the case, two scanners set 45 MHZ apart will work. Here is a list of all Cellular Frequencies: Non-Wireline Wireline Channel Tx Freq Rx Freq Channel Tx Freq Rx Freq Number Mhz Mhz Number Mhz Mhz 1 870.03 825.03 334 880.02

835.02 2 870.06 825.06 335 880.05 835.05 3 870.09 825.09 336 880.08 835.08 4
870.12 825.12 337 880.11 835.11 5 870.15 825.15 338 880.14 835.14 6 870.18
825.18 339 880.17 835.17 7 870.21 825.21 340 880.20 835.20 8 870.24 825.24
341 880.23 835.23 9 870.27 825.27 342 880.26 835.26 10 870.30 825.30 343
880.29 835.29 11 870.33 825.33 344 880.32 835.32 12 870.36 825.36 345 880.35
835.35 13 870.39 825.39 346 880.38 835.38 14 870.42 825.42 347 880.41 835.41
15 870.45 825.45 348 880.44 835.44 16 870.48 825.48 349 880.47 835.47 17
870.51 825.51 350 880.50 835.50 18 870.54 825.54 351 880.53 835.53 19 870.57
825.57 352 880.56 835.56 20 870.60 825.60 353 880.59 835.59 21 870.63 825.63
354 880.62 835.62 22 870.66 825.66 355 880.65 835.65 23 870.69 825.69 356
880.68 835.68 24 870.72 825.72 357 880.71 835.71 25 870.75 825.75 358 880.74
835.74 26 870.78 825.78 359 880.77 835.77 27 870.81 825.81 360 880.80 835.80
28 870.84 825.84 361 880.83 835.83 29 870.87 825.87 362 880.86 835.86 30
870.90 825.90 363 880.89 835.89 31 870.93 825.93 364 880.92 835.92 32 870.96
825.96 365 880.95 835.95 33 870.99 825.99 366 880.98 835.98 34 871.02 826.02
367 881.01 836.01 35 871.05 826.05 368 881.04 836.04 36 871.08 826.08 369
881.07 836.07 37 871.11 826.11 370 881.10 836.10 38 871.14 826.14 371 881.13
836.13 39 871.17 826.17 372 881.16 836.16 40 871.20 826.20 373 881.19 836.19
41 871.23 826.23 374 881.22 836.22 42 871.26 826.26 375 881.25 836.25 43
871.29 826.29 376 881.28 836.28 44 871.32 826.32 377 881.31 836.31 45 871.35
826.35 378 881.34 836.34 46 871.38 826.38 379 881.37 836.37 47 871.41 826.41
380 881.40 836.40 48 871.44 826.44 381 881.43 836.43 49 871.47 826.47 382
881.46 836.46 50 871.50 826.50 383 881.49 836.49 51 871.53 826.53 384 881.52
836.52 52 871.56 826.56 385 881.55 836.55 53 871.59 826.59 386 881.58 836.58
54 871.62 826.62 387 881.61 836.61 55 871.65 826.65 388 881.64 836.64 56
871.68 826.68 389 881.67 836.67 57 871.71 826.71 390 881.70 836.70 58 871.74
826.74 391 881.73 836.73 59 871.77 826.77 392 881.76 836.76 60 871.80 826.80
393 881.79 836.79 61 871.83 826.83 394 881.82 836.82 62 871.86 826.86 395
881.85 836.85 63 871.89 826.89 396 881.88 836.88 64 871.92 826.92 397 881.91
836.91 65 871.95 826.95 398 881.94 836.94 66 871.98 826.98 399 881.97 836.97
67 872.01 827.01 400 882.00 837.00 68 872.04 827.04 401 882.03 837.03 69
872.07 827.07 402 882.06 837.06 70 872.10 827.10 403 882.09 837.09 71 872.13
827.13 404 882.12 837.12 72 872.16 827.16 405 882.15 837.15 73 872.19 827.19
406 882.18 837.18 74 872.22 827.22 407 882.21 837.21 75 872.25 827.25 408
882.24 837.24 76 872.28 827.28 409 882.27 837.27 77 872.31 827.31 410 882.30
837.30 78 872.34 827.34 411 882.33 837.33 79 872.37 827.37 412 882.36 837.36
80 872.40 827.40 413 882.39 837.39 81 872.43 827.43 414 882.42 837.42 82
872.46 827.46 415 882.45 837.45 83 872.49 827.49 416 882.48 837.48 84 872.52
827.52 417 882.51 837.51 85 872.55 827.55 418 882.54 837.54 86 872.58 827.58
419 882.57 837.57 87 872.61 827.61 420 882.60 837.60 88 872.64 827.64 421
882.63 837.63 89 872.67 827.67 422 882.66 837.66 90 872.70 827.70 423 882.69
837.69 91 872.73 827.73 424 882.72 837.72 92 872.76 827.76 425 882.75 837.75
93 872.79 827.79 426 882.78 837.78 94 872.82 827.82 427 882.81 837.81 95
872.85 827.85 428 882.84 837.84 96 872.88 827.88 429 882.87 837.87 97 872.91
827.91 430 882.90 837.90 98 872.94 827.94 431 882.93 837.93 99 872.97 827.97
432 882.96 837.96 100 873.00 828.00 433 882.99 837.99 101 873.03 828.03 434
883.02 838.02 102 873.06 828.06 435 883.05 838.05 103 873.09 828.09 436
883.08 838.08 104 873.12 828.12 437 883.11 838.11 105 873.15 828.15 438
883.14 838.14 106 873.18 828.18 439 883.17 838.17 107 873.21 828.21 440
883.20 838.20 108 873.24 828.24 441 883.23 838.23 109 873.27 828.27 442

883.26 838.26 110 873.30 828.30 443 883.29 838.29 111 873.33 828.33 444
883.32 838.32 112 873.36 828.36 445 883.35 838.35 113 873.39 828.39 446
883.38 838.38 114 873.42 828.42 447 883.41 838.41 115 873.45 828.45 448
883.44 838.44 116 873.48 828.48 449 883.47 838.47 117 873.51 828.51 450
883.50 838.50 118 873.54 828.54 451 883.53 838.53 119 873.57 828.57 452
883.56 838.56 120 873.60 828.60 453 883.59 838.59 121 873.63 828.63 454
883.62 838.62 122 873.66 828.66 455 883.65 838.65 123 873.69 828.69 456
883.68 838.68 124 873.72 828.72 457 883.71 838.71 125 873.75 828.75 458
883.74 838.74 126 873.78 828.78 459 883.77 838.77 127 873.81 828.81 460
883.80 838.80 128 873.84 828.84 461 883.83 838.83 129 873.87 828.87 462
883.86 838.86 130 873.90 828.90 463 883.89 838.89 131 873.93 828.93 464
883.92 838.92 132 873.96 828.96 465 883.95 838.95 133 873.99 828.99 466
883.98 838.98 134 874.02 829.02 467 884.01 839.01 135 874.05 829.05 468
884.04 839.04 136 874.08 829.08 469 884.07 839.07 137 874.11 829.11 470
884.10 839.10 138 874.14 829.14 471 884.13 839.13 139 874.17 829.17 472
884.16 839.16 140 874.20 829.20 473 884.19 839.19 141 874.23 829.23 474
884.22 839.22 142 874.26 829.26 475 884.25 839.25 143 874.29 829.29 476
884.28 839.28 144 874.32 829.32 477 884.31 839.31 145 874.35 829.35 478
884.34 839.34 146 874.38 829.38 479 884.37 839.37 147 874.41 829.41 480
884.40 839.40 148 874.44 829.44 481 884.43 839.43 149 874.47 829.47 482
884.46 839.46 150 874.50 829.50 483 884.49 839.49 151 874.53 829.53 484
884.52 839.52 152 874.56 829.56 485 884.55 839.55 153 874.59 829.59 486
884.58 839.58 154 874.62 829.62 487 884.61 839.61 155 874.65 829.65 488
884.64 839.64 156 874.68 829.68 489 884.67 839.67 157 874.71 829.71 490
884.70 839.70 158 874.74 829.74 491 884.73 839.73 159 874.77 829.77 492
884.76 839.76 160 874.80 829.80 493 884.79 839.79 161 874.83 829.83 494
884.82 839.82 162 874.86 829.86 495 884.85 839.85 163 874.89 829.89 496
884.88 839.88 164 874.92 829.92 497 884.91 839.91 165 874.95 829.95 498
884.94 839.94 166 874.98 829.98 499 884.97 839.97 167 875.01 830.01 500
885.00 840.00 168 875.04 830.04 501 885.03 840.03 169 875.07 830.07 502
885.06 840.06 170 875.10 830.10 503 885.09 840.09 171 875.13 830.13 504
885.12 840.12 172 875.16 830.16 505 885.15 840.15 173 875.19 830.19 506
885.18 840.18 174 875.22 830.22 507 885.21 840.21 175 875.25 830.25 508
885.24 840.24 176 875.28 830.28 509 885.27 840.27 177 875.31 830.31 510
885.30 840.30 178 875.34 830.34 511 885.33 840.33 179 875.37 830.37 512
885.36 840.36 180 875.40 830.40 513 885.39 840.39 181 875.43 830.43 514
885.42 840.42 182 875.46 830.46 515 885.45 840.45 183 875.49 830.49 516
885.48 840.48 184 875.52 830.52 517 885.51 840.51 185 875.55 830.55 518
885.54 840.54 186 875.58 830.58 519 885.57 840.57 187 875.61 830.61 520
885.60 840.60 188 875.64 830.64 521 885.63 840.63 189 875.67 830.67 522
885.66 840.66 190 875.70 830.70 523 885.69 840.69 191 875.73 830.73 524
885.72 840.72 192 875.76 830.76 525 885.75 840.75 193 875.79 830.79 526
885.78 840.78 194 875.82 830.82 527 885.81 840.81 195 875.85 830.85 528
885.84 840.84 196 875.88 830.88 529 885.87 840.87 197 875.91 830.91 530
885.90 840.90 198 875.94 830.94 531 885.93 840.93 199 875.97 830.97 532
885.96 840.96 200 876.00 831.00 533 885.99 840.99 201 876.03 831.03 534
886.02 841.02 202 876.06 831.06 535 886.05 841.05 203 876.09 831.09 536
886.08 841.08 204 876.12 831.12 537 886.11 841.11 205 876.15 831.15 538
886.14 841.14 206 876.18 831.18 539 886.17 841.17 207 876.21 831.21 540
886.20 841.20 208 876.24 831.24 541 886.23 841.23 209 876.27 831.27 542

886.26 841.26 210 876.30 831.30 543 886.29 841.29 211 876.33 831.33 544
886.32 841.32 212 876.36 831.36 545 886.35 841.35 213 876.39 831.39 546
886.38 841.38 214 876.42 831.42 547 886.41 841.41 215 876.45 831.45 548
886.44 841.44 216 876.48 831.48 549 886.47 841.47 217 876.51 831.51 550
886.50 841.50 218 876.54 831.54 551 886.53 841.53 219 876.57 831.57 552
886.56 841.56 220 876.60 831.60 553 886.59 841.59 221 876.63 831.63 554
886.62 841.62 222 876.66 831.66 555 886.65 841.65 223 876.69 831.69 556
886.68 841.68 224 876.72 831.72 557 886.71 841.71 225 876.75 831.75 558
886.74 841.74 226 876.78 831.78 559 886.77 841.77 227 876.81 831.81 560
886.80 841.80 228 876.84 831.84 561 886.83 841.83 229 876.87 831.87 562
886.86 841.86 230 876.90 831.90 563 886.89 841.89 231 876.93 831.93 564
886.92 841.92 232 876.96 831.96 565 886.95 841.95 233 876.99 831.99 566
886.98 841.98 234 877.02 832.02 567 887.01 842.01 235 877.05 832.05 568
887.04 842.04 236 877.08 832.08 569 887.07 842.07 237 877.11 832.11 570
887.10 842.10 238 877.14 832.14 571 887.13 842.13 239 877.17 832.17 572
887.16 842.16 240 877.20 832.20 573 887.19 842.19 241 877.23 832.23 574
887.22 842.22 242 877.26 832.26 575 887.25 842.25 243 877.29 832.29 576
887.28 842.28 244 877.32 832.32 577 887.31 842.31 245 877.35 832.35 578
887.34 842.34 246 877.38 832.38 579 887.37 842.37 247 877.41 832.41 580
887.40 842.40 248 877.44 832.44 581 887.43 842.43 249 877.47 832.47 582
887.46 842.46 250 877.50 832.50 583 887.49 842.49 251 877.53 832.53 584
887.52 842.52 252 877.56 832.56 585 887.55 842.55 253 877.59 832.59 586
887.58 842.58 254 877.62 832.62 587 887.61 842.61 255 877.65 832.65 588
887.64 842.64 256 877.68 832.68 589 887.67 842.67 257 877.71 832.71 590
887.70 842.70 258 877.74 832.74 591 887.73 842.73 259 877.77 832.77 592
887.76 842.76 260 877.80 832.80 593 887.79 842.79 261 877.83 832.83 594
887.82 842.82 262 877.86 832.86 595 887.85 842.85 263 877.89 832.89 596
887.88 842.88 264 877.92 832.92 597 887.91 842.91 265 877.95 832.95 598
887.94 842.94 266 877.98 832.98 599 887.97 842.97 267 878.01 833.01 600
888.00 843.00 268 878.04 833.04 601 888.03 843.03 269 878.07 833.07 602
888.06 843.06 270 878.10 833.10 603 888.09 843.09 271 878.13 833.13 604
888.12 843.12 272 878.16 833.16 605 888.15 843.15 273 878.19 833.19 606
888.18 843.18 274 878.22 833.22 607 888.21 843.21 275 878.25 833.25 608
888.24 843.24 276 878.28 833.28 609 888.27 843.27 277 878.31 833.31 610
888.30 843.30 278 878.34 833.34 611 888.33 843.33 279 878.37 833.37 612
888.36 843.36 280 878.40 833.40 613 888.39 843.39 281 878.43 833.43 614
888.42 843.42 282 878.46 833.46 615 888.45 843.45 283 878.49 833.49 616
888.48 843.48 284 878.52 833.52 617 888.51 843.51 285 878.55 833.55 618
888.54 843.54 286 878.58 833.58 619 888.57 843.57 287 878.61 833.61 620
888.60 843.60 288 878.64 833.64 621 888.63 843.63 289 878.67 833.67 622
888.66 843.66 290 878.70 833.70 623 888.69 843.69 291 878.73 833.73 624
888.72 843.72 292 878.76 833.76 625 888.75 843.75 293 878.79 833.79 626
888.78 843.78 294 878.82 833.82 627 888.81 843.81 295 878.85 833.85 628
888.84 843.84 296 878.88 833.88 629 888.87 843.87 297 878.91 833.91 630
888.90 843.90 298 878.94 833.94 631 888.93 843.93 299 878.97 833.97 632
888.96 843.96 300 879.00 834.00 633 888.99 843.99 301 879.03 834.03 634
889.02 844.02 302 879.06 834.06 635 889.05 844.05 303 879.09 834.09 636
889.08 844.08 304 879.12 834.12 637 889.11 844.11 305 879.15 834.15 638
889.14 844.14 306 879.18 834.18 639 889.17 844.17 307 879.21 834.21 640
889.20 844.20 308 879.24 834.24 641 889.23 844.23 309 879.27 834.27 642

889.26 844.26 310 879.30 834.30 643 889.29 844.29 311 879.33 834.33 644
889.32 844.32 312 879.36 834.36 645 889.35 844.35 313 879.39 834.39 646
889.38 844.38 314 879.42 834.42 647 889.41 844.41 315 879.45 834.45 648
889.44 844.44 316 879.48 834.48 649 889.47 844.47 317 879.51 834.51 650
889.50 844.50 318 879.54 834.54 651 889.53 844.53 319 879.57 834.57 652
889.56 844.56 320 879.60 834.60 653 889.59 844.59 321 879.63 834.63 654
889.62 844.62 322 879.66 834.66 655 889.65 844.65 323 879.69 834.69 656
889.68 844.68 324 879.72 834.72 657 889.71 844.71 325 879.75 834.75 658
889.74 844.74 326 879.78 834.78 659 889.77 844.77 327 879.81 834.81 660
889.80 844.80 328 879.84 834.84 661 889.83 844.83 329 879.87 834.87 662
889.86 844.86 330 879.90 834.90 663 889.89 844.89 331 879.93 834.93 664
889.92 844.92 332 879.96 834.96 665 889.95 844.95 333 879.99 834.99 666
889.98 844.98 667 890.01 845.01 717 891.51 846.51 668 890.04 845.04 718
891.54 846.54 669 890.07 845.07 719 891.57 846.57 670 890.10 845.10 720
891.60 846.60 671 890.13 845.13 721 891.63 846.63 672 890.16 845.16 722
891.66 846.66 673 890.19 845.19 723 891.69 846.69 674 890.22 845.22 724
891.72 846.72 675 890.25 845.25 725 891.75 846.75 676 890.28 845.28 726
891.78 846.78 677 890.31 845.31 727 891.81 846.81 678 890.34 845.34 728
891.84 846.84 679 890.37 845.37 729 891.87 846.87 680 890.40 845.40 730
891.90 846.90 681 890.43 845.43 731 891.93 846.93 682 890.46 845.46 732
891.96 846.96 683 890.49 845.49 733 891.99 846.99 684 890.52 845.52 734
892.02 847.02 685 890.55 845.55 735 892.05 847.05 686 890.58 845.58 736
892.08 847.08 687 890.61 845.61 737 892.11 847.11 688 890.64 845.64 738
892.14 847.14 689 890.67 845.67 739 892.17 847.17 690 890.70 845.70 740
892.20 847.20 691 890.73 845.73 741 892.23 847.23 692 890.76 845.76 742
892.26 847.26 693 890.79 845.79 743 892.29 847.29 694 890.82 845.82 744
892.32 847.32 695 890.85 845.85 745 892.35 847.35 696 890.88 845.88 746
892.38 847.38 697 890.91 845.91 747 892.41 847.41 698 890.94 845.94 748
892.44 847.44 699 890.97 845.97 749 892.47 847.47 700 891.00 846.00 750
892.50 847.50 701 891.03 846.03 751 892.53 847.53 702 891.06 846.06 752
892.56 847.56 703 891.09 846.09 753 892.59 847.59 704 891.12 846.12 754
892.62 847.62 705 891.15 846.15 755 892.65 847.65 706 891.18 846.18 756
892.68 847.68 707 891.21 846.21 757 892.71 847.71 708 891.24 846.24 758
892.74 847.74 709 891.27 846.27 759 892.77 847.77 710 891.30 846.30 760
892.80 847.80 711 891.33 846.33 761 892.83 847.83 712 891.36 846.36 762
892.86 847.86 713 891.39 846.39 763 892.89 847.89 714 891.42 846.42 764
892.92 847.92 715 891.45 846.45 765 892.95 847.95 716 891.48 846.48 766
892.98 847.98 991 869.04 824.04 767 893.01 848.01 992 869.07 824.07 768
893.04 848.04 993 869.10 824.10 769 893.07 848.07 994 869.13 824.13 770
893.10 848.10 995 869.16 824.16 771 893.13 848.13 996 869.19 824.19 772
893.16 848.16 997 869.22 824.22 773 893.19 848.19 998 869.25 824.25 774
893.22 848.22 999 869.28 824.28 775 893.25 848.25 1000 869.31 824.31 776
893.28 848.28 1001 869.34 824.34 777 893.31 848.31 1002 869.37 824.37 778
893.34 848.34 1003 869.40 824.40 779 893.37 848.37 1004 869.43 824.43 780
893.40 848.40 1005 869.46 824.46 781 893.43 848.43 1006 869.49 824.49 782
893.46 848.46 1007 869.52 824.52 783 893.49 848.49 1008 869.55 824.55 784
893.52 848.52 1009 869.58 824.58 785 893.55 848.55 1010 869.61 824.61 786
893.58 848.58 1011 869.64 824.64 787 893.61 848.61 1012 869.67 824.67 788
893.64 848.64 1013 869.70 824.70 789 893.67 848.67 1014 869.73 824.73 790
893.70 848.70 1015 869.76 824.76 791 893.73 848.73 1016 869.79 824.79 792

893.76 848.76 1017 869.82 824.82 793 893.79 848.79 1018 869.85 824.85 794 893.82 848.82 1019 869.88 824.88 795 893.85 848.85 1020 869.91 824.91 796 893.88 848.88 1021 869.94 824.94 797 893.91 848.91 1022 869.97 824.97 798 893.94 848.94 1023 870.00 825.00 799 893.97 848.97 Here is a method of determining which frequencies are used in a cellular system, and which ones are in what cells. If the system uses OMNICELLS, as most do, you can readily find all the channels in a cell if you know just one of them, using tables constructed with the instructions below. Cellular frequencies are assigned by channel number, and for all channel numbers, in both wireline and non-wireline systems, the formula is: Transmit Frequency = (channel number x .030 MHz) + 870 MHz Receive Frequency = (channel number x .030 Mhz) + 825 Mhz "Band A" (one of the two blocks) uses channels 1 - 333. To construct a table showing frequency by cells, use channel 333 as the top left corner of a table. The next entry to the right of channel 333 is 332, the next is 331, etc., down to channel 313. Enter channel 312 underneath 333, 311 under 332, etc. Each channel across the top row is the first channel in each CELL of the system; each channel DOWN from the column from the the first channel is the next frequency assigned to that cell. You may have noted that each channel down is 21 channels lower in number. Usually the data channel used is the highest numbered channel in a cell. "Band B" uses channels from 334 to 666. Construct your table in a similar way, with channel 334 in the upper left corner, 335 the next entry to the right. The data channel should be the lowest numbered channel in each cell this time. Cellular Phone Band A (Channel 1 is Data) Cell #
1 ---------- Channel 1 (333) Tx 879.990 Rx 834.990 Channel 2 (312) Tx 879.360 Rx 834.360 Channel 3 (291) Tx 878.730 Rx 833.730 Channel 4 (270) Tx 878.100 Rx 833.100 Channel 5 (249) Tx 877.470 Rx 832.470 Channel 6 (228) Tx 876.840 Rx 831.840 Channel 7 (207) Tx 876.210 Rx 831.210 Channel 8 (186) Tx 875.580 Rx 830.580 Channel 9 (165) Tx 874.950 Rx 829.950 Channel 10 (144) Tx 874.320 Rx 829.320 Channel 11 (123) Tx 873.690 Rx 828.690 Channel 12 (102) Tx 873.060 Rx 828.060 Channel 13 (81) Tx 872.430 Rx 827.430 Channel 14 (60) Tx 871.800 Rx 826.800 Channel 15 (39) Tx 871.170 Rx 826.170 Channel 16 (18) Tx 870.540 Rx 825.540 Cell #
2 ---------- Channel 1 (332) Tx 879.960 Rx 834.960 Channel 2 (311) Tx 879.330 Rx 834.330 Channel 3 (290) Tx 878.700 Rx 833.700 Channel 4 (269) Tx 878.070 Rx 833.070 Channel 5 (248) Tx 877.440 Rx 832.440 Channel 6 (227) Tx 876.810 Rx 831.810 Channel 7 (206) Tx 876.180 Rx 831.180 Channel 8 (185) Tx 875.550 Rx 830.550 Channel 9 (164) Tx 874.920 Rx 829.920 Channel 10 (143) Tx 874.290 Rx 829.290 Channel 11 (122) Tx 873.660 Rx 828.660 Channel 12 (101) Tx 873.030 Rx 828.030 Channel 13 (80) Tx 872.400 Rx 827.400 Channel 14 (59) Tx 871.770 Rx 826.770 Channel 15 (38) Tx 871.140 Rx 826.140 Channel 16 (17) Tx 870.510 Rx 825.510 Cell #
3 ---------- Channel 1 (331) Tx 879.930 Rx 834.930 Channel 2 (310) Tx 879.300 Rx 834.300 Channel 3 (289) Tx 878.670 Rx 833.670 Channel 4 (268) Tx 878.040 Rx 833.040 Channel 5 (247) Tx 877.410 Rx 832.410 Channel 6 (226) Tx 876.780 Rx 831.780 Channel 7 (205) Tx 876.150 Rx 831.150 Channel 8 (184) Tx 875.520 Rx 830.520 Channel 9 (163) Tx 874.890 Rx 829.890 Channel 10 (142) Tx 874.260 Rx 829.260 Channel 11 (121) Tx 873.630 Rx 828.630 Channel 12 (100) Tx 873.000 Rx 828.000 Channel 13 (79) Tx 872.370 Rx 827.370 Channel 14 (58) Tx 871.740 Rx 826.740 Channel 15 (37)

Tx 871.110 Rx 826.110 Channel 16 (16) Tx 870.480 Rx 825.480 Cell #
4 -- Channel 1 (330) Tx
879.900 Rx 834.900 Channel 2 (309) Tx 879.270 Rx 834.270 Channel 3 (288) Tx
878.640 Rx 833.640 Channel 4 (267) Tx 878.010 Rx 833.010 Channel 5 (246) Tx
877.380 Rx 832.380 Channel 6 (225) Tx 876.750 Rx 831.750 Channel 7 (204) Tx
876.120 Rx 831.120 Channel 8 (183) Tx 875.490 Rx 830.490 Channel 9 (162) Tx
874.860 Rx 829.860 Channel 10 (141) Tx 874.230 Rx 829.230 Channel 11 (120)
Tx 873.600 Rx 828.600 Channel 12 (99) Tx 872.970 Rx 827.970 Channel 13 (78)
Tx 872.340 Rx 827.340 Channel 14 (57) Tx 871.710 Rx 826.710 Channel 15 (36)
Tx 871.080 Rx 826.080 Channel 16 (15) Tx 870.450 Rx 825.450 Cell #
5 -- Channel 1 (329) Tx
879.870 Rx 834.870 Channel 2 (308) Tx 879.240 Rx 834.240 Channel 3 (287) Tx
878.610 Rx 833.610 Channel 4 (266) Tx 877.980 Rx 832.980 Channel 5 (245) Tx
877.350 Rx 832.350 Channel 6 (224) Tx 876.720 Rx 831.720 Channel 7 (203) Tx
876.090 Rx 831.090 Channel 8 (182) Tx 875.460 Rx 830.460 Channel 9 (161) Tx
874.830 Rx 829.830 Channel 10 (140) Tx 874.200 Rx 829.200 Channel 11 (119)
Tx 873.570 Rx 828.570 Channel 12 (98) Tx 872.940 Rx 827.940 Channel 13 (77)
Tx 872.310 Rx 827.310 Channel 14 (56) Tx 871.680 Rx 826.680 Channel 15 (35)
Tx 871.050 Rx 826.050 Channel 16 (14) Tx 870.420 Rx 825.420 Cell #
6 -- Channel 1 (328) Tx
879.840 Rx 834.840 Channel 2 (307) Tx 879.210 Rx 834.210 Channel 3 (286) Tx
878.580 Rx 833.580 Channel 4 (265) Tx 877.950 Rx 832.950 Channel 5 (244) Tx
877.320 Rx 832.320 Channel 6 (223) Tx 876.690 Rx 831.690 Channel 7 (202) Tx
876.060 Rx 831.060 Channel 8 (181) Tx 875.430 Rx 830.430 Channel 9 (160) Tx
874.800 Rx 829.800 Channel 10 (139) Tx 874.170 Rx 829.170 Channel 11 (118)
Tx 873.540 Rx 828.540 Channel 12 (97) Tx 872.910 Rx 827.910 Channel 13 (76)
Tx 872.280 Rx 827.280 Channel 14 (55) Tx 871.650 Rx 826.650 Channel 15 (34)
Tx 871.020 Rx 826.020 Channel 16 (13) Tx 870.390 Rx 825.390 Cell #
7 -- Channel 1 (327) Tx
879.810 Rx 834.810 Channel 2 (306) Tx 879.180 Rx 834.180 Channel 3 (285) Tx
878.550 Rx 833.550 Channel 4 (264) Tx 877.920 Rx 832.920 Channel 5 (243) Tx
877.290 Rx 832.290 Channel 6 (222) Tx 876.660 Rx 831.660 Channel 7 (201) Tx
876.030 Rx 831.030 Channel 8 (180) Tx 875.400 Rx 830.400 Channel 9 (159) Tx
874.770 Rx 829.770 Channel 10 (138) Tx 874.140 Rx 829.140 Channel 11 (117)
Tx 873.510 Rx 828.510 Channel 12 (96) Tx 872.880 Rx 827.880 Channel 13 (75)
Tx 872.250 Rx 827.250 Channel 14 (54) Tx 871.620 Rx 826.620 Channel 15 (33)
Tx 870.990 Rx 825.990 Channel 16 (12) Tx 870.360 Rx 825.360 Cell #
8 -- Channel 1 (326) Tx
879.780 Rx 834.780 Channel 2 (305) Tx 879.150 Rx 834.150 Channel 3 (284) Tx
878.520 Rx 833.520 Channel 4 (263) Tx 877.890 Rx 832.890 Channel 5 (242) Tx
877.260 Rx 832.260 Channel 6 (221) Tx 876.630 Rx 831.630 Channel 7 (200) Tx
876.000 Rx 831.000 Channel 8 (179) Tx 875.370 Rx 830.370 Channel 9 (158) Tx
874.740 Rx 829.740 Channel 10 (137) Tx 874.110 Rx 829.110 Channel 11 (116)
Tx 873.480 Rx 828.480 Channel 12 (95) Tx 872.850 Rx 827.850 Channel 13 (74)
Tx 872.220 Rx 827.220 Channel 14 (53) Tx 871.590 Rx 826.590 Channel 15 (32)
Tx 870.960 Rx 825.960 Channel 16 (11) Tx 870.330 Rx 825.330 Cell #
9 -- Channel 1 (325) Tx
879.750 Rx 834.750 Channel 2 (304) Tx 879.120 Rx 834.120 Channel 3 (283) Tx
878.490 Rx 833.490 Channel 4 (262) Tx 877.860 Rx 832.860 Channel 5 (241) Tx
877.230 Rx 832.230 Channel 6 (220) Tx 876.600 Rx 831.600 Channel 7 (199) Tx

875.970 Rx 830.970 Channel 8 (178) Tx 875.340 Rx 830.340 Channel 9 (157) Tx
874.710 Rx 829.710 Channel 10 (136) Tx 874.080 Rx 829.080 Channel 11 (115)
Tx 873.450 Rx 828.450 Channel 12 (94) Tx 872.820 Rx 827.820 Channel 13 (73)
Tx 872.190 Rx 827.190 Channel 14 (52) Tx 871.560 Rx 826.560 Channel 15 (31)
Tx 870.930 Rx 825.930 Channel 16 (10) Tx 870.300 Rx 825.300 Cell #
10 --- Channel 1 (324) Tx
879.720 Rx 834.720 Channel 2 (303) Tx 879.090 Rx 834.090 Channel 3 (282) Tx
878.460 Rx 833.460 Channel 4 (261) Tx 877.830 Rx 832.830 Channel 5 (240) Tx
877.200 Rx 832.200 Channel 6 (219) Tx 876.570 Rx 831.570 Channel 7 (198) Tx
875.940 Rx 830.940 Channel 8 (177) Tx 875.310 Rx 830.310 Channel 9 (156) Tx
874.680 Rx 829.680 Channel 10 (135) Tx 874.050 Rx 829.050 Channel 11 (114)
Tx 873.420 Rx 828.420 Channel 12 (93) Tx 872.790 Rx 827.790 Channel 13 (72)
Tx 872.160 Rx 827.160 Channel 14 (51) Tx 871.530 Rx 826.530 Channel 15 (30)
Tx 870.900 Rx 825.900 Channel 16 (9) Tx 870.270 Rx 825.270 Cell #
11 --- Channel 1 (323) Tx
879.690 Rx 834.690 Channel 2 (302) Tx 879.060 Rx 834.060 Channel 3 (281) Tx
878.430 Rx 833.430 Channel 4 (260) Tx 877.800 Rx 832.800 Channel 5 (239) Tx
877.170 Rx 832.170 Channel 6 (218) Tx 876.540 Rx 831.540 Channel 7 (197) Tx
875.910 Rx 830.910 Channel 8 (176) Tx 875.280 Rx 830.280 Channel 9 (155) Tx
874.650 Rx 829.650 Channel 10 (134) Tx 874.020 Rx 829.020 Channel 11 (113)
Tx 873.390 Rx 828.390 Channel 12 (92) Tx 872.760 Rx 827.760 Channel 13 (71)
Tx 872.130 Rx 827.130 Channel 14 (50) Tx 871.500 Rx 826.500 Channel 15 (29)
Tx 870.870 Rx 825.870 Channel 16 (8) Tx 870.240 Rx 825.240 Cell #
12 --- Channel 1 (322) Tx
879.660 Rx 834.660 Channel 2 (301) Tx 879.030 Rx 834.030 Channel 3 (280) Tx
878.400 Rx 833.400 Channel 4 (259) Tx 877.770 Rx 832.770 Channel 5 (238) Tx
877.140 Rx 832.140 Channel 6 (217) Tx 876.510 Rx 831.510 Channel 7 (196) Tx
875.880 Rx 830.880 Channel 8 (175) Tx 875.250 Rx 830.250 Channel 9 (154) Tx
874.620 Rx 829.620 Channel 10 (133) Tx 873.990 Rx 828.990 Channel 11 (112)
Tx 873.360 Rx 828.360 Channel 12 (91) Tx 872.730 Rx 827.730 Channel 13 (70)
Tx 872.100 Rx 827.100 Channel 14 (49) Tx 871.470 Rx 826.470 Channel 15 (28)
Tx 870.840 Rx 825.840 Channel 16 (7) Tx 870.210 Rx 825.210 Cell #
13 --- Channel 1 (321) Tx
879.630 Rx 834.630 Channel 2 (300) Tx 879.000 Rx 834.000 Channel 3 (279) Tx
878.370 Rx 833.370 Channel 4 (258) Tx 877.740 Rx 832.740 Channel 5 (237) Tx
877.110 Rx 832.110 Channel 6 (216) Tx 876.480 Rx 831.480 Channel 7 (195) Tx
875.850 Rx 830.850 Channel 8 (174) Tx 875.220 Rx 830.220 Channel 9 (153) Tx
874.590 Rx 829.590 Channel 10 (132) Tx 873.960 Rx 828.960 Channel 11 (111)
Tx 873.330 Rx 828.330 Channel 12 (90) Tx 872.700 Rx 827.700 Channel 13 (69)
Tx 872.070 Rx 827.070 Channel 14 (48) Tx 871.440 Rx 826.440 Channel 15 (27)
Tx 870.810 Rx 825.810 Channel 16 (6) Tx 870.180 Rx 825.180 Cell #
14 --- Channel 1 (320) Tx
879.600 Rx 834.600 Channel 2 (299) Tx 878.970 Rx 833.970 Channel 3 (278) Tx
878.340 Rx 833.340 Channel 4 (257) Tx 877.710 Rx 832.710 Channel 5 (236) Tx
877.080 Rx 832.080 Channel 6 (215) Tx 876.450 Rx 831.450 Channel 7 (194) Tx
875.820 Rx 830.820 Channel 8 (173) Tx 875.190 Rx 830.190 Channel 9 (152) Tx
874.560 Rx 829.560 Channel 10 (131) Tx 873.930 Rx 828.930 Channel 11 (110)
Tx 873.300 Rx 828.300 Channel 12 (89) Tx 872.670 Rx 827.670 Channel 13 (68)
Tx 872.040 Rx 827.040 Channel 14 (47) Tx 871.410 Rx 826.410 Channel 15 (26)
Tx 870.780 Rx 825.780 Channel 16 (5) Tx 870.150 Rx 825.150 Cell #

15 -- Channel 1 (319) Tx
879.570 Rx 834.570 Channel 2 (298) Tx 878.940 Rx 833.940 Channel 3 (277) Tx
878.310 Rx 833.310 Channel 4 (256) Tx 877.680 Rx 832.680 Channel 5 (235) Tx
877.050 Rx 832.050 Channel 6 (214) Tx 876.420 Rx 831.420 Channel 7 (193) Tx
875.790 Rx 830.790 Channel 8 (172) Tx 875.160 Rx 830.160 Channel 9 (151) Tx
874.530 Rx 829.530 Channel 10 (130) Tx 873.900 Rx 828.900 Channel 11 (109)
Tx 873.270 Rx 828.270 Channel 12 (88) Tx 872.640 Rx 827.640 Channel 13 (67)
Tx 872.010 Rx 827.010 Channel 14 (46) Tx 871.380 Rx 826.380 Channel 15 (25)
Tx 870.750 Rx 825.750 Channel 16 (4) Tx 870.120 Rx 825.120 Cell #
16 -- Channel 1 (318) Tx
879.540 Rx 834.540 Channel 2 (297) Tx 878.910 Rx 833.910 Channel 3 (276) Tx
878.280 Rx 833.280 Channel 4 (255) Tx 877.650 Rx 832.650 Channel 5 (234) Tx
877.020 Rx 832.020 Channel 6 (213) Tx 876.390 Rx 831.390 Channel 7 (192) Tx
875.760 Rx 830.760 Channel 8 (171) Tx 875.130 Rx 830.130 Channel 9 (150) Tx
874.500 Rx 829.500 Channel 10 (129) Tx 873.870 Rx 828.870 Channel 11 (108)
Tx 873.240 Rx 828.240 Channel 12 (87) Tx 872.610 Rx 827.610 Channel 13 (66)
Tx 871.980 Rx 826.980 Channel 14 (45) Tx 871.350 Rx 826.350 Channel 15 (24)
Tx 870.720 Rx 825.720 Channel 16 (3) Tx 870.090 Rx 825.090 Cell #
17 -- Channel 1 (317) Tx
879.510 Rx 834.510 Channel 2 (296) Tx 878.880 Rx 833.880 Channel 3 (275) Tx
878.250 Rx 833.250 Channel 4 (254) Tx 877.620 Rx 832.620 Channel 5 (233) Tx
876.990 Rx 831.990 Channel 6 (212) Tx 876.360 Rx 831.360 Channel 7 (191) Tx
875.730 Rx 830.730 Channel 8 (170) Tx 875.100 Rx 830.100 Channel 9 (149) Tx
874.470 Rx 829.470 Channel 10 (128) Tx 873.840 Rx 828.840 Channel 11 (107)
Tx 873.210 Rx 828.210 Channel 12 (86) Tx 872.580 Rx 827.580 Channel 13 (65)
Tx 871.950 Rx 826.950 Channel 14 (44) Tx 871.320 Rx 826.320 Channel 15 (23)
Tx 870.690 Rx 825.690 Channel 16 (2) Tx 870.060 Rx 825.060 Cell #
18 -- Channel 1 (316) Tx
879.480 Rx 834.480 Channel 2 (295) Tx 878.850 Rx 833.850 Channel 3 (274) Tx
878.220 Rx 833.220 Channel 4 (253) Tx 877.590 Rx 832.590 Channel 5 (232) Tx
876.960 Rx 831.960 Channel 6 (211) Tx 876.330 Rx 831.330 Channel 7 (190) Tx
875.700 Rx 830.700 Channel 8 (169) Tx 875.070 Rx 830.070 Channel 9 (148) Tx
874.440 Rx 829.440 Channel 10 (127) Tx 873.810 Rx 828.810 Channel 11 (106)
Tx 873.180 Rx 828.180 Channel 12 (85) Tx 872.550 Rx 827.550 Channel 13 (64)
Tx 871.920 Rx 826.920 Channel 14 (43) Tx 871.290 Rx 826.290 Channel 15 (22)
Tx 870.660 Rx 825.660 Channel 16 (1) Tx 870.030 Rx 825.030 Cell #
19 -- Channel 1 (315) Tx
879.450 Rx 834.450 Channel 2 (294) Tx 878.820 Rx 833.820 Channel 3 (273) Tx
878.190 Rx 833.190 Channel 4 (252) Tx 877.560 Rx 832.560 Channel 5 (231) Tx
876.930 Rx 831.930 Channel 6 (210) Tx 876.300 Rx 831.300 Channel 7 (189) Tx
875.670 Rx 830.670 Channel 8 (168) Tx 875.040 Rx 830.040 Channel 9 (147) Tx
874.410 Rx 829.410 Channel 10 (126) Tx 873.780 Rx 828.780 Channel 11 (105)
Tx 873.150 Rx 828.150 Channel 12 (84) Tx 872.520 Rx 827.520 Channel 13 (63)
Tx 871.890 Rx 826.890 Channel 14 (42) Tx 871.260 Rx 826.260 Channel 15 (21)
Tx 870.630 Rx 825.630 Cell #
20 -- Channel 1 (314) Tx
879.420 Rx 834.420 Channel 2 (293) Tx 878.790 Rx 833.790 Channel 3 (272) Tx
878.160 Rx 833.160 Channel 4 (251) Tx 877.530 Rx 832.530 Channel 5 (230) Tx
876.900 Rx 831.900 Channel 6 (209) Tx 876.270 Rx 831.270 Channel 7 (188) Tx
875.640 Rx 830.640 Channel 8 (167) Tx 875.010 Rx 830.010 Channel 9 (146) Tx

874.380 Rx 829.380 Channel 10 (125) Tx 873.750 Rx 828.750 Channel 11 (104)
Tx 873.120 Rx 828.120 Channel 12 (83) Tx 872.490 Rx 827.490 Channel 13 (62)
Tx 871.860 Rx 826.860 Channel 14 (41) Tx 871.230 Rx 826.230 Channel 15 (20)
Tx 870.600 Rx 825.600 Cell #
21 ---------- Channel 1 (313) Tx
879.390 Rx 834.390 Channel 2 (292) Tx 878.760 Rx 833.760 Channel 3 (271) Tx
878.130 Rx 833.130 Channel 4 (250) Tx 877.500 Rx 832.500 Channel 5 (229) Tx
876.870 Rx 831.870 Channel 6 (208) Tx 876.240 Rx 831.240 Channel 7 (187) Tx
875.610 Rx 830.610 Channel 8 (166) Tx 874.980 Rx 829.980 Channel 9 (145) Tx
874.350 Rx 829.350 Channel 10 (124) Tx 873.720 Rx 828.720 Channel 11 (103)
Tx 873.090 Rx 828.090 Channel 12 (82) Tx 872.460 Rx 827.460 Channel 13 (61)
Tx 871.830 Rx 826.830 Channel 14 (40) Tx 871.200 Rx 826.200 Channel 15 (19)
Tx 870.570 Rx 825.570 **********
Cellular Phone Band B (Channel 1 is Data) Cell #
1 ---------- Channel 1 (334) Tx
880.020 Rx 835.020 Channel 2 (355) Tx 880.650 Rx 835.650 Channel 3 (376) Tx
881.280 Rx 836.280 Channel 4 (397) Tx 881.910 Rx 836.910 Channel 5 (418) Tx
882.540 Rx 837.540 Channel 6 (439) Tx 883.170 Rx 838.170 Channel 7 (460) Tx
883.800 Rx 838.800 Channel 8 (481) Tx 884.430 Rx 839.430 Channel 9 (502) Tx
885.060 Rx 840.060 Channel 10 (523) Tx 885.690 Rx 840.690 Channel 11 (544)
Tx 886.320 Rx 841.320 Channel 12 (565) Tx 886.950 Rx 841.950 Channel 13
(586) Tx 887.580 Rx 842.580 Channel 14 (607) Tx 888.210 Rx 843.210 Channel
15 (628) Tx 888.840 Rx 843.840 Channel 16 (649) Tx 889.470 Rx 844.470 Cell #
2 ---------- Channel 1 (335) Tx
880.050 Rx 835.050 Channel 2 (356) Tx 880.680 Rx 835.680 Channel 3 (377) Tx
881.310 Rx 836.310 Channel 4 (398) Tx 881.940 Rx 836.940 Channel 5 (419) Tx
882.570 Rx 837.570 Channel 6 (440) Tx 883.200 Rx 838.200 Channel 7 (461) Tx
883.830 Rx 838.830 Channel 8 (482) Tx 884.460 Rx 839.460 Channel 9 (503) Tx
885.090 Rx 840.090 Channel 10 (524) Tx 885.720 Rx 840.720 Channel 11 (545)
Tx 886.350 Rx 841.350 Channel 12 (566) Tx 886.980 Rx 841.980 Channel 13
(587) Tx 887.610 Rx 842.610 Channel 14 (608) Tx 888.240 Rx 843.240 Channel
15 (629) Tx 888.870 Rx 843.870 Channel 16 (650) Tx 889.500 Rx 844.500 Cell #
3 ---------- Channel 1 (336) Tx
880.080 Rx 835.080 Channel 2 (357) Tx 880.710 Rx 835.710 Channel 3 (378) Tx
881.340 Rx 836.340 Channel 4 (399) Tx 881.970 Rx 836.970 Channel 5 (420) Tx
882.600 Rx 837.600 Channel 6 (441) Tx 883.230 Rx 838.230 Channel 7 (462) Tx
883.860 Rx 838.860 Channel 8 (483) Tx 884.490 Rx 839.490 Channel 9 (504) Tx
885.120 Rx 840.120 Channel 10 (525) Tx 885.750 Rx 840.750 Channel 11 (546)
Tx 886.380 Rx 841.380 Channel 12 (567) Tx 887.010 Rx 842.010 Channel 13
(588) Tx 887.640 Rx 842.640 Channel 14 (609) Tx 888.270 Rx 843.270 Channel
15 (630) Tx 888.900 Rx 843.900 Channel 16 (651) Tx 889.530 Rx 844.530 Cell #
4 ---------- Channel 1 (337) Tx
880.110 Rx 835.110 Channel 2 (358) Tx 880.740 Rx 835.740 Channel 3 (379) Tx
881.370 Rx 836.370 Channel 4 (400) Tx 882.000 Rx 837.000 Channel 5 (421) Tx
882.630 Rx 837.630 Channel 6 (442) Tx 883.260 Rx 838.260 Channel 7 (463) Tx
883.890 Rx 838.890 Channel 8 (484) Tx 884.520 Rx 839.520 Channel 9 (505) Tx
885.150 Rx 840.150 Channel 10 (526) Tx 885.780 Rx 840.780 Channel 11 (547)
Tx 886.410 Rx 841.410 Channel 12 (568) Tx 887.040 Rx 842.040 Channel 13
(589) Tx 887.670 Rx 842.670 Channel 14 (610) Tx 888.300 Rx 843.300 Channel
15 (631) Tx 888.930 Rx 843.930 Channel 16 (652) Tx 889.560 Rx 844.560 Cell #

5 -- Channel 1 (338) Tx
880.140 Rx 835.140 Channel 2 (359) Tx 880.770 Rx 835.770 Channel 3 (380) Tx
881.400 Rx 836.400 Channel 4 (401) Tx 882.030 Rx 837.030 Channel 5 (422) Tx
882.660 Rx 837.660 Channel 6 (443) Tx 883.290 Rx 838.290 Channel 7 (464) Tx
883.920 Rx 838.920 Channel 8 (485) Tx 884.550 Rx 839.550 Channel 9 (506) Tx
885.180 Rx 840.180 Channel 10 (527) Tx 885.810 Rx 840.810 Channel 11 (548)
Tx 886.440 Rx 841.440 Channel 12 (569) Tx 887.070 Rx 842.070 Channel 13
(590) Tx 887.700 Rx 842.700 Channel 14 (611) Tx 888.330 Rx 843.330 Channel
15 (632) Tx 888.960 Rx 843.960 Channel 16 (653) Tx 889.590 Rx 844.590 Cell #
6 -- Channel 1 (339) Tx
880.170 Rx 835.170 Channel 2 (360) Tx 880.800 Rx 835.800 Channel 3 (381) Tx
881.430 Rx 836.430 Channel 4 (402) Tx 882.060 Rx 837.060 Channel 5 (423) Tx
882.690 Rx 837.690 Channel 6 (444) Tx 883.320 Rx 838.320 Channel 7 (465) Tx
883.950 Rx 838.950 Channel 8 (486) Tx 884.580 Rx 839.580 Channel 9 (507) Tx
885.210 Rx 840.210 Channel 10 (528) Tx 885.840 Rx 840.840 Channel 11 (549)
Tx 886.470 Rx 841.470 Channel 12 (570) Tx 887.100 Rx 842.100 Channel 13
(591) Tx 887.730 Rx 842.730 Channel 14 (612) Tx 888.360 Rx 843.360 Channel
15 (633) Tx 888.990 Rx 843.990 Channel 16 (654) Tx 889.620 Rx 844.620 Cell #
7 -- Channel 1 (340) Tx
880.200 Rx 835.200 Channel 2 (361) Tx 880.830 Rx 835.830 Channel 3 (382) Tx
881.460 Rx 836.460 Channel 4 (403) Tx 882.090 Rx 837.090 Channel 5 (424) Tx
882.720 Rx 837.720 Channel 6 (445) Tx 883.350 Rx 838.350 Channel 7 (466) Tx
883.980 Rx 838.980 Channel 8 (487) Tx 884.610 Rx 839.610 Channel 9 (508) Tx
885.240 Rx 840.240 Channel 10 (529) Tx 885.870 Rx 840.870 Channel 11 (550)
Tx 886.500 Rx 841.500 Channel 12 (571) Tx 887.130 Rx 842.130 Channel 13
(592) Tx 887.760 Rx 842.760 Channel 14 (613) Tx 888.390 Rx 843.390 Channel
15 (634) Tx 889.020 Rx 844.020 Channel 16 (655) Tx 889.650 Rx 844.650 Cell #
8 -- Channel 1 (341) Tx
880.230 Rx 835.230 Channel 2 (362) Tx 880.860 Rx 835.860 Channel 3 (383) Tx
881.490 Rx 836.490 Channel 4 (404) Tx 882.120 Rx 837.120 Channel 5 (425) Tx
882.750 Rx 837.750 Channel 6 (446) Tx 883.380 Rx 838.380 Channel 7 (467) Tx
884.010 Rx 839.010 Channel 8 (488) Tx 884.640 Rx 839.640 Channel 9 (509) Tx
885.270 Rx 840.270 Channel 10 (530) Tx 885.900 Rx 840.900 Channel 11 (551)
Tx 886.530 Rx 841.530 Channel 12 (572) Tx 887.160 Rx 842.160 Channel 13
(593) Tx 887.790 Rx 842.790 Channel 14 (614) Tx 888.420 Rx 843.420 Channel 1
5 (635) Tx 889.050 Rx 844.050 Channel 16 (656) Tx 889.680 Rx 844.680 Cell #
9 -- Channel 1 (342) Tx
880.260 Rx 835.260 Channel 2 (363) Tx 880.890 Rx 835.890 Channel 3 (384) Tx
881.520 Rx 836.520 Channel 4 (405) Tx 882.150 Rx 837.150 Channel 5 (426) Tx
882.780 Rx 837.780 Channel 6 (447) Tx 883.410 Rx 838.410 Channel 7 (468) Tx
884.040 Rx 839.040 Channel 8 (489) Tx 884.670 Rx 839.670 Channel 9 (510) Tx
885.300 Rx 840.300 Channel 10 (531) Tx 885.930 Rx 840.930 Channel 11 (552)
Tx 886.560 Rx 841.560 Channel 12 (573) Tx 887.190 Rx 842.190 Channel 13
(594) Tx 887.820 Rx 842.820 Channel 14 (615) Tx 888.450 Rx 843.450 Channel
15 (636) Tx 889.080 Rx 844.080 Channel 16 (657) Tx 889.710 Rx 844.710 Cell #
10 --- Channel 1 (343) Tx
880.290 Rx 835.290 Channel 2 (364) Tx 880.920 Rx 835.920 Channel 3 (385) Tx
881.550 Rx 836.550 Channel 4 (406) Tx 882.180 Rx 837.180 Channel 5 (427) Tx
882.810 Rx 837.810 Channel 6 (448) Tx 883.440 Rx 838.440 Channel 7 (469) Tx
884.070 Rx 839.070 Channel 8 (490) Tx 884.700 Rx 839.700 Channel 9 (511) Tx

885.330 Rx 840.330 Channel 10 (532) Tx 885.960 Rx 840.960 Channel 11 (553)
Tx 886.590 Rx 841.590 Channel 12 (574) Tx 887.220 Rx 842.220 Channel 13
(595) Tx 887.850 Rx 842.850 Channel 14 (616) Tx 888.480 Rx 843.480 Channel
15 (637) Tx 889.110 Rx 844.110 Channel 16 (658) Tx 889.740 Rx 844.740 Cell #
11 -- Channel 1 (344) Tx
880.320 Rx 835.320 Channel 2 (365) Tx 880.950 Rx 835.950 Channel 3 (386) Tx
881.580 Rx 836.580 Channel 4 (407) Tx 882.210 Rx 837.210 Channel 5 (428) Tx
882.840 Rx 837.840 Channel 6 (449) Tx 883.470 Rx 838.470 Channel 7 (470) Tx
884.100 Rx 839.100 Channel 8 (491) Tx 884.730 Rx 839.730 Channel 9 (512) Tx
885.360 Rx 840.360 Channel 10 (533) Tx 885.990 Rx 840.990 Channel 11 (554)
Tx 886.620 Rx 841.620 Channel 12 (575) Tx 887.250 Rx 842.250 Channel 13
(596) Tx 887.880 Rx 842.880 Channel 14 (617) Tx 888.510 Rx 843.510 Channel
15 (638) Tx 889.140 Rx 844.140 Channel 16 (659) Tx 889.770 Rx 844.770 Cell #
12 -- Channel 1 (345) Tx
880.350 Rx 835.350 Channel 2 (366) Tx 880.980 Rx 835.980 Channel 3 (387) Tx
881.610 Rx 836.610 Channel 4 (408) Tx 882.240 Rx 837.240 Channel 5 (429) Tx
882.870 Rx 837.870 Channel 6 (450) Tx 883.500 Rx 838.500 Channel 7 (471) Tx
884.130 Rx 839.130 Channel 8 (492) Tx 884.760 Rx 839.760 Channel 9 (513) Tx
885.390 Rx 840.390 Channel 10 (534) Tx 886.020 Rx 841.020 Channel 11 (555)
Tx 886.650 Rx 841.650 Channel 12 (576) Tx 887.280 Rx 842.280 Channel 13
(597) Tx 887.910 Rx 842.910 Channel 14 (618) Tx 888.540 Rx 843.540 Channel
15 (639) Tx 889.170 Rx 844.170 Channel 16 (660) Tx 889.800 Rx 844.800 Cell #
13 -- Channel 1 (346) Tx
880.380 Rx 835.380 Channel 2 (367) Tx 881.010 Rx 836.010 Channel 3 (388) Tx
881.640 Rx 836.640 Channel 4 (409) Tx 882.270 Rx 837.270 Channel 5 (430) Tx
882.900 Rx 837.900 Channel 6 (451) Tx 883.530 Rx 838.530 Channel 7 (472) Tx
884.160 Rx 839.160 Channel 8 (493) Tx 884.790 Rx 839.790 Channel 9 (514) Tx
885.420 Rx 840.420 Channel 10 (535) Tx 886.050 Rx 841.050 Channel 11 (556)
Tx 886.680 Rx 841.680 Channel 12 (577) Tx 887.310 Rx 842.310 Channel 13
(598) Tx 887.940 Rx 842.940 Channel 14 (619) Tx 888.570 Rx 843.570 Channel
15 (640) Tx 889.200 Rx 844.200 Channel 16 (661) Tx 889.830 Rx 844.830 Cell #
14 -- Channel 1 (347) Tx
880.410 Rx 835.410 Channel 2 (368) Tx 881.040 Rx 836.040 Channel 3 (389) Tx
881.670 Rx 836.670 Channel 4 (410) Tx 882.300 Rx 837.300 Channel 5 (431) Tx
882.930 Rx 837.930 Channel 6 (452) Tx 883.560 Rx 838.560 Channel 7 (473) Tx
884.190 Rx 839.190 Channel 8 (494) Tx 884.820 Rx 839.820 Channel 9 (515) Tx
885.450 Rx 840.450 Channel 10 (536) Tx 886.080 Rx 841.080 Channel 11 (557)
Tx 886.710 Rx 841.710 Channel 12 (578) Tx 887.340 Rx 842.340 Channel 13
(599) Tx 887.970 Rx 842.970 Channel 14 (620) Tx 888.600 Rx 843.600 Channel
15 (641) Tx 889.230 Rx 844.230 Channel 16 (662) Tx 889.860 Rx 844.860 Cell #
15 -- Channel 1 (348) Tx
880.440 Rx 835.440 Channel 2 (369) Tx 881.070 Rx 836.070 Channel 3 (390) Tx
881.700 Rx 836.700 Channel 4 (411) Tx 882.330 Rx 837.330 Channel 5 (432) Tx
882.960 Rx 837.960 Channel 6 (453) Tx 883.590 Rx 838.590 Channel 7 (474) Tx
884.220 Rx 839.220 Channel 8 (495) Tx 884.850 Rx 839.850 Channel 9 (516) Tx
885.480 Rx 840.480 Channel 10 (537) Tx 886.110 Rx 841.110 Channel 11 (558)
Tx 886.740 Rx 841.740 Channel 12 (579) Tx 887.370 Rx 842.370 Channel 13
(600) Tx 888.000 Rx 843.000 Channel 14 (621) Tx 888.630 Rx 843.630 Channel
15 (642) Tx 889.260 Rx 844.260 Channel 16 (663) Tx 889.890 Rx 844.890 Cell #
16 -- Channel 1 (349) Tx

880.470 Rx 835.470 Channel 2 (370) Tx 881.100 Rx 836.100 Channel 3 (391) Tx
881.730 Rx 836.730 Channel 4 (412) Tx 882.360 Rx 837.360 Channel 5 (433) Tx
882.990 Rx 837.990 Channel 6 (454) Tx 883.620 Rx 838.620 Channel 7 (475) Tx
884.250 Rx 839.250 Channel 8 (496) Tx 884.880 Rx 839.880 Channel 9 (517) Tx
885.510 Rx 840.510 Channel 10 (538) Tx 886.140 Rx 841.140 Channel 11 (559)
Tx 886.770 Rx 841.770 Channel 12 (580) Tx 887.400 Rx 842.400 Channel 13
(601) Tx 888.030 Rx 843.030 Channel 14 (622) Tx 888.660 Rx 843.660 Channel
15 (643) Tx 889.290 Rx 844.290 Channel 16 (664) Tx 889.920 Rx 844.920 Cell #
17 -- Channel 1 (350) Tx
880.500 Rx 835.500 Channel 2 (371) Tx 881.130 Rx 836.130 Channel 3 (392) Tx
881.760 Rx 836.760 Channel 4 (413) Tx 882.390 Rx 837.390 Channel 5 (434) Tx
883.020 Rx 838.020 Channel 6 (455) Tx 883.650 Rx 838.650 Channel 7 (476) Tx
884.280 Rx 839.280 Channel 8 (497) Tx 884.910 Rx 839.910 Channel 9 (518) Tx
885.540 Rx 840.540 Channel 10 (539) Tx 886.170 Rx 841.170 Channel 11 (560)
Tx 886.800 Rx 841.800 Channel 12 (581) Tx 887.430 Rx 842.430 Channel 13
(602) Tx 888.060 Rx 843.060 Channel 14 (623) Tx 888.690 Rx 843.690 Channel
15 (644) Tx 889.320 Rx 844.320 Channel 16 (665) Tx 889.950 Rx 844.950 Cell #
18 -- Channel 1 (351) Tx
880.530 Rx 835.530 Channel 2 (372) Tx 881.160 Rx 836.160 Channel 3 (393) Tx
881.790 Rx 836.790 Channel 4 (414) Tx 882.420 Rx 837.420 Channel 5 (435) Tx
883.050 Rx 838.050 Channel 6 (456) Tx 883.680 Rx 838.680 Channel 7 (477) Tx
884.310 Rx 839.310 Channel 8 (498) Tx 884.940 Rx 839.940 Channel 9 (519) Tx
885.570 Rx 840.570 Channel 10 (540) Tx 886.200 Rx 841.200 Channel 11 (561)
Tx 886.830 Rx 841.830 Channel 12 (582) Tx 887.460 Rx 842.460 Channel 13
(603) Tx 888.090 Rx 843.090 Channel 14 (624) Tx 888.720 Rx 843.720 Channel
15 (645) Tx 889.350 Rx 844.350 Channel 16 (666) Tx 889.980 Rx 844.980 Cell #
19 -- Channel 1 (352) Tx
880.560 Rx 835.560 Channel 2 (373) Tx 881.190 Rx 836.190 Channel 3 (394) Tx
881.820 Rx 836.820 Channel 4 (415) Tx 882.450 Rx 837.450 Channel 5 (436) Tx
883.080 Rx 838.080 Channel 6 (457) Tx 883.710 Rx 838.710 Channel 7 (478) Tx
884.340 Rx 839.340 Channel 8 (499) Tx 884.970 Rx 839.970 Channel 9 (520) Tx
885.600 Rx 840.600 Channel 10 (541) Tx 886.230 Rx 841.230 Channel 11 (562)
Tx 886.860 Rx 841.860 Channel 12 (583) Tx 887.490 Rx 842.490 Channel 13
(604) Tx 888.120 Rx 843.120 Channel 14 (625) Tx 888.750 Rx 843.750 Channel
15 (646) Tx 889.380 Rx 844.380 Cell #
20 -- Channel 1 (353) Tx
880.590 Rx 835.590 Channel 2 (374) Tx 881.220 Rx 836.220 Channel 3 (395) Tx
881.850 Rx 836.850 Channel 4 (416) Tx 882.480 Rx 837.480 Channel 5 (437) Tx
883.110 Rx 838.110 Channel 6 (458) Tx 883.740 Rx 838.740 Channel 7 (479) Tx
884.370 Rx 839.370 Channel 8 (500) Tx 885.000 Rx 840.000 Channel 9 (521) Tx
885.630 Rx 840.630 Channel 10 (542) Tx 886.260 Rx 841.260 Channel 11 (563)
Tx 886.890 Rx 841.890 Channel 12 (584) Tx 887.520 Rx 842.520 Channel 13
(605) Tx 888.150 Rx 843.150 Channel 14 (626) Tx 888.780 Rx 843.780 Channel
15 (647) Tx 889.410 Rx 844.410 Cell #
21 -- Channel 1 (354) Tx
880.620 Rx 835.620 Channel 2 (375) Tx 881.250 Rx 836.250 Channel 3 (396) Tx
881.880 Rx 836.880 Channel 4 (417) Tx 882.510 Rx 837.510 Channel 5 (438) Tx
883.140 Rx 838.140 Channel 6 (459) Tx 883.770 Rx 838.770 Channel 7 (480) Tx
884.400 Rx 839.400 Channel 8 (501) Tx 885.030 Rx 840.030 Channel 9 (522) Tx
885.660 Rx 840.660 Channel 10 (543) Tx 886.290 Rx 841.290 Channel 11 (564)

Tx 886.920 Rx 841.920 Channel 12 (585) Tx 887.550 Rx 842.550 Channel 13
(606) Tx 888.180 Rx 843.180 Channel 14 (627) Tx 888.810 Rx 843.810 Channel
15 (648) Tx 889.440 Rx 844.440
****
*

"WR" <impact@snet.net> wrote in message
news:3caf6aae.1425181@news.snet.sbcglobal.net...
> Hi All does anyone have the Mod (you know which one I mean)
> for the Radio Shack Pro 2032
> Could you upload me the instructions and any JPEGS if possible to
> show the correct diode to cut and any soldered back on
> I will try to return the favor on something you may need
>
>
> Thanks all
> Bill

1639. Re: CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 08:37:51 UTC
From: Neville Y <scubadiver@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <0BTr8.2333$Og6.231151@news02.tsnz.net>
Source record: 49757
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi Tom

It's a worry. I hope he's not from down under - NZ. We have a "bridge to nowhere"
http://www.google.com/search?q=bridge+to+nowhere+nz
I guess they do in other countries also.
About to download your program - I will ask nicely.

Neville

1640. Re: Yaesu FT-470 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 08:45:05 UTC
From: Technomage <proudhawk@uswestmail.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.04.07.01.45.04.976.11523@uswestmail.net>
Source record: 49758
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

well, you mentioned battery packs and the radio. nothing else. perhaps if you had put more emphasis on details (such as number of battery packs, other accessories) then I would probably have not judged so quickly.

Still, my more recent purchase was of a ft-470 with an nc-29 quick charger, a wallwart slow charger, 2 battery packs, speakermic and car/battery charger unit/adaptor for $145.00 (4 months ago).

the radio was in reasonably good shape all around.

Technomage

In article <xcMr8.14084$SG2.2900145@news1.news.adelphia.net>, "N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote:

> With what I have to go with it,I think is a fair price.Don't you think
> before you speak to ask what goes with this package.I will not give it
> away! "Technomage" <proudhawk@uswestmail.net> wrote in message

--
I will not be pushed, filed, stamped, indexed, briefed, debriefed, or numbered!
My life is my own - No. 6

1641. Re: Yaesu FT-470 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 08:49:25 UTC
From: Technomage <proudhawk@uswestmail.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.04.07.01.49.25.828.11523@uswestmail.net>
Source record: 49759
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I pointed out a common sense point. if you don't like it, oh well (TS).

the other groups were fine. but being a HAM myself, I find it rather unusual to post a forsale of AMATEUR EQUIPMENT in a CITIZENS BAND RELATED newsgroup. What? you want to encourage someone to buy it and then use it without a license?

Technomage

p. btw, thats 2m and 70cm on the HT (and it can be hardware modified to transceiver from 136.0 to 174.0/430-470 Mhz)

In article <YeMr8.14088$SG2.2900579@news1.news.adelphia.net>, "N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote:

> Besides that,are you the official news group cop that tells me where I
> can post a for sale item?I really get a kick out of you people.You spend
> your time to critisize others.Have a great day. "N1RSP"

--
I will not be pushed, filed, stamped, indexed, briefed, debriefed, or numbered!
My life is my own - No. 6

1642. f.s. Yuperitu 7100 Mint with accessories 225.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 10:04:39 UTC
From: JJJJJJJJJJ <oaktownboy@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <ukKr8.9300$xB.1584051@read2.cgocable.net>
Source record: 49827

for sale

in mint conditon with no gaps

yuperitu 7100 with custom leather case, rechargeable batteries,
wall adapter/charger, original manual, no box sorry.

1000 channels
signal meter
no gaps 0.1 -1650 mhz

so many bells and whistles

225.00US includes express insured shipping with tracking number

thanks
shyguy@cogeco.ca

1643. Speech Inversion descrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 11:35:36 UTC
From: ctpds49@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020407073536.10688.00003350@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 49760

Speech Inversion is accomplished by mixing the speech spectrum
(typically 300-3000 Hz) with a tone (commonly 3500 Hz) to produce some
audio frequencies (3800-6500 Hz) then filtering to 3200-500 Hz
constituting the speech inversion.

CTP - Speech Inversion descrambling
members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html

1644. Re: Motorola Astro SmartNet II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 11:52:17 UTC
From: tester <tester@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <Xns91E94F9C02C23tester@63.209.170.231>
Source record: 49761

Rick Colombo <Colombo@Ameritech.net> wrote in news:3CAFD42F.9040803
@Ameritech.net:

> Sorry if this has been asked before, but will a BC-235 TT pick up the
> (newish) Astro SmartNet II system? If not, what (if anything) will?
>
>
> Rick Colombo@Ameritech.net
> BC100, BC235, BC895, Pro90, Pro2035
>
>

No, none of the currently available scanners will pick up any Astro system.
The soon (maybe) to be released BC25) and BC785 will work with Astro but at
a hefty price (around $600). Astro is a digital modulation scheme and
existing scanners cannot decode this signal. They can receive it OK, but
you won't hear anything but static. Are you sure the system you want to
monitor is Astro? The BC235 will do Smartnet OK.

1645. Re: Motorola Astro SmartNet II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 12:00:28 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com>
Message-ID: <rich-335C2B.07002807042002@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49762

In article <3CAFD42F.9040803@Ameritech.net>,
Rick Colombo <Colombo@Ameritech.net> wrote:

> Sorry if this has been asked before, but will a BC-235 TT pick up the
> (newish) Astro SmartNet II system? If not, what (if anything) will?
>
>
> Rick Colombo@Ameritech.net
> BC100, BC235, BC895, Pro90, Pro2035
>

No, the BC235 (or the 245, 780, et al) do not demodulate digital signals including Astro. While the radio may likely decode the data channel and display talkgroup ID's (Most Astro systems use the older style data signal) the radio will not be able to hear the conversations.

Some Astro systems have analog talkgroups among the digital ones, some do not. Usually they are set up with the digital groups in the lower talkgroups (16, 48, 112, 144 etc.) and the analog in the higher end of the system (57xxx). In this case just lockout the digital talkgroups and scan the analog ones.

In my area a multi-agency dispatch center has an Astro/APCO-25 system and I can monitor the analog talkgroups just fine with my 245, 780 Pro-92 or Trunker set-up.

The upcoming BC785D/250D will be able to pick up and hear most Astro systems as long as they use the "Common Air Interface" (CAI) mode. CAI is Motrola's way of saying APCO25, the accepted standard for digital communications by the Public Safety community. There are some other types out there that these scanners will not hear. I think Cleveland and Nashville use older "V-SLEP" protocols that would not be heard on the 785D/350D for example.

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG
IL Highways and Scanner page: http://www.n9jig.com
Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association: http://www.carmachicago.com

1646. FA Mint Pro-2042 ends today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 12:41:55 UTC
From: **Sorcerer** <Spamrog@walesuk.net>
Message-ID: <a8peqj$4lv$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49763

Last day on ebay,ends today at 11.00pm GMT

http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?MfcISAPICommand=ViewItem&item=1342635
500

1647. Re: Pro-2037 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 12:51:56 UTC
From: Tony Napolitano <n2xjs@optoline.net>
Message-ID: <3CB0412A.9070208@optoline.net>
Source record: 49764
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Very Well said! I agree 100%. Why slam of "flame" someone when we should try and point them in the right direction. Naturally they will try and get top dollar...who wouldn't, or maybe they really do not know the going price.

Lighten up people...it's only a hobby and nobody is twisting your arm to buy the equipment!

Tony - N2XJS

ps- if your mother is not proud of you...I am! (read nect reply)

Browning Ping wrote:

> Har har ...got his goat ......
>
> On Sat, 06 Apr 2002 14:41:12 GMT, "N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>You *ucking guys are a bunch of *##hole on these news groups.Always cutting
>>someone up instead of trying to tell them that the price may be to high or
>>try to help them out.You are the one that buy it for a dollar and sell it
>>for 50.00.So rather than critisize someone,why don't you try to encourage
>>them and try to give them an idea of a going price.Is that hard to do??
>>Go on Ebay and you pay tripple the prices.No wonder why you see more FA on
>>here than FS.
>>So if all you want to do is jump down someones throat and make yourselfs
>>look like idiots,don't even make a response to me unless you want to help or
>>buy.
>>I also buy a lot of these groups and I don't ask for much.I try to get what
>>I got in the item.Some of you out there have sold and bought from me and
>>have had good deals.
>>Again, keep your yap shut or try to help out rather than bitch.
>>"N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>news:1Rsr8.13517$SG2.2653841@news1.news.adelphia.net...
>>
>>>This is a nice little 200 channel base scanner.I am starting to weed out
>>>some of the toys I don't need anymore.I will sell this shipped in USA for
>>>$110.00.Email me or call 978-281-3359
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>---
>>>Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.

>>>Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
>>>Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>---
>>Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
>>Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
>>Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002
>>
>>
>
> remove (no spam) to reply
>

1648. Re: f.s. Yuperitu 7100 Mint with accessories 225.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 12:58:15 UTC
From: JJJJJJJJJJ <oaktownboy@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <dTMr8.9318$xB.1594357@read2.cgocable.net>
Source record: 49840

this unit has been sold, thanks

"JJJJJJJJJJ" <oaktownboy@cogeco.ca> wrote in message
news:ukKr8.9300$xB.1584051@read2.cgocable.net...
> for sale
>
> in mint conditon with no gaps
>
> yuperitu 7100 with custom leather case, rechargeable batteries,
> wall adapter/charger, original manual, no box sorry.
>
> 1000 channels
> signal meter
> no gaps 0.1 -1650 mhz
>
> so many bells and whistles
>
> 225.00US includes express insured shipping with tracking number
>
> thanks
> shyguy@cogeco.ca
>
>
>

1649. Re: Need Mod for RS Pro 2032

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 13:36:59 UTC
From: impact@snet.net
Message-ID: <3cb04c2c.1968169@news.snet.sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 49765

I new the FREAKS would come out I New, But I new , I New but why ,
Oaaahhhhhhh it's to late

On Sat, 06 Apr 2002 21:36:23 GMT, impact@snet.net (WR) wrote:

>Hi All does anyone have the Mod (you know which one I mean)
>for the Radio Shack Pro 2032
> Could you upload me the instructions and any JPEGS if possible to
>show the correct diode to cut and any soldered back on
>I will try to return the favor on something you may need
>
>
>Thanks all
> Bill

1650. Re: Yaesu FT-470 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 13:37:50 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <OYXr8.15305$SG2.3075805@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49766
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

You may have been one of the lucky ones who step in *hit and come out
smelling like a rose.Some do and some don't.Look at your gas prices,one day
down and next day up.Enough said.Have a great day all.
"Technomage" <proudhawk@uswestmail.net> wrote in message
news:pan.2002.04.07.01.45.04.976.11523@uswestmail.net...
> well, you mentioned battery packs and the radio. nothing else. perhaps if
> you had put more emphasis on details (such as number of battery packs,
> other accessories) then I would probably have not judged so quickly.
>
> Still, my more recent purchase was of a ft-470 with an nc-29 quick
> charger, a wallwart slow charger, 2 battery packs, speakermic and
> car/battery charger unit/adaptor for $145.00 (4 months ago).
>
> the radio was in reasonably good shape all around.
>
> Technomage
>
> In article <xcMr8.14084$SG2.2900145@news1.news.adelphia.net>, "N1RSP"
> <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote:
>
> > With what I have to go with it,I think is a fair price.Don't you think
> > before you speak to ask what goes with this package.I will not give it
> > away! "Technomage" <proudhawk@uswestmail.net> wrote in message
>
> --
> I will not be pushed, filed, stamped, indexed, briefed, debriefed, or
numbered!
> My life is my own - No. 6

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002

1651. Questions from a newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 15:30:06 UTC
From: tater schuld <taters@maps.charter.net>
Message-ID: <ub0p5depg3lm6f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49767

Hi I have a Regency Z30 an am looking to get a better scanner. Better in this case means getting more channels and a few more bands. I am looking for a scanner that can do all of the regency's bands, plus the aircraft band, and have approximateley 60-100 channels.

--
remove spam spelled backwards to reply

1652. Regency z30

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 15:31:36 UTC
From: tater schuld <taters@maps.charter.net>
Message-ID: <ub0p8bh0hpg492@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49768

Does anyne know where i can find a manual for this scanner?

--
remove spam spelled backwards to reply

1653. Re: Regency z30

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 15:48:15 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3TZr8.14389$k5.5205451@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49769

I found this link which might help. He list your Regency Z30, even though the link indicates BearCat. Ordering information is at the bottom.
http://www.bearcat1.com/manuals.htm

Bill Crocker

"tater schuld" <taters@maps.charter.net> wrote in message news:ub0p8bh0hpg492@corp.supernews.com...
> Does anyne know where i can find a manual for this scanner?
>
> --
> remove spam spelled backwards to reply
>
>
>
>
>

1654. Boosting Mobile Reception?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 16:16:16 UTC
From: "b.baldwin" <b.baldwin@webmanixhosting.com>
Message-ID: <ub0s82t5el5c07@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49770

Hello all....
I got a new vehicle a few weeks ago and transferred my mobile equipment
over...

and in this new vehicle I get about 1/2 the reception strength.

any suggestions out there on how I might boost my reception in the vehicle
for mobile mounted?

thanks

--

Brian Baldwin
Rescue Hosting http://www.rescuehosting.com

1655. Re: Buffalo Area Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 16:39:39 UTC
From: rescue@pcpostal.com
Message-ID: <d3ae859e.0204070839.16d230a6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49771

Try http://nf2g.com

Adam Carter <scrod21@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<B8C8DB34.C8F%scrod21@adelphia.net>...
> I'm looking for a Recent list of Buffalo area (Tonawanda, Buffalo, Kenmore)
> It appears that Radioshack no longer prints their Police call book with
> frequencies Listed... Any help be it websites, or posted/ e-mailed lists
> would be helpful.

1656. Re: CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 17:17:30 UTC
From: **Sorcerer** <Spamrog@walesuk.net>
Message-ID: <a8puv9$oq8$1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49772
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi all
Hey, I seen this log prog working on a friends PC, it is good, very good. I downloaded it, sent of for the password, got it back, put it in and !!!!BUGGER!!!! I ain't got access 2000, only 1997 version, is there anyway round this?
SoRcErEr

"Neville Y" <scubadiver@bigfoot.com> wrote in message news:0BTr8.2333$Og6.231151@news02.tsnz.net...
> Hi Tom
>
> It's a worry. I hope he's not from down under - NZ. We have a "bridge to
> nowhere"
> http://www.google.com/search?q=bridge+to+nowhere+nz
> I guess they do in other countries also.
> About to download your program - I will ask nicely.
>
> Neville
>
>
>

1657. Re: Buffalo Area Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 17:18:39 UTC
From: wleamons <wleamons@socket.net>
Message-ID: <ub0vrumhuk3f4f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49773

try cityfreq.com
"Dan" <rescue@pcpostal.com> wrote in message
news:d3ae859e.0204070839.16d230a6@posting.google.com...
> Try http://nf2g.com
>
>
> Adam Carter <scrod21@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<B8C8DB34.C8F%scrod21@adelphia.net>...
> > I'm looking for a Recent list of Buffalo area (Tonawanda, Buffalo,
Kenmore)
> > It appears that Radioshack no longer prints their Police call book with
> > frequencies Listed... Any help be it websites, or posted/ e-mailed lists
> > would be helpful.

1658. Re: Buffalo Area Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 17:19:50 UTC
From: wleamons <wleamons@socket.net>
Message-ID: <ub0vtp9mhkbq76@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49774

```
"wleamons" <wleamons@socket.net> wrote in message news:...
> try cityfreq.com
> "Dan" <rescue@pcpostal.com> wrote in message
> news:d3ae859e.0204070839.16d230a6@posting.google.com...
> > Try http://nf2g.com
> >
> >
> > Adam Carter <scrod21@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:<B8C8DB34.C8F%scrod21@adelphia.net>...
> > > I'm looking for a Recent list of Buffalo area (Tonawanda, Buffalo,
> Kenmore)
> > > It appears that Radioshack no longer prints their Police call book
with
> > > frequencies Listed... Any help be it websites, or posted/ e-mailed
lists
> > > would be helpful.
>
>
```

1659. Missis moaning about my scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 17:22:27 UTC
From: **Sorcerer** <Spamrog@walesuk.net>
Message-ID: <a8pv8j$pf3$1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49775

Hi All
My missis is at me again!!, keeps goin on about how much time I spend with my radio's.
She says I got to get rid of my scanners or go. So there we have it, anyone gotta room to rent.
SoRcErEr

1660. Re: Missis moaning about my scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 17:32:40 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <Yo%r8.14395$k5.5208474@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49776

For her, or your scanners???

Bill Crocker

"**Sorcerer**" <Spamrog@walesuk.net> wrote in message
news:a8pv8j$pf3$1@knossos.btinternet.com...
> Hi All
> My missis is at me again!!, keeps goin on about how much time I spend with
> my radio's.
> She says I got to get rid of my scanners or go. So there we have it,
anyone
> gotta room to rent.
> SoRcErEr
>
>
>

1661. Re: Missis moaning about my scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 17:42:15 UTC
From: **Sorcerer** <Spamrog@walesuk.net>
Message-ID: <a8q0dm$rl4$1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49777

Her of course.. :-))
Sorcerer

"Bill Crocker" <billcrocker@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:Yo%r8.14395$k5.5208474@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> For her, or your scanners???
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "**Sorcerer**" <Spamrog@walesuk.net> wrote in message
> news:a8pv8j$pf3$1@knossos.btinternet.com...
> > Hi All
> > My missis is at me again!!, keeps goin on about how much time I spend
with
> > my radio's.
> > She says I got to get rid of my scanners or go. So there we have it,
> anyone
> > gotta room to rent.
> > SoRcErEr
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1662. Re: Missis moaning about my scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 17:46:02 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <uB%r8.14398$k5.5208390@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49778

Ah, forget it. Try putting her on Ebay! :))

Bill Crocker

"**Sorcerer**" <Spamrog@walesuk.net> wrote in message
news:a8q0dm$rl4$1@paris.btinternet.com...
> Her of course.. :-))
> Sorcerer
>
> "Bill Crocker" <billcrocker@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> news:Yo%r8.14395$k5.5208474@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > For her, or your scanners???
> >
> > Bill Crocker
> >
> >
> > "**Sorcerer**" <Spamrog@walesuk.net> wrote in message
> > news:a8pv8j$pf3$1@knossos.btinternet.com...
> > > Hi All
> > > My missis is at me again!!, keeps goin on about how much time I spend
> with
> > > my radio's.
> > > She says I got to get rid of my scanners or go. So there we have it,
> > anyone
> > > gotta room to rent.
> > > SoRcErEr
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

1663. Re: Missis moaning about my scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 18:02:55 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <jR%r8.25736$%3.2199231@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 49779

with a moldy sponge. hehe.

"Bill Crocker" <billcrocker@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:uB%r8.14398$k5.5208390@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> Ah, forget it. Try putting her on Ebay! :))
>
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>
> "**Sorcerer**" <Spamrog@walesuk.net> wrote in message
> news:a8q0dm$rl4$1@paris.btinternet.com...
> > Her of course.. :-))
> > Sorcerer
> >
> > "Bill Crocker" <billcrocker@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> > news:Yo%r8.14395$k5.5208474@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > > For her, or your scanners???
> > >
> > > Bill Crocker
> > >
> > >
> > > "**Sorcerer**" <Spamrog@walesuk.net> wrote in message
> > > news:a8pv8j$pf3$1@knossos.btinternet.com...
> > > > Hi All
> > > > My missis is at me again!!, keeps goin on about how much time I
spend
> > with
> > > > my radio's.
> > > > She says I got to get rid of my scanners or go. So there we have it,
> > > anyone
> > > > gotta room to rent.
> > > > SoRcErEr
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>

1664. Re: Missis moaning about my scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 18:08:53 UTC
From: **Sorcerer** <Spamrog@walesuk.net>
Message-ID: <a8q1vkjlj1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49780

Well, she is 6' 7" 300lbs, with biceps the size of Baltimore. hobbies include arm-wrestling, pipe smoking, farting contests (to which I might ad, she holds a Gold in the 77/78 festival in Dumfries-UK) She also enjoys the gentle art of self tattoo,in, she has a lovely anchor on her right arm, and a snake with a dagger through it on her forehead with the word "Mummy" in a scroll beneath. very artistic you understand. She is pretty good in the bedroom, but I do wish she would get rid of those sideburns and beard.
Sorcerer

"**Sorcerer**" <Spamrog@walesuk.net> wrote in message
news:a8pv8j$pf3$1@knossos.btinternet.com...
> Hi All
> My missis is at me again!!, keeps goin on about how much time I spend with
> my radio's.
> She says I got to get rid of my scanners or go. So there we have it,
anyone
> gotta room to rent.
> SoRcErEr
>
>

1665. Re: Boosting Mobile Reception?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 18:50:10 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <Cx0s8.14400$k5.5211038@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49781
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

You don't say what you're using for an antenna? Also, what type of radio equipment are you using?

Make sure you have a good ground on your radio equipment. Also, you will probably need to install various noise filters, for the alternator, ignition, and possibly computer.

Bill Crocker

"b.baldwin" wrote in message
news:ub0s82t5el5c07@corp.supernews.com...
> Hello all....
> I got a new vehicle a few weeks ago and transferred my mobile equipment
> over...
>
> and in this new vehicle I get about 1/2 the reception strength.
>
> any suggestions out there on how I might boost my reception in the vehicle
> for mobile mounted?
>
> thanks
>
> --
> ---
> Brian Baldwin
> Rescue Hosting http://www.rescuehosting.com
>
>
>
>

1666. Re: Excuse me For Asking This Here But Here Goes!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 18:52:18 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <Cz0s8.14401$k5.5211011@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49782

Pick up a new one on Ebay, cheap! Then have your existing service
transferred to it...some places will do it for free, some charge a few
bucks. Just make sure it's what you need, ie; analog vs. digital

Bill Crocker

"Jim Mac Donald" <jmacdon@mitre.org> wrote in message
news:3CAFC7DE.A797EC08@mitre.org...
> Try taking it to Radio Shack they may be able to send it out for repair.
> If it's a ANALOGUE only cell phone no one
> is going to give you much service. Is the telephone number and account
> associated with this phone still active
> are you paying the bill on the phone? This may give you some leverage
> when trying to get it repaired. A price analysis of the repair job
> verses a new Digital PCS cell phone/account may give you a better idea
> of which deal is better and will work
> Jim.
> -
> Nick wrote:
>
> > I have a cellular phone that dies and I need a group or help what to
> > look for. it is past warranty. it is A erickson Model 280. AT&T said
> > to toss it but I figure if I get it going I have an extra phone. It
> > receibves no power and won't charge and display is out. has to be in
> > some kind of power from battery that is charged on phone circuit. Is
> > it possibe to fix myself. Nick
>

1667. Bearcat 2500 XLT Mint In Box !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 19:27:05 UTC
From: GlockGuy <felloffthetruck@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <311s8.14249$gA5.2476148@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49783

I have a Bearcat 2500 XLT Mint in the Original Box for Buy It Now $150.00 on ebay.
Mod has been done and works perfect. This scanner has had hardly any use at all.
New/Mint Condition !!!!
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1344789879

1668. Scanner for Digital Transmissions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 19:29:40 UTC
From: "J. Duffy Beischel" <jduffy@zoomtown.com>
Message-ID: <ub17dhl56b1kd2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49784

Are there any scanners out that are capable of receiving both digital and analog trunk systems? I know the analog has been out for a number of years, but Cincinnati/Hamilton County are moving to digital. Are we just out of luck?

1669. Re: Scanner for Digital Transmissions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 19:30:59 UTC
From: GlockGuy <felloffthetruck@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <J41s8.14285$gA5.2477366@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49785

No, You are In Luck !!!!!
Uniden Bearcat is coming out with the 785D and 250D Handheld receivers.
You will have to purchase the Card for Digital seprate @ $300.00 just for
the card !!
Should be out by the end of thge year!!
Start saving Now !!!

"J. Duffy Beischel" <jduffy(nospam)@zoomtown.com> wrote in message
news:ub17dhl56b1kd2@corp.supernews.com...
> Are there any scanners out that are capable of receiving both digital and
> analog trunk systems? I know the analog has been out for a number of
years,
> but Cincinnati/Hamilton County are moving to digital. Are we just out of
> luck?
>
>

1670. Re: Scanner for Digital Transmissions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 19:32:00 UTC
From: GlockGuy <felloffthetruck@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <G51s8.14297$gA5.2477545@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49786

785D is the Base Unit and 250D is the Handheld !!!
Sorry

"GlockGuy" <felloffthetruck@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:J41s8.14285$gA5.2477366@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> No, You are In Luck !!!!!
> Uniden Bearcat is coming out with the 785D and 250D Handheld receivers.
> You will have to purchase the Card for Digital seprate @ $300.00 just for
> the card !!
> Should be out by the end of thge year!!
> Start saving Now !!!
>
>
> "J. Duffy Beischel" <jduffy(nospam)@zoomtown.com> wrote in message
> news:ub17dhl56b1kd2@corp.supernews.com...
> > Are there any scanners out that are capable of receiving both digital
and
> > analog trunk systems? I know the analog has been out for a number of
> years,
> > but Cincinnati/Hamilton County are moving to digital. Are we just out
of
> > luck?
> >
> >
>
>
>

1671. Building a Yagi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 19:54:17 UTC
From: kd4n@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <e16790a6.0204071154.4656c773@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49787
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Does anyone know the "formulas" for building a Yagi antenna,(the
diameter,length,and spacing of elements)? I need one for UHF, about
18-elements.
Thank you, John (kd4n@yahoo.com)

1672. transit and misc freq in the southern california area...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 20:03:20 UTC
From: josephmdunn@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18024-3CB0A608-316@storefull-2277.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 49788

can anyone shed some light on how i can find TRANSIT and other MISC
frequencies here in the los angeles area.
i've tried cityfreq and some other sites, just wondering if there are
more sites or if there are publications that cover what i am queiring.
i am looking for the
los angeles convention center frequencies as well. thank you
joseph dunn
(not the state senator from orange county and not bruce willis's son in
the movie
"unbreakable")

1673. I'd like to buy a Yaesu VR-120

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 20:45:56 UTC
From: Bruno <mongkon.anousak@skynet.be>
Message-ID: <3cb0b069$0$8147$ba620e4c@news.skynet.be>
Source record: 49789

Hi all,

I'd like to buy a radio scanner Yaesu VR-120 but just in the purpose to hear aviation communications.
Could you tell me if it's a good one for such purpose?
I wouldn't like to pay for an expensive one, and the vr-120 seems very affordable...but is it good quality?
Will I be able to hear airports and aircraft communications with it?

Thanks a lot for your help,

Bruno

1674. Re: CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 20:53:44 UTC
From: MadDogMcQ <tom.mcq@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a8qbko$8a9$1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49790
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi Sorcerer,
I'm sorry but there doesn't seem to be a way around this. When putting this database together, I made use of Access2000's extra features and in doing so, made it incompatible with older versions of Access.

Shame really, but I couldn't have my cake and eat it as they say.

Tom www.ar3000.info

"**Sorcerer**" <Spamrog@walesuk.net> wrote in message
news:a8puv9$oq8$1@knossos.btinternet.com...
> Hi all
> Hey, I seen this log prog working on a friends PC, it is good, very good.
I
> downloaded it, sent of for the password, got it back, put it in and
> !!!!BUGGER!!!! I ain't got access 2000, only 1997 version, is there
anyway
> round this?
> SoRcErEr
>
>
> "Neville Y" <scubadiver@bigfoot.com> wrote in message
> news:0BTr8.2333$Og6.231151@news02.tsnz.net...
> > Hi Tom
> >
> > It's a worry. I hope he's not from down under - NZ. We have a "bridge to
> > nowhere"
> > http://www.google.com/search?q=bridge+to+nowhere+nz
> > I guess they do in other countries also.
> > About to download your program - I will ask nicely.
> >
> > Neville
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1675. Re: CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 21:11:21 UTC
From: mhaas2@earthlinknospam.net
Message-ID: <3cb0b5bb.865259577@news.newsville.com>
Source record: 49791
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 6 Apr 2002 21:23:47 +0000 (UTC), "MadDogMcQ"
<tom.mcq@btinternet.com> wrote:

Too bad no one's been able to figure out how to send pain in a .zip
file. :)

1676. Re: Building a Yagi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 21:53:47 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <if3s8.5592$cC5.1614131@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 49792
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

In article <e16790a6.0204071154.4656c773@posting.google.com>,
kd4n@yahoo.com says...
> Does anyone know the "formulas" for building a Yagi antenna,(the
> diameter,length,and spacing of elements)? I need one for UHF, about
> 18-elements.
> Thank you, John (kd4n@yahoo.com)
>

www.google.com

1677. Re: Scanner for Digital Transmissions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-07 23:37:32 UTC
From: "J. Duffy Beischel" <jduffy@zoomtown.com>
Message-ID: <ub1lu6m206uhb0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49793

Thanks for the info...at least it is possible!

"GlockGuy" <felloffthetruck@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:G51s8.14297$gA5.2477545@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> 785D is the Base Unit and 250D is the Handheld !!!
> Sorry
>
>
> "GlockGuy" <felloffthetruck@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:J41s8.14285$gA5.2477366@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > No, You are In Luck !!!!!
> > Uniden Bearcat is coming out with the 785D and 250D Handheld receivers.
> > You will have to purchase the Card for Digital seprate @ $300.00 just
for
> > the card !!
> > Should be out by the end of thge year!!
> > Start saving Now !!!
> >
> >
> > "J. Duffy Beischel" <jduffy(nospam)@zoomtown.com> wrote in message
> > news:ub17dhl56b1kd2@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Are there any scanners out that are capable of receiving both digital
> and
> > > analog trunk systems? I know the analog has been out for a number of
> > years,
> > > but Cincinnati/Hamilton County are moving to digital. Are we just out
> of
> > > luck?
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

1678. BayGen Freeplay 360 AM/FM Self Powered Radio 4 sale.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 00:24:23 UTC
From: "Rodger N. Bird" <rbird2@peoplepc.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.171aad3f2235e5359896b7@trialnews.peoplepc.com>
Source record: 49794

I have a BayGen Freeplay 360 AM/FM Radio for sale. This is a self power radio and will run on the following power Sources:

Internal - B-Motor textured carbon spring driving a DC generator through a transmission.

Solar - High performance thin film solar panel

External - Optional DC adapter (center pin positive) 4.5 V 150mA (minimum)

The Frequency Range is:

FM - 88 to 108 MHz

AM - 520 to 1700 KHz

For more information see the following web pages:

http://windupradio.com/freeplay3.htm

http://www.ogormans.co.uk/freeplay360.htm

http://www.productsforanywhere.com/gear/details/fp_360.html

The Freeplay 360 is in excellent condition and works fine. The selling price of $40.00 includes shipping costs within the continental United States.

Please email me direct if you are interested. Do not reply to this message. My email address is: rbird2@peoplepc.com

Thank you,

Rodger

1679. What should I buy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 01:49:14 UTC
From: johnscoke@aol.comspamnot
Message-ID: <20020407214914.17993.00002286@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 49795

I am ready to buy my first scanner. I can spend about $200. Any suggestions on a good handheld?

Steven

1680. Re: Missis moaning about my scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 01:58:13 UTC
From: Rocinante <matrixoutsider@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <f9u1bucbquljgkp7uv8vg06dlraf7slb6j@4ax.com>
Source record: 49796

On Sun, 7 Apr 2002 17:22:27 +0000 (UTC), "**Sorcerer**"
<Spamrog@walesuk.net> wrote:

>Hi All
>My missis is at me again!!, keeps goin on about how much time I spend with
>my radio's.
>She says I got to get rid of my scanners or go. So there we have it, anyone
>gotta room to rent.
>SoRcErEr
>

No, you should throw HER out of the mobile home.

1681. Re: What should I buy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 02:28:01 UTC
From: Alexander McNaughton <treehug3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Fe7s8.2299$PQ.561489@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 49698

No.

1682. FA: Motorola GP68 VHF Programable W/Warranty New!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 02:30:04 UTC
From: ravenfalls@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020407223004.20514.00002773@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 49697

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1344783028

View my website:
http://www.azradio.8k.com

Thanks,

Joe

1683. Re: What should I buy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 02:50:58 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <mA7s8.14436$k5.5233611@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49699

Uniden Bearcat BC245-XLT, or the Radio Shack (GRE) PRO-93 (when on sale).

Bill Crocker

"JohnSCoke" <johnscoke@aol.comspamnot> wrote in message
news:20020407214914.17993.00002286@mb-fk.aol.com...
> I am ready to buy my first scanner. I can spend about $200. Any
suggestions on
> a good handheld?
>
> Steven
>

1684. Monitoring cellular frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 03:16:07 UTC
From: "Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <XX7s8.15704$SG2.3222270@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49700
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

When I listen to cell frequencies I hear only one side. Does the tower use different frequencies for receive/transmit? If so, where to I find each one? I assume either one is xxxkHz/mHz up/down?

thanks

1685. Re: What should I buy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 03:56:02 UTC
From: fusQuanto <futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <20020407225745.008c2356.futant623@yahooNOSPAM.com>
Source record: 49701

93 JUST went offsale... from 169 to 249. sux4u

On Mon, 08 Apr 2002 02:50:58 GMT
"Bill Crocker" <billcrocker@ameritech.net> wrote:

> Uniden Bearcat BC245-XLT, or the Radio Shack (GRE) PRO-93 (when on sale).
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "JohnSCoke" <johnscoke@aol.comspamnot> wrote in message
> news:20020407214914.17993.00002286@mb-fk.aol.com...
> > I am ready to buy my first scanner. I can spend about $200. Any
> suggestions on
> > a good handheld?
> >
> > Steven
> >
>
>

1686. Re: Data Slicer Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 04:06:09 UTC
From: avi solomon <avi112@home.com>
Message-ID: <RG8s8.192376$af7.97649@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 49702

A data slicer (also called a hamcom interface) is a device that connects to your radio's discriminator tap. When the radio is tuned to a data carrier (like POCSAG), it takes the audio signal and turns it into 1's and 0's so the computer (through the serial port) understands it. There are tons of different uses for 2 and 4 level decoders, they are easy to build and you can find instructions all over the net. Here is a site to get you started: http://www.chenmei.fsnet.co.uk/software/hamcommware.htm

"Adam Carter" <scrod21@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:B8CE3B0B.DDF%scrod21@adelphia.net...
> This is a newbie question, but What is a data Slicer?
>
> On 3/31/02 9:25 PM, in article
> JyPp8.46979$a3.19153508@typhoon.southeast.rr.com, "me"
> <cbkid113@athotmael.com> wrote:
>
> > I want to make the little data slicer that's been floating around the net
> > for some time, found here:
> > http://www.trunkedradio.net/trunked/trunker/circut.gif
> >
> > I am unclear on a few things though. Are C1-C3 electrolytics or are they
> > just regular unpolarized caps? Or is it just C2 and C3 that are the
> > electrolytics and if so, which way are they oriented? Are there any
> > specific types of capacitors I should use (ceramic vs.......)?
> >
> >
> > Thanks!
> >
> >
> >
>

1687. Re: Building a Yagi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 04:26:18 UTC
From: MRK <me@you.com>
Message-ID: <ub270aqmptej95@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49703
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

I did a search on the net for forulas and found a few very good sites.
Also, try the ARRL handbook.
"John" <kd4n@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:e16790a6.0204071154.4656c773@posting.google.com...
> Does anyone know the "formulas" for building a Yagi antenna,(the
> diameter,length,and spacing of elements)? I need one for UHF, about
> 18-elements.
> Thank you, John (kd4n@yahoo.com)

1688. Re: Yaesu FT-470 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 04:33:52 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <a8t2ai4ku6@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 49725
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

And you are not even going to get close to what you are asking. If you do, PLEASE give me the persons name you sell it to. I want to sell my truck as new too!

Ryan

"N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:xcMr8.14084$SG2.2900145@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> With what I have to go with it,I think is a fair price.Don't you think
> before you speak to ask what goes with this package.I will not give it away!
> "Technomage" <proudhawk@uswestmail.net> wrote in message
> news:pan.2002.04.06.15.43.31.90.26347@uswestmail.net...
> > to begin with,
> > you are posting in a group that is inappropriate for this
> > (rec.radio.cb).
> >
> > secondly, that particular radio is nearly 10 years old (as the line was
> > discontinued in 1992) and I have several here that I paid less than
> > $150.00 each for them (including accessories).
> >
> > you are asking a bit much.
> >
> > Technomage
> >
> >
> > In article <B5tr8.13524$SG2.2660984@news1.news.adelphia.net>, "N1RSP"
> > <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote:
> >
> > > Have for sale the FT-470 dual band ht with several
> > > batteries,book,chargers and box.I am aking $220.00 shipped in USA.Email
> > > me This has both bands at once if you wanted.
> > >
> > >
> > > ---
> > > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free. Checked by AVG anti-virus system
> > > (http://www.grisoft.com). Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 -
> > > Release Date: 3/14/2002
> >
> >
> > --
> > I will not be pushed, filed, stamped, indexed, briefed, debriefed, or

> numbered!
> > My life is my own - No. 6
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002
>
>

1689. Re: Need Mod for RS Pro 2032

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 05:18:33 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020408011833.02351.00000080@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 49704

In article <yqKr8.14019$Oo1.9806@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>, "Dont ya think....." <AboutThatPerson@TheDoor.com> writes:

>You guys in the states have shitty scanner laws I'm sorry, even in Canada I don't >think they're this bad.

Instead, your government outlaws handgun possession...thus ensuring that only the criminals have guns and innocent citizens cannot protect themselves.

1690. Re: Scanner for Digital Transmissions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 05:26:11 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <TR9s8.13125$%A.1010811@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 49705

```
See http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/uniden-digital.htm - it's a few
months old, but havs some current links on it.
-
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications
History

"J. Duffy Beischel" <jduffy(nospam)@zoomtown.com> wrote in message
news:ub1lu6m206uhb0@corp.supernews.com...
> Thanks for the info...at least it is possible!
>
>
> "GlockGuy" <felloffthetruck@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:G51s8.14297$gA5.2477545@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > 785D is the Base Unit and 250D is the Handheld !!!
> > Sorry
> >
> >
> > "GlockGuy" <felloffthetruck@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:J41s8.14285$gA5.2477366@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > > No, You are In Luck !!!!!
> > > Uniden Bearcat is coming out with the 785D and 250D Handheld
receivers.
> > > You will have to purchase the Card for Digital seprate @ $300.00
just
> for
> > > the card !!
> > > Should be out by the end of thge year!!
> > > Start saving Now !!!
> > >
> > >
> > > "J. Duffy Beischel" <jduffy(nospam)@zoomtown.com> wrote in message
> > > news:ub17dhl56b1kd2@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > Are there any scanners out that are capable of receiving both
digital
> > and
> > > > analog trunk systems? I know the analog has been out for a
number of
> > > years,
> > > > but Cincinnati/Hamilton County are moving to digital. Are we
just out
> > of
> > > > luck?
> > > >
```

> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1691. Re: Need Mod for RS Pro 2032

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 06:02:59 UTC
From: "Dont ya think....." <AboutThatPerson@TheDoor.com>
Message-ID: <noas8.23076$Oo1.657@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 49706

Actually, I believer we are allowed to have hand guns. We just can't carry them on us concealed.

Instead we just take ya out huntin, in the bush or something. And call it an accident.

WOW, what a loophole that one is....

"TooManyRadios" <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020408011833.02351.00000080@mb-fc.aol.com...
> In article <yqKr8.14019$Oo1.9806@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>, "Dont
> ya think....." <AboutThatPerson@TheDoor.com> writes:
>
> >You guys in the states have shitty scanner laws I'm sorry, even in Canada I
> don't >think they're this bad.
>
> Instead, your government outlaws handgun possession...thus ensuring that only
> the criminals have guns and innocent citizens cannot protect themselves.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/3/2002

1692. Re: Yaesu FT-470 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 08:36:01 UTC
From: michael jantzen <jantzen_michael@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <RDcs8.192188$Yv2.66122@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 49707
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

You're kidding right?

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:XXMr8.17062$4L4.583430@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
>
> "N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:xcMr8.14084$SG2.2900145@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> > With what I have to go with it,I think is a fair price.Don't you think
> > before you speak to ask what goes with this package.I will not give it
> away!
>
> Actually, he's right. It is a bit pricey, but hopefully you'll find some
new
> ham that will think it's worth it. It is a very durable HT.
>
>

1693. Re: Monitoring cellular frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 14:20:31 UTC
From: Odd Eriksen <odderi@pvv.ntnu.no>
Message-ID: <a8s8vf$7o5$1@tyfon.itea.ntnu.no>
Source record: 49708
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In rec.radio.shortwave Michael L. <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote:

> When I listen to cell frequencies I hear only one side. Does the tower use
> different frequencies for receive/transmit? If so, where to I find each one?
> I assume either one is xxxkHz/mHz up/down?

-That depends on which system you intend to monitor. The FCC is very helpful
in cases like this; if you mail your inquiry stating what equipment you've
got and what system you'd like to listen to, you're guaranteed a swift
response.

Use fccinfo@fcc.gov. Hope this helps.

--
73 de LC8MAT

Odd Erling, ARK

When companies ship Styrofoam, what do they pack it in?

1694. FA: Yupiteru MVT9000 MKII M3.5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 14:32:08 UTC
From: radionicist@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3cb1a91f.951157495@news.bright.net>
Source record: 49709
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hi Gang,

I'm offering this great full coverage handheld with Bandercom's M3.5
performance enhancements on eBay. Please visit:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1344930033

if you're interested. Thanks!

Tony
E=IR: Not Just a Good Idea, It's the Law!

1695. Radio Enthusiast News

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 15:41:57 UTC
From: rick@radio-scanner.co.uk
Message-ID: <b0488035.0204080741.690dcb04@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49710
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

For all the radio scanner, radio amateur and radio professional and leisure news maybe you would like to check out www.radio-scanner.co.uk

www.radio-scanner.co.uk

Well, just a thought!

1696. Re: Monitoring cellular frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 16:26:22 UTC
From: jim menning <jmenning@new.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Owjs8.20811$X9.242564@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 49711
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Odd Eriksen" <odderi@pvv.ntnu.no> wrote in message
news:a8s8vf$7o5$1@tyfon.itea.ntnu.no...
> In rec.radio.shortwave Michael L. <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote:
>
> > When I listen to cell frequencies I hear only one side. Does the tower use
> > different frequencies for receive/transmit? If so, where to I find each one?
> > I assume either one is xxxkHz/mHz up/down?
>
> -That depends on which system you intend to monitor. The FCC is very helpful
> in cases like this; if you mail your inquiry stating what equipment you've
> got and what system you'd like to listen to, you're guaranteed a swift
> response.
>
> Use fccinfo@fcc.gov. Hope this helps.
>
> --
> 73 de LC8MAT
>
> Odd Erling, ARK

Nasty!

jim menning

1697. Cell Frequency and 400Mhz range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 16:30:00 UTC
From: JOHN DENISE <JDENISE1@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <cAjs8.141388$y26.21243292@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 49712

First of all, What cell company still has phones that work in the 800 Mhz ranges? I thought they were all digital. Also I was thinking about getting a Cellular Look alike antenae for the scanner, how well will this cover the 400 mhz range. Is there a different on I should get? I want one that pretty much covers all of the bands will driving, especially the 400mhz and one that is better for 900mhz in my apartment. Thanks for the help.

1698. Questions on Win93 Use.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 17:45:19 UTC
From: Stanley Kaliski <tanker001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Gu9FzJ.GyM@news.boeing.com>
Source record: 49713

I'm new to my PRO-93 and to trunk system scanning. While learning the program and my new scanner I can't seem to figure out the following.

When I'm entering Fleet Map information from a website and it states B0=S0 do you set Block 0 to Type-II? There is no S0 listed in the drop down list.

When entering the ID 400-1 it truncates it to 00400, is 400-01 (which is accepted) the same as 400-1?

Thanks,

Stanley S. Kaliski

1699. Re: CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 18:32:50 UTC
From: **Sorcerer** <spamrog@wales.net>
Message-ID: <a8snoi$n19$1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49714
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi
No Problem, I shall keep it on my hard-disk as I expect I will get office
2000 in the near future
thanks for your reply.
Sorcerer

"MadDogMcQ" <tom.mcq@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:a8qbko$8a9$1@paris.btinternet.com...
> Hi Sorcerer,
> I'm sorry but there doesn't seem to be a way around this. When putting
this
> database together, I made use of Access2000's extra features and in doing
> so, made it incompatible with older versions of Access.
>
> Shame really, but I couldn't have my cake and eat it as they say.
>
> Tom www.ar3000.info
>
> "**Sorcerer**" <Spamrog@walesuk.net> wrote in message
> news:a8puv9$oq8$1@knossos.btinternet.com...
> > Hi all
> > Hey, I seen this log prog working on a friends PC, it is good, very
good.
> I
> > downloaded it, sent of for the password, got it back, put it in and
> > !!!!BUGGER!!!! I ain't got access 2000, only 1997 version, is there
> anyway
> > round this?
> > SoRcErEr
> >
> >
> > "Neville Y" <scubadiver@bigfoot.com> wrote in message
> > news:0BTr8.2333$Og6.231151@news02.tsnz.net...
> > > Hi Tom
> > >
> > > It's a worry. I hope he's not from down under - NZ. We have a "bridge
to
> > > nowhere"
> > > http://www.google.com/search?q=bridge+to+nowhere+nz
> > > I guess they do in other countries also.
> > > About to download your program - I will ask nicely.
> > >
> > > Neville

> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1700. Re: Cell Frequency and 400Mhz range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 18:37:18 UTC
From: "Dont ya think....." <AboutThatPerson@TheDoor.com>
Message-ID: <yrls8.25012$Oo1.2004@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 49715

Most analogue cell phones are in the 800 Mhz range, if it's a digital cell phone, then they are higher up, with the exception of 800 mhz digital.

As far as the cellular lookalike antenna. I've been using it for a couple of years now, and it works fine. Works on most bands quite well. I don't think just because of the fact that it's a cell lookalike antenna makes it better in the 400-800 range, just the fact that it looks like a cell antenna for design.

"JOHN DENISE" <JDENISE1@cfl.rr.com> wrote in message
news:cAjs8.141388$y26.21243292@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> First of all, What cell company still has phones that work in the 800 Mhz
> ranges? I thought they were all digital. Also I was thinking about getting
a
> Cellular Look alike antenae for the scanner, how well will this cover the
> 400 mhz range. Is there a different on I should get? I want one that
pretty
> much covers all of the bands will driving, especially the 400mhz and one
> that is better for 900mhz in my apartment. Thanks for the help.
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002

1701. Re: Building a Yagi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 18:39:03 UTC
From: Anon <drake3d@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3cb1e479$1_4@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 49716
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Try here it has some calculators for antennas
http://www.qsl.net/w4hn/antennaCalc.htm

"MRK" <me@you.com> wrote in message
news:ub270aqmptej95@corp.supernews.com...
> I did a search on the net for forulas and found a few very good sites.
> Also, try the ARRL handbook.
> "John" <kd4n@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:e16790a6.0204071154.4656c773@posting.google.com...
> > Does anyone know the "formulas" for building a Yagi antenna,(the
> > diameter,length,and spacing of elements)? I need one for UHF, about
> > 18-elements.
> > Thank you, John (kd4n@yahoo.com)
>
>

1702. Re: Questions on Win93 Use.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 18:53:15 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3CB1E71A.C7A33273@optonline.net>
Source record: 49717

1) Yes, S0 is the same as Type II

2) 400-1 is an ID for a Type I Motorola system. Will be accepted if the
Bank is programmed as Type I

00400 is a decimal ID for a Type II Motorols system. Will be
accepted, or converted to, if bank is progammed as Type II.

They are not the same.

Walt

Stanley Kaliski wrote:
>
> I'm new to my PRO-93 and to trunk system scanning. While learning the
> program and my new scanner I can't seem to figure out the following.
>
> When I'm entering Fleet Map information from a website and it states B0=S0
> do you set Block 0 to Type-II? There is no S0 listed in the drop down list.
>
> When entering the ID 400-1 it truncates it to 00400, is 400-01 (which is
> accepted) the same as 400-1?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Stanley S. Kaliski

1703. Re: Monitoring cellular frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 20:00:22 UTC
From: "Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <qFms8.16388$SG2.3484673@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49718
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Smartass!

"Odd Eriksen" <odderi@pvv.ntnu.no> wrote in message
news:a8s8vf$7o5$1@tyfon.itea.ntnu.no...
> In rec.radio.shortwave Michael L. <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote:
>
> > When I listen to cell frequencies I hear only one side. Does the tower
use
> > different frequencies for receive/transmit? If so, where to I find each
one?
> > I assume either one is xxxkHz/mHz up/down?
>
> -That depends on which system you intend to monitor. The FCC is very
helpful
> in cases like this; if you mail your inquiry stating what equipment you've
> got and what system you'd like to listen to, you're guaranteed a swift
> response.
>
> Use fccinfo@fcc.gov. Hope this helps.
>
> --
> 73 de LC8MAT
>
> Odd Erling, ARK
>
> When companies ship Styrofoam, what do they pack it in?
>

1704. Re: Monitoring cellular frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 20:22:29 UTC
From: "Dont ya think....." <AboutThatPerson@TheDoor.com>
Message-ID: <9_ms8.25345$cN1.22377@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 49719
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It's better than being a Dumbass. :P

"Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote in message
news:qFms8.16388$SG2.3484673@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> Smartass!
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002

1705. Newbie alert... Programming frequency "ranges"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 21:23:56 UTC
From: scanning68@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020408172356.22612.00002748@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 49720

Hi all,
I have a RS PRO-93 scanner... Let's say - completely hypothetically - that I wanted to program in two different frequency ranges...

Ohhhhh... let's say 902-908 & 925-928, for instance.

This scanner has 10 banks of 30 channels each, where you can program known frequencies in. But in the search mode, there appears to only be one bank (SR5) where you can program in a frequency range. The search banks are assigned in the following manner:

SR0 Marine Band
SR1 CB Band
SR2 Police/Fire
SR3 Aircraft Band
SR4 Ham Band
SR5 Limit search (program yourself)

So would I have to program in one huge range (low of 902MHz to a high of 928MHz) to cover the requisite frequencies, or is there another way to store the second range? My manual seems to imply that SR5 is indeed the only place to store a range... and only one range.

I experimented with storing the 902-928 range - just for kicks - and it was painful. It kept stopping on static in the 916 range, and I had to constantly continue the scanning manually.

Is there any way to store *both* ranges in this scanner, instead of just one?

Also, is there a way to delete a couple of the SR bands from the scanner, such as Ham and Marine? I will never be using them.

Thanks very much...

1706. Re: Questions on Win93 Use.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 21:36:53 UTC
From: Stanley Kaliski <tanker001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Gu9qpG.5un@news.boeing.com>
Source record: 49724

Thanks Walt

1) Understood on that answer.

2) Ok, 400-1 and 00400 are two different systems, gotch ya. The confusion
I'm having is with a fleet map of:
B0=S0
B1=S4
B2=S4
B3=S11
B4=S12
B5=S12
B6=S0
B7=S0

When I try to enter 400-1 into Win93 it converts it over to 00400, which I
don't want, per your answer. When I enter 400-01 it takes it, is 400-01 the
same as 400-1? For Win93 to understand 400-1 does it require the 0 before
the 1, and will the scanner pick up on a 400-1 ID if I program the scanner
with Win93 using 400-01?

Thanks, again for the help
Stan

"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3CB1E71A.C7A33273@optonline.net...
> 1) Yes, S0 is the same as Type II
>
> 2) 400-1 is an ID for a Type I Motorola system. Will be accepted if the
> Bank is programmed as Type I
>
> 00400 is a decimal ID for a Type II Motorols system. Will be
> accepted, or converted to, if bank is progammed as Type II.
>
> They are not the same.
>
> Walt
>
> Stanley Kaliski wrote:
> >
> > I'm new to my PRO-93 and to trunk system scanning. While learning the
> > program and my new scanner I can't seem to figure out the following.
> >
> > When I'm entering Fleet Map information from a website and it states
B0=S0
> > do you set Block 0 to Type-II? There is no S0 listed in the drop down

list.
> >
> > When entering the ID 400-1 it truncates it to 00400, is 400-01 (which is
> > accepted) the same as 400-1?
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Stanley S. Kaliski

1707. Re: Question of programming Yupi 9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 21:40:50 UTC
From: Dijing@ipg, nete
Message-ID: <3cb20e57.18197817@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 49721

Not that I know of.

On Sun, 07 Apr 2002 01:53:21 GMT, "Michael L."
<mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote:

>Is there any other way to program banks besides first tuning to every
>frequency?
>
>thanks
>
>

1708. Re: Monitoring cellular frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 21:44:39 UTC
From: Dijing@ipg, nete
Message-ID: <3cb20f08.18374661@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 49722
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 08 Apr 2002 03:16:07 GMT, "Michael L."
<mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote:

>When I listen to cell frequencies I hear only one side. Does the tower use
>different frequencies for receive/transmit? If so, where to I find each one?
>I assume either one is xxxkHz/mHz up/down?
>
>thanks
>

Try 45 KHz below. If you have a radio with a duplex mode then it's
simple or so I'm told. I never did that myself.

1709. Re: Newbie alert... Programming frequency "ranges"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 21:49:57 UTC
From: Dijing@ipg, nete
Message-ID: <3cb20fed.18603991@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 49723

>Is there any way to store *both* ranges in this scanner, instead of just one?

I don't think so. The Pro 92 let you store whatever you wanted while
the Pro 93 gives you one bank and the rest is programmed services.
>
>Also, is there a way to delete a couple of the SR bands from the scanner, such
>as Ham and Marine? I will never be using them.
>

I don't think so. That's not an issue for me because I can just use
another radio; but if you only had one then too bad I guess.

1710. Re: Questions on Win93 Use.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 22:29:43 UTC
From: Don Starr <don@unspamstarrsoft.com>
Message-ID: <ji54buglloj0teo9u6pes7lj4b0dp0frk4@4ax.com>
Source record: 49726

>2) Ok, 400-1 and 00400 are two different systems, gotch ya. The confusion
>I'm having is with a fleet map of:
>B0=S0
>B1=S4
>B2=S4
>B3=S11
>B4=S12
>B5=S12
>B6=S0
>B7=S0
>
>When I try to enter 400-1 into Win93 it converts it over to 00400, which I
>don't want, per your answer. When I enter 400-01 it takes it, is 400-01 the
>same as 400-1? For Win93 to understand 400-1 does it require the 0 before
>the 1, and will the scanner pick up on a 400-1 ID if I program the scanner
>with Win93 using 400-01?
>

Yes, you need the leading zero. Enter 400-01 instead of 400-1.

Win93 requires Type-I IDs in the following format:
BFFF-S or BFF-SS

(B = block, F = fleet, S = subfleet).

Win93's Type-I IDs must always be exactly 6 characters long (hence the
leading zero in your 400-01).

-Don

Posted Via Uncensored-News.Com - Still Only $9.95 - http://www.uncensored-news.com
With NINE News Servers In California - The Worlds Uncensored News Source

1711. Re: Monitoring cellular frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-08 22:56:15 UTC
From: "Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <jeps8.16496$SG2.3521835@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49727
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I've tried that. Could it be just the equipment they use here?

Little note.. It's not that I'm into listening to cell phones(which is
perfectly legal where I'd be doing that) but this little problem just needs
to be solved.
<Dijing@ipg>; <nete (Dijing)> wrote in message
news:3cb20f08.18374661@news.teranews.com...
> On Mon, 08 Apr 2002 03:16:07 GMT, "Michael L."
> <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote:
>
> >When I listen to cell frequencies I hear only one side. Does the tower
use
> >different frequencies for receive/transmit? If so, where to I find each
one?
> >I assume either one is xxxkHz/mHz up/down?
> >
> >thanks
> >
>
> Try 45 KHz below. If you have a radio with a duplex mode then it's
> simple or so I'm told. I never did that myself.
>
>
>

1712. Re: Monitoring cellular frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 01:08:09 UTC
From: mhaas2@earthlinknospam.net
Message-ID: <3cb23ee2.965895414@news.newsville.com>
Source record: 49728
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 8 Apr 2002 14:20:31 +0000 (UTC), Odd Eriksen
<odderi@pvv.ntnu.no> wrote:

>In rec.radio.shortwave Michael L. <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote:
>
>> When I listen to cell frequencies I hear only one side. Does the tower use
>> different frequencies for receive/transmit? If so, where to I find each one?
>> I assume either one is xxxkHz/mHz up/down?
>
>-That depends on which system you intend to monitor. The FCC is very helpful
>in cases like this; if you mail your inquiry stating what equipment you've
>got and what system you'd like to listen to, you're guaranteed a swift
>response.
>
>Use fccinfo@fcc.gov. Hope this helps.
>
>--
>73 de LC8MAT
>
> Odd Erling, ARK
>
>When companies ship Styrofoam, what do they pack it in?
>

oooooooooh. ;)

1713. Re: Monitoring cellular frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 01:12:43 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Kdrs8.418$t7.329144@twister.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 49730
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

<Dijing@ipg>; <nete (Dijing)> wrote in message
news:3cb20f08.18374661@news.teranews.com...
> On Mon, 08 Apr 2002 03:16:07 GMT, "Michael L."
> <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote:
>
> >When I listen to cell frequencies I hear only one side. Does the tower
use
> >different frequencies for receive/transmit? If so, where to I find each
one?
> >I assume either one is xxxkHz/mHz up/down?
> >
> >thanks
> >
>
> Try 45 KHz below. If you have a radio with a duplex mode then it's
> simple or so I'm told. I never did that myself.
>
>
>

Let's get correct with our facts, here. That's 45 Mhz, not Khz. :-)
--

/`\\\
(@ @)
--------ooO---(_)---Ooo----------

�Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KG�WX�

� Design Services Company �

1714. Re: Monitoring cellular frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 01:14:49 UTC
From: mhaas2@earthlinknospam.net
Message-ID: <3cb23f39.965982349@news.newsville.com>
Source record: 49729
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 08 Apr 2002 22:56:15 GMT, "Michael L."
<mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote:

>I've tried that. Could it be just the equipment they use here?
>---
>Little note.. It's not that I'm into listening to cell phones(which is
>perfectly legal where I'd be doing that) but this little problem just needs
>to be solved.

I dunno...I guess I don't understand why one would want to unless its
just voyeurism.

There's an entire spectrum of frequencies available including police,
Armed Forces, Federal and State authorities. So that people could
have the convenience of a wireless telephone, a tiny sliver of that
spectrum became private.

I want to be able to speak with my family, my loved ones, or even
perhaps my hooker or bookie without some nosy idiot listening in.

Is that so much to ask?

><Dijing@ipg>; <nete (Dijing)> wrote in message
>news:3cb20f08.18374661@news.teranews.com...
>> On Mon, 08 Apr 2002 03:16:07 GMT, "Michael L."
>> <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote:
>>
>> >When I listen to cell frequencies I hear only one side. Does the tower
>use
>> >different frequencies for receive/transmit? If so, where to I find each
>one?
>> >I assume either one is xxxkHz/mHz up/down?
>> >
>> >thanks
>> >
>>
>> Try 45 KHz below. If you have a radio with a duplex mode then it's
>> simple or so I'm told. I never did that myself.
>>
>>
>>
>
>

1715. Re: Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 01:25:57 UTC
From: Buferd <tdt@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3CB24325.D7E5D803@sccoast.net>
Source record: 49731
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

MinisterNeo wrote:
>
> This Might be one of the dumbest Ideas I've come up with, but I'm a newbie,
> and come up with crazy Ideas, it's my job. I'm in a situation where I can't
> mount an outdoor antenna therefore I'm stuck with very limited range for
> scanning. I was thinking if it would be possible to pickup a BNC connector
> from radioshack and hook a split off my cable line, hence giving me TONS of
> antenna. How well are cable lines sheilded against scanner feqs. If this
> isn't a viable option, what other ideas do you guys have for improving
> reception without mounting an outdoor antenna.

You'll only get cable audio, if you're lucky. Put one in the attic, or a
long copper wire under the edge of the carpet, or under the eave.

1716. BC 780XLT Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 01:40:34 UTC
From: Dale Long <longd2@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <mErs8.191211$ZR2.101634@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 49732

I'm thinking about buying a BC 780XLT scanner and was wondering how hard or easy it is to operate.
I had a RS Pro-2052 and found it difficult to program.
Maybe it's just the operator ?
Thanks in advance for any advice that can be given.
Dale

1717. Re: Monitoring cellular frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 01:57:28 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a8thkt$ue6m8$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 49733
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"You Betcha (Mike H.)" <mhaas2@earthlinknospam.net> wrote in message news:3cb23f39.965982349@news.newsville.com...

> I want to be able to speak with my family, my loved ones, or even
> perhaps my hooker or bookie without some nosy idiot listening in.
>
> Is that so much to ask?
>

The only truly secure radio transmission is encrypted. That's why my cell phone is digital, along with my cordless phone. I don't expect anyone to not listen in because "it's wrong." You know that there's always going to be someone, somewhere listening. That's like asking foriegn countries not to spy on us because it's naughty. If you want privacy, you need encryption.

Tom

1718. Re: BC 780XLT Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 02:00:26 UTC
From: "Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <_Wrs8.16866$SG2.3559085@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49734

I don't know about 780 althrough I think 2052 ain't that bad.
If you're choosing by how hard the thing's to program then steer away from
Yupi9000.
Not that it's hard, just a pain...
"Dale Long" <longd2@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:mErs8.191211$ZR2.101634@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> I'm thinking about buying a BC 780XLT scanner and was wondering how hard
or
> easy it is to operate.
> I had a RS Pro-2052 and found it difficult to program.
> Maybe it's just the operator ?
> Thanks in advance for any advice that can be given.
> Dale
>
>
>

1719. Re: Anyone listening to SCA stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 02:18:13 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3CB24F64.D1A24BE1@mitre.org>
Source record: 49735

Thanks for the brake out of the old FM channels from your cable system.
They were about the same on our system again ten years ago.
Also thanks for the links to the modern digital music services, now
available on cable!
How about SCA on the satellite audio services. There is a lot of audio only
channels on the birds.
Has any one tried a SCA box on the FM band, piggy backed on cable TV
systems?
Jim
Migration to Redhat wrote:

> Jim Mac Donald wrote:
>
> > This may be off topic but I just tried the FM carrier87 Mhz to 108 Mhz
> > on the Cable TV system. It had many repeats of the NOAA weather
> > forecast in digital voice. There were may full carriers that pegged
> > the LED s-meter on a Uniden CR 2021 AM/FM /SW receiver but
> > NO AUDIO present. Several NPR stations on frequencies up the
> > band from their normal 88 Mhz-91 Mhz area.
> >
> > Ten years ago the stereo audio for many of the music channels and
> > premium movie channels were on the FM band.with the cable feed.
> > They all seem to be gone now. Of course the cable system has change
> > owners several times over the years.
> > Jim
>
> My cable company used to carry local FM radio stations, a rebroadcast
> of NOAA Weather and a simulcast of MTV, HBO and Showtime.
>
> They discontinued the "Cable Radio" service when they upgraded
> the channel capacity and started using channels 95, 96 & 97.
>
> See the Cable TV Channel Frequencies chart here:
> http://members.aol.com/jneuhaus/fccindex/cablech.html
>
> My cable company now offers a few FM Radio stations
> as part of their Music Choice digital music service:
>
> http://www.musicchoice.com/
>
> http://www.dmxmusic.com/
>
> http://www.muchmusic.com/index.asp
>

> http://www.spinner.com

1720. Does Anyone Make A Removable Head Mobile Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 02:24:28 UTC
From: frank@damato.com
Message-ID: <1276490d.0204081824.67033b0b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49736

Looking for a scanner that I can mount the head remotely in my vehicle. Please e-mail me with any info..

1721. Re: BC 780XLT Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 02:37:49 UTC
From: Greg <not@shown.here>
Message-ID: <atk4buc06f6j5vvj2oo7rnq7s2747tvb9l@4ax.com>
Source record: 49737

On Tue, 09 Apr 2002 01:40:34 GMT, "Dale Long" <longd2@attbi.com>
wrote:

>I'm thinking about buying a BC 780XLT scanner and was wondering how hard or
>easy it is to operate.
>I had a RS Pro-2052 and found it difficult to program.
>Maybe it's just the operator ?
>Thanks in advance for any advice that can be given.
>Dale
>
>

I don't find it to be too hard to program. But if you're entering a
lot of stuff, do yourself a favor and buy a serial cable, and download
Andy's programming software. It makes it REALLY EASY....

1722. Re: BC 780XLT Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 02:39:09 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <hvss8.14557$k5.5286115@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49738

It's easy to operate, somewhat cumbersome to program (manually), as with most scanners. If you get the PC software, it makes programming much easier!

Bill Crocker

"Dale Long" <longd2@attbi.com> wrote in message news:mErs8.191211$ZR2.101634@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> I'm thinking about buying a BC 780XLT scanner and was wondering how hard or
> easy it is to operate.
> I had a RS Pro-2052 and found it difficult to program.
> Maybe it's just the operator ?
> Thanks in advance for any advice that can be given.
> Dale
>
>
>
>

1723. FA: Optoelectronics Frequency Counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 02:41:00 UTC
From: Stevie <NOSPaM@iglou.com>
Message-ID: <3cb254e8_3@news.iglou.com>
Source record: 49739

New Condition. Thanks for looking.
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1344917263

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/02

1724. Re: Monitoring cellular frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 02:49:59 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <rFss8.16179$%A.1361996@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 49740
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Ain't THAT the truth <g>

"Odd Eriksen" <odderi@pvv.ntnu.no> wrote in message
news:a8s8vf$7o5$1@tyfon.itea.ntnu.no...

. The FCC is very helpful
> in cases like this; if you mail your inquiry stating what equipment
you've
> got and what system you'd like to listen to, you're guaranteed a swift
> response.
>
> Use fccinfo@fcc.gov. Hope this helps.
>
> --
> 73 de LC8MAT

1725. Re: Monitoring cellular frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 02:49:59 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <rFss8.16180$%A.1361996@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 49741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Legalities aside, there is no reason whatsoever for the MOBILE-side to be repeatered. The person using the cellphone, if they're talking to somone on a landline, only needs to hear what the landline party is saying. The cell-site then sends the mobile's transmission by wire (or whatever) to the land party. Nobody's phone or radio "needs" to hear the cellular user's side.

Now, if two people on cellphones are talking with each other, you'd have to find the base-transmit side for each, to hear both sides, as it's really two separate sets of frequencies in use. Unless it's like Nextel's whatchacallit 2-way radio option.

In the 800 MHz band, the mobiles are 45 MHz below the base frequency.

If it's legal where you are. :-)

--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications History

"Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote in message news:jeps8.16496$SG2.3521835@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> I've tried that. Could it be just the equipment they use here?
> ---
> Little note.. It's not that I'm into listening to cell phones(which is
> perfectly legal where I'd be doing that) but this little problem just needs
> to be solved.
> <Dijing@ipg>; <nete (Dijing)> wrote in message
> news:3cb20f08.18374661@news.teranews.com...
> > On Mon, 08 Apr 2002 03:16:07 GMT, "Michael L."
> > <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote:
> >
> > >When I listen to cell frequencies I hear only one side. Does the tower
> use
> > >different frequencies for receive/transmit? If so, where to I find each
> one?
> > >I assume either one is xxxkHz/mHz up/down?
> > >
> > >thanks
> > >
> >

> > Try 45 KHz below. If you have a radio with a duplex mode then it's
> > simple or so I'm told. I never did that myself.
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1726. Re: Monitoring cellular frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 02:49:59 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <rFss8.16181$%A.1361996@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 49742
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Even "encryption" is a finite, fallible, and presumably breakable technology. I TRY to always act... on the phone, cellphone, internet, anywhere.. with the presumption that SOMEONE, SOMEWHERE could possibly be eavesdropping. I'm not paranoid about it, and it doesn't alter my life; I just figure it's a remote possibility - kinda like death - and continue on my way
--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications History

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:a8thkt$ue6m8$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "You Betcha (Mike H.)" <mhaas2@earthlinknospam.net> wrote in message
> news:3cb23f39.965982349@news.newsville.com...
>
>
> > I want to be able to speak with my family, my loved ones, or even
> > perhaps my hooker or bookie without some nosy idiot listening in.
> >
> > Is that so much to ask?
> >
>
> The only truly secure radio transmission is encrypted. That's why my cell
> phone is digital, along with my cordless phone. I don't expect anyone to
> not listen in because "it's wrong." You know that there's always going to
> be someone, somewhere listening. That's like asking foriegn countries not
> to spy on us because it's naughty. If you want privacy, you need
> encryption.
>
> Tom
>
>

1727. Re: Does Anyone Make A Removable Head Mobile Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 02:50:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <sFss8.16182$%A.1361996@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 49743

No scanners that I've ever heard of... a few ham radios have them
though. As well as comeercial ones. There's some outfit that's been
promising this option for the Uniden 780 or 785XLT, but AFAIK the thing
has never shown up. So I haven't even bothered to remember who it is.

But if it happens, I'll probably want one, what with the tiny interiors
of today's cars

Harry
http://www.qsl.net/n6uru/lafire.htm <~~ Fire Communications, Links and
News

"Frank C. D'Amato" <frank@damato.com> wrote in message
news:1276490d.0204081824.67033b0b@posting.google.com...
> Looking for a scanner that I can mount the head remotely in my
> vehicle. Please e-mail me with any info..

1728. Re: Monitoring cellular frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 02:53:06 UTC
From: "D. Jacobs" <dju@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <1ql4bucue64iojgougmoll2raoj6iundlt@4ax.com>
Source record: 49744
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Don't worry, Michael. These people are just jealous because they can't
listen.

Too bad.... :-(

Dave

On Tue, 09 Apr 2002 01:14:49 GMT, mhaas2@earthlinknospam.net (You
Betcha (Mike H.)) wrote:

>On Mon, 08 Apr 2002 22:56:15 GMT, "Michael L."
><mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote:
>
>>I've tried that. Could it be just the equipment they use here?
>>---
>>Little note.. It's not that I'm into listening to cell phones(which is
>>perfectly legal where I'd be doing that) but this little problem just needs
>>to be solved.
>
>
>
>I dunno...I guess I don't understand why one would want to unless its
>just voyeurism.
>
>There's an entire spectrum of frequencies available including police,
>Armed Forces, Federal and State authorities. So that people could
>have the convenience of a wireless telephone, a tiny sliver of that
>spectrum became private.
>
>I want to be able to speak with my family, my loved ones, or even
>perhaps my hooker or bookie without some nosy idiot listening in.
>
>Is that so much to ask?
>
>
>>><Dijing@ipg>; <nete (Dijing)> wrote in message
>>news:3cb20f08.18374661@news.teranews.com...
>>> On Mon, 08 Apr 2002 03:16:07 GMT, "Michael L."
>>> <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote:
>>>
>>> >When I listen to cell frequencies I hear only one side. Does the tower
>>use
>>> >different frequencies for receive/transmit? If so, where to I find each
>>one?

>>> >I assume either one is xxxkHz/mHz up/down?
>>> >
>>> >thanks
>>> >
>>>
>>> Try 45 KHz below. If you have a radio with a duplex mode then it's
>>> simple or so I'm told. I never did that myself.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>

1729. Re: Does Anyone Make A Removable Head Mobile Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 03:04:26 UTC
From: Red TR1 <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4Tss8.21013$vm6.3263419@ruti.visi.com>
Source record: 49745

Check out http://www.scannermaster.com they have some kind of remote head
for the BC 780

Greg
"Frank C. D'Amato" <frank@damato.com> wrote in message
news:1276490d.0204081824.67033b0b@posting.google.com...
> Looking for a scanner that I can mount the head remotely in my
> vehicle. Please e-mail me with any info..

1730. Re: Newbie alert... Programming frequency "ranges"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 03:57:44 UTC
From: Harry <Harry@harry.com>
Message-ID: <YEts8.195977$Yv2.67027@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 49746

only 1 programmable search bank in 93. Or you can search for a new radio

"Scanning68" <scanning68@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020408172356.22612.00002748@mb-fg.aol.com...
> Hi all,
> I have a RS PRO-93 scanner... Let's say - completely hypothetically -
that
> I wanted to program in two different frequency ranges...
>
> Ohhhhh... let's say 902-908 & 925-928, for instance.
>
> This scanner has 10 banks of 30 channels each, where you can program known
> frequencies in. But in the search mode, there appears to only be one bank
(SR5)
> where you can program in a frequency range. The search banks are assigned
in
> the following manner:
>
> SR0 Marine Band
> SR1 CB Band
> SR2 Police/Fire
> SR3 Aircraft Band
> SR4 Ham Band
> SR5 Limit search (program yourself)
>
> So would I have to program in one huge range (low of 902MHz to a high of
> 928MHz) to cover the requisite frequencies, or is there another way to
store
> the second range? My manual seems to imply that SR5 is indeed the only
place to
> store a range... and only one range.
>
> I experimented with storing the 902-928 range - just for kicks - and it
was
> painful. It kept stopping on static in the 916 range, and I had to
constantly
> continue the scanning manually.
>
> Is there any way to store *both* ranges in this scanner, instead of just
one?
>
> Also, is there a way to delete a couple of the SR bands from the scanner,
such
> as Ham and Marine? I will never be using them.

>
> Thanks very much...

1731. Re: Does Anyone Make A Removable Head Mobile Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 05:00:35 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <Tzus8.16411$%A.1399462@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 49598

That's the outfit... they've been around for a long time... I believe
they started with frequency books, mostly for the northeast.

However, the remote control-head for the Uniden 780 has been promised
for about a year, and on their page right now it says:

"Remote 780 Head Adapter: RSC-1 Remote Head Adapter -The remote head
adapter is currently in development. We expect it to be available
sometime during 2002. "

I've heard folks express terminal skepticism that it will ever show up.
Maybe about the time the 785 comes out and the 780 becomes somewhat
obsolete (actually it IS obsolete in many communities already!)

However ScannerMaster IS a good outfit, don't misunderstand me.

harry

"Red TR1" <regencytr1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:4Tss8.21013$vm6.3263419@ruti.visi.com...
> Check out http://www.scannermaster.com they have some kind of remote
head
> for the BC 780
>
> Greg
> "Frank C. D'Amato" <frank@damato.com> wrote in message
> news:1276490d.0204081824.67033b0b@posting.google.com...
> > Looking for a scanner that I can mount the head remotely in my
> > vehicle. Please e-mail me with any info..
>
>

1732. Suggestions for a newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 05:00:43 UTC
From: Bob Ledonne <ledonnra@muohio.edu>
Message-ID: <3CB2741F.38BD80D6@muohio.edu>
Source record: 49597

I am looking to buy a scanner to take to a race this summer. I also would like it to have the 800mhz band. Could someone make some suggestions. I an kind of on a tight budget too.

1733. Re: What happened to MESSAGE TRACKER?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 05:06:01 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <9kt4bus72icqivvdo5bug6c0oc8tg2sgih@4ax.com>
Source record: 49599

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, Lexus_Liberal
<Lexus_Liberal@beverly-hills-lexus.com> spake thusly

>Message Tracker was BANNED In The USA by the ECPA.
>
>It is a crime in the USA to monitor alpha numerical paging signals.
>
>(16) ''readily accessible to the general public'' means, with
>respect to a radio communication, that such communication is not
>
>(D) transmitted over a communication system provided by a
>common carrier, unless the communication is a tone only paging
>system communication;

Fortunately, many pager systems are NOT common carriers,
but dedicated municipal systems that are excluded from the prohibition
by that same SCPA.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

1734. Re: Monitoring cellular frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 05:26:55 UTC
From: CW <clinton.magers@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <zYus8.241057$702.42541@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 49600
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Standard advice: If you want privacy, your best bet is in person, second best is wired telephone. Talking on the radio one should not expect privacy. Cell capable scanners are everywhere. downconverters are also very easy to make. That law was passed to give the weak minded a sense of security.
--
CW
KC7NOD

"You Betcha (Mike H.)" <mhaas2@earthlinknospam.net> wrote in message news:3cb23f39.965982349@news.newsville.com...
So that people could
> have the convenience of a wireless telephone, a tiny sliver of that
> spectrum became private.
>
> I want to be able to speak with my family, my loved ones, or even
> perhaps my hooker or bookie without some nosy idiot listening in.
>
> Is that so much to ask?
>
>
>>

1735. Re: Monitoring cellular frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 05:39:10 UTC
From: CW <clinton.magers@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <28vs8.253789$q2.29565@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 49601
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

That is, of course, not totally secure but it does preclude the average
snoop.
--
CW
KC7NOD

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:a8thkt$ue6m8$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> The only truly secure radio transmission is encrypted. That's why my cell
> phone is digital, along with my cordless phone. I don't expect anyone to
> not listen in because "it's wrong." You know that there's always going to
> be someone, somewhere listening. That's like asking foriegn countries not
> to spy on us because it's naughty. If you want privacy, you need
> encryption.
>
> Tom
>
>

1736. Re: BC 780XLT Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 05:54:49 UTC
From: Tom Riggs <thriggs@mail.netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <3CB28229.270E5173@mail.netusa1.net>
Source record: 49602

The BC780XLT is easy to operate. The worse part about programming is the documentation. The part about entering the frequencies then setting them into the trunk mode was a little vague. The software method is pretty handy, of course there is a learning curve there, too.

Dale Long wrote:

> I'm thinking about buying a BC 780XLT scanner and was wondering how hard or
> easy it is to operate.
> I had a RS Pro-2052 and found it difficult to program.
> Maybe it's just the operator ?
> Thanks in advance for any advice that can be given.
> Dale

1737. Re: Data Slicer Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 05:57:07 UTC
From: init_pwr@eudoramail.com
Message-ID: <bb977098.0204082157.12b63182@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49603

Why waste your money, just take the discriminator output and run it
into your sound card. I have been having fun decoding POCSAG stuff
for the last few days using my bc245xlt and the sound card!!

"avi solomon" <avi112@home.com> wrote in message
news:<RG8s8.192376$af7.97649@rwcrnsc53>...
> A data slicer (also called a hamcom interface) is a device that connects to
> your radio's discriminator tap. When the radio is tuned to a data carrier
> (like POCSAG), it takes the audio signal and turns it into 1's and 0's so
> the computer (through the serial port) understands it. There are tons of
> different uses for 2 and 4 level decoders, they are easy to build and you
> can find instructions all over the net. Here is a site to get you started:
> http://www.chenmei.fsnet.co.uk/software/hamcommware.htm
>
> "Adam Carter" <scrod21@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:B8CE3B0B.DDF%scrod21@adelphia.net...
> > This is a newbie question, but What is a data Slicer?
> >
> > On 3/31/02 9:25 PM, in article
> > JyPp8.46979$a3.19153508@typhoon.southeast.rr.com, "me"
> > <cbkid113@athotmael.com> wrote:
> >
> > > I want to make the little data slicer that's been floating around the
> net
> > > for some time, found here:
> > > http://www.trunkedradio.net/trunked/trunker/circut.gif
> > >
> > > I am unclear on a few things though. Are C1-C3 electrolytics or are
> they
> > > just regular unpolarized caps? Or is it just C2 and C3 that are the
> > > electrolytics and if so, which way are they oriented? Are there any
> > > specific types of capacitors I should use (ceramic vs.......)?
> > >
> > >
> > > Thanks!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >

1738. Re: transit and misc freq in the southern california area...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 05:59:54 UTC
From: init_pwr@eudoramail.com
Message-ID: <bb977098.0204082159.1e87c896@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49604

dude, just check out the FCC..
http://wireless.fcc.gov/
Click on License Search under the Universal Licensing System (when
the system is up!!) You can find EVERYTHING on here..

josephmdunn@webtv.net (Joseph Dunn) wrote in message
news:<18024-3CB0A608-316@storefull-2277.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> can anyone shed some light on how i can find TRANSIT and other MISC
> frequencies here in the los angeles area.
> i've tried cityfreq and some other sites, just wondering if there are
> more sites or if there are publications that cover what i am queiring.
> i am looking for the
> los angeles convention center frequencies as well. thank you
> joseph dunn
> (not the state senator from orange county and not bruce willis's son in
> the movie
> "unbreakable")

1739. Re: RJ-45 to SMA ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 06:04:28 UTC
From: init_pwr@eudoramail.com
Message-ID: <bb977098.0204082204.75e4b359@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49605

I think he is building a crack pipe!

Ralph Mowery <rmowery@dialpoint.net> wrote in message
news:<3CAA316C.E47FE9EA@dialpoint.net>...
> What in the world are you trying to connect with this combination ?
>
> The sma stuff is usually used for RF at high frequencies with coaxial
> type cable and the rj-45 is for audio and computer networking with
> several twisted pairs.
>
> Maybe you ment to use another type of connector on one end ?
>
>
> Vikrant Rawat wrote:
> >
> > Does anyone have an idea where one can get an RJ-45 to SMA connector??
> > Thanx in advance.
> > Sunny

1740. Re: Does Anyone Make A Removable Head Mobile Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 10:20:02 UTC
From: Johan St&#65533;lnacke <jnse@kiruna.se>
Message-ID: <50g5bu00n4bp7dhnbcjm0s1skdcfuim59l@4ax.com>
Source record: 49606

Newsreader:
On 8 Apr 2002 19:24:28 -0700
frank@damato.com (Frank C. D'Amato) wrote in rec.radio.scanner
Message ID <1276490d.0204081824.67033b0b@posting.google.com> :

>Looking for a scanner that I can mount the head remotely in my
>vehicle. Please e-mail me with any info..

DLS got 2 scanner that got remotely mounted displays, then you can put
the main unit in the trunk..

--

MVH\Johan Sti¿½lnacke
'88 BMW 735ia
'64 VW 1200 Beetle
'93 Honda CR250R
'02 Yamaha Mountain Max 700
'88 Ockelbo 4500

Jordni¿½tter i¿½r en utav bestï¿½ndsdelarna i dynamit.

1741. Re: Monitoring cellular frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 11:23:18 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a8uipp$vepha$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 49607
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Harry Marnell" <marnells@snowcrest.net> wrote in message
news:rFss8.16181$%A.1361996@news1.west.cox.net...
> Even "encryption" is a finite, fallible, and presumably breakable
> technology.

To governments, yes, but not to the average scanner user. I'm talking about the real world application here. If the NSA or someone truly wanted to listen to my cell or cordless phone, it would be easy to do, but my main concern is the thousands of scanners floating around.

Tom

1742. Re: Monitoring cellular frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 13:47:51 UTC
From: atg <telexish@nettaxi.com>
Message-ID: <3cb2f0d5.0@news1.mweb.co.za>
Source record: 49608
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi Odd Eriksen

I would quite happily send my query to the FCC. I don't fancy their chances of doing anything about it outside the USA, though. (unless there is an undercover branch called the FCCIA, then I'm worried!)

But then I am not interested in their cell frequencies which are not in line with the rest of the world!!

Todd

Odd Eriksen <odderi@pvv.ntnu.no> wrote in message news:a8s8vf$7o5$1@tyfon.itea.ntnu.no...
> In rec.radio.shortwave Michael L. <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote:
>
> > When I listen to cell frequencies I hear only one side. Does the tower use
> > different frequencies for receive/transmit? If so, where to I find each one?
> > I assume either one is xxxkHz/mHz up/down?
>
> -That depends on which system you intend to monitor. The FCC is very helpful
> in cases like this; if you mail your inquiry stating what equipment you've
> got and what system you'd like to listen to, you're guaranteed a swift
> response.
>
> Use fccinfo@fcc.gov. Hope this helps.
>
> --
> 73 de LC8MAT
>
> Odd Erling, ARK
>
> When companies ship Styrofoam, what do they pack it in?
>

1743. Re: Monitoring cellular frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 13:56:45 UTC
From: atg <telexish@nettaxi.com>
Message-ID: <3cb2f2e7.0@news1.mweb.co.za>
Source record: 49609
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Speak to a tech at a cell phone repair company. He'll give you the Rx/Tx pairs without thinking.

BTW, the idea of a duplex radio being able to recieve both sides won't work. Duplex just means it can Rx and Tx on different freqs at the same time, not Rx on 2 freqs at the same time.

--
Todd

Michael L. <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote in message news:jeps8.16496$SG2.3521835@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> I've tried that. Could it be just the equipment they use here?
> ---
> Little note.. It's not that I'm into listening to cell phones(which is
> perfectly legal where I'd be doing that) but this little problem just needs
> to be solved.
> <Dijing@ipg>; <nete (Dijing)> wrote in message
> news:3cb20f08.18374661@news.teranews.com...
> > On Mon, 08 Apr 2002 03:16:07 GMT, "Michael L."
> > <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote:
> >
> > >When I listen to cell frequencies I hear only one side. Does the tower
> use
> > >different frequencies for receive/transmit? If so, where to I find each
> one?
> > >I assume either one is xxxkHz/mHz up/down?
> > >
> > >thanks
> > >
> >
> > Try 45 KHz below. If you have a radio with a duplex mode then it's
> > simple or so I'm told. I never did that myself.
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1744. Re: Does Anyone Make A Removable Head Mobile Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 14:53:10 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ub600qlpen44be@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49610

Here's what I got off their site as far as the remote head goes...

Upcoming New Products
BC-780XLT Remote Head: Development continues on this very complex project. The device will include specially-designed microprocessors to mux (multiplex) the numerous signals down to a very small wire for ease of installation and to prevent signal interference and capacitance problems. We anticipate delivery in Spring of 2002. Stay posted through this web page and our e-mail newsletter.

PH

"Harry Marnell" <marnells@snowcrest.net> wrote in message
news:Tzus8.16411$%A.1399462@news1.west.cox.net...
> That's the outfit... they've been around for a long time... I believe
> they started with frequency books, mostly for the northeast.
>
> However, the remote control-head for the Uniden 780 has been promised
> for about a year, and on their page right now it says:
>
> "Remote 780 Head Adapter: RSC-1 Remote Head Adapter -The remote head
> adapter is currently in development. We expect it to be available
> sometime during 2002. "
>
> I've heard folks express terminal skepticism that it will ever show up.
> Maybe about the time the 785 comes out and the 780 becomes somewhat
> obsolete (actually it IS obsolete in many communities already!)
>
> However ScannerMaster IS a good outfit, don't misunderstand me.
>
> harry
>
>
>
>
>
> "Red TR1" <regencytr1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:4Tss8.21013$vm6.3263419@ruti.visi.com...
> > Check out http://www.scannermaster.com they have some kind of remote
> head
> > for the BC 780
> >
> > Greg
> > "Frank C. D'Amato" <frank@damato.com> wrote in message
> > news:1276490d.0204081824.67033b0b@posting.google.com...

> > > Looking for a scanner that I can mount the head remotely in my
> > > vehicle. Please e-mail me with any info..
> >
> >
>
>

1745. Which Radio Shack Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 18:09:55 UTC
From: mullman@charter.net
Message-ID: <c71b7a2c.0204091009.6fbc510@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49611

Which RadioShack handheld scanner should I choose for military freqs,
trunk tracking and PC connectivity?

1746. Anyone listen to Beachwood, NJ freq's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 19:32:19 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020409153219.07238.00002800@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 49612

Is there anyone here that actively listens to frequencies used by the Beachwood, NJ fire department? I have a bunch of freq's, but I not sure which ones are used for which purposes.

I am mainly interested in knowing their dispatch frequency and the frequencies mainly used for comms at fire scenes.

Thanks in advance!
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

1747. CTCSS Tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 19:37:32 UTC
From: Jesse Plummer <jplummer@bluefrognet.net>
Message-ID: <3CB342FC.4282AE7@bluefrognet.net>
Source record: 49614

There is a web site that has the CTCSS tones for Public Safety freqs, anyone know where that is? I need to plug these things in to get rid of tons of static and maintain my sanity. Thanks in advance.

1748. Re: Monitoring cellular frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 19:55:38 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3CB3473A.3EE8202A@optonline.net>
Source record: 49613
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Having watched these posts go by for a couple days now, I've been thinking a little bit about your question.

Consider first, a standard, wired telephone. Part of what is picked up by the microphone is played back through the earpiece. In the telephone trade, this is called "sidetone". It is added to the earpiece at the local phone, and is at a level considerably below the level of signals received from the remote phone. Without sidetone, your conversation sounds empty and flat, and you are not sure if the telephone set is working.

In a cellular situation, your local phone transmits what is picked up by the microphone to the cell tower on one frequency, and the cell tower transmits what is received from the remote phone on a second frequency. So where is the "sidetone" added in? Most likely in the local phone, the same as in a wired phone. Even if the sidetone were added in at the cell tower and transmitted back to the local phone, in order to meet the requirement that the sidetone be at a level considerably lower than the received signal, someone monitoring the signal transmitted by the cell tower would hear the local signal at a considerably lower level than the level of the remote signal. Thus, I suspect that the cell tower only transmits the remote signal.

The situation in a public service repeater is different than in a cellular phone. The public service system is simplex, one person talks at a time. When one presses the push-to-talk switch, their speaker is turned off. I talk, and it is transmitted by the repeater, then you talk, and it is transmitted by the repeater. A third party listener will hear both sides of the conversation.

Hope this helps. Sorry to be so long winded.

Walt

"Michael L." wrote:
>
> When I listen to cell frequencies I hear only one side. Does the tower use
> different frequencies for receive/transmit? If so, where to I find each one?
> I assume either one is xxxkHz/mHz up/down?
>
> thanks

1749. Re: BC 780XLT Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 21:05:14 UTC
From: "Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <eIIs8.905$8E3.695280@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 49615

I've had the 780 for two years now. I've settled on the 780 product from www.scanstar.com as my software of choice, after having tried (and purchased) many. Programming this rig manually is possible, but a real bear to do. Great radio!

Paul

"Dale Long" <longd2@attbi.com> wrote in message news:mErs8.191211$ZR2.101634@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> I'm thinking about buying a BC 780XLT scanner and was wondering how hard or
> easy it is to operate.
> I had a RS Pro-2052 and found it difficult to program.
> Maybe it's just the operator ?
> Thanks in advance for any advice that can be given.
> Dale
>
>
>

1750. Re: What should I buy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 21:16:11 UTC
From: "Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <vSIs8.910$8E3.724331@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 49616

ICOM R-2 - $150 (approx) US

Paul

"JohnSCoke" <johnscoke@aol.comspamnot> wrote in message
news:20020407214914.17993.00002286@mb-fk.aol.com...
> I am ready to buy my first scanner. I can spend about $200. Any
suggestions on
> a good handheld?
>
> Steven

1751. Re: Questions on Win93 Use.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 21:17:58 UTC
From: Stanley Kaliski <tanker001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <GuBKHx.HCJ@news.boeing.com>
Source record: 49618

Thanks for the reply Don, I really like the program. I use it here at work
on my work station and at home.

Stan

"Don Starr" <don@unspamstarrsoft.com> wrote in message
news:ji54buglloj0teo9u6pes7lj4b0dp0frk4@4ax.com...
> >2) Ok, 400-1 and 00400 are two different systems, gotch ya. The
confusion
> >I'm having is with a fleet map of:
> >B0=S0
> >B1=S4
> >B2=S4
> >B3=S11
> >B4=S12
> >B5=S12
> >B6=S0
> >B7=S0
> >
> >When I try to enter 400-1 into Win93 it converts it over to 00400, which
I
> >don't want, per your answer. When I enter 400-01 it takes it, is 400-01
the
> >same as 400-1? For Win93 to understand 400-1 does it require the 0
before
> >the 1, and will the scanner pick up on a 400-1 ID if I program the
scanner
> >with Win93 using 400-01?
> >
>
> Yes, you need the leading zero. Enter 400-01 instead of 400-1.
>
> Win93 requires Type-I IDs in the following format:
> BFFF-S or BFF-SS
>
> (B = block, F = fleet, S = subfleet).
>
> Win93's Type-I IDs must always be exactly 6 characters long (hence the
> leading zero in your 400-01).
>
> -Don
>
>
> ---
> Posted Via Uncensored-News.Com - Still Only $9.95 -

http://www.uncensored-news.com
> With NINE News Servers In California - The Worlds Uncensored News
Source
>

1752. New Yahoo Group for Rockford, IL Scanning Started...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 21:47:49 UTC
From: Greg <not@shown.here>
Message-ID: <qbo6bu4ahaa3g8ihhtli1qu8rfnlvkq6u4@4ax.com>
Source record: 49617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,:,alt.radio.scanner

I've started up a new yahoo group here.

Anyone in the Rockford area - surrounding counties in northern il and southern wi - are welcome to join !

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Rockford_Scan/join

1753. Pro 92 quesiton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 21:57:52 UTC
From: Kenny <shovmyfist@att.net>
Message-ID: <AtJs8.15104$Rw2.1162470@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 49619

I have a PRo 92 & when i listen to a EDACS system (here is a link to info about the system http://uweb.superlink.net/jcr1434/scanner/sb800mhz.html#EDACS) i can never get the "individual calls" (when one unit talks to another directly with out everyone else hearing) . I have a non trunking scanner also & that scanner can hear the "individual" calls. What am i doing wroing in programming the Pro 92 or is this soemthin you can't do on the pro 92?

1754. Re: Optoelectronics Frequency Counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 22:13:09 UTC
From: Stevie <NOSPaM@iglou.com>
Message-ID: <3cb367ee$2_3@news.iglou.com>
Source record: 49620

Sold

"Stevie" <NOSPaM@iglou.com> wrote in message
news:3cb254e8_3@news.iglou.com...
> New Condition. Thanks for looking.
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1344917263
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/02
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/02

1755. What is the Best Software for the 780 XLT ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 22:52:58 UTC
From: FleaBag <fleabag@aol.com>
Message-ID: <B6Ks8.37298$VM5.18004786@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49621

What is the Best software for the Bearcat 780 XLT?
Thanks,

1756. How much.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 23:07:21 UTC
From: ScannerHead <Someones@TheDoor.com>
Message-ID: <JuKs8.30709$Oo1.20248@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 49622
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Would a RS Pro 2037 200 Ch. scanner go for on the used market. Both
Canadian & U.S. $$$$

--
Check out my auctions @
http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/ca/auction/64216002

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002

1757. Re: Reception questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 23:27:14 UTC
From: johnb <borregoj@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3CB37A21.5010104@sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 49623

could also be something within the structure of the house in the
direction where no signal was recieved. as for only one side, its
likely got one side on one freq and the other side on another (need two
scanners to really follow the calls)

Scanning68 wrote:

> Hi all,
> I performed an experiment with a 900MHz analog cordless phone that I had
> sitting around my house, with interesting (to me, anyway) results.
>
> I left my buddy inside the house, and had him call another friend of ours. I
> wasn't sure what frequency my phone was on, so I set the range from 902-908. It
> settled in on a frequency in the mid 903's. Two things surprised me a bit:
>
> 1) I walked exactly 100' feet in front of my house (with my handheld scanner
> that has the original cheap rubber duckie antenna), and heard the call
> perfectly. Then I walked exactly 100' to either SIDE of my house, and again
> heard everything clear as a bell. But when I walked 100' behind my house, the
> scanner lost the frequency. If you get a minimum range of 100' in three
> different directions, I was under the impression that it would hold true for
> the fourth direction, as well. FWIW, by the time I walked 100' to the rear of
> my house, I was in the woods. I could understand not getting the signal in the
> summer with all the trees in bloom, but these trees are bare. But still, I'm
> assuming that the woods interfered with the transmission somehow, anyway. Would
> this be a correct assumption?
>
> 2) Even though my phone was on a 903 (i.e. base) frequency, I only heard one
> end of the conversation. I only heard my buddy who was nearby in my house. My
> other friend to whom he was speaking lives a couple of towns away, and I could
> not make out a single word he said during the whole conversation. It was to the
> point that I could barely tell that someone was on the other end. His voice
> came across very, very softly. What could be the reason for this?
>
> Thanks in advance!
>
> Greg
>

1758. Re: Buffalo Area Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 23:31:34 UTC
From: johnb <borregoj@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3CB37B25.5040808@sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 49624

i just got a copy of the 2002 police call book from radio shack

Dan wrote:

> Try http://nf2g.com
>
>
> Adam Carter <scrod21@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<B8C8DB34.C8F%scrod21@adelphia.net>...
>
>>I'm looking for a Recent list of Buffalo area (Tonawanda, Buffalo, Kenmore)
>>It appears that Radioshack no longer prints their Police call book with
>>frequencies Listed... Any help be it websites, or posted/ e-mailed lists
>>would be helpful.
>>

1759. Re: CTCSS Tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 23:43:02 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020409194302.07859.00005573@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 49625

>There is a web site that has the CTCSS tones for Public Safety freqs, anyone know where that is?

What agencies are you trying to determine the tones for?

There is no one web site covering the entire U.S., but there are many sites with information for particular areas.

Jeff Multer
Fort Mill, S.C.

1760. Re: Pro 92 quesiton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 23:49:33 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <Z5Ls8.3971$17.2443233@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 49626

The PRO-92 will not track individual calls. You can still hear them in conventional mode just as you can on the non-trunking scanner.

"Kenny" <shovmyfist@att.net> wrote in message news:AtJs8.15104$Rw2.1162470@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I have a PRo 92 & when i listen to a EDACS system (here is a link to info
> about the system
> http://uweb.superlink.net/jcr1434/scanner/sb800mhz.html#EDACS)
> i can never get the "individual calls" (when one unit talks to another
> directly with out everyone else hearing) . I have a non trunking scanner
> also & that scanner can hear the "individual" calls. What am i doing wroing
> in programming the Pro 92 or is this soemthin you can't do on the pro 92?
>
>

1761. Re: CTCSS Tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-09 23:54:10 UTC
From: ScannerHead <Someones@TheDoor.com>
Message-ID: <CaLs8.30760$Oo1.11334@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 49627
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I believe this is what your looking for :)

CTCSS TONES

67.0 XZ 97.4 ZB 141.3 4A 206.5 8Z
69.3 WZ 100.0 1Z 146.2 4B 210.7 M2
71.9 XA 103.5 1A 151.4 5Z 218.1 M3
74.4 WA 107.2 1B 156.7 5A 225.7 M4
77.0 XB 110.9 2Z 162.2 5B 229.1 9Z
79.7 WB 114.8 2A 167.9 6Z 233.6 M5
82.5 YZ 118.8 2B 173.8 6A 241.8 M6
85.4 YA 123.0 3Z 179.9 6B 250.3 M7
88.5 YB 127.3 3A 186.2 7Z 254.1 0Z
91.5 ZZ 131.8 3B 192.8 7A
94.8 ZA 136.5 4Z 203.5 M1

--
Check out my auctions @
http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/ca/auction/64216002

"Jesse Plummer" <jplummer@bluefrognet.net> wrote in message
news:3CB342FC.4282AE7@bluefrognet.net...
> There is a web site that has the CTCSS tones for Public Safety freqs,
> anyone know where that is? I need to plug these things in to get rid of
> tons of static and maintain my sanity. Thanks in advance.
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002

1762. Re: How much.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 00:10:47 UTC
From: Alexander McNaughton <treehug3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <XpLs8.6503$e64.1886243@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 49628
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

$60 to $90.

ScannerHead <Someones@TheDoor.com> wrote in message
news:JuKs8.30709$Oo1.20248@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> Would a RS Pro 2037 200 Ch. scanner go for on the used market. Both
> Canadian & U.S. $$$$
>
> --
> Check out my auctions @
> http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/ca/auction/64216002
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
>
>

1763. Re: What is the Best Software for the 780 XLT ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 00:22:19 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <rALs8.6352$t7.1728991@twister.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 49629

"FleaBag" <fleabag@aol.com> wrote in message
news:B6Ks8.37298$VM5.18004786@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> What is the Best software for the Bearcat 780 XLT?
> Thanks,
>
>
>

Well, that's like asking what is the best brand of white bread or
margerine.....D'oh!

FWIW, I like ARC780, available at http://www.butel.nl
--
/`\\\
(@ @)
--------ooO---(_)---Ooo----------

�Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KG�WX�

� Design Services Company �

� http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com �

� Smoke free since 9/30/96! �

� ICQ# 63379650 ? ?

1764. Re: How much.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 00:29:34 UTC
From: ScannerHead <Someones@TheDoor.com>
Message-ID: <OHLs8.30792$Oo1.26021@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 49630
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Is that Canadian or American $$$

--
Check out my auctions @
http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/ca/auction/64216002

"Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:XpLs8.6503$e64.1886243@news20.bellglobal.com...
> $60 to $90.
>
> ScannerHead <Someones@TheDoor.com> wrote in message
> news:JuKs8.30709$Oo1.20248@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> > Would a RS Pro 2037 200 Ch. scanner go for on the used market. Both
> > Canadian & U.S. $$$$
> >
> > --
> > Check out my auctions @
> > http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/ca/auction/64216002
> >
> >
> > ---
> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
> >
> >
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002

1765. Re: How much.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 00:32:35 UTC
From: Alexander McNaughton <treehug3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <nKLs8.6536$e64.1901667@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 49631
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Pure American greenbacks.

ScannerHead <Someones@TheDoor.com> wrote in message
news:OHLs8.30792$Oo1.26021@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> Is that Canadian or American $$$
>
>
>
> --
> Check out my auctions @
> http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/ca/auction/64216002
>
>
> "Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:XpLs8.6503$e64.1886243@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > $60 to $90.
> >
> > ScannerHead <Someones@TheDoor.com> wrote in message
> > news:JuKs8.30709$Oo1.20248@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> > > Would a RS Pro 2037 200 Ch. scanner go for on the used market. Both
> > > Canadian & U.S. $$$$
> > >
> > > --
> > > Check out my auctions @
> > > http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/ca/auction/64216002
> > >
> > >
> > > ---
> > > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > > Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
>
>

1766. Source for Motorola "Monitor" pager crystals?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 00:55:05 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020409205505.11923.00003096@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 49632

Can anyone point me to a source crystals for a Motorola Monitor pager? I'd prefer someplace that I could send the pager to and have the crystals installed for me.

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-

73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

1767. Re: Buffalo Area Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 01:00:56 UTC
From: wleamons <wleamons@socket.net>
Message-ID: <ub73mal0h4oce6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49633

try cityfreq.com
"johnb" <borregoj@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:3CB37B25.5040808@sbcglobal.net...
> i just got a copy of the 2002 police call book from radio shack
>
>
> Dan wrote:
>
> > Try http://nf2g.com
> >
> >
> > Adam Carter <scrod21@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<B8C8DB34.C8F%scrod21@adelphia.net>...
> >
> >>I'm looking for a Recent list of Buffalo area (Tonawanda, Buffalo,
Kenmore)
> >>It appears that Radioshack no longer prints their Police call book with
> >>frequencies Listed... Any help be it websites, or posted/ e-mailed lists
> >>would be helpful.
> >>
>

1768. Need help programming a Pro-94

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 01:17:45 UTC
From: jgerwitzsprint@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <2f704dcf.0204091717.1881a86b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49634

I am missing somthing in trying to program my new Pro-94. I have the
frequencies and trunking codes but for some reason I cannot understand
how to program in the trunking codes. Can someone give me the simple
steps to follow?
I have entered the frequencies but don't understand how to enter the
trunking codes.
Thanks in adavance!
Jim

1769. Re: Monitoring cellular frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 01:50:29 UTC
From: CW <clinton.magers@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <ETMs8.247607$702.44885@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 49635
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It would take a bit more than your average scanner enthusiast but it sure
wouldn't take the NSA. Digital encoding is a transmission mode and was never
intended as a security measure. The protocols are industry standard and are
far from unreproducable.
--
CW
KC7NOD

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:a8uipp$vepha$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Harry Marnell" <marnells@snowcrest.net> wrote in message
> news:rFss8.16181$%A.1361996@news1.west.cox.net...
> > Even "encryption" is a finite, fallible, and presumably breakable
> > technology.
>
> To governments, yes, but not to the average scanner user. I'm talking
about
> the real world application here. If the NSA or someone truly wanted to
> listen to my cell or cordless phone, it would be easy to do, but my main
> concern is the thousands of scanners floating around.
>
> Tom
>
>

1770. Re: CTCSS Tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 02:28:47 UTC
From: "Ed G." <Spurious@_REMOVE_StarBand.net>
Message-ID: <Xns91EBC680C6B0BFrog207Junocom@148.78.248.76>
Source record: 49636

> There is a web site that has the CTCSS tones for Public Safety freqs,
> anyone know where that is? I need to plug these things in to get rid of
> tons of static and maintain my sanity. Thanks in advance.
>

Be aware that for many years technology has been moving from CTCSS to DCS (digital coded squelch). Some of the systems you want to listen to may be using DCS.

Ed

1771. Re: How much.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 02:36:43 UTC
From: "R. LeBreton" <rdlebreton@NOSPAM.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <VyNs8.6257$rp4.1996985@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 49637
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That's $90 to $135 Cdn.

Q: What did the fed up Canadian say to the American?

A: The b...the 62 stops here!!!

"Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:nKLs8.6536$e64.1901667@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Pure American greenbacks.
>
> ScannerHead <Someones@TheDoor.com> wrote in message
> news:OHLs8.30792$Oo1.26021@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> > Is that Canadian or American $$$
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > Check out my auctions @
> > http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/ca/auction/64216002
> >
> >
> > "Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:XpLs8.6503$e64.1886243@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > $60 to $90.
> > >
> > > ScannerHead <Someones@TheDoor.com> wrote in message
> > > news:JuKs8.30709$Oo1.20248@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> > > > Would a RS Pro 2037 200 Ch. scanner go for on the used market. Both
> > > > Canadian & U.S. $$$$
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > Check out my auctions @
> > > > http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/ca/auction/64216002
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > ---
> > > > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > > > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > > > Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >

> >
> > ---
> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002
> >
> >
>
>

1772. Are UHF 350Mhz aircraft transmissions AM or FM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 03:10:11 UTC
From: Jeff <jeff_164@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <o2Os8.98$t4.20803@news.xtra.co.nz>
Source record: 49638
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Are UHF (350Mhz or thereabouts) aircraft transmissions AM or FM?
Is there some sort of international bandplan for this band and aviation
user?
JEFF

1773. Re: What is the Best Software for the 780 XLT ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 03:20:16 UTC
From: Blitz <WTVKZJHZMOYD@spammotel.com>
Message-ID: <QbOs8.15537$Rw2.1211705@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 49639

I like ARC780, available at http://www.butel.nl as well.
It is affordable and will get the 780 programmed.
There is more expensive out there but this works fine.

"FleaBag" <fleabag@aol.com> wrote in message
news:B6Ks8.37298$VM5.18004786@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> What is the Best software for the Bearcat 780 XLT?
> Thanks,
>
>
>

1774. Re: What is the Best Software for the 780 XLT ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 04:09:14 UTC
From: radiotronicgizzmo <radiotronicgizzmo@spydercat.com>
Message-ID: <KVOs8.155371$y26.24007926@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 49640

I bought ARC780, available at http://www.butel.nl

It gives you spreadsheet like programming -- which is the way you really
want to set the scanner up. and it gives you a nice real-time visual
control.

for the price, I tried them all, and think this is the best

"FleaBag" <fleabag@aol.com> wrote in message
news:B6Ks8.37298$VM5.18004786@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
What is the Best software for the Bearcat 780 XLT?
Thanks,

1775. Looking for Seattle area scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 04:16:21 UTC
From: tazdog <tazdog-3@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <p0Ps8.248728$702.46285@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 49641
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm trying to find local people in the same hobby.

Maybe if there is a local group or club, or if there are some that can help out someone trying to get better equip.

Thanks
Scott

1776. Re: Which Radio Shack Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 04:19:38 UTC
From: TexasSteven <rothrock@nospamforme.stic.net>
Message-ID: <3cb3bc04$0$3690$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 49642

NONE! Consider a Bearcat.

Nuff' Said

TexasSteven

1777. Re: Monitoring cellular frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 05:20:15 UTC
From: "Al, N2NKB"
Message-ID: <jYPs8.15829$Rw2.1231480@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 49643
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

OK, I'll submit the real answer.
If you listen to the North American 850 MHz cell sites transmitters, 869 MHz to 894 MHz, you will only hear
one side of the conversation in a perfect environment.
If the system is degraded due to excessive return
loss, (mostly due to poor landline subscriber loops),
you will be able to hear the other end also.
It turns out that you can only hear both sides of the
conversation if the network condition is poor.

This is becoming a rare situation as the Telco's are
removing the loading coils off of the poles to have
more bandwidth available for modems. And the
electronics are getting better.

The analog activity on the 850 MHz cellular band is becoming rare with more and more 1.9 GHz PCS
CDMA and TDMA digital services.

B.T.W.,
The contribution due to side-tone is negligible, as
there are three components-
-human bone conduction (chin to ear)
-acoustic through the handset
-electrical
The electrical part by itself does not necessarily aid
to hearing both sides of a conversation clearly.
Al

"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3CB3473A.3EE8202A@optonline.net...
> Having watched these posts go by for a couple days now, I've been
> thinking a little bit about your question.
>
> Consider first, a standard, wired telephone. Part of what is picked up
> by the microphone is played back through the earpiece. In the telephone
> trade, this is called "sidetone". It is added to the earpiece at the
> local phone, and is at a level considerably below the level of signals
> received from the remote phone. Without sidetone, your conversation
> sounds empty and flat, and you are not sure if the telephone set is
> working.
>
> In a cellular situation, your local phone transmits what is picked up by
> the microphone to the cell tower on one frequency, and the cell tower

> transmits what is received from the remote phone on a second frequency.
> So where is the "sidetone" added in? Most likely in the local phone,
> the same as in a wired phone. Even if the sidetone were added in at the
> cell tower and transmitted back to the local phone, in order to meet the
> requirement that the sidetone be at a level considerably lower than the
> received signal, someone monitoring the signal transmitted by the cell
> tower would hear the local signal at a considerably lower level than the
> level of the remote signal. Thus, I suspect that the cell tower only
> transmits the remote signal.
>
> The situation in a public service repeater is different than in a
> cellular phone. The public service system is simplex, one person talks
> at a time. When one presses the push-to-talk switch, their speaker is
> turned off. I talk, and it is transmitted by the repeater, then you
> talk, and it is transmitted by the repeater. A third party listener
> will hear both sides of the conversation.
>
> Hope this helps. Sorry to be so long winded.
>
> Walt
>
>
>
> "Michael L." wrote:
> >
> > When I listen to cell frequencies I hear only one side. Does the tower use
> > different frequencies for receive/transmit? If so, where to I find each one?
> > I assume either one is xxxkHz/mHz up/down?
> >
> > thanks

1778. Re: Which Radio Shack Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 05:23:23 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020410012323.00386.00003605@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 49644

Oops, somebody used the "B" word. I would definitely get a Radio Shack Pro-93
for trunking (Motorola and EDACS) . The Pro-92 has more trunking capabilities
though. Around here, NO ONE uses a Bear...(oops, almost said it myself) I mean
, one of those 'other' scanners. Radio Shack all the way. PC program free
from internet. Cable $30.
Eric G.
education CANNOT buy common sense

1779. Re: Newbie alert... Programming frequency "ranges"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 05:24:33 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020410012433.00386.00003606@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 49645

Ever try the L/O button while searching?
Eric G.
education CANNOT buy common sense

1780. Towers/shelters for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 05:53:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <a90jvs$6kg$0@63.162.246.98>
Source record: 49646
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.survival,alt.survivalism,misc.survivalism

I have a number of ex-AT&T tower sites available, in Missouri and Arkansas.

If you have ever looked at one of these sites, with the concrete buildings a foot thick, the back up generators, the HVAC, electric toilets, etc, you got to know that these are wonderful facilities for HAM radio, survivalists, or just someone that wants to live through the nearby tornado.

The towers are awesome. Ranging from 75 feet to 300 feet, talk about a platform for just about any antenna you could want, as well as potential revenue from rentals.

They start at 20k, and go up, depending on the building, the tower, how much land, etc.

Contact me via email, bbowers@townsqr.com for more information. I may have some adjoining states available also, as well as some of the underground bunkers.

1781. Ways of CTCSS/DCS scanning ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 07:31:30 UTC
From: Miller Liu <muller@tp.silkera.net>
Message-ID: <a90u0u$eh0$1@member.gcn.net.tw>
Source record: 49648
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Hi, all:

Lately , I try to use CTCSS/DCS scanning with my FT-50R, but it mute audio output and scan tone quite slowly. That's why I curiously want to ask you and latest finding :

1. Kenwood F7/6 : Received signals are monitored through the speaker while scanning is in progress. CTCSS/DCS Freq. ID Scan does not scan the tone if a signal is not detected.

2. FT-50R: Audio output is muted while scanning is in progress. Tone scanning is quite faster while not no signals deteceted.

3. Do you know ICOM , Uniden , Radio Shack , AOR scanner or other rig scanning behave? Smarter or more stupid?

Miller, in Taiwan

1782. Re: Which Radio Shack Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 08:26:51 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <rqt7bugg25ab8gsa98jps2f060mng54kjg@4ax.com>
Source record: 49647

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, emoney250@aol.com (Eric G.) spake thusly

> Around here, NO ONE uses a Bear...(oops, almost said it myself)

Then where ever 'around here' is, you're a bunch of idiots.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

1783. Re: Towers/shelters for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 09:11:36 UTC
From: Gunner <gunner@lightspeed.net>
Message-ID: <ab08buodss6s57aso6cc8bhghdmso2ggb2@4ax.com>
Source record: 49649
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.survival,alt.survivalism,misc.survivalism

On 10 Apr 2002 05:53:00 GMT, bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:

>I have a number of ex-AT&T tower sites available, in Missouri and
>Arkansas.
>
>If you have ever looked at one of these sites, with the concrete
>buildings a foot thick, the back up generators, the HVAC, electric
>toilets, etc, you got to know that these are wonderful facilities for
>HAM radio, survivalists, or just someone that wants to live through
>the nearby tornado.
>
>The towers are awesome. Ranging from 75 feet to 300 feet,
>talk about a platform for just about any antenna you could
>want, as well as potential revenue from rentals.
>
>They start at 20k, and go up, depending on the building,
>the tower, how much land, etc.
>
>Contact me via email, bbowers@townsqr.com for more
>information. I may have some adjoining states available also,
>as well as some of the underground bunkers.
>
This is really a good idea.

Gunner

This Message is guaranteed environmentally friendly
Manufactured with 10% post consumer ASCII
Meets all EPA regulations for clean air
Using only naturally occuring fibers
Use the Message with confidance.
(Some settling may occure in transit.)
(Best if Used before May 13, 2009)

1784. BC 3000xlt Battery Packs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 09:21:25 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@mac.com>
Message-ID: <puTs8.270566$Hu6.58156070@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 49650
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Anyone know of a good source to buy NiMH packs for the BC 3000xlt? Thanks!
DeWayne

1785. Re: Are UHF 350Mhz aircraft transmissions AM or FM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 10:18:36 UTC
From: tester <tester@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <Xns91EC3FA343AAEtester@63.209.170.235>
Source record: 49651
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Jeff" <jeff_164@hotmail.com> wrote in news:o2Os8.98$t4.20803
@news.xtra.co.nz:

> Are UHF (350Mhz or thereabouts) aircraft transmissions AM or FM?
> Is there some sort of international bandplan for this band and aviation
> user?
> JEFF
>
>

All mil aircraft transmission are AM.

1786. SALE AR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 11:08:14 UTC
From: nightfly777@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <hh78bu44ctfbsbr3cdku6c84cmavqbes60@4ax.com>
Source record: 49652

Unblocked scanner with rubber antena. Voice inverter and tone eleminater cards. CC8200 software and computer controll hookup. Power sources for card and ac. $525 firm buyer pays shipping.

Davenport Fl 33837

1787. Re: BC 3000xlt Battery Packs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 11:20:29 UTC
From: wleamons <wleamons@socket.net>
Message-ID: <ub8809sdtfsafb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49653
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

try uniden.com
"DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message
news:puTs8.270566$Hu6.58156070@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> Anyone know of a good source to buy NiMH packs for the BC 3000xlt? Thanks!
> DeWayne
>
>

1788. Re: BC 3000xlt Battery Packs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 11:58:12 UTC
From: Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <dba8bugob5ft38bovm7db5levnruc78so4@4ax.com>
Source record: 49654
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Wed, 10 Apr 2002 06:20:29 -0500, "wleamons" <wleamons@socket.net>
wrote:

>try uniden.com

Only if you want overpriced, low capacity, NiCD packs.

>"DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message
>news:puTs8.270566$Hu6.58156070@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
>> Anyone know of a good source to buy NiMH packs for the BC 3000xlt? Thanks!
>> DeWayne
>>
>>
>

1789. Re: BC 3000xlt Battery Packs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 12:02:50 UTC
From: Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <8ja8bus06ncvltui0j5m2dneeh6t2c4qmd@4ax.com>
Source record: 49655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Wed, 10 Apr 2002 09:21:25 GMT, "DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote:

>Anyone know of a good source to buy NiMH packs for the BC 3000xlt? Thanks!
>DeWayne
>

I've ordered from www.primecell.com, and never had a problem. They
used to sell on eBay too.

1790. Re: Looking for Seattle area scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 14:12:39 UTC
From: Lord Floyd <mwjohnson@_foxinternet.com>
Message-ID: <3cb4492d$1_1@news.foxinternet.com>
Source record: 49656
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Try this one:
http://www.northwestradio.com

"tazdog" <tazdog-3@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:p0Ps8.248728$702.46285@sccrnsc02...
> I'm trying to find local people in the same hobby.
>
> Maybe if there is a local group or club, or if there are some that can
help
> out someone trying to get better equip.
>
> Thanks
> Scott
>
>
>

1791. Re: CTCSS Tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 14:32:41 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3CB44D09.D9A35386@USA.net>
Source record: 49657
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You should have explained that the "letters" are motorola tone codes.

The numbers (frequencies) are what you need.

Happy Scanning and "God Bless America"

ScannerHead wrote:

> I believe this is what your looking for :)
>
> CTCSS TONES
>
> 67.0 XZ 97.4 ZB 141.3 4A 206.5 8Z
> 69.3 WZ 100.0 1Z 146.2 4B 210.7 M2
> 71.9 XA 103.5 1A 151.4 5Z 218.1 M3
> 74.4 WA 107.2 1B 156.7 5A 225.7 M4
> 77.0 XB 110.9 2Z 162.2 5B 229.1 9Z
> 79.7 WB 114.8 2A 167.9 6Z 233.6 M5
> 82.5 YZ 118.8 2B 173.8 6A 241.8 M6
> 85.4 YA 123.0 3Z 179.9 6B 250.3 M7
> 88.5 YB 127.3 3A 186.2 7Z 254.1 0Z
> 91.5 ZZ 131.8 3B 192.8 7A
> 94.8 ZA 136.5 4Z 203.5 M1
>
> --
> Check out my auctions @
> http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/ca/auction/64216002
>
> "Jesse Plummer" <jplummer@bluefrognet.net> wrote in message
> news:3CB342FC.4282AE7@bluefrognet.net...
> > There is a web site that has the CTCSS tones for Public Safety freqs,
> > anyone know where that is? I need to plug these things in to get rid of
> > tons of static and maintain my sanity. Thanks in advance.
> >
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002

1792. Re: What is the Best Software for the 780 XLT ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 15:17:26 UTC
From: Greg <not@shown.here>
Message-ID: <dpl8buoauspeh5oc2bbpejei0d3u8ek04g@4ax.com>
Source record: 49658

I prefer Andy's software. You just need to have MS Excel installed.

I love it. I keep a pretty big excel database with frequencies for different areas, and when I'm going to travel somewhere, I just cut & paste the stuff I want to my 780 database, and upload it to the scanner. It's quick and simple.

1793. Re: CTCSS Tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 16:09:44 UTC
From: Jesse <jplummer@bluefrognet.net>
Message-ID: <3CB463C8.A9CE4DF2@bluefrognet.net>
Source record: 49659
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What I am looking for is what CTCSS tone is used at what agency. I know
what Tones and the motorola system are.

Example:
Lancaster Police 155.610 with PL of 107.2

Pappy@USA.net wrote:
>
> You should have explained that the "letters" are motorola tone codes.
>
> The numbers (frequencies) are what you need.
>
> Happy Scanning and "God Bless America"
>
> ScannerHead wrote:
>
> > I believe this is what your looking for :)
> >
> > CTCSS TONES
> >
> > 67.0 XZ 97.4 ZB 141.3 4A 206.5 8Z
> > 69.3 WZ 100.0 1Z 146.2 4B 210.7 M2
> > 71.9 XA 103.5 1A 151.4 5Z 218.1 M3
> > 74.4 WA 107.2 1B 156.7 5A 225.7 M4
> > 77.0 XB 110.9 2Z 162.2 5B 229.1 9Z
> > 79.7 WB 114.8 2A 167.9 6Z 233.6 M5
> > 82.5 YZ 118.8 2B 173.8 6A 241.8 M6
> > 85.4 YA 123.0 3Z 179.9 6B 250.3 M7
> > 88.5 YB 127.3 3A 186.2 7Z 254.1 0Z
> > 91.5 ZZ 131.8 3B 192.8 7A
> > 94.8 ZA 136.5 4Z 203.5 M1
> >
> > --
> > Check out my auctions @
> > http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/ca/auction/64216002
> >
> > "Jesse Plummer" <jplummer@bluefrognet.net> wrote in message
> > news:3CB342FC.4282AE7@bluefrognet.net...
> > > There is a web site that has the CTCSS tones for Public Safety freqs,
> > > anyone know where that is? I need to plug these things in to get rid of
> > > tons of static and maintain my sanity. Thanks in advance.
> > >
> >
> > ---

> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 4/2/2002

1794. For Sale: Uniden BC80XLT 800MHz scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 17:05:35 UTC
From: McGuyver <mkatz3@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <zh_s8.162989$y26.24822453@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 49660
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a mint Uniden BC80XLT with AC adapter and good clip for sale, photo upon request. Nothing modified. Specs below:
800MHz Handheld Programmable
29-956 MHz
50 Channel /5 banks
11 bands including 800MHz
Band search
5 priority channels
Manual channel access
Memory backup
Built-in scan delay
Full frequency LCD display
One-touch weather
Battery-Low indicator
AC/DC AC adapter included
Frequency Coverage
29-54 137-174 406-512 806-956

Asking $75 plus shipping

1795. Re: Towers/shelters for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 18:43:52 UTC
From: Strabo <strabo@flashnet.com>
Message-ID: <3CB487E8.75392E5D@flashnet.com>
Source record: 49661
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.survival,alt.survivalism,misc.survivalism

Gunner wrote:
>
> On 10 Apr 2002 05:53:00 GMT, bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
>
> >I have a number of ex-AT&T tower sites available, in Missouri and
> >Arkansas.
> >
> >If you have ever looked at one of these sites, with the concrete
> >buildings a foot thick, the back up generators, the HVAC, electric
> >toilets, etc, you got to know that these are wonderful facilities for
> >HAM radio, survivalists, or just someone that wants to live through
> >the nearby tornado.
> >
> >The towers are awesome. Ranging from 75 feet to 300 feet,
> >talk about a platform for just about any antenna you could
> >want, as well as potential revenue from rentals.
> >
> >They start at 20k, and go up, depending on the building,
> >the tower, how much land, etc.
> >
> >Contact me via email, bbowers@townsqr.com for more
> >information. I may have some adjoining states available also,
> >as well as some of the underground bunkers.
> >
> This is really a good idea.
>
> Gunner

Do you SWL or ham?

> This Message is guaranteed environmentally friendly
> Manufactured with 10% post consumer ASCII
> Meets all EPA regulations for clean air
> Using only naturally occuring fibers
> Use the Message with confidance.
> (Some settling may occure in transit.)
> (Best if Used before May 13, 2009)

1796. Re: Which Radio Shack Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 21:05:01 UTC
From: "Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <1O1t8.554$7f4.379405@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49662

Or how about a Yupi or AOR?
Tad on the expensive side though...
"TexasSteven" <rothrock@nospamforme.stic.net> wrote in message
news:3cb3bc04$0$3690$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
> NONE! Consider a Bearcat.
>
> Nuff' Said
>
> TexasSteven
>
>
>

1797. Icom IC-R2 remote keypad?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 21:45:53 UTC
From: interiot@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <19b0c689.0204101345.7ba96807@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49663

I'm looking into maybe getting the IC-R2 as my first scanner... it looks like it's a good one, and cheap too, but as another poster said, one has to worry about crashing your car while using it.

However, it seems that its minimal keypad could be turned into an advantage for mobile use. Its input method reminds me of how the Twiddler PC keyboard works (http://www.handykey.com/site/twiddler2.html). eg. other than the VFO, it seems you could use it one-handed, without looking.

So, has anyone thought about or attempted to attach a twiddler-esque extension of the IC-R2 keypad to their steering wheel? The scanner is super-tiny, but perhaps it's still possible?

1798. Re: Are UHF 350Mhz aircraft transmissions AM or FM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 22:04:08 UTC
From: 800mhz <listeningwatch@nospam.att.net>
Message-ID: <sF2t8.16775$Rw2.1317043@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 49664
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

all aircraft coms in UHF are AM, however, they also use the 140mhz,
30mhz, and 40mhz bands, and these transmissions can be in either NFM or AM.
"tester" <tester@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:Xns91EC3FA343AAEtester@63.209.170.235...
> "Jeff" <jeff_164@hotmail.com> wrote in news:o2Os8.98$t4.20803
> @news.xtra.co.nz:
>
> > Are UHF (350Mhz or thereabouts) aircraft transmissions AM or FM?
> > Is there some sort of international bandplan for this band and aviation
> > user?
> > JEFF
> >
> >
>
> All mil aircraft transmission are AM.

1799. Re: RJ-45 to SMA ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 22:27:34 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <q%2t8.9768$ir6.392569@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 49665

rofl. the goof never relied to anyone.

dev

"weeeeeeeeee" <init_pwr@eudoramail.com> wrote in message
news:bb977098.0204082204.75e4b359@posting.google.com...
> I think he is building a crack pipe!
>
>
> Ralph Mowery <rmowery@dialpoint.net> wrote in message
news:<3CAA316C.E47FE9EA@dialpoint.net>...
> > What in the world are you trying to connect with this combination ?
> >
> > The sma stuff is usually used for RF at high frequencies with coaxial
> > type cable and the rj-45 is for audio and computer networking with
> > several twisted pairs.
> >
> > Maybe you ment to use another type of connector on one end ?
> >
> >
> > Vikrant Rawat wrote:
> > >
> > > Does anyone have an idea where one can get an RJ-45 to SMA connector??
> > > Thanx in advance.
> > > Sunny

1800. FA: Realistic PRO-2040 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 23:32:36 UTC
From: Richard Smith <atari_400@NOSPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7_3t8.7974$rp4.2438738@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 49666

I have a PRO-2040 scanner up for auction on Ebay. Excellent condition.
Item # 1345158821
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?MfcISAPICommand=ViewItem&item=134515882
1

Thanks for looking.

Richard.

1801. Re: Which Radio Shack Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-10 23:59:37 UTC
From: faive@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <305ca694.0204101559.146e8728@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49667

If trunktracking is indispensable for you and you live in the US then
get a Bearcat 245xlt. If you dont live in the US and dont have any
books like Police Call with frequencies listings, get a Pro-93, its
easier with the control channel only.
If you want a better scanner, but can live without truntracking,
definitely get a IC-R2.
You might also want to way for the new 250D from Uniden...

1802. Re: What should I buy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-11 00:01:12 UTC
From: faive@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <305ca694.0204101601.6fd2aa5a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49668

"Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:<vSIs8.910$8E3.724331@news02.optonline.net>...
> ICOM R-2 - $150 (approx) US
>
> Paul
>
> "JohnSCoke" <johnscoke@aol.comspamnot> wrote in message
> news:20020407214914.17993.00002286@mb-fk.aol.com...
> > I am ready to buy my first scanner. I can spend about $200. Any
> suggestions on
> > a good handheld?
> >
> > Steven

I agree on that one. Once you get the IC-R2 you wont believe how bad
and limited the Pro93 and the BC245xlt are.

1803. Re: BC 3000xlt Battery Packs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-11 01:26:29 UTC
From: ghs521@aol.comiamnot
Message-ID: <20020410212629.00997.00004947@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 49669

>Anyone know of a good source to buy NiMH packs for the BC 3000xlt? Thanks!

http://www.batteriesamerica.com/hamradio.htm

I've had one of their packs for almost 2 years now,
still going strong.

Greg

A good friend will bail you out of jail,
your best friend will be sitting next to you, saying,
"That was f@#$%^g awesome."

1804. Re: Ways of CTCSS/DCS scanning ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-11 01:56:11 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3CB4ED3B.6272CA42@mitre.org>
Source record: 49670
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

The GRE-Radio Shack PRO-92and PRO-2067 do instanous CTCSS/DCS searches and displays them. Then they can be used
in the closed mode tagged to a frequency. The Uniden BC 780 XLT will also search for CTCSS/DCS tones but much
more slowly then us them with a given frequency. The ICOM IC R-2 will search slowly for CTCSS tones only and the R-2 can't handle /DOSE NOT DO the DCS tones. You need about twenty seconds to a minute of steady audio while scanning for tones to 'capture' a tone.If your not getting steady audio when scanning for a tone it can take a long
time to 'capture' or find a tone.
Jim

Miller Liu wrote:

> Hi, all:
>
> Lately , I try to use CTCSS/DCS scanning with my FT-50R, but it mute audio
> output and scan tone quite slowly. That's why I curiously want to ask you
> and latest finding :
>
> 1. Kenwood F7/6 : Received signals are monitored through the speaker while
> scanning is in progress. CTCSS/DCS Freq. ID Scan does not scan the tone if
> a signal is not detected.
>
> 2. FT-50R: Audio output is muted while scanning is in progress. Tone
> scanning is quite faster while not no signals deteceted.
>
> 3. Do you know ICOM , Uniden , Radio Shack , AOR scanner or other rig
> scanning behave? Smarter or more stupid?
>
> Miller, in Taiwan

1805. Re: BC 3000xlt Battery Packs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-11 02:15:00 UTC
From: JS <JShelton1@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <Ek6t8.616$CU5.87168063@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Scannerworld has them.
www.scannerworld.com

"DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message
news:puTs8.270566$Hu6.58156070@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> Anyone know of a good source to buy NiMH packs for the BC 3000xlt? Thanks!
> DeWayne
>
>

1806. Re: Which Radio Shack Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-11 02:48:28 UTC
From: Bigdaddyking <bdk1@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.171ec3af7fde67d1989777@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 49672

In article <305ca694.0204101559.146e8728@posting.google.com>,
faive@hotmail.com says...
> If trunktracking is indispensable for you and you live in the US then
> get a Bearcat 245xlt. If you dont live in the US and dont have any
> books like Police Call with frequencies listings, get a Pro-93, its
> easier with the control channel only.
> If you want a better scanner, but can live without truntracking,
> definitely get a IC-R2.
> You might also want to way for the new 250D from Uniden...
>

Wait wait wait wait wait!

Are you trying to say an R2 is better than a 93 or a 245??

If you are, LOL!!

I own an R2, and it's no 93 or 245!

BDK

1807. Alinco DJ-X3 Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-11 03:09:46 UTC
From: Pete Ritter <cpritter@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <_77t8.259008$702.50233@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 49673

I have a new DJ-X3 and have a couple of questions.

1. Sometimes when I'm monitoring say the itinerant frequencies and there is a transmission, I hear a loud staticy noise almost like ignition noise. This seems to happen only when it's receiving a transmission. It may come and go during the transmission. The batteries are fully charged. It doesn't seem to have anything to do with signal strength. Anybody have the same problem or know what might be happening?

2. The free programming software from Alinco has tabs "Memory Bank" "Search Pass" and "Priority Memory". For each of these you can set either "Tuning Step" or "Auto Mode and Step". I can understand that you'd want to set step on the "Preset Memory" and "Program Scan" tabs but why on the "Memory Bank" and the others? Am I missing something?

--
*
* This Space For Hire
*

1808. Re: Which Radio Shack Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-11 04:00:38 UTC
From: "Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <GT7t8.738$7f4.448977@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49674

Get Yupiteru 900MK2
Around 500 bucks but it works, with a proper antenna that it

"Bigdaddyking" <bdk1@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.171ec3af7fde67d1989777@news.buckeye-express.com...
> In article <305ca694.0204101559.146e8728@posting.google.com>,
> faive@hotmail.com says...
> > If trunktracking is indispensable for you and you live in the US then
> > get a Bearcat 245xlt. If you dont live in the US and dont have any
> > books like Police Call with frequencies listings, get a Pro-93, its
> > easier with the control channel only.
> > If you want a better scanner, but can live without truntracking,
> > definitely get a IC-R2.
> > You might also want to way for the new 250D from Uniden...
> >
>
>
> Wait wait wait wait wait!
>
> Are you trying to say an R2 is better than a 93 or a 245??
>
> If you are, LOL!!
>
> I own an R2, and it's no 93 or 245!
>
> BDK

1809. Does anyone have the frequencies for a Ground Zero EMS worker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-11 04:42:35 UTC
From: Jason <kattelson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <_u8t8.683$1v2.105673841@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49675

Hi everyone
Does anyone have the scanner frequencies for Ground Zero. I'm a EMS worker and I would like to hear when they find one of our brothers or sisters.

Thanx
Jason

1810. Re: Which Radio Shack Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-11 05:40:04 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020411014004.00417.00003262@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 49677

yaaaaaaaaaaawnnnnn.......sorry. Almost fell asleep reading the intersting replies.
Eric G.
education CANNOT buy common sense

1811. Re: MCQ_LOG has just been released !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-11 05:40:25 UTC
From: thethreeamigos@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <7f6d448e.0204102140.7aaa6020@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49676

"MadDogMcQ" <tom.mcq@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:<a85f5sedo1@knossos.btinternet.com>...
> Hi All,
> Just a quickie to let you know that MCQ_LOG has been released. Those people
> who previously registered their interest will find a copy of the database in
> their mail-box.
>
> If you've never heard of it before, MCQ_LOG is a totally free SWL LOG that I
> created purely for my own use but decided to offer it to the Public Domain.
> Many people asked for modifications to the database to suit their individual
> needs and I accomodated as many as possible.
>
> To find out more about the database, go to :
> http://www.tom.mcq.btinternet.co.uk/ar3000/mcqlog.htm
>
> 73's
>
> Tom www.ar3000.info

Well to tell you the truth Tom here is a real shady programmer. All
those emails he made you send him to get the password for his program
are now being sold to the highest bidder for SPAM. After contacting
him he mailbombed me with so much spam that I had to delete an account
that I had for years that was very private. But anyway he had no real
reason to do that other than I called his attempt to offer a free
program lame because he forces you to send him an email requesting a
password. Usually when a person or company forces you to send email
traffic to get a password to open a program your email address is sold
for spam or used for some other marketing purposes and so it makes the
offer of a program being free not being free after all. When I called
old Tom boy on it he mailbombed me with so much spam (I have the
originating IP that he used which is 213.122.156.12 which is his
access provider at btinternet.com which was logged by one of the spam
bombs he sent) that I contacted everyone he has to do with to tell
them about his ways. When you see what kind of deal he does with your
email then you will know. I am sure it was stupid of me to contact him
regarding this program with my own email address but it was a spur of
the moment deal. Anyway I still stand by the fact that TOM is a
spammer and I will tell the entire planet wherever he might languish
including is Flight Sim areas what a spammer and untrustworthy piece
of shit he really is. Spammers, Mailbombers and the like have no place
in online society other than the garbage can. IMHO. But again thats
just my two cents worth and you can ask for a refund anytime. He is a
loser and I will continue to document what he did to my email box

until he apologizes or loses his internet access whichver comes first. BTW I did contact the abuse department of his Access provider notifying them of what he did and also sent all the logs of his intrusion and mailbombing activities which are against the law anyway. Who knows maybe he will have to find another provider maybe not. But until I see justice for what he did to me and I am sure countless others I will continue to speak out on what a useless individual this really is.

See Ya TOM

1812. Re: MCQ_LOG has just been released !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-11 06:17:53 UTC
From: an idiot who beileved in TOM <mcqlogisawasteandyouwillgetspammed@fuktom.com>
Message-ID: <BU9t8.145$r1.13268@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 49678
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Well to tell you the truth Tom here is a real shady programmer. All those emails he made you send him to get the password for his program are now being sold to the highest bidder for SPAM. After contacting him he mailbombed me with so much Spam that I had to delete an account that I had for years that was very private. But anyway he had no real reason to do that other than I called his attempt to offer a free program lame because he forces you to send him an email requesting a password. Usually when a person or company forces you to send email traffic to get a password to open a program your email address is sold for Spam or used for some other marketing purposes and so it makes the offer of a program being free not being free after all. When I called old Tom boy on it he mailbombed me with so much Spam (I have the originating IP that he used which is 213.122.156.12 which is his access provider at btinternet.com which was logged by many of the Spam bombs he sent) that I contacted everyone he has to do with to tell them about his ways. When you see what kind of deal he does with your email then you will know. I am sure it was stupid of me to contact him regarding this program with my own email address but it was late at night and a spur of
the moment deal. Anyway I still stand by the fact that TOM is a spammer and I will tell the entire planet wherever he might languish including is Flight Sim areas what a spammer and untrustworthy piece of shit he really is. Spammers, Mailbombers and the like have no place in online society other than the garbage can. IMHO. But again that's just my two cents worth and you can ask for a refund anytime. He is a loser and I will continue to document what he did to my email box until he apologizes or loses his internet access whichever comes first. BTW I did contact the abuse department of his Access provider notifying them of what he did and also sent all the logs of his intrusion and mailbombing activities which are against the law anyway. Who knows maybe he will have to find another provider maybe not. But until I see justice for what he did to me and I am sure countless others I will continue to speak out on what a useless individual this really is.

BTW I just read your CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY post. I must reply Tom that you grossly misstated the email. I called it lame to offer a program for free when an email is required. I wrote to you also and apologized as it was a late night and many d/loads had passed and it was frustrating to have that happen when you did NOT advertise fully at the time that EMAIL was required to be sent to you. However you sulked off like a child and mailbombed my email address of which I have logs for as you are to stupid to mask your IP address when bombing and destroyed a rather good email address because you

were angry. You acted as a little child and showed the world that you were a child by then posting that all over Usenet because you needed absolution for your absurd acts of revenge. I do not know if anyone in this group would approve of what you did no matter what you were called. I said it was LAME to offer for free what obviously wasn't. That is all, it was late here and I was tired. I woke up and before I retrieved my morning email I wrote to you and apologized for my rudeness and sent it to you. But because you are a little child you sulked off and mailbombed me with useless Spam that I did not request because you are really indeed lame. No one in USENET or on any group would ever say that they approve of getting their emails filled to the brim with Spam all because they made a rude comment but alas it happens. Why don't you say hey I did wrong and did a stupid lame thing and apologize and do it publicly since you made it public that you took an email address and blew it away because you were upset that someone called your program lame. Sure it maybe has it's purpose for you and I can see that maybe you could put that in your web site advertising that this is required before using and make it where people can see it. I had no IDEA at the time that this was required and said so to you, but alas as a child speaketh a child doeth and you retaliated with malice aforethought. I have each and every log file with your ip on it showing each and every Spam you signed me up for blazingly showing you as the FRAUD you are. If you want to challenge those logs here please do so I have the logs with your IP all over them showing dates times and places. Is that how you deal with the public? Please BEWARE tom.mcq you are a mailbombing spammer and you waste peoples email when you feel like it. If that is OK to this group and the others that you post in then OK. I am wrong for saying all of this and I apologize to you and all here in the group for being a lame ass piece of garbage. Please forgive the posts and the flame. Thank you all for your time and consideration in this matter.

See Ya TOM

"MadDogMcQ" <tom.mcq@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:a85ar6$2he$1@paris.btinternet.com...
> Hi All,
> Just a quickie to let you know that MCQ_LOG has been released. Those
people
> who previously registered their interest will find a copy of the database
in
> their mail-box.
>
> If you've never heard of it before, MCQ_LOG is a totally free SWL LOG that
I
> created purely for my own use but decided to offer it to the Public
Domain.
> Many people asked for modifications to the database to suit their
individual
> needs and I accomodated as many as possible.
>
> To find out more about the database, go to :
> http://www.tom.mcq.btinternet.co.uk/ar3000/mcqlog.htm
>

> 73's
>
> Tom www.ar3000.info
>
>
>

1813. MCQ LOG The Real Truth Behind Tom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-11 06:53:32 UTC
From: an idiot who beileved in TOM <mcqlogisawasteandyouwillgetspammed@fuktom.com>
Message-ID: <_pat8.225$r1.19178@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 49679

I write this message in hopes of making anyone aware of how MCQ log works in the programmers mind. His name is Tom and he is a Flight Sim buff of sorts although he is only an armchair pilot he calls himself MADOGMCQ. This shows you is mentality of being someone who is mad or a mad dog like a person that gets his feelings hurt and then try's to make an enemy out of them and try's then to destroy them before he himself is destroyed. Of course no one is out to hurt Tom he is just a little boy who was probably raised wrong and had feelings of insecurity and a self esteem problem. When you call or email Tom and complain or call or email and say hey I think you might be wrong or shall I say LAME, he sulks like a little boy needing a spanking and decides you are an enemy and then sets out to mailbomb you or hack into your computer and plant a virus or he try's to get you to download a program that is probably viral. But I digress, anyway Tom a little boy who writes a program called MCQ Log decides one day to password protect it and then offer it for free on the internet in all the usual places that would enjoy a new logging program. Tom does this so he may obtain your email address for marketing purposes. Even though he tells you it is free he then has a clue and that clue is "Hey I can sell these email address's for a profit all the while telling people that my program is free". Not a bad idea and probably a nice way to pay for all the time it took good ol'e Tom to write it. But why not just say to the average downloader hey I need your email first for marketing purposes or to see how many people are downloading it or trying it out, sure I might use your email for my own clever profit schemes but you don't mind do you? Well gee Tom I might as my email is a private email but hey you can use my free account for any purpose you like because your so great and I am so needy. But instead Tom gets an email one day that displeases him he then without warning decides this guy is an enemy CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY he says to himself? He called my attempt to sneak email address from unsuspecting people LAME? Well I am MADDOGMCQ and no one messes with the MADOG or is it MADDOG I still cannot figure that one but I digress. No one messes with the DOG and off he goes to listopt.com and signs up the ENEMY with all sorts of Spam that will never go away. Oooops what is this? An email saying he is sorry? Fuk him I did it already and now I feel somewhat lame for sure but hey wait a minute..... HOLD the BRITISH PHONE BOOTH.... I got it I will go on Usenet and detail everything he said of course I will leave out his apology and of course the fact that I mailbombed him and all the nasty things I did to him from the public. I feel so guilty thinks Tom but I need absolution from my online friends. Only they will make me feel like a MADDOG once again and not some pitiful lame ass piece of shit which I think maybe deep inside my gay ass I am thinks Tom. And now on to the rest of my evil plans to dominate the world.....

The END

It would be funny if it were not true. I have to admit here and now after two days I had to delete the old account and start a new one because of the Spam, sure no one will see my old and funny email address again as it looked silly but it was a part of me and my other half and we enjoyed it for a good while until that is we ran into Tom. What a waste but so is Tom....Thanks for reading this and BUYER BEWARE even if it says FREE it probably isn't.

1814. Re: BC 3000xlt Battery Packs? try YOST/Batteries america

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-11 07:45:29 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <tabt8.22940$%A.1894654@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 49680
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I got a GREAT NiMH battery pack for my 3000XLT, with like 2 day
shipping, from e h yost/batteries america. See
http://www.mrnicd-ehyostco.com/hamradio.htm and go way down the page
to the Uniden/Bearcat section to

For Uniden / Bearcat 2500XLT, 3000XLT, 3500XLT handheld scanners:
BP-2500 6.0v 1100mAh NiMH long-life pack for 2500XLT &
3000XLT. Plug-in charge. Exclusive! $43.00

This pack has a REALLY long life, even better than my previous NiMH from
that good scanner accessories outfit (???) in Illinois... whose name
escapes me at the moment.
--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications
History

"DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message
news:puTs8.270566$Hu6.58156070@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> Anyone know of a good source to buy NiMH packs for the BC 3000xlt?
Thanks!
> DeWayne
>
>

1815. Re: CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-11 07:51:20 UTC
From: thethreeamigos123@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <7870400a.0204102351.6bfcfbe3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49681
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"MadDogMcQ" <tom.mcq@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:<a8kqk4roc1@helle.btinternet.com>...
> It takes a lot to get me wound up, but today I received FIVE emails from the
> same guy telling me how disappointed he was in my "free" software because it
> required a password and to obtain that password he had to email me. He made
> his feelings very clear by saying that I was lame and a lousy programmer.
>
> AND ALL BECAUSE HE DIDN'T LIKE THE IDEA OF HAVING TO ENTER A PASSWORD!!!
>
> I spent a long time getting this SWL Logging Database right and placed it in
> the Public Domain for the benefit of all the SWL/HAM Community. To get an
> idea of how many people were downloading and using the software, I put a
> password on the zipfile and a comment asking people to email me for the
> password - just like registering free software, really. Not much to ask I
> thought.
>
> Well apparently, it was too much for this particular guy! He ranted and
> raved, so I replied to him and told him the password was "kissmyass" without
> the quotation marks! What a tosser!! I find it hard to believe that there
> are people like this in the radio community. No doubt he's an arrogant,
> spoiled, petulent little boy who slams doors when he doesn't get his own
> way. His alias was "bridge2nowhr" FYI.
>
> Anyway, for anyone who is interested in a FREE SWL/HAM Logging Database and
> would like to know more, please feel free to read thru the info at :
>
> http://www.tom.mcq.btinternet.co.uk/ar3000/mcqlog.htm
>
> But for God's sake, don't complain about having to email for the password
> :-)))
>
> Regards, Tom.
> *..ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½)) -:ï¿½:-
> ï¿½.ï¿½ï¿½ .ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½))
> ((ï¿½ï¿½.ï¿½ï¿½ .ï¿½ï¿½ ..-:ï¿½:- * www.ar3000.info * -:ï¿½:-
> -:ï¿½:- ((ï¿½ï¿½.ï¿½ï¿½*

Well lets put this all in perspective shall we Tom?? I want to write
everything I wrote here since this is the forum you chose to use to
show how lame you really are so here it is. I will post what I said
and show what you did to anyone who wants to see it. This of course
proves to only me that you are a lame ass fool, anyone else probably
thinks you are ok but me I think you are gay. But that is besides the

point Mr MaddogMCQ. God what a lame ass handle that is I guess you are trying to prove you are a man instead of a little boy but I digress. Here is my point and my post is here for all to see:

Well to tell you the truth Tom here is a real shady programmer. All those emails he made you send him to get the password for his program are now being sold to the highest bidder for SPAM. After contacting him he mailbombed me with so much Spam that I had to delete an account that I had for years that was very private. But anyway he had no real reason to do that other than I called his attempt to offer a free program lame because he forces you to send him an email requesting a password. Usually when a person or company forces you to send email traffic to get a password to open a program your email address is sold for Spam or used for some other marketing purposes and so it makes the offer of a program being free not being free after all. When I called old Tom boy on it he mailbombed me with so much Spam (I have the originating IP that he used which is 213.122.156.12 which is his access provider at btinternet.com which was logged by many of the Spam bombs he sent) that I contacted everyone he has to do with to tell them about his ways. When you see what kind of deal he does with your email then you will know. I am sure it was stupid of me to contact him regarding this program with my own email address but it was late at night
and a spur of the moment deal. Anyway I still stand by the fact that TOM is a
spammer and mailbomber and I will tell the entire planet wherever he might languish including his Flight Sim areas what a spammer and untrustworthy piece
of shit he really is. Spammers, Mailbombers and the like have no place in online society other than the garbage can. IMHO. But again that's just my two cents worth and you can ask for a refund anytime. He is a loser and I will continue to document what he did to my email box until he apologizes or loses his internet access whichever comes first.
BTW I did contact the abuse department of his Access provider notifying them of what he did and also sent all the logs of his intrusion and mailbombing activities which are against the law anyway. Who knows maybe he will have to find another provider maybe not. But until I see justice for what he did to me and I am sure countless others I will continue to speak out on what a useless individual this really is.

BTW I just read your CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY post. I must reply Tom that
you grossly misstated the email. I called it lame to offer a program for
free when an email is required. I wrote to you also and apologized as it was
a late night and many d/loads had passed and it was frustrating to have that
happen when you did NOT advertise fully at the time that EMAIL was

required
to be sent to you. However you sulked off like a child and mailbombed
my
email address of which I have logs for as you are to stupid to mask
your IP
address when bombing and destroyed a rather good email address because
you
were angry. You acted as a little child and showed the world that you
were a
child by then posting that all over Usenet because you needed
absolution for
your absurd acts of revenge. I do not know if anyone in this group
would
approve of what you did no matter what you were called. I said it was
LAME
to offer for free what obviously wasn't. That is all, it was late here
and I
was tired. I woke up and before I retrieved my morning email I wrote
to you
and apologized for my rudeness and sent it to you. But because you are
a
little child you sulked off and mailbombed me with useless Spam that I
did
not request because you are really indeed lame. No one in USENET or on
any
group would ever say that they approve of getting their emails filled
to the
brim with Spam all because they made a rude comment but alas it
happens. Why
don't you say hey I did wrong and did a stupid lame thing and
apologize and
do it publicly since you made it public that you took an email address
and
blew it away because you were upset that someone called your program
lame.
Sure it maybe has it's purpose for you and I can see that maybe you
could
put that in your web site advertising that this is required before
using and
make it where people can see it. I had no IDEA at the time that this
was
required and said so to you, but alas as a child speaketh a child
doeth and
you retaliated with malice aforethought. I have each and every log
file with
your ip on it showing each and every Spam you signed me up for
blazingly
showing you as the FRAUD you are. If you want to challenge those logs
here
please do so I have the logs with your IP all over them showing dates

times
and places. Is that how you deal with the public? Please BEWARE
tom.mcq you
are a mailbombing spammer and you waste peoples email when you feel
like it.
If that is OK to this group and the others that you post in then OK. I
am
wrong for saying all of this and I apologize to you and all here in
the
group for being a lame ass piece of garbage. Please forgive the posts
and
the flame. Thank you all for your time and consideration in this
matter.

See Ya TOM

Now on to my last post that was posted not here on groups.google but
just in Usenet in general:

I write this message in hopes of making anyone aware of how MCQ log
works in
the programmers mind. His name is Tom and he is a Flight Sim buff of
sorts
although he is only an armchair pilot he calls himself MADOGMCQ. This
shows
you his mentality of being someone who is mad or a mad dog like a
person that
gets his feelings hurt and then try's to make an enemy out of them and
try's
then to destroy them before he himself is destroyed. Of course no one
is out
to hurt Tom he is just a little boy who was probably raised wrong and
had
feelings of insecurity and a self esteem problem. When you call or
email Tom
and complain or call or email and say hey I think you might be wrong
or
shall I say LAME, he sulks like a little boy needing a spanking and
decides
you are an enemy and then sets out to mailbomb you or hack into your
computer and plant a virus or he try's to get you to download a
program that
is probably viral(that has not happned just flaming). But I digress,
anyway Tom a little boy who writes a program called MCQ Log decides
one day to password protect it and then offer it for free on the
internet in all the usual places that would enjoy a new logging
program. Tom does this so he may obtain your email address for
marketing purposes. Even though he tells you it is free he then has a
clue and that clue is "Hey I can sell these email address's for a
profit all the while telling people that my program is free". Not a
bad idea and probably a nice way to pay for all the time it took good

ol'e Tom to write it.
But why not just say to the average downloader hey I need your email first for
marketing purposes or to see how many people are downloading it or trying it
out, sure I might use your email for my own clever profit schemes but you
don't mind do you? Well gee Tom I might as my email is a private email but
hey you can use my free account for any purpose you like because your so
great and I am so needy. But instead Tom gets an email one day that
displeases him he then without warning decides this guy is an enemy CAN YOU
BELIEVE THIS GUY he says to himself? He called my attempt to sneak email
address from unsuspecting people LAME? Well I am MADDOGMCQ and no one messes
with the MADOG or is it MADDOG I still cannot figure that one but I digress.
No one messes with the DOG and off he goes to listopt.com and signs up the
ENEMY with all sorts of Spam that will never go away. Oooops what is this?
An email saying he is sorry? Fuk him I did it already and now I feel
somewhat lame for sure but hey wait a minute..... HOLD THE BRITISH PHONE
BOOTH.... I got it I will go on Usenet and detail everything he said of
course I will leave out his apology and of course the fact that I mailbombed
him and all the nasty things I did to him from the public. I feel so guilty
thinks Tom but I need absolution from my online friends. Only they will make
me feel like a MADDOG once again and not some pitiful lame ass piece of shit
which I think maybe deep inside my gay ass I am thinks Tom. And now on to
the rest of my evil plans to dominate the world.....

The END

It would be funny if it were not true. I have to admit here and now after
two days I had to delete the old account and start a new one because of the
Spam, sure no one will see my old and funny email address again as it looked
silly to everyone but me and my other half but it was a part of me and
my other half and we enjoyed it for a good while until that is we ran

into Tom. What a waste but so is Tom....Thanks for reading this and BUYER BEWARE even if it says FREE it probably isn't.

The End again:

Thanks all for reading this many post's as I realize most people do not enjoy reading some lengthy diatribe, But I wanted to get the whole thing out and clear up any cross posts that I might have written. Plus I think I made the mistake of posting some of it to many times another bad thing to do and I want to apologize to anyone who had to wade through all of that. I just posted here all that I wrote in usenet because I saw that Tom was writing his anger in Usenet a very silly thing to do unless he felt stupid for doing what he did and was really looking for absolution from people. It is often that people on Usenet who have only online friends can find peace and hope for the actions they commit in real life. I know that from researching Tom for the last few days he is an avid flight simmer of which I used to be before I took flying lessons and became a real pilot he is also very limited it seems in real life contacts. That of course is just my opinion of Tom and while I do not want to keep flaming him or treating him bad I wanted to get it off my chest that I too after getting a few hundred emails of spam that he signed me up for did the same to him one afternoon before changing my email address. I know that two wrongs do not make a right and therefore apologize to Tom for my stupid response. I know that people make mistakes and in so doing it shows who they are and what they are made of when they can own up to those mistakes and apologize when they are wrong. So Tom again I am sorry for the rude comment I made that night it was late and while that is no excuse for calling your hard work lame I still think it was more lame of you to seek out and destroy me. I did not ask for that and at best you showed your colors with what you did. But I guess I started it so I am aiming to finish it with you. While I know that babies often continue to cry long after they get what they want I will still attempt to stop here and say thats enough. Later on all.

1816. Re: CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-11 08:16:56 UTC
From: timfy <tim.wood1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <WEbt8.1012$na.44755@news8-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 49682
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

-----SNIP-----
>" Usually when a person or company forces you to send email
> traffic to get a password to open a program your email address is sold
> for Spam or used for some other marketing purposes and so it makes the
> offer of a program being free not being free after all.
-----BIG SNIP-----

I find it a little hard to believe that even our Korean friends would want to buy the couple of hundred or so addresses that this will generate. If they do then I'm going to get an offer on my address book!!

If you don't like it, don't subscribe. Write your own software and release it free of charge to everyone in your own way. 'Til then please shut the feck up.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.

Please Note: No trees were destroyed
in the sending of this contaminant free message
We do however concede that a signicant number of electrons may have been inconvenienced.

Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 02/04/2002

1817. Re: BC 3000xlt Battery Packs? try YOST/Batteries america

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-11 12:00:32 UTC
From: Hans Heesbeen <hans-heesbeen@home.nl>
Message-ID: <CWet8.85295$oI.6644376@zwoll1.home.nl>
Source record: 49683
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Sorry for my bad english but I'm dutch!

Build your own! Open the batterypack carefully and replace the cells with high capacity rechargeble AA Batteries. With a tape "seal" Them together and connect the cells together!

Greetings

Hans

"Harry Marnell" <marnells@snowcrest.net> schreef in bericht news:tabt8.22940$%A.1894654@news1.west.cox.net...
> I got a GREAT NiMH battery pack for my 3000XLT, with like 2 day
> shipping, from e h yost/batteries america. See
> http://www.mrnicd-ehyostco.com/hamradio.htm and go way down the page
> to the Uniden/Bearcat section to
>
> For Uniden / Bearcat 2500XLT, 3000XLT, 3500XLT handheld scanners:
> BP-2500 6.0v 1100mAh NiMH long-life pack for 2500XLT &
> 3000XLT. Plug-in charge. Exclusive! $43.00
>
> This pack has a REALLY long life, even better than my previous NiMH from
> that good scanner accessories outfit (???) in Illinois... whose name
> escapes me at the moment.
> --
> Harry Marnell
> http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications
> History
>
>
>
> "DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message
> news:puTs8.270566$Hu6.58156070@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
> > Anyone know of a good source to buy NiMH packs for the BC 3000xlt?
> Thanks!
> > DeWayne
> >
> >
>
>

1818. Small Price if Right *!*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-11 12:34:22 UTC
From: Danny Brown <Irish_Shergar@btopenworld.com>
Message-ID: <a93vsengi1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49684
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi...
If what you say is right and I doudt it because I haven't noticed any increase in spam... however if I do I will use the create new rule under messages in outlook express to delete it from the server without downloading it to my computer problem solved. You have the other option as suggested, if you don't want to give your email don't, but don't complain about something being lame just because it doesn't suit you to give your email address. Design your own database and post it on the net for free.

I can think of quite a few other reasons why the author might want your email, maybe to keep you informed of updates and patches as the database is being regularly enhanced by add ons and plugins asked for by users. I have found Quite a few notices of updates and I am not going to complain about that, I think submitting your email address in an age when most of us have numerous addresses anyway is a small price (if you can call it that) to pay for such a useful accessory that aids us in the SWL hobby. But What Do I Know... It is just my opinion and I am entiteled to one like you.

Regards
Danny

1819. Re: Which Radio Shack Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-11 15:58:27 UTC
From: Bigdaddyking <bdk1@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.171f7cd05374e447989779@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 49685

Uhhh, I got one of them already. My favorite handheld ever..

BDK

In article <GT7t8.738$7f4.448977@news1.news.adelphia.net>,
mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org says...
> Get Yupiteru 900MK2
> Around 500 bucks but it works, with a proper antenna that it
>
> "Bigdaddyking" <bdk1@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
> news:MPG.171ec3af7fde67d1989777@news.buckeye-express.com...
> > In article <305ca694.0204101559.146e8728@posting.google.com>,
> > faive@hotmail.com says...
> > > If trunktracking is indispensable for you and you live in the US then
> > > get a Bearcat 245xlt. If you dont live in the US and dont have any
> > > books like Police Call with frequencies listings, get a Pro-93, its
> > > easier with the control channel only.
> > > If you want a better scanner, but can live without truntracking,
> > > definitely get a IC-R2.
> > > You might also want to way for the new 250D from Uniden...
> > >
> >
> >
> > Wait wait wait wait wait!
> >
> > Are you trying to say an R2 is better than a 93 or a 245??
> >
> > If you are, LOL!!
> >
> > I own an R2, and it's no 93 or 245!
> >
> > BDK
>
>
>

1820. REQ: Bearcat 300 manual/schematics/etc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-11 16:09:28 UTC
From: jlsoft@atari.org
Message-ID: <dec6cbe7.0204110809.6e65704b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49686

Greets,

Just curious if anyone has any -scanned/digitized-
schematics/manual/etc for a Bearcat 300.

Thanks much in advance.

1821. tk500 v0.2 available, multiplatform software for Yaesu VR-500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-11 16:46:03 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3cb5bddb$0$80236$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 49687
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

tk500 version 0.2 is now available for free download.

tk500 is an open source programming utility for the
Yaesu VR-500 portable receiver.

Improvements in version 0.2 include the ability to
change several parameters and write data back to the radio.

tk500 has a graphical user interface and runs on Linux
and Windows. I'm still looking for MacOS X beta testers
familiar with Tcl/Tk.

You can learn about and download a beta test version of tk500
from my home page:

http://members.core.com/~parnass

I don't warrant the software, though it will cost you nothing.

Thanks again to Dan Wanchic, WA8VZQ for posting detailed
information about the RDF file layout.

--
==
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

1822. FA: RS TRC-465 40 Ch. AM/SSB Mobile CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-11 17:49:26 UTC
From: CB Radio Dude <lm@Yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <G0kt8.46232$cN1.18732@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 49688
Cross-posted to: alt.ott.forsale,alt.radio.scanner,ott.forsale.general,rec.radio.scanner

```
--
Check out my auctions @
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1345679635

---
Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.346 / Virus Database: 194 - Release Date: 4/10/2002
```

1823. Re: scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-11 18:23:53 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3cb5d4c9$0$1528$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 49689
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

To the disappointment of VR-120 owners,
I don't know of any software available for
the Yaesu VR-120.

Many of the portable scanners fitted with a computer
connection can be cloned (programmed) via software,
but cannot be controlled in real time.

Consider the ICOM IC-R2. It is in the same category
of scanner as the DJ-X3 and VR-120. There is a variety
of both commercial and free software for it.

Another model to consider is the Yaesu VR-500. It
differs from the other 3 models because it has
a full numeric keypad and has SSB/CW reception
capability.

You are welcome to download my new tk500 software
for it at http://members.core.com/~parnass
No warranty, but no charge.

Good luck.

On Wed, 10 Apr 2002 11:47:34 -0500, Tom wrote:

> Which is better scanner Alinco DJ-X3 or Yaesu VR-120? I need a scanner
> which can do many things with a computer. Anything else in the same
> price range?
>
> Thanks a lot

--
==
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

1824. WTB: REALISTIC PRO - 2024 "JUNKER"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-11 18:40:23 UTC
From: eg9k@aol.com
Message-ID: <1508acc5.0204111040.25c90f67@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49690

Howdy, Would like to purchase a PRO - 2024 Parts radio, Need some parts to repair mine and RS no longer carries any parts for this model. Please Email me if you have or know of one for sale. Thank You, 73's, K9ge, Harold. eg9k@aol.com

1825. FS.WTT.Pro-64.400.Channer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-11 19:17:01 UTC
From: Jack <jack@ncia.net>
Message-ID: <3CB5E12D.F62F3A23@ncia.net>
Source record: 49691

I would like to trade my as new radio shack Pro-64 400 Channel scanner
for a newer 2mt 50 watt Mobile, . It has 400 programmable memory
channels and covers 29-59, 108-174, 380-512,
806-824, 849-869 and 894-960 Mhz......Thanks.....Jack

1826. Re: CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-11 19:31:26 UTC
From: MadDogMcQ <tom.mcq@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a94oaa$7kb$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49692
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

What a crock of shit!!
==========
You are obviously deranged. I put together a database and offered it to anyone who fancied trying it. Putting a password on the zip was (as stated on the website) for no other reason other than to monitor how many people were actually using the database. I have NEVER used any of the incoming email addresses for any of the purposes described in your pathetic ranting and have NO INTEREST in any sort of "marketing". I have never spammed ANYONE!

I INVITE ANY USER OF MCQ_LOG to post a message here saying whether they have received any unsolicited email as a result of downloading my database.

Who the hell do you think I am? I'm an average Joe with a full time job and have no connections, desire or ambition to enter into any of the shit you are talking about. I am certainly not a programmer either! What a pathetic and nasty little man you are! The day that YOU offer the SWL Community something for free is the day that YOU can call me names!!!

To be honest, you've written so much damned drivel that I couldn't even be bothered reading thru it all. You have shown YOURSELF to be the lamer here and judging by your ridiculous rantings, I'm just glad for your sake that you live in another country .... you'd be locked up if you lived here!!

Go kiss my ass!

Tom McQ.
[MadDogMcQ]

"Tom Beat It Out Of Me" <thethreeamigos123@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:7870400a.0204102351.6bfcfbe3@posting.google.com...
> "MadDogMcQ" <tom.mcq@btinternet.com> wrote in message news:<a8kqk4roc1@helle.btinternet.com>...
> > It takes a lot to get me wound up, but today I received FIVE emails from the
> > same guy telling me how disappointed he was in my "free" software because it
> > required a password and to obtain that password he had to email me. He made
> > his feelings very clear by saying that I was lame and a lousy programmer.
> >
> > AND ALL BECAUSE HE DIDN'T LIKE THE IDEA OF HAVING TO ENTER A PASSWORD!!!
> >
> > I spent a long time getting this SWL Logging Database right and placed

it in
> > the Public Domain for the benefit of all the SWL/HAM Community. To get
an
> > idea of how many people were downloading and using the software, I put a
> > password on the zipfile and a comment asking people to email me for the
> > password - just like registering free software, really. Not much to ask
I
> > thought.
> >
> > Well apparently, it was too much for this particular guy! He ranted and
> > raved, so I replied to him and told him the password was "kissmyass"
without
> > the quotation marks! What a tosser!! I find it hard to believe that
there
> > are people like this in the radio community. No doubt he's an arrogant,
> > spoiled, petulent little boy who slams doors when he doesn't get his own
> > way. His alias was "bridge2nowhr" FYI.
> >
> > Anyway, for anyone who is interested in a FREE SWL/HAM Logging Database
and
> > would like to know more, please feel free to read thru the info at :
> >
> > http://www.tom.mcq.btinternet.co.uk/ar3000/mcqlog.htm
> >
> > But for God's sake, don't complain about having to email for the
password
> > :-)))
> >
> > Regards, Tom.
> > *..)) -::-
> > . .))
> > ((. . ..-: :- * www.ar3000.info * -::-
> > -::- ((.*
>
>
> Well lets put this all in perspective shall we Tom?? I want to write
> everything I wrote here since this is the forum you chose to use to
> show how lame you really are so here it is. I will post what I said
> and show what you did to anyone who wants to see it. This of course
> proves to only me that you are a lame ass fool, anyone else probably
> thinks you are ok but me I think you are gay. But that is besides the
> point Mr MaddogMCQ. God what a lame ass handle that is I guess you are
> trying to prove you are a man instead of a little boy but I digress.
> Here is my point and my post is here for all to see:
>
> Well to tell you the truth Tom here is a real shady programmer. All
> those emails he made you send him to get the password for his program
> are now being sold to the highest bidder for SPAM. After contacting
> him he mailbombed me with so much Spam that I had to delete an account

> that I had for years that was very private. But anyway he had no real
> reason to do that other than I called his attempt to offer a free
> program lame because he forces you to send him an email requesting a
> password. Usually when a person or company forces you to send email
> traffic to get a password to open a program your email address is sold
> for Spam or used for some other marketing purposes and so it makes the
> offer of a program being free not being free after all. When I called
> old Tom boy on it he mailbombed me with so much Spam (I have the
> originating IP that he used which is 213.122.156.12 which is his
> access provider at btinternet.com which was logged by many of the Spam
> bombs he sent) that I contacted everyone he has to do with to tell
> them about his ways. When you see what kind of deal he does with your
> email then you will know. I am sure it was stupid of me to contact him
> regarding this program with my own email address but it was late at
> night
> and a spur of the moment deal. Anyway I still stand by the fact that
> TOM is a
> spammer and mailbomber and I will tell the entire planet wherever he
> might languish including his Flight Sim areas what a spammer and
> untrustworthy piece
> of shit he really is. Spammers, Mailbombers and the like have no place
> in online society other than the garbage can. IMHO. But again that's
> just my two cents worth and you can ask for a refund anytime. He is a
> loser and I will continue to document what he did to my email box
> until he apologizes or loses his internet access whichever comes
> first.
> BTW I did contact the abuse department of his Access provider
> notifying them of what he did and also sent all the logs of his
> intrusion and mailbombing activities which are against the law anyway.
> Who knows maybe he will have to find another provider maybe not. But
> until I see justice for what he did to me and I am sure countless
> others I will continue to speak out on what a useless individual this
> really is.
>
> BTW I just read your CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY post. I must reply Tom
> that
> you grossly misstated the email. I called it lame to offer a program
> for
> free when an email is required. I wrote to you also and apologized as
> it was
> a late night and many d/loads had passed and it was frustrating to
> have that
> happen when you did NOT advertise fully at the time that EMAIL was
> required
> to be sent to you. However you sulked off like a child and mailbombed
> my
> email address of which I have logs for as you are to stupid to mask
> your IP
> address when bombing and destroyed a rather good email address because

> you
> were angry. You acted as a little child and showed the world that you
> were a
> child by then posting that all over Usenet because you needed
> absolution for
> your absurd acts of revenge. I do not know if anyone in this group
> would
> approve of what you did no matter what you were called. I said it was
> LAME
> to offer for free what obviously wasn't. That is all, it was late here
> and I
> was tired. I woke up and before I retrieved my morning email I wrote
> to you
> and apologized for my rudeness and sent it to you. But because you are
> a
> little child you sulked off and mailbombed me with useless Spam that I
> did
> not request because you are really indeed lame. No one in USENET or on
> any
> group would ever say that they approve of getting their emails filled
> to the
> brim with Spam all because they made a rude comment but alas it
> happens. Why
> don't you say hey I did wrong and did a stupid lame thing and
> apologize and
> do it publicly since you made it public that you took an email address
> and
> blew it away because you were upset that someone called your program
> lame.
> Sure it maybe has it's purpose for you and I can see that maybe you
> could
> put that in your web site advertising that this is required before
> using and
> make it where people can see it. I had no IDEA at the time that this
> was
> required and said so to you, but alas as a child speaketh a child
> doeth and
> you retaliated with malice aforethought. I have each and every log
> file with
> your ip on it showing each and every Spam you signed me up for
> blazingly
> showing you as the FRAUD you are. If you want to challenge those logs
> here
> please do so I have the logs with your IP all over them showing dates
> times
> and places. Is that how you deal with the public? Please BEWARE
> tom.mcq you
> are a mailbombing spammer and you waste peoples email when you feel
> like it.

> If that is OK to this group and the others that you post in then OK. I
> am
> wrong for saying all of this and I apologize to you and all here in
> the
> group for being a lame ass piece of garbage. Please forgive the posts
> and
> the flame. Thank you all for your time and consideration in this
> matter.
>
> See Ya TOM
>
> Now on to my last post that was posted not here on groups.google but
> just in Usenet in general:
>
> I write this message in hopes of making anyone aware of how MCQ log
> works in
> the programmers mind. His name is Tom and he is a Flight Sim buff of
> sorts
> although he is only an armchair pilot he calls himself MADOGMCQ. This
> shows
> you his mentality of being someone who is mad or a mad dog like a
> person that
> gets his feelings hurt and then try's to make an enemy out of them and
> try's
> then to destroy them before he himself is destroyed. Of course no one
> is out
> to hurt Tom he is just a little boy who was probably raised wrong and
> had
> feelings of insecurity and a self esteem problem. When you call or
> email Tom
> and complain or call or email and say hey I think you might be wrong
> or
> shall I say LAME, he sulks like a little boy needing a spanking and
> decides
> you are an enemy and then sets out to mailbomb you or hack into your
> computer and plant a virus or he try's to get you to download a
> program that
> is probably viral(that has not happned just flaming). But I digress,
> anyway Tom a little boy who writes a program called MCQ Log decides
> one day to password protect it and then offer it for free on the
> internet in all the usual places that would enjoy a new logging
> program. Tom does this so he may obtain your email address for
> marketing purposes. Even though he tells you it is free he then has a
> clue and that clue is "Hey I can sell these email address's for a
> profit all the while telling people that my program is free". Not a
> bad idea and probably a nice way to pay for all the time it took good
> ol'e Tom to write it.
> But why not just say to the average downloader hey I need your email
> first for

> marketing purposes or to see how many people are downloading it or
> trying it
> out, sure I might use your email for my own clever profit schemes but
> you
> don't mind do you? Well gee Tom I might as my email is a private email
> but
> hey you can use my free account for any purpose you like because your
> so
> great and I am so needy. But instead Tom gets an email one day that
> displeases him he then without warning decides this guy is an enemy
> CAN YOU
> BELIEVE THIS GUY he says to himself? He called my attempt to sneak
> email
> address from unsuspecting people LAME? Well I am MADDOGMCQ and no one
> messes
> with the MADOG or is it MADDOG I still cannot figure that one but I
> digress.
> No one messes with the DOG and off he goes to listopt.com and signs up
> the
> ENEMY with all sorts of Spam that will never go away. Oooops what is
> this?
> An email saying he is sorry? Fuk him I did it already and now I feel
> somewhat lame for sure but hey wait a minute..... HOLD THE BRITISH
> PHONE
> BOOTH.... I got it I will go on Usenet and detail everything he said
> of
> course I will leave out his apology and of course the fact that I
> mailbombed
> him and all the nasty things I did to him from the public. I feel so
> guilty
> thinks Tom but I need absolution from my online friends. Only they
> will make
> me feel like a MADDOG once again and not some pitiful lame ass piece
> of shit
> which I think maybe deep inside my gay ass I am thinks Tom. And now on
> to
> the rest of my evil plans to dominate the world.....
>
> The END
>
> It would be funny if it were not true. I have to admit here and now
> after
> two days I had to delete the old account and start a new one because
> of the
> Spam, sure no one will see my old and funny email address again as it
> looked
> silly to everyone but me and my other half but it was a part of me and
> my other half and we enjoyed it for a good while until that is we ran
> into Tom. What a waste but so is Tom....Thanks for reading this and

> BUYER BEWARE even if it says FREE it probably isn't.
>
> The End again:
>
> Thanks all for reading this many post's as I realize most people do
> not enjoy reading some lengthy diatribe, But I wanted to get the whole
> thing out and clear up any cross posts that I might have written. Plus
> I think I made the mistake of posting some of it to many times another
> bad thing to do and I want to apologize to anyone who had to wade
> through all of that. I just posted here all that I wrote in usenet
> because I saw that Tom was writing his anger in Usenet a very silly
> thing to do unless he felt stupid for doing what he did and was really
> looking for absolution from people. It is often that people on Usenet
> who have only online friends can find peace and hope for the actions
> they commit in real life. I know that from researching Tom for the
> last few days he is an avid flight simmer of which I used to be before
> I took flying lessons and became a real pilot he is also very limited
> it seems in real life contacts. That of course is just my opinion of
> Tom and while I do not want to keep flaming him or treating him bad I
> wanted to get it off my chest that I too after getting a few hundred
> emails of spam that he signed me up for did the same to him one
> afternoon before changing my email address. I know that two wrongs do
> not make a right and therefore apologize to Tom for my stupid
> response. I know that people make mistakes and in so doing it shows
> who they are and what they are made of when they can own up to those
> mistakes and apologize when they are wrong. So Tom again I am sorry
> for the rude comment I made that night it was late and while that is
> no excuse for calling your hard work lame I still think it was more
> lame of you to seek out and destroy me. I did not ask for that and at
> best you showed your colors with what you did. But I guess I started
> it so I am aiming to finish it with you. While I know that babies
> often continue to cry long after they get what they want I will still
> attempt to stop here and say thats enough. Later on all.

1827. Re: CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-11 19:40:53 UTC
From: MadDogMcQ <tom.mcq@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a94os2$9bi$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49693
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Well, well, well! What a great big surprise. Thanks to you, you raving lunatic, I've just received 85 unsolicited emails!! And YOU CALL ME A SPAMMER??????

You are seriously ill my friend! Go take a pill.
Tom McQ.

news:7870400a.0204102351.6bfcfbe3@posting.google.com...
> "MadDogMcQ" <tom.mcq@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:<a8kqk4roc1@helle.btinternet.com>...
> > It takes a lot to get me wound up, but today I received FIVE emails from
the
> > same guy telling me how disappointed he was in my "free" software
because it
> > required a password and to obtain that password he had to email me. He
made
> > his feelings very clear by saying that I was lame and a lousy
programmer.
> >
> > AND ALL BECAUSE HE DIDN'T LIKE THE IDEA OF HAVING TO ENTER A PASSWORD!!!
> >
> > I spent a long time getting this SWL Logging Database right and placed
it in
> > the Public Domain for the benefit of all the SWL/HAM Community. To get
an
> > idea of how many people were downloading and using the software, I put a
> > password on the zipfile and a comment asking people to email me for the
> > password - just like registering free software, really. Not much to ask
I
> > thought.
> >
> > Well apparently, it was too much for this particular guy! He ranted and
> > raved, so I replied to him and told him the password was "kissmyass"
without
> > the quotation marks! What a tosser!! I find it hard to believe that
there
> > are people like this in the radio community. No doubt he's an arrogant,
> > spoiled, petulent little boy who slams doors when he doesn't get his own
> > way. His alias was "bridge2nowhr" FYI.
> >
> > Anyway, for anyone who is interested in a FREE SWL/HAM Logging Database
and
> > would like to know more, please feel free to read thru the info at :

> >
> > http://www.tom.mcq.btinternet.co.uk/ar3000/mcqlog.htm
> >
> > But for God's sake, don't complain about having to email for the
password
> > :-)))
> >
> > Regards, Tom.
> > *..☐ ☐☐☐)) -:☐:-
> > ☐.☐☐ .☐☐☐☐))
> > ((☐☐.☐☐ .☐☐ ..-:☐:- * www.ar3000.info * -:☐:-
> > -:☐:- ((☐☐.☐☐*
>
>
> Well lets put this all in perspective shall we Tom?? I want to write
> everything I wrote here since this is the forum you chose to use to
> show how lame you really are so here it is. I will post what I said
> and show what you did to anyone who wants to see it. This of course
> proves to only me that you are a lame ass fool, anyone else probably
> thinks you are ok but me I think you are gay. But that is besides the
> point Mr MaddogMCQ. God what a lame ass handle that is I guess you are
> trying to prove you are a man instead of a little boy but I digress.
> Here is my point and my post is here for all to see:
>
> Well to tell you the truth Tom here is a real shady programmer. All
> those emails he made you send him to get the password for his program
> are now being sold to the highest bidder for SPAM. After contacting
> him he mailbombed me with so much Spam that I had to delete an account
> that I had for years that was very private. But anyway he had no real
> reason to do that other than I called his attempt to offer a free
> program lame because he forces you to send him an email requesting a
> password. Usually when a person or company forces you to send email
> traffic to get a password to open a program your email address is sold
> for Spam or used for some other marketing purposes and so it makes the
> offer of a program being free not being free after all. When I called
> old Tom boy on it he mailbombed me with so much Spam (I have the
> originating IP that he used which is 213.122.156.12 which is his
> access provider at btinternet.com which was logged by many of the Spam
> bombs he sent) that I contacted everyone he has to do with to tell
> them about his ways. When you see what kind of deal he does with your
> email then you will know. I am sure it was stupid of me to contact him
> regarding this program with my own email address but it was late at
> night
> and a spur of the moment deal. Anyway I still stand by the fact that
> TOM is a
> spammer and mailbomber and I will tell the entire planet wherever he
> might languish including his Flight Sim areas what a spammer and
> untrustworthy piece
> of shit he really is. Spammers, Mailbombers and the like have no place

> in online society other than the garbage can. IMHO. But again that's
> just my two cents worth and you can ask for a refund anytime. He is a
> loser and I will continue to document what he did to my email box
> until he apologizes or loses his internet access whichever comes
> first.
> BTW I did contact the abuse department of his Access provider
> notifying them of what he did and also sent all the logs of his
> intrusion and mailbombing activities which are against the law anyway.
> Who knows maybe he will have to find another provider maybe not. But
> until I see justice for what he did to me and I am sure countless
> others I will continue to speak out on what a useless individual this
> really is.
>
> BTW I just read your CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY post. I must reply Tom
> that
> you grossly misstated the email. I called it lame to offer a program
> for
> free when an email is required. I wrote to you also and apologized as
> it was
> a late night and many d/loads had passed and it was frustrating to
> have that
> happen when you did NOT advertise fully at the time that EMAIL was
> required
> to be sent to you. However you sulked off like a child and mailbombed
> my
> email address of which I have logs for as you are to stupid to mask
> your IP
> address when bombing and destroyed a rather good email address because
> you
> were angry. You acted as a little child and showed the world that you
> were a
> child by then posting that all over Usenet because you needed
> absolution for
> your absurd acts of revenge. I do not know if anyone in this group
> would
> approve of what you did no matter what you were called. I said it was
> LAME
> to offer for free what obviously wasn't. That is all, it was late here
> and I
> was tired. I woke up and before I retrieved my morning email I wrote
> to you
> and apologized for my rudeness and sent it to you. But because you are
> a
> little child you sulked off and mailbombed me with useless Spam that I
> did
> not request because you are really indeed lame. No one in USENET or on
> any
> group would ever say that they approve of getting their emails filled
> to the

> brim with Spam all because they made a rude comment but alas it
> happens. Why
> don't you say hey I did wrong and did a stupid lame thing and
> apologize and
> do it publicly since you made it public that you took an email address
> and
> blew it away because you were upset that someone called your program
> lame.
> Sure it maybe has it's purpose for you and I can see that maybe you
> could
> put that in your web site advertising that this is required before
> using and
> make it where people can see it. I had no IDEA at the time that this
> was
> required and said so to you, but alas as a child speaketh a child
> doeth and
> you retaliated with malice aforethought. I have each and every log
> file with
> your ip on it showing each and every Spam you signed me up for
> blazingly
> showing you as the FRAUD you are. If you want to challenge those logs
> here
> please do so I have the logs with your IP all over them showing dates
> times
> and places. Is that how you deal with the public? Please BEWARE
> tom.mcq you
> are a mailbombing spammer and you waste peoples email when you feel
> like it.
> If that is OK to this group and the others that you post in then OK. I
> am
> wrong for saying all of this and I apologize to you and all here in
> the
> group for being a lame ass piece of garbage. Please forgive the posts
> and
> the flame. Thank you all for your time and consideration in this
> matter.
>
> See Ya TOM
>
> Now on to my last post that was posted not here on groups.google but
> just in Usenet in general:
>
> I write this message in hopes of making anyone aware of how MCQ log
> works in
> the programmers mind. His name is Tom and he is a Flight Sim buff of
> sorts
> although he is only an armchair pilot he calls himself MADOGMCQ. This
> shows
> you his mentality of being someone who is mad or a mad dog like a

> person that
> gets his feelings hurt and then try's to make an enemy out of them and
> try's
> then to destroy them before he himself is destroyed. Of course no one
> is out
> to hurt Tom he is just a little boy who was probably raised wrong and
> had
> feelings of insecurity and a self esteem problem. When you call or
> email Tom
> and complain or call or email and say hey I think you might be wrong
> or
> shall I say LAME, he sulks like a little boy needing a spanking and
> decides
> you are an enemy and then sets out to mailbomb you or hack into your
> computer and plant a virus or he try's to get you to download a
> program that
> is probably viral(that has not happned just flaming). But I digress,
> anyway Tom a little boy who writes a program called MCQ Log decides
> one day to password protect it and then offer it for free on the
> internet in all the usual places that would enjoy a new logging
> program. Tom does this so he may obtain your email address for
> marketing purposes. Even though he tells you it is free he then has a
> clue and that clue is "Hey I can sell these email address's for a
> profit all the while telling people that my program is free". Not a
> bad idea and probably a nice way to pay for all the time it took good
> ol'e Tom to write it.
> But why not just say to the average downloader hey I need your email
> first for
> marketing purposes or to see how many people are downloading it or
> trying it
> out, sure I might use your email for my own clever profit schemes but
> you
> don't mind do you? Well gee Tom I might as my email is a private email
> but
> hey you can use my free account for any purpose you like because your
> so
> great and I am so needy. But instead Tom gets an email one day that
> displeases him he then without warning decides this guy is an enemy
> CAN YOU
> BELIEVE THIS GUY he says to himself? He called my attempt to sneak
> email
> address from unsuspecting people LAME? Well I am MADDOGMCQ and no one
> messes
> with the MADOG or is it MADDOG I still cannot figure that one but I
> digress.
> No one messes with the DOG and off he goes to listopt.com and signs up
> the
> ENEMY with all sorts of Spam that will never go away. Oooops what is
> this?

> An email saying he is sorry? Fuk him I did it already and now I feel
> somewhat lame for sure but hey wait a minute..... HOLD THE BRITISH
> PHONE
> BOOTH.... I got it I will go on Usenet and detail everything he said
> of
> course I will leave out his apology and of course the fact that I
> mailbombed
> him and all the nasty things I did to him from the public. I feel so
> guilty
> thinks Tom but I need absolution from my online friends. Only they
> will make
> me feel like a MADDOG once again and not some pitiful lame ass piece
> of shit
> which I think maybe deep inside my gay ass I am thinks Tom. And now on
> to
> the rest of my evil plans to dominate the world.....
>
> The END
>
> It would be funny if it were not true. I have to admit here and now
> after
> two days I had to delete the old account and start a new one because
> of the
> Spam, sure no one will see my old and funny email address again as it
> looked
> silly to everyone but me and my other half but it was a part of me and
> my other half and we enjoyed it for a good while until that is we ran
> into Tom. What a waste but so is Tom....Thanks for reading this and
> BUYER BEWARE even if it says FREE it probably isn't.
>
> The End again:
>
> Thanks all for reading this many post's as I realize most people do
> not enjoy reading some lengthy diatribe, But I wanted to get the whole
> thing out and clear up any cross posts that I might have written. Plus
> I think I made the mistake of posting some of it to many times another
> bad thing to do and I want to apologize to anyone who had to wade
> through all of that. I just posted here all that I wrote in usenet
> because I saw that Tom was writing his anger in Usenet a very silly
> thing to do unless he felt stupid for doing what he did and was really
> looking for absolution from people. It is often that people on Usenet
> who have only online friends can find peace and hope for the actions
> they commit in real life. I know that from researching Tom for the
> last few days he is an avid flight simmer of which I used to be before
> I took flying lessons and became a real pilot he is also very limited
> it seems in real life contacts. That of course is just my opinion of
> Tom and while I do not want to keep flaming him or treating him bad I
> wanted to get it off my chest that I too after getting a few hundred
> emails of spam that he signed me up for did the same to him one

> afternoon before changing my email address. I know that two wrongs do
> not make a right and therefore apologize to Tom for my stupid
> response. I know that people make mistakes and in so doing it shows
> who they are and what they are made of when they can own up to those
> mistakes and apologize when they are wrong. So Tom again I am sorry
> for the rude comment I made that night it was late and while that is
> no excuse for calling your hard work lame I still think it was more
> lame of you to seek out and destroy me. I did not ask for that and at
> best you showed your colors with what you did. But I guess I started
> it so I am aiming to finish it with you. While I know that babies
> often continue to cry long after they get what they want I will still
> attempt to stop here and say thats enough. Later on all.

1828. Re: CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-11 19:43:17 UTC
From: MadDogMcQ <tom.mcq@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a94p0l$j5i$1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49694
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Nicely put Tim. This guy is one of those weirdo's who loses his job through
his own ineptitude and then goes around shooting innocent people. Just glad
he lives so far away!

Tom McQ

"timfy" <tim.wood1@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:WEbt8.1012$na.44755@news8-gui.server.ntli.net...
>
> -----SNIP-----
> >" Usually when a person or company forces you to send email
> > traffic to get a password to open a program your email address is sold
> > for Spam or used for some other marketing purposes and so it makes the
> > offer of a program being free not being free after all.
> -----BIG SNIP-----
>
> I find it a little hard to believe that even our Korean friends would want
> to buy the couple of hundred or so addresses that this will generate. If
> they do then I'm going to get an offer on my address book!!
>
> If you don't like it, don't subscribe. Write your own software and release
> it free of charge to everyone in your own way. 'Til then please shut the
> feck up.
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
>
> Please Note: No trees were destroyed
> in the sending of this contaminant free message
> We do however concede that a signicant number of electrons may have been
> inconvenienced.
>
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.344 / Virus Database: 191 - Release Date: 02/04/2002
>
>

1829. Re: CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-11 20:08:00 UTC
From: "www.ar3000.info" <tom.mcqNOSPAM@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a94qf0pdf1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49695
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

SNIP> Well to tell you the truth Tom here is a real shady programmer. All
> those emails he made you send him to get the password for his program
> are now being sold to the highest bidder for SPAM.

Okay, I say to you that I do NOT collect email addresses for the purposes of spamming people or selling them on as you suggest (hahaha - nutcase!). To prove that fact (not that I have to), I have REMOVED the password from the zipfile and require NO EMAILS from anyone!! Further, if anyone who has already emailed me wishes to be removed from my PERSONAL address book and not receive any info about future updates, they can let me know.

I notice now that you have started to flame me on other newsgroups too. Well so be it. You have totally soured what was a well intentioned act. You are merely proving to the world what an absolute ass you really are. In recognition of your inadequacy as a human being, I will make this the LAST comment in regard to the subject.

Tom "MadDog" McQ
www.ar3000.info

PS : Sorry, just remembered that in addition to everything else, you accused me of mailing viruses to you. You are an absolute LIAR!

[end!]

1830. FS Bearcat BC-350

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-11 21:38:08 UTC
From: gil <gilpi@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <a94vr5isc1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 49696

BC-350 in good condition, works 100% asking $70. shipped.

Gil

1831. Re: Which Radio Shack Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-11 22:40:39 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Hhot8.1301$L1.99400@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 49547

I also own an R2, Pro-93, 26, and 60. Oh yea, and a 94. I would definately
NOT say the R2 was the best BUT, it IS my favorite...

"Bigdaddyking" <bdk1@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.171f7cd05374e447989779@news.buckeye-express.com...
> Uhhh, I got one of them already. My favorite handheld ever..
>
> BDK
>
>
> In article <GT7t8.738$7f4.448977@news1.news.adelphia.net>,
> mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org says...
> > Get Yupiteru 900MK2
> > Around 500 bucks but it works, with a proper antenna that it
> >
> > "Bigdaddyking" <bdk1@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
> > news:MPG.171ec3af7fde67d1989777@news.buckeye-express.com...
> > > In article <305ca694.0204101559.146e8728@posting.google.com>,
> > > faive@hotmail.com says...
> > > > If trunktracking is indispensable for you and you live in the US
then
> > > > get a Bearcat 245xlt. If you dont live in the US and dont have any
> > > > books like Police Call with frequencies listings, get a Pro-93, its
> > > > easier with the control channel only.
> > > > If you want a better scanner, but can live without truntracking,
> > > > definitely get a IC-R2.
> > > > You might also want to way for the new 250D from Uniden...
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Wait wait wait wait wait!
> > >
> > > Are you trying to say an R2 is better than a 93 or a 245??
> > >
> > > If you are, LOL!!
> > >
> > > I own an R2, and it's no 93 or 245!
> > >
> > > BDK
> >
> >
> >
>

1832. Re: What should I buy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-11 23:28:57 UTC
From: bill <scalp2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Z_ot8.170514$y26.26837379@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 49548

the radio shack pro93.
"JohnSCoke" <johnscoke@aol.comspamnot> wrote in message
news:20020407214914.17993.00002286@mb-fk.aol.com...
> I am ready to buy my first scanner. I can spend about $200. Any
suggestions on
> a good handheld?
>
> Steven
>

1833. tk500 v0.2 available, utility/cloning software for Yaesu VR-500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-11 23:58:57 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3cb62352$0$1526$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 49549
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

tk500 version 0.2 is now available for free download.

tk500 is an open source programming utility for the
Yaesu VR-500 portable receiver.

Improvements in version 0.2 include the ability to
change several parameters and write data back to the radio.

tk500 has a graphical user interface and runs on Linux
and Windows. I'm still looking for MacOS X beta testers
familiar with Tcl/Tk.

You can learn about and download a beta test version of tk500
from my home page:

http://members.core.com/~parnass

I don't warrant the software, though it will cost you nothing.

Thanks again to Dan Wanchic, WA8VZQ for posting detailed
information about the RDF file layout.
--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

1834. Prices Reduced on Remaining Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 00:00:51 UTC
From: allanstern@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020411200051.20524.00002677@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 49550

Here is a listing of the scanners that still remain to be sold by my friend Harold McNeal, in Orlando. He has reduced the price of these units. Some are Mil Comms capable, and some are Trunk Trackers. I know all of these to be in top condition. Terms of the sales are:
1. Price includes shipping to any CONUS address.
2. Price includes wall wart power supply or power cord.
3. Price includes manual and indoor antenna.
4. Radio will be shipped upon receipt of US Postal Money Order.
I prefer that you contact Harold directly, in Orlando, at 407/852-0693 (his NEW Number!) to arrange to mail him the payment. I will be glad to answer any questions if you want to contact me at 321/777-2018.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL

ITEMS FOR SALE (UPDATE/PRICE REDUCTIONS)

Pro2053: RS#20-466. Trunk Tracker; Mfr:GRE. Base Model; 300 Ch; Moto I/II and EDACS Trunk Tracking; Scans trunk and conventional at same time; 25-54, 108-174, 216.0025-225, 406-512, 806-960, 1240-1300 MHz, no cell; Triple conversion; 25Cps Scan Speed; 50Cps Search; switchable 20db Attenuator; backlit 4-line LCD display; Alphanumeric frequency IDs; Weather Alert displays type of alert; Service searches for police/fire, Marine, CB, Ham, and Acft; Computer port; cloning capability; Faster than the 2067. (Orig Radio Shack price: $249.99)
Price: $160 shipped. 2 units for sale. (Prev unlisted)

Pro2052: RS#20-432. Trunk Tracker; Mfr:Uniden; Base Model; 1000ch; Moto I, II, I/II & EDACS Trunk Tracking; 29-54, 108-174, 179.75-512, 806-956, 1240-1300 MHz; 50Cps Scan; 100-300Cps Search; 5 Service Searches; Data skip; 50-Ch Search Skip; Weather Alert, RS-232C port for computer uploads/downloads. Monitors UHF Mil comms. (Orig Radio Shack price: $369.99, later $299.95)
Price: $160 shipped. 2 units for sale. (Prev price: $200)

Pro2050: RS#20-430. Trunk Tracker; Base Model; 300Ch; 10 Banks; 29-54, 108-174, 406-512, 806-956 MHz; 50Cps Scan Speed; 5 scan lists to store 50 talk group IDs in each bank (total 500); 300Cps Search; Data skip switch; 50 Search Skip lockouts. (Orig Radio Shack price: $299.99)
Price: $75 shipped. 1 units for sale. (Prev price: $100)

Pro2048: RS#20-417. Base Model; 200Ch; 10 Banks; Triple Conversion; 29-54, 108-174, 406-512, 806-956 MHz (no cell); 50Cps Scan; 300Cps Srch; 20 Search Skip lockouts; Data Skip switch; 10 priority channels. Wonderful speed; great for VHF air; scans all 200 channels in 4 seconds.
(Orig Radio Shack price: $229.99)
Price: $60 shipped. 1 unit for sale. (Prev price: $75) (A definite steal)

BC350A: Base/Mobile Model; Prepgmd Service Searches; 50-Ch programmable (20 Choice,20 Police,10 Fire); 11Band; 100Cps Search; LCD display; 29-54, 108-174, 406-512 MHz; 3W Audio. No radio is more sensitive in the VHF aircraft band. Price: $40 shipped. 2 units for sale. (Prev price: $60)

1835. Re: CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 00:09:38 UTC
From: phil <just_rtfm@yahoo.REMOVETHIScom>
Message-ID: <ubc9f1btutlsf6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49551
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Tom Beat It Out Of Me

can you explain how Tom wronged you again in a little more detail... maybe a FAQ or book or motion picture? :p

phil :)

1836. rs 2005 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 00:56:24 UTC
From: Tony S Abelar <tabelar@pinn.net>
Message-ID: <Ygqt8.336$Yb.280451@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 49552

Hi all,
Recently I heard humming sound inside my scanner. The machine is not even on. I am almost positive that it comes from the power supply or transformer because when I touch the top part I feel vibration. Digital number is also fading. Does anybody have this kind of problem? I appreciate any help. I just didn't know how to solve it Thanks. Tony.

1837. Re: Which Radio Shack Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 01:06:35 UTC
From: Bigdaddyking <bdk1@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.171ffd45cb31ae1698977c@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 49553

In article <Hhot8.1301$L1.99400@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net says...
> I also own an R2, Pro-93, 26, and 60. Oh yea, and a 94. I would definately
> NOT say the R2 was the best BUT, it IS my favorite...
>
>
>
>

It would be my favorite too, IF it could just link banks when scanning.
Why won't Icom pay Uniden or GRE the royalty for some of the featues they
could easily put in there? An R2 that scanned a little quicker, linked
banks when scanning would be a killer little radio. I would be willing
to pay a reasonable amount for this...

BDK

1838. Re: RJ-45 to SMA ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 01:28:46 UTC
From: NJSCAN <njscan@lycos.com>
Message-ID: <iLqt8.32486$8E3.7445427@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 49554

I have a wave guide to clip lead adapter if anyone is interested and I think I can dig up my old clip lead to garden hose adapter in case anyone needs to drain their grid leak.

"weeeeeeeeee" <init_pwr@eudoramail.com> wrote in message news:bb977098.0204082204.75e4b359@posting.google.com...
> I think he is building a crack pipe!
>
>
> Ralph Mowery <rmowery@dialpoint.net> wrote in message news:<3CAA316C.E47FE9EA@dialpoint.net>...
> > What in the world are you trying to connect with this combination ?
> >
> > The sma stuff is usually used for RF at high frequencies with coaxial
> > type cable and the rj-45 is for audio and computer networking with
> > several twisted pairs.
> >
> > Maybe you ment to use another type of connector on one end ?
> >
> >
> > Vikrant Rawat wrote:
> > >
> > > Does anyone have an idea where one can get an RJ-45 to SMA connector??
> > > Thanx in advance.
> > > Sunny

1839. Re: Which Radio Shack Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 02:09:50 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Olrt8.1718$L1.135609@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 49555

...and my biggest gripe...
NO SSB capabilty...

"Bigdaddyking" <bdk1@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.171ffd45cb31ae1698977c@news.buckeye-express.com...
> In article <Hhot8.1301$L1.99400@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
> jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net says...
> > I also own an R2, Pro-93, 26, and 60. Oh yea, and a 94. I would
definately
> > NOT say the R2 was the best BUT, it IS my favorite...
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> It would be my favorite too, IF it could just link banks when scanning.
> Why won't Icom pay Uniden or GRE the royalty for some of the featues they
> could easily put in there? An R2 that scanned a little quicker, linked
> banks when scanning would be a killer little radio. I would be willing
> to pay a reasonable amount for this...
>
>
> BDK
>

1840. Re: Which Radio Shack Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 03:09:29 UTC
From: TexasSteven <rothrock@nospamforme.stic.net>
Message-ID: <3cb64e8f$0$3689$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 49556

Jim Hackett Wrote:

NO SSB capabilty...

Thank you, Jim. I knew there was ANOTHER reason for my dislike of RS scanners!

TexasSteven

1841. Re: BC 3000xlt Battery Packs? try YOST/Batteries america

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 03:10:20 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <west8.27056$%A.2065511@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 49557
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

WHAT "bad English," Hans? Perfectly correct as far as I can tell. And your advice is equally good.
--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications History

"Hans Heesbeen" <hans-heesbeen@home.nl> wrote in message news:CWet8.85295$oI.6644376@zwoll1.home.nl...
> Sorry for my bad english but I'm dutch!
>
> Build your own! Open the batterypack carefully and replace the cells with
> high capacity rechargeble AA Batteries. With a tape "seal" Them together and
> connect the cells together!
>
> Greetings
>
> Hans

1842. WTB Icom TV R7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 03:41:18 UTC
From: Red TR1 <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <JHst8.25716$vm6.3938071@ruti.visi.com>
Source record: 49558
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I would like to buy the US version of the TV R7100. Please email me with
the conditon and price.

thanks
Greg

1843. Re: Which Radio Shack Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 04:30:30 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Gptt8.2036$L1.162153@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 49559

I was refering to the R2. ANY radio that receives H.F. should have SSB capability.

"TexasSteven" <rothrock@nospamforme.stic.net> wrote in message news:3cb64e8f$0$3689$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
>
> Jim Hackett Wrote:
>
> NO SSB capabilty...
>
> ---
>
> Thank you, Jim. I knew there was ANOTHER reason for my dislike of RS
> scanners!
>
> TexasSteven
>
>
>
>

1844. Re: CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 05:08:47 UTC
From: thethreeamigos123@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <7870400a.0204112108.73c7b8b8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49560
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"www.ar3000.info" <tom.mcqNOSPAM@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:<a94qf0pdf1@paris.btinternet.com>...
> SNIP> Well to tell you the truth Tom here is a real shady programmer. All
> > those emails he made you send him to get the password for his program
> > are now being sold to the highest bidder for SPAM.
>
> Okay, I say to you that I do NOT collect email addresses for the purposes of
> spamming people or selling them on as you suggest (hahaha - nutcase!). To
> prove that fact (not that I have to), I have REMOVED the password from the
> zipfile and require NO EMAILS from anyone!! Further, if anyone who has
> already emailed me wishes to be removed from my PERSONAL address book and
> not receive any info about future updates, they can let me know.
>
> I notice now that you have started to flame me on other newsgroups too. Well
> so be it. You have totally soured what was a well intentioned act. You are
> merely proving to the world what an absolute ass you really are. In
> recognition of your inadequacy as a human being, I will make this the LAST
> comment in regard to the subject.
>
> Tom "MadDog" McQ
> www.ar3000.info
>
> PS : Sorry, just remembered that in addition to everything else, you accused
> me of mailing viruses to you. You are an absolute LIAR!
>
> [end!]

Sorry Tom, I put it in that you had not done the virus thing and it
was an intentional slur. However Tom you did mail bomb me before I
even had a chance to write you an aplogy. YOU DID MAILBOMB ME WITH
SPAM. I see that you do not even respond to that accusation showing
you to be a real lame ass bastard. But of course if you want me to
post the logs that I have showing you that you did sign me up for spam
well sure I will do that. Why did you not respond to that accusation
anyway. I think I have been quite nice about the whole thing after
what you did to my email address. But I said again many times in the
message last posted here in this group that I would like to end it
here. I did not see the password request on the web site and If it was
there it was pretty hard to see at the time but again it was late and
I was moving along pretty fast. I am not really a scanner buff rather
I am a Ham and into amatuer radio. I see that you have been accused
before on various places of being an idiot like when you tried to open
your own newsgroup the admins got pretty tired of you asking so many

times they got pissed at you. Plus I see you do allot of posting and so you are somewhat literate. I would like again to apoligize to you for the flames and the posts. I did so after seeing what you did to sign me up for all that spam. I talked to your ISP today and they saw the logs of what you did and the times you were on and so forth correspond to the attacks that your logged by the mailers you signed me up for. I was pissed at that and did the same back at you but tired of it quickly and moved on. I was reading the newsgroups and saw you posted about our little engagment and that really pissed me off because you were not truthful with the events so I thought to clarify them. Sure I admit that you worked hard on your program and its up to you how you distribute it and I have no right to question that. But as other posters to you in other newsgroups have said I have a right to voice my opinion about it and I voiced it to you and you only. You were the one to tell the world about it not me. So if you take things to a public forum be prepared to suffer the consequences. You have been told before to shut the fuck up and so have I so hey I will quit right here if you do. I said the apologies first and I do not really feel that I should aplogise to you after all you mailbombed me for no real reason other than to fuck me over for the hell of it. BTW cool that you thought to take that out I really wanted to try out your program and had this not happened I am sure it would have been a nice program from what I have read about it. Anyway Best Regards.....

1845. trade ham gear for clean like new aor 8002b

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 06:26:35 UTC
From: kc6wbn@netzero.net
Message-ID: <472b9a99.0204112226.bedf4d3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49561

hi' i am looking for a aor 8002b the europe version with the available options (
inversion scrambler and other options wanted let me know what package you have.

Hear is what i have to trade
1. kenwood ts140s hf rig w/after market 35 amp powersupply stock mic very clean and excellent operating condition.
1.kenwood ts-520 hf rig w/digtal display very good condition.
1.yeasu fth-commercial 20/70 dualband ht w/dropin charger good condition.
2.htx-245 dualband ht's manual and freq/mod allready done 2meter/440.
i have other gear just let me know t.i.a de kc6wbn

1846. Re: Reception questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 08:56:02 UTC
From: Sarge <sarge-43@NOSPAMtexas.net>
Message-ID: <Cixt8.8776$GS6.808963@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 49562

1.Most likely, if you look in a line from the base of the phone to the side of the house you had bad reception on , you'll see a large appliance or some such in the house blocking the signal.

2. Are you sure you weren't monitoring the handset freq.?
How did the friend across town sound to the guy holding your phone?

"Scanning68" <scanning68@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020406155443.19086.00002326@mb-mg.aol.com...
> Hi all,
> I performed an experiment with a 900MHz analog cordless phone that I had
> sitting around my house, with interesting (to me, anyway) results.
>
> I left my buddy inside the house, and had him call another friend of ours. I
> wasn't sure what frequency my phone was on, so I set the range from 902-908. It
> settled in on a frequency in the mid 903's. Two things surprised me a bit:
>
> 1) I walked exactly 100' feet in front of my house (with my handheld scanner
> that has the original cheap rubber duckie antenna), and heard the call
> perfectly. Then I walked exactly 100' to either SIDE of my house, and again
> heard everything clear as a bell. But when I walked 100' behind my house, the
> scanner lost the frequency. If you get a minimum range of 100' in three
> different directions, I was under the impression that it would hold true for
> the fourth direction, as well. FWIW, by the time I walked 100' to the rear of
> my house, I was in the woods. I could understand not getting the signal in the
> summer with all the trees in bloom, but these trees are bare. But still, I'm
> assuming that the woods interfered with the transmission somehow, anyway. Would
> this be a correct assumption?
>
> 2) Even though my phone was on a 903 (i.e. base) frequency, I only heard one
> end of the conversation. I only heard my buddy who was nearby in my house. My
> other friend to whom he was speaking lives a couple of towns away, and I

could
> not make out a single word he said during the whole conversation. It was
to the
> point that I could barely tell that someone was on the other end. His
voice
> came across very, very softly. What could be the reason for this?
>
> Thanks in advance!
>
> Greg
>

1847. Bill Cheek's Ultimate Scanner Guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 09:18:24 UTC
From: dan fleming <danl@csonline.net>
Message-ID: <1103_1018603103@news.csonline.net>
Source record: 49563

For sale on Ebay: autographed by the late Bill Cheek. Just do a search for Bill Cheek and you will find it. Ebay is down this morning or I would post the link.

Thanks...
Scanner Dan

1848. FS:Uniden BC 278CLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 12:32:04 UTC
From: std4643@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020412083204.10631.00004654@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 49564

FS: Uniden BC 278CLT scanner in excellent condition,ac adapter,whip antenna,and copy of manual.$65.00 plus s&h to lower con US.

1849. Beachwood, NJ fire frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 13:30:10 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020412093010.22946.00002413@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 49565

Is there anyone here that actually listens to the Beachwood, NJ fire department? I assume they're dispatched on one of the county fire freq's, but I am not sure which. I also want to know which freq's they talk on (fire ground, etc...). I have a list of frequencies, but I need to know which is which! Any help is appretiated. Thanks!
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

1850. Icom R9000 Discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 16:52:16 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <3CB710C0.ACB1ACA3@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 49568
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

The end of the line has come for the R9000. Icom America has pulled the R9000 from it's current radio list and placed it in the archives of discontinued radios.

--
Michael Moore

1851. Newbie question -- Pro-90 -- How to program current freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 17:22:38 UTC
From: Johnny <barelybad@kc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <yJEt8.20191$Vv5.4693272@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 49566

To all the friendly, helpful people here,

This is my first post to rec.radio.scanner, and although I'm a newbie here, I've spent a few hours going to various Web sites trying to get an answer to this question. If I oops I hope you'll forgive me. If there's a FAQ I should read before asking my question, let me know.

Here's my question:

I have a Radio Shack Pro-90 TRUNKTRACKER, and I want to program it with lots of fun freqs. How do I do that?

Specifically, how do I use the damn thing even if I do know the freqs I want to program? I've tried to make sense of the manual, and I can't. Is there some secret to making sense of the terminolgy that specifically helps me to program given freqs into my scanner? Is all this a big secret I haven't figured out yet?

More important in the long run, how do I know which freqs to program? I live in Kansas City.

Thanks for any help you can offer.

--Johnny
johnnygatkcdotrrdotcom

1852. Re: Newbie question -- Pro-90 -- How to program current freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 17:47:04 UTC
From: Adam <hockeyref2@rabid-dog.net>
Message-ID: <a976ie$a62$1@laurel.tc.umn.edu>
Source record: 49567

Hi,

How to program frequencies on a pro-90:
press "manual" "channel #" "manual" "freq" "E"
so like recording 460.075mhz into spot 10:
'manual' '10' 'manual' '460.075' 'E'
The scanner has 10 banks of 30 channels each, so you can divide your
frequencies in whichever way you want.
A second way to program is to use the up/down arrows to get to the channel
you want, then do the '460.075' 'E'

How to know what frequencies to program?
Look at the FCC's website and search for local things you want to listen
too, or ask people what frequencies they have for what specific things.
If you need to do trunktracking, that is much more complicated.
-Adam

"Johnny" <barelybad@kc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:yJEt8.20191$Vv5.4693272@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com...
> To all the friendly, helpful people here,
>
> This is my first post to rec.radio.scanner, and although I'm a newbie
> here, I've spent a few hours going to various Web sites trying to get an
> answer to this question. If I oops I hope you'll forgive me. If
> there's a FAQ I should read before asking my question, let me know.
>
> Here's my question:
>
> I have a Radio Shack Pro-90 TRUNKTRACKER, and I want to program it with
> lots of fun freqs. How do I do that?
>
> Specifically, how do I use the damn thing even if I do know the freqs I
> want to program? I've tried to make sense of the manual, and I can't.
> Is there some secret to making sense of the terminolgy that specifically
> helps me to program given freqs into my scanner? Is all this a big
> secret I haven't figured out yet?
>
> More important in the long run, how do I know which freqs to program? I
> live in Kansas City.
>
> Thanks for any help you can offer.
>
> --Johnny
> johnnygatkcdotrrdotcom
>

>
>

1853. Scanner mobile antenna help please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 18:20:42 UTC
From: magnum44nc@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020412142042.00846.00002723@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 49569

I am using a 870XLT in a car...It works great,but when the car is on it gets static...I am pretty sure its the lousy antenna...Its one of those ratshack thru the glass stick on types...Its all I could find locally that would work....Is there a trunk-lip mount scanner antenna for 29-1300 mhz? I dont mean a magnetic mount...I mean a trunk mount like a cb radio would use with the little allen head screws that hold it in place.....I assume a trunk mount with a good ground will stop some of the static I am getting...I am new at this so I am learning as I go.......Please direct me to places that sell good mobile scanner antennnas! Thanks in advance.......Tommy J.
PS ..The car does not have an electric fuel pump..I know this can be an issue sometimes.....But not this time!

1854. Source for Motorola "Monitor" pager crystals?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 18:26:56 UTC
From: J&DSchnoor <jimdianes@sysmatrix.net>
Message-ID: <3cb725c0_2@news.vic.com>
Source record: 49570

Try G & G Communications. www.iinc.com/ggcomm Gerry may have the crystal
you need or can order it. He is great to deal with.

Jim

AA0FJ

1855. Re: Which Radio Shack Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 19:24:28 UTC
From: faive@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <305ca694.0204121124.5fb5596@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49571

>
> Wait wait wait wait wait!
>
> Are you trying to say an R2 is better than a 93 or a 245??
>
> If you are, LOL!!
>
> I own an R2, and it's no 93 or 245!
>
> BDK

But of course! I have a bc245xlt and ooh it is so lame compared
againts the R2. The bc245xlt has a poor construction, a "feel cheap"
sensation, AWFUL sound, not-as-good-reception, way limited step
sizes...and the list can go on!

Yes I ocassionaly use the bearcat but ooh I would never change it for
the R2. Its like riding a Toyota Camry when you have a BMW... :)

1856. Re: Scanner mobile antenna help please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 19:32:36 UTC
From: magnum44nc@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020412153236.00854.00002572@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 49572

Correction...Its a Bearcat760XLT.....I dont know where I got 870 from??!!

1857. Re: Beachwood, NJ fire frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 20:00:14 UTC
From: wleamons <wleamons@socket.net>
Message-ID: <ubef6gpo8tme35@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49573

try cityfreq.com
"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020412093010.22946.00002413@mb-cn.aol.com...
> Is there anyone here that actually listens to the Beachwood, NJ fire
> department? I assume they're dispatched on one of the county fire freq's,
but I
> am not sure which. I also want to know which freq's they talk on (fire
ground,
> etc...). I have a list of frequencies, but I need to know which is which!
Any
> help is appretiated. Thanks!
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> http://edfas.tripod.com

1858. FS: Icom R10 Wideband Receiver .5-1300 MHz no gaps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 20:28:50 UTC
From: Michael White <m.white66@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <6sHt8.4072$8W5.1383@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 49574
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

For sale: Icom R10 wideband handheld receiver. Covers .5-1300 MHz continuously with no gaps. Receives AM, FM, wide FM, SSB and CW. In excellent condition, includes antenna, wrist strap, PC connect cable and frequency management software for windows. Works great; no problems. $295 including shipping anywhere in the US. Please email if interested. Pics at

http://members.verizon.net/~vze27dkg/R10a.jpg
http://members.verizon.net/~vze27dkg/R10b.jpg

Thanks.

Mike, N4PDY

1859. Re: CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 21:09:23 UTC
From: BKT <bthomas1@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <72It8.21302$Vv5.4906742@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 49575
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Have you heard of Hotmail or Excite dumbass?

"Tom Beat It Out Of Me" <thethreeamigos123@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:7870400a.0204112108.73c7b8b8@posting.google.com...
> "www.ar3000.info" <tom.mcqNOSPAM@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:<a94qf0pdf1@paris.btinternet.com>...
> > SNIP> Well to tell you the truth Tom here is a real shady programmer.
All
> > > those emails he made you send him to get the password for his program
> > > are now being sold to the highest bidder for SPAM.
> >
> > Okay, I say to you that I do NOT collect email addresses for the
purposes of
> > spamming people or selling them on as you suggest (hahaha - nutcase!).
To
> > prove that fact (not that I have to), I have REMOVED the password from
the
> > zipfile and require NO EMAILS from anyone!! Further, if anyone who has
> > already emailed me wishes to be removed from my PERSONAL address book
and
> > not receive any info about future updates, they can let me know.
> >
> > I notice now that you have started to flame me on other newsgroups too.
Well
> > so be it. You have totally soured what was a well intentioned act. You
are
> > merely proving to the world what an absolute ass you really are. In
> > recognition of your inadequacy as a human being, I will make this the
LAST
> > comment in regard to the subject.
> >
> > Tom "MadDog" McQ
> > www.ar3000.info
> >
> > PS : Sorry, just remembered that in addition to everything else, you
accused
> > me of mailing viruses to you. You are an absolute LIAR!
> >
> > [end!]
>
> Sorry Tom, I put it in that you had not done the virus thing and it
> was an intentional slur. However Tom you did mail bomb me before I
> even had a chance to write you an aplogy. YOU DID MAILBOMB ME WITH

> SPAM. I see that you do not even respond to that accusation showing
> you to be a real lame ass bastard. But of course if you want me to
> post the logs that I have showing you that you did sign me up for spam
> well sure I will do that. Why did you not respond to that accusation
> anyway. I think I have been quite nice about the whole thing after
> what you did to my email address. But I said again many times in the
> message last posted here in this group that I would like to end it
> here. I did not see the password request on the web site and If it was
> there it was pretty hard to see at the time but again it was late and
> I was moving along pretty fast. I am not really a scanner buff rather
> I am a Ham and into amatuer radio. I see that you have been accused
> before on various places of being an idiot like when you tried to open
> your own newsgroup the admins got pretty tired of you asking so many
> times they got pissed at you. Plus I see you do allot of posting and
> so you are somewhat literate. I would like again to apoligize to you
> for the flames and the posts. I did so after seeing what you did to
> sign me up for all that spam. I talked to your ISP today and they saw
> the logs of what you did and the times you were on and so forth
> correspond to the attacks that your logged by the mailers you signed
> me up for. I was pissed at that and did the same back at you but tired
> of it quickly and moved on. I was reading the newsgroups and saw you
> posted about our little engagment and that really pissed me off
> because you were not truthful with the events so I thought to clarify
> them. Sure I admit that you worked hard on your program and its up to
> you how you distribute it and I have no right to question that. But as
> other posters to you in other newsgroups have said I have a right to
> voice my opinion about it and I voiced it to you and you only. You
> were the one to tell the world about it not me. So if you take things
> to a public forum be prepared to suffer the consequences. You have
> been told before to shut the fuck up and so have I so hey I will quit
> right here if you do. I said the apologies first and I do not really
> feel that I should aplogise to you after all you mailbombed me for no
> real reason other than to fuck me over for the hell of it. BTW cool
> that you thought to take that out I really wanted to try out your
> program and had this not happened I am sure it would have been a nice
> program from what I have read about it. Anyway Best Regards.......

1860. FA : Radio SHack Pro-43 MINT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 21:16:12 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <w8It8.88908$9N1.8841944@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 49576
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

CHeck out my auction on this classic scanner!

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1345953011

1861. Scratchy Volume

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 21:41:54 UTC
From: Something Else <kevbpsych@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <CwIt8.2$Xr.350@petpeeve.ziplink.net>
Source record: 49577

I have a radio shack 2004 volume control is REALLY scratchy. I have tried taking the knob off and spraying with compressed air while turning the knob, no luck...

Any ideas,

Thanks

1862. Re: FA : Radio SHack Pro-43 MINT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 21:47:29 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020412174729.12060.00002420@mb-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 49578

>Subject: FA : Radio SHack Pro-43 MINT!
>From: "Dan Conti" dconti@nj.rr.com
>Date: 4/12/2002 4:16 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <w8It8.88908$9N1.8841944@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
>
>CHeck out my auction on this classic scanner!
>
>
>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1345953011

I thought you were expecting an awful lot for that scanner, when you asked 48.00 for USPS shipping and insurance (that's a LOT of insurance!). The I figured it's a typo for $8.00. You might want to see if you can correct it before anybody bids on it. I always miss something in my own listings.... Good luck.
Neutrodyne

1863. Anyone Downloaded AirNav-4 yet???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 22:13:16 UTC
From: "www.ar3000.info" <tom.mcqNOSPAM@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a97m5s$e96$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49579
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I pre-ordered (and paid for) AirNav-4 and hooked it up for the first time tonight. It started off pretty well at first, but wasn't long before it started to become unstable. I think the best thing that I could say at this early point is that it does NOT like to share resources with other programs, so if you are like me and want to have other software running in the background, beware!!

Instability and resource-greed aside, I am pretty impressed with the new layout and high-res, hi-detail maps. There's a host of new things that you can do with this version BUT there's what I consider to be a REAL limitation in terms of window layout and this limitation was present in V3.1. Basically, you STILL cannot move certain windows outside the main window. For example, I have two monitors and would love to be able to drag the World Map window over to my second monitor, leaving the option to display another map on the primary monitor, but no, that's not possible. Seems such a shame to be operating in a windowed environment without having the usual window-capabilities.

Anyone else ?
--
Regards, Tom.
*..• ...)) -:•:-
•.•• .•••)
((••.•• .•• ..-:•:- * www.ar3000.info * -:•:-
-:•:- ((••.••*

FREE RADIO SOFTWARE

1864. Re: CAN YOU BELIEVE THIS GUY?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 22:29:35 UTC
From: Abb Naklie <abbnaklie@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <RcJt8.20719$e64.3345156@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 49580
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi,

I haven't noticed any difference in my daily dose of SPAM - perhaps the increased SPAM is due to another source??? You can't please everyone all the time, especially when what's being offered is free. I learned that which is why I've never repeated my offered of the log (in Access 2k) that I wrote.

Abb
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Peaks/7101/

1865. Re: Scratchy Volume

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 22:57:52 UTC
From: "www.ar3000.info" <tom.mcqNOSPAM@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a97opg$lq6$1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49581

Compressed Air is going to do nothing. Go to a local radio shop (or Maplins, Tandy, etc) and buy a can of "Switch Cleaner" and spray that instead. It should sort it out in a flash :-)

Tom www.ar3000.info

"Something Else" <kevbpsych@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:CwIt8.2$Xr.350@petpeeve.ziplink.net...
> I have a radio shack 2004 volume control is REALLY scratchy. I have tried
> taking the knob off and spraying with compressed air while turning the knob,
> no luck...
>
> Any ideas,
>
> Thanks
>
>

1866. Re: 417.750mhz Satellite downlink ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 23:34:55 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@dialpoint.net>
Message-ID: <3CB76F1F.ED925EA7@dialpoint.net>
Source record: 49585

What was the total time ? If it was much longer than 15 minutes it
probably was not a satellite. Go to a website such as amsat.org and
download a free tracking program and check out the time the sats are in
view.

J999w wrote:
>
> I've got 417.750mhz in my scanner as one of the local DEA frequencies, but I
> heard something unusual on here this week. Around 10am CDT the squelch broke
> with a data signal that gradually got louder until full strength for about 10
> minutes, then gradually faded into the noise, never to be heard again. From my
> experience, this sounded like a satellite downlink. Web searches on Google,
> didn't come up with anything.
>
> Ideas ?
>
> 73,
>
> John Wilke
> WB9UAI
> Milwaukee

1867. 417.750mhz Satellite downlink ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 23:52:48 UTC
From: j999w@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020412195248.07791.00002879@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 49582

I've got 417.750mhz in my scanner as one of the local DEA frequencies, but I heard something unusual on here this week. Around 10am CDT the squelch broke with a data signal that gradually got louder until full strength for about 10 minutes, then gradually faded into the noise, never to be heard again. From my experience, this sounded like a satellite downlink. Web searches on Google, didn't come up with anything.

Ideas ?

73,

John Wilke
WB9UAI
Milwaukee

1868. Re: Scratchy Volume

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-12 23:56:53 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <9vKt8.21375$Kq4.895991@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 49583

if what was stated below still doesn't work. get a new volume switch. Most volume switches are Variable resistors and are very easy to get. My wholesaler sells lots of them.

"Something Else" <kevbpsych@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:CwIt8.2$Xr.350@petpeeve.ziplink.net...
> I have a radio shack 2004 volume control is REALLY scratchy. I have tried
> taking the knob off and spraying with compressed air while turning the knob,
> no luck...
>
> Any ideas,
>
> Thanks
>
>

1869. Re: Scanner mobile antenna help please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 00:18:42 UTC
From: jm5615@nauticom.net
Message-ID: <3CB77962.18737A@nauticom.net>
Source record: 49584

Look up scannerworld or any other antenna dealer on the internet and get a decent mobile antenna. Trash that RS junk and stay away from window mounts in general, they just don't work.

I mounted my hustler MOR antenna between the hood and front fender it it works great on my "760XLT" scanner. I have use this configuration on three vehicles now and I am very satisfied.

"God Bless America"

"T.J." wrote:

> I am using a 870XLT in a car...It works great,but when the car is on it gets
> static...I am pretty sure its the lousy antenna...Its one of those ratshack
> thru the glass stick on types...Its all I could find locally that would
> work....Is there a trunk-lip mount scanner antenna for 29-1300 mhz? I dont mean
> a magnetic mount...I mean a trunk mount like a cb radio would use with the
> little allen head screws that hold it in place.....I assume a trunk mount with
> a good ground will stop some of the static I am getting...I am new at this so I
> am learning as I go.......Please direct me to places that sell good mobile
> scanner antennnas! Thanks in advance.......Tommy J.
> PS ..The car does not have an electric fuel pump..I know this can be an issue
> sometimes.....But not this time!

1870. Re: Scanner mobile antenna help please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 00:32:20 UTC
From: magnum44nc@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020412203220.22830.00002927@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 49586

Thanks for the speedy reply....I will try Your advice....That little ole 760XLT is a fine scanner,but if its so static laden that You cant hear it,whats the point??!! Thanks again for the reply!

1871. Re: Icom R9000 Discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 00:57:07 UTC
From: phil <just_rtfm@yahoo.REMOVETHIScom>
Message-ID: <ubf0jtqjrpd397@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49587
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

> The end of the line has come for the R9000. Icom America has pulled the
> R9000 from it's current radio list and placed it in the archives of
> discontinued radios.

this is a terrible development... i was going to buy a few of these for stocking stuffers... now i'll have to buy bags of candy instead ;). btw, hi M2!

phil :)

1872. FS: Bearcat BC-U Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 01:04:39 UTC
From: "Michael St. Angelo" <mstangelo@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <HuLt8.26536$QC1.1681379@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 49588
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a ancient Bearcat Model BC-U scanner for sale.
It is a 1970's vintage 8 channel UHF crystal controller.
I injected a 10.7 Mhz signal and the IF and audio
work, but the radio does not scan.

The scanner is in fair condition, with scratches some rust and tape marks.
The radio may be repairable or would make a good parts radio.

Price is $10 plus shipping from zip 07737.

Regard's

Mike N2MS
Vintage solid state

1873. Broken Knobs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 01:06:06 UTC
From: Anonymous <cripto@ecn.org>
Message-ID: <9d13f96f3fb34e2dd30333cf18c504da@ecn.org>
Source record: 49589
Cross-posted to: alt.religion.wicca,rec.radio.scanner,alt.religion.voodoo

Do nipples grow back if bitten off ?

1874. ICOM PCR 100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 01:11:41 UTC
From: Tate Jackson <tatejackson@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <a980f0hhm1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 49590

Does anybody no if third party control software for the Icom PCR 1000 can be used with the Icom PCR 100?

There is a nifty little PCR 1000 control program for the written for the Palm Pilot. I'd like to use it with my PCR 100.

Thanks

Tate

--
****
"In order to make an apple pie
from scratch, you must first
create the universe."

-- Carl Sagan, Cosmos
****
AOL IM tate trac
ICQ # 24239884

1875. Are any "digital" cordless phones really secure?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 01:13:17 UTC
From: johnfofawn@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d89364bd.0204121713.3a4a5fd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49591
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I understand that the Panasonic "Digital Spread Spectrum" doesn't really transmit a digital signal.

I'm wondering if any of the other cordless phone makers: Sony, Uniden, etc. have digital cordless phones that really transmit AND receive in a digital format?

I know someone could still use a digital demodulator to hear my conversations, but I want them to at least not be able to use a standard analog scanner.

Thanks,
John

1876. Re: Broken Knobs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 01:17:43 UTC
From: blujuju <jwinch@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <a98104$152rl$1@ID-137257.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 49592
Cross-posted to: alt.religion.wicca,rec.radio.scanner,alt.religion.voodoo

"Anonymous" <cripto@ecn.org> wrote in message
news:9d13f96f3fb34e2dd30333cf18c504da@ecn.org...
> Do nipples grow back if bitten off ?

No. I knew someone a long time ago that had that happen to her. It never
grew back.

What a question~!!!~

.n.
--
in odd we trust
http://blujuju.xoasis.com

>
>

1877. Re: CTCSS Tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 01:31:27 UTC
From: Randy <bearcat@astound.net>
Message-ID: <3CB78A6F.3060507@astound.net>
Source record: 49499

Never heard of DCS. Can someone explain or direct me to a web site.
thanks
Randy

Ed G. wrote:

>>There is a web site that has the CTCSS tones for Public Safety freqs,
>>anyone know where that is? I need to plug these things in to get rid of
>>tons of static and maintain my sanity. Thanks in advance.
>>
>>
>
> Be aware that for many years technology has been moving from CTCSS to DCS
> (digital coded squelch). Some of the systems you want to listen to may be
> using DCS.
>
> Ed
>

1878. Re: Portable case for BC780-XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 01:33:45 UTC
From: Brett Powell <powell.brett@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ZVLt8.20590$Rw2.1635896@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 49593

Pelican makes great hard cases but if ya want a custom case try here:
http://www.scannermaster.com/scanner/en/products/
B.P. kg6djx

"Bill Crocker" <billcrocker@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:b4Lr8.14285$k5.5185447@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> I'm considering making a Uniden Bearcat BC780-XLT scanner, portable.
> Possibly using a soft case of some type, to hold gel-cel batteries, and
the
> radio. Has anyone already done this? Any suggestions?
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker
>
>

1879. Witches suck pooh

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 01:45:22 UTC
From: Anonymous <cripto@ecn.org>
Message-ID: <6677d38cc969fd892fa920f83788f4ce@ecn.org>
Source record: 49594
Cross-posted to: alt.religion.wicca,rec.radio.scanner,alt.religion.voodoo

Yea well kiss my ass you sluts

1880. Re: Are any "digital" cordless phones really secure?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 01:45:46 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <9c2fbu07n9mdt02h76j7tfs6mrbs8vn13j@4ax.com>
Source record: 49596
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi John ....

On 12 Apr 2002 18:13:17 -0700, johnfofawn@hotmail.com (John) wrote:

>I understand that the Panasonic "Digital Spread Spectrum" doesn't
>really transmit a digital signal.

if they are " spread spectrum " ... they are secure (for the most
part) , no matter what you call it.

>
>I'm wondering if any of the other cordless phone makers: Sony, Uniden,
>etc. have digital cordless phones that really transmit AND receive in
>a digital format?

I'm not aware of any that claim to be digital or spread spectrum that
aren't. There are some phones (Panasonic) that claim to be 2.4 gigs
and use both 2.4 gigs AND 900 mhz , both. (thus not being true 2.4
gig units) ... maybe someone else has information that I do not
have.

>
>I know someone could still use a digital demodulator to hear my
>conversations, but I want them to at least not be able to use a
>standard analog scanner.

intercepting and demodulating digital cordless phones is out of reach
of all but an elite few , highly skilled , people. Even fewer people
can intercept and demodulate spread spectrum. it's HIGHLY unlikely
that you will ever come into contact (personally) with these people.
It's even less likely THEY would have any interest in listening to
your phone calls. You are FAR more likely (however unlikely) to
be intercepted by phone taps placed on your outside phone box., a
neighborhood box , or area junction box . (neighborhood units are
usually local ,in a neighbor's back yard < in suburbia > and
susceptible to local phone phreakers inquisitive teens , and perverts
... etc. Area boxes are a bit farther away and usually have several
hundred local neighborhood lines in them. They are always on a local
road near a corner by some traffic light boxes or similar places. Look
around and you will see them all over the place. (those large square
two door boxes and large silver bullet looking things along country
roads) The police , federal agencies , slick divorce detectives <
disguised as phone company people > , and bounty hunters are most
likely to frequent these boxes for taps. (nearly ANYONE could , as
they are easily available and VERY poorly secured . A white pickup

truck and tool belt will get you by , totally unnoticed)

Neither a standard scanner , nor the new digital scanners (so far , at least) could intercept any of these types of cordless phones.

krackula

>
>Thanks,
>John

1881. Re: Icom R9000 Discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 01:48:49 UTC
From: JohnL <xyz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.17215888e39f488f98969e@news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 49497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3CB710C0.ACB1ACA3@utoronto.ca>, m.moore@utoronto.ca says...
> The end of the line has come for the R9000. Icom America has pulled the
> R9000 from it's current radio list and placed it in the archives of
> discontinued radios.
>

Wow, a sad day indeed. However, with the price of that rig I'm sure I'll
never see one new or used. Just too much radio for my wallet.

--
Note the return email address used in this post is not valid.

1882. Re: ICOM PCR 100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 01:49:20 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <a982oi$14lbe$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 49595

Try Talk PCR
http://www.mahy.demon.co.uk/

"Tate Jackson" <tatejackson@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:a980f0hhm1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> Does anybody no if third party control software for the Icom PCR 1000 can
be
> used with the Icom PCR 100?
>
> There is a nifty little PCR 1000 control program for the written for the
> Palm Pilot. I'd like to use it with my PCR 100.
>
> Thanks
>
> Tate
>
> --
> **
> "In order to make an apple pie
> from scratch, you must first
> create the universe."
>
> -- Carl Sagan, Cosmos
> **
> AOL IM tate trac
> ICQ # 24239884
>
>
>

1883. Re: Which Radio Shack Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 01:56:05 UTC
From: Bigdaddyking <bdk1@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.17215a6788ac104398977f@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 49498

In article <305ca694.0204121124.5fb5596@posting.google.com>,
faive@hotmail.com says...
> >
> > Wait wait wait wait wait!
> >
> > Are you trying to say an R2 is better than a 93 or a 245??
> >
> > If you are, LOL!!
> >
> > I own an R2, and it's no 93 or 245!
> >
> > BDK
>
> But of course! I have a bc245xlt and ooh it is so lame compared
> againts the R2. The bc245xlt has a poor construction, a "feel cheap"
> sensation, AWFUL sound, not-as-good-reception, way limited step
> sizes...and the list can go on!
>
> Yes I ocassionaly use the bearcat but ooh I would never change it for
> the R2. Its like riding a Toyota Camry when you have a BMW... :)
>

I'll agree with the poor construction and cheap feel, and I really like my R2, but every (working) 235/245 has beaten it and other R2's I have had to program, receive wise in every band. Less intermod, slightly more sensitive. The R2 is a LOW priced radio, it's no BMW in any way. Now the R10 I had beat the 245 hands down, reception wise, but scanning was truly pitiful. If only Icom would pay the price to Uniden or GRE, and make a trunking scanner, that would be great....really a "BMW".

BDK

1884. Re: Icom R9000 Discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 02:45:02 UTC
From: Craig Garcia <NOwabcommSPAM@NOvolcanoSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <ubf6tho4uu5ddf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49500
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Looks like the IC-781 was pulled as well. I've got an R9000 and an IC-781.
Bought them before I got married ;-) Nice gear.
I suppose I should stock up on service parts... particularly the CRTs.

"Michael Moore" <m.moore@utoronto.ca> wrote in message
news:3CB710C0.ACB1ACA3@utoronto.ca...
> The end of the line has come for the R9000. Icom America has pulled the
> R9000 from it's current radio list and placed it in the archives of
> discontinued radios.
>
> --
> Michael Moore

1885. Re: Icom R9000 Discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 02:46:37 UTC
From: N8KDV <n8kdv@iserv.net>
Message-ID: <3CB79C0D.7CFE321F@iserv.net>
Source record: 49501
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

And Sherwood Engineering has the CRT's:

http://www.sherweng.com/index.html

Craig Garcia wrote:

> Looks like the IC-781 was pulled as well. I've got an R9000 and an IC-781.
> Bought them before I got married ;-) Nice gear.
> I suppose I should stock up on service parts... particularly the CRTs.
>
> "Michael Moore" <m.moore@utoronto.ca> wrote in message
> news:3CB710C0.ACB1ACA3@utoronto.ca...
> > The end of the line has come for the R9000. Icom America has pulled the
> > R9000 from it's current radio list and placed it in the archives of
> > discontinued radios.
> >
> > --
> > Michael Moore

1886. Re: Icom R9000 Discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 02:57:49 UTC
From: Craig Garcia <NOwabcommSPAM@NOvolcanoSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <ubf7lkijsgbh3a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49502
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks for the link... but $245 a pop... wow!! Time to have a garage
sale...

"N8KDV" <n8kdv@iserv.net> wrote in message
news:3CB79C0D.7CFE321F@iserv.net...
> And Sherwood Engineering has the CRT's:
>
> http://www.sherweng.com/index.html
>
> Craig Garcia wrote:
>
> > Looks like the IC-781 was pulled as well. I've got an R9000 and an
IC-781.
> > Bought them before I got married ;-) Nice gear.
> > I suppose I should stock up on service parts... particularly the CRTs.
> >
> > "Michael Moore" <m.moore@utoronto.ca> wrote in message
> > news:3CB710C0.ACB1ACA3@utoronto.ca...
> > > The end of the line has come for the R9000. Icom America has pulled
the
> > > R9000 from it's current radio list and placed it in the archives of
> > > discontinued radios.
> > >
> > > --
> > > Michael Moore
>
>

1887. Re: Icom R9000 Discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 02:59:32 UTC
From: N8KDV <n8kdv@iserv.net>
Message-ID: <3CB79F14.2FDC7134@iserv.net>
Source record: 49503
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

I wonder how much Icom wants for the CRT's.

Craig Garcia wrote:

> Thanks for the link... but $245 a pop... wow!! Time to have a garage
> sale...
>
> "N8KDV" <n8kdv@iserv.net> wrote in message
> news:3CB79C0D.7CFE321F@iserv.net...
> > And Sherwood Engineering has the CRT's:
> >
> > http://www.sherweng.com/index.html
> >
> > Craig Garcia wrote:
> >
> > > Looks like the IC-781 was pulled as well. I've got an R9000 and an
> IC-781.
> > > Bought them before I got married ;-) Nice gear.
> > > I suppose I should stock up on service parts... particularly the CRTs.
> > >
> > > "Michael Moore" <m.moore@utoronto.ca> wrote in message
> > > news:3CB710C0.ACB1ACA3@utoronto.ca...
> > > > The end of the line has come for the R9000. Icom America has pulled
> the
> > > > R9000 from it's current radio list and placed it in the archives of
> > > > discontinued radios.
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > Michael Moore
> >
> >

1888. Re: Icom R9000 Discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 03:10:29 UTC
From: "Craig (WB6LZV)" <NOwb6lzvSPAM@NOattbiSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <ubf8dd2fmls044@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

For yuks... I'll give them a call next week after I dig the the part number
from my service manual...

"Craig Garcia" <NOwabcommSPAM@NOvolcanoSPAM.net> wrote in message
news:ubf7lkijsgbh3a@corp.supernews.com...
> Thanks for the link... but $245 a pop... wow!! Time to have a garage
> sale...
>
>
> "N8KDV" <n8kdv@iserv.net> wrote in message
> news:3CB79C0D.7CFE321F@iserv.net...
> > And Sherwood Engineering has the CRT's:
> >
> > http://www.sherweng.com/index.html
> >
> > Craig Garcia wrote:
> >
> > > Looks like the IC-781 was pulled as well. I've got an R9000 and an
> IC-781.
> > > Bought them before I got married ;-) Nice gear.
> > > I suppose I should stock up on service parts... particularly the CRTs.
> > >
> > > "Michael Moore" <m.moore@utoronto.ca> wrote in message
> > > news:3CB710C0.ACB1ACA3@utoronto.ca...
> > > > The end of the line has come for the R9000. Icom America has pulled
> the
> > > > R9000 from it's current radio list and placed it in the archives of
> > > > discontinued radios.
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > Michael Moore
> >
> >
>
>

1889. Re: Icom R9000 Discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 03:12:12 UTC
From: N8KDV <n8kdv@iserv.net>
Message-ID: <3CB7A20C.C892DA8C@iserv.net>
Source record: 49505
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

It would be interesting to see what their price is vs. Sherwood Engineerings.

"Craig (WB6LZV)" wrote:

> For yuks... I'll give them a call next week after I dig the the part number
> from my service manual...
>
> "Craig Garcia" <NOwabcommSPAM@NOvolcanoSPAM.net> wrote in message
> news:ubf7lkijsgbh3a@corp.supernews.com...
> > Thanks for the link... but $245 a pop... wow!! Time to have a garage
> > sale...
> >
> >
> > "N8KDV" <n8kdv@iserv.net> wrote in message
> > news:3CB79C0D.7CFE321F@iserv.net...
> > > And Sherwood Engineering has the CRT's:
> > >
> > > http://www.sherweng.com/index.html
> > >
> > > Craig Garcia wrote:
> > >
> > > > Looks like the IC-781 was pulled as well. I've got an R9000 and an
> > IC-781.
> > > > Bought them before I got married ;-) Nice gear.
> > > > I suppose I should stock up on service parts... particularly the CRTs.
> > > >
> > > > "Michael Moore" <m.moore@utoronto.ca> wrote in message
> > > > news:3CB710C0.ACB1ACA3@utoronto.ca...
> > > > > The end of the line has come for the R9000. Icom America has pulled
> > the
> > > > > R9000 from it's current radio list and placed it in the archives of
> > > > > discontinued radios.
> > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > > Michael Moore
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

1890. Re: CTCSS Tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 04:33:58 UTC
From: Jesse <jplummer@bluefrognet.net>
Message-ID: <3CB7B536.F700F3B7@bluefrognet.net>
Source record: 49506

DCS is used to notify a specific person you are trying to contact them. If you and a another radio op program a frequency and add a dca tone to it, everytime one of you keys up, the other persons radio will sound a tone alerting you that the other is trying to contact you. The Police and other public safety agenies do not use DCS. Well, maybe some federalis do but DCS technology has recently found its way into the ham radio community. My hand held has DCS capabilities but I dont use them.

Randy wrote:
>
> Never heard of DCS. Can someone explain or direct me to a web site.
> thanks
> Randy
>
> Ed G. wrote:
>
> >>There is a web site that has the CTCSS tones for Public Safety freqs,
> >>anyone know where that is? I need to plug these things in to get rid of
> >>tons of static and maintain my sanity. Thanks in advance.
> >>
> >>
> > > Be aware that for many years technology has been moving from CTCSS to DCS
> > > (digital coded squelch). Some of the systems you want to listen to may be
> > > using DCS.
> > >
> > > Ed
> > >

1891. Re: Scanner mobile antenna help please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 05:19:57 UTC
From: Skweezieweezie <noway@thistime.now>
Message-ID: <1ePt8.12216$r5.3649013@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 49507

Your answer lies in the fact that when the car is off, there is no noise.
The most probable culprit is the ECU or ignition system in the vehicle
creating a lot of RF hash. I'll bet the problem is the worst on Low-Band.
The RS glass mount isn't the greatest, but if you raise the noise floor
far enough, ANY antenna will perform poorly.

--

skweezieweezie

` X o'
-----ooo-0-ooo-----
~

1892. Re: Scratchy Volume

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 05:36:44 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <WuPt8.24$aW.6168@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 49508

In article <CwIt8.2$Xr.350@petpeeve.ziplink.net>, kevbpsych@yahoo.com
says...
> I have a radio shack 2004 volume control is REALLY scratchy. I have tried
> taking the knob off and spraying with compressed air while turning the knob,
> no luck...
>
> Any ideas,
>
> Thanks
>
>
>

Get some Tuner Control cleaner & lubricant at Radio Shack.

Cat No. 64-4315

Worked very well on my 2004. Just take the knob off, squirt some
cleaner onto the shaft, replace knob, and turn knob through entire
range of motion a few times.

Scratch gone.

WD-40 will also work but doesn't seem to last as long.

Glenn

1893. Chihuahua was ass raped by ze fat lady

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 07:00:57 UTC
From: Anonymous via the Cypherpunks Tonga Remailer <nobody@cypherpunks.to>
Message-ID: <a9a516c63eff645829676760963f4cd9@cypherpunks.to>
Source record: 49509
Cross-posted to: alt.religion.wicca,rec.radio.scanner,alt.religion.voodoo

```
Stepping boldly to the clearing
Wearing frowns of young boys who've all
ventured well beyond hearing
Mom's unconditional call,
Suddenly they're frozen by the stunning sight
of the thousand swirling lights of a thousand fireflies
swimming madly through a dark and empty field,
they yield to the magic of the thin dusting of light
from a thin giant Moon, a Great Hunter's Moon
Her power over their young unlying eyes
Causes the boys to pause their flight
Along the path leading to the men they're soon to be;
men who will know too well all that money buys.
And all too soon they will find the moon to be
nothing more than a round light in the sky.
```

1894. FS Bearcat BC-350

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 09:47:54 UTC
From: gil <gilpi@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <a98uq0$gt5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 49510

BC-350 in good condition, works 100% no mods, asking $70. shipped.

Gil

1895. Re: Scanner mobile antenna help please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 12:04:37 UTC
From: jm5615@nacom.net
Message-ID: <3CB81ED5.E845F00@nacom.net>
Source record: 49512

TJ I don't have a problem one with my 760. In fact I bought is last year off ebay, cleaned it up and put it in my Chevy Tahoe and it works very good. It receives as well as my commercial 2 way county radio. NO STATIC

Change that antenna, you will see the difference immediately. You could even go to a mag mount on the roof. Try it with a mag mount cb antenna, you know you can receive on a piece of wire or coat hanger, etc. sticking out the antenna port.

"God Bless America"

"T.J." wrote:

> Thanks for the speedy reply....I will try Your advice....That little ole 760XLT
> is a fine scanner,but if its so static laden that You cant hear it,whats the
> point??!! Thanks again for the reply!

1896. Re: Are any "digital" cordless phones really secure?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 12:14:23 UTC
From: johnfofawn@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d89364bd.0204130414.70d31350@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49511
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

krackula <krackula@i.am> wrote in message
news:<9c2fbu07n9mdt02h76j7tfs6mrbs8vn13j@4ax.com>...
> Hi John ....
>
>
> On 12 Apr 2002 18:13:17 -0700, johnfofawn@hotmail.com (John) wrote:
>
> >I understand that the Panasonic "Digital Spread Spectrum" doesn't
> >really transmit a digital signal.
>
> if they are " spread spectrum " ... they are secure (for the most
> part) , no matter what you call it.

The problem is they aren't really spread spectrum. That's why I put it
in quotes. Panasonic claims it's spread spectrum, but what they mean
by that is that it hops around to different frequencies at different
times. The rate of the hopping isn't that great either.

Since there is a digital circuit that controls the hopping, they claim
it's Digital Spread Spectrum.

It's really an analog signal that is VERY easy to listen to with a
$400 Uniden scanner.

802.11b is spread spectrum, right? Is it secure? Go to
www.wardriving.com and then tell me how secure spread spectrum is?

> >I'm wondering if any of the other cordless phone makers: Sony, Uniden,
> >etc. have digital cordless phones that really transmit AND receive in
> >a digital format?
>
> I'm not aware of any that claim to be digital or spread spectrum that
> aren't. There are some phones (Panasonic) that claim to be 2.4 gigs
> and use both 2.4 gigs AND 900 mhz , both. (thus not being true 2.4
> gig units) ... maybe someone else has information that I do not
> have.

Panasonic is one that claims to be digital spread spectrum and is most
certainly not.

> >I know someone could still use a digital demodulator to hear my
> >conversations, but I want them to at least not be able to use a
> >standard analog scanner.
>
> intercepting and demodulating digital cordless phones is out of reach

> of all but an elite few , highly skilled , people. Even fewer people
> can intercept and demodulate spread spectrum. it's HIGHLY unlikely
> that you will ever come into contact (personally) with these people.

:-) I'm one of those people. See, you just came into contact with one.
:-)

It's not as hard as you might think. Go find an EE student who has
taken a DSP class at your local University and you'll have 90% of
what's required to demodulate these signals.

In most cases you need discriminator, or ideally pre-discriminator
output of your receiver. You need to be able to downconvert this
signal to baseband so it can be digitized. Once it's digitized, crack
open any text book on DSP/communications and implement a demodulator.
Most of these phones don't use a complicated vocoder if they even use
one at all. A standard FM demodulator usually works well once you get
to the voice channel.

> It's even less likely THEY would have any interest in listening to
> your phone calls. You are FAR more likely (however unlikely) to
> be intercepted by phone taps placed on your outside phone box., a
> neighborhood box , or area junction box . (neighborhood units are
> usually local ,in a neighbor's back yard < in suburbia > and
> susceptible to local phone phreakers inquisitive teens , and perverts
> ... etc. Area boxes are a bit farther away and usually have several
> hundred local neighborhood lines in them. They are always on a local
> road near a corner by some traffic light boxes or similar places. Look
> around and you will see them all over the place. (those large square
> two door boxes and large silver bullet looking things along country
> roads) The police , federal agencies , slick divorce detectives <
> disguised as phone company people > , and bounty hunters are most
> likely to frequent these boxes for taps. (nearly ANYONE could , as
> they are easily available and VERY poorly secured . A white pickup
> truck and tool belt will get you by , totally unnoticed)

There is a big difference between my concern about scanner listeners
and about being tapped. If my phone is tapped, most likily I'm
personally being targeted. I'm not too worried about this.

My real concern is the average Joe who buys a $400 Uniden scanner and
starts scanning 902MHz to 920MHz and hears my conversations.

Since I want a cordless phone, I want to at least make sure I pick the
one that is most secure. I realize if I really wanted real security, I
would use a corded phone.

> Neither a standard scanner , nor the new digital scanners (so far ,
> at least) could intercept any of these types of cordless phones.

I disagree.

I was hoping that someone else has already done some research and can provide some guidance on this. Worst case, I can pick a phone, buy it, bring it home, and use a scanner and other tools to analyze the signal to determine how hard it would be for someone to intercept. This takes a lot of time and trips to the store. If someone's already done the work, I don't have to.

John

1897. Re: 417.750mhz Satellite downlink ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 13:42:44 UTC
From: J <jca1954@iprimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <3cb835d5_1@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 49513

tell me you recorded it ?
"J999w" <j999w@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020412195248.07791.00002879@mb-mp.aol.com...
> I've got 417.750mhz in my scanner as one of the local DEA frequencies, but
I
> heard something unusual on here this week. Around 10am CDT the squelch
broke
> with a data signal that gradually got louder until full strength for about
10
> minutes, then gradually faded into the noise, never to be heard again.
From my
> experience, this sounded like a satellite downlink. Web searches on
Google,
> didn't come up with anything.
>
> Ideas ?
>
> 73,
>
> John Wilke
> WB9UAI
> Milwaukee

1898. FS or Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 14:23:17 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <pbXt8.1310$MM2.578539@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have for sale or trade a nice Pro-94 1000 channel trunk tracker.I have the book,wall wart but no box.I am looking for a decent mobile dual band radio with 2meters and 70cm.I don't want any junk please.Let me know what you got.Email me or call 978-281-3359

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002

1899. Re: Cheek Manuals and News Letters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 14:24:17 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20020413102417.09493.00003659@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 49514

> Scanner modification manuals 1 and 2 and 20 issues of
Commtronic Engineering news letters
make me an offer. Will mail in 48 only.<

How about $25.00 and I catch the freight??
This is a lot of information.
RM~

Drop the RX320 to e-mail me

1900. SALE AR8200 - MVC-002L.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 14:27:09 UTC
From: nightfly777@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <k4ggbug5jenv7uhb581fo9vd3qsis2tdrf@4ax.com>
Source record: 49515

For sale unblocked ar8200 rubber duck and discone antenna. Voice inverter card and Tone eliminator card, computer control cable and CC8200 software. Buyer pays shipping. See Picture.
Nightfly777@hotmail.com
Davenport, Fl 33837

1901. FS or TRade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 14:27:22 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <efXt8.1313$MM2.579688@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49526
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a nice GE SuperBase model 3-5875A base radio that has the dual antenna ports on rear.This comes with the Turner Expander 500 desk mike.All is in great condition.I am looking for a nice dual band or tri band mobile radio.Please no junk.Email me with what you may have or call 978-281-3359

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002

1902. ar8200 - MVC-002L.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 14:33:20 UTC
From: nightfly777@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <ulggbu85f2hnelt8lggnjanrfqofmrv6mt@4ax.com>
Source record: 49516

For sale unblocked ar8200 rubber duck and discone antenna. Voice inverter card and Tone eliminator card, computer control cable and CC8200 software. Buyer pays shipping. See Picture.
Nightfly777@hotmail.com
Davenport, Fl 33837

1903. ar8200 FOR SALE - MVC-002L.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 14:40:59 UTC
From: nightfly777@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <i0hgbukm95fvg0l30hjltktjr8ukh5qhbv@4ax.com>
Source record: 49517

For sale unblocked ar8200 rubber duck and discone antenna. Voice inverter card and Tone eliminator card, computer control cable and CC8200 software. Buyer pays shipping. See Picture.
Nightfly777@hotmail.com
Davenport, Fl 33837

1904. Re: I'd like to buy a Yaesu VR-120

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 15:12:19 UTC
From: **Sorcerer** <spamrog@wales.net>
Message-ID: <a99hsibfk1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49518

Hi
I had one of these VR120's, I myself really liked it, it has a nice *chunky*
feel to it. and it has that distinguished expensive *Yaesu* feel/touch to
it, if you know what I mean, very classy radio, nice to operate and listen
to, easy when you get the hang of it, I have bought and sold dozens of
scanners over the years, but that is one I wish I had kept., with regard to
the aviation band, no problem at all, it will suit you ideal, and for the
price, excellent. I don't think you will be disappointed.
regards
Sorcerer

"Bruno" <mongkon.anousak@skynet.be> wrote in message
news:3cb0b069$0$8147$ba620e4c@news.skynet.be...
> Hi all,
>
> I'd like to buy a radio scanner Yaesu VR-120 but just in the purpose to
hear
> aviation communications.
> Could you tell me if it's a good one for such purpose?
> I wouldn't like to pay for an expensive one, and the vr-120 seems very
> affordable...but is it good quality?
> Will I be able to hear airports and aircraft communications with it?
>
> Thanks a lot for your help,
>
> Bruno
>
>

1905. PA-SitRep e-mail list for PA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 15:13:09 UTC
From: owner@pa-sitrep.com
Message-ID: <3cb84ac8.90669462@news.city-net.com>
Source record: 49520
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Emergency Situation Report e-mail list for Pennsylvanians

PA-SitRep is a free, 100% non-commercial,
nonprofit, e-mail list and web site.

The PA-SitRep emergency traffic e-mail list is a
tool that will enable you to monitor and report on
major emergency situations throughout the State
of Pennsylvania including weather emergencies,
natural disasters, environmental disasters, civil
disturbances and threats of terrorism, major fires,
and manhunts.

Subscribers are encouraged to utilize their home,
work and cell phone e-mail addresses for receiving
messages from the PA-SitRep e-mail list.

Message volume on PA-SitRep is EXTREMELY
low and users may subscribe and unsubscribe
at any time.

PA-SitRep is also building a list of PA-SitRep
Contacts, many of whom are Amateur Radio
Operators with HF capabilities. Contacts monitor
our higher volume SitRep/Discussion list and
have unrestricted posting privilages to the
main Situation Report list which is moderated.

Please visit the site to learn more:

http://www.pa-sitrep.com/pasitrep.htm

Thanks. We'll look forward to your participation.

-David Kleber, KB3FXI
PA-SitRep List Owner and PA-SitRep.com Founder
www.PA-SitRep.com

1906. Re: SALE AR8200 - MVC-002L.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 15:14:44 UTC
From: **Sorcerer** <spamrog@wales.net>
Message-ID: <a99i13$i9g$1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49519

Do we get a prize for guessing the price?? :-)
Sorcerer

<nightfly777@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:k4ggbug5jenv7uhb581fo9vd3qsis2tdrf@4ax.com...
> For sale unblocked ar8200 rubber duck and discone antenna. Voice
> inverter card and Tone eliminator card, computer control cable and
> CC8200 software. Buyer pays shipping. See Picture.
> Nightfly777@hotmail.com
> Davenport, Fl 33837
>

1907. JACOBS FIELD (CLEVELAND INDIANS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 15:18:21 UTC
From: teeavee@aol.comGETLOST
Message-ID: <20020413111821.02438.00001358@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 49521

Does anyone know the frequencies used for security and other comms at Jacobs field in Cleveland Ohio....Thanks!!!

1908. SALE- BEARCAT BC-3000XLT SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 15:39:12 UTC
From: Russell <rustyspam@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3CB85120.9000605@dccnet.com>
Source record: 49522

Uniden Bearcat BC-3000XLT
400 channel hand held 25-1300 Mhz cell blocked (picks up cell images)
Brand new (10 days ago) NiMH battery,
Complete with box, manual,antenna, charger,
In excellent condition!
Asking $180 shipped and insured
If interested please e-mail me for a pic or info at the below address
rusty@dccnet.com
Thanks-Russ

1909. Re: CTCSS Tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 16:26:53 UTC
From: Carl <n7kuw@dropthisnospam.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <g%Yt8.7539$4D6.65@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 49523

DCS is digitally coded squelch, and consists of a group of codes used much like CTCSS tones. It is also referred to as DPL (digital private line - a Motorola name for digital squelch). It has been around for several years, and in fact many commercial 2-way radio services, including public safety, use it. It has only been added to ham radios in the last couple of years but has been around much longer than that. Not used anywhere near as much as CTCSS, it is nonetheless used in exactly the same manner on many systems.

Carl

Jesse <jplummer@bluefrognet.net> wrote in message news:3CB7B536.F700F3B7@bluefrognet.net...
> DCS is used to notify a specific person you are trying to contact them.
> If you and a another radio op program a frequency and add a dca tone to
> it, everytime one of you keys up, the other persons radio will sound a
> tone alerting you that the other is trying to contact you. The Police
> and other public safety agenies do not use DCS. Well, maybe some
> federalis do but DCS technology has recently found its way into the ham
> radio community. My hand held has DCS capabilities but I dont use them.
>
> Randy wrote:
> >
> > Never heard of DCS. Can someone explain or direct me to a web site.
> > thanks
> > Randy
> >
> > Ed G. wrote:
> >
> > >>There is a web site that has the CTCSS tones for Public Safety freqs,
> > >>anyone know where that is? I need to plug these things in to get rid of
> > >>tons of static and maintain my sanity. Thanks in advance.
> > >>
> > >>
> > >
> > > Be aware that for many years technology has been moving from CTCSS to DCS
> > > (digital coded squelch). Some of the systems you want to listen to may be
> > > using DCS.
> > >
> > > Ed
> > >

1910. Re: Anyone Downloaded AirNav-4 yet???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 17:02:42 UTC
From: Red TR1 <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <UwZt8.29204$vm6.4277852@ruti.visi.com>
Source record: 49524
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I also pre ordered and paid for and then dled 4.0. It is a buggy little program. In the Flight List Grid rather than getting a colored box for how you heard a particular plane before I get a little box full of binary numbers.
As near as I can see you cannot show political boundaries on the maps. AirNav 3.1 maps will not load in this version either. I had a nice map that centered around the main airport I live by and I cannot make as nice a map on 4.0. I use this exclusively with ACARS broadcasts and get excellent results with the AirNav Acars decoder program and not so good of results with Airmaster 2000. With 3.1. Airmaster 2000 worked better.

It has been stable for me and I do run a weather station program at the same time with no problems. The minute I try and run Acars Log Analyzer or Selcal decoder at the same time, they will not even load.

As an aside, one thing I have found very helpful is running the AOR Airband filter on my antenna and that has made a huge difference. I use an Icom R7100 with a discone antenna and get excellent ACARS copy since I put the filter on.

Greg
"www.ar3000.info" <tom.mcqNOSPAM@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:a97m5s$e96$1@helle.btinternet.com...
> I pre-ordered (and paid for) AirNav-4 and hooked it up for the first time
> tonight. It started off pretty well at first, but wasn't long before it
> started to become unstable. I think the best thing that I could say at
this
> early point is that it does NOT like to share resources with other
programs,
> so if you are like me and want to have other software running in the
> background, beware!!
>
> Instability and resource-greed aside, I am pretty impressed with the new
> layout and high-res, hi-detail maps. There's a host of new things that you
> can do with this version BUT there's what I consider to be a REAL
limitation
> in terms of window layout and this limitation was present in V3.1.
> Basically, you STILL cannot move certain windows outside the main window.
> For example, I have two monitors and would love to be able to drag the
World
> Map window over to my second monitor, leaving the option to display
another
> map on the primary monitor, but no, that's not possible. Seems such a
shame

> to be operating in a windowed environment without having the usual
> window-capabilities.
>
> Anyone else ?
> --
> Regards, Tom.
> *.. -::-
> . .))
> (( . . ..-: :- * www.ar3000.info * -::-
> -: :- (( .*
>
> FREE RADIO SOFTWARE
>
>

1911. where is http://www.kiss.uni-lj.si/~k4fe0166/scanner/scanner.htm??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 18:37:38 UTC
From: Zeljko <roby@post.hinet.hr>
Message-ID: <jjugbukompajcfjkno20rte8vbr6oqia6p@4ax.com>
Source record: 49527

HI
http://www.kiss.uni-lj.si/~k4fe0166/scanner/scanner.htm
link?

1912. Re: Beachwood, NJ fire frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 19:37:44 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.com
Message-ID: <5e5fccab.0204131137.17f5e74e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49528

"wleamons" <wleamons@socket.net> wrote in message
news:<ubef6gpo8tme35@corp.supernews.com>...
> try cityfreq.com

Thanks, but that won't help. I need to know specifically which freqs
are used for what. That website, as any other will, would just show
what they are SUPPOSED to be used for, or what they're listed as. I
need to know from someone who actually monitors the Beachwood, NJ fire
department. There's better source than the ones that actually monitor.
:)

1913. Broken Knobs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 19:48:17 UTC
From: jen <jen76love@cox.net>
Message-ID: <1ERZM9FN37359.9085300926@frog.gilgamesh.org>
Source record: 49529
Cross-posted to: alt.religion.wicca,rec.radio.scanner,alt.religion.voodoo

Do nipples grow back if bitten off ?

1914. Galveston, TX Oil Rig Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 19:53:06 UTC
From: Pete Ritter <cpritter@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <C00u8.7551$V44.337@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 49530
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone have HF, VHF and UHF freqs for the oil rigs off Galveston, TX? Freqs of helicopters and boats serving them, intra- and inter-rig comms, etc. would be of interest to me.

Thanks!

--
*
* This Space For Hire
*

1915. Antenna Lenght Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 20:11:16 UTC
From: Tom Rodgers <tmas56@att.net>
Message-ID: <584hbu43gaor398aing9sdamvn59ce1qtg@4ax.com>
Source record: 49531

Does anyone know where I can find a chart that matches frequency range
to antenna length? Id like to know to what size I should adjust my
scanner handheld whip for each frequency.

Examples:

Frequency Length
25-50
138-174
406-512
800-1000

1916. bc200xlt manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 20:14:06 UTC
From: Tim Davis <ttdd@flashnet.net>
Message-ID: <na4hbugmgkugbgebrhv1tl1gsfihqgjvpc@4ax.com>
Source record: 49532

Is there a website where I can download a copy of the BC200xlt manual?
Does anyone have a copy that they can post here?

Thank You

1917. Re: Broken Knobs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 20:51:16 UTC
From: "www.ar3000.info" <tom.mcqNOSPAM@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a9a5o4ran1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49533
Cross-posted to: alt.religion.wicca,rec.radio.scanner,alt.religion.voodoo

"Anonymous" <cripto@ecn.org> wrote in message
> Do nipples grow back if bitten off ?

No, but people make tits of themselves asking silly questions. Hope that
helps :-)

Tom.

1918. Logging Database - free.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 20:59:35 UTC
From: "www.ar3000.info" <tom.mcqNOSPAM@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a9a67n$sv6$1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49534
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Free Logging Database available, complete with Station Locator containing
over 5000 International Broadcast Stations.

Would suit HAM operators and Shortwave Listeners alike. May even suit Radio
Clubs.

Records the following:

* User ID
* Date
* RX Freq
* TX Freq
* Pwr
* Mode
* Station ID
* Station Class
* Tx Site
* Tx Type
* Country
* SoundRecording Path
* SINPO
* Equipment Used
* QSL Record
* Free-Hand Comments
* AND MUCH MORE
In addition to the above, there are a wide variety of printable REPORTS
based on the information logged.

Feel free to find out more at :
http://www.tom.mcq.btinternet.co.uk/ar3000/mcqlog.htm

Regards, Tom.
*..• •••)) -:•:-
•.•• .•••))
((••.•• .•• ..-:•:- * www.ar3000.info * -:•:-
-:•:- ((••.••*

1919. Re: BC 3000xlt Battery Packs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 21:00:43 UTC
From: Dijing@ipg, nete
Message-ID: <3cb89c22.7627357@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 49535
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Get your old ones rebuilt at http://www.primecell.com/
They're cheap and they have a fast turnaround and insert 1300 MA NiMH
for about $20 plus S&H.
>
>"DeWayne" <ke9k@mac.com> wrote in message
>news:puTs8.270566$Hu6.58156070@typhoon.neo.rr.com...
>> Anyone know of a good source to buy NiMH packs for the BC 3000xlt? Thanks!
>> DeWayne
>>
>>
>
>

1920. Re: Which Radio Shack Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 21:14:48 UTC
From: Dijing@ipg, nete
Message-ID: <3cb89f96.8510898@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 49536

On 9 Apr 2002 11:09:55 -0700, mullman@charter.net (Chris) wrote:

>Which RadioShack handheld scanner should I choose for military freqs,
>trunk tracking and PC connectivity?

The MilAir is your sticking point. A h/h or base unit?

1921. FS BC-350 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 21:33:57 UTC
From: gil <gilpi@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <a9a85pjbc1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 49537

BC-350 in good condition, works 100% no mods, asking $70. shipped.

Gil- N2WJW

1922. Re: FS or Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 22:59:47 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <DL2u8.1465$MM2.682989@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49538
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Asking $175.00 shipped
"N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:pbXt8.1310$MM2.578539@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> I have for sale or trade a nice Pro-94 1000 channel trunk tracker.I have
the
> book,wall wart but no box.I am looking for a decent mobile dual band radio
> with 2meters and 70cm.I don't want any junk please.Let me know what you
> got.Email me or call 978-281-3359
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002

1923. Re: FS or TRade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 23:00:17 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <5M2u8.1466$MM2.683150@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49539
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Asking $180.00 shipped
"N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:efXt8.1313$MM2.579688@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> I have a nice GE SuperBase model 3-5875A base radio that has the dual
> antenna ports on rear.This comes with the Turner Expander 500 desk
mike.All
> is in great condition.I am looking for a nice dual band or tri band mobile
> radio.Please no junk.Email me with what you may have or call 978-281-3359
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002

1924. Re: Antenna Lenght Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-13 23:08:38 UTC
From: Alexander McNaughton <treehug3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <GT2u8.17370$rp4.4155572@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 49540

The lower the freq, the higher you raise your antenna. Keep it all the way down for 800, all the way up for 30-50.

Tom Rodgers <tmas56@att.net> wrote in message news:584hbu43gaor398aing9sdamvn59ce1qtg@4ax.com...
> Does anyone know where I can find a chart that matches frequency range
> to antenna length? Id like to know to what size I should adjust my
> scanner handheld whip for each frequency.
>
> Examples:
>
> Frequency Length
> 25-50
> 138-174
> 406-512
> 800-1000

1925. Re: Beachwood, NJ fire frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 02:41:40 UTC
From: Rafman&#65533; <rafman2u@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <E%5u8.6036$L1.564629@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 49541

What kind of a scanner listener can't figure this out for themselves?
Where's your skill or have you just become lazy? Even the FCC Database
doesn't tell you what its' for, just service designation. Not much of a
radio person, eh? Let everyone else do the work.
Maybe we need to listen for you too, AND program the frequencies into the
radios...

My God Magnum, what does it take?

W2RAF

vhfradiobuff <vhfradiobuff@aol.com> wrote in message
news:5e5fccab.0204131137.17f5e74e@posting.google.com...
> "wleamons" <wleamons@socket.net> wrote in message
news:<ubef6gpo8tme35@corp.supernews.com>...
> > try cityfreq.com
>
> Thanks, but that won't help. I need to know specifically which freqs
> are used for what. That website, as any other will, would just show
> what they are SUPPOSED to be used for, or what they're listed as. I
> need to know from someone who actually monitors the Beachwood, NJ fire
> department. There's better source than the ones that actually monitor.
> :)
>

1926. sanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 02:44:14 UTC
From: gentl2@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020413224414.07482.00002848@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 49542

I spent so much time (6-7) yrs listening to cell phn conversations I wore out the kep pad on my radio shack scanner. Radio Shack sent it off and when returned said it couldn't be repaired (There are folks like me who actuially enjoy this perversion)
I want to buy a new scanner and I know they don't pick up cell freqs. I recall years ago seeing this "cell antenna" that replaces the factor and it is supose to pick up the freqs I want. Has anyone on this board seen one, own one and/or actually used one and if so how was the quality.

Steve
St Louis

1927. Re: Antenna Lenght Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 02:46:26 UTC
From: "D. Ruhe" <ruhe@pitt.edu>
Message-ID: <3CB8ED82.7C3880F8@pitt.edu>
Source record: 49543

Tom,

Here is a simple formula to figure 1/4 wave antennas. Divide 234 by the
frequency.
Example 1/4 wave antenna for a frequency of 453.000 mhz 234/453.000 =
.516 or 6 inches

Hope this helps Good Luck --Dan-- KE3UC

Tom Rodgers wrote:

> Does anyone know where I can find a chart that matches frequency range
> to antenna length? Id like to know to what size I should adjust my
> scanner handheld whip for each frequency.
>
> Examples:
>
> Frequency Length
> 25-50
> 138-174
> 406-512
> 800-1000

1928. Re: CTCSS Tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 03:12:29 UTC
From: Randy <bearcat@astound.net>
Message-ID: <3CB8F39D.6080005@astound.net>
Source record: 49544

Thanks for the quick lesson. Are there DCS capable scanners/receivers?
Thanks again.
Randy

Carl wrote:

> DCS is digitally coded squelch, and consists of a group of codes used much
> like CTCSS tones. It is also referred to as DPL (digital private line - a
> Motorola name for digital squelch). It has been around for several years,
> and in fact many commercial 2-way radio services, including public safety,
> use it. It has only been added to ham radios in the last couple of years
> but has been around much longer than that. Not used anywhere near as much as
> CTCSS, it is nonetheless used in exactly the same manner on many systems.
>
> Carl
>
>
> Jesse <jplummer@bluefrognet.net> wrote in message
> news:3CB7B536.F700F3B7@bluefrognet.net...
>
>>DCS is used to notify a specific person you are trying to contact them.
>>If you and a another radio op program a frequency and add a dca tone to
>>it, everytime one of you keys up, the other persons radio will sound a
>>tone alerting you that the other is trying to contact you. The Police
>>and other public safety agenies do not use DCS. Well, maybe some
>>federalis do but DCS technology has recently found its way into the ham
>>radio community. My hand held has DCS capabilities but I dont use them.
>>
>>Randy wrote:
>>
>>>Never heard of DCS. Can someone explain or direct me to a web site.
>>>thanks
>>>Randy
>>>
>>>Ed G. wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>There is a web site that has the CTCSS tones for Public Safety freqs,
>>>>anyone know where that is? I need to plug these things in to get rid
>>>>
> of
>
>>>>tons of static and maintain my sanity. Thanks in advance.
>>>>
>>>>

>>>>>
>>>> Be aware that for many years technology has been moving from CTCSS
>>>>
> to DCS
>
>>>>(digital coded squelch). Some of the systems you want to listen to
>>>>
> may be
>
>>>>using DCS.
>>>>
>>>> Ed
>>>>
>>>>
>
>

1929. Re: Scanner mobile antenna help please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 03:44:36 UTC
From: "Louis J. Giliberto, Jr." <noemail@spamstinks.com>
Message-ID: <ubhup5l3cijc7c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49545

"Skweezieweezie" <noway@thistime.now> wrote in message
news:1ePt8.12216$r5.3649013@news1.epix.net...
> Your answer lies in the fact that when the car is off, there is no noise.
> The most probable culprit is the ECU or ignition system in the vehicle
> creating a lot of RF hash. I'll bet the problem is the worst on Low-Band.
> The RS glass mount isn't the greatest, but if you raise the noise floor
> far enough, ANY antenna will perform poorly.

I have that problem in my Suburban. TJ, either wire the radio directly to
the battery (make sure you have a good fuse),
get an ignition noise filter (capacitor) from RS, or both. I agree with
Skweezieweezie; it sounds more like an electrical noise
problem than the antenna.

1930. Re: Antenna Lenght Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 03:51:16 UTC
From: Steve Uhrig <Steve@swssec.com>
Message-ID: <73vhbu4cruh87nfo2sk6214hdvjau2vd7r@4ax.com>
Source record: 49546

On Sat, 13 Apr 2002 20:11:16 GMT, Tom Rodgers <tmas56@att.net> wrote:

>Does anyone know where I can find a chart that matches frequency range
>to antenna length? Id like to know to what size I should adjust my
>scanner handheld whip for each frequency.

The other replies are right on. Mine mostly is redundant but I had started it and don't want to waste it!

234 divided by frequency in megahertz gives you the length in feet for a 1/4 wave antenna. Multiply by 12 for length in inches. This is in free space theoretically, and actual wavelength in a conductor will be a bit shorter (multiply by 0.8 if you care), but for your purposes it will not matter. You could spreadsheet the formula and print a chart from DC to light. Make your steps perhaps every 10 or 25 megacycles from 50 to 1000 megacycles. That would be practical.

Memorize a few bands, like 150 and 450 and 900 megacycles, 18 inches, 6 inches and 3 inches respectively, rounded slightly and the difference for receive is insignificant. If you memorize these three, you can interpolate in your head to anything else. You will see the pattern. 50 megacycles will be three times longer than 150, or 54 inches. That's probably longer than your antenna telescopes.

A whole lot of this is pure theory, and does not take into account practical considerations. Many times, especially if you are in a strong signal or high background RF area, you are better with a shorter antenna than a longer one.

Most people would be benefitted more by using an attenuator than a preamp.

There are a few antenna articles on our website Articles section which have theory and practical, in a very easy to understand form. The articles are aimed at law enforcement surveillance technicians, but the principles are identical. All have been published.

All that having been said, put the antenna to where it receives best, which will change with different stations very close in frequency, and will change as you move around, with weather, season and many other factors. There is theory behind all the apparent vagarities. The ARRL Radio Amateur's Handbook (any year) is a good place to start if you are interested in pursing it.

Steve

****
Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)
Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip
mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190
"In God we trust, all others we monitor"
****

1931. Re: Are any "digital" cordless phones really secure?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 04:26:02 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <8d1ibus7evlirllk13g55f4sii9qkt9mdk@4ax.com>
Source record: 49447
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On 13 Apr 2002 05:14:23 -0700, johnfofawn@hotmail.com (John) wrote:

>krackula <krackula@i.am> wrote in message
news:<9c2fbu07n9mdt02h76j7tfs6mrbs8vn13j@4ax.com>...
>> Hi John ....
>>
>>
>> On 12 Apr 2002 18:13:17 -0700, johnfofawn@hotmail.com (John) wrote:
>>
>> >I understand that the Panasonic "Digital Spread Spectrum" doesn't
>> >really transmit a digital signal.
>>
>> if they are " spread spectrum " ... they are secure (for the most
>> part) , no matter what you call it.
>
>The problem is they aren't really spread spectrum. That's why I put it
>in quotes. Panasonic claims it's spread spectrum, but what they mean
>by that is that it hops around to different frequencies at different
>times. The rate of the hopping isn't that great either.
>
>Since there is a digital circuit that controls the hopping, they claim
>it's Digital Spread Spectrum.

I have several Panasonic hopping chassis here (needed them to
" borrow " the spreading PIN from .. for testing) all of them are
spread spectrum. Never heard of a consumer " hopping " radio that
wasn't spread spectrum.

>
>It's really an analog signal that is VERY easy to listen to with a
>$400 Uniden scanner.

totally false ..there is NO (read that absolutely NONE) consumer
scanner ..not even for $5000 that can receive ANY hopping signals
of any kind. This is especially true of Uniden equipment. Don't
confuse trunking signals with frequency hopping types ..they are
" radically " different types of emission. Hopping radios have VERY
short dwell times compared to trunking radios ... analog audio
on a hopping radio would be VERY difficult to integrate properly.
Hopping radios , by their very nature , have to have digital voice
encoding. (phase demodulation of FM signals has certain " native "
time latencies by design)
Trunking radios can track changing channels (which are very slowly
changing) because there is a control channel to tell the scanner

where to go. Cordless phones have NO such control channel , thus preventing ANY scanner from following them. (has to be chip prearranged algorithm or carrier encoded digital signal sync to work properly. Neither available in ANY scanner ever made)

>
>802.11b is spread spectrum, right? Is it secure? Go to
>www.wardriving.com and then tell me how secure spread spectrum is?

like it said it's TOTALLY secure ..if they say it isn't they are full of crap.
Panasonic , Sony , Vtek ..nearly everyone making spread or hopping spreaders use the same Rockwell Company spread / hopping engine chipsets made in the usa. So far ..the only way " any civilian " has decoded them is by using the proper proprietary chip set and manipulating the eprom spread ing code. Open your Panasonic phone and THAT 18 or 21 digit number plastered inside both the handset and main unit is the spreading code. There are , at the least 10s of 1000s of potential similar codes that it could also be. It takes a " serious " mainframe to process all the potential combinations to get the proper working code that will demodulate the signals. Left to full time trying .. it can take weeks for a parallel processing p4 computer to make the right choice. This is ALWAYS longer than the conversation takes and usually requires many months of trying to get enough airtime to compare against. Hardly worth it for a home conversation. (it's FAR easier to just bug the phone line .. in this case) Only the government has the lists of codes that ALL makers use. They can easily get your conversation on the first attempt. No matter what kind of phone you use , they , can eavesdrop on it in some fashion or other VERY easily. Civilians ..forget about it , ..government ..there is nothing you can do to stop them ..other than not use a phone at all.

If you have a hopping radio of any kind , not to mention spread spectrum ... your conversations are VERY secure from any civilian eavesdropping of the radio signal , plain and simple.

Not sure ... have different ideas ...?? ...then it's VERY simple to use internet phone and the new FREE Pgp voice encrypting communications software to secure your calls. If you need privacy to that extent ..this is the only way to fly. Not even Uncle can eavesdrop on this stuff, plus all your long distance is free too.

krackula ...

>
>

krackula ....

1932. Low-band VHF Skip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 04:33:41 UTC
From: caradoc@kingaroy.big.net.au
Message-ID: <21e4779a.0204132033.6f2c10fd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49448

Down here in the Australia/New Zealand region, when we scan the 30MHz-50MHz range, we get skip signals (military/police/fire/amb) from Korea in our west, from China in our north and from the USA in our east. Range Control comms from places like Ft Hood, and State Police comms from places like South Carolina and Florida, make for good "exotic" listening here (on a UBC9000XLT, 20dB pre-amp and a discone).

My question is - what skip can others, in other parts of the world, hear in these bands?

Thanks
John Batty
Queensland Australia
http://www.shortwave-with-a-difference.com
Home of the SWAD CD

1933. Re: FS BC-350 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 05:19:55 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <oa4ibussi24r7hkjskgelq4fqo4a6gt91a@4ax.com>
Source record: 49449

there are no mods for the BC350....he he

On Sat, 13 Apr 2002 17:33:57 -0400, "gil" <gilpi@mindspring.com>
wrote:

>BC-350 in good condition, works 100% no mods, asking $70. shipped.
>
>Gil- N2WJW
>
>
>
>
>

1934. winscan 780 software wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 05:48:56 UTC
From: phill packer <besat@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <rfgibu8nijatatj40biirc49u9h1uklou8@4ax.com>
Source record: 49450

is there anyone out there with th e full version software i could have
, not he demo it sucks.

i will be greatfully in debt to you

thanks for anyone who sends

phill

besat@attbi.com

1935. Re: winscan 780 software wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 06:10:16 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <v29u8.11090$0a.3488126@twister.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 49451

"phill packer" <besat@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:rfgibu8nijatatj40biirc49u9h1uklou8@4ax.com...
> is there anyone out there with th e full version software i could have
> , not he demo it sucks.
>
> i will be greatfully in debt to you
>
>
> thanks for anyone who sends
>
>
> phill
>
>
> besat@attbi.com

Sure - send me $110.00 and I'll be glad to help.

ARC780 rules!

/`\\\
(@ @)
--------ooO---(_)---Ooo----------
Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KG WX
Design Services Company
http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com
Smoke free since 9/30/96!
ICQ# 63379650

1936. Re: Low-band VHF Skip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 07:15:16 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3CB92C84.38BB2E60@mitre.org>
Source record: 49452

Here in the North Eastern part of North America we receive "skip" form
California and the southern states
much of this will be going away due to 400,800 and 900 Mhz trunking radios
coming on line for Law Enforcement here in America. Also "skip" can
come over the Atlantic ocean from East Africa.
Jim
John Batty wrote:

> Down here in the Australia/New Zealand region, when we scan the
> 30MHz-50MHz range, we get skip signals (military/police/fire/amb) from
> Korea in our west, from China in our north and from the USA in our
> east. Range Control comms from places like Ft Hood, and State Police
> comms from places like South Carolina and Florida, make for good
> "exotic" listening here (on a UBC9000XLT, 20dB pre-amp and a discone).
>
> My question is - what skip can others, in other parts of the world,
> hear in these bands?
>
> Thanks
> John Batty
> Queensland Australia
> http://www.shortwave-with-a-difference.com
> Home of the SWAD CD

1937. Re: Anyone Downloaded AirNav-4 yet???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 08:51:24 UTC
From: Baz <vend666@nospamhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Rqbu8.5871$qa.227680@news8-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 49453
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi All,
I have been using Airnav 3 with no problems but I am trying Ainav 4 with the following problems.
My machine with Win Me the program loads and after the first tip of the day it just locks up and fails to respond.
With Win xp it loads ok but when I download from the internet it downloads 1 of 6 ok but as it switches to 2 it locks up and fails to respond.
This happens every time, I have sent an email but have not had any replies in the past and I am a registered user.
Any thoughts ?

Best regards Baz

1938. Re: Anyone Downloaded AirNav-4 yet???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 09:56:43 UTC
From: Baz <vend666@nospamhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5ocu8.6044$qa.233281@news8-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 49454
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

We have just installed it on to a machine with windows 98 and it will download the first file from the internet then as it switches to the second it locks up the same as xp.

Regards Baz

1939. Re: Anyone Downloaded AirNav-4 yet???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 10:14:55 UTC
From: "www.ar3000.info" <tom.mcqNOSPAM@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a9bkqv$1oa$1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49455
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi Baz,
Mine locks up and gives error message "ANST caused error in anst.exe"
occasionally.

After playing around a little further, I'm beginning to see a relationship
between the crashes and the "Internet Download" of AirNav.

I've had a reply from AirNav Support but it was the old chestnut..... "Do
you have a virus-checker running in the background". Der!!

I'll keep posting here to update you.

Regards,

Tom www.ar3000.info

"Baz" <vend666@nospamhotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Rqbu8.5871$qa.227680@news8-gui.server.ntli.net...
> Hi All,
> I have been using Airnav 3 with no problems but I am trying Ainav 4 with
the
> following problems.
> My machine with Win Me the program loads and after the first tip of the
day
> it just locks up and fails to respond.
> With Win xp it loads ok but when I download from the internet it downloads
1
> of 6 ok but as it switches to 2 it locks up and fails to respond.
> This happens every time, I have sent an email but have not had any replies
> in the past and I am a registered user.
> Any thoughts ?
>
> Best regards Baz
>
>

1940. Re: winscan 780 software wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 11:29:15 UTC
From: Blitz <WTVKZJHZMOYD@spammotel.com>
Message-ID: <fKdu8.28699$QC1.1903573@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 49456

No, he wants you to pay $110.00 for it then send it to him for free.

(your are right, ARC780 is better)

"Ken Bessler" <kg0wx@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:v29u8.11090$0a.3488126@twister.kc.rr.com...
> "phill packer" <besat@attbi.com> wrote in message
> news:rfgibu8nijatatj40biirc49u9h1uklou8@4ax.com...
> > is there anyone out there with th e full version software i could have
> > , not he demo it sucks.
> >
> > i will be greatfully in debt to you
> >
> >
> > thanks for anyone who sends
> >
> >
> > phill
> >
> >
> > besat@attbi.com
>
> Sure - send me $110.00 and I'll be glad to help.
>
>
> ARC780 rules!
>
>
> /`\\\
> (@ @)
> *--------ooO---(_)---Ooo----------*
> ﷺKen Bessler, Ham Callsign KGﷺWXﷺ
> ﷺ Design Services Company ﷺ
> ﷺ http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com ﷺ
> ﷺ Smoke free since 9/30/96! ﷺ
> ﷺ ICQ# 63379650ﷺ ﷺ
> *-----------------------------------*
>
>
>

1941. Re: Anyone Downloaded AirNav-4 yet???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 12:00:35 UTC
From: martin butcher <mbutcher@railfan37.fsnet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <a9br17$2jb$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 49457
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

After reading these threads I don't think I will bother
"www.ar3000.info" <tom.mcqNOSPAM@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:a97m5s$e96$1@helle.btinternet.com...
> I pre-ordered (and paid for) AirNav-4 and hooked it up for the first time
> tonight. It started off pretty well at first, but wasn't long before it
> started to become unstable. I think the best thing that I could say at
this
> early point is that it does NOT like to share resources with other
programs,
> so if you are like me and want to have other software running in the
> background, beware!!
>
> Instability and resource-greed aside, I am pretty impressed with the new
> layout and high-res, hi-detail maps. There's a host of new things that you
> can do with this version BUT there's what I consider to be a REAL
limitation
> in terms of window layout and this limitation was present in V3.1.
> Basically, you STILL cannot move certain windows outside the main window.
> For example, I have two monitors and would love to be able to drag the
World
> Map window over to my second monitor, leaving the option to display
another
> map on the primary monitor, but no, that's not possible. Seems such a
shame
> to be operating in a windowed environment without having the usual
> window-capabilities.
>
> Anyone else ?
> --
> Regards, Tom.
> *..<illegible> -:<illegible>:-
> <illegible>
> ((<illegible> ..-:<illegible>:- * www.ar3000.info * -:<illegible>:-
> -:<illegible>:- ((<illegible>*
>
> FREE RADIO SOFTWARE
>
>

1942. Re: FS Bearcat BC-350

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 12:06:07 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020414080607.01619.00000398@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 49458

i got my last 3 for 35.00 at pawn shops people hate them

1943. Re: Anyone Downloaded AirNav-4 yet???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 12:43:39 UTC
From: Ian <eavesdropper2001@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <a9bthv$48q$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 49459
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

more buggy as hell stuff from airnav...when they make something that doesn't crash every five minutres maybe it will be worth paying for.

ian

"martin butcher" <mbutcher@railfan37.fsnet.co.uk> wrote in message
news:a9br17$2jb$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk...
> After reading these threads I don't think I will bother
> "www.ar3000.info" <tom.mcqNOSPAM@btinternet.com> wrote in message
> news:a97m5s$e96$1@helle.btinternet.com...
> > I pre-ordered (and paid for) AirNav-4 and hooked it up for the first
time
> > tonight. It started off pretty well at first, but wasn't long before it
> > started to become unstable. I think the best thing that I could say at
> this
> > early point is that it does NOT like to share resources with other
> programs,
> > so if you are like me and want to have other software running in the
> > background, beware!!
> >
> > Instability and resource-greed aside, I am pretty impressed with the new
> > layout and high-res, hi-detail maps. There's a host of new things that
you
> > can do with this version BUT there's what I consider to be a REAL
> limitation
> > in terms of window layout and this limitation was present in V3.1.
> > Basically, you STILL cannot move certain windows outside the main
window.
> > For example, I have two monitors and would love to be able to drag the
> World
> > Map window over to my second monitor, leaving the option to display
> another
> > map on the primary monitor, but no, that's not possible. Seems such a
> shame
> > to be operating in a windowed environment without having the usual
> > window-capabilities.
> >
> > Anyone else ?
> > --
> > Regards, Tom.
> > *..<illegible>)) -:<illegible>:-
> > <illegible>.<illegible> .<illegible>))
> > ((<illegible>.<illegible> .<illegible> ..-:<illegible>:- * www.ar3000.info * -:<illegible>:-

> > -:�:- ((��.��*
> >
> > FREE RADIO SOFTWARE
> >
> >
>
>

1944. Re: CTCSS Tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 15:12:51 UTC
From: Carl <n7kuw@dropthisnospam.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <T%gu8.12408$4D6.726@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 49460

Yes there are, however you don't need a scanner/receiver capable of CTCSS or DCS to listen. You only need that if you want to only listen to one service on a channel that is shared by multiple services.

In other words, with no tone (CTCSS or DCS) setting on your receiver, you will hear everything, regardless of what tone it is using. If you use a receiver that is set to a specific tone, then you will only hear transmissions that have that specific tone and will not hear any others. As a general rule, not using a receive tone lets you hear more, and the only time you really need to know what the tone is, is if you need to transmit on the frequency (and some services use different transmit and receive tones, so just figuring out what tone they transmit does not mean you know what tone they receive).

For example, family radio service (FRS) radios have 14 frequencies they can operate on. Many have a variety of tones they can also use. Let's say someone is using a radio set to channel 3 tone 3. You use channel 3 with no tone. You will hear them, but they will not hear you. The tones provide no privacy, because you can hear them so long as you are not using a tone. You don't need to know what tone they are using in order to listen to them, only to talk to them.

I have a radio shack Pro-92 scanner which will almost instantly decode ctcss or dcs tones on a transmission. The scanner kind of sucks as a trunk tracker (IMHO), but is great for identifying tones. It will also let you program in a tone as a filter so you only hear traffic that is using the proper tone (which is what tones are all about to start with), but normally doesn't enhance scanning type of listening.

Carl.

Randy <bearcat@astound.net> wrote in message
news:3CB8F39D.6080005@astound.net...
> Thanks for the quick lesson. Are there DCS capable scanners/receivers?
> Thanks again.
> Randy
>
> Carl wrote:
>
> > DCS is digitally coded squelch, and consists of a group of codes used
much
> > like CTCSS tones. It is also referred to as DPL (digital private line -
a
> > Motorola name for digital squelch). It has been around for several
years,
> > and in fact many commercial 2-way radio services, including public

safety,
> > use it. It has only been added to ham radios in the last couple of
years
> > but has been around much longer than that. Not used anywhere near as
much as
> > CTCSS, it is nonetheless used in exactly the same manner on many
systems.
> >
> > Carl
> >
> >
> > Jesse <jplummer@bluefrognet.net> wrote in message
> > news:3CB7B536.F700F3B7@bluefrognet.net...
> >
> >>DCS is used to notify a specific person you are trying to contact them.
> >>If you and a another radio op program a frequency and add a dca tone to
> >>it, everytime one of you keys up, the other persons radio will sound a
> >>tone alerting you that the other is trying to contact you. The Police
> >>and other public safety agenies do not use DCS. Well, maybe some
> >>federalis do but DCS technology has recently found its way into the ham
> >>radio community. My hand held has DCS capabilities but I dont use them.
> >>
> >>Randy wrote:
> >>
> >>>Never heard of DCS. Can someone explain or direct me to a web site.
> >>>thanks
> >>>Randy
> >>>
> >>>Ed G. wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>There is a web site that has the CTCSS tones for Public Safety freqs,
> >>>>anyone know where that is? I need to plug these things in to get rid
> >>>>
> >>> of
> >>>
> >>>>tons of static and maintain my sanity. Thanks in advance.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> Be aware that for many years technology has been moving from CTCSS
> >>>>
> >>> to DCS
> >>>
> >>>>(digital coded squelch). Some of the systems you want to listen to
> >>>>
> >>> may be
> >>>
> >>>>using DCS.
> >>>>

> >>>> Ed
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >
> >
>

1945. Inmarsat A Satellite Reception System !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 15:14:23 UTC
From: Eric&Monica <j33@wf.net>
Message-ID: <ubj7dto13qg72c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49461

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1346201862

1946. Antenna Masts and Mounting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 15:28:19 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CB9A013.5010700@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 49462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm looking for some type of portable antenna mast. Something that is
free standing would be great and is able to put an antenna at least 15'
or higher.
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Comms, Freqs and MORE.

1947. Realistic 2004 anything worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 15:59:25 UTC
From: inscom76@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3cb9a50e.4781986@shawnews>
Source record: 49463

...still got a Realistic 2004, 25 to 1300 MHz (CONTINUOUSLY)
kicking around. Wonder, is still anything worth?

I hear, and I forget
I see, and I remember
O do, and I understand

1948. Re: Car antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 17:33:56 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <84ju8.34967$%A.2638107@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 49464
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You COULD use the car antenna, but you'd need a connector-adapter etc, plus the am/fm antenna cable is likely not very good for scanner-frequency ranges. And that suction-cup thing that comes with the BCT-12 is pretty lame.

Two suggestions I'd make would make:

MY favorite, which I use and recommend highly, is a window-clip mount... it hooks over the top of a side-window glass, and you attach a rubber (or other) antenna to it. It's pretty low profile, no holes or scratches in the car's paint; plus whenever you park the car it takes about 3 seconds to take it down and stash it under the seat. They come with about 6' of cable which you plug into the scanner. A side benefit... you can use it for a CB, ham radio etc., as it uses the radio's "stock" antenna. It's Radio Shack Cat.#: 20-023, $14.99. See it at http://makeashorterlink.com/?B52112FA (give the page a few seconds to redirect you)... the "low-tech" instruction page is at http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/doc47/47964.htm

If you feel the urge for a mag mount antenna, my recommendation would be Radio Shack Cat.#: 20-032 for about 30 bucks... it's a good, all-frequency scanner antenna, especially if you'll be listening to all bands... see it at http://makeashorterlink.com/?M14135FA

HTH...
--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications History

"user" <user@mail.com> wrote in message
news:Aj9u8.60536$ud6.2052238@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
> I recently bought a Uniden BCT-12 scanner and I'm wondering if I might be
> able to hook it up to my car's radio antenna...? The scanner comes with an
> antenna wire you can suction-cup to your windshield, but that's a bit messy
> and I don't think the range or reception I'm getting is great.
>
> If anyone could tell me whether that would work, I'd appreciate any advice
> you can give me. I'd really rather not mount a seperate antenna on my car
> if it can be helped.

>
> Please reply to the group.
>
> Thanks.
>
>

1949. Re: Anyone Downloaded AirNav-4 yet???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 17:43:35 UTC
From: JohnL <xyz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.172389d4bc0460e59896a8@news.cis.dfn.de>
Source record: 49465
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <a9bthv$48q$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>, eavesdropper2001
@yahoo.co.uk says...
> more buggy as hell stuff from airnav...when they make something that doesn't
> crash every five minutres maybe it will be worth paying for.
>
> ian

Anyone tried it on something besides Win95/98/ME? How does it run on
NT4/W2K/XP?

--
Note the return email address used in this post is not valid.

1950. Re: Realistic 2004 anything worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 17:58:43 UTC
From: **Sorcerer** <spamrog@walesuk.net>
Message-ID: <a9cg0j$5lb$1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49466

About ?10 or ?15 I expect

jik

.
"Inscom Jones" <inscom76@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3cb9a50e.4781986@shawnews...
> ...still got a Realistic 2004, 25 to 1300 MHz (CONTINUOUSLY)
> kicking around. Wonder, is still anything worth?
>
> I hear, and I forget
> I see, and I remember
> O do, and I understand
>

1951. Re: sanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 18:17:10 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <r9djbucohpohfecnadr2gjplj9c442vb8m@4ax.com>
Source record: 49467

On 14 Apr 2002 02:44:14 GMT, gentl2@aol.com (Gentl2) wrote:

[snip]
> I want to buy a new scanner and I know they don't pick up cell freqs. I recall
>years ago seeing this "cell antenna" that replaces the factor and it is supose
>to pick up the freqs I want. Has anyone on this board seen one, own one and/or
>actually used one and if so how was the quality.

There are two kinds of products that are advertised as cell antennas.

One is a modestly impressive looking sticker that you put under the
battery in a cell phone to improve reception. I'm no expert, but IMHO
those do no good other than to lighten your wallet.

The other is cell antennas themselves, which come in a variety of
styles and connections. Since cell frequencies are in the middle of
the 800 MHz band, people with an interest in 800 MHz stuff (like
trunking systems) will use those on their scanners.

But there is nothing that will enable a scanner to pick up frequencies
that it is not built to receive.

I see you've already gotten the "Listening to cell is illegal in the
US" lecture. Those laws are getting tighter and tighter.

Ken Plotkin

1952. Anyone know of any mods for the Radio Shack Pro-89 or Pro-28

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 18:25:02 UTC
From: voidxar@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <dbea88e8.0204141025.4c5cbf66@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49468

Hi all,

Does anyone know of any mods for the radio shack scanners Pro-89 or
Pro-28, and or know of a few good radio mod listing sites on the web.

Thank You

Kelvin

1953. Rechargable Battery Choices for PRO-93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 19:25:25 UTC
From: Doug Kiner <xdougk911x@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ujljbucc616k2q8aibdulgi43g5bde5s14@4ax.com>
Source record: 49470

I'm tired of burning through regular AA batteries in my PRO-93 - Looking for recommendations for the best choice for AA rechargables for this radio, and recommended vendors, if applicable.

E-mail directly or post - TIA!

Doug Kiner xdougk911x@yahoo.com
ICQ# 14982243
Remove the X's for e-mail
"It seemed like a good idea at the time"
(remove the obvious part for e-mail)

1954. Frequencies for Florence South Carolina Airshow May 4-5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 19:55:18 UTC
From: Morris Turbeville <morris1@intrstar.net>
Message-ID: <V%ku8.45298$To6.12399303@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49469

Need frequencies for the airshow scheduled for May 4-5 in Florence South Carolina. Need performers,groundcrews,tower,security,etc

1955. Re: Rechargable Battery Choices for PRO-93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 20:35:24 UTC
From: Doug Kiner <xdougk911x@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6tpjbucrrt5ujbcft5ibt4d59euri46htc@4ax.com>
Source record: 49471

That should read "PRO-92", not pro-93 .... Ooops

On Sun, 14 Apr 2002 12:25:25 -0700, Doug Kiner <xdougk911x@yahoo.com> wrote:

>I'm tired of burning through regular AA batteries in my PRO-93 [snip]

Doug Kiner xdougk911x@yahoo.com
ICQ# 14982243
Remove the X's for e-mail
"It seemed like a good idea at the time"
(remove the obvious part for e-mail)

1956. Re: Rechargable Battery Choices for PRO-93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 21:44:47 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3CB9F846.C215AE77@optonline.net>
Source record: 49472

I use Radio Shack NiMH batteries in my PRO-92. 1600mAHr, if I remember correctly. I recharge them overnight in the radio. Typical cycle is 14 hour overnight charge, 10 hour usage, per day

They work very well!

Walt

Doug Kiner wrote:
>
> That should read "PRO-92", not pro-93 .... Ooops
>
> On Sun, 14 Apr 2002 12:25:25 -0700, Doug Kiner <xdougk911x@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >I'm tired of burning through regular AA batteries in my PRO-93 [snip]
>
> ---
> Doug Kiner xdougk911x@yahoo.com
> ICQ# 14982243
> Remove the X's for e-mail
> "It seemed like a good idea at the time"
> (remove the obvious part for e-mail)

1957. Mobile antenna noise (update)BC760

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 22:19:54 UTC
From: magnum44nc@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020414181954.00788.00005037@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 49473

OK...The last post got good response,but there seems to be some misunderstandings....Probably I didnt explain things in enough detail..... (Thanks for all the previous helpful replys).....

Its a Bearcat760 XLT.....The noise I seem to get is a raspy,popping sound...It is not..I repeat..NOT...In time with the alternator...Meaning as the engine revs faster,the noise does not necessarily increase....It is not like the whine You get from a CB,and then if You turn on thr ANL/NB,it goes away...It is just a popping,snappy sort of noise...It only happens when the car in ON..And MOVING....The faster the car goes,it seems the noise worsens....
The antenna is a stick on the glass ratshack antenna with a 16(maybe 17) foot piece of premade coax.....For what its worth,the WEATHER band I receive at around 162 MHZ,is clear and clean...And I am 40 miles away from the broadcast center...But higher up,800-900 MHZ,the noise is most prominent...
I suspect it is the crappy antenna,as previous posts said...I will buy a new trunk mount from scannerworld.com....I think that will solve the problems....I will certainly report back here with updates......Stay tuned.....!!!

1958. Re: Rechargable Battery Choices for PRO-93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 22:50:26 UTC
From: "Louis J. Giliberto, Jr." <noemail@spamstinks.com>
Message-ID: <ubk1tl8horjj27@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49474

I also use the ratshack batteries (1600mAhr), but on the Pro-93, and they
also work fine.

I would just buy the cheapest batteries with the highest capacity. For use
in a radio, I don't think there will be much difference.
If you're using them in a high-drain device like a digital camera, then you
may want to be more picky.

-Lou

"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3CB9F846.C215AE77@optonline.net...
> I use Radio Shack NiMH batteries in my PRO-92. 1600mAHr, if I remember
> correctly. I recharge them overnight in the radio. Typical cycle is 14
> hour overnight charge, 10 hour usage, per day
>
> They work very well!
>
> Walt
>
> Doug Kiner wrote:
> >
> > That should read "PRO-92", not pro-93 .... Ooops
> >
> > On Sun, 14 Apr 2002 12:25:25 -0700, Doug Kiner <xdougk911x@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> >
> > >I'm tired of burning through regular AA batteries in my PRO-93 [snip]
> >
> > ---
> > Doug Kiner xdougk911x@yahoo.com
> > ICQ# 14982243
> > Remove the X's for e-mail
> > "It seemed like a good idea at the time"
> > (remove the obvious part for e-mail)

1959. Re: winscan 780 software wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 22:52:03 UTC
From: FleaBag <fleabag@aol.com>
Message-ID: <hHnu8.87745$gA5.7209140@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49475

I'll take a Copy Also !!!!!!
As long as you are giving them away !!!!
Cool !!!

"Blitz" <WTVKZJHZMOYD@spammotel.com> wrote in message
news:fKdu8.28699$QC1.1903573@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> No, he wants you to pay $110.00 for it then send it to him for free.
>
> (your are right, ARC780 is better)
>
> "Ken Bessler" <kg0wx@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:v29u8.11090$0a.3488126@twister.kc.rr.com...
> > "phill packer" <besat@attbi.com> wrote in message
> > news:rfgibu8nijatatj40biirc49u9h1uklou8@4ax.com...
> > > is there anyone out there with th e full version software i could have
> > > , not he demo it sucks.
> > >
> > > i will be greatfully in debt to you
> > >
> > >
> > > thanks for anyone who sends
> > >
> > >
> > > phill
> > >
> > >
> > > besat@attbi.com
> >
> > Sure - send me $110.00 and I'll be glad to help.
> >
> >
> > ARC780 rules!
> >
> >
> > /`\\\
> > (@ @)
> > *--------ooO---(_)---Ooo----------*
> > > > Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KG WX
> > Design Services Company
> > http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com
> > Smoke free since 9/30/96!
> > ICQ# 63379650
> > *----------*

> >
> >
> >
>
>

1960. bc760xlt power info ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-14 23:11:42 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <343kbuopb6sc1r5j2sbbal9cg4fd9cif4c@4ax.com>
Source record: 49476

anybody mind giving the information from the back of the ac adapter
for the BC760xlt??

Voltage
amps-milliamps
etc....

1961. Re: CTCSS Tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 00:46:38 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020414204638.11738.00004735@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 49477

>> ... and the only time you really need to know what the tone is, is if you need to transmit ...

Knowing who uses what tone on which frequency will also tell you if you are hearing your local agency, or an agency not normally heard on the frequency.

Some agencies use multiple tones on the repeater outputs for different repeater sites.

Scanners like the Pro 92 can be set to read the tone, without filtering a particular tone. Great feature for searching, and listening during band enhancements.

Jeff Multer
Fort Mill, S.C.

1962. FA: RS TRC-465 40 Ch. AM/SSB Mobile CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 00:58:48 UTC
From: CB Radio Dude <lm@Yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cBpu8.5141$J0C.3197@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 49478
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,tor.forsale,tor.forsale.misc

```
--
Check out my auctions @
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1345679635

---
Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.346 / Virus Database: 194 - Release Date: 4/10/2002
```

1963. Re: JACOBS FIELD (CLEVELAND INDIANS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 01:02:09 UTC
From: chief305@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29886-3CBA2691-382@storefull-2336.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 49480

Try 424.725

Dave

CPD Tech Control

1964. Re: Beachwood, NJ fire frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 01:03:18 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020414210318.11738.00004740@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 49479

Andy,

Sorry about Rafman's reply ... he was having a bad day.

I believe Ocean County has a set bandplan for the county fire departments.

The following website might give you some guidance:

http://www.geocities.com/njmatt2004/oceannj.html

The person who has that site might be able to offer more information if you contact him directly.

Another page to check out, once you work through the pop-up ads, is:

http://maxpages.com/frequencies/Ocean_City

This second site has similar information.

From your location, you possibly can't hear a couple of the frequencies Beachwood is licensed for. They have several frequencies licensed to the town hall that are low ERP. But fire dispatch should be via the county.

Good luck,

Jeff Multer
Fort Mill, S.C.
(occasional visitor to Ocean Beach many years ago ... family still in Brick Township)

1965. Freq Managment Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 01:17:25 UTC
From: GRS <blimpieserv@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <FSpu8.53364$%8.4424916@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 49481
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm looking for a database type program to manage the freq's I've gathered. I know there are plenty of programs specific to any certain radio model, but I'm just looking for a non-radio-specific program. Any suggestions would be great.

--greg

1966. Re: Antenna Masts and Mounting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 01:22:25 UTC
From: Pat Hart <pathart@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ubkar9bv1sfo65@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49482
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

http://www.antennamast.com/

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3CB9A013.5010700@licensed4fun.com...
> I'm looking for some type of portable antenna mast. Something that is
> free standing would be great and is able to put an antenna at least 15'
> or higher.
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Comms, Freqs and MORE.
>
>

1967. Re: New Cell Phone Mod!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 02:08:29 UTC
From: Pat Hart <pathart@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ubkdhil5bvu208@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49483

Ok! I fell out of my chair cracking up
"Keith" <nospamplease@newsguy.com> wrote in message
news:a99sdt01dch@enews3.newsguy.com...
> http://laurent.ca/phonemod.html
> After completely this mod you can easily access the phones electronics
> and even use it to monitor 800 MHz trunked systems!
>
>
>
> --
> Best Regards,
>
> Keith Reply-to Address is valid.
> ==
> My Home Page http://n6jpa.home.attbi.com/ Free Software links.
> "Did Congress Woman Cynthia McKinney say these things while standing
> on a grassy knoll in Roswell, NM?" (In Regards to a conspiracy theory
> regarding President Bush and the 9/11 attack.)
> ==

1968. Re: FA : Radio SHack Pro-43 MINT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 02:10:25 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <lEqu8.126320$in3.33728912@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 49484

Thanks for the heads up. Yes, it was a typo and has been corrected.

Dan

"Neutrodyne" <neutrodyne@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020412174729.12060.00002420@mb-ck.aol.com...
> >Subject: FA : Radio SHack Pro-43 MINT!
> >From: "Dan Conti" dconti@nj.rr.com
> >Date: 4/12/2002 4:16 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <w8It8.88908$9N1.8841944@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
> >
> >CHeck out my auction on this classic scanner!
> >
> >
> >http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1345953011
>
> I thought you were expecting an awful lot for that scanner, when you asked
> 48.00 for USPS shipping and insurance (that's a LOT of insurance!). The I
> figured it's a typo for $8.00. You might want to see if you can correct it
> before anybody bids on it. I always miss something in my own listings....
Good
> luck.
> Neutrodyne
>

1969. Re: bc760xlt power info ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 03:18:24 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <4Eru8.36286$%A.2728978@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 49485
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I don't have the stock adapter, but the one I've been using for about
two years is

120v AC 60Hz 35W -Input
12V DC 1500mA tip-positive out... probably more juice than it needs, but
as I say, it's worked for me for a long time.

Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/click.htm <~~ LAPD North Hollywood
Shootout

"rugerp85" <rugerp85@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:343kbuopb6sc1r5j2sbbal9cg4fd9cif4c@4ax.com...
> anybody mind giving the information from the back of the ac adapter
> for the BC760xlt??
>
> Voltage
> amps-milliamps
> etc....

1970. IC-R3 Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 03:20:28 UTC
From: milshell <agentx2@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ubkhpfbo9crdc6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49486
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone have a copy of the CS-R3 cloning software they could send me? So far my first reaction to spending so much on this radio has been "What have I done?".

Thanks in advance
agentx2@earthlink.net

1971. Re: IC-R3 Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 03:28:43 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3cba49ac.15811512@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 49487
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Do you have a free scanner you could send me?

On Sun, 14 Apr 2002 21:20:28 -0600, "milshell" <agentx2@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>Does anyone have a copy of the CS-R3 cloning software they could send me? So
>far my first reaction to spending so much on this radio has been "What have
>I done?".
>
>Thanks in advance
>agentx2@earthlink.net
>
>

1972. Re: CTCSS Tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 03:54:38 UTC
From: Jesse <jplummer@bluefrognet.net>
Message-ID: <3CBA4EFE.24B7926F@bluefrognet.net>
Source record: 49492

I live in West Seneca NY and they use 155.255 for their primary freq and
not far from here, say 30 minutes drive, Lockport uses the exact same
freq for their pirmary. They use different PL's and I would like to PL
the freq to hear only West Seneca.

Jeff Multer wrote:
>
> >> ... and the only time you really need to know what the tone is, is if you
> need to transmit ...
>
> Knowing who uses what tone on which frequency will also tell you if you are
> hearing your local agency, or an agency not normally heard on the frequency.
>
> Some agencies use multiple tones on the repeater outputs for different repeater
> sites.
>
> Scanners like the Pro 92 can be set to read the tone, without filtering a
> particular tone. Great feature for searching, and listening during band
> enhancements.
>
> Jeff Multer
> Fort Mill, S.C.

1973. Re: IC-R3 Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 03:56:11 UTC
From: roughplanet <roughplanet@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3cba4f55$0$11452$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 49489
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"milshell" <agentx2@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:ubkhpfbo9crdc6@corp.supernews.com...
>Does anyone have a copy of the CS-R3 cloning software they >could send me?
So far my first reaction to spending so much on >this radio has been "What
have I done?".
>
>Thanks in advance
>agentx2@earthlink.net

Well if you don't know, I'm sure no-one here knows either. The IC-R3 is
merely a toy, albeit an expensive and well performing one. But a
semi-professional handheld scanning receiver like the AR-8200 MK II, or the
Alinco DJ-X2000 it ain't, and no amount of software, free or otherwise, is
going to make it one. As the saying goes, 'You can't make a silk purse out
of a sow's ear', and the IC-R3 is most definitely in the latter category.
Sorry.

Ruff

1974. Trying to reach Realsond@aol.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 03:57:38 UTC
From: Gary-Jacek@telus.net
Message-ID: <Scsu8.14796$r42.366272@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 49488
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.security.alarms

If Realsond@aol.com (Mike) is still out there, please
contact me about the Pro-27.

ve7ggj@rac.ca

1975. Re: Frequencies for Florence South Carolina Airshow May 4-5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 04:05:12 UTC
From: TexasSteven <rothrock@nospamforme.stic.net>
Message-ID: <3cba5018$0$3686$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 49490

Morris Turbeville Wrote:

Need frequencies for the airshow scheduled for May 4-5 in Florence South Carolina. Need
performers,groundcrews,tower,security,etc

Give this link a try
http://www.cityfreq.com:81/sc/florence/

TexasSteven

1976. Optiscan SBE-12SM FREE TO GOOD HOME!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 04:19:08 UTC
From: Gary-Jacek@telus.net
Message-ID: <0xsu8.14819$r42.368708@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 49491
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Cleaning out the shack.

Looking for a new home is an original Optiscan SBE-12SM card-programmable
scanning receiver.

You can see a photo of this receiver at
http://www3.telus.net/gary-jacek/Optiscan.html

You can also download free software to assist you in preparing the
included program card for your local listening frequencies.

This receiver operates normally except that the squelch opens
only momentarily when a frequency of interest comes active.

I don't have the wherewithall to fix it, so I am offering it
FREE to the first person who wants it badly enough to pay
the postage. Be warned, this collectible weighs a good 8 or 10 pounds.

FREE to anyone who will pay the postage.

ve7ggj@rac.ca

1977. Cleaning out the shack: FS: RS Pro-27 2-Channel Collectors Item

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 04:41:53 UTC
From: Gary-Jacek@telus.net
Message-ID: <lSsu8.14824$r42.370649@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 49493
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Cleaning out the shack.

For sale is an original RS Pro-27 2 channel pocket receiver.

You can see a photo of this particular receiver and access
the users manual at http://www3.telus.net/gary-jacek/Pro-27.html

This highly collectible, working receiver fits easily into a shirt pocket
and operates on a 9-volt battery.

$30US postage paid

1978. Need some expert advice on choosing a scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 07:51:09 UTC
From: Bart Senior <senior@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <NDvu8.72898$8E3.18309032@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 49494

I'd appreciate help from any of you scanner guru's out there. I have been doing a lot of research and have been unable to find a scanner that will do what I want for a reasonable price.

I'm looking for a scanner that is unblocked or has a mod to be unblocked, DC to 1.3GHz or above, without any gaps--I especially want to receive 219-225 MHz.

Trunking is optional and not terribly important to me.

Is AOR my best bet, or is there anything else out there?

TIA

Bart

1979. PC Programming for Radio Shack Pro-76, Pro-79, Pro-89, Pro-2016 and Pro-2017

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 09:08:24 UTC
From: Riley <hpblm@glyff.net>
Message-ID: <ubl64o8r2h4h86@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm looking for any information anyone might have about the pc programming protocol used by the Radio Shack Pro-76, Pro-79, Pro-89, Pro-2016 and Pro-2017 handheld scanners. The software included in the "Scanner Data-Loading Software and Cable" package (Radio Shack P/N 20-048) lacks many features and is not compatible with Windows NT-based operating systems (Windows NT, Windows 2000, Windows XP). If I can figure out the protocol, I can write a program with more features and better compatability.

So far, I have figured out the wiring of the cable, and have an idea of the general structure of the data. I really need help figuring out what appears to be a CRC value. I've been using a program called Slurp to capture the data from Radio Shack's program running on another computer through a null-modem cable.

Here's the information I have about the cable:

The scanner end is a standard stereo phono connecter that goes into the headphone jack. The PC end is a standard female DB9 connector. The sleeve (bottom section) of the phono connector is the ground and is connected to pin 5 of the DB9 connector. The ring (middle section) of the phono connector is RXD (receive data) for the scanner and is connected to pin 3 of the DB9 connector which is TXD (transfer data) for the PC. The tip (top section) of the phono connector is mono audio for when headphones are connected and is not connected to the PC end of the cable. The serial connection settings are 4800 bps, 8 data bits, no parity, 2 stop bits, no flow control.

I have gathered some information about the actual protocol, but it is too lengthy to include in this message.

PLEASE E-Mail me if you have any information or would like to help.

--
Riley
hpblm@glyff.net

1980. Re: bc760xlt power info ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 10:03:53 UTC
From: GORDON SMITH <kc2bw@att.net>
Message-ID: <3CBAA635.B0F205C8@att.net>
Source record: 49496

DC 13.5v 700ma out

rugerp85 wrote:
>
> anybody mind giving the information from the back of the ac adapter
> for the BC760xlt??
>
> Voltage
> amps-milliamps
> etc....

--
To reply take the 33 from the address

1981. Re: sanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 11:20:45 UTC
From: dgrup@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020415072045.18185.00004029@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 49397

>[snip]
>> I want to buy a new scanner and I know they don't pick up cell freqs. I
>recall
>>years ago seeing this "cell antenna" that replaces the factor and it is
>supose
>>to pick up the freqs I want. Has anyone on this board seen one, own one
>and/or
>>actually used one and if so how was the quality.
>
>There are two kinds of products that are advertised as cell antennas.
>
>One is a modestly impressive looking sticker that you put under the
>battery in a cell phone to improve reception. I'm no expert, but IMHO
>those do no good other than to lighten your wallet.
>
>The other is cell antennas themselves, which come in a variety of
>styles and connections. Since cell frequencies are in the middle of
>the 800 MHz band, people with an interest in 800 MHz stuff (like
>trunking systems) will use those on their scanners.
>
>But there is nothing that will enable a scanner to pick up frequencies
>that it is not built to receive.
>
>I see you've already gotten the "Listening to cell is illegal in the
>US" lecture. Those laws are getting tighter and tighter.
>
>Ken Plotkin

I think what the brother is asking about is a converter box. I've got one laying around somewhere that will convert downward 400Mhz that I built from a kit. I think you could buy one already built that had a BNC fitting and would attach directly to the top of a portable, and your antenna into it. Worked fairly well.

Regards,

Dennis

1982. Re: Antenna Masts and Mounting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 11:33:25 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CBABA85.8050600@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 49398
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Pat Hart wrote:

> http://www.antennamast.com/

I had seen them, but at over $500.00 I don't think so.

I make Over $5000 per Week on eBay!
Make eBay(tm) Your Job and Earn BIG $$$
---->http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay<----

1983. Antenna Masts *!*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 12:18:31 UTC
From: Danny Brown <Irish_Shergar@btopenworld.com>
Message-ID: <a9egen$t3h$1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi George...
I think your web site is an amazing benefit to all who enjoy the hobby of scanning and I appreciate the hard work you have obviously put into creating it... but I have to say George if I was making over $5000 a week on ebay I wouldn't be complaining about an antenna mast costing $500... especially since I paid much more for mine... You have to be prepared to pay for quality. Just my humble opinion sorry.

--
73's and 51's from Danny

1984. Re: CTCSS Tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 13:08:43 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020415090843.18361.00003310@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 49400

Jesse,

The correct frequency is 155.250 MHz. West Seneca (Erie County) uses a tone of 162.2 Hz on their channel, and Lockport uses a tone of 151.4 Hz on their channel.

Dave / NF2G has an excellent web site, providing alot of frequency and tone information for the State of N.Y., by county. He also lists three digital channels in the 420 MHz band for West Seneca Police.

The address is:
http://nf2g.com/scannist/nys_index.html

If you're using a Uniden 780, you can program the squelch on a particular channel to "open" OR "close" for a specific tone.

Jeff Multer, Fort Mill, S.C.

>> I live in West Seneca NY and they use 155.255 for their primary freq and not far from here, say 30 minutes drive, Lockport uses the exact same freq for their pirmary.
>> They use different PL's and I would like to PL the freq to hear only West Seneca.

1985. Re: winscan 780 software wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 13:21:33 UTC
From: "Richard L. Dunklee, Jr." <richard.l.dunklee@tc.faa.gov>
Message-ID: <3CBAD3DD.8FD23837@tc.faa.gov>
Source record: 49401

Try this web site:

http://www.scannermaster.com/products/software/win780/index.php

$69.95

It is excellent software for the '780.

Richard L. Dunklee, Jr.

phill packer wrote:

> is there anyone out there with th e full version software i could have
> , not he demo it sucks.
>
> i will be greatfully in debt to you
>
> thanks for anyone who sends
>
> phill
>
> besat@attbi.com

1986. Re: sanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 13:25:30 UTC
From: Tom <mmman_90@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ubll54p1ptfg65@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49402

"Dgrup" <dgrup@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020415072045.18185.00004029@mb-fd.aol.com...
> >[snip]
> >> I want to buy a new scanner and I know they don't pick up cell freqs. I
> >recall
> >>years ago seeing this "cell antenna" that replaces the factor and it is
> >supose
> >>to pick up the freqs I want. Has anyone on this board seen one, own one
> >and/or
> >>actually used one and if so how was the quality.
> >
> >There are two kinds of products that are advertised as cell antennas.
> >
> >One is a modestly impressive looking sticker that you put under the
> >battery in a cell phone to improve reception. I'm no expert, but IMHO
> >those do no good other than to lighten your wallet.
> >
> >The other is cell antennas themselves, which come in a variety of
> >styles and connections. Since cell frequencies are in the middle of
> >the 800 MHz band, people with an interest in 800 MHz stuff (like
> >trunking systems) will use those on their scanners.
> >
> >But there is nothing that will enable a scanner to pick up frequencies
> >that it is not built to receive.
> >
> >I see you've already gotten the "Listening to cell is illegal in the
> >US" lecture. Those laws are getting tighter and tighter.
> >
> >Ken Plotkin
>
>Dennis: I think what the brother is asking about is a converter box. I've
got one
> laying around somewhere that will convert downward 400Mhz that I built
from a
> kit. I think you could buy one already built that had a BNC fitting and
would
> attach directly to the top of a portable, and your antenna into it.
Worked
> fairly well.

Tom: That sounds like what he is describing. I have one that I bought years
ago and this conversation got me to wondering where it was. I looked for it
over the weekend and finally found it.
If I remember correctly, you program frequencies 400Mhz below what you are

trying to listen to, 800.500 would be programmed as 400.500. Later today I think I will see if it still works.

1987. Re: IC-R3 Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 13:31:00 UTC
From: milshell <agentx2@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ublli5rlqgl30c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49403
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I know. I know.
agentx2@earthlink.net
"Bruce Markowitz" <scosgt@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:3cba49ac.15811512@news-server.optonline.net...
> Do you have a free scanner you could send me?
>
> On Sun, 14 Apr 2002 21:20:28 -0600, "milshell" <agentx2@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Does anyone have a copy of the CS-R3 cloning software they could send me?
So
> >far my first reaction to spending so much on this radio has been "What
have
> >I done?".
> >
> >Thanks in advance
> >agentx2@earthlink.net
> >
> >
>

1988. Re: IC-R3 Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 13:33:31 UTC
From: milshell <agentx2@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ubllmvm7jlvo4f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49404
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yeah I'm seeing that. I guess I'll be ok if I just see it for what it is. A novelty with a cool display ;-) or the ultimate weather radio.
"roughplanet" <roughplanet@optushome.com.au> wrote in message news:3cba4f55$0$11452$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> "milshell" <agentx2@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:ubkhpfbo9crdc6@corp.supernews.com...
> >Does anyone have a copy of the CS-R3 cloning software they >could send me?
> So far my first reaction to spending so much on >this radio has been "What
> have I done?".
> >
> >Thanks in advance
> >agentx2@earthlink.net
>
>
> Well if you don't know, I'm sure no-one here knows either. The IC-R3 is
> merely a toy, albeit an expensive and well performing one. But a
> semi-professional handheld scanning receiver like the AR-8200 MK II, or the
> Alinco DJ-X2000 it ain't, and no amount of software, free or otherwise, is
> going to make it one. As the saying goes, 'You can't make a silk purse out
> of a sow's ear', and the IC-R3 is most definitely in the latter category.
> Sorry.
>
> Ruff
>
>

1989. Re: Looking for Seattle area scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 13:44:11 UTC
From: HFUTILITYMAN
Message-ID: <3cbad82b.4423590@news.newsgroups.st>
Source record: 49406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Been here for two years now. What you need to know. Web page quoted is great.
Lots of good Milair around here and the Pacific satellites are much easier to hear than the Atlantic birds. The Seattle trunking system is easy on the ears, but the Snohomish comm system is confusing.

You might also post in the shortwave newsgroup.There are plenty of Seattlites there as well.

On Wed, 10 Apr 2002 04:16:21 GMT, "tazdog" <tazdog-3@attbi.com> wrote:

>I'm trying to find local people in the same hobby.
>
>Maybe if there is a local group or club, or if there are some that can help
>out someone trying to get better equip.
>
>Thanks
>Scott
>
>
>

1990. Re: Antenna Masts *!*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 14:40:13 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CBAE64D.5050200@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 49405
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Danny Brown wrote:

> Hi George...
> I think your web site is an amazing benefit to all who enjoy the hobby of
> scanning and I appreciate the hard work you have obviously put into creating
> it... but I have to say George if I was making over $5000 a week on ebay I
> wouldn't be complaining about an antenna mast costing $500... especially
> since I paid much more for mine... You have to be prepared to pay for
> quality. Just my humble opinion sorry.
>
> --
> 73's and 51's from Danny

Many people who have discovered wealth have also discovered the benifits

of not "overspending". If they don't discover this they will not

be wealthly very long.

Don't believe me, then ask any person who has won a huge Lottery Win.

Over 75% of multi-million dollar lottery winners are flat broke in 3

years or less.

George
http://www.Licensed4Fun.com

I make Over $5000 per Week on eBay!
Make eBay(tm) Your Job and Earn BIG $$$
---->http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay<----

1991. BC780XLT and Massachusetts State Police Smartzone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 15:16:31 UTC
From: Ender <ender--wiggin@SBcox.net>
Message-ID: <ukqlbu4gjfirhf8uhnsuhmembr2mdlh0bi@4ax.com>
Source record: 49407

Has anyone had any luck getting the BC780XLT to trunk track this system? I am interested in monitoring Troop B (Western Mass). I can hear activity, but the 780 will not trunk track. I have the following frequencies entered:

860.7875
859.7875
858.7875
857.7875
852.2625

I got those from this web site:

http://lynx.dac.neu.edu/s/stjohnso/ematrunk/msp/msp.html

Not sure if this is up to date information on the SP system.

I have it set for 800mhz type II. When I hit the trunk button, the M indication for Motorola does not come up. If I hold the trunk button down for a few seconds on each frequency, it does pop up, but then I hear no activity... Any thoughts?

Anyone have a working winscan, trunkstar, or excel file they would be willing to share??

Thanks for any help you can offer.

Ender

~Don't put off to tomorrow what can be put off to next week.~

- Remove the SB from my return email to reply by mail -

1992. Building Masts *!*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 15:38:28 UTC
From: Danny Brown <Irish_Shergar@btopenworld.com>
Message-ID: <a9es5j$19l$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi George...
I hope I am finding you well... we have a saying here in Ireland which goes, "there is no pockets in a shroud" enjoy your money while you can I have no doubt you work hard for it and $500 (£347) is not a lot of money to most people nowadays.

We are talking less than the price of a good scanner, and at the end of the day the scanner is only as good as the antenna it is hooked up to, I can tell you having a good mast has saved my antenna(s) many times and we don't get the horrible weather conditions you get in the US... sure it rains loads here, but it is the winds that does the damage.

Your other option is to build your own, a friend of mine decided to try this route when building a beam similar to one I was using, I helped him out with all the measurements from mine and gave him the assembly diagrams that came with the antenna, guess what? For him to build the antenna himself would have cost more than it would to buy of the manufacture I did. Needless to say he took the short and cheaper option.

You seem like a man of many talents George I'd have a go pricing the materials or asking an engineering company how much they'd charge you to build a mast to suit your needs, I am not sure they will be much difference in the prices though but it costs nothing to ask around, I wish you well and hope you find a solution of some kind soon.

Good listening and best regards for now.

73's & 51's
Danny

1993. FIELD PROGRAMABLE VHF 40CH PORTABLE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 16:21:41 UTC
From: David M Schertzer <schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <9avlbusish6e6q769av0tq20m0134umuqv@4ax.com>
Source record: 49410

(1) ICOM V68 *40ch* 5-watt -VHF-

*** DTMF (User programmable - Front face) ****

(1) ICOM BC75A Rapid Desk Charger
(1) ICOM BP160 Rechargeable Battery pack
(1) ICOM BP130 Rechargeable Battery pack
(1) ICOM "AA" Emergency Battery pack (6- AA type batteries)
(1) EM46 Sprk-mic
(1) CP13L Cigarette Lighter adaptor with Noise filter
(1) Org. Instruction Manual + boxes

$200USD + $15USDship Payment via USPS International M/O.

Email for questions or info, spec sheet avail also.
This is the equiv. to the Motorola GP68 version.

1994. Re: Which Radio Shack Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 16:47:26 UTC
From: faive@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <305ca694.0204150847.55cfd6a3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49411

Bigdaddyking <bdk1@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
news:<MPG.17215a6788ac104398977f@news.buckeye-express.com>...
> In article <305ca694.0204121124.5fb5596@posting.google.com>,
> faive@hotmail.com says...
> > >
> > > Wait wait wait wait wait!
> > >
> > > Are you trying to say an R2 is better than a 93 or a 245??
> > >
> > > If you are, LOL!!
> > >
> > > I own an R2, and it's no 93 or 245!
> > >
> > > BDK
> >
> > But of course! I have a bc245xlt and ooh it is so lame compared
> > againts the R2. The bc245xlt has a poor construction, a "feel cheap"
> > sensation, AWFUL sound, not-as-good-reception, way limited step
> > sizes...and the list can go on!
> >
> > Yes I ocassionaly use the bearcat but ooh I would never change it for
> > the R2. Its like riding a Toyota Camry when you have a BMW... :)
> >
>
> I'll agree with the poor construction and cheap feel, and I really like
> my R2, but every (working) 235/245 has beaten it and other R2's I have
> had to program, receive wise in every band. Less intermod, slightly more
> sensitive. The R2 is a LOW priced radio, it's no BMW in any way. Now the
> R10 I had beat the 245 hands down, reception wise, but scanning was truly
> pitiful. If only Icom would pay the price to Uniden or GRE, and make a
> trunking scanner, that would be great....really a "BMW".
>
> BDK

OK. Im sorry BUT if you check the strongsignals.net web page you will
find that the R2 outscored BY FAR in the sensitivity (reception)
matter the bc245xlt. Actually if I recall well the bc245xlt got a 15
and the R2 got the full 25 points...
Well, anyway...

1995. RaceTRAC Classic (Racing Electronics) or David Clark (Racing Radios) Head phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 17:17:11 UTC
From: skeets1@netscape.net
Message-ID: <3cbb09d8.20878762@News.CIS.DFN.DE>
Source record: 49412

I was wondering if anyone had any experience with either of these headsets? I want to use them to listen to the scanner during NASCAR races and am not sure which to get. I saw in the BC245XLT manual that the impedance for the stereo output is 32 ohms and 64 ohms for the mono earphone. Are these two headsets set up with the correct impedance? Their websites don't seem to provide much info.

Thanks,

Skeeter

1996. Re: BC780XLT and Massachusetts State Police Smartzone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 17:28:06 UTC
From: Ender <ender--wiggin@SBcox.net>
Message-ID: <d73mbukuobd4l0rg38aesek6hjf4u9vaus@4ax.com>
Source record: 49413

On Mon, 15 Apr 2002 11:29:50 -0700, "Toscano" <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
wrote:

>Due to uneven terrain MSP-B primarily still uses the low band for most
>operations that aren't directly in the Pioneer Valley. Your location,
>combined with the fact that local repeaters just may not be in use, could be
>factors.
>
>Try 855.1625 and see what happens...email me if you want more help.
>
>
Thanks for the speedy reply. I have programmed in the suggested
frequency above but have not heard anything (assuming it is not a
trunked frequency). As you mentioned, I simply might be too far away
(located just south of Bradley Field). I will dump in the low band
frequencies to see how they work out. Thanks again.

regards,

Ender

~Don't put off to tomorrow what can be put off to next week.~

- Remove the SB from my return email to reply by mail -

1997. Re: RaceTRAC Classic (Racing Electronics) or David Clark (Racing Radios) Head phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 17:49:52 UTC
From: Bill in Charlotte <wmaerkle@carolina.rr.com>
Message-ID: <4pEu8.378767$2J2.14763923@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 49414

I use the RT-58 with the PRO-91. It works great. It is comfy after the
3-hour races I have gone to so far. It kills the outside noise real well.
No problems. Remember to use either no antenna or a ultra short one to
reduce the many RF sources you don't want.

Bill

> I was wondering if anyone had any experience with either of these
> headsets? I want to use them to listen to the scanner during NASCAR
> races and am not sure which to get. I saw in the BC245XLT manual that
> the impedance for the stereo output is 32 ohms and 64 ohms for the
> mono earphone. Are these two headsets set up with the correct
> impedance? Their websites don't seem to provide much info.

1998. Re: BC780XLT and Massachusetts State Police Smartzone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 18:29:50 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <a9erudhtv1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 49408

Due to uneven terrain MSP-B primarily still uses the low band for most
operations that aren't directly in the Pioneer Valley. Your location,
combined with the fact that local repeaters just may not be in use, could be
factors.

Try 855.1625 and see what happens...email me if you want more help.

Ender <ender--wiggin@SBcox.net> wrote in message
news:ukqlbu4gjfirhf8uhnsuhmembr2mdlh0bi@4ax.com...
> Has anyone had any luck getting the BC780XLT to trunk track this
> system? I am interested in monitoring Troop B (Western Mass). I can
> hear activity, but the 780 will not trunk track. I have the following
> frequencies entered:
>
> 860.7875
> 859.7875
> 858.7875
> 857.7875
> 852.2625
>
> I got those from this web site:
>
> http://lynx.dac.neu.edu/s/stjohnso/ematrunk/msp/msp.html
>
> Not sure if this is up to date information on the SP system.
>
> I have it set for 800mhz type II. When I hit the trunk button, the M
> indication for Motorola does not come up. If I hold the trunk button
> down for a few seconds on each frequency, it does pop up, but then I
> hear no activity... Any thoughts?
>
> Anyone have a working winscan, trunkstar, or excel file they would be
> willing to share??
>
> Thanks for any help you can offer.
>
> Ender
>
>
> ~Don't put off to tomorrow what can be put off to next week.~
>
> - Remove the SB from my return email to reply by mail -

1999. Re: Are any "digital" cordless phones really secure?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 18:37:39 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <a47mbu4ag0le5uuss6r4cdmddpoaaaf0mg@4ax.com>
Source record: 49415
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 14 Apr 2002 04:26:02 GMT, krackula <krackula@i.am> wrote:

>I have several Panasonic hopping chassis here (needed them to
>" borrow " the spreading PIN from .. for testing) all of them are
>spread spectrum. Never heard of a consumer " hopping " radio that
>wasn't spread spectrum.

Not all hopping is spread spectrum. Not all spread spectrum is
hopping.

Spread spectrum is really just that: It's a modulation technique for
smearing a signal across a wide spectrum. There are several well
known techniques: frequency hopping is one, Direct Sequence is
another, and pseudo random time domain pulsing (also known as UWB) is
a third.

>totally false ..there is NO (read that absolutely NONE) consumer
>scanner ..not even for $5000 that can receive ANY hopping signals
>of any kind. This is especially true of Uniden equipment. Don't
>confuse trunking signals with frequency hopping types ..they are
>" radically " different types of emission. Hopping radios have VERY
>short dwell times compared to trunking radios ... analog audio
>on a hopping radio would be VERY difficult to integrate properly.

True... BUT:

>Hopping radios , by their very nature , have to have digital voice
>encoding. (phase demodulation of FM signals has certain " native "
>time latencies by design)

No, they don't. AMRAD built FH setups with a pair of Icom IC-2AT
handheld radios back in the early 1980s. They were analog FM signals.
I later built a transmitter for an Experimental STA using direct FM on
the clock for a direct sequence signal.

And by the way, what are those "native time latencies?"

> Trunking radios can track changing channels (which are very slowly
>changing) because there is a control channel to tell the scanner
>where to go. Cordless phones have NO such control channel , thus
>preventing ANY scanner from following them. (has to be chip
>prearranged algorithm or carrier encoded digital signal sync
>to work properly. Neither available in ANY scanner ever made)

Most cordless spread spectrum phones don't use frequency hopping.
They use Direct Sequence Spread Spectrum.

>>802.11b is spread spectrum, right? Is it secure? Go to
>>www.wardriving.com and then tell me how secure spread spectrum is?
>
>like it said it's TOTALLY secure ..if they say it isn't they are full
>of crap.
> Panasonic , Sony , Vtek ..nearly everyone making spread or hopping
>spreaders use the same Rockwell Company spread / hopping engine
>chipsets made in the usa. So far ..the only way " any civilian " has
>decoded them is by using the proper proprietary chip set and
>manipulating the eprom spread ing code. Open your Panasonic phone and
>THAT 18 or 21 digit number plastered inside both the handset and main
>unit is the spreading code. There are , at the least 10s of 1000s of
>potential similar codes that it could also be. It takes a " serious "
>mainframe to process all the potential combinations to get the proper
>working code that will demodulate the signals. Left to full time
>trying .. it can take weeks for a parallel processing p4 computer to
>make the right choice. This is ALWAYS longer than the conversation
>takes and usually requires many months of trying to get enough airtime
>to compare against. Hardly worth it for a home conversation. (it's
>FAR easier to just bug the phone line .. in this case) Only the
>government has the lists of codes that ALL makers use. They can easily
>get your conversation on the first attempt. No matter what kind of
>phone you use , they , can eavesdrop on it in some fashion or other
>VERY easily. Civilians ..forget about it , ..government ..there is
>nothing you can do to stop them ..other than not use a phone at all.

I like it when people are so gullible that they forget to think
critically. 1) disconnect a spread spectrum phone base. 2) take your
spread spectrum phone with you in the car. 3) Listen. Eventually it
will acquire other bases. You're not as secure as you think.

Besides, to detect a direct sequence signal all you need is to build a
limiter, detect the second or fourth harmonic of the incoming signal
to recapture the carrier, mix this carrier with the DS signal and then
perform a very simple Walsh-Haddmard transform (a sequence version of
the more familiar FFT). This will yield the sequence as a series of
Walsh codes. Re-assemble the code and you'll be ready to sync up and
copy the incoming signal. The whole operation might take a few
seconds with most modern processors, if you have no idea what that
signal might be.

The point I'm making is that the reason we don't see scanners for this
sort of thing is because it's arguably ILLEGAL --just like monitoring
SCA programming without notifying the FM broadcast station first.

>If you have a hopping radio of any kind , not to mention spread
>spectrum ... your conversations are VERY secure from any civilian
>eavesdropping of the radio signal , plain and simple.

From ordinary scanners, yes. From those who really want to listen in?
Hardly.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

2000. Re: Icom R9000 Discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 19:18:44 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dim.com>
Message-ID: <8l0mbusd0inf79qmbhugkrvr8ptd2id60r@4ax.com>
Source record: 49428
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

N8KDV <n8kdv@iserv.net> wrote:

> It would be interesting to see what their price is vs. Sherwood Engineerings.
>
> "Craig (WB6LZV)" wrote:
>
> > For yuks... I'll give them a call next week after I dig the the part number
> > from my service manual...
> >
> > "Craig Garcia" <NOwabcommSPAM@NOvolcanoSPAM.net> wrote in message
> > news:ubf7lkijsgbh3a@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Thanks for the link... but $245 a pop... wow!! Time to have a garage
> > > sale...
> > >

I doubt ICOM has any available -- the last '9000s had LCD displays
because the CRT was no longer manufactured.

Did Sherwood reverse-engineer the entire CRT module or did it stock up
on CRTs or find a compatible one somewhere?

Eric

--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@dim.com
"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

2001. For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 19:40:57 UTC
From: TexasSteven <rothrock@nospamforme.stic.net>
Message-ID: <3cbb2b67$0$3688$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 49416

Collins 180-L2 & CU-991/AR wire tuners for 618T $150
Windows98SE OEM w/disk/CD/doc- sealed/unopened $50ea
Elenco F1225 1GHz bench freq counter $175
RS 2040 100ch tabletop scanner $125
Dentron GLA1000 HF amp w/manl 90w in-450w out $275
Kwd TS-820 HF rig w/CW filter/built-in PS $275

Contact

Jeff N5MNW (512) 255-6753 Austin,Texas USA

Or Email him at: N5MNW@arrl.net

2002. now that apco-25 will be able to be scanned, apco-25 will dissapear here is the reason

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 19:54:30 UTC
From: hugodrax@draxindustries.com
Message-ID: <4a1c549a.0204151154.7b0c43fc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49417

Digital trunking was a poormans method of making local-goverment communications secure without using expensive encryption capable radios. Think about lots of LG's switched to digital because they wanted to be "unscannable" not for any other features. now that APCO-25 will be clear once uniden releases the digital trunking scanner I expect to see LG's flip the switch on the repeaters and go ASTRO or AEGIS.

2003. Re: Need some expert advice on choosing a scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 22:31:56 UTC
From: hugodrax@draxindustries.com
Message-ID: <4a1c549a.0204151431.62a2827c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49418

> Is AOR my best bet, or is there anything else out there?
>
> TIA
>
> Bart

get the ICOM R-8500. you can order the export version at some places which have no gaps. But honestly there is nothing interesting to listen to in the cellular range. I have a reciever that is capable in that region and it never gets used. most are going digital anyhow

2004. Eastern Pa. Freqs. Wanted Please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 22:40:08 UTC
From: nvavro@ptd.net
Message-ID: <3cbb56ee.8894582@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 49419

Looking for Eastern Pa. Frequencies or sites pointed to them please.
Nick

2005. Help; Looking For Scanner Dealer In Alabama!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 22:41:47 UTC
From: nvavro@ptd.net
Message-ID: <3cbb5736.8966389@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 49420

Hi' Bought my pager there a few years ago and was wondering what the name of the place and or web site would be. It did come froma very big dealer in Alabama that deals with Motorola. Nick

2006. FA : Radio Shack Pro-43 MINT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 23:12:43 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <L7Ju8.127427$in3.34213739@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 49421
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

2 days left in the immaculate, UNBLOCKED Pro-43. Check it out!

Dan

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1345953011

2007. Astro

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-15 23:57:59 UTC
From: Mark Elie <melie@cybertours.com>
Message-ID: <3cbb68f7_2@news.cybertours.com>
Source record: 49422

Greetings group,

Will the new Uniden digital capable scanner work with the Motorola Astro system?

Regards,
Mark

2008. Mono Earbud Headphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 00:23:28 UTC
From: esowden@mynra.com
Message-ID: <1a21a43b.0204151623.1b20f57@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49423

Does anybody know where I might find a pair of mono earbud headphones.
The net is full of stereo and single ear earbuds but not the binaural
earbuds I so desperately seek. Any help would be greatly appreciated.

2009. Re: Eastern Pa. Freqs. Wanted Please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 00:35:11 UTC
From: wleamons <wleamons@socket.net>
Message-ID: <ubmse69rj58k31@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49424

try cityfreq.com
"Nick " <nvavro@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:3cbb56ee.8894582@news.ptd.net...
> Looking for Eastern Pa. Frequencies or sites pointed to them please.
> Nick

2010. Re: PC Programming for Radio Shack Pro-76, Pro-79, Pro-89, Pro-2016 and Pro-2017

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 00:46:52 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <v9smbuoagk0lu1ud1g5srbpsu7j374r8ks@4ax.com>
Source record: 49434
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Mon, 15 Apr 2002 02:08:24 -0700, "Riley" <hpblm@glyff.net> wrote:

[snip]
>So far, I have figured out the wiring of the cable, and have an idea of the
>general structure of the data. I really need help figuring out what appears
>to be a CRC value. I've been using a program called Slurp to capture the
>data from Radio Shack's program running on another computer through a
>null-modem cable.
[snip]

Good start.

I don't have any particular interest in those scanners - kind of burned out after doing this kind ofthing for the 64, 92 and a Sportcat. I assume Slurp is the program you got from my page. I am delighted to see you using it to figure out another scanner, rather than just as a stopgap for reading the 93 before proper software was available for that.

The way to attack checksums is pretty much the way you figure out the rest of the sequence. Change the data in one channel by the smallest frequency interval possible. Then look for patterns.

I've encountered two kinds of checksums. One is what was used on the 64 - the numbers (as seen in the text format of the data) were added. The checksum for 123 would thus be 1+2+3 = 6.

The other way is to add the ASCII code values of the numbers. That way the checksum for 123 would be 49+50+51 = 150. I think that adding the ASCII code values (treating the data as a series of integers) is more common.

The Sportcat used that kind of a checksum, and I was surprised to learn that others had decoded everything but that.

Good luck.

Ken Plotkin

2011. AOR 8200IIB for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 00:51:27 UTC
From: Randy <bearcat@astound.net>
Message-ID: <3CBB758F.5060406@astound.net>
Source record: 49425

Less than a year old. Used very little. Box, manual and extra power supply. $450.00. Email me if interested. Located in minnesota.

2012. Re: Astro

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 01:15:38 UTC
From: Scott Berringer <scanman@scanland.org>
Message-ID: <Xns91F1D862315ECscanmanscanlandorg@209.249.90.101>
Source record: 49426

"Mark Elie" <melie@cybertours.com> wrote in news:3cbb68f7_2
@news.cybertours.com:

>
> Greetings group,
>
> Will the new Uniden digital capable scanner work with the Motorola Astro
> system?
>
> Regards,
> Mark
>
>
>

Yes. That's why they are introducing it.

2013. Re: Mono Earbud Headphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 01:32:52 UTC
From: Eric Smith <ericosmith@removechartermi.net>
Message-ID: <ubmvpmkej9t278@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49427

Just get an adapter at the Shack and use the stereo ones.

"Eddie" <esowden@mynra.com> wrote in message
news:1a21a43b.0204151623.1b20f57@posting.google.com...
> Does anybody know where I might find a pair of mono earbud headphones.
> The net is full of stereo and single ear earbuds but not the binaural
> earbuds I so desperately seek. Any help would be greatly appreciated.

2014. Re: RS TRC-465 40 Ch. AM/SSB Mobile CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 02:04:11 UTC
From: CB Radio Dude <Im@Yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <vELu8.6185$SK1.4893@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 49429
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,tor.forsale,tor.forsale.misc

```
--
Check out my auctions @
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1345679635
"CB Radio Dude" <Im@Yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:cBpu8.5141$J0C.3197@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
>
>
> --
> Check out my auctions @
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1345679635
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.346 / Virus Database: 194 - Release Date: 4/10/2002
>
>

---
Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.346 / Virus Database: 194 - Release Date: 4/10/2002
```

2015. Re: Astro

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 02:16:29 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <1c2nbuk5cgi2dvp7p6qqao1tp39de0p7sb@4ax.com>
Source record: 49430

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, "Mark Elie" <melie@cybertours.com> spake thusly

>Will the new Uniden digital capable scanner work with the Motorola Astro
>system?

Yes, as long as it's not an encrypted system.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2016. FS: Optoelectronics Test Equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 02:25:55 UTC
From: Craig Cool <cool1986@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <TYLu8.10137$3z3.988431@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 49431

Reason for selling: need money for medical bills.

Xplorer LTR Test Receiver (High Speed, Nearfield Receiver) Serial 2053010...$495

M1 Micro Handicounter (Frequency Counter) Serial 862080...$125

Both units include charger, telescoping antenna, owners manual, and original box.
Used approximately 2 hours to test 2-way radios and then put away in closet.

Both units are in absolute mint condition. In fact, you might as well call them brand new.
I would like to sell these to someone who can use them. Pricing includes shipping via
insured USPS Priority Mail to your front door. I am located in Plano, Texas.

Please email for further details. Thank you.

Craig Cool cool1986@earthlink.net

2017. Re: Beachwood, NJ fire frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 02:32:21 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020415223221.27874.00002837@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 49432

>What kind of a scanner listener can't figure this out for themselves?

What kind of ham are you that you answer in such a discourteous way?
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

2018. Re: Astro

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 02:44:14 UTC
From: chief305@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29889-3CBB8FFE-39@storefull-2336.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 49433

Yes, and no. If the Astro system is IMBE, yes it will work. If the Astro system is VSELP, no it will not work, unless Uniden decides to manufacture a VSELP card for the unit.

Dave

2019. Two quick question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 06:04:47 UTC
From: tucansam@whodis.org
Message-ID: <a9getv$bs4$1@reader1.panix.com>
Source record: 49435

Hi gang.

I just spent a little while surfing dejanews and drummed up a little discussion on my first question and a lot of discussion on my second...

First, any ideas on a mobile scanner "stealth" install? I'd like to find a permanent home in my car for my RS mobile/base scanners. They have to be easily reachable so I can operate them by feel without taking my eyes off the road, but I also want to be able to hide it when I am not in the car. I drive a 1987 POS that wouldn't attract much attention anyhow, but a handful antennas up top just might.

Right now I have two scanners (and eventually two two-way radios) that I'd like to install, ideally. I don't want to cut up or carve the dash, etc., but I was thinking about constructing some sort of mount that swings down under the seat when I am not using the gear (bench seat in the POS model). OTOH, I don't want to spend the next six weekends installing this stuff, I want to get to using it! So any ideas on a hidden-from-view install that doesn't take too much money/material/time would be great.

Second, engine noise. In my research I've found that it can be fixed but its never simple. What I want to know right now is: just how common is it? I mean, is *every* guy out there with a mobile or handheld experiencing some degree of interference and noise? Running off the cig lighter kills my handheld... Off AA batteries is a little better but not much. Of course with the engine off all is perfect. I've read about proper ground, shielded spark plug wires, etc. etc... Seems there is no easy fix?

Thanks.

Jason

--
569@72649.266

2020. Forsale:NIB PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 07:19:03 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@cox.net>
Message-ID: <HfQu8.37106$%A.3023332@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 49436

This is a new in the box PRO-2006 Scanner that I purchased 8 years ago and have stored it ever since. I am in Southern California if you would like to see the unit in person.

$330 Firm + Shipping

-jim

2021. Re: PC Programming for Radio Shack Pro-76, Pro-79, Pro-89, Pro-2016 and Pro-2017

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 07:23:40 UTC
From: Riley <hpblm@glyff.net>
Message-ID: <ubnkhl6dqv4tc8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49437
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Ken Plotkin" <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com> wrote in message
news:v9smbuoagk0lu1ud1g5srbpsu7j374r8ks@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 15 Apr 2002 02:08:24 -0700, "Riley" <hpblm@glyff.net> wrote:
>
> [snip]
> >So far, I have figured out the wiring of the cable, and have an idea of
the
> >general structure of the data. I really need help figuring out what
appears
> >to be a CRC value. I've been using a program called Slurp to capture the
> >data from Radio Shack's program running on another computer through a
> >null-modem cable.
> [snip]
>
> Good start.
>
> I don't have any particular interest in those scanners - kind of
> burned out after doing this kind ofthing for the 64, 92 and a
> Sportcat. I assume Slurp is the program you got from my page. I am
> delighted to see you using it to figure out another scanner, rather
> than just as a stopgap for reading the 93 before proper software was
> available for that.
>
Yeah, I got Slurp from your site. It works great and has helped a lot.
Thanks.

> The way to attack checksums is pretty much the way you figure out the
> rest of the sequence. Change the data in one channel by the smallest
> frequency interval possible. Then look for patterns.
<snip>
> The other way is to add the ASCII code values of the numbers. That
> way the checksum for 123 would be 49+50+51 = 150. I think that adding
> the ASCII code values (treating the data as a series of integers) is
> more common.
>
> The Sportcat used that kind of a checksum, and I was surprised to
> learn that others had decoded everything but that.
>
These scanners seem to use something similar to that. There appears to be a
subtraction involved though, because increasing one of the values by one
decreases the CRC by one. I'm not sure yet what number is subtracted from
though, so far, it seems to be 254 and 190. I'm not sure under what

circumstances it uses which number to subtract from. I'll keep experimenting though.

Thanks for your help!

Riley
hpblm@glyff.net

2022. Re: Freq Managment Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 07:48:18 UTC
From: "S.W. Cassidy" <shaker@wagga.net.au>
Message-ID: <3cbbd749_1@news.chariot.net.au>
Source record: 49438
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

I have been using MS Excel for the past six months. I just made up what I needed and forgot the rest of the s#%t that occurs on some of the databases.

Shane

"GRS" <blimpieserv@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:FSpu8.53364$%8.4424916@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> I'm looking for a database type program to manage the freq's I've gathered.
> I know there are plenty of programs specific to any certain radio model, but
> I'm just looking for a non-radio-specific program. Any suggestions would be
> great.
>
> --greg
>
>

2023. Re: Realistic 2004 anything worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 08:12:21 UTC
From: Brett Powell <powell.brett@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <F1Ru8.27532$Rw2.2163490@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 49439

More than that and you know it $100-200 US some where in there, in good
shape makes a good basic set.
"**Sorcerer**" <spamrog@walesuk.net> wrote in message
news:a9cg0j$5lb$1@paris.btinternet.com...
> About ?10 or ?15 I expect
>
>
> jik
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> .
> "Inscom Jones" <inscom76@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3cb9a50e.4781986@shawnews...
> > ...still got a Realistic 2004, 25 to 1300 MHz (CONTINUOUSLY)
> > kicking around. Wonder, is still anything worth?
> >
> > I hear, and I forget
> > I see, and I remember
> > O do, and I understand
> >
>
>

2024. Re: Regency z30

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 12:00:30 UTC
From: punchcard <punchcard_mi@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ig4obug0kcv9jscrls1l0fv59bgihlmhor@4ax.com>
Source record: 49440

On Sun, 7 Apr 2002 10:31:36 -0500, "tater schuld"
<taters@maps.charter.net> wrote:

>Does anyne know where i can find a manual for this scanner?

Wow, I wasnt the only person to buy one of these things?

If I can find the owners manual I can scan it for you if you are
interested.

punchcard

2025. Question about FM interference etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 12:05:13 UTC
From: pmccorkell@optushome.com.au
Message-ID: <a9c673e5.0204160405.2e8ae9e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49441

I have a problem with a nearby FM radio station. It broadcasts on 101.1 MHz and it plays up with my Yupiteru 7300. I try to listen to a marine channel 156.6 MHz and other air band freqs and the FM station makes my scanner "ghost" (if this is the right word!). The resultant background noise seems to drown out the freqs I am wanting to hear.

The problem varies from point to point around the house. I have built a yagi but this does not seem to help the problem. The gain of the yagi seems to be better than the whip which comes with the scanner but the bacground interference seems to be proportionally greater also.

Can anyone please help?

Phil McCorkell

2026. Scanners take another hit in the news.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 13:14:20 UTC
From: Soliloquy@Soliloquy.net
Message-ID: <ubo8t3n10c5pd9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49442

Here we go again. Thanks, to a story on CNN this morning (and Alex Jones on shortwave last night, and I'm sure several other places), scanner radios and radio receivers are once again be demonized. Alex Jones largely reported the story from the vantage of protecting your self from the privacy invasion that could ensue if you buy a wireless remote video camera rather than a hard wired unit. Alex rightly reported that these signals could be received by a third party using some commonly available units, (such as ICOM's IC-R3). If your camera is focused on the interior of your house, a passerby with the IC-R3 (et al) can discover information concerning your possessions, personal actions, or for the fun of it look for nudity or sex that might occur in the presence of such a camera. Alex recommended that these cameras be limited for use to the observation of outdoor areas that would be subject to public view anyway.

CNN did the story from the "PRIVACY INVASION" standpoint. WE NEED MORE LAWS, was the essence of the CNN coverage. (More laws = emasculated scanner frequency range and features). CNN stereotyped the perpetrator of these "PRIVACY VIOLATIONS" as being a fat man driving around in his car, using a laptop computer and a magnetic mount external antenna to intercept network communications from various businesses that use wireless systems. CNN segued to video of the interior of a home, with unsuspecting occupants carrying on with their daily life. (Oh the horror!). The commentator from CNN asked the expert that they obtained on these issues (paraphrased) "If I call the police because I suspect that someone is engaged in such activity, what will the police do". The expert replied that the police would most likely do nothing, unless the person is engaged in the interception of actual conversations or is involved in altering or damaging the data that may be available on wireless networks.

The expert also said that the manufactures of such systems must encrypt the signals to prevent their interception (the correct solution). The CNN host replied that he thinks that it is time that the legislators look at this issue. (the asshole solution).

When they're through, we'll only be allowed to receive AM and FM Broadcast, Satellite TV and Radio, that's about it.

2027. Re: Question about FM interference etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 13:46:28 UTC
From: Steve Uhrig <Steve@swssec.com>
Message-ID: <klaobucja570a4iu283tnm7i3g005g17aq@4ax.com>
Source record: 49443

On 16 Apr 2002 05:05:13 -0700, pmccorkell@optushome.com.au (Philip McCorkell) wrote:

>I have a problem with a nearby FM radio station. It broadcasts on >101.1 MHz and it plays up with my Yupiteru 7300. I try to listen to a >marine channel 156.6 MHz and other air band freqs and the FM station >makes my scanner "ghost" (if this is the right word!). The resultant >background noise seems to drown out the freqs I am wanting to hear.

Try using the radio's attenuator rather than larger antennas.

A larger antenna will pick up MORE of the offending signal and make it harder for your radio's filters to pull out the one you are trying to receive.

Smaller antennas and attenuators help a lot more than larger antennas or preamps in strong signal locations.

Steve

****
Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)
Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip
mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190
"In God we trust, all others we monitor"
****

2028. Re: Question about FM interference etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 14:00:36 UTC
From: Soliloquy@Soliloquy.net
Message-ID: <ubobjrfbcvg390@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49444

I have the Yaesu VR-500 and the VX-5R, both of which suffer from intermodulation interference (more likely front end overloading). The solution for the VR-500, when the interference occurs, is to use the "attenuator" feature, essentially making the scanner less sensitive overall. The solution for the VX-5R was to send it back to the factory, where it's been for the last 6 weeks, (this is a warranty repair, I'm disappointed in the turn around time (the company moved from one facility to another while my radio was there), so I don't know if there is an effective fix for the VX-5R yet. I live in Pittsburgh Pennsylvania, and there must be a damn cellular tower every 30 feet. Both of these radios have been rated quite good (unless you live in Radio Alley, apparently I do). I suspect you may be experiencing front end overloading. Try the Attenuator feature on your radio to see if you eliminate the problem but still maintain sufficient desired signal strength.

In article <a9c673e5.0204160405.2e8ae9e@posting.google.com>, pmccorkell@optushome.com.au (Philip McCorkell) wrote:
>I have a problem with a nearby FM radio station. It broadcasts on
>101.1 MHz and it plays up with my Yupiteru 7300. I try to listen to a
>marine channel 156.6 MHz and other air band freqs and the FM station
>makes my scanner "ghost" (if this is the right word!). The resultant
>background noise seems to drown out the freqs I am wanting to hear.
>
>The problem varies from point to point around the house. I have built
>a yagi but this does not seem to help the problem. The gain of the
>yagi seems to be better than the whip which comes with the scanner but
>the bacground interference seems to be proportionally greater also.
>
>Can anyone please help?
>
>Phil McCorkell

2029. Re: Scanners take another hit in the news.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 14:03:22 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <oabobugkudnjsrcsqollpb7gs418q4tblr@4ax.com>
Source record: 49445

I'm sorry, you mistake me for someone who thinks CNN makes sense.
Very few people I know, liberal, conservative, or any shade in the
middle think CNN is a quality news organization who merits attention.

So they vilify Scanners. Let the soft heads out there believe this
stupidity. If it becomes legislation, I'll fight it, just as I have
with previous legislation. I expect you to do the same.

You could also write them a letter about what a radio is and why this
is a bad idea for them (Dear CNN: How will you ever gather news tips
if you ban scanners?). Even among Journalists, CNN is right down
there near the bottom of the heap. Considering that we're talking
about a group that garners as much respect as politicians and used car
salesmen, that says something.

Quit your whining here and send them an e-mail.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

2030. Newbe help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 14:27:56 UTC
From: david.bovi@dsibinand.com
Message-ID: <240c5c77.0204160627.14009a97@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49446

I recently errected an antenna in my back yard. Very begnin, for personal wireless use. Yesterday, every ferquency based device in my house stopped working. Cable modem, antenna, hell even the garage doors won't budge. Power in the house is fine. I believe that someone is blasting me, maybe they saw the antenna and want to play. What device could I use to figure out the source of this? Where would I purchase this device? Any help is greatly appreciated.

2031. Re: Scanners take another hit in the news.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 15:00:49 UTC
From: frater mus <mouse.news@mousetrap.net>
Message-ID: <Xns91F266D44AC16ssbmousetrapnet@192.168.1.102>
Source record: 49347

16 Apr 2002: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com> wrote

> You could also write them a letter about what a radio is and why this
> is a bad idea for them (Dear CNN: How will you ever gather news tips
> if you ban scanners?).

I'd expect they'd lobby for a "journalistic loophole". :-)

--
L.V.X., brother mouse
http://www.mousetrap.net/~mouse/

2032. Re: Rechargable Battery Choices for PRO-93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 15:47:36 UTC
From: List Administration <pro93-owner@smartgroups.com>
Message-ID: <Xns91F277F6694D0listowner@204.127.36.1>
Source record: 49348

Doug Kiner <xdougk911x@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:ujljbucc616k2q8aibdulgi43g5bde5s14@4ax.com:

> I'm tired of burning through regular AA batteries in my PRO-93 -
> Looking for recommendations for the best choice for AA rechargables
> for this radio, and recommended vendors, if applicable.
>

I actually bought RadioShack NiMh cells. They have the highest capacity
I've seen on Nimh Cells.

--
PRO-93 Mailing List:
http://www.smartgroups.com/groups/pro93
pro93-subscribe@smartgroups.com

2033. Re: Scanners take another hit in the news.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 15:59:37 UTC
From: ve2vfd@remove.hotmail.spamtrap.com.now
Message-ID: <3cbc484a.15500727@news.aei.net>
Source record: 49349

On Tue, 16 Apr 2002 10:03:22 -0400, Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
wrote:

>I'm sorry, you mistake me for someone who thinks CNN makes sense.
>Very few people I know, liberal, conservative, or any shade in the
>middle think CNN is a quality news organization who merits attention.

As George Carlin said so well: "CNN is nothing more than a free P-R
machine for the US Government and military"... :)

Personally I take CNN about as seriously as "The Enquirer" and the
"News of the world". If I want serious news about world events I
usually end up watching the BBC, France 2 or my favorite, listen to
shortwave and catch the BBC, Radio France Internationals, English
service of Radio Deustche Welles, Radio Netherland, even Voice of
Russia. Even VOA on shortwave (which is mostly American propaganda -
nothing wrong with that) is a more serious news source than CNN.

Gives you a more balanced view of the world news than the censored
dictated and extremely skewed news from CNN, NBC, Fox, CBS, ABC and
even here in Canada the CBC, Global or CTV and their news channels.

My 2 cents worth, nothing more. :)

Pat - VE2VFD

(ps: To reply by email you must take out the words "remove spamtrap now" from my address)

2034. Rumor has it.....Canada D.Munroe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 17:09:06 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <egmobuc1fh6k4tpib44dktv8a4fdu6bh29@4ax.com>
Source record: 49350

Rumor has it that the individual that ripped a lot of people of in the newsgroups off that went by the name of David Munroe in Keene,Ontario ripped off a Mafia Bosses Relative.....haven't heard from him lately in the groups here....did they CLIP him??? he he har har :O)

2035. PRO-93 Mailing list/discussion group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 17:46:19 UTC
From: List Administration <pro93-owner@smartgroups.com>
Message-ID: <Xns91F28C18D64B0listowner@204.127.36.1>
Source record: 49351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
All are welcome to the newest PRO-93 Discussion group. Newbies welcome!!!
No "legal" question is off-topic......

http://www.smartgroups.com/groups/pro93
pro93-subscribe@smartgroups.com

--
PRO-93 Mailing List:
http://www.smartgroups.com/groups/pro93
pro93-subscribe@smartgroups.com
```

2036. Re: Anyone Downloaded AirNav-4 yet???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 18:22:09 UTC
From: "www.ar3000.info" <tom.mcqNOSPAM@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a9hq4h$38p$1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49352
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Charles Smith" <chsm899@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3c9d7dd0.0204151542.3d036ca0@posting.google.com...
> SNIP.....
> I can imagine such a complex software giving programs to users that
> have thousands of program in the background, like anti-virus, etc. But
> please do not complain about AirNav Suite 4 if you have such an
> unstable system.

Charles,
I too, am impressed overall with the apparent capabilities of AirNav4 and have been looking forward to it since I heard it was to be released. I'm not here to be negative about the product, but merely state the fact that a problem exists. And that problem is REAL and not a result of incompetent users who have "thousands" of programs in the background!

There is a direct link between the downloading of the ACARS Internet Data and the PC freezing/crashing. There are now quite a few people reporting exactly the same fault. Of course as soon as one experiences this sort of thing, one looks at the OBVIOUS causes such as background tasks and anti-virus software. I can tell you that those things are NOT a factor here.

This problem occurs with just "Explorer" and "Systray" running in the task manager. My system is as stable as it has ever been and I have over 20 years computing experience. I build computers, install networks and generally have a high level of technical knowledge. Basically, I'm no newbie and when I say a problem exists, I'm not saying it half-heartedly.

AirNav Systems have written a reply to me tonight and have offered a full refund and state that a patch will be available in around one month's time, so what does that tell you?

Regards,
Tom www.ar3000.info

2037. FA Yaesu FRG-7700 (UK)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 18:30:22 UTC
From: **Sorcerer** <spamrog@walesuk.net>
Message-ID: <a9hqjt$ha4$1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49353

http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1346796879

.

2038. Re: Scanners take another hit in the news.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 20:33:28 UTC
From: Jesse <jplummer@bluefrognet.net>
Message-ID: <3CBC8A98.AA5A399E@bluefrognet.net>
Source record: 49354

CNN is losing their credibility daily. If Fox News Channel called for more laws on this, then I would be nervous. The cell phone ban is unconstitutional and if someone challenged it, they would likely win.

Jake Brodsky wrote:
>
> I'm sorry, you mistake me for someone who thinks CNN makes sense.
> Very few people I know, liberal, conservative, or any shade in the
> middle think CNN is a quality news organization who merits attention.
>
> So they vilify Scanners. Let the soft heads out there believe this
> stupidity. If it becomes legislation, I'll fight it, just as I have
> with previous legislation. I expect you to do the same.
>
> You could also write them a letter about what a radio is and why this
> is a bad idea for them (Dear CNN: How will you ever gather news tips
> if you ban scanners?). Even among Journalists, CNN is right down
> there near the bottom of the heap. Considering that we're talking
> about a group that garners as much respect as politicians and used car
> salesmen, that says something.
>
> Quit your whining here and send them an e-mail.
>
> 73,
>
> Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> "Beware of the massive impossible!"

2039. A good sat tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 20:35:41 UTC
From: Jesse <jplummer@bluefrognet.net>
Message-ID: <3CBC8B1D.D8F6C220@bluefrognet.net>
Source record: 49355

Which satelitte tracker do you use, I have an 850MHz with 520MB RAM and Win 98SE, which would you reccomend?

2040. Re: Beachwood, NJ fire frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 20:36:56 UTC
From: Jesse <jplummer@bluefrognet.net>
Message-ID: <3CBC8B68.E9E26401@bluefrognet.net>
Source record: 49356

He could have been new to the Hobby.
Radio Shack has the book, Police Call with a CD, most reliable source I
know, also there are a few web sites, www.n2fg.com comes to mind.

VHFRadioBuff wrote:
>
> >What kind of a scanner listener can't figure this out for themselves?
>
> What kind of ham are you that you answer in such a discourteous way?
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> http://edfas.tripod.com

2041. Re: A good sat tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 20:37:01 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@dialpoint.net>
Message-ID: <3CBC8B6D.25822C61@dialpoint.net>
Source record: 49358

Try this free one.

http://www.satscape.co.uk/

2042. Re: PC Programming for Radio Shack Pro-76, Pro-79, Pro-89, Pro-2016 and Pro-2017

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 21:21:11 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <1B0v8.4230$17.2630789@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 49357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The PRO-89 checksum is the two's compliment of the modulo 256 ascii sum of all the bytes in a data line except the header byte and the checksum byte itself. The header is always hex 81 and the checksum is a single byte at the end. I picked a random line from the data dump and verified that this formula works.

Are you sure you can't be persuaded to join in the fun? Be like old times.....

Frank

"Ken Plotkin" <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com> wrote in message news:v9smbuoagk0lu1ud1g5srbpsu7j374r8ks@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 15 Apr 2002 02:08:24 -0700, "Riley" <hpblm@glyff.net> wrote:
> The Sportcat used that kind of a checksum, and I was surprised to
> learn that others had decoded everything but that.
>
> Good luck.
>
> Ken Plotkin
>

2043. 2052 Question: Which cable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 21:54:21 UTC
From: Chairborne <Chairborne@lzboy.com>
Message-ID: <327pbuoq298n1p96dpejatma8883biq9b4@4ax.com>
Source record: 49359

I've looked around, but have seen no info about what kind of cable you need to hook the 2052 to the computer. Since I haven't seen a custom cable, is it just as simple as a 9 pin serial to 9 pin serial?

2044. Re: Question about FM interference etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 22:33:52 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3cbca6e3$0$80231$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 49360

If the FM station is overloading your
scanner, you need a notch filter tuned to attenuate
commercial FM broadcasters. I reviewed 3 such
filters in January 2002 Monitoring Times magazine.

All three filters effectively eliminated FM broadcast
interference at my station:

1) Stridsberg Engineering FLT201A FM Notch Filter (88-108 MHz)
2) PAR Electronics VHFFM filter (88-108 MHz)
3) Grove FTR-100 adjustable notch filter (90-174 MHz adj.)

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

2045. Re: 2052 Question: Which cable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 22:37:31 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <BI1v8.4233$17.2631684@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 49361

Yep, it's just that simple

"Chairborne" <Chairborne@lzboy.com> wrote in message
news:327pbuoq298n1p96dpejatma8883biq9b4@4ax.com...
> I've looked around, but have seen no info about what kind of cable you
> need to hook the 2052 to the computer. Since I haven't seen a custom
> cable, is it just as simple as a 9 pin serial to 9 pin serial?

2046. FA: 1 day Left RS TRC-465 40 Ch. AM/SSB Mobile CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 22:53:08 UTC
From: CB Radio Dude <lm@Yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <oX1v8.5587$iw1.2534@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 49362
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,ott.forsale.general,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Check out my auctions @
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1345679635

> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.346 / Virus Database: 194 - Release Date: 4/10/2002
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.349 / Virus Database: 195 - Release Date: 4/15/2002

2047. Re: FA: 1 day Left RS TRC-465 40 Ch. AM/SSB Mobile CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 23:34:30 UTC
From: Big CBer <bigcber@nowhere.iam>
Message-ID: <3CBCB506.205E2A71@nowhere.iam>
Source record: 49363
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,ott.forsale.general,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

$51 and it's not at reserve yet? You must really love that
PC-122 clone of a radio.

CB Radio Dude wrote:
>
> Check out my auctions @

2048. Re: FA: 1 day Left RS TRC-465 40 Ch. AM/SSB Mobile CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-16 23:39:20 UTC
From: Randy <Me@Home.com>
Message-ID: <IC2v8.5616$iw1.2227@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 49364
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,ott.forsale.general,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

$51 US that is. There is a difference for me, because, I'm in Canada. Do
the exchange, and I'm just trying here. K. Thanks.

"Big CBer" <bigcber@nowhere.iam> wrote in message
news:3CBCB506.205E2A71@nowhere.iam...
> $51 and it's not at reserve yet? You must really love that
> PC-122 clone of a radio.
>
> CB Radio Dude wrote:
> >
> > Check out my auctions @

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.349 / Virus Database: 195 - Release Date: 4/15/2002

2049. HELP... NEED manual for Regency Turbo Scan 800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 00:20:59 UTC
From: Mark Russo <mrrusso@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3CBCC0EE.F2512AB@optonline.net>
Source record: 49365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hi all, I am in need of an owners manual for an old Regency Turbo Scan
800.
If anybody out in scanner land has one and is willing to sell or copy it
I would be
happy to pay for it plus the shipping. Please e-mail me direct at:

mrrusso@optonline.net

THANKS, Mark

All e-mail received and SENT from me
has been checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2002.

mrrusso@optonline.net

GOD BLESS AMERICA!!!

2050. Re: Astro

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 00:22:31 UTC
From: Mark Elie <melie@cybertours.com>
Message-ID: <3cbcc036_1@news.cybertours.com>
Source record: 49366

The system in use here[Lewiston, Maine] is indeed IMBE.
Thanks
Mark

"David Williams" <chief305@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:29889-3CBB8FFE-39@storefull-2336.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Yes, and no. If the Astro system is IMBE, yes it will work. If the Astro
> system is VSELP, no it will not work, unless Uniden decides to
> manufacture a VSELP card for the unit.
>
>
> Dave
>

2051. Re: PC Programming for Radio Shack Pro-76, Pro-79, Pro-89, Pro-2016 and Pro-2017

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 00:56:22 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <hlhpbuc47mbojibbu58afblavijataudbf@4ax.com>
Source record: 49367
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Tue, 16 Apr 2002 21:21:11 GMT, "Frank346" <frank346@groznyk.com>
wrote:

>The PRO-89 checksum is the two's compliment of the modulo 256 ascii sum of
>all the bytes in a data line except the header byte and the checksum byte
>itself. The header is always hex 81 and the checksum is a single byte at the
>end. I picked a random line from the data dump and verified that this
>formula works.

Cool! How'd you figure that out?

>Are you sure you can't be persuaded to join in the fun? Be like old
>times.....

If I owned one of those scanners, I'd be all over it. I have a
compulsion to figure out almost anything I own that has a serial port.

I worked on the PRO-93 for a while, even though I don't own one and am
umlikely to ever own one. It was a good chance to work with my 92ware
collaborator. Then Don Starr came along, and in a few days figured
out everything we had been beating our heads against the wall on.

My next scanner software thing will be to finish the next version of
92ware, something I've been meaning to do for a bit over a year.

Ken Plotkin

2052. FS Bearcat BC 350A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 01:04:47 UTC
From: gil <gilpi@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <a9ihks$k2n$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 49368

Bearcat BC-350A with original antenna in very good shape works 100%, no mods

Asking $75. shipped First Class USPS.

Gil

2053. Frequency Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 02:12:38 UTC
From: JOHN DENISE <JDENISE1@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <qS4v8.217478$y26.36099648@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 49369
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Just curious if there is a scanner out there that covers all frequencies with the obvious exceptions of cell.Is there a purpous to have the CB bands? 54 -108 I believe is all Radio Stations. What are some of the other ranges that are commonly left out and what are they used for. I just saw in another post that the 700 range is TV channels. Thanks for the info.

2054. Re: Scanners take another hit in the news.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 02:23:56 UTC
From: tater schuld <taters1@maps.charter.net>
Message-ID: <ubpmrrkqsnhl02@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49370

hmmmm wouldnt it be easir and cheaper just to get the same brand wireless cam setup and use that instead of a scanner?

--
Tater

remove spam spelled backwards to reply

"Soliloquy" <Soliloquy@Soliloquy.net> wrote in message news:ubo8t3n10c5pd9@corp.supernews.com...
>
> Here we go again. Thanks, to a story on CNN this morning (and Alex Jones on
> shortwave last night, and I'm sure several other places), scanner radios and
> radio receivers are once again be demonized. Alex Jones largely reported the
> story from the vantage of protecting your self from the privacy invasion that
> could ensue if you buy a wireless remote video camera rather than a hard
> wired unit. Alex rightly reported that these signals could be received by a
> third party using some commonly available units, (such as ICOM's IC-R3). If
> your camera is focused on the interior of your house, a passerby with the
> IC-R3 (et al) can discover information concerning your possessions, personal
> actions, or for the fun of it look for nudity or sex that might occur in the
> presence of such a camera. Alex recommended that these cameras be limited for
> use to the observation of outdoor areas that would be subject to public view
> anyway.
>
> CNN did the story from the "PRIVACY INVASION" standpoint. WE NEED MORE LAWS,
> was the essence of the CNN coverage. (More laws = emasculated scanner
> frequency range and features). CNN stereotyped the perpetrator of these
> "PRIVACY VIOLATIONS" as being a fat man driving around in his car, using a
> laptop computer and a magnetic mount external antenna to intercept network
> communications from various businesses that use wireless systems. CNN segued
> to video of the interior of a home, with unsuspecting occupants carrying on

> with their daily life. (Oh the horror!). The commentator from CNN asked
the
> expert that they obtained on these issues (paraphrased) "If I call the
police
> because I suspect that someone is engaged in such activity, what will the
> police do". The expert replied that the police would most likely do
nothing,
> unless the person is engaged in the interception of actual conversations
or is
> involved in altering or damaging the data that may be available on
wireless
> networks.
>
> The expert also said that the manufactures of such systems must encrypt
the
> signals to prevent their interception (the correct solution). The CNN host
> replied that he thinks that it is time that the legislators look at this
> issue. (the asshole solution).
>
> When they're through, we'll only be allowed to receive AM and FM
Broadcast,
> Satellite TV and Radio, that's about it.

2055. Re: A good sat tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 02:33:17 UTC
From: Jesse <jplummer@bluefrognet.net>
Message-ID: <3CBCDEED.3533AFFA@bluefrognet.net>
Source record: 49374

It comes back as an invalid URL...

Ralph Mowery wrote:
>
> Try this free one.
>
> http://www.satscape.co.uk/

2056. Re: Rechargable Battery Choices for PRO-93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 02:42:10 UTC
From: JOHN DENISE <JDENISE1@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <6i5v8.217811$y26.36149989@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 49372

What about for the Pro-94? Would those work for that as well? I burn 4
batteries a week. What about the charger?
"Doug Kiner" <xdougk911x@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ujljbucc616k2q8aibdulgi43g5bde5s14@4ax.com...
> I'm tired of burning through regular AA batteries in my PRO-93 - Looking
for
> recommendations for the best choice for AA rechargables for this radio,
and
> recommended vendors, if applicable.
>
> E-mail directly or post - TIA!
>
>
> ----------
> Doug Kiner xdougk911x@yahoo.com
> ICQ# 14982243
> Remove the X's for e-mail
> "It seemed like a good idea at the time"
> (remove the obvious part for e-mail)
>

2057. Re: Frequency Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 02:49:28 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3cbce35f.14272025@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 49373
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

CB freqs are important. When I travel, I can scan CH 19 and not use my
CB, I can get any nearby transmissions about traffic or speed cops

On Wed, 17 Apr 2002 02:12:38 GMT, "JOHN DENISE" <JDENISE1@cfl.rr.com>
wrote:

>Just curious if there is a scanner out there that covers all frequencies
>with the obvious exceptions of cell.Is there a purpous to have the CB bands?
>54 -108 I believe is all Radio Stations. What are some of the other ranges
>that are commonly left out and what are they used for. I just saw in another
>post that the 700 range is TV channels. Thanks for the info.
>
>

2058. Re: Frequency Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 03:15:40 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3CBCE8DB.AD53D286@optonline.net>
Source record: 49375
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

700 MHz was UHF TV, but is in the process of being converted to public service radio. All UHF TV channels above channel 51 or 52 will be switched to lower channels.

There are lots of scanners which cover essentially DC to 1300 MHz, or so. Check out the AOR, ICOM, or Yaesu adds. Presently, none of them will trunk track, though.

Walt

JOHN DENISE wrote:
>
> Just curious if there is a scanner out there that covers all frequencies
> with the obvious exceptions of cell.Is there a purpous to have the CB bands?
> 54 -108 I believe is all Radio Stations. What are some of the other ranges
> that are commonly left out and what are they used for. I just saw in another
> post that the 700 range is TV channels. Thanks for the info.

2059. Re: FA: 1 day Left RS TRC-465 40 Ch. AM/SSB Mobile CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 04:28:45 UTC
From: Big CBer <bigcber@nowhere.iam>
Message-ID: <3CBCF9FE.ACBA7AB1@nowhere.iam>
Source record: 49376
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,ott.forsale.general,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Tonight's exchange rate: 1.5812 $51.00USD = $80.64CND

Randy wrote:
>
> $51 US that is. There is a difference for me, because, I'm in Canada. Do
> the exchange, and I'm just trying here. K. Thanks.

2060. Re: Scanners take another hit in the news.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 04:56:03 UTC
From: "A. Kay" <aj.kayNOSPAM@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <Df7v8.19445$bn2.18000@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 49377

Out of curiosity, what frequency do these wireless cameras transmit at?

"Soliloquy" <Soliloquy@Soliloquy.net> wrote in message
news:ubo8t3n10c5pd9@corp.supernews.com...
>
> Here we go again. Thanks, to a story on CNN this morning (and Alex Jones
on
> shortwave last night, and I'm sure several other places), scanner radios
and
> radio receivers are once again be demonized. Alex Jones largely reported
the
> story from the vantage of protecting your self from the privacy invasion
that
> could ensue if you buy a wireless remote video camera rather than a hard
> wired unit. Alex rightly reported that these signals could be received by
a
> third party using some commonly available units, (such as ICOM's IC-R3).
If
> your camera is focused on the interior of your house, a passerby with the
> IC-R3 (et al) can discover information concerning your possessions,
personal
> actions, or for the fun of it look for nudity or sex that might occur in
the
> presence of such a camera. Alex recommended that these cameras be limited
for
> use to the observation of outdoor areas that would be subject to public
view
> anyway.
>
> CNN did the story from the "PRIVACY INVASION" standpoint. WE NEED MORE
LAWS,
> was the essence of the CNN coverage. (More laws = emasculated scanner
> frequency range and features). CNN stereotyped the perpetrator of these
> "PRIVACY VIOLATIONS" as being a fat man driving around in his car, using a
> laptop computer and a magnetic mount external antenna to intercept network
> communications from various businesses that use wireless systems. CNN
segued
> to video of the interior of a home, with unsuspecting occupants carrying
on
> with their daily life. (Oh the horror!). The commentator from CNN asked
the
> expert that they obtained on these issues (paraphrased) "If I call the
police
> because I suspect that someone is engaged in such activity, what will the

> police do". The expert replied that the police would most likely do
nothing,
> unless the person is engaged in the interception of actual conversations
or is
> involved in altering or damaging the data that may be available on
wireless
> networks.
>
> The expert also said that the manufactures of such systems must encrypt
the
> signals to prevent their interception (the correct solution). The CNN host
> replied that he thinks that it is time that the legislators look at this
> issue. (the asshole solution).
>
> When they're through, we'll only be allowed to receive AM and FM
Broadcast,
> Satellite TV and Radio, that's about it.

2061. For Sale AOR 8200 full features...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 05:38:01 UTC
From: luna <luna@ultinet.net>
Message-ID: <3CBD0A39.27FDDD97@ultinet.net>
Source record: 49371

asking $450.00

2062. Re: Question about FM interference etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 06:03:01 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <Zc8v8.12$7m2.5437@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 49378

FWIW, Radio Shack sells an FM trap, made for TV reception, that I've used on my scanners in my mobile with satisfactory results. It's their P/N 15-577. The 75 ohm to 50 ohm "mismatch" isn't a problem, and I used a couple of "F" to BNC adapters to convert it to the feedline for my scanner. Try this as a cheap fix, and if you need more filtering, then I'd recommend the Par filter. I've had very good results with their stuff.

Mark

"Bob_Parnass_AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net> wrote in message
news:3cbca6e3$0$80231$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com...
> If the FM station is overloading your
> scanner, you need a notch filter tuned to attenuate
> commercial FM broadcasters. I reviewed 3 such
> filters in January 2002 Monitoring Times magazine.
>
> All three filters effectively eliminated FM broadcast
> interference at my station:
>
> 1) Stridsberg Engineering FLT201A FM Notch Filter (88-108 MHz)
> 2) PAR Electronics VHFFM filter (88-108 MHz)
> 3) Grove FTR-100 adjustable notch filter (90-174 MHz adj.)
>
>
> --
> ==
> Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
> Linux user

2063. Re: A good sat tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 07:22:22 UTC
From: J <jca1954@iprimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <3cbd22b3_1@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 49379

WxTrack !
"Jesse" <jplummer@bluefrognet.net> wrote in message
news:3CBC8B1D.D8F6C220@bluefrognet.net...
> Which satelitte tracker do you use, I have an 850MHz with 520MB RAM and
> Win 98SE, which would you reccomend?

2064. Re: Rechargable Battery Choices for PRO-93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 11:13:56 UTC
From: Stanley Kaliski <tanker001@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <GupLv6.EyC@news.boeing.com>
Source record: 49381

I'd have to agree with Walt. I also use RS NiMHs and I can listen to my scanner all day at work (10 hour day) for about 2 days before the Low Batt displays.

"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote in message news:3CB9F846.C215AE77@optonline.net...
> I use Radio Shack NiMH batteries in my PRO-92. 1600mAHr, if I remember
> correctly. I recharge them overnight in the radio. Typical cycle is 14
> hour overnight charge, 10 hour usage, per day
>
> They work very well!
>
> Walt
>
> Doug Kiner wrote:
> >
> > That should read "PRO-92", not pro-93 .... Ooops
> >
> > On Sun, 14 Apr 2002 12:25:25 -0700, Doug Kiner <xdougk911x@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > >I'm tired of burning through regular AA batteries in my PRO-93 [snip]
> >
> > ---
> > Doug Kiner xdougk911x@yahoo.com
> > ICQ# 14982243
> > Remove the X's for e-mail
> > "It seemed like a good idea at the time"
> > (remove the obvious part for e-mail)

2065. Re: BC780XLT and Massachusetts State Police Smartzone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 11:20:27 UTC
From: jm5615@nauticom.net
Message-ID: <3CBD5A7A.8B7088B8@nauticom.net>
Source record: 49380

Not meaning to distract from the main subject but do I see another state that is having problems with there 800 system? I have noticed that several states that put in 800 are still maintaining their low and or high band systems.

Motorola stuffed this new system down the gullets of politicians that control the purse strings around the country now we have numerous public safety organizations are having serious problems with it. Florida being a flat land state even has problems and the 800 salesman want to see it work in the northern hilly states. It will work, with towers mounted within sight of each other. PA's new PSP system isn't even close to being finished and they are having poblems with the system.

Toscano wrote:

> Due to uneven terrain MSP-B primarily still uses the low band for most
> operations that aren't directly in the Pioneer Valley. Your location,
> combined with the fact that local repeaters just may not be in use, could be
> factors.
>
> Try 855.1625 and see what happens...email me if you want more help.
>

2066. Re: Scanners take another hit in the news.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 12:20:54 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <nospam@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <9EHtTlAmiWv8EwX8@g7hid.lineone.net>
Source record: 49382

In article <Df7v8.19445$bn2.18000@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>, A. Kay
<aj.kayNOSPAM@verizon.net> writes

>Out of curiosity, what frequency do these wireless cameras transmit at?

In the UK they use 2.40GHz to about 2.45GHz

--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
: don't fix it !

2067. Re: Scanners take another hit in the news.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 12:59:49 UTC
From: Chris Horry <look@the.sig.invalid>
Message-ID: <3CBD71C5.1060601@the.sig.invalid>
Source record: 49383

Soliloquy wrote:

[chop]
> CNN did the story from the "PRIVACY INVASION" standpoint. WE NEED MORE LAWS,
> was the essence of the CNN coverage. (More laws = emasculated scanner
> frequency range and features). CNN stereotyped the perpetrator of these
> "PRIVACY VIOLATIONS" as being a fat man driving around in his car, using a
> laptop computer and a magnetic mount external antenna to intercept network
> communications from various businesses that use wireless systems. CNN segued
> to video of the interior of a home, with unsuspecting occupants carrying on
> with their daily life. (Oh the horror!). The commentator from CNN asked the
> expert that they obtained on these issues (paraphrased) "If I call the police
> because I suspect that someone is engaged in such activity, what will the
> police do". The expert replied that the police would most likely do nothing,
> unless the person is engaged in the interception of actual conversations or is
> involved in altering or damaging the data that may be available on wireless
> networks.

And, assuming the police can figure out how to catch them.

I watched this story too, I think I started yelling at the TV at one
point :-)

I heard that you can't use the internet anymore because the moment you
connect your phone line your credit cards are stolen, your car explodes
and your pet dog is stolen for government experiments. Sound stupid?
People believe it (well, maybe not the part about the dog).

Look, the chances of a local scanner user even picking up a low power
wireless camera - much less caring enough to waste his time figuring out
where it's located - is pretty remote. CNN would have us believe there
are evil scanner users on the other side of the world just waiting for
some poor soul to turn on an unprotected wireless device so they can
steal their privacy.

Whoever wrote this story didn't know what they where talking about, do
what I did - change the channel. And try to ignore the angry mob
outside your house who took the story seriously, then drove past and saw
the antenna on your roof....

Chris (ranting)

2068. Re: Scanners take another hit in the news.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 13:29:57 UTC
From: ve2vfd@remove.hotmail.spamtrap.com.now
Message-ID: <3cbd78b3.7179553@news.aei.net>
Source record: 49384

On Wed, 17 Apr 2002 04:56:03 GMT, "A. Kay" <aj.kayNOSPAM@verizon.net>
wrote:

>Out of curiosity, what frequency do these wireless cameras transmit at?

Some are in the 902mhz band but most are in the 2.4Ghz range.

Pat - VE2VFD

(ps: To reply by email you must take out the words "remove spamtrap now" from my address)

2069. Re: Scanners take another hit in the news.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 15:16:38 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020417111638.06878.00000601@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 49385

In article <ubo8t3n10c5pd9@corp.supernews.com>, Soliloquy@Soliloquy.net (Soliloquy) writes:

>CNN did the story from the "PRIVACY INVASION" standpoint. WE NEED MORE >LAWS, was the essence of the CNN coverage.

What do you expect from a socialist, Ted Turner organization like the Crescent News Network?

Do yourself a favor and switch to FOX News.

2070. Re: Frequency Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 15:24:22 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Gsgv8.13686$3z3.1299115@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 49386
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

My little R2 covers .450 khz-1.3Ghz (cell blocked) about $150.

"JOHN DENISE" <JDENISE1@cfl.rr.com> wrote in message
news:qS4v8.217478$y26.36099648@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> Just curious if there is a scanner out there that covers all frequencies
> with the obvious exceptions of cell.Is there a purpous to have the CB
bands?
> 54 -108 I believe is all Radio Stations. What are some of the other ranges
> that are commonly left out and what are they used for. I just saw in
another
> post that the 700 range is TV channels. Thanks for the info.
>
>
>

2071. Re: Scanners take another hit in the news.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 15:40:42 UTC
From: Muverick <vze24njg@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <_Hgv8.6333$PQ.562@nwrddc03.gnilink.net>
Source record: 49387

I haven't followed the entire thread, and I'm not a big scanner user- more a "lite" user. The question that occurs to me, and perhaps someone else has already caught this, is-"How does an audio-only scanner pick up a video transmission?" I recognize that some of these cameras could have audio capability, and that could/would be transmitted, but so what?

Jim

"Chris Horry" <look@the.sig.invalid> wrote in message news:3CBD71C5.1060601@the.sig.invalid...
> Soliloquy wrote:
>
>
> [chop]
> > CNN did the story from the "PRIVACY INVASION" standpoint. WE NEED MORE LAWS,
> > was the essence of the CNN coverage. (More laws = emasculated scanner
> > frequency range and features). CNN stereotyped the perpetrator of these
(big chop)

2072. Re: A good sat tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 16:06:05 UTC
From: Garvin@Truss.net
Message-ID: <1faruyw.f4eav21cpr2nsN%Garvin@Truss.net>
Source record: 49388

Jesse <jplummer@bluefrognet.net> wrote:

> Which satelitte tracker do you use, I have an 850MHz with 520MB RAM and
> Win 98SE, which would you reccomend?

I use STSORbit Plus. I've been using it for YEARS.

For the Mac, MacDoppler Pro is the best.

--
If love is blind, why is lingerie so popular?
- George Carlin

2073. Re: Scanners take another hit in the news.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 16:26:33 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <nospam@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <e4wlstA5Iav8EwR5@btinternet.com>
Source record: 49389

In article <_Hgv8.6333$PQ.562@nwrddc03.gnilink.net>, Muverick
<vze24njg@verizon.net> writes
>I haven't followed the entire thread, and I'm not a big scanner user- more a
>"lite" user. The question that occurs to me, and perhaps someone else has
>already caught this, is-"How does an audio-only scanner pick up a video
>transmission?" I recognize that some of these cameras could have audio
>capability, and that could/would be transmitted, but so what?

Don't think anyone mentioned an audio-only scanner..
In the UK this sort of thing is available:

http://www.videoscanner.co.uk/

I am sure similar items are available in the US ?

--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
: don't fix it !

2074. NINN (Free Service)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 16:36:23 UTC
From: rescue@pcpostal.com
Message-ID: <d3ae859e.0204170836.624a0935@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49390

Hello radio hobbyist, I though this may interest you,

Enjoy getting news at it happens in your area?
How about Listening to police/fire scanners?

Either way NINN (National Incident Notification Network)
is a website for you !!!

NINN is a scanner/news hobbyist web site that tracks and
Alerts subscribers to news, and issues happening
in your area. News can be police pursuits, disturbances, robberies
and much more. There is also live fire reports, accidents and hazmat
notices.

We use volunteers to provide this free service to the public to notify
them of such incidents.
Volunteers log into a dispatch system, and type out the information
which then sends it out to
subscribers. Subscribers can receive the notices on any Email capable
device
(Computer Email, pager, cell phone etc...).

If you are interested in volunteering for your area, or subscribing
visit http://www.ninn.org today.
Best of all its free.

2075. Scanner power problem (BC760) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 16:57:37 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <uaarbukm5fl3ovflaq0odecloufmm1gg1h@4ax.com>
Source record: 49391

he he....I have a BC760xlt mobile scanner and the plug in the back
where your power goes in works when you move it around but if you just
leave it alone ...zero power,any body in the group have any ideas on
why this is?? cold solder??plug no good??any help appreciated at 100%

2076. Re: Scanners take another hit in the news.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 16:59:04 UTC
From: Muverick <vze24njg@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <sRhv8.23855$bn2.22429@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 49392

Ya learn something new every day. What'll they think of next?

"Mike Burgess" <nospam@lineone.net> wrote in message
news:e4wlstA5Iav8EwR5@btinternet.com...
> In article <_Hgv8.6333$PQ.562@nwrddc03.gnilink.net>, Muverick
> <vze24njg@verizon.net> writes
> >I haven't followed the entire thread, and I'm not a big scanner user-
more a
> >"lite" user. The question that occurs to me, and perhaps someone else has
> >already caught this, is-"How does an audio-only scanner pick up a video
> >transmission?" I recognize that some of these cameras could have audio
> >capability, and that could/would be transmitted, but so what?
>
> Don't think anyone mentioned an audio-only scanner..
> In the UK this sort of thing is available:
>
> http://www.videoscanner.co.uk/
>
> I am sure similar items are available in the US ?
>
> --
> Mike Burgess : University of Life...
> Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
> : don't fix it !

2077. Re: Mono Earbud Headphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 17:01:00 UTC
From: MRK <me@you.com>
Message-ID: <ubrajlflsreb81@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49393

If not Ratshack then make one. Take the jack off the end and soldrer on a
mono jack or soething to that efect.
"Eddie" <esowden@mynra.com> wrote in message
news:1a21a43b.0204151623.1b20f57@posting.google.com...
> Does anybody know where I might find a pair of mono earbud headphones.
> The net is full of stereo and single ear earbuds but not the binaural
> earbuds I so desperately seek. Any help would be greatly appreciated.

2078. Re: Scanners take another hit in the news.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 17:05:42 UTC
From: MRK <me@you.com>
Message-ID: <ubrascmm9ri6df@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49394

When I decided to spy on my neighbors I used a SCA encoder along with my bug. This was to prevent my prey from finding my signal and getting pissed, really pissed.
"Soliloquy" <Soliloquy@Soliloquy.net> wrote in message news:ubo8t3n10c5pd9@corp.supernews.com...
>
> Here we go again. Thanks, to a story on CNN this morning (and Alex Jones on
> shortwave last night, and I'm sure several other places), scanner radios and
> radio receivers are once again be demonized. Alex Jones largely reported the
> story from the vantage of protecting your self from the privacy invasion that
> could ensue if you buy a wireless remote video camera rather than a hard
> wired unit. Alex rightly reported that these signals could be received by a
> third party using some commonly available units, (such as ICOM's IC-R3). If
> your camera is focused on the interior of your house, a passerby with the
> IC-R3 (et al) can discover information concerning your possessions, personal
> actions, or for the fun of it look for nudity or sex that might occur in the
> presence of such a camera. Alex recommended that these cameras be limited for
> use to the observation of outdoor areas that would be subject to public view
> anyway.
>
> CNN did the story from the "PRIVACY INVASION" standpoint. WE NEED MORE LAWS,
> was the essence of the CNN coverage. (More laws = emasculated scanner
> frequency range and features). CNN stereotyped the perpetrator of these
> "PRIVACY VIOLATIONS" as being a fat man driving around in his car, using a
> laptop computer and a magnetic mount external antenna to intercept network
> communications from various businesses that use wireless systems. CNN segued
> to video of the interior of a home, with unsuspecting occupants carrying on
> with their daily life. (Oh the horror!). The commentator from CNN asked the
> expert that they obtained on these issues (paraphrased) "If I call the police

> because I suspect that someone is engaged in such activity, what will the
> police do". The expert replied that the police would most likely do
nothing,
> unless the person is engaged in the interception of actual conversations
or is
> involved in altering or damaging the data that may be available on
wireless
> networks.
>
> The expert also said that the manufactures of such systems must encrypt
the
> signals to prevent their interception (the correct solution). The CNN host
> replied that he thinks that it is time that the legislators look at this
> issue. (the asshole solution).
>
> When they're through, we'll only be allowed to receive AM and FM
Broadcast,
> Satellite TV and Radio, that's about it.

2079. Re: Scanner power problem (BC760) ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 17:18:46 UTC
From: Barry Adair <Barry@PureDamnTexas.com>
Message-ID: <ubrbg9jse9s5b1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49395

Solder joint broken loose, just resolder it and it should work, the Radio Shack mobiles and handhelds are bad about the antenna connector doing the same thing, I have bought a few that people had given to the thrift store because it did not receive anymore and all it was was a bad solder joint
"rugerp85" <rugerp85@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:uaarbukm5fl3ovflaq0odecloufmm1gg1h@4ax.com...
> he he....I have a BC760xlt mobile scanner and the plug in the back
> where your power goes in works when you move it around but if you just
> leave it alone ...zero power,any body in the group have any ideas on
> why this is?? cold solder??plug no good??any help appreciated at 100%

2080. Re: NINN (Free Service)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 17:26:50 UTC
From: "b.baldwin" <b.baldwin@webmanixhosting.com>
Message-ID: <ubrc2r5r0mn166@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49396

Thanks for the information Dan

--
/`\\\
(@ @)
--------ooO---(_)---Ooo----------

Rescue Hosting
http://www.rescuehosting.com

"Dan" <rescue@pcpostal.com> wrote in message
news:d3ae859e.0204170836.624a0935@posting.google.com...
> Hello radio hobbyist, I though this may interest you,
>
> Enjoy getting news at it happens in your area?
> How about Listening to police/fire scanners?
>
> Either way NINN (National Incident Notification Network)
> is a website for you !!!
>
> NINN is a scanner/news hobbyist web site that tracks and
> Alerts subscribers to news, and issues happening
> in your area. News can be police pursuits, disturbances, robberies
> and much more. There is also live fire reports, accidents and hazmat
> notices.
>
> We use volunteers to provide this free service to the public to notify
> them of such incidents.
> Volunteers log into a dispatch system, and type out the information
> which then sends it out to
> subscribers. Subscribers can receive the notices on any Email capable
> device
> (Computer Email, pager, cell phone etc...).
>
> If you are interested in volunteering for your area, or subscribing
> visit http://www.ninn.org today.
> Best of all its free.

2081. ATV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 18:05:15 UTC
From: JohnK <nospam.greekfreak@acmemail.net>
Message-ID: <a9keed$33k$1@usenet.otenet.gr>
Source record: 49297
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Is it possible to receive Amateur TV on my computer useing my scanner and software or I need special epuipment for that occasion?

2082. UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 18:23:10 UTC
From: JEEPMON <JEEPMON@HOTMAIL.COM>
Message-ID: <i4jv8.5199$CH.2905@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 49298
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

I am putting up a Diamond dual band vertical antenna. It will be for 2m and
70cm with about 60 feet of LMR400 coax never run underground.
My problem is should I buy the antenna with the UHF or the N connector? What
are the pro's and con's to both? Is a UHF the same as a PL259?
And if one connector is better than the other then why is there any choice?
Also I have experience in soldering up PL259's but no experience with N
connectors. Are they hard to solder up to coax?

2083. Re: ATV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 18:38:20 UTC
From: Hank <hgetson281243not@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <wijv8.91662$%8.7413730@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 49299
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

JohnK wrote in message ...
>Is it possible to receive Amateur TV on my computer useing my scanner and
>software or I need special epuipment for that occasion?

Hey John:

First, let me say that I'm NOT an expert. When I was interested in ham radio (never became licensed), in the 50's, amateur tv was 'slow-scan', not like you watch on tv. You would need a modified tv to view it. A scanner is tuned to a single frequency; broadcast tv (don't know about amateur) requires a 'channel' (frequency range) to receive the audio/video portions (about 6mhz wide).

Lot's of other details needed. Maybe some hams can weigh in.

Hank
>
>
>
>

2084. Re: ATV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 18:39:33 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Fjjv8.43895$Vv5.11077888@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 49300
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"JohnK" <nospam.greekfreak@acmemail.net> wrote in message
news:a9keed$33k$1@usenet.otenet.gr...
> Is it possible to receive Amateur TV on my computer useing my scanner and
> software or I need special epuipment for that occasion?
>
>
>

If you have a TV card in your computer AND your local ATV'ers are running on
421.25 megs you can tune the card to cable channel 57 and pick them up.
Remember,
that's CABLE channel 57, not over the air (but you still use an antenna as
opposed
to hooking up to cable tv)......

AFAIK your scanner is useless for this application except for monitoring the
audio
channel (4.5mhz above the video freq and running WFM).

--

/`\\\
(@ @)
--------ooO---(_)---Ooo----------

�Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KG�WX�

� Design Services Company �

� http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com �

� Smoke free since 9/30/96! �

� ICQ# 63379650 ? ?

2085. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 18:55:58 UTC
From: "H. Adam Stevens" <solo@totalaccess.net>
Message-ID: <ubrhat26pfrk9b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49301
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

The N connector is a constant impedance, where the UHF, or PL259 is not.
Especially if you're going to run some power on 70 cm, the N is preferable,
moreso at higher frequencies.
Why are there so many connectors? I dunno. The history probably involes the
military.
My Collins S-Line uses RCA connectors at the 100W level and N connectors at
high power.
N connectors are no more difficult to assemble than PL259 (UHF) connectors
73
H.
NQ5H

"JEEPMON" <JEEPMON@HOTMAIL.COM> wrote in message
news:i4jv8.5199$CH.2905@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> I am putting up a Diamond dual band vertical antenna. It will be for 2m
and
> 70cm with about 60 feet of LMR400 coax never run underground.
> My problem is should I buy the antenna with the UHF or the N connector?
What
> are the pro's and con's to both? Is a UHF the same as a PL259?
> And if one connector is better than the other then why is there any
choice?
> Also I have experience in soldering up PL259's but no experience with N
> connectors. Are they hard to solder up to coax?
>
>

2086. Re: PC Programming for Radio Shack Pro-76, Pro-79, Pro-89, Pro-2016 and Pro-2017

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 18:59:21 UTC
From: Riley <hpblm@glyff.net>
Message-ID: <ubrhgm8a0u4lc8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49302
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Just an update...

I was sent a specification for the scanner protocol and have been able to use it to write an object oriented communications backend for my program. It can now successfully send commands to the scanner. I'm going to finish making each of the scanner commands object oriented, and then I'll start working on the user interface.

Riley
hpblm@glyff.net

2087. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 19:15:24 UTC
From: W6DKN <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <gRjv8.24979$bn2.13256@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 49303
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

"JEEPMON" <JEEPMON@HOTMAIL.COM> wrote in message
news:i4jv8.5199$CH.2905@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> I am putting up a Diamond dual band vertical antenna. It will be for 2m
and
> 70cm with about 60 feet of LMR400 coax never run underground.
> My problem is should I buy the antenna with the UHF or the N connector?

For this app, either will work OK.

> What are the pro's and con's to both?

The N connector is a constant impedance, waterproof connector. The UHF
(PL-259) is neither, although proper preperation and installation of the
UHF connector minimizes these issues considerably. Again, for your use, it
doesn't make a lot of difference either way.

> Is a UHF the same as a PL259?

Yes

> And if one connector is better than the other then why is there any
choice?

Because choice is good ... :>) (and they sell to a global market, where the
standards can vary)

> Also I have experience in soldering up PL259's but no experience with N
> connectors. Are they hard to solder up to coax?
>

Not harder to solder, just requires more precision. The coax has to be cut
and prepped to exactly the correct lengths or the connector does not
assemble properly. And the soldering requires more precision, especially on
attaching the tip. Too much/sloppy solder and it won't go into the
connector body correctly.

I use UHF connectors for 2/440 and have no problems with impedance or
excessive loss, or moisture. Above 440, I use N connectors for their
impedance and loss advantages at higher frequencies, as well as
convenience - because this is the typical connector on antennas and
transmitters for these higher frequencies.

73 de W6DKN

2088. Freqs for Hwrd County, MD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 20:00:33 UTC
From: Alex Batson <alex.batson@honeywell-tsi.com>
Message-ID: <a9kk9n$3uorc$1@ID-127823.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 49304

I listen to the Police & 911 dispatch frequencies, and they always make reference to other channels by name, "Go to Fire-2", and "Go to Government-3", but I don't know what these are, and the big thick RadioShack book doesn't say.

Also, I've latched onto a chanel that seems to have just one-sided conversations on it Rx and Tx on different frequencies - I think think might be "Fire-2" but don't know....

Oh, I'm under the flightpath of one of the runways for BWI airport. What ground-to-air frequencies to they use?

--alex

2089. Re: Mono Earbud Headphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 20:50:38 UTC
From: esowden@mynra.com
Message-ID: <1a21a43b.0204171250.73b395e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49305

"Eric Smith" <ericosmith@removechartermi.net> wrote in message
news:<ubmvpmkej9t278@corp.supernews.com>...
> Just get an adapter at the Shack and use the stereo ones.
>
> "Eddie" <esowden@mynra.com> wrote in message
> news:1a21a43b.0204151623.1b20f57@posting.google.com...
> > Does anybody know where I might find a pair of mono earbud headphones.
> > The net is full of stereo and single ear earbuds but not the binaural
> > earbuds I so desperately seek. Any help would be greatly appreciated.

yea......I was hoping to avoid the adapter solution. Looks like I have no choice.

Thanks. {8^D}

2090. Re: PC Programming for Radio Shack Pro-76, Pro-79, Pro-89, Pro-2016 and Pro-2017

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 21:11:26 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <Uxlv8.4257$17.2656165@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 49306
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Ken Plotkin" <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com> wrote in message
news:hlhpbuc47mbojibbu58afblavijataudbf@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 16 Apr 2002 21:21:11 GMT, "Frank346" <frank346@groznyk.com>
> wrote:
>
> >The PRO-89 checksum is the two's compliment of the modulo 256 ascii sum
of
> >all the bytes in a data line except the header byte and the checksum byte
> >itself. The header is always hex 81 and the checksum is a single byte at
the
> >end. I picked a random line from the data dump and verified that this
> >formula works.
>
> Cool! How'd you figure that out?

I cheated. Get out your scanner archive cd (you do know where it is , don't
you?) and look in the Radio Shack Scanners folder.

2091. Re: JACOBS FIELD (CLEVELAND INDIANS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 21:23:57 UTC
From: teeavee@aol.comGETLOST
Message-ID: <20020417172357.02581.00005281@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 49307

Thanks Dave.....

2092. Disney / Reedy Creek Fire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 22:27:58 UTC
From: mcjackietron@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <3cbdf66f.28890089@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 49308

I was looking through some of the old posts to rec.radio.scanner and I saw this post from February:

On 2002-02-22 at 20:12:11 PST,
ejwatts@home.com wrote:
>
> Is it my imagination, do I have a problem
> with my Trunktracker, or has Disney /Reedy
> Creek moved their talkgroups around? I don't
> hear Reedy Creek Fire at all anymore,
>

I don't know much or really anything about Disney's channels. So far as I am aware WDW Security's radio system is still analog. They will be migrating to a digital system soon and there are rumors of their doing completely away with radios and issuing Nextel's to all their security hosts sometime in the not too distant future. These are just rumors I've heard. Reedy Creek Fire and Disney systems are completely separate since we went digital, and I know next to nothing about Disney's system.

All of Reedy Creek Emergency Services has transitioned to the new Astro digital system. The new system went live Tuesday morning, December 18, 2001. We do still simulcast on 453.825 since there are some Walt Disney World Company entities for which that is the only way they have of monitoring our tone-outs. There are plans afoot to do away with that, too, but I don't know how firm those plans are. With that channel, you will only hear our original station tone-outs. We don't transmit anything else over it. En route tactical channels and fire ground channels are all digital. The only other channel that isn't digital is the one used by the fire-safety inspectors who use it to coordinate fire code compliance operations. That will soon be digital, also.

2093. AOR 300OA and antenna question(s).

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 22:32:35 UTC
From: BA <baut83@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <B8E36F65.12DF%baut83@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49309

I have an AOR 300oA. I live in a condo. And I'm looking for
some accessories. And advise. Any helpful input would be greatly
appreciated:

Questions:
-When I desire to use it as a base unit. And desire to cover basically its
entire range. Is the AOR SA7000 an acceptable or better than acceptable
antenna? Note: I need something fairly inconspicuous.
-If I obtain this antenna, should I or should I not mount it directly to
the top of the metal railing atop my deck?
-Has anyone designed or is aware of a designer/manufacturer of custom
portable cases for the 3000A like Electronic Equipment Bank used to
offer?
-Same question regarding a battery power source for same.
-Recommendations for a spectrum analyzer for the 3000A.
-Recommended software?

I apologixe for cramming so many inquiries into one post. Parkinson's
Disease has slowed my typing ability to a snail's pace. This way was the
only efficient way.

Thank you for any suggestions you may have. I thank you in advance.

You can respond to me directly if you so desire.

Regards.

BA

2094. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 22:32:35 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3c@fast.net>
Message-ID: <3cbdf80e_2@news7.fast.net>
Source record: 49310
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

"JEEPMON" <JEEPMON@HOTMAIL.COM> wrote in message
news:i4jv8.5199$CH.2905@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> I am putting up a Diamond dual band vertical antenna. It will be for 2m
and
> 70cm with about 60 feet of LMR400 coax never run underground.
> My problem is should I buy the antenna with the UHF or the N connector?
What
> are the pro's and con's to both? Is a UHF the same as a PL259?
> And if one connector is better than the other then why is there any
choice?
> Also I have experience in soldering up PL259's but no experience with N
> connectors. Are they hard to solder up to coax?

PL-259 = "UHF" connectors ... a misnomer if there ever was one.

"N" connectors are designed for higher frequencies ... they are
"constant impedance" (they maintain 50 Ohms throughout and
thus don't make an impedance "bump" ... IF they are put together
correctly.)

I wouldn't use a PL-259 for anything above 6m myself, given a
choice (yes, I know that most 2m rigs and some 70 cm rigs come
with those connectors ... but I'd be willing to spend another buck
or two for the better "N" connectors if there was a choice ...)

The choice is based mostly on cost ... plain and simple ... N's
are more expensive than PL-259s ... and different, but not
exactly more difficult to assemble properly ... PL-259s can
be put together badly, too (and often are ...).

If it were me, I'd definitely spring for the N connector on a
2m/70cm dual band antenna ...

Carl - wk3c

2095. Re: Are any "digital" cordless phones really secure?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-17 23:01:29 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <d9nv8.53226$Kq4.2092757@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 49311
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

that is the only thing I feel sorry about. It is illegal to listen to almost anything in the USA. I would like to sorta move there. but. with the scanner laws. It really turns me off to moving there if I get a good paying job. Radio hobby I enjoy and hate to have The US government saying ta ta. that is a no no. I think I will just stay here in canada where it is legal.

Dev

"Jake Brodsky" <frussle@erols.com> wrote in message
news:a47mbu4ag0le5uuss6r4cdmddpoaaaf0mg@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 14 Apr 2002 04:26:02 GMT, krackula <krackula@i.am> wrote:
>
> >I have several Panasonic hopping chassis here (needed them to
> >" borrow " the spreading PIN from .. for testing) all of them are
> >spread spectrum. Never heard of a consumer " hopping " radio that
> >wasn't spread spectrum.
>
> Not all hopping is spread spectrum. Not all spread spectrum is
> hopping.
>
> Spread spectrum is really just that: It's a modulation technique for
> smearing a signal across a wide spectrum. There are several well
> known techniques: frequency hopping is one, Direct Sequence is
> another, and pseudo random time domain pulsing (also known as UWB) is
> a third.
>
> >totally false ..there is NO (read that absolutely NONE) consumer
> >scanner ..not even for $5000 that can receive ANY hopping signals
> >of any kind. This is especially true of Uniden equipment. Don't
> >confuse trunking signals with frequency hopping types ..they are
> >" radically " different types of emission. Hopping radios have VERY
> >short dwell times compared to trunking radios ... analog audio
> >on a hopping radio would be VERY difficult to integrate properly.
>
> True... BUT:
>
> >Hopping radios , by their very nature , have to have digital voice
> >encoding. (phase demodulation of FM signals has certain " native "
> >time latencies by design)
>
> No, they don't. AMRAD built FH setups with a pair of Icom IC-2AT
> handheld radios back in the early 1980s. They were analog FM signals.
> I later built a transmitter for an Experimental STA using direct FM on
> the clock for a direct sequence signal.

>
> And by the way, what are those "native time latencies?"
>
> > Trunking radios can track changing channels (which are very slowly
> >changing) because there is a control channel to tell the scanner
> >where to go. Cordless phones have NO such control channel , thus
> >preventing ANY scanner from following them. (has to be chip
> >prearranged algorithm or carrier encoded digital signal sync
> >to work properly. Neither available in ANY scanner ever made)
>
> Most cordless spread spectrum phones don't use frequency hopping.
> They use Direct Sequence Spread Spectrum.
>
> >>802.11b is spread spectrum, right? Is it secure? Go to
> >>www.wardriving.com and then tell me how secure spread spectrum is?
> >
> >like it said it's TOTALLY secure ..if they say it isn't they are full
> >of crap.
> > Panasonic , Sony , Vtek ..nearly everyone making spread or hopping
> >spreaders use the same Rockwell Company spread / hopping engine
> >chipsets made in the usa. So far ..the only way " any civilian " has
> >decoded them is by using the proper proprietary chip set and
> >manipulating the eprom spread ing code. Open your Panasonic phone and
> >THAT 18 or 21 digit number plastered inside both the handset and main
> >unit is the spreading code. There are , at the least 10s of 1000s of
> >potential similar codes that it could also be. It takes a " serious "
> >mainframe to process all the potential combinations to get the proper
> >working code that will demodulate the signals. Left to full time
> >trying .. it can take weeks for a parallel processing p4 computer to
> >make the right choice. This is ALWAYS longer than the conversation
> >takes and usually requires many months of trying to get enough airtime
> >to compare against. Hardly worth it for a home conversation. (it's
> >FAR easier to just bug the phone line .. in this case) Only the
> >government has the lists of codes that ALL makers use. They can easily
> >get your conversation on the first attempt. No matter what kind of
> >phone you use , they , can eavesdrop on it in some fashion or other
> >VERY easily. Civilians ..forget about it , ..government ..there is
> >nothing you can do to stop them ..other than not use a phone at all.
>
> I like it when people are so gullible that they forget to think
> critically. 1) disconnect a spread spectrum phone base. 2) take your
> spread spectrum phone with you in the car. 3) Listen. Eventually it
> will acquire other bases. You're not as secure as you think.
>
> Besides, to detect a direct sequence signal all you need is to build a
> limiter, detect the second or fourth harmonic of the incoming signal
> to recapture the carrier, mix this carrier with the DS signal and then
> perform a very simple Walsh-Haddmard transform (a sequence version of
> the more familiar FFT). This will yield the sequence as a series of
> Walsh codes. Re-assemble the code and you'll be ready to sync up and

> copy the incoming signal. The whole operation might take a few
> seconds with most modern processors, if you have no idea what that
> signal might be.
>
> The point I'm making is that the reason we don't see scanners for this
> sort of thing is because it's arguably ILLEGAL --just like monitoring
> SCA programming without notifying the FM broadcast station first.
>
> >If you have a hopping radio of any kind , not to mention spread
> >spectrum ... your conversations are VERY secure from any civilian
> >eavesdropping of the radio signal , plain and simple.
>
> From ordinary scanners, yes. From those who really want to listen in?
> Hardly.
>
> 73,
>
>
> Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> "Beware of the massive impossible!"

2096. Re: Anyone Downloaded AirNav-4 yet???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 01:55:52 UTC
From: chsm899@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3c9d7dd0.0204171755.596a065a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49312
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Tom,

Thanks for you kind reply.
I can report here that all still works really well, without any
problem.
I'm working with ACARS Data (using their ACARS Decoder) and Internet
data also.
Any software may have problems or incompatibilities with some systems,
and if it works really well here and not there, that could be the
case.

> AirNav Systems have written a reply to me tonight and have offered a full
> refund and state that a patch will be available in around one month's time,
> so what does that tell you?

It only says what I've been saying. Opposite to what has been posted
in some newsgroups their service is outstanding! If you reported a
problem they couldn't do any better: offered a refund and will release
a patch soon for users that experienced problems.

What else could a user want from a Software Company?
I believe not even 10 out of 100 companies would offer you a full
refund for that.

Hope you will get the program working soon as I'm sure you will like
it.

Charles, EGLL

"www.ar3000.info" <tom.mcqNOSPAM@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:<a9hq4h$38p$1@paris.btinternet.com>...
> "Charles Smith" <chsm899@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3c9d7dd0.0204151542.3d036ca0@posting.google.com...
> > SNIP.....
> > I can imagine such a complex software giving programs to users that
> > have thousands of program in the background, like anti-virus, etc. But
> > please do not complain about AirNav Suite 4 if you have such an
> > unstable system.
>
> Charles,
> I too, am impressed overall with the apparent capabilities of AirNav4 and
> have been looking forward to it since I heard it was to be released. I'm not
> here to be negative about the product, but merely state the fact that a
> problem exists. And that problem is REAL and not a result of incompetent
> users who have "thousands" of programs in the background!

>
> There is a direct link between the downloading of the ACARS Internet Data
> and the PC freezing/crashing. There are now quite a few people reporting
> exactly the same fault. Of course as soon as one experiences this sort of
> thing, one looks at the OBVIOUS causes such as background tasks and
> anti-virus software. I can tell you that those things are NOT a factor here.
>
> This problem occurs with just "Explorer" and "Systray" running in the task
> manager. My system is as stable as it has ever been and I have over 20 years
> computing experience. I build computers, install networks and generally have
> a high level of technical knowledge. Basically, I'm no newbie and when I say
> a problem exists, I'm not saying it half-heartedly.
>
> AirNav Systems have written a reply to me tonight and have offered a full
> refund and state that a patch will be available in around one month's time,
> so what does that tell you?
>
> Regards,
> Tom www.ar3000.info

2097. Re: PC Programming for Radio Shack Pro-76, Pro-79, Pro-89, Pro-2016 and Pro-2017

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 02:14:32 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <nrasbu4te10ged1i2vnj9f1ns91dh3r6dm@4ax.com>
Source record: 49315
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Wed, 17 Apr 2002 21:11:26 GMT, "Frank346" <frank346@groznyk.com>
wrote:

>I cheated. Get out your scanner archive cd (you do know where it is , don't
>you?) and look in the Radio Shack Scanners folder.

Ahh - there it is. Just where you said it would be. Just not paying
enough attention these days.

Told you I was burned out. :-)

2098. Re: Freqs for Hwrd County, MD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 02:18:34 UTC
From: Jesse <jplummer@bluefrognet.net>
Message-ID: <3CBE2CFA.59A5FB55@bluefrognet.net>
Source record: 49314

Most likely, that one sided conversation is the input to a repeater. You
were either too far away to hear the other party or the dispatcher
simply broadcasts on the output side which has a lot more power that 5w.
For a possible ID on the others, look at www.cityfreq.com and/or
www.n2fg.com.

Alex Batson wrote:
>
> I listen to the Police & 911 dispatch frequencies, and they always make
> reference to other channels by name, "Go to Fire-2", and "Go to
> Government-3", but I don't know what these are, and the big thick RadioShack
> book doesn't say.
>
> Also, I've latched onto a chanel that seems to have just one-sided
> conversations on it Rx and Tx on different frequencies - I think think might
> be "Fire-2" but don't know....
>
> Oh, I'm under the flightpath of one of the runways for BWI airport. What
> ground-to-air frequencies to they use?
>
> --alex

2099. Re: Astro

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 02:19:42 UTC
From: Don Miller <brazzos@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <a9la0u$v2$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 49313

For our county we just switched from Moto analog 800 to the Astro Digital. Absolute chaos, extremely poor radio traffic, many millions of dollars spent on this mess. I am a user of the system, both police and fire, street level in both cases. I am outraged and incensed at the performance of this vendor. Is there even the slightest possibility of the difference in radio communications reference IMBE and VSELP?? We are desperate in solving this, your qualified responses will save the lives of fire and/or police as well as the general public.
Stay tuned, this "system" may be your next one too. Thanks in advance for reading this and any constructive replies regarding the IMBE and VSELP differences.

Don Miller
Lake County, Ohio

"David Williams" <chief305@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:29889-3CBB8FFE-39@storefull-2336.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Yes, and no. If the Astro system is IMBE, yes it will work. If the Astro
> system is VSELP, no it will not work, unless Uniden decides to
> manufacture a VSELP card for the unit.
>
>
> Dave
>

2100. Re: Are any "digital" cordless phones really secure?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 04:05:37 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020418000537.16905.00003310@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 49316

>that is the only thing I feel sorry about. It is illegal to listen to almost
>anything in the USA. I would like to sorta move there. but. with the scanner
>laws. It really turns me off to moving there if I get a good paying job.
>Radio hobby I enjoy and hate to have The US government saying ta ta. that is
>a no no. I think I will just stay here in canada where it is legal.
>
>Dev

"It's only illegal if you get caught" ;)
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

2101. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 04:23:55 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <a9lhor$1jr$0@208.206.142.75>
Source record: 49317
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

JEEPMON wrote:
>
> I am putting up a Diamond dual band vertical antenna. It will be for 2m and
> 70cm with about 60 feet of LMR400 coax never run underground.
> My problem is should I buy the antenna with the UHF or the N connector? What
> are the pro's and con's to both? Is a UHF the same as a PL259?
> And if one connector is better than the other then why is there any choice?
> Also I have experience in soldering up PL259's but no experience with N
> connectors. Are they hard to solder up to coax?

Do yourself a favor and avoid N connectors whenever possible. I know,
the purists will say they are better, and of course they are - a little,
not a lot. Not enough better to justify the extra cost and hassle. Yes,
they are a hassle. Almost no one does an acceptable job of installing
their first N connector. If you ever installed one with the center pin
even slightly off exact center, which usually results in breaking off a
segment of the receptacle in the female center, which then results in
the job of replacing not only the misinstalled N connector you just
messed up, but also changing out the N female assembly as well- a near
impossibility on some devices such as antennas- you'll understand why
General Electric used UHF/PL259's exclusively throughout their Master II
line of UHF gear, among others.
Some newer connectors are easier to install correctly and better than
older versions. How do you know which version you are buying? Is it an
"easy" one or not? There are an awful lot of "older" ones still out
there on the market.
The *small* advantage of N connectors over PL259/UHF types through
around 500 mhz is just not worth it.

Dick

2102. Does anyone have the frequencies for a Ground Zero

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 04:43:49 UTC
From: Jason <kattelson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9asv8.852$745.40558703@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 49322

"Jason" <kattelson@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:...
> Hi everyone
> Does anyone have the scanner frequencies for Ground Zero. I'm a EMS
worker and I would like to hear when they find one of our brothers or
sisters or others lost in the tradigy.
>
>
> Thanx
> Jason
>
>

2103. Re: Astro

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 04:56:58 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <idksbu097edu270fuoh5srbasgslcsgkmf@4ax.com>
Source record: 49318

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, "Don Miller" <brazzos@hotmail.com> spake thusly

> We are desperate in solving this, your qualified responses will save
>the lives of fire and/or police as well as the general public.

Here in San Diego, California, the County installed a digital Astro system a few years ago, with all law enforcement being digital, and all other services being analog.

When a Sheriff's unit can't be understood by the SO dispatchers, they simply say "You went digital ", and everyone knows what they mean.

No, it CAN'T be fixed, without completely redesigning the system.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2104. Should I be picking up these freqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 05:27:52 UTC
From: Alex Batson <alex.batson@honeywell-tsi.com>
Message-ID: <a9llhd$46k4f$1@ID-127823.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 49319

Went nuts with my scanner, and ran the spectrum from 36Mhz to 512, minus normal NTSC air b'cast freqs. I saw some strange things on strange freqs -- I'm near Baltimore, MD. Am I picking up audio channels from the HDTV assigned freqs, or is my coax leaking CATV signals?

446.812........WNUV CH.54 Baltimore (Audio)

450.137.......WBAL CH.11 Baltimore (Audio)

471.837.......WBAL CH.11 Baltimore (Audio)

511.762.......WDCA CH.20 Washington DC (Audio)

497.437 and
475.737..........Misc. unknown Spanish-speaking channel

These frequencies don't match the normal air-frequencies for the given channels. Whats up?

--alex

2105. Re: Anyone Downloaded AirNav-4 yet???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 05:41:32 UTC
From: roughplanet <roughplanet@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3cbe5c81$0$11449$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 49320
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Charles Smith" <chsm899@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3c9d7dd0.0204171755.596a065a@posting.google.com...
>> AirNav Systems have written a reply to me tonight and have >>offered a
full refund and state that a patch will be available in >>around one month's
time,
>>so what does that tell you?

>It only says what I've been saying. Opposite to what has been >posted in
some newsgroups their service is outstanding! If you >reported a problem
they couldn't do any better: offered a refund >and will release a patch soon
for users that experienced problems.
>
>What else could a user want from a Software Company?
>I believe not even 10 out of 100 companies would offer you a full
>refund for that.
>Hope you will get the program working soon as I'm sure you will >like it.
>
>Charles, EGLL

Pardon my scepticism, but couldn't it also prove that Mr. AirNav is becoming
aware of the poor publicity his most recent offering is getting on
newsgroups such as this, and has finally decided to actually provide
after-sales service, something he has been loathe to do in the past?

Ruff

2106. Re: Should I be picking up these freqs?? Sure... SOME are legal anyway...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 08:34:27 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <nyvv8.40301$%A.3509983@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 49321
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Alex Batson" <alex.batson@honeywell-tsi.com> wrote in message
news:a9llhd$46k4f$1@ID-127823.news.dfncis.de...
> Went nuts with my scanner, and ran the spectrum from 36Mhz to 512,
minus
> normal NTSC air b'cast freqs. I saw some strange things on strange
freqs --
> I'm near Baltimore, MD. Am I picking up audio channels from the HDTV
> assigned freqs, or is my coax leaking CATV signals?

I'll take a shot at a them...

>
> 446.812.....WNUV CH.54 Baltimore (Audio) -------- ?????? probably
some kind of "image" or harmonic?

>
> 450.137.....WBAL CH.11 Baltimore (Audio) ------- that's a legit
"BA" remote broadcast pickup frequency, probably belongs there; stations
will often broadcast their audio on some of their 2-way frequencies;
useful during newscasts when they're gonna chat live with a reporter in
the field... they'll often just leave the thing on for the heck of it...
or for their engineers who may be out working on stuff

>
> 471.837.....WBAL CH.11 Baltimore (Audio) ------- undoubtedly this
is an image of the previous freq, generated within your receiver... the
scanner's IF is probably10.85 (a typical IF for uniden/bearcat and some
others), and dual-conversion receivers will give you images at DOUBLE
the IF (2 x 10.85 = 21.7) above or below the actual frequency. 450.1375
+ 21.7 = 471.8375

>
> 511.762.....WDCA CH.20 Washington DC (Audio) - ----- this one's
easy: UHF-TV channel 20's audio frequency is 511.75, wiide-band FM...
.511.7625 is plenty close enough; I believe all TV channel audio freqs
are at .750 of their uppermost freq.
For example, TV Channel 20 goes from 506-512 MHz, with its audio at
511.75. TV channel 6 goes from 82-88 MHz, with audio at 87.75. If
you're in an area served by TV channel 6, you should be able to listen
to it on any FM radio that can tune down to 87.75 or 87.8 or so. Again,
it's wideband audio, not narrowband like 2-way stuff, so it won't be as
sharp

>
> 497.437 and don't know about THAT

> 475.737.....Misc. unknown Spanish-speaking channel; -------
again, that's probably the audio for TV channel 14, 475.75; channel 14
is 470-476 MHz.
>
> These frequencies don't match the normal air-frequencies for the given
> channels. Whats up?
>
> --alex

Hope that helps...

2107. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 10:29:13 UTC
From: Scott <acepilot@bloomer.net>
Message-ID: <3CBE9FF9.2F7C7B84@bloomer.net>
Source record: 49323
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

I personally think N connectors are eaiser to install. You don't need a gazillion Watt solder gun to heat up the shell to solder the braid, thus melting the plastic outer sheath on RG-8. With N connectors, the braid is clamped to the shell by the rear nut. The onlt soldering needed is the center pin...if you go heavy with the solder, carefullu trim it off the outside of the pin with an exacto knife or utility knife (sharp) and you're good to go. To prove which connector is better, use a TDR (Time Domain Reflectometer) and look at the impedance bump of each type of connector. You will see the N is better. Like all connectors, it just takes practice like most other things in ham radio.

Scott
N0EDV

Dick Carroll wrote:

> JEEPMON wrote:
> >
> > I am putting up a Diamond dual band vertical antenna. It will be for 2m and
> > 70cm with about 60 feet of LMR400 coax never run underground.
> > My problem is should I buy the antenna with the UHF or the N connector? What
> > are the pro's and con's to both? Is a UHF the same as a PL259?
> > And if one connector is better than the other then why is there any choice?
> > Also I have experience in soldering up PL259's but no experience with N
> > connectors. Are they hard to solder up to coax?
>
> Do yourself a favor and avoid N connectors whenever possible. I know,
> the purists will say they are better, and of course they are - a little,
> not a lot. Not enough better to justify the extra cost and hassle. Yes,
> they are a hassle. Almost no one does an acceptable job of installing
> their first N connector. If you ever installed one with the center pin
> even slightly off exact center, which usually results in breaking off a
> segment of the receptacle in the female center, which then results in
> the job of replacing not only the misinstalled N connector you just
> messed up, but also changing out the N female assembly as well- a near
> impossibility on some devices such as antennas- you'll understand why
> General Electric used UHF/PL259's exclusively throughout their Master II
> line of UHF gear, among others.
> Some newer connectors are easier to install correctly and better than
> older versions. How do you know which version you are buying? Is it an
> "easy" one or not? There are an awful lot of "older" ones still out
> there on the market.
> The *small* advantage of N connectors over PL259/UHF types through
> around 500 mhz is just not worth it.

>
> Dick

--
Scott
http://corbenflyer.tripod.com/
Building RV-4
Gotta Fly or Gonna Die!

2108. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 11:11:55 UTC
From: "I.R.Baboon" <i.r.baboon@volcanomail.com>
Message-ID: <3CBEA9FB.5F7CD3CA@volcanomail.com>
Source record: 49324
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> JEEPMON wrote:
> >
> > I am putting up a Diamond dual band vertical antenna. It will be for 2m and
> > 70cm with about 60 feet of LMR400 coax never run underground.
> > My problem is should I buy the antenna with the UHF or the N connector? What
> > are the pro's and con's to both? Is a UHF the same as a PL259?
> > And if one connector is better than the other then why is there any choice?
> > Also I have experience in soldering up PL259's but no experience with N
> > connectors. Are they hard to solder up to coax?
>
> Do yourself a favor and avoid N connectors whenever possible. I know,
> the purists will say they are better, and of course they are - a little,
> not a lot. Not enough better to justify the extra cost and hassle. Yes,
> they are a hassle. Almost no one does an acceptable job of installing
> their first N connector. If you ever installed one with the center pin
> even slightly off exact center, which usually results in breaking off a
> segment of the receptacle in the female center, which then results in
> the job of replacing not only the misinstalled N connector you just
> messed up, but also changing out the N female assembly as well- a near
> impossibility on some devices such as antennas- you'll understand why
> General Electric used UHF/PL259's exclusively throughout their Master II
> line of UHF gear, among others.
> Some newer connectors are easier to install correctly and better than
> older versions. How do you know which version you are buying? Is it an
> "easy" one or not? There are an awful lot of "older" ones still out
> there on the market.
> The *small* advantage of N connectors over PL259/UHF types through
> around 500 mhz is just not worth it.
>
> Dick

PROTEST!
========
The *small* advantage is valid only, and only when the UHF
connector is mounted at the coaxial cable *the correct way*.

It is not more difficult to mount a N-connector than an UHF.
It is HARDER to make a PROPER UHF-connector installation than
a corresponding N one. I've seen real TERRIBLE installations of
UHF-connectors. The problem is, You can install the UHF in a
Quick-and Dirty way, and You will have SOME fuctionallity, for

SOME time, still. A N-connector can be installed correctly, or it will not work (or breakdown). Installation of a N-connector is self-explanatory, PROPER installation of UHF needs EDUCATON. For an outdoor applocation such as an antenna connection, if You choose UHF, You'll have to make sure it is correctly done.

UHF-connectors should be forbidden in law. I'ts one of the worst inventions ever made. They ARE hard to install the correct way (You DO need a soldering iron > 75W, It is hard to be sure You got a good enough braid connection, You are more-or-less BOUND to do damage to the cable if You do not know the EXACT amount of heat that should be applicated by the soldering iron. Etc. Etc.)

I.R.Baboon

2109. Vaesu VR-500 undocumented narrow AM mode, other features

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 11:56:32 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3cbeb482$0$30317$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 49325
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The Yaesu VR-500 portable receiver contains an undocumented narrow
AM mode which is more selective than the "stock" bandwidth. There
are other undocumented features in the VR-500 but the purpose
of some of them remain a mystery, e.g, a "USER PORT" menu.

You can enable the NAM mode and USER PORT menu
using the tk500 experimental VR-500 programming utility.

tk500 version 0.3 is now available for free download.

tk500 is an open source programming utility for the
Yaesu VR-500 portable receiver.

Improvements in version 0.3 include the ability to
exploit undocumented features within the VR-500 and
choose a NAM (Narrow AM) mode.

The new version supports editing of the VFO skip channels,
enhance error recovery, adjustable display contrast,
and additional features.

tk500 has a graphical user interface and runs on Linux
and Windows. I'm still looking for MacOS X beta testers
familiar with Tcl/Tk.

You can learn about and download a beta test version of tk500
from my home page:

http://members.core.com/~parnass

I don't warrant the software, though it will cost you nothing.

Thanks to Paul Martin, N8ULS for providing experimental data and
to Dan Wanchic, WA8VZQ for posting detailed
information about the RDF file layout.
--
==
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

2110. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 12:01:47 UTC
From: tmgroatatpeakpeakdotcom
Message-ID: <3cbeb370.2440900@news.peakpeak.com>
Source record: 49326
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

N type PRO: Attaches the braid with a clamp, not solder. There's much
les risk of damage to the dielectric.

N type PRO: More weather resistant.

N type CON: Must strip and trim the cable accurately, or else the
center pin won't seat properly. That causes most of the trouble in N
type connectors.

N type CON: It costs more, but then again so does the LMR cable!

Losses: Not enough to worry about IF the PL-259 is of good quality,
properly attached (good soldering, especially), and you're not running
high power.

--KR0U

-- Tim Groat

To reply by e-mail, change the quoted words to
the corresponding punctuation marks.

2111. Re: Are any "digital" cordless phones really secure?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 12:02:57 UTC
From: dsli <tomznospam@tradewebdesign.com>
Message-ID: <swyv8.47168$To6.13635676@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49327
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Putting all the legal crap aside. Will it be possible for the new digital scanner to be able to receive the non spread spectrum digital cordless phone conversation if the 900's are not blocked?
Tom

"Devin" <a@b.c> wrote in message
news:d9nv8.53226$Kq4.2092757@news2.calgary.shaw.ca...
> that is the only thing I feel sorry about. It is illegal to listen to
almost
> anything in the USA. I would like to sorta move there. but. with the
scanner
> laws. It really turns me off to moving there if I get a good paying job.
> Radio hobby I enjoy and hate to have The US government saying ta ta. that
is
> a no no. I think I will just stay here in canada where it is legal.
>
> Dev
>
> "Jake Brodsky" <frussle@erols.com> wrote in message
> news:a47mbu4ag0le5uuss6r4cdmddpoaaaf0mg@4ax.com...
> > On Sun, 14 Apr 2002 04:26:02 GMT, krackula <krackula@i.am> wrote:
> >
> > >I have several Panasonic hopping chassis here (needed them to
> > >" borrow " the spreading PIN from .. for testing) all of them are
> > >spread spectrum. Never heard of a consumer " hopping " radio that
> > >wasn't spread spectrum.
> >
> > Not all hopping is spread spectrum. Not all spread spectrum is
> > hopping.
> >
> > Spread spectrum is really just that: It's a modulation technique for
> > smearing a signal across a wide spectrum. There are several well
> > known techniques: frequency hopping is one, Direct Sequence is
> > another, and pseudo random time domain pulsing (also known as UWB) is
> > a third.
> >
> > >totally false ..there is NO (read that absolutely NONE) consumer
> > >scanner ..not even for $5000 that can receive ANY hopping signals
> > >of any kind. This is especially true of Uniden equipment. Don't
> > >confuse trunking signals with frequency hopping types ..they are
> > >" radically " different types of emission. Hopping radios have VERY
> > >short dwell times compared to trunking radios ... analog audio
> > >on a hopping radio would be VERY difficult to integrate properly.

> >
> > True... BUT:
> >
> > >Hopping radios , by their very nature , have to have digital voice
> > >encoding. (phase demodulation of FM signals has certain " native "
> > >time latencies by design)
> >
> > No, they don't. AMRAD built FH setups with a pair of Icom IC-2AT
> > handheld radios back in the early 1980s. They were analog FM signals.
> > I later built a transmitter for an Experimental STA using direct FM on
> > the clock for a direct sequence signal.
> >
> > And by the way, what are those "native time latencies?"
> >
> > > Trunking radios can track changing channels (which are very slowly
> > >changing) because there is a control channel to tell the scanner
> > >where to go. Cordless phones have NO such control channel , thus
> > >preventing ANY scanner from following them. (has to be chip
> > >prearranged algorithm or carrier encoded digital signal sync
> > >to work properly. Neither available in ANY scanner ever made)
> >
> > Most cordless spread spectrum phones don't use frequency hopping.
> > They use Direct Sequence Spread Spectrum.
> >
> > >>802.11b is spread spectrum, right? Is it secure? Go to
> > >>www.wardriving.com and then tell me how secure spread spectrum is?
> > >
> > >like it said it's TOTALLY secure ..if they say it isn't they are full
> > >of crap.
> > > Panasonic , Sony , Vtek ..nearly everyone making spread or hopping
> > >spreaders use the same Rockwell Company spread / hopping engine
> > >chipsets made in the usa. So far ..the only way " any civilian " has
> > >decoded them is by using the proper proprietary chip set and
> > >manipulating the eprom spread ing code. Open your Panasonic phone and
> > >THAT 18 or 21 digit number plastered inside both the handset and main
> > >unit is the spreading code. There are , at the least 10s of 1000s of
> > >potential similar codes that it could also be. It takes a " serious "
> > >mainframe to process all the potential combinations to get the proper
> > >working code that will demodulate the signals. Left to full time
> > >trying .. it can take weeks for a parallel processing p4 computer to
> > >make the right choice. This is ALWAYS longer than the conversation
> > >takes and usually requires many months of trying to get enough airtime
> > >to compare against. Hardly worth it for a home conversation. (it's
> > >FAR easier to just bug the phone line .. in this case) Only the
> > >government has the lists of codes that ALL makers use. They can easily
> > >get your conversation on the first attempt. No matter what kind of
> > >phone you use , they , can eavesdrop on it in some fashion or other
> > >VERY easily. Civilians ..forget about it , ..government ..there is
> > >nothing you can do to stop them ..other than not use a phone at all.
> >

> > I like it when people are so gullible that they forget to think
> > critically. 1) disconnect a spread spectrum phone base. 2) take your
> > spread spectrum phone with you in the car. 3) Listen. Eventually it
> > will acquire other bases. You're not as secure as you think.
> >
> > Besides, to detect a direct sequence signal all you need is to build a
> > limiter, detect the second or fourth harmonic of the incoming signal
> > to recapture the carrier, mix this carrier with the DS signal and then
> > perform a very simple Walsh-Haddmard transform (a sequence version of
> > the more familiar FFT). This will yield the sequence as a series of
> > Walsh codes. Re-assemble the code and you'll be ready to sync up and
> > copy the incoming signal. The whole operation might take a few
> > seconds with most modern processors, if you have no idea what that
> > signal might be.
> >
> > The point I'm making is that the reason we don't see scanners for this
> > sort of thing is because it's arguably ILLEGAL --just like monitoring
> > SCA programming without notifying the FM broadcast station first.
> >
> > >If you have a hopping radio of any kind , not to mention spread
> > >spectrum ... your conversations are VERY secure from any civilian
> > >eavesdropping of the radio signal , plain and simple.
> >
> > From ordinary scanners, yes. From those who really want to listen in?
> > Hardly.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> >
> > Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> > "Beware of the massive impossible!"
>
>

2112. Re: Freqs for Hwrd County, MD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 12:29:24 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <18etbuo8a7gb5o0ret90u7db3bdm4f9e53@4ax.com>
Source record: 49328

On Wed, 17 Apr 2002 16:00:33 -0400, "Alex Batson"
<alex.batson@honeywell-tsi.com> wrote:

>Oh, I'm under the flightpath of one of the runways for BWI airport. What
>ground-to-air frequencies to they use?

That would probably be runway 10 (You live in or near the east side of
Columbia, right?).

Usually the approach frequency for that sector is 128.7, the tower is
119.4, Air Traffic Information Service (ATIS) is on 127.8 and also on
115.1 (BAL VORTAC).

If you only hear pilots it's because your antenna isn't high enough.
Air traffic control radio systems work on simplex AM. They use
relatively low power because they have nearly line of sight
communications in almost all circumstances. Since the band is crowded
and frequency re-use is an issue, there is very little incentive to
use more power than 100 watts. Most of the time, they use far less.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

2113. Re: Rechargable Battery Choices for PRO-93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 13:46:58 UTC
From: Tom Clyne <tc60@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3CBECE6B.C3B26987@optonline.net>
Source record: 49329

JOHN DENISE wrote:

> What about for the Pro-94? Would those work for that as well? I burn 4
> batteries a week. What about the charger?

I use the RS NiMH's for my Pro-94 and IC-R2 (and Palm III, FWIW). They work fine, and last awhile. Have 2 sets of batts for each, when they need recharging, just pop in the charged backups and throw the empties in the charger. Bought a RS charger that does NiCad and NiMH, does 4 at a time, and takes AAA, AA, C, D, and 9V. Works for me.

TC

2114. Re: Are any "digital" cordless phones really secure?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 13:57:22 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <g4ktbuo7v2ekeqblqbcahivc0p7mobsak8@4ax.com>
Source record: 49330
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 18 Apr 2002 08:02:57 -0400, "dsli"
<tomznospam@tradewebdesign.com> wrote:

>Putting all the legal crap aside. Will it be possible for the new digital
>scanner to be able to receive the non spread spectrum digital cordless phone
>conversation if the 900's are not blocked?

Until the digital scanner vaporware becomes real, I don't see how
anyone can answer that question.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

2115. Re: Freqs for Hwrd County, MD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 14:17:57 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <2aktbuo539ulekvb045rgs4q3gusi92e9r@4ax.com>
Source record: 49331

On Thu, 18 Apr 2002 08:29:24 -0400, Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
wrote:

>Usually the approach frequency for that sector is 128.7, the tower is
>119.4, Air Traffic Information Service (ATIS) is on 127.8 and also on
>115.1 (BAL VORTAC).

Damn. I remembered wrong. Approach control is on 119.7 for the ILS
10 approach. You may also hear BWI ground controllers on 121.9 and if
you're really, really curious about such things: Clearance Delivery is
118.05.

Just so that you understand what you're hearing in relation to this
approach: The Instrument Landing System (ILS) has an Initial Approach
Fix (IAF) called DATED. The Localizer intercept fix is called COLUM
(10.4 miles from the BAL VORTAC) at which you're supposed to be no
lower than 2500 MSL. The middle of the course has another fix called
JEANS and it's 5.1 miles from BAL. The missed approach takes you to a
holding pattern 11 miles East of BAL at intersection BOAST.

If you want more data, go purchase a copy of the NE-3 U.S. Terminal
Procedures book from a local airport. It has all the gory details.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

2116. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 14:52:21 UTC
From: MRK <me@you.com>
Message-ID: <ubtne7pq96oa85@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49332
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

The UHF is the same as a PL-259.The N is harder to solder than the UHF. If you practice with one or two on a juck piece of coax, then put one on your coax, go with the N. In antennas for comercial equpi. they use N. If I could I put N on my equip.
"JEEPMON" <JEEPMON@HOTMAIL.COM> wrote in message news:i4jv8.5199$CH.2905@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> I am putting up a Diamond dual band vertical antenna. It will be for 2m and
> 70cm with about 60 feet of LMR400 coax never run underground.
> My problem is should I buy the antenna with the UHF or the N connector? What
> are the pro's and con's to both? Is a UHF the same as a PL259?
> And if one connector is better than the other then why is there any choice?
> Also I have experience in soldering up PL259's but no experience with N
> connectors. Are they hard to solder up to coax?
>
>

2117. Wtd: Info on Diamond D-130J Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 16:27:21 UTC
From: Barry <luminosity@madriver.com>
Message-ID: <3CBEF3E8.424A0198@madriver.com>
Source record: 49333

I'm looking for opinions on the Diamond D-130J omni-directional
discone type antenna, or better yet, what's the best wide band
omni on the market today.
Tnx / Barry -Vermont

2118. FA : Pro-43 MINT, One Day Left!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 16:49:29 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <tOCv8.96692$9N1.12370768@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 49334
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

One day left on this beauty....

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1345953011

2119. Re: Are any "digital" cordless phones really secure?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 17:00:47 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <3ZCv8.56357$Kq4.2222075@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 49335

lol

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020418000537.16905.00003310@mb-mh.aol.com...
> >that is the only thing I feel sorry about. It is illegal to listen to
almost
> >anything in the USA. I would like to sorta move there. but. with the
scanner
> >laws. It really turns me off to moving there if I get a good paying job.
> >Radio hobby I enjoy and hate to have The US government saying ta ta. that
is
> >a no no. I think I will just stay here in canada where it is legal.
> >
> >Dev
>
> "It's only illegal if you get caught" ;)
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> http://edfas.tripod.com

2120. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 19:13:47 UTC
From: dplatt@radagast.org
Message-ID: <ubu6nbc2hvhj17@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49336
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

In article <3CBEA9FB.5F7CD3CA@volcanomail.com>,
I.R.Baboon wrote:

>UHF-connectors should be forbidden in law. I'ts one of the worst
>inventions ever made.

Hey, no fair! Before you get to have that law passed, you have to
honor the priority of another "Get rid of a truly terrible connector
design" project - one intended to stamp out the fundamentally-evil RCA
connector. Talk about a bad design... yuck.

I'm only half-joking... ;-)

--
Dave Platt KG6KWP
Hosting the Jade Warrior home page: http://www.radagast.org/jade-warrior
I do _not_ wish to receive unsolicited commercial email, and I will
boycott any company which has the gall to send me such ads!

2121. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 19:35:30 UTC
From: ".Bill :-)" <TheRealExray@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3CBF2002.742E266E@netscape.net>
Source record: 49337
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Dave Platt wrote:
>
> In article <3CBEA9FB.5F7CD3CA@volcanomail.com>,
> I.R.Baboon wrote:
>
> >UHF-connectors should be forbidden in law. I'ts one of the worst
> >inventions ever made.
>
> Hey, no fair! Before you get to have that law passed, you have to
> honor the priority of another "Get rid of a truly terrible connector
> design" project - one intended to stamp out the fundamentally-evil RCA
> connector. Talk about a bad design... yuck.
>
> I'm only half-joking... ;-)

Or the 'Motorola' connector. In the early days of TV distribution those
g(@*&$danged things were even used for TV outlets.
-ex

2122. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 20:46:35 UTC
From: randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com
Message-ID: <b03b87e2.0204181246.556eb0fa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49338
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

"MRK" <me@you.com> wrote in message news:<ubtne7pq96oa85@corp.supernews.com>...
> The UHF is the same as a PL-259.The N is harder to solder than the UHF. If
> you practice with one or two on a juck piece of coax, then put one on your
> coax, go with the N. In antennas for comercial equpi. they use N. If I
> could I put N on my equip.

http://www.shieldselectronics.com/tech6.htm

2123. Re: Wtd: Info on Diamond D-130J Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 20:51:27 UTC
From: randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com
Message-ID: <b03b87e2.0204181251.39563fa3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49339

Barry <luminosity@madriver.com> wrote in message news:<3CBEF3E8.424A0198@madriver.com>...
> I'm looking for opinions on the Diamond D-130J omni-directional
> discone type antenna, or better yet, what's the best wide band
> omni on the market today.
> Tnx / Barry -Vermont

Are you wanting to transmit as well as receive?

Randy
KD4OWL

2124. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 20:59:17 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020418165917.27348.00003580@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 49341

>Subject: Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?
>From: tmgroat"at"peakpeak"dot"com (Tim Groat)
>Date: 4/18/2002 7:01 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3cbeb370.2440900@news.peakpeak.com>

>Losses: Not enough to worry about IF the PL-259 is of good quality,

There you go. If you buy crap PL-259's they have loss. I bet you can buy cheap knock off N connectors made in some Indonesian sweat shop that have the same losses. Why people will spend big $ on an antenna, a support structure and coax that has .05 db less loss per 100 feet and then use a connector they bought in a box of junk at a hamfest never ceases to amaze me. A top grade teflon PL-259 combination (the socket counts too) really doesn't get very lossy until you hit 4.3GHZ, and I've gotten away with them there in a pinch....
Even an RCA connector can be made fairly low loss at VHF and low UHF with good dielectrics....
Neutrodyne

2125. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 21:00:24 UTC
From: dplatt@radagast.org
Message-ID: <ubucv8gqqnc2d8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49340
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3CBF2002.742E266E@netscape.net>,
.Bill :-) <TheRealExray@netscape.net> wrote:

>Or the 'Motorola' connector. In the early days of TV distribution those
>g(@*&$danged things were even used for TV outlets.

Ooogh. I think I'd managed to forget any conscious memory that such a
thing had ever existed. I have a feeling that I backed away, cowering
in horror, the last time I saw one.

--
Dave Platt <dplatt@radagast.org> KG6KWP
Hosting the Jade Warrior home page: http://www.radagast.org/jade-warrior
I do _not_ wish to receive unsolicited commercial email, and I will
boycott any company which has the gall to send me such ads!

2126. Re: Are any "digital" cordless phones really secure?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 21:06:10 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <2adubugu4g3ftkqtrvto753mm5tgllie3k@4ax.com>
Source record: 49342
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, "dsli" <tomznospam@tradewebdesign.com> spake thusly

>Putting all the legal crap aside. Will it be possible for the new digital
>scanner to be able to receive the non spread spectrum digital cordless phone
>conversation if the 900's are not blocked?

No. Totally different digital protocol.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2127. Re: Wtd: Info on Diamond D-130J Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 21:42:55 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@cox.net>
Message-ID: <2efubugo4cr3l7ht2fqgnjvrlbenb3m4hf@4ax.com>
Source record: 49343

On Thu, 18 Apr 2002 12:27:21 -0400, Barry <luminosity@madriver.com>
wrote:

>I'm looking for opinions on the Diamond D-130J omni-directional
>discone type antenna, or better yet, what's the best wide band
>omni on the market today.
>Tnx / Barry -Vermont
>
>
For the money it is probably the best wideband/omni on the market.
with 25-1300Mhz coverage...

2128. Re: Beachwood, NJ fire frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 21:47:45 UTC
From: Tim Greensgod <sab@sabnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <a9nf1p$pe1$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 49344

No problem, just use the FCC's license records website.
http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts

For example, I was able to find my local police frequences (4 of them):
http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/uls/uls_freq_res.hts?db_id=19
&link=14032189&key=0&callsign=KH380

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020412093010.22946.00002413@mb-cn.aol.com...
> Is there anyone here that actually listens to the Beachwood, NJ fire
> department? I assume they're dispatched on one of the county fire freq's,
but I
> am not sure which. I also want to know which freq's they talk on (fire
ground,
> etc...). I have a list of frequencies, but I need to know which is which!
Any
> help is appretiated. Thanks!
> =-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29ww
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> http://edfas.tripod.com

2129. How to activate tape out jack - 780XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 22:05:09 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3cbf4371.3018132@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 49345

OK< I want to record audio wave files using Winscan 780
I get audio out of the speaker jack into my Mic jack, but I can't get
anything out of the Tape Mon jack on the bacl of the 780
How do I activate that jack?
Thanks!

2130. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 22:50:10 UTC
From: DougSlug <dw_firewall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <C4Iv8.28057$JI.6735056@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 49247
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

I read a test report in which the author compared UHF and N connectors on a network analyzer. I was surprised at how bad the UHF connector actually is at UHF...he measured about 1 dB insertion loss per UHF connector vs. about 0.2 dB loss per N connector at 440 MHz. Add the loss of the coax at UHF to twice the insertion loss, and you've cut your effective power in half! Yikes!

I found this article enlightening:
http://minyos.its.rmit.edu.au/~rmmca/pl259tst.html

- Doug

"JEEPMON" <JEEPMON@HOTMAIL.COM> wrote in message
news:i4jv8.5199$CH.2905@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> I am putting up a Diamond dual band vertical antenna. It will be for 2m
and
> 70cm with about 60 feet of LMR400 coax never run underground.
> My problem is should I buy the antenna with the UHF or the N connector?
What
> are the pro's and con's to both? Is a UHF the same as a PL259?
> And if one connector is better than the other then why is there any
choice?
> Also I have experience in soldering up PL259's but no experience with N
> connectors. Are they hard to solder up to coax?
>
>

2131. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 23:27:40 UTC
From: Charles Gallo <Charlie@thegallos.com>
Message-ID: <amlubu8a1v4oqulgufhs5uqr6tg6i250nn@4ax.com>
Source record: 49248
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On Thu, 18 Apr 2002 13:11:55 +0200, "I.R.Baboon"
wrote:

>
They ARE hard to install the correct way
>(You DO need a soldering iron > 75W, It is hard to be sure You
>got a good enough braid connection, You are more-or-less BOUND to
>do damage to the cable if You do not know the EXACT amount of heat
>that should be applicated by the soldering iron. Etc. Etc.)
>
>I.R.Baboon

I always found that the easiest way to solder them was with a
resistance soldering "Iron" (More like carbon tweezers). I don't have
a set at home (Bummer)

Charlie

--
73
KC2IXE

For the Children - RKBA!

Darwin's Law of Carcinogens: Cancer cures smoking.

2132. Re: How to activate tape out jack - 780XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 23:30:47 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3cbf57f5.8270052@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 49249

Yup, I RTFM and figured it out

On Thu, 18 Apr 2002 15:41:47 -0700, Keith <nospamplease@newsguy.com>
wrote:

>Bruce Markowitz wrote:
>
>>How do I activate that jack?
>
> Consult the manual, you need to set up each channel you want to record.
>
>
>
>--
>Best Regards,
>
>Keith Reply-to Address is valid.
>===
>My Home Page http://n6jpa.home.attbi.com/ Free Software links.
>"Did Congress Woman Cynthia McKinney say these things while standing
>on a grassy knoll in Roswell, NM?" (A conspiracy theory
>blaming President Bush and friends for the 9/11 attack.)
>===
>

2133. AMTRAK Derailment - live EMS scanner comms NOW!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 23:42:18 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CBF59DA.3080602@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 49250
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

As you've seen on the Network News and CNN there is a major train derailment in Florida. I'm listening and rebroadcasting. Many people have lost arms, legs and feet, this broadcast is NOT for the weak hearted.

go to http://www.MilAirComms.com for the broadcast.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

2134. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-18 23:45:36 UTC
From: Roy Lewallen <w7el@eznec.com>
Message-ID: <3CBF5AA0.808D2AA7@eznec.com>
Source record: 49251
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

Was the insertion loss due to mismatch or to actual dissipative loss? If it's mismatch, the "loss" can be eliminated by a matching network.

Here's an example to show the difference. Suppose the connector is right at the load, and that the generator is set up to provide 10 volts RMS (2 W) to a 50 ohm load. The generator voltage is then 20 volts RMS. If the connector's impedance at the test frequency is a resistance of 12.2 ohms, the load will see 50/112.2 * 20 = 8.91 volts RMS, a reduction of 1 dB from the 10 volts it would have gotten without the connector. If this is happening, the connector loss is truly 1 dB, and the loss can't be recovered. But on the other hand, suppose that the connector's impedance is a pure reactance of 50.9 ohms. The load will see 100/(sqrt(100^2 + 50.9^2)) = 8.91 volts RMS just like it did with a resistive connector impedance. So the "insertion loss" is 1 dB, just the same as for the resistive connector. But this is different. We can make the insertion "loss" disappear entirely simply by adding a series reactance of the opposite sign at the connector. This cancels out the reactance, and the load gets its full 10 volts RMS (2 watts). This second case shows the effect of, and cure for, the impedance "bump" caused by a connector that doesn't have the correct constant internal impedance. In many cases, you'll have a matching network anyway, so you can adjust out the effect of the impedance bump with minimal degradation to your system. (The only possible degradation is a slight increase in the SWR on the transmission line, if the mismatch is at the antenna and the matching is done at the transmitter. The increased SWR could lead to increased loss if the line is quite lossy to begin with and the SWR increase is appreciable.) A UHF connector with Teflon insulation isn't likely to have much resistive loss -- measured "insertion loss" is more likely due to impedance mismatch.

"Insertion loss" is similar to the concept of "mismatch loss" or "reflection loss". I recently wrote about those in the thread "Suggest coax for long run" in rec.radio.amateur.antenna, on March 27 and April 10. You should be able to find these at http://groups.google.com. There was also some discussion recently about misapplying modeling results to reach invalid conclusions. If a measurement is made of a connector's "insertion loss" and it's taken to imply that it represents real dissipative loss, then this could be a good example of that misapplication being done.

Roy Lewallen, W7EL

DougSlug wrote:
>

> I read a test report in which the author compared UHF and N connectors on a
> network analyzer. I was surprised at how bad the UHF connector actually is
> at UHF...he measured about 1 dB insertion loss per UHF connector vs. about
> 0.2 dB loss per N connector at 440 MHz. Add the loss of the coax at UHF to
> twice the insertion loss, and you've cut your effective power in half!
> Yikes!
>
> I found this article enlightening:
> http://minyos.its.rmit.edu.au/~rmmca/pl259tst.html
>
> - Doug

2135. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 00:27:59 UTC
From: redmondj <redmondj@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <3CBF64ED.8050003@concentric.net>
Source record: 49252
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

I see a lot of responses to the UHF-N question and I'll add my $.02.

UHF connectors were designed when UHF was considered to be anything
about 30 MHz. Currently the connectors come with a wide variation in
quality. If you are determined to use an UHF connector get ones with
teflon dielectric. I have seen them with nylon dielectric which will
melt with moderate power at even 2M. Nylon is very lossy but is cheap
compared to teflon. I find that it takes longer to properly assemble
an UHF connector than an N. There is one solder connection on the N and
two wrenches are required to finish the assembly. The N connector will
have good dielectric material unless you are able to find a really off
brand source.

The other thing you need to consider is the loss of LMR400. If you are
planning a run over 50 feet you might consider something like 9913.

73, Alan - K6RFK

JEEPMON wrote:

>I am putting up a Diamond dual band vertical antenna. It will be for 2m and
>70cm with about 60 feet of LMR400 coax never run underground.
>My problem is should I buy the antenna with the UHF or the N connector? What
>are the pro's and con's to both? Is a UHF the same as a PL259?
>And if one connector is better than the other then why is there any choice?
>Also I have experience in soldering up PL259's but no experience with N
>connectors. Are they hard to solder up to coax?
>
>

2136. Re: Rechargable Battery Choices for PRO-93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 00:58:09 UTC
From: Garvin@Truss.net
Message-ID: <1fauo7h.xohlde12gm05eN%Garvin@Truss.net>
Source record: 49253

Doug Kiner <xdougk911x@yahoo.com> wrote:

> I'm tired of burning through regular AA batteries in my PRO-93 - Looking for
> recommendations for the best choice for AA rechargables for this radio, and
> recommended vendors, if applicable.
>
> E-mail directly or post - TIA!

Try Thomas Distributing on the web, do a Google search for it as I
haven't got the URL handy at the moment. GREAT prices AND service. I buy
the Maha's.

--
If love is blind, why is lingerie so popular?
- George Carlin

2137. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 01:16:06 UTC
From: "D. S. Platt" <jeepp@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3CBF6FD6.3BC62726@erols.com>
Source record: 49254
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

> Appropos of I don't know what.... I was informed (by a retired Signal
> Corps-type, one of the original Monmoth Boys) that the PL-259 (nee UHF) was
> designed at Monmoth by a plumber and a machinist.. not necessarily in that
> order. It appeared to do the job and thus, caught on. They didn't have
> network analyzers back then.....hi. I've been fortunate to have been OJT'd by
> an expert on PL-259's. Things like folding the braid back double do wonders
> for the install. I still use them below 30 MHz. But, like all have said, the
> Type N is a "real" RF connector, the PL-259 is good for garden hoses.......

73 de K3HVG

>
> >

2138. Help Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 01:20:43 UTC
From: Simon Pearson <maureen.pearson@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a9nrdb$8ue$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49255

Hi Im a third year university student and for my final year project I have designed a handheld scanner using up to date technology and the latest in palm top design. I would really like some feed back on the design so please visit my web site at

http://babbage.mis.coventry.ac.uk/srp/default.html

Any comments would be very helpfull.
Thanks

simon_r_pearson@hotmail.com

2139. Re: AMTRAK Derailment - live EMS scanner comms NOW!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 01:23:53 UTC
From: unlisted@no.com
Message-ID: <3cbf7186.24519375@news.charter.net>
Source record: 49258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 18 Apr 2002 19:42:18 -0400, GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
got on the local K-mart intercom and said:

>As you've seen on the Network News and CNN there is a major train
>derailment in Florida. I'm listening and rebroadcasting. Many people
>have lost arms, legs and feet, this broadcast is NOT for the weak
>hearted.
>
>go to http://www.MilAirComms.com for the broadcast.
>
>George
>http://www.MilAirComms.com
>
>
This is an excellent website George. Lots of good info.

RF

2140. Re: Wtd: Info on Diamond D-130J Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 01:51:14 UTC
From: Barry <luminosity@madriver.com>
Message-ID: <3CBF7811.9608D758@madriver.com>
Source record: 49346

Just for receive.

"Randy A. Hefner" wrote:

> Barry <luminosity@madriver.com> wrote in message
news:<3CBEF3E8.424A0198@madriver.com>...
> > I'm looking for opinions on the Diamond D-130J omni-directional
> > discone type antenna, or better yet, what's the best wide band
> > omni on the market today.
> > Tnx / Barry -Vermont
>
> Are you wanting to transmit as well as receive?
>
> Randy
> KD4OWL

--

Luminosity Studios est.1975
Fine Architectual Stained Glass & Custom Lighting
Arroyo Craftsman / Mica Lamp Co / Quoizel
Located in The Old Church Rt.100
Waitsfield, Vermont 05673
(802) 496-2231

Portfolio: http://photos.yahoo.com/luminositystudios

Slide Show:
http://y42.photos.yahoo.com/bc/luminositystudios/slideshow?.dir=/Luminosity+Studios&.view=l

2141. Re: Wtd: Info on Diamond D-130J Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 01:52:10 UTC
From: "Ed G." <Spurious@_REMOVE_StarBand.net>
Message-ID: <Xns91F4C043F34DCFrog207Junocom@148.78.248.76>
Source record: 49256

> For the money it is probably the best wideband/omni on the market.
> with 25-1300Mhz coverage...

Additionally, it is rated for transmit under 100 watts on the 50, 144,
440, 900, and 1.2GHz Ham bands.

Ed

2142. Wichita, Ks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 02:17:12 UTC
From: Evan Olberding <flipteam15@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3cbf7e82@news.mhogaming.com>
Source record: 49257

I am looking for people in the wichita,ks area who are into scanning and want to trade info and such.

2143. Re: Car antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 02:38:21 UTC
From: johnb <borregoj@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3CBF8477.5030000@sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 49259
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I totally agree, use the window thing all the time, and the radio shak
antenae and its great

Harry Marnell wrote:

> You COULD use the car antenna, but you'd need a connector-adapter etc,
> plus the am/fm antenna cable is likely not very good for
> scanner-frequency ranges. And that suction-cup thing that comes with
> the BCT-12 is pretty lame.
>
> Two suggestions I'd make would make:
>
> MY favorite, which I use and recommend highly, is a window-clip mount...
> it hooks over the top of a side-window glass, and you attach a rubber
> (or other) antenna to it. It's pretty low profile, no holes or
> scratches in the car's paint; plus whenever you park the car it takes
> about 3 seconds to take it down and stash it under the seat. They come
> with about 6' of cable which you plug into the scanner. A side
> benefit... you can use it for a CB, ham radio etc., as it uses the
> radio's "stock" antenna. It's Radio Shack Cat.#: 20-023, $14.99. See
> it at http://makeashorterlink.com/?B52112FA (give the page a few
> seconds to redirect you)... the "low-tech" instruction page is at
> http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/doc47/47964.htm
>
> If you feel the urge for a mag mount antenna, my recommendation would be
> Radio Shack Cat.#: 20-032 for about 30 bucks... it's a good,
> all-frequency scanner antenna, especially if you'll be listening to all
> bands... see it at http://makeashorterlink.com/?M14135FA
>
> HTH...
> --
> Harry Marnell
> http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications
> History
>
>
>
> "user" <user@mail.com> wrote in message
> news:Aj9u8.60536$ud6.2052238@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
>
>>I recently bought a Uniden BCT-12 scanner and I'm wondering if I might
>>
> be
>

>>able to hook it up to my car's radio antenna...? The scanner comes
>>
> with an
>
>>antenna wire you can suction-cup to your windshield, but that's a bit
>>
> messy
>
>>and I don't think the range or reception I'm getting is great.
>>
>>If anyone could tell me whether that would work, I'd appreciate any
>>
> advice
>
>>you can give me. I'd really rather not mount a seperate antenna on my
>>
> car
>
>>if it can be helped.
>>
>>Please reply to the group.
>>
>>Thanks.
>>
>>
>>
>
>

2144. Wanted to Buy Pro-26

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 02:58:35 UTC
From: farmandjr69@cs.com
Message-ID: <20020418225835.12056.00003707@mb-ck.news.cs.com>
Source record: 49260

I would like to buy a Pro-26 in good to great condition.
Please email me at farmandjr69@cs.com if you have one available. Thanks in advance
Fred

2145. Re: Wtd: Info on Diamond D-130J Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 03:12:09 UTC
From: 22004750 <22004750@snetsu.cpg.com.au>
Message-ID: <3CBF8B08.336A8CF7@snetsu.cpg.com.au>
Source record: 49261

Actually, it's rated to 200 watts on the amateur bands.

I use one with 60 feet of rg-213 coax and have very good results from
30-900Mhz

I don't seem to be getting such good receive on 800Mhz though... Might need
an antenna with some gain to get better reception on this band, but for what
I use it for, it's fine.

Matt

"Ed G." wrote:

> > For the money it is probably the best wideband/omni on the market.
> > with 25-1300Mhz coverage...
>
> Additionally, it is rated for transmit under 100 watts on the 50, 144,
> 440, 900, and 1.2GHz Ham bands.
>
> Ed

2146. Re: Help Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 03:13:55 UTC
From: It's Great To Be Canadian <HeyItsMe@Home.com>
Message-ID: <TXLv8.7328$Gpn.1252@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 49262
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Sounds like a very top notch little gadget. I did notice though, that you didn't mention anything about any possiblity of scanning trunking systems, or putting ctcss capabilities in it. I think that's pretty much all it's missing.

Randy

Btw, how much Canadian does that translate to.

"Simon Pearson" <maureen.pearson@btinternet.com> wrote in message news:a9nrdb$8ue$1@helle.btinternet.com...
> Hi Im a third year university student and for my final year project I have
> designed a handheld scanner using up to date technology and the latest in
> palm top design. I would really like some feed back on the design so
please
> visit my web site at
>
> http://babbage.mis.coventry.ac.uk/srp/default.html
>
> Any comments would be very helpfull.
> Thanks
>
> simon_r_pearson@hotmail.com
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.350 / Virus Database: 196 - Release Date: 4/17/2002

2147. Re: ATV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 03:54:15 UTC
From: gary.croll@ucr.edu
Message-ID: <d22f8aff.0204181954.2febb7f2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49263
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You can also find ATV in the 900MHz band, 1.2GHz, 2.4GHz, and 10GHz band.
KE6GHS

> If you have a TV card in your computer AND your local ATV'ers are running on
> 421.25 megs you can tune the card to cable channel 57 and pick them up.
> Remember,
> that's CABLE channel 57, not over the air (but you still use an antenna as
> opposed
> to hooking up to cable tv)......
>
> AFAIK your scanner is useless for this application except for monitoring the
> audio
> channel (4.5mhz above the video freq and running WFM).
>
> --
>
> /`\\\
> (@ @)
> *--------ooO---(_)---Ooo----------*
> ï¿½Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KGï¿½WXï¿½
> ï¿½ Design Services Company ï¿½
> ï¿½ http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com ï¿½
> ï¿½ Smoke free since 9/30/96! ï¿½
> ï¿½ ICQ# 63379650ï¿½ ï¿½
> *-----------------------------------*

2148. Re: Frequency Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 04:11:40 UTC
From: gary.croll@ucr.edu
Message-ID: <d22f8aff.0204182011.6cf3fcfb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49264
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"JOHN DENISE" <JDENISE1@cfl.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<qS4v8.217478$y26.36099648@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>...
> Just curious if there is a scanner out there that covers all frequencies
> with the obvious exceptions of cell.Is there a purpous to have the CB bands?
> 54 -108 I believe is all Radio Stations. What are some of the other ranges
> that are commonly left out and what are they used for. I just saw in another
> post that the 700 range is TV channels. Thanks for the info.

There are plenty of scanners available even today with no blocked
frequencies and haven't been modified. For example, I have an Icom
R-100 which covers from 100KHz, (which is below the AM broadcast
band), to 1856MHz. There are no gaps or anything blocked out. It
receives AM, FM, and WFM and is a sweet little radio. These are often
available "new in the box" on Ebay for around $300.00 but I found mine
at a swapmeet for $10.00 It was missing the AC adapter and had 2
broken antenna jacks but what the hey.

2149. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 04:17:36 UTC
From: Mark Walsh <mark@km6xu.die.spam.die.com>
Message-ID: <a9o5miicp1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 49265
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

"redmondj" <redmondj@concentric.net> wrote:

> Currently the connectors come with a wide variation in quality.

Boy, ain't that the truth!

> The other thing you need to consider is the loss of LMR400. If you are
> planning a run over 50 feet you might consider something like 9913.

Don't these have roughly the same loss?

2150. Six Mil-Comms Capable and Trunk Tracking Scanners for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 05:00:05 UTC
From: allanstern@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020419010005.08009.00004055@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 49266

ITEMS FOR SALE

BC9000XLT: Base Model; 500Ch; 20Banks; 25-550 MHz, 760-1300 MHz; Data Skip; AM/NFM/WFM Mode Switch; Adjustable Step Width; Antenna Attenuator; Alpha Numeric LCD Display. Monitors both UHF and VHF Mil comms.
Recent EBay sale: $265.49 + ship.
Price: $190 shipped ($180 picked up). 4 units for sale.

BC895XLT: Trunk Tracker; Base Model; 300Ch; 10banks; 29-54, 108-174, 216-512; to 956 MHz. Moto TT; 100cps TurboScan; 300cps Search; Tuning Knob; Data Skip; 12 Priority Channels; RS-232 Cmptr port; Aux/Tape Output; Signal Strength Meter; Weather Alert; AutoStore skips dupes. Monitors UHF Mil comms. Recent EBay sale: $219.00 + ship, and 182.50 + ship. Current Grove price: $195.00 + ship.
Price: $135 shipped ($125 picked up). 2 units for sale.

My friend in Orlando, Harold McNeal, is selling six more scanners, that were not on the previous list I posted. These are Mil Comms capable, and two are Trunk Trackers. They are in top, like new condition. He has priced them low.
1. Price includes shipping to any CONUS address.
2. Price includes wall wart power supply or power cord.
3. Price includes manual and indoor antenna.
4. Radio will be shipped upon receipt of US Postal Money Order.
I prefer that you contact Harold directly, in Orlando, at 407/852-0693 (new number) to arrange to mail him the payment. If he is not at home, leave your number of call again until you reach him. I will be glad to answer any questions if you want to contact me at 321/777-2018.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL

2151. Re: Mono Earbud Headphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 05:04:40 UTC
From: Ray <nobody@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <IzNv8.43888$8W5.34399@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 49267
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt,radio.scanner

esowden@mynra.com (Eddie) wrote in
news:1a21a43b.0204171250.73b395e@posting.google.com:

> I was hoping to avoid the adapter solution.
> Looks like I have no choice.

At the moment, I'm using also using cheap pair
of earbuds (Aiwa?) w/ a Stereo-to-Mono adapter,
but thinking about "upgrading" to a dedicated
monitoring earpiece, maybe something from Moto
or Pryme ... check out these URLs:

http://www.prymebattery.com/audio/earphone.asp

http://www.rahq.com/acatalog/Online_Catalog_FRS__Amateur_Radio_and_Scan
ner_Accessories_11.html

http://www.equipmentemporium.com/headphon.htm

--
Ray

2152. Re: Help Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 05:24:25 UTC
From: Just Me <JustMe@Home.com>
Message-ID: <dSNv8.7883$Gpn.3390@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 49268

Sounds like a very top notch little gadget. I did notice though, that you
didn't mention anything about any possiblity of scanning trunking systems,
or putting ctcss capabilities in it. I think that's pretty much all it's
missing.

Btw, how much Canadian does that translate to.
>
>
> "Simon Pearson" <maureen.pearson@btinternet.com> wrote in message
> news:a9nrdb$8ue$1@helle.btinternet.com...
> > Hi Im a third year university student and for my final year project I
have
> > designed a handheld scanner using up to date technology and the latest
in
> > palm top design. I would really like some feed back on the design so
> please
> > visit my web site at
> >
> > http://babbage.mis.coventry.ac.uk/srp/default.html
> >
> > Any comments would be very helpfull.
> > Thanks
> >
> > simon_r_pearson@hotmail.com
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.350 / Virus Database: 196 - Release Date: 4/17/2002
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.350 / Virus Database: 196 - Release Date: 4/18/2002

2153. Re: Help Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 06:47:31 UTC
From: "Louis J. Giliberto, Jr." <noemail@spamstinks.com>
Message-ID: <ubvfc5jhedfude@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49269

Very cool, but I think some of the features might be constrained by the battery (using flash instead of a disk would help -- I don't think you would need GBs of storage on the scanner). Bluetooth also might interfere with the receiver.

There seems to be no decimal point (or comma for parts of Europe) on the keypad for the search tab.

Intefacing to a cell phone (via bluetooth or USB) would also be cool. When travelling, you could link it to the internet and download local frequencies. Don't forget interfacing to other palm scanners for cloning.

Since you already have a database, having functions to autostore active frequencies would be cool. Also, some type of macro language would really rock.

A dock that gives extra features like discriminator output and recharge, etc. would be a nice addition.

If you have a pocket PC (or palm, but I'm a PPC kind of guy), you could make a working prototype. Code up the interface for the PPC then buy a compact flash RS-232 card for the PPC. Then you can control a scanner like the 780 using your interface and see how it really feels. Kind of expensive, esp. for a college student, but you can download the compilers and PPC emulator from Microsoft for free to get it working and maybe borrow a PPC or something if you don't have one. Even if you don't actually get a PPC and hook it up to a scanner, you can prototype the interface with the emulator.

Cool idea! You did a great job.

"Just Me" <JustMe@Home.com> wrote in message
news:dSNv8.7883$Gpn.3390@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
>
> Sounds like a very top notch little gadget. I did notice though, that you
> didn't mention anything about any possiblity of scanning trunking
systems,
> or putting ctcss capabilities in it. I think that's pretty much all it's
> missing.
>
>
> Btw, how much Canadian does that translate to.
> >
> >
> > "Simon Pearson" <maureen.pearson@btinternet.com> wrote in message
> > news:a9nrdb$8ue$1@helle.btinternet.com...
> > > Hi Im a third year university student and for my final year project I

> have
> > > designed a handheld scanner using up to date technology and the latest
> in
> > > palm top design. I would really like some feed back on the design so
> > please
> > > visit my web site at
> > >
> > > http://babbage.mis.coventry.ac.uk/srp/default.html
> > >
> > > Any comments would be very helpfull.
> > > Thanks
> > >
> > > simon_r_pearson@hotmail.com
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> > ---
> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.350 / Virus Database: 196 - Release Date: 4/17/2002
> >
> >
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.350 / Virus Database: 196 - Release Date: 4/18/2002
>
>

2154. Scanner suggestions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 07:27:17 UTC
From: JT <jt@noemail.mnn.com>
Message-ID: <upJkGb35BHA.1572@cpimsnntpa03>
Source record: 49270
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Yes, another request. For my mother. Really.

She currently has a BC 210XLT. She got it to listen to police calls, since my brother's a cop, and whenever she
hears of local incident, or just on in the evenings. She's complaining that it doesn't work as well as it used to.

My translation is she just wants a new one.

Whipping out the Universal catalog (I like SWL/AM DXing), there's the BC-278CLT as my starting point. Radio Shack has stuff on sale for another week or so.

Is there any place to get reviews like Radio Netherlands? Or will the above do as an easy upgrade?
It adds AM/FM/SAME WX, PSB, and I think the Aircrat band. (She also lives next to the town with the airport.)

TIA

2155. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 07:52:22 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <j5jvbukrrv0ler5bgfgio0rbma84f4r69o@4ax.com>
Source record: 49271
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, "Mark Walsh" <mark@km6xu.die.spam.die.com>
spake thusly

>> The other thing you need to consider is the loss of LMR400. If you are
>> planning a run over 50 feet you might consider something like 9913.
>
>Don't these have roughly the same loss?

Almost exactly. If you want LESS loss, go to LMR 600, or even 800.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2156. Re: AMTRAK Derailment - live EMS scanner comms NOW!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 07:58:31 UTC
From: Black Ops <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <3cbfdc4e@server002.chicago.avenew.com>
Source record: 49272
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3CBF59DA.3080602@licensed4fun.com...
> As you've seen on the Network News and CNN there is a major train
> derailment in Florida. I'm listening and rebroadcasting. Many people
> have lost arms, legs and feet, this broadcast is NOT for the weak
> hearted.
>
> go to http://www.MilAirComms.com for the broadcast.
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com
>

You didn't, by chance, record any of this did you? If so, any chance of putting it on the site?

(BTW, just listened to United 93 wav file... pretty amazing stuff.)

2157. Re: AMTRAK Derailment - live EMS scanner comms NOW!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 10:26:56 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CBFF0F0.9080907@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 49273
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Black Ops wrote:

> "GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
> news:3CBF59DA.3080602@licensed4fun.com...
>
>>As you've seen on the Network News and CNN there is a major train
>>derailment in Florida. I'm listening and rebroadcasting. Many people
>>have lost arms, legs and feet, this broadcast is NOT for the weak
>>hearted.
>>
>>go to http://www.MilAirComms.com for the broadcast.
>>
>>George
>>http://www.MilAirComms.com
>>
>>
>
>
> You didn't, by chance, record any of this did you? If so, any chance of
> putting it on the site?
>
> (BTW, just listened to United 93 wav file... pretty amazing stuff.)
>
>
>
I wish I did but I really don't have the shack setup as we had just moved into a new house.
Still working on the "tower".

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

2158. milcoms in europe ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 12:54:05 UTC
From: f4tug <f4tug@netcourrier.com>
Message-ID: <5o40cu80vb9ubpu1q2h1ubcfihfhfsa55v@4ax.com>
Source record: 49274
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
i search a mail list or NG for milcoms in europe , HF VHF UHF
i havent find anything on the net for the moment

tnx for help
```

2159. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 13:13:29 UTC
From: "I.R.Baboon" <i.r.baboon@volcanomail.com>
Message-ID: <3CC017F9.D3FE6500@volcanomail.com>
Source record: 49275
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Dave Platt wrote:
>
> In article <3CBEA9FB.5F7CD3CA@volcanomail.com>,
> I.R.Baboon wrote:
>
> >UHF-connectors should be forbidden in law. I'ts one of the worst
> >inventions ever made.
>
> Hey, no fair! Before you get to have that law passed, you have to
> honor the priority of another "Get rid of a truly terrible connector
> design" project - one intended to stamp out the fundamentally-evil RCA
> connector. Talk about a bad design... yuck.
>
> I'm only half-joking... ;-)

So was, BTW, me to.

But, it is less than 1 week ago, I swore in about 45minutes without
repeating myself over a RCA male "connector". That is even more than
I usually swear over those "wonderful" banana-plug replacement YECCH-,
pardon, UHF connectors.

I.R. Baboon

>
> --
> Dave Platt KG6KWP
> Hosting the Jade Warrior home page: http://www.radagast.org/jade-warrior
> I do _not_ wish to receive unsolicited commercial email, and I will
> boycott any company which has the gall to send me such ads!

2160. Re: AMTRAK Derailment - live EMS scanner comms NOW!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 13:31:45 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CC01C41.9040700@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 49276
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

>
> George, thanks for the info; helps to have a report from someone on the scene.
> Used to commute via AMTRAK; I think those trains go around 120 MPH; quite a
> ride ....
>
>

The latest report is that the train was going 65 MPH and the engineer
hit the emergency brakes because he say "something" on the track.

Now my question is that what in the hell is so important for a 65 MPH,
23 car train to hit the emergency breaks? When there are cars at a
R/R crossing they don't hit the breaks, the just blow the horn and keep
on trucking..... To prove this ironic point, a few months ago in the
very same area (within 10 miles) of this point of derailment another
train hit a car at a R/R crossing draging it for nearly a mile. All in
the car were killed. That isn't the only car to be hit in this area
either....

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF, VHF, UHF Military Info and MORE!

2161. Re: Are any "digital" cordless phones really secure?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 13:43:26 UTC
From: dsli <tomznospam@tradewebdesign.com>
Message-ID: <r4Vv8.47537$To6.14017555@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49277
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks,
What is the protocol for cordless 900mhz digital non hopping cordless
phones?

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:2adubugu4g3ftkqtrvto753mm5tgllie3k@4ax.com...
> Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, "dsli"
<tomznospam@tradewebdesign.com> spake thusly
>
> >Putting all the legal crap aside. Will it be possible for the new digital
> >scanner to be able to receive the non spread spectrum digital cordless
phone
> >conversation if the 900's are not blocked?
>
> No. Totally different digital protocol.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2162. Re: AMTRAK Derailment - live EMS scanner comms NOW!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 13:46:53 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1cVv8.62$sO2.23764@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 49278
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Well, as a general rule, the crossings seem to be located in areas where the train engineer can't see the crossing until it's too late to stop. It takes several miles to bring a train to a stop. It's not that the engineer doesn't hit the brakes when he sees the car, there just isn't time for it to have any effect.

Mark

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message news:3CC01C41.9040700@licensed4fun.com...
>
> >
> > George, thanks for the info; helps to have a report from someone on the scene.
> > Used to commute via AMTRAK; I think those trains go around 120 MPH; quite a
> > ride ....
> >
> >
>
>
> The latest report is that the train was going 65 MPH and the engineer
> hit the emergency brakes because he say "something" on the track.
>
> Now my question is that what in the hell is so important for a 65 MPH,
> 23 car train to hit the emergency breaks? When there are cars at a
> R/R crossing they don't hit the breaks, the just blow the horn and keep
> on trucking..... To prove this ironic point, a few months ago in the
> very same area (within 10 miles) of this point of derailment another
> train hit a car at a R/R crossing draging it for nearly a mile. All in
> the car were killed. That isn't the only car to be hit in this area
> either....
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF, VHF, UHF Military Info and MORE!
>
>

2163. April 19, 1995 - Sept. 11, 2001

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 14:03:12 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <krVv8.67$sO2.27013@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 49279
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

It's been seven years since the bombing of the Alfred P. Murrah Federal Building in Okla City, seven months (approximately) since the events of Sept. 11, 2001. From those of us in Oklahoma to those of you in New York City, Washington DC, and Shanksville, PA, our sympathies and prayers.

May we never forget.

Mark

April 19th, 1995, 9:02 AM We'll Never Forget

2164. LIVE Prostitution Sting - Daytona Beach, FL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 15:10:17 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CC03359.8000601@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 49280
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

Daytona Beach Police Prostitution sting happening NOW Live.
http://www.MilAirComms.com to listen to undercover vice wasting tax
payers dollars. Warning, Daytona Vice cops are very unprofessional on
the radio as in the last 30 minutes have used the "f" word three times
on the radio.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

2165. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 17:20:19 UTC
From: jim.bolinger@lintek.aeroflex.com
Message-ID: <8d5ec8a2.0204190920.4bcfd70b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49281
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

[snipped]

redmondj <redmondj@concentric.net> wrote in message
news:<3CBF64ED.8050003@concentric.net>...
> UHF connectors were designed when UHF was considered to be anything
> about 30 MHz. Currently the connectors come with a wide variation in

A common misconception... UHF (the connector) does not mean Ultra
High Frequency, it means Universal HF, as in "good for all uses
between 3 and 30 MHz". Of course, the definition of 'good' is
debatable in this case.

As I recall the story, N, BNC, TNC, and C were all designed by the
same two guys, Mr. 'N' and Mr. 'C'. Sorry, can't remember their
names or where they worked (Bell Labs?). Perhaps someone else does?

I've never had any trouble putting N connectors on. Keeping them
on...thats another story, at least on cable with braided sheild. They
can not stand much flexing. If you are using one on a rotating
antenna, make sure that you have the cable clamped so there is no
movement what so ever at the connector.

Jim
N8EE

2166. Re: milcoms in europe ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 17:58:11 UTC
From: europeans <europeans@poczta.onet.pl>
Message-ID: <a9pm2t$sp1$1@news.tpi.pl>
Source record: 49282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

There are various mailing groups around that encompass military frequencies,
but not specifically military orientated as the group I am on is dedicated
to MUF & propagation, but mil freq are often heard around 30-40MHz, but for
DX stuff you need something like a R7000

Richard

U&#65533;ytkownik f4tug <f4tug@netcourrier.com> w wiadomooci do grup dyskusyjnych
napisa&#65533;:5o40cu80vb9ubpu1q2h1ubcfihfhfsa55v@4ax.com...
> i search a mail list or NG for milcoms in europe , HF VHF UHF
> i havent find anything on the net for the moment
>
> tnx for help

2167. Tucson, Temp, and Phoenix, AZ Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 18:06:41 UTC
From: "tw��,.," <.tw>, <>, yahoomsnATTBI.org.com
Message-ID: <Q0Zv8.72708$G72.54058@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 49283
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

I am looking for police, Fire, and sheriff frequencies for Tucson, Tempe, and Phoenix. Also Arizona
state law. I've checked out cityfreq.com, but it lists too many junk frequencies. Please help me.
Thanks.

2168. Re: Tucson, Temp, and Phoenix, AZ Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 18:10:49 UTC
From: Just Me <JustMe@Home.com>
Message-ID: <J4Zv8.14008$VLV.1771@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 49284
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ArizonaScanner/

This is a good place to start.

"tw��,.." <.tw,@nothomeexcite5,yahoomsnATTBI.org.com> wrote in message news:Q0Zv8.72708$G72.54058@sccrnsc01...
> Hi,
>
> I am looking for police, Fire, and sheriff frequencies for Tucson, Tempe, and Phoenix. Also Arizona
> state law. I've checked out cityfreq.com, but it lists too many junk frequencies. Please help me.
> Thanks.
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

2169. Re: Help Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 18:32:39 UTC
From: **Sorcerer** <spamrog@walesuk.net>
Message-ID: <a9pns7$sp5$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49285
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Its possibly only great to be a Canadian, if you are a Canadian,
I, myself have no desire whatsoever to be a Canadian

--
Sorcerer

Remove spam please.

.
"It's Great To Be Canadian" <HeyItsMe@Home.com> wrote in message
news:TXLv8.7328$Gpn.1252@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> Sounds like a very top notch little gadget. I did notice though, that you
> didn't mention anything about any possiblity of scanning trunking systems,
> or putting ctcss capabilities in it. I think that's pretty much all it's
> missing.
>
> Randy
>
> Btw, how much Canadian does that translate to.
>
>
> "Simon Pearson" <maureen.pearson@btinternet.com> wrote in message
> news:a9nrdb$8ue$1@helle.btinternet.com...
> > Hi Im a third year university student and for my final year project I
have
> > designed a handheld scanner using up to date technology and the latest
in
> > palm top design. I would really like some feed back on the design so
> please
> > visit my web site at
> >
> > http://babbage.mis.coventry.ac.uk/srp/default.html
> >
> > Any comments would be very helpfull.
> > Thanks
> >
> > simon_r_pearson@hotmail.com
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.

> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.350 / Virus Database: 196 - Release Date: 4/17/2002
>
>

2170. Re: Newbie alert... Programming frequency "ranges"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 18:39:23 UTC
From: **Sorcerer** <spamrog@walesuk.net>
Message-ID: <a9po8q$128$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49286

Why don't you just say, "you want to restore the cell band"?

Sorcerer

Remove spam please.

.
"Scanning68" <scanning68@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020408172356.22612.00002748@mb-fg.aol.com...
> Hi all,
> I have a RS PRO-93 scanner... Let's say - completely hypothetically -
that
> I wanted to program in two different frequency ranges...
>
> Ohhhhh... let's say 902-908 & 925-928, for instance.
>
> This scanner has 10 banks of 30 channels each, where you can program known
> frequencies in. But in the search mode, there appears to only be one bank
(SR5)
> where you can program in a frequency range. The search banks are assigned
in
> the following manner:
>
> SR0 Marine Band
> SR1 CB Band
> SR2 Police/Fire
> SR3 Aircraft Band
> SR4 Ham Band
> SR5 Limit search (program yourself)
>
> So would I have to program in one huge range (low of 902MHz to a high of
> 928MHz) to cover the requisite frequencies, or is there another way to
store
> the second range? My manual seems to imply that SR5 is indeed the only
place to
> store a range... and only one range.
>
> I experimented with storing the 902-928 range - just for kicks - and it
was
> painful. It kept stopping on static in the 916 range, and I had to
constantly
> continue the scanning manually.
>
> Is there any way to store *both* ranges in this scanner, instead of just
one?

>
> Also, is there a way to delete a couple of the SR bands from the scanner,
such
> as Ham and Marine? I will never be using them.
>
> Thanks very much...

2171. Pro 2035 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 18:42:10 UTC
From: Oldgeek <oldgeek@home.net>
Message-ID: <Xns91F58BC9E53A4oldgeek@140.99.99.130>
Source record: 49287

Hi,

I have a Pro-2035 scanner with box and manual I would like to sale or trade. This scanner has the module that blocks the 800 mhz replaced with the European module. I would be interested in trading for computer parts or a decent guitar. Made offer on cash.

Thanks

2172. ARC780 via PayPal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 18:46:39 UTC
From: Thomas J Owens <meteo@nospam>
Message-ID: <Pine.SGI.4.30.0204191442580.6169-100000@world.std.com>
Source record: 49288

Have any of you registered ARC780 via PayPal? I don't seem to be having
much luck getting a response from butel since I submitted payment on 4/13.
Do they usually take a while to respond? Thanks in advance.

Tommy

2173. FS / Trade BC760XLT with CTCSS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 18:48:49 UTC
From: webmedics@aol.comspambloc
Message-ID: <20020419144849.04399.00007376@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 49289

I've got an older Bearcat with some useful 800 to 950 range freq's. It's also got the optional CTCSS board.

Make offer (a reasonable one)

Will trade for Motorola RSS programmable VHF, including minitor III's or toward a 780XLT

Please reply without the spamblock to:
WeBmedics @ aol
The difference between Genius and Stupidity is Genius has its limits. Albert Einstein

2174. Re: Realistic 2004 anything worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 18:52:10 UTC
From: **Sorcerer** <spamrog@walesuk.net>
Message-ID: <a9pp0p$3t0$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49290

Oh yes, I forgot, some of you Americans pay ridiculous prices for these scanners, here in the UK, you can get mint 2006's for around £75.00. as I have shown here on the group before. Only last month I bought a mint, boxed,unblocked Aor AR8200MKII with software/cable for PC for £100.00 .($145.00 US) I saw one the same on the US Ebay page for $515.00 US Dollars. Your crazy you yanks :-))
I will get one for you if you want.....

--
Sorcerer

Remove spam please.

.
"Brett Powell" <powell.brett@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:F1Ru8.27532$Rw2.2163490@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> More than that and you know it $100-200 US some where in there, in good
> shape makes a good basic set.
> "**Sorcerer**" <spamrog@walesuk.net> wrote in message
> news:a9cg0j$5lb$1@paris.btinternet.com...
> > About £10 or £15 I expect
> >
> >
> > jik
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > .
> > "Inscom Jones" <inscom76@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:3cb9a50e.4781986@shawnews...
> > > ...still got a Realistic 2004, 25 to 1300 MHz (CONTINUOUSLY)
> > > kicking around. Wonder, is still anything worth?
> > >
> > > I hear, and I forget
> > > I see, and I remember
> > > O do, and I understand
> > >
> >
> >
>

>

2175. F/S Icom Filters/Voice Unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 18:54:42 UTC
From: ronm@direcway.com
Message-ID: <3cc067b4.19404251@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 49291

In there box I have the following from ICOM:

FL-52A - 455KHz CW Crystal Filter (500Hz/-6dB) $140.00

FL-223 - 9MHz SSB Narrow Filter (1.9kHz/-6dB) $50.00

UT-102 - Voice Sunthesizer Unit $35.00

Any Questions email me.

Ron M

2176. F/S Icom Filters/Voice Unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 18:55:38 UTC
From: ronm@direcway.com
Message-ID: <3cc0680f.19495805@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 49292

In there box I have the following from ICOM:

FL-52A - 455KHz CW Crystal Filter (500Hz/-6dB) $140.00

FL-223 - 9MHz SSB Narrow Filter (1.9kHz/-6dB) $50.00

UT-102 - Voice Sunthesizer Unit $35.00

Any Questions email me.

Ron M

2177. Re: Scanners take another hit in the news.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 18:58:29 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uc0q3c6j55mnc5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49293

Can't say that I have ever seen anything like that here in the US...
Interesting product, jus the same.. Never knew a device like it existed...
I think most posters here were thinking along the lines of the Icom IC-R3;
at least that is what I was thinking anyway...

PH

"Mike Burgess" <nospam@lineone.net> wrote in message
news:e4wlstA5Iav8EwR5@btinternet.com...
> In article <_Hgv8.6333$PQ.562@nwrddc03.gnilink.net>, Muverick
> <vze24njg@verizon.net> writes
> >I haven't followed the entire thread, and I'm not a big scanner user-
more a
> >"lite" user. The question that occurs to me, and perhaps someone else has
> >already caught this, is-"How does an audio-only scanner pick up a video
> >transmission?" I recognize that some of these cameras could have audio
> >capability, and that could/would be transmitted, but so what?
>
> Don't think anyone mentioned an audio-only scanner..
> In the UK this sort of thing is available:
>
> http://www.videoscanner.co.uk/
>
> I am sure similar items are available in the US ?
>
> --
> Mike Burgess : University of Life...
> Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
> : don't fix it !

2178. Who is he trying to fool?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 20:15:18 UTC
From: Anonymous User <anonymous@remailer.havenco.com>
Message-ID: <7b164ebc26e3b64ba0a0fcd3a57a735c@remailer.havenco.com>
Source record: 49294

This is in their $350 Pro 2006 auction.

"I have had this one in a glass display case for the last several years.

I bought an expensive transceiver so I need to offset the cost."

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1347453574

So why did he buy one on Ebay for $250 February 10th?

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1331163025

2179. Re: Who is he trying to fool?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 20:21:12 UTC
From: Just Me <JustMe@Home.com>
Message-ID: <Y__v8.14556$VLV.12120@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 49295

He's just doing it the american way. Buy cheap, sell for more to some
other cheap loser.

"Anonymous User" <anonymous@remailer.havenco.com> wrote in message
news:7b164ebc26e3b64ba0a0fcd3a57a735c@remailer.havenco.com...
> This is in their $350 Pro 2006 auction.
>
> "I have had this one in a glass display case for the last several years.
>
> I bought an expensive transceiver so I need to offset the cost."
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1347453574
>
> So why did he buy one on Ebay for $250 February 10th?
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1331163025
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

2180. Re: Scanners take another hit in the news.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 20:30:01 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <nospam@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <5ID8b1BJ5Hw8Ewd$@g7hid.lineone.net>
Source record: 49296

In article <uc0q3c6j55mnc5@corp.supernews.com>, Power House
<Power_House@PrivateBox.com> writes

>Can't say that I have ever seen anything like that here in the US...
>Interesting product, jus the same.. Never knew a device like it existed...
>I think most posters here were thinking along the lines of the Icom IC-R3;
>at least that is what I was thinking anyway...

In the UK the 2.3 to 2.5GHz band also has a ham radio (video) allocation
and a Police air to ground video allocation, so there are some
interesting uses for the product. Compared to the R3, the R3 is very
deaf (blind!) at 2.4GHz..

>"Mike Burgess" <nospam@lineone.net> wrote in message
>news:e4wlstA5Iav8EwR5@btinternet.com...
>> In article <_Hgv8.6333$PQ.562@nwrddc03.gnilink.net>, Muverick
>> <vze24njg@verizon.net> writes
>> >I haven't followed the entire thread, and I'm not a big scanner user-
>more a
>> >"lite" user. The question that occurs to me, and perhaps someone else has
>> >already caught this, is-"How does an audio-only scanner pick up a video
>> >transmission?" I recognize that some of these cameras could have audio
>> >capability, and that could/would be transmitted, but so what?
>>
>> Don't think anyone mentioned an audio-only scanner..
>> In the UK this sort of thing is available:
>>
>> http://www.videoscanner.co.uk/
>>
>> I am sure similar items are available in the US ?
>>
--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
: don't fix it !

2181. Re: ARC780 via PayPal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 20:31:58 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <nospam@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <HVuzHCC+6Hw8Ew4j@g7hid.lineone.net>
Source record: 49197

In article <Pine.SGI.4.30.0204191442580.6169-100000@world.std.com>,
Thomas J Owens <meteo@nospam.?> writes
>
>Have any of you registered ARC780 via PayPal? I don't seem to be having
>much luck getting a response from butel since I submitted payment on 4/13.
>Do they usually take a while to respond? Thanks in advance.

I registered by PayPal and I was sorted within about 12 hours..

--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
: don't fix it !

2182. Re: Who is he trying to fool?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 21:11:55 UTC
From: Alexander McNaughton <treehug3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <pK%v8.23569$132.2729327@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 49198

What a jackass.

Anonymous User <anonymous@remailer.havenco.com> wrote in message
news:7b164ebc26e3b64ba0a0fcd3a57a735c@remailer.havenco.com...
> This is in their $350 Pro 2006 auction.
>
> "I have had this one in a glass display case for the last several years.
>
> I bought an expensive transceiver so I need to offset the cost."
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1347453574
>
> So why did he buy one on Ebay for $250 February 10th?
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1331163025
>

2183. Re: Tucson, Temp, and Phoenix, AZ Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 21:27:07 UTC
From: wleamons <wleamons@socket.net>
Message-ID: <uc12te7mt2pgfe@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49199
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

try cityfreq.com
"tw��,.." <.tw,@nothomeexcite5,yahoomsnATTBI.org.com> wrote in message
news:Q0Zv8.72708$G72.54058@sccrnsc01...
> Hi,
>
> I am looking for police, Fire, and sheriff frequencies for Tucson, Tempe,
and Phoenix. Also Arizona
> state law. I've checked out cityfreq.com, but it lists too many junk
frequencies. Please help me.
> Thanks.
>
>

2184. Re: Tucson, Temp, and Phoenix, AZ Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 21:31:38 UTC
From: Paul Ooton <news@ooton.cjb.net>
Message-ID: <3cc089d5_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 49200
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If you read his post you would have noticed that he said that he tried
www.cityfreq.com.
Paul

"wleamons" <wleamons@socket.net> wrote in message
news:uc12te7mt2pgfe@corp.supernews.com...
> try cityfreq.com
> "tw��,.," <.tw,@nothomeexcite5,yahoomsnATTBI.org.com> wrote in message
> news:Q0Zv8.72708$G72.54058@sccrnsc01...
> > Hi,
> >
> > I am looking for police, Fire, and sheriff frequencies for Tucson,
Tempe,
> and Phoenix. Also Arizona
> > state law. I've checked out cityfreq.com, but it lists too many junk
> frequencies. Please help me.
> > Thanks.
> >
> >
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2185. Re: LIVE Prostitution Sting - Daytona Beach, FL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 22:26:26 UTC
From: helmsman@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <p861cu4a5jlhc081d6sv69t8bbj21nu3pb@4ax.com>
Source record: 49201
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote:

>Daytona Beach Police Prostitution sting happening NOW Live.
>http://www.MilAirComms.com to listen to undercover vice wasting tax
>payers dollars. Warning, Daytona Vice cops are very unprofessional on
>the radio as in the last 30 minutes have used the "f" word three times
>on the radio.
>
>George
>http://www.MilAirComms.com
>

What a waste of money!
.
http://www.mindspring.com/~helmsman/

2186. SWL/HAM Database - free to all.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 23:03:33 UTC
From: "www.ar3000.info" <tom.mcqNOSPAM@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a9q7o5$36r$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49202
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi Guys,
Please feel free to download my SWL/HAM database from the website. I created it for my own use but later decided to upgrade it to meet most people's requirements. It's free and it works really well. Suitable for individuals or for radio-clubs.

--
http://www.tom.mcq.btinternet.co.uk/ar3000/mcqlog.htm

Regards, Tom.
*.. -: :-
. .))
((. . ..-::- * www.ar3000.info * -::-
-::- ((.*

2187. Re: Freq Managment Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 23:22:56 UTC
From: "www.ar3000.info" <tom.mcqNOSPAM@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <a9q8sg$76i$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 49203
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Look no further.....
http://www.tom.mcq.btinternet.co.uk/ar3000/mcqlog.htm

Tom www.ar3000.info

"GRS" <blimpieserv@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:FSpu8.53364$%8.4424916@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> I'm looking for a database type program to manage the freq's I've
gathered.
> I know there are plenty of programs specific to any certain radio model,
but
> I'm just looking for a non-radio-specific program. Any suggestions would
be
> great.
>
> --greg
>
>

2188. Re: LIVE Prostitution Sting - Daytona Beach, FL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-19 23:34:14 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0204191534.107bf3c5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49204
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:<3CC03359.8000601@licensed4fun.com>...
> Daytona Beach Police Prostitution sting happening NOW Live.
> http://www.MilAirComms.com to listen to undercover vice wasting tax
> payers dollars. Warning, Daytona Vice cops are very unprofessional on
> the radio as in the last 30 minutes have used the "f" word three times
> on the radio.
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com

I, for one, am glad to hear this. I wouldn't want any DEAD prostitutes
walking the streets!!

2189. Re: AMTRAK Derailment - live EMS scanner comms NOW!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 00:23:50 UTC
From: starman <starman@tech.net>
Message-ID: <3CC0B516.50106A97@tech.net>
Source record: 49205
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

4/20/02

It doesn't always take a big object on the tracks to derail a train.
Something much smaller than a car can sometimes start a derailment. The
engineer may have seen an object that caused him to make a split second
judgment about the possibility of a derailment and he decided that
applying the brakes was the best response.
*****

GeorgeF wrote:
>
> >
> > George, thanks for the info; helps to have a report from someone on the scene.
> > Used to commute via AMTRAK; I think those trains go around 120 MPH; quite a
> > ride ....
> >
> >
>
> The latest report is that the train was going 65 MPH and the engineer
> hit the emergency brakes because he say "something" on the track.
>
> Now my question is that what in the hell is so important for a 65 MPH,
> 23 car train to hit the emergency breaks? When there are cars at a
> R/R crossing they don't hit the breaks, the just blow the horn and keep
> on trucking..... To prove this ironic point, a few months ago in the
> very same area (within 10 miles) of this point of derailment another
> train hit a car at a R/R crossing draging it for nearly a mile. All in
> the car were killed. That isn't the only car to be hit in this area
> either....
>
> George

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2190. Re: Pro 2035 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 00:34:16 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3cc0b84f.5020474@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 49206

Your email address is invalid. What computer parts are you looking
for?

On Fri, 19 Apr 2002 18:42:10 GMT, Oldgeek <oldgeek@home.net> wrote:

>Hi,
>
> I have a Pro-2035 scanner with box and manual I would like to sale or
>trade. This scanner has the module that blocks the 800 mhz replaced with
>the European module. I would be interested in trading for computer parts or
>a decent guitar. Made offer on cash.
>
>Thanks

2191. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 00:59:58 UTC
From: Jim Jerzycke <kq6ea@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3CC0BD7D.4010905@pacbell.net>
Source record: 49207
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

They were designed by Paul Neil and Carl Concelman of Bell Labs. The BNC is a 'Bayonet Neil-Concelman', and the TNC is a 'Threaded Neil-Concelman'. And yes, the Type-N and Type-C connectors come from the first letter of their last names.
73, Jim KQ6EA

2192. Automotive interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 01:10:10 UTC
From: tucansam@whodis.org
Message-ID: <a9qf5i$i9v$1@reader1.panix.com>
Source record: 49208

I recently replaced the spark plug wires on my 4-banger, and I did notice a difference in the level of interference that my handheld with a rubber duckie picks up.

I also picked up a cheap mag mount for the roof. I am not expecting miracle reception, but I am now able to pick up noticably distant stations compared to the stock rubber duckie.

The mag mount uses *thin* coax. I've never seen coax this thin except on the short DS3 cables we use in the lab at work. I am sure there is some shielding in there, but I am not convinced there is that much. Is my assumption correct?

I am noticing alternator whine on dead-air channels with the squelch turned down -- when I accelerate, I hear "rrrrrrr" and it gets louder as I step on the accelerator.

With the rubber duckie this problem is less pronounced. I am concluding that the thin/mildly-shielded coax run between the antenna and the scanner is picking up noise.

If this is in fact the case, is there anything I can do, short of a new antenna? Perhaps wrap the in-car portion of the cable in some sort of foil, etc? One of the reasons I bought the antenna was because the cable was so thin. Its going to be a temporary antenna ultimately, but I'll likely use it for 8-12 months, so I'd like to clean up the signal a bit if I could.

Any comments or suggestions would be most welcome!

Thanks.

Jason

2193. Re: Anyone Downloaded AirNav-4 yet???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 01:17:52 UTC
From: chsm899@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3c9d7dd0.0204191717.3762fc4b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49209
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I don't know what you mean with after sales service but they have
always replied to my emails in less than 2 days, which is quite
acceptable.

CS

"roughplanet" <roughplanet@optushome.com.au> wrote in message
news:<3cbe5c81$0$11449$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>...
> "Charles Smith" <chsm899@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3c9d7dd0.0204171755.596a065a@posting.google.com...
> >> AirNav Systems have written a reply to me tonight and have >>offered a
> full refund and state that a patch will be available in >>around one month's
> time,
> >>so what does that tell you?
>
> >It only says what I've been saying. Opposite to what has been >posted in
> some newsgroups their service is outstanding! If you >reported a problem
> they couldn't do any better: offered a refund >and will release a patch soon
> for users that experienced problems.
> >
> >What else could a user want from a Software Company?
> >I believe not even 10 out of 100 companies would offer you a full
> >refund for that.
> >Hope you will get the program working soon as I'm sure you will >like it.
> >
> >Charles, EGLL
>
> Pardon my scepticism, but couldn't it also prove that Mr. AirNav is becoming
> aware of the poor publicity his most recent offering is getting on
> newsgroups such as this, and has finally decided to actually provide
> after-sales service, something he has been loathe to do in the past?
>
> Ruff

2194. Re: milcoms in europe ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 01:29:02 UTC
From: f4tug <f4tug@netcourrier.com>
Message-ID: <20h1cu00l1dsp5v2rfslno2o82gv33h48a@4ax.com>
Source record: 49210
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 19 Apr 2002 19:58:11 +0200, "europeans"
<europeans@poczta.onet.pl> wrote:

>There are various mailing groups around that encompass military frequencies,
>but not specifically military orientated as the group I am on is dedicated
>to MUF & propagation, but mil freq are often heard around 30-40MHz, but for
>DX stuff you need something like a R7000

i have a ar5000 , i can where i want , here i only know 1 freq (317.5)
but very low active

2195. Yaesu 0 (zero) Uniden 10 (ten)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 01:58:11 UTC
From: Soliloquy@Soliloquy.net
Message-ID: <uc1ipj86q45v68@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49211

Uniden versus Yaesu. Some would think that there is no comparison. Both offer commercial and domestic (amateur) equipment. But one thing, in my mind at least, testifies loudly to the virtue of Uniden is an experience that I have had with both companies concerning the service of a radio manufactured by each company.

I have 4 Yaesu products, purchased in rapid succession (all in a period of 18 months). The VR-500, VX-5R, FRG-100, and the FT-840. The FRG-100 Shortwave receiver is a sweetheart, no problems at all. The FT-840 HAM transceiver with general coverage reception is quite good for my needs also, but I received the unit in a non-functioning condition. I was stymied. I sent it back to AES in Cleveland (great store) and they discovered that the power cord had the positive and negative wires reversed in the plug that connects to the transceiver. This was from the factory. I did not have the pin out; with it I "might" have discovered the problem. This caused me some aggravation in shipping it back to Cleveland and waiting 2 more days for its return. Oh well, I figured I'd write to Yaesu and ask for an explanation. I did, I wrote again and again, and never got a reply from them. I gave up. Enter the VR-500. Very fine receiver, except with the front-end overloading. As I travel through Pittsburgh and approach one of the million or so cell towers here (honestly, people claimed that billboards were cluttering the landscape, billboards pale in comparison to cell towers), the radio's front-end overloads. The fix is to use the Attenuation feature, works reasonably nice. Ok, so I bought the VX-5R, sheesh, I can't even look at a cell tower without it overloading. It doesn't work in many areas where the VR-500 excels. So I called Yaesu technical support, they suggested that intermodulation interference might be a factor and asked me to return it for warranty repairs. I did so in February, they received it March 04. After innumerable calls and e-mails, I finally discovered that it has, just today, been shipped back to me. The radio had been repaired by April 04. It took 2 weeks to ship a radio that had taken 5 weeks (from the original shipping date) to get repaired. Yaesu claims (I am sure that they are truthful, but the delay is too long) that that had just moved to another facility and had great difficulty in getting the shipping department going again. My gripe with Yaesu is that they never write back if you e-mail them (to customer service) with the exception of the repair technicians, they write back fairly quickly. They never call back if you phone them, (customer service) even if they promised to call when they discovered that the radio was ready to ship. I spent some 45 minutes on the phone, waiting for the automated systems to connect me with customer service Reps that had no intention on calling back anyway.

Enter Uniden, another brand of radios that I own that were produced directly (Uniden Products) or indirectly (Radio Shack Brand) by Uniden. I bought the BCT-7 slightly used, and shortly after receiving it began to have trouble with the display illumination. I disassembled the unit, found the offending surface

mounted LEDs, and called Uniden in an attempt to procure new ones. After a rather frustrating volley with the woman in the parts department (who refused to give me her name, and also refused to direct my call to a supervisor when I asked her to), I began to write to various individuals with Uniden Corporation itself. Soon I had a response from a woman with the corporation acknowledging that she desired to help. I wrote to her with the details (concerning the altercation with the woman in the parts department) and she resolved the situation by having me return the unit to Uniden, whereupon Uniden sent me a new unit. I also received a call from the parts department saying that they had found the LEDs that I needed. (I thanked them, but as the unit was being returned for replacement, I no longer needed the LEDs) This is what I call customer service. They have made a friend in me for life. (unless the product or service becomes mediocre)

Anyway, I'd like some input on ICOM HF (shortwave, HAM) products (I haven't given up on Uniden, I intend on purchasing the Uniden 785D when it comes out this fall), and whether or not ICOM maintains a decent customer rapport.

Thanks.

Jim

2196. Kenwood TH F-6 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 02:10:17 UTC
From: Richard Kempe <r.kempe@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <d64w8.36066$Rw2.2684735@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 49212

The "mode" indicator (AM-FM-USB, etc.) on the display of my F-6 continually flashes, if I recall it didn't used to flash, can't find anything about it in the manual. Has anyone else experienced this?

2197. Re: AMTRAK Derailment - live EMS scanner comms NOW!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 02:19:41 UTC
From: Ken Roberts <kenr55@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <tc4w8.144203$gA5.9855611@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Local news says the engineer went into emergency because he saw misaligned
track ahead.
--
Ken, KG4RWM
kenr55@bellsouth.net

GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3CC01C41.9040700@licensed4fun.com...
>
> >
> > George, thanks for the info; helps to have a report from someone on the
scene.
> > Used to commute via AMTRAK; I think those trains go around 120 MPH;
quite a
> > ride ....
> >
> >
>
>
> The latest report is that the train was going 65 MPH and the engineer
> hit the emergency brakes because he say "something" on the track.
>
> Now my question is that what in the hell is so important for a 65 MPH,
> 23 car train to hit the emergency breaks? When there are cars at a
> R/R crossing they don't hit the breaks, the just blow the horn and keep
> on trucking..... To prove this ironic point, a few months ago in the
> very same area (within 10 miles) of this point of derailment another
> train hit a car at a R/R crossing draging it for nearly a mile. All in
> the car were killed. That isn't the only car to be hit in this area
> either....
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF, VHF, UHF Military Info and MORE!
>
>

2198. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 02:46:18 UTC
From: JB <ac6tk@nospamcybertime.net>
Message-ID: <a9qkpo$8pi$1@madmax.keyway.net>
Source record: 49214
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

Haaaaaaaaaa! This is how the engineering staff justifies putting
an ATU network in your ham rigs! So that you can tune out the
SWR hump in your PL-259/SO-239 junction out to the antenna.

I have seen weirdness when tuning infrastructure, where I get
apparently more power out of the other end of the coax or out
of a duplexer, and different readings with different coax jumpers
with PL-259s! Instrumentation is meaningless until you do away
with them.

Jim, ac6tk

"Roy Lewallen" <w7el@eznec.com> wrote in message news:3CBF5AA0.808D2AA7@eznec.com...
: Was the insertion loss due to mismatch or to actual dissipative loss? If
: it's mismatch, the "loss" can be eliminated by a matching network.
:
: Here's an example to show the difference. Suppose the connector is right
: at the load, and that the generator is set up to provide 10 volts RMS (2
: W) to a 50 ohm load. The generator voltage is then 20 volts RMS. If the
: connector's impedance at the test frequency is a resistance of 12.2
: ohms, the load will see 50/112.2 * 20 = 8.91 volts RMS, a reduction of 1
: dB from the 10 volts it would have gotten without the connector. If this
: is happening, the connector loss is truly 1 dB, and the loss can't be
: recovered. But on the other hand, suppose that the connector's impedance
: is a pure reactance of 50.9 ohms. The load will see 100/(sqrt(100^2 +
: 50.9^2)) = 8.91 volts RMS just like it did with a resistive connector
: impedance. So the "insertion loss" is 1 dB, just the same as for the
: resistive connector. But this is different. We can make the insertion
: "loss" disappear entirely simply by adding a series reactance of the
: opposite sign at the connector. This cancels out the reactance, and the
: load gets its full 10 volts RMS (2 watts). This second case shows the
: effect of, and cure for, the impedance "bump" caused by a connector that
: doesn't have the correct constant internal impedance. In many cases,
: you'll have a matching network anyway, so you can adjust out the effect
: of the impedance bump with minimal degradation to your system. (The only
: possible degradation is a slight increase in the SWR on the transmission
: line, if the mismatch is at the antenna and the matching is done at the
: transmitter. The increased SWR could lead to increased loss if the line
: is quite lossy to begin with and the SWR increase is appreciable.) A UHF
: connector with Teflon insulation isn't likely to have much resistive
: loss -- measured "insertion loss" is more likely due to impedance
: mismatch.
:

: "Insertion loss" is similar to the concept of "mismatch loss" or
: "reflection loss". I recently wrote about those in the thread "Suggest
: coax for long run" in rec.radio.amateur.antenna, on March 27 and April
: 10. You should be able to find these at http://groups.google.com. There
: was also some discussion recently about misapplying modeling results to
: reach invalid conclusions. If a measurement is made of a connector's
: "insertion loss" and it's taken to imply that it represents real
: dissipative loss, then this could be a good example of that
: misapplication being done.
:
: Roy Lewallen, W7EL
:
: DougSlug wrote:
: >
: > I read a test report in which the author compared UHF and N connectors on a
: > network analyzer. I was surprised at how bad the UHF connector actually is
: > at UHF...he measured about 1 dB insertion loss per UHF connector vs. about
: > 0.2 dB loss per N connector at 440 MHz. Add the loss of the coax at UHF to
: > twice the insertion loss, and you've cut your effective power in half!
: > Yikes!
: >
: > I found this article enlightening:
: > http://minyos.its.rmit.edu.au/~rmmca/pl259tst.html
: >
: > - Doug

2199. Re: Yaesu 0 (zero) Uniden 10 (ten)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 03:00:31 UTC
From: Bigdaddyking <bdk1@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.172aa41e5a9c60a989792@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 49215

In article <uc1ipj86q45v68@corp.supernews.com>, Soliloquy@Soliloquy.net
says...
> Uniden versus Yaesu. Some would think that there is no comparison. Both offer
> commercial and domestic (amateur) equipment. But one thing, in my mind at
> least, testifies loudly to the virtue of Uniden is an experience that I have
> had with both companies concerning the service of a radio manufactured by each
> company.
>
> I have 4 Yaesu products, purchased in rapid succession (all in a period of 18
> months). The VR-500, VX-5R, FRG-100, and the FT-840. The FRG-100 Shortwave
> receiver is a sweetheart, no problems at all. The FT-840 HAM transceiver with
> general coverage reception is quite good for my needs also, but I received the
> unit in a non-functioning condition. I was stymied. I sent it back to AES in
> Cleveland (great store) and they discovered that the power cord had the
> positive and negative wires reversed in the plug that connects to the
> transceiver. This was from the factory. I did not have the pin out; with it I
> "might" have discovered the problem. This caused me some aggravation in
> shipping it back to Cleveland and waiting 2 more days for its return. Oh well,
> I figured I'd write to Yaesu and ask for an explanation. I did, I wrote again
> and again, and never got a reply from them. I gave up. Enter the VR-500. Very
> fine receiver, except with the front-end overloading. As I travel through
> Pittsburgh and approach one of the million or so cell towers here (honestly,
> people claimed that billboards were cluttering the landscape, billboards pale
> in comparison to cell towers), the radio's front-end overloads. The fix is to
> use the Attenuation feature, works reasonably nice. Ok, so I bought the
> VX-5R, sheesh, I can't even look at a cell tower without it overloading. It
> doesn't work in many areas where the VR-500 excels. So I called Yaesu
> technical support, they suggested that intermodulation interference might be a
> factor and asked me to return it for warranty repairs. I did so in February,
> they received it March 04. After innumerable calls and e-mails, I finally
> discovered that it has, just today, been shipped back to me. The radio had
> been repaired by April 04. It took 2 weeks to ship a radio that had taken 5
> weeks (from the original shipping date) to get repaired. Yaesu claims (I am
> sure that they are truthful, but the delay is too long) that that had just
> moved to another facility and had great difficulty in getting the shipping
> department going again. My gripe with Yaesu is that they never write back if
> you e-mail them (to customer service) with the exception of the repair
> technicians, they write back fairly quickly. They never call back if you phone
> them, (customer service) even if they promised to call when they discovered
> that the radio was ready to ship. I spent some 45 minutes on the phone,
> waiting for the automated systems to connect me with customer service Reps
> that had no intention on calling back anyway.
>
> Enter Uniden, another brand of radios that I own that were produced directly

> (Uniden Products) or indirectly (Radio Shack Brand) by Uniden. I bought the
> BCT-7 slightly used, and shortly after receiving it began to have trouble with
> the display illumination. I disassembled the unit, found the offending surface
> mounted LEDs, and called Uniden in an attempt to procure new ones. After a
> rather frustrating volley with the woman in the parts department (who refused
> to give me her name, and also refused to direct my call to a supervisor when I
> asked her to), I began to write to various individuals with Uniden Corporation
> itself. Soon I had a response from a woman with the corporation acknowledging
> that she desired to help. I wrote to her with the details (concerning the
> altercation with the woman in the parts department) and she resolved the
> situation by having me return the unit to Uniden, whereupon Uniden sent me a
> new unit. I also received a call from the parts department saying that they
> had found the LEDs that I needed. (I thanked them, but as the unit was being
> returned for replacement, I no longer needed the LEDs) This is what I call
> customer service. They have made a friend in me for life. (unless the product
> or service becomes mediocre)
>
> Anyway, I'd like some input on ICOM HF (shortwave, HAM) products (I haven't
> given up on Uniden, I intend on purchasing the Uniden 785D when it comes out
> this fall), and whether or not ICOM maintains a decent customer rapport.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Jim
>

Well, I'm kind of surprised, since Yaesu, at least until recently, by far
the best to deal with! Icom was pretty arrogant on the phone, and when
they "fixed" the IC-2000 I sent them because it was just horrible with
intermod, it came back deaf as a rock. I called them, and basically,
"That's the way it is, too bad". I sold it, and after a couple of
friends had tried them, an ICOM 2100, and it's a great radio, but Yaesu
was my second choice..my Ft2400 was a great radio, and I never should
have sold it.

Every single time I spoke with Icom, it was the same thing, kind of
nasty, and wanting to get you off the phone as fast as they could. Yaesu
sent me parts I needed, FREE, and helped me with a problem I was having
with my FRG-8800, and later with the Ft-2400(my fault). Kenwood has
always been the worst, as far as I can see, I have dealt with them twice,
once I needed some case parts for a friend's HT I was fixing, and it took
a long time, and a couple of phone calls, to get all of them. Later on,
I had to go through a lot of hassle trying to get a plug I needed that
nobody seemed to carry. Six weeks after they took my order, it showed
up, at an excessive price on top of it.

Another thing that's odd, of all the stores I have dealt with over the
years, AES in Cleveland was the nastiest, most arrogant bunch I have ever
talked to. I was so pissed the last time I tried to order something, I
called the main store to complain about it. And got nowhere. Another
bunch that I wont deal with anymore, unless it's for some small cheap

item, is Michigan Radio. I have friends that have had lots of problems getting defective stuff swapped out.I try to avoid buying from either of them anymore. Universal and Lentini get most of my business, unless they are out of something, then it's the Ham Station, (They have a tendency to pack stuff badly) and R&L.

BDK

2200. Re: Scanner suggestions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 06:02:07 UTC
From: "Louis J. Giliberto, Jr." <noemail@spamstinks.com>
Message-ID: <uc2132egesb231@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49217
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

For reviews go to www.strongsignals.net

RadioShack has the pro-2053 on sale for $180 right now (you can order it online www.radioshack.com if your local store is out). This is the base model of the Pro-93 (which I have and like). It's perfect for police and fire monitoring. Easy to use once you program it, but programming is a little confusing. It also does air and SAME (though the SAME functionality is not obvious to use but it has a one-touch WX button).

Plus it has alpha-tags so you can label what you are listening to with text.

The 278CLT runs for about $150, but is only 100 channels and non-trunking (though it has AM/FM and clock). I'd get the 2053 for $30 more while it is on sale and pop another $10 for a GE clock radio if you really need the time.

If money is no object a lot of people like the 780xlt which runs about $330-$350, but I think it's overkill for police/fire.

"JT" <jt@noemail.mnn.com> wrote in message
news:upJkGb35BHA.1572@cpimsnntpa03...
> Yes, another request. For my mother. Really.
>
> She currently has a BC 210XLT. She got it to listen to police calls,
since
> my brother's a cop, and whenever she
> hears of local incident, or just on in the evenings. She's complaining
that
> it doesn't work as well as it used to.
>
> My translation is she just wants a new one.
>
> Whipping out the Universal catalog (I like SWL/AM DXing), there's the
> BC-278CLT as my starting point. Radio Shack has stuff on sale for another
> week or so.
>
> Is there any place to get reviews like Radio Netherlands? Or will the
above
> do as an easy upgrade?
> It adds AM/FM/SAME WX, PSB, and I think the Aircrat band. (She also lives
> next to the town with the airport.)
>
> TIA
>
>

>

2201. Re: PC Programming for Radio Shack Pro-76, Pro-79, Pro-89, Pro-2016 and Pro-2017

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 07:23:38 UTC
From: Riley <hpblm@glyff.net>
Message-ID: <uc25tgirpsuqf7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49218
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have released the first Alpha version of my software, called ProLink. It still needs a lot of work and testing. If you would like to help test it, you can download it from the website address listed below. Please E-Mail me any comments, criticisms, bug reports or success reports.

The next big feature I need to add is saving and loading of files. I would like to use a standard file format, but since none exists to my knowledge, I am going to be proposing a new standard, based on XML and designed from the beginning to be able to be used by other scanner programs and work with other types of scanners.

You can download ProLink from the website below.

http://albertane.glyff.net/prolink/

Riley
hpblm@glyff.net

2202. Re: Kenwood TH F-6 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 08:31:35 UTC
From: Scott b <jgetz@pacificnet.net>
Message-ID: <3CC12767.E1635158@pacificnet.net>
Source record: 49219

It flashes when the Attenuator is enabled!

;)
Scott

Richard Kempe wrote:

> The "mode" indicator (AM-FM-USB, etc.) on the display of my F-6 continually
> flashes, if I recall it didn't used to flash, can't find anything about it
> in the manual. Has anyone else experienced this?

2203. Re: LIVE Prostitution Sting - Daytona Beach, FL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 10:10:35 UTC
From: AV <av@sover.net>
Message-ID: <3CC13E9B.249@sover.net>
Source record: 49220
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

GeorgeF wrote:
> Warning, Daytona Vice cops are very unprofessional on
> the radio as in the last 30 minutes have used the "f" word three times
> on the radio.
>

Are you sure that wasn't just the name of the operation? :o)

av

2204. Re: LIVE Prostitution Sting - Daytona Beach, FL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 11:57:34 UTC
From: Possums <possums1@iinet.net.au>
Message-ID: <3cc157a9$0$4894@echo-01.iinet.net.au>
Source record: 49221
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

HAHA .. very good ..operation F*CK!

"AV" <av@sover.net> wrote in message news:3CC13E9B.249@sover.net...
> GeorgeF wrote:
> > Warning, Daytona Vice cops are very unprofessional on
> > the radio as in the last 30 minutes have used the "f" word three times
> > on the radio.
> >
>
> Are you sure that wasn't just the name of the operation? :o)
>
> av
>

2205. Antennas - Beams for Milair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 12:02:48 UTC
From: billy8156@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d8c04b3f.0204200402.4439a1b2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49222

I have a grove scanner beam but am looking for something with more gain. The only type of listening I do is 200-400MHz Milair band with some 138MHz milair as well.

What are some of the best antennas that work well in the Milair area? this antenna would be mounted at about 25' on a rotor.

Bill

2206. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 12:11:56 UTC
From: Scott <acepilot@bloomer.net>
Message-ID: <3CC15B0C.F32EFD6B@bloomer.net>
Source record: 49223
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

Eh? Mr. C from BNC? BNC stands for British Naval Connector. :)

Scott
N0EDV

JLB wrote:

> [snipped]
>
> redmondj <redmondj@concentric.net> wrote in message
news:<3CBF64ED.8050003@concentric.net>...
> > UHF connectors were designed when UHF was considered to be anything
> > about 30 MHz. Currently the connectors come with a wide variation in
>
> A common misconception... UHF (the connector) does not mean Ultra
> High Frequency, it means Universal HF, as in "good for all uses
> between 3 and 30 MHz". Of course, the definition of 'good' is
> debatable in this case.
>
> As I recall the story, N, BNC, TNC, and C were all designed by the
> same two guys, Mr. 'N' and Mr. 'C'. Sorry, can't remember their
> names or where they worked (Bell Labs?). Perhaps someone else does?
>
> I've never had any trouble putting N connectors on. Keeping them
> on...thats another story, at least on cable with braided sheild. They
> can not stand much flexing. If you are using one on a rotating
> antenna, make sure that you have the cable clamped so there is no
> movement what so ever at the connector.
>
> Jim
> N8EE

--
Scott
http://corbenflyer.tripod.com/
Building RV-4
Gotta Fly or Gonna Die!

2207. Re: Who is he trying to fool?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 12:24:25 UTC
From: Blitz <WTVKZJHZMOYD@spammotel.com>
Message-ID: <Z5dw8.40843$QC1.2774184@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 49224

This is the part I like:

If it doesn't sell (I really hope it doesn't)
I will be returning it to its case.
Buy it now before I change my mind!

Let see,
I am going to put a bullet in a gun, put it to my head, and pull the
trigger.
(I really hope it doesn't go off) har
What do you think?
(hurry before I change my mind!)

"Anonymous User" <anonymous@remailer.havenco.com> wrote in message
news:7b164ebc26e3b64ba0a0fcd3a57a735c@remailer.havenco.com...
> This is in their $350 Pro 2006 auction.
>
> "I have had this one in a glass display case for the last several years.
>
> I bought an expensive transceiver so I need to offset the cost."
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1347453574
>
> So why did he buy one on Ebay for $250 February 10th?
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1331163025
>

2208. Re: Yaesu 0 (zero) Uniden 10 (ten)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 12:59:25 UTC
From: Soliloquy@Soliloquy.net
Message-ID: <uc2phbqdcsolae@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49225

Thanks for the response,

I was incredulous when I suggested to one of the managers at Yaesu that poor customer service reflects on the integrity of the product, he replied "I don't think so". Actually, I do think so. It's not so much that Yaesu "may" have had a glitch in returning this radio in a timely manner (due to the moved facilities and "computer problems"), it's more significant that they "NEVER" write or call back when you call "customer service", even after they promised that they would. Why the hell even bothering to have customer service? The exception to my complaint is the Technical Support Department (the actual repair technicians), they would always write back.

Years ago a friend was buying a new Chevy Blazer. My friend had arranged the financing and the dealer said that it would be available in about 2 weeks. But 2 weeks came and went, then 3 then 4, finally the friend called back to express his disappointment at the delay in getting his truck. The dealer replied "you're lucky you're even getting this truck" (implying that they were in short demand and the dealer was magnanimous in even providing one to my friend), my friend promptly went out and cancelled his order. I'm sure that the impact at that time on the dealer was non-existent, but as the word gets around it may have had an impact. I see many car dealers that are now out of business in this area, maybe if the dealers were a little more customer conscious they might still be in business. Bottom line is that I don't need Yaesu, I don't need any amateur equipment. I buy it for hobby purposes. Hell, if I needed to, I'll buy the old boat anchor tube models at a flea market somewhere and they would probably do what I need.

My fondness with AES Cleveland has to do with the speed that I receive the equipment. I ordered my FRG-100 at 5:00 PM Thursday and received it during the day on Friday. Apparently Pittsburgh is in the overnight UPS shipping area from Cleveland, and this is for a standard package, not one sent by overnight delivery. I like the salesman that I deal with, but I have spoken with the manager concerning the FT-840 that I bought. It had the power cord mis-wired that the factory. When I expressed my frustration, he said "look, we don't make them, we just sell them". I thought this was a piss ass attitude. You are supposed to represent what you sell.

All of this has been enough to drive me back slightly from radios and cause me to return to my shooting sports. I just paid $300 for a .22 Ruger handgun, $300 that I had intended to use for a radio. Just think, you can buy a gun made in 1890 and still buy ammunition for it today. None of this crap where you buy a computer or TV game and can't get any software for it 3 years later, because it's obsolete. Look at the advent of digital radio, I have $2000 in shortwave receivers and some schmuck will expect me to throw it all away because they want to use digital transmissions. Same with police scanners

(the digital part), and the odd thing is that they adopt these technologies and tout them as superior, even though we are all aware on many of the shortcomings and failures that these systems have. I have Direct TV, I used to think the picture superior to digital cable, but now that they have been bought by another company and have forced so many signals onto a single satellite (this at least gave me local channels), the picture quality has suffered significantly. Dark scenes frequently have patchwork areas indicating a non-linear transition from one intensity to the other. I have begun to notice motion artifacts, where the foreground characters move jerkily in relation to the background. But we're told that this is a superior application of technology.

Anyway, what dealers have others had great success with? How about manufacturers, any suggestions for models made by companies interested in supporting their products?

Jim

In article <MPG.172aa41e5a9c60a989792@news.buckeye-express.com>, Bigdaddyking <bdk1@buckeye-express.com> wrote:

>Well, I'm kind of surprised, since Yaesu, at least until recently, by far
>the best to deal with!

>Every single time I spoke with Icom, it was the same thing, kind of
>nasty, and wanting to get you off the phone as fast as they could. Yaesu
>sent me parts I needed, FREE, and helped me with a problem I was having
>with my FRG-8800, and later with the Ft-2400(my fault). Kenwood has
>always been the worst, as far as I can see, I have dealt with them twice,
>once I needed some case parts for a friend's HT I was fixing, and it took
>a long time, and a couple of phone calls, to get all of them.

>Another thing that's odd, of all the stores I have dealt with over the
>years, AES in Cleveland was the nastiest, most arrogant bunch I have ever
>talked to.

Universal and Lentini get most of my business, unless they
>are out of something, then it's the Ham Station, (They have a tendency to
>pack stuff badly) and R&L.
>
>BDK

2209. Re: LIVE Prostitution Sting - Daytona Beach, FL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 13:52:25 UTC
From: helmsman@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <r3s2cu8u9usoc9tn6j3jgfag0qct3qrk1m@4ax.com>
Source record: 49226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

AV <av@sover.net> wrote:
>GeorgeF wrote:
>> Warning, Daytona Vice cops are very unprofessional on
>> the radio as in the last 30 minutes have used the "f" word three times
>> on the radio.
>>
>
>Are you sure that wasn't just the name of the operation? :o)
>
>av
In Michigan the Wayne County Sherriff's name is Fucanno (no bull) and
in "HIS" county (like he owns it) they confiscate your car on arrest
for solicitation and sell it after conviction. He is the biggest car
thief in the country! If a dept doesn't have anything better to do
than bother people for stupid crimes like that, they have too many
cops and are concintrating on the wrong typeof problems.

.
http://www.mindspring.com/~helmsman/

2210. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 14:11:34 UTC
From: Walt Davidson <walt99@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <5ms2cu0ua3v8ees2sdlb8unc6prq2raqlc@4ax.com>
Source record: 49227
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 20 Apr 2002 07:11:56 -0500, Scott <acepilot@bloomer.net>
wrote:

> BNC stands for British Naval Connector. :)

I always thought a navel connector was an umbilical cord!

;-)
73 de G3NYY

--
Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @freeuk.com

2211. Re: LIVE Prostitution Sting - Daytona Beach, FL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 14:32:23 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CC17BF7.3000704@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 49228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

helmsman@mindspring.com wrote:

> AV <av@sover.net> wrote:
>
>>GeorgeF wrote:
>>
>>> Warning, Daytona Vice cops are very unprofessional on
>>>the radio as in the last 30 minutes have used the "f" word three times
>>>on the radio.
>>>
>>>
>>Are you sure that wasn't just the name of the operation? :o)
>>
>>av
>>
> In Michigan the Wayne County Sherriff's name is Fucanno (no bull) and
> in "HIS" county (like he owns it) they confiscate your car on arrest
> for solicitation and sell it after conviction. He is the biggest car
> thief in the country! If a dept doesn't have anything better to do
> than bother people for stupid crimes like that, they have too many
> cops and are concintrating on the wrong typeof problems.
>

Daytona Beach is the very same way. The Mayor is this "anti-sex"
mentiality, if he could ban sex the bedroom he would.

On an average day there are 10 - 15 cops working the prostitution stings
while other important calls go unanswered. Yes it happens here and I
hear it. I've seen fights, wifebeatings, and drunk drivers calls be
placed on "hold" due to No Available Units....

In this town the only safe area to be anymore is where the prostitues
and drug dealers hang out as that is the only place that has police
protection. About 4 weeks ago a house down the street was robbed
WHILE THE RESIDENTS WERE HOME! Two doors down had their car broken into
as well. And we live in an "upscale" part of town!

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

2212. Re: Pro 2035 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 14:40:10 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020420104010.19534.00003190@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 49229

>ubject: Re: Pro 2035 scanner
>From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net (Bruce Markowitz)
>Date: 4/19/2002 7:34 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3cc0b84f.5020474@news-server.optonline.net>
>
>Your email address is invalid. What computer parts are you looking
>for?
>
>On Fri, 19 Apr 2002 18:42:10 GMT, Oldgeek <oldgeek@home.net> wrote:
>
>>Hi,
>>
>> I have a Pro-2035 scanner with box and manual I would like to sale or
>>trade. This scanner has the module that blocks the 800 mhz replaced with
>>the European module. I would be interested in trading for computer parts or
>>a decent guitar. Made offer on cash.
>>
>>Thanks

Also wonder which Euopean module this is? Is it the one that had the
programming defect corrected (2042 spec) or a European version of the old 2035
which had the programming flaw?
Neutrodyne

2213. Re: Yaesu 0 (zero) Uniden 10 (ten)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 14:57:14 UTC
From: Bigdaddyking <bdk1@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.172b4c1b75b00c44989793@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 49230

In article <uc2phbqdcsolae@corp.supernews.com>, Soliloquy@Soliloquy.net
says...
> Thanks for the response,
>
> I was incredulous when I suggested to one of the managers at Yaesu that poor
> customer service reflects on the integrity of the product, he replied "I don't
> think so". Actually, I do think so. It's not so much that Yaesu "may" have
> had a glitch in returning this radio in a timely manner (due to the moved
> facilities and "computer problems"), it's more significant that they "NEVER"
> write or call back when you call "customer service", even after they promised
> that they would. Why the hell even bothering to have customer service? The
> exception to my complaint is the Technical Support Department (the actual
> repair technicians), they would always write back.
>
> Years ago a friend was buying a new Chevy Blazer. My friend had arranged the
> financing and the dealer said that it would be available in about 2 weeks. But
> 2 weeks came and went, then 3 then 4, finally the friend called back to
> express his disappointment at the delay in getting his truck. The dealer
> replied "you're lucky you're even getting this truck" (implying that they were
> in short demand and the dealer was magnanimous in even providing one to my
> friend), my friend promptly went out and cancelled his order. I'm sure that
> the impact at that time on the dealer was non-existent, but as the word gets
> around it may have had an impact. I see many car dealers that are now out of
> business in this area, maybe if the dealers were a little more customer
> conscious they might still be in business. Bottom line is that I don't need
> Yaesu, I don't need any amateur equipment. I buy it for hobby purposes. Hell,
> if I needed to, I'll buy the old boat anchor tube models at a flea market
> somewhere and they would probably do what I need.
>
> My fondness with AES Cleveland has to do with the speed that I receive the
> equipment. I ordered my FRG-100 at 5:00 PM Thursday and received it during the
> day on Friday. Apparently Pittsburgh is in the overnight UPS shipping area
> from Cleveland, and this is for a standard package, not one sent by overnight
> delivery. I like the salesman that I deal with, but I have spoken with the
> manager concerning the FT-840 that I bought. It had the power cord mis-wired
> that the factory. When I expressed my frustration, he said "look, we don't
> make them, we just sell them". I thought this was a piss ass attitude. You are
> supposed to represent what you sell.
>
> All of this has been enough to drive me back slightly from radios and cause me
> to return to my shooting sports. I just paid $300 for a .22 Ruger handgun,
> $300 that I had intended to use for a radio. Just think, you can buy a gun
> made in 1890 and still buy ammunition for it today. None of this crap where
> you buy a computer or TV game and can't get any software for it 3 years later,

> because it's obsolete. Look at the advent of digital radio, I have $2000 in
> shortwave receivers and some schmuck will expect me to throw it all away
> because they want to use digital transmissions. Same with police scanners
> (the digital part), and the odd thing is that they adopt these technologies
> and tout them as superior, even though we are all aware on many of the
> shortcomings and failures that these systems have. I have Direct TV, I used to
> think the picture superior to digital cable, but now that they have been
> bought by another company and have forced so many signals onto a single
> satellite (this at least gave me local channels), the picture quality has
> suffered significantly. Dark scenes frequently have patchwork areas indicating
> a non-linear transition from one intensity to the other. I have begun to
> notice motion artifacts, where the foreground characters move jerkily in
> relation to the background. But we're told that this is a superior application
> of technology.
>
> Anyway, what dealers have others had great success with? How about
> manufacturers, any suggestions for models made by companies interested in
> supporting their products?
>
> Jim
>
>
> In article <MPG.172aa41e5a9c60a989792@news.buckeye-express.com>, Bigdaddyking
> <bdk1@buckeye-express.com> wrote:
>
> >Well, I'm kind of surprised, since Yaesu, at least until recently, by far
> >the best to deal with!
>
> >Every single time I spoke with Icom, it was the same thing, kind of
> >nasty, and wanting to get you off the phone as fast as they could. Yaesu
> >sent me parts I needed, FREE, and helped me with a problem I was having
> >with my FRG-8800, and later with the Ft-2400(my fault). Kenwood has
> >always been the worst, as far as I can see, I have dealt with them twice,
> >once I needed some case parts for a friend's HT I was fixing, and it took
> >a long time, and a couple of phone calls, to get all of them.
>
> >Another thing that's odd, of all the stores I have dealt with over the
> >years, AES in Cleveland was the nastiest, most arrogant bunch I have ever
> >talked to.
>
>
> Universal and Lentini get most of my business, unless they
> >are out of something, then it's the Ham Station, (They have a tendency to
> >pack stuff badly) and R&L.
> >
> >BDK
>

You know, I just realized that the last time I had dealt with Yaesu was
about the time that Standard was swallowed up. Maybe it was the other

way around, Standard (who were pretty worthless, customer service wise)
took over yaesu management and things went sour.

BDK

2214. Re: Antennas - Beams for Milair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 15:02:15 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020420110215.19534.00003191@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 49231

>Subject: Antennas - Beams for Milair
>From: billy8156@yahoo.com (Billy)
>Date: 4/20/2002 7:02 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <d8c04b3f.0204200402.4439a1b2@posting.google.com>
>
>I have a grove scanner beam but am looking for something with more
>gain. The only type of listening I do is 200-400MHz Milair band with
>some 138MHz milair as well.
>
>What are some of the best antennas that work well in the Milair area?
>this antenna would be mounted at about 25' on a rotor.
>
>Bill
>

Don't the hams still have a small slice of the 220 Mhz band? You might check with some ham supply houses and see what's there. At one time there were some 144Mhz/220 Mhz combo antennas..
Another possibility is to buy a cut channel TV yagi for channel 13 and trim it to center on 300 Mhz. (you'd have to redo the mount to orient it vertically), but it wouldn't be so hot at 138.
Of course the bigger buck ham stainless steel log perodics cover it all. Last time I looked a smaller one was somewhere around $400.
2 band Yagi's aren't too hard to scratch build on these bands - 8-10 db gain is relatively simple to achive. A simple dipole/reflector design for 138 Mhz will give some gain at 200-300 mhz, but the more directors you put in front, the less response you get on a harmonic.
I found an old Sams book (Television Antennas, by Donald A Nelson, 1951 second edition) that covers broadbanding concepts in early TV antenna designs, including (big) rhombics (12 db gain from channel 3 to 13!) Covering 50-250 Mhz in those days was "rocket science" & they treated it in some detail. If you can dig it up it might offer some ideas.

Neutrodyne

2215. BC 760 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 15:28:19 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <n723cucjsm9ir998d070943sv13v73gido@4ax.com>
Source record: 49232

$100 /BO

2216. Re: ARC780 via PayPal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 15:36:20 UTC
From: JJJJJJJJJJ <oaktownboy@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <Op5w8.23993$Yt.1290839@read1.cgocable.net>
Source record: 49216

does anyone know where i can purchase the new
arc 780 software? a good company that deals
with visa, paypal, checks etc???

thanks
oaktownboy@cogeco.ca

"Thomas J Owens" <meteo@nospam> wrote in message
news:Pine.SGI.4.30.0204191442580.6169-100000@world.std.com...
>
> Have any of you registered ARC780 via PayPal? I don't seem to be having
> much luck getting a response from butel since I submitted payment on 4/13.
> Do they usually take a while to respond? Thanks in advance.
>
> Tommy
>

2217. Re: LIVE Prostitution Sting - Daytona Beach, FL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 16:18:12 UTC
From: di540@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <a9s4c4kft1@freenet9.carleton.ca>
Source record: 49233
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The solution to the problem of "no available units" is to call in and say that the troublemaker is the one sought for a (drive-by) shooting that had been reported on the news earlier. The cops get bounties for catching such fugitives, and they'll be there in no time at all.

GeorgeF (av8tor@licensed4fun.com) writes:
> On an average day there are 10 - 15 cops working the prostitution stings
> while other important calls go unanswered. Yes it happens here and I
> hear it. I've seen fights, wifebeatings, and drunk drivers calls be
> placed on "hold" due to No Available Units....
>
> In this town the only safe area to be anymore is where the prostitues
> and drug dealers hang out as that is the only place that has police
> protection. About 4 weeks ago a house down the street was robbed
> WHILE THE RESIDENTS WERE HOME! Two doors down had their car broken into
> as well. And we live in an "upscale" part of town!

You're being to harsh on the vice squad whose job is to maintain the monopoly on vice in your city, so that the criminals don't move in and take over, unless you're the black Larry King running a kidy prostitution racket out of your Omaha home to blackmail politicians. Then you can expect to get police protection in exchange for protection money.

Don't you find it strange that the successful criminals usually know who NOT to mess with: politicians, judges, officers, and other successful criminals?

2218. Fire Dispatch on 72.580 Mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 17:09:21 UTC
From: Andy Domonkos <capntripps@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3CC1A140.ED631BBE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49234

I'm located 30 miles north of Baltimore in Maryland and heard fire dispatch, almost continuous, on 72.580Mhz. It didn't sound local. Equipment is Icom PCR1000 with multiband scanner gorund plane antenna. We did have FM/VHF ducting propagation openings this week due to the weather.

I've heard telemetry and paging on these feqs but never fire dispatch.

Any info appreciate.

TIA.

Andy

2219. Mac / BC780XLT Software???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 17:27:40 UTC
From: Barry <luminosity@madriver.com>
Message-ID: <3CC1A50B.13E95FAC@madriver.com>
Source record: 49235

Has anyone developed programming software for the BC 780XLT
that is Macintosh compatable?
Thanks / Barry in Vermont

2220. FS 3Base Scanners Package Deal,Pro 2021,BC 250, and a Regency K100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 19:18:41 UTC
From: gunnerjones@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <893d1c93.0204201118.23026aa5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49236

I have 3 scanners for sale as a Package deal.#1 Pro 2021 Base 200 channel.In mint condition.Comes with original manual,Bracket and antenna..#2Bearcat 250 Base 50 channel it is in average condition as far as looks.There is a couple of scratches on outside cabine,but nice clear display.And works perfect comes with antenna.#3 This is Regency Touch K100 base scanner.This is for the collector, as it is one of the first ones that went without crystals to be able to be programmed.It is in Excellent conditin comes with antenna .$100.00 plus shipping for all 3 scanners.Or will consider Trades.Next they go on ebay.Email me at- wilfkelly@rogers.com -if interested.Thanks

2221. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 19:30:46 UTC
From: "M.J.Powell" <mike@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7ZFMceAmHcw8Ewuf@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 49243
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3CC15B0C.F32EFD6B@bloomer.net>, Scott <acepilot@bloomer.net>
writes
>Eh? Mr. C from BNC? BNC stands for British Naval Connector. :)

Never! It wouldn't stand up to a full broadside!

Mike
--
M.J.Powell

2222. Re: ARC780 via PayPal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 19:32:19 UTC
From: ghs521@aol.comiamnot
Message-ID: <20020420153219.02598.00005934@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 49237

>does anyone know where i can purchase the new
>arc 780 software? a good company that deals
>with visa, paypal, checks etc???

http://www.butel.nl/

Software and the support are excellent.

Greg

2223. Re: LIVE Prostitution Sting - Daytona Beach, FL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 19:56:06 UTC
From: Tom Shaw <a0005868@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <989BF979424F51C7.07682025DA0544A5.02F938B4E0788874@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 49238
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

One lof my favorite ways to get the attention of the police that works is to
tell them that if they haven't the time to come out then
"I'll take care of it myself and you wont like that."
TS
"Maximo Lachman" <di540@FreeNet.Carleton.CA> wrote in message
news:a9s4c4kft1@freenet9.carleton.ca...
>
> The solution to the problem of "no available units" is to call in and say
> that the troublemaker is the one sought for a (drive-by) shooting that had
> been reported on the news earlier. The cops get bounties for catching such
> fugitives, and they'll be there in no time at all.
>
> GeorgeF (av8tor@licensed4fun.com) writes:
> > On an average day there are 10 - 15 cops working the prostitution stings
> > while other important calls go unanswered. Yes it happens here and I
> > hear it. I've seen fights, wifebeatings, and drunk drivers calls be
> > placed on "hold" due to No Available Units....
> >
> > In this town the only safe area to be anymore is where the prostitues
> > and drug dealers hang out as that is the only place that has police
> > protection. About 4 weeks ago a house down the street was robbed
> > WHILE THE RESIDENTS WERE HOME! Two doors down had their car broken into
> > as well. And we live in an "upscale" part of town!
>
> You're being to harsh on the vice squad whose job is to maintain the
> monopoly on vice in your city, so that the criminals don't move in and
> take over, unless you're the black Larry King running a kidy prostitution
> racket out of your Omaha home to blackmail politicians. Then you can
> expect to get police protection in exchange for protection money.
>
> Don't you find it strange that the successful criminals usually know who
> NOT to mess with: politicians, judges, officers, and other successful
> criminals?
>

2224. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 20:26:35 UTC
From: Dave <dwthomas@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3CC1CEFB.70E9@erols.com>
Source record: 49239
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

JEEPMON wrote:
>
> I am putting up a Diamond dual band vertical antenna. It will be for 2m and
> 70cm with about 60 feet of LMR400 coax never run underground.
> My problem is should I buy the antenna with the UHF or the N connector? What
> are the pro's and con's to both? Is a UHF the same as a PL259?
> And if one connector is better than the other then why is there any choice?
> Also I have experience in soldering up PL259's but no experience with N
> connectors. Are they hard to solder up to coax?
Forget the UHF, they are crap. Use the N, it maintains the 50 ohm
impedance through the connector as best as anything. Be as anal as
possible about the installation of the connectors. Wrap them with
monkey shit and tape when done.

Dave N3DT

2225. Re: Frequency Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 21:18:02 UTC
From: "Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <eWkw8.1831$hO6.386384@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49240
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yupiteru 7100.. Depending on where you get you'll get LW to 1.something GHz coverage with no gaps what so ever.

"JOHN DENISE" <JDENISE1@cfl.rr.com> wrote in message news:qS4v8.217478$y26.36099648@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> Just curious if there is a scanner out there that covers all frequencies
> with the obvious exceptions of cell.Is there a purpous to have the CB bands?
> 54 -108 I believe is all Radio Stations. What are some of the other ranges
> that are commonly left out and what are they used for. I just saw in another
> post that the 700 range is TV channels. Thanks for the info.
>
>

2226. Re: Need some expert advice on choosing a scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 21:23:37 UTC
From: "Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <t%kw8.1847$hO6.387197@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49241

Check out radioworld.ca
The only issue is that they won't ship unblocked versions to USA which means
you'd have to pay for my gas etc. to go and get the thing =)

mike

"Bart Senior" <senior@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:NDvu8.72898$8E3.18309032@news02.optonline.net...
> I'd appreciate help from any of you scanner guru's out there. I have been
> doing a lot of research and have been unable to find a scanner that will
do
> what I want for a reasonable price.
>
> I'm looking for a scanner that is unblocked or has a mod to be unblocked,
DC
> to 1.3GHz or above, without any gaps--I especially want to receive 219-225
> MHz.
>
> Trunking is optional and not terribly important to me.
>
> Is AOR my best bet, or is there anything else out there?
>
> TIA
>
> Bart
>
>
>
>

2227. Re: Need some expert advice on choosing a scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 21:24:10 UTC
From: "Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <_%kw8.1849$hO6.387894@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49242

Yupi 9000MKII is pretty good too.

"Hugo Drax" <hugodrax@draxindustries.com> wrote in message
news:4a1c549a.0204151431.62a2827c@posting.google.com...
> > Is AOR my best bet, or is there anything else out there?
> >
> > TIA
> >
> > Bart
>
> get the ICOM R-8500. you can order the export version at some places
> which have no gaps. But honestly there is nothing interesting to
> listen to in the cellular range. I have a reciever that is capable in
> that region and it never gets used. most are going digital anyhow

2228. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 21:55:51 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3CC1E3E6.52A0F5C5@optonline.net>
Source record: 49244
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

C'mon folks, let's get serious, and practical!

Is a Type N connector better than a UHF connector? Yes.

But, what are we connecting this cable/connector to? An antenna, which at best has a 2:1 VSWR over the frequency range of interest. That is, it may look like 25 ohm, or 100 ohm, or any combination of resistance and reactance which results in a 2:1 VSWR. And if the connector adds a VSWR of 1.01:1, or 1.1:1, or whatever, to this, you're never gonna see any effect, positive or negative! Yes, the UHF connector could actually improve the match of the cable to the antenna.

And at the other end, we have a receiver or transceiver with a "50 ohm" impedance. How close to 50 ohm is it? Do you really believe the receiver/transceiver is a better match to 50 ohm, over a broad frequency range, than is a UHF connector? If you do, you're living in a dreamworld!

A much more important consideration should be things like:
water tightness; is the end of the cable well sealed against water intrusion?

mechanical; is the flexing of the cable going to result in loss of electrical or mechanical integrity?

Now, there are places where the effect of the "betterness" of a Type N connector may be seen. In connecting, for example, multiple lengths of cable through 2 connectors and a nipple, it is good practice to use the connector, and nipple, which have the better match to the cable impedance. That may very well be a Type N, or Type C, rather than a UHF connector.

But, when connecting a cable to a totally mismatched antenna, or receiver, let's use a little common sense. You could even use one of those infamous RCA plugs, and not see any observable difference in performance.

Walt (with 50 years of experience in RF/Microwave measurement technology)

Dave wrote:
>
> JEEPMON wrote:
> >
> > I am putting up a Diamond dual band vertical antenna. It will be for 2m and

> > 70cm with about 60 feet of LMR400 coax never run underground.
> > My problem is should I buy the antenna with the UHF or the N connector? What
> > are the pro's and con's to both? Is a UHF the same as a PL259?
> > And if one connector is better than the other then why is there any choice?
> > Also I have experience in soldering up PL259's but no experience with N
> > connectors. Are they hard to solder up to coax?
> Forget the UHF, they are crap. Use the N, it maintains the 50 ohm
> impedance through the connector as best as anything. Be as anal as
> possible about the installation of the connectors. Wrap them with
> monkey shit and tape when done.
>
> Dave N3DT

2229. Re: Frequency Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 22:25:57 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <VVlw8.68059$Kq4.2727192@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 49245
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

if you want no gaps with a USA bandplan. Go and buy a Canadian scanner. Our scanners are unblocked like europe types. But we use the US bandplan.

Dev

"Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote in message news:eWkw8.1831$hO6.386384@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> Yupiteru 7100.. Depending on where you get you'll get LW to 1.something GHz
> coverage with no gaps what so ever.
>
> "JOHN DENISE" <JDENISE1@cfl.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:qS4v8.217478$y26.36099648@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> > Just curious if there is a scanner out there that covers all frequencies
> > with the obvious exceptions of cell.Is there a purpous to have the CB
> bands?
> > 54 -108 I believe is all Radio Stations. What are some of the other ranges
> > that are commonly left out and what are they used for. I just saw in
> another
> > post that the 700 range is TV channels. Thanks for the info.
> >
> >
>
>

2230. FS uniden 895xlt trunk tracker $160

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 22:29:43 UTC
From: mwin11 <mwin11@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <rZlw8.13329$rC2.3804877@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 49246

Hi this scanner is like new about 3 months old.
Just don't find time to use it.
Box manual and all the other stuff that came with it as new.
$160 + shipping u.s only
E-mail mwin11@hotmail.com

2231. Re: Need some expert advice on choosing a scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 22:31:15 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <T_lw8.68067$Kq4.2728524@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 49147

since when. they used to export.

"Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote in message
news:t%kw8.1847$hO6.387197@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> Check out radioworld.ca
> The only issue is that they won't ship unblocked versions to USA which
means
> you'd have to pay for my gas etc. to go and get the thing =)
>
> mike
>
> "Bart Senior" <senior@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:NDvu8.72898$8E3.18309032@news02.optonline.net...
> > I'd appreciate help from any of you scanner guru's out there. I have
been
> > doing a lot of research and have been unable to find a scanner that will
> do
> > what I want for a reasonable price.
> >
> > I'm looking for a scanner that is unblocked or has a mod to be
unblocked,
> DC
> > to 1.3GHz or above, without any gaps--I especially want to receive
219-225
> > MHz.
> >
> > Trunking is optional and not terribly important to me.
> >
> > Is AOR my best bet, or is there anything else out there?
> >
> > TIA
> >
> > Bart
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2232. AR8600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-20 23:06:36 UTC
From: Troy <troyrow@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3cc1f47e$0$15474$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 49149
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi all.

I am considering buying an AR8600, and as such, I have two questions for those folks that already own one.

1) What is the VHF/UHF performance like, especially on the airbands?

2) Is it worth the extra money to get the Collins filters fitted?

Thanks in advance everybody.

Troy

2233. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 00:14:56 UTC
From: Dave <dwthomas@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3CC20480.5A09@erols.com>
Source record: 49150
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

Walt Bertram wrote:
>
> C'mon folks, let's get serious, and practical!
>
> Is a Type N connector better than a UHF connector? Yes.
>
> But, what are we connecting this cable/connector to? An antenna, which
> at best has a 2:1 VSWR over the frequency range of interest. That is,
> it may look like 25 ohm, or 100 ohm, or any combination of resistance
> and reactance which results in a 2:1 VSWR. And if the connector adds a
> VSWR of 1.01:1, or 1.1:1, or whatever, to this, you're never gonna see
> any effect, positive or negative! Yes, the UHF connector could actually
> improve the match of the cable to the antenna.
>
> And at the other end, we have a receiver or transceiver with a "50 ohm"
> impedance. How close to 50 ohm is it? Do you really believe the
> receiver/transceiver is a better match to 50 ohm, over a broad frequency
> range, than is a UHF connector? If you do, you're living in a
> dreamworld!
>
> A much more important consideration should be things like:
> water tightness; is the end of the cable well sealed against water
> intrusion?
>
> mechanical; is the flexing of the cable going to result in loss of
> electrical or mechanical integrity?
>
> Now, there are places where the effect of the "betterness" of a Type N
> connector may be seen. In connecting, for example, multiple lengths of
> cable through 2 connectors and a nipple, it is good practice to use the
> connector, and nipple, which have the better match to the cable
> impedance. That may very well be a Type N, or Type C, rather than a UHF
> connector.
>
> But, when connecting a cable to a totally mismatched antenna, or
> receiver, let's use a little common sense. You could even use one of
> those infamous RCA plugs, and not see any observable difference in
> performance.
>
> Walt (with 50 years of experience in RF/Microwave measurement
> technology)
>

> Dave wrote:
> >
> > JEEPMON wrote:
> > >
> > > I am putting up a Diamond dual band vertical antenna. It will be for 2m and
> > > 70cm with about 60 feet of LMR400 coax never run underground.
> > > My problem is should I buy the antenna with the UHF or the N connector? What
> > > are the pro's and con's to both? Is a UHF the same as a PL259?
> > > And if one connector is better than the other then why is there any choice?
> > > Also I have experience in soldering up PL259's but no experience with N
> > > connectors. Are they hard to solder up to coax?
> > Forget the UHF, they are crap. Use the N, it maintains the 50 ohm
> > impedance through the connector as best as anything. Be as anal as
> > possible about the installation of the connectors. Wrap them with
> > monkey shit and tape when done.
> >
> > Dave N3DT
Let's get practical, just solder the coax to the antenna, that is the
best connector.

Dave N3DT

2234. Re: Kenwood TH F-6 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 00:41:57 UTC
From: Richard Kempe <r.kempe@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <pVnw8.42042$QC1.2862900@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 49151

Thanks, Scott, that took care of it.

"Scott b" <jgetz@pacificnet.net> wrote in message
news:3CC12767.E1635158@pacificnet.net...
> It flashes when the Attenuator is enabled!
>
> ;)
> Scott
>
> Richard Kempe wrote:
>
> > The "mode" indicator (AM-FM-USB, etc.) on the display of my F-6
continually
> > flashes, if I recall it didn't used to flash, can't find anything about
it
> > in the manual. Has anyone else experienced this?
>

2235. Re: ARC780 via PayPal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 00:42:42 UTC
From: ghs521@aol.comiamnot
Message-ID: <20020420204242.02926.00006273@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 49152

>thanks greg, i was hoping a little more local - but i will give them a
>shout.

I ordered it online, on a Sunday. I had my password within hours.

Greg

2236. Re: Fire Dispatch on 72.580 Mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 00:51:11 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020420205111.20751.00004858@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 49153

>Subject: Fire Dispatch on 72.580 Mhz?
>From: Andy Domonkos capntripps@earthlink.net
>Date: 4/20/2002 12:09 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3CC1A140.ED631BBE@earthlink.net>
>
>I'm located 30 miles north of Baltimore in Maryland and heard fire
>dispatch, almost continuous, on 72.580Mhz. It didn't sound local.
>Equipment is Icom PCR1000 with multiband scanner gorund plane antenna.
>We did have FM/VHF ducting propagation openings this week due to the
>weather.
>
>I've heard telemetry and paging on these feqs but never fire dispatch.
>
>Any info appreciate.
>
>TIA.
>
>Andy

These frequencies are allocated for point to point circuits, such as transmitter control, in this case. There used to be a lot of paging on the 72-76 Mhz band, especially where a paging comapny crossed a border between two phone companies (the line costs skyrocketed for dedicated control circuits). Now between line costs going down and satellite relays, these are not so common. The major downside was the interference that arose when "skip" came in, as they were often used at the practical limits of their useful range under normal conditions. The least bit of noise or interfering signal would render the early digital paging useless. That is probably less of a problem now that the frequencies are not used so heavily as in the 80's, along with what is likely an analog signal and transmitter control system.
Neutrodyne

2237. ICOM-R3 hatchet job? (blocks more than cell?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 00:57:26 UTC
From: jabb3rw0cky@netscape.net
Message-ID: <8354e2f6.0204201657.66577b9a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49154

does the US version of the ICOM-R3 have more than just the AMPS cell phone frequency range blocked?

i was disappointed to discover that some manufacturers (like Yaesu) will block valid frequency ranges up in 900Mhz just to avoid receiving "cell images" on dual-conversion scanners/ht's

what i'm really interested in knowing is whether valid ATV frequencies in the 900Mhz range are somehow blocked "by accident" in the Icom R3.

thanks.

2238. Re: HELP... NEED manual for Regency Turbo Scan 800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 01:51:39 UTC
From: Barry <btuttleman@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3CC21A9F.71BF8EF7@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 49155
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

hi mark: i have an original manaul, can photocopy if need be; also have
parts units.
tnx,
barry
carson city, nv
http://home.att.net/~btuttleman2/electron.html

2239. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 02:18:40 UTC
From: Dan <n7slc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a9t7np$dl5$1@news.xmission.com>
Source record: 49156
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3CC1E3E6.52A0F5C5@optonline.net...
> C'mon folks, let's get serious, and practical!
>
> Is a Type N connector better than a UHF connector? Yes.
>
> But, what are we connecting this cable/connector to? An antenna, which
> at best has a 2:1 VSWR over the frequency range of interest. That is,
> it may look like 25 ohm, or 100 ohm, or any combination of resistance
> and reactance which results in a 2:1 VSWR. And if the connector adds a
> VSWR of 1.01:1, or 1.1:1, or whatever, to this, you're never gonna see
> any effect, positive or negative! Yes, the UHF connector could actually
> improve the match of the cable to the antenna.
>
> And at the other end, we have a receiver or transceiver with a "50 ohm"
> impedance. How close to 50 ohm is it? Do you really believe the
> receiver/transceiver is a better match to 50 ohm, over a broad frequency
> range, than is a UHF connector? If you do, you're living in a
> dreamworld!
>
> A much more important consideration should be things like:
> water tightness; is the end of the cable well sealed against water
> intrusion?
>
> mechanical; is the flexing of the cable going to result in loss of
> electrical or mechanical integrity?
>
>
> Now, there are places where the effect of the "betterness" of a Type N
> connector may be seen. In connecting, for example, multiple lengths of
> cable through 2 connectors and a nipple, it is good practice to use the
> connector, and nipple, which have the better match to the cable
> impedance. That may very well be a Type N, or Type C, rather than a UHF
> connector.
>
> But, when connecting a cable to a totally mismatched antenna, or
> receiver, let's use a little common sense. You could even use one of
> those infamous RCA plugs, and not see any observable difference in
> performance.
>
> Walt (with 50 years of experience in RF/Microwave measurement
> technology)

>
>
>
>
> Dave wrote:
> >
> > JEEPMON wrote:
> > >
> > > I am putting up a Diamond dual band vertical antenna. It will be for
2m and
> > > 70cm with about 60 feet of LMR400 coax never run underground.
> > > My problem is should I buy the antenna with the UHF or the N
connector? What
> > > are the pro's and con's to both? Is a UHF the same as a PL259?
> > > And if one connector is better than the other then why is there any
choice?
> > > Also I have experience in soldering up PL259's but no experience with
N
> > > connectors. Are they hard to solder up to coax?
> > Forget the UHF, they are crap. Use the N, it maintains the 50 ohm
> > impedance through the connector as best as anything. Be as anal as
> > possible about the installation of the connectors. Wrap them with
> > monkey shit and tape when done.
> >
> > Dave N3DT

How about RF shielding? N connectors have far better shielding than a UHF connector. Probably not critical on your 2m radio in the car but in our repeater buildings on top of the mountain, you'll find nothing but N connectors on all of our microwave racks and Trunked Radio systems.

Dan

2240. FA: RS Pro27 Small Lightweight Crystal Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 02:45:56 UTC
From: Gary-Jacek@telus.net
Message-ID: <EJpw8.1791$sO1.252850@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 49157
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Vintage Pro27 2-Channel Crystal Controlled receiver

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1347753837

2241. Re: Need some expert advice on choosing a scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 03:00:20 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7671-3CC22B44-171@storefull-2337.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 49158

Digital cell phones??? Who cares? There are an estimated 60 Million analog phones in service out there in the good ol' U.S. still much to monitor out there for years to come.

2242. Re: LIVE Prostitution Sting - Daytona Beach, FL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 03:42:03 UTC
From: vstream_02@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16101-3CC2350B-284@storefull-2377.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 49161

Well that's why you shouldn't go looking for hookers...They have the
right to seize your car ay anytime.

"It's the static on your cellphone ma'am."
-Sprint PCS man
--
http://www.angelfire.com/tx4/tt/Nokia3390.html
Read my review on the Nokia 3390 Gold
--
http://angelfire.com/tx4/tt/index.html
Visit My Site
--
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/nokia3390ringtones/
--
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/nokia5190

2243. Re: Realistic 2004 anything worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 03:56:45 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20649-3CC2387D-173@storefull-2336.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 49160

Yeah, I agree. Some of us 'Yanks' make too much money, We also love to spend, get into horrendous debt, file bankruptcy and blame 'the system'. Yes, we are totally out of our minds. God save the Ozzy.

2244. Re: **Question -- is this OK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 04:14:45 UTC
From: hypachris4@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <7bf1b592.0204202014.7bca002d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49159
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.sys.palmtops,alt.comp.sys.palmtop.pilot,comp.sys.hp48,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Looks like someone is addicted to PalmaSutra eh

The Ferret <nooneis@mycomputer.not> wrote in message
news:<53fsaugplcfi7a1944d874mt9nc4tjthhl@4ax.com>...
> Sure It's ok! But, what the hell does it have to do with this group?
>
> On Sun, 31 Mar 2002 12:34:07 GMT, Xaing Dia <cds@viacom.com> wrote:
>
> >Oral sex is the term used for all sexual activities involving the use of the
> >mouth, tongue, etc., to stimulate the sex organs. Done on the genitals of a man
> >(especially the penis), it is technically called fellatio. (The street term for
> >an act of fellatio is blow job.) Done on the genitals of a woman (the vulva and
> >especially the clitoris), it is technically called cunnilingus. Most people
> >usually use euphemisms or slang terms when talking about oral sex.
> >
> >Oral sex has been taboo to a greater or lesser extent in many Western countries
> >since the Middle Ages. Some activities are actually illegal in some
> >jurisdictions.
> >
> >Oral sex is considered a superb method of contraception (birth control), since
> >pregnancy is impossible unless semen (sperm) comes into contact with the vagina
> >or vulva. Many heterosexual people often use oral sex for this reason.
> >
> >Many people find oral sex very pleasurable. In particular, receiving oral sex
> >is for many women one of the better ways or reaching orgasm. Thus oral sex is
> >used for pleasure even when avoiding pregnancy is not a concern.
> >
> >Oral sex can be enjoyed in a wide variety of positions. Normally one partner
> >performs while the other receives, but it can be performed by both parteners at
> >the same time in a "sixty-nine" position.
> >

2245. Re: Need some expert advice on choosing a scanner.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 05:03:52 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <YKrw8.25244$N16.1047076@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 49162

I agree with you. people psuh digital soo much. but they forget the range of a digital site is not as far as a analog site. When a digital site is on the fringe of your cell signal, it automatically goes to the analog site. My old town pushes digital 100% but the analog is very popular there too. even though they think they are digital. but it is in a valley. you will not have always digital there.

Dev

"Vic Martinez" <t1000@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:7671-3CC22B44-171@storefull-2337.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Digital cell phones??? Who cares? There are an estimated 60 Million
> analog phones in service out there in the good ol' U.S. still much to
> monitor out there for years to come.
>

2246. Re: ARC780 via PayPal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 10:57:10 UTC
From: JJJJJJJJJJ <oaktownboy@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <Srmw8.24243$Yt.1315760@read1.cgocable.net>
Source record: 49148

thanks greg, i was hoping a little more local - but i will give them a
shout.
"Greg S" <ghs521@aol.comiamnot> wrote in message
news:20020420153219.02598.00005934@mb-dh.aol.com...
> >does anyone know where i can purchase the new
> >arc 780 software? a good company that deals
> >with visa, paypal, checks etc???
>
> http://www.butel.nl/
>
> Software and the support are excellent.
>
> Greg

2247. Re: AR8600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 12:31:30 UTC
From: Randy <bearcat@astound.net>
Message-ID: <3CC2B122.2070101@astound.net>
Source record: 49163
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

goto yahoo groups and check out the 8600 group.

Troy wrote:

> Hi all.
>
> I am considering buying an AR8600, and as such, I have two questions for
> those folks that already own one.
>
> 1) What is the VHF/UHF performance like, especially on the airbands?
>
> 2) Is it worth the extra money to get the Collins filters fitted?
>
> Thanks in advance everybody.
>
>
> Troy
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2248. How to I program my Pro-2053 with my PC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 12:45:23 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020421084523.07710.00004373@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 49164

It's been a while and I can't seem to remember how to program my Pro-2053 with the computer.. I have the software and the cable, but how do I put the scanner into the rx mode?
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

2249. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 13:24:55 UTC
From: Scott <acepilot@bloomer.net>
Message-ID: <3CC2BDA7.BF5CA17F@bloomer.net>
Source record: 49165
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

Yes "navEl" would be an umbilical cord and "navAl" would be those
squids! ;)

Scott
N0EDV

Walt Davidson wrote:

> On Sat, 20 Apr 2002 07:11:56 -0500, Scott <acepilot@bloomer.net>
> wrote:
>
> > BNC stands for British Naval Connector. :)
>
> I always thought a navel connector was an umbilical cord!
>
> ;-)
> 73 de G3NYY
>
> --
> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @freeuk.com

--
Scott
http://corbenflyer.tripod.com/
Building RV-4
Gotta Fly or Gonna Die!

2250. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 13:27:53 UTC
From: keith green <kbgreen@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <t7zw8.42649$QC1.2931657@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 49166
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

What about navel oranges.

2251. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 13:33:37 UTC
From: ".Bill :-)" <TheRealExray@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3CC2BFB1.C280FFA9@netscape.net>
Source record: 49167
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

keith green wrote:
>
> What about navel oranges.

Its much easier to mate a UHF connector to them

2252. Help with modifying scanner headphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 13:47:22 UTC
From: Chairborne <Chairborne@lzboy.com>
Message-ID: <n6g5cuo2vav07qgnunlve9ota4h11ccugl@4ax.com>
Source record: 49168

I need some guidance on modding my racing headphones (RT-40) so I can use 2 scanners, one for each ear. I would like to hear the race commentary in one ear and the pit radios in the other. Any ideas on how to do this so it's not permanent and can be switched with minimum fuss?

2253. Re: How to I program my Pro-2053 with my PC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 13:47:49 UTC
From: John <john3420@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <gng5cu8plltamjrgj40ere9kf57cfk3i6q@4ax.com>
Source record: 49169

On 21 Apr 2002 12:45:23 GMT, vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
(VHFRadioBuff) wrote:

>It's been a while and I can't seem to remember how to program my Pro-2053 with
>the computer.. I have the software and the cable, but how do I put the scanner
>into the rx mode?
>=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
>73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
>Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
>http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
>http://edfas.tripod.com

just plug in the cable and use the software win93.com ... it's
automatic

2254. FS-Bearcat BC 350 A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 13:55:18 UTC
From: gil <gilpi@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <a9ug91$s44$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 49170

In good condition works excelent will ship it for $70.

Gil N2WJW

2255. Re: **Question -- is this OK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 15:02:35 UTC
From: esowden@mynra.com
Message-ID: <1a21a43b.0204210702.4e06aa63@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49171
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.sys.palmtops,alt.comp.sys.palmtop.pilot,comp.sys.hp48,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

hypachris4@yahoo.com (Chris Hemant) wrote in message
news:<7bf1b592.0204202014.7bca002d@posting.google.com>...
> Looks like someone is addicted to PalmaSutra eh

That's hilarious!!!

2256. Re: Pro 2004 CPU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 15:31:41 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <nXAw8.4375$17.2749556@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 49172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Back in '87 a number of channels were added to the cell phone band. The GRE-0327A provides the correct 30 kHz search step size for the newer channels.

"Anonymous User" <anonymous@remailer.havenco.com> wrote in message news:70ece97128f458d313bc9444dd8d893f@remailer.havenco.com...
> What is the difference between the GRE-0327 and GRE-0327A?
>
> The service manual lists IC503 as GRE-0327.
> The radio shack site it is GRE-0327A.
>
> IC503 10910891 GRE-0327A MX7478
> ....10910891 AFTER DATE CODE 7A7 MX7478
>

2257. Re: Scanners take another hit in the news.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 16:00:05 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uc5ocpe252hb81@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49173

"Mike Burgess" <nospam@lineone.net> wrote in message
news:5lD8b1BJ5Hw8Ewd$@g7hid.lineone.net...
> In article <uc0q3c6j55mnc5@corp.supernews.com>, Power House
> <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> writes
>
> >Can't say that I have ever seen anything like that here in the US...
> >Interesting product, jus the same.. Never knew a device like it
existed...
> >I think most posters here were thinking along the lines of the Icom
IC-R3;
> >at least that is what I was thinking anyway...
>
> In the UK the 2.3 to 2.5GHz band also has a ham radio (video) allocation
> and a Police air to ground video allocation, so there are some
> interesting uses for the product. Compared to the R3, the R3 is very
> deaf (blind!) at 2.4GHz..
>
Sounds like that device would be quite an investment with all that to be
watching...

I would have guessed that the R3 would not have been all that great in that
area of the band, considering that is basically it's upper limit. Thanks
for the confirmation...

PH

2258. Radio Shack PRO-46

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 16:37:28 UTC
From: tuckmo@yhti.net
Message-ID: <2acf7d08.0204210837.3965eb31@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49174

Although not a total dummy,I am totally confused as to which modification to use.Model#20-305, Serial#35068962, FCC ID#AA020-305,Date code 11A3.Thanks for any help or direction.

2259. Re: Fire Dispatch on 72.580 Mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 16:40:10 UTC
From: Andy Domonkos <capntripps@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3CC2EBCB.6DB5E3D2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49175

Thanks for the info everyone.

I have not heard anymore broadcasts on this frequency since that evening. I'm trying to do a search on Percon's database but have had no luck so far. The dispatches were from a 'Manheim County' but I see no such reference in the database.

I'll keep listening and should I hear some more I'll record some MP3's and post them on my web site.

I'd really like to find out where this originated.

ATD

2260. Re: ATV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 16:49:16 UTC
From: Chris Horry <look@the.sig.invalid>
Message-ID: <3CC2ED8C.6050903@the.sig.invalid>
Source record: 49176
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

JohnK wrote:

> Is it possible to receive Amateur TV on my computer using my scanner and
> software or do I need special epuipment for that occasion?
>
>
>

As Mike Burgess' pointed out in another thread
http://www.videoscanner.co.uk may suit your needs. Looks pretty nifty.

Chris

2261. Re: Frequency Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 17:08:32 UTC
From: "Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <kmCw8.2381$hO6.636882@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49177
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Devin,

Radioworld in Toronto is my source =) Eighty mile drive plus hassle of
actually lying to the customs agents. After that it's a piece of cake...

"Devin" <a@b.c> wrote in message
news:VVlw8.68059$Kq4.2727192@news2.calgary.shaw.ca...
> if you want no gaps with a USA bandplan. Go and buy a Canadian scanner.
Our
> scanners are unblocked like europe types. But we use the US bandplan.
>
> Dev
>
> "Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote in message
> news:eWkw8.1831$hO6.386384@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> > Yupiteru 7100.. Depending on where you get you'll get LW to 1.something
> GHz
> > coverage with no gaps what so ever.
> >
> > "JOHN DENISE" <JDENISE1@cfl.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:qS4v8.217478$y26.36099648@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> > > Just curious if there is a scanner out there that covers all
frequencies
> > > with the obvious exceptions of cell.Is there a purpous to have the CB
> > bands?
> > > 54 -108 I believe is all Radio Stations. What are some of the other
> ranges
> > > that are commonly left out and what are they used for. I just saw in
> > another
> > > post that the 700 range is TV channels. Thanks for the info.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>

2262. Re: Help with modifying scanner headphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 18:03:21 UTC
From: Rick Kunath <rskunath@eesc._com>
Message-ID: <O9Dw8.156$Mr3.140231@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 49178

Sorry, the headphones are mono phones. Unless you removed the existing cord and replaced it with a stereo cord, properly wired, I am afraid you can't.

It might be easier to get a set of stereo phones and make up a Y adaptor from an inline jack and a couple of short cords to plug into the two scanners. Alternately, you could make up a small box with the stereo phone jack, a three-position switch to allow listening to one scanner in each ear or either scanner in both ears, and a couple of short cords to plug into the two scanners.

I'd go with the stereo phones and the switch box.

Hope that helps.

Rick

"Chairborne" <Chairborne@lzboy.com> wrote in message news:n6g5cuo2vav07qgnunlve9ota4h11ccugl@4ax.com...
> I need some guidance on modding my racing headphones (RT-40) so I can
> use 2 scanners, one for each ear. I would like to hear the race
> commentary in one ear and the pit radios in the other. Any ideas on
> how to do this so it's not permanent and can be switched with minimum
> fuss?

2263. FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 18:04:07 UTC
From: Evan Olberding <flipteam15@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3cc2ff5f$1@news.mhogaming.com>
Source record: 49179

I have looked at the FCC website quite extensivly and still have not not been able to do a search for my area, Wichita, Ks. I was wondering if this is even possable or am i just missing something.

2264. Looking for BNC Antenna Switch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 18:37:07 UTC
From: "Kevin F." <kfisher@telusplanet.net>
Message-ID: <nFDw8.5799$dd7.391255@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 49180

I am looking for an antenna switch with BNC connectors

I havent been able to find one .. and am looking for some help in finding
one

Preferably one that switches between 2 antennas.

Email to address below preferred.

Thanks in advance

Kevin F
Edmonton Alberta
openfriends@hotmail.com

2265. It's Hamfest Season!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 18:38:39 UTC
From: stul41 <stul41@home.com>
Message-ID: <uc61pionkjj3cc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49181

It's hamfest time once again and I've found some awesome radio deals out there this year. Already this year have found a nice older Icom PCR-1000 that is 800 mhz software modifiable for $150. Also found a nice working Drake TR-4C with power supply for $75. Just yesterday found a PRO-2006 with the Opto board for $200. Was given for free a little 5" battery operated color TV that needed minor repair.

Hit those hamfests hard!!!!

2266. Re: Frequency Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 18:41:28 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <sJDw8.75137$de1.3521901@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 49182
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

hmm I never have a prob bringing my radio's thru the border. the here don't
really care. maybe it is cause I have ham plates on the car. not sure. I
have not been asked once about it. lol

Dev

"Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote in message
news:kmCw8.2381$hO6.636882@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> Devin,
>
> Radioworld in Toronto is my source =) Eighty mile drive plus hassle of
> actually lying to the customs agents. After that it's a piece of cake...
>
>
> "Devin" <a@b.c> wrote in message
> news:VVlw8.68059$Kq4.2727192@news2.calgary.shaw.ca...
> > if you want no gaps with a USA bandplan. Go and buy a Canadian scanner.
> Our
> > scanners are unblocked like europe types. But we use the US bandplan.
> >
> > Dev
> >
> > "Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote in message
> > news:eWkw8.1831$hO6.386384@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> > > Yupiteru 7100.. Depending on where you get you'll get LW to
1.something
> > GHz
> > > coverage with no gaps what so ever.
> > >
> > > "JOHN DENISE" <JDENISE1@cfl.rr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:qS4v8.217478$y26.36099648@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> > > > Just curious if there is a scanner out there that covers all
> frequencies
> > > > with the obvious exceptions of cell.Is there a purpous to have the
CB
> > > bands?
> > > > 54 -108 I believe is all Radio Stations. What are some of the other
> > ranges
> > > > that are commonly left out and what are they used for. I just saw in
> > > another
> > > > post that the 700 range is TV channels. Thanks for the info.
> > > >
> > > >
> > >

> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2267. Re: Frequency Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 20:28:46 UTC
From: "Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <2iFw8.2571$hO6.666165@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49183
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I never had a problem either but since unblocked scanners are illegal in the USA whenever they ask me whether I'm importing anything I gotta say no. Otherwise, I never had problems bringing equipment both ways.

"Devin" <a@b.c> wrote in message
news:sJDw8.75137$de1.3521901@news3.calgary.shaw.ca...
> hmm I never have a prob bringing my radio's thru the border. the here don't
> really care. maybe it is cause I have ham plates on the car. not sure. I
> have not been asked once about it. lol
>
> Dev
>
>
> "Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote in message
> news:kmCw8.2381$hO6.636882@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> > Devin,
> >
> > Radioworld in Toronto is my source =) Eighty mile drive plus hassle of
> > actually lying to the customs agents. After that it's a piece of cake...
> >
> >
> > "Devin" <a@b.c> wrote in message
> > news:VVlw8.68059$Kq4.2727192@news2.calgary.shaw.ca...
> > > if you want no gaps with a USA bandplan. Go and buy a Canadian scanner.
> > Our
> > > scanners are unblocked like europe types. But we use the US bandplan.
> > >
> > > Dev
> > >
> > > "Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote in message
> > > news:eWkw8.1831$hO6.386384@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> > > > Yupiteru 7100.. Depending on where you get you'll get LW to
> 1.something
> > > > GHz
> > > > coverage with no gaps what so ever.
> > > >
> > > > "JOHN DENISE" <JDENISE1@cfl.rr.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:qS4v8.217478$y26.36099648@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> > > > > Just curious if there is a scanner out there that covers all
> > frequencies

> > > > > with the obvious exceptions of cell.Is there a purpous to have the
> CB
> > > > bands?
> > > > > 54 -108 I believe is all Radio Stations. What are some of the
other
> > > ranges
> > > > > that are commonly left out and what are they used for. I just saw
in
> > > > another
> > > > > post that the 700 range is TV channels. Thanks for the info.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2268. pro-94

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 21:40:51 UTC
From: Evan Olberding <flipteam15@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3cc33234@news.mhogaming.com>
Source record: 49184

I have a RS Pro-94 and was wondering if anyone knew of any mods for it, like cell phones or something like that. Any info would help, thanks!

2269. Re: Rechargable Battery Choices for PRO-93

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 22:07:52 UTC
From: firecomm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020421180752.27651.00004362@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 49185

>
>>I'm tired of burning through regular AA batteries in my PRO-93 [snip]
>

Hi
I have a Pro 94 scanner. I use my scanner at 16 hrs a day. I need to recharge them once a week using Radio Shack Digital camera charger. Part # 23-033, goes for around $22.99.

Thanks
Good Luck
Vinnie

2270. Re: AR8600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 22:30:52 UTC
From: Troy <troyrow@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3cc33d9c$0$11451$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 49186
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am already a member of the Yahoo 8600 group.

I was more after people's experiences with the 8600. There is not that much
of that nature on the group.

"Randy" <bearcat@astound.net> wrote in message
news:3CC2B122.2070101@astound.net...
> goto yahoo groups and check out the 8600 group.
>
> Troy wrote:
>
> > Hi all.
> >
> > I am considering buying an AR8600, and as such, I have two questions for
> > those folks that already own one.
> >
> > 1) What is the VHF/UHF performance like, especially on the airbands?
> >
> > 2) Is it worth the extra money to get the Collins filters fitted?
> >
> > Thanks in advance everybody.
> >
> >
> > Troy
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

2271. Re: FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 23:11:13 UTC
From: stul41 <stul41@home.com>
Message-ID: <uc6hos8mhkrc75@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49187

I know the following website will do what you need:

http://www.perconcorp.com/icom/

"Evan Olberding" <flipteam15@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3cc2ff5f$1@news.mhogaming.com...
> I have looked at the FCC website quite extensivly and still have not not
> been able to do a search for my area, Wichita, Ks. I was wondering if this
> is even possable or am i just missing something.

2272. Re: FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-21 23:48:17 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@1stconnect.com>
Message-ID: <P8Iw8.50236$To6.14829823@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 49188

> I have looked at the FCC website quite extensivly and still have not not
> been able to do a search for my area, Wichita, Ks. I was wondering if this
> is even possable or am i just missing something.

You did, the link is here:

http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm

Roland

2273. Re: FS 3Base Scanners Package Deal,Pro 2021,BC 250, and a Regency K100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-22 00:29:39 UTC
From: gunnerjones@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <893d1c93.0204211629.4683a6ec@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49190

gunnerjones@hotmail.com (Kelly) wrote in message
news:<893d1c93.0204201118.23026aa5@posting.google.com>...
> I have 3 scanners for sale as a Package deal.#1 Pro 2021 Base 200
> channel.In mint condition.Comes with original manual,Bracket and
> antenna..#2Bearcat 250 Base 50 channel it is in average condition as
> far as looks.There is a couple of scratches on outside cabine,but nice
> clear display.And works perfect comes with antenna.#3 This is Regency
> Touch K100 base scanner.This is for the collector, as it is one of the
> first ones that went without crystals to be able to be programmed.It
> is in Excellent conditin comes with antenna .$100.00 plus shipping for
> all 3 scanners.Or will consider Trades.Next they go on ebay.Email me
> at- wilfkelly@rogers.com -if interested.Thanks

THESE ARE NOW LISTED ON EBAY THANKS YOU CAN SEE THEM AND BID AT THIS
URhttp://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1347976283&ssPageName=ADME:B:LC:US:1L

2274. Re: Hi Dev

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-22 00:44:07 UTC
From: Just Me <JustMe@Home.com>
Message-ID: <r1Jw8.31051$Gpn.28395@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 49191

OPP PL Tones in Ontario is 107.2

"fryertuck" <fryertuck65@yahoo.ca> wrote in message
news:tFIw8.852$Z6.10057@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> Hows it Going Love MyCanadian R2 that I just got
> any info on opp tones in ontario and how to set in are needed
> Such as do we need the tone on the moble side of the conv
> Thanks
> Fryertuck
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

2275. Re: Fire Dispatch on 72.580 Mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-22 00:47:05 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020421204705.02554.00007322@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 49192

>The dispatches were from a 'Manheim County' but I see no such reference in the database.

According to several printed and web sources I checked, there is no "Manheim" County, or county with a similar spelling.

My guess is that you were hearing Lancaster County, Pa., which includes Manheim Township. They have applied for a base station (not a one-way link to a remote) on 72.58 MHz, but the pending license was returned ... which is usually for a technicality that can be remedied easily.

It's not uncommon for agencies to "jump the gun," and put frequencies to use for they don't have the license in hand. It may also be that the county was testing the frequency on the particular day you heard them.

Lancaster County is certainly within your range.

Here's the link to the license on the FCC database:

http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/uls/uls_call_res.hts?db_id=3&callsign=&application_id=1104612

BTW - the next closest FD I could find using 72.58 appears to be Cranston, Rhode Island.

Jeff Multer
Fort Mill, S.C.

2276. Re: Hi Dev

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-22 01:21:52 UTC
From: Just Me <JustMe@Home.com>
Message-ID: <QAJw8.31387$Gpn.6253@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 49194

No, just use it on the base side, and you should be able to hear the mobiles
also.

"fryertuck" <fryertuck65@yahoo.ca> wrote in message
news:dtJw8.860$Z6.10579@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> Thanks And what about opp mobile side in the 138 range do I need to
use
> that tone there thanks
> asl?
> fryer
> "Just Me" <JustMe@Home.com> wrote in message
> news:r1Jw8.31051$Gpn.28395@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> > OPP PL Tones in Ontario is 107.2
> >
> >
> > "fryertuck" <fryertuck65@yahoo.ca> wrote in message
> > news:tFIw8.852$Z6.10057@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> > > Hows it Going Love MyCanadian R2 that I just got
> > > any info on opp tones in ontario and how to set in are needed
> > > Such as do we need the tone on the moble side of the conv
> > > Thanks
> > > Fryertuck
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> > ---
> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002
> >
> >
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

2277. Online Scanner Listening

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-22 02:29:55 UTC
From: Moderator <Moderator@LiveScannerAudioYahooGroup.com>
Message-ID: <DAKw8.32004$Gpn.24833@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 49195
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If you're interested in learning how to broadcast live streaming audio of
your scanner. Then check us out for discussion on live scanner broadcasting.
Http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

Moderator

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

2278. Scanning Canada's Capital Region

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-22 02:44:36 UTC
From: Moderator <Moderator@LiveScannerAudioYahooGroup.com>
Message-ID: <oOKw8.32114$Gpn.21876@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 49196
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I would like to welcome you to the national capital's only scanning email group. This group is to provide new frequencies, stories, and any thing else of interest to the scanning hobby. Frequencies for the Ottawa-Carleton, and Eastern Ontario are greatly appreciated.

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan

Moderator

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

2279. Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-22 02:51:17 UTC
From: Chris <mrchri5@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <FUKw8.27522$CH.5433@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 49097

hello,

I know this is a scanner group but your probly the only people that can help with my question. I'm looking for a nice weather radio. Does the SAME technology work? I only want to hear storm/tornado warnings and only for my county. I've heard with some of the radio shack radios it doesn't work well. I would like a portable model thats fairly durable, can be ran off ac and batteries be left inside in case of a power outage. if someone could recomend a good online dealer and radio I would appreciate it.

thanks
Chris

2280. Police Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-22 02:58:33 UTC
From: Bizzy <bizzycook@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uc6v54d1lf6v55@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49098

Hello,

Does any one know the police freq's for the following areas in Illinois:

Clay County
Wayne County
Flora

Thanks
Cody
bizzycook@hotmail.com

2281. FS : Radio SHack Pro-43 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-22 03:17:24 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <8hLw8.101370$9N1.14738716@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 49099
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Radio Shack Pro-43 portable scanner in like new condition, UNBLOCKED.
(Photos available upon request). Includes custom Radio Shack soft leather
case, Radio Shack center loaded whip antenna, manual, and 6 MAHA, 1700 maH
NMH AA batteries.

$175.00 shipped via USPS Priority Mail, insured.

I will accept a US Postal money order, PAYPAL (3% extra to cover PAYPAL
costs), or a personal check. A personal check will delay shipping until it
clears. No C.O.D.
NO TRADES.

E-mail if interested

Dan

2282. Hi Dev

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-22 03:19:40 UTC
From: fryertuck <fryertuck65@yahoo.ca>
Message-ID: <tFIw8.852$Z6.10057@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 49189

Hows it Going Love MyCanadian R2 that I just got
any info on opp tones in ontario and how to set in are needed
Such as do we need the tone on the moble side of the conv
Thanks
Fryertuck

2283. Re: FS 3Base Scanners Package Deal,Pro 2021,BC 250, and a Regency K100 SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-22 03:40:25 UTC
From: gunnerjones@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <893d1c93.0204211940.2f43494c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49100

gunnerjones@hotmail.com (Kelly) wrote in message
news:<893d1c93.0204201118.23026aa5@posting.google.com>...
> I have 3 scanners for sale as a Package deal.#1 Pro 2021 Base 200
> channel.In mint condition.Comes with original manual,Bracket and
> antenna..#2Bearcat 250 Base 50 channel it is in average condition as
> far as looks.There is a couple of scratches on outside cabine,but nice
> clear display.And works perfect comes with antenna.#3 This is Regency
> Touch K100 base scanner.This is for the collector, as it is one of the
> first ones that went without crystals to be able to be programmed.It
> is in Excellent conditin comes with antenna .$100.00 plus shipping for
> all 3 scanners.Or will consider Trades.Next they go on ebay.Email me
> at- wilfkelly@rogers.com -if interested.Thanks

The three scanners have been SOLD,SOLD,SOLD Thanks

2284. Re: Antennas - Beams for Milair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-22 04:07:59 UTC
From: Mike <room4me@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <z0Mw8.90574$G72.65331@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 49101

You might do some research on log periodic antennas. They are a broad band beam. There have been articles in various ham radio mags on how to build one. There are companies which also make them. I think you could get one to cover your desired bandwidth.

Mike

"Billy" <billy8156@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:d8c04b3f.0204200402.4439a1b2@posting.google.com...
> I have a grove scanner beam but am looking for something with more
> gain. The only type of listening I do is 200-400MHz Milair band with
> some 138MHz milair as well.
>
> What are some of the best antennas that work well in the Milair area?
> this antenna would be mounted at about 25' on a rotor.
>
> Bill

2285. Re: Frequency Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-22 04:12:44 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <05Mw8.30631$N16.1314081@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 49102
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

but all I do is go to the casino's there. taht is about it. lol

Dev

"Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote in message
news:2iFw8.2571$hO6.666165@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> I never had a problem either but since unblocked scanners are illegal in
the
> USA whenever they ask me whether I'm importing anything I gotta say no.
> Otherwise, I never had problems bringing equipment both ways.
>
> "Devin" <a@b.c> wrote in message
> news:sJDw8.75137$de1.3521901@news3.calgary.shaw.ca...
> > hmm I never have a prob bringing my radio's thru the border. the here
> don't
> > really care. maybe it is cause I have ham plates on the car. not sure. I
> > have not been asked once about it. lol
> >
> > Dev
> >
> >
> > "Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote in message
> > news:kmCw8.2381$hO6.636882@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> > > Devin,
> > >
> > > Radioworld in Toronto is my source =) Eighty mile drive plus hassle of
> > > actually lying to the customs agents. After that it's a piece of
> cake...
> > >
> > >
> > > "Devin" <a@b.c> wrote in message
> > > news:VVlw8.68059$Kq4.2727192@news2.calgary.shaw.ca...
> > > > if you want no gaps with a USA bandplan. Go and buy a Canadian
> scanner.
> > > Our
> > > > scanners are unblocked like europe types. But we use the US
bandplan.
> > > >
> > > > Dev
> > > >
> > > > "Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote in message
> > > > news:eWkw8.1831$hO6.386384@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> > > > > Yupiteru 7100.. Depending on where you get you'll get LW to
> > 1.something

> > > > GHz
> > > > > coverage with no gaps what so ever.
> > > > >
> > > > > "JOHN DENISE" <JDENISE1@cfl.rr.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:qS4v8.217478$y26.36099648@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> > > > > > Just curious if there is a scanner out there that covers all
> > > frequencies
> > > > > > with the obvious exceptions of cell.Is there a purpous to have
the
> > CB
> > > > > > bands?
> > > > > > 54 -108 I believe is all Radio Stations. What are some of the
> other
> > > > ranges
> > > > > > that are commonly left out and what are they used for. I just
saw
> in
> > > > > another
> > > > > > post that the 700 range is TV channels. Thanks for the info.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>

2286. Re: Hi Dev

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-22 04:13:02 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <i5Mw8.30633$N16.1314197@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 49103

hi

Dev

"Just Me" <JustMe@Home.com> wrote in message
news:QAJw8.31387$Gpn.6253@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> No, just use it on the base side, and you should be able to hear the
mobiles
> also.
>
> "fryertuck" <fryertuck65@yahoo.ca> wrote in message
> news:dtJw8.860$Z6.10579@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> > Thanks And what about opp mobile side in the 138 range do I need to
> use
> > that tone there thanks
> > asl?
> > fryer
> > "Just Me" <JustMe@Home.com> wrote in message
> > news:r1Jw8.31051$Gpn.28395@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> > > OPP PL Tones in Ontario is 107.2
> > >
> > >
> > > "fryertuck" <fryertuck65@yahoo.ca> wrote in message
> > > news:tFIw8.852$Z6.10057@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> > > > Hows it Going Love MyCanadian R2 that I just got
> > > > any info on opp tones in ontario and how to set in are needed
> > > > Such as do we need the tone on the moble side of the conv
> > > > Thanks
> > > > Fryertuck
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > ---
> > > > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > > > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > > > Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.

> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002
>
>

2287. Re: Hi Dev

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-22 04:14:14 UTC
From: fryertuck <fryertuck65@yahoo.ca>
Message-ID: <dtJw8.860$Z6.10579@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 49193

Thanks And what about opp mobile side in the 138 range do I need to use
that tone there thanks
asl?
fryer
"Just Me" <JustMe@Home.com> wrote in message
news:r1Jw8.31051$Gpn.28395@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> OPP PL Tones in Ontario is 107.2
>
>
> "fryertuck" <fryertuck65@yahoo.ca> wrote in message
> news:tFIw8.852$Z6.10057@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
> > Hows it Going Love MyCanadian R2 that I just got
> > any info on opp tones in ontario and how to set in are needed
> > Such as do we need the tone on the moble side of the conv
> > Thanks
> > Fryertuck
> >
> >
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002
>
>

2288. Re: Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-22 06:01:48 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <EFNw8.31$Fu3.11593@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 49104

Well, I own several Radio Shack SAME Alert radios, and have given them as Christmas presents to my parents and brothers, and all have performed just fine. The home units, the 12-250, has a 9 volt battery backup in case of power outage. They also have a handheld model, the 12-254, which I have adapted for mobile operation. There are other radios on the market that may work just as well, but I feel the Radio Shack units are as good as any. Check them out before you decide.

Mark

"Chris" <mrchri5@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:FUKw8.27522$CH.5433@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> hello,
>
> I know this is a scanner group but your probly the only people that can
help
> with my question. I'm looking for a nice weather radio. Does the SAME
> technology work? I only want to hear storm/tornado warnings and only for
> my county. I've heard with some of the radio shack radios it doesn't work
> well. I would like a portable model thats fairly durable, can be ran off
ac
> and batteries be left inside in case of a power outage. if someone could
> recomend a good online dealer and radio I would appreciate it.
>
> thanks
> Chris
>
>

2289. Re: Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-22 06:33:33 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@cox.net>
Message-ID: <8db7cu8mmu33hj3euep6466pc9q0tg3lsa@4ax.com>
Source record: 49105

On Mon, 22 Apr 2002 02:51:17 GMT, "Chris" <mrchri5@yahoo.com> wrote:

>hello,
>
>I know this is a scanner group but your probly the only people that can help
>with my question. I'm looking for a nice weather radio. Does the SAME
>technology work? I only want to hear storm/tornado warnings and only for
>my county. I've heard with some of the radio shack radios it doesn't work
>well. I would like a portable model thats fairly durable, can be ran off ac
>and batteries be left inside in case of a power outage. if someone could
>recomend a good online dealer and radio I would appreciate it.
>
>thanks
>Chris
>
It certainly does work, but from my experience many of the receivers
out there are so poor that they don't work! I have a Midland, an
Oregon Scientific, and a Realistic, all are SAME equipped. All can
receive weather broadcasts with acceptable clarify and volume.
All use the supplied whip or built in antenna, and all are set to the
same code. I even tried adding an external antenna to the Oregon
Scientific. It didn't help.

Every Wednesday there is a test signal. The Midland ALWAYS goes off.
(and when the weather gets exciting, it also goes off with embarassing
frequency)..

The Realistic goes off about once a month (25% of tests) , and I have
yet to hear a peep out of the Oregon Scientific, and I have had all of
the more than a year. .

2290. fcc frequency finder help...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-22 06:46:04 UTC
From: josephmdunn@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4573-3CC3B1AC-111@storefull-2271.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 49106

this site...
http://wtbwww05.fcc.gov:80/default.sph/UlsQueryLic.exe
is supposed to be the GENERAL radio
license look up page, however whenever
i store this on one of my webtv f keys it doesn't work. any idea how i
can get the above link to work everytime i click it or store it on one
of my fkeys?
thank you in advance for any help you can give me
all the best
joseph dunn

2291. Rumor has it.....Canada D.Munroe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-22 15:26:09 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <ura8cu4fpvvs5jjv6qa9d19ghana2edhda@4ax.com>
Source record: 49107

Rumor has it that the individual that ripped a lot of people of in the
newsgroups off that went by the name of David Munroe in Keene,Ontario
ripped off a Mafia Bosses Relative.......haven't heard from him lately
in the groups here....did they CLIP him??? he he har har :O)

2292. Re: Scanners take another hit in the news.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-22 15:43:58 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <lq88cugnv1b1f8ivnhjea06m99esmtbv25@4ax.com>
Source record: 49108

On 20 Apr 2002 20:48:09 -0500, Robert wrote:

>On Tue, 16 Apr 2002 16:33:28 -0400, Jesse <jplummer@bluefrognet.net>
>wrote:
>
>>CNN is losing their credibility daily. If Fox News Channel called for
>>more laws on this, then I would be nervous. The cell phone ban is
>>unconstitutional and if someone challenged it, they would likely win.
>
>Do you mind explaining how the cell phone ban is "unconstitutional"?

I'm not certain that it is "unconstitutional" --but here's a possible argument:

The radio spectrum is a public forum of sorts. One might liken it to being able to holler across a street at someone else or to speaking in a theater. Does anyone have a right to expect privacy here? I think not.

So why is it that on the spectrum around 880 MHz, the FCC has regulations against monitoring transmissions? That's almost like telling to telling someone not to look out the window!

Now some may argue that yes indeed there are places you're not allowed to look, such as Area 51 (Groom Lake) where secret US military development is done. Thus why shouldn't there be a band that you're not allowed to monitor? The difference is that the monitoring takes place from the privacy of your own home. One can regulate receivers mounted in cars, boats, and/or aircraft. However, regulating what people at home may receive off the airwaves is just plain obnoxiously stupid and probably illegal.

I liken it to having papers blowing across your lawn. You're being told not to collect such papers and definitely not read them. Don't we have a right to pursue knowledge no matter where it comes from?

And let's look at Cell-phone calls: They're unencrypted, but it's not easy to figure out who is calling whom. However, they do have a sociological value. Wouldn't it be nice if we could gather useful data about attitudes, details of ordinary life, and perhaps even a sociological view of what's going on in the cars on the road? I admit, it is a bit big brother-ish. But these days, what isn't?

And let's not forget that these phones are working in the open, unencrypted in any way. The technology has existed for many years to encrypt these phones --but nobody wants to because it's "too expensive." That's like saying it's too expensive to wear clothes

--so let's pretend we are by making a law that says we should all avert our eyes if we should see another naked person.

I'm not sure that this argument is 100 percent fool-proof, but there is reason to think that there are constitutional problems with a prohibition on monitoring unencrypted transmissions.

However, that said, I seriously doubt there is a court in the land that understands the technical background behind this nonsense enough to declare it unconstitutional. The typical person has no idea that a Cell-phone is really a radio. They don't bother to understand the issues because there are too many. It's easier to just let the legislators legislate stupidity they can't enforce than it is to try and educate an entire society on a very obscure issue of privacy.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

2293. Re: FS : Radio SHack Pro-43 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-22 16:08:12 UTC
From: Russell <rustyspam@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3CC4356C.5050202@dccnet.com>
Source record: 49109
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I just have to mention that I bought a PRO-2042 from Dan a few weeks ago
and it was better than he had described, it was like brand new and he
had it shipped out lightening fast!..
-Russ

Dan Conti wrote:

> Radio Shack Pro-43 portable scanner in like new condition, UNBLOCKED.
> (Photos available upon request). Includes custom Radio Shack soft
leather
> case, Radio Shack center loaded whip antenna, manual, and 6 MAHA,
1700 maH
> NMH AA batteries.
>
> $175.00 shipped via USPS Priority Mail, insured.
>
> I will accept a US Postal money order, PAYPAL (3% extra to cover PAYPAL
> costs), or a personal check. A personal check will delay shipping
until it
> clears. No C.O.D.
> NO TRADES.
>
> E-mail if interested

Dan Conti wrote:

> Radio Shack Pro-43 portable scanner in like new condition, UNBLOCKED.
> (Photos available upon request). Includes custom Radio Shack soft leather
> case, Radio Shack center loaded whip antenna, manual, and 6 MAHA, 1700 maH
> NMH AA batteries.
>
> $175.00 shipped via USPS Priority Mail, insured.
>
> I will accept a US Postal money order, PAYPAL (3% extra to cover PAYPAL
> costs), or a personal check. A personal check will delay shipping until it
> clears. No C.O.D.
> NO TRADES.
>
> E-mail if interested
>
> Dan
>
>
>

2294. Re: Radio SHack Pro-43 scanner - SOLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-22 16:45:41 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <V6Xw8.101440$9N1.15008769@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 49110
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

This scanner has been sold!

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:8hLw8.101370$9N1.14738716@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> Radio Shack Pro-43 portable scanner in like new condition, UNBLOCKED.
> (Photos available upon request). Includes custom Radio Shack soft leather
> case, Radio Shack center loaded whip antenna, manual, and 6 MAHA, 1700 maH
> NMH AA batteries.
>
> $175.00 shipped via USPS Priority Mail, insured.
>
> I will accept a US Postal money order, PAYPAL (3% extra to cover PAYPAL
> costs), or a personal check. A personal check will delay shipping until
it
> clears. No C.O.D.
> NO TRADES.
>
> E-mail if interested
>
> Dan
>
>

2295. Re: Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-22 17:07:00 UTC
From: Ron Wilbanks <NYC6011@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3CC44368.49D21EE2@ameritech.net>
Source record: 49111
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

matt weber wrote:

> It certainly does work, but from my experience many of the receivers
> out there are so poor that they don't work! I have a Midland, an
> Oregon Scientific, and a Realistic, all are SAME equipped. All can
> receive weather broadcasts with acceptable clarify and volume.
> All use the supplied whip or built in antenna, and all are set to the
> same code. I even tried adding an external antenna to the Oregon
> Scientific. It didn't help.

My friend did the same thing with the Oregon Scientific units and an outdoor antenna made no difference with his radio either; reception did not affect the radio's inability to go off.

>
>
> Every Wednesday there is a test signal. The Midland ALWAYS goes off.
> (and when the weather gets exciting, it also goes off with embarassing
> frequency)..

Yes, I recommended to several friends that they should buy the Midland units with SAME, rather than buying the Radio Shack version, which only goes off 25-30% of the the time. The last thing you want is to depend on a weather radio that has issues!

I use a Uniden WX-100, which so far has been fantastic. The radio never misses a test or a warning activation. Sadly, due to poor sales, Uniden discontinued production of this receiver a few months ago.

What attracted me the most to the WX-100, were it's features: external antenna, speaker, relay jacks, amber LCD display, battery backup and the ability to select SAME alerting for all, one, or up to 20 counties. I doubt very much that I would ever have more than three counties programmed into the radio but in the future, the NWS, plans on dividing up larger counties, and allowing users with SAME receivers to set their radios to receive warnings for only their portion of the county they live in.

73's
--
==========
Ron Wilbanks

Freelance Photographer, Writer, Historian, Railfan, Roadgeek, and
Michigan State Editor, for "Monitor America"

*** Spam Filter in place 6011-11 = correct reply address! ***

"Enjoy and explore our Nation's U.S. Highway System:
you'll be richer for the endless experiences that await you!!!!" :)
==========

2296. tk500 v0.4 available, Yaesu VR-500 open source software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-22 18:39:12 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3cc458e3$0$2326$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 49112
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

tk500 version 0.4 is now available for free download.

tk500 is an open source programming utility for the
Yaesu VR-500 portable receiver. It supports Narrow
AM mode.

Improvements in version 0.4 include support for both
USA and European version radios, new widgets for
User Port, bank scan, battery save, scan resume, display
and lamp settings, etc. Memory banks may be linked for scanning.

tk500 has a graphical user interface and runs on Linux
and Windows. I'm still looking for MacOS X beta testers
familiar with Tcl/Tk.

You can learn about and download a beta test version of tk500
from my home page:

http://members.core.com/~parnass

I don't warrant the software, though it will cost you nothing.

Thanks to Paul Martin, N8ULS for providing experimental data and
to Dan Wanchic, WA8VZQ for posting detailed
information about the RDF file layout.
--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

2297. Re: Fire Dispatch on 72.580 Mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-22 19:40:49 UTC
From: John P Vassel <john@vassel.net>
Message-ID: <3cc46741$0$2339$2c3e98f8@news.voyager.net>
Source record: 49113

Andy Domonkos <capntripps@earthlink.net> wrote in
news:3CC2EBCB.6DB5E3D2@earthlink.net:

> Thanks for the info everyone.
>
> I have not heard anymore broadcasts on this frequency since that
> evening. I'm trying to do a search on Percon's database but have had no
> luck so far. The dispatches were from a 'Manheim County' but I see no
> such reference in the database.
>
> I'll keep listening and should I hear some more I'll record some MP3's
> and post them on my web site.
>
> I'd really like to find out where this originated.
>
>
> ATD
>
>
>

Closest I came to manheim county is manheim penn.
Didnt find anyone in the area on that freq tho.

--
John Vassel
KC8SKE
RTFD Volunteer Firefighter

2298. Re: Police Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-22 20:59:15 UTC
From: Tim Greensgod <sab@sabnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <aa1tngbpi1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 49114

Fwd>>

I use the FCC's license records website.
http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts

Hope this helps,
Tim

"Bizzy" <bizzycook@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:uc6v54d1lf6v55@corp.supernews.com...
> Hello,
>
>
> Does any one know the police freq's for the following areas in Illinois:
>
>
> Clay County
> Wayne County
> Flora
>
>
> Thanks
> Cody
> bizzycook@hotmail.com
>
>
>

2299. Re: Fire Dispatch on 72.580 Mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-22 22:09:45 UTC
From: sascheffey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020422180945.08070.00006266@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 49116

Manheim, England perhaps?

2300. s/w for decoding pager msg

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-22 22:18:09 UTC
From: yo <y_shalom@aqunet.co.il>
Message-ID: <3CC48C20.463E4B37@aqunet.co.il>
Source record: 49115

is any one know for s/w that could decode pagers msg?

2301. Re: s/w for decoding pager msg

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-22 22:49:22 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <ms49cu0tq051jnaru2bne4v0bvpmmi6bu0@4ax.com>
Source record: 49117

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, yo <y_shalom@aqunet.co.il> spake thusly

>is any one know for s/w that could decode pagers msg?

<y_shalom@aqunet.co.il>
(reason: 550 Host unknown)

You won't get answers with a bad email address.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2302. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-23 00:59:10 UTC
From: Terry Bullett <tbullett@charter.net>
Message-ID: <3CC4B1DE.B4DF40F7@charter.net>
Source record: 49119
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

I use N type connectors exclusively in my HF work. I also prefer crimp type connectors, vice the solder variety.
While it is possible to get a better solder job than a crimp job, it takes less skill
to do a decent job with a crimp tool. I've seen way too many hack jobs on solder connectors to begin to count 'em. And believe me it's a hell of alot easier to replace a crimp connector when it's still pitch dark at noon, there's a 20 knot wind and the temp is dropping below -40. This makes you glad you shelled out the extra bucks for a crimp tool instead of a propane soldering iron!

As for RG-9913, it is lower loss and cheap, but BEWARE
- It's easier to cause physical damage on this cable
- Water gets into the cable through the connector, penetrates the spiral dielectric
and turns the last meter of the cable into a gelatinous dummy load. A tiny bit of silicone RTV at the end will prevent this headache.
- Of course it needs a different type of connector than RG213

Terry

redmondj wrote:
>
> I see a lot of responses to the UHF-N question and I'll add my $.02.
>
> UHF connectors were designed when UHF was considered to be anything
> about 30 MHz. Currently the connectors come with a wide variation in
> quality. If you are determined to use an UHF connector get ones with
> teflon dielectric. I have seen them with nylon dielectric which will
> melt with moderate power at even 2M. Nylon is very lossy but is cheap
> compared to teflon. I find that it takes longer to properly assemble
> an UHF connector than an N. There is one solder connection on the N and
> two wrenches are required to finish the assembly. The N connector will
> have good dielectric material unless you are able to find a really off
> brand source.
>
> The other thing you need to consider is the loss of LMR400. If you are
> planning a run over 50 feet you might consider something like 9913.
>
> 73, Alan - K6RFK
>
> JEEPMON wrote:
>

> >I am putting up a Diamond dual band vertical antenna. It will be for 2m and
> >70cm with about 60 feet of LMR400 coax never run underground.
> >My problem is should I buy the antenna with the UHF or the N connector? What
> >are the pro's and con's to both? Is a UHF the same as a PL259?
> >And if one connector is better than the other then why is there any choice?
> >Also I have experience in soldering up PL259's but no experience with N
> >connectors. Are they hard to solder up to coax?
> >
> >

--
Terry Bullett terry@bullett.net
"Those who do not understand unix are condemned to reinvent it, poorly."
- H. Spencer

2303. Re: Help with modifying scanner headphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-23 01:29:48 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com>
Message-ID: <evd9cuo2at7uh10i1vrgf9um55l5mcbuf5@4ax.com>
Source record: 49120

On Sun, 21 Apr 2002 13:47:22 GMT, Chairborne <Chairborne@lzboy.com>
wrote:

>I need some guidance on modding my racing headphones (RT-40) so I can
>use 2 scanners, one for each ear. I would like to hear the race
>commentary in one ear and the pit radios in the other. Any ideas on
>how to do this so it's not permanent and can be switched with minimum
>fuss?

As Rick pointed out, they're mono, and would be hard to modify.

I have a friend who is a spotter. He wears the regular comm headset
the team provides. He also tunes a scanner to race control, and
listens to that through an earpiece stuck in one ear.

You might get two single-ear earpieces, and use one for each scanner.
Get a set of good industrial hearing protectors, and put them on over
everything.

Ken Plotkin

2304. 780xlt & FIPs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-23 02:22:01 UTC
From: Greg <not@shown.here>
Message-ID: <g7h9cu805q4njuje1k4rb6kn0qog43m1vh@4ax.com>
Source record: 49121

I was just thinking the other day when we had some severe weather -
I've got the FIPS codes for my county and a couple neighboring ones
programmed into the scanner, but I've never heard any sort of alert
when I've got it on.

Is there something else i need to set up?

2305. RCA 16S200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-23 03:03:11 UTC
From: Ron Toller <n1rt@atlantic.net>
Message-ID: <3CC4CEEF.1072DDA1@atlantic.net>
Source record: 49118

Need manual or copy.

Ron

2306. Re: Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-23 03:45:31 UTC
From: bowenb@best.com
Message-ID: <3cc4c79d.107305406@text.giganews.com>
Source record: 49122

Matt,

I've had a similar experience. I tried 3 different Radio Shack
models and they suffered badly from RF front end overload (I live 3
miles west of Mt. San Bruno just south of SanFrancisco, and there is
about 10 megawatt worth of FM and TV broadcast transmitters plus
paging and evrrything else you can think of up on that hill). The
closest NOAA transmitter is about 30 miles away, so the WB signal is
not real strong around here. Even my PRO-2006 and AOR 1000 have
problems with receiving the NOAA transmitter without intermod.

I finally ended with with the Midland 74-200 that works very well.
It is nearly immune to the intermod, the audio quality is good and it
SAME system seems to work fine. I'd highly recommend this radio to
anyone that needs one.

Regards,
Bill Bowen
bowenb@best.com
Daly City, CA

matt weber <matthеww50@cox.net> wrote:

>On Mon, 22 Apr 2002 02:51:17 GMT, "Chris" <mrchri5@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>hello,
>>
>>I know this is a scanner group but your probly the only people that can help
>>with my question. I'm looking for a nice weather radio. Does the SAME
>>technology work? I only want to hear storm/tornado warnings and only for
>>my county. I've heard with some of the radio shack radios it doesn't work
>>well. I would like a portable model thats fairly durable, can be ran off ac
>>and batteries be left inside in case of a power outage. if someone could
>>recomend a good online dealer and radio I would appreciate it.
>>
>>thanks
>>Chris
>>
>It certainly does work, but from my experience many of the receivers
>out there are so poor that they don't work! I have a Midland, an
>Oregon Scientific, and a Realistic, all are SAME equipped. All can
>receive weather broadcasts with acceptable clarify and volume.
>All use the supplied whip or built in antenna, and all are set to the
>same code. I even tried adding an external antenna to the Oregon
>Scientific. It didn't help.
>

>Every Wednesday there is a test signal. The Midland ALWAYS goes off.
>(and when the weather gets exciting, it also goes off with embarassing
>frequency)..
>
> The Realistic goes off about once a month (25% of tests) , and I have
>yet to hear a peep out of the Oregon Scientific, and I have had all of
>the more than a year. .

2307. Re: s/w for decoding pager msg

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-23 03:53:24 UTC
From: sstvswl <sstvswl@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <UU4x8.2543$vX.2768@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 49123

http://www.qsl.net/zl3tmb/pocsag/software.html

http://www.applet.cz/~ulcak/files.htm

http://home.kabelfoon.nl/~pdw/alp/

"yo" <y_shalom@aqunet.co.il> wrote in message
news:3CC48C20.463E4B37@aqunet.co.il...
> is any one know for s/w that could decode pagers msg?
>

2308. Re: Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-23 03:58:04 UTC
From: Runnyriver <noton6@microbrain.com>
Message-ID: <aa2m4q$7cgdo$1@ID-121301.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 49124

Is there any way to block the middle of the night alarms for severe thunderstorms? Every time it rains here we get the alert for severe thunderstorm, and waking me up in the middle of the night, or actually even going off in the daytime is a waste of my time for a thunderstorm.

2309. Source for Motorola "Monitor" pager crystals?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-23 04:07:44 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020423000744.16942.00003813@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 49125

I was wondering if anyone knew where I could get replacment crystals for an old
Motorola "Monitor" pager.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

2310. Re: Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-23 07:01:43 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <HD7x8.12$Xy3.18220@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 49126

Well, I don't live in a high RF environment, so that hasn't been an issue for me, but I do live in the fringe area (55-60 miles) for Oklahoma City (actually Norman) NWS broadcasts. I may possibly miss 1 out of 10 weekly tests, due to the mediocre reception in my area. When I had external antennas on my radios, however, there was no problem.

Mark

"William H. Bowen" <bowenb@best.com> wrote in message news:3cc4c79d.107305406@text.giganews.com...
> Matt,
>
> I've had a similar experience. I tried 3 different Radio Shack
> models and they suffered badly from RF front end overload (I live 3
> miles west of Mt. San Bruno just south of SanFrancisco, and there is
> about 10 megawatt worth of FM and TV broadcast transmitters plus
> paging and evrrything else you can think of up on that hill). The
> closest NOAA transmitter is about 30 miles away, so the WB signal is
> not real strong around here. Even my PRO-2006 and AOR 1000 have
> problems with receiving the NOAA transmitter without intermod.
>
> I finally ended with with the Midland 74-200 that works very well.
> It is nearly immune to the intermod, the audio quality is good and it
> SAME system seems to work fine. I'd highly recommend this radio to
> anyone that needs one.
>
> Regards,
> Bill Bowen
> bowenb@best.com
> Daly City, CA
>
>
> matt weber <matthewww50@cox.net> wrote:
>
> >On Mon, 22 Apr 2002 02:51:17 GMT, "Chris" <mrchri5@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> >>hello,
> >>
> >>I know this is a scanner group but your probly the only people that can help
> >>with my question. I'm looking for a nice weather radio. Does the SAME
> >>technology work? I only want to hear storm/tornado warnings and only for
> >>my county. I've heard with some of the radio shack radios it doesn't work

> >>well. I would like a portable model thats fairly durable, can be ran off
ac
> >>and batteries be left inside in case of a power outage. if someone
could
> >>recomend a good online dealer and radio I would appreciate it.
> >>
> >>thanks
> >>Chris
> >>
> >It certainly does work, but from my experience many of the receivers
> >out there are so poor that they don't work! I have a Midland, an
> >Oregon Scientific, and a Realistic, all are SAME equipped. All can
> >receive weather broadcasts with acceptable clarify and volume.
> >All use the supplied whip or built in antenna, and all are set to the
> >same code. I even tried adding an external antenna to the Oregon
> >Scientific. It didn't help.
> >
> >Every Wednesday there is a test signal. The Midland ALWAYS goes off.
> >(and when the weather gets exciting, it also goes off with embarassing
> >frequency)..
> >
> > The Realistic goes off about once a month (25% of tests) , and I have
> >yet to hear a peep out of the Oregon Scientific, and I have had all of
> >the more than a year. .
>

2311. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-23 09:36:16 UTC
From: nomail@pe1chl.ampr.org
Message-ID: <slrnacaaog.rla.nomail@linux.pe1chl.ampr.org>
Source record: 49128
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

Terry Bullett <tbullett@charter.net> wrote:
>I use N type connectors exclusively in my HF work. I also prefer crimp type
>connectors, vice the solder variety.
>While it is possible to get a better solder job than a crimp job, it takes less
>skill
>to do a decent job with a crimp tool. I've seen way too many hack jobs on
>solder connectors to begin to count 'em. And believe me it's a hell of alot
>easier to replace a crimp connector when it's still pitch dark at noon, there's
>a 20 knot wind and the temp is dropping below -40. This makes you glad you
>shelled out the extra bucks for a crimp tool instead of a propane soldering
>iron!

That could well be true, but I have seen many more cables pulled out of
the connector with crimp-type connectors than with solder-type, especially
with BNC. I also think the crimp-type is worse in moist conditions.

(of course you want to protect the connector against moist and cable stress
in all cases)

Rob
--
+---------------------------------+--------------------------------------+
| Rob Janssen pe1chl@amsat.org | WWW: http://www.xs4all.nl/~pe1chl/ |
| AMPRnet: rob@pe1chl.ampr.org | AX.25 BBS: PE1CHL@PI8ZAA.#NBO.NLD.EU |
+---------------------------------+--------------------------------------+

2312. Re: MCQ LOG The Real Truth Behind Tom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-23 09:51:04 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <ucabroe0hmhd32@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49127

"an idiot who beileved in TOM"
<mcqlogisawasteandyouwillgetspammed@fuktom.com> wrote in message
news:_pat8.225$r1.19178@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> I write this message in hopes of making anyone aware of how MCQ log works
in
> the programmers mind. His name is Tom and he is a Flight Sim buff of sorts

<Endless Drivel Snipped>

You just graduated to my twit filter, idiot. Who the hell cares about him or
his lame program ?

2313. Re: Source for Motorola "Monitor" pager crystals?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-23 13:32:50 UTC
From: "D. Ruhe" <ruhe@pitt.edu>
Message-ID: <3CC56282.77B7BFC7@pitt.edu>
Source record: 49129

Try JAN Crystals at 1-800-jan-xtal

--Dan-- KE3UC

VHFRadioBuff wrote:

> I was wondering if anyone knew where I could get replacment crystals for an old
> Motorola "Monitor" pager.
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> http://edfas.tripod.com

2314. Re: Source for Motorola "Monitor" pager crystals?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-23 13:33:45 UTC
From: "D. Ruhe" <ruhe@pitt.edu>
Message-ID: <3CC562B9.E95BDBC9@pitt.edu>
Source record: 49130

Try JAN Crystals at 1-800-jan-xtal

--Dan-- KE3UC

VHFRadioBuff wrote:

> I was wondering if anyone knew where I could get replacment crystals for an old
> Motorola "Monitor" pager.
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> http://edfas.tripod.com

2315. Re: Police Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-23 14:20:33 UTC
From: wleamons <wleamons@socket.net>
Message-ID: <ucardj9gesd51a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49131

```
try cityfreq.com
"Bizzy" <bizzycook@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:uc6v54d1lf6v55@corp.supernews.com...
> Hello,
>
>
> Does any one know the police freq's for the following areas in Illinois:
>
>
> Clay County
> Wayne County
> Flora
>
>
> Thanks
> Cody
> bizzycook@hotmail.com
>
>
>
```

2316. Re: University of Central Florida Freqs???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-23 14:21:17 UTC
From: wleamons <wleamons@socket.net>
Message-ID: <ucarf1e7bolf39@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49132

try cityfreq.com
<Robert@orlando.fla> wrote in message
news:l9u6cusgb9saktds5p497gcf327erojsbl@news-west.newscene.com...
> Does anyone out there have the freqs for UCF?
> Thanks.

2317. Re: FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-23 14:22:37 UTC
From: wleamons <wleamons@socket.net>
Message-ID: <ucarhfp6qs4n69@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49133

try cityfreq.com
"Evan Olberding" <flipteam15@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3cc2ff5f$1@news.mhogaming.com...
> I have looked at the FCC website quite extensivly and still have not not
> been able to do a search for my area, Wichita, Ks. I was wondering if this
> is even possable or am i just missing something.
>
>

2318. Re: FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-23 18:25:15 UTC
From: Beakman <beaker@nospam.lycos.com>
Message-ID: <Xns91F988D2F3FF7beakernospamlyc@209.249.90.101>
Source record: 49134

"wleamons" <wleamons@socket.net> wrote in
news:ucarhfp6qs4n69@corp.supernews.com:

> try cityfreq.com "Evan Olberding" <flipteam15@hotmail.com> wrote in
> message news:3cc2ff5f$1@news.mhogaming.com...
>> I have looked at the FCC website quite extensivly and still have not
>> not been able to do a search for my area, Wichita, Ks. I was
>> wondering if this is even possable or am i just missing something.
>>
>>
>
>
>

>
> fcc website http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm
>
> click on state/county, select your state and county, and then select
> ULS
>
> This link should give you what you are looking for.
>
> http://dettifoss.fcc.gov/acweb/dettifoss/genmen_a/db_19/s_st_cy_rs.roi;
> 4471?ViewDefault

2319. Re: s/w for decoding pager msg

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-23 19:50:04 UTC
From: yoram <y_shalom@aquanet.co.il>
Message-ID: <3CC5BAEC.2276AFC3@aquanet.co.il>
Source record: 49135

hi...
tanx it was a stupid mistake.....
my e-mail add is ok now...
tnx again

Never anonymous Bud wrote:

> Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, yo <y_shalom@aqunet.co.il> spake thusly
>
> >is any one know for s/w that could decode pagers msg?
>
> <y_shalom@aqunet.co.il>
> (reason: 550 Host unknown)
>
> You won't get answers with a bad email address.
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2320. s/w for decoding pager msg

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-23 19:51:14 UTC
From: yoram <y_shalom@aquanet.co.il>
Message-ID: <3CC5BB32.82E4A08A@aquanet.co.il>
Source record: 49136

is any one know for s/w that could decode pagers msg?

2321. General Information Request

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-23 21:33:21 UTC
From: brianscott12@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <a0b0d351.0204231333.2c481828@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49137

Hello friends. My employers have tasked me with getting up to speed on governmental use of radio frequencies. Specifically, I'm to come to some kind of determination on the possibility of utilizing the 700 Mhz spectrum for data delivery for a local government. I'm a complete newbie to the field and have a few days to get prepared. I was wondering if anyone could help me out with some of the following:

- Are there any devices currently available to receive 700 Mhz transmissions? My initial research tells me (I think) that any type of scanner COULD pick up such transmissions, but I'm trying to get a list of devices / manufacturers designed for this range. Any online references would be great, I get very little from the search engines with this request.

- Are there any devices available for converting different communication mediums? i.e., 700 Mhz to 800 Mhz or 802.11 to 700 Mhz etc. I'm told they exist, but have been unable to find any concrete information.

- It would appear the the FCC is in the process of beginning an auctioning process for the 700 Mhz spectrum range right now. One thing that I'm unclear on is the geogrpahic zoning of such frequencies. That is, what would determine a Los Angeles bid for a 700 frequency vs. a San Diego request for the same frequency? Are slightly different frequencies assigned, or is there a line of sight / curvature of the earth factor in play wherein both Los Angeles and San Diego could transmit at the same frequency without fear of overlapping signals? Can anyone tell me if the 700 Mhz range will be specifically used for public use, or will private organizations also be able to bid?

- Can anyone provide a primer or links to UHF / VHF data transfer options? Are VHF / UHF frequencies still available?

Thanks so much.
brian

2322. Display for Pro 2006?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-23 21:40:33 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3cc5d57b.698843@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 49138

OK< got a 2006, the display is VERY dim. This is the model with the
dimmer switch.
Someone said recently that RS no longer stocks these? Is that true?
How can I get it fixed/replaced?
Thanks

2323. basic newbie question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-23 22:43:49 UTC
From: Evan Olberding <flipteam15@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3cc5e3eb@news.mhogaming.com>
Source record: 49140

i have had my scanner for quite some time but still do not know what some terms are, what is a callsign(is this a persons nickname) licensse. also i have a freq.:146.895 what is the best way to find out what a specific freq is used for, like i belive this is a ham radio but how would i find out for sure? thanks and soory for the dumb questions.

2324. Re: General Information Request

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-23 22:43:54 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <7uobcuk6e1q6v0jsiijt0dvkvn1d53kmg5@4ax.com>
Source record: 49141

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, brianscott12@hotmail.com (brianscottnole) spake thusly

>- Are there any devices currently available to receive 700 Mhz
>transmissions?

Not really, and that band isn't in use yet anyway.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2325. Re: Help with modifying scanner headphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-23 22:46:56 UTC
From: Chairborne <Chairborne@lzboy.com>
Message-ID: <d1obcuo2mbr7if0217vtsm2k1kt7hvbaqu@4ax.com>
Source record: 49139

On Tue, 23 Apr 2002 01:29:48 GMT, Ken Plotkin
<kplotkin@nospam-cox-rr.com> wrote:

>On Sun, 21 Apr 2002 13:47:22 GMT, Chairborne <Chairborne@lzboy.com>
>wrote:
>
>>I need some guidance on modding my racing headphones (RT-40) so I can
>>use 2 scanners, one for each ear. I would like to hear the race
>>commentary in one ear and the pit radios in the other. Any ideas on
>>how to do this so it's not permanent and can be switched with minimum
>>fuss?
>
>As Rick pointed out, they're mono, and would be hard to modify.
>
>I have a friend who is a spotter. He wears the regular comm headset
>the team provides. He also tunes a scanner to race control, and
>listens to that through an earpiece stuck in one ear.
>
>You might get two single-ear earpieces, and use one for each scanner.
>Get a set of good industrial hearing protectors, and put them on over
>everything.
>
>Ken Plotkin

Those are good ideas, thanks guys. I was thinking about just cutting the wire going into the opposite side and wiring in a 2.5 mm female plug. Then, put a male plug on the opposite wire, and make a jumper wire with a male 2.5 and the normal plug going to the scanner. That way, I would still have the volume control I need (running the commentary very low) and Mom can still hear on the other side of the Y connector. Might look a tad weird, though....

BTW, I did use the two-earpiece and industrial mouse ears at first. Worked ok, but was a real hassle if you needed to get up to go to the loo.

2326. where do i start?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-23 23:16:45 UTC
From: tbone <tbonetime@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <xXIx8.49888$Gpn.25843@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 49142

ive read some beginning info, like what brands are popular, but this article also said i could find a scanner at sears....

i live near (40 minutes) Toronto Canada, there is a radio shack in town....

i guess it is too difficult / time consuming to make your own scanner? what should i buy etc.???

2327. TruTalk FRS Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-23 23:18:01 UTC
From: Norm <Call_Me_I'm@Cheers.Com>
Message-ID: <JYIx8.48006$VLV.17454@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 49143
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.durhamradio.com/trutalk-frs.htm

With something like this, it would make more sense to have these radios upped to atleast 1 full watt. Definitly would make things a bit better out on the lake at the cottage.

Your thoughts??

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

2328. Re: ATV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-23 23:33:32 UTC
From: "D. Jacobs" <dju@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <mjrbcuo9h55bvie339o71jd4h6l5g6gg3s@4ax.com>
Source record: 49144
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Since ATV is wideband video (same as NTSC), you need equipment to see
the video. The PC is not able to handle the signal at real-time since
it's wideband video. One way is to use the Icom R8500's TV-R7100 video
decoder module or look for some down-converters at www.hamtv.com.

Dave

On Wed, 17 Apr 2002 21:05:15 +0300, "JohnK"
<nospam.greekfreak@acmemail.net> wrote:

>Is it possible to receive Amateur TV on my computer useing my scanner and
>software or I need special epuipment for that occasion?
>
>

2329. WTB-Alinco Scanner X10T or X2000T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 00:19:07 UTC
From: Patrick Wilson <w4pw@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <aa4tns$d1k$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 49145
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Want to purchase the above referenced scanner. Something similar would be considered.

I have a TH-F6A for sale or trade.

w4pw

2330. IC-PCR1000 fs/ft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 00:23:42 UTC
From: Ron Whitmore <jwhitmo1@twcny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <iWmx8.86133$0o.17700941@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 49146

I have for sale or trade an Icom Ic-pcr1000 in very good condx. I have box and manual, will ship for $325 OBO. It has the dsp filter installed. I will trade for whatever of like value or cash.
73

--
Ron Whitmore
Central New York
jwhitmo1@twcny.rr.com

2331. Re: Pro 2004 CPU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 01:22:58 UTC
From: Len <Len.C@sympNOCRAPatico.ca>
Message-ID: <9p1ccucdj9m7bdf5u0v11imcefetge7k4s@4ax.com>
Source record: 49047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The stepping for the cell phones.

On Sun, 21 Apr 2002 15:05:50 +0000 (UTC), Anonymous User
<anonymous@remailer.havenco.com> wrote:

>What is the difference between the GRE-0327 and GRE-0327A?
>
>The service manual lists IC503 as GRE-0327.
>The radio shack site it is GRE-0327A.
>
>IC503 10910891 GRE-0327A MX7478
>.........10910891 AFTER DATE CODE 7A7 MX7478

REMOVE the NOCRAP in my address to reply.

2332. buses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 01:38:08 UTC
From: Evan Olberding <flipteam15@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3cc60cc7@news.mhogaming.com>
Source record: 49048

does anyone know where to find school bus freq's in wichita, ks. also if i can use the fcc website to find these i need a short how to on using this resource efectivly. thanks!

2333. Re: buses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 01:42:10 UTC
From: wleamons <wleamons@socket.net>
Message-ID: <ucc3bq4pbsgt4c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49049

try cityfreq.com
"Evan Olberding" <flipteam15@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3cc60cc7@news.mhogaming.com...
> does anyone know where to find school bus freq's in wichita, ks. also if i
> can use the fcc website to find these i need a short how to on using this
> resource efectivly. thanks!
>
>

2334. Re: basic newbie question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 01:43:53 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@dialpoint.net>
Message-ID: <3CC60DD9.D5F493FD@dialpoint.net>
Source record: 49050

Hope this is a qusetion and not a troll.

The frequencies in the US from 144 to 148 mhz are ham frequencies. Also from 440 to 450 are some more ham FM frequencies. Scan the first 5 mhz of the 440 band is for the outputs of the repeaters.

All transmiters or people transmitting must have call signs (excluding certain low power stations) that are assigned by the FCC. Just as a TV station will be WXII or WBTV or soemthing similar the ham calls will be one or two leters, a number , and another combination of leters from 1 to 3 in lenght. Ham calls are usually assigned to the individual person after they pass a test in basic radio rules and electronics. Not hard as many people under 10 have passed the tests.

For info on the ham bands go to www.arrl.com.

Evan Olberding wrote:
>
> i have had my scanner for quite some time but still do not know what some
> terms are, what is a callsign(is this a persons nickname) licensse. also i
> have a freq.:146.895 what is the best way to find out what a specific freq
> is used for, like i belive this is a ham radio but how would i find out for
> sure? thanks and soory for the dumb questions.

2335. Help please - 2006 backlight EL replacement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 03:57:24 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3cc62db7.15281385@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 49053

OK, I read the directions, got it all taken down EXCEPT, can't figure
out how to remove the display board from the front panel
Found 6 small screws, the directions from Parness say 8 screws (!).
What am I doing wrong?
What am I missing?
Please help!

2336. Re: Display for Pro 2006?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 04:11:49 UTC
From: "Louis J. Giliberto, Jr." <noemail@spamstinks.com>
Message-ID: <uccc43gukpnb93@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49051

www.bncantenna.com sells a replacement light, but I haven't tried it. I did buy an 800Mhz antenna from them, though, and it works great on my Pro-93.

Here is the light link

http://www.bncantenna.com/pro-lamp.htm

"Bruce Markowitz" <scosgt@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:3cc5d57b.698843@news-server.optonline.net...
> OK< got a 2006, the display is VERY dim. This is the model with the
> dimmer switch.
> Someone said recently that RS no longer stocks these? Is that true?
> How can I get it fixed/replaced?
> Thanks

2337. Help needed: Pro 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 04:12:01 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3cc63153.16205131@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 49052

How do I get the display panel to separate from the front panel to
replace the backlight?
Thanks!

2338. Re: where do i start?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 04:48:10 UTC
From: Bigdaddyking <bdk1@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.17300365f67fcaa498979f@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 49054

In article <xXlx8.49888$Gpn.25843@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>,
tbonetime@hotmail.com says...
> ive read some beginning info, like what brands are popular, but this
> article also said i could find a scanner at sears....
>
> i live near (40 minutes) Toronto Canada, there is a radio shack in town....
>
> i guess it is too difficult / time consuming to make your own scanner? what
> should i buy etc.???
>
>
>
>
>

Well, I don't know what Sears has, but RS has some good ones. If there
is a trunked (computer controlled radios) system near you with
police/fire, etc on it, you probably would want a trunktracker scanner.
A trunktracking scanner will alow you to follow something automatically,
such as a pursuit, by pressing a button.

When the PRO-93 goes on sale again, probably next month, for 169.95, it
would be worth chaecking out. The PRO-92 is another good one. Make SURE
you get a currnet one, both have bugs in the first ones.

There are two base/mobile versions of these same radios, the 93 is the
same as the 2053, and the 92 is a clone of the 2067. At least one of
these radios is on sale now, at least in the US.

Building a scanner would be a huge job, unless you are an electronic
engineer, and even then, it would be cheaper to just go buy one..

BDK

2339. Re: Help please - 2006 backlight EL replacement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 06:10:17 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20020424021017.14069.00004305@mb-ce.aol.com>
Source record: 49055

<<Please help!>>

http://home.cfl.rr.com/scan/Backlight.html

2340. Re: Display for Pro 2006?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 13:55:37 UTC
From: metoo@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <l9edcukmd0mvnfqc79fkqc7s1vm3u19mf1@4ax.com>
Source record: 49056

On Tue, 23 Apr 2002 21:40:33 GMT, scosgt@worldnet.att.net (Bruce Markowitz) wrote:

>OK< got a 2006, the display is VERY dim. This is the model with the
>dimmer switch.
>Someone said recently that RS no longer stocks these? Is that true?
>How can I get it fixed/replaced?
>Thanks

They do stock them- part # 10800050 $26.69 each + shipping.

RS Parts 1-800-241-8742

2341. Re: Help needed: Pro 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 13:57:57 UTC
From: metoo@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <2dedcuobgnvbdcpontkuvrgac1lji0gcte@4ax.com>
Source record: 49057

On Wed, 24 Apr 2002 04:12:01 GMT, scosgt@worldnet.att.net (Bruce Markowitz) wrote:

>How do I get the display panel to separate from the front panel to
>replace the backlight?
>Thanks!
It plugs in... look for plug and socket..... upper right from front I think...

2342. Newbie Q: how do I hook up my scanner to my computer?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 14:57:01 UTC
From: JELo <jelo@nospammail.com>
Message-ID: <3cc6c4ce_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 49058

Excuse my ineptitude but I am new to this . . .

I have an RS Pro-2036 with a 3.5mm "Line"
jack on the front and an RCA "Aux" jack on
the back. Do I just hook the "Line" on the
scanner to the "Line In" on my sound card,
or is it the "Aux" I should connect to the
"Line In"? Do I have to somehow program
it to send the audio out one of these jacks?
I just want to use my computer's speakers
so I am not worried about recording or
anything complicated. TIA JELo

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2343. Scanning Renfrew County, Ontario Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 15:38:31 UTC
From: Randy <Don'tSpamMe@Email.com>
Message-ID: <XjAx8.2605$II1.772@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 49059
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,ott.general,rec.radio.scanner

If anyone is interested in scanning throughout Renfrew County in Ontario, Canada. I would like to invite you to our Yahoo group.

http://ca.groups.yahoo.com/group/ScanRenfrewCounty/

Thanks,

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

2344. Re: Newbie Q: how do I hook up my scanner to my computer?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 16:07:53 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020424120753.01567.00002319@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 49060

>Subject: Newbie Q: how do I hook up my scanner to my computer?
>From: "JELo" jelo@nospammail.com
>Date: 4/24/2002 9:57 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3cc6c4ce_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
>
>Excuse my ineptitude but I am new to this . . .
>
>I have an RS Pro-2036 with a 3.5mm "Line"
>jack on the front and an RCA "Aux" jack on
>the back. Do I just hook the "Line" on the
>scanner to the "Line In" on my sound card,
>or is it the "Aux" I should connect to the
>"Line In"? Do I have to somehow program
>it to send the audio out one of these jacks?
>I just want to use my computer's speakers
>so I am not worried about recording or
>anything complicated. TIA JELo
>
>
>
>
>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

Hook up the line output. You'll probably need a mono-stereo adapter on one
end or the other. The "Aux" jack is ususally a switch closure to run a tape
recorder with remote control, as in a mic with a switch that starts & stops the
tape. The scanner squelch controls the "aux" switch to save tape & only run the
recorder when there is sound output.

Neutrodyne

2345. Re: Pro-2006 - should I do it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 16:18:14 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020424121814.01567.00002320@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 49061

>Subject: Pro-2006 - should I do it?
>From: SB StogieButt@ameritech.net
>Date: 4/24/2002 9:07 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <esgdcuo2n7ai6tbq2fn68q2t6at07ite0s@4ax.com>
>
>Never had a scanner, always had it in the back of my mind to get one.
>
>Saw a local ad for a Radio Shack Pro-2006 in "mint condition" and from
>what I've read, I can't go wrong, but I live in a high-rise in Chicago
>and am wondering if I'll be happy with the reception. I'm high enough
>to get over most of the buildings in my area, and there is good line
>of sight in many of the directions (except East, but that only blocks
>the Lake).
>
>In addition, this computer is ALWAYS on.
>
>Seller is original owner, but lives in the suburbs so he can't vouch
>for reception in the city.

In Chicago I'd get something with the latest trunk tracking built in. If you are not comfortable making extensive modifications for computer control, the 2006 won't be very effective in today's environment. A good trunk tracker will probably have better image and intermod rejection, critical in big city use.
If you want to cultivate a hobby of component level electronic service, making computer interfaces and learning to run bare bones type software, the 2006 is great. If you goal is to hear what's going on around you without too much grief, buy something modern.
You can buy a new Mustang from Ford or a basket case '73 Mach One to restore and eventually end up with a nice car. It just depends on how you want to accomplish the goal.

Neutrodyne

2346. Re: Pro-2006 - should I do it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 17:13:44 UTC
From: J <jca1954@iprimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <3cc6e7d6$1_1@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 49062

You can't go wrong, have had mine for around 5 years (swapped a Yaesu FRG7 for it) and it's on virtually 27/7.
Used as a backup to my Icom 7100 - a great workhorse !
"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:esgdcuo2n7ai6tbq2fn68q2t6at07ite0s@4ax.com...
> Never had a scanner, always had it in the back of my mind to get one.
>
> Saw a local ad for a Radio Shack Pro-2006 in "mint condition" and from
> what I've read, I can't go wrong, but I live in a high-rise in Chicago
> and am wondering if I'll be happy with the reception. I'm high enough
> to get over most of the buildings in my area, and there is good line
> of sight in many of the directions (except East, but that only blocks
> the Lake).
>
> In addition, this computer is ALWAYS on.
>
> Seller is original owner, but lives in the suburbs so he can't vouch
> for reception in the city.
>

2347. Re: Help please - 2006 backlight EL replacement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 18:01:25 UTC
From: Bruce Markowitz <scosgt@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <VpCx8.43690$Rw2.3397904@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 49063

I've done that but I can't seem to find 8 screws, only 6, so the parts won't separate.
Help!
"J Rob" <jrobmills@aol.comrx320> wrote in message news:20020424021017.14069.00004305@mb-ce.aol.com...
> <<Please help!>>
>
>
> http://home.cfl.rr.com/scan/Backlight.html

2348. tk120, need beta tester(s) for new Yaesu VR-120 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 18:02:17 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3cc6f33c$0$3576$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 49064
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The Yaesu VR-120 is a portable, wide spectrum receiver.

I'm writing tk120, a new open source computer program for
Linux, Windows, and (perhaps) MacOS X which lets you:

(1) Read a memory image from the VR-120 receiver (untested,
need your help).

(2) Read an RT Systems ADMS3 .RDF file (for the VR-500),
extract the memory image, and interpret if for a VR-120.
(Thanks to Dan Wanchic, WA8VZQ for posting detailed
information about the RDF file layout.)

(3) Display data from a memory image using a graphical user
interface.

(4) Extract memory channel information from a memory image
and export it to a .csv (comma-separated values) file.

This is the first experimental version of tk120.
I don't own a VR-120 and have not tried using tk120
to read from the radio.

I need beta testers who own a VR-120 radio and interface cable
to test whether tk120 will read data from the radio and display
it correctly on the graphical user interface.

Be sure to use the Radio menu and try both the USA and
European selections to see if either or both work with
your VR-120.

I've not yet developed the software to write the
changes back out the radio.

You can learn about and download a beta test version of tk120
from my home page:

http://members.core.com/~parnass

I don't warrant the software, though it will cost you nothing.
Thanks!
--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

2349. Why go digital?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 19:40:09 UTC
From: Charlie Durand <charlie_durand@agilent.com>
Message-ID: <1019677302.910017@cswreg.cos.agilent.com>
Source record: 49065

Hi there,

I have been reading about trunked radio systems and how sooner or later they are all going to go digital.

My question is why? Is it for the same reasons that cellphone went digital? To get more out of the same bandwidth?

Can't argue with that. I've never heard a radio using a digital trunked system. Is the quality ok? Is it like the Nextel as far as quality goes? I know my digital cell phone has really good audio quality as long as I've got a good signal but when the signal goes so does the quality. Forget about that whole "it will switch the analog" crap. That only happens when I'm roaming and then the battery lasts half a day because the thing is checking in non-stop at full power.

Anyhow, just curious what the advantages are to go to a digital trunking system.

Thanks!

2350. Re: buses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 20:13:37 UTC
From: Evan Olberding <flipteam15@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3cc71238@news.mhogaming.com>
Source record: 49067

tried cityfreq, not much for wichita
"wleamons" <wleamons@socket.net> wrote in message
news:ucc3bq4pbsgt4c@corp.supernews.com...
> try cityfreq.com
> "Evan Olberding" <flipteam15@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3cc60cc7@news.mhogaming.com...
> > does anyone know where to find school bus freq's in wichita, ks. also if
i
> > can use the fcc website to find these i need a short how to on using
this
> > resource efectivly. thanks!
> >
> >
>
>

2351. Mobile Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 20:15:35 UTC
From: pjheller-nospam@west.net
Message-ID: <pjheller-nospam-2404021315350001@pm4-42.sba1.netlojix.net>
Source record: 49066

Anyone using the Nil-Jon Super M mobile antenna? Any comments about it?

Thanks.

2352. Re: buses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 20:20:36 UTC
From: "Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <osEx8.59488$7e5.17265716@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 49068

Try here:

http://home.earthlink.net/~zaphod20/GroupA.xls

Paul, KC2FNC
NJ

"Evan Olberding" <flipteam15@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3cc60cc7@news.mhogaming.com...
> does anyone know where to find school bus freq's in wichita, ks. also if i
> can use the fcc website to find these i need a short how to on using this
> resource efectivly. thanks!
>
>

2353. Re: buses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 20:26:46 UTC
From: Evan Olberding <flipteam15@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3cc7154e@news.mhogaming.com>
Source record: 49069

that has city buses, i should have said school buses

"Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:osEx8.59488$7e5.17265716@news02.optonline.net...
> Try here:
>
> http://home.earthlink.net/~zaphod20/GroupA.xls
>
> Paul, KC2FNC
> NJ
>
>
> "Evan Olberding" <flipteam15@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3cc60cc7@news.mhogaming.com...
> > does anyone know where to find school bus freq's in wichita, ks. also if
i
> > can use the fcc website to find these i need a short how to on using
this
> > resource efectivly. thanks!
> >
> >
>
>

2354. FS: Pro2032 & BC120XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 20:37:30 UTC
From: "David B." <davejb@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <eIEx8.29167$uV.13951@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 49070

I'm going to put these on eBay, though I would give you guys a crack first.

Pro2032: I am original owner, unit in like new condition, I believe I have all original packaging & manual, haven't tried to find
them yet, unit has never been opened or modified.

BC120XLT: I am original owner, unit in like new condition, I believe I have all original packaging & manual, haven't tried to find
them yet, unit has never been opened or modified, battery less than a year old, includes leather case.

--

_____

2355. Re: Help needed: Pro 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 20:55:29 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0204241255.578c149f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49071

scosgt@worldnet.att.net (Bruce Markowitz) wrote in message
news:<3cc63153.16205131@news-server.optonline.net>...
> How do I get the display panel to separate from the front panel to
> replace the backlight?
> Thanks!

You DO have the service manual? Should tell you everything you need to know!

2356. Re: Pro-2006 - should I do it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 21:49:19 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020424174919.01623.00001870@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 49072

>Subject: Re: Pro-2006 - should I do it?
>From: SB StogieButt@ameritech.net
>Date: 4/24/2002 11:38 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <nrpdcukr7m824cajvf6p2baot1foirb76b@4ax.com>
>
>"Neutrodyne" wrote:
>
>>In Chicago I'd get something with the latest trunk tracking built in. If
>>you are not comfortable making extensive modifications for computer control,
>>the 2006 won't be very effective in today's environment. A good trunk
>tracker
>>will probably have better image and intermod rejection, critical in big city
>>use.
>
>That's all gobbledygook to me. Computers I can handle - I build 'em,
>repair 'em, etc. Scanners I'm a complete newbie at.

If you aren't comfortable opening the scanner case and soldering parts inside, you are better off buying a current model scanner designed to follow trunking systems or a current scanner with a built-in computer interface. Trunking systems are computer controlled multi-frequency systems from GE/Erickson or Motorola. They change frequency assignments and let an organization have many small "fleets and subfleets" that don't have to listen to chatter from other whose business doesn't concern them, withoout dedicating a frequency to each small group. The only way to monitor these effectively with a 2006 is to use an external computer (via interfaces you build & install yourself...). New models have the capability built in.
The trunking systems have become prevalent in large cities during the years since the 2006 was produced. There are those who have produced modification schemes and circuit boards that can be added to a 2006 to use an external computer to give it these functions, but they are possibly not something a newbie might want to tackle.
Without modifications, the 2006 is limited to those services that have not updated to a trunking system yet. In big cities this often leaves out a lot of public safety communications and business.
Neutrodyne

2357. Re: where do i start?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 21:53:54 UTC
From: "Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <SPFx8.26$l32.27369@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 49073

Go to RadioWorld in Toronto. www.radioworld.ca
As what to buy? I'd recomend Yupiteru MVT-7100 or if you got $ to spend
Yupiteru-9100
Or similar AOR models.

"tbone" <tbonetime@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:xXlx8.49888$Gpn.25843@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> ive read some beginning info, like what brands are popular, but this
> article also said i could find a scanner at sears....
>
> i live near (40 minutes) Toronto Canada, there is a radio shack in
town....
>
> i guess it is too difficult / time consuming to make your own scanner?
what
> should i buy etc.???
>
>
>
>

2358. Re: where do i start?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 22:02:25 UTC
From: tbone <tbonetime@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <RXFx8.59115$VLV.10770@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 49074

hey thanks for the startin info!

"tbone" <tbonetime@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:xXlx8.49888$Gpn.25843@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> ive read some beginning info, like what brands are popular, but this
> article also said i could find a scanner at sears....
>
> i live near (40 minutes) Toronto Canada, there is a radio shack in
town....
>
> i guess it is too difficult / time consuming to make your own scanner?
what
> should i buy etc.???
>
>
>
>

2359. Info on Regency Touch K500?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 22:45:59 UTC
From: John KB5AG <johnmblack@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1BGx8.11507$v24.3932881007@twister2.starband.net>
Source record: 49075

Hi. I recently saved an old Regency Touch K500 scanner from the junk heap, and it seems to be a nice radio now that it's working. I'm having a time trying to figure out all its features and programming, though.

Can anyone help with a scan of the manual, or at least some idea of what all it can do?

Seems like it has service search, search and store, weather alert, and timing functions, but so far they're eluding me.

Thanks,

John KB5AG

2360. Re: Pro-2006 - should I do it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 22:53:04 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <4rdecu4900sjfj56nfm22tegu07tf0fc66@4ax.com>
Source record: 49076

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> spake thusly

>Never had a scanner, always had it in the back of my mind to get one.
>
>Saw a local ad for a Radio Shack Pro-2006 in "mint condition" and from
>what I've read, I can't go wrong, but I live in a high-rise in Chicago
>and am wondering if I'll be happy with the reception. I'm high enough
>to get over most of the buildings in my area, and there is good line
>of sight in many of the directions (except East, but that only blocks
>the Lake).
>
>In addition, this computer is ALWAYS on.
>
>Seller is original owner, but lives in the suburbs so he can't vouch
>for reception in the city.

You can't find a much better scanner for areas of heavy traffic.

But the only way to really know how it will work for you is to try it.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2361. Re: Pro-2006 - should I do it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 22:53:54 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <2tdecug9fo56pqkas9vr50d1cmv5cns4ga@4ax.com>
Source record: 49077

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, neutrodyne@aol.com (Neutrodyne) spake thusly

> In Chicago I'd get something with the latest trunk tracking built in.

Is Chicago trunked?? Wasn't last time I checked.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2362. FS: Icom T8A triband HT, 2m/70cm & 6m

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-24 23:33:37 UTC
From: 800mhz <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <lhHx8.48766$QC1.3466424@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 49078
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Used Icom T8A. Comes with antenna and batt., battery clip is broken. You can get new clips for around $6.00.
The radio does need some work. The PL works intermittently on VHF, and the 6m tx power drops off after you used it for a while. It has the Mars/Cap mod done. The rx is excellent on all bands.
rx- 50-75mhz, 76-107mhz,108-135mhz, 136-170mhz, 300-399mhz, 400-599mhz,600-999mhz (cell blocked)
tx-50-54mhz, 140-160mhz , 430-480mhz
first $150.00 takes it plus $8.00 shipping.

if interested please email direct
listeningwatch@att.net

2363. Re: Pro-2006 - should I do it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 00:31:01 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020424203101.04312.00005437@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 49079

>Subject: Re: Pro-2006 - should I do it?
>From: SB StogieButt@ameritech.net
>Date: 4/24/2002 4:58 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <bjcecuc3sah1pe0u9dbvmetvee4hgcdl10@4ax.com>
>
>"Neutrodyne" wrote:
>
>>>That's all gobbledygook to me. Computers I can handle - I build 'em,
>>>repair 'em, etc. Scanners I'm a complete newbie at.
>>
>> If you aren't comfortable opening the scanner case and soldering parts
>>inside, you are better off buying a current model scanner designed to follow
>>trunking systems or a current scanner with a built-in computer interface.
>
>I'm not afraid of doing that at all if it's needed. As long as the
>materials and information on how to do it are readily available, I'll
>have no problem whatsoever.

Great, good luck. I just never met a person who made it to adulthood not knowing anything about scannners who knew which end of a soldering iron to grab. Especially a computer board jockey.
Neutrodyne

2364. Re: Pro-2006 - should I do it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 00:32:28 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020424203228.04312.00005438@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 49080

>Subject: Re: Pro-2006 - should I do it?
>From: Never anonymous Bud thekat@san.rxyzr.com
>Date: 4/24/2002 5:53 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <2tdecug9fo56pqkas9vr50d1cmv5cns4ga@4ax.com>
>
>Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, neutrodyne@aol.com (Neutrodyne)
>spake thusly
>
>> In Chicago I'd get something with the latest trunk tracking built in.
>
>Is Chicago trunked?? Wasn't last time I checked.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
>Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
>It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

Motorola hasn't sold ANY trunking systems in Chicago? No wonder they are in
trouble...
Neutrodyne

2365. Re: Pro-2006 - should I do it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 01:09:14 UTC
From: Bigdaddyking <bdk1@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.173121a297152cec9897a4@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 49081

In article <20020424203228.04312.00005438@mb-bh.aol.com>,
neutrodyne@aol.com says...
> >Subject: Re: Pro-2006 - should I do it?
> >From: Never anonymous Bud thekat@san.rxyzr.com
> >Date: 4/24/2002 5:53 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <2tdecug9fo56pqkas9vr50d1cmv5cns4ga@4ax.com>
> >
> >Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, neutrodyne@aol.com (Neutrodyne)
> >spake thusly
> >
> >> In Chicago I'd get something with the latest trunk tracking built in.
> >
> >Is Chicago trunked?? Wasn't last time I checked.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
> >
> >Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
> >
> >It's your SIG, say what you want to say....
>
> Motorola hasn't sold ANY trunking systems in Chicago? No wonder they are in
> trouble...
> Neutrodyne
>
>

Hmm, seems like Moto would have surely found someone in the city/county
govt to br......uhh give a consultancy job a couple of years after the
sale (just a coincidence I'm sure!) of a trunked system in Chicago!
Isn't that where dead people sometimes vote?? Seems like someone who
maybe actually knows something might be in charge of the city/county
radio system. How could this be??

BDK

2366. Re: Pro-2006 - should I do it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 01:31:43 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3CC75C7E.60F9E2FB@optonline.net>
Source record: 49082

Let me put this in a computer metaphore:

The 2006 is the scanner equivalent of a Pentium 286 based computer; It was great in it's day, but this is 2002, not 1992!

Public service communications in the Chicago area is based on 2002 technology, not 1992 technology.

Would you recommend to a computer newby that he buy a 286 based computer? Running DOS? I suspect not.

In other words, buy the scanner equivalent of a 2GHz P4 or Athlon running Windows XP or Linux. That would be a Uniden 780, Radio Shack PRO-93 or 2053.

Leave the 2006 to those who appreciate antiques.

Walt

SB wrote:
>
> "Neutrodyne" wrote:
>
> >>That's all gobbledygook to me. Computers I can handle - I build 'em,
> >>repair 'em, etc. Scanners I'm a complete newbie at.
> >
> > If you aren't comfortable opening the scanner case and soldering parts
> >inside, you are better off buying a current model scanner designed to follow
> >trunking systems or a current scanner with a built-in computer interface.
>
> I'm not afraid of doing that at all if it's needed. As long as the
> materials and information on how to do it are readily available, I'll
> have no problem whatsoever.

2367. Re: Pro-2006 - should I do it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 01:38:18 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3CC75E09.10B13BC3@optonline.net>
Source record: 49083

Check to see what they are going for on eBay.

SB wrote:
>
> "Never anonymous Bud" wrote:
>
> >Is Chicago trunked?? Wasn't last time I checked.
>
> Thanks for this reply and the previous one.
>
> The seller is out of town and won't be back until Friday. I'm gonna
> go for it. If it's in as good condition as he says and is little used,
> then the $250 he's asking is reasonable. Has the manual, antenna,
> etc.
>
> And I've got TONS of free time to fool around with the thing.
>
> Am scouring Google Groups for all articles on it NOW...

2368. Scanner Newbie needs help picking first Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 02:52:03 UTC
From: mattarias_coder@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6a853a73.0204241852.2817f341@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49084

Hey all,
I'm about to buy my first scanner and plan to mount it in my truck. I already have an onboard computer ("brick pc" intel celeron 733 w/ 256mb ram and 1gb of flash storage) with an in-dash LCD display, so I'd like a unit that supports computer interfacing. I'd also like trunk tracking as I live in a large city, and want as wide a reception range as possible (2.5ghz at the high end) but don't absolutely have to be able to scan anything past 1.5ghz. I'm trying to decide between two uniden units, specifically the BC780XLT and the BC895XLT. What is the most versatile and full-featured trunking scanner on the market? Price isn't a huge concern but I want to keep it sane.
Thanks,
Matt Arias

2369. 1979 Bearcat 210 Scanner - New In Box!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 03:02:40 UTC
From: mrbd@compuserve.com
Message-ID: <57522255.0204241902.716808a8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49085

I have a BC210 scanner up for auction on eBay at
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1348470419

Thanks,
Bob

2370. Re: Pro-2006 - should I do it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 03:14:28 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <43tecusb305jctjc8f3n0hvti44vq9ub2e@4ax.com>
Source record: 49086

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, Bigdaddyking <bdk1@buckeye-express.com> spake thusly

>Hmm, seems like Moto would have surely found someone in the city/county
>govt to br......uhh give a consultancy job a couple of years after the
>sale (just a coincidence I'm sure!) of a trunked system in Chicago!
>Isn't that where dead people sometimes vote?? Seems like someone who
>maybe actually knows something might be in charge of the city/county
>radio system. How could this be??

I just checked, and Chicago PD primary ops are still untrunked UHF.

They have some stuff on an 800mhz trunked system;
most detectives, plus housing authority and a few other things.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2371. Re: Pro-2006 - should I do it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 03:16:42 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <36tecuou35jl17q6oeh4iv3jfil2urmakk@4ax.com>
Source record: 49087

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net> spake thusly

>Let me put this in a computer metaphore:
>
>The 2006 is the scanner equivalent of a Pentium 286 based computer; It
>was great in it's day, but this is 2002, not 1992!

Not true at ALL. The 2006 was and still is a VERY good scanner for conventional freqs. It has the best front end of any radio in it's price range.

>Public service communications in the Chicago area is based on 2002
>technology, not 1992 technology.

Wrong again, most Chicago PD stuff is UHF conventional, and the 2006 will work FINE there.

If the person buying it likes listening, and can afford it, he can buy a trunktracker in the
future and listen to other services in the area.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2372. Re: Astro

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 03:50:48 UTC
From: chief305@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14820-3CC77D18-37@storefull-2338.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 49089

Don,
The problems that I have noticed with our Astro crap is the amounts of dead spots, lack of building/ structure penetration,and audio that sounds robotic,scratchy,and processed at uneven levels. Im your neighbor,{ Cleveland.} I am not a fan of 800 Astro at all. VHF or UHF would work much better in urban areas like Cleveland. It must be a real nightmare in areas like Leroy. (Trees,hills ect.) However, I sometimes wish I was the slick one that sold them this crap. 8 sites,25 repeaters per site, and we still don't get the structure penetration that we had with our 250 watt UHF Micor system. We have a VSELP (APCO !16) system, you probably have IMBE (APCO 25)

2373. Re: 1979 Bearcat 210 Scanner - New In Box!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 03:52:06 UTC
From: dxlover574738846@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020424235206.01589.00002606@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 49088

I found this interesting

http://www.uvm.edu/~swarley/bc210.htm

2374. Re: Pro-2006 - should I do it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 05:28:02 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@cox.net>
Message-ID: <CtMx8.5155$Oq2.777528@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 49090

The 2006 is the best scanner ever made. I have a new in the box 2006
available for $350.00. Email if interested.

-jim

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:esgdcuo2n7ai6tbq2fn68q2t6at07ite0s@4ax.com...
> Never had a scanner, always had it in the back of my mind to get one.
>
> Saw a local ad for a Radio Shack Pro-2006 in "mint condition" and from
> what I've read, I can't go wrong, but I live in a high-rise in Chicago
> and am wondering if I'll be happy with the reception. I'm high enough
> to get over most of the buildings in my area, and there is good line
> of sight in many of the directions (except East, but that only blocks
> the Lake).
>
> In addition, this computer is ALWAYS on.
>
> Seller is original owner, but lives in the suburbs so he can't vouch
> for reception in the city.
>

2375. Re: Pro-2006 - should I do it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 05:44:45 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <pu5fcu8lrtumam86ha8bajb5s3grsp2sn9@4ax.com>
Source record: 49091

Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> spake thusly

>"Never anonymous Bud" wrote:
>
>>I just checked, and Chicago PD primary ops are still untrunked UHF.
>
>Where do you find such info?

http://www.geocities.com/paulmachnick/

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2376. Re: Pro-2006 - should I do it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 06:37:31 UTC
From: "Louis J. Giliberto, Jr." <noemail@spamstinks.com>
Message-ID: <ucf9175jmdnq64@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49092

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:c2vecus0oo7ofk9fil6iu7npqbco5m5s7e@4ax.com...
> "Walt Bertram" wrote:
>
> >In other words, buy the scanner equivalent of a 2GHz P4 or Athlon
> >running Windows XP or Linux. That would be a Uniden 780, Radio Shack
> >PRO-93 or 2053.
>
> Most people buy too much computing power - and your recommendation is
> a good example of the bullshit "purists" always suggest to show off
> their supposed knowledge.
> Like I just told another person from this group in private email: I'm
> only paying $250 for it with manual, antenna, and any other original
> equipment it came with - and it's supposedly in "mint" condition, and
> "hardly used".
>
> I can easily sell it for that on eBay if I decide I want something
> better or don't enjoy using it. Couldn't do that if I bought a new
> one and decided to sell it.

I grew up in Chicago and started my scanning hobby there. I also have a Pro-2006 which I used when I was there. Here's my take on it.

The signals for the Chicago PD are strong. From the NW side using only the whip I could pick up a lot of the south side. The radio channels are organized with approx. 2 districts per channel (frequency). I also used to use a BCT-70XLT and received everything fine with that as well. I had almost no problems with intermod, etc. with either radio.

If you are going to pay $250 I would just get a trunking scanner. You can get a Pro-93 or Pro-2053 on sale for like $170 or so. If you want to get a bit fancier, you can pop $350 for the 780XLT.

The Pro-2006 is everything people say it is with the great reception, but if you are only going to listen to police/fire in Chicago, it is overkill and you can spend less on one of the RS trunking scanners and get trunking for the 'burbs plus you can program the channels with your PC and they have alphatags (i.e., you can give a name to the channel instead of just staring at a frequency).

Now, if you are going to scan for other interesting stuff like businesses, etc. the Pro-2006 may have the sensitivity, etc. you want. Also, if you want to do advance things like have your computer control the scanner and use software like Probe then the 2006 is the way to go (but it's like $200 for the control board and another $90 for Probe so it will set you back like $550 plus you'll want an outside antenna).

I own a Pro-2006, Pro-2042, Pro-93, BCT-7, and BCT-70XLT. I think all of them are good scanners with different strengths, but for someone just starting I would recommend the Pro-93. Runs on batteries, you can take it anywhere, does trunking, etc. They're not on sale now, but probably next month they will go on sale again.

My first scanner was a handheld (the 70XLT) and I used to take it everywhere with me. The base units tend to have better reception, but again, for police and fire in Chicago it doesn't matter. I also recommend the Scanner Master book for Illinois, and the Chicago pocket guide. They'll give you a good start on frequencies, etc.

Hope that helps!

-Lou

2377. Re: 780xlt & FIPs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 07:05:28 UTC
From: Tom Riggs <thriggs@mail.netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <3CC7AAB8.9C4DC4F7@mail.netusa1.net>
Source record: 49093

Did you have it in weather alert mode? Press the weather button twice,
once for weather, second for alert.

Greg wrote:

> I was just thinking the other day when we had some severe weather -
> I've got the FIPS codes for my county and a couple neighboring ones
> programmed into the scanner, but I've never heard any sort of alert
> when I've got it on.
>
> Is there something else i need to set up?

2378. Re: Why go digital?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 07:54:42 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <6DOx8.7760$ab.458330@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 49094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Yes, one of the the biggest advantages cited for digital modulation is for closer channel spacing...generally doubling the available frequencies within a given bandwidth. Trunking & digital are really "apples and oranges," as you can have either without the other... or combine them.

But you do allude to a problem with digital, especially risky in emergency service uses... police, fire, EMS. With digital your signal either goes through loud and "clear," or it doesn't go through at all.

A perceived and/or real "problem" to be understood with digital modulation
has to do with signal threshold. With analog, as a dispatcher I frequently
had to pull an officer's message "out of the mud" (static, weak, picket-fencing, etc) and do my best to piece together what he/she was trying
to tell me. generally enough syllables would come through that an experienced person could make out the message....or at least determine if it
was a call for help.

With digital, however, if a signal is below a set threshold it won't come
thru at all... as in the cellphone example. You get either a nice, fairly
crisp signal or you hear nothing...no middle ground. So it's possible, and
more likely I would guess, that an officer fighting on the ground for his
gun could try to radio for help and the dispatcher and other units would never hear a word.

If you check out the National Telecommunications & Information Administration's site at http://flattop.its.bldrdoc.gov/spectrum/P25/, there
are a BUNCH of test results - along with wav files you can listen to - that
address these and other artifacts of digital and analog signals in various
circumstances.

Interesting stuff. And a bit sobering for those in public safety particularly.

--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications
History

PS - the trunking part is a whole "nother" can of worms... at the least
it will add another layer of technology where something can go wrong.
But that's for another day...

"Charlie Durand" <charlie_durand@agilent.com> wrote in message
news:1019677302.910017@cswreg.cos.agilent.com...
> Hi there,
>
> I have been reading about trunked radio systems and how sooner or
later they
> are all going to go digital.
>
> My question is why? Is it for the same reasons that cellphone went
digital?
> To get more out of the same bandwidth?
>
> Can't argue with that. I've never heard a radio using a digital
trunked
> system. Is the quality ok? Is it like the Nextel as far as quality
goes?
> I know my digital cell phone has really good audio quality as long as
I've
> got a good signal but when the signal goes so does the quality.
Forget
> about that whole "it will switch the analog" crap. That only happens
when
> I'm roaming and then the battery lasts half a day because the thing is
> checking in non-stop at full power.
>
> Anyhow, just curious what the advantages are to go to a digital
trunking
> system.
>
> Thanks!
>
>

2379. Re: buses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 08:01:54 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <SJOx8.7762$ab.458724@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 49095

School buses frequently operate in the "old" PS - misc public safety sub-band, along with ambulances, search & rescue etc. Not sure why, but been that way for a long time. That's all been lumped together now in the "PW" service for conventional (non-trunked) frequencies. It also depends on whether it's a private company (e.g. Laidlaw, Durham...) providing the service, or the district/county itself. A private company's buses will USUALLY be in a business band; school districts may be in the safety OR business bands.

The old-standby FCC frequency-search site is at
http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts

It has its own help section, which is pretty good. I can't do a tutorial here, but play with it and see what you can find... just be sure to pay attention to the STATE and COUNTY when you search.

More later... in what county is Wichita??

--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications History

"Evan Olberding" <flipteam15@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3cc60cc7@news.mhogaming.com...
> does anyone know where to find school bus freq's in wichita, ks. also if i
> can use the fcc website to find these i need a short how to on using this
> resource efectivly. thanks!
>
>

2380. Ontario scanner web page and yahoogroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 08:08:12 UTC
From: Matthew Stone <mbstone99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <uqcfcucmlf5069oamj85vi5e2bbkaib1ok@4ax.com>
Source record: 49096

The Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide is dedicated to scanner radio hobbists. On here you will find lists of Ontario radio frequencies that are not listed on any web page, published in any scannner book (Haruteq or Scantrix) or listed in the government frequency list (Industry Canada's TAFL). The Ontario Frequency Guide mailing list (oufg) is for scanner radio hobbyists so they have a public forum to be able to chit chat with each other about anything relating to the radio scanner hobby in Ontario as well you will be able to recieve updates of what changes I have made to the Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide web pages.

Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide - http://oufg.webhop.net/
Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide Yahoogroup (oufg) - http://groups.yahoo.com/group/oufg/

Matthew Stone
Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide/oufg Yahoogroup
http://oufg.webhop.net/

2381. Alinco DJ X 2000 T Scanner & accs f/s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 11:28:32 UTC
From: majorwiseandhammer <pcarpenter@charter.net>
Message-ID: <ucfrihpc18i003@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48997

New in May 2001. Radio plus dry cell pack. Box, manual, receipt and warranty card bought from Lentini's in CT. Looks just like new. Check out the review in April QST magazine. $ 350

2382. Re: Scanner Newbie needs help picking first Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 12:58:42 UTC
From: Chris <n8cop@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <64Tx8.194649$K5.16189081@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 48998

Both the 780xlt and the 895xlt are superb scanners Matt. I own both and can't say enough about them. The 780 has several more advanced features over the 895 and thats reflected in its price. Both models can be computer controlled, either way you can't go wrong.

One thing you might want to consider is.....will you ever need your scanner to track digital (Apco 25 standard) systems?? If thats the case, you might want to wait until Uniden unveils their new digital tracker, 785xlt, which is supposed to be released later this year. The rumored prices of around $600.00 sound steep, so if you don't need it, save your money !!!

Chris

2383. Yaesu vx-5r memories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 13:25:43 UTC
From: John Unger <junger@usgs.gov>
Message-ID: <3CC803D7.AF9B0E24@usgs.gov>
Source record: 48999

Does anyone know if there any way to remove a memory channel from a memory group after you have assigned it? I'm trying to do this with the radio itself, not with software, but will be able to try a software solution as soon as I make up a cable.

tnx es 73 - John, W4AU

2384. Re: Pro-2006 - should I do it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 13:35:54 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3CC80638.BB9D9B80@optonline.net>
Source record: 49000

Well, I've got you beat, I'm writing this from an AMD 233MHz laptop, my other computer is a 450MHz machine. So, I would not consider myself a "bullshit "purist"". And when I do acquire a new computer, it will be neither "top-of-the-line", nor it will be an antique.

Nor would I purchase a scanner which was not computer programmable. Nor would I purchase a scanner on which it is quite likely I would have to replace the dial backlight, a very hard to get part. Nor would I purchase a scanner that didn't do trunkng. Nor would I pay more for such a scanner than I would have to pay for a new, modern scanner. Especially if I had never owned a scanner and didn't know what it was all about.

But, as Mr. Barnum is alleged to have said, "There is one born every minute."

By the way, somebody on this group is pushing his 2006 for $350. For the extra $100, it must be more "mint" and used even less that the $250 one.

Have fun.

Walt

SB wrote:

>
> Most people buy too much computing power - and your recommendation is
> a good example of the bullshit "purists" always suggest to show off
> their supposed knowledge.
>
> I consider myself something of a "power user" when it comes to
> computing and I'm quite happy with my home-built original 600MHz
> Athlon machine (but it's running XP and has a faster CD burner than I
> originally installed in it). I have WAY more power in this machine
> than Mom and Pop need - most people today would be quite happy with a
> lot less than I'm working with.
>
> Like I just told another person from this group in private email: I'm
> only paying $250 for it with manual, antenna, and any other original
> equipment it came with - and it's supposedly in "mint" condition, and
> "hardly used".
>
> I can easily sell it for that on eBay if I decide I want something
> better or don't enjoy using it. Couldn't do that if I bought a new
> one and decided to sell it.

2385. Re: Why go digital?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 13:45:44 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <hj1gcus3e7sbuekct461ov0pdq4d6dlkdt@4ax.com>
Source record: 49001

On Wed, 24 Apr 2002 12:40:09 -0700, "Charlie Durand"
<charlie_durand@agilent.com> wrote:

>My question is why? Is it for the same reasons that cellphone went digital?
>To get more out of the same bandwidth?

yes.

>Anyhow, just curious what the advantages are to go to a digital trunking
>system.

Bandwidth and frequency re-use are pretty much the only issue. Going
trunked with digital signals means you can cram more stuff in to
smaller cells and it does so pretty much seamlessly and efficiently
--when it works.

The problem with such technology is that it doesn't degrade gracefully
like analog signals will do.

Oh and don't believe the crap about analog phones sounding bad.
Analog phones used to sound much better than they do today. Compare
an old cell phone from your car to the all digital PCS phones from
today and you'll hear a dramatic difference. The older stuff does
sound better. Admittedly, it consumes more power, it not nearly as
efficient with bandwidth, and it's nowhere near as portable.

The problem isn't analog versus digital. They're both a means to an
end. The problem is bandwidth and the number of customers on the air
at the same time. That's where digital trunking and telephony really
shine.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

2386. Re: Pro-2006 - should I do it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 14:26:21 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3CC8120C.63E05E7A@optonline.net>
Source record: 49002

Hey, I'm happy for the guy that's gonna unload his crap 2006 for $250!

Enjoy!

SB wrote:
>
> "Walt Bertram" wrote:
>
> >And when I do acquire a new computer, it will be
> >neither "top-of-the-line", nor it will be an antique.
>
> I'm happy forya

2387. FS: Vertical dipole (folded) with 4 elements

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 14:39:03 UTC
From: rfman84503@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020425103903.28415.00003273@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 49003

I have a VHF vertical dipole (folded) antenna with 4 elements, which point north, south, east and west. It's about 20 feet long and is in very good condition. Would like $100. Pick up in Mobile-AL.

reggie

2388. ProLink - New Version - PC Programming Software for Radio Shack Pro-76, Pro-79, Pro-89, Pro-2016 and Pro-2017 Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 14:40:50 UTC
From: Riley <hpblm@glyff.net>
Message-ID: <ucg5co8nlpeb49@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49004
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

ProLink is a program for managing and transferring lists of frequencies to
Radio Shack Pro-76, Pro-79, Pro-89, Pro-2016 and Pro-2017 scanners.

The latest version of ProLink has been released. This version add support
for saving and loading files in CSV (comma separated values) format. I also
improved the keyboard navigation in the channel list and fixed some bugs.
You can download the latest version of ProLink from the ProLink website.
The address is below.

http://albertane.glyff.net/prolink/

Riley
hpblm@glyff.net

2389. FS: 3 Bearcat IV scanners!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 14:41:59 UTC
From: rfman84503@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020425104159.28415.00003278@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 49005

I have 3 Bearcats IV's for sale. In good condition and operating order. I'd like $40 for each or $75 for all plus shipping.

reg

2390. Re: FS: 3 Bearcat IV scanners!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 15:01:55 UTC
From: DanO <chard@dsbweb.com>
Message-ID: <3CC81A5C.2010301@dsbweb.com>
Source record: 49006

What are the model numbers of the scanners?

RFman84503 wrote:

> I have 3 Bearcats IV's for sale. In good condition and operating order. I'd
> like $40 for each or $75 for all plus shipping.
>
> reg
>

2391. Re: Why go digital?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 15:25:34 UTC
From: Charlie Durand <charlie_durand@agilent.com>
Message-ID: <1019748417.236821@cswreg.cos.agilent.com>
Source record: 49007

I don't believe the crap. My first analog phone was the best sounding phone I've ever owned. Loud and clear.. even with static it still sounded better than my digital signal sometimes.

Size and battery life are important to me though and the pricing on digital just can't be ignored.

I will say my current digital phone sounds much much better than the first digital phone I used to have. That thing just plain sucked.. I always manually siwtched it to analog mode.

"Jake Brodsky" <frussle@erols.com> wrote in message news:hj1gcus3e7sbuekct461ov0pdq4d6dlkdt@4ax.com...
> Oh and don't believe the crap about analog phones sounding bad.
> Analog phones used to sound much better than they do today. Compare
> an old cell phone from your car to the all digital PCS phones from
> today and you'll hear a dramatic difference. The older stuff does
> sound better. Admittedly, it consumes more power, it not nearly as
> efficient with bandwidth, and it's nowhere near as portable.

2392. Re: Yaesu vx-5r memories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 16:28:17 UTC
From: Bill Cherepy <jcherepy@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <5lbgcukfinigvsddpugpv9ihmk8cpap42g@4ax.com>
Source record: 49008

On Thu, 25 Apr 2002 13:25:43 GMT, John Unger <junger@usgs.gov> wrote:

>Does anyone know if there any way to remove a memory channel from a
>memory group after you have assigned it? I'm trying to do this with the
>radio itself, not with software, but will be able to try a software
>solution as soon as I make up a cable.
>
>tnx es 73 - John, W4AU
>
This was posted to the VX-5R mailing list, it does work.

To erase unwanted memory channels, do the
following: Push the "F" key for one second till the channel numbers
start to flash. Then with the DIAL, turn to the channel number you
want to erase, then push "MR".

I
Bill Cherepy
Grayson, GA

2393. Re: Pro2032 & BC120XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 17:31:05 UTC
From: Mike W <MNMikeW@aolspam.com>
Message-ID: <3cc83b33@news.mhogaming.com>
Source record: 49009

What do you want for the 2032?

"David B." <davejb@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:eIEx8.29167$uV.13951@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> I'm going to put these on eBay, though I would give you guys a crack
first.
>
> Pro2032: I am original owner, unit in like new condition, I believe I have
all original packaging & manual, haven't tried to find
> them yet, unit has never been opened or modified.
>
> BC120XLT: I am original owner, unit in like new condition, I believe I
have all original packaging & manual, haven't tried to find
> them yet, unit has never been opened or modified, battery less than a year
old, includes leather case.
>
> --
>
> _____
>
>

2394. Re: 417.750mhz Satellite downlink ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 17:52:13 UTC
From: John Trevor Harris <ibtrevor@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3CC8427D.C21D15F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 49010
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: ibtrevor.vcf

What you most likely heard was over the air rekey. On the new DEA and other fed radios they can push a set of buttons and it interrogates for new crypto. This all goes thru the radio system thru the phone/satellite link to a center in Florida. Once the center has verified that this is a valid radio with a valid esn, and the crypto in the radio itself (because with the new motorola there can be multiple levels of security and crypto.) it sends a encoded new day crypto stream back to the radio and it will load it in a memory. Then the radio can be used with all the radios that have been updated in DES Encryption.

J999w wrote:

> I've got 417.750mhz in my scanner as one of the local DEA frequencies, but I
> heard something unusual on here this week. Around 10am CDT the squelch broke
> with a data signal that gradually got louder until full strength for about 10
> minutes, then gradually faded into the noise, never to be heard again. From my
> experience, this sounded like a satellite downlink. Web searches on Google,
> didn't come up with anything.
>
> Ideas ?
>
> 73,
>
> John Wilke
> WB9UAI
> Milwaukee

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

2395. Re: Pro-2006 - should I do it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 18:06:22 UTC
From: John KB5AG <jblack3@nospamnc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <yAXx8.2145$YQ1.862902@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 49011

Walt;

You obviously have never had a PRO2006.

You are right in that it's not computer controllable without considerable extra expense, and you can get a new Uniden scanner for less, but as far as RF performance is concerned, the 2006 is a wonderful radio.

A new, $1500 Icom R8500 won't trunktrack either, but people do pay for the level of radio performance it provides.

Scanning conventionally in a suburban environment, my Uniden scanners routinely pick up cellphone, aircraft, paging and TV images that make me have to lock those channels out. The PRO2006 sails through all of this without complaint, yet it seems to have equal sensitivity. In addition, there is no annoying squelch tail at the end of every conversation like with several Uniden models.

I don't know about the situation in Chicago, and it might be wise for a neophyte scannist to choose a model with trunking and other cool features, but I think your attack on the 2006 is unwarranted, and to call someone considering the purchase one of the "born every minute," is pretty lame.

"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote in message news:3CC80638.BB9D9B80@optonline.net...
> Well, I've got you beat, I'm writing this from an AMD 233MHz laptop, my
> other computer is a 450MHz machine. So, I would not consider myself a
> "bullshit "purist"". And when I do acquire a new computer, it will be
> neither "top-of-the-line", nor it will be an antique.
>
> Nor would I purchase a scanner which was not computer programmable. Nor
> would I purchase a scanner on which it is quite likely I would have to
> replace the dial backlight, a very hard to get part. Nor would I
> purchase a scanner that didn't do trunkng. Nor would I pay more for
> such a scanner than I would have to pay for a new, modern scanner.
> Especially if I had never owned a scanner and didn't know what it was
> all about.
>
> But, as Mr. Barnum is alleged to have said, "There is one born every
> minute."
>
> By the way, somebody on this group is pushing his 2006 for $350. For
> the extra $100, it must be more "mint" and used even less that the $250
> one.
>
> Have fun.

>
> Walt
>
>
>
> SB wrote:
>
> >
> > > Most people buy too much computing power - and your recommendation is
> > > a good example of the bullshit "purists" always suggest to show off
> > > their supposed knowledge.
> > >
> > > I consider myself something of a "power user" when it comes to
> > > computing and I'm quite happy with my home-built original 600MHz
> > > Athlon machine (but it's running XP and has a faster CD burner than I
> > > originally installed in it). I have WAY more power in this machine
> > > than Mom and Pop need - most people today would be quite happy with a
> > > lot less than I'm working with.
> > >
> > > Like I just told another person from this group in private email: I'm
> > > only paying $250 for it with manual, antenna, and any other original
> > > equipment it came with - and it's supposedly in "mint" condition, and
> > > "hardly used".
> > >
> > > I can easily sell it for that on eBay if I decide I want something
> > > better or don't enjoy using it. Couldn't do that if I bought a new
> > > one and decided to sell it.

2396. Re: FS: 3 Bearcat IV scanners!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 19:06:44 UTC
From: frothingslosh@postmaster.co.uk
Message-ID: <6b452a6c.0204251106.26a2beec@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49012

rfman84503@aol.com (RFman84503) wrote in message
news:<20020425104159.28415.00003278@mb-fu.aol.com>...
> I have 3 Bearcats IV's for sale. In good condition and operating order. I'd
> like $40 for each or $75 for all plus shipping.

Good luck with that.

Bearcat IV's can regularly be purchased for $5, with crystals installed.

What's the extra $35 for?

Sir Reggie
Upper-Crudney-on-the-Thames

2397. Newbie Question: Fire/Police Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 20:14:01 UTC
From: FoxMxFox1@cs.com
Message-ID: <ca22d4a7.0204251214.46a7633d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49013

I am interested in purchasing a scanner to listen to the fire/ police calls. Do you have to work for the fire/police dept to have one? If not where can I purchase one and what models are recommended. Also is there any good websites on this? ow do I tune into one specific fire dept? Thanks

2398. Re: Scanner Newbie needs help picking first Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 20:27:27 UTC
From: Terry <nepvetm@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <PEZx8.10159$d17.491430@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 49014

Chris <n8cop@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:64Tx8.194649$K5.16189081@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> Both the 780xlt and the 895xlt are superb scanners Matt. I own both and
> can't say enough about them. The 780 has several more advanced features
over
> the 895 and thats reflected in its price. Both models can be computer
> controlled, either way you can't go wrong.
>
> One thing you might want to consider is.....will you ever need your
scanner
> to track digital (Apco 25 standard) systems?? If thats the case, you
might
> want to wait until Uniden unveils their new digital tracker, 785xlt, which
> is supposed to be released later this year. The rumored prices of around
> $600.00 sound steep, so if you don't need it, save your money !!!
>
> Chris

the new scanner comes seperate from the digital card. if you don't need
digital now, the scanner is somewhere ~$300. the card is ~$300-$350

2399. Re: Pro2032 & BC120XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 20:53:10 UTC
From: David B <Davejb@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <W0_x8.44384$t65.13406@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 49015

Not really sure what it's worth, make me an offer

--

_____
"Mike W" <MNMikeW@aolspam.com> wrote in message news:3cc83b33@news.mhogaming.com...
> What do you want for the 2032?
>
>
> "David B." <davejb@verizon.net> wrote in message
> news:eIEx8.29167$uV.13951@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> > I'm going to put these on eBay, though I would give you guys a crack
> first.
> >
> > Pro2032: I am original owner, unit in like new condition, I believe I have
> all original packaging & manual, haven't tried to find
> > them yet, unit has never been opened or modified.
> >
> > BC120XLT: I am original owner, unit in like new condition, I believe I
> have all original packaging & manual, haven't tried to find
> > them yet, unit has never been opened or modified, battery less than a year
> old, includes leather case.
> >
> > --
> >
> > _____
> >
> >
>
>

2400. Re: Why go digital?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 22:04:55 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ucgv8jo0po64d5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 49016
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Richard" <no@mail.com> wrote in message
news:fabgcugi10rqm0lis94td94hued8lq7s9i@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 25 Apr 2002 07:54:42 GMT, "Harry Marnell" <marnells@snowcrest.net>
> wrote:
>
> >With digital, however, if a signal is below a set threshold it won't
> >come
> >thru at all... as in the cellphone example. You get either a nice,
> >fairly
> >crisp signal or you hear nothing...no middle ground.
>
> With cellphones, there is middle ground. You can have a great clear
voice, or
> nothing AND you can have in-between where the voice is garbly due to weak
> signal. Very annoying and the reason I prefer my analog cell. I can't
say if
> this is the same with public safety systems, but I would imagine it is.

You must have had an interesting call phone then. With mine, I either get
everything, or nothing. There is a VERY slim line where I get voice that
cuts in an out, but never a garbled signal. The cutting in an out is in
larger longer chunks, not smaller more rapid cuts, so it essentially makes
whatever is being said unintelligible. But like I said, that point is a
very thin line... Couple feet this way or that way make the difference
between broken, and nothing...

PH

2401. Re: 780xlt & FIPs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 22:10:28 UTC
From: Greg <not@shown.here>
Message-ID: <slvgcu87nol0n29qv9gmj322151gjqaq02@4ax.com>
Source record: 49017

On Thu, 25 Apr 2002 02:05:28 -0500, Tom Riggs
<thriggs@mail.netusa1.net> wrote:

>Did you have it in weather alert mode? Press the weather button twice,
>once for weather, second for alert.
>
>Greg wrote:
>
>> I was just thinking the other day when we had some severe weather -
>> I've got the FIPS codes for my county and a couple neighboring ones
>> programmed into the scanner, but I've never heard any sort of alert
>> when I've got it on.
>>
>> Is there something else i need to set up?

Aha! I guess reading the directions woulda helped me, huh? :)

2402. Re: where do i start?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 22:40:45 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <NB%x8.27914$MT2.953724@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 49018

if you live in toronto. look at other places then Radio shack. you will be buying a US model when you buy from Radio shack. If you live in canada you my as well look at canadian models.

try www.radioworld.ca

there is durham radio too and others.

Dev

"tbone" <tbonetime@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:xXlx8.49888$Gpn.25843@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> ive read some beginning info, like what brands are popular, but this
> article also said i could find a scanner at sears....
>
> i live near (40 minutes) Toronto Canada, there is a radio shack in town....
>
> i guess it is too difficult / time consuming to make your own scanner? what
> should i buy etc.???
>
>
>
>

2403. Re: Newbie Question: Fire/Police Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 22:52:33 UTC
From: Red TR1 <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <0N%x8.50993$vm6.8324455@ruti.visi.com>
Source record: 49019

Go to http://www.strongsignals.net for a excellent wealth of information
on scanners.

Your first job is to figure out if your local police an FD are on a regular
system, trunked or digital. If it is digital you are out of luck for now.
There is no law against listening to regular police or fire radios.
Listening in a car may be illegal where you live.
Radio shack has decent sacnners and if by some dumb luck you run into a RS
employee that knows what he or she is talking about they may be able to give
you the info you require on local frequencies and if your area is trunked,
regular or digital.

Greg
"Jared" <FoxMxFox1@cs.com> wrote in message
news:ca22d4a7.0204251214.46a7633d@posting.google.com...
> I am interested in purchasing a scanner to listen to the fire/ police
> calls. Do you have to work for the fire/police dept to have one? If
> not where can I purchase one and what models are recommended. Also is
> there any good websites on this? ow do I tune into one specific fire
> dept? Thanks

2404. Bailey Colo. Snaking Fire Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 23:50:22 UTC
From: pop <sumpin@doughnuts.com>
Message-ID: <nC0y8.2274$oC6.99980@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 49020

As far as I can figure:

Fire Ops: 154.430
Fire secondary channels:
154.280
154.295

Park County Sheriff Ops: 151.025

Air Ops: 166.675

Air to air: 125.025 primary
123.100 secondary

Pueblo air dispatch: 168.725
Other Forest Service: 168.600

These freqs. catch most of the action, although there are others in use which are difficult to capture due to weak signals, for example the Hot Shot crews down in the draws etc.

A pretty clean operation so far, with occasional moments of crisis when the fire appeared to be crossing highway 285 and into some subdivisions with lots of domestic animals where owners were off at work. Fortunately the fire hasn't crossed the road yet and all animals have successfully been removed from the threatened areas. Highlights of irate homeowners not being allowed to access their properties, fire-civilian vehicle collision, pseudo fire fighters with flashing blue lights on their vehicle, teenager running wild on dirt bike with no spark arrestor, reports of looting, traffic jams for miles and miles and a report of a second fire starting in one of the neighborhoods which turned out to be diesel fumes from a septic sucking service truck.

So far trunked radio systems would never work for something like this ... ahhh, the good old days. Plus, cell phone service is very spotty in that area, making participants use the radios even more.

2405. Re: Why go digital?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-25 23:58:28 UTC
From: pop <sumpin@doughnuts.com>
Message-ID: <YJ0y8.2371$oC6.101568@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 49021

Digital systems are much easier to create encryption as well ... a digitized signal cannot be monitored with an analog receiver etc. yadda yadda. Much more private from the outset.

"Charlie Durand" <charlie_durand@agilent.com> wrote in message news:1019677302.910017@cswreg.cos.agilent.com...
> Hi there,
>
> I have been reading about trunked radio systems and how sooner or later they
> are all going to go digital.
>
> My question is why? Is it for the same reasons that cellphone went digital?
> To get more out of the same bandwidth?
>
> Can't argue with that. I've never heard a radio using a digital trunked
> system. Is the quality ok? Is it like the Nextel as far as quality goes?
> I know my digital cell phone has really good audio quality as long as I've
> got a good signal but when the signal goes so does the quality. Forget
> about that whole "it will switch the analog" crap. That only happens when
> I'm roaming and then the battery lasts half a day because the thing is
> checking in non-stop at full power.
>
> Anyhow, just curious what the advantages are to go to a digital trunking
> system.
>
> Thanks!
>
>

2406. Bailey Colo. Freq correction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-26 00:03:08 UTC
From: pop <sumpin@doughnuts.com>
Message-ID: <lO0y8.2388$oC6.102368@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 49022

My bad

Air to air : 122.920

2407. WTB: Hanheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-26 00:04:13 UTC
From: "Sam E. Hall" <sehall@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <aaa5i7$600$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 49023

Looking to buy a handheld scanner that will receive out of band-cell ready.

Thanks

2408. Re: Why go digital?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-26 00:49:59 UTC
From: dxlover574738846@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020425204959.06587.00004970@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 49024

<<<Digital systems are much easier to create encryption as well ... a digitized signal cannot be monitored with an analog receiver >>>

When Uniden does come out with the digital scanners, do you think that they will be complicated to use??

I hope you don't need to be a freeking einstein to be able to program one. <VBG>

2409. Re: Help please - 2006 backlight EL replacement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-26 02:12:50 UTC
From: Runnyriver <noton6@microbrain.com>
Message-ID: <aaad3a$98q0r$1@ID-121301.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 49025

There is a bare ground wire soldered between the two rotary knobs, (squelch
and volume). Unsolder it and board will come loose. This is not an easy job,
lots of wires hanging in the way and lots of opportunity to break a wire or
burn something with the iron. Yeah I know, someone will post that it is soo
super easy, don't bother telling ME how easy it is unless you wanna post
your email and volunteer to do it for everyone else for $15 labor!
And how about we update the number of screws, seems like a lot of people
have done this and nobody noting this is kinda strange...

"Bruce Markowitz" <scosgt@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:VpCx8.43690$Rw2.3397904@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I've done that but I can't seem to find 8 screws, only 6, so the parts
won't
> separate.
> Help!
> "J Rob" <jrobmills@aol.comrx320> wrote in message
> news:20020424021017.14069.00004305@mb-ce.aol.com...
> > <<Please help!>>
> >
> >
> > http://home.cfl.rr.com/scan/Backlight.html
>
>

2410. Re: Why go digital?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-26 04:00:57 UTC
From: johnb <borregoj@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3CC8D25A.7060402@sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 49026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

i get garbled cell phone activity when i'm where the digital signal
fails and the phone switche to analog. usually, its at the edge of the
analog coverage as well, and signal is garbled/cuts out/ and what not.

Power House wrote:

> "Richard" <no@mail.com> wrote in message
> news:fabgcugi10rqm0lis94td94hued8lq7s9i@4ax.com...
>
>>On Thu, 25 Apr 2002 07:54:42 GMT, "Harry Marnell" <marnells@snowcrest.net>
>>wrote:
>>
>>
>>>With digital, however, if a signal is below a set threshold it won't
>>>come
>>>thru at all... as in the cellphone example. You get either a nice,
>>>fairly
>>>crisp signal or you hear nothing...no middle ground.
>>>
>>With cellphones, there is middle ground. You can have a great clear
>>
> voice, or
>
>>nothing AND you can have in-between where the voice is garbly due to weak
>>signal. Very annoying and the reason I prefer my analog cell. I can't
>>
> say if
>
>>this is the same with public safety systems, but I would imagine it is.
>>
>
> You must have had an interesting call phone then. With mine, I either get
> everything, or nothing. There is a VERY slim line where I get voice that
> cuts in an out, but never a garbled signal. The cutting in an out is in
> larger longer chunks, not smaller more rapid cuts, so it essentially makes
> whatever is being said unintelligible. But like I said, that point is a
> very thin line... Couple feet this way or that way make the difference
> between broken, and nothing...
>
> PH
>
>
>
>

>

2411. Re: Hanheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-26 04:02:28 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <oj4y8.34406$zj6.912724@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 49027

coulda sold you a in band cell ready radio that you can directly use to receive the frequencies. but you said you wanted a out of band cell scanner. get a euro or a canadian scanner

"Sam E. Hall" <sehall@alltel.net> wrote in message news:aaa5i7$600$1@iac5.navix.net...
> Looking to buy a handheld scanner that will receive out of band-cell ready.
>
> Thanks
>
>

2412. Re: sanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-26 04:03:04 UTC
From: Runnyriver <noton6@microbrain.com>
Message-ID: <aaaji0$8lep2$1@ID-121301.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 49028
Body handling: Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: Launch Outlook Express.lnk

> Tom: That sounds like what he is describing. I have one that I bought
years
> ago and this conversation got me to wondering where it was. I looked for
it
> over the weekend and finally found it.
> If I remember correctly, you program frequencies 400Mhz below what you are
> trying to listen to, 800.500 would be programmed as 400.500. Later today I
> think I will see if it still works.
>
>

I am sure that convertor works, but the steps on most scanners are going to
be unchangable and you will not get the correct frequencies or you will have
to scan many unused frequencies.

[Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: Launch Outlook Express.lnk]

2413. Re: Help please - 2006 backlight EL replacement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-26 04:24:57 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3cc8d74f.1975695@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 49030

I figured it out, I only found 6 screws and it came apart, and you
don't need to desolder the ground, just remove the knobs and unscrew
the shafts and remove.

On Thu, 25 Apr 2002 22:12:50 -0400, "Runnyriver"
<noton6@microbrain.com> wrote:

>There is a bare ground wire soldered between the two rotary knobs, (squelch
>and volume). Unsolder it and board will come loose. This is not an easy job,
>lots of wires hanging in the way and lots of opportunity to break a wire or
>burn something with the iron. Yeah I know, someone will post that it is soo
>super easy, don't bother telling ME how easy it is unless you wanna post
>your email and volunteer to do it for everyone else for $15 labor!
>And how about we update the number of screws, seems like a lot of people
>have done this and nobody noting this is kinda strange...
>
>
>"Bruce Markowitz" <scosgt@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>news:VpCx8.43690$Rw2.3397904@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> I've done that but I can't seem to find 8 screws, only 6, so the parts
>won't
>> separate.
>> Help!
>> "J Rob" <jrobmills@aol.comrx320> wrote in message
>> news:20020424021017.14069.00004305@mb-ce.aol.com...
>> > <<Please help!>>
>> >
>> >
>> > http://home.cfl.rr.com/scan/Backlight.html
>>
>>
>
>

2414. Nascar Freqs AR8K ScanStar Format Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-26 04:25:18 UTC
From: spam@spam.com
Message-ID: <MPG.1732768cc6a7feba989681@news2.tstonramp.com>
Source record: 49029

Anyone know where to get Nascar Winston Cup Freqs in ScanStar format.
I'm going to the race this weekend and need to upload freqs to my AR8000.
I do NOT need a list of freqs. I'm too lazy to enter them manually in my
AR8K. I would prefer .swg format. I have a collection of ScanStar .swg
which includes 1997 Nascar freqs but I need current freqs.
My collection is at http://www.stuver.com/ss_swg.html

Feel free to send me .swg files for sharing.
To respond remove "spam" from address and replace with "rrs".

2415. Re: Why go digital?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-26 05:43:00 UTC
From: bule_light@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29078-3CC8E8E4-103@storefull-2374.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 49031

some citys aredy went digital i think on some things i lie to listen to
the scanners in my town

2416. SBE Optiscan Assist Program bug fix

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-26 06:41:29 UTC
From: Gary-Jacek@telus.net
Message-ID: <tE6y8.110$vd.89150@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 49032
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Optiscan.zip is a freeware program to assist you in preparing
frequency cards for your vintage SBE Optiscan receiver.

If you downloaded optiscan.zip prior to April 25/2002, there is a bug
that is exhibited when you enter certain listening frequencies.
For example 154.430MHz.

This was due to a rounding error and results in incorrect setting
of the first two windows of the frequency card.

The bug has now been corrected.

You can download the revised program and source code at
http://www3.telus.net/gary-jacek/Optiscan.zip

Many thanks to Dewey Lawing W1DRL for reporting this bug.

2417. Indoor scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-26 07:01:31 UTC
From: tucansam@whodis.org
Message-ID: <aaau0b$r6i$1@reader1.panix.com>
Source record: 49033

Howdy all,

Would like to put a [cheap/small/homemade] scanner antenna up and my condo's no outdoor antenna policy means indoor. No prob. I pick up a lot with a stock metal telescoping antenna as it is. Built a 23.5" out of coax and put it in pvc for picking up aircraft locally. Works great too. Thanks to the guys in this group for the idea.

I'd like to give myself the opportunity to pick up from 25mhz to 1500mhz -- the range of my scanner. Not looking to really focus on anything in particular, but a nice, broad spectrum job would do nicely.

The catch is that I need something that will be cosmetically pleasing to my wife :) I was thinking about taking a 10' length of 1/2" pvc and putting a coax antenna inside, painting it with the same off-white color used on our sheetrock, and sticking it in the corner of the room behind a bookshelf. Most folks probably wouldn't even notice it was there.

So my question... How long of a length do I want it to be? How much coax shield do I strip back? Should I go for a full 10'? Cut it back a little less? Or hit the length that will give me the most gain in the center of the range I want to hear (ie cut for 750mhz -- this midpoint)

Thanks for any advice.

--
569@72649.266

2418. Optoelectronics Optocom for tradr

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-26 08:21:35 UTC
From: "news.earthlink.net" <tony@zuanichfamily.com>
Message-ID: <j68y8.6039$8p3.501431@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 49034

I have a Optocom with the frequency couner and the latest bitbanger roms.
Like NEW!!

2419. Re: Indoor scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-26 09:10:10 UTC
From: Night Hawk® <wolf_creek_pass_@Xyahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3CC91B80.ABBF65E6@Xyahoo.com>
Source record: 49035

Radio Shack has a pretty decent mobile scanner antenna that works good indoors.

Night Hawk

tucansam@whodis.org wrote:

> Howdy all,
>
> Would like to put a [cheap/small/homemade] scanner antenna up and my condo's no outdoor
> antenna policy means indoor. No prob. I pick up a lot with a stock metal telescoping
> antenna as it is. Built a 23.5" out of coax and put it in pvc for picking up aircraft
> locally. Works great too. Thanks to the guys in this group for the idea.
>
> I'd like to give myself the opportunity to pick up from 25mhz to 1500mhz -- the range of
> my scanner. Not looking to really focus on anything in particular, but a nice, broad
> spectrum job would do nicely.
>
> The catch is that I need something that will be cosmetically pleasing to my wife :) I was
> thinking about taking a 10' length of 1/2" pvc and putting a coax antenna inside,
painting
> it with the same off-white color used on our sheetrock, and sticking it in the corner of
> the room behind a bookshelf. Most folks probably wouldn't even notice it was there.
>
> So my question... How long of a length do I want it to be? How much coax shield do I
> strip back? Should I go for a full 10'? Cut it back a little less? Or hit the length
> that will give me the most gain in the center of the range I want to hear (ie cut for
> 750mhz -- this midpoint)
>
> Thanks for any advice.
>
> --
> 569@72649.266

2420. Cordless Phone selection help.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-26 11:27:05 UTC
From: nickravo@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <882f177e.0204260327.4d5c5894@posting.google.com>
Source record: 49036
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm looking for a slim cordless phone, 2.64 ghz, that is WALL
MOUNTABLE and doesn't look like a horseshoe crab or something with TWO
antennas sticking out from the base. Impossible?

2421. Bailey Colo. Snaking Fire Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-26 13:23:05 UTC
From: pop <sumpin@doughnuts.com>
Message-ID: <hwcy8.11$EE5.20562@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 49037

Fire Ops: 154.430
Fire secondary channels:
154.280
154.295

Park County Sheriff Ops: 151.025

Air Ops: 166.675

Air to air: 122.920 primary
123.100 secondary

Pueblo air dispatch: 168.725
Other Forest Service: 168.600

These freqs. catch most of the action, although there are others in use which are difficult to capture due to weak signals, for example the Hot Shot crews down in the draws etc.

A pretty clean operation so far, with occasional moments of crisis when the fire appeared to be crossing highway 285 and into some subdivisions with lots of domestic animals where owners were off at work. Fortunately the fire hasn't crossed the road yet and all animals have successfully been removed from the threatened areas. Highlights of irate homeowners not being allowed to access their properties, fire-civilian vehicle collision, pseudo fire fighters with flashing blue lights on their vehicle, teenager running wild on dirt bike with no spark arrestor, reports of looting, traffic jams for miles and miles and a report of a second fire starting in one of the neighborhoods which turned out to be diesel fumes from a septic sucking service truck.

So far trunked radio systems would never work for something like this ... ahhh, the good old days. Plus, cell phone service is very spotty in that area, making participants use the radios even more.

2422. 1000 Channel scanner F/S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-26 13:51:14 UTC
From: Russell <rustyspam@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3CC95B52.4080006@dccnet.com>
Source record: 49038

Radio Shack PRO-2035 1000 Channels
Base model Scanner for sale
With box, and manual, In excellent condition
10 banks of 1000 channels
25-1300 Mhz cell blocked AM,FM
Asking $190 plus shipping
Any questions please e-mail me directly at the below address
rusty@dccnet.com
Thanks-Russ

2423. Re: Indoor scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-26 14:56:47 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3CC96AAE.2EE093BB@optonline.net>
Source record: 49039

Here's a half way decent scanner antenna i put together recently. I made this up to have a really portable short-wave antenna, and it works very well. I have used it from 6MHz to 850MHz.

Get yourself a set of rabbit ears from an old TV set. Fasten a piece of string to one end of the rabbit ears and use this to attach the rabbit ears to a high point in your condo, the inside wall of a closet, for example. Let the rabbit ears hang vertically. Attach a Twinlead to Type F coax adapter (a balun) to the rabbit ears, and attach a Type F to BNC adapter to the balun. Run a length of BNC coax out the closet door to your scanner. Dress the lead so it runs perpendicular to the antenna for a short distance.

As I said, I have used this to receive from 6MHz to 850 MHz. The fully extended rabbit ears will be a resonant 1/2 wavelength at about 80MHz, and can be shortened to an appropriate length up to about 500MHz, if you want to optimize response at a given frequency in that range. At 850 MHz they can be set to be 3/2 wavelength in length.

Use: 1/2 wavelength in inches = 5600 freq in MHz.

Walt

tucansam@whodis.org wrote:
>
> Howdy all,
>
> Would like to put a [cheap/small/homemade] scanner antenna up and my condo's no outdoor
> antenna policy means indoor. No prob. I pick up a lot with a stock metal telescoping
> antenna as it is. Built a 23.5" out of coax and put it in pvc for picking up aircraft
> locally. Works great too. Thanks to the guys in this group for the idea.
>
> I'd like to give myself the opportunity to pick up from 25mhz to 1500mhz -- the range of
> my scanner. Not looking to really focus on anything in particular, but a nice, broad
> spectrum job would do nicely.
>
> The catch is that I need something that will be cosmetically pleasing to my wife :) I was
> thinking about taking a 10' length of 1/2" pvc and putting a coax antenna inside,
painting
> it with the same off-white color used on our sheetrock, and sticking it in the corner of
> the room behind a bookshelf. Most folks probably wouldn't even notice it was there.
>
> So my question... How long of a length do I want it to be? How much coax shield do I
> strip back? Should I go for a full 10'? Cut it back a little less? Or hit the length
> that will give me the most gain in the center of the range I want to hear (ie cut for
> 750mhz -- this midpoint)

>
> Thanks for any advice.
>
> --
> 569@72649.266

2424. Re: Cordless Phone selection help.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-26 15:53:44 UTC
From: R Wilcox <rwilcox@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3CC97808.FEC6C2B0@citlink.net>
Source record: 49040
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

check vtech, i'm not sure if they have a 2.64 gig model, but i have hands
on experience with the 900 mhz model and it is first rate.

Nick wrote:

> I'm looking for a slim cordless phone, 2.64 ghz, that is WALL
> MOUNTABLE and doesn't look like a horseshoe crab or something with TWO
> antennas sticking out from the base. Impossible?

2425. FOR SALE: AOR AR8200 (all frequencies) $375

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-26 16:23:21 UTC
From: Jarred <strange@mail.utexas.edu>
Message-ID: <Z9fy8.50$9F5.31733@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 49041

[No readable article body recovered]

2426. Any Ideas BC200xlt::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-26 17:21:34 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <643jcu05cf130s31acgitroth3uu3t91lb@4ax.com>
Source record: 49042

Any Ideas BC200xlt::Have a Uniden BC200xlt and it has NO SOUND......The light does not come on at all,programs fine,and seems to take a charge ok,I've programmed frequencies for my area in and it does not stop on anything so I assume it's not rxing properly either.......would it be safe to say the thing is fried,or any opinions greatly appreciated,as to what the problem would be,thanks at 100% in advance

2427. telephone scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-26 17:40:53 UTC
From: rpanczak@aol.comREMOVE.M
Message-ID: <20020426134053.27454.00005669@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 49043

Hi boys & girls:
Does anyone know anything about the AU013 Cellular Telephone scanner?
Advertised as "permits listening in on 800/900 cellular telephone
conversations" where legal I assume (e.g. Canada).
In particular - does this include digital telephones too? Aren't (almost) all
cellulars digital today?
Curious
Thanks BobP

MONTREAL

2428. Telephone Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-26 17:53:25 UTC
From: rpanczak@aol.comREMOVE.M
Message-ID: <20020426135325.27454.00005670@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 49044

Hi;
Is any familar with the AU-028 X-Interceptor?
Advertising states that it can monitor up to 20 cellular phone numbers - and
then listen in on an in-coming or out-going call to any of these numbers.
However it only analog i.e not digital transmissions.
My question is:
Can it at least track a "digital cell phone" - i.e. know that a cell phone is
"live", digital or analog, is in the cell?
Thanks BobP
MONTREAL

2429. Pro 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-26 18:05:10 UTC
From: SugarBroker@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19264-3CC996D6-247@storefull-2198.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 49045

Just getting back to my Pro2006 and can*t put my hands on manual.Any thoughts where I may get one?

2430. Re: Cordless Phone selection help.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-26 19:11:47 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <TDhy8.35211$1u2.1079750@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 49046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

2.64 gig ? I know of 2.4 gig phones. let me look and I will let you know

"Nick" <nickravo@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:882f177e.0204260327.4d5c5894@posting.google.com...
> I'm looking for a slim cordless phone, 2.64 ghz, that is WALL
> MOUNTABLE and doesn't look like a horseshoe crab or something with TWO
> antennas sticking out from the base. Impossible?

2431. Re: telephone scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-26 19:20:12 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <MLhy8.35324$1u2.1080603@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 48947

cells are not 900 Mhz in canada.

"BOB P." <rpanczak@aol.comREMOVE.M> wrote in message
news:20020426134053.27454.00005669@mb-mr.aol.com...
> Hi boys & girls:
> Does anyone know anything about the AU013 Cellular Telephone scanner?
> Advertised as "permits listening in on 800/900 cellular telephone
> conversations" where legal I assume (e.g. Canada).
> In particular - does this include digital telephones too? Aren't (almost)
all
> cellulars digital today?
> Curious
> Thanks BobP
>
> MONTREAL

2432. Re: Pro 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-26 21:25:02 UTC
From: David B <Davejb@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <OAjy8.1880$mF4.1211@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 48948

http://support.radioshack.com/support_electronics/8246.htm

--

_____
"Joseph Blanpain" <SugarBroker@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:19264-3CC996D6-247@storefull-2198.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Just getting back to my Pro2006 and can*t put my hands on manual.Any
> thoughts where I may get one?
>

2433. WTB Universal M-450 Decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-26 22:19:56 UTC
From: Fred Allen <fallen-idc@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <goky8.52196$QC1.3771036@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 48949

I am looking for a Universal M-450 Decoder. Please e-mail, condition,
price and version to: fallen-idc@worldnet.att.net

Thanks,
Fred

2434. Looking for NJ freqs? DON"T GO HERE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-26 22:24:08 UTC
From: A Guy <eat.spam@a.diner.near.you>
Message-ID: <260420021824088902%eat.spam@a.diner.near.you>
Source record: 48950

Tried to find central Jersey frequency info.
Google said
members.tripod.com/~njscanning
Ad after ad after ad...

--
Stan

2435. Re: Indoor scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-26 23:03:04 UTC
From: stil933 <stil933@home.com>
Message-ID: <ucjn57e63qb07c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48951

I also use the Radio Shack mag mount scanner antenna with my Icom PCR-1000. Works well from the shortwave bands up through 800 MHz. Stick it on a good metal surface, such as a file cabinet or refrigerator, and you're good to go. Also doesn't look too bad indoors, either...

"Night Hawk" <wolf_creek_pass_@Xyahoo.com> wrote in message news:3CC91B80.ABBF65E6@Xyahoo.com...
> Radio Shack has a pretty decent mobile scanner antenna that works good indoors.
>
> Night Hawk

2436. Re: Pro 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 00:07:09 UTC
From: J <jca1954@iprimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <3cc9eba7$1_1@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 48952

Here is some 2006 sites that may be handy !
http://www.webaugur.com/wares/2006.html
http://yourpage.blazenet.net/pro2006/
and for a manual - http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/2849.htm

"Joseph Blanpain" <SugarBroker@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:19264-3CC996D6-247@storefull-2198.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Just getting back to my Pro2006 and can*t put my hands on manual.Any
> thoughts where I may get one?
>

2437. For Sale List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 00:30:09 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <limy8.1065$t3.450416@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 48953
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Take a look and see if there is anything of interest.
http://www.msnusers.com/DicksFTP/shoebox.msnw

I am looking for thr PCR-100 or PCR-1000 pc scanner
also if you got one to trade.Email me if Interested.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

2438. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 00:56:09 UTC
From: Uncle Peter <radioconnectionNO@cox.netSPAM>
Message-ID: <JGmy8.55679$3C4.870467@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 48954
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

No problem with RF shielding. Phelps Dodge used UHF fittings on their
6 can VHF duplexers for years, and they (Celewave) still continue to do
so. Two UHF
females on each can. That's a total of 16 UHF fittings per duplexer set,
and the product delivers 100 dB isolation. Not my first choice in
connectors, but
it really depends on the skill of the installer for most VHF applications
rather than
design limitations.

Microwave racks and trucked radios operate well above the frequency range
the gentleman who started the thread asked about.

I agree with Walt.

Pete

"Dan" <n7slc@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:a9t7np$dl5$1@news.xmission.com...
> "Walt Bertram" <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:3CC1E3E6.52A0F5C5@optonline.net...
> > C'mon folks, let's get serious, and practical!
> >
> > > How about RF shielding? N connectors have far better shielding than a
UHF
> connector. Probably not critical on your 2m radio in the car but in our
> repeater buildings on top of the mountain, you'll find nothing but N
> connectors on all of our microwave racks and Trunked Radio systems.
>
> Dan
>
>

2439. Re: Pro-2006 - should I do it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 01:57:54 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com>
Message-ID: <rich-FE9936.20575426042002@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48955

In article <2tdecug9fo56pqkas9vr50d1cmv5cns4ga@4ax.com>,
Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote:

> Taking a moment from the Fredricks catalog, neutrodyne@aol.com (Neutrodyne)
> spake thusly
>
> > In Chicago I'd get something with the latest trunk tracking built in.
>
> Is Chicago trunked?? Wasn't last time I checked.
>
>

Yes and no!

Regular patrol operations are on 460.xxx with 13 Zone channels (2 Districts to a Zone, with one district on a channel of it's own) and 7 Citywide repeaters, as well as a Citywide simplex channel. Fire operations are on 2 VHF repeaters, 3 or 4 simplex fireground and command channels as well as a couple 460 MHz. EMS channels. It is unlikely that CPD of CFD will be going to a trunked system for patrol or regular operations anytime soon.

CPD does have a Motorola Type 2 trunked system used by detectives and other specialty groups. The city also possesses the old CHA trunked system that will eventually be reconfigured for Streets and San. use.

Cook County has a VHF 5 repeater system along with a bunch of simplex channels. They will be switching to an Astro system sometime this year.

Several suburban areas have Motorola trunked systems and the State Police and 2 suburban counties have EDACS systems.

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG
IL Highways and Scanner page: http://www.n9jig.com
Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association: http://www.carmachicago.com

2440. FA: Alinco DJ X2000 E Multi Mode Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 02:34:34 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <_6oy8.139876$in3.41623812@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 48956
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Please check my eBay auction out on this one!

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1349119919

2441. Re: Yaesu vx-5r memories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 03:15:33 UTC
From: "Ed G." <Spurious@_REMOVE_StarBand.net>
Message-ID: <Xns91FCCE4032359Frog207Junocom@148.78.248.76>
Source record: 48957

> Does anyone know if there any way to remove a memory channel from a
> memory group after you have assigned it? I'm trying to do this with the
> radio itself, not with software, but will be able to try a software
> solution as soon as I make up a cable.

John, your question would be better asked on the
rec.radio.amateur.equipment newsgroup. I seem to recall that being
possible, but can't recall if I read it in the manual, or saw it on one of
the nice VX-5 WEB sites. You might do some browsing. I no longer have my
VX-5 - traded in on the Kenwood TH-F6... much easier to use menu, among
other things.

Ed WB6SAT

2442. Re: basic newbie question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 03:58:09 UTC
From: johnb <borregoj@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3CCA2334.8070305@sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 48958

the fcc has a list of what frequency ranges are for what service (hams, police, air traffice control, what not). also you could try Police Call, which is a book that radio shack carries (although the kids at the desk won't know what you mean....). it has LOTS of info on what frequencies are used for what....good luck!

Evan Olberding wrote:

> i have had my scanner for quite some time but still do not know what some
> terms are, what is a callsign(is this a persons nickname) licensse. also i
> have a freq.:146.895 what is the best way to find out what a specific freq
> is used for, like i belive this is a ham radio but how would i find out for
> sure? thanks and soory for the dumb questions.
>
>
>

2443. Re: Looking for NJ freqs? DON"T GO HERE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 05:33:47 UTC
From: Jesse <jplummer@bluefrognet.net>
Message-ID: <3CCA383B.9468E01F@bluefrognet.net>
Source record: 48959

Tripod has gotten horrible with ads ..

A Guy wrote:
>
> Tried to find central Jersey frequency info.
> Google said
> members.tripod.com/~njscanning
> Ad after ad after ad...
>
> --
> Stan

2444. Backlight question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 07:08:21 UTC
From: tucansam@whodis.org
Message-ID: <aadip5$7nn$1@reader1.panix.com>
Source record: 48960

Hi gang,

I have several Radio Shack scanners (Pro 2026, 2004) whose nacklights stay on all the time. These scanners function perfectly well for me and I know I will be using them for many years to come. As such, I'd really like to do something about toggling the backlight on/off so I don't burn it out prematurely.

I'm about to go surfing for mods (I know both of these scanners have modifications listed for them but I don't yet know of the light is one of them) but does anyone know if, in general, backlights are easy to bypass by hacking in a switch?

Thanks.

--
569@72649.266

2445. Change of group name?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 08:26:07 UTC
From: glock45srock@aol.comCANNDHAM
Message-ID: <20020427042607.11771.00009382@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 48961

Hello all.

I was considering something tonight as I check post - why not just change the name of this newsgroup to alt.check.cityfreq.com?

The few times I have come here for freqs, it is because I have searched all other possible meens, as I am sure other have. cityfreq.com is a cool site, but if you are looking for a freq that is not currently licensed (which does happen to public safety agencies as I know from experince) there won't be any valid data.

Just some food for thought, please go easy on the raging spam attacks,

Mattster.

2446. Re: Indoor scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 09:03:44 UTC
From: Night Hawk® <wolf_creek_pass@Xyahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3CCA6B8E.EDD93CFE@Xyahoo.com>
Source record: 48962

you actually don't need a metal surface for the mag. mount.i've got mine
sitting ontop of a wooden book shelf and it works great.

Night Hawk

stil933 wrote:

> I also use the Radio Shack mag mount scanner antenna with my Icom PCR-1000.
> Works well from the shortwave bands up through 800 MHz. Stick it on a good
> metal surface, such as a file cabinet or refrigerator, and you're good to
> go. Also doesn't look too bad indoors, either...
>
> "Night Hawkï¿½" <wolf_creek_pass_@Xyahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3CC91B80.ABBF65E6@Xyahoo.com...
> > Radio Shack has a pretty decent mobile scanner antenna that works good
> indoors.
> >
> > Night Hawk

2447. Re: Telephone Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 09:18:27 UTC
From: Night Hawk® <wolf_creek_pass@Xyahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3CCA6F02.CF18E073@Xyahoo.com>
Source record: 48963

before you worry bout tracking/listening to cell phone calls bestter check the canadian regulations which will tell you its illegal to moniter cell or any other type of phone calls

Night Hawk

"BOB P." wrote:

> Hi;
> Is any familar with the AU-028 X-Interceptor?
> Advertising states that it can monitor up to 20 cellular phone numbers - and
> then listen in on an in-coming or out-going call to any of these numbers.
> However it only analog i.e not digital transmissions.
> My question is:
> Can it at least track a "digital cell phone" - i.e. know that a cell phone is
> "live", digital or analog, is in the cell?
> Thanks BobP
> MONTREAL

2448. Bailey Colo Fire Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 12:17:39 UTC
From: pop <sumpin@doughnuts.com>
Message-ID: <VEwy8.4$r4.19033@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 48965

Fire Ops: 154.430
Fire secondary channels:
154.280
154.295

Park County Sheriff Ops: 151.025

Air Ops: 166.675

Air to air: 122.920 primary
123.100 secondary

Pueblo air dispatch: 168.725
Other Forest Service: 168.600

These freqs. catch most of the action, although there are others in use which are difficult to capture due to weak signals, for example the Hot Shot crews down in the draws etc.

A pretty clean operation so far, with occasional moments of crisis when the fire appeared to be crossing highway 285 and into some subdivisions with lots of domestic animals where owners were off at work. Fortunately the fire hasn't crossed the road yet and all animals have successfully been removed from the threatened areas. Highlights of irate homeowners not being allowed to access their properties, fire-civilian vehicle collision, pseudo fire fighters with flashing blue lights on their vehicle, teenager running wild on dirt bike with no spark arrestor, reports of looting, traffic jams for miles and miles and a report of a second fire starting in one of the neighborhoods which turned out to be diesel fumes from a septic sucking service truck.

So far trunked radio systems would never work for something like this ... ahhh, the good old days. Plus, cell phone service is very spotty in that area, making participants use the radios even more.

2449. Moderator?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 12:18:59 UTC
From: pop <sumpin@doughnuts.com>
Message-ID: <9Gwy8.5$r4.19234@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 48964

Who moderates this newsgroup?

2450. Re: UHF OR N CONNECTOR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 12:24:47 UTC
From: "Christopher.Cox" <cobox.nospam@netexp.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <3CCA98DD.4030801@netexp.nospam.net>
Source record: 48966
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

With all due respect Rob,

There is a space agency that I know of where crimp on connectors are mandatory when using BNC. They have been stressed to 2000 pounds pull before failure.

I have seen the type of failures where you can simply pull a connector off. But this is usually due to either wrong components being used for the type of cable, and/or wrong tooling crimping the connector on.

My opinion is that hobbyist will do better with soldering a connector on because of the myriad of cable types he/she is trying attach a BNC connector to. I know I would have to keep quite a collection of connectors and tools to meet even my occasional needs.

Best Regards

Christopher Cox

Rob Janssen wrote:

> Terry Bullett <tbullett@charter.net> wrote:
>
>>I use N type connectors exclusively in my HF work. I also prefer crimp type
>>connectors, vice the solder variety.
>>While it is possible to get a better solder job than a crimp job, it takes less
>>skill
>>to do a decent job with a crimp tool. I've seen way too many hack jobs on
>>solder connectors to begin to count 'em. And believe me it's a hell of alot
>>easier to replace a crimp connector when it's still pitch dark at noon, there's
>>a 20 knot wind and the temp is dropping below -40. This makes you glad you
>>shelled out the extra bucks for a crimp tool instead of a propane soldering
>>iron!
>>
>
> That could well be true, but I have seen many more cables pulled out of
> the connector with crimp-type connectors than with solder-type, especially
> with BNC. I also think the crimp-type is worse in moist conditions.
>
> (of course you want to protect the connector against moist and cable stress
> in all cases)
>
> Rob
>

2451. Re: Alinco DJ X2000 E Multi Mode Receiver- SOLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 12:27:32 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <UOwy8.110488$9N1.18052379@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 48967
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Sheesh, this one went fast with BUY IT NOW!

Thanks to all who took a look!

Dan

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:_6oy8.139876$in3.41623812@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> Please check my eBay auction out on this one!
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1349119919
>
>

2452. Re: 1000 Channel scanner F/S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 13:17:29 UTC
From: Russell <rustyspam@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3CCAA4E9.9030906@dccnet.com>
Source record: 48968

Sorry that 10 banks of 100 channels.....
-Russ

Russell wrote:

> Radio Shack PRO-2035 1000 Channels
> Base model Scanner for sale
> With box, and manual, In excellent condition
> 10 banks of 1000 channels
> 25-1300 Mhz cell blocked AM,FM
> Asking $190 plus shipping
> Any questions please e-mail me directly at the below address
> rusty@dccnet.com
> Thanks-Russ
>

2453. Re: Moderator?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 13:39:27 UTC
From: Gray-Beard <gray-beard@NOSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <3CCAAA0F.51B6FFD8@NOSPAM.net>
Source record: 48969

No one
GB

pop wrote:

> Who moderates this newsgroup?

2454. Re: Alinco DJ X2000 E Multi Mode Receiver- SOLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 14:01:20 UTC
From: Mark Hall <vze2mhvc@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <3CCAADE9.44A12F6E@bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 48970
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Another E-Bay Bargain! List is $499, and it went for $480 plus $12
shipping.

Dan Conti wrote:

> Sheesh, this one went fast with BUY IT NOW!
>
> Thanks to all who took a look!
>
> Dan
>
> "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:_6oy8.139876$in3.41623812@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> > Please check my eBay auction out on this one!
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1349119919
> >
> >

2455. Re: Backlight question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 15:50:26 UTC
From: frothingslosh@postmaster.co.uk
Message-ID: <6b452a6c.0204270750.75a104b6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48971

tucansam@whodis.org wrote in message news:<aadip5$7nn$1@reader1.panix.com>...
> Hi gang,
>
> I have several Radio Shack scanners (Pro 2026, 2004) whose nacklights stay on all the
> time. These scanners function perfectly well for me and I know I will be using them for
> many years to come. As such, I'd really like to do something about toggling the backlight
> on/off so I don't burn it out prematurely.
>
> I'm about to go surfing for mods (I know both of these scanners have modifications listed
> for them but I don't yet know of the light is one of them) but does anyone know if, in
> general, backlights are easy to bypass by hacking in a switch?

If these scanners are like my Radio Slack scanners, the backlight is
just wired to the on-off switch. There's no logic circuit involved.

Pretty simple to find one of the wires that power the light bulb and
install a little push button on and off switch somewhere, but that
means hacking a hole into your radio.

Really, I wouldn't worry about burning out the light bulb. Don't
bother with the switch. It's easier and cheaper to just replace the
bulb when it burns out. The bulb costs about 99 cents and is available
at Radio Slack.

Sir Reggie
Upper-Crudney-on-the-Thames

2456. Re: Alinco DJ X2000 E Multi Mode Receiver- SOLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 16:04:41 UTC
From: egww@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020427120441.22523.00005589@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 48972

> List is $499, and it went for $480

I would be grateful to know where we can find the DJ-X2000E listed for that
great price
Grateful Jim

2457. Re: Indoor scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 16:10:21 UTC
From: at_the_helms@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <621d1b6e.0204270810.2965b621@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48973

Try a "Seeker 800" for a handheld. I'm pulling in
signals I never even knew were there.

2458. Re: Backlight question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 16:40:37 UTC
From: Mike <room4me@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <9wAy8.146475$G72.83784@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 48974

Sir Reggi,

Your assessment about changing the lightbulbs may be correct on the 2026, backlight, as I don' know how it's lit. But the 2004 uses an EL panel which is no longer available from RS. There is a close substitute available from Miller Engineering, but changing it is not something everyone can accomplish as you have to unsolder and CAREFULLY remove the old one and CAREFULLY insert the replacement to avoid damage to either the panel or display.

Mike

"Sir Reginald Frothingslosh" <frothingslosh@postmaster.co.uk> wrote in message news:6b452a6c.0204270750.75a104b6@posting.google.com...
> tucansam@whodis.org wrote in message news:<aadip5$7nn$1@reader1.panix.com>...
> > Hi gang,
> >
> > I have several Radio Shack scanners (Pro 2026, 2004) whose nacklights stay on all the
> > time. These scanners function perfectly well for me and I know I will be using them for
> > many years to come. As such, I'd really like to do something about toggling the backlight
> > on/off so I don't burn it out prematurely.
> >
> > I'm about to go surfing for mods (I know both of these scanners have modifications listed
> > for them but I don't yet know of the light is one of them) but does anyone know if, in
> > general, backlights are easy to bypass by hacking in a switch?
>
> If these scanners are like my Radio Slack scanners, the backlight is
> just wired to the on-off switch. There's no logic circuit involved.
>
> Pretty simple to find one of the wires that power the light bulb and
> install a little push button on and off switch somewhere, but that
> means hacking a hole into your radio.
>
> Really, I wouldn't worry about burning out the light bulb. Don't
> bother with the switch. It's easier and cheaper to just replace the
> bulb when it burns out. The bulb costs about 99 cents and is available
> at Radio Slack.
>
> Sir Reggie
> Upper-Crudney-on-the-Thames

2459. Re: Pro-2006 - should I do it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 16:49:33 UTC
From: Mike <room4me@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <xEAy8.146502$G72.84158@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 48975

SB

You'll love your 2006. Take a look at this site. John designed a simple circuit with companion software which allows you to load the 2004/5/6 from your computer. It also allows you to manage frequencies in a database for upload. I built the parallel version and it works very well. Total cost was under $25.00 as I had a lot of the run of the mill components already.

http://www.qsl.net/ka2pyj/index.html

The only difficult part of the whole operation was getting to the back of the keyboard header.

Happy scanning.

Mike

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:7psicuglh4uqq0drdnj0r8sddp3qf96duq@4ax.com...
> "Never anonymous Bud" wrote:
>
> >But the only way to really know how it will work for you is to try it.
>
> Just got it delivered to me and gave it a visual and plugged it in to
> check the backlight before I paid him.
>
> LOOKS LIKE NEW and the backlight is so good that it's easily visible
> even in a bright sunlit room (and it has an on/off switch). Seller
> said he was doing some "investigative work" when he bought it and
> ended up putting maybe only 50 hours on it.
>
> I'm using the little original antenna (he also included an external
> whip) and with it at minimum extension it ROCKS in my 14th floor condo
> near the lake.
>
> If I enjoy it - and I'm SURE that I will - I'll wait for the RS Pro-93
> to go on sale and get it as a companion unit.
>
> Thanks to all that replied - including the nay sayers.

2460. Re: Any Ideas BC200xlt::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 17:16:49 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <01C40D36068664AF.7C555849FFB0EFEA.5303ED0D7052EEEC@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 48976

On Fri, 26 Apr 2002 17:21:34 GMT, rugerp85
<rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net> wrote:

>Any Ideas BC200xlt::Have a Uniden BC200xlt and it has NO
>SOUND......The light does not come on at all,programs fine,and seems
>to take a charge ok,I've programmed frequencies for my area in and it
>does not stop on anything so I assume it's not rxing properly
>either.......would it be safe to say the thing is fried,or any
>opinions greatly appreciated,as to what the problem would be,thanks at
>100% in advance

Here is the probable solution. This excerpt was taken from::
http://www.repairfaq.org/REPAIR/F_BC_Repair.html

Uniden/Bearcat BC200XLT Portable - Loss of Audio and Dial Lamp

If you can program frequencies into your BC200XLT but there is no
audio and the green backlight no longer functions, a tiny transistor
may have failed.

Check for a defective PNP surface mount transistor, Q201
(2SB815B6-YDY). Q201 is used as a switch to furnish 8 VDC to several
stages of the BC200XLT. Its main purpose is to switch off power hungry
stages of the BC200XLT when the CPU thinks the NiCd voltage has fallen
below a threshold. That's an attempt to limit the current drain on
weak NiCds to avoid permanent damage.

Q201 is located on the foil side of the "Micom" board, adjacent to the
black multi-pin connector which mates the Micom and main boards
together.

Q201 can be destroyed by a few different causes, primarily, by
something in the scanner drawing too much current through it. In one
case, capacitor C36 shorted. It's a 220 uF 10v electrolytic, located
on the component side of the main board, connected from pin 8 of the
audio IC (IC2 NJM386SL) to ground. Capacitor C55 shorted in another
BC200XLT. Gary Bean reports he substituted a 2SA1298 for Q201 and it
worked fine. In a pinch you bypass Q201 by soldering a short piece of
bare wire between the collector and emitter, but you must first fix
the component which caused Q201 to fail.

2461. Re: Alinco DJ X2000 E Multi Mode Receiver- SOLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 17:35:54 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <_jBy8.111842$9N1.18187429@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 48977
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mark,

Remove foot from your big mouth and enlighten everyone as to where you can purchase a Euro version DJ X 2000, with the accessories I included, for $499.00.

Dan
"Mark Hall" <vze2mhvc@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
news:3CCAADE9.44A12F6E@bellatlantic.net...
Another E-Bay Bargain! List is $499, and it went for $480 plus $12 shipping.
Dan Conti wrote:

Sheesh, this one went fast with BUY IT NOW!
Thanks to all who took a look!

Dan

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:_6oy8.139876$in3.41623812@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> Please check my eBay auction out on this one!
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1349119919
>
>

2462. Re: Alinco DJ X2000 E Multi Mode Receiver- SOLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 18:17:53 UTC
From: Mark Hall <vze2mhvc@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <3CCAEA0A.51FBAE49@bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 48978
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Face it.. you got a golden deal for a Used receiver on E-Bay. If I was
you.. I'd shut up, take your money.. and be happy. And BTW.. my foot
fits up your ass much better than in my mouth. Who cares about the
"Euro Version" anyway?

you're a goof

Dan Conti wrote:

> Mark, Remove foot from your big mouth and enlighten everyone as to
> where you can purchase a Euro version DJ X 2000, with the accessories
> I included, for $499.00. Dan
>
> "Mark Hall" <vze2mhvc@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
> news:3CCAADE9.44A12F6E@bellatlantic.net...Another E-Bay
> Bargain! List is $499, and it went for $480 plus $12
> shipping.
>
> Dan Conti wrote:
>
> > Sheesh, this one went fast with BUY IT NOW!
> >
> > Thanks to all who took a look!
> >
> > Dan
> >
> > "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:_6oy8.139876$in3.41623812@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> > > Please check my eBay auction out on this one!
> > >
> > >
> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1349119919
> > >
> > > >
> > > >
>

2463. Re: Alinco DJ X2000 E Multi Mode Receiver- SOLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 18:26:07 UTC
From: Mark Hall <vze2mhvc@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <3CCAEBF8.9F4075AC@bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 48979
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

BTW: I checked your rip off ad, no accessories included. Darn I hate to see rip-offs. I hope your "Deal" falls through as often happens when the rip off factor is realized. Also, realize I wear a size 12 D shoe.

Mark Hall wrote:

> Face it.. you got a golden deal for a Used receiver on E-Bay. If I
> was you.. I'd shut up, take your money.. and be happy. And BTW..
> my foot fits up your ass much better than in my mouth. Who cares
> about the "Euro Version" anyway?
>
> you're a goof
>
> Dan Conti wrote:
>
>> Mark, Remove foot from your big mouth and enlighten everyone as to
>> where you can purchase a Euro version DJ X 2000, with the
>> accessories I included, for $499.00. Dan
>>
>> "Mark Hall" <vze2mhvc@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
>> news:3CCAADE9.44A12F6E@bellatlantic.net...Another E-Bay
>> Bargain! List is $499, and it went for $480 plus $12
>> shipping.
>>
>> Dan Conti wrote:
>>
>> > Sheesh, this one went fast with BUY IT NOW!
>> >
>> > Thanks to all who took a look!
>> >
>> > Dan
>> >
>> > "Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
>> > news:_6oy8.139876$in3.41623812@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
>> > > Please check my eBay auction out on this one!
>> > >
>> > >
>> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1349119919
>> >
>> > >
>> > >
>>

2464. Re: Any Ideas BC200xlt::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 18:30:04 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <vfrlcuksflss49p7d63bf5sfnjjt7poerl@4ax.com>
Source record: 48980

thanks 100%

On Sat, 27 Apr 2002 13:16:49 -0400, The_AntiArt
<The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land> wrote:

>On Fri, 26 Apr 2002 17:21:34 GMT, rugerp85
><rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net> wrote:
>
>>Any Ideas BC200xlt::Have a Uniden BC200xlt and it has NO
>>SOUND......The light does not come on at all,programs fine,and seems
>>to take a charge ok,I've programmed frequencies for my area in and it
>>does not stop on anything so I assume it's not rxing properly
>>either.......would it be safe to say the thing is fried,or any
>>opinions greatly appreciated,as to what the problem would be,thanks at
>>100% in advance
>
>Here is the probable solution. This excerpt was taken from::
>http://www.repairfaq.org/REPAIR/F_BC_Repair.html
>
>
>Uniden/Bearcat BC200XLT Portable - Loss of Audio and Dial Lamp
>
>If you can program frequencies into your BC200XLT but there is no
>audio and the green backlight no longer functions, a tiny transistor
>may have failed.
>
>Check for a defective PNP surface mount transistor, Q201
>(2SB815B6-YDY). Q201 is used as a switch to furnish 8 VDC to several
>stages of the BC200XLT. Its main purpose is to switch off power hungry
>stages of the BC200XLT when the CPU thinks the NiCd voltage has fallen
>below a threshold. That's an attempt to limit the current drain on
>weak NiCds to avoid permanent damage.
>
>Q201 is located on the foil side of the "Micom" board, adjacent to the
>black multi-pin connector which mates the Micom and main boards
>together.
>
>Q201 can be destroyed by a few different causes, primarily, by
>something in the scanner drawing too much current through it. In one
>case, capacitor C36 shorted. It's a 220 uF 10v electrolytic, located
>on the component side of the main board, connected from pin 8 of the
>audio IC (IC2 NJM386SL) to ground. Capacitor C55 shorted in another
>BC200XLT. Gary Bean reports he substituted a 2SA1298 for Q201 and it
>worked fine. In a pinch you bypass Q201 by soldering a short piece of
>bare wire between the collector and emitter, but you must first fix

>the component which caused Q201 to fail.

2465. Re: Pro-2006 - should I do it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 19:09:19 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@cox.net>
Message-ID: <zHCy8.12664$Oq2.1857671@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 48981

I have a nib 2006 forsale for $350. I am in Southern California if anyone would like to see the scanner in person. Yes, it is really new in the box! The 2006 is the best scanner made and has the best RF performance and image rejection. This is extremely important if you live in a big city or near paging or cell transmitters.

-jim

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:nqqlcucq9g82lutoivme1c5gc86lsup9us@4ax.com...
> "Mike" wrote:
>
> >You'll love your 2006. Take a look at this site. John designed a simple
> >circuit with companion software which allows you to load the 2004/5/6 from
> >your computer.
>
> Thanks, but my needs right now are adequately handled using the
> keyboard. Programming the thing is so simple that I was able to
> figure out how to do it, remove all the previous owner had programmed
> in (he lives way outside Chicago) and then load most of the Chicago
> frequencies available on a site another person recommended - all in
> about 2 hours time.
>
> I could do all of that again in under 30 minutes now that I know what
> I'm doing.
>
> I've both bookmarked the site you recommended and have saved a copy of
> the main page for later reference.
>
>

2466. Arlington, Texas MDT 451.1 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 19:21:31 UTC
From: dave <dave@metronet.com>
Message-ID: <%SCy8.150934$XV5.13741398@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 48982

Has the format changed in the last year?

2467. Re: Alinco DJ X2000 E Multi Mode Receiver- SOLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 19:24:46 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <2WCy8.112510$9N1.18245029@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 48983
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hey asshole...

Any time you want to attempt that foot insertion in my ass, let me know.

The radio was not used, but brand new, in the box, never used.

But why I am trying to convine a bitter, busybody jerkoff anyway?

This is why NO ONE with any brains is posting equipment on the newsgroups.

Now Mark, be a nice boy a FUCK OFF!

Dan
"Mark Hall" <vze2mhvc@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
news:3CCAEA0A.51FBAE49@bellatlantic.net...
Face it.. you got a golden deal for a Used receiver on E-Bay. If I was you.. I'd shut up, take your money.. and be happy. And BTW.. my foot fits up your ass much better than in my mouth. Who cares about the "Euro Version" anyway?
you're a goof

Dan Conti wrote:

Mark, Remove foot from your big mouth and enlighten everyone as to where you can purchase a Euro version DJ X 2000, with the accessories I included, for $499.00. Dan
"Mark Hall" <vze2mhvc@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
news:3CCAADE9.44A12F6E@bellatlantic.net...Another E-Bay Bargain! List is $499, and it went for $480 plus $12 shipping.
Dan Conti wrote:

Sheesh, this one went fast with BUY IT NOW!
Thanks to all who took a look!

Dan

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:_6oy8.139876$in3.41623812@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> Please check my eBay auction out on this one!
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1349119919
>
>

2468. Re: Alinco DJ X2000 E Multi Mode Receiver- SOLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 19:27:18 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <qYCy8.112527$9N1.18246463@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 48984
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

For the record....

If you took the time to look at ALL the pictures in your haste to bust my balls, you will plainly see the case and AA battery holder.

I neglected to list it in my text, and was going to make an addednum this morning, but low and behold, some SMART radio hobbyist bought a brand new in box, never used Euro version Alinco DJ X2000. My feedback speaks for itself.

Your size 12 foot matches your size 12 brain.

Dan
"Mark Hall" <vze2mhvc@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
news:3CCAEBF8.9F4075AC@bellatlantic.net...
BTW: I checked your rip off ad, no accessories included. Darn I hate to see rip-offs. I hope your "Deal" falls through as often happens when the rip off factor is realized. Also, realize I wear a size 12 D shoe.
Mark Hall wrote:

Face it.. you got a golden deal for a Used receiver on E-Bay. If I was you.. I'd shut up, take your money.. and be happy. And BTW.. my foot fits up your ass much better than in my mouth. Who cares about the "Euro Version" anyway?
you're a goof

Dan Conti wrote:

Mark, Remove foot from your big mouth and enlighten everyone as to where you can purchase a Euro version DJ X 2000, with the accessories I included, for $499.00. Dan
"Mark Hall" <vze2mhvc@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
news:3CCAADE9.44A12F6E@bellatlantic.net...Another E-Bay Bargain! List is $499, and it went for $480 plus $12 shipping.
Dan Conti wrote:

Sheesh, this one went fast with BUY IT NOW!
Thanks to all who took a look!

Dan

"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:_6oy8.139876$in3.41623812@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> Please check my eBay auction out on this one!
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1349119919
>
>

2469. Re: Alinco DJ X2000 E Multi Mode Receiver- SOLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 19:29:39 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <D_Cy8.112543$9N1.18248008@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 48985
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Well, I actually did not make a "buck" but took a loss.

It is unfortunate that you have to explain yourself as a result of a jerkoff like Mark.

The radio sells currently for $580.00 new at Javiation depending on the exchange rate.
Add the cost of the case, AA battery holder and Euro to US as adaptor, and if you do the math, there was NO buck to be made.

Dan
>
> >BTW: I checked your rip off ad, no accessories included. Darn I hate to
> >see rip-offs. I hope your "Deal" falls through as often happens when
> >the rip off factor is realized.
>
> What the hell's YOUR PROBLEM? can't stand it that someone made a
> buck?
>
> >Also, realize I wear a size 12 D shoe.
>
> From your posts on this, it would appear that your mouth is large
> enough to handle it.
>

2470. Re: Backlight question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 19:54:52 UTC
From: Runnyriver <noton6@microbrain.com>
Message-ID: <aaevmf$aafos$1@ID-121301.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48986

"Mike" <room4me@rocketmail.com> wrote in message
news:9wAy8.146475$G72.83784@sccrnsc01...
> Sir Reggi,
>
> Your assessment about changing the lightbulbs may be correct on the 2026,
> backlight, as I don' know how it's lit. But the 2004 uses an EL panel
which
> is no longer available from RS. There is a close substitute available from
> Miller Engineering, but changing it is not something everyone can
accomplish
> as you have to unsolder and CAREFULLY remove the old one and CAREFULLY
> insert the replacement to avoid damage to either the panel or display.
>

also note that toggling off the el is not a good idea--you will not be able
to see the display unless you have a strong light directed at it.

2471. Re: Pro 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 20:29:41 UTC
From: SugarBroker@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5609-3CCB0A35-134@storefull-2196.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 48989

If you can so kind as to do this I would be ever grateful.Thanks

2472. 2006 manuel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 20:33:27 UTC
From: SugarBroker@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5608-3CCB0B17-350@storefull-2196.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 48988

To all who were so kind to answer my thanks.

2473. BC 200 XLT service manual??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 20:42:42 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <a93mcu0k1vh82khuj0tmaiv0letip0flso@4ax.com>
Source record: 48987

BC 200 XLT service manual??

anybody happen to have a BC 200 XLT Service manual that would tell you
EXACTLY where Q201 and C36,C55 are located??

2474. Re: Tucson, Temp, and Phoenix, AZ Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 21:12:12 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <csimmont@att.net>
Message-ID: <3CC9C169.49401B15@att.net>
Source record: 48990
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

www.scannerstuff.com publishes a good frequency directory for Arizona and several other western states.

"twï¿½ï¿½,.," wrote:

> Hi,
>
> I am looking for police, Fire, and sheriff frequencies for Tucson, Tempe, and Phoenix. Also Arizona
> state law. I've checked out cityfreq.com, but it lists too many junk frequencies. Please help me.
> Thanks.

--
Charles Simmont <csimmont@att.net>

2475. Re: Dumb bc780xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 21:23:12 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <csimmont@att.net>
Message-ID: <3CC9C3FD.34915B49@att.net>
Source record: 48991

EDACS & LTR systems require that you put the frequencies in a certain
order. Motorola can be in any order or channel arrangement.

Greg wrote:

> Do frequencies for a trunked system need to be put anywhere special?
>
> For all of the trunked systems I've got programmed in, I've got them
> as the first few freqs in the channel. Is it ok to have them at the
> end? As long as they're in the right order?

--

Charles Simmont <csimmont@att.net> ICP815 - Dispatcher
Incident Command Page of Arizona http://www.icp-az.org
"Views expressed are my own and not that of ICP unless otherwise stated"

2476. WinScan780 Demo Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 21:28:04 UTC
From: Michael Stuart <mstuart@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3CCB17E3.D84EA60E@flash.net>
Source record: 48995

Does anyone have aa copy of the 30 day trail fot
the WinScan780 Demo Software?

Thank

--
Michael

2477. Arizona Scanner group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 21:30:12 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <csimmont@att.net>
Message-ID: <3CC9C5A0.FF7B228C@att.net>
Source record: 48992
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Check out www.incidentcommandpage.com local Arizona scanner club that
will page you with current working police & fire incidents within the
state. Not in Arizona, check the affiliates link for a group near you.

Charles Simmont <csimmont@att.net> ICP815 - Dispatcher
Incident Command Page of Arizona http://www.icp-az.org
"Views expressed are my own and not that of ICP unless otherwise stated"

2478. Re: Moderator?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 21:37:27 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <ch6mcu0vb2222i9ecof4ibi35mstn9nest@4ax.com>
Source record: 48993

Having lost their job as a dog catcher, "pop" <sumpin@doughnuts.com> posted this..
...
>Who moderates this newsgroup?

We used to have a self-appointed Moderator,
but he's been gone for a while (thank the gods).

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2479. Re: Alinco DJ X2000 E Multi Mode Receiver- SOLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 21:41:40 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <ml6mcuc2nda6q5ei8a4671emd6v4uqtdgn@4ax.com>
Source record: 48994

Having lost their job as a dog catcher, egww@aol.com (EGWW) posted this..
...
>> List is $499, and it went for $480
>
> I would be grateful to know where we can find the DJ-X2000E listed for that
>great price

Javiation's website...

Prices & Availability

The DJ-X2000E is now available from stock.

Within the UK/EU the price is ï¿½429.00 including VAT

For export outside the UK/EU the price is ï¿½395.00 Pounds. This excludes Tax (not payable) and
includes delivery by UPS. As a guideline works out to be about US$570 with exchange rates as they
are at the moment.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2480. The Trunked Radio Information Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 22:21:38 UTC
From: "Lindsay C. Blanton" <lindsay@lcblanton.com>
Message-ID: <SvFy8.4844$qD7.546459788@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48996
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Everyone,

The Trunked Radio Information Database has grown to over 1800 systems! We need your help to insure that the data it up to date and correct.

Please stop by the Trunked Radio Database at:

http://www.trunkedradio.net/db

We are also looking for dedicated indivuduals to help manage user submissions and data for the database. Please contact the webmaster via the pages if you are interested in helping out with the project!

Warm regards,

Lindsay Blanton
Webmaster
http://www.trunkedradio.net

2481. -Trying To Program Culver City, CA Trunking Into Pro-2053

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-27 22:51:29 UTC
From: Tim Davis <ttdd@flashnet.net>
Message-ID: <amamcucrpfobenks48hko2mkt4fv7ob21p@4ax.com>
Source record: 48897

I'm not having any luck programming the Culver City, CA Trunking Frequencies into my Pro-2053. Are these frequencies able to be heard on a Pro-2053? Can someone try to give me a step by step procedure for getting them in successfully?

Thanks in advance for your help!

2482. Re: Alinco DJ X2000 E Multi Mode Receiver- SOLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 00:02:27 UTC
From: Mark Hall <vze2mhvc@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <3CCB3AD0.86624019@bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 48898
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

HRO sells it for $499.00, without your slimey finger prints.

http://www.hamradio.com/

Dan Conti wrote:

> Well, I actually did not make a "buck" but took a loss.
>
> It is unfortunate that you have to explain yourself as a result of a jerkoff
> like Mark.
>
> The radio sells currently for $580.00 new at Javiation depending on the
> exchange rate.
> Add the cost of the case, AA battery holder and Euro to US as adaptor, and
> if you do the math, there was NO buck to be made.
>
> Dan
> >
> > >BTW: I checked your rip off ad, no accessories included. Darn I hate to
> > >see rip-offs. I hope your "Deal" falls through as often happens when
> > >the rip off factor is realized.
> >
> > What the hell's YOUR PROBLEM? can't stand it that someone made a
> > buck?
> >
> > >Also, realize I wear a size 12 D shoe.
> >
> > From your posts on this, it would appear that your mouth is large
> > enough to handle it.
> >

2483. Re: Alinco DJ X2000 E Multi Mode Receiver- SOLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 00:13:07 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <n8Hy8.113411$9N1.18393719@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 48899
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I give up. Like I said...size 12 brain, er, I mean IQ!

> HRO sells it for $499.00, without your slimey finger prints.

2484. Re: Alinco DJ X2000 E Multi Mode Receiver- SOLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 00:19:52 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <IeHy8.113412$9N1.18397399@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 48900
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>
> >The radio sells currently for $580.00 new at Javiation depending on the
> >exchange rate.
> >Add the cost of the case, AA battery holder and Euro to US as adaptor,
and
> >if you do the math, there was NO buck to be made.
>
> When I read the rant from Mr. Size 12D (for >doofus, ya think?),

Doofus is an understatement.

>I ran a quick search on Google and found that site, plus a U.S. site that's
> selling it for $699 - I think it was a classified on eHam, in fact.
> Dated April 1.

Well, $699.00 for a US version is a rip-off, and even for a Euro version is
WAY too high.

> And my "make a buck" comment was in comparison to not selling it at
> all, or selling it for less.

I knew what you meant, and I appreciate your support. I just needed to make
a point regarding my making any quick killing on this deal. It is the buyer
in this case who made a good deal.

> Why Mr. Size 12 Doofus is all in a huff, I can't begin to imagine.<

A small, bitter, rotten little man with nothing better to do then bust the
balls of others here on the groups.

> It's not like you had the only one available and the guy needed it to
> save his life so you jacked the price up to a point where he had to
> sell his home to buy it.
>
> You posted, and rather than take his chances at auction, your buyer
> took the quick way so he'd get it.
>
> Looks as if he made a free choice.
>
Well, yea...that's what it's all about in the formerly great USA. Free
choice, free enterprise, and free speech. Except miscreants like Mark would
have it the other way.....and we all know what THAT is, don't we?

Dan

2485. Re: Pro-2006 - should I do it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 01:24:52 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@cox.net>
Message-ID: <Ebly8.13032$Oq2.1961998@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 48901

I would put it on ebay, but I believe that they will not allow this model scanner because of the frequency range.

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:k3ulcuksbgpem096b3i80igr049hac800a@4ax.com...
> "Jim Wayda" wrote:
>
> >I have a nib 2006 forsale for $350.
>
> Put it on eBay and stop posting about it here every other day, huh?

2486. WinScan Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 01:42:02 UTC
From: rommel_nz@yahoo.co.nz
Message-ID: <c268aa87.0204271742.3e21811a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48902

Apart from the demo software that expires in 30 days does anyone have a copy of the program available for free , I`m on a limited income and unable to purchase the full version?????

2487. Swap: Pro-91 for BC278CLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 01:43:36 UTC
From: jimtown54@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <61fd296.0204271743.4c638761@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48903

I have a used Pro-91 to trade for a BC278CLT. The 91 is in good working order. has slight small scratch on meter and no belt clip. Also no box or owners manual. I have downloaded a copy of the manual that the new owner will get. Any interest can contact me for further details. Thank's, JT

2488. Re: Alinco DJ X2000 E Multi Mode Receiver- SOLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 02:07:21 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@morisseau.org>
Message-ID: <3CCB5958.5FECAABB@morisseau.org>
Source record: 48904
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mark Hall wrote:

> HRO sells it for $499.00, without your slimey finger prints.
>

What a total, utter and complete ASS this guy Markey-mark is!

--
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'53", W 90ï¿½22'32", 680'
On the sunrise side of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
"When the going gets rough, the tough go cyclic!"

2489. Re: Alinco DJ X2000 E Multi Mode Receiver- SOLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 02:55:05 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5yJy8.507$hp4.202679@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 48905
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Tell the truth Conti. You sent thugs to the buyers house and forced
him buy it at gun point!

2490. Re: Alinco DJ X2000 E Multi Mode Receiver- SOLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 03:18:15 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <XRJy8.140341$in3.42242949@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 48906
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

OK, OK...I confess...you got me!

> Tell the truth Conti. You sent thugs to the buyers house and forced
> him buy it at gun point!
>

2491. Re: Radio Shack website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 04:17:19 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020428001719.05595.00005304@mb-cd.aol.com>
Source record: 48907

>
>Is anyone else having problems with www.radioshack.com ?

Just accessed it no problem, 12:16am EST...
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

2492. FS: 3 Bearcat scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 04:43:56 UTC
From: rfman84503@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020428004356.10538.00004978@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 48908

These scanners are in real good shape. Originally bought them because they reminded me of the early days of scanners. Good working condition for all three. Nice shape cosmetically. Would like $30 for each or $75 plus shipping for all three. You can see a picture of one of them at my website. I am not a dealer: http://www.communications-plus.com/bearIV.html

Reggie
N5NJR

2493. Re: Radio Shack website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 04:45:39 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <bkvmcuo9ospur9cuvcdhsc7am13q42tps6@4ax.com>
Source record: 48909

Having lost their job as a dog catcher, SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> posted this..
...

>Is anyone else having problems with www.radioshack.com ?

Try getting there via the IP address, if it is a DNS problem, that will fix it.

64.29.223.109

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2494. PRO-2035 SCANNER 1000 Channels F/S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 04:52:47 UTC
From: Russell <rustyspam@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3CCB801F.9020004@dccnet.com>
Source record: 48910

For Sale,
PRO-2035 1000 Channel scanner
10 banks of 100 channels
25-1300 Mhz (cell blocked)
Lots of features
Base model with dial tuner
This was Radio Shacks top end model at one time...
Comes with box and manual
Like new condition
Asking $175 plus shipping
If interested please e-mail me at the below address only please
rusty@dccnet.com
Thanks-Russ

2495. Re: Radio Shack website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 06:40:41 UTC
From: "Louis J. Giliberto, Jr." <noemail@spamstinks.com>
Message-ID: <ucn6banpun5be0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48911

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:1n2ncuk1f0230lckuqamoi4ku89endf281@4ax.com...
> I was in a Radio Shack shop today and the owner/manager said that the
> Pro-93 goes on sale about every other month, so I guess if things
> don't get fixed, I'll just have to keep checking.

You'll want to go to www.yahoogroups.com and check out the Pro-93 group. There are different firmware revisions each with its own bugs. I have a rev. 1.0 that has some tagging bugs, but they don't bother me (they annoy some people). The current rev is 3.0, and that has a strange bug with the contrast control.

These bugs are no big deal, but you may as well make sure you are getting the current version of the firmware. Otherwise, you have to send it in to get it upgraded.

DO NOT buy the programming cable from them. The one they will try to sell you doesn't work with the Pro-93. You can make your own or get this one (this is the one I use): http://www.pro93.com get the two-way so you can read and write from it. The software is free.

The scanner runs off 4 AA's, so I also recommend picking up 4 AA NiMh batteries and an AC adapter. The scanner will charge them for you if you don't have a charger but have the adapter plugged in (scanner takes 15hrs to trickle-charge them instead of 1 hour in a fast charger). I plug mine in at night and in the morning it is charged enough for me to go.

Sounds like you are having fun with the Pro-2006! Welcome to the world of scanning!

-Lou

2496. Re: Radio Shack website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 08:09:23 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <T6Oy8.49408$zj6.1405997@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 48912

as of 1:08 am on Sunday um april 28 2002 it works. but earlier today.
during the afternoon on saturday. I noticed that the whole Net was
bottlenecked. After it started to flow, my ISP was bottled necked. all seems
fine now.

Dev

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:01vmcushsit9m3ko43696r6sqlkj9sq7cv@4ax.com...
> "VHFRadioBuff" wrote:
>
> >Just accessed it no problem, 12:16am EST...
>
> Thanks ...I'm sure I'll get similar reports from all who reply.
>
> I can't wait for my DSL contract to expire (November) so I can switch
> to cable.
>
> Ameritech/SBC has too many problems with their DNS and with short
> outages.
>

2497. Re: WinScan Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 08:12:36 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <U9Oy8.49434$zj6.1406428@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 48913

lol. I am being evicted due to the new canadian laws. I don't agree on piracy and if I were to ever use pirated software. I would pay for it when I had the change. I have always payed for my software. If not right away. I always did when I had the cash. I wish after we buy the software that we could sell it when we were broke. but darn. nope. The honest way is always the right way.....

Dev

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:vhrmcu8q4jpedruhrn928j8usk3ov6f5sl@4ax.com...
> "Laurie J Drummond" wrote:
>
> >Apart from the demo software that expires in 30 days does anyone have
> >a copy of the program available for free , I`m on a limited income and
> >unable to purchase the full version?????
>
> Last time I checked, I don't remember seeing an exception to piracy
> laws that said it's OK to steal if you can't afford it.

2498. Re: WinScan Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 08:16:16 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <kdOy8.49449$zj6.1407166@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 48914

another thing to my previous post. learn to dissasemble, decompile and so on. this is not a cracking group. learn how to do it yourself silently (not that hard to set jumps) or shut the hell up. You may have limited income. but I have less then limited income at the moment. I ain't gonna beg someone for piracy of software. If you have limited income, why the heck did you get into this hobby ?

I may have only been a ham for 5 years and a scanner enthu... for longer. but sell a radio if you need money. radios come and go.

"Laurie J Drummond" <rommel_nz@yahoo.co.nz> wrote in message news:c268aa87.0204271742.3e21811a@posting.google.com...
> Apart from the demo software that expires in 30 days does anyone have
> a copy of the program available for free , I`m on a limited income and
> unable to purchase the full version?????

2499. Re: Change of group name?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 08:27:31 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3CCBB273.BEE0CF20@mitre.org>
Source record: 48915

This is why I like paper/ book format frequency directories, the data may seem to be "stale" after several years but the frequencies that have expired licenses my be listed in them. So keep that old book around and check back for unknown users, after you have exhausted the on line frequency databases search. I find expired license to be more of a business band/allocation problem.
Jim

"Matthew W. EST" wrote:

> Hello all.
>
> I was considering something tonight as I check post - why not just change the
> name of this newsgroup to alt.check.cityfreq.com?
>
> The few times I have come here for freqs, it is because I have searched all
> other possible meens, as I am sure other have. cityfreq.com is a cool site, but
> if you are looking for a freq that is not currently licensed (which does happen
> to public safety agencies as I know from experince) there won't be any valid
> data.
>
> Just some food for thought, please go easy on the raging spam attacks,
>
> Mattster.

2500. U.K. Replies only please. PRO 2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 10:23:31 UTC
From: kpm91e@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <58e3f7fc.0204280223.43a3ebdf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48916

Hi

I have just recently aquired a realistic PRO 2042 for ï¿½50. I am very impressed with it ....so much so I am looking for another for different room in the house.
Does anyone have a 2042 for sale near this price or can anyone advise me where I could find one. Thank you all in advance for your help.

Sid

2501. Re: WinScan Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 10:29:41 UTC
From: Billy <bill@gates.co.uk>
Message-ID: <aagj2kefg1@cyan.nl.gxn.net>
Source record: 48917

But you did buy that expensive scanner?
duhh..

"Laurie J Drummond" <rommel_nz@yahoo.co.nz> schreef in bericht
news:c268aa87.0204271742.3e21811a@posting.google.com...
> Apart from the demo software that expires in 30 days does anyone have
> a copy of the program available for free , I`m on a limited income and
> unable to purchase the full version?????

2502. Re: Radio Shack website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 13:09:25 UTC
From: Bruce or Tracy Jacobs <bljacobs2@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <3CCC12E9.47E425AF@mchsi.com>
Source record: 48919

Cable is nice - we have it, finally. Zoom!!! And, since @HOME finally
bit the dust,
we have better cable service, too.

SB wrote:
>
> "VHFRadioBuff" wrote:
>
> >Just accessed it no problem, 12:16am EST...
>
> Thanks ...I'm sure I'll get similar reports from all who reply.
>
> I can't wait for my DSL contract to expire (November) so I can switch
> to cable.
>
> Ameritech/SBC has too many problems with their DNS and with short
> outages.

2503. Re: Moderator?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 13:40:53 UTC
From: Mille <nomail@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <FZSy8.52204$%s3.21162183@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 48920

I nominate you. You obviously know what you're doing.
"pop" <sumpin@doughnuts.com> wrote in message
news:9Gwy8.5$r4.19234@news.uswest.net...
> Who moderates this newsgroup?
>
>

2504. Create Log Periodic Ant .vs. KMA's Log.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 14:12:59 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CCC036B.3050803@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 48921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I think I've narrowed down my new antenna choices to either the Create Log-Periodic (5130-2N) which I've found on the net at 269.95+s/h or the KMA Rover which sells for 255.00+s/h.

Anyone in the group have any experience with either of these?

A question I have regarding the advertised dBi gain. Create claims to have 11 dBi gain. KMA doesn't advertise the gain for the Rover however all their other VHF/UHF Logs are advertised at about 7 dBi. Why would there be a 4 dBi difference between the two?

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Freqs and MORE

2505. Re: Backlight question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 16:41:56 UTC
From: RustY <RustY@Hotmale.Com>
Message-ID: <GDVy8.14452$Mi.2623335@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 48922

<tucansam@whodis.org> wrote in message
news:aadip5$7nn$1@reader1.panix.com...
> I have several Radio Shack scanners (Pro 2026, 2004) .... , I'd
really like to do something about toggling the backlight on/off so I don't
burn it out prematurely.

Certainly the 2004 can be done - mine is ! (And my 2005 & 2006) You will
need though to cut one of the PCB tracks behind the switch which gives you
on/off instead of on/dim. I can't remember which track though so do a
search for '2004 light mods'. The readout is perfectly legible without the
backlight.

RustY

2506. Yes - Do it !!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 16:44:45 UTC
From: RustY <RustY@Hotmale.Com>
Message-ID: <dGVy8.14457$Mi.2627766@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 48923

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:esgdcuo2n7ai6tbq2fn68q2t6at07ite0s@4ax.com...
> Never had a scanner, always had it in the back of my mind to get one.
>
> Saw a local ad for a Radio Shack Pro-2006 in "mint condition"

Go for it. the 2006 is an excellent scanner. And an excellent tool to
learn on.

RustY
>

2507. Re: Alinco DJ X2000 E Multi Mode Receiver- SOLD!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 16:57:22 UTC
From: egww@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020428125722.01912.00006094@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 48924

> I would be grateful to know where we can find the DJ-X2000E listed for that
>great price
>Grateful Jim

curious repies gentlemen, I work in Nicaragua and I have searched European and
Canadian and Central American markets for the unblocked version
at a decent price

after this "firestorm", am I correct to assume $499 for a DJ-X2000E (E for
european) is improbable ???
Still Grateful Jim

2508. Re: WinScan Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 17:00:48 UTC
From: frater mus <mouse.news@mousetrap.net>
Message-ID: <Xns91FE7B3591139ssbmousetrapnet@192.168.1.102>
Source record: 48925

27 Apr 2002: rommel_nz@yahoo.co.nz (Laurie J Drummond) wrote

> Apart from the demo software that expires in 30 days does anyone have
> a copy of the program available for free , I`m on a limited income and
> unable to purchase the full version?????

Some scanners have freeware that supports them (245int, etc). It
would be best if we knew which scanner you had.

--
L.V.X., brother mouse
http://www.mousetrap.net/~mouse/

2509. FS: Handheld scanner 800 MHz!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 17:10:46 UTC
From: preston23456@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020428131046.10498.00005440@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 48926

I have for sale a Radio Shack Pro-29 800 MHz handheld scanner. Works great.
Would like $40. You can see a picture of it on my website. I am not a dealer.
http://www.communications-plus.com/handheld.html

reg

2510. ARNewsline 1289 - April 26 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 17:22:57 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020428132257.19436.00005484@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 48927

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1289 - April 26 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1289 with a release date of
Friday, April 26th, 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. Amateur radio aids in the aftermath of two rail accidents. This story is first on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1289 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

RESCUE RADIO: HAM RADIO ASSISTS AT CALIFORNIA TRAIN COLLISION SITE

Amateur radio played a pivotal role following two major rail accidents occurring within a few days of one another. On Tuesday April 23rd, a Southern California Metrolink commuter train headed to San Juan Capistrano collided with a mile long freight train that may have missed a stop signal and wandered onto the same track. 2 people on the commuter train were injured and over 260 injured. Local hospitals were swamped with casualties. Joe Moell, K0OV, has more:

--
About four times a year, the hospitals and emergency responders in Orange County, California, hold large-scale drills to test the patient triage and transportation procedures that must be followed in the event of a mass casualty incident. The Hospital Disaster Support Communication System -- an ARES group with agreements to support all 35 hospitals in the county -- is always asked to participate. So it was on Tuesday, April 23 as two dozen HDSCS members awaited their assignments for a mock hazardous material incident, to be staged on a shopping street in Brea, California at 9 AM.

But it was not to be. At 8:10 AM, just 5-1/2 miles away in the city of Placentia, a 6000-ton mile-long freight train collided with a Metrolink double-decker commuter train. HDSCS hams were immediately assigned to the fourteen hospitals expected to receive patients. For the next 4-1/2 hours, a total of 28 hams provided vital links between these hospitals, the county's Central Point ambulance dispatch, and the county's Emergency Medical Service agency.

--
[sound bite 1]
--
Other traffic included verifying victim dispatch, locating victims, providing hospitals with information for inquiring victim families, and liaison with hams supporting the Red Cross. Within some hospitals, hams provided direct

communications between triage areas, Emergency Departments, and Command Posts. Dennis Kidder WA6NIA tells how important this was at one facility:

--
[sound bite 2]
--
This was the 75th actual hospital communications emergency in the 21-year history of HDSCS. From Orange County California, this is Joe Moell K-zero-Oscar-Victor for Amateur Radio Newsline.

--

More on this in a future Amateur Radio Newsline report. (ARNewsline™)

(Note: For more information about this organization and about effective ham radio support for hospitals, go to www.hdscs.org.)

**

RESCUE RADIO: HAM RADIO ASSISTS AT FLORIDA DERAILMENT

Ham radio also helped provided coordination of emergency services following a train wreck near Crescent City Florida on Thursday April 18th. The accident happened at about 5:15 P-M Eastern time. Billy Williams, N4UF reports from Jacksonville:

--

The accident killed four passengers and injured 159 other passengers. It happened after the engineer of an ill-fated Amtrak train saw damaged track and hit the emergency brakes.

Rescue workers say 21 of the train's 40 cars including 14 of the 16 passenger cars derailed. Seven flipped on their sides, lying along the mangled track in a zig-zag pattern. Some passengers escaped through windows, while dozens of were trapped inside for hours.

Soon after the accident Bill Krueger, KF4EJD, was on the scene and at the wreck site relaying information to hams associated with ARES. Other hams soon had portable stations operational at the Putnam County Emergency Operations Center and the Crescent City High School shelter. A secondary shelter at Middleton-Burney Elementary School was established to assist in moving the passengers.

At the peak of activity, about 400 people were sheltered at Crescent City High School where a triage center had been set up. Also, a group from the Northeast Florida Chapter American Red Cross headquartered in Jacksonville was sent to the scene. This included several Jacksonville ham radio operators and a Red Cross Emergency Response vehicle.

Two hospitals in Jacksonville were staffed by Duval ARES operators to provide status reports to medical volunteers who were ready to head to the site if needed. Their help was not required and the hospital ham stations were secured at 10 PM Eastern time..

Meantime., the Florida Crown Emergency Net was in operation on the W4IZ Repeater System which was linked to the KF4PXZ system in Palatka. The net was in session from 5:30 to after 11PM.

There were many hams who volunteered in this emergency. Far to many to list here. And they once again proved that ham radio was willing and able to help when called on.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Billy Williams, N4UF, in Jacksonville Florida.

--

According to news reports, many of the train's 418 passengers were senior citizens traveling between northern homes and winter retreats. (N4UF, ARNewsline™)

**

REALLOCATION: FCC PUTS ARRL NOVICE BAND PROPOSAL TO PUBLIC COMMENT

Comments are due to the FCC by May 16 on An ARRL petition that would eliminate the 80, 40 and 15-meter Novice and Technician Plus CW subbands. The information is from an ARRL Bulletin. Amateur Radio Newsline's Paul Courson WA3VJB, reports from the nations capital:

--
Designated RM-10413, the notice is aimed at reusing the current Novice spectrum in part to expand the 80 and 40 meter phone subbands. The ARRL's petition was filed in March asks the FCC to eliminate the Novice and Technician Plus Morse only subbands and divvy them up alleviate what the ARRL sees as overcrowding elsewhere.

If the FCC goes along, the current Novice and Technician Plus would be permitted to operate on the 80, 40, 15 and 10-meter General-class CW allocations at up to 200 Watts output. For General and higher class operators, the ARRL plan would implement changes in the 80, 40 and 15-meter phone bands, expanding phone segments for many amateurs.

But the ARRL petition goes well beyond just refarming the Novice bands. The League also seeks FCC permission to use spread spectrum on 222 to 225 MHz. It also proposes to expand the pool of special event call signs beyond the 1 by 1 format to include identifiers for United States territories that do not provide for mailing addresses. The ARRL also wants to incorporate into the rules a 1990 FCC waiver authorizing amateurs in specific areas of Colorado and Wyoming to operate on certain segments of the 33-cm band. Lastly, the petition asks that the government clarify rules to indicate that modulated CW is permitted for repeater station identification.

May 16th is the designated commentary cutoff date on RM-10413, and the FCC is expecting a lot of response on this one.

Paul Courson, WA3VJB, Washington.

--

And we at Amateur Radio Newsline want to know your position on the reallocation of the current Novice subbands? Do you like the ARRL proposal? Do you have what you consider a better one? Should the subbands even be re-farmed? We would like to hear from you. Please send us a short e-mail with your opinion and we will put some of them on the air in future newscasts. The electronic address is news;ine@arnewsline.org. (ARRL, ARNewsline™)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, we are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the K6LY repeater serving Monterey California.

(5 sec pause here)

**
RADIO RULES: HAM PETITIONS FOR MANDATORY FIELD REPAIRABLE RIGS

How would you like to be able to take the cover off your radio and replace a plug-in highly complex integrated circuit, put the cover back on and have the radio work again. Kind of the way it was when tubes rather than solid state ruled ham radio. Well, that is what Nickolaus Leggett, N3NL, says hams should be able to do and he is asking the FCC to provide the rules to make it so. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, has the details:

--
Nikolaus Leggett filed his request to the FCC in February. After reviewing it, the Commission has assigned it as RM-10412 and has set May 16th as a commentary cutoff date.

What Leggett suggests is not really new. He believes that Amateur Radio operators should have a fighting chance to keep their own gear operational. He acknowledges that today’s high tech equipment may not be component level serviceable, but he as asking the FCC to make mandatory certain key aspects of design so that a ham with a general knowledge of troubleshooting techniques might get a broken radio back in operation. Especially in time of a declared emergency.

--
Leggett: “What I proposed is that the Commission establish some sort of standards that would essentially establish that equipment be field repairable in some manner. Either it should have replaceable modules or replaceable circuit boards or parts on a circuit board should be spaced at a certain distance so that you can access them. There should be LED indicators on digital busses. There should be test points to take voltage and current measurements. In other words the equipment should be accessible for the Amateur to repair or modify it.”
--

Leggett acknowledges that should the FCC adopt his recommendations it will probably lead some makers of ham gear to drop out of the United States Amateur Radio marketplace:

--
Legget: “My response on that is to look at the QRP field. There is a very nice Single Sideband QRP transceiver kit, which costs about $700. It is fully field repairable. It is advertised allot in QST Magazine, and this indicates that there certainly are vendors in the marketplace who can come out with a nice piece of equipment; highly regarded; very well reviewed; and at a reasonable price that is field repairable.”
--

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Bruce Tennant, K6PZW in Los Angeles.

--

You can hear lots more with Nickolaus Leggett on this weeks RAIN report. Take your web browser to www.rainreport.com or call area code 847-827-7246. Meantime, the manufacturers who supply ham gear are expected to file in opposition to the Leggett rule making request. (ARNewsline™, RAIN)

**

SPECTRUM USE: FCC ON AMTS AND DENIALS TO HAMS

The FCC has approved proposed rules allowing geographic based licensing of coast stations in the Automated Maritime Telecommunications Service, the primary user of the 219-220 MHz band. According to the ARRL Letter, the Commission also agreed to consider an ARRL petition for changes in the rules governing the secondary amateur allocation at 219-220 MHz.

Amateur use of the band within 80 kilometers of an the Automated Maritime Telecommunications Service or AMTS coast station is currently requires permission from the AMTS licensee. Industry practice has been to routinely deny such requests, regardless of channel separation.

Now, the FCC will consider whether AMTS licensees denying permission should be required to give a technical justification for the denial. This, in conjunction with the ongoing 3-G proceeding that's considering use of 216-220 MHz for new technologies. (ARRL)

**

SPECTRUM REALLOCATION: CONGRESS PROPOSES DELAY IN UHF CHANNEL AUCTION

Congressional lawmakers say that they plan to introduce legislation to delay indefinitely the Federal Communications Commission's June sale spectrum currently used by television broadcasters to wireless carriers. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Norm Seeley, KI7UP, Takes a closer look at what is about to transpire:

--
The proposed legislation is being sponsored by House Energy and Commerce Chairman Billy Tauzin and ranking Democrat Representative John Dingell. The current law requires the FCC to auction the spectrum used channels television channels 52 through 59 by September 30th of this year. But if Congress says O-K, the measure will eliminate the legal deadline in September for an auction be conducted. It will also tell the agency not to go forward with the sale

slated for June 19th.

The anticipated congressional action is intended to give more breathing room for broadcasters to move off their current analog television channels. It would also give mobile telephone carriers more certainty about a date when they would obtain this spectrum so that they make available a number of new services.

So far, no one has gone to court to force the FCC to hold the sale.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Norm Seeley, KI7UP.

--

More on this story in future amateur Radio Newsline reports. (Published news reports)

**

RADIO RULES: COURT SAYS OWNERSHIP CAPS ARBITRARY AND CAPRICIOUS

Another blow to those who support a cap on the number of media outlets any one company can own. This, as a federal appeals court rules in favor of easing an FCC regulation that bars a broadcaster from owning two TV stations -- known as a duoploly -- in a single market. That is, unless there are eight other broadcast TV stations in that same market.

The court held that the Federal Communications Commission was arbitrary and capricious in excluding cable companies and other media outlets from the eight outlet standard. The ruling also admonished the FCC for failing to back up its argument that diversity and localism are protected by broadcast stations alone.

The court stopped short of declaring the duopoly rule unconstitutional and throwing it out altogether. Rather it directed the FCC to rethink that part of the rule dictating what constitutes a broadcast voice in any given market. (Published news reports)

**

RADIO LAW FOLLOW-UP: TOWERS VS. BIRDS

The United States Fish and Wildlife Service believes that communications towers are estimated to kill 4 to 5 million birds each year. And the service says that these kills violate the spirit and the intent of the Migratory Bird Treaty Act.

Now, a new organization called the National Antenna Consortium has been formed. Its purpose is to help communications companies deal with bird kills and other vexing issues associated with tower construction.

The CGC Communicator reports the group held a meeting at the recent National Association of Broadcasters meeting in Las Vegas. Speakers included Andrew Skotdal of KRKO Radio and attorneys Barry Umansky and Fred Hopengarten, K1VR. More information is on the web at migratorybirds.fws.gov (CGC Communicator)

**

NAMES IN THE NEWS: KB0G SPEARHEADING LINUX

A alternative computer operating system now has a ham helping to develop it. Nate Dueher, WY0X, reports:

--
One of the worlds largest Linux organizations now has a ham radio operator as its leader. Bdale Garbee, KB0G, of Blackforrest Colorado, has been elected as the 2002 Project Leader for Debian Linux. Bdale, who is known by many hams for his excellent work on many Amateur Radio projects including the RUDAK system on board AO-40 has also been on the software development team for Debian Linux for many years.

Debian -- spelled D-E-B-I-A-N -- has excellent support for many popular ham radio modes including CW, RTTY, packet radio, APRS, PSK-31 and many others. It is a version of the Linux operating system known world wide as dedicated to free software, quality and even ham radio. Bdale’s leadership skills should prove to be a match made in technology.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Nate Nueher, NY0X, in Colorado.
--

More information on Debian Linux can be found in cyberspace at www.debian.org. (NY0X, ARNewsline™)

**

Break 2

This is ham radio news for todays radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

**

THE THANK YOU CORNER WITH ANY JAREMA, N6TCQ

Last week, Support Fund Administrator Andy Jarema, N6TCQ, presented the latest Newsline fiscal report. Andy is back with us this week to say thanks to those who supported Amateur Radio Newsline™ in the month of January. Here is N6TCQ:

--

In the month of January we heard from Benton Bonney, W4PE of Orlando Jodie Steggerda, N8ALJ of Champaign, IL. From California, we heard from GEARS, the Golden Empire Amateur Radio Society of Chico, as well as associate Joann Biegler, William Walters, WA2IBM of San Jose CARA, the Catalina Amateur Repeater Association Bruce Murray of Seal Beach and Jim Truran, KE6FNJ of Encino.

Thanks to everybody for their support. A reminder that Newsline is a 501c 3 and a California non-profit corporation; all contributions are tax-deductible. The address for the Newsline Support fund is PO Box 660937, Arcadia, CA

91066. I'm Andy Jarema, N6TCQ.

--

Thanks Andy. (N6TCQ)

**

RADIO BUSINESS: AEROFLEX BUYS IFR

If you use R-F test equipment to keep your repeater operating, listen up. According to Chris Boone, WB5ITT, Wichita Kansas based Aeroflex Inc. is acquiring IFR Systems Inc. – maker of the famed IFR Spectrum Analyzer and other R-F test gear. According to an April 15th press release, Aeroflex is paying approximately $60 million in cash for IFR. (WB5ITT)

**

HAMVENTION 2002: ARRL DIGITAL VOICE FORUM

The ARRL Digital Voice Working Group will host a Digital Voice Forum at the 2002 Dayton Hamvention. The session will include presentations from experts in the fields of digital-audio hardware, software and other technical details. Applications from rag-chewing to emergency communications to digital audio broadcasting will be covered. The date is Sunday, May 19th at 10:15 at the Hara Arena Meeting Room 1 immediately following the ARRL Forum. (ARRL)

**

INTERNATIONAL – UK: SPECIAL EVENT STATION GB2PK

On the international scene, word that United Kingdom special event station GB2PK will be on the air intermittently from the 26th of April for a month from the Porthcurno Telegraph Museum in Cornwall. The operation will use both vintage 1950’s military and modern ham radio gear. QSL as directed on the air. (GB2RS)

**

INTERNATIONAL – SOUTH KOREA: SPECIAL EVENT STATION HL17FCW

Also involved in a celebration HL17FWC. This station is on the air from South Korea to commemorate the 17th FIFA Football World Cup. QSL via HLZ0HQ. (GB2RS)

**

CONTESTS - WRTC 2002: UNITED ARAB EMIRATES TEAM INVITED TO 2002 EVENT

From the world of contesting, word that the WRTC 2002 Organizing Committee has decided to invite the A61AJ team from the United Arab Emirates to be the representative of the contesting community in the Middle East. According to a WRTC press release, the teams operating from A61AJ have achieved superb results over the past few years. WRTC planners say that this invitation seeks to recognize this fact. Team UAE will be led by Ali Al-Futtaim, A61AJ. Al-Futtaim is well known as the driving force behind the development of what is one of

the premier contest superstations in the Middle East and Asia. (WRTC)

**

DX

In D-X, Cuban VHF DX'er Arnie Coro, CO2KK says over the VHF Reflector that six meters is, properly named the magic band. According to Coro, while testing a balcony mounted half wave dipole he heard VK2ZF calling CQ on CW several times near 50.106 MHz. Coro says that the signal was good copy with no signs of echoes. Arnie responded but with only two watts and a dipole, no Q-S-O was made. Coro says that if he had been running at last 5 watts and a beam, that contact would have been made. (VHF Reflector)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: AN EDITORIAL - WHERE HAVE ALL THE NOMINATIONS GONE?

And finally this week, an editorial word about the Amateur Radio Newsline Young Ham of the Year Award. Here is our producer Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF:

--
Im going to start this commentary with one word: Help!

Why help? Well its now the end of April. The nominating period for the 2002 Amateur Radio Newsline Young Ham of the Year Award has been open since February 1st. We have announced it at the end of every newscast for almost three months. Sadly, to date, we have not received what we consider to be a single viable nomination. Not one!

Normally by now we have received at least a dozen or more nominations. As this is written, all we have are the usual letters asking that we enter a youngster in a contest that some think the award to be. To paraphrase one: "Our 5 year old just got her ham license so send a free radio."

But that is it! And for the record; the Young Ham of the Year Award is not a contest. Rather its a way to honor the accomplishments of youth in ham radio. It has been that -- and only that -- since 1986.

The nominating period for this years award closes on May 30th. Just about 4 weeks from now. As it stands, there may be no Young Ham of the Year Award presented in 2002 unless we begin to receive valid nominations. And that is where you come in.

Do you know of a young ham -- age 18 or younger? One who has accomplished something really special using Amateur Radio? Well, what are you waiting for? Now is the time to nominate that person.

Does your radio club have a youngster who has been an outstanding member. Maybe one who does far more for the club that most of the grown-up hams? Again, what are you waiting for? This very moment is the time nominate that boy or girl.

How about that quiet young ham who is always willing to volunteer in public service events? You know; the one who does everything from organizing the

communications to keeping the club repeater operating. Ill say it once again: What are you waiting for? Nominate that person.

I think you get the idea.

OK. How do you file a nomination? Simple. You go to www.arnewsline.org, scroll down to Young Ham of the Year Award. Click on the rules. Read them. Click on the nominating form. Download it. Fill it out and mail it in or e-mail it to us. Its that easy.

We at the Amateur Radio Newsline™ believe that the future of our hobby, our service and our nation is in the hands of the young. It will be the next generation of young hams who will be the technologists, public service leaders and political leaders of this new millennium. The Young Ham of the Year Award is one way to guarantee that there will be this “next generation” of ham radio leaders. By taking the time to nominate a youngster for this award, you take one step to help insure the future of Amateur Radio.

Thank you. Roy.

--

If the award is made this year, the youngster selected will receive a trip to the Huntsville Hamfest and a ham radio gift courtesy of Vertex Standard. He or she will also be treated to a week at Spacecamp Huntsville thanks to the folks at C-Q magazine. (ARNewsline™)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline™ Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. Our mailing address is PO Box 660937, Arcadia California 91066. More information about this newscast and the Young Ham of the Year Award is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm Roy Neal, K6DUE saying 73 and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline™ is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

2511. Eastern Panhandle of West Virginia Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 17:23:25 UTC
From: 10101010010101 <10101010010101@unitedstates.com>
Message-ID: <ucoc30cic5g7c5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48928

Does anyone have any freqs for the easter panhandle of WV? Specifically
around Harper's Ferry and Charles Town?
Thanks,
Serra

--
http://1010101001010100101.unitedstates.com/index.html

2512. Re: U.K. Replies only please. PRO 2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 18:04:32 UTC
From: **Sorcerer** <spamrog@walesuk.net>
Message-ID: <aahdjf$rh9$1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 48929

Try Ebay

--
Sorcerer

Remove spam please.

.
"Sid" <kpm91e@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:58e3f7fc.0204280223.43a3ebdf@posting.google.com...
> Hi
>
> I have just recently aquired a realistic PRO 2042 for £50. I am very
> impressed with it ....so much so I am looking for another for
> different room in the house.
> Does anyone have a 2042 for sale near this price or can anyone advise
> me where I could find one. Thank you all in advance for your help.
>
> Sid

2513. FS Uniden 895xlt 300 ch. trunk tracker $160 shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 20:33:15 UTC
From: Magnum45 <Magnum45@mn.rr.com>
Message-ID: <f0Zy8.60988$rC2.13464237@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 48930

Hi i have a like new uniden 895xlt trunk tracker 300 channel scanner
forsale.
Box and all that came with as new. $160 shipped lower 48 states
Ups insured.
e-mail me direct.

2514. Re: Backlight question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 21:34:14 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3ccc6ba5.20467866@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 48931

I just did the backlight on my 2006. Not all that hard to do, I have
two left hands!

On Sun, 28 Apr 2002 17:41:56 +0100, "RustY" <RustY@Hotmale.Com> wrote:

>
><tucansam@whodis.org> wrote in message
>news:aadip5$7nn$1@reader1.panix.com...
>> I have several Radio Shack scanners (Pro 2026, 2004) .... , I'd
>really like to do something about toggling the backlight on/off so I don't
>burn it out prematurely.
>
>Certainly the 2004 can be done - mine is ! (And my 2005 & 2006) You will
>need though to cut one of the PCB tracks behind the switch which gives you
>on/off instead of on/dim. I can't remember which track though so do a
>search for '2004 light mods'. The readout is perfectly legible without the
>backlight.
>
>RustY
>
>
>

2515. For Sale AOR 8200 full features...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 21:52:57 UTC
From: luna <luna@ultinet.net>
Message-ID: <3CCC6F39.B179077F@ultinet.net>
Source record: 48944

ASKING $ 460.00
In excellent shape . Email for more info?

2516. Re: DJ X2000 Freqs ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-28 22:14:08 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3ccc7446$0$3341$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 48932
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

These ranges were blocked on the early DJ-X2000T
I reviewed in June 2001 Monitoring Times:

824 - 850, 869 - 895, and 1432.6 - 1504 MHz

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

2517. Re: Any Ideas BC200xlt::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-29 00:28:23 UTC
From: JJJJJJJJJJ <oaktownboy@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <qYRy8.27662$Yt.1619087@read1.cgocable.net>
Source record: 48918

i had the same problem, a soldered wire came loose inside near the top
just below the squelch knob. Take it apart and remove the middle
board that is plugged into the main board and you will probably see it

"The_AntiArt" <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land> wrote in message
news:01C40D36068664AF.7C555849FFB0EFEA.5303ED0D7052EEEC@lp.airnews.net...
> On Fri, 26 Apr 2002 17:21:34 GMT, rugerp85
> <rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net> wrote:
>
> >Any Ideas BC200xlt::Have a Uniden BC200xlt and it has NO
> >SOUND......The light does not come on at all,programs fine,and seems
> >to take a charge ok,I've programmed frequencies for my area in and it
> >does not stop on anything so I assume it's not rxing properly
> >either.......would it be safe to say the thing is fried,or any
> >opinions greatly appreciated,as to what the problem would be,thanks at
> >100% in advance
>
> Here is the probable solution. This excerpt was taken from::
> http://www.repairfaq.org/REPAIR/F_BC_Repair.html
>
>
> Uniden/Bearcat BC200XLT Portable - Loss of Audio and Dial Lamp
>
> If you can program frequencies into your BC200XLT but there is no
> audio and the green backlight no longer functions, a tiny transistor
> may have failed.
>
> Check for a defective PNP surface mount transistor, Q201
> (2SB815B6-YDY). Q201 is used as a switch to furnish 8 VDC to several
> stages of the BC200XLT. Its main purpose is to switch off power hungry
> stages of the BC200XLT when the CPU thinks the NiCd voltage has fallen
> below a threshold. That's an attempt to limit the current drain on
> weak NiCds to avoid permanent damage.
>
> Q201 is located on the foil side of the "Micom" board, adjacent to the
> black multi-pin connector which mates the Micom and main boards
> together.
>
> Q201 can be destroyed by a few different causes, primarily, by
> something in the scanner drawing too much current through it. In one
> case, capacitor C36 shorted. It's a 220 uF 10v electrolytic, located
> on the component side of the main board, connected from pin 8 of the
> audio IC (IC2 NJM386SL) to ground. Capacitor C55 shorted in another
> BC200XLT. Gary Bean reports he substituted a 2SA1298 for Q201 and it

> worked fine. In a pinch you bypass Q201 by soldering a short piece of
> bare wire between the collector and emitter, but you must first fix
> the component which caused Q201 to fail.

2518. Re: DJ X2000 Freqs ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-29 00:38:55 UTC
From: Patch <johnn@keenelandfarms.com>
Message-ID: <ucp5h4qn9ts3da@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 48933
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks Bob ...

Why block the 1432 Mhz to 1504 Mhz range do you suppose. Is this an imaging thing also ???

John

"Bob_Parnass_AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net> wrote in message news:3ccc7446$0$3341$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com...
> These ranges were blocked on the early DJ-X2000T
> I reviewed in June 2001 Monitoring Times:
>
> 824 - 850, 869 - 895, and 1432.6 - 1504 MHz
>
> --
> ===
> Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
> Linux user

2519. Andy's Spreadsheet program?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-29 00:41:34 UTC
From: "J. Thomas" <???@spamsux.net>
Message-ID: <2F0z8.26496$vX.3502@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 48934

Does anyone know where I can download the 780 program that is called Andy's Spreadsheet program" or something along those lines?

Thanks!

JT

2520. Re: ICOM-R3 hatchet job? (blocks more than cell?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-29 00:50:32 UTC
From: jabb3rw0cky@netscape.net
Message-ID: <8354e2f6.0204281650.5fc50561@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48935

i DID find this description of the frequency range at
http://www.aesham.com

Frequency Range: USA version: 0.495-815.995MHz, 902-2450.095MHz;
Non-USA version: 0.495-2450.095MHz

funny enough, bandercom nor aesham have replied to my emails about
export sales.

oh well...

one of these babies coupled with a "nanobug" from
http://www.supercircuits.com would be pretty useful for covert
surveillance...

http://www.supercircuits.com/STORE/prodinfo.asp?number=AVX434S2&variation=&aitem=5&mitem=5

jabb3rw0cky@netscape.net (jabb3rw0cky) wrote in message
news:<8354e2f6.0204201657.66577b9a@posting.google.com>...
> does the US version of the ICOM-R3 have more than just the AMPS cell
> phone frequency range blocked?
>
> i was disappointed to discover that some manufacturers (like Yaesu)
> will block valid frequency ranges up in 900Mhz just to avoid receiving
> "cell images" on dual-conversion scanners/ht's
>
> what i'm really interested in knowing is whether valid ATV frequencies
> in the 900Mhz range are somehow blocked "by accident" in the Icom R3.
>
> thanks.

2521. Yee Haw! Princeton to Increase Redneck Quotient

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-29 01:06:46 UTC
From: Norm <CatchMe@Cheers.com>
Message-ID: <G01z8.39193$Il1.1989@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 48936
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,ott.general,ott.news,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone who claims that Princeton is a diverse community has about as much common sense as a goat's left nut sack. After years of avoiding the feared diversity question, the administration has at last formulated a plan to increase the representation of one conspicuously absent group, a group that has been left behind by what the elites call "culture," or "good grooming." You'll know them from those toothless grins that can only be the product of years of inbreeding: the hicks with a penchant for moonshine, NASCAR, and the NRA. They are the white trash, and their time at Princeton has come. While the Trustees are pushing an increase in the Redneck Quotient, Deans Hargadon and Montero cite many possible problems. Not withstanding the unusually low SAT scores among the group and the generally unsanitary living conditions that trailer trash prefer, further difficulties might include convincing the group to come to Princeton, an upper middle class town with a dearth of such standard white trash attractions as auto racing tracks, bowling alleys, and cheap hookers / loose cousins. "So we might not have the amenities these people desire, so we might not be able to attract the cream of the redneck crop," Hargadon said. "We will get some rednecks. They might not be the best rednecks, but gosh darn it, they're better then the rednecks we have now. Dumb rednecks beat no rednecks any day."

One plan to increase Princeton's redneck attractiveness is to construct a Center - much like the current CJL - for Redneck Life; or, to be politically correct, a Center for the Culturally, Mentally, Economically, Sanitarily, and Chromosomally Impaired. The center plans to offer tutors in basic English to teach the new students such valuable life skills as how to formulate sentences with both nouns and verbs, and the key difference between the plural "Y'all," and the singular "Y'all."

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

2522. forsale pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-29 01:10:03 UTC
From: bob <bobe@clarityconnect.com>
Message-ID: <R31z8.230$3s4.179919@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 48937

pro-2006 for sale. 9+ condition\with original box $295.00+shipping.
you will be pleased with it.

2523. Re: Yee Haw! Princeton to Increase Redneck Quotient

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-29 01:29:32 UTC
From: Alexander McNaughton <treehug3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7m1z8.3631$kq1.420488@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 48938
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,ott.general,ott.news,rec.radio.scanner

"Anyone who claims that Princeton is a diverse community has about as much common sense as a goat's left nut sack. "

You mean a goat has more than one nutsack?

Norm <CatchMe@Cheers.com> wrote in message news:G01z8.39193$Il1.1989@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> Anyone who claims that Princeton is a diverse community has about as much
> common sense as a goat's left nut sack. After years of avoiding the feared
> diversity question, the administration has at last formulated a plan to
> increase the representation of one conspicuously absent group, a group that
> has been left behind by what the elites call "culture," or "good grooming."
> You'll know them from those toothless grins that can only be the product of
> years of inbreeding: the hicks with a penchant for moonshine, NASCAR, and
> the NRA. They are the white trash, and their time at Princeton has come.
> While the Trustees are pushing an increase in the Redneck Quotient, Deans
> Hargadon and Montero cite many possible problems. Not withstanding the
> unusually low SAT scores among the group and the generally unsanitary living
> conditions that trailer trash prefer, further difficulties might include
> convincing the group to come to Princeton, an upper middle class town with a
> dearth of such standard white trash attractions as auto racing tracks,
> bowling alleys, and cheap hookers / loose cousins. "So we might not have the
> amenities these people desire, so we might not be able to attract the cream
> of the redneck crop," Hargadon said. "We will get some rednecks. They might
> not be the best rednecks, but gosh darn it, they're better then the rednecks
> we have now. Dumb rednecks beat no rednecks any day."
>
> One plan to increase Princeton's redneck attractiveness is to construct a
> Center - much like the current CJL - for Redneck Life; or, to be politically
> correct, a Center for the Culturally, Mentally, Economically, Sanitarily,
> and Chromosomally Impaired. The center plans to offer tutors in basic
> English to teach the new students such valuable life skills as how to
> formulate sentences with both nouns and verbs, and the key difference
> between the plural "Y'all," and the singular "Y'all."

>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002
>
>
>

2524. BC780 Question on scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-29 01:33:42 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <jl8pcu8c1pr3b48oqk87k8pdd3ipb6tjk7@4ax.com>
Source record: 48939

Can you scan both conventional frequencies and trunking or just one or
the other??

2525. Baby Monitor Secure Communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-29 02:44:50 UTC
From: jimdpeters@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020428224450.04386.00009446@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 48940

I hope you guys can help me. I'm in need of a baby monitor that CANNOT be listened to by someone with a scanning receiver. Is there such a thing? I need the foolowing features . . .

1. I need some type of room monitor that has secure communications, spread spectrum maybe.

2. What I'm looking to do is set the transmitter in my radio room and be able to take the receiver to any part of the house and listen to the audio in the radio room.

I figured if anyone would know it would be you guys. Any suggestions would be GREATLY appreciated.

Jim Peters
Portland, Oregon
jimdpeters@aol.com

2526. Re: Baby Monitor Secure Communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-29 03:00:11 UTC
From: MRK <me@you.com>
Message-ID: <ucpdr4srt6qo29@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48941

Ramsey Electronics makes a simple scramble/descramble kit. It's frequency inversion, but the average idiot can't hack it. By the way, if you hear a conversation that's freq inverted and you have a ssb radio with a VFO that can lock to the conversation you can tune a little above or below the freq with USB or LSB and decode the conversation.
"Jimdpeters" <jimdpeters@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020428224450.04386.00009446@mb-fi.aol.com...
> I hope you guys can help me. I'm in need of a baby monitor that CANNOT be
> listened to by someone with a scanning receiver. Is there such a thing? I need
> the foolowing features . . .
>
> 1. I need some type of room monitor that has secure communications, spread
> spectrum maybe.
>
> 2. What I'm looking to do is set the transmitter in my radio room and be able
> to take the receiver to any part of the house and listen to the audio in the
> radio room.
>
> I figured if anyone would know it would be you guys. Any suggestions would be
> GREATLY appreciated.
>
> Jim Peters
> Portland, Oregon
> jimdpeters@aol.com

2527. Re: Moderator?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-29 03:38:48 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <aaif88cr0@63.162.246.190>
Source record: 48942

On Sat, 27 Apr 2002 21:37:27 GMT, Never anonymous Bud
<thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote:

>Having lost their job as a dog catcher, "pop" <sumpin@doughnuts.com> posted this..
> ...
>>Who moderates this newsgroup?
>
>
>We used to have a self-appointed Moderator,
>but he's been gone for a while (thank the gods).
>

Shhh... He may come back. Of course, he will try to blame Bill Cheek
if he does...

2528. Re: Radio Shack website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-29 04:56:48 UTC
From: radiotronicgizzmo <radiotronicgizzmo@spydercat.com>
Message-ID: <tl4z8.87173$tt4.5072820@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 48943

just canceled my cable (TWC RoadRunner) after 2 1/2 years and got DSL. Best
move I made.
Goes to show -- no real perferct solution --- cable is FAST when it works.
Trick is to catch it working.

so far dsl (bellsouth) stays consistant 1.2-1.3mb/s

"Bruce or Tracy Jacobs" <bljacobs2@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:3CCC12E9.47E425AF@mchsi.com...
Cable is nice - we have it, finally. Zoom!!! And, since @HOME finally
bit the dust,
we have better cable service, too.

SB wrote:
>
> "VHFRadioBuff" wrote:
>
> >Just accessed it no problem, 12:16am EST...
>
> Thanks ...I'm sure I'll get similar reports from all who reply.
>
> I can't wait for my DSL contract to expire (November) so I can switch
> to cable.
>
> Ameritech/SBC has too many problems with their DNS and with short
> outages.

2529. Re: BC780 Question on scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-29 12:20:21 UTC
From: pro2036@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10187-3CCD3A85-439@storefull-2354.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 48946

Both...

2530. Re: BC780 Question on scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-29 12:40:43 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <fbbz8.1193$ro.272468@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 48945

"rugerp85 @adelphia.net>" <rugerp85<remove> wrote in message
news:jl8pcu8c1pr3b48oqk87k8pdd3ipb6tjk7@4ax.com...
> Can you scan both conventional frequencies and trunking or just one or
> the other??

Suprisingly, you can do BOTH!

--

/`\\\
(@ @)
--------ooO---(_)---Ooo----------

�Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KG�WX�
� Design Services Company �
� http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com �

� Smoke free since 9/30/96! �

� ICQ# 63379650 ? ?

2531. Re: BC780 Question on scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-29 12:45:46 UTC
From: Chris <n8cop@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <aajfc7fvu1@nsnmrro2-gest.nuria.telefonica-data.net>
Source record: 48847

Yes, the 780xlt will scan both conventional and trunked banks at the same time. Nice radio. Can't wait to see what Uniden's upcoming digital trunker (785xlt) will be like !!

Chris

2532. Re: BC780 Question on scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-29 14:04:58 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <gnkqcu8pe9c0vrp2hl4uoh0ajrhr5uo1uh@4ax.com>
Source record: 48848

thanks for the responses ,much appreciated... :0)

2533. Re: DJ X2000 Freqs ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-29 15:02:51 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3ccd60b0$0$1412$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 48849
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yes, there would be cellular phone images
in that range because. The DJ-X2000T
employs a first IF of 304.3 MHz in the 1400 MHz area.

On Sun, 28 Apr 2002 19:38:55 -0500, Patch wrote:

> Thanks Bob ...
>
> Why block the 1432 Mhz to 1504 Mhz range do you suppose. Is this an
> imaging thing also ???
>
> John
>
> "Bob_Parnass_AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net> wrote in message
> news:3ccc7446$0$3341$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com...
>> These ranges were blocked on the early DJ-X2000T I reviewed in June
>> 2001 Monitoring Times:
>>
>> 824 - 850, 869 - 895, and 1432.6 - 1504 MHz

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

2534. Re: Andy's Spreadsheet program?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-29 15:13:16 UTC
From: Greg <not@shown.here>
Message-ID: <imoqcu0krbmopmlfcq4npfpihaq6shl874@4ax.com>
Source record: 48850

On Mon, 29 Apr 2002 00:41:34 GMT, "J. Thomas" <???@spamsux.net> wrote:

>Does anyone know where I can download the 780 program that is called Andy's
>Spreadsheet program" or something along those lines?
>
>Thanks!
>
>JT
>

Join the bc780xlt group on yahoo, then proceed to the file's section.
Andy's Programmer is on there.

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/UnidenBC780XLT/join

2535. Re: Yee Haw! Princeton to Increase Redneck Quotient

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-29 15:49:47 UTC
From: esowden@mynra.com
Message-ID: <1a21a43b.0204290749.616445a8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48851
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,ott.general,ott.news,rec.radio.scanner

Sounds like Norm is excited. He finally gets to move his wife/cousin
out of the trailer park and make a new start with the uppity folk. Of
course - he'll be the one teaching the difference between singular
"Y'all" and plural "Y'all."
The down side is his children won't be able to marry their cousins,
but they can still marry each other.

(Rumor has it that Norm's wife/cousin is really his sister anyway. If
that's true, then his children will be able to marry their cousins.)

"Norm" <CatchMe@Cheers.com> wrote in message
news:<G01z8.39193$Il1.1989@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>...
> Anyone who claims that Princeton is a diverse community has about as much
> common sense as a goat's left nut sack. After years of avoiding the feared
> diversity question, the administration has at last formulated a plan to
> increase the representation of one conspicuously absent group, a group that
> has been left behind by what the elites call "culture," or "good grooming."
> You'll know them from those toothless grins that can only be the product of
> years of inbreeding: the hicks with a penchant for moonshine, NASCAR, and
> the NRA. They are the white trash, and their time at Princeton has come.
> While the Trustees are pushing an increase in the Redneck Quotient, Deans
> Hargadon and Montero cite many possible problems. Not withstanding the
> unusually low SAT scores among the group and the generally unsanitary living
> conditions that trailer trash prefer, further difficulties might include
> convincing the group to come to Princeton, an upper middle class town with a
> dearth of such standard white trash attractions as auto racing tracks,
> bowling alleys, and cheap hookers / loose cousins. "So we might not have the
> amenities these people desire, so we might not be able to attract the cream
> of the redneck crop," Hargadon said. "We will get some rednecks. They might
> not be the best rednecks, but gosh darn it, they're better then the rednecks
> we have now. Dumb rednecks beat no rednecks any day."
>
> One plan to increase Princeton's redneck attractiveness is to construct a
> Center - much like the current CJL - for Redneck Life; or, to be politically
> correct, a Center for the Culturally, Mentally, Economically, Sanitarily,
> and Chromosomally Impaired. The center plans to offer tutors in basic
> English to teach the new students such valuable life skills as how to
> formulate sentences with both nouns and verbs, and the key difference
> between the plural "Y'all," and the singular "Y'all."
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).

> Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

2536. Re: Baby Monitor Secure Communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-29 15:55:04 UTC
From: "Johan Denoyer"
Message-ID: <aajq8n$hl5$1@wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 48852

I do not see the point in having a baby monitor that uses secure
communications.
Except if the Bush has a new kid...
But anyways, I have never seen such a beast. Can anyone confirm?

--
Johan
jdenoy@#@digital-connexion.info (remove @#)

"Jimdpeters" <jimdpeters@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020428224450.04386.00009446@mb-fi.aol.com...
> I hope you guys can help me. I'm in need of a baby monitor that CANNOT be
> listened to by someone with a scanning receiver. Is there such a thing? I
need
> the foolowing features . . .
>
> 1. I need some type of room monitor that has secure communications, spread
> spectrum maybe.
>
> 2. What I'm looking to do is set the transmitter in my radio room and be
able
> to take the receiver to any part of the house and listen to the audio in
the
> radio room.
>
> I figured if anyone would know it would be you guys. Any suggestions would
be
> GREATLY appreciated.
>
> Jim Peters
> Portland, Oregon
> jimdpeters@aol.com

2537. Re: Radio Shack website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-29 15:57:41 UTC
From: "Johan Denoyer"
Message-ID: <aajqdkgel1@wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 48853

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:jqrmcukuau27ahdckgfaoenahchu5vqqk0@4ax.com...
> I've been having an IMPOSSIBLE time accessing the Radio Shack website,
> and suspect it's a problem with the domain name server at either my
> ISP (Ameritech/SBC) or AT&T: I had some other problems a few days ago
> and the owner of the hosting service where my personal website and a
> couple others I have are at said that those problems were due to an
> intermittent peering problem between SBC and AT&T).
>
> Is anyone else having problems with www.radioshack.com ?
>
> I want to access it to keep track of the price of the Pro-93 so I'll
> know when it goes on sale (I've always given a phony phone number
> whenever I've purchased from them so that I won't get their flyers in
> the mail).

As of monday april 29th:

Pro-93 300-Channel Dual-Trunking Handheld Scanner
$249.99 Brand: RadioShack
Cat.#: 20-523 Model: PRO-93

Just in case, you still cannot access it ;-)

Johan

2538. Re: Baby Monitor Secure Communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-29 17:20:01 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <evvqcus2rm722iv9tihltuhpa76nn99fbq@4ax.com>
Source record: 48854

On 29 Apr 2002 02:44:50 GMT, jimdpeters@aol.com (Jimdpeters) wrote:

>I hope you guys can help me. I'm in need of a baby monitor that CANNOT be
>listened to by someone with a scanning receiver. Is there such a thing? I need
>the foolowing features . . .
>
>1. I need some type of room monitor that has secure communications, spread
>spectrum maybe.

I haven't seen anything like that. Fisher-Price has their label on a
baby monitor with speech inversion "scrambling" to prevent casual
monitoring by others. For the unwashed idiots who can barely punch
the right numbers on their scanners, this is sufficient. However,
most folks reading this news group know how to defeat such measures.

>2. What I'm looking to do is set the transmitter in my radio room and be able
>to take the receiver to any part of the house and listen to the audio in the
>radio room.

I wish you the best of luck.

73

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

2539. PRO-2035 SCANNER (REDUCED!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-29 19:52:16 UTC
From: Russell <rustyspam@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3CCDA470.2080208@dccnet.com>
Source record: 48855

For Sale,(REDUCED!)
PRO-2035 1000 Channel scanner
10 banks of 100 channels
25-1300 Mhz (cell blocked)
Lots of features
Base model with dial tuner
This was Radio Shacks top end model at one time...
Comes with box and manual
""Like new condition""
$160 plus shipping
If interested please e-mail me at the below address only please
rusty@dccnet.com
Thanks-Russ

2540. MTD's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-29 21:30:21 UTC
From: John Ecklund <jecklund@fobalaser.com>
Message-ID: <ucrel8ofqpv3e4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48856

Can anyone tell me where I can info on MDT's and software? thanks for your help

2541. PRO-91 FOR SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-29 22:54:26 UTC
From: jimtown54@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <61fd296.0204291454.5a7a3311@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48857

Hi, I have a PRO-91 FOR SALE at $100.00 If interested please contact me via email. Thank's, Jim

2542. Re: Help please - 2006 backlight EL replacement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-29 23:35:45 UTC
From: Runnyriver <noton6@microbrain.com>
Message-ID: <aaklci$bem8b$1@ID-121301.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48858

sure, you can unscrew the knobs instead of unsoldering the ground wire, but did you have a thin walled deep socket to unscrew it with? or did you grind away at it with pliers like everyone else? I have bought a lot of used scanners and most look like the only tool the previous owner had was a beaver!

2543. Re: Andy's Spreadsheet program?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-29 23:36:53 UTC
From: Den6N <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <ucrm8sqoupla09@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48859

Oh, a real answer! I was expecting something like "go to
rec.andy.spreadsheet" or something similar...

Den6N
Putnam Co NY
==========

"Greg" <not@shown.here> wrote in message
news:imoqcu0krbmopmlfcq4npfpihaq6shl874@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 29 Apr 2002 00:41:34 GMT, "J. Thomas" <???@spamsux.net> wrote:
>
> >Does anyone know where I can download the 780 program that is called
Andy's
> >Spreadsheet program" or something along those lines?
> >
> >Thanks!
> >
> >JT
> >
>
> Join the bc780xlt group on yahoo, then proceed to the file's section.
> Andy's Programmer is on there.
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/UnidenBC780XLT/join

2544. Re: MTD's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-29 23:51:13 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <R%kz8.1436$ro.495539@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 48860

"John Ecklund" <jecklund@fobalaser.com> wrote in message
news:ucrel8ofqpv3e4@corp.supernews.com...
>
> Can anyone tell me where I can info on MDT's and software? thanks for
your
> help
>
>

Try doing a search on "MDT decoding software". I found several links,
including the following:

http://chroot.ath.cx/fade/projects/decoding/ (look for MDTMON-1.zip)

2545. PRO-2035 Auto Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-30 01:41:41 UTC
From: sebring38@mchsi.com
Message-ID: <e5b92060.0204291741.1cd34cb2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48861

The manual isn't very informative on programming the PRO 2035. Is there a way to do a "limit search" that will auto program frequencies that have activity into specified banks and channels?

2546. WTB: AOR AR3000A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-30 03:37:53 UTC
From: Anonymous <robbin@mcg.net>
Message-ID: <2c4scuk854nftc21rt6s9t8kkh69garft9@4ax.com>
Source record: 48862

I would like to purchase a AOR AR3000A that is in excellent condition.
Please e-mail me with details if you have one available. Thank you.
robbin@mcg.net

2547. Re: Telephone Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-30 04:59:08 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ZEoz8.1136$6b.160248@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 48863

Night Hawk, before you worry about laws in a country you don't live in, just answer the guys question. Typical american, thinks their always right. You americans need to get your heads out of your asses and realize there are other countries who have rules and laws that differ and quit trying to run the world.

Damn it, there are no laws in Canada that stop anyone from monitoring a cell. How many times does it have to be mentioned in this stupid newsgroup??????? Do you people not read other peoples posts?

Not everyone who posts here is american. You americans don't own the internet like you think you do. Get over it.

He wasn't asking about the law dumb ass. He asked a specific question. Can't you americans read??

"Night Hawk" <wolf_creek_pass@Xyahoo.com> wrote in message news:3CCA6F02.CF18E073@Xyahoo.com...
before you worry bout tracking/listening to cell phone calls bestter check the
canadian regulations which will tell you its illegal to moniter cell or any other
type of phone calls

Night Hawk

"BOB P." wrote:

> Hi;
> Is any familar with the AU-028 X-Interceptor?
> Advertising states that it can monitor up to 20 cellular phone numbers - and
> then listen in on an in-coming or out-going call to any of these numbers.
> However it only analog i.e not digital transmissions.
> My question is:
> Can it at least track a "digital cell phone" - i.e. know that a cell phone is
> "live", digital or analog, is in the cell?
> Thanks BobP
> MONTREAL

2548. Re: Telephone Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-30 06:27:16 UTC
From: Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3CCCE7FA.FE190C8B@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 48864

Rob Taylor wrote:
>

> Not everyone who posts here is american. You americans don't own the
> internet like you think you do.

Try to get THAT one by AOL, ATT and MCI. They own the backbones. In fact, Bernie Eppers has said that if things get too far out of hand, he WILL pull the plug on UUnet, and shut down the Internet.

And he can do it.

So can AOL.

So can ATT.

You're entitled to your distaste. But probably not a good idea to make these kinds of statements where guys like Eppers can read them.

Just a thought.

2549. Re: buses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-30 08:57:21 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <R%sz8.64160$rC2.15195296@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 48865

"Evan Olberding" <flipteam15@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3cc60cc7@news.mhogaming.com...
> does anyone know where to find school bus freq's in wichita, ks. also if i
> can use the fcc website to find these i need a short how to on using this
> resource efectivly. thanks!
>
>

461.650 is the primary freq for the large busses, 463.400 for the small
busses

2550. FIELD PROGRAMABLE VHF 40CH PORTABLE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-30 09:01:36 UTC
From: David M Schertzer <schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3bqbfuc51q7nl55e3bvs1otkbtbn0na9fi@4ax.com>
Source record: 48866

(1) ICOM V68 *40ch* 5-watt -VHF-

*** DTMF (User programmable - Front face) ****

(1) ICOM BC75A Rapid Desk Charger
(1) ICOM BP160 Rechargeable Battery pack
(1) ICOM BP130 Rechargeable Battery pack
(1) ICOM "AA" Emergency Battery pack (6- AA type batteries)
(1) EM46 Sprk-mic
(1) CP13L Cigarette Lighter adaptor with Noise filter
(1) Org. Instruction Manual + boxes

$200USD + $15USDship Payment via USPS International M/O.

Email for questions or info, spec sheet avail also.
This is the equiv. to the Motorola GP68 version.

2551. Re: Telephone Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-30 10:12:08 UTC
From: jr127@usa.net
Message-ID: <3CCE6DF8.E73B53F0@usa.net>
Source record: 48867

Let's have an understanding right now Rob. You have lumped "ALL" Americans into one group, that just isn't fare. Not all of us think or believe the same. In fact, we are no different than Canadians.

Heck, I don't own the world, just the tiny piece I live on.

Regardless of what country you reside in, if there are laws for or against telephone monitoring, if a person wants to go against those laws they are going to do so.

"God Bless America and "God Save the Queen"

Rob Taylor wrote:

> Night Hawk, before you worry about laws in a country you don't live in, just
> answer the guys question. Typical american, thinks their always right. You
> americans need to get your heads out of your asses and realize there are
> other countries who have rules and laws that differ and quit trying to run
> the world.
>
> Damn it, there are no laws in Canada that stop anyone from monitoring a
> cell. How many times does it have to be mentioned in this stupid
> newsgroup??????? Do you people not read other peoples posts?
>
> Not everyone who posts here is american. You americans don't own the
> internet like you think you do. Get over it.
>
> He wasn't asking about the law dumb ass. He asked a specific question. Can't
> you americans read??

2552. ALINCO DJ X 2000 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-30 11:41:46 UTC
From: majorwiseandhammer <pcarpenter@charter.net>
Message-ID: <uct27ihgmtv5aa@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48870

ASKING $ 450

2553. Alinco DJ X 2000 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-30 11:46:38 UTC
From: majorwiseandhammer <pcarpenter@charter.net>
Message-ID: <uct2gjmd54hl3e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48871

Asking$450

2554. Re: Telephone Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-30 13:00:51 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <UIvz8.3$T04.8637@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 48868

Yeah I'm sure one man can shut down the internet. He'll do it the same time pigs can fly..

"Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:3CCCE7FA.FE190C8B@worldnet.att.net...

Rob Taylor wrote:
>

> Not everyone who posts here is american. You americans don't own the
> internet like you think you do.

Try to get THAT one by AOL, ATT and MCI. They own the backbones. In fact,
Bernie Eppers has said that if things get too far out of hand, he WILL pull the
plug on UUnet, and shut down the Internet.

And he can do it.

So can AOL.

So can ATT.

You're entitled to your distaste. But probably not a good idea to make these
kinds of statements where guys like Eppers can read them.

Just a thought.

2555. Re: Telephone Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-30 13:03:35 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <gLvz8.4$T04.8820@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 48869

Bob's post asked a simple question, and none one can seem to answer it. Why can't anyone just put down "sorry man, I don't know the answer to that, maybe someone else does" or something equivalent. Instead, you all preach to the guy about law and crap that is not part of his question...

If I knew the answer I'd help the guy out, not like you losers who think your all lawyers..

"Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:3CCCE7FA.FE190C8B@worldnet.att.net...

Rob Taylor wrote:
>

> Not everyone who posts here is american. You americans don't own the
> internet like you think you do.

Try to get THAT one by AOL, ATT and MCI. They own the backbones. In fact,
Bernie Eppers has said that if things get too far out of hand, he WILL pull the
plug on UUnet, and shut down the Internet.

And he can do it.

So can AOL.

So can ATT.

You're entitled to your distaste. But probably not a good idea to make these
kinds of statements where guys like Eppers can read them.

Just a thought.

2556. Re: Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-30 14:01:08 UTC
From: john63401@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <dr8tcu4n5557vcndo8e9gmhipv2aclkon4@4ax.com>
Source record: 48872

>Every Wednesday there is a test signal. The Midland ALWAYS goes off.
>(and when the weather gets exciting, it also goes off with embarassing
>frequency)..

Whoa!

I am glad I came across this thread as I was just on
the verge of buying the Radio Shack model weather
radio.

So what exact Midland model is OK?

2557. Re: Telephone Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-30 15:08:56 UTC
From: Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3CCD6241.42119F5F@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 48873

SB wrote:
>
> "Peter Maus" wrote:
>
> >> Not everyone who posts here is american. You americans don't own the
> >> internet like you think you do.
> >
> >
> > Try to get THAT one by AOL, ATT and MCI. They own the backbones.
>
> Publicly held corporations - owned by their stockholders. Are you
> sure that the stockholders in those companies are majority American?

American corporations on American soil. That would make they're properties
American properties, no matter who their stockholders are.

2558. Re: Telephone Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-30 15:10:17 UTC
From: Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3CCD6292.4B4655B@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 48874

Rob Taylor wrote:
>
> Yeah I'm sure one man can shut down the internet. He'll do it the same time
> pigs can fly..

One man can shut down a backbone with a word, yes. Because he controls it,
and the corporation that owns it.

Interesting coincidence...Bernie Ebbers has resigned.

>
> "Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:3CCCE7FA.FE190C8B@worldnet.att.net...
>
> Rob Taylor wrote:
> >
>
> > Not everyone who posts here is american. You americans don't own the
> > internet like you think you do.
>
> Try to get THAT one by AOL, ATT and MCI. They own the backbones. In
> fact,
> Bernie Eppers has said that if things get too far out of hand, he WILL pull
> the
> plug on UUnet, and shut down the Internet.
>
> And he can do it.
>
> So can AOL.
>
> So can ATT.
>
> You're entitled to your distaste. But probably not a good idea to make
> these
> kinds of statements where guys like Eppers can read them.
>
> Just a thought.

2559. Re: streaming scanner broadcasts for Seattle?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-30 15:13:05 UTC
From: Willi Passmann <DJ6JZ@compuserve.de>
Message-ID: <aamdn0$56s$06$1@news.t-online.com>
Source record: 48876

> I have been searching online for streaming scanner
> broadcasts (RealAudio, MP3, other) online - and I
> have been having more difficulty than I expected.
snip
> But no Seattle stream - can anyone recommend
> any other sites similar to those above?

Hi Thomas,
http://www.radio-portal.org
offers a convenient search for Audio streams/files regarding radio, scanning, amateur radio and so on. Click on the "Audio" Button and choose the category to get the URLs. But no Seattle Audiofeed (of Scanners), I just checked.

Regards,
Willi, DJ6JZ

2560. Re: Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-30 15:36:38 UTC
From: legalradio@att.net
Message-ID: <Xns92007626FC01Blegalradio@204.127.36.1>
Source record: 48875

"Chris" <mrchri5@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:FUKw8.27522$CH.5433@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net:

> hello,
>
> I know this is a scanner group but your probly the only people that
> can help with my question. I'm looking for a nice weather radio. Does
> the SAME technology work? I only want to hear storm/tornado warnings
> and only for my county. I've heard with some of the radio shack radios
> it doesn't work well. I would like a portable model thats fairly
> durable, can be ran off ac and batteries be left inside in case of a
> power outage. if someone could recomend a good online dealer and
> radio I would appreciate it.
>

I have the tabletop RadioShack unit (the older one) and it has worked
flawlessly for me for years.

The SAME technology works great. On my unit, you can set it for up to 16
counties, or just have it sound on one county.

The newer RS units can even allow you to specify WHICH type of alert you
want it to sound an alarm on.

2561. New Orleans Jazzfest Freqs/Talkgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-30 15:53:22 UTC
From: bhanson5@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <e0b24969.0204300753.1f22ce1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48877
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

So does anyone have freqs or talkgroups used at last week's (or last year's) New Orleans JazzFest? The second half is this weekend (May 2-5) so it would still be very useful.

I attended several years ago, and they still used the UHF itinerants (464.500,...) Do they still use these?

PLs and DPLs would also be useful, as the intemod can be bad.

Also, is the NOPD UHF (460.025) link for the French Quarter still active?

TIA

2562. Plano, TX TRS 3004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-30 17:48:40 UTC
From: BHall <bhall7@email.com>
Message-ID: <XNAz8.181751$CH1.132905@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 48878

I just moved to Plano with my Trunker setup from Utah. I found the database on www.trunkedradio.net for the trunked Plano Public Safety System (Moto type 2 sys id: 3004) but I was wondering if anyone had Trunker files for the trunked radio systems around here that they would be willing to share with me. Thanks in advance!

Brian

2563. LOWE hf150 & 225 interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-30 17:49:05 UTC
From: News terra <dbargel@terra.es>
Message-ID: <lOAz8.66871$4Y1.883972@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 48879

Hello, do you have an interface-schematics for Lowe radio? It's impossible to find here in Spain. Thank's

2564. Re: Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-30 18:04:51 UTC
From: randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com
Message-ID: <b03b87e2.0204301004.574bd534@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48880

"Runnyriver" <noton6@microbrain.com> wrote in message
news:<aa2m4q$7cgdo$1@ID-121301.news.dfncis.de>...
> Is there any way to block the middle of the night alarms for severe
> thunderstorms? Every time it rains here we get the alert for severe
> thunderstorm, and waking me up in the middle of the night, or actually even
> going off in the daytime is a waste of my time for a thunderstorm.

I guess we can put that in the same catagory as pulling the 9-volt
battery out of the smoke detector because it is anoying! hehehe

Why would you have a weather alert radio if you don't want to hear the
alerts?

Randy

2565. Re: Yes - Do it !!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-30 19:49:48 UTC
From: **Sorcerer** <spamrog@walesuk.net>
Message-ID: <aamsgr$2m1$2@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 48881

Why!! oh Why do people keep going on and on about the pro 2006. OK, so it was good in its day, but now, compared with even a tiny R2 or VR500, the 2006 is crap. for goodness sake let the old junk go and lets get on with new technology. I have seen these 2006's going for $300/$400 dollars on ebay, these people must be barking mad, I bought one for ?50.00 UK pounds (approx $73.00 US) about a year ago and I thought that was to much. I find it very limited, slow and to be honest, down right bad-looking, Mind you, if any of you are crazy enough to pay me over $250.00 US for it then please email me by all means. I wouldn't say no to 500% profit. :-))

--
Sorcerer

Remove spam please.

.
"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:s2hocuce7t01gufiv76a1bbd0q127bt1t6@4ax.com...
> "RustY" wrote:
>
> >Go for it. the 2006 is an excellent scanner. And an excellent tool to
> >learn on.
>
> Yer a couple days late. Been there, done that.

2566. Re: Yee Haw! Princeton to Increase Redneck Quotient

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-30 20:52:35 UTC
From: Brett Powell <powell.brett@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <nuDz8.54793$Rw2.4385821@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 48882
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,ott.general,ott.news,rec.radio.scanner

You sir are a bigot and a nasty stinking gap toothed little troll as well!
"Norm" <CatchMe@Cheers.com> wrote in message
news:G01z8.39193$Il1.1989@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> Anyone who claims that Princeton is a diverse community has about as much
> common sense as a goat's left nut sack. After years of avoiding the feared
> diversity question, the administration has at last formulated a plan to
> increase the representation of one conspicuously absent group, a group
that
> has been left behind by what the elites call "culture," or "good
grooming."
> You'll know them from those toothless grins that can only be the product
of
> years of inbreeding: the hicks with a penchant for moonshine, NASCAR, and
> the NRA. They are the white trash, and their time at Princeton has come.
> While the Trustees are pushing an increase in the Redneck Quotient, Deans
> Hargadon and Montero cite many possible problems. Not withstanding the
> unusually low SAT scores among the group and the generally unsanitary
living
> conditions that trailer trash prefer, further difficulties might include
> convincing the group to come to Princeton, an upper middle class town with
a
> dearth of such standard white trash attractions as auto racing tracks,
> bowling alleys, and cheap hookers / loose cousins. "So we might not have
the
> amenities these people desire, so we might not be able to attract the
cream
> of the redneck crop," Hargadon said. "We will get some rednecks. They
might
> not be the best rednecks, but gosh darn it, they're better then the
rednecks
> we have now. Dumb rednecks beat no rednecks any day."
>
> One plan to increase Princeton's redneck attractiveness is to construct a
> Center - much like the current CJL - for Redneck Life; or, to be
politically
> correct, a Center for the Culturally, Mentally, Economically, Sanitarily,
> and Chromosomally Impaired. The center plans to offer tutors in basic
> English to teach the new students such valuable life skills as how to
> formulate sentences with both nouns and verbs, and the key difference
> between the plural "Y'all," and the singular "Y'all."
>
>
> ---

> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002
>
>
>

2567. WTB : Soft leather cases for Pro-43 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-30 20:58:49 UTC
From: Dan Conti <dconti@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <dADz8.151623$in3.43828213@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 48883
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I am seeking anyone with a source for the soft leather custom cases RS made for the Pro-43.

If anyone has one available, or knows of a source, and e-mail in reply would be appreciated.

Thank you...

Dan

2568. Re: ALINCO DJ X 2000 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-30 22:38:46 UTC
From: ff-micp <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <W1Fz8.183243$CH1.134933@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 48885

So why waste your time replying? Don't buy it. Some people just love to
bitch.

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:cs8tcukpd35iehhstori2g61p5cf41it6p@4ax.com...
> "majorwiseandhammer" wrote TWICE:
>
> >ASKING $ 450
>
> Thanks for the volumes of pertinent info that would help in making a
> buying decision. I especially liked the hi-res photos and the links
> to the manufacturer's pages and to reviews.
>

2569. Re: Yes - Do it !!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-04-30 23:07:09 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <4t8ucu8sc81tn44rofjpucvgv45ec4p5tb@4ax.com>
Source record: 48886

Having lost his job as dog catcher, "**Sorcerer**" <spamrog@walesuk.net> posted this
...
>Why!! oh Why do people keep going on and on about the pro 2006. OK, so it
>was good in its day, but now, compared with even a tiny R2 or VR500, the
>2006 is crap.

GIVE me a break ! !

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2570. live police scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-01 00:04:14 UTC
From: mitbr <hmm@anywhere.net>
Message-ID: <2iGz8.23987$iJ.11309@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 48887

Listen to my live policescanner until 10pm edt usa time tonight visit my web site below to listen!
Tim
KG4JJS
Please visit my Tampa Bay Radio Page at the url below
http://home1.gte.net/res0plht/Mi01000.htm

2571. Re: Baby Monitor Secure Communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-01 00:10:34 UTC
From: Bart Bailey <bartman@nethere.net>
Message-ID: <3CCF327A.4AEE3315@amsat.org>
Source record: 48888

M2310@webtv.net wrote:

bullshit part:

> This product is the first 100% truly digital baby monitor system on the
> market that guarantees absolutely no interference

questionable part:

> from other
> radio-controlled systems and no possibility of eavesdropping.

re: Bullshit part:
If any other device produces a significant level signal at the frequencies
involved,
interference is going to happen.

re: questionable part:
Do the units provide for discreet encryption of the digital stream?
If not then any other similar unit could easily eavesdrop.
--
~Bart~

2572. For Sale AOR 8200 full features..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-01 00:26:23 UTC
From: luna <luna@ultinet.net>
Message-ID: <3CCF362F.B4E67BE9@ultinet.net>
Source record: 48884

for more info .. email me
asking $460.00

2573. Re: Baby Monitor Secure Communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-01 00:54:44 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <o1Hz8.2083$xS2.104048@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 48889

most people don't scan the 900 Mhz baby monitors much. anf. I cannot give you the frequencies yet either. cause I am not sure if the US 900 Mhz baby monitors are teh same as the canadian one.

Dev

"Jimdpeters" <jimdpeters@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020428224450.04386.00009446@mb-fi.aol.com...
> I hope you guys can help me. I'm in need of a baby monitor that CANNOT be
> listened to by someone with a scanning receiver. Is there such a thing? I need
> the foolowing features . . .
>
> 1. I need some type of room monitor that has secure communications, spread
> spectrum maybe.
>
> 2. What I'm looking to do is set the transmitter in my radio room and be able
> to take the receiver to any part of the house and listen to the audio in the
> radio room.
>
> I figured if anyone would know it would be you guys. Any suggestions would be
> GREATLY appreciated.
>
> Jim Peters
> Portland, Oregon
> jimdpeters@aol.com

2574. Re: ICOM-R3 hatchet job? (blocks more than cell?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-01 00:56:37 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <93Hz8.2104$xS2.106094@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 48890

if you are in the US. try www.radioworld.ca or www.burnabyradio.com

one of those stores will reply to you fast and they are only in canada.
Canada radio's are unblocked.

"jabb3rw0cky" <jabb3rw0cky@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:8354e2f6.0204281650.5fc50561@posting.google.com...
> i DID find this description of the frequency range at
> http://www.aesham.com
>
> Frequency Range: USA version: 0.495-815.995MHz, 902-2450.095MHz;
> Non-USA version: 0.495-2450.095MHz
>
> funny enough, bandercom nor aesham have replied to my emails about
> export sales.
>
> oh well...
>
> one of these babies coupled with a "nanobug" from
> http://www.supercircuits.com would be pretty useful for covert
> surveillance...
>
>
http://www.supercircuits.com/STORE/prodinfo.asp?number=AVX434S2&variation=&a
item=5&mitem=5
>
>
> jabb3rw0cky@netscape.net (jabb3rw0cky) wrote in message
news:<8354e2f6.0204201657.66577b9a@posting.google.com>...
> > does the US version of the ICOM-R3 have more than just the AMPS cell
> > phone frequency range blocked?
> >
> > i was disappointed to discover that some manufacturers (like Yaesu)
> > will block valid frequency ranges up in 900Mhz just to avoid receiving
> > "cell images" on dual-conversion scanners/ht's
> >
> > what i'm really interested in knowing is whether valid ATV frequencies
> > in the 900Mhz range are somehow blocked "by accident" in the Icom R3.
> >
> > thanks.

2575. WTB:Pro-92 / 94A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-01 12:44:34 UTC
From: indyham <k9dzdd@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <RqRz8.32985$ao1.7285@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 48891

im looking for a Radio Shack,Pro-92 , or,PRO-94A

Monte k9dzdd@insightbb.com

2576. Good scanner stores in Orlando area??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-01 13:32:55 UTC
From: Mike <no.mail@this.address>
Message-ID: <4zSz8.10824$a04.48816@tor-nn1.netcom.ca>
Source record: 48893

I'm going to Orlando / Florida in a couple of weeks, and was wondering if anyone could recommend any good scanner shops near the Orlando area.

Specifically Yaesu or AOR scanners and general accessories...

Thanks...

...m

2577. FS:PRO-62 200CH.PORTABLE SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-01 13:51:41 UTC
From: kb9igg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020501095141.06614.00004448@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 48892

MINT CONDITION,HAVE THE BOX MANUAL AND A SPEAKER MIC TO GO WITH IT.ANT AND SCANNER.IT REREQUIRES 6 "AA NICAD OR AC OR DC ADAPTER $125.00+SHIPPING PRIORITY MAIL.

2578. FS:PRO- 2026 100 CH.PROGRAMMABLE MOBILE SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-01 14:01:36 UTC
From: kb9igg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020501100136.06614.00004451@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 48894

SCANNER IS IN MINT CONDITION WITH BOX AND MANUAL AND POWER
CORD.$125.00+SHIPPING POSTAL MONEY ORDER ONLY

2579. FS: Channel Master Monitenna 5094A Brand New In The Box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-01 16:08:21 UTC
From: FleaBag <fleabag@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1nUz8.106563$tt4.6684316@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 48895

I have one Channel Master 5094A Monitenna Brand New in the Original Box
comes with the 50FT of RG-6 and all the connections.
$150.00 including Insured Priority Mail Shipping.
I don't want to sell it but for $150.00 it will be yours !!!
US POSTAL MONEY ORDER ONLY !!!!
Over 600 Positives on ebay!!
felloffthetruck@hotmail.com

2580. Re: Channel Master Monitenna 5094A Brand New In The Box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-01 17:06:45 UTC
From: FleaBag <fleabag@aol.com>
Message-ID: <NdVz8.109358$tt4.6741856@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 48896

SOLD***SOLD***SOLD

"FleaBag" <fleabag@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1nUz8.106563$tt4.6684316@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> I have one Channel Master 5094A Monitenna Brand New in the Original Box
> comes with the 50FT of RG-6 and all the connections.
> $150.00 including Insured Priority Mail Shipping.
> I don't want to sell it but for $150.00 it will be yours !!!
> US POSTAL MONEY ORDER ONLY !!!!
> Over 600 Positives on ebay!!
> felloffthetruck@hotmail.com
>
>
>

2581. FS:Radio Shack PRO-2045

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-01 18:09:32 UTC
From: indyham <k9dzdd@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <wbWz8.17506$D%5.2389@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 48797

i have a like new,radio shack PRO-2045,with tone
board,box.manual,antenna,and power cube..
works great

price 135.00 shipped
or
trade for pro-92

Monte k9dzdd@insightbb.com

2582. USAF Thunderbirds?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-01 19:00:36 UTC
From: mrg1std@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020501150036.24760.00006110@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 48798

The Thunderbirds are in Millville, NJ this weekend for an airshow. Anyone know of the freqs. they use during shows?

TIA

Bill

2583. Icom Seperation Cable FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-01 20:58:31 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <XFYz8.1$7I1.295@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 48799
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

This cable is for the Icom 706.It is the OPC-581
(3.5m for IC-706).I will ship for $21.00 to your door.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

2584. Pro-94A 1000 Channel Hand held FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-01 21:13:22 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <STYz8.6$7I1.4737@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 48800
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a Pro-94A 1000 channel hand held scanner with book but no box.I will ship to your door for $175.00.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

2585. Kenwood MC-50 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-01 21:20:14 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <i_Yz8.8$7I1.6615@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 48801
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

This is the Kenwood MC-50 deskmike in great condition.I will ship to your door for $52.00.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

2586. Re: Soft leather cases for Pro-43 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-01 23:26:44 UTC
From: Runnyriver <noton6@microbrain.com>
Message-ID: <aaptjd$co2r9$1@ID-121301.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48802
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

peabodytex@earthlink.net
"Dan Conti" <dconti@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:dADz8.151623$in3.43828213@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> I am seeking anyone with a source for the soft leather custom cases RS
made
> for the Pro-43.
>
> If anyone has one available, or knows of a source, and e-mail in reply
would
> be appreciated.
>
> Thank you...
>
> Dan
>
>

2587. Re: Telephone Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-02 00:14:11 UTC
From: rpanczak@aol.com
Message-ID: <1261cd9d.0205011614.3e38cfe1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48803

rpanczak@aol.comREMOVE.M (BOB P.) wrote in message
news:<20020426135325.27454.00005670@mb-mr.aol.com>...
> Hi;
> Is any familar with the AU-028 X-Interceptor?
> Advertising states that it can monitor up to 20 cellular phone numbers - and
> then listen in on an in-coming or out-going call to any of these numbers.
> However it only analog i.e not digital transmissions.
> My question is:
> Can it at least track a "digital cell phone" - i.e. know that a cell phone is
> "live", digital or analog, is in the cell?
> Thanks BobP
> MONTREAL

Hey hey hey all!
Lets not kill each other over nothing people. There isn't any
intention to break any laws - whether they exist or not. This
equipment is much too expensive for my budget whether its' obsolete or
not.
But then again, if it is obsolete then these people selling it are -
what? stealing?, defrauding? whatever.
In any case I'm curious to know about many things and this just
happens to be one - that's all. Not more than that. Got some e-mail
with an ad, that sparked my curiosity.
The internet is supposed to be the "ultimate" medium for the free
exchange of ideas, idle or otherwise. Took me 20 years to learn how to
cook 'steel cut oats' properly without the internet. You'd think that
it could have been less painful via the internet - but then again -
would it have??

Still curious
As always
BoP

2588. Re: Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-02 00:43:12 UTC
From: "M.G." <dmic_//hae_//lgrogan@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <AY%z8.61$ce4.5496@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 48804

. . . if you think it's too long ... you don't have to read it :) . . .

Chris and group ...

I hope that I may add a little bit of information to this discussion and provide some general information on NOAA weather radio to other readers. I am a professional broadcaster, student meteorologist, and a scanner user.

Over the years, I have had the opportunity to use (and install) many types of weather radios / SAME & EAS decoders, from portable hand helds to professional Emergency Alert System receivers/decoders.

First, as I'm sure you know, there are two alert 'trigger' methods available with consumer NOAA weather radios: the older 10-second analog 1050 Hz tone trigger, and the newer digital Specific Area Message Encoding trigger.

The older 'tone triggered' radios will alarm for (any) alerted warnings for ANY county served by the transmitter the radio is monitoring, since the special tone is still included in the NOAA weather radio audio stream.

This older tone immediately follows the broadcast of the digital SAME information which is contained in a digital data 'burst' that is repeated three times. Within EACH of these bursts is contained a code which defines the type of message (SVR storm warning, TOR nado warning, etc.), the warned geographical area (usually a FIPS county code), and the expiration time of the warning / message. While all SAME radios allow the selection of particular county code, not all allow for selection of warning type. Even on those consumer versions that do, I don't believe they allow for deselection of Tornado warnings.

Unfortunately, it has been my experience that the tone triggered radios are more reliable for PORTABLE operation in fringe reception areas. While the SAME header is repeated three times, I have found that many portables still miss this data, especially/mainly while the radios are in motion inside a vehicle, and fail to alert.

Most tone triggered portables, on the other hand, need to only receive a brief portion of the 1050 Hz tone in order to alert. In this case,
the tone is either there, or it isn't, and there is no digitized data that can be missed or falsely decoded.

As far as the better brand of SAME radio, I have actually always trusted Radio Shack's, even though I did have one completely fail on me once. It at least told me something was wrong, with 'Check Op' appearing on the LCD display. I have personally returned two properlly programmed non-portable

Midland SAME-capable radios for what seemed to be selective failure to alert (a RS brand also in my home with equal reception still alerted). I have actually thrown away a handheld Oregon Scientific SAME-capable portable radio for similar failures.

By the way, Oregon Scientific and Midland are the only brands of weather radios with recall information that I have found on the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission's website (http://www.cpsc.gov/). The recalls were, I believe, voluntary.

The tone-triggered radios with selectable 'channels' (1-7) all seem to operate with great consistency; Midland's seem to have the best reception; I depend on my Oregon Scientific ** tone-triggered ** handheld for portable operations. I would, however, stay away from those with a 'dial' tuning system similar to older car radios / stereos, as reception tends to drift up and down the dial, with frequent retuning necessary.

Sorry to ramble ... hope this is helpful information to someone.

Mike Grogan.

legalradio@att.net> wrote in message
news:Xns92007626FC01Blegalradio@204.127.36.1...
> "Chris" <mrchri5@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:FUKw8.27522$CH.5433@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net:
>
> > hello,
> >
> > I know this is a scanner group but your probly the only people that
> > can help with my question. I'm looking for a nice weather radio. Does
> > the SAME technology work? I only want to hear storm/tornado warnings
> > and only for my county. I've heard with some of the radio shack radios
> > it doesn't work well. I would like a portable model thats fairly
> > durable, can be ran off ac and batteries be left inside in case of a
> > power outage. if someone could recomend a good online dealer and
> > radio I would appreciate it.
> >
>
> I have the tabletop RadioShack unit (the older one) and it has worked
> flawlessly for me for years.
>
>
> The SAME technology works great. On my unit, you can set it for up to 16
> counties, or just have it sound on one county.
>
> The newer RS units can even allow you to specify WHICH type of alert you
> want it to sound an alarm on.
>

2589. Re: buses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-02 00:58:59 UTC
From: vstream_02@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29820-3CD08F53-126@storefull-2378.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 48805

Go to www.fcc.gov and look up the school district name. IE: Houston Independent School District. This is how i found MY schools freqs.

"It's the static on your cellphone ma'am."
-Sprint PCS man
--
http://www.angelfire.com/tx4/tt/Nokia3390.html
Read my review on the Nokia 3390 Gold
--
http://angelfire.com/tx4/tt/index.html
Visit My Site
--
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/nokia3390ringtones/
--
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/nokia5190

2590. 2052 Programming question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-02 02:33:43 UTC
From: Chairborne <Chairborne@lzboy.com>
Message-ID: <f091dus663k0btel6814rgteql8e1lleeq@4ax.com>
Source record: 48806

I've been using a couple of the cheaper programs. One has comma delimited data, the other has column delimited. I have yet to find a way to simply cut and paste data into either one, using Excel. Either I lose the "punctuation" (the quote marks and commas or semi-colons) or the column width is incorrect. Excel seems to interpreting tabs into everything, even though I tell it it is not tab delimited.

Does anyone have a way to do this?

2591. Re: Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-02 03:16:24 UTC
From: John P Vassel <john@vassel.net>
Message-ID: <3cd0af88$0$1407$2c3e98f8@news.voyager.net>
Source record: 48807

The only thing I would add, is that SAME works, as long as you select the correct frequency. The NOAA website explains this well, but the radioshack literature left a bit to be desired. I can put county codes in all day long, but if I tune to the weather reception for a neighboring county (I am on a border where my county is broadcast on a freq different than the county 10 miles away) the code will never work.
Now, my wish list.. my radio will monitor multiple codes, now if I could only get it to monitor multiple freqs at the same time.
As it is, I'll be using the neighboring county's code, since they are southwest of me, and 10 miles out.

--
John Vassel
KC8SKE
RTFD Volunteer Firefighter

2592. Re: FS: Channel Master Monitenna 5094A Brand New In The Box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-02 03:29:05 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20020501232905.25318.00005904@mb-ci.aol.com>
Source record: 48808

Is this the same thing as a Scantenna??
See picture ---
http://starkelectronic.com/scanner.htm

2593. Public Safety Police & Emergency Scanners Now Broadcasting Online

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-02 03:44:31 UTC
From: ScannerListener <DidYouHearThat@TheScanner.com>
Message-ID: <zC2A8.4850$zk1.1024@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 48809
Cross-posted to:
alt.med.ems,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.broadcasting,alt.radio.scanner,msn.forums.emergency.communications,rec.radio.scanner

Why are we broadcasting the Police, Fire and EMS Radio Transmissions ?

General Public Interest : There are many people interested in the workings of the communities Public Safety Departments. Scanner sites are located throughout the United States and Canada that broadcast their city's Police, Fire and EMS dispatch transmissions. We decided to join them.

Appreciation of the Public Safety Departments: Few people realize just how busy both the Public Safety Departments can get, especially during the day. The broadcasting of the dispatch gives you a picture that the spending of your tax dollars on the safety of our city is well worth it. The one thing that should stick out in your mind is the professionalism of our Police and Fire Departments. Under sometimes stressful emergency situations they remain calm and fully under control. That is the sign of a well trained department.

Listen to Live Public Safety Scanners online from across the U.S. and Canada.

Place your scanner online and let us know about it.

Police, Fire, EMS, Tactical

If you are looking to learn how to either broadcast or listen to online scanners from Across Canada & The U.S. Then I would like to invite you to join our discussion group.
--
Learn how to broadcast and listen to online scanner broadcasts.
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

2594. Re: Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-02 09:36:08 UTC
From: john63401@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1722dusa0426qi9vflpp813sbh62u98u54@4ax.com>
Source record: 48811

>As far as the better brand of SAME radio, I have actually always trusted
>Radio Shack's,

So would radio Shack be your recommendation on what
brand to buy then?

IOW... stick with Radio Shack over the other brands
such as Midland, etc?

2595. Re: FS: Channel Master Monitenna 5094A Brand New In The Box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-02 09:36:40 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020502053640.07851.00011091@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 48810

>Is this the same thing as a Scantenna??

Yes. If it really sold, another hobbyist overpaid by about $120.

2596. Re: Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-02 15:05:07 UTC
From: MRK <me@you.com>
Message-ID: <ud2lea9ss9724f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48812

I just use that old 1000hz tone radios. That way I can hear that warnings comming to me as well as going away from me. The SAME works but the transmitter in my area screws the codes up a lot.
"John P Vassel" <john@vassel.net> wrote in message news:3cd0af88$0$1407$2c3e98f8@news.voyager.net...
> The only thing I would add, is that SAME works, as long as you select the
> correct frequency. The NOAA website explains this well, but the radioshack
> literature left a bit to be desired. I can put county codes in all day
> long, but if I tune to the weather reception for a neighboring county (I
> am on a border where my county is broadcast on a freq different than the
> county 10 miles away) the code will never work.
> Now, my wish list.. my radio will monitor multiple codes, now if I could
> only get it to monitor multiple freqs at the same time.
> As it is, I'll be using the neighboring county's code, since they are
> southwest of me, and 10 miles out.
>
> --
> John Vassel
> KC8SKE
> RTFD Volunteer Firefighter

2597. More Goods FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-02 16:11:26 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <OydA8.65$Nj6.32212@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 48813
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Check out the reduced prices.Make me a reasonable offer if something tickels
your fancy.
http://www.msnusers.com/DicksFTP/shoebox.msnw
Email me.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

2598. More Goods FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-02 16:12:17 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <BzdA8.70$Nj6.32476@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 48814
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Check out the reduced prices.Make me a reasonable offer if something tickels
your fancy.
http://www.msnusers.com/DicksFTP/shoebox.msnw
Email me.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

2599. More Goods FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-02 16:13:07 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <nAdA8.74$Nj6.31404@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 48815
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.scanner

Check out the reduced prices.Make me a reasonable offer if something tickels
your fancy.
http://www.msnusers.com/DicksFTP/shoebox.msnw
Email me.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

2600. More Goods FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-02 16:13:45 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ZAdA8.77$Nj6.32735@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 48816

Check out the reduced prices.Make me a reasonable offer if something tickels
your fancy.
http://www.msnusers.com/DicksFTP/shoebox.msnw
Email me.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

2601. Re: More Goods FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-02 16:14:56 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <4CdA8.82$Nj6.32610@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 48817
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Sorry about the multible posts.
"N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:OydA8.65$Nj6.32212@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> Check out the reduced prices.Make me a reasonable offer if something
tickels
> your fancy.
> http://www.msnusers.com/DicksFTP/shoebox.msnw
> Email me.
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

2602. FA: Icom PCR-100 PC-based scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-02 17:34:35 UTC
From: G Capreol <gcapreol@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <LMeA8.96611$sl3.22645370@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 48819

Icom PCR-100 PC-based scanner.

On auction now @ eBay:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1350234988&r=0&t=0&showTutorial=0&ed=1020561666&indexURL=0&rd=1

2603. Re: More Goods FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-02 17:43:28 UTC
From: Bruce Markowitz <scosgt@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <4VeA8.2307$6T5.231357@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 48818
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Could you post that a few more times please?
"N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:OydA8.65$Nj6.32212@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> Check out the reduced prices.Make me a reasonable offer if something
tickels
> your fancy.
> http://www.msnusers.com/DicksFTP/shoebox.msnw
> Email me.
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002
>
>

2604. Re: More Goods FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-02 19:11:31 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <DbgA8.2$0d4.632@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 48820
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Thank you SB.Some people are always ready to put someone down because they think they are better than anyone else.
"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:6g03ducun415ptfb256ddl625oa154iql5@4ax.com...
> "Bruce Markowitz" wrote:
>
> >Could you post that a few more times please?
>
> Shoulda read them all before being a smartass.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

2605. Police Call cd-rom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-02 21:12:02 UTC
From: asuperjames1@cs.com
Message-ID: <20020502171202.22662.00004566@mb-de.news.cs.com>
Source record: 48821

Could somebody please tell me what the last word on page 9 of the 1997 (green cover) listeners guide is? I need it to unlock the cd-rom and I've lost my copy.
Thanks in advance-

2606. Re: Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-02 21:21:27 UTC
From: mahatmakanejeeves@swissinfo.org
Message-ID: <92d33cb.0205021321.5ad34b79@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48822

"M.G." <dmic_//hae_//lgrogan@hotmail.com (remove _//)> wrote in message news:<AY%z8.61$ce4.5496@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> . . . if you think it's too long ... you don't have to read it

No problem. It was well worth the read. On another post (which has not yet been posted) I said I had the Uniden BC278CLT AM-FM-weather-scanner-clock radio. I have not used the SAME feature, becasue I, uh, never actually got around to reading the instructions. Uniden has owner's manuals on its website in .pdf format, so you could check out this radio's capabilities in advance.

http://uniden.com/productsupport.cfm?product=BC278CLT

2607. Re: WTB:Pro-92 / 94A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-02 21:28:55 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <rciA8.91$0d4.35896@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 48823

You can't be looking to hard.I got one posted here .
"indyham" <k9dzdd@insightbb.com> wrote in message
news:RqRz8.32985$ao1.7285@rwcrnsc54...
> im looking for a Radio Shack,Pro-92 , or,PRO-94A
>
> Monte k9dzdd@insightbb.com
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

2608. Re: WTB:Pro-92 / 94A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-02 21:30:46 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <aeiA8.94$0d4.36370@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 48824

Oh I forgot you want everyone to give to you so you can get top dollar for them.Sorry.
"indyham" <k9dzdd@insightbb.com> wrote in message news:RqRz8.32985$ao1.7285@rwcrnsc54...
> im looking for a Radio Shack,Pro-92 , or,PRO-94A
>
> Monte k9dzdd@insightbb.com
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

2609. Re: Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-02 21:31:50 UTC
From: mahatmakanejeeves@swissinfo.org
Message-ID: <92d33cb.0205021331.229e709@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48825
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Ron Wilbanks <NYC6011@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:<3CC44368.49D21EE2@ameritech.net>...

> I use a Uniden WX-100, which so far has been fantastic. The radio never misses a
> test or a warning activation. Sadly, due to poor sales, Uniden discontinued
> production of this receiver a few months ago.

Too bad. I mean, that is was discontinued, not that you own one. OTOH,
doesn't that mean that it will be available several places online for
a fraction of its original price? The manual is still available at
Uniden's site, it costs $7. You can download it for free as well, at
http://uniden.com/productsupport.cfm?product=WX100 . The radio is not
even available as a refurbished unit. Sorry.

2610. Re: Police Call cd-rom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-02 22:09:33 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <xOiA8.2126$CL3.618159@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 48826

"Asuperjames1" <asuperjames1@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20020502171202.22662.00004566@mb-de.news.cs.com...
> Could somebody please tell me what the last word on page 9 of the 1997
(green
> cover) listeners guide is? I need it to unlock the cd-rom and I've lost
my
> copy.
> Thanks in advance-
>
>

I believe it is "stations" or "station"

--

/`\\\
(@ @)
--------ooO---(_)---Ooo----------

�Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KG�WX�

� Design Services Company �

� http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com �

� Smoke free since 9/30/96! �

� ICQ# 63379650 ? ?

2611. Re: More Goods FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-02 23:07:18 UTC
From: No George's Allowed <bigcber@somewhere.iam>
Message-ID: <3CD1C6A8.D41FBC4B@somewhere.iam>
Source record: 48827
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

N1RSP wrote:
>
> Sorry about the multible posts.

If you were really sorry, you would put all your stuff in
one post.

If you were good, you would create a for sale web page and
direct everybody to that. You can update the web page with
what's been sold, and put new stuff on it at will.

2612. Re: New Orleans Jazzfest Freqs/Talkgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-02 23:25:11 UTC
From: shewscan@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020502192511.00409.00006986@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 48828

the 460.025 freq is still active but the last 2 trips i made to the big easy it always locks up like it had an open mic so i locked them out.....

2613. Re: More Goods FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-02 23:26:18 UTC
From: bill <scalp2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <uWjA8.175238$nc.23458490@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 48829
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
go junior!!
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020502191355.417$1O@newsreader.com...
> No George's Allowed <bigcber@somewhere.iam> wrote:
> > N1RSP wrote:
> > >
> > > Sorry about the multible posts.
> >
> >>If you were really sorry, you would put all your stuff in
> > one post.
> >
> > If you were good, you would create a for sale web page and
> > direct everybody to that. You can update the web page with
> > what's been sold, and put new stuff on it at will.
> >
> With html and pics.
>
> --
> Go Sterling Marlin!
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service
>
```

2614. Re: Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-03 00:02:47 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <4rkA8.274$op2.171878@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 48830

Regarding portable alert radios, you make a good point about tone vs. SAME alert. FWIW, a year or two back, at our annual spotter training class, the NWS MIC was showing off his portable wx radio, which was the Oregon Sci. tone-alert model, not the SAME alert model. Makes you wonder what he knew?

As an aside, I have a Radio Shack 12-254 portable SAME alert receiver which I modified for mobile use by removing the whip antenna and replacing it with a short piece of coax and female BNC connector, hooked to an external antenna. Very handy when out sv wx spotting.

Very nice presentation, Mike!

Mark

"M.G." <dmic_//hae_//lgrogan@hotmail.com (remove _//)> wrote in message news:AY%z8.61$ce4.5496@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> . . . if you think it's too long ... you don't have to read it :) . . .
>
> Chris and group ...
>
> I hope that I may add a little bit of information to this discussion and
> provide some general information on NOAA weather radio to other readers.
I
> am a professional broadcaster, student meteorologist, and a scanner user.
>
> Over the years, I have had the opportunity to use (and install)
> many types of weather radios / SAME & EAS decoders, from
> portable hand helds to professional Emergency Alert System
> receivers/decoders.
>
> First, as I'm sure you know, there are two alert 'trigger' methods
available
> with consumer NOAA weather radios: the older 10-second analog 1050 Hz tone
> trigger, and the newer digital Specific Area Message Encoding trigger.
>
> The older 'tone triggered' radios will alarm for (any) alerted warnings
for
> ANY county served by the transmitter the radio is monitoring, since the
> special tone is still included in the NOAA weather radio audio stream.
>
> This older tone immediately follows the broadcast of the digital SAME
> information which is contained in a digital data 'burst' that is repeated
> three times. Within EACH of these bursts is contained a code which
defines
> the type of message (SVR storm warning, TOR nado warning, etc.), the

warned
> geographical area (usually a FIPS county code), and the expiration time of
> the warning / message. While all SAME radios allow the selection of
> particular county code, not all allow for selection of warning type. Even
> on those consumer versions that do, I don't believe they allow for
> deselection of Tornado warnings.
>
> Unfortunately, it has been my experience that the tone triggered radios
are
> more reliable for PORTABLE operation in fringe reception areas. While the
> SAME header is repeated three times, I have found that many portables
still
> miss this data, especially/mainly while the radios are in motion inside a
> vehicle, and fail to alert.
>
> Most tone triggered portables, on the other hand, need to only
> receive a brief portion of the 1050 Hz tone in order to alert. In this
> case,
> the tone is either there, or it isn't, and there is no digitized data that
> can be missed or falsely decoded.
>
> As far as the better brand of SAME radio, I have actually always trusted
> Radio Shack's, even though I did have one completely fail on me once. It
at
> least told me something was wrong, with 'Check Op' appearing on the LCD
> display. I have personally returned two properlly programmed non-portable
> Midland SAME-capable radios for what seemed to be selective failure to
alert
> (a RS brand also in my home with equal reception still alerted). I have
> actually thrown away a handheld Oregon Scientific SAME-capable portable
> radio for similar failures.
>
> By the way, Oregon Scientific and Midland are the only brands of weather
> radios with recall information that I have found on the U.S. Consumer
> Product Safety Commission's website (http://www.cpsc.gov/). The recalls
> were, I believe, voluntary.
>
> The tone-triggered radios with selectable 'channels' (1-7) all seem to
> operate with great consistency; Midland's seem to have the best reception;
I
> depend on my Oregon Scientific ** tone-triggered ** handheld for portable
> operations. I would, however, stay away from those with a 'dial' tuning
> system similar to older car radios / stereos, as reception tends to drift
up
> and down the dial, with frequent retuning necessary.
>
> Sorry to ramble ... hope this is helpful information to someone.
>
> Mike Grogan.
>

>
> legalradio@att.net> wrote in message
> news:Xns92007626FC01Blegalradio@204.127.36.1...
> > "Chris" <mrchri5@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > news:FUKw8.27522$CH.5433@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net:
> >
> > > hello,
> > >
> > > I know this is a scanner group but your probly the only people that
> > > can help with my question. I'm looking for a nice weather radio. Does
> > > the SAME technology work? I only want to hear storm/tornado warnings
> > > and only for my county. I've heard with some of the radio shack radios
> > > it doesn't work well. I would like a portable model thats fairly
> > > durable, can be ran off ac and batteries be left inside in case of a
> > > power outage. if someone could recomend a good online dealer and
> > > radio I would appreciate it.
> > >
> >
> > I have the tabletop RadioShack unit (the older one) and it has worked
> > flawlessly for me for years.
> >
> >
> > The SAME technology works great. On my unit, you can set it for up to
16
> > counties, or just have it sound on one county.
> >
> > The newer RS units can even allow you to specify WHICH type of alert you
> > want it to sound an alarm on.
> >
>
>

2615. Re: More Goods FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-03 00:24:03 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <DMkA8.173$0d4.69696@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 48831
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

It does direct you to a web page with pictures for those that cannot read!
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020502191355.417$10@newsreader.com...
> No George's Allowed <bigcber@somewhere.iam> wrote:
> > N1RSP wrote:
> > >
> > > Sorry about the multible posts.
> >
> >>If you were really sorry, you would put all your stuff in
> > one post.
> >
> > If you were good, you would create a for sale web page and
> > direct everybody to that. You can update the web page with
> > what's been sold, and put new stuff on it at will.
> >
> With html and pics.
>
> --
> Go Sterling Marlin!
>
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

2616. Re: where is http://www.kiss.uni-lj.si/~k4fe0166/scanner/scanner.htm??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-03 03:46:04 UTC
From: Rob Taylor <fireboy001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <KUmA8.7519$VQ6.657010@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 48832

Is that page in Hrvatski?

Rob in Salt Lake

"Zeljko" <roby@post.hinet.hr> wrote in message
news:jjugbukompajcfjkno20rte8vbr6oqia6p@4ax.com...
HI
http://www.kiss.uni-lj.si/~k4fe0166/scanner/scanner.htm
link?

2617. 971-985 mhz What am I hearing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-03 04:49:28 UTC
From: "A. Kay" <aj.kayNOSPAM@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <sFoA8.33482$iJ.15021@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 48833

I have been hear what sounds like telephone (cordless?) conversation in the 971 to 985 mhz range. Clear as a bell, both sides of the conversation. I thought the 900 mhz cordless phones transmitted in the lower 900 mhz range. What gives?

2618. There was Movement at the station (RADIOMAG)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-03 05:36:07 UTC
From: Richard Jones <richardajones@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3cd2223a$0$15148$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 48834

For info try their website

http://www.radiomag.com

Richard Jones
Garden Island WA

2619. Re: USAF Thunderbirds?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-03 06:29:49 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3CD22E5C.4B5150A8@mitre.org>
Source record: 48835

Try the data bases at the bottom of this board
<http://strongsignals.net/access/boards/frequency/board.cgi>
and the folks at Monitoring Times have a great list
<http://www.grove-ent.com/mtairshows.html>
Jim
MrG1stD wrote:

> The Thunderbirds are in Millville, NJ this weekend for an airshow. Anyone know
> of the freqs. they use during shows?
>
> TIA
>
> Bill

2620. Re: USAF Thunderbirds?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-03 07:25:30 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3CD23B69.9FB2E130@mitre.org>
Source record: 48836

Hears some more frequencies for Millvlle airport, NJ
123.65 CTAF (tower?)
123.000 Unicom
124.600 App/Dep
128.125 ASOS
122.75/238.15 arrival aircraft parking and look for the AIR BOSS here!
The web address for the show is: <http://www.schultzairshows.com/millville2002.htm>
More air shows are at <http://www.aero-pix.com/schedule/sched2002dt.htm>
you can still listen to the T-Birds on 141.850 Mhz in AM with an FM only radio, it
will sound tinny and staticie.
If your using a radio that has selectable AM-FM modes then it will sound OK.

Jim Mac Donald wrote:

> Try the data bases at the bottom of this board
> <http://strongsignals.net/access/boards/frequency/board.cgi>
> and the folks at Monitoring Times have a great list
> <http://www.grove-ent.com/mtairshows.html>
> Jim
> MrG1stD wrote:
>
> > The Thunderbirds are in Millville, NJ this weekend for an airshow. Anyone know
> > of the freqs. they use during shows?
> >
> > TIA
> >
> > Bill

2621. Re: 971-985 mhz What am I hearing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-03 11:51:24 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <0RuA8.112613$sl3.25257844@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 48837

"A. Kay" <aj.kayNOSPAM@verizon.net> wrote:

> I have been hear what sounds like telephone (cordless?) conversation in
the
> 971 to 985 mhz range. Clear as a bell, both sides of the conversation. I
> thought the 900 mhz cordless phones transmitted in the lower 900 mhz
range.
> What gives?

What is the first i.f. freq of the scanner? Could be images. See if you hear the same signals in the lower 900 MHz range.

Are you sure you're hearing cordless? Do you hear the conversation to the end without it switching to another freq?

BTW, you're under arrest!

Art

2622. Re: Telephone Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-03 12:00:17 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3CD27BD1.BABCB018@USA.net>
Source record: 48838

SB, take a real GOOD look around. Now tell me that the majority of posts are not useless regardless of what newsgroup you are in. The majority are just stupid and just uncalled for. The responders to the original post always vary from the main subject and end up looking like a dud. Yep, just like this one, my response has nothing to do with the subject at hand.

SB wrote:

> "Rob Taylor" wrote:
>
> >Bob's post asked a simple question, and none one can seem to answer it. Why
> >can't anyone just put down "sorry man, I don't know the answer to that,
> >maybe someone else does" or something equivalent.
>
> There'd be dozens of totally useless replies if folks did that.
>
> No answer? DON'T REPLY.

2623. Re: 971-985 mhz What am I hearing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-03 12:07:57 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3CD27D9D.B2A6EE80@USA.net>
Source record: 48839

Under arrest, ya? That will be the friggin day. The FCC can't enforce real violations of the communications act. Heck, you have cb'ers running high power amps, whoever transmitting on ham bands, cops using so called frequencies there are not licensed on for surveillance and a host of other violations of the communications act. And you think the FCC is going to be concerned about John or Mary Doe monitoring telephone calls on their scanner, I don't believe so.

Listening to wireless telephone calls is like listening to any two way radio, if it's broadcast on the air waves it's open to all. Frankly I don't get a swelling in my drawers over listening to phone calls. I use a cellular phone at times, you may not want to hear what I have to say.

Harris wrote:

> "A. Kay" <aj.kayNOSPAM@verizon.net> wrote:
>
> > I have been hear what sounds like telephone (cordless?) conversation in
> the
> > 971 to 985 mhz range. Clear as a bell, both sides of the conversation. I
> > thought the 900 mhz cordless phones transmitted in the lower 900 mhz
> range.
> > What gives?
>
> What is the first i.f. freq of the scanner? Could be images. See if you hear
> the same signals in the lower 900 MHz range.
>
> Are you sure you're hearing cordless? Do you hear the conversation to the
> end without it switching to another freq?
>
> BTW, you're under arrest!
>
> Art

2624. Re: Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-03 12:30:12 UTC
From: john63401@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <bl05du0etj2dkaca9gfcb181ppet1n9mus@4ax.com>
Source record: 48840

> FWIW, a year or two back, at our annual spotter training class, the
>NWS MIC was showing off his portable wx radio, which was the Oregon Sci.
>tone-alert model, not the SAME alert model. Makes you wonder what he knew?

Hmmm.... you guys have almost talked me out of buying a
SAME model and sticking with the old style tone models!

Should I do that or not?

2625. New search options at radio-portal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-03 12:33:26 UTC
From: Willi Passmann <DJ6JZ@compuserve.de>
Message-ID: <aau06qath06$1@news.t-online.com>
Source record: 48841

The search engine radio-portal
http://www.radio-portal.org
was restructured completely. Now the following search options are at
your disposal on the start page:

Detail Search:
Here you may enter several search terms, which can be combined by the
operators
exact / and / or.
These functions offer the opportunity to adopt the selectivity of a
search. This is a great improvement compared to the previously used
routine. Now your search phrase does not have to fit exactly the
text in the database to get a usable result.
Additionally, a search can be restricted to one of the 63 categories.

Category Search:
These option corresponds to the former "Search in broad". Here you can
combine two of the given categories by the operators
and / or / not.
For example 'Reviews' and 'Antennas', to get an impression on choosing
one's own equipment.
This search routine is extremely useful to get an overview on a field of
interest - a search phrase is not necessary.

Advanced Search:
On a separate page all functions mentioned above can be combined at the
same time. So it is possible to restrict the number of results to a
senseful value or to find entries belonging to various search phrases or
categories.

I am currently working to enable a search within results pages as a
further option.

You will find further explanations and operating examples via the "FAQ"
Button.

The Classified Advert pages have been updated also. Here you can offer
or search for hobby related items.

I hope you will like the new options. They are meant as a help to find a
quicker way to radio related information. Meanwhile more than 34.000
pages are in the database - all of them were checked manually. Probably
one of the reasons, radio-portal got the Millenium Innovation Award by
Radio Netherlands Media Network in the year 2000.

Your comments will be appreciated.

Regards, have a good weekend.
Willi Passmann, DJ6JZ

2626. Re: 971-985 mhz What am I hearing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-03 12:49:58 UTC
From: mcclure_john@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <61f2a158.0205030449.5693542e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48842

"A. Kay" <aj.kayNOSPAM@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:<sFoA8.33482$iJ.15021@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>...
> I have been hear what sounds like telephone (cordless?) conversation in the
> 971 to 985 mhz range. Clear as a bell, both sides of the conversation. I
> thought the 900 mhz cordless phones transmitted in the lower 900 mhz range.
> What gives?

your hearin cell phones not cordless

2627. Re: where is http://www.kiss.uni-lj.si/~k4fe0166/scanner/scanner.htm??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-03 14:13:14 UTC
From: DxxxxxBxx <d.bxxSPAM@lycosSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <uh65dug0qicn7qnt6ms8fd6v8nttsjjtdm@4ax.com>
Source record: 48843

Is this a joke?

Go to this link:
http://www.ripe.net/perl/whois/

and look up this IP address (the IP address of the hostname in
question):
193.2.98.10

and you'll see who this address belongs to.

Then send them an email and ask them where the server is. The reverse
IP lookup returns a host named wet.kiss.uni-lj.si. I didn't look at
the site (since I think this is a joke) but based on the name it's
probably not suitable content.

I'd have listed the information on that IP address myself, but as the
page that displays has a copyright notice, I thought I'd better not.

Good Luck.

On Thu, 2 May 2002 20:46:04 -0700, "Rob Taylor"
<fireboy001@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Is that page in Hrvatski?
>
>Rob in Salt Lake
>
>
>"Zeljko" <roby@post.hinet.hr> wrote in message
>news:jjugbukompajcfjkno20rte8vbr6oqia6p@4ax.com...
>HI
>http://www.kiss.uni-lj.si/~k4fe0166/scanner/scanner.htm
>link?
>

2628. Scanner Crystal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-03 16:10:45 UTC
From: cozyoak@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020503121045.11754.00009343@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 48844

I have a crystal that has a marking on it of "MRU-2" - - - I know that "MRU-1" has a I.F. of 10.7 but does anyone know what the I.F. of the MRU-2 is?

Also does anyone know of a book or website that has this type of information?

Thanks . . . . . .

Jim Peters
Portland, Oregon

2629. Re: More Goods FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-03 17:46:49 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0205030946.2433fa34@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48845
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.scanner

"N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<nAdA8.74$Nj6.31404@news1.news.adelphia.net>...
> Check out the reduced prices.Make me a reasonable offer if something tickels
> your fancy.
> http://www.msnusers.com/DicksFTP/shoebox.msnw
> Email me.
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

Isn't it spelled "tickles"?

2630. Re: Scanner Crystal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-03 19:32:33 UTC
From: Mac <NOSPAMcthirty7@hotmail.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <ud5pel2i9fo0b9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48846

The MRU-2 will most likely be for a 10.8 mhz I.F. circuit, and should work in most Bearcat crystal scanners (Bearcat III, IV, etc.). The MRU-1 is for Regency and most other scanners.

Jim Peters <cozyoak@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020503121045.11754.00009343@mb-mq.aol.com...
> I have a crystal that has a marking on it of "MRU-2" - - - I know that "MRU-1"
> has a I.F. of 10.7 but does anyone know what the I.F. of the MRU-2 is?
>
> Also does anyone know of a book or website that has this type of information?
>
> Thanks . . . . . .
>
> Jim Peters
> Portland, Oregon

2631. Re: 971-985 mhz What am I hearing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-03 21:21:37 UTC
From: Lone Wolf® <wolf_creek_pass@Xlyahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3CD301E5.F58F3834@Xlyahoo.com>
Source record: 48747

i have ta agree with you,here in canada the cops where montiring cell phone calls with a scanner to make a drug bust......

lone wolf

Pappy@USA.net wrote:

> Under arrest, ya? That will be the friggin day. The FCC can't enforce real
> violations of the communications act. Heck, you have cb'ers running high power
> amps, whoever transmitting on ham bands, cops using so called frequencies there
> are not licensed on for surveillance and a host of other violations of the
> communications act. And you think the FCC is going to be concerned about John
> or Mary Doe monitoring telephone calls on their scanner, I don't believe so.
>
> Listening to wireless telephone calls is like listening to any two way radio, if
> it's broadcast on the air waves it's open to all. Frankly I don't get a swelling
> in my drawers over listening to phone calls. I use a cellular phone at times,
> you may not want to hear what I have to say.
>
> Harris wrote:
>
> > "A. Kay" <aj.kayNOSPAM@verizon.net> wrote:
> >
> > > I have been hear what sounds like telephone (cordless?) conversation in
> > the
> > > 971 to 985 mhz range. Clear as a bell, both sides of the conversation. I
> > > thought the 900 mhz cordless phones transmitted in the lower 900 mhz
> > range.
> > > What gives?
> >
> > What is the first i.f. freq of the scanner? Could be images. See if you hear
> > the same signals in the lower 900 MHz range.
> >
> > Are you sure you're hearing cordless? Do you hear the conversation to the
> > end without it switching to another freq?
> >
> > BTW, you're under arrest!
> >
> > Art

2632. Re: Scanner Crystal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-03 21:23:11 UTC
From: lbrcly@cs.com
Message-ID: <20020503172311.20757.00006118@mb-mw.news.cs.com>
Source record: 48748

>I have a crystal that has a marking on it of "MRU-2" - - - I know that
>"MRU-1"
>has a I.F. of 10.7 but does anyone know what the I.F. of the MRU-2 is?

MRU-2 would be 10.8 if ,for bearcat scanners.

2633. Question about Police Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-03 21:28:13 UTC
From: "Sam E. Hall" <sehall@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <aauvdj$7il$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 48749

Could anyone tell me what scanner would work for receiving digital cell frequencies?

Thanks

2634. Re: Question about Police Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-03 21:40:04 UTC
From: legalradio@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <Xns9203B3CB23D9Elegalradio@204.127.36.1>
Source record: 48750

"Sam E. Hall" <sehall@alltel.net> wrote in
news:aauvdj$7il$1@iac5.navix.net:

> Could anyone tell me what scanner would work for receiving digital cell
> frequencies?
>
> Thanks
>
>
>

None. Digital signals cannot be decoded on a scanner

2635. Re: More Goods FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-03 22:22:22 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <y4EA8.91$Ok3.47511@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 48751
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.scanner

All they ever do is bitch.their must be a problem at home.
"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:t6l5duc1mbub8h4kg4tccivnpvhihhgqg4@4ax.com...
> "Richard Cranium" wrote:
>
> >Isn't it spelled "tickles"?
>
> Another wiseass

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

2636. aerial refueling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-03 23:31:08 UTC
From: John J McCoy Jr <kb9qng@charter.net>
Message-ID: <3CD31DBC.5C8B5CB5@charter.net>
Source record: 48752

Can anybody tell me where I can find some Aerial Refueling frequencies
or send me some???

2637. Re: USAF Thunderbirds?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-03 23:58:27 UTC
From: Runnyriver <noton6@microbrain.com>
Message-ID: <aav86m$e62ol$1@ID-121301.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48753

"Jim Mac Donald" <jmacdon@mitre.org> wrote in message
news:3CD23B69.9FB2E130@mitre.org...
> Hears some more frequencies for Millvlle airport, NJ
> 123.65 CTAF (tower?)
> 123.000 Unicom
> 124.600 App/Dep
> 128.125 ASOS
> 122.75/238.15 arrival aircraft parking and look for the AIR BOSS here!
> The web address for the show is:
<http://www.schultzairshows.com/millville2002.htm>
> More air shows are at <http://www.aero-pix.com/schedule/sched2002dt.htm>
> you can still listen to the T-Birds on 141.850 Mhz in AM with an FM only
radio, it
> will sound tinny and staticie.
> If your using a radio that has selectable AM-FM modes then it will sound
OK.

in other words, a PRO 43 will work PERFECTLY

2638. Re: Scanner Crystal Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-04 01:34:29 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3cd33abd$0$1407$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 48754
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The U designates UHF band.
A V would be VHF-high band.
An L would be VHF-low band.

MRU-1 is for Regency scanners with 10.7 MHz IF
and will work in most (not all) Radio Shack
scanners
MRU-2 is for Bearcat or JC Penney scanners with 10.8 MHz IF
MRU-3 is for Sonar scanners with 10.7 MHz IF

I published a large chart of scanner crystal designations
in August 2000 Monitoring Times magazine, but
didn't include MRU-2 or MRU-3.

On Fri, 03 May 2002 11:12:52 -0500, Jim Peters wrote:

> I have a crystal that has a marking on it of "MRU-2" - - - I know that
> "MRU-1" has a I.F. of 10.7 but does anyone know what the I.F. of the
> MRU-2 is?
>
> Also does anyone know of a book or website that has this type of
> information?

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

2639. Re: Question about Police Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-04 01:55:20 UTC
From: Jesse <jplummer@bluefrognet.net>
Message-ID: <3CD33F88.DF909145@bluefrognet.net>
Source record: 48756

Even more so, when digital can be decoded, I am sure that cell phones
will be excluded...

legalradio@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> "Sam E. Hall" <sehall@alltel.net> wrote in
> news:aauvdj$7il$1@iac5.navix.net:
>
> > Could anyone tell me what scanner would work for receiving digital cell
> > frequencies?
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> >
> >
>
> None. Digital signals cannot be decoded on a scanner

2640. Re: ICOM-R3 hatchet job? (blocks more than cell?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-04 02:19:12 UTC
From: jabb3rw0cky@netscape.net
Message-ID: <8354e2f6.0205031819.41f6ff50@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48755

i found that radioworld.ca gave me 2 different quotes (apparently more
than ONE person receives email requests to sales@radioworld.ca)

i also found that burnabyradio.com had the better price, but an
unblocked IC-R3 just isn't what i thought it would be...

i wanted to receive video signals in the 434Mhz range, but according
to everything i've read, the unit is NOT capable of receiving video on
that frequency - just ATV at 900Mhz or above...

while i can still buy a 900Mhz nanobug from supercircuits.com, i was
interested more in the 70cm nanobug - but since the Icom R3 can't
receive the ATV video signal at that frequency, why bother?

i'm also running across varying reports of video signal sensitivity at
900Mhz and above - something like "you have to be in the same room as
the transmitter" to get the video signal.

it also sucks that you can't get "wild feeds" from news choppers and
such - since they seem to use a different format than Wide FM Video
Signal (someone mentioned they use VBM or some such format....)

anyway, thanks for the suggestions - i might just buy an export IC-R3
one day (once i sell my export IC-R2!)

"Devin" <a@b.c> wrote in message news:<93Hz8.2104$xS2.106094@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>...
> if you are in the US. try www.radioworld.ca or www.burnabyradio.com
>
> one of those stores will reply to you fast and they are only in canada.
> Canada radio's are unblocked.
>
>

2641. FS or FT: Alinco DJ-5T Dual Band HT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-04 03:58:31 UTC
From: "Sam E. Hall" <sehall@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <aavm9doft1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 48757

Looking to sell or trade a Alinco DJ-5T Dual Band HT with high output battery, AA battery case, charger, Diamond Tri-Band antenna, original box and manual.
Will take $200 or will trade for a Icom W32A and will give some cash to boot.

Sam

2642. Re: Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-04 05:02:02 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <OZJA8.1$YT3.400@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 48758

John, that is going to depend on what you plan to do. If you are looking for an alert warning receiver for the home, especially if you are married, then get a SAME alert radio. I can practically guarantee that the second or third time the tone alert receiver goes off in the middle of the night for a warning that's not for your county, the better half is going to unplug, disable or physically remove the receiver from the house. A turned-off wx radio is like a smoke detector with the battery removed or an unloaded gun - WORTHLESS!! (I'd tell you about the time my old Uniden tone alert radio went off in the middle of an intimate moment with a new girlfriend, but this NG is read by all ages!) If you live in a fringe area for reception, get a model that has an external antenna connector. That way, if you do have reception problems, you can get an inexpensive antenna to improve your reception.

If you are looking for a unit to take traveling, hiking, camping, biking, etc., then go with a tone alert unit, These will activate with a weaker signal, and you don't have to worry about the SAME code for the area you are in. (Of course, you have to make sure you are using the correct NWS frequency for the area you are in. That info can be obtained on the NWS website.)

If you are looking for something to use in the car, check out the several models of scanners that include wx alerts, and figure on getting an external mobile antenna for best reception.

As you can see, different situations require different solutions. I feel the Radio Shack SAME alert receivers are a good buy. This is my opinion, your results may vary. But, as I'm the Asst. Emg. Coord. for my county, my opinion just may be of some value.

Mark

<john63401@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:bl05du0etj2dkaca9gfcb181ppet1n9mus@4ax.com...
> > FWIW, a year or two back, at our annual spotter training class, the
> >NWS MIC was showing off his portable wx radio, which was the Oregon Sci.
> >tone-alert model, not the SAME alert model. Makes you wonder what he
knew?
>
>
> Hmmm.... you guys have almost talked me out of buying a
> SAME model and sticking with the old style tone models!
>
> Should I do that or not?

2643. Re: 971-985 mhz What am I hearing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-04 05:59:12 UTC
From: "A. Kay" <aj.kayNOSPAM@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <QMKA8.4548$Dx3.655@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 48759

The frequency that I remember was something like 971.xxx. And I didn't hear anything in the 902 to 908 mhz range.

"Harris" <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com> wrote in message news:0RuA8.112613$sI3.25257844@news02.optonline.net...
>
> "A. Kay" <aj.kayNOSPAM@verizon.net> wrote:
>
> > I have been hear what sounds like telephone (cordless?) conversation in
> the
> > 971 to 985 mhz range. Clear as a bell, both sides of the conversation.
I
> > thought the 900 mhz cordless phones transmitted in the lower 900 mhz
> range.
> > What gives?
>
> What is the first i.f. freq of the scanner? Could be images. See if you
hear
> the same signals in the lower 900 MHz range.
>
> Are you sure you're hearing cordless? Do you hear the conversation to the
> end without it switching to another freq?
>
> BTW, you're under arrest!
>
> Art
>
>

2644. BC248CLT Uniden ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-04 07:31:37 UTC
From: IgOt-5-On-It@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13891-3CD38E59-146@storefull-2254.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 48760

I have this uniden and a radio shack PRO-2026 model scanner and im trying to program the Uniden to receive cell phone calls ..... but it wont accept any frequencies that start with 900mhz. My older radio shack accepts with no problem. Is there some way I can modify the Uniden to receive cell phones. Or are all scanners made nowadays made to where you cannot scan those frequencies?

Ps I know its illegal to scan for calls for those internet police out there but I dont give shit and dont respond telling me how its illegal because I know already.

2645. Re: BC248CLT Uniden ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-04 09:21:31 UTC
From: Lone Wolf® <lone_wolf_36@Xcanada.com>
Message-ID: <3CD3AAA2.9B2A43D1@Xcanada.com>
Source record: 48761

its illegal to monitor cell phone calls

i to don't give a sh*t,how they gonna catch ya monitoring cell phone calls anyways?
than theres baby monitors and cordless phones.......

lone wolf

IgOt-5-On-It wrote:

> I have this uniden and a radio shack PRO-2026 model scanner and im
> trying to program the Uniden to receive cell phone calls ..... but it
> wont accept any frequencies that start with 900mhz. My older radio shack
> accepts with no problem. Is there some way I can modify the Uniden to
> receive cell phones. Or are all scanners made nowadays made to where you
> cannot scan those frequencies?
>
> Ps I know its illegal to scan for calls for those internet police out
> there but I dont give shit and dont respond telling me how its illegal
> because I know already.

2646. Display problem pro 2022

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-04 12:53:10 UTC
From: nikee@aztec.asu.edu
Message-ID: <ab0ljmlkl1@news.asu.edu>
Source record: 48762

Hi, I have a RS Pro 2022 and
am having problems with the
display. Only parts of the #'s
show up (lower half). Any ideas
on whatit would take to repair
this problem? I have a service
manual, and the troubleshooting
section points to the IC7 or
"related" components on any problems
with the display. Any ideas? Thanks
in advance..

--

2647. Re: Pro2032 & BC120XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-04 14:07:59 UTC
From: "David B." <davejb@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <3XRA8.5349$Dx3.2606@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 48763

Sold

--

_____
"David B." <davejb@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:eIEx8.29167$uV.13951@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> I'm going to put these on eBay, though I would give you guys a crack first.
>
> Pro2032: I am original owner, unit in like new condition, I believe I have all original packaging & manual, haven't tried to find
> them yet, unit has never been opened or modified.
>
> BC120XLT: I am original owner, unit in like new condition, I believe I have all original packaging & manual, haven't tried to find
> them yet, unit has never been opened or modified, battery less than a year old, includes leather case.
>
> --
>
> _____
>
>

2648. Scanner Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-04 14:42:35 UTC
From: Winterblaze@aol.com
Message-ID: <14e3374e.0205040642.71d638c0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48764

Does anybody have any information about the trunked systems in
scanners in "lay" terms?

2649. Trunked System Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-04 14:59:29 UTC
From: MDKD787@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <17ced11d.0205040659.3cda088c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48765

Hello,
Does anybody have any information or know any websites that
explain how trunked systems work on scanners in "lay" terms. If you do
can you please tell me.

--thanks

2650. Re: ICOM-R3 hatchet job? (blocks more than cell?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-04 16:33:43 UTC
From: dkelly <dwkelly@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <ab123l$hq8$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 48766

I bought three and returned them. The ICOM sales material was incorrect in specifying only "cellular" was blocked and I felt deceived. (Hopefully this has been "fixed"). My interest was in receiving the 821-824/866-869 NPSPAC ranges...which are definitely NOT cellular ranges...and this toy couldn't even do that in a blocked version. As far as I can tell, noone else has this ICOM "problem".

jabb3rw0cky <jabb3rw0cky@netscape.net> wrote in message news:8354e2f6.0204281650.5fc50561@posting.google.com...
> i DID find this description of the frequency range at
> http://www.aesham.com
>
> Frequency Range: USA version: 0.495-815.995MHz, 902-2450.095MHz;
> Non-USA version: 0.495-2450.095MHz
>
> funny enough, bandercom nor aesham have replied to my emails about
> export sales.
>
> oh well...
>
> one of these babies coupled with a "nanobug" from
> http://www.supercircuits.com would be pretty useful for covert
> surveillance...
>
>
http://www.supercircuits.com/STORE/prodinfo.asp?number=AVX434S2&variation=&aitem=5&mitem=5
>
>
> jabb3rw0cky@netscape.net (jabb3rw0cky) wrote in message news:<8354e2f6.0204201657.66577b9a@posting.google.com>...
> > does the US version of the ICOM-R3 have more than just the AMPS cell
> > phone frequency range blocked?
> >
> > i was disappointed to discover that some manufacturers (like Yaesu)
> > will block valid frequency ranges up in 900Mhz just to avoid receiving
> > "cell images" on dual-conversion scanners/ht's
> >
> > what i'm really interested in knowing is whether valid ATV frequencies
> > in the 900Mhz range are somehow blocked "by accident" in the Icom R3.
> >
> > thanks.

2651. Midland SAME weather radios on sale at Sams Club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-04 17:53:50 UTC
From: leeroth@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <d0e744c9.0205040953.676b40e9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48767
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,sci.geo.meteorology

Currently at my local Sam's Club (warehouse-type discount store) they
are selling the following Midland brand weather radios with SAME (specific
area message encoding) capability- i.e. you can program in the county codes
to the radio so you only get notified of what you want to receive.

Here are their (good!) sale prices:

Midland Model # Sam's Club # sale price regular price(+)
74-200 639019 $ 24.98 $ 49.98
74-210 447630 $ 29.98 $ 59.98

(+) Note: I *think* that is what the regular price was- I did not write
it down, only the sale price.

In any case, call the store and give them their stock numbers to check
on availability.

Basically, the 74-200 is a wx radio that holds 16 county codes, the 74-210
holds 20 county codes and has a clock and FM radio receiver.

The Midland website on these units at

http://www.midlandradio.com/products.asp?pid=33

Beware: The pop-ups promise links to manuals but they do not work. The Midland
site says the 74-200 is $69.95, so obviouosly Sams has a good price right now.

Try these Google links for info hunting:

http://www.google.com/search?hl=en&q=%2274-200%22+midland&btnG=Google+Search
http://www.google.com/search?hl=en&q=%2274-210%22+midland&btnG=Google+Search
(watch for line wrap on above URLs)

Note that on the Midland website the 74-210 appears to be called the WR-30 and
not 74-210 but they seem to be the same thing.

I glanced at the manual for the 74-210 it appears that you can also
program it for specific warning types. It also allows programming of
1st channel/all channel alerts- so at night you can have it just alert
for your county and in the day it can alert for all of the channels
(feature desired by weather nuts like me).

Oddly, I checked the Sam's Club website under Electronics --> Weather Radios
and they only list Oregon Scientific models, so these Midlands may be
discontinued- they had a big pallet of them right when you walk in the door
so I suspect they are trying to move them out.

I have one of the original Radio Shack SAME units... it has worked OK (paid $70 for it when it came out) and it works OK, but seems to lack many of the features of the Midlands.

My old RS unit had an interesting bug- the manual specified an 'all county code' that you could program in to save entering lots of individual counties (the Midland does too). Well, being the programmer that I am I put my local county code into the 1st position and the 'all county' code into the 2nd position. At night, I would set the switch to 'single', which meant only alert on the 1st channel code. Now, I would sleep fine unless there was a watch/warning for my specific county... wrong! The darn thing would alert for warnings that were not for my county!

At first, I suspected the (then new) SAME gear was sending out bogus codes via the NWS radio. After some email discussions with my local NWS office and some subsequent tests, it was discovered that if you used the 'all county' code anywhere in the list you enter, then it would ignore the single/multi switch and alert no matter what!! Grrrr. So, as a workaround I just had to plug in all of the individual county codes instead of using the 'wild card' code.

Lee Roth

p.s.
Sorry, the email address associated with this posting isn't valid anymore, so if emailing instead of posting please email to 'leexixroth@yahoo.com', but first remove the 'roman numerals for nineteen' from the middle of my email address.

2652. Re: Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-04 19:43:45 UTC
From: mahatmakanejeeves@swissinfo.org
Message-ID: <92d33cb.0205041143.29d5569c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48768

We get the Sunday newspaper ads with Saturday's paper. Radio Shack has put its $50 weather radio on sale for $40. That price is good from 5/5/02 through 5/11/02. The SKU is 12-251. "Provides alerts for 2 specific areas you set."

(Also posted at alt.radio.family under the thread "Good weather radio?")

2653. Re: Multiple Scanners On One Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-04 19:59:41 UTC
From: kml@digi.com.br
Message-ID: <3cd422fa.4553998@news.digi.com.br>
Source record: 48769

Hi Fellows:

It is possible to put two antennas (1 discone and other vertical
ground plane- 40 mHz) for one scanner???
I own Uniden Bearcat BC-895 XLT. I connected both and
I get some birdies... any help???
Thanks in advance.
73 de PS7KM,
Karl

On Wed, 17 Apr 2002 14:19:03 GMT, Bart Bailey <nothere@nethere.net>
wrote:

>-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
>Hash: RIPEMD160
>
>marvin rosen wrote:
>
> Is it possible to connect a discone ant to 2 or more scanners?
>
>Sure,
>with the right connectors,
>but,
>you might get a mutual lockup if they are in scan mode,
>and they each "hit" on the internal harmonics "birdies" of the
>other.
>
>~Bart~
>
>-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
>Version: 6.6.6
>Comment: KeyID: 0xA2EBCFD7
>
>iQEVAwUBPL2EKIqYm/ui68/XAQOytwf9GKF9bxtP0RXjsc2KoGBjegWGJ229L9Sz
>Iw04t2DGlSw7phNxfOF0iWkbEqU9a7pNmRxGrr/VttScVUdT0WZ+pwQbgf6ThFwZ
>rsiPWupRvhBzclcgMzS0f8KZLp7PhE2df0L/Bjee8e+k8myyGszeR4CXjAfH/yDv
>302febXCvn+MzONYX9Kt1SUKvd+qqhDfckYgw/jwcr9MWti/1Xx4N9ifXQEZpQ+9
>OKsSnr563BkRAf9encGPLXXxkgne520MfrUChnMJZF+ijibAX+KbGsz92L25PXNw
>JW2ljwxBytn3rCDUAVga4F0AcCo1IpGa1XfzBfjwnM+EDFvWzMlS+w==
>=Eo1x
>-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
>
>

<><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><>
Karl Leite
Natal, RN, Brasil
eMail: kml@digi.com.br
kl@samnet.com.br
LightHouse PostCard Collector

2654. Re: Radio Shack website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-04 20:11:37 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <k2g8dugkvl4mfbpe89i55lu5nl2t79s120@4ax.com>
Source record: 48770

Having lost his job as dog catcher, SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> posted this...

This message just came back again, on May 4th.....

>"Never anonymous Bud" wrote:
>
>>Try getting there via the IP address, if it is a DNS problem, that will fix it.
>>
>>64.29.223.109
>
>I can sometimes access the main page, but then I have huge problems
>accessing others. It's not my preferred browser (IE 6.0, WinXP)
>because I can't even access it at all using Netscape 4.78 or 6.22
>(both with Java).
>
>I'll try. Thanks.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2655. Icom R3 Alternative ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-04 22:36:24 UTC
From: lynn lucas <lucaslyn@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <rho8duk56gaveo2o36nnqdh27ad4n37ml5@4ax.com>
Source record: 48772
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

There are so many negative reports about the R3, especially in the 2.4G wireless cam range, that I'm looking for a different solution. Is there a product that can produce decent reception in the 2.4G range? Or possibly a mod to an existing product? I heard there was a mod to a product which produced watchable video on a laptop computer. If this is true, can someone point me in the right direction?

Appreciate any and all help!

lynn

lucaslyn@hotmail.com

2656. Re: Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-04 22:45:48 UTC
From: "M.G." <dmic_//hae_//lgrogan@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <wwZA8.3244$U11.148320@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 48771

I have to agree with Mark...it depends on what you want to do. My original post was not intended to talk anyone out of a SAME radio ... just to detail my experience with them. In my home situation, I actually use a toned radio in my office so that I hear all the warnings from my NWS transmitter. In the bedroom, I have a SAME-capable radio so that I can narrow down the warnings at night.

Mike.

"M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message news:OZJA8.1$YT3.400@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> John, that is going to depend on what you plan to do. If you are looking
> for an alert warning receiver for the home, especially if you are married,
> then get a SAME alert radio. I can practically guarantee that the second
or
> third time the tone alert receiver goes off in the middle of the night for
a
> warning that's not for your county, the better half is going to unplug,
> disable or physically remove the receiver from the house. A turned-off wx
> radio is like a smoke detector with the battery removed or an unloaded
gun -
> WORTHLESS!! (I'd tell you about the time my old Uniden tone alert radio
> went off in the middle of an intimate moment with a new girlfriend, but
this
> NG is read by all ages!) If you live in a fringe area for reception, get
a
> model that has an external antenna connector. That way, if you do have
> reception problems, you can get an inexpensive antenna to improve your
> reception.
>
> If you are looking for a unit to take traveling, hiking, camping, biking,
> etc., then go with a tone alert unit, These will activate with a weaker
> signal, and you don't have to worry about the SAME code for the area you
are
> in. (Of course, you have to make sure you are using the correct NWS
> frequency for the area you are in. That info can be obtained on the NWS
> website.)
>
> If you are looking for something to use in the car, check out the several
> models of scanners that include wx alerts, and figure on getting an
external
> mobile antenna for best reception.
>
> As you can see, different situations require different solutions. I feel
> the Radio Shack SAME alert receivers are a good buy. This is my opinion,

> your results may vary. But, as I'm the Asst. Emg. Coord. for my county,
my
> opinion just may be of some value.
>
> Mark
>
> <john63401@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:bl05du0etj2dkaca9gfcb181ppet1n9mus@4ax.com...
> > > FWIW, a year or two back, at our annual spotter training class, the
> > >NWS MIC was showing off his portable wx radio, which was the Oregon
Sci.
> > >tone-alert model, not the SAME alert model. Makes you wonder what he
> knew?
> >
> >
> > Hmmm.... you guys have almost talked me out of buying a
> > SAME model and sticking with the old style tone models!
> >
> > Should I do that or not?
>
>
>

2657. Re: Multiple Scanners On One Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-04 23:50:23 UTC
From: Mike <room4me@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <3t_A8.63062$ao1.11484@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 48773

The approach I would suggest is to purchase a diplexer for a dual band antenna which allows 2 radios to be connected to a single antenna OR 2 antennas to a single radio. It works in either direction.

I would suggest using one scanner for lower frequencies, below the cut off and the other scanner for frequencies above the cut off. You can purchase ham diplexers at any ham store OR search the net for better prices. Ham diplexers come in several configurations, but the least expensive a 144mHz/ 440mHz should do what you want. You'll loose a little bit of signal on the 144mHz output at 250Mhz, but other than the HAM 220 band and military aeronautical band at 200mHz. There isn't an awful lot to listen to there.

This setup will provide the isolation, minimizing birdies, and intermod caused by attaching two receivers to the same antenna. It will also provide the least amount of hassle in hook up. I've used this set up and it works well. Cost should be somewhere around $50.00 for a diplexer new, less of course, if you can find one used.

A cheaper method is to use cable TV cable from your antenna to a CATV 2-way splitter and hooking the two scanners together that way. Be sure you get a splitter rated to the maximum frequency you want to listen to. I've seen them cut off at 400mHz, so be aware.

The loss in signal by each receiver is about 3db across the splitter. Unfortunately, the isolation between the two receivers is only about 6db. This is why one scanner will hear birdies or other noise generated by the other.

Happy scanning.

MN

"Karl Leite" <kml@digi.com.br> wrote in message
news:3cd422fa.4553998@news.digi.com.br...
> Hi Fellows:
>
> It is possible to put two antennas (1 discone and other vertical
> ground plane- 40 mHz) for one scanner???
> I own Uniden Bearcat BC-895 XLT. I connected both and
> I get some birdies... any help???
> Thanks in advance.
> 73 de PS7KM,
> Karl
>
>
>

> On Wed, 17 Apr 2002 14:19:03 GMT, Bart Bailey <nothere@nethere.net>
> wrote:
>
> >-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> >Hash: RIPEMD160
> >
> >marvin rosen wrote:
> >
> > Is it possible to connect a discone ant to 2 or more scanners?
> >
> >Sure,
> >with the right connectors,
> >but,
> >you might get a mutual lockup if they are in scan mode,
> >and they each "hit" on the internal harmonics "birdies" of the
> >other.
> >
> >~Bart~
> >
> >-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
> >Version: 6.6.6
> >Comment: KeyID: 0xA2EBCFD7
> >
> >iQEVAwUBPL2EKIqYm/ui68/XAQOytwf9GKF9bxtP0RXjsc2KoGBjegWGJ229L9Sz
> >Iw04t2DGlSw7phNxfOF0iWkbEqU9a7pNmRxGrr/VttScVUdT0WZ+pwQbgf6ThFwZ
> >rsiPWupRvhBzclcgMzS0f8KZLp7PhE2df0L/Bjee8e+k8myyGszeR4CXjAfH/yDv
> >302febXCvn+MzONYX9Kt1SUKvd+qqhDfckYgw/jwcr9MWti/1Xx4N9ifXQEZpQ+9
> >OKsSnr563BkRAf9encGPLXXxkgne520MfrUChnMJZF+ijibAX+KbGsz92L25PXNw
> >JW2ljwxBytn3rCDUAVga4F0AcCo1IpGa1XfzBfjwnM+EDFvWzMlS+w==
> >=Eo1x
> >-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
> >
> >
>
> <><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><>
> Karl Leite
> Natal, RN, Brasil
> eMail: kml@digi.com.br
> kl@samnet.com.br
> LightHouse PostCard Collector

2658. Question about the 780 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-05 00:13:47 UTC
From: Ben <manley62@InsightBB.com>
Message-ID: <%O_A8.48518$D%5.11964@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 48774

I know you can only program in the control channel for a system to make the scanner trunk track. My question is will the scanner record all the freq.'s that that system uses?

2659. Re: Tucson, Temp, and Phoenix, AZ Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-05 00:26:22 UTC
From: Ardna <NoSPAM>
Message-ID: <O__A8.27622$9c5.904948@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 48775
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Try http://www.incidentcommandpage.com/

Ardna

"Paul Ooton" <news@ooton.cjb.net> wrote in message
news:3cc089d5_2@corp.newsgroups.com...
> If you read his post you would have noticed that he said that he tried
> www.cityfreq.com.
> Paul
>
> "wleamons" <wleamons@socket.net> wrote in message
> news:uc12te7mt2pgfe@corp.supernews.com...
> > try cityfreq.com
> > "tw��,.," <.tw,@nothomeexcite5,yahoomsnATTBI.org.com> wrote in message
> > news:Q0Zv8.72708$G72.54058@sccrnsc01...
> > > Hi,
> > >
> > > I am looking for police, Fire, and sheriff frequencies for Tucson,
> Tempe,
> > and Phoenix. Also Arizona
> > > state law. I've checked out cityfreq.com, but it lists too many junk
> > frequencies. Please help me.
> > > Thanks.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2660. Re: Multiple Scanners On One Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-05 00:33:47 UTC
From: Dale Parfitt <parinc@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3CD47C93.B1596AA7@gte.net>
Source record: 48776

I just put a couple of generic CATV 2X splitter/combiners on my network analyzer- scanning from 40-900MHz. Worst case port-port isolation was 17dB increasing to over 24 dB at 40 MHz- far greater than the 6dB mentioned. Of course, the port-port isolation is somewhat dependent on how well the antenna looks like 50-75 Ohms.

Dale W4OP

2661. Re: Question about the 780 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-05 01:29:02 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com>
Message-ID: <rich-10E23F.20290204052002@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48777

In article <%O_A8.48518$D%5.11964@sccrnsc01>,
"Ben" <manley62@InsightBB.com> wrote:

> I know you can only program in the control channel for a system to make the
> scanner trunk track. My question is will the scanner record all the freq.'s
> that that system uses?
>
>
>

No, the 780 will DISPLAY each channel as it is active but it does not
record or save the freqs.

One could use a program like Trunker (available at www.trunkedradio.net)
to do this.

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG
IL Highways and Scanner page: http://www.n9jig.com
Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association: http://www.carmachicago.com

2662. Re: Pro 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-05 01:40:03 UTC
From: jkelly6649@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020504214003.00883.00006194@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 48778

Subject: Pro 2006
From: SugarBroker@webtv.net (Joseph Blanpain)
Date: 4/26/02 7:05 GMT Daylight Time
Message-id: <19264-3CC996D6-247@storefull-2198.public.lawson.webtv.net>

Just getting back to my Pro2006 and can*t put my hands on manual.Any
thoughts where I may get one?

Is this any good to you m8 ?
http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/doc31/31350.htm

2663. Copies of the following manuals are available.... (Pro 2006)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-05 01:44:03 UTC
From: jkelly6649@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020504214403.00883.00006195@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 48779

Copies of various manuals are available from the following site :
http://www.manualmall.com/RA_SW.html

Hope this helps

2664. Re: Pro 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-05 02:43:00 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comRX320
Message-ID: <20020504224300.24785.00006701@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 48780

I think you can still print one off of the radio shack web site.

2665. Re: USAF Thunderbirds?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-05 03:33:33 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3CD4A80C.2DF9D1A6@mitre.org>
Source record: 48781

The PRO 43 is one of the legendary receivers that will cover the military UHF-AM aircraft band and with
selectable AM/FM modes. It should also work the military VHF 138-144 aircraft band in either AM or FM
depending on the user. Land mobile folks in this band are typically in FM, sharing spectrum with the aircraft in AM.
I have used a ICOM R-7000 for years to listen to air shows and a Regency M-7000 for mobile work. Got a ICOM R-2 form Grove last year, with the mail in coupon for the computer cable and us the Goran Valski <http://www.digital-laboratory.de/> soft ware to load the R-2 up, arcive freq. lists. Had a great air show season last summer.
Also us a Relm HS 200 and PRO-90. The Mass State police who work most of the air show traffic details,are
on trunking as are may folks down the cape (Cape Cod, MA) who support the Otis ANG air show.
Jim
Runnyriver wrote:

> "Jim Mac Donald" <jmacdon@mitre.org> wrote in message
> news:3CD23B69.9FB2E130@mitre.org...
> > Hears some more frequencies for Millvlle airport, NJ
> > 123.65 CTAF (tower?)
> > 123.000 Unicom
> > 124.600 App/Dep
> > 128.125 ASOS
> > 122.75/238.15 arrival aircraft parking and look for the AIR BOSS here!
> > The web address for the show is:
> <http://www.schultzairshows.com/millville2002.htm>
> > More air shows are at <http://www.aero-pix.com/schedule/sched2002dt.htm>
> > you can still listen to the T-Birds on 141.850 Mhz in AM with an FM only
> radio, it
> > will sound tinny and staticie.
> > If your using a radio that has selectable AM-FM modes then it will sound
> OK.
>
> in other words, a PRO 43 will work PERFECTLY

2666. WTB Or Trade For Sony AN-LP1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-05 04:50:53 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <NS2B8.45758$Ez5.11983752@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 48782
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

WTB Sony AN-LP1 antenna in new cond. Might do some trading. I have a like new Icom R2 receiver I bought new two months ago with coupon for free cable and software, to trade for an AN-LP1 with all accessories and $50 or so.

DeWayne KE9K

2667. Re: Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-05 07:00:46 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uM4B8.12$yy4.11553@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 48783

"Email to above, yes its real." <BYWIFDWKDVQO@spammotel.com> wrote in
message news:vsh8du4p9c5o4aago6rtl03lk32k6bt9hq@4ax.com...
> <snip>
>
> I just wish the NWS would start using the ability of SAME to further
narrow
> alerts.
>
>

I spoke with the Warning Coordination Meteorologist at NWS-Norman about this last year, and was told that the PTBs (Powers That Be) couldn't come up with a system for dividing up counties that would work for all areas. Apparently the PTBs want a blanket rule for everywhere. I suggested that each county probably had one city larger than the others, generally the county seat. Give that town/city a separate code all it's own, and use the existing county code for the rest of the county. Go from there, assigning any municipality larger than a set size it's own code. He liked this idea, as the other plans all involved dividing the counties into equal sections, difficult to do as the county size & shape varies so much from state to state, region to region. He was going to buck it up the chain of command, but I haven't heard any more about it. Probably a victim of the N.I.H. syndrome.

Mark

2668. Re: Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-05 07:21:04 UTC
From: Joshua Carroll <joshua_carroll@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <3CD4DD60.C9B08405@swbell.net>
Source record: 48784

Actually that might not be the best way to divide to FIPS code for each
county. Give Harris County (Houston, Tx), for example. They sometimes
give warnings for Western Harris County, and I live in Southern Harris
County, but I still get awakened at night since the county is only one code.
Maybe the county can be divided in 4 quadrants, which could be posted on
the nws website.. or even two divisions (with I-10 the dividing line
north and south).. and Galveston County could have two, one
for the mainland and one for the island.. etc.. It would probably be best
if it was up to the individual offices how they would like to best divide
their counties.

>
> I spoke with the Warning Coordination Meteorologist at NWS-Norman about this
> last year, and was told that the PTBs (Powers That Be) couldn't come up with
> a system for dividing up counties that would work for all areas. Apparently
> the PTBs want a blanket rule for everywhere. I suggested that each county
> probably had one city larger than the others, generally the county seat.
> Give that town/city a separate code all it's own, and use the existing
> county code for the rest of the county. Go from there, assigning any
> municipality larger than a set size it's own code. He liked this idea, as
> the other plans all involved dividing the counties into equal sections,
> difficult to do as the county size & shape varies so much from state to
> state, region to region. He was going to buck it up the chain of command,
> but I haven't heard any more about it. Probably a victim of the N.I.H.
> syndrome.
>
> Mark

--
Joshua Carroll
joshua_carroll@swbell.net
IPN-HOU036 - Webster, TX
HoustonWeather: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/HoustonWeather
http://www.geocities.com/kitcannon_1977

2669. FS:Radio Shack PRO-2045

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-05 10:24:02 UTC
From: indyham <k9dzdd@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <6L7B8.52619$D%5.15339@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 48785

i have a nice Radio Shack PRO-2045,with tone
board,box,manual,antenna,and,power cube

price 115.00

Monte K9DZD

k9dzdd@insightbb.com

2670. FS:Radio Shack PRO-2045

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-05 11:45:49 UTC
From: indyham <k9dzdd@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <NX8B8.125158$CH.17709@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 48786

i have a nice,Radio Shack PRO-2045,with tone board,box,manual,antenna,and
power cube..
works great

price 115.00

Monte K9DZD

k9dzdd@insightbb.com

2671. Searching for technical manual for Regency MX4200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-05 12:38:49 UTC
From: f1rcq@club-internet.fr
Message-ID: <3cd527d8.883763@news.club-internet.fr>
Source record: 48787
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner

Hello

I'm searching for the user and technical manual for Regency MX4200

Copies welcome

Best 73's from France

Denis F1RCQ

2672. Re: Midland SAME weather radios on sale at Sams Club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-05 13:59:52 UTC
From: john63401@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <mpeadu0gnq1lsdtifsf13lhpi9tnd6p4ut@4ax.com>
Source record: 48788
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,sci.geo.meteorology

>Currently at my local Sam's Club (warehouse-type discount store) they
>are selling the following Midland brand weather radios with SAME (specific
>area message encoding) capability- i.e. you can program in the county codes
>to the radio so you only get notified of what you want to receive.

That is a good price on them but I do not have a Sams
Club locally.

Is it possible to buy via mail order from Sams Club?

2673. ICOM R-8500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-05 17:11:45 UTC
From: rfcommsys@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020505131145.28383.00004609@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 48789

I'm soliciting comments from 8500 owners. What do you like and/or dislike about your 8500? How is the 8500's VHF/UHF performance? When scanning, does it pause on a transmission until the transmission ends, or does it resume scanning after "x" number of seconds? How is it's LW/MW/SW performance? Is it easy to program and use, or is it an ergonomic nightmare like an AOR radio?

2674. tk120 v0.3 open source software for Yaesu VR-120 available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-05 17:18:05 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3cd56966$0$1415$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 48790
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

tk120 version 0.3 is now ready for free download.

tk120 is an open source programming utility for the
Yaesu VR-120 portable receiver.

It runs on Linux, Windows, and (perhaps) MacOS X.

Improvements in version 0.3 include:

(1) The ability to read and write data to USA and European radios.
(2) Preferential scan flags for each memory channel.
(3) Data validation function.
(4) Balloon help popup windows.
(5) Support for all step sizes.
(6) Support for cleared memory channels.

You can learn about and download a beta test version of tk120
from my home page:

http://members.core.com/~parnass

Thanks to Russell Hall, Gary Kinsman, and Torsten Grochowski for
testing tk120. Jim Vincent, KC7RYD, and others helped by
sending me image files from their VR-120s.

I don't warrant the software, though it will cost you nothing.

--
==
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

2675. Cordless phone eavesdropping story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-05 17:51:51 UTC
From: MESKI <skii@home.com>
Message-ID: <mqradukprdg7dlq9dqagg0ig85hcup7210@4ax.com>
Source record: 48791
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

from:
http://www.mlive.com/news/bctimes/index.ssf?/xml/story.ssf/html_standard.xsl?/base/news/1019576701196195.xml
An editorial reply was printed in Sunday paper. Will look for it on
the website tomorrow

More From The Bay City Times (Michigan)

Top Stories

Supporters line up behind eavedropping township clerk

Tuesday, April 23, 2002

By Helen Lounsbury
TIMES WRITER

Supporters for a Sims Township clerk accused of eavesdropping have taken a stand, circulating petitions that urge Arenac County's prosecutor not to force their elected clerk from office.

Sims Township Clerk Jennifer Maser had been scheduled for an evidence hearing on the felony eavesdropping charge Monday in Arenac District Court. Police allege that Maser listened in on two private telephone conversations last November.

But a judge postponed Monday's hearing because Maser's attorney had a scheduling conflict.The change didn't stop the clerk's supporters from turning in 50-plus township signatures on Maser's behalf at the county building.

"The Prosecuting Attorney should not have the right to supersede the will of the majority of voters in the last election," the petition reads.

Maser's attorney, James Brisbois Jr. of Saginaw, said the signatures validate his belief that the case against the clerk is politically motivated.

"It think it's all political," Brisbois said. "It's clear the victim wants
her out of office."

According to investigators, Maser overheard the victim talking by telephone about the clerk's role in a township sidewalk project. During the conversation, the speaker suggested that Maser had accepted a bribe for the project.

The victim became aware that Maser had heard their conversation uninvited when the clerk confronted the speaker to deny she'd ever taken a bribe.

Prosecutor Curtis Broughton and Brisbois discussed a potential plea agreement that involved Maser's resignation from office. The agreement was rejected by Maser and her attorney.

State law says it's illegal to use a device to listen in on phone conversations without the parties' consent. Brisbois maintains that the statute also requires the listener to eavesdrop "on purpose." Maser told police she overheard the conversations inadvertently. Her scanner broadcast the phone calls while her daughter was trying to get information about a nearby traffic accident, Brisbois said.

Maser is scheduled for a hearing on the evidence at 2:30 p.m. Monday.

2676. pro 2006 manuel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-05 18:17:32 UTC
From: SugarBroker@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11160-3CD5773C-6@storefull-2196.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 48792

Thanks to all who were so kind to respond to my inquiry regards manuel,
freqs etc.
The group has many helpful people.Live in New Orleans area if anyone
needs freqs of the area just holler.

2677. FTrade- Yupi 7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-05 19:01:36 UTC
From: *kilowatt* <tronic@swales.co.uk>
Message-ID: <ab3vig$l59$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 48793

I would like to trade my Yupi 7100, boxed with manual and nicads for a Yaesu vr500
KW

.

2678. FS:Radio Shack PRO-2045

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-05 19:38:14 UTC
From: indyham <k9dzdd@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <GSfB8.236567$CH1.160659@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 48794

i have a very nice,Radio Sack PRO-2045,with tone board,box,manual,antenna,and ,power cube

works great

price 115.00

Monte

k9dzdd@insightbb.com

2679. ID Tracker Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-05 19:40:25 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <QRfB8.157124$tt4.9487719@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 48795
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

ID Tracker has been updated with new features to help aquire new IDs.
Sorry to those using the BC245XLT but the new features are only
supported on the BC780XLT. The updated version (V1.6.1) can be
found at the Baton Rouge Area Scanning web site.

http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

2680. sale,radio shack pro-2045

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-05 19:43:09 UTC
From: indyham <k9dzdd@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <hXfB8.236579$CH1.160813@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 48796

i have for sale,a nice,Radio shack,pro-2045
with tone board,box,manual,antenna,and power cube

works great

price 115.00

Monte k9dzdd@insightbb.com

2681. Re: Help with modifying scanner headphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-05 20:07:30 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ab4358$f1f4b$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48697

"Chairborne" <Chairborne@lzboy.com> wrote in message
news:n6g5cuo2vav07qgnunlve9ota4h11ccugl@4ax.com...
> I need some guidance on modding my racing headphones (RT-40) so I can
> use 2 scanners, one for each ear. I would like to hear the race
> commentary in one ear and the pit radios in the other. Any ideas on
> how to do this so it's not permanent and can be switched with minimum
> fuss?

I made just such a switchbox for my two shortwave receivers & scanner for
use with a single pair of headphones. It is a simple switching circuit.
You can find it at http://photos.yahoo.com/n2uhc. Click on "projects."

Tom

2682. Philadelphia PA Police Dept. Helicopter Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-05 20:25:33 UTC
From: mlke mc manus <medsmike@netcarrier.com>
Message-ID: <r9gB8.34$cZ7.24393777@news.netcarrier.net>
Source record: 48698

Hi,
Has anyone in the Philly area heard the police copter transmissions?
Which freqs are they on?
Thanks,
Mike

2683. Re: Cordless phone eavesdropping story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-05 20:33:46 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ab44mg$f424h$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48699
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"MESKI" <skii@home.com> wrote in message
news:mqradukprdg7dlq9dqagg0ig85hcup7210@4ax.com...
>
> The victim became aware that Maser had heard their conversation
> uninvited when the clerk confronted the speaker to deny she'd
> ever taken a bribe.

Rule #1: Never EVER tell anyone what you overhear in a cordless phone
conversation, even if it pertains to you.

Tom

2684. FS: Pro-37

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-05 21:04:47 UTC
From: BF <boyd@humboldt1.com>
Message-ID: <98A0E8A2235455C1.F261C39E283D5B13.B5BDD6AACCC16516@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 48700
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I have a PRO-37, 200 channel hand-held
Scanner with-cell. Have Orignal Book and
110 adapter/charger and antenna. Very good
condation.

$120.00

reply to: boyd@humboldt1.com

2685. Scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-05 21:36:25 UTC
From: Evan Olberding <flipteam15@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3cd5a626@news.mhogaming.com>
Source record: 48701

I am looking to buy an antenna for my scanner. Its going to be roof mounted. I was just wondering if the actuall diamater of the antenna makes a defernce. Is a whip antenna better than a sturder antenna? I know that the higher the better but like I said is diameter important?

2686. Cordless phone eavesdropping story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-05 21:49:25 UTC
From: MESKI <skii@home.com>
Message-ID: <j4abducgfh08051j96ju38d6h0a97e4mel@4ax.com>
Source record: 48702

from:
http://www.mlive.com/news/bctimes/index.ssf?/xml/story.ssf/html_standard.xsl?/base/news/1019576701196195.xml
An editorial reply was printed in Sunday paper. Will look for it on
the website tomorrow

More From The Bay City Times (Michigan)

Top Stories

Supporters line up behind eavedropping township clerk

Tuesday, April 23, 2002

By Helen Lounsbury
TIMES WRITER

Supporters for a Sims Township clerk accused of eavesdropping have taken a stand, circulating petitions that urge Arenac County's prosecutor not to force their elected clerk from office.

Sims Township Clerk Jennifer Maser had been scheduled for an evidence hearing on the felony eavesdropping charge Monday in Arenac District Court. Police allege that Maser listened in on two private telephone conversations last November.

But a judge postponed Monday's hearing because Maser's attorney had a scheduling conflict.The change didn't stop the clerk's supporters from turning in 50-plus township signatures on Maser's behalf at the county building.

"The Prosecuting Attorney should not have the right to supersede the will of the majority of voters in the last election," the petition reads.

Maser's attorney, James Brisbois Jr. of Saginaw, said the signatures validate his belief that the case against the clerk is politically motivated.

"It think it's all political," Brisbois said. "It's clear the victim wants
her out of office."

According to investigators, Maser overheard the victim talking by telephone about the clerk's role in a township sidewalk project. During the conversation, the speaker suggested that Maser had accepted a bribe for the project.

The victim became aware that Maser had heard their conversation uninvited when the clerk confronted the speaker to deny she'd ever taken a bribe.

Prosecutor Curtis Broughton and Brisbois discussed a potential plea agreement that involved Maser's resignation from office. The agreement was rejected by Maser and her attorney.

State law says it's illegal to use a device to listen in on phone conversations without the parties' consent. Brisbois maintains that the statute also requires the listener to eavesdrop "on purpose." Maser told police she overheard the conversations inadvertently. Her scanner broadcast the phone calls while her daughter was trying to get information about a nearby traffic accident, Brisbois said.

Maser is scheduled for a hearing on the evidence at 2:30 p.m. Monday.

2687. Re: Scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-05 22:31:51 UTC
From: Red TR1 <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <upiB8.63755$vm6.11923634@ruti.visi.com>
Source record: 48703

It depends on what you want to listen to. If you want to listen to a broad range of frequencies from a variety of directrions then a discone is a good choice. I use the Radio Shack one, Icom makes a nice one too. For pulling in signals from one general direction I have used the Grove Scanner Beam, it is very directional, but you could always put it on a rotor. I currently use the Scantenna like antenna which Radio Shack used to carry and Grove still carries for the bulk of my listening which is all generally south of my house. I use the discone for ACARS work and that pulls in planes from all directions and from a pretty good distance.
It also depends on how sensitive you radio is. I use a cheapo Radio Shack antenna with my Uniden 9000 which is pretty sensitive and it does fine the Scantenna overloads the 9000.
To answer you question diameter is not a big deal, but it depends on what you want to hear and from how many directions.

Greg
"Evan Olberding" <flipteam15@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3cd5a626@news.mhogaming.com...
> I am looking to buy an antenna for my scanner. Its going to be roof mounted.
> I was just wondering if the actuall diamater of the antenna makes a
> defernce. Is a whip antenna better than a sturder antenna? I know that the
> higher the better but like I said is diameter important?
>
>

2688. Re: Scanner Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-05 23:06:15 UTC
From: Brett Powell <powell.brett@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <HViB8.7214$vT1.604574@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 48704

Uniden has one of sorts and motorola had one up that was pretty good do not have the urls anymore try a search over on google.

"MDKD716" <Winterblaze@aol.com> wrote in message news:14e3374e.0205040642.71d638c0@posting.google.com...
> Does anybody have any information about the trunked systems in
> scanners in "lay" terms?

2689. ## Two Antennas in Unique Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-06 00:12:00 UTC
From: kml@digi.com.br
Message-ID: <3cd5bc82.11748803@news.digi.com.br>
Source record: 48705

Hi Fellows:

It is possible to put two antennas (1 discone and other vertical
ground plane for 40 mHz) in unique scanner???
I own Uniden Bearcat BC-895 XLT. I connected both antennas
and I get some birdies... any help???
Thanks in advance.
73 de PS7KM,

<><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><>
Karl Leite
Natal, RN, Brasil
eMail: kml@digi.com.br
kl@samnet.com.br
LightHouse PostCard Collector

2690. FS Bearcat BC-350A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-06 00:35:51 UTC
From: gil <gilpi@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ab4ijbktk1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 48706

In very good condition without ac adapter, comes with antenna.
$60. shipped

Gil N2WJW

2691. Re: ICOM R-8500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-06 00:45:03 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <qjkB8.159194$tt4.9542555@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 48707

"RFCOMMSYS" <rfcommsys@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020505131145.28383.00004609@mb-fu.aol.com...

> I'm soliciting comments from 8500 owners. What do you like and/or dislike
about
> your 8500?

Like: Easy to use, lots of channels, wide range and all-mode reception.
Dislike: You cannot scan multiple banks. Only scans one bank at a time.

> How is the 8500's VHF/UHF performance?

As good or better than any of the other radios I have. The only problem I
have had
is intermod from strong FM stations. I had to put on an FM notch filter to
cure
that problem.

> When scanning, does it pause on a transmission until the transmission
ends, or
> does it resume scanning after "x" number of seconds?

It will do both.

> How is it's LW/MW/SW performance?

Even though I have an ICOM 746, I still use the R8500 for LW/MW/SW
listening.
The R8500 is much easier to use. I do have a lot of power lines around that
limit
some of my listening on weaker station but early in the mornings seems to be
a very good time to find the LW beacons.

> Is it easy to program and use, or is it an ergonomic nightmare like an AOR
radio?

I use the software to program it. It's the only way to go with that many
channels
and alpha tags.

I would definiately buy this radio again.

David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

2692. Re: FTrade- Yupi 7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-06 01:07:40 UTC
From: Bill Becker <the.bill@cox.net>
Message-ID: <3CD5D74D.D67DAD8@cox.net>
Source record: 48708

KW,

That's a very good idea....

I have both the MVT9000M3 and a VR-500. The HF sensitivity of the Yaesu kicks ass to the Yupi.

I'm keeping the VR-500 and eBay'ing the Yupi.

Good luck!

Bill...

2693. PA-SitRep e-mail list for PA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-06 01:42:34 UTC
From: owner@pa-sitrep.com
Message-ID: <3cd5dc85.935354306@news.city-net.com>
Source record: 48709
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Emergency Situation Report e-mail list for Pennsylvanians

PA-SitRep is a free, 100% non-commercial,
nonprofit, e-mail list and web site.

The PA-SitRep emergency traffic e-mail list is a
tool that will enable you to monitor and report on
major emergency situations throughout the State
of Pennsylvania including weather emergencies,
natural disasters, environmental disasters, civil
disturbances and threats of terrorism, major fires,
and manhunts.

Subscribers are encouraged to utilize their home,
work and cell phone e-mail addresses for receiving
messages from the PA-SitRep e-mail list.

Because messages posted to the list are limitted to
situation reports of MAJOR emergency situations
within the State of PA, message volume on
PA-SitRep is EXTREMELY low.

PA-SitRep is also building a list of PA-SitRep
Contacts, most of whom are Amateur Radio
Operators (http://www.pa-sitrep.com/contact.htm).

PA-SitRep Contacts monitor our higher volume
SitRep/Discussion mail list and post situation
reports ot the discussion list and to the main list.
PA-SitRep Contacts also submit their contact info
for our site and may be contacted for a first
hand situation report should an emergency
situation arise in their area.

Please visit the site to learn more:

http://www.pa-sitrep.com/pasitrep.htm

Thanks. We'll look forward to your participation.

-David Kleber, KB3FXI
PA-SitRep List Owner and PA-SitRep.com Founder
www.PA-SitRep.com

2694. Landlord OKed antenna, need suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-06 02:06:49 UTC
From: "Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <ZylB8.924$Ok3.727873@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 48710
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Landlond agreed to let me run an antenna outside as long as the wire is really thin. Any suggestions for a scanner antenna?

2695. Re: ## Two Antennas in Unique Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-06 02:37:50 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comRX320
Message-ID: <20020505223750.28383.00004650@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 48711

Hi Karl!

I'm not real sure what it is your trying to do but I think you need some way to switch in one or the other, like a single pole double throw switch.
RM~

2696. Re: Multiple Scanners On One Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-06 02:46:24 UTC
From: erod1944@aol.com
Message-ID: <4052b83b.0205051846.3b4c7e5f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48712

Dale Parfitt <parinc@gte.net> wrote in message news:<3CD47C93.B1596AA7@gte.net>...
> I just put a couple of generic CATV 2X splitter/combiners on my network
> analyzer- scanning from 40-900MHz. Worst case port-port isolation was 17dB
> increasing to over 24 dB at 40 MHz- far greater than the 6dB mentioned. Of
> course, the port-port isolation is somewhat dependent on how well the antenna
> looks like 50-75 Ohms.
>
> Dale W4OP

I presently have 12 scanners being fed from a single VHF Cushcraft
Vertical Dipole fed into the house via RG6 Cable (about 45 feet). In
the house it goes thru a Walmart-purchased 20db TV Preamp
(30mhz-950mhz which I haven't mounted at the antenna yet) and then
into 1 two-port, 1 four-port and 1 eight-port CATV splitters going to
the various scanners all fed with RG6 (75ohm cable). It lookes like
this:

ANT--->Preamp---->Two Port-->Scanner A (triple conversion scanner)
(+20db) splitter(-3db device)
|
|
V
Four Port -->Scanners B,C,D (triple conversion)
splitter(-7db device)
|
|
V
Eight Port -->Scanners E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L. (double)
splitter(-12db device)

The total splitter loss at the output of the 8-port splitter is
22db or 3db+7db+12db approx. Counting the preamp, the loss is only
approx 2db.
I don't have a clue what the loss of the RG6 is. Antenna gain is
probably +0db at VHF to a roaring -20db or less at Low Band and 800mhz

I used the loss in the splitters to protect the more intermod-prone
radios.
I have had minor problems with birdies but none that effected desired
reception.
The other plus is that I live in an area that doesn't have big
transmitters
nearby. I also had to use a single radio shack 6db attenuator on one
radio that just heard too well. I realize this is a more than
somewhat non-purist antenna & transmission system, but it works fine

and was pretty cheap. My next improvement is going to be either a discone or a scantenna although I'm presently getting usable 800mhz & 42mhz reception.

Ed/KB6DRN/California

2697. Re: Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-06 03:00:28 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <%kmB8.328$yy4.123644@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 48713

"Joshua Carroll" <joshua_carroll@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:3CD4DD60.C9B08405@swbell.net...
>
> Actually that might not be the best way to divide to FIPS code for each
> county.
<snip>
>.. It would probably be best
> if it was up to the individual offices how they would like to best divide
> their counties.
>
>
That's the problem, the PTB's apparently don't want that kind of authority
at the local office level. They want a blanket rule for every NWS office in
every state. Of course, as any sensible person knows (government
bureaucrats don't fall into this category), one size doesn't fit all. I
merely offered a suggestion for a compromise rule that might be workable for
a majority.

Mark

2698. Re: Landlord OKed antenna, need suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-06 03:05:19 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020505230519.19448.00006377@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 48714

>Landlond agreed to let me run an antenna outside as long as the wire is
>really thin. Any suggestions for a scanner antenna?

Mostly depends on what frequencies you will be listening to and how far away
you want to listen. I bought a telescoping antenna from Radio Shack with the
center coil and attached it to my base scanner and can hear everything I want
to hear and more, as far as 30 miles away.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

2699. Re: Cordless phone eavesdropping story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-06 03:11:18 UTC
From: dxlover574738846@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020505231118.01697.00004156@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 48715

Rule #1: Never EVER tell anyone what you overhear in a cordless phone
conversation, even if it pertains to you.>>>
.....
.....
Tom,
Isn't that the truth, there should be a book just about "situations" that
arose from the title of this thread. ;-)

2700. Re: Landlord OKed antenna, need suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-06 03:18:32 UTC
From: "Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <cCmB8.948$Ok3.741984@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 48716

I won't listen to HF stuff and UHF stuff. I'd say 100ish to 450ish range. I have RS discone antenna inside, can't say I'm too impressed with the results... either the antenna isn't doing what it's supposed to do or this area(Northtowns Buffalo) is really dead - can't hear much besides few taxi companies and cops.

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message news:20020505230519.19448.00006377@mb-fw.aol.com...
> >Landlond agreed to let me run an antenna outside as long as the wire is
> >really thin. Any suggestions for a scanner antenna?
>
> Mostly depends on what frequencies you will be listening to and how far away
> you want to listen. I bought a telescoping antenna from Radio Shack with the
> center coil and attached it to my base scanner and can hear everything I want
> to hear and more, as far as 30 miles away.
> =-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
> http://edfas.tripod.com

2701. Re: Landlord OKed antenna, need suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-06 04:52:34 UTC
From: johnb <borregoj@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3CD60D7F.6020208@sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 48717

what's the construction of your building? if its got iether a steel
frame or steel studs your interior reception will likely stink to high
heaven....put what ever you want on the roof and it will be lots
better....good luck....

Michael L. wrote:

> I won't listen to HF stuff and UHF stuff. I'd say 100ish to 450ish range.
> I have RS discone antenna inside, can't say I'm too impressed with the
> results... either the antenna isn't doing what it's supposed to do or this
> area(Northtowns Buffalo) is really dead - can't hear much besides few taxi
> companies and cops.
>
> "VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
> news:20020505230519.19448.00006377@mb-fw.aol.com...
>
>>>Landlond agreed to let me run an antenna outside as long as the wire is
>>>really thin. Any suggestions for a scanner antenna?
>>>
>>Mostly depends on what frequencies you will be listening to and how far
>>
> away
>
>>you want to listen. I bought a telescoping antenna from Radio Shack with
>>
> the
>
>>center coil and attached it to my base scanner and can hear everything I
>>
> want
>
>>to hear and more, as far as 30 miles away.
>>=-
>>73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
>>Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
>>http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
>>http://edfas.tripod.com
>>
>
>

2702. sale,radio shack pro-2045

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-06 14:10:31 UTC
From: indyham <k9dzdd@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <VWfB8.54538$D%5.17982@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 48718

i have for sale,a nice,Radio shack,pro-2045
with tone board,box,manual,antenna,and power cube

works great

price 115.00

Monte k9dzdd@insightbb.com

2703. Re: Landlord OKed antenna, need suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-06 14:28:20 UTC
From: Bob <bob_ruzzo@nksd.net>
Message-ID: <ab63u9$fgf9r$1@ID-84760.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48719
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Michael.....first, explain in detail the kind of antenna the landlord said you can set up. Is it a long wire for HF? There are lots of different antennas you can make for a scanner. Unfortunatly if you can't put anything up that will be somewhat visible, AND you live on a ground floor you may have to make do with the telescoping whip that came with scanner. I live in a condo and i have a Bearcat 895 xlt. I drilled a hole in top case of radio, and installed a commercial dual band whip. It looks funny but KICKS ASS. I just ran the short length of coax to antenna terminals in rear of cabinet and soldered it there. The antenna has about 5db gain on vhf and about 3db gain on uhf. It was a ham radio antenna i used years ago that i had hanging around. Since the vhf portion of the antenna was a 1/2 wave, the small groundplane [metal] of scanner top cover was OK. And since uhf doesnt require a big groundplane, the uhf portion sees more thsn enough of a groundplane in the top cover to radio and works real nicely. Any way describe what you want. can you run coax thru a window? is there a tree nearby? can you get access to the roof? You can build a real simple 1/2 wave groundplane out of a coat hanger and a short length of coax. you will still need to run some kind of feedline thru a window. Cut 2 pieces of coat hanger wire 19" long. straighten them out best you can. scrape about 1/4" of the ends till you hit bare metal. some coat hangers are coated with paint or some kind of laquer. Next get a small piece of wood or plastic about 6" x 2" and maybe 1/8" or 1/4" thick. Secure the metal perpendicular to each other, separated by about 1/2" to the plastic/wood piece. solder the center conductor of a piece of coax [25' for example] to one end of coat hanger wire, where they both meet, remember separated about 1/2" then solder the braid to the other wire end. seal with weather proof material. put the proper connecter for your scanner on the end of coax that will go to scanner. You can secure this to the side of house, in a tree, on a pole....just dont let it touch anything. it will work very nicely. use good quality coax! Also look on internet and search for homebrew antennas for other ideas...bob

"Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote in message
news:ZylB8.924$Ok3.727873@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> Landlond agreed to let me run an antenna outside as long as the wire is
> really thin. Any suggestions for a scanner antenna?
>
>

2704. Re: Cordless phone eavesdropping story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-06 15:18:06 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <147dduksmrqk86v6lrjcjau79ofk57vepm@4ax.com>
Source record: 48720
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 05 May 2002 13:51:51 -0400, MESKI <skii@home.com> wrote:

>http://www.mlive.com/news/bctimes/index.ssf?/xml/story.ssf/html_standard.xsl?/base/news/1019576701196195.xml

There are no angels here. It's too bad, really, because I'd love to see the part 15 regulations thrown in the trash heap. The concept of privacy on an ISM band (47CFR15.9) is a contradiction in terms, indefensible, and mind-numbingly stupid. This isn't a good court case to prove the point, however.

Sigh.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

2705. Re: Cordless phone eavesdropping story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-06 15:56:45 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ab697h$fo3io$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48721

"DXlover574738846" <dxlover574738846@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020505231118.01697.00004156@mb-mt.aol.com...
> Rule #1: Never EVER tell anyone what you overhear in a cordless phone
> conversation, even if it pertains to you.>>>
> .....
> .....
> Tom,
> Isn't that the truth, there should be a book just about "situations" that
> arose from the title of this thread. ;-)

One of the most important rules of SIGINT is protecting your sources. Even during WWII, Bletchly Park was hesitant to give important information to commanders in the field for fear the Germans would figure out that ENIGMA had been broken.

In the case of cordless and cell phone listening, nobody will know you are listening unless you open your mouth or are out in public with your scanner on full blast. I've actually seen a guy at a hamfest a few years ago walking around with his scanner on, volume up full, listening to cell phone calls. I don't think he got busted, though, but could have easily been nabbed for wiretapping if anyone wanted to raise a stink about it.

Tom

2706. Re: Scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-06 16:12:35 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ab6a56$fkv79$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48722

"Evan Olberding" <flipteam15@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3cd5a626@news.mhogaming.com...
> I am looking to buy an antenna for my scanner. Its going to be roof
mounted.
> I was just wondering if the actuall diamater of the antenna makes a
> defernce. Is a whip antenna better than a sturder antenna? I know that the
> higher the better but like I said is diameter important?

Diameter of a single element, say, the vertical element in a ground plane, isn't really important. You can use a thin steel whip or a thick piece of conduit and probably won't notice any difference whatsoever. The important part as far as thickness of elements is concerned is durability. An antenna with thick elements will stand up to the wind & hail better than an antenna with thin elements. I've got a military surplus discone that has thick steel elements that survived golfball-sized hail. The downside is that this antenna weighs around 10 pounds. However, I've got one antenna up that's made of a broom handle & wire coat hangers, and it's survived quite well so far.

Tom

2707. Re: ## Two Antennas in Unique Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-06 16:13:45 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ab6a7d$ffmv9$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48723

"J Rob" <jrobmills@aol.comRX320> wrote in message
news:20020505223750.28383.00004650@mb-fu.aol.com...
> Hi Karl!
>
> I'm not real sure what it is your trying to do but I think you need some
way to
> switch in one or the other, like a single pole double throw switch.
> RM~

I think he's hooking up two different antennas to one scanner.

Tom

2708. PRO 2045 CELL MOD. ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-06 16:41:38 UTC
From: eg9k@aol.com
Message-ID: <1508acc5.0205060841.3172a6b0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48724

HI, Can anyone tell me if the PRO 2024 CAN BE CELLULAR MODIFIED. THANKS

2709. Re: Trunked System Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-06 16:56:12 UTC
From: * <*>
Message-ID: <3ddddu09t2jjm8vqocgtobg4edclia44o6@4ax.com>
Source record: 48725

The best I have found so far is on the Motorola site..
It's a step by step giude/course explaining trunking
The registration is free..

http://www.motorola-wls.com/wls/

On 4 May 2002 07:59:29 -0700, MDKD787@hotmail.com (MDKD787) wrote:

>Hello,
> Does anybody have any information or know any websites that
>explain how trunked systems work on scanners in "lay" terms. If you do
>can you please tell me.
>
> --thanks

ECS K7S5A
Antec SX830 w/300 watt ps
AMD Athlon XP 1800+
512 MB Crucial 2100 DDR
Turtle Beach Santa Cruz
ASUS 8200 Deluxe GeForce3
WD 100GB and IBM 60GB
Toshiba DVD
LiteOn 24x CDR
Klipsch ProMedia 4.1

2710. Suction cup antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-06 18:20:11 UTC
From: Alexander McNaughton <treehug3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <jPzB8.2040$d22.825575@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 48726
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello,

Does anyone have any experience with suction cup antennas? How effective are they? I live in a condo and I don't really have room to mount a huge Scantenna so I was wondering if this would be a good alternative.

Thanks!

2711. 1000 Channel Scanner F/S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-06 18:35:30 UTC
From: Russell <rustyspam@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3CD6CCF2.8070000@dccnet.com>
Source record: 48728

For Sale,(REDUCED!)
PRO-2035 1000 Channel scanner
10 banks of 100 channels
25-1300 Mhz (cell blocked)
Lots of features
Base model with dial tuner
This was Radio Shacks top end model at one time...
Comes with box and manual
""Like new condition"" not a mark on it!
$160 plus shipping
If interested please e-mail me at the below address only please
rusty@dccnet.com
Thanks-Russ

2712. FS: R-390 Service Manual on CD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-06 18:36:25 UTC
From: BF <boyd@humboldt1.com>
Message-ID: <64E56F78A3DCB4F8.65C8E7DD21DB4FA4.8526DEDC9656984B@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 48727
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

R-390/URR Technicial Manual ON CD

R-390/URR Technical Manual (service manual)
On CD. 135 pages with schematics, in PDF
format. Comes with PDF reader.

$22.00 shipped US

reply to: boyd@humboldt1.com

Boyd W6DSB

2713. Re: Suction cup antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-06 19:30:48 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ab6lor$fiiue$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48729
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:jPzB8.2040$d22.825575@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Hello,
>
> Does anyone have any experience with suction cup antennas? How effective
are
> they? I live in a condo and I don't really have room to mount a huge
> Scantenna so I was wondering if this would be a good alternative.

I've got one that I got with my BC-700A. I really haven't used it all that much, but it does seem to perform OK for most scanner listening. It is basically a length of coax with a BNC connector at one end, and two suction cups at the other end. Between the suction cups is the actual antenna, being a length of wire roughly a foot & a half long. I don't know what happens to the shield braid at the antenna end, my guess is that it's just "floating."

Tom

2714. Re: Landlord OKed antenna, need suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-06 20:24:59 UTC
From: "Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <vEBB8.1196$Ok3.975951@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 48730
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Bob" <bob_ruzzo@nksd.net> wrote in message
news:ab63u9$fgf9r$1@ID-84760.news.dfncis.de...
> Hi Michael.....first, explain in detail the kind of antenna the landlord
> said you can set up. Is it a long wire for HF?
He said I can stick thin wire outside along the building, this I guess
leaves me with either a longwire or random wire which I already got set-up
for my Icom R-75. I doubt this is too suitable for 30+above listening.

> Any way
> describe what you want. can you run coax thru a window?
Yes, this is no problem

> is there a tree
> nearby?

Yes, I was thinking of doing some digging, run coax out of my window to the
ground, stick it underground to the tree which is about 80 feet away, then
string up some kind of wire on the tree - I know this would work for HF, not
sure how good it's gonna be for VHF and UHF
>can you get access to the roof?

I wish..

2715. Re: R-390 Service Manual on CD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-06 23:59:56 UTC
From: KC8JBO <KC8JBO@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <0OEB8.58555$D%5.30464@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 48731
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Here's one for free!

ftp://bama.sbc.edu/downloads/collins/

"BF" <boyd@humboldt1.com> wrote in message
news:64E56F78A3DCB4F8.65C8E7DD21DB4FA4.8526DEDC9656984B@lp.airnews.net...
> R-390/URR Technicial Manual ON CD
> _____________________________
>
> R-390/URR Technical Manual (service manual)
> On CD. 135 pages with schematics, in PDF
> format. Comes with PDF reader.
>
> $22.00 shipped US
>
> reply to: boyd@humboldt1.com
>
> Boyd W6DSB
>
>
>
>

2716. PRO-2006 power supply

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-07 00:18:43 UTC
From: William Ferguson <fbiagentREMOVEME@charter.net>
Message-ID: <Xns9206CEB559F6FfbiagentREMOVEMEchar@209.249.90.101>
Source record: 48732

Anyone out there have a US pro-2006 power supply in good condition? I bought an English scanner and would like to convert it.

2717. PRO 2024 CELL MOD. INFO.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-07 01:21:01 UTC
From: eg9k@aol.com
Message-ID: <1508acc5.0205061721.7b205183@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48733

Howdy, Please disregard my earlier post for the PRO 2045, I screwed up. It should have been for information on the PRO 2024 cellular modification if there is one available. Would appreciate any input I could get. Thanks Harold

2718. FS: Bearcat 350A Scanner as new in box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-07 01:38:15 UTC
From: EktarEd <EktarEd@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <beGB8.8865$vT1.768985@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 48734

I have a Bearcat BC350 scanner in original box will all papers and accessories, this unit is as new, was received as a gift and do not need. Will ship within the U.S. Via USPS for $60.00.

Ed

2719. Re: PRO-2006 power supply

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-07 01:52:51 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comRX320
Message-ID: <20020506215251.02350.00004668@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 48735

>Anyone out there have a US pro-2006 power supply in good condition?

Can't you just forget the on board supply and just run it off a 12 volt
external supply. I run mine that way to keep the heat out of cabinet.
RM~

2720. Re: PRO 2024 CELL MOD. INFO.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-07 01:58:59 UTC
From: Red TR1 <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <HxGB8.67853$vm6.12336832@ruti.visi.com>
Source record: 48736

The 2024 that I remember is a 60 channel base scanner that only covers up to 512 MHz. You cannot open up what you never had. It does not cover the 800 MHz range.

Greg
"Harold Howe" <eg9k@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1508acc5.0205061721.7b205183@posting.google.com...
> Howdy, Please disregard my earlier post for the PRO 2045, I screwed
> up. It should have been for information on the PRO 2024 cellular
> modification if there is one available. Would appreciate any input I
> could get. Thanks Harold

2721. Re: aerial refueling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-07 03:20:46 UTC
From: DAG <ak-412@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <iKHB8.86857$li2.7993610@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 48737

Where are you located at?

"John J McCoy Jr" <kb9qng@charter.net> wrote in message
news:3CD31DBC.5C8B5CB5@charter.net...
> Can anybody tell me where I can find some Aerial Refueling frequencies
> or send me some???
>
>

2722. BC780/GRE 3001 Amplifier question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-07 03:22:12 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <6shedug5d45bflcs5j34qtis97o4komnfl@4ax.com>
Source record: 48738

Listening to a BC780 XLT with a GRE 3001 Amplifier hooked up and the amp for some reason acts more like attenuator than it does a pre-amp.Everything is hooked up correctly and battery is brand new.....any ideas on why this might be happening??Or has anyone else had this problem and how did you fix it if you did...any help appreciated at 100%

2723. Re: Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-07 04:37:29 UTC
From: bowenb@best.com
Message-ID: <3cd759bd.135129388@text.giganews.com>
Source record: 48739

john63401@yahoo.com wrote:

>>Every Wednesday there is a test signal. The Midland ALWAYS goes off.
>>(and when the weather gets exciting, it also goes off with embarassing
>>frequency)..
>
>
>Whoa!
>
>I am glad I came across this thread as I was just on
>the verge of buying the Radio Shack model weather
>radio.
>
>So what exact Midland model is OK?

John,

I have the Midland 74-200, and I can vouch for its perfromance.
Can't say anything positive or negative about any other Midland model.

Regards,
Bill Bowen
bowenb@best.com
Daly City, CA

2724. Re: Suction cup antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-07 05:25:23 UTC
From: "Louis J. Giliberto, Jr." <noemail@spamstinks.com>
Message-ID: <udep9vjf0gqg04@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48740
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:jPzB8.2040$d22.825575@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Hello,
>
> Does anyone have any experience with suction cup antennas? How effective
are
> they? I live in a condo and I don't really have room to mount a huge
> Scantenna so I was wondering if this would be a good alternative.

Uniden shipped one with the BCT-7, and I use it in my car. It's great because I just pull it down from the window (or leave it because you can't really see it). Would be cheap and easy to make (the braid does float as Tom guessed in another post). It works about as well as a rubber duckie held by the window.

If you have a deck / balcony, you may want to use a mag mount one outside. Getting anything outside the building helps a lot. If you could find something metal to set it on, it may help (i.e., ground plane), but it should still work OK even if you don't.

Then you can also target the antenna for the freqs you are interested in. Here the public safety is on 800Mhz so a mag-mount cell antenna would work well. If you're scanning lower freqs ~27Mhz, you could try a CB antenna, etc.

-Lou

2725. FA: Bearcat SC150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-07 06:20:40 UTC
From: Truman <no@hello.goodbye>
Message-ID: <4kKB8.167751$tt4.10859716@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 48741

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1351397545

To reply, email from the eBay link. Thank you.

2726. Re: 971-985 mhz What am I hearing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-07 06:39:46 UTC
From: "A. Kay" <aj.kayNOSPAM@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <SEKB8.5463$Ez1.2922@nwrddc03.gnilink.net>
Source record: 48742

I don't understand, isn't cell in the 800 mhz range?

"john" <mcclure_john@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:61f2a158.0205030449.5693542e@posting.google.com...
> "A. Kay" <aj.kayNOSPAM@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:<sFoA8.33482$iJ.15021@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>...
> > I have been hear what sounds like telephone (cordless?) conversation in
the
> > 971 to 985 mhz range. Clear as a bell, both sides of the conversation.
I
> > thought the 900 mhz cordless phones transmitted in the lower 900 mhz
range.
> > What gives?
>
> your hearin cell phones not cordless

2727. Bill Cheeks still around??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-07 09:33:40 UTC
From: nikee@aztec.asu.edu
Message-ID: <ab871k$e9s$1@news.asu.edu>
Source record: 48743

Hi, been away from scanning for a while,
and am starting back again..Is Bill Cheek
still around? WSR still being published?
Also, just got my dusty ol' pro 2022 out of
the closet and am having display problems
with it. Looked at the service manual and it
seems to point to IC7. Has anyone out there
had similar experience?? Email replys would
be greatly appreciated. Thanks in advance ya all..

nikee@aztec.asu.edu

--

2728. GRE Super Amp Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-07 11:32:52 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <kqefdu4btg90tb9vfmk3c5nh9p28r10ksf@4ax.com>
Source record: 48744

GRE Super Amp Question

Have a new BC780xlt and also the GRE (3001 Base model) is new,here's the odd problem that I am wondering if anybody else has had......the GRE when you cut it on kills the audio on the scanner,you can see the bars on the s-meter go up but nothing on the audio....I've tried it scanning,and I've tried it with the standard weather channels up here where I live(162.***) and I can get all three,of course they go from strong to weak,but it does the same thing on them also.The scanner and amp are most definitely hooked up properly and I've tried it with a brand new battery.Tried it with the walwart.Tried it with both at the same time,and still the same thing.....grounding has made no difference whatsoever....does this sound familiar to anybody in the group at all,and if so was the GRE faulty or did you figure out how to fix it......ANY HELP SINCERELY APPRECIATED

2729. Re: Bill Cheeks still around??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-07 11:36:51 UTC
From: Bob Marks <nawcad@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3CD7BC42.C2BC5A0B@juno.com>
Source record: 48745

No He passed away sometime ago.

KAMAL MULCHANDANI wrote:

> Hi, been away from scanning for a while,
> and am starting back again..Is Bill Cheek
> still around? WSR still being published?
> Also, just got my dusty ol' pro 2022 out of
> the closet and am having display problems
> with it. Looked at the service manual and it
> seems to point to IC7. Has anyone out there
> had similar experience?? Email replys would
> be greatly appreciated. Thanks in advance ya all..
>
> nikee@aztec.asu.edu
>
> --

2730. MCSO 460mhz frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-07 11:58:49 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3CD7C179.7E4F9C97@analon.com>
Source record: 48746
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

What happened to Maricopa county Sheriff...
I know they moved most of their activity to an 856-868mhz system
However, I am now hearing ambulance transmissions on 460.1mhz this was
the MCSO (Maricopa County Sheriff) channel-2

JOHN

--
* -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting
ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
--

2731. Re: GRE Super Amp Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-07 13:12:37 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3CD7D2C4.B140D21F@optonline.net>
Source record: 48597

Sounds like the antenna is picking up a very strong signal which is either overloading the amp, or which, after being amplified, is overloading the scanner. This is a fairly common problem with the use of such an amp. Typical sources for such a strong signal would be: a nearby FM or TV station, a nearby pager transmitter, a nearby cell tower.

Solution would be to first identify the offending signal. Then devise a solution based on the frequency of the signal. Typical solutions would be to use an antenna which is not responsive in that frequency range, or to insert a trap or block which keeps that frequency out of the amp. Or, to not use the amp.

"rugerp85
>
> GRE Super Amp Question
>
> Have a new BC780xlt and also the GRE (3001 Base model) is new,here's
> the odd problem that I am wondering if anybody else has had......the
> GRE when you cut it on kills the audio on the scanner,you can see the
> bars on the s-meter go up but nothing on the audio....I've tried it
> scanning,and I've tried it with the standard weather channels up here
> where I live(162.***) and I can get all three,of course they go from
> strong to weak,but it does the same thing on them also.The scanner and
> amp are most definitely hooked up properly and I've tried it with a
> brand new battery.Tried it with the walwart.Tried it with both at the
> same time,and still the same thing.....grounding has made no
> difference whatsoever....does this sound familiar to anybody in the
> group at all,and if so was the GRE faulty or did you figure out how to
> fix it......ANY HELP SINCERELY APPRECIATED

2732. Re: GRE Super Amp Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-07 13:43:55 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3cd7da34$0$1417$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 48598

Strong signals may be overloading the preamp
and/or your BC780XLT.

On Tue, 07 May 2002 06:32:52 -0500, rugerp85 <rugerp85 wrote:

> GRE Super Amp Question
>
> Have a new BC780xlt and also the GRE (3001 Base model) is new,here's the
> odd problem that I am wondering if anybody else has had......the GRE
> when you cut it on kills the audio on the scanner,you can see the bars
> on the s-meter go up but nothing on the audio....

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

2733. Chattanooga, TN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-07 14:52:13 UTC
From: markinillinois@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27290-3CD7EA1D-295@storefull-2394.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 48600

The Chattanooga airshow is Saturday & Sunday, May 18-19. If anyone has intentions of going to the Sunday show and would be interested in hooking up, please send me an e-mail.

http://www.erlanger.org/airshow/default.asp is the website with more information.

Mark Holmes
Marion, IL

Aircraft Listener, Airplane Watcher,
Air Refueling & Military Airshows
Scanning the Skies from Southern Illinois

2734. Re: Landlord OKed antenna, need suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-07 15:21:08 UTC
From: Bob <bob_ruzzo@nksd.net>
Message-ID: <ab8rdb$g8pil$1@ID-84760.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48601
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Michael L." <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote in message
news:vEBB8.1196$Ok3.975951@news1.news.adelphia.net...
>
> "Bob" <bob_ruzzo@nksd.net> wrote in message
> news:ab63u9$fgf9r$1@ID-84760.news.dfncis.de...
> > Hi Michael.....first, explain in detail the kind of antenna the landlord
> > said you can set up. Is it a long wire for HF?
> He said I can stick thin wire outside along the building, this I guess
> leaves me with either a longwire or random wire which I already got set-up
> for my Icom R-75. I doubt this is too suitable for 30+above listening.
>
> > Any way
> > describe what you want. can you run coax thru a window?
> Yes, this is no problem
>
> > is there a tree
> > nearby?
>
> Yes, I was thinking of doing some digging, run coax out of my window to
the
> ground, stick it underground to the tree which is about 80 feet away, then
> string up some kind of wire on the tree - I know this would work for HF,
not
> sure how good it's gonna be for VHF and UHF
> >can you get access to the roof?
>
> I wish..
>
> Wow, well at least you have SOME priviledges. You should be able to do OK
with a wire strung from your window to that tree. You may want to
investigate a wire tuner. MFJ used to make them. You will need to tune the
wire for best reception. otherwise it will recive well only on a section of
the spectrum that is relative to the wire length. But a scanner antenna
should be no prob if you can get one of those little groundplanes that radio
shack sells. stick it up in tree as high as you can. run coax down tree,
bury underground and run up side of house to window. I did this in a place i
lived once. bob
>
>

2735. Re: GRE Super Amp Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-07 15:36:03 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <u0tfduke2vbh58po99a9626ccsgat9qdfo@4ax.com>
Source record: 48602

Bob and Walt,thanks for your input.Could it be the computer
maybe.....?? I do have the 780 set up on my PC

On Tue, 07 May 2002 11:32:52 GMT, rugerp85
<rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net> wrote:

>GRE Super Amp Question
>
>Have a new BC780xlt and also the GRE (3001 Base model) is new,here's
>the odd problem that I am wondering if anybody else has had......the
>GRE when you cut it on kills the audio on the scanner,you can see the
>bars on the s-meter go up but nothing on the audio....I've tried it
>scanning,and I've tried it with the standard weather channels up here
>where I live(162.***) and I can get all three,of course they go from
>strong to weak,but it does the same thing on them also.The scanner and
>amp are most definitely hooked up properly and I've tried it with a
>brand new battery.Tried it with the walwart.Tried it with both at the
>same time,and still the same thing.....grounding has made no
>difference whatsoever....does this sound familiar to anybody in the
>group at all,and if so was the GRE faulty or did you figure out how to
>fix it......ANY HELP SINCERELY APPRECIATED

2736. Re: 971-985 mhz What am I hearing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-07 16:16:20 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ab8uo6$ga5vv$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48603

"A. Kay" <aj.kayNOSPAM@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:SEKB8.5463$Ez1.2922@nwrddc03.gnilink.net...
> I don't understand, isn't cell in the 800 mhz range?
>

You're most likely hearing images of the signals in the 800 MHZ range.
Images can usually be found with the following formula for double-conversion
scanners: Image freq=Freq+(2*IF). Freq is the freq of the signal you want
to listen to. IF is the IF frequency, normally 10.7 MHZ. Of course the
ability to hear images also depends on the filtering & circuitry of each
different model of scanner.

Tom

2737. Re: ## Two Antennas in Unique Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-07 18:42:58 UTC
From: kml@digi.com.br
Message-ID: <3cd807b6.9870072@news.digi.com.br>
Source record: 48604

Hi Fellows:

I have two antennas (1 discone - 1 vertical ground plane for 40 mHz)
for ONE scanner! I would like to use both same time, using "t"
connector.... is it possible???
Vy 73 de PS7KM

On Mon, 6 May 2002 11:13:45 -0500, "Tom Sevart"
<n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>"J Rob" <jrobmills@aol.comRX320> wrote in message
>news:20020505223750.28383.00004650@mb-fu.aol.com...
>> Hi Karl!
>>
>> I'm not real sure what it is your trying to do but I think you need some
>way to
>> switch in one or the other, like a single pole double throw switch.
>> RM~
>
>I think he's hooking up two different antennas to one scanner.
>
>Tom
>
>

<><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><>
Karl Leite
Natal, RN, Brasil
eMail: kml@digi.com.br
kl@samnet.com.br
LightHouse PostCard Collector

2738. Re: Bill Cheeks still around??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-07 19:14:18 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <9r9gduc41o7rjd5d5o57dfaspa94us3vsj@4ax.com>
Source record: 48605

Having lost his job as dog catcher, nikee@aztec.asu.edu (KAMAL MULCHANDANI) posted this...

>Hi, been away from scanning for a while,
>and am starting back again..Is Bill Cheek
>still around?

Bill died almost 2 years ago.

:(

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2739. Scanner Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-07 19:46:46 UTC
From: Corey Fisher <coreyf@ntelos.net>
Message-ID: <CaWB8.11679$m26.929@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 48606
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone make a scanner that will scan trunked channels and regular channels at the same time without having to swap back and forth between the two? If so who what and where. Also I would like to know what the best scanner on the market is. BC895XLT is what I am looking at purchasing.

Thanks,

Corey

2740. Re: Bill Cheeks still around??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-07 19:50:45 UTC
From: Norm <CatchMe@Cheers.com>
Message-ID: <peWB8.5475$j0I.3126@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 48607

You know, if you take the diode D44 out, you can listen to the analogue
cellular band.

But only if your in Canada. Then this would be legal.

Anywhere else, you're f***d

"KAMAL MULCHANDANI" <nikee@aztec.asu.edu> wrote in message
news:ab871k$e9s$1@news.asu.edu...
>
> Hi, been away from scanning for a while,
> and am starting back again..Is Bill Cheek
> still around? WSR still being published?
> Also, just got my dusty ol' pro 2022 out of
> the closet and am having display problems
> with it. Looked at the service manual and it
> seems to point to IC7. Has anyone out there
> had similar experience?? Email replys would
> be greatly appreciated. Thanks in advance ya all..
>
> nikee@aztec.asu.edu
>
>
> --

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

2741. Scanner Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-07 20:22:35 UTC
From: Corey Fisher <coreyf@ntelos.net>
Message-ID: <clWB8.12301$m26.3099@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 48608

Does anyone make a scanner that will scan trunked channels and regular channels at the same time without having to swap back and forth between the two? If so who what and where.

Thanks,

Corey

2742. Re: Scanner Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-07 20:47:25 UTC
From: proma1 <proma1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <A7XB8.31$mV5.23310@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 48610
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

an idea of where you are and what trunk systems you wish to monitor would be
a big help

ie UK/US or where???

the trouble with cross posting to groups that cover several areas is that
the readers of your mail do not know where you are coming from.

please help us to help you.

regard

--

Paul Wey[PROMA1]

moderator of following scanning groups

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk

"Corey Fisher" <coreyf@ntelos.net> wrote in message
news:CaWB8.11679$m26.929@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
> Does anyone make a scanner that will scan trunked channels and regular
> channels at the same time without having to swap back and forth between
the
> two? If so who what and where. Also I would like to know what the best
> scanner on the market is. BC895XLT is what I am looking at purchasing.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Corey
>
>
>

2743. FA: HT600 UHF 4 watt channel..... $75.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-07 20:48:53 UTC
From: 800mhz <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <V4XB8.10787$6T5.999481@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 48609
Cross-posted to: pa.lv.forsale,pa.rdg.forsale,phl.forsale,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

4 days left, great price,
HT600 4 watt, 6 channel with rapid charger
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1351003655&ssPageName=ADM
E:B:LC:US:1

2744. GTE Airfone Mode? (AM,SSB,Digital)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-07 22:41:08 UTC
From: joepwalker@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <49eb2dec.0205071441.667e0ad3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48611

I've read various articles regarding the GTE Airfones but there is conflicting information as to the mode. Some say AM some SSB and some say Digital. Anyone have any updated info on the mode?

Thanks in advance.

2745. Re: Cordless phone eavesdropping story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 00:06:43 UTC
From: Garvin@Truss.net
Message-ID: <1fbtw76.16m7lmp1wpunynN%Garvin@Truss.net>
Source record: 48612
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote:

> "MESKI" <skii@home.com> wrote in message
> news:mqradukprdg7dlq9dqagg0ig85hcup7210@4ax.com...
> >
> > The victim became aware that Maser had heard their conversation
> > uninvited when the clerk confronted the speaker to deny she'd
> > ever taken a bribe.
>
> Rule #1: Never EVER tell anyone what you overhear in a cordless phone
> conversation, even if it pertains to you.
>
> Tom

It's like the rule:

"Never stick your hand in a bear trap, it could really suck!"

--
Whatever happened to Preparations A through G?
- George Carlin

2746. Re: PRO-2006 power supply

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 00:37:39 UTC
From: William Ferguson <fbiagentREMOVEME@charter.net>
Message-ID: <Xns9207D1DAEE410fbiagentREMOVEMEchar@209.249.90.101>
Source record: 48613

I'm selling and some guy wants the internal one

2747. Question on the A/S MON-53

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 01:05:20 UTC
From: Mike Ekholm <ekholm@ekholm.org>
Message-ID: <kR_B8.69188$vm6.12604242@ruti.visi.com>
Source record: 48614

Hello,
I was wondering, I am thinking of getting a Antenna Specialists MON-53
scanner antenna, and was wondering if it is a standard NMO mount antenna.
I have a diamond trunk mount antenna bracket that accepts NMO type antennas, and
was wondering if I can stick the MON-53 in it.

Thnx,

-Mike Ekholm

--
UNIX Sys Admin | ekholm@ekholm.org | http://www.ekholm.org | IRC: Nalez
~~~~~~
"It's hard to find people in society who can administer UNIX
and professionally carry a weapon."
- Jim Williams, former FBI Computer Intrusion Squad agent
~~~~~~

2748. Re: FS Bearcat BC-350A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 01:34:55 UTC
From: EktarEd <EktarEd@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <3h%B8.10721$vT1.906226@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 48615

Hi Gil,

Have you sold your Bearcat BC350A yet. I also have one, and was wondering if a JER1538A@aol.com offered you $40.00?
I think that this is too low for one that is as new in a box with all papers and accessories.
I have some pretty steep medical bills from three hospitalizations so far this year. And I need to generate as much as I can. Thanks in advance for any info you will share.

Regards,

Ed
"gil" <gilpi@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:ab4ijbktk1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> In very good condition without ac adapter, comes with antenna.
> $60. shipped
>
> Gil N2WJW
>
>

2749. Re: Change of group name? Old Frequency Directories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 01:52:49 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3CD884F1.3523B252@mitre.org>
Source record: 48616

Mattster;
Here's another possible source of old paper/book frequency directories, where out dated, expired license info can be found: try your library, if they do inter-library loans
you may be able to have one sent to your branch. Go over to the other library if its a "REFERENCE US ONLY"
book that isn't loaned out and thumb through it for the folks your looking for. The Betty Bearcat series is a
great source ,Scanner Master directories and of course Police Call are names to check for.
You may also want to do a search on E-BAY to see if any directories are up for auction or do a Want to Buy
(WTB) post here and on the frequency board at <http://www.strongsignals.net>

Another way is to us the SEARCH function on the scanner radio, try to keep it under one (1) megahertz and
search around the repeater output, also the moble/portable and base Input frequency, for other activity.
Another place folks have been reported working, in the hobby press, and as hiding out is on the business band. Do a search after dark and late at night to see if any interesting comms show up.
Once you have come up with new frequencies and I us this site to do further checks on Cityfreq.com stuff, go to the FCC at
<http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts> and cross reference the new or unknown user and freq. hope this helps.
Jim

"Matthew W. Este" wrote:

> Hello all.
>
> I was considering something tonight as I check post - why not just change the
> name of this newsgroup to alt.check.cityfreq.com?
>
> The few times I have come here for freqs, it is because I have searched all
> other possible meens, as I am sure other have. cityfreq.com is a cool site, but
> if you are looking for a freq that is not currently licensed (which does happen
> to public safety agencies as I know from experince) there won't be any valid
> data.
>
> Just some food for thought, please go easy on the raging spam attacks,
>
> Mattster.

2750. motorola mobiles for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 02:16:57 UTC
From: JJJJJJJJJJ <oaktownboy@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <cnRB8.8641$op.1619506@read2.cgocable.net>
Source record: 48599

for sale:

motorola privacy plus mobiles

800 mhz

35MQA 5gb5bk

not sure of the channel capacity, at least 2 systems and 2 subgroups

excellent shape with microphones

no bracket or powercords

price includes basic shipping

45.00US

thanks
oaktownboy@cogeco.ca

2751. Re: ## Two Antennas in Unique Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 02:28:05 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comRX320
Message-ID: <20020507222805.11118.00007479@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 48617

> is it possible???

As sure as I say no, someone will prove me wrong. I would think that this would cause a really bad mismatch, probably even make the whole set up directional. It's possible to run multiple identical antennas using some sort of switching and co-phasing equipment but it will not be as simple as using a t connector. As a retired truck driver I never had real good luck running properly co-phased antennas on CB radio gear, always had better reception with a well placed single antenna right up behind the cab. If you are going after a distant signal try a beam antenna, even a tv antenna mounted vertically.
RM~

2752. AU 013 Cellular Telephone Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 03:06:31 UTC
From: OMAR NJIE <njie@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <XC0C8.175168$QB1.48091657@typhoon3.we.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 48618

AU 013 Cellular Telephone Scanner
Does this scanner scan more then just cell phone calls?

2753. Re: Scanner Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 03:40:47 UTC
From: Bigdaddyking <bdk1@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.174268d5bf7b0dda9897c9@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 48619

In article <cIWB8.12301$m26.3099@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>,
coreyf@ntelos.net says...
> Does anyone make a scanner that will scan trunked channels and regular
> channels at the same time without having to swap back and forth between the
> two? If so who what and where.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Corey
>
>
>
>

Sure, the Bc245, the Radio Shack Pro 92,93,and 94.

BDK

2754. Re: Scanner Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 03:46:38 UTC
From: ff-micp <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <yc1C8.49686$xv1.194@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 48620
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Look at the BC780; it does this and I think it is the best all-around
scanner out there, at least for the moment.

"Corey Fisher" <coreyf@ntelos.net> wrote in message
news:CaWB8.11679$m26.929@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
> Does anyone make a scanner that will scan trunked channels and regular
> channels at the same time without having to swap back and forth between
the
> two? If so who what and where. Also I would like to know what the best
> scanner on the market is. BC895XLT is what I am looking at purchasing.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Corey
>
>
>

2755. voice scanning boring, next step?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 04:16:57 UTC
From: tucansam@whodis.org
Message-ID: <aba8rpgmf1@reader1.panix.com>
Source record: 48621

Hey gang,

I bought a couple of scanners mainly for picking up ATC traffic to help me prepare for my private pilot license. Since then I've been bitten by the bug and bought a few more scanners and generally played around. After a couple of months of listening to local goings-on, I am bored. What is the next logical step?

I am interested in looking at receiving (and decoding) data transmissions. Weather satellite stuff, any data relating to law enforcement, military, or aircraft operations.... heck, I'd even be happy looking at the rain meter statistics off the funky meter I pass every day on my way to work, if it took some building and tweaking to decode the signal.

I've surfed around a bit and came up with more data types than I ever imagined existed. Of course some day I'd like to be the guy with a dozen scanners and a dozen TV's or dumb terminals connected to them picking up stuff from all over the world, but at the moment I haven't much room or time and I'd like to start small.

Although I do still enjoy listenting to my scanners, what is the next logical step in the maturation process here?

Thanks!

--
569@72649.266

2756. Tornado Comm's Online in Withita, KS right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 04:20:57 UTC
From: Norm <CatchMe@Cheers.com>
Message-ID: <JI1C8.13665$j0I.2127@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 48622
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/LiveScannerAudio/chat

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/20/2002

2757. Re: 971-985 mhz What am I hearing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 05:07:17 UTC
From: "A. Kay" <aj.kayNOSPAM@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <9o2C8.1664$Sh.199@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 48623

Could you give me an example, for instance, I hear conversation at 971 mhz.
How do you get from a frequency in the 800 mhz range to 971? Image Freq=
971= Freq+(2*10.7), gives Freq = around 950 mhz (cell in 800 mhz range?).
Does this phenomenom occur with 900 mhz cordless, too? Could this indeed be
an "image" of a cordless phone?

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ab8uo6$ga5vv$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "A. Kay" <aj.kayNOSPAM@verizon.net> wrote in message
> news:SEKB8.5463$Ez1.2922@nwrddc03.gnilink.net...
> > I don't understand, isn't cell in the 800 mhz range?
> >
>
> You're most likely hearing images of the signals in the 800 MHZ range.
> Images can usually be found with the following formula for
double-conversion
> scanners: Image freq=Freq+(2*IF). Freq is the freq of the signal you
want
> to listen to. IF is the IF frequency, normally 10.7 MHZ. Of course the
> ability to hear images also depends on the filtering & circuitry of each
> different model of scanner.
>
> Tom
>
>

2758. Re: Scanner Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 05:16:30 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020508011630.00960.00013010@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 48624

OOps...someone said the "B" word. WRONG> Check out the Realistic Pro-93
before making any uninformed decisions.
Eric G.
education CANNOT buy common sense

2759. Re: Scanner Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 05:52:57 UTC
From: Tom Riggs <thriggs@mail.netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <3CD8BD39.59FA8BAC@mail.netusa1.net>
Source record: 48625

The Bearcat BC780XLT is a table top model that scans and trunk tracks at the same time.

Corey Fisher wrote:

> Does anyone make a scanner that will scan trunked channels and regular
> channels at the same time without having to swap back and forth between the
> two? If so who what and where.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Corey

2760. Re: Cordless phone eavesdropping story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 09:18:25 UTC
From: zeno
Message-ID: <abaqg5sgb1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 48626
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone that uses a phone that uses 2 way analog radio (walkie-talkie) is consenting to being listened to though...

In article <mqradukprdg7dlq9dqagg0ig85hcup7210@4ax.com>, MESKI <skii@home.com> wrote:

> State law says it's illegal to use a device to listen in on phone
> conversations without the parties' consent. Brisbois maintains that
> the statute also requires the listener to eavesdrop "on purpose."
> Maser told police she overheard the conversations inadvertently.
> Her scanner broadcast the phone calls while her daughter was
> trying to get information about a nearby traffic accident, Brisbois
> said.

2761. Re: FS Bearcat BC-350A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 10:32:19 UTC
From: EktarEd <EktarEd@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <T87C8.11718$6T5.1088230@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 48627

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:h55hdugngrtfakuvnpjtvmo5smpr8p2614@4ax.com...
> "EktarEd" wrote:
>
> >Have you sold your Bearcat BC350A yet. I also have one, and was wondering
if
> >I was offered $40.00?
> >I think that this is too low for one that is as new in a box with all
papers
> >and accessories.
> >I have some pretty steep medical bills from three hospitalizations so far
> >this year. And I need to generate as much as I can. Thanks in advance for
> >any info you will share.
>
>
> Here's a link to the top-priced one currently on eBay:
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1350394437

Thanks for the info, but there is not a bid on the item. It is just the
starting bid placed by the seller, and more than likely will not sell for
that amount.
I think that $40.00 is too low for a like new scanner.

Ed

2762. Re: Cordless phone eavesdropping story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 11:54:35 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <kq3iduo48qh8d0mdrgq88cspjc26bq6ggv@4ax.com>
Source record: 48628
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 08 May 2002 05:18:25 -0400, zeno wrote:

>Anyone that uses a phone that uses 2 way analog radio (walkie-talkie) is
>consenting to being listened to though...

Believe it or not, there is a federal regulation against it. Title 47
of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 15, Section 9. Or if you're
lazy, here's an official US Government link:

http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/get-cfr.cgi?TITLE=47&PART=15&SECTION=9&YEAR=2001&T
YPE=TEXT

I really think the regulators at the Commission were suffering a
cranial-rectal inversion of the first order when they wrote this.
However, they may not have had much choice. Congress has forced their
hand on similar issues in the past and I suspect that this may have
been the result of yet another "feel good" piece of legislation.

Sigh.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

2763. Re: FS Bearcat BC-350A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 15:24:51 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <udigmidol3hha3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48629

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:h55hdugngrtfakuvnpjtvmo5smpr8p2614@4ax.com...
>
>
> Here's a link to the top-priced one currently on eBay:
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1350394437

Yup, and not a single bid.. I don't blame anybody.. I would never pay that much for a 350A Things are a bitch to program, and they don't cover anything above 512MHz. Here is a link to an auction for the highest price paid for one these recently... I would even have paid that much for it...

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1349087729

PH

2764. Re: Cordless phone eavesdropping story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 15:27:44 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <tugidugsjeuh7pntn39f3fof1fdrb49iok@4ax.com>
Source record: 48630
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Having lost his job as dog catcher, zeno posted this...

>Anyone that uses a phone that uses 2 way analog radio (walkie-talkie) is
>consenting to being listened to though...

I'd love to see you try that as a defense in Court.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2765. Re: Cordless phone eavesdropping story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 15:41:07 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <udihl2sin1a0b8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48631
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:tugidugsjeuh7pntn39f3fof1fdrb49iok@4ax.com...
> Having lost his job as dog catcher, zeno posted this...
>
> >Anyone that uses a phone that uses 2 way analog radio (walkie-talkie) is
> >consenting to being listened to though...
>
>
> I'd love to see you try that as a defense in Court.
>
Well, even though the law may not agree with the above comment, I'm sure
there are a lot of people who would. I for one would. If you transmit
radio waves in the open, they are there to be received by whom ever has the
ability to do so. Whatever may be contained in those waves, be it voice or
whatever, are there for the receiving. If a person decides they want to
listen, great, if not, move on and find another transmission. If people
REALLY didn't want to be heard, they would take the necessary steps to see
to it they were not.

The publics NEEDS to be educated... Too much stupidity in the world these
days...

PH

2766. Re: Scanner Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 16:42:43 UTC
From: proma1 <proma1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <BDcC8.470$1r.41425@news8-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 48632
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

dont buy anything until you come back and tell us where you are,remember the trunking system in your area may not be trackable on a scanner of any description,so please say,unless you want to throw your money away>

also those replying,try not to just reply with what you know does the job in your country or county,many times this has resulted in people buying the wrong scanner for the job,then they come and moan at those of us who sell the scanners.

regards

--

Paul Wey[PROMA1]

moderator of following scanning groups

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk

"ff-micp" <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in message
news:yc1C8.49686$xv1.194@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> Look at the BC780; it does this and I think it is the best all-around
> scanner out there, at least for the moment.
>
> "Corey Fisher" <coreyf@ntelos.net> wrote in message
> news:CaWB8.11679$m26.929@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
> > Does anyone make a scanner that will scan trunked channels and regular
> > channels at the same time without having to swap back and forth between
> the
> > two? If so who what and where. Also I would like to know what the best
> > scanner on the market is. BC895XLT is what I am looking at purchasing.
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Corey
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2767. Question Global setting on the BC 780 XLT ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 17:08:22 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <kqmidusmmbhi2th8o4ivvutbduuhiei6si@4ax.com>
Source record: 48633

anybody know if you can cut the ATTENUATOR globally on the 780 ????

2768. Re: Bill Cheeks still around??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 18:50:37 UTC
From: KS<replacement_character>F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <1seC8.71814$D%5.40832@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 48634

No, Bill got in to some legal-criminal trouble, then died of
cancer about 2 years ago.

--

KAMAL MULCHANDANI <nikee@aztec.asu.edu> wrote in
> Hi, been away from scanning for a while,
> and am starting back again..Is Bill Cheek
> still around? WSR still being published?
> Also, just got my dusty ol' pro 2022 out of
> the closet and am having display problems
> with it. Looked at the service manual and it
> seems to point to IC7. Has anyone out there
> had similar experience?? Email replys would
> be greatly appreciated. Thanks in advance ya all..
>
> nikee@aztec.asu.edu
>
>
> --

2769. Re: Moderator?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 18:55:45 UTC
From: KSF <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <RweC8.253096$CH1.188000@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 48635

Two people thought they were moderators; one died about 2 years ago
after a lot of troubles with the law & Cancer, the other still surfaces on
here occasionally only to cause trouble and lives in the southern half of
the US.

--
Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rr.com> wrote in
> We used to have a self-appointed Moderator,
> but he's been gone for a while (thank the gods).

2770. Re: Moderator?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 18:56:28 UTC
From: KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <wxeC8.253103$CH1.187829@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 48636

Speak of the Devil, here he is as indicated by his message below.

--
Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote
> Shhh... He may come back. Of course, he will try to blame Bill Cheek
> if he does...

2771. PRO-2006 Squelch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 19:15:25 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <3CD9794D.FC7A285C@nospam.com>
Source record: 48637
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I've got a Pro-2006 and when I program a "LIMIT" search, I can squelch it enough that it runs through the channels of my LIMIT search range just fine and stops on active channels, then continues as it should. My problem is when I actually PROGRAM channels into the unit. Normally, you would PROGRAM your freqs into each of the available 400 channels, then hit SCAN to scan through your programmed channels. Problem is, I cannot squelch it enough that it will move beyond the first programmed channel. Even with the squelch knob in the full clockwise position, I still hear a little static and it won't move beyond that channel (most of my programmed channels are in NFM mode).

Any idea why I can scan through the same freqs in a LIMIT search (because I can squelch it just fine) as opposed why I cannot when the freqs are actually programmed into the first bank of 40 (or any bank for that matter).

Thanks for the help.

2772. Re: Suction cup antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 19:28:15 UTC
From: Alexander McNaughton <treehug3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <b%eC8.967$w27.579768@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 48638
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

thanks for the advice Lou.

Louis J. Giliberto, Jr. <noemail@spamstinks.com> wrote in message
news:udep9vjf0gqg04@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:jPzB8.2040$d22.825575@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > Hello,
> >
> > Does anyone have any experience with suction cup antennas? How effective
> are
> > they? I live in a condo and I don't really have room to mount a huge
> > Scantenna so I was wondering if this would be a good alternative.
>
> Uniden shipped one with the BCT-7, and I use it in my car. It's great
> because I just pull it down from the window (or leave it because you can't
> really see it). Would be cheap and easy to make (the braid does float as
> Tom guessed in another post). It works about as well as a rubber duckie
> held by the window.
>
> If you have a deck / balcony, you may want to use a mag mount one outside.
> Getting anything outside the building helps a lot. If you could find
> something metal to set it on, it may help (i.e., ground plane), but it
> should still work OK even if you don't.
>
> Then you can also target the antenna for the freqs you are interested in.
> Here the public safety is on 800Mhz so a mag-mount cell antenna would work
> well. If you're scanning lower freqs ~27Mhz, you could try a CB antenna,
> etc.
>
> -Lou
>
>

2773. Re: Suction cup antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 19:28:29 UTC
From: Alexander McNaughton <treehug3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <p%eC8.968$w27.579709@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 48639
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Thanks Tom.

Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ab6lor$fiiue$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Alexander McNaughton" <treehug3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:jPzB8.2040$d22.825575@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > Hello,
> >
> > Does anyone have any experience with suction cup antennas? How effective
> are
> > they? I live in a condo and I don't really have room to mount a huge
> > Scantenna so I was wondering if this would be a good alternative.
>
> I've got one that I got with my BC-700A. I really haven't used it all
that
> much, but it does seem to perform OK for most scanner listening. It is
> basically a length of coax with a BNC connector at one end, and two
suction
> cups at the other end. Between the suction cups is the actual antenna,
> being a length of wire roughly a foot & a half long. I don't know what
> happens to the shield braid at the antenna end, my guess is that it's just
> "floating."
>
> Tom
>
>
>

2774. Re: Cordless phone eavesdropping story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 20:03:01 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <221jdugbkvm8h2h17t3ju2u9rb5sido5dc@4ax.com>
Source record: 48640
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Having lost his job as dog catcher, "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> posted this...

> If you transmit
>radio waves in the open, they are there to be received by whom ever has the
>ability to do so.

So if I WALK down the street, people are free to shoot or stab me,
because I wasn't wearing armor??

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2775. Re: Moderator?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 20:24:27 UTC
From: Brett Powell <powell.brett@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <%PfC8.12267$vT1.1013362@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 48642

MAJOR TROLL! AVOID! AVOID! DANGER WILL ROBINSON!!!!
"KS&#65533;F" <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org> wrote in message
news:RweC8.253096$CH1.188000@sccrnsc02...
> Two people thought they were moderators; one died about 2 years ago
> after a lot of troubles with the law & Cancer, the other still surfaces on
> here occasionally only to cause trouble and lives in the southern half of
> the US.
>
> --
> Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rr.com> wrote in
> > We used to have a self-appointed Moderator,
> > but he's been gone for a while (thank the gods).
>
>
>

2776. Icom r-2 unblock

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 20:26:23 UTC
From: jfn73 <jfn73@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <abc1bd$5pb$1@news.worldonline.be>
Source record: 48641

I'd like to unblock the cellular frequencies of my Icom IC-R2.
How can I do that ?
Help me !

2777. Re: cypriene's floor shouts inside our wrinkle after we walk beside it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 20:30:37 UTC
From: Brett Powell <powell.brett@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <NVfC8.12281$vT1.1013824@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 48643

Jessica Felix Designs - Art and all that JazzSPAM GO AWAY
<susanne18@oxicky.tx.us> wrote in message news:36bc1a76.2cef6cb1@oxicky.tx.us...
Welcome to

A Fine Art and Fine Craft Gallery
Home page

Whistles - Sculptural sterling silver pendants that are also functional whistles.

Figurative Whistles - Representations of ethnic and cultural images from countries around the world.

Decorative Whistles - Ornate silver whistles, many of them adorned with semi-precious stones.

Masks - Interpretations in sterling silver of ceremonial masks designs from many cultures including Africa, Alaska, Iroquois Indians, Japanese Islands, The Pacific Northwest Coast and Pre-Columbian.

Montage Designs - An exciting new concept in earrings. Modular earrings. Design your own combinations.

Wine Designs - Beautiful earrings, bracelets, necklaces and more inspired by the fine wines of Sonoma county.

Musical Instruments - Mostly African instruments interpreted into silver by Jessica Felix.

Figurines - Small wearable silver sculptures from Alaska and the Northwest. Includes a 24" snake chain with a Rosewood stand.

Links
Guarantee
Ordering information
Questions and comments

Sterling Silver Jewelry Designs by Jessica Felix

Decorative Whistles

Figurative Whistles

Figurines

African Masks
Alaskan Masks
Japanese Masks

Welcome to the online site of Art and All that Jazz, a very unique gallery in Healdsburg, California.
The Gallery features spirited jewelry designs in Gold and Silver by artist-owner Jessica Felix - along with other outstanding represented artists.

We sincerely hope you enjoy your online visit.

Wine Designs

Montage Designs

Pacific Northwest Coast Masks

Pre-Columbian Masks

Musical Instruments

Works by other Featured Gallery Artists

Ceramics
Ceramics by Washington Ledesma

Art Glass
Glass works by Bruce Pizzichillo and Dari Gordon

2778. Re: Cordless phone eavesdropping story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 21:30:01 UTC
From: bule_light@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27433-3CD998D9-82@storefull-2377.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 48645

hello i use a cell phone and an od cordless phone hay i dont care i know
i could be heard i aso have a corded wall phone i have a scanner too
there are alot of fun

2779. Re: Trunked System Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 21:32:38 UTC
From: bule_light@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27434-3CD99976-32@storefull-2377.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 48644

how does it work

2780. Re: Bill Cheeks still around??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 21:56:14 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <ek7jdukd7v36klobajmbehgct9jol77ic1@4ax.com>
Source record: 48646

Having lost his job as dog catcher, Richard <no@mail.com> posted this...

>Remember the Gingrich call ?
>
>2 people were arrested and prosecuted for that.

They were charged under Florida wiretapping law,
NOT the Federal ECPA which has for higher penalties.

Remember the Aide to the Governor of Va., who not ONLY
monitored cellphone calls of a rival politician, he tried to
BLACKMAIL that person, yet was never charged under the
ECPA.

The law sucks badly enough,
but the WAY it's been used sucks even worse.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2781. Re: Icom r-2 unblock

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 22:47:54 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <uWhC8.1785$r1.1368@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 48647

It CAN'T be done.
Forget about it.

"jfn73" <jfn73@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:abc1bd$5pb$1@news.worldonline.be...
> I'd like to unblock the cellular frequencies of my Icom IC-R2.
> How can I do that ?
> Help me !
>
>
>

2782. Re: Trunked System Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 22:56:22 UTC
From: Gray-Beard <gray-beard@NOSPAM.net>
Message-ID: <3CD9AD16.7E7136B2@NOSPAM.net>
Source record: 48648

That was a true waste of bandwidth.
About the worst thing that ever happened to the internet was the
invention of WebTV.
Plonk!
GB

bule_light@webtv.net wrote:

how does it work

2783. Re: Icom r-2 unblock

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 22:58:11 UTC
From: Billy <bill@gates.co.uk>
Message-ID: <abcaku$2k2$1@cyan.nl.gxn.net>
Source record: 48649

Replace the cpu with a European version...

"jfn73" <jfn73@hotmail.com> schreef in bericht
news:abc1bd$5pb$1@news.worldonline.be...
> I'd like to unblock the cellular frequencies of my Icom IC-R2.
> How can I do that ?
> Help me !
>
>

2784. Re: voice scanning boring, next step?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 23:30:27 UTC
From: Jim Bob <JimBob@waltonsmountain.com>
Message-ID: <nyiC8.32514$v32.2926277@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 48650

Try searching the INTERNET for "POCSAG + software" without the quotes.
You might find something there to interest you.

Happy monitoring.

<tucansam@whodis.org> wrote in message
news:aba8rpgmf1@reader1.panix.com...
> Hey gang,
>
> I bought a couple of scanners mainly for picking up ATC traffic to help me
prepare for my
> private pilot license. Since then I've been bitten by the bug and bought
a few more
> scanners and generally played around. After a couple of months of
listening to local
> goings-on, I am bored. What is the next logical step?
>
> I am interested in looking at receiving (and decoding) data transmissions.
Weather
> satellite stuff, any data relating to law enforcement, military, or
aircraft
> operations.... heck, I'd even be happy looking at the rain meter
statistics off the funky
> meter I pass every day on my way to work, if it took some building and
tweaking to decode
> the signal.
>
> I've surfed around a bit and came up with more data types than I ever
imagined existed.
> Of course some day I'd like to be the guy with a dozen scanners and a
dozen TV's or dumb
> terminals connected to them picking up stuff from all over the world, but
at the moment I
> haven't much room or time and I'd like to start small.
>
> Although I do still enjoy listenting to my scanners, what is the next
logical step in the
> maturation process here?
>
> Thanks!
>
> --
> 569@72649.266

2785. Re: MCSO 460mhz frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 23:44:31 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <csimmont@att.net>
Message-ID: <3CD866A0.7972BEC3@att.net>
Source record: 48651
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

MCSO now operates on the 800Mhz Digital radio system (few analog talkgroups). Southwest Ambulance bought a few of the freq's vacated by MCSO and the others are now licensed by the Az DPS. For more information check the ArizonaScanner email list at Yahoo Groups.

JA Moran wrote:

> What happened to Maricopa county Sheriff...
> I know they moved most of their activity to an 856-868mhz system
> However, I am now hearing ambulance transmissions on 460.1mhz this was
> the MCSO (Maricopa County Sheriff) channel-2
>
> JOHN
>
> --
> * -800-873-5884 - We're Unix - CANT READ MICROSOFT Attatchments!!
> JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) BX 25506 TPE AZ
> http://www.analon.com Custom Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting
> ASCII is OK! Unix is Stable!.. Cig Smoking is Deadly! We do Openview!
> --

--

Charles Simmont <csimmont@att.net> ICP815 - Dispatcher
Incident Command Page of Arizona http://www.icp-az.org
"Views expressed are my own and not that of ICP unless otherwise stated"

2786. Re: PRO-2006 Squelch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-08 23:51:05 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comRX320
Message-ID: <20020508195105.25335.00007068@mb-ci.aol.com>
Source record: 48652

I don't have a manual/schematic here but there is probably a pot on the circuit board to adjust the squelch threshold. Just a thought that came to mind.

RM~

2787. Re: 971-985 mhz What am I hearing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 00:48:29 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <abch4e$gts3j$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48653

"A. Kay" <aj.kayNOSPAM@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:9o2C8.1664$Sh.199@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> Could you give me an example, for instance, I hear conversation at 971
mhz.
> How do you get from a frequency in the 800 mhz range to 971? Image Freq=
> 971= Freq+(2*10.7), gives Freq = around 950 mhz (cell in 800 mhz range?).
> Does this phenomenom occur with 900 mhz cordless, too? Could this indeed
be
> an "image" of a cordless phone?

It could be. Most cellular images in scanners I've owned appeared at around 900-920 MHZ. I'm not sure why you'd be hearing something at 971, unless the cellular signals were mixing with another signal that is producing intermod. Perhaps the cellular signal is mixing with a local FM station.

As far as cordless phones are concerned, they operate from around 902-925 MHZ. You could be getting an image from one, but I can't work the math to put either cellular or cordless phones into the right frequency range.

Tom

2788. Re: ## Two Antennas in Unique Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 00:53:43 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <abche8$hdgt5$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48654

"J Rob" <jrobmills@aol.comRX320> wrote in message
news:20020507222805.11118.00007479@mb-ca.aol.com...
> > is it possible???
>
> As sure as I say no, someone will prove me wrong. I would think that this
would
> cause a really bad mismatch, probably even make the whole set up
directional.

Depending on what kind of antennas were being used, I don't think a mismatch would be that big a problem. However, you are right about it being directional, unless one antenna was mounted just above the other. If they're mounted at the same height and close enough, you probably won't notice much directivity. Also, if they're very far apart, like over one wavelength or so, you probably won't notice much directivity either.

Alternatively, you may try mounting a coax splitter such as a TV cable splitter and isolating each antenna. Where some people connect one antenna to two different scanners, you could turn the splitter around and try it that way. I don't know if this would negate any directivity caused by the two antennas or not. Perhaps someone else knows the answer.

Tom

2789. Re: Cordless phone eavesdropping story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 00:58:36 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <abchne$h3mcs$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48655
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:221jdugbkvm8h2h17t3ju2u9rb5sido5dc@4ax.com...
> Having lost his job as dog catcher, "Power House"
<Power_House@PrivateBox.com> posted this...
>
> > If you transmit
> >radio waves in the open, they are there to be received by whom ever has
the
> >ability to do so.
>
> So if I WALK down the street, people are free to shoot or stab me,
> because I wasn't wearing armor??
>

That's a bad analogy. Perhaps a better one is, if you are in a public place
and having a loud conversation with someone sitting next to you, don't feel
bad if people listen in. If you want to keep information private, it's up
to you to keep it that way, not up to everyone else to not listen.

Tom

2790. Re: Cordless phone eavesdropping story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 00:59:43 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <abchpg$gtf34$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48656

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:2edjductqa1pi260eerqj41vrt72ma7unc@4ax.com...
> "bule_light@webtv.net" wrote:
>
> >hello i use a cell phone and an od cordless phone hay i dont care i know
> >i could be heard i aso have a corded wall phone i have a scanner too
> >there are alot of fun
>
> Next time you have a few bucks to spend, get a new keyboard that has a
> working SHIFT key, and see if you can find a news reader that has a
> spell checker.
>
> Your present equipment/setup tends to make you look like an idiot.

He might need to get the period key checked out, too. Doesn't seem to be
working.

Tom

2791. Re: Moderator?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 01:11:26 UTC
From: KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <21kC8.2528$RR3.601@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 48657

Shhhh - Don't call Bud a "Troll" you'll get him worked up.

Brett Powell <powell.brett@worldnet.att.net> wrote
> > Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rr.com> wrote in
> > > We used to have a self-appointed Moderator,
> > > but he's been gone for a while (thank the gods).
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2792. Re: Cordless phone eavesdropping story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 01:27:01 UTC
From: Iman Nassoul <rectal@orifice.org>
Message-ID: <080520022027015515%rectal@orifice.org>
Source record: 48659

In article <2edjductqa1pi260eerqj41vrt72ma7unc@4ax.com>, SB
<StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote:

> "bule_light@webtv.net" wrote:
>
> >hello i use a cell phone and an od cordless phone hay i dont care i know
> >i could be heard i aso have a corded wall phone i have a scanner too
> >there are alot of fun
>
> Next time you have a few bucks to spend, get a new keyboard that has a
> working SHIFT key, and see if you can find a news reader that has a
> spell checker.
>
> Your present equipment/setup tends to make you look like an idiot.

Well, he *IS* on webtv, so the idiot thing goes without saying.

2793. Re: Scanner Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 01:40:40 UTC
From: ff-micp <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <sskC8.1354$L76.276@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 48658
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

I'd say the BC780 would work in just about any system other than digital, and certainly would work on conventional anywhere (provided they are in the US). There isn't anything else out there that compares for the price, and realistic doesn't have anything comparable either (to those that don't like the B word).

> also those replying,try not to just reply with what you know does the job in
> your country or county,many times this has resulted in people buying the
> wrong scanner for the job,then they come and moan at those of us who sell
> the scanners.
>
>
> regards
>
> --
>
>
>
> Paul Wey[PROMA1]
>
> moderator of following scanning groups
>
>
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk
>
>
>
> "ff-micp" <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in message
> news:yc1C8.49686$xv1.194@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> > Look at the BC780; it does this and I think it is the best all-around
> > scanner out there, at least for the moment.
> >
> > "Corey Fisher" <coreyf@ntelos.net> wrote in message
> > news:CaWB8.11679$m26.929@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
> > > Does anyone make a scanner that will scan trunked channels and regular

> > > channels at the same time without having to swap back and forth
between
> > the
> > > two? If so who what and where. Also I would like to know what the best
> > > scanner on the market is. BC895XLT is what I am looking at purchasing.
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > >
> > > Corey
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2794. Re: PRO-2006 Squelch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 02:49:15 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3cd9e3c6$0$1419$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 48660
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Your PRO-2006 might be receiving intermod or interference
from an off frequency signal when it is listening to
the frequency on channel 1.

Is your PRO-2006 receiving interference from your computer
or monitor?

The PRO-2006 contains a "window detector" circuit,
which Radio Shack calls Zeromatic.

It prevents the scanner from stopping on off frequency
signals while searching. I don't think it is active
while scanning memory channels. That may explain the
why your scanner stops during memory scan but not
during a limit search tuning the same frequency.

Has anyone added a discriminator tap? Readjusted the
discriminator? Modified the radio?
An improperly aligned or malfunctioning discriminator
can interfere with window detector and squelch circuits.
--
==
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

2795. FS : AOR AR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 03:18:27 UTC
From: k4tjk@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020508231827.00891.00006676@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 48662

I have an AR8000 handheld wide range receiver that I bought new and is a U.S. unblocked govt model. It has the optional speech inversion board installed to descramble analog inversion. Radio comes with manual, rechargeable batteries, soft case and charger and is in mint condition.

$350

Thanks K4TJK

2796. Mobile antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 03:23:40 UTC
From: pjhnospam@west.net
Message-ID: <pjhnospam-0805022023410001@ip68-6-89-214.sb.sd.cox.net>
Source record: 48661

Hoping that someone on the list will have some recommendations on a multiband mobile scanner antenna, hopefully something not too expensive and not too huge.

Anyone have any experience with the Nil-Jon Super M or the Comtelco dual band low profile models?

2797. Re: Cordless phone eavesdropping story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 03:59:05 UTC
From: dxlover574738846@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020508235905.21652.00006401@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 48663

if you are in a public place
and having a loud conversation with someone sitting next to you, >>>
.....
.....
Tom,
A buddy of mine is a ham and he messes around with all kinds of circuits and whatnot.

He let me borrow (actually I kept it for 7 months ;-)) a little box that he would use for kicks to zap people on their phones.

I told him that it was rather childish......BUTTTTTT.....I have to say I had a great time playing with that....lol.

What is it that was in that little project box??

What's funny is EVERYONE did the same thing when their signal was cut off, eveyone ALWAYS looked at their phone. :-)

You had to be rather close. For instance....if you were in a Frischs restaurant and you were by the entrance and someone you wanted to zapp was on the other side of the restaurant you would have to go to the area by the salad bar.

It never once failed to work, I would know that by seeing the person look at his phone. ;-)

What all does it entail to put one of those together, not that I would own one of course. ;-)

2798. Re: FS Bearcat BC-350A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 04:30:16 UTC
From: Gary Smith <gs@ansonic.com.au>
Message-ID: <abctvr$m66$1@DFTNEWS.datafast.net.au>
Source record: 48664

I have done that with a t piece i got from a computer network card. works ok for me, i have a home made folded dipole cut for 98 MHz (centre of FM Broadcast band here in .au) and 2 eleven element
ATN UHF CB beams pointed to my local GRN trunking repeater. The dipole is about 45 ft up my tower out one side of it and the beams are about 55 ft up on top of the tower. I did also have 2 t's connected together with a barrel joiner with a 36ft hf antenna plugged in as well. it was a H shape with the two tops and bottoms of the H being the antenna connection points. The centre part of the H was where the barrel joiner connected the two t's together. Didn't think it would work as good as it did.
Try it and see is all i can say.

Gary.

gil <gilpi@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:ab4ijbktk1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> In very good condition without ac adapter, comes with antenna.
> $60. shipped
>
> Gil N2WJW
>
>

2799. Re: Mobile antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 04:30:21 UTC
From: dxlover574738846@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020509003021.21652.00006403@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 48665

Anyone have any experience with the Nil-Jon Super M or the Comtelco dual band low profile models?>>>

.....
.....

The Nil-Jon is hardly *low profile.* You could go with the magnet mount antennae at Radio Shack or their *thru the glass* mount cell look-a-like antennae.

Both are pretty good performers.

Back to the Nil-Jon. That is a pretty nice antennae, if you can get by the looks part. But in my "fun car"....the more wackier and outlandish the better. ;-)

2800. 900 MHz mobile phone frequencies? HELP?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 04:54:46 UTC
From: jda1973@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e03df94.0205082054.59a6b0af@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48666

I have a list of the frequencies used for cordless phones on 900MHz.
The problem I am having is when I try to program them into my scanner
(PRO-90). The first one goes in just fine, 902.100, the second is
input as 902.130, but when I hit the enter button the scanner changes
it to 902.125. Next I input 902.160, and it is changed to 902.1625.
what is going on here? I understand that the scanner must be set of
this type of frequency spacing but will the "round off" frequencies
work? Are the frequencies I have inacurate? Please Help!!

2801. Re: voice scanning boring, next step?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 05:42:23 UTC
From: Mac Rosevelt <rapture@calweb.com>
Message-ID: <3cda0be7_1@news3.calweb.com>
Source record: 48667

There are plenty of things you can listen too with even a basic scanner. Besides ATC like you have been hearing, I have also heard the airport weather (kind of like NOAA, but just for local air conditions), what I assume to be airport security (I live not too far from the International Airport in my city), various police agencies in Sacramento and Yuba counties, including the CA State Fair police at Calexpo, who are very exciting and don't have much code talk. Also fire, paramedics, live tv and radio remotes for both the on-air personalities and technichans (these two can be quite interesting- they don't go off during commercial breaks, I have heard anchors swearing between breaks, seriously), baby monitors (depending on the monitor, you can actually hear alot of what goes on in the house, and this is legal) fast food drive-thrus (usually need to hear them at close distance), various private security (sometimes neat- I get the security for Arco Arena, where the Sacramento Kings play, and I had also gotten Water World at Calexpo security as well ... good ways to keep an ear on your favorite buildings/complexes in your city) You can also pick up tv audio including cable (which allowed me to get my first tv dx from Los Angeles- my tvs never got LA, even with better antennas), and wireless mics. Normally you have to be at close range to hear them, but sometimes they go along way, like several years ago I heard one of the carnies for the CA State Fair quite clearly, all fair long, and this is twenty miles or so away) And if you like aircraft/airport related radio, be sure to check your local TIS (Traveller's Information Service) stations, on an AM capable radio. On AM 530 in Sacramento, I consistently get the international airport's TIS, which endlessly repeats a message about parking. Over time though, the voice had actually changed from a man's to that of a woman's. TIS stations are usually low powered and short in reception range.
<tucansam@whodis.org> wrote in message
news:aba8rpgmf1@reader1.panix.com...
> Hey gang,
>
> I bought a couple of scanners mainly for picking up ATC traffic to help me
prepare for my
> private pilot license. Since then I've been bitten by the bug and bought
a few more
> scanners and generally played around. After a couple of months of
listening to local
> goings-on, I am bored. What is the next logical step?
>
> I am interested in looking at receiving (and decoding) data transmissions.
Weather
> satellite stuff, any data relating to law enforcement, military, or
aircraft
> operations.... heck, I'd even be happy looking at the rain meter

statistics off the funky
> meter I pass every day on my way to work, if it took some building and
tweaking to decode
> the signal.
>
> I've surfed around a bit and came up with more data types than I ever
imagined existed.
> Of course some day I'd like to be the guy with a dozen scanners and a
dozen TV's or dumb
> terminals connected to them picking up stuff from all over the world, but
at the moment I
> haven't much room or time and I'd like to start small.
>
> Although I do still enjoy listenting to my scanners, what is the next
logical step in the
> maturation process here?
>
> Thanks!
>
> --
> 569@72649.266

2802. INMARSAT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 09:51:40 UTC
From: joselu2 <JOSELU-GH@terra.es>
Message-ID: <abdg76$qeu1@esiami.tsai.es>
Source record: 48669

ALGUIEN RECIBE ESTE SATELITE
CON UN AOR 5000

2803. Re: Scanner Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 09:53:54 UTC
From: proma1 <proma1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <hKrC8.1024$1w6.28132@news8-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 48668
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

exactly in the US

In 75% of the world a standard of analogue trunking known as MPT1327 is in use,and that goes for the UK apart from 3 users.

so many people have seen the owrds trunkracker,brought the 780 and then asked how does it work on there local freqs,when i tell them it wont it causes a lot of problems.

regards

mind you the 780 is fantastic on everything else anyway,but,all the person says is i brought it for truntracking and it will not do the job!

--

Paul Wey[PROMA1]

moderator of following scanning groups

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk

"ff-micp" <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in message news:sskC8.1354$L76.276@rwcrnsc53...
> I'd say the BC780 would work in just about any system other than digital,
> and certainly would work on conventional anywhere (provided they are in the
> US). There isn't anything else out there that compares for the price, and
> realistic doesn't have anything comparable either (to those that don't like
> the B word).
>
> > also those replying,try not to just reply with what you know does the job
> in
> > your country or county,many times this has resulted in people buying the
> > wrong scanner for the job,then they come and moan at those of us who sell
> > the scanners.
> >
> >

> > regards
> >
> > --
> >
> >
> >
> > Paul Wey[PROMA1]
> >
> > moderator of following scanning groups
> >
> >
> >
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk
> >
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio
> >
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech
> >
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk
> >
> >
> >
> > "ff-micp" <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in message
> > news:yc1C8.49686$xv1.194@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> > > Look at the BC780; it does this and I think it is the best all-around
> > > scanner out there, at least for the moment.
> > >
> > > "Corey Fisher" <coreyf@ntelos.net> wrote in message
> > > news:CaWB8.11679$m26.929@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
> > > > Does anyone make a scanner that will scan trunked channels and
regular
> > > > channels at the same time without having to swap back and forth
> between
> > > > the
> > > > two? If so who what and where. Also I would like to know what the
best
> > > > scanner on the market is. BC895XLT is what I am looking at
purchasing.
> > > >
> > > > Thanks,
> > > >
> > > > Corey
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>

>

2804. done it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 10:27:14 UTC
From: Gary Smith <gs@ansonic.com.au>
Message-ID: <abdirs$sp0$1@DFTNEWS.datafast.net.au>
Source record: 48670

I have done that with a t piece i got from a computer network card. works ok for me, i have a home made folded dipole cut for 98 MHz (centre of FM Broadcast band here in .au) and 2 eleven element
ATN UHF CB beams pointed to my local GRN trunking repeater. The dipole is about 45 ft up my tower out one side of it and the beams are about 55 ft up on top of the tower. I did also have 2 t's connected together with a barrel joiner with a 36ft hf antenna plugged in as well. it was a H shape with the two tops and bottoms of the H being the antenna connection points. The centre part of the H was where the barrel joiner connected the two t's together. Didn't think it would work as good as it did.
Try it and see is all i can say.

Gary.

2805. Re: PRO-2006 Squelch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 12:11:49 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <3CDA6785.85D0750A@nospam.com>
Source record: 48671
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Bob_Parnass_AJ9S wrote:
>
> Your PRO-2006 might be receiving intermod or interference
> from an off frequency signal when it is listening to
> the frequency on channel 1.

It does it on any memory channel I program. Each time I hit SCAN it will increment one channel, but will not move beyond that channel unless I hit SCAN again, then it will move to the next memory location. As normal, the volume get louder as I move the Squelch counterclockwise, and gets lower as I move it clockwise, but at full clockwise position, I can still hear the "hiss" sound like you get when your on a channel and no one is talking. Again, this only happens when trying to scan memory channels. The Squelch works fine with DIRECT and LIMIT searching.

> Is your PRO-2006 receiving interference from your computer
> or monitor?

No, don't think so. It's not what I would consider "interference". Even though, I tried it with all my computer equipment turned off.

> The PRO-2006 contains a "window detector" circuit,
> which Radio Shack calls Zeromatic.
>
> It prevents the scanner from stopping on off frequency
> signals while searching. I don't think it is active
> while scanning memory channels. That may explain the
> why your scanner stops during memory scan but not
> during a limit search tuning the same frequency.
>
> Has anyone added a discriminator tap? Readjusted the
> discriminator? Modified the radio?
> An improperly aligned or malfunctioning discriminator
> can interfere with window detector and squelch circuits.

Here is what I know from reading about mods and looking over my scanner. Yes, there is a discriminator tap with a tiny shielded coax. Center conductor runs from TP2 on circuit board to a 1/8" jack on the back of the unit. Shield runs from a ground plane on the board to the ground on the jack. The discriminator output works flawlessly.

D502 and D503 on the front board are both cut. I can't remember which does what at the momemnt, but one restores freqs, and the other I think your are suppose to cut and replace a crystal to increase scanning speed. I have not been able to (easily) find or confirm if the crystal has been replaced.

Readjusted the discriminator? I don't know what that entails or if its been done. Tweaked out the gain, if possible? Again, I don't know how or if that's been done. Back in the old days I use to work with a CB shop and we "peaked" out the gain, among other things. Don't know if that can be done with the scanner and if so, if it's been done. I was going to say that maybe it had been tweaked out for more gain but, then again, the Squelch works fine on all other scanning modes, just not when scanning memory channels.

Thanks for any further help. The unit is (kindof) useless to me if it won't scan the memory channels on its own.

2806. Re: Cordless phone eavesdropping story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 13:04:26 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <abds89$he74n$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48672

"DXlover574738846" <dxlover574738846@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020508235905.21652.00006401@mb-fa.aol.com...
>
> He let me borrow (actually I kept it for 7 months ;-)) a little box that
he
> would use for kicks to zap people on their phones.

>
> You had to be rather close. For instance....if you were in a Frischs
> restaurant and you were by the entrance and someone you wanted to zapp was
on
> the other side of the restaurant you would have to go to the area by the
salad
> bar.
>
> What all does it entail to put one of those together, not that I would own
one
> of course. ;-)

Well I think there are some websites that carry cell phone jammers. Some of them are base mounted units for use in buildings, and others are portable ones. They are only sold outside the US and are illegal for use inside the US, but I've heard that some people have ordered them and didn't have any trouble with customs. If you do a search for cell phone jammer you'll get lots of hits.

If you wanted to build one, I'm sure it wouldn't be too hard. All you'd need to do is transmit a signal on the cell phone's data channel. Once the cell phone loses the data signal, any calls to/from the phone are dropped and calls can't be made until the data signal is picked up again.

Hmmm... makes me think about experimenting with an old cell phone I have...

Tom

2807. Re: 900 MHz mobile phone frequencies? HELP?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 13:11:10 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <abdskt$go0pa$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48673

"Jason" <jda1973@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1e03df94.0205082054.59a6b0af@posting.google.com...

> I understand that the scanner must be set of
> this type of frequency spacing but will the "round off" frequencies
> work? Are the frequencies I have inacurate? Please Help!!

No, I'm sure the freq list you have is accurate. It's just that the cordless telephone channels have different spacing than your scanner frequency steps. Not much you can do about that, but it really shouldn't matter that much. If you program a frequency of 902.130 and it will only tune 902.125, you should still be able to hear the signal without any problem. If the cordless uses narrow FM, the channel will still be about 25 KHZ wide. I'm not sure what the spacing for wide FM is, but probably around 50 KHZ. But wide FM sounds lousy on a narrow FM receiver. Anyway, you shouldn't have any problem.

Tom

2808. Re: 900 MHz mobile phone frequencies? HELP?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 14:02:21 UTC
From: Snoopy@snop.net
Message-ID: <3CDA816D.6E02CE17@snop.net>
Source record: 48674

"Illegal" only because the phone companies paid off the politicians to enact a law. Typical of the
American way. Pay off any politician and you can get any law enacted. When in doubt, ask U.S.
Congressman Billy Turzan (D-Louisiana) and Chairman of the Communications Committee.

SB wrote:

> ""twï¿½ï¿½,.," <.tw,@nothomeexcite5,yahoomsnATTBI.org.com>" wrote:
>
> >Just a note, it is illegal in the US to monitor cellular and cordless phone transmissions on a
> >receiver.
>
> Stow it.

2809. help with Sony ICF2001

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 15:38:23 UTC
From: marmota101 <marmota101@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <PJwC8.4482$bV3.155137@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 48675

I was given a functional Sony ICF2001 with SSB/CW. I couldn't figure out how to run the scan function on the unit. Any help in the matter is much appreciated.

Monte

mtchan@telus.net

2810. Re: 971-985 mhz What am I hearing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 16:00:52 UTC
From: Brad Steinman <steinman@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <udl79pfabhcr82@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48676

Which scanner are you using? Not all scanners use a 10.7Mhz IF.

--
Brad Steinman N8ZRP
steinman@speakeasy.net

"A. Kay" <aj.kayNOSPAM@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:9o2C8.1664$Sh.199@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> Could you give me an example, for instance, I hear conversation at 971
mhz.
> How do you get from a frequency in the 800 mhz range to 971? Image Freq=
> 971= Freq+(2*10.7), gives Freq = around 950 mhz (cell in 800 mhz range?).
> Does this phenomenom occur with 900 mhz cordless, too? Could this indeed
be
> an "image" of a cordless phone?
>
>
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:ab8uo6$ga5vv$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> >
> > "A. Kay" <aj.kayNOSPAM@verizon.net> wrote in message
> > news:SEKB8.5463$Ez1.2922@nwrddc03.gnilink.net...
> > > I don't understand, isn't cell in the 800 mhz range?
> > >
> >
> > You're most likely hearing images of the signals in the 800 MHZ range.
> > Images can usually be found with the following formula for
> double-conversion
> > scanners: Image freq=Freq+(2*IF). Freq is the freq of the signal you
> want
> > to listen to. IF is the IF frequency, normally 10.7 MHZ. Of course the
> > ability to hear images also depends on the filtering & circuitry of each
> > different model of scanner.
> >
> > Tom
> >
> >
>
>

2811. Re: PRO-2006 Squelch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 16:37:44 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3cdaa5f3$0$3333$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 48677
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Do you have any device connected to the
discriminator output jack when the
PRO-2006 is misbehaving?

If so, diconnect the external device
and try scanning the memory channels again.

On Thu, 09 May 2002 07:11:49 -0500, nospam wrote:

> Here is what I know from reading about mods and looking over my scanner.
> Yes, there is a discriminator tap with a tiny shielded coax. Center
> conductor runs from TP2 on circuit board to a 1/8" jack on the back of
> the unit. Shield runs from a ground plane on the board to the ground on
> the jack. The discriminator output works flawlessly.

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

2812. Re: Bill Cheeks still around??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 18:04:15 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <GTyC8.160$xu.26354@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 48678

In article <g3nkdu8c0gq6talqvdd3agkrv6v8vcua2r@4ax.com>, no@mail.com
says...
> On Wed, 08 May 2002 21:56:14 GMT, Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Having lost his job as dog catcher, Richard <no@mail.com> posted this...
> >
> >>Remember the Gingrich call ?
> >>
> >>2 people were arrested and prosecuted for that.
> >
> >They were charged under Florida wiretapping law,
> >NOT the Federal ECPA which has for higher penalties.
> >
> >Remember the Aide to the Governor of Va., who not ONLY
> >monitored cellphone calls of a rival politician, he tried to
> >BLACKMAIL that person, yet was never charged under the
> >ECPA.
> >
> >The law sucks badly enough,
> >but the WAY it's been used sucks even worse.
>
> Ok, here's one that is being tried under the ECPA.
>
> http://www.cnn.com/2000/LAW/12/04/scotus.wiretapping/

I don't think it WAS.

Seems CNN got it wrong Richard. Go to

http://www.freedomforum.org/templates/document.asp?documentID=13976

you will see the decision. It was a First Amendment battle and not
ECPA. The case had to do with a recording of the intercepted cellular
call being aired by a radio station and nothing to do with the actual
interception of the call.

Try again! :-)

Glenn

2813. KY signal and 10-codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 18:35:17 UTC
From: Brad Bowers <BradBowers@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <FjzC8.55472$gd5.22911505@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 48679
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Any insight into signal and 10-codes, specifically for Southeastern KY
counties: Bell, Harlan, Leslie, Clay, Knox, Whitley?

2814. Re: PRO-2006 Squelch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 18:38:11 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <3CDAC213.144F4DC@nospam.com>
Source record: 48680
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

No, nothing connected to discriminator output when trying to scan memory channels. Actually, I tried it both ways before asking for help. Makes no difference either way.

Bob_Parnass_AJ9S wrote:
>
> Do you have any device connected to the
> discriminator output jack when the
> PRO-2006 is misbehaving?
>
> If so, diconnect the external device
> and try scanning the memory channels again.
>
> On Thu, 09 May 2002 07:11:49 -0500, nospam wrote:
>
> > Here is what I know from reading about mods and looking over my scanner.
> > Yes, there is a discriminator tap with a tiny shielded coax. Center
> > conductor runs from TP2 on circuit board to a 1/8" jack on the back of
> > the unit. Shield runs from a ground plane on the board to the ground on
> > the jack. The discriminator output works flawlessly.
>
> --
> ==
> Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
> Linux user

2815. Re: aerial refueling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 19:33:08 UTC
From: MRK <me@you.com>
Message-ID: <udljp1jj64i235@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48681

If you talking to MRK then I live in south Alabama.
"DAG" <ak-412@email.msn.com> wrote in message
news:iKHB8.86857$Ii2.7993610@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> Where are you located at?
>
>
> "John J McCoy Jr" <kb9qng@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:3CD31DBC.5C8B5CB5@charter.net...
> > Can anybody tell me where I can find some Aerial Refueling frequencies
> > or send me some???
> >
> >
>
>

2816. Re: INMARSAT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 19:34:57 UTC
From: MRK <me@you.com>
Message-ID: <udljse3b2jh06f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48682

How do you receive the satellites with your AOR 5000?
"joselu2" <JOSELU-GH@terra.es> wrote in message news:abdg76$qeu1@esiami.tsai.es...
ALGUIEN RECIBE ESTE SATELITE
CON UN AOR 5000

2817. Monitoring Times Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 20:15:21 UTC
From: Norm <CatchMe@Cheers.com>
Message-ID: <tNAC8.27883$GLp1.9998@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 48683
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone have any idea as to why it hasn't been updated in months.

It's too bad, it's a good site.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.360 / Virus Database: 199 - Release Date: 5/7/2002

2818. 900MHz/800MHz voice transmitters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 20:36:11 UTC
From: CoolDave_0@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8310981d.0205091236.69232f4d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48684

Hi. I enjoy listening to cellphones and cordless phones (I am ONE BAD PERSON) and I am wondering if there is anything someone sells or something I can make that transmits on these frequencies. I am looking for it to be tunable. It would be fun, and we all do illegal stuff on our scanners at some point in our lives ;-)

Dave

2819. Re: Cordless phone eavesdropping story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 20:41:27 UTC
From: Tom Shaw <a0005868@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <1539164AFFA04D24.C39113F182B95291.73BD1A0A6B1A00A5@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 48685
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Years ago before the FCC and Congress got so damn intrusive there was, as
far as I know, a universal assumption that anything which was broadcast
using electromagnetic radiation was fair game for anyone to intercept. Those
who did not want their broadcasting to be understood were free to encrypt
their stuff. But when the radio phone and cell phone industry came along
and provided the large graft that the FCC appointed directors and stooges
love to get (e.g. whenever they make a decision like frequency allocation),
all that went away so we have the restrictions to interception we now enjoy.
The FCC is a corrupt government agency.
TS
"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:221jdugbkvm8h2h17t3ju2u9rb5sido5dc@4ax.com...
> Having lost his job as dog catcher, "Power House"
<Power_House@PrivateBox.com> posted this...
>
> > If you transmit
> >radio waves in the open, they are there to be received by whom ever has
the
> >ability to do so.
>
> So if I WALK down the street, people are free to shoot or stab me,
> because I wasn't wearing armor??
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2820. Re: PRO-2006 Squelch (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 20:46:42 UTC
From: Tom Shaw <a0005868@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <FE269C90F719ECC6.EC9F7E7AF04AD880.D346B28A17159993@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 48686
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I noticed that you have mentioned a discriminator tap. My second hand
scanner has had one added but I dont know what it is for. Would somebody
please explain why you would want to do that...if it isn't too much trouble?
TS
"Bob_Parnass_AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net> wrote in message
news:3cdaa5f3$0$3333$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com...
> Do you have any device connected to the
> discriminator output jack when the
> PRO-2006 is misbehaving?
>
> If so, diconnect the external device
> and try scanning the memory channels again.
>
> On Thu, 09 May 2002 07:11:49 -0500, nospam wrote:
>
> > Here is what I know from reading about mods and looking over my scanner.
> > Yes, there is a discriminator tap with a tiny shielded coax. Center
> > conductor runs from TP2 on circuit board to a 1/8" jack on the back of
> > the unit. Shield runs from a ground plane on the board to the ground on
> > the jack. The discriminator output works flawlessly.
>
>
> --
> ==
> Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
> Linux user

2821. Re: 900MHz/800MHz voice transmitters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 20:54:44 UTC
From: Norm <CatchMe@Cheers.com>
Message-ID: <omBC8.8798$QOT.2843@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 48687
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You're really trying to let this hobby of scanning DIE aren't you.

"Dave L" <CoolDave_0@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:8310981d.0205091236.69232f4d@posting.google.com...
> Hi. I enjoy listening to cellphones and cordless phones (I am ONE BAD
> PERSON) and I am wondering if there is anything someone sells or
> something I can make that transmits on these frequencies. I am looking
> for it to be tunable. It would be fun, and we all do illegal stuff on
> our scanners at some point in our lives ;-)
>
>
> Dave

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.361 / Virus Database: 199 - Release Date: 5/7/2002

2822. Who Cares if Scanning Phones in U.S. Is Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 20:57:09 UTC
From: Norm <CatchMe@Cheers.com>
Message-ID: <FoBC8.8810$QOT.561@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 48688
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

We know this already, now let's get past all the stupid threads on this, and just answer the damn questions that are being asked.

We know, and we don't care, that cellular and other stuff is illegal in the U.S.

This is an int'l discussion group on scanners. Not just for the U.S.

Now let's get back to scanning.

Better, yet, give it a break and enjoy the warm weather.

Get the hell out of the house. Away from the radio.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.361 / Virus Database: 199 - Release Date: 5/7/2002

2823. Re: help with Sony ICF2001

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 21:00:47 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comRX320
Message-ID: <20020509170047.10555.00007138@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 48689

>Any help in the matter is much
appreciated.<

If you don't come up with any thing in the next 30-45 days drop me an e-mail. I have the manual (if it is a 2001 and not a 2001D) but have just finished moving and still have things packed in umpteen thousand boxes.
I haven't used this radio much in the last 3 - 4 years so doubt that I would be much help off the top of my head. It is one that you will need the book for.

Rob Mills ~~~

2824. Re: Cordless phone eavesdropping story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 21:49:48 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <1mrlduct71p2rgsnae59oeb4kdpuqsc1jd@4ax.com>
Source record: 48690
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Having lost his job as dog catcher, "Tom Shaw" <a0005868@airmail.net> posted this...

>Years ago before the FCC and Congress got so damn intrusive there was, as
>far as I know, a universal assumption that anything which was broadcast
>using electromagnetic radiation was fair game for anyone to intercept.

That was LONG before phones became radio devices.

Laws change, live with it.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2825. Re: Monitoring Times Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 22:07:53 UTC
From: Frank Furter <ffurter@ffurter.com>
Message-ID: <3CDAF356.B89350CE@ffurter.com>
Source record: 48691
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

Norm wrote:

> Anyone have any idea as to why it hasn't been updated in months.
>
> It's too bad, it's a good site.

A site that has not been updated in months is good?

Why not send a message to them via whatever email address they list on
the site and tell them?
It's too bad, your such a smart person.

2826. Re: Bill Cheeks still around??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 22:10:25 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <8sslduk3umg8u8c6cp3vegct7fv33raqdu@4ax.com>
Source record: 48692

Having lost his job as dog catcher, Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com> posted this...

>Seems CNN got it wrong Richard. Go to

No, the First Amendment issue is against the law itself.
If this case is upheld, Media will get a free pass, but the people who listen
will still be liable under the ECPA.

>http://www.freedomforum.org/templates/document.asp?documentID=13976

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2827. Re: Monitoring Times Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 22:26:06 UTC
From: Norm <CatchMe@Cheers.com>
Message-ID: <2ICC8.28454$GLp1.17972@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 48693
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

I did that already. There was no reply.

:(

"Frank Furter" <ffurter@ffurter.com> wrote in message
news:3CDAF356.B89350CE@ffurter.com...
> Norm wrote:
>
> > Anyone have any idea as to why it hasn't been updated in months.
> >
> > It's too bad, it's a good site.
>
> A site that has not been updated in months is good?
>
> Why not send a message to them via whatever email address they list on
> the site and tell them?
> It's too bad, your such a smart person.
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.361 / Virus Database: 199 - Release Date: 5/7/2002

2828. Re: Who Cares if Scanning Phones in U.S. Is Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 22:32:57 UTC
From: george <frnk2431@removehotmail.com>
Message-ID: <TQCC8.1711$A07.250817@news02.tsnz.net>
Source record: 48694
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Exactly. Not everyone lives in the US.

I can listen to Cell & cordless phones without breaking the law, there is no law here.

"Norm" <CatchMe@Cheers.com> wrote in message
news:FoBC8.8810$QOT.561@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> We know this already, now let's get past all the stupid threads on this, and
> just answer the damn questions that are being asked.
>
> We know, and we don't care, that cellular and other stuff is illegal in the
> U.S.
>
> This is an int'l discussion group on scanners. Not just for the U.S.
>
> Now let's get back to scanning.
>
> Better, yet, give it a break and enjoy the warm weather.
>
> Get the hell out of the house. Away from the radio.
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.361 / Virus Database: 199 - Release Date: 5/7/2002
>
>

2829. Re: Bill Cheeks still around??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 22:37:21 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <QTCC8.235$xu.62594@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 48695

In article <8sslduk3umg8u8c6cp3vegct7fv33raqdu@4ax.com>,
thekat@san.rxyzr.com says...
> Having lost his job as dog catcher, Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com> posted this...
>
> >Seems CNN got it wrong Richard. Go to
>
> No, the First Amendment issue is against the law itself.
> If this case is upheld, Media will get a free pass, but the people who listen
> will still be liable under the ECPA.
>
> >http://www.freedomforum.org/templates/document.asp?documentID=13976

Bud,

If you follow the link you will see this case was decided over a year
ago. Media won, ECPA not even mentioned from what I have read except
for CNN's report. Like I said, it was a First Amendment battle not an
ECPA thing.

Guess people who listen to cellular phones shouldn't record and pass
conversations on to others. Quite profound when you think about, not.

Glenn

2830. Re: PRO-2006 Squelch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 22:46:16 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <O_CC8.8495$17.3293924@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 48696
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Bob_Parnass_AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net> wrote in message
news:3cd9e3c6$0$1419$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com...
> The PRO-2006 contains a "window detector" circuit,
> which Radio Shack calls Zeromatic.
>
> It prevents the scanner from stopping on off frequency
> signals while searching. I don't think it is active
> while scanning memory channels. That may explain the
> why your scanner stops during memory scan but not
> during a limit search tuning the same frequency.

Zeromatic is not active in scan mode but a modification was published in
World Scanner Report to allow it to be switched on in scan mode. My PRO-2004
has this modification and it is helpful on certain frequencies.

2831. Re: Cordless phone eavesdropping story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 22:53:46 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <d7DC8.241$xu.66373@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 48547
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article
<1539164AFFA04D24.C39113F182B95291.73BD1A0A6B1A00A5@lp.airnews.net>,
a0005868@airmail.net says...
> Years ago before the FCC and Congress got so damn intrusive there was, as
> far as I know, a universal assumption that anything which was broadcast
> using electromagnetic radiation was fair game for anyone to intercept.
> Those
> who did not want their broadcasting to be understood were free to encrypt
> their stuff. But when the radio phone and cell phone industry came along
> and provided the large graft that the FCC appointed directors and stooges
> love to get (e.g. whenever they make a decision like frequency allocation),
> all that went away so we have the restrictions to interception we now enjoy.
> The FCC is a corrupt government agency.
>

Correct me if I am wrong Tom but I always thought that Congress made
the laws and the FCC merely enforced them. Are you saying this has
changed? Just think where we would be if the cell phone industry
"provided the large graft" to members of Congress? Whoa doggies! We
dodged a bullet there!

The radiophone and cell phone industry are mixing apples with oranges
and are separated by centuries. In 1934, the Radio Communications Act
said you were not to divulge any communications you listened to. If we
the listening public had followed that 1934 law ECPA and its
restrictions would have never appeared.

Glenn

2832. Attn: Scanner Magazine Collectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 23:04:11 UTC
From: Randy <Don'tSpamMe@MyEmailAddress.com>
Message-ID: <LfDC8.9002$QOT.7050@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 48548
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

I have a pile of Popular Comm. a & Monitoring times Magazines kicking
around. Some in well, used condition, most are in excellent condition.

I am wondering is there a market for these out there, cause if so, I'll
stick them up in an auction. If not. I'll trash them.

What type of Price is good for a whole Lot of these mags.

about 53 mags in total. I think one is dating back as far as 98??

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.361 / Virus Database: 199 - Release Date: 5/7/2002

2833. Re: 900 MHz mobile phone frequencies? HELP?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 23:17:40 UTC
From: bill <scalp2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <osDC8.243780$nc.35921747@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 48550

i'm tired of seeing your reply each time someone mentions cordless phones.
we know that.
"tw��,.." <.tw,@nothomeexcite5,yahoomsnATTBI.org.com> wrote in message
news:gRnC8.4148$RR3.3045@sccrnsc02...
> X-No-Archive: yes
>
> "Jason" <jda1973@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1e03df94.0205082054.59a6b0af@posting.google.com...
> | I have a list of the frequencies used for cordless phones on 900MHz.
>
>
> Just a note, it is illegal in the US to monitor cellular and cordless
phone transmissions on a
> receiver.
>
>
>

2834. Re: Bill Cheeks still around??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 23:21:56 UTC
From: Monty Python <radioman@thebigdial.com>
Message-ID: <961mdu4e7er866m5gpbgaj956p3r3i8cjs@4ax.com>
Source record: 48551

"KSØF" THE BIGGEST ASSHOLE IN THE WORLD wrote:

>No, Bill got in to some legal-criminal trouble, then died of
>cancer about 2 years ago.

Mackey, it's still eating you up after all these years. you are
such a pitiful piece of shit. fuck off and die toilet boy.

monty

2835. Re: voice scanning boring, next step?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 23:26:24 UTC
From: David <pdr14@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <AADC8.133484$N8.10736123@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 48549

If you're interested in capturing data that the Police send to the displays on the patrol cars you need to find out what protocol there using. if it's something common like PACTOR or G-TOR you can get a Kantronics Data controller like us ham radio operators use and you'll see everything?

David

2836. Re: Bill Cheeks still around??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 23:54:00 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <vr2mducpshfstpv2l9tdhpt3l2e0cjef6o@4ax.com>
Source record: 48552

Having lost his job as dog catcher, SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> posted this...

>Whaddaya mean IF!? There isn't a higher court to overturn it.

It hasn't been decided by the Supreme Court yet, according to what I read.

;WASHINGTON (CNN) -- In a case involving the privacy of cellular-telephone conversations,
;the U.S. Supreme Court will decide if federal and state laws preventing people from using
;information obtained through illegal wiretaps violate the First Amendment.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2837. Re: Bill Cheeks still around??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 23:57:00 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <i33mdugavi6g7et5uk0r5ovgd7bb2p2tk3@4ax.com>
Source record: 48553

Having lost his job as dog catcher, Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com> posted this...

>If you follow the link you will see this case was decided over a year
>ago.

That's what I get for not looking at the date.

Well, it's still a stupid decision,
but DON'T get confused over the 'First Amendment' part

The part of that they used was the 'freedom of the Press',
NOT 'freedom of speech'.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2838. Re: Is it possible to trunktrack with two non-trunking radios? (in)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-09 23:59:09 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <v73mdu0r56fp5uaaqd42tmnru08dl693mi@4ax.com>
Source record: 48554

Having lost his job as dog catcher, james <> posted this...

>Is it possible to do with handhelds, and is there anything speical
>required to achieve this (like another interface or something)? I
>haven't been able to find out, and wondered if there were any boards
>or sites (or threads) about getting it set up.

Yes, there are programs that will use the control channel on one radio
to 'trunk-track' on a 2nd radio.

But the cost and complexity make it not really worth considering,
when you can get a TrunkTracker for under $200.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2839. Re: Bill Cheeks still around??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 00:43:56 UTC
From: Monty Python <radioman@thebigdial.com>
Message-ID: <8r5mdusd1es02s562800oqfcuk69r9j2jn@4ax.com>
Source record: 48556

SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote:

>You need to work on your people skills.

StogieButt,

you must be new to the newsgroups .... mackey is
not "people" he is a simple minded little piece of shit.

please go back in the archives and read all of mackies
postings in this group and others. you will see why i
always respond to mackey this way. he's needs a good
slap across his pointy, gap-toothed head.

monty

2840. Re: Bill Cheeks still around??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 00:54:08 UTC
From: SSTVSWL <SSTVSWL@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <QSEC8.7340$Po6.703@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 48555

http://www.parg.org/Archives/03/clown4.gif

"Monty Python" <radioman@thebigdial.com> wrote in message
news:961mdu4e7er866m5gpbgaj956p3r3i8cjs@4ax.com...

"KS&#65533;F" THE BIGGEST ASSHOLE IN THE WORLD wrote:

2841. Re: Bill Cheeks still around??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 01:02:57 UTC
From: KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <5%EC8.12288$WR1.5374@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 48557

Monty - Nothing in your statement is true. I'm living the good life,
what's wrong with YOU?

Monty Python <radioman@thebigdial.com> wrote
Mackey, it's still eating you up after all these years. you are
SNIP

2842. Re: Bill Cheeks still around??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 01:03:36 UTC
From: KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <I%EC8.12297$WR1.5374@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 48558

SB - Well said, very well said.

SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote
> "Monty Python" wrote:
SNIP
>
> You need to work on your people skills.

2843. Re: Bill Cheeks still around??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 01:04:58 UTC
From: KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <_0FC8.12306$WR1.3557@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 48559

Monty - What's the matter, you don't like
people holding your accountable for your troublemaking
actions?

Monty Python <radioman@thebigdial.com> wrote :
StogieButt,
you must be new to the newsgroups .... mackey is
SNIP

2844. FS IC-R7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 01:09:36 UTC
From: kbucher@mountain.net
Message-ID: <3cdb1dd0_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 48560

For sale: Icom IC-R7000 Professional grade
full coverage communications reciever which is in very good condition.
Speech synthesizer Option.
Remote Control option.
12 Volt DC kit accessory with cord.
Scan speed increase mod.
Manual included.

$825.00 which includes prepaid UPS shipping to the United States.

Keith

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2845. Re: Bill Cheeks still around??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 01:27:03 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <ee8mdu86c8853kma6hiebqt4s823lg9114@4ax.com>
Source record: 48561

SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wasn't thinking when he posted this...

>You might wanna change your obnoxious quoting preface from...
>
>"Having lost his job as dog catcher, [email reference inserted here]
>posted this..."
>
>...to something like:
>
>"Quoting [insert reference here], but not thinking..."

OK, how is it now??

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2846. Re: Monitoring Times Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 01:41:48 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3CDB255C.CE3C3262@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 48563
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

Maybe I'm missing something but it has the May and June issue index, the airshow page has the fact that the Ottawa, Canada show is in doubt due to the cost of insurance (this was only announced a couple of weeks ago).

Just what is it that is out-of-date?

Dave

Norm wrote:

>
> Anyone have any idea as to why it hasn't been updated in months.
>
> It's too bad, it's a good site.
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.360 / Virus Database: 199 - Release Date: 5/7/2002

2847. Re: Scanner Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 01:42:33 UTC
From: ff-micp <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <cAFC8.7014$UV4.184@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 48562
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Very true and good point about that trunking system. I do think for US use,
it is great. Can't wait to see if the 785 lives up to its hype.

James

"proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:hKrC8.1024$1w6.28132@news8-gui.server.ntli.net...
> exactly in the US
>
> In 75% of the world a standard of analogue trunking known as MPT1327 is in
> use,and that goes for the UK apart from 3 users.
>
> so many people have seen the owrds trunkracker,brought the 780 and then
> asked how does it work on there local freqs,when i tell them it wont it
> causes a lot of problems.
>
>
> regards
>
> mind you the 780 is fantastic on everything else anyway,but,all the person
> says is i brought it for truntracking and it will not do the job!
>
>
>
> --
>
>
>
> Paul Wey[PROMA1]
>
> moderator of following scanning groups
>
>
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk
>
>
>

> "ff-micp" <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in message
> news:sskC8.1354$L76.276@rwcrnsc53...
> > I'd say the BC780 would work in just about any system other than
digital,
> > and certainly would work on conventional anywhere (provided they are in
> the
> > US). There isn't anything else out there that compares for the price,
and
> > realistic doesn't have anything comparable either (to those that don't
> like
> > the B word).
> >
> > > also those replying,try not to just reply with what you know does the
> job
> > in
> > > your country or county,many times this has resulted in people buying
the
> > > wrong scanner for the job,then they come and moan at those of us who
> sell
> > > the scanners.
> > >
> > >
> > > regards
> > >
> > > --
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Paul Wey[PROMA1]
> > >
> > > moderator of following scanning groups
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk
> > >
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio
> > >
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech
> > >
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > "ff-micp" <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in message
> > > news:yc1C8.49686$xv1.194@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> > > > Look at the BC780; it does this and I think it is the best
all-around
> > > > scanner out there, at least for the moment.
> > > >

> > > > "Corey Fisher" <coreyf@ntelos.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:CaWB8.11679$m26.929@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
> > > > > Does anyone make a scanner that will scan trunked channels and
> regular
> > > > > channels at the same time without having to swap back and forth
> > between
> > > > > the
> > > > > two? If so who what and where. Also I would like to know what the
> best
> > > > > scanner on the market is. BC895XLT is what I am looking at
> purchasing.
> > > > >
> > > > > Thanks,
> > > > >
> > > > > Corey
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>

2848. Re: Monitoring Times Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 02:03:58 UTC
From: Norm <CatchMe@Cheers.com>
Message-ID: <iUFC8.28944$GLp1.19211@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 48564
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

It must have just been updated. Because I've been checking it regularly,
and it hadn't been updated. I now look and see that it is.

Case closed.

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:8p9mducusj2eklsog4pta7bngmc9bb371o@4ax.com...
> "Dave Holford" wrote:
>
> >Just what is it that is out-of-date?
>
> Maybe - JUST maybe - that the current issue link takes you to LAST
> NOVEMBER??

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.361 / Virus Database: 199 - Release Date: 5/7/2002

2849. Re: Monitoring Times Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 02:09:55 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3CDB2BF3.B3FBC1B5@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 48565
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

I don't know what site you are looking at but the Monitoring Times
website current issue is May 2002. I just checked it.

Are you looking at http://www.monitoringtimes.com/ or some other place?

Dave

SB wrote:
>
> "Dave Holford" wrote:
>
> >Just what is it that is out-of-date?
>
> Maybe - JUST maybe - that the current issue link takes you to LAST
> NOVEMBER??

2850. Re: Monitoring Times Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 02:16:03 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@morisseau.org>
Message-ID: <3CDB2D64.A5AB42E5@morisseau.org>
Source record: 48566
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

Frank Furter wrote:

> It's too bad, your such a smart person.

And what about you? Learn to spell.

--
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'53", W 90ï¿½22'32", 680'
On the sunrise side of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
"When the going gets rough, the tough go cyclic!"

2851. Re: Bill Cheeks still around??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 02:17:05 UTC
From: Monty Python <radioman@thebigdial.com>
Message-ID: <nlamdu4ne6hg853lsoa9mio1ck5eqhfuac@4ax.com>
Source record: 48569

SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote:

>Hardly. I figure it's been about 10 years now.
>
doubt it .... but i could give a fuck

>Not obsessed as you are so I don't need to.

not obsessed ... i just like to respond to his posts
rather quickly to detour his 4 month rants .... but then
again you should know you've been lurking for 10 years.

i just read all your replies in this newsgroup today ....
you're just a smart ass, sarcastic, know-it-all, arrogant troll.

like mackey .... fuck-off and die

monty

2852. Re: PRO-2006 Squelch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 02:18:01 UTC
From: Mike <room4me@rocketmail.com>
Message-ID: <s5GC8.6087$1B.122@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 48567
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Do you have a frequency in memory location 1 which is transmitting
continuously and have the priority selected? It won't scan properly with
that type of set up.

Mike
<nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message news:3CDAC213.144F4DC@nospam.com...
> No, nothing connected to discriminator output when trying to scan memory
> channels. Actually, I tried it both ways before asking for help. Makes no
> difference either way.
>
>
>
>
> Bob_Parnass_AJ9S wrote:
> >
> > Do you have any device connected to the
> > discriminator output jack when the
> > PRO-2006 is misbehaving?
> >
> > If so, diconnect the external device
> > and try scanning the memory channels again.
> >
> > On Thu, 09 May 2002 07:11:49 -0500, nospam wrote:
> >
> > > Here is what I know from reading about mods and looking over my
scanner.
> > > Yes, there is a discriminator tap with a tiny shielded coax. Center
> > > conductor runs from TP2 on circuit board to a 1/8" jack on the back of
> > > the unit. Shield runs from a ground plane on the board to the ground
on
> > > the jack. The discriminator output works flawlessly.
> >
> > --
> >
==
> > Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net
http://members.core.com/~parnass
> > Linux user
>

2853. Re: Bill Cheeks still around??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 02:30:30 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@morisseau.org>
Message-ID: <3CDB30BF.22DE669A@morisseau.org>
Source record: 48568

Monty Python wrote:

> ... you will see why i
> always respond to mackey this way. he's needs a good
> slap across his pointy, gap-toothed head.

"Gap-toothed"! I like that. It sure does describe the Alfred E Neuman grin, doesn't it? His high domed forehead only accentuates the vaguely addled look.

--
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'53", W 90ï¿½22'32", 680'
On the sunrise side of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
"When the going gets rough, the tough go cyclic!"

2854. Re: 971-985 mhz What am I hearing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 03:03:45 UTC
From: "A. Kay" <aj.kayNOSPAM@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <IMGC8.10918$eb.7217@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 48570

It is actually the Alinco DJ-V5 dual band ham transceiver with wide band receive capability.

"Brad Steinman" <steinman@speakeasy.net> wrote in message news:udl79pfabhcr82@corp.supernews.com...
> Which scanner are you using? Not all scanners use a 10.7Mhz IF.
>
> --
> Brad Steinman N8ZRP
> steinman@speakeasy.net
>
> "A. Kay" <aj.kayNOSPAM@verizon.net> wrote in message
> news:9o2C8.1664$Sh.199@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> > Could you give me an example, for instance, I hear conversation at 971
> mhz.
> > How do you get from a frequency in the 800 mhz range to 971? Image
Freq=
> > 971= Freq+(2*10.7), gives Freq = around 950 mhz (cell in 800 mhz
range?).
> > Does this phenomenom occur with 900 mhz cordless, too? Could this
indeed
> be
> > an "image" of a cordless phone?
> >
> >
> > "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:ab8uo6$ga5vv$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> > >
> > > "A. Kay" <aj.kayNOSPAM@verizon.net> wrote in message
> > > news:SEKB8.5463$Ez1.2922@nwrddc03.gnilink.net...
> > > > I don't understand, isn't cell in the 800 mhz range?
> > > >
> > >
> > > You're most likely hearing images of the signals in the 800 MHZ range.
> > > Images can usually be found with the following formula for
> > double-conversion
> > > scanners: Image freq=Freq+(2*IF). Freq is the freq of the signal you
> > want
> > > to listen to. IF is the IF frequency, normally 10.7 MHZ. Of course
the
> > > ability to hear images also depends on the filtering & circuitry of
each
> > > different model of scanner.
> > >

> > > Tom
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2855. Re: voice scanning boring, next step?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 03:10:05 UTC
From: Red TR1 <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <nSGC8.72876$vm6.13281256@ruti.visi.com>
Source record: 48571

Try Acars, a good spot to check out the software is

www.airnavsystems.com

I use the AirNav Acars decoder and AirNav 4 to get more detail and the maps.
I do not do the internet thing with AirNav but do local Acars only. I also
do SelCal with my HF radio.
Unless you are close to a major airport most the the signals you will get
are from planes in the air. I use a discone antenna with an Icom 7100
radio. The most helpful piece so far has been an AOR filter that filters
out all but the AM air range.

I even caught the Vice President's plane tonight, he was in town for a
Fundraiser.

Greg
<tucansam@whodis.org> wrote in message
news:aba8rpgmf1@reader1.panix.com...
> Hey gang,
>
> I bought a couple of scanners mainly for picking up ATC traffic to help me
prepare for my
> private pilot license. Since then I've been bitten by the bug and bought
a few more
> scanners and generally played around. After a couple of months of
listening to local
> goings-on, I am bored. What is the next logical step?
>
> I am interested in looking at receiving (and decoding) data transmissions.
Weather
> satellite stuff, any data relating to law enforcement, military, or
aircraft
> operations.... heck, I'd even be happy looking at the rain meter
statistics off the funky
> meter I pass every day on my way to work, if it took some building and
tweaking to decode
> the signal.
>
> I've surfed around a bit and came up with more data types than I ever
imagined existed.
> Of course some day I'd like to be the guy with a dozen scanners and a
dozen TV's or dumb
> terminals connected to them picking up stuff from all over the world, but
at the moment I
> haven't much room or time and I'd like to start small.

>
> Although I do still enjoy listenting to my scanners, what is the next
logical step in the
> maturation process here?
>
> Thanks!
>
> --
> 569@72649.266

2856. Re: Mobile antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 03:42:34 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <KkHC8.46143$9c5.2039597@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 48572

Another good antenna, and it's REALLY low profile, is the Valor Pro-Am MM144 - it has a "hershey kiss" size rare-earth magnet, which has held for me at 80+ for miles & miles on the freeway. It comes with a black-finish 18" element, and 6' of thin RG-174U cable; that cable is pretty "lossy," so you wouldn't want to run it very far anyway... but it's great if you want to snake it into the car through any old opening. It's designe for TX/RX on the 2-meter band (144-148 MHz), and works great on VHF high, very well on UHF, and pretty well on 800 Mhz. It's only so-so on VHF-low, but it beats an in-the-car antenna by a long shot.

Ham Radio Outlet - www.hamradio.com - has carried it, and they carry it's cousin the "Iron Horse" Model # IHMM270. It's described in their catalog as "144-148 and 440-450 MHz. Magnetic Mount 1-1/8" in diameter. 9' of rg-174 cable with BNC. Height less than 20 inches. 1/4 wave on 2m and 5/8 wave at 70 cm. Black-chrome finished brass ferrule. Max power 25 w 70 cm, 50 w 2m.$37.95... but when I bought it at their Sunnyvale store about two months ago it was around $20... don't know if that was a mistake or a sale price, but I grabbed two of them while the grabbing was good.

A good general-purpose multi-band scanning antenna that I've used for years, especially when I'm traveling and want better reception of the CHP on 42 & 39 Mhz, is Radio Shack's venerable 20-032, at $29.95. It's not "low profile," but it's a decent antenna. I've used mine for transmitting on both 144 & 440 Mhz ham bands occasionally, and it seemed to work fine, though it's not designed for transmitting.

My 2

--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications History

"DXlover574738846" <dxlover574738846@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020509003021.21652.00006403@mb-fa.aol.com...
> Anyone have any experience with the Nil-Jon Super M or the Comtelco dual
> band low profile models?>>>
>
.....
..
> .....
> The Nil-Jon is hardly *low profile.* You could go with the magnet mount

> antennae at Radio Shack or their *thru the glass* mount cell
look-a-like
> antennae.
>
> Both are pretty good performers.
>
> Back to the Nil-Jon. That is a pretty nice antennae, if you can get
by the
> looks part. But in my "fun car"....the more wackier and outlandish
the better.
> ;-)

2857. Re: Who Cares if Scanning Phones in U.S. Is Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 04:03:01 UTC
From: "A. Kay" <aj.kayNOSPAM@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <VDHC8.11042$eb.5166@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 48573
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I've actually heard of freak cases, where for some reason cordless was
picked up on television. Should the person not watch their television? I
personally had freak case once with my cell phone where I was attempting to
make a call, but some how when I hit "send" I was listening to both sides of
another cell phone conversation on my phone! Was it illegal for me to hear
that? If I have a scanner, radio or what ever electronic device that can
pick up audio on perfectly legitimate and legal frequencies and through some
freak of electronic nature gets cell or cordless on it, is that a violation?
If you are sitting in a restaurant and the person in the booth next to you
is talking on a cell phone, aren't you illegally eavesdropping?
I imagine that we can all think of situations where there is a direct
parallel to electonic eavesdropping.

"Norm" <CatchMe@Cheers.com> wrote in message
news:FoBC8.8810$QOT.561@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> We know this already, now let's get past all the stupid threads on this,
and
> just answer the damn questions that are being asked.
>
> We know, and we don't care, that cellular and other stuff is illegal in
the
> U.S.
>
> This is an int'l discussion group on scanners. Not just for the U.S.
>
> Now let's get back to scanning.
>
> Better, yet, give it a break and enjoy the warm weather.
>
> Get the hell out of the house. Away from the radio.
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.361 / Virus Database: 199 - Release Date: 5/7/2002
>
>

2858. FA: Back Issues of Monitoring Times & Popular Communictions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 04:26:14 UTC
From: Ontario Scanner <ScanningInOntario@TheScannerShack.com>
Message-ID: <GZHC8.29656$GLp1.9529@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 48574
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/ca/auction/65850518

Popular Communications

Issue Year Cover Title
Sept. 1995 New Cordless Phones Offers More Freq's
Oct. 1996 The ACARS Downlink
Nov. 1996 Scanner Buffs- Stand Up For Listening Rights
Dec. 1996 Find Out What's New In Broadcast DX'ing
Feb. 1997 Action-Packed Scanning on 800 MHz: Scanning Trunked Systems
Mar. 1997 Scanning the Skies - Are We Alone?
Apr. 1997 Satellite Digital Audio - A Step Closer To Reality
Feb. 1998 The X Files - Broadcast DXing Explorers The X Band
Mar. 1998 Successful Searching: Finding Elusive Scanner Frequencies
Apr. 1998 Super-Charge Your BCB Radio: Build A Loop Antenna
May 1998 Cruising on CB Channel 9 - More Than Emergencies
June. 1998 Space Shuttle Comms You Can Hear
July 1998 Here Comes Low Power FM Broadcasting!
Aug. 1998 Cool QSLing Tips Guaranteed To Fill your Mailbox!
Sept. 1998 Military Scanning - Frequencies, Tips, Photos, And More!
Oct. 1998 Global Military Radio Comms You Can Hear!
Nov. 1998 Meteor Shower Monitoring: Where, When, And How To Hear Super DX!
Jan. 1999 Women Behind The Microphone: Saluting Raido's Pioneers
Feb. 1999 Snagging Satellite Signals On Your Scanner
March 1999 Scanning Auckland, New Zealand "The City of Sails"
Apr. 1999 AT&T High Seas SSB Stations Going Off The Air
June 1999 Life And Death Comms: Expert Tips That Give YOU The Edge!
Sept. 1999 Solar Power For The Millenium
Dec. 1999 Beyond 2000: A Look At Scanning In The Next Millenium
Jan. 2000 World In Crisis: Dropping In On The Planet's Trouble Spots With
Your Shortwave Radio!
May 2000 Airborne Action: The Sky's The Limit On What You Can Hear!
Mar. 2001 Listening To Vietnam
May 2001 Bell Is Back: Nightly Search For The Truth Contiues

Monitoring Times

Issue Year Cover Title

Feb. 1995 Scanning The Traffic Reporters
Jan. 1996 The Subtle Art of Elmering
Apr. 1997 Take Your Scanner To The Racetrack!
June. 1997 Lightning! The Global Radio Network
Nov. 1997 Deutsche Welle's Digital Debut

May 1998 Inside the House of the Blues
June 1998 Cruisin' with the Radio
July 1998 Tune In "Emergency Communications"
Aug. 1998 Summertime - and the Listening is Easy
Sept. 1998 Back To The Dials - It's Shortwave Season
Oct. 1998 Unlocking The Mystery of Shortwave Radio
Jan. 1999 It's Only A Matter of Time!
Feb. 1999 Reach For The Sky! Solar cycles and the behaviour of radio waves
Mar. 1999 The Monitor's Guide To Aerial Refueling
May 1999 Spotlight on the FCC
Oct. 1999 Seasonal Skip - Tune in the forgotten low band
Nov. 1999 Homing in on Beacons
Sept. 2000 Voices from The Far East
Feb. 2001 Eyes and Ears on the Weather
Apr. 2001 My Favourite Scanning: MILCOM
Sept. 2001 Listening in on the Middle East
Oct. 2001 QSLing the World
Nov. 2001 9-11 Disaster Communication *WTC:Infamy Revisited* *Washington Uner Attack*
Dec. 2001 2002 Olympics High-Flying Frequencies
Feb. 2002 Who's WHO In Medium Wave

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.361 / Virus Database: 199 - Release Date: 5/8/2002

2859. Re: Bill Cheeks still around??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 04:29:27 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <f4jmdu8ggt9g3dvfcbm1g2p7sfugmroni9@4ax.com>
Source record: 48575

While savoring a President Clinton 'special' cigar, SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> scribbled...

>"Never anonymous Bud" wrote:
>
>>OK, how is it now??
>
>Close, but no cigar.

Damn, you just CAN'T please some people....

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2860. Finallt got a SAME weather radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 04:35:10 UTC
From: pobox---@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3CDB4DFE.FCEFA240@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 48576
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.emerg-services,rec.radio.scanner

john63401@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> This may not be the best place to ask this question....
> but I didn't know where else to try.
>
> I am in market for a SAME weather radio.
>
> I have looked at several models intended for use next
> to a bed nightstand.... but have been wondering if the
> portable FRS radio units can also have SAME weather
> "features" in the?
>
> IOW....are their any FRS units that will sound an
> "alarm" if a weather alert occurs? An alarm that will
> wake you up fro a dead sleep?

I finally bought my new weather alert (SAME) radio. I found the Midland (SAME) radios on clearance at Sam's (Laurel, Md.) for $39.91. (model 74-210). The radio accepts 20 SAME CODES and has an FM radio and clock. Also, high/low siren setting.

After getting it home I checked out a website to obtain the SAME codes for my area and noticed another site that mentioned "possible problems" with this model. The site is:

http://205.156.54.206/nwr/mid74-210.htm

It stated:

"If you own a Midland Model 74-210 weather receiver and have a technical question regarding this situation, you may call Jerry Finke at NWS Central Region Headquarters in Kansas City at (816) 426-3226 extension 441."

After trying to call Mr. Finke for two days (the line went dead and all I could hear was my own voice echo), I went ahead and called Midland at 816-241-8500 x220 and spoke with Dwayne, who explained to me the NWS has screwed around with the SAME format software, therefore some radios were not decoding properly. The NWS then had to go back and re program all software to correct the situation.

At the same time, my friend bought a radio Shack (12-255) and we were both waiting for an alert to make sure our radio were working OK. Then Sunday night my friend called me and

said the local NOAA-NWS station was "off the air." I got home several hours later and called them in Sterling, Va. (KHB-36) and when I asked the guy on duty when they would be broadcasting again he said, "What -- is it off?"

He then turned on an auxiliary transmitter and all was well.

Until today, I accidentally programmed a station from Sudlersville, Maryland (75 miles away that doesn't support the DC area SAME codes) so my radio was silent today, despite several warnings.

Now it's programmed to KHB-36 and hopefully it will work OK.

It's been a long week! If anyone lives near Laurel, Md. the Midland 74-210 is available for $39.91 at Sam's Club.

Also, I was told legislation is pending (just needs approval) to authorize the Homeland Security Agency to use the NWS frequencies for future use, including terrorist alerts. Did anyone know this?

(KM)

2861. Re: 971-985 mhz What am I hearing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 05:08:27 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1103-3CDB55CB-37@storefull-2335.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 48577

Actually, sometimes I do get a little 'swell' listening to cell phone callers who are into them '900' girlie lines, Hearing that sexy girlie voice telling him AND me (heh heh) that she's gonna #*#% my @$&* 'til the #@@# on my #%@% and does a $%%# spins around and #$@% her girfriend @#%$ licks her @$$#*^& while she #$%$$$@!

2862. Need Contact For Nat'l. Jeep Search and Rescue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 05:36:22 UTC
From: Douglas Gavilanes <gavilan1@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3CDB5D44.A0EBE6D9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48578
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If anyone has a contact name and phone number, e-mail, or website,
please advise.

Doug, N6XQY

2863. AoR 1500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 09:18:28 UTC
From: John de Giorgio <degiorgio@ilo.org>
Message-ID: <abg38v$4sc$1@rex.ip-plus.net>
Source record: 48579

I have the possibility of picking up an old AoR 1500 for about $150. It is
in
great shape and works well.
Is this model worth going for despite its age?

John.

2864. Alinco DJ-X3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 09:18:59 UTC
From: John de Giorgio <degiorgio@ilo.org>
Message-ID: <abg39u$4sv$1@rex.ip-plus.net>
Source record: 48580

Anyone using an Alinco DJ-X3?
It looks a bit toy-like, but the spec is impressive for the size.

John.

2865. LOWE HF150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 11:47:39 UTC
From: Damiano <dbargel@terra.es>
Message-ID: <vrOC8.115337$O6.243871@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 48581

Do you have an schematics interface for HF150? Thank's
Damiano

2866. Re: Monitoring Times Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 12:43:54 UTC
From: Pete Ritter <cpritter@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <egPC8.16692$WR1.6525@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 48583
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

SB wrote:

> "Norm" wrote:
>
>>Anyone have any idea as to why it hasn't been updated in months.
>
> Looks as if it's a dead publication. If you look around, you'll find
> a link to Grove Enterprises or whatever it is. THAT site is current,
> but only has a link back to Monitoring Times and no explanation.

The magazine is published by Grove. Why to you think every glowing review of a product or product announcement is accompanied by a Grove ad for the same product?

--
*
* This Space For Hire
*

2867. Re: 971-985 mhz What am I hearing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 12:52:05 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <abgft3$i4nsb$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48584

"Vic Martinez" <t1000@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:1103-3CDB55CB-37@storefull-2335.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Actually, sometimes I do get a little 'swell' listening to cell phone
> callers who are into them '900' girlie lines, Hearing that sexy girlie
> voice telling him AND me (heh heh) that she's gonna #*#% my @$&* 'til
> the #@@# on my #%@% and does a $%%# spins around and #$@% her girfriend
> @#%$ licks her @$$#*^& while she #$%$$$@!
>

Maybe you need to mention this in that "voice scanning is boring" thread...

Tom

2868. Re: Alinco DJ-X3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 13:04:45 UTC
From: Pete Ritter <cpritter@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <NzPC8.16802$WR1.5570@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 48585

John de Giorgio wrote:

> Anyone using an Alinco DJ-X3?
> It looks a bit toy-like, but the spec is impressive for the size.
>
> John.

I have one and in general I'm pleased with it. Of course, HF reception is poor with the rubber duck but on VHF and UHF, it's not bad.

It seems either more susceptable to overloading or receives more spurious signals than other scanners I've had. Also, mine has a nasty habit of breaking into oscillation occasionally. This seems to happen most when the batteries are low (sometimes when the squelch opens, a loud buzzing is heard). When I press on the front of the case below the speaker, it goes away. So maybe there's a bad solder joint inside. It does eat batteries. A few hours' listening and it's ready for a fresh set. I use rechargeable NiMH ones.

It's a pain to program manually. Get the free software from Alinco's web site and either buy or build your own cable.

--
*
* This Space For Hire
*

2869. Re: Alinco DJ-X3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 13:27:29 UTC
From: John de Giorgio <degiorgio@ilo.org>
Message-ID: <abghrs$fj6$1@rex.ip-plus.net>
Source record: 48586

Thanks for the info Pete.

I was attracted because of its small size.
Thanks for the programming tip.

John.

"Pete Ritter" <cpritter@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:NzPC8.16802$WR1.5570@sccrnsc01...
> John de Giorgio wrote:
>
> > Anyone using an Alinco DJ-X3?
> > It looks a bit toy-like, but the spec is impressive for the size.
> >
> > John.
>
> I have one and in general I'm pleased with it. Of course, HF reception is
> poor with the rubber duck but on VHF and UHF, it's not bad.
>
> It seems either more susceptable to overloading or receives more spurious
> signals than other scanners I've had. Also, mine has a nasty habit of
> breaking into oscillation occasionally. This seems to happen most when
the
> batteries are low (sometimes when the squelch opens, a loud buzzing is
> heard). When I press on the front of the case below the speaker, it goes
> away. So maybe there's a bad solder joint inside. It does eat batteries.
> A few hours' listening and it's ready for a fresh set. I use rechargeable
> NiMH ones.
>
> It's a pain to program manually. Get the free software from Alinco's web
> site and either buy or build your own cable.
>
> --
> *
> * This Space For Hire
> *

2870. Re: Cordless phone eavesdropping story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 13:31:03 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <udnip381oovr26@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48587
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:221jdugbkvm8h2h17t3ju2u9rb5sido5dc@4ax.com...
> Having lost his job as dog catcher, "Power House"
<Power_House@PrivateBox.com> posted this...
>
> > If you transmit
> >radio waves in the open, they are there to be received by whom ever has
the
> >ability to do so.
>
> So if I WALK down the street, people are free to shoot or stab me,
> because I wasn't wearing armor??
>

Your comparison is completely ridiculous! There is no comparison between walking down the street and being stabbed, and receiving radio frequencies out of the air. If you can't come up with a better comparison than that lame attempt, then don't bother posting/replying.

Like I said, the public needs to be educated on the matter... Would you talk about your recent drug deal on a 25-channel cordless phone? Probably not. Why? Because you are EDUCATED about the lack of privacy in analog voice communications. Would your neighbor? There is a good chance he/she would, unless they too are educated about the matter. There are a LOT of "stupid" (uneducated) people who wouldn't think twice about making a drug deal over an analog cordless phone. Why is that? Because they aren't educated about radio communications of course...

If you want privacy then use your head, not a radio transmitter...

PH

2871. Re: Alinco DJ-X3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 13:32:01 UTC
From: John de Giorgio <degiorgio@ilo.org>
Message-ID: <abgi4b$fo0$1@rex.ip-plus.net>
Source record: 48588

Thanks Pete.

2872. Re: Moderator?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 14:26:46 UTC
From: Brett Powell <powell.brett@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <GMQC8.2070$Ru2.146355@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 48589

Meant you john as you well know, bye bye be gud now!
"KS&#65533;F" <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org> wrote in message
news:21kC8.2528$RR3.601@sccrnsc02...
>
> Shhhh - Don't call Bud a "Troll" you'll get him worked up.
>
>
>
> Brett Powell <powell.brett@worldnet.att.net> wrote
> > > Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rr.com> wrote in
> > > > We used to have a self-appointed Moderator,
> > > > but he's been gone for a while (thank the gods).
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2873. Re: Finallt got a SAME weather radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 15:03:41 UTC
From: mahatmakanejeeves@swissinfo.org
Message-ID: <92d33cb.0205100703.2a3e88bd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48590
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.emerg-services,rec.radio.scanner

pobox---@ix.netcom.com wrote in message news:<3CDB4DFE.FCEFA240@ix.netcom.com>...

> ... Then Sunday night my friend called me and
> said the local NOAA-NWS station was "off the air." I got
> home several hours later and called them in Sterling, Va.
> (KHB-36) and when I asked the guy on duty when they would
> be broadcasting again he said, "What -- is it off?"
>
> He then turned on an auxiliary transmitter and all was well. ...

Some problems have lower-tech solutions than others. I hope that
eventually, an NOAA station will be on the air, and that you can
determine whether your radio works. Congratulations.

2874. Re: Cordless phone eavesdropping story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 15:27:48 UTC
From: Tom Shaw <a0005868@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <38BA3EC5FF1F01BC.B9BEEE09079BF88C.611A39B34F878249@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 48591
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Up yours
TS
"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:1mrlduct71p2rgsnae59oeb4kdpuqsc1jd@4ax.com...
> Having lost his job as dog catcher, "Tom Shaw" <a0005868@airmail.net>
posted this...
>
> >Years ago before the FCC and Congress got so damn intrusive there was, as
> >far as I know, a universal assumption that anything which was broadcast
> >using electromagnetic radiation was fair game for anyone to intercept.
>
> That was LONG before phones became radio devices.
>
> Laws change, live with it.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2875. Re: 971-985 mhz What am I hearing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 15:38:36 UTC
From: tucansam@whodis.org
Message-ID: <abgphs$q0e$1@reader1.panix.com>
Source record: 48592

: Maybe you need to mention this in that "voice scanning is boring" thread...

I apparantly gave the wrong impression. I still listen to my scanners all the time. Its just old news now and I was looking for something new to do with the hobby. Data seemed like the net logical step but I didn't know where to start.

2876. Re: Who Cares if Scanning Phones in U.S. Is Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 16:34:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <oBSC8.2$Tt1.2089@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 48593
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Well, for starters, the person asking the question may be new to scanning, and doesn't know it is illegal to monitor cellular and cordless phones in the U.S. Also, if you give advice to someone that instructs them on how to break the law, you could be considered a "conspirator" and arrested, just look at what happened to Bill Cheek. Not saying it will happen, but it could. After all, this is a public forum. A "Screw them, I'll do it if I want!" attitude makes great ammunition for those in authority who would like to see all scanners banned. If somebody wants to know how to break the law in the U.S., feel free to email them directly with the information, no one is stopping you. As for this being an international NG, yes it is, but most (a majority I suspect) of the readers of this group do live in the U.S., so the warnings are a good idea.

Mark

"Norm" <CatchMe@Cheers.com> wrote in message
news:FoBC8.8810$QOT.561@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> We know this already, now let's get past all the stupid threads on this,
and
> just answer the damn questions that are being asked.
>
> We know, and we don't care, that cellular and other stuff is illegal in
the
> U.S.
>
> This is an int'l discussion group on scanners. Not just for the U.S.
>
> Now let's get back to scanning.
>
> Better, yet, give it a break and enjoy the warm weather.
>
> Get the hell out of the house. Away from the radio.
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.361 / Virus Database: 199 - Release Date: 5/7/2002
>
>

2877. Re: Who Cares if Scanning Phones in U.S. Is Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 16:44:53 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <WMSC8.3$Tt1.2931@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 48594
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The law in the U.S. forbids intentional monitoring with electronic equipment. Overhearing a conversation in public, or accidental reception of signals on equipment not designed for that purpose wouldn't count, I believe. Of course, until this comes up in court, and a precedence is set, who knows. If the prosecution can show that you were aware of the law, and continued to listen to a conversation you intercepted "accidentally", it might be rough. And all the various posts on this NG from people in the U.S. who advocate breaking the law could come back to haunt them later. Be discrete. If you are going to speed, do you do it where there is a likelihood of being seen by the police?

Mark

"A. Kay" <aj.kayNOSPAM@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:VDHC8.11042$eb.5166@nwrddc02.gnilink.net...
> I've actually heard of freak cases, where for some reason cordless was
> picked up on television. Should the person not watch their television?
I
> personally had freak case once with my cell phone where I was attempting
to
> make a call, but some how when I hit "send" I was listening to both sides
of
> another cell phone conversation on my phone! Was it illegal for me to
hear
> that? If I have a scanner, radio or what ever electronic device that can
> pick up audio on perfectly legitimate and legal frequencies and through
some
> freak of electronic nature gets cell or cordless on it, is that a
violation?
> If you are sitting in a restaurant and the person in the booth next to you
> is talking on a cell phone, aren't you illegally eavesdropping?
> I imagine that we can all think of situations where there is a direct
> parallel to electonic eavesdropping.
>
>
> "Norm" <CatchMe@Cheers.com> wrote in message
> news:FoBC8.8810$QOT.561@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> > We know this already, now let's get past all the stupid threads on this,
> and
> > just answer the damn questions that are being asked.
> >
> > We know, and we don't care, that cellular and other stuff is illegal in
> the
> > U.S.

> >
> > This is an int'l discussion group on scanners. Not just for the U.S.
> >
> > Now let's get back to scanning.
> >
> > Better, yet, give it a break and enjoy the warm weather.
> >
> > Get the hell out of the house. Away from the radio.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ---
> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.361 / Virus Database: 199 - Release Date: 5/7/2002
> >
> >
>
>

2878. bc 780 / gre thanks to all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 17:24:29 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <jh0odug7pmpuh7tpm9eeiu660le81805ip@4ax.com>
Source record: 48595

bc 780 / gre thanks to all

Thanks for the responses I had on that problem,very much appreciated
on this end.......

2879. FS:R.S, PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 18:03:39 UTC
From: indyham <k9dzdd@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <%XTC8.18699$WR1.6556@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 48596

i have a nice,Radio Shack PRO-2006 scanner,with antenna (radio shack telescoping) and manual

only problem is ,Backlight not working

other than that ,,the unit works great

price 200.00 shipped

monte k9dzdd@insightbb.com

2880. Re: Finallt got a SAME weather radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 18:09:34 UTC
From: Barry Adair <Barry@PureDamnTexas.com>
Message-ID: <udo32epu88hi92@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48447
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.emerg-services,rec.radio.scanner

I have the same radio, in Fort Worth, Tx and never had a problem with it,
have had it for about 3 months, last night we has so many watches and
warnings that I was hoping it would not work, but I still want to know if
something was going on so i did not turn it off
DFW Weather and News Message Board
http://www.puredamntexas.com

"Mahatma Kane Jeeves" <mahatmakanejeeves@swissinfo.org> wrote in message
news:92d33cb.0205100703.2a3e88bd@posting.google.com...
> pobox---@ix.netcom.com wrote in message
news:<3CDB4DFE.FCEFA240@ix.netcom.com>...
>
> > ... Then Sunday night my friend called me and
> > said the local NOAA-NWS station was "off the air." I got
> > home several hours later and called them in Sterling, Va.
> > (KHB-36) and when I asked the guy on duty when they would
> > be broadcasting again he said, "What -- is it off?"
> >
> > He then turned on an auxiliary transmitter and all was well. ...
>
> Some problems have lower-tech solutions than others. I hope that
> eventually, an NOAA station will be on the air, and that you can
> determine whether your radio works. Congratulations.

2881. Re: Icom r-2 unblock

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 18:32:28 UTC
From: #-Kilowatt-# <rspamog@walesuk.net>
Message-ID: <abh3ns$j91$1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 48448

It is a very unfortunate fact that it is not possible to *unblock* an Icom R2, (which is a shame I suppose) but then again, there is absolutely bugger all on there, everyones gone digi.

--
#-Kilowatt-#

Remove spam please.

.
"jfn73" <jfn73@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:abc1bd$5pb$1@news.worldonline.be...
> I'd like to unblock the cellular frequencies of my Icom IC-R2.
> How can I do that ?
> Help me !
>
>

2882. Re: Scanners take another hit in the news.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 19:32:15 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3CDC203F.FC570031@erols.com>
Source record: 48449

First, CNN sucks..end of that subject. Next, I think you need a reality check. FYI my credit number information was hacked in Mar 2001 three months after I had placed several items for sale on Ebay. I was contacted by a service that monitors unusual profile purchases for VISA and MASTERCARD who noticed a non-typical purchase profile. The greedy vendors suffered the financial losses for sales made via the telephone to the hacker which were between $2,000 and $3,000. Keep this in mind the next time you supply Ebay with your credit card information. If mine was stolen, you know that thousands of others were too.

If you are referring to the ICOM R3 as a scanner with video reception capability, I would agree. Its video performance at 2 ghz. video reception is awful. Its' tuner is not sensitive and the battery life is poor. Here is another reality check. There currently is a product available for the approximate cost of a base trunked scanner that is designed for video reception and if present accompanying audio. I have one I have been beta testing mobile for over a month. It is a 5 inch tv monitor with "paddle" antenna that has a scan feature from 2,300-2600 mhz. powered by 12 VDC and it works as advertised. I have received a number of "hits" driving around area roads. I am in the process of writing a magazine article on this new facet of monitoring. Without addressing more specifics, I am almost finished with compiling a frequency database of 2 ghz. video users for all 50 states that I will offer for sale for a reasonable price hopefully this summer.. Oh, also for your and other's information, many of the "interesting" video signals are above the R3's 2,450 mhz. cutoff frequency.

Chris Horry wrote:

> Soliloquy wrote:
>
> [chop]
> > CNN did the story from the "PRIVACY INVASION" standpoint. WE NEED MORE LAWS,
> > was the essence of the CNN coverage. (More laws = emasculated scanner
> > frequency range and features). CNN stereotyped the perpetrator of these
> > "PRIVACY VIOLATIONS" as being a fat man driving around in his car, using a
> > laptop computer and a magnetic mount external antenna to intercept network
> > communications from various businesses that use wireless systems. CNN segued
> > to video of the interior of a home, with unsuspecting occupants carrying on
> > with their daily life. (Oh the horror!). The commentator from CNN asked the
> > expert that they obtained on these issues (paraphrased) "If I call the police
> > because I suspect that someone is engaged in such activity, what will the
> > police do". The expert replied that the police would most likely do nothing,
> > unless the person is engaged in the interception of actual conversations or is
> > involved in altering or damaging the data that may be available on wireless
> > networks.
>
> And, assuming the police can figure out how to catch them.

>
> I watched this story too, I think I started yelling at the TV at one
> point :-)
>
> I heard that you can't use the internet anymore because the moment you
> connect your phone line your credit cards are stolen, your car explodes
> and your pet dog is stolen for government experiments. Sound stupid?
> People believe it (well, maybe not the part about the dog).
>
> Look, the chances of a local scanner user even picking up a low power
> wireless camera - much less caring enough to waste his time figuring out
> where it's located - is pretty remote. CNN would have us believe there
> are evil scanner users on the other side of the world just waiting for
> some poor soul to turn on an unprotected wireless device so they can
> steal their privacy.
>
> Whoever wrote this story didn't know what they where talking about, do
> what I did - change the channel. And try to ignore the angry mob
> outside your house who took the story seriously, then drove past and saw
> the antenna on your roof....
>
> Chris (ranting)

--

2883. FA: Yupiteru MVT-9000M3 DO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 19:44:32 UTC
From: Bill Becker <the.bill@cox.net>
Message-ID: <3CDC230F.29CC400F@cox.net>
Source record: 48450

If anyone is interested, please see:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1351753636

Thanks for looking!

--Bill

2884. Re: Cordless phone eavesdropping story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 22:03:11 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <4rgodu4kmk7916vrb0i1n36ofvcbqhsl35@4ax.com>
Source record: 48452
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

While savoring a President Clinton 'special' cigar, "Power House"
<Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
scribbled...

>Your comparison is completely ridiculous! There is no comparison between
>walking down the street and being stabbed, and receiving radio frequencies
>out of the air.

The analogy is PERFECT.

The law says you can't attack someone, and you can't listen to cellphones and cordless phones.

What makes you think breaking ONE law isn't like breaking ANOTHER law??

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2885. Re: Cordless phone eavesdropping story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 22:03:42 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <gtgoduo182ug63vtkb3vimnjssqod9209m@4ax.com>
Source record: 48453
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

While savoring a President Clinton 'special' cigar, "Tom Shaw" <a0005868@airmail.net> scribbled...

>Up yours

Sorry, that may be YOUR style, but it's not mine.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2886. Wanted Icom T8A Owners Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 22:08:56 UTC
From: 800mhz <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <YxXC8.12815$Vm2.196626@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 48454
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I'm looking for an original or copy of the owners/users manual for the T8A.
thnx

2887. WANTED: M1225 & P1225 RSS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 22:10:12 UTC
From: 800mhz <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8zXC8.12816$Vm2.196238@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 48455
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I'm looking for M1225&P1225 RSS. I have stuff for trade if
needed.....
thnx

2888. FA: AOR-8000 Scanner with Opto-CX-12

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 22:41:38 UTC
From: R <commcent@twcny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <C0YC8.45291$2G1.14616999@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 48456

FA: On Ebay AOR-8000 Scanner and Opto Cx-12 Complete with all manuals and New Opto Unit

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1351530396&r=0&t=0&showTutorial=0&ed=1021244344&indexURL=0&rd=1

2889. Scantenna w/mast & mount FS (you haul)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 22:49:41 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <abh8hm$g38$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 48451

Located in Worcester, Massachusetts, USA.
Two year old Scantenna, excellent condition, attached to short mast section
(5 feet?) with Rat Shack 8" standoff wall mounts. You gotta come pick it up!
First $40 cash takes it all.

2890. FA: AOR-8000 with New Opto CX-12

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 22:49:51 UTC
From: R <commcent@twcny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <j8YC8.45352$2G1.14628164@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 48457

FA: On Ebay AOR-8000 Scanner and Opto Cx-12 Complete with all manuals and New Opto Unit

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1351530396&r=0&t=0&showTutorial=0&ed=1021244344&indexURL=0&rd=1

2891. Re: Wanted Icom T8A Owners Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 22:56:29 UTC
From: laborkei <laborkeiNOSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3cdc541e$1_6@nopics.sjc>
Source record: 48458
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Try this link:
http://www.icomamerica.com/support/documents/index.html#manuals

CQ WAS & DX Stations !
Join me on the Century Club Nets
Website: http://www.3905ccn.com
Net Schedules Direct Link: http://www.qsl.net/wd5bjq/netsched.htm

"I can hear you...
The rest of the world hears you...
And the people who knocked these buildings down will hear us soon."
President George W Bush - Sept 14th, 2001

"800mhz" <pottsladd13@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:YxXC8.12815$Vm2.196626@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I'm looking for an original or copy of the owners/users manual for the
T8A.
> thnx
>
>

2892. FA: AOR-8000 and New Opto CX-12

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-10 22:58:07 UTC
From: R <commcent@twcny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3gYC8.45416$2G1.14639600@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 48459

FA: On Ebay AOR-8000 Scanner and Opto Cx-12 Complete with all manuals and New Opto Unit

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1351530396

2893. f.s. motorola privacy plus mobiles 30.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 00:04:13 UTC
From: JJJJJJJJJJ <oaktownboy@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <BJOC8.33938$Yt.1937288@read1.cgocable.net>
Source record: 48582

for sale:

in great condition:

motorola privacy plus mobiles with microphones

model 35 mqa 5gb5bk

30.00US includes basic shipping

thanks
oaktownboy@cogeco.ca

2894. Re: AoR 1500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 00:34:14 UTC
From: "www.ar3000.info" <tom.mcqNOSPAM@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <abhou6iv1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 48460

Boy, I used to have one of these years ago and although I can't remember
everything about it, I certainly don't recall anything bad about it (which
is a good sign).

Tom www.ar3000.info

"John de Giorgio" <degiorgio@ilo.org> wrote in message
news:abg38v$4sc$1@rex.ip-plus.net...
> I have the possibility of picking up an old AoR 1500 for about $150. It is
> in
> great shape and works well.
> Is this model worth going for despite its age?
>
> John.
>
>
>

2895. TETRA WORRIES.....???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 00:38:38 UTC
From: "www.ar3000.info" <tom.mcqNOSPAM@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <abhp6d$r17$1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 48461
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I heard on BBC Radio4 (UK) tonight, that some Counties in the UK were forced to suspend the implementation of Tetra Systems due to health scares. Apparently, trials in the North West in particular resulted in a high volume of reported side-effects - so much so that the emergency services in my area (Greater Manchester) have returned fully to their analogue systems.

Any comments?
--
Regards, Tom.
*.. -: :-
. .))
((. . ..-::- * www.ar3000.info * -::-
-::- ((.*

2896. Re: aerial refueling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 00:40:09 UTC
From: "www.ar3000.info" <tom.mcqNOSPAM@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <abhp98iop1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 48462

Aerials don't need refuelling ;-)

"MRK" <me@you.com> wrote in message
news:udljp1jj64i235@corp.supernews.com...
> If you talking to MRK then I live in south Alabama.
> "DAG" <ak-412@email.msn.com> wrote in message
> news:iKHB8.86857$Ii2.7993610@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> > Where are you located at?
> >
> >
> > "John J McCoy Jr" <kb9qng@charter.net> wrote in message
> > news:3CD31DBC.5C8B5CB5@charter.net...
> > > Can anybody tell me where I can find some Aerial Refueling frequencies
> > > or send me some???
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2897. Re: Who Cares if Scanning Phones in U.S. Is Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 01:47:21 UTC
From: dxlover574738846@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020510214721.14741.00007893@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 48463

I've actually heard of freak cases, where for some reason cordless was
picked up on television. Should the person not watch their television?<<
.....
.....
I have had a small black&white tv that measures 8x4 and goes 10 deep. That was
the first time I heard someone on their phone and that was over 9 years ago.

It wasn't a *freak* case. I would hear our neighbor (at the time) talking on
the phone almost 24 hours a day.

I never would have thought I would enjoy that little tv as much as I did. ;-)

2898. Re: 900MHz/800MHz voice transmitters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 03:05:05 UTC
From: nobody <noone@i.am>
Message-ID: <oq2pduc0a6000sbu1k7or149pruhsk44m0@4ax.com>
Source record: 48465
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Well .....

sometimes you DO need a stronger multifreq signal to do
" special " testing with ...etc.

One " extremely " easy way to do such a thing is to buy a signal
generator from ebay. They come in several (more or less) standard
freq ranges

... 455 kc to 300 megs
.... 20 megs to 550 megs
.....20 megs to 1.2 gigs ...

etc. They come in many different types and ranges. the
more freq they cover the more expensive they are.

get one in the freq range of interest (up to 1.2 gigs)

go to the Ramsey Electronics site
http://www.ramseyelectronics.com/
and build or buy (already built) their 1 watt wideband
instrument amp. (also available from Ranbow Kits ..and many others)

hook the amp to the output of the sig gen..and presto ..1 watt on all
freqs covered by the gen. 1 watt is usually more than enough ..but if
you need more power ..bigger amps are easy to find all over the web.

krackula

On Thu, 09 May 2002 20:54:44 GMT, "Norm" <CatchMe@Cheers.com> wrote:

>You're really trying to let this hobby of scanning DIE aren't you.
>
>
>"Dave L" <CoolDave_0@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:8310981d.0205091236.69232f4d@posting.google.com...
>> Hi. I enjoy listening to cellphones and cordless phones (I am ONE BAD
>> PERSON) and I am wondering if there is anything someone sells or
>> something I can make that transmits on these frequencies. I am looking
>> for it to be tunable. It would be fun, and we all do illegal stuff on
>> our scanners at some point in our lives ;-)
>>
>>
>> Dave
>
>

>---
>Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
>Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
>Version: 6.0.361 / Virus Database: 199 - Release Date: 5/7/2002
>

2899. ANN: tk75, new open source, multiplatform software for ICOM IC-R75

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 03:39:51 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3cdc92a2$0$1418$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 48467
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The ICOM IC-R75 is a 0.03 - 60 MHz tabletop receiver.

I wrote tk75, a new open source computer program which lets you:

(1) Control the IC-R75 from your computer.

(2) Download/upload the radio's memory channels from/to a
csv (comma-separated values) file.

(3) Log active frequencies, intercept time, and relative signal
strength automatically while scanning.

(4) Easily access radio controls which are buried
within the radio's menus, e.g., DSP Noise Reduction level.

Though I've all but abandoned Windows 98 in favor of free Linux,
I wrote tk75 in a language (Tcl/Tk) which permits it run on either
operating system. It will probably run on Windows ME, Windows 2000,
Windows XP, MacOS X, Solaris, FreeBSD, and other environments,
but I've only tested it on Linux and Windows 98.

You can read about and download a beta test version of tk75
from my home page:

http://members.core.com/~parnass

I don't warrant the software, but it won't cost you anything.

Thanks to Bob Grove for the loan of an ICOM IC-R75 receiver
so I could design and test tk75.

--
==
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

2900. Re: BC780 Question on scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 03:48:04 UTC
From: RS <ransun@wesnet.net>
Message-ID: <p15pdus6k1ubeqfg512gqjj8sgv2kcrft4@4ax.com>
Source record: 48468

On Mon, 29 Apr 2002 01:33:42 GMT, rugerp85
<rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net> wrote:

check out scanner master.com for some new accessories for the 780...

a digital board and a 0-25mhz adaptor

>Can you scan both conventional frequencies and trunking or just one or
>the other??

2901. Radio Shack Pro-92 B with computer cable for auction low price, no reserve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 04:04:47 UTC
From: keith <mke65@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <4g5pdu0fpmj85ad5qgnl3bgqbljd67gruv@4ax.com>
Source record: 48469

I have my Radio Shack Pro-92 B currently bidding for 53 dollars. The radio has computer cable, one nicad battery pack, one yellow battery AA pack, and two ac cables. Bidding ends Saturday 11:00 AM PDT.

Keith

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1351690158&r=0&t=0&showTutorial=0&ed=1021141091&indexURL=0&rd=1

2902. Re: Who Cares if Scanning Phones in U.S. Is Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 05:08:33 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <fF1D8.2$Db7.709@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 48470

Well, since the discussion (as I understand it) relates to people requesting mods to scanners to enable cell phone reception, I don't think I'd worry about the TV. If, however, you own a pre-ban scanner and "accidentally" happened to tune in a cell/cordless phone conversation, that's another situation entirely. Like many other activities, if you choose to monitor cell/cordless phone calls in the privacy of your own home, then the only way you could possibly get in trouble is by talking about it. If you are indiscreet enough to make your activities public knowledge, if you get in trouble, you have only yourself to blame. It is not currently illegal in the U.S. to own a scanner that is capable of receiving cell calls, but if you don't think that could change, look at the states that currently restrict the use of a scanner in one way or another. A blanket ban is not impossible.

Mark

"DXlover574738846" <dxlover574738846@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020510214721.14741.00007893@mb-fr.aol.com...
> I've actually heard of freak cases, where for some reason cordless was
> picked up on television. Should the person not watch their television?<<
> .....
> .....
> I have had a small black&white tv that measures 8x4 and goes 10 deep. That was
> the first time I heard someone on their phone and that was over 9 years ago.
>
> It wasn't a *freak* case. I would hear our neighbor (at the time) talking on
> the phone almost 24 hours a day.
>
> I never would have thought I would enjoy that little tv as much as I did. ;-)

2903. Re: TETRA WORRIES.....???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 06:32:19 UTC
From: lucifer@eternal-flames.gov
Message-ID: <3cdcb4ee.3166842@news.btinternet.com>
Source record: 48471
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 11 May 2002 00:38:38 +0000 (UTC), "www.ar3000.info"
<tom.mcqNOSPAM@btinternet.com> wrote:

>I heard on BBC Radio4 (UK) tonight, that some Counties in the UK were forced
>to suspend the implementation of Tetra Systems due to health scares.
>Apparently, trials in the North West in particular resulted in a high volume
>of reported side-effects - so much so that the emergency services in my area
>(Greater Manchester) have returned fully to their analogue systems.

The usual BBC mish-mash of half truths and invented speculation.
Call me cynical, but I just wonder how much of all of this regarding
TETRA, is to delay it's implementation long enough for an even more
secure system, which is just about to come on stream - and is totally
(?) unbreakable - to be purchased instead.

It would be interesting if the emergency services switched to TETRA
only to have a new generation of scanners break it in a couple of
years time, whilst this later system is used by business and others
and makes scanning obsolete.

2904. Re: TETRA WORRIES.....???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 07:01:18 UTC
From: Harrogate <harrogate@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <LI3D8.82$vb.9436@news8-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 48472
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Try joining tetrauk@yahoogroups.com if you really want to know. If you follow the pap put out by (some say mischevious) non-understanding scare-mongering media you will hear what they want you to think - but if they don't understand it (and clearly they don't) what hope has Joe Public got?

I would like to know what 'high volume' means. It could mean half the force - in reallity I suspect it means at most a dozen, and how many of them previously suffered Migraine anyway? They've been using 4W radios within an inch or two of their heads for years: in the main Tetra sets do run slightly lower power but - agreed - do have a pulsing characteristic of which no-one knows the effect. The difference may be that the radio transmits on its own as the user moves around the area, but then it isn't near the head.

As for GMP their decision to revert to analogue is nothing to do with health, more from technical problems associated with radios being lost on the system, and above all coverage. It looks as if Airwave have adopted a cellular stance and gone to provide coverage in centres of population and on main routes, whereas the Police need (and already have in most cases) approaching 100% ground coverage - which is a VERY different matter. North Yorkshire (i.e. around here) seem to be using the system with success, except that user feeling is that it has been hyped up out of all proportion and over-sold.

I've been working in mobile radio for over 30 years and it's had no side effects on me yet!

Eight o'clock. Pardon?

--

Woody

harrogate@ntlworld.com

"www.ar3000.info" <tom.mcqNOSPAM@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:abhp6d$r17$1@paris.btinternet.com...
> I heard on BBC Radio4 (UK) tonight, that some Counties in the UK were
forced
> to suspend the implementation of Tetra Systems due to health scares.
> Apparently, trials in the North West in particular resulted in a high
volume
> of reported side-effects - so much so that the emergency services in my
area
> (Greater Manchester) have returned fully to their analogue systems.

>
> Any comments?
> --
> Regards, Tom.
> *..<illegible>))) -:<illegible>:-
> <illegible>.<illegible> .<illegible>))
> ((<illegible>.<illegible> .<illegible> ..-:<illegible>:- * www.ar3000.info * -:<illegible>:-
> -:<illegible>:- ((<illegible>.<illegible>*
>
>
>
>

2905. Re: Who Cares if Scanning Phones in U.S. Is Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 08:08:53 UTC
From: "A. Kay" <aj.kayNOSPAM@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <pk4D8.2866$Q87.1326@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 48473

So, if you "accidently on purpose" overheard a conversation on a scanner about a crime that had been or was to be committed, all the "legal" listeners wouldn't notify the authorities I guess. I would guess the law would look the other way in those cases.

Could someone post the exact law, etc. I'm curious if it does specifically mention cell phones, electronic communication, certain frequencies or what. There are plenty of frequencies out there that have private conversations that everyone can listen to. It sounds like the easiest way for manufacturers to "satisfy" the law was to block only the primary frequencies for cell. They failed to tell the lawmakers that we would have to give up certain portions of the television audio frequency, ham bands, etc.

What is the difference with listening in on a ship to shore marine band conversation on a "non-banned" frequency and a conversation that takes place in the "forbidden" frequency. The "image" frequency monitoring (e.g. on a television) is the same conversation but on a different frequency. I used to pick up harmonic frequencies in the ham radio bands of older cordless phones. Who's out of who's territory there?

"M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
news:fF1D8.2$Db7.709@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> Well, since the discussion (as I understand it) relates to people
requesting
> mods to scanners to enable cell phone reception, I don't think I'd worry
> about the TV. If, however, you own a pre-ban scanner and "accidentally"
> happened to tune in a cell/cordless phone conversation, that's another
> situation entirely. Like many other activities, if you choose to monitor
> cell/cordless phone calls in the privacy of your own home, then the only
way
> you could possibly get in trouble is by talking about it. If you are
> indiscreet enough to make your activities public knowledge, if you get in
> trouble, you have only yourself to blame. It is not currently illegal in
> the U.S. to own a scanner that is capable of receiving cell calls, but if
> you don't think that could change, look at the states that currently
> restrict the use of a scanner in one way or another. A blanket ban is not
> impossible.
>
> Mark
>
> "DXlover574738846" <dxlover574738846@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020510214721.14741.00007893@mb-fr.aol.com...
> > I've actually heard of freak cases, where for some reason cordless was
> > picked up on television. Should the person not watch their
television?<<

> >
.....
> > .....
> > I have had a small black&white tv that measures 8x4 and goes 10 deep.
> That was
> > the first time I heard someone on their phone and that was over 9 years
> ago.
> >
> > It wasn't a *freak* case. I would hear our neighbor (at the time)
talking
> on
> > the phone almost 24 hours a day.
> >
> > I never would have thought I would enjoy that little tv as much as I
did.
> ;-)
>
>

2906. Sydney Trunk Scanning website has moved...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 08:25:34 UTC
From: Michael A <sydtrunk@REMOVEoptusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3cdcd56f$0$15146$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 48474
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,aus.comms

The Sydney Trunk Scanning website has moved to the following address -
http://members.optusnet.com.au/~sydtrunk

Now updated with MPT1327 information and more UHF LTR sites and frequencies.

Email any feedback to - sydtrunk@optusnet.com.au

Cheers
Michael

2907. Re: Cordless phone eavesdropping story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 11:53:49 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <udq1ekr2safl54@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48475
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

OK, back my education bit... If the public were EDUCATED, as they should have been, then those laws would not even exist.

PH

P.S. The next time you are watching TV and they show two people chatting on the phone, you had better change the channel.. You'd hate to get prosecuted for eavesdropping on a phone conversation... About as good of an analogy as yours...

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message news:4rgodu4kmk7916vrb0i1n36ofvcbqhsl35@4ax.com...
> While savoring a President Clinton 'special' cigar, "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
> scribbled...
>
> >Your comparison is completely ridiculous! There is no comparison between
> >walking down the street and being stabbed, and receiving radio frequencies
> >out of the air.
>
> The analogy is PERFECT.
>
> The law says you can't attack someone, and you can't listen to cellphones and cordless phones.
>
> What makes you think breaking ONE law isn't like breaking ANOTHER law??
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2908. PA-SitRep e-mail list for PA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 12:07:08 UTC
From: owner@pa-sitrep.com
Message-ID: <3cdd093d.24433098@news.city-net.com>
Source record: 48476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Emergency Situation Report e-mail list for Pennsylvanians

PA-SitRep is a free, 100% non-commercial,
nonprofit, e-mail list and web site.

The PA-SitRep emergency traffic e-mail list is a
tool that will enable you to monitor and report on
major emergency situations throughout the State
of Pennsylvania including weather emergencies,
natural disasters, environmental disasters, civil
disturbances and threats of terrorism, major fires,
and manhunts.

Subscribers are encouraged to utilize their home,
work and cell phone e-mail addresses for receiving
messages from the PA-SitRep e-mail list.

Because messages posted to the list are limitted to
situation reports of MAJOR emergency situations
within the State of PA, message volume on
PA-SitRep is EXTREMELY low.

PA-SitRep is also building a list of PA-SitRep
Contacts, most of whom are Amateur Radio
Operators (http://www.pa-sitrep.com/contact.htm).

PA-SitRep Contacts monitor our higher volume
SitRep/Discussion mail list and post situation
reports ot the discussion list and to the main list.
PA-SitRep Contacts also submit their contact info
for our site and may be contacted for a first
hand situation report should an emergency
situation arise in their area.

Please visit the site to learn more:

http://www.pa-sitrep.com/pasitrep.htm

Thanks. We'll look forward to your participation.

-David Kleber, KB3FXI
PA-SitRep List Owner and PA-SitRep.com Founder
www.PA-SitRep.com

2909. Re: Cordless phone eavesdropping story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 13:13:02 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <946qduknia00l9rrdj26sc1bf4508k8d1o@4ax.com>
Source record: 48477
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

While savoring a President Clinton 'special' cigar, "Power House"
<Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
scribbled...

>OK, back my education bit... If the public were EDUCATED, as they should
>have been, then those laws would not even exist.
>
>PH
>
>P.S. The next time you are watching TV and they show two people chatting on
>the phone, you had better change the channel.. You'd hate to get prosecuted
>for eavesdropping on a phone conversation... About as good of an analogy as
>yours...

NOT a valid analogy. You're hearing only one side of the conversation (IF that),
and the person talking KNOWS they're being overheard.

Nice try, thanks for playing, here's your consolation prize...

PPPFFFTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT !

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2910. Re: TETRA WORRIES.....???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 14:15:11 UTC
From: Clint Sharp <clint@clintsmc.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <sc1dgIBvdS38Ew1y@clintsmc.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 48478
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In message <Ll3D8.82$vb.9436@news8-gui.server.ntli.net>, Harrogate
<harrogate@ntlworld.com> writes
>Try joining tetrauk@yahoogroups.com if you really want to know. If you
>follow the pap put out by (some say mischevious) non-understanding
>scare-mongering media you will hear what they want you to think - but if
>they don't understand it (and clearly they don't) what hope has Joe Public
>got?
Agreed, the rubbish spouted by the mobile 'phone mast protesters is
something that really gets up my nose, there was an article in a local
paper about the Tetra masts as well, claiming that they could 'burn out'
your car immobiliser which could cost as much as 400 to repair, the
evidence for this was one person who couldn't start his car (Chrysler
Neon) and had to have it towed to a garage who told him the immobiliser
had 'burned out' because of the Tetra mast! Reminds me of all the crap
spouted by CB users about 'me swar' and so on.
>
>I would like to know what 'high volume' means. It could mean half the
>force - in reallity I suspect it means at most a dozen, and how many of them
>previously suffered Migraine anyway? They've been using 4W radios within an
>inch or two of their heads for years: in the main Tetra sets do run slightly
>lower power but - agreed - do have a pulsing characteristic of which no-one
>knows the effect. The difference may be that the radio transmits on its own
>as the user moves around the area, but then it isn't near the head.
In fairness, I trialled the Dolphin Tetra system and found I got a
pounding headache after having it in the car for a day, the Motorola set
I had was good enough that it could be used 'hands free' with the
internal speaker and mike whilst on the centre console so it wasn't a
proximity thing. My normal mobile 'phone has no noticeable effect on me
unless it's used for hours a day next to my ear (and that may be because
of the habit I have of crushing it to my ear)
>
>As for GMP their decision to revert to analogue is nothing to do with
>health, more from technical problems associated with radios being lost on
>the system, and above all coverage.
They have a lot of 'black spots' and a couple of officers found
themselves in potentially dangerous situations with no radio cover,
which is obviously a bad thing, I also seem to remember that the Tetra
implementation in Manchester has some problems with 'talk-through'

--
Clint Sharp

2911. Re: ANN: tk75, new open source, multiplatform software for ICOM IC-R75

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 14:43:09 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3CDD2DFB.86D1CFD@optonline.net>
Source record: 48479
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bob,

You seem to be going wild on this TK programming kick. Good!

Any chance of you adding the ICOM PCR1000 and the AOR8200 to your list
of radios to do?

Walt

Bob_Parnass_AJ9S wrote:
>
> The ICOM IC-R75 is a 0.03 - 60 MHz tabletop receiver.
>
> I wrote tk75, a new open source computer program which lets you:
>
> (1) Control the IC-R75 from your computer.
>
> (2) Download/upload the radio's memory channels from/to a
> csv (comma-separated values) file.
>
> (3) Log active frequencies, intercept time, and relative signal
> strength automatically while scanning.
>
> (4) Easily access radio controls which are buried
> within the radio's menus, e.g., DSP Noise Reduction level.
>
> Though I've all but abandoned Windows 98 in favor of free Linux,
> I wrote tk75 in a language (Tcl/Tk) which permits it run on either
> operating system. It will probably run on Windows ME, Windows 2000,
> Windows XP, MacOS X, Solaris, FreeBSD, and other environments,
> but I've only tested it on Linux and Windows 98.
>
> You can read about and download a beta test version of tk75
> from my home page:
>
> http://members.core.com/~parnass
>
> I don't warrant the software, but it won't cost you anything.
>
> Thanks to Bob Grove for the loan of an ICOM IC-R75 receiver
> so I could design and test tk75.
>
> --
> ==========

> Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
> Linux user

2912. f.s. midland mobile 35 watts 8ch 100.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 15:03:49 UTC
From: JJJJJJJJJJ <oaktownboy@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <1U%C8.10019$op.1931780@read2.cgocable.net>
Source record: 48464

for sale:

midland synthasized mobile radio with bracket and mic
in excellent condition

8 channels, 35 watts output

150-174mhz

model 70-336b

100.00US includes basic shipping

thanks
oaktownboy@cogeco.ca

2913. f.s. GE mobile , pheonix SX with FRS freqs, 32ch, 35 watts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 15:14:38 UTC
From: JJJJJJJJJJ <oaktownboy@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <a20D8.10022$op.1932076@read2.cgocable.net>
Source record: 48466

for sale:

in excellent condition with mounting bracket and microphone

GE mobile pheonix SX

32 channels, 35 watts

programmed with 14 FRS channels and 18 business frequencies

150.00US includes basic shipping

thanks
oaktownboy@cogeco.ca

2914. FIELD PROGRAMABLE VHF 40CH PORTABLE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 15:14:58 UTC
From: David M Schertzer <schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <j2dqduoo377rnkcbpints6had1l2jeodce@4ax.com>
Source record: 48480

(1) ICOM V68 *40ch* 5-watt -VHF-

*** DTMF (User programmable - Front face) ****

(1) ICOM BC75A Rapid Desk Charger
(1) ICOM BP160 Rechargeable Battery pack
(1) ICOM BP130 Rechargeable Battery pack
(1) ICOM "AA" Emergency Battery pack (6- AA type batteries)
(1) EM46 Sprk-mic
(1) CP13L Cigarette Lighter adaptor with Noise filter
(1) Org. Instruction Manual + boxes

$200USD + $15USDship Payment via USPS International M/O.

Email for questions or info, spec sheet avail also.
This is the equiv. to the Motorola GP68 version.

2915. Re: TETRA WORRIES.....???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 16:44:39 UTC
From: Steve Terry <g4wwknospam@tesco.net>
Message-ID: <abjhqe$i0ioe$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48481
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"www.ar3000.info" <tom.mcqNOSPAM@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:abhp6d$r17$1@paris.btinternet.com...
> I heard on BBC Radio4 (UK) tonight, that some Counties in the UK were forced
> to suspend the implementation of Tetra Systems due to health scares.
> Apparently, trials in the North West in particular resulted in a high volume
> of reported side-effects - so much so that the emergency services in my area
> (Greater Manchester) have returned fully to their analogue systems.
> Any comments?
> Regards, Tom.
>
The only possible heath worry with Tetra is the TX RF is multiplexed at a 18Hz
rate
A frequency which is known to be able to cause epileptic fits if from a flashing
bright light
It's never been shown fits could be caused by RF at that frequency

I would of been smart if the Tetra designers had picked a higher frequency
like used in GSM multiplexing to avoid any controversy

Steve Terry

2916. DOES ANYBODY KNOW HOW MUCH THE AOR-AR2002 GOES FOR. YEAR IT WAS MADE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 16:52:56 UTC
From: JIMBOW65@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21244-3CDD4C68-171@storefull-2376.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 48482

HI, IM LOOKING FOR THE COST FOR THIS RECIEVER. AND WHEN IT WAS MADE..
THANKS FOR YOUR TIME.

www.jimbow65@webtv.net

2917. Re: ANN: tk75, new open source, multiplatform software for ICOM IC-R75

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 18:07:56 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dim.com>
Message-ID: <1seqdu8epduot4ebpb3sa5gbmt6l9t1je4@4ax.com>
Source record: 48498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net> wrote:

> The ICOM IC-R75 is a 0.03 - 60 MHz tabletop receiver.
>
> I wrote tk75, a new open source computer program which lets you:
>

Hi, Bob,

Thanks for keeping up the work on this project!

I'm curious -- have you considered moving your effort to Python?

We're starting to use Python at work and there's a significant number
of developers who think it's the greatest thing since peanut butter.
I'm not *that* bad but I am impressed. There is a tk class for it,
as well as an INI file handler class, which is really, really nice.

Eric

--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@dim.com
"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

2918. Pro-35 battery pack??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 18:57:47 UTC
From: njskedder@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020511145747.13743.00009410@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 48483

Hello,
Does anyone know of a place where I can get a cheap battery pack for the pro-35? I use this scanner when I go to races but it is no longer holding enough juice to get me through 25% of the race.
Thanks, FredL.

2919. Re: TETRA WORRIES.....???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 19:01:00 UTC
From: Harrogate <harrogate@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <CUdD8.1001$vb.94841@news8-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 48484
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Funny how these things vary. Tetra pulses at 17.6Hz, but I had always understood that the frequency range of flashing light that could trigger fits was 7-12Hz as the latency of the causes anything above 12Hz to appear as a continuous source.

Question: does GSM go at a higher RF pulse rate than 17.6? After all the underlying technology is very similar.

--

Woody

harrogate@ntlworld.com

"Steve Terry" <g4wwknospam@tesco.net> wrote in message news:abjhqe$i0ioe$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de...
> "www.ar3000.info" <tom.mcqNOSPAM@btinternet.com> wrote in message
> news:abhp6d$r17$1@paris.btinternet.com...
> > I heard on BBC Radio4 (UK) tonight, that some Counties in the UK were forced
> > to suspend the implementation of Tetra Systems due to health scares.
> > Apparently, trials in the North West in particular resulted in a high volume
> > of reported side-effects - so much so that the emergency services in my area
> > (Greater Manchester) have returned fully to their analogue systems.
> > Any comments?
> > Regards, Tom.
> >
> The only possible heath worry with Tetra is the TX RF is multiplexed at a 18Hz
> rate
> A frequency which is known to be able to cause epileptic fits if from a flashing
> bright light
> It's never been shown fits could be caused by RF at that frequency
>
> I would of been smart if the Tetra designers had picked a higher frequency
> like used in GSM multiplexing to avoid any controversy
>
> Steve Terry
>
>
>

2920. Re: TETRA WORRIES.....???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 19:06:51 UTC
From: Steve Terry <g4wwknospam@tesco.net>
Message-ID: <abjq51$irnp6$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48485
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Harrogate" <harrogate@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:CUdD8.1001$vb.94841@news8-gui.server.ntli.net...
> Funny how these things vary. Tetra pulses at 17.6Hz, but I had always
> understood that the frequency range of flashing light that could trigger
> fits was 7-12Hz as the latency of the causes anything above 12Hz to appear
> as a continuous source.
> Question: does GSM go at a higher RF pulse rate than 17.6?
> After all the underlying technology is very similar.
> Woody
>
Oh yes, GSM multiplexes at a much higher rate, up in the hundreds of Hz, I think
?
Anyone know why Tetra picked such a low frequency ??

Steve Terry

2921. HELP TETRA, What?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 19:26:20 UTC
From: Honest Alan <NOSPAM=gohome@orange.net>
Message-ID: <abjr94$67d$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 48486
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Sorry for being ignorant, but I understand that TETRA is going to be
implemented by the police force!
I think that it is some kind of encrypted radio. I haven't in all honestly
got much of a clue, so could someone plz tell me how it works, what it is,
and can it be listened to it? Oh and anything else that's of use

Thanx in Advance
Honest Alan

"Steve Terry" <g4wwknospam@tesco.net> wrote in message
news:abjq51$irnp6$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de...
> "Harrogate" <harrogate@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
> news:CUdD8.1001$vb.94841@news8-gui.server.ntli.net...
> > Funny how these things vary. Tetra pulses at 17.6Hz, but I had always
> > understood that the frequency range of flashing light that could trigger
> > fits was 7-12Hz as the latency of the causes anything above 12Hz to
appear
> > as a continuous source.
> > Question: does GSM go at a higher RF pulse rate than 17.6?
> > After all the underlying technology is very similar.
> > Woody
> >
> Oh yes, GSM multiplexes at a much higher rate, up in the hundreds of Hz, I
think
> ?
> Anyone know why Tetra picked such a low frequency ??
>
> Steve Terry
>
>
>
>

2922. Re: HELP TETRA, What?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 19:32:40 UTC
From: Steve Terry <g4wwknospam@tesco.net>
Message-ID: <abjrle$iojip$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48487
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Honest Alan" <NOSPAM=gohome@orange.net> wrote in message
news:abjr94$67d$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk...
> Sorry for being ignorant, but I understand that TETRA is going to be
> implemented by the police force!
> I think that it is some kind of encrypted radio. I haven't in all honestly
> got much of a clue, so could someone plz tell me how it works, what it is,
> and can it be listened to it? Oh and anything else that's of use
> Thanx in Advance
> Honest Alan
>
It's a lot like GSM, but on 400MHz instead of 900/1800MHz
all you'll hear is the digital buzz, as on GSM.

Steve Terry

2923. Re: ANN: tk75, new open source, multiplatform software for ICOM IC-R75

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 19:35:25 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3cdd7298$0$3572$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 48488
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I probably won't be writing software for the PCR1000
or AOR AR8200. I don't have either radio here.

Thanks for your support.

On Sat, 11 May 2002 09:43:09 -0500, Walt Bertram wrote:

> Bob,
>
> You seem to be going wild on this TK programming kick. Good!
>
> Any chance of you adding the ICOM PCR1000 and the AOR8200 to your list
> of radios to do?
>
> Walt

--
==
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

2924. Re: HELP TETRA, What?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 19:51:39 UTC
From: Honest Alan <NOSPAM=gohome@orange.net>
Message-ID: <abjsokvgv1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 48489
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Does this mean it's DIGITAL?
NEWay round it, say with a pc and and software to decode?

2925. BC 600 XLT Scanner Help Please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 20:38:27 UTC
From: nvavro@ptd.net
Message-ID: <3cdd815a.3226727@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 48490

Hi; I had this scanner in box for 2 years never used a BC 600XLT. When I went to plug it in and use it with the supplied transformer it went on and went right out and seemed to smell like something burned. So my son checked the trnasformer with a digitial meter and it says 18 volts is coming out of center pin positive while it should be 13.8. Could it have frioed the board. It still seems to hum but no light, display or scan or audio. Is this factory repairable since it is out of warranty and what would happen to do that. Any addresses of where to send it and any ideas on what to do. Should I sell it for parts. It has the CTCSS tome and pre-amp board insatalled that was extra paying options at the time. nick

2926. Re: 971-985 mhz What am I hearing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 20:50:03 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <abk094$ik6hj$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48491

<tucansam@whodis.org> wrote in message
news:abgphs$q0e$1@reader1.panix.com...
> : Maybe you need to mention this in that "voice scanning is boring"
thread...
>
> I apparantly gave the wrong impression. I still listen to my scanners all
> the time. Its just old news now and I was looking for something new to do
> with the hobby. Data seemed like the net logical step but I didn't know
> where to start.

Yeah, I knew what you meant. It's always fun to keep expanding into other
areas of the hobby. I too find police comms boring at times, probably since
I work as a dispatcher, but once in a while there will be something exciting
happening, like a car chase, plane crash, etc. The only thing is that it
takes a lot of boring listening to find those few minutes of excitement.

Tom

2927. Re: Cordless phone eavesdropping story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 20:57:31 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <abk0n4$iupun$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48492
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:4rgodu4kmk7916vrb0i1n36ofvcbqhsl35@4ax.com...
>
> The analogy is PERFECT.
>
> The law says you can't attack someone, and you can't listen to cellphones
and cordless phones.
>
> What makes you think breaking ONE law isn't like breaking ANOTHER law??

There's a big difference between listening to someone's radio transmissions
and committing aggrivated battery on them.

How about this analogy: My wife & I were at a jazz concert and some dumbass
& his girlfriend were sitting behind us. This guy kept talking to her all
through the concert and over the music. I turned around and asked him to
quiet down, and he got mad claiming that we were eavsdropping on him. I
told him if he didn't want us to hear what he was saying, he should be
quiet. Luckily they left before intermission.

The moral of the story is, if you don't want people hearing what you have to
say, don't be broadcasting it all over town. It's kind of like trying to
have a private conversation via CB or ham radio.

Tom

2928. Re: 900MHz/800MHz voice transmitters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 21:05:28 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <abk161$j1rg9$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48493
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I don't know about 800/900 MHZ transmitters, but I've been told that some 6 meter ham transceivers can be modified to operate on 46 MHZ.

Disclaimer: Transmitting on unauthorized frequencies is a violation of FCC rules.

Tom

2929. Re: HELP TETRA, What?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 21:41:42 UTC
From: Trio 9R59DE <9R59DE@nobodyradio.org>
Message-ID: <abk36m$pd3$1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 48494
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

One day, Tetra will be broken - there are some fanatics who will dedicate
their life to it!

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.360 / Virus Database: 199 - Release Date: 07/05/2002

2930. Re: BC 600 XLT Scanner Help Please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 21:47:47 UTC
From: nvavro@ptd.net
Message-ID: <3cdd91b3.7412504@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 48496

A follow Up to my post. Can it be the internal voltage regulator. The black square with the three leads located near the supplied input jack. That area is vewry very hot and so is the case if I leave it on and nothing happening. Or could the damage have gone further. Once again. No light, volume, squelch or display. nick

2931. Re: CTIA made Scanning Phones in U.S. Is Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 21:50:31 UTC
From: "A. Kay" <aj.kayNOSPAM@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <HmgD8.4607$5J3.1058@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 48495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks for the post.
It appears that the laws are full of holes, though. I bet the definition of "surveillance" has been batted around the legal water coolers for some time. Some probably would argue that it is "entertainment".
What it specifically states is that it is illegal to alter a device to receive the frequencies that have been allocated for the communications device (cell phone, cordless phone) to transmit and receive. So if there is a harmonic or IF that "spills over" into a legitimate frequency it should be fair game. Bottom line is that if you want a secure conversation, don't use wireless.
I still don't think there is much difference in hearing a person talk on a cell phone in a restaurant, for instance, and listening on an electronic device. Maybe we should all be walking around in our personal "cones of silence" (for those of us who watched the TV series Get Smart!)

"CTIA Sucks!" <CITA_Sucks!@ctia-sucks.com> wrote in message news:igrqdu8v10uh4ft014rk6frvqo88c1g1ts@4ax.com...
> A. Kay wrote:
>
> > Could someone post the exact law, etc. I'm curious if it does specifically
> > mention cell phones, electronic communication, certain frequencies or what.
>
> Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986 (ECPA)
>
> Public Law: 99-508 (10/21/86)
> H.R.4952 SPONSOR: Rep Kastenmeier (introduced 06/05/86)
> H.R.3378 SPONSOR: Rep Kastenmeier (introduced 09/19/85)
> S.1667 SPONSOR: Sen Leahy (introduced 09/19/85)
>
>
> The Electronic Communications Privacy Act (ECPA) of 1986 was adopted to address
> the legal privacy issues that were evolving with the growing use of computers
> and other new innovations in electronic communications.
>
> The ECPA updated legislation passed in 1968 that had been designed to clarify
> what constitutes invasion of privacy when electronic surveillance is involved.
>

> President Reagan signed the Electronics Communication Privacy Act into law
on
> October 21, 1986. The ECPA was designed to expand Title III privacy
protection
> to apply to radio paging devices, electronic mail, cellular telephones,
private
> communication carriers, and computer transmissions
>
> The ECPA was originally endorsed by the ACLU and promoted to protect civil
liberties.
>
> http://www.digitalcentury.com/encyclo/update/ecpa.html#Background
>
>
> United States Code TITLE 18 - CRIMES AND CRIMINAL PROCEDURE
> PART I - CRIMES
> CHAPTER 119 - WIRE AND ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS
> INTERCEPTION AND INTERCEPTION OF ORAL COMMUNICATIONS
>
> (16) ''readily accessible to the general public'' means, with
> respect to a radio communication, that such communication is not
> -
> (A) scrambled or encrypted;
> (B) transmitted using modulation techniques whose essential
> parameters have been withheld from the public with the
> intention of preserving the privacy of such communication;
> (C) carried on a subcarrier or other signal subsidiary to a
> radio transmission;
> (D) transmitted over a communication system provided by a
> common carrier, unless the communication is a tone only paging
> system communication; or
> (E) transmitted on frequencies allocated under part 25,
> subpart D, E, or F of part 74, or part 94 of the Rules of the
> Federal Communications Commission, unless, in the case of a
> communication transmitted on a frequency allocated under part
> 74 that is not exclusively allocated to broadcast auxiliary
> services, the communication is a two-way voice communication
> by radio;
>
> http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/18/2510.html -----
>
> Telephone Disclosure and Dispute Resolution Act of 1992 (TDDRA)
>
> Public Law: 102-556 (10/28/92)
> H.R.6191 SPONSOR: Rep Swift (introduced 10/06/92)
> S.1579 SPONSOR: Sen Inouye (introduced 07/29/91
>
>
> TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION PART 15--RADIO FREQUENCY DEVICES--
>

> Sec. 15.121 Scanning receivers and frequency converters used with
> scanning receivers.
>
> (a) Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this section, scanning
> receivers and frequency converters designed or marketed for use with
> scanning receivers, shall:
> (1) Be incapable of operating (tuning), or readily being altered by
> the user to operate, within the frequency bands allocated to the
> Cellular Radiotelephone Service in part 22 of this chapter (cellular
> telephone bands). Scanning receivers capable of ``readily being altered
> by the user'' include, but are not limited to, those for which the
> ability to receive transmissions in the cellular telephone bands can be
> added by clipping the leads of, or installing, a simple component such
> as a diode, resistor or jumper wire; replacing a plug-in semiconductor
> chip; or programming a semiconductor chip using special access codes or
> an external device, such as a personal computer. Scanning receivers, and
> frequency converters designed for use with scanning receivers, also
> shall be incapable of converting digital cellular communication
> transmissions to analog voice audio.
>
> (continued)
>
http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/get-cfr.cgi?TITLE=47&PART=15&SECTION
=121&YEAR=2001&TYPE=TEXT
>
>
>
> FCC FACT SHEET: INTERCEPTION AND DIVULGENCE OF RADIO COMMUNICATIONS
>
> The Act also contains provisions that affect the manufacture of equipment
> used for listening to or receiving radio transmissions, such as
"scanners."
> Section 302(d) of the Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. Section 302(d),
prohibits
> the FCC from authorizing scanning equipment that is capable of receiving
> transmissions in the frequencies allocated to domestic cellular services,
> that is capable of readily being altered by the user to intercept
> cellular communications, or that may be equipped with decoders
> that convert digital * transmissions to analog voice audio.
>
> (*was that supposed to read "convert digital cellular
> transmissions to analog voice audio."?)
>
> In addition, such receivers may not be manufactured in the United
> States or imported for use in the United States after April 26, 1994
>
> http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Common_Carrier/Factsheets/investigation.html
..

2932. Re: 900MHz/800MHz voice transmitters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 21:59:41 UTC
From: dxlover574738846@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020511175941.29678.00007805@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 48497

but I've been told that some 6
meter ham transceivers can be modified to operate on 46 MHZ.>>
.....
.....
Tom,
Indeed they can ;-)

2933. FS: MINT YAESU FRG-9600 VHF/UHF COMMUNICATIONS RECEIVER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 22:11:56 UTC
From: radionurd@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020511181156.02385.00001496@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 48499

I have FOR SALE a MINT YAESU FRG-9600 VHF/UHF Communications Receiver. This is an awesome unit that covers 60-905 mhz, ALL MODES (AM-N, AM-W, FM-N, FM-W, USB/LSB. Has 100 memories, TRIPLE CONVERSION, VFO, clock, timers, multi color signal strength meter and MUCH MORE! This radio covers it all! NO BLOCK IN FREQUENCY COVERAGE! CONTINOUS COVERAGE! This is commercial quality, and is in MINT - near NEW condition! If I had the box, you would think that it is new! Included is the radio, mobile mounting bracket (NEVER has been mobile), DC Cable, Wall-Wart Power Supply, Telescopic Antenna and Manual. I cannot stress the quality of this radio. You will be very happy with this. I am asking $400 INCLUDING Priority Shipping in the US. Please email with any questions! Thanks!

2934. ?? How can I scan all 7 NOAA freqs. in alert mode at the same time??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 23:08:01 UTC
From: sprinkysprinky@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <8f027193.0205111508.fcbcfa1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48500

I'm wondering if someone can help answer this question of mine.

I would like to know if there's a scanner or radio (mobile, preferably) that will silently scan ALL 7 available NOAA weather radio freqs. and will still activate for ALL weather alerts on ANY of the 7 freqs. This would mean that while I am traveling, I wouldn't need to be re-entering the nearest NOAA channel. The radio would simply be quietly scanning/monitoring all 7 channels and any time an alert was sounded for any station that could be received, I would hear it.

I already have a PRO-76 and a PRO-79 that have the weather alert feature. It works fine, but again, I can't seem to set either radio into an all-channel weatherscan mode and still have the alert feature on standby. Perhaps I'm missing something obvious; perhaps there just isn't a radio that can do this.

I hope my question is clear. Any help someone can give will be appreciated.

2935. Re: TETRA WORRIES.....???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-11 23:30:24 UTC
From: "www.ar3000.info" <tom.mcqNOSPAM@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <abk9ig$ma2$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 48501
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hmmm, interesting. Thanks to all for the responses.
Tom.

"www.ar3000.info" <tom.mcqNOSPAM@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:abhp6d$r17$1@paris.btinternet.com...
> I heard on BBC Radio4 (UK) tonight, that some Counties in the UK were
forced
> to suspend the implementation of Tetra Systems due to health scares.
> Apparently, trials in the North West in particular resulted in a high
volume
> of reported side-effects - so much so that the emergency services in my
area
> (Greater Manchester) have returned fully to their analogue systems.
>
> Any comments?
> --
> Regards, Tom.
> *..� ���)) -:�:-
> �.�� .����))
> ((��.�� .�� ..-:�:- * www.ar3000.info * -:�:-
> -:�:- ((��.��*
>
>
>
>

2936. Re: ANN: tk75, new open source, multiplatform software for ICOM IC-R75

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 00:00:01 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3cddb09d$0$1411$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 48502
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi Eric,

I, too, was swayed by the Python zealots,
so I bought 3 books on Python and Tkinter
with the intention of writing multiplatform
radio software.

But, I put those plans on hold after finding
that I couldn't use the same Python code to handle a serial
port the same way on Linux, Windows, and MacOS X.

Setting up the serial port parameters in
Python for Windows, for instance, takes several instructions.

It's merely one instruction in Tcl, e.g.,

```
fconfigure $Sid -buffering full \
-translation binary -mode 19200,n,8,1 -blocking 1
```

and this instruction is the same for Windows and Linux.

Tcl/Tk is more mature and better suited for this
application. We used Tcl/Tk at Bell Labs 6 years
ago and it's still being used at Bell Labs today.

Someday, Python will "get there."
The drum beat is getting louder so it's just a matter of time.

Another advantage of Tcl/Tk is the Tcl-to-Tk interface is
smoother than the Python-to-Tkinter interface.
Tcl manipulates Tk variables directly.
Python can only handle Tkinter variables indirectly.

If I was writing non-gui software, or GUI software
only for a single OS, I'd probably use Python. Pure
Python is more powerful than Tcl.

(I like Perl and have written a lot of Perl code but Python is cleaner.
It's too easy to write cryptic code in Perl.)

At this point, Tcl/Tk is the best bet for writing GUI multiplatform
programs which do serial I/O.

On Sat, 11 May 2002 13:07:56 -0500, Eric F. Richards wrote:

> Hi, Bob,
> Thanks for keeping up the work on this project!
> I'm curious -- have you considered moving your effort to Python?

>
> We're starting to use Python at work and there's a significant number of
> developers who think it's the greatest thing since peanut butter. I'm
> not *that* bad but I am impressed. There is a tk class for it, as well
> as an INI file handler class, which is really, really nice.

--
==
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

2937. FS:Radio Shack PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 01:28:13 UTC
From: indyham <k9dzdd@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <NyjD8.16106$UV4.2446@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 48503

i have a nice Radio Shack PRO-2006,
with radio shack telescoping antenna,
works great
backlight works,,,but not at full brightness,,

price 160.00 shipped

Monte k9dzdd@insightbb.com

2938. FS:Radio Shack PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 01:38:22 UTC
From: indyham <k9dzdd@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <iljD8.16136$UV4.2643@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 48504

i have a great working,radio shack PRO-2006,with radio shack telescoping
antenna,,
looks good
backlight works,but not full brightness,,readout still readable

price 160.00 shipped

Monte k9dzdd@insightbb.com

2939. Re: HELP TETRA, What?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 02:32:53 UTC
From: Steve Terry <g4wwknospam@tesco.net>
Message-ID: <abkk9d$j5fu5$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48505
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Trio 9R59DE" <9R59DE@nobodyradio.org> wrote in message
news:abk36m$pd3$1@knossos.btinternet.com...
> One day, Tetra will be broken - there are some fanatics who will dedicate
> their life to it!
>
The rest of us i'll go down the pub

It's digital, If they want they can add extra levels of encryption
As you can to GSM phones

Steve Terry

2940. Re: Who Cares if Scanning Phones in U.S. Is Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 02:40:37 UTC
From: ogrowup@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8093-3CDDD625-24@storefull-2237.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 48509

As I understand it, the Electronics Commuications Privacy Act of 1986 (rammed thru congress by ultraliberal Sen. Patrick Leahy [D-VT] at the behest of the cellular telephone lobby) outlaws reception of cell phone traffic, as well as certain types of encrypted transmissions.
OTOH, The Supreme Court ruled that this ban does NOT apply to non-cellular cordless phones.
The cell lobby wanted ECPA as a cheaper alternative to going fully digital, and wanted to avoid having to put a sticker on their units saying that the cell phones weren't as secure as the sellers would like the buyers belieeve.

"Eagles may soar but weasels aren't sucked into jets."

2941. Re: Finallt got a SAME weather radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 02:44:51 UTC
From: nvavro@ptd.net
Message-ID: <3cddd657.24986666@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 48507
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.emerg-services,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 10 May 2002 00:35:10 -0400, pobox---@ix.netcom.com wrote:

>john63401@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> This may not be the best place to ask this question....
>> but I didn't know where else to try.
>>
>> I am in market for a SAME weather radio.
>>
>> I have looked at several models intended for use next
>> to a bed nightstand.... but have been wondering if the
>> portable FRS radio units can also have SAME weather
>> "features" in the?
>>
>> IOW....are their any FRS units that will sound an
>> "alarm" if a weather alert occurs? An alarm that will
>> wake you up fro a dead sleep?
>
>I finally bought my new weather alert (SAME) radio. I found
>the Midland (SAME) radios on clearance at Sam's (Laurel, Md.)
>for $39.91. (model 74-210). The radio accepts 20 SAME CODES
>and has an FM radio and clock. Also, high/low siren setting.
>
>After getting it home I checked out a website to obtain the
>SAME codes for my area and noticed another site that mentioned
>"possible problems" with this model. The site is:
>
>http://205.156.54.206/nwr/mid74-210.htm
>
>It stated:
>
>"If you own a Midland Model 74-210 weather receiver and have a
>technical question regarding this situation, you may call Jerry
>Finke at NWS Central Region Headquarters in Kansas City at
>(816) 426-3226 extension 441."
>
>After trying to call Mr. Finke for two days (the line went
>dead and all I could hear was my own voice echo), I went
>ahead and called Midland at 816-241-8500 x220 and spoke with
>Dwayne, who explained to me the NWS has screwed around with
>the SAME format software, therefore some radios were not
>decoding properly. The NWS then had to go back and re program
>all software to correct the situation.

>
>At the same time, my friend bought a radio Shack (12-255) and
>we were both waiting for an alert to make sure our radio
>were working OK. Then Sunday night my friend called me and
>said the local NOAA-NWS station was "off the air." I got
>home several hours later and called them in Sterling, Va.
>(KHB-36) and when I asked the guy on duty when they would
>be broadcasting again he said, "What -- is it off?"
>
>He then turned on an auxiliary transmitter and all was well.
>
>Until today, I accidentally programmed a station from Sudlersville,
>Maryland (75 miles away that doesn't support the DC area SAME
>codes) so my radio was silent today, despite several warnings.
>
>Now it's programmed to KHB-36 and hopefully it will work OK.
>
>It's been a long week! If anyone lives near Laurel, Md. the
>Midland 74-210 is available for $39.91 at Sam's Club.
>
>Also, I was told legislation is pending (just needs approval)
>to authorize the Homeland Security Agency to use the NWS
>frequencies for future use, including terrorist alerts. Did
>anyone know this?
>
>(KM)
I too have joined the same Radio Club by Midland. I picked it up at Sam's here in Wilkes Barre, Pa for 39 dollars and change with the Fm radio feature as well. Just programmed it after I obtained the same codes for my county and adjacent county. So it picks up 2 weather stations. One In Allentown actually Mt Holly, New Jersey and Wilkes Barre which is actually Binghampton, New York. Trnasmitters are there only. I live between botht these sites and excellent audio. I just have to wait and see ift he same code works like it should. Thanks for the info here. It is what made me go to Sam;s and discard my old everything tone alert weather radio which works fine. nick

2942. FS. uniden bc-895xlt with software and cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 02:51:25 UTC
From: Magnum45 <Magnum45@mn.rr.com>
Message-ID: <NMkD8.3365$Wg.320413@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 48506
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

This is scanner about 5 months old and is in new condition.
Comes with all as new plus software and programing cable.
You can program the scanner from your pc. and controll it.
$190 shipped lower 48 states
E-mail me direct.
Thanks

2943. Trade Icom R2 For Sony 7600G

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 03:12:52 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <U4ID8.76324$Ez5.18376725@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 48508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a three month old Icom R2 in like new cond. to trade for similar cond. Sony 7600G.
DeWayne KE9K

2944. Re: Finallt got a SAME weather radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 03:17:16 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3CDDDEBA.8ECA9151@optonline.net>
Source record: 48512
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner

Actually, the NWS office located in Mt. Holly provides weather information, customized to a local area, to a number of NWS radio stations, including those in Philly, Allentown, and many in NJ. Thus, even though the information you hear on the Allentown station is prepared in Mt. Holly, it is specific to the Allentown and surrounding area.

Likewise, the information provided to the Wilkes Barre station by the NWS office in Binghamton is specific to the Wilkes Barre and surrounding area.

Nick wrote:
>
> I too have joined the same Radio Club by Midland. I picked it up at
> Sam's here in Wilkes Barre, Pa for 39 dollars and change with the Fm
> radio feature as well. Just programmed it after I obtained the same
> codes for my county and adjacent county. So it picks up 2 weather
> stations. One In Allentown actually Mt Holly, New Jersey and Wilkes
> Barre which is actually Binghampton, New York. Trnasmitters are there
> only. I live between botht these sites and excellent audio. I just
> have to wait and see ift he same code works like it should. Thanks for
> the info here. It is what made me go to Sam;s and discard my old
> everything tone alert weather radio which works fine. nick

2945. Re: BC 600 XLT Scanner Help Please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 03:37:04 UTC
From: Gary Smith <gs@ansonic.com.au>
Message-ID: <abknt7iru1@DFTNEWS.datafast.net.au>
Source record: 48511

First i would get another 12v supply and try that (with same current rating)
I would test the regulator and see what comes OUT of it to the scanner
circuit and
check that the power diode isn't shot (which sounds like it is).

Gary.

Nick <nvavro@ptd.net> wrote in message news:3cdd91b3.7412504@news.ptd.net...
> A follow Up to my post. Can it be the internal voltage regulator. The
> black square with the three leads located near the supplied input
> jack. That area is vewry very hot and so is the case if I leave it on
> and nothing happening. Or could the damage have gone further. Once
> again. No light, volume, squelch or display. nick

2946. Pro-2052 help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 03:44:10 UTC
From: Jim Evancho <always108@smyrnacable.net>
Message-ID: <udroenqb5d0e92@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48510

I recently purchased a Pro-2052 and a small antenna. Apparently I am located in some sort of dead area. I use the scanner primarily to scan police/fire feqs (trunked) and I get a lot of "popping" and lost signals.

Is there any type of booster that can be attached to the antenna to make the signal stronger?

Jim

2947. True digial cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 04:13:56 UTC
From: Ted Birckhad <tedbirck@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8_ID8.1184$X%5.748@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 48513

Some time ago there was talk that most Digital Spread spectrum phones were analog between the headset and the base allowing easy eavesdropping via scanner.

Which if any DSS phones are now totally digital?

Any info appreciated-

Thanks-
Ted--

2948. Re: ANN: tk75, new open source, multiplatform software for ICOM IC-R75

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 04:50:27 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dim.com>
Message-ID: <susrduot9vd88uhohbfli4u0e8rhtbb68f@4ax.com>
Source record: 48518
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net> wrote:

> (I like Perl and have written a lot of Perl code but Python is cleaner.
> It's too easy to write cryptic code in Perl.)

Heh. Larry Wall thinks it's necessary to make your perl code
unreadable!

2949. Re: Who Cares if Scanning Phones in U.S. Is Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 04:56:44 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <WzmD8.334$Db7.218902@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 48514
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Well, I guess if you can't challenge the facts, resort to insults.
Interestingly enough, the amateur radio callsign in your email address
doesn't come up as valid. <"K7KKG" not found in FCC Databases>

Mark

"Aren't cats Libertarian? They just want to be left alone."
"I think our dog is a Democrat, as he is always looking for a handout"
Unknown Usnet Poster

Heh, heh, I'm pretty sure my dog is a liberal - he has no balls.
Another Unknown Poster

"Bill" <k7kkg@no.spam.yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:5eaqdus88n3jfofb6p0ig1jhaqi87ht3al@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 10 May 2002 11:34:00 -0500, "M. Schneider"
> <markinokla@juno.com> wrote:
>
> >Well, for starters, the person asking the question may be new to
scanning,
> >and doesn't know it is illegal to monitor cellular and cordless phones in
> >the U.S.
>
> Anybody else hearing the bleating of sheep in this guy's post, I sure
> as hell hear it.
>

2950. Re: Who Cares if Scanning Phones in U.S. Is Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 05:01:28 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <wKmD8.3$i3.433@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 48515

No kidding! I own several pre-ban scanners, and only once did I ever hear anything of interest (of course, this was before it was illegal to monitor cell phones, also before digital cellular). It was late on a Saturday night, and some Yahoo had called one of those 900 sex lines. O-o-o-o-Kay!

Mark

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:t2lqduk7ebbvdsna1rrfc1grb9jtfila0m@4ax.com...
> "M. Schneider" wrote:
>
> >If, however, you own a pre-ban scanner and "accidentally"
> >happened to tune in a cell/cordless phone conversation, that's another
> >situation entirely.
>
> Tried it once right after buying the used 2006.
>
> BOOOOORING.

2951. Re: Who Cares if Scanning Phones in U.S. Is Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 05:17:07 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <MWmD8.4$i3.1726@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 48516

Very revealing that the only thing of any interest to Mark was
listening to somebody talking on a 900 sex line. DOH! :-)

Couldn't help it....

Glenn

In article <wKmD8.3$i3.433@newsfeed.slurp.net>, markinokla@juno.com
says...
> No kidding! I own several pre-ban scanners, and only once did I ever hear
> anything of interest (of course, this was before it was illegal to monitor
> cell phones, also before digital cellular). It was late on a Saturday
> night, and some Yahoo had called one of those 900 sex lines. O-o-o-o-Kay!
>
> Mark
>
> "SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> news:t2lqduk7ebbvdsna1rrfc1grb9jtfila0m@4ax.com...
> > "M. Schneider" wrote:
> >
> > >If, however, you own a pre-ban scanner and "accidentally"
> > >happened to tune in a cell/cordless phone conversation, that's another
> > >situation entirely.
> >
> > Tried it once right after buying the used 2006.
> >
> > BOOOOORING.
>
>
>

2952. cell phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 05:34:56 UTC
From: Evan Olberding <flipteam15@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3cddff5d@news.mhogaming.com>
Source record: 48517

can someone give me the cell frequencies or tell me where to look, thanks.

2953. Department store freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 07:23:21 UTC
From: Mac Rosevelt <rapture@calweb.com>
Message-ID: <3cde1813_2@news3.calweb.com>
Source record: 48521

I have found listings for various department stores, but haven't heard any (that I can positively indentify), even at two major malls. Is there generally a very limited range their frequencies, or what? Do they use specific code words that they might use? So far I have heard activity from my home quite a distance away from malls or dept. stores that sounds like dept. store security, but it could be for the general mall security instead. And even if I do know it's a Macy's, for example, how do I know which one in my city it is? Alot of the stores in malls these days are very similiar, (which would discourage iding by knowing the nearby stores) and I don't think that security guards would very often mention the specific mall or address they are at. I feel that I have probally heard a couple of department stores already but I don't know for sure.

2954. Re: Bill Cheeks still around??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 08:19:45 UTC
From: KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <BApD8.33756$WR1.26037@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 48519

Is this the SAME Dan Morisseau who lives up in the Tacoma area
& got in trouble for spreading all his lies & made up stories on this
NG a few years ago?

Dan Morisseau <dpm3@morisseau.org> wrote
> "Gap-toothed"! I like that. It sure does describe the Alfred E Neuman
> grin, doesn't it? His high domed forehead only accentuates the vaguely
> addled look.
>
>
> --
> Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38&#65533;34'53", W 90&#65533;22'32", 680'
> On the sunrise side of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
> Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
> ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
> "When the going gets rough, the tough go cyclic!"
>
>

2955. Re: Moderator?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 08:23:36 UTC
From: KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <cEpD8.32908$RR3.37779@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 48520

Wrong, Brett. You were talking about a "TROLL" & that would
OBVIOUSLY be Bud, as he has been cought red-handed several
times in the past.

Brett Powell <powell.brett@worldnet.att.net> wrote
> Meant you john as you well know, bye bye be gud now!

2956. Re: INMARSAT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 10:32:28 UTC
From: joselu2 <JOSELU-GH@terra.es>
Message-ID: <ablfnl$8co2@esiami.tsai.es>
Source record: 48522

???yo pregunto¿¿
"MRK" <me@you.com> escribió en el mensaje news:udljse3b2jh06f@corp.supernews.com...
How do you receive the satellites with your AOR 5000?
"joselu2" <JOSELU-GH@terra.es> wrote in message news:abdg76$qeu1@esiami.tsai.es...
ALGUIEN RECIBE ESTE SATELITE
CON UN AOR 5000

2957. Re: Pro-2052 help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 13:51:30 UTC
From: Terry <nepvetm@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <CruD8.3832$9z5.463861@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 48524

Jim Evancho <always108@smyrnacable.net> wrote in message
news:udroenqb5d0e92@corp.supernews.com...
> I recently purchased a Pro-2052 and a small antenna. Apparently I am
located
> in some sort of dead area. I use the scanner primarily to scan police/fire
> feqs (trunked) and I get a lot of "popping" and lost signals.
>
> Is there any type of booster that can be attached to the antenna to make
the
> signal stronger?
>
> Jim

You can go to RadioShack and get a pre-amp for the scanner. They will need
to special order it.

The base version is 940-0858 = $49.99
The handheld version is 940-0859 = $39.99

The difference is that the handheld version can go directly on the back of
the scanner and the antenna onto that, whereas the base version you'll need
another BNC wire to go from pre-amp to scanner (assuming it doesn't come
with one; not sure. ask RS people)

You'll need a 9v battery or 9v AC-DC adapter for either one (273-1767 =
$8.99)

BTW, i am not a RS salesman. I just have one of their catalogs. Very handy.

2958. Re: BC 600 XLT Scanner Help Please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 14:21:02 UTC
From: nvavro@ptd.net
Message-ID: <3cde7a7c.1013459@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 48525

Gary; Thanks for reply. I did what you said and according to cheaper digital tester it says 18 volts coming out plugged in without connection to scanner. It is center pin positive. Would that be correct since it is rated at 14.8 volts on the transformer itself. Never measured it before.
Next. The regulator I believe was 6 or 8 coming out with the current voltage supply plugged in not sure without checking again. I do know the power regulator inside gets extremely hot fast like seconds to burn the skin. It even heats up the frame which would seem odd.

And next what does the power diode look like and where can or would it be located. Nothing looks charred or blackened or even melted which i though would be. I lloked at the filament in the tiny light and it seems ok. Like I said no display, light, squelch, sound or anything and all of a sudden.

I did notice that the backup batteries were left in and that acid had leaked out into the battery backup case which i cleaned out. Could this have contributed to the short when plugged in then not knowing it was left with batteries in and totally drianed and leaking. Nick

2959. Re: Department store freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 15:03:25 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <abllvmhtp1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 48523

Buy a frequency counter and walk around with it hidden in a pocket, capture all the freqs you want, and have a great day...

Mac Rosevelt <rapture@calweb.com> wrote in message news:3cde1813_2@news3.calweb.com...
> I have found listings for various department stores, but haven't heard any
> (that I can positively indentify), even at two major malls. Is there
> generally a very limited range their frequencies, or what? Do they use
> specific code words that they might use? So far I have heard activity from
> my home quite a distance away from malls or dept. stores that sounds like
> dept. store security, but it could be for the general mall security instead.
> And even if I do know it's a Macy's, for example, how do I know which one in
> my city it is? Alot of the stores in malls these days are very similiar,
> (which would discourage iding by knowing the nearby stores) and I don't
> think that security guards would very often mention the specific mall or
> address they are at. I feel that I have probally heard a couple of
> department stores already but I don't know for sure.
>
>

2960. Re: Department store freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 16:16:52 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020512121652.06878.00002610@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 48526

Some chains use common frequencies/tones for all of their stores.

For example, Target uses 155.625 and 155.955. PL tone is 179.9. The 155.625 frequency is commonly used for automated paging announcements ("Guest assistance to Toys", etc.) while 155.955 is the main frequency for comms between employees.

Old Navy also uses 155.955, but with a PL of 74.4.

Many Wal-Marts can be heard on 154.570 or 154.600. PL varies.

Fast food places also have common frequencies. Nearly all Taco Bells have an input of 151.895, PL 88.5. Output is 33.400, PL 82.5. Burger Kings commonly input on 154.515 or 154.540, PL 88.5. Output is the same 33.400, PL 82.5.

This should get you started. Have fun :-)

2961. Re: HELP TETRA, What?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 16:26:33 UTC
From: proma1 <proma1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <wMwD8.1536$eK4.107957@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 48528
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

even if someone did break it,as soon as they mentioned it,there door would be knocked down,the Police in most cases have been tolerant of scanners but,if someone did break Tetra the implications would be serious and i do not believe anyone who managed to decode it and then tells people how its done would survive very long.

regards

--

Paul Wey[PROMA1]

moderator of following scanning groups

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promaofftopic

"Trio 9R59DE" <9R59DE@nobodyradio.org> wrote in message
news:abk36m$pd3$1@knossos.btinternet.com...
> One day, Tetra will be broken - there are some fanatics who will dedicate
> their life to it!
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.360 / Virus Database: 199 - Release Date: 07/05/2002
>

2962. Re: Department store freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 16:52:57 UTC
From: Carl Solomon <cdsol@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <3CDE9DAB.70631A7@swbell.net>
Source record: 48527

If all your doing is receiving, ya' don't need to be concerned about PL tones. Those are only used to activate a receiver whose audio is blocked unless it hears that subaudible tone. Your scanner/handheld (or whatever) will probably not be set up to block unwanted audio, so you'll hear whatever comes in on the received frequency, regardless of PL.

/Carl - W5SU

TooManyRadios wrote:

> Some chains use common frequencies/tones for all of their stores.
>
> For example, Target uses 155.625 and 155.955. PL tone is 179.9. The 155.625
> frequency is commonly used for automated paging announcements ("Guest
> assistance to Toys", etc.) while 155.955 is the main frequency for comms
> between employees.
>
> Old Navy also uses 155.955, but with a PL of 74.4.
>
> Many Wal-Marts can be heard on 154.570 or 154.600. PL varies.
>
> Fast food places also have common frequencies. Nearly all Taco Bells have an
> input of 151.895, PL 88.5. Output is 33.400, PL 82.5. Burger Kings commonly
> input on 154.515 or 154.540, PL 88.5. Output is the same 33.400, PL 82.5.
>
> This should get you started. Have fun :-)

2963. Re: HELP TETRA, What?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 17:03:05 UTC
From: Honest Alan <NOSPAM=gohome@orange.net>
Message-ID: <abm78i$57e$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 48529
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Why?
And legally what could be done to you if you were to break it,

I'd say you were more likely to have a friendy knock at the door from MI5 or someone wanting your skills at codebreaking (? well that's a bit far fetched but why not?)

"proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:wMwD8.1536$eK4.107957@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net...
> even if someone did break it,as soon as they mentioned it,there door would
> be knocked down,,,,,,,,,,

2964. Re: HELP TETRA, What?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 17:18:36 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <nospam@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <aY9k0iAsPq38Ewzs@g7hid.lineone.net>
Source record: 48530
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <abm78i$57e$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>, Honest Alan
<NOSPAM=gohome@orange.net> writes
>Why?
>And legally what could be done to you if you were to break it,
>
>I'd say you were more likely to have a friendy knock at the door from MI5 or
>someone wanting your skills at codebreaking (? well that's a bit far fetched
>but why not?)

Having been in a similar position a few years ago, I would say that the
person knocking at you door would first be asking - 'who supplied you
with the Insider information?' :-(

--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
: don't fix it !

2965. Re: Who Cares if Scanning Phones in U.S. Is Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 17:29:50 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <ADxD8.127$i3.90442@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 48531
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Let's put it this way, "Bill" (if that is your name), it's in the form of an official FCC-issued amateur radio callsign. If it isn't one (and it isn't) then you are trying to deceive people by implying that you do have a amateur radio license. As for not caring what the law says, that's what most felons say. You'll probably be trading in your bogus callsign for another federally-issued number - Prisoner 75467895, or something like that, fairly soon. Most idiots tend to be self-eliminating, it's called Darwin's Law

Adios! <click>
Mark

"Bill" <k7kkg@no.spam.yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:a8vsdu0joaoaddeaas67pehl3r92dvcb9c@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 11 May 2002 23:56:44 -0500, "M. Schneider"
> <markinokla@juno.com> wrote:
>
> >Well, I guess if you can't challenge the facts, resort to insults.
>
> I don't care about the facts of the law, I'll listen to what the hell
> I want to listen to. That RF passes through my property and I want to
> know what it's carrying.
>
> >Interestingly enough, the amateur radio callsign in your email address
> >doesn't come up as valid. <"K7KKG" not found in FCC Databases>
>
> _You_ assume it's a FCC callsign, see how wrong you can be.
>

2966. Re: HELP TETRA, What?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 17:37:33 UTC
From: Steve Terry <g4wwknospam@tesco.net>
Message-ID: <abm99m$jddir$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48532
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:wMwD8.1536$eK4.107957@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net...
> even if someone did break it,as soon as they mentioned it,there door would
> be knocked down,
<snip>
>
No they wouldn't
Any sign of the encryption being broken they would simply add extra levels

Like when MS found the 40 bit encryption on IE 5.01 was inadequate
they brought out a 128 bit encryption update

Or you can have an extra level of encryption added to GSM phones for a few
hundred quid
So only another matching GSM phone can hear it

That's the advantage of digital systems

Steve Terry

2967. Re: Department store freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 18:14:28 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3cdeb11f$0$1417$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 48533
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

A new Target store opened this year near me (in IL)
and I can hear them use these frequencies:

461.0375 462.9125 469.4875 466.2875

The freqs are also listed in the FCC database
for this Target store.

The first freq is the most active with salesdroid
chatter, and a recorded announcement is often
broadcast summoning cashiers to the registers.

The last frequency sounds like it is used for unloading
trucks.

On Sun, 12 May 2002 11:16:52 -0500, TooManyRadios wrote:

> Some chains use common frequencies/tones for all of their stores.
>
>
> For example, Target uses 155.625 and 155.955. PL tone is 179.9. The
> 155.625 frequency is commonly used for automated paging announcements
> ("Guest assistance to Toys", etc.) while 155.955 is the main frequency
> for comms between employees.

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

2968. Re: PRO-2006 Squelch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 18:28:42 UTC
From: gx001 <gx001@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <udtd9ba6lqstdf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48534
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I had a similar problem on my Trident 100, any delay value of 0 or 1 will prohibit the scan of memory channels. In search mode it worked fine.

Try making the delay a higher value...let us know if it helped.
M

<nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message news:3CD9794D.FC7A285C@nospam.com...
> I've got a Pro-2006 and when I program a "LIMIT" search, I can squelch it
> enough that it runs through the channels of my LIMIT search range just fine and
> stops on active channels, then continues as it should. My problem is when I
> actually PROGRAM channels into the unit. Normally, you would PROGRAM your freqs
> into each of the available 400 channels, then hit SCAN to scan through your
> programmed channels. Problem is, I cannot squelch it enough that it will move
> beyond the first programmed channel. Even with the squelch knob in the full
> clockwise position, I still hear a little static and it won't move beyond that
> channel (most of my programmed channels are in NFM mode).
>
> Any idea why I can scan through the same freqs in a LIMIT search (because I can
> squelch it just fine) as opposed why I cannot when the freqs are actually
> programmed into the first bank of 40 (or any bank for that matter).
>
> Thanks for the help.
>
>
>

2969. Bill Cheek Data File

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 18:37:37 UTC
From: zerg90@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3365-3CDEB671-8@storefull-2397.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 48535

This page lists the common IF chips and tap point.

http://www.comtronics.net/scandata.txt

(I posted the entire file in plain text to alt.radio.scanner)

2970. FRG9600 sold!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 18:58:06 UTC
From: radionurd@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020512145806.02606.00010951@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 48536

Thanks for all the replies, but the unit is now sold. Thanks!

2971. Re: HELP TETRA, What?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 19:02:00 UTC
From: proma1 <proma1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <n2zD8.1251$uB.100212@news8-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 48538
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

yes fair point on adding extra encryption,but still if anyone did try and market such a thing or even talk about it on any of the groups,that are watched by the authorities,it would not go down well.

Tetra of course will be added to any way as it goes along,so it will not stand still.

I do not believe anything really practical will ever be available to master TETRA,highest spec computers,plenty of memory and fast,it would not get me interested,a handheld that would be differant though.

I wish they had gone for APCO 25 without encryption,then we would be getting there.

At the end of the day,yes i will miss police and other 999 comms,but i listen to so much more.

regards

--

Paul Wey[PROMA1]

moderator of following scanning groups

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promaofftopic

"Steve Terry" <g4wwknospam@tesco.net> wrote in message
news:abm99m$jddir$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de...
> "proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
> news:wMwD8.1536$eK4.107957@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net...
> > even if someone did break it,as soon as they mentioned it,there door
would
> > be knocked down,
> <snip>
> >
> No they wouldn't
> Any sign of the encryption being broken they would simply add extra levels
>
> Like when MS found the 40 bit encryption on IE 5.01 was inadequate

> they brought out a 128 bit encryption update
>
> Or you can have an extra level of encryption added to GSM phones for a few
> hundred quid
> So only another matching GSM phone can hear it
>
> That's the advantage of digital systems
>
> Steve Terry
>
>

2972. Re: PRO-2006 Squelch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 19:05:14 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <3CDEBCEA.B0377CC8@nospam.com>
Source record: 48537
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Delay value? There is no way that I know of to change delay value in the PRO-2006. Bob Parnass wrote an article some time ago that I ran across today about Squelch Hysteresis. I have yet to be able to find R152 to try that fix. Even if it doesn't fix the scanning problem, it might fix the fact that the squelch is so tight, that when I squelch it out in an LIMIT search, it blocks out most close signals too. Yet, I may also reverse the mod of increasing channel scan speed to see if that helps. If all else fails, I have a full service manual on the way and hope that may shed some light on the subject.

Thanks for the help and suggestions.
---

gx001 wrote:
>
> I had a similar problem on my Trident 100, any delay value of 0 or 1 will
> prohibit the scan of memory channels. In search mode it worked fine.
>
> Try making the delay a higher value...let us know if it helped.
> M
>
> <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message news:3CD9794D.FC7A285C@nospam.com...
> > I've got a Pro-2006 and when I program a "LIMIT" search, I can squelch it
> > enough that it runs through the channels of my LIMIT search range just
> fine and
> > stops on active channels, then continues as it should. My problem is when
> I
> > actually PROGRAM channels into the unit. Normally, you would PROGRAM your
> freqs
> > into each of the available 400 channels, then hit SCAN to scan through
> your
> > programmed channels. Problem is, I cannot squelch it enough that it will
> move
> > beyond the first programmed channel. Even with the squelch knob in the
> full
> > clockwise position, I still hear a little static and it won't move beyond
> that
> > channel (most of my programmed channels are in NFM mode).
> >
> > Any idea why I can scan through the same freqs in a LIMIT search (because
> I can
> > squelch it just fine) as opposed why I cannot when the freqs are actually
> > programmed into the first bank of 40 (or any bank for that matter).
> >
> > Thanks for the help.

> >
> >
> >

2973. PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 19:39:47 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <7yzD8.4581$Ok3.2771411@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 48539
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Well Paypal has done it again to me.They are taking money from my account
for no reason as I don't use it since they screwed me out of $400.00.Now I
got a thing on my account saying they deducted from my account for update
charges.
I have emailed them several time with no results except for a automated
response to email them if I have a problem.So I do and get the same response
over and over again.
As of now I have closed my account and reopened a new one so they won't
screw me for more.
WATCH OUT FOR PAYPAL !! They will get you for sure.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

2974. FCC database category explanations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 20:04:03 UTC
From: richard dreyfuss <jquimby@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns920CA05379B55jquimbyhotmailcom@206.141.192.32>
Source record: 48542

Does anybody know of a place where I could find explanations of what the
various categories mean when retrieving data from the fcc license database?
I get the important stuff, but I don't know the less self explanitory ones,
like:

COMM/Priv:
Auth:
Applicant:
Elig:
Antenna Sequence number:
Type First Use:
Class:
Type Entity:
Emissions:

I'm not asking someone to explain them all here, I'm wondering if there's a
page out there already that I've missed that would handle it.

Thanks for your help,
Ben

2975. FS MFJ 462-B multireader

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 20:34:16 UTC
From: Jesse <jessejames@attglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3CDED1C8.1022D9FC@attglobal.net>
Source record: 48540
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Thought I'd give you guys a crack at this before it hits ebay.
Heres a short excerpt of mfj's plug on it :

Ever wonder what those mysterious chirps, whistles, and buzzing sounds
are on the
shortwave bands?
Much of it is RTTY, ASCII, CW, and AMTOR
(FEC) signals passing commercial, military,
diplomatic, weather, aeronautical,
maritime, amateur and other traffic.
Tap into these "secret" signals
Plug this self-contained MFJ MultiReader
into your shortwave receiver's earphone jack.
Then watch these mysterious signals turn
into exciting text messages as they scroll
across an easy to read LCD display. No
computer, interface, special cables or other
equipment is needed.

Unit is in mint condition and hardly used - I's say a total of maybe 14
hours in 3 years.
They go for over $175.oo
(http://www.mfjenterprises.com/products.php?prodid=MFJ-462B)
I'm looking to get $85.00 shipping included.
Feel free to email me off list if your interested.

Regards..
Jesse

2976. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 20:53:27 UTC
From: NaziHunter <q3_config@nospamhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <piltducf4m07botupura86cm1cm0alhnuv@4ax.com>
Source record: 48541
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 12 May 2002 19:39:47 GMT, "N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net>
wrote:

>Well Paypal has done it again to me.They are taking money from my account
>for no reason as I don't use it since they screwed me out of $400.00.Now I
>got a thing on my account saying they deducted from my account for update
>charges.
>I have emailed them several time with no results except for a automated
>response to email them if I have a problem.So I do and get the same response
>over and over again.
>As of now I have closed my account and reopened a new one so they won't
>screw me for more.
>WATCH OUT FOR PAYPAL !! They will get you for sure.

Then why resign?

2977. Re: PRO-2006 Squelch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 21:18:35 UTC
From: M2310@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13792-3CDEDC2B-79@storefull-2338.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 48546

From the troubleshooting section of the service manual:

Symptom: Squelch operates but does not scan.

Causes/Remedy: 1) IC501 runninng "wild": Press RESTART Switch. 2)
Defective CPU circuit: Replace the defective components.

Hopefully step 1 will correct the problem.

2978. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 21:20:39 UTC
From: Jesse <jessejames@attglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3CDEDCA7.716485EC@attglobal.net>
Source record: 48545
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Well your not alone. Do a google search on 'paypal screwing me" .
I recently signed up for their "services" and have one successful transaction to date but its probably a matter of time before I get screwed also.
When you consider its a free service and,at least in concept,very convinient,you have to wonder hmmm these guys must make money somehow.And that somehow is apparently by screwing people over.
It seems to me they are a scandal waiting to happen,and until a class action lawsuit is files it'll probably get worse before it gets ant better.

Jesse

N1RSP wrote:

> Well Paypal has done it again to me.They are taking money from my account
> for no reason as I don't use it since they screwed me out of $400.00.Now I
> got a thing on my account saying they deducted from my account for update
> charges.
> I have emailed them several time with no results except for a automated
> response to email them if I have a problem.So I do and get the same response
> over and over again.
> As of now I have closed my account and reopened a new one so they won't
> screw me for more.
> WATCH OUT FOR PAYPAL !! They will get you for sure.
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

2979. Re: Finallt got a SAME weather radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 21:21:05 UTC
From: pobox---@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3CDEDCC1.CD3E8D45@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 48544
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,misc.emerg-services,rec.radio.scanner

Nick wrote:
>

> I too have joined the same Radio Club by Midland. I picked it up at
> Sam's here in Wilkes Barre, Pa for 39 dollars and change with the Fm
> radio feature as well. Just programmed it after I obtained the same
> codes for my county and adjacent county. So it picks up 2 weather
> stations. One In Allentown actually Mt Holly, New Jersey and Wilkes
> Barre which is actually Binghampton, New York. Trnasmitters are there
> only. I live between botht these sites and excellent audio. I just
> have to wait and see ift he same code works like it should. Thanks for
> the info here. It is what made me go to Sam;s and discard my old
> everything tone alert weather radio which works fine. nick

Good luck, mine is working ("beeping") fine today, We've had
numerous alerts in the DC-VA areas for thunderstorm warnings.

I noticed last night the LCD said "CHECK MANUAL" which is
displayed if the radio doesn't receive any SAME codes for a
190 hour period. I missed the weekly test last Wednesday
because I had my radio set to Sudlersville, Md. (WXK-97)
by mistake. Now it's fine.

(KM)

2980. WTT For Late Sony SW77

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 21:21:48 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <M1BD8.77867$Ez5.19246213@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 48543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am looking for a late MINT Sony SW77. I have a Drake SW2 with remote and tilt stand in excellent cond. Also have Icom R2 I bought new two months ago with coupon for free cable and software. I would consider trading even for the SW77.
DeWayne KE9K

2981. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 21:47:04 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <spBD8.4638$Ok3.2795937@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 48347
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I did not resign with Paypal.I reopened a new account at my bank so they cannot use the numbers they already had from a previous transaction.I am not stupid enough to resign with these crooks again.

"NaziHunter" <q3_config@nospamhotmail.com> wrote in message news:piltducf4m07botupura86cm1cm0alhnuv@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 12 May 2002 19:39:47 GMT, "N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Well Paypal has done it again to me.They are taking money from my account
> >for no reason as I don't use it since they screwed me out of $400.00.Now
I
> >got a thing on my account saying they deducted from my account for
update
> >charges.
> >I have emailed them several time with no results except for a automated
> >response to email them if I have a problem.So I do and get the same
response
> >over and over again.
> >As of now I have closed my account and reopened a new one so they won't
> >screw me for more.
> >WATCH OUT FOR PAYPAL !! They will get you for sure.
>
> Then why resign?
>
>
>
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

2982. Re: Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 22:14:01 UTC
From: nvavro@ptd.net
Message-ID: <3cdee910.29325417@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 48348
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Mon, 22 Apr 2002 17:07:00 GMT, Ron Wilbanks <NYC6011@ameritech.net>
wrote:

>
>
>matt weber wrote:
>
>> It certainly does work, but from my experience many of the receivers
>> out there are so poor that they don't work! I have a Midland, an
>> Oregon Scientific, and a Realistic, all are SAME equipped. All can
>> receive weather broadcasts with acceptable clarify and volume.
>> All use the supplied whip or built in antenna, and all are set to the
>> same code. I even tried adding an external antenna to the Oregon
>> Scientific. It didn't help.
>
>My friend did the same thing with the Oregon Scientific units and an outdoor
>antenna made no difference with his radio either; reception did not affect the
>radio's inability to go off.
>
>>
>>
>> Every Wednesday there is a test signal. The Midland ALWAYS goes off.
>> (and when the weather gets exciting, it also goes off with embarassing
>> frequency)..
>
>Yes, I recommended to several friends that they should buy the Midland units with
>SAME, rather than buying the Radio Shack version, which only goes off 25-30% of
>the the time. The last thing you want is to depend on a weather radio that has
>issues!
>
>
>I use a Uniden WX-100, which so far has been fantastic. The radio never misses a
>test or a warning activation. Sadly, due to poor sales, Uniden discontinued
>production of this receiver a few months ago.
>
>
>What attracted me the most to the WX-100, were it's features: external antenna,
>speaker, relay jacks, amber LCD display, battery backup and the ability to select
>SAME alerting for all, one, or up to 20 counties. I doubt very much that I would
>ever have more than three counties programmed into the radio but in the future,
>the NWS, plans on dividing up larger counties, and allowing users with SAME
>receivers to set their radios to receive warnings for only their portion of the
>county they live in.

>
>
>73's
>--
>==
>Ron Wilbanks
>
>Freelance Photographer, Writer, Historian, Railfan, Roadgeek, and
>Michigan State Editor, for "Monitor America"
>
>*** Spam Filter in place 6011-11 = correct reply address! ***
>
>"Enjoy and explore our Nation's U.S. Highway System:
> you'll be richer for the endless experiences that await you!!!!" :)
>==
>
>
Anyone can purchase the unit at www.scannerworld.com. No, I'm not a
salesman or affiliated with them. I am a repeat customer a state away.
Currently the WX-100 radio sells there for 54.99 plus 8.00 shipping.
Check it out it is still available there. Nick

2983. Re: FS MFJ 462-B multireader

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 22:54:02 UTC
From: CW <clinton.magers@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <eoCD8.26925$Po6.11258@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 48350
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

I have never known anyone to get one of those things to work. Have you had
any better luck?
--
CW
KC7NOD
Web Page http://www.kc7nod.20m.com

"Jesse" <jessejames@attglobal.net> wrote in message
news:3CDED1C8.1022D9FC@attglobal.net...
> Thought I'd give you guys a crack at this before it hits ebay.
> Heres a short excerpt of mfj's plug on it :
>
> Ever wonder what those mysterious chirps, whistles, and buzzing sounds
> are on the
> shortwave bands?
> Much of it is RTTY, ASCII, CW, and AMTOR
> (FEC) signals passing commercial, military,
> diplomatic, weather, aeronautical,
> maritime, amateur and other traffic.
> Tap into these "secret" signals
> Plug this self-contained MFJ MultiReader
> into your shortwave receiver's earphone jack.
> Then watch these mysterious signals turn
> into exciting text messages as they scroll
> across an easy to read LCD display. No
> computer, interface, special cables or other
> equipment is needed.
>
> Unit is in mint condition and hardly used - I's say a total of maybe 14
> hours in 3 years.
> They go for over $175.oo
> (http://www.mfjenterprises.com/products.php?prodid=MFJ-462B)
> I'm looking to get $85.00 shipping included.
> Feel free to email me off list if your interested.
>
> Regards..
> Jesse
>

2984. Re: 73's Magazine, Is it still around?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 23:10:21 UTC
From: Harry <hgk@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <3CDEF65D.7662D598@centurytel.net>
Source record: 48351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

George
You could ask Wayne Green W2NSD
Email profusion@aol.com

GeorgeF wrote:
>
> Been looking for recent issues of 73's Magazine however I can't find
> them in our local bookstores. Is this magazine still being published?
> If so what type of "chain" bookstore sells them?
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com <--HF, VHF, UHF Military Frequncies and MORE!

2985. Re: 73's Magazine, Is it still around?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 23:27:43 UTC
From: Donald Reeve <don236@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <PTCD8.908$NQ4.11557149@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

They used to carry 73 at my local "booksamillion" outlet, though I haven't been by there in a few months to see if anything's changed. I recall you're from Daytona Beach and there's two booksamillion outlets in that area--have you checked them out?

Donald Reeve

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message news:3CDEFF96.1020406@licensed4fun.com...
> Been looking for recent issues of 73's Magazine however I can't find
> them in our local bookstores. Is this magazine still being published?
> If so what type of "chain" bookstore sells them?
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com <--HF, VHF, UHF Military Frequncies and MORE!
>
>

2986. ID Tracker Source Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 23:44:55 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <_4DD8.200337$tt4.15076568@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 48353

I am releasing the VB5 source code for ID Tracker under the GNU
General Public License. For those who wish to get started in computer
controlled scanning, this may help.

http://personal.atl.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

2987. 73's Magazine, Is it still around?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-12 23:49:42 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CDEFF96.1020406@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 48349
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Been looking for recent issues of 73's Magazine however I can't find
them in our local bookstores. Is this magazine still being published?
If so what type of "chain" bookstore sells them?
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <--HF, VHF, UHF Military Frequncies and MORE!

2988. Re: ?? How can I scan all 7 NOAA freqs. in alert mode at the same time??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 00:01:40 UTC
From: CoolDave_0@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8310981d.0205121601.1f5781e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48354

sprinkysprinky@yahoo.com (KC9BQA) wrote in message
news:<8f027193.0205111508.fcbcfa1@posting.google.com>...
> I'm wondering if someone can help answer this question of mine.
>
> I would like to know if there's a scanner or radio (mobile,
> preferably) that will silently scan ALL 7 available NOAA weather radio
> freqs. and will still activate for ALL weather alerts on ANY of the 7
> freqs. This would mean that while I am traveling, I wouldn't need to
> be re-entering the nearest NOAA channel. The radio would simply be
> quietly scanning/monitoring all 7 channels and any time an alert was
> sounded for any station that could be received, I would hear it.
>
> I already have a PRO-76 and a PRO-79 that have the weather alert
> feature. It works fine, but again, I can't seem to set either radio
> into an all-channel weatherscan mode and still have the alert feature
> on standby. Perhaps I'm missing something obvious; perhaps there just
> isn't a radio that can do this.
>
> I hope my question is clear. Any help someone can give will be
> appreciated.

Just set a bank to scan the 7 frequencies and when something happens
it will stay on that channel.
Cheers,
Dave

2989. 929-945MHz what am I hearing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 00:10:32 UTC
From: CoolDave_0@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8310981d.0205121610.192bb8a7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48355

Sounds like computerized digital bleeping and buzzing noises. If ya like scanning and you like nice scenary it was great. I sat on a big hill watching a sunset when I heard this hehe.
What is this?
Thanks!

-Dave

2990. Re: 929-945MHz what am I hearing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 00:15:03 UTC
From: teeavee@aol.comGETLOST
Message-ID: <20020512201503.07851.00014229@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 48356

The signals you are probably hearing are pager transmissions. Some of them are in that frequency range....Cheers!

2991. Re: 73's Magazine, Is it still around?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 01:00:10 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CDF101A.5050604@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 48357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi Don,
Correct I'm from Daytona. About 3 months ago I had thought I seen a
copy at Booksamillion. However someone had asked me the other week to
pickup a copy for him however Booksamillion didn't have any. You say
there are two booksamillion in my area, I know of the one along
International Speedway Blvd, where is the other?
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

Donald Reeve wrote:

> They used to carry 73 at my local "booksamillion" outlet, though I
> haven't been by there in a few months to see if anything's changed. I
> recall you're from Daytona Beach and there's two booksamillion outlets
> in that area--have you checked them out?
>
> Donald Reeve
>
> "GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
> news:3CDEFF96.1020406@licensed4fun.com...
>
>>Been looking for recent issues of 73's Magazine however I can't find
>>them in our local bookstores. Is this magazine still being
>>
> published?
>
>> If so what type of "chain" bookstore sells them?
>>George
>>http://www.MilAirComms.com <--HF, VHF, UHF Military Frequncies and
>>
> MORE!
>
>>
>
>

--

_____
I make Over $5000 per Week on eBay!
Make eBay(tm) Your Job and Earn BIG $$$
---->http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay<----
_____

2992. Re: 73's Magazine, Is it still around?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 01:15:16 UTC
From: Donald Reeve <don236@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <EsED8.4338$lW3.111659309@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3CDF101A.5050604@licensed4fun.com...
> Hi Don,
> Correct I'm from Daytona. About 3 months ago I had thought I seen a
> copy at Booksamillion. However someone had asked me the other week to
> pickup a copy for him however Booksamillion didn't have any. You say
> there are two booksamillion in my area, I know of the one along
> International Speedway Blvd, where is the other?
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com

Well, I just did a store search on their website
http://www.booksamillion.com/ncom/ebooks/
using Daytona Beach as a starting point and these were the closest two:

(Daytona Mall)
90 North Nova Road
Daytona, FL 32114
Phone: 904-255-5588
Distance: 1.34 miles from the center

2. Bookland - New Smyrna Beach (New Smyrna Regional Mall)
1944 State Road 44
New Smyrna Beach, FL 32168
Phone: 386-423-1678
Distance: 14.60 miles from the center

>
>
>
>
> Donald Reeve wrote:
>
> > They used to carry 73 at my local "booksamillion" outlet, though I
> > haven't been by there in a few months to see if anything's changed.
I
> > recall you're from Daytona Beach and there's two booksamillion
outlets
> > in that area--have you checked them out?
> >
> > Donald Reeve
> >
> > "GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
> > news:3CDEFF96.1020406@licensed4fun.com...

> >
> >>Been looking for recent issues of 73's Magazine however I can't find
> >>them in our local bookstores. Is this magazine still being
> >>
> > published?
> >
> >> If so what type of "chain" bookstore sells them?
> >>George
> >>http://www.MilAirComms.com <--HF, VHF, UHF Military Frequncies and
> >>
> > MORE!
> >
> >>
> >
> >
>
>
> --
> ____
> I make Over $5000 per Week on eBay!
> Make eBay(tm) Your Job and Earn BIG $$$
> ---->http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay<----
> ____
>
>

2993. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 01:30:49 UTC
From: we6a@wt.net
Message-ID: <4522def3.0205121730.43453216@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48359
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

They took my money but never supported a dispute. They are real
crooks and can not be trusted with any money. Beware!

N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<spBD8.4638$Ok3.2795937@news1.news.adelphia.net>...
> I did not resign with Paypal.I reopened a new account at my bank so they
> cannot use the numbers they already had from a previous transaction.I am not
> stupid enough to resign with these crooks again.
>
> "NaziHunter" <q3_config@nospamhotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:piltducf4m07botupura86cm1cm0alhnuv@4ax.com...
> > On Sun, 12 May 2002 19:39:47 GMT, "N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Well Paypal has done it again to me.They are taking money from my account
> > >for no reason as I don't use it since they screwed me out of $400.00.Now
> I
> > >got a thing on my account saying they deducted from my account for
> update
> > >charges.
> > >I have emailed them several time with no results except for a automated
> > >response to email them if I have a problem.So I do and get the same
> response
> > >over and over again.
> > >As of now I have closed my account and reopened a new one so they won't
> > >screw me for more.
> > >WATCH OUT FOR PAYPAL !! They will get you for sure.
> >
> > Then why resign?
> >
> >Right you are. Paypal are crooks. I lost money with them and they only serve themselves.
Don't use their service... real bad news!
> >WE6A
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

2994. FA: Yupiteru MVT-9000M3 DO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 01:31:11 UTC
From: Bill Becker <the.bill@cox.net>
Message-ID: <3CDF175E.E04418C6@cox.net>
Source record: 48360

If anyone is interested, please see:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1351753636

Thanks for looking!

--Bill

2995. FA: Icom PCR-1000 PC-controlled receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 01:52:56 UTC
From: Mike White <m.white66@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <Y%ED8.9744$5J3.8368@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 48361
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

At auction on eBay, a new Icom PCR-1000 receiver. Please point your browser
to

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1352659607

Thanks.

Mike, N4PDY

2996. Re: 73's Magazine, Is it still around?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 02:03:41 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@dialpoint.net>
Message-ID: <3CDF1EFD.6FFCD66@dialpoint.net>
Source record: 48363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Don't know who carries it but I subscribe to it and got a new issue a
few days ago.

GeorgeF wrote:
>
> Been looking for recent issues of 73's Magazine however I can't find
> them in our local bookstores. Is this magazine still being published?
> If so what type of "chain" bookstore sells them?
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com <--HF, VHF, UHF Military Frequncies and MORE!

2997. Re: ?? How can I scan all 7 NOAA freqs. in alert mode at the same time??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 02:25:06 UTC
From: Thomas and Karen Mitchell <kg7u@olympus.net>
Message-ID: <udu90goqrvmcdd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48362

I don't see how scanning all 7 frequencies could work; the scanner would stop on the first one transmitting, whether weather alerting or not, and stay there.

I know nothing about the company, but Thunder Eagle advertises a "scanner" that will do what the original writer wants.

See

http://www.thuneagle.com/our_products/Weather_Eagle_100/weather_eagle_100.html

Tom Mitchell
Port Angeles, Washington
--

---
http://www.olympus.net/personal/kg7u

"Dave L" <CoolDave_0@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:8310981d.0205121601.1f5781e@posting.google.com...
> sprinkysprinky@yahoo.com (KC9BQA) wrote in message
news:<8f027193.0205111508.fcbcfa1@posting.google.com>...
> > I'm wondering if someone can help answer this question of mine.
> >
> > I would like to know if there's a scanner or radio (mobile,
> > preferably) that will silently scan ALL 7 available NOAA weather radio
> > freqs. and will still activate for ALL weather alerts on ANY of the 7
> > freqs. This would mean that while I am traveling, I wouldn't need to
> > be re-entering the nearest NOAA channel. The radio would simply be
> > quietly scanning/monitoring all 7 channels and any time an alert was
> > sounded for any station that could be received, I would hear it.
> >
> > I already have a PRO-76 and a PRO-79 that have the weather alert
> > feature. It works fine, but again, I can't seem to set either radio
> > into an all-channel weatherscan mode and still have the alert feature
> > on standby. Perhaps I'm missing something obvious; perhaps there just
> > isn't a radio that can do this.
> >
> > I hope my question is clear. Any help someone can give will be
> > appreciated.
>
> Just set a bank to scan the 7 frequencies and when something happens
> it will stay on that channel.
> Cheers,
> Dave

2998. Re: radio control software RS-R75

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 03:05:42 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3cdf2da2$0$1415$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 48364
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If you are looking for software for the ICOM IC-R75,
you can download my new tk75 software free from:

http://members.core.com/~parnass

It works on Windows, Linux (BSD, UNIX, etc.),
and perhaps MacOS X.

I don't warrant the software but it won't cost you
anything.

I am working on several new features for the next version.

Have fun.

On Sun, 12 May 2002 16:46:55 -0500, Hans wrote:

> Wie o wie kan mij helpen aan de software RS-R75 voor de ICOM IC-R75,
> uiteraard tegen vergoeding.
> vr.gr. Hans PD5HJ

--
==
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

2999. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 03:12:26 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3cdf2fd1.17370297@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 48365
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Actually, it is ILLEGAL in many states (New York for one) to impose a
charge for credit card use (on the buyer that is), so the payee can
never be charged, at least in this State.

On Sun, 12 May 2002 22:21:45 GMT, SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote:

>"Jesse" wrote:
>
>>When you consider its a free service and,at least in concept,very convinient,you
>>have to wonder hmmm these guys must make money somehow.
>
>Next time you sign up for something, read more about it.
>
>It's not "free" to everyone, namely not to sellers or those on the
>receiving end of the money. IN fact, I think it won't be too long (if
>it hasn't happened already) before both ends pay for the service.

3000. Re: FS MFJ 462-B multireader

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 03:19:35 UTC
From: Jesse <jessejames@attglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3CDF30C6.FAFC46B@attglobal.net>
Source record: 48366
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

When you say "get one of those things to work" I'm guessing you mean to do what its supposed to do and decode,and not would the unit actually work when plugged in.
Yeah I've seen quite a few messages scroll on the lcd.CW especially was (is) a snap. I'm a confirmed gadget fiend, but I never got into the decoding like I thought I would-I barley have the time to just listen and scan anymore .
It works as advertised from what I've seen of it,but the baud rates and that were a bit perplexing to me and,as I said,I haven't had the time to learn.
Another thing that held me back was I don't know the difference of the various sounds,chirps,whistles and what is what,So I'd tune to an obvious data freq and go through all the various modes,baud rates ect trying to decode it-sometimes it would and sometimes it wouldn't. The times it wouldn't was probably because the unit isn't capable of decoding that particular mode-so someone who knows what the different modes sound like would probably find it alot easier to use.
If I dont get the price I want I'll take the time somewhere down the line to see if I can use it to its full potential - I know that whats his name klingenfuss guy has got a CD which covers the sounds various transmission modes make . And if I get into it probably get a more advanced unit as time and finances permit.

Jesse

CW wrote:

> I have never known anyone to get one of those things to work. Have you had
> any better luck?
> --
> CW
> KC7NOD
> Web Page http://www.kc7nod.20m.com
>
> "Jesse" <jessejames@attglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:3CDED1C8.1022D9FC@attglobal.net...
> > Thought I'd give you guys a crack at this before it hits ebay.
> > Heres a short excerpt of mfj's plug on it :
> >
> > Ever wonder what those mysterious chirps, whistles, and buzzing sounds
> > are on the
> > shortwave bands?
> > Much of it is RTTY, ASCII, CW, and AMTOR
> > (FEC) signals passing commercial, military,
> > diplomatic, weather, aeronautical,
> > maritime, amateur and other traffic.

> > Tap into these "secret" signals
> > Plug this self-contained MFJ MultiReader
> > into your shortwave receiver's earphone jack.
> > Then watch these mysterious signals turn
> > into exciting text messages as they scroll
> > across an easy to read LCD display. No
> > computer, interface, special cables or other
> > equipment is needed.
> >
> > Unit is in mint condition and hardly used - I's say a total of maybe 14
> > hours in 3 years.
> > They go for over $175.oo
> > (http://www.mfjenterprises.com/products.php?prodid=MFJ-462B)
> > I'm looking to get $85.00 shipping included.
> > Feel free to email me off list if your interested.
> >
> > Regards..
> > Jesse
> >

3001. Re: 929-945MHz what am I hearing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 03:24:47 UTC
From: Jesse <jessejames@attglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3CDF31FF.BA0E5578@attglobal.net>
Source record: 48367

I recall reading somewhere also that devices in the medical profession
use that freq range also.
So if that big hill wasn't far off from a hospital that could explain
it.

Jesse

Dave L wrote:

> Sounds like computerized digital bleeping and buzzing noises. If ya
> like scanning and you like nice scenary it was great. I sat on a big
> hill watching a sunset when I heard this hehe.
> What is this?
> Thanks!
>
> -Dave

3002. Re: Department store freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 03:45:58 UTC
From: johnb <borregoj@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3CDF386A.5070102@sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 48368
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

you might be surprized at the converstation that goes on on in store
radio systems.....or within small company systems......oh my goodness.....

SB wrote:

> "Bob_Parnass_AJ9S" wrote:
>
>
>>A new Target store opened this year near me (in IL)
>>and I can hear them use these frequencies:
>>
>
> What kind of jollies does one get listening in on Target, hmmm?
>

3003. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 04:15:53 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@cox.net>
Message-ID: <l8fuduko205qnljmi0pk1fdqjkpv3dbins@4ax.com>
Source record: 48369
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 12 May 2002 17:20:39 -0400, Jesse <jessejames@attglobal.net>
wrote:

>Well your not alone. Do a google search on 'paypal screwing me" .
>I recently signed up for their "services" and have one successful transaction to
>date but its probably a matter of time before I get screwed also.
>When you consider its a free service and,at least in concept,very convinient,you
>have to wonder hmmm these guys must make money somehow.And that somehow is
>apparently by screwing people over.
>It seems to me they are a scandal waiting to happen,and until a class action
>lawsuit is files it'll probably get worse before it gets ant better.
PayPal is a business,and if you allow them to verify your Bank
Account, you are asking for trouble. The competition for Paypal is
Billpoint, which is used mostly for eBay sales, but can be used very
much like PayPal, there is however a very large difference.
Billpoint is partially owned, and is operated by Wells Fargo Bank,
Legally it part of Wells Fargo Bank, which means it is subject to all
the rules, regulations and supervision of a Bank. PayPal is NOT a
bank, or are the subject to banking rules, regulations or supervision.

As for being free,it is free only if you don't use it much. if you do
it not only isn't free, it is downright expensive. The recipient of
the funds pays the bills, and they average about 4%.

3004. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 04:17:10 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@cox.net>
Message-ID: <bgfudu0ousrmt0h8po562kupfbgo579gfu@4ax.com>
Source record: 48370
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 13 May 2002 03:12:26 GMT, scosgt@worldnet.att.net (Bruce
Markowitz) wrote:

>Actually, it is ILLEGAL in many states (New York for one) to impose a
>charge for credit card use (on the buyer that is), so the payee can
>never be charged, at least in this State.

It also violates California Law, and in general the merchant
agreements for Visa and Mastercharge in the USA prohibit it.
>
>On Sun, 12 May 2002 22:21:45 GMT, SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote:
>
>>"Jesse" wrote:
>>
>>>When you consider its a free service and,at least in concept,very convinient,you
>>>have to wonder hmmm these guys must make money somehow.
>>
>>Next time you sign up for something, read more about it.
>>
>>It's not "free" to everyone, namely not to sellers or those on the
>>receiving end of the money. IN fact, I think it won't be too long (if
>>it hasn't happened already) before both ends pay for the service.

3005. PCR-1000 Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 04:50:20 UTC
From: milshell <agentx2@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <uduhgvnj1pmo02@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48371
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone have any VB source code for controlling this radio they'd be willing to share? There are some old posts but the sites seem to be long gone. I'm not looking for anything fancy just some basic code to build on.

Thanks
Jim
agentx2@earthlink.net

3006. Re: Is it possible to trunktrack with two non-trunking radios? (in)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 04:51:26 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <abogqg72t74@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 48383

One trick that I know of, that a friend of mine uses with two scanners, is to program in ascending order the set of frequencies for the system you are planning on monitoring, and another set of frequencies in descending order, and a third batch in random order. He has one set in one scanner as:

Scanner One:
Bank 1-Ascending
Bank 2-Descending
Bank 3-Random

Scanner Two:
Bank 1-Descending
Bank 2-Ascending
Bank 3-Random

Occaisionally he misses a few words here and there, but for the most part it works great for him. Short of buying a new trunk tracker, he uses this with two 800Mhz capable scanners he got realitively inexpensively, about the same price as a brand new trunk tracker.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR

www.qsl.net/w8kea (click on banner at bottom of screen to vote for the site please)

REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message news:v73mdu0r56fp5uaaqd42tmnru08dl693mi@4ax.com...
> Having lost his job as dog catcher, james <> posted this...
>
> >Is it possible to do with handhelds, and is there anything speical
> >required to achieve this (like another interface or something)? I
> >haven't been able to find out, and wondered if there were any boards
> >or sites (or threads) about getting it set up.
>
> Yes, there are programs that will use the control channel on one radio
> to 'trunk-track' on a 2nd radio.
>
> But the cost and complexity make it not really worth considering,
> when you can get a TrunkTracker for under $200.
>
>
>

>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

3007. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 05:22:32 UTC
From: none@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <3cdf4d6f.784238605@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 48372
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 12 May 2002 19:39:47 GMT, "N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net>
wrote:

>Well Paypal has done it again to me.They are taking money from my account
>for no reason as I don't use it since they screwed me out of $400.00.Now I
>got a thing on my account saying they deducted from my account for update
>charges.

That's why all my transactions with these outfits are via my credit
card. If they give me grief, I simply let the cc company deal with
them.

-bobb

3008. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 05:41:19 UTC
From: Jesse <jessejames@attglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3CDF51FF.AA5CAA59@attglobal.net>
Source record: 48373
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

True that. I had to sign up in a hurry to make good on an e-dept. Since then,I've read the TOS and,as one might expect,it has more loopholes than you can shake a stick at.
I still haven't seen a thing about those on the receiving end having to pay a fee - though if thats the case it must be somewhere in their FAQS .
I was under the impression that,besides scamming,they make their money by
1)Their 'premium'/business' accounts and
2)By drawing interest on the e-cash that people have floating on their paypal accounts.
But yes indeed people need to start reading before signing.

Jesse

SB wrote:

> "Jesse" wrote:
>
> >When you consider its a free service and,at least in concept,very convinient,you
> >have to wonder hmmm these guys must make money somehow.
>
> Next time you sign up for something, read more about it.
>
> It's not "free" to everyone, namely not to sellers or those on the
> receiving end of the money. IN fact, I think it won't be too long (if
> it hasn't happened already) before both ends pay for the service.

3009. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 05:50:23 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.com
Message-ID: <5e5fccab.0205122150.238a31cf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48374
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Jesse <jessejames@attglobal.net> wrote in message
news:<3CDEDCA7.716485EC@attglobal.net>...
> Well your not alone. Do a google search on 'paypal screwing me" .
> I recently signed up for their "services" and have one successful transaction to
> date but its probably a matter of time before I get screwed also.
> When you consider its a free service and,at least in concept,very convinient,you
> have to wonder hmmm these guys must make money somehow.And that somehow is
> apparently by screwing people over.
> It seems to me they are a scandal waiting to happen,and until a class action
> lawsuit is files it'll probably get worse before it gets ant better.
>
> Jesse

PayPal ISN'T free.. they take a percentage of all money that you
recieve in your account. Sending money is free however. Since the
money is transfered to another PayPal account, PayPal still gets their
money. I've had over 30 dealings with them and NEVER had a problem.
It's the best way to send and receive money for auction items in my
opinion.

3010. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 05:50:49 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <eukuduggo05lrtev962pjnk331qn1uho7f@4ax.com>
Source record: 48375
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 12 May 2002 19:39:47 GMT, "N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote:

>Well Paypal has done it again to me.They are taking money from my account
>for no reason as I don't use it since they screwed me out of $400.00.

Please explain a little further how they screwed you out of your money.
Was it really PayPal or was it the other person you were dealing with?
Or a combination of both? Did either one violate any laws?

Bill, W7TI

3011. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 05:56:55 UTC
From: NA1A <na1a@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <HAID8.665$7H1.52123931@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48376
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

PayPal only look out for their best interests. The so-called "verified ID" only so that they can have a legitimate way to freeze your account and take money away from you without recourse. Try C2IT or BidPay. Much better way to go. - NA1A

"Doc" <we6a@wt.net> wrote in message news:4522def3.0205121730.43453216@posting.google.com...
> They took my money but never supported a dispute. They are real
> crooks and can not be trusted with any money. Beware!
>
> N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:<spBD8.4638$Ok3.2795937@news1.news.adelphia.net>...
> > I did not resign with Paypal.I reopened a new account at my bank so they
> > cannot use the numbers they already had from a previous transaction.I am not
> > stupid enough to resign with these crooks again.
> >
> > "NaziHunter" <q3_config@nospamhotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:piltducf4m07botupura86cm1cm0alhnuv@4ax.com...
> > > On Sun, 12 May 2002 19:39:47 GMT, "N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net>
> > > wrote:
> > >
> > > >Well Paypal has done it again to me.They are taking money from my account
> > > >for no reason as I don't use it since they screwed me out of $400.00.Now
> > I
> > > >got a thing on my account saying they deducted from my account for
> > update
> > > >charges.
> > > >I have emailed them several time with no results except for a automated
> > > >response to email them if I have a problem.So I do and get the same
> > response
> > > >over and over again.
> > > >As of now I have closed my account and reopened a new one so they won't
> > > >screw me for more.
> > > >WATCH OUT FOR PAYPAL !! They will get you for sure.
> > >
> > > Then why resign?
> > >
> > >Right you are. Paypal are crooks. I lost money with them and they only

serve themselves. Don't use their service... real bad news!
> > >WE6A
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> > ---
> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

3012. Re: Department store freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 06:53:28 UTC
From: Mac Rosevelt <rapture@calweb.com>
Message-ID: <3cdf6294_1@news3.calweb.com>
Source record: 48379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I can't get PL tones to read on my scanner, a Bearcat which according to Grove Electronics, was no longer made as of 6 years ago. Still gets alot of neat stuff though, so I keep it around. As for wondering why one might want to hear dept. store freqs or other stores/informal business, well, you really can hear some neat stuff. At The Point (at Calexpo in Sacramento, where the State Fair is held) one of the employees was talking about how she could get someone free tickets and that she was a lesbian ... just as if this were a private phone call instead of official State Fair business use (The Point is owned by the California State Fair organization).
Unfortunately I have not heard from this lady again, maybe she got fired?
"johnb" <borregoj@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:3CDF386A.5070102@sbcglobal.net...
> you might be surprized at the converstation that goes on on in store
> radio systems.....or within small company systems......oh my goodness.....
>
> SB wrote:
>
> > "Bob_Parnass_AJ9S" wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > >>A new Target store opened this year near me (in IL)
> > >>and I can hear them use these frequencies:
> > >>
> > >
> > > What kind of jollies does one get listening in on Target, hmmm?
> > >
>

3013. Re: 73's Magazine, Is it still around?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 06:55:07 UTC
From: Ontario Scanner <ScanningInOntario@TheScannerShack.com>
Message-ID: <frJD8.72408$GLp1.58767@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 48377
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Chapters would have it.

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3CDEFF96.1020406@licensed4fun.com...
> Been looking for recent issues of 73's Magazine however I can't find
> them in our local bookstores. Is this magazine still being published?
> If so what type of "chain" bookstore sells them?
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com <--HF, VHF, UHF Military Frequncies and MORE!
>
>

3014. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 07:09:36 UTC
From: voivod440@aol.com
Message-ID: <d1277e26.0205122309.754205ae@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48378
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> Well Paypal has done it again to me.They are taking money from my account
> for no reason as I don't use it since they screwed me out of $400.00.

Dont know what to tell you - i have heard many complaints about them, yet i would assume there are hundreds of thousands - maybe millions of other people that never had a problem with them . I have never used them so i dont have to worry about it. How did they manage to screw you out of $400 actually - details would help - did they just decide one day to deduct $400 out of your bank account for apsolutely no reason at all - or did you buy something that you ended up getting ripped off from off of e-bay or something - and then wanted you money back ? If that was the case - i cant really put the blame on paypal. My guess is that most complaints about paypal are really just people getting ripped off at auctions - and expect paypal to re-imburse them as if its their fault. However if this is not the case and they for whatever reason felt they should deduct $400 out of your checking account for no reason at all , then i would be so pissed i would hire a lawyer - or at the very least file a complaint with the Better Business Bureau. Depending where you live - alot of local TV news stations also have "crack downs" on scams - tell them your story - maybe they can help. Either way if everyone that claims that they have got ripped off from them did their part to expose them - they would be out of business by now.

According to the BBB - it says
"Based on BBB files, this company responds to all complaints brought to its attention by the Bureau. PayPal meets regularly with the Bureau to evaluate complaints that have been received and to increase customer service satisfaction."

Better Business Bureau link to PayPal :

http://dell.hurdmanivr.com/sanjose/common.html?location=/home/common/www/bindr/report.php&bureau=sanjose&compid=210387&national=Y

BBB homepage

http://www.bbb.org/

Hope this helps anyone that has gotten ripped off by them !

3015. Pay Pal (legal crooks)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 09:38:23 UTC
From: nikee@aztec.asu.edu
Message-ID: <abo1ifntc1@news.asu.edu>
Source record: 48380

I've used them before, and did'nt have a
problem (yet). I'm not too crazy on the idea
they wanted my checking acct #, especially
after reading this post I never liked the
Idea of giving up my acct # and leaving it
open for questionable charges. By the way.
try going to www.badbusinessbureau.com
and check out their complaints on pay pal
and on the BBB. Yes, there are some complaints
about how worthless and biased the local BBB is.
Check out that website and see what you think...

--

3016. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 12:15:02 UTC
From: laborkei <laborkeiNOSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3cdfb24e_1@nopics.sjc>
Source record: 48381
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

You get burned, and you opened a new account.
That makes a whole lot of sense !

"N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:7yzD8.4581$Ok3.2771411@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> Well Paypal has done it again to me.They are taking money from my account
> for no reason as I don't use it since they screwed me out of $400.00.Now
I
> got a thing on my account saying they deducted from my account for update
> charges.
> I have emailed them several time with no results except for a automated
> response to email them if I have a problem.So I do and get the same
response
> over and over again.
> As of now I have closed my account and reopened a new one so they won't
> screw me for more.
> WATCH OUT FOR PAYPAL !! They will get you for sure.

3017. Re: 73's Magazine, Is it still around?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 12:22:47 UTC
From: Bill <bill@terminal.mdc.net>
Message-ID: <3cdfb017@news.mdc.net>
Source record: 48382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I get my copies at Ham Radio Outlet.

In alt.radio.scanner GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote:
> Been looking for recent issues of 73's Magazine however I can't find
> them in our local bookstores. Is this magazine still being published?
> If so what type of "chain" bookstore sells them?
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com <--HF, VHF, UHF Military Frequncies and MORE!
>
>

3018. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 14:47:40 UTC
From: Ivan Elmy <ielmy@csolutions.net>
Message-ID: <3cdfc470@news.csolutions.net>
Source record: 48384
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Well, I have been using paypal for about 4 months now, and I haven't had any problems with them yet. As a matter of fact, someone somehow got my account info and pulled money from my paypal($53) and put it in his paypal, and I complained to paypal via email and phone. Within a week the money was reinstated to my account. The only problem I see with Paypal is that they do charge a healthy amount to the recipient for their services, but hey, it's a business, and nobody goes into business to LOSE money, right? I have made about 60 transactions on eBay, and I have used Paypal for about 50 of those, and I have never had a problem with them, besides the money that was stolen from me, and they corrected that very quickly. Their customer support people on the phone were very curteous and friendly.

ielmy

ielmy@csolutions.net

3019. WTB: PRO-2067,PRO-2053

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 14:48:26 UTC
From: indyham <k9dzdd@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <_mQD8.41703$WR1.38314@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 48385

im looking for either a pro-2067.or.PRO-2053

thanks

Monte k9dzdd@insightbb.com

3020. Re: 73's Magazine, Is it still around?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 14:54:36 UTC
From: Vincent R Harzewski <vh2@acsu.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <abok3l$6k2$1@prometheus.acsu.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 48386
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Although Wayne doesn't mention it on his website, www.waynegreen.com, our
library has the May 2002 issue, but I have not been able to find it in the
bookstores either...

Vince Harzewski, N2JRS

"Harry" <hgk@centurytel.net> wrote in message
news:3CDEF65D.7662D598@centurytel.net...
> George
> You could ask Wayne Green W2NSD
> Email profusion@aol.com
>
> GeorgeF wrote:
> >
> > Been looking for recent issues of 73's Magazine however I can't find
> > them in our local bookstores. Is this magazine still being published?
> > If so what type of "chain" bookstore sells them?
> > George
> > http://www.MilAirComms.com <--HF, VHF, UHF Military Frequncies and MORE!

3021. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 14:58:24 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <kwQD8.5106$Ok3.3009763@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 48388
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

If you idiots read all the post,you can see that I reopened another account at my bank so that Paypal cannot take anymore from me.I have check on my statements from my bank showing where they have taken money from my account and I DON'T USE PAYPAL FOR OVER A YEAR BECAUSE OF THEM RIPPING ME OFF.They will not stop a payment or even try to help you if you make a mistake on sending your money to someone.If you put down a wrong email address,that party can end up with your payment that was ment for another.I sent out exactly $396.50 for an item that was bought on the NG.The monies was not received by the party it was ment for.I asked to cancel the tranaction and was told by Paypal there is nothing they can do about it and they are not involved in disputes.Well this went on and on and till this day I have not got my money back and noone can or wants to persue it.It goes even more into it.
"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:jbjvdu8hdu4ujf002a9ifplkp1ip2h5j8c@4ax.com...
> "laborkei" wrote:
>
> >You get burned, and you opened a new account.
> >That makes a whole lot of sense !
>
> Idiot. What he did was the same as changing the number on a credit
> card or debit card. It was the RIGHT thing to do.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

3022. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 15:18:27 UTC
From: Alan Beagley <abeagley@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3CDFD942.D8E9109@optonline.net>
Source record: 48387
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

But they don't charge more for using a card: they give a discount for using cash.

The effect is the same, but apparently it gets them off the hook from the legal standpoint.

-=-
Alan, AB2OS

matt weber wrote:
>
> On Mon, 13 May 2002 03:12:26 GMT, scosgt@worldnet.att.net (Bruce
> Markowitz) wrote:
>
> >Actually, it is ILLEGAL in many states (New York for one) to impose a
> >charge for credit card use (on the buyer that is), so the payee can
> >never be charged, at least in this State.
>
> It also violates California Law, and in general the merchant
> agreements for Visa and Mastercharge in the USA prohibit it.

3023. Re: HELP TETRA, What?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 15:30:52 UTC
From: st599@ecs.soton.ac.uk
Message-ID: <3CDFDC2C.DED34F40@ecs.soton.ac.uk>
Source record: 48321
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Imprisonment, Fines and equipment confiscation under the Wireless
Telegraphy Act 1949.

Honest Alan wrote:
>
> Why?
> And legally what could be done to you if you were to break it,
>
> I'd say you were more likely to have a friendy knock at the door from MI5 or
> someone wanting your skills at codebreaking (? well that's a bit far fetched
> but why not?)
>
> "proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
> news:wMwD8.1536$eK4.107957@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net...
> > even if someone did break it,as soon as they mentioned it,there door would
> > be knocked down,,,,,,,,,,

3024. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 15:49:00 UTC
From: wavelengthham <w9jcm@NOSPAMreno.quik.com>
Message-ID: <MfRD8.363$eh4.3206@newsfeed.intelenet.net>
Source record: 48389
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

why dont you take your money out and clear the account then and be done with
it?

"N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:7yzD8.4581$Ok3.2771411@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> Well Paypal has done it again to me.They are taking money from my account
> for no reason as I don't use it since they screwed me out of $400.00.Now
I
> got a thing on my account saying they deducted from my account for update
> charges.
> I have emailed them several time with no results except for a automated
> response to email them if I have a problem.So I do and get the same
response
> over and over again.
> As of now I have closed my account and reopened a new one so they won't
> screw me for more.
> WATCH OUT FOR PAYPAL !! They will get you for sure.
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002
>
>

3025. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 16:20:27 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3CDFE7CB.DF92F01D@USA.net>
Source record: 48390
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Instead of arguing among each other sit back and read what you wrote.

Then, take this complaint to the FBI Internet Fraud Division. You can
file your complaint on line.

I had a problem with paypal and ebay, my credit card company along with
the FBI cleaned things up
and my money was returned.

Name calling is childish.

3026. Re: Pay Pal (legal crooks)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 16:22:55 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3CDFE85F.70289F34@USA.net>
Source record: 48391

The BBB is supported by business so they are going to protect those businesses that pay and support them.

KAMAL MULCHANDANI wrote:

> I've used them before, and did'nt have a
> problem (yet). I'm not too crazy on the idea
> they wanted my checking acct #, especially
> after reading this post I never liked the
> Idea of giving up my acct # and leaving it
> open for questionable charges. By the way.
> try going to www.badbusinessbureau.com
> and check out their complaints on pay pal
> and on the BBB. Yes, there are some complaints
> about how worthless and biased the local BBB is.
> Check out that website and see what you think...
>
> --

3027. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 16:45:29 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <qtqvdu0hnt2qjj52u7od6mgs0dlgld3ec7@4ax.com>
Source record: 48392
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 13 May 2002 14:58:24 GMT, "N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote:

>If you put down a wrong email address,that
>party can end up with your payment that was ment for another.I sent out
>exactly $396.50 for an item that was bought on the NG.The monies was not
>received by the party it was ment for.I asked to cancel the tranaction and
>was told by Paypal there is nothing they can do about it and they are not
>involved in disputes.Well this went on and on and till this day I have not
>got my money back and noone can or wants to persue it.It goes even more into
>it.

Your legal recourse is to sue the person the money was actually sent to.
If PayPal followed your instructions, they are not responsible, IMO.

If you mailed an envelope of cash to someone and you mis-addressed the
envelope, does that make the Postal Service responsible? Of course not.
They were just following your instructions. Does the Postal Service
have an obligation to get your money back? Of course not. The mistake
was yours and yours alone. The Postal Service did not rip you off and
neither did PayPal.

It would be nice if PayPal could help you recover the money, but I don't
see any obligation to.

Bill, W7TI

3028. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 16:47:05 UTC
From: Dave Watchers <x794@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <d6SD8.933538$Lj7.35091879@Flipper>
Source record: 48393
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Well it's easy to call idiots to everybody, but it seems that you are the ONLY IDIOT here (reread your own postings).....

Dave

"N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:kwQD8.5106$Ok3.3009763@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> If you idiots read all the post,you can see that I reopened another account
> at my bank so that Paypal cannot take anymore from me.I have check on my
> statements from my bank showing where they have taken money from my account
> and I DON'T USE PAYPAL FOR OVER A YEAR BECAUSE OF THEM RIPPING ME OFF.They
> will not stop a payment or even try to help you if you make a mistake on
> sending your money to someone.If you put down a wrong email address,that
> party can end up with your payment that was ment for another.I sent out
> exactly $396.50 for an item that was bought on the NG.The monies was not
> received by the party it was ment for.I asked to cancel the tranaction and
> was told by Paypal there is nothing they can do about it and they are not
> involved in disputes.Well this went on and on and till this day I have not
> got my money back and noone can or wants to persue it.It goes even more into
> it.
> "SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> news:jbjvdu8hdu4ujf002a9ifplkp1ip2h5j8c@4ax.com...
> > "laborkei" wrote:
> >
> > >You get burned, and you opened a new account.
> > >That makes a whole lot of sense !
> >
> > Idiot. What he did was the same as changing the number on a credit
> > card or debit card. It was the RIGHT thing to do.
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002
>
>

3029. Re: Weather Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 16:49:36 UTC
From: Tom Shaw <a0005868@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <A4358E4DB43C6B09.065F9AD8CF1DD0EB.B35C6C5EFCD06EFC@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 48394
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

ScannerWorld Website says WX-100 sold out and no longer available.
TS
"Nick " <nvavro@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:3cdee910.29325417@news.ptd.net...
> On Mon, 22 Apr 2002 17:07:00 GMT, Ron Wilbanks <NYC6011@ameritech.net>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >matt weber wrote:
> >
> >> It certainly does work, but from my experience many of the receivers
> >> out there are so poor that they don't work! I have a Midland, an
> >> Oregon Scientific, and a Realistic, all are SAME equipped. All can
> >> receive weather broadcasts with acceptable clarify and volume.
> >> All use the supplied whip or built in antenna, and all are set to the
> >> same code. I even tried adding an external antenna to the Oregon
> >> Scientific. It didn't help.
> >
> >My friend did the same thing with the Oregon Scientific units and an
outdoor
> >antenna made no difference with his radio either; reception did not
affect the
> >radio's inability to go off.
> >
> >>
> >>
> >> Every Wednesday there is a test signal. The Midland ALWAYS goes off.
> >> (and when the weather gets exciting, it also goes off with embarassing
> >> frequency)..
> >
> >Yes, I recommended to several friends that they should buy the Midland
units with
> >SAME, rather than buying the Radio Shack version, which only goes off
25-30% of
> >the the time. The last thing you want is to depend on a weather radio
that has
> >issues!
> >
> >
> >I use a Uniden WX-100, which so far has been fantastic. The radio never
misses a
> >test or a warning activation. Sadly, due to poor sales, Uniden

discontinued
> >production of this receiver a few months ago.
> >
> >
> >What attracted me the most to the WX-100, were it's features: external
antenna,
> >speaker, relay jacks, amber LCD display, battery backup and the ability
to select
> >SAME alerting for all, one, or up to 20 counties. I doubt very much that
I would
> >ever have more than three counties programmed into the radio but in the
future,
> >the NWS, plans on dividing up larger counties, and allowing users with
SAME
> >receivers to set their radios to receive warnings for only their portion
of the
> >county they live in.
> >
> >
> >73's
> >--
> >==
> >Ron Wilbanks
> >
> >Freelance Photographer, Writer, Historian, Railfan, Roadgeek, and
> >Michigan State Editor, for "Monitor America"
> >
> >*** Spam Filter in place 6011-11 = correct reply address! ***
> >
> >"Enjoy and explore our Nation's U.S. Highway System:
> > you'll be richer for the endless experiences that await you!!!!" :)
> >==
> >
> >
> Anyone can purchase the unit at www.scannerworld.com. No, I'm not a
> salesman or affiliated with them. I am a repeat customer a state away.
> Currently the WX-100 radio sells there for 54.99 plus 8.00 shipping.
> Check it out it is still available there. Nick

3030. Re: True digial cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 17:01:51 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aborl6$js8pv$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48395

"Ted Birckhad" <tedbirck@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:8_lD8.1184$X%5.748@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Some time ago there was talk that most Digital Spread spectrum phones were
> analog between the headset and the base allowing easy eavesdropping via
> scanner.
>
> Which if any DSS phones are now totally digital?
>

I don't know about any other cordless phones, but the AT&T 9100 phone I bought several years ago is totally digital spread spectrum from both the base and handset. I made sure to test it on both the transmit & receive freqs before using it. Listening in with a scanner gives you a slightly higher noise floor when the phone is in use. Impossible to make out any audio from the phone.

Tom

3031. Re: True digial cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 18:39:27 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20020513143927.22377.00008509@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 48396

Same with my 6 month old Radio Shack with spread spectrum.

3032. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 19:11:03 UTC
From: Jesse <jessejames@attglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3CE00FC7.E0E8CBE9@attglobal.net>
Source record: 48397
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

True. I know if I had subscribed to the Sprint newsgroup before i signed up with them I probably never would have signed up in the first place - as it stands I haven't had a problem with the service in over 2 years. Same with comcast,though I'm coming to the conclusion that they truly are a messed up outfit.
In this case,after seeing his explanation on how exactly he was screwed,I think there can be little doubt that it was his fault and that paypal has their butts well covered.
The person he mistakenly sent the money to must have had a paypal account I'm guessing,or else the transaction would have failed.
And the 1 transaction I had with them I know paypal sends a receipt of sorts that says the money was sent to so and so. At that point your relying on the good will of the recipient to follow through on the deal,as you would be if you sent a money order or whatever..
If your reading this N1,did you try to straighten this out directly with the person involved ?

SB wrote:

> "vhfradiobuff" wrote:
>
> >I've had over 30 dealings with them and NEVER had a problem.
> >It's the best way to send and receive money for auction items in my
> >opinion.
>
> I've only used them a couple of times for auction payments and once
> for receiving money for an item I sold privately to someone in NY, and
> I'm not worried about a couple reports of people having problems.
>
> If I opted out of everything where a few reports of bad experiences
> with a company or product had surfaced, I'd be a hermit living on tree
> bark and grass in the wilds of Montana.
>
> The company is highly-regarded in most circles, and is the biggest in
> its business. I read recently that it was being purchased by another
> company - might even have been eBay.

3033. FS: Motorola HT600's and MT1000's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 19:15:56 UTC
From: simmonss@comcast.net
Message-ID: <8e7ab24.0205131115.34eaf4ae@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48398
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have the following for sale:

10 HT600's VHF 6 channel 5 watt $40.00 each.
4 UHF HT600's 4 watt 6 channel $40.00 each
2 P200 2 channel 4 watt $30.00 each
4 MT1000 UHF 16 channel 4 watt with scan $150.00 each

These came from working service.

3034. FS: Motorola Maxtrac Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 20:04:51 UTC
From: simmonss@comcast.net
Message-ID: <8e7ab24.0205131204.23902aab@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have the following Maxtracs for sale;

5 D45MQA5GB5AK 2 sys 2 subfleet 800 mhz radios $35.00 each

5 D35 2 sys 2 subfleet 800 mhz radios $25.00 each

1 D34MJA7A5AK 16 channel UHF 449-470MHz Mobile radio $150.00

1 D27MQA5GB2AK 2 sys 2 subfleet 900 MHz radio $35.00

1 D37MQA5GB2K 2 sys 2 subfleet 900 MHz radio $55.00

2 D34 2 channel UHF 449-470 MHz radio $125.00 each

1 D51MJA97A3AK 2 channel VHF Low Band 42.0-50.0MHz $150.00

Thanks

3035. Scanners and Airline Luggage Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 20:45:15 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <vBVD8.15116$Db5.4525220@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 48400
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm well aware that I'm not allowed to OPERATE a scanner on an airliner, but am I allowed to carry it on with me? Has anyone had any recent experiences? Will the the airport security give me a hard time? Thanks

--
"A 2000-pound bomb, no matter where you drop it, is a significant emotional event for anyone within a square mile." - Navy officer

3036. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 21:09:14 UTC
From: Geoff <G24017@att.net>
Message-ID: <_XVD8.21387$Vm2.624030@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 48401
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

The whiners of eBay are basically losers ... what more can I say !!

3037. LOOKING FOR COAST GUARD fREQUENCY'S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 21:18:25 UTC
From: John J McCoy Jr <kb9qng@charter.net>
Message-ID: <3CE02DA1.A4493ABC@charter.net>
Source record: 48402

Looking for Cost Guard frequency's HF and UHF CAN ANYBODY HELP ME ON
THAT????

3038. Re: Cordless phone eavesdropping story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 22:26:31 UTC
From: baz <bsynnott@manx.net>
Message-ID: <lxj$wvs#BHA.257@newssvr.manx.net>
Source record: 48403
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

how about this for an analogy....."never anonymous Bud" is walking
down the street and someone stabs him and his own particular brand of
bullshit dies with him.....

Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:abk0n4$iupun$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
> news:4rgodu4kmk7916vrb0i1n36ofvcbqhsl35@4ax.com...
> >
> > The analogy is PERFECT.
> >
> > The law says you can't attack someone, and you can't listen to
cellphones
> and cordless phones.
> >
> > What makes you think breaking ONE law isn't like breaking ANOTHER law??
>
> There's a big difference between listening to someone's radio
transmissions
> and committing aggrivated battery on them.
>
> How about this analogy: My wife & I were at a jazz concert and some
dumbass
> & his girlfriend were sitting behind us. This guy kept talking to her all
> through the concert and over the music. I turned around and asked him to
> quiet down, and he got mad claiming that we were eavsdropping on him. I
> told him if he didn't want us to hear what he was saying, he should be
> quiet. Luckily they left before intermission.
>
> The moral of the story is, if you don't want people hearing what you have
to
> say, don't be broadcasting it all over town. It's kind of like trying to
> have a private conversation via CB or ham radio.
>
> Tom
>
>

3039. Handheld scanner recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 22:32:39 UTC
From: Jester <jester72@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3CE03F07.1EE3061E@optonline.net>
Source record: 48404

I've been out of the scanning game for a while, but am interested in getting back in to it with a new handheld. My current handheld (Bearcat 200XLT.. remember those?) just doesn't cut it any more.

I'd like to get some recommendations on which unit(s) I should look at. I did some preliminary searching around the net and found what appear to be some nice units from ICOM. Any thoughts? My basic requirements are clear audio, very good intermod filtering (I'll be using it in the Northern New Jersey area, where the Bearcat is basically useless) and a fairly compact size.

Please copy replies to my email at jester72@optonline.net.

Thanks..

3040. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 22:45:28 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <cmXD8.5464$Ok3.3091909@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 48410
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

You idiots are not getting the point.Ok I lost the money,but what gives Paypal the right to keep going into your account and take what is not theirs.I have not dealt with them in over a year.
As for you idiots that only read part of what is said,I have closed my account with MY BANK AND REOPENED A NEW ONE SO THAT i HAVE A NEW CARD AND ACCOUNT NUMBER.The reason is not to let PAYPAL take anymore from me.
CHECK OUT YOUR STATEMENTS IF YOU KNOW HOW! Maybe they got you to.
And for those who are emailing me saying it has happened to them also,POST IT HERE.That way all the retards that don't understand what they are saying before they read the rest and know what is going on.
I hope all of you don't get screwed from Paypal like I did.Don't forget one thing,I TOLD YOU SO!!!!!!
"Geoff" <G24017@att.net> wrote in message news:_XVD8.21387$Vm2.624030@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> The whiners of eBay are basically losers ... what more can I say !!
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

3041. Re: LOOKING FOR COAST GUARD fREQUENCY'S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 23:03:27 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DXD8.8330$Nt3.706411@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 48405

Off the top of my head...
5700 Khz

--
Remove "beforeflight" to reply
"John J McCoy Jr" <kb9qng@charter.net> wrote in message
news:3CE02DA1.A4493ABC@charter.net...
> Looking for Cost Guard frequency's HF and UHF CAN ANYBODY HELP ME ON
> THAT????
>
>

3042. Re: PRO-2006 Squelch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-13 23:20:08 UTC
From: gx001 <gx001@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <ue0in4f5i4m37f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48406
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> Delay value? There is no way that I know of to change delay value in the
> PRO-2006.<

Sorry for the confusion...I was referring to the Trident trx 100xlt. It seemed he had tried everything, so I simply contributed a similar fix I, myself, had to figure out. Adjusting delay on the TRX made no sense to me either..but it worked. What can I say.?...I had to suss that out myself..I had no manual at the time and certainly no internet help.

Since I understood the user had a radio in good working condition, it was merely another shot before looking for other problems. I was very surprised when the delay value function impeded scanning. (But not searching. Like his problem too.)

Anyway, hope he gets it solved
MP

Bob Parnass wrote an article some time ago that I ran across today
> about Squelch Hysteresis. I have yet to be able to find R152 to try that
fix.
> Even if it doesn't fix the scanning problem, it might fix the fact that
the
> squelch is so tight, that when I squelch it out in an LIMIT search, it
blocks
> out most close signals too. Yet, I may also reverse the mod of increasing
> channel scan speed to see if that helps. If all else fails, I have a full
> service manual on the way and hope that may shed some light on the
subject.
>
> Thanks for the help and suggestions.
> ---
>
>
> gx001 wrote:
> >
> > I had a similar problem on my Trident 100, any delay value of 0 or 1
will
> > prohibit the scan of memory channels. In search mode it worked fine.
> >
> > Try making the delay a higher value...let us know if it helped.
> > M
> >
> > <nospam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:3CD9794D.FC7A285C@nospam.com...

> > > I've got a Pro-2006 and when I program a "LIMIT" search, I can squelch
it
> > > enough that it runs through the channels of my LIMIT search range just
> > fine and
> > > stops on active channels, then continues as it should. My problem is
when
> > I
> > > actually PROGRAM channels into the unit. Normally, you would PROGRAM
your
> > freqs
> > > into each of the available 400 channels, then hit SCAN to scan through
> > your
> > > programmed channels. Problem is, I cannot squelch it enough that it
will
> > move
> > > beyond the first programmed channel. Even with the squelch knob in the
> > full
> > > clockwise position, I still hear a little static and it won't move
beyond
> > that
> > > channel (most of my programmed channels are in NFM mode).
> > >
> > > Any idea why I can scan through the same freqs in a LIMIT search
(because
> > I can
> > > squelch it just fine) as opposed why I cannot when the freqs are
actually
> > > programmed into the first bank of 40 (or any bank for that matter).
> > >
> > > Thanks for the help.
> > >
> > >
> > >
>

3043. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 00:24:29 UTC
From: Mark Russo <mrrusso@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3CE05A68.11FE7CFC@optonline.net>
Source record: 48407
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have never had a problem, although problems may exist. My Paypal debit
card says mastercard on it. Seems like mastercard's rules and regulations
might apply??
Also, when I receive money into my Paypal account a transfer it out.
That way they can't take it. This works for me so far.
just my .06 cents worth, inflation you know!
good luck to all

SB wrote:

> "vhfradiobuff" wrote:
>
> >I've had over 30 dealings with them and NEVER had a problem.
> >It's the best way to send and receive money for auction items in my
> >opinion.
>
> I've only used them a couple of times for auction payments and once
> for receiving money for an item I sold privately to someone in NY, and
> I'm not worried about a couple reports of people having problems.
>
> If I opted out of everything where a few reports of bad experiences
> with a company or product had surfaced, I'd be a hermit living on tree
> bark and grass in the wilds of Montana.
>
> The company is highly-regarded in most circles, and is the biggest in
> its business. I read recently that it was being purchased by another
> company - might even have been eBay.

--

All e-mail received and SENT from me
has been checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2002.

mrrusso@optonline.net

GOD BLESS AMERICA!!!

3044. Re: 73's Magazine, Is it still around?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 00:26:43 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CE059C3.4030504@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 48408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Thanks,
I never know there was one in New Smyrna, I'll have to check it out! The
NOVA road one is the one I reffered to at International Speedway Blvd
and they haven't had it for a while.
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

Donald Reeve wrote:

> "GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
> news:3CDF101A.5050604@licensed4fun.com...
>
>>Hi Don,
>>Correct I'm from Daytona. About 3 months ago I had thought I seen a
>>copy at Booksamillion. However someone had asked me the other week to
>>pickup a copy for him however Booksamillion didn't have any. You say
>>there are two booksamillion in my area, I know of the one along
>>International Speedway Blvd, where is the other?
>>George
>>http://www.MilAirComms.com
>>
>
> Well, I just did a store search on their website
> http://www.booksamillion.com/ncom/ebooks/
> using Daytona Beach as a starting point and these were the closest two:
>
> (Daytona Mall)
> 90 North Nova Road
> Daytona, FL 32114
> Phone: 904-255-5588
> Distance: 1.34 miles from the center
>
> 2. Bookland - New Smyrna Beach (New Smyrna Regional Mall)
> 1944 State Road 44
> New Smyrna Beach, FL 32168
> Phone: 386-423-1678
> Distance: 14.60 miles from the center
>
>
>
>>
>>
>>
>>Donald Reeve wrote:

>>
>>
>>>They used to carry 73 at my local "booksamillion" outlet, though I
>>>haven't been by there in a few months to see if anything's changed.
>>>
> I
>
>>>recall you're from Daytona Beach and there's two booksamillion
>>>
> outlets
>
>>>in that area--have you checked them out?
>>>
>>>Donald Reeve
>>>
>>>"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
>>>news:3CDEFF96.1020406@licensed4fun.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>>Been looking for recent issues of 73's Magazine however I can't find
>>>>them in our local bookstores. Is this magazine still being
>>>>
>>>>
>>>published?
>>>
>>>
>>>> If so what type of "chain" bookstore sells them?
>>>>George
>>>>http://www.MilAirComms.com <--HF, VHF, UHF Military Frequncies and
>>>>
>>>>
>>>MORE!
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>--
>>____
>> I make Over $5000 per Week on eBay!
>> Make eBay(tm) Your Job and Earn BIG $$$
>> ---->http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay<----
>>____
>>
>>
>>
>
>

--

____

I make Over $5000 per Week on eBay!
Make eBay(tm) Your Job and Earn BIG $$$
---->http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay<----

3045. Re: Handheld scanner recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 00:38:25 UTC
From: Nighthawk1959 <rroewer1@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <nFvgPF1JI5KyzmH+9XNkIOZPeecA@4ax.com>
Source record: 48412

On Mon, 13 May 2002 22:32:39 GMT, Jester <jester72@optonline.net>
wrote:

>I've been out of the scanning game for a while, but am interested in
>getting back in to it with a new handheld. My current handheld (Bearcat
>200XLT.. remember those?) just doesn't cut it any more.
>
>I'd like to get some recommendations on which unit(s) I should look at.
>I did some preliminary searching around the net and found what appear to
>be some nice units from ICOM. Any thoughts? My basic requirements are
>clear audio, very good intermod filtering (I'll be using it in the
>Northern New Jersey area, where the Bearcat is basically useless) and a
>fairly compact size.
>
>Please copy replies to my email at jester72@optonline.net.
>
>Thanks..
>
>
I would recommend a Pro-94. I live in congested area and I have
no probs with intermod. It's got 1000 channes, and handles
both Motorola and EDACS very well. They also can run
off standard AA batteries.
I own two of them and hav never regretted the purchase of
them.

3046. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 00:42:26 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CE05D72.5090307@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 48409
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> As for being free,it is free only if you don't use it much. if you do
> it not only isn't free, it is downright expensive. The recipient of
> the funds pays the bills, and they average about 4%.
>

Actually PayPal is NOT downright expensive. I run several websites and use PayPal for my customers orders. I average about $30,000 per month in PayPal recipts. You are charged $.30 plus 2.2%. On a $20.00 purchase that would be a fee of $0.70 or only 3.5% which is pretty darn cheap. BILL POINT IS MORE EXPENSIVE! The same transaction on BillPoint would cost me $.85 in fees ($0.15 MORE) And there are many other services much like PayPal however they all change MORE! Example, CCNOW charges 9%!

If you want to "badmouth" PayPal that is your right, however when doing so at least get the varifiable facts straight! In an average month I save $225.00 in pure profit by using PayPal .vs. BillPoint.

I've been using PayPal for 2+ years, over $700,000 in total transactions and have yet to have a problem. However if you are a high volume PayPal user one smart piece of advice, clean out your PayPal account daily. I've heard of people having $1000's frozen which is totally stupid, if people doing that high volume would simply do a withdraw to their bank account one a day they wouldn't have that much money frozen.

Sorry but in the last two years I've had more problem with my "real" bank then with PayPal! That would be First Union.....

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

3047. Re: Scanners and Airline Luggage Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 00:58:16 UTC
From: "Creighton J. Smith" <cjsmith1@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <abpnf3vlj1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 48411
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Scanner and GPS units have been through several checks since Sept. 11. No problems with those. Oddly the portable hair dryer has caused "re-X-ray" three times. Suspect the heating element.
br,
cjs

"ScannerGuy" <bogus@invalid.edu> wrote in message news:4sg0eucv335iamusbgegoeojhi3gctn9hs@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 13 May 2002 20:45:15 GMT, "TheF16Falcon" <thef16falcon@si.rr.com> wrote:
>
> >I'm well aware that I'm not allowed to OPERATE a scanner on an airliner, but
> >am I allowed to carry it on with me? Has anyone had any recent experiences?
> >Will the the airport security give me a hard time? Thanks
>
> In February I put my scanner in my carry on bag and went through two security checkpoints.
> No questions, nothing.

3048. Re: voice scanning boring, next step?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 02:04:28 UTC
From: johnb <borregoj@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3CE07221.1030502@sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 48424

sounds like my back yard there mac.....the woodland and davis police are
interesting cause they are mostly bored....so they really communicate
when something is up

Mac Rosevelt wrote:

> There are plenty of things you can listen too with even a basic scanner.
> Besides ATC like you have been hearing, I have also heard the airport
> weather (kind of like NOAA, but just for local air conditions), what I
> assume to be airport security (I live not too far from the International
> Airport in my city), various police agencies in Sacramento and Yuba
> counties, including the CA State Fair police at Calexpo, who are very
> exciting and don't have much code talk. Also fire, paramedics, live tv and
> radio remotes for both the on-air personalities and technichans (these two
> can be quite interesting- they don't go off during commercial breaks, I have
> heard anchors swearing between breaks, seriously), baby monitors (depending
> on the monitor, you can actually hear alot of what goes on in the house, and
> this is legal) fast food drive-thrus (usually need to hear them at close
> distance), various private security (sometimes neat- I get the security for
> Arco Arena, where the Sacramento Kings play, and I had also gotten Water
> World at Calexpo security as well ... good ways to keep an ear on your
> favorite buildings/complexes in your city) You can also pick up tv audio
> including cable (which allowed me to get my first tv dx from Los Angeles- my
> tvs never got LA, even with better antennas), and wireless mics. Normally
> you have to be at close range to hear them, but sometimes they go along way,
> like several years ago I heard one of the carnies for the CA State Fair
> quite clearly, all fair long, and this is twenty miles or so away) And if
> you like aircraft/airport related radio, be sure to check your local TIS
> (Traveller's Information Service) stations, on an AM capable radio. On AM
> 530 in Sacramento, I consistently get the international airport's TIS, which
> endlessly repeats a message about parking. Over time though, the voice had
> actually changed from a man's to that of a woman's. TIS stations are usually
> low powered and short in reception range.
> <tucansam@whodis.org> wrote in message
> news:aba8rpgmf1@reader1.panix.com...
>
>>Hey gang,
>>
>>I bought a couple of scanners mainly for picking up ATC traffic to help me
>>
> prepare for my
>
>>private pilot license. Since then I've been bitten by the bug and bought
>>
> a few more

>
>>scanners and generally played around. After a couple of months of
>>
> listening to local
>
>>goings-on, I am bored. What is the next logical step?
>>
>>I am interested in looking at receiving (and decoding) data transmissions.
>>
> Weather
>
>>satellite stuff, any data relating to law enforcement, military, or
>>
> aircraft
>
>>operations.... heck, I'd even be happy looking at the rain meter
>>
> statistics off the funky
>
>>meter I pass every day on my way to work, if it took some building and
>>
> tweaking to decode
>
>>the signal.
>>
>>I've surfed around a bit and came up with more data types than I ever
>>
> imagined existed.
>
>>Of course some day I'd like to be the guy with a dozen scanners and a
>>
> dozen TV's or dumb
>
>>terminals connected to them picking up stuff from all over the world, but
>>
> at the moment I
>
>>haven't much room or time and I'd like to start small.
>>
>>Although I do still enjoy listenting to my scanners, what is the next
>>
> logical step in the
>
>>maturation process here?
>>
>>Thanks!
>>
>>--
>>569@72649.266
>>

>
>

3049. Re: Department store freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 02:05:35 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3CE070EF.61495A07@mitre.org>
Source record: 48413

I use a RELM HS 200 that accepts both PL, CTCSS and Digital Private Line, DCS tones and also a ICOM IC R-2
that uses PL tones and CAN SEARCH for the active PL tones.
Tone coded squelch helps block computer related interference to radios, try walking through a store's check out counter line with a non tone squelch receiver or transceiver and the audio will LOCK UP on (if its a computer cash register, NOT a manual mechanical unit) point of sale terminal RFI! Tone also blocks pager inter mode and skip form ruining local traffic. If you walk around a location with a lot of computers and your scanner's bank(s) are all filled with
frequencies tagged with tone codes then liked a professional two-way radio equipped with tone code the radios
will be immune form inerfence form the computers! But all it take is one (1) Air Band frequency to lock up a scanner!
The great aspect of the Uniden BC 780 and Radio Shack / GRE's PRO-92 and PRO-2067 , like the R-2 is they have PL and DPL tone squelch and can search for the tones.
Many frequency directories have tone codes listed with the frequencies, this helps to set up the
RELM and Racing Electronics scanners and knowing the tone codes helps identify frequencies you have found
during a search. The station may not us their FCC call sign but if they use a tone code it will give a general idea of whose on the frequency .Lastly what tone codes are for is to separate the audio of different users sharing a frequency,
so the different radio operators or the tone capable scanner user won't have to listen to each other.
I listen to Mall security around New England most are on UHF and can be found at the FCC web site
and their tones can be found in Scanner Master's outstanding frequency directories.
Jim
Carl Solomon wrote:

> If all your doing is receiving, ya' don't need to be concerned about PL tones.
> Those are only used to activate a receiver whose audio is blocked unless it hears
> that subaudible tone. Your scanner/handheld (or whatever) will probably not be
> set up to block unwanted audio, so you'll hear whatever comes in on the received
> frequency, regardless of PL.
>
> /Carl - W5SU
>
> TooManyRadios wrote:
>
> > Some chains use common frequencies/tones for all of their stores.
> >
> > For example, Target uses 155.625 and 155.955. PL tone is 179.9. The 155.625
> > frequency is commonly used for automated paging announcements ("Guest

> > assistance to Toys", etc.) while 155.955 is the main frequency for comms
> > between employees.
> >
> > Old Navy also uses 155.955, but with a PL of 74.4.
> >
> > Many Wal-Marts can be heard on 154.570 or 154.600. PL varies.
> >
> > Fast food places also have common frequencies. Nearly all Taco Bells have an
> > input of 151.895, PL 88.5. Output is 33.400, PL 82.5. Burger Kings commonly
> > input on 154.515 or 154.540, PL 88.5. Output is the same 33.400, PL 82.5.
> >
> > This should get you started. Have fun :-)

3050. Re: 900 MHz mobile phone frequencies? HELP?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 02:07:17 UTC
From: johnb <borregoj@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3CE072CA.1080108@sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 48414

lots of people don't know that, they only think that monitoring cell is
illegal, not any type of phone communications.

bill wrote:

> i'm tired of seeing your reply each time someone mentions cordless phones.
> we know that.
> "twï¿½ï¿½,.," <.tw,@nothomeexcite5,yahoomsnATTBI.org.com> wrote in message
> news:gRnC8.4148$RR3.3045@sccrnsc02...
>
>>X-No-Archive: yes
>>
>>"Jason" <jda1973@juno.com> wrote in message
>>
> news:1e03df94.0205082054.59a6b0af@posting.google.com...
>
>>| I have a list of the frequencies used for cordless phones on 900MHz.
>>
>>
>>Just a note, it is illegal in the US to monitor cellular and cordless
>>
> phone transmissions on a
>
>>receiver.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

3051. Re: Monitoring Times Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 02:10:13 UTC
From: johnb <borregoj@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3CE0737B.2050005@sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 48415
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

could be a refresh thing....it was pulling from the cashe on your
computer or the network, not from the site.

SB wrote:

> "Dave Holford" wrote:
>
>
>>I don't know what site you are looking at but the Monitoring Times
>>website current issue is May 2002. I just checked it.
>>
>
> WEIRD!
>
> Now it IS updated.
>
> Ameritech has been having some goofy problems with its DNS, but I
> haven't a clue how that would have effected me getting to the proper
> page.
>

3052. Re: Who Cares if Scanning Phones in U.S. Is Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 02:15:58 UTC
From: dxlover574738846@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020513221558.27663.00008601@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 48416

>That RF passes through my property and I want to
>know what it's carrying.
.....
.....
That's the way I look at it. If it is in the airwaves and I am at my home or
in my *fun car* I will hear it. ;-)

3053. Re: Scanners and Airline Luggage Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 02:17:10 UTC
From: johnb <borregoj@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3CE0751B.1040108@sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 48417
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

the only thing i EVER have gotten questions about has been my steel toes shoes.....carried all maner of tools, and electronics onto airplanes without at peep.....

Creighton J. Smith wrote:

> Scanner and GPS units have been through several checks since Sept. 11. No
> problems with those. Oddly the portable hair dryer has caused "re-X-ray"
> three times. Suspect the heating element.
> br,
> cjs
>
>
>
> "ScannerGuy" <bogus@invalid.edu> wrote in message
> news:4sg0eucv335iamusbgegoeojhi3gctn9hs@4ax.com...
>
>>On Mon, 13 May 2002 20:45:15 GMT, "TheF16Falcon" <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
>>
> wrote:
>
>>>I'm well aware that I'm not allowed to OPERATE a scanner on an airliner,
>>>
> but
>
>>>am I allowed to carry it on with me? Has anyone had any recent
>>>
> experiences?
>
>>>Will the the airport security give me a hard time? Thanks
>>>
>>In February I put my scanner in my carry on bag and went through two
>>
> security checkpoints.
>
>>No questions, nothing.
>>
>
>

3054. Re: Who Cares if Scanning Phones in U.S. Is Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 02:19:28 UTC
From: dxlover574738846@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020513221928.27663.00008602@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 48418

>The Darwin's Law of humanism mythology was never proven to be
>absolutely universally valid so it really was a theory, not a law.
.....
.....
How true, what a joke of a *theory.*

** ducking from thown objects. ;-)

3055. Re: Who Cares if Scanning Phones in U.S. Is Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 02:26:53 UTC
From: dxlover574738846@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020513222653.27663.00008605@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 48419

>and only once did I ever hear
>anything of interest
.....
.....
I heard some neighborhood kid say he was going to "break into that little black car with all the antennaes."

Because he was sooooo stupid to be talking on his cordless and I was smart enough to monitor him, it saved me a lot of headache ie. police paper work.

I listened to this punk for over a year :take his orders" over the phone....example: "Hey what's up..can you get me a etc. etc."

And would read about it in my local "ploce beat"....I never said a word. But when I heard him talk about breaking into *MY* car....lol....he jig was up. ;-)

So there *can* be benefits to it, sure....more often than not it is boring, but it saved me some heartache and future victims he would have robbed.

3056. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 02:41:02 UTC
From: Jesse <jessejamesspamless@attglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3CE0793E.D95E2C1A@attglobal.net>
Source record: 48420
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I've heard some much more mature rants from my 7 and 9 year old daughters.
Try posting in alt.binaries.crybabies maybe you'll drum up a little bit more
sympathy than here.

N1RSP wrote:

> You idiots are not getting the point.Ok I lost the money,but what gives
> Paypal the right to keep going into your account and take what is not
> theirs.I have not dealt with them in over a year.
> As for you idiots that only read part of what is said,I have closed my
> account with MY BANK AND REOPENED A NEW ONE SO THAT i HAVE A NEW CARD AND
> ACCOUNT NUMBER.The reason is not to let PAYPAL take anymore from me.
> CHECK OUT YOUR STATEMENTS IF YOU KNOW HOW! Maybe they got you to.
> And for those who are emailing me saying it has happened to them also,POST
> IT HERE.That way all the retards that don't understand what they are saying
> before they read the rest and know what is going on.
> I hope all of you don't get screwed from Paypal like I did.Don't forget one
> thing,I TOLD YOU SO!!!!!!
> "Geoff" <G24017@att.net> wrote in message
> news:_XVD8.21387$Vm2.624030@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > The whiners of eBay are basically losers ... what more can I say !!
> >
> >
> >
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

3057. Re: Department store freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 02:48:57 UTC
From: dxlover574738846@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020513224857.22687.00006744@mb-de.aol.com>
Source record: 48421

>Buy a frequency counter and walk around with it hidden in a pocket, capture
>all the freqs you want,
.....
.....
Toscano,
Best advice anyone could give. Freq. counters definitely make it easier and
funner. :-)

3058. Re: Scanners and Airline Luggage Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 02:59:36 UTC
From: Bob Fitch <crfitchjr@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <clv0euki1ttktikqf27r8fpkrrsqmaop97@4ax.com>
Source record: 48423

In March I flew from SF to DFW, no questions asked about my scanner.

On Mon, 13 May 2002 20:45:15 GMT, "TheF16Falcon"
<thef16falcon@si.rr.com> wrote:

>I'm well aware that I'm not allowed to OPERATE a scanner on an airliner, but
>am I allowed to carry it on with me? Has anyone had any recent experiences?
>Will the the airport security give me a hard time? Thanks

3059. REGENCY 1090 INSTRUCTIONS! Please help.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 03:03:20 UTC
From: Bill and Katey <bushnospam@kiva.net>
Message-ID: <abpv2l$9s$1@topsy.kiva.net>
Source record: 48425

I dug up my only scanner that I had for a few years, but want to get back into it. Does anyone have any links for instructions on this? Basically I want to program it and scan for frequencies.

Thanks for ANY info!

bush@kiva.net

3060. Re: Scanners and Airline Luggage Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 03:42:32 UTC
From: Nigel <nige33@xtra.co.nz>
Message-ID: <JV%D8.2358$p77.270884@news.xtra.co.nz>
Source record: 48428
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

i have been back from australia 3 weeks i carried my Aor1500scanner , and passed thru customs both in Australia & New Zealand with out a hitch , no question asked , and had great listening while i was in the gold coast

Cheers
Nigel
Hamilton NZ

3061. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 03:46:25 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020513234625.24217.00000006@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 48427

>You idiots are not getting the point.Ok I lost the money,but what gives
>Paypal the right to keep going into your account and take what is not
>theirs.

Have you gotten any reply from them yet? I know that if they took $400 of MY money, I wouldn't just sit around and post about it on newsgroups. I'd do something about it. I haven't had any need to change account information any time recently, but I am sure there's a way to change what account money is taken from, or even to delete the account that they can take money from. Do that and I'm sure they'd suspend your PayPal account, but at least your account information would be off of PayPal.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

3062. Trade Icom R2 For Sony 7600G

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 03:48:22 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <aO%D8.82830$Ez5.20672731@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 48426
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Have a like new Icom R2 to trade for Sony 7600G in same cond.
DeWayne KE9K

3063. Re: Pay Pal (legal crooks)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 04:01:55 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020514000155.07546.00007551@mb-ft.aol.com>
Source record: 48429

Ok, I think I'm starting to get the picture on this...

This guy bought something from someone on the newsgroup. He entered the wrong email address when sending the money via PayPal and that's somehow PayPal's fault?

He says he hasn't used them for a year because they screwed him when it was his own fault, so why did he wait until now to tell us about it? Did they take more money out over the last year?

With PayPal, you can see the status of all money sent. It also shows if the money was claimed. If so, shouldn't it be simple to pursue this via legal channels?

What's the big deal? He screwed up. It's not PayPal's fault.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

3064. Re: BBB (was: Paypal legal crooks)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 04:03:15 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020514000315.07546.00007553@mb-ft.aol.com>
Source record: 48430

>The BBB is DEFINITELY a worthless organization.
>

You're better off going to your state's Office of Consumer Affairs, usually
located within the Attorney General's office.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com
http://edfas.tripod.com

3065. Re: Handheld scanner recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 04:47:35 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020514004735.21656.00006665@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 48431

For my area (Redding, CA) we primarily have a Motorola system for public service (police) and conventional for everything else. I recommend a Pro-93. Awesome scanner!! Computer control, 4 AA batteries.
Eric G.
education CANNOT buy common sense

3066. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 05:52:54 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh-r.r.a.m@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <0CA9DA43E4368A66.A7768CA7E7D010DD.83CEBDCEE1B24DDF@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 48432
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 13 May 2002 15:18:27 GMT, Alan Beagley
<abeagley@optonline.net> wrote:

>But they don't charge more for using a card: they give a discount for
>using cash.

VISA still won't like them much; the policy is "same as cash."

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net
http://www.kd5nrh.net

3067. Re: Department store freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 06:28:02 UTC
From: Mac Rosevelt <rapture@calweb.com>
Message-ID: <3ce0ae18_2@news3.calweb.com>
Source record: 48433
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Yes, I'm hoping to get more frequencies like that. Bob Grove had reported once that he could hear fast food frequencies where the employees talked trash about the customers, apparently not thinking anyone was listening. I've had no luck with getting those, just the drive-thru freqs. I think that the lower the power of the radios/mics being used, and the less well paid the users of them are, the better the chance there is you will hear such silly chatter like I heard at The Point. I want to get more of this kind of stuff, it's lots of more fun than the cops usually are. The cops usually spew tons and tons of 'code this, code that', and even when they're speaking in plain English about horrible murders or what not, they talk with no emotion. And always without profanity (from them, anyway ... maybe in the background you might hear some) or an discourtesy. But then, they're professionals. It's more fun to hear people using the walkie-talkies or mics that aren't really sophisticated, well trained folk, like you often hear at stores or restaurants. But then I've also heard a tv annnouncer swearing between commercial breaks while out doing an event at Arco Arena, so there may be exceptions.
"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:0ejvdu8idmhinm4dqns1g75sqnlr3tilsv@4ax.com...
> "Mac Rosevelt" wrote:
>
> >At The Point (at Calexpo in Sacramento,
> >where the State Fair is held) one of the employees was talking about how
she
> >could get someone free tickets and that she was a lesbian
>
> Boy ...now if THAT doesn't make one just wanna shut everything down
> and start searching for department store freqs, I don't know what
> WILL.
>
> <sigh>

3068. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 07:13:24 UTC
From: skuld-chan <cherry938@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <oO2E8.19853$L76.1481@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 48434
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I ask you - have you called them and talked to someone about this?

(888) 221-1161

sc

"N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:7yzD8.4581$Ok3.2771411@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> Well Paypal has done it again to me.They are taking money from my account
> for no reason as I don't use it since they screwed me out of $400.00.Now
I
> got a thing on my account saying they deducted from my account for update
> charges.
> I have emailed them several time with no results except for a automated
> response to email them if I have a problem.So I do and get the same
response
> over and over again.
> As of now I have closed my account and reopened a new one so they won't
> screw me for more.
> WATCH OUT FOR PAYPAL !! They will get you for sure.
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002
>
>

3069. Re: True digial cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 07:20:50 UTC
From: "Mike M."
Message-ID: <3ce0b9ff.5752507@news.charter.net>
Source record: 48435

On Mon, 13 May 2002 12:01:51 -0500, "Tom Sevart"
<n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote:

>I don't know about any other cordless phones, but the AT&T 9100 phone I
>bought several years ago is totally digital spread spectrum from both the
>base and handset. I made sure to test it on both the transmit & receive
>freqs before using it. Listening in with a scanner gives you a slightly
>higher noise floor when the phone is in use. Impossible to make out any
>audio from the phone.
>
>Tom
>
>
The AT&T 9100 is a true digital phone, but not spread spectrum.

3070. Re: Handheld scanner recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 10:36:12 UTC
From: CoolDave_0@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8310981d.0205140236.2d43a3c7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48436

Jester <jester72@optonline.net> wrote in message news:<3CE03F07.1EE3061E@optonline.net>...
> I've been out of the scanning game for a while, but am interested in
> getting back in to it with a new handheld. My current handheld (Bearcat
> 200XLT.. remember those?) just doesn't cut it any more.
>
> I'd like to get some recommendations on which unit(s) I should look at.
> I did some preliminary searching around the net and found what appear to
> be some nice units from ICOM. Any thoughts? My basic requirements are
> clear audio, very good intermod filtering (I'll be using it in the
> Northern New Jersey area, where the Bearcat is basically useless) and a
> fairly compact size.
>
> Please copy replies to my email at jester72@optonline.net.
>
> Thanks..

Pro-43. Out of 15 scanners, including the 200XLT this is by far my favorite.

Dave

3071. Re: Scanners and Airline Luggage Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 10:37:58 UTC
From: CoolDave_0@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8310981d.0205140237.7376a880@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48437
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"TheF16Falcon" <thef16falcon@si.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<vBVD8.15116$Db5.4525220@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>...
> I'm well aware that I'm not allowed to OPERATE a scanner on an airliner, but
> am I allowed to carry it on with me? Has anyone had any recent experiences?
> Will the the airport security give me a hard time? Thanks

Im 13 years old and when I went through the metal detector they just
said 'do you have a walkie talkie or a scanner' and I said yes its
just a scanner. And they said alright and let me go through. Dont use
them in planes though.

Dave

3072. Re: 73's Magazine, Is it still around?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 10:42:58 UTC
From: dmterp@enter.net
Message-ID: <3ce0e69f.1686947@news.enter.net>
Source record: 48438
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

05/14/02

Hi All,

I have seen 73 magazine at Waldonbook Stores here in PA. They only get one or two copies, so if someone buys them, they're out Keeping down on the number od copies, cuts down on the returns. Ask at the desk, and find out whne they get their new copies.

How many remember when Wayne moved brom Brooklyn to Peterboro.
If I remember, it had something to do with a divorce.

He wanted to keep W2NSD and the FCC wanted to change him to a W1 cakk sugb, That story line ran in 73 for quite a while. He obvously kept his W2NSD. It was a good mangazine then, although he never attracted any of the top writers.

He also had a running battle with QST and the ARRL for a long time. Of course this (by design) increased his circulation. There were quite a few ARRL haters in those days.

I haven't subscribed for years. I guess, when you live in Peterboro, you don't need mass circulation to stay afloat. Then, of course, he has his alternative medicine business. I got a copy of his catalog recently and he features his silver, among other things.

At this point, he must be close to 80.

Don

On Sun, 12 May 2002 19:49:42 -0400, GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote:

>Been looking for recent issues of 73's Magazine however I can't find
>them in our local bookstores. Is this magazine still being
published?
> If so what type of "chain" bookstore sells them?
>George
>http://www.MilAirComms.com <--HF, VHF, UHF Military Frequncies and
MORE!
>
>

3073. FA: Uniden Bearcat SC150B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 10:55:33 UTC
From: Truman <no@hello.goodbye>
Message-ID: <G%5E8.212129$tt4.15877150@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 48439
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1352951870

Please use email address listed on eBay for any questions.

3074. tk75 v0.2 available, open source, multiplatform IC-R75 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 14:49:48 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3ce12428$0$1408$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 48440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

tk75 version 0.2 is now available for free download.

tk75 is open source, multiplatform software for controlling
and cloning the ICOM IC-R75 tabletop receiver.

Changes include:
Limit Search and Auto Memory Write Search
Control of virtually every IC-R75 Set Mode setting, including
timers, RTTY settings, RF/Squelch knob, dimmer, etc.
Sync the radio's clock to the PC in local time or GMT
Comprehensive, easy to understand filter configuration
Improved CW pitch control.

I use the free and more stable Linux operating system
instead of Windows. But, I wrote tk75 in Tcl/Tk so it runs on
either operating system.

It will probably run on Windows ME, Windows 2000,
Windows XP, MacOS X, Solaris, FreeBSD, and other environments,
but I've only tested it on Linux and Windows 98.

You can read about and download a beta test version of tk75
from my home page:

http://members.core.com/~parnass

I don't warrant the software, but it won't cost you anything.

Thanks to Bob Grove for the loan of an ICOM IC-R75 receiver
so I could design and test tk75.

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

3075. Re: f.s. GE mobile , pheonix SX with FRS freqs, 32ch, 35 watts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 14:50:23 UTC
From: JJJJJJJJJJ <oaktownboy@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <i__D8.44793$Yt.2045676@read1.cgocable.net>
Source record: 48422

reduced to 120.00US including basic shipping

"JJJJJJJJJJ" <oaktownboy@cogeco.ca> wrote in message
news:a20D8.10022$op.1932076@read2.cgocable.net...
> for sale:
>
> in excellent condition with mounting bracket and microphone
>
> GE mobile pheonix SX
>
> 32 channels, 35 watts
>
> programmed with 14 FRS channels and 18 business frequencies
>
>
> 150.00US includes basic shipping
>
> thanks
> oaktownboy@cogeco.ca
>
>
>

3076. Re: 73's Magazine, Is it still around?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 15:58:31 UTC
From: blackm00@cam.org
Message-ID: <6447bcd3.0205140758.5252fbc7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

dmterp@enter.net wrote in message news:<3ce0e69f.1686947@news.enter.net>...
> 05/14/02
>
> Hi All,
>
> I have seen 73 magazine at Waldonbook Stores here in PA. They only get
> one or two copies, so if someone buys them, they're out Keeping down
> on the number od copies, cuts down on the returns. Ask at the desk,
> and find out whne they get their new copies.
>
> How many remember when Wayne moved brom Brooklyn to Peterboro.
> If I remember, it had something to do with a divorce.
>
> He wanted to keep W2NSD and the FCC wanted to change him to a W1 cakk
> sugb, That story line ran in 73 for quite a while. He obvously kept
> his W2NSD. It was a good mangazine then, although he never attracted
> any of the top writers.
>
> He also had a running battle with QST and the ARRL for a long time. Of
> course this (by design) increased his circulation. There were quite a
> few ARRL haters in those days.
>
> I haven't subscribed for years. I guess, when you live in Peterboro,
> you don't need mass circulation to stay afloat. Then, of course, he
> has his alternative medicine business. I got a copy of his catalog
> recently and he features his silver, among other things.
>
> At this point, he must be close to 80.
>
> Don
>
>
When 73 was in Brooklyn, they were doing it out of their own apartment.
The magazine was successful, and they needed more space. I can't remember
what Wayne wrote about picking Peterborough.

The divorce came some years later, 67 or 68. He later remarried, and
of course his ex-wife re-appeared in 1975 or so, for the launch of Byte.
And a few months later, the magazine was stolen out from under Wayne; I've
still never seen a full story of what happened. Though, apparently the
new magazine was in the name of his ex-wife (why her and not someone
else sure isn't clear), because Wayne was having tax problems and maybe
that spat with the phone company over the series of articles about the

phone system meant impending legal problems, so he didn't want Byte in his name.

I think 73 had some fine authors, like Jim Kyle, Jim Fisk (who later started Ham Radio magazine), and Hank Cross, W1OOP. I think each of the magazines tended to have their own circle of authors. I think 73 sometimes lacked a "seriousness", which worked both ways. You'd get interesting articles that weren't construction articles, and there was plenty of space for tidbit-style articles that filled the end of a column of an article. But even if they would run an artilce on weak signal detection, it did not get the respect it would if it ran in QST (or later Ham Radio). As a side note, sometime back I found a webpage from Jim Kyle, who wrote an awful lot in the early days of 73, but then disappeared. Apparently, he wasn't all that interested in ham radio, and when other markets opened up, he moved on. They were great articles, though.

73 was still pretty good in the seventies. But somewhere in the eighties it started going down hill. There was the period when Wayne sold his magazine empire (mostly all those computer magazines, but which included 73) so for a while he had no connection with it. Eventually, the company that bought the magazines didn't want 73, and it reverted to Wayne. But some years of his absence, and a fairly non-ham staff, lost readership, and it never recovered. Things kept changing, and the magazine kept slipping. There were too many errors, errors that indicated the proof-readers were lacking the basics, and the articles got less and less interesting. By that time, the authors who had been common to the magazine had either moved on or stopped writing.

Of course, by Wayne's own admition, he has been rich a number of times, and usually ended up losing the money. When he sold off the computer magazines in the early or mid-eighties, he got quite a bit for them 9even though all of them eventually faded out). I think that happened a second time, when he sold off a second slew of magazines, or maybe it was just his CD magazine and empire. The first sale sold off just about everything, including apparently some personal items. So it took some time to rebuild his ability to publish. But I suspect for years, he's had that ability, which probably gives him a lot of independence. And as long as he hasn't frittered his money away, he could still be in pretty good shape financially.

Wait. Something did happen in the early nineties, I think about his cold fusion magazine. I can't remember exactly, but I think he wrote that someone stole it, or it went bankrupt. There was rumour that 73 was going under at the time. It seemed though, that 73 was published from a different company, so it was safe. But this lead to the state of 73 today.

A few years back, as I already mentioned, they cut back dramatically on newsstand distribution. This was a time when it was really late, and skimpy looking. Newsstand distribution tends to lose money, so relying on subscriptions may be more profitable. On the other hand,

if the magazine isn't out in public view, who will know about it? I haven't seen it in three or four years, and while I once loved the magazine, it's hardly in my thoughts anymore. I just remembered that someone I know was recently in the US, and I'd given thought to things that might be brought back. Until just now, 73 hadn't even come to mind.

Wayne made Peterborough a publishing capital, because he moved 73 there about 40 years ago, and then kept launching all kinds of magazines that others eventually bought. Ham Radio must have set up nearby because Jim Fisk had been 73's editor. There must still be related companies in the vicinity, though I can't think of what magazines. Though, come to think of it, the last time I saw a copy of 73, I don't think they were still in Peterborough.

I agree with someone's comment that the magazine will die with Wayne's death, or inability to keep with it. It's an independent magazine, a rarity, and it is too much his magazine. I never had any of the animosity towards him that I've seen over the years, in fact always enjoyed his editorials, but with time there hasn't been anyone there to keep him in line. The junk-medicine stuff is a good example; it seems to have gone way beyond a passing reference.

Michael VE2BVW

3077. Re: Scanners and Airline Luggage Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 16:19:19 UTC
From: DxxxxxBxx <root@localhost.com>
Message-ID: <q7e2eug3sie2bt8v64efi843ri6ajmblrb@4ax.com>
Source record: 48442

Use 'em in the plane if you can. I've used ham radio, a scanner, and
a GPS from an airliner. The coverage and reception are very
interesting.

Read the airline magazine as soon as you sit down. See what portable
electronic devices are prohibited. I noticed that some airlines allow
GPS units to be used. It is "cool" to see how high you are and how
fast you are going. Hook it to a laptop (or use a GPS with a map) and
watch your trip!

It is my understanding that any radio device may be used under the
authority of the captain of the vessel. I used to have a "form
letter" with a copy of my ham license and my intentions. It had a
yes/no checkbox and place for the pilot's signature. I would have a
flight attendent send it up front and sometime's I'd get it back with
the yes box checked.

These days, it may not be a good idea to slip the captain a note.
They may get pretty nervous.

Use discretion!

On 14 May 2002 03:37:58 -0700, in rec.radio.scanner you wrote:

>"TheF16Falcon" <thef16falcon@si.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<vBVD8.15116$Db5.4525220@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>...
>> I'm well aware that I'm not allowed to OPERATE a scanner on an airliner, but
>> am I allowed to carry it on with me? Has anyone had any recent experiences?
>> Will the the airport security give me a hard time? Thanks
>
>Im 13 years old and when I went through the metal detector they just
>said 'do you have a walkie talkie or a scanner' and I said yes its
>just a scanner. And they said alright and let me go through. Dont use
>them in planes though.
>
>
>
>Dave

3078. Re: 900 MHz mobile phone frequencies? HELP?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 16:43:20 UTC
From: JELo <jelo@nospammail.com>
Message-ID: <3ce13b90_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 48443

> I have a list of the frequencies used for cordless phones on 900MHz.
> The problem I am having is when I try to program them into my scanner
> (PRO-90). The first one goes in just fine, 902.100, the second is
> input as 902.130, but when I hit the enter button the scanner changes
> it to 902.125. Next I input 902.160, and it is changed to 902.1625.
> what is going on here? I understand that the scanner must be set of
> this type of frequency spacing but will the "round off" frequencies
> work? Are the frequencies I have inacurate? Please Help!!
Just out of curiosity, what are the frequencies you have? JELo

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3079. Re: Scanners and Airline Luggage Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 16:46:01 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@REMOVEsan.rr.com>
Message-ID: <dbbE8.466$Il6.142403@twister.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 48444

"DxxxxxBxx" <root@localhost.com> wrote in message
news:q7e2eug3sie2bt8v64efi843ri6ajmblrb@4ax.com...

> It is my understanding that any radio device may be used under the
> authority of the captain of the vessel. I used to have a "form

Your understanding is wrong.

The FAA rule in question states that the OPERATOR of the aircraft may permit devices which have been tested with the specific type of aircraft. That's the airline, not the pilot.

The airline may, if it chooses, delegate that authority to the pilot, but does not have to.

3080. Re: LOOKING FOR COAST GUARD fREQUENCY'S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 17:50:54 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <abriss$knadh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48445

"John J McCoy Jr" <kb9qng@charter.net> wrote in message
news:3CE02DA1.A4493ABC@charter.net...
> Looking for Cost Guard frequency's HF and UHF CAN ANYBODY HELP ME ON
> THAT????
>

I'm waaay too far inland to hear VHF/UHF, but I pick up HF all the time.
Try these freqs:

SEARCH AND RESCUE (SAR) FREQUENCIES

2182 Distress freq
3053
3056
4730
4733
4739
5680 Intl SAR
5694
5696 USCG Primary
5699
8364 Distress freq, lifeboats
8980 USCG
8983 USCG Primary
11202
13218
13221
15082
15085
15088
17988
17991

3081. Charles Town WV Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 19:14:01 UTC
From: 101010101010101 <101010101010101@101010101010101.com>
Message-ID: <geo2eu0js20juinc3e97sjcf0og4lkhn4p@4ax.com>
Source record: 48446

anyone have freqs for Charles Town / Harper's Ferry WV area?

3082. Re: Scanners and Airline Luggage Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 20:20:29 UTC
From: Brandon <brandon@solarix.org>
Message-ID: <abrrkhnjg1@news.xmission.com>
Source record: 48297
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I just flew from San Diego to Los Angeles, then onto Las Vegas and Salt Lake City and did not have any problems taking my scanner and 2m HT in my carry-on bag.

"TheF16Falcon" <thef16falcon@si.rr.com> wrote in message news:vBVD8.15116$Db5.4525220@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> I'm well aware that I'm not allowed to OPERATE a scanner on an airliner, but
> am I allowed to carry it on with me? Has anyone had any recent experiences?
> Will the the airport security give me a hard time? Thanks
>
> --
> "A 2000-pound bomb, no matter where you drop it, is a significant emotional
> event for anyone within a square mile." - Navy officer
>
>

3083. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 20:32:48 UTC
From: Tom <mmman_90@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ue2t0i70v8road@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48298
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Tom: The current issue of SmartMoney magazine has an article that rips Paypal, in effect saying some of the things that have been reported here. I know that Paypal has a lot of satisfied customers but where there is smoke there is fire and I believe a sufficient amount of smoke is being raised concerning Paypal that a person should exercise great caution in dealing with them.

"Jesse" <jessejamesspamless@attglobal.net> wrote in message news:3CE0793E.D95E2C1A@attglobal.net...
> I've heard some much more mature rants from my 7 and 9 year old daughters.
> Try posting in alt.binaries.crybabies maybe you'll drum up a little bit more
> sympathy than here.
>
> N1RSP wrote:
>
> > You idiots are not getting the point.Ok I lost the money,but what gives
> > Paypal the right to keep going into your account and take what is not
> > theirs.I have not dealt with them in over a year.
> > As for you idiots that only read part of what is said,I have closed my
> > account with MY BANK AND REOPENED A NEW ONE SO THAT i HAVE A NEW CARD AND
> > ACCOUNT NUMBER.The reason is not to let PAYPAL take anymore from me.
> > CHECK OUT YOUR STATEMENTS IF YOU KNOW HOW! Maybe they got you to.
> > And for those who are emailing me saying it has happened to them also,POST
> > IT HERE.That way all the retards that don't understand what they are saying
> > before they read the rest and know what is going on.
> > I hope all of you don't get screwed from Paypal like I did.Don't forget one
> > thing,I TOLD YOU SO!!!!!!
> > "Geoff" <G24017@att.net> wrote in message
> > news:_XVD8.21387$Vm2.624030@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > The whiners of eBay are basically losers ... what more can I say !!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> > ---
> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

>

3084. Re: tk75 v0.2 available, open source, multiplatform IC-R75 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 21:22:00 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3CE17FF7.7137B61C@optonline.net>
Source record: 48299
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Excuse me? I believe that is the 1st time for version 0.2.

And, so what. That is the type of message this newsgroup was meant
for. Much more relevant than the 50 messages re PayPal.

SB wrote:
>
> "Bob_Parnass_AJ9S" wrote:
>
> >tk75 version 0.2 is now available for free download.
>
> GEEZ ...that's the third time in almost as many days. Give it a rest,
> huh?

3085. Re: tk75 v0.2 available, open source, multiplatform IC-R75 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 21:36:41 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3ce18385$0$3337$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 48300
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I released tk75 v0.2 today and that was the first
announcement about v0.2 on Usenet.

You are confused because I wrote 4 other
free programs and announced each version of them
at various times.

On Tue, 14 May 2002 14:33:53 -0500, SB wrote:

> "Bob_Parnass_AJ9S" wrote:
>
>>tk75 version 0.2 is now available for free download.
>
> GEEZ ...that's the third time in almost as many days. Give it a rest,
> huh?

--
==
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

3086. Re: Who Cares if Scanning Phones in U.S. Is Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 22:29:27 UTC
From: Michael <mvp67@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <bdgE8.312221$nc.44781788@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 48301
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If you dont want me to intercept you cell phone or cordless phone
frequencies then scramble them.

If they come in to my house then they are subject to listening.

"Norm" <CatchMe@Cheers.com> wrote in message
news:FoBC8.8810$QOT.561@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> We know this already, now let's get past all the stupid threads on this,
and
> just answer the damn questions that are being asked.
>
> We know, and we don't care, that cellular and other stuff is illegal in
the
> U.S.
>
> This is an int'l discussion group on scanners. Not just for the U.S.
>
> Now let's get back to scanning.
>
> Better, yet, give it a break and enjoy the warm weather.
>
> Get the hell out of the house. Away from the radio.
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.361 / Virus Database: 199 - Release Date: 5/7/2002
>
>
>

3087. Re: tk75 v0.2 available, open source, multiplatform IC-R75 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 23:16:58 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3CE19AE8.9300446D@optonline.net>
Source record: 48302
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You're really trying super hard to make an ass of yourself, aren't you!

SB wrote:
>
> "Bob_Parnass_AJ9S" wrote:
>
> >You are confused because I wrote 4 other
> >free programs and announced each version of them
> >at various times.
>
> They all THREE look the same to me:
>
> ***May 10th:***
>
> The ICOM IC-R75 is a 0.03 - 60 MHz tabletop receiver.
>
> I wrote tk75, a new open source computer program which lets you:
>
> (1) Control the IC-R75 from your computer.
>
> (2) Download/upload the radio's memory channels from/to a
> csv (comma-separated values) file.
>
> (3) Log active frequencies, intercept time, and relative signal
> strength automatically while scanning.
>
> (4) Easily access radio controls which are buried
> within the radio's menus, e.g., DSP Noise Reduction level.
>
> Though I've all but abandoned Windows 98 in favor of free Linux,
> I wrote tk75 in a language (Tcl/Tk) which permits it run on either
> operating system. It will probably run on Windows ME, Windows 2000,
> Windows XP, MacOS X, Solaris, FreeBSD, and other environments,
> but I've only tested it on Linux and Windows 98.
>
> You can read about and download a beta test version of tk75
> from my home page:
>
> http://members.core.com/~parnass
>
> I don't warrant the software, but it won't cost you anything.
>
> Thanks to Bob Grove for the loan of an ICOM IC-R75 receiver

> so I could design and test tk75.
>
> --
> ==
> Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net
> http://members.core.com/~parnass
> Linux user
>
> ***May 12th:***
>
> If you are looking for software for the ICOM IC-R75,
> you can download my new tk75 software free from:
>
> http://members.core.com/~parnass
>
> It works on Windows, Linux (BSD, UNIX, etc.),
> and perhaps MacOS X.
>
> I don't warrant the software but it won't cost you
> anything.
>
> I am working on several new features for the next version.
>
> Have fun.
>
> On Sun, 12 May 2002 16:46:55 -0500, Hans wrote:
>
> > Wie o wie kan mij helpen aan de software RS-R75 voor de ICOM IC-R75,
> > uiteraard tegen vergoeding.
> > vr.gr. Hans PD5HJ
>
>
> --
> ==
> Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net
> http://members.core.com/~parnass
> Linux user
>
> ***May 14th***
>
> tk75 version 0.2 is now available for free download.
>
> tk75 is open source, multiplatform software for controlling
> and cloning the ICOM IC-R75 tabletop receiver.
>
> Changes include:
> Limit Search and Auto Memory Write Search
> Control of virtually every IC-R75 Set Mode setting, including
> timers, RTTY settings, RF/Squelch knob, dimmer, etc.
> Sync the radio's clock to the PC in local time or GMT

> Comprehensive, easy to understand filter configuration
> Improved CW pitch control.
>
> I use the free and more stable Linux operating system
> instead of Windows. But, I wrote tk75 in Tcl/Tk so it runs on
> either operating system.
>
> It will probably run on Windows ME, Windows 2000,
> Windows XP, MacOS X, Solaris, FreeBSD, and other environments,
> but I've only tested it on Linux and Windows 98.
>
> You can read about and download a beta test version of tk75
> from my home page:
>
> http://members.core.com/~parnass
>
> I don't warrant the software, but it won't cost you anything.
>
> Thanks to Bob Grove for the loan of an ICOM IC-R75 receiver
> so I could design and test tk75.
>
> --
> ==
> Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net
> http://members.core.com/~parnass
> Linux user

3088. Re: tk75 v0.2 available, open source, multiplatform IC-R75 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-14 23:55:13 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3CE1A3D7.D927CE30@optonline.net>
Source record: 48303
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

lol

cheers

SB wrote:
>
> "Walt Bertram" wrote:
>
> >You're really trying super hard to make an ass of yourself, aren't you!
>
> Ain't no tryin' involved - I come by it naturally.

3089. HF Communications Receivers FOR SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 00:46:21 UTC
From: radionurd@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020514204621.02914.00011343@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 48304

I have for sale the following gear:

ICOM R-71A - Excellant Condition, includes power cord and copy of manual.
Works and looks great! Asking $400 + $15 shipping in the US.

YAESU FRG-8800 with VHF Converter. This radio is in near NEW condition.
Includes manual, cord and VHF Converter. Asking $425 + $15 shipping.

3090. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 01:34:02 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@cox.net>
Message-ID: <6ee3eug51j04i814jb6rs2m7km7ds819qt@4ax.com>
Source record: 48305
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 13 May 2002 20:42:26 -0400, GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
wrote:

>
>> As for being free,it is free only if you don't use it much. if you do
>> it not only isn't free, it is downright expensive. The recipient of
>> the funds pays the bills, and they average about 4%.
>>
>
>
>Actually PayPal is NOT downright expensive. I run several websites and
>use PayPal for my customers orders. I average about $30,000 per month
>in PayPal recipts. You are charged $.30 plus 2.2%. On a $20.00
>purchase that would be a fee of $0.70 or only 3.5% which is pretty darn
>cheap. BILL POINT IS MORE EXPENSIVE! The same transaction on BillPoint
>would cost me $.85 in fees ($0.15 MORE) And there are many other
>services much like PayPal however they all change MORE! Example, CCNOW
>charges 9%!

That assumes PayPal will give you a merchant account. PayPal has
consistently declined to give me one, although I have one from
Billpoint, and as a result of Billpoint is a lot less expensive for
me.

It also take PayPal at least 2 days longer to get the funds in your
account. I pay Billpoint 1.75% plus 35cents (on transactions below
$15, I pay 35 cents period. For that, the funds are in my account at
the bank the following business day.

Have you ever been able to speak to a real human being at PayPal? I
haven't. Have you ever read how many if, ands and buts there are in
their chargeback protection scheme?

Have you ever tried to get them involved in a suspected fraud? By the
time they read the email, the perp's had the merchandise for two
weeks! Billpoint doesn't make it easy to call the, but if you'd like
Janet Crane's phone number (she runs Billpoint), I can give it to you.
It wasn't easy to find, but it is publicly available. Try that with
PayPal.
>
>If you want to "badmouth" PayPal that is your right, however when doing
>so at least get the varifiable facts straight! In an average month I
>save $225.00 in pure profit by using PayPal .vs. BillPoint.
>
>I've been using PayPal for 2+ years, over $700,000 in total transactions

>and have yet to have a problem. However if you are a high volume PayPal
>user one smart piece of advice, clean out your PayPal account daily.
>I've heard of people having $1000's frozen which is totally stupid, if
>people doing that high volume would simply do a withdraw to their bank
>account one a day they wouldn't have that much money frozen.
>
>Sorry but in the last two years I've had more problem with my "real"
>bank then with PayPal! That would be First Union.....
>
>George
>http://www.MilAirComms.com
>
>
>
>
>

3091. Re: bc200xlt manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 01:40:32 UTC
From: Creak <creak@holeinthewallnowhere.net>
Message-ID: <d3f3eu018f5678m10fvamksvppbjvgd3u9@4ax.com>
Source record: 48306

here you are go here

http://www.uniden.com/productsupport.cfm?product=BC200XLT

On Sat, 13 Apr 2002 20:14:06 GMT, Tim Davis <ttdd@flashnet.net> wrote:

>Is there a website where I can download a copy of the BC200xlt manual?
>Does anyone have a copy that they can post here?
>
>Thank You

3092. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 02:08:52 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CE1C334.4060406@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 48307
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> That assumes PayPal will give you a merchant account. PayPal has
> consistently declined to give me one, although I have one from
> Billpoint, and as a result of Billpoint is a lot less expensive for
> me.
>

Thats funny, because of my volume PayPal forced me to go from a reg.
account to a merchant account about 2 years ago.

> Have you ever been able to speak to a real human being at PayPal?

Everytime I dial their toll free phone number. One time I did have to
wait 15 minutes on hold however the other times I've called I was
talking with a human in just a matter of minutes.
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

3093. Icom IC-R10 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 02:16:57 UTC
From: Mike Hansow <ka7cse@att.net>
Message-ID: <Gw4ro6.80v@news.boeing.com>
Source record: 48311

Probably has been asked already but is anybody out there in scanner-land
playing around with homebrewed programming software for the R10 scanner???

Thanks,

Mike......

3094. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 02:23:15 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020514222315.23026.00001659@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 48308

What to do?

Get a lawyer and SUE, SUE, SUE!!!

It's the American way!

3095. WTB:INMARSAT antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 02:30:04 UTC
From: Mike <hostile-@iname.com>
Message-ID: <MKjE8.88326$Q42.4805796@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 48309

want to buy a somewhat small antenna to monitor INMARSAT.. attic mount is preferd but if its small enough outside mounting is possible..

Please if possible reply by email
Mike Smith
Hostile-@iname.com

3096. Pro 2006 Scanner for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 02:36:41 UTC
From: "Kevin F." <kfisher@telusplanet.net>
Message-ID: <ZQjE8.16099$bV3.690582@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 48310

Realistic Pro 2006 Triple Conversion Radio Scanner for sale. (Edmonton Alberta Area)

Considered by many as one of the finest scanners ever made (some reviews below) ... http://www.eham.net/reviews/detail/861

Radio Shack page on 2006 scanner:
http://www.radioshack.com/ProdSupport/DocumentDetail/DocumentDetailIndex.asp?P=support_electronics&D=2849&T=htm

Asking $350.00 Canadian

Thanks

Kevin F.
kfisher@telusplanet.net

3097. Programming Trunks in the PRO-94

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 02:48:36 UTC
From: JohnL <xyz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <absi46$kngjt$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48312

Hi all,

I just picked up a PRO-94 (they are on sale right now). After reading through the manual, I programmed in 15 channels (1-15) that make up the local county trunk group here in Ocean County NJ. These freqs are in the 506-508 MHz range and the system is identified at maxpages.com/frequencies as being a Motorala II system, so I picked "E2 - UHF" as the trunking mode for the group. However, when I press "SCAN" and then "SRC", the scanner never begins trunking the group. It seems to be searching for the control channel forever. If I L/O the channel where I hear the data, I can scan the remaining 14 channels in conventional mode and I hear conversations. Anyone have any ideas as to what may be wrong here?

thanks!

--
John L
Note the email address used for posting is not valid.
Sent with PAN 0.11.92 running on Mandrake Linux 8.1

3098. Re: Motorola Maxtrac Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 03:05:28 UTC
From: Rich <Rich3784@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <XfkE8.19734$Ze4.1980534@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 48313
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

That's what make some of us not trust others.

Rich L

"Equipment Recovery" <simmonss@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:8e7ab24.0205131204.23902aab@posting.google.com...
>

3099. Re: Programming Trunks in the PRO-94

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 03:29:54 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3CE1D627.82472A98@optonline.net>
Source record: 48314

Did you enter the Base Freq, Offset, and Step Size? These are required
for a UHF Mot trunked system.

JohnL wrote:
>
> Hi all,
>
> I just picked up a PRO-94 (they are on sale right now). After reading
> through the manual, I programmed in 15 channels (1-15) that make up the
> local county trunk group here in Ocean County NJ. These freqs are in the
> 506-508 MHz range and the system is identified at maxpages.com/frequencies
> as being a Motorala II system, so I picked "E2 - UHF" as the trunking mode
> for the group. However, when I press "SCAN" and then "SRC", the scanner
> never begins trunking the group. It seems to be searching for the control
> channel forever. If I L/O the channel where I hear the data, I can scan
> the remaining 14 channels in conventional mode and I hear conversations.
> Anyone have any ideas as to what may be wrong here?
>
> thanks!
>
> --
> John L
> Note the email address used for posting is not valid.
> Sent with PAN 0.11.92 running on Mandrake Linux 8.1

3100. Re: Icom IC-R10 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 04:03:59 UTC
From: egww@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020515000359.27393.00006106@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 48315

there is a yahoo group for R10 owners with many topics for the receiver which I am about to buy

discussions often include commercial as well as amatuer software good luck
Jim

3101. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 05:53:24 UTC
From: rickman <spamgoeshere4@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3CE1F7D4.7C5546DE@yahoo.com>
Source record: 48316
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" wrote:
>
> On Mon, 13 May 2002 15:18:27 GMT, Alan Beagley
> <abeagley@optonline.net> wrote:
>
> >But they don't charge more for using a card: they give a discount for
> >using cash.
>
> VISA still won't like them much; the policy is "same as cash."

Means nothing. I spoke with my credit card company and they won't
enforce that item. They told me that flat out.

--

Rick "rickman" Collins

rick.collins@XYarius.com
Ignore the reply address. To email me use the above address with the XY
removed.

Arius - A Signal Processing Solutions Company
Specializing in DSP and FPGA design URL http://www.arius.com
4 King Ave 301-682-7772 Voice
Frederick, MD 21701-3110 301-682-7666 FAX

3102. FS: Patrolman 7A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 06:07:33 UTC
From: W Mac <wgm1@usa.net>
Message-ID: <FWmE8.1471$D41.124650@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 48317

For Sale: Very clean Realistic Patrolman 7A crystal controlled scanner.
With 37 crystals. A Classic! Works Great! $50 + $5 shipping

wgm1@usa.net

3103. Re: Scanners and Airline Luggage Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 07:13:27 UTC
From: Bernardo Rossi <b.rossi@tin.it>
Message-ID: <m0g2eu0h57b1gqmpe8tuaglt4106a0q9vs@4ax.com>
Source record: 48318

On Tue, 14 May 2002 11:19:19 -0500, DxxxxxBxx <root@localhost.com>
wrote:

>GPS units to be used. It is "cool" to see how high you are and how
>fast you are going. Hook it to a laptop (or use a GPS with a map) and
>watch your trip!

Did you need an external antenna, or was the signal strong enough
without one?

Any special way to hold it (next to a window, up in the air or
whatever)?

Byebye from Verona, Italy

Bernardo Rossi b.rossi@tin.it

3104. Re: Pro 2006 Scanner for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 07:20:54 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <c134eu008i62m9uhja076u64g2t324p8t0@4ax.com>
Source record: 48319

yea go ahead and ship a money order to this guy in canada......yea
right.......uh - huh sure.........

On Wed, 15 May 2002 02:36:41 GMT, "Kevin F." <kfisher@telusplanet.net>
wrote:

>Realistic Pro 2006 Triple Conversion Radio Scanner for sale. (Edmonton
>Alberta Area)
>
>Considered by many as one of the finest scanners ever made (some reviews
>below) ... http://www.eham.net/reviews/detail/861
>
>Radio Shack page on 2006 scanner:
>http://www.radioshack.com/ProdSupport/DocumentDetail/DocumentDetailIndex.asp
>?P=support_electronics&D=2849&T=htm
>
>Asking $350.00 Canadian
>
>Thanks
>
>Kevin F.
>kfisher@telusplanet.net
>
>
>

3105. Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 07:32:26 UTC
From: Norm <lm@Cheers.com>
Message-ID: <eaoE8.16195$t8_.13946@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 48320
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

Phone tower blocked
Lake view saved for Townshippers

SIDHARTHA BANERJEE
Montreal Gazette

Tuesday, May 14, 2002

There will be no cellular-phone tower in this Eastern Townships community.

And no one in the municipality of 681 people is happier than 78-year-old farmer and developer Fred Eichenberger, who spearheaded the campaign to stop it.

"I am happy now; I'm relieved that (the battle) is all behind me," Eichenberger said in an interview.

The town council decided last week to reject Rogers/AT&T's plan to erect a tower on land owned by Donald Badger, West Bolton's longtime mayor.

The town's six councillors voted unanimously against a zoning change that would have allowed a 90-metre-high cellular-phone tower to be erected on the property.

The structure would have blocked a spectacular view of Brome Lake and the Bromont ski hill enjoyed by Eichenberger and a neighbour.

"There was too much pressure from the public," Eichenberger said.

That pressure came in the form of a 110-signature petition submitted to the council in early April.

Council's Decision

Badger said he had no problems with the council's decision because, ultimately, it was council's to make.

But he said a second tower in West Bolton would help limit the number of dead zones and improve emergency response.

West Bolton is already home to a giant Bell Mobility tower, and if another company or Rogers/AT&T were to come to town council with another proposal, Badger said, the project would have to be evaluated.

"If another project was presented, it would have to be evaluated on its merits as this one presumably was. Hopefully, next time it won't be on my property and I will be able to take a more active role in the debate."

- Sidhartha Banerjee's E-mail address is sbanerjee@thegazette.southam.ca.

3106. WTB UBC Unidenn 9000 xlt scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 10:34:16 UTC
From: cbvarmint@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020515063416.13140.00010320@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 48322

WTB Uniden 9000 xlt scanner in mint condition. Will pay reasonable price. email me with what you have.Glen

3107. WTS/WTT: BC-895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 11:13:08 UTC
From: Mike <hostile-@iname.com>
Message-ID: <8prE8.89757$Q42.4880485@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 48323

WTS or trade a MINT BC-895xlt trunk tracker. no mods non smoker.. this radio is in out of the box condition.. send emails with offers or trades to: hostile-@iname.com

Mike

3108. Re: Charles Town WV Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 12:39:11 UTC
From: wleamons <wleamons@socket.net>
Message-ID: <ue4lnifnvlr0cc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48324

try cityfreq.com
"101010101010101" <1010101010101010@101010101010101.com> wrote in message
news:geo2eu0js20juinc3e97sjcf0og4lkhn4p@4ax.com...
> anyone have freqs for Charles Town / Harper's Ferry WV area?

3109. FS: PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 13:25:50 UTC
From: JohnL <xyz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <abtnes$kui4k$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48325

Now that I have picked up a new PRO 94, I am selling my PRO-2006. I'm asking $250. Includes radio, manual, and original antenna. I've installed a new keypad and replaced the bottom half of the case. Condition is very good. The rig has the two "diode mods" done for speed and coverage. Bright display (otherwise I would have replaced that, too!) I've posted some high quality photos so folks can get an idea of the condition for themselves. You can view them at http://www.njmc.com/john/pro2006. There is four photos, each is 800x600 and less than 90k in size, so even dialup users shouldn't have a problem viewing them.

If you have questions or wish to make an offer, please contact me at jlemay at njmc dot com.

thanks!

--
John L
Note the email address used for posting is not valid.
Sent with PAN 0.11.92 running on Mandrake Linux 8.1

3110. Re: Programming Trunks in the PRO-94

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 14:04:49 UTC
From: JohnL <xyz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <abtpnv$l4mma$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48326

On Tue, 14 May 2002 23:29:54 -0400, Walt Bertram wrote:

> Did you enter the Base Freq, Offset, and Step Size? These are required
> for a UHF Mot trunked system.
>

That was it! I hadn't read far enough in the manual. I got through the
basic trunking section, but not far enough to explain entering the base
and offset.

Wow, this trunking stuff is a lot of information to grasp. It's a lot more
involved than punching in a handful of frequencies like I've been doing
for the past 12+ years.

--
John L
Note the email address used for posting is not valid.
Sent with PAN 0.11.92 running on Mandrake Linux 8.1

3111. Re: Scanners and Airline Luggage Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 14:19:30 UTC
From: DxxxxxBxx <root@localhost.com>
Message-ID: <7ir4eugu5f673q1mbr7anjck2pu1d2rdkn@4ax.com>
Source record: 48327
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

The GPS needed to be as close to the window as possible. Both scanner
and ham radio seemed to work okay from my lap.

On Wed, 15 May 2002 07:13:27 GMT, Bernardo Rossi
wrote:

>On Tue, 14 May 2002 11:19:19 -0500, DxxxxxBxx
>wrote:
>
>>GPS units to be used. It is "cool" to see how high you are and how
>>fast you are going. Hook it to a laptop (or use a GPS with a map) and
>>watch your trip!
>
>
>Did you need an external antenna, or was the signal strong enough
>without one?
>
>Any special way to hold it (next to a window, up in the air or
>whatever)?
>
>
>
>Byebye from Verona, Italy
>
>Bernardo Rossi b.rossi@tin.it

3112. Re: Scanners and Airline Luggage Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 14:20:00 UTC
From: DxxxxxBxx <root@localhost.com>
Message-ID: <0kr4eu8nkl8d4l9e77vnta1vjghl6f26ci@4ax.com>
Source record: 48328

Thanks to you, I now have a new understanding.

On Tue, 14 May 2002 16:46:01 GMT, "Skip Sanders"
<skipsand@REMOVEsan.rr.com> wrote:

>
>"DxxxxxBxx" <root@localhost.com> wrote in message
>news:q7e2eug3sie2bt8v64efi843ri6ajmblrb@4ax.com...
>
>> It is my understanding that any radio device may be used under the
>> authority of the captain of the vessel. I used to have a "form
>
>Your understanding is wrong.
>
>The FAA rule in question states that the OPERATOR of the aircraft may permit
>devices which have been tested with the specific type of aircraft. That's
>the airline, not the pilot.
>
>The airline may, if it chooses, delegate that authority to the pilot, but
>does not have to.
>

3113. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 14:47:12 UTC
From: Dump-This-Part-k9uwa@arrl.net
Message-ID: <QxuE8.6282$gD6.8804@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 48329
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In article <h1jvducsio1cia7iodqeu81oimvgff633m@4ax.com>,
StogieButt@ameritech.net says...
>
>The company is highly-regarded in most circles, and is the biggest in
>its business. I read recently that it was being purchased by another
>company - might even have been eBay.

If someone tries to purchase it....they have to buy all the stock in
it...Pay Pal went "Public" this year....their stock is doing very well!
One of the few IPO's that did well in this lousy market!

NASDAQ: PYPL 24 bucks a share...it hit the street at 12 bucks....
their Money Market has some 97.3 Million US Dollars in it.....so its not
exactly a little backyard business.......

John k9uwa

3114. Re: TETRA WORRIES.....???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 16:31:13 UTC
From: Pellucid <dave@jolly27.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <abu3a4fhn1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 48330
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

GSM has 8 timeslots per frame, and one timeslot repeats at a frequency of
216.6Hz
.
Harrogate <harrogate@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:CUdD8.1001$vb.94841@news8-gui.server.ntli.net...
> Funny how these things vary. Tetra pulses at 17.6Hz, but I had always
> understood that the frequency range of flashing light that could trigger
> fits was 7-12Hz as the latency of the causes anything above 12Hz to appear
> as a continuous source.
>
> Question: does GSM go at a higher RF pulse rate than 17.6? After all the
> underlying technology is very similar.
>
> --
>
> Woody
>
> harrogate@ntlworld.com
>
> "Steve Terry" <g4wwknospam@tesco.net> wrote in message
> news:abjhqe$i0ioe$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de...
> > "www.ar3000.info" <tom.mcqNOSPAM@btinternet.com> wrote in message
> > news:abhp6d$r17$1@paris.btinternet.com...
> > > I heard on BBC Radio4 (UK) tonight, that some Counties in the UK were
> forced
> > > to suspend the implementation of Tetra Systems due to health scares.
> > > Apparently, trials in the North West in particular resulted in a high
> volume
> > > of reported side-effects - so much so that the emergency services in
my
> area
> > > (Greater Manchester) have returned fully to their analogue systems.
> > > Any comments?
> > > Regards, Tom.
> > >
> > The only possible heath worry with Tetra is the TX RF is multiplexed at
a
> 18Hz
> > rate
> > A frequency which is known to be able to cause epileptic fits if from a
> flashing
> > bright light
> > It's never been shown fits could be caused by RF at that frequency

> >
> > I would of been smart if the Tetra designers had picked a higher
frequency
> > like used in GSM multiplexing to avoid any controversy
> >
> > Steve Terry
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3115. Re: Pro 2006 Scanner for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 17:12:50 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <6k55euo8les5et9ush1defn38dtift9r55@4ax.com>
Source record: 48331

yea uh - huh sure.....at least I'm not idiot enough to send a money order to Canada to some (_X_)hole you're never gonna hear from again.....you don't like it.....oh well.....rotate on it ..... he he LOL :O) How do ya like me now.....

On Wed, 15 May 2002 14:07:19 GMT, SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote:

>"rugerp85 <rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net>" wrote:
>
>>yea go ahead and ship a money order to this guy in canada.....yea
>>right.....uh - huh sure.....
>
>Idiot.
>

3116. Re: FS: PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 17:14:27 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <dp55euotmotn279iosmfmc7rovlvd9rb0t@4ax.com>
Source record: 48332

does that have the automatic butt wipe option???If so you and that
peckerhead in Canada should get together,maybe you can "mate" your
2006's......har har

On Wed, 15 May 2002 09:25:50 -0400, JohnL <xyz@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Now that I have picked up a new PRO 94, I am selling my PRO-2006. I'm
>asking $250. Includes radio, manual, and original antenna. I've installed
>a new keypad and replaced the bottom half of the case. Condition is very
>good. The rig has the two "diode mods" done for speed and coverage. Bright
>display (otherwise I would have replaced that, too!) I've
>posted some high quality photos so folks can get an idea of the
>condition for themselves. You can view them at
>http://www.njmc.com/john/pro2006. There is four photos, each is 800x600
>and less than 90k in size, so even dialup users shouldn't have a problem
>viewing them.
>
>If you have questions or wish to make an offer, please contact me at
>jlemay at njmc dot com.
>
>thanks!

3117. Re: Pro 2006 Scanner for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 18:03:53 UTC
From: Bruce Markowitz <scosgt@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <dqxE8.24489$Vm2.902964@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 48333

Well, I sent a MO to Aaron in Canada, and got my 2006!
"rugerp85 @adelphia.net>" <rugerp85<remove> wrote in message
news:6k55euo8les5et9ush1defn38dtift9r55@4ax.com...
> yea uh - huh sure.....at least I'm not idiot enough to send a
> money order to Canada to some (_X_)hole you're never gonna hear from
> again.....you don't like it.....oh well.....rotate on it ..... he
> he LOL :O) How do ya like me now.....
>
> On Wed, 15 May 2002 14:07:19 GMT, SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote:
>
> >"rugerp85 <rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net>" wrote:
> >
> >>yea go ahead and ship a money order to this guy in canada.....yea
> >>right.....uh - huh sure.....
> >
> >Idiot.
> >
>

3118. old cordless phone-can it be used as a transmitter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 18:51:34 UTC
From: dan9125@aol.com
Message-ID: <90dcbbbd.0205151051.4ee30b6b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48334

I have an old 46mhz cordless phone (Panasonic) and was just wondering if anyone has been able to make the base unit into a low power transmitter that would transit the audio from say, your scanner in the house out on 46mhz so it could be heard on a portable scanner outside your house. If it can be done please let me know,i might try to get this old cordless phone good for something.

Dan9125@aol.com Thanks!

3119. Re: FS: PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 19:31:21 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <hrd5euk647uj537ft6230mtae3mq2oucgm@4ax.com>
Source record: 48335

While savoring a President Clinton 'special' cigar, rugerp85
<rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net>
scribbled...

>does that have the automatic butt wipe option???

Sounds like you would really NEED that option.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

3120. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 19:38:47 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <g4e5euk6dmnm8ksc0e8mkbio9qmdggecsp@4ax.com>
Source record: 48336
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

While savoring a President Clinton 'special' cigar, Dump-This-Part-k9uwa@arrl.net (John Goller,
k9uwa) scribbled...

>NASDAQ: PYPL 24 bucks a share...it hit the street at 12 bucks....
>their Money Market has some 97.3 Million US Dollars in it.....so its not
>exactly a little backyard business.......

The 'hit the street' price is NEVER valid for the consumer.

According to the charts for PayPal, they're up 21% from their
'real' opening price.

But they're down today, and over the past few days.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

3121. Re: FS: PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 20:18:40 UTC
From: JohnL <xyz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <abufkr$le62a$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48337

On Wed, 15 May 2002 15:12:06 -0400, SB wrote:

> "Never anonymous Bud" wrote:
>
>>>does that have the automatic butt wipe option???
>>
>>Sounds like you would really NEED that option.
>
> For his face.

I'm guessing that he was looking for rec.radio.cb and got lost.

--
John L
Note the email address used for posting is not valid.
Sent with PAN 0.11.92 running on Mandrake Linux 8.1

3122. Re: Pro 2006 Scanner for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 20:20:28 UTC
From: JohnL <xyz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <abufo8$le62a$2@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48338

On Wed, 15 May 2002 13:12:50 -0400, rugerp85 <rugerp85 wrote:

> yea uh - huh sure.....at least I'm not idiot enough to send a
> money order to Canada to some (_X_)hole you're never gonna hear from
> again.....you don't like it.....oh well.....rotate on it ..... he
> he LOL :O) How do ya like me now.....

Is the phrase "*plonk*" understood here?

;)

--
John L
Note the email address used for posting is not valid.
Sent with PAN 0.11.92 running on Mandrake Linux 8.1

3123. Re: old cordless phone-can it be used as a transmitter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 20:29:55 UTC
From: JohnL <xyz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <abug9v$lcaff$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48339

On Wed, 15 May 2002 14:51:34 -0400, Dan wrote:

> I have an old 46mhz cordless phone (Panasonic) and was just wondering if
> anyone has been able to make the base unit into a low power transmitter that
> would transit the audio from say, your scanner in the house out on 46mhz so it
> could be heard on a portable scanner outside your house. If it can be done
> please let me know,i might try to get this old cordless phone good for
> something.

That may be too much work. You are probably better off hitting the garage
sales that should start up next month and look for an old baby monitor to
do the same. Why not continue to use the old phone and provide some
entertainment to other scanner users in your area?

--
John L
Note the email address used for posting is not valid.
Sent with PAN 0.11.92 running on Mandrake Linux 8.1

3124. Re: Scanners and Airline Luggage Rules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 21:53:57 UTC
From: Book <book_16@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3ce2d8f9$0$2524$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 48340

Except that the pilot is the boss once he gets on that plane.

--
Jesse Young

"DxxxxxBxx" <root@localhost.com> wrote in message
news:0kr4eu8nkl8d4l9e77vnta1vjghl6f26ci@4ax.com...
> Thanks to you, I now have a new understanding.
>
> On Tue, 14 May 2002 16:46:01 GMT, "Skip Sanders"
> <skipsand@REMOVEsan.rr.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >"DxxxxxBxx" <root@localhost.com> wrote in message
> >news:q7e2eug3sie2bt8v64efi843ri6ajmblrb@4ax.com...
> >
> >> It is my understanding that any radio device may be used under the
> >> authority of the captain of the vessel. I used to have a "form
> >
> >Your understanding is wrong.
> >
> >The FAA rule in question states that the OPERATOR of the aircraft may
permit
> >devices which have been tested with the specific type of aircraft.
That's
> >the airline, not the pilot.
> >
> >The airline may, if it chooses, delegate that authority to the pilot, but
> >does not have to.
> >
>

3125. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 22:32:15 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3CE2E1EF.83FF0A39@USA.net>
Source record: 48341

A national TV station did a program on how a hacker was stealing from paypal and ebay by hacking into there systems.

By the way the hacker was from a european country.

The suggestion from the FBI was be extremely careful or don't use these systems.

TooManyRadios wrote:

> What to do?
>
> Get a lawyer and SUE, SUE, SUE!!!
>
> It's the American way!

3126. ARC780

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 22:46:38 UTC
From: amduscias2@shaw.ca
Message-ID: <izBE8.78615$GG6.6859848@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 48342

does anyone have the registration name and key for this program?

3127. You Might Belong to a Redneck Volunteer fire department if .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 23:04:31 UTC
From: Norm <lm@Cheers.com>
Message-ID: <3QBE8.788$ah_.151@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 48343
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If your department has ever had two emergency vehicles pulled over for drag racing while going to a scene.
If you have those chrome, naked lady mud-flaps on your pumper.
If your firehouse has wheels.
If you've ever got back and found you've locked yourself out of the firehouse.
If fire training consists of everyone standing around a fire get'n drunk.
If you've ever let a person's house burn down because they wouldn't let you hunt deer on their land.
If your personal vehicle has more lights on it than your house has lights in it
If you've ever walked through a Christmas display and walked away with at least 3 new ideas for a light scheme for your truck.
If your rescue unit can smoke the tires.
If your department name is mispelled on your equipment, in stick-on lettering.
If the nurses and doctors turn out the lights and hide when you show up at the hospital to get your equipment.
If fire dispatch can't mention your name without laughing.
If the local news crew won't put your department on t.v. because you embarassed them last time.
If you've ever locked the keys in your trucks.
If you've ever reffered to a light bar as "really sexy."
If your defib consists of a marine battery, a pair of jumper cables, and a fish finder.
If you've ever taken a girl out on a date in a pumper.
If your pumper has been on fire more times than it's been to a fire.
If your pumper smokes more than the house fire you're at.
If you've ever been arrested for indecent exsposure at a house fire.
If you've ever called it quits on a house fire when the beer got hot.
If you've ever been late to a house fire because you had to stop and get the guy who fell off the truck.
If you've ever stopped enroute to pick up a good road kill.
If you hand out spit cans before each company meeting.
If you have a sign out front of your station that says, "WILL FIGHT FIRES FOR BEER."
If your fire equipment has chew stains down the sides of 'em.
If everyone on your department is related in some way or another.
If your last four fire department raffles were for a shotgun...and a member won it each time.
If you borrowed the department's quick dump tank so you could have a neighborhood pool party.
If your Hurst tool is on loan to the local body shop.

If your safety officer is the person who broke his arm at the last house fire.
If you use a hanging noose knot for all your rescue operations because it's real adjustable.
If you don't allow a person to join the department unless they own a pickup.
If your roster has a high percentage of members named BUBBA.
If your dispatcher is the guy with the biggest & loudest CB.

3128. Re: ARC780

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 23:06:13 UTC
From: "J. van Duin" <no.spam.please@rijnmond.nu>
Message-ID: <9dq5eu430jg6fs9gsli7j2p4o3h7v1d3c3@4ax.com>
Source record: 48344

On Wed, 15 May 2002 22:46:38 GMT, <amduscias2@shaw.ca> wrote:

>does anyone have the registration name and key for this program?

The author of this program?

--
Smile, you're on ......

3129. Re: ARC780

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-15 23:27:16 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <o9CE8.723$Vq6.72055@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 48345

They send it to you AFTER you pay for it...

--
Remove "beforeflight" to reply
<amduscias2@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:izBE8.78615$GG6.6859848@news3.calgary.shaw.ca...
> does anyone have the registration name and key for this program?
>
>
>

3130. Re: old cordless phone-can it be used as a transmitter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-16 00:41:53 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <abuvba$lat2r$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48346

"Dan" <dan9125@aol.com> wrote in message
news:90dcbbbd.0205151051.4ee30b6b@posting.google.com...
> I have an old 46mhz cordless phone (Panasonic) and was just wondering if
> anyone has been able to make the base unit into a low power transmitter
that
> would transit the audio from say, your scanner in the house out on 46mhz
so it
> could be heard on a portable scanner outside your house. If it can be
done
> please let me know,i might try to get this old cordless phone good for
> something.
>

If you like to tinker, it shouldn't be too hard. The phone will have a transmitter section in it so broadcasting your scanner audio on 46 MHZ wouldn't be hard. The hard part is figuring out which wires to snip or parts to rearrange to get the phone to do it. Of course this varies from phone to phone.

A friend of mine modified an old cordless phone to operate as an extender for his ham 2 meter radio. He hooked up his 2m rig to the phone somehow, and can communicate on 2 meters while in the back yard or anywhere around the house. If he can do this, it should be child's play to modify an old phone to rebroadcast scanner audio.

An easier way, as previously mentioned, would be to buy a baby monitor. You won't even need to use a portable scanner to listen to the one in the house.

Tom

3131. Re: You Might Belong to a Redneck Volunteer fire department if .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-16 00:45:32 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <abuvi4$lom6c$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48197
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

LOL! I know a department like that... This is no joke, they called themselves "The Cavalry."

Tom

3132. Re: old cordless phone-can it be used as a transmitter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-16 00:54:13 UTC
From: Norm <lm@Cheers.com>
Message-ID: <VqDE8.1277$ah_.1238@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 48198

Why not make it easy on yourself and put a telescopic antenna on the base scanner, and plug it in on your deck outside.

Would that not work too. Without being so technical.

Besides, what's wrong with listening to the portable scanner you're carrying around outside anyways.

"Dan" <dan9125@aol.com> wrote in message news:90dcbbbd.0205151051.4ee30b6b@posting.google.com...
> I have an old 46mhz cordless phone (Panasonic) and was just wondering if
> anyone has been able to make the base unit into a low power transmitter that
> would transit the audio from say, your scanner in the house out on 46mhz so it
> could be heard on a portable scanner outside your house. If it can be done
> please let me know,i might try to get this old cordless phone good for
> something.
>
> Dan9125@aol.com Thanks!

3133. Re: ARC780

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-16 01:29:48 UTC
From: ff-micp <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <gYDE8.9348$AU.11207@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 48199

Yeah, I do, but I paid for it, and so should you.

<amduscias2@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:izBE8.78615$GG6.6859848@news3.calgary.shaw.ca...
> does anyone have the registration name and key for this program?
>
>

3134. Re: ARC780

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-16 01:40:15 UTC
From: Alexander McNaughton <treehug3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <K6EE8.13140$t2.1986603@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 48200

You stupid, stupid idiot.

<amduscias2@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:izBE8.78615$GG6.6859848@news3.calgary.shaw.ca...
> does anyone have the registration name and key for this program?
>
>

3135. Re: ARC780

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-16 01:40:43 UTC
From: Alexander McNaughton <treehug3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <L6EE8.13141$t2.1985598@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 48201

You stupid, stupid idiot.

<amduscias2@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:izBE8.78615$GG6.6859848@news3.calgary.shaw.ca...
> does anyone have the registration name and key for this program?
>
>

3136. Re: old cordless phone-can it be used as a transmitter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-16 02:16:20 UTC
From: CoolDave_0@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8310981d.0205151816.162250a3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48202

dan9125@aol.com (Dan) wrote in message
news:<90dcbbbd.0205151051.4ee30b6b@posting.google.com>...
> I have an old 46mhz cordless phone (Panasonic) and was just wondering if
> anyone has been able to make the base unit into a low power transmitter that
> would transit the audio from say, your scanner in the house out on 46mhz so it
> could be heard on a portable scanner outside your house. If it can be done
> please let me know,i might try to get this old cordless phone good for
> something.
>
> Dan9125@aol.com Thanks!

You sure can,and you can play some nifty pranks on baby monitors and
old phones. the range is about 49.500-50MHz for the handsets. Look
around this board it is absolutely flooded with cordless phone freqs.

Dave

3137. Re: Cordless phone eavesdropping story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-16 02:27:28 UTC
From: Big Kenny <kenny@home.net>
Message-ID: <ue65sm81ar8861@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48203
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

PH you are da man
Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:udnip381oovr26@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
> news:221jdugbkvm8h2h17t3ju2u9rb5sido5dc@4ax.com...
> > Having lost his job as dog catcher, "Power House"
> <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> posted this...
> >
> > > If you transmit
> > >radio waves in the open, they are there to be received by whom ever has
> the
> > >ability to do so.
> >
> > So if I WALK down the street, people are free to shoot or stab me,
> > because I wasn't wearing armor??
> >
>
> Your comparison is completely ridiculous! There is no comparison between
> walking down the street and being stabbed, and receiving radio frequencies
> out of the air. If you can't come up with a better comparison than that
> lame attempt, then don't bother posting/replying.
>
> Like I said, the public needs to be educated on the matter... Would you
> talk about your recent drug deal on a 25-channel cordless phone? Probably
> not. Why? Because you are EDUCATED about the lack of privacy in analog
> voice communications. Would your neighbor? There is a good chance he/she
> would, unless they too are educated about the matter. There are a LOT of
> "stupid" (uneducated) people who wouldn't think twice about making a drug
> deal over an analog cordless phone. Why is that? Because they aren't
> educated about radio communications of course...
>
> If you want privacy then use your head, not a radio transmitter...
>
> PH
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3138. I NEED A PASSWORD FOR VOICEIN2 !! HELP PLEASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-16 02:30:48 UTC
From: jda1973@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e03df94.0205151830.21508a4d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48204

I need to get the pass phrase for this program, can anyone help?

3139. Re: Pro 2006 Scanner for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-16 03:25:24 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <5k96eu83qp34akktbvcb25uhtmkeq0qq6f@4ax.com>
Source record: 48205

Yep so's your Mother ya Canadian asswipe..... :O)

On Wed, 15 May 2002 19:11:31 GMT, SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote:

>"rugerp85 <rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net>" wrote:
>
>>How do ya like me now.....
>
>No difference. You're still an idiot. But probably even a bigger one
>than I'd originally thought, given your reply.
>
>First, you are saying the guy is trying to rip someone off, and you
>may even be implying that it's because he's a Canadian.
>
>Second, you're assuming that trying to recoup a few hundred bucks
>(which would cost more in legal fees than it's worth) would be harder
>given the border problem.
>
>Third, you're assuming that there isn't anyone reading these messages
>that lives in Canada.
>
>To list just three things you didn't think of.
>
>Yep. Yer an idiot.

3140. Re: FS: PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-16 03:33:01 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <it96eu8i07gil4rgg0h60mqq5tjm5hdpi3@4ax.com>
Source record: 48206

tell ya whut there pudwacker why don't you take i lil trip down to the states and put it in my face.....screw Canada and SCREW YOU buttmunch.....

On Wed, 15 May 2002 19:12:06 GMT, SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote:

>"Never anonymous Bud" wrote:
>
>>>does that have the automatic butt wipe option???
>>
>>Sounds like you would really NEED that option.
>
>For his face.

3141. Re: old cordless phone-can it be used as a transmitter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-16 04:20:22 UTC
From: timefly <timefly@accessone.com>
Message-ID: <ue6csa4jr6l5ce@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48207

Here's another good use for an old 46 mhz phone...

Make a call on it in a well populated area saying to the other party you've found a dumpster full of audio gear or something else of value. Be creative! Give the location and act like you want to keep it a secret. Now go watch the fun and laugh your ass off! Chances are it won't be long until a crowd of people show up digging around that dumpster. Tried and true. One time I did this and at least 10 people showed up searching around an old dumpsite well into the night.

"Dan" <dan9125@aol.com> wrote in message
news:90dcbbbd.0205151051.4ee30b6b@posting.google.com...
> If it can be done please let me know,i might try to get this old cordless phone good for
> something.

3142. Re: cell phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-16 05:26:06 UTC
From: "John C. Baker" <jcb10@axe.humboldt.edu>
Message-ID: <jcb10-9ECBF1.22260015052002@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 48208

In article <645tdu4hpesbb8rojailqbbjo64e43c7m0@4ax.com>,
Strive for Mediocrity <Strive_for_Mediocrity@mediocre-performance.com>
wrote:

> "Evan Olberding" wrote:
>
> > can someone give me the cell frequencies or tell me where to look, thanks.
> >
>
> TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION
> PART 15--RADIO FREQUENCY DEVICES--

SUbtle way of giving him the freqs.

3143. FA: Uniden Bearcat Sportcat SC150B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-16 06:15:41 UTC
From: Truman <notnow@spam.email.com>
Message-ID: <EZHE8.6190$3U6.6300440@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 48209
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1353387547

Please send any questions via email from the link on eBay.

3144. FS: AOR AR8000 Handheld Radio Frequency Scanner (Item # 1353236631)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-16 09:25:35 UTC
From: LHM <lmilrad@passport.ca>
Message-ID: <jWKE8.5103$ah_.4607@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 48210
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Visit eBay site

http://cgi.ebay.ca/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1353236631&r=0&t=0&showTutorial=0&ed=1022089325&indexURL=0&rd=1

Buy Now for US $495.00 plus shipping OR bid on unit

3145. Digital: no more fun?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-16 11:13:23 UTC
From: Michael Surtees <msurteees@mac.com>
Message-ID: <160520020713230732%msurteees@mac.com>
Source record: 48211

Hello...

I've been away from my scanning hobby for many years (I guess getting married does that to ya!). Now I've been thinking of getting back into it. YET: I've just learned that my local Police (Nashua, NH) has gone digital.

Being away for many years I didn't even know this existed. Are there digital scanners? If so, would that even help: would they be scrambling their transmissions?

Should I just not get back into scanning?

Bummed.

3146. Re: You Might Belong to a Redneck Volunteer fire department if .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-16 15:14:18 UTC
From: joe bobb Smitt <joebobb@hootsville.com>
Message-ID: <q9j7eu0inciph3ppp8gp1649u3jl5oa24i@4ax.com>
Source record: 48212
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

HEY .....

I got my last shotgun (and a fine one it is too) at the
Smitville RFD raffle and I ain't related to eny of 'em. (hardly)
I resent the infrenances in this obviously bigoted article.

joe bobb

On Wed, 15 May 2002 23:04:31 GMT, "Norm" <Im@Cheers.com> wrote:

>If your department has ever had two emergency vehicles pulled over for drag
>racing while going to a scene.
>If you have those chrome, naked lady mud-flaps on your pumper.
>If your firehouse has wheels.
>If you've ever got back and found you've locked yourself out of the
>firehouse.
>If fire training consists of everyone standing around a fire get'n drunk.
>If you've ever let a person's house burn down because they wouldn't let you
>hunt deer on their land.
>If your personal vehicle has more lights on it than your house has lights in
>it
>If you've ever walked through a Christmas display and walked away with at
>least 3 new ideas for a light scheme for your truck.
>If your rescue unit can smoke the tires.
>If your department name is mispelled on your equipment, in stick-on
>lettering.
>If the nurses and doctors turn out the lights and hide when you show up at
>the hospital to get your equipment.
>If fire dispatch can't mention your name without laughing.
>If the local news crew won't put your department on t.v. because you
>embarassed them last time.
>If you've ever locked the keys in your trucks.
>If you've ever reffered to a light bar as "really sexy."
>If your defib consists of a marine battery, a pair of jumper cables, and a
>fish finder.
>If you've ever taken a girl out on a date in a pumper.
>If your pumper has been on fire more times than it's been to a fire.
>If your pumper smokes more than the house fire you're at.
>If you've ever been arrested for indecent exsposure at a house fire.
>If you've ever called it quits on a house fire when the beer got hot.
>If you've ever been late to a house fire because you had to stop and get the
>guy who fell off the truck.
>If you've ever stopped enroute to pick up a good road kill.
>If you hand out spit cans before each company meeting.

>If you have a sign out front of your station that says, "WILL FIGHT FIRES
>FOR BEER."
>If your fire equipment has chew stains down the sides of 'em.
>If everyone on your department is related in some way or another.
>If your last four fire department raffles were for a shotgun...and a member
>won it each time.
>If you borrowed the department's quick dump tank so you could have a
>neighborhood pool party.
>If your Hurst tool is on loan to the local body shop.
>If your safety officer is the person who broke his arm at the last house
>fire.
>If you use a hanging noose knot for all your rescue operations because it's
>real adjustable.
>If you don't allow a person to join the department unless they own a pickup.
>If your roster has a high percentage of members named BUBBA.
>If your dispatcher is the guy with the biggest & loudest CB.
>
>

3147. hgk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-16 17:21:37 UTC
From: StarGazer <pti@capnet.com>
Message-ID: <3CE3EAA1.190481B2@capnet.com>
Source record: 48213

gkj

3148. Re: hgk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-16 18:02:37 UTC
From: Wes <crash_in_turn3@REMOVEyahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ac0s67$l3j$1@news.tamu.edu>
Source record: 48214

hbz

"StarGazer" <pti@capnet.com> wrote in message
news:3CE3EAA1.190481B2@capnet.com...
> gkj
>

3149. italian acars data ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-16 20:18:26 UTC
From: pkearn@yeates.eircom.org.com.net
Message-ID: <3ce41411.5890429@news1.eircom.net>
Source record: 48215

does anyone have an archive of italian aircraft acars data

i need data that contains the 'dfd' messages

can someone help ?

p k e a r n @ e i r c o m . n e t

3150. Re: voice scanning boring, next step?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-16 21:24:32 UTC
From: Mac Rosevelt <rapture@calweb.com>
Message-ID: <3ce42339_2@news3.calweb.com>
Source record: 48217

Sometimes the State Fair police are quite interesting. I once listened to them and heard that some guy with a big knife was heading out to the midway area (at home), during the state fair. Another time, late at night, a few weeks before the state fair, they reported a woman sleeping in the livestock area. All kinds of wierd things can happen, but usually not much happens. If you ever go to Calexpo, try going during the state fair, where there is tons of activity, everything from performer mics,1 vendor mics, carnie mics, the state fair police, Waterworld security, and other stuff. I have a list of frequencies I've found used at Calexpo if anyone's interested. Mostly heard them at home until I started to bring my scanner with me a couple of years ago. I wasn't sure if the police would let people use them, but I've asked several of them there and they said it was perfectly ok, as it's totally legal. I've shown it in plain sight of them and they didn't pay the least bit attention. One actually told me a little bit about the frequencies they use, after I mentioned two I had been listening to for awhile (453.150 is one of them, a great frequency anyone in Sacramento should have, there's alot of activity on that one for the state fair police), saying it was an old county police freq. A Waterworld security guy also said it was probally ok to use them at their facility. The only trouble I've had was in the Midway area last year. One of the Ray Cammack (whose company runs the midway) security guys hassled me, accusing me of using it to listen to cell phones. I spent about ten or fifteen minutes explaining that it was technicall impossible with my particular scanner (which doesn't get 800 or 900 mhz), and that I was using it to find frequencies for the carnies, of which I did indeed hear on my scanner. I also told them about the knife incident which I had heard, as an example of how scanners could be a useful tool for them to be aware of what is going on. They should always have a scanner tuned to one of the state fair police frequencies at all times. They asked how much a scanner cost, like they had never heard of any, and were suprised to hear you could buy them at K-mart for under 100 dollars. After the head of their security had joined the conversation, they let me go, as, legally, there was nothing they could do, according to the state fair police officer whom I spoke with afterwords. He said he would tell the Cammack security about the fair's policy on scanners (which is very scanner-friendly). If this occurs again I will not patronize Ray Cammack events again, and unfortunately, they will be back this year.
"johnb" <borregoj@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:3CE07221.1030502@sbcglobal.net...
> sounds like my back yard there mac.....the woodland and davis police are
> interesting cause they are mostly bored....so they really communicate
> when something is up
>
> Mac Rosevelt wrote:
>

> > There are plenty of things you can listen too with even a basic scanner.
> > Besides ATC like you have been hearing, I have also heard the airport
> > weather (kind of like NOAA, but just for local air conditions), what I
> > assume to be airport security (I live not too far from the International
> > Airport in my city), various police agencies in Sacramento and Yuba
> > counties, including the CA State Fair police at Calexpo, who are very
> > exciting and don't have much code talk. Also fire, paramedics, live tv
and
> > radio remotes for both the on-air personalities and technichans (these
two
> > can be quite interesting- they don't go off during commercial breaks, I
have
> > heard anchors swearing between breaks, seriously), baby monitors
(depending
> > on the monitor, you can actually hear alot of what goes on in the house,
and
> > this is legal) fast food drive-thrus (usually need to hear them at close
> > distance), various private security (sometimes neat- I get the security
for
> > Arco Arena, where the Sacramento Kings play, and I had also gotten Water
> > World at Calexpo security as well ... good ways to keep an ear on your
> > favorite buildings/complexes in your city) You can also pick up tv audio
> > including cable (which allowed me to get my first tv dx from Los
Angeles- my
> > tvs never got LA, even with better antennas), and wireless mics.
Normally
> > you have to be at close range to hear them, but sometimes they go along
way,
> > like several years ago I heard one of the carnies for the CA State Fair
> > quite clearly, all fair long, and this is twenty miles or so away) And
if
> > you like aircraft/airport related radio, be sure to check your local TIS
> > (Traveller's Information Service) stations, on an AM capable radio. On
AM
> > 530 in Sacramento, I consistently get the international airport's TIS,
which
> > endlessly repeats a message about parking. Over time though, the voice
had
> > actually changed from a man's to that of a woman's. TIS stations are
usually
> > low powered and short in reception range.
> > <tucansam@whodis.org> wrote in message
> > news:aba8rpgmf1@reader1.panix.com...
> >
> >>Hey gang,
> >>
> >>I bought a couple of scanners mainly for picking up ATC traffic to help
me
> >>
> > prepare for my

> >
> >>private pilot license. Since then I've been bitten by the bug and
bought
> >>
> > a few more
> >
> >>scanners and generally played around. After a couple of months of
> >>
> > listening to local
> >
> >>goings-on, I am bored. What is the next logical step?
> >>
> >>I am interested in looking at receiving (and decoding) data
transmissions.
> >>
> > Weather
> >
> >>satellite stuff, any data relating to law enforcement, military, or
> >>
> > aircraft
> >
> >>operations.... heck, I'd even be happy looking at the rain meter
> >>
> > statistics off the funky
> >
> >>meter I pass every day on my way to work, if it took some building and
> >>
> > tweaking to decode
> >
> >>the signal.
> >>
> >>I've surfed around a bit and came up with more data types than I ever
> >>
> > imagined existed.
> >
> >>Of course some day I'd like to be the guy with a dozen scanners and a
> >>
> > dozen TV's or dumb
> >
> >>terminals connected to them picking up stuff from all over the world,
but
> >>
> > at the moment I
> >
> >>haven't much room or time and I'd like to start small.
> >>
> >>Although I do still enjoy listenting to my scanners, what is the next
> >>
> > logical step in the
> >

> >>maturation process here?
> >>
> >>Thanks!
> >>
> >>--
> >>569@72649.266
> >>
> >
> >
>

3151. Re: ARC780

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-16 21:25:03 UTC
From: inscom76@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3ce42379.16653356@shawnews>
Source record: 48216

What program are ya talking about?

On Wed, 15 May 2002 22:46:38 GMT, <amduscias2@shaw.ca> wrote:

>does anyone have the registration name and key for this program?
>
>

I hear, and I forget
I see, and I remember
O do, and I understand

3152. Re: I NEED A PASSWORD FOR VOICEIN2 !! HELP PLEASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-16 22:37:30 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ac1cdt$k696g$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48218

"Jason" <jda1973@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1e03df94.0205151830.21508a4d@posting.google.com...
> I need to get the pass phrase for this program, can anyone help?

Email the author.

Tom

3153. Re: 929-945MHz what am I hearing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-16 22:48:41 UTC
From: badfood4@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020516184841.03680.00000104@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 48219

maybe wireless internet. there is some in the 900 mhz hey if so how do i send it in to a computer to read it.

3154. Re: ?? How can I scan all 7 NOAA freqs. in alert mode at the same time??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-16 22:50:29 UTC
From: badfood4@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020516185029.03680.00000105@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 48220

pro 2052 will do that. almost all scanners do that yours will to if you look at the booklet. and read how to turn on alert.

3155. Re: Telephone Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-16 22:54:24 UTC
From: badfood4@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020516185424.03680.00000108@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 48221

to track a phone call is not allowed by the laws of amrerica and canda, uk and other countrys but to do so you have to program all phone freqs in and make it track the call like trunk tracking 900 but you will only hear calls there if you world like get a scanner that has 2.4 and down and hook up to a dish tv or big ten dish it will pick up phone calls from all over the would. goto the uk scanner sites for infromation on how to hear phone calls

3156. Live Scanner Audio: Shuttle Training Aircraft OnLine Now.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-16 22:57:58 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CE43976.9070009@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 48222
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

I'm currently playing Live Audio from NASA's Shuttle Training aircraft as it does practice Shuttle approaches to shuttle runways 15 and 33 at the Kennedy Space Center.

Some of the comms appear to be scrambled and others in the clear. This is the first time I've ever head these comm being scrambled.

I don't know how long they'll be flying but last night they were up pretty late.

Go to http://www.MilAirComms.com for the live broadcast.
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF, VHF, UHF Military Freqs and more!

3157. Re: I NEED A PASSWORD FOR VOICEIN2 !! HELP PLEASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-16 23:58:41 UTC
From: "Mark R. Smith" <mark1smi@hotpop.com>
Message-ID: <mark1smi-B8AEEA.16583916052002@news.cwnet.com>
Source record: 48223

In article <ac1cdt$k696g$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>,
"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote:

> "Jason" <jda1973@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:1e03df94.0205151830.21508a4d@posting.google.com...
> > I need to get the pass phrase for this program, can anyone help?
>
> Email the author.
>
> Tom

It's at this url: http://www.chenmei.fsnet.co.uk/

I guess Eavesdropper has closed the site but has left the
software/password up for all to enjoy.

Mark
Davis, Ca USA

--
mark1smi@hotpop.com
Mark Smith
Davis, Ca. USA

3158. FSKADI Model HT-204

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-17 00:45:31 UTC
From: LHM <lmilrad@passport.ca>
Message-ID: <LoYE8.15156$ah_.2392@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 48225
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,tor.forsale.computing

In excellent shape - lost wall charger.

Sell for $225 plus shipping.

Lou
VE3TRY

3159. Re: ARC780

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-17 01:04:52 UTC
From: Big Kenny <kenny@home.net>
Message-ID: <ue8ldp5am1r747@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48226

Did you have a very high temp when you were a kid?
"J. van Duin" <no.spam.please@rijnmond.nu> wrote in message
news:9dq5eu430jg6fs9gsli7j2p4o3h7v1d3c3@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 15 May 2002 22:46:38 GMT, <amduscias2@shaw.ca> wrote:
>
> >does anyone have the registration name and key for this program?
>
> The author of this program?
>
> --
> Smile, you're on ......
>
>

3160. Re: Digital: no more fun?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-17 01:56:26 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.com
Message-ID: <5e5fccab.0205161756.4a7c9934@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48227

Michael Surtees <msurteees@mac.com> wrote in message
news:<160520020713230732%msurteees@mac.com>...
> Hello...
>
> I've been away from my scanning hobby for many years (I guess getting
> married does that to ya!). Now I've been thinking of getting back into
> it. YET: I've just learned that my local Police (Nashua, NH) has gone
> digital.
>
> Being away for many years I didn't even know this existed. Are there
> digital scanners? If so, would that even help: would they be
> scrambling their transmissions?
>
> Should I just not get back into scanning?
>
> Bummed.

One PD going digital isn't the end of scanning! There's still tons of other things to listen to - other PD's, state police, ambulance, fire, etc... there are supposed to be digital scanners available starting October, but at quite a hefty price tag... People probably thought trunking was the end of scanning, but the scanners came out and now you can get a good base scanner for less than $200 that does all kinds of trunking!

3161. Re: old cordless phone-can it be used as a transmitter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-17 02:02:24 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.com
Message-ID: <5e5fccab.0205161802.41e25de@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48228

dan9125@aol.com (Dan) wrote in message
news:<90dcbbbd.0205151051.4ee30b6b@posting.google.com>...
> I have an old 46mhz cordless phone (Panasonic) and was just wondering if
> anyone has been able to make the base unit into a low power transmitter that
> would transit the audio from say, your scanner in the house out on 46mhz so it
> could be heard on a portable scanner outside your house. If it can be done
> please let me know,i might try to get this old cordless phone good for
> something.
>
> Dan9125@aol.com Thanks!

I know you are looking for something to use your old phone for, but
the easiest solution may be just to buy a wireless speaker and plut it
into your scanner, then bring the speaker outside, although I am not
sure of the price range of such an item.

Otherwise, an old 49mhz cordless phone makes a nice paper weight or
door stop :)

3162. International Space Station Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-17 02:02:24 UTC
From: tmckin3900@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020516220224.29901.00000216@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 48229

Does anyone have any idea what frequencies the International Space Station operates on? Also the frequencies that the astronauts use when they have to go on a spacewalk.Thanks.

3163. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-17 02:05:10 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.com
Message-ID: <5e5fccab.0205161805.6d6d1a7e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48230
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

"Norm" <Im@Cheers.com> wrote in message
news:<eaoE8.16195$t8_.13946@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>...
> Phone tower blocked
> Lake view saved for Townshippers
<SNIP>
> The structure would have blocked a spectacular view of Brome Lake and the
> Bromont ski hill enjoyed by Eichenberger and a neighbour.

Must be a pretty narrow lake.

3164. Re: You Might Belong to a Redneck Volunteer fire department if .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-17 02:26:20 UTC
From: Michelle Katt <michelle_katt@chartermi.net>
Message-ID: <ue8qha6q884iab@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48231
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Taste that, Stogie? That's sarcasm. my friend!
Michelle
"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:73k7eusbqqa1kblef6qsspnd7vfcjdrs33@4ax.com...
> "joe bobb Smitt" wrote:
>
> >Smitville RFD raffle and I ain't related to eny of 'em. (hardly)
> > I resent the infrenances in this obviously bigoted article.
>
> You MIGHT be a redneck if you spell "any" as "eny", "inferences" as
> "infrenances" or use "ain't" and "hardly" together in the same
> sentence.
>
> The mistaken use of "inferences" instead of "implications" isn't
> specific to rednecks.

3165. FS: BC 895 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-17 02:41:30 UTC
From: dxer78753@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020516224130.25530.00000121@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 48232

As-new. Much-loved but little-used. I bought it several months ago, and have only fiddled with it but a couple of times. Would like to find it a nice home. Original box, manual.

$150 shipped.

3166. Re: International Space Station Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-17 02:46:55 UTC
From: Tim <tthomps@no-spam-please.erols.com>
Message-ID: <ac1qtm$5d8$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 48233

TMckin3900 wrote in message <20020516220224.29901.00000216@mb-fi.aol.com>...
>Does anyone have any idea what frequencies the International Space Station
>operates on? Also the frequencies that the astronauts use when they have to
go
>on a spacewalk.Thanks.

ISS primarily uses the Tracking and Data Relay Satellite System (TDRSS) for operational communications (both voice and data). You won't be able to monitor these communications. However, there are VHF frequencies in use for spacewalks (EVAs), backup voice communications, and amateur radio contacts. The following links have the frequencies and some useful info:

http://grove-ent.com/nasa.html

http://www.monitoringtimes.com/html/mtsatfreqs.html

http://ariss.gsfc.nasa.gov/

regards,
Tim

3167. FS. uniden 895xlt with software & cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-17 03:19:02 UTC
From: Magnum45 <Magnum45@mn.rr.com>
Message-ID: <GE_E8.3731$U91.123386@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 48234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Like new uniden bc895xlt 300 channel trunk track forsale.
About 5 months old.
Comes with all as new plus the optional programming software and cable.
$190 shipped lower 48 states ups insured.
Please e-mail direct or for pic of the scanner.

3168. 4 SALE AOR 8200 No GAPS.. ALL..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-17 03:20:37 UTC
From: luna <luna@ultinet.net>
Message-ID: <3CE47705.4296702D@ultinet.net>
Source record: 48224

[No readable article body recovered]

3169. FS or Trade list 05-17-2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-17 04:29:27 UTC
From: gundoc <gundoc@insight.bb.com>
Message-ID: <HG%E8.41784$UV4.7748@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 48235

I am going to Dayton on the 18th. I am going on a bus with the radio club. I can bring small items with me. Also I would like to raise some cash before Dayton so make an offer on anything on the list.

Please if you have questions or want to make a trade contact me off list at my e-mail address. gundoc@insightbb.com... Some of these lists are not swap lists and only tolerate my post because it is only once a week. To the group owners thank you for letting me continue to post to the group.
Dean

GE Portables

GE MPA VHF 146-162 full system keypad. Radio is as new and is almost perfect. The only flaw is the grip tape under the PTT button is peeling at the edges. Otherwise perfect. The next feature is, The radio is Key pad programmable. 16 channel scan and front face programmable. Has a like new battery and a good rapid charger. Also a belt clip. $500.00

GE MPA VHF Select 157 - 174 split. Nice radio 9 condition with battery and standard charger. I have it programmed down to 154 MHz with no trouble but will go no lower. $200.00

GE MPA UHF Scan 440 - 470 192 channel scan. Radio works great. A little paint wear on the edges but I have seen worse. With charger and battery. $225.00

GE MPD select model 470.- 488 MHz. 48 channel Alpha-Numeric display. With battery and standard charger. 7-7.5 $85.00

GE MPD scan VHF 150.8 174. 48 channel alphanumeric display. These look good and come with a battery and standard charger. 125.00 each.

MPD Voice Guard VHF system model with full keypad. 48 channel alpha display. With battery and standard charger. $135.00

GE PCS VHF 2 channel. Like new 9.5-10 condition. 150 -170 MHz. With battery, antenna, leather case, and pocket charger. $100.00 each. 2 available.

GE MPS VHF Like new 10 channel. With new battery, new antenna, and a standard charger. $65.00

GE MPS VHF 12 channel. Set of 4 that talk to each other with all new batteries and antennas. All in a rack charger. $225.00

GE Monogram UHF 10 channel portables. 3 radios 2 new batteries and 1 good battery. With 1 dual slot charger. $200.00 all 3 radios.

GE Monogram VHF 10 channel portable. 157-179 split. ex CSX radio. I sold this radio a year ago but have taken it on trade. Condition 8 with belt clip and charger. I had this radio run for stolen from CSX by a local CSX
Special Agent when I first bought these in a lot and was told that it had been sold at salvage. Perfect for a RR Museum or shortline on a budget. This could also be programmed for marine frequencies. Like new tall Battery. $100.00

GE Mobiles

GE Phoenix SX VHF 128 channel scan. With Mic, Has all 8 proms. $100.00

GE MLS UHF 8 channel. With Mic, power cord and hang up box. MLSU240 450. - 470 Looks good. $125.00

GE Mastr exec II VHF 75-watt mobile complete with accessories. $60.00 each

GE Mastr exec II VHF Mobile extender (repeater like Pac rt) for Mastr II or Delta. $20.00 each

GE Mastr exec II VHF Mobile extender for GE Ranger (only Exec II that I have seen marked Ericsson) $65.00

.GE S550 head 16 channel looks good but not tested. $35.00

GE Mobile Vehicle Adapter for MPA, MTL, MPD, and TPX. This unit charges your battery and turns your portable into a mobile radio. (Convert a Com)
With mic, speaker, and power cable. $100.00

GE Accessories

GE TQ 2310 suitcase programmer. Has PLS, Phoenix, Delta s/sx, Voice Guard, MPS, and Ranger. Printer is not working but I tried and it programs radios without the printer. Has manual for the programmer and the computer. Has Phoenix cable and Voice guard cable. All in the original GE case tested and working. (except printer) $850.00

Mastr II control cable. $8.00 each

Mastr II head for mobile repeater operation. $5.00

Mastr II Mic. $5.00

Mastr II 8 channel tone boards. $5.00

Mastr Exec II VHF receive section. $20.00

Mastr Exec II VHF Exciter. $18.00

Mobile Repeater cable for Mastr II. $10.00

Mobile Repeater cable for Delta or Ranger. $30.00. I have seen
programming cables made from these.

GE white Versatones, 103.5, 162.2, 173.8, 179.9, 186.2, and 203.5.
$4.00 each. I have a large amount of 203.5,186.2, 179.9, 173.8, and 162.2.
If you buy 10 = $3.00 each. Buy 15 =$2.50 each. Buy 50 = $1.50 each.

GE Mastr II Icoms. EC = $5.00 each, 5C = $10.00 each.

GE Delta cables. These are the downloading type used with the S820
heads.
And all other heads $40.00 each

Delta mounting plates. $10.00 each

Ranger mounting plate. $15.00

GE S-550 / 500 and others mounting brackets. $5.00 each

GE MPA, MPD, MTL standard Speaker mic. $25.00

GE PCS Universal charger. With wall transformer $20.00 each

GE MPA standard chargers. $20.00 each

GE MPA Rapid chargers. $30.00 each

GE MPI desk charger with wall transformer. $10.00

GE MPI Rack charger, 8 position. 25.00

GE MPI Mobile battery charger, Rare. $20.00

GE Battery discharge analyzer. For MPA, MPD batteries. Attaches to a
rapid rack charger with a DB 15 cable and uses a MPD body to attach a
battery to. Has LED readout in display area of MPD body to tell discharge
rate.
Another rare GE goodie. $45.00

GE shoulder strap like new, attaches where belt clip is. $15.00

GE Belt clips. $10.00 each

GE Voter. Has 9 total rec. cards and 2 TX cards. With extender card
and power supply / speaker. $300.00

Other Radios and Accessories

Motorola Saber III VHF 146-174 split. 120 channel Alpha-Numeric
display with secure - net module. (no out of country sales) des I think. I
have been using this all week and talking on the ham band to test this
radio.
Battery has lasted all week during drive time so it seems good. With rapid
charger and big battery. $500.00 or trade for a VHF MRK II

Icom 3200 a Dual band ham radio. Has box and manual but no mounting
bracket. Has tone squelch board installed. $200.00

Icom IC 24-at Dual band Portable. In good shape, Display has no scratches and only 1 small patch of the paint is peeled off of the back of this radio. With manual and wall charger. $165.00

Maxon 5050a Low band portable pair. Like new condition with 1 battery charger but I will split up the pair if someone wants 1 radio only. 6 channels programmed for 10 meter ham band on 2 repeater pair and 4 simplex frequencies. 2 radios and 1 charger $195.00

Marconi UHF portable. 16 channel scan with NOS battery. Made by Midland.
$75.00

Midland 70-336B VHF Mobile radio. 8 channel scan. with mic.$75.00

Motorola GP300 VHF 2 channel. on ham band. Has battery OK condition and charger I had to re-list due to a deadbeat buyer. $150.00

Motorola Mitrek VHF high 110 watt drawer only. $50.00

Motorola MT500 VHF nice shape. Crystals removed but I have 1 set of crystals for each radio. Also have a working battery and charger for each. I have 4 of these. $50.00 all

Uniden SMS 825 ts, 800 MHz Dash mount radio. $55.00

Voter from IMTS phone system. 2 rec. and 2 TX cards. $40.00

Maxon Rapid Rack charger for 0510/0520 radios. Think they will work with the sp2000 as well.$35.00

Consignment section

This area is for a few friends who like those other radios you know

"Motorola" They are good people and I will pass along any trades you may wish to make.

Motorola X9000 VHF 50 watt, Alpha-Numeric head, Siren complete.
$425.00

Motorola single rapid chargers for HT600, MT1000, P200. $25.00 each

Motorola HT1000 UHF Intrinsically safe, Re-cased looks new. 16 channel scan with charger. $425.00

Motorola P200 UHF high power. 6 channel. $150.00

EF Johnson Challenger UHF 80 watt trunk mount, 99 channel Alpha-Numeric head.$225.00

Want List not FS
Extra parts for suitcase programmers. Data modules, Printers, cables, proms, Anything you have extra. MPA or MPD portables what do you have and what do you want for them? Johnson scorpion program cable.

Terms
All prices are plus shipping from 40201. All items are used unless noted and only have a no DOA warranty if I say it works. If I say not tested your on your own. If I think it may be bad I will not list it. I take cash, Postal Money Orders, Paypal, and checks but I hold them for up to two weeks to clear before I ship. If you have a question E-mail me.
Dean
Contact me off list at. Gundoc@insightbb.com

3170. Re: Digital: no more fun?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-17 06:06:24 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <A51F8.18022$bU1.511891@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 48236

You might wanna take a look at my page at
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/uniden-digital.htm

The "due date" has slipped back closer to the end of the year. Other
info is online at http://www.grove-ent.com/apco25.html
http://www.strongsignals.net/access/news/news.cgi?type=single&section=ra
dio&entry=446

Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications
History
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/glossary.htm <~~
ASTRO/Digital/Trunking Glossary of Terms

----- Original Message -----
From: "Michael Surtees" <msurteees@mac.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2002 04:13
Subject: Digital: no more fun?

> Hello...
>
> I've been away from my scanning hobby for many years (I guess getting
> married does that to ya!). Now I've been thinking of getting back
into
> it. YET: I've just learned that my local Police (Nashua, NH) has
gone
> digital.
>
> Being away for many years I didn't even know this existed. Are there
> digital scanners? If so, would that even help: would they be
> scrambling their transmissions?
>
> Should I just not get back into scanning?
>
> Bummed.

3171. Scanner List Winnipeg Manitoba

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-17 06:53:04 UTC
From: charlesjmikelson@3web.net
Message-ID: <2df6946e.0205162253.1c82b369@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48237

Does anyone have a recent list of Scanner freqs for winnipeg?
My list is from the Manitoba Scanner Directory 1995 and most have changed.

Charles

3172. Re: ARC780

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-17 12:30:29 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020517083029.16508.00000250@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 48238

>> does anyone have the registration name and key for this program?

Yes, I do!

Would I share the key with you? NO!

The author of ARC780 has provided an excellent program at a very-reasonable price.

Do us all a favor ... drop your ISP. With the money you save, you, too, can acquire ARC780 legitimately in about a month.

Jeff Multer
Fort Mill, S.C.

3173. Re: Scanner List Winnipeg Manitoba

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-17 12:59:14 UTC
From: J Swaggert <Jswaggert@ptl.com>
Message-ID: <3CE4FEA2.7040900@ptl.com>
Source record: 48239

Charles go here>>>>>>>http://spectrum.ic.gc.ca/tafl/tafindxe.html

Charles Mikelson wrote:

>Does anyone have a recent list of Scanner freqs for winnipeg?
>My list is from the Manitoba Scanner Directory 1995 and most have changed.
>
>Charles
>

3174. Re: Cordless phone eavesdropping story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-17 13:30:30 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uea1i3i2naub63@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48240
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Cool!

"baz" <bsynnott@manx.net> wrote in message
news:lxj$wvs#BHA.257@newssvr.manx.net...
> how about this for an analogy....."never anonymous Bud" is walking
> down the street and someone stabs him and his own particular brand of
> bullshit dies with him.....
>
>
>
> Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:abk0n4$iupun$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> >
> > "Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
> > news:4rgodu4kmk7916vrb0i1n36ofvcbqhsl35@4ax.com...
> > >
> > > The analogy is PERFECT.
> > >
> > > The law says you can't attack someone, and you can't listen to
> cellphones
> > and cordless phones.
> > >
> > > What makes you think breaking ONE law isn't like breaking ANOTHER
law??
> >
> > There's a big difference between listening to someone's radio
> transmissions
> > and committing aggrivated battery on them.
> >
> > How about this analogy: My wife & I were at a jazz concert and some
> dumbass
> > & his girlfriend were sitting behind us. This guy kept talking to her
all
> > through the concert and over the music. I turned around and asked him
to
> > quiet down, and he got mad claiming that we were eavsdropping on him. I
> > told him if he didn't want us to hear what he was saying, he should be
> > quiet. Luckily they left before intermission.
> >
> > The moral of the story is, if you don't want people hearing what you
have
> to
> > say, don't be broadcasting it all over town. It's kind of like trying

to
> > have a private conversation via CB or ham radio.
> >
> > Tom
> >
> >
>
>

3175. freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-17 14:15:42 UTC
From: grimreaper0308@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020517101542.10304.00000254@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 48241

hi please could some give me the freqs for ambulance and fire in weymouth area
i have never found the freqs please can u help

3176. Re: Scanner List Winnipeg Manitoba here ya go enjoy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-17 16:01:09 UTC
From: Creak <creak@holeinthewallnowhere.net>
Message-ID: <89aaeuog35l6kp4c0tm5td9519dnn212k1@4ax.com>
Source record: 48242

Winnipeg International Airport Ericsson Used by the RCMP.
Frequencies: 857.6375, 857.7625, 859.3875, 859.8875
Winnepeg Motorola Type II analog The MTS FleetNet
system. Frequencies: 854.8125, 855.0625, 855.3125, 855.5625,
855.8125, 856.1125, 856.3625, 856.6125, 856.8625, 857.1125, 858.2125,
858.4625, 858.7125, 858.9625, 859.2125, 860.1125, 860.3625, 860.6125,
860.8625, 861.1125. Trunktracker Information:

Brandon: 192 - FD/EMS, 3472 - 911 Calling / Paging ,
Rouseau River: 576 - FD,

Unknown: 752 - Unknown Investigations and Surveillance, 3520 - Unknown
Rural Ambulance Service, 4880 - Unknown Rural Ambulance Service, 5776
- Unknown Rural Ambulance Service, 5920 - Unknown Rural Fire
Department, 5952 - Unknown Rural Ambulance, 6320 - Unknown Fire Dept,
7712 - Unknown Rural Ambulance, 10624 - Unknown Rural Ambulance
(West), 10832 - Unknown Rural Ambulance, 12224 - Unknown Rural Fire
Dept., 12240 - Unknown Rural Fire Dept., 13104 - Unknown Rural
Ambulance, 16000 - Unknown Rural Fire Department, 48 , 80 , 96 , 112 ,
144 , 160 , 176 , 208 , 224 , 272 , 304 , 320 , 336 , 352 , 368 , 384
, 400 , 432 , 464 , 480 , 496 , 512 , 592 , 608 , 624 , 656 , 688 ,
720 , 736 , 800 , 816 , 832 , 848 , 928 , 992 , 1088 , 1104 , 1136 ,
1152 , 1184 , 1232 , 1280 , 1296 , 1312 , 1328 , 1344 , 1392 , 1440 ,
1456 , 1488 , 1504 , 1520 , 1568 , 1600 , 1728 , 1840 , 2160 , 2256 ,
2384 , 2416 , 2448 , 2544 , 2560 , 2576 , 2608 , 2672 , 2704 , 2736 ,
2752 , 2800 , 2832 , 2896 , 2960 , 2992 , 3024 , 3056 , 3088 , 3248 ,
3344 , 3472 , 3536 , 3568 , 3600 , 3632 , 3744 , 3776 , 3808 , 3824 ,
3840 , 3920 , 3952 , 3984 , 4112 , 4256 , 4288 , 4352 , 4416 , 4496 ,
4512 , 4528 , 4544 , 4560 , 4576 , 4592 , 4608 , 4640 , 4656 , 4672 ,
4688 , 4704 , 4720 , 4768 , 4784 , 4800 , 4832 , 4848 , 4864 , 4960 ,
4992 , 5008 , 5024 , 5040 , 5104 , 5120 , 5152 , 5264 , 5296 , 5328 ,
5392 , 5424 , 5456 , 5616 , 5632 , 5680 , 5872 , 5984 , 6128 , 6192 ,
6288 , 6416 , 6448 , 6480 , 6544 , 6576 , 6608 , 6640 , 6672 , 6832 ,
6992 , 7024 , 7056 , 7072 , 7104 , 7136 , 7152 , 7168 , 7376 , 7472 ,
7504 , 7568 , 7600 , 7696 , 7728 , 7824 , 8080 , 8112 , 8208 , 8240 ,
8272 , 8304 , 8512 , 8528 , 8688 , 8816 , 8880 , 8912 , 9008 , 9056 ,
9072 , 9136 , 9152 , 9168 , 9296 , 9520 , 9616 , 9904 , 10032 , 10256
, 10272 , 10304 , 10736 , 10848 , 10960 , 11024 , 11120 , 11152 ,
11184 , 11680 , 11680 , 11824 , 11824 , 11872 , 11872 , 11888 , 11936
, 12112 , 12128 , 12816 , 12832 , 12848 , 12864 , 12880 , 12944 ,
13040 , 13464 , 13648 , 13680 , 13696 , 13712 , 13776 , 13792 , 13840
, 13856 , 13888 , 13904 , 13936 , 13952 , 14000 , 14016 , 14032 ,
14048 , 14064 , 14080 , 14096 , 14112 , 14128 , 14144 , 14272 , 14288
, 14304 , 14336 , 14352 , 14432 , 14448 , 14464 , 14480 , 14496 ,
14512 , 14560 , 14576 , 14592 , 14608 , 14720 , 14736 , 14752 , 14768

, 14784 , 14816 , 14848 , 14864 , 14880 , 14896 , 14912 , 14928 , 14944 , 14960 , 14976 , 14992 , 15072 , 15088 , 15104 , 15120 , 15136 , 15232 , 15264 , 15312 , 15328 , 15376 , 15392 , 15424 , 15488 , 15532 , 15552 , 15560 , 40768

City of Winnepeg: 1376 - Winnipeg Humane Society

East St. Paul: 1664 - FD, 3856 - PD

West St Paul: 6864 - FD 1F, 6928 - FD 2F,

Government of Canada: 2352 - Department of National Defense - Military Police, 3696 - Department of Justice, 3888 - Customs Narcotics Team,

Rural Ambulences: Glenboro: 4944; Portage: 5520, 6384; Dauphin: 5888; Virden: 6064; Selkirk: 6800; Morden: 10400; Macdonald: 10864; Haminota: 11984

Winnepeg International Airport: 5536 - Government of Canada, Customs and Immigration Ch. 4, 9552 - Winnipeg PD CPIC Checks Sel 3, 9584 - Winnipeg PD (413.225 MHz Simulcast) Sel 1, 9648 - Administration and Data Center, 9712 - FD, 9744 - Cleaning 1-A, 10000 - Cleaning 1-B, 9776 - Government of Canada, Customs and Immigration Ch. 3, 9808 - Parking Enforcement, 9840 - Airport Security, 13776 - Refueling, 9872 - Goverment of Canada, Customs and Immigration, 9968 - Goverment of Canada, Customs and Immigration, 10064 - Goverment of Canada, Customs and Immigration

Steinbach: 5808 - Ambulance, 5904 - FD

Ste Annes: 4080 - Ambulance (Shared with Ste. Pierre), 6704 - FD, 11568 - PD

Headingly: 6080 - FD

Clandeboye: 6768 - FD/EMS

RCMP: 7344 - Winnipeg "D" Division, Vice Tactical Ops

St Andrews: 7440 - FD

Morris: 11600 - FD, 11632 - Ambulence

EMS Mutual Aid: 15168 - Ch 1, 15184 - Ch 2, 15200 - Ch 3

Videon Cablesystems Inc: 2032 - 1-C, 2080 - 1-A, 2096 - 1-B, 2224, 2768 , 2928 , 4336, 8320 , 9392, 11488, 15440

Trans Canada Pipeline: 4176, 4208, 4240, 6784, 9360

Sky Cable: 7216, 7248, 7424, 15808,

MTS: 10768 - PBX Installation

Centra Gas Manitoba: 48000, 48016, 48032, 48048, 48064, 48080, 48096, 48112, 48128

Local Area Business Users: 288 - Leisure Limousine, 464 - Operation Rednose (Drive Intoxicated People Home) , 512 - Operation Rednose (Drive Intoxicated People Home, Channel 2), 704 - Quarry Oaks, 960 - Rural Furniture Delivery, 1024 - Compu-Scan Alarm Monitoring, 1040 - Compu-Scan Alarm Monitoring, 2192 - Red River Messanger, 2864 - Accurate Glass Ltd., 4864 - Ambassador Coffee Service, 5136 - Alpine Electric and Security Ltd., 5360 - Esso Canada - Tanker Trucks, 8368 - Klassen Concrete (Snow Removal Contractor), 9328 - Mid - Canada Courier, 11792 - Seaforth Alarms , 13664 - Elite Security Ltd., 13712 - Direct Express, 13728 - Direct Express, 13744 - Direct Express, 13760 - Direct Express, 13808 - Direct Express, 13824 - Manitoba Lotteries Corp., 15056 - Aries Courier, 15504 - Donway Towing

Province of Manitoba Dept of Highways: 4448, 7952, 14176, 14192, 14208, 14224, 14240, 14528 , 14544 , 14640, 14656, 14672

Winnipeg Free Press: 1056 - Photographers and Reporters

Manitoba Television Network MTN/CHMI: 3184 - Reporters / Skylink

Roseau River Indian Reserve: 416, 640

Winnipeg Police Service Motorola Type II analog
Simulcast system. Frequencies:
Site 1 (Trizec Building): 866.0625, 866.1375, 866.2125, 866.2875, 866.3625, 866.4375, 866.5875, 866.6625, 866.7375, 866.8125
Site 2 (D6 Works and Op's Yard): 866.0875, 866.1625, 866.2375, 866.3125, 866.3875, 866.4625, 866.5375, 866.6125, 866.6875, 866.7625

Site 3 (North Main): 866.0375, 866.1125, 866.1875, 866.2625, 866.3375, 866.4125, 866.4875, 866.5625, 866.6375, 866.7125

Talkgroups: 16048 - WPS Dispatch (Cross-Patched to 413.225 MHz), 16050 - 16048 Emergency, 16051 - Crosspatch of 16048, 16055 - multi select of 16048, 16080, 16083 - Crosspatch of 16080, 16087 - multi select of 16080, 16112, 16115 - Crosspatch of 16112, 16144, 16176, 16208, 16211 - Crosspatch of 16208, 16240, 16272, 16275 - Crosspatch of 16272, 16304, 16307 - Crosspatch of 16304, 16311 - multi select of 16304, 16416, 16432, 16434 - 16432 Emergency, 16448, 16608, 16624, 16640, 16647 - multi select of 16640, 16656, 16672, 16688, 16720, 16784, 16800, 16816, 16832, 16848

Royal Canadian Mounted Police Troop D will be moving to a Motorola system.

On 16 May 2002 23:53:04 -0700, charlesjmikelson@3web.net (Charles Mikelson) wrote:

>Does anyone have a recent list of Scanner freqs for winnipeg?
>My list is from the Manitoba Scanner Directory 1995 and most have changed.
>
>Charles

3177. Re: freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-17 16:20:00 UTC
From: wleamons <wleamons@socket.net>
Message-ID: <ueabdp3j33fdd8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48243

try cityfreq.com
"GrimReaper0308" <grimreaper0308@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020517101542.10304.00000254@mb-fm.aol.com...
> hi please could some give me the freqs for ambulance and fire in weymouth
area
> i have never found the freqs please can u help

3178. Discriminator in Pro-74

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-17 16:38:24 UTC
From: Graham Brewitt <gbrewitt@dircon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <9maF8.13$bl4.235@news.dircon.co.uk>
Source record: 48244

Can anyone help?

I want to locate the discriminator chip in my Radio Shack Pro-74 scanner.

If someone tell me the chip type/number and possibly it's location in the receiver it would be great help.

Thanks in advance

Graham

3179. Re: Discriminator in Pro-74

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-17 17:46:35 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <RlbF8.8708$17.3514925@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 48245

IC2, MC3361CDR2 pin 9. It's on the bottom side of the RF board in the
vicinity of the 22 pin connector J5.

"Graham Brewitt" <gbrewitt@dircon.co.uk> wrote in message
news:9maF8.13$bl4.235@news.dircon.co.uk...
> Can anyone help?
>
> I want to locate the discriminator chip in my Radio Shack Pro-74 scanner.
>
> If someone tell me the chip type/number and possibly it's location in the
> receiver it would be great help.
>
> Thanks in advance
>
> Graham
>
>
>
>

3180. Re: Discriminator in Pro-74

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-17 18:42:51 UTC
From: Graham Brewitt <gbrewitt@dircon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <LacF8.16$bl4.225@news.dircon.co.uk>
Source record: 48246

Great,

Many thanks for your help. Have you done a mod to this particular model? I'm going to make an interface so that I can use trunker.

Thanks again

Graham
"Frank346" <frank346@groznyk.com> wrote in message
news:RlbF8.8708$17.3514925@newsfeed1.thebiz.net...
> IC2, MC3361CDR2 pin 9. It's on the bottom side of the RF board in the
> vicinity of the 22 pin connector J5.
>
> "Graham Brewitt" <gbrewitt@dircon.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:9maF8.13$bl4.235@news.dircon.co.uk...
> > Can anyone help?
> >
> > I want to locate the discriminator chip in my Radio Shack Pro-74
scanner.
> >
> > If someone tell me the chip type/number and possibly it's location in
the
> > receiver it would be great help.
> >
> > Thanks in advance
> >
> > Graham
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3181. Re: You Might Belong to a Redneck Volunteer fire department if .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-17 19:27:48 UTC
From: Technomage <proudhawk@uswestmail.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.05.17.12.27.43.55.3042@uswestmail.net>
Source record: 48247
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

HEY yourself!

I was born a redneck and turned out to be smarter than everyone in the
county (its why I'm a hacker now).

Personally, I find these jokes amusing (half of them are true).

if you can't develope a thick skin and learn to laugh at the foibles of
your peers, then you really need to get a life!

sign me: Technomage
formerly of atchison county, Ks.

In article <q9j7eu0inciph3ppp8gp1649u3jl5oa24i@4ax.com>, "joe bobb Smitt"
<joebobb@hootsville.com> wrote:

> HEY ....
>
> I got my last shotgun (and a fine one it is too) at the Smitville RFD
> raffle and I ain't related to eny of 'em. (hardly)
> I resent the infrenances in this obviously bigoted article.
>
>
> joe bobb
>

--
I will not be pushed, filed, stamped, indexed, briefed, debriefed, or numbered!
My life is my own - No. 6

3182. Re: Bill Cheek Data File

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-17 19:29:46 UTC
From: Technomage <proudhawk@uswestmail.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.05.17.12.29.47.300.3042@uswestmail.net>
Source record: 48248

someone should have made a "wget" copy of his entire site before he
passed on. it would be nice to be able to build some of the projects he
had listed there.

Technomage

In article <3365-3CDEB671-8@storefull-2397.public.lawson.webtv.net>, "pS"
<zerg90@webtv.net> wrote:

> This page lists the common IF chips and tap point. ï¿½ï¿½
> http://www.comtronics.net/scandata.txt
>
> (I posted the entire file in plain text to alt.radio.scanner)

--
I will not be pushed, filed, stamped, indexed, briefed, debriefed, or numbered!
My life is my own - No. 6

3183. Re: Bill Cheeks still around??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-17 19:35:37 UTC
From: Technomage <proudhawk@uswestmail.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.05.17.12.35.38.583.3042@uswestmail.net>
Source record: 48249
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

I'm afraid he passed on a number of years ago (complications due to cancer). He was also in the middle of a court case when his health went south. it made for some livel discussion around here (and of course, there was always a small group of dissentors who hated him).

some of his books are still in publication. I think his wife takes care of most of the estate details these days.

Technomage

In article , "KAMAL MULCHANDANI" wrote:

> Hi, been away from scanning for a while, and am starting back again..Is
> Bill Cheek still around? WSR still being published? Also, just got my
> dusty ol' pro 2022 out of the closet and am having display problems with
> it. Looked at the service manual and it seems to point to IC7. Has
> anyone out there had similar experience?? Email replys would be greatly
> appreciated. Thanks in advance ya all..
>
> nikee@aztec.asu.edu
>
>

--
I will not be pushed, filed, stamped, indexed, briefed, debriefed, or numbered!
My life is my own - No. 6

3184. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-17 20:23:52 UTC
From: Seven of 3 <catwails@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <sFdF8.27475$ah_.14396@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 48250
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

ROTFLMAO!

But I think its akin to drawing a line on the Mona Lisa.
Journalists are nolonger articulate and proof readers are machines.

It obviously wouldn't block the view, but destroy its pristineness!!

Now, why didn't they just design the tower to look like a giant pine!!!???

"vhfradiobuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.com> wrote in message
news:5e5fccab.0205161805.6d6d1a7e@posting.google.com...
> "Norm" <Im@Cheers.com> wrote in message
news:<eaoE8.16195$t8_.13946@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>...
> > Phone tower blocked
> > Lake view saved for Townshippers
> <SNIP>
> > The structure would have blocked a spectacular view of Brome Lake and
the
> > Bromont ski hill enjoyed by Eichenberger and a neighbour.
>
> Must be a pretty narrow lake.

3185. Re: You Might Belong to a Redneck Volunteer fire department if .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-17 20:58:41 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ac3r0i$mh87v$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48252
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Technomage" <proudhawk@uswestmail.net> wrote in message
news:pan.2002.05.17.12.27.43.55.3042@uswestmail.net...

> sign me: Technomage
> formerly of atchison county, Ks.

Atchison... that IS redneck country! Yes, those kind of jokes wouldn't be
funny if there weren't a kernel of truth to them...

Tom

3186. TVA frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-17 21:28:41 UTC
From: GOMETS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29287-3CE57609-3@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 48253

Would someone please give me the freqs the TVA uses for their security around their lake operations. Thanks

3187. Redshift

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-17 22:52:06 UTC
From: dsli <tomznospam@tradewebdesign.com>
Message-ID: <UJfF8.70770$To6.23281256@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 48254

Hi,
Anyone have the redshift trial program (rs232_90.zip)? I went to the steaksandwich.com site but was unable to download. I tried email but no response. Anyone know if these people are still alive?
Tom

3188. my delivery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-17 23:25:33 UTC
From: fryertuck <fryertuck65@yahoo.ca>
Message-ID: <3MdF8.14625$Z6.143428@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 48251

Hi Guys
Finally my packaged arrived after 8 weeks and a few screw ups.
Ordered from Universal Radio in Ohio no problem.
Then Customs Canada values my package at over $900 and it was only $100 and
they wanted my to pay the tax on the $900 value so
I had to send it back so they could read the damn label right. sheeez
So it has been a long wait but its finally here Whats here?
Well, let me tell you
I finally got the RT systems software for the R2.So far so good.It seems ok
so far.I need to play with it some more to see if I like it
First impression is that it is sort of ordinary looking to me Not as good
layout as arc2 demo I d/l the other day{KeyGen anyone]:'}
My other purchase was a pair of antennas Firstly the Watson 881 Super
Gainer. And WOW What a big antenna I have teehee
No really its a great antenna it is Sorta looks Funny on top of my R2
and its top heavy, but man does it pull in the signals Its great The best
one I have tried yet I highly recommend it
The next one is a Comet SMA501 stubby and holycow it is small
It does ok for freqs over 100mhz and up Its very good to use in public where
its stealthness is great.
Well thanks for reading and please feel free to pass on any pointers
to me as i need them all.
Thanks,Rob
fryertuck65@yahoo.ca

3189. funny one

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-17 23:25:33 UTC
From: Mark Elie <melie@cybertours.com>
Message-ID: <3ce59142_1@news.cybertours.com>
Source record: 48255

Don,
This is funny.

Mark

Mrs. Davidson's dishwasher quit working so she called a repairman. Since she had to go to work the next day, she told him, "I'll leave the key under the mat. Fix the dishwasher, leave the bill on the counter, and I'll mail you the check.

By the way, don't worry about my Doberman. He won't bother you. But, whatever you do, do NOT under ANY circumstances talk to my parrot!"

When the repairman arrived at Mrs. Davidson's apartment the next day, he discovered the biggest and meanest looking Doberman he had ever seen. But just as she had said, the dog just lay there on the carpet, watching the repairman go about his business. However, the parrot drove him nuts the whole time with his incessant yelling, cursing, and name-calling.

Finally the repairman couldn't contain himself any longer and yelled, "Shut up, you stupid ugly bird!"

To which the parrot replied, "Get him, Spike!"

3190. BC895 vs. RS-2053

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-18 00:10:52 UTC
From: hc.me@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3ce59844.45886053@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 48257

I'm looking to get my first scanner, and I've (kinda) narrowed my search down to these two for now.

I live in Waynesboro, VA (zip 22980), which is a semi-rural, hilly town just off the western side of the Blue Ridge Mountain. Trunking is not currently used around here,

So far, the BC895 ($194 at Grove) appears to be the better of the two. On the other hand, the Radio Shack 2053 is currently on sale ($179.), has a couple local dealers that seem to be fairly helpful, and may well be good enough for me in this particular area.

I would like to be able to hook whatever I get to the computer. I'm not sure quite why I want to do that, but it seems like it might be a help. Both scanners appear to offer that.

Any advice? Alternate suggestions?

Thanks!

Harry

3191. Re: ARC780

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-18 00:34:14 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020517203414.23537.00000295@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 48256

>does anyone have the registration name and key for this program?

--- The original poster of the message above clarified his intent in an e-mail to me. Unfortunately, the e-mail I sent directly to him ... and apology ... was returned. I hope he receives this reply:

" My apologies for misunderstanding your intentions. I may have had too much coffee this morning.

Even with the expense, I think you'll find ARC780 worthwhile. The Butel (ww.butel.nl) site provides alot of information on the features. I purchased the registration through PayPal, and received the key in less than 12 hours.

There is a list on Yahoogroups.com called "ARC780-software" that is useful for any questions that might arise. The software author is an active participant in the list.

Again, my apologies for jumping to conclusions. "

Jeff Multer
Fort Mill, S.C., U.S.A.

3192. Re: BC895 vs. RS-2053

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-18 02:17:06 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20020517221706.02308.00000187@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 48258

> Radio Shack 2053

Does the 2053 have computer control??? If so what software works with it. The pc control feature is nice even for a casual listner like my self.

I purchased an 895 some time back (within last year) from Grove. I've slept a few times since but don't think I paid more than 150.00, seems like they had some kind of a special.
RM~

3193. Grounding question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-18 03:04:56 UTC
From: zeussy <zeussy@zeussy.net>
Message-ID: <sxjF8.1376$8t.487@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 48259

I have an ungrounded antenna mounted on the roof of my house that I don't use, & it is extremely high/inaccessible. Would taking the signal cable and attaching it to a metal stake driven into the ground provide any lightning protection?

3194. Re: Grounding question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-18 03:54:53 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <53kbeu8a1ctgal8cocm5houk0tvosbtafl@4ax.com>
Source record: 48260

he he he surely you jest :O)

On Sat, 18 May 2002 03:04:56 GMT, "zeussy" <zeussy@zeussy.net> wrote:

>I have an ungrounded antenna mounted on the roof of my house that I don't
>use, & it is extremely high/inaccessible. Would taking the signal cable and
>attaching it to a metal stake driven into the ground provide any lightning
>protection?
>

3195. Freq hunt without Counter - Ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-18 04:15:28 UTC
From: billpritjr@spamhole.com
Message-ID: <15b4be0.0205172015.9c7661f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48261

There is a radio tower near my house, and I am trying to obtain the freq....I have no freq counter, such as the Scout

currently I am searching the freq bands on my scanner with squelch turned off compelely and the rubber ducking removed, in the theory that only strong, nearby signals will be received

ideas?

BILL

3196. Cheak SW Radios??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-18 04:19:40 UTC
From: gradvmedusa@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020518001940.10108.00000310@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 48262

Anybody looking to sell a cheap SW radio to a broke 15 year old? It doesn't have to be new, it doesn't if to be pretty, I just want something that works. If so please e-mail me...gradvmedusa@aol.com

---Robert Mack---
This Sig under construction
Please Enjoy ---EVOLUTION--- at this time instead

3197. Re: Freq hunt without Counter - Ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-18 04:32:59 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <QSkF8.324$id3.188881@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 48264

In article <15b4be0.0205172015.9c7661f@posting.google.com>,
billpritjr@spamhole.com says...
> There is a radio tower near my house, and I am trying to obtain the
> freq....I have no freq counter, such as the Scout
>
> currently I am searching the freq bands on my scanner with squelch
> turned off compelely and the rubber ducking removed, in the theory
> that only strong, nearby signals will be received
>
> ideas?

Vandalize it and then ask the repairman for the frequency. :-)

What does the antenna on the tower look like? How do you know it is
operational?

How far away is it from your location? No antenna might not be
enough to receive it. How many stations do you receive with full
squelch and an antenna?

Glenn

3198. Re: I NEED A PASSWORD FOR VOICEIN2 !! HELP PLEASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-18 04:33:15 UTC
From: jda1973@juno.com
Message-ID: <1e03df94.0205172033.5c2d1f45@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48263

"Mark R. Smith" <mark1smi@hotpop.com> wrote in message
news:<mark1smi-B8AEEA.16583916052002@news.cwnet.com>...
> In article <ac1cdt$k696g$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>,
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > "Jason" <jda1973@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:1e03df94.0205151830.21508a4d@posting.google.com...
> > > I need to get the pass phrase for this program, can anyone help?
> >
> > Email the author.
> >
> > Tom
>
> It's at this url: http://www.chenmei.fsnet.co.uk/
>
> I guess Eavesdropper has closed the site but has left the
> software/password up for all to enjoy.
>
> Mark
> Davis, Ca USA

THANK YOU MARK!!! IT WORKS GREAT

3199. F/A 1000 Channel Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-18 04:42:09 UTC
From: Russell <rustyspam@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <3CE5DBA1.2050808@dccnet.com>
Source record: 48265

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1352385235

3200. Re: BC895 vs. RS-2053

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-18 06:29:28 UTC
From: Aaron <awolverton@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <cxmF8.2072$8t.355@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 48266

The 2053 is not computer controllable, only program and download into scanner from pc. With the right cable and software you can upload data for editing also.

"Harry" <hc.me@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:3ce59844.45886053@enews.newsguy.com...
> I'm looking to get my first scanner, and I've (kinda) narrowed my
> search down to these two for now.
>
> I live in Waynesboro, VA (zip 22980), which is a semi-rural, hilly
> town just off the western side of the Blue Ridge Mountain. Trunking
> is not currently used around here,
>
> So far, the BC895 ($194 at Grove) appears to be the better of the
> two. On the other hand, the Radio Shack 2053 is currently on sale
> ($179.), has a couple local dealers that seem to be fairly helpful,
> and may well be good enough for me in this particular area.
>
> I would like to be able to hook whatever I get to the computer. I'm
> not sure quite why I want to do that, but it seems like it might be
> a help. Both scanners appear to offer that.
>
> Any advice? Alternate suggestions?
>
> Thanks!
>
> Harry
>

3201. Dispatching links?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-18 06:52:58 UTC
From: "John C. Baker" <jcb10@axe.humboldt.edu>
Message-ID: <jcb10-3B244A.23525817052002@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 48267
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi, I've just been hired as a public safety dispatcher for San Mateo County in California (I'm in the POST academy now) and was wondering if anyone knew any good websites where folks discuss the trade.

3202. Re: Dispatching links?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-18 07:49:58 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <GInF8.1198$db7.143932@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 48268
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/911console/ is really good... like 900 members, many from Calif

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/911dispatchers/ is good too

Good luck...
--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications History

"John C. Baker" <jcb10@axe.humboldt.edu> wrote in message news:jcb10-3B244A.23525817052002@netnews.attbi.com...
> Hi, I've just been hired as a public safety dispatcher for San Mateo
> County in California (I'm in the POST academy now) and was wondering if
> anyone knew any good websites where folks discuss the trade.

3203. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-18 10:02:38 UTC
From: "Gary R. Utter" <utter@syndicomm.com>
Message-ID: <180520020604025306%utter@syndicomm.com>
Source record: 48269
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

In article
<sFdF8.27475$ah_.14396@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>, Seven of
3 <catwails@hotmail.com> wrote:

> Now, why didn't they just design the tower to look like a giant pine!!!???

It's been done. Also church steeples and grain silos that I know of.

3204. Traffic Copter Freqs St. Lucie -> Palm Beach

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-18 11:24:20 UTC
From: Dick Brennick <dicknospamatall@brennick.com>
Message-ID: <3CE639E4.B253FCC8@brennick.com>
Source record: 48270
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Looking for traffic helicopter frequencies (if available)
for St. Lucie through Palm Beach Counties in Florida...

Thanks

3205. Police & Fire Freqs - Fort Pierce, FL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-18 11:28:26 UTC
From: Dick Brennick <dicknospamatall@brennick.com>
Message-ID: <3CE63ADA.77D91C19@brennick.com>
Source record: 48271
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Could someone please supply the appropriate frequencies
for local police and fire in Fort Pierce, FL???

Thanks...

3206. FS beam and tower

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-18 12:22:20 UTC
From: Jeff <j.dauphinais@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <0IrF8.28844$Vm2.1293658@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 48272
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I have for sale 1 full size "HY-Gain" beam 10 and 15 meters. fully assembled for your inspection. 13' Boom and longest element is 22' $100.00 I also have a steel tower totaling 25'. this is in 3 sections 1 10 foot st8 and 1 5foot st8 and 1 10 foot top section. I don't not have a rotator. $50.00. pickup only from Nashua,NewHampshire. I am selling these because they are to big for my new QTH. This beam has spent a large portion of its life in storage. 73's Jeff AA1GR will sell seperate

3207. Re: Grounding question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-18 12:56:55 UTC
From: Izzy <sumpin@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <obsF8.1530$Nf6.21475@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 48274

Yes

"zeussy" <zeussy@zeussy.net> wrote in message
news:sxjF8.1376$8t.487@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I have an ungrounded antenna mounted on the roof of my house that I don't
> use, & it is extremely high/inaccessible. Would taking the signal cable
and
> attaching it to a metal stake driven into the ground provide any lightning
> protection?
>
>

3208. Re: BC895 vs. RS-2053

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-18 14:28:05 UTC
From: hc.me@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3ce86427.13657324@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 48275

[On 18 May 2002 02:17:06 GMT, jrobmills@aol.comrx320 (J Rob)
wrote:]

> Does the 2053 have computer control??? If so what software works with it. The
> pc control feature is nice even for a casual listner like my self.

I don't really know whether either the Pro-2053 or the BC895 are
truly computer-contollable. I've Google'ed the heck out of both on
this newsgroup, and the information I've seen conflicts. I am
reasonably sure that both can be used with a computer for memory
management purposes -- which, by itself, seems like it would be a
big help.

For a seriously controllable scanner with lots of available
software, the Uniden BC780XLT (~ $350) seems to be the best way to
go at the moment. I just don't think I want to spend that much on
something that is essentially a new toy which I may or may not ever
use much. I suspect that if I do "get into it", I'll quickly regret
not getting a BC780. I'm also justifying not getting one because
there is apparently a "D" model (as in "Digital" upgrade for another
$350) on the horizon that looks to be even better than the XLT.

I have a bad habit of making everything too complicated. Can't seem
to change that...

> I purchased an 895 some time back (within last year) from Grove. I've slept a
> few times since but don't think I paid more than 150.00, seems like they had
> some kind of a special.

From what I've read about prices, you stole that sucker.

Regardless of price, there is also something about buying from Grove
that appeals to me. Bob Grove sounds like the sort of person I
would like to deal with. A guy who is *actually* interested.

As of this instant (ask me again in five seconds), I've decided to
take a shower, get dressed, take the dog to the park for a pee, and
then go buy a RS Pro-2053.

I realize Radio Shack has a mixed reputation here, but my experience
with them has been good. I won't say that it has been excellent,
but the people at the local stores have been helpful (working there
can't be the easiest or best-paying job in the world), and the
company seems to want to do a good job overall. Their return
privileges alone make that a decision I can live with. For $179,
complete with warranty and return privilege, I don't think I'll be
going too far wrong,

One nasty thing I'll say about RS is their website. Designing a website for a company that large and complex would not be easy for anyone. Still, they hired the wrong person for the task. Knowing as little as I do about the subjects of website design and electronics, I could do better. Give me a year and a couple hundred thousand bucks, and I'd make them a semi-decent website. Make it a couple million bucks and I'd have it done sooner than that.

In any event, I think I'll go take a shower now. After that, we'll see what happens.

Harry

3209. Re: Cheak SW Radios??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-18 15:16:32 UTC
From: JohnL <xyz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ac5r1j$musc3$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48276

On Sat, 18 May 2002 00:19:40 -0400, Robert Mack wrote:

> Anybody looking to sell a cheap SW radio to a broke 15 year old? It doesn't
> have to be new, it doesn't if to be pretty, I just want something that works.
> If so please e-mail me...gradvmedusa@aol.com
>
> ---Robert Mack---
> This Sig under construction
> Please Enjoy ---EVOLUTION--- at this time instead

Post over in rec.radio.shortwave. There is a lot of folks with a lot of
radios there.

--
John L
Note the email address used for posting is not valid.
Sent with PAN 0.11.92 running on Mandrake Linux 8.2

3210. Re: Freq hunt without Counter - Ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-18 15:40:37 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <ac5id9$o0h$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 48273

Search 1MHz at a time, allowing the scanner to spend about an hour going
through each. Do this at the busiest times of the day. Hopefully something
will be discovered.
May just be a boring ol' pager TX...

Bill <billpritjr@spamhole.com> wrote in message
news:15b4be0.0205172015.9c7661f@posting.google.com...
> There is a radio tower near my house, and I am trying to obtain the
> freq....I have no freq counter, such as the Scout
>
> currently I am searching the freq bands on my scanner with squelch
> turned off compelely and the rubber ducking removed, in the theory
> that only strong, nearby signals will be received
>
> ideas?
>
> BILL

3211. Trunking Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-18 16:21:24 UTC
From: Corey Fisher <coreyf@ntelos.net>
Message-ID: <eavF8.33380$i42.28828@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 48277
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I live in the United States and have a Radio Shack Pro-2053 Scanner. I have successfully trunked both Motorola Type I and II at the same time. However I am in a different area and am trying to program Type I with Fleet Maps. The problem I am having is that I have all the frequencies in the Type I bank and the correct Fleet Maps configured but the scanner won't stop on the transmissions. I can manually go through these channels and pick up transmissions along with the correct Fleet Map ID's showing on the bottom of the transmitting channels. When I go to scan the scanner won't stop on the transmissions even though I know that they are active during the scan of the bank. Any suggestions as to what the problem might be? Wrong Fleet Maps? Wrong Channels programmed? Need to program Offset? What would cause this? Any help would be appreciated.

Thanks,

Corey Fisher

3212. Searching for technical manual for Regency MX4200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-18 18:09:36 UTC
From: f1rcq@club-internet.fr
Message-ID: <3ce666dc.5599013@news.club-internet.fr>
Source record: 48278
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner

Hello

I'm searching for the user and technical manual for Regency MX4200

Copies welcome

Best 73's from France

Denis F1RCQ

3213. Re: Searching for technical manual for Regency MX4200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-18 18:56:04 UTC
From: Steve Terry <g4wwknospam@tesco.net>
Message-ID: <ac685a$muul8$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48279
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner

<f1rcq@club-internet.fr> wrote in message
news:3ce666dc.5599013@news.club-internet.fr...
> Hello
> I'm searching for the user and technical manual for Regency MX4200
> Copies welcome
> Best 73's from France
> Denis F1RCQ
>
I'm almost certain they are rebadged AORs ?
but not sure which AOR model ?

Steve Terry

3214. Re: Who Cares if Scanning Phones in U.S. Is Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-18 19:30:54 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <OZxF8.23143$KE5.857528@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 48280
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have been on this news group for years and I disagree that most people on this NG are in the US. I would like to see the US people keep the laws in that country to themselves or maybe use their freedom that they ram down everyone's neck to change the laws. You call yourselves free I don't think so.

"M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message news:oBSC8.2$Tt1.2089@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> Well, for starters, the person asking the question may be new to scanning,
> and doesn't know it is illegal to monitor cellular and cordless phones in
> the U.S. Also, if you give advice to someone that instructs them on how to
> break the law, you could be considered a "conspirator" and arrested, just
> look at what happened to Bill Cheek. Not saying it will happen, but it
> could. After all, this is a public forum. A "Screw them, I'll do it if I
> want!" attitude makes great ammunition for those in authority who would like
> to see all scanners banned. If somebody wants to know how to break the law
> in the U.S., feel free to email them directly with the information, no one
> is stopping you. As for this being an international NG, yes it is, but most
> (a majority I suspect) of the readers of this group do live in the U.S., so
> the warnings are a good idea.
>
> Mark
>
>
>
> "Norm" <CatchMe@Cheers.com> wrote in message
> news:FoBC8.8810$QOT.561@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> > We know this already, now let's get past all the stupid threads on this,
> and
> > just answer the damn questions that are being asked.
> >
> > We know, and we don't care, that cellular and other stuff is illegal in
> the
> > U.S.
> >
> > This is an int'l discussion group on scanners. Not just for the U.S.
> >
> > Now let's get back to scanning.

> >
> > Better, yet, give it a break and enjoy the warm weather.
> >
> > Get the hell out of the house. Away from the radio.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ---
> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.361 / Virus Database: 199 - Release Date: 5/7/2002
> >
> >
>
>

3215. Re: Police & Fire Freqs - Fort Pierce, FL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-18 21:23:08 UTC
From: "Heather D." <h_dickson@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <0DzF8.2996$xF1.2559@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 48281
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Dick Brennick" <dicknospamatall@brennick.com> wrote in message
news:3CE63ADA.77D91C19@brennick.com...
> Could someone please supply the appropriate frequencies
> for local police and fire in Fort Pierce, FL???

Howdy - check your friendly local Radio Shack for the newest Polica Call
Plus - although it covers the entire Southeast (FL, GA, etc,), you'll find
specific info for your city. The vast array of info in the book is well
worth the price.

-h-

3216. Uniden's Digital Scanners With APCO 25 Capable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-18 22:48:45 UTC
From: rifleslug@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020518184845.23977.00000436@mb-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 48282

For those who have wonder what they look like go to the web page:

http://www.scannersunlimited.com/bearcatA25/

3217. Re: Trunking Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 00:14:36 UTC
From: Max Imo <not@this.com>
Message-ID: <3ce6ee6b$0$3576$f5f63664@news.tdin.com>
Source record: 48283
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Do you have the Monitor ID's function turned on?

"Corey Fisher" <coreyf@ntelos.net> wrote in message
news:eavF8.33380$i42.28828@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
> I live in the United States and have a Radio Shack Pro-2053 Scanner. I
have
> successfully trunked both Motorola Type I and II at the same time. However
I
> am in a different area and am trying to program Type I with Fleet Maps.
The
> problem I am having is that I have all the frequencies in the Type I bank
> and the correct Fleet Maps configured but the scanner won't stop on the
> transmissions. I can manually go through these channels and pick up
> transmissions along with the correct Fleet Map ID's showing on the bottom
of
> the transmitting channels. When I go to scan the scanner won't stop on the
> transmissions even though I know that they are active during the scan of
the
> bank. Any suggestions as to what the problem might be? Wrong Fleet Maps?
> Wrong Channels programmed? Need to program Offset? What would cause this?
> Any help would be appreciated.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Corey Fisher
>
>
>

3218. Updated web site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 00:22:44 UTC
From: mitbr <hmm@anywhere.net>
Message-ID: <ofCF8.255$0v3.16@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 48284

Updated the Tampa Bay Radio Page added 14 new live pd feeds, added 5 new
live fire feeds, updated live noaa weather feeds!
Enjoy!!
Tim
KG4JJS
Please visit my Tampa Bay Radio Page at the url below
http://home1.gte.net/res0plht/Mi01000.htm

3219. FIELD PROGRAMABLE VHF 40CH PORTABLE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 00:30:17 UTC
From: David M Schertzer <schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <27sdeushbt04cae4ndvqf0r7o1pt4s6utf@4ax.com>
Source record: 48285

(1) ICOM V68 *40ch* 5-watt -VHF-

*** DTMF (User programmable - Front face) ****

(1) ICOM BC75A Rapid Desk Charger
(1) ICOM BP160 Rechargeable Battery pack
(1) ICOM BP130 Rechargeable Battery pack
(1) ICOM "AA" Emergency Battery pack (6- AA type batteries)
(1) EM46 Sprk-mic
(1) CP13L Cigarette Lighter adaptor with Noise filter
(1) Org. Instruction Manual + boxes

$200USD + $15USDship Payment via USPS International M/O.

Email for questions or info, spec sheet avail also.
This is the equiv. to the Motorola GP68 version.

3220. Re: Police & Fire Freqs - Fort Pierce, FL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 00:57:52 UTC
From: wleamons <wleamons@socket.net>
Message-ID: <uedu4j16hrsu3b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48286
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

try cityfreq.com
"Dick Brennick" <dicknospamatall@brennick.com> wrote in message
news:3CE63ADA.77D91C19@brennick.com...
> Could someone please supply the appropriate frequencies
> for local police and fire in Fort Pierce, FL???
>
> Thanks...
>
>

3221. Re: Police & Fire Freqs - Fort Pierce, FL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 01:02:36 UTC
From: wleamons <wleamons@socket.net>
Message-ID: <uedudecfdsgs94@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48287
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

try cityfreq.com
"Dick Brennick" <dicknospamatall@brennick.com> wrote in message
news:3CE63ADA.77D91C19@brennick.com...
> Could someone please supply the appropriate frequencies
> for local police and fire in Fort Pierce, FL???
>
> Thanks...
>
>

3222. Heritage Fest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 02:18:31 UTC
From: Andrew P Long <along@adcweb.com>
Message-ID: <XXDF8.46868$G%3.21685011@typhoon.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 48288

One of my online scanners will be covering Heritage Fest in Columbus Ohio for the next 12 hours. This is a yearly event and always offers some great listening!

Columbus police heritage fest on line at:
http://radioscanning.wox.org/Live_audio/Cpd_zone_3_live_audio.htm

3223. Re: Police & Fire Freqs - Fort Pierce, FL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 03:35:52 UTC
From: Dick Brennick <dicknospamatall@brennick.com>
Message-ID: <3CE71D98.17035FE8@brennick.com>
Source record: 48289
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Prior to posting I went thru the current
`Police Call' & `Monitor America', to no
avail...

But, between my posting & now, my son
sent me the link for cityfreq.com & I got
what I was looking for...

Thanks for replying...appreciate it...

wleamons wrote:

> try cityfreq.com
> "Dick Brennick" <dicknospamatall@brennick.com> wrote in message
> news:3CE63ADA.77D91C19@brennick.com...
> > Could someone please supply the appropriate frequencies
> > for local police and fire in Fort Pierce, FL???
> >
> > Thanks...
> >
> >

3224. FA on eBay: Uniden Bearcat Sportcat SC150B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 04:25:44 UTC
From: Truman <notnow@spam.email.com>
Message-ID: <wEFF8.26003$3U6.28110741@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 48290
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1353611794

Please email any questions via the eBay link. Thanks.

3225. Re: KY signal and 10-codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 04:37:28 UTC
From: TenMikeMike <cksx@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <c_FF8.51860$UV4.8073@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 48291

Go to the local Radio Shack and get the scanner guide for KY, IN, OH (I think, for some reason they don't include TN, as it's in another region.) The 10 Codes most rural departments use are the same as the State Police use. At least when I was on the local police department in Western KY we used all the same 10- Codes as the State PD.
"Brad Bowers" <BradBowers@nc.rr.com> wrote in message news:FjzC8.55472$gd5.22911505@typhoon.southeast.rr.com...
> Any insight into signal and 10-codes, specifically for Southeastern KY
> counties: Bell, Harlan, Leslie, Clay, Knox, Whitley?
>
>

3226. Re: Trunking Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 05:04:02 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020519010402.03737.00000456@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 48292

Only program the data channels on the "MO" (Motorola) mode. DO NOT lock out the data channel(s). Operate trunked bank in 'open' mode for awhile and write down the user ID (in case you have the wrong ones).
I personally set all blocks to S-11 for my fleet maps. Also, make sure when scanning the sub ID talkgroup banks (where the user ID are stored) are all turned 'on'.
Eric G.
education CANNOT buy common sense

3227. Re: Department store freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 08:54:20 UTC
From: Mac Rosevelt <rapture@calweb.com>
Message-ID: <3ce76816_1@news3.calweb.com>
Source record: 48293

I went to the Downtown Plaza Mall a few days ago, and am fairly positive
that I have heard either the general mall security or the Mervyn's security
(somewhere) I listened at a couple of locations, with and without whip. I am
wondering if downtown the Mervyn's women's has a security team seperate from
the men's, and if the Mervyn's security there coordinates with the general
mall security (which is run by Pinkerton or Brinks security).
"Mac Rosevelt" <rapture@calweb.com> wrote in message
news:3cde1813_2@news3.calweb.com...
> I have found listings for various department stores, but haven't heard any
> (that I can positively indentify), even at two major malls. Is there
> generally a very limited range their frequencies, or what? Do they use
> specific code words that they might use? So far I have heard activity from
> my home quite a distance away from malls or dept. stores that sounds like
> dept. store security, but it could be for the general mall security
instead.
> And even if I do know it's a Macy's, for example, how do I know which one
in
> my city it is? Alot of the stores in malls these days are very similiar,
> (which would discourage iding by knowing the nearby stores) and I don't
> think that security guards would very often mention the specific mall or
> address they are at. I feel that I have probally heard a couple of
> department stores already but I don't know for sure.
>
>

3228. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 10:37:28 UTC
From: Seven of 3 <catwails@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <IfLF8.56555$t8_.29831@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 48294
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

Okay, post a few links!
Hearsay is not accpetable proof!
Even though most people will accept it as fact!

"Gary R. Utter" <utter@syndicomm.com> wrote in message
news:180520020604025306%utter@syndicomm.com...
> In article
> <sFdF8.27475$ah_.14396@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>, Seven of
> 3 <catwails@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> > Now, why didn't they just design the tower to look like a giant
pine!!!???
>
> It's been done. Also church steeples and grain silos that I know of.

3229. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 13:24:11 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <nospam@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <I4WgMgA7d658EwCf@g7hid.lineone.net>
Source record: 48295
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <IfLF8.56555$t8_.29831@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>,
Seven of 3 <catwails@hotmail.com> writes

>Okay, post a few links!
>Hearsay is not accpetable proof!
>Even though most people will accept it as fact!

There are a few phone 'Trees' in the UK, this is AFAIK the first one:

http://www.prattfamily.demon.co.uk/mikep/phot35.htm

>
>"Gary R. Utter" <utter@syndicomm.com> wrote in message
>news:180520020604025306%utter@syndicomm.com...
>> In article
>> <sFdF8.27475$ah_.14396@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>, Seven of
>> 3 <catwails@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> > Now, why didn't they just design the tower to look like a giant
>pine!!!???
>>
>> It's been done. Also church steeples and grain silos that I know of.
>

--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
: don't fix it !

3230. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 13:27:00 UTC
From: "David L. Wilson" <dlwilson@erols.com>
Message-ID: <ac896uact1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 48296
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

"Seven of 3" <catwails@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:IfLF8.56555$t8_.29831@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> Okay, post a few links!
> Hearsay is not accpetable proof!
> Even though most people will accept it as fact!
>
>
> "Gary R. Utter" <utter@syndicomm.com> wrote in message
> news:180520020604025306%utter@syndicomm.com...
> > In article
> > <sFdF8.27475$ah_.14396@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>, Seven of
> > 3 <catwails@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > > Now, why didn't they just design the tower to look like a giant
> pine!!!???
> >
> > It's been done. Also church steeples and grain silos that I know of.

I have seend the disguised cellular tower as a (usually rather too tall and ugly) pine both on the east and west coast. There is one on the Mt. Vernon Parkway N of Mt. Vernon between the road and water in Virginia. I remember one on the west coast that I saw between the Golden Gate Bridge and Santa Rosa. Numerous ariticles have appeared about the church steeple use.
--
David L. Wilson dlwilson@erols.com
AC4IU http://www.erols.com/dlwilson

3231. nextell freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 13:47:38 UTC
From: twazy@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020519094738.02702.00000702@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 48147

does anyone know what freq the nextell two way radio phones are on thanks
(twazy@aol.com)

3232. FS:PRO-92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 14:05:22 UTC
From: indyham <k9dzdd@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <CiOF8.54565$UV4.39092@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 48148

i have an oRIGINAL,Radio Shack PRO-92,,in good condition,with belt clip,antenna,ac adapter,and copy of manual
price 115.00

monte

k9dzdd@insightbb.com

3233. Re: nextell freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 14:07:52 UTC
From: DougSlug <dw_firewall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <YkOF8.74612$ja.19520925@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 48149

I don't know the frequencies, but I think the transmissions are digital (maybe someone else can verify this?), so you wouldn't be able to pick them up on your scanner anyway.

"Twazy" <twazy@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020519094738.02702.00000702@mb-da.aol.com...
> does anyone know what freq the nextell two way radio phones are on thanks
> (twazy@aol.com)

3234. Re: nextell freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 14:11:02 UTC
From: DougSlug <dw_firewall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <WnOF8.74638$ja.19528618@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 48150

Correction--you could pick them up, but you couldn't decode the signal to understand what was being said without the proper equipment, which is generally unavailable to the consumer.

"DougSlug" <dw_firewall@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:YkOF8.74612$ja.19520925@news02.optonline.net...
> I don't know the frequencies, but I think the transmissions are digital
> (maybe someone else can verify this?), so you wouldn't be able to pick them
> up on your scanner anyway.
>
>
> "Twazy" <twazy@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020519094738.02702.00000702@mb-da.aol.com...
> > does anyone know what freq the nextell two way radio phones are on thanks
> > (twazy@aol.com)
>
>

3235. Re: TVA frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 14:20:52 UTC
From: Tom Clyne <tc60@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3CE7B4E3.EBB64AA7@optonline.net>
Source record: 48151

Given the current security climate, do you really think it would be wise to pass along such information to someone you didn't personally know, over the internet?

How would anyone know that you're NOT a terrorist, planning to do wrong to the lakewater or people in that vicinity?

RICH GRAZIANO wrote:

> Would someone please give me the freqs the TVA uses for their security
> around their lake operations. Thanks

3236. Re: FS:PRO-92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 14:38:44 UTC
From: McGuyver <mkatz3@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <UNOF8.536124$K52.90212086@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 48152

I'd like it if still around...
"indyham" <k9dzdd@insightbb.com> wrote in message
news:CiOF8.54565$UV4.39092@rwcrnsc54...
> i have an oRIGINAL,Radio Shack PRO-92,,in good condition,with belt
> clip,antenna,ac adapter,and copy of manual
> price 115.00
>
> monte
>
> k9dzdd@insightbb.com
>
>
>
>

3237. Scanner Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 15:17:21 UTC
From: Just_me <martian10@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <5mPF8.59161$t8_.19665@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 48153

I have a radio shack pro-34..
when I scan the 800MZ to 900MZ all I get is static..

What can I do to get better reception, I called RADIO SHACK many locations -
but no one seems to know much about them ?

THANX

3238. Re: 929-945MHz what am I hearing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 15:57:36 UTC
From: Scott Berringer <scanman@scanland.org>
Message-ID: <Xns921379B8464A5scanmanscanlandorg@209.249.90.101>
Source record: 48154

badfood4@aol.com (badfood4) wrote in
news:20020516184841.03680.00000104@mb-cm.aol.com:

> maybe wireless internet. there is some in the 900 mhz hey if so how do
> i send it in to a computer to read it.
>

You're probably hearing Trunked Radio Systems (935-941) and paging (929-
932)

I highly doubt you'll be able to pick up wireless internet in the 900MHz
range as even the older technology uses 1.2GHz

3239. Re: Scanner Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 17:22:02 UTC
From: "Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca>
Message-ID: <Pine.A41.4.05.10205191120440.39702-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca>
Source record: 48157

Where is your squelch set? Does the scanner stay stuck on just one
frequency? Did you try turning the squelch higher? Did you try storing
and locking out any frequencies?

On Sun, 19 May 2002, Just_me wrote:

> I have a radio shack pro-34..
> when I scan the 800MZ to 900MZ all I get is static..
>
> What can I do to get better reception, I called RADIO SHACK many locations -
> but no one seems to know much about them ?
>
> THANX
>
>
>
>

3240. Alinco DJ2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 17:41:02 UTC
From: Dami <dbargel@terra.es>
Message-ID: <OsRF8.37088$s6.295919@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 48155

Do you have a schematics data cable for this radio?
Thank's
dbargel@yahoo.es

3241. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 17:44:42 UTC
From: "Gary R. Utter" <utter@syndicomm.com>
Message-ID: <190520021346085092%utter@syndicomm.com>
Source record: 48156
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

In article
<IfLF8.56555$t8_.29831@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>, Seven of
3 <catwails@hotmail.com> wrote:

> Hearsay is not accpetable proof!

Why am I being called upon to PROVE this? Is there an arguement going
on? What's the matter with you? Did your mom do a poor job of
socializing you when you hatched?

3242. Re: Cheak SW Radios??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 20:09:52 UTC
From: gradvmedusa@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020519160952.03658.00000532@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 48158

thanks...

---Robert Mack---
This Sig under construction
Please Enjoy ---EVOLUTION--- at this time instead

3243. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 21:06:01 UTC
From: Seven of 3 <catwails@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ZsUF8.43965$ah_.4641@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 48159
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

Because when I said they could disguise them as Giant pine trees, I was being rediculous!
So, for you to just say they do, is menaingless, unless you can say where they are, so your claims can be established, otherwise its bunk.

Now the next poster narrowed it down to the East and West Coasts.

And given the fact that there maybe these fake pine tree towers, nobody has established that they are Cellular towers.

You are being called to PROVE IT, because it came out of your mouth, and you gave no supporting evidence, just hearsay.

But you'd convict a person on hearsay, like most clowns, wouldn't you? Ignoring hearsay, is just one of the ways a person gets a fair trial. And outside of court, in a discussion, hearsay is just a much junk as it is in court.

Obviously my Mom did a lot better job than your Mom!

"Gary R. Utter" <utter@syndicomm.com> wrote in message news:190520021346085092%utter@syndicomm.com...
> In article
> <IfLF8.56555$t8_.29831@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>, Seven of
> 3 <catwails@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> > Hearsay is not accpetable proof!
>
> Why am I being called upon to PROVE this? Is there an arguement going
> on? What's the matter with you? Did your mom do a poor job of
> socializing you when you hatched?

3244. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 21:16:00 UTC
From: Seven of 3 <catwails@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <kCUF8.60615$t8_.38077@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 48160
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

It really wouldn't take long to find a source or two that would confirm what you said, and change it from hearsay to a fact.
But your Mom taught you to be a lazy fart...right!??? Well you brought my Mom into it, so take it! Well I will do your work for you!

http://www.uwex.edu/ces/cty/sheboygan/cnred/factsheet_celltowers.htm

Aesthetics
To satisfy concerns about tower appearance, wireless companies have disguised their towers as flagpoles, silos, artificial rocks, pine trees, palm trees and windmills. However, these design innovations cost the companies up to three times the typical tower construction cost, and they are most effective with shorter towers, which typically carry only one antenna. A town or municipality can decide whether it desires a few tall towers with multiple antennas - or many short, potentially disguised, towers with one antenna each.
Companies have also located antennas on stadium light poles, church steeples, and school and municipal buildings. The schools and local governments can earn $12,000 - $15,000 per antenna in lease payments annually.

"Gary R. Utter" <utter@syndicomm.com> wrote in message news:190520021346085092%utter@syndicomm.com...
> In article
> <IfLF8.56555$t8_.29831@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>, Seven of
> 3 <catwails@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> > Hearsay is not accpetable proof!
>
> Why am I being called upon to PROVE this? Is there an arguement going
> on? What's the matter with you? Did your mom do a poor job of
> socializing you when you hatched?

3245. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 21:21:40 UTC
From: Carey Gregory <antispam8@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <bi5geug45f9lsp08b8v0du3mbdbnaps9f3@4ax.com>
Source record: 48161
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

"Seven of 3" <catwails@hotmail.com> wrote:

>And given the fact that there maybe these fake pine tree towers, nobody has
>established that they are Cellular towers.

Jeez, get a life. They're fairly common, and sure as hell not worth
anyone's time "proving" it. If you want proof, then go find it
yourself.

3246. Dayton reports??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 21:24:15 UTC
From: N9NWO <n9nwo@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <3KUF8.22455$8M5.17288@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 48162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I was unable to attend this year due to military duties.
What are the reports from Dayton?

Other than rain, what was the big news?

Any good deals like we had last year?
New equipment? (the VX-7R was selling
for about $379).

3247. school buses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 21:37:05 UTC
From: Evan Olberding <flipteam15@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3ce81b8b@news.mhogaming.com>
Source record: 48163

again i am looking for the USD 259 school bus frequencies. i had them and lost them. thanks

3248. Re: Scanner Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 21:42:31 UTC
From: Just_me <martian10@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <b%UF8.44633$ah_.7527@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 48164

I tried the squelch and adjusting it, I can hear very faintly... But most
muffled...
Any suggestions ??

"Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca> wrote in message
news:Pine.A41.4.05.10205191120440.39702-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca...
>
> Where is your squelch set? Does the scanner stay stuck on just one
> frequency? Did you try turning the squelch higher? Did you try storing
> and locking out any frequencies?
>
> On Sun, 19 May 2002, Just_me wrote:
>
> > I have a radio shack pro-34..
> > when I scan the 800MZ to 900MZ all I get is static..
> >
> > What can I do to get better reception, I called RADIO SHACK many
locations -
> > but no one seems to know much about them ?
> >
> > THANX
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

3249. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 21:45:42 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3CE81D06.F3964CF3@optonline.net>
Source record: 48165
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

That's proof? That's a fact? Why would you believe that spin put out
by a bunch of politicians? I don't think you've done your job at all!

Seven of 3 wrote:
>
> It really wouldn't take long to find a source or two that would confirm what
> you said, and change it from hearsay to a fact.
> But your Mom taught you to be a lazy fart...right!??? Well you brought my
> Mom into it, so take it! Well I will do your work for you!
>
> http://www.uwex.edu/ces/cty/sheboygan/cnred/factsheet_celltowers.htm
>
> Aesthetics
> To satisfy concerns about tower appearance, wireless companies have
> disguised their towers as flagpoles, silos, artificial rocks, pine trees,
> palm trees and windmills. However, these design innovations cost the
> companies up to three times the typical tower construction cost, and they
> are most effective with shorter towers, which typically carry only one
> antenna. A town or municipality can decide whether it desires a few tall
> towers with multiple antennas - or many short, potentially disguised, towers
> with one antenna each.
> Companies have also located antennas on stadium light poles, church
> steeples, and school and municipal buildings. The schools and local
> governments can earn $12,000 - $15,000 per antenna in lease payments
> annually.
>
> "Gary R. Utter" <utter@syndicomm.com> wrote in message
> news:190520021346085092%utter@syndicomm.com...
> > In article
> > <IfLF8.56555$t8_.29831@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>, Seven of
> > 3 <catwails@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > > Hearsay is not accpetable proof!
> >
> > Why am I being called upon to PROVE this? Is there an arguement going
> > on? What's the matter with you? Did your mom do a poor job of
> > socializing you when you hatched?

3250. WTB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 22:18:02 UTC
From: Just_me <martian10@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <uwVF8.60688$t8_.14135@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 48166

```
Radio Shack - Realistic TRC-225
Pair 40 channel - Cd radio

Make offer...
```

3251. Re: BC895 vs. RS-2053

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 22:44:11 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox.net>
Message-ID: <e7ageucm68rfg6dpbk8mfplvuusmugtegg@4ax.com>
Source record: 48167

On Sat, 18 May 2002 00:03:59 -0700, Keith <nospamplease@newsguy.com>
wrote:

[snip]
>The Pro-2053 does have computer control, see http://www.pro93.com
>for information and freeware. The drawback with the 895 is that
[snip]

The 2053 does not have computer control - just the ability to upload
and download frequencies and setup. That's still very useful.

The freeware at pro93.com is not very good. It works, but it was kind
of a stopgap until better stuff became available.

There is an active PRO-93 discussion group at Yahoo! That's well
worth looking at for 93/2053 info - lots of very knowledgable folks.
There are also links to the good 93/2053 software (Don Starr's Win93
freeware) and interface cables at www.pro-92.com. (Note the "-",
which matters.)

Ken Plotkin

3252. Re: FS:PRO-92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-19 22:49:48 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <0vageuopk7l81vn6do40v70unskb8sbp7t@4ax.com>
Source record: 48168

just remember if it's too good to be true then.....it
might not be....

On Sun, 19 May 2002 14:38:44 GMT, "McGuyver" <mkatz3@cfl.rr.com>
wrote:

>I'd like it if still around...
>"indyham" <k9dzdd@insightbb.com> wrote in message
>news:CiOF8.54565$UV4.39092@rwcrnsc54...
>> i have an oRIGINAL,Radio Shack PRO-92,,in good condition,with belt
>> clip,antenna,ac adapter,and copy of manual
>> price 115.00
>>
>> monte
>>
>> k9dzdd@insightbb.com
>>
>>
>>
>>
>

3253. XM Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 00:19:54 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@1stconnect.com>
Message-ID: <IeXF8.71762$To6.23839521@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 48169

What frequencies does XM Radio use?

Roland

3254. FS Radio Shack PRO-63 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 00:45:53 UTC
From: shortwavedx@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <b0ba2320.0205191645.465b4242@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48170

A like new condition Pro-63 scanner, 6 Nicad batteries, antenna, no box or manual. Total price $50.00 shipping included to the lower 48. Photo on request

3255. Re: Dayton reports??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 01:16:57 UTC
From: KC8SSG <kc8ssg@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <d8YF8.1345$Z46.13724@news>
Source record: 48171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Well this was my FIRST Dayton and my FIRST hamvention and I had a blast! The
weather was nice saturday and I had some money to spend. I couldn't believe
it It was great!Not too busy. I really enjoyed it.
"N9NWO" <n9nwo@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:3KUF8.22455$8M5.17288@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> I was unable to attend this year due to military duties.
> What are the reports from Dayton?
>
> Other than rain, what was the big news?
>
> Any good deals like we had last year?
> New equipment? (the VX-7R was selling
> for about $379).
>
>
>
>

3256. f/s scanner books

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 01:47:05 UTC
From: jvillafane@aol.com1iwilly
Message-ID: <20020519214705.15930.00000654@mb-ft.aol.com>
Source record: 48172

hello
i have 3 vol1,vol2,vol3 of bill cheek scanner mod books at $12.00 each+shipping
i also have the service manual for the pro2005 and the pro26 at $6.00 each
thanks jose
jvillafane

3257. handheld scanner antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 01:54:25 UTC
From: Eric Owens <eowens27@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <IHYF8.81796$fU2.7755828@bin8.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 48173

I was thinking about getting either a Watson 889 tele-gainer or a 881 super-gainer. I listen mostly to 800Mhz motorola II trunking and use a pro-94 scanner. Which antenna would be better? Any other suggestions would be appreciated also. The antenna that comes with this scanner is not very good, I get a lot of static and crackles at 800 - 900 Mhz. I am hoping that a better antenna will help. Thanks.

3258. Re: Dayton reports??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 04:28:28 UTC
From: dandowell@sprintmail.com
Message-ID: <fa58693a.0205192028.6e3d6171@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48174
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I want to know if any one has seen the new BearCat 785 digital scanner yet.

Ask them when it will be available. PLEASE!!!!!

Thanks.

Dan
kd5som

3259. Re: Cheak SW Radios??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 04:34:53 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ac9ufl$n7bpu$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48175

"Robert Mack" <gradvmedusa@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020518001940.10108.00000310@mb-bh.aol.com...
> Anybody looking to sell a cheap SW radio to a broke 15 year old? It
doesn't
> have to be new, it doesn't if to be pretty, I just want something that
works.

Ever thought about building one? I believe Ramsey has a kit or two
available.

Tom

3260. Re: Dispatching links?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 04:37:06 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ac9ujp$o1no0$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48176
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"John C. Baker" <jcb10@axe.humboldt.edu> wrote in message
news:jcb10-3B244A.23525817052002@netnews.attbi.com...
> Hi, I've just been hired as a public safety dispatcher for San Mateo
> County in California (I'm in the POST academy now) and was wondering if
> anyone knew any good websites where folks discuss the trade.

Congratulations. I've been working as a police/911 dispatcher for about 7
1/2 years now. I think there are a few others here that are 911 dispatchers
also.

Tom

3261. Re: school buses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 04:41:47 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ac9usi$npf3e$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48177

"Evan Olberding" <flipteam15@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3ce81b8b@news.mhogaming.com...
> again i am looking for the USD 259 school bus frequencies. i had them and
> lost them. thanks

What city/state?

Tom

3262. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 04:44:55 UTC
From: "Gary R. Utter" <utter@syndicomm.com>
Message-ID: <200520020044532029%utter@syndicomm.com>
Source record: 48178
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

In article
<kCUF8.60615$t8_.38077@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>, Seven of
3 <catwails@hotmail.com> wrote:

> It really wouldn't take long to find a source or two that would confirm what
> you said, and change it from hearsay to a fact.

YOU are a major league asshole, but you already knew that, right?

3263. Re: school buses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 05:07:36 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <sw%F8.9721$db7.574601@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 48179

Any PARTICULAR USD 259? There are a helluva lot of them around... and
this list covers all of the English speaking world (and
non-English-speaking, for that matter)

harry

"Evan Olberding" <flipteam15@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3ce81b8b@news.mhogaming.com...
> again i am looking for the USD 259 school bus frequencies. i had them
and
> lost them. thanks
>
>
>

3264. Re: VORs & NDBs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 11:03:50 UTC
From: Andrew Puddifer <typhoon@ihug.com.au>
Message-ID: <3CE8D816.BFBCA6BE@ihug.com.au>
Source record: 48181

You'll hear the morse code designator assigned to the particular navaid(NDB's only), and on some NDB's located at airports, they may also transmit the automated weather broadcast over the top of that as well. With NDB's, you verify the morse code when you tune it in to make sure you have the correct navaid.

Regards, Andrew.

Book wrote:
>
> What will I hear when tuned to one of these?
>
> Thanks,
> --
> Jesse Young

3265. test - ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 11:04:12 UTC
From: test <abuse@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <3CE8D82D.8FEE835@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 48180
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner.uk

```
test
```

3266. Re: VORs & NDBs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 11:23:15 UTC
From: Book <book_16@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3ce8dca4$0$2522$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 48183

Ok thanks.

But what about VORs?

--
Jesse Young

"Andrew Puddifer" <typhoon@ihug.com.au> wrote in message
news:3CE8D816.BFBCA6BE@ihug.com.au...
> You'll hear the morse code designator assigned to the particular
> navaid(NDB's only), and on some NDB's located at airports, they may also
> transmit the automated weather broadcast over the top of that as well.
> With NDB's, you verify the morse code when you tune it in to make sure
> you have the correct navaid.
>
> Regards, Andrew.
>
> Book wrote:
> >
> > What will I hear when tuned to one of these?
> >
> > Thanks,
> > --
> > Jesse Young

3267. Re: Dayton reports??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 11:23:44 UTC
From: DPH <rally2xs@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <8blheuof0k50f26inenor0jg5t8p64eedh@4ax.com>
Source record: 48182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 19 May 2002 21:24:15 GMT, "N9NWO" <n9nwo@amsat.org> wrote:

>I was unable to attend this year due to military duties.
>What are the reports from Dayton?
>
>Other than rain, what was the big news?
>
>Any good deals like we had last year?
>New equipment? (the VX-7R was selling
>for about $379).

Dayton was, as usual, outstanding.

The Hamvention was less well attended than those that used to occur at the end of April, but that is a _good_ thing - for us. I'm sure its not making as much money for DARA as those former Hamventions, but the lower attendance meant you could get close to things and see stuff. You could actually get to touch the radios in the big-3 manufacturer's booths without elbowing people or standing in a line for 15 minutes.

I saw maybe 60 % of the flea market by about noon on Friday. Then I came inside and stayed, since I was looking for stuff in there, and then it started raining so going outside would not have been productive - the vendors generally shut down during the rain except those with tents. It was a really cold rain, too, so unless you brought real good raingear and an array of cold weather clothing, you wouldn't have been comfortable.

On-site tickets were $22. Not too bad for 3 days, but much grumbling was heard about 3 things:

1) The programs don't come with the ticket any more - that essentially raises the price of the Hamvention to $27 unless you downloaded all the interesting forum info and vendor map info from the web site (not sure if the vendor map was available on the web).

2) The buses from the mall were $2 instead of free. Lotsa grumbling.

3) The private-owned parking across from the Hamvention went from $5 to $8 a day this year.

Other downers: The big tent at the corner of the flea market was replaced with several smaller tents. These smaller tents didn't have all that the big tent used to - Radio Shack, for instance.

A possible contributing reason for the lower participation - there was hype of extrordinary security measures - "no knives", "bomb sniffing dogs", etc. (I don't go places like that myself - too much hassle, as I routinely carry a pocket knife - and I think such measures are nonsense since many other things can be made into effective weapons) - but then remembered that stuff like that is _sold_ there, so such measures would be impossible - like "no guns" at a gun show... is a 1 1/4" combination wrench a weapon? Sure it is - and of course there was no such extensive security, although there were officers with dogs - they just were never seen sniffing anything. Probably ordinary K-9 units.

The common reason for less attendence that was heard was "ebay".

My take on that is that you aren't going to get a new radio that goes for $899 at the Milwaukee AES for $699 from AES on ebay like you can at the Hamvention. That was an example heard via "on the road" chatter as I was driving back to Virginia.

Conclusion: Dayton is still "The Big One" and is a more user-friendly Hamvention than ones of old that drew 37,000 people. Those were impossibly crowded.

Dave Head
K8DH

3268. Re: handheld scanner antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 11:57:46 UTC
From: Chris <n8cop@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <_w5G8.79596$Ze4.8343133@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 48184

Eric.....

I've been using a Watson 889 tele-gainer on my Bearcat 245xlt now for about a year. Very good performance on 800 mhz from what I can tell. I can monitor trunked systems a lot farther away, than I could with the stock duck. It also does a pretty nice job on VHF and UHF as well.

Chris

3269. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 12:21:33 UTC
From: Seven of 3 <catwails@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <hT5G8.65243$t8_.34404@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 48185
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

I did find proof, and it was too easy!
So, someone was very lazy, were they not?

Its not the reader's responsibility to substantiate what a writer states.
Or were you sleeping all through English class.

The other thing to keep in mind, the audience.
Sorry, but I am NOT a RADIOHEAD!
While it may be common knowledge for radioheads, it certainly is not for
those only subscribing to alt.toronto.

Its not obvious, either....unless you are a radiohead!

Therefore, I am from Missouri, you have to show me!
Of couse, if you don't....I will show myself.

As for proof, I posted one, of dozens of links that verify that Celular/PCS
phone companies build disguised towers, from rocks, to trees to Church
Steeples. I guess I should have posted the search engine results, rather
than one selected post, because there is already an asshole saying, if I
believe the source I quoted, then I am an idiot.

So I have been converted, and guess what, there is another asshole to step
into the spot I just vaccated!

Don't you just love USENET!!!

:)

"Carey Gregory" <antispam8@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:bi5geug45f9lsp08b8v0du3mbdbnaps9f3@4ax.com...
> "Seven of 3" <catwails@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >And given the fact that there maybe these fake pine tree towers, nobody
has
> >established that they are Cellular towers.
>
> Jeez, get a life. They're fairly common, and sure as hell not worth
> anyone's time "proving" it. If you want proof, then go find it
> yourself.
>

3270. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 12:23:15 UTC
From: BruceR <bruceNO@whoeverSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <TU5G8.19040$V71.6733782@twister.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 48186
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.cellular,alt.cellular.attws,rec.radio.scanner

View plane protection is an important part of property rights and is part of what zoning laws protect. Why is Mr. Eichenberger an asshole for protecting those rights and the value of his property? Keep in mind that to build this tower a CHANGE to existing law and land usage was requested and no acceptable compensation was offered to Mr. Eichenberger or the other affected neighbor.
One has to believe that there is another suitable site that would provide coverage for the area albeit perhaps not on the mayor's property. Also remember that the tower isn't being erected foremost as a safety device for swimmers but as a profit making asset for the wireless company. Their legitimate desire to expand their business does automatically take precedence over private landowners' rights.
Lastly, does it not seem somewhat odd that the proposed tower site just happened to be on the mayor's property? It sounds to me like the location was picked with engineering qualities taking second or third place to supposed "political expediency."

"1st Aid" <firstaid@nospam.com> wrote in message news:3CE8AA71.D0CFC182@nospam.com...
> Norm wrote:
> >
> > Phone tower blocked
> > Lake view saved for Townshippers
> >
> > SIDHARTHA BANERJEE
> > Montreal Gazette
> >
> > Tuesday, May 14, 2002
> >
> > There will be no cellular-phone tower in this Eastern Townships community.
> >
> > And no one in the municipality of 681 people is happier than 78-year-old
> > farmer and developer Fred Eichenberger, who spearheaded the campaign to stop
> > it.
> >
> > "I am happy now; I'm relieved that (the battle) is all behind me,"
> > Eichenberger said in an interview.
> >
> > The town council decided last week to reject Rogers/AT&T's plan to erect a

> > tower on land owned by Donald Badger, West Bolton's longtime mayor.
> >
> > The town's six councillors voted unanimously against a zoning change
that
> > would have allowed a 90-metre-high cellular-phone tower to be
erected on the
> > property.
> >
> > The structure would have blocked a spectacular view of Brome Lake
and the
> > Bromont ski hill enjoyed by Eichenberger and a neighbour.
> >
> > "There was too much pressure from the public," Eichenberger said.
> >
> > That pressure came in the form of a 110-signature petition submitted
to the
> > council in early April.
> >
> > Council's Decision
> >
> > Badger said he had no problems with the council's decision because,
> > ultimately, it was council's to make.
> >
> > But he said a second tower in West Bolton would help limit the
number of
> > dead zones and improve emergency response.
> >
> > West Bolton is already home to a giant Bell Mobility tower, and if
another
> > company or Rogers/AT&T were to come to town council with another
proposal,
> > Badger said, the project would have to be evaluated.
> >
> > "If another project was presented, it would have to be evaluated on
its
> > merits as this one presumably was. Hopefully, next time it won't be
on my
> > property and I will be able to take a more active role in the
debate."
> >
> > - Sidhartha Banerjee's E-mail address is
sbanerjee@thegazette.southam.ca.
>
> If someone happens to be drowning + you cannot
> call "911" it's comforting to know Mr. Eichenberger
> is enjoying his nice view .... what an asshole.
>
> (First Aid)

3271. Re: You Might Belong to a Redneck Volunteer fire department if .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 13:55:17 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <h10ieuk3nlpr9v5t9refgoe8euq072t39e@4ax.com>
Source record: 48187
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

While savoring a President Clinton 'special' cigar, "Technomage"
<proudhawk@uswestmail.net>
scribbled...

>DO TELL! DO TELL!!!!
>
>Oh how true..
>
>still makes me wonder why I was born with an IQ of 153 when the mean
>average in all the cunty was somewhere near 90.

Obviously, someone LIED to you about your IQ.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

3272. Re: VORs & NDBs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 14:27:08 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3CE907BC.8D303C95@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 48188

Same thing. Morse Ident and, at least on some, weather and NOTAMS.

Dave

Book wrote:
>
> Ok thanks.
>
> But what about VORs?
>
> --
> Jesse Young
>
> "Andrew Puddifer" <typhoon@ihug.com.au> wrote in message
> news:3CE8D816.BFBCA6BE@ihug.com.au...
> > You'll hear the morse code designator assigned to the particular
> > navaid(NDB's only), and on some NDB's located at airports, they may also
> > transmit the automated weather broadcast over the top of that as well.
> > With NDB's, you verify the morse code when you tune it in to make sure
> > you have the correct navaid.
> >
> > Regards, Andrew.
> >
> > Book wrote:
> > >
> > > What will I hear when tuned to one of these?
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > > --
> > > Jesse Young

3273. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 16:30:47 UTC
From: Carey Gregory <antispam8@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <k09ieugp45a6rvpdkd44id71g76b1c04jk@4ax.com>
Source record: 48189
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

"Seven of 3" <catwails@hotmail.com> wrote:

>I did find proof, and it was too easy!

Congratulations, professor. Now you know what everyone else already
knew and it only took you a week to figure it out.

3274. Re: VORs & NDBs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 16:31:32 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <g17ieu0ck6gvtin6ujlhblh9h3uuun5d51@4ax.com>
Source record: 48190

On Mon, 20 May 2002 21:23:15 +1000, "Book" <book_16@optusnet.com.au>
wrote:

>Ok thanks.
>
>But what about VORs?

Lots of things.

Many VOR transmitters at large airports will transmit a voice
recording known as ATIS. This stands for Airport Traffic Information
System. It includes mundane information such as altimeter barometric
pressure setting, which approaches are in use, weather, and any
relevant notices such as taxiway closures or construction nearby. It
usually ends with a sequence letter so that when pilots call up the
approach controller they'll typically end with "...with India" meaning
they have heard the ATIS broadcast sequence India (or Victor, or
Uniform, ...)

Some VORs transmit continuous weather information for a region. These
are known as TWEB or HIWAS broadcasts. These broadcasts are useful
for updating any information that may have changed since the flight
plan was filed. For example, you could use this information to see if
the winds aloft forecast 200 miles away has changed.

Most VOR transmitters are monitored by a regional flight service
station (FSS). Often you can reach these flight service stations by
calling them on a designated frequency and monitoring the output of
the VOR. This split frequency usage is commonly used for relatively
local communications. Often you can hear pilots filing instrument
flight plans in the air, or updating their existing flight plans,
making a pilot weather report (for conditions such as ice, turbulence,
cloud tops and bases, etc.).

Finally, if your AM detector in your scanner is reasonably wide
banded, you'll hear a 9 kHz super carrier with phase information off
of magnetic north to compare the cardioid null from the signal to for
azimuth information from the VOR. If you know anything about how VOR
systems work, this might make some sense. If you don't know what a
VOR is, then this is gibberish.

For more details see http://www.faa.gov/ATpubs/AIM/index.htm
It's the pilot's bible on what all these navigation systems do and how
they work (or don't work).

Good Luck!

Jake Brodsky, mailto:frussle@erols.com
PP ASEL IA, Cessna Cardinal N30946, Based @ FME
Amateur Radio Station AB3A

3275. Re: test - ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 16:49:20 UTC
From: Melv <melv7956@nocrapntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <KM9G8.338$7M3.396426@news11-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 48191
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Here we go again....
"tw���" <.tw,@nothomeexcite5,yahoomsnATTBI�.org.com> wrote in message
news:Xw8G8.7036$CC3.5031@sccrnsc01...
> X-No-Archive: yes
>
> "test" abuse@127.0.0.1 NNTP-Posting-Host: 213.67.196.74
> X-Complaints-To: abuse@telia.com wrote in message
news:3CE8D82D.8FEE835@127.0.0.1...
> | test
>
> Please test over @ news:alt.test Not here. Thanks.
>
>

3276. Re: Dayton reports??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 18:32:16 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <dqdieusapuiljee7rea521o5ljssvme4le@4ax.com>
Source record: 48192
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Interesting.

The guy who had the goat-cam last year traded his goat in for a rooster. Since our tailgate was adjacent to his, we got to make fun of it too.

I purchased one of the first of the new Kanga KK7B R2Pro kits. I'm well aware that although a couple of prototypes of this kit have been successfully built, I'm mostly a guinea pig... I plan to get started on it this week and I'll let y'all know what I think of it.

Fun stuff that lots of folks pointed at: a 25 watt Copper Vapor Laser. No I'd never heard of one before either. I don't have the slightest idea what it's good for except that it was very large and very heavy (large and heavy enough that even a full sized pickup couldn't get it home without a good sized trailer).

For those of you who want to own the ultimate surveillance receiver: AOR was showing their AOR-ONE. It covers 10 kHz to 3.3 GHz multi-mode in 1 Hz steps. They're asking for something like $4k for this beauty. No, it's not blocked for cellular. No, it's not for sale in the USA (wince), without authorization.

I got a kick out of Yaesu's display of the VX-5R --underwater and working! Personally, I'd have added a few goldfish to the tank just for fun...

Lots more to report. Those are just a few hints of the insanity.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

3277. Looking for R10 user - Western Washington

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 18:54:36 UTC
From: Michael Hansow <KA7CSE@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <MDbG8.25994$D41.909461@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 48193

I am looking for a fellow Icom IC-R10 owner near Seattle. I am having trouble getting my R10 and the computer talk to each other.....

--

Mike Hansow, KA7CSE
Renton, Washington

3278. Re: scanner Freqs for Hawaii please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 19:37:00 UTC
From: Randy <bearcat@astound.net>
Message-ID: <3CE9505C.60401@astound.net>
Source record: 48194

cityfreq.com will give you allot of local stuff. ARRL.com will have your
2 meter freq's.
Good luck
Randy

John Porter wrote:

> I am looking for a list of scanner freqs for Honolulu, Hawaii area that
> will be used on a Radio Shack Pro-23 scanner, or can some one point me to a
> web site that has them listed thanks! I am intersted in the 2 meter ham
> bands and taxi air and other intersting things here in town '73's!
>
> John
>

3279. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 20:14:52 UTC
From: doctor_heber@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <4e36f429.0205201214.4402bf64@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48195
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

"Seven of 3" <catwails@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<IfLF8.56555$t8_.29831@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>...
> Okay, post a few links!
> Hearsay is not accpetable proof!
> Even though most people will accept it as fact!
>

http://www.frontiernet.net/~cquest/acquestcom/Gallery/Gallery.html

links to both the tree and silo towers (real photos!!!)

jason

3280. 2.4GHz scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 21:12:14 UTC
From: CoolDave_0@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8310981d.0205201312.2b0550b8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48196

I understand most scanners dont even go above 1.0 or 1.2GHz but the IC-R3 does. Are 2.4GHz all encrypted or muffled? Clear as a bell? If you know please tell me. Thanks!

Dave

3281. Re: Dayton reports??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 21:26:33 UTC
From: Rollo&#65533; <Rollo_member@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <acbpm9030uv@drn.newsguy.com>
Source record: 48097
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <dqdieusapuiljee7rea521o5ljssvme4le@4ax.com>, Jake says...
>

>I got a kick out of Yaesu's display of the VX-5R --underwater and
>working! Personally, I'd have added a few goldfish to the tank just
>for fun...
>

Yeah, I accidentally left my VX-5R sitting on the car yesterday during a surprise rain shower. Today I worked 2 UO-14 satellite passes with it. It works fine.

(WHEW!)

Rugged little rig.

3282. Re: Dayton reports??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 22:16:48 UTC
From: RV <vegar@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <acbsjt$c0t$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 48099
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I also enjoyed Hamvention again this year (last year was my first), but....what I found most dissappointing was the apparent lack of interest in scanning/swl. The listener's forum on Sunday AM (not exactly the best schedule slot) had at most about 30 people attend. Out of all those thousands??? The Mobile HF forum, ATV forum, etc were packed.

Are we really such a small group of folks? Also disappointing was the very few used scanners, decoders, etc to be found out in the flea market. Yes, the deals and availability of new, current equipment were good, but where are all the killer deals on older equipment? Scanner Master, Radio Stop, Computer Int, and CAT were present as was Pop Comm. But where was Grove Enterprises, Ham Station, or any of the other great scanner dealers? I would think Monitoring Times could add to their subscription list and so could Scanning USA?

Is there no world class event for listeners?

RV (KD5LJK)

PS: Correction to some of the other posts - the underwater radios were the VX-7R - neat looking rig.

"N9NWO" <n9nwo@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:3KUF8.22455$8M5.17288@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> I was unable to attend this year due to military duties.
> What are the reports from Dayton?
>
> Other than rain, what was the big news?
>
> Any good deals like we had last year?
> New equipment? (the VX-7R was selling
> for about $379).
>
>
>
>

3283. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 22:23:56 UTC
From: cellular-news <usenet@cellular---news.com>
Message-ID: <3ce97434$0$8505$cc9e4d1f@news.dial.pipex.com>
Source record: 48098
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.cellular,rec.radio.scanner

> > And no one in the municipality of 681 people is happier than 78-year-old
> > farmer and developer Fred Eichenberger, who spearheaded the campaign to
stop
> > it.
> > The town council decided last week to reject Rogers/AT&T's plan to erect
a
> > tower on land owned by Donald Badger, West Bolton's longtime mayor.
> >
> > That pressure came in the form of a 110-signature petition submitted to
the
> > council in early April.

So, in a town of 681, a petition by 110 people blocked the tower.

How is that democratic, if the majority did not opposed the tower ?

--
Daily wireless telecoms news........

www.cellular-news.com

++
Note web site legal notice regarding spam before contacting me directly
++

3284. Icom IC-T81A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 22:32:02 UTC
From: tork <davidtork@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <CPeG8.38111$Q93.1433146@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 48100

I'm thinking about getting the Icom IC-T81A - Quad Band Handheld (http://www.icomamerica.com/index.html) does anyone have any experience or comments about the radio?

David
KF6DGN

3285. NYC Fleet Week freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 22:53:27 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <H7fG8.39779$6c7.9708101@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 48102
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi all. Fleet Week is comin to NYC this week...anyone have any ideas as to any freqs that would be interesting to monitor? Also, anyone have the definitive schedule for the events going on at the Staten Island Naval Homeport? Thanks.

3286. Re: Dayton reports??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 23:01:37 UTC
From: Jesse <jplummer@bluefrognet.net>
Message-ID: <3CE98051.AEF79BE7@bluefrognet.net>
Source record: 48103
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I bet those radios weren't in the water. i remember a display for water resistant watches and saw the man pull them out of a dry tank behind the water. either way, they are supposedly water proof radios and my friend got one there for about 250.00,
I got several good deals, must have brought in 500 bucks worth of stuff for 300 bucks... The best two days I ever spent as far back as I can remember, except for when some idiot tried to lift my wallet...several radios were stolen and some vendors inside had their setup to where they couldnt watch there stuff well and I saw a couple of walk off's there... every crowd has them...

"Rolloï¿½" wrote:
>
> In article <dqdieusapuiljee7rea521o5ljssvme4le@4ax.com>, Jake says...
> >
>
> >I got a kick out of Yaesu's display of the VX-5R --underwater and
> >working! Personally, I'd have added a few goldfish to the tank just
> >for fun...
> >
>
> Yeah, I accidentally left my VX-5R sitting on the car yesterday during a
> surprise rain shower. Today I worked 2 UO-14 satellite passes with it. It
> works fine.
>
> (WHEW!)
>
> Rugged little rig.

3287. Re: Dayton reports??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 23:02:15 UTC
From: "Edward L. Dowdy" <ellhatespamdowdy@ctscomp.com>
Message-ID: <XffG8.48392$L76.51936@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 48101
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Ham Station was there. Unless that was Dan's clone I talked to. :)

73,
Ed KA9EES

"RV" <vegar@sprintmail.com> wrote in message
news:acbsjt$c0t$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
: I also enjoyed Hamvention again this year (last year was my first),
: but....what I found most dissappointing was the apparent lack of interest
: in scanning/swl. The listener's forum on Sunday AM (not exactly the best
: schedule slot) had at most about 30 people attend. Out of all those
: thousands??? The Mobile HF forum, ATV forum, etc were packed.
:
: Are we really such a small group of folks? Also disappointing was the
very
: few used scanners, decoders, etc to be found out in the flea market. Yes,
: the deals and availability of new, current equipment were good, but where
: are all the killer deals on older equipment? Scanner Master, Radio Stop,
: Computer Int, and CAT were present as was Pop Comm. But where was Grove
: Enterprises, Ham Station, or any of the other great scanner dealers? I
: would think Monitoring Times could add to their subscription list and so
: could Scanning USA?
:
:
: Is there no world class event for listeners?
:
: RV (KD5LJK)
:
: PS: Correction to some of the other posts - the underwater radios were
the
: VX-7R - neat looking rig.
:
: "N9NWO" <n9nwo@amsat.org> wrote in message
: news:3KUF8.22455$8M5.17288@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
: > I was unable to attend this year due to military duties.
: > What are the reports from Dayton?
: >
: > Other than rain, what was the big news?
: >
: > Any good deals like we had last year?
: > New equipment? (the VX-7R was selling
: > for about $379).
: >
: >

: >
: >
:
:

3288. BC780XLT vs. UBC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 23:25:01 UTC
From: Jack Bellows <st3o@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <hBfG8.10766$Ku4.2328879163@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 48116

I want to buy a good scanner, that's for sure, and I've narrowed down my search to the BC780XLT from Uniden. At any rate, the question is whether I should spring for the UBC from Europe and allow for cell phone traffic. Will the scanner work in the US? Second question, why do so many people carry around two scanners. Is that really necesscary?

--
-*
+=+
"Beware of all enterprises
that require new clothes"
-Thoreau
+=+
-Jack Bellows
http://www.jackbellows.net
jack@charon.sjs.org
ICQ UIN: 2230320
AIM: Hoss St3o
-*

3289. Re: Dayton reports??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-20 23:33:57 UTC
From: RV <vegar@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <acc14a$nk8$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 48104
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Oops - my mistake - I was too cheap to buy a program so I didn't have a definitive list of dealers - just what I saw or recognized from walking around.

I also failed to mention Universal Radio who I believe show up every year.

RV
"Edward L. Dowdy" <elIhatespamdowdy@ctscomp.com> wrote in message news:XffG8.48392$L76.51936@rwcrnsc53...
> Ham Station was there. Unless that was Dan's clone I talked to. :)
>
> 73,
> Ed KA9EES
>
> "RV" <vegar@sprintmail.com> wrote in message
> news:acbsjt$c0t$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> : I also enjoyed Hamvention again this year (last year was my first),
> : but....what I found most dissappointing was the apparent lack of interest
> : in scanning/swl. The listener's forum on Sunday AM (not exactly the best
> : schedule slot) had at most about 30 people attend. Out of all those
> : thousands??? The Mobile HF forum, ATV forum, etc were packed.
> :
> : Are we really such a small group of folks? Also disappointing was the
> very
> : few used scanners, decoders, etc to be found out in the flea market. Yes,
> : the deals and availability of new, current equipment were good, but where
> : are all the killer deals on older equipment? Scanner Master, Radio Stop,
> : Computer Int, and CAT were present as was Pop Comm. But where was Grove
> : Enterprises, Ham Station, or any of the other great scanner dealers? I
> : would think Monitoring Times could add to their subscription list and so
> : could Scanning USA?
> :
> :
> : Is there no world class event for listeners?
> :
> : RV (KD5LJK)
> :
> : PS: Correction to some of the other posts - the underwater radios were
> the

> : VX-7R - neat looking rig.
> :
> : "N9NWO" <n9nwo@amsat.org> wrote in message
> : news:3KUF8.22455$8M5.17288@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> : > I was unable to attend this year due to military duties.
> : > What are the reports from Dayton?
> : >
> : > Other than rain, what was the big news?
> : >
> : > Any good deals like we had last year?
> : > New equipment? (the VX-7R was selling
> : > for about $379).
> : >
> : >
> : >
> : >
> :
> :
>
>

3290. PCR-1K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 00:42:13 UTC
From: milshell <agentx2@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <uej608kl01jl36@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48105
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm looking for the dos PCR-1000 control software called PCR-1K. Does anyone have a copy of it or a url for this software you can send me? Their page doesn't seem to exist any more.

Thanks
Jim H
agentx2@earthlink.net

3291. Re: VORs & NDBs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 00:59:26 UTC
From: Book <book_16@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3ce99bed$0$2523$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 48106

Yeah I understand what you're talking about in relation to the super carrier, I just wasnt sure what kind of voice comms etc... I would be able to hear. Thanks

--
Jesse Young

"Jake Brodsky" <frussle@erols.com> wrote in message news:g17ieu0ck6gvtin6ujlhblh9h3uuun5d51@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 20 May 2002 21:23:15 +1000, "Book" <book_16@optusnet.com.au>
> wrote:
>
> >Ok thanks.
> >
> >But what about VORs?
>
> Lots of things.
>
> Many VOR transmitters at large airports will transmit a voice
> recording known as ATIS. This stands for Airport Traffic Information
> System. It includes mundane information such as altimeter barometric
> pressure setting, which approaches are in use, weather, and any
> relevant notices such as taxiway closures or construction nearby. It
> usually ends with a sequence letter so that when pilots call up the
> approach controller they'll typically end with "...with India" meaning
> they have heard the ATIS broadcast sequence India (or Victor, or
> Uniform, ...)
>
> Some VORs transmit continuous weather information for a region. These
> are known as TWEB or HIWAS broadcasts. These broadcasts are useful
> for updating any information that may have changed since the flight
> plan was filed. For example, you could use this information to see if
> the winds aloft forecast 200 miles away has changed.
>
> Most VOR transmitters are monitored by a regional flight service
> station (FSS). Often you can reach these flight service stations by
> calling them on a designated frequency and monitoring the output of
> the VOR. This split frequency usage is commonly used for relatively
> local communications. Often you can hear pilots filing instrument
> flight plans in the air, or updating their existing flight plans,
> making a pilot weather report (for conditions such as ice, turbulence,
> cloud tops and bases, etc.).
>
> Finally, if your AM detector in your scanner is reasonably wide
> banded, you'll hear a 9 kHz super carrier with phase information off

> of magnetic north to compare the cardioid null from the signal to for
> azimuth information from the VOR. If you know anything about how VOR
> systems work, this might make some sense. If you don't know what a
> VOR is, then this is gibberish.
>
> For more details see http://www.faa.gov/ATpubs/AIM/index.htm
> It's the pilot's bible on what all these navigation systems do and how
> they work (or don't work).
>
> Good Luck!
>
>
> Jake Brodsky, mailto:frussle@erols.com
> PP ASEL IA, Cessna Cardinal N30946, Based @ FME
> Amateur Radio Station AB3A

3292. Re: VORs & NDBs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 01:20:41 UTC
From: "David L. Wilson" <dlwilson@erols.com>
Message-ID: <acc7bkajr1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 48107

"Jake Brodsky" <frussle@erols.com> wrote in message
news:g17ieu0ck6gvtin6ujlhblh9h3uuun5d51@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 20 May 2002 21:23:15 +1000, "Book" <book_16@optusnet.com.au>
> wrote:
>
> >Ok thanks.
> >
> >But what about VORs?
>
> Lots of things.
>
> Many VOR transmitters at large airports will transmit a voice
> recording known as ATIS.

In many (most?) cases ATIS is not on VOR frequencies but on their own VHF frequency. Regarding another post, most (all?) NDB's in the US have ceased voice weather broadcasts.

3293. Re: Dayton reports??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 01:31:37 UTC
From: "Ed G." <Spurious@_REMOVE_StarBand.net>
Message-ID: <Xns9214BC8EEC932Frog207Junocom@148.78.248.76>
Source record: 48108
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> I was unable to attend this year due to military duties.
> What are the reports from Dayton?
>
> Other than rain, what was the big news?
>
> Any good deals like we had last year?
> New equipment? (the VX-7R was selling
> for about $379).

I heard Yaesu equipment was selling at rediculously low prices,
(FT817 $499. FT100D $540?). Yaesu also showed off a new portable/base
radio, the FT897. Looked real nice.

Ed WB6SAT

3294. Re: PCR-1K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 01:33:38 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3CE9A3EF.80CB9629@optonline.net>
Source record: 48111
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

i thought PCR-1K was a windows program.

PCR.COM and pcscan.com are dos programs.

go here

http://www.micosyen.com/radio.htm

walt

milshell wrote:
>
> I'm looking for the dos PCR-1000 control software called PCR-1K. Does anyone
> have a copy of it or a url for this software you can send me? Their page
> doesn't seem to exist any more.
>
> Thanks
> Jim H
> agentx2@earthlink.net

3295. Re: You Might Belong to a Redneck Volunteer fire department if .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 01:50:06 UTC
From: Troy <troyrow@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3ce9a7d9$0$15145$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 48110
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I had the same thought but was too polite to say anything!!

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:h10ieuk3nlpr9v5t9refgoe8euq072t39e@4ax.com...
> While savoring a President Clinton 'special' cigar, "Technomage"
<proudhawk@uswestmail.net>
> scribbled...
>
> >DO TELL! DO TELL!!!!
> >
> >Oh how true..
> >
> >still makes me wonder why I was born with an IQ of 153 when the mean
> >average in all the cunty was somewhere near 90.
>
> Obviously, someone LIED to you about your IQ.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

3296. Re: handheld scanner antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 01:52:35 UTC
From: Barun Gupta <barun@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <DLhG8.33550$Vm2.1662830@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 48109

Eric,

The Max 800 from Max Systems is about $30 and really good on the 900 MHz band. I have both the Watson 881 and the 889 and neither is a patch on this. However it is lousy on most of the other bands - not designed for it. By the way for the other bands the W-881 is a good choice - better than the W889. Radio Shacks $10 telescoping antenna is pretty good - in fact better than the W-881.

"Eric Owens" <eowens27@comcast.net> wrote in message news:lHYF8.81796$fU2.7755828@bin8.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> I was thinking about getting either a Watson 889 tele-gainer or a 881
> super-gainer. I listen mostly to 800Mhz motorola II trunking and use a
> pro-94 scanner. Which antenna would be better? Any other suggestions would
> be appreciated also. The antenna that comes with this scanner is not very
> good, I get a lot of static and crackles at 800 - 900 Mhz. I am hoping
that
> a better antenna will help. Thanks.
>
>

3297. what am I hearing? Noise on 450MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 02:00:59 UTC
From: CoolDave_0@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1fa6f03d.0205201800.237428ac@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48112

on around 450-460MHz I hear very acurate (repeated over and over)
signals I heard them all through the day and they never go away. Been
there since I got my scanner 3 months ago.I can turn squelch to the
max and it still is picked up, so its a signal. It's not microwaves, I
don't think it's paging because it's always on. Any ideas? Lots of
communications 450-499Mhz in my town but every 2MHz or so u hear one
of these digital beeping clicking staticy things. Just Wondering!

Dave

3298. Re: FS: PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 02:20:09 UTC
From: Rocinante <matrixoutsider@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <jlbjeu4mkmbt9632fkoq6u27vg5hp8944o@4ax.com>
Source record: 48113

Not worth $250. It appears well worn. Ask $175.00 for it.

On Wed, 15 May 2002 09:25:50 -0400, JohnL <xyz@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Now that I have picked up a new PRO 94, I am selling my PRO-2006. I'm
>asking $250. Includes radio, manual, and original antenna. I've installed
>a new keypad and replaced the bottom half of the case. Condition is very
>good. The rig has the two "diode mods" done for speed and coverage. Bright
>display (otherwise I would have replaced that, too!) I've
>posted some high quality photos so folks can get an idea of the
>condition for themselves. You can view them at
>http://www.njmc.com/john/pro2006. There is four photos, each is 800x600
>and less than 90k in size, so even dialup users shouldn't have a problem
>viewing them.
>
>If you have questions or wish to make an offer, please contact me at
>jlemay at njmc dot com.
>
>thanks!

3299. Re: Dayton reports??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 02:59:50 UTC
From: "J. Duffy Beischel" <jduffy@zoomtown.com>
Message-ID: <ueje6dedf5pgab@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48114
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I love Dayton, and living in Cincinnati makes it real easy. I was disappointed. One, I'm getting real tired of the rain and cold. Weather was better when they held it a few weeks earlier at the very end of April.

Ticket prices are too high. No program anymore and increase in parking. No wonder attendance was down. Why charge for the program when the ads they sell are paying for the darn thing to be printed? Next year I wonder what manufacturer is going to pay to run an ad that most people won't see because they are going to fork out money to buy it!

I noticed that the Cincinnati Hamfest has reduced their ticket prices to get people to attend this coming year. They really screwed up moving it from its old location years ago. Used to be well attended. They kept moving it around town so it lost its identity. Nothing like it used to be. I hope the Hamvention folks don't screw up what they built.

Even with the bad weather, flea market prices are too high and the fools won't really negotiate down to reasonable prices for used gear. In some cases it's actually cheaper to buy new.

A lot of vendors that normally attend were missing or hiding real well. No Universal. No Jun's (Ham City) and a few others that usually attend were no where to be found.

The VX7R's were in water. The mics were actually connected and went right into the water so you could use the handhelds if you wanted. Oh, and R&L was selling them for $339.

One reason I think attendance is down is the lack of innovation in products. Much of the stuff is the same old thing with different packaging. I have to commend Yaesu for at least trying and every year they seem to have something new. Icom is talking about some new digital stuff, but I'm sure it is a ways off.

The Ten Tec Orion is nice, but the price is out of sight. Their new long awaited QRP rig started at $595, then went to $695 and now they are taking orders for an August delivery at $795! Too much money, not enough rig.

SGC used to have a good rig with the 2020, but I noticed they screwed that up and changed the fixed SO239 on the back of the rig to a pigtail. Another component to fail. Also they took away the power plug and now have a pigtail for the power lead. Yikes, on an HF rig?

APRS is really growing in popularity and there was a really nice APRS exhibit. People think it is only for tracking but there are a number of capabilities that make it very useful, fun and interesting.

Special note to the vendors. Try to look excited about your products when folks stop by to check out your products. I have a fortune in Kantronics gear so I stopped bu to chat. Early on Friday so they could not have been that tired yet...well I asked about intelligent tracking (APRS) for the KPC3+. Got a ho-hum answer that they're not going to do anything.

Hats off to the Yaesu gentleman (Japanese) that greated me when I went to get my free hat. I was carrying the FT-1500 box in my hand as I went through the line. He looked at the box and said "thank you very much" with a smile on his face. Now there is a man that made me feel good about buying his product.

One last gripe...get rid of the non-ham, non-computer vendors...are you guys that desperate for money? Just how many sunglass vendors do you need at a radio/electronics/computer show? And enough of the trinket vendors.

I just wish the Dayton folks and the vendors will sit down and talk about improving this event before is declines to the point where they can't get their audience back.

Of course many of the ills can be cured with more hams.

"N9NWO" <n9nwo@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:3KUF8.22455$8M5.17288@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> I was unable to attend this year due to military duties.
> What are the reports from Dayton?
>
> Other than rain, what was the big news?
>
> Any good deals like we had last year?
> New equipment? (the VX-7R was selling
> for about $379).
>
>
>
>

3300. Re: Dayton reports??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 03:14:24 UTC
From: "Edward L. Dowdy" <ellhatespamdowdy@ctscomp.com>
Message-ID: <kYiG8.75134$Po6.121550@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 48115
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I opted not to buy a program also. Considering I bought a MKIIG for $699 I
probably should have.

73,
Ed KA9EES

"RV" <vegar@sprintmail.com> wrote in message
news:acc14a$nk8$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
: Oops - my mistake - I was too cheap to buy a program so I didn't have a
: definitive list of dealers - just what I saw or recognized from walking
: around.
:
: I also failed to mention Universal Radio who I believe show up every year.
:
: RV
: "Edward L. Dowdy" <elIhatespamdowdy@ctscomp.com> wrote in message
: news:XffG8.48392$L76.51936@rwcrnsc53...
: > Ham Station was there. Unless that was Dan's clone I talked to. :)
: >
: > 73,
: > Ed KA9EES
: >
: > "RV" <vegar@sprintmail.com> wrote in message
: > news:acbsjt$c0t$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
: > : I also enjoyed Hamvention again this year (last year was my first),
: > : but....what I found most dissappointing was the apparent lack of
: interest
: > : in scanning/swl. The listener's forum on Sunday AM (not exactly the
: best
: > : schedule slot) had at most about 30 people attend. Out of all those
: > : thousands??? The Mobile HF forum, ATV forum, etc were packed.
: > :
: > : Are we really such a small group of folks? Also disappointing was the
: > very
: > : few used scanners, decoders, etc to be found out in the flea market.
: Yes,
: > : the deals and availability of new, current equipment were good, but
: where
: > : are all the killer deals on older equipment? Scanner Master, Radio
: Stop,
: > : Computer Int, and CAT were present as was Pop Comm. But where was
Grove
: > : Enterprises, Ham Station, or any of the other great scanner dealers?
I

: > : would think Monitoring Times could add to their subscription list and
so
: > : could Scanning USA?
: > :
: > :
: > : Is there no world class event for listeners?
: > :
: > : RV (KD5LJK)
: > :
: > : PS: Correction to some of the other posts - the underwater radios
were
: > the
: > : VX-7R - neat looking rig.
: > :
: > : "N9NWO" <n9nwo@amsat.org> wrote in message
: > : news:3KUF8.22455$8M5.17288@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
: > : > I was unable to attend this year due to military duties.
: > : > What are the reports from Dayton?
: > : >
: > : > Other than rain, what was the big news?
: > : >
: > : > Any good deals like we had last year?
: > : > New equipment? (the VX-7R was selling
: > : > for about $379).
: > : >
: > : >
: > : >
: > : >
: > :
: > :
: >
: >
:
:

3301. Re: nextell freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 03:55:20 UTC
From: johnb <borregoj@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3CE9C6A2.4090106@sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 48117

i'm pretty sure they use their cell frequencies, and use the cell system
like a set of linked repeaters, (that's why you can only direct connect
within your local calling area)

Twazy wrote:

> does anyone know what freq the nextell two way radio phones are on thanks
> (twazy@aol.com)
>

3302. Re: scanner Freqs for Hawaii please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 03:59:11 UTC
From: johnb <borregoj@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3CE9C78A.8030901@sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 48118

police call at radio shack also has lots of info, by state and area.
the radio shack people sometimes have to be convinced that they have it
though....

John Porter wrote:

> I am looking for a list of scanner freqs for Honolulu, Hawaii area that
> will be used on a Radio Shack Pro-23 scanner, or can some one point me to a
> web site that has them listed thanks! I am intersted in the 2 meter ham
> bands and taxi air and other intersting things here in town '73's!
>
> John
>

3303. Re: BC780XLT vs. UBC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 04:40:50 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <mdkG8.19187$db7.769966@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 48119
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Back when they were legal to listen to, I found that cellphone conversations get excruciatingly boring after a short time. Unless you lived in a very small town, the chances of even knowing who you're listening to is so remote as to not even consider. And by the cellular nature, you'd only hear a few minutes of a conversation at most. Not only that, but more and more cell systems are going digital anyway. I personally wouldn't invest an extra cent in a scanner merely because it gets cellular. But to each his own.

Both models should work in the U.S., AFAIK.

There can be a number of reasons for using two scanners. There may be a particular service or agency or company that you want to monitor continuously... like the local fire department, trains, the company you work for, whatever. And you may then use a second scanner to scan the rest of the stuff that's of interest to you.

Also, some folks will dedicate one scanner and its antenna to a specific band... VHF-low band is a good example. Those frequencies (generally 30-50 MHZ) really cry out for an antenna that's long enough to be close to optimal:. several feet long (2808 / freq in Mhz = antenna length in inches for a quarterwave antenna.

A VHF high band antenna is generally quite satisfactory for VHF-high UHF and even 800 Mhz, so the second scanner might be used for those frequencies.

I'm sure others will come up with other examples. But unless there's something you just can't bear to miss - most scanners DO have "priority" channels for those important ones - one scanner is usually sufficient.

YMMV
--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications History

"Jack Bellows" <st3o@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:AAfG8.10765$Is4.2328778888@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> I want to buy a good scanner, that's for sure, and I've narrowed down my
> search to the BC780XLT from Uniden. At any rate, the question is whether I
> should spring for the UBC from Europe and allow for cell phone traffic.

> Will the scanner work in the US? Second question, why do so many
people
> carry around two scanners. Is that really necesscary?
>
> --
> -*
> +=+
> "Beware of all enterprises
> that require new clothes"
> -Thoreau
> +=+
> -Jack Bellows
> http://www.jackbellows.net
> jack@charon.sjs.org
> ICQ UIN: 2230320
> AIM: Hoss St3o
> -*
>
>

3304. Re: BC780XLT vs. UBC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 04:48:40 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <IkkG8.19196$db7.771954@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 48120

remember though, the upcoming Unidens will only decode APCO P25 digital signals... most digital stuff other than public safety - and possibly the railroads, I understand - will NOT be able to be monitored by the Unidens.

Systems that are already up and running in other digital modes are highly unlikely to rebuild all their equipment to P25, nor would it generally be of any benefit to them to do so
--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/uniden-digital.htm

"Jack Bellows" <st3o@swbell.net> wrote in message news:hBfG8.10766$Ku4.2328879163@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com...
> I want to buy a good scanner, that's for sure, and I've narrowed down my
> search to the BC780XLT from Uniden. At any rate, the question is whether I
> should spring for the UBC from Europe and allow for cell phone traffic.
> Will the scanner work in the US? Second question, why do so many people
> carry around two scanners. Is that really necesscary?
>
> --
> -*
> +=+
> "Beware of all enterprises
> that require new clothes"
> -Thoreau
> +=+
> -Jack Bellows
> http://www.jackbellows.net
> jack@charon.sjs.org
> ICQ UIN: 2230320
> AIM: Hoss St3o
> -*
>
>

3305. Re: Anyone listening to SCA stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 05:23:40 UTC
From: Virginia Eakle <virginiaeakle@peoplepc.com>
Message-ID: <uejmi8bek01e9d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48122

Since when did SCA come through cable tv systems and connected to your fm radio.
SCA is the muzak that you hear in grocery store, department stores.

"Inter1097" <inter1097@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020406041253.00784.00002558@mb-bg.aol.com...
> >Ten years ago the stereo audio for many >of the music channels and premium
> >movie
> >channels were on the FM band.with the >cable feed. They all seem to be gone
> >now.
> >Of course the cable system has change >owners several times over the years.
>
>
> Ahh so is this why I used to hear MTV Audio on 97.7 MHz on my stereo? My cable
> wires were right next to the stereo.. The only difference is if the local cable
> company ran 1 of those localised commercials over the commercials MTV would be
> playing, I would still be able to hear the MTV commercial on the stereo...
>
> thanks for the post :)

3306. Re: FS: PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 05:24:05 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <vemjeu81tqantcs8u89df4hnd6mbsse6u3@4ax.com>
Source record: 48121

While savoring a President Clinton 'special' cigar, Rocinante <matrixoutsider@hotmail.com> scribbled...

>Not worth $250. It appears well worn. Ask $175.00 for it.

You really mean you just can't afford it.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

3307. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 08:35:11 UTC
From: "Gary R. Utter" <utter@syndicomm.com>
Message-ID: <210520020435115083%utter@syndicomm.com>
Source record: 48123
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

In article
<hT5G8.65243$t8_.34404@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>, Seven of
3 <catwails@hotmail.com> wrote:

> I did find proof, and it was too easy!
> So, someone was very lazy, were they not?

Look, moron, no one but YOU saw any need for proof. YOU were the one
getting mad at people for not doing the work you wanted done.

What are you, about 14?

3308. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 09:58:08 UTC
From: Book <bookvous@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3cea1a2e$0$2521$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 48124
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

Please don't insult people by acusing them of being a certain age, some find
it very offensive.

--
Book

"Gary R. Utter" <utter@syndicomm.com> wrote in message
news:210520020435115083%utter@syndicomm.com...
> In article
> <hT5G8.65243$t8_.34404@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>, Seven of
> 3 <catwails@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> > I did find proof, and it was too easy!
> > So, someone was very lazy, were they not?
>
> Look, moron, no one but YOU saw any need for proof. YOU were the one
> getting mad at people for not doing the work you wanted done.
>
> What are you, about 14?

3309. antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 12:38:00 UTC
From: Håkan Fleischer <H&#65533;kan.Fleischer@hlk.hj.se>
Message-ID: <acdf7mun1@nyheter.chalmers.se>
Source record: 48125

Hi!

Im just setting up an scanner for police in sweden 78-80 Mhz. Im planning to build an antenna myself. What kind (at a resonable price) would be best for me to build?? I live quite high up. Im not very technical, so I would need a GOOD plan/explanation of the design...

thanks

H&#65533;kan

3310. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 13:09:22 UTC
From: Seven of 3 <catwails@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6GrG8.75474$t8_.33594@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 48126
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

Excuse me, but I suggested a Cell Tower designed as a "Joke"!!!
The response to my rediculous statement, was there are some, because I say so.

Of course I need proof! I was throwong out the rediculous, and someone says, but they have them, I have seen them.
That, buddy is hearsay! It is not proof, and from my standpoint, it was PULLING MY LEG!

As it turns out, my idea was not that rediculous, was it? Turns out that in fact Cell Towers are designed to look line Pine Trees, and other things as well. Yet, I am being valid, you are being dense. Opinion, hearsay DOES NOT establish a statement as a fact, and you have to know that! This is why I say YOU are being DENSE! The next guy, added some credence when he said, I have seen them too, and gave some areas where he saw them. But still, nobody gave any evidene to support their claims, the one I had made on my own - to be rediculous!

So I had to find proof myself, which I did.
Subsequently, a sharp person posted links to pictures of actual Cell Towers.

Secondly, I am mad at noone!
I am just not at all impressed, especially by a juvenille response, from someone who has been through school, and should know how to present their thoughts and ideas in some structured manner!

And if I was 14, as you think, then don't you feel stupid for talking down to a child smarter than you, as he dominates your simple mind in USENET? Obviously you don't even think before you fire off that big mouth. But I never accused you of thinking....did I?

Well, if you have a problem with me, have a talk with my parents!
An Utter...isn't that a TIT? Or mor accurately one big tit with multiple nipples.....thought so!
Not bad for a 14 yr old, eh?

"Gary R. Utter" <utter@syndicomm.com> wrote in message news:210520020435115083%utter@syndicomm.com...
> In article
> <hT5G8.65243$t8_.34404@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>, Seven of
> 3 <catwails@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> > I did find proof, and it was too easy!
> > So, someone was very lazy, were they not?
>

> Look, moron, no one but YOU saw any need for proof. YOU were the one
> getting mad at people for not doing the work you wanted done.
>
> What are you, about 14?

3311. Re: scanner Freqs for Hawaii please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 14:48:10 UTC
From: wleamons <wleamons@socket.net>
Message-ID: <ueknhjklv5sk91@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48127

try cityfreq.com
"John Porter" <jkporter2000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:92146D6Bjkporterhawaiirrcom@66.75.162.196...
> I am looking for a list of scanner freqs for Honolulu, Hawaii area that
> will be used on a Radio Shack Pro-23 scanner, or can some one point me to
a
> web site that has them listed thanks! I am intersted in the 2 meter ham
> bands and taxi air and other intersting things here in town '73's!
>
> John

3312. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 15:49:59 UTC
From: bobball@nospamemt-p.org
Message-ID: <3cea6c04.100293286@news.bitstream.net>
Source record: 48130
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 21 May 2002 13:09:22 GMT, "Seven of 3" <catwails@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>Well, if you have a problem with me, have a talk with my parents!
>An Utter...isn't that a TIT? Or mor accurately one big tit with multiple
>nipples.....thought so!
>Not bad for a 14 yr old, eh?

No it is not. From the online American Heritage Dictionary, it's a
transitive verb:

1. To send forth with the voice: uttered a cry.
2. To articulate (words); pronounce or speak. See synonyms at vent1.
3. Law To put (counterfeit money, for example) into circulation.
4. To publish (a book, for example).
5. Obsolete To sell or deliver (merchandise) in trading.

Bob Ball, EMT-P
Minneapolis

3313. Re: Anyone listening to SCA stuff?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 16:17:18 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <acds0j$ode04$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48128

"Virginia Eakle" <virginiaeakle@peoplepc.com> wrote in message
news:uejmi8bek01e9d@corp.supernews.com...
> Since when did SCA come through cable tv systems and connected to your fm
> radio.
> SCA is the muzak that you hear in grocery store, department stores.
>

I have a little Ramsey kit FM receiver that has an SCA output. I connect the SCA jack to the antenna input jack on my R75 and tune down to 92 kHz. The local college station has a reading service for the blind, which is pretty interesting to listen to when you're doing other stuff. None of the other stations around here have any SCA that I've found. I think a couple years ago there was a muzak service on one, but I can't find anything now.

Tom

3314. Re: antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 16:22:04 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <acds9h$okbmu$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48129

"H&#65533;kan Fleischer" <H&#65533;kan.Fleischer@hlk.hj.se> wrote in message
news:acdf7mun1@nyheter.chalmers.se...
> Hi!
>
> Im just setting up an scanner for police in sweden 78-80 Mhz. Im planning
to build an antenna myself. What kind (at a resonable price) would be best
for me to build?? I live quite high up. Im not very technical, so I would
need a GOOD plan/explanation of the design...

It depends on how far away from the police radio tower you are. I think a simple ground plane antenna would work. A ground plane is an antenna that consists of a vertical element, and then three or four horizontal radial elements, pointing 45 degrees down. For that frequency range you'd need to make the elements about 3 feet long. The vertical element would be connnected to the center of the coax, the radials to the shield braid.

Tom

3315. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 16:48:11 UTC
From: Seven of 3 <catwails@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <fTuG8.63332$ah_.58204@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 48131
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

Oooops...it was pointed out to me that I confused "utter" crap with a cows "udder", again!
I appologize for any misunderstanding caused by my "udder" utterence, any possible confusion created thereby, and for any offense it may have caused cows of either the bovine or primate distinctions!

Ken Roberts wrote:

>Wrong. You're thinking of an udder, not Utter.

>Ken

But Ken, I was actually thinking of "Utter". when the definition of an "Udder" played this harmonym trick on my mind.
Something like thinking of Real, and having the definition of reel pop out.
Well okay, maybe its more like Veal and Vale.
But I was trying to act like the 14 year old Utter wants me to be, so that would include making fun of his stupid name...right?
I am sure he got a lot worse when he was a kid. I mean it is a real tease me name! Not as bad as a guy named Cox! But you know!

And you know he will feel much better when he has evidence that his conclusions are not Utter Crap.
I am just helping him out by re-inforcing his pre-judices, and solidifying his sense of self, so he does not even question himself, for a second. Who am I to stand in the way of a self fulfilling prophecy?

So I will be 14. Perhaps in more ways than he wanted! But he asked. He asked me to respond to him as a 14 year old. So I did!
And that includes teasing when you have a stupid name!

"Seven of 3" <catwails@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:6GrG8.75474$t8_.33594@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
>> Well, if you have a problem with me, have a talk with my parents!
> An Utter...isn't that a TIT? Or mor accurately one big tit with multiple
> nipples.....thought so!
> Not bad for a 14 yr old, eh?
>

3316. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 18:02:00 UTC
From: arnoldjimjimREMOVE@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3cea8b76.165843425@news.sk.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 48132
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 19 May 2002 21:06:01 GMT, "Seven of 3" <catwails@hotmail.com>
posted:

>:Because when I said they could disguise them as Giant pine trees, I was
>:being rediculous!
>:So, for you to just say they do, is menaingless, unless you can say where
>:they are, so your claims can be established, otherwise its bunk.
>:

National Geographic had a picture of a Sequoia that was really a
telephone tower within the last 2 years. I give my old copies away,
but if someone has the mag, the photo was in the newsy section at the
beginning "Geographica" I think it is called. My recall is that it is
about 6 metres tall.
-J. Arnold

3317. Alinco DJ-X10 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 18:41:03 UTC
From: Steve Bossert <kc2gog@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <3xwG8.93682$ja.27025028@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 48133

I have a copy of RT Systems software for the alinco dj-x10, and i hate it.
it takes for ever to type in freqs!!

i does offer radio control capability which is neat!!!

does any one have a registered copy of bruce popes program they would like
to trade for the rt systems software or any files for the dj-x2 or x10 in
the new york area, i have very extensive databases

kc2gog@amsat.org

3318. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 18:58:42 UTC
From: bobball@nospamemt-p.org
Message-ID: <3cea9889.111682953@news.bitstream.net>
Source record: 48135
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 21 May 2002 16:48:11 GMT, "Seven of 3" <catwails@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>So I will be 14. Perhaps in more ways than he wanted! But he asked. He asked
>me to respond to him as a 14 year old. So I did!
>And that includes teasing when you have a stupid name!

So obviously you're not 14. I haven't heard 14 year-olds tease people
about their names...that seems to start easing up after about 12.
Don't worry, you'll see when you mature a little.

PLONK

Bob Ball, EMT-P
Minneapolis

3319. Re: VORs & NDBs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 19:03:55 UTC
From: CoolDave_0@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1fa6f03d.0205211103.6aee981@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48134

"David L. Wilson" <dlwilson@erols.com> wrote in message
news:<acc7bkajr1@bob.news.rcn.net>...
> "Jake Brodsky" <frussle@erols.com> wrote in message
> news:g17ieu0ck6gvtin6ujlhblh9h3uuun5d51@4ax.com...
> > On Mon, 20 May 2002 21:23:15 +1000, "Book" <book_16@optusnet.com.au>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Ok thanks.
> > >
> > >But what about VORs?
> >
> > Lots of things.
> >
> > Many VOR transmitters at large airports will transmit a voice
> > recording known as ATIS.
>
> In many (most?) cases ATIS is not on VOR frequencies but on their own VHF
> frequency. Regarding another post, most (all?) NDB's in the US have ceased
> voice weather broadcasts.

Yup. Im a pilot for american airlines and VORS are never ATIS nemore.
Mainly due to problems between two things on one freq. NDBs, are
easily picked up, even on an AM radio. They broadcast their morse
ident signal. The signal ident is usually on a different frequency
that the actual aircraft instrument information frequency (which is
static). Hope this helps

3320. NYC Fleet Week

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 20:19:56 UTC
From: Michael Wallach <mjwallach@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.175475f599c50d4a9896a8@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 48136

Does anyone have suggestions for frequencies to monitor during Fleet Week
in New York City, 23 May - 27 May?

3321. F/S AOR AR2002 RECEIVER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 20:35:32 UTC
From: JIMBOW65@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29468-3CEAAF94-223@storefull-2372.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 48138

Hi, I have AR-2002 Communication receiver in mint condition..
[25Mhz---550Mhz]==[800Mhz---1300Mhz]
LCD display come with manul, power cord, 5khz step 12.5khz and 25khz.
NFM/WFM/AM::
Buttons are: search,scan,back light,clock,
keylock,lockout,priority,manal,speed,delay
enter,ch knob and up & down button.
Lower & upper Limit. Signal meter, head phone jack, ex-speaker in back,
And BNC hook up for antenna, VOL,SQ No Blocks on 800-Mhz Looking for
$200.00 ship to your door. only lower 48 USA god bless.

www.jimbow65@webtv.net

3322. Re: Scanner Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 20:37:18 UTC
From: "Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca>
Message-ID: <Pine.A41.4.05.10205211436110.34526-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca>
Source record: 48139

When the squelch is turned down as far as it will go, do you hear a loud hiss? And when you turn it up, at some point does the hissing stop suddenly? What is it that you hear very faintly?

On Sun, 19 May 2002, Just_me wrote:

> I tried the squelch and adjusting it, I can hear very faintly... But most
> muffled...
> Any suggestions ??
>
>
> "Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca> wrote in message
> news:Pine.A41.4.05.10205191120440.39702-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca...
> >
> > Where is your squelch set? Does the scanner stay stuck on just one
> > frequency? Did you try turning the squelch higher? Did you try storing
> > and locking out any frequencies?
> >
> > On Sun, 19 May 2002, Just_me wrote:
> >
> > > I have a radio shack pro-34..
> > > when I scan the 800MZ to 900MZ all I get is static..
> > >
> > > What can I do to get better reception, I called RADIO SHACK many
> locations -
> > > but no one seems to know much about them ?
> > >
> > > THANX
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>
>
>

3323. Recent Police Call, Passport, and WRTH books up for grabs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 20:49:53 UTC
From: JohnL <xyz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aceblo$p9ee1$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48137
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Hi all,

Sorry for the crosspost, but thought both groups would be interested. I have the following books "up for grabs" if anyone is interested. All I ask is postage - probably no more than a buck or two a book to anywhere in the US.

Police Call

'95 Volume 1 CT ME MA NH NY RI VT
'96 Volume 2 DE MD NJ PA
'98 Volume 2 "Police Call Plus"
'99 Volume 2
'01 Volume 2
Beyond Police Call Volume 1 (all of US, only issued in '97 or '98 I believe, then became part of "Police Call Plus" and now is part of "Police Call")

All Police Call books earlier than '99 are Radio Shack "branded" (they say "Radio Shack" above "Police Call" instead of "Gene Hughs'" like in the ones you could buy elsewhere). '99 and later are all Gene Hughs' branded. Guess RS stopped rebranding them in '99.

Passport

1995 Passport to World Band Radio

WRTH

1998 WRTH
1996 WRTH

All books are in very good condition with intact pages and covers. All have been kept in a drawer and only used occasionally when I reprogrammed scanners or looked up a SW freq I couldn't find in MT. I'd say "like new" probably applies.

If you are interested in any or all, please email me. My *REAL* email address is "jlemay at njmc dot com".

thanks!

--
John L
Note the email address used for posting is not valid.
Sent with PAN 0.11.92 running on Mandrake Linux 8.2

3324. Scanner Pagers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 21:14:23 UTC
From: CoolDave_0@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1fa6f03d.0205211314.40cbdf4c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48140

I know the 911 services have these little pagers but is there any place where people can actually buy them and wear them while they're tuned to the 911 dispatch channel. Im sure its legal since scanning these freqs is legal.

Dave

3325. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 21:37:12 UTC
From: Carey Gregory <antispam8@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <8dfleu0meajn15409p7ljq9rfpcpoeac47@4ax.com>
Source record: 48141
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

"Seven of 3" <catwails@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Not bad for a 14 yr old, eh?

Somebody way overestimated.

plonk

3326. BC 200XLT Battery replacement help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 21:57:16 UTC
From: BuckeyeRed <buckeyered@REMOVEhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <tmfleu892nf4rfvi2eig78grka9t7sv3mk@4ax.com>
Source record: 48142

Well my 11 year old battery pack finally died and I want to replace the insert instead of buying a new pack, can anyone tell me if I can get the insert at Radio Shack? It would be nice to go with Ni-MH if I can. Can I get two cordless phone 3.6v and cut the plugs off and wire them in series and solder to the existing wires in the pack? Thanks for any help.

Tim

3327. 5 mile range with an indoor scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 22:37:45 UTC
From: bruinnation@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020521183745.03804.00000090@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 48143

For experimental purposes only, of course...

Hi all,
I'm fairly new to the scanning hobby. As of right now, I only have a Radio Shack handheld. It's the Pro-93. My buddy and I were experimenting with his cordless phone and the related frequencies. He picked up the phone to call another friend of ours who knew what we were doing, and my scanner locked in on his frequency. It was in the 903 frequency. Oddly, I could not pick up his phone anywhere in the 925-928 range... only the 903 frequency, and I only heard his side of the conversation at that. He has a Uniden cordless phone. I walked down the street in every direction, and his signal was good for about four houses (or 200') in every direction.

Now, we are wondering about the indoor scanners that many of you talk about. My buddy lives *exactly* five miles from my house. We have a pretty clear line of sight in between our houses (not sure if that even matters...). Is there an indoor scanner that will pick up his Uniden at a range of five miles, if I was to enter the exact frequency that his phone shows up on? His phone repeats the same, exact frequency every single time. I assume that I would need a big-ass antenna on my roof or backyard? I know nothing about the indoor scanners. If someone could point me to a URL that may be helpful, it would be much appreciated!

Thanks,
Kenny

3328. Re: Icom IC-T81A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 22:49:30 UTC
From: "Rodger N. Bird" <rbird2@peoplepc.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.17549902581105f69896bb@trialnews.peoplepc.com>
Source record: 48144

David,

I have a complete Icom IC-T81A package For Sale. Asking $350.00 for the

following items (AES pricing shown below):

IC-T81A 6m/2m/440/1.2 Quad Band HT $279.99
BC-119 Desk Fast Charger $99.99
AD-87 Adapter for BC-119 $8.99
Extra BP-200 NiMH Battery $85.99
BP-197 "AA" Battery Case $24.99
LC-148 Soft Carry Case $24.99
CP-13 Cig. Lighter Cable with Filter $36.99
OPC-478 $45.99
CS-T81 $19.99
AES Catalog Pricing Total $627.91

The Icom IC-T81A is in very good condition and works fine. It is an earlier version with the wideband receive. The MARS wideband TX modification has been performed. I have the original boxes. The selling price of $350.00 includes shipping costs within the continental United States.

Please email me direct if you are interested. My email address is: rbird2@peoplepc.com

Thank you,

Rodger

In article <CPeG8.38111$Q93.1433146@news1.west.cox.net>, davidtork@yahoo.com says...
> I'm thinking about getting the Icom IC-T81A - Quad Band Handheld
> (http://www.icomamerica.com/index.html) does anyone have any experience or
> comments about the radio?
>
> David
> KF6DGN
>
>
>

3329. Re: VORs & NDBs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 22:59:17 UTC
From: "David L. Wilson" <dlwilson@erols.com>
Message-ID: <acejeh$48$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 48145

"Dave L" <CoolDave_0@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1fa6f03d.0205211103.6aee981@posting.google.com...
> "David L. Wilson" <dlwilson@erols.com> wrote in message
news:<acc7bkajr1@bob.news.rcn.net>...
> > "Jake Brodsky" <frussle@erols.com> wrote in message
> > news:g17ieu0ck6gvtin6ujlhblh9h3uuun5d51@4ax.com...
> > > On Mon, 20 May 2002 21:23:15 +1000, "Book" <book_16@optusnet.com.au>
> > > wrote:
...
> > > Many VOR transmitters at large airports will transmit a voice
> > > recording known as ATIS.
> >
> > In many (most?) cases ATIS is not on VOR frequencies but on their own
VHF
> > frequency. Regarding another post, most (all?) NDB's in the US have
ceased
> > voice weather broadcasts.
>
> Yup. Im a pilot for american airlines and VORS are never ATIS nemore.
> Mainly due to problems between two things on one freq. NDBs, are
> easily picked up, even on an AM radio. They broadcast their morse
> ident signal. The signal ident is usually on a different frequency
> that the actual aircraft instrument information frequency (which is
> static).

I think what you are trying to say is the following: Some NDB idnts (in the US) are simple 1020 Hz modulation which mean they look like a cw signal at =/- 1020 Hz (or 1.020 kHz) from the carrier frequency. To avoid interference, others only have the ident on one sideband which in some lists causes their freq to be listed with a 0.510 kHz decimal (being the center between the carrier freq and the modulated 1.020 kHz on on sideband).

3330. Code question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 23:01:31 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3CEAD1CB.5CA29009@USA.net>
Source record: 48146
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone know what "SAM" radio codes are?

I have been in the business for over 35 years and have never heard of such a code.

3331. FA: Back Issues of Monitoring Times & Popular Communictions Only 5 hrs. left

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-21 23:16:33 UTC
From: Randy <NoSpamAllowed@MyEmail.com>
Message-ID: <lzAG8.79057$t8_.65919@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 48047
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

Only 5 hrs 8 min
http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/ca/auction/65850518

Randy

--
Scanning the Upper Ottawa Valley
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ScanRenfrewCounty

Learn to broadcast your scanner on the internet
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/LiveScannerAudio

3332. Re: Dayton reports??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 00:37:06 UTC
From: DPH <rally2xs@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <6noleu8kr1elgtjmu2p7kp7gn1i35ib3p5@4ax.com>
Source record: 48048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 20 May 2002 22:59:50 -0400, "J. Duffy Beischel"
<jduffy(nospam)@zoomtown.com> wrote:

>I love Dayton, and living in Cincinnati makes it real easy. I was
>disappointed. One, I'm getting real tired of the rain and cold.

Where's global warming when ya really need it, huh?

>Weather
>was better when they held it a few weeks earlier at the very end of April.

Disagree. Been going since early 70's. I got rained on a _lot_, and
it was almost never a warm rain.

>Ticket prices are too high.

Try going to Kings Island if you think this is high, and the
Hamvention is better.

>No program anymore and increase in parking. No
>wonder attendance was down. Why charge for the program when the ads they
>sell are paying for the darn thing to be printed?

I noticed a lot _less_ ads in it. Mfgr attendance was somewhat less,
too, so there were fewer of those to place the ads. CATS, the guy
that repairs the rotators wasn't there. The guys from Indy selling
software weren't there, either. The big Radio Shack salespoint in the
big tent in the flea market wasn't there, and neither was the tent.

>Next year I wonder what
>manufacturer is going to pay to run an ad that most people won't see because
>they are going to fork out money to buy it!

Fewer. Charging for the program _is_ a mistake, I think, but not a
deterrence to me. Heck, I drove 1100 miles round trip for this - an
extra $5 doesn't even show on my radar screen.

>I noticed that the Cincinnati Hamfest has reduced their ticket prices to get
>people to attend this coming year. They really screwed up moving it from
>its old location years ago. Used to be well attended. They kept moving it
>around town so it lost its identity. Nothing like it used to be. I hope
>the Hamvention folks don't screw up what they built.

Ebay is said to be screwing up the Hamvention the most, and probably
the Cincinnati event as well.

>Even with the bad weather, flea market prices are too high and the fools
>won't really negotiate down to reasonable prices for used gear.

I saw a real nice roller inductor go for $20, after the guy had wanted
$30. I got an LS-120 superdisk drive for my computer for $35 in the
flea market. 6 split nuts to hook up ground wire for $0.25 a pair -
try to find those at Lowes or Home Depot for that price - forget it.
Flea market is OK by me. You may have run into a few people with gold
fever...

>In some
>cases it's actually cheaper to buy new.

People pay over retail on ebay all the time. Go figger.

>A lot of vendors that normally attend were missing or hiding real well.

Yep.

>No
>Universal.

Universal was there - the store and the tower manufacturer - store had
really small booth tho.

>No Jun's (Ham City) and a few others that usually attend were no
>where to be found.

I think the attendance was probably less than 20,000. You need more
than that to draw the smaller players.

>One reason I think attendance is down is the lack of innovation in products.
>Much of the stuff is the same old thing with different packaging. I have to
>commend Yaesu for at least trying and every year they seem to have something
>new. Icom is talking about some new digital stuff, but I'm sure it is a
>ways off.

I like the new TS-2000 Kenwood - I have one out in the Jeep right
now...

>APRS is really growing in popularity and there was a really nice APRS
>exhibit. People think it is only for tracking but there are a number of
>capabilities that make it very useful, fun and interesting.

Yes, even I noticed APRS this time. First time I found out that you
don't need a computer in the car to use it. Any explanation of APRS
you read starts out with mapping software, etc. which leads one to
believe you need a computer in the car. For that reason, I wasn't
interested. Now, however, I'm thinking my old HT would make a good
APRS xcvr. Just wonder how I can carry on a regular 2 m QSO with that
thing also blatting in the near vicinity. Duplexer?

>Hats off to the Yaesu gentleman (Japanese) that greated me when I went to
>get my free hat. I was carrying the FT-1500 box in my hand as I went
>through the line. He looked at the box and said "thank you very much" with
>a smile on his face. Now there is a man that made me feel good about buying

>his product.

I think I would have liked such an experience too.

>One last gripe...get rid of the non-ham, non-computer vendors...are you guys
>that desperate for money? Just how many sunglass vendors do you need at a
>radio/electronics/computer show? And enough of the trinket vendors.

Well, I'll take _any_ income to the Hamvention that supports it. We could lose it, ya know! Hara Arena can't be cheap to rent. The flea market _wasn't_ sold out from what I could see, and the inside had space too. If you didn't have the sunglasses bunch there, then you'd have bare pavement not contributing anything, and the ticket price to get in would likely go up some more.

>I just wish the Dayton folks and the vendors will sit down and talk about
>improving this event before is declines to the point where they can't get
>their audience back.

I can't see anything they've done that could be construed as a fault. I believe that all the higher prices are likely necessary to keep the show going at all. I think that they should maybe have tacked on $2 or so to the ticket price and gave a free program with it instead of what they did - that would have eliminated the loud and obnoxious, in 1 case at least, people selling the programs, as well as the unlikelyhood that those sellers were doing it for free. They probably got $1 or so out of each one.

>Of course many of the ills can be cured with more hams.

Kill ebay and we'll talk... <GGG>

Dave Head

3333. Re: Code question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 00:38:22 UTC
From: Barry Adair <Barry@PureDamnTexas.com>
Message-ID: <uelq864ce9o90a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48050
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I think it is the county codes for the S.A.M.E weather radios
<Pappy@USA.net> wrote in message news:3CEAD1CB.5CA29009@USA.net...
> Does anyone know what "SAM" radio codes are?
>
> I have been in the business for over 35 years and have never heard of
> such a code.
>

3334. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 00:39:06 UTC
From: Dee <ke4lfgDELETETHIS@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <uelq2nfvk7uob2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48049
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

"Seven of 3" <catwails@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:kCUF8.60615$t8_.38077@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> It really wouldn't take long to find a source or two that would confirm
what
> you said, and change it from hearsay to a fact.

Seven of 3--

Actually, confirming sources would not change the statement from
"hearsay" to "fact." The statement would remain hearsay, as would any
articles saying the same thing, no matter how reputable the source. It
might also be factual.

An assertion is or is not factual, irrespective of the quality of the
evidence. The question is whether the evidence is convincing. But even
convincing evidence can lead one to believe an untruth, and an assertion can
be factual, even if disbelieved.

--Dee

3335. Re: FS: PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 00:57:09 UTC
From: matrixoutsider@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6081fd05.0205211657.455a3d71@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48051

No, tard. I already have one that's in mint condition. That's how I
know that this guy's radio isn't worth $250.00.

Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:<vemjeu81tqantcs8u89df4hnd6mbsse6u3@4ax.com>...
> While savoring a President Clinton 'special' cigar, Rocinante
<matrixoutsider@hotmail.com>
> scribbled...
>
> >Not worth $250. It appears well worn. Ask $175.00 for it.
>
> You really mean you just can't afford it.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

3336. Re: BC 200XLT Battery replacement help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 01:22:17 UTC
From: Paul P <splicer65@go.com>
Message-ID: <3ceaefa9_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 48052

Before you go thru that, check this page near the bottom.

http://www.racescanners.com/access.php?racescanners_Session=29c7ff51b16a1f09
50f0157495e4c244

Paul P

"BuckeyeRed" <buckeyered@REMOVEhotmail.com> wrote in message
news:tmfleu892nf4rfvi2eig78grka9t7sv3mk@4ax.com...
> Well my 11 year old battery pack finally died and I want to replace
> the insert instead of buying a new pack, can anyone tell me if I can
> get the insert at Radio Shack? It would be nice to go with Ni-MH if I
> can. Can I get two cordless phone 3.6v and cut the plugs off and
> wire them in series and solder to the existing wires in the pack?
> Thanks for any help.
>
> Tim

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3337. Re: Dayton reports??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 01:30:33 UTC
From: Joe Leikhim <jleikhim@nettally.com>
Message-ID: <3CEAF4B9.18EFB11@nettally.com>
Source record: 48053
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Maybe the way around it is to have some e-bay auctions on site. Bidders could view the stuff, then run back to their laptops (or nearby computer kiosks) and put in a bid. The auctions could run 2 to 48 hours.

Laugh about it, but time will come when you will get outbid by somebody using a PDA and Bluetooth standing right behind you. The dealers would love it.

DPH wrote:

> (snip)
>
> Ebay is said to be screwing up the Hamvention the most, and probably
> the Cincinnati event as well.
>
> (snip)
>
> Kill ebay and we'll talk... <GGG>
>
> Dave Head

--
Joe Leikhim K4SAT
Jleikhim@nettally.com

"Jazz is not dead. It just smells funny." -F.Z.

3338. Re: Scanner Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 01:41:29 UTC
From: Just_me <martian10@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <dHCG8.65014$ah_.59041@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 48057

The squelch doesn't seem to do much..

"Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca> wrote in message
news:Pine.A41.4.05.10205211436110.34526-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca...
>
> When the squelch is turned down as far as it will go, do you hear a loud
> hiss? And when you turn it up, at some point does the hissing stop
> suddenly? What is it that you hear very faintly?
>
> On Sun, 19 May 2002, Just_me wrote:
>
> > I tried the squelch and adjusting it, I can hear very faintly... But
most
> > muffled...
> > Any suggestions ??
> >
> >
> > "Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca> wrote in message
> > news:Pine.A41.4.05.10205191120440.39702-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca...
> > >
> > > Where is your squelch set? Does the scanner stay stuck on just one
> > > frequency? Did you try turning the squelch higher? Did you try
storing
> > > and locking out any frequencies?
> > >
> > > On Sun, 19 May 2002, Just_me wrote:
> > >
> > > > I have a radio shack pro-34..
> > > > when I scan the 800MZ to 900MZ all I get is static..
> > > >
> > > > What can I do to get better reception, I called RADIO SHACK many
> > locations -
> > > > but no one seems to know much about them ?
> > > >
> > > > THANX
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >

>

3339. Re: 5 mile range with an indoor scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 01:42:18 UTC
From: dxlover574738846@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020521214218.08611.00000126@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 48054

>Is there an
>indoor scanner that will pick up his Uniden at a range of five miles,

Kenny,
I kind of doubt it. I might be wrong in saying this......I don't think so,
but I might.

The scanner itself won't be near as important in you trying to capture his
signal as the antennae setup and actually at that distance, maybe the weather
also.

But that is a pretty good distance but maybe at the 900 mhz you might be able
to pull it off, but I can't picture it.

Just my take on it. ;-)

3340. Re: Scanner Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 01:42:31 UTC
From: Just_me <martian10@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <bICG8.65020$ah_.48889@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 48058

I was reading an article - something aboout modifying scanners.. and the
reception is suppose to be a lot better - is this true ?

"Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca> wrote in message
news:Pine.A41.4.05.10205211436110.34526-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca...
>
> When the squelch is turned down as far as it will go, do you hear a loud
> hiss? And when you turn it up, at some point does the hissing stop
> suddenly? What is it that you hear very faintly?
>
> On Sun, 19 May 2002, Just_me wrote:
>
> > I tried the squelch and adjusting it, I can hear very faintly... But
most
> > muffled...
> > Any suggestions ??
> >
> >
> > "Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca> wrote in message
> > news:Pine.A41.4.05.10205191120440.39702-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca...
> > >
> > > Where is your squelch set? Does the scanner stay stuck on just one
> > > frequency? Did you try turning the squelch higher? Did you try
storing
> > > and locking out any frequencies?
> > >
> > > On Sun, 19 May 2002, Just_me wrote:
> > >
> > > > I have a radio shack pro-34..
> > > > when I scan the 800MZ to 900MZ all I get is static..
> > > >
> > > > What can I do to get better reception, I called RADIO SHACK many
> > locations -
> > > > but no one seems to know much about them ?
> > > >
> > > > THANX
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >

> >
>

3341. Re: school buses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 02:02:27 UTC
From: Evan Olberding <flipteam15@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3ceafcbc@news.mhogaming.com>
Source record: 48055

you are all correct. its a huge mistake on my part. im sorry. is wichita, KS. i did find some on city freq but they where not the ones i am looking for. again i am sorry and thanks for any help
"Harry Marnell" <marnells@snowcrest.net> wrote in message news:sw%F8.9721$db7.574601@news2.west.cox.net...
> Any PARTICULAR USD 259? There are a helluva lot of them around... and
> this list covers all of the English speaking world (and
> non-English-speaking, for that matter)
>
> harry
>
> "Evan Olberding" <flipteam15@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3ce81b8b@news.mhogaming.com...
> > again i am looking for the USD 259 school bus frequencies. i had them
> and
> > lost them. thanks
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3342. Re: school buses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 02:13:50 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <y9DG8.59739$Md6.1395938@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 48056

"Evan Olberding" <flipteam15@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3ceafcbc@news.mhogaming.com...
> you are all correct. its a huge mistake on my part. im sorry. is wichita,
> KS. i did find some on city freq but they where not the ones i am looking
> for. again i am sorry and thanks for any help
> "Harry Marnell" <marnells@snowcrest.net> wrote in message
> news:sw%F8.9721$db7.574601@news2.west.cox.net...
> > Any PARTICULAR USD 259? There are a helluva lot of them around... and
> > this list covers all of the English speaking world (and
> > non-English-speaking, for that matter)
> >
> > harry
> >
> > "Evan Olberding" <flipteam15@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:3ce81b8b@news.mhogaming.com...
> > > again i am looking for the USD 259 school bus frequencies. i had them
> > and
> > > lost them. thanks
> > >
> > >

I've answered this before - maybe it didn't get through - 461.650 for the
big busses and 463.400 for the small busses. OK?

3343. Re: FS: PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 02:50:46 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@cox.net>
Message-ID: <aIDG8.39865$Q93.2126917@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 48060

I have one that is new in the box for $350 if anyone is interested.

"Rocinante" <matrixoutsider@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:6081fd05.0205211657.455a3d71@posting.google.com...
> No, tard. I already have one that's in mint condition. That's how I
> know that this guy's radio isn't worth $250.00.
>
>
>
> Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:<vemjeu81tqantcs8u89df4hnd6mbsse6u3@4ax.com>...
> > While savoring a President Clinton 'special' cigar, Rocinante
<matrixoutsider@hotmail.com>
> > scribbled...
> >
> > >Not worth $250. It appears well worn. Ask $175.00 for it.
> >
> > You really mean you just can't afford it.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
> >
> > Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
> >
> > It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

3344. Re: BC 200XLT Battery replacement help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 02:50:57 UTC
From: JohnL <xyz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <acf0ql$p7op9$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48059

On Tue, 21 May 2002 17:57:16 -0400, BuckeyeRed wrote:

> Well my 11 year old battery pack finally died and I want to replace
> the insert instead of buying a new pack, can anyone tell me if I can
> get the insert at Radio Shack? It would be nice to go with Ni-MH if I
> can. Can I get two cordless phone 3.6v and cut the plugs off and
> wire them in series and solder to the existing wires in the pack?
> Thanks for any help.
>
> Tim

There is a couple full packs on ebay for $25 right now.

--
John L
Note the email address used for posting is not valid.
Sent with PAN 0.11.93 running on Mandrake Linux 8.2

3345. Re: BC 200XLT Battery replacement help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 03:04:28 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <2l2meug5ubu6muueb235kvr772ljsl5jg9@4ax.com>
Source record: 48061

While savoring a President Clinton 'special' cigar, BuckeyeRed
<buckeyered@REMOVEhotmail.com>
scribbled...

>Well my 11 year old battery pack finally died and I want to replace
>the insert instead of buying a new pack, can anyone tell me if I can
>get the insert at Radio Shack? It would be nice to go with Ni-MH if I
>can. Can I get two cordless phone 3.6v and cut the plugs off and
>wire them in series and solder to the existing wires in the pack?

www.nicdlady.com.

She sells insert packs, and at decent prices.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

3346. Re: Scanner Pagers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 03:48:05 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <csimmont@att.net>
Message-ID: <3CE9C2F1.26E04BB5@att.net>
Source record: 48062

Do you mean the Gray or Red pagers that the Fire Dept uses about the size of a pack of cigarettes? The minitor pagers are available, plenty of the older ones (minitor I) available on EBay for about $10-$15. They have to be be recrystaled for the frequency you want, finding somebody to do it can be a hassle. I had G&G Communications work on a few a long time ago, great service just very slow due to them being so busy.

Dave L wrote:

> I know the 911 services have these little pagers but is there any
> place where people can actually buy them and wear them while they're
> tuned to the 911 dispatch channel. Im sure its legal since scanning
> these freqs is legal.
>
> Dave

--

Charles Simmont <csimmont@att.net> ICP815 - Dispatcher
Incident Command Page of Arizona http://www.icp-az.org
"Views expressed are my own and not that of ICP unless otherwise stated"

3347. Digital question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 04:19:59 UTC
From: dandowell@sprintmail.com
Message-ID: <fa58693a.0205212019.54c9c1f2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48063

We know that the new scanner that is comming out by uniden will be able to recieve the Apco 25 digital. Whats been going through my head is that the thing can actually recieve any kind of digital with the CORRECT program card in it. Right now after you buy the scanner, you have to buy the APCO digital card for another 300 some odd dollars. What a marketing deal for uniden. Come out with other cards for other digital systems in the future??? That way they dont have to come out with another scanner, just more digital program cards.

Just my two cents worth!!!!!!!!!!

Dan Dowell
KD5SOM

3348. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 08:43:09 UTC
From: "Gary R. Utter" <utter@syndicomm.com>
Message-ID: <220520020444432016%utter@syndicomm.com>
Source record: 48064
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3cea1a2e$0$2521$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>, Book <bookvous@optusnet.com.au> wrote:

> some find it very offensive.

For heavens sake, it was MEANT to be offensive.

3349. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 08:44:33 UTC
From: "Gary R. Utter" <utter@syndicomm.com>
Message-ID: <220520020446077076%utter@syndicomm.com>
Source record: 48065
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

In article
<6GrG8.75474$t8_.33594@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>, Seven of
3 <catwails@hotmail.com> wrote:

> then don't you feel stupid for talking down
> to a child smarter than you

Make that a child who THINKS he is smart but continues to prove he is
not.

3350. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 08:48:42 UTC
From: "Gary R. Utter" <utter@syndicomm.com>
Message-ID: <220520020450172081%utter@syndicomm.com>
Source record: 48066
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

In article <uelq2nfvk7uob2@corp.supernews.com>, Dee
<ke4lfgDELETETHIS@amsat.org> wrote:

> Actually, confirming sources would not change the statement from
> "hearsay" to "fact."

Now, now, you're going to make his little head hurt.

3351. Re: You Might Belong to a Redneck Volunteer fire department if .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 09:37:23 UTC
From: Technomage <proudhawk@uswestmail.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.05.22.02.37.18.157.18083@uswestmail.net>
Source record: 48067
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

and your an expert, right?

I didn't spend 4 years in college to debate this pointless drivel with
the likes of you. Fact is, I'm damned well smart enough to know better.

but then, your commentary just proves my point...

In article <h10ieuk3nlpr9v5t9refgoe8euq072t39e@4ax.com>, "Never anonymous
Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote:

> While savoring a President Clinton 'special' cigar, "Technomage"
> <proudhawk@uswestmail.net> scribbled...
>
>>DO TELL! DO TELL!!!!
>>
>>Oh how true..
>>
>>still makes me wonder why I was born with an IQ of 153 when the mean
>>average in all the cunty was somewhere near 90.
>
> Obviously, someone LIED to you about your IQ.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

--
It is better to be thought of as a fool and remain silent then to open you
mouth and remove all doubt.

3352. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 09:41:15 UTC
From: Book <bookvous@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3ceb67ba$0$2525$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 48068
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

Well it offends 14 year-olds such as myself..

--
Book

"Gary R. Utter" <utter@syndicomm.com> wrote in message
news:220520020444432016%utter@syndicomm.com...
> In article <3cea1a2e$0$2521$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>, Book
> <bookvous@optusnet.com.au> wrote:
>
> > some find it very offensive.
>
> For heavens sake, it was MEANT to be offensive.

3353. Re: Dayton reports??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 11:01:31 UTC
From: DBN <dnicholson@socket.net>
Message-ID: <uemuj5lqhp6v0c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48069
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I sure hope someone from DARA is reading these posts and getting a clue!!!
If not someone should clue them in huh!

Dan

3354. Re: Dayton reports??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 13:06:06 UTC
From: HoopyKloopie <postodplugs@aol.com>
Message-ID: <2JMG8.533$4J1.93@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 48070
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Joe Leikhim" <jleikhim@nettally.com> wrote in message
news:3CEAF4B9.18EFB11@nettally.com...
> Maybe the way around it is to have some e-bay auctions on site. Bidders
could
> view the stuff, then run back to their laptops (or nearby computer kiosks)
and
> put in a bid. The auctions could run 2 to 48 hours.
>
> Laugh about it, but time will come when you will get outbid by somebody
using a
> PDA and Bluetooth standing right behind you. The dealers would love it.

I'd never buy radio gear on E-Bay.
All E-Bay represents is a "feeding frenzie"
type market for ham gear. What I see people
paying for ham gear on E-Bay leads me to
belive that there's an awful lot "shill bidding"
going on. Something that I would not put past
some of the so-called "private dealers" that
I used to see travelling to all the hamfests
like gypsy traders. You know, the guys that
buy up all the honest bargans offered for new
hams by the old-timers, that show up an hour
later on their table or on E-Bay for double the
price or more. Buy used ham radio equipment
on E-Bay...?? Anyone who does so should have
their head examined.

3355. Re: VORs & NDBs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 13:08:15 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <dv5neuk0o8p0quccm88bojsskirnfd68nb@4ax.com>
Source record: 48071

On Mon, 20 May 2002 21:20:41 -0400, "David L. Wilson"
<dlwilson@erols.com> wrote:

>In many (most?) cases ATIS is not on VOR frequencies but on their own VHF
>frequency. Regarding another post, most (all?) NDB's in the US have ceased
>voice weather broadcasts.

BWI does both 115.1 and 127.8. Admittedly, however, you're right. My
info is a little bit dated.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

3356. Re: Dayton reports??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 14:38:43 UTC
From: Ben <manley62@InsightBB.com>
Message-ID: <T3OG8.78901$UV4.131329@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 48072
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yes I hope they are. I went up to the DARA booth and asked where the booth was for the scooters and they told me they didn't know. Didn't they put the show together? Then when you asked anybody else they tried to sell you a program for $5. Very Sad. I hope they learned something this year. Maybe the big companies should find a new place to have the ham fest. Somewhere that it will be about getting hams together to buy and sell radios, and share ideas and not about how much money they can make putting on the fest. To bad it has become only a money making project for them.
Atleast people like Icom and Yeasu were having some good sells. I too was getting tired of people saying I can get more then that on Ebay. Maybe those sellers should stay home and hide behind their computers because I'm not going to pay Ebays high prices.

Sorry just my 2 cents worth

But maybe I could get 3 cents on Ebay!!! HA HA HA HA
"DBN" <dnicholson@socket.net> wrote in message
news:uemuj5lqhp6v0c@corp.supernews.com...
> I sure hope someone from DARA is reading these posts and getting a clue!!!
> If not someone should clue them in huh!
>
> Dan
>
>

3357. Re: Dayton reports??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 14:54:31 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <HiOG8.66750$Md6.1546593@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 48073
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Ben" <manley62@InsightBB.com> wrote in message
news:T3OG8.78901$UV4.131329@rwcrnsc54...
> Yes I hope they are. I went up to the DARA booth and asked where the booth
> was for the scooters and they told me they didn't know. Didn't they put
the
> show together? Then when you asked anybody else they tried to sell you a
> program for $5. Very Sad. I hope they learned something this year. Maybe
the
> big companies should find a new place to have the ham fest. Somewhere that
> it will be about getting hams together to buy and sell radios, and share
> ideas and not about how much money they can make putting on the fest. To
bad
> it has become only a money making project for them.
> Atleast people like Icom and Yeasu were having some good sells. I too was
> getting tired of people saying I can get more then that on Ebay. Maybe
those
> sellers should stay home and hide behind their computers because I'm not
> going to pay Ebays high prices.
>
> Sorry just my 2 cents worth
>
> But maybe I could get 3 cents on Ebay!!! HA HA HA HA

Speaking of Ebay's high prices, check this out:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1349965573

73's de Ken KG0WX

3358. memorial day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 15:36:13 UTC
From: khenne3572@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020522113613.22669.00000012@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 48074

does anyone know which freg nypd will be using for the long weekend

3359. Re: Dayton reports??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 15:39:04 UTC
From: mi_magic3@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <53112f7c.0205220739.4edb56ff@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48075
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Never ceases to amaze me how cheap some hams are. Who gives a rodents behind about a program? Besides if you paid to get there and got a room what's a few more bucks for a program. I wonder how many get tossed or end up on the ground when they were free? Its called capitalism, and maybe with more and more gray hair in amateur radio, DARA had to do something to recoup their losses. I noticed the crowds to be WAY DOWN. AES had great deals, I bought two VX-1R's, they were $99 each!!! Just hope that we have bands to use all this gear on in a few more years. I have been to Dayton several times, and don't worry about the weather either. Be smart and bring the right clothes. Obviously there were several gonads who walked around with shorts on and tee shirts, probablly in the hospital now. They looked real cool!!! Didn't see the chicken cam, but did see the goofball with the tower on his head!! Always fun to people watch, can't wait to bring my newly licensed wife, she will be laughing all weekend next year!! Still the best hobby on earth...

3360. Re: Dayton reports??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 15:54:43 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <eofneu8el38ai00b2g74f5ot6tmd67pic8@4ax.com>
Source record: 48076
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

While savoring a President Clinton 'special' cigar, "Ken Bessler" <kg0wx@yahoo.com> scribbled...

>Speaking of Ebay's high prices, check this out:
>
>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1349965573

$605 plus $35 for shipping, for a radio that's almost 30 year old, and worth,
AT BEST, half of what was bid.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

3361. Re: Dayton reports??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 16:28:30 UTC
From: laborkei <laborkeiNOSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3cebcb3d$1_1@nopics.sjc>
Source record: 48077
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You can view some pictures here..

http://www.qsl.net/wd5bjq/hamvent02.htm

"N9NWO" <n9nwo@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:3KUF8.22455$8M5.17288@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> I was unable to attend this year due to military duties.
> What are the reports from Dayton?
>
> Other than rain, what was the big news?
>
> Any good deals like we had last year?
> New equipment? (the VX-7R was selling
> for about $379).
>
>
>
>

3362. Re: BC 200XLT Battery replacement help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 16:32:52 UTC
From: Creak <creak@holeinthewallnowhere.net>
Message-ID: <0uhneukgkj540iup534qelea5iphnamjt3@4ax.com>
Source record: 48078

Yes it can be done I put in 1100 mah batteries in mine ayear or so
ago.

They work great. Need bit larger charger or more time charging from
stock charger.

Make your own its cheaper. To buy from Radio shack you can get
batteries seperate for the same money but will be twice the capacity

On Tue, 21 May 2002 22:50:57 -0400, JohnL <xyz@hotmail.com> wrote:

>On Tue, 21 May 2002 17:57:16 -0400, BuckeyeRed wrote:
>
>> Well my 11 year old battery pack finally died and I want to replace
>> the insert instead of buying a new pack, can anyone tell me if I can
>> get the insert at Radio Shack? It would be nice to go with Ni-MH if I
>> can. Can I get two cordless phone 3.6v and cut the plugs off and
>> wire them in series and solder to the existing wires in the pack?
>> Thanks for any help.
>>
>> Tim
>
>There is a couple full packs on ebay for $25 right now.

3363. Re: Dayton reports??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 17:11:50 UTC
From: dumpsterdiver@email.com
Message-ID: <7bb8186b.0205220911.7d1ac257@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48080
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This was my 1st hamvention and I had a blast! I've heard that attendance is down this year, but I think there was just enough people... enough to talk to, buy from, work on the air, etc. but enough that it's not a gigantic mob of screaming operators. :) I liked the Yaesu VX-7 display even though I only half-believed that they were actually submersed. They WERE actual radios though, I believe they were on weather frequencies. It was great to be able to actually use the radios on display, I've heard that at previous 'fests, you had to wait to use them. I got to sit and look at / use the PROII (I think that's what it was) for about two minutes! :) I didn't buy a program though, it looked like it was mainly sold as a souvinir (did I spell that right??). The crystal scanner, three business band HTs (one convertable to 2m), and two FRS radios are souvinirs enough. :) Plus, forum lists and maps of the arena (which were actually MORE ACCURATE than the ones in the programs) were available for free to those who asked nicely. There were a few good scanner dealers there. I stopped at Bearcat Radio Club and another scanner mag (which one?). Bob Grove planned to be there but had a family emergency :(Too bad, because I was looking forward to listening to him at the Teachers forum. One thing I noticed was that there's a LONG hallway that goes around all the arenas. Very handy once I found out about it. Also, did anyone else see the 25kw dummy load out in the flea market? Wow.. that's one big dummy load.

73
Michael
KB3HFP

3364. am looking for a list of scanner freqs.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 17:14:26 UTC
From: ferko <robyf@post.hinet.hr>
Message-ID: <5ckneu8uhar31vd268ft1r6cu6091j1meg@4ax.com>
Source record: 48079

I am looking for a list of scanner freqs for Croatia,Zagreb that
will be used on a Radio Shack Pro-23 scanner, or can some one point me
to a
web site that has them listed thanks!

3365. Re: You Might Belong to a Redneck Volunteer fire department if .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 18:50:23 UTC
From: STP@etre.net
Message-ID: <3cebe850.16684280@news-east.teranews.com>
Source record: 48081
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Test

On Wed, 22 May 2002 13:57:09 GMT, SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote:

>"Technomage" wrote:
>
>>I didn't spend 4 years in college to debate this pointless drivel with
>>the likes of you. Fact is, I'm damned well smart enough to know better.
>
>And, perhaps, spell better?
>
>His comment was jokingly referring to your spelling "country" as
>"cunty". A Freudian slip on your part, maybe?
>
>Oh ...and having a 4-year college degree is not a testimony to one's
>IQ.
>
>Three words: National Basketball Association.

3366. Re: FS: PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 19:46:36 UTC
From: #Kilowat# <rspamog@walesuk.net>
Message-ID: <acgsir$8sr$2@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 48082

I'll give you ₤75.00p UK for it thats $110.00 US. thats all they are worth here in the UK, and they have to be *mint* to get that, otherwise your looking at ₤50.00

--
#-Kilowatt-#

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:es2meu4v74cvk5gv2b7n58i1qeel78n1va@4ax.com...
> "Jim Wayda" wrote:
>
> >I have one that is new in the box for $350 if anyone is interested.
>
> THAT is the mark of an eternal optimist.

3367. Re: Dayton reports??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 20:32:00 UTC
From: Milt S <msamiesnen@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4fTG8.1262$4J1.543@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 48083
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"J. Duffy Beischel" <jduffy(nospam)@zoomtown.com>
wrote in message news:ueje6dedf5pgab@corp.supernews.com...

> I love Dayton, and living in Cincinnati makes it real easy. I was
> disappointed. One, I'm getting real tired of the rain and cold. Weather
> was better when they held it a few weeks earlier at the very end of April.

The moved it to May to try and avoid the "april chill" effect
but sometimes mother nature has a way of tossing a curve
ball into the jet stream :) That same weekend
there were record low temp's all across the Midwest
and Northeast. A fluke in the Wx that couldn't be
helped. (unless of course whomever else is reading
happens to be a "true believer" in the chem-trails
and weather modifications BullShip they promote
on reactionary shortwave radio, in that case I'll
leave the reasons up to their imaginations :) :) :)

> Ticket prices are too high. No program anymore
> and increase in parking. No wonder attendance was down.
> Why charge for the program when the ads they
> sell are paying for the darn thing to be printed? Next year I wonder what
> manufacturer is going to pay to run an ad that
> most people won't see because
> they are going to fork out money to buy it!

Agreed. One of the Cardinal Rules of marketing is
never to let your potential customers know that they
are paying you a percentage of the advertising
budget! This is a faster turn off than paying retail.
People "like to" or "need to" think that they are paying
ONLY for the product they want to purchase. This makes
them think their getting a bargain deal, except for
big ticket items like Cars and Homes where it's a given..

Unfortunatly The Hamvention people seem to have not
lost their appetite for profits at any expense and
knowing how traditionally cheap ham radio op's are
this is not a good publicity move. (the only exception
being the cost of soda/pretzels/burgers which can be
priced as expensive as those at the dog racing track
and they'll still buy the greasy/carbohydrate packed
stuff)

Look at similar examples like Radio Shack charging
for their formerly free catalog (unless you buy something)
and the numerous sites on the Internet that used to carry
live streaming audio feeds for free, which have now dissappeared
or you are charged a listeners fee for. Radio Shack has
since resigned to giving catalogues away for
free again, if you wait around till March, and dot.coms
who charge pay-per-view/pay-per-listen are just about
all gone to byte-heaven, except of course for the
porno and bizzare sex video on demand websites ;)
[and most of this "pay-per-view" stuff you can now download from
www.kazaa.com for free! Kazaa is the new Napster folks - check it out!)

The general public is tight enough when it comes
to trying to get a dollar out from their wallet
for some things, but just try the same with white male
middle-aged ham radio op's?! You'd have better luck
trying to wrench a canned-ham bone from the
jaws of a junkyard dog. :) :) :)

> I noticed that the Cincinnati Hamfest has reduced
> their ticket prices to get
> people to attend this coming year. They really screwed up moving it from
> its old location years ago. Used to be well attended.
> They kept moving it > around town so it lost its identity.
> Nothing like it used to be. I hope
> the Hamvention folks don't screw up what they built.

I've been to the old Cincy-hamfest of years past, darn shame as
it was almost as big as the original Gaithersburg Md hamfest.
Same thing happened to the hamfest up in Hamburg NY when it used
to be held at the County fairgrounds. They moved it, now it's a
mere shell of it's former self last I heard. Many more have just
dissappeared without a trace.

> Even with the bad weather, flea market prices are too high and the fools
> won't really negotiate down to reasonable prices for used gear. In some
> cases it's actually cheaper to buy new.

You can thank E-Bay for that JDB!
Without a doubt the world biggest
24-hour online sucker-hamfest.

Perhaps I'm wrong, but it seems to
me that more than a few of these "professional ham
equipment dealers" I see with E-Bay item sales
and feedback counts in the 100's - and a
few in the 1000's) seem to network
amongst themselves to drive up the base
auction prices on ham gear(??) A bit of
"shill-bidding" here and there...perhaps..??

> A lot of vendors that normally attend were
> missing or hiding real well. No Universal.
> No Jun's (Ham City) and a few others that
> usually attend were no where to be found.
>
> The VX7R's were in water. The mics were actually connected and went right
> into the water so you could use the handhelds if you wanted. Oh, and R&L
> was selling them for $339.

Cute gimick, but Motorola or Cobra has had a pair
of waterproof "sport" FRS radios out for close to
a Year now for $59.95 in a hanging blister-pack
at WalMart last I looked.

> One reason I think attendance is down is the lack of
> innovation in products.
> Much of the stuff is the same old thing with different packaging.
> I have to commend Yaesu for at least trying and every year
> they seem to have something
> new. Icom is talking about some new digital stuff, but I'm sure it is a
> ways off.
>
> The Ten Tec Orion is nice, but the price is out of sight. Their new long
> awaited QRP rig started at $595, then went to $695 and now they are taking
> orders for an August delivery at $795! Too much money, not enough rig.

I agree with you 100% on the re-packaging of gear.
I'm one to peek "under the hood" of new radio gear
myself. What's "behind" that graphics-filled LCD?

Yaesu does seem to be the leader in innovation and
I would put Alinco in as a close second. Kenwood and
Icom...?...booring! Just expensive bells and whistle
gadgets in cheap sheet steel boxes. Looking at the electronics
inside of your average Icom and kenwood reminds me of
the insides of a Korean VCR that you can get for $65.00
at Circuit City. (and they REALLY pay 2-3 grand for
some of this stuff...??!! rotflmao!)
Yaesu and Alinco are mostly newer design
SMT with clean layouts and by far a better
value - Alinco I feel has the best dollar per
radio value going.

Sad news on Ten-Tec. The Orion shoud be called the Onion.
Looks like it came off the prototype workbench in the
Engineering lab - with all the bugs still inside. (?)
Iam afraid Ten-Tec will be gone by this time next year.
They used to build great rigs in the late 70's and 80's.
Easy to work on with readily available replacement parts you
could get from Digi-key and Mouser electronics if
needs be. Now their overpricing themselves out of the
market. What Ten-Tec *needs* to do is return to their roots
and build rigs like the Triton and Omni-D series again.

Functional and utilitiarian radio gear that is easy
to operate, maintain, and has minimal gimicky bells
and whistles. Stop trying to mimic the Japs, dump all
this digital pushbutton crap, except for the freq
display, and put more single-function standard type
controls. The Omni-D (..of which I own 2 of) are a
great example. The Paragon was perhaps the best radio
to ever come out of Tenn.

> SGC used to have a good rig with the 2020, but I noticed they screwed that
> up and changed the fixed SO239 on the back of the rig to a pigtail.
> Another component to fail. Also they took away the power plug and now
have a
> pigtail for the power lead. Yikes, on an HF rig?

Agree again, not a good idea to put a mid-power level
RF connector or high current power receptacle on a
pigtail. Anchor female receptacles to the chassis
with bolts and do it the right way. (I hope they
never get the idea to put a spring-loaded cable
retractor/pull reel like what's the power cord of
the XLY's Electrolux vaccum cleaner...oy! I heard one
engineer from Cubic or Azden talking about that
for a DC cigarette adapter power cord many
years ago at Dayton and nearly got sick...)

> APRS is really growing in popularity and there was a really nice APRS
> exhibit. People think it is only for tracking but there are a number of
> capabilities that make it very useful, fun and interesting.

This and Satellites are the two pearls this hobby needs to cultivate.

> Special note to the vendors. Try to look excited about your products when
> folks stop by to check out your products. I have a fortune in Kantronics
> gear so I stopped bu to chat. Early on Friday so they could not have been
> that tired yet...well I asked about intelligent tracking (APRS) for the
> KPC3+. Got a ho-hum answer that they're not going to do anything.
>
> Hats off to the Yaesu gentleman (Japanese) that greated me when I went to
> get my free hat. I was carrying the FT-1500 box in my hand as I went
> through the line. He looked at the box and said "thank you very much"
> with a smile on his face. Now there is a man that made me feel good about
> buying his product.

Kudos! (and the FT-1500 is a very nice radio
built like the proverbial bricks--thouse - grin)

> One last gripe...get rid of the non-ham, non-computer
> vendors...are you guys
> that desperate for money? Just how many sunglass vendors do you need at a
> radio/electronics/computer show? And enough of the trinket vendors.
>

> I just wish the Dayton folks and the vendors will sit down and talk about
> improving this event before is declines to the point where they can't get
> their audience back.
>
> Of course many of the ills can be cured with more hams.

I could not agree with you more strongly on the "trinket vendors"!
If I am going to PAY an admission fee to a flea market (which is
what Hamvention really is folks!) then I had better see stuff for
sale that I DO NOT SEE at the local every-weekend flea market
just down the road, where the admission and parking is free!!

Case in point, for years this one guy would show
up at all the Eastern hamfests selling new cheap
import luggage and gym bags. No ham stuff period.
Next year he was joined with some other guy selling clothing
and other crap in another section of the flea market.
Again no ham related items whatsoever for sale between them.
I've never been back to the hamfests that let these
turkeys into their flea market and I never will.

I could not make Dayton, and I really hope that
it is not turning into another consumer
products junk market dumping ground! It's bad
enough with all the computer related crap.
All that needs to happen is for somebody in an
overloaded mini-van to show up and be allowed to
start selling cheap imported wristwatches
on rubber banded styrofoam display cases, and you can
then kiss the Hamvention goodbye. (please someone
tell me these wristwatch-dealers did not show up and
were allowed to set up and sell like they did
someplace in NC last year!)

3368. Alinco dj-x2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 21:06:08 UTC
From: rdlebreton@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <80376060.0205221306.4f927b67@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48084

I have a problem with my dj-x2000. Whenever I listen to preprogrammed frequencies and the transmission ends there is a burst of static (i.e. a "squelch tail") before the squelch kicks in. I also have a Pro-60 and when it scans it there is no squelch tail.

Does anyone know how to fix this?

TIA

3369. Re: 2.4GHz scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 21:11:58 UTC
From: MRK <me@you.com>
Message-ID: <ueo2eedalmo6f2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48085

Some of the 2.4GHz phones are analog and are very clear if they're close by.
Wireless stuff, if you can catch it, is digital hash.
"Dave L" <CoolDave_0@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:8310981d.0205201312.2b0550b8@posting.google.com...
> I understand most scanners dont even go above 1.0 or 1.2GHz but the
> IC-R3 does. Are 2.4GHz all encrypted or muffled? Clear as a bell? If
> you know please tell me. Thanks!
>
>
> Dave

3370. Re: nextell freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 21:15:14 UTC
From: MRK <me@you.com>
Message-ID: <ueo2kdl7pgig26@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48086

Search for the old SMR 800MHz freqs
"Twazy" <twazy@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020519094738.02702.00000702@mb-da.aol.com...
> does anyone know what freq the nextell two way radio phones are on thanks
> (twazy@aol.com)

3371. Re: Scanner Pagers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 22:04:20 UTC
From: Jim Chisholm <jim@electron.phys.dal.ca>
Message-ID: <3CEC15E4.7010601@electron.phys.dal.ca>
Source record: 48087

Dave L wrote:
> I know the 911 services have these little pagers but is there any
> place where people can actually buy them and wear them while they're
> tuned to the 911 dispatch channel. Im sure its legal since scanning
> these freqs is legal.
>
> Dave

We use Minitor III pagers, they run around $500. You'd be pretty much out of luck with most dispatches though, the VHF system is being phased out by August to be replaced with 800MHz trunked radio. At present the best you could hope for would be to monitor a couple of non-core (outer area) FDs. Buy a trunking handheld scanner instead e.g. Uniden 245XLT..much cheaper and picks up evrything.

Jim

--

==========
Jim Chisholm <Jim@Electron.Phys.Dal.Ca>
Dalhousie University, Dept. Physics Halifax N.S. Canada
Halifax Regional Fire and Emergency Service
Captain/Training Officer Bay Road Station 59
==========

3372. Re: Scanner Pagers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 22:09:41 UTC
From: Jim Chisholm <jim@electron.phys.dal.ca>
Message-ID: <3CEC1725.4030404@electron.phys.dal.ca>
Source record: 48088

Jim Chisholm wrote:
> Dave L wrote:
>
>> I know the 911 services have these little pagers but is there any
>> place where people can actually buy them and wear them while they're
>> tuned to the 911 dispatch channel. Im sure its legal since scanning
>> these freqs is legal.
>>
>> Dave
>
>
> We use Minitor III pagers, they run around $500. You'd be pretty much
> out of luck with most dispatches though, the VHF system is being phased
> out by August to be replaced with 800MHz trunked radio. At present the
> best you could hope for would be to monitor a couple of non-core (outer
> area) FDs. Buy a trunking handheld scanner instead e.g. Uniden
> 245XLT..much cheaper and picks up evrything.
>
> Jim
>

Just an ammendment ..I thought I was on a "local" newsgroup (i.e.
Halifax) so the August point is probably moot.. however the fact remains
that almost all emergency services are in the process of switching over
to 800MHz for which the Minitors et al would be essentially useless..
sorry for any confusion :/
(Also that $500 was in Canadian pesos)
Stick with the trunktracker.
Jim

--

==========
Jim Chisholm <Jim@Electron.Phys.Dal.Ca>
Dalhousie University, Dept. Physics Halifax N.S. Canada
Halifax Regional Fire and Emergency Service
Captain/Training Officer Bay Road Station 59
==========

3373. Re: 5 mile range with an indoor scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-22 22:45:27 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ach7v3$p8ern$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48089

"Bruinnation" <bruinnation@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020521183745.03804.00000090@mb-bk.aol.com...

> Now, we are wondering about the indoor scanners that many of you talk
about. My
> buddy lives *exactly* five miles from my house. We have a pretty clear
line of
> sight in between our houses (not sure if that even matters...). Is there
an
> indoor scanner that will pick up his Uniden at a range of five miles, if I
was
> to enter the exact frequency that his phone shows up on?

That would be a stretch. Cordless phones put out only a few hundered
milliwatts of power, so it's extremely doubtful you would be able to pick it
up five miles away without an antenna that has beaucoup gain. You would
probably need a beam antenna about 50 or 100 feet long up probably about
50-100 feet, which is impractical. Five blocks away is no problem, but five
miles is a bit too far.

Tom

3374. Re: Dayton reports??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-23 00:08:09 UTC
From: "Gary \"Joe\" Mayfield" <gary_mayfield@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ueocptmrcots80@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 48090
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In defense of the sale. That was no ordinary 101e. A mint condition Model T Ford brings a little more than the original price too. They aren't very good cars, no A/C, no cruise, no stereo, etc.

Joe

> >Speaking of Ebay's high prices, check this out:
> >
> >http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1349965573
>
> $605 plus $35 for shipping, for a radio that's almost 30 year old, and worth,
> AT BEST, half of what was bid.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

3375. Incoming vs. Outcoming Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-23 00:26:56 UTC
From: Jack Bellows <st3o@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <kHWG8.5$qZ.2394265@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47951

I've noticed on a list of scanner frequencies that a good number of channels have both input and output frequencies. Do you need two scanners to listen to them both even though they are only a few hertz off?

--
-*
+=+
"Beware of all enterprises
that require new clothes"
-Thoreau
+=+
-Jack Bellows
http://www.jackbellows.net
jack@charon.sjs.org
ICQ UIN: 2230320
AIM: Hoss St3o
-*

3376. Re: Code question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-23 01:09:45 UTC
From: Pat Cox <peacox@gloryroad.net>
Message-ID: <3CEC4159.2F5486F0@gloryroad.net>
Source record: 48091
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Depends on the context in which you may have heard it. SAM = Special Air Mission and SAM flights carry cabinet level governtment officials and top military brass. Can you associate a frequency with the "SAM" you are talking about? That might help. 73, Pat

Pappy@USA.net wrote:

> Does anyone know what "SAM" radio codes are?
>
> I have been in the business for over 35 years and have never heard of
> such a code.

3377. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-23 01:10:21 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.com
Message-ID: <5e5fccab.0205221710.6c04eb2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48092
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

"Seven of 3" <catwails@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<IfLF8.56555$t8_.29831@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>...
> Okay, post a few links!
> Hearsay is not accpetable proof!
> Even though most people will accept it as fact!
>
>
> "Gary R. Utter" <utter@syndicomm.com> wrote in message
> news:180520020604025306%utter@syndicomm.com...
> > In article
> > <sFdF8.27475$ah_.14396@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>, Seven of
> > 3 <catwails@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > > Now, why didn't they just design the tower to look like a giant
> pine!!!???
> >
> > It's been done. Also church steeples and grain silos that I know of.

I assume you're refering to posting links about disguised cell
antennas? There's one at Mt Vernon, VA, the home of our 1st president,
Geo. Washington. You'd never realize it though, because it's disguised
as a tall tree. The association that runs Mt Vernon allowed the tower
to be constructed on the prime piece of real estate in exchange for a
new repeater system being installed for their own use. This was
several years ago. Sorry, don't know of any links that talk about this
system and I haven't searched for any.

3378. what is trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-23 01:42:16 UTC
From: intoodeep <intoodeep@ec.rr.com>
Message-ID: <YNXG8.167160$YQ1.48552838@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 48093

Im new to scaning, can some one tell me what trunking is please?

intoodeep78

3379. Re: what is trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-23 01:49:37 UTC
From: Keith <nospamplease@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <3CEC4AB1.4080608@newsguy.com>
Source record: 48096

intoodeep wrote:
> Im new to scaning, can some one tell me what trunking is please?
>
> intoodeep78
>
>

Trunking is a multi-channel relay station using a computer control
channel to assign talk groups to users over the multi-channel system.

http://www.strongsignals.net/access/content/new_user.html#SEC12

--
Best Regards,

Keith
==========
http://n6jpa.home.attbi.com/ Windows Freeware & NW OR Radio Page
==========

3380. Re: Dayton reports??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-23 01:52:27 UTC
From: restamp@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <vXXG8.66183$G%3.25248066@typhoon.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 48094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <53112f7c.0205220739.4edb56ff@posting.google.com>,
Dave <mi_magic3@yahoo.com> wrote:
>Never ceases to amaze me how cheap some hams are. Who gives a rodents
>behind about a program? Besides if you paid to get there and got a
>room what's a few more bucks for a program. I wonder how many get
>tossed or end up on the ground when they were free? Its called
>capitalism, and maybe with more and more gray hair in amateur radio,
>DARA had to do something to recoup their losses.

I agree that $5 isn't going to bankrupt anyone at the Hamvention,
but there is also a matter of principle involved. I'm sure there
are tough pricing decisions that the DARA people had to make, but
I found this one particularly onerous, and made more so by DARA
neglecting to inform people of their decision beforehand. I was
frankly offended, as much as I would be if a restaurant I frequent
suddenly decided to impose a surcharge but neglected to mention
the fact before presenting the bill.

The decision to ding people for a program is simply a bad one and
we should be unequivocable about letting the people who run the
Hamvention know that.

Rob

3381. Re: what is trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-23 02:07:09 UTC
From: Norm <lm@Cheers.com>
Message-ID: <h9YG8.73081$ah_.22243@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 47948

It's what ya do to your buddies when they get too drunk and fall over.

You trunk them then take off. :P

"intoodeep" <intoodeep@ec.rr.com> wrote in message
news:YNXG8.167160$YQ1.48552838@typhoon.southeast.rr.com...
> Im new to scaning, can some one tell me what trunking is please?
>
> intoodeep78
>
>

3382. FA: PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-23 02:19:11 UTC
From: JohnL <xyz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <achjar$pqcdk$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 48095

Hi all,

I didn't get any bites when I posted this rig here, so I've sent it off to auctionland. The URL follows. Low starting bid, BIN for $250, includes manual and antenna, real nice shape. Love it, but local services are going trunked and I need to pay for my new trunking model.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&Item=1354926663

--
John L
Note the email address used for posting is not valid.
Sent with PAN 0.11.93 running on Mandrake Linux 8.2

3383. Re: what is trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-23 02:30:35 UTC
From: JohnL <xyz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <achk06$pq545$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47947

On Wed, 22 May 2002 21:42:16 -0400, intoodeep wrote:

> Im new to scaning, can some one tell me what trunking is please?
>

Sure! Bascially trunking is a method for a lot of users (maybe a large city police force or maybe several different agencies in a smaller area) to share a number or frequencies (5 seems to be the minimum). Instead of an agency being assigned to a specific frequency, that agency and all of their radios belong to the same "talk group". The talk group uses all of the frequencies in the trunked system in a seemingly random fashion. All radios on the same talk group will hear all transmissions from other members of their talk group regardless of what frequency the transmission is made on.

Pick up a copy of Police Call from your favorite online radio shop or the next time you drive by a Radio Shack. There is a good section in the front of the book that covers a lot of radio principals and includes a good amount of useful info on trunking systems. When you are done reading that, they give you a whole bunch of frequencies to try out for free in the rest of the book. Mine came with a CD, too.

--
John L
Note the email address used for posting is not valid.
Sent with PAN 0.11.93 running on Mandrake Linux 8.2

3384. Re: what is trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-23 03:03:37 UTC
From: JohnL <xyz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <achlu3$q53pa$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47949

On Wed, 22 May 2002 21:29:53 -0400, SB wrote:

> "intoodeep" wrote:
>
>>Im new to scaning, can some one tell me what trunking is please?
>
> Read more than you'll ever need to know here:
>
> http://www.interplaza.com/scandfw/trunked.htm

Not a bad write up, but there is a thing or two off. First thing is that
he doesn't mention that trunking is used in the 500 MHz band as well.

--
John L
Note the email address used for posting is not valid.
Sent with PAN 0.11.93 running on Mandrake Linux 8.2

3385. Re: Dayton reports??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-23 03:50:03 UTC
From: N9NWO <n9nwo@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <LFZG8.8301$Je3.5356@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 47950
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

```
: > I was unable to attend this year due to military duties.
: > What are the reports from Dayton?
: >
: > Other than rain, what was the big news?
: >
: > Any good deals like we had last year?
: > New equipment? (the VX-7R was selling
: > for about $379).
:
: I heard Yaesu equipment was selling at rediculously low prices,
: (FT817 $499. FT100D $540?). Yaesu also showed off a new
:portable/base radio, the FT897. Looked real nice.
```

What is the FT 897? HF? VHF/UHF??

3386. Re: Pro-93 sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-23 04:01:12 UTC
From: Skweezieweezie <noway@thistime.now>
Message-ID: <cQZG8.15336$r5.6194243@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 47952

Check back at the shack next Friday. Next months sale usually starts the
last Friday of the month.

--

skweezieweezie

` X o'
-----ooo-0-ooo-----
~

3387. Re: Incoming vs. Outcoming Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-23 06:33:57 UTC
From: AC <hog@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <ueHAoNiACHA.1136@cpimsnntpa03>
Source record: 47953

No you dont need two scanners to listen in such a situation. Two frequencies are necessary in a repeater system. One frequency is the input and the other the output of the repeater. You only have to listen to the output frequency to hear both sides of the conversation. A repeater is a piece of equipment that receives input signals from low powered handheld and mobile radios on one frequency and then retransmits that same thing on a different frequency with much higher power. Repeaters are usually located in high locations so that people are able to just use hand held low powered radios to talk to each other from very long distances. The input frequency is not always sent to the output of the repeater. In which case you will only hear the dispatcher and not the mobile in the field. Sometimes I do use two scanners to listen to the two frequencies, but only to experiment. If you are close to the input transmitter, lets say a taxi cab, you can listen to it on the input frequency on one scanner and also listen to the output of the repeater on a different scanner. Ok it`s a cheap thrill but its just to learn stuff.

3388. Re: am looking for a list of scanner freqs.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-23 10:49:43 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3CECC947.51153F3D@mitre.org>
Source record: 47954

Us the SEARCH feature on the scanner to build your own frequency list. I have never
seen any information on Croatia. You might want to check out the
frequency board, look down at the bottom
over at <http://www.strongsignals.net> go to the FAVORITE PAGES then scroll down to
message boards and click on "frequency". Doing a search and finding frequencies is a lot
of work but its rewarding, Is it legal to have a radio scanner receiver over there? You may
want to get a pair of walk man head phone to use with the scanner.
Jim

Ferro wrote:

> I am looking for a list of scanner freqs for Croatia,Zagreb that
> will be used on a Radio Shack Pro-23 scanner, or can some one point me
> to a
> web site that has them listed thanks!

3389. Fleet Week Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-23 11:54:18 UTC
From: Steve Matzura <number6@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <82epeuohrg1houjkqsjqcsreqkvuh379rt@4ax.com>
Source record: 47955
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

There's nothing special that I can find anywhere for NYC Fleet Week,
but if you go to http://www.n2nov.net/nycmarine.html, I'm sure you'll
find something there to listen to.

3390. FS:Pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-23 13:56:16 UTC
From: indyham <k9dzdd@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <4y6H8.12961$cQ3.897@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 47956

i have a nice PRO-2006,with aftermarket radio shack antenna,
works great,,Backlight not as bright as it should be,but readable

price 175.00

Monte

k9dzdd@insightbb.com

3391. FS:PRO-92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-23 13:58:15 UTC
From: indyham <k9dzdd@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <Xz6H8.92756$Po6.183700@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 47957

i have a good,Radio Shack PRO-92 (original version) in good condition,and,works good,with copy of manual,antenna,and ac adapter

price 120.00

Monte k9dzdd@insightbb.com

3392. New BC-200 LCD display FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-23 14:51:42 UTC
From: bfdp1@msn.com
Message-ID: <1235373c.0205230651.176c81d4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47958

$14 shipped

New in anti-static bag.

3393. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-23 16:10:15 UTC
From: "Gary R. Utter" <utter@syndicomm.com>
Message-ID: <2305200212115415 69%utter@syndicomm.com>
Source record: 47959
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

In article <5e5fccab.0205221710.6c04eb2@posting.google.com>,
vhfradiobuff <vhfradiobuff@aol.com> wrote:

> Sorry, don't know of any links that talk about this
> system and I haven't searched for any.

Well, according to little Seven, that makes you a bad person (and an
old fart).

3394. Re: 5 mile range with an indoor scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-23 16:19:45 UTC
From: RustY <RustY@Hotmale.UK>
Message-ID: <OE8H8.1900$FZ4.130571@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 47960

"Bruinnation" <bruinnation@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020521183745.03804.00000090@mb-bk.aol.com...
> For experimental purposes only, of course...
>
> Hi all,
> I'm fairly new to the scanning hobby.....Is there an
> indoor scanner that will pick up his Uniden at a range of five miles,

If there was you would also pick up every other cordless in a five mile radius - some 75 square miles ! And, if you were in a city, your scanner would just scream out a jumble of noise.

RustY

3395. Re: True digial cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-23 17:59:50 UTC
From: Rick <nospambonez@qnis.net>
Message-ID: <p6aH8.213$LHE6.33095741@news2.randori.com>
Source record: 47961

I purchased a Vtech 2931 900Mhz phone.
http://www.vtechphones.com/vtechui/shop/productdetail.cfm?itemid=218
I broke out the frequency counter and pulled up the numbers. I then used a BC2500xlt to listen in. I heard "nothing". There was no carrier. No garbled noise, nothing. I then swept the freqs up and down a bit with the scanner. Still nothing.

I then popped in a loop from 900-1Ghz. Nothing, except for birdies and other junk one might hear in that range that isn't digital. ;-)

I don't know about spread spectrum, but I feel somewhat at ease that Nosey Nick the neighbor can't listen in nor record and decode the DTMF tones as I key in my sensitive information.

<Mike M.> wrote in message news:3ce0b9ff.5752507@news.charter.net...
> On Mon, 13 May 2002 12:01:51 -0500, "Tom Sevart"
> <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
> >I don't know about any other cordless phones, but the AT&T 9100 phone I
> >bought several years ago is totally digital spread spectrum from both the
> >base and handset. I made sure to test it on both the transmit & receive
> >freqs before using it. Listening in with a scanner gives you a slightly
> >higher noise floor when the phone is in use. Impossible to make out any
> >audio from the phone.
> >
> >Tom
> >
> >
> The AT&T 9100 is a true digital phone, but not spread spectrum.
>

3396. Re: True digial cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-23 18:36:56 UTC
From: Creak <creak@holeinthewallnowhere.net>
Message-ID: <lkdqeuget5qfbao2q6ncs4bpm5mlbqqd5a@4ax.com>
Source record: 47962

Yep you have to be really carefull about whose listening when on
secretive high level covert operations dont ya !!!

;-]

On Thu, 23 May 2002 17:59:50 GMT, "Rick" <nospambonez@qnis.net> wrote:

>I purchased a Vtech 2931 900Mhz phone.
>http://www.vtechphones.com/vtechui/shop/productdetail.cfm?itemid=218
>I broke out the frequency counter and pulled up the numbers. I then used a
>BC2500xlt to listen in. I heard "nothing". There was no carrier. No garbled
>noise, nothing. I then swept the freqs up and down a bit with the scanner.
>Still nothing.
>
>I then popped in a loop from 900-1Ghz. Nothing, except for birdies and other
>junk one might hear in that range that isn't digital. ;-)
>
>I don't know about spread spectrum, but I feel somewhat at ease that Nosey
>Nick the neighbor can't listen in nor record and decode the DTMF tones as I
>key in my sensitive information.
>
>
>
>
><Mike M.> wrote in message news:3ce0b9ff.5752507@news.charter.net...
>> On Mon, 13 May 2002 12:01:51 -0500, "Tom Sevart"
>> <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>> >I don't know about any other cordless phones, but the AT&T 9100 phone I
>> >bought several years ago is totally digital spread spectrum from both the
>> >base and handset. I made sure to test it on both the transmit & receive
>> >freqs before using it. Listening in with a scanner gives you a slightly
>> >higher noise floor when the phone is in use. Impossible to make out any
>> >audio from the phone.
>> >
>> >Tom
>> >
>> >
>> The AT&T 9100 is a true digital phone, but not spread spectrum.
>>
>

3397. trunkedsystems pentagon audio files

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-23 18:51:18 UTC
From: Charlie Brookhart <cbrookha@erols.com>
Message-ID: <acjdju$kb2$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 47963

Does anyone know what happened to the pentagon audio files located at http://briefcase.yahoo.com/bc/trunkedsystem? There is nothing in the pentagon folder, but it seems that the files are somewhere in the briefcase site since most of the 30 mb file limit is being used.

3398. Optoelectronics Xplorer Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-23 18:55:48 UTC
From: Eric <Alpine@twmi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <UWaH8.69456$G%3.25850053@typhoon.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 47964

I just bought a used Optoelectronics Xplorer.
I did not get a manual with it. Is anyone able to make a copy of a manual for me or know where it might be posted online? A quick simple email with a few how to`s would even work for now.
Also, is it possible to unblock the cell portions of it? Most likely useless anyway since its all digital, but would still be nice to have no blocks.
thanx

3399. Re: True digial cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-23 19:35:15 UTC
From: dsli <tomznospam@tradewebdesign.com>
Message-ID: <5pbH8.74761$To6.25032666@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 47965

I have a old vtech 1970 cordless. I do not think it is spread spectrum. I tried to have the scanner pick it up but was unable. Why can't the scanner pick up the carrier?
Tom

"Rick" <nospambonez@qnis.net> wrote in message news:p6aH8.213$LHE6.33095741@news2.randori.com...
> I purchased a Vtech 2931 900Mhz phone.
> http://www.vtechphones.com/vtechui/shop/productdetail.cfm?itemid=218
> I broke out the frequency counter and pulled up the numbers. I then used a
> BC2500xlt to listen in. I heard "nothing". There was no carrier. No garbled
> noise, nothing. I then swept the freqs up and down a bit with the scanner.
> Still nothing.
>
> I then popped in a loop from 900-1Ghz. Nothing, except for birdies and other
> junk one might hear in that range that isn't digital. ;-)
>
> I don't know about spread spectrum, but I feel somewhat at ease that Nosey
> Nick the neighbor can't listen in nor record and decode the DTMF tones as I
> key in my sensitive information.
>
>
>
>
> <Mike M.> wrote in message news:3ce0b9ff.5752507@news.charter.net...
> > On Mon, 13 May 2002 12:01:51 -0500, "Tom Sevart"
> > <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> > >I don't know about any other cordless phones, but the AT&T 9100 phone I
> > >bought several years ago is totally digital spread spectrum from both the
> > >base and handset. I made sure to test it on both the transmit & receive
> > >freqs before using it. Listening in with a scanner gives you a slightly
> > >higher noise floor when the phone is in use. Impossible to make out any
> > >audio from the phone.
> > >
> > >Tom

> > >
> > >
> > The AT&T 9100 is a true digital phone, but not spread spectrum.
> >
>
>

3400. Re: Grounding question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-23 21:22:24 UTC
From: erod1944@aol.com
Message-ID: <4052b83b.0205231322.6ad489b7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47966

"Izzy" <sumpin@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<obsF8.1530$Nf6.21475@news.uswest.net>...
> Yes
>
> "zeussy" <zeussy@zeussy.net> wrote in message
> news:sxjF8.1376$8t.487@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > I have an ungrounded antenna mounted on the roof of my house that I don't
> > use, & it is extremely high/inaccessible. Would taking the signal cable
> and
> > attaching it to a metal stake driven into the ground provide any lightning
> > protection?
> >
> >
The answer to your grounding question is a resounding NO!!!!
While grounding the signal wire would probably remove static charges
from your antenna ie; static voltage placed there by the wind, the
wire is simply too small to carry any substantial current to carry a
lightning charge or even a 60hertz power contact. You'd need at least
a #6 wire and a good water pipe or ground rod type of ground.

3401. FS: SMA-to-BNC custom fit antenna adapters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-24 00:14:20 UTC
From: gulyas@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <12235960.0205231614.2a960ac4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47969
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Do you have a need for a high-quality SMA-to-BNC antenna adapter that
is custom made to fit and protect your H.T. or scanner radio?

Adapter allows you to:
Quickly change antennas to base,mobile or different style use.
Use the more popular and wider selection available of BNC antennas.
Prevent chassis connector wear or from coming loose due to antenna
changes.

Adapter features:
Gold plated contacts with a teflon insulator.
A low profile design with a rubber covering for a factory antenna look.
The rubber base seals to the top of the radio with no spacers needed
for moisture and dirt protection.
The base also provides an excellent support for any length of antenna
or application.
No need to remove adapter once installed to make a quick and safe
antenna change.

Sizes to fit radio models:
Yaesu: VX-5, VX-1, FT-50-R, VX-10, VX-150, VX-110, VXA-100, VXA-120
Icom: IC-T8A, IC-T81A, IC-Q7A, IC-R2
Kenwood: TH-D7, TH-G71, TH-F6A
Alinco: DJ-V5
Standard: C508A, C510A
Radio Shack: HTX-200, HTX-400, HTX-245
Aor: AR-16

Previewed in the May 2000 Issue of CQ Magazine, and the Nov. 2000
Issue of QST Magazine.

$11.95 + $1.50 S+H(One to unlimited)
(Check or money order only in U.S.Funds)
(Specify-radio-model)

STEPHEN G.GULYAS
706 LALOR ST.
TRENTON, N.J. 08610
U.S.A.

A photo of the adapter is available upon request.
I welcome any questions or comments at my email:
gulyas@earthlink.net
Thanks for looking at my posting.
S.G.G. KC2BHO 73's

3402. Re: PCR-1K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-24 00:56:53 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.c>
Message-ID: <pdgH8.38834$Ka.2885479@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 47970
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I agree. 1k was a VB windows prog.
The ones you said were the ones I remember here for dos.

Dev

Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3CE9A3EF.80CB9629@optonline.net...
> i thought PCR-1K was a windows program.
>
> PCR.COM and pcscan.com are dos programs.
>
> go here
>
> http://www.micosyen.com/radio.htm
>
> walt
>
> milshell wrote:
> >
> > I'm looking for the dos PCR-1000 control software called PCR-1K. Does
anyone
> > have a copy of it or a url for this software you can send me? Their page
> > doesn't seem to exist any more.
> >
> > Thanks
> > Jim H
> > agentx2@earthlink.net

3403. Please explain 'trunking' for me!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-24 01:09:00 UTC
From: CoolDave_0@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1fa6f03d.0205231708.77c86ada@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47971

I need some help. My normal Pro-43 scanner can recieve these 800MHz signals, but what is the benifit of a trunked scanner? Thanks

Dave

3404. Re: Optoelectronics Xplorer Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-24 02:16:20 UTC
From: at_the_helms@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <621d1b6e.0205231816.346c9f14@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47972

"Eric" <Alpine@twmi.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<UWaH8.69456$G%3.25850053@typhoon.columbus.rr.com>...
> I just bought a used Optoelectronics Xplorer.
> I did not get a manual with it. Is anyone able to make a copy of a manual
> for me or know where it might be posted online? A quick simple email with a
> few how to`s would even work for now.
> Also, is it possible to unblock the cell portions of it? Most likely
> useless anyway since its all digital, but would still be nice to have no
> blocks.
> thanx

I almost bought an Xplorer when they were first introduced, but they were $1000 devices without an industry track record, and there were plenty of terrific options for less.

Now that I'm no longer a novice scanner enthusiast, I've learned to appreciate pioneers like OE, and regret not buying the Xplorer when I had the opportunity.

It seems government agencies get all the unblocked versions at reduced costs, while the consumer pays top dollar for the disabled ones.

A nice, fair FCC arrangement, eh?

Unless the new Xplorers are rendered unrestorable by the factory, you might check with mod shops. There are plenty of private pro's who will do what's necessary.

3405. VR500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-24 02:49:17 UTC
From: Bettye Smith <lwofford@spiff.net>
Message-ID: <0ThH8.245$vE4.166936@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 47973

I bought a Yeasu VR500 Hand held scanner. The receive sensitivity is not very good has anyone had the same problem. I sent it back to Yeasu to find out if it the scanner or is it just poor receiver.

Larry

3406. Re: Who Cares if Scanning Phones in U.S. Is Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-24 03:07:52 UTC
From: ZOOM <kandrparker@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <b8iH8.47007$y17.2349798@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 47974
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Be sure to tell the Judge that. I'm sure he will be sympathetic!!
"Michael" <mvp67@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in message
news:bdgE8.312221$nc.44781788@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> If you dont want me to intercept you cell phone or cordless phone
> frequencies then scramble them.
>
> If they come in to my house then they are subject to listening.
>
>
>
> "Norm" <CatchMe@Cheers.com> wrote in message
> news:FoBC8.8810$QOT.561@news02.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> > We know this already, now let's get past all the stupid threads on this,
> and
> > just answer the damn questions that are being asked.
> >
> > We know, and we don't care, that cellular and other stuff is illegal in
> the
> > U.S.
> >
> > This is an int'l discussion group on scanners. Not just for the U.S.
> >
> > Now let's get back to scanning.
> >
> > Better, yet, give it a break and enjoy the warm weather.
> >
> > Get the hell out of the house. Away from the radio.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ---
> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.361 / Virus Database: 199 - Release Date: 5/7/2002
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3407. Re: PCR-1K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-24 03:11:50 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <3cedaf99$0$3572$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 47975
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This site claims to have some PCR-1000 (and lots of
other scanner) software available
for download, but I don't know the exact details:

http://krasnodar.online.ru/hamradio/sch_eng.html

On Mon, 20 May 2002 19:42:13 -0500, milshell wrote:

> I'm looking for the dos PCR-1000 control software called PCR-1K. Does
> anyone have a copy of it or a url for this software you can send me?
> Their page doesn't seem to exist any more.
>
> Thanks
> Jim H
> agentx2@earthlink.net

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
Linux user

3408. Re: PCR-1K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-24 04:10:56 UTC
From: Jay <jtodes@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <ackehs01s9v@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 47977
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a copy of pcr-1k. The links for the software are all dead. Here is the last one I had: http://homepages.bw.edu/~mwaldron/pcr1k/

Jay

"milshell" <agentx2@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:uej608kl01jl36@corp.supernews.com...
> I'm looking for the dos PCR-1000 control software called PCR-1K. Does anyone
> have a copy of it or a url for this software you can send me? Their page
> doesn't seem to exist any more.
>
> Thanks
> Jim H
> agentx2@earthlink.net
>
>
>

3409. Any military aircraft scanner sights on the internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-24 04:20:44 UTC
From: copper@bis.on.ca
Message-ID: <3cedbf42.2756325@news.brant.net>
Source record: 47976

There was supposed to be a real live feed from Oceana NAS but it seems to be unfindable. Anyone know of it or another one

3410. Re: Optoelectronics Xplorer Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-24 07:05:40 UTC
From: Eric <Alpine@twmi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <8DIH8.69873$G%3.26496287@typhoon.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 47979

cellular restoration isnt a main concern of mine, just a novelty.
My main concern is an owners manual, looks like im going to have to buy one for $15 from opto.
the thing is pretty damned cool, only thing is the FM broadcast and TV signals are so strong it interferes with everything. I would suggest buying the FM filter for it, or if you could afford it, the $800 filter device the sell

3411. HH scanner; Need suggestions about what NOT to buy and what TO buy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-24 07:31:25 UTC
From: Lee <lee@texw.com>
Message-ID: <h%IH8.3882$ih1.1937@nwrddc04.gnilink.net>
Source record: 47980

Handheld scanner;

I've gotten my moneys worth out of my BC 200 XLT. Our town has gone to trunked. I'm not sure what type. I'd like to get another scanner for commercial band services, aircraft and 2mt HAM band since I'm a HAM.
I noticed that Uniden does not sell all the units on their web site that are for sale at other places. So I'm thinking that maybe they are just selling the latest models or the models they plan on keeping in production. I guess I would also like all the channel storage possible as long as it doesn't shorten battery time. Our local city services are at 866-868 MHz so I will need UHF. And I also need 144 - 148 MHz for late night HAM listening.
Of course I've been looking at the Uniden BC245XLT. But I've heard there are 1000 channel models out (RS I guess) and I think the digital ones are for sale or near FCC type acceptance.
So how about some suggestions. I got lots of hours of monitoring from my 200XLT. Thats cheap entertainment. It's been so long I can't remember when I bought it. It was a real work horse. Please pass along any "lemons" you know of. You can email me anonymous lee@texw.com I don't want to get anyone upset about their radios.

Many-Many Thanks, Lee
73

3412. Re: handheld scanner antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-24 07:38:07 UTC
From: fryertuck <fryertuck65@yahoo.ca>
Message-ID: <JyjH8.17059$Z6.177280@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 47978

bought a 881 super gainer for my R2
Its great best thing I did for my radio
but if you want a elbow knuckle thingy then follow
chris's advice
the elbow is so you can lay radio down and still have the antenna pointing
up
You really cant go wrong with either choice
Watsons are all good
Rob

"Eric Owens" <eowens27@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:lHYF8.81796$fU2.7755828@bin8.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> I was thinking about getting either a Watson 889 tele-gainer or a 881
> super-gainer. I listen mostly to 800Mhz motorola II trunking and use a
> pro-94 scanner. Which antenna would be better? Any other suggestions would
> be appreciated also. The antenna that comes with this scanner is not very
> good, I get a lot of static and crackles at 800 - 900 Mhz. I am hoping
that
> a better antenna will help. Thanks.
>
>

3413. Re: Optoelectronics Xplorer Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-24 07:48:16 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020524034816.19801.00000471@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 47981

>> It seems government agencies get all the unblocked versions at reduced costs, while the consumer pays top dollar for the disabled ones.

>> A nice, fair FCC arrangement, eh?

As misguided as some of their actions are, the F.C.C. isn't the culprit behind frequencies being blocked in scanners and other hobby items.

In this case, they are just carrying out the legislative actions of Congress.

Credit for blocked scanners is the result of the "cozy" financial relationship between some of our politicians and the telecommunications industry ... "you support my campaign, and I'll support legislation that helps your business."

Jeff Multer
Fort Mill, S.C.

3414. ACARS with Linux

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-24 07:56:37 UTC
From: Book <bookvous@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3cedf236$0$2520$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 47982

Does anyone know of any acars decoding software for linux?

--
Book

3415. forsale: motorola privacy plus mobiles 25.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-24 10:39:03 UTC
From: JJJ <oakvillecub@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <HdeH8.17375$op.3312911@read2.cgocable.net>
Source record: 47967

for sale:

in excellent condition with microphones
motorola privacy plus mobiles
800mhz
2 groups, 2 systems,

25.00US includes basic shipping
5 for 100.00US

thanks
oakvillecub@cogeco.ca

3416. forsale midland and GE radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-24 11:21:32 UTC
From: JJJ <oakvillecub@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <wReH8.17407$op.3314286@read2.cgocable.net>
Source record: 47968

for sale: all in excellent condition with mounting brackets,
powercords and microphones

midland 70-336b (8 channel) 150-174mhz 50.00US

GE Pheonix SX (16 channel) 150- 174mhz 75.00US
presently programmed with 8 marine and 8 busines
freqs.

GE Pheonix SX (32 channel) 450-512mhz 75.00US
presently programmed with all 14 FRS freqs and
18 business freqs.

prices include basic shipping

thanks
oakvillecub@cogeco.ca

3417. FRG8800 manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-24 11:49:51 UTC
From: Dami <dbargel@terra.es>
Message-ID: <zNpH8.27530$kC5.271932@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 47983

Have an 8800 manual, in pdf for example?
Thank's
Damiano
dbargel@terra.es

3418. Military Exercise at East Coast FL UHF & HF active

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-24 13:37:08 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CEE4204.6090202@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 47984
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Just catching (0915 EST) what sounds like the beginnings of an exercise. The best I can tell is off the coast of the Kennedy Space Flight Center order centered in that general area.

Freqs used so far:
282.8000 - Callsigns of DOD Cape, King, Jolly, and Hawkeye1
267.5000 - SEALORD (FACFACSJAX)
11104 USB - Callsigns of DOD Cape, Hawkeye1 and King
10780 USB - Callsigns of DOD Cape & Hawkeye1

Listen and have fun.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <--Military Freqs, Info and MORE!

3419. Re: Military Exercise at East Coast FL UHF & HF active

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-24 13:43:51 UTC
From: N8KDV <n8kdv@iserv.net>
Message-ID: <3CEE4397.8B138315@iserv.net>
Source record: 47985
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The one call sign sounds like Duty Cape.

Steve
Holland, MI

GeorgeF wrote:

> Just catching (0915 EST) what sounds like the beginnings of an
> exercise. The best I can tell is off the coast of the Kennedy Space
> Flight Center order centered in that general area.
>
> Freqs used so far:
> 282.8000 - Callsigns of DOD Cape, King, Jolly, and Hawkeye1
> 267.5000 - SEALORD (FACFACSJAX)
> 11104 USB - Callsigns of DOD Cape, Hawkeye1 and King
> 10780 USB - Callsigns of DOD Cape & Hawkeye1
>
> Listen and have fun.
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com <--Military Freqs, Info and MORE!

3420. Re: Dayton reports??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-24 13:48:38 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <6efseu0qjrri707khrdejm564mvm4sp9bp@4ax.com>
Source record: 47986
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 22 May 2002 20:32:00 GMT, "Milt S" <msamiesnen@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>I've been to the old Cincy-hamfest of years past, darn shame as
>it was almost as big as the original Gaithersburg Md hamfest.
>Same thing happened to the hamfest up in Hamburg NY when it used
>to be held at the County fairgrounds. They moved it, now it's a
>mere shell of it's former self last I heard. Many more have just
>dissappeared without a trace.

Milt, the FAR hamfest moved from Gaithersburg to Bowie MD. It's still
pretty big.

>Cute gimick, but Motorola or Cobra has had a pair
>of waterproof "sport" FRS radios out for close to
>a Year now for $59.95 in a hanging blister-pack
>at WalMart last I looked.

I wouldn't call that a gimmick. That's something worth doing.

>I agree with you 100% on the re-packaging of gear.
>I'm one to peek "under the hood" of new radio gear
>myself. What's "behind" that graphics-filled LCD?

So, you care about such things. But then you continue...

>Yaesu does seem to be the leader in innovation and
>I would put Alinco in as a close second. Kenwood and
>Icom...?...booring! Just expensive bells and whistle
>gadgets in cheap sheet steel boxes. Looking at the electronics
>inside of your average Icom and kenwood reminds me of
>the insides of a Korean VCR that you can get for $65.00
>at Circuit City. (and they REALLY pay 2-3 grand for
>some of this stuff...??!! rotflmao!)
>Yaesu and Alinco are mostly newer design
>SMT with clean layouts and by far a better
>value - Alinco I feel has the best dollar per
>radio value going.

Frankly, I think you're rant lacks basis. Kenwood, Yaesu, and Icom
have been leapfrogging each others achievements for years. To say
that the other's stuff is suddenly "boring" says very little about
what's coming.

In my personal opinion, they're all garbage next to the likes of the Elecraft K2. That's a REAL radio.

>Sad news on Ten-Tec. The Orion shoud be called the Onion.
>Looks like it came off the prototype workbench in the
>Engineering lab - with all the bugs still inside. (?)
>Iam afraid Ten-Tec will be gone by this time next year.
>They used to build great rigs in the late 70's and 80's.
>Easy to work on with readily available replacement parts you
>could get from Digi-key and Mouser electronics if
>needs be. Now their overpricing themselves out of the
>market. What Ten-Tec *needs* to do is return to their roots
>and build rigs like the Triton and Omni-D series again.
>Functional and utilitiarian radio gear that is easy
>to operate, maintain, and has minimal gimicky bells
>and whistles. Stop trying to mimic the Japs, dump all
>this digital pushbutton crap, except for the freq
>display, and put more single-function standard type
>controls. The Omni-D (..of which I own 2 of) are a
>great example. The Paragon was perhaps the best radio
>to ever come out of Tenn.

I have one of those "great rigs" from Ten Tec and the 1970s. The dynamic range was awful, the packaging was flimsy, and the radio itself was hardly a model of stability. This digital push button stuff is the future for mass produced radios. It's cheaper, more stable, and it frankly performs better than most mass produced analog gear.

Yes, you could build an analog radio with better overall performance than a lot of this new digital stuff. But you'd have to invest a lot of careful component selection and tweaking to get it running. And that would cost more, *much* more than you'd be willing to pay.

While criticism of a radio's performance/price ratio is valid, your notions of what's a good construction technique or design choices is pointless. Try building a few radios of your own before spewing this nonsense about other manufacturer's choices.

>I could not agree with you more strongly on the "trinket vendors"!
>If I am going to PAY an admission fee to a flea market (which is
>what Hamvention really is folks!) then I had better see stuff for
>sale that I DO NOT SEE at the local every-weekend flea market
>just down the road, where the admission and parking is free!!

Then don't spend any time or money on them. They'll get the idea and not return. The problem I have with your approach is that determining this sort of thing up front is fraught with problems and potential law suits. Your definition of what a "trinket vendor" is happens to be a judgement call.

>I've never been back to the hamfests that let these
>turkeys into their flea market and I never will.

Good bye.

>I could not make Dayton, and I really hope that
>it is not turning into another consumer
>products junk market dumping ground! It's bad
>enough with all the computer related crap.
>All that needs to happen is for somebody in an
>overloaded mini-van to show up and be allowed to
>start selling cheap imported wristwatches
>on rubber banded styrofoam display cases, and you can
>then kiss the Hamvention goodbye. (please someone
>tell me these wristwatch-dealers did not show up and
>were allowed to set up and sell like they did
>someplace in NC last year!)

What's it to you? If you're offended, don't go. Did you buy any antique radio gear? Were you offended that they were there, even though we're talking about old radios which were left over consumer items from the 1920s and 1930s? Get over it.

If you don't support a hamfest by attending one, then, well, there's always E-Bay. Don't like them? Gee, Mr. Grouch, what do you like? This rant is like cursing at the weather. Grow up.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

3421. Re: Military Exercise at East Coast FL UHF & HF active

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-24 14:01:51 UTC
From: N8KDV <n8kdv@iserv.net>
Message-ID: <3CEE47CF.606F88DE@iserv.net>
Source record: 47987
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

One guy makes the callsign sound like Duty Cape, and another seperates it
out as D O D Cape. Just a difference in the way the one guy says it.

N8KDV wrote:

> The one call sign sounds like Duty Cape.
>
> Steve
> Holland, MI
>
> GeorgeF wrote:
>
> > Just catching (0915 EST) what sounds like the beginnings of an
> > exercise. The best I can tell is off the coast of the Kennedy Space
> > Flight Center order centered in that general area.
> >
> > Freqs used so far:
> > 282.8000 - Callsigns of DOD Cape, King, Jolly, and Hawkeye1
> > 267.5000 - SEALORD (FACFACSJAX)
> > 11104 USB - Callsigns of DOD Cape, Hawkeye1 and King
> > 10780 USB - Callsigns of DOD Cape & Hawkeye1
> >
> > Listen and have fun.
> >
> > George
> > http://www.MilAirComms.com <--Military Freqs, Info and MORE!

3422. Uniden Turbo Search

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-24 14:19:28 UTC
From: Book <bookvous@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3cee4bf1$0$2526$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 47988

On Uniden scanners, how do the 'turbo search' feature increase the search speed?

Thanks,
--
Book

3423. Re: Military Exercise at East Coast FL UHF & HF active

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-24 14:52:09 UTC
From: calabash <ask@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <ansH8.75321$To6.25308357@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 47989
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

11104USB strong signal into Huntsville Alabama @ 0953CST (1451UCT). Using ATS909 with 25' wire.
... David

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message news:3CEE4204.6090202@licensed4fun.com...
> Just catching (0915 EST) what sounds like the beginnings of an
> exercise. The best I can tell is off the coast of the Kennedy Space
> Flight Center order centered in that general area.
>
> Freqs used so far:
> 282.8000 - Callsigns of DOD Cape, King, Jolly, and Hawkeye1
> 267.5000 - SEALORD (FACFACSJAX)
> 11104 USB - Callsigns of DOD Cape, Hawkeye1 and King
> 10780 USB - Callsigns of DOD Cape & Hawkeye1
>
> Listen and have fun.
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com <--Military Freqs, Info and MORE!
>
>

3424. MINT, MINT. BC-200 $125+ extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-24 15:16:34 UTC
From: bfdp1@msn.com
Message-ID: <1235373c.0205240716.4f021c8a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47990

This ia an Unmodified late model BC-200. PERFECT, not a mark or
scratch.
OEM antenna, RS Charger, New 1650 nickle metal hydride battery insert
(not installed) OEM Leather case.
Radio works perfect. Serial no. OIHH = Sept 97

$125+ $8 shipping Sorry no trades.

ALSO I'll include a New unused LCD display from Uniden.

3425. Re: Military Exercise at East Coast FL UHF & HF active

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-24 15:45:57 UTC
From: Ben <manley62@InsightBB.com>
Message-ID: <VetH8.20463$352.2451@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 47992
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Very good signal into Decatur, IL at 1545UTC
G5RV 102'

"calabash" <ask@hiwaay.net> wrote in message
news:ansH8.75321$To6.25308357@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> 11104USB strong signal into Huntsville Alabama @ 0953CST (1451UCT).
Using
> ATS909 with 25' wire.
> ... David
>
> "GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
> news:3CEE4204.6090202@licensed4fun.com...
> > Just catching (0915 EST) what sounds like the beginnings of an
> > exercise. The best I can tell is off the coast of the Kennedy Space
> > Flight Center order centered in that general area.
> >
> > Freqs used so far:
> > 282.8000 - Callsigns of DOD Cape, King, Jolly, and Hawkeye1
> > 267.5000 - SEALORD (FACFACSJAX)
> > 11104 USB - Callsigns of DOD Cape, Hawkeye1 and King
> > 10780 USB - Callsigns of DOD Cape & Hawkeye1
> >
> > Listen and have fun.
> >
> > George
> > http://www.MilAirComms.com <--Military Freqs, Info and MORE!
> >
> >
>
>
>

3426. Re: Military Exercise at East Coast FL UHF & HF active

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-24 15:50:00 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CEE6128.1040400@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 47991
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm not sure which it really is, I hear what you're saying. Also on
282.8000 UHF there is a guy who really makes it sound like DOD, that was
where I first heard it and it kept sticking in my mind...
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Freqs and MORE

N8KDV wrote:

> One guy makes the callsign sound like Duty Cape, and another seperates it
> out as D O D Cape. Just a difference in the way the one guy says it.
>
> N8KDV wrote:
>
>
>>The one call sign sounds like Duty Cape.
>>
>>Steve
>>Holland, MI
>>
>>GeorgeF wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Just catching (0915 EST) what sounds like the beginnings of an
>>>exercise. The best I can tell is off the coast of the Kennedy Space
>>>Flight Center order centered in that general area.
>>>
>>>Freqs used so far:
>>>282.8000 - Callsigns of DOD Cape, King, Jolly, and Hawkeye1
>>>267.5000 - SEALORD (FACFACSJAX)
>>>11104 USB - Callsigns of DOD Cape, Hawkeye1 and King
>>>10780 USB - Callsigns of DOD Cape & Hawkeye1
>>>
>>>Listen and have fun.
>>>
>>>George
>>>http://www.MilAirComms.com <--Military Freqs, Info and MORE!
>>>
>
>

--

I make Over $5000 per Week on eBay!
Make eBay(tm) Your Job and Earn BIG $$$

----->http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay<----

_____

3427. Re: Incoming vs. Outcoming Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-24 17:28:40 UTC
From: "Clifton T. Sharp Jr." <clifto@clifto.com>
Message-ID: <3CEE77E8.B1946AC@clifto.com>
Source record: 47993

Jack Bellows wrote:
> I've noticed on a list of scanner frequencies that a good number of channels
> have both input and output frequencies. Do you need two scanners to listen
> to them both even though they are only a few hertz off?

First, they're probably a few MEGAhertz off.

Second, these are probably repeaters. Whatever is on the input (a 2-watt handheld radio with a teensy antenna) also appears on the output (a 150-watt base radio with a huge antenna 100 feet up). It's usually not worth scanning the input frequencies; MUCH easier to hear the same stuff on the output frequencies.

--
If spammers weren't stupid, they'd be the idle rich instead of spammers.
- Morely Dotes

3428. Re: Military Exercise at East Coast FL UHF & HF active

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-24 18:06:47 UTC
From: "Richard H. Brown Jr." <cbrown@mfi.net>
Message-ID: <3CEE8136.656FE0F8@mfi.net>
Source record: 47994
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

N8KDV wrote:

> One guy makes the callsign sound like Duty Cape, and another seperates it
> out as D O D Cape. Just a difference in the way the one guy says it.
>

It's The NASA/USAF/USN/USCG getting ready for a shuttle launch. You'll even hear the contrat ships that recover the booster stages after the launch.

RHB

3429. MDT Monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-24 20:10:15 UTC
From: b7spectra <webmaster@scanatlanta.com>
Message-ID: <H6xH8.113719$fW5.21137949@typhoon.atl.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 47996

Looking for a copy of MDT Monitor.

b7spectra@netscape.net

--

/\/\|k.e

Michael B. Martin
Webmaster@ScanAtlanta.com
mm505@mindspring.com

3430. Free SWL / HAM Log Database.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-24 20:19:47 UTC
From: "www.ar3000.info" <tom.mcqNOSPAM@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <acm793$k8l$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 47995
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

For anyone interested, you can download a free Shortwave Listeners Database / Ham Log from http://www.tom.mcq.btinternet.co.uk/ar3000/mcqlog.htm in Access2000 format.

Has bags of features and even caters for multiple users (ideal for clubs, etc). Full description at the above link. Includes a comprehensive station-locator!

Totally free to download and start using immediately. No catches, no ads,
that's it!
--
Regards, Tom.
*..• •••)) -:•:-
•.•• .•••••))
((••.•• .•• ..-:•:- * www.ar3000.info * -:•:-
-:•:- ((••.••*

3431. Re: Please explain 'trunking' for me!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-24 22:39:46 UTC
From: Clydeloads <googlmoogle@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <SizH8.1301$AF3.197@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 47997

"Keith" <nospamplease@newsguy.com> wrote in message
news:ackh0a0hhe@enews3.newsguy.com...
> Dave L wrote:
>
> >I need some help. My normal Pro-43 scanner can recieve these 800MHz
> >signals, but what is the benifit of a trunked scanner? Thanks
> >
> >Dave
> http://www.strongsignals.net/access/content/new_user.html#SEC12

Trunking: "A very expensive radio system originally developed by Motorola
and a few of her "bastard child" competitors, that has been responsible for
numerous repeat emergency calls by dispatchers, garbled transmissions, and
in some ways is more vunerable to being put out of action by terrorist
activity due to it's reliance on NET hub/router "piggybacking" in urban
areas because of interference from everything from cellular telephone
services to wireless ISP." Still a "work in progress", but one that just
may fall down like a house of cards, should some ragheads for Usama
take out a major telephone exchange or satellite uplink service in
any one of dozens of urban areas. As my college physics Prof. said
to us once: "Anytime you make anything more complex and reliant on
inter-working or networked systems for it's operation, it's that much
easier for a common gray squirel to climb into the equipment cabinet
and wreck havoc by peein on and shorting out the cables"

3432. Re: what is trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-24 22:52:21 UTC
From: Clydeloads <googlmoogle@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <FuzH8.1325$AF3.795@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 47998

"intoodeep" <intoodeep@ec.rr.com> wrote in message
news:YNXG8.167160$YQ1.48552838@typhoon.southeast.rr.com...
> Im new to scaning, can some one tell me what trunking is please?
>
> intoodeep78

Trunking: "A very expensive radio system originally developed by Motorola and a few of her "bastard child" competitors, that has been responsible for numerous repeat emergency calls by dispatchers, garbled transmissions, and in some ways is more vunerable to being put out of action by terrorist activity due to it's reliance on NET hub/router "piggybacking" in urban areas because of interference from everything from cellular telephone services to wireless ISP."

Still a "work in progress" IMHO, but one that just
may fall down like a house of cards, should some ragheads for Usama
take out a major telephone exchange or satellite uplink service in
any one of dozens of urban areas. As my college physics Prof. said
to us once: "Anytime you make anything more complex and reliant on
inter-working or networked systems for it's operation, it's that much
easier for a common gray squirel to climb into the equipment cabinet
and wreck havoc by peein on and shorting out the cables"

3433. Re: what is trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-24 23:03:32 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3CEEC6C2.33F1506B@optonline.net>
Source record: 47999

Another definiton might be:

Trunking System: A system that works damn well when a conventional system will also work well. But, when the chips are down, when the conventional system will barely work, but barely is better than not at all, the Trunking System is a system that gives up the ghost and is completely dead and useless.

Ask the NYFD members, they may have a few choice comments.

Clydeloads wrote:
>
> "intoodeep" <intoodeep@ec.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:YNXG8.167160$YQ1.48552838@typhoon.southeast.rr.com...
> > Im new to scaning, can some one tell me what trunking is please?
> >
> > intoodeep78
>
> Trunking: "A very expensive radio system originally developed by Motorola
> and a few of her "bastard child" competitors, that has been responsible for
> numerous repeat emergency calls by dispatchers, garbled transmissions, and
> in some ways is more vunerable to being put out of action by terrorist
> activity due to it's reliance on NET hub/router "piggybacking" in urban
> areas because of interference from everything from cellular telephone
> services to wireless ISP."
>
> Still a "work in progress" IMHO, but one that just
> may fall down like a house of cards, should some ragheads for Usama
> take out a major telephone exchange or satellite uplink service in
> any one of dozens of urban areas. As my college physics Prof. said
> to us once: "Anytime you make anything more complex and reliant on
> inter-working or networked systems for it's operation, it's that much
> easier for a common gray squirel to climb into the equipment cabinet
> and wreck havoc by peein on and shorting out the cables"

3434. Re: Fire Dispatch on 72.580 Mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 00:22:31 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3CEED946.2E8ECACF@erols.com>
Source record: 48000

72.5800 mhz. is a low power assigned frequency to various radio services. It IS NOT an assigned frequency to the "PW" service which includes fire, police, local govt. and rescue. You probably are hearing an image of another frequency possibly in the 150-160 mhz. range.

Andy Domonkos wrote:

> I'm located 30 miles north of Baltimore in Maryland and heard fire
> dispatch, almost continuous, on 72.580Mhz. It didn't sound local.
> Equipment is Icom PCR1000 with multiband scanner gorund plane antenna.
> We did have FM/VHF ducting propagation openings this week due to the
> weather.
>
> I've heard telemetry and paging on these feqs but never fire dispatch.
>
> Any info appreciate.
>
> TIA.
>
> Andy

3435. Re: INMARSAT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 00:42:59 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3CEEDE13.2E043B08@erols.com>
Source record: 48001

See my Monitoring Times Feb/Mar 94 2 part article on how to
configure a system to receive INMARSAT FM SCPC analog signals
from
Type A and B systems. See my webpage. Excluding the receiver, I
can provide the necessary system components, i.e., MT article
reprints, look angle charts, feedhorns, lna, antenna, DC power
blocks, etc.

MRK wrote:

> How do you receive the satellites with your AOR 5000?
>
> "joselu2" <JOSELU-GH@terra.es> wrote in message
> news:abdg76$qeu1@esiami.tsai.es...ALGUIEN RECIBE ESTE
> SATELITECON UN AOR 5000
>
--
==========
Interesting Items on my web page:http://users.erols.com/jwilson9
(INMARSAT,GOES/Polar Wefax,Radio Frequencies,Metal Detecting)
(C/Ku Band/MPEG2-FTA Satellite Reception,FM-SCPC,Amateur Radio)
John Wilson(W4UVV) email: jwilson9@erols.com
==========

3436. Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 00:45:53 UTC
From: Steve Matzura <number6@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <kknteuo6rpddi9f6o1m3is12uqih1t46l7@4ax.com>
Source record: 48002
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I posted a message earlier today and did not see it come through. Is this group moderated then?

3437. Need a little help with Opto Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 00:46:19 UTC
From: Steve Matzura <number6@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <mplseuouvqnuh4d7upofq0pkfb9vns7mof@4ax.com>
Source record: 48003
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I recently purchased an Opto Electronics Scout frequency counter, or, should I really call it a frequency-grabber. It's an amazing little gadgie, what with its Reaction Tune feature, coupled with the Scannermaster SmartLink interface, there's nothing I own that it can't tune or download to.

But I'm having a bit of trouble understanding some of its rather terse manual. I can reaction-tune my BC245XLT via the SmartLink box, but for the life of me, I can't get the thing out of CI-5 mode to RT my AR8200. I'm usually good to excellent when it comes to understanding and interpreting manuals, and what I don't understand, I can usually hack out, but not this time. The position of the two front-panel switches I know has a lot to do with it, but exactly when do you press the push-button to change from CI-5 to AR8000 mode, for instance?

Another thing I'm trouble wrapping my head around is this. The unit has two phases of operation--initialization, and normal operation. The unit's function depends on this switch-setting business. Let's say, for example, that I want the LCD back-light and beeper to be enabled at startup, which means both switches have to be in the up position, but I don't want the thing to be in memory mode where it captures a frequency and stores it in memory, I want it to be in what they refer to as "free-running frequency counter mode" with no storage. After the unit initializes, do I then move the switches down? It's a little confusing when you have things that work this way, with two switches controlling two phases of operation with four options each. Any assistance would be greatly appreciated.

3438. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 00:47:06 UTC
From: Steve Matzura <number6@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <cnnteuspk3ckko46hj4pg50pst4755cu5q@4ax.com>
Source record: 48004
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Never mind previous message. Sometimes I'm too stupid for my own
good.

3439. Re: nextell freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 00:51:33 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3CEEE014.F05E27A2@erols.com>
Source record: 48005

In Virginia, Nextel "owns" almost every frequency from 851.000 mhz. to 869.000 mhz. excluding those specifically assigned to other users, i.e., trunked business, police, etc. As I understand it, certain models of their hand held phones have the capability to T/R receive, obviously in the 800 mhz. band and probably on a selected 806-820 mhz. input frequency.

Twazy wrote:

> does anyone know what freq the nextell two way radio phones are on thanks
> (twazy@aol.com)

3440. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 00:59:44 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3CEEE200.9A47C471@erols.com>
Source record: 48006

Per a recent newspaper article, Paypal is being sued for patent infringement and being investigated by the Justice Dept. for possible illegal practices. Only fools would use Paypal these days. In Dec 2000, I wanted to sell some items on Ebay. I was forced to provide a credit card number. I used an "emergency" second credit card that had not been used in 2 years. In Apr 2001, I was contacted by a company in Nebraska that monitors Visa and Master Card purchasing profiles. My credit card information had been hacked on Ebay. The hacker purchased over $2,000 of computer equipment via telephone and the greedy vendors who sold it to him, suffered the loss financially...not really, most prices are jacked up to cover sales losses. I canceled that card and received a replacement. The first indication to this company that something was wrong was the hacker used a good area code but a bogus telephone exchange prefix. If my credit card information was stolen, you can imagine that thousands of others were also. Ebay's on line security obviously SUCKS!

Pappy@USA.net wrote:

> A national TV station did a program on how a hacker was stealing from
> paypal and ebay by hacking into there systems.
>
> By the way the hacker was from a european country.
>
> The suggestion from the FBI was be extremely careful or don't use these
> systems.
>
> TooManyRadios wrote:
>
> > What to do?
> >
> > Get a lawyer and SUE, SUE, SUE!!!
> >
> > It's the American way!

--

3441. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 01:05:24 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3CEEE354.645BB82@erols.com>
Source record: 48007
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

...Not only that...all the cows would quit giving milk and get cancer....and pregnant mothers living in the area would have deformed babies and, uh...Wait...that's in Canada...oh who gives a shit for those arrogants? Maybe the Canadian geese who would possibly fly into it trying to get out of country ASAP would.

Norm wrote:

> Phone tower blocked
> Lake view saved for Townshippers
>
> SIDHARTHA BANERJEE
> Montreal Gazette
>
> Tuesday, May 14, 2002
>
> There will be no cellular-phone tower in this Eastern Townships community.
>
> And no one in the municipality of 681 people is happier than 78-year-old
> farmer and developer Fred Eichenberger, who spearheaded the campaign to stop
> it.
>
> "I am happy now; I'm relieved that (the battle) is all behind me,"
> Eichenberger said in an interview.
>
> The town council decided last week to reject Rogers/AT&T's plan to erect a
> tower on land owned by Donald Badger, West Bolton's longtime mayor.
>
> The town's six councillors voted unanimously against a zoning change that
> would have allowed a 90-metre-high cellular-phone tower to be erected on the
> property.
>
> The structure would have blocked a spectacular view of Brome Lake and the
> Bromont ski hill enjoyed by Eichenberger and a neighbour.
>
> "There was too much pressure from the public," Eichenberger said.
>
> That pressure came in the form of a 110-signature petition submitted to the
> council in early April.
>
> Council's Decision
>
> Badger said he had no problems with the council's decision because,
> ultimately, it was council's to make.

>
> But he said a second tower in West Bolton would help limit the number of
> dead zones and improve emergency response.
>
> West Bolton is already home to a giant Bell Mobility tower, and if another
> company or Rogers/AT&T were to come to town council with another proposal,
> Badger said, the project would have to be evaluated.
>
> "If another project was presented, it would have to be evaluated on its
> merits as this one presumably was. Hopefully, next time it won't be on my
> property and I will be able to take a more active role in the debate."
>
> - Sidhartha Banerjee's E-mail address is sbanerjee@thegazette.southam.ca.

3442. Pro-2053 software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 01:11:03 UTC
From: hc.me@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3cf0e204.15468107@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 48008

Can anyone point me to some basic memory-management software for the RS Pro-2053? If so, that would be much appreciated.

(Fwiw, I know there is a Pro-93 Yahoo group that probably contains this information. I tried to join it this morning. For whatever reason, it's not possible to join that group without the moderator's permission. Don't believe me? Try it.

(In any event, I guess the moderator is out of town this weekend, I haven't heard back. Whether he is or isn't out of town, shit like that ain't for me.)

Thanks!

3443. FS: W4UVV Virginia Frequency Directory 2002 Edition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 02:01:09 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3CEEF064.64E50184@erols.com>
Source record: 48009
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

The W4UVV Virginia Frequency Directory 2002 Edition in its 17th year of publication now is available on CD ROM. The Directory contains over 100,000 frequency listings from approximately 25-2,600 mhz. Services include Police, Fire, Rescue, Press, Aviation, Military, Federal, Marine, Business, and Utilities. Frequency relationships include repeater I/O, Simplex, T/R, Fixed, Remote Transmiter/Control sites. The database record data field's format is:

FREQ1(Frequency 1), FREQ2(Frequency 2), SV(Service), CALL(Callsign), USER(Licensee/user), CITY(Transmit City), COUNTY(Transmit County),LAT(Latitude), LON(Longitude), TAH(Total Antenna Height), B(Base), R(Repeater), M(Mobile), P(Portable), WATT(Xmit watts of base or repeater or mobile or portable), PL(Analog or Digital CTCSS) and CH(Channel). Database record formats include: DBASE3/Text for MS DOS users, MSEXCEL for Windows OS and Clickbook 2000 for Windows OS for front/back page printing. There are some federal and military listings included for Maryland, DC, and North Carolina.

Each county and independent city has its own extracted file in the aforementioned formats from the master file. This feature allows the user to customize a viewing profile for his monitoring area of interest by deleting services of non-interest such as pagers, etc. and resorting of information by different file sort sequences.

There is NOTHING comparable to it. Additionally included are certain frequencies actually monitored off air and NOT found in the typical scanner publications offering only basic information that may or may not be correct. For example, since events of the past summer, a new "in the clear" federal user is operational; a new central Virginia metro police a/c frequency has been monitored. There's a new Post Office frequency operational. This frequency/user information is NOT on the internet, in Police Call, Scanning America or anyone's personal requency webpage. These and other "interesting" frequencies such as video and audio surveillance frequencies for certain users included in the directory.

The master directory occupies approximately 12 megabytes hard disk storage space. Printed at 4 font, 80 records per page, the master file is approximately 950 pages. To sight check each listed record for 10 seconds @ 8 hours straight per day would

take the viewer approximately 35-40 calendar to view the master file one time. Among past directory users have been three TV station news departments in state.

See the October, 2001 issue of Monitoring Times for a product review of the W4UVV Virginia Frequency Directory.

The CD ROM is available for $75 that includes USPS shipping within state. 24 hour workday turnaround except for personal check orders. Allow 10-14 days delay in shipping for personal check orders. Interested purchasers can send an email to: <jwilson9@erols.com> and request a copy of the Directory's User's Manual and a Readme1st file for more explicit database file formats and ordering information.

3444. Re: PRO-2006 Squelch (OT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 02:04:51 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3CEEF142.BC7C8ECF@erols.com>
Source record: 48010
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

It allows an interface to an external analog and/or digital CTSCC decoder to the scanner. These devices require unfiltered audio. The scanner's audio is filtered and in doing so the low frequency "pl" subaudio carrier tones are not passed.

Tom Shaw wrote:

> I noticed that you have mentioned a discriminator tap. My second hand
> scanner has had one added but I dont know what it is for. Would somebody
> please explain why you would want to do that...if it isn't too much trouble?
> TS
> "Bob_Parnass_AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net> wrote in message
> news:3cdaa5f3$0$3333$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com...
> > Do you have any device connected to the
> > discriminator output jack when the
> > PRO-2006 is misbehaving?
> >
> > If so, diconnect the external device
> > and try scanning the memory channels again.
> >
> > On Thu, 09 May 2002 07:11:49 -0500, nospam wrote:
> >
> > > Here is what I know from reading about mods and looking over my scanner.
> > > Yes, there is a discriminator tap with a tiny shielded coax. Center
> > > conductor runs from TP2 on circuit board to a 1/8" jack on the back of
> > > the unit. Shield runs from a ground plane on the board to the ground on
> > > the jack. The discriminator output works flawlessly.
> >
> >
> > --
> > ==
> > Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net http://members.core.com/~parnass
> > Linux user

3445. Re: Scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 02:09:41 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3CEEF265.4658D80C@erols.com>
Source record: 48011

By design discones have little or no specific frequency gain. The Scantenna looks awful, but does a great job except from 406-420 mhz.
The Radio Shack VHF/FM/UHF TV mast mounted amp @ approximately $60 will work most excellent with the Scantenna. I have 4 tower mounted at 125 ft. among other antennas. They are directional to a degree and so far they have outperformed other comparable pre-amped antennas I have tried.

Red TR1 wrote:

> It depends on what you want to listen to. If you want to listen to a broad
> range of frequencies from a variety of directrions then a discone is a good
> choice. I use the Radio Shack one, Icom makes a nice one too. For pulling
> in signals from one general direction I have used the Grove Scanner Beam, it
> is very directional, but you could always put it on a rotor. I currently
> use the Scantenna like antenna which Radio Shack used to carry and Grove
> still carries for the bulk of my listening which is all generally south of
> my house. I use the discone for ACARS work and that pulls in planes from
> all directions and from a pretty good distance.
> It also depends on how sensitive you radio is. I use a cheapo Radio Shack
> antenna with my Uniden 9000 which is pretty sensitive and it does fine the
> Scantenna overloads the 9000.
> To answer you question diameter is not a big deal, but it depends on what
> you want to hear and from how many directions.
>
> Greg
> "Evan Olberding" <flipteam15@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3cd5a626@news.mhogaming.com...
> > I am looking to buy an antenna for my scanner. Its going to be roof
> mounted.
> > I was just wondering if the actuall diamater of the antenna makes a
> > defernce. Is a whip antenna better than a sturder antenna? I know that the
> > higher the better but like I said is diameter important?
> >
> >

--

3446. Re: Dayton reports??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 02:16:12 UTC
From: Randy & Cara randall <crrandal@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3CEEF48A.8080906@earthlink.net>
Source record: 48012
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have been going to the Dayton HV for 22 years now and I have seen allot in those years, but Ebay is ruining ALL hamfests. A couple of years ago a person with a very pronounced New York or Bronxish accent came to our local Cincinnati hamfest and bought everything in site that was reasonably priced. It didn't matter what it was. While at a table I overheard this conversation. Bronx "How much is this?" Seller "$50.00." Bronx "Not worth it. I'll give you $30.00" Seller "Wellllllll OK." The seller proceeds to bag the item. Bronx to friend while still in easy earshot of seller "I'll get $125.00 for this one at least on Ebay!" Resellers like this one are truly what is killing Hamfests. If I was the seller and heard this, why would I bother taking an item to any fest? I'd sell it on Ebay and pocket the $$$ instead of making someone else rich.

Randy KB8ASO

HoopyKloopie wrote:

> "Joe Leikhim" <jleikhim@nettally.com> wrote in message
> news:3CEAF4B9.18EFB11@nettally.com...
>
>>Maybe the way around it is to have some e-bay auctions on site. Bidders
>>
> could
>
>>view the stuff, then run back to their laptops (or nearby computer kiosks)
>>
> and
>
>>put in a bid. The auctions could run 2 to 48 hours.
>>
>>Laugh about it, but time will come when you will get outbid by somebody
>>
> using a
>
>>PDA and Bluetooth standing right behind you. The dealers would love it.
>>
>
> I'd never buy radio gear on E-Bay.
> All E-Bay represents is a "feeding frenzie"
> type market for ham gear. What I see people
> paying for ham gear on E-Bay leads me to
> belive that there's an awful lot "shill bidding"
> going on. Something that I would not put past

> some of the so-called "private dealers" that
> I used to see travelling to all the hamfests
> like gypsy traders. You know, the guys that
> buy up all the honest bargans offered for new
> hams by the old-timers, that show up an hour
> later on their table or on E-Bay for double the
> price or more. Buy used ham radio equipment
> on E-Bay...?? Anyone who does so should have
> their head examined.
>
>

3447. Re: WTB:INMARSAT antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 02:16:59 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3CEEF41B.EA08DDDA@erols.com>
Source record: 48013

I can provide you with a loop yagi antenna and other system components for monitoring the AOR-West and depending upon where you live in the US, possibly the AOR-East INMARSAT satellite. Be advised you cannot monitor INMARSAT with only an antenna and receiver and absolutely no way with ANY inside antenna. See my 2 part Monitoring Times Feb/Mar 94 article on how to configure a system to receive
INMARSAT FM SCPC signals. You can email me at: <jwilson9@erols.com> for further information/component pricing, etc.

Mike wrote:

> want to buy a somewhat small antenna to monitor INMARSAT.. attic mount is
> preferd but if its small enough outside mounting is possible..
>
> Please if possible reply by email
> Mike Smith
> Hostile-@iname.com

--

3448. Re: VR500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 02:18:36 UTC
From: J <jca1954@iprimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <3ceef4a1_1@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 48014

I found the standard antenna useless, try another antenna.
"Bettye Smith" <lwofford@spiff.net> wrote in message
news:0ThH8.245$vE4.166936@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> I bought a Yeasu VR500 Hand held scanner. The receive sensitivity is not
> very good has anyone had the same problem. I sent it back to Yeasu to find
> out if it the scanner or is it just poor receiver.
>
> Larry
>
>

3449. Re: 2.4GHz scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 02:36:56 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3CEEF8C7.757AD454@erols.com>
Source record: 48015
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Per reviews I have read about the ICOM R3, they conclude its performance at 2.4 ghz. as AWFUL. The video demodulator is insensitive and it "eats" batteries and is warm to the touch. Additionally, FYI most of the "interesting" frequencies are ABOVE the 2450 mhz. cutoff of the R3.
I am researching a magazine article on this new facet of monitoring and hope to have it published. It may not be because of the sensitive nature of the subject matter. In any event, I have compiled a 50 state 2 ghz. directory of users from various sources. It presently is in draft and I hope to offer it commercially this summer. It will be the "USA Video Surveillance and Radar Directory" on CD ROM and contain interesting frequencies for law enforcement, race cars, wildlife monitoring, Fire Department thermal imaging, aircraft manufacturer's flight testing, temporary airbornevideo downlinks for special events such as golf tournaments, races, parades, TV station remote broadcasts and links, etc. The price will be $25 which includes shipping. Anyone interested in the unit or directory can contact me via email.

For the past couple of months I have been beta testing a 5 inch B/W video monitor with a built in scanning feature from 2.3-2.6 ghz. It is powered by 12 VDC and works as advertised. There is NOTHING comparable available anywhere. Don't be mislead by claims of short distance reception. I have had some "hits" up to 1/2-1 mile+ distance from the source. It sells for about the cost of a current radio trunking scanner. In mobiling around a 20-25 mile area where I live I have had several "interesting" video monitoring hits.

Dave L wrote:

> I understand most scanners dont even go above 1.0 or 1.2GHz but the
> IC-R3 does. Are 2.4GHz all encrypted or muffled? Clear as a bell? If
> you know please tell me. Thanks!
>
> Dave

--

3450. Re: 417.750mhz Satellite downlink ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 02:42:11 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3CEEFA02.670DA504@erols.com>
Source record: 48016

I think a more realistic explanation is a 137 mhz. polar orbiting satellite passing over and overloading the IF of his receiver/scanner. I had a similar experience years ago while mobiliing and it also happened in the 418 mhz. range. If the audio had a "ching-ching" sound with the fax sound, that's what it was.

John Trevor Harris wrote:

> What you most likely heard was over the air rekey. On the new DEA and other fed
> radios they can push a set of buttons and it interrogates for new crypto. This
> all goes thru the radio system thru the phone/satellite link to a center in
> Florida. Once the center has verified that this is a valid radio with a valid
> esn, and the crypto in the radio itself (because with the new motorola there can
> be multiple levels of security and crypto.) it sends a encoded new day crypto
> stream back to the radio and it will load it in a memory. Then the radio can be
> used with all the radios that have been updated in DES Encryption.
>
> J999w wrote:
>
> > I've got 417.750mhz in my scanner as one of the local DEA frequencies, but I
> > heard something unusual on here this week. Around 10am CDT the squelch broke
> > with a data signal that gradually got louder until full strength for about 10
> > minutes, then gradually faded into the noise, never to be heard again. From my
> > experience, this sounded like a satellite downlink. Web searches on Google,
> > didn't come up with anything.
> >
> > Ideas ?
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > John Wilke
> > WB9UAI
> > Milwaukee

3451. Re: what is trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 02:49:45 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <gtuteu8286c3oi4ka465cgl75p9k9u2o0a@4ax.com>
Source record: 48017

While savoring a President Clinton 'special' cigar, Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net> scribbled...

>Ask the NYFD members, they may have a few choice comments.

Only a fool tries to make a FD use digital radios.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

3452. Re: NYC Fleet Week

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 02:53:06 UTC
From: Bob Hagen <radiohag@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <m0DH8.1689$3L6.817@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 48018

"Michael Wallach" <mjwallach@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.175475f599c50d4a9896a8@news.earthlink.net...
> Does anyone have suggestions for frequencies to monitor during Fleet Week
> in New York City, 23 May - 27 May?
>

It has been several years since I listened to the ships during fleet
week, but I recall most of the marine frequencies in the 156 mh area were
busy. The port of new york frequency which may be 156.65 (I'm trying to
remember). But if you just scan those marine frqs in the range of about
156.6 up to around 157.2 you should hear a lot.

Bob Hagen

3453. Pro-2005 Very low audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 03:11:42 UTC
From: Linda Dutra <dadut@ga.prestige.net>
Message-ID: <OhDH8.6301$fg3.2017642@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 48024

I have owned this radio since 1989. it has been in the closet since 1993.
It had developed an audio problem all of a sudden one day.
the volume control works but the audio is very very low. it is the same from
the ear plug as well as the regular speaker. you can hear that the audio is
getting distorted just like it should when you crank the volume up but it
just isn't load at all.
does anyone have any insight to this problem of mine?
any help on this problem is greatly appreciated.

3454. Pro-2005 Very low audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 03:23:08 UTC
From: Linda Dutra <dadut@ga.prestige.net>
Message-ID: <wsDH8.6306$fg3.2020579@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 48019

I have owned this radio since 1989. it has been in the closet since 1993.
It had developed an audio problem all of a sudden one day.
the volume control works but the audio is very very low. it is the same from
the ear plug as well as the regular speaker. you can hear that the audio is
getting distorted just like it should when you crank the volume up but it
just isn't load at all.
does anyone have any insight to this problem of mine?
any help on this problem is greatly appreciated.

3455. Re: Dayton reports??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 03:36:31 UTC
From: Joe Leikhim <jleikhim@nettally.com>
Message-ID: <3CEF06BE.B72A32C6@nettally.com>
Source record: 48020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Sure; But those are same guys that would buy up everything at opening first day and move it to their table and mark up 200%. And sellers like that either are motivated to sell or unaware of what they have to sell. Nothing has changed at all.

Randy & Cara randall wrote:

> I have been going to the Dayton HV for 22 years now and I have seen
> allot in those years, but Ebay is ruining ALL hamfests. A couple of
> years ago a person with a very pronounced New York or Bronxish accent
> came to our local Cincinnati hamfest and bought everything in site that
> was reasonably priced. It didn't matter what it was. While at a table
> I overheard this conversation. Bronx "How much is this?" Seller
> "$50.00." Bronx "Not worth it. I'll give you $30.00" Seller
> "Wellllllll OK." The seller proceeds to bag the item. Bronx to friend
> while still in easy earshot of seller "I'll get $125.00 for this one at
> least on Ebay!" Resellers like this one are truly what is killing
> Hamfests. If I was the seller and heard this, why would I bother taking
> an item to any fest? I'd sell it on Ebay and pocket the $$$ instead of
> making someone else rich.
>
> Randy KB8ASO
>
> HoopyKloopie wrote:
>
> > "Joe Leikhim" <jleikhim@nettally.com> wrote in message
> > news:3CEAF4B9.18EFB11@nettally.com...
> > >
> > >>Maybe the way around it is to have some e-bay auctions on site. Bidders
> > >>
> > > could
> > >
> > >>view the stuff, then run back to their laptops (or nearby computer kiosks)
> > >>
> > > and
> > >
> > >>put in a bid. The auctions could run 2 to 48 hours.
> > >>
> > >>Laugh about it, but time will come when you will get outbid by somebody
> > >>
> > > using a
> > >
> > >>PDA and Bluetooth standing right behind you. The dealers would love it.
> > >>

> >
> > I'd never buy radio gear on E-Bay.
> > All E-Bay represents is a "feeding frenzie"
> > type market for ham gear. What I see people
> > paying for ham gear on E-Bay leads me to
> > belive that there's an awful lot "shill bidding"
> > going on. Something that I would not put past
> > some of the so-called "private dealers" that
> > I used to see travelling to all the hamfests
> > like gypsy traders. You know, the guys that
> > buy up all the honest bargans offered for new
> > hams by the old-timers, that show up an hour
> > later on their table or on E-Bay for double the
> > price or more. Buy used ham radio equipment
> > on E-Bay...?? Anyone who does so should have
> > their head examined.
> >
> >

--
Joe Leikhim K4SAT
Jleikhim@nettally.com

"Jazz is not dead. It just smells funny." -F.Z.

3456. Re: what is trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 03:47:33 UTC
From: B Carter Coffeemugs <njuleston2wan@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <pPDH8.1813$AF3.1678@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 48021

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:gtuteu8286c3oi4ka465cgl75p9k9u2o0a@4ax.com...
> While savoring a President Clinton 'special' cigar, Walt Bertram
<wbertram@optonline.net>
> scribbled...
>
> >Ask the NYFD members, they may have a few choice comments.
>
> Only a fool tries to make a FD use digital radios.

Unfortunatly, we saw an example of how trunked
radios were useless, recorded on video for all time
during CBS Television's documentary "9/11".
Short range fireground communications work best
on VHF or UHF simplex, an have done so since the 50's.

In comes cellular/trunked radio and the whole thing
goes to pieces in actual emergency situation when
the technical infrastructure (power cut and antennas
destroyed atop the former #2WTC Tower) is eliminated.

3457. Re: Pro-2053 software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 03:56:31 UTC
From: Ray <nobody@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <PXDH8.5136$Np5.2430@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 48022

hc.me@ix.netcom.com (Harry) wrote in
news:3cf0e204.15468107@enews.newsguy.com:

> Can anyone point me to some basic memory-management software for the
> RS Pro-2053? If so, that would be much appreciated.

Get Don Starr's WIN93 software, best and it's free:
http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/Win93/index.html

You can get a programming cable (no adapters needed)
to use w/ the above here: http://www.pro93.com/

Enjoying your 2053? I am :) I have several other
scanners (AOR8200, PRO90, PRO-2006, etc) and I use
the 2053 most of the time. Thinking of selling some
older scanners and getting a PRO93, then I could
clone the programming and have the same setup for
base and handheld ...

--
Ray

3458. FA: Multi-band receiver - Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 05:02:30 UTC
From: General@superiorREMOVECAPSstuff.com
Message-ID: <3cef1a0a.111269497@news-server.satx.rr.com>
Source record: 48023

OK, so it's not technically a scanner - but you can spin the dail like the dickens if you want <g>

Radio Shack SW-100 Model 12649
Multi-band receiver
Black, UL Labatories listed
Two carrying handles and shoulder strap

AM, CB, SW1, SW2, FM, TV1, TV2, Air, Weather, VHF

Frequency selector, Tuner, Fine Tuner, AFC, Radio/PA, Power, Volume Tone

Internal FM antenna, AM directional antenna, and plug for shortwave antenna. Plug for earphone and microphone.

Uses built-in A/C power cord, 4 'D' batteries, or external 9-volt power
supply (not included)

Frequency ranges: AM - 530 - 1710 Khz; CB Channels 1 - 40; SW1 & SW2 - 4 - 12 Mhz; FM - 55 - 108 Mhz; TV1 - Channels 2 - 6; TV2 - Channels 7 - 13; Air, Weather, VHF - 108 - 175 Mhz

For detailed specifications, go to www.radioshack.com and search for 12649

Expires approx. 6:00 PM CDT 2002-05-25/

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1353948862

Multi-band Radio Shack Receiver Model 12649 on Ebay
Expires approx 6:00 pm 5/25/2002
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1353948862

3459. Re: 2.4GHz scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 08:12:42 UTC
From: "R. Belcher" <randy.belcher@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <_HHH8.5662$R_4.5357@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 48025
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

How does this radio compare to the Kenwood counterpart handheld?
Reviews?
thanks,
Randy

"John Wilson" <jwilson9@erols.com> wrote in message
news:3CEEF8C7.757AD454@erols.com...
> Per reviews I have read about the ICOM R3, they conclude its
> performance at 2.4 ghz. as AWFUL. The video demodulator is insensitive
> and it "eats" batteries and is warm to the touch. Additionally, FYI
> most of the "interesting" frequencies are ABOVE the 2450 mhz. cutoff of
> the R3.
> I am researching a magazine article on this new facet of monitoring and
> hope to have it published. It may not be because of the sensitive
> nature of the subject matter. In any event, I have compiled a 50 state
> 2 ghz. directory of users from various sources. It presently is in
> draft and I hope to offer it commercially this summer. It will be the
> "USA Video Surveillance and Radar Directory" on CD ROM and contain
> interesting frequencies for law enforcement, race cars, wildlife
> monitoring, Fire Department thermal imaging, aircraft manufacturer's
> flight testing, temporary airbornevideo downlinks for special events
> such as golf tournaments, races, parades, TV station remote broadcasts
> and links, etc. The price will be $25 which includes shipping. Anyone
> interested in the unit or directory can contact me via email.
>
> For the past couple of months I have been beta testing a 5 inch B/W
> video monitor with a built in scanning feature from 2.3-2.6 ghz. It is
> powered by 12 VDC and works as advertised. There is NOTHING comparable
> available anywhere. Don't be mislead by claims of short distance
> reception. I have had some "hits" up to 1/2-1 mile+ distance from the
> source. It sells for about the cost of a current radio trunking
> scanner. In mobiling around a 20-25 mile area where I live I have had
> several "interesting" video monitoring hits.
>
>
>
> Dave L wrote:
>
> > I understand most scanners dont even go above 1.0 or 1.2GHz but the
> > IC-R3 does. Are 2.4GHz all encrypted or muffled? Clear as a bell? If
> > you know please tell me. Thanks!
> >
> > Dave

>
> --
>

3460. Re: Pro-2005 Very low audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 13:08:47 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <bob@parnassXcutX.org>
Message-ID: <3cef8d02$0$3338$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 48026

Here's some things you can check without performing
surgery on the PRO-2005:

1) make sure the mode is set to NFM, not WFM if you are listening
to police, fire, etc.

2) Have you used the external speaker jack located on the rear panel?
Sometimes the contacts in that jack can become dirty and attenuate
the audio output. You mentioned using an earphone (jack located
on the front panel). Try connecting an external speaker to
the jack on the rear panel. A small squirt of electronic contact
cleaner in the jack may help.

The other possibility is a defect in the audio output stage; an
electrolytic capacitor for example.

I have two PRO-2005s and it is a fine radio.

On Fri, 24 May 2002 22:23:08 -0500, Linda Dutra wrote:

> I have owned this radio since 1989. it has been in the closet since
> 1993. It had developed an audio problem all of a sudden one day. the
> volume control works but the audio is very very low. it is the same from
> the ear plug as well as the regular speaker. you can hear that the audio
> is getting distorted just like it should when you crank the volume up
> but it just isn't load at all.
> does anyone have any insight to this problem of mine? any help on this
> problem is greatly appreciated.

--
==
Bob Parnass, AJ9S http://parnass.org
Linux user

3461. Re: Need a little help with Opto Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 13:36:36 UTC
From: Jeff Singer <yvszn001@sneakemail.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.17595d69b3756768989683@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 48027
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hey Steve,

To change the comm language to match your AOR 8200, just do this:

1. Turn the unit on
2. Wait one second, then slide the filter switch to OFF
3. As the unit initializes, you will see the new language displayed on the screen.

That's it! Now you can slide the switch back to ON if you wish. Also, in order for everything to work, your AOR should be turned on BEFORE you turn on the Scout.

Hope this helps.

Jeff Singer

In article <mplseuouvqnuh4d7upofq0pkfb9vns7mof@4ax.com>, number6 @speakeasy.net says...
> I recently purchased an Opto Electronics Scout frequency counter, or,
> should I really call it a frequency-grabber. It's an amazing little
> gadgie, what with its Reaction Tune feature, coupled with the
> Scannermaster SmartLink interface, there's nothing I own that it can't
> tune or download to.
>
> But I'm having a bit of trouble understanding some of its rather terse
> manual. I can reaction-tune my BC245XLT via the SmartLink box, but
> for the life of me, I can't get the thing out of CI-5 mode to RT my
> AR8200. I'm usually good to excellent when it comes to understanding
> and interpreting manuals, and what I don't understand, I can usually
> hack out, but not this time. The position of the two front-panel
> switches I know has a lot to do with it, but exactly when do you press
> the push-button to change from CI-5 to AR8000 mode, for instance?
>
> Another thing I'm trouble wrapping my head around is this. The unit
> has two phases of operation--initialization, and normal operation.
> The unit's function depends on this switch-setting business. Let's
> say, for example, that I want the LCD back-light and beeper to be
> enabled at startup, which means both switches have to be in the up
> position, but I don't want the thing to be in memory mode where it
> captures a frequency and stores it in memory, I want it to be in what
> they refer to as "free-running frequency counter mode" with no
> storage. After the unit initializes, do I then move the switches
> down? It's a little confusing when you have things that work this
> way, with two switches controlling two phases of operation with four

> options each. Any assistance would be greatly appreciated.
>
>

3462. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 15:31:37 UTC
From: Art Burke <pianomanab@starpower.net>
Message-ID: <ihbveu0f0bf675qjnk196rt0h4fcmpifav@4ax.com>
Source record: 48028
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Yes, but they are loosing 11 dollars a share. Not exactly doing well.
In fact, on the verge of backruptcy.

3463. FS: Radio Shack PRO-46 (pre-1994, cell-capable)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 15:35:23 UTC
From: Mike K <mike921@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <VaOH8.22158$Mn6.2155314@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 48031
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Pictures: http://wind.prohosting.com/w3x/pro-46.html

I have a Radio Shack PRO-46 for sale. The date code is 8A3.

I have tried the keypad trick and it works, allowing you to search the upper cellular band. You can save cellular frequencies to monitor memories and transfer them to channels to scan them like regular frequencies. You can't, however, directly enter cell freqs (normally you won't have to do this anyway), though this scanner can be modified internally if you want it to do that. Of course, I can't be held accountable if you try the mod unsuccessfully and ruin a perfectly good scanner. :)

I am asking $125 plus actual postage for express shipping from Canada (around $10). There are no customs fees for you to pay.

Please don't hesitate to e-mail me directly if you are interested, or if you have any questions. I will be happy to respond to you promptly.

3464. Re: Need a little help with Opto Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 15:35:37 UTC
From: Steve Matzura <number6@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <9nbveu8ufvuissqrd1pourl5ssivtha4k5@4ax.com>
Source record: 48029
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 25 May 2002 13:36:36 GMT, Jeff Singer
<yvszn001@sneakemail.com> wrote:

>To change the comm language to match your AOR 8200, just do this:
>
>1. Turn the unit on
>2. Wait one second, then slide the filter switch to OFF
>3. As the unit initializes, you will see the new language displayed on
>the screen.

This implies that I should start out with the Filter switch set to
OFF. Correct? What if it's in the OFF position already before I turn
it on?

3465. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 15:36:06 UTC
From: Clif Holland <radio.service@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <GbOH8.5951$Np5.1376@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 48030
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Backruptcy, is that a new term for when your back goes out rather than your wallet????

--
Clif Holland

"Art Burke" <pianomanab@starpower.net> wrote in message news:ihbveu0f0bf675qjnk196rt0h4fcmpifav@4ax.com...
> Yes, but they are loosing 11 dollars a share. Not exactly doing well.
> In fact, on the verge of backruptcy.
>

3466. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 15:44:13 UTC
From: Tony Hwang <dragon40@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <3CEFB1CD.19DAAC77@shaw.ca>
Source record: 48032
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hi,
No wonder, how can anyone make something from nothing?
What is backing up the stock like that? Does Paypal have any real asset?
Tony

Art Burke wrote:
>
> Yes, but they are loosing 11 dollars a share. Not exactly doing well.
> In fact, on the verge of backruptcy.

3467. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 15:53:07 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3CEFB358.E7AE3E1@optonline.net>
Source record: 48033
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Simple, they offer a service. Like a real estate broker, or a check cashing service.

You send them $100. They forward $99 to the person you owe. They make $1.

Their asset is the "goodwill" of their customers. Apparently that goodwill is not universal.

Tony Hwang wrote:
>
> Hi,
> No wonder, how can anyone make something from nothing?
> What is backing up the stock like that? Does Paypal have any real asset?
> Tony
>
> Art Burke wrote:
> >
> > Yes, but they are loosing 11 dollars a share. Not exactly doing well.
> > In fact, on the verge of backruptcy.

3468. Re: Pro-2005 Very low audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 16:12:30 UTC
From: Linda Dutra <dadut@ga.prestige.net>
Message-ID: <OJOH8.6408$fg3.2167973@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 48034

Thank you Bob,
All the above have been tried. I was expecting a surgical procedure which I can perform even though I am not medically qualified. I was hoping to find someone who has experienced this type of problem and have had to replace components so I might have an Idea as to which components to look at.
The audio is there, it just does not have any drive behind it.
Again thanks very much Bob.
I have to scrub up now.
"Bob_Parnass_AJ9S" <bob@parnassXcutX.org> wrote in message news:3cef8d02$0$3338$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com...
> Here's some things you can check without performing
> surgery on the PRO-2005:
>
> 1) make sure the mode is set to NFM, not WFM if you are listening
> to police, fire, etc.
>
> 2) Have you used the external speaker jack located on the rear panel?
> Sometimes the contacts in that jack can become dirty and attenuate
> the audio output. You mentioned using an earphone (jack located
> on the front panel). Try connecting an external speaker to
> the jack on the rear panel. A small squirt of electronic contact
> cleaner in the jack may help.
>
> The other possibility is a defect in the audio output stage; an
> electrolytic capacitor for example.
>
> I have two PRO-2005s and it is a fine radio.
>
> On Fri, 24 May 2002 22:23:08 -0500, Linda Dutra wrote:
>
> > I have owned this radio since 1989. it has been in the closet since
> > 1993. It had developed an audio problem all of a sudden one day. the
> > volume control works but the audio is very very low. it is the same from
> > the ear plug as well as the regular speaker. you can hear that the audio
> > is getting distorted just like it should when you crank the volume up
> > but it just isn't load at all.
> > does anyone have any insight to this problem of mine? any help on this
> > problem is greatly appreciated.
>
> --
> ===
> Bob Parnass, AJ9S http://parnass.org
> Linux user

3469. damned RIAA/MPAA tyrants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 16:40:49 UTC
From: damntheiroilyhides@thingfind.com
Message-ID: <1f058b28.0205250840.cd7c7e7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48035
Cross-posted to: rec.video.production,rec.video.desktop,rec.radio.scanner,alt.society.civil-liberty,rec.video.cable-tv

Found this newsgroup post below on alt.tv.frasier.
Appears the copyright gestapo is at it again. SIGH! --D.T.

> Subject: Recording TV shows to become illegal?
> Newsgroups: alt.tv.frasier
> Date: Sat, 25 May 2002 14:26:29 +0000 (UTC)
> Organization: Fachhochschule Augsburg, Germany
> Message-ID: <aco6uk$556$1@av2.rz.fh-augsburg.de>
> From: Homer Simpson <homer@springfield.com>
> Path: mindspring!newsfeed0.news.atl.earthlink.net!news.atl.earthlink.ne
t!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!bloom-beacon.
mit.edu!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.sy
r.edu!newsfeed.icl.net!newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!news-lei1.d
fn.de!news-mue1.dfn.de!nntp.fh-augsburg.de!not-for-mail
> I'm posting this to the TV groups I read, so I guess it's not spam. :)
> Has anyone heard of the MPAA/RIAA goons trying to take away our TV show
> recording/copying capabilities?? Not only copying/recording TV, but
> outrageously also radio, CDs, audio tapes, and COMPUTER FILES(!). Its
> worth checking out this stuff:
>
> http://slashdot.org/articles/02/05/23/2355237.shtml?tid=97
> http://bpdg.blogs.eff.org/archives/000113.html
> http://www.politechbot.com/docs/cbdtpa/ (see the News Articles part)
> http://www.digitalconsumer.org/
>
> Flame your congressmen, sign petitions, write Hilary Rosen at the RIAA
> and Jack Valenti at the MPAA promising to boycott any hardware that
> pinches a loaf on your rights. Enough is enough!
>
> Just showing what arrogant and contemptuous oligarchs these fools are,
> here's some quote from a story about industry execs. who want to prevent
> our TiVos from skipping commercials:
> "Whenever you fail to watch a commercial, he added, 'you're actually
> STEALING the programming.' When the interviewer asked whether it's
> OK to go to the bathroom or get a soft drink out of the refrigerator,
> Kellner replied, 'I guess there's a certain amount of tolerance for
> going to the bathroom.'"
> http://www.siliconvalley.com/mld/siliconvalley/business/columnists/3200101.htm
>
> There you have it. People who "guess" there's a "certain amount of
> tolerance" for us going to the bathroom are working to dictate how we
> may use our CD players, computers, VCRs, on and on and on.....

3470. Re: MDT Monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 16:51:00 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <UhPH8.85521$Md6.2626929@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 48036

"b7spectra" <webmaster@scanatlanta.com> wrote in message
news:H6xH8.113719$fW5.21137949@typhoon.atl.ipsvc.net...
> Looking for a copy of MDT Monitor.
>
> b7spectra@netscape.net
>
> --
>

http://chroot.ath.cx/fade/projects/decoding/

3471. Re: FS: SMA-to-BNC custom fit antenna adapters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 17:15:12 UTC
From: k6lcs@clintbradford.com
Message-ID: <fc08b778.0205250915.46d0acb2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 48037
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

>>Gee thanks Stephen but I'd rather got to <somewhere else> and buy
<an inferior product for less money>...

There's no comparison between the all-metal units you see for four
bucks, and the product that Gulyas offers.

Gulyas' adapters are tailor-made for different HTs - they take into
consideration the minor differences in spacing and are vastly superior
to the "all metal" units available elsewhere. They protect your HT's
antenna connector by providing "shock absorbtion" spacers that
custom-fit HTs.

Clint Bradford, K6LCS
http://www.clintbradford.com

3472. F.S. Uniden/ Bearcat 855XLT Base Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 17:24:05 UTC
From: rted821836@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020525132405.06866.00000417@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 48038

Base Scanner Uniden / Bearcar 855 XLT, 100 Channels & the Cell's.
Power supply, antenna & scanner.
$115 plus $10 UPS shipping.

3473. Re: damned RIAA/MPAA tyrants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 17:49:43 UTC
From: "Cliff F." <cliff-f@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <X8QH8.2991$jv5.765809@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 48039
Cross-posted to: rec.video.production,rec.video.desktop,rec.radio.scanner,alt.society.civil-liberty,rec.video.cable-tv

You will have to pass an exam regarding the content of the commercial before
allowed to go on to the next part of the TV programming :)

"Damn their Oily Hides" <damntheiroilyhides@thingfind.com> wrote in message
news:1f058b28.0205250840.cd7c7e7@posting.google.com...
> Found this newsgroup post below on alt.tv.frasier.
> Appears the copyright gestapo is at it again. SIGH! --D.T.
>
> > Subject: Recording TV shows to become illegal?
> > Newsgroups: alt.tv.frasier
> > Date: Sat, 25 May 2002 14:26:29 +0000 (UTC)
> > Organization: Fachhochschule Augsburg, Germany
> > Message-ID: <aco6uk$556$1@av2.rz.fh-augsburg.de>
> > From: Homer Simpson <homer@springfield.com>
> > Path: mindspring!newsfeed0.news.atl.earthlink.net!news.atl.earthlink.ne
> t!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!bloom-beacon.
> mit.edu!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.sy
> r.edu!newsfeed.icl.net!newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!news-lei1.d
> fn.de!news-mue1.dfn.de!nntp.fh-augsburg.de!not-for-mail
> > I'm posting this to the TV groups I read, so I guess it's not spam. :)
> > Has anyone heard of the MPAA/RIAA goons trying to take away our TV show
> > recording/copying capabilities?? Not only copying/recording TV, but
> > outrageously also radio, CDs, audio tapes, and COMPUTER FILES(!). Its
> > worth checking out this stuff:
> >
> > http://slashdot.org/articles/02/05/23/2355237.shtml?tid=97
> > http://bpdg.blogs.eff.org/archives/000113.html
> > http://www.politechbot.com/docs/cbdtpa/ (see the News Articles part)
> > http://www.digitalconsumer.org/
> >
> > Flame your congressmen, sign petitions, write Hilary Rosen at the RIAA
> > and Jack Valenti at the MPAA promising to boycott any hardware that
> > pinches a loaf on your rights. Enough is enough!
> >
> > Just showing what arrogant and contemptuous oligarchs these fools are,
> > here's some quote from a story about industry execs. who want to prevent
> > our TiVos from skipping commercials:
> > "Whenever you fail to watch a commercial, he added, 'you're actually
> > STEALING the programming.' When the interviewer asked whether it's
> > OK to go to the bathroom or get a soft drink out of the refrigerator,
> > Kellner replied, 'I guess there's a certain amount of tolerance for
> > going to the bathroom.'"
> >

http://www.siliconvalley.com/mld/siliconvalley/business/columnists/3200101.h
tm
> >
> > There you have it. People who "guess" there's a "certain amount of
> > tolerance" for us going to the bathroom are working to dictate how we
> > may use our CD players, computers, VCRs, on and on and on.....

3474. Another sucessful Dayton Hamvention, Thanks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 18:26:56 UTC
From: "G.p.G. (DS1)" <Furbish@aamerican.com>
Message-ID: <3CEFD770.8736A7F1@aamerican.com>
Source record: 48040
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.scanner

Hi all:

Thanks again to every one that stopped by
our sales booth, space #3257, and especially to those
of you that purchased something. We still have a few
of those Maxon Programmable PL Encode/Decode boards
left for sale at $19.99.

Talk about all those great deals again this
year at the hamvention. Maybe that rain late Friday
afternoon had something to do with it. What about
those inside dealers selling BRAND NEW STUFF below
cost again this year. Can you believe it, a Brand
New IC706MKIIG with the $80 RMK-706 Separation Kit
option for ONLY $699. How about Brand New Tri-Band
Kenwood TH-F6A for $299, I went in at 10:00 AM
Sunday (2 hours earlier than I normally do) and made
these two big purchases. No SALES TAX, and Shipped
Free to my home. Both units arrived via UPS
yesterday afternoon.

This year, Sunday at "HIGH NOON", I was in
the outside Flea market making a killing. I bought
6 used 12 VDC power supplies made by Astron Corp.
(equivalent to their model RS-12A , 3 of them even
have speakers built inside of them, so cool) for just
$5 each. Also found a few (4) of my favorite mobile
radios, Motorola UHF PL Maxar 50's for just $10 each,
and two of the Maxar 50's even came with mics and mobile
mounting brackets. I bought a mint Decibel Products
220 Mhz (my favorite band) 4 can high power base duplexer
for only $40, and 3 used Celwave 6 can UHF black mobile
duplexers for only $10 each. Hey some guys still try and
sell those Celwave UHF mobile duplexers right here on this
N.G for $150+. I had many other great buying deals on
the other three days (Thur - Sat) but by far my "Sunday
HIGH NOON" deals where the best! Got home late Wednesday
night, and still haven't had enough time to put everything
away yet. Thank goodness its a long, 3 Day Holiday weekend

Until the next 2003 Hamvention, Rain & or Shine.

73's from;
Gregory Gately (K9OY)
Brookfield, Il. 60513

(708) 387-2490
http://www.aamerican.com
http://www.aamerican.com/sadfar
http://www.aamerican.com/k9sad

3475. Looking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 20:13:37 UTC
From: Patrick Wilson <w4pw@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <acora6iqq1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 48041
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm looking for a nice easy to use scanner that also receives AM-Radio, FM-Radio and TV sound. What would be a decent model? I have an Alnico X10T, but it's a little too fancy for me, so I'm ready to trade for a simpler easy to use model.

Thanks,

Patrick

3476. Re: Looking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 21:15:29 UTC
From: Wayne SIKORSKI <wsikorski@snip.net>
Message-ID: <3ceffeab$1@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 48042

The Alinco DJ-X3 comes with those modes pre-programmed. Very easy to use unit. I've been using one now for a short while and I like it.

Wayne

"Patrick Wilson" <w4pw@arrl.net> wrote in message news:acora6iqq1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> I'm looking for a nice easy to use scanner that also receives AM-Radio,
> FM-Radio and TV sound. What would be a decent model? I have an Alnico
> X10T, but it's a little too fancy for me, so I'm ready to trade for a
> simpler easy to use model.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Patrick
>
>
>

3477. wtb opto

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 21:48:20 UTC
From: brett <besat@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <EETH8.37977$2m.11101353@typhoon1.se.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 48043

looking to buy a optoelectronics scout

please email me

at
besat@attbi.com

or call me at 904-591-3892

brett
ke4orw

3478. Re: 417.750mhz Satellite downlink ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 22:50:43 UTC
From: badfood4@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020525185043.19977.00000495@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 48044

I DO NOT UNDERSTAND IF I TUNE A SCANNER TO 137 MHZ I DO NOT GET FAX WHAT DO YOU NEED TO ADD TO THE RADIO TO GET FAX. HF FAX ARE THE ONLY ONES I GOT AND HOW DO YOU DECODE THE DIGTAL RADIO.

3479. Re: 5 mile range with an indoor scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 22:53:07 UTC
From: badfood4@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020525185307.19977.00000496@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 48045

GET REAL THE PHONES THEM SELFS DO NOT SEND THAT DISTANCE. GET ONE OF THOSE CELL PHONES AND RADIO PHONE LIKE GET TWO OF THEM, YOU KNOW THE ONES THAT BOAST ABOUT YOU DO NOT NEED SERVICE TO TALK TO EACH OTHER.

3480. Re: ACARS with Linux

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 22:54:03 UTC
From: badfood4@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020525185403.19977.00000497@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 48046

ACARS AT AIRNAV

3481. Re: Any military aircraft scanner sights on the internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 22:55:19 UTC
From: badfood4@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020525185519.19977.00000498@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 47897

THERE IS A WEB PAGE IN UK FOR THAT. I DO NOT KNOW BUT SEACH FOR UHF/VHF RADIO
AND YOU MAY FIND IT.

3482. Re: DOES ANYBODY KNOW HOW MUCH THE AOR-AR2002 GOES FOR. YEAR IT WAS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 22:55:56 UTC
From: badfood4@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020525185556.19977.00000499@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 47898

GO TO THE AOL WEBPAGE AND ASK THEM.

3483. Re: Grounding question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 22:58:54 UTC
From: badfood4@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020525185854.19977.00000500@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 47899

THE GROUNDING POLE HAS TO GO IN TO THE WATER TABLE FOR GOOD GROUND LIKE A 6 TO 8 FEET DEPENDING WERE YOU ARE. TRY RADIOSHACK.COM FOR GROUNDING INFORMATION.

3484. Re: 2.4GHz scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 23:07:21 UTC
From: badfood4@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020525190721.19977.00000501@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 47900

ICOM IR-3 ARE NOT GOOD SCANNERS THEY SAY THEY ARE VERY GOOD WHEN YOU ARE GOING TO BUY ONE FROM CCRANE OR GROVE BUT WHEN YOU GET IT AND HAVE IT FOR A WHILE IT WILL HAVE A MEM ERR AND NOT WORK FOR YOU . YES THIS SCANNER WILL COVER HAMRADIO AND TV TRANMITER AND SOME SAT TV IN THE 2.4GHZ BUT WHEN YOU GET IT AND FIND OUT THAT IT GETS A MEM ERR AND WILL NOT REST ANY HOW AND THEY TELL YOU TO SEND IT BACK TO THEM AND THEY WILL FIX IT FOR A FEED THAN YOU WILL NOT LIKE IT. FIND A HAMRADIO STORE AND GET A ALL BANDER TRANCIVER WITH TV INTERFACE AND SAVE YOU MONEY FOR THAT.

3485. Re: FS:PRO-92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 23:08:56 UTC
From: badfood4@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020525190856.19977.00000502@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 47901

FIND YOUR TICKET AND IF YOU CAN SEND IT BACK TO RADIOSHACK . I PAID LIKE 300 USD FOR ONE AND GIVE A BAD POWER SUPPLY FOR IT.

3486. Re: Another sucessful Dayton Hamvention, Thanks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-25 23:47:18 UTC
From: Randy & Cara randall <crrandal@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3CF02329.3090400@earthlink.net>
Source record: 47902
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.scanner

I wish I would have went back on Sunday. The flea market
prices on Friday and Saturday just sucked. Prices were WAY to high.
Most sellers wanted next to new prices for used stuff. Some
were asking higher than Ebay prices! Went inside and
bought new items. Why spend $125.00 for a used item when it is being
sold inside brand new for $129.00?! My father in law saw a matching
Drake speaker for a R8B and the guy was asking $60.00. When told you
could buy it new from Universal Radio for $48.00 he said "OK How about
$45.00." For the extra $3.00 he will by it new.

Randy KB8ASO

p.s. If I wanted to pay Ebay prices, why spend $17.00 to get into
Dayton, $16.00 to park, $30.00 for gas etc..... Might as well
buy it on Ebay. It is less expensive, no two hour drive one way,
delivered to my door and with the integrity of some hams lately, there
is no less risk of getting ripped off!

G.p.G. (DS1) wrote:

> Hi all:
>
> Thanks again to every one that stopped by
> our sales booth, space #3257, and especially to those
> of you that purchased something. We still have a few
> of those Maxon Programmable PL Encode/Decode boards
> left for sale at $19.99.
>
> Talk about all those great deals again this
> year at the hamvention. Maybe that rain late Friday
> afternoon had something to do with it. What about
> those inside dealers selling BRAND NEW STUFF below
> cost again this year. Can you believe it, a Brand
> New IC706MKIIG with the $80 RMK-706 Separation Kit
> option for ONLY $699. How about Brand New Tri-Band
> Kenwood TH-F6A for $299, I went in at 10:00 AM
> Sunday (2 hours earlier than I normally do) and made
> these two big purchases. No SALES TAX, and Shipped
> Free to my home. Both units arrived via UPS
> yesterday afternoon.
>
> This year, Sunday at "HIGH NOON", I was in
> the outside Flea market making a killing. I bought
> 6 used 12 VDC power supplies made by Astron Corp.

> (equivalent to their model RS-12A , 3 of them even
> have speakers built inside of them, so cool) for just
> $5 each. Also found a few (4) of my favorite mobile
> radios, Motorola UHF PL Maxar 50's for just $10 each,
> and two of the Maxar 50's even came with mics and mobile
> mounting brackets. I bought a mint Decibel Products
> 220 Mhz (my favorite band) 4 can high power base duplexer
> for only $40, and 3 used Celwave 6 can UHF black mobile
> duplexers for only $10 each. Hey some guys still try and
> sell those Celwave UHF mobile duplexers right here on this
> N.G for $150+. I had many other great buying deals on
> the other three days (Thur - Sat) but by far my "Sunday
> HIGH NOON" deals where the best! Got home late Wednesday
> night, and still haven't had enough time to put everything
> away yet. Thank goodness its a long, 3 Day Holiday weekend
>
>
> Until the next 2003 Hamvention, Rain & or Shine.
>
> 73's from;
> Gregory Gately (K9OY)
> Brookfield, Il. 60513
> (708) 387-2490
> http://www.aamerican.com
> http://www.aamerican.com/sadfar
> http://www.aamerican.com/k9sad
>

3487. Re: Another sucessful Dayton Hamvention, Thanks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 00:08:19 UTC
From: Ron Schuster <rschuste@one.net>
Message-ID: <uf09r2hnnb62bd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47903
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.scanner

I agree with caller # 2. He forgot $5 for a program. HQ 180 waxed up $700.
Clean Ranger $600. I assume they went home with their gear.

--

<>-< <>-< <>-< <>-< <>-<<>-<<>-<<>-<
Ron Schuster (N9RC)
Cincinnati, OH
rschuste@one.net
Outbound eMail scanned by Norton AntiVirus
<>-< <>-< <>-< <>-< <>-< <>-<<>-<<>-<

3488. Widescreen DVDs in danger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 00:12:14 UTC
From: CoolDave_0@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1fa6f03d.0205251612.4160d800@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47904

This petition is meant to show Blockbuster Video that the DVD community does want rent and/or purchase widescreen DVDs. The film studios actually listen to Blockbuster, and if they see that Blockbuster is only stocking Full Frame DVDs, it could ruin the entire DVD format for those who enjoy true film.

http://www.petitiononline.com/widedvd/petition.html

3489. Re: Pro-2005 Very low audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 00:59:50 UTC
From: JohnL <xyz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <acpbp9$relm2$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47905

On Sat, 25 May 2002 12:12:30 -0400, Linda Dutra wrote:

> Thank you Bob,
> All the above have been tried. I was expecting a surgical procedure which
> I can perform even though I am not medically qualified. I was hoping to
> find someone who has experienced this type of problem and have had to
> replace components so I might have an Idea as to which components to look
> at. The audio is there, it just does not have any drive behind it. Again
> thanks very much Bob.

My 2005 is still running fine since I bought it new, so while I haven't seem this problem there is one thing I thought of that Bob didn't mention. Have you checked the attenuation switch in the back? While I don't think that would cause the problem you describe, I thought it was worth mentioning.

I'll check my 2005 service manual and see what it suggests for this problem and post back later tonight. I'm guessing a bad cap or audio amp just from experience with other types of receivers. Sometimes a bad cap is easy to spot. If they are leaking they have a crusty residue along the top of the cap and they should look a bit "fat" and bulging. Maybe something to keep you busy while I look for more suggestions!

--
John L
Note the email address used for posting is not valid.
Sent with PAN 0.11.93 running on Mandrake Linux 8.2

3490. Re: ACARS with Linux

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 01:11:57 UTC
From: Book <bookvous@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3cf0365e$0$2520$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 47906

Maybe I'm blind but I can't find anything about a linux version, and it
doesn't look free.....

--
Book

"badfood4" <badfood4@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020525185403.19977.00000497@mb-fa.aol.com...
> ACARS AT AIRNAV

3491. Re: 417.750mhz Satellite downlink ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 01:12:54 UTC
From: Book <bookvous@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3cf03697$0$2525$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 47907

Well turning of caps tends to help.....

--
Book

"badfood4" <badfood4@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020525185043.19977.00000495@mb-fa.aol.com...
> I DO NOT UNDERSTAND IF I TUNE A SCANNER TO 137 MHZ I DO NOT GET FAX WHAT
DO YOU
> NEED TO ADD TO THE RADIO TO GET FAX. HF FAX ARE THE ONLY ONES I GOT AND
HOW DO
> YOU DECODE THE DIGTAL RADIO.

3492. Re: Another sucessful Dayton Hamvention, Thanks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 01:17:39 UTC
From: laborkei <laborkeiNOSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3cf03bc2_1@nopics.sjc>
Source record: 47908
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.scanner

Well spoken all of you guys..

One of the items I wanted to purchase was a Dummy Load.
All over the flea market there were Bird 500 Watt units that ranged from
$120.00 to $500.00 for the same unit in various conditions.

I finally made my purchase from the person who was across from Mendelsons
for $120.00, and the unit looked brand new.
I was very happy with my purchase, but if you were not careful,
you could easily pay to much for something.

I will return to Dayton, but will continue to make my shopping list
before I leave, and price the items first.

It was very repetitive to here sellers in the flea market say..
"The price is.., and if you don't buy it, I will put it on ebay."

I have not been to Dayton in 15 years, but it was amazing to
see so much "Junk" that people were trying to sell.
Some of these rigs looked like they left them out in the rain
on Friday and they wanted prices that would outweigh
the risk in purchasing them.

Keith, WD5BJQ

"Randy & Cara randall" <crrandal@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3CF02329.3090400@earthlink.net...
> I wish I would have went back on Sunday. The flea market
> prices on Friday and Saturday just sucked. Prices were WAY to high.
> Most sellers wanted next to new prices for used stuff. Some
> were asking higher than Ebay prices! Went inside and
> bought new items. Why spend $125.00 for a used item when it is being
> sold inside brand new for $129.00?! My father in law saw a matching
> Drake speaker for a R8B and the guy was asking $60.00. When told you
> could buy it new from Universal Radio for $48.00 he said "OK How about
> $45.00." For the extra $3.00 he will by it new.
>
> Randy KB8ASO
>
> p.s. If I wanted to pay Ebay prices, why spend $17.00 to get into
> Dayton, $16.00 to park, $30.00 for gas etc..... Might as well
> buy it on Ebay. It is less expensive, no two hour drive one way,
> delivered to my door and with the integrity of some hams lately, there
> is no less risk of getting ripped off!
>

> G.p.G. (DS1) wrote:
>
> > Hi all:
> >
> > Thanks again to every one that stopped by
> > our sales booth, space #3257, and especially to those
> > of you that purchased something. We still have a few
> > of those Maxon Programmable PL Encode/Decode boards
> > left for sale at $19.99.
> >
> > Talk about all those great deals again this
> > year at the hamvention. Maybe that rain late Friday
> > afternoon had something to do with it. What about
> > those inside dealers selling BRAND NEW STUFF below
> > cost again this year. Can you believe it, a Brand
> > New IC706MKIIG with the $80 RMK-706 Separation Kit
> > option for ONLY $699. How about Brand New Tri-Band
> > Kenwood TH-F6A for $299, I went in at 10:00 AM
> > Sunday (2 hours earlier than I normally do) and made
> > these two big purchases. No SALES TAX, and Shipped
> > Free to my home. Both units arrived via UPS
> > yesterday afternoon.
> >
> > This year, Sunday at "HIGH NOON", I was in
> > the outside Flea market making a killing. I bought
> > 6 used 12 VDC power supplies made by Astron Corp.
> > (equivalent to their model RS-12A , 3 of them even
> > have speakers built inside of them, so cool) for just
> > $5 each. Also found a few (4) of my favorite mobile
> > radios, Motorola UHF PL Maxar 50's for just $10 each,
> > and two of the Maxar 50's even came with mics and mobile
> > mounting brackets. I bought a mint Decibel Products
> > 220 Mhz (my favorite band) 4 can high power base duplexer
> > for only $40, and 3 used Celwave 6 can UHF black mobile
> > duplexers for only $10 each. Hey some guys still try and
> > sell those Celwave UHF mobile duplexers right here on this
> > N.G for $150+. I had many other great buying deals on
> > the other three days (Thur - Sat) but by far my "Sunday
> > HIGH NOON" deals where the best! Got home late Wednesday
> > night, and still haven't had enough time to put everything
> > away yet. Thank goodness its a long, 3 Day Holiday weekend
> >
> >
> > Until the next 2003 Hamvention, Rain & or Shine.
> >
> > 73's from;
> > Gregory Gately (K9OY)
> > Brookfield, Il. 60513
> > (708) 387-2490
> > http://www.aamerican.com

> > http://www.aamerican.com/sadfar
> > http://www.aamerican.com/k9sad
> >
>

3493. Re: Pro-2053 software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 02:00:45 UTC
From: hc.me@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3cf03e2f.48737819@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 47910

[On Sat, 25 May 2002 03:56:31 GMT, Ray <nobody@verizon.net>
wrote:]

> Get Don Starr's WIN93 software, best and it's free:
> http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/Win93/index.html
>
> You can get a programming cable (no adapters needed)
> to use w/ the above here: http://www.pro93.com/

Thank you.

> Enjoying your 2053? I am :)

Very much so. I am surprised by much it picks up. I live in an area
that is fairly hilly, and I'm currently getting more than I want to
receive. I think I will be even happier once I figure out how to
control the memory. For the bucks, it's a very impressive radio.

I will add that I have no complaints about my local Radio Shack
store. People tend to pan RS, and I can understand why. The store
where I used to live was worthless. The one here is very good.

Harry

3494. Re: Looking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 02:09:56 UTC
From: Melv <melv7956@nocrapntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <dsXH8.2590$v_2.168004@news8-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 47909
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Check out the review on the bearcat 278CLT at www.ukmidlandscanner.co.uk--
Melv
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/UKMidlandscanner
http://www.ukmidlandscanner.co.uk
http://www.radioscanners.co.uk

"Patrick Wilson" <w4pw@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:acora6iqq1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> I'm looking for a nice easy to use scanner that also receives AM-Radio,
> FM-Radio and TV sound. What would be a decent model? I have an Alnico
> X10T, but it's a little too fancy for me, so I'm ready to trade for a
> simpler easy to use model.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Patrick
>
>
>

3495. Re: You Might Belong to a Redneck Volunteer fire department if .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 03:30:42 UTC
From: Technomage <proudhawk@uswestmail.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.05.25.20.30.39.677.12160@uswestmail.net>
Source record: 47911
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

well,
even genius level people have spelling problems (look at Einstein. He had
trouble with basic math).

We are all cursed on some way. I'm not the world's best typist, but I am
a better orator than writer. I can crunch numbers in my head all day
long, but don't ask me to write (as you can see, I'm not real good at
it).

As for jokes, it would help to see a few "emoticons".

Technomage

In article <sgcneukh1nvto8hah87qphgatcsbt8mk7q@4ax.com>, "SB"
<StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote:

> "Technomage" wrote:
>
>>I didn't spend 4 years in college to debate this pointless drivel with
>>the likes of you. Fact is, I'm damned well smart enough to know better.
>
> And, perhaps, spell better?
>
> His comment was jokingly referring to your spelling "country" as
> "cunty". A Freudian slip on your part, maybe?
>
> Oh ...and having a 4-year college degree is not a testimony to one's IQ.
>
> Three words: National Basketball Association.

--
I will not be pushed, filed, stamped, indexed, briefed, debriefed, or numbered!
My life is my own - No. 6

3496. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 03:32:55 UTC
From: Technomage <proudhawk@uswestmail.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.05.25.20.33.01.325.12160@uswestmail.net>
Source record: 47912
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I know this seems a little old and is definitely off topic.
However, I have one solution that I know has worked.

if you have to use places like "paypal", then setup a seperate account
just for that purpose. This way, the only way they can take money is if
there is any to take.

Technomage

In article <7yzD8.4581$Ok3.2771411@news1.news.adelphia.net>, "N1RSP"
<grburke@adelphia.net> wrote:

> Well Paypal has done it again to me.They are taking money from my
> account for no reason as I don't use it since they screwed me out of
> $400.00.Now I got a thing on my account saying they deducted from my
> account for update charges.
> I have emailed them several time with no results except for a automated
> response to email them if I have a problem.So I do and get the same
> response over and over again.
> As of now I have closed my account and reopened a new one so they won't
> screw me for more.
> WATCH OUT FOR PAYPAL !! They will get you for sure.
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free. Checked by AVG anti-virus system
> (http://www.grisoft.com). Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 -
> Release Date: 4/19/2002

--
I will not be pushed, filed, stamped, indexed, briefed, debriefed, or numbered!
My life is my own - No. 6

3497. Re: PCR-1K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 04:18:26 UTC
From: milshell <agentx2@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <uf0oh6qiqa11bf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47913
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks for the replies. Most of the links you find on this radio are dead now. It's a shame because It's not a bad little receiver if it just had some good software. I guess I'm one of the minority who doesn't really care for TalkPCR. It seems to have all the features I want but the interface just seems to be lacking.
-JH

"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote in message news:3CE9A3EF.80CB9629@optonline.net...
> i thought PCR-1K was a windows program.
>
> PCR.COM and pcscan.com are dos programs.
>
> go here
>
> http://www.micosyen.com/radio.htm
>
> walt
>
> milshell wrote:
> >
> > I'm looking for the dos PCR-1000 control software called PCR-1K. Does anyone
> > have a copy of it or a url for this software you can send me? Their page
> > doesn't seem to exist any more.
> >
> > Thanks
> > Jim H
> > agentx2@earthlink.net

3498. best scanner for $600-$800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 04:22:46 UTC
From: ashan5@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <58e5d449.0205252022.198845f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47914

so what do you think? the scanner can be dependant on a laptop in fnecessary.

3499. Re: Pro-2005 Very low audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 05:47:49 UTC
From: Linda Dutra <dadut@ga.prestige.net>
Message-ID: <9G_H8.6584$fg3.2314064@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 47915

Thank you for checking your Service Manual John.
I will start taking a closer look at caps to see if I find anything you've
mentioned
"JohnL" <xyz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:acpbp9$relm2$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de...
> On Sat, 25 May 2002 12:12:30 -0400, Linda Dutra wrote:
>
> > Thank you Bob,
> > All the above have been tried. I was expecting a surgical procedure
which
> > I can perform even though I am not medically qualified. I was hoping to
> > find someone who has experienced this type of problem and have had to
> > replace components so I might have an Idea as to which components to
look
> > at. The audio is there, it just does not have any drive behind it. Again
> > thanks very much Bob.
>
> My 2005 is still running fine since I bought it new, so while I haven't
> seem this problem there is one thing I thought of that Bob didn't mention.
> Have you checked the attenuation switch in the back? While I don't think
> that would cause the problem you describe, I thought it was worth
> mentioning.
>
> I'll check my 2005 service manual and see what it suggests for this
problem and
> post back later tonight. I'm guessing a bad cap or audio amp just from
> experience with other types of receivers. Sometimes a bad cap is easy to
> spot. If they are leaking they have a crusty residue along the top of the
> cap and they should look a bit "fat" and bulging. Maybe something to keep
> you busy while I look for more suggestions!
>
> --
> John L
> Note the email address used for posting is not valid.
> Sent with PAN 0.11.93 running on Mandrake Linux 8.2
>

3500. Re: You Might Belong to a Redneck Volunteer fire department if .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 09:48:40 UTC
From: dxer78753@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020526054840.08501.00000578@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 47916

>and your an expert, right?
>
>I didn't spend 4 years in college

And he missed the your/you're, their/they're/there, its/it's day.

3501. Kenwood TS-820 & MFJ=941E For auction ends Sunday around 11am est

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 11:37:23 UTC
From: Fat Bastard's Opium Den <fatbastard44501@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <TN3I8.529$%k1.177153@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 47917
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Tuner
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1354076331&ssPageName=ADM
E:B:LC:US:1

Rig
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1354074118&ssPageName=ADM
E:B:LC:US:1

3502. Re: Grounding question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 13:01:24 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3CF0DCA4.30782A93@USA.net>
Source record: 47918

Why are you posting in "CAPS"? It makes your post hard to read and hurts my ears.

badfood4 wrote:

> THE GROUNDING POLE HAS TO GO IN TO THE WATER TABLE FOR GOOD GROUND LIKE A 6 TO
> 8 FEET DEPENDING WERE YOU ARE. TRY RADIOSHACK.COM FOR GROUNDING INFORMATION.

3503. Re: Need a little help with Opto Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 14:22:28 UTC
From: Jeff Singer <yvszn001@sneakemail.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.175ab9a5a6c9cef1989685@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 47919
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Steve,

Why don't you just try it?

I have not implied anything. Please read my instructions again and just
follow them as written. Once the new language diaplays, you can slide
the filter switch back up.

Jeff

> On Sat, 25 May 2002 13:36:36 GMT, Jeff Singer
> <yvszn001@sneakemail.com> wrote:
>
> >To change the comm language to match your AOR 8200, just do this:
> >
> >1. Turn the unit on
> >2. Wait one second, then slide the filter switch to OFF
> >3. As the unit initializes, you will see the new language displayed on
> >the screen.
>
> This implies that I should start out with the Filter switch set to
> OFF. Correct? What if it's in the OFF position already before I turn
> it on?
>
>
>

3504. Sportcat SC-200 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 14:32:53 UTC
From: News <broberts1254@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <0o6I8.26063$op.3486473@read2.cgocable.net>
Source record: 47920
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Programming software for the SC-200.
Download a free trial version at:

http://home.cogeco.ca/~itssoftware/index.html

3505. Re: damned RIAA/MPAA tyrants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 15:56:49 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <uf21m2h1tsmvb6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47921
Cross-posted to: rec.video.production,rec.video.desktop,rec.radio.scanner,alt.society.civil-liberty,rec.video.cable-tv

Recording TV shows is legal , according to the United States Congress. It is also legal to copy any publicly broadcasted material, for your own use. You need to catch up on these laws before spouting off in such a manner. Its the law, buddy.

<idiocy snipped>

3506. Re: Widescreen DVDs in danger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 16:06:14 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <uf227nj8osfee7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47922

First off, this has nothing to do with Radio Scanners. Secondly, letterboxing SUCKS, period. There is little or nothing happening out at the edge of the screen in these so-called "wide-screen" epics, and it makes the images so damn small you need a magnifying glass to make them out. Somebody had to say it, plain and simple..Letterboxing - a good example of how to ruin an otherwise perfectly good movie.....

"Dave L" <CoolDave_0@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:1fa6f03d.0205251612.4160d800@posting.google.com...
> This petition is meant to show Blockbuster Video that the DVD
> community does want rent and/or purchase widescreen DVDs. The film
> studios actually listen to Blockbuster, and if they see that
> Blockbuster is only stocking Full Frame DVDs, it could ruin the entire
> DVD format for those who enjoy true film.
>
> http://www.petitiononline.com/widedvd/petition.html

3507. Two Scanners - One Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 16:29:57 UTC
From: "Charles J. Coumbe" <dunestalker@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uf23canr4l7c43@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47923
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

I have a Radio Shack dipole antenna I have been using on my BC855XLT
for the past few years with no problems (except for the fact that
there's really not much to listen to up here in Fairbanks, Alaska!).
I am in the process of acquiring a new BC245XLT next week and am
wondering if I can connect both scanners to the same antenna. One of
the reasons I'm getting a new scanner is related to the fact that I'm
getting ready to move to Omaha, Nebraska next month and will
most-likely have a lot more to listen to once all my stuff arrives
and I get everything setup again.

Anyway, if connecting both scanners to the same antenna is not a
problem, what kind of splitter should I use? I suppose it would be
quite similar to connecting two TVs to the same antenna except for
the fact that the cables are quite different.

I've got a Radio Shack up here where I can purchase the required
parts, but the folks who work there aren't very technically
proficient. :-(

Thanks!!!!

Charles
Fairbanks, Alaska

p.s.--If anyone wants the Eielson AFB military freqs, email me.

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGPfreeware 6.5.8 for non-commercial use <http://www.pgp.com>
Comment: Dune's Domain - http://www.coumbe.com/dune

iQA/AwUBPPENYr0mrjBws5CUEQJzEwCg1XdBLhHGPo+JLNA6m1aimUZJgaUAoNJT
XcNud8E7wG03Tmnz0ZMcI8jr
=AQAv
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

3508. Re: Two Scanners - One Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 17:15:11 UTC
From: JohnL <xyz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <acr4ts$rn85c$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47924
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sun, 26 May 2002 04:29:57 -0400, Charles J. Coumbe wrote:

> Anyway, if connecting both scanners to the same antenna is not a
> problem, what kind of splitter should I use? I suppose it would be
> quite similar to connecting two TVs to the same antenna except for
> the fact that the cables are quite different.

Take a look at www.stridesberg.com/prod01.htm. Unfortunately you won't find these at Radio Shack, however these are the splitters you are looking for. They protect each rig from the other(s) and come in passive and amplified models covering HF, VHF, UHF, and 800 MHz in different models.

--
John L
Note the email address used for posting is not valid.
Sent with PAN 0.11.93 running on Mandrake Linux 8.2

3509. Re: Pro-2005 Very low audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 17:33:27 UTC
From: M2310@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12128-3CF11C67-194@storefull-2336.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 47926

I had a Pro 2004 with the same problem. The coupling cap between the audio amp and speaker was bad. It was bulging at the top. This would be C226 on the Pro 2005.

With the unit disconnected from any power source, check the resistance to ground on the center pin on the board leading to the volume control. Should be around 33k with volume set to max.

Have you tried an external speaker?
How is the audio level on the tape out jack? If it is ok there, the problem should be around the audio amp.

>dadut@ga.prestige.net (Linda Dutra) wrote:
>
>I have owned this radio since 1989. it has
> been in the closet since 1993. It had
> developed an audio problem all of a sudden
> one day. the volume control works but the
> audio is very very low. it is the same from the
> ear plug as well as the regular speaker. you
> can hear that the audio is getting distorted
> just like it should when you crank the volume
> up but it just isn't load at all.
>does anyone have any insight to this problem
> of mine? any help on this problem is greatly
> appreciated.

3510. Re: best scanner for $600-$800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 17:36:44 UTC
From: JohnL <xyz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <acr668$ruoe0$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47925

On Sat, 25 May 2002 17:22:46 -0400, AD wrote:

> so what do you think? the scanner can be dependant on a laptop in fnecessary.

Heck, with that kind of $$$ I'd get a BC780XLT or PRO-2053 for home and a PRO-94A to carry around. That's about $550, so you have enough left for some coax, a Diamond discone antenna, mast, and mounts for the 780 and a Diamond RH77CA antenna for the PRO-94A.

--
John L
Note the email address used for posting is not valid.
Sent with PAN 0.11.93 running on Mandrake Linux 8.2

3511. Re: Another sucessful Dayton Hamvention, Thanks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 18:24:29 UTC
From: Randy & Cara randall <crrandal@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3CF12902.8070700@earthlink.net>
Source record: 47927
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.scanner

That little comment burns me to the core. I feel that these people look for a sucker that does not know current values. The thing that is amazing is some were asking HIGHER than Ebay prices! That is the deal? None for the buyer, that is for sure. Used equipment going for more than equivalent new gear? Bet that makes Yaecomwood very happy!

It is up to us to call them on this. If the say "The price is.., and if you don't buy it, I will put it on ebay." The response should be " Why didn't you? Instead you are here wasting my time and flea market space! Please leave and list it on Ebay." Maybe they will get tired of hearing this and price their gear to sell or stop coming to hamfest all together. It would be no great loss.

Randy KB8ASO

laborkei wrote:

> It was very repetitive to here sellers in the flea market say..
> "The price is.., and if you don't buy it, I will put it on ebay."

3512. Re: Another sucessful Dayton Hamvention, Thanks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 18:25:33 UTC
From: Randy & Cara randall <crrandal@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3CF12944.9010206@earthlink.net>
Source record: 47928
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.scanner

That little comment burns me to the core. I feel that these people look for a sucker that does not know current values. The thing that is amazing is some were asking HIGHER than Ebay prices! That is the deal? None for the buyer, that is for sure. Used equipment going for more than equivalent new gear? Bet that makes Yaecomwood very happy!

It is up to us to call them on this. If the say "The price is.., and if you don't buy it, I will put it on ebay." The response should be " Why didn't you? Instead you are here wasting my time and flea market space! Please leave and list it on Ebay." Maybe they will get tired of hearing this and price their gear to sell or stop coming to hamfest all together. It would be no great loss.

Randy KB8ASO

laborkei wrote:

> It was very repetitive to here sellers in the flea market say..
> "The price is.., and if you don't buy it, I will put it on ebay."

3513. Brockville Speedway?? Cornwall Speedway?? DIRT Driver FREQUENCIES??? ANYONE???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 18:58:03 UTC
From: The GrEaT1 <smittyj77@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <FjaI8.38$Bh4.196251793@news.ripnet.com>
Source record: 47929

I have looked EVERYWHERE for these frequencies. Does anyone out there know what these frequencies are or where I can get them. I know you can buy the book from DIRT but its like 29.99$ US so thats like 50.00$ for me CDN plus shipping and handling.

Thanks.

3514. Re: Another sucessful Dayton Hamvention, Thanks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 19:05:05 UTC
From: Ben <manley62@InsightBB.com>
Message-ID: <BlaI8.39017$cQ3.1803@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 47930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.scanner

You are so right. If you want to get Ebay prices for your goods then stay home and put it on Ebay. Save the space for the people that want to sell items for a reasonable price like a flea market should be. I know that might make the flea market half the size it is but atleast I won't have to waste time looking at the over priced stuff. The good thing about that is DARA will lose money on the spaces they don't sell and maybe they will remember what being a ham and flea markets are all about. Because all they think about now is how much they make.

"Randy & Cara randall" <crrandal@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:3CF12944.9010206@earthlink.net...
> That little comment burns me to the core. I feel that these people
> look for a sucker that does not know current values. The thing that is
> amazing is some were asking HIGHER than Ebay prices! That is the deal?
> None for the buyer, that is for sure. Used equipment going for more
> than equivalent new gear? Bet that makes Yaecomwood very happy!
>
> It is up to us to call them on this. If the say "The price is.., and
> if you don't buy it, I will put it on ebay." The response should be "
> Why didn't you? Instead you are here wasting my time and flea market
> space! Please leave and list it on Ebay." Maybe they will get tired of
> hearing this and price their gear to sell or stop coming to hamfest all
> together. It would be no great loss.
>
> Randy KB8ASO
>
>
>
> laborkei wrote:
>
>
> > It was very repetitive to here sellers in the flea market say..
> > "The price is.., and if you don't buy it, I will put it on ebay."
>
>

3515. Re: Fire Dispatch on 72.580 Mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 19:27:45 UTC
From: David Patterson <davidp@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <3CF13731.539FCD65@mindspring.com>
Source record: 47931

Those 72 mHz freqs are used very rarely as links to transmitters and repeaters. So it is conceivable that some agency is using it as an intercity link or repeater link.

John Wilson wrote:
>
> 72.5800 mhz. is a low power assigned frequency to various radio
> services. It IS NOT an assigned frequency to the "PW" service which
> includes fire, police, local govt. and rescue. You probably are hearing
> an image of another frequency possibly in the 150-160 mhz. range.
>
> Andy Domonkos wrote:
>
> > I'm located 30 miles north of Baltimore in Maryland and heard fire
> > dispatch, almost continuous, on 72.580Mhz. It didn't sound local.
> > Equipment is Icom PCR1000 with multiband scanner gorund plane antenna.
> > We did have FM/VHF ducting propagation openings this week due to the
> > weather.
> >
> > I've heard telemetry and paging on these feqs but never fire dispatch.
> >
> > Any info appreciate.
> >
> > TIA.
> >
> > Andy

3516. Re: Redshift

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 19:34:52 UTC
From: me@home.com
Message-ID: <a5e2fu05cnuj2mp7qier3ed85tvgkcvauj@4ax.com>
Source record: 47932

Check out this site.

http://www3.sympatico.ca/len.c/

On Fri, 17 May 2002 18:52:06 -0400, "dsli"
<tomznospam@tradewebdesign.com> wrote:

>Hi,
>Anyone have the redshift trial program (rs232_90.zip)? I went to the
>steaksandwich.com site but was unable to download. I tried email but no
>response. Anyone know if these people are still alive?
>Tom
>
>
>

REMOVE the NOCRAP in my address to reply.

3517. Re: Grounding question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 19:53:48 UTC
From: "Louis J. Giliberto, Jr." <noemail@spamstinks.com>
Message-ID: <uf2fb5jojjvf5c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47933

Also, it doesn't necessarily need to hit the water table which in some areas may be several hundred (or thousand) feet down.

But, what I think badfood was alluding to is that the surrounding soil needs to be moist. At 8-10ft, it takes longer for the soil to dry out (and there is that much more surface area in contact with the earth). During dry spells, people do actually go out and water their ground rods. In some places where you can't dig more than a few feet some make a shallow grid of rods and bury that.

You can also make a "deluxe" ground system by increasing the conductivity of the nearby soil with salt and filling the top 2 feet with rock instead of soil for better water penetration. There are examples of how to do this on a lot of SW DX pages.

<Pappy@USA.net> wrote in message news:3CF0DCA4.30782A93@USA.net...
> Why are you posting in "CAPS"? It makes your post hard to read and hurts my ears.
>
> badfood4 wrote:
>
> > THE GROUNDING POLE HAS TO GO IN TO THE WATER TABLE FOR GOOD GROUND LIKE A 6 TO
> > 8 FEET DEPENDING WERE YOU ARE. TRY RADIOSHACK.COM FOR GROUNDING INFORMATION.
>

3518. Re: Two Scanners - One Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 20:37:06 UTC
From: "Charles J. Coumbe" <dunestalker-spamsux@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uf2hroaag0l67d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47935
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I didn't think I could just use a standard BNC "T".

Thanks for the info, guys!!!

Charles

<M2310@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:12128-3CF118A7-192@storefull-2336.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> x-no-archive: yes
>
> A multicoupler will do the job.
>
> http://www.grove-ent.com/page36.html
>

3519. Re: Two Scanners - One Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 20:41:58 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CF14896.8070004@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 47934
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi,
I wrote an article about connecting 2 ants to one scanner. You can read
this artical at http://www.milaircomms.com/review_stridsberg_mca204.html

Thanks,
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF, VHF, UHF Military Freqs and MORE!

Charles J. Coumbe wrote:

> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> I have a Radio Shack dipole antenna I have been using on my BC855XLT
> for the past few years with no problems (except for the fact that
> there's really not much to listen to up here in Fairbanks, Alaska!).
> I am in the process of acquiring a new BC245XLT next week and am
> wondering if I can connect both scanners to the same antenna. One of
> the reasons I'm getting a new scanner is related to the fact that I'm
> getting ready to move to Omaha, Nebraska next month and will
> most-likely have a lot more to listen to once all my stuff arrives
> and I get everything setup again.
>
> Anyway, if connecting both scanners to the same antenna is not a
> problem, what kind of splitter should I use? I suppose it would be
> quite similar to connecting two TVs to the same antenna except for
> the fact that the cables are quite different.
>
> I've got a Radio Shack up here where I can purchase the required
> parts, but the folks who work there aren't very technically
> proficient. :-(
>
> Thanks!!!!
>
> Charles
> Fairbanks, Alaska
>
> p.s.--If anyone wants the Eielson AFB military freqs, email me.
>
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
> Version: PGPfreeware 6.5.8 for non-commercial use <http://www.pgp.com>
> Comment: Dune's Domain - http://www.coumbe.com/dune
>
> iQA/AwUBPPENYr0mrjBws5CUEQJzEwCg1XdBLhHGPo+JLNA6m1aimUZJgaUAoNJT

> XcNud8E7wG03Tmnz0ZMcI8jr
> =AQAv
> -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
>
>
>
>

--

____
I make Over $5000 per Week on eBay!
Make eBay(tm) Your Job and Earn BIG $$$
---->http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay<----
____

3520. Domain name for sale.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 21:34:24 UTC
From: John Medica - Listening-Post <medica@cyberspace.org>
Message-ID: <3CF154E0.6BF11A0F@cyberspace.org>
Source record: 47938
Cross-posted to: phl.scanner,fido.ger.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Domain name for sale:
Listening-Post.net (expire date: Tue.April 16.2010)

Anyone interested in this domain, please contact me
medica@cyberspace.org

Thank you.

3521. Apartment antenna ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 21:51:59 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <64m2fugb6645la3kfr1b87bi2glkruj5ka@4ax.com>
Source record: 47936

anybody got any input as to a nice apartment antenna.....?? Inside or outside,I just can't put up a mast......full coverage!! Will be using a BC780 XLT.....

3522. Re: Pro-2005 Very low audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 22:07:42 UTC
From: Linda Dutra <dadut@ga.prestige.net>
Message-ID: <O0dI8.6742$fg3.2525961@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 47937

OK here is the scoop.
The audio level is the same on all audio outputs.
it is there but there is no ampification or drive.
The board looks fairly clean except for C222, C229, C230 and C231
They all have this dark brown crust like substance under them.
I would say if you spilled a little CocaCola on a the boad it would probably
look the same. the reading on the center pin was 34.66 k
I really appreciate this help.
thanks
<M2310@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:12128-3CF11C67-194@storefull-2336.public.lawson.webtv.net...
I had a Pro 2004 with the same problem. The coupling cap between the
audio amp and speaker was bad. It was bulging at the top. This would be
C226 on the Pro 2005.

With the unit disconnected from any power source, check the resistance
to ground on the center pin on the board leading to the volume control.
Should be around 33k with volume set to max.

Have you tried an external speaker?
How is the audio level on the tape out jack? If it is ok there, the
problem should be around the audio amp.

>dadut@ga.prestige.net (Linda Dutra) wrote:
>
>I have owned this radio since 1989. it has
> been in the closet since 1993. It had
> developed an audio problem all of a sudden
> one day. the volume control works but the
> audio is very very low. it is the same from the
> ear plug as well as the regular speaker. you
> can hear that the audio is getting distorted
> just like it should when you crank the volume
> up but it just isn't load at all.
>does anyone have any insight to this problem
> of mine? any help on this problem is greatly
> appreciated.

3523. Re: antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-26 22:59:44 UTC
From: Just_me <martian10@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <ANdI8.98247$ah_.51595@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 47939

I bought a Radio Shack pro scan and it came with the Window mount antenna,
signal is really weak...
Now I don't want a HUGE ASS antenna stuck to the roof of my truck...

ANy recommendations ?

3524. Re: Two Scanners - One Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 00:37:31 UTC
From: "." <nowon@noware.com>
Message-ID: <fdfI8.27369$Oa1.3264225@bin8.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 47940
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I assume your using coax. Easiest would be a (cable) A/B switch at your
listening station.

Hank

Charles J. Coumbe wrote in message ...
>-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
>Hash: SHA1
>
>I have a Radio Shack dipole antenna I have been using on my BC855XLT
>for the past few years with no problems (except for the fact that
>there's really not much to listen to up here in Fairbanks, Alaska!).
>I am in the process of acquiring a new BC245XLT next week and am
>wondering if I can connect both scanners to the same antenna. One of
>the reasons I'm getting a new scanner is related to the fact that I'm
>getting ready to move to Omaha, Nebraska next month and will
>most-likely have a lot more to listen to once all my stuff arrives
>and I get everything setup again.
>
>Anyway, if connecting both scanners to the same antenna is not a
>problem, what kind of splitter should I use? I suppose it would be
>quite similar to connecting two TVs to the same antenna except for
>the fact that the cables are quite different.
>
>I've got a Radio Shack up here where I can purchase the required
>parts, but the folks who work there aren't very technically
>proficient. :-(
>
>Thanks!!!!
>
>Charles
>Fairbanks, Alaska
>
>p.s.--If anyone wants the Eielson AFB military freqs, email me.
>
>-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
>Version: PGPfreeware 6.5.8 for non-commercial use <http://www.pgp.com>
>Comment: Dune's Domain - http://www.coumbe.com/dune
>
>iQA/AwUBPPENYr0mrjBws5CUEQJzEwCg1XdBLhHGPo+JLNA6m1aimUZJgaUAoNJT
>XcNud8E7wG03Tmnz0ZMcI8jr
>=AQAv
>-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
>

>
>

3525. Shinwa sr001 scanner 25 - 1GHZ STRAIGHT! for sale.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 01:07:15 UTC
From: JWeeks <JWeeks@BellConsultingServices.com>
Message-ID: <NCfI8.5072$gb1.233726@twister.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 47941

Please check out my auction..

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1356085091

Thanks!

3526. Re: Two Scanners - One Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 01:13:51 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CF1884F.9080701@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 47942
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Charles J. Coumbe wrote:

> I didn't think I could just use a standard BNC "T".
>
> Thanks for the info, guys!!!
>
> Charles

Actually you can however there are costs to doing so. Just check out
my artical on this subject at
http://www.milaircomms.com/review_stridsberg_mca204.html as I mention
in the artical when you can and can't use a BNC T connector.

Thanks,
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF, VHF, UHF MilAir Freqs and MORE!

3527. CELL MOD FOR PRO 2030

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 01:29:17 UTC
From: eg9k@aol.com
Message-ID: <1508acc5.0205261729.6f5ac4df@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47943

Is there a cell mod for the late run of pro 2030's. I'm aware of the modification for the earlier models by cutting the jumper L101. But on the unit I picked up there is no jumper at that location on the pc board, just 2 plated thru holes, I have no schematic, so I can't tell what pin on the processor would allow me to possibly perform the mod, so if anyone could help It would be greatly appreciated. Thanks
Harold 73's K9ge. eg9k@aol.com

3528. Winradio,will it do more than a seperate scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 02:25:59 UTC
From: clc@conninc.com
Message-ID: <7e9f0c9f.0205261825.6a8e1f29@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47944

Like most scanner nuts I have several full coverage scanners with discriminator taps,patchcords aand other stuff to tinker with.So what would be the advantage of getting a scanner built into a PC?
Other than eliminating a bunch of wires is there anything the winradio will do that I cant with the seperates? Thanks Chuck

3529. Re: Any military aircraft scanner sights on the internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 02:28:05 UTC
From: "Allen L. Stevens" <alisfree@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <VQgI8.23453$R_4.17791@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 47945

Give this site a try.
http://members.cox.net/heatherandgreg/scanner.htm
<copper@bis.on.ca> wrote in message news:3cedbf42.2756325@news.brant.net...
> There was supposed to be a real live feed from Oceana NAS but it seems
> to be unfindable. Anyone know of it or another one

3530. Re: Pro-2005 Very low audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 02:35:17 UTC
From: Linda Dutra <dadut@ga.prestige.net>
Message-ID: <FXgI8.6817$fg3.2586330@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 47946

OK
Pin 1 = 6.8
Pin 6 = 2.55
Pin 8 = 2.47
Pin 2 = 13.01
I think these are pretty close
But then someone once told me close only counts in horseshoes and hand grenades.
Is Anything ever easy. :)

<M2310@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:28775-3CF1813E-184@storefull-2335.public.lawson.webtv.net...
x-no-archive: yes

Those are input/output filter caps for the 12V supply. My Pro 2006 has a very light opaque brown color in the same area. It is even on the power transformer. That could be glue used to hold down the large caps, or one of the caps could be leaking.

Check the dc voltages around IC7? TDA1905, the power amp. You don't need a signal. Pin 1, 6.1V, pins 6 and 8, 2.3V, pin 2, 12V. These are from the Pro 2005 service manual.

Tune in a weather service freq., set the volume midway. On pin one of IC 7 it should max at 1Vac on a digital volt meter. I don't have a scope. Then set the volume to max and it should peak at around 5 or 6 Vac.
>
>dadut@ga.prestige.net (Linda Dutra) wrote:
>
>OK here is the scoop.
>The audio level is the same on all audio
> outputs. it is there but there is no ampification
> or drive. The board looks fairly clean except
> for C222, C229, C230 and C231 They all
> have this dark brown crust like substance
> under them. I would say if you spilled a little
> CocaCola on a the boad it would probably
>look the same. the reading on the center pin
> was 34.66 k I really appreciate this help.
> thanks

3531. Re: Winradio,will it do more than a seperate scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 02:51:45 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5bhI8.8$9b6.7@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 47847

Yes, you'll never lose it...

--
Remove "beforeflight" to reply
"clc" <clc@conninc.com> wrote in message
news:7e9f0c9f.0205261825.6a8e1f29@posting.google.com...
> Like most scanner nuts I have several full coverage scanners with
discriminator
> taps,patchcords aand other stuff to tinker with.So what would be the
advantage
> of getting a scanner built into a PC?
> Other than eliminating a bunch of wires is there anything the winradio
will do
> that I cant with the seperates? Thanks Chuck
>

3532. Re: damned RIAA/MPAA tyrants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 03:03:48 UTC
From: B Carter Coffeemugs <njuleston2wan@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <omhI8.20$9b6.3@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 47848
Cross-posted to: rec.video.production,rec.video.desktop,rec.radio.scanner,alt.society.civil-liberty,rec.video.cable-tv

"Damn their Oily Hides" <damntheiroilyhides@thingfind.com> wrote in message
news:1f058b28.0205250840.cd7c7e7@posting.google.com...
> Found this newsgroup post below on alt.tv.frasier.
> Appears the copyright gestapo is at it again. SIGH! --D.T.
>
> > Subject: Recording TV shows to become illegal?

(...massive snippage of original text to save electrons)

Two words folks: "URBAN LEGEND".

3533. FA: RS Pro-2037 VHF/UHF Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 05:04:10 UTC
From: Randy <Don'tSpamMe@MyEmail.Com>
Message-ID: <e7jI8.124246$t8_.111501@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 47849
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/ca/auction/66650638

Randy

--
Learn about scanning in the Province of Ontario
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanont

Learn To Broadcast Your Scanner Online
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/LiveScannerAudio

3534. Re: Redshift

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 05:28:59 UTC
From: dsli <tomznospam@tradewebdesign.com>
Message-ID: <BnjI8.80502$To6.26047407@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 47850

Thanks,
I went there but no demo.

<me@home.com> wrote in message
news:a5e2fu05cnuj2mp7qier3ed85tvgkcvauj@4ax.com...
> Check out this site.
>
> http://www3.sympatico.ca/len.c/
>
>
> On Fri, 17 May 2002 18:52:06 -0400, "dsli"
> <tomznospam@tradewebdesign.com> wrote:
>
> >Hi,
> >Anyone have the redshift trial program (rs232_90.zip)? I went to the
> >steaksandwich.com site but was unable to download. I tried email but no
> >response. Anyone know if these people are still alive?
> >Tom
> >
> >
> >
>
> REMOVE the NOCRAP in my address to reply.

3535. Re: damned RIAA/MPAA tyrants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 06:32:28 UTC
From: John <John@doe.com>
Message-ID: <3mk3fuc2muelejdlst2fb6267qts9bb8dc@4ax.com>
Source record: 47851
Cross-posted to: rec.video.production,rec.video.desktop,rec.radio.scanner,alt.society.civil-liberty,rec.video.cable-tv

"Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote:

>Recording TV shows is legal , according to the United States Congress. It is
>also legal to copy any publicly broadcasted material, for your own use. You
>need to catch up on these laws before spouting off in such a manner. Its the
>law, buddy.
>
><idiocy snipped>
>

Yes. But the MPAA/RIAA want these rites removed hence they are
bribing all sorts of US politicians..

3536. ARC780 S/w

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 10:10:48 UTC
From: Jason Robinson <jrobinson@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <pd14fuo4cddsoj9ei3rf3k6ae2q662g2bg@4ax.com>
Source record: 47852
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hi all. Can anyone point me in the direction or send me the serial
number etc for ARC780 for the Uniden UBC780xlt scanner.
I can send a serial for Winscan780 v1.0 if needed!!

Thanks

BC

3537. Re: CELL MOD FOR PRO 2030

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 10:16:58 UTC
From: Mille <nomail@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <uInI8.1121$YV2.1073530@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 47854

Why waste your time? You won't hear much now that everyone (well nearly
everyone) has gone digital.
"Harold Howe" <eg9k@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1508acc5.0205261729.6f5ac4df@posting.google.com...
> Is there a cell mod for the late run of pro 2030's. I'm aware of the
> modification for the earlier models by cutting the jumper L101. But on
> the unit I picked up there is no jumper at that location on the pc
> board, just 2 plated thru holes, I have no schematic, so I can't tell
> what pin on the processor would allow me to possibly perform the mod,
> so if anyone could help It would be greatly appreciated. Thanks
> Harold 73's K9ge. eg9k@aol.com

3538. Re: WinScan Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 10:27:45 UTC
From: Jason Robinson <jrobinson@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <lf24fu4q8um6i3l7i1htirb6u7j9d8l548@4ax.com>
Source record: 47853

True, but think about the question before sounding off like a smart
arse.

There ARE freeware versions of it available.

On Sun, 28 Apr 2002 03:00:02 GMT, SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote:

>"Laurie J Drummond" wrote:
>
>>Apart from the demo software that expires in 30 days does anyone have
>>a copy of the program available for free , I`m on a limited income and
>>unable to purchase the full version?????
>
>Last time I checked, I don't remember seeing an exception to piracy
>laws that said it's OK to steal if you can't afford it.

3539. Re: ARC780 S/w

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 11:32:29 UTC
From: martin butcher <mbutcher@railfan37.fsnet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <act5ggtip1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 47855
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Why dont you buy the software i did
"Jason Robinson" <jrobinson@bigpond.com> wrote in message
news:pd14fuo4cddsoj9ei3rf3k6ae2q662g2bg@4ax.com...
> Hi all. Can anyone point me in the direction or send me the serial
> number etc for ARC780 for the Uniden UBC780xlt scanner.
> I can send a serial for Winscan780 v1.0 if needed!!
>
> Thanks
>
> BC

3540. Background Noise Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 11:45:41 UTC
From: pmccorkell@optushome.com.au
Message-ID: <a9c673e5.0205270345.28bdd821@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47856

I have a problem that I would like some help about. I will set out the facts as clearly as I can.

Scanner ...Yupiteru 7300
Aerial ... Simple dipole or 7 element Yagi

Problem ....

I am interested in listening to the marine VHF channels and in particular 156.6 MHz.(FM)
I live near a commercial FM station and have found that a Radio Shack TV FM trap greatly enhances my reception. I use this with the Yagi but not with the portable dipole.

However with both antennas I get an intermittent roaring sound only when I am receiving a transmission. This sound comes and goes every 15 secs or so.
It is there on a wide range of frequencies but only when in FM mode. It is not there in AM.

If I turn the squelch right down when there is no transmission, this intermittent "roar" is not there. It is only there during transmissions.

I have gone to a different geographical location (10 miles away) and there is no problem listening to the same frequency, so I presume it must be a local problem.

My yagi is mounted vertically and reception is excellent (except for the intermittent roar). If I mount the antenna horizontally the noise is almost eliminated but the signal strength is also reduced somewhat although it does appear that the "roar" is reduced a lot more than the signal strength. (There a a few other frequencies which the antennas pick up a lot better vertically, and so I would much rather have them mounted in this way.)

Although the FM trap enhances my reception, the problem still exists even when the FM trap is not in line.

It seems to me that the problem could be related to the FM station up the road but then why is it intermittent and not there when there is no incoming signal?

I have run out of ideas. Can someone give my some clues?

Cheers........Philip.

3541. Re: Two Scanners - One Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 12:08:23 UTC
From: Max Imo <not@this.com>
Message-ID: <3cf221b9$0$3333$f5f63664@news.tdin.com>
Source record: 47857
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

What would be wrong with using a VHF/UHF amplifier and an inexpensive splitter?

"Charles J. Coumbe" <dunestalker@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:uf23canr4l7c43@corp.supernews.com...
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> I have a Radio Shack dipole antenna I have been using on my BC855XLT
> for the past few years with no problems (except for the fact that
> there's really not much to listen to up here in Fairbanks, Alaska!).
> I am in the process of acquiring a new BC245XLT next week and am
> wondering if I can connect both scanners to the same antenna. One of
> the reasons I'm getting a new scanner is related to the fact that I'm
> getting ready to move to Omaha, Nebraska next month and will
> most-likely have a lot more to listen to once all my stuff arrives
> and I get everything setup again.
>
> Anyway, if connecting both scanners to the same antenna is not a
> problem, what kind of splitter should I use? I suppose it would be
> quite similar to connecting two TVs to the same antenna except for
> the fact that the cables are quite different.
>
> I've got a Radio Shack up here where I can purchase the required
> parts, but the folks who work there aren't very technically
> proficient. :-(
>
> Thanks!!!!
>
> Charles
> Fairbanks, Alaska
>
> p.s.--If anyone wants the Eielson AFB military freqs, email me.
>
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
> Version: PGPfreeware 6.5.8 for non-commercial use <http://www.pgp.com>
> Comment: Dune's Domain - http://www.coumbe.com/dune
>
> iQA/AwUBPPENYr0mrjBws5CUEQJzEwCg1XdBLhHGPo+JLNA6m1aimUZJgaUAoNJT
> XcNud8E7wG03Tmnz0ZMcI8jr
> =AQAv
> -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
>

>
>

3542. Re: damned RIAA/MPAA tyrants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 12:36:29 UTC
From: David McCall <davidmccall@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <hLpI8.10561$jv5.2152310@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 47858
Cross-posted to: rec.video.production,rec.video.desktop,rec.radio.scanner,alt.society.civil-liberty,rec.video.cable-tv

"John" <John@doe.com> wrote in message news:3mk3fuc2muelejdlst2fb6267qts9bb8dc@4ax.com...
> "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote:
>
> >Recording TV shows is legal , according to the United States Congress. It is
> >also legal to copy any publicly broadcasted material, for your own use. You
> >need to catch up on these laws before spouting off in such a manner. Its the
> >law, buddy.
> >
> ><idiocy snipped>
> >
>
> Yes. But the MPAA/RIAA want these rites removed hence they are
> bribing all sorts of US politicians..
>
The term is "lobbying" but in some cases it's about the same thing.
This issue comes to the front every time a new recording technology
comes out. The motion picture and recording companies don't want
you copying anything, ever. They know that copying is really about
sharing, not making backups. They don't want you to share, the want
you to buy. They probably figure that so few of the copies are made
for "backups", as compared to "sharing", that congress should outlaw
copying. I'll bet they bitched when consumer record cutters came out.

David

3543. Re: ARC780 S/w

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 12:43:52 UTC
From: wleamons <wleamons@socket.net>
Message-ID: <uf4agbltov3l5a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47859
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

try uniden.com. they have on line operating gudes
"Jason Robinson" <jrobinson@bigpond.com> wrote in message
news:pd14fuo4cddsoj9ei3rf3k6ae2q662g2bg@4ax.com...
> Hi all. Can anyone point me in the direction or send me the serial
> number etc for ARC780 for the Uniden UBC780xlt scanner.
> I can send a serial for Winscan780 v1.0 if needed!!
>
> Thanks
>
> BC

3544. FS:RADIO SHACK PRO 2026 MOBILE SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 12:48:39 UTC
From: kb9igg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020527084839.22741.00000686@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 47860

SCANNER IS IN EXCELLENT CONDITION.IT COMES WITH THE BOX MANUAL AND THE POWER CORD.100 CH. PROGRAMMABLE SCANNER,ALSO HAS CELLULAR UN BLOCKED.$125+SHIPPING

3545. Re: ARC780 S/w

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 14:45:07 UTC
From: NightStalker <stephenboland@nospam.ozemail.com.au>
Message-ID: <VDrI8.1122$3t6.53219@ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 47864
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

So did i, you cheapskate.
...
"martin butcher" <mbutcher@railfan37.fsnet.co.uk> wrote in message
news:act5ggtip1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk...
> Why dont you buy the software i did
> "Jason Robinson" <jrobinson@bigpond.com> wrote in message
> news:pd14fuo4cddsoj9ei3rf3k6ae2q662g2bg@4ax.com...
> > Hi all. Can anyone point me in the direction or send me the serial
> > number etc for ARC780 for the Uniden UBC780xlt scanner.
> > I can send a serial for Winscan780 v1.0 if needed!!
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> > BC
>
>

3546. Re: ARC780 S/w

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 15:13:38 UTC
From: Brent <bmc@mail2me.com.au>
Message-ID: <actighpsc1@merki.connect.com.au>
Source record: 47861
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

I must agree, I for one see nothing wrong with pirate software but if you want this program then pay for it, the writer has done such a good job of the program I doubt you'll find anyone who wants to screw him by giving away their own payed for serial number. its only $40aus after all.
"Jason Robinson" <jrobinson@bigpond.com> wrote in message news:pd14fuo4cddsoj9ei3rf3k6ae2q662g2bg@4ax.com...
> Hi all. Can anyone point me in the direction or send me the serial
> number etc for ARC780 for the Uniden UBC780xlt scanner.
> I can send a serial for Winscan780 v1.0 if needed!!
>
> Thanks
>
> BC

3547. AC603 Beam and Tower 4 sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 15:49:53 UTC
From: Jeff <j.dauphinais@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <BAsI8.7281$UT.530797@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 47862
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,nh.forsale,nh.general

I have for sale 1 full size "HY-Gain" beam 10 and 15 meters. fully assembled for your inspection. 13' Boom and longest element is 22' $100.00 I also have a steel tower totaling 25'. this is in 3 sections 1 10 foot st8 and 1 5foot st8 and 1 10 foot top section. I don't not have a rotator. $50.00. pickup only from Nashua,NewHampshire. I am selling these because they are to big for my new QTH. This beam has spent a large portion of its life in storage. 73's Jeff AA1GR Please note that this beam is a top proformer on 10 meters and can be tuned for "CB" 11 meters as well. and can be mounted either verticaly or horizontaly.

3548. AC603 Beam and Tower 4 sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 15:49:55 UTC
From: Jeff <j.dauphinais@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <DAsI8.7282$UT.529714@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 47863
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,nh.forsale,nh.general

I have for sale 1 full size "HY-Gain" beam 10 and 15 meters. fully assembled for your inspection. 13' Boom and longest element is 22' $100.00 I also have a steel tower totaling 25'. this is in 3 sections 1 10 foot st8 and 1 5foot st8 and 1 10 foot top section. I don't not have a rotator. $50.00. pickup only from Nashua,NewHampshire. I am selling these because they are to big for my new QTH. This beam has spent a large portion of its life in storage. 73's Jeff AA1GR Please note that this beam is a top proformer on 10 meters and can be tuned for "CB" 11 meters as well. and can be mounted either verticaly or horizontaly.

3549. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 16:09:04 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3CF25A1F.3583BC59@erols.com>
Source record: 47865
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

A newspaper article recently said Paypal was being suited for patent infringements and investigated by the Justice Dept. for illegal business practices. I would avoid them like the plague.

Art Burke wrote:

> Yes, but they are loosing 11 dollars a share. Not exactly doing well.
> In fact, on the verge of backruptcy.

3550. FA: Reminder - PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 16:27:20 UTC
From: JohnL <xyz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <actmfs$sfknb$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47866

Hi all,

Thought I'd post a reminder that one of my PRO-2006's is up on ebay for grabs. There is a couple of days left, but I thought there would be a few more people reading the group today since it's a holiday here in the US.

thanks!

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1353948862

--
John L
Note the email address used for posting is not valid.
Sent with PAN 0.11.93 running on Mandrake Linux 8.2

3551. Re: 2.4GHz scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 16:40:49 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3CF26191.84F5A65E@erols.com>
Source record: 47867

Unless I've missed something there are no "all band transceivers with a tv interface". ICOM does have a VHF/UHF TV module, but that's not 2 ghz. ferquency coverage. It doesn't work like that. No tv interface module will cover the 2 ghz. range. The unit I have been testing is a monitor with a built in scanning feature from 2300-2600 mhz. If you know of a specific brand and model "tv interface" that covers 2 ghz., post it. Typically frequency coverage stand alone equipment such as EMCEE for IFTS transmissions, MDS and MMDS equipment, 2.4 ghz. amateur radio and low power 2.4 ghz. "senders" are available.

badfood4 wrote:

> ICOM IR-3 ARE NOT GOOD SCANNERS THEY SAY THEY ARE VERY GOOD WHEN YOU ARE GOING
> TO BUY ONE FROM CCRANE OR GROVE BUT WHEN YOU GET IT AND HAVE IT FOR A WHILE IT
> WILL HAVE A MEM ERR AND NOT WORK FOR YOU . YES THIS SCANNER WILL COVER HAMRADIO
> AND TV TRANMITER AND SOME SAT TV IN THE 2.4GHZ BUT WHEN YOU GET IT AND FIND OUT
> THAT IT GETS A MEM ERR AND WILL NOT REST ANY HOW AND THEY TELL YOU TO SEND IT
> BACK TO THEM AND THEY WILL FIX IT FOR A FEED THAN YOU WILL NOT LIKE IT. FIND A
> HAMRADIO STORE AND GET A ALL BANDER TRANCIVER WITH TV INTERFACE AND SAVE YOU
> MONEY FOR THAT.

3552. Re: 417.750mhz Satellite downlink ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 16:53:54 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3CF264A2.D1662B6B@erols.com>
Source record: 47868

To decode satellite wefax, you need software designed for that application.
Typically the satellite audio signal is inputed to your
computer's sound card and the softward decodes the fax and displays it on your
terminal screen. See my webpage for SATFAX which is
software designed to receive satellite wefax using scanners/receivers that
typically have bandwidth of +/- 20 khz.

badfood4 wrote:

> I DO NOT UNDERSTAND IF I TUNE A SCANNER TO 137 MHZ I DO NOT GET FAX WHAT DO YOU
> NEED TO ADD TO THE RADIO TO GET FAX. HF FAX ARE THE ONLY ONES I GOT AND HOW DO
> YOU DECODE THE DIGTAL RADIO.

==========
Interesting Items on my web page:http://users.erols.com/jwilson9
(INMARSAT,GOES/Polar Wefax,Radio Frequencies,Metal Detecting)
(C/Ku Band/MPEG2-FTA Satellite Reception,FM-SCPC,Amateur Radio)
==========

3553. Newbie in MO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 16:56:47 UTC
From: Karrack2 <karrack2@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <uf4p6krbn3umbb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47869

Does anyone have any frequencies for around Knob Noster MO or any web sites?
Thanks.

3554. Re: 2.4GHz scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 16:58:44 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3CF265C3.23D1097D@erols.com>
Source record: 47870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I searched the Kenwood webpage but could locate any such model. I am not familar with the Kenwood model specifications but I would bet that the upper frequency cutoff is 2450 mhz.. Per my 50 state 2 ghz. frequency directory be advised that by design most of the "interesting" video transmissions are ABOVE 2.4 ghz. and with few exceptions, not at or below 2.4 ghz. This is done because with the exception of the unit I am using, all off the shelf equipment available to the consumer for purchase does not receive above 2450 mhz. This is so you CANNOT receive these transmissions. An equilivant comparison would be using a tv set that received vhf channels 2 through 6 but not 7 through 13.

Helmet cams, doggie cams, and the Wavecom video T/Rs are on or near 2.4 ghz., but those are not the transmissions to which I refer.

"R. Belcher" wrote:

> How does this radio compare to the Kenwood counterpart handheld?
> Reviews?
> thanks,
> Randy
>
> "John Wilson" <jwilson9@erols.com> wrote in message
> news:3CEEF8C7.757AD454@erols.com...
> > Per reviews I have read about the ICOM R3, they conclude its
> > performance at 2.4 ghz. as AWFUL. The video demodulator is insensitive
> > and it "eats" batteries and is warm to the touch. Additionally, FYI
> > most of the "interesting" frequencies are ABOVE the 2450 mhz. cutoff of
> > the R3.
> > I am researching a magazine article on this new facet of monitoring and
> > hope to have it published. It may not be because of the sensitive
> > nature of the subject matter. In any event, I have compiled a 50 state
> > 2 ghz. directory of users from various sources. It presently is in
> > draft and I hope to offer it commercially this summer. It will be the
> > "USA Video Surveillance and Radar Directory" on CD ROM and contain
> > interesting frequencies for law enforcement, race cars, wildlife
> > monitoring, Fire Department thermal imaging, aircraft manufacturer's
> > flight testing, temporary airbornevideo downlinks for special events
> > such as golf tournaments, races, parades, TV station remote broadcasts
> > and links, etc. The price will be $25 which includes shipping. Anyone
> > interested in the unit or directory can contact me via email.
> >
> > For the past couple of months I have been beta testing a 5 inch B/W
> > video monitor with a built in scanning feature from 2.3-2.6 ghz. It is
> > powered by 12 VDC and works as advertised. There is NOTHING comparable

> > available anywhere. Don't be mislead by claims of short distance
> > reception. I have had some "hits" up to 1/2-1 mile+ distance from the
> > source. It sells for about the cost of a current radio trunking
> > scanner. In mobiling around a 20-25 mile area where I live I have had
> > several "interesting" video monitoring hits.
> >
> >
> >
> > Dave L wrote:
> >
> > > I understand most scanners dont even go above 1.0 or 1.2GHz but the
> > > IC-R3 does. Are 2.4GHz all encrypted or muffled? Clear as a bell? If
> > > you know please tell me. Thanks!
> > >
> > > Dave
> >
> > --
> >

3555. Re: 900MHz/800MHz voice transmitters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 17:05:37 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3CF26761.E410F9B7@erols.com>
Source record: 47871
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yeah, that's a real good idea. I'm sure that low band Fire Service licensees would love that interference. If you want to get your ass stomped by the FCC big time, then interfere with "safety of life" communications. That thinking is a sure way to confirm an individual's stupidity, get fined, have a criminal record for the rest of his worthless life and possibly community service or jail time. Stupid is as stupid does.

Tom Sevart wrote:

> I don't know about 800/900 MHZ transmitters, but I've been told that some 6
> meter ham transceivers can be modified to operate on 46 MHZ.
>
> Disclaimer: Transmitting on unauthorized frequencies is a violation of FCC
> rules.
>
> Tom

3556. Re: 971-985 mhz What am I hearing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 17:23:29 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3CF26B90.8F05E892@erols.com>
Source record: 47872

Wrong! If safety of communications frequencies (i.e., police, fire, rescue, aviation) are experiencing interference, the FCC inspectors/techs from the closest field office will be on scene probably before the sun sets again the day after the user notifies them. The FCC has had for some years very sophisticated direction finding equipment in mobile vans. A few years ago Monitoring Times magazine had an article on pertaining to them tracking a test transmission. It may take a while, but rest assured they will nail your ass. They usually don't go after the illegal CB operators and some others. FYI, law enforcement may use ANY RF frequency typically for surveillance as long as their low power transmitter does not exceed the maximum allowed. Obviously, they seek unused frequencies whereever. Recently, I overheard a surveillance on an itinerant high VHF frequency advising his partner not to user "channel 6", a 147 mhz. frequency programed into his transceiver because that was a ham band frequency.

Pappy@USA.net wrote:

> Under arrest, ya? That will be the friggin day. The FCC can't enforce real
> violations of the communications act. Heck, you have cb'ers running high power
> amps, whoever transmitting on ham bands, cops using so called frequencies there
> are not licensed on for surveillance and a host of other violations of the
> communications act. And you think the FCC is going to be concerned about John
> or Mary Doe monitoring telephone calls on their scanner, I don't believe so.
>
> Listening to wireless telephone calls is like listening to any two way radio, if
> it's broadcast on the air waves it's open to all. Frankly I don't get a swelling
> in my drawers over listening to phone calls. I use a cellular phone at times,
> you may not want to hear what I have to say.
>
> Harris wrote:
>
> > "A. Kay" <aj.kayNOSPAM@verizon.net> wrote:
> >
> > > I have been hear what sounds like telephone (cordless?) conversation in
> > the
> > > > 971 to 985 mhz range. Clear as a bell, both sides of the conversation. I
> > > > thought the 900 mhz cordless phones transmitted in the lower 900 mhz
> > range.
> > > > What gives?
> > >
> > > What is the first i.f. freq of the scanner? Could be images. See if you hear
> > > the same signals in the lower 900 MHz range.
> > >
> > > Are you sure you're hearing cordless? Do you hear the conversation to the
> > > end without it switching to another freq?
> > >

> > BTW, you're under arrest!
> >
> > Art

3557. Re: 971-985 mhz What am I hearing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 17:25:02 UTC
From: John Wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3CF26BEE.8E7A10A1@erols.com>
Source record: 47873

There is also some trunking in the 900 mhz. range. Typically it is used
by business users.

"A. Kay" wrote:

> I don't understand, isn't cell in the 800 mhz range?
>
> "john" <mcclure_john@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:61f2a158.0205030449.5693542e@posting.google.com...
> > "A. Kay" <aj.kayNOSPAM@verizon.net> wrote in message
> news:<sFoA8.33482$iJ.15021@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>...
> > > I have been hear what sounds like telephone (cordless?) conversation in
> the
> > > 971 to 985 mhz range. Clear as a bell, both sides of the conversation.
> I
> > > thought the 900 mhz cordless phones transmitted in the lower 900 mhz
> range.
> > > What gives?
> >
> > your hearin cell phones not cordless

3558. Re: antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 17:56:55 UTC
From: timmy43 <timmy43@bankshot.com>
Message-ID: <uf4sra6odla3d3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47874

Try the Radio Shack scanner mag mount antenna. Very good performer and not all that big. If you don't want it on top of the cab, mount it in the bed in a corner up by the cab. Will definitely perform better than the glass mount that they sell.

Consensus is about 50-50 with the glass mount style. About as many people like them as those who don't. I've had two, just mounted my most recent last week, and can't really talk bad about them. Can pull in NOAA weather stations from 100 miles away. They serve their purpose... especially those of us who don't want anything stuck to their car's paint.

"Just_me" <martian10@rogers.com> wrote in message news:ANdI8.98247$ah_.51595@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> I bought a Radio Shack pro scan and it came with the Window mount antenna,
> signal is really weak...Now I don't want a HUGE ASS antenna stuck to the roof of my truck...

3559. Winscan780

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 18:26:40 UTC
From: loksim <loksim4@poczta.onet.pl>
Message-ID: <acttpc$ah6$1@news.onet.pl>
Source record: 47875

hello

I exchange RS-R75 for Winscan780.

regards
loksim

3560. Re: Winscan780

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 19:47:43 UTC
From: mike <mvp67@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <z3wl8.63284$J%2.1204644@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 47876

Why, Winscan 780 sucks.
Dont waste your time or money on it.

"loksim" <loksim4@poczta.onet.pl> wrote in message
news:acttpc$ah6$1@news.onet.pl...
> hello
>
> I exchange RS-R75 for Winscan780.
>
> regards
> loksim
>
>
>

3561. Re: ARC780 S/w

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 20:07:05 UTC
From: maggnum@internode.on.net
Message-ID: <3cf29182.166723395@news.internode.on.net>
Source record: 47877
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 27 May 2002 14:40:24 GMT, SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote:

>"Brent" wrote:
>
>>I must agree, I for one see nothing wrong with pirate software but if you
>>want this program then pay for it, the writer has done such a good job of
>>the program I doubt you'll find anyone who wants to screw him by giving away
>>their own payed for serial number. its only $40aus after all.
>
>So ...I guess it's OK to pirate from a company owned by a
>multi-billionaire, but it's wrong to do so from a little guy, huh?
>
>Nice logic.

Yep,

support the little guy. The multi-billionaire can afford to loose $40
I think. Plus the guy is a total nerd
I too think ARC780 is a great program and worthy of people paying for
it.
Bow who says Windows is a great program?? Any takers?

Davo

3562. Re: Apartment antenna ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 20:10:52 UTC
From: "Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca>
Message-ID: <Pine.A41.4.05.10205271407480.31916-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca>
Source record: 47879

I use an old CB radio twin truck mounted antenna bolted to a hockey stick, and poke it out the window when the weather is good (I weigh it down at the other end to do this). It is also a good indoor antenna when placed right next to the window. It works better for the lower frequencies than for the high ones since it is such a long antenna. I use an SO239-to-BNC adapter to plug the coax into the back of the scanner.

On Sun, 26 May 2002, it was written:

> anybody got any input as to a nice apartment antenna.....?? Inside or
> outside,I just can't put up a mast......full coverage!! Will be using
> a BC780 XLT.....
>
>

3563. Re: Winscan780

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 20:28:16 UTC
From: loksim <loksim4@poczta.onet.pl>
Message-ID: <acu4tdoia1@news.onet.pl>
Source record: 47878

> Why, Winscan 780 sucks.
> Dont waste your time or money on it.

Please, don't give me advice.
I have RS-R75 and I need Winscan780, not your counsel.

loksim

3564. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 21:12:00 UTC
From: Leigh Darnall <"leigh\\@spammers. suck.net>">
Message-ID: <uf580vp9n577f1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47880
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

Jeez.

plonk

Seven of 3 wrote:
<Immmature trolling snipped>

Leigh Darnall
--
He who laughs last
Didn't get the joke.

3565. Re: Winscan780

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 21:35:41 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <nospam@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <XImnAABtaq88EwLc@g7hid.lineone.net>
Source record: 47881

In article <acu4tdoia1@news.onet.pl>, loksim <loksim4@poczta.onet.pl>
writes
>> Why, Winscan 780 sucks.
>> Dont waste your time or money on it.
>
>Please, don't give me advice.
>I have RS-R75 and I need Winscan780, not your counsel.

Well I was going to advise you where to find the demo and crack for
Winscan780 on the web.. but I see you do not accept advice :-(

--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
: don't fix it !

3566. Re: Winradio,will it do more than a seperate scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 22:37:39 UTC
From: timmy43 <timmy43@bankshot.com>
Message-ID: <uf5d9ushi1ln0d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47882

They are fun to play and experiment with, especially with those units that have a good deal of 3rd party quality software support. Other than that, if you've already got full coverage scanners and shortwaves, you're not going to hear anything new...

"clc" <clc@conninc.com> wrote in message news:7e9f0c9f.0205261825.6a8e1f29@posting.google.com...

> So what would be the advantage of getting a scanner built into a PC?

3567. Re: Winscan780

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 23:29:25 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <nospam@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <BOIChnBVFs88Ewlu@g7hid.lineone.net>
Source record: 47883

"SuckButt" wrote

>>Why, Winscan 780 sucks.
>>Dont waste your time or money on it.
>
>Why should he take your word for it when all you can offer is it
>"sucks".
>
>WHY does it suck?

I take it you are new here!!

--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
: don't fix it !

3568. Re: Newbie in MO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-27 23:52:26 UTC
From: "Roger D. Cravens, Jr." <ke4yqz@kc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <gtg5fuccqkoib5nsjoiijp99oaf7jvekk4@4ax.com>
Source record: 47884

On Mon, 27 May 2002 11:56:47 -0500, "Karrack2" <karrack2@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Does anyone have any frequencies for around Knob Noster MO or any web sites?
>Thanks.
>

The absolute best way to get frequencies for your area is:

1. Go by your local Radio Shack and purchase a copy of their
frequency book "Police Call."

If they don't have one in stock, then order one and talk to the RS
manager about them keeping this extremely important reference
book in stock all the year round.

2. Order your copy from Grove Enterprises located in Brasstown, NC.

3. Because you have access to the Internet, I'm honestly shocked that
you didn't go into your search software and look up anything you
could possibly want, including the frequencies for Knob Noster.
If you absolutely couldn't find what you want in any of the above
sources, it seems even more obvious to me why you didn't call
up the FCC via software and query their computerized master list
and print that out.

The most important part of the exercise(s) above, is that you will
never have to go back and embarrass yourself again in front of several
thousand people by asking something you should either already know,
or certainly where you can go to get the information you want. How
many people do you know, outside of yourself, would have the
slightest idea where Knob Noster is even located?

I don't mean to get sharp, but this kind of stuff gets old after a
while. If you lived in New York or Los Angeles or even Chicago
I wouldn't complain. But the total population of Knob Noster
can't be more than 3,000 people. I've driven throught it more
than once and it's not much more than a wide spot in the road
which is why you get all of your political support from
Warrensburg or Johnson County.

[See Below]

Roger D. Cravens, Jr. [KE4YQZ]
Kansas City, Missouri

158.7750 L City of Knob Noster
155.2050 S Knob Noster Public School District

154.1900 F Warrensburg Fire Department
154.2800 F Warrensburg Fire Department
154.3700 F Warrensburg Fire Department
155.0550 L Joint Police/Fire Department
154.8300 P Police Department
155.3700 P Police Department
155.4150 P Johnson County Sheriff's Office Dispatch
155.4750 P Johnson County Sheriff
155.7300 p Johnson County Sheriff
156.1050 R Johnson County Roads Department
158.9850 R Johnson County Roads Department
156.0000 L Johnson County Soil & Water

You're also just West of Whiteman Air Force Base which as you
should already know is home to our newest and most powerful
bombers.

These few military frequencies should get you started. You
didn't mention what kind of scanner you have, but for many
of these frequencies you will have to have access to the military's
224-400MHz frequency range. Only the most expensive scanners
normally have this particular range of military requencies.

I have only included a handful of their frequencies - just enough
to show you that they really are there. With all of the political
stuff currently happening in the world, I'd stay glued to the USAF
frequencies over at Whiteman AFB and MSNBC or Fox news for
the current information in terms of when it is best to listen in.

Airfield Operations:

[Frequencies removed for this public message for military security
reasons]

There are many more including these trunked frequencies. I hope
you have one of the newer scanners than can follow a trunked system:

[Frequencies removed for this public message for military security
reasons]

As I said, there are quite a few more frequencies and military
frequencies. But telling you what they are would be a waste of
my time and I'd be doing all the work. Now it's time to get you
off your rear end and you go to work for a while. By the way,
if you don't want to work that hard, then I also strangly recommend
you invest in a frequency CD. The standard civilian CD can
be purhcased from Grove Enterprises, there is also another good
one in the back of 2002 Radio Shack frequency guide, but
if you have to get involved in the federal government frequencies
then Groce enterprises is the only way to go.

Roger D. Cravens, Jr.
KE4YQZ

Kansas City, Missouri

3569. Re: Reminder - PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 00:05:02 UTC
From: Just_me <martian10@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <OQzI8.105968$ah_.57713@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 47885

Looks more like a short wave radio ?

"JohnL" <xyz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:actmfs$sfknb$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de...
> Hi all,
>
> Thought I'd post a reminder that one of my PRO-2006's is up on ebay for
> grabs. There is a couple of days left, but I thought there would be a few
> more people reading the group today since it's a holiday here in the US.
>
> thanks!
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1353948862
>
> --
> John L
> Note the email address used for posting is not valid.
> Sent with PAN 0.11.93 running on Mandrake Linux 8.2
>

3570. Re: ARC780 S/w

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 00:07:45 UTC
From: Brent <bmc@mail2me.com.au>
Message-ID: <acuhq1$ll2$1@merki.connect.com.au>
Source record: 47886
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Sure is
"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:ocl4fu81qj2uaciejfb2ljpl7cqgb6u4s3@4ax.com...
> "Brent" wrote:
>
> >I must agree, I for one see nothing wrong with pirate software but if you
> >want this program then pay for it, the writer has done such a good job of
> >the program I doubt you'll find anyone who wants to screw him by giving
away
> >their own payed for serial number. its only $40aus after all.
>
> So ...I guess it's OK to pirate from a company owned by a
> multi-billionaire, but it's wrong to do so from a little guy, huh?
>
> Nice logic.

3571. Re: Widescreen DVDs in danger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 00:32:17 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020527203217.19944.00000796@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 47887

GOOD !!!!!! STOMP THEM OUT

3572. Re: GMRS usage survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 01:09:07 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aculcm$so3k2$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47888
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Stanley McCormick" <StanleyMcCormick@spamblock.hotmail.com> wrote in
message news:75qseuceeuu9kl77me4efi4a776cp0snm4@4ax.com...
> Over the Memorial Day weekend tune your scanner to the
> GMRS frequencies and take note of what you hear. For example:
>
> Random call tones and roger beeps
> The infamous "can you hear me now? "can you hear me now?"
> Somebody saying "hello, hello, hello" every 10 minutes
> People (mostly children) playing "Cops & Robbers"

Same goes for FRS radios.

Tom

3573. Re: AU 013 Cellular Telephone Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 01:15:38 UTC
From: N9NWO <n9nwo@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <_SAI8.30649$R_4.778@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 47889

Is this a police unit for monitoring
bad guys? Where can we get more
info?

"OMAR NJIE" <njie@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:XC0C8.175168$QB1.48091657@typhoon3.we.ipsvc.net...
: AU 013 Cellular Telephone Scanner
: Does this scanner scan more then just cell phone calls?
:
:

3574. Re: 900MHz/800MHz voice transmitters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 01:20:48 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <acum2k$seel3$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47890
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"John Wilson" <jwilson9@erols.com> wrote in message
news:3CF26761.E410F9B7@erols.com...
> Yeah, that's a real good idea. I'm sure that low band Fire Service
> licensees would love that interference. If you want to get your ass
stomped by
> the FCC big time, then interfere with "safety of life" communications.
That
> thinking is a sure way to confirm an individual's stupidity, get fined,
have a
> criminal record for the rest of his worthless life and possibly community
> service or jail time. Stupid is as stupid does.

I'm just saying that it's possible, not that I've ever done or ever would do
it.

Tom

3575. Re: Newbie in MO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 01:54:08 UTC
From: wleamons <wleamons@socket.net>
Message-ID: <uf5osffi7iisc3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47891

try cityfreq.com
"Karrack2" <karrack2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:uf4p6krbn3umbb@corp.supernews.com...
> Does anyone have any frequencies for around Knob Noster MO or any web
sites?
> Thanks.
>
>
>

3576. Re: Winscan780

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 02:09:58 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@morisseau.org>
Message-ID: <3CF2E6F5.80B15CC0@morisseau.org>
Source record: 47892
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

SB wrote:

>
> Sure are a lot of thieves in this newsgroup.

You got that right, pilgrim! And they act like self-righteous asses
rationalizing their theft when you call them on it.

--
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'53", W 90ï¿½22'32", 680'
On the sunrise side of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
"ï¿½Y tu madre tambien!"

3577. Re: Newbie in MO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 02:33:08 UTC
From: tirant4 <tirant4@copus.net>
Message-ID: <uf5r349j9rslf7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47893

Go to a Radio Shack in Warrensburg or Sedalia and ask for a local
frequencies list. If they have one, chances are it will be free.

Geez... what a nice way to introduce someone into our hobby.

"Roger D. Cravens, Jr." <ke4yqz@kc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:gtg5fuccqkoib5nsjoiijp99oaf7jvekk4@4ax.com...

> As I said, there are quite a few more frequencies and military
> frequencies. But telling you what they are would be a waste of
> my time and I'd be doing all the work. Now it's time to get you
> off your rear end and you go to work for a while.

3578. Re: Newbie in MO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 02:46:11 UTC
From: Karrack2 <karrack2@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <uf5ro8q8meqi1a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47894

Roger D. Cravens, Jr. <ke4yqz@kc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:gtg5fuccqkoib5nsjoiijp99oaf7jvekk4@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 27 May 2002 11:56:47 -0500, "Karrack2" <karrack2@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Does anyone have any frequencies for around Knob Noster MO or any web
sites?
> >Thanks.
> >
>
> The absolute best way to get frequencies for your area is:
>
> 1. Go by your local Radio Shack and purchase a copy of their
> frequency book "Police Call."
>
> If they don't have one in stock, then order one and talk to the RS
> manager about them keeping this extremely important reference
> book in stock all the year round.
>
> 2. Order your copy from Grove Enterprises located in Brasstown, NC.
>
> 3. Because you have access to the Internet, I'm honestly shocked that
> you didn't go into your search software and look up anything you
> could possibly want, including the frequencies for Knob Noster.
> If you absolutely couldn't find what you want in any of the above
> sources, it seems even more obvious to me why you didn't call
> up the FCC via software and query their computerized master list
> and print that out.
>
> The most important part of the exercise(s) above, is that you will
> never have to go back and embarrass yourself again in front of several
> thousand people by asking something you should either already know,
> or certainly where you can go to get the information you want. How
> many people do you know, outside of yourself, would have the
> slightest idea where Knob Noster is even located?
>
> I don't mean to get sharp, but this kind of stuff gets old after a
> while. If you lived in New York or Los Angeles or even Chicago
> I wouldn't complain. But the total population of Knob Noster
> can't be more than 3,000 people. I've driven throught it more
> than once and it's not much more than a wide spot in the road
> which is why you get all of your political support from
> Warrensburg or Johnson County.
>

> [See Below]
>
> Roger D. Cravens, Jr. [KE4YQZ]
> Kansas City, Missouri
>
> 158.7750 L City of Knob Noster
> 155.2050 S Knob Noster Public School District
> 154.1900 F Warrensburg Fire Department
> 154.2800 F Warrensburg Fire Department
> 154.3700 F Warrensburg Fire Department
> 155.0550 L Joint Police/Fire Department
> 154.8300 P Police Department
> 155.3700 P Police Department
> 155.4150 P Johnson County Sheriff's Office Dispatch
> 155.4750 P Johnson County Sheriff
> 155.7300 p Johnson County Sheriff
> 156.1050 R Johnson County Roads Department
> 158.9850 R Johnson County Roads Department
> 156.0000 L Johnson County Soil & Water
> ---

> You're also just West of Whiteman Air Force Base which as you
> should already know is home to our newest and most powerful
> bombers.
>
> These few military frequencies should get you started. You
> didn't mention what kind of scanner you have, but for many
> of these frequencies you will have to have access to the military's
> 224-400MHz frequency range. Only the most expensive scanners
> normally have this particular range of military requencies.
>
> I have only included a handful of their frequencies - just enough
> to show you that they really are there. With all of the political
> stuff currently happening in the world, I'd stay glued to the USAF
> frequencies over at Whiteman AFB and MSNBC or Fox news for
> the current information in terms of when it is best to listen in.
>
> Airfield Operations:
>
> [Frequencies removed for this public message for military security
> reasons]
>
> There are many more including these trunked frequencies. I hope
> you have one of the newer scanners than can follow a trunked system:
>
> [Frequencies removed for this public message for military security
> reasons]
>
> As I said, there are quite a few more frequencies and military
> frequencies. But telling you what they are would be a waste of

> my time and I'd be doing all the work. Now it's time to get you
> off your rear end and you go to work for a while. By the way,
> if you don't want to work that hard, then I also strangly recommend
> you invest in a frequency CD. The standard civilian CD can
> be purhcased from Grove Enterprises, there is also another good
> one in the back of 2002 Radio Shack frequency guide, but
> if you have to get involved in the federal government frequencies
> then Groce enterprises is the only way to go.
>
>
> Roger D. Cravens, Jr.
> KE4YQZ
> Kansas City, Missouri

Thanks for the freqs Roger and for being an ass. At least I know there are still folks like you out there. Didn't know about the FCC giving frequencies or getting them from RadioShack. If you don't like giving information or helping out, don't do it. If you know so much and don't share, who cares. I'm not embarassed pal. I didn't know so I asked. Is this a group where everyone knows the answers? Relax. I sent you an e-mail saying more. You'll get it I'm sure. If you feels it's your info and yours only, keep it. I don't need to hear your ass talking for your mouth.

Todd

3579. Re: Newbie in MO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 02:46:35 UTC
From: Karrack2 <karrack2@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <uf5rp6h6prdp28@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47895

wleamons <wleamons@socket.net> wrote in message
news:uf5osffi7iisc3@corp.supernews.com...
> try cityfreq.com
> "Karrack2" <karrack2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:uf4p6krbn3umbb@corp.supernews.com...
> > Does anyone have any frequencies for around Knob Noster MO or any web
> sites?
> > Thanks.
> >
> >

Thank you

3580. Re: Newbie in MO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 02:52:19 UTC
From: Norm <Im@Cheers.Com>
Message-ID: <DhCI8.129426$t8_.117015@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 47896

Yes :P

"Karrack2" <karrack2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:uf5ro8q8meqi1a@corp.supernews.com...
Is
> this a group where everyone knows the answers?

> Todd
>
>
>

3581. Re: Winradio,will it do more than a seperate scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 03:06:23 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20020527230623.01976.00000848@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 47697

> if you've already got full coverage scanners and shortwaves, you're not going to hear anything new...<

I have both PC controlled scanner and shortwave. I like my BC895 but seldom use the PC control and could easily do with just a straight non PC trunking scanner. I also have a PC controlled TenTec RX-320 shortwave and you couldn't pry it out of my hands.With all the 3rd party free software available it's real hoot. When used with Tom's Scan320 software its awsome for monitoring utilities. www.tentec.com
www.mindspring.com/~tom2000/rx320/Scan320.html

Rob Mills ~~~

3582. Best Overall Starting Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 03:14:12 UTC
From: c17@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <3cf2f532.25125628@basic.bs.webusenet.com>
Source record: 47698

Just starting off and I want to get a scanner.
I want one that has the best features for the
most affordable price. I'd appreciate input on this.

Thanks
Chris

3583. Oregon Scientific Weather radio - question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 03:15:48 UTC
From: "Bob S." <rgs2@netzeronospam.net>
Message-ID: <EDCI8.18899$Le2.849318@typhoon.mn.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 47699

I have just picked up one of these radios - a model WR-8000 - for 5 bucks, at a garage sale. There was no manual with it, but I only have one question; is there any way to test it, so you can hear the alert tones/siren/etc? (I'm referring to when it's on, but on standby, waiting for a weather alert tone.) I'm curious to see how loud it sounds. Thanks in advance. -Bob / Maplewood, MN

3584. Re: Newbie in MO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 03:34:03 UTC
From: Karrack2 <karrack2@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <uf5uhmlpdp65d1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47700

Well Norm, it's a good thing I stopped here then. ;)

Norm <Im@Cheers.Com> wrote in message
news:DhCI8.129426$t8_.117015@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> Yes :P
>
>
> "Karrack2" <karrack2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:uf5ro8q8meqi1a@corp.supernews.com...
> Is
> > this a group where everyone knows the answers?
>
> > Todd
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3585. Re: Oregon Scientific Weather radio - question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 03:47:43 UTC
From: Keith <nospamplease@newsguy.com>
Message-ID: <3CF2FD70.473E04CC@attbi.com>
Source record: 47701

"Bob S." wrote:
>
> I have just picked up one of these radios - a model WR-8000 - for 5 bucks,
> at a garage sale. There was no manual with it, but I only have one question;
> is there any way to test it, so you can hear the alert tones/siren/etc? (I'm
> referring to when it's on, but on standby, waiting for a weather alert
> tone.) I'm curious to see how loud it sounds. Thanks in advance. -Bob /
> Maplewood, MN

Check out your NWS service page:
http://tgsv5.nws.noaa.gov/nwr/nwrbro.htm
Find your state and it should tell you what day of the week
weather radio stations test their alert tones.

--

Best Regards,

Keith
==========
http://n6jpa.home.attbi.com/ Windows Freeware & NW OR Radio Page
==========

3586. Re: best scanner for $600-$800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 04:02:46 UTC
From: ashan5@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <58e5d449.0205272002.1086184e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47702

thanks john. i should of mentioned that i only need one scanner (of high quality and it will only be used in my car - so i know when there's a cop nearby (time to slow down :)). in my area we also have a problem with corruption so in my spare time i'm going to do my part and try and catch corrupt cops

a few questions that you may know the answer to:

I've heard of something called a "trunker". what is this device used for?

what are ALLCOM scanners?

3587. Re: best scanner for $600-$800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 05:21:52 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <QtEI8.1702$J.920@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 47703

In MANY states, using the information you obtain from the scanner to
interfer or otherwise use to your advantage is, HIGHLY ILLEGAL.

--
Remove "beforeflight" to reply
"AD" <ashan5@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:58e5d449.0205272002.1086184e@posting.google.com...
> thanks john. i should of mentioned that i only need one scanner (of
> high quality and it will only be used in my car - so i know when
> there's a cop nearby (time to slow down :)). in my area we also have
> a problem with corruption so in my spare time i'm going to do my part
> and try and catch corrupt cops
>
> a few questions that you may know the answer to:
>
> I've heard of something called a "trunker". what is this device used
> for?
>
> what are ALLCOM scanners?
>

3588. Re: best scanner for $600-$800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 05:23:02 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <WuEI8.1703$J.42@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 47704

Oh, yes...
Mobile use of scanners is ILLEGAL in some states, also.
Check your laws first...

--
Remove "beforeflight" to reply
"AD" <ashan5@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:58e5d449.0205272002.1086184e@posting.google.com...
> thanks john. i should of mentioned that i only need one scanner (of
> high quality and it will only be used in my car - so i know when
> there's a cop nearby (time to slow down :)). in my area we also have
> a problem with corruption so in my spare time i'm going to do my part
> and try and catch corrupt cops
>
> a few questions that you may know the answer to:
>
> I've heard of something called a "trunker". what is this device used
> for?
>
> what are ALLCOM scanners?
>

3589. Re: Re: Newbie in MO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 05:40:31 UTC
From: "Roger D. Cravens, Jr." <ke4yqz@kc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <gr56fu4d5dbfou10dqkea1hgfdab9rvmt9@4ax.com>
Source record: 47705

On Mon, 27 May 2002 21:33:08 -0500, "tirant4" <tirant4@copus.net>
wrote:

>Go to a Radio Shack in Warrensburg or Sedalia and ask for a local
>frequencies list. If they have one, chances are it will be free.
>
>Geez... what a nice way to introduce someone into our hobby.

Everyone has a bad day and everyone can be an asshole for
one day out of their lives.

This one was just my day! I did write him a letter of apology
plus gave him a shit-load of frequency listings that he would have a
very hard time poking them all into his scanner. Probably a thousand
or so frequencies - and most were not duplicates.

Ask him about it next time you drop him a message - I'd like to know
where he put it all after he printed them all out, hehehe!!!

Roger

>
>
>"Roger D. Cravens, Jr." <ke4yqz@kc.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:gtg5fuccqkoib5nsjoiijp99oaf7jvekk4@4ax.com...
>
>> As I said, there are quite a few more frequencies and military
>> frequencies. But telling you what they are would be a waste of
>> my time and I'd be doing all the work. Now it's time to get you
>> off your rear end and you go to work for a while.
>

3590. Re: PayPal (Legal Crooks or What?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 06:30:52 UTC
From: lareid96@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020528023052.08468.00001240@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 47706

If you follow the Terms Of Service, PayPal will never put a chargeback against your account. That means you must only ship to a verified address among other things.

PayPal is the only service I use for all of my online selling.

I used to use BillPoint--until they issued a $1500 chargeback against me b/c of a scammer--through no fault of my own. (I followed the TOS).

BillPoint Blows. PayPal Kicks major ass...

3591. Re: Winscan780

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 07:15:17 UTC
From: loksim <loksim4@poczta.onet.pl>
Message-ID: <acvaqfahi1@news.onet.pl>
Source record: 47707
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

thank you everyone for the reasonable comments to the point

loksim

3592. Re: ARC780 S/w

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 09:43:44 UTC
From: maggnum@internode.on.net
Message-ID: <3cf3513c.215805101@news.internode.on.net>
Source record: 47708
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Just remember, Robin Hood was a thief to. Does that make him bad as
well??

Davo

On Tue, 28 May 2002 10:07:45 +1000, "Brent" <bmc@mail2me.com.au>
wrote:

>Sure is
>"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
>news:ocl4fu81qj2uaciejfb2ljpl7cqgb6u4s3@4ax.com...
>> "Brent" wrote:
>>
>> >I must agree, I for one see nothing wrong with pirate software but if you
>> >want this program then pay for it, the writer has done such a good job of
>> >the program I doubt you'll find anyone who wants to screw him by giving
>away
>> >their own payed for serial number. its only $40aus after all.
>>
>> So ...I guess it's OK to pirate from a company owned by a
>> multi-billionaire, but it's wrong to do so from a little guy, huh?
>>
>> Nice logic.
>
>

3593. Re: best scanner for $600-$800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 10:45:34 UTC
From: Mille <nomail@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <idJI8.1944$YV2.1531231@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 47710

Heck, any cheap ass scanner will allow you to listen to the local donut eaters. No need to spend $600-plus to do that. As for nabbing corrupt cops, you have been reading too many comic books. Do you seriously think you're going to hear anything? They're not that stupid. What a fantasy!

There are lots of sites on the Web that will explain the trunk tracking concept to you; you need to figure out whether your cops use on of the TT systems and if so, get a scanner that does trunk tracking. Google worked well the last time I checked.

But really, if you're asking thiese sorts of questions, you must have come up with the idea of spending hundreds of dollars on a scanner by picking a number out of a hat. Asking what the "best" of anything is is sheer nonsense. Would you ask "What is the best cow?" for instance?

"AD" <ashan5@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:58e5d449.0205272002.1086184e@posting.google.com...
> thanks john. i should of mentioned that i only need one scanner (of
> high quality and it will only be used in my car - so i know when
> there's a cop nearby (time to slow down :)). in my area we also have
> a problem with corruption so in my spare time i'm going to do my part
> and try and catch corrupt cops
>
> a few questions that you may know the answer to:
>
> I've heard of something called a "trunker". what is this device used
> for?
>
> what are ALLCOM scanners?

3594. Re: Re: Newbie in MO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 11:25:57 UTC
From: Karrack2 <karrack2@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <uf6q6ek3nngjad@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47709

I'd like to apologize to this group for my comments last night. I should know better than to let something like that get to me. So, once again, thanks for all the help here.

Todd

3595. Re: Best Overall Starting Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 11:33:00 UTC
From: Harry <hc.me@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <sqp6fuoeo87h3i0op7f1kvt6sfb5rns0fn@4ax.com>
Source record: 47711

[On Tue, 28 May 2002 03:14:12 GMT, c17@bellsouth.net (Chris) wrote:]

> Just starting off and I want to get a scanner.
> I want one that has the best features for the
> most affordable price. I'd appreciate input on this.

Me too.

After doing a lot of reading, I recently bought a Radio Shack Pro-2053 on sale for $179. That's a console / base unit. The same technology in a hand-held comes in the Pro-93.

These scanners are very current models that should be capable of doing just about anything you want or need to do. Dual-trunking, EDACS, alpha-tagging, computer-interface for memory management, etc. They do more than I personally need.

Reception (sensitivity, selectivity) seems to be excellent, even with the standard antenna. I get more than I want to hear. The challenge is in paring down the frequencies.

Good support is available. There is a Yahoo chat group (search on "Pro-93"), and Radio Shack is often helpful also. Some free sotware is around. Win93 is the one I got.

I also bought a used Uniden BC895xlt for less money than that. I have yet to receive it, so I won't comment except to say that this is supposedly one of the classic, great scanners. It isn't as tricked-out as the newer models, but it is very good. Depending on where you live, it's probably good enough. Better than good enough.

If you can spend more, take a look at the Uniden BC780xlt. It is also very current and very well-supported. As of the moment, this is pretty much the state of the art scanner for the under $500 market.

Any of the above will get you going. People are around who have them and who will help you.

Good luck!

Harry

3596. Re: Best Overall Starting Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 12:36:28 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <bob@parnassXcutX.org>
Message-ID: <3cf379f0$0$3581$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 47712

First, check if you require trunk tracking capability.

If not, consider the Uniden/Bearcat BC278CLT, on sale
for $129 at Farm and Fleet. (I don't know if there's
a Farm and Fleet in your area.)

On Mon, 27 May 2002 22:14:12 -0500, Chris wrote:

> Just starting off and I want to get a scanner. I want one that has the
> best features for the most affordable price. I'd appreciate input on
> this.
>
> Thanks
> Chris

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S Linux User www.parnass.org

3597. Re: Phone tower blocked Lake view saved for Townshippers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 12:47:55 UTC
From: Steve & Susan <moc.enilepip@ykkams>
Message-ID: <p2v6fugmhjt5cjf6trn2c44k0nsjbo9mkq@4ax.com>
Source record: 47713
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.toronto,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 21 May 2002 08:35:11 GMT, "Gary R. Utter"
<utter@syndicomm.com> wrote:

>In article
><hT5G8.65243$t8_.34404@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>, Seven of
>3 <catwails@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>> I did find proof, and it was too easy!
>> So, someone was very lazy, were they not?
>
>Look, moron, no one but YOU saw any need for proof. YOU were the one
>getting mad at people for not doing the work you wanted done.
>
>What are you, about 14?

No, he's from Missouri. He's a stubborn, opinionated xenophobe.

Steve

3598. Re: ARC780 S/w

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 13:22:46 UTC
From: Smoo <smoo@connect.com.au>
Message-ID: <GwLI8.189997$o66.565814@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 47714
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Name: Excelight^
Key: 111GH34J

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:cnv6fugqvp1emfs7mnf9ovrc82sqkllps4@4ax.com...
> "NoSpam" wrote:
>
> >Just remember, Robin Hood was a thief to.
>
> And also a largely - if not completely - fictional character.
>
> As long as you seem to be of the same ilk as the thieves who think
> it's fine to steal from the rich and give to themselves...
>
> Naaa. You probably wouldn't understand.

3599. Pro Scan question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 13:36:23 UTC
From: Just_me <martian10@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <rJLI8.113199$ah_.109343@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 47715

Hi guys new to scanning, I asked before about a getting a better signal, I bough a mag mount a little better, but stations still have too much static.

Now someone mentioned something about modifying a chip ?
Is there such a thing and does it work, to bring in better signals...

Any help would be appreciated.

Thanx - you can EMAIL me direct if you like......

3600. Re: Dayton reports??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 14:14:31 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <l327fucnn6v01r4oo8cgdgursrh89l1tio@4ax.com>
Source record: 47716
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 25 May 2002 02:16:12 GMT, Randy & Cara randall
<crrandal@earthlink.net> wrote:

>If I was the seller and heard this, why would I bother taking
>an item to any fest? I'd sell it on Ebay and pocket the $$$ instead of
>making someone else rich.

This is something illusory that I suspect will slowly disappear.
People forget the time value of money. Yeah, sure, they'll earn an
extra $20 here or $30 there --but how much did they shell out to get
that money? And what about the botched sales where they end up taking
a loss?

It may be true that the true flea market nature of Dayton and other
hamfests may slowly attenuate as time goes on. Further, as people get
burned more and more on E-Bay, I think that flea-markets will go up in
price because you can see and poke at the things people are selling
while E-Bay's prices will go down some. At a flea market you can
actually see what you're buying and you can pay for it and carry it
away on the spot.

And by the way, hamfests are not just about flea markets. Yes, that's
a big part of them. However, there are still symposia, VEC testing,
socializing, and yes, even operating various radios and the like.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

3601. Re: Two Scanners - One Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 14:26:16 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <ea47fug6pa90vi3ujdftc9nr1hp60l5f9m@4ax.com>
Source record: 47717
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Mon, 27 May 2002 08:08:23 -0400, "Max Imo" <not@this.com> wrote:

>What would be wrong with using a VHF/UHF amplifier and an inexpensive
>splitter?

In a word: "isolation"

Your scanners will leak a certain amount of it's local oscillator.
For the purposes of most immediate listeners, it's only a milliwatt or
two. However, when you apply that kind of signal on the same coaxial
cable as another scanner, you'll start hearing all sorts of
interference products from each of the two scanners.

These interference products will result in phantom signals with dead
air or possibly IMD products with other existing signals.

My suggestion is that since these scanners are different brands, with
different IF and LO systems: try it. If it doesn't work, get a real
multicoupler with an appropriate high isolation splitter. And by the
way, beware of those inexpensive amplifiers. They often increase IMD
problems. As amplifiers go, you don't need much gain. If your
multicoupler has only four ports, you should have no more than seven
dB of gain from the amplifier (a signal split four ways would see six
decibels of attenuation plus an additional one decibel for connector
and other miscellaneous losses).

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

3602. Re: Two Scanners - One Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 15:22:57 UTC
From: Hank <nowon@noware.com>
Message-ID: <lhNI8.53410$Gs.4993786@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 47718
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Not that I'm an expert, but as I had posted, a cable A/B switch would
provide total isolation, and be much cheaper than an amplifier and splitter.

Hank

Jake Brodsky wrote in message ...
>On Mon, 27 May 2002 08:08:23 -0400, "Max Imo" <not@this.com> wrote:
>
>>What would be wrong with using a VHF/UHF amplifier and an inexpensive
>>splitter?
>
>In a word: "isolation"
>
>Your scanners will leak a certain amount of it's local oscillator.
>For the purposes of most immediate listeners, it's only a milliwatt or
>two. However, when you apply that kind of signal on the same coaxial
>cable as another scanner, you'll start hearing all sorts of
>interference products from each of the two scanners.
>
>These interference products will result in phantom signals with dead
>air or possibly IMD products with other existing signals.
>
>My suggestion is that since these scanners are different brands, with
>different IF and LO systems: try it. If it doesn't work, get a real
>multicoupler with an appropriate high isolation splitter. And by the
>way, beware of those inexpensive amplifiers. They often increase IMD
>problems. As amplifiers go, you don't need much gain. If your
>multicoupler has only four ports, you should have no more than seven
>dB of gain from the amplifier (a signal split four ways would see six
>decibels of attenuation plus an additional one decibel for connector
>and other miscellaneous losses).
>
>
>Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
>"Beware of the massive impossible!"

3603. Re: GMRS usage survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 17:40:58 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3CF3C12A.E21465F7@USA.net>
Source record: 47719
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What else would your expect from the GMRS and FRS bands. It's nothing but another CB band.

Tom Sevart wrote:

> "Stanley McCormick" <StanleyMcCormick@spamblock.hotmail.com> wrote in
> message news:75qseuceeuu9kl77me4efi4a776cp0snm4@4ax.com...
> > Over the Memorial Day weekend tune your scanner to the
> > GMRS frequencies and take note of what you hear. For example:
> >
> > Random call tones and roger beeps
> > The infamous "can you hear me now? "can you hear me now?"
> > Somebody saying "hello, hello, hello" every 10 minutes
> > People (mostly children) playing "Cops & Robbers"
>
> Same goes for FRS radios.
>
> Tom

3604. Re: Oregon Scientific Weather radio - question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 17:44:19 UTC
From: Harry <hc.me@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <p7g7fu0spkdg88hfm5vpvs4se85h90963f@4ax.com>
Source record: 47720

[On Tue, 28 May 2002 03:15:48 GMT, "Bob S."
<rgs2@netzeronospam.net> wrote:]

> I have just picked up one of these radios - a model WR-8000 - for 5 bucks,
> at a garage sale. There was no manual with it, but I only have one question;
> is there any way to test it, so you can hear the alert tones/siren/etc? (I'm
> referring to when it's on, but on standby, waiting for a weather alert
> tone.) I'm curious to see how loud it sounds. Thanks in advance. -Bob /
> Maplewood, MN

Oregon Scientific also maintains a website:

http://www2.oregonscientific.com/

Could be that you get find a download-able manual there. They
aren't a bad outfit by any means. Call 'em up.

(But buy you weather station from Davis Instruments. Shhh!)

3605. Re: damned RIAA/MPAA tyrants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 18:23:18 UTC
From: B Smith <No-Spam@nowhere.invalid.addr>
Message-ID: <280520021423182719%No-Spam@nowhere.invalid.addr>
Source record: 47721
Cross-posted to: rec.video.production,rec.video.desktop,rec.radio.scanner,alt.society.civil-liberty,rec.video.cable-tv

In article <3mk3fuc2muelejdlst2fb6267qts9bb8dc@4ax.com>, John
<John@doe.com> wrote:

> "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote:
>
> >Recording TV shows is legal , according to the United States Congress. It is
> >also legal to copy any publicly broadcasted material, for your own use. You
> >need to catch up on these laws before spouting off in such a manner. Its the
> >law, buddy.
> >
> ><idiocy snipped>
> >
>
> Yes. But the MPAA/RIAA want these rites removed hence they are
> bribing all sorts of US politicians..

Recording may currently be legal, but many rights have ALREADY been
lost. For instance, if you owned a copy of a video, say one that your
kids watched often, it used to be legal a few years ago to copy that
often watched video and then wear out the copy. But now under the
digital millenium copyright law it is ILLEGAL to circumvent any copy
protection. So your right to make a backup is not gone, but you cannot
exercise it legally, so your right to make a backup is gone.

Hey, there is lots of stuff going on, the rules changed alot a few
years ago, and Hollywood wants them to change more.

http://bpdg.blogs.eff.org/archives/000113.html

> The people who tried to take away your VCR are at it again. Hollywood has
> always dreamed of a "well-mannered marketplace" where
> the only technologies that you can buy are those that do not disrupt
> its business. Acting through legislators who dance to Hollywood's
> tune, the movie studios are racing to lock away the flexible,
> general-purpose technology that has given us a century of unparalelled
> prosperity and innovation.
>
> The Motion Picture Association of America (MPAA) filed the "Content
> Protection Status Report" with the Senate Judiciary Committee
> last month, laying out its plan to remake the technology world to suit
> its own ends. The report calls for regulation of
> analog-to-digital converters (ADCs), generic computing components found
> in scientific, medical and entertainment devices. Under its
> proposal, every ADC will be controlled by a "cop-chip" that will shut
> it down if it is asked to assist in converting copyrighted material

> -- your cellphone would refuse to transmit your voice if you wandered
> too close to the copyrighted music coming from your stereo.
>
> The report shows that this ADC regulation is part of a larger agenda.
> The first piece of that agenda, a mandate that would give
> Hollywood a veto over digital television technology, is weeks away from
> coming to fruition. Hollywood also proposes a radical
> redesign of the Internet to assist in controlling the distribution of
> copyrighted works.
>
> This three-part agenda -- controlling digital media devices,
> controlling analog converters, controlling the Internet -- is a
> frightening
> peek at Hollywood's vision of the future.

3606. Re: Two Scanners - One Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 18:25:53 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CF3CBB1.4050408@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 47722
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hank wrote:

> Not that I'm an expert, but as I had posted, a cable A/B switch would
> provide total isolation, and be much cheaper than an amplifier and splitter.
>
> Hank

But with an A/B switch you couldn't use both scanners at the same
time.... Want cheap, just unscrew the connector from one scanner and
screw it to the other.... can't get much cheaper....

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Freqs and more.

3607. Re: damned RIAA/MPAA tyrants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 19:06:49 UTC
From: Larry J <ljvideo@REMOVETHIS.usa.net>
Message-ID: <Xns921C79AD54EB1larryathome@68.6.19.6>
Source record: 47723
Cross-posted to: rec.video.production,rec.video.desktop,rec.radio.scanner,alt.society.civil-liberty,rec.video.cable-tv

On 28 May 2002, B Smith <No-Spam@nowhere.invalid.addr> tapped on a
keyboard and said:
> Hey, there is lots of stuff going on, the rules changed alot a
> few years ago, and Hollywood wants them to change more.

If Americans were not so obsessed over their entertainment, the
people providing it would not have so much power. You reap what you
sow...

--
Larry Jandro - Remove spamtrap in ALLCAPS to e-mail

Are you a Sound/Video/Lighting/Staging Freelancer..?
If so, think about joining our mail list.
Send an e-mail to: avfreelancers-subscribe@topica.com
(Requests from Yahoo, Hotmail & @HOME will be rejected.)

3608. Re: ARC780 S/w

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 20:02:12 UTC
From: maggnum@internode.on.net
Message-ID: <3cf3e1a5.252776012@news.internode.on.net>
Source record: 47724
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Not that I don't understand, just I don't care about anyone ripping
off software from a giant multi national company run by a multi
billionaire, who has been squashing his competition in not very ince
ways, been pushy in the market and has been selling their software at
totally ridiculus and rip off prices well before anyone thought of
pirating their software.
Your heart can bleed for these bastards each timeyou hear that
someone has made a copy of Windows. I know mine won't.

Davo

On Tue, 28 May 2002 11:49:00 GMT, SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote:

>"NoSpam" wrote:
>
>>Just remember, Robin Hood was a thief to.
>
>And also a largely - if not completely - fictional character.
>
>As long as you seem to be of the same ilk as the thieves who think
>it's fine to steal from the rich and give to themselves...
>
>Naaa. You probably wouldn't understand.

3609. Re: Two Scanners - One Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 20:11:06 UTC
From: Hank <nowon@noware.com>
Message-ID: <uvRI8.55991$Oa1.5077242@bin8.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 47725
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

GeorgeF wrote in message <3CF3CBB1.4050408@licensed4fun.com>...
>
>
>Hank wrote:
>
>> Not that I'm an expert, but as I had posted, a cable A/B switch would
>> provide total isolation, and be much cheaper than an amplifier and
splitter.
>>
>> Hank
>
>
>But with an A/B switch you couldn't use both scanners at the same
>time.... Want cheap, just unscrew the connector from one scanner and
>screw it to the other.... can't get much cheaper....
>
>
>George
>http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Freqs and more.

I don't believe he wants to listen to 2 at a time. BTW, isn't unscrewing
from one and connecting to another, one at a time?

Me again
>
>

3610. Re: Best Overall Starting Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 20:24:09 UTC
From: Red TR1 <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <PHRI8.84263$vm6.18793708@ruti.visi.com>
Source record: 47726

The first thing you have to do is figure out of the local stuff you want to listen to is regular, trunked or digital. If digital you are out of luck for the near future. If trunked then the Radio Shack 2053 base or Pro 93 hand helds are good options. Only buy if on sale the regular prices are too high. The 2053 and 93 also do regular scanning too. I have no trunking around me at all and use the Pro 2053 with excellent results.

Greg
"Chris" <c17@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:3cf2f532.25125628@basic.bs.webusenet.com...
> Just starting off and I want to get a scanner.
> I want one that has the best features for the
> most affordable price. I'd appreciate input on this.
>
> Thanks
> Chris
>

3611. Re: Cordless phone eavesdropping story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 20:38:11 UTC
From: Michael <mvp67@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <TURI8.558$%J4.9487@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 47727
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ok I'll say it again, If your Cell/Cordless phone frequencies come in to MY HOUSE and I so happen to be monitoring those frequencies then they will be listen to. You dont like that then scramble the frequencies you are using..

Besides cells are boring and if you really want to listen to cells then go to a park or mall and thats what your gonna hear, one side.

Michael
Six Degrees of Seperation from the Dark Side
questions lead to fear , fear leads to anger, anger leads to frustration, frustration leads to disgust, disgust leads to apathy, and apathy leads to the dark side!

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message news:4rgodu4kmk7916vrb0i1n36ofvcbqhsl35@4ax.com...
> While savoring a President Clinton 'special' cigar, "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
> scribbled...
>
> >Your comparison is completely ridiculous! There is no comparison between
> >walking down the street and being stabbed, and receiving radio frequencies
> >out of the air.
>
> The analogy is PERFECT.
>
> The law says you can't attack someone, and you can't listen to cellphones and cordless phones.
>
> What makes you think breaking ONE law isn't like breaking ANOTHER law??
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....
>

3612. Re: Winscan780

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 21:11:44 UTC
From: Michael <mvp67@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <koSI8.837$%J4.15368@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 47728

Becuse the software slows you system down to a crawl, I have a 750 w/768 ram
and it would go very very slow, and when I emailed there so called tech
support in 3 times in Dec 2001, lets say I'm still waiting for a reply back.
So Winscan doesnt work, tech support sucks and Yes I got me money back and
sent there software back to them.

I would only use this if there is nothing else to use.

Michael
Six Degrees of Seperation from the Dark Side
questions lead to fear , fear leads to anger, anger leads to frustration,
frustration leads to disgust, disgust leads to apathy, and apathy leads to
the dark side!

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:es35fu8tl5sofn4vh5d14fsaor7lqrca6i@4ax.com...
> "mike" wrote:
>
> >Why, Winscan 780 sucks.
> >Dont waste your time or money on it.
>
> Why should he take your word for it when all you can offer is it
> "sucks".
>
> WHY does it suck?
>

3613. Mounting Point Sufficient?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 21:42:09 UTC
From: Andy <postmaster@localhost.localdomain>
Message-ID: <RQSI8.213$0A2.299@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 47729
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

I plan to get a scanner for my car ('84 Mercury Grand Marquis). For the antenna, I plan on getting the FireStik Mobile Action Scan (Model: MS-4) (http://www.firestik.com/Catalog/MSM-3&4.htm) and for the mount, the Jeep Bump-Stop bracket (http://www.firestik.com/Catalog/MK-JBS4R.htm). I will mount it to the extreme right side of the rear bumper.

My first question: Do I need to get a spring for the antenna, or should it be OK without?

Second question: Would anyone reccomend a better spot to mount the antenna? In the future, I may get a second antenna on the opposite side of the car for my CB radio with the same mount, and a 5 foot CB antenna. I park the car in a garage, so I can't do something like mount a 5 foot antenna on the roof.

--
Andy
http://dynamicbits.com

3614. Re: Mounting Point Sufficient?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 21:58:00 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3CF3FD6A.5F55575C@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 47730
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

4 foot, spring?. I use KW-7's without springs. Work a lot better
then a 4 footer. Go with at least a 5 footer. Center & higher is better.

Andy wrote:
>
> I plan to get a scanner for my car ('84 Mercury Grand Marquis). For the
> antenna, I plan on getting the FireStik Mobile Action Scan (Model: MS-4)
> (http://www.firestik.com/Catalog/MSM-3&4.htm) and for the mount, the Jeep
> Bump-Stop bracket (http://www.firestik.com/Catalog/MK-JBS4R.htm). I will
> mount it to the extreme right side of the rear bumper.
>
> My first question: Do I need to get a spring for the antenna, or should it
> be OK without?
>
> Second question: Would anyone reccomend a better spot to mount the antenna?
> In the future, I may get a second antenna on the opposite side of the car
> for my CB radio with the same mount, and a 5 foot CB antenna. I park the car
> in a garage, so I can't do something like mount a 5 foot antenna on the
> roof.
>
> --
> Andy
> http://dynamicbits.com

3615. Re: Oregon Scientific Weather radio - question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 22:04:35 UTC
From: "Bob S." <rgs2@netzeronospam.net>
Message-ID: <T9TI8.19543$Le2.884064@typhoon.mn.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 47731

I should have clarified a couple of points; one, I did go to their website, and no info was available, and two, is there a series of keypresses/buttons that can be entered, to test the alert tone? I'd like to be able to test it at will, and not have to rely on the NWS test tones, since they usually happen while I can't use the radio. Thanks for the replies so far, though. I appreciate it. -Bob

3616. Yaesu FRG-9600 audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-28 22:24:03 UTC
From: Mark Kallin <MarkKallin@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7sTI8.9800$LC3.712428@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 47732

I bought a used Yaesu FRG-9600 and whenever a signal is received, breaking the squelch, there is a loud and annoying tone (kind of like a dial tone) behind the received signal.

Does anyone know if this is normal for this radio, or does it need repair?

Thanks

3617. Re: Winscan780

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 00:03:47 UTC
From: Michael <mvp67@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <DVUI8.1666$%J4.49718@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 47733

yEAH they sure are. who cairs how speling/gramer gos.
the soft ware sux. that be my opinyon.

i arent allowed to tell someone about bad software or do ya need a hier
level of learning. do ya work for them. when i do get in to the 5th grade
ill let ya know.
thanks

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:ri48fu05bga7144j0t24gd4qg5nah9if14@4ax.com...
> "Michael" wrote:
>
> >Michael
> >Six Degrees of Seperation from the Dark Side
>
> And 8 years shy of your high-school diploma, I'd guess - judging from
> your spelling/grammar and overall level of language competence.
>
> Or are they passing kids who spell like fourth graders these days?
>

3618. GMRS 2-way question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 01:08:58 UTC
From: CoolDave_0@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1fa6f03d.0205281708.4d7ef560@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47735

I heard these little 2 or 3 watt radios can use repeaters if they are present. How does that work? Also, what do thoes cellphone like signal strength indicators mean? The strenth to other GMRS or to a repeater tower? Thanks

Dave

3619. Re: 2.4GHz scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 01:27:46 UTC
From: "R. Belcher" <randy.belcher@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <m8WI8.3063$9V.1865@nwrddc03.gnilink.net>
Source record: 47736
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Oops... figured it out. Kenwood is an add-on video unit for their rigs,
sorry!
rb

"John Wilson" <jwilson9@erols.com> wrote in message
news:3CF265C3.23D1097D@erols.com...
> I searched the Kenwood webpage but could locate any such model. I am
not
> familar with the Kenwood model specifications but I would bet that the
upper
> frequency cutoff is 2450 mhz.. Per my 50 state 2 ghz. frequency directory
be
> advised that by design most of the "interesting" video transmissions are
> ABOVE 2.4 ghz. and with few exceptions, not at or below 2.4 ghz. This is
> done because with the exception of the unit I am using, all off the shelf
> equipment available to the consumer for purchase does not receive above
2450
> mhz. This is so you CANNOT receive these transmissions. An equilivant
> comparison would be using a tv set that received vhf channels 2 through 6
but
> not 7 through 13.
>
> Helmet cams, doggie cams, and the Wavecom video T/Rs are on or near
2.4
> ghz., but those are not the transmissions to which I refer.
>
>
>
> "R. Belcher" wrote:
>
> > How does this radio compare to the Kenwood counterpart handheld?
> > Reviews?
> > thanks,
> > Randy
> >
> > "John Wilson" <jwilson9@erols.com> wrote in message
> > news:3CEEF8C7.757AD454@erols.com...
> > > Per reviews I have read about the ICOM R3, they conclude its
> > > performance at 2.4 ghz. as AWFUL. The video demodulator is
insensitive
> > > and it "eats" batteries and is warm to the touch. Additionally, FYI
> > > most of the "interesting" frequencies are ABOVE the 2450 mhz. cutoff
of

> > > the R3.
> > > I am researching a magazine article on this new facet of monitoring
and
> > > hope to have it published. It may not be because of the sensitive
> > > nature of the subject matter. In any event, I have compiled a 50
state
> > > 2 ghz. directory of users from various sources. It presently is in
> > > draft and I hope to offer it commercially this summer. It will be the
> > > "USA Video Surveillance and Radar Directory" on CD ROM and contain
> > > interesting frequencies for law enforcement, race cars, wildlife
> > > monitoring, Fire Department thermal imaging, aircraft manufacturer's
> > > flight testing, temporary airbornevideo downlinks for special events
> > > such as golf tournaments, races, parades, TV station remote broadcasts
> > > and links, etc. The price will be $25 which includes shipping.
Anyone
> > > interested in the unit or directory can contact me via email.
> > >
> > > For the past couple of months I have been beta testing a 5 inch B/W
> > > video monitor with a built in scanning feature from 2.3-2.6 ghz. It
is
> > > powered by 12 VDC and works as advertised. There is NOTHING
comparable
> > > available anywhere. Don't be mislead by claims of short distance
> > > reception. I have had some "hits" up to 1/2-1 mile+ distance from the
> > > source. It sells for about the cost of a current radio trunking
> > > scanner. In mobiling around a 20-25 mile area where I live I have had
> > > several "interesting" video monitoring hits.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Dave L wrote:
> > >
> > > > I understand most scanners dont even go above 1.0 or 1.2GHz but the
> > > > IC-R3 does. Are 2.4GHz all encrypted or muffled? Clear as a bell? If
> > > > you know please tell me. Thanks!
> > > >
> > > > Dave
> > >
> > > --
> > >
>

3620. AOR AR1500 Squelch Improvement/help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 01:27:50 UTC
From: R1rob
Message-ID: <3cf43c08.0@mercury.planet.net.au>
Source record: 47737
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Does anybody know a way to UP the squelch on full setting.
I am finding the need to squelch out some signals and the standard full squelch setting wont do it.

TIA
R1rob

3621. Re: ARC780 S/w

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 01:38:28 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3CF43114.1497667F@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 47738
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Sounds fair to me. Think I'll go steal a Pontiac from the dealer. GM is
a giant multinational too so I guess the same logic applies.

Dave

NoSpam wrote:
>
> Not that I don't understand, just I don't care about anyone ripping
> off software from a giant multi national company run by a multi
> billionaire, who has been squashing his competition in not very ince
> ways, been pushy in the market and has been selling their software at
> totally ridiculus and rip off prices well before anyone thought of
> pirating their software.
> Your heart can bleed for these bastards each timeyou hear that
> someone has made a copy of Windows. I know mine won't.
>
> Davo
>
> On Tue, 28 May 2002 11:49:00 GMT, SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote:
>
> >"NoSpam" wrote:
> >
> >>Just remember, Robin Hood was a thief to.
> >
> >And also a largely - if not completely - fictional character.
> >
> >As long as you seem to be of the same ilk as the thieves who think
> >it's fine to steal from the rich and give to themselves...
> >
> >Naaa. You probably wouldn't understand.

3622. Re: 2.4GHz scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 01:49:02 UTC
From: MRK <me@you.com>
Message-ID: <uf8ctsnmpbpdbc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47739
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

If you had a radio that receives up to 2.6Ghz how would you capture the
video signal. Could you tap the baseband output or the 10.7 IF out and run
it into a TV?
"R. Belcher" <randy.belcher@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:m8WI8.3063$9V.1865@nwrddc03.gnilink.net...
> Oops... figured it out. Kenwood is an add-on video unit for their rigs,
> sorry!
> rb
>
>
> "John Wilson" <jwilson9@erols.com> wrote in message
> news:3CF265C3.23D1097D@erols.com...
> > I searched the Kenwood webpage but could locate any such model. I
am
> not
> > familar with the Kenwood model specifications but I would bet that the
> upper
> > frequency cutoff is 2450 mhz.. Per my 50 state 2 ghz. frequency
directory
> be
> > advised that by design most of the "interesting" video transmissions are
> > ABOVE 2.4 ghz. and with few exceptions, not at or below 2.4 ghz. This
is
> > done because with the exception of the unit I am using, all off the
shelf
> > equipment available to the consumer for purchase does not receive above
> 2450
> > mhz. This is so you CANNOT receive these transmissions. An equilivant
> > comparison would be using a tv set that received vhf channels 2 through
6
> but
> > not 7 through 13.
> >
> > Helmet cams, doggie cams, and the Wavecom video T/Rs are on or near
> 2.4
> > ghz., but those are not the transmissions to which I refer.
> >
> >
> >
> > "R. Belcher" wrote:
> >
> > > How does this radio compare to the Kenwood counterpart handheld?
> > > Reviews?

> > > thanks,
> > > Randy
> > >
> > > "John Wilson" <jwilson9@erols.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3CEEF8C7.757AD454@erols.com...
> > > > Per reviews I have read about the ICOM R3, they conclude its
> > > > performance at 2.4 ghz. as AWFUL. The video demodulator is
> insensitive
> > > > and it "eats" batteries and is warm to the touch. Additionally, FYI
> > > > most of the "interesting" frequencies are ABOVE the 2450 mhz. cutoff
> of
> > > > the R3.
> > > > I am researching a magazine article on this new facet of monitoring
> and
> > > > hope to have it published. It may not be because of the sensitive
> > > > nature of the subject matter. In any event, I have compiled a 50
> state
> > > > 2 ghz. directory of users from various sources. It presently is in
> > > > draft and I hope to offer it commercially this summer. It will be
the
> > > > "USA Video Surveillance and Radar Directory" on CD ROM and contain
> > > > interesting frequencies for law enforcement, race cars, wildlife
> > > > monitoring, Fire Department thermal imaging, aircraft manufacturer's
> > > > flight testing, temporary airbornevideo downlinks for special events
> > > > such as golf tournaments, races, parades, TV station remote
broadcasts
> > > > and links, etc. The price will be $25 which includes shipping.
> Anyone
> > > > interested in the unit or directory can contact me via email.
> > > >
> > > > For the past couple of months I have been beta testing a 5 inch
B/W
> > > > video monitor with a built in scanning feature from 2.3-2.6 ghz. It
> is
> > > > powered by 12 VDC and works as advertised. There is NOTHING
> comparable
> > > > available anywhere. Don't be mislead by claims of short distance
> > > > reception. I have had some "hits" up to 1/2-1 mile+ distance from
the
> > > > source. It sells for about the cost of a current radio trunking
> > > > scanner. In mobiling around a 20-25 mile area where I live I have
had
> > > > several "interesting" video monitoring hits.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Dave L wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > I understand most scanners dont even go above 1.0 or 1.2GHz but
the

> > > > > IC-R3 does. Are 2.4GHz all encrypted or muffled? Clear as a bell?
If
> > > > > you know please tell me. Thanks!
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave
> > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > >
> > >
>
>

3623. Re: Yaesu FRG-9600 audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 02:13:54 UTC
From: Ben <xxxxx@xxxxx.net>
Message-ID: <s9e8fug071rqh6d3s7ttrlc1lkibcids1p@4ax.com>
Source record: 47740

Have you tried an external speaker? It may be some kind of feedback.
Never seen it on a 9600 though.

Ben

On Tue, 28 May 2002 22:24:03 GMT, "Mark Kallin"
<MarkKallin@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>I bought a used Yaesu FRG-9600 and whenever a signal is received, breaking
>the squelch, there is a loud and annoying tone (kind of like a dial tone)
>behind the received signal.
>
>Does anyone know if this is normal for this radio, or does it need repair?
>
>Thanks
>

3624. Re: Oregon Scientific Weather radio - question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 02:15:15 UTC
From: Harry <hc.me@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <q0e8fugrqhvf79jqp122jkgbl2h94fed2r@4ax.com>
Source record: 47741

[On Tue, 28 May 2002 22:04:35 GMT, "Bob S."
<rgs2@netzeronospam.net> wrote:]

> I should have clarified a couple of points; one, I did go to their website,
> and no info was available, and two, is there a series of keypresses/buttons
> that can be entered, to test the alert tone? I'd like to be able to test it
> at will, and not have to rely on the NWS test tones, since they usually
> happen while I can't use the radio. Thanks for the replies so far, though. I
> appreciate it. -Bob

Why not just call them up on the phone? 1-800-whatever. They are
okay to talk with.

Harry <--- who thinks you got a great deal on the radio, but who
wants to get a Davis weather station himself. Just tell me how to
install it in a way that looks nice. (I'll try calling them and
asking them that.)

3625. TEST-Please ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 03:20:18 UTC
From: Elle@X.ORG
Message-ID: <SNXI8.7104$uA5.232066@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 47742

```
TEST sandwich
```

3626. Re: TEST-Please ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 03:29:29 UTC
From: Norm <Im@Cheers.Com>
Message-ID: <tWXI8.140311$t8_.48224@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 47743

R U Hungry or something?

"Elle X" <Elle@X.ORG> wrote in message
news:SNXI8.7104$uA5.232066@news2.telusplanet.net...
>
> TEST sandwich
>

3627. Re: CELL MOD FOR PRO 2030

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 03:45:14 UTC
From: abbicus <onthewayto@dot.com>
Message-ID: <ad188f$thc32$1@ID-121301.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47734

NO, absolutely not, you will only screw it up!

NOT POSSIBLE!

"Harold Howe" <eg9k@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1508acc5.0205261729.6f5ac4df@posting.google.com...
> Is there a cell mod for the late run of pro 2030's. I'm aware of the
> modification for the earlier models by cutting the jumper L101. But on
> the unit I picked up there is no jumper at that location on the pc
> board, just 2 plated thru holes, I have no schematic, so I can't tell
> what pin on the processor would allow me to possibly perform the mod,
> so if anyone could help It would be greatly appreciated. Thanks
> Harold 73's K9ge. eg9k@aol.com

3628. Re: Best Overall Starting Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 04:23:15 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020529002315.14607.00001621@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 47744

Oops, someone said the "b" word. DO NOT buy a Uniden 'b'rand. They suck. Go
with RS for scanners. They know what they are doing.....
Eric G.
education CANNOT buy common sense

3629. Re: Best Overall Starting Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 04:31:33 UTC
From: Harry <marnells@cox.net>
Message-ID: <FQYI8.611$155.118670@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 47745

Uniden makes many of Radio Shack's scanners. Maybe neither knows what
they're doing?

"Eric G." <emoney250@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020529002315.14607.00001621@mb-cg.aol.com...
> Oops, someone said the "b" word. DO NOT buy a Uniden 'b'rand. They
suck. Go
> with RS for scanners. They know what they are doing.........
> Eric G.
> education CANNOT buy common sense

3630. Re: Best Overall Starting Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 04:51:33 UTC
From: Bigdaddyking <bdk1@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.175e293bff0f64819897ef@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 47746

In article <20020529002315.14607.00001621@mb-cg.aol.com>, emoney250
@aol.com says...
> Oops, someone said the "b" word. DO NOT buy a Uniden 'b'rand. They suck. Go
> with RS for scanners. They know what they are doing.........
> Eric G.
> education CANNOT buy common sense
>

I think you are the one that needs to be educated. Uniden makes about
half of RS's scanners!! GRE makes the other ones. Both have good and
bad points, but not all Uniden stuff is bad.

BDK

3631. Re: GMRS usage survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 04:53:43 UTC
From: johnb <borregoj@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3CF46057.4000003@sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 47797
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

that applies to the ham bands above 30mhz as well (in what i hear)

Pappy@USA.net wrote:

> What else would your expect from the GMRS and FRS bands. It's nothing but
> another CB band.
>
> Tom Sevart wrote:
>
>
>>"Stanley McCormick" <StanleyMcCormick@spamblock.hotmail.com> wrote in
>>message news:75qseuceeuu9kl77me4efi4a776cp0snm4@4ax.com...
>>
>>>Over the Memorial Day weekend tune your scanner to the
>>>GMRS frequencies and take note of what you hear. For example:
>>>
>>>Random call tones and roger beeps
>>>The infamous "can you hear me now? "can you hear me now?"
>>>Somebody saying "hello, hello, hello" every 10 minutes
>>>People (mostly children) playing "Cops & Robbers"
>>>
>>Same goes for FRS radios.
>>
>>Tom
>>
>

3632. Re: Two Scanners - One Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 05:51:38 UTC
From: "Charles J. Coumbe" <dunestalker-spamsux@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uf8r3lfhsilb9e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47798
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Sheesh! I didn't think I was going to really start something with a simple question. :-)

I think I have learned quite a bit just by reading everyone's various responses.

Once I get moved to Nebraska next month, I'll have to get one of the couplers so I can use both scanners simultaneously. Until then, I'll use the "cheap" method - disconnect the antenna from one scanner and connect it to the other.

Thanks for everything, guys!!!

Charles
Fairbanks, Alaska -- Soon-to-be Omana, Nebraska :-)

"Hank" <nowon@noware.com> wrote in message
news:uvRI8.55991$Oa1.5077242@bin8.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> GeorgeF wrote in message <3CF3CBB1.4050408@licensed4fun.com>...
> >
> >
> >Hank wrote:
> >
> >> Not that I'm an expert, but as I had posted, a cable A/B switch would
> >> provide total isolation, and be much cheaper than an amplifier and
> splitter.
> >>
> >> Hank
> >
> >
> >But with an A/B switch you couldn't use both scanners at the same
> >time.... Want cheap, just unscrew the connector from one scanner and
> >screw it to the other.... can't get much cheaper....
> >
> >
> >George
> >http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Freqs and more.
>
> I don't believe he wants to listen to 2 at a time. BTW, isn't unscrewing
> from one and connecting to another, one at a time?
>
> Me again
> >
> >

>
>

3633. Re: FA: Reminder - PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 06:43:45 UTC
From: James <nospam@attglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3CF478A0.899F24AB@attglobal.net>
Source record: 47799

Yeah that baby underwent one helluva mod session. A 2006 disguised as an innocent looking portable short-wave. Brilliant I'll tell ya !!

SB wrote:

> "JohnL" wrote:
>
> >http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1353948862
>
> Sure is a funny-looking 2006!

3634. Re: Domain name for sale.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 06:48:14 UTC
From: James <nospam@attglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3CF479AE.EDFCD453@attglobal.net>
Source record: 47800

Hell I'll take two.
where do I sign ?

John Medica - Listening-Post wrote:

> Domain name for sale:
> Listening-Post.net (expire date: Tue.April 16.2010)
>
> Anyone interested in this domain, please contact me
> medica@cyberspace.org
>
> Thank you.

3635. Re: Two Scanners - One Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 07:41:29 UTC
From: Book <bookvous@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3cf4862e$0$21003$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 47801
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

My local council would have something to say about that...

--
Book
"Glenn" <ggrrhh@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:HE_I8.8$r46.12286@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> Charles,
>
> You just need to put up a second antenna! You never can have
> too many antennas.
>
>
> Glenn

3636. Re: ARC780 S/w

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 09:44:21 UTC
From: maggnum@internode.on.net
Message-ID: <3cf4a2cc.302222773@news.internode.on.net>
Source record: 47802
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Yes but are they a huge company ripping people off left right and
center.

Also, if you do that, and you get caught, well thats your problem now
isn't it.

Davo

On Tue, 28 May 2002 21:38:28 -0400, Dave Holford
<holford@sympatico.ca> wrote:

>Sounds fair to me. Think I'll go steal a Pontiac from the dealer. GM is
>a giant multinational too so I guess the same logic applies.
>
>Dave
>
>NoSpam wrote:
>>
>> Not that I don't understand, just I don't care about anyone ripping
>> off software from a giant multi national company run by a multi
>> billionaire, who has been squashing his competition in not very ince
>> ways, been pushy in the market and has been selling their software at
>> totally ridiculus and rip off prices well before anyone thought of
>> pirating their software.
>> Your heart can bleed for these bastards each timeyou hear that
>> someone has made a copy of Windows. I know mine won't.
>>
>> Davo
>>
>> On Tue, 28 May 2002 11:49:00 GMT, SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>
>> >"NoSpam" wrote:
>> >
>> >>Just remember, Robin Hood was a thief to.
>> >
>> >And also a largely - if not completely - fictional character.
>> >
>> >As long as you seem to be of the same ilk as the thieves who think
>> >it's fine to steal from the rich and give to themselves...
>> >
>> >Naaa. You probably wouldn't understand.

3637. Re: Best Overall Starting Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 09:52:39 UTC
From: The Other Harry <hc.me@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7d89fu0i6iohvobg3ft5iaprjne6cks4m2@4ax.com>
Source record: 47803

[On Wed, 29 May 2002 04:51:33 GMT, Bigdaddyking
<bdk1@buckeye-express.com> wrote:]

> > Oops, someone said the "b" word. DO NOT buy a Uniden 'b'rand. They suck. Go
> > with RS for scanners. They know what they are doing.....
> > Eric G.
> > education CANNOT buy common sense
>
> I think you are the one that needs to be educated. Uniden makes about
> half of RS's scanners!! GRE makes the other ones. Both have good and
> bad points, but not all Uniden stuff is bad.

To further clarify -- for the benefit of the OP -- the two RS
scanners I previously mentioned (the Pro-2053 and Pro-93) are made
by GRE.

For some reviews and comments and other excellent information on
scanners, take a look at: www.strongsignals.net

3638. Radio Shack Pro-43 schematics???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 12:45:46 UTC
From: Lantsi <harri.liimatainen@keskisuomalainen.fi>
Message-ID: <ad2ihq$5j1$1@phys-news1.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 47804

I seek Radio Shack Pro-43 scanner radio schematics?

3639. PA-SitRep e-mail list for PA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 13:03:25 UTC
From: owner@pa-sitrep.com
Message-ID: <3cf4d184.135703198@news.city-net.com>
Source record: 47805
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Emergency Situation Report e-mail list for Pennsylvanians

PA-SitRep is a free, 100% non-commercial,
nonprofit, e-mail list and web site.

The PA-SitRep emergency traffic e-mail list is a
tool that will enable you to monitor and report on
major emergency situations throughout the State
of Pennsylvania including weather emergencies,
natural disasters, environmental disasters, civil
disturbances and threats of terrorism, major fires,
and manhunts.

Subscribers are encouraged to utilize their home,
work and cell phone e-mail addresses for receiving
messages from the PA-SitRep e-mail list.

Because messages posted to the list are limitted to
situation reports of MAJOR emergency situations
within the State of PA, message volume on
PA-SitRep is EXTREMELY low.

PA-SitRep is also building a list of PA-SitRep
Contacts, most of whom are Amateur Radio
Operators (http://www.pa-sitrep.com/contact.htm).

PA-SitRep Contacts monitor our higher volume
SitRep/Discussion mail list and post situation
reports ot the discussion list and to the main list.
PA-SitRep Contacts also submit their contact info
for our site and may be contacted for a first
hand situation report should an emergency
situation arise in their area.

Please visit the site to learn more:

http://www.pa-sitrep.com/pasitrep.htm

Thanks. We'll look forward to your participation.

-David Kleber, KB3FXI
PA-SitRep List Owner and PA-SitRep.com Founder
www.PA-SitRep.com

3640. FS HT750s Pair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 15:27:09 UTC
From: abbylind@aol.com
Message-ID: <b1bfa7c.0205290727.618f5f0f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47806
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Hi
Ive got 2 HT750s UHF 4 channel
Nice condition! Work great!
Currently programmed on FRS channels
Package includes:
2 UHF HT750s 4 channel with antennas
2 Batteries
2 belt clips
1 desk charger
$400+ shipping
Thanks
Fowler
Abbylind@aol.com

3641. California - Santa Clara County Sheriff - Cupertino Area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 15:53:26 UTC
From: Charlie Durand <charlie_durand@agilent.com>
Message-ID: <1022687717.411302@cswreg.cos.agilent.com>
Source record: 47807

Hey there,

I used to listen to the local Sheriff's department. The frequency I had has gone dead. I guess they moved to a new one.

I am unable to locate this new frequency.

If anyone can provide me with the frequency for the Santa Clara County Sheriff covering the Cupertino area that would be great. I think they were called the West Valley Station.

Thanks!

3642. "scanner users, scanner websites are scum" - new TransCrypt advertising campaign...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 15:57:32 UTC
From: jabb3rw0cky@netscape.net
Message-ID: <8354e2f6.0205290757.36a994e3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47808

http://www.wpascanner.com/transad.htm

it would seem Transcrypt Secure Technologies has started an advertising campaign against the average scannist/volunteer firefighter.

jeez, encrypted fire dispatch!?!

what's next? encrypted NOAA weather radio?

3643. Re: California - Santa Clara County Sheriff - Cupertino Area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 16:08:56 UTC
From: wleamons <wleamons@socket.net>
Message-ID: <uf9v8sf8h8ss7f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47809

try cityfreq.com
"Charlie Durand" <charlie_durand@agilent.com> wrote in message
news:1022687717.411302@cswreg.cos.agilent.com...
> Hey there,
>
> I used to listen to the local Sheriff's department. The frequency I had
has
> gone dead. I guess they moved to a new one.
>
> I am unable to locate this new frequency.
>
> If anyone can provide me with the frequency for the Santa Clara County
> Sheriff covering the Cupertino area that would be great. I think they
were
> called the West Valley Station.
>
> Thanks!
>
>

3644. Re: Best Overall Starting Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 16:41:35 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <941afu4n7qqc81l7edic6ku0ucphr03c9l@4ax.com>
Source record: 47810

While savoring a President Clinton 'special' cigar, emoney250@aol.com (Eric G.) scribbled...

>Oops, someone said the "b" word. DO NOT buy a Uniden 'b'rand. They suck. Go
>with RS for scanners. They know what they are doing.........

OK, fool, SHOW me a Radio Shack scanner that's HALF as good in performance and features as a Uniden BC780.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

3645. Re: Dayton reports??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 16:45:36 UTC
From: DrPepper@iwvisp.com
Message-ID: <3cf50313.7001071@news.iwvisp.com>
Source record: 47811
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I couldn't dissagree more, , , , , ,

I have bought several radios on Ebay at prices that would blow your
mind. How about a IC-751 for $300 bucks? Works and looks like new.
I also bought an IC-=735 a year ago for $500, and it also was like
new. Yes, I had some minor problems when I first started using Ebay,
but you just have to learn the ways of bidding.

MY complaint with "new" radios is that the damn knobs are too small,
they seem to be built for midgets and children.

Anyway, Ebay is for INTELLIGENT buyers and can give some trerriffic
buys , , , , ,Just don't let the price get away from you. I find that
it is better to snipe. My 10 second bids have almost always won. and I
never, never, ever, raise my maximum spending amount.

73 de WB6GKI

==========

On Wed, 22 May 2002 13:06:06 GMT, "HoopyKloopie" <postodplugs@aol.com>
wrote:

>
>"Joe Leikhim" <jleikhim@nettally.com> wrote in message
>news:3CEAF4B9.18EFB11@nettally.com...
>> Maybe the way around it is to have some e-bay auctions on site. Bidders
>could
>> view the stuff, then run back to their laptops (or nearby computer kiosks)
>and
>> put in a bid. The auctions could run 2 to 48 hours.
>>
>> Laugh about it, but time will come when you will get outbid by somebody
>using a
>> PDA and Bluetooth standing right behind you. The dealers would love it.
>
>I'd never buy radio gear on E-Bay.
>All E-Bay represents is a "feeding frenzie"
>type market for ham gear. What I see people
>paying for ham gear on E-Bay leads me to
>belive that there's an awful lot "shill bidding"
>going on. Something that I would not put past
>some of the so-called "private dealers" that
>I used to see travelling to all the hamfests
>like gypsy traders. You know, the guys that

>buy up all the honest bargans offered for new
>hams by the old-timers, that show up an hour
>later on their table or on E-Bay for double the
>price or more. Buy used ham radio equipment
>on E-Bay...?? Anyone who does so should have
>their head examined.
>

3646. Re: "scanner users, scanner websites are scum" - new TransCrypt advertising campaign...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 17:19:16 UTC
From: Harry <marnells@cox.net>
Message-ID: <o48J8.2819$155.240132@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 47812
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

For your page, you might add this email addres, which I found embedded
in their website "pricing" and "ordering" pages:
cgeorgeson@transcrypt.com

--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications
History

"jabb3rw0cky" <jabb3rw0cky@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:8354e2f6.0205290757.36a994e3@posting.google.com...
> http://www.wpascanner.com/transad.htm
>
> it would seem Transcrypt Secure Technologies has started an
> advertising campaign against the average scannist/volunteer
> firefighter.
>
> jeez, encrypted fire dispatch!?!
>
> what's next? encrypted NOAA weather radio?

3647. Re: "scanner users, scanner websites are scum" - new TransCrypt advertising campaign...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 17:34:04 UTC
From: Harry <marnells@cox.net>
Message-ID: <gi8J8.2823$155.242404@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 47813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

FROM rec.radio.scanner:

it would seem Transcrypt Secure Technologies has started an
advertising campaign against the average scannist/volunteer
firefighter:

See their ad, "Who's Listening to you now" as shown on
http://www.wpascanner.com/transad.htm

jeez, encrypted fire dispatch!?!

what's next? encrypted NOAA weather radio?

I agree. Also, note that THEIR website is at
http://www.transcryptsecure.com

The only email for them I find embedded in the pricing page:
cgeorgeson@transcrypt.com

I recommend contacting these folks, both at their telephone number -
THAT will get their attention IMMEDIATELY, like right now. Also an
email, which will give them a record of our opinions. Keep it polite
but firm. Some points -

- Demand that they change their ad that paints all scanner listeners
with the broad brush of

- The HUGE majority of scanner listeners are law-abiding people who do
NOT interefer with ANY police or fire activities, ever.

- a great many listeners are volunteer firefighters, EMS and reserver or
off-duty police officers and firefighters, who use their scaners to keep
abreast of incidents that may impact them

- the occasional news reports of arrests where the "police confiscated a
scanner" PROVE that their having a scanner does NOT prevent police from
successfully investigating and arresting these crooks... i.e., they had
a scanner but got arrested anyway.

I'm sure you can come up with others. I, personally, wouldn't get into
the "right to listen," stuff, they don't care, and the argument could go
on forever.

Again, "homeland security" has unfortunately become a magic buzzword for
HUGE amounts of governent money flowing out to anyone and everyone who

uses it in their request for grants, funding and contracts - whether they're effective or not

Pass the word to ther scanner & professional groups you may belong to.

--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications History

3648. Re: ARC780 S/w

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 17:40:12 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3CF5127C.4D96BE2F@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 47814
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

NoSpam wrote:
>
> Yes but are they a huge company ripping people off left right and
> center.
>

Many people, not including me, think so.

> Also, if you do that, and you get caught, well thats your problem now
> isn't it.
>

Yes, just like it is yours if you get caught stealing software - IIRC
the criminal code sets much higher penalties for software theft than for
car theft.

> Davo

>
> On Tue, 28 May 2002 21:38:28 -0400, Dave Holford
> <holford@sympatico.ca> wrote:
>
> >Sounds fair to me. Think I'll go steal a Pontiac from the dealer. GM is
> >a giant multinational too so I guess the same logic applies.
> >
> >Dave
> >
> >NoSpam wrote:
> >>
> >> Not that I don't understand, just I don't care about anyone ripping
> >> off software from a giant multi national company run by a multi
> >> billionaire, who has been squashing his competition in not very ince
> >> ways, been pushy in the market and has been selling their software at
> >> totally ridiculus and rip off prices well before anyone thought of
> >> pirating their software.
> >> Your heart can bleed for these bastards each timeyou hear that
> >> someone has made a copy of Windows. I know mine won't.
> >>
> >> Davo
> >>
> >> On Tue, 28 May 2002 11:49:00 GMT, SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote:
> >>
> >> >"NoSpam" wrote:
> >> >
> >> >>Just remember, Robin Hood was a thief to.

> >> >
> >> >And also a largely - if not completely - fictional character.
> >> >
> >> >As long as you seem to be of the same ilk as the thieves who think
> >> >it's fine to steal from the rich and give to themselves...
> >> >
> >> >Naaa. You probably wouldn't understand.

3649. Re: ARC780 S/w

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 17:44:01 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3CF51361.14CEA84@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 47815
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

OH, damn.

Fell into the stupid trap of assuming the writer is subject to the same
set of laws I am. Maybe in your country theft of software is not even a
crime.

Dave

Dave Holford wrote:
>
> NoSpam wrote:
> >
> > Yes but are they a huge company ripping people off left right and
> > center.
> >
>
> Many people, not including me, think so.
>
> > Also, if you do that, and you get caught, well thats your problem now
> > isn't it.
> >
>
> Yes, just like it is yours if you get caught stealing software - IIRC
> the criminal code sets much higher penalties for software theft than for
> car theft.
>
> > Davo
>
> >
> > On Tue, 28 May 2002 21:38:28 -0400, Dave Holford
> > <holford@sympatico.ca> wrote:
> >
> > >Sounds fair to me. Think I'll go steal a Pontiac from the dealer. GM is
> > >a giant multinational too so I guess the same logic applies.
> > >
> > >Dave
> > >
> > >NoSpam wrote:
> > >>
> > >> Not that I don't understand, just I don't care about anyone ripping
> > >> off software from a giant multi national company run by a multi
> > >> billionaire, who has been squashing his competition in not very ince
> > >> ways, been pushy in the market and has been selling their software at
> > >> totally ridiculus and rip off prices well before anyone thought of

> > >> pirating their software.
> > >> Your heart can bleed for these bastards each timeyou hear that
> > >> someone has made a copy of Windows. I know mine won't.
> > >>
> > >> Davo
> > >>
> > >> On Tue, 28 May 2002 11:49:00 GMT, SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote:
> > >>
> > >> >"NoSpam" wrote:
> > >> >
> > >> >>Just remember, Robin Hood was a thief to.
> > >> >
> > >> >And also a largely - if not completely - fictional character.
> > >> >
> > >> >As long as you seem to be of the same ilk as the thieves who think
> > >> >it's fine to steal from the rich and give to themselves...
> > >> >
> > >> >Naaa. You probably wouldn't understand.

3650. BC-200 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 18:28:03 UTC
From: bfdp1@msn.com
Message-ID: <1235373c.0205291028.26bc94a0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47816

I have a Uniden BC-200 (1989-1990) (unmodified) for sale with OEM antenna and case. Radio is in perfect shape as it's seen little use and been in the case these years. Wall chargerincluded.
I'll also include a NEW/UNUSED 1650 Nickle Metal Hydride Battery pak insert.

$125 shipped. LCD is good.

3651. Re: AOR AR1500 Squelch Improvement/help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 19:36:15 UTC
From: Steve Terry <g4wwknospam@tesco.net>
Message-ID: <ad3ale$tt85m$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47817
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

"R1rob" <@> wrote in message news:3cf43c08.0@mercury.planet.net.au...
> Does anybody know a way to UP the squelch on full setting.
> I am finding the need to squelch out some signals and the standard full
> squelch setting wont do it.
> TIA
> R1rob
>
A resistor on the end of the pot to increase the pots resistance,
might do the job ?
Try adding a quarter of the pots resistance
i.e. if 100K pot ? add 25k (or an adjustable 50k preset)

Steve Terry

3652. Re: "scanner users, scanner websites are scum" - new TransCrypt advertising campaign...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 20:44:40 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <svaafuon39g8i73blvo5gfocv6724o1shq@4ax.com>
Source record: 47818

On 29 May 2002 08:57:32 -0700, jabb3rw0cky@netscape.net (jabb3rw0cky) wrote:

>http://www.wpascanner.com/transad.htm
>
>it would seem Transcrypt Secure Technologies has started an
>advertising campaign against the average scannist/volunteer
>firefighter.

I wouldn't go quite that far. They do have a rather condescending attitude to scanner enthusiasts, however.

But before you rant too much, know this: These folks aren't using anything more sophisticated than DES encryption. DES has been cracked with brute force techniques.

The lower models use everything from crude speech inversion to some rather silly and weak analog spread spectrum stuff that you could undo with a sound card.

Frankly, I'm not impressed with their products. I'm also surprised to see commonplace ham radio gear and aviation equipment with models listed next to them to do their "encryption."

It's all a bunch of sales hooey. They're not hard to crack.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

3653. Re: ARC780 S/w

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 20:55:59 UTC
From: maggnum@internode.on.net
Message-ID: <3cf54035.342520037@news.internode.on.net>
Source record: 47819
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Yes thats right.
Planet Earth does not have 50 states.

On Wed, 29 May 2002 13:44:01 -0400, Dave Holford
<holford@sympatico.ca> wrote:

>OH, damn.
>
>Fell into the stupid trap of assuming the writer is subject to the same
>set of laws I am. Maybe in your country theft of software is not even a
>crime.
>
>Dave
>
>
>
>Dave Holford wrote:
>>
>> NoSpam wrote:
>> >
>> > Yes but are they a huge company ripping people off left right and
>> > center.
>> >
>>
>> Many people, not including me, think so.
>>
>> > Also, if you do that, and you get caught, well thats your problem now
>> > isn't it.
>> >
>>
>> Yes, just like it is yours if you get caught stealing software - IIRC
>> the criminal code sets much higher penalties for software theft than for
>> car theft.
>>
>> > Davo
>>
>>
>> >
>> > On Tue, 28 May 2002 21:38:28 -0400, Dave Holford
>> > <holford@sympatico.ca> wrote:
>> >
>> > >Sounds fair to me. Think I'll go steal a Pontiac from the dealer. GM is
>> > >a giant multinational too so I guess the same logic applies.
>> > >
>> > >Dave

>> > >
>> > >NoSpam wrote:
>> > >>
>> > >> Not that I don't understand, just I don't care about anyone ripping
>> > >> off software from a giant multi national company run by a multi
>> > >> billionaire, who has been squashing his competition in not very ince
>> > >> ways, been pushy in the market and has been selling their software at
>> > >> totally ridiculus and rip off prices well before anyone thought of
>> > >> pirating their software.
>> > >> Your heart can bleed for these bastards each timeyou hear that
>> > >> someone has made a copy of Windows. I know mine won't.
>> > >>
>> > >> Davo
>> > >>
>> > >> On Tue, 28 May 2002 11:49:00 GMT, SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote:
>> > >>
>> > >> >"NoSpam" wrote:
>> > >> >
>> > >> >>Just remember, Robin Hood was a thief to.
>> > >> >
>> > >> >And also a largely - if not completely - fictional character.
>> > >> >
>> > >> >As long as you seem to be of the same ilk as the thieves who think
>> > >> >it's fine to steal from the rich and give to themselves...
>> > >> >
>> > >> >Naaa. You probably wouldn't understand.

3654. Re: ARC780 S/w

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 21:21:36 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3CF54660.6F57EB77@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 47820
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

```
And I take it you assumed I am in th U.S.A.?
Guess again 'NoSpam'

Dave

NoSpam wrote:
>
> Yes thats right.
> Planet Earth does not have 50 states.
>
> On Wed, 29 May 2002 13:44:01 -0400, Dave Holford
> <holford@sympatico.ca> wrote:
>
> >OH, damn.
> >
> >Fell into the stupid trap of assuming the writer is subject to the same
> >set of laws I am. Maybe in your country theft of software is not even a
> >crime.
> >
> >Dave
> >
> >
> >
> >Dave Holford wrote:
> >>
> >> NoSpam wrote:
> >> >
> >> > Yes but are they a huge company ripping people off left right and
> >> > center.
> >> >
> >>
> >> Many people, not including me, think so.
> >>
> >> > Also, if you do that, and you get caught, well thats your problem now
> >> > isn't it.
> >> >
> >>
> >> Yes, just like it is yours if you get caught stealing software - IIRC
> >> the criminal code sets much higher penalties for software theft than for
> >> car theft.
> >>
> >> > Davo
> >>
> >> >
```

> >> > On Tue, 28 May 2002 21:38:28 -0400, Dave Holford
> >> > <holford@sympatico.ca> wrote:
> >> >
> >> > >Sounds fair to me. Think I'll go steal a Pontiac from the dealer. GM is
> >> > >a giant multinational too so I guess the same logic applies.
> >> > >
> >> > >Dave
> >> > >
> >> > >NoSpam wrote:
> >> > >>
> >> > >> Not that I don't understand, just I don't care about anyone ripping
> >> > >> off software from a giant multi national company run by a multi
> >> > >> billionaire, who has been squashing his competition in not very ince
> >> > >> ways, been pushy in the market and has been selling their software at
> >> > >> totally ridiculus and rip off prices well before anyone thought of
> >> > >> pirating their software.
> >> > >> Your heart can bleed for these bastards each timeyou hear that
> >> > >> someone has made a copy of Windows. I know mine won't.
> >> > >>
> >> > >> Davo
> >> > >>
> >> > >> On Tue, 28 May 2002 11:49:00 GMT, SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote:
> >> > >>
> >> > >> >"NoSpam" wrote:
> >> > >> >
> >> > >> >>Just remember, Robin Hood was a thief to.
> >> > >> >
> >> > >> >And also a largely - if not completely - fictional character.
> >> > >> >
> >> > >> >As long as you seem to be of the same ilk as the thieves who think
> >> > >> >it's fine to steal from the rich and give to themselves...
> >> > >> >
> >> > >> >Naaa. You probably wouldn't understand.

3655. springfield, ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 22:26:48 UTC
From: james boak <jimb0311@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3CF555C7.48495299@earthlink.net>
Source record: 47821

having a bear of a time finding frequencies for this. could someone help point me to where i can find them. already got police call, and that has not helped one bit. and yes my scanner is working. thanks for your time and help.
jim, springfield, ohio.

3656. Re: springfield, ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 22:45:00 UTC
From: Chris Pohlad-Thomas <nokedawg@cox.net>
Message-ID: <MRcJ8.5443$ok.386319@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 47822

you might try the fcc searchable database:
http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm
it give you different search options

"james boak" <jimb0311@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3CF555C7.48495299@earthlink.net...
> having a bear of a time finding frequencies for this. could someone help
> point me to where i can find them. already got police call, and that
> has not helped one bit. and yes my scanner is working. thanks for your
> time and help.
> jim, springfield, ohio.
>

3657. apbnews.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 22:51:56 UTC
From: Chris Pohlad-Thomas <nokedawg@cox.net>
Message-ID: <gYcJ8.5468$ok.388421@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 47823
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Has anyone else been having problems with
http://www.apbnews.com?
I try to listen to stuff and sometimes it tells me that the file can no longer be found. That is using RealPlayer as my player. If i use Windows Media Player it just tries to connect and doesn't get anywhere. It seems like it would be a nice way to listen to scanners around the nation.. if it worked.
Chris

3658. Re: ARC780 S/w

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-29 23:55:25 UTC
From: maggnum@internode.on.net
Message-ID: <3cf569a1.8619363@news.internode.on.net>
Source record: 47824
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

yes, make silly assumptions like you.
Unfortunately, I still don't really care......

either way I am still going to use priated software, and it doesn't
matter if you or any other people think otherwise.
I doubt you will ever stop it, so why argue??
By the way I am a selective software pirate, if the software is good
enough, I won't copy it, or crack it, i''l buy it (just like I did for
ARC780)
As for anything else produced by rip off merchants that doesn't work
100% to my satisfaction, or os grossly over prices, thats another
story.
Deal with it.

On Wed, 29 May 2002 17:21:36 -0400, Dave Holford
<holford@sympatico.ca> wrote:

>And I take it you assumed I am in th U.S.A.?
>Guess again 'NoSpam'
>
>Dave
>
>NoSpam wrote:
>>
>> Yes thats right.
>> Planet Earth does not have 50 states.
>>
>> On Wed, 29 May 2002 13:44:01 -0400, Dave Holford
>> <holford@sympatico.ca> wrote:
>>
>> >OH, damn.
>> >
>> >Fell into the stupid trap of assuming the writer is subject to the same
>> >set of laws I am. Maybe in your country theft of software is not even a
>> >crime.
>> >
>> >Dave
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >Dave Holford wrote:
>> >>
>> >> NoSpam wrote:
>> >> >

>> >> > Yes but are they a huge company ripping people off left right and
>> >> > center.
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> Many people, not including me, think so.
>> >>
>> >> > Also, if you do that, and you get caught, well thats your problem now
>> >> > isn't it.
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> Yes, just like it is yours if you get caught stealing software - IIRC
>> >> the criminal code sets much higher penalties for software theft than for
>> >> car theft.
>> >>
>> >> > Davo
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> > On Tue, 28 May 2002 21:38:28 -0400, Dave Holford
>> >> > <holford@sympatico.ca> wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> > >Sounds fair to me. Think I'll go steal a Pontiac from the dealer. GM is
>> >> > >a giant multinational too so I guess the same logic applies.
>> >> > >
>> >> > >Dave
>> >> > >
>> >> > >NoSpam wrote:
>> >> > >>
>> >> > >> Not that I don't understand, just I don't care about anyone ripping
>> >> > >> off software from a giant multi national company run by a multi
>> >> > >> billionaire, who has been squashing his competition in not very ince
>> >> > >> ways, been pushy in the market and has been selling their software at
>> >> > >> totally ridiculus and rip off prices well before anyone thought of
>> >> > >> pirating their software.
>> >> > >> Your heart can bleed for these bastards each timeyou hear that
>> >> > >> someone has made a copy of Windows. I know mine won't.
>> >> > >>
>> >> > >> Davo
>> >> > >>
>> >> > >> On Tue, 28 May 2002 11:49:00 GMT, SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote:
>> >> > >>
>> >> > >> >"NoSpam" wrote:
>> >> > >> >
>> >> > >> >>Just remember, Robin Hood was a thief to.
>> >> > >> >
>> >> > >> >And also a largely - if not completely - fictional character.
>> >> > >> >
>> >> > >> >As long as you seem to be of the same ilk as the thieves who think
>> >> > >> >it's fine to steal from the rich and give to themselves...
>> >> > >> >
>> >> > >> >Naaa. You probably wouldn't understand.

3659. Re: apbnews.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 00:48:02 UTC
From: Woody <ImAlso@Cheers.com>
Message-ID: <6FeJ8.132605$ah_.14224@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 47825
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That sites been down for quite some time. If ya check out the yahoo group
Live Scanner Audio you'll find lots of scanner broadcasts in that forum.

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

There's plenty on shoutcast.com and live365.com

"Chris Pohlad-Thomas" <nokedawg@cox.net> wrote in message
news:gYcJ8.5468$ok.388421@news2.east.cox.net...
> Has anyone else been having problems with
> http://www.apbnews.com?
> I try to listen to stuff and sometimes it tells me that the file can no
> longer be found. That is using RealPlayer as my player. If i use Windows
> Media Player it just tries to connect and doesn't get anywhere. It seems
> like it would be a nice way to listen to scanners around the nation.. if
it
> worked.
> Chris
>
>

3660. ID Tracker Supports LTR System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 01:00:39 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <PNeJ8.58422$Bo3.4795640@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 47826

ID Tracker now supports LTR systems.

We just got an LTR system in the area so I was able to add
support for those type systems to ID Tracker.

http://personal.bellsouth.net/~kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm

In order to get the longer IDs in the ID list it was necessary to
change the default column widths. If the columns do not display
properly edit the file "IDTrack.ini" and remove or change the line:

ListTabs=12,38,156

The values can be adjusted to adjust the column widths.

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

3661. FA: Uniden Bearcat 200XLT with 800Mhz Mod on ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 01:36:05 UTC
From: the_sandman <n0zmnospam@mho.com>
Message-ID: <ce0bfukmbqj0cfap6gjqq8s8n218jnasbp@4ax.com>
Source record: 47827

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1356669282

3662. Re: AOR AR1500 Squelch Improvement/help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 01:36:09 UTC
From: R1rob
Message-ID: <3cf58f7e.0@mercury.planet.net.au>
Source record: 47829
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks Steve, will try.

R1rob

"Steve Terry" <g4wwknospam@tesco.net> wrote in message
news:ad3ale$tt85m$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de...
> "R1rob" <@> wrote in message news:3cf43c08.0@mercury.planet.net.au...
> > Does anybody know a way to UP the squelch on full setting.
> > I am finding the need to squelch out some signals and the standard full
> > squelch setting wont do it.
> > TIA
> > R1rob
> >
> A resistor on the end of the pot to increase the pots resistance,
> might do the job ?
> Try adding a quarter of the pots resistance
> i.e. if 100K pot ? add 25k (or an adjustable 50k preset)
>
> Steve Terry
>
>
>
>

3663. Re: Pro-2005 Very low audio/2nd step CORRECTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 01:40:47 UTC
From: Linda Dutra <dadut@ga.prestige.net>
Message-ID: <zqfJ8.8695$fg3.3499728@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 47828

Well I'd like to thank you for your help. I am very grateful.
After testing at the chip then the speaker proved a bad c226 capacitor.
I noticed my ancient BC210xlt has the same tda1905. Can I test it in the
same manor?
Well Thank you again, very much.
The radio is working great.
<M2310@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:25460-3CF25CB8-185@storefull-2331.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> x-no-archive: yes
>
> Next program zero into a channel. Set the squelch fully clockwise. Turn
> the volume control all the way down. Measure the AC voltage at pin 1 of
> the TDA 1905, should be around zero. Check the positive side of the
> speaker, should also be zero. Now turn the volume all the way up AND THE
> SQUELCH ALL THE WAY OPEN. The AC voltage at pin 1 and at the speaker
> should both be around 4V. If it is ok at pin 1, but drops off alot at
> the speaker, then C226 is bad.
>

3664. Re: ARC780 S/w

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 01:56:06 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3CF586B6.CF5703BA@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 47830
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

NoSpam wrote:(among other stuff)
>
> As for anything else produced by rip off merchants that doesn't work
> 100% to my satisfaction, or os grossly over prices, thats another
> story.

Much as this thread has outlived its usefullness I can't resist asking where and when you have ever found a software product working to your 100% satisfaction? Unless you are very easy to satisfy.

In decades of working with 'very expensive' - make that multi-million dollar - professional software I have yet to encounter any product that manages to provide 100% user satisfaction. Even the stuff that runs FMS on Boeing jetliners or ATC systems has yet to provide 100% despite constantly being upgraded, at great expense.

Dave

3665. Re: California - Santa Clara County Sheriff - Cupertino Area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 02:15:54 UTC
From: johnb <borregoj@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3CF58CDD.8000800@sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 47831

try police call....also, the fcc web site to see if the county has
recently purchased new freq's and a new system for it....

Charlie Durand wrote:

> Hey there,
>
> I used to listen to the local Sheriff's department. The frequency I had has
> gone dead. I guess they moved to a new one.
>
> I am unable to locate this new frequency.
>
> If anyone can provide me with the frequency for the Santa Clara County
> Sheriff covering the Cupertino area that would be great. I think they were
> called the West Valley Station.
>
> Thanks!
>
>
>

3666. Re: Pro-2005 Very low audio/2nd step CORRECTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 02:18:54 UTC
From: richard dreyfuss <jquimby@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns921DE3DFAFAA0jquimbyhotmailcom@206.141.192.32>
Source record: 47835

"Linda Dutra" <dadut@ga.prestige.net> wrote in
news:zqfJ8.8695$fg3.3499728@news1.news.adelphia.net:

> Well I'd like to thank you for your help. I am very grateful.
> After testing at the chip then the speaker proved a bad c226 capacitor.
> I noticed my ancient BC210xlt has the same tda1905. Can I test it in the
> same manor?

No. Test it at someone else's house.

<Rimshot>

3667. Re: springfield, ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 02:32:48 UTC
From: wleamons <wleamons@socket.net>
Message-ID: <ufb3qpc4ccebb1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47832

try cityfreq.com
"james boak" <jimb0311@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3CF555C7.48495299@earthlink.net...
> having a bear of a time finding frequencies for this. could someone help
> point me to where i can find them. already got police call, and that
> has not helped one bit. and yes my scanner is working. thanks for your
> time and help.
> jim, springfield, ohio.
>

3668. Re: California - Santa Clara County Sheriff - Cupertino Area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 02:33:43 UTC
From: wleamons <wleamons@socket.net>
Message-ID: <ufb3s9cl9i3dc0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47833

```
try cityfreq.com
"johnb" <borregoj@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:3CF58CDD.8000800@sbcglobal.net...
> try police call....also, the fcc web site to see if the county has
> recently purchased new freq's and a new system for it....
>
>
> Charlie Durand wrote:
>
> > Hey there,
> >
> > I used to listen to the local Sheriff's department. The frequency I had
has
> > gone dead. I guess they moved to a new one.
> >
> > I am unable to locate this new frequency.
> >
> > If anyone can provide me with the frequency for the Santa Clara County
> > Sheriff covering the Cupertino area that would be great. I think they
were
> > called the West Valley Station.
> >
> > Thanks!
> >
> >
> >
>
```

3669. Re: Newbie in MO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 03:29:31 UTC
From: sashley@usa.net
Message-ID: <6c9dd38f.0205291929.75498f8b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47834

Give a look at http://www.brianfritz.com/kcscanning/
Steve

"Karrack2" <karrack2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<uf4p6krbn3umbb@corp.supernews.com>...
> Does anyone have any frequencies for around Knob Noster MO or any web sites?
> Thanks.

3670. Re: Pro-2005 Very low audio/2nd step CORRECTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 05:43:41 UTC
From: Linda Dutra <dadut@ga.prestige.net>
Message-ID: <h_iJ8.8743$fg3.3554949@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 47836

pardon me!
Well I'd like to thank you for your help. I am very grateful.
After testing at the chip then the speaker proved a bad c226 capacitor.
I noticed my ancient BC210xlt has the same tda1905. Can I test this radios
Audio circuit the same way it was tested with the pro-2005?

Corrected.
You have way too much time on your hands Richard.

"richard dreyfuss" <jquimby@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Xns921DE3DFAFAA0jquimbyhotmailcom@206.141.192.32...
> "Linda Dutra" <dadut@ga.prestige.net> wrote in
> news:zqfJ8.8695$fg3.3499728@news1.news.adelphia.net:
>
> > Well I'd like to thank you for your help. I am very grateful.
> > After testing at the chip then the speaker proved a bad c226 capacitor.
> > I noticed my ancient BC210xlt has the same tda1905. Can I test it in the
> > same manor?
>
> No. Test it at someone else's house.
>
> <Rimshot>

3671. ANN: AFS780 Version 0.0.2.13 Available (05.29.2002)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 06:25:28 UTC
From: Avraam <adsfsafh@LKlkjlk.com>
Message-ID: <sBjJ8.65785$cQ3.2602@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 47837
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The version 0.0.2.13 B is available for download.
Please update to the new version.

New in this build:
bugfixes

Check out the new page with names of the peoples who made
donation to support future development to this application:
http://www.barghis.com/bc780xlt/contributors.htm

-avraam
www.barghis.com
www.barghis.com/bc780xlt

3672. Re: California - Santa Clara County Sheriff - Cupertino Area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 07:09:17 UTC
From: "A.Tullis" <wb6gum@att.net>
Message-ID: <xekJ8.13830$UT.890715@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 47838

Santa Clara "control 2" went digital.. same freq.. no more analog.. control
1 will be doing the same very soon (156.21)
Andy (Mthamman)
Charlie Durand <charlie_durand@agilent.com> wrote in message
news:1022687717.411302@cswreg.cos.agilent.com...
> Hey there,
>
> I used to listen to the local Sheriff's department. The frequency I had
has
> gone dead. I guess they moved to a new one.
>
> I am unable to locate this new frequency.
>
> If anyone can provide me with the frequency for the Santa Clara County
> Sheriff covering the Cupertino area that would be great. I think they
were
> called the West Valley Station.
>
> Thanks!
>
>

3673. Re: Yaesu FRG-9600 audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 07:35:10 UTC
From: r8500@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020530033510.19804.00001622@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 47839

>Subject: Re: Yaesu FRG-9600 audio
>From: Ben xxxxx@xxxxx.net
>Date: 5/28/02 8:13 PM Mountain Daylight Time
>Message-id: <s9e8fug071rqh6d3s7ttrlc1lkibcids1p@4ax.com>
>
>Have you tried an external speaker? It may be some kind of feedback.
>Never seen it on a 9600 though.
>
>Ben
>
>On Tue, 28 May 2002 22:24:03 GMT, "Mark Kallin"
><MarkKallin@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
>>I bought a used Yaesu FRG-9600 and whenever a signal is received, breaking
>>the squelch, there is a loud and annoying tone (kind of like a dial tone)
>>behind the received signal.
>>
>>Does anyone know if this is normal for this radio, or does it need repair?
>>
>>Thanks

One of the design flaws of the Yaesu FRG 9600 is feedback from the internal speaker, especially at high volume. I've got two of these beasts, and the cheapest (and easiest) way to cure the feedback problem is with an external speaker. (Radio Shack sells one for about $14.99 which works fine with the FRG.) Other than the feedback problem, it sounds like you may have your rig's squelch set too low. Try setting the FRG's squelch control to the 1 o'clock position and see if that improves the audio.

73,
David

3674. Re: Newbie in MO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 07:57:48 UTC
From: GH <gdh154@att.net>
Message-ID: <3CF5DB7D.27C4D10F@att.net>
Source record: 47840

With the air base there you should have some interesting things to hear.

I wish I'd been into radio as a hobby when I spent 4 years over in Warrensburg.
But one eventually graduates.
GH

Karrack2 wrote:

> Does anyone have any frequencies for around Knob Noster MO or any web sites?
> Thanks.

3675. Re: Best Overall Starting Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 10:53:07 UTC
From: The Other Harry <hc.me@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <o01cfushsefab8jfsg9mt0hgsn23l77hs0@4ax.com>
Source record: 47842

[On Wed, 29 May 2002 16:41:35 GMT, Never anonymous Bud
<thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote:]

> OK, fool, SHOW me a Radio Shack scanner that's HALF as good in performance
> and features as a Uniden BC780.

That's why I mentioned the Pro-2053. I would never try to argue
that it is as good as the BC780, but it's certainly at least half as
good. Three-quarters, give or take.

3676. Re: springfield, ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 11:07:21 UTC
From: james boak <jimb0311@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3CF60809.22691985@earthlink.net>
Source record: 47841

first time i've posted this question.

SB wrote:

> "wleamons" wrote:
>
> >try cityfreq.com
>
> BTW ...is that the only post you ever make? It's the only one I
> remember ever seeing from you.

3677. Traveling to VA and looking for Freq's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 11:34:39 UTC
From: Jeff Kelly <jkelly@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <j7oJ8.44366$R_4.41453@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 47843

I will be traveling from NJ to VA and looking for
to load police freqs for my scanner. Is there a site
to help me find all of them?

Jeff

3678. f/s scanner books

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 11:38:43 UTC
From: jvillafane@aol.com1iwilly
Message-ID: <20020530073843.05959.00001290@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 47844

hello to all
i have left bill cheek vol 1,vol 2 modification books selling price =12.00 each
also a service manual for a pro26 =$8.00
jvillafane

3679. 900mhz digital codeless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 12:56:48 UTC
From: ernest <ernest@hananet.net>
Message-ID: <LlpJ8.176$r%4.44@news.hananet.net>
Source record: 47845

Is 900mhz codeless phones encrypted like cdma or pcs phones ? Analogue scanners like an AR8000 can't hear the 900mhz codeless phone ?

from Novice

3680. info?program bc 100xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 13:47:24 UTC
From: john sanders <jdsand@cowtown.net>
Message-ID: <ufcb1m71revm6d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47846

inherited bc 100 xlt scanner and do not know how to program it...when i hit wx key it sounds just fine...but have not figured out how to program in other channels...please help tnx john

3681. Re: info?program bc 100xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 14:42:55 UTC
From: MESKI <skii@home.com>
Message-ID: <0iecfugkcigjk0i4msr7otk73nend9cgs6@4ax.com>
Source record: 47747

this is for the bc200 manual. Use it for the 100. Exact smae scanner
'cept it has 200 channels and it has the 800 band.
everything else is the same.

uniden link for manual:
http://www.uniden.com/pdf/BC200XLTom.pdf

need to use adobe acrobat reader at:
http://www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep.html

john sanders wrote:

inherited bc 100 xlt scanner and do not know how to program
it...when i hit
wx key it sounds just fine...but have not figured out how to program
in
other channels...please help tnx john

3682. Re: 900mhz digital codeless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 15:10:50 UTC
From: Terry <nepvetm@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <_hrJ8.132114$9F5.7575259@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 47748

ernest <ernest@hananet.net> wrote in message
news:LlpJ8.176$r%4.44@news.hananet.net...
> Is 900mhz codeless phones encrypted like cdma or pcs phones ? Analogue
> scanners like an AR8000 can't hear the 900mhz codeless phone ?
>
> from Novice

They're not encrypted, but they change frequencies so fast that even if you
scan all the freq's that the phone uses, you'll never pick it up.

3683. PRO-94 Trunking Info Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 16:18:46 UTC
From: Dave <ws2l@NOSPAMoptonline.net>
Message-ID: <GhsJ8.212064$ja.57742897@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 47749
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello All,

It seems that I have either misplaced or lost the owners manual for my PRO-94 and need info on how to program the trunking feature on this scanner. I kind of remember a little on how to do it but not completely so I have given up on trying to figure it out by myself. If someone can give me a brief synopsis on how to program in trunked systems it would be greatly appreciated.

Thank You in advance,
Dave

3684. Re: PRO-94 Trunking Info Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 16:24:56 UTC
From: "Mike G"
Message-ID: <3cf65241.22924533@news-east.teranews.com>
Source record: 47750
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

They should have an on-line manual at www.radioshack.com

On Thu, 30 May 2002 16:18:46 GMT, "Dave" <ws2l@NOSPAMoptonline.net>
wrote:

>Hello All,
>
> It seems that I have either misplaced or lost the owners manual for my
>PRO-94 and need info on how to program the trunking feature on this scanner.
>I kind of remember a little on how to do it but not completely so I have
>given up on trying to figure it out by myself. If someone can give me a
>brief synopsis on how to program in trunked systems it would be greatly
>appreciated.
>
>Thank You in advance,
>Dave
>
>

3685. Re: Mounting Point Sufficient?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 17:27:27 UTC
From: Andy <postmaster@localhost.localdomain>
Message-ID: <3itJ8.15350$0A2.18953@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 47751
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

The FireStik scanner antennas only come in 3 and 4 foot sizes. I wanted a 5 foot, but they don't make it.

--
Andy
http://dynamicbits.com

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message news:3CF3FD6A.5F55575C@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> 4 foot, spring?. I use KW-7's without springs. Work a lot better
> then a 4 footer. Go with at least a 5 footer. Center & higher is better.
>
> Andy wrote:
> >
> > I plan to get a scanner for my car ('84 Mercury Grand Marquis). For the
> > antenna, I plan on getting the FireStik Mobile Action Scan (Model: MS-4)
> > (http://www.firestik.com/Catalog/MSM-3&4.htm) and for the mount, the Jeep
> > Bump-Stop bracket (http://www.firestik.com/Catalog/MK-JBS4R.htm). I will
> > mount it to the extreme right side of the rear bumper.
> >
> > My first question: Do I need to get a spring for the antenna, or should it
> > be OK without?
> >
> > Second question: Would anyone reccomend a better spot to mount the antenna?
> > In the future, I may get a second antenna on the opposite side of the car
> > for my CB radio with the same mount, and a 5 foot CB antenna. I park the car
> > in a garage, so I can't do something like mount a 5 foot antenna on the
> > roof.

3686. FS:Bearcat 895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 18:46:48 UTC
From: Jason <t1speed@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.17603cda57b25864989681@nntp>
Source record: 47752
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,tor.forsale,tor.forsale.misc

I have for sale a BC895XLT TRUNKTRACKER 300 Channel Base Station / Mobile Scanning Radio with box, Manual, Antenna and AC Adaptor, Mobile Mouting Bracket

Unit is in excellent to mint condition

Asking $400 Canadian or best reasonable offer ($400 CDN is approx $267 USD)

I'm located near Toronto, Ontario Canada

If you are interested please e-mail me

3687. FS:Bearcat 9000 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 18:48:38 UTC
From: Jason <t1speed@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.17603d41921af1ad989682@nntp>
Source record: 47753
Cross-posted to: tor.forsale,tor.forsale.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,ont.forsale

I have for sale a BC9000XLT 500 Channel Base Station / Mobile Scanning Radio with box, Manual, Antenna and AC Adaptor, Mobile Mouting Bracket

Unit does CTCSS decode

Unit is in excellent to mint condition

Asking $400 Canadian or best reasonable offer ($400 CDN is approx $267 USD)

I'm located near Toronto, Ontario Canada

If you are interested please e-mail me

3688. Live NASA & CAP Comms for Shuttle Launch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 19:51:24 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CF682BC.4050804@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 47754
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The shuttle is due to launch today at 19:44:26 EDT. I have a scanner online broadcasting Kennedy Space Center freqs from security, fire, launch support as well as the STA (Shuttle Training Aircraft).

Also included in this scan are the Combat Air Patrol freqs of the fighter patroling the skies over the Space Center.

Closer to launch time I'll lock the scanner to 126.6500, the freq used by the STA. About 1 hour before launch the STA will fly approaches to the shuttle runway as well as climb and measure the cloud heights. 99% of the time it will be from data he reports which will lead to scrubbing a launch.

I'm located about 40 miles from Pad 39-A and can pick up most of the comms pretty well. It should make for some interesting scanner.

To listen go to http://www.MilAirComms.com and scroll down until you see the section "LIVE AUDIO! Daytona Beach FL".

Have fun,
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF, VHF, UHF Military freqs and more!

3689. Radio Shack Software import?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 20:01:12 UTC
From: fnirt <robert@craig.net>
Message-ID: <TqvJ8.88705$vm6.19466480@ruti.visi.com>
Source record: 47755

I've got a Pro-89 and the Radio Shack Scanner Loader software, and I was wondering what format those files were in -- I can import them into Excel/Access, but I can't seem to find a format to save to that the software likes.

Has anyone imported data into the RS software?

3690. Internet components (winsock)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 20:03:38 UTC
From: "dsparro@ba-dsg.net" <dsparro@ba-dsg.net>
Message-ID: <uAvJ8.45928$R_4.12271@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 47756

The Internet Component Suite is a set of native components for Borland Delphi (all versions, including 16 bits) and Borland C++ Builder. The major TCP/IP protocols are supported for building client/server, intranet or Internet applications.

TCP, UDP, TELNET, FTP, SMTP, POP3, PING, FINGER, HTTP, NNTP and more. Each component has samples writen in Delphi and in C++ Builder. Several client/server applications, including an event-driven and a multi-threaded server, a complete FTP client and TELNET client with ansi emulation are provided. Full source code provided for everything.

The Internet Component Suite is freeware, royalty free and support is done using a mailing list. Visit our website and download now from http://www.rtfm.be/fpiette/indexuk.htm

3691. Re: FS:Bearcat 895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 20:26:53 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <en2dfuot2nqjstt14fd2k1ph6cjm06r0vp@4ax.com>
Source record: 47757
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,tor.forsale,tor.forsale.misc

While savoring a President Clinton 'special' cigar, Jason <t1speed@rogers.com>
scribbled...

>Asking $400 Canadian or best reasonable offer ($400 CDN is approx $267
>USD)

That radio can be bought brand new for under $200US.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

3692. FIELD PROGRAMABLE VHF 40CH PORTABLE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 23:24:20 UTC
From: David M Schertzer <schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <35ddfuco1reshun3ul6m30tus8g5lj60ed@4ax.com>
Source record: 47758

(1) ICOM V68 *40ch* 5-watt -VHF-

*** DTMF (User programmable - Front face) ****

(1) ICOM BC75A Rapid Desk Charger
(1) ICOM BP160 Rechargeable Battery pack
(1) ICOM BP130 Rechargeable Battery pack
(1) ICOM "AA" Emergency Battery pack (6- AA type batteries)
(1) EM46 Sprk-mic
(1) CP13L Cigarette Lighter adaptor with Noise filter
(1) Org. Instruction Manual + boxes

$200USD + $15USDship Payment via USPS International M/O.

Email for questions or info, spec sheet avail also.
This is the equiv. to the Motorola GP68 version.

3693. Re: Live NASA & CAP Comms for Shuttle Launch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-30 23:51:39 UTC
From: rickets@knac.com
Message-ID: <3cf6acd0.20589207@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 47759
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Killer. News we can use. Will supplement my NASA Select coverage via
Echostar channel 213.

Scrubbed for today.

On Thu, 30 May 2002 15:51:24 -0400, GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
wrote:

>The shuttle is due to launch today at 19:44:26 EDT. I have a scanner
>online broadcasting Kennedy Space Center freqs from security, fire,
>launch support as well as the STA (Shuttle Training Aircraft).
>
>Also included in this scan are the Combat Air Patrol freqs of the
>fighter patroling the skies over the Space Center.
>
>Closer to launch time I'll lock the scanner to 126.6500, the freq used
>by the STA. About 1 hour before launch the STA will fly approaches to
>the shuttle runway as well as climb and measure the cloud heights. 99%
>of the time it will be from data he reports which will lead to scrubbing
>a launch.
>
>I'm located about 40 miles from Pad 39-A and can pick up most of the
>comms pretty well. It should make for some interesting scanner.
>
>To listen go to http://www.MilAirComms.com and scroll down until you see
>the section "LIVE AUDIO! Daytona Beach FL".
>
>Have fun,
>George
>http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF, VHF, UHF Military freqs and more!
>
>

3694. Re: Live NASA & CAP Comms for Shuttle Launch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-31 01:29:35 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CF6D1FF.1040208@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 47760
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave wrote:

> Killer. News we can use. Will supplement my NASA Select coverage via
> Echostar channel 213.
>
> Scrubbed for today.

I plan to do another live scanner broadcast tomorrow afternoon until
launch or scrub..... forecast isn't good however...

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Freqs and more

3695. ICOM R 10, SF Peninsula area, want to clone programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-31 04:12:47 UTC
From: boeing377@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020531001247.17546.00001772@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 47761

I just bought an ICOM R 10 for my boy. We live on San Francisco Peninsula. Is there anyone in this area who also has an Icom R 10, has programmed it with good local channels, and is willing to clone the programming to our set set? I don't have a clone cable, but if necessary I'd buy one. Thanks.

3696. Re: FS:Bearcat 895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-31 07:12:27 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <th8efucbc68soa8s9ob0174680cd77hv5b@4ax.com>
Source record: 47762
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,tor.forsale,tor.forsale.misc

another Canadian RIPOFF.....get lost

On Thu, 30 May 2002 18:46:48 GMT, Jason <t1speed@rogers.com> wrote:

>
>I have for sale a BC895XLT TRUNKTRACKER 300 Channel Base Station /
>Mobile Scanning Radio with box, Manual, Antenna and AC Adaptor, Mobile
>Mouting Bracket
>
>Unit is in excellent to mint condition
>
>Asking $400 Canadian or best reasonable offer ($400 CDN is approx $267
>USD)
>
>I'm located near Toronto, Ontario Canada
>
>If you are interested please e-mail me
>
>

3697. Need POCSAG, GOLAY & FLEX Decoder software.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-31 14:22:41 UTC
From: "Virgilio Rodriguez Jr." <vze24jcz@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <RGLJ8.1452$b73.274@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 47763
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am looking for software that can decode the pager transmissions that I can receive with my scanner. If anybody has any info please reply with the info must be compatible with a YAESU VR-500 Communications Receiver. Must decode POCSAG, GOLAY and FLEX. any info will be appreciated. Email at TPDB60RODR@aol.com write in the Subject Line: Pager Decoder Software.

3698. Looking for the ICOM R-3-27-GOVT Wideband Receiver w/TV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-31 14:31:37 UTC
From: "Virgilio Rodriguez Jr." <vze24jcz@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <dPLJ8.1563$b73.956@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 47764
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anybody has infomation on the ICOM R-3 let me no I am looking for the model without the cellular phone gap. Apperently these types are for government sales and export only in the US. Anybody coming across any info on where I can pick this baby up e-mail me at TPDB60RODR@aol.com write in subject line: ICOM R-3-27-GOVT. Thank you.

3699. Re: 900mhz digital codeless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-31 15:01:47 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uff45v4ut4fea6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47765

Only true if the phone is a spread spectrum type. You won't find that in a cheapy 900MHz phone. Of course, you won't even find Digital in most of those cheapies...

Chances are if you own a cheapy 900MHz phone, you can be heard...

PH

"Terry" <nepvetm@gt.rr.com> wrote in message
news:_hrJ8.132114$9F5.7575259@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
>
> ernest <ernest@hananet.net> wrote in message
> news:LlpJ8.176$r%4.44@news.hananet.net...
> > Is 900mhz codeless phones encrypted like cdma or pcs phones ? Analogue
> > scanners like an AR8000 can't hear the 900mhz codeless phone ?
> >
> > from Novice
>
> They're not encrypted, but they change frequencies so fast that even if
you
> scan all the freq's that the phone uses, you'll never pick it up.
>
>

3700. Re: Internet components (winsock)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-31 15:02:48 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uff47ceq1jtkb7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47766

This has what to do with scanners??

SPAM Clipped...

PH

3701. The Common Stupidity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-31 16:15:57 UTC
From: Steve Bossert <kc2gog@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1INJ8.218884$ja.60919162@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 47767
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

If their were less questions and arguments about 800Mhz Analog Cellular mods and recievers,Citizens Band and also morse code for amateurs, , most newsgroups would have no posts.

instead of whining about these issues, why dont you find something else constructive to do with your time. bulid an antenna, make a reciever or some other gadget. Then you let others know what you are doing and someone improves on your idea.

I know i will see alot of problems regarding my message but its true. here are my thoughts for the record.

Cellular Scanners-- Why do people want a 800Mhz full coverage reciever today. Most areas are using some form of digital service, and not even in the 800 band anymore. What is so interesting about listening to cellphones? Ive had radios that are capable of it, and never even listened to that portion. I think you just want something that has no gaps, and are willing to pay more for something that most wont listen to. If its so good how come i never see monitoring posts about how Mary got her nails done?
Unless you are in the FBI and are trying to foil the next terrorist action, dont be bothered with cellular capable scanners

Morse Code-- have you looked at new licenses lately, looks like our ranks are dropping. Ive been a Tech(No-Code) for three years and would like to use HF but could care less about morse code. Its an antiquated mode, which had its time, although still usefull sometimes, if we keep saying we need Morse code, us amateurs will be way behind times(which we still are). Lots of people say, when a repeater ID's or i am tuning around the morse sub bands, "Is that Morse Code, someone still uses that?" I say yes, its part of amateur radio. The person whom im talking to usually says "ill just go to Walmart and get those radios for $50 and dont worry about the code" Amateurs now adays need to concentrate about leading edge technology, like we once did, and stop hanging on to the past. PSk31, APRS, IRLP, and satellite is the future, so we should stop saying amateur radio is only HF and Morse. The military does not use MOrse anymore, they use ALE type signals, which is faster, and almost error free .Those of you that have been around for a while should get off your "Extra or Advanced" horses and get some new blood into amateur radio. Also a Tech (no code) IS still an amateur, so we should be treated like one. I hear it all the time, Im speaking to someone about some technical topic, and they happen to be at QRZ.com and look up my call. Then they say how come your only a tech? didnt you pass the code test? i say no and dont want to be bothered. "well its been good talking to you, 73" is the common response. And also those that upgraded, change their whole demeanor towards you like they dont remember you. WOW!! your a general,

advanced, or extra! can you set up a packet node, or work a satellite via a digital mode. NO, gee i guess that morse code is really good!

Citizens Band- Those that are on SSB are almost like amateurs, and some wont get a license because they dont like the dryness of amateur radio. Regular AM'ers are usually a 50/50 bunch, some are the nicest and most helpfull people youll ever meet, and the others, well you know what im talking about.Amateur ops always complain about how 11m was stolen and CB'ers dont know anything. Well guess what, if its about amplifiers(yes ILLEGAL on 11m), DXing (less than 125 miles wink wink) or just general radio stuff, some know more than some amateurs. If a "general" is confronted by one of these CB'ers they say "well your so smart do you know Morse code" CB'er replies "NO!!". That amateur will either leave or will find what channel they are on and throw a carrier or send code with his friends. This is not the way!!! "cant we all just get along"

well thats it for now, if i made any spelling or puncuation errors, oh well!!

Sincerly,

Steve Bossert , KC2GOG (tech and proud of it)

and i dont need to hide behind an alias like some others out there!!!

3702. Re: Looking for the ICOM R-3-27-GOVT Wideband Receiver w/TV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-31 17:08:47 UTC
From: Devin <a@B.C>
Message-ID: <z6OJ8.76714$Ka.5877326@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 47768
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

cellular is boring. canadian and euro's are unblocked

Virgilio Rodriguez Jr. <vze24jcz@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:dPLJ8.1563$b73.956@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> Anybody has infomation on the ICOM R-3 let me no I am looking for the
model
> without the cellular phone gap. Apperently these types are for government
> sales and export only in the US. Anybody coming across any info on where
I
> can pick this baby up e-mail me at TPDB60RODR@aol.com write in subject
line:
> ICOM R-3-27-GOVT. Thank you.
>
>

3703. Re: The Common Stupidity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-31 18:08:27 UTC
From: Bart Bailey <bartman@nethere.net>
Message-ID: <3CF7BC1A.FDD31778@amsat.org>
Source record: 47769
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Steve Bossert wrote:

> If their were less questions and arguments about 800Mhz Analog Cellular mods
> and recievers,Citizens Band and also morse code for amateurs, , most
> newsgroups would have no posts.

At least the radio oriented ones, it seems.
I subscribe to some where radio is rarely mentioned.

> <~~~>
>
> Cellular Scanners-- Why do people want a 800Mhz full coverage reciever
> today. Most areas are using some form of digital service, and not even in
> the 800 band anymore. What is so interesting about listening to cellphones?
> Ive had radios that are capable of it, and never even listened to that
> portion. I think you just want something that has no gaps,

It's the onerous mix of bad politics and technology.
(keep your laws off my body, and out of my receiver)

> and are willing
> to pay more for something that most wont listen to. If its so good how come
> i never see monitoring posts about how Mary got her nails done?
> Unless you are in the FBI and are trying to foil the next terrorist action,
> dont be bothered with cellular capable scanners

I don't think any readily available "scanners" (blocked or not)
cover the sat phone bands where the FBI is concentrating.

> Morse Code-- have you looked at new licenses lately, looks like our ranks
> are dropping. Ive been a Tech(No-Code) for three years and would like to use
> HF but could care less about morse code.

Now that the ionosphere is shot to hell,
that's sort of a moot point.

> Its an antiquated mode,

Just as a paper and ink book is.
Some folks (like me) are hopelessly nostalgic, though.

> which had
> its time, although still usefull sometimes, if we keep saying we need Morse
> code, us amateurs will be way behind times(which we still are).

If being contemporary is important,
and working the world is an objective,

then get a cell phone.
A multi-minute call plan,
3 watts,
and you have anywhere on the globe with Q5 clarity.
Up to date?
Get your cell phone in a color scheme to match your Hilfiger's or FuBu.

> Lots of
> people say, when a repeater ID's or i am tuning around the morse sub bands,
> "Is that Morse Code, someone still uses that?" I say yes, its part of
> amateur radio. The person whom im talking to usually says "ill just go to
> Walmart and get those radios for $50 and dont worry about the code"

That's just the niche of users that GMRS and FRS (and originally CB) were
designated for.
.....and $50?
Walmart (Santee CA) has Bellsouth Communicators (FRS) for less than $10
....hellofa deal.

> Amateurs
> now adays need to concentrate about leading edge technology, like we once
> did, and stop hanging on to the past.

Been down to HRO lately?
Several kilobucks will get you all the leading edge technology you can afford.

> PSk31, APRS, IRLP, and satellite is
> the future, so we should stop saying amateur radio is only HF and Morse.

They are "both" amateur radio,
all the digital gimmickry you referred to above,
as well as the old brass pounders on the "long bands".

> The military does not use MOrse anymore, they use ALE type signals, which is
> faster, and almost error free .Those of you that have been around for a
> while should get off your "Extra or Advanced" horses and get some new blood
> into amateur radio.

No thanks.
That's the equipment vendors that want more customers.
The bands are crowded enough (when they are open)
without any more impatient "new blood".

> Also a Tech (no code) IS still an amateur, so we should
> be treated like one. I hear it all the time, Im speaking to someone about
> some technical topic, and they happen to be at QRZ.com and look up my call.
> Then they say how come your only a tech? didnt you pass the code test? i say
> no and dont want to be bothered. "well its been good talking to you, 73" is
> the common response.

There's arrogance everywhere you go in life, not just hams.

> And also those that upgraded, change their whole
> demeanor towards you like they dont remember you. WOW!! your a general,

> advanced, or extra! can you set up a packet node, or work a satellite via a
> digital mode. NO, gee i guess that morse code is really good!

Now you are starting to sound like a sniveling sour grape whiner.

> Citizens Band- Those that are on SSB are almost like amateurs, and some wont
> get a license because they dont like the dryness of amateur radio.

A real comedy show too.
"I'm QRT and standing by" ;-)
If ham is so bad,
why don't they develop their own set of brevity codes,
instead of making such a pathetic attempt at plagiarizing from the hams?
With all the superfluous and obsequious blather on freeband,
the use of any shorthand isn't expedient or even necessary.

> Regular
> AM'ers are usually a 50/50 bunch, some are the nicest and most helpfull
> people youll ever meet, and the others, well you know what im talking
> about.

Just like in the real world.

> Amateur ops always complain about how 11m was stolen and CB'ers dont
> know anything.

I think you are being too broad in your assessment here.
Damn few hams of today even remember the late 50's,
let alone the transference of a scarcely used band to the general public.
(the lowest common denominator aspect didn't emerge until well into the 60's)

> Well guess what, if its about amplifiers(yes ILLEGAL on 11m),
> DXing (less than 125 miles wink wink) or just general radio stuff, some know
> more than some amateurs.

My 10m amp was made by someone who caters to the CB market.
Parts is parts,
and if he can sling 'em together better and cheaper than Mirage,
then that's where I'm gonna buy it.

> If a "general" is confronted by one of these CB'ers
> they say "well your so smart do you know Morse code" CB'er replies "NO!!".
> That amateur will either leave or will find what channel they are on and
> throw a carrier or send code with his friends. This is not the way!!! "cant
> we all just get along"

Maybe you should look beyond the boundaries of the radio community.
You'll likely see that what you're complaining about is part of human nature,
and radio is just reflecting those squabbles within its own scope.

> well thats it for now, if i made any spelling or puncuation errors, oh
> well!!

>
> Sincerly,
>
> Steve Bossert , KC2GOG (tech and proud of it)
>
> and i dont need to hide behind an alias like some others out there!!!

Neither do I

--
~Bart~

3704. AC603 beam and tower 4sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-31 19:05:20 UTC
From: Jeff <j.dauphinais@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <QPPJ8.16249$UT.1066688@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 47770

I have for sale 1 full size "HY-Gain" beam 10 and 15 meters. fully assembled for your inspection. 13' Boom and longest element is 22' $100.00 I also have a steel tower totaling 25'. this is in 3 sections 1 10 foot st8 and 1 5foot st8 and 1 10 foot top section. I don't not have a rotator. $50.00. pickup only from Nashua,NewHampshire. I am selling these because they are to big for my new QTH. This beam has spent a large portion of its life in storage. 73's Jeff AA1GR

3705. Re: The Common Stupidity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-31 19:32:07 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <ad8j3g$4nn$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 47771
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Very interesting indeed Steve. I have but on question...what kind of goofs do you talk to anyway? I've never heard anyone ask or make comments like you say have been said to you concerning CW.

And guess what Steve....I've got my Extra, had it a while now, and I do satellite, and V/Uhf weak signal, and HF and Contest, etc. etc. I do it on voice, digital and believe it or not CW. So please don't stick us all in this bag you apparantly have created.

If you don't care to do CW...fine...less QRM from a lid to contend with. I could care less if folks like you, that apparantly hate CW, don't want to operate CW. Why do you think that bothers types like me that enjoy it?

Dan/W4NTI

"Steve Bossert" <kc2gog@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:1lNJ8.218884$ja.60919162@news02.optonline.net...
> If their were less questions and arguments about 800Mhz Analog Cellular
mods
> and recievers,Citizens Band and also morse code for amateurs, , most
> newsgroups would have no posts.
>
> instead of whining about these issues, why dont you find something else
> constructive to do with your time. bulid an antenna, make a reciever or
some
> other gadget. Then you let others know what you are doing and someone
> improves on your idea.
>
> I know i will see alot of problems regarding my message but its true. here
> are my thoughts for the record.
>
>
> Cellular Scanners-- Why do people want a 800Mhz full coverage reciever
> today. Most areas are using some form of digital service, and not even in
> the 800 band anymore. What is so interesting about listening to
cellphones?
> Ive had radios that are capable of it, and never even listened to that
> portion. I think you just want something that has no gaps, and are willing
> to pay more for something that most wont listen to. If its so good how
come
> i never see monitoring posts about how Mary got her nails done?
> Unless you are in the FBI and are trying to foil the next terrorist
action,
> dont be bothered with cellular capable scanners

>
> Morse Code-- have you looked at new licenses lately, looks like our ranks
> are dropping. Ive been a Tech(No-Code) for three years and would like to
use
> HF but could care less about morse code. Its an antiquated mode, which had
> its time, although still usefull sometimes, if we keep saying we need
Morse
> code, us amateurs will be way behind times(which we still are). Lots of
> people say, when a repeater ID's or i am tuning around the morse sub
bands,
> "Is that Morse Code, someone still uses that?" I say yes, its part of
> amateur radio. The person whom im talking to usually says "ill just go to
> Walmart and get those radios for $50 and dont worry about the code"
Amateurs
> now adays need to concentrate about leading edge technology, like we once
> did, and stop hanging on to the past. PSk31, APRS, IRLP, and satellite is
> the future, so we should stop saying amateur radio is only HF and Morse.
> The military does not use MOrse anymore, they use ALE type signals, which
is
> faster, and almost error free .Those of you that have been around for a
> while should get off your "Extra or Advanced" horses and get some new
blood
> into amateur radio. Also a Tech (no code) IS still an amateur, so we
should
> be treated like one. I hear it all the time, Im speaking to someone about
> some technical topic, and they happen to be at QRZ.com and look up my
call.
> Then they say how come your only a tech? didnt you pass the code test? i
say
> no and dont want to be bothered. "well its been good talking to you, 73"
is
> the common response. And also those that upgraded, change their whole
> demeanor towards you like they dont remember you. WOW!! your a general,
> advanced, or extra! can you set up a packet node, or work a satellite via
a
> digital mode. NO, gee i guess that morse code is really good!
>
> Citizens Band- Those that are on SSB are almost like amateurs, and some
wont
> get a license because they dont like the dryness of amateur radio. Regular
> AM'ers are usually a 50/50 bunch, some are the nicest and most helpfull
> people youll ever meet, and the others, well you know what im talking
> about.Amateur ops always complain about how 11m was stolen and CB'ers dont
> know anything. Well guess what, if its about amplifiers(yes ILLEGAL on
11m),
> DXing (less than 125 miles wink wink) or just general radio stuff, some
know
> more than some amateurs. If a "general" is confronted by one of these
CB'ers
> they say "well your so smart do you know Morse code" CB'er replies "NO!!".

> That amateur will either leave or will find what channel they are on and
> throw a carrier or send code with his friends. This is not the way!!!
"cant
> we all just get along"
>
> well thats it for now, if i made any spelling or puncuation errors, oh
> well!!
>
> Sincerly,
>
> Steve Bossert , KC2GOG (tech and proud of it)
>
> and i dont need to hide behind an alias like some others out there!!!
>
>

3706. Winscan 2.10 for 895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-31 19:42:02 UTC
From: Jason <t1speed@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.17619b4720619c86989684@nntp>
Source record: 47772
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Does anyone have a copy of this "that they don't want anymore"? If so
I'd love to lay my hands on a copy.

Thanks in advance!

3707. Re: The Common Stupidity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-31 20:01:38 UTC
From: Steve Bossert <kc2gog@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <CEQJ8.220472$ja.61382271@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 47773
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

i did not want my post to come out in a negative way, and i should not of even of bothered to write, because i ended up addng fuel to the fire.

i really should of made the point that when radio communication first began, it was all about improving technology. i really dont see that anymore, and i am NOT talking about buying a station. thats just like some rich guy buying a brand new Harley Davidson and custom leathers, trying to pass himself as a hardcore biker.

and by the way i am not a LID, and its what ever floats your boat (as far as what mode you operate)

all im saying if you hold on to the past you cant go foward (i.e Morse Code)

if certain people enjoy Morse dont force it upon others, just like i am NOT making you agrre with any of my statements, they are open forum, and oh by the way

.-- ....- -. - .. / .-- ....- -. - .. / -.. . / -.- -.-.
..--- --. --- --. / -.. .- -. / -.. --- / -. --- - / --. . - / .- .-..
.-.. / -- .- -.. --..-- / .. / .- -- / -. --- - / - .-. -.-- .. -. --.
/ - --- / .--. .. .. / .--. . --- .--. .-.. . / --- ..-. ..-.
.-.-.- / --... ...-- -.-
73,
"Steve Bossert" <kc2gog@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:1lNJ8.218884$ja.60919162@news02.optonline.net...
> If their were less questions and arguments about 800Mhz Analog Cellular
mods
> and recievers,Citizens Band and also morse code for amateurs, , most
> newsgroups would have no posts.
>
> instead of whining about these issues, why dont you find something else
> constructive to do with your time. bulid an antenna, make a reciever or
some
> other gadget. Then you let others know what you are doing and someone
> improves on your idea.
>
> I know i will see alot of problems regarding my message but its true. here
> are my thoughts for the record.
>
>
> Cellular Scanners-- Why do people want a 800Mhz full coverage reciever
> today. Most areas are using some form of digital service, and not even in
> the 800 band anymore. What is so interesting about listening to
cellphones?

> Ive had radios that are capable of it, and never even listened to that
> portion. I think you just want something that has no gaps, and are willing
> to pay more for something that most wont listen to. If its so good how
come
> i never see monitoring posts about how Mary got her nails done?
> Unless you are in the FBI and are trying to foil the next terrorist
action,
> dont be bothered with cellular capable scanners
>
> Morse Code-- have you looked at new licenses lately, looks like our ranks
> are dropping. Ive been a Tech(No-Code) for three years and would like to
use
> HF but could care less about morse code. Its an antiquated mode, which had
> its time, although still usefull sometimes, if we keep saying we need
Morse
> code, us amateurs will be way behind times(which we still are). Lots of
> people say, when a repeater ID's or i am tuning around the morse sub
bands,
> "Is that Morse Code, someone still uses that?" I say yes, its part of
> amateur radio. The person whom im talking to usually says "ill just go to
> Walmart and get those radios for $50 and dont worry about the code"
Amateurs
> now adays need to concentrate about leading edge technology, like we once
> did, and stop hanging on to the past. PSk31, APRS, IRLP, and satellite is
> the future, so we should stop saying amateur radio is only HF and Morse.
> The military does not use MOrse anymore, they use ALE type signals, which
is
> faster, and almost error free .Those of you that have been around for a
> while should get off your "Extra or Advanced" horses and get some new
blood
> into amateur radio. Also a Tech (no code) IS still an amateur, so we
should
> be treated like one. I hear it all the time, Im speaking to someone about
> some technical topic, and they happen to be at QRZ.com and look up my
call.
> Then they say how come your only a tech? didnt you pass the code test? i
say
> no and dont want to be bothered. "well its been good talking to you, 73"
is
> the common response. And also those that upgraded, change their whole
> demeanor towards you like they dont remember you. WOW!! your a general,
> advanced, or extra! can you set up a packet node, or work a satellite via
a
> digital mode. NO, gee i guess that morse code is really good!
>
> Citizens Band- Those that are on SSB are almost like amateurs, and some
wont
> get a license because they dont like the dryness of amateur radio. Regular
> AM'ers are usually a 50/50 bunch, some are the nicest and most helpfull
> people youll ever meet, and the others, well you know what im talking

> about.Amateur ops always complain about how 11m was stolen and CB'ers dont
> know anything. Well guess what, if its about amplifiers(yes ILLEGAL on
11m),
> DXing (less than 125 miles wink wink) or just general radio stuff, some
know
> more than some amateurs. If a "general" is confronted by one of these
CB'ers
> they say "well your so smart do you know Morse code" CB'er replies "NO!!".
> That amateur will either leave or will find what channel they are on and
> throw a carrier or send code with his friends. This is not the way!!!
"cant
> we all just get along"
>
> well thats it for now, if i made any spelling or puncuation errors, oh
> well!!
>
> Sincerly,
>
> Steve Bossert , KC2GOG (tech and proud of it)
>
> and i dont need to hide behind an alias like some others out there!!!
>
>

3708. pro-91 truncktracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-05-31 22:10:20 UTC
From: john sanders <jdsand@cowtown.net>
Message-ID: <uffss554gjjo79@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47774

have a clean rs pro-91 150 ch. truncktracker hand held scanner for $110.00
shipped
real clean and has the telescoping large antenna from radio shack...works
perfect
john

3709. Re: The Common Stupidity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 00:00:01 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspringnospam.com>
Message-ID: <ad92q2bjr1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 47775
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

OK, understood. I'll let the 'real' LIDS out there read your Morse at the end.

Dan/W4NTI

"Steve Bossert" <kc2gog@amsat.org> wrote in message news:CEQJ8.220472$ja.61382271@news02.optonline.net...
> i did not want my post to come out in a negative way, and i should not of
> even of bothered to write, because i ended up addng fuel to the fire.
>
> i really should of made the point that when radio communication first began,
> it was all about improving technology. i really dont see that anymore, and i
> am NOT talking about buying a station. thats just like some rich guy buying
> a brand new Harley Davidson and custom leathers, trying to pass himself as a
> hardcore biker.
>
> and by the way i am not a LID, and its what ever floats your boat (as far as
> what mode you operate)
>
> all im saying if you hold on to the past you cant go foward (i.e Morse Code)
>
> if certain people enjoy Morse dont force it upon others, just like i am NOT
> making you agrre with any of my statements, they are open forum, and oh by
> the way
>
> .-- ....- -. - .. / .-- ....- -. - .. / -.. . / -.- -.-.
> ..--- --. --- --. / -.. .- -. / -.. --- / -. --- - / --. . - / .-
.-..
> .-.. / -- .- -.. --..-- / .. / .- -- / -. --- - / - .-. -.--
.. -. --.
> / - --- / .--. .. .. / .--. . --- .--. .-.. . / --- ..-. ..-.
> .-.-.- / --... ...-- -.-
> 73,
> "Steve Bossert" <kc2gog@amsat.org> wrote in message
> news:1lNJ8.218884$ja.60919162@news02.optonline.net...
> > If their were less questions and arguments about 800Mhz Analog Cellular
> mods

> > and recievers,Citizens Band and also morse code for amateurs, , most
> > newsgroups would have no posts.
> >
> > instead of whining about these issues, why dont you find something else
> > constructive to do with your time. bulid an antenna, make a reciever or
> some
> > other gadget. Then you let others know what you are doing and someone
> > improves on your idea.
> >
> > I know i will see alot of problems regarding my message but its true.
here
> > are my thoughts for the record.
> >
> >
> > Cellular Scanners-- Why do people want a 800Mhz full coverage reciever
> > today. Most areas are using some form of digital service, and not even
in
> > the 800 band anymore. What is so interesting about listening to
> cellphones?
> > Ive had radios that are capable of it, and never even listened to that
> > portion. I think you just want something that has no gaps, and are
willing
> > to pay more for something that most wont listen to. If its so good how
> come
> > i never see monitoring posts about how Mary got her nails done?
> > Unless you are in the FBI and are trying to foil the next terrorist
> action,
> > dont be bothered with cellular capable scanners
> >
> > Morse Code-- have you looked at new licenses lately, looks like our
ranks
> > are dropping. Ive been a Tech(No-Code) for three years and would like to
> use
> > HF but could care less about morse code. Its an antiquated mode, which
had
> > its time, although still usefull sometimes, if we keep saying we need
> Morse
> > code, us amateurs will be way behind times(which we still are). Lots of
> > people say, when a repeater ID's or i am tuning around the morse sub
> bands,
> > "Is that Morse Code, someone still uses that?" I say yes, its part of
> > amateur radio. The person whom im talking to usually says "ill just go
to
> > Walmart and get those radios for $50 and dont worry about the code"
> Amateurs
> > now adays need to concentrate about leading edge technology, like we
once
> > did, and stop hanging on to the past. PSk31, APRS, IRLP, and satellite
is
> > the future, so we should stop saying amateur radio is only HF and Morse.

> > The military does not use MOrse anymore, they use ALE type signals,
which
> is
> > faster, and almost error free .Those of you that have been around for a
> > while should get off your "Extra or Advanced" horses and get some new
> blood
> > into amateur radio. Also a Tech (no code) IS still an amateur, so we
> should
> > be treated like one. I hear it all the time, Im speaking to someone
about
> > some technical topic, and they happen to be at QRZ.com and look up my
> call.
> > Then they say how come your only a tech? didnt you pass the code test? i
> say
> > no and dont want to be bothered. "well its been good talking to you, 73"
> is
> > the common response. And also those that upgraded, change their whole
> > demeanor towards you like they dont remember you. WOW!! your a general,
> > advanced, or extra! can you set up a packet node, or work a satellite
via
> a
> > digital mode. NO, gee i guess that morse code is really good!
> >
> > Citizens Band- Those that are on SSB are almost like amateurs, and some
> wont
> > get a license because they dont like the dryness of amateur radio.
Regular
> > AM'ers are usually a 50/50 bunch, some are the nicest and most helpfull
> > people youll ever meet, and the others, well you know what im talking
> > about.Amateur ops always complain about how 11m was stolen and CB'ers
dont
> > know anything. Well guess what, if its about amplifiers(yes ILLEGAL on
> 11m),
> > DXing (less than 125 miles wink wink) or just general radio stuff, some
> know
> > more than some amateurs. If a "general" is confronted by one of these
> CB'ers
> > they say "well your so smart do you know Morse code" CB'er replies
"NO!!".
> > That amateur will either leave or will find what channel they are on and
> > throw a carrier or send code with his friends. This is not the way!!!
> "cant
> > we all just get along"
> >
> > well thats it for now, if i made any spelling or puncuation errors, oh
> > well!!
> >
> > Sincerly,
> >
> > Steve Bossert , KC2GOG (tech and proud of it)

> >
> > and i dont need to hide behind an alias like some others out there!!!
> >
> >
>
>

3710. Re: The Common Stupidity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 00:32:10 UTC
From: Ed Juge/NM <ed@juge.org>
Message-ID: <3cf815f9.0@oracle.zianet.com>
Source record: 47776
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Hi, Steve...

Ham radio is such a large, diverse group that ANYONE can find things that are repulsive to him or her. In other walks of life, we just avoid folks whose behavior we object to, but on ham radio, that's hard to do. In my case, the list of things that drive me up walls isn't too long, but --for example-- my top three are...

1. The "personal" here is Bill.
2. Please help... I **NEED** modifications for my new radio... ANY modifications!
3. If you don't know code (preferably 20wpm) you're not a real ham.

Refering to one's name as a "personal" is repulsive to me. I guess it migrated from CB... dunno. Then I think about the term "handle," which was common during my first 30 years. I used it too, though I haven't in years. "Handle" conjures up interesting pictures and certainly is no less ridiculous than "personal," so I just keep my mouth shut and move on.

Hams who spend good money on new radios and then simply cannot LIVE without modifying them makes me wonder why they bought 'em in the first place. Most of the mods won't ever be used and probably void the warranty. Dumb, dumb, dumb!

The worst behavior on the ham bands in frequently the Extra/Advanced class portions. I know many no-code techs I much prefer as friends rather than some of the higher-class bigoted jerks in our hobby. I am Extra class but I consider this elitist attitude to be the epitome of ignorance. They probably think the same of me.

Anyway... until this message, I have never mentioned any of these pet peeves on the internet, and probably won't again. The point is, there are lots of different kinds of people in this world. Though it sometmes takes effort, I just accept those differences and move on. I tell myself it's verbal "people-watching."

73 & Good luck... Ed Juge, W5EJ

"Steve Bossert" <kc2gog@amsat.org> wrote in message news:1lNJ8.218884$ja.60919162@news02.optonline.net...
> If their were less questions and arguments about 800Mhz Analog Cellular mods
> and recievers,Citizens Band and also morse code for amateurs, , most
> newsgroups would have no posts.
>
> instead of whining about these issues, why dont you find something else

> constructive to do with your time. bulid an antenna, make a reciever or
some
> other gadget. Then you let others know what you are doing and someone
> improves on your idea.
>
> I know i will see alot of problems regarding my message but its true. here
> are my thoughts for the record.
>
>
> Cellular Scanners-- Why do people want a 800Mhz full coverage reciever
> today. Most areas are using some form of digital service, and not even in
> the 800 band anymore. What is so interesting about listening to
cellphones?
> Ive had radios that are capable of it, and never even listened to that
> portion. I think you just want something that has no gaps, and are willing
> to pay more for something that most wont listen to. If its so good how
come
> i never see monitoring posts about how Mary got her nails done?
> Unless you are in the FBI and are trying to foil the next terrorist
action,
> dont be bothered with cellular capable scanners
>
> Morse Code-- have you looked at new licenses lately, looks like our ranks
> are dropping. Ive been a Tech(No-Code) for three years and would like to
use
> HF but could care less about morse code. Its an antiquated mode, which had
> its time, although still usefull sometimes, if we keep saying we need
Morse
> code, us amateurs will be way behind times(which we still are). Lots of
> people say, when a repeater ID's or i am tuning around the morse sub
bands,
> "Is that Morse Code, someone still uses that?" I say yes, its part of
> amateur radio. The person whom im talking to usually says "ill just go to
> Walmart and get those radios for $50 and dont worry about the code"
Amateurs
> now adays need to concentrate about leading edge technology, like we once
> did, and stop hanging on to the past. PSk31, APRS, IRLP, and satellite is
> the future, so we should stop saying amateur radio is only HF and Morse.
> The military does not use MOrse anymore, they use ALE type signals, which
is
> faster, and almost error free .Those of you that have been around for a
> while should get off your "Extra or Advanced" horses and get some new
blood
> into amateur radio. Also a Tech (no code) IS still an amateur, so we
should
> be treated like one. I hear it all the time, Im speaking to someone about
> some technical topic, and they happen to be at QRZ.com and look up my
call.
> Then they say how come your only a tech? didnt you pass the code test? i
say

> no and dont want to be bothered. "well its been good talking to you, 73"
is
> the common response. And also those that upgraded, change their whole
> demeanor towards you like they dont remember you. WOW!! your a general,
> advanced, or extra! can you set up a packet node, or work a satellite via
a
> digital mode. NO, gee i guess that morse code is really good!
>
> Citizens Band- Those that are on SSB are almost like amateurs, and some
wont
> get a license because they dont like the dryness of amateur radio. Regular
> AM'ers are usually a 50/50 bunch, some are the nicest and most helpfull
> people youll ever meet, and the others, well you know what im talking
> about.Amateur ops always complain about how 11m was stolen and CB'ers dont
> know anything. Well guess what, if its about amplifiers(yes ILLEGAL on
11m),
> DXing (less than 125 miles wink wink) or just general radio stuff, some
know
> more than some amateurs. If a "general" is confronted by one of these
CB'ers
> they say "well your so smart do you know Morse code" CB'er replies "NO!!".
> That amateur will either leave or will find what channel they are on and
> throw a carrier or send code with his friends. This is not the way!!!
"cant
> we all just get along"
>
> well thats it for now, if i made any spelling or puncuation errors, oh
> well!!
>
> Sincerly,
>
> Steve Bossert , KC2GOG (tech and proud of it)
>
> and i dont need to hide behind an alias like some others out there!!!
>
>

3711. Re: Looking for the ICOM R-3-27-GOVT Wideband Receiver w/TV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 00:35:14 UTC
From: R1rob
Message-ID: <3cf8242c.0@mercury.planet.net.au>
Source record: 47777
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

and Australian ones

"Devin" <a@B.C> wrote in message
news:z6OJ8.76714$Ka.5877326@news2.calgary.shaw.ca...
> cellular is boring. canadian and euro's are unblocked
>
>
> Virgilio Rodriguez Jr. <vze24jcz@verizon.net> wrote in message
> news:dPLJ8.1563$b73.956@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> > Anybody has infomation on the ICOM R-3 let me no I am looking for the
> model
> > without the cellular phone gap. Apperently these types are for
government
> > sales and export only in the US. Anybody coming across any info on
where
> I
> > can pick this baby up e-mail me at TPDB60RODR@aol.com write in subject
> line:
> > ICOM R-3-27-GOVT. Thank you.
> >
> >
>
>

3712. Re: 900mhz digital codeless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 00:43:56 UTC
From: R1rob
Message-ID: <3cf82637.0@mercury.planet.net.au>
Source record: 47779

so where abouts in 900Mhz are they, the cheapies I mean?

R1rob

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:uff45v4ut4fea6@corp.supernews.com...
> Only true if the phone is a spread spectrum type. You won't find that in
a
> cheapy 900MHz phone. Of course, you won't even find Digital in most of
> those cheapies...
>
> Chances are if you own a cheapy 900MHz phone, you can be heard...
>
> PH
>
> "Terry" <nepvetm@gt.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:_hrJ8.132114$9F5.7575259@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > ernest <ernest@hananet.net> wrote in message
> > news:LlpJ8.176$r%4.44@news.hananet.net...
> > > Is 900mhz codeless phones encrypted like cdma or pcs phones ?
Analogue
> > > scanners like an AR8000 can't hear the 900mhz codeless phone ?
> > >
> > > from Novice
> >
> > They're not encrypted, but they change frequencies so fast that even if
> you
> > scan all the freq's that the phone uses, you'll never pick it up.
> >
> >
>
>

3713. Re: ICOM R 10, SF Peninsula area, want to clone programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 00:47:26 UTC
From: mcclure_john@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <61f2a158.0205311647.2c18fe0f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47778

boeing377@aol.com (BOEING377) wrote in message
news:<20020531001247.17546.00001772@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> I just bought an ICOM R 10 for my boy. We live on San Francisco Peninsula. Is
> there anyone in this area who also has an Icom R 10, has programmed it with
> good local channels, and is willing to clone the programming to our set set? I
> don't have a clone cable, but if necessary I'd buy one. Thanks.
i have one also but how do you put in the freqs and have it scan

3714. FS: PRO-46 (cell capable) REDUCED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 01:21:32 UTC
From: Mike K <mike921@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <fkVJ8.13310$Ed1.2522576@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 47780
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Pictures: http://wind.prohosting.com/w3x/pro-46.html

I have a Radio Shack PRO-46 for sale. The date code is 8A3. I have reduced the price and the next step will be eBay if I don't sell this time.

I have tried the keypad trick and it works, allowing you to search the upper cellular band. You can save cellular frequencies to monitor memories and transfer them to channels to scan them like regular frequencies. You can't, however, directly enter cell freqs (normally you won't have to do this anyway), though this scanner can be modified internally if you want it to do that. Of course, I can't be held accountable if you try the mod unsuccessfully and ruin a perfectly good scanner. :)

I am asking $130 shipped express to the USA (there are no customs fees for you to pay). I may be able to knock off a few bucks for Canadian buyers.

Please don't hesitate to e-mail me directly if you are interested, or if you have any questions. I will be happy to respond to you promptly.

3715. FS: Regency montiradio model TME-8A 8-channel aircraft monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 01:31:40 UTC
From: doidy1@juno.com
Message-ID: <3a26ea1.0205311731.3ae2189f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47781
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

For Sale: Regency MonitoRadio model TME-8A 8-channel programmable crystal controlled aircraft monitor. In fantastic condition with original owners manual with no markings. I estimate the age of the radio to be from the mid to late 1960's. Frequency range is 118-128 MC. Has built in telescoping antenna and is in great operating condition as far as I know. Asking $20 obo. I can provide pic of radio if requested. Radio is in fantastic condition and would be a great addition to collectors of vintage scanning equipment.

thanks,

LP

3716. Re: Looking for the ICOM R-3-27-GOVT Wideband Receiver w/TV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 01:32:34 UTC
From: oldfilmbuff@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <f9c4b011.0205311732.7d35e440@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47782
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Virgilio Rodriguez Jr." <vze24jcz@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:<dPLJ8.1563$b73.956@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>...
> Anybody has infomation on the ICOM R-3 let me no I am looking for the model
> without the cellular phone gap. Apperently these types are for government
> sales and export only in the US. Anybody coming across any info on where I
> can pick this baby up e-mail me at TPDB60RODR@aol.com write in subject line:
> ICOM R-3-27-GOVT. Thank you.

Yeah, me too. If you don't have full coverage cellular, you don't know
what you're missing. There's a lot more there than just tired
conversation, believe me.

Try here: http://www.cbshop.com/

I haven't dealt with them personally, but I'm thinking about it. In
fact, I'm posting a request for endorsements from people who might
have right now to see what kind of responses I get.

3717. Re: The Common Stupidity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 01:38:55 UTC
From: JT <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <PAVJ8.78501$352.4564@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 47783
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I think someone:
a) Had their cellular capable scanner confiscated
b) Did not pass the Morse code test
c) Transmits illegally on CB with a linear
d) Forgot their Prozac today.

:)

Don't take life too seriously; you'll hurt yourself.

JT
K6JBT

"Steve Bossert" <kc2gog@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:1lNJ8.218884$ja.60919162@news02.optonline.net...
> If their were less questions and arguments about 800Mhz Analog Cellular
mods
> and recievers,Citizens Band and also morse code for amateurs, , most
> newsgroups would have no posts.
>
> instead of whining about these issues, why dont you find something else
> constructive to do with your time. bulid an antenna, make a reciever or
some
> other gadget. Then you let others know what you are doing and someone
> improves on your idea.
>
> I know i will see alot of problems regarding my message but its true. here
> are my thoughts for the record.
>
>
> Cellular Scanners-- Why do people want a 800Mhz full coverage reciever
> today. Most areas are using some form of digital service, and not even in
> the 800 band anymore. What is so interesting about listening to
cellphones?
> Ive had radios that are capable of it, and never even listened to that
> portion. I think you just want something that has no gaps, and are willing
> to pay more for something that most wont listen to. If its so good how
come
> i never see monitoring posts about how Mary got her nails done?
> Unless you are in the FBI and are trying to foil the next terrorist
action,
> dont be bothered with cellular capable scanners
>
> Morse Code-- have you looked at new licenses lately, looks like our ranks

> are dropping. Ive been a Tech(No-Code) for three years and would like to
use
> HF but could care less about morse code. Its an antiquated mode, which had
> its time, although still usefull sometimes, if we keep saying we need
Morse
> code, us amateurs will be way behind times(which we still are). Lots of
> people say, when a repeater ID's or i am tuning around the morse sub
bands,
> "Is that Morse Code, someone still uses that?" I say yes, its part of
> amateur radio. The person whom im talking to usually says "ill just go to
> Walmart and get those radios for $50 and dont worry about the code"
Amateurs
> now adays need to concentrate about leading edge technology, like we once
> did, and stop hanging on to the past. PSk31, APRS, IRLP, and satellite is
> the future, so we should stop saying amateur radio is only HF and Morse.
> The military does not use MOrse anymore, they use ALE type signals, which
is
> faster, and almost error free .Those of you that have been around for a
> while should get off your "Extra or Advanced" horses and get some new
blood
> into amateur radio. Also a Tech (no code) IS still an amateur, so we
should
> be treated like one. I hear it all the time, Im speaking to someone about
> some technical topic, and they happen to be at QRZ.com and look up my
call.
> Then they say how come your only a tech? didnt you pass the code test? i
say
> no and dont want to be bothered. "well its been good talking to you, 73"
is
> the common response. And also those that upgraded, change their whole
> demeanor towards you like they dont remember you. WOW!! your a general,
> advanced, or extra! can you set up a packet node, or work a satellite via
a
> digital mode. NO, gee i guess that morse code is really good!
>
> Citizens Band- Those that are on SSB are almost like amateurs, and some
wont
> get a license because they dont like the dryness of amateur radio. Regular
> AM'ers are usually a 50/50 bunch, some are the nicest and most helpfull
> people youll ever meet, and the others, well you know what im talking
> about.Amateur ops always complain about how 11m was stolen and CB'ers dont
> know anything. Well guess what, if its about amplifiers(yes ILLEGAL on
11m),
> DXing (less than 125 miles wink wink) or just general radio stuff, some
know
> more than some amateurs. If a "general" is confronted by one of these
CB'ers
> they say "well your so smart do you know Morse code" CB'er replies "NO!!".
> That amateur will either leave or will find what channel they are on and
> throw a carrier or send code with his friends. This is not the way!!!

"cant
> we all just get along"
>
> well thats it for now, if i made any spelling or puncuation errors, oh
> well!!
>
> Sincerly,
>
> Steve Bossert , KC2GOG (tech and proud of it)
>
> and i dont need to hide behind an alias like some others out there!!!
>
>

3718. My new scanner is driving me crazy...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 01:38:55 UTC
From: The Other Harry <hc.me@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <en8gfu0i1r2os784prjg72bad5e7efkalc@4ax.com>
Source record: 47785

I recently bought a Radio Shack Pro-2053 scanner. I don't think the brand or model # matters, but that's what it is.

I have most of the active local frequencies set in memory. There aren't a lot of those. Maybe 20.

Invariably, whenever I hear something that might be interesting going down outside the window -- sirens -- the scanner locks up on bullshit talk on some other channel.

It makes me want to smash it on the floor.

Any advice on how to deal with this?

Harry

3719. Re: The Common Stupidity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 01:58:45 UTC
From: Jed <nospam@attglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3CF82A54.BA2D1E74@attglobal.net>
Source record: 47784
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Well maybe I'm missing something, but if you never listened in the 869-900 mhz regions - how do you know if its worthwhile or not ? Personally I've heard drunk drivers get in wrecks (twice) whilst talking on cell phones (probably a bit more entertaining than monitoring taco bells or trains),have had quite a few hearty laughs listening to people engaged in cell phone sex,and have got some useful numeric info which I shall not go into here.
It boils down to the fact that people are inherently nosey - and if your not well that makes you a pretty unique individual.
Your right though as the point is pretty much mute now with most areas going digital.
I think you hit the head on the nail when you said people just want something with no gaps,and will pay more for it. I certainly fall into that category and don't see anything wrong with it at all. As analog cellular dies in that band it is likely be replaced by something else - and if that something else is of interest to you you might just be wishing you had a no gap scanner.

Steve Bossert wrote:

> If their were less questions and arguments about 800Mhz Analog Cellular mods
> and recievers,Citizens Band and also morse code for amateurs, , most
> newsgroups would have no posts.
>
> instead of whining about these issues, why dont you find something else
> constructive to do with your time. bulid an antenna, make a reciever or some
> other gadget. Then you let others know what you are doing and someone
> improves on your idea.
>
> I know i will see alot of problems regarding my message but its true. here
> are my thoughts for the record.
>
> Cellular Scanners-- Why do people want a 800Mhz full coverage reciever
> today. Most areas are using some form of digital service, and not even in
> the 800 band anymore. What is so interesting about listening to cellphones?
> Ive had radios that are capable of it, and never even listened to that
> portion. I think you just want something that has no gaps, and are willing
> to pay more for something that most wont listen to. If its so good how come
> i never see monitoring posts about how Mary got her nails done?
> Unless you are in the FBI and are trying to foil the next terrorist action,
> dont be bothered with cellular capable scanners
>
> Morse Code-- have you looked at new licenses lately, looks like our ranks
> are dropping. Ive been a Tech(No-Code) for three years and would like to use
> HF but could care less about morse code. Its an antiquated mode, which had
> its time, although still usefull sometimes, if we keep saying we need Morse

> code, us amateurs will be way behind times(which we still are). Lots of
> people say, when a repeater ID's or i am tuning around the morse sub bands,
> "Is that Morse Code, someone still uses that?" I say yes, its part of
> amateur radio. The person whom im talking to usually says "ill just go to
> Walmart and get those radios for $50 and dont worry about the code" Amateurs
> now adays need to concentrate about leading edge technology, like we once
> did, and stop hanging on to the past. PSk31, APRS, IRLP, and satellite is
> the future, so we should stop saying amateur radio is only HF and Morse.
> The military does not use MOrse anymore, they use ALE type signals, which is
> faster, and almost error free .Those of you that have been around for a
> while should get off your "Extra or Advanced" horses and get some new blood
> into amateur radio. Also a Tech (no code) IS still an amateur, so we should
> be treated like one. I hear it all the time, Im speaking to someone about
> some technical topic, and they happen to be at QRZ.com and look up my call.
> Then they say how come your only a tech? didnt you pass the code test? i say
> no and dont want to be bothered. "well its been good talking to you, 73" is
> the common response. And also those that upgraded, change their whole
> demeanor towards you like they dont remember you. WOW!! your a general,
> advanced, or extra! can you set up a packet node, or work a satellite via a
> digital mode. NO, gee i guess that morse code is really good!
>
> Citizens Band- Those that are on SSB are almost like amateurs, and some wont
> get a license because they dont like the dryness of amateur radio. Regular
> AM'ers are usually a 50/50 bunch, some are the nicest and most helpfull
> people youll ever meet, and the others, well you know what im talking
> about.Amateur ops always complain about how 11m was stolen and CB'ers dont
> know anything. Well guess what, if its about amplifiers(yes ILLEGAL on 11m),
> DXing (less than 125 miles wink wink) or just general radio stuff, some know
> more than some amateurs. If a "general" is confronted by one of these CB'ers
> they say "well your so smart do you know Morse code" CB'er replies "NO!!".
> That amateur will either leave or will find what channel they are on and
> throw a carrier or send code with his friends. This is not the way!!! "cant
> we all just get along"
>
> well thats it for now, if i made any spelling or puncuation errors, oh
> well!!
>
> Sincerly,
>
> Steve Bossert , KC2GOG (tech and proud of it)
>
> and i dont need to hide behind an alias like some others out there!!!

3720. Re: 900mhz digital codeless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 02:01:53 UTC
From: Jed <nospam@attglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3CF82B11.4EEEEFD3@attglobal.net>
Source record: 47786

Scan between 902-930 mhz

R1rob wrote:

> so where abouts in 900Mhz are they, the cheapies I mean?
>
> R1rob
>
> "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> news:uff45v4ut4fea6@corp.supernews.com...
> > Only true if the phone is a spread spectrum type. You won't find that in
> a
> > cheapy 900MHz phone. Of course, you won't even find Digital in most of
> > those cheapies...
> >
> > Chances are if you own a cheapy 900MHz phone, you can be heard...
> >
> > PH
> >
> > "Terry" <nepvetm@gt.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:_hrJ8.132114$9F5.7575259@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
> > >
> > > ernest <ernest@hananet.net> wrote in message
> > > news:LlpJ8.176$r%4.44@news.hananet.net...
> > > > Is 900mhz codeless phones encrypted like cdma or pcs phones ?
> Analogue
> > > > scanners like an AR8000 can't hear the 900mhz codeless phone ?
> > > >
> > > > from Novice
> > >
> > > They're not encrypted, but they change frequencies so fast that even if
> > you
> > > scan all the freq's that the phone uses, you'll never pick it up.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >

3721. Re: Traveling to VA and looking for Freq's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 02:17:58 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020531221758.26667.00002174@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 47787

>> I will be traveling from NJ to VA

From where in NJ to where in Va? By way of I-95, or Hwy 13 down the Eastern Shore?

It will make a difference!!!

3722. Re: Traveling to VA and looking for Freq's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 03:10:52 UTC
From: The Other Harry <hc.me@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <njegfu4min953dgkm82j4homfo91c1hv8e@4ax.com>
Source record: 47789

[On Thu, 30 May 2002 11:34:39 GMT, "Jeff Kelly"
<jkelly@bellatlantic.net> wrote:]

> I will be traveling from NJ to VA and looking for
> to load police freqs for my scanner. Is there a site
> to help me find all of them?

At the risk of stating the obvious, most of the Virginia freaks are
located just inside the beltway. Close to there, anyhow.
Annandale, Fairfax, etc. They're easy enough to find.

3723. Key West FL PD,FD PL's ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 03:19:15 UTC
From: saltboat@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020531231915.03263.00002336@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 47788

Anyone have the PL freq's. for Key West PD and FD ?
Thanks !

3724. Re: The Common Stupidity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 03:53:03 UTC
From: Steve Bossert <kc2gog@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <zyXJ8.222772$ja.62538042@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 47790
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Sometimes when you stir the soup, you often find what comes up to the top"

just trying to see what others think out their. thanx

"Jed" <nospam@attglobal.net> wrote in message
news:3CF82A54.BA2D1E74@attglobal.net...
> Well maybe I'm missing something, but if you never listened in the 869-900
mhz
> regions - how do you know if its worthwhile or not ? Personally I've heard
drunk
> drivers get in wrecks (twice) whilst talking on cell phones (probably a
bit more
> entertaining than monitoring taco bells or trains),have had quite a few
hearty
> laughs listening to people engaged in cell phone sex,and have got some
useful
> numeric info which I shall not go into here.
> It boils down to the fact that people are inherently nosey - and if your
not
> well that makes you a pretty unique individual.
> Your right though as the point is pretty much mute now with most areas
going
> digital.
> I think you hit the head on the nail when you said people just want
something
> with no gaps,and will pay more for it. I certainly fall into that category
and
> don't see anything wrong with it at all. As analog cellular dies in that
band it
> is likely be replaced by something else - and if that something else is of
> interest to you you might just be wishing you had a no gap scanner.
>
> Steve Bossert wrote:
>
> > If their were less questions and arguments about 800Mhz Analog Cellular
mods
> > and recievers,Citizens Band and also morse code for amateurs, , most
> > newsgroups would have no posts.
> >
> > instead of whining about these issues, why dont you find something else
> > constructive to do with your time. bulid an antenna, make a reciever or
some
> > other gadget. Then you let others know what you are doing and someone
> > improves on your idea.

> >
> > I know i will see alot of problems regarding my message but its true.
here
> > are my thoughts for the record.
> >
> > Cellular Scanners-- Why do people want a 800Mhz full coverage reciever
> > today. Most areas are using some form of digital service, and not even
in
> > the 800 band anymore. What is so interesting about listening to
cellphones?
> > Ive had radios that are capable of it, and never even listened to that
> > portion. I think you just want something that has no gaps, and are
willing
> > to pay more for something that most wont listen to. If its so good how
come
> > i never see monitoring posts about how Mary got her nails done?
> > Unless you are in the FBI and are trying to foil the next terrorist
action,
> > dont be bothered with cellular capable scanners
> >
> > Morse Code-- have you looked at new licenses lately, looks like our
ranks
> > are dropping. Ive been a Tech(No-Code) for three years and would like to
use
> > HF but could care less about morse code. Its an antiquated mode, which
had
> > its time, although still usefull sometimes, if we keep saying we need
Morse
> > code, us amateurs will be way behind times(which we still are). Lots of
> > people say, when a repeater ID's or i am tuning around the morse sub
bands,
> > "Is that Morse Code, someone still uses that?" I say yes, its part of
> > amateur radio. The person whom im talking to usually says "ill just go
to
> > Walmart and get those radios for $50 and dont worry about the code"
Amateurs
> > now adays need to concentrate about leading edge technology, like we
once
> > did, and stop hanging on to the past. PSk31, APRS, IRLP, and satellite
is
> > the future, so we should stop saying amateur radio is only HF and Morse.
> > The military does not use MOrse anymore, they use ALE type signals,
which is
> > faster, and almost error free .Those of you that have been around for a
> > while should get off your "Extra or Advanced" horses and get some new
blood
> > into amateur radio. Also a Tech (no code) IS still an amateur, so we
should
> > be treated like one. I hear it all the time, Im speaking to someone
about

> > some technical topic, and they happen to be at QRZ.com and look up my
call.
> > Then they say how come your only a tech? didnt you pass the code test? i
say
> > no and dont want to be bothered. "well its been good talking to you, 73"
is
> > the common response. And also those that upgraded, change their whole
> > demeanor towards you like they dont remember you. WOW!! your a general,
> > advanced, or extra! can you set up a packet node, or work a satellite
via a
> > digital mode. NO, gee i guess that morse code is really good!
> >
> > Citizens Band- Those that are on SSB are almost like amateurs, and some
wont
> > get a license because they dont like the dryness of amateur radio.
Regular
> > AM'ers are usually a 50/50 bunch, some are the nicest and most helpfull
> > people youll ever meet, and the others, well you know what im talking
> > about.Amateur ops always complain about how 11m was stolen and CB'ers
dont
> > know anything. Well guess what, if its about amplifiers(yes ILLEGAL on
11m),
> > DXing (less than 125 miles wink wink) or just general radio stuff, some
know
> > more than some amateurs. If a "general" is confronted by one of these
CB'ers
> > they say "well your so smart do you know Morse code" CB'er replies
"NO!!".
> > That amateur will either leave or will find what channel they are on and
> > throw a carrier or send code with his friends. This is not the way!!!
"cant
> > we all just get along"
> >
> > well thats it for now, if i made any spelling or puncuation errors, oh
> > well!!
> >
> > Sincerly,
> >
> > Steve Bossert , KC2GOG (tech and proud of it)
> >
> > and i dont need to hide behind an alias like some others out there!!!
>

3725. Re: My new scanner is driving me crazy...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 05:46:25 UTC
From: kh6zv9@pe.net
Message-ID: <RcZJ8.133$PiZe.21102721@news2.randori.com>
Source record: 47791

The Other Harry <hc.me@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

: I recently bought a Radio Shack Pro-2053 scanner. I don't think the
: brand or model # matters, but that's what it is.

: I have most of the active local frequencies set in memory. There
: aren't a lot of those. Maybe 20.

: Invariably, whenever I hear something that might be interesting
: going down outside the window -- sirens -- the scanner locks up on
: bullshit talk on some other channel.

: It makes me want to smash it on the floor.

: Any advice on how to deal with this?

: Harry

Press the scan button.

Bob Masse' kh6zv9@PE.NET

3726. Re: The Common Stupidity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 05:54:11 UTC
From: nm5k@wt.net
Message-ID: <25eb70d7.0205312154.4d0eb54f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47792
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Steve Bossert" <kc2gog@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:<1lNJ8.218884$ja.60919162@news02.optonline.net>...
> If their were less questions and arguments about 800Mhz Analog Cellular mods
> and recievers,Citizens Band and also morse code for amateurs, , most
> newsgroups would have no posts.

I see very few of those type posts where I lurk...
>
> instead of whining about these issues, why dont you find something else
> constructive to do with your time. bulid an antenna, make a reciever or some
> other gadget. Then you let others know what you are doing and someone
> improves on your idea.

I'm not concerned about those issues. As far as gadgets, I already
have too many as it is. I can barely walk around in this room and have
two walk in closets full to the ceilings with more even more gadgets.
I have so many gadgets, I have to do an inventory every few years to
refresh my memory on what I have. I have gadgets that I've long since
forgotten about. I've got other gadgets that I've never even tried or
plugged in yet, but I feel should be kept anyway just to further
clutter up this room. You can never have too many gadgets. Trust me on
this. But it best to keep them all a secret or else all your radio
buddys and buddettes will be over trying to scuzz all your gadgets out
from under you.

>
> I know i will see alot of problems regarding my message but its true. here
> are my thoughts for the record.

Whats true?
>
>
> Cellular Scanners-- Why do people want a 800Mhz full coverage reciever
> today.

Dunno... Did you try asking them?

Most areas are using some form of digital service, and not even in
> the 800 band anymore. What is so interesting about listening to cellphones?

Dunno..
> Ive had radios that are capable of it, and never even listened to that
> portion.

Thats mighty "tech" of you.

I think you just want something that has no gaps, and are willing
> to pay more for something that most wont listen to.

Right on. Capitalism at it's greatest.

If its so good how come
> i never see monitoring posts about how Mary got her nails done?

She keeps it a secret.

> Unless you are in the FBI and are trying to foil the next terrorist action,
> dont be bothered with cellular capable scanners

I never let scanners bother me. They know I can smash them in a single
throw.

>
> Morse Code-- have you looked at new licenses lately, looks like our ranks
> are dropping.

I don't think the ranks are dropping. The stream of newcomers may be
slowing down though. I would think that is fairly normal considering
the "rush" of new no-code techs, etc that jumped on the bandwagon in
the past few years is slowing. The "boom" of entry level tickets
issued in the past few years was "no-code" test induced for the most
part. Most in the past that wanted tickets, but didn't want code, have
already grabbed them up.

Ive been a Tech(No-Code) for three years and would like to use
> HF but could care less about morse code. Its an antiquated mode, which had
> its time, although still usefull sometimes,

Most of the present modes are old hat. This includes CW, AM, FM, SSB.
They were all old hat modes when I got a ticket 25 years ago. So
what?
They are still fairly useful aren't they? Or do you propose we dump
all old modes? I think we should be fair about this. If you are going
to dump one old mode, might as well dump em all.

if we keep saying we need Morse
> code, us amateurs will be way behind times(which we still are).

Who is saying this? The only reason we have code tests today is
because international treaty requires it.

Lots of
> people say, when a repeater ID's or i am tuning around the morse sub bands,
> "Is that Morse Code, someone still uses that?" I say yes, its part of
> amateur radio. The person whom im talking to usually says "ill just go to
> Walmart and get those radios for $50 and dont worry about the code"

Well, maybe that would be for the best.

Amateurs
> now adays need to concentrate about leading edge technology, like we once
> did, and stop hanging on to the past. PSk31, APRS, IRLP, and satellite is

> the future, so we should stop saying amateur radio is only HF and Morse.

No that is incorrect. PSK31, APRS, IRLP, and satellites are the
present. In fact, satellites are fairly old hat. Been in orbit since
the 50's. I guess we better dump em cuz they are old hat , huh? Being
so old, it couldn't be possible for them to be very useful anymore.
Right?

> The military does not use MOrse anymore, they use ALE type signals, which is
> faster, and almost error free .

So? I'll alert the media...

Those of you that have been around for a
> while should get off your "Extra or Advanced" horses and get some new blood
> into amateur radio.

New blood? What are you talking about? None of my rf devices use
blood. Is this a new amplifier cooling technique?

Also a Tech (no code) IS still an amateur, so we should
> be treated like one.

Well, I've never kicked or slapped a no code tech yet. Where's the
beef?

I hear it all the time, Im speaking to someone about
> some technical topic, and they happen to be at QRZ.com and look up my call.
> Then they say how come your only a tech? didnt you pass the code test? i say
> no and dont want to be bothered. "well its been good talking to you, 73" is
> the common response. And also those that upgraded, change their whole
> demeanor towards you like they dont remember you. WOW!! your a general,
> advanced, or extra! can you set up a packet node, or work a satellite via a
> digital mode. NO, gee i guess that morse code is really good!

What? If the no code tech works new fangled modes, will he forget all
this if he upgrades? What will happen to you if you upgrade some day?
Will you turn into a veggie overnight, or will this be a gradual
transition to a state of mental blankness? I work all these new
fangled modes, but still prefer cw if I'm just getting on the radio to
have a few relaxing qso's. Its more fun. Most new fangled modes are
actually pretty boring once the "new" wears off. Myself, I think you
are worrying too much about what others think. Who cares what they
think?

>
> Citizens Band- Those that are on SSB are almost like amateurs, and some wont
> get a license because they dont like the dryness of amateur radio. Regular
> AM'ers are usually a 50/50 bunch, some are the nicest and most helpfull
> people youll ever meet, and the others, well you know what im talking
> about.Amateur ops always complain about how 11m was stolen and CB'ers dont
> know anything. Well guess what, if its about amplifiers(yes ILLEGAL on 11m),
> DXing (less than 125 miles wink wink) or just general radio stuff, some know

> more than some amateurs.

And many don't. So?

If a "general" is confronted by one of these CB'ers
> they say "well your so smart do you know Morse code" CB'er replies "NO!!".

Why is the cb'er confronting the ham? Dunno, he sounds kind of
hyperactive to me...

> That amateur will either leave or will find what channel they are on and
> throw a carrier or send code with his friends. This is not the way!!! "cant
> we all just get along"

Well, which is it? If the ham leaves, wouldn't he then be a non issue?
If he gets on the cb band and causes interference, he's a bad boy.
Riley will deal with him someday. If he sends code to his friends, he
would then be a non issue again. Crap....You've got me confused....

>
> well thats it for now, if i made any spelling or puncuation errors, oh
> well!!

oh well...
>
> Sincerly,
>
> Steve Bossert , KC2GOG (tech and proud of it)

Mark Keith , NM5K (amateur extra and could care less)
>
> and i dont need to hide behind an alias like some others out there!!!

Just call me "Gadget man".

:/

3727. Re: My new scanner is driving me crazy...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 07:03:52 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <sl_J8.5$NG1.2@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 47793

Yep. That's what I do. Keep pushing it till you find what you want, then hit
manual.

--
Remove "beforeflight" to reply
<kh6zv9@pe.net> wrote in message
news:RcZJ8.133$PiZe.21102721@news2.randori.com...
> The Other Harry <hc.me@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>
> : I recently bought a Radio Shack Pro-2053 scanner. I don't think the
> : brand or model # matters, but that's what it is.
>
> : I have most of the active local frequencies set in memory. There
> : aren't a lot of those. Maybe 20.
>
> : Invariably, whenever I hear something that might be interesting
> : going down outside the window -- sirens -- the scanner locks up on
> : bullshit talk on some other channel.
>
> : It makes me want to smash it on the floor.
>
> : Any advice on how to deal with this?
>
> : Harry
>
>
> Press the scan button.
>
>
> --------------------------------
> Bob Masse' kh6zv9@PE.NET
> --------------------------------
>
>
>
>
>
>

3728. Re: The Common Stupidity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 08:01:40 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <Eb%J8.12404$qA.262237@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 47794
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I guess that I have to chuck in my 2 cents for what it is worth.
1) I do enjoy listing to phones. It use to be fun when Dial a Drug was in full swing and you could listen to your neighbors phoning for a 8 ball of coke or a few points of heroin. Now they have all gone digital and it is just a bunch of old ladies talking about what they are going to cook for supper. The police and fire here are also in the 800 MHz band crypted digital.
2) I was on CB back in the 70s and 80s and also ran out-law with a self converted Kenwood TS-520. I do remember the fights and vandalism, (cutting coax and pulling antennas down) that was going on back then by the CBers. I liked the camaraderie of the local hams so I got my ticket to get away from the bs on 11 meters. Now I noticed that some bands like 2 meters are starting to go like CB went in the late 70s dead keys and echo machines on the repeaters and I wonder if this is because we have removed the CW filter allowing the problem children from CB into the ham ranks.
3) From the latest statistics I have heard is that Ham is having a 1 % per year growth and I think that is good but most of the new hams that I have run into give it up in the first year or two because of the interference on 2 meters and the, "this is my repeter so get off" come backs. This is what will kill us for sure.
4) CB was put in the wrong place on 11 meters and the governments are now finely rectifying that with FRS and the like on UHF. I would like to see FRS get more power, 5 watts or so and the use of repeaters but it is questionable if that will happen.
I guess that I have said my piece and now to watch the TROLLS and FLAMES come out.

"Steve Bossert" <kc2gog@amsat.org> wrote in message news:CEQJ8.220472$ja.61382271@news02.optonline.net...
> i did not want my post to come out in a negative way, and i should not of
> even of bothered to write, because i ended up addng fuel to the fire.
>
> i really should of made the point that when radio communication first began,
> it was all about improving technology. i really dont see that anymore, and i
> am NOT talking about buying a station. thats just like some rich guy buying
> a brand new Harley Davidson and custom leathers, trying to pass himself as a
> hardcore biker.
>
> and by the way i am not a LID, and its what ever floats your boat (as far

as
> what mode you operate)
>
> all im saying if you hold on to the past you cant go foward (i.e Morse
Code)
>
> if certain people enjoy Morse dont force it upon others, just like i am
NOT
> making you agrre with any of my statements, they are open forum, and oh by
> the way
>
> .-- ....- -. - .. / .-- ....- -. - .. / -.. . / -.- -.-.
> ..--- --. --- --. / -.. .- -. / -.. --- / -. --- - / --. . - / .-
.-..
> .-.. / -- .- -.. --.-- / .. / .- -- / -. --- - / - .-. -.--
.. -. --.
> / - --- / .--. .. .. / .--. . --- .--. .-.. . / --- ..-. ..-.
> .-.-.- / --... ...-- -.-
> 73,
> "Steve Bossert" <kc2gog@amsat.org> wrote in message
> news:1lNJ8.218884$ja.60919162@news02.optonline.net...
> > If their were less questions and arguments about 800Mhz Analog Cellular
> mods
> > and recievers,Citizens Band and also morse code for amateurs, , most
> > newsgroups would have no posts.
> >
> > instead of whining about these issues, why dont you find something else
> > constructive to do with your time. bulid an antenna, make a reciever or
> some
> > other gadget. Then you let others know what you are doing and someone
> > improves on your idea.
> >
> > I know i will see alot of problems regarding my message but its true.
here
> > are my thoughts for the record.
> >
> >
> > Cellular Scanners-- Why do people want a 800Mhz full coverage reciever
> > today. Most areas are using some form of digital service, and not even
in
> > the 800 band anymore. What is so interesting about listening to
> cellphones?
> > Ive had radios that are capable of it, and never even listened to that
> > portion. I think you just want something that has no gaps, and are
willing
> > to pay more for something that most wont listen to. If its so good how
> come
> > i never see monitoring posts about how Mary got her nails done?
> > Unless you are in the FBI and are trying to foil the next terrorist
> action,

> > dont be bothered with cellular capable scanners
> >
> > Morse Code-- have you looked at new licenses lately, looks like our
ranks
> > are dropping. Ive been a Tech(No-Code) for three years and would like to
> use
> > HF but could care less about morse code. Its an antiquated mode, which
had
> > its time, although still usefull sometimes, if we keep saying we need
> Morse
> > code, us amateurs will be way behind times(which we still are). Lots of
> > people say, when a repeater ID's or i am tuning around the morse sub
> bands,
> > "Is that Morse Code, someone still uses that?" I say yes, its part of
> > amateur radio. The person whom im talking to usually says "ill just go
to
> > Walmart and get those radios for $50 and dont worry about the code"
> Amateurs
> > now adays need to concentrate about leading edge technology, like we
once
> > did, and stop hanging on to the past. PSk31, APRS, IRLP, and satellite
is
> > the future, so we should stop saying amateur radio is only HF and Morse.
> > The military does not use MOrse anymore, they use ALE type signals,
which
> is
> > faster, and almost error free .Those of you that have been around for a
> > while should get off your "Extra or Advanced" horses and get some new
> blood
> > into amateur radio. Also a Tech (no code) IS still an amateur, so we
> should
> > be treated like one. I hear it all the time, Im speaking to someone
about
> > some technical topic, and they happen to be at QRZ.com and look up my
> call.
> > Then they say how come your only a tech? didnt you pass the code test? i
> say
> > no and dont want to be bothered. "well its been good talking to you, 73"
> is
> > the common response. And also those that upgraded, change their whole
> > demeanor towards you like they dont remember you. WOW!! your a general,
> > advanced, or extra! can you set up a packet node, or work a satellite
via
> a
> > digital mode. NO, gee i guess that morse code is really good!
> >
> > Citizens Band- Those that are on SSB are almost like amateurs, and some
> wont
> > get a license because they dont like the dryness of amateur radio.
Regular

> > AM'ers are usually a 50/50 bunch, some are the nicest and most helpfull
> > people youll ever meet, and the others, well you know what im talking
> > about.Amateur ops always complain about how 11m was stolen and CB'ers
dont
> > know anything. Well guess what, if its about amplifiers(yes ILLEGAL on
> 11m),
> > DXing (less than 125 miles wink wink) or just general radio stuff, some
> know
> > more than some amateurs. If a "general" is confronted by one of these
> CB'ers
> > they say "well your so smart do you know Morse code" CB'er replies
"NO!!".
> > That amateur will either leave or will find what channel they are on and
> > throw a carrier or send code with his friends. This is not the way!!!
> "cant
> > we all just get along"
> >
> > well thats it for now, if i made any spelling or puncuation errors, oh
> > well!!
> >
> > Sincerly,
> >
> > Steve Bossert , KC2GOG (tech and proud of it)
> >
> > and i dont need to hide behind an alias like some others out there!!!
> >
> >
>
>

3729. Re: The Common Stupidity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 08:04:49 UTC
From: Big Bubba <Prisoner@CellblockThree.com>
Message-ID: <ad9v5ascq0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 47795
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Steve...you are the best!

"Steve Bossert" <kc2gog@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:zyXJ8.222772$ja.62538042@news02.optonline.net...
> "Sometimes when you stir the soup, you often find what comes up to the
top"
>
> just trying to see what others think out their. thanx
>
> "Jed" <nospam@attglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:3CF82A54.BA2D1E74@attglobal.net...
> > Well maybe I'm missing something, but if you never listened in the
869-900
> mhz
> > regions - how do you know if its worthwhile or not ? Personally I've
heard
> drunk
> > drivers get in wrecks (twice) whilst talking on cell phones (probably a
> bit more
> > entertaining than monitoring taco bells or trains),have had quite a few
> hearty
> > laughs listening to people engaged in cell phone sex,and have got some
> useful
> > numeric info which I shall not go into here.
> > It boils down to the fact that people are inherently nosey - and if your
> not
> > well that makes you a pretty unique individual.
> > Your right though as the point is pretty much mute now with most areas
> going
> > digital.
> > I think you hit the head on the nail when you said people just want
> something
> > with no gaps,and will pay more for it. I certainly fall into that
category
> and
> > don't see anything wrong with it at all. As analog cellular dies in that
> band it
> > is likely be replaced by something else - and if that something else is
of
> > interest to you you might just be wishing you had a no gap scanner.
> >
> > Steve Bossert wrote:
> >

> > > If their were less questions and arguments about 800Mhz Analog
Cellular
> mods
> > > and recievers,Citizens Band and also morse code for amateurs, , most
> > > newsgroups would have no posts.
> > >
> > > instead of whining about these issues, why dont you find something
else
> > > constructive to do with your time. bulid an antenna, make a reciever
or
> some
> > > other gadget. Then you let others know what you are doing and someone
> > > improves on your idea.
> > >
> > > I know i will see alot of problems regarding my message but its true.
> here
> > > are my thoughts for the record.
> > >
> > > Cellular Scanners-- Why do people want a 800Mhz full coverage reciever
> > > today. Most areas are using some form of digital service, and not even
> in
> > > the 800 band anymore. What is so interesting about listening to
> cellphones?
> > > Ive had radios that are capable of it, and never even listened to that
> > > portion. I think you just want something that has no gaps, and are
> willing
> > > to pay more for something that most wont listen to. If its so good how
> come
> > > i never see monitoring posts about how Mary got her nails done?
> > > Unless you are in the FBI and are trying to foil the next terrorist
> action,
> > > dont be bothered with cellular capable scanners
> > >
> > > Morse Code-- have you looked at new licenses lately, looks like our
> ranks
> > > are dropping. Ive been a Tech(No-Code) for three years and would like
to
> use
> > > HF but could care less about morse code. Its an antiquated mode, which
> had
> > > its time, although still usefull sometimes, if we keep saying we need
> Morse
> > > code, us amateurs will be way behind times(which we still are). Lots
of
> > > people say, when a repeater ID's or i am tuning around the morse sub
> bands,
> > > "Is that Morse Code, someone still uses that?" I say yes, its part of
> > > amateur radio. The person whom im talking to usually says "ill just go
> to
> > > Walmart and get those radios for $50 and dont worry about the code"

> Amateurs
> > > now adays need to concentrate about leading edge technology, like we
> once
> > > did, and stop hanging on to the past. PSk31, APRS, IRLP, and satellite
> is
> > > the future, so we should stop saying amateur radio is only HF and
Morse.
> > > The military does not use MOrse anymore, they use ALE type signals,
> which is
> > > faster, and almost error free .Those of you that have been around for
a
> > > while should get off your "Extra or Advanced" horses and get some new
> blood
> > > into amateur radio. Also a Tech (no code) IS still an amateur, so we
> should
> > > be treated like one. I hear it all the time, Im speaking to someone
> about
> > > some technical topic, and they happen to be at QRZ.com and look up my
> call.
> > > Then they say how come your only a tech? didnt you pass the code test?
i
> say
> > > no and dont want to be bothered. "well its been good talking to you,
73"
> is
> > > the common response. And also those that upgraded, change their whole
> > > demeanor towards you like they dont remember you. WOW!! your a
general,
> > > advanced, or extra! can you set up a packet node, or work a satellite
> via a
> > > digital mode. NO, gee i guess that morse code is really good!
> > >
> > > Citizens Band- Those that are on SSB are almost like amateurs, and
some
> wont
> > > get a license because they dont like the dryness of amateur radio.
> Regular
> > > AM'ers are usually a 50/50 bunch, some are the nicest and most
helpfull
> > > people youll ever meet, and the others, well you know what im talking
> > > about.Amateur ops always complain about how 11m was stolen and CB'ers
> dont
> > > know anything. Well guess what, if its about amplifiers(yes ILLEGAL on
> 11m),
> > > DXing (less than 125 miles wink wink) or just general radio stuff,
some
> know
> > > more than some amateurs. If a "general" is confronted by one of these
> CB'ers
> > > they say "well your so smart do you know Morse code" CB'er replies

> "NO!!".
> > > That amateur will either leave or will find what channel they are on
and
> > > throw a carrier or send code with his friends. This is not the way!!!
> "cant
> > > we all just get along"
> > >
> > > well thats it for now, if i made any spelling or puncuation errors, oh
> > > well!!
> > >
> > > Sincerly,
> > >
> > > Steve Bossert , KC2GOG (tech and proud of it)
> > >
> > > and i dont need to hide behind an alias like some others out there!!!
> >
>
>

3730. Re: The Common Stupidity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 10:01:56 UTC
From: Mille <nomail@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <oY0K8.6766$fT5.1742820@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 47796
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Heck,

Build an antenna? Naw! I'd rather tell pompous, know-it-all jerks like you
who want to tell the world how to behave to go to hell.

"Steve Bossert" <kc2gog@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:1lNJ8.218884$ja.60919162@news02.optonline.net...
> If their were less questions and arguments about 800Mhz Analog Cellular
mods
> and recievers,Citizens Band and also morse code for amateurs, , most
> newsgroups would have no posts.
>
> instead of whining about these issues, why dont you find something else
> constructive to do with your time. bulid an antenna, make a reciever or
some
> other gadget. Then you let others know what you are doing and someone
> improves on your idea.
>
> I know i will see alot of problems regarding my message but its true. here
> are my thoughts for the record.
>
>
> Cellular Scanners-- Why do people want a 800Mhz full coverage reciever
> today. Most areas are using some form of digital service, and not even in
> the 800 band anymore. What is so interesting about listening to
cellphones?
> Ive had radios that are capable of it, and never even listened to that
> portion. I think you just want something that has no gaps, and are willing
> to pay more for something that most wont listen to. If its so good how
come
> i never see monitoring posts about how Mary got her nails done?
> Unless you are in the FBI and are trying to foil the next terrorist
action,
> dont be bothered with cellular capable scanners
>
> Morse Code-- have you looked at new licenses lately, looks like our ranks
> are dropping. Ive been a Tech(No-Code) for three years and would like to
use
> HF but could care less about morse code. Its an antiquated mode, which had
> its time, although still usefull sometimes, if we keep saying we need
Morse
> code, us amateurs will be way behind times(which we still are). Lots of
> people say, when a repeater ID's or i am tuning around the morse sub
bands,

> "Is that Morse Code, someone still uses that?" I say yes, its part of
> amateur radio. The person whom im talking to usually says "ill just go to
> Walmart and get those radios for $50 and dont worry about the code"
Amateurs
> now adays need to concentrate about leading edge technology, like we once
> did, and stop hanging on to the past. PSk31, APRS, IRLP, and satellite is
> the future, so we should stop saying amateur radio is only HF and Morse.
> The military does not use MOrse anymore, they use ALE type signals, which
is
> faster, and almost error free .Those of you that have been around for a
> while should get off your "Extra or Advanced" horses and get some new
blood
> into amateur radio. Also a Tech (no code) IS still an amateur, so we
should
> be treated like one. I hear it all the time, Im speaking to someone about
> some technical topic, and they happen to be at QRZ.com and look up my
call.
> Then they say how come your only a tech? didnt you pass the code test? i
say
> no and dont want to be bothered. "well its been good talking to you, 73"
is
> the common response. And also those that upgraded, change their whole
> demeanor towards you like they dont remember you. WOW!! your a general,
> advanced, or extra! can you set up a packet node, or work a satellite via
a
> digital mode. NO, gee i guess that morse code is really good!
>
> Citizens Band- Those that are on SSB are almost like amateurs, and some
wont
> get a license because they dont like the dryness of amateur radio. Regular
> AM'ers are usually a 50/50 bunch, some are the nicest and most helpfull
> people youll ever meet, and the others, well you know what im talking
> about.Amateur ops always complain about how 11m was stolen and CB'ers dont
> know anything. Well guess what, if its about amplifiers(yes ILLEGAL on
11m),
> DXing (less than 125 miles wink wink) or just general radio stuff, some
know
> more than some amateurs. If a "general" is confronted by one of these
CB'ers
> they say "well your so smart do you know Morse code" CB'er replies "NO!!".
> That amateur will either leave or will find what channel they are on and
> throw a carrier or send code with his friends. This is not the way!!!
"cant
> we all just get along"
>
> well thats it for now, if i made any spelling or puncuation errors, oh
> well!!
>
> Sincerly,
>

> Steve Bossert , KC2GOG (tech and proud of it)
>
> and i dont need to hide behind an alias like some others out there!!!
>
>

3731. Re: Two Scanners - One Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 10:46:38 UTC
From: "Mike M."
Message-ID: <3cf877d5.8102863@news.charter.net>
Source record: 47647
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I've been using a regular TV hybrid splitter with good results for
several years. I use RG-6 coax and "F" to BNC adapters to connect to
the scanners. There is some signal loss, but unless you are in a
really weak signal area it works fine.

On Sun, 26 May 2002 08:29:57 -0800, "Charles J. Coumbe"
<dunestalker@hotmail.com> wrote:

>-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
>Hash: SHA1
>
>I have a Radio Shack dipole antenna I have been using on my BC855XLT
>for the past few years with no problems (except for the fact that
>there's really not much to listen to up here in Fairbanks, Alaska!).
>I am in the process of acquiring a new BC245XLT next week and am
>wondering if I can connect both scanners to the same antenna. One of
>the reasons I'm getting a new scanner is related to the fact that I'm
>getting ready to move to Omaha, Nebraska next month and will
>most-likely have a lot more to listen to once all my stuff arrives
>and I get everything setup again.
>
>Anyway, if connecting both scanners to the same antenna is not a
>problem, what kind of splitter should I use? I suppose it would be
>quite similar to connecting two TVs to the same antenna except for
>the fact that the cables are quite different.
>
>I've got a Radio Shack up here where I can purchase the required
>parts, but the folks who work there aren't very technically
>proficient. :-(
>
>Thanks!!!!
>
>Charles
>Fairbanks, Alaska
>
>p.s.--If anyone wants the Eielson AFB military freqs, email me.
>
>-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
>Version: PGPfreeware 6.5.8 for non-commercial use <http://www.pgp.com>
>Comment: Dune's Domain - http://www.coumbe.com/dune
>
>iQA/AwUBPPENYr0mrjBws5CUEQJzEwCg1XdBLhHGPo+JLNA6m1aimUZJgaUAoNJT
>XcNud8E7wG03Tmnz0ZMcI8jr
>=AQAv

>-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
>
>
>

3732. Re: My new scanner is driving me crazy...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 11:50:40 UTC
From: k8hvr <k8hvr@microfart.com>
Message-ID: <28dhfuo65e6bott79gqac0odj3qfdrh6jh@4ax.com>
Source record: 47648

On Fri, 31 May 2002 21:38:55 -0400, The Other Harry
<hc.me@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>
>I recently bought a Radio Shack Pro-2053 scanner. I don't think the
>brand or model # matters, but that's what it is.
>
>I have most of the active local frequencies set in memory. There
>aren't a lot of those. Maybe 20.
>
>Invariably, whenever I hear something that might be interesting
>going down outside the window -- sirens -- the scanner locks up on
>bullshit talk on some other channel.
>
>It makes me want to smash it on the floor.
>
>Any advice on how to deal with this?
>
>Harry

Does it have a Prioirty option? If so, set the priority channel to
your police or what ever channel you don't want to miss

3733. Re: nextell freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 12:03:13 UTC
From: TB <hithere@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <5K2K8.8178$m9.3222@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 47649

DOESNT MATTER WHAT THE FREQ IS. YOU CAN NOT HEAR THE CONVERSATIONS.

SCANNER & RECEIVERS ONLY HEAR ANALOG.
NEXTEL IS DIGITAL. TOTALLY SECURE.

-TB

"Twazy" <twazy@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020519094738.02702.00000702@mb-da.aol.com...
> does anyone know what freq the nextell two way radio phones are on thanks
> (twazy@aol.com)

3734. Re: Any military aircraft scanner sights on the internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 13:09:55 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CF8C7A3.3060809@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 47650

badfood4 wrote:

> THERE IS A WEB PAGE IN UK FOR THAT. I DO NOT KNOW BUT SEACH FOR UHF/VHF RADIO
> AND YOU MAY FIND IT.
>

http://www.MilAirComms.com

3735. Re: The Common Stupidity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 14:24:47 UTC
From: DBN <dnicholson@socket.net>
Message-ID: <ufhm777dp21u51@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47651
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Oh how I love my gadgets and trinkets!!!

Dan

3736. Icom R3 & receiving X10 Cameras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 15:27:08 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CF8E7CC.4030705@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 47652
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

With everyone getting these wireless X10 type cameras I'm wonder, can these images be received with the Icom R3 radio?

Anyone have experience with this?

Thanks,
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

3737. Re: Icom R3 & receiving X10 Cameras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 15:41:08 UTC
From: "Virgilio Rodriguez Jr." <vze24jcz@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <oW5K8.10189$m9.7918@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 47653
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

This is a yes it also picks up them baby camera monitors those wirless camera system sold in the stores like BJs and Costcos. In other words you can see and hear everything inside.

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message news:3CF8E7CC.4030705@licensed4fun.com...
> With everyone getting these wireless X10 type cameras I'm wonder, can
> these images be received with the Icom R3 radio?
>
> Anyone have experience with this?
>
> Thanks,
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com
>
>

3738. Re: 900mhz digital codeless phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 16:13:15 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ufhsncpgmmshaa@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47654

Generally they start at about 902MHz. Not sure the upper limit, but I would guess no higher than 925Mhz...

PH

"R1rob" <@> wrote in message news:3cf82637.0@mercury.planet.net.au...
> so where abouts in 900Mhz are they, the cheapies I mean?
>
> R1rob
>
>
> "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> news:uff45v4ut4fea6@corp.supernews.com...
> > Only true if the phone is a spread spectrum type. You won't find that in
> a
> > cheapy 900MHz phone. Of course, you won't even find Digital in most of
> > those cheapies...
> >
> > Chances are if you own a cheapy 900MHz phone, you can be heard...
> >
> > PH
> >
> > "Terry" <nepvetm@gt.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:_hrJ8.132114$9F5.7575259@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
> > >
> > > ernest <ernest@hananet.net> wrote in message
> > > news:LlpJ8.176$r%4.44@news.hananet.net...
> > > > Is 900mhz codeless phones encrypted like cdma or pcs phones ?
> > Analogue
> > > > scanners like an AR8000 can't hear the 900mhz codeless phone ?
> > > >
> > > > from Novice
> > >
> > > They're not encrypted, but they change frequencies so fast that even
> if
> > you
> > > scan all the freq's that the phone uses, you'll never pick it up.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >

3739. Re: Icom R3 & receiving X10 Cameras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 16:45:55 UTC
From: "Virgilio Rodriguez Jr." <vze24jcz@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <7T6K8.7272$b73.2390@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 47656

As far as I seen it shows it just like a tv broadcast. kind of funny with those baby monitors we seen one of our local hotties naked love them damn baby monitor.

<kope@home.com> wrote in message news:1cuhfuo40invhm9chrsptid6bbopjggfke@4ax.com...
> >> With everyone getting these wireless X10 type cameras I'm wonder, can
> >> these images be received with the Icom R3 radio?
>
> Does this scanner show images? Or does it connect to a PC
> to show them?

3740. my new scanner is driving me crazy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 16:50:19 UTC
From: SugarBroker@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24922-3CF8FB4B-108@storefull-2194.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 47658

Have you spent any time reading the instructions . It must have come
with some.Remember when all else fails read the instructions

3741. Shuttle Launch Time for Monday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 16:57:46 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CF8FD0A.1020508@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 47657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

Correct me if I'm wrong, but does it really server any purpose to hide the Shuttle Launch time after two scrubbed attempts???

Lets see, Thursday it was to launch at 1944, on Friday it was to launch at 1922. Would it be reasonable to assume that Mondays launch time would be 1816?

I don't think it would take a Rocket Scientist to figure that out....

But if I'm wrong please correct me, I guess we'll see on Monday when they release the official launch time.....

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Freqs and more!

3742. Re: Shuttle Launch Time for Monday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 18:37:25 UTC
From: HarleyDude&#65533; <biteme.spammers.harleydude@spamgourmet.com>
Message-ID: <l84ifu8k9d6qkohh6bti940ftqnn1qbbfj@4ax.com>
Source record: 47659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

On Sat, 01 Jun 2002 12:57:46 -0400, GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
wrote

>Correct me if I'm wrong, but does it really server any purpose to hide
>the Shuttle Launch time after two scrubbed attempts???
>
>Lets see, Thursday it was to launch at 1944, on Friday it was to launch
>at 1922. Would it be reasonable to assume that Mondays launch time
>would be 1816?
>
>I don't think it would take a Rocket Scientist to figure that out....
>
>But if I'm wrong please correct me, I guess we'll see on Monday when
>they release the official launch time.....
>
>George
>http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Freqs and more!
>

I wouldn't think it is a fact of trying to hide anything - more of
just a coordination plan based on the many contributing factors it
takes to make it happen. Here is a link that I watch for the launch
news: http://spaceflight.nasa.gov/latestlist.html
For anyone interested, here is a link that provides live video feeds:
http://makeashorterlink.com/?F17A259F

BTW - thanks for the uplink. It was a new experience listening to the
weather pilots while watching the live video feed.

HD

--
Ride slower than your guardian angel can fly

3743. Re: best scanner for $600-$800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 18:54:14 UTC
From: Michael <mglREMOVE@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <qL8K8.208$nn1.163956@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 47660

If no one finds out nothing will happen.....

"Jim Hackett" <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:WuEI8.1703$J.42@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Oh, yes...
> Mobile use of scanners is ILLEGAL in some states, also.
> Check your laws first...
>
> --
> Remove "beforeflight" to reply
> "AD" <ashan5@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:58e5d449.0205272002.1086184e@posting.google.com...
> > thanks john. i should of mentioned that i only need one scanner (of
> > high quality and it will only be used in my car - so i know when
> > there's a cop nearby (time to slow down :)). in my area we also have
> > a problem with corruption so in my spare time i'm going to do my part
> > and try and catch corrupt cops
> >
> > a few questions that you may know the answer to:
> >
> > I've heard of something called a "trunker". what is this device used
> > for?
> >
> > what are ALLCOM scanners?
> >
>
>

3744. Re: Traveling to VA and looking for Freq's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 19:02:31 UTC
From: mahatmakanejeeves@swissinfo.org
Message-ID: <92d33cb.0206011102.1fa73534@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47661

The Other Harry <hc.me@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<njegfu4min953dgkm82j4homfo91c1hv8e@4ax.com>...
> At the risk of stating the obvious, most of the Virginia freaks are
> located just inside the beltway. Close to there, anyhow.
> Annandale, Fairfax, etc. They're easy enough to find.

Hahahaha. I'm in Alexandria, and I believe it.

To get back to another lister's question, "where in VA?" It matters.

3745. Re: My new scanner is driving me crazy...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 19:24:13 UTC
From: Den6N <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <ufht88kjd8qtda@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47655

Are you understanding the way a scanner operates? It will run in SCAN mode and keep finding
different active frequencies as it does so, but it won't stay on that frequency forever once the
transmissions stop. As soon as no one transmits, the scanner will continue scanning until it finds
the next active frequency, and on & on. You will have to step through each channel that contains
a frequency with the MANUAL button and hit the DELAY button for each, activating the delay
function for each. This will hold the scanner from scanning for a few seconds after a transmission,
allowing you the chance to hear the response to that transmission before it returns to scanning the
rest of the channels. If the response is a few seconds in coming, you may miss it anyway because
the delay will only hold it for a few seconds (generally 2 seconds on many scanners). To stay on
something you want to specifically monitor for some time, you will then have to press the
MANUAL button to stop the radio from scanning, and hit SCAN to bring it back to the scanning
mode. You can also access any particular channel, while in manual mode (not scanning) by just
punching in the channel number and hitting MANUAL - it will bring you directly to that channel
and hold it there. If your local police are in your radio's channel 22, and you see a police car race
by out your window, hit MANUAL, 2,2, MANUAL, and the radio will stay locked onto the
frequency for your local police until you hit SCAN again. The PRIORITY function was explained
in another post and will check whichever channel you designate as such, every several seconds,
while the scanner is either scanning or sitting on one channel in manual mode.

Den6N
==========
"The Other Harry" <hc.me@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:en8gfu0i1r2os784prjg72bad5e7efkalc@4ax.com...
>
> I recently bought a Radio Shack Pro-2053 scanner. I don't think the
> brand or model # matters, but that's what it is.

>
> I have most of the active local frequencies set in memory. There
> aren't a lot of those. Maybe 20.
>
> Invariably, whenever I hear something that might be interesting
> going down outside the window -- sirens -- the scanner locks up on
> bullshit talk on some other channel.
>
> It makes me want to smash it on the floor.
>
> Any advice on how to deal with this?
>
> Harry

3746. Re: Icom R3 & receiving X10 Cameras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 19:58:42 UTC
From: Ben <manley62@InsightBB.com>
Message-ID: <SH9K8.143806$L76.227808@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 47662

What freq.'s range are those monitors in???

"Virgilio Rodriguez Jr." <vze24jcz@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:7T6K8.7272$b73.2390@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> As far as I seen it shows it just like a tv broadcast. kind of funny with
> those baby monitors we seen one of our local hotties naked love them damn
> baby monitor.
>
>
> <kope@home.com> wrote in message
> news:1cuhfuo40invhm9chrsptid6bbopjggfke@4ax.com...
> > >> With everyone getting these wireless X10 type cameras I'm wonder, can
> > >> these images be received with the Icom R3 radio?
> >
> > Does this scanner show images? Or does it connect to a PC
> > to show them?
>
>

3747. Re: Icom R3 & receiving X10 Cameras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 20:18:00 UTC
From: MRK <me@you.com>
Message-ID: <ufib1k4dg0ja97@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47663
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Can you tap the discriminator of a scanner to output the signal to a TV?
"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3CF8E7CC.4030705@licensed4fun.com...
> With everyone getting these wireless X10 type cameras I'm wonder, can
> these images be received with the Icom R3 radio?
>
> Anyone have experience with this?
>
> Thanks,
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com
>
>

3748. Re: nextell freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 20:21:45 UTC
From: MRK <me@you.com>
Message-ID: <ufib87b485cb5f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47664

Well not totally secure. If you can get your hands on a service monitor
that decodes TDMA/CDMA then you might be able to hear blips of the
conversations.
"TB" <hithere@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:5K2K8.8178$m9.3222@nwrddc02.gnilink.net...
> DOESNT MATTER WHAT THE FREQ IS. YOU CAN NOT HEAR THE CONVERSATIONS.
>
> SCANNER & RECEIVERS ONLY HEAR ANALOG.
> NEXTEL IS DIGITAL. TOTALLY SECURE.
>
> -TB
>
>
> "Twazy" <twazy@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020519094738.02702.00000702@mb-da.aol.com...
> > does anyone know what freq the nextell two way radio phones are on
thanks
> > (twazy@aol.com)
>
>

3749. Speech Inversion descrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-01 23:22:33 UTC
From: ctpds49@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020601192233.19790.00002304@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 47665

Speech Inversion is accomplished by mixing the speech spectrum (typically 300-3000 Hz) with a tone (commonly 3500 Hz) to produce some audio frequencies (3800-6500 Hz) then filtering to 3200-500 Hz constituting the speech inversion.

members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html

3750. Re: Icom R3 & receiving X10 Cameras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 00:40:53 UTC
From: First Last <nobody@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9220C835C8F5Dnobodynowherecom@63.240.76.16>
Source record: 47666
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"MRK" <me@you.com> wrote in news:ufib1k4dg0ja97@corp.supernews.com:

> Can you tap the discriminator of a scanner to output the signal to a
> TV?

That's one thing I like about my R3, it has a Audio/Video out. The quality isn't the best in the world, but it's acceptable.

I'd also like to find a frequency list for these things. I guess I could just walk into a store that sells them with my R3 and stand there and scan for it... ;)

3751. NEW Pro-89 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 01:37:32 UTC
From: "B.Roberts" <broberts1254@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ileK8.61084$Yt.2545551@read1.cgocable.net>
Source record: 47667
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

FYI...the guys at ez-scan are making software for the pro-89. They say it will be released next week. If it's anything like the software they have for the SC200, it would be worthwhile to check out.

they'r at : http://www.itssoftware.net

B Roberts

3752. Re: The Common Stupidity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 02:01:16 UTC
From: Bruce <bpeck321@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <HQeK8.104634$7S6.39215624@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 47668
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I am with you!!

3753. ICOM R-2 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 02:23:56 UTC
From: Richard Broomfield <broomfield@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3CF98138.A7410DEA@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 47669

I am in the market for a wide band handheld and would like any info or comments anyone has on the ICOM R-2. I don't seem to see a keypad in the pictures and wonder how you enter frequencies. Any comments would be appreciated.
Richard
K1TAV
broomfield@worldnet.att.net

3754. Re: Speech Inversion descrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 03:58:31 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20020601235831.28286.00002020@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 47670

>Speech Inversion is accomplished by mixing the speech spectrum

Is anyone still using this type of scrambling???? I would think it would be obsolete by now.

RM~

3755. Re: The Common Stupidity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 05:44:36 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.com>
Message-ID: <adcclcpvc0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 47671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Steve Bossert" <kc2gog@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:1lNJ8.218884$ja.60919162@news02.optonline.net...
> If their were less questions and arguments about 800Mhz Analog Cellular
mods
> and recievers,Citizens Band and also morse code for amateurs, , most
> newsgroups would have no posts.
>
> instead of whining about these issues, why dont you find something else
> constructive to do with your time. bulid an antenna, make a reciever or
some
> other gadget. Then you let others know what you are doing and someone
> improves on your idea.
>
> I know i will see alot of problems regarding my message but its true. here
> are my thoughts for the record.

So sorry, old man. Your "message" failed to impress because of the poor
spelling within the first two paragraphs. I have already "bulid" an antenna
and it works just fine.
I did not read the rest.

3756. For sale: communication receivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 07:58:04 UTC
From: Percom <percom@zonnet.nl>
Message-ID: <adcjmd$j3q$2@cyan.nl.gxn.net>
Source record: 47672

We have the following professional HF communication receivers for sale:

AOR AR-3000A Price EURO 725,-
Hagenuk RX1001M Price EURO 2400,-
Plessey PR2250 Price EURO 1350,-
RFT EKD500 Price EURO 1475,-
Watkins-Johnson HF1000A Price EURO 4525,-

All receivers are in mint condition and the price is without shipment.

Visit www.percom.myweb.nl

Percom.

3757. Re: Shuttle Launch Time for Monday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 10:31:16 UTC
From: Black Ops <no@mail.com>
Message-ID: <3cf9f37e@news.chicago.lightfirst.com>
Source record: 47673
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3CF8FD0A.1020508@licensed4fun.com...
> Correct me if I'm wrong, but does it really server any purpose to hide
> the Shuttle Launch time after two scrubbed attempts???
>
> Lets see, Thursday it was to launch at 1944, on Friday it was to launch
> at 1922. Would it be reasonable to assume that Mondays launch time
> would be 1816?
>
> I don't think it would take a Rocket Scientist to figure that out....
>
> But if I'm wrong please correct me, I guess we'll see on Monday when
> they release the official launch time.....
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Freqs and more!

Go to the space shuttle group... they always have the predictions of launch
times and they are always right. It's pretty hard to hide the launch time
of a shuttle that is going to the space station...

3758. Re: Speech Inversion descrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 12:40:52 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ufk4lcqcfm7815@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47674

Nope, still use it in my area... Generally the smaller cities and or towns still use this type of scrambling... Bought one about a year back, and cased it myself as a portable unit... works wonders...

PH

"J Rob" <jrobmills@aol.comrx320> wrote in message news:20020601235831.28286.00002020@mb-mh.aol.com...
> >Speech Inversion is accomplished by mixing the speech spectrum
>
> Is anyone still using this type of scrambling???? I would think it would be
> obsolete by now.
>
> RM~

3759. Re: The Common Stupidity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 13:40:41 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <add792$vdk37$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Steve Bossert" <kc2gog@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:1lNJ8.218884$ja.60919162@news02.optonline.net...
> If their were less questions and arguments about 800Mhz Analog Cellular
mods
> and recievers,Citizens Band and also morse code for amateurs, , most
> newsgroups would have no posts.

I really don't see much whining about those issues in the newsgroups I read. And even if there were, isn't that what usenet is for? If you don't like those posts, don't read them.

> instead of whining about these issues, why dont you find something else
> constructive to do with your time. bulid an antenna, make a reciever or
some
> other gadget. Then you let others know what you are doing and someone
> improves on your idea.

I built all but one of the antennas I'm currently using. I have a couple more homebrew antennas in storage that I built for the fun of it but don't have a use for. I'm also currently in the middle of building a 10 MHZ WWV receiver. I also have plans to build a QRP transceiver in the near future. Have you built anything lately?

> Cellular Scanners-- Why do people want a 800Mhz full coverage reciever
> today. Most areas are using some form of digital service, and not even in
> the 800 band anymore. What is so interesting about listening to
cellphones?

Maybe it's just something else to listen to? Or maybe some scanner listeners just don't like the idea of the government telling them what frequencies they can and can't listen to at the behest of the cellular telephone lobby? Or maybe they'd like to have a scanner without any gaps so they'll be able to listen to new services that take over the 800 MHZ band once it's vacated by analog cellular phones in the future.

> Morse Code-- have you looked at new licenses lately, looks like our ranks
> are dropping. Ive been a Tech(No-Code) for three years and would like to
use
> HF but could care less about morse code. Its an antiquated mode, which had
> its time, although still usefull sometimes, if we keep saying we need
Morse
> code, us amateurs will be way behind times(which we still are).

AM is also antiquated, second only to CW. Yet there are many hams who enjoy using AM. It's also the primary broadcast mode in the MF and HF bands.

Should we scrap the use of AM? CW is fun to those of us who enjoy it. I'm not going to tell you not to use FM in the VHF/UHF bands.

> Amateur ops always complain about how 11m was stolen and CB'ers dont
> know anything.

Frankly I've never heard an amateur op complain about the 11 meter band being stolen from them. CB has been around for around 50 years now, so I think there are few hams who really have a hangup about losing the 11 meter band. As far as CB'ers not knowing anything, I guess you never heard one saying "QRT and standing by." Yes, there are a few who are really technically savvy, but the most aren't. I could tell you many funny stories of technically-challenged CBer's, but frankly I don't give a rat's behind what goes on on the CB band.

> If a "general" is confronted by one of these CB'ers
> they say "well your so smart do you know Morse code" CB'er replies "NO!!".
> That amateur will either leave or will find what channel they are on and
> throw a carrier or send code with his friends. This is not the way!!!
"cant
> we all just get along"

This has me confused. If a CBer is talking to an amateur on an amateur frequency, he is operating illegally. If an amateur is using amateur equipment on the CB band, he is operating illegally. I've never heard CBers & amateurs talking to each other on the radio though.

> Steve Bossert , KC2GOG (tech and proud of it)

Tom Sevart, N2UHC (Extra and just proud to be a ham)

> and i dont need to hide behind an alias like some others out there!!!

Neither do I.

3760. LiveAudio: Daytona Beach Beach Patrol.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 14:09:08 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CFA2704.8020602@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 47676
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Since we don't have a shuttle launch today I'm broadcasting live audio
form the Beach Patrol Talkgroup for Daytona Beach, FL.

Have fun, when it gets hot it gets active on the Worlds Most Famous Beach!

To hear the action live goto: http://www.MilAirComms.com

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Freqs and MORE!

3761. Re: The Common Stupidity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 14:28:07 UTC
From: "Virgilio Rodriguez Jr." <vze24jcz@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <XXpK8.15852$m9.6298@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 47677

Well I like listening in it is somewhat humorous at times, I also listen to corless phone occasionally it is funny to hear peoples day to day life and also around me there is a booming drug area it good to know when you don't want to be somewhere. I find the conversation very interesting and unboring.

Anyway is part of the scanning be able to scan things that say it can't be done. I work for a PD MDTs are boring to me cause I see the info all the time but people like to intercept those tranmissions and get the info. So what is boring to me might not be for others

"Steve Bossert" <kc2gog@amsat.org> wrote in message news:1lNJ8.218884$ja.60919162@news02.optonline.net...
> If their were less questions and arguments about 800Mhz Analog Cellular mods
> and recievers,Citizens Band and also morse code for amateurs, , most
> newsgroups would have no posts.
>
> instead of whining about these issues, why dont you find something else
> constructive to do with your time. bulid an antenna, make a reciever or some
> other gadget. Then you let others know what you are doing and someone
> improves on your idea.
>
> I know i will see alot of problems regarding my message but its true. here
> are my thoughts for the record.
>
>
> Cellular Scanners-- Why do people want a 800Mhz full coverage reciever
> today. Most areas are using some form of digital service, and not even in
> the 800 band anymore. What is so interesting about listening to cellphones?
> Ive had radios that are capable of it, and never even listened to that
> portion. I think you just want something that has no gaps, and are willing
> to pay more for something that most wont listen to. If its so good how come
> i never see monitoring posts about how Mary got her nails done?
> Unless you are in the FBI and are trying to foil the next terrorist action,
> dont be bothered with cellular capable scanners
>
> Morse Code-- have you looked at new licenses lately, looks like our ranks
> are dropping. Ive been a Tech(No-Code) for three years and would like to use
> HF but could care less about morse code. Its an antiquated mode, which had

> its time, although still usefull sometimes, if we keep saying we need
Morse
> code, us amateurs will be way behind times(which we still are). Lots of
> people say, when a repeater ID's or i am tuning around the morse sub
bands,
> "Is that Morse Code, someone still uses that?" I say yes, its part of
> amateur radio. The person whom im talking to usually says "ill just go to
> Walmart and get those radios for $50 and dont worry about the code"
Amateurs
> now adays need to concentrate about leading edge technology, like we once
> did, and stop hanging on to the past. PSk31, APRS, IRLP, and satellite is
> the future, so we should stop saying amateur radio is only HF and Morse.
> The military does not use MOrse anymore, they use ALE type signals, which
is
> faster, and almost error free .Those of you that have been around for a
> while should get off your "Extra or Advanced" horses and get some new
blood
> into amateur radio. Also a Tech (no code) IS still an amateur, so we
should
> be treated like one. I hear it all the time, Im speaking to someone about
> some technical topic, and they happen to be at QRZ.com and look up my
call.
> Then they say how come your only a tech? didnt you pass the code test? i
say
> no and dont want to be bothered. "well its been good talking to you, 73"
is
> the common response. And also those that upgraded, change their whole
> demeanor towards you like they dont remember you. WOW!! your a general,
> advanced, or extra! can you set up a packet node, or work a satellite via
a
> digital mode. NO, gee i guess that morse code is really good!
>
> Citizens Band- Those that are on SSB are almost like amateurs, and some
wont
> get a license because they dont like the dryness of amateur radio. Regular
> AM'ers are usually a 50/50 bunch, some are the nicest and most helpfull
> people youll ever meet, and the others, well you know what im talking
> about.Amateur ops always complain about how 11m was stolen and CB'ers dont
> know anything. Well guess what, if its about amplifiers(yes ILLEGAL on
11m),
> DXing (less than 125 miles wink wink) or just general radio stuff, some
know
> more than some amateurs. If a "general" is confronted by one of these
CB'ers
> they say "well your so smart do you know Morse code" CB'er replies "NO!!".
> That amateur will either leave or will find what channel they are on and
> throw a carrier or send code with his friends. This is not the way!!!
"cant
> we all just get along"
>

> well thats it for now, if i made any spelling or puncuation errors, oh
> well!!
>
> Sincerly,
>
> Steve Bossert , KC2GOG (tech and proud of it)
>
> and i dont need to hide behind an alias like some others out there!!!
>
>

3762. check out this web site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 14:45:54 UTC
From: djmickyp@d2.net.au
Message-ID: <3cfa2fa7@news.comindico.com.au>
Source record: 47678
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

Finally anyone interested in scanning will enjoy this
http://newcastlescan.tripod.com

its got great info about scanning, anyone wishing to contribute feel free to do so.

there's great pictures, stories, no bullshit ads, we are not selling anything, its all about scanning

cheers
mike and Garry

3763. Help identify CSI add-on board mod to Pro-2026

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 15:25:36 UTC
From: david <ddlee@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <QNqK8.61130$9z5.4045334@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 47679

Picked up a Pro-2026 at fleamarket yesterday. Has a CSI 1.0 add on board inside. The board outputs to a user installed 9 pin D-connector, as if an RS-232 port? I assume it's some type of CTCSS, DCS and DTMF decoder, but can't find any info on it thru google.

Internally, it looks as if the mod basically redirects 2 motherboard to display board connectors to the add on board. When I power on the scanner, I have audio noise but no other control.

Not knowing how it works, I'd hate to scrap the addon board and resolder the unit back to it's original configuration.

3764. FS AOR AR 1000 (NO GAPS!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 16:04:51 UTC
From: ExoticV8 <exoticv8TAKE@OUTyahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3CFA6E08.9030206@OUTyahoo.com>
Source record: 47681

AOR AR 1000.

I just don't have the interest in scanning that I used to, so here's
your chance to own a piece of history. This is a pre-ban hand-held
scanner (you can listen to cellular if you are into that sort of thing).
Wide range: AM FM W-FM. Comes with operating instructions, whip antenna,
telescoping antenna, and rechargeable batteries. $100

If you want to e-mail me, remove the TAKE OUT from my address.

3765. FS: ICOM R7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 16:57:02 UTC
From: afdebartolo@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020602125702.13190.00001777@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 47682

Selling this fantastic receiver, has been in storage for the past 7years. Box and Manual included. Yes there is a little age on this gem but hardly any use.and thus in mint condition cosmetically and functionaly The last of the full band high frequecny receivers without having the cellular phone band eliminated. Looking for $900 or best offer. Located in NYC metro area.
email :afdebartolo@aol.com

3766. Re: Speech Inversion descrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 17:19:21 UTC
From: Don Williams <dwwillams28947@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ufkkrugbt7pi58@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47683

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:ufk4lcqcfm7815@corp.supernews.com...
> Nope, still use it in my area... Generally the smaller cities and or
towns
> still use this type of scrambling... Bought one about a year back, and
> cased it myself as a portable unit... works wonders...
>
> PH

You actually bought one of these things? I could see it useful if you're using a portable and REALLY have a need to monitor that ONE time they may pass "encrypted" when out with your portable...but why waste your money on one if it's for a base unit? The last time this was spammed, someone said "just use your computer". It's a lot easier than you would think, especially with a SoundBlaster sound card that has "EAX" audio control, which most of them do.

3767. ACARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 18:31:21 UTC
From: JohnK <nospam.greekfreak@acmemail.net>
Message-ID: <addp7v$7lh$1@usenet.otenet.gr>
Source record: 47685

Please suggest me a cheap soundcard which is able to work with WACARS

Thanks

3768. Pro-2052 and Antenna Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 18:35:06 UTC
From: "Roger D. Cravens, Jr." <ke4yqz@kc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <atmkfukea4i88knjkamo5pa253p7jhle8a@4ax.com>
Source record: 47684

From what I've read here, the scanner(s) are used primarily for indoor/table top use instead of in your car. This makes this a bit easier.

I would strongly recommend you give Grove Enterrpises a call. They have an ourdoor antenna called ScanTenna. It resembles a spider, but from many years of expereince with this antenna I can tell you that it simply blows away all other omni-directional antennas that I've tried. It was designed for exceptional capabilites for very wideband operation (25MHz thry 1300MHz). Others that I recommended this antenna to later either left me a message or called and told me the exact same thing. It currently costs approximately $50.00 and comes with free 50-feet of coax.

I've tried my Scantenna with my PRO-2052, BC 780XLT, BC-245XLT, and my BC 200XLT. One thing I will add here is my recent purchase of the Radio Shack model PRO-2052 scanner. I am exceptionally pleased with it's performance. It is one of the best scanners that Radio Shack has ever hit the scanner market with. It has 1000 channels, the speaker is exceptional for sound, and it's ability to pick up weak signals is one of the best scanners that Radio Shack has ever made availalbe. I'm not going to go into a lot of specifications here - just suffice it to say that it really is an outstandng radio in my view.

If you would rather wait to putchase this antenna, I would call Grove and ask them for a copy of the antenna spec sheet that comes with this antenna, and you can make up your own mind. While you have them on the line, I suggest you ask to speak to their antenna expert for additional advice on what antenna might be the best for your particular situation..

I don't work for Grove nor do I have any on-going financial dealings with them. But I have been a loyal customer of their's for over 7 years - I have absolutely no gripes or complaints.

Here is the information on Grove in case you (or anyone else) doesn't happen to have it:

Bob Grove
Grove Enterprises
7540 Highway 64
Brasstown, NC 28902
(800) 438-8155

They even have an 800-number so you won't be out anything except for the few minutes it takes to make the phone call.

I would not, however, go by your local Radio Shack store and ask them questions about scanners and/or antennas. The overwhelming number of workers for RS doesn't know the slightest thing about which antenna or scanner would or would not be best for your situation.

As another alternate solution, a "scanner beam" antenna would not be out of consideration either. From a personal standpoint, I've owned a "Scanner Beam" I purchased from Grove. I honestly didn't think it was worth the cost. My Scantenna honestly out performed the Scanner Beam.

After a year I took it down from the rotater on my house and replaced it with the biggest television beam antenna I could afford to buy. The new antenna was flipped from horizontal to vertical. It had in its design wideband FM/VHF/UHF capabilities. That was over three years ago and it's been outstanding - at least for me. And yes, I purchased it from Radio Shack. They do beat many others on price, and this was the case in my decision to purhase it.

Good signals and I hope this may have helped someone.

Roger D. Cravens, Jr.
KE4YQZ
Kansas City, Missouri
==========
On Sat, 11 May 2002 23:44:10 -0400, "Jim Evancho"
<always108@smyrnacable.net> wrote:

>I recently purchased a Pro-2052 and a small antenna. Apparently I am located
>in some sort of dead area. I use the scanner primarily to scan police/fire
>feqs (trunked) and I get a lot of "popping" and lost signals.
>
>Is there any type of booster that can be attached to the antenna to make the
>signal stronger?
>
>Jim
>

Jim Evancho <always108@smyrnacable.net> wrote in message
news:udroenqb5d0e92@corp.supernews.com...
> I recently purchased a Pro-2052 and a small antenna. Apparently I am located
> in some sort of dead area. I use the scanner primarily to scan police/fire
> feqs (trunked) and I get a lot of "popping" and lost signals.
>
> Is there any type of booster that can be attached to the antenna to make the
> signal stronger?
>
> Jim

Also from another answer/response:

You can go to RadioShack and get a pre-amp for the scanner. They will need to special order it.

The base version is 940-0858 = $49.99
The handheld version is 940-0859 = $39.99

The difference is that the handheld version can go directly on the back of the scanner and the antenna onto that, whereas the base version you'll need another BNC wire to go from pre-amp to scanner (assuming it doesn't come with one; not sure. ask RS people)

You'll need a 9v battery or 9v AC-DC adapter for either one:

(273-1767 = $8.99)

BTW, I am not a RS salesman. I just have one of their catalogs. Very handy.

3769. Re: ICOM R-2 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 18:41:00 UTC
From: fryertuck <fryertuck65@yahoo.ca>
Message-ID: <z2rK8.20305$Z6.226861@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 47680

The r2 is the best out there unless you need trunking
It does not do trunking but for me i dont need trunking
the cttss tone board is built in so Its awesome for me because the
opp(ontario provincal police) use tones on their radios and I get all the
action(for how long anyone?) but I hear they may be switching to a digital
system soon
Make sure you get a canadian or euro model so that no freqs are blocked
US models have blocked freqs that you can not get
(free speech my ass)tee hee
As for tuning freqs it is quite easy once you get the hang of it It does
have a learning curve to it and it is not your usual uniden or radio shack
crap Once you learn to use it you can dail in a freq very fast I think it is
better then a keypad
It is also very sensitive with a better antenna The stock antenna is ok but
an after market antenna is way better
I got a Watson 881 Super Gainer and it really pulls in the signals It kinda
looks funny on top of the R2 cause it is 16 inchs long and you need a sma to
bnc connector but it is the best antenna yet that I have tried I also
purchased a Comet 501 SMA stubby antenna and it is great for steath work in
public where I dont want everyone to know I am monitoring the airwaves This
antenna is 2 inches long and looks so cool on a R2 It is a small package and
very handy I sound in love with my R2 but I AM Its a great little radio and
would not trade it for any other radio
Please let us know If you get this little beauty so we can all help you get
started with it
There is a group of us at yahoo groups call the icomr2 group that is very
helpful and a vast resource of information
One more thing
You can program the R2 with your computer it is so cool check it out there
is software at the group files section so you just need a cable to hook it
up
hope this sways your decision
Regards
fryertuck65@yahoo.ca

"Richard Broomfield" <broomfield@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:3CF98138.A7410DEA@worldnet.att.net...
> I am in the market for a wide band handheld and would like any info or
> comments anyone has on the ICOM R-2. I don't seem to see a keypad in
> the pictures and wonder how you enter frequencies. Any comments would
> be appreciated.
> Richard
> K1TAV
> broomfield@worldnet.att.net

>

3770. Re: nextell freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 19:06:52 UTC
From: Mike Ekholm <ekholm@ekholm.org>
Message-ID: <g1uK8.91917$vm6.20341805@ruti.visi.com>
Source record: 47686

On Sat, 01 Jun 2002 12:03:13 GMT, TB just had to say:

: SCANNER & RECEIVERS ONLY HEAR ANALOG.
: NEXTEL IS DIGITAL. TOTALLY SECURE.

Nothing is never totally secure...

-Mike Ekholm

--
UNIX Sys Admin | ekholm@ekholm.org | http://www.ekholm.org | IRC: Nalez
~~~~~~
"It's hard to find people in society who can administer UNIX
and professionally carry a weapon."
- Jim Williams, former FBI Computer Intrusion Squad agent
~~~~~~

3771. Re: ACARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 19:24:26 UTC
From: Red TR1 <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <MhuK8.91921$vm6.20346179@ruti.visi.com>
Source record: 47687

I use a Sound Blaster 16 which is pretty cheap even new. You also should be able to pick up Sound Blaster Lives pretty cheap used less than $30. You really want to make sure you have line in and not just Mic in.

Greg
"JohnK" <nospam.greekfreak@acmemail.net> wrote in message
news:addp7v$7lh$1@usenet.otenet.gr...
> Please suggest me a cheap soundcard which is able to work with WACARS
>
> Thanks
>
>

3772. Re: ICOM R-2 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 19:26:33 UTC
From: tirant4 <tirant4@copus.net>
Message-ID: <ufku4ejd6vc6c8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47690

My R2 is pretty deaf. Poor performance on the AM and FM broadcast bands. Mediocre performance on SW. VHF-UHF is not bad. I'm using the factory antenna. Maybe I need to get a good aftermarket antenna?

Also, I wish it offered SSB mode and could tune in 1 khz steps. Lowest it will go is 5 khz.

"Richard Broomfield" <broomfield@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:3CF98138.A7410DEA@worldnet.att.net...
> I am in the market for a wide band handheld and would like any info or
> comments anyone has on the ICOM R-2.

3773. Re: ICOM R-2 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 19:44:28 UTC
From: Jim Vecchiola <jimkr2t@magpage.com>
Message-ID: <addsis$6ps$0@216.155.62.162>
Source record: 47688

You are correct, there is no keypad. You enter frequencies by tuning them in the VFO mode (you can tune quickly by "jumping" across by MHz, then tune fine by stepping by kHz). Once you've tuned to the channel, you can enter it into memory. Not a very swift way of loading memory, but it's not something you would do often. The R2 is a very sensitive rig, but I wouldn't recommend it to someone looking for their first scanner.

KR2T

Richard Broomfield wrote:
>
> I am in the market for a wide band handheld and would like any info or
> comments anyone has on the ICOM R-2. I don't seem to see a keypad in
> the pictures and wonder how you enter frequencies. Any comments would
> be appreciated.
> Richard
> K1TAV
> broomfield@worldnet.att.net

3774. Powerful scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 19:55:56 UTC
From: emilio goldstien <egoldstien@sofa.net>
Message-ID: <cLuK8.95687$cQ3.2958@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 47689
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I need a scanner with enough horsepower to listen to a woman's cell phone down the street. Is that possible? Would I have to tune the scanner or is there a cell phone button. The easier to use the better, as I will parked in the car at the time.

3775. Siemens cordless phones: Anyone own one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 19:59:02 UTC
From: john63401@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ncukfu4m87am05umk53oniv92ag3vkuqlq@4ax.com>
Source record: 47691

I am in need of a new cordless phone for home use.

I want a digital spread spectrum model for privacy.

But I also want something that is wall mountable as it
will be in a kitchen.

Have my eye on the Siemens models..... but since no
local dealer I can't eye ball one in the flesh. See
link

http://www.siemenscordless.com/crdless_phones/4015slvrblck.html

Can anyone out there tell me if there 4000 series units
are wall mountable?

If they are not......any recommendations on a cordless
phone with above specs?

3776. Re: Powerful scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 20:02:51 UTC
From: DougSlug <dw_firewall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <LRuK8.237944$ja.67820750@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 47692
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Sure. The Sears 3/4 HP Scan-O-Matic (make sure you get it with the cellular option). All you need to do is press the Auto Listen button when you're near her. They go for about $149. If you're farther away, you may need the 1.0 HP model.

"emilio goldstien" <egoldstien@sofa.net> wrote in message news:cLuK8.95687$cQ3.2958@sccrnsc01...
> I need a scanner with enough horsepower to listen to a woman's cell phone
> down the street. Is that possible? Would I have to tune the scanner or is
> there a cell phone button. The easier to use the better, as I will parked
> in the car at the time.
>
>

3777. Bahama Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 20:06:03 UTC
From: luclecuyer@sympatico.ca
Message-ID: <70597992.0206021206.6e750f7e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47693

Good afternoon

I'm going on holiday on the Grand Bamaha Island. We will be close to freeport. Can you suggest any website where i would get good frequencies to monitor.

Thank you
Luc

LucLL@sympatico.ca

3778. Difference between these receivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 21:09:06 UTC
From: Randy <bearcat@astound.net>
Message-ID: <3CFA8972.7040205@astound.net>
Source record: 47694

Could someone tell me the difference between the ICOM 7000 and 7100
receiver? Which would be better to get offered both?
Thanks
Randy

3779. Re: Powerful scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 21:25:52 UTC
From: ExoticV8 <exoticv8TAKE@OUTyahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3CFAB944.7040603@OUTyahoo.com>
Source record: 47598

Don't lead the poor boy astray! What he wants is the Husqvarna
PowerScan500 with the wireless camera control and optional bagger
attachment. Sure, it costs a little more, but you get a Husqy engine
instead of the wimpy Briggs and Straton that Sears uses.

DougSlug wrote:

> Sure. The Sears 3/4 HP Scan-O-Matic (make sure you get it with the cellular
> option). All you need to do is press the Auto Listen button when you're
> near her. They go for about $149. If you're farther away, you may need the
> 1.0 HP model.
>
>
> "emilio goldstien" <egoldstien@sofa.net> wrote in message
> news:cLuK8.95687$cQ3.2958@sccrnsc01...
>
>>I need a scanner with enough horsepower to listen to a woman's cell phone
>>down the street. Is that possible? Would I have to tune the scanner or is
>>there a cell phone button. The easier to use the better, as I will parked
>>in the car at the time.
>>
>>
>>
>
>

3780. Re: Icom R3 & receiving X10 Cameras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 21:26:10 UTC
From: First Last <nobody@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9221A732AEF2Cnobodynowherecom@216.148.227.77>
Source record: 47695
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

>> I'd also like to find a frequency list for these things.
>> I guess I could just walk into a store that sells them
>> with my R3 and stand there and scan for it... ;)
>
> FCC Part 15
> Frequency: 4 channels, 2.453GHz, 2.473GHz, 2.434GHz & 2.411GHz
>
> FCC Part 97
> Frequency: 2 channels 2.434GHz & 2.411GHz
>
> FCC Part 90
> Operating Frequency: 2458, 2474 MHz
>
> http://www.wirelessvideocameras.com/

Thanks for the info.

3781. Re: Powerful scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 21:30:47 UTC
From: Me <None@none.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9221A7FB3907Cnobodynowherecom@216.148.227.77>
Source record: 47696
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> I need a scanner with enough horsepower to listen to a woman's cell
> phone down the street. Is that possible? Would I have to tune the
> scanner or is there a cell phone button. The easier to use the
> better, as I will parked in the car at the time.
>

Don't press your luck, O.J.

3782. Re: GMRS usage survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 21:35:17 UTC
From: First Last <nobody@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9221A8BE0D4D6nobodynowherecom@216.148.227.77>
Source record: 47597
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> Over the Memorial Day weekend tune your scanner to the
> GMRS frequencies and take note of what you hear. For example:

Around here it's all data and business users (usually contractors) from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM Monday through Friday, and the local MediaCom install truck dispatcher. Outside those hours it's dead silence.

And you thought it was for "General" use... Nope, here it is for business use. And as someone who has paid my 75 bucks I find it really annoying.

3783. Re: Difference between these receivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 22:10:07 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <bob@parnassXcutX.org>
Message-ID: <3cfa97e2$0$3572$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 47600
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

These comments are from my SCANNER RADIO REVIEW BRIEFS faq article. The price info is out of date:

R-7000: 1986 vintage. At about $1000, this was once the top | VHF/UHF receiver. 99 channel, multi mode coverage from | 25-2000 MHz with a small gap at 1000-1025 MHz. Memory can | be expanded to 198 channels by adding simple switch to pin | 19 of memory IC8. Tuning knob lets you tune through parts | of the spectrum much easier than using the SEARCH mode on | conventional scanners. Selectable USB/LSB allows reception | of new amplitude compandored sideband (ACSB) stations. S- | meter doubles as discriminator meter to aid tuning. Useful | search and store feature, reminiscent of the the Bearcat | 250, searches between 2 limits and automatically stores new | frequencies into channels 80-99. Audio and control | interface for tape recorder. Noisy relay, activated when | the receiver is tuned to frequencies above 520 MHz. | Searches and scans slowly but can be sped up to about 12 cps | by adding a resistor. Too big for permanent mobile use, but | too nice to leave alone in the car. If you don't want to | spend $1000, get a Radio Shack PRO-2004/5/6 instead.

R-7100: 1992 vintage. At about $1300, this is the Cadillac | of VHF/UHF receivers. 900 channel, multi mode continuous | coverage from 25-2000 MHz. The radio RF/IF/AF portions of | the R7100 are similar to the older R7000, but the R7100 is | constructed using surface mount parts. Both the R7000 and | R7100 are much more immune to intermod than the | Uniden/Bearcat 760XLT and the portable PRO-43. The firmware | in the R7100 is much more sophisticated. 9 banks of 100 | channels. Further, you can associate each channel with 1 of | 10 groups. Many possible ways to scan. 10 pairs of search | limits. Search and store ("memory write") scan mode lets | you store 100 frequencies instead of the 20 in R7000. | Another R7100 improvement is that you can program up to 100 | channels for the R7100 to skip while searching. R7100 has 2 | VFOs ("windows"). Both the R7000 and R7100 scan slowly | compared with PRO-2004 and PRO-2006, and that's a drawback. | Both the R7100 and R7000 employ a noisy relay, activated | when the receiver is tuned to frequencies above 520 MHz. | Scanning a mixture of VHF and UHF frequencies serenades the | ICOM user with a very annoying clickety-clack, clickety- | clack! For Baseband Audio out, I mounted an RCA jack on the | back of the R7100A and connected it via shielded cable to |

the junction of resistors R228 and R230 on the Main Unit. |
This point is located in the vicinity of IC15 and X3 on the |
schematic

On Sun, 02 Jun 2002 16:09:06 -0500, Randy wrote:

> Could someone tell me the difference between the ICOM 7000 and 7100
> receiver? Which would be better to get offered both? Thanks Randy

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S Linux User www.parnass.org

3784. Re: Speech Inversion descrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 22:11:47 UTC
From: Justin Wolcott <Phreak480@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3CFA98B2.FD6E521A@erols.com>
Source record: 47599

Well, can you post a link to the software to do so
thankx

Don Williams wrote:

> "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> news:ufk4lcqcfm7815@corp.supernews.com...
> > Nope, still use it in my area... Generally the smaller cities and or
> towns
> > still use this type of scrambling... Bought one about a year back, and
> > cased it myself as a portable unit... works wonders...
> >
> > PH
>
> You actually bought one of these things? I could see it useful if you're
> using a portable and REALLY have a need to monitor that ONE time they may
> pass "encrypted" when out with your portable...but why waste your money on
> one if it's for a base unit? The last time this was spammed, someone said
> "just use your computer". It's a lot easier than you would think,
> especially with a SoundBlaster sound card that has "EAX" audio control,
> which most of them do.

3785. Re: Speech Inversion descrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 22:18:03 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ufl6h6mon4fd06@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47601

"Don Williams" <dwwillams28947@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:ufkkrugbt7pi58@corp.supernews.com...
> "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> news:ufk4lcqcfm7815@corp.supernews.com...
> > Nope, still use it in my area... Generally the smaller cities and or
> towns
> > still use this type of scrambling... Bought one about a year back, and
> > cased it myself as a portable unit... works wonders...
> >
> > PH
>
> You actually bought one of these things? I could see it useful if you're
> using a portable and REALLY have a need to monitor that ONE time they may
> pass "encrypted" when out with your portable...but why waste your money on
> one if it's for a base unit? The last time this was spammed, someone said
> "just use your computer". It's a lot easier than you would think,
> especially with a SoundBlaster sound card that has "EAX" audio control,
> which most of them do.
>
At the time I bought it, I didn't know about the programs available from the
computer. I basically bought it for mobile use anyway, so it worked out in
my favor. I bought the smaller kit, so It really didn't set me back all
that much, as I separately purchased a case and jacks, etc., making the
whole thing fairly reasonably priced.

PH

3786. Re: Speech Inversion descrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 22:20:25 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ufl6lcpftq39c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47602

I have three different programs to do this. If you have access to
alt.binaries.radio-scanner, I can post all three up on that newsgroup.
Hopefully you are using Agent, or OE to read the newsgroups, this will
simplify the download from the newsgroup somewhat, as I can explain to you
how to reconnect the messages to for the programs...

PH

"Justin Wolcott" <Phreak480@erols.com> wrote in message
news:3CFA98B2.FD6E521A@erols.com...
> Well, can you post a link to the software to do so
> thankx
>
> Don Williams wrote:
>
> > "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> > news:ufk4lcqcfm7815@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Nope, still use it in my area... Generally the smaller cities and or
> > towns
> > > still use this type of scrambling... Bought one about a year back,
and
> > > cased it myself as a portable unit... works wonders...
> > >
> > > PH
> >
> > You actually bought one of these things? I could see it useful if
you're
> > using a portable and REALLY have a need to monitor that ONE time they
may
> > pass "encrypted" when out with your portable...but why waste your money
on
> > one if it's for a base unit? The last time this was spammed, someone
said
> > "just use your computer". It's a lot easier than you would think,
> > especially with a SoundBlaster sound card that has "EAX" audio control,
> > which most of them do.
>

3787. Re: Siemens cordless phones: Anyone own one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 22:24:18 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ufl6sqmne4m584@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47603

Based upon the picture, I would assume that it would be. The little black area under the earpiece is the give away to that. That would be where the hook holds onto the phone when it is wall mounted... I don't have any outright knowledge of the phone, but that is my best educated guess...

PH

<john63401@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:ncukfu4m87am05umk53oniv92ag3vkuqlq@4ax.com...
> I am in need of a new cordless phone for home use.
>
> I want a digital spread spectrum model for privacy.
>
> But I also want something that is wall mountable as it
> will be in a kitchen.
>
> Have my eye on the Siemens models..... but since no
> local dealer I can't eye ball one in the flesh. See
> link
>
> http://www.siemenscordless.com/crdless_phones/4015slvrblck.html
>
> Can anyone out there tell me if there 4000 series units
> are wall mountable?
>
> If they are not......any recommendations on a cordless
> phone with above specs?

3788. UHF telephone transmitter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 22:43:25 UTC
From: CoolDave_0@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1fa6f03d.0206021443.4cd530a9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47604

Hello, i'm a little interested in these extremely small UHF transmitters like the 1000meter range phone 'tap'. Could a scanner pick it up or would I need a special ABC reciever? Thanks

I own Pro-43

Dave

3789. Coat hanger antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 23:05:13 UTC
From: Martin <mk394@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uwxK8.3050$Av5.283849@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 47606
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Ok, forgive me for my ignorance, but could I improve my scanner reception by sticking a straightened coat hanger (or any other metal wire-type material) in the antenna socket and sticking it out the window? I live in a condo.

Just looking for an inexpensive way to pick up more stuff. I'm using an RS telescoping antenna for my handheld and notice little difference in performance from the rubber ducky it came with.

Thanks in advance.

3790. Re: Powerful scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 23:06:10 UTC
From: Max Imo <not@this.com>
Message-ID: <3cfaa4e1$0$3337$4c5ecdc7@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 47607
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

TROLL sighting!

And the troll circles round about, flashing his rotten teeth.

"emilio goldstien" <egoldstien@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:cLuK8.95687$cQ3.2958@sccrnsc01...
> I need a scanner with enough horsepower to listen to a woman's cell phone
> down the street. Is that possible? Would I have to tune the scanner or is
> there a cell phone button. The easier to use the better, as I will parked
> in the car at the time.
>
>

3791. Re: Two Scanners - One Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 23:06:45 UTC
From: erod1944@aol.com
Message-ID: <4052b83b.0206021506.595ab91@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47605
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Mike M. wrote in message news:<3cf877d5.8102863@news.charter.net>...
> I've been using a regular TV hybrid splitter with good results for
> several years. I use RG-6 coax and "F" to BNC adapters to connect to
> the scanners. There is some signal loss, but unless you are in a
> really weak signal area it works fine.
>
> On Sun, 26 May 2002 08:29:57 -0800, "Charles J. Coumbe"
> <dunestalker@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> >Hash: SHA1
> >
> >I have a Radio Shack dipole antenna I have been using on my BC855XLT
> >for the past few years with no problems (except for the fact that
> >there's really not much to listen to up here in Fairbanks, Alaska!).
> >I am in the process of acquiring a new BC245XLT next week and am
> >wondering if I can connect both scanners to the same antenna. One of
> >the reasons I'm getting a new scanner is related to the fact that I'm
> >getting ready to move to Omaha, Nebraska next month and will
> >most-likely have a lot more to listen to once all my stuff arrives
> >and I get everything setup again.
> >
> >Anyway, if connecting both scanners to the same antenna is not a
> >problem, what kind of splitter should I use? I suppose it would be
> >quite similar to connecting two TVs to the same antenna except for
> >the fact that the cables are quite different.
> >
> >I've got a Radio Shack up here where I can purchase the required
> >parts, but the folks who work there aren't very technically
> >proficient. :-(
> >
> >Thanks!!!!
> >
> >Charles
> >Fairbanks, Alaska
> >
> >p.s.--If anyone wants the Eielson AFB military freqs, email me.
> >
> >-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
> >Version: PGPfreeware 6.5.8 for non-commercial use <http://www.pgp.com>
> >Comment: Dune's Domain - http://www.coumbe.com/dune
> >
> >iQA/AwUBPPENYr0mrjBws5CUEQJzEwCg1XdBLhHGPo+JLNA6m1aimUZJgaUAoNJT

> >XcNud8E7wG03Tmnz0ZMcI8jr
> >=AQAv
> >-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

Using the TV Splitter is no real problem and works just fine with the RG-6 75ohm cable. About the only problems you get into running passive splitters occurs when certain frequencies generated by one scanner gets into the other scanner and locks it up. If you're in a real hot-bed of transmitters (like you live next to the Police Dispatch Office) you can have problems where the energy from those transmitters goes into your receiver and mixes with other frequencies subsequently generating frequencies (intermodulation frequencies) which once again locks up your scanners or makes a bunch of crazy noise.
I personally am running 12 (twelve) scanners via 3 passive TV splitters with a 30db amplifier in front of the splitters. I do not have a lot of trouble with "on-site" interference though there is some. I do not live in or near an RF HOTBED. As long as you live in a fairly benign RF area it isn't a real killer issue.

Ed/Atwater, CA
> >
> >
> >

3792. How to setup codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 23:40:12 UTC
From: "Stephen A. Langley" <makoosa@chartermi.net>
Message-ID: <uflb66odr44hcb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47608

Hi, I need help! I have a uniden "Bearcat" scanner, and I need to know how to setup the codes for it to work. I live in Midland, Mi

Thanks

Steve
makoosa@chartermi.net

Model: BC147XLT

3793. Re: Coat hanger antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-02 23:54:20 UTC
From: Willie <willie@home.net>
Message-ID: <uflbknigjh9300@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47609
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Make yourself a groung plane antenna out of a chassis mount connector from
rs.
a quarter wave antenna for 144 mhz optimum reciever and transmit performance
is calculated:
234/144 multiply by 12 gives you 19.5 inches
solder a wire from elec to brass rod to center pin of chassis mount
connector, from the metal bracket you have chosen to mount the connector to
add three counterpoise element's running down at a 45 degree angle. make a
small loop on them and bolt them to the chassis mount connector underneath.
use the same math as above for any freq you want to listen to.
Willie
"Martin" <mk394@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:uwxK8.3050$Av5.283849@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Ok, forgive me for my ignorance, but could I improve my scanner reception
by
> sticking a straightened coat hanger (or any other metal wire-type
material)
> in the antenna socket and sticking it out the window? I live in a condo.
>
> Just looking for an inexpensive way to pick up more stuff. I'm using an RS
> telescoping antenna for my handheld and notice little difference in
> performance from the rubber ducky it came with.
>
> Thanks in advance.
>
>

3794. Re: Powerful scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 00:01:06 UTC
From: Willie <willie@home.net>
Message-ID: <uflc1p61c1fu07@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47610
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

you need a freq counter and a 7 el quad and a special scanner i have. the
the stealth combo package i designed myself will cost you $5,000 American.
You pay shipping.
Willie
"emilio goldstien" <egoldstien@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:cLuK8.95687$cQ3.2958@sccrnsc01...
> I need a scanner with enough horsepower to listen to a woman's cell phone
> down the street. Is that possible? Would I have to tune the scanner or is
> there a cell phone button. The easier to use the better, as I will parked
> in the car at the time.
>
>

3795. A few scanning quesitons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 00:13:53 UTC
From: CoolDave_0@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1fa6f03d.0206021613.46dd7f9a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47611

What am I hearing on 450.012MHz its digital beeping 24/7/365. Also,
what do you think about the IC-R3? Is it any good?

Thanks

Dave

3796. Re: A few scanning quesitons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 00:34:57 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@1stconnect.com>
Message-ID: <XMyK8.10283$rX.1690443@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 47612

> What am I hearing on 450.012MHz its digital beeping 24/7/365. Also,

I live in the NYC are and hear it too (it bleeds over into the 70 cm band.
Think it's telemetry.

Roland

3797. APCO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 00:43:19 UTC
From: rfcommsys@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020602204319.01965.00001920@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 47613

What's the story on this APCO board that will supposedly be sold as an accessory to Uniden's new digital scanner? How will I know if it will work on MY local public safety Motorola trunked system (which went digital last year)? Will it work for ALL comon digital protocols, or only some?

3798. Re: Powerful scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 00:54:41 UTC
From: rfcommsys@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020602205441.01965.00001926@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 47614

egoldstien@sofa.net said:

>
>I need a scanner with enough horsepower to listen to a woman's cell phone
>down the street. Is that possible? Would I have to tune the scanner or is
>there a cell phone button. The easier to use the better, as I will parked
>in the car at the time.
>

I recommend the Collins R390A. You can hide it in your glove compartment.

3799. Re: my new scanner is driving me crazy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 00:59:51 UTC
From: rfcommsys@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020602205951.01965.00001928@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 47615

SugarBroker@webtv.net said:

>
>Have you spent any time reading the instructions . It must have come
>with some.Remember when all else fails read the instructions
>

Well, he COULD get a separate scanner for each frequency.

3800. Re: my new scanner is driving me crazy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 01:31:17 UTC
From: The Other Harry <hc.me@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3mhlfus14bc5grm6bkjhqm8nu1odredufd@4ax.com>
Source record: 47617

[On Sat, 1 Jun 2002 11:50:19 -0500 (CDT), SugarBroker@webtv.net
(Joseph Blanpain) wrote:]

> Have you spent any time reading the instructions . It must have come
> with some.Remember when all else fails read the instructions

I scanned them.

Harry ;)

3801. Another Great Seller On The NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 01:40:32 UTC
From: N1RSP <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <kOzK8.2500$nn1.555095@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 47616
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Yep I am braging about a guy on this NG that gave me a great deal and was a
pleasure to do buisness with.He is Steve WZ1U out of Lakeville, Mass.
I was able to meet him and pick up a nice mint condition Yaesu FT-50RD ht
from him at a really nice price.I can say that his equipment is really in
pristeen condition.So if you see him put something up for sale,don't
hesitate if you want it,as I won't.
Keep up the good deals Steve and I hope to deal with you again.
73s N1RSP--------Dick

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002

3802. Help Plzzzz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 02:18:19 UTC
From: Just_me <martian10@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <LIAK8.189845$t8_.70035@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 47618

Hand Scanner question again...
The best antenna for a vehicle....
I have a window mount but reception is so bad...
What would anyone suggest ?

3803. 260 MHz Satcom Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 02:21:37 UTC
From: linux <linux@znet.com>
Message-ID: <3CFAD2B1.5DBD@znet.com>
Source record: 47619

I have a Dorne & Margolin right hand circular polarization 260 MHz satcom antenna. I also have a Advanced Receiver Research pre-amp that was made for 260 MHz as well. This whole set-up includes antenna, manual, pre-amp, and carry case.

This system worked great with my Icom R-7000, but now I spend more time on the computer, so time to clear out the garage. I will e-mail photos to anyone interested. I would like $250 + shipping.

Please e-mail me at linux@znet.com

3804. REQ: Info on Mr Ethos' scanner and equipment sale ... please ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 03:30:44 UTC
From: a_alpha1 <a_alpha1@email.com>
Message-ID: <kdolfukjtb9ba3bmn8f7bfjjnalo3ek0nc@4ax.com>
Source record: 47620
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I heard on the canuck IRC that there is going to be a sale of
Scanner and monitoring equipment which are the remaining
stock / personal items of the famous / infamous Mr. Ethos .

If someone knows the person representing Mr. Ethos
(I know he's not doing it personally) and if someone
has information or a list of equipment , please let me know.
Respond to my email address or here on the NG .

I'm definitely interested in seeing what he will have available,
be sure to contact me .

thanks in advance
a_alpha1

p.s. ..with there be a private sale or ebay auctions ..??
(or maybe a combination of both ..?)

3805. Re: Powerful scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 04:20:35 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <3krlfu4o6kg6apflghj7alshgjhri1b6hs@4ax.com>
Source record: 47621
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

While savoring a President Clinton 'special' cigar, "emilio goldstien"
<egoldstien@sofa.net>
scribbled...

>I need a scanner with enough horsepower to listen to a woman's cell phone
>down the street. Is that possible? Would I have to tune the scanner or is
>there a cell phone button. The easier to use the better, as I will parked
>in the car at the time.

Emilio, go suck a rotten lemon.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

3806. Magazines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 04:24:32 UTC
From: "news-server@cfl.rr.com" <cueball7@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <4cCK8.59405$ec1.1075634@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 47622

What magazines do you read/subscribe to? I wanted to subscribe to a scanner magazine, but the only one I could find locally was "Monitoring Times". I wanted to compare it to "National Communications" but newstands don't carry it here. Are there any other mags out there?
Thanks,
Chris Justice
Cape Canaveral, FL

3807. Re: APCO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 04:25:47 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <isrlfug22rbv9ver971gj37rn1np11ps50@4ax.com>
Source record: 47623

While savoring a President Clinton 'special' cigar, rfcommsys@aol.com (RFCOMMSYS) scribbled...

>What's the story on this APCO board that will supposedly be sold as an
>accessory to Uniden's new digital scanner? How will I know if it will work on
>MY local public safety Motorola trunked system (which went digital last year)?
>Will it work for ALL comon digital protocols, or only some?

If your local system is Motorola digital, and recent, it's APCO25,
and the new scanner should work.

Unless it's encrypted, of course.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

3808. Re: Powerful scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 05:51:21 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13931-3CFB03D9-75@storefull-2336.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 47624

To the original 'poster'...Emilio?? Son, is that you?? I told you son, that girl is not for you! There are countless other women out there who are NOT prostitutes and single! She's seeing other men because it's her JOB Emilio! Why on earth would you want a woman with a fire breathing Dragon tattooed on her left butt cheek and gives lousy head?

3809. Re: Powerful scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 07:05:21 UTC
From: R1rob
Message-ID: <3cfb22cd.0@mercury.planet.net.au>
Source record: 47625

and jumping crabs!

"Vic Martinez" <t1000@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13931-3CFB03D9-75@storefull-2336.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> To the original 'poster'...Emilio?? Son, is that you?? I told you son,
> that girl is not for you! There are countless other women out there who
> are NOT prostitutes and single! She's seeing other men because it's her
> JOB Emilio! Why on earth would you want a woman with a fire breathing
> Dragon tattooed on her left butt cheek and gives lousy head?
>

3810. Re: Help Plzzzz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 10:27:05 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3CFB4479.FD0B2DAE@mitre.org>
Source record: 47626

Try a Radio Shack Mag Mount scanner antenna or go to
GroveEnterprises <http://www.grove-ent>
they have a small mag mount antenna. I us a Radio Shack Cellphone mag
mount,
mostly for trunking but its' good down into the civilian aircraft band,
but it needs an adapter.
Which should be available at Radio Shack
A lip/gutter clamp mount is another way to go, with out drilling!
Scanner World may have them.
Jim

Just_me wrote:

> Hand Scanner question again...
> The best antenna for a vehicle....
> I have a window mount but reception is so bad...
> What would anyone suggest ?

3811. Re: ICOM R-2 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 11:37:53 UTC
From: Ashley <asaunders82@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <adfker$10hn34$2@ID-138054.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47627

I have had an R2 for some years now and I really like it. At the time it was the smallest scanner that took AA batteries and may still be. I really like the idea of being able to get batteries anywhere if my Ni-Mh's go flat. I like the performance and size. Small enough to always have on you, Easy to hide if the need be. I use it both with and without external antennas. I would purchase the programming kit and some nice 1800maH
Ni-Mh rechargeable and a good charger. Mine lasts ages on 1300maH. A few of my friends have purchased one after using mine.
The only bad thing I have to say about it is that there is no SSB and the belt clips brake quite easily but are also cheap.

AJS

"Jim Vecchiola" <jimkr2t@magpage.com> wrote in message
news:addsis$6ps$0@216.155.62.162...
> You are correct, there is no keypad. You enter frequencies by tuning
> them in the VFO mode (you can tune quickly by "jumping" across by MHz,
> then tune fine by stepping by kHz). Once you've tuned to the channel,
> you can enter it into memory. Not a very swift way of loading memory,
> but it's not something you would do often. The R2 is a very sensitive
> rig, but I wouldn't recommend it to someone looking for their first
> scanner.
>
> KR2T
>
>
> Richard Broomfield wrote:
> >
> > I am in the market for a wide band handheld and would like any info or
> > comments anyone has on the ICOM R-2. I don't seem to see a keypad in
> > the pictures and wonder how you enter frequencies. Any comments would
> > be appreciated.
> > Richard
> > K1TAV
> > broomfield@worldnet.att.net

3812. Re: ICOM R-2 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 11:39:24 UTC
From: Richard Broomfield <broomfield@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3CFB54E5.E8A8B0AF@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 47628

Thanks for the info.
I have several scanners at my house, and one in my car; I am looking for a portable that I can take to work, or when I am out on foot, so this rig sounds pretty decent.
In order to get a euro model do I need to have it shipped out of the country?
anyway, thanks for the input, and I will let you know if I go ahead and get one.

Richard
K1TAV

3813. Re: Magazines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 12:26:35 UTC
From: Oldtime Radio <nospam@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3vnmfuki7961jph2c7jj68q3gtr4nn6ifb@4ax.com>
Source record: 47629

I've never seen National Communications. Monitoring Times is hard to beat. Not as good as it was years ago, but still a good magazine. 15 years ago, it was the only magazine I had ever subscribed to that I actually read cover to cover. Maybe I'm just not as interested these days.

OTR

On Mon, 03 Jun 2002 04:24:32 GMT, "news-server@cfl.rr.com" <cueball7@cfl.rr.com> wrote:

>What magazines do you read/subscribe to? I wanted to subscribe to a scanner
>magazine, but the only one I could find locally was "Monitoring Times". I
>wanted to compare it to "National Communications" but newstands don't carry
>it here. Are there any other mags out there?
>Thanks,
>Chris Justice
>Cape Canaveral, FL
>

3814. Re: Siemens cordless phones: Anyone own one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 12:44:46 UTC
From: JoAnne Maenpaa <Joanne.Maenpaa@motorola.com>
Message-ID: <adfo71evr1@newshost.mot.com>
Source record: 47630

> Have my eye on the Siemens models

I own a Siemens 2 GHz spread spectrum cordless phone.
It is the worst cordless phone I have ever owned. The
display menus are awkward to navigate. At least 1 out
of 4 calls made with that phone are illegible. The person
at the other end usually complains of only hearing the first
syllable of each word and the rest is "cut out". Switching
to my other cordless phone usually solves the Siemens
problem.

That's my experience with Siemens cordless phones.

JoAnne WB9JEJ

3815. Re: LiveAudio: Daytona Beach Beach Patrol.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 13:39:23 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020603093923.19816.00000967@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 47631

In article <3CFA2704.8020602@licensed4fun.com>, GeorgeF
<av8tor@licensed4fun.com> writes:

>Have fun, when it gets hot it gets active on the Worlds Most Famous Beach!

Would love to hear this on Black College Reunion weekend.

3816. Re: damned RIAA/MPAA tyrants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 13:44:38 UTC
From: Bill Van Dyk <bvandyk@christian-horizons.org>
Message-ID: <3CFB72C6.A6CF03D2@christian-horizons.org>
Source record: 47632
Cross-posted to: rec.video.production,rec.video.desktop,rec.radio.scanner,alt.society.civil-liberty,rec.video.cable-tv

I think people better pay attention to this.

3817. BearCat Scanner BC147XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 13:51:04 UTC
From: "Stephen A. Langley" <makoosa@chartermi.net>
Message-ID: <ufmt1b9408lcc7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47633

I need help with scanner codes and how to put them in, for a scanner that I have. I live in Midland MI. If there is anyone that can help I would be greatly appreciative.

Thanks

Steve
makoosa@chartermi.net

3818. frequence

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 14:39:43 UTC
From: Leif <leif-rfa@online.no>
Message-ID: <PcLK8.8783$_15.261967@news4.ulv.nextra.no>
Source record: 47634

Looking for the frequency for the Police in Ask�y outside Bergen ,Norway.
Wanna help anybody?

--

Leif

(Alle mine mailer og vedlegg blir automatisk virussjekket f�r de sendes)

3819. Re: Siemens cordless phones: Anyone own one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 14:48:44 UTC
From: john63401@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <bb0nfu0bhnsmt68kir3ibf68f0d807q747@4ax.com>
Source record: 47635

>That's my experience with Siemens cordless phones.

OK Joanne thanks.

Any cordless phones that you WOULD recommend that is
DSS ....and wall mountable?

Also... should I stick with 900 mhz to avoid
interference..... or is 2.4 gig OK in that respect
also?

3820. Re: BearCat Scanner BC147XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 15:21:26 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ufn2fs7hfm4k2b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47636

Entry is pretty easy. I'm not familiar with your unit, however generally most bearcats are all the same. Get the frequencies for your area, find an empty channel in the scanner, and type in the frequency (xxx.xxx). Press the enter or "E" button. Move to the next channel, and start the process over again.

This is off topic, but I see CharterMI... What do you think of the latest news? Price increase again... Getting to be ridiculous in my opinion. It's been less than a year since the last increase, if my memory serves me correctly...

PH

"Stephen A. Langley" <makoosa@chartermi.net> wrote in message news:ufmt1b9408lcc7@corp.supernews.com...
> I need help with scanner codes and how to put them in, for a scanner that I
> have. I live in Midland MI. If there is anyone that can help I would be
> greatly appreciative.
>
> Thanks
>
> Steve
> makoosa@chartermi.net
>
>

3821. Re: Coat hanger antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 15:25:52 UTC
From: RustY <RustY@Hotmale.UK>
Message-ID: <rULK8.1012$u5.83378@news8-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 47637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Martin" <mk394@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:uwxK8.3050$Av5.283849@news20.bellglobal.com...
could I improve my scanner reception by
> sticking a straightened coat hanger ....

Yes you could. You may even find that you do not need to straighten it.
With scanners the only rule is that the bigger and higher the aerial the
better. You will also have success with welding rods, electrical wire and
any other metal objects that you can connect.

Happy Scanning

RustY

3822. Re: Siemens cordless phones: Anyone own one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 15:29:07 UTC
From: JoAnne Maenpaa <Joanne.Maenpaa@motorola.com>
Message-ID: <adg1r4$id1$1@newshost.mot.com>
Source record: 47639

> Any cordless phones that you WOULD recommend that is
> DSS ....and wall mountable?

I don't have any more experience with the DSS phones other than the Siemens one that doesn't work all that well. My other cordless phone is a 900 MHz Panasonic model (non-digital). Siemens' claim of "crystal clear extended range" caught my eye in the store. Heh! I guess their marketing department works better than their phone engineering department ;-)

> Also... should I stick with 900 mhz to avoid
> interference..... or is 2.4 gig OK in that respect
> also?

I guess choosing which band is one of those "well, it depends" answers. Any interference may likely first arise from other cordless telephone users nearby your house since all cordless phones operate on the same channels. I've yet to encounter interference from outside sources like cell sites, public safety, or amateur radio.

3823. Re: Powerful scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 15:33:49 UTC
From: RustY <RustY@Hotmale.UK>
Message-ID: <Q%LK8.1037$u5.84737@news8-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 47638
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"emilio goldstien" <egoldstien@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:cLuK8.95687$cQ3.2958@sccrnsc01...
> I need a scanner with enough horsepower to listen to a woman's cell phone
> down the street. Is that possible? Would I have to tune the scanner or is
> there a cell phone button. The easier to use the better, as I will parked
> in the car at the time.
>
>
Any scanner that receives cell phones will do. As long as you are in the
same cell as the woman you will hear both sides of the conversation with no
breaks. You do not need a high powered scanner at all but maybe a quick
scanning one would be useful. How will you know what frequency she will be
assigned by the system ? - you won't. You'll have to scan quickly through
all possible frequencies deleting other conversations until she makes a
call. You could be there for some time !

RustY

3824. Re: Powerful scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 15:43:29 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <adg41h$10p3nq$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47642
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"emilio goldstien" <egoldstien@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:cLuK8.95687$cQ3.2958@sccrnsc01...
> I need a scanner with enough horsepower to listen to a woman's cell phone
> down the street. Is that possible? Would I have to tune the scanner or is
> there a cell phone button. The easier to use the better, as I will parked
> in the car at the time.
>
>

What are you, a stalker?

3825. Re: Powerful scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 15:45:24 UTC
From: Randy <bearcat@astound.net>
Message-ID: <3CFB8F14.9080605@astound.net>
Source record: 47640

Actually, it is a cross dresser and he hasn't figured it out yet.

Vic Martinez wrote:

> To the original 'poster'...Emilio?? Son, is that you?? I told you son,
> that girl is not for you! There are countless other women out there who
> are NOT prostitutes and single! She's seeing other men because it's her
> JOB Emilio! Why on earth would you want a woman with a fire breathing
> Dragon tattooed on her left butt cheek and gives lousy head?
>
>

3826. Re: Info on Mr Ethos' scanner and equipment sale ... please ... FYI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 16:03:53 UTC
From: &#65533; Xmas I Am &#65533; <N4GRY@xmas-i-am>
Message-ID: <3cfb93cb@skycache-news.fidnet.com>
Source record: 47641
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

FYI

I will be handling the Mr. Ethos sale of equipment. We are getting together
a list of the equipment and I will be posting that list on my web site in a
few days...

When I have the list on the web site I will post the link here...

--
&#65533; Xmas I Am &#65533;

www.xmas-i-am.com
=====================
"a_alpha1" <a_alpha1@email.com> wrote in message
news:kdolfukjtb9ba3bmn8f7bfjjnalo3ek0nc@4ax.com...
|
| I heard on the canuck IRC that there is going to be a sale of
| Scanner and monitoring equipment which are the remaining
| stock / personal items of the famous / infamous Mr. Ethos .
|
| If someone knows the person representing Mr. Ethos
| (I know he's not doing it personally) and if someone
| has information or a list of equipment , please let me know.
| Respond to my email address or here on the NG .
|
| I'm definitely interested in seeing what he will have available,
| be sure to contact me .
|
| thanks in advance
| a_alpha1
|
| p.s. ..with there be a private sale or ebay auctions ..??
| (or maybe a combination of both ..?)

3827. Re: LiveAudio: Daytona Beach Beach Patrol.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 17:01:10 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CFBA0D6.9080803@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 47643

>
> Would love to hear this on Black College Reunion weekend.
>

I did broadcast during BCR as well as Spring Break and Bike Week.
However I will say that without a question BCR was the worse for police activity but the fewest amount of people attending.

Living in Daytona beach I'll take BikeWeekers ANY DAY!

Bikeweek had over 500,000 bikers attending.
BCR only had 100,000 people attending, but the police had to spend over 250% more funds than they did during bikeweek.....

I'll be broadcasting the NASCAR Driver/Crew comms during the Pepsi 400 4th of July weekend....

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

3828. WTB ICOM IC-2000 MIC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 17:20:04 UTC
From: abbylind@aol.com
Message-ID: <b1bfa7c.0206030920.4f67eb6e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47644
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Looking for a microphone for an Icom IC-2000 mobile
Any help is appreciated

Fowler
abbylind@aol.com

3829. Will I hear anything on these aircraft frequencies PDX?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 17:45:06 UTC
From: doidy1@juno.com
Message-ID: <3a26ea1.0206030945.318ca696@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47645

Hi, I just purchased a used Regency scanner for aircraft frequencies.
The crystals installed were for the following frequencies:

118.10
119.80
118.70
124.90
126.90
119.00
121.90

will i be able to pick up anything in the Portland area? I am about 8
or so miles from the airport and am using the telescoping antenna.
The receiver picks up 118-128 mhz. Is there better crystals to get
for this area, and if so what frequencies and can these still be
purchased at Radio Shack?

thanks,
LP

3830. Re: A few scanning quesitons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 17:46:25 UTC
From: Ben <xxxxx@xxxxx.net>
Message-ID: <jqanfusfadv3ug3hd1vhjsju6dgn1qopb4@4ax.com>
Source record: 47646

telemetry from a local broadcast station. It sends info from the
transmitter site back to the studio.

Ben

On Sun, 2 Jun 2002 20:34:57 -0400, "Roland Stiner"
<nk2u@1stconnect.com> wrote:

>> What am I hearing on 450.012MHz its digital beeping 24/7/365. Also,
>
>I live in the NYC are and hear it too (it bleeds over into the 70 cm band.
>Think it's telemetry.
>
>Roland
>
>

3831. Re: Speech Inversion descrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 18:38:24 UTC
From: Justin Wolcott <Phreak480@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3CFBB830.6FF6DD13@erols.com>
Source record: 47497

I have just went and downloaded agent and set it up
so fire away with your explanation.

-Justin

Power House wrote:

> I have three different programs to do this. If you have access to
> alt.binaries.radio-scanner, I can post all three up on that newsgroup.
> Hopefully you are using Agent, or OE to read the newsgroups, this will
> simplify the download from the newsgroup somewhat, as I can explain to you
> how to reconnect the messages to for the programs...
>
> PH
>
> "Justin Wolcott" <Phreak480@erols.com> wrote in message
> news:3CFA98B2.FD6E521A@erols.com...
> > Well, can you post a link to the software to do so
> > thankx
> >
> > Don Williams wrote:
> >
> > > "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> > > news:ufk4lcqcfm7815@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > Nope, still use it in my area... Generally the smaller cities and or
> > > towns
> > > > still use this type of scrambling... Bought one about a year back,
> and
> > > > cased it myself as a portable unit... works wonders...
> > > >
> > > > PH
> > > >
> > > You actually bought one of these things? I could see it useful if
> you're
> > > using a portable and REALLY have a need to monitor that ONE time they
> may
> > > pass "encrypted" when out with your portable...but why waste your money
> on
> > > one if it's for a base unit? The last time this was spammed, someone
> said
> > > "just use your computer". It's a lot easier than you would think,
> > > especially with a SoundBlaster sound card that has "EAX" audio control,
> > > which most of them do.
> >

3832. Re: Magazines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 19:01:23 UTC
From: ScannerFan <ListeningToTheRadio@My10-20.com>
Message-ID: <72PK8.197448$t8_.705@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 47498

You can also check out Popular Communications. At
http://www.popular-communications.com/ Another good mag. I find Monitoring
Times and PopComm to both have really good selected articles for each.

"Oldtime Radio" <nospam@aol.com> wrote in message
news:3vnmfuki7961jph2c7jj68q3gtr4nn6ifb@4ax.com...
> I've never seen National Communications. Monitoring Times is hard to
> beat. Not as good as it was years ago, but still a good magazine. 15
> years ago, it was the only magazine I had ever subscribed to that I
> actually read cover to cover. Maybe I'm just not as interested these
> days.
>
> OTR
>
> On Mon, 03 Jun 2002 04:24:32 GMT, "news-server@cfl.rr.com"
> <cueball7@cfl.rr.com> wrote:
>
> >What magazines do you read/subscribe to? I wanted to subscribe to a
scanner
> >magazine, but the only one I could find locally was "Monitoring Times".
I
> >wanted to compare it to "National Communications" but newstands don't
carry
> >it here. Are there any other mags out there?
> >Thanks,
> >Chris Justice
> >Cape Canaveral, FL
> >
>

3833. Re: Powerful scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 19:04:51 UTC
From: ScannerFan <ListeningToTheRadio@My10-20.com>
Message-ID: <n5PK8.197450$t8_.157558@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 47499
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

My thought's exactly. I think we've given him too much info without knowing about him.

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:adg41h$10p3nq$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...

> >
>
> What are you, a stalker?
>
>
>
>

3834. Re: LiveAudio: Daytona Beach Beach Patrol.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 19:07:58 UTC
From: ScannerFan <ListeningToTheRadio@My10-20.com>
Message-ID: <i8PK8.197453$t8_.160923@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 47500

Everyone make sure everyone bookmarks this one.

The last one broadcast from there was great. How many listeners at one time was there. Like 200??

Pepsi400 ??? I though that was in Michigan in Aug. and somewhere else at another place. But not in Florida.

Will have to watch that race.

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message news:3CFBA0D6.9080803@licensed4fun.com...
>
> >
> > Would love to hear this on Black College Reunion weekend.
> >
>
>
> I did broadcast during BCR as well as Spring Break and Bike Week.
> However I will say that without a question BCR was the worse for police
> activity but the fewest amount of people attending.
>
> Living in Daytona beach I'll take BikeWeekers ANY DAY!
>
>
> Bikeweek had over 500,000 bikers attending.
> BCR only had 100,000 people attending, but the police had to spend over
> 250% more funds than they did during bikeweek.....
>
> I'll be broadcasting the NASCAR Driver/Crew comms during the Pepsi 400
> 4th of July weekend....
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com
>
>
>

3835. Re: LiveAudio: Daytona Beach Beach Patrol.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 19:22:32 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CFBC1F7.3060306@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 47501

ScannerFan wrote:

> Everyone make sure everyone bookmarks this one.
>
> The last one broadcast from there was great. How many listeners at one time
> was there. Like 200??
>
> Pepsi400 ??? I though that was in Michigan in Aug. and somewhere else at
> another place. But not in Florida.
>
> Will have to watch that race.

I just confirmed, the Pepsi 400 is at Daytona this year, July 4th. I do plan on broadcasting.

During the Daytona 500 I did the live scanner broadcast of Driver/Crew freqs and had 120 listeners. However I had only advertised I was doing the Daytona 500 several hours before the race. At the time I was having problems figuring out how to get the live broadcast to work. Only got the bugs worked out a few hours before the race. I'm sure we'll have over 200 for the Pepsi 400 as I'll advertise more and sooner....

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

3836. Re: Siemens cordless phones: Anyone own one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 19:23:01 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7agnfu4q4i0optrckp3mgnpa8sb61avhko@4ax.com>
Source record: 47502

On Sun, 02 Jun 2002 19:59:02 GMT, john63401@yahoo.com wrote:

>I am in need of a new cordless phone for home use.
>
>I want a digital spread spectrum model for privacy.
>
>But I also want something that is wall mountable as it
>will be in a kitchen.

I use a Sanyo DSSS phone and it sees quite a bit of kitchen exposure.
it works very well, has good audio, good range, and I've been using it
for a couple years with very few problems (battery life is an issue
these days because one of the spring loaded connectors doesn't always
make contact with the phone in the cradle).

There are worse phones for sale these days...

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

3837. Re: Powerful scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 20:17:55 UTC
From: Noel Glass <noel@171lowstreet.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <adgita$b9h$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 47503
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You want to get a life before you get life (inside)
"emilio goldstien" <egoldstien@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:cLuK8.95687$cQ3.2958@sccrnsc01...
> I need a scanner with enough horsepower to listen to a woman's cell phone
> down the street. Is that possible? Would I have to tune the scanner or is
> there a cell phone button. The easier to use the better, as I will parked
> in the car at the time.
>
>

3838. Q: Antenna Upgrades on Radio Shack Scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 20:56:27 UTC
From: miketeachr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020603165627.26037.00002099@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 47504

Hello!

I Have a Radio Shack Pro-70 Hyperscan Scanner. Any recommendations for an antenna upgrade?

I'm also getting an old Radio Shack Pro-2035 Base Scanner.
I have a CCrane Antenna that clips on to my Shortwave [forget what its called but its basically a long length of wire on a reel with a 1/8" + a clip for clipping directly on to an existing anntenna.
Will the above help with the Pro-2035?
THANX! Mike Miketeachr@aol.com

3839. Re: Will I hear anything on these aircraft frequencies PDX?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 21:39:16 UTC
From: Den6N <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <ufnofgkbun6dd4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47505

Go to http://www.airnav.com and you can look up the frequencies used at any airport. You
may be able to get the crystals at RS, I don't know. If not, there should be somewhere on the
net where they're available.

Den6N
Putnam Co NY

"Luke Perry" <doidy1@juno.com> wrote in message
news:3a26ea1.0206030945.318ca696@posting.google.com...
> Hi, I just purchased a used Regency scanner for aircraft frequencies.
> The crystals installed were for the following frequencies:
>
> 118.10
> 119.80
> 118.70
> 124.90
> 126.90
> 119.00
> 121.90
>
> will i be able to pick up anything in the Portland area? I am about 8
> or so miles from the airport and am using the telescoping antenna.
> The receiver picks up 118-128 mhz. Is there better crystals to get
> for this area, and if so what frequencies and can these still be
> purchased at Radio Shack?
>
> thanks,
> LP

3840. Re: Speech Inversion descrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 21:40:50 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ufnoloi4qhhf45@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47506

OK, Agent should connect the messages for you, so there will not need to be much explanation. You do have alt.binaries.radio-scanner, correct? I will be posting the files a few minutes after posting this...

PH

"Justin Wolcott" <Phreak480@erols.com> wrote in message news:3CFBB830.6FF6DD13@erols.com...
> I have just went and downloaded agent and set it up
> so fire away with your explanation.
>
> -Justin
>
> Power House wrote:
>
> > I have three different programs to do this. If you have access to
> > alt.binaries.radio-scanner, I can post all three up on that newsgroup.
> > Hopefully you are using Agent, or OE to read the newsgroups, this will
> > simplify the download from the newsgroup somewhat, as I can explain to you
> > how to reconnect the messages to for the programs...
> >
> > PH
> >
> > "Justin Wolcott" <Phreak480@erols.com> wrote in message
> > news:3CFA98B2.FD6E521A@erols.com...
> > > Well, can you post a link to the software to do so
> > > thankx
> > >
> > > Don Williams wrote:
> > >
> > > > "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:ufk4lcqcfm7815@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > Nope, still use it in my area... Generally the smaller cities and or
> > > > towns
> > > > > still use this type of scrambling... Bought one about a year back,
> > and
> > > > > cased it myself as a portable unit... works wonders...
> > > > >
> > > > > PH
> > > >
> > > > You actually bought one of these things? I could see it useful if
> > you're

> > > > using a portable and REALLY have a need to monitor that ONE time
they
> > may
> > > > pass "encrypted" when out with your portable...but why waste your
money
> > on
> > > > one if it's for a base unit? The last time this was spammed,
someone
> > said
> > > > "just use your computer". It's a lot easier than you would think,
> > > > especially with a SoundBlaster sound card that has "EAX" audio
control,
> > > > which most of them do.
> > >
>

3841. Re: ACARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 22:56:14 UTC
From: Thomas McQuiggan <tommcq@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <3cfbf233_3@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 47507

Hi John,
Almost *any* modern soundcard will work with WACARS but you need to accept
that as good as it is, Wacars is not the best decoder out there. If you can
stretch to a Creative Labs Live! card or equivalent, then get one of those
and then go click on the link below for more ACARS info.

http://web.ukonline.co.uk./sadmutha/ar3000/acarsinfo.htm

Tom www.ar3000.info

"JohnK" <nospam.greekfreak@acmemail.net> wrote in message
news:addp7v$7lh$1@usenet.otenet.gr...
> Please suggest me a cheap soundcard which is able to work with WACARS
>
> Thanks
>
>

3842. Free for first 22,500 days - Electronic Log

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 23:01:04 UTC
From: Thomas McQuiggan <tommcq@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <3cfbf355$1_2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 47508
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

You are invited to download your free version of an electronic log-book
built around Access2000. Has many great features including a worldwide
"Station Locator" to help you identify those distant stations.

http://web.ukonline.co.uk./sadmutha/ar3000/mcqlog.htm

--
Regards, Tom.
*..• •••)) -:•:-
•.•• .•••••))
((••.•• .•• ..-:•:- * www.ar3000.info * -:•:-
-:•:- ((••.••*

3843. Re: Powerful scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 23:36:54 UTC
From: Lee <lee@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <q4TK8.27484$m9.669@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 47509
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Sounds like a stalker in training, guys like that get a free hang up in the
big house.

Lee
E.T.

"Noel Glass" <noel@171lowstreet.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:adgita$b9h$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk...
> You want to get a life before you get life (inside)
> "emilio goldstien" <egoldstien@sofa.net> wrote in message
> news:cLuK8.95687$cQ3.2958@sccrnsc01...
> > I need a scanner with enough horsepower to listen to a woman's cell
phone
> > down the street. Is that possible? Would I have to tune the scanner or
is
> > there a cell phone button. The easier to use the better, as I will
parked
> > in the car at the time.
> >
> >
>
>

3844. Re: Key West FL PD,FD PL's ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-03 23:37:54 UTC
From: h2osaltt@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020603193754.18462.00000069@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 47510

.

3845. Re: Coat hanger antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 00:59:22 UTC
From: Wiz <mtaustin@onr.com>
Message-ID: <KhUK8.149789$9F5.8336165@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 47512
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You might try a piece of wire with an alligator clip on each end. One end to your scanner antenna and the other to the window screen. Be sure to pick or scratch a paint free spot on the screen.
mark
"Martin" <mk394@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:uwxK8.3050$Av5.283849@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Ok, forgive me for my ignorance, but could I improve my scanner reception
by
> sticking a straightened coat hanger (or any other metal wire-type
material)
> in the antenna socket and sticking it out the window? I live in a condo.
>
> Just looking for an inexpensive way to pick up more stuff. I'm using an RS
> telescoping antenna for my handheld and notice little difference in
> performance from the rubber ducky it came with.
>
> Thanks in advance.
>
>

3846. FS: AR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 01:54:23 UTC
From: Richard Kempe <r.kempe@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <j5VK8.22054$UT.1510893@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 47513

For anyone interested, I have an excellent condition, full coverage AOR AR8000 with Optolinx and ScanStar for sale, contact me by e-mail for details. Thanks!

3847. Re: Another Great Seller On The NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 02:02:10 UTC
From: Brian <KG4IJR@atmc.net>
Message-ID: <adh7aa$fg16$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 47514
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"N1RSP" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:kOzK8.2500$nn1.555095@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> Yep I am braging about a guy on this NG that gave me a great deal and was
a
> pleasure to do buisness with.He is Steve WZ1U out of Lakeville, Mass.
> I was able to meet him and pick up a nice mint condition Yaesu FT-50RD ht
> from him at a really nice price.I can say that his equipment is really in
> pristeen condition.So if you see him put something up for sale,don't
> hesitate if you want it,as I won't.
> Keep up the good deals Steve and I hope to deal with you again.
> 73s N1RSP--------Dick
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.351 / Virus Database: 197 - Release Date: 4/19/2002
>
>

Dick -

You said that right. I bought some gear from Steve a while back; I can't remember if I made good comments about him in the newsgroups after the fact, but if I didn't, I do now. His item was exactly as described, he was a gentleman throughout, and he went out of his way to send many, many pictures via email. I agree with you 100 percent, I would deal with him again without question!

Brian KG4IJR

3848. NYPD/EMS FREQUENCIES!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 02:06:35 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <3cfc205a.3322217@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 47515

HI
it's me again just looking for an up to date source for frequencies
for my new radio nypd and nys ems
thanks gig@i-2000.com

3849. Re: Speech Inversion descrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 02:06:57 UTC
From: Justin Wolcott <Phreak480@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3CFC2150.FD21CA35@erols.com>
Source record: 47516

thanks

-Justin

Power House wrote:

> OK, Agent should connect the messages for you, so there will not need to be
> much explanation. You do have alt.binaries.radio-scanner, correct? I will
> be posting the files a few minutes after posting this...
>
> PH
>
> "Justin Wolcott" <Phreak480@erols.com> wrote in message
> news:3CFBB830.6FF6DD13@erols.com...
> > I have just went and downloaded agent and set it up
> > so fire away with your explanation.
> >
> > -Justin
> >
> > Power House wrote:
> >
> > > I have three different programs to do this. If you have access to
> > > alt.binaries.radio-scanner, I can post all three up on that newsgroup.
> > > Hopefully you are using Agent, or OE to read the newsgroups, this will
> > > simplify the download from the newsgroup somewhat, as I can explain to
> you
> > > how to reconnect the messages to for the programs...
> > >
> > > PH
> > >
> > > "Justin Wolcott" <Phreak480@erols.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3CFA98B2.FD6E521A@erols.com...
> > > > Well, can you post a link to the software to do so
> > > > thankx
> > > >
> > > > Don Williams wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:ufk4lcqcfm7815@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > > Nope, still use it in my area... Generally the smaller cities and
> or
> > > > > towns
> > > > > > still use this type of scrambling... Bought one about a year
> back,
> > > and

> > > > > > cased it myself as a portable unit... works wonders...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > PH
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You actually bought one of these things? I could see it useful if
> > > you're
> > > > > > using a portable and REALLY have a need to monitor that ONE time
> they
> > > may
> > > > > > pass "encrypted" when out with your portable...but why waste your
> money
> > > on
> > > > > > one if it's for a base unit? The last time this was spammed,
> someone
> > > said
> > > > > > "just use your computer". It's a lot easier than you would think,
> > > > > > especially with a SoundBlaster sound card that has "EAX" audio
> control,
> > > > > > which most of them do.
> > > > > >
> > >

3850. Re: AR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 02:15:44 UTC
From: MiamiXJ <miamixj@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3eVK8.10376$_p6.1899104@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 47517

Hi I am interested in the optolinx I too have AOR 8K. Why ya selling, geat scanner..What is SCANSTAR?

Jorge

"Richard Kempe" <r.kempe@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:j5VK8.22054$UT.1510893@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> For anyone interested, I have an excellent condition, full coverage AOR
> AR8000 with Optolinx and ScanStar for sale, contact me by e-mail for
> details. Thanks!
>
>

3851. Re: Alinco DJ-X2T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 02:37:46 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <bob@parnassXcutX.org>
Message-ID: <3cfc281e$0$1411$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 47518
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Here is a portion of my Alinco DJ-X2T review
in December 2000 Monitoring Times:

Performance

"We borrowed two DJ-X2T scanners for testing. The first one (s/n
T000521)receives all FM signals accompanied by a high noise level
and further testing shows the radio to be defective.
The replacement DJ-X2T (s/n T000818) performs better.

Audio from the thumb tip sized speaker is sufficient for listening
in a quiet room, but far too weak for use in a noisy room or outdoors.
DJ-X2T volume may be set at one of 20 discrete levels and we had
to set it at levels 17 and 18 in a quiet room.
Our IC-R2 easily has the best audio of the three scanners,
with the VR-500 in between. Both have larger speakers than the DJ-X2T.
It's best to use the DJ-X2T with an earphone.
The supplied ear bud is padded, fitted with a subminiature (3/16ï¿½)
plug, and reproduces sufficient, pleasant audio.

A squelch tail is the noise burst (ï¿½kerchunkï¿½ sound) heard
at the end of a transmission. We measured our DJ-X2T's
squelch tail at 100 ms. The accompanying chart shows our VR-500's
tail at 35 ms and our IC-R2 has a brief 10 ms tail.

Our DJ-X2T is remarkably sensitive except for a deaf spot
near 500 MHz. The 145 - 160 MHz band is peppered with intermod
products from pagers and a 162.4 MHz NOAA weather transmitter
while using an outdoor antenna. Our VR-500 and IC-R2 are much
cleaner under the same conditions, with the IC-R2
having the least intermod of the three scanners."

Bottom Line
"The DJ-X2T is at its best when used in discrete situations.
While powered by the internal battery, the DJ-X2T's ultra slim
profile and ability to use the earphone for listening let you
monitor virtually unnoticed. Drawbacks include low speaker audio,
long squelch tail, and the membrane keypad.

We prefer the VR-500 and IC-R2 for most listening situations.
When listening on the internal speaker, the thicker IC-R2 and
VR-500 provide much better audio and are easier to use.
They are still small enough to carry comfortably in a
shirt or jacket pocket."

On Mon, 03 Jun 2002 13:59:39 -0500, First Last wrote:

> Anyone out there have a DJ-X2T yet? Looks kind of cool, being credit
> card sized and all, but does it work very well? How is the reception?
> Any problems with it?

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S Linux User www.parnass.org

3852. Re: NYPD/EMS FREQUENCIES!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 02:59:10 UTC
From: jayg359@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <20020603225910.11625.00000184@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 47519

www.n2nov.net

has an updated list of everything!
Jay Goldmark, NYS EMT-D
Captain, Woodmere FD Rescue

"Enjoy Life, this is not a dress rehearsal !!!"

(remove NOSPAM to reply)

3853. Re: Speech Inversion descrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 03:30:04 UTC
From: abbicus <onthewayto@dot.com>
Message-ID: <adh1ji$10icol$1@ID-121301.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47511

will this descramble the 900 mhz cordless phones?

3854. Re: NYPD/EMS FREQUENCIES!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 04:39:39 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <3cfc444f.884481@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 47520

No but thanks anyhow..
I can program my OWN systems sabers, IF i'm able i'll do my astros
too..
but if i need any help i'll be sure to let you know..On Tue, 04 Jun
2002 00:52:12 GMT, SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote:

>"GaijinGig" wrote:
>
>>HI
>> it's me again just looking for an up to date source for frequencies
>>for my new radio nypd and nys ems
>>thanks gig@i-2000.com
>
>Do you want someone to come over and program your unit for you as
>well?
>
>Two words: Search. Google.

3855. Re: Difference between these receivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 05:49:26 UTC
From: Bigdaddyking <bdk1@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.17661fe46971ed0d9897f6@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 47521

In article <3CFA8972.7040205@astound.net>, bearcat@astound.net says...
> Could someone tell me the difference between the ICOM 7000 and 7100
> receiver? Which would be better to get offered both?
> Thanks
> Randy
>
>

Well, the 7000 is a pretty old design, it came out in 1986, I think. The
7100 is the replacement model. It scans faster and has more memories,
and usually the 7100 is slightly more sensitive. I personally would take
a 7100 over a 7000, but either one would make me happy.

BDK

3856. Re: APCO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 06:08:13 UTC
From: Harry <marnells@cox.net>
Message-ID: <hPYK8.33026$155.1436984@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 47522

AFAIK, Motorola will continue to offer NON- APCO P25 radios to agencies that prefer to go another direction. Most public safety agencies will likely go P25 of cource, for interoperability. Motorola won't be the sole source, either.

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message news:isrlfug22rbv9ver971gj37rn1np11ps50@4ax.com...
> While savoring a President Clinton 'special' cigar, rfcommsys@aol.com (RFCOMMSYS) scribbled...
>
> >What's the story on this APCO board that will supposedly be sold as an
> >accessory to Uniden's new digital scanner? How will I know if it will work on
> >MY local public safety Motorola trunked system (which went digital last year)?
> >Will it work for ALL comon digital protocols, or only some?
>
>
> If your local system is Motorola digital, and recent, it's APCO25,
> and the new scanner should work.
>
> Unless it's encrypted, of course.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

3857. VB program to control the PCR1000 + source code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 08:03:45 UTC
From: jjintokyo@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8bf90b78.0206040003.643677c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47523

Dear All,

First, thanks for this great group.
Over the last couple of weeks, I have created a Visual Basic program to control my PCR1000. It is very simple and not so fancy, but it does all the basic things quite well, including scanning.
If you are interested in getting a copy, including the source code, send me an e-mail at jjintokyo@hotmail.com and I will give it to you.
Hopefully, after you see how easy it is and providing that you have the VB compiler, you can enhance it, improve it and make it look better.
Although there are plenty of good software available, there is nothing like writing your own. You can customize and make it look exactly the way you want; it's a great feeling and it adds a lot, to the fun of using the radio. At least for me :-)

I would appreciate your questions, comments, suggestions...
Let's share our code.

JJ in Tokyo, Japan.

3858. Re: 260 MHz Satcom Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 11:11:27 UTC
From: Pat Cox <peacox@gloryroad.net>
Message-ID: <3CFCA05F.1FD1B6D7@gloryroad.net>
Source record: 47524

Would be very interested to know what specifically you might have been hearing on birds operating at 260 mhz. Did you keep a log of your activities. Were you able to positively identify any voice communications. Thanks. Pat, K4AVO

linux wrote:

> I have a Dorne & Margolin right hand circular polarization 260 MHz
> satcom antenna. I also have a Advanced Receiver Research pre-amp that
> was made for 260 MHz as well. This whole set-up includes antenna,
> manual, pre-amp, and carry case.
>
> This system worked great with my Icom R-7000, but now I spend more time
> on the computer, so time to clear out the garage. I will e-mail photos
> to anyone interested. I would like $250 + shipping.
>
> Please e-mail me at linux@znet.com

3859. BC780 400mhz squelch problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 11:36:47 UTC
From: Troy Walter <Twalt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ufp9hlhvk89k71@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Is there a way I can modify my BC780 so that the squelch in the 400mhz range is the same as the rest of the freqs? The squelch in the 4XXmhz range on my scanner is set way too high (or low, depending how you look at it) so that when including 4XXmhz freqs, it stops on static. I could set the squelch to the 4XXmhz range, but then I would miss a lot of weaker traffic on the other bands. Its really frustrating! Thanks in advance

Twalt

3860. Re: BC780 400mhz squelch problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 12:04:49 UTC
From: Peter Hunt <news@peterhunt.zoetermeernet.nl>
Message-ID: <adiad5li1@news1.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 47526
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

> Is there a way I can modify my BC780 so that the squelch in the 400mhz range
> is the same as the rest of the freqs? The squelch in the 4XXmhz range on my
> scanner is set way too high (or low, depending how you look at it) so that
> when including 4XXmhz freqs, it stops on static. I could set the squelch to
> the 4XXmhz range, but then I would miss a lot of weaker traffic on the other
> bands. Its really frustrating! Thanks in advance

Found on : http://www.bc780xlt.net/awh780.htm

Squelch Modification to eliminate different threshold settings between VHF/UHF - RT-3 is the internal adjustment for NFM and RT-7 is
for standard FM, these can be reached by removing the bottom cover.
After tweeking and measuring for some time I found the optimum settings. (No help on the huge hysterisis problem. Sorry) Set two
channels up on a UHF frequency like 446.0000 MHz. One on standard FM, the other on NFM. Carefully adjust the minimum squelch
threshold on the standard FM channel. Switch to the NFM channel and try to do the same. Note the position where they closed. If they
were the same DO NOT DO ANY ADJUSTMENTS. If they were different, go back to the standard FM channel and carefully set the minimum
threshold again. (be as close to the edge as possible) Set the scanner to the NFM channel and very carefully adjust RT 3 to where
the noise stops. That should make both of the even. Test the two modes back and forth to verify they set the same. YOU'RE DONE.
I tested this across all frequencies and found it worked well if you did this on a UHF frequency. All other bands behave well. UHF
is very sensitive on this radio. Squelch breaking on different bands is a little different but close.
It was a good idea that Uniden made a separate circuit to do the upcoming NFM channel spacing. The federal government has been using
NFM for a while. Uniden did it right. Volume is boosted on NFM to compensate for the lower deviation FM. Selectivity is tightened to
reject better. Good job Uniden! Too bad they did not set the squelch better in the factory.

Phil (N2HUC)

> Twalt

Bye, Peter Hunt.

3861. Re: BC780 400mhz squelch problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 12:07:30 UTC
From: Troy Walter <Twalt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ufpbb91bdgj1a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47527
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

nm, figured it out right after posting. I hate that! Anyway...setting
400mhz freqs to FM, instead of the default NFM, works. Hope that at least
helped someone else out. HAGO

"Troy Walter" <Twalt@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:ufp9hlhvk89k71@corp.supernews.com...
> Is there a way I can modify my BC780 so that the squelch in the 400mhz
range
> is the same as the rest of the freqs? The squelch in the 4XXmhz range on
my
> scanner is set way too high (or low, depending how you look at it) so that
> when including 4XXmhz freqs, it stops on static. I could set the squelch
to
> the 4XXmhz range, but then I would miss a lot of weaker traffic on the
other
> bands. Its really frustrating! Thanks in advance
>
> Twalt
>
>

3862. Re: BC780 400mhz squelch problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 12:22:17 UTC
From: Troy Walter <Twalt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ufpc733f8ghef1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47528
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The 800mhz band is also using NFM, so I would still have the same problem.
I only have a few 4XXmhz freqs, so will stick with changing them to FM.
After looking at the squelch open chart on the link you so kindly posted, it
looks like the 400mhz range is set much lower than the rest of the bands,
which gets really annoying and is really too bad it had to be that way.
Anyway, thanks for the help, and the link!

Twalt

"Peter Hunt" <news@peterhunt.zoetermeernet.nl> wrote in message
news:adiad5$1i$1@news1.xs4all.nl...

> Found on : http://www.bc780xlt.net/awh780.htm
>
> Squelch Modification to eliminate different threshold settings between
VHF/UHF - RT-3 is the internal adjustment for NFM and RT-7 is
> for standard FM, these can be reached by removing the bottom cover.
> After tweeking and measuring for some time I found the optimum settings.
(No help on the huge hysterisis problem. Sorry) Set two
> channels up on a UHF frequency like 446.0000 MHz. One on standard FM, the
other on NFM. Carefully adjust the minimum squelch
> threshold on the standard FM channel. Switch to the NFM channel and try to
do the same. Note the position where they closed. If they
> were the same DO NOT DO ANY ADJUSTMENTS. If they were different, go back
to the standard FM channel and carefully set the minimum
> threshold again. (be as close to the edge as possible) Set the scanner to
the NFM channel and very carefully adjust RT 3 to where
> the noise stops. That should make both of the even. Test the two modes
back and forth to verify they set the same. YOU'RE DONE.
> I tested this across all frequencies and found it worked well if you did
this on a UHF frequency. All other bands behave well. UHF
> is very sensitive on this radio. Squelch breaking on different bands is a
little different but close.
> It was a good idea that Uniden made a separate circuit to do the upcoming
NFM channel spacing. The federal government has been using
> NFM for a while. Uniden did it right. Volume is boosted on NFM to
compensate for the lower deviation FM. Selectivity is tightened to
> reject better. Good job Uniden! Too bad they did not set the squelch
better in the factory.
>
> Phil (N2HUC)
>
> > Twalt
>

> Bye, Peter Hunt.
>
>
>

3863. Re: Coat hanger antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 12:42:31 UTC
From: DougSlug <dw_firewall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <XA2L8.12429$tK.3050789@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 47529
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You're right about "bigger and higher is better", but also be aware that "too much" antenna can cause the receiver to overload, making reception of even strong local signals difficult. If you put a long wire on a VHF/UHF scanner, you may run into this problem, in which case an in-line attenuator (or smaller antenna) may be necessary. A decent sized telescopic whip tends to be the best choice for VHF/UHF scanners when portability is required, or a discone mounted on the roof outside for when a base unit is being used. TV antennas will work well, too, if you happen to have one available.

But to answer the original question: a piece of coat hanger MAY improve the reception over a rubber duck or whip antenna, but it may not, too. It may only improve at certain frequencies (where the coat hanger is a multiple of a 1/4 wavelength of the received signal, for instance), but may not offer any improvement elsewhere.

I'm surprised that the RS whip doesn't improve things...my experience has been just the opposite. Your location could be a factor as well. VHF/UHF radio waves really only propagate in a line-of-sight fashion, so if you have tall buildings or heavy foliage around you or are only trying to pick up distant stations, the antenna may simply have to be higher.

Also, be careful not to ruin the antenna connector when using "non-standard" antenna materials!

- Doug

"RustY" <RustY@Hotmale.UK> wrote in message
news:rULK8.1012$u5.83378@news8-gui.server.ntli.net...
>
> "Martin" <mk394@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:uwxK8.3050$Av5.283849@news20.bellglobal.com...
> could I improve my scanner reception by
> > sticking a straightened coat hanger ....
>
> Yes you could. You may even find that you do not need to straighten it.
> With scanners the only rule is that the bigger and higher the aerial the
> better. You will also have success with welding rods, electrical wire and
> any other metal objects that you can connect.
>
> Happy Scanning
>
> RustY
>
>

3864. Re: Free for first 22,500 days - Electronic Log

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 12:45:45 UTC
From: Nick <not.known@here.com>
Message-ID: <FE2L8.664$4r5.84085@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 47530
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

"Thomas McQuiggan" <tommcq@tiscali.co.uk> wrote in message
news:3cfbf355$1_2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> You are invited to download your free version of an electronic log-book
> built around Access2000. Has many great features including a worldwide
> "Station Locator" to help you identify those distant stations.
>
> http://web.ukonline.co.uk./sadmutha/ar3000/mcqlog.htm
>
> --
> Regards, Tom.
> *..� ���)) -:�:-
> �.�� .����))
> ((��.�� .�� ..-:�:- * www.ar3000.info * -:�:-
> -:�:- ((��.��*
>
>
>
>

It's a 404 reply.

3865. Re: Speech Inversion descrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 13:04:30 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ufpep9fs5ekne1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47531

If they are the cheaper units that are analog, and use voice inversion.
Basically, if they sort of sound like Donald duck, then it should work fine.
The units will work with any voice inversion descrambling, 25-channel
cordless, FRS, etc. As long as it's voice inversion, it will work, no
matter the source.

PH

"abbicus" <onthewayto@dot.com> wrote in message
news:adh1ji$10icol$1@ID-121301.news.dfncis.de...
>
> will this descramble the 900 mhz cordless phones?
>
>
>
>

3866. Re: damned RIAA/MPAA tyrants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 14:15:39 UTC
From: Bill Van Dyk <bvandyk@christian-horizons.org>
Message-ID: <3CFCCB8B.737636E3@christian-horizons.org>
Source record: 47532
Cross-posted to: rec.video.production,rec.video.desktop,rec.radio.scanner,alt.society.civil-liberty,rec.video.cable-tv

I wonder if these initiatives may also, in the long run, have the effect of shutting independent content producers out of the market again. If the current oligarchy of media interests are able to control the hardware for the purpose of preventing LEGAL copying, they could certainly be tempted to exploit this control for the purpose of preventing competing interests from getting a leghold on the market, and forcing artists to sign those evil contracts that appropriate all of their original work and indenture them to the media conglomerates.

One of the things that really infuriates me is that when I purchase blank cassettes, CD's, or minidiscs for the purpose of recording my own original music or video, I pay a tax to Celine Dionne and Michael Jackson!

If I were to buy a gun and ammunition in the U.S., no one has the right to deny me or charge me extra for it on the assumption that I was going to do something illegal or harmful with it. Same with a crowbar or gasoline or spray paint or superballs or chlorine or pesticides or whatever. It is a tribute to the lobbying power of the industry, that they were able to persuade the government to presume that everyone buying a blank tape is going to do something illegal with it, to continue to allow sales of the alleged tool of felonious subterfuge, and then sur-tax it!

Bill Van Dyk wrote:

> I think people better pay attention to this.

3867. Re: damned RIAA/MPAA tyrants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 15:30:43 UTC
From: Steve Guidry <vwi@ballistic.com>
Message-ID: <D25L8.53062$4i.5743236@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 47533
Cross-posted to: rec.video.production,rec.video.desktop,rec.radio.scanner,alt.society.civil-liberty,rec.video.cable-tv

OK Bill . . .

> I wonder if these initiatives may also, in the long run, have the effect
> of shutting independent content producers out of the market again. If
> the current oligarchy of media interests are able to control the
> hardware for the purpose of preventing LEGAL copying, they could
> certainly be tempted to exploit this control for the purpose of
> preventing competing interests from getting a leghold on the market,

How ? Maybe I'm dense, but I don't see it.

> forcing artists to sign those evil contracts that appropriate all of
> their original work and indenture them to the media conglomerates.

This is an old argument. Artists vs managers. Artists create. Creative folk often lack the skills or the inclination to see after their own business interests. That's what makes them artists. Business folks like managers will probably always be one step ahead of them in the business arena. That's their turf. But in my book it is _certainly_ a legitimate debate about the economic value of the art and artist without the business types. It's the business folk who risk the money to develop, promote and market the art - - mostly with no assurance at all that they will ever see a profit. This contribution - - career direction, development, etc - - often (in the early stages of an artist's career, when s/he could just as easliy decide to go back to painting houses or being a waitress or go back to school) is mostly overlooked when the artist "makes it". The tendency is to just say, "well he was destined to do this. . ." The managers are viewed as leeches and vultures because they get to share in the wealth that they helped to create.

> One of the things that really infuriates me is that when I purchase
> blank cassettes, CD's, or minidiscs for the purpose of recording my own
> original music or video, I pay a tax to Celine Dionne and Michael
> Jackson!

Whoaa . . . did I miss something ? What tax is this ? Facts, please Bill.

3868. RS pro2053 F/S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 16:03:11 UTC
From: pro2036@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12578-3CFCE4BF-620@storefull-2353.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 47534

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1357889463

3869. Re: damned RIAA/MPAA tyrants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 16:26:52 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020604122652.04507.00000113@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 47535

In article <3CFCCB8B.737636E3@christian-horizons.org>, Bill Van Dyk
<bvandyk@christian-horizons.org> writes:

>If I were to buy a gun and ammunition in the U.S., no one has the right
>to deny me or charge me extra for it on the assumption that I was going
>to do something illegal or harmful with it.

Sadly, this is already happening. Thanks to the do-gooder liberal politicians,
several jurisdictions now impose a surcharge on the purchases of guns and/or
ammunition. The proceeds are used to fight "gun violence", compensate victims
of "handgun related crime", or other such nonsense.

3870. Re: LiveAudio: Daytona Beach Beach Patrol.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 16:31:15 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020604123115.04527.00000288@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 47536

>I did broadcast during BCR as well as Spring Break and Bike Week.
>However I will say that without a question BCR was the worse for police
>activity but the fewest amount of people attending.

Gee, I wonder WHY this is?

Mus' be cuz da poll-eece iz racist an' dey be doin' da racial profillin'.

I'z be callin' Jesse Jackson so's I'se kin file a lawsuit!

3871. Need some newbie help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 16:54:32 UTC
From: Joseph Brzezinski <breezyjr1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns922383CCB4246breezyjr@206.141.193.32>
Source record: 47537
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hey Y'all. Well, I am not completely new to scanning, but it has been quite awhile. Just recently purchased the BC780xlt (which I love) and a RS pro-92 (which is great for cruising around my house). But, I have a few questions.
I currently have two programs for the 780, the scanpro, and winscan780. There are things that I like and dislike regarding the two programs. I like the interface with the winscan, And, I like the seach function with the scanpro. Is there a better program out there that would have the interface of the winscan but also include the great searching function of the scanpro? Any reccomendations would be greatly appreciated!

Also, I'm curious about a local EDACS system. Livonia, Mi I only live about 10-15 miles from the city, yet, I cannot receive anything from the frequencies I have. (Which I have checked on multple sites) And, I have tried just tuning in with fm mode to find the control channel, and I get nothing. It seems to me, that being within 20 miles I should get something, even if it were very full of static. Is there something I'm missing with the programing (besides LCO, which I have)?

Also, would love any recomendations regarding antenna. I have a condor antenna that I'm using now with both scanners, which seems to work well. But, are there others not requiring roof mounting or anything like that, which are better than either the condor or the RS telescoping whip (center loaded I believe)

Oh, and how do I mod my bc780xlt to receive cellular? (Just kidding!! <grin>)

Thanks in advance,
breezyjr (joe)

3872. one other thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 17:05:26 UTC
From: Joseph Brzezinski <breezyjr1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns922385A5CD6A4breezyjr@206.141.193.32>
Source record: 47538
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Looking also for some good live scanner sites, or just good info sites, maybe with lots 'o Michigan stuff.

And, any reviews of the Icom IC-R3? I'm really interested in getting one of these as we have lots of cameras on the roadways and such. Never mind just being able to walk around and possibly get baby monitor stuff. And, somewaht interested in the 2.4Ghz phones (although, I'll admit, it's mostly boring, but every so often I like listening around)

What are the + and - of the Icom unit?

I know this isn't the correct group, but I'm also interested in sortwave stuff. Any of you out there of anything good, other than overseas BBC broadcasts and the like?

Thanks again.
breezyjr (joe)

3873. Re: damned RIAA/MPAA tyrants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 17:24:57 UTC
From: "Frank B." <frank99a@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9223883BE3D41frank99amydejacom@205.237.233.50>
Source record: 47539
Cross-posted to: rec.video.production,rec.video.desktop,rec.radio.scanner,alt.society.civil-liberty,rec.video.cable-tv

"Steve Guidry" <vwi@ballistic.com> wrote in
>> One of the things that really infuriates me is that when I purchase
>> blank cassettes, CD's, or minidiscs for the purpose of recording my
>> own original music or video, I pay a tax to Celine Dionne and Michael
>> Jackson!
>
> Whoaa . . . did I miss something ? What tax is this ? Facts,
> please Bill.

You might want to read this:
http://www.cdrfaq.org/faq07.html#S7-13

Regards,
Frank B.

3874. Re: 260 MHz Satcom Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 18:03:25 UTC
From: linux <linux@znet.com>
Message-ID: <3CFD00ED.7ECB@znet.com>
Source record: 47540

Pat Cox wrote:
>
> Would be very interested to know what specifically you might have been
> hearing on birds operating at 260 mhz. Did you keep a log of your
> activities. Were you able to positively identify any voice communications.
> Thanks. Pat, K4AVO
>

Try http://www.ute-monitor.org/

3875. Re: damned RIAA/MPAA tyrants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 18:50:42 UTC
From: Bill Van Dyk <bvandyk@christian-horizons.org>
Message-ID: <3CFD0C02.EA00BAA7@christian-horizons.org>
Source record: 47541
Cross-posted to: rec.video.production,rec.video.desktop,rec.radio.scanner,alt.society.civil-liberty,rec.video.cable-tv

Steve Guidry wrote:

> > certainly be tempted to exploit this control for the purpose of
> > preventing competing interests from getting a leghold on the market,

The way, for example, independent musical artists could never get played on the radio in the past, not even on a local station, or get his or her records on display at the local record store, or get booked for an appearance on TV, or get his song used in a movie, etc. The only way to make a living from your music was to sign on with Columbia or RCA or Warner Bros, and accept their contract terms and promotion. Radio stations simply stopped playing anything that didn't come with a major record label on it. They black-balled anyone who didn't knuckle under to the industry.

If you like convergence, no problem. If you don't mind finding out that your newspaper is running an article on Madonna not because some editor decided that she is "significant" but because the parent corporation ordered them to, because she has a new record, and movie coming out...

Movie theatres also generally will not play any film that is not part of a package offered by the major distributors. (If Hollywood's product was really so great, there would be no need for those restrictive contracts between theatres and distributors, that require distributors to show bad, unsuccessful movies in exchange for the right to show the hits.)

> This is an old argument. Artists vs managers. Artists create. Creative
> folk often lack the skills or the inclination to see after their own
> business interests.

> types. It's the business folk who risk the money to develop, promote and
> market the art - - mostly with no assurance at all that they will ever see a
> profit. This contribution - - career direction, development, etc - - often

Please check out Tom Petty, Michele Shocked, Ani DiFranco, Jane Siberry, etc. At the very least, it is clear that many managers and "business folk" are cheating and lying to the people they represent.

> (in the early stages of an artist's career, when s/he could just as easliy
> decide to go back to painting houses or being a waitress or go back to
> school) is mostly overlooked when the artist "makes it". The tendency is
> to just say, "well he was destined to do this. . ." The managers are viewed
> as leeches and vultures because they get to share in the wealth that they
> helped to create.

Some of them are, some of them aren't. Many of them pressure their clients into signing deals that confer extraordinary rights upon themselves, to control

and exploit the work of the artist. Some agents actually represent the record company while "negotiating" on behalf of the client! Some agents, like Brian Epstein, were well-meaning and did help their clients succeed--- but even Epstein arranged several deals that were very bad for the Lennon-McCartney song-writing team and the Beatles ended up losing control over their own music.

I have limited sympathy for good, conscientious agents, the same way I have limited sympathy for honest lawyers. But in each case, my sympathy is limited by the failure of that profession to clean itself up. If I were an agent, I'd press for a code of ethics, like a "Good Housekeeping Seal", that artists could use to judge whether they are likely to be handled fairly and responsibly or not.

3876. Re: Tucson Sheriff pima county HELP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 19:22:15 UTC
From: jim@sideband.SPAMDEATH.fsnet.co.uk
Message-ID: <3cfd134d.4340364@news.freeserve.net>
Source record: 47542
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 4 Jun 2002 19:12:47 +0100, "temor" <xxxx@ntlworld.com> wrote:

>I take it as a "UK Radio Ham" you don't like "trash" from the USA.
>
>....and hear is me thinking ham radio was a "global, unifying" hobby. I
>learn something new every day.
>
>OBTW...did you ever think he simply made an error in his newsgroup
>selection?

Ignore him. He's a total poltroon and a notorious troll
in several radio-related groups.

He's only there for the agro.

--

_____
Posted by JMD.

3877. PRO 92 Sofware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 19:32:25 UTC
From: John Furlow <wa4bmg@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <35cL8.17718$_p6.4996384@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 47547

Does anyone know of any programing software for the Radio Shack Pro 92?

3878. Re: Free for first 22,500 days - Electronic Log

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 20:11:33 UTC
From: Lazy arsed G1 <I.am.not.here@anti.spam.com>
Message-ID: <Aa9L8.1290$Z67.129831@news8-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 47543
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

>
> Nope. It's there.

Nope when you click on download, like the man said its a 404

3879. Re: damned RIAA/MPAA tyrants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 20:42:23 UTC
From: Steve Guidry <vwi@ballistic.com>
Message-ID: <PC9L8.126140$Oa1.12323342@bin8.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 47544
Cross-posted to: rec.video.production,rec.video.desktop,rec.radio.scanner,alt.society.civil-liberty,rec.video.cable-tv

Bill,

It sounds like you have given this issue a lot more thought than I have. And you sound like a well-meaning, if slightly embittered guy. Let's go have a cup of coffee sometime. I suspect we'd get along.

Managers take advantage of artists. Sadly, it's happened since folks found out that there was a way to make money off making music. I don't like that.

But in general, I don't share your paranoid "they're out-to-get-us" world view. Personally, over time, I've found that trying to change an entrenched system like the music biz is a task that will break you. Instead, I prefer to work within whatever system prevails, and make a living along the way. If the music system sucks, then maybe it's time to do something else.

You'd probably say that I've sold out whatever ideals I may have started with. I prefer to think of myself as a realist.

--
Steve Guidry
Video Works, Inc.
903.509.8838
www.videoworksinc.com

"Bill Van Dyk" <bvandyk@christian-horizons.org> wrote in message news:3CFD0C02.EA00BAA7@christian-horizons.org...
> Steve Guidry wrote:
>
> > > certainly be tempted to exploit this control for the purpose of
> > > preventing competing interests from getting a leghold on the market,
>
> The way, for example, independent musical artists could never get played on the
> radio in the past, not even on a local station, or get his or her records on
> display at the local record store, or get booked for an appearance on TV, or get
> his song used in a movie, etc. The only way to make a living from your music
> was to sign on with Columbia or RCA or Warner Bros, and accept their contract
> terms and promotion. Radio stations simply stopped playing anything that didn't
> come with a major record label on it. They black-balled anyone who didn't

> knuckle under to the industry.
>
> If you like convergence, no problem. If you don't mind finding out that
your
> newspaper is running an article on Madonna not because some editor decided
that
> she is "significant" but because the parent corporation ordered them to,
because
> she has a new record, and movie coming out...
>
> Movie theatres also generally will not play any film that is not part of a
> package offered by the major distributors. (If Hollywood's product was
really
> so great, there would be no need for those restrictive contracts between
> theatres and distributors, that require distributors to show bad,
unsuccessful
> movies in exchange for the right to show the hits.)
>
> > This is an old argument. Artists vs managers. Artists create.
Creative
> > folk often lack the skills or the inclination to see after their own
> > business interests.
>
> > types. It's the business folk who risk the money to develop, promote
and
> > market the art - - mostly with no assurance at all that they will ever
see a
> > profit. This contribution - - career direction, development, etc - -
often
>
> Please check out Tom Petty, Michele Shocked, Ani DiFranco, Jane Siberry,
etc.
> At the very least, it is clear that many managers and "business folk" are
> cheating and lying to the people they represent.
>
> > (in the early stages of an artist's career, when s/he could just as
easliy
> > decide to go back to painting houses or being a waitress or go back to
> > school) is mostly overlooked when the artist "makes it". The tendency
is
> > to just say, "well he was destined to do this. . ." The managers are
viewed
> > as leeches and vultures because they get to share in the wealth that
they
> > helped to create.
>
> Some of them are, some of them aren't. Many of them pressure their
clients
> into signing deals that confer extraordinary rights upon themselves, to
control

> and exploit the work of the artist. Some agents actually represent the
record
> company while "negotiating" on behalf of the client! Some agents, like
Brian
> Epstein, were well-meaning and did help their clients succeed--- but even
> Epstein arranged several deals that were very bad for the Lennon-McCartney
> song-writing team and the Beatles ended up losing control over their own
music.
>
> I have limited sympathy for good, conscientious agents, the same way I
have
> limited sympathy for honest lawyers. But in each case, my sympathy is
limited
> by the failure of that profession to clean itself up. If I were an agent,
I'd
> press for a code of ethics, like a "Good Housekeeping Seal", that artists
could
> use to judge whether they are likely to be handled fairly and responsibly
or
> not.
>
>

3880. Re: Free for first 22,500 days - Electronic Log

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 21:17:37 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <nospam@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <vwipOtAx5S$8Ew35@g7hid.lineone.net>
Source record: 47545
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

In article , Lazy arsed
G1 writes
>
>>
>> Nope. It's there.
>
>
>Nope when you click on download, like the man said its a 404

Have just downloaded it OK..

--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
: don't fix it !

3881. Radio Shack Pro-2052

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 23:02:13 UTC
From: Venom <venom1967@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <VFbL8.5063$7g5.802474@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 47546

Is there any software available that can be used with the Radio Shack
Pro-2052 ?
Thanks in advance

```
..-.. ..-..
( o )_( o )
__ / '-' '-' \__
/ / " \ \
| \ _______ / |
\ \`-._____.-'/ /
.-` /\ /\ `-.
VENOM WAS HERE!
(_.(_/ / (_ _) \ \_)._)
(_(_(_)(_(_)_)
```

3882. Spotting/Photography at MKE Milwaukee Gen. Mitchell INTL airport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 23:43:40 UTC
From: xr0042@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020604194340.19798.00000090@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 47548

I want to know if there are any areas or places to take pictures of planes or spotting them? If you know any places you can post them here or E-mail me at ccrlr@hotmail.com

3883. FS radio shack pro 90 300 channel trunk tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-04 23:45:16 UTC
From: Magnum45 <Magnum45@mn.rr.com>
Message-ID: <kicL8.22602$gb1.830981@twister.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 47549
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Hi have a nice radio shack pro 90 300 channel trunk tracker scanner forsale.
This is a hand-held scanner in good condition.
Comes with ac/charger rubber duck and battery pack.
The battery pack i think needs a new one it holds a charge for about half
and hour.
Scanner works great.
You can download the manual for radio shack website.
$125 shipped lower 48 states ups insured.
Please e-mail me direct or for pics of scanner.

3884. Re: PRO 92 Sofware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 00:23:43 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <%RcL8.9625$17.3976439@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 47550

http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/win92/

"John Furlow" <wa4bmg@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:35cL8.17718$_p6.4996384@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> Does anyone know of any programing software for the Radio Shack Pro 92?

3885. Re: Coat hanger antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 00:41:54 UTC
From: Martin <mk394@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <t7dL8.7856$LR4.1121571@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 47552
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Thanks to all of you for your kind help! I really appreciate it and the info will be useful when I attempt an antenna upgrade.

3886. Re: Coat hanger antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 00:45:04 UTC
From: Martin <mk394@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <qadL8.7857$LR4.1122907@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 47554
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Thank you for your thorough response Doug. In response to the reception issues, there is indeed a tall building directly opposite to me but also plenty of open air as I'm on the 13th floor. I think the material this building is made of may be causing the bad reception as I notice a difference when scanning on my balcony. There are many "dead zones" inside as I scan.. I think I will start a new thread about why these "dead zones" might exist even where there are no electronics around.

> You're right about "bigger and higher is better", but also be aware that
> "too much" antenna can cause the receiver to overload, making reception of
> even strong local signals difficult. If you put a long wire on a VHF/UHF
> scanner, you may run into this problem, in which case an in-line
attenuator
> (or smaller antenna) may be necessary. A decent sized telescopic whip
tends
> to be the best choice for VHF/UHF scanners when portability is required,
or
> a discone mounted on the roof outside for when a base unit is being used.
> TV antennas will work well, too, if you happen to have one available.
>
> But to answer the original question: a piece of coat hanger MAY improve
the
> reception over a rubber duck or whip antenna, but it may not, too. It may
> only improve at certain frequencies (where the coat hanger is a multiple
of
> a 1/4 wavelength of the received signal, for instance), but may not offer
> any improvement elsewhere.
>
> I'm surprised that the RS whip doesn't improve things...my experience has
> been just the opposite. Your location could be a factor as well. VHF/UHF
> radio waves really only propagate in a line-of-sight fashion, so if you
have
> tall buildings or heavy foliage around you or are only trying to pick up
> distant stations, the antenna may simply have to be higher.
>
> Also, be careful not to ruin the antenna connector when using
"non-standard"
> antenna materials!
>
> - Doug
>
>
> "RustY" <RustY@Hotmale.UK> wrote in message

> news:rULK8.1012$u5.83378@news8-gui.server.ntli.net...
> >
> > "Martin" <mk394@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:uwxK8.3050$Av5.283849@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > could I improve my scanner reception by
> > > sticking a straightened coat hanger ....
> >
> > Yes you could. You may even find that you do not need to straighten it.
> > With scanners the only rule is that the bigger and higher the aerial the
> > better. You will also have success with welding rods, electrical wire
and
> > any other metal objects that you can connect.
> >
> > Happy Scanning
> >
> > RustY
> >
> >
>
>

3887. Mobile phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 00:49:06 UTC
From: cbr600@f2s.com
Message-ID: <7ea4f11d.0206041649.2e708b75@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47551

At present,is it possible to listen to mobile digital phones via a scanner.

TIA

3888. Re: Will I hear anything on these aircraft frequencies PDX?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 00:49:59 UTC
From: r8500@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020604204959.18063.00004074@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 47553

>Subject: Re: Will I hear anything on these aircraft frequencies PDX?
>From: "Den6N" djmr26@bestweb.net
>Date: 6/3/02 3:39 PM Mountain Daylight Time
>Message-id: <ufnofgkbun6dd4@corp.supernews.com>
>
>Go to http://www.airnav.com and you can look up the frequencies used at any
>airport.
>You
>may be able to get the crystals at RS, I don't know. If not, there should be
>somewhere on the
>net where they're available.

Try Monitor Crystal Service at
http://www.monitorcrystal.com for aircraft band scanner crystals.

73,
David

3889. Re: Mobile phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 00:51:36 UTC
From: ScannerFan <ListeningToTheRadio@My10-20.com>
Message-ID: <sgdL8.190746$ah_.32011@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 47555

NO

Analog, Yes.

"Andy" <cbr600@f2s.com> wrote in message
news:7ea4f11d.0206041649.2e708b75@posting.google.com...
> At present,is it possible to listen to mobile digital phones via a
scanner.
>
>
>
>
>
> TIA

3890. Re: Info on Mr Ethos' scanner and equipment sale ... please ... FYI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 01:04:28 UTC
From: a_alpha1 <a_alpha1@email.com>
Message-ID: <d2pqfusqt5udcfgo7ar7uukrpedq1f9lt5@4ax.com>
Source record: 47556
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello N4GRY .....

Please keep me posted as to the site or direct mail of a list
of Mr. Ethos' sale. I'd be VERY interested in several of his
items that he used to make and sell.

thanks in advance
a_alpha1

On Mon, 3 Jun 2002 11:03:53 -0500, "ï¿½ Xmas I Am ï¿½" <N4GRY@xmas-i-am NO
SPAM.com> wrote:

>FYI
>
>I will be handling the Mr. Ethos sale of equipment. We are getting together
>a list of the equipment and I will be posting that list on my web site in a
>few days...
>
>When I have the list on the web site I will post the link here...

3891. Re: BC780 400mhz squelch problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 01:38:54 UTC
From: Greg <not@shown.here>
Message-ID: <tqqqfu4lnut34d7p0s6hm0i17ns3sjuiin@4ax.com>
Source record: 47557
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Tue, 4 Jun 2002 08:07:30 -0400, "Troy Walter" <Twalt@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>nm, figured it out right after posting. I hate that! Anyway...setting
>400mhz freqs to FM, instead of the default NFM, works. Hope that at least
>helped someone else out. HAGO

Interesting.... I'll have to try that. I've had problems in the 400mhz
range ever since I got my 780. If one frequency (say 460.5) comes up
active then the transmission ends, it always stops on the next few
400mhz freqs in the bank.

3892. Re: Will I hear anything on these aircraft frequencies PDX?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 02:28:37 UTC
From: wf3h@ptd.net
Message-ID: <3cfd7732.331746764@news.ptdprolog.net>
Source record: 47558

On Mon, 3 Jun 2002 17:39:16 -0400, "Den6N" <djmr26@bestweb.net> wrote:

>Go to http://www.airnav.com and you can look up the frequencies used at any airport.
>You
>may be able to get the crystals at RS, I don't know. If not, there should be
>somewhere on the
>net where they're available.
>
>Den6N

nice site...thanks

"This difference between liberalism and conservatism
must not be obscured by the fact that in the United
States it is still possible to defend individual
liberty by defending long-established institutions.
To the liberal they are valuable not mainly because
they are long established or because they are
American but because they correspond to the
ideals which he cherishes."

F. A. Hayek in "Why I am not a Conservative"

3893. Re: Tucson Sheriff pima county HELP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 02:58:18 UTC
From: DAVE <sklam@theriver.com>
Message-ID: <L8fL8.1003$gg7.121877@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 47559
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

you are right, I did Simply hit the wrong group. And I'm ever so sorry for the horrible waste of your precious time! Can you ever forgive me? I will never bother your elite personal newsgroup again! Oh ya I will also never answer any of your posts or point you in the right direction again. SO, go crawel back into your mothers pouch and hop away you putz!

Dave
Raytheon missile and defense systems Engineering div.
Tucson Az USA

3894. Re: Tucson Sheriff pima county HELP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 03:10:52 UTC
From: Steve Terry <g4wwknospam@tesco.net>
Message-ID: <adjvij$11t1o2$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47560
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

"DAVE" <sklam@theriver.com> wrote in message
news:L8fL8.1003$gg7.121877@news.uswest.net...
> you are right, I did Simply hit the wrong group.
<snip>
>
No, you mean you couldn't be bothered to check if the multitude of groups
you'd pointlessly crossposted to, were correct

Steve Terry

3895. Re: PRO-94 Trunking Info Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 03:41:41 UTC
From: Joshua Carroll <joshua_carroll@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <3CFED9F3.2BBBBEA6@swbell.net>
Source record: 47561
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Take it back to Radio Shack (or throw it away) and buy a Pro-93. :

Dave wrote:
>
> Hello All,
>
> It seems that I have either misplaced or lost the owners manual for my
> PRO-94 and need info on how to program the trunking feature on this scanner.
> I kind of remember a little on how to do it but not completely so I have
> given up on trying to figure it out by myself. If someone can give me a
> brief synopsis on how to program in trunked systems it would be greatly
> appreciated.
>
> Thank You in advance,
> Dave

--
Joshua Carroll
joshua_carroll@swbell.net
IPN-HOU036 - Webster/Galveston, TX
HoustonWeather: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/HoustonWeather

3896. PRO-89 software released!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 03:42:36 UTC
From: "B.Roberts" <broberts1254@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <MPfL8.68515$Yt.2632545@read1.cgocable.net>
Source record: 47562
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

FYI...pro-89 software is now available. Go to http://www.itssoftware.net

3897. Re: Powerful scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 04:00:55 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <csimmont@att.net>
Message-ID: <3CFC3AF3.E9CB0255@att.net>
Source record: 47563
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Try posting to alt.stalkers maybe they'll be able to help.

emilio goldstien wrote:

> I need a scanner with enough horsepower to listen to a woman's cell phone
> down the street. Is that possible? Would I have to tune the scanner or is
> there a cell phone button. The easier to use the better, as I will parked
> in the car at the time.

3898. From the Front Lines of The Copyright Debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 04:01:11 UTC
From: WinMX Pirate <pirate@arr.com>
Message-ID: <adk2e6ttc1@newsreader.mailgate.org>
Source record: 47564
Cross-posted to: rec.arts.movies.current-films,alt.tv.buffy-v-slayer,rec.video.production,rec.radio.scanner,misc.writing.screenplays, alt.games.video.sony-playstation2,alt.tv.er

Today, Intel CEO Craig Barrett gave the keynote speach at SuperComm 2002, a huge trade show for people who are "in the know" in telecommunications circles.

Today, he delived that speech. And what he said is absoultly horrifying. Most people use computers to pirate music, movies and software, and most of those computers have Intel CPUs in them. Can you guess who The MPAA & The RIAA are looking to with thier pocketbooks wide open for support now? Yep, you got it. Intel CEO Craig Barrett.

The idea is to put code in the CPU that would prevent the copying of DVDs, CDs and other medias that they [corporate america] decide that we shouldnt copy. I will be posting followup news stories on this thread as I get them. A friend of mine who is at the convention just called on his cell to let me know of this little bit of news.

You are reading this story before the media gets it. Because they dont even know yet. I broke the story.

This is where the industry is going, and I dont like it. Oh yeah, and I have the news story before all of the networks. CNN dosent have anything yet, I just checked. I will also post a text of his keynote speech as soon as I get ahold of it, since I broke the story.

Sources:

http://www.supercomm2002.com - SuperComm 2002 Convention Site
http://www.supercomm2002.com/newsreleases/112801.cfm - Keynote Announcement

3899. Need manual for Pro-30!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 05:16:50 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020605011650.05014.00000077@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 47565

Does anyone have a manual for the Radio Shack Pro-30 scanner? I'd like either a photo copy or electronic copy. If you can help me, please email me directly. Thanks!

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-

73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

3900. Re: Tucson Sheriff pima county HELP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 06:15:39 UTC
From: Ian Robert Walker <Ian@newbrain.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <hJ1$Q9BLya$8EwV2@newbrain.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 47580
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

In article <L8fL8.1003$gg7.121877@news.uswest.net>, DAVE
<sklam@theriver.com> writes
>you are right, I did Simply hit the wrong group.

Err, no you did not "Simply hit the wrong group", you selected nine
groups without considering their applicability to your requirements. Few
people in Australia and the UK will have heard of "pima couny sheriff"
much less be able to receive VHF or UHF over such a long distance.

>And I'm ever so sorry for
>the horrible waste of your precious time! Can you ever forgive me? I will
>never bother your elite personal newsgroup again! Oh ya I will also never
>answer any of your posts or point you in the right direction again.

Unfortunately you found one of the few "little learning" types we have
to endure.

>SO, go
>crawel back into your mothers pouch and hop away you putz!

Difficult to do both I think.

>Raytheon missile and defense systems Engineering div.
>Tucson Az USA

Now that worries me, it worries me a lot!

--
Ian in alt.radio.scanner.uk

3901. Re: Need manual for Pro-30!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 07:43:00 UTC
From: R1rob
Message-ID: <3cfdce9a.0@mercury.planet.net.au>
Source record: 47566

http://members.optushome.com.au/extremescan/manuals.html

The pro30 isnt there but I am sure one of the others will program the same.

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020605011650.05014.00000077@mb-cq.aol.com...
> Does anyone have a manual for the Radio Shack Pro-30 scanner? I'd like
either a
> photo copy or electronic copy. If you can help me, please email me
directly.
> Thanks!
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

3902. Re: Powerful scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 11:17:52 UTC
From: Mille <nomail@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <ArmL8.14524$fT5.3406270@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 47567
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yeah, he's probably a stalker. If nothing else, he's a lowlife idiot. Has anyone told this moron that most cellphones are digital and he's not going to hear anything at all?

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:adg41h$10p3nq$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "emilio goldstien" <egoldstien@sofa.net> wrote in message
> news:cLuK8.95687$cQ3.2958@sccrnsc01...
> > I need a scanner with enough horsepower to listen to a woman's cell phone
> > down the street. Is that possible? Would I have to tune the scanner or is
> > there a cell phone button. The easier to use the better, as I will parked
> > in the car at the time.
> >
> >
>
> What are you, a stalker?
>
>
>
>

3903. Re: Siemens cordless phones: Anyone own one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 11:46:39 UTC
From: Joseph Brzezinski <breezyjr1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92244F9AA2F76breezyjr@206.141.193.32>
Source record: 47568

none@home.com wrote in news:22prfus00dc0pfcqkch46cdpir2v7h760e@4ax.com:

>>>I am in need of a new cordless phone for home use.
>>>
>>>I want a digital spread spectrum model for privacy.
>>>
>>>But I also want something that is wall mountable as it
>>>will be in a kitchen.
>
> I have a Uniden 2.4GHz DSS phone. The best one I've owned
> so far. I've previously owned digital 900 MHz V-Tech's.
>

I also own the Siemens 4215 and besides terrible battery life, I think it is great. Menus take a little getting used to as well. I think this was their attempt to make home phones more like cell phones.

breezyjr(joe)

3904. Re: PRO 92 Sofware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 11:59:58 UTC
From: Randy <bearcat@astound.net>
Message-ID: <3CFDFD3E.1020808@astound.net>
Source record: 47569

You have to order it from rat shack. Also, go to pro-92.com for good info on radio. I just bought a pro 2056 that uses this software and had to order it. Don't let them sell you that 14.99 software they have behind the counter. Does not work for these radio's.
Randy

John Furlow wrote:

> Does anyone know of any programing software for the Radio Shack Pro 92?
>
>
>
>

3905. Re: From the Front Lines of The Copyright Debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 12:12:29 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <ufs093g8ph9v09@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47570

For one thing, this has nothing to do with radio scanners - for another, Intel is not going to go along with any such idea , as long as Mircrosoft Windows Media Audio And Video are around. You dont know what you are talking about. To accomplish this, Intel would have to rip out half of the MMX commands in their CPUs, rendering them significantly slower than most of their competitors. This is something Intel just does not need, coupled with a mass exodus to AMD, which would cost Intel billions of dollars. I will repeat here an old adage which still holds water today : "If you can play it, you can copy it". The recent debacle with CDs that had a chip imbedded in them proves the point quite nicely. What people did was, they simply played the CD into their sound card, Using a CD player, instead of ripping it digitally, and then made an mp3 out of the resulting WAV file. Its now widely available all over the place. As a matter of fact, people made a point of doing it, just like they did after Metallica sued. Everything Metallica ever did is available now....
"WinMX Pirate" <pirate@arr.com> wrote in message
news:adk2e6ttc1@newsreader.mailgate.org...
> Today, Intel CEO Craig Barrett gave the keynote speach at SuperComm 2002,
a
> huge trade show for people who are "in the know" in telecommunications
> circles.
>
> Today, he delived that speech. And what he said is absoultly horrifying.
> Most people use computers to pirate music, movies and software, and most
of
> those computers have Intel CPUs in them. Can you guess who The MPAA & The
> RIAA are looking to with thier pocketbooks wide open for support now? Yep,
> you got it. Intel CEO Craig Barrett.
>
> The idea is to put code in the CPU that would prevent the copying of DVDs,
> CDs and other medias that they [corporate america] decide that we shouldnt
> copy. I will be posting followup news stories on this thread as I get
them.
> A friend of mine who is at the convention just called on his cell to let
me
> know of this little bit of news.
>
> You are reading this story before the media gets it. Because they dont
even
> know yet. I broke the story.
>
> This is where the industry is going, and I dont like it. Oh yeah, and I
> have the news story before all of the networks. CNN dosent have anything
> yet, I just checked. I will also post a text of his keynote speech as soon

> as I get ahold of it, since I broke the story.
>
>
> Sources:
>
> http://www.supercomm2002.com - SuperComm 2002 Convention Site
> http://www.supercomm2002.com/newsreleases/112801.cfm - Keynote
Announcement

3906. Re: Siemens cordless phones: Anyone own one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 12:41:39 UTC
From: john63401@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ll1sfuc2efvlmhu133nfeh98jhc1sa7dtc@4ax.com>
Source record: 47571

>I have a Uniden 2.4GHz DSS phone. The best one I've owned
>so far. I've previously owned digital 900 MHz V-Tech's.

Yeah I like Uniden real well..... but doesn't seem to
be anything that is wall mountable in their line up.

Unless I am wrong abt this maybe?

Is your wall mountable by any chance?

3907. Re: one other thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 13:02:55 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ufs32kf1i44n84@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47572
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

My website has some MI stuff... It's really one of those "in the works" sites.. Give it shot, you might learn something you didn't know, or find a link to something that will catch your interest a little more...

--
Power House - 823
Northern Michigan

http://www.geocities.com/femaster1/cbpage/index.htm
http://www.geocities.com/femaster1/scannerpage/index.htm

"Joseph Brzezinski" <breezyjr1@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:Xns922385A5CD6A4breezyjr@206.141.193.32...
> Looking also for some good live scanner sites, or just good info sites,
> maybe with lots 'o Michigan stuff.
>
> And, any reviews of the Icom IC-R3? I'm really interested in getting one
> of these as we have lots of cameras on the roadways and such. Never mind
> just being able to walk around and possibly get baby monitor stuff. And,
> somewaht interested in the 2.4Ghz phones (although, I'll admit, it's mostly
> boring, but every so often I like listening around)
>
> What are the + and - of the Icom unit?
>
> I know this isn't the correct group, but I'm also interested in sortwave
> stuff. Any of you out there of anything good, other than overseas BBC
> broadcasts and the like?
>
> Thanks again.
> breezyjr (joe)
>

3908. Re: What's on 886.8 MHz - Cleveland?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 13:09:15 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <adl2ho$3o7l$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47573

"yoyo" <nut_tin@buster.net> wrote in message
news:hurqfukhkir3mgi82b01mpkgi5gsqf5llc@4ax.com...
> It's a message that repeats over and over and over: "To reach the
> Cleveland Northeast and Southeast, including Akron and Kent, press 1",
> plus a few more similar options for other regions, followed by "calls
> may be monitored for quality assurance".

That, and the frequency, suggests cellular to me.

Tom

3909. Re: damned RIAA/MPAA tyrants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 14:36:48 UTC
From: Bill Van Dyk <bvandyk@christian-horizons.org>
Message-ID: <3CFE2200.5C489A94@christian-horizons.org>
Source record: 47574
Cross-posted to: rec.video.production,rec.video.desktop,rec.radio.scanner,alt.society.civil-liberty,rec.video.cable-tv

Hi Steve,

Very reasonable point, but if everybody preferred to work within the system, the system would never change, and we'd still have slavery, women wouldn't be able to vote, and your wages would be about 50 cents an hour, and Microsoft would rule the world..... oops.

Oddly enough, it appears to be the music industry that now wants to roll back time, to an era when the internet and digital music did not exist. It's a pretty wimpy approach for an industry that was founded upon rapid change and innovation.

Was Rosa Parks wrong? The truth is, I think, that you and I benefit enormously today from the sacrafices made by people who were willing to buck the system and paid the price for doing it.

You have to break a few eggs to make an omelette...

Bill

They sentenced me to twenty years of boredom
For trying to change the system from within
I'm coming now, I'm coming to reward them....

Leonard Cohen

Steve Guidry wrote:

> But in general, I don't share your paranoid "they're out-to-get-us" world
> view. Personally, over time, I've found that trying to change an
> entrenched system like the music biz is a task that will break you.
> Instead, I prefer to work within whatever system prevails, and make a living
> along the way. If the music system sucks, then maybe it's time to do
> something else.
>
> You'd probably say that I've sold out whatever ideals I may have started
> with. I prefer to think of myself as a realist.
>
> --
> Steve Guidry
> Video Works, Inc.
> 903.509.8838
> www.videoworksinc.com
>
> "Bill Van Dyk" <bvandyk@christian-horizons.org> wrote in message
> news:3CFD0C02.EA00BAA7@christian-horizons.org...

> > Steve Guidry wrote:
> >
> > > > certainly be tempted to exploit this control for the purpose of
> > > > preventing competing interests from getting a leghold on the market,
> >
> > The way, for example, independent musical artists could never get played
> on the
> > radio in the past, not even on a local station, or get his or her records
> on
> > display at the local record store, or get booked for an appearance on TV,
> or get
> > his song used in a movie, etc. The only way to make a living from your
> music
> > was to sign on with Columbia or RCA or Warner Bros, and accept their
> contract
> > terms and promotion. Radio stations simply stopped playing anything that
> didn't
> > come with a major record label on it. They black-balled anyone who didn't
> > knuckle under to the industry.
> >
> > If you like convergence, no problem. If you don't mind finding out that
> your
> > newspaper is running an article on Madonna not because some editor decided
> that
> > she is "significant" but because the parent corporation ordered them to,
> because
> > she has a new record, and movie coming out...
> >
> > Movie theatres also generally will not play any film that is not part of a
> > package offered by the major distributors. (If Hollywood's product was
> really
> > so great, there would be no need for those restrictive contracts between
> > theatres and distributors, that require distributors to show bad,
> unsuccessful
> > movies in exchange for the right to show the hits.)
> >
> > > This is an old argument. Artists vs managers. Artists create.
> Creative
> > > > folk often lack the skills or the inclination to see after their own
> > > > business interests.
> >
> > > > types. It's the business folk who risk the money to develop, promote
> and
> > > > market the art - - mostly with no assurance at all that they will ever
> see a
> > > > profit. This contribution - - career direction, development, etc - -
> often
> >
> > Please check out Tom Petty, Michele Shocked, Ani DiFranco, Jane Siberry,
> etc.

> > At the very least, it is clear that many managers and "business folk" are
> > cheating and lying to the people they represent.
> >
> > > (in the early stages of an artist's career, when s/he could just as
> easliy
> > > decide to go back to painting houses or being a waitress or go back to
> > > school) is mostly overlooked when the artist "makes it". The tendency
> is
> > > to just say, "well he was destined to do this. . ." The managers are
> viewed
> > > as leeches and vultures because they get to share in the wealth that
> they
> > > helped to create.
> >
> > Some of them are, some of them aren't. Many of them pressure their
> clients
> > into signing deals that confer extraordinary rights upon themselves, to
> control
> > and exploit the work of the artist. Some agents actually represent the
> record
> > company while "negotiating" on behalf of the client! Some agents, like
> Brian
> > Epstein, were well-meaning and did help their clients succeed--- but even
> > Epstein arranged several deals that were very bad for the Lennon-McCartney
> > song-writing team and the Beatles ended up losing control over their own
> music.
> >
> > I have limited sympathy for good, conscientious agents, the same way I
> have
> > limited sympathy for honest lawyers. But in each case, my sympathy is
> limited
> > by the failure of that profession to clean itself up. If I were an agent,
> I'd
> > press for a code of ethics, like a "Good Housekeeping Seal", that artists
> could
> > use to judge whether they are likely to be handled fairly and responsibly
> or
> > not.
> >
> >

3910. Re: PRO-94 Trunking Info Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 14:42:48 UTC
From: Dave <ws2l@NOSPAMoptonline.net>
Message-ID: <IrpL8.29848$tK.6428752@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 47575
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I might just do that....With my 25% employee discount I tend to buy & return stuff until I find what I like and I admit I'm not a very big 94 fan.

Dave

3911. Re: damned RIAA/MPAA tyrants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 15:09:24 UTC
From: Steve Guidry <vwi@ballistic.com>
Message-ID: <EQpL8.130064$Kp.12754378@bin7.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 47576
Cross-posted to: rec.video.production,rec.video.desktop,rec.radio.scanner,alt.society.civil-liberty,rec.video.cable-tv

Yeah, Yeah, Yeah.

I do agree. And I'll cheer you guys on. Really I will. Change the world. Honestly, I'm all for it. I mean it. (No wink or nod here - - I'm serious.) It's just a matter of where to focus one's energies.

And I've made a ton of money "working the system". (not the music biz). Nearly all of it has been in well-established venues where video is welcome.

I guess my credo would be this : "Pioneers get the arrows. Settlers get the land."

--
Steve Guidry
Video Works, Inc.
903.509.8838
www.videoworksinc.com

"Bill Van Dyk" <bvandyk@christian-horizons.org> wrote in message news:3CFE2200.5C489A94@christian-horizons.org...
> Hi Steve,
>
> Very reasonable point, but if everybody preferred to work within the system, the
> system would never change, and we'd still have slavery, women wouldn't be able
> to vote, and your wages would be about 50 cents an hour, and Microsoft would
> rule the world..... oops.
>
> Oddly enough, it appears to be the music industry that now wants to roll back
> time, to an era when the internet and digital music did not exist. It's a
> pretty wimpy approach for an industry that was founded upon rapid change and
> innovation.
>
> Was Rosa Parks wrong? The truth is, I think, that you and I benefit enormously
> today from the sacrafices made by people who were willing to buck the system and
> paid the price for doing it.
>
> You have to break a few eggs to make an omelette...
>

> Bill
>
> They sentenced me to twenty years of boredom
> For trying to change the system from within
> I'm coming now, I'm coming to reward them....
>
> Leonard Cohen
>
> Steve Guidry wrote:
>
> > But in general, I don't share your paranoid "they're out-to-get-us"
world
> > view. Personally, over time, I've found that trying to change an
> > entrenched system like the music biz is a task that will break you.
> > Instead, I prefer to work within whatever system prevails, and make a
living
> > along the way. If the music system sucks, then maybe it's time to do
> > something else.
> >
> > You'd probably say that I've sold out whatever ideals I may have started
> > with. I prefer to think of myself as a realist.
> >
> > --
> > Steve Guidry
> > Video Works, Inc.
> > 903.509.8838
> > www.videoworksinc.com
> >
> > "Bill Van Dyk" <bvandyk@christian-horizons.org> wrote in message
> > news:3CFD0C02.EA00BAA7@christian-horizons.org...
> > > Steve Guidry wrote:
> > >
> > > > > certainly be tempted to exploit this control for the purpose of
> > > > > preventing competing interests from getting a leghold on the
market,
> > >
> > > The way, for example, independent musical artists could never get
played
> > on the
> > > radio in the past, not even on a local station, or get his or her
records
> > on
> > > display at the local record store, or get booked for an appearance on
TV,
> > or get
> > > his song used in a movie, etc. The only way to make a living from
your
> > music
> > > was to sign on with Columbia or RCA or Warner Bros, and accept their
> > contract

> > > terms and promotion. Radio stations simply stopped playing anything
that
> > didn't
> > > come with a major record label on it. They black-balled anyone who
didn't
> > > knuckle under to the industry.
> > >
> > > If you like convergence, no problem. If you don't mind finding out
that
> > your
> > > newspaper is running an article on Madonna not because some editor
decided
> > that
> > > she is "significant" but because the parent corporation ordered them
to,
> > because
> > > she has a new record, and movie coming out...
> > >
> > > Movie theatres also generally will not play any film that is not part
of a
> > > package offered by the major distributors. (If Hollywood's product
was
> > really
> > > so great, there would be no need for those restrictive contracts
between
> > > theatres and distributors, that require distributors to show bad,
> > unsuccessful
> > > movies in exchange for the right to show the hits.)
> > >
> > > > This is an old argument. Artists vs managers. Artists create.
> > Creative
> > > > folk often lack the skills or the inclination to see after their own
> > > > business interests.
> > >
> > > > types. It's the business folk who risk the money to develop,
promote
> > and
> > > > market the art - - mostly with no assurance at all that they will
ever
> > see a
> > > > profit. This contribution - - career direction, development,
etc - -
> > often
> > >
> > > Please check out Tom Petty, Michele Shocked, Ani DiFranco, Jane
Siberry,
> > etc.
> > > At the very least, it is clear that many managers and "business folk"
are
> > > cheating and lying to the people they represent.

> > >
> > > > (in the early stages of an artist's career, when s/he could just as
> > easliy
> > > > decide to go back to painting houses or being a waitress or go back
to
> > > > school) is mostly overlooked when the artist "makes it". The
tendency
> > is
> > > > to just say, "well he was destined to do this. . ." The managers
are
> > viewed
> > > > as leeches and vultures because they get to share in the wealth that
> > they
> > > > helped to create.
> > >
> > > Some of them are, some of them aren't. Many of them pressure their
> > clients
> > > into signing deals that confer extraordinary rights upon themselves,
to
> > control
> > > and exploit the work of the artist. Some agents actually represent
the
> > record
> > > company while "negotiating" on behalf of the client! Some agents,
like
> > Brian
> > > Epstein, were well-meaning and did help their clients succeed--- but
even
> > > Epstein arranged several deals that were very bad for the
Lennon-McCartney
> > > song-writing team and the Beatles ended up losing control over their
own
> > music.
> > >
> > > I have limited sympathy for good, conscientious agents, the same way I
> > have
> > > limited sympathy for honest lawyers. But in each case, my sympathy is
> > limited
> > > by the failure of that profession to clean itself up. If I were an
agent,
> > I'd
> > > press for a code of ethics, like a "Good Housekeeping Seal", that
artists
> > could
> > > use to judge whether they are likely to be handled fairly and
responsibly
> > or
> > > not.
> > >
> > >

>
>

3912. Live NASA & CAP Comms for Shuttle Launch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 16:04:35 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CFE3693.2000000@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 47577
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The shuttle is due to launch today at 17:22:48 EDT. I have a scanner online broadcasting Kennedy Space Center freqs from security, fire, launch support as well as the STA (Shuttle Training Aircraft).

Also included in this scan are the Combat Air Patrol freqs of the fighter patroling the skies over the Space Center.

Closer to launch time I'll lock the scanner to 126.6500, the freq used by the STA. About 1 hour before launch the STA will fly approaches to the shuttle runway as well as climb and measure the cloud heights. 99% of the time it will be from data he reports which will lead to scrubbing a launch.

I'm located about 40 miles from Pad 39-A and can pick up most of the comms pretty well. It should make for some interesting scanner.

To listen go to http://www.MilAirComms.com and scroll down until you see the section "LIVE AUDIO! Daytona Beach FL".

Have fun,
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF, VHF, UHF Military freqs and more!

3913. Re: Need manual for Pro-30!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 16:46:20 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020605124620.13948.00000273@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 47578

>http://members.optushome.com.au/extremescan/manuals.html
>
>The pro30 isnt there but I am sure one of the others will program the same.

Ah, but the question is which one will program the same... Radio Shack has a whole bunch of manuals online too, but none for the Pro-30. I am sure it's overly complicated, but I'd like to get a copy of the manual anyway.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

3914. Freqs for Beachwood, NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 16:52:35 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020605125235.19775.00000164@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 47579

I am looking for anyone that actively monitors the Beachwood, NJ fire department. I know they use county fire freq's for dispatch, etc, and I have those lists, but I'd like to know specifically which ones are used for what. If you have the information, please let me know.

Please, no responses asking me to go to "this URL", etc... only looking for those with first hand knowledge of the freqs used.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

3915. Re: What's on 886.8 MHz - Cleveland?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 17:03:43 UTC
From: DougSlug <dw_firewall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <PvrL8.32488$tK.6714019@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 47581

And you're under arrest on three counts of violating the Telecommunications Privacy Act:

(1) possession of a receiving device capable of receiving cellular telephone frequencies
(1) illegally monitoring cellular telephone frequencies
(2) publicly disclosing information received through said illegal monitoring activity

And now we are all accessories to the crime, dammit!

(just teasing ;^D)

"yoyo" <nut_tin@buster.net> wrote in message news:hurqfukhkir3mgi82b01mpkgi5gsqf5llc@4ax.com...
> It's a message that repeats over and over and over: "To reach the
> Cleveland Northeast and Southeast, including Akron and Kent, press 1",
> plus a few more similar options for other regions, followed by "calls
> may be monitored for quality assurance".

3916. Police security ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 17:32:13 UTC
From: Steve <stfrank@eot.com>
Message-ID: <xWrL8.518$Ac2.304932@news7.onvoy.net>
Source record: 47582

i am sorta of a newbie in the groups. I have a question about the block that the police can do when they have something to say back to base, and they switch over to secure. all i here on my end is static. What is this called? How does it work? And is there anyway to decod it or here it on another freq. Please help answer my question.

Thanks

3917. Re: PRO-94 Trunking Info Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 18:04:29 UTC
From: Lee <lee@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <NosL8.25841$b73.14455@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 47583
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Dave.....can you return my Pro-94 for me too? lol
I had a gift certificate and the Pro-94 was on sale at the time. It was a good deal for me at the time but after using it for 6 months I would rather have bought the model that interfaces my PC!
Oh well lessons learned.
Lee
E.T.

"Dave" <ws2l@NOSPAMoptonline.net> wrote in message news:IrpL8.29848$tK.6428752@news02.optonline.net...
> I might just do that....With my 25% employee discount I tend to buy & return
> stuff until I find what I like and I admit I'm not a very big 94 fan.
>
> Dave
>
>

3918. Re: Live NASA & CAP Comms for Shuttle Launch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 18:39:25 UTC
From: Joseph Brzezinski <breezyjr1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9224956CC464Fbreezyjr@206.141.193.32>
Source record: 47584
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in
news:3CFE3693.2000000@licensed4fun.com:

> The shuttle is due to launch today at 17:22:48 EDT. I have a scanner
> online broadcasting Kennedy Space Center freqs from security, fire,
> launch support as well as the STA (Shuttle Training Aircraft).
>
> Also included in this scan are the Combat Air Patrol freqs of the
> fighter patroling the skies over the Space Center.
>
> Closer to launch time I'll lock the scanner to 126.6500, the freq used
> by the STA. About 1 hour before launch the STA will fly approaches to
> the shuttle runway as well as climb and measure the cloud heights.
> 99% of the time it will be from data he reports which will lead to
> scrubbing a launch.
>
> I'm located about 40 miles from Pad 39-A and can pick up most of the
> comms pretty well. It should make for some interesting scanner.
>
> To listen go to http://www.MilAirComms.com and scroll down until you
> see the section "LIVE AUDIO! Daytona Beach FL".
>
> Have fun,
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF, VHF, UHF Military freqs and more!
>
>
>

It wasn't working for me. unable to connect, or not transmitting. I d/l
the software and such, but, nothing.

breezyjr

3919. Q: Radio Shack "Center Loaded" Antenna???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 18:41:56 UTC
From: miketeachr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020605144156.07825.00003203@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 47585

OK!!!

So; I have a:

Radio Shack PRO-70 50 Channel Scanner, and
Radio Shack 40 Channel Transceiver

both handheld.

I bought a Radio Shack [man i should buy some stock] "Center Loaded"
Telescoping Antenna.

Q1: What is in the center of the center loaded antenna? Whats in that Bulge?
Circuitry? Some Coil? An Elf? A Creamy Nougat Center?

Q2: The Directions say its for transmitting from 130-535 MHz. Are the 40 CB
Channels in this range?

Q3: The directions say you 'must always collapse the section located just above
the loading coil'; or damage to antenna and/or radio may result. What kind of
damage? The Antenna might bend? The radio might explode? I'll accidentally send
the GO codes for our nuclear arsenal?

Q4: If indeed i CAN use this to transmit on CB; whats up with this 'you must
calculate the 1/4 wavelength and adjust accordingly' stuff? Math is involved?
Does it really matter? It says i can damage the radio due to an improper
Standing Wave Ratio? Why are SWRs dangerous? Are they mad at us? What did I do
to deserve this?

Q5: For receiving on my scanner; the directions are telling me to extend
different sections of the antenna depending on what frequencies im listening
to? Whats the best 'happy medium' for my scanner. It scans 29-512 MHz. I dont
think they want me to adjust the length for every frequency. It scans 50
channels a second, and I cant adjust an antenna 50 times a second.

Q: I thought this was supposed to be easy. Where did I go wrong?

Seriously, Thanks in advance if you made it this far.
Any/all responses appreciated.

Mike
Miketeachr@aol.com

3920. Re: Live NASA & CAP Comms for Shuttle Launch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 18:53:05 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CFE5E11.4030500@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 47586
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Did you try rebooting your computer? We've been transmitting and have
25 listeners at this very moment.

I once had problems on Windows XP that it couldn't connect however a
reboot solved the problem.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <-HF VHF UHF Military Freq and LIVE AUDIO

3921. bc80xlt scanner mod?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 19:00:23 UTC
From: Steve <stfrank@eot.com>
Message-ID: <bdtL8.520$Ac2.308541@news7.onvoy.net>
Source record: 47587

Has anyone found or hear of a scanner mod that works for the bc80xlt.

Thanks

3922. Re: From the Front Lines of The Copyright Debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 19:02:08 UTC
From: Tom Clyne <tc60@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3CFE604D.DC6852EB@optonline.net>
Source record: 47588

Oh, sure - but don't forget, HE broke the story. <g>

Zombie Wolf wrote:

> For one thing, this has nothing to do with radio scanners - for another,
> Intel is not going to go along with any such idea , as long as Mircrosoft
> Windows Media Audio And Video are around. You dont know what you are talking
> about. To accomplish this, Intel would have to rip out half of the MMX
> commands in their CPUs, rendering them significantly slower than most of
> their competitors. This is something Intel just does not need, coupled with
> a mass exodus to AMD, which would cost Intel billions of dollars. I will
> repeat here an old adage which still holds water today : "If you can play
> it, you can copy it". The recent debacle with CDs that had a chip imbedded
> in them proves the point quite nicely. What people did was, they simply
> played the CD into their sound card, Using a CD player, instead of ripping
> it digitally, and then made an mp3 out of the resulting WAV file. Its now
> widely available all over the place. As a matter of fact, people made a
> point of doing it, just like they did after Metallica sued. Everything
> Metallica ever did is available now....
>

> "WinMX Pirate" <pirate@arr.com> wrote in message

<

> news:adk2e6ttc1@newsreader.mailgate.org...
> > Today, Intel CEO Craig Barrett gave the keynote speach at SuperComm 2002,

snipped
>

3923. Re: Live NASA & CAP Comms for Shuttle Launch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 19:13:52 UTC
From: Troy Walter <Twalt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ufsomnn46uf6f1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47589
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Do you have a direct link you could post? The java link on your webpage is
not working for me.

Thanks

Twalt

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3CFE5E11.4030500@licensed4fun.com...
>
> Did you try rebooting your computer? We've been transmitting and have
> 25 listeners at this very moment.
>
> I once had problems on Windows XP that it couldn't connect however a
> reboot solved the problem.
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com <-HF VHF UHF Military Freq and LIVE AUDIO
>
>

3924. Re: Free for first 22,500 days - Electronic Log

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 19:13:54 UTC
From: Den6N <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <ufsomqb1ufujf4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47590
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

```
How much after the 22,500 days? (assuming I'm still alive 8^) )
```

Den6N
Putnam Co NY
==========
"Thomas McQuiggan" <tommcq@tiscali.co.uk> wrote in message
news:3cfbf355$1_2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> You are invited to download your free version of an electronic log-book
> built around Access2000. Has many great features including a worldwide
> "Station Locator" to help you identify those distant stations.
>
> http://web.ukonline.co.uk./sadmutha/ar3000/mcqlog.htm
>
> --
> Regards, Tom.
> *.. -:-
> . .))
> ((. . ..-::- * www.ar3000.info * -::-
> -::- ((.*
>
>
>
>

3925. Re: Powerful scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 19:39:47 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <u6qsfug9qsdel75bs7lb39roolvpg7i2s0@4ax.com>
Source record: 47592
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Fresh from a command appearance on The View, "Mille" <nomail@nospam.com> moaned...

>Yeah, he's probably a stalker. If nothing else, he's a lowlife idiot. Has
>anyone told this moron that most cellphones are digital and he's not going
>to hear anything at all?

Emilio is a WELL-KNOWN troll, at least to anyone who has been reading
this NG for more than a few months.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

3926. Re: damned RIAA/MPAA tyrants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 19:40:57 UTC
From: Gary Tait <taitg@hurontel.on.ca>
Message-ID: <JMtL8.21496$Z6.243872@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 47591
Cross-posted to: rec.video.production,rec.video.desktop,rec.radio.scanner,alt.society.civil-liberty,rec.video.cable-tv

Steve Guidry <vwi@ballistic.com> wrote in message
news:D25L8.53062$4i.5743236@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> OK Bill . . .
>
> > I wonder if these initiatives may also, in the long run, have the effect
> > of shutting independent content producers out of the market again. If
> > the current oligarchy of media interests are able to control the
> > hardware for the purpose of preventing LEGAL copying, they could
> > certainly be tempted to exploit this control for the purpose of
> > preventing competing interests from getting a leghold on the market,
>
> How ? Maybe I'm dense, but I don't see it.
>

Have them in control of the "presses" of the music industry.
Think of this phrase:
" Freedom of the press belongs to those that own one"

The same thing could apply to the music recording and motion picutre
industries,
meaning if you want to manufacture and distribute content, you have to pay
them to do it,
or buy a "press" like theirs.

3927. Re: Live NASA & CAP Comms for Shuttle Launch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 19:50:27 UTC
From: Joseph Brzezinski <breezyjr1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9224A16F88291breezyjr@206.141.193.32>
Source record: 47595
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Troy Walter" <Twalt@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:ufsomnn46uf6f1@corp.supernews.com:

> Do you have a direct link you could post? The java link on your
> webpage is not working for me.
>
> Thanks
>
> Twalt
>
>
> "GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
> news:3CFE5E11.4030500@licensed4fun.com...
>>
>> Did you try rebooting your computer? We've been transmitting and
>> have 25 listeners at this very moment.
>>
>> I once had problems on Windows XP that it couldn't connect however a
>> reboot solved the problem.
>>
>> George
>> http://www.MilAirComms.com <-HF VHF UHF Military Freq and LIVE AUDIO
>>
>>
>
>
>

try live365.com then type in daytona in the search field.
You also can't use netscape 6.2, at least I couldn't, I had to go back to
4.7.

And, rebooting wouldn't work. It just says you're not transmitting....But,
what's the harm in trying.

breezyjr (joe)

3928. Re: Free for first 22,500 days - Electronic Log

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 19:50:29 UTC
From: "www.ar3000.info" <tommcqDELETECAPS@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <3cfe69a5_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 47593
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

I do apologise guys. Nick was right! The server was down for a short time, so some of you will have seen a 404 report. It's okay now and is available for download. Sorry for any inconvenience.

Regards, Tom.
*..• ●●●)) -:•:-
•.•• .●●●●))
((••.•• .•• ..-:•:- * www.ar3000.info * -:•:-
-:•:- ((••.••*

"Nick" <not.known@here.com> wrote in message
news:FE2L8.664$4r5.84085@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net...
>
> "Thomas McQuiggan" <tommcq@tiscali.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:3cfbf355$1_2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> > You are invited to download your free version of an electronic log-book
> > built around Access2000. Has many great features including a worldwide
> > "Station Locator" to help you identify those distant stations.
> >
> > http://web.ukonline.co.uk./sadmutha/ar3000/mcqlog.htm
> >
> > --
> > Regards, Tom.
> > *..• ●●●)) -:•:-
> > •.•• .●●●●))
> > ((••.•• .•• ..-:•:- * www.ar3000.info * -:•:-
> > -:•:- ((••.••*
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> It's a 404 reply.
>
>
>

3929. Re: Free for first 22,500 days - Electronic Log

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 19:51:53 UTC
From: "www.ar3000.info" <tommcqDELETECAPS@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <3cfe69f9$1_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 47594
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

"Den6N" <djmr26@bestweb.net> wrote in message
> How much after the 22,500 days? (assuming I'm still alive 8^))

Hmmmm, let's just say it's your round at the bar :-)

Tom
www.ar3000.info

3930. Re: Live NASA & CAP Comms for Shuttle Launch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 19:56:37 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CFE6CF5.6050000@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 47596
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

>
> try live365.com then type in daytona in the search field.
> You also can't use netscape 6.2, at least I couldn't, I had to go back to
> 4.7.
>
> And, rebooting wouldn't work. It just says you're not transmitting....But,
> what's the harm in trying.
>
> breezyjr (joe)
>

Another idea would be to try Internet Explorer. I just tired it with
the IE and it worked with that as well.

Thanks,
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

3931. Re: Live NASA & CAP Comms for Shuttle Launch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 19:59:09 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CFE6D8D.608@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 47447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

GeorgeF wrote:

>
>>
>> try live365.com then type in daytona in the search field.
>> You also can't use netscape 6.2, at least I couldn't, I had to go back
>> to 4.7.
>>
>> And, rebooting wouldn't work. It just says you're not
>> transmitting....But, what's the harm in trying.
>>
>> breezyjr (joe)
>>
> Now you're listening to the Shuttle Training Aircraft doing it weather evaulations as well as its "Dives" to both runnways, Runway 15 and Runway 33. For those local enough to the area you can pick this up on 126.6500.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

3932. Re: Coat hanger antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 20:21:04 UTC
From: Tony Tymes <icehopper@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <QouL8.1072$jH4.444@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 47448
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Another antenna that works great (I have it on a older Bearcat and reception shot up with no intermod) is a fm antenna that can be mounted unto any pole. I have it up top of a camper I use as my ham shack just hooked loosely to rail on top. You may need to get a adapter from radio shack for the cable or put the right end onto the coax yourself.

Tony KC8EWU

Martin <mk394@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:t7dL8.7856$LR4.1121571@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Thanks to all of you for your kind help! I really appreciate it and the info
> will be useful when I attempt an antenna upgrade.
>
>
>

3933. Live Audio Shuttle Launch Less than 1 hr and still a go!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 20:26:19 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CFE73EB.3070402@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 47449
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

The 17:22 EDT launch of Shuttle Flight STS-111 is still a go. Shuttle Training Aircraft (STA) is airborne and while things aren't perfect the weather is within launch guidelines. Everything is still Go.

Listen to live NASA VHF/UHF comms at http://www.MilAirComms.com

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Freq & Live AUDIO!

3934. Re: bc80xlt scanner mod?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 21:11:17 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <djvsfu4753f0trqp1bgc0eomp60rkeae4k@4ax.com>
Source record: 47450

Fresh from a command appearance on The View, "Steve" <stfrank@eot.com> moaned...

>Has anyone found or hear of a scanner mod that works for the bc80xlt.

Mod for what??

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

3935. FS Yeasu VR 5000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 21:14:01 UTC
From: Ben <manley62@InsightBB.com>
Message-ID: <tavL8.211$pw3.261@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 47451

I have a brand new unopened Yeasu VR 5000 for sale. AES is selling them for $699. I'm asking $600 including shipping. Please email me with any offers.

3936. Re: PRO 92 Sofware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 21:29:52 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <apvL8.9638$17.3999781@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 47452

Radio Shack no longer has software for the PRO-92. The freeware Win92 program is the best there is but if you really want to buy a program, try Scanners Unlimited.

The PRO-2053 (not PRO-2056) uses a different program called Win93, also written by the author of Win92. As with Win92, Win93 is the best there is.

"Randy" <bearcat@astound.net> wrote in message news:3CFDFD3E.1020808@astound.net...
> You have to order it from rat shack. Also, go to pro-92.com for good
> info on radio. I just bought a pro 2056 that uses this software and had
> to order it. Don't let them sell you that 14.99 software they have
> behind the counter. Does not work for these radio's.
> Randy

3937. Simple Question (i hope)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 21:30:43 UTC
From: CoolDave_0@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1fa6f03d.0206051330.27ee8f2e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47453

I am interested in telephone transmitters. My uncle was in the CIA for 23 years and he would know the answer but currently he's on a business trip in Asia so it's hard to contact him. My question is I saw a UHF telephone transmitter (about $250) and another listed under low prices for about $60(non UHF). Same range it says. Whats so good about UHF? Also could you pick up the 110-130MHz cheap transmitters and the 400Mhz UHF on a pro-43? thanks

Dave

3938. Re: Police security ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 21:33:12 UTC
From: John P Vassel <john@vassel.net>
Message-ID: <3cfe8398$0$3569$2c3e98f8@news.voyager.net>
Source record: 47454

"Steve" <stfrank@eot.com> wrote in
news:xWrL8.518$Ac2.304932@news7.onvoy.net:

> i am sorta of a newbie in the groups. I have a question about the block
> that the police can do when they have something to say back to base,
> and they switch over to secure. all i here on my end is static. What is
> this called? How does it work? And is there anyway to decod it or here
> it on another freq. Please help answer my question.
>
> Thanks
>
>

Years ago, our sheriffs dept just used to switch off the repeater so only dispatch (and smart scanner buffs in close proximity) could hear their traffic. That was what they considered going 'secure'.

--
John Vassel
KC8SKE
RTFD Volunteer Firefighter

3939. Scannocular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 21:39:54 UTC
From: debbiet@i-plus.net
Message-ID: <a3091556.0206051339.e968f37@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47455

I recently purchased a Scannocular. I was wondering if anyone knows
if there are any mods for this scanner, such as restoring the
30.00-50.00 band? Thanks!

3940. Re: damned RIAA/MPAA tyrants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 21:58:12 UTC
From: lhorwinkle <NOT_NOT_lhorwinnkle@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8NvL8.27090$EW5.1520517@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 47456
Cross-posted to: rec.video.production,rec.video.desktop,rec.radio.scanner,alt.society.civil-liberty,rec.video.cable-tv

If 18th century American colonists felt that way, there would have
been no revolution.

Likewise the French in 1789 and the Communist bloc nations in 1989.

"Steve Guidry" <vwi@ballistic.com> wrote in message
news:PC9L8.126140$Oa1.12323342@bin8.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...

> Personally, over time, I've found that trying to change an
> entrenched system like the music biz is a task that will break you.
> Instead, I prefer to work within whatever system prevails, and make a
living
> along the way.

3941. Re: Live NASA & CAP Comms for Shuttle Launch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 22:15:02 UTC
From: Joseph Brzezinski <breezyjr1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9224BA257F8E2breezyjr@206.141.193.32>
Source record: 47457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

>
>

Missed the whole thing.....Thought it was at 1744, not 1722....doh!

3942. VHF and HF ACARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 22:41:08 UTC
From: Mike <mikejackson@clear.net.nz>
Message-ID: <3cfe937b@clear.net.nz>
Source record: 47458
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.aviation,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi One and All,

I have just started a web site for VHF and HF ACARS collection from my New Zealand location.

www.home.clear.net.nz.pages/mikejackson

Visit at your liesure.

Mike Jackson
QTH 40 15 S 175 35 E
Feilding, New Zealand
mikejackson@clear.net.nz

3943. Re: Coat hanger antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 22:41:35 UTC
From: Max Imo <not@this.com>
Message-ID: <3cfe93a1$0$3568$4c5ecdc7@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 47459
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The 'dead' spots are usually caused by the metal in your building, although other building materials can absorb some of the RF energy. Nothing beats a good outside antenna using good feedline.

"Martin" <mk394@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:qadL8.7857$LR4.1122907@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Thank you for your thorough response Doug. In response to the reception
> issues, there is indeed a tall building directly opposite to me but also
> plenty of open air as I'm on the 13th floor. I think the material this
> building is made of may be causing the bad reception as I notice a
> difference when scanning on my balcony. There are many "dead zones" inside
> as I scan.. I think I will start a new thread about why these "dead zones"
> might exist even where there are no electronics around.
>
> > You're right about "bigger and higher is better", but also be aware that
> > "too much" antenna can cause the receiver to overload, making reception
of
> > even strong local signals difficult. If you put a long wire on a
VHF/UHF
> > scanner, you may run into this problem, in which case an in-line
> attenuator
> > (or smaller antenna) may be necessary. A decent sized telescopic whip
> tends
> > to be the best choice for VHF/UHF scanners when portability is required,
> or
> > a discone mounted on the roof outside for when a base unit is being
used.
> > TV antennas will work well, too, if you happen to have one available.
> >
> > But to answer the original question: a piece of coat hanger MAY improve
> the
> > reception over a rubber duck or whip antenna, but it may not, too. It
may
> > only improve at certain frequencies (where the coat hanger is a multiple
> of
> > a 1/4 wavelength of the received signal, for instance), but may not
offer
> > any improvement elsewhere.
> >
> > I'm surprised that the RS whip doesn't improve things...my experience
has
> > been just the opposite. Your location could be a factor as well.
VHF/UHF

> > radio waves really only propagate in a line-of-sight fashion, so if you
> have
> > tall buildings or heavy foliage around you or are only trying to pick up
> > distant stations, the antenna may simply have to be higher.
> >
> > Also, be careful not to ruin the antenna connector when using
> "non-standard"
> > antenna materials!
> >
> > - Doug
> >
> >
> > "RustY" <RustY@Hotmale.UK> wrote in message
> > news:rULK8.1012$u5.83378@news8-gui.server.ntli.net...
> > >
> > > "Martin" <mk394@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:uwxK8.3050$Av5.283849@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > > could I improve my scanner reception by
> > > > sticking a straightened coat hanger ....
> > >
> > > Yes you could. You may even find that you do not need to straighten
it.
> > > With scanners the only rule is that the bigger and higher the aerial
the
> > > better. You will also have success with welding rods, electrical wire
> and
> > > any other metal objects that you can connect.
> > >
> > > Happy Scanning
> > >
> > > RustY
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

3944. Re: PRO-94 Trunking Info Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 22:43:23 UTC
From: Max Imo <not@this.com>
Message-ID: <3cfe940b$0$3581$4c5ecdc7@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 47460
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The manual for the PRO-94 can be found on the Rat Shack web site.

"Joshua Carroll" <joshua_carroll@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:3CFED9F3.2BBBBEA6@swbell.net...
> Take it back to Radio Shack (or throw it away) and buy a Pro-93. :
>
>
>
> Dave wrote:
> >
> > Hello All,
> >
> > It seems that I have either misplaced or lost the owners manual for
my
> > PRO-94 and need info on how to program the trunking feature on this
scanner.
> > I kind of remember a little on how to do it but not completely so I have
> > given up on trying to figure it out by myself. If someone can give me a
> > brief synopsis on how to program in trunked systems it would be greatly
> > appreciated.
> >
> > Thank You in advance,
> > Dave
>
> --
> Joshua Carroll
> joshua_carroll@swbell.net
> IPN-HOU036 - Webster/Galveston, TX
> HoustonWeather: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/HoustonWeather

3945. VHF and HF ACARS - Corrected Version!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 22:46:44 UTC
From: Mike <mikejackson@clear.net.nz>
Message-ID: <3cfe94d1@clear.net.nz>
Source record: 47461
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.aviation,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Doh!

Hi One and All,

I have just started a web site for VHF and HF ACARS collection from my New Zealand location.

http:\\home.clear.net.nz.pages/mikejackson

Visit at your liesure.

Mike Jackson
QTH 40 15 S 175 35 E
Feilding, New Zealand
mikejackson@clear.net.nz

3946. VHF and HF ACARS (I hate URL version)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 22:52:25 UTC
From: Mike <mikejackson@clear.net.nz>
Message-ID: <3cfe9626@clear.net.nz>
Source record: 47464
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.aviation,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

AAAaaaaarrrrrgggggghhhhh!!!!!

Hi Guys and Gals,

For those interested I have a web site up with some logs and info on VHF and HF ACARS in New Zealand:

http://home.clear.net.nz/pages/mikejackson/

Visit at your peril!

Mike Jackson
QTH 40 15 S 175 35 E
Feilding, New Zealand
mikejackson@clear.net.nz

3947. Re: VHF and HF ACARS - Corrected Version!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 22:53:30 UTC
From: Mike <mikejackson@clear.net.nz>
Message-ID: <3cfe9667@clear.net.nz>
Source record: 47465
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.aviation,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

My humble apologies, see the next post.

Mike
"www.ar3000.info" <tommcqDELETECAPS@tiscali.co.uk> wrote in message
news:3cfe95d9_2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
Errr.....still no joy from here. It puts up a box saying "...not accessible".
"Mike" <mikejackson@clear.net.nz> wrote in message news:3cfe94d1@clear.net.nz...
Doh!

Hi One and All,

I have just started a web site for VHF and HF ACARS collection from my New Zealand location.

http:\\home.clear.net.nz.pages/mikejackson

Visit at your liesure.

Mike Jackson
QTH 40 15 S 175 35 E
Feilding, New Zealand
mikejackson@clear.net.nz

3948. Re: VHF and HF ACARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 22:56:34 UTC
From: "www.ar3000.info" <tommcqDELETECAPS@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <3cfe9543_3@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 47462
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.aviation,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Duff URL Mike.
"Mike" <mikejackson@clear.net.nz> wrote in message news:3cfe937b@clear.net.nz...
Hi One and All,

I have just started a web site for VHF and HF ACARS collection from my New Zealand location.

www.home.clear.net.nz.pages/mikejackson

Visit at your liesure.

Mike Jackson
QTH 40 15 S 175 35 E
Feilding, New Zealand
mikejackson@clear.net.nz

3949. Re: VHF and HF ACARS - Corrected Version!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 22:59:04 UTC
From: "www.ar3000.info" <tommcqDELETECAPS@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <3cfe95d9_2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 47463
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.aviation,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Errr.......still no joy from here. It puts up a box saying "...not accessible".
"Mike" <mikejackson@clear.net.nz> wrote in message news:3cfe94d1@clear.net.nz...
Doh!

Hi One and All,

I have just started a web site for VHF and HF ACARS collection from my New Zealand location.

http:\\home.clear.net.nz.pages/mikejackson

Visit at your liesure.

Mike Jackson
QTH 40 15 S 175 35 E
Feilding, New Zealand
mikejackson@clear.net.nz

3950. Re: VHF and HF ACARS - Corrected Version!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 23:07:51 UTC
From: maggnum@internode.on.net
Message-ID: <3cfe9994.213926279@news.internode.on.net>
Source record: 47466
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.aviation,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

how about posting in plain text and not HTML so those of us who are
not brain washed into using miccrsoft crap software don't have to fish
through wads of HTML??

Dave

On Wed, 05 Jun 2002 22:53:30 GMT, "Mike"
wrote:

>This is a multi-part message in MIME format.
>
>------=_NextPart_000_0067_01C20D48.3D322CA0
>Content-Type: text/plain;
> charset="iso-8859-1"
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
>
>My humble apologies, see the next post.
>
>Mike
> "www.ar3000.info" wrote in message =
>news:3cfe95d9_2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> Errr.......still no joy from here. It puts up a box saying "...not =
>accessible".
> "Mike" wrote in message =
>news:3cfe94d1@clear.net.nz...
> Doh!
>
> Hi One and All,
>
> I have just started a web site for VHF and HF ACARS collection from =
>my New Zealand location.
>
> http:\\home.clear.net.nz.pages/mikejackson
>
> Visit at your liesure.
>
>
> Mike Jackson
> QTH 40 15 S 175 35 E
> Feilding, New Zealand
> mikejackson@clear.net.nz
>
>------=_NextPart_000_0067_01C20D48.3D322CA0

```
>Content-Type: text/html;
> charset="iso-8859-1"
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
>
>
>
>
>
My humble apologies, see the next=20
>post.

>

>
Mike

>
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
>
"www.ar3000.info" < =
>href=3D"mailto:tommcqDELETECAPS@tiscali.co.uk">tommcqDELETECAPS@tiscali.c=
>o.uk>=20
> wrote in message =
>href=3D"news:3cfe95d9_2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com">news:3cfe95d9_=
>2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...

>
Errr.......still no joy from here. It =
>puts up a=20
> box saying "...not accessible".

>
style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
>BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
>
"Mike" < =
>href=3D"mailto:mikejackson@clear.net.nz">mikejackson@clear.net.nz>=
>=20
> wrote in message =
>href=3D"news:3cfe94d1@clear.net.nz">news:3cfe94d1@clear.net.nz...V>
>

>
Doh!

>

>
Hi One and All,

>
```

>
I have just started a web site =
>for VHF and=20
> HF ACARS collection from my New Zealand location.

>

>
=
>href=3D"file://\\home.clear.net.nz.pages/mikejackson">http:\\home.clear.n=
>et.nz.pages/mikejackson

>

>
Visit at your =
>liesure.

>

>

Mike Jackson
QTH 40 15 =
>S 175 35=20
> E
Feilding, New Zealand
=
>href=3D"mailto:mikejackson@clear.net.nz">mikejackson@clear.net.nzNT>

>
>------=_NextPart_000_0067_01C20D48.3D322CA0--
>

3951. Re: Powerful scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 23:11:30 UTC
From: Mille <nomail@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <CUwL8.14800$fT5.3612402@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 47467
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Actually Bud, I've been reading this NG for years. In fact, I'll bet that I was here before you (and even Toilet Boy) turned up. I didn't see the original post or I would have ignored it.

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message news:u6qsfug9qsdel75bs7lb39roolvpg7i2s0@4ax.com...
> Fresh from a command appearance on The View, "Mille" <nomail@nospam.com> moaned...
>
> >Yeah, he's probably a stalker. If nothing else, he's a lowlife idiot. Has
> >anyone told this moron that most cellphones are digital and he's not going
> >to hear anything at all?
>
> Emilio is a WELL-KNOWN troll, at least to anyone who has been reading
> this NG for more than a few months.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

3952. Re: Powerful scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 23:34:42 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <tt7tfug54mpkvlumjvuc3dlkvm8fh1rjl0@4ax.com>
Source record: 47468
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Fresh from a command appearance on The View, "Mille" <nomail@nospam.com> moaned...

>Actually Bud, I've been reading this NG for years. In fact, I'll bet that I
>was here before you (and even Toilet Boy) turned up.

Well, I've been in this group since 1988, but under various names,
and from a number of different ISPs/BBSs.

First was from The General BBS, where I was the co-sysop.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

3953. Re: Radio Shack "Center Loaded" Antenna???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 23:37:37 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <adm7bs$es34$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47469

"MikeTEACHR" <miketeachr@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020605144156.07825.00003203@mb-fg.aol.com...

> I bought a Radio Shack [man i should buy some stock] "Center Loaded"
> Telescoping Antenna.
>
> Q1: What is in the center of the center loaded antenna? Whats in that
Bulge?
> Circuitry? Some Coil? An Elf? A Creamy Nougat Center?

It is a coil.

> Q2: The Directions say its for transmitting from 130-535 MHz. Are the 40
CB
> Channels in this range?

No. The CB channels are between 26.965-27.405 MHZ.

> Q3: The directions say you 'must always collapse the section located just
above
> the loading coil'; or damage to antenna and/or radio may result. What kind
of
> damage? The Antenna might bend? The radio might explode? I'll accidentally
send
> the GO codes for our nuclear arsenal?

In what context? There are some ways that damage can result, but I need a
little more information to understand what they're talking about.

> Q4: If indeed i CAN use this to transmit on CB; whats up with this 'you
must
> calculate the 1/4 wavelength and adjust accordingly' stuff? Math is
involved?
> Does it really matter? It says i can damage the radio due to an improper
> Standing Wave Ratio? Why are SWRs dangerous? Are they mad at us? What did
I do
> to deserve this?

A transmitting antenna must provide a good match to the transmitter. In
this case, and in most cases, 1/4 wavelength will provide a good match. 1/4
wavelength for the CB band is approx. 102 inches. However, you can shorten
an antenna by adding a coil to part of it. But from what you described
earlier, this antenna won't work on the CB frequencies for transmitting, so
it won't provide a good match. With an antenna mismatch, part of the signal
transmitted from the transmitter will be reflected from the antenna to the
transmitter, aka standing waves. This is usually measured as Voltage
Standing Wave Ratio (VSWR), more commonly known as SWR. A high SWR reading

means that there will be high standing voltage in your feedline, which will eventually damage your transmitter. You may have heard CB'ers talking about "blowing thier finals." It is the final power transistor that overheats and melts down due to high SWR. In a best-case scenario, a mismatched antenna will only put out a crappy signal.

> Q5: For receiving on my scanner; the directions are telling me to extend
> different sections of the antenna depending on what frequencies im
listening
> to? Whats the best 'happy medium' for my scanner. It scans 29-512 MHz. I
dont
> think they want me to adjust the length for every frequency. It scans 50
> channels a second, and I cant adjust an antenna 50 times a second.

You really don't need to worry about it. If you're scanning frequencies around 29-60 MHZ, extend the antenna all the way. For UHF frequencies, shorten it. Just find a happy medium between the highest and lowest frequencies you listen to and set the antenna there. Most of the time you won't notice much difference.

> Q: I thought this was supposed to be easy. Where did I go wrong?

You didn't. You came to the right place for help.

Tom

3954. Re: Q: Radio Shack "Center Loaded" Antenna???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-05 23:41:11 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.com
Message-ID: <5e5fccab.0206051541.330412c9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47470

miketeachr@aol.com (MikeTEACHR) wrote in message
news:<20020605144156.07825.00003203@mb-fg.aol.com>...
> Q1: What is in the center of the center loaded antenna? Whats in that Bulge?
> Circuitry? Some Coil? An Elf? A Creamy Nougat Center?

A coil of wire. It allows the antenna to be "longer" without it being
too long.. it's a comprimise.

> Q2: The Directions say its for transmitting from 130-535 MHz. Are the 40 CB
> Channels in this range?

No, CB radio is in the 27 mhz range.

> Q3: The directions say you 'must always collapse the section located just above
> the loading coil'; or damage to antenna and/or radio may result. What kind of
> damage? The Antenna might bend? The radio might explode? I'll accidentally send
> the GO codes for our nuclear arsenal?

You could bend/break the antenna or the antenna post on the radio.

> Q4: If indeed i CAN use this to transmit on CB; whats up with this 'you must
> calculate the 1/4 wavelength and adjust accordingly' stuff? Math is involved?
> Does it really matter? It says i can damage the radio due to an improper
> Standing Wave Ratio? Why are SWRs dangerous? Are they mad at us? What did I do
> to deserve this?

See the above answer about CB freq's

> Q5: For receiving on my scanner; the directions are telling me to extend
> different sections of the antenna depending on what frequencies im listening
> to? Whats the best 'happy medium' for my scanner. It scans 29-512 MHz. I dont
> think they want me to adjust the length for every frequency. It scans 50
> channels a second, and I cant adjust an antenna 50 times a second.

Just find a happy medium, depending on where you want to listen.
Chances are you will still hear everything you want to hear if you put
it around the halfway mark.

3955. Freqs for Paramedics in Ocean County NJ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 00:17:03 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.com
Message-ID: <5e5fccab.0206051617.1c0904f8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47472

Does anyone know what frequencies the paramedics running out of Community Medical Center in Toms River, NJ use to communicate with the doctors in the ER? I am specifically looking for the freqs used to give patient reports and doctor's orders.

3956. Re: nextel freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 00:17:35 UTC
From: vstream_02@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15376-3CFEAA1F-12@storefull-2377.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 47488

That's nice..Nextel uses Iden not TDMA/CDMA...

"It's the static on your cellphone ma'am."
-Sprint PCS man
--
http://www.angelfire.com/tx4/tt/Nokia3390.html
Read my review on the Nokia 3390 Gold
--
http://angelfire.com/tx4/tt/index.html
Visit My Site
--
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/nokia3390ringtones/
--
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/nokia5190

3957. Re: VHF and HF ACARS (I hate URL version)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 00:23:02 UTC
From: Steve Terry <g4wwknospam@tesco.net>
Message-ID: <adma0d$dl35$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47473
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.aviation,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Mike" <mikejackson@clear.net.nz> wrote in message news:3cfe9626@clear.net.nz...
AAAaaaaarrrrrgggggghhhhh!!!!!
>
>Hi Guys and Gals,
<snip html>
>
and I hate people who post to NGs in HTML

Steve Terry

3958. Re: VHF and HF ACARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 01:19:50 UTC
From: A@b.c
Message-ID: <9224D14EEabc@209.249.90.101>
Source record: 47474
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.aviation,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

mikejackson@clear.net.nz (Mike) wrote in <3cfe937b@clear.net.nz>:

>Hi One and All,
>
>I have just started a web site for VHF and HF ACARS collection from my
>New Zealand location.
>
>www.home.clear.net.nz.pages/mikejackson
>
>Visit at your liesure.
>

Would you please stop posting your messages in HTML!
It's not the done thing.

--
Trevor Fenn
To Email me add an extra green to the address above.

"Then conquer we must, when our cause it is just"
The Star Spangled Banner
Francis Scott Key

3959. Re: VHF and HF ACARS (I hate URL version)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 01:29:25 UTC
From: HeadRush <hr@hr.com>
Message-ID: <3cfebb53$0$21003$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 47475
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.aviation,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:1qbtfukbb66oj025e8r1k952rutthk0hjf@4ax.com...
> "Steve Terry" wrote:
>
> >and I hate people who post to NGs in HTML
>
> Especially idiots who have to make multiple reposts to get it right.

Give him a go -all those sheep can be so distracting. ;-)

HR

3960. Re: Powerful scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 01:31:53 UTC
From: Mille <nomail@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <dYyL8.14855$fT5.3663410@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 47476
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If memory serves... rec.radio.scanner was set up in late '89 or early '90,
but it's been a while.

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:tt7tfug54mpkvlumjvuc3dlkvm8fh1rjl0@4ax.com...
> Fresh from a command appearance on The View, "Mille" <nomail@nospam.com>
moaned...
>
> >Actually Bud, I've been reading this NG for years. In fact, I'll bet that
I
> >was here before you (and even Toilet Boy) turned up.
>
> Well, I've been in this group since 1988, but under various names,
> and from a number of different ISPs/BBSs.
>
> First was from The General BBS, where I was the co-sysop.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

3961. Re: Police security ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 02:04:22 UTC
From: The Other Harry <hc.me@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <umgtfu8vlo8pgsidv13jsskebqhsoiiren@4ax.com>
Source record: 47479

[On Wed, 05 Jun 2002 18:05:52 GMT, "twï¿½ï¿½ï¿½"
<.tw,@nothomeexcite5,yahoomsnATTBIï¿½.org.com> wrote:]

>
> Digital encryption and..no, you can not decode it.

Probably not. Fun to try tho...

3962. Re: RS pro2053 F/S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 02:09:00 UTC
From: The Other Harry <hc.me@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <psgtfukvlcacoug2vpaj6kgr4j9vmq26be@4ax.com>
Source record: 47480

[On Tue, 4 Jun 2002 12:03:11 -0400 (EDT), pro2036@webtv.net
(scanner freak) wrote:]

> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1357889463

I don't have any relationship to the seller, but I think anyone who
is looking to buy a scanner should consider bidding on this. The
sale price for new ones is $179. Take it from there.

3963. Re: Radio Shack "Center Loaded" Antenna???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 02:16:16 UTC
From: DougSlug <dw_firewall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <QBzL8.36855$tK.7952176@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 47478

Does the "section located just above the loading coil" short out the coil when it is collapsed, perhaps? With the coil shorted, the whip would then become a true 1/4-wave in the VHF/UHF ranges for which it is designed for transmitting. With the coil left in, the electrical length would be longer resulting in a poor match (and possible finals damage)...you wouldn't be able to easily tune it for 1/4 wave without a SWR meter and/or antenna tuner.

- Doug

"MikeTEACHR" <miketeachr@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020605144156.07825.00003203@mb-fg.aol.com...
> OK!!!
>
> So; I have a:
>
> Radio Shack PRO-70 50 Channel Scanner, and
> Radio Shack 40 Channel Transceiver
>
> both handheld.
>
> I bought a Radio Shack [man i should buy some stock] "Center Loaded"
> Telescoping Antenna.
>
> Q1: What is in the center of the center loaded antenna? Whats in that
Bulge?
> Circuitry? Some Coil? An Elf? A Creamy Nougat Center?
>
> Q2: The Directions say its for transmitting from 130-535 MHz. Are the 40
CB
> Channels in this range?
>
> Q3: The directions say you 'must always collapse the section located just
above
> the loading coil'; or damage to antenna and/or radio may result. What kind
of
> damage? The Antenna might bend? The radio might explode? I'll accidentally
send
> the GO codes for our nuclear arsenal?
>
> Q4: If indeed i CAN use this to transmit on CB; whats up with this 'you
must
> calculate the 1/4 wavelength and adjust accordingly' stuff? Math is
involved?
> Does it really matter? It says i can damage the radio due to an improper

> Standing Wave Ratio? Why are SWRs dangerous? Are they mad at us? What did
I do
> to deserve this?
>
> Q5: For receiving on my scanner; the directions are telling me to extend
> different sections of the antenna depending on what frequencies im
listening
> to? Whats the best 'happy medium' for my scanner. It scans 29-512 MHz. I
dont
> think they want me to adjust the length for every frequency. It scans 50
> channels a second, and I cant adjust an antenna 50 times a second.
>
> Q: I thought this was supposed to be easy. Where did I go wrong?
>
> Seriously, Thanks in advance if you made it this far.
> Any/all responses appreciated.
>
> Mike
> Miketeachr@aol.com
>

3964. Re: VHF and HF ACARS (I hate URL version)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 02:17:03 UTC
From: Steve Terry <g4wwknospam@tesco.net>
Message-ID: <admgm6$fit9$1@ID-139484.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47477
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.aviation,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"HeadRush" <hr@hr.com> wrote in message
news:3cfebb53$0$21003$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> news:1qbtfukbb66oj025e8r1k952rutthk0hjf@4ax.com...
> > "Steve Terry" wrote:
> >
> > >and I hate people who post to NGs in HTML
> >
> > Especially idiots who have to make multiple reposts to get it right.
>
> Give him a go -all those sheep can be so distracting. ;-)
> HR
>
Struth !, after a few tinnys of the golden nectar,
those woollybacks look real Beaut ! ;-)

Steve Terry

3965. FS AOR 8200 $450.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 02:45:34 UTC
From: luna <luna@ultinet.net>
Message-ID: <3CFECCCE.3EAB8B26@ultinet.net>
Source record: 47471

[No readable article body recovered]

3966. Re: VHF and HF ACARS - Corrected Version!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 03:13:57 UTC
From: Mike <mikejackson@clear.net.nz>
Message-ID: <3cfed372@clear.net.nz>
Source record: 47481
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.aviation,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

MMMmmmm, HTML? Microsoft? Na I dont think so. Without HTML Linux and UNIX would not be so good either.

Mike

"NoSpam" wrote in message
news:3cfe9994.213926279@news.internode.on.net...
> how about posting in plain text and not HTML so those of us who are
> not brain washed into using miccrsoft crap software don't have to fish
> through wads of HTML??
>
>
> Dave
>
>
> On Wed, 05 Jun 2002 22:53:30 GMT, "Mike"
> wrote:
>
> >This is a multi-part message in MIME format.
> >
> >------=_NextPart_000_0067_01C20D48.3D322CA0
> >Content-Type: text/plain;
> > charset="iso-8859-1"
> >Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
> >
> >My humble apologies, see the next post.
> >
> >Mike
> > "www.ar3000.info" wrote in message =
> >news:3cfe95d9_2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> > Errr.......still no joy from here. It puts up a box saying "...not =
> >accessible".
> > "Mike" wrote in message =
> >news:3cfe94d1@clear.net.nz...
> > Doh!
> >
> > Hi One and All,
> >
> > I have just started a web site for VHF and HF ACARS collection from =
> >my New Zealand location.
> >
> > http:\\home.clear.net.nz.pages/mikejackson
> >

> > Visit at your liesure.
> >
> >
> > Mike Jackson
> > QTH 40 15 S 175 35 E
> > Feilding, New Zealand
> > mikejackson@clear.net.nz
> >
> >------=_NextPart_000_0067_01C20D48.3D322CA0
> >Content-Type: text/html;
> > charset="iso-8859-1"
> >Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
My humble apologies, see the next=20
> >post.

> >

> >
Mike

> >
>style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
> >BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
> >
"www.ar3000.info" < > =
>
>href=3D"mailto:tommcqDELETECAPS@tiscali.co.uk">tommcqDELETECAPS@tiscali.c=
> >o.uk>=20
> > wrote in message > =
>
>href=3D"news:3cfe95d9_2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com">news:3cfe95d9_=
> >2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...

> >
Errr.......still no joy from here. It
=
> >puts up a=20
> > box saying "...not accessible".

> >
> style=3D"PADDING-RIGHT: 0px; PADDING-LEFT: 5px; MARGIN-LEFT: 5px; =
> >BORDER-LEFT: #000000 2px solid; MARGIN-RIGHT: 0px">
> >
"Mike" < > =
>
>href=3D"mailto:mikejackson@clear.net.nz">mikejackson@clear.net.nz>=
> >=20

> > wrote in message > =
>
>href=3D"news:3cfe94d1@clear.net.nz">news:3cfe94d1@clear.net.nz... >V>
> >

> >
Doh!

> >

> >
Hi One and All,

> >

> >
I have just started a web site =
> >for VHF and=20
> > HF ACARS collection from my New Zealand location.

> >

> >
> =
>
>href=3D"file://\\home.clear.net.nz.pages/mikejackson">http:\\home.clear.n=
> >et.nz.pages/mikejackson

> >

> >
Visit at your =
> >liesure.

> >

> >

Mike Jackson
QTH 40 15 =
> >S 175 35=20
> > E
Feilding, New Zealand
> =
>
>href=3D"mailto:mikejackson@clear.net.nz">mikejackson@clear.net.nz >NT>

> >
> >------=_NextPart_000_0067_01C20D48.3D322CA0--
> >
>

3967. Re: Powerful scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 03:54:18 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <96ntfucq7licfq8qaancgcaueecene616j@4ax.com>
Source record: 47482
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Fresh from a command appearance on The View, "Mille" <nomail@nospam.com> moaned...

>If memory serves... rec.radio.scanner was set up in late '89 or early '90,
>but it's been a while.

I think rec.radio.scanner was earlier, but it might have been alt.radio scanner first.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

3968. Greenville, SC: Med Trans One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 04:05:20 UTC
From: Topflight70env@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3cfedf7f.53182798@news.lig.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 47483

I believe this is the name of the EMS helecopter that supports local EMS services in the upstate of SC. I was wondering if anyone had the frequency that is used to call in and report the patient status that the helo uses to call grenville hosp.

Thanks, all help appreciated.

3969. Ontario scanner web page and yahoogroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 06:53:41 UTC
From: Matthew Stone <mbstone99@removeme.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8o1ufu822tiutvh23so2569j20ko32fp4d@4ax.com>
Source record: 47484

The Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide is dedicated to scanner radio hobbists. On here you will find lists of Ontario radio frequencies that are not listed on any web page, published in any scannner book (Haruteq or Scantrix) or listed in the government frequency list (Industry Canada's TAFL). The Ontario Frequency Guide mailing list (oufg) is for scanner radio hobbyists so they have a public forum to be able to chit chat with each other about anything relating to the radio scanner hobby in Ontario as well you will be able to recieve updates of what changes I have made to the Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide web pages.

Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide - http://oufg.webhop.net/
Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide Yahoogroup (oufg) - http://groups.yahoo.com/group/oufg/

Matthew Stone
Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide/oufg Yahoogroup
http://oufg.webhop.net/

3970. PRO-2026 will not hold memory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 07:05:31 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <ed2ufuos27mh13b7k83tv7o09dd579k1su@4ax.com>
Source record: 47485

PRO-2026 will not hold memory

works for two-three weeks and oops - memory gone.....mobile or base
,is there an internal battery in these...any help appreciated....

3971. Re: Live NASA & CAP Comms for Shuttle Launch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 10:43:44 UTC
From: "Cabal | CnCWorld.org" <no.thanks@pri.vate>
Message-ID: <adned0$4lu$1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 47486
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/iss_shuttle/
that could be useful for you

"Joseph Brzezinski" <breezyjr1@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9224BA257F8E2breezyjr@206.141.193.32...
>
> >
> >
>
> Missed the whole thing.....Thought it was at 1744, not 1722....doh!

3972. Re: Greenville, SC: Med Trans One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 11:21:50 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020606072150.10076.00003783@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 47487

Med Trans uses 462.975 (tone is 123.0) to dispatching / flightwatch.

I don't know if they have their own discrete channel for patient reports (I'm in another part of the state), but Greenville (city / county) uses 155.340 MHz / 123.0 Hz tone, 463.075 / DPL 364 and 463.125 / DPL 364 for contacts with area hospitals.

Jeff Multer
Fort Mill, S.C.

>> I believe this is the name of the EMS helecopter that supports local EMS services in the upstate of SC.

>> I was wondering if anyone had the
frequency that is used to call in and report the patient status that the helo uses to call Greenville hosp.

3973. Looking for BC 560 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 12:34:01 UTC
From: 19 <fyr19@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <ufullh4ba0pa5e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47489

New/used, with all accessories.

lmk, thanks
Dave

3974. PRO 2021 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 13:06:09 UTC
From: john sanders <jdsand@cowtown.net>
Message-ID: <ufun6u1fkot69d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47490

HAVE A 200 CH TABLE MODEL IN EXCELLENT CONDITION...ONLY NEEDS ONE DIODE
CLIPPED TO RECIEVE CELLULAR...WILL SEND MOD...$145.00 SHIPPED

TNX JOHN

3975. PA-SitRep e-mail list for PA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 13:56:32 UTC
From: owner@pa-sitrep.com
Message-ID: <3cff69f5.93348925@news.city-net.com>
Source record: 47491
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Emergency Situation Report e-mail list for Pennsylvanians

PA-SitRep is a free, 100% non-commercial,
nonprofit, e-mail list and web site.

The PA-SitRep emergency traffic e-mail list is a
tool that will enable you to monitor and report on
major emergency situations throughout the State
of Pennsylvania including weather emergencies,
natural disasters, environmental disasters, civil
disturbances and threats of terrorism, major fires,
and manhunts.

Subscribers are encouraged to utilize their home,
work and cell phone e-mail addresses for receiving
messages from the PA-SitRep e-mail list.

Because messages posted to the list are limitted to
situation reports of MAJOR emergency situations
within the State of PA, message volume on
PA-SitRep is EXTREMELY low.

PA-SitRep is also building a list of PA-SitRep
Contacts, most of whom are Amateur Radio
Operators (http://www.pa-sitrep.com/contact.htm).

PA-SitRep Contacts monitor our higher volume
SitRep/Discussion mail list and post situation
reports ot the discussion list and to the main list.
PA-SitRep Contacts also submit their contact info
for our site and may be contacted for a first
hand situation report should an emergency
situation arise in their area.

Please visit the site to learn more:

http://www.pa-sitrep.com/pasitrep.htm

Thanks. We'll look forward to your participation.

-David Kleber, KB3FXI
PA-SitRep List Owner and PA-SitRep.com Founder
www.PA-SitRep.com

3976. Re: PRO 92 Sofware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 14:10:59 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox.net>
Message-ID: <u0rufucpk8pgcdo1prcvklnaiecmrg8sj7@4ax.com>
Source record: 47492

On Tue, 4 Jun 2002 15:32:25 -0400, "John Furlow"
<wa4bmg@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>Does anyone know of any programing software for the Radio Shack Pro 92?

Like the other guys, I recommend Win92.

For information on software and cables (you nee a cable, and it's not
trivial) go to www.pro-92.com (make sure you include the "-" in the
url) and look for the software and PC interface pages.

The discussion board there is excellent if you have questions or want
to talk about your scanner.

Ken Plotkin

3977. southern california transit frequencies, can anybody help?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 14:24:35 UTC
From: josephmdunn@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6149-3CFF70A3-206@storefull-2276.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 47409

I have los angeles mta, long beach transit,
orange county transit authority, santa monica munincipal bus lines(big
blue bus), foothill transit,torrance transit.
can anyone please help me in finding...
gardena transit and others?
i would appreciate it.
thanks
joe dunn

3978. Re: Looking for BC 560 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 14:26:35 UTC
From: 4444 <4444@mailandnews.com>
Message-ID: <l7sufu4rblkto65a7bomir44g4oacdguss@4ax.com>
Source record: 47493

On Thu, 6 Jun 2002 08:34:01 -0400, "19" <fyr19@fuse.net> wrote:

>New/used, with all accessories.

Take a look here:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1358028340

3979. Re: Icom R3 & receiving X10 Cameras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 15:11:09 UTC
From: "Virgilio Rodriguez Jr." <vze24jcz@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <hYKL8.43744$m9.6046@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 47494
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I really don't remember the frequencies but they were in the 900 band for
the baby camera monitors and a lot of the wireless cams that they sell at
the store for home protection. They do make for some interesting black and
white shows.
"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3CF8E7CC.4030705@licensed4fun.com...
> With everyone getting these wireless X10 type cameras I'm wonder, can
> these images be received with the Icom R3 radio?
>
> Anyone have experience with this?
>
> Thanks,
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com
>
>

3980. Re: Freqs for Paramedics in Ocean County NJ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 15:14:49 UTC
From: "Virgilio Rodriguez Jr." <vze24jcz@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <J%KL8.43757$m9.4505@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 47495

Well you first have to find out who dispatches them MICOM or CENCOMM. You
can try MICOM at 155.1750 but that is for Northern NJ. And do you want the
MED channels that is the paramedics to hospital frequencies.
"vhfradiobuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.com> wrote in message
news:5e5fccab.0206051617.1c0904f8@posting.google.com...
> Does anyone know what frequencies the paramedics running out of
> Community Medical Center in Toms River, NJ use to communicate with the
> doctors in the ER? I am specifically looking for the freqs used to
> give patient reports and doctor's orders.

3981. Re: Police security ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 15:20:09 UTC
From: "Virgilio Rodriguez Jr." <vze24jcz@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <J4LL8.43770$m9.35156@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 47496

Well my friend when they say go secure that means they might be useing a frequency that is not listed. In other words in my department ever so often are radios get reprogrammed and a frequency is picked at random and that is the secure frequency that nobody knows. Then there is radio encryption but logistically how many department can really afford it, or will pay for it. Unless you are dealing with a major city it is probably a frequency you don't know aand it might be as simple as them just jumping on the DPW frequency like I have seen other departments do.

"Steve" <stfrank@eot.com> wrote in message
news:xWrL8.518$Ac2.304932@news7.onvoy.net...
> i am sorta of a newbie in the groups. I have a question about the block
that
> the police can do when they have something to say back to base, and they
> switch over to secure. all i here on my end is static. What is this
called?
> How does it work? And is there anyway to decod it or here it on another
> freq. Please help answer my question.
>
> Thanks
>
>

3982. Re: Simple Question (i hope)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 15:34:03 UTC
From: "Virgilio Rodriguez Jr." <vze24jcz@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <LhLL8.29242$b73.3070@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 47397

Look they are better ways of spying on someone I would rather go with the electrical oulet transmitter works forever, and you get all the conversations inside the house. But to answer your question UHF is the way to go, answer is easy the frequency range of the cheap one is actually 88 to 108 Mhz they have a tendecy to be unstable and the person you are trying to bug might find out when they turn on the radio to listen to music and as they turn the dial they hear themselves. Not a good thing. Besides the fact so did everybody else in the are just heard the same. I'm figure you are either spying on the wife or kids, you don't everydody knowing you biz. UHF is the only way to go but if you have a realtive in the CIA ask him for the good microwave shit longer distance and nobody but you and Uncle same could hear.

3983. Re: Siemens cordless phones: Anyone own one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 15:40:19 UTC
From: john63401@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ii0vfuk3bsul6j0bnt4spidcmc8saml9rk@4ax.com>
Source record: 47398

>I've bought 3 Unidens from there and never had a problem yet
>even though they are "reconditioned".
>
>Maybe this phone will work for you, and only $62.
>
>http://uniden.com/docs/store/itemdetail.cfm?item=TRU346

OK.... BIG thanks!!

Will check it out!

3984. Re: Powerful scanner Cheek-A-Likes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 16:02:38 UTC
From: RicK Larson <Ricklarson@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <ufv1s1rad28m60@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47399
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

For Bill Cheek, Bud and the Cheek-A-Likes
Title: Scanner Cheek FAQ on Cheek FAQ thumpers.
>
> Introduction. In my years of computing experience which began back in
> the days of the Coleco Adam, I've had the pleasure of meeting many
> helpful folks who shared my love for these wonderful machines that
> have changed our lives so much. I've had the privilege of running my
> own BBS for many years and then I made the transition to being in on
> the ground floor of one of the first ISPs in my area. During my years
> of experience online I've always found that a certain personality type
> kept turning up regardless of what online venue I was using. As a BBS
> sysop, there were always a few people who wanted to "help" me run my
> board.. Once the internet became a household word, I started noticing
> the same types of "helpful" people popping up in just about every
> newsgroup I'd examine. Unlike those who irritated me on my BBS though,
> since these folks had no sysop to tell them their "help" was unwanted,
> they became emboldened and completely abandoned the facade of trying
> to be "helpful." Thus, emerged the FAQ thumper. Thus, also, came the
> need for this FAQ.
>
> This FAQ will most likely contain a lot of omissions, so I hope that
> its readers will feel free to add to it as time goes on. Unlike the
> FAQs that the FAQ thumpers regard as their Holy Grail, feel free to
> fold, spindle and mutilate this document as you see fit.
>
> NOTE. This FAQ applies solely to unmoderated newsgroups.
>
> Q. Where did the term "FAQ thumper" come from?
>
> A. When I first encountered these strange people who could quote their
> newsgroup's FAQ verbatim and did so at every chance that presented
> itself, I was instantly reminded of the born-again Christian types
> I've known throughout my time. Like "Bible thumpers" who can recite an
> appropriate verse from Scripture for almost any topic of conversation
> that they are presented, the FAQ thumpers exhibit the same skill and
> fervent zealotry as their Christian zombie brethren in embracing and
> quoting their hallowed FAQs.
>
> Q. Who appointed/elected the FAQ thumpers?
>
> A. Nobody! It's a job they took on by themselves. These wanna-be
> dictators of cyberspace don't even enjoy popular support in most
> newsgroups they infest.

>
> Q. Why do they take on their self-appointed roles?
>
> A. Mainly to enjoy the illusion of power. There are other reasons
> (covered later), but the desire for some measure of authority is the
> essence of their motivation. Chances are excellent that this tiny
> minority of aspiring cybercops have little in the way of power in
> their real lives so they desperately attempt to fill that void on the
> net.
>
> Q. Do they have any real authority? In other words, do they present
> any threat to me?
>
> A. None whatsoever. Despite their threats about complaining to ISPs,
> they realize that no ISP is going to dump a paying customer on the
> basis of some self-righteous twit lodging a complaint about your
> violation of an FAQ. It would be a different situation if you were
> posting porn someplace where it doesn't belong or spamming a newsgroup
> with commercial ads, but any reputable ISP would promptly dump any
> complaint about anything as utterly trivial as posting requests or
> questions anywhere. Furthermore, complaints about newsgroups of
> "questionable" repute are almost universally dumped by ISPs regardless
> of what sort of so-called abuse is taking place within them.
> "Questionable" newsgroups are those which are magnets for people
> engaged in the distribution of either pornography or copyrighted
> materials such as "warez," or media products which have been digitally
> copied (mp3, mpeg, wav, jpg etc.). Complaints from such newsgroups are
> viewed by ISPs as the proverbial "pot calling the kettle black" types
> of indictments and are generally sneered at,... if they receive any
> attention at all.
>
> Q. Why do they use such threats if they can't really get action from
> most ISPs?
>
> A. Simple. Such threats are very effective when leveled at novice
> internet users. The FAQ thumpers are essentially cyber versions of
> schoolyard bullies without the muscle to back them up. Since a
> newcomer to the newsgroups has no idea of how things work until
> they've been around for awhile, if someone pounces on them and makes
> ominous-sounding threats, until they learn they really have little to
> fear, they acquiesce to the bully's demand... at first. A good
> analogy is to watch the way someone who has never been stung by a bee
> or wasp reacts when one flies around them as opposed to how someone
> who has been stung reacts.
>
> Q. Are their any types of complaints other than spamming or posting
> pornography inappropriately that are taken seriously by ISPs?
>
> A. Yes, but the effectiveness of the complaint is nearly always
> dependent upon the content of the newsgroup it concerns. In other

> words, if you post messages containing profanity in a newsgroup which
> contains "adults only" content such as those newsgroups dealing with
> pornographic images or texts dealing with sex acts, your complaint
> will be given no attention whatsoever. On the other hand, if you post
> that same kind of profanity in a newsgroup dealing with intellectual,
> family-oriented or religious subjects, a complaint against you has a
> much better chance of getting you warned or summarily dumped by most
> ISPs. Usually, you'll receive a warning e-mail before any decent ISP
> dumps your account though. Any ISP that terminates your account
> without first giving you a chance to present your side of the story
> isn't one you want to pay your hard-earned money for, so if you do get
> summarily dumped, consider it a favor from the dunces who run such an
> ISP.
>
> Q. I've seen claims on the part of FAQ thumpers that their activities
> act as a bulwark against the newsgroup being overrun by spam. Is that
> true?
>
> A. Not at all. Spammers generally aim their efforts at newsgroups
> based on how germane to what they are peddling the content of the
> newsgroup is. A small amount of spam is therefore the norm in just
> about every newsgroup on Usenet. The only time spam "overruns" any
> newsgroup is if it proves lucrative for the spammers to advertize
> their products and services in it. For instance, you see little, if
> any spam in the newsgroups dealing with "warez" and other copyrighted
> materials because the last thing a software maker or media artist
> wants to do is attract attention to their products in areas where
> their product might wind up being freely distributed without any
> generating any revenue.
>
> Q. Do FAQ thumpers have any positive effects whatsoever in the NGs
> they try to control?
>
> A. On the contrary, they normally do far more harm than they do good.
> Even the most casual examination of NGs where FAQ thumpers are most
> active will reveal a much higher number of unproductive and genuinely
> "off-topic" text posts taking up bandwith and making life miserable
> for those seeking the kind of help or files the newsgroup is
> presumably designed to provide. FAQ thumpers behave like packs of
> wolves waiting to pounce upon the weakest members of the herd. Once
> one does so, the rest pile on the targeted prey so that a single
> alleged infraction of their revered FAQ generates dozens of "read the
> #!!!$*&!! FAQ you #%$^!!!*{?!! newbie!" type posts. Inevitably, people
> who don't take kindly to cyber-fascism chime in to defend the poor
> novice the FAQ thumpers are gang-banging so that the initial flurry of
> admonishments winds up generating an exponentially growing number of
> counter responses. Egotists that they are, FAQ thumpers never let
> anyone get in the last word besides themselves, so they invariably
> respond with rebuttals to anyone who comes to their victim's defense.
> Compounding the problem further is the fact that many FAQ thumpers are

> very prodigious in their zeal to police their little domains. It's not
> at all unusual for a single FAQ thumper to post over 50 messages a
> day.
>
> Q. Do FAQ thumpers also contribute "on-topic" posts to the NGs they
> patrol?
>
> A. One would think that those who profess to be most dedicated to the
> well-being of a NG would also be amongst the most prolific
> contributors of assistance and files, but alas, this is universally
> not the case. In fact, FAQ thumpers are generally little better than
> so-called "lurkers" when it comes to actually contributing content to
> their NGs. Hypocrisy is an integral part of the psyches of most FAQ
> thumpers. Their real interest in most NGs is to flex their imaginary
> muscles by sniping at others.
>
> Q. Being an FAQ thumper seems to be a huge expenditure of wasted time
> and energy. There's just got to be more to their motivation than the
> illusion of power. What else drives these creatures?
>
> A. There are a lot of frustrated, lonely and secluded people in our
> society. Many of the most active FAQ thumpers are likely to be
> unemployed people living off of personal assets and/or government
> assistance. It is entirely plausible that many are shut-ins who are
> permanently disabled. This may seem like a cheap shot, but two things
> are obvious about FAQ thumpers. They all seem to have far more time
> to spend at their computer keyboards than most of us do and they all
> carry around chips on their shoulders. Some disabled people remain
> very bitter about the cards fate has dealt them and are in an ideal
> position to devote large amounts of time to cyberspace. What better
> way to feel connected while in seclusion than to participate in the
> online community and what better way to experience the illusion of
> being empowered when one is feeling otherwise helpless?
>
> Those FAQ thumpers who are not shut-ins are almost necessarily loners
> with little in the way of a normal social life. Think about it. What
> time could such people possibly devote to socializing after working an
> 8 hour day and then spending so much time ferreting out as many FAQ
> transgressors as they do on a daily basis?
>
> In any case, FAQ thumpers are universally people with severe emotional
> problems. You couldn't pay most of us to sit at a keyboard for hours
> at a time every day with the sole task of composing messages that
> almost always drip with sarcasm, condescension, anger and
> self-importance. No mentally healthy person would dream of taking on
> such an onerous chore of their own volition.
>
> PARTING ADVICE. FAQ thumpers are irrepressible. Like death and taxes,
> there's no escaping them. They have been with us throughout our lives
> and will hound us to our graves. They were our kid brothers and

> sisters who tattled to our parents about everything we did in an
> effort to vent their frustration at being the weakest members of the
> family. They were the teachers' pets in school who were keepers of
> the erasers and appointed as class monitors (stool pigeons) whenever
> the teacher had to leave the room. In high school they were the hall
> monitors. In college, they began to find fewer outlets where they
> could enjoy any sort of empowerment so they became the withdrawn
> loners who went through four years or more of virtual invisibility to
> most people on campus. As adults, they are the neighbors who peer out
> from behind their curtains every time they hear so much as a car door
> slamming. They are the people who call the cops at the most trivial
> or imagined violation of their peace or property. They maintain
> mental dossiers on all of their neighbors and are eager to share them
> with anyone who gives them an opportunity to recite their gossip. As
> coworkers, they are the boss's informers. They'll tell you how lazy a
> coworker is and as soon as you are out of earshot, they will tell
> someone else how lazy they think you are. If they outlive you, you can
> be certain that they will have no qualms whatsoever about verbally
> diminishing your existence before your corpse has even grown cold.
>
> Given all the aforementioned, the best thing to do with FAQ thumpers
> is to simply kill filter them. You can be sure that by doing so, you
> wont miss any genuine content in whatever NG you're in.

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:tt7tfug54mpkvlumjvuc3dlkvm8fh1rjl0@4ax.com...
> Fresh from a command appearance on The View, "Mille" <nomail@nospam.com>
moaned...
>
> >Actually Bud, I've been reading this NG for years. In fact, I'll bet that
I
> >was here before you (and even Toilet Boy) turned up.
>
> Well, I've been in this group since 1988, but under various names,
> and from a number of different ISPs/BBSs.
>
> First was from The General BBS, where I was the co-sysop.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

3985. Re: PRO-2026 will not hold memory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 16:20:28 UTC
From: Den6N <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <ufv2treh6gmud0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47400

There's no internal battery in the 2026. There are THREE leads on the back of the radio,
however. In a mobile setting, you would connect the red and black leads normally for power to
an 'ignition' tap, that is, one which is only hot when the car's ignition is on. The third lead, an
orange one, is to be connected to a 'battery' tap, that is, one which is ALWAYS hot, even when
the car is turned off. This way, you won't lose the memory when you turn off the car.

Check your cigarette lighter -if it works when the ignition is off, you can run the orange wire to its
hot lead. OR - you can connect both the red & orange leads to this 'battery' tap, and use the radio
with the car ignition on OR off. BUT you'll have to remember to turn the scanner off each time you
turn off the car.

In a base/ indoor setting, it's best to do what I did. I dug up a 9-volt battery connector (I pulled
it out of an old AM-FM portable) and wired that to the orange lead (and split the black lead -
you need the black lead to go to the negative connection for both the red and orange leads) and
piggybacked a 9 volt battery on the radio's case with some tape. It's a 12 volt radio, but 9 volts is
enough to hold the memory.

Come to think of it, it may be advantageous to have that 9volt on there even if used mobile,
so you won't lose the memory if you pull the radio out for some reason or disconnect the car's
battery.

Den6N
Putnam Co NY
==========
"rugerp85 @adelphia.net>" <rugerp85<remove> wrote in message
news:ed2ufuos27mh13b7k83tv7o09dd579k1su@4ax.com...
> PRO-2026 will not hold memory
>
> works for two-three weeks and oops - memory gone.....mobile or base
> ,is there an internal battery in these...any help appreciated....

3986. Bearcat 101

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 16:25:07 UTC
From: John Ecklund <jecklund@fobalaser.com>
Message-ID: <ufv30r1jbtbd01@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47401

Hi everyone,

I am looking for a Bearcat 101 in good condition, if anyone knows where I can get one please let me know.

I already tried ebay with no luck...

Godbless

John Ecklund

3987. Radio shack return policy sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 16:47:52 UTC
From: Randy <bearcat@astound.net>
Message-ID: <3CFF9238.7020904@astound.net>
Source record: 47402

I returned my pro 2067 to find out that even though I paid cash, I would be mailed a check within 14 days of return. Anyone else have this problem? That's the last time I purchase anything from that company.
Randy

3988. Re: damned RIAA/MPAA tyrants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 16:55:48 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020606125548.23368.00000198@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 47403

In article <c23qfu4us7tg31chgi8qg63b3oc1iff58u@4ax.com>, SB
<StogieButt@ameritech.net> writes:

>>The proceeds are used to fight "gun violence", compensate victims
>>of "handgun related crime", or other such nonsense.
>
>Yup. That's "nonsense" alright.

Sure is. Why should I pay a tax every time I go pheasant hunting in South
Dakota, earmarked to give free hospital care for the gangbangers in Chicago who
shoot each other up?

3989. Re: Radio shack return policy sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 17:00:30 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020606130030.23368.00000200@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 47404

In article <3CFF9238.7020904@astound.net>, Randy <bearcat@astound.net> writes:

>I returned my pro 2067 to find out that even though I paid cash, I would
>be mailed a check within 14 days of return. Anyone else have this
>problem? That's the last time I purchase anything from that company.
>Randy

Get a lawyer and SUE, SUE, SUE!!!

It's the American way!

3990. Re: What's on 886.8 MHz - Cleveland?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 17:02:44 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ufv5g6ekdjv08f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47405

"DougSlug" <dw_firewall@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:PvrL8.32488$tK.6714019@news02.optonline.net...
> And you're under arrest on three counts of violating the
Telecommunications
> Privacy Act:
>
> (1) possession of a receiving device capable of receiving cellular
telephone
> frequencies

Charge #1 is false. It is not illegal to own a device capable of receiving cellular telephone frequencies. If that were the case, all pre-ban scanners would be illegal, and as we all know, it just isn't so..

But since your post was meant in fun, I'll let it go this time... :)
PH

3991. Re: Police security ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 17:07:04 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ufv5ofiss4cj06@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47406

"Virgilio Rodriguez Jr." <vze24jcz@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:J4LL8.43770$m9.35156@nwrddc02.gnilink.net...
> Well my friend when they say go secure that means they might be useing a
> frequency that is not listed. In other words in my department ever so
often
> are radios get reprogrammed and a frequency is picked at random and that
is
> the secure frequency that nobody knows.

Oh no, police breaking the law? How can it be??? We all know they wouldn't do that; Don't we? Yea right... Police agencies around here along with the PA, Judges, the works, are nothing but a bunch of crooked son's 'O Bitches...

PH

3992. Need schematic for RS-232 cable used with Icom R8500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 17:20:38 UTC
From: Mark Kallin <MarkKallin@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <GRML8.26711$UT.1846486@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 47407
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Can anyone provide, or direct me to where I can obtain, a schematic of the RS-232 cable used to control an Icom IC-R8500 receiver? Icom's part number is OPC-770.

Thanks.

3993. Re: Radio shack return policy sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 18:13:28 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3CFFA648.7060603@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 47408

Randy wrote:

> I returned my pro 2067 to find out that even though I paid cash, I would
> be mailed a check within 14 days of return. Anyone else have this
> problem? That's the last time I purchase anything from that company.
> Randy
>

I know that in the last 1980's Radio Shack had that policy. Bought on
of there el cheapo SW Receivers for $150.00. didn't like to returned
it. A few weeks later I recieved the check.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

3994. Re: The Common Stupidity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 19:18:52 UTC
From: Mark Huffman <marko@vonl.com>
Message-ID: <wAOL8.148112$Kp.13927445@bin7.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 47410
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Breaker 1 nine: I think we've got us a convoy. Thats a big 10-4 rubber duck..... Very educational conversatioin here.. The conversations I have heard on amateur radio are usually searches for parts for their radios. I bought a crystal police scanner for $5. Put a new electrolytic in it and turned it on for some anit-crime action. Dead as a rock.. They've all gone to digital trunk systems. Makes a good weather radio if you can stand listening to a computer try to talk english. Marko
"Steve Bossert" <kc2gog@amsat.org> wrote in message news:1lNJ8.218884$ja.60919162@news02.optonline.net...
> If their were less questions and arguments about 800Mhz Analog Cellular mods
> and recievers,Citizens Band and also morse code for amateurs, , most
> newsgroups would have no posts.
>
> instead of whining about these issues, why dont you find something else
> constructive to do with your time. bulid an antenna, make a reciever or some
> other gadget. Then you let others know what you are doing and someone
> improves on your idea.
>
> I know i will see alot of problems regarding my message but its true. here
> are my thoughts for the record.
>
>
> Cellular Scanners-- Why do people want a 800Mhz full coverage reciever
> today. Most areas are using some form of digital service, and not even in
> the 800 band anymore. What is so interesting about listening to cellphones?
> Ive had radios that are capable of it, and never even listened to that
> portion. I think you just want something that has no gaps, and are willing
> to pay more for something that most wont listen to. If its so good how come
> i never see monitoring posts about how Mary got her nails done?
> Unless you are in the FBI and are trying to foil the next terrorist action,
> dont be bothered with cellular capable scanners
>
> Morse Code-- have you looked at new licenses lately, looks like our ranks
> are dropping. Ive been a Tech(No-Code) for three years and would like to use
> HF but could care less about morse code. Its an antiquated mode, which had
> its time, although still usefull sometimes, if we keep saying we need Morse

> code, us amateurs will be way behind times(which we still are). Lots of
> people say, when a repeater ID's or i am tuning around the morse sub
bands,
> "Is that Morse Code, someone still uses that?" I say yes, its part of
> amateur radio. The person whom im talking to usually says "ill just go to
> Walmart and get those radios for $50 and dont worry about the code"
Amateurs
> now adays need to concentrate about leading edge technology, like we once
> did, and stop hanging on to the past. PSk31, APRS, IRLP, and satellite is
> the future, so we should stop saying amateur radio is only HF and Morse.
> The military does not use MOrse anymore, they use ALE type signals, which
is
> faster, and almost error free .Those of you that have been around for a
> while should get off your "Extra or Advanced" horses and get some new
blood
> into amateur radio. Also a Tech (no code) IS still an amateur, so we
should
> be treated like one. I hear it all the time, Im speaking to someone about
> some technical topic, and they happen to be at QRZ.com and look up my
call.
> Then they say how come your only a tech? didnt you pass the code test? i
say
> no and dont want to be bothered. "well its been good talking to you, 73"
is
> the common response. And also those that upgraded, change their whole
> demeanor towards you like they dont remember you. WOW!! your a general,
> advanced, or extra! can you set up a packet node, or work a satellite via
a
> digital mode. NO, gee i guess that morse code is really good!
>
> Citizens Band- Those that are on SSB are almost like amateurs, and some
wont
> get a license because they dont like the dryness of amateur radio. Regular
> AM'ers are usually a 50/50 bunch, some are the nicest and most helpfull
> people youll ever meet, and the others, well you know what im talking
> about.Amateur ops always complain about how 11m was stolen and CB'ers dont
> know anything. Well guess what, if its about amplifiers(yes ILLEGAL on
11m),
> DXing (less than 125 miles wink wink) or just general radio stuff, some
know
> more than some amateurs. If a "general" is confronted by one of these
CB'ers
> they say "well your so smart do you know Morse code" CB'er replies "NO!!".
> That amateur will either leave or will find what channel they are on and
> throw a carrier or send code with his friends. This is not the way!!!
"cant
> we all just get along"
>
> well thats it for now, if i made any spelling or puncuation errors, oh
> well!!

>
> Sincerly,
>
> Steve Bossert , KC2GOG (tech and proud of it)
>
> and i dont need to hide behind an alias like some others out there!!!
>
>
>

3995. Re: Freqs for Paramedics in Ocean County NJ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 19:44:26 UTC
From: Dave <ws2l@NOSPAMoptonline.net>
Message-ID: <uYOL8.42420$tK.9985897@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 47411

If I remember correctly the dispatch frequency is 155.205 and I would imagine they use the standard medic frequencies.

463.000 468.000 CH 1
463.025 468.025 CH 2
463.050 468.050 CH 3
463.075 468.075 CH 4
463.100 468.100 CH 5
463.125 468.125 CH 6
463.150 468.150 CH 7
463.175 468.175 CH 8
462.950 467.950 CH 9
462.975 467.975 CH 10

CH 9 & 10 are Admin frequencies, CH's 1-8 are the frequencies used between the Medic's & the Doctor's. I believe the 463 frequencies you can hear both sides of the conversation if not, you will have to program them all in.

Good Luck,
Dave

3996. Trunked/Digital radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 20:03:02 UTC
From: wszcom@netscape.net
Message-ID: <ab1649f5.0206061203.34ea7539@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47412

It seems to me that more and more people are moving towards the trunked/Digital systems (not just police) and that my analog scanner will become completely silent in awhile. Any thoughts? Thanks.

3997. Re: Need schematic for RS-232 cable used with Icom R8500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 20:37:22 UTC
From: Dami <dbargel@terra.es>
Message-ID: <6KPL8.92285$4Q.721603@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 47413
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Mark Kallin" <MarkKallin@worldnet.att.net> escribi&#65533; en el mensaje
news:GRML8.26711$UT.1846486@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Can anyone provide, or direct me to where I can obtain, a schematic of the
> RS-232 cable used to control an Icom IC-R8500 receiver? Icom's part
number
> is OPC-770.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Anyone of this is very good,
http://www.euskalnet.net/shizuka/mascable.htm
but remember to connect the rx and tx together in the middle of the stereo
3,5 plug of the radio (CI-V standard)
Bye
Damiano
dbargel@terra.es

3998. looking for freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 20:40:56 UTC
From: intoodeep <intoodeep@ec.rr.com>
Message-ID: <sNPL8.74668$6v2.2865583@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 47414

I'm looking for Jacksonville, NC police, fire and ems frequencies.
Thanks
Deep

3999. Re: looking for freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 21:08:10 UTC
From: wleamons <wleamons@socket.net>
Message-ID: <ufvjq6fgfaorea@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47415

try cityfreq.com
"intoodeep" <intoodeep@ec.rr.com> wrote in message
news:sNPL8.74668$6v2.2865583@twister.southeast.rr.com...
> I'm looking for Jacksonville, NC police, fire and ems frequencies.
> Thanks
> Deep
>
>

4000. Re: damned RIAA/MPAA tyrants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 21:17:28 UTC
From: bowenb@best.com
Message-ID: <3cffcd7c.172977274@text.giganews.com>
Source record: 47416
Cross-posted to: rec.video.production,rec.video.desktop,rec.radio.scanner,alt.society.civil-liberty,rec.video.cable-tv

Guys,

I've been saying this for over 20 years, back when I was with RCA and they where fighting with Hollywierd on "time shift recoding", and people thought I'd taken leave of my senses, but the end-game of the content producers, be they publishers of books, CDs, computer software or what have you - the ELIMINATION of the doctrine of "Fair Use". The content providers want to have that determination transferred to THEIR control. The end result will be that they will define the only fair use of their product as total and complete "pay per play". Every time you play that CD you THINK you own, you'll pay - everytime you boot up your computer and use MS Office, you'll pay.

Yes, I understand their first attempt at this (DIVx) bombed big time, but with the DMCA and bad bills like the Hollings bill, you can bet the cost of your entire CD & DVD collection they will keep on coming back and bribing our Congress (remember Mark Twain's ole quip about Congress "being a uniquely American criminal class" until they get what they want.

Those greedy bastards are NOT going to give up - sad thing is we have to win each battle, whereas they only have to win one to screw us.

Regards,
Bill Bowen
bowenb@best.com
Daly City, CA

B Smith <No-Spam@nowhere.invalid.addr> wrote:

>In article <3mk3fuc2muelejdlst2fb6267qts9bb8dc@4ax.com>, John
><John@doe.com> wrote:
>
>> "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@acadia.net> wrote:
>>
>> >Recording TV shows is legal , according to the United States Congress. It is
>> >also legal to copy any publicly broadcasted material, for your own use. You
>> >need to catch up on these laws before spouting off in such a manner. Its the
>> >law, buddy.

>>
>> Yes. But the MPAA/RIAA want these rites removed hence they are
>> bribing all sorts of US politicians..
>

>Recording may currently be legal, but many rights have ALREADY been
>lost. For instance, if you owned a copy of a video, say one that your
>kids watched often, it used to be legal a few years ago to copy that
>often watched video and then wear out the copy. But now under the
>digital millenium copyright law it is ILLEGAL to circumvent any copy
>protection. So your right to make a backup is not gone, but you cannot
>exercise it legally, so your right to make a backup is gone.
>
>Hey, there is lots of stuff going on, the rules changed alot a few
>years ago, and Hollywood wants them to change more.
>
>http://bpdg.blogs.eff.org/archives/000113.html

>> This three-part agenda -- controlling digital media devices,
>> controlling analog converters, controlling the Internet -- is a
>> frightening
>> peek at Hollywood's vision of the future.

4001. Wisconsin Dells Freq. HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 22:04:18 UTC
From: Iowa883 <Iowa883@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <adomh2$f52$1@ins22.netins.net>
Source record: 47417

Heading out tomorrow for a vacation to the Dells. Just wondering what freq.
should I scan to find the activities ? I am staying in a resort called
Polenesian (sp) resort and want to find whats going on.
Any suggestions ?
Thanks,
Iowa883

4002. ScanAlert Yahoolist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 22:07:39 UTC
From: b7spectra <webmaster@scanatlanta.com>
Message-ID: <L2RL8.27882$tj5.8088164@typhoon.atl.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 47418

ScanAlert is looking for volunteers to post to this list throughout the US.
We are currently Georgia based with 187 members, but would like to expand to
include the entire US for late breaking Fire/EMS/Police calls.

Subscribe: scanalert-subscribe@yahoogroups.com

--

/\/\|k.e

Michael B. Martin
Webmaster@ScanAtlanta.com
mm505@mindspring.com

4003. Re: What's on 886.8 MHz - Cleveland?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 22:20:33 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <adon7h$15393$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47419

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:ufv5g6ekdjv08f@corp.supernews.com...

> (1) possession of a receiving device capable of receiving cellular
> telephone
> > frequencies
>
> Charge #1 is false. It is not illegal to own a device capable of
receiving
> cellular telephone frequencies. If that were the case, all pre-ban
scanners
> would be illegal, and as we all know, it just isn't so..

And if taken literally, cell phones would be illegal, also .

Tom

4004. Re: Need schematic for RS-232 cable used with Icom R8500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 22:53:26 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3CFFE7E5.EE09EDF3@optonline.net>
Source record: 47420
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

It's a plain, old, standard RS-232 cable. Male DB9 on one end, female DB9 on the other. Pin 1 connected to pin 1, pin 2 connected to pin 2, etc.

Buy one at RS. Cheaper than building your own.

Mark Kallin wrote:
>
> Can anyone provide, or direct me to where I can obtain, a schematic of the
> RS-232 cable used to control an Icom IC-R8500 receiver? Icom's part number
> is OPC-770.
>
> Thanks.

4005. Re: Simple Question (i hope)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 23:35:48 UTC
From: CoolDave_0@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1fa6f03d.0206061535.22ba8357@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47421

"Virgilio Rodriguez Jr." <vze24jcz@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:<LhLL8.29242$b73.3070@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>...
> Look they are better ways of spying on someone I would rather go with the
> electrical oulet transmitter works forever, and you get all the
> conversations inside the house. But to answer your question UHF is the way
> to go, answer is easy the frequency range of the cheap one is actually 88 to
> 108 Mhz they have a tendecy to be unstable and the person you are trying to
> bug might find out when they turn on the radio to listen to music and as
> they turn the dial they hear themselves. Not a good thing. Besides the fact
> so did everybody else in the are just heard the same. I'm figure you are
> either spying on the wife or kids, you don't everydody knowing you biz. UHF
> is the only way to go but if you have a realtive in the CIA ask him for the
> good microwave shit longer distance and nobody but you and Uncle same could
> hear.

How would thoes outlets transmit everything inside a house. Are they
really THAT sensitive? So installing one in my (example) neighbors
house 400 feet away would allow me to hear the house? Just wondering.
Thanks

-Dave

4006. FS Bear Cat sc200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 23:37:55 UTC
From: k5in <k5in@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <nnSL8.1612$1E5.1153@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 47422

I am selling a good condition Sport Cat220xlt in the original box, internal battery charges and holds a charge. 200 channels, ctcss and DCS plus more fast scanner and works well just bought the bc780xlt and don't need the ht anymore.

$95.00 shipped in the lower 48 states.

Brian, k5in and I accept paypal.

4007. Re: Radio shack return policy sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 23:40:19 UTC
From: maystar <maystar@abuse.com>
Message-ID: <ufvsndpu3c9j39@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47423

Another good reason to use a credit or debit card when making major purchases.

Common policy with most retail stores is have corporate issue a check for refunds over $100. Most stores just don't keep enough cash on hand to cover major returns.

Also, when I make major Radio Shack purchases I always seek out "company owned" stores instead of "franchised" stores. Franchised Radio Shacks are usually mom and pop operations that have very short return periods (usually 14 days) and can give you a hassle. Company owned stores all offer 30 day return periods. Franchised stores are usually found in small towns. Ask the employees and they will tell you if the store is company owned or franchised.

"Randy" <bearcat@astound.net> wrote in message
news:3CFF9238.7020904@astound.net...
> I returned my pro 2067 to find out that even though I paid cash, I would
> be mailed a check within 14 days of return. Anyone else have this
> problem? That's the last time I purchase anything from that company.
> Randy
>

4008. Re: Radio shack return policy sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-06 23:44:30 UTC
From: David Doane <drake3d@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3cfff49b_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 47424

Most companies do it from a store security point. Don't have more than 'x'
amount of cash in the draw. Pretty universal throughtout the retail market.

"Randy" <bearcat@astound.net> wrote in message
news:3CFF9238.7020904@astound.net...
> I returned my pro 2067 to find out that even though I paid cash, I would
> be mailed a check within 14 days of return. Anyone else have this
> problem? That's the last time I purchase anything from that company.
> Randy
>

4009. Re: Need schematic for RS-232 cable used with Icom R8500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-07 00:05:53 UTC
From: Mark Kallin <MarkKallin@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <BNSL8.24685$LC3.1859575@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 47425
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks for your help.

"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3CFFE7E5.EE09EDF3@optonline.net...
> It's a plain, old, standard RS-232 cable. Male DB9 on one end, female
> DB9 on the other. Pin 1 connected to pin 1, pin 2 connected to pin 2,
> etc.
>
> Buy one at RS. Cheaper than building your own.
>
> Mark Kallin wrote:
> >
> > Can anyone provide, or direct me to where I can obtain, a schematic of
the
> > RS-232 cable used to control an Icom IC-R8500 receiver? Icom's part
number
> > is OPC-770.
> >
> > Thanks.

4010. NOAA12 with scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-07 00:24:16 UTC
From: SSTVSWL <SSTVSWL@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <Q2TL8.125697$cQ3.4104@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 47426

Here's NOAA12 received with a FRG9600 and SatSignal.
http://home.attbi.com/~frg9600/noaa12.jpg

4011. FS: 27 Mhz and 220 Mhz Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-07 00:33:44 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <IbTL8.24708$LC3.1862294@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 47427

I have one 27 Mhz CB telescopic whip and two 220 Mhz antennas. Both with BNC connectors. One 220 Mhz is a rubber duck, other is a metal whip. All work great. CB antenna can be used to transmit also. I no longer have a scanner.

Price is $20 shipped for all three

Thanks, Frank

4012. Re: FS Yeasu VR 5000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-07 00:34:32 UTC
From: Ben <manley62@InsightBB.com>
Message-ID: <scTL8.125718$cQ3.3510@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 47428

VR5000 is gone

Thanks

"Ben" <manley62@InsightBB.com> wrote in message
news:tavL8.211$pw3.261@sccrnsc03...
> I have a brand new unopened Yeasu VR 5000 for sale. AES is selling them
for
> $699. I'm asking $600 including shipping. Please email me with any offers.
>
>
>

4013. FS: BC2500XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-07 00:49:26 UTC
From: Mike Crain <crainma@flash.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1769bf7f7c7a48ec98968c@flashnews.prodigy.net>
Source record: 47429

Scanner with manual, case, antenna, earphone and beltclip. Excellent condition in appearance and operation, but it is an older model which has not been in production for a number of years. Battery pack NiCad failed about 1 year ago and I purchased a rebuild kit from NiCadLady to correct the battery problem.

$120

4014. Re: Icom R3 & receiving X10 Cameras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-07 03:56:24 UTC
From: jet@spies.com
Message-ID: <xodelfjlp1z.fsf@spies.com>
Source record: 47430
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> writes:
> With everyone getting these wireless X10 type cameras I'm wonder, can
> these images be received with the Icom R3 radio?

Yup. I purchased an R3 specifically to hunt for amateur video
bugs.

I've also picked up wireless camera images at SFo while waiting for a
flight and cameras in a few other public places. Usually very boring
shots of very boring entrances.

I've yet to find a hidden camera in a bathroom or anything else a
client was afraid I would find.

--
J. Eric Townsend
buy some stickers: http://www.spies.com/jet/store.html
so my artcar can go to burningman: http://www.spies.com/jet/artcar.html

4015. Re: Freqs for Paramedics in Ocean County NJ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-07 04:19:25 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020607001925.15605.00000065@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 47431

>Well you first have to find out who dispatches them MICOM or CENCOMM. You
>can try MICOM at 155.1750 but that is for Northern NJ. And do you want the
>MED channels that is the paramedics to hospital frequencies.

They are dispatched by the Ocean County Sheriff's department. I believe they
talk on 400mhz for comms with the ER, but I need the specific frequencies and
which ones are used when...
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

4016. Re: PRO-2026 will not hold memory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-07 05:02:58 UTC
From: Skweezieweezie <noway@thistime.now>
Message-ID: <68XL8.16933$r5.6938165@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 47432

It depends on the version you have, there was the Original, an A model,
and a B model.

The 3-wire is the early version. Get the service manuals and update
the memory circuit to the A or B models. (2 leads)

This involves removing the 3rd lead and installing a 1/2 Farad
backup cap in place of the original one.

--

skweezieweezie

` X o'
-----ooo-0-ooo-----
~

4017. Re: Wisconsin Dells Freq. HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-07 07:12:11 UTC
From: Pat Deethardt <deethardt@tomah.com>
Message-ID: <deethardt-D2E2CD.02121107062002@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47433

In article <adomh2$f52$1@ins22.netins.net>,
"Iowa883" <Iowa883@hotmail.com> wrote:

> Heading out tomorrow for a vacation to the Dells. Just wondering what freq.
> should I scan to find the activities ? I am staying in a resort called
> Polenesian (sp) resort and want to find whats going on.
> Any suggestions ?
> Thanks,
> Iowa883
>
>
>

154.205 - Fire
155.580 - Police (repeater on this freq)
155.640 - Police

For Sauk County

155.310 - local govt freq, police call says "sheriff tactical"
155.775 - local govt freq, police call says "fire"
155.820 - local govt freq
155.700 - police
159.180 - roads

These are all from police call so I'm not sure which are active.

Pat

4018. Re: nextel freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-07 10:40:09 UTC
From: Joseph Brzezinski <breezyjr1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns922643DB2FB7breezyjr@206.141.193.32>
Source record: 47434

> Here is a quote from Motorola.com
>
> Key Technologies
>
> TDMA (Time Division Multiple Access):
> iDEN's digital technology divides a channel into different "slots".
> Each slot can carry one voice or data transmission. By deploying an
> iDEN system, service providers can increase capacity by as much as six
> times their current analog Specialized Mobile Radio (SMR) network.
>
> This capacity increase is accomplished using a state-of-the-art
> technology called TDMA. TDMA utilizes Global Positioning Satellites
> (GPS) to reference a synchronized time, and then divides the channel
> into time slots. As a result, channel capacity is increased because
> one channel has now been converted to multiple voice or data
> transmission vehicles. TDMA is a proven technology in cellular systems
> across Europe, the US, and in Japan. iDEN utilizes TDMA for Maximum
> Spectrum Efficiency.
>
> http://idenphones.motorola.com/iden/key_technologies.jsp
>

Funny, I always thought the phones used TDMA for the cell part, and the Two way radio part was iDEN. But, this little snipit you posted seems to state otherwise. Hmmmm, I'm a cell phone nut, and I didn't know that. Of course my knowledge leans towards Tri (quad) Band GSM phones.

In fact, I was just thinking about this post about 10 minutes ago, whilst drinking my coffee. I thought, hey, the Nextel uses TDMA for phone and iDEN for radio....I think that is strange, how things come up like that.

Thank for the info, although I was not the original poster.

breezyjr (joe)

4019. Re: Icom R3 & receiving X10 Cameras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-07 10:48:04 UTC
From: Joseph Brzezinski <breezyjr1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns922645330C7A9breezyjr@206.141.193.32>
Source record: 47435
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

jet@spies.com (J. Eric Townsend) wrote in news:xodelfjlp1z.fsf@spies.com:

> GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> writes:
>> With everyone getting these wireless X10 type cameras I'm wonder, can
>> these images be received with the Icom R3 radio?
>
> Yup. I purchased an R3 specifically to hunt for amateur video
> bugs.
>
> I've also picked up wireless camera images at SFo while waiting for a
> flight and cameras in a few other public places. Usually very boring
> shots of very boring entrances.
>
> I've yet to find a hidden camera in a bathroom or anything else a
> client was afraid I would find.
>

Why has this radio received such poor reviews. Is it really that bad. I believe one website even stated something to the affect of, Don't even "THINK" about getting the IC-R3. Then they mentioned another product, that utilized a 9"crt B&W tv. Who wants to carry around a 9" B&W tv around? Kinda defeats the purpose of being stealthy (if that is one's intent) I think it would look a little strange walking around the city with a 9" tv tucked under your arm, picking up video.

It would seem to me, that if your purpose is to search out wireless (or hidden) cameras, the IC-R3 would be perfect, and work well. I can understand if it has poor performance in the regular Public safety bands.

Anyone have any info on this radio? I am still consiering getting one. Kinda just for the heck of it. I would like to go around and "see" if I'm missing anything above the normal 1.3Ghz where most radios stop their coverage.

Please advise,
Thanks in advance!

breezyjr (joe)

4020. Re: Powerful scanner Cheek-A-Likes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-07 11:21:41 UTC
From: Mille <nomail@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <9H0M8.15666$fT5.4274149@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 47437
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What a pile of horseshit. Another Toilet Boy Licker for the bozo filter.

"RicK Larson" <Ricklarson@cableone.net> wrote in message
news:ufv1s1rad28m60@corp.supernews.com...
> For Bill Cheek, Bud and the Cheek-A-Likes
> Title: Scanner Cheek FAQ on Cheek FAQ thumpers.
> >
> > Introduction. In my years of computing experience which began back in
> > the days of the Coleco Adam, I've had the pleasure of meeting many
> > helpful folks who shared my love for these wonderful machines that
> > have changed our lives so much. I've had the privilege of running my
> > own BBS for many years and then I made the transition to being in on
> > the ground floor of one of the first ISPs in my area. During my years
> > of experience online I've always found that a certain personality type
> > kept turning up regardless of what online venue I was using. As a BBS
> > sysop, there were always a few people who wanted to "help" me run my
> > board.. Once the internet became a household word, I started noticing
> > the same types of "helpful" people popping up in just about every
> > newsgroup I'd examine. Unlike those who irritated me on my BBS though,
> > since these folks had no sysop to tell them their "help" was unwanted,
> > they became emboldened and completely abandoned the facade of trying
> > to be "helpful." Thus, emerged the FAQ thumper. Thus, also, came the
> > need for this FAQ.
> >
> > This FAQ will most likely contain a lot of omissions, so I hope that
> > its readers will feel free to add to it as time goes on. Unlike the
> > FAQs that the FAQ thumpers regard as their Holy Grail, feel free to
> > fold, spindle and mutilate this document as you see fit.
> >
> > NOTE. This FAQ applies solely to unmoderated newsgroups.
> >
> > Q. Where did the term "FAQ thumper" come from?
> >
> > A. When I first encountered these strange people who could quote their
> > newsgroup's FAQ verbatim and did so at every chance that presented
> > itself, I was instantly reminded of the born-again Christian types
> > I've known throughout my time. Like "Bible thumpers" who can recite an
> > appropriate verse from Scripture for almost any topic of conversation
> > that they are presented, the FAQ thumpers exhibit the same skill and
> > fervent zealotry as their Christian zombie brethren in embracing and
> > quoting their hallowed FAQs.
> >
> > Q. Who appointed/elected the FAQ thumpers?

> >
> > A. Nobody! It's a job they took on by themselves. These wanna-be
> > dictators of cyberspace don't even enjoy popular support in most
> > newsgroups they infest.
> >
> > Q. Why do they take on their self-appointed roles?
> >
> > A. Mainly to enjoy the illusion of power. There are other reasons
> > (covered later), but the desire for some measure of authority is the
> > essence of their motivation. Chances are excellent that this tiny
> > minority of aspiring cybercops have little in the way of power in
> > their real lives so they desperately attempt to fill that void on the
> > net.
> >
> > Q. Do they have any real authority? In other words, do they present
> > any threat to me?
> >
> > A. None whatsoever. Despite their threats about complaining to ISPs,
> > they realize that no ISP is going to dump a paying customer on the
> > basis of some self-righteous twit lodging a complaint about your
> > violation of an FAQ. It would be a different situation if you were
> > posting porn someplace where it doesn't belong or spamming a newsgroup
> > with commercial ads, but any reputable ISP would promptly dump any
> > complaint about anything as utterly trivial as posting requests or
> > questions anywhere. Furthermore, complaints about newsgroups of
> > "questionable" repute are almost universally dumped by ISPs regardless
> > of what sort of so-called abuse is taking place within them.
> > "Questionable" newsgroups are those which are magnets for people
> > engaged in the distribution of either pornography or copyrighted
> > materials such as "warez," or media products which have been digitally
> > copied (mp3, mpeg, wav, jpg etc.). Complaints from such newsgroups are
> > viewed by ISPs as the proverbial "pot calling the kettle black" types
> > of indictments and are generally sneered at,... if they receive any
> > attention at all.
> >
> > Q. Why do they use such threats if they can't really get action from
> > most ISPs?
> >
> > A. Simple. Such threats are very effective when leveled at novice
> > internet users. The FAQ thumpers are essentially cyber versions of
> > schoolyard bullies without the muscle to back them up. Since a
> > newcomer to the newsgroups has no idea of how things work until
> > they've been around for awhile, if someone pounces on them and makes
> > ominous-sounding threats, until they learn they really have little to
> > fear, they acquiesce to the bully's demand... at first. A good
> > analogy is to watch the way someone who has never been stung by a bee
> > or wasp reacts when one flies around them as opposed to how someone
> > who has been stung reacts.
> >
> > Q. Are their any types of complaints other than spamming or posting

> > pornography inappropriately that are taken seriously by ISPs?
> >
> > A. Yes, but the effectiveness of the complaint is nearly always
> > dependent upon the content of the newsgroup it concerns. In other
> > words, if you post messages containing profanity in a newsgroup which
> > contains "adults only" content such as those newsgroups dealing with
> > pornographic images or texts dealing with sex acts, your complaint
> > will be given no attention whatsoever. On the other hand, if you post
> > that same kind of profanity in a newsgroup dealing with intellectual,
> > family-oriented or religious subjects, a complaint against you has a
> > much better chance of getting you warned or summarily dumped by most
> > ISPs. Usually, you'll receive a warning e-mail before any decent ISP
> > dumps your account though. Any ISP that terminates your account
> > without first giving you a chance to present your side of the story
> > isn't one you want to pay your hard-earned money for, so if you do get
> > summarily dumped, consider it a favor from the dunces who run such an
> > ISP.
> >
> > Q. I've seen claims on the part of FAQ thumpers that their activities
> > act as a bulwark against the newsgroup being overrun by spam. Is that
> > true?
> >
> > A. Not at all. Spammers generally aim their efforts at newsgroups
> > based on how germane to what they are peddling the content of the
> > newsgroup is. A small amount of spam is therefore the norm in just
> > about every newsgroup on Usenet. The only time spam "overruns" any
> > newsgroup is if it proves lucrative for the spammers to advertize
> > their products and services in it. For instance, you see little, if
> > any spam in the newsgroups dealing with "warez" and other copyrighted
> > materials because the last thing a software maker or media artist
> > wants to do is attract attention to their products in areas where
> > their product might wind up being freely distributed without any
> > generating any revenue.
> >
> > Q. Do FAQ thumpers have any positive effects whatsoever in the NGs
> > they try to control?
> >
> > A. On the contrary, they normally do far more harm than they do good.
> > Even the most casual examination of NGs where FAQ thumpers are most
> > active will reveal a much higher number of unproductive and genuinely
> > "off-topic" text posts taking up bandwith and making life miserable
> > for those seeking the kind of help or files the newsgroup is
> > presumably designed to provide. FAQ thumpers behave like packs of
> > wolves waiting to pounce upon the weakest members of the herd. Once
> > one does so, the rest pile on the targeted prey so that a single
> > alleged infraction of their revered FAQ generates dozens of "read the
> > #!!!$*&!! FAQ you #%$^!!!*{?!! newbie!" type posts. Inevitably, people
> > who don't take kindly to cyber-fascism chime in to defend the poor
> > novice the FAQ thumpers are gang-banging so that the initial flurry of
> > admonishments winds up generating an exponentially growing number of

> > counter responses. Egotists that they are, FAQ thumpers never let
> > anyone get in the last word besides themselves, so they invariably
> > respond with rebuttals to anyone who comes to their victim's defense.
> > Compounding the problem further is the fact that many FAQ thumpers are
> > very prodigious in their zeal to police their little domains. It's not
> > at all unusual for a single FAQ thumper to post over 50 messages a
> > day.
> >
> > Q. Do FAQ thumpers also contribute "on-topic" posts to the NGs they
> > patrol?
> >
> > A. One would think that those who profess to be most dedicated to the
> > well-being of a NG would also be amongst the most prolific
> > contributors of assistance and files, but alas, this is universally
> > not the case. In fact, FAQ thumpers are generally little better than
> > so-called "lurkers" when it comes to actually contributing content to
> > their NGs. Hypocrisy is an integral part of the psyches of most FAQ
> > thumpers. Their real interest in most NGs is to flex their imaginary
> > muscles by sniping at others.
> >
> > Q. Being an FAQ thumper seems to be a huge expenditure of wasted time
> > and energy. There's just got to be more to their motivation than the
> > illusion of power. What else drives these creatures?
> >
> > A. There are a lot of frustrated, lonely and secluded people in our
> > society. Many of the most active FAQ thumpers are likely to be
> > unemployed people living off of personal assets and/or government
> > assistance. It is entirely plausible that many are shut-ins who are
> > permanently disabled. This may seem like a cheap shot, but two things
> > are obvious about FAQ thumpers. They all seem to have far more time
> > to spend at their computer keyboards than most of us do and they all
> > carry around chips on their shoulders. Some disabled people remain
> > very bitter about the cards fate has dealt them and are in an ideal
> > position to devote large amounts of time to cyberspace. What better
> > way to feel connected while in seclusion than to participate in the
> > online community and what better way to experience the illusion of
> > being empowered when one is feeling otherwise helpless?
> >
> > Those FAQ thumpers who are not shut-ins are almost necessarily loners
> > with little in the way of a normal social life. Think about it. What
> > time could such people possibly devote to socializing after working an
> > 8 hour day and then spending so much time ferreting out as many FAQ
> > transgressors as they do on a daily basis?
> >
> > In any case, FAQ thumpers are universally people with severe emotional
> > problems. You couldn't pay most of us to sit at a keyboard for hours
> > at a time every day with the sole task of composing messages that
> > almost always drip with sarcasm, condescension, anger and
> > self-importance. No mentally healthy person would dream of taking on
> > such an onerous chore of their own volition.

> >
> > PARTING ADVICE. FAQ thumpers are irrepressible. Like death and taxes,
> > there's no escaping them. They have been with us throughout our lives
> > and will hound us to our graves. They were our kid brothers and
> > sisters who tattled to our parents about everything we did in an
> > effort to vent their frustration at being the weakest members of the
> > family. They were the teachers' pets in school who were keepers of
> > the erasers and appointed as class monitors (stool pigeons) whenever
> > the teacher had to leave the room. In high school they were the hall
> > monitors. In college, they began to find fewer outlets where they
> > could enjoy any sort of empowerment so they became the withdrawn
> > loners who went through four years or more of virtual invisibility to
> > most people on campus. As adults, they are the neighbors who peer out
> > from behind their curtains every time they hear so much as a car door
> > slamming. They are the people who call the cops at the most trivial
> > or imagined violation of their peace or property. They maintain
> > mental dossiers on all of their neighbors and are eager to share them
> > with anyone who gives them an opportunity to recite their gossip. As
> > coworkers, they are the boss's informers. They'll tell you how lazy a
> > coworker is and as soon as you are out of earshot, they will tell
> > someone else how lazy they think you are. If they outlive you, you can
> > be certain that they will have no qualms whatsoever about verbally
> > diminishing your existence before your corpse has even grown cold.
> >
> > Given all the aforementioned, the best thing to do with FAQ thumpers
> > is to simply kill filter them. You can be sure that by doing so, you
> > wont miss any genuine content in whatever NG you're in.
>
>
> "Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
> news:tt7tfug54mpkvlumjvuc3dlkvm8fh1rjl0@4ax.com...
> > Fresh from a command appearance on The View, "Mille"
<nomail@nospam.com>
> moaned...
> >
> > >Actually Bud, I've been reading this NG for years. In fact, I'll bet
that
> I
> > >was here before you (and even Toilet Boy) turned up.
> >
> > Well, I've been in this group since 1988, but under various names,
> > and from a number of different ISPs/BBSs.
> >
> > First was from The General BBS, where I was the co-sysop.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> > To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
> >
> > Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
> >
> > It's your SIG, say what you want to say....
>
>

4021. Data slicer for 780 & other ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-07 11:36:40 UTC
From: Joseph Brzezinski <breezyjr1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92264D7021641breezyjr@206.141.193.32>
Source record: 47436
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hey Y'all. I'd like to start off by saying. It may sound like I know what I'm talking about, but in fact, I still don't have any idea.
What I'm looking for is an easy way to tap into the discriminator output in my 780. I have seen, on a couple different websites, data slicer schematics, but I have no idea what all those pretty pictures mean. I saw on one site a link to three manufacturers that all produce a 2 or 4 slicer. But, I still don't have a clue on how to tap into the discriminator circuit. Does anyone have a site they know of that has pictures, or at least a very detailed listing of how to do this? I am so confused. In some of my research, it seems like I just need to run a wire from the discriminator to the sound card, others say it requires a serial port connection. One of the programs I have picked up has the following choice for input, sound card, serial port, or internet connection. Are there sites on the internet that provide a live feeds for the purpose of decoding different digital signals?
If any of you believe since I have no knowledge of elctronics, I shouldn't even attept this mod, please feel free to tell me so. This is not something I feel is a requirement for scanning, I'm just interested in the info out there.

My other questions has to do with radios in general. I just got back into scanning. Went out and got the BC780, the pro-92, the Radio Shack DX-398 (SW radio), and of course I still have my modified Yeasu FT50R, that I use to monitor the local Fire Dept. I'm possibly looking for another radio. I would like to know if the Wideband radios are worth it. The ones that recieve from like .1Mhz to 1.3Ghz or higher. I don't want a another base/mobile scanner, unless y'all figure the AOR8200 MKII is worth it, or the Icom radios. Personnaly, I was looking at the higher end Yeasu, ICOM, or AOR receivers. Not interested in Tx, just the receivers. I'm looking into getting the ICOM IC-R3, but still want to get another Base receiver.

Before I start rambling, I'll just end this here.
Any help would be greatly appreciated.
BTW: How do you (with the large radio shacks) listen to all those radios. I have seen some pictures where guys have like 5-7 radios and even possibly quite a bit more. How do you keep track of all those radios? Can most of them be 'puter controlled, or can you only control one at a time?

I had forgotten how much fun scanning can be. And, now I want to get back into it with both feet. SInce now I have the funds to get whatever I want. (that wasn't bragging, just trying to point out, when I scanning before, I was in college. College = No money for radios.) But, I still don't see the need for a $2,000 or $3,000+ radio, at least not for me.

Thanks for all your help. I look forward to be an active member in these NG.
Oh, and BTW: I'm still looking for Edacs help with Livonia Mi. Can't seem to receive their signal, even though I'm within approx 15 miles of that city. Seem, If I can pick up Detroit (400Mhz band) 30 miles away, I should be able to hear Livonia.

Boy, I ramble a great deal in the morning. Thanks for reading.

breezyjr (joe)

4022. Re: Data slicer for 780 & other ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-07 12:38:05 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <NO1M8.34060$092.1010465@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 47438
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I put "bc780xlt discriminator tap" into Yahoos' search window. This is one of the links I got:

http://members.accessus.net/~090/awh/bc-780xlt.html#disctap

Complicated, huh?

KG0WX

4023. Re: RS pro2053 F/S 2 days left!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-07 16:35:33 UTC
From: pro2036@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9965-3D00E0D5-39@storefull-2357.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 47440

Only 2 days left!!

4024. Live Audio - Prostitute Sting - Daytona Beach

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-07 16:53:27 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3D00E507.3070804@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 47439
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Daytona Beach once again is running a prostitute sting. I'm
broadcasting this channel live. Go to http://www.MilAirComms.com,
scroll down til you see "LIVE AUDIO! Daytona Beach, FL" then click on
where it says "Click Here to Start Broadcast"

Sit back and listen as you're listening to the Daytona Beach Police VICE
frequency (talkgroup) live.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

4025. Re: Wisconsin Dells Freq. HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-07 17:22:42 UTC
From: Mike W <MNMikeW@aolspam.com>
Message-ID: <3d00e9e3$1@news.mhogaming.com>
Source record: 47441

Here ya go.
http://www.cityfreq.com:81/wi/wisconsindells/

"Iowa883" <Iowa883@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:adomh2$f52$1@ins22.netins.net...
> Heading out tomorrow for a vacation to the Dells. Just wondering what
freq.
> should I scan to find the activities ? I am staying in a resort called
> Polenesian (sp) resort and want to find whats going on.
> Any suggestions ?
> Thanks,
> Iowa883
>
>
>

4026. Re: PRO-2026 will not hold memory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-07 17:23:41 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <srq1gu014tb41e2vbdu4a2a6uh7bc4rthf@4ax.com>
Source record: 47442

Thanks 100% for the responses,most appreciated

On Thu, 06 Jun 2002 07:05:31 GMT, rugerp85
<rugerp85<remove>@adelphia.net> wrote:

>PRO-2026 will not hold memory
>
>works for two-three weeks and oops - memory gone.....mobile or base
>,is there an internal battery in these...any help appreciated....

4027. Re: Freqs for Paramedics in Ocean County NJ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-07 18:15:02 UTC
From: Dave <ws2l@NOSPAMoptonline.net>
Message-ID: <aL6M8.1$aq1.1278@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 47444

I don't know about Micom but Cencom is on 155.175, you were close. If Micom is for Monmouth County they it is also 155.175 PL:151.4

4028. Re: Trunking in Chicago?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-07 18:18:00 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig_remove_.com>
Message-ID: <rich-3C47C9.13175907062002@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47443

In article <kmc1gukmh0so4hiv31l8462eldl1j64lrm@4ax.com>,
SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote:

> I will definitely be purchasing a handheld now that I've been hooked
> by the 2006 I bought a couple months ago, but am wondering if getting
> a trunk-tracker would be of any benefit to me. Alpha tags are nice,
> but not necessary - and being able to program it by computer is
> definitely not necessary.
>
> I need someone from the Chicago area to tell me if I'm missing much by
> not having a trunk capable scanner. If I don't, I would probably be
> happy with a lesser scanner than the one I have my eyes on (the Pro
> 93). That scanner is frequently available on eBay (but usually
> firmware 1.00) but it typically sells for $170 or more - the same
> price it was on sale for recently. And there is no sale in sight at
> RS for at least the next 30 days.
>
> I have plenty of frequency lists and sites, but I've found that I
> mainly listen to police, fire, and EMT freqs - and occasionally I
> listen to other city services, and to the local sports arenas when
> games are on.
>
>

Well...

Chicago PD has a 7 channel trunked system used for mostly
Investigations, Surveilances and special details. outine dispatch is
still conventional UHF. CFD is on VHF and EMS is on UHF.

Cook County is still on VHF but will be switching to an Astro system
sometime this year. I don't know how much of it will be digital and how
much will be analog yet. The system is currently in use at the Jail and
Courthouse in analog mode.

The State Police in Cook County are on 2 10 channel EDACS systems that
share many common talkgroups.

Lake and Will Counties both have EDACS systems for Sheriff and other
county operations. The Will County system also supports many local
agencies as well.

Several suburbs have Motorola Type II trunking systems, including Elgin,
Naperville, Aurora, Schaumburg and Streamwood/Hoffman Estates. Northwest
Central Dispatch also has an Astro system that is used by Buffalo Grove,
Arlington Hts., Elk Grove, Mt. Prospect, Palatine and Mt. Prospect.

PACE (Suburban Bus Operations) also has a Type II system, and there are a couple SMR's still around. There are a few company 900 MHz systems as well as a couple 900 MHz. SMR's. If you have LTR capabilities there are a bunch of 800 and UHF LTR systems as well.

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG rich (at) n9jig.com
IL Highways and Scanner page: http://www.n9jig.com
Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association: http://www.carmachicago.com

4029. Dead spots everywhere!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-07 19:10:57 UTC
From: Martin <mk394@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Oz7M8.11951$W74.2319529@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 47445
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I was hoping someone could help me with this. I live on the 13th floor of a condo in a big city, and I'm using a PRO-39 with a Radio Shack 20-006 (handheld telescoping) antenna.

The problem is about "dead zones" all around my place (I don't know if that's the right term). When I get a signal, and I walk around, the signal gets picked up, and dropped, picked up, and dropped.. unless of course the signal is relatively strong.

This even happens outside on my balcony. If while doing a search I move the scanner to the left, maybe I'll pick up something new, or if I move it up, for instance, maybe I'll lose what I had.

Who knows how many signals I'm losing because of this. I suspect it's also causing some weak signals or making me lose signals that I would otherwise be able to receive. Does anyone know what causes it and how it might be avoided? I'm assuming it's probably what my building is made of. Also, my balcony is closed to the left, right, and the top, so maybe that's why this problem occurs there as well.

This issue seems to be keeping me from taking advantage of a high scanning point. I'm sure that I could get much better performance from up here!

4030. Upcoming digital system - Cleartalk??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-07 21:01:44 UTC
From: drksky1056@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <41e7bb3b.0206071301.2124e6c9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47446

I work for a town in Central Illinois who, until recenly have been using a countywide Ef Johnson multinet system. However, it seems that the system falls short as far as reception in several areas, causing them and a neighboring city to revert to an older 400MHz system.

Anyway, the point is, they are conducting studies for a new radio system. I have been talking to the comm director and he mentioned something about them utilizing a systemt that is being put in place by the Illinois State Police. Said it was a Motorola product called "Cleartalk".

Has anyone heard of this? Will the new Uniden digital scanners be able to decode it?

Any info appreciated.

4031. Re: Live Audio - Prostitute Sting - Daytona Beach

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-07 22:44:35 UTC
From: Donald Reeve <don236@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <nHaM8.3298$kO7.108823577@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

George, I remember one time they were running a reverse hooker sting right at my corner, so close that I thought I'd try picking up the "hookers" body mikes. A lucky guess found them between 173-174 MHz, and it was interesting to hear the dialog between the make-believe hookers and the johns.

Donald Reeve

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message news:3D00E507.3070804@licensed4fun.com...
> Daytona Beach once again is running a prostitute sting. I'm
> broadcasting this channel live. Go to http://www.MilAirComms.com,
> scroll down til you see "LIVE AUDIO! Daytona Beach, FL" then click on
> where it says "Click Here to Start Broadcast"
>
> Sit back and listen as you're listening to the Daytona Beach Police VICE
> frequency (talkgroup) live.
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com
>
>

4032. FS Radio Shack Pro 2050 Trunktracker Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-07 23:19:17 UTC
From: rhavedx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020607191917.29545.00000307@mb-ft.aol.com>
Source record: 47348

Have a Pro 2050 scanner that I am no longer using for sale. Unit is like new in the box with manual, whip antenna, power supply and all packing. Will ship in the CONUS for $125. Please E-mail me if you have any questions.

Ron

4033. Re: Live Audio - Prostitute Sting - Daytona Beach

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-07 23:40:48 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3D014480.3070708@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 47349
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Donald Reeve wrote:

> George, I remember one time they were running a reverse hooker sting
> right at my corner, so close that I thought I'd try picking up the
> "hookers" body mikes. A lucky guess found them between 173-174 MHz, and
> it was interesting to hear the dialog between the make-believe hookers
> and the johns.
>
> Donald Reeve

Here in Daytona they have a bug along with a repeater. From what I have learned they keep a van stationary (in today sting it was at our local marina). The van receives and records the conversation received by the bug. The repeater is located in a car that is close to the hooker. I have yet to find the output frequency of the repearter they are using. One of these days I'm going to drive (or park) in the area they are running the sting with a frequency counter to see if I can snag something.

The repeater must have a good output because where they are picking up hookers is sometimes located 5 miles or more from their staging area (where the van sites).

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

–

4034. Re: damned RIAA/MPAA tyrants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-08 01:10:50 UTC
From: Steve Guidry <vwi@ballistic.com>
Message-ID: <uQcM8.159729$Kp.15021913@bin7.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 47350
Cross-posted to: rec.video.production,rec.video.desktop,rec.radio.scanner,alt.society.civil-liberty,rec.video.cable-tv

I agree.

And I'll cheer you on. I may even jump on the bandwagon at some point.

But, this is not something I feel passionately about. maybe I'll change my mind about it at some point. But until then, it's not my fight.

Steve

"lhorwinkle" <NOT_NOT_lhorwinnkle@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:8NvL8.27090$EW5.1520517@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> If 18th century American colonists felt that way, there would have
> been no revolution.
>
> Likewise the French in 1789 and the Communist bloc nations in 1989.
>
> "Steve Guidry" <vwi@ballistic.com> wrote in message
> news:PC9L8.126140$Oa1.12323342@bin8.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> > Personally, over time, I've found that trying to change an
> > entrenched system like the music biz is a task that will break you.
> > Instead, I prefer to work within whatever system prevails, and make a
> living
> > along the way.
>
>
>
>

4035. Re: Freqs for Paramedics in Ocean County NJ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-08 01:11:33 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.com
Message-ID: <5e5fccab.0206071711.1544fa70@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47351

"Dave" <ws2l@NOSPAMoptonline.net> wrote in message
news:<aL6M8.1$aq1.1278@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>...
> I don't know about Micom but Cencom is on 155.175, you were close. If Micom
> is for Monmouth County they it is also 155.175 PL:151.4

Actually, the medics in Monmouth County are privatized. They work for
a company called MONOC, and are dispatched by them. In Middlesex
County, the medics are dispatched by "Med Central" out of Robert Wood
Johnson hospital in New Brunswick, last I knew of. In Ocean County,
they are dispatched by the Sheriff's dept.

4036. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-08 03:39:09 UTC
From: Uncle Jeff <jeff@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <3D017C5D.5C8979B8@nospam.com>
Source record: 47352
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

"Randy A. Hefner" wrote:
>
> I was on my home from work last night and I had my 2-meter scanning.
> I have programmed the MURS frequencies into my radio to monitor MURS
> activity.
>
> I rarely hear anything other than regular business band traffic during
> the day, but 151.940 was active last night. I listened in and was
> supprised that it didn't sound like business, it sounded just like a
> CB base station conversation. There were plenty of "10-4's" and
> "negitories" being tossed out. It sounded just like 2 "good-'ol-boys"
> ragchewing on the CB.
>
> A part of the conversation was very interesting. It appeared that
> they were setting-up their MURS station. One said when he put it on
> high power it was drawing 14-amps. This sounds like a 50-watt mobile
> 2-meter amateur radio to me!
>
> While they didn't specifically say so, I could draw the conclusing
> that illegal equipment was being used. Their signal was very strong
> the whole 8-mile trip home.
>
> I feel that many CBers will be going to MURS and many will be using
> illegal, over-powered equipment.
>
> MURS may become useless with the over-powered and raunchy talk that is
> common in the CB community. I hope this isn't so, but I fear that it
> is.
>
> Randy
> http://www.frs-usa.com

So what? If they use any illegal
equipment who are they harming?
Nobody.

-Jeff

4037. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-08 04:28:40 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <YJfM8.26847$Qg.2263397@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 47353
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

"Uncle Jeff" <jeff@nospam.com> wrote in message news:3D017C5D.5C8979B8@nospam.com...
> So what?

Typical illegal CBer / pirate attitude.

> If they use any illegal
> equipment who are they harming?

Not true, and you know that. This case is clear cut. There are still many businesses users on those channels, and there is nothing in the rules that says they have to leave either! So the idiots running the high power on the MURS license free channels are in fact interfering with the current legitimate users of those channels. The power is deliberately kept at the 2 watt level, or less in many cases, to limit any interference to other users on the same channel.

For example there are two fast food outlets, and a "Laser Quest" Laser tag game hall, all within a couple of miles of my apartment. All use the same MURS channel frequency. None of them have a problem because the TX power is kept very low. You get more than a quarter mile away and you can't even hear them any more. Now if you get some idiot running 50 watts, by using a modified Ham 2m rig illegally on MURS, which could easily cover a 20 to 30 mile radius all round, the interference problem becomes huge.

> Nobody.

Talk about being out of touch with reality. I'll bet you didn't even think about the problem.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

4038. Re: Mobile phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-08 04:48:16 UTC
From: johnb <borregoj@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3D018E19.3020600@sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 47354

In addition its not legal in some jurisdictions, like the US.

ScannerFan wrote:

> NO
>
> Analog, Yes.
>
> "Andy" <cbr600@f2s.com> wrote in message
> news:7ea4f11d.0206041649.2e708b75@posting.google.com...
>
>>At present,is it possible to listen to mobile digital phones via a
>>
> scanner.
>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> TIA
>>
>
>

4039. Re: one other thing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-08 04:50:38 UTC
From: johnb <borregoj@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3D018EA8.10009@sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 47355
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

check out rec radio shortwave....there is some wild and crazy stuff on
US domestic shortwave. From religous and "patriot" nuts to more normal
music and pirates.....

Joseph Brzezinski wrote:

> Looking also for some good live scanner sites, or just good info sites,
> maybe with lots 'o Michigan stuff.
>
> And, any reviews of the Icom IC-R3? I'm really interested in getting one
> of these as we have lots of cameras on the roadways and such. Never mind
> just being able to walk around and possibly get baby monitor stuff. And,
> somewaht interested in the 2.4Ghz phones (although, I'll admit, it's mostly
> boring, but every so often I like listening around)
>
> What are the + and - of the Icom unit?
>
> I know this isn't the correct group, but I'm also interested in sortwave
> stuff. Any of you out there of anything good, other than overseas BBC
> broadcasts and the like?
>
> Thanks again.
> breezyjr (joe)
>
>

4040. Re: My new scanner is driving me crazy...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-08 05:11:36 UTC
From: RayC <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz>
Message-ID: <xogM8.6938$7N.1139513@news02.tsnz.net>
Source record: 47356

Take up fishing instead

"The Other Harry" <hc.me@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:en8gfu0i1r2os784prjg72bad5e7efkalc@4ax.com...
>
> I recently bought a Radio Shack Pro-2053 scanner. I don't think the
> brand or model # matters, but that's what it is.
>
> I have most of the active local frequencies set in memory. There
> aren't a lot of those. Maybe 20.
>
> Invariably, whenever I hear something that might be interesting
> going down outside the window -- sirens -- the scanner locks up on
> bullshit talk on some other channel.
>
> It makes me want to smash it on the floor.
>
> Any advice on how to deal with this?
>
> Harry

4041. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-08 05:22:35 UTC
From: tw<illegible> <.tw>, <>, yahoomsnATTBI<illegible>.org.com
Message-ID: <vwgM8.16088$pw3.709@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 47357
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:YJfM8.26847$Qg.2263397@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
|"Uncle Jeff" <jeff@nospam.com> wrote in message news:3D017C5D.5C8979B8@nospam.com...
|> So what?
|
|Typical illegal CBer / pirate attitude.

Typical asshole / moron attitude.

4042. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-08 05:57:57 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <F1hM8.26360$LC3.2022371@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 47358
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

"tw���" <.tw,@nothomeexcite5,yahoomsnATTBI�.org.com> wrote in message
news:vwgM8.16088$pw3.709@sccrnsc03...
> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> news:YJfM8.26847$Qg.2263397@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> |"Uncle Jeff" <jeff@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:3D017C5D.5C8979B8@nospam.com...
> |> So what?
> |
> |Typical illegal CBer / pirate attitude.
>
>
> Typical asshole / moron attitude.

To Bozo*Flush with thee foul-mouthed knave.

4043. Re: Two Scanners - One Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-08 07:30:06 UTC
From: michael <cac@rconnect.com>
Message-ID: <2oiM8.1817$k85.1378@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 47359
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

what kind of amplifier ?

make, model, freq range ?

Thanks

"Ed Rodrigues" <erod1944@aol.com> wrote in message
news:4052b83b.0206021506.595ab91@posting.google.com...
> Mike M. wrote in message news:<3cf877d5.8102863@news.charter.net>...
> > I've been using a regular TV hybrid splitter with good results for
> > several years. I use RG-6 coax and "F" to BNC adapters to connect to
> > the scanners. There is some signal loss, but unless you are in a
> > really weak signal area it works fine.
> >
> > On Sun, 26 May 2002 08:29:57 -0800, "Charles J. Coumbe"
> > <dunestalker@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > >-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> > >Hash: SHA1
> > >
> > >I have a Radio Shack dipole antenna I have been using on my BC855XLT
> > >for the past few years with no problems (except for the fact that
> > >there's really not much to listen to up here in Fairbanks, Alaska!).
> > >I am in the process of acquiring a new BC245XLT next week and am
> > >wondering if I can connect both scanners to the same antenna. One of
> > >the reasons I'm getting a new scanner is related to the fact that I'm
> > >getting ready to move to Omaha, Nebraska next month and will
> > >most-likely have a lot more to listen to once all my stuff arrives
> > >and I get everything setup again.
> > >
> > >Anyway, if connecting both scanners to the same antenna is not a
> > >problem, what kind of splitter should I use? I suppose it would be
> > >quite similar to connecting two TVs to the same antenna except for
> > >the fact that the cables are quite different.
> > >
> > >I've got a Radio Shack up here where I can purchase the required
> > >parts, but the folks who work there aren't very technically
> > >proficient. :-(
> > >
> > >Thanks!!!!
> > >
> > >Charles
> > >Fairbanks, Alaska
> > >

> > >p.s.--If anyone wants the Eielson AFB military freqs, email me.
> > >
> > >-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
> > >Version: PGPfreeware 6.5.8 for non-commercial use <http://www.pgp.com>
> > >Comment: Dune's Domain - http://www.coumbe.com/dune
> > >
> > >iQA/AwUBPPENYr0mrjBws5CUEQJzEwCg1XdBLhHGPo+JLNA6m1aimUZJgaUAoNJT
> > >XcNud8E7wG03Tmnz0ZMcI8jr
> > >=AQAv
> > >-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
>
> Using the TV Splitter is no real problem and works just fine with the
> RG-6 75ohm cable. About the only problems you get into running
> passive splitters occurs when certain frequencies generated by one
> scanner gets into the other scanner and locks it up. If you're in a
> real hot-bed of transmitters (like you live next to the Police
> Dispatch Office) you can have problems where the energy from those
> transmitters goes into your receiver and mixes with other frequencies
> subsequently generating frequencies (intermodulation frequencies)
> which once again locks up your scanners or makes a bunch of crazy
> noise.
> I personally am running 12 (twelve) scanners via 3 passive TV
> splitters with a 30db amplifier in front of the splitters. I do not
> have a lot of trouble with "on-site" interference though there is
> some. I do not live in or near an RF HOTBED. As long as you live in
> a fairly benign RF area it isn't a real killer issue.
>
> Ed/Atwater, CA
> > >
> > >
> > >
>

4044. Searching for technical manual for Regency MX4200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-08 10:57:29 UTC
From: f1rcq@club-internet.fr
Message-ID: <3d01e306.12232378@news.club-internet.fr>
Source record: 47360
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner

Hello

I'm searching for the user and technical manual for Regency MX4200

Copies welcome

Best 73's from France

Denis F1RCQ

4045. Re: Upcoming digital system - Cleartalk??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-08 12:54:24 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ug3vmjspcmvv48@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47361

Not quite answering your question, but if they are experiencing problems with a regular trunking system, they are REALLY going to have problems with a digital system... Instead of staticy communications, they will have none at all. Digital is either their, or it's not. There is no in between...

PH

"Tony" <drksky1056@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:41e7bb3b.0206071301.2124e6c9@posting.google.com...
> I work for a town in Central Illinois who, until recenly have been
> using a countywide Ef Johnson multinet system. However, it seems that
> the system falls short as far as reception in several areas, causing
> them and a neighboring city to revert to an older 400MHz system.
>
> Anyway, the point is, they are conducting studies for a new radio
> system. I have been talking to the comm director and he mentioned
> something about them utilizing a systemt that is being put in place by
> the Illinois State Police. Said it was a Motorola product called
> "Cleartalk".
>
> Has anyone heard of this? Will the new Uniden digital scanners be
> able to decode it?
>
> Any info appreciated.

4046. Re: Live Audio - Prostitute Sting - Daytona Beach

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-08 12:59:04 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <adsv2g$22bh4$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3D014480.3070708@licensed4fun.com...

> One of these days I'm going to drive (or park) in the area
> they are running the sting with a frequency counter to see if I can snag
> something.

Just make sure it's not a hooker!

Tom

4047. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-08 13:02:14 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <adsv87$23uj5$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47363
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

What are all the MURS freqs?

Tom

4048. Re: My new scanner is driving me crazy...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-08 13:03:27 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <adsvae$217nc$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47364

"RayC" <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz> wrote in message
news:xogM8.6938$7N.1139513@news02.tsnz.net...
> Take up fishing instead
>

Naw, because then he'd be complaining because while he's trying to catch
bass, he keeps catching perch or crappie.

Tom

4049. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-08 13:23:15 UTC
From: JRPF <jrpf@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7znM8.21465$zh2.9439678@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 47365
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:adsv87$23uj5$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> What are all the MURS freqs?
>
> Tom
>
>

151.820 MHz
151.880 MHz
151.940 MHz
154.570 MHz
154.600 MHz

4050. Shinwa SR001 for sale!! FULL 25 - 999MHZ NOT MODIFIED!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-08 16:27:50 UTC
From: JWeeks <JWeeks@BellConsultingServices.delthis.com>
Message-ID: <0gqM8.5503$Pz3.94177@twister.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 47366
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

SHINWA SR001 RADIO SCANNER FULL (nothing blocked) 25MHZ to 999.995MHZ range; NOT modified!; Remote control, 200 Channel memory.

Detailed Description
This is the SHINWA sr001 radio scanner. This features FULL (nothing blocked) 25MHZ to 999.995MHZ range; Remote control; Original owners manual; 200 channel memory (10 Groups of 20 channels); 2 Antenna connections: BNC and "N"; AM, FM-Wide and FM-Narrow operations. 3 resume scan modes: Carrier, Audio and Timer (programmable up to 19-second delay!); front headphone jack. The chassis is the size of a standard car stereo and uses a 12 Volt power supply.

This radio has been great! It looks VERY sharp and has an unbelievable frequency range! Selling because I don't use it any more as I recently purchased a handheld HAM radio which can do everything I need.

I have NEVER installed this radio in a vehicle. It has sat on my desk hooked up to a 12v power supply or been in the closet. I'm sure the internal battery condition is good (no meter or other means to test). The display is beautiful and has a multicolor signal meter!

Any questions please contact me!

Check out this auction!!

http://www.ubid.com/Actn/Opn/getpage.asp?AuctionID=527929689

THANKS.

4051. Re: Freqs for Paramedics in Ocean County NJ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-08 17:08:45 UTC
From: Craig Hauke <medic3@bright.net>
Message-ID: <bSqM8.426$Mv6.68295@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 47367

They also could be using Cellular Phones to communicate with the hospital.
That's what most EMS agencies in my area are doing...
"vhfradiobuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.com> wrote in message
news:5e5fccab.0206071711.1544fa70@posting.google.com...
> "Dave" <ws2l@NOSPAMoptonline.net> wrote in message
news:<aL6M8.1$aq1.1278@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>...
> > I don't know about Micom but Cencom is on 155.175, you were close. If
Micom
> > is for Monmouth County they it is also 155.175 PL:151.4
>
> Actually, the medics in Monmouth County are privatized. They work for
> a company called MONOC, and are dispatched by them. In Middlesex
> County, the medics are dispatched by "Med Central" out of Robert Wood
> Johnson hospital in New Brunswick, last I knew of. In Ocean County,
> they are dispatched by the Sheriff's dept.

4052. Re: Date codes on the back of Uniden scanners?-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-08 18:42:23 UTC
From: Charles Coumbe <me@here.com>
Message-ID: <ug4k0jc79nsac6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47368
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

So where do I find the date code on a BC245XLT? There's nothing on the outside of the case that I can find. When I open the battery compartment, the PC Board on the inside shows (C) 1999 Uniden.

Any ideas?

Charles
Fairbanks, Alaska

"Paul P" <splicer65@go.com> wrote in message
news:3d0000b2_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Close, except for the day, not that accurate. Simply Sept. '89, They
all
> started with 0.
>
> Paul
>
>
> "Mayor" <noneIwishtodisclose@nospam.none.ok?> wrote in message
> news:RKRL8.24680$092.806573@news1.east.cox.net...
> > Hi, all. How do I figure out the date codes on the back of Uniden
> > scanners? I have one that reads OIHI. By "decoding" the letters with
the
> > alphabet, I get 15, 9, 8, and 9. Can I assume that this means this
> scanner
> > was made on the 15th day of the 9th month of the year '89? or, is it
> > something else? Thanks for your help.
> >
> >
> > --Adam
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4053. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-08 18:53:35 UTC
From: Charles Coumbe <me@here.com>
Message-ID: <ug4klj9h97q8a8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47369
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Okay.......Here's a 'newbie' question.......

What is MURS? What's the acronym stand for? Where can I get more
information on MURS?

Thanks!

Charles
Fairbanks, Alaska

"JRPF" <jrpf@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:7znM8.21465$zh2.9439678@twister.neo.rr.com...
>
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:adsv87$23uj5$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> > What are all the MURS freqs?
> >
> > Tom
> >
> >
>
> 151.820 MHz
> 151.880 MHz
> 151.940 MHz
> 154.570 MHz
> 154.600 MHz
>
>
>

4054. Re: Scanner PC codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-08 20:30:03 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <bob@parnassXcutX.org>
Message-ID: <3d02696f$0$3340$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 47370
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Luke,

If you are going to write software to clone and control
radios, I urge you to produce software which is not restricted
to Microsoft Windows. Design it to run on multiple
platforms, e.g., Linux, MacOS X, BSD, Windows, etc.

Here are a few web resources.

Links to ICOM PCR-1000 protocol:
http://www.gcn.ou.edu/~jahern/home/pcr1000/

Uniden Models BC895XLT, BC245XLT and BC780XLT
Radio Shack Models Pro-2052 etc:
http://www.freqofnature.com/software/protocols.html

ICOM CI-V protocol:
http://www.plicht.de/ekki/civ/

HAMLIB - Ham Radio Control Libraries:
http://hamlib.sourceforge.net/

AOR AR-2700 (ugh!)
http://www.mv.com/users/wje/aor.html

Check out my web site, www.parnass.org, for free, open source
radio software, too.

On Sat, 08 Jun 2002 09:56:48 -0500, EEBYGUMTIM (Luke) wrote:

> Can anyone please help me to find the PC serial commands for some of the
> popular handheld / base scanners?
> I am trying to make some programs for people to use as easier, cheaper
> alternatives to those that the company provide. Any websites would be
> greatly appreciated.

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S Linux User www.parnass.org

4055. Re: Date codes on the back of Uniden scanners?-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-08 20:45:19 UTC
From: Mayor <nonelwishtodisclose@nospam.none.ok?>
Message-ID: <z1uM8.45655$092.1538136@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 47371
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I wish I could help you. All of the scanners I have seen, have had the date codes on the very back of the case. By looking at the PC board, that will certainly give you a good start...Of course the scanner could have been made in 2000 and just happened to have used a 1999 board. That's how it is with one of my mom's scanners. It has one year on the PC board, but we know for a fact, it was purchased the next year. No big deal, though. Of course, it's very possible that the date code sticker could have come off, too. Catch you later.

--Adam

4056. Belgische scannerfrequenties / Belgian frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-08 20:50:47 UTC
From: Tandy7 <Tandy7@tnet.com>
Message-ID: <3d026d6b$0$19497@news2.zeelandnet.nl>
Source record: 47372
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

Zie http://rainbow.mybravenet.com/belgascan/

4057. Re: Upcoming digital system - Cleartalk??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-08 21:14:29 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig_remove_.com>
Message-ID: <rich-E5F83C.16142908062002@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47373

In article <41e7bb3b.0206071301.2124e6c9@posting.google.com>,
drksky1056@hotmail.com (Tony) wrote:

> I work for a town in Central Illinois who, until recenly have been
> using a countywide Ef Johnson multinet system. However, it seems that
> the system falls short as far as reception in several areas, causing
> them and a neighboring city to revert to an older 400MHz system.
>
> Anyway, the point is, they are conducting studies for a new radio
> system. I have been talking to the comm director and he mentioned
> something about them utilizing a systemt that is being put in place by
> the Illinois State Police. Said it was a Motorola product called
> "Cleartalk".
>
> Has anyone heard of this? Will the new Uniden digital scanners be
> able to decode it?
>
> Any info appreciated.

The State Police system is called Star-Net. It is believed that this will be on the upcoming 700 MHz. frequencies, but that is unsure as yet. Since no one really knows when these freqs will be released it is possible that the system can be initially set up on 800 MHz away from the Chicago area. The system will be installed and owned by Motorola and airtime leased to the ISP and other agencies.

ClearTalk is a SMR system built by a consortium of electric utility cooperatives in central and NW Illinois. While there are some public safety use most of the users are electric utilities with some business users on it. ClearTalk is all analog Motorola Type II on 800 MHz. frequencies. Most are licensed to local electric coops.

The Bloomington-Normal-McLean County area's EF Johnson Multi-Net system is being reworked but its reputation is so tarnished in the area that it will likely never be fully accepted.

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG rich (at) n9jig.com
IL Highways and Scanner page: http://www.n9jig.com
Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association: http://www.carmachicago.com

4058. NJ's Passaic Police Dept.'s Communication on the net.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-08 22:05:20 UTC
From: "Virgilio Rodriguez Jr." <vze24jcz@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <AcvM8.922$lh5.98@nwrddc04.gnilink.net>
Source record: 47375
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Some time this address works just type it into your windows media player and listen.

http://169.254.5.128:8080

You'll know they are up because you'll see the Passaic Police Patch on the screen.

4059. 4 SALE RADIO SCHACK PRO 2050 TRUNK TRACKER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-08 22:27:32 UTC
From: WILLIAM C FORVIK <n0mnb@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <#cVIwYzDCHA.1884@cpimsnntpa03>
Source record: 47374

LIKE NEW TRUNK TRACKER 300 CH SCANNER. 150 OR BEST OFFER

N0MNB@EMAIL.MSN.COM

4060. Re: Date codes on the back of Uniden scanners?-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-08 22:35:54 UTC
From: Charles Coumbe <me@here.com>
Message-ID: <ug51mmmst59be8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47376
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I don't think the sticker could have fallen off - I just purchased the scanner last week new.

Thanks anyway....

At least I know it's no more than a couple years old. :-)

Charles

"Mayor" <noneIwishtodisclose@nospam.none.ok?> wrote in message news:z1uM8.45655$092.1538136@news1.east.cox.net...
> I wish I could help you. All of the scanners I have seen, have had the
> date codes on the very back of the case. By looking at the PC board, that
> will certainly give you a good start...Of course the scanner could have been
> made in 2000 and just happened to have used a 1999 board. That's how it is
> with one of my mom's scanners. It has one year on the PC board, but we know
> for a fact, it was purchased the next year. No big deal, though. Of
> course, it's very possible that the date code sticker could have come off,
> too. Catch you later.
>
>
> --Adam
>
>
>

4061. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-08 23:55:26 UTC
From: "G. M. Alf" <gmalf.ears@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <f765gu43o6klhai8vtosogjl3vsdhi9rpk@4ax.com>
Source record: 47377
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

On Sat, 8 Jun 2002 10:53:35 -0800, "Charles Coumbe" <me@here.com>
wrote:

>Okay.......Here's a 'newbie' question.......
>
>What is MURS? What's the acronym stand for? Where can I get more
>information on MURS?

Multi Use Radio Service:

http://www.provide.net/~prsg/murshome.htm

Mike

4062. Freqs for Laconia NH Motorcycle Week?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 00:53:00 UTC
From: Craig Seufert <saddlehill@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <MFxM8.3899$k85.2601@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 47378

Anyone have a list of scanning freqs for the annual Motorcycle Week in Laconia, NH? It just got under way today (Saturday 6/8). These would include City of Laconia, Lakes Region Mutual Aid Dispatch, NH State Police, Belknap Co. Sheriffs, NH Marine Patrol, and NH National Guard.

TIA

Craig, WPE1HNS
Meredith, NH USA

Drake R8B/AD Sloper
Sony SW-77
Sony ICF-2010
2 x Phillips/Magnavox D2935
Uniden CR2021
Knight Kit Star Roamer
GE SuperRadio II/Select A Tenna
Uniden 100XLT

Tuning since 1963

4063. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 01:06:30 UTC
From: Dave Kelso <dkelso@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <3D02AA16.79D8F288@nospam.com>
Source record: 47379
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:
>
> "Uncle Jeff" <jeff@nospam.com> wrote in message news:3D017C5D.5C8979B8@nospam.com...
> > So what?
>
> Typical illegal CBer / pirate attitude.
>
> > If they use any illegal
> > equipment who are they harming?
>
> Not true, and you know that. This case is clear cut. There are still many businesses users on those channels, and there is nothing in the rules that says they have to leave either! So the idiots running the high power on the MURS license free channels are in fact interfering with the current legitimate users of those channels. The power is deliberately kept at the 2 watt level, or less in many cases, to limit any interference to other users on the same channel.
>
> For example there are two fast food outlets, and a "Laser Quest" Laser tag game hall, all within a couple of miles of my apartment. All use the same MURS channel frequency. None of them have a problem because the TX power is kept very low. You get more than a quarter mile away and you can't even hear them any more. Now if you get some idiot running 50 watts, by using a modified Ham 2m rig illegally on MURS, which could easily cover a 20 to 30 mile radius all round, the interference problem becomes huge.
>
> > Nobody.
>
> Talk about being out of touch with reality. I'll bet you didn't even think about the problem.
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

I am not out of touch. My brother drives an 18 wheeler and
long range communications is needed for open road
talk -- and MURS sounds like the right thing. FCC can't
enforce it anyway.

D. Kelso

4064. ARNewsline 1295 - June 7 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 01:18:43 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020608211843.23421.00000865@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 47047

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1295 - June 7 2002

==========

A NOTE TO THOSE WHO ONLY “READ” AMATEUR RADIO NEWSLINE(tm)

For those of you who only know of the Amateur Radio Newsline (tm) as a text newsletter we would like to invite you to listen to the audio version. This Amateur Radio Newsline (tm) script is actually written for the “spoken word.” It is recorded each by professional broadcasters and is available for private listening or rebroadcast over your repeater. Two methods of delivery are available: FTP MP3 or dial-in telephone.

Please visit our website at http://www.arnewsline.org for a list of telephone numbers (you have to pay your own long distance charges) and links to audio servers providing our voice newscast. Free FTP Downloads are available at our site by clicking on the newscast number at the top of the page.

de
The Newsline Production Team

==========

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1295 with a release date of Friday, June 7th 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. Kids Day is coming and a legend leaves Top Band. These stories are first on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1295 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

ON THE AIR: KIDS DAY COMING JUNE 15TH

It’s possibly one of the two mot important ham radio days of the year, and its only a week away. We are talking about the second of two Kids Day operating events held every year. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, has the story of the event and the man who made it happen:

--

The next Kids Day is June 15 from 1800 to 2400 UTC. Thats Saturday here in the United States. Most of the activity is on 20, 15 and 10 meters, but you can also put kids on the air on your local repeater if you wish.

Kids Day is not a contest. In fact, it is a non structured event where all you have to do is to call C-Q Kids Day, and then put the youngsters visiting you on

the air to talk with other kids visiting other hams. Once the children are talking,the suggested contact exchange might include the youngsters names, their ages, their location and -- for the younger ones -- favorite color. Best of all you can work the same station over and over again if the operator continues to change.

If this all sounds like a great way to spend a day, you might be interested in learning ewhere Kids Day came from. In reality is the story of vision by one man. A man who long before most relized a problem even existed.

It was Larry “Tree” Tyree, N6TR, who recognized that ham radio had no future without a steady influx of new, young hams. Kid hams filled with the wonderment of childhood. That thirst we are all born with to “learn.” To “experiment.” To find out how this or that “really works.”

But Tree Tyree did not just sit there and say let somebody do something. Working woith Oregon’s Boaring Amateur Radio Club, he went out and did it himself. And in creating Kids Day, N-6-T-R, probably established the most important “ham radio holiday” that we have.

“Kids Day.” Just think what it really means. It two days every year where we “grown-up” hams open our hearts, minds and stations to the young. We invite them in. We put them before a mic or key. We put them on the air to experience first hand the “magic” of radio. Then we silently pray they some of them will knock on the door again and say: “...can we talk on the radio again?” When that happens, we know that ham radio’s survival is insured for yet another generation.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, in Los Angeles.

--

The first Kid's Day event was held on December 2, 1994 but it was, N6TR, who took “Kids Day” from a dream to a twice yearly reality now sponsored by the A-R-R-L. And in doing so Larry “Tree” Tyree N6TR figuratively “paid the premium” on the life insurance policy that is Amateur Radio. (ARRL, ARNewsline™, W6AQ)

**

RESCUE RADIO: NEW MEXICO WILDFIRE EMERGENCY FOLLOW-UP

More this week on the role that Amateur Radio operators in New Mexico played as a wildfires raged in that state. As reported last week, hams were called out as soon as the fire emergency was declared. Now, the ARRL Letter provides some in depth follow-up.

The Letter says that on May 23rd, an incident command post was set up in the town of Espanola in response to a so-called "sleeper fire.” This is a lightning-caused fire that smolders before bursting into flame.

This one erupted near the village of Truchas in north-central New Mexico. Over the Memorial Day weekend, more than 250 residents were evacuated from their homes in Truchas and the nearby village of Cordoba.

Rio Arriba County Emergency Communications Manager Lonie Scott, AH2AZ, activated amateur communications support. Amateurs were dispatched to Red Cross shelters set up at two elementary schools in Chimayo, and The Los Alamos Amateur Radio Club's W5PDO system provided support.

By late on May 24, the wind had shifted. The fire was burning away from Truchas. Residents were able to return home starting at 9 AM the next day. New Mexico Section Emergency Coordinator Bill Kauffman, W5YEJ said the incident command post and the shelters closed later that day after all evacuees had returned home.

As of May 29th the fire was still burning in the Pecos Wilderness area of the Santa Fe National Forest, but was not considered a threat to any homes or structures. But as tinder-dry conditions persist across the South-West, amateurs remain on alert in case more wildfires break out. (ARRL)

**

ENFORCEMENT: PIRATE BROADCASTER BUSTED IN PUERTO RICO

Turning to the regulatory arena, the Federal Communications Commission has announced that an investigation by the it’s Enforcement Bureau has led to the seizure of unlicensed broadcast radio equipment allegedly operated by Amil Lugo-González.

The station had been operating on 99.5 MHz from Jayuya, Puerto Rico. The FCC San Juan Office, working in conjunction with the United States Marshals Service and the Office of the United States Attorney for the District of Puerto Rico, seized the equipment on May 21st. The FCC says that on more than one occasion it had issued notices to Lugo-González directing him to cease operation of the unlicensed station. (FCC)

**

ENFORCEMENT: SHORT TERM RENEWALS GRANTED

Meanwhile, two radio amateurs have been given short term renewals of their Amateur Radio licenses. The FCC’s Riley Hollingsworth tells us who they are and the reason each is getting a shortened license term:

--
Hollingsworth: : “A short term of an upgrade to Extra class was given by the Wireless Bureau to Sam Jacobs, K3SAM. The grant was short term due to previous problems involving the filing of a club call sign application.

And, a short term renewal was given to Peter Figuroa, N6IWH, of Berkeley California. Figuroa had been on the Amateur Bands after theexpiration of his license but there were some extenuating circumstances.”

--

In both instances, two year license renewals were granted. If there are no other enforcement issues with either Jacobs or Figuroa over the next two years, each can apply for a full 10 year license renewal in 2004. (FCC, RAIN)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the AF4CY repeater serving Madison Virginia.

(5 sec pause here)

**

CHANGING OF THE GUARD: THE 160 METER GATEWAY NET GOES QRT

A longtime fixture on Top Band has gone silent. This as Vern Jackson, WA0RCR, announces that he has terminated operation of his famed 160 meter Gateway Net. Here is the announcement as Jackson himself made it:

--
AUDIO ONLY REPORT: HEAR IT AT WWW.ARNEWSLINE.ORG
--

Over the almost quarter of a century that it existed, Jackson's net presented some of the top news and information sources in ham radio. This includes Hap Holly's Radio Amateur Information Network and Amateur Radio Newsline, all in high fidelity A-M. (WA0RCR)

**

THE VEC SYSTEM: NEW TECHNICIAN SYLLABUS RELEASED

The Question Pool Committee of the National Conference of Volunteer Examination Coordinators has released the revised Technician Class Element 2 Syllabus into the public domain. This syllabus will be used to develop the new question pool for Technician Examinations going into service on July 1st, 2003. All amateurs are encouraged to submit candidate questions to the Question Pool Committee by this coming September 15th.

Now before you sit down to dream up new questions there are some strict guidelines that must be followed. All proposed questions must be no more than 210 characters in length. This includes all spaces and punctuation. All answers and distracters no more than 140 characters including spaces and punctuation and you must identify both the syllabus area and the correct answer. Also, if the question is rule based, identify the exact reference in the Part 97 Amateur Service rules.

Candidate questions should be sent via e-mail to QPC@arrl.org. The complete syllabus will be posted to the ARRL website within the next few days. (W4WW, NCVEC)

**

REGULATORY: JOINT U.S.- MEXICO COMMISSION MEETING CONCLUDED

Call it radio regulation on an international level. This with an announcement that the FCC's Enforcement Bureau recently took part in the 26th formal meeting of a regulatory body known as the Joint United States and Mexican Commission.

The Joint Commission, formerly known as the Mixed Commission is made up of representatives from the United States and Mexico.
The latest meeting took place in Mexico City during the week of April 22nd. During the gathering the group reviewed 115 cases of harmful radio interference in the United States and Mexican border zone. Of these, 47 were officially closed. A report is at http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DA-02-1063A1.doc (CGC Communicator)

**

REGULATORY NEWS: FDA WEB AGE ON RADIO FREQUENCY HAZARD FROM CELL PHONES

The Food and Drug Administration and the Federal Communications Commission have established a joint web page called "Cell Phone Facts." This, in an effort to provide reasoned answers to the public's never ending health and safety questions concerning the radio frequency power produced by portable cellular and PCS phones, and their base stations. The site is in cyberspace at www.fda.gov/cellphones (FDA, FCC)

**

CONFERENCES: ARRL/TAPR DIGITAL COMMUNICATIONS CONFERENCE

Technical papers are solicited for presentation at the 21st Annual ARRL and TAPR Digital Communications Conference. The event will be held September 13th to the 15th in Denver, Colorado.

The annual conference proceedings are published by the ARRL. Presentation at the conference is not required for publication. Submission of papers is due by August 5th. Conference registration details and updates, along with more information are in cyberspace available at www.tapr.org/dcc (TAPR, ARRL, ANS)

**

HONORS: CQ MAGAZINE ADDS TO HALLS OF FAME

Forty-four new members have been added to the CQ Amateur Radio Hall of Fame, while the CQ Contest Hall of Fame and the CQ DX Hall of Fame each grew by one member. This, with OZ1LO being honored by his fellow contesters and W0AR being recognized for his accomplishments in DX’ing. The announcements were made at the recent Dayton Hamvention. A complete list of winners is in cyberspace at www.cq-amateur-radio.com in the “announcements” area. (CQ)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today’s radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: THE NEW E-MAC

Apple Computer has unveiled a new line of computers exclusively for educators and students. Apple says the new e-Mac will feature 17-inch monitors and high speed G4 processors. The e Mac is slightly smaller than the iMac and includes stereo speakers and a CD-recordable drive. The price for the new e-Mac machines will begin at 999 dollars. (Appple press release)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: BOY BAND MEMBER MAY NOT MAKE IT TO SPACE

Possible problems for a boy-band member who wants to fly in space. The latest news reports quote the Russian Space Agency as saying it has no contract in hand to carry 'N Sync's Lance Bass to the International Space Station.

As previously reported here on Amateur Radio Newsline, Bass has made public his wish to visit the I-S-S. He has already made a trip to Russia to undergo medical tests in preparation for a seat on an upcoming flight.

As of airtime, its not known if Bass has been approached regarding his getting an Amateur Radio license and taking to the ham radio airwaves if he does get to make the trip. (Published reports)

**

INTERNATIONAL – CANADA: NEW RAC CANADA DAY CONTEST MANAGER

Radio Amateurs of Canada Contest Manager Joe Mac Pherson, VE1CH, has announced that the Moncton Seniors Amateur Radio Club has taken over responsibility for the management of the R-A-C Canada Day contest. This, effective immediately. Mac Pherson says that all inquiries or electronic logs related to the R-A-C Canada Day Contest should be directed to ve9qed@rac.ca. Non-electronic logs, for the RAC Canada Day contest should be mailed to the Moncton Seniors Amateur Radio Club at Post Office Box 73, Moncton, New Brunswick, Canada. The postal code is E1C 8R9.

**

INTERNATIONAL - GIBRALTER: RESTRUCTURING AND A NRE LICENSE CLASS

License restructuring is coming to Gibralter. Peter Naish, VK2BPN in Australia has been advised by Wilfred Guerrero that Gibraltar will be introducing the New Foundation Licence by mid-June. Guerrero, who is the Secretary of Gibralter Amateur Radio Society says that the new llicense will be similar to the highly successful Foundation License recently put in place in the United Kingdom. The prefix to be used will be ZB3. (Q-News)

**

DX

The 6 meter D-X season seems to be here. Jay Kesterson, K0GU in Colorado reports over the VHF Reflector on some very early season E skip openings to Europe. Jay notes that on June 4th at 15:14 UTC he heard the EN19 beacon. He then checked for European video signals and was surprised to hear many of them on and near 49.750 MHz. Jay says that some were S 9 plus 20 d-b. He then worked SM3UZS at 15:50 U-T-C followed by OH7PI at 16:45. (VHF Reflector)

Still with 6 meter D-X news, word that St. Paul Island should be on the air late June 29th through July 9th. According to Herb Spoonts, W3IWU, the operation will feature a full time, dedicated six meter station operated by W7XU, N0QJM, W0SD and W0OE. Herb says that the six meter station will only transmit on 50.157 MHz listening up 5 kHz and more when needed. Liaison will be on 28.885 MHz and updates will be provided to the appropriate Six Meter Internet sites and reflectors. St. Paul Island holds the prefix CY and is located in Grid Square will be FN97. QSL via W7XU. (Via E-Mail)

On the High Frequency bands, GB2RS reports that JH0IEW/JD1 and JE0EHE/JD1 will be active from Ogasawara Island through the 16th of June. They will be operating on 80 through six meters, including the WARC bands on SSB and CW. QSL to home calls or via the bureau. (GB2RS)

Also, keep an ear open for W5AA slash TI8 from Costa Rica until the 17th of June. He will operate on all bands with an emphasis on 30, 12 and 17 meters. QSL as directed on the air. (GB2RS)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: ATOMIC COFFEE MAKER

And finally this week, if you are a ham who has trouble waking up to keep those wee hours D-X schedules, the answer may be as close as the local appliance store. How about an atomic time coffee maker?

No, we aren’t kidding. We discovered this one last week in an appliance store in the Ft. Lauderdale Florida area. It’s called the Perfect Time Coffeemaker, and according to its manufacturer Melitta Salton Inc, it’s the first such device using radio frequency reception of atomic clock signals to keep it in sync with the National Bureau of Standards time keeping system.

Melitta Salton claims that the internal clock provides accurate time right out of the box by monitoring the WWVB broadcasts from Boulder Colorado. All the user need do is to select his or her time zone and the coffeemaker does the rest. It even automatically adjusts to Daylight Savings Time and its internal back-up batteries keep it going during short duration power losses. Salton also says that it also brews good coffee. And what more could any D-X hound ever ask for. (ARNewsline/4)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

This final note. The nominating period for the 2002 Amateur Radio Newsline Young Ham of the Year award closed on May 30th. The nominating forms are on their way to the judges. Their decision will be announced in early July.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm Robert Sudock, WB6FDF, saying 73, and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

(TERMINAL BREAK)

RAIN PROMO

4065. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 02:14:53 UTC
From: "G. M. Alf" <gmalf.ears@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <mbe5guktcd1jqe7ikedg0eprapvme6mo0l@4ax.com>
Source record: 47380
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 08 Jun 2002 21:06:30 -0400, Dave Kelso <dkelso@nospam.com>
wrote:

>I am not out of touch. My brother drives an 18 wheeler and
>long range communications is needed for open road
>talk -- and MURS sounds like the right thing. FCC can't
>enforce it anyway.

It's not the right thing if you want long range communication
regardless of power. 11 meters will do better.

Mike

4066. Q: Antenna Upgrade for Radio Shack PRO-2035?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 04:25:18 UTC
From: miketeachr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020609002518.01405.00000364@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 47382

Hello!

Well; i dove in and got an old Radio Shack PRO-2035 Base Scanner.

Q: I bought a Radio Shack "Center Loaded" antenna; which seems to be doing quite a nice job, but are there any others out there?

I move the scanner around the house, so I'm really not ready for a roof-mounted antenna yet. Id like to keep the scanner and antenna indoors. :)

Also: Any 'must have' frequencies for this scanner. Im located in Monmouth County [and near Ocean County] New Jersey.
THANX!
Mike
Miketeachr@aol.com

4067. Re: Dead spots everywhere!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 04:58:36 UTC
From: rodlarsonsafetran@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <45d125d9.0206082058.3ba133fe@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47383
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Martin,

What you are experiencing will happen with a handheld because of all kinds of reflections from your surroundings. The antenna on a hand held is about the worst kind of antenna for several different reasons and the only real cure is to use an external antenna mounted free of obstructions. Other than that those of us who appreciate the mobility of a handheld scanner just have to learn to live with the trade offs.

Good Luck
Rod

"Martin" <mk394@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<Oz7M8.11951$W74.2319529@news20.bellglobal.com>...
> I was hoping someone could help me with this. I live on the 13th floor of a
> condo in a big city, and I'm using a PRO-39 with a Radio Shack 20-006
> (handheld telescoping) antenna.
>
> The problem is about "dead zones" all around my place (I don't know if
> that's the right term). When I get a signal, and I walk around, the signal
> gets picked up, and dropped, picked up, and dropped.. unless of course the
> signal is relatively strong.
>
> This even happens outside on my balcony. If while doing a search I move the
> scanner to the left, maybe I'll pick up something new, or if I move it up,
> for instance, maybe I'll lose what I had.
>
> Who knows how many signals I'm losing because of this. I suspect it's also
> causing some weak signals or making me lose signals that I would otherwise
> be able to receive. Does anyone know what causes it and how it might be
> avoided? I'm assuming it's probably what my building is made of. Also, my
> balcony is closed to the left, right, and the top, so maybe that's why this
> problem occurs there as well.
>
> This issue seems to be keeping me from taking advantage of a high scanning
> point. I'm sure that I could get much better performance from up here!

4068. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 07:36:55 UTC
From: Charles Coumbe <me@here.com>
Message-ID: <ug61ct4cgon50b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47384
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Thanks, Mike!

You da man! :-)

Charles

"G. M. Alf" <gmalf.ears@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:f765gu43o6klhai8vtosogjl3vsdhi9rpk@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 8 Jun 2002 10:53:35 -0800, "Charles Coumbe" <me@here.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Okay.......Here's a 'newbie' question.......
> >
> >What is MURS? What's the acronym stand for? Where can I get more
> >information on MURS?
>
> Multi Use Radio Service:
>
> http://www.provide.net/~prsg/murshome.htm
>
> Mike
>

4069. just grabbed a pro 2006 for 5$ and.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 07:38:54 UTC
From: bhang657@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <a25bf697.0206082338.1f23c415@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47385
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I found this beast in mint condition (-manuals/box) at a yard sale for 5.00$ us, I have always been intrested in scanning but never had the spare cash to lay out, But as luck would have it I have found a good starter scanner on the cheap so I need some info, I have found a freq list for my area(northern delaware) and have read an online version of the pro2006 manual but I'm still not getting much "intresting traffic" any help would be appreciated...

tia,
bhang

4070. Re: Educating Fools about MURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 08:09:15 UTC
From: Dave Kelso <dkelso@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <3D030D2B.14EA677B@nospam.com>
Source record: 47386
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

Guanajuato wrote:
>
> There are several members of this newsgroup who, deliberately
> and with malicious intent, crosspost to the cb and amateur radio
> newsgroups.
>
> They intoduce MURS and GMRS to the cb and ham crowd,
> then they complain that the cb'ers and hams have taken over.
>
> We all share a common interest in radio, from amateur to cb, to
> shortwave and scanner listening, to AM/FM and satellite broadcasts.
>
> The cb'ers and ham already have more then enough newsgroups for them.
> We don't need to bother them, if they are not interested in MURS or GMRS
>
> There are thousands of FRS radios out there, this newsgroup should be for
> people who have FRS radios (and GMRS radios and MURS radios).
>
> For example, a person is doing research before buying a FRS radio,
> that person goes to a search engines like:
>
> http://www.altavista.com or http://www.yahoo.com or http://www.lycos.com
>
> He or she wil get lots of information about FRS, that person could then be
> directed to this newsgroup, where we could assist that person with his
> or her purchase of a FRS radio, instead of running around in circles,
> trolling and flaming with cb'ers and hams who don't care about FRS, GMRS or MURS

Who died and left you in charge? Are you an amateur operator,
if so, leave us alone please.

MURS will open opportunities for everyone:

1) Scanner operators: They will listen as usual; it's what they do...

2) HAMS: they will modify their radios and use MURS, too - to
speak with non licensed friends and associates.

3) Truckers and CBer's: An opportunity for better communications
without interference from skip and trashy people.

4) I will set up a 3 db gain antenna on my building (on a hill)
and talk with everyone in my town. I can hardly wait!

Guanajuato why not mind your own business?

D. Kelso

4071. Re: Coat hanger antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 12:19:24 UTC
From: JTB <fireman1333@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <gJHM8.19489$fT5.5071694@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 47387
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have used a variety of odd items around the house.. the best results I had was from stringing an odd length of thin copper wire across the room. I was limited from putting an outside antenna, so I had to figure something else out.
I took a 6 ft piece of 8 conductor phone line, stripped the wires out, and soldered 2 6ft lengths together and strung them out.
Then soldered one side to a motorola-type jack.
I heard FDNY on My first scanner (an old BC 140) which was over 100 miles away, and the house wasn't even on much of an elevation.
So, if people pick on you for using a coat hanger, tell them what I tell people.. "hey.. whatever works"

-JB

"Martin" <mk394@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:uwxK8.3050$Av5.283849@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Ok, forgive me for my ignorance, but could I improve my scanner reception by
> sticking a straightened coat hanger (or any other metal wire-type material)
> in the antenna socket and sticking it out the window? I live in a condo.
>
> Just looking for an inexpensive way to pick up more stuff. I'm using an RS
> telescoping antenna for my handheld and notice little difference in
> performance from the rubber ducky it came with.
>
> Thanks in advance.
>
>

4072. Re: Scanner PC codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 12:49:38 UTC
From: eebygumtim@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020609084938.26708.00000558@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 47388
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Brilliant! Thank you very much!
I would love to make the software for multiple platforms, which programming language would you suggest? Java seems a good choice, but a pain because of need of up-to-date runtime environments.

Thanks again,
Luke.

4073. Re: Urgent News Flash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 13:58:06 UTC
From: JTB <fireman1333@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <O9JM8.19504$fT5.5095454@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 47389

Oh i'll get one today..

I will also pick another up for that funny looking antenna the government has forced me to install on the roof of my house that transmits the data back to the CIA...

Maybe you should get a cup of coffee tomorrow instead of bothering us with postings useless products...

And just for the hell of it.. HI PRESIDENT BUSH!

<Adndrew@novaresponse.com.au> wrote in message news:09060223.3932@novaresponse.com.au...
> DONT RISK EVERYTHING - Protect Yourself and Your Family
>
> The government has installed BLACK BOXES into ISPs to watch your
> Internet surfing.
>
> If you think you have cleaned your computer - you are WRONG.
>
> They can recover from your PC every single picture or item you have
> ever watched on the Internet.
>
> You need protection. You need an Evidence Eliminator.
>
> Click Here.
>
> http://www.geocities.com/secre234/
>
>

4074. Re: just grabbed a pro 2006 for 5$ and.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 14:21:26 UTC
From: Paul M <paul@coldnfrosty.com>
Message-ID: <GvJM8.64770$KD3.2161298@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 47390
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That is worth about $350 on the used market.

"bhang" <bhang657@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:a25bf697.0206082338.1f23c415@posting.google.com...
> I found this beast in mint condition (-manuals/box) at a yard sale for
> 5.00$ us, I have always been intrested in scanning but never had the
> spare cash to lay out, But as luck would have it I have found a good
> starter scanner on the cheap so I need some info, I have found a freq
> list for my area(northern delaware) and have read an online version of
> the pro2006 manual but I'm still not getting much "intresting traffic"
> any help would be appreciated...
>
>
> tia,
> bhang

4075. Re: Dead spots everywhere!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 14:40:41 UTC
From: rodlarsonsafetran@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <45d125d9.0206090640.3d70f024@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47391
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi Martin,

Unfortunately a handheld radio with the antenna mounted to it will
experience
these problems because of reflections from nearby objects especially
those made
of metal. This is one of the tradeoffs those of us who want the
mobility of a
handheld have to suffer with.

The only other solution is to use an antenna that is mounted free of
obstructions and connected to your scanner with coaxial cable. You may
be able
to do this from you balcony buy mounting an antenna on some type of
bracket
arrangement at least 18" or farther from you balcony. Try getting some
ideas
from books on antennas that are available at ham stores.

Good Luck
Rod

"Martin" <mk394@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<Oz7M8.11951$W74.2319529@news20.bellglobal.com>...
> I was hoping someone could help me with this. I live on the 13th floor of a
> condo in a big city, and I'm using a PRO-39 with a Radio Shack 20-006
> (handheld telescoping) antenna.
>
> The problem is about "dead zones" all around my place (I don't know if
> that's the right term). When I get a signal, and I walk around, the signal
> gets picked up, and dropped, picked up, and dropped.. unless of course the
> signal is relatively strong.
>
> This even happens outside on my balcony. If while doing a search I move the
> scanner to the left, maybe I'll pick up something new, or if I move it up,
> for instance, maybe I'll lose what I had.
>
> Who knows how many signals I'm losing because of this. I suspect it's also
> causing some weak signals or making me lose signals that I would otherwise
> be able to receive. Does anyone know what causes it and how it might be
> avoided? I'm assuming it's probably what my building is made of. Also, my
> balcony is closed to the left, right, and the top, so maybe that's why this
> problem occurs there as well.
>

> This issue seems to be keeping me from taking advantage of a high scanning
> point. I'm sure that I could get much better performance from up here!

4076. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 15:07:49 UTC
From: John P Vassel <john@vassel.net>
Message-ID: <3d036f45$0$1429$2c3e98f8@news.voyager.net>
Source record: 47392
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

G. M. Alf <gmalf.ears@verizon.net> wrote in
news:mbe5guktcd1jqe7ikedg0eprapvme6mo0l@4ax.com:

> On Sat, 08 Jun 2002 21:06:30 -0400, Dave Kelso <dkelso@nospam.com>
> wrote:
>
>>I am not out of touch. My brother drives an 18 wheeler and
>>long range communications is needed for open road
>>talk -- and MURS sounds like the right thing. FCC can't enforce it
>>anyway.
>
> It's not the right thing if you want long range communication
> regardless of power. 11 meters will do better.
>
> Mike
>

The FCC may not enforce it, but I'd imagine the possibility exsists to
have a few questions asked upon truck inspection.

--
John Vassel
KC8SKE
RTFD Volunteer Firefighter

4077. Frequency Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 15:31:09 UTC
From: Mdkd787@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <f18290fd.0206090731.251f4141@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47393

There are a couple of new frequencies that I stored on my scanner (cause they sound interesting). But how do I find out where these transmissions are coming from. Like where is the location. I listen to the frequencies all day and nobody states their location. BTW its a police frequency. All they do is state street names. That still doesn't give me a clue to if they are in my city or the city next to me... I just don't know. Could anybody help me?

4078. Re: Scanner PC codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 15:37:46 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <bob@parnassXcutX.org>
Message-ID: <3d03766e$0$1423$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 47394
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Consider using Tcl/Tk for multiplatform, graphical radio control
and cloning software. Tcl/Tk a high level scripting language so
your programs are much shorter than Java, C, or C++ programs.

Tcl/Tk is free and open source. It's mature.

I first used Tcl/Tk about 6 years ago
at work in Bell Labs/Lucent and found
it is the best language for my radio control applications.

For example, configuring the serial port takes only
one instruction, namely fconfigure (with appropriate
arguments). Works on Linux, Windows, MacOS X, BSD, openBSD,
Solaris, etc. There are several graphical widgets provided with Tk.

You can learn more about Tcl/Tk at http://www.tcltk.com and
http://mini.net/tcl/0.html.

You can read Tcl/Tk source code by downloading any
of my "tk" radio programs from http://www.parnass.org

I bought a few Tcl/Tk books. It's
best to have at least 2 books, one from which to
learn Tcl/Tk and a pure reference book.

Tcl/Tk Programmer's Reference
by Christopher Nelson, published by Osborne, is the best reference book.
(McGraw-Hill Professional Publishing; ISBN: 0072120045)

Welch's Practical Programming in Tcl and Tk is probably
regarded as the definitive book, but I found the material
presented in a way which makes Tcl/Tk more difficult to learn.
It's a very thick book and better used as a reference text.
(Prentice Hall PTR; ISBN: 0130220280; 3rd edition)

The easiest book to learn Tcl/Tk is Johnson's Graphical
Applications with Tcl & Tk, published by M&T Books.
However, I don't think there is a recent edition.
I liked it anyway.

In the USA, you can buy Tcl/Tk books at Borders Books
and other large stores or from amazon.com over the web.

Here's a list of other Tcl/Tk books:

http://www.geometry.net/basic_t_bk/tcl_tk_programming.html

Good luck.

On Sun, 09 Jun 2002 07:49:38 -0500, EEBYGUMTIM wrote:

> Brilliant! Thank you very much!
> I would love to make the software for multiple platforms, which
> programming language would you suggest? Java seems a good choice, but a
> pain because of need of up-to-date runtime environments.
>
> Thanks again,
> Luke.

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S Linux User www.parnass.org

4079. *Rethink On MURS*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 15:40:35 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@valkcom.net>
Message-ID: <fb4db41ddf0a29fad1a40d75e187973c.35359@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 47395
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

I've decided to be rational for once and rethink my stance on MURS. It really is a great band and a lot of fun for the ones who don't care about passing a test or trying to impress people with neat call signs and lots of little uhf antennas on there vans or lots of ht's on there belt. At first I was a bit bothered by the fact that most of the people on the band seemed much "cooler" than myself and nobody really wanted to talk to me even after I told them I was a ham and running a yaesu radio! From now on I will just ignore this band full of the same type people that used to beat me up in school and I'll continue to talk to my friends on rec.radio.cb via the Internet and kerchunking the machines on 2 meters.

--
73's,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction functions."

--
Posted via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

4080. x10 camera system for sale complete 200US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 15:56:45 UTC
From: JJJ <oakvillecub@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <hoAM8.71357$Yt.2741180@read1.cgocable.net>
Source record: 47381

i have for sale:

a complete x10 wireless camera system for sale.

comes with many things, email for particulars

thanks
oakvillecub@cogeco.ca

200.00US shipped

4081. Re: *Rethink On MURS*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 16:42:27 UTC
From: 800mhz <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <TzLM8.28432$LC3.2187410@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 47396
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

```
but 2 meters is such a great band..... 10-4 good buddy?
N3JKM
"Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@valkcom.net> wrote in message
news:fb4db41ddf0a29fad1a40d75e187973c.35359@mygate.mailgate.org...
> I've decided to be rational for once and rethink my stance on MURS. It
> really is a great band and a lot of fun for the ones who don't care
> about passing a test or trying to impress people with neat call signs
> and lots of little uhf antennas on there vans or lots of ht's on there
> belt. At first I was a bit bothered by the fact that most of the people
> on the band seemed much "cooler" than myself and nobody really wanted to
> talk to me even after I told them I was a ham and running a yaesu radio!
> From now on I will just ignore this band full of the same type people
> that used to beat me up in school and I'll continue to talk to my
> friends on rec.radio.cb via the Internet and kerchunking the machines on
> 2 meters.
>
> --
> 73's,
>
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> ARRL member
> NCI member
>
> "You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
> Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
> communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
> Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
> Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
> transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
> functions."
>
>
> --
> Posted via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG
```

4082. Re: *Rethink On MURS*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 16:51:20 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <clLM8.177480$9F5.9382801@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 47297
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@valkcom.net> wrote in message
news:fb4db41ddf0a29fad1a40d75e187973c.35359@mygate.mailgate.org...
> I've decided to be rational for once and rethink my stance on MURS. It
> really is a great band and a lot of fun for the ones who don't care
> about passing a test or trying to impress people with neat call signs
> and lots of little uhf antennas on there vans or lots of ht's on there
> belt. At first I was a bit bothered by the fact that most of the people
> on the band seemed much "cooler" than myself and nobody really wanted to
> talk to me even after I told them I was a ham and running a yaesu radio!
> From now on I will just ignore this band full of the same type people
> that used to beat me up in school and I'll continue to talk to my
> friends on rec.radio.cb via the Internet and kerchunking the machines on
> 2 meters.
>
> --
> 73's,
>
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> ARRL member
> NCI member

Troll, troll, troll your boat.....

4083. Re: *Rethink On MURS*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 17:03:56 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D038A7E.65F648BB@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 47298
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Randy wrote:
> Troll, troll, troll your boat.....

I think it's a troll forge.

Lunch is over, back on my head!!!!

4084. Re: *Rethink On MURS*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 17:44:09 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <JtMM8.177490$9F5.9393461@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 47299
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D038A7E.65F648BB@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> Randy wrote:
> > Troll, troll, troll your boat.....
>
> I think it's a troll forge.

Gotta be!

4085. Re: Powerful scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 17:55:13 UTC
From: KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <5EMM8.25143$pw3.357@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 47300
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That sounds pretty funny coming from YOU, BUD JAMISON, one of the most
well know trolls & trouble makers on the internet.

Extra Class Amateur Radi Operator
Operator of the 443.575 MHz repeaters in Sioux City, Portland (OR), &
Seattle.
Operator of the 443.875 MHz repeaters in Sioux City & Portland (OR)

Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rr.com> wrote
> Fresh from a command appearance on The View, "Mille" <nomail@nospam.com>
moaned...
>
> >Yeah, he's probably a stalker. If nothing else, he's a lowlife idiot. Has
> >anyone told this moron that most cellphones are digital and he's not
going
> >to hear anything at all?
>
> Emilio is a WELL-KNOWN troll, at least to anyone who has been reading
> this NG for more than a few months.

4086. Re: damned RIAA/MPAA tyrants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 18:04:40 UTC
From: Technomage <proudhawk@uswestmail.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.06.09.11.04.39.853.31152@uswestmail.net>
Source record: 47301
Cross-posted to: rec.video.production,rec.video.desktop,rec.radio.scanner,alt.society.civil-liberty,rec.video.cable-tv

It may interest most folks to know that the RIAA and its associated sister organizations (such as the MPAA) can be hurt by a short term boycott. Reason I say this, there are only a total of 5 or 6 organizations that are members and as such, are all equally vulnerable should such action take place.

Also, if the government were to treat these entities as a "cartel", then it would be loads easier to prosecute them for "monopolistic market behavior".

as it stands, the cost of certains types of media (cd/dvd's, etc) are kept artificially high because they wish to protect 9and enhace) their revenue stream. The only reason these organizations now exists is to protect their revenue stream and assert control of the market to their own ends (this often includes attempting to quash new technologies or foster technologies designed to remove "fair use rights" from the consumer).

Technomage

In article <1f058b28.0205250840.cd7c7e7@posting.google.com>,
"Damn their Oily Hides" <damntheiroilyhides@thingfind.com> wrote:

> Found this newsgroup post below on alt.tv.frasier.
> Appears the copyright gestapo is at it again. SIGH! --D.T.
>
>> Subject: Recording TV shows to become illegal? Newsgroups:
>> alt.tv.frasier
>> Date: Sat, 25 May 2002 14:26:29 +0000 (UTC) Organization:
>> Fachhochschule Augsburg, Germany Message-ID:
>> <aco6uk$556$1@av2.rz.fh-augsburg.de> From: Homer Simpson
>> <homer@springfield.com> Path:
>> mindspring!newsfeed0.news.atl.earthlink.net!news.atl.earthlink.ne
> t!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!bloom-beacon.
> mit.edu!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.sy
> r.edu!newsfeed.icl.net!newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!news-lei1.d
> fn.de!news-mue1.dfn.de!nntp.fh-augsburg.de!not-for-mail
>> I'm posting this to the TV groups I read, so I guess it's not spam. :)
>> Has anyone heard of the MPAA/RIAA goons trying to take away our TV show
>> recording/copying capabilities?? Not only copying/recording TV, but
>> outrageously also radio, CDs, audio tapes, and COMPUTER FILES(!). Its
>> worth checking out this stuff:
>>
>> http://slashdot.org/articles/02/05/23/2355237.shtml?tid=97
>> http://bpdg.blogs.eff.org/archives/000113.html

>> http://www.politechbot.com/docs/cbdtpa/ (see the News Articles part)
>> http://www.digitalconsumer.org/
>>
>> Flame your congressmen, sign petitions, write Hilary Rosen at the RIAA
>> and Jack Valenti at the MPAA promising to boycott any hardware that
>> pinches a loaf on your rights. Enough is enough!
>>
>> Just showing what arrogant and contemptuous oligarchs these fools are,
>> here's some quote from a story about industry execs. who want to
>> prevent our TiVos from skipping commercials:
>> "Whenever you fail to watch a commercial, he added, 'you're actually
>> STEALING the programming.' When the interviewer asked whether it's
>> OK to go to the bathroom or get a soft drink out of the
>> refrigerator, Kellner replied, 'I guess there's a certain amount of
>> tolerance for going to the bathroom.'"
>> http://www.siliconvalley.com/mld/siliconvalley/business/columnists/3200101.htm
>>
>> There you have it. People who "guess" there's a "certain amount of
>> tolerance" for us going to the bathroom are working to dictate how we
>> may use our CD players, computers, VCRs, on and on and on.....

--
I will not be pushed, filed, stamped, indexed, briefed, debriefed, or numbered!
My life is my own - No. 6

4087. FS Uniden Bear Cat SC200xlt 200 channel $95.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 18:31:52 UTC
From: k5in <k5in@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <saNM8.5459$k85.2348@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 47302

I have a SC200xlt scanner in the original box, manual, wall charger, cloning cable, belt clip and rubber ducky antenna. Good physical condition and works 100% rig features ctcss plus allot more.

$95.00 shipped in the lower 48 states.

Brian, k5in

4088. Re: Powerful scanner Cheek-A-Likes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 18:37:46 UTC
From: KS<redacted>F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <_fNM8.25463$pw3.1119@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 47303
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That takes a lot of nerve for "Mille" to come on here
cursing, then complain about others.

Go get a life, loser!

Operator of the 443.575 MHz repeaters in Sioux City, Portland (OR), &
Seattle.
Operator of the 443.875 MHz repeaters in Sioux City & Portland (OR)

Mille <nomail@nospam.com> wrote
> What a pile of horseshit. Another Toilet Boy Licker for the bozo filter.
>
> "RicK Larson" <Ricklarson@cableone.net> wrote in message
> news:ufv1s1rad28m60@corp.supernews.com...
> > For Bill Cheek, Bud and the Cheek-A-Likes
> > Title: Scanner Cheek FAQ on Cheek FAQ thumpers.
> > >
> > > Introduction. In my years of computing experience which began back in
> > > the days of the Coleco Adam, I've had the pleasure of meeting many
> > > helpful folks who shared my love for these wonderful machines that
> > > have changed our lives so much. I've had the privilege of running my
> > > own BBS for many years and then I made the transition to being in on
> > > the ground floor of one of the first ISPs in my area. During my years
> > > of experience online I've always found that a certain personality type
> > > kept turning up regardless of what online venue I was using. As a BBS
> > > sysop, there were always a few people who wanted to "help" me run my
> > > board.. Once the internet became a household word, I started noticing
> > > the same types of "helpful" people popping up in just about every
> > > newsgroup I'd examine. Unlike those who irritated me on my BBS though,
> > > since these folks had no sysop to tell them their "help" was unwanted,
> > > they became emboldened and completely abandoned the facade of trying
> > > to be "helpful." Thus, emerged the FAQ thumper. Thus, also, came the
> > > need for this FAQ.
> > >
> > > This FAQ will most likely contain a lot of omissions, so I hope that
> > > its readers will feel free to add to it as time goes on. Unlike the
> > > FAQs that the FAQ thumpers regard as their Holy Grail, feel free to
> > > fold, spindle and mutilate this document as you see fit.
> > >
> > > NOTE. This FAQ applies solely to unmoderated newsgroups.
> > >
> > > Q. Where did the term "FAQ thumper" come from?
> > >
> > > A. When I first encountered these strange people who could quote their

> > > newsgroup's FAQ verbatim and did so at every chance that presented
> > > itself, I was instantly reminded of the born-again Christian types
> > > I've known throughout my time. Like "Bible thumpers" who can recite an
> > > appropriate verse from Scripture for almost any topic of conversation
> > > that they are presented, the FAQ thumpers exhibit the same skill and
> > > fervent zealotry as their Christian zombie brethren in embracing and
> > > quoting their hallowed FAQs.
> > >
> > > Q. Who appointed/elected the FAQ thumpers?
> > >
> > > A. Nobody! It's a job they took on by themselves. These wanna-be
> > > dictators of cyberspace don't even enjoy popular support in most
> > > newsgroups they infest.
> > >
> > > Q. Why do they take on their self-appointed roles?
> > >
> > > A. Mainly to enjoy the illusion of power. There are other reasons
> > > (covered later), but the desire for some measure of authority is the
> > > essence of their motivation. Chances are excellent that this tiny
> > > minority of aspiring cybercops have little in the way of power in
> > > their real lives so they desperately attempt to fill that void on the
> > > net.
> > >
> > > Q. Do they have any real authority? In other words, do they present
> > > any threat to me?
> > >
> > > A. None whatsoever. Despite their threats about complaining to ISPs,
> > > they realize that no ISP is going to dump a paying customer on the
> > > basis of some self-righteous twit lodging a complaint about your
> > > violation of an FAQ. It would be a different situation if you were
> > > posting porn someplace where it doesn't belong or spamming a newsgroup
> > > with commercial ads, but any reputable ISP would promptly dump any
> > > complaint about anything as utterly trivial as posting requests or
> > > questions anywhere. Furthermore, complaints about newsgroups of
> > > "questionable" repute are almost universally dumped by ISPs regardless
> > > of what sort of so-called abuse is taking place within them.
> > > "Questionable" newsgroups are those which are magnets for people
> > > engaged in the distribution of either pornography or copyrighted
> > > materials such as "warez," or media products which have been digitally
> > > copied (mp3, mpeg, wav, jpg etc.). Complaints from such newsgroups are
> > > viewed by ISPs as the proverbial "pot calling the kettle black" types
> > > of indictments and are generally sneered at,... if they receive any
> > > attention at all.
> > >
> > > Q. Why do they use such threats if they can't really get action from
> > > most ISPs?
> > >
> > > A. Simple. Such threats are very effective when leveled at novice
> > > internet users. The FAQ thumpers are essentially cyber versions of
> > > schoolyard bullies without the muscle to back them up. Since a

> > > newcomer to the newsgroups has no idea of how things work until
> > > they've been around for awhile, if someone pounces on them and makes
> > > ominous-sounding threats, until they learn they really have little to
> > > fear, they acquiesce to the bully's demand... at first. A good
> > > analogy is to watch the way someone who has never been stung by a bee
> > > or wasp reacts when one flies around them as opposed to how someone
> > > who has been stung reacts.
> > >
> > > Q. Are their any types of complaints other than spamming or posting
> > > pornography inappropriately that are taken seriously by ISPs?
> > >
> > > A. Yes, but the effectiveness of the complaint is nearly always
> > > dependent upon the content of the newsgroup it concerns. In other
> > > words, if you post messages containing profanity in a newsgroup which
> > > contains "adults only" content such as those newsgroups dealing with
> > > pornographic images or texts dealing with sex acts, your complaint
> > > will be given no attention whatsoever. On the other hand, if you post
> > > that same kind of profanity in a newsgroup dealing with intellectual,
> > > family-oriented or religious subjects, a complaint against you has a
> > > much better chance of getting you warned or summarily dumped by most
> > > ISPs. Usually, you'll receive a warning e-mail before any decent ISP
> > > dumps your account though. Any ISP that terminates your account
> > > without first giving you a chance to present your side of the story
> > > isn't one you want to pay your hard-earned money for, so if you do get
> > > summarily dumped, consider it a favor from the dunces who run such an
> > > ISP.
> > >
> > > Q. I've seen claims on the part of FAQ thumpers that their activities
> > > act as a bulwark against the newsgroup being overrun by spam. Is that
> > > true?
> > >
> > > A. Not at all. Spammers generally aim their efforts at newsgroups
> > > based on how germane to what they are peddling the content of the
> > > newsgroup is. A small amount of spam is therefore the norm in just
> > > about every newsgroup on Usenet. The only time spam "overruns" any
> > > newsgroup is if it proves lucrative for the spammers to advertize
> > > their products and services in it. For instance, you see little, if
> > > any spam in the newsgroups dealing with "warez" and other copyrighted
> > > materials because the last thing a software maker or media artist
> > > wants to do is attract attention to their products in areas where
> > > their product might wind up being freely distributed without any
> > > generating any revenue.
> > >
> > > Q. Do FAQ thumpers have any positive effects whatsoever in the NGs
> > > they try to control?
> > >
> > > A. On the contrary, they normally do far more harm than they do good.
> > > Even the most casual examination of NGs where FAQ thumpers are most
> > > active will reveal a much higher number of unproductive and genuinely
> > > "off-topic" text posts taking up bandwith and making life miserable

> > > for those seeking the kind of help or files the newsgroup is
> > > presumably designed to provide. FAQ thumpers behave like packs of
> > > wolves waiting to pounce upon the weakest members of the herd. Once
> > > one does so, the rest pile on the targeted prey so that a single
> > > alleged infraction of their revered FAQ generates dozens of "read the
> > > #!!!$*&!! FAQ you #%$^!!!*{?!! newbie!" type posts. Inevitably, people
> > > who don't take kindly to cyber-fascism chime in to defend the poor
> > > novice the FAQ thumpers are gang-banging so that the initial flurry of
> > > admonishments winds up generating an exponentially growing number of
> > > counter responses. Egotists that they are, FAQ thumpers never let
> > > anyone get in the last word besides themselves, so they invariably
> > > respond with rebuttals to anyone who comes to their victim's defense.
> > > Compounding the problem further is the fact that many FAQ thumpers are
> > > very prodigious in their zeal to police their little domains. It's not
> > > at all unusual for a single FAQ thumper to post over 50 messages a
> > > day.
> > >
> > > Q. Do FAQ thumpers also contribute "on-topic" posts to the NGs they
> > > patrol?
> > >
> > > A. One would think that those who profess to be most dedicated to the
> > > well-being of a NG would also be amongst the most prolific
> > > contributors of assistance and files, but alas, this is universally
> > > not the case. In fact, FAQ thumpers are generally little better than
> > > so-called "lurkers" when it comes to actually contributing content to
> > > their NGs. Hypocrisy is an integral part of the psyches of most FAQ
> > > thumpers. Their real interest in most NGs is to flex their imaginary
> > > muscles by sniping at others.
> > >
> > > Q. Being an FAQ thumper seems to be a huge expenditure of wasted time
> > > and energy. There's just got to be more to their motivation than the
> > > illusion of power. What else drives these creatures?
> > >
> > > A. There are a lot of frustrated, lonely and secluded people in our
> > > society. Many of the most active FAQ thumpers are likely to be
> > > unemployed people living off of personal assets and/or government
> > > assistance. It is entirely plausible that many are shut-ins who are
> > > permanently disabled. This may seem like a cheap shot, but two things
> > > are obvious about FAQ thumpers. They all seem to have far more time
> > > to spend at their computer keyboards than most of us do and they all
> > > carry around chips on their shoulders. Some disabled people remain
> > > very bitter about the cards fate has dealt them and are in an ideal
> > > position to devote large amounts of time to cyberspace. What better
> > > way to feel connected while in seclusion than to participate in the
> > > online community and what better way to experience the illusion of
> > > being empowered when one is feeling otherwise helpless?
> > >
> > > Those FAQ thumpers who are not shut-ins are almost necessarily loners
> > > with little in the way of a normal social life. Think about it. What
> > > time could such people possibly devote to socializing after working an

> > > 8 hour day and then spending so much time ferreting out as many FAQ
> > > transgressors as they do on a daily basis?
> > >
> > > In any case, FAQ thumpers are universally people with severe emotional
> > > problems. You couldn't pay most of us to sit at a keyboard for hours
> > > at a time every day with the sole task of composing messages that
> > > almost always drip with sarcasm, condescension, anger and
> > > self-importance. No mentally healthy person would dream of taking on
> > > such an onerous chore of their own volition.
> > >
> > > PARTING ADVICE. FAQ thumpers are irrepressible. Like death and taxes,
> > > there's no escaping them. They have been with us throughout our lives
> > > and will hound us to our graves. They were our kid brothers and
> > > sisters who tattled to our parents about everything we did in an
> > > effort to vent their frustration at being the weakest members of the
> > > family. They were the teachers' pets in school who were keepers of
> > > the erasers and appointed as class monitors (stool pigeons) whenever
> > > the teacher had to leave the room. In high school they were the hall
> > > monitors. In college, they began to find fewer outlets where they
> > > could enjoy any sort of empowerment so they became the withdrawn
> > > loners who went through four years or more of virtual invisibility to
> > > most people on campus. As adults, they are the neighbors who peer out
> > > from behind their curtains every time they hear so much as a car door
> > > slamming. They are the people who call the cops at the most trivial
> > > or imagined violation of their peace or property. They maintain
> > > mental dossiers on all of their neighbors and are eager to share them
> > > with anyone who gives them an opportunity to recite their gossip. As
> > > coworkers, they are the boss's informers. They'll tell you how lazy a
> > > coworker is and as soon as you are out of earshot, they will tell
> > > someone else how lazy they think you are. If they outlive you, you can
> > > be certain that they will have no qualms whatsoever about verbally
> > > diminishing your existence before your corpse has even grown cold.
> > >
> > > Given all the aforementioned, the best thing to do with FAQ thumpers
> > > is to simply kill filter them. You can be sure that by doing so, you
> > > wont miss any genuine content in whatever NG you're in.
> >
> >
> > "Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
> > news:tt7tfug54mpkvlumjvuc3dlkvm8fh1rjl0@4ax.com...
> > > Fresh from a command appearance on The View, "Mille"
> <nomail@nospam.com>
> > moaned...
> > >
> > > >Actually Bud, I've been reading this NG for years. In fact, I'll bet
> that
> > I
> > > >was here before you (and even Toilet Boy) turned up.
> > >
> > > Well, I've been in this group since 1988, but under various names,

> > > and from a number of different ISPs/BBSs.
> > >
> > > First was from The General BBS, where I was the co-sysop.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
> > >
> > > Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
> > >
> > > It's your SIG, say what you want to say....
> >
> >
>
>

4089. M.U.R.S??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 18:48:35 UTC
From: David M Schertzer <dschertzer@hfx.eastlink.ca>
Message-ID: <kn87gus3t9ih7totosbibtqac6jdnrsdf6@4ax.com>
Source record: 47304

Wuz that mean??

4090. Soon to be getting Alinco DJ-X3T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 19:12:58 UTC
From: Len Beecroft <lbeecroft8041@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <_MNM8.264244$t8_.219180@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 47305

Hi All,

I'm soon to be getting a Alinco DJ-X3T Wide Band Reciever, 0.1 to
1300 Mhz, Multiple Scan Modes, WFM, WFM/STEREO,NFM,AM...Bug Detector Finds
Hidden Transmitters, High Quality Audio.

I purchased this Wide Band Reciever From LENTINI COMMUNICATIONS, INC For
$209.95.. But since it's comming from Newington CT, I paid $ 230.00 U.S. for
it.......I can't wait for it to get to me here in Toronto,Ontario (Wonder's
how this receiver will work here?). I found this Reciever in Popular
Commnications.

Thanks All

4091. Re: *Rethink On MURS*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 19:17:59 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <89c84fd5927fc8e60c16e230afcff7ee.80792@mygate.mailgate.org>
Source record: 47306
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Right. And crossposted to a number of newsgroups. This screams
'FORGE'.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

--
Posted via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

4092. old scanners for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 19:37:58 UTC
From: wbelsito@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020609153758.01938.00000651@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 47307

hello all ;

i have 2 old scanners for sale .

1) BEARCAT IV 8 channles with manual $ 10

2) REGENCY 1 channel , this is good as a monitor $ 5

you pay postage from 06705

e - mail me at wbelsito@aol.com

4093. Re: damned RIAA/MPAA tyrants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 19:41:50 UTC
From: noname@noplace.really
Message-ID: <3d03b0bf.194572450@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 47308
Cross-posted to: rec.video.production,rec.video.desktop,rec.radio.scanner,alt.society.civil-liberty,rec.video.cable-tv

On Sun, 09 Jun 2002 18:04:40 GMT, "Technomage"
<proudhawk@uswestmail.net> wrote:

>It may interest most folks to know that the RIAA and its associated
>sister organizations (such as the MPAA) can be hurt by a short term
>boycott. Reason I say this, there are only a total of 5 or 6
>organizations that are members and as such, are all equally vulnerable
>should such action take place.

That would only happen if us mindlessly-hungry-for-entertainment
Americans united and decided to ignore tham. Not likely enough to be
a serious threat to their cartel.

>Also, if the government were to treat these entities as a "cartel", then
>it would be loads easier to prosecute them for "monopolistic market
>behavior".

Given the amount of campaign contributions ("bribery" for short) these
outfits throw at elected officials, the chances of that are even more
remote. Never happen.

4094. Re: *Rethink On MURS*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 19:46:11 UTC
From: DougSlug <dw_firewall@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8gOM8.164$pK2.52582@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 47309
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Let me get this straight...hams used to beat you up in school?
How pathetic does that make YOU?!

"Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@valkcom.net> wrote in message
news:fb4db41ddf0a29fad1a40d75e187973c.35359@mygate.mailgate.org...
> I've decided to be rational for once and rethink my stance on MURS. It
> really is a great band and a lot of fun for the ones who don't care
> about passing a test or trying to impress people with neat call signs
> and lots of little uhf antennas on there vans or lots of ht's on there
> belt. At first I was a bit bothered by the fact that most of the people
> on the band seemed much "cooler" than myself and nobody really wanted to
> talk to me even after I told them I was a ham and running a yaesu radio!
> From now on I will just ignore this band full of the same type people
> that used to beat me up in school and I'll continue to talk to my
> friends on rec.radio.cb via the Internet and kerchunking the machines on
> 2 meters.
>
> --
> 73's,
>
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> ARRL member
> NCI member
>
> "You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
> Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
> communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
> Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
> Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
> transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
> functions."
>
>
> --
> Posted via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

4095. Re: Educating Fools about MURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 19:47:08 UTC
From: "G. M. Alf" <gmalf.ears@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <vqb7guopd6rq055omhijomc7sm79geidf4@4ax.com>
Source record: 47310
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

On Sun, 09 Jun 2002 04:09:15 -0400, Dave Kelso <dkelso@nospam.com>
wrote:

>4) I will set up a 3 db gain antenna on my building (on a hill)
>and talk with everyone in my town. I can hardly wait!

You'll have a lot of fun with it.

I'm using an 8' VHF marine antenna about 25 feet in the air (not on a
hill) and can talk all over my city (base to mobile) and into many
surrounding cities. I'm using a few Radio Shack VHF mobiles set to 2
watts.

Mike

4096. Re: "scanner users, scanner websites are scum" - new TransCrypt advertising campaign...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 19:56:13 UTC
From: Barnum <tbarnumspamnot@altavista.net>
Message-ID: <3D03C7E0.A0E9CA6D@altavista.net>
Source record: 47318
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

No,
It's coin operated fire hydrants.
tgb
==========
Harry wrote:

> For your page, you might add this email addres, which I found embedded
> in their website "pricing" and "ordering" pages:
> cgeorgeson@transcrypt.com
>
> --
> Harry Marnell
> http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications
> History
>
> "jabb3rw0cky" <jabb3rw0cky@netscape.net> wrote in message
> news:8354e2f6.0205290757.36a994e3@posting.google.com...
> > http://www.wpascanner.com/transad.htm
> >
> > it would seem Transcrypt Secure Technologies has started an
> > advertising campaign against the average scannist/volunteer
> > firefighter.
> >
> > jeez, encrypted fire dispatch!?!
> >
> > what's next? encrypted NOAA weather radio?

4097. WTB: Uniden MR8100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 20:02:02 UTC
From: hpviper@tconl.com
Message-ID: <5332a7f3.0206091202.48784a49@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47311

Want to buy a Uniden MR8100, e-mail me @ hpviper@tconl.com

4098. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 20:06:48 UTC
From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5f4fe4c2.0206091206.221dcfd2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47312
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

"Charles Coumbe" <me@here.com> wrote in message
news:<ug4klj9h97q8a8@corp.supernews.com>...
> Okay.......Here's a 'newbie' question.......
>
> What is MURS? What's the acronym stand for? Where can I get more
> information on MURS?
>
> Thanks!
>

MURS (Multi-Use Radio Service) is a no-license VHF personal radio service which operates on 151.820, 151.880, 151.940, 154.570, and 154.600 MHz. The FCC MURS rules permit voice and data communication; as well as external gain antennas. There are no restrictions on business activities with MURS, and the 2W transmitter power limit works as a major benefit in reducing interference, and allowing more users per channel. External power amplifiers on MURS are of little or no benefit, so most of the problems present on the 11m CB radio "zoo" do not exist on MURS. Most any low-power VHF Part 90 business radio can be used on at least some of the MURS frequencies. Additionally, the new rules no longer specify an ERP (Effective Radiated Power) restriction - so it is now possible to build quite efficient mobile and base stations, using external gain antennas.

For more info:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MURS-OPEN

- Stewart

4099. Beaumont Tx area SPG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 20:15:33 UTC
From: Mike <kilroy70@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <FHOM8.17756$L46.1910171850@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 47313
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am looking for a SPG file for the Golden Triangle area around Beaumont, Port Arthur, Orange Tx and Surrounding areas.

Anyone that has a file like that, please let me know.

Or, If you have a Pro92 or the Pro2035 and you are in the area, I have the software and cable and would like to get a clone of your setup.

Thanks

Mike

4100. Re: just grabbed a pro 2006 for 5$ and.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 20:20:24 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <cMOM8.5733$k85.1820@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 47314
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
--
Remove "beforeflight" to reply
"Paul M" <paul@coldnfrosty.com> wrote in message
news:GvJM8.64770$KD3.2161298@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net...
> That is worth about $350 on the used market.
>
Sure. To some sucker on e-Bay.
No one with any knowledge of scanners would pay that much when there are
much better NEW scanners for less.
```

4101. FA: Dual Band Handheld with NO GAP receiver: Alinco DJ-V5 EH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 21:01:48 UTC
From: sezfamily@uswest.net
Message-ID: <10ba0470.0206091301.2d9922bf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47315

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1358932397

Take a look! Thanks...Scott

4102. Re: Date codes on the back of Uniden scanners?-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 21:02:13 UTC
From: "Mike G"
Message-ID: <3d03c232.34666457@news-east.teranews.com>
Source record: 47317
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

No date code on mine either. Never noticed that it didn't have one
until I looked.

On Sat, 8 Jun 2002 14:35:54 -0800, "Charles Coumbe" <me@here.com>
wrote:

>I don't think the sticker could have fallen off - I just purchased the
>scanner last week new.
>
>Thanks anyway....
>
>At least I know it's no more than a couple years old. :-)
>
>Charles
>
>
>"Mayor" <noneIwishtodisclose@nospam.none.ok?> wrote in message
>news:z1uM8.45655$092.1538136@news1.east.cox.net...
>> I wish I could help you. All of the scanners I have seen, have had
>the
>> date codes on the very back of the case. By looking at the PC board, that
>> will certainly give you a good start...Of course the scanner could have
>been
>> made in 2000 and just happened to have used a 1999 board. That's how it
>is
>> with one of my mom's scanners. It has one year on the PC board, but we
>know
>> for a fact, it was purchased the next year. No big deal, though. Of
>> course, it's very possible that the date code sticker could have come off,
>> too. Catch you later.
>>
>>
>> --Adam
>>
>>
>>
>
>

4103. Re: bc80xlt scanner mod?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 21:05:54 UTC
From: Steve <stfrank@eot.com>
Message-ID: <SqPM8.61$SR4.28986@news7.onvoy.net>
Source record: 47316

Any mod, anything that anybody has ever found for the bc80xlt.
thanks

4104. Re: Scanner PC codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 21:49:56 UTC
From: Pete Ritter <cpritter@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <84QM8.153409$ux5.188828@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 47319
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

EEBYGUMTIM wrote:

> Brilliant! Thank you very much!
> I would love to make the software for multiple platforms, which
> programming
> language would you suggest? Java seems a good choice, but a pain because
> of need of up-to-date runtime environments.
>
> Thanks again,
> Luke.

Bob Parnass likes tcl/Tk but I prefer perl/Tk. perl is not as wordy as tcl, looks more like C and it also runs on all the major platforms. perl can get a bit obtuse and difficult to read if you get really succinct, but it's easy to learn and rapid in development. There are many perl tutorial books but I like the O'reilly books.

Both languages are good choices, though.

Good luck.

--
*
* This Space For Hire
*

4105. Re: Live Audio - Prostitute Sting - Daytona Beach

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 22:47:33 UTC
From: ScannerFan <ListeningToTheRadio@My10-20.com>
Message-ID: <9WQM8.235453$ah_.52721@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 47320
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Or yourself.....Getting snagged up by the coppers.

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:adsv2g$22bh4$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
> news:3D014480.3070708@licensed4fun.com...
>
> > One of these days I'm going to drive (or park) in the area
> > they are running the sting with a frequency counter to see if I can snag
> > something.
>
> Just make sure it's not a hooker!
>
> Tom
>
>

4106. Re: Scanner PC codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 23:15:32 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <bob@parnassXcutX.org>
Message-ID: <3d03e1b8$0$1414$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 47321
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I like Perl, too, and would have rather written
in Perl than Tcl.

However, the Tk portion which is available as an
add-on module to Perl is complicated for non-techie users to install
and it is not as frequently updated as the Tk furnished
as a standard part of Tcl/Tk.

Both Tcl/Tk and Perl/Tk get to the "same place,"
but take different roads.

On Sun, 09 Jun 2002 16:49:56 -0500, Pete Ritter wrote:

> EEBYGUMTIM wrote:
>
>> Brilliant! Thank you very much!
>> I would love to make the software for multiple platforms, which
>> programming
>> language would you suggest? Java seems a good choice, but a pain
>> because of need of up-to-date runtime environments.
>>
>> Thanks again,
>> Luke.
>
> Bob Parnass likes tcl/Tk but I prefer perl/Tk. perl is not as wordy as
> tcl, looks more like C and it also runs on all the major platforms. perl
> can get a bit obtuse and difficult to read if you get really succinct,
> but it's easy to learn and rapid in development. There are many perl
> tutorial books but I like the O'reilly books.
>
> Both languages are good choices, though.
>
> Good luck.

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S Linux User www.parnass.org

4107. BC780xlt - BC895xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 23:27:43 UTC
From: SlowMoe <SlowMoe@aaa123.net-us.gov>
Message-ID: <7ro7guo9ra12v649mu6m6dq59c5dl7b049@4ax.com>
Source record: 47322

I have seen the BC780xlt and BC895xlt for sell on eBay

Could anyone tell me the diffentnt in them.. which is better?.
Also what would you consider a fair price for a new one?

Or is there something still even better?

Thanks

4108. Re: BC780xlt - BC895xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-09 23:51:09 UTC
From: Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <4jq7gu4ekmva69ufp42omc1d975ii6mvcp@4ax.com>
Source record: 47323

On Sun, 09 Jun 2002 23:27:43 GMT, SlowMoe <SlowMoe@aaa123.net-us.gov>
wrote:

>I have seen the BC780xlt and BC895xlt for sell on eBay
>
>Could anyone tell me the diffentnt in them.. which is better?.
>Also what would you consider a fair price for a new one?

Although the 895 is a good scanner, the 780XLT is by far a better,
more advanced scanner. They aren't in the same class.
The 895 is about $189.
The 780 is around $350.

>Or is there something still even better?

The 780XLT is the best Trunking Scanner available right now.

4109. Re: BC780xlt - BC895xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 00:27:26 UTC
From: "Mike G"
Message-ID: <3d03f16f.46759136@news-east.teranews.com>
Source record: 47324

On Sun, 09 Jun 2002 23:51:09 GMT, Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com> wrote:

>
>Although the 895 is a good scanner, the 780XLT is by far a better,
>more advanced scanner. They aren't in the same class.
>The 895 is about $189.
>The 780 is around $350.

But if you want to track only one system then the 895 may be the
better buy. 895 is a good radio but has limitations due to its age.
>

>The 780XLT is the best Trunking Scanner available right now.

I don't think so. The Pro 93, 245, and 895 trunk as well if not better
than the 780. I think the 780 is nice but many may not need it and the
base version of the Pro93 is fine, cheaper, and suited for a lot of
people on or off a budget.

4110. Re: just grabbed a pro 2006 for 5$ and.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 00:36:42 UTC
From: shewscan@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020609203642.26595.00000427@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 47325

i own one that i brought in the 90's from radio shack wouldn't get rid of it for the world.....great scanner, great reception..i currently use it for a mobile as it works better than any other mobiles i had mounted before.....

4111. coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 00:40:59 UTC
From: banditowner3@cs.com
Message-ID: <20020609204059.14313.00000596@mb-mj.news.cs.com>
Source record: 47326

i am using radio shack rg-8 i think it is 13 gauge my question is where can i buy better coax for less signal loss. thanks

4112. Re: M.U.R.S??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 01:15:02 UTC
From: 0880@iname.com
Message-ID: <700e61c7.0206091715.3111530e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47327

Multi-Use Radio Service

David M Schertzer <dschertzer@hfx.eastlink.ca> wrote in message
news:<kn87gus3t9ih7totosbibtqac6jdnrsdf6@4ax.com>...
> Wuz that mean??

4113. Re: BC780xlt - BC895xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 01:23:03 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <4qv7gu49ff4j0b4pph90dlsr7jgdjlvgna@4ax.com>
Source record: 47328

Fresh from a command appearance on The View, SlowMoe <SlowMoe@aaa123.net-us.gov> moaned...

>I have seen the BC780xlt and BC895xlt for sell on eBay
>
>Could anyone tell me the diffentnt in them.. which is better?.
>Also what would you consider a fair price for a new one?
>
>Or is there something still even better?

The 780 has more channels, multi-trunks, and has an alpha display.
It's about as good as it gets for a consumer level product.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

4114. Re: You Might Belong to a Redneck Volunteer fire department if .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 02:32:19 UTC
From: Doreen <dordurand@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ug83n65pnsbk5c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47329
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I haven't won anything in the raffle (yet), and ours is a mixed
paid/volunteer PD/FD/Rescue service, but... I know several people in the
dept are related to each other... Does that count? :)

Dor
weekend c/o in a little Georgia town

"joe bobb Smitt" <joebobb@hootsville.com> wrote in message
news:q9j7eu0inciph3ppp8gp1649u3jl5oa24i@4ax.com...
>
> HEY ....
>
> I got my last shotgun (and a fine one it is too) at the
> Smitville RFD raffle and I ain't related to eny of 'em. (hardly)
> I resent the infrenances in this obviously bigoted article.
>
>
> joe bobb
>
> On Wed, 15 May 2002 23:04:31 GMT, "Norm" <Im@Cheers.com> wrote:
>
> >If your department has ever had two emergency vehicles pulled over for
drag
> >racing while going to a scene.
> >If you have those chrome, naked lady mud-flaps on your pumper.
> >If your firehouse has wheels.
> >If you've ever got back and found you've locked yourself out of the
> >firehouse.
> >If fire training consists of everyone standing around a fire get'n drunk.
> >If you've ever let a person's house burn down because they wouldn't let
you
> >hunt deer on their land.
> >If your personal vehicle has more lights on it than your house has lights
in
> >it
> >If you've ever walked through a Christmas display and walked away with at
> >least 3 new ideas for a light scheme for your truck.
> >If your rescue unit can smoke the tires.
> >If your department name is mispelled on your equipment, in stick-on
> >lettering.
> >If the nurses and doctors turn out the lights and hide when you show up
at
> >the hospital to get your equipment.
> >If fire dispatch can't mention your name without laughing.

> >If the local news crew won't put your department on t.v. because you
> >embarassed them last time.
> >If you've ever locked the keys in your trucks.
> >If you've ever reffered to a light bar as "really sexy."
> >If your defib consists of a marine battery, a pair of jumper cables, and
a
> >fish finder.
> >If you've ever taken a girl out on a date in a pumper.
> >If your pumper has been on fire more times than it's been to a fire.
> >If your pumper smokes more than the house fire you're at.
> >If you've ever been arrested for indecent exsposure at a house fire.
> >If you've ever called it quits on a house fire when the beer got hot.
> >If you've ever been late to a house fire because you had to stop and get
the
> >guy who fell off the truck.
> >If you've ever stopped enroute to pick up a good road kill.
> >If you hand out spit cans before each company meeting.
> >If you have a sign out front of your station that says, "WILL FIGHT FIRES
> >FOR BEER."
> >If your fire equipment has chew stains down the sides of 'em.
> >If everyone on your department is related in some way or another.
> >If your last four fire department raffles were for a shotgun...and a
member
> >won it each time.
> >If you borrowed the department's quick dump tank so you could have a
> >neighborhood pool party.
> >If your Hurst tool is on loan to the local body shop.
> >If your safety officer is the person who broke his arm at the last house
> >fire.
> >If you use a hanging noose knot for all your rescue operations because
it's
> >real adjustable.
> >If you don't allow a person to join the department unless they own a
pickup.
> >If your roster has a high percentage of members named BUBBA.
> >If your dispatcher is the guy with the biggest & loudest CB.
> >
> >
>

4115. Re: coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 02:38:51 UTC
From: Dale Parfitt <parinc@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3D040FB2.2A127984@gte.net>
Source record: 47330

Banditowner3 wrote:

> i am using radio shack rg-8 i think it is 13 gauge my question is where can i
> buy better coax for less signal loss. thanks

First, determine what your curent dB loss is on your freqs of interest- it may very well be that going to say, LMR400 will only net you a dB or 2- not worth the effort or cost.

Dale W4OP

4116. Re: Looking for a base scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 03:00:49 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox.net>
Message-ID: <j058gug8ojl3f6b1ktto1ecmksmqc00j0n@4ax.com>
Source record: 47331

On Sun, 9 Jun 2002 20:55:46 -0400, "nokiatech" <nokiatek@cofs.net>
wrote:

>I currently own a Rat Shack Pro 93 handheld that I've been very happy with
>so far. I've been looking for a base unit to compliment it at home as I'll
>often leave the handheld at work. I know that the 2053 is the base
>equivalent. Are there other models I should consider as well? I'm well
>accustomed to the operation of the pro93 and figured the 2053 would be
>nearly identical. I also have gobs of freqs categorized in the 93 that I'm
>assuming can be copied to the 2053. When I first bought this scanner there
>was no cable available. I'm assuming there is now?

If you just want to clone a 93 to a 2053, all you need is a 1/8 inch
mono-mono patch cable. Just a few dollars at RS.

If you mean a computer interface cable, yes - cables and software are
available. Go to www.pro-92.com (include the hyphen in the url - it
matters) and look for the software and PC Interface pages. Yes, it's
a PRO-92 site, but the 93 is closely related and that's covered too.
This site has the most comprehensive (and coherent) info available on
the 93's computer interface.

The hot setup is to get Win93 and the two-way cable made by Purple
Computing.

Ken Plotkin

4117. Re: just grabbed a pro 2006 for 5$ and.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 03:01:40 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20020609230140.04415.00000006@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 47332

>t I'm still not getting much "intresting traffic"

Try this web site for freq's, it might even link you to others.
www.creditime.com/del.html

Rob Mills ~~~

4118. aor 8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 03:04:44 UTC
From: besat@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3d041f49.145172637@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 47333

does anyone know if a ar-8200 can be mod to cell???

4119. Re: coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 03:38:20 UTC
From: Tim <tthomps@no-spam-please.erols.com>
Message-ID: <ae16uu$7b2$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 47334

Dale Parfitt wrote in message <3D040FB2.2A127984@gte.net>...
>
>
>Banditowner3 wrote:
>
>> i am using radio shack rg-8 i think it is 13 gauge my question is where
can i
>> buy better coax for less signal loss. thanks
>
>First, determine what your curent dB loss is on your freqs of interest- it
may
>very well be that going to say, LMR400 will only net you a dB or 2- not
worth the
>effort or cost.
>
>Dale W4OP
>

I agree with Dale's comments. However, here're 2 URLs of vendors that offer high quality coax. The DavisRF site has tables of attenuation vs frequency so you can determine what's best for you.

http://www.davisrf.com/amateur.htm

http://www.thewireman.com/

Tim

4120. Re: Looking for a base scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 03:48:55 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox.net>
Message-ID: <8n78gu490oee7kup7poehpa46rovkr94dq@4ax.com>
Source record: 47335

On Sun, 9 Jun 2002 23:10:24 -0400, "nokiatech" <nokiatek@cofs.net>
wrote:

>On the purple computing page it makes reference to a newer cable that
>doesn't require adapters to function. Are these cables available yet? The
>win93 software looks great. I'd love to be able to edit tags and freqs there
>as opposed to entering them into the scanner manually. I'm assuming this can
>be done with it?

Don't know the status of the new cable. You can e-mail Larry to check
on that. Having the right size connector built in is definitely a
Good Thing - you should wait until that's available.

Win93 will let you program everything you'd ever want to on the
93/2053. Especially frequencies and text tags.

Personally, I'd never get a scanner that did not have a computer
interface. Life is too short, the buttons are too small, and my
fingers are too fat.

Ken Plotkin

4121. Any Scanners Online From.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 05:05:27 UTC
From: ScannerFan <ListeningToTheRadio@My10-20.com>
Message-ID: <rsWM8.238638$ah_.1267@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 47336
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Alaska
Hawaii
Idaho
Minnesota
Montana
New Mexico
South Carolina
South Dakota
West Virginia
Wyoming

--

Learn to broadcast your scanner on the internet
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/LiveScannerAudio

4122. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 05:23:07 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ae1d32$31chl$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47337
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

"JRPF" <jrpf@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:7znM8.21465$zh2.9439678@twister.neo.rr.com...
>
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:adsv87$23uj5$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> > What are all the MURS freqs?
> >
> > Tom
> >
> >
>
> 151.820 MHz
> 151.880 MHz
> 151.940 MHz
> 154.570 MHz
> 154.600 MHz
>

Thanks.

4123. Re: Antenna Upgrade for Radio Shack PRO-2035?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 05:30:51 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ae1dhj$2v5vn$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47338

"MikeTEACHR" <miketeachr@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020609002518.01405.00000364@mb-mo.aol.com...
>
> I move the scanner around the house, so I'm really not ready for a
roof-mounted
> antenna yet. Id like to keep the scanner and antenna indoors. :)

You might think about mounting an external scanner antenna on top of a TV mast just outside the room where the scanner is located. When it comes to VHF/UHF, height matters. The higher you can get the antenna, the better. Any external antenna will work far better than one mounted on the back of the scanner, provided you use good feed line. You'll notice that you'll be able to pick up far more with an antenna mounted up only about 20 feet or so than one plugged into the back of the scanner. However, if all you want to listen to is the local PD/FD, then the telescopic whip should work fine.

Tom

4124. Re: Frequency Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 05:38:26 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ae1dvp$31s6b$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47339

"MDKD787" <Mdkd787@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:f18290fd.0206090731.251f4141@posting.google.com...
> There are a couple of new frequencies that I stored on my scanner
> (cause they sound interesting). But how do I find out where these
> transmissions are coming from. Like where is the location. I listen to
> the frequencies all day and nobody states their location. BTW its a
> police frequency. All they do is state street names. That still
> doesn't give me a clue to if they are in my city or the city next to
> me... I just don't know. Could anybody help me?

Get a copy of Police Call from Radio Shack & look up the frequency. It
should have the user listed. If you can copy the CW callsign ID, you can
look it up by callsign. You can even check the FCC website for
frequency/callsign info.

Tom

4125. Re: just grabbed a pro 2006 for 5$ and.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 05:54:29 UTC
From: Main <NoOne@Home.net>
Message-ID: <paXM8.6010$gs4.4123@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 47340
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Do you really understand how modifiable that scanner is???? I think if you
did you'd understand the value of it ($350)!!!!!!
"Jim Hackett" <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:cMOM8.5733$k85.1820@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
>
> --
> Remove "beforeflight" to reply
> "Paul M" <paul@coldnfrosty.com> wrote in message
> news:GvJM8.64770$KD3.2161298@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net...
> > That is worth about $350 on the used market.
> >
> Sure. To some sucker on e-Bay.
> No one with any knowledge of scanners would pay that much when there are
> much better NEW scanners for less.
>
>

4126. Re: just grabbed a pro 2006 for 5$ and.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 06:09:42 UTC
From: Mayor <nonelwishtodisclose@nospam.none.ok?>
Message-ID: <GoXM8.51412$092.2061410@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 47341
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Oh, heck yeah! I've seen the 2006 scanners go upwards of $350 used. I would not pay that much for a used one (I would be an ebay sucker!), but that's just me, though. Yeah, there may be "suckers" on ebay that buy them for that much, but it happens. It's certainly one of the best scanners of it's time, though, at least when it comes to scanners with 800MHZ in them. If one can find them, the BC800XLT, and the MR-8100 (ahead of it's time back then) are fine choices in scanners. Not as feature packed as the PRO-2006, but they hold their own regardless. Congratulations on your cheap scanner find.

Cheers!

--Adam

4127. Re: Date codes on the back of Uniden scanners?-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 06:23:05 UTC
From: Charles Coumbe <me@here.com>
Message-ID: <ug8hedab5i8k90@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47342
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

At least now I don't feel so alone! :-)

"Mike G" <gmike@hotsprings.orgcom> wrote in message
news:3d03c232.34666457@news-east.teranews.com...
> No date code on mine either. Never noticed that it didn't have one
> until I looked.
>
>
> On Sat, 8 Jun 2002 14:35:54 -0800, "Charles Coumbe" <me@here.com>
> wrote:
>
> >I don't think the sticker could have fallen off - I just purchased the
> >scanner last week new.
> >
> >Thanks anyway....
> >
> >At least I know it's no more than a couple years old. :-)
> >
> >Charles
> >
> >
> >"Mayor" <noneIwishtodisclose@nospam.none.ok?> wrote in message
> >news:z1uM8.45655$092.1538136@news1.east.cox.net...
> >> I wish I could help you. All of the scanners I have seen, have had
> >the
> >> date codes on the very back of the case. By looking at the PC board,
that
> >> will certainly give you a good start...Of course the scanner could have
> >been
> >> made in 2000 and just happened to have used a 1999 board. That's how
it
> >is
> >> with one of my mom's scanners. It has one year on the PC board, but we
> >know
> >> for a fact, it was purchased the next year. No big deal, though. Of
> >> course, it's very possible that the date code sticker could have come
off,
> >> too. Catch you later.
> >>
> >>
> >> --Adam
> >>
> >>

> >>
> >
> >
>

4128. Re: Any Scanners Online From.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 06:27:41 UTC
From: Charles Coumbe <me@here.com>
Message-ID: <ug8hn8c9kd6o6b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47343
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Were I not moving from Alaska, I would have put mine online. Unfortunately, what I hear isn't all that interesting nor is it very frequent since I live on Eielson AFB about 10 miles from North Pole and 21 miles from Fairbanks.

Let's just say that even if I *did* put mine online (were I not moving, that is), no-one would really have anything much to listen to other than the gibberings of the military frequencies and the occassional North Pole Policeman or Alaska State Trooper. Nothing like what is available in the Lower-48.

"ScannerFan" <ListeningToTheRadio@My10-20.com> wrote in message news:rsWM8.238638$ah_.1267@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> Alaska
> Hawaii
> Idaho
> Minnesota
> Montana
> New Mexico
> South Carolina
> South Dakota
> West Virginia
> Wyoming
>
>
> --
>
> Learn to broadcast your scanner on the internet
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/LiveScannerAudio
>
>

4129. Scanmaster scantenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 10:34:13 UTC
From: Richard <translations@qdnet.pl>
Message-ID: <ae1vcnfml1@news.tpi.pl>
Source record: 47344

Has anyone tried the scantenna aerial?

Richard

4130. FS or Trade Stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 10:59:14 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <6E%M8.6957$nn1.2699929@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 47345
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Take a look and see if anything interest you.Email me and lets talk a deal.I will sell or trade.Make me an offer if something interest you.
http://www.msnusers.com/DicksFTP/shoebox.msnw

4131. Re: BC780xlt - BC895xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 11:19:34 UTC
From: The Other Harry <hc.me@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <fp19guopu260fjnt8d63bhq2vo6eom14ft@4ax.com>
Source record: 47346

[On Sun, 09 Jun 2002 23:27:43 GMT, SlowMoe
<SlowMoe@aaa123.net-us.gov> wrote:]

> I have seen the BC780xlt and BC895xlt for sell on eBay
>
> Could anyone tell me the diffentnt in them.. which is better?.
> Also what would you consider a fair price for a new one?
>
> Or is there something still even better?

You sound like you're relatively new to this (like me).

If so, why not just buy something that is suitable for the area where you live. Almost anything. You will be buying another scanner next year -- or next month -- anyway, so why try to start out with the perfect scanner?

Something priced in between the two you mentioned would be the Radio Shack Pro-2053 (Pro-94 in hand-held). They go for $179 when they're on sale (which is often). I wouldn't say that they're as good as the BC780, but they are almost as good. The technology is very current. Alpha-tagging, EDACS, computer interface (for memory management purposes), etc. I think they are the "best buy" that's out there at the moment.

Also, the 780 is due for an update later on this year. Buying one now might result in spending even more money in September.

You would *not* go wrong by buying a 895, new or used. It isn't current, but it does do trunking, and it is one of the best scanners ever built. When you get your next scanner, you will still find a use for the 895.

Good luck!

Harry

4132. Re: Live Audio - Prostitute Sting - Daytona Beach

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 12:44:06 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020610084406.11557.00000549@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 47247

In article <gqr3guog9go9gvfdk73hpu7id5b3no48g4@4ax.com>, helmsman <> writes:

>If this is all the cops have to do they should be fired for wasting
>the tax payers money. Evidently there are no crooks or Murderers down
>there.

Yeah, sure. Prostitution is a victimless crime. Doesn't provide a market for
pimps, drug dealers, etc.

4133. Winradio unblocked?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 12:53:36 UTC
From: Sean <spam@aol.com>
Message-ID: <kj1N8.93636$%J4.2191441@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 47248

If I wish to get a winradio 1550e unblocked, is it the software that determines it or do I have to purchase a different box?

Is it illegal for me to purchase an unblocked unit from Europe or elsewhere?

Within the next 5 years I can see the analog cell system going away in the states, and that spectrum used by other services. It sure would be nice to be able to monitor them.

Also, anyone know the speed of the winradio 1550e in terms of channels per second or how it would compare to a 5 year old rs home scanner? My computer will be a 1ghz pentium III.

thanks!

Sean

4134. Re: Frequency Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 15:53:45 UTC
From: Mac <NOSPAMcthirty7@hotmail.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <ug9jt335iijm80@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47251

You can do a search of the FCC database files by frequency here

http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/frequency.hts

Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ae1dvp$31s6b$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "MDKD787" <Mdkd787@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:f18290fd.0206090731.251f4141@posting.google.com...
> > There are a couple of new frequencies that I stored on my scanner
> > (cause they sound interesting). But how do I find out where these
> > transmissions are coming from. Like where is the location. I listen to
> > the frequencies all day and nobody states their location. BTW its a
> > police frequency. All they do is state street names. That still
> > doesn't give me a clue to if they are in my city or the city next to
> > me... I just don't know. Could anybody help me?
>
> Get a copy of Police Call from Radio Shack & look up the frequency. It
> should have the user listed. If you can copy the CW callsign ID, you can
> look it up by callsign. You can even check the FCC website for
> frequency/callsign info.
>
> Tom
>
>

4135. Quality X-ray Sales & Service in Denver Metro area.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 16:08:47 UTC
From: the_sandman <n0zmnospam@mho.com>
Message-ID: <imj9gucmpb3p11jco0q9gbih2lrtd8fldj@4ax.com>
Source record: 47250

http://www.jcfengineering.com/

4136. Re: Any Scanners Online From.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 16:32:34 UTC
From: ScannerFan <ListeningToTheRadio@My10-20.com>
Message-ID: <Cw4N8.270160$t8_.125924@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 47252
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Wouldn't matter really if there is a whole lot or not. It would still be
just as interesting to hear the cops chasing the polar bears down the roads.
I mean hell, I listen to the cops chase cattle off the roads in Kentucky.

"Charles Coumbe" <me@here.com> wrote in message
news:ug8hn8c9kd6o6b@corp.supernews.com...
> Were I not moving from Alaska, I would have put mine online.
Unfortunately,
> what I hear isn't all that interesting nor is it very frequent since I
live
> on Eielson AFB about 10 miles from North Pole and 21 miles from Fairbanks.
>
> Let's just say that even if I *did* put mine online (were I not moving,
that
> is), no-one would really have anything much to listen to other than the
> gibberings of the military frequencies and the occassional North Pole
> Policeman or Alaska State Trooper. Nothing like what is available in the
> Lower-48.
>
>
> "ScannerFan" <ListeningToTheRadio@My10-20.com> wrote in message
> news:rsWM8.238638$ah_.1267@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> > Alaska
> > Hawaii
> > Idaho
> > Minnesota
> > Montana
> > New Mexico
> > South Carolina
> > South Dakota
> > West Virginia
> > Wyoming
> >
> >
> > --
> >
> > Learn to broadcast your scanner on the internet
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/LiveScannerAudio
> >
> >
>
>

4137. 4 SALE AOR All channels 8200 $450.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 16:44:20 UTC
From: luna <luna@ultinet.net>
Message-ID: <3D04D764.A5F45681@ultinet.net>
Source record: 47249

[No readable article body recovered]

4138. FS-Sony ICF-SW7600G Shortwave Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 16:57:37 UTC
From: Steve Painter <stevepaint@clearwater.net>
Message-ID: <IO4N8.23369$rX.3934984@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 47253

This is a nice, nearly new looking Sony 7600G. I bought it several years at HRO, used it only a few hours. Thought I had to have it......you know the story. Anyway if you're looking for a nice radio, in original box, supplied with all papers, inst. manual, shortwave guide, Sony leather pouch, Sony shortwave antenna, this is the one for you. It goes on Ebay next sunday, or you can buy it now for $150, + UPS. Photos available upon request. Reply to my email address: stevepaint@clearwater.net
Thanks!

4139. Scanner Protocol Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 17:14:53 UTC
From: eebygumtim@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020610131453.27279.00001247@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 47254

Please could anyone point me to some sites with scanner PC protocols? I'd like to make some cheaper, easier to use software for any scanner that I can. So far I've turned up several Bearcat manuals, and incomplete data about the Icom protocol.
Does anyone have a scanner for which they think the PC software is particularly poor? Also, does anyone else make this kind of software?
Any help would be greatly appreciated.
Thanks,
Luke.

4140. Re: Winradio unblocked?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 17:32:30 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <pbo9gu42a3915v55o132ivn81sph6l5j9q@4ax.com>
Source record: 47255

On Mon, 10 Jun 2002 12:53:36 GMT, "Sean" <spam@aol.com> wrote:

>Is it illegal for me to purchase an unblocked unit from Europe or elsewhere?

No, but it's illegal for you to have it delivered to your home in the
US.

>Within the next 5 years I can see the analog cell system going away in the
>states, and that spectrum used by other services. It sure would be nice to
>be able to monitor them.

Dream on. That spectrum is worth big bucks. The cell phone companies
will find something to justify their hanging on to it and existing
regulations against monitoring it will be used to help further this
justification.

>Also, anyone know the speed of the winradio 1550e in terms of channels per
>second or how it would compare to a 5 year old rs home scanner? My computer
>will be a 1ghz pentium III.

I don't know the Winradio gear that well, but I have to point out that
the speed of the scanner probably has more to do with how fast the
squelch circuit will respond to a signal than how fast the computer or
synthesizer is.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

4141. Re: FS Yeasu VR 5000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 17:35:26 UTC
From: MRK <me@you.com>
Message-ID: <ug9osbl6amaf5a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47256

Why were you selling it?
"Ben" <manley62@InsightBB.com> wrote in message
news:scTL8.125718$cQ3.3510@sccrnsc01...
> VR5000 is gone
>
> Thanks
>
> "Ben" <manley62@InsightBB.com> wrote in message
> news:tavL8.211$pw3.261@sccrnsc03...
> > I have a brand new unopened Yeasu VR 5000 for sale. AES is selling them
> for
> > $699. I'm asking $600 including shipping. Please email me with any
offers.
> >
> >
> >
>
>

4142. Re: just grabbed a pro 2006 for 5$ and.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 18:20:55 UTC
From: ~The-Wizard~ <wizspamard@dxing.zzn.com>
Message-ID: <ae2qm7$40$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 47257
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Pro-2006 for $5. Bullshit!!

--
~The Wizard~

Remove spam please.

.
"Paul M" <paul@coldnfrosty.com> wrote in message
news:GvJM8.64770$KD3.2161298@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net...
> That is worth about $350 on the used market.
>
> "bhang" <bhang657@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:a25bf697.0206082338.1f23c415@posting.google.com...
> > I found this beast in mint condition (-manuals/box) at a yard sale for
> > 5.00$ us, I have always been intrested in scanning but never had the
> > spare cash to lay out, But as luck would have it I have found a good
> > starter scanner on the cheap so I need some info, I have found a freq
> > list for my area(northern delaware) and have read an online version of
> > the pro2006 manual but I'm still not getting much "intresting traffic"
> > any help would be appreciated...
> >
> >
> > tia,
> > bhang
>
>

4143. Re: just grabbed a pro 2006 for 5$ and.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 18:20:59 UTC
From: ~The-Wizard~ <wizspamard@dxing.zzn.com>
Message-ID: <ae2qma$40$4@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 47258

For $5 it's most probably knackered.

--
~The Wizard~

Remove spam please.

.
"J Rob" <jrobmills@aol.comrx320> wrote in message
news:20020609230140.04415.00000006@mb-cn.aol.com...
> >t I'm still not getting much "intresting traffic"
>
> Try this web site for freq's, it might even link you to others.
> www.creditime.com/del.html
>
> Rob Mills ~~~
>
>
>
>
>
>

4144. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 18:32:24 UTC
From: randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com
Message-ID: <b03b87e2.0206101032.cba32d0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47259
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

horseshoe7@yahoo.com (stewart) wrote in message
> users per channel. External power amplifiers on MURS are of little or
> no benefit, so most of the problems present on the 11m CB radio "zoo"
> do not exist on MURS.

Man, you are so out of touch with reality it is painful!

When you are trying to hold a conversation with a friend or family on
a MURS channel and "THE BANDIT" keys down his 50-watt modified amateur
radio and says "FOOT...FOOT...FOOT", THEN tell us how ineffective
extra power is on MURS!

Randy
http://www.frs-usa.com

4145. Re: BC780xlt - BC895xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 19:49:20 UTC
From: Tony <nospam@rr.com>
Message-ID: <gs0aguk507p6emvbfre25vd4d0kl8sq1qg@4ax.com>
Source record: 47262

On Mon, 10 Jun 2002 07:19:34 -0400, The Other Harry
<hc.me@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>[On Sun, 09 Jun 2002 23:27:43 GMT, SlowMoe
><SlowMoe@aaa123.net-us.gov> wrote:]
>
>> I have seen the BC780xlt and BC895xlt for sell on eBay
>>
>> Could anyone tell me the diffentnt in them.. which is better?.
>> Also what would you consider a fair price for a new one?
>>
>> Or is there something still even better?
>
>You sound like you're relatively new to this (like me).
>
>If so, why not just buy something that is suitable for the area
>where you live. Almost anything. You will be buying another scanner
>next year -- or next month -- anyway, so why try to start out with
>the perfect scanner?
>
>Something priced in between the two you mentioned would be the Radio
>Shack Pro-2053 (Pro-94 in hand-held). They go for $179 when they're
>on sale (which is often). I wouldn't say that they're as good as
>the BC780, but they are almost as good. The technology is very
>current. Alpha-tagging, EDACS, computer interface (for memory
>management purposes), etc. I think they are the "best buy" that's
>out there at the moment.
>

That would be the Pro-93, not the Pro-94.

4146. Q: Desktop Discone Antenna FS in USA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 19:50:00 UTC
From: miketeachr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020610155000.27243.00000600@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 47260

Hello!

Im lookign for a Desktop [indoor] Discone Antenna for my RS PRO-2035, but on the web, Im only finding UK sellers.

Anyone in the USA selling these Antennas?

THANX!
Mike
Miketeachr@aol.com

4147. Re: just grabbed a pro 2006 for 5$ and.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 19:53:26 UTC
From: Harris <aharris@bookworm.suffolk.lib.ny.us>
Message-ID: <Ws7N8.20715$A%3.233040@ord-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 47261
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In rec.radio.scanner bhang <bhang657@yahoo.com> wrote:
> I found this beast in mint condition (-manuals/box) at a yard sale for
> 5.00$ us, I have always been intrested in scanning but never had the
> spare cash to lay out, But as luck would have it I have found a good
> starter scanner on the cheap so I need some info, I have found a freq
> list for my area(northern delaware) and have read an online version of
> the pro2006 manual but I'm still not getting much "intresting traffic"
> any help would be appreciated...

What are you using for an antenna? Don't expect to hear much without a
good outdoor antenna. MAke sure the 10 dB attenuator is not switched in.

Art Harris

4148. Re: aor 8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 20:30:37 UTC
From: Billy <bill@gates.co.uk>
Message-ID: <ae32r7$h0b$1@cyan.nl.gxn.net>
Source record: 47263

yes is very easy, replace the microcontroller...

"brett" <besat@attbi.com> schreef in bericht
news:3d041f49.145172637@netnews.attbi.com...
> does anyone know if a ar-8200 can be mod to cell???

4149. Re: just grabbed a pro 2006 for 5$ and.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 20:47:47 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3D051072.90D4D82@USA.net>
Source record: 47264
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

sounds "H O T" to me. HOT as in stolen.

SB wrote:

> "Harris" wrote:
>
> >What are you using for an antenna? Don't expect to hear much without a
> >good outdoor antenna.
>
> Whaddaya talkin' about!? You don't even know where the guy lives fer
> crissakes!
>
> My 2006 _GETS_IT_ON_ with only the little antenna that was originally
> sold with it.

4150. Re: Scanmaster scantenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 21:00:05 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20020610170005.09889.00000055@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 47265

>Has anyone tried the scantenna

According to what I have read they are supposed to be pretty good. I picked one up a couple of months ago on a Radio Shack close out table for $6.00 but have not had the time to put up yet.
You might check your local RS, never know, you might get lucky and find one.
The ought to be worth six bucks for scrap metal.

Rob Mills ~~~

4151. Re: just grabbed a pro 2006 for 5$ and.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 21:23:22 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3D0518CA.8A6B456C@optonline.net>
Source record: 47266
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Depends on where you are!

I could be inundated (if I so chose to be) with good "traffic" just using the rubber duck. With a high, outdoor antenna, I could be doubly inundated.

As it stands now, with the rubber duck, I need to use the CTCSS feature on many of the channels to keep the interference down. An outside antenna would just make for worse problems, plus the additional problem of strong stations overloading things.

No thanks,

On the other hand, there are some things (Marine band, for one) that I don't receive well, and a high, outdoor antenna would help here.

Walt

Harris wrote:
>
> In rec.radio.scanner bhang <bhang657@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > I found this beast in mint condition (-manuals/box) at a yard sale for
> > 5.00$ us, I have always been intrested in scanning but never had the
> > spare cash to lay out, But as luck would have it I have found a good
> > starter scanner on the cheap so I need some info, I have found a freq
> > list for my area(northern delaware) and have read an online version of
> > the pro2006 manual but I'm still not getting much "intresting traffic"
> > any help would be appreciated...
>
> What are you using for an antenna? Don't expect to hear much without a
> good outdoor antenna. MAke sure the 10 dB attenuator is not switched in.
>
> Art Harris

4152. Re: Scanmaster scantenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 22:06:43 UTC
From: pro2036@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6057-3D0522F3-15@storefull-2352.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 47270

I picked up the Radio Shack version on clearence for $9.99 and have been using it for 2 months now and it works very well I would commend it...

4153. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 22:30:48 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <sM9N8.180130$9F5.9621981@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 47267
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

"Randy A. Hefner" <randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com> wrote in message
news:b03b87e2.0206101032.cba32d0@posting.google.com...
> horseshoe7@yahoo.com (stewart) wrote in message
> > users per channel. External power amplifiers on MURS are of little or
> > no benefit, so most of the problems present on the 11m CB radio "zoo"
> > do not exist on MURS.
>
> Man, you are so out of touch with reality it is painful!
>
> When you are trying to hold a conversation with a friend or family on
> a MURS channel and "THE BANDIT" keys down his 50-watt modified amateur
> radio and says "FOOT...FOOT...FOOT", THEN tell us how ineffective
> extra power is on MURS!
>
> Randy
> http://www.frs-usa.com

Why stop there? The Icom 746 will do 100 watts there. <g>

4154. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-10 23:05:39 UTC
From: MBozz <mbozzelli@palcom.com>
Message-ID: <7haN8.185562$Kp.17907041@bin7.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 47268
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:sM9N8.180130$9F5.9621981@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
>
> Why stop there? The Icom 746 will do 100 watts there. <g>

Well it can do more than 100 watts too

POWER MOD For Icom 746
****
Remove bottom cover.

Find the voice module plug-in.Right behind it you will see 4 pots

R993 144 mhz power adj
R991 50 mhz power adj
R989 HF bands power adj
R990 AM power adj

You can tweak these to up the power suggest on hf doing it on 40 meters. you
can get close to180 watts on 40-75 meters about 125 to 150 on 20-10.

The pots are very small be careful not to use something to big. the are all
metal pots.

4155. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 00:11:34 UTC
From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5f4fe4c2.0206101611.3bb9d74e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47269
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com (Randy A. Hefner) wrote in message
news:<b03b87e2.0206101032.cba32d0@posting.google.com>...
> horseshoe7@yahoo.com (stewart) wrote in message
> > users per channel. External power amplifiers on MURS are of little or
> > no benefit, so most of the problems present on the 11m CB radio "zoo"
> > do not exist on MURS.
>
> Man, you are so out of touch with reality it is painful!
>
> When you are trying to hold a conversation with a friend or family on
> a MURS channel and "THE BANDIT" keys down his 50-watt modified amateur
> radio and says "FOOT...FOOT...FOOT", THEN tell us how ineffective
> extra power is on MURS!

Not a problem, the two MURS stations running 2W, simply point their
12dB gain antennas at each other, and we simply ignore the fool.

If someone goes with an external power amplifier, we'll have to go
with higher gain beams.

"Problem solved"

- Stewart

4156. FAQs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 01:23:11 UTC
From: sampett456@yahoo.co.uk
Message-ID: <d8f8142b.0206101723.eb1b4c1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47271

Where can I find the FAQs for this newsgroup ?

Thanks

4157. Re: FAQs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 01:27:28 UTC
From: ScannerFan <ListeningToTheRadio@My10-20.com>
Message-ID: <4mcN8.245733$ah_.122307@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 47272

I don't know where they are. But I hope that's the first question on the list. :P *LMAO*

"sampetten" <sampett456@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in message news:d8f8142b.0206101723.eb1b4c1@posting.google.com...
> Where can I find the FAQs for this newsgroup ?
>
> Thanks

4158. Re: just grabbed a pro 2006 for 5$ and.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 01:34:07 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <jscN8.7874$Pv2.4758@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 47273
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am aware of it's potential once modified.

--
Remove "beforeflight" to reply
"Main" <NoOne@Home.net> wrote in message
news:paXM8.6010$gs4.4123@nwrddc02.gnilink.net...
> Do you really understand how modifiable that scanner is???? I think if
you
> did you'd understand the value of it ($350)!!!!!!
> "Jim Hackett" <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:cMOM8.5733$k85.1820@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> >
> >
> > --
> > Remove "beforeflight" to reply
> > "Paul M" <paul@coldnfrosty.com> wrote in message
> > news:GvJM8.64770$KD3.2161298@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net...
> > > That is worth about $350 on the used market.
> > >
> > Sure. To some sucker on e-Bay.
> > No one with any knowledge of scanners would pay that much when there are
> > much better NEW scanners for less.
> >
> >
>
>
>

4159. Re: just grabbed a pro 2006 for 5$ and.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 01:37:15 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020610213715.11557.00000584@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 47274

It is entirely possible to find a PRO-2006 at a garage sale for $5. The average person has no idea what it's worth. Only that it's "old", not being used anymore, and taking up space.

I found an "old CB" at an estate auction once. It was actually a Kenwood dual-band ham rig, worth about $300. Opening bid was $20 and nobody bid against me!

4160. Re: just grabbed a pro 2006 for 5$ and.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 01:45:11 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3D055627.60EADC53@USA.net>
Source record: 47275
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Excuse me for thinking out loud. In this day and age, anything is
possible. Stupid, I don't believe so.

SB wrote:

> "Pappy@USA.net" wrote:
>
> >sounds "H O T" to me. HOT as in stolen.
>
> That was as stupid a reply as a couple of the others. Bargains are
> found at garage sales every day. People have bought paintings at
> garage sale only to find out later that they paid 10 bucks for
> something worth thousands of dollars.

4161. Re: Frequency Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 02:20:56 UTC
From: "Mark R. Hourigan" <kc4hyf@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3D055E88.24A89B9E@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 47276

try this link:
http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts

MDKD787 wrote:

> There are a couple of new frequencies that I stored on my scanner
> (cause they sound interesting). But how do I find out where these
> transmissions are coming from. Like where is the location. I listen to
> the frequencies all day and nobody states their location. BTW its a
> police frequency. All they do is state street names. That still
> doesn't give me a clue to if they are in my city or the city next to
> me... I just don't know. Could anybody help me?

4162. Re: Scanmaster scantenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 02:26:34 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20020610222634.29530.00000858@mb-ft.aol.com>
Source record: 47277

>have been using it for 2 months now

Just curious, have you noticed it being directional? Someone mentioned that they put theirs on a small rotor and it seemed to help. Just looking at it you would think that it might be slightly directional.

Rob Mills ~~~

4163. Re: Scanmaster scantenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 03:07:17 UTC
From: n8icwxyz@cinci.rr.com
Message-ID: <3d0562aa.91381043@news-server.cinci.rr.com>
Source record: 47278

On Mon, 10 Jun 2002 12:34:13 +0200, "Richard" <translations@qdnet.pl>
wrote:

>Has anyone tried the scantenna aerial?
>
>Richard
>

I've been using my Rad Shack version for about
two months now and I recommend it.

It is a very hot antenna on VHF and UHF, but performs
rather poor on 800 MHz.

n8icw

4164. Re: Q: Desktop Discone Antenna FS in USA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 03:10:11 UTC
From: n8icwxyz@cinci.rr.com
Message-ID: <3d056372.91580171@news-server.cinci.rr.com>
Source record: 47279

On 10 Jun 2002 19:50:00 GMT, miketeachr@aol.com (MikeTEACHR) wrote:

>Hello!
>
>Im lookign for a Desktop [indoor] Discone Antenna for my RS PRO-2035, but on
>the web, Im only finding UK sellers.
>
>Anyone in the USA selling these Antennas?
>
>THANX!
>Mike
>Miketeachr@aol.com

I know of no one in the USA selling this antenna.
I bought mine back in 1997 from the UK for an even $99,
and I've been happy with it.

Mark
n8icw

4165. Re: BC780xlt - BC895xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 03:17:07 UTC
From: The Other Harry <hc.me@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <4sqagugn46peanpg86fl7vg1nf2ilnq3tl@4ax.com>
Source record: 47281

[On Mon, 10 Jun 2002 19:49:20 GMT, Tony <nospam@rr.com> wrote:]

> That would be the Pro-93, not the Pro-94.

Whoops!

Wrong finger there. Thanks for the correction.

4166. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 03:30:06 UTC
From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5f4fe4c2.0206101930.436f9370@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47280
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<sM9N8.180130$9F5.9621981@typhoon.austin.rr.com>...
> "Randy A. Hefner" <randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com> wrote in message
> news:b03b87e2.0206101032.cba32d0@posting.google.com...
> > horseshoe7@yahoo.com (stewart) wrote in message
> > > users per channel. External power amplifiers on MURS are of little or
> > > no benefit, so most of the problems present on the 11m CB radio "zoo"
> > > do not exist on MURS.
> >
> > Man, you are so out of touch with reality it is painful!
> >
> > When you are trying to hold a conversation with a friend or family on
> > a MURS channel and "THE BANDIT" keys down his 50-watt modified amateur
> > radio and says "FOOT...FOOT...FOOT", THEN tell us how ineffective
> > extra power is on MURS!
> >
> > Randy
> > http://www.frs-usa.com
>
> Why stop there? The Icom 746 will do 100 watts there. <g>

At $1400 (inc. tax & shipping), I doubt if you'll have too many folks
buying one of these to use on MURS.

- Stewart

4167. Re: FAQs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 03:43:24 UTC
From: Chuck Reti <wv8a@*NO*SPAM*arrl.net>
Message-ID: <wleN8.177615$%y.17679111@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 47282

In article <d8f8142b.0206101723.eb1b4c1@posting.google.com>,
sampett456@yahoo.co.uk (sampetten) wrote:

> Where can I find the FAQs for this newsgroup ?
>
> Thanks

"Introduction to Scanning" and group of other "faq" documents by Bob
Parnass AJ9S are posted to this group regularly.
Current version available at-
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner/Introduction_to_
Scanning

Seven other titles in the group-
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu//pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner/
--
chuck reti WV8A detroit mi

4168. Re: Short rubber duck??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 04:08:10 UTC
From: comet <comet@this.news.group>
Message-ID: <10062002210813220 9%comet@this.news.group>
Source record: 47283

In article <6boagusaulcfv1ns7r719se12suial6116@4ax.com>, SB
<StogieButt@ameritech.net> decided to write:

> When I'm out walking around or taking in the view at a nearby beach
> (Chicago), I listen only to the police and fire and am close enough to
> what I want to listen to that I can even hear things (not well, of
> course) with the stock antenna on my Pro-93 disconnected.
>
> Is there a stubby rubber duck that I might use while out and about? I
> don't like the longer one when the unit is on my belt.

The DB32 from Optoelectronics may fit your need. It is a little
expensive for what it is but if that does not matter check out:

http://www.optoelectronics.com/accessories.htm

Scroll down to the the DB32

I have one and it is a cool mini antenna. It is also top quality.

Good luck.

4169. Re: Short rubber duck??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 12:31:11 UTC
From: pro2036@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6410-3D05ED8F-208@storefull-2356.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 47284

I saw one in the scanner world catalog it is as big as a quarter only 2 inches long think it was $14.99 maybe that would work..
www.scannerworld.com

4170. Re: Short rubber duck??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 12:39:24 UTC
From: pro2036@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6410-3D05EF7C-210@storefull-2356.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 47285

here is a photo of the antenna
http://www.scannerworld.com/template.asp?viewproduct=SCAN99

4171. Re: Scanmaster scantenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 12:43:47 UTC
From: pro2036@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6410-3D05F083-211@storefull-2356.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 47290

Yes I have noticed that it is slightly directional I also have mine on a rotor,I have it up about 45 ft. with a pre-amp works great...

4172. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 12:44:08 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D05F098.768E@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 47286
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

MBozz wrote:
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:sM9N8.180130$9F5.9621981@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > Why stop there? The Icom 746 will do 100 watts there. <g>
>
> Well it can do more than 100 watts too
>
> POWER MOD For Icom 746
> **********
> Remove bottom cover.
>
> Find the voice module plug-in.Right behind it you will see 4 pots
>
> R993 144 mhz power adj
> R991 50 mhz power adj
> R989 HF bands power adj
> R990 AM power adj
>
> You can tweak these to up the power suggest on hf doing it on 40 meters. you
> can get close to180 watts on 40-75 meters about 125 to 150 on 20-10.
>
> The pots are very small be careful not to use something to big. the are all
> metal pots.

And then one has to wonder why, if the rig is capable of 180 watts, why
Icom didn't ship it that way?

Could it be that running it at 180 watts can:

Severely shorten the life of the transmit finals?
Result in increased spurious emissions or IMD products?
Create more heat than the heatsink can handle, exposing the rest of the
rig to high temperatures and possible failures?
Exceeding the current draw ratings which could cause PC traces to burn?
Exceeding the RF power rating for the relays, PIN diodes and other band
matching components?

Just because it can doesn't mean it should.

I always laugh at screwdriver technicians circumventing good engineering
practice......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

4173. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 12:46:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D05F108.140D@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 47287
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

stewart wrote:
>
> randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com (Randy A. Hefner) wrote in message
news:<b03b87e2.0206101032.cba32d0@posting.google.com>...
> > horseshoe7@yahoo.com (stewart) wrote in message
> > > users per channel. External power amplifiers on MURS are of little or
> > > no benefit, so most of the problems present on the 11m CB radio "zoo"
> > > do not exist on MURS.
> >
> > Man, you are so out of touch with reality it is painful!
> >
> > When you are trying to hold a conversation with a friend or family on
> > a MURS channel and "THE BANDIT" keys down his 50-watt modified amateur
> > radio and says "FOOT...FOOT...FOOT", THEN tell us how ineffective
> > extra power is on MURS!
>
> Not a problem, the two MURS stations running 2W, simply point their
> 12dB gain antennas at each other, and we simply ignore the fool.

Tough to do if you're mobile.

> If someone goes with an external power amplifier, we'll have to go
> with higher gain beams.

What if the idiot has both?

> "Problem solved"

Doubtful.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

4174. Re: Scanmaster scantenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 13:06:49 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020611090649.23576.00000266@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 47288

In article <20020610222634.29530.00000858@mb-ft.aol.com>,
jrobmills@aol.comrx320 (J Rob) writes:

>Just curious, have you noticed it being directional? Someone mentioned that
>they put theirs on a small rotor and it seemed to help. Just looking at it
>you would think that it might be slightly directional.

No. Basically, the Scantenna is a dipole, tuned for various frequencies. It's
only directional if you mount it horizontally.

4175. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 13:13:07 UTC
From: randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com
Message-ID: <b03b87e2.0206110513.19bbe91e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47289
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

horseshoe7@yahoo.com (stewart) wrote in message > Not a problem, the two MURS stations running 2W, simply point their
> 12dB gain antennas at each other, and we simply ignore the fool.
>
> If someone goes with an external power amplifier, we'll have to go
> with higher gain beams.
>
> "Problem solved"
>
> - Stewart

What kind of "higher gain beams" are you going to use on your HT when you are at Disneyland?

YOU ARE JUST SO FAR OUT OF TOUCH WITH REALITY THAT IT IS SCARY!

Randy
http://www.frs-usa.com

4176. SHINWA SR001 RADIO SCANNER FULL (nothing blocked) 25MHZ to 999.995MHZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 13:31:18 UTC
From: JWeeks <JWeeks@BellConsultingServices.delthis.com>
Message-ID: <XXmN8.12411$Pz3.260675@twister.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 47291
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

SHINWA SR001 RADIO SCANNER FULL (nothing blocked) 25MHZ to 999.995MHZ range; NOT modified!; Remote control, 200 Channel memory.

Detailed Description
This is the SHINWA sr001 radio scanner. This features FULL (nothing blocked) 25MHZ to 999.995MHZ range; Remote control; Original owners manual; 200 channel memory (10 Groups of 20 channels); 2 Antenna connections: BNC and "N"; AM, FM-Wide and FM-Narrow operations. 3 resume scan modes: Carrier, Audio and Timer (programmable up to 19-second delay!); front headphone jack. The chassis is the size of a standard car stereo and uses a 12 Volt power supply.

This radio has been great! It looks VERY sharp and has an unbelievable frequency range! Selling because I don't use it any more as I recently purchased a handheld HAM radio which can do everything I need.

I have NEVER installed this radio in a vehicle. It has sat on my desk hooked up to a 12v power supply or been in the closet. I'm sure the internal battery condition is good (no meter or other means to test). The display is beautiful and has a multicolor signal meter!

Any questions please contact me!

Check out this auction!!

http://www.ubid.com/Actn/Opn/getpage.asp?AuctionID=527929689

THANKS.

4177. Re: Short rubber duck??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 13:41:54 UTC
From: pro2036@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20889-3D05FE22-52@storefull-2355.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 47296

Ok well you ask so I thought I would try to answer you,good luck!

4178. Re: FS-Sony ICF-SW7600G Shortwave Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 13:43:27 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <38nN8.35207$zh2.12049171@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 47292

You can buy a brand new 7600GR for what you're asking.
DeWayne

"Steve Painter" <stevepaint@clearwater.net> wrote in message
news:IO4N8.23369$rX.3934984@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> This is a nice, nearly new looking Sony 7600G. I bought it several years
at
> HRO, used it only a few hours. Thought I had to have it......you know the
> story. Anyway if you're looking for a nice radio, in original box,
supplied
> with all papers, inst. manual, shortwave guide, Sony leather pouch, Sony
> shortwave antenna, this is the one for you. It goes on Ebay next sunday,
or
> you can buy it now for $150, + UPS. Photos available upon request. Reply
> to my email address: stevepaint@clearwater.net
> Thanks!
>
>
>

4179. Portable antenna for scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 13:43:53 UTC
From: post_to_usenet@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <b6b4e772.0206110543.4b34429c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47293
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

Hi all,

This Saturday I bought an IC-R2 scanner (continuous .495 MHz ~ 1.3 GHz). I have no other scanners to compare to, but it has surprised me quite favorably. I thought it would only work acceptably well on VHF and would be just a toy on HF and UHF, but the question is that it works well (for me) on all bands. After changing the supplied antenna to a slightly longer Diamond (SRH-536) made for 144/430/900 it even receives many stations on HF and the strongest stations on AM BC. With a dipole cut for 15m placed at the side of a building (balcony) it gets foreign AM BC and many more on HF.

Well, that said, the question is: What portable antenna to use for it? I googled for it both in this group and on the web and found nothing specially good.

For portable use, I think for HF up to maybe 600 MHz I could use a home made dipole with two foldable telescopic whips (like TV "rabbit ears") ranging 10 to 50 or 60 cm (4 to 20 or 24 inches) with a short bit of coax to the SMA. That would allow me to "tune" the dipole to the approximate band I am scanning. For 600 to 1.3 I do not know what to use. Maybe two different size dipoles soldered straight to two SMA connectors (one for 700~1000 MHz and one for 1~1.3 GHz), forming a T with the receiver. If not, I had thought of a small discone made of copper sheet (not wires), but it might be quite bulky to carry in a small bag, so I think maybe the two dipoles would offer a not much worse gain (loss?) on that frequency span.

Opinions? Recommendations? Please advice.

Thanks for responses and comments.

Toni

4180. Re: How do I remedy the dim display on my Uniden Bearcat BC-800XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 13:49:32 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <bob@parnassXcutX.org>
Message-ID: <3d060010$0$3576$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 47294
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Information about fixing a BC800XLT (and other old
Bearcat models) with a dim display may be found
in my FAQ article "Repair Tips: Bearcat Scanner Radios:"

ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner/Repair_Tips_for_Bearcat_Scanner_Radios

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S Linux User www.parnass.org

4181. Newbie Looking for Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 14:00:40 UTC
From: Rivah_Rat <awnova@yahooo.com>
Message-ID: <3d05ff19_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 47295

I am looking for frequencies listings, especially for the Northern Neck of Virginia. Can anyone point to such a list? I have searched the internet w/o much success.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4182. Re: Short rubber duck??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 14:03:23 UTC
From: polarisSLH99@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1008-3D06032B-142@storefull-2357.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 47197

What a jerk he ask a stupid question and gets an answer then acts like an ass he does this all the time what a low life!

I could buy that AND a case, fer crissakes! ...but I don't see the need for a case when the durned thing (Pro-93) has a nice belt clip. What am I missing? Protection from scratches?

I've seen similar duckies ...I don't suppose in my circumstances that I'd have to worry that they're specifically tuned for freqs used in auto racing, riiiiiight?

The forty-buck one is supposedly good for 100hz to 1GHz.

4183. Re: Scanmaster scantenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 15:56:20 UTC
From: Richard <translations@qdnet.pl>
Message-ID: <ae56l1$k4i$1@news.tpi.pl>
Source record: 47198

Thanks for the comments guys !

Richard

"Richard" <translations@qdnet.pl> wrote in message
news:ae1vcnfml1@news.tpi.pl...
> Has anyone tried the scantenna aerial?
>
> Richard
>
>
>

4184. Re: Newbie Looking for Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 15:57:52 UTC
From: Mac <NOSPAMcthirty7@hotmail.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <ugc7lri8itsj81@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47199

Try here
http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts

Rivah_Rat <awnova@yahooo.com> wrote in message
news:3d05ff19_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> I am looking for frequencies listings, especially for the Northern Neck of
> Virginia. Can anyone point to such a list? I have searched the internet
> w/o much success.
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4185. Re: BC780xlt - BC895xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 16:48:11 UTC
From: "Mike G"
Message-ID: <3d062914.6232772@news-east.teranews.com>
Source record: 47200

On Mon, 10 Jun 2002 07:39:13 -0400, Jerry <nospam@bigfoot.com> wrote:

>
>These radios are not in the same class as the 780. They are suited for
>people on a budget. The 780 blows them away in every way possible.
>They do not trunk better than a 780.

The only difference is price, Mil-air, I-call and some bells and
whistles. The 780 is rather weak in 800 sensitivty and you have to pay
another $50 for an external speaker because the audio sucks. And the
780 is rather slow because it stays on the control channel for one
second which is way too long. The 780 is nice but overated.

4186. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 16:49:50 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <uIpN8.7869$au3.526927@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 47201
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Randy A. Hefner <randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com> wrote in message
news:b03b87e2.0206101032.cba32d0@posting.google.com...
> horseshoe7@yahoo.com (stewart) wrote in message
> > users per channel. External power amplifiers on MURS are of little or
> > no benefit, so most of the problems present on the 11m CB radio "zoo"
> > do not exist on MURS.
>
> Man, you are so out of touch with reality it is painful!
>
> When you are trying to hold a conversation with a friend or family on
> a MURS channel and "THE BANDIT" keys down his 50-watt modified amateur
> radio and says "FOOT...FOOT...FOOT", THEN tell us how ineffective
> extra power is on MURS!
>
> Randy
> http://www.frs-usa.com

It depends on WHERE the bandit is. Fifty watts might interfere, and they
might not.
If there is a big hill between you, you might not even hear him. VHF is
line of sight,
and tends to get blocked by lots of things. In a car, you can be sitting in
one spot and
not hear one single thing. Move 3" and the signal comes in full quieting.

Jerry

4187. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 16:53:22 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <OLpN8.7904$au3.533144@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 47202
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

MBozz <mbozzelli@palcom.com> wrote in message
news:7haN8.185562$Kp.17907041@bin7.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:sM9N8.180130$9F5.9621981@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > Why stop there? The Icom 746 will do 100 watts there. <g>
>
>
> Well it can do more than 100 watts too
>
>
> POWER MOD For Icom 746
> **********
> Remove bottom cover.
>
> Find the voice module plug-in.Right behind it you will see 4 pots
>
> R993 144 mhz power adj
> R991 50 mhz power adj
> R989 HF bands power adj
> R990 AM power adj
>
> You can tweak these to up the power suggest on hf doing it on 40 meters.
you
> can get close to180 watts on 40-75 meters about 125 to 150 on 20-10.
>
> The pots are very small be careful not to use something to big. the are
all
> metal pots.

Typical thinking: TURN THEM THAR KNOB THINGIES ALL THE WAY RA'HT,
BOYS!!!! Those 80 watts won't even be heard or registered on a receiver's
S-meter.
All you are doing is putting strain on the finals. If they had been meant
to run 150-180
watts, then the mfr would've MADE it that way. Pity that people have never
heard of
"headroom". (sigh)

Jerry
>
>
>

>
>
>

4188. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 16:54:24 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <MMpN8.7919$au3.548911@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 47203
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

They often learn the hard way. Pity, ain't it.

73

Jerry
Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3D05F098.768E@worldlynx.net...
> MBozz wrote:
> >
> > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:sM9N8.180130$9F5.9621981@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
> > >
> > > Why stop there? The Icom 746 will do 100 watts there. <g>
> >
> > Well it can do more than 100 watts too
> >
> > POWER MOD For Icom 746
> > **********
> > Remove bottom cover.
> >
> > Find the voice module plug-in.Right behind it you will see 4 pots
> >
> > R993 144 mhz power adj
> > R991 50 mhz power adj
> > R989 HF bands power adj
> > R990 AM power adj
> >
> > You can tweak these to up the power suggest on hf doing it on 40 meters.
you
> > can get close to180 watts on 40-75 meters about 125 to 150 on 20-10.
> >
> > The pots are very small be careful not to use something to big. the are
all
> > metal pots.
>
> And then one has to wonder why, if the rig is capable of 180 watts, why
> Icom didn't ship it that way?
>
> Could it be that running it at 180 watts can:
>
> Severely shorten the life of the transmit finals?
> Result in increased spurious emissions or IMD products?
> Create more heat than the heatsink can handle, exposing the rest of the
> rig to high temperatures and possible failures?

> Exceeding the current draw ratings which could cause PC traces to burn?
> Exceeding the RF power rating for the relays, PIN diodes and other band
> matching components?
>
> Just because it can doesn't mean it should.
>
> I always laugh at screwdriver technicians circumventing good engineering
> practice......
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>
>
>

4189. Re: Bearcat 101

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 17:06:30 UTC
From: David Lovell <d.lovell@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <q6qN8.36141$UT.2458709@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 47204

"John Ecklund" <jecklund@fobalaser.com> wrote in message
news:ufv30r1jbtbd01@corp.supernews.com...
> Hi everyone,
>
> I am looking for a Bearcat 101 in good condition, if anyone knows where I
> can get one please let me know.
>
> I already tried ebay with no luck...
>
> Godbless
>
> John Ecklund
>
>
John:
We get them in occasionally.
Will advise you on the next arrival.

Best regards,

Dave Lovell, Electra.

4190. Re: Upcoming digital system - Cleartalk??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 17:15:48 UTC
From: drksky1056@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <41e7bb3b.0206110915.2feb78d2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47205

> The Bloomington-Normal-McLean County area's EF Johnson Multi-Net system
> is being reworked but its reputation is so tarnished in the area that it
> will likely never be fully accepted.

Yes, I think all parties involved are severely leaning towards dumping
the Multi-Net system and possibly selling it to another agency.
Today, I've heard the Normal PD conducting reception tests on the
Cleartalk system. So, they are evaluating other options at this
point. I'm all for them dumping Multi-Net since there isn't a scanner
built today that can truck track it. Imagine my dismay when I found
THAT out. :-P

"Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig_remove_.com> wrote in message
news:<rich-E5F83C.16142908062002@corp.supernews.com>...
> In article <41e7bb3b.0206071301.2124e6c9@posting.google.com>,
> drksky1056@hotmail.com (Tony) wrote:
>
> > I work for a town in Central Illinois who, until recenly have been
> > using a countywide Ef Johnson multinet system. However, it seems that
> > the system falls short as far as reception in several areas, causing
> > them and a neighboring city to revert to an older 400MHz system.
> >
> > Anyway, the point is, they are conducting studies for a new radio
> > system. I have been talking to the comm director and he mentioned
> > something about them utilizing a systemt that is being put in place by
> > the Illinois State Police. Said it was a Motorola product called
> > "Cleartalk".
> >
> > Has anyone heard of this? Will the new Uniden digital scanners be
> > able to decode it?
> >
> > Any info appreciated.
>
> The State Police system is called Star-Net. It is believed that this
> will be on the upcoming 700 MHz. frequencies, but that is unsure as yet.
> Since no one really knows when these freqs will be released it is
> possible that the system can be initially set up on 800 MHz away from
> the Chicago area. The system will be installed and owned by Motorola and
> airtime leased to the ISP and other agencies.
>
> ClearTalk is a SMR system built by a consortium of electric utility
> cooperatives in central and NW Illinois. While there are some public
> safety use most of the users are electric utilities with some business
> users on it. ClearTalk is all analog Motorola Type II on 800 MHz.
> frequencies. Most are licensed to local electric coops.

>
> The Bloomington-Normal-McLean County area's EF Johnson Multi-Net system
> is being reworked but its reputation is so tarnished in the area that it
> will likely never be fully accepted.

4191. Re: just grabbed a pro 2006 for 5$ and.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 17:42:51 UTC
From: vstream_02@webtv.net
Message-ID: <693-3D06369B-244@storefull-2375.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 47208

I got a BC-895 XLT? With full 800 mhz coverage for $7 a few years ago. I wonder how much it's value is.

--
"It's the static on your cellphone ma'am."
-Sprint PCS man
--
http://www.angelfire.com/tx4/tt/Nokia3390.html
Read my review on the Nokia 3390 Gold
--
http://angelfire.com/tx4/tt/index.html
Visit My Site
--
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/nokia3390ringtones/
--
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/nokia5190

4192. Any info on Alinco's DJ-X3T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 17:45:59 UTC
From: Len Beecroft <lbeecroft8041@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <rHqN8.250109$ah_.173382@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 47206

Hi any info on the Alinco's DJ-X3T greatly welcomed, This is my first Wide Band Reciever of this type.

I use to have a PRO-51, So i'm new to this one

Thank-You

4193. Re: Upcoming digital system - Cleartalk??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 17:55:17 UTC
From: h2osaltt@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020611135517.10249.00001399@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 47207

Martin County FL also has an EFJ multi-net system currently in service with
coverage problems. They're in the process
of scrapping it and going to a Motorola
(format unknown at this time).

4194. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 19:46:04 UTC
From: Pit Stop <steelgangsta@msm.com>
Message-ID: <0ssN8.206049$%o.18455490@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 47209
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3D05F098.768E@worldlynx.net...
> And then one has to wonder why, if the rig is capable of 180 watts, why
> Icom didn't ship it that way?

Why does a car go 120 mph+ when the speed limit is only 55/65?
Why don't car makers make the cars to only go a little over this speed?

>
> Could it be that running it at 180 watts can:

> Severely shorten the life of the transmit finals?

Doubtful

> Result in increased spurious emissions or IMD products?

Probable

> Create more heat than the heatsink can handle, exposing the rest of the
> rig to high temperatures and possible failures?

Doubtful, and only slightly possible

> Exceeding the current draw ratings which could cause PC traces to burn?

Doubtful

> Exceeding the RF power rating for the relays, PIN diodes and other band
> matching components?

Doubtful

> Just because it can doesn't mean it should.

Just be cause it can doesnt mean it shouldn't

>
> I always laugh at screwdriver technicians circumventing good engineering
> practice......

Hmm that was right from a hams web site who performed the inital mods

>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>
>
>

4195. Re: just grabbed a pro 2006 for 5$ and.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 20:07:20 UTC
From: bhang657@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <a25bf697.0206111207.672d95e4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47210
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

For all who think i'm lying about getting the pro2006 for 5$bucks,
your just pissed that you laid out $$$ and I didn't, I got it at a
yard sale, obviously some old man bought the thing new and when he
died(or somthing like that) it got stuck on a shelf where a few years
later somebody found it not knowing what it was worth or maybe even
what it was stuck a 5$ price tag on it.

I have already modded it to speed-up and unhandicap it, I would like
to try the S-meter hack, but most of the other mods I don't yet
understand, before last saturday I had almost no knowledge of RF
scanning so take it easy on me.
I would be intrested in attaching the thing to a PC or a palm os
handheld(If anybody has even thought about palm/scanner interfaces),
but other than that what mods are intresting for the 2006?

I still am just using the colapsable stick ant. (0db, I know a little
about RF my grandfather was a HAM-op)I am looking at an outdoor I live
on the third floor of an urban apartment building in the northeast
U.S. So that should improve recption alot.

I am also looking for "police call" in any electronic format for
conversion to palm os format.
I have alot to learn so any informational links anybody has would help
me out.

bhang

Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:<3D0518CA.8A6B456C@optonline.net>...
> Depends on where you are!
>
> I could be inundated (if I so chose to be) with good "traffic" just
> using the rubber duck. With a high, outdoor antenna, I could be doubly
> inundated.
>
> As it stands now, with the rubber duck, I need to use the CTCSS feature
> on many of the channels to keep the interference down. An outside
> antenna would just make for worse problems, plus the additional problem
> of strong stations overloading things.
>
> No thanks,
>
> On the other hand, there are some things (Marine band, for one) that I
> don't receive well, and a high, outdoor antenna would help here.
>

> Walt
>
> Harris wrote:
> >
> > In rec.radio.scanner bhang <bhang657@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > I found this beast in mint condition (-manuals/box) at a yard sale for
> > > 5.00$ us, I have always been intrested in scanning but never had the
> > > spare cash to lay out, But as luck would have it I have found a good
> > > starter scanner on the cheap so I need some info, I have found a freq
> > > list for my area(northern delaware) and have read an online version of
> > > the pro2006 manual but I'm still not getting much "intresting traffic"
> > > any help would be appreciated...
> >
> > What are you using for an antenna? Don't expect to hear much without a
> > good outdoor antenna. MAke sure the 10 dB attenuator is not switched in.
> >
> > Art Harris

4196. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 20:23:31 UTC
From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5f4fe4c2.0206111223.79113938@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47211
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com (Randy A. Hefner) wrote in message news:<b03b87e2.0206110513.19bbe91e@posting.google.com>...
> horseshoe7@yahoo.com (stewart) wrote in message > Not a problem, the two MURS stations running 2W, simply point their
> > 12dB gain antennas at each other, and we simply ignore the fool.
> >
> > If someone goes with an external power amplifier, we'll have to go
> > with higher gain beams.
> >
> > "Problem solved"
> >
> > - Stewart
>
> What kind of "higher gain beams" are you going to use on your HT when
> you are at Disneyland?
>
> YOU ARE JUST SO FAR OUT OF TOUCH WITH REALITY THAT IT IS SCARY!

So - let me get this straight - you are saying that folks in and around Disneyland would operate converted high-power radios on an unlicensed service like MURS.... or FRS???? Funny, I haven't heard of ANYONE (especially YOU!)complaining about FRS users being jammed at Disneyland.

Your true colors are showing, Randy... you just seem to dislike MURS for some reason... what could it be??? Uuummmmm, I don't know... maybe SATAN!!! oops that's the CHURCH LADY.... no it couldn't be SATAN... Ummmmm - I don't know... maybe DATA!!!!

Yes, Randy Hefner - DATA BIGOT EXTRAORDINAIRE.

- Stewart

4197. FOIA requests?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 21:32:06 UTC
From: wilrobnson@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020611173206.27051.00000394@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 47212

Anyone out there ever done FOIA requests to the Feds/Mil for freqs? If so, please drop me a line.

B

4198. aor2500 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 22:02:08 UTC
From: eciv <cac@rconnect.com>
Message-ID: <AruN8.423$NQ1.46@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 47213

Anybody have an opinion about the AOR2500 ?

4199. cbshop ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 22:19:09 UTC
From: curiosly_strong <cac@rconnect.com>
Message-ID: <xHuN8.453$NQ1.174@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 47214

Anybody know these guys ?

Are they for real ?

4200. Another Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 23:22:14 UTC
From: "Bill Amann Jr." <db9834@dragonbbs.com>
Message-ID: <3D068625.1148F3EB@dragonbbs.com>
Source record: 47215

I am putting up a new 40 foot tower plus mast pipe. Which scanner antenna would you put at the top? I have a Icom Discone with a preamp and I have a Nil-Jon also with a preamp and thinking about buying a Scantenna. Which one should I send to the top!

4201. Le Mans race teams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-11 23:41:01 UTC
From: Adam Parsons <adam_p@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <dUvN8.9430$IQ.164902@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 47216
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

I'm off to Le Mans tomorrow. Anyone know the frequencies used by the race teams, or is it all encrypted these days anyway?

Any suggestions appreciated!

Adam.

4202. FIELD PROGRAMABLE VHF 40CH PORTABLE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 00:11:00 UTC
From: David M Schertzer <dschertzer@hfx.eastlink.ca>
Message-ID: <ic4dgusa3501ot8p9sbmlrvkiq8l011n1i@4ax.com>
Source record: 47218

(1) ICOM V68 *40ch* 5-watt -VHF-

*** DTMF (User programmable - Front face) ****

(1) ICOM BC75A Rapid Desk Charger
(1) ICOM BP160 Rechargeable Battery pack
(1) ICOM BP130 Rechargeable Battery pack
(1) ICOM "AA" Emergency Battery pack (6- AA type batteries)
(1) EM46 Sprk-mic
(1) CP13L Cigarette Lighter adaptor with Noise filter
(1) Org. Instruction Manual + boxes

$200USD + $15USDship Payment via USPS International M/O.

Email for questions or info, spec sheet avail also.
This is the equiv. to the Motorola GP68 version.

4203. Re: Another Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 00:13:30 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3D06922A.5080601@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 47217

Bill Amann Jr. wrote:

> I am putting up a new 40 foot tower plus mast pipe. Which scanner
> antenna would you put at the top? I have a Icom Discone with a preamp
> and I have a Nil-Jon also with a preamp and thinking about buying a
> Scantenna. Which one should I send to the top

I don't think you're going to find any difference between your discone
and the Scantenna. If you already have a discone up I would then
consider a mean such as the Antennawarehouse Scanner Beam. I have one
at 30' (no pre-amp) and pickup Air Traffic (both UHF & VHF) 200+ miles away.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Freqs and MORE

4204. Re: Another Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 01:22:14 UTC
From: "Bill Amann Jr." <db9834@dragonbbs.com>
Message-ID: <3D06A245.5E6CE687@dragonbbs.com>
Source record: 47219

Sounds like you are got a good Scanner Beam there I think that's the same antenna that Grove sells? Have you heard of anyone using any of the KMA Beams? They make two or three that I know of for Scanners freq. But when they are mounted vertical they should be mounted on a fiberglass mast pipe I guess.

GeorgeF wrote:

> Bill Amann Jr. wrote:
>
> > I am putting up a new 40 foot tower plus mast pipe. Which scanner
> > antenna would you put at the top? I have a Icom Discone with a preamp
> > and I have a Nil-Jon also with a preamp and thinking about buying a
> > Scantenna. Which one should I send to the top
>
> I don't think you're going to find any difference between your discone
> and the Scantenna. If you already have a discone up I would then
> consider a mean such as the Antennawarehouse Scanner Beam. I have one
> at 30' (no pre-amp) and pickup Air Traffic (both UHF & VHF) 200+ miles away.
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com <- HF VHF UHF Military Freqs and MORE

4205. Re: Portable antenna for scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 01:26:21 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D06A320.EC35341E@icok.net>
Source record: 47220
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

Toni,
Almost any antenna will work, the longer it is the
better it is at lower frequencies. More important than
length is height, especially for the higher bands.
There is no 'one' antenna that's the 'best' for all
bands. Something you might try if you're close to a
'Wally-Whirl'... How about three or four of those "Have
a Nice Day" helium balloons, and a spool of #22 wire? Any
more than about 200 feet means you'd have to file a flight
plan with the FAA (I wanna see that one!), but it ought'a
be 'killer' on 800 Mhz! (LOL)
'Doc

4206. alb new mxico

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 01:39:00 UTC
From: FFronc@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7797-3D06A634-104@storefull-2217.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 47222

any freq for travel on i-25 south from colorado to alb fire freqs
state boys and local police???
thanks ron

4207. Re: Newbie Looking for Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 01:45:18 UTC
From: wleamons <wleamons@socket.net>
Message-ID: <ugd9ts166iai41@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47221

try cityfreq.com
"Rivah_Rat" <awnova@yahooo.com> wrote in message
news:3d05ff19_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> I am looking for frequencies listings, especially for the Northern Neck of
> Virginia. Can anyone point to such a list? I have searched the internet
> w/o much success.
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4208. Re: Newbie Looking for Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 02:16:22 UTC
From: johnb <borregoj@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3D06B080.6070806@sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 47223

go down to radio shak and ask for Police Call...a book the should have,
with lots of scanner frequencies (and a cd of the whole country!). You
may have to hassle them a bit, the youngsters who sell cell phones don't
always know about it

Rivah_Rat wrote:

> I am looking for frequencies listings, especially for the Northern Neck of
> Virginia. Can anyone point to such a list? I have searched the internet
> w/o much success.
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>

4209. Thanks for the help with the Alinco DJ-X3T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 02:31:27 UTC
From: Len Beecroft <lbeecroft8041@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <3oyN8.294387$t8_.227211@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 47224

Hi, First time buyer of this Wide Band Receiver from Alinco (DJ-X3T).

Wonder how it will perform here in Toronto,Ontario?.

Oh and yes thanks for the help. :)

4210. 9600 Baud Control Channel Decode?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 04:44:50 UTC
From: xray0272@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020612004450.23650.00000432@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 47225

Anyone know of a utility available to decode the relatively new Motorola 9600 baud control channel? Also known as Astro 25 trunking. It's the APCO 25 standard for control channels, not the normal 3600 baud Smart Zone control channel.

4211. Findlay Ohio Yahoo Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 05:27:48 UTC
From: Dwayne <dubbin2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <kumdgu80q38653miieurln1knue035veam@4ax.com>
Source record: 47226

If you are in the Findlay Ohio area please check out ScanFindlay Yahoo Group http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ScanFindlay/join

4212. Re: alb new mxico

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 05:29:27 UTC
From: BruceKC0AQU@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6184-3D06DC37-1097@storefull-2371.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 47227

Well for Pueblo, Co. police freqs. are 155.670 south, 155.070 north.
154.815 general info, Pueblo Sheriff Office: 158.790, 158.730, 159.150.
Colorado State Patrol: 154.770, 154.935, 154.665.
Pueblo City Fire: 460.600, 462.975
National Law Enforcement: 155.475.

Enjoy
Bruce

4213. Trade For Mint Icom R75

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 07:53:04 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <A5DN8.41951$zh2.12672426@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 47228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have to trade for a late, MINT Icom R75 w/DSP unit:
Like new Uniden Bearcat 3000XLT w/telescoping antenna and case, barely used.
Sony 7600G VG cond.
Sony AN-LP1 purchased new recently

Will trade all this for a like new, late Icom R75 w/DSP unit.

DeWayne KE9K

4214. Re: Trade For Mint Icom R75

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 07:59:15 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <nbDN8.41976$zh2.12674084@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 47229
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Would also throw in a Sony 7600GR w/Sony PS if you have an R75 with all the
Kiwa mods.
DeWayne KE9K

"DeWayne" <ke9k@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
news:A5DN8.41951$zh2.12672426@twister.neo.rr.com...
> I have to trade for a late, MINT Icom R75 w/DSP unit:
> Like new Uniden Bearcat 3000XLT w/telescoping antenna and case, barely
used.
> Sony 7600G VG cond.
> Sony AN-LP1 purchased new recently
>
> Will trade all this for a like new, late Icom R75 w/DSP unit.
>
> DeWayne KE9K
>
>

4215. Re: FOIA requests?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 08:50:39 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3D070B5F.F86AA83C@mitre.org>
Source record: 47230

The folks at Monitoring Times <http://www.grove-ent.com> have books and CD-ROM of Gov. and Mil frequencies also CRB Research <http://www.crbbooks.com> carries books.

Jim

WilRobnson wrote:

> Anyone out there ever done FOIA requests to the Feds/Mil for freqs? If so,
> please drop me a line.
>
> B

4216. Re: Freqs for Paramedics in Ocean County NJ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 10:19:01 UTC
From: Dave <ws2l@NOSPAMoptonline.net>
Message-ID: <yeFN8.8233$MW2.452667@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 47231

My mistake, when I was a Paramedic student at Community Med Cntr in 1994 I believe we were dispatched by the County and our patches to the ER Doc was made from a mini radio room down the hall from the ER. Here in Middlesex they are dispatched on 155.220 and they have an OPS freq which I have programmed in the scanner but can't remember the freq. I guess either my memory of the dispatch system down there is fuzzy or things gave changed.

73
Dave
WS2L

"vhfradiobuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.com> wrote in message
news:5e5fccab.0206071711.1544fa70@posting.google.com...
> "Dave" <ws2l@NOSPAMoptonline.net> wrote in message
news:<aL6M8.1$aq1.1278@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>...
> > I don't know about Micom but Cencom is on 155.175, you were close. If
Micom
> > is for Monmouth County they it is also 155.175 PL:151.4
>
> Actually, the medics in Monmouth County are privatized. They work for
> a company called MONOC, and are dispatched by them. In Middlesex
> County, the medics are dispatched by "Med Central" out of Robert Wood
> Johnson hospital in New Brunswick, last I knew of. In Ocean County,
> they are dispatched by the Sheriff's dept.

4217. Re: Trade For Mint Icom R75

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 10:36:49 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <5vFN8.42423$zh2.12714006@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 47232
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Correction, the Bearcat is a UBC 3000XLT, the unblocked version w/ps.
DeWayne

"DeWayne" <ke9k@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
news:nbDN8.41976$zh2.12674084@twister.neo.rr.com...
> Would also throw in a Sony 7600GR w/Sony PS if you have an R75 with all
the
> Kiwa mods.
> DeWayne KE9K
>
> "DeWayne" <ke9k@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:A5DN8.41951$zh2.12672426@twister.neo.rr.com...
> > I have to trade for a late, MINT Icom R75 w/DSP unit:
> > Like new Uniden Bearcat 3000XLT w/telescoping antenna and case, barely
> used.
> > Sony 7600G VG cond.
> > Sony AN-LP1 purchased new recently
> >
> > Will trade all this for a like new, late Icom R75 w/DSP unit.
> >
> > DeWayne KE9K
> >
> >
>
>

4218. Re: Portable antenna for scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 10:52:15 UTC
From: post_to_usenet@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <b6b4e772.0206120252.6a97b1ee@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47233
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Doc,

Thanks for your answer.

> Almost any antenna will work, the longer it is the
> better it is at lower frequencies. More important than
> length is height, especially for the higher bands.

As you say, height is important, and I had overlooked it. I am now thinking of getting a camping tent(1) type collapsible fiberglass mast I can use to raise the antenna(s) some meters above ground. As for length, I cannot use a too long antenna, as these little things (scanners) tend to be easy to saturate. A short whip / small dipole is as good as it can get for HF reception.

> There is no 'one' antenna that's the 'best' for all
> bands. Something you might try if you're close to a
> 'Wally-Whirl'... How about three or four of those "Have
> a Nice Day" helium balloons, and a spool of #22 wire? Any
> more than about 200 feet means you'd have to file a flight
> plan with the FAA (I wanna see that one!), but it ought'a
> be 'killer' on 800 Mhz! (LOL)
> 'Doc

Yes, I know there's no 'one' antenna that's the 'best' for all bands (or this newsgroup would be over :-). This is way I was thinking of different antennas for different frequency bands that I could easily carry in a small bag.

As for the helium balloons solution, I do not doubt that gain-wise it would be a "killer solution" on 800 MHz, but I am afraid of it having a slightly too vertical pattern, and, besides, high pressure helium bottles for inflating the balloons are a bit heavy to carry in a bag ;-)

Anyway, as said before, thanks for the answer.

Toni

(1) Are them called "camping tents"? Composite words are hard to lookup in dictionaries!

4219. David Monroe in Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 11:37:24 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <ghcegu06ocb3jmgltkpsenfaqa9cjhvp0j@4ax.com>
Source record: 47234

Hi David Monroe in Canada,I have the most special of all 2006's awaiting to grace your mailbox.

Features:Case with no Plastic (totally redone with x-ray proof whimsidookle for shipping of highly explosive parts)

LCD Display has been modified for direct injection of Hydrochloric acid directly to your face.(Only activated if you tell a lie)

Power Modification has been all done,you can attach it directly to your balls for the most intense scanning you have ever seen.

Ah yes and the most of the most of mods.....The DELAY. Delay has been totally redone.I'm so excited ,the delay has been arranged so that when you sit for x amounts of minutes in front of this glorious rig,scanning,ripping people off,sending bogus newsgroup ripoff messages,it senses your tenseness and automatically redirects your computer to the nearest frapping site on the internet...

And for you David what does this all cost,for you I think it should be free,yes even with all these fantastic mods that have been included,you pay nothing....kinda goes along with what you are - don't ya think??

4220. Re: 9600 Baud Control Channel Decode?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 13:13:08 UTC
From: Peter Hunt <news@peterhunt.zoetermeernet.nl>
Message-ID: <ae7hvq$hk0$1@news1.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 47235

> Anyone know of a utility available to decode the relatively new Motorola 9600 baud
> control channel? Also known as Astro 25 trunking. It's the APCO 25 standard for
> control channels, not the normal 3600 baud Smart Zone control channel.

Take a look at: http://www.trunkedradio.net

The authors of (E)Trunker seem to work on it.

Bye, Peter Hunt.

4221. Re: Please explain 'trunking' for me!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 13:20:40 UTC
From: TB <hithere@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <IUHN8.1758$1l6.333@nwrddc04.gnilink.net>
Source record: 47236

HOW MANY HOURS DO YOU HAVE?

I SUGGEST A BOOK.

-TB

"Dave L" <CoolDave_0@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1fa6f03d.0205231708.77c86ada@posting.google.com...
> I need some help. My normal Pro-43 scanner can recieve these 800MHz
> signals, but what is the benifit of a trunked scanner? Thanks
>
> Dave
>

4222. Re: MDT Monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 13:25:29 UTC
From: TB <hithere@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <dZHN8.1759$1l6.1053@nwrddc04.gnilink.net>
Source record: 47237

does that thing really work?

"b7spectra" <webmaster@scanatlanta.com> wrote in message
news:H6xH8.113719$fW5.21137949@typhoon.atl.ipsvc.net...
> Looking for a copy of MDT Monitor.
>
> b7spectra@netscape.net
>
> --
>
> /\/\|k.e
>
> Michael B. Martin
> Webmaster@ScanAtlanta.com
> mm505@mindspring.com
>
>
>
>

4223. Re: Freq hunt without Counter - Ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 13:26:25 UTC
From: JTB <fireman1333@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <5_HN8.21549$fT5.6427830@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 47240

Possibly get some binoculars and see what the antennae look like.

"TB" <hithere@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:Y_HN8.1760$1l6.1433@nwrddc04.gnilink.net...
> WONT WORK, YOU WILL RECEIVE MORE INTERMOD AND STATIC THAN ACTUAL TRUE
FREQS.
> GET A SCOUT ONLY SURE WAY.
>
> -TB
>
>
> "Bill" <billpritjr@spamhole.com> wrote in message
> news:15b4be0.0205172015.9c7661f@posting.google.com...
> > There is a radio tower near my house, and I am trying to obtain the
> > freq....I have no freq counter, such as the Scout
> >
> > currently I am searching the freq bands on my scanner with squelch
> > turned off compelely and the rubber ducking removed, in the theory
> > that only strong, nearby signals will be received
> >
> > ideas?
> >
> > BILL
> >
>
>

4224. Re: Freq hunt without Counter - Ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 13:27:20 UTC
From: TB <hithere@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <Y_HN8.1760$1l6.1433@nwrddc04.gnilink.net>
Source record: 47238

WONT WORK, YOU WILL RECEIVE MORE INTERMOD AND STATIC THAN ACTUAL TRUE FREQS.
GET A SCOUT ONLY SURE WAY.

-TB

"Bill" <billpritjr@spamhole.com> wrote in message
news:15b4be0.0205172015.9c7661f@posting.google.com...
> There is a radio tower near my house, and I am trying to obtain the
> freq....I have no freq counter, such as the Scout
>
> currently I am searching the freq bands on my scanner with squelch
> turned off compelely and the rubber ducking removed, in the theory
> that only strong, nearby signals will be received
>
> ideas?
>
> BILL
>

4225. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 13:27:39 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D074C4B.3F03@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 47147
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Pit Stop wrote:
>
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> news:3D05F098.768E@worldlynx.net...
> > And then one has to wonder why, if the rig is capable of 180 watts, why
> > Icom didn't ship it that way?
>
> Why does a car go 120 mph+ when the speed limit is only 55/65?
> Why don't car makers make the cars to only go a little over this speed?

Invalid analogy. A better one would be a car is rated to go 100 MPH all
day long. But it is capable of going 150 MPH. But at 150 MPH, you run
the risk of blowing tires, smoking the engine, or any number of outher
drivetrain failures.

>
> >
> > Could it be that running it at 180 watts can:
>
> > Severely shorten the life of the transmit finals?
>
> Doubtful

Doubtful? And what technical reference material can you cite to back up
that claim? The factory designs a circuit to have a adequate service
life, within the design limits of the devices. If you increase the
stress to any of those components, the first thing that happens is that
the MTBF goes out the window.

>
> > Result in increased spurious emissions or IMD products?
>
> Probable

Very probable. It only takes one reason to not turn up the power, so why
not this one?

>
> > Create more heat than the heatsink can handle, exposing the rest of the
> > rig to high temperatures and possible failures?
>
> Doubtful, and only slightly possible.

And I suppose you've got the thermal rise numbers to bear this out?

> > Exceeding the current draw ratings which could cause PC traces to burn?
>
> Doubtful

Maybe, maybe not. 180 watts is almost double what the factory setting of
100 watts is. That translates to an 80% increase in current. How much
extra current handling is built into the power circuits? I don't know,
neither do you. But it's certainly a possibility.

>
> > Exceeding the RF power rating for the relays, PIN diodes and other band
> > matching components?
>
> Doubtful

Really? You don't know Icom very well do you? I've already fixed radios
for guys (mostly 706's)that have popped out PIN diodes. Most of those
occur due to bad SWR reflected power problems. But it doesn't take a
huge stretch of the immagination to surmise that if the parts are so
close to their design limits, that they can be popped by simple SWR
problems, that upping the power by 80% MIGHT cause the same thing.

>
> > Just because it can doesn't mean it should.
>
> Just be cause it can doesnt mean it shouldn't

I tend to believe that the factory is the best judge of that.

> > I always laugh at screwdriver technicians circumventing good engineering
> > practice......
>
> Hmm that was right from a hams web site who performed the inital mods

Not all hams are all that bright, or morally consciencious. Many
nowadays don't even know a good electrical engineer, let alone act like
one.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

>
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
> >
> >
> >

4226. Re: How do I remedy the dim display on my Uniden Bearcat BC-800XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 13:30:02 UTC
From: TB <hithere@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <u1IN8.1761$1I6.767@nwrddc04.gnilink.net>
Source record: 47239
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

GET A BRIGHTER BULB AND REPLACE IT.
HTTP://WWW.RADIOSSHACK.COM

"Bob_Parnass_AJ9S" <bob@parnassXcutX.org> wrote in message
news:3d060010$0$3576$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com...
> Information about fixing a BC800XLT (and other old
> Bearcat models) with a dim display may be found
> in my FAQ article "Repair Tips: Bearcat Scanner Radios:"
>
>
>
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner/Repair_Tips_for_Bea
rcat_Scanner_Radios
>
>
> --
> ==
> Bob Parnass, AJ9S Linux User www.parnass.org

4227. Re: apbnews.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 13:31:06 UTC
From: TB <hithere@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <u2IN8.1762$1I6.465@nwrddc04.gnilink.net>
Source record: 47241
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

REAL PLAYER SUX! GET ANOTHER STREAMING MEDIA PLAYER.

-TB

"Chris Pohlad-Thomas" <nokedawg@cox.net> wrote in message
news:gYcJ8.5468$ok.388421@news2.east.cox.net...
> Has anyone else been having problems with
> http://www.apbnews.com?
> I try to listen to stuff and sometimes it tells me that the file can no
> longer be found. That is using RealPlayer as my player. If i use Windows
> Media Player it just tries to connect and doesn't get anywhere. It seems
> like it would be a nice way to listen to scanners around the nation.. if
it
> worked.
> Chris
>
>

4228. Re: Scanner Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 13:32:19 UTC
From: JTB <fireman1333@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <D3IN8.21553$fT5.6429426@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 47244
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

both

"TB" <hithere@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:35IN8.1764$1l6.1@nwrddc04.gnilink.net...
> BEARCAT 780XLT
> OR
> RADIO SHACK PRO-92
>
> -TB
>
>
> "Corey Fisher" <coreyf@ntelos.net> wrote in message
> news:CaWB8.11679$m26.929@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
> > Does anyone make a scanner that will scan trunked channels and regular
> > channels at the same time without having to swap back and forth between
> the
> > two? If so who what and where. Also I would like to know what the best
> > scanner on the market is. BC895XLT is what I am looking at purchasing.
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Corey
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

4229. Re: Any military aircraft scanner sights on the internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 13:33:12 UTC
From: TB <hithere@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <s4IN8.1763$1l6.812@nwrddc04.gnilink.net>
Source record: 47242

THIS LINK IS CAPE COD MASS.

http://www.scancapecod.net/scancapecod.asx

SEVERAL MILITARY AIRCRAFT FREQS, VERY VERY ACTIVE. ALSO BASE SECURITY AND
OPERATIONS.

http://www.scancapecod.net/scancapecod.asx

-TB

<copper@bis.on.ca> wrote in message news:3cedbf42.2756325@news.brant.net...
> There was supposed to be a real live feed from Oceana NAS but it seems
> to be unfindable. Anyone know of it or another one
>

4230. Re: Scanner Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 13:33:51 UTC
From: TB <hithere@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <35IN8.1764$1I6.1@nwrddc04.gnilink.net>
Source record: 47243
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

BEARCAT 780XLT
OR
RADIO SHACK PRO-92

-TB

"Corey Fisher" <coreyf@ntelos.net> wrote in message
news:CaWB8.11679$m26.929@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
> Does anyone make a scanner that will scan trunked channels and regular
> channels at the same time without having to swap back and forth between
the
> two? If so who what and where. Also I would like to know what the best
> scanner on the market is. BC895XLT is what I am looking at purchasing.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Corey
>
>
>
>

4231. Re: Scanner Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 13:36:56 UTC
From: TB <hithere@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <Y7IN8.1766$1l6.1145@nwrddc04.gnilink.net>
Source record: 47245
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

"B" WORD???

WHAT ARE YOU STUPID THE "B" WORD MAKE MOST OF ALL THE RADIO SHACK SCANNERS.

EXCEPT FOR 2!!!!

hahahahhahahhahahha LOL

-TB

"ff-micp" <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in message
news:sskC8.1354$L76.276@rwcrnsc53...
> I'd say the BC780 would work in just about any system other than digital,
> and certainly would work on conventional anywhere (provided they are in
the
> US). There isn't anything else out there that compares for the price, and
> realistic doesn't have anything comparable either (to those that don't
like
> the B word).
>
> > also those replying,try not to just reply with what you know does the
job
> in
> > your country or county,many times this has resulted in people buying the
> > wrong scanner for the job,then they come and moan at those of us who
sell
> > the scanners.
> >
> >
> > regards
> >
> > --
> >
> >
> >
> > Paul Wey[PROMA1]
> >
> > moderator of following scanning groups
> >
> >
> >
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk
> >
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio
> >

> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech
> >
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk
> >
> >
> >
> > "ff-micp" <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in message
> > news:yc1C8.49686$xv1.194@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> > > Look at the BC780; it does this and I think it is the best all-around
> > > scanner out there, at least for the moment.
> > >
> > > "Corey Fisher" <coreyf@ntelos.net> wrote in message
> > > news:CaWB8.11679$m26.929@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
> > > > Does anyone make a scanner that will scan trunked channels and
regular
> > > > channels at the same time without having to swap back and forth
> between
> > > the
> > > > two? If so who what and where. Also I would like to know what the
best
> > > > scanner on the market is. BC895XLT is what I am looking at
purchasing.
> > > >
> > > > Thanks,
> > > >
> > > > Corey
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

4232. Re: Scanner Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 13:37:06 UTC
From: JTB <fireman1333@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <68IN8.21562$fT5.6430886@typhoon.ne.ipsvc.net>
Source record: 47246
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

I must have missed a previous thread.. .."b"-word?? What are you
refering to

"TB" <hithere@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:Y7IN8.1766$1l6.1145@nwrddc04.gnilink.net...
> "B" WORD???
>
> WHAT ARE YOU STUPID THE "B" WORD MAKE MOST OF ALL THE RADIO SHACK
SCANNERS.
>
> EXCEPT FOR 2!!!!
>
> hahahahhahahhahahha LOL
>
> -TB
>
>
> "ff-micp" <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in message
> news:sskC8.1354$L76.276@rwcrnsc53...
> > I'd say the BC780 would work in just about any system other than
digital,
> > and certainly would work on conventional anywhere (provided they are in
> the
> > US). There isn't anything else out there that compares for the price,
and
> > realistic doesn't have anything comparable either (to those that don't
> like
> > the B word).
> >
> > > also those replying,try not to just reply with what you know does the
> job
> > in
> > > your country or county,many times this has resulted in people buying
the
> > > wrong scanner for the job,then they come and moan at those of us who
> sell
> > > the scanners.
> > >
> > >
> > > regards
> > >
> > > --
> > >

> > >
> > >
> > > Paul Wey[PROMA1]
> > >
> > > moderator of following scanning groups
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk
> > >
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio
> > >
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech
> > >
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > "ff-micp" <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in message
> > > news:yc1C8.49686$xv1.194@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> > > > Look at the BC780; it does this and I think it is the best
all-around
> > > > scanner out there, at least for the moment.
> > > >
> > > > "Corey Fisher" <coreyf@ntelos.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:CaWB8.11679$m26.929@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
> > > > > Does anyone make a scanner that will scan trunked channels and
> regular
> > > > > channels at the same time without having to swap back and forth
> > between
> > > > > the
> > > > > two? If so who what and where. Also I would like to know what the
> best
> > > > > scanner on the market is. BC895XLT is what I am looking at
> purchasing.
> > > > >
> > > > > Thanks,
> > > > >
> > > > > Corey
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>

>

4233. Can anyone please help program my bearcat 780xlt for Opelika Alabama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 16:28:09 UTC
From: globalcabl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020612122809.26157.00000620@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 47148

I would be willing to ship it and pay any costs involved it is just too confusing for me but it is trunked and I can't make it work right any help would be much appreciated

4234. Re: apbnews.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 16:34:10 UTC
From: ScannerFan <ListeningToTheRadio@My10-20.com>
Message-ID: <6KKN8.260125$ah_.4866@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 47149
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I think if anything and you want to listen to streaming scanners. Check out
http://livescanneraudio.webhop.org/

"TB" <hithere@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:u2IN8.1762$1l6.465@nwrddc04.gnilink.net...
> REAL PLAYER SUX! GET ANOTHER STREAMING MEDIA PLAYER.
>
> -TB
>
>
> "Chris Pohlad-Thomas" <nokedawg@cox.net> wrote in message
> news:gYcJ8.5468$ok.388421@news2.east.cox.net...
> > Has anyone else been having problems with
> > http://www.apbnews.com?
> > I try to listen to stuff and sometimes it tells me that the file can no
> > longer be found. That is using RealPlayer as my player. If i use
Windows
> > Media Player it just tries to connect and doesn't get anywhere. It
seems
> > like it would be a nice way to listen to scanners around the nation.. if
> it
> > worked.
> > Chris
> >
> >
>
>

4235. FA: Icom Q7A UHF/VHF FM Transciever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 16:45:38 UTC
From: Rick Kempe <r.kempe@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <SUKN8.37636$UT.2572907@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 47150

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1359555012

Thanks for looking!

4236. Re: x10 camera system for sale complete 200US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 17:00:50 UTC
From: allanstern@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020612130050.17635.00000276@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 47151

Please tell me more about the camera.

Thanks.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
AllanStern@aol.com
321-777-2018

4237. Re: FS-Sony ICF-SW7600G Shortwave Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 17:13:39 UTC
From: Steve Painter <stevepaint@clearwater.net>
Message-ID: <GdLN8.25344$rX.4539649@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 47152

DeWayne,
I'll ship it to you for $140......how's that?
steve

"DeWayne" <ke9k@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
news:38nN8.35207$zh2.12049171@twister.neo.rr.com...
> You can buy a brand new 7600GR for what you're asking.
> DeWayne
>
> "Steve Painter" <stevepaint@clearwater.net> wrote in message
> news:IO4N8.23369$rX.3934984@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > This is a nice, nearly new looking Sony 7600G. I bought it several
years
> at
> > HRO, used it only a few hours. Thought I had to have it......you know
the
> > story. Anyway if you're looking for a nice radio, in original box,
> supplied
> > with all papers, inst. manual, shortwave guide, Sony leather pouch, Sony
> > shortwave antenna, this is the one for you. It goes on Ebay next
sunday,
> or
> > you can buy it now for $150, + UPS. Photos available upon request.
Reply
> > to my email address: stevepaint@clearwater.net
> > Thanks!
> >
> >
> >
>
>

4238. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 17:20:24 UTC
From: randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com
Message-ID: <b03b87e2.0206120920.68019cef@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47153
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

horseshoe7@yahoo.com (stewart) wrote in message
news:<5f4fe4c2.0206111223.79113938@posting.google.com>...
>
> So - let me get this straight - you are saying that folks in and
> around Disneyland would operate converted high-power radios on an
> unlicensed service like MURS.... or FRS???? Funny, I haven't heard of
> ANYONE (especially YOU!)complaining about FRS users being jammed at
> Disneyland.

As usual, you fail to see the obvious. I was simple countering your
absurd statement that extra power on MURS has no benefit. I don't
know if MURS is being used in and around Disneyland...maybe you could
tell use MICKEY MOUSE!

>
> Your true colors are showing, Randy... you just seem to dislike MURS
> for some reason... what could it be??? Uuummmmm, I don't know... maybe
> SATAN!!! oops that's the CHURCH LADY.... no it couldn't be SATAN...
> Ummmmm - I don't know... maybe DATA!!!!

Again you assume too much! I plan to be all over MURS when the MURS
specific radios hit the market in BUBBLE-PACKS!

>
> Yes, Randy Hefner - DATA BIGOT EXTRAORDINAIRE.

Bigot? Strange language!? Is anyone who disagrees with you a bigot?

Randy
http://www.frs-usa.com

4239. F/A Pristine Pro-2042 UK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 17:44:13 UTC
From: ~The-Wizard~ <wizspamard@dxing.zzn.com>
Message-ID: <ae819d$57e$2@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 47154

http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1359707721

.

4240. Re: Short rubber duck??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 18:45:26 UTC
From: Tom Clyne <tc60@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3D0796C5.C09BF0D3@optonline.net>
Source record: 47155

So...

just what HELL did you MEAN by that $40 remark???

<g>

Tom

4241. Re: just grabbed a pro 2006 for 5$ and.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 19:17:21 UTC
From: ~The-Wizard~ <wizspamard@dxing.zzn.com>
Message-ID: <ae86o1$e11$2@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 47156

Well if you paid $7 for it a few years ago, I expect about $3 now. :-))
tell you what, I will give you "9 for it?, there, $2 dollars profit already.

--
~The Wizard~

Remove spam please.

.
"Special Agent Fox Mulder" <vstream_02@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:693-3D06369B-244@storefull-2375.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I got a BC-895 XLT? With full 800 mhz coverage for $7 a few years ago. I
> wonder how much it's value is.
>
> --
> "It's the static on your cellphone ma'am."
> -Sprint PCS man
> --
> http://www.angelfire.com/tx4/tt/Nokia3390.html
> Read my review on the Nokia 3390 Gold
> --
> http://angelfire.com/tx4/tt/index.html
> Visit My Site
> --
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/nokia3390ringtones/
> --
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/nokia5190
>

4242. Re: just grabbed a pro 2006 for 5$ and.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 19:20:40 UTC
From: ~The-Wizard~ <wizspamard@dxing.zzn.com>
Message-ID: <ae86u7npr1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 47157
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yeah Sure. nearly as good as the Icom 9000 I got four $2.00.

--
~The Wizard~

Remove spam please.

.
"bhang" <bhang657@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:a25bf697.0206111207.672d95e4@posting.google.com...
> For all who think i'm lying about getting the pro2006 for 5$bucks,
> your just pissed that you laid out $$$ and I didn't, I got it at a
> yard sale, obviously some old man bought the thing new and when he
> died(or somthing like that) it got stuck on a shelf where a few years
> later somebody found it not knowing what it was worth or maybe even
> what it was stuck a 5$ price tag on it.
>
> I have already modded it to speed-up and unhandicap it, I would like
> to try the S-meter hack, but most of the other mods I don't yet
> understand, before last saturday I had almost no knowledge of RF
> scanning so take it easy on me.
> I would be intrested in attaching the thing to a PC or a palm os
> handheld(If anybody has even thought about palm/scanner interfaces),
> but other than that what mods are intresting for the 2006?
>
> I still am just using the colapsable stick ant. (0db, I know a little
> about RF my grandfather was a HAM-op)I am looking at an outdoor I live
> on the third floor of an urban apartment building in the northeast
> U.S. So that should improve recption alot.
>
> I am also looking for "police call" in any electronic format for
> conversion to palm os format.
> I have alot to learn so any informational links anybody has would help
> me out.
>
>
> bhang
>
> Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:<3D0518CA.8A6B456C@optonline.net>...
> > Depends on where you are!
> >
> > I could be inundated (if I so chose to be) with good "traffic" just
> > using the rubber duck. With a high, outdoor antenna, I could be doubly

> > inundated.
> >
> > As it stands now, with the rubber duck, I need to use the CTCSS feature
> > on many of the channels to keep the interference down. An outside
> > antenna would just make for worse problems, plus the additional problem
> > of strong stations overloading things.
> >
> > No thanks,
> >
> > On the other hand, there are some things (Marine band, for one) that I
> > don't receive well, and a high, outdoor antenna would help here.
> >
> > Walt
> >
> > Harris wrote:
> > >
> > > In rec.radio.scanner bhang <bhang657@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > I found this beast in mint condition (-manuals/box) at a yard sale
for
> > > > 5.00$ us, I have always been intrested in scanning but never had the
> > > > spare cash to lay out, But as luck would have it I have found a good
> > > > starter scanner on the cheap so I need some info, I have found a
freq
> > > > list for my area(northern delaware) and have read an online version
of
> > > > the pro2006 manual but I'm still not getting much "intresting
traffic"
> > > > any help would be appreciated...
> > >
> > > What are you using for an antenna? Don't expect to hear much without a
> > > good outdoor antenna. MAke sure the 10 dB attenuator is not switched
in.
> > >
> > > Art Harris

4243. Re: just grabbed a pro 2006 for 5$ and.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 19:27:09 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3D07A08C.782853B@USA.net>
Source record: 47158
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Outstanding, i've never been to China.

SB wrote:

> "Pappy@USA.net" wrote:
>
> >Excuse me for thinking out loud. In this day and age, anything is
> >possible. Stupid, I don't believe so.
>
> You not only post "stupid", you think "stupid" as well.
>
> Keep going - at your rate that hole you're digging for yourself will
> have you in China by the weekend.

4244. Re: Short rubber duck??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 19:32:51 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3D07A1E3.776063BA@USA.net>
Source record: 47159

Stupid, Bozo, is that the only words you know? Your the most critical
person in this group.

Your people skills really need improving.

SB wrote:

> "polaris SLH" wrote:
>
> >What a jerk he ask a stupid question and gets an answer then acts like
> >an ass he does this all the time what a low life!
>
> Hey ...BOZO. I liked the guy's reply about the $15 antenna.
>
> For the forty bucks I could spend on the first item mentioned (and I
> liked his reply too ...it was just too expensive after spending $280
> for the scanner and AC power supply) I can get both an antenna AND a
> case - but I don't need the case.

4245. BC245XLT and NiMH?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 19:39:52 UTC
From: skeets1@netscape.net
Message-ID: <3d07a336.202474252@News.CIS.DFN.DE>
Source record: 47160

I was wondering if the BC245XLT can properly charge NiMH's since it is originally set up for NiCd's?

Thanks.

skeeter

4246. Ping: TB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 19:53:51 UTC
From: Patty O'Doors <alone@home.net>
Message-ID: <oh9fguo3b9fpqh3c7b694rbovvm8brq0fg@4ax.com>
Source record: 47161

Must you shout in all your posts? Very rude.

4247. Re: Scanmaster scantenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 20:21:49 UTC
From: Den6N <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <ugfbbcodg2hu84@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47162

I have it as well, and it's a very strong receiver. I think the directionality may be due to
its being mounted on a metal mast, which would act as a reflector, but if it's mounted on, say, a PVC mast, it should lose that directionality to some extent. Now that I think of it, I believe there may have been some mention of this in the paperwork that comes with it.

Den6N
Putnam Co NY
****

"J Rob" <jrobmills@aol.comrx320> wrote in message
news:20020610222634.29530.00000858@mb-ft.aol.com...
> >have been using it for 2 months now
>
> Just curious, have you noticed it being directional? Someone mentioned that
> they put theirs on a small rotor and it seemed to help. Just looking at it you
> would think that it might be slightly directional.
>
>
>
> Rob Mills ~~~
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

4248. Re: Bearcat 101

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 20:22:17 UTC
From: David Lovell <d.lovell@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Z3ON8.37859$UT.2586841@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 47163

"Justice Gustine" <justicegustine@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:rlfdguckrc0fojuu8r43ciib9phrjto8t5@4ax.com...
> "David Lovell" <d.lovell@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >Dave Lovell, Electra.
> >
>
> !!
> Hey Dave!
> I've got a 101 that has one channel that won't program - it also has
> one LED that won't emit light - guess which channel the LED is on, eh?
> If I replaced the LED will it bring that channel back?
>
> The switch for the dead channel works - I used it in programming the
> other channels just fine.
>
> JG
> --
> "A Sound Mind. A Healthy Body. Pick One" Mr. Hedge

Hi Justice:

Well, of the few i've seen lately, its actually the LED that's gone bad!
Soooo, my best guess is that swapping it out will save the day.
Regrettably, i just gave the last of my supply of those to one
Dan Brinkman (a local techie); if you need me to have him contact you
with the correct style/color/shape'd LED, please advise.

Best regards,

Dave Lovell, W9DAG
Electra

'The Originators of Scanning Monitors'

Got TigerScan?
Sorry, but i can't tell you about it here, but try our review at
www.strongsignals.net

4249. Re: Short rubber duck??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 20:27:17 UTC
From: Den6N <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <ugfbln8hjced01@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47164

Careful, those kids can get expensive! 8^)

Den6N
Putnam Co NY
****

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:ajsbgugqtfh49q3nnpqb0ods70e8au2lhr@4ax.com...
> "comet" wrote:
>
> >The DB32 from Optoelectronics may fit your need. It is a little
> >expensive for what it is
>
> GEEZ!!! FORTY BUCKS??
>
> I'll put up with the 6-inch one I have now, or hire a kid to carry it
> for me! ;)

4250. Re: Scanmaster scantenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 20:53:23 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20020612165323.19237.00000910@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 47165

>on a metal mast, which would act as a reflector

Yeah, I was wishing the other day that I had purchased 3 while the shack was closing them out. I'm thinking that you could mount them in a fashon like the old CB Super Scanner antenna and use some form of remote switching (think the super scanner used reed switches) to rotate them. You would always have two reflecting and one hot and be able to rotate them electronicaly.
There I go, thinking out loud again.

Rob Mills ~~~

4251. Re: Short rubber duck??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 21:00:57 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3D07B688.85A28917@optonline.net>
Source record: 47166

Aw, c'mon! I thought I held that honor!

Walt

Pappy@USA.net wrote:
>
> Stupid, Bozo, is that the only words you know? Your the most critical
> person in this group.
>
> Your people skills really need improving.
>
> SB wrote:
>
> > "polaris SLH" wrote:
> >
> > >What a jerk he ask a stupid question and gets an answer then acts like
> > >an ass he does this all the time what a low life!
> >
> > Hey ...BOZO. I liked the guy's reply about the $15 antenna.
> >
> > For the forty bucks I could spend on the first item mentioned (and I
> > liked his reply too ...it was just too expensive after spending $280
> > for the scanner and AC power supply) I can get both an antenna AND a
> > case - but I don't need the case.

4252. Re: Powerful scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 21:58:00 UTC
From: KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <ItPN8.48971$pw3.1732@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 47167
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

SB - your statement is a complete lie!

At the time of your post, I had only made 2 posts on this subject.

Please, be honest in the future.

SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote
> When I read this, I decided to run a search and I came up with enough
> stuff from you on this subject to probably have you diagnosed as a
> paranoid schizo, or to at least have someone look into the possibility
> that you're a borderline stalker.
>
> Take your problems to a freakin' shrink, OK?

4253. Re: Freq hunt without Counter - Ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 21:59:17 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <bob@parnassXcutX.org>
Message-ID: <3d07c45b$0$3571$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 47168
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Using a GPS or a mapping program like DeLorme Street Atlas USA,
find the exact latitude and longitude of the tower.

Use those coordinates to search the FCC database for licensees
which have a transmitter site within a small distance of those
coordinates:

http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/lat_long.hts

On Fri, 17 May 2002 23:15:28 -0500, Bill wrote:

> There is a radio tower near my house, and I am trying to obtain the
> freq....I have no freq counter, such as the Scout
...

--
==
Bob Parnass, AJ9S Linux User www.parnass.org

4254. NiMH batterys in Minitor 3 pager??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 22:17:00 UTC
From: jayg359@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <20020612181700.08998.00000712@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 47169

Anyone try it?? i bought a set of Nickle metal batteries. Can they be charged in the pager or the rear of the charger??

Any help appreciated!
Jay Goldmark, NYS EMT-D
Captain, Woodmere FD Rescue

"Enjoy Life, this is not a dress rehearsal !!!"

(remove NOSPAM to reply)

4255. Re: BC245XLT and NiMH?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-12 23:36:57 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20020612193657.15497.00000400@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 47170

>I was wondering if the BC245XLT can properly charge NiMH's since it is originally set up for NiCd's?<

I use them in both a Pro-91 and Pro-94 and they are both set up for NiCads. Have been doing so for about a year and have not developed any problems that I can see.

Rob Mills ~~~

4256. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 00:07:28 UTC
From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5f4fe4c2.0206121607.7bcfd91d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47171
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com (Randy A. Hefner) wrote in message news:<b03b87e2.0206120920.68019cef@posting.google.com>...
> horseshoe7@yahoo.com (stewart) wrote in message news:<5f4fe4c2.0206111223.79113938@posting.google.com>...
> >
> > So - let me get this straight - you are saying that folks in and
> > around Disneyland would operate converted high-power radios on an
> > unlicensed service like MURS.... or FRS???? Funny, I haven't heard of
> > ANYONE (especially YOU!)complaining about FRS users being jammed at
> > Disneyland.
>
> As usual, you fail to see the obvious. I was simple countering your
> absurd statement that extra power on MURS has no benefit. I don't
> know if MURS is being used in and around Disneyland...maybe you could
> tell use MICKEY MOUSE!

Evidently, you didn't counter the ABSURD STATEMENT very well... so -
quit trying to shine your "evil" light on MURS... it just keeps
getting reflected back on FRS, and to a certain extent GMRS.

> > Your true colors are showing, Randy... you just seem to dislike MURS
> > for some reason... what could it be??? Uuummmmm, I don't know... maybe
> > SATAN!!! oops that's the CHURCH LADY.... no it couldn't be SATAN...
> > Ummmmm - I don't know... maybe DATA!!!!
>
> Again you assume too much! I plan to be all over MURS when the MURS
> specific radios hit the market in BUBBLE-PACKS!
>
> >
> > Yes, Randy Hefner - DATA BIGOT EXTRAORDINAIRE.
>
> Bigot? Strange language!? Is anyone who disagrees with you a bigot?

No... but, the fact remains - you are a data bigot.

- Stewart

4257. Re: MDT Monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 01:46:27 UTC
From: "Mark R. Smith" <mark1smi@hotpop.com>
Message-ID: <mark1smi-7319A6.18462712062002@news.cwnet.com>
Source record: 47172

In article <dZHN8.1759$1l6.1053@nwrddc04.gnilink.net>,
"TB" <hithere@verizon.net> wrote:

> does that thing really work?
>
> "b7spectra" <webmaster@scanatlanta.com> wrote in message
> news:H6xH8.113719$fW5.21137949@typhoon.atl.ipsvc.net...
> > Looking for a copy of MDT Monitor.
> >
> > b7spectra@netscape.net
> >
> > --
> >
> > /\/\|k.e
> >
> > Michael B. Martin
> > Webmaster@ScanAtlanta.com
> > mm505@mindspring.com
> >
> >
> >
> >

Here's the Site...http://www.chenmei.fsnet.co.uk/
and then click on the link for soundcard decoders. MDTmon is about a
quarter to a third down the page.

MDTmon works GREAT! But only to decode the Motorola 4800 protocol.
Not all MDTs use this protocol.

Mark
Davis, Ca. USA

--
mark1smi@hotpop.com
Mark Smith
Davis, Ca. USA

4258. Re: Can anyone please help program my bearcat 780xlt for Opelika Alabama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 02:22:16 UTC
From: globalcabl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020612222216.09385.00002393@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 47173

i need help getting in all the opelika al info

4259. Re: Please explain 'trunking' for me!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 04:13:20 UTC
From: bkurt <bkurt@bumby.com>
Message-ID: <BZUN8.249$ja3.149426@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 47174

Try this site

http://www.trunktracker.com/

kurt

"TB" <hithere@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:IUHN8.1758$1l6.333@nwrddc04.gnilink.net...
> HOW MANY HOURS DO YOU HAVE?
>
> I SUGGEST A BOOK.
>
> -TB
>
>
> "Dave L" <CoolDave_0@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1fa6f03d.0205231708.77c86ada@posting.google.com...
> > I need some help. My normal Pro-43 scanner can recieve these 800MHz
> > signals, but what is the benifit of a trunked scanner? Thanks
> >
> > Dave
> >
>
>

4260. Re: Can anyone please help program my bearcat 780xlt for Opelika Alabama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 05:13:03 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020613011303.23522.00001177@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 47175

First, return it and get a Pro-93 at the local Rat Shack. Awesome units. Then e-mail me and I will personally assist you in programming (via e-mail) your new unit.
Eric G.
education CANNOT buy common sense

4261. Re: Radio shack return policy sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 06:16:43 UTC
From: Dwayne Hineland <Dubbin@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.177202d2ef04f1c0989684@news.col.sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 47176

Heck the guys at my local radio shack are so dumb that when I returned a
radio I bought with a credit card they gave me cash back.

In article <3CFF9238.7020904@astound.net>, bearcat@astound.net says...
> I returned my pro 2067 to find out that even though I paid cash, I would
> be mailed a check within 14 days of return. Anyone else have this
> problem? That's the last time I purchase anything from that company.
> Randy
>
>

4262. Re: BC245XLT and NiMH?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 06:23:01 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <cPWN8.724$p07.36764@sooner.brightok.net>
Source record: 47177

I bought the NiMH pack that PrimeCell makes for the 245 and have been using it now for about a year, works like a champ! Extend the charging time to 24 hrs, and you're good to go. I wouldn't say it's the best thing since beer in cans, as I prefer my beer in bottles, but it's a great improvement on the factory NiCad pack!

Mark

"I believe a self-righteous liberal Democrat with a cause is more dangerous than a Hell's Angel with an attitude." -- Ted Nugent

<skeets1@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:3d07a336.202474252@News.CIS.DFN.DE...
> I was wondering if the BC245XLT can properly charge NiMH's since it is
> originally set up for NiCd's?
>
> Thanks.
>
> skeeter

4263. FS: Like New UBC 3000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 07:33:14 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <_UXN8.45688$zh2.13423322@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 47178
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bought new recently Uniden UBC 3000XLT with, PS, very nice case, telescoping antenna w/swivel, manual, $295 + shipping CONUS only. Perfect cond. Selling to buy new Icom R75.
DeWayne KE9K

4264. Re: M.U.R.S??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 09:01:28 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3D085F68.71EEFE6B@mitre.org>
Source record: 47179

Dave;
here are the MURS frequencies
151.625
151.700
154.570
154.600
151.820
151.880
151.920
I got the MURS out of a memory bank on a Radio Shack PRO - 90
This may not be all of them OR likely some extra ones.
Some may be "dot" frequencies or are itinerant allocations
hears a web site with more of the same
<http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/genfreq.html>
The site is an east coast outfit BUT they have information
that is nationwide in scope!
If you have a radio scanner with 200 to 500 or more memories,
filling up a bank or two with MURS, itinerant, "dot" or Family Service
Radio -
GRMS frequencies helps when coming across a unknown hand held.
Just bring up the bank of these low power business frequencies
or do a SEARCH in and around them and you'll find the users!
It's a great feeling of accomplishment to scan a bank or do a search
then find the unknown frequency and have the user coming over
the scanner radio receive. Lots of times you can see the person
as they are talking on the hand held, as your listening to them.
This is when a pair of walkman headphones or an earphone comes in
handy, discretion is always in order while working a radio scanner out
in a public places.
If your in a noisy environment headphones help with understanding
reception!
Even if a base station is the only comm gear available, having this mix
of
business frequencies in a memory banks can help out when unknown users
are in the neighborhood or around town.
A frequency counter can help find unknown frequencies. However
the counter has to be really close to a transceiver,
within ten (10) feet or one hundred (100) yards
with the more expensive units.
Jim

David M Schertzer wrote:

> Wuz that mean??

4265. Re: Radio shack return policy sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 12:09:16 UTC
From: The Other Harry <hc.me@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <ep2hgu4t9pcujjk5i8p54aa0mbtblv8ka7@4ax.com>
Source record: 47180

[On Thu, 06 Jun 2002 11:47:52 -0500, Randy <bearcat@astound.net>
wrote:]

> I returned my pro 2067 to find out that even though I paid cash, I would
> be mailed a check within 14 days of return. Anyone else have this
> problem? That's the last time I purchase anything from that company.

You don't know what you're talking about. Is your IQ over 80?

4266. Re: x10 camera system for sale complete 200US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 12:34:57 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ugh4eim1t17nb4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47181

Damn, wish I would have bought those ones over at Kmart when they clearanced
them out for $50 each. Could have made a fortune...

PH

"JJJ" <oakvillecub@cogeco.ca> wrote in message
news:hoAM8.71357$Yt.2741180@read1.cgocable.net...
> i have for sale:
>
> a complete x10 wireless camera system for sale.
>
> comes with many things, email for particulars
>
> thanks
> oakvillecub@cogeco.ca
>
> 200.00US shipped
>
>

4267. WX Sat receivers and Ethernet QRN on 137.5?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 13:31:18 UTC
From: Steve Uhrig <Steve@swssec.com>
Message-ID: <ui6hgucmtujov6j7vmior9ig3hr09kdolc@4ax.com>
Source record: 47182

Hi all,

Does anyone have any experience with Ethernet hubs generating loud signals on 137.5 megacycles, right on top of one of the WX sat frequencies?

I'm using a Hamtronics R139 weather satellite receiver and an inexpensive no name Pacific Rim 8 port 10/100 autosense hub. The hub has been working fine for a year or more, and I just finished building the Wefax receiver.

I'm hearing a loud strong dead carrier on 137.5 megacycles, the middle of the 5 sat channels. I traced it to the hub. When I kill power to the hub the signal drops completely.

Considering the receiver wants to scan all 5 weather sat channels, the strong signal locks up the scan. The receiver does not have the ability to lock out a channel, and that's not the ultimate solution.

The hub has 6 machines on it between two buildings, and the network works perfectly. But all those Cat 5 cables running around I can picture as being antennas, radiating that noise on 137.5 effectively all around.

The satellite receiver is located immediately next to a computer on the network, which is necessary because the satellite imaging software needs the network to print sat images on the color printer and laser printer both of which are in other parts of the building. It's not possible to kill power to the hub when there is a satellite pass, as the hub is needed for network operations which are going on more or less continuously, and I'm not going to look for specific sat passes, just let the receiver scan and suck up anything from any satellite it finds.

I can't replace the Cat 5 with Cat 5E, because most of it is buried in walls and was installed when the buildings were built or remodeled.

Has anyone else experienced this?

If anyone has a network with a hub or switch, could you tune a receiver or spectrum analyzer to the Wefax freqs and see if anything radiates from your hub/switch?

The freqs are:

137.3
137.4
137.5

137.62
137.85

I could simply replace the hub and hope the one I put in does not radiate anything on any of the above freqs, but I don't know if the interference is my particular brand or design of hub, or something to do with Ethernet and networks in general. Perhaps something at the 100 megabit network speed generates the 137 megacycle interference?

I'm looking for input from anyone who has the ability to receive at 137 plus has a network installed. My computer friends are not the types to have tunable receivers which will cover 137 megacycles.

The sat signals down there are wide, and the Hamtronics (and all Wefax) recievers are specially built to have wide I.F filters to accommodate the 38 kc deviation used for Wefax. So if you tune there, try both narrow and wide FM demodulators.

If no one else sees anything on the above freqs radiating from their hub or switch, I'll simply replace my hub with a newer switch and hope the problem will go away with that.

If the spur is associated with 100 megabit Ethernet, then I'm probably screwed at least on that one frequency.

Would appreciate any assistance from anyone who has the equipment to sniff their hubs.

Thanks ... Steve WA3SWS

****
Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)
Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip
mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190
"In God we trust, all others we monitor"
****

4268. big brother frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 14:08:25 UTC
From: kimboybarnard@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020613100825.28802.00000001@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 47183

has any body the frequencies for big brother? they were on the list a week or so ago but i missed them

4269. Antenna in the car?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 14:23:49 UTC
From: Greg <not@shown.here>
Message-ID: <kkahgu860t6914d11nsrn6nnl007qd62u4@4ax.com>
Source record: 47184

I am wondering if there's any kind of antenna I can mount in the trunk of my car or something that'll give me decent reception. I know, something mounted outside would be much better, but that's really not an option for me at the moment.

Any suggestions?

4270. Re: Antenna in the car?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 14:34:59 UTC
From: kimboybarnard@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020613103459.23134.00000008@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 47185

y not use a mag mount stuck to a biscuit tin tid the mag mount i use on my foof is only about 12 inches high

4271. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 14:56:22 UTC
From: randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com
Message-ID: <b03b87e2.0206130656.5397f1f9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47186
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

horseshoe7@yahoo.com (stewart) wrote in message
news:<5f4fe4c2.0206121607.7bcfd91d@posting.google.com>...
> Evidently, you didn't counter the ABSURD STATEMENT very well... so -
> quit trying to shine your "evil" light on MURS... it just keeps
> getting reflected back on FRS, and to a certain extent GMRS.
>

Yes or no? Do you stand by your statement that extra power on MURS
will not help your communications?

>
> No... but, the fact remains - you are a data bigot.
>
> - Stewart

Now Stew. Why on earth would you label someone a bigot in relations
to a view on MURS radio? Resorting to this type of verbal abuse is a
sign of a weak mind. You assume I am against MURS. You could not be
farther from the truth! I intend to jump into MURS when the MURS
specific radios hit the market in sufficient numbers to cause price
competition. As for the "bigot" statement...It is REAL easy to use
such "slurs" when one HIDES behind a keyboard.

Randy
http://www.frs-usa.com

4272. Rat Shack - NEED Mica Insulators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 16:04:01 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ughgmk7eiuoibd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47187

Stopped in today. Usual Situation.. Clueless.... Local store has a manager that proudly displays "3 Years of Service" Manager after only 3 years? Must have quite the turn around rate!

Anyway... Looking for some mica insulators for TO-220 transistors. "I have NO idea. If they aren't hanging up over there by the transistors, where you were looking, then we don't have them."

Another useless trip.

Does anybody have some TO-220 mica insulators they can sell me cheap? Where do you find these things without having to buy hundreds of them? Anybody have some laying around? I'll send you a stamped envelope, and whatever you want for them (within reason). All I need is two (2).

Thanks,
PH

4273. Roanoke, VA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 16:30:54 UTC
From: Corey <coreyf@ntelos.net>
Message-ID: <dO3O8.2657$bi1.1323@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 47188
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Just moved to Roanoke, VA and was wondering if anyone could assist me in Trunk Id's for the City/County. (I have Police Call but not all Id's are mentioned) and regular frequencies other than trunks that are good to listen to in the area. I have a few other questions as well about trunking in this area. Any help is appreciated.

Thanks,

Corey

4274. Re: Rat Shack - NEED Mica Insulators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 16:33:19 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ughidgmoqmebe0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47189

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:ksghgu0ueqnfjljmp9ergbei22vgj4676r@4ax.com...
> "Power House" wrote:
>
> >Stopped in today. Usual Situation.. Clueless....
>
> The question that pops into my mind at this point is: if that's the
> norm, then why do you go back?? You've nothing to bitch about if you
> consider the service shitty but keep returning.
>
I return in hopes they JUST MIGHT have what I need, so I don't have to work my ass off trying to find a place to get the stuff... I live in a small area, and there are NO local options besides Rat Shack. If I have another choice, I'd gladly do it...

> >Local store has a manager that proudly displays "3 Years
> >of Service"
>
> You knocking the manager for that?
>
Nope. Knocking him because he doesn't know shit, and does not deserve to manage the place.

> >Manager after only 3 years?
> >Must have quite the turn around rate!
>
> If you knew anything about the workings in the retail trade, you'd
> know that it doesn't take long to become a specialty store manager.
>
That's too bad really... Goes to show you how high the standards are these days. You can become a manager of a place you hadly know anything about...

> And, yes ...there is a HUGE turnover in most of retail.
>
> Customers with a walk-in shitty attitude is only one of the reasons.
>
I don't have a shitty attitude until I run into an employee that is clueless...

PH

4275. Re: WX Sat receivers and Ethernet QRN on 137.5?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 16:53:53 UTC
From: Steve Bossert <kc2gog@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <y64O8.20174$MW2.1090463@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 47190

get sometype of metal box to put the hub in and get RF ferite chokes from
radioshack to put on each of the cat5 cables. that should knock out most of
the noise. i had a similiar problem with a radio -computer control
application
"Steve Uhrig" <Steve@swssec.com> wrote in message
news:ui6hgucmtujov6j7vmior9ig3hr09kdolc@4ax.com...
> Hi all,
>
> Does anyone have any experience with Ethernet hubs generating loud
> signals on 137.5 megacycles, right on top of one of the WX sat
> frequencies?
>
> I'm using a Hamtronics R139 weather satellite receiver and an
> inexpensive no name Pacific Rim 8 port 10/100 autosense hub. The hub
> has been working fine for a year or more, and I just finished building
> the Wefax receiver.
>
> I'm hearing a loud strong dead carrier on 137.5 megacycles, the middle
> of the 5 sat channels. I traced it to the hub. When I kill power to
> the hub the signal drops completely.
>
> Considering the receiver wants to scan all 5 weather sat channels, the
> strong signal locks up the scan. The receiver does not have the
> ability to lock out a channel, and that's not the ultimate solution.
>
> The hub has 6 machines on it between two buildings, and the network
> works perfectly. But all those Cat 5 cables running around I can
> picture as being antennas, radiating that noise on 137.5 effectively
> all around.
>
> The satellite receiver is located immediately next to a computer on
> the network, which is necessary because the satellite imaging software
> needs the network to print sat images on the color printer and laser
> printer both of which are in other parts of the building. It's not
> possible to kill power to the hub when there is a satellite pass, as
> the hub is needed for network operations which are going on more or
> less continuously, and I'm not going to look for specific sat passes,
> just let the receiver scan and suck up anything from any satellite it
> finds.
>
> I can't replace the Cat 5 with Cat 5E, because most of it is buried in
> walls and was installed when the buildings were built or remodeled.
>
> Has anyone else experienced this?

>
> If anyone has a network with a hub or switch, could you tune a
> receiver or spectrum analyzer to the Wefax freqs and see if anything
> radiates from your hub/switch?
>
> The freqs are:
>
> 137.3
> 137.4
> 137.5
> 137.62
> 137.85
>
> I could simply replace the hub and hope the one I put in does not
> radiate anything on any of the above freqs, but I don't know if the
> interference is my particular brand or design of hub, or something to
> do with Ethernet and networks in general. Perhaps something at the 100
> megabit network speed generates the 137 megacycle interference?
>
> I'm looking for input from anyone who has the ability to receive at
> 137 plus has a network installed. My computer friends are not the
> types to have tunable receivers which will cover 137 megacycles.
>
> The sat signals down there are wide, and the Hamtronics (and all
> Wefax) recievers are specially built to have wide I.F filters to
> accommodate the 38 kc deviation used for Wefax. So if you tune there,
> try both narrow and wide FM demodulators.
>
> If no one else sees anything on the above freqs radiating from their
> hub or switch, I'll simply replace my hub with a newer switch and hope
> the problem will go away with that.
>
> If the spur is associated with 100 megabit Ethernet, then I'm probably
> screwed at least on that one frequency.
>
> Would appreciate any assistance from anyone who has the equipment to
> sniff their hubs.
>
> Thanks ... Steve WA3SWS
>
> **
> Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)
> Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip
> mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
> tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190
> "In God we trust, all others we monitor"
> **

4276. Need scanning speed mod for PRO-2022

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 17:35:03 UTC
From: trauma521@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020613133503.02724.00000043@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 47191

Hello. I have a Realistic PRO-2022 200 channel scanner. The problem I am having is that it scans pretty slow, and sometimes I miss parts of transmissions. I have heard there is a modification to increase the scan speed, but I have been unable to locate it. If any one has it, or could point me in the right direction, that would be great! Thank you in advance.
Glenn- KB2GQZ

4277. ICOM R100 ForSale / Trade ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 18:28:28 UTC
From: Don Baker <DocDB@excite.com>
Message-ID: <qz5O8.395$QN5.9433@news.abs.net>
Source record: 47192

Too much radio, I am just using it to monitor 2 meters. Of course it is pre-block. Radio is in nice shape, but XYL dump the box and manual. $400/OBrO. Buyer pays shipping and insurance from 21210.

Thanks,
Don
N2MCG@arrl.net

4278. Re: big brother frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 19:42:39 UTC
From: "Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca>
Message-ID: <Pine.A41.4.05.10206131342240.39904-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca>
Source record: 47099

www.1984.com

On 13 Jun 2002, Kimboybarnard wrote:

> has any body the frequencies for big brother? they were on the list a week or
> so ago but i missed them
>
>

4279. Re: Antenna in the car?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 19:45:08 UTC
From: kimboybarnard@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020613154508.19884.00000040@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 47193

y cant u use a mag mount aeriel??????????????????????

4280. big brother frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 19:50:30 UTC
From: kimboybarnard@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020613155030.19901.00000045@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 47194

can anybody please supply the o/b frequencies for the big brother production team fanx

4281. Re: big brother frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 19:55:56 UTC
From: miketeachr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020613155556.17872.00000052@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 47195

Big Brother Production Team?

Is that another way of saying your Parents?

Mike

4282. Re: big brother frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 20:00:58 UTC
From: kimboybarnard@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020613160058.19926.00000024@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 47196

no y?

4283. Re: Antenna in the car?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 20:05:36 UTC
From: maystar <maystar@abuse.com>
Message-ID: <ughuocqige0v8c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47097

In the Durham Radio catalog there is a portable scanner antenna, item number SCAN4, that is a 23" wire with suction cups on both ends and an 82" lead in cable with a BNC connector for the radio. Basically you could stick it across the inside back windshield of your car. Price is $12 Canadian... probably about $8 US. Phone number for Durham Radio is 888-426-1688. I highly recommend them.

"Greg" <not@shown.here> wrote in message news:kkahgu860t6914d11nsrn6nnl007qd62u4@4ax.com...
> I am wondering if there's any kind of antenna I can mount in the trunk
> of my car or something that'll give me decent reception. I know,
> something mounted outside would be much better, but that's really not
> an option for me at the moment.
>
> Any suggestions?

4284. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 20:10:04 UTC
From: horseshoe7@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5f4fe4c2.0206131210.5eccc301@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47098
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com (Randy A. Hefner) wrote in message news:<b03b87e2.0206130656.5397f1f9@posting.google.com>...
> horseshoe7@yahoo.com (stewart) wrote in message news:<5f4fe4c2.0206121607.7bcfd91d@posting.google.com>...
> > Evidently, you didn't counter the ABSURD STATEMENT very well... so -
> > quit trying to shine your "evil" light on MURS... it just keeps
> > getting reflected back on FRS, and to a certain extent GMRS.
> >
>
> Yes or no? Do you stand by your statement that extra power on MURS
> will not help your communications?

Cookoo! Cookoo!

Yes, I know, Randy - it's Two O'Clock

Now, will you try to come back to Earth and read what I actually write, instead of making things up in your brain... go back to the original statement(which you've attempted to WARP into something else)... that "The use of External Power Amplifiers provides virtually no benefit on MURS and GMRS".

I'll tell you what - you can also quote me on this one: "The use of Mobile Radios is not a significant cause of interference on MURS".

But, now, try to use and understand MY WORDS and MY POSITION on the issues - not some words you've made up in your own head that you THINK represent my position.

> >
> > No... but, the fact remains - you are a data bigot.
> >
> > - Stewart
>
> Now Stew. Why on earth would you label someone a bigot in relations
> to a view on MURS radio? Resorting to this type of verbal abuse is a
> sign of a weak mind. You assume I am against MURS. You could not be
> farther from the truth! I intend to jump into MURS when the MURS
> specific radios hit the market in sufficient numbers to cause price
> competition. As for the "bigot" statement...It is REAL easy to use
> such "slurs" when one HIDES behind a keyboard.

Definition of a bigot: A person who holds blindly and intolerantly to a particular opinion.

Yes, Randy - you are a MURS data bigot... because it is impossible for you to be reasoned with on this issue.

- Stewart

4285. Re: WX Sat receivers and Ethernet QRN on 137.5?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 20:30:08 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <kj7O8.129$on3.76282@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 47100

Steve,

Have you tried power up the hub with all of the data cables
disconnected? Many times it is the power line that is letting the RF
out of the hub/device and not the data cables. Just a thought.

Glenn

In article <y64O8.20174$MW2.1090463@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>,
kc2gog@amsat.org says...
> get sometype of metal box to put the hub in and get RF ferite chokes from
> radioshack to put on each of the cat5 cables. that should knock out most of
> the noise. i had a similiar problem with a radio -computer control
> application
> "Steve Uhrig" <Steve@swssec.com> wrote in message
> news:ui6hgucmtujov6j7vmior9ig3hr09kdolc@4ax.com...
> > Hi all,
> >
> > Does anyone have any experience with Ethernet hubs generating loud
> > signals on 137.5 megacycles, right on top of one of the WX sat
> > frequencies?
> >
> > I'm using a Hamtronics R139 weather satellite receiver and an
> > inexpensive no name Pacific Rim 8 port 10/100 autosense hub. The hub
> > has been working fine for a year or more, and I just finished building
> > the Wefax receiver.
> >
> > I'm hearing a loud strong dead carrier on 137.5 megacycles, the middle
> > of the 5 sat channels. I traced it to the hub. When I kill power to
> > the hub the signal drops completely.
> >
> > Considering the receiver wants to scan all 5 weather sat channels, the
> > strong signal locks up the scan. The receiver does not have the
> > ability to lock out a channel, and that's not the ultimate solution.
> >
> > The hub has 6 machines on it between two buildings, and the network
> > works perfectly. But all those Cat 5 cables running around I can
> > picture as being antennas, radiating that noise on 137.5 effectively
> > all around.
> >
> > The satellite receiver is located immediately next to a computer on
> > the network, which is necessary because the satellite imaging software
> > needs the network to print sat images on the color printer and laser
> > printer both of which are in other parts of the building. It's not
> > possible to kill power to the hub when there is a satellite pass, as

> > the hub is needed for network operations which are going on more or
> > less continuously, and I'm not going to look for specific sat passes,
> > just let the receiver scan and suck up anything from any satellite it
> > finds.
> >
> > I can't replace the Cat 5 with Cat 5E, because most of it is buried in
> > walls and was installed when the buildings were built or remodeled.
> >
> > Has anyone else experienced this?
> >
> > If anyone has a network with a hub or switch, could you tune a
> > receiver or spectrum analyzer to the Wefax freqs and see if anything
> > radiates from your hub/switch?
> >
> > The freqs are:
> >
> > 137.3
> > 137.4
> > 137.5
> > 137.62
> > 137.85
> >
> > I could simply replace the hub and hope the one I put in does not
> > radiate anything on any of the above freqs, but I don't know if the
> > interference is my particular brand or design of hub, or something to
> > do with Ethernet and networks in general. Perhaps something at the 100
> > megabit network speed generates the 137 megacycle interference?
> >
> > I'm looking for input from anyone who has the ability to receive at
> > 137 plus has a network installed. My computer friends are not the
> > types to have tunable receivers which will cover 137 megacycles.
> >
> > The sat signals down there are wide, and the Hamtronics (and all
> > Wefax) recievers are specially built to have wide I.F filters to
> > accommodate the 38 kc deviation used for Wefax. So if you tune there,
> > try both narrow and wide FM demodulators.
> >
> > If no one else sees anything on the above freqs radiating from their
> > hub or switch, I'll simply replace my hub with a newer switch and hope
> > the problem will go away with that.
> >
> > If the spur is associated with 100 megabit Ethernet, then I'm probably
> > screwed at least on that one frequency.
> >
> > Would appreciate any assistance from anyone who has the equipment to
> > sniff their hubs.
> >
> > Thanks ... Steve WA3SWS
> >
> > **********

> > Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)
> > Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip
> > mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
> > tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190
> > "In God we trust, all others we monitor"
> > **
>
>
>

4286. Re: Rat Shack - NEED Mica Insulators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 21:08:35 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <oc2igusnqnfj5egnru9gsfnltruse2g3jb@4ax.com>
Source record: 47101

Fresh from a command appearance on The View, M2310@webtv.net moaned...

>x-no-archive: yes
>
>Go back and tell him you want catalog#
>276-1373. Has mica insulators, screws, washers.
>
>http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?cookie%5Ftest=1&catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname
=CTLG%5F005%5F007%5F005%5F000&product%5Fid=276%2D1373

If the guy was smart, he'd have looked in the catalog _before_ going to the store,
and he'd have HAD the part number ready.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

4287. Re: Rat Shack - NEED Mica Insulators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 21:40:38 UTC
From: maystar <maystar@abuse.com>
Message-ID: <ugi4an7aoeomb2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47102

99% of their salespeople wouldn't have a clue about this. That's normal. You need to get a catalog and supply the item number to them. Give them an item number and they usually become quite helpful.

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message news:ughgmk7eiuoibd@corp.supernews.com...

> Anyway... Looking for some mica insulators for TO-220 transistors. "I have
> NO idea. If they aren't hanging up over there by the transistors, where you
> were looking, then we don't have them."

4288. Uniden customer service sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 21:58:41 UTC
From: JT <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <IA8O8.175616$352.8884@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 47103

I sent in my 780 for repair in late April; they gave me a June 6th ship date (at the latest supposedly); on June 7th I called them, as the website didn't reflect the unit being shipped. They told me that the unit had been tampered with (not true), and that if I wanted it repaired it would cost $56. They had me over a barrel, so I did pay them. I am amazed that they had the unit for the better part of a month, and apparently didn't even touch it until June 6th. Here we are a couple of weeks after I have paid them, and no scanner, and it has not even been repaired yet. They sent me an e-mail saying it was due to be shipped yesterday, but it still is sitting in the warehouse as we speak. When I called a customer service rep today, the guy was rude and told me I'd get it "in a couple of weeks maybe" and nothing more.

Buyer beware; apparently customer service ends at the time of the purchase with Uniden.

4289. New Icom r/c software available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 22:31:43 UTC
From: Steve Matzura <number6@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <bj6hgu4m6bumuhdtg0keobip3onksqh7qn@4ax.com>
Source record: 47104
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Check out http://www.parnass.org for some new Icom
radio-controllerware from Bob Parnass, a top-knotch/top-level
developer, technical writer and amateur radio operator. I don't own
any Icom radios so I can't vouch for how any of this software is, but
I've read some of Bob's articles so I don't think you'll go wrong in
trying them out.

4290. Re: Antenna in the car?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 22:44:49 UTC
From: Greg <not@shown.here>
Message-ID: <8r7igu01pq6fs9nf6hq9f1gdf6uadsq8ut@4ax.com>
Source record: 47105

On Thu, 13 Jun 2002 15:05:36 -0500, "maystar" <maystar@abuse.com>
wrote:

>In the Durham Radio catalog there is a portable scanner antenna, item number
>SCAN4, that is a 23" wire with suction cups on both ends and an 82" lead in
>cable with a BNC connector for the radio. Basically you could stick it
>across the inside back windshield of your car. Price is $12 Canadian...
>probably about $8 US. Phone number for Durham Radio is 888-426-1688. I
>highly recommend them.

That might work.

I had just been using the regular antenna that came with my bc780xlt,
collapsed and sideways, with the scanner on top of my dash. It worked
so so - well enough to hear the local PD, but not too much further
out.

This morning I went out and picked up a Radio Shack magnetic mount
thing (Part number 20-032). Holy cow what a difference that makes!

I've had frequencies programmed into this thing since I got it a year
and a half ago that I'd never heard traffic on. As soon as I hooked
that thing up, I was hearing all sorts of stuff.

So maybe I'll just stick with the mag mount. If I'm gonna go
somewheres where that is not an option, I can always just stick it
back in the trunk.

4291. Re: Antenna in the car?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 22:47:12 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aeb7c6$58q4f$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47106

"Greg" <not@shown.here> wrote in message
news:kkahgu860t6914d11nsrn6nnl007qd62u4@4ax.com...
> I am wondering if there's any kind of antenna I can mount in the trunk
> of my car or something that'll give me decent reception. I know,
> something mounted outside would be much better, but that's really not
> an option for me at the moment.
>
> Any suggestions?

You need to mount the antenna on the car, not inside the trunk. Putting an antenna in the trunk would shield the antenna from RF, so wouldn't work well at all. You would only be able to hear the strongest signals, and that's probably only if you're driving by the antenna tower.

If you don't want a big huge antenna, you might try one of those cellular look-alike scanner antennas. They seem to work well. On the other hand, get one of those splitters that you connect between the car antenna and the car radio. This will split the existing car antenna so you can use it for a scanner antenna and its original purpose.

Tom

4292. Re: New Icom r/c software available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 22:51:48 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aeb7kr$5khd4$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47107
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Steve Matzura" <number6@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:bj6hgu4m6bumuhdtg0keobip3onksqh7qn@4ax.com...
> Check out http://www.parnass.org for some new Icom
> radio-controllerware from Bob Parnass, a top-knotch/top-level
> developer, technical writer and amateur radio operator. I don't own
> any Icom radios so I can't vouch for how any of this software is, but
> I've read some of Bob's articles so I don't think you'll go wrong in
> trying them out.
>

I've been playing with his TK-75 software that controls the Icom R75. Aside from occasional bouts of locking up, it is a fine program. I haven't determined why the program locks up, but it may be my computer as nobody else has reported the problem.

Tom

4293. radio shack pro 28 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 23:26:58 UTC
From: redarrow1uk@yahoo.co.uk
Message-ID: <4514f6d9.0206131526.42818773@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47108

can anyone help i need a copy of the operating instructions if so
reply to redarrow1uk@yahoo.co.uk thanks

4294. Re: Antenna in the car?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 23:32:07 UTC
From: Greg <not@shown.here>
Message-ID: <jqaigu0une3ocqt3b3fn1d8v4los78cb5p@4ax.com>
Source record: 47109

On Thu, 13 Jun 2002 17:47:12 -0500, "Tom Sevart"
<n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>If you don't want a big huge antenna, you might try one of those cellular
>look-alike scanner antennas. They seem to work well. On the other hand,
>get one of those splitters that you connect between the car antenna and the
>car radio. This will split the existing car antenna so you can use it for a
>scanner antenna and its original purpose.

Interesting, I'd never heard of one of those. I wonder how well they
work...

4295. Re: Uniden customer service sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 23:41:58 UTC
From: JT <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <a5aO8.60883$pw3.2148@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 47110

It was to me.

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
>
> That's not news.

> "JT" wrote:
>
> >Buyer beware; apparently customer service ends at the time of the
purchase
> >with Uniden.

4296. Re: Scanner Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-13 23:52:11 UTC
From: bill <scalp2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <LeaO8.147446$%J4.2825723@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 47111
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

they don't make the 93.
"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:9foegukipv1mrbkhp9ts0m2p6og71d2cmb@4ax.com...
> "JTB" wrote:
>
> >I must have missed a previous thread.. .."b"-word?? What are you
> >refering to
>
> "B"earcat.
>

4297. Niagara Region Canada Trunk Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 00:10:48 UTC
From: Denis <broberts1254@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3yaO8.54143$op.5058785@read2.cgocable.net>
Source record: 47112

Does anyone have trunking info for the Niagara Region???

Thanks

4298. Re: Scanner Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 00:15:04 UTC
From: JT <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <cAaO8.61218$pw3.1575@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 47113
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

No, I am not stupid, asshole. Someone insulted me a while back for mentioning "the b word", and I was just defending the product (although I won't defend their customer service, but that's another story). I am acutely aware of what Realistic produces, and who produces it for them, but they still don't SELL anything that is as good as the 780.

JT

"TB" <hithere@verizon.net> wrote in message news:Y7IN8.1766$1l6.1145@nwrddc04.gnilink.net...
> "B" WORD???
>
> WHAT ARE YOU STUPID THE "B" WORD MAKE MOST OF ALL THE RADIO SHACK SCANNERS.
>
> EXCEPT FOR 2!!!!
>
> hahahahhahahhahahha LOL
>
> -TB
>
>
> "ff-micp" <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in message
> news:sskC8.1354$L76.276@rwcrnsc53...
> > I'd say the BC780 would work in just about any system other than digital,
> > and certainly would work on conventional anywhere (provided they are in
> the
> > US). There isn't anything else out there that compares for the price, and
> > realistic doesn't have anything comparable either (to those that don't
> like
> > the B word).
> >
> > > also those replying,try not to just reply with what you know does the
> job
> > in
> > > your country or county,many times this has resulted in people buying the
> > > wrong scanner for the job,then they come and moan at those of us who
> sell
> > > the scanners.
> > >
> > >

> > > regards
> > >
> > > --
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Paul Wey[PROMA1]
> > >
> > > moderator of following scanning groups
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk
> > >
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio
> > >
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech
> > >
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > "ff-micp" <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in message
> > > news:yc1C8.49686$xv1.194@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> > > > Look at the BC780; it does this and I think it is the best
all-around
> > > > scanner out there, at least for the moment.
> > > >
> > > > "Corey Fisher" <coreyf@ntelos.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:CaWB8.11679$m26.929@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
> > > > > Does anyone make a scanner that will scan trunked channels and
> regular
> > > > > channels at the same time without having to swap back and forth
> > between
> > > > > the
> > > > > two? If so who what and where. Also I would like to know what the
> best
> > > > > scanner on the market is. BC895XLT is what I am looking at
> purchasing.
> > > > >
> > > > > Thanks,
> > > > >
> > > > > Corey
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >

> >
> >
> >
>
>

4299. Re: Uniden customer service sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 00:46:15 UTC
From: nvavro@ptd.net
Message-ID: <3d093d20.2968993@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 47115

On Thu, 13 Jun 2002 21:58:41 GMT, "JT" <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com>
wrote:

>I sent in my 780 for repair in late April; they gave me a June 6th ship date
>(at the latest supposedly); on June 7th I called them, as the website didn't
>reflect the unit being shipped. They told me that the unit had been tampered
>with (not true), and that if I wanted it repaired it would cost $56. They
>had me over a barrel, so I did pay them. I am amazed that they had the unit
>for the better part of a month, and apparently didn't even touch it until
>June 6th. Here we are a couple of weeks after I have paid them, and no
>scanner, and it has not even been repaired yet. They sent me an e-mail
>saying it was due to be shipped yesterday, but it still is sitting in the
>warehouse as we speak. When I called a customer service rep today, the guy
>was rude and told me I'd get it "in a couple of weeks maybe" and nothing
>more.
>
>Buyer beware; apparently customer service ends at the time of the purchase
>with Uniden.
>
>
Not to break your bubbles but I also had a Older Uniden bearcat 600
XLT with no nothing working and paid via credit card the $50.00
service charge and as predicted per their web site and via tracking I
had my scanner back in 3 weeks fixed and as they said. Maybe lucky but
happy to have it. It is the one with the preamp and CTCSS tone board
underneath I installed a few years back. Why I wanted it fixed so bad.
Nick

4300. FYI: Pro-2053 Software that works correctly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 00:55:02 UTC
From: scorp-99@nc.rr.com
Message-ID: <2ad43f3c.0206131655.6a8e967b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47114

Anyone interested in using their PC to load/configure their PRO-2053
may want to read my comments on available software that I've tried.

Disclamer: I not trying to assist anyone with sale of their
product(s), I just want to pass info along for others that may be
going through the same thing that I did...

As I'm sure you may already know, the radio shack CDROM/Data cable
does not work for the PRO-2053 and they do not seem to be in any
hurry to make one available. After spending a few hours surfing for
information on cables and software, I found a combination that
works perfectly.

I found two places that sold cables:

1) http://www.pfranc.com/pclink/ScannerDeal.shtml# $22.00

1-way and 2-way cables, but requires you to also buy :
Radio Shack PRO-93/PRO-2053 you'll need both of these: Radio Shack
sub-mini to mini stereo adapter #274-397 and Radio Shack mini stereo
to mono adapter 274-368c

2) http://pro93.com/ 1-way and 2-way cables (Buy the 2-way) $23.00

Works without extra adaptors (Works for me!)

I also found 2 software packages, both of which is free that will
read/write the Pro-2053 radio.

1) http://www.blackbagsoftware.com/pro93.com/pro93accessories.zip

At first I thought this was a great tool, but quickly found that it is
full of bugs and the interface is not modeled very well. It also lacks
functionaility and requires two different applications to read and
write. I spent several hours with this package before giving up.
It started out looking good, but ended up a big loser.
This application would not always download the changes to the
scanner correctly and would leave the radios memory void of any
settings. Bad........, very bad...

2) http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/win93/Win93.zip

After learning from the first package, I quickly learned to appreciate
the features of Win93. I also was very happy that it worked without
a problem. (no Out of Bounds errors and program faults!!!)
This application allows you to search on several things, copy/paste.
Move freq, tags and talk groups around and really control your
radios memory. I'm tickled with this application.

Conclusion... Use Win93 and buy a 2-way cable from Starsoft.
The cable is very simple and I may take the time to generate
the instructions for building one from RatShack parts in the future.

4301. Re: Uniden customer service sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 01:11:19 UTC
From: JT <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <XobO8.184631$cQ3.5623@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 47116

Glad you got lucky; wish I was.

JT

"Nick " <nvavro@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:3d093d20.2968993@news.ptd.net...
> On Thu, 13 Jun 2002 21:58:41 GMT, "JT" <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com>
> wrote:
>
> >I sent in my 780 for repair in late April; they gave me a June 6th ship
date
> >(at the latest supposedly); on June 7th I called them, as the website
didn't
> >reflect the unit being shipped. They told me that the unit had been
tampered
> >with (not true), and that if I wanted it repaired it would cost $56. They
> >had me over a barrel, so I did pay them. I am amazed that they had the
unit
> >for the better part of a month, and apparently didn't even touch it until
> >June 6th. Here we are a couple of weeks after I have paid them, and no
> >scanner, and it has not even been repaired yet. They sent me an e-mail
> >saying it was due to be shipped yesterday, but it still is sitting in the
> >warehouse as we speak. When I called a customer service rep today, the
guy
> >was rude and told me I'd get it "in a couple of weeks maybe" and nothing
> >more.
> >
> >Buyer beware; apparently customer service ends at the time of the
purchase
> >with Uniden.
> >
> >
> Not to break your bubbles but I also had a Older Uniden bearcat 600
> XLT with no nothing working and paid via credit card the $50.00
> service charge and as predicted per their web site and via tracking I
> had my scanner back in 3 weeks fixed and as they said. Maybe lucky but
> happy to have it. It is the one with the preamp and CTCSS tone board
> underneath I installed a few years back. Why I wanted it fixed so bad.
> Nick

4302. FS. radio shack pro 90 300 channel trunk tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 02:15:33 UTC
From: Magnum45 <Magnum45@mn.rr.com>
Message-ID: <9lcO8.7293$u86.134860@twister.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 47117
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

This is a radio shack pro 90 300 channel trunk tracker handheld scanner with
a brand new battery pack with nickel metal hydride batteries.
Freq range 29-956 mhz
Works and looks great condition.
Also has new belt clip.
Comes with ac/charger antenna and service manual.
You can download the manual from radio shacks web site.
$130 shipped lower 48 states ups insured.
E-mail me direct or for pics of scanner.

4303. Re: FS. radio shack pro 90 300 channel trunk tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 03:02:19 UTC
From: Just Joe <nospam@rr.com>
Message-ID: <a4nigukbqpeh86npl48qr1tgs0t4bn4qmp@4ax.com>
Source record: 47118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 13 Jun 2002 21:15:33 -0500, "Magnum45" <Magnum45@mn.rr.com>
wrote:

>This is a radio shack pro 90 300 channel trunk tracker handheld scanner with
>a brand new battery pack with nickel metal hydride batteries.
>Freq range 29-956 mhz
>Works and looks great condition.
>Also has new belt clip.
>Comes with ac/charger antenna and service manual.
>You can download the manual from radio shacks web site.
>$130 shipped lower 48 states ups insured.
>E-mail me direct or for pics of scanner.
>

$130 for a Pro-90?? I don't think so.

4304. Re: M.U.R.S??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 03:20:30 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3D0960FE.33328EAC@mitre.org>
Source record: 47119

Thank you for the back ground on Mutes Radio Service activity and there capability
I would like to point out that the 154.57 "blue dot" and 154.6 'green dot" are long running 5 watt itinerant channels and apparently they're being shared with MURS.
Jim

Jesse Hawkes wrote:

> Jim Mac Donald wrote:
>
> > Dave;
> > here are the MURS frequencies
> > 151.625
> > 151.700
> > 154.570
> > 154.600
> > 151.820
> > 151.880
> > 151.920
>
> MURS (Multi-Use Radio Service) is a no-license VHF personal radio
> service which operates on 151.820, 151.880, 151.940, 154.570, and
> 154.600 MHz. The FCC MURS rules permit voice and data communication;
> as well as external gain antennas. There are no restrictions on
> business activities with MURS, and the 2W transmitter power limit
> works as a major benefit in reducing interference, and allowing more
> users per channel. Please don't confuse MURS frequencies with
> itinerant/low power frequencies
>
> > I got the MURS out of a memory bank on a Radio Shack
> > PRO - 90 This may not be all of them OR likely some extra
> > ones. Some may be "dot" frequencies or are itinerant allocations
> > hears a web site with more of the same
> > http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/genfreq.html
> > The site is an east coast outfit BUT they have information
> > that is nationwide in scope!
> > If you have a radio scanner with 200 to 500 or more memories,
> > filling up a bank or two with MURS, itinerant, "dot" or Family Service
> > Radio -
> > GRMS frequencies helps when coming across a unknown hand held.
> > Just bring up the bank of these low power business frequencies
> > or do a SEARCH in and around them and you'll find the users!
> > It's a great feeling of accomplishment to scan a bank or do a search
> > then find the unknown frequency and have the user coming over

> > the scanner radio receive. Lots of times you can see the person
> > as they are talking on the hand held, as your listening to them.
> > This is when a pair of walkman headphones or an earphone comes in
> > handy, discretion is always in order while working a radio scanner out
> > in a public places. If your in a noisy environment headphones help
> > with understanding reception! Even if a base station is the only
> > comm gear available, having this mix of business frequencies
> > in a memory banks can help out when unknown users are in
> > the neighborhood or around town. A frequency counter can
> > help find unknown frequencies. However the counter has to be
> > really close to a transceiver, within ten (10) feet or one hundred
> > (100) yards with the more expensive units.
> > Jim

4305. Re: New Icom r/c software available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 03:42:53 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1DdO8.375$TP4.25319@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 47120
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have noticed that if you mess with the radio (manually) the program has a
tendency to lock up.
I mentioned this to Bob and he said he was aware of that problem. Other than
that, it's a FINE program.

--
Remove "beforeflight" to reply
"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aeb7kr$5khd4$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Steve Matzura" <number6@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
> news:bj6hgu4m6bumuhdtg0keobip3onksqh7qn@4ax.com...
> > Check out http://www.parnass.org for some new Icom
> > radio-controllerware from Bob Parnass, a top-knotch/top-level
> > developer, technical writer and amateur radio operator. I don't own
> > any Icom radios so I can't vouch for how any of this software is, but
> > I've read some of Bob's articles so I don't think you'll go wrong in
> > trying them out.
> >
>
> I've been playing with his TK-75 software that controls the Icom R75.
Aside
> from occasional bouts of locking up, it is a fine program. I haven't
> determined why the program locks up, but it may be my computer as nobody
> else has reported the problem.
>
> Tom
>
>
>

4306. Re: New Icom r/c software available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 03:50:27 UTC
From: mikep@crosstechinc.com
Message-ID: <2eff2c21.0206131950.28687eaf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47121
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have been using Bob Parnass's tk8500 for my Icom R8500 for the last month and it is great! For anyone who has used the Icom software for this radio, I would highly recommend trying Bob's software. Not only will it allow you to download a database file (*.csv format) to the radio, it will also let you download to an individual memory bank.I have been looking for a program to do that for some time now. A simple feature, but worth its weight in gold. If you have used the Icom software, you know what I am talking about. Real cute program, but not much practical use since its native format (*.RS1) is unreadable my anything I can find. Give Bob's program a try, you will really enjoy it.

Mike KA9CLP

4307. S. Gulyas' SMA-BNC Adapter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 04:54:30 UTC
From: Tom Clyne <tc60@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3D097705.D6699C54@optonline.net>
Source record: 47122
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Just wanted to put in a good word for Stephen Gulyas. He makes a BNC-to-SMA adapter, which you can purchase from him at gulyas@earthlink.net. Got it fer me IC-R2. Sturdy, works like a charm. Tested it with the antenna from my Pro-94.

Also, anyone got any suggestions for the replacement of the rubber ducky? Mostly use the R2 for 40 to 150 MHz range and 400 MHz and 900 MHz and thereabouts. Do I get a telescoping, or several tuned for specific freqs??? Thanks, and thank you, Stephen Gulyas.

Tom

4308. Re: Radio shack return policy sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 05:00:44 UTC
From: Dwayne <dubbin2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <g2uigu02ilfvoho4qfrdvd4l5ah4qror65@4ax.com>
Source record: 47123

On Thu, 13 Jun 2002 12:00:21 GMT, SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote:
And where did that come from? Man I leave the group for a few months
and come back just for this crap. GROW UP!!!

>Probably figured you needed it.

4309. Re: ICOM R-2 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 07:09:29 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3D0996A9.5FF08D9B@mitre.org>
Source record: 47124

I use the computer cable and software to program and save memory contents
of the ICOM
IC-R2 using this set up makes programing this little gem really easy. I
can do it manually,
by hand and often times will save a manually entered memory file onto the
computer floppy disk.
It's just a lot more convent to us the computer, with the R-2
Doing band searches with the TONE SQUELCH engaged helps find frequencies
using the same tone
the search will not stop on an active frequency UNLESS the tone assigned
is present!
This helps to find a radio user, that's using other unknown frequencies,
with a known tone squelch code.
Too bad the R-2 DOSE NOT support Digital Tone Squelch. But the Tone
Squelch Search feature helps
find out if a user has tone squelch and by comparing this to a frequency
directory and wed sites that
list frequencies WITH TONE CODES you can ID a station that is not giving
out their call sign on the air!

I use a RADIO SHACK FRS belt carry cast to protect and transport the R-2.

Jim
Richard Broomfield wrote:

> I am in the market for a wide band handheld and would like any info or
> comments anyone has on the ICOM R-2. I don't seem to see a keypad in
> the pictures and wonder how you enter frequencies. Any comments would
> be appreciated.
> Richard
> K1TAV
> broomfield@worldnet.att.net

4310. Re: Powerful scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 08:03:35 UTC
From: KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <rrhO8.66039$pw3.2943@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 47125
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I can't be held responsible for OTHER PEOPLE's replies.

(YOU are an IDIOT!)

SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote > "KS&#65533;F" wrote:
> And a couple dozen replies???
>
> You're a freakin' stalker.

4311. Re: Powerful scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 08:06:59 UTC
From: KS F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <DuhO8.181468$352.10085@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 47126
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I did the search you suggest. Seems there are a lot of people who don't
like
Bud Jamison.

SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote
SNIP
> run a search
> on Google Groups in rec.radio.scanner (might be more in
> alt.radio.scanner) for BUD JAMISON.
>
> Tons of posts going back almost 5 years.
>
> He's a stalker alright.

4312. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 10:18:59 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dickc58@att.net>
Message-ID: <3C4FED70.96160A39@att.net>
Source record: 47127
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Randy A. Hefner" wrote:

> Is anyone who disagrees with you a bigot?

No, *everyone* who disagrees with him is.

4313. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 12:54:05 UTC
From: jr127@world.net
Message-ID: <3D09E76D.906B0900@world.net>
Source record: 47128
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Let's set the record straight.

Some Citizen Band people being un-licensed and un-regulated think they can just move into ANY band or on frequency they damn well
chose and play their games.

Sooner or later and it's my belief "later", the FCC - Big Brother will pounce down on them with both BIG feet. The unfortunate
part is it's been way toooooo long for action.

I am strictly talking about the ones with big mouths who do as they damn well please without regard to any regulations.

For the most part CB people are no different than any other radio people, it's the ass holes that make them look bad.

Have a nice day from a REAL Old Timer.

Pappy
20Q2036 - My first CB license

PS: Against my normal policy I left the cross posting in place.

4314. Re: Rat Shack - NEED Mica Insulators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 13:22:37 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ugjrk1hpk91m5e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47129

And if I were as RICH as you, and could afford to fork over the money for a catalog, I would have had one that I could have looked in... Go ahead, tell me they are free with a purchase... BULL SHIT. Not around here... Nothing is free. Ask for one and they laugh in your face...

You have to be one of the biggest assholes I know on these groups... You always have some smart-ass, negative, derogatory comment. Didn't your mother ever tell you that if you don't have anything nice to say, then you should KEEP YOUR MOUTH SHUT!

PH

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message news:oc2igusnqnfj5egnru9gsfnltruse2g3jb@4ax.com...
> Fresh from a command appearance on The View, M2310@webtv.net moaned...
>
> >x-no-archive: yes
> >
> >Go back and tell him you want catalog#
> >276-1373. Has mica insulators, screws, washers.
> >
>
>http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?cookie%5Ftest=1&catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=CTLG%5F005%5F007%5F005%5F000&product%5Fid=276%2D1373
>
>
> If the guy was smart, he'd have looked in the catalog _before_ going to the store,
> and he'd have HAD the part number ready.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

4315. Re: Rat Shack - NEED Mica Insulators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 13:25:42 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ugjrpqsd8k23a7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47130

Thanks for the reply. At least SOMEONE was decent about the whole thing...
Not saying any names, ALWAYS ANONYMOUS ASS MUNCH, of those who were
downright ignorant about it...

PH

<M2310@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:16356-3D08E624-130@storefull-2335.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> x-no-archive: yes
>
> Go back and tell him you want catalog#
> 276-1373. Has mica insulators, screws, washers.
>
>
http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?cookie%5Ftest=1&catalog%5Fname=CTLG&ca
tegory%5Fname=CTLG%5F005%5F007%5F005%5F000&product%5Fid=276%2D1373
>

4316. Re: Rat Shack - NEED Mica Insulators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 13:35:32 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ugjsc8npc7hc91@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47131

Read the second paragraph of my previous reply... Yet another example...

PH

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:jjrjgucr2sbu74otcsa1i5itbuk7n0mh45@4ax.com...
> "Power House" wrote:
>
> >Ask for one and they laugh in your face...
>
> Maybe it's just something about your face.

4317. Re: Antenna in the car?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 13:40:28 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aecrn0$5qman$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47132

"Greg" <not@shown.here> wrote in message
news:jqaigu0une3ocqt3b3fn1d8v4los78cb5p@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 13 Jun 2002 17:47:12 -0500, "Tom Sevart"
> <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >If you don't want a big huge antenna, you might try one of those cellular
> >look-alike scanner antennas. They seem to work well. On the other hand,
> >get one of those splitters that you connect between the car antenna and
the
> >car radio. This will split the existing car antenna so you can use it
for a
> >scanner antenna and its original purpose.
>
> Interesting, I'd never heard of one of those. I wonder how well they
> work...

http://www.grove-ent.com/page36.html top left item on page. Allows you to
plug your car antenna into both your car radio and your scanner at the same
time.

I've never used one so can't say, but shouldn't have too much loss if it's
made well.

Tom

4318. Re: New Icom r/c software available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 13:43:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aecrro$5vtg3$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47133
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Jim Hackett" <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:1DdO8.375$TP4.25319@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I have noticed that if you mess with the radio (manually) the program has
a
> tendency to lock up.
> I mentioned this to Bob and he said he was aware of that problem. Other
than
> that, it's a FINE program.

I think I may have located the problem. Occasionally with the RS-R75
software from Icom, it pops up with a box that says I've lost connection.
Apparently I have an intermittent connection problem at times. Bob's TK-75
software locks up if the program is run with the receiver off or otherwise
loses connection.

Tom

4319. Re: Rat Shack - NEED Mica Insulators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 13:50:08 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ugjt7kopo7g907@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47134

Great... Consider yourself a candidate then, if you so desire...

PH

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:ufsjguo2il8apjc4kv7g77vcg9qafk95gp@4ax.com...
> "Power House" wrote:
>
> >Read the second paragraph of my previous reply... Yet another example...
>
> I did ...and it pissed me off that I wasn't recognized for my not
> inconsiderable efforts in achieving recognition by being elected
> President of the Fraternal Order of Assholes.
>
> It's taken me nearly 59 years to reach the level of asshole-edness I
> display with such aplomb that it often appears as if I must have been
> born with the skill.

4320. yyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 14:19:24 UTC
From: kimboybarnard@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020614101924.18642.00001162@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 47135

STILL NO JOY WITH THE BIG BROTHER FREQUENCIES SOMEONE MUST KNOW

4321. Re: Can anyone please help program my bearcat 780xlt for Opelika Alabama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 14:58:23 UTC
From: Joseph Brzezinski <breezyjr1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns922D6FB57B73Bbreezyjr@206.141.193.32>
Source record: 47136

globalcabl@aol.com (GlobalCabl) wrote in
news:20020612122809.26157.00000620@mb-fh.aol.com:

> I would be willing to ship it and pay any costs involved it is just
> too confusing for me but it is trunked and I can't make it work right
> any help would be much appreciated

Contact www.scannermaster.com and go to the "store" they offer
programming for the 780. But, it will cost you. I looked into it, I
forget exactly how much it was going to cost, but you provide him with
"ALL" the cities, counties and such, and he will program it for you.

If you get the winscan 780 program, I believe he can program all the freqs,
then just Email you the file. Load the file into the program, connect to
your scanner, and Viola' everything is done.

I also find it difficult to program my 780, but what I did, was buy one of
the 780 software programs, plug in all the freqs, and then send it to the
radio. I found it much easier, in fact, I haven't even read the manual for
my 780 yet. Most of the programs I have used (winscan780, scanpro,
trunkstar) all have the function of entering a freq, then using a pulldown
menu, you can select trunked, or conventional, Edacs, and so forth. So, if
you choose not to go the scanner master route, try the trunkstar 15 day
trial, and see if it works for you. Of course you need a 9 pin serial
cable, that either you can get from radio shack, or if you buy some
programs, the cable comes with.
Sorry for the run on sentences.
If you need more help, feel free to Email me.

Hope that helped,
breezyjr (joe)

4322. L-Band omnidirectional mobile antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 15:03:31 UTC
From: ernest <ernest@hananet.net>
Message-ID: <JLnO8.2240$tR4.457@news.hananet.net>
Source record: 47141

Hi all,
I have a world space satellite radio. I'd like to listen to world space satellite in my car. But they didn't supply mobile omnidirectional antenna. I can listen to it only by installing the antenna to the fixed direction. Is there any omnidirectional mbile antenna for GPS receivers or other satellite receivers ? World space uses 1452mhz ~ 1492mhz frequency.
Thanks in advance.
from Ernie.

4323. Re: BC245XLT and NiMH?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 15:07:14 UTC
From: jp@motor76.com
Message-ID: <ak1kgusvfcmsp08d3835trvt7ac6q6t314@4ax.com>
Source record: 47137

What's the order information on that part, as I would like to get one
for my 245 as well..

Thanks.

JP
jpstout@mindspring.com

On Thu, 13 Jun 2002 01:23:01 -0500, "M. Schneider"
<markinokla@juno.com> wrote:

>I bought the NiMH pack that PrimeCell makes for the 245 and have been using
>it now for about a year, works like a champ! Extend the charging time to 24
>hrs, and you're good to go. I wouldn't say it's the best thing since beer
>in cans, as I prefer my beer in bottles, but it's a great improvement on the
>factory NiCad pack!
>
>Mark
>
>"I believe a self-righteous liberal Democrat with a cause is more dangerous
>than a Hell's Angel with an attitude." -- Ted Nugent
>
><skeets1@netscape.net> wrote in message
>news:3d07a336.202474252@News.CIS.DFN.DE...
>> I was wondering if the BC245XLT can properly charge NiMH's since it is
>> originally set up for NiCd's?
>>
>> Thanks.
>>
>> skeeter
>

4324. Re: Niagara Region Canada Trunk Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 15:07:23 UTC
From: dan9125@aol.com
Message-ID: <90dcbbbd.0206140707.27c1d4c1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47138

Im not sure about trunking but try 142.565 mhz ....pretty active for
the niagara region.
Dan in NY

4325. Re: big brother frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 15:07:33 UTC
From: Joseph Brzezinski <breezyjr1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns922D714359885breezyjr@206.141.193.32>
Source record: 47139

Jesse Hawkes < Jesse_Hawkes@high-mountain-rangers.com> wrote in
news:m6vhgus722uttiv8fj0bj0of4qa8ei9pdr@4ax.com:

> Kimboybarnard wrote:
>
>> Has any body the frequencies for big brother? they were
>> on the list a week or so ago but i missed them
>
> "Big Brother" is a popular television program in the UK.
>
> Go to various search engines:
>
> http://www.infoseek.com http://www.excite.com
>
>
<snip>

I may be wrong, but I believe he wasn't refering to the TV show.
Think about it. www.1984.com George Orwell (sp?) ring a bell?
Not tryin, to diss you or anything, so don't think this is the start of
some flame war. Just trying to make hints without actually saying
anything.

later,
breezyjr (joe)

4326. Re: big brother frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 15:10:30 UTC
From: Joseph Brzezinski <breezyjr1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns922D71C3E3331breezyjr@206.141.193.32>
Source record: 47140

Joseph Brzezinski <breezyjr1@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:Xns922D714359885breezyjr@206.141.193.32:

> Jesse Hawkes < Jesse_Hawkes@high-mountain-rangers.com> wrote in
> news:m6vhgus722uttiv8fj0bj0of4qa8ei9pdr@4ax.com:
>
>> Kimboybarnard wrote:
>>
>>> Has any body the frequencies for big brother? they were
>>> on the list a week or so ago but i missed them
>>
>> "Big Brother" is a popular television program in the UK.
>>
>> Go to various search engines:
>>
>> http://www.infoseek.com http://www.excite.com
>>
>>
> <snip>
>
> I may be wrong, but I believe he wasn't refering to the TV show.
> Think about it. www.1984.com George Orwell (sp?) ring a bell?
> Not tryin, to diss you or anything, so don't think this is the start of
> some flame war. Just trying to make hints without actually saying
> anything.
>
> later,
> breezyjr (joe)
>

Ok forget this post. I just saw his other post looking for the production
freqs. I guess I was thinking the same thing the other poster was when he
listed www.1984.com as a link.....

Sorry.
breezyjr (joe)

4327. GE/Ericsson IPE-200 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 15:24:25 UTC
From: Tinkerer <Tinkerer8888@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <JUnO8.16334$hF5.574529@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 47142

I have some GE/Ericsson IPE-200 EDACS radios.

They work fine except occasionally, they stay on a
channel after PTT is released, unsquelched making
noise. The next user of that channel is heard.
Sometimes, the problem will self-correct, sometimes
not. I can't find anything in the personality parameters
that will affect it. All radios fail in exactly the same way.

Anybody know what this is?

Doug

4328. Windsor, Ontario police and Border Patrol frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 15:49:16 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020614114916.02316.00000088@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 47143

Anyone got 'em? I'm headed to Detroit next month, and I hear the areas near the Ontario-Michigan border crossing can be pretty interesting in the early morning orders. 19 and 20 year-olds crossing into Canada to drink (where legal age is 19 vs 21 in Michigan), then trying to get back into the U.S. after the bars close and they've had way too much to drink. Should make for some fun listening. (hic!)

4329. Re: New Icom r/c software available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 16:04:48 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <bob@parnassXcutX.org>
Message-ID: <3d0a1444$0$1433$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 47144
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Tom,

You've correctly described the situation.

An intermittant connection between the receiver and computer
will cause tk75 (and tk8500) to freeze. I wish it weren't
so, but it was a tradeoff I made when designing the software.

Have fun and thanks for your support.

On Fri, 14 Jun 2002 08:43:00 -0500, Tom Sevart wrote:

> "Jim Hackett" <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:1DdO8.375$TP4.25319@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>> I have noticed that if you mess with the radio (manually) the program
>> has
> a
>> tendency to lock up.
>> I mentioned this to Bob and he said he was aware of that problem. Other
> than
>> that, it's a FINE program.
>
> I think I may have located the problem. Occasionally with the RS-R75
> software from Icom, it pops up with a box that says I've lost
> connection. Apparently I have an intermittent connection problem at
> times. Bob's TK-75 software locks up if the program is run with the
> receiver off or otherwise loses connection.
>
> Tom

--
==
Bob Parnass, AJ9S Linux User www.parnass.org

4330. Re: Rat Shack - NEED Mica Insulators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 16:12:32 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ugk5io9rt59o86@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47145

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:aftjgusegmaf8pg4ll13a0du5n1dr7ffbn@4ax.com...
> "Power House" wrote:
>
> >Great... Consider yourself a candidate then, if you so desire...
>
> Candidate HELL - HE'S the candidate ...I hold the Office of President.
>
> And as a life-long practitioner of asshole-edness, I've noticed one
> truism: it's easier to be an asshole with some targets than it is with
> others.
>
> You aren't one of the difficult ones.

Glad I could oblige you...

PH

4331. Re: Windsor, Ontario police and Border Patrol frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 16:24:08 UTC
From: RodeoKing <RidingTheBulls@TheOKCoral.com>
Message-ID: <IMoO8.10952$831.1434@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 47146

Just watch you don't get caught with the radios in the mobile over in Detroit. It's a NoNo.

Otherwise, check out, http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ScanOnt or http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scan_michigan/

This should help.

"TooManyRadios" <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020614114916.02316.00000088@mb-ch.aol.com...
> Anyone got 'em? I'm headed to Detroit next month, and I hear the areas near
> the Ontario-Michigan border crossing can be pretty interesting in the early
> morning orders. 19 and 20 year-olds crossing into Canada to drink (where legal
> age is 19 vs 21 in Michigan), then trying to get back into the U.S. after the
> bars close and they've had way too much to drink. Should make for some fun
> listening. (hic!)
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.371 / Virus Database: 206 - Release Date: 6/13/2002

4332. Re: CBers are adopting MURS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 17:19:49 UTC
From: randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com
Message-ID: <b03b87e2.0206140919.5637cd70@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47048
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

horseshoe7@yahoo.com (stewart) wrote in message
news:<5f4fe4c2.0206131210.5eccc301@posting.google.com>...
>
> Now, will you try to come back to Earth and read what I actually
> write, instead of making things up in your brain... go back to the
> original statement(which you've attempted to WARP into something
> else)... that "The use of External Power Amplifiers provides virtually
> no benefit on MURS and GMRS".
>
> I'll tell you what - you can also quote me on this one: "The use of
> Mobile Radios is not a significant cause of interference on MURS".
>
> But, now, try to use and understand MY WORDS and MY POSITION on the
> issues - not some words you've made up in your own head that you THINK
> represent my position.
>

I don't think it is a stretch or a misunderstanding of your words "The
use of External Power Amplifiers profides virtually no benefit on MURS
and GMRS" to state that your position is extra power on MURS will not
help your communications. The "benefit of MURS and GMRS is
communications. Amplifiers DO help with communications. If it didn't
everyone would be using 1/2 watt radios in EVERY radio service. I
would like you to answer this: Are you saying that extra power does
not help your communications capabilities?

>
> Definition of a bigot: A person who holds blindly and intolerantly to
> a particular opinion.

I don't know where you get your definitions, but the definition my
dictionary has for bigot is:

bigï¿½ot
n.
One who is strongly partial to one's own group, religion, race, or
politics and is intolerant of those who differ.

The inplication of "intolerant of those who differ" would be those who
differ to his/her group, religion, race, or politics.

Those who are losing the arguement will resort to slurs such as
"bigot".

4333. frequency counters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 17:35:43 UTC
From: Randy <bearcat@astound.net>
Message-ID: <3D0A296F.70502@astound.net>
Source record: 47049

Am looking for a freq counter that is ctcss,dcs,ltr,pl decode capability. I have seen optoelectronics but look expensive. Any other makes of this or suggestions for low cost. Considered a HT that comes close to this but not sure. All suggestions welcome.
Randy

4334. Trade: BC780XLT & BC80XLT for Icom R3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 18:34:49 UTC
From: Anthony Gaspro <gaspro@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <hpdkgucln7pvl8mfcpcou7s7eljrs2h1aj@4ax.com>
Source record: 47050

Will trade my BC780XLT Trunking Scanner and My BC80XLT Handheld
Scanner for an Icom R3,preferrably unblocked. If interested, contact
me at tony @ nwburgers
. com.

4335. Re: Uniden customer service sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 18:40:50 UTC
From: JT <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <SMqO8.44697$6m5.25677@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 47051

I received an e-mail from Scott Carpenter (of Uniden) offering help on resolving the scanner issue with Uniden, which I appreciate. Fortunately, Lanastasia Newton at Uniden had already gotten to the bottom of things with a couple of e-mails (which I'd suggest using in the future; the people that answer the phones all had different stories, and no one seemed to be able to do anything about getting things moving), and the scanner is on the way back here at last, hopefully repaired.

It seems that maybe someone there does care after all; just not some of the people that answer the phones.

JT
"JT" <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in message
news:lA8O8.175616$352.8884@sccrnsc02...
> I sent in my 780 for repair in late April; they gave me a June 6th ship
date
> (at the latest supposedly); on June 7th I called them, as the website
didn't
> reflect the unit being shipped. They told me that the unit had been
tampered
> with (not true), and that if I wanted it repaired it would cost $56. They
> had me over a barrel, so I did pay them. I am amazed that they had the
unit
> for the better part of a month, and apparently didn't even touch it until
> June 6th. Here we are a couple of weeks after I have paid them, and no
> scanner, and it has not even been repaired yet. They sent me an e-mail
> saying it was due to be shipped yesterday, but it still is sitting in the
> warehouse as we speak. When I called a customer service rep today, the guy
> was rude and told me I'd get it "in a couple of weeks maybe" and nothing
> more.
>
> Buyer beware; apparently customer service ends at the time of the purchase
> with Uniden.
>
>

4336. Re: Rat Shack - NEED Mica Insulators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 19:07:27 UTC
From: "B a r r y B u r k e J r ." <*barry_b*@snet.net>
Message-ID: <3D0A3F2E.7F04422A@snet.net>
Source record: 47052

Power House wrote:
>
> And if I were as RICH as you, and could afford to fork over the money for a
> catalog, I would have had one that I could have looked in...

You've found Usenet, but you don't know what the Web is? Come on...<G>

Last time I checked, radioshack.com was still free for browsing.
Searchable too!

Barry
--
++
Please remove the asterisks to reply directly
==
Insert lame quote of your choice here
++

4337. Re: Windsor, Ontario police and Border Patrol frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 20:22:40 UTC
From: John P Vassel <john@vassel.net>
Message-ID: <3d0a5090$0$1424$2c3e98f8@news.voyager.net>
Source record: 47053

toomanyradios@aol.com (TooManyRadios) wrote in
news:20020614114916.02316.00000088@mb-ch.aol.com:

> Anyone got 'em? I'm headed to Detroit next month, and I hear the areas
> near the Ontario-Michigan border crossing can be pretty interesting in
> the early morning orders. 19 and 20 year-olds crossing into Canada to
> drink (where legal age is 19 vs 21 in Michigan), then trying to get
> back into the U.S. after the bars close and they've had way too much to
> drink. Should make for some fun listening. (hic!)
>
>

Not as exciting as it sounds... we dont have a foot bridge, so it's done
via automobile. There was talk of giving the customs agents the power of
arrest in regards to drunk drivers also, and from what I remember, I think
it is the law now.
The most fun I had while 19 or 20 and performing the border crossing, was
saying 'You Ass' (US with a drawel) when asked my citizenship.

--
John Vassel
KC8SKE
RTFD Volunteer Firefighter

4338. BC 147XLT repair ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 20:57:17 UTC
From: Lou <tech@dp.net>
Message-ID: <aedmqg$5jf$1@news.dp.net>
Source record: 47054

Anyone repair OR have info for repairing the BC 147 XLT scanner?

Maybe even an owners manual info would work. I have one here which "seems" to refuse to hold frequencies in memory. I have absolutely no information on this unit.

Problem: If I program it, leave it on, it works fine. IF I unplug it as I did today for about 3 hours or so, it is wiped out when I turn it back on. I get an instant "L". When doing a review on any channel, it shows all 00000s.

1. What is the time frame for memory retention?
2. How is it done?
3. If this thing is in need of repairs (which I could do), are the parts and literature available to do it ?
4. IF ANYONE reading this has the repair info and would be willing to sell a copy (provided the parts are available to do the job) then I'll gladly take a copy if you let me know the cost. IF the parts aren't available, then there is no sense wasting time.

Please reply directly. Thanks.

Lou/Tech@DP.NET

4339. Re: Powerful scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 22:34:56 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <rqrkgusrlgmi4uccr0rvludb4126vper5c@4ax.com>
Source record: 47055
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Fresh from a command appearance on The View, SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> moaned...

>"KSï¿½F" wrote:
>
>>I did the search you suggest. Seems there are a lot of people who don't
>>like
>>Bud Jamison.
>>
>
>You are the only one who seems to get a woody replying to his posts
>with whatever excuse you can muster so that you can rant on/at him.

John is a stalker, obsessive, AND a chronic liar.

There's no reason to reply to him at all,
it just encourages him to post more off-topic garbage.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

4340. Re: Rat Shack - NEED Mica Insulators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 22:53:12 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <etskgu0sesvdhq6fs4n4knthde8fgsd0bi@4ax.com>
Source record: 47056

Fresh from a command appearance on The View, "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> moaned...

>And if I were as RICH as you, and could afford to fork over the money for a
>catalog,

Dude, the catalogs are FREE!

I am beginning to think you've NEVER been in a Radio Shack store.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

4341. Re: New Icom r/c software available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 22:57:37 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aedsbk$67t90$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47057
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Bob_Parnass_AJ9S" <bob@parnassXcutX.org> wrote in message
news:3d0a1444$0$1433$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com...
> Hi Tom,

> Have fun and thanks for your support.

I hope to get the problem fixed soon because I do like your program.

Tom

4342. Re: BC 147XLT repair ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-14 23:13:19 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <9MuO8.9801$17.4196791@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 47058

Memory is retained using power stored in an electrolytic capacitor. Check R104 which should be 560 Ohms and C104, 1000uF 6.3 volts rating. If you decide to replace the capacitor, put in the biggest one that will fit to extend the backup time like 4700uF 6.3V.

"Lou" <tech@dp.net> wrote in message news:aedmqg$5jf$1@news.dp.net...
> Anyone repair OR have info for repairing the BC 147 XLT scanner?
>
> Maybe even an owners manual info would work. I have one here which "seems"
> to refuse to hold frequencies in memory. I have absolutely no information
on
> this unit.
>
> Problem: If I program it, leave it on, it works fine. IF I unplug it as I
> did today for about 3 hours or so, it is wiped out when I turn it back on.
I
> get an instant "L". When doing a review on any channel, it shows all
00000s.
>
> 1. What is the time frame for memory retention?
> 2. How is it done?
> 3. If this thing is in need of repairs (which I could do), are the parts
and
> literature available to do it ?
> 4. IF ANYONE reading this has the repair info and would be willing to sell
a
> copy (provided the parts are available to do the job) then I'll gladly
take
> a copy if you let me know the cost. IF the parts aren't available, then
> there is no sense wasting time.
>
> Please reply directly. Thanks.
>
> Lou/Tech@DP.NET
>
>

4343. Re: Rat Shack - NEED Mica Insulators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-15 02:03:40 UTC
From: Randy <randyap@geocitiesdot.com>
Message-ID: <0gxO8.225903$%y.20590271@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 47059

You might try Mouser Electronics http://www.mouser.com

They have a lot of stuff, no minimum order, and prompt shipping, but an unfortunately high error rate in shipping.

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message news:ughgmk7eiuoibd@corp.supernews.com...
> Stopped in today. Usual Situation.. Clueless.... Local store has a manager
> that proudly displays "3 Years of Service" Manager after only 3 years?
> Must have quite the turn around rate!
>
> Anyway... Looking for some mica insulators for TO-220 transistors. "I have
> NO idea. If they aren't hanging up over there by the transistors, where you
> were looking, then we don't have them."
>
> Another useless trip.
>
> Does anybody have some TO-220 mica insulators they can sell me cheap? Where
> do you find these things without having to buy hundreds of them? Anybody
> have some laying around? I'll send you a stamped envelope, and whatever you
> want for them (within reason). All I need is two (2).
>
> Thanks,
> PH
>
>
>

4344. San Francisco Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-15 02:34:52 UTC
From: ve7ggj@nospam.rac.ca
Message-ID: <11284775ROBOTLX@198.80.55.250>
Source record: 47061
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I will be in downtown San Francisco later this summer.

Can someone point me to frequencies for Police, Fire
and EHS?

4345. INFO NEEDED IN DASH SCANNER??? WHO MADE IT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-15 03:50:04 UTC
From: WILLIAM C FORVIK <n0mnb@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <uBbRVcBFCHA.2064@cpimsnntpa03>
Source record: 47062

NEED MANFACTURE AND MODEL THAT MADE THE RADIO IN DASH SCANNER

THANKS N0MNB

4346. Re: INFO NEEDED IN DASH SCANNER??? WHO MADE IT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-15 05:56:25 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <aeeam0$5sr$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 47063

Rat Shack PRO2066 fits in a DIN-sized stereo mount hole...

WILLIAM C FORVIK <n0mnb@email.msn.com> wrote in message
news:uBbRVcBFCHA.2064@cpimsnntpa03...
> NEED MANFACTURE AND MODEL THAT MADE THE RADIO IN DASH SCANNER
>
> THANKS N0MNB
>
>

4347. Re: BC245XLT and NiMH?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-15 06:18:12 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <KYAO8.729$p07.36745@sooner.brightok.net>
Source record: 47064

I got mine on ebay, seemed the easiest way at the time, ck the scanner area. Here's PrimeCell's info: www.primecell.com 4.8v 1650MAH (NiMH) p/n PC-BP1600-NIMH $24.50 Or here's another source for you: Battery-Tech, Inc. www.ebatterytech.com 4.8v 1500MAH (NiMH), p/n HI-092CM-BCSM w/plug $29.00. According to the flyer I picked up at Ham-Com, they are offering an internet introductory special - free shipping on your first order.

PrimeCell's battery is a bit bigger and a bit cheaper, but you pay shipping, take your pick.

Mark

"Aren't cats Libertarian? They just want to be left alone."
"I think our dog is a Democrat, as he is always looking for a handout"
Unknown Usnet Poster

Heh, heh, I'm pretty sure my dog is a liberal - he has no balls.
Another Unknown Poster

<jp@motor76.com> wrote in message
news:ak1kgusvfcmsp08d3835trvt7ac6q6t314@4ax.com...
> What's the order information on that part, as I would like to get one
> for my 245 as well..
>
> Thanks.
>
> JP
> jpstout@mindspring.com
>
> On Thu, 13 Jun 2002 01:23:01 -0500, "M. Schneider"
> <markinokla@juno.com> wrote:
>
> >I bought the NiMH pack that PrimeCell makes for the 245 and have been
using
> >it now for about a year, works like a champ! Extend the charging time to
24
> >hrs, and you're good to go. I wouldn't say it's the best thing since
beer
> >in cans, as I prefer my beer in bottles, but it's a great improvement on
the
> >factory NiCad pack!
> >
> >Mark
> >
> >"I believe a self-righteous liberal Democrat with a cause is more
dangerous

> >than a Hell's Angel with an attitude." -- Ted Nugent
> >
> ><skeets1@netscape.net> wrote in message
> >news:3d07a336.202474252@News.CIS.DFN.DE...
> >> I was wondering if the BC245XLT can properly charge NiMH's since it is
> >> originally set up for NiCd's?
> >>
> >> Thanks.
> >>
> >> skeeter
> >
>

4348. Re: Niagara Region Canada Trunk Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-15 13:12:13 UTC
From: John <n@n.net>
Message-ID: <isemgu85403taconcfq90nag3tdi41n1gr@4ax.com>
Source record: 47065

Are they using Tones CTCSS tones. if so what is the tone

On Sat, 15 Jun 2002 10:33:24 -0400, "JJJ" <oakvillecub@cogeco.ca>
wrote:

>actually the police use
>
>142.410, 142.230, 142.575, 142.545
>
>fire uses 155.445
>and 153.770, 155.910
>
>ambulance uses 155.130
>
>Dan <dan9125@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:90dcbbbd.0206140707.27c1d4c1@posting.google.com...
>> Im not sure about trunking but try 142.565 mhz ....pretty active for
>> the niagara region.
>> Dan in NY
>

4349. Re: BC245XLT and NiMH?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-15 13:22:55 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ugmg0jbsa95a8f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47066

Another good source is Lee's Electronics. http://www.leeselect.com. They have a 4.8V 1700mAh (NiMH) for $24.50. Largest out there, as far as I know... I own one, and it works like a charm... Runs the scanner forever!

PH

"M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message news:KYAO8.729$p07.36745@sooner.brightok.net...
> I got mine on ebay, seemed the easiest way at the time, ck the scanner area.
> Here's PrimeCell's info: www.primecell.com 4.8v 1650MAH (NiMH) p/n
> PC-BP1600-NIMH $24.50 Or here's another source for you: Battery-Tech, Inc.
> www.ebatterytech.com 4.8v 1500MAH (NiMH), p/n HI-092CM-BCSM w/plug $29.00.
> According to the flyer I picked up at Ham-Com, they are offering an internet
> introductory special - free shipping on your first order.
>
> PrimeCell's battery is a bit bigger and a bit cheaper, but you pay shipping,
> take your pick.
>
> Mark
>
> "Aren't cats Libertarian? They just want to be left alone."
> "I think our dog is a Democrat, as he is always looking for a handout"
> Unknown Usnet Poster
>
> Heh, heh, I'm pretty sure my dog is a liberal - he has no balls.
> Another Unknown Poster
>
>
> <jp@motor76.com> wrote in message
> news:ak1kgusvfcmsp08d3835trvt7ac6q6t314@4ax.com...
> > What's the order information on that part, as I would like to get one
> > for my 245 as well..
> >
> > Thanks.
> >
> > JP
> > jpstout@mindspring.com
> >
> > On Thu, 13 Jun 2002 01:23:01 -0500, "M. Schneider"
> > <markinokla@juno.com> wrote:

> >
> > >I bought the NiMH pack that PrimeCell makes for the 245 and have been
> using
> > > >it now for about a year, works like a champ! Extend the charging time
to
> 24
> > > >hrs, and you're good to go. I wouldn't say it's the best thing since
> beer
> > > >in cans, as I prefer my beer in bottles, but it's a great improvement
on
> the
> > > >factory NiCad pack!
> > > >
> > > >Mark
> > > >
> > > >"I believe a self-righteous liberal Democrat with a cause is more
> dangerous
> > > >than a Hell's Angel with an attitude." -- Ted Nugent
> > > >
> > > ><skeets1@netscape.net> wrote in message
> > > >news:3d07a336.202474252@News.CIS.DFN.DE...
> > > >> I was wondering if the BC245XLT can properly charge NiMH's since it
is
> > > >> originally set up for NiCd's?
> > > >>
> > > >> Thanks.
> > > >>
> > > >> skeeter
> > > >
> > >
> >
>

4350. Re: Rat Shack - NEED Mica Insulators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-15 13:24:46 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ugmg40kj2ndbb4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47067

"B a r r y B u r k e J r ." <*barry_b*@snet.net> wrote in message
news:3D0A3F2E.7F04422A@snet.net...
> Power House wrote:
> >
> > And if I were as RICH as you, and could afford to fork over the money
for a
> > catalog, I would have had one that I could have looked in...
>
> You've found Usenet, but you don't know what the Web is? Come on...<G>
>
> Last time I checked, radioshack.com was still free for browsing.
> Searchable too!
>
Honestly, I should have thought of it, but I figured it was just not
available from Radio Shack, as the local place carried the insulators for
the TO-3's, but had no place at all for the TO-220's. I just assumed that
it was not a supplied product, or the store would have had it available...

PH

4351. Re: Rat Shack - NEED Mica Insulators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-15 13:26:31 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ugmg7bg0f45me6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47068

Read the rest of my post, not just the Quoted portion! I'm beginning to think some people are only interested in half the story!

Quoted for your convenience, as I see you were already too lazy to look on your own:

"And if I were as RICH as you, and could afford to fork over the money for a catalog, I would have had one that I could have looked in... Go ahead, tell me they are free with a purchase... BULL SHIT. Not around here... Nothing is free. Ask for one and they laugh in your face..."

PH

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message news:etskgu0sesvdhq6fs4n4knthde8fgsd0bi@4ax.com...
> Fresh from a command appearance on The View, "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> moaned...
>
> >And if I were as RICH as you, and could afford to fork over the money for a
> >catalog,
>
> Dude, the catalogs are FREE!
>
> I am beginning to think you've NEVER been in a Radio Shack store.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

4352. Re: Rat Shack - NEED Mica Insulators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-15 13:29:00 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ugmgc1tq5qa21@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47069

I'm tired of arguing with you... The only clueless one here is you... Obviously you have already forgotten the other portion of my post... THEY DON'T GIVE YOU THEM FREE AT THE LOCAL STORE HERE! WITH OR WITHOUT A PURCHASE! Got it now? Or do you need a crow bar to get your head the rest of the way out of your ass????

PH

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:j23lgu85jqi1f7iun7h9qb05600no6lcji@4ax.com...
> "Never anonymous Bud" wrote:
>
> >>And if I were as RICH as you, and could afford to fork over the money for a
> >>catalog,
> > >
> > >Dude, the catalogs are FREE!
> >
> > Like I said ...another clueless customer (who calls the salespeople
> > clueless).

4353. Re: Rat Shack - NEED Mica Insulators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-15 13:30:52 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ugmgffkgikpa4d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47070

Thanks for the reply... I have some coming thanks to a couple of nice
people on the group... Too bad there are so many others that are just
interested in spewing garbage and harassing, instead of helping out.

PH

"Randy" <randyap@geocitiesdot.com> wrote in message
news:0gxO8.225903$%y.20590271@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> You might try Mouser Electronics http://www.mouser.com
>
> They have a lot of stuff, no minimum order, and prompt shipping, but an
> unfortunately high error rate in shipping.
>
> "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> news:ughgmk7eiuoibd@corp.supernews.com...
> > Stopped in today. Usual Situation.. Clueless.... Local store has a
> manager
> > that proudly displays "3 Years of Service" Manager after only 3 years?
> > Must have quite the turn around rate!
> >
> > Anyway... Looking for some mica insulators for TO-220 transistors. "I
> have
> > NO idea. If they aren't hanging up over there by the transistors, where
> you
> > were looking, then we don't have them."
> >
> > Another useless trip.
> >
> > Does anybody have some TO-220 mica insulators they can sell me cheap?
> Where
> > do you find these things without having to buy hundreds of them?
Anybody
> > have some laying around? I'll send you a stamped envelope, and whatever
> you
> > want for them (within reason). All I need is two (2).
> >
> > Thanks,
> > PH
> >
> >
> >
>
>

4354. Re: rec.radio.scanner.marketplace created

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-15 13:49:21 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ugmhi35rq0qh25@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47071

It appears that you are mistaken... Who created it? According to one of the major Newsgroup providers (Supernews) no such group exists, nor has a request for such a group ever even been documented... See below:

****
In article <ugkerneq7eof51@corp.supernews.com>, FeMaster wrote:
> Supposedly there is a new newsgroup that has recently been created.
> Hopefully you will be able to add it. It is rec.radio.scanner.marketplace
.

as far as I can tell this group exists solely in the imagination of one poster. It certainly hasn't been through the RFD/CFV process required for new groups in the big-8 hierarchies (which include rec.*).

--
Andrew, Supernews
http://www.supernews.com - individual and corporate NNTP services

****
I don't expect SN to add it. Rec is part of the big-8 and no such newsgroup has gone through the approval process. There isn't even an archive for a bogus newgroup message at isc.org.

Jim

****
You might want to reply back that unlike alt.* groups there is a strict procedure for adding a rec.* group to the internet. Even an alt.* group wont be added to supernews unless a control message is created but at least with alt groups just about anyone can do that. The orignial 8 hierarchys like rec.* have a long discussion and approval process.

Some places might add it and so some people may claim to see it and be able to use it but it wouldnt amount to much more than a local newsgroup like an ISP might create for its own in-house use.

Gandalf Parker

"JM" <SPAM.BLOCKED@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:ae6j4r$49k80$1@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de...
> rec.radio.scanner.marketplace has been created for people who want to
sell
> scanner radios and related eqipment. This should releave the scanner
> discussion groups, in which this should fill the need for a marketplace
> group. Please ask your ISP or news server administrater to carry the new
> group if you would like to use it, as it is very new and it will not be
> carried immediatly.

>

4355. FA: Icom Q7 - Last Day...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-15 14:02:58 UTC
From: Richard Kempe <r.kempe@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <mOHO8.42807$UT.2914331@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 47072

Last day...currently $80.00.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1359555012

Thanks for looking!

4356. satellite listening

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-15 14:10:59 UTC
From: JohnK <nospam.greekfreak@acmemail.net>
Message-ID: <aefht2psk1@usenet.otenet.gr>
Source record: 47073

Hi

I have a satellite dish with a KU band LNB.
What can I listen if I connect my ICOM IC-R2
to the satellite dish?

Thanks

4357. Re: San Francisco Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-15 14:33:21 UTC
From: wf3h@ptd.net
Message-ID: <3d0b4fea.38745268@news.ptdprolog.net>
Source record: 47074
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sat, 15 Jun 2002 02:34:52 GMT, ve7ggj@nospam.rac.ca wrote:

>I will be in downtown San Francisco later this summer.
>
>Can someone point me to frequencies for Police, Fire
>and EHS?
>
>

AFAIK they are trunked. when i was there earlier this year, all i
could get was the berkeley PD.

To find out who 'wf3h' is, go to 'qrz.com'
and enter 'wf3h' in the field.

4358. Re: Niagara Region Canada Trunk Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-15 14:33:24 UTC
From: JJJ <oakvillecub@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <PIxO8.54589$op.5128769@read2.cgocable.net>
Source record: 47060

actually the police use

142.410, 142.230, 142.575, 142.545

fire uses 155.445
and 153.770, 155.910

ambulance uses 155.130

Dan <dan9125@aol.com> wrote in message
news:90dcbbbd.0206140707.27c1d4c1@posting.google.com...
> Im not sure about trunking but try 142.565 mhz ....pretty active for
> the niagara region.
> Dan in NY

4359. Re: INFO NEEDED IN DASH SCANNER??? WHO MADE IT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-15 14:53:34 UTC
From: JT <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <OxIO8.206339$cQ3.6979@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 47075

It was Kenwood.

"WILLIAM C FORVIK" <n0mnb@email.msn.com> wrote in message
news:uBbRVcBFCHA.2064@cpimsnntpa03...
> NEED MANFACTURE AND MODEL THAT MADE THE RADIO IN DASH SCANNER
>
> THANKS N0MNB
>
>

4360. Re: Icom Q7 - Last Day...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-15 15:50:37 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <hnJO8.937$wa2.241@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 47076

Gee, bidding on an item from someone with a name like "Bigmoron" doesn't
exactly promote bidder confidence...

--
Remove "beforeflight" to reply
"Richard Kempe" <r.kempe@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:mOHO8.42807$UT.2914331@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Last day...currently $80.00.
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1359555012
>
> Thanks for looking!
>
>
>

4361. Re: Icom Q7 - Last Day...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-15 15:52:42 UTC
From: RustY <RustY@Hotmale.UK>
Message-ID: <RpJO8.1437$sv5.91525@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 47081

"Jim Hackett" <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:hnJO8.937$wa2.241@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Gee, bidding on an item from someone with a name like "Bigmoron" doesn't
> exactly promote bidder confidence...
>
On the contrary, you'll probably get it for less than it's worth !

RustY

4362. F/A Immaculate unblocked Pro-2042 UK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-15 16:18:13 UTC
From: ~The-Wizard~ <wizspamard@dxing.zzn.com>
Message-ID: <aefpc4$gs8$1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 47077

http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1359707721

.

.

4363. Re: Antenna in the car?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-15 16:29:22 UTC
From: dxlover574738846@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020615122922.12023.00000019@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 47078

> that is a 23" wire with suction cups on both ends and an 82" lead in
>cable with a BNC connector for the radio. Basically you could stick it
>across the inside back windshield of your car. Price is $12 Canadian...
>probably about $8 US.

For what it's worth. I have seen some area cops in their *undercover* cars with these. I guess they want to be a covert as possible, it is definitely low profile.

4364. Re: San Francisco Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-15 16:58:37 UTC
From: wleamons <wleamons@socket.net>
Message-ID: <ugmsi27vqnu61a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47079
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

try cityfreq.com
<ve7ggj@nospam.rac.ca> wrote in message
news:11284775ROBOTLX@198.80.55.250...
I will be in downtown San Francisco later this summer.

Can someone point me to frequencies for Police, Fire
and EHS?

4365. Re: Rat Shack - NEED Mica Insulators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-15 17:42:03 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ugmv6vhk97dqf6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47080

Ker-Plunk... Enough of reading your BS... That's what your name should be... [spewer of] BS, not SB!

PH

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:6mjmgugbcgkr24lur6v1rikc9cad3tujds@4ax.com...
> "Power House" wrote:
>
> >I just assumed that
> >it was not a supplied product, or the store would have had it available...
>
>
> In your case it should be spelled ASSumed.

4366. Houston, Texas freqs wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-15 19:31:40 UTC
From: Steve Matzura <number6@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <a9vmguc46s3jdce61ogumal4hfb9slmjlq@4ax.com>
Source record: 47083

I'll be visiting there the first week in July. Anything worthwhile
listening to, like maybe stuff from the JSS?

4367. FS: UBC 3000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-15 19:36:16 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <QGMO8.52131$zh2.15049697@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 47082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Uniden UBC 3000XLT 400 channel, like new, PS, case, telescoping swivel antenna, manual, all bought new this year, $295 + shipping CONUS only.
DeWayne KE9K

4368. Kananaskis G8 Summit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-15 20:19:07 UTC
From: burgessfred33@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <b77caedf.0206151219.59862824@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47084

Does any one have frequencies for military (ground and air) operations
for the up coming G8 summit near Calgary Alberta Canada?

4369. Re: New Icom r/c software available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-15 20:26:28 UTC
From: arnoldjimjimREMOVE@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3d0ba15a.9706131@news.sk.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 47085
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 13 Jun 2002 22:31:43 GMT, Steve Matzura
<number6@speakeasy.net> posted:

>:Check out http://www.parnass.org for some new Icom
>:radio-controllerware from Bob Parnass, a top-knotch/top-level
>:developer, technical writer and amateur radio operator. I don't own
>:any Icom radios so I can't vouch for how any of this software is, but
>:I've read some of Bob's articles so I don't think you'll go wrong in
>:trying them out.
>:
I thought I'd post this versus bothering the author of the program,
b/c no doubt the problem is mine versus the program(!). I installed
exactly like it told me and I've been through the instructions about 8
times. I'm getting the following error:

```
can't read "env(tk75)": no such variable
while executing
"set Libdir $env(tk75)"
(file "C:\tk75\tk75.tcl" line 79)
```

Thx
-J. Arnold

4370. Wanted PCR-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-16 01:19:00 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <8IRO8.612$U26.282689@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 47086
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I am looking for the PCR-1000 that you no longer use and want to part with.Let me know what you are asking for it or trades.Look at my page to see if anything interest you for a trade or sale also.Thanks
http://www.msnusers.com/DicksFTP/shoebox.msnw

4371. FS - Yaseu VR-5000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-16 02:02:03 UTC
From: Larry E Price <LPrice@IARU.org>
Message-ID: <MnSO8.4417$As4.3055@rip>
Source record: 47094

For Sale: like new Yaesu VR-5000, all mode, 100 kHz - 2600 MHz
communications receiver. Purchased new from AES, Orlando. Less than 10 hours
operating time. As new, in box with accessories.
Price - $550 including UPS shipping in 48 US states.

Reason for selling? - Yaesu never produced promised software programs for
computer control and now says they will not be doing that. My application
requires software control and I do not have the time nor inclination to
write my own. The easiest path is to start over with another receiver.

If interested, email to: LPrice@ieee.org

4372. Re: New Icom r/c software available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-16 02:28:04 UTC
From: Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <bob@parnassXcutX.org>
Message-ID: <3d0bf7d9$0$1413$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 47089
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Jim,

Appears that you need to add a line to your
C:\autoexec.bat file, then reboot:

SET tk75=C:\tk75

If you are running Windows XP, or do not want to
alter your autoexec.bat file, here's a different strategy:

Create a new ASCII batch file, named C:\tk75\tk75.bat

It should contain these lines:

SET tk75=C:\tk75
"C:\tcl\bin\wish83.exe" "C:\tk75\tk75.tcl"

You can run this batch file, C:\tk75\tk75.bat, to start the program.

If you create a shortcut to the batch file
the shortcut works best if it is set up to run minimized
and close on exit.

Good luck,
Bob Parnass, AJ9S

On Sat, 15 Jun 2002 15:26:28 -0500, James Arnold wrote:

> On Thu, 13 Jun 2002 22:31:43 GMT, Steve Matzura <number6@speakeasy.net>
> posted:
>
>>:Check out http://www.parnass.org for some new Icom radio-controllerware
>>:from Bob Parnass, a top-knotch/top-level developer, technical writer
>>:and amateur radio operator. I don't own any Icom radios so I can't
>>:vouch for how any of this software is, but I've read some of Bob's
>>:articles so I don't think you'll go wrong in trying them out.
>>:
> I thought I'd post this versus bothering the author of the program, b/c
> no doubt the problem is mine versus the program(!). I installed exactly
> like it told me and I've been through the instructions about 8 times.
> I'm getting the following error:
>
> can't read "env(tk75)": no such variable while executing "set Libdir
> $env(tk75)"
> (file "C:\tk75\tk75.tcl" line 79)
>
> Thx

> -J. Arnold

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S Linux User www.parnass.org

4373. Re: FYI: Pro-2053 Software that works correctly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-16 02:28:43 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox.net>
Message-ID: <dqsngu0q5bedcesdg6chrnfjhsog5o9sop@4ax.com>
Source record: 47088

On 13 Jun 2002 17:55:02 -0700, scorp-99@nc.rr.com (Scorp) wrote:

[snip]
>1) http://www.pfranc.com/pclink/ScannerDeal.shtml# $22.00
>
>1-way and 2-way cables, but requires you to also buy :
>Radio Shack PRO-93/PRO-2053 you'll need both of these: Radio Shack
>sub-mini to mini stereo adapter #274-397 and Radio Shack mini stereo
>to mono adapter 274-368c
[snip]

There's an item on the site that says he's about to have a batch of
cables that won't need adapeters.

>1) http://www.blackbagsoftware.com/pro93.com/pro93accessories.zip
>
>At first I thought this was a great tool, but quickly found that it is
>full of bugs and the interface is not modeled very well. It also lacks
>functionaility and requires two different applications to read and
[snip]

If you check the properties of that program, and maybe browse through
it looking for legible text, it appears to be a developmental version
of the program GRE was writing for the 93/2053. It was set loose
anonymously. No idea by who or why. It just loads the scanner.
There are two programs available to transfer from the scanner to a PC,
but with Win93

>http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/win93/Win93.zip
[snip]

there's no reason to bother.

Signal Intelligence has a package that does both the 92/2067 and
93/2053:

http://www.scanstar.com/ts9293.htm

I have not seen *any* reviews or user reports on that. For a good
rundown on everything available for these scanners, visit
www.pro-92.com . That includes build-your-own cables.

>Conclusion... Use Win93 and buy a 2-way cable from Starsoft.
>The cable is very simple and I may take the time to generate
>the instructions for building one from RatShack parts in the future.

If you do, try to put it up on the file areas at www.pro-92.com and
the Yahoo! PRO-92 and PRO-93 groups. Or at least post to those boards

the link to where you have it. I think there are a lot of people who would be bold about building their own if they had that info - especially a list of RS part numbers.

Ken Plotkin

4374. Re: Houston, Texas freqs wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-16 02:46:57 UTC
From: wleamons <wleamons@socket.net>
Message-ID: <ugnv13q41n1je8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47090

try cityfreq.com
"Steve Matzura" <number6@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:a9vmguc46s3jdce61ogumal4hfb9slmjlq@4ax.com...
> I'll be visiting there the first week in July. Anything worthwhile
> listening to, like maybe stuff from the JSS?
>

4375. Re: INFO NEEDED IN DASH SCANNER??? WHO MADE IT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-16 03:17:30 UTC
From: JT <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <erTO8.215803$cQ3.7903@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 47091

Yeah, if it was the one I am thinking of, that is correct. That is the only one I can think of that was specifically designed for in-dash use, but I could be wrong.

JT

"Jesse Hawkes" <Jesse_Hawkes@high-mountain-rangers.com> wrote in message news:nkungukir81o168frq33ranoi9rh6f8ce7@4ax.com...
> "JT" wrote:
> >
> >It was Kenwood
>
> Kenwood RZ-1?
>
> http://www.rigpix.com/kenwood/rz1.htm.

4376. looking to buy a portable race scanner; need advise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-16 04:43:49 UTC
From: geo@oncontact.com
Message-ID: <9bfdb4c5.0206152043.7db40d19@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47092
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am very new to this, thus my question will be fairly basic... I club race (SCCA) and would like to be able to listen to corner workers about the stuff that is happening on the track. What is the best unit for me? is the the Radio Shack PRO-89, or is there a comparable Uniden model or a different brand scanner ?

Thanks in advance!
George
Milwaukee WI

4377. Re: Powerful scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-16 07:06:53 UTC
From: Devin <bob@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <hOWO8.21305$mh.852432@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 47093
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

hmm. KS0F has been gone for a while. welcome back....

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:erifgug3a9knjqml6an5ue3utfdeo9l13c@4ax.com...
> "KS&#65533;F" wrote:
>
> >At the time of your post, I had only made 2 posts on this subject.
> >
> >Please, be honest in the future.
>
> Anyone who wants to see how "honest" this stalker is can run a search
> on Google Groups in rec.radio.scanner (might be more in
> alt.radio.scanner) for BUD JAMISON.
>
> Tons of posts going back almost 5 years.
>
> He's a stalker alright.

4378. Re: Wanted PCR-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-16 11:31:16 UTC
From: Richard <translations@qdnet.pl>
Message-ID: <aeht0p$6eq$1@news.tpi.pl>
Source record: 47095
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

If no joy here you might be better off trying to buy and sell via ebay.co.uk

Richard
]
"Dick" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:8IRO8.612$U26.282689@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> I am looking for the PCR-1000 that you no longer use and want to part
> with.Let me know what you are asking for it or trades.Look at my page to
see
> if anything interest you for a trade or sale also.Thanks
> http://www.msnusers.com/DicksFTP/shoebox.msnw
>
>

4379. Looking for Carolina Beach NC Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-16 12:37:38 UTC
From: Rtp Scan <rrr@rtpscan.com>
Message-ID: <2t%O8.1223$ub4.24930@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 47096

I want to get verified frequenices for the Carolina Beach, NC area if possible.

replay to scanner@rtpscan .com I will not be checking back on the newsgroup. Leaving for Vacation in 7 days.

4380. Re: Niagara Region Canada Trunk Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-16 13:37:05 UTC
From: "B. Roberts" <broberts1254@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <0y0P8.56972$op.5192328@read2.cgocable.net>
Source record: 46999

I know CAA and other businesses in the area are operating on a trunk system.
Does anyone have trunking info on these???

Bernie

4381. PCR 1K Probs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-16 13:50:44 UTC
From: John <jwschn@ntr.net>
Message-ID: <ugp20agq4cmb8c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 46997

My 5 year old PCR1K has gone kapoot. I can' seem to receive anything but static. I don't know if the eprom was corrupted or if something more serious (lighting jolt) has done it. I don't want to send it to ICOM in fear they might update my firmware. Had thought about trying to fix it myself but don't really have the test equipment. Any recommends on shops or peeps that do a rightous job.

Thanks

4382. Nextel Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-16 13:52:39 UTC
From: John <jwschn@ntr.net>
Message-ID: <ugp243ik6044b8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 46998

What freq. are 'Nextel' two ways on??

Thanks

4383. Re: Niagara Region Canada Trunk Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-16 14:12:33 UTC
From: JJJ <oakvillecub@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <hvSO8.54676$op.5178911@read2.cgocable.net>
Source record: 47087

yes the police are using ctcss of 151.4

and all ambulance service in ontario use 118.8

John <n@n.net> wrote in message
news:isemgu85403taconcfq90nag3tdi41n1gr@4ax.com...
> Are they using Tones CTCSS tones. if so what is the tone
>
>
>
> On Sat, 15 Jun 2002 10:33:24 -0400, "JJJ" <oakvillecub@cogeco.ca>
> wrote:
>
> >actually the police use
> >
> >142.410, 142.230, 142.575, 142.545
> >
> >fire uses 155.445
> >and 153.770, 155.910
> >
> >ambulance uses 155.130
> >
> >Dan <dan9125@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:90dcbbbd.0206140707.27c1d4c1@posting.google.com...
> >> Im not sure about trunking but try 142.565 mhz ....pretty active for
> >> the niagara region.
> >> Dan in NY
> >
>

4384. Re: San Francisco Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-16 15:56:17 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3D0CB521.F29C013B@USA.net>
Source record: 47000
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I will be there the end of June for 6 days and it would be nice to know
those frequencies.

"I" will do the logical thing and go to "google". There is a better
chance of a logical reply.

I find a web page I will post it.

wleamons wrote:

> try cityfreq.com
> <ve7ggj@nospam.rac.ca> wrote in message
> news:11284775ROBOTLX@198.80.55.250...
> I will be in downtown San Francisco later this summer.
>
> Can someone point me to frequencies for Police, Fire
> and EHS?

4385. FA - 3 CB Radios all 40 channels in Excellent Condition For the price of 1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-16 16:22:26 UTC
From: wilfkelly@rogers.com
Message-ID: <1e9d473c.0206160822.19223fec@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47001

I have 3 CB Radios and selling at a buy it now feature on ebay with the price of less than it would cost new.Take a look Please Thanks

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1360172029

4386. CITY Scanner Frequencies Listed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-16 16:49:32 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3D0CC19C.4D4607DC@USA.net>
Source record: 47002
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You pick the State then the City within.

http://www.cityfreq.com:81/

4387. Re: Houston, Texas freqs wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-16 19:47:42 UTC
From: mjlabo2@eatel.net
Message-ID: <yX5P8.273193$Oa1.23565615@bin8.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 47003

In article <a9vmguc46s3jdce61ogumal4hfb9slmjlq@4ax.com>, Steve Matzura
<number6@speakeasy.net> wrote:
>I'll be visiting there the first week in July. Anything worthwhile
>listening to, like maybe stuff from the JSS?
>

Go to this site. It has ALL the Houston Freqs there. Houston PD is good
listening, as well as NASA.
http://www.clarc.org/%7Ekg5ai/freqs/hou_freq.html

4388. Re: Rat Shack - NEED Mica Insulators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-16 20:42:36 UTC
From: Gray-Beard <gray-beard@guess.net>
Message-ID: <3D0CF83C.D8625B55@guess.net>
Source record: 47004

Then you need to change to a different Rat Shack.
Around here (Denver area) if you're in their computer database you are given a catalog for FREE.
GB

Power House wrote:

> I'm tired of arguing with you... The only clueless one here is you...
> Obviously you have already forgotten the other portion of my post... THEY
> DON'T GIVE YOU THEM FREE AT THE LOCAL STORE HERE! WITH OR WITHOUT A
> PURCHASE! Got it now? Or do you need a crow bar to get your head the rest
> of the way out of your ass????
>
> PH
>
> "SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> news:j23lgu85jqi1f7iun7h9qb05600no6lcji@4ax.com...
> > "Never anonymous Bud" wrote:
> >
> > >>And if I were as RICH as you, and could afford to fork over the money
> for a
> > >>catalog,
> > >
> > >Dude, the catalogs are FREE!
> >
> > Like I said ...another clueless customer (who calls the salespeople
> > clueless).

4389. Re: Houston, Texas freqs wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-16 21:23:04 UTC
From: Lurking Fool <Lurker@Home.Com>
Message-ID: <aelk2j$82i81$1@ID-59690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47045

What radio do you have?

"Steve Matzura" <number6@speakeasy.net> wrote in message
news:a9vmguc46s3jdce61ogumal4hfb9slmjlq@4ax.com...
> I'll be visiting there the first week in July. Anything worthwhile
> listening to, like maybe stuff from the JSS?
>

4390. So Cal 2mtr Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-16 22:17:55 UTC
From: mark12085@aol.comSPAMMO
Message-ID: <20020616181755.09380.00000711@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 47005

Is there a list of active Southern California 2-meter repeaters anywhere?

4391. Re: FA - 3 CB Radios all 40 channels in Excellent Condition For the price of 1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-16 22:19:49 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9a8P8.43856$Hn4.1458058@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 47006

"Wilf" <wilfkelly@rogers.com> wrote in message
news:1e9d473c.0206160822.19223fec@posting.google.com...
> I have 3 CB Radios and selling at a buy it now feature on ebay with
> the price of less than it would cost new.Take a look Please Thanks
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1360172029

Tell me please - what the FUCK does this have to do with scanners?

4392. Thinking about a 2nd scanner for the car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-16 22:20:43 UTC
From: Greg <not@shown.here>
Message-ID: <7i3qgusucs1eu02cu2oiqfnjvualqqt69d@4ax.com>
Source record: 47007

I am looking to get a new scanner to use in the car - tired of moving the ol bc780xlt between there and the house.

Actually, I picked up a PRO-2067 this morning, but ended up taking it back - I wasn't real happy with it.

I was sorta thinking of another 780, but its kind of big. I liked the small size of the 2067, but didnt care too much for the scanner itself. (Didn't like that i'd have to order a $70 package to program it with the computer, either)

Anyway, what are you guys using in your cars? I'd like to find something maybe a little more compact than the 780....or....maybe I'll just move the 780 to the car and get a new base scanner. Hmmmm decisions decisions!

4393. Re: Thinking about a 2nd scanner for the car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-16 22:34:43 UTC
From: Chairborne <Chairborne@lzboy.com>
Message-ID: <l64qgukt41l935do8tk639mq2ijh7v8flo@4ax.com>
Source record: 47008

On Sun, 16 Jun 2002 22:20:43 GMT, Greg <not@shown.here> wrote:

>I am looking to get a new scanner to use in the car - tired of moving
>the ol bc780xlt between there and the house.
>
> Actually, I picked up a PRO-2067 this morning, but ended up taking it
>back - I wasn't real happy with it.
>
>I was sorta thinking of another 780, but its kind of big. I liked the
>small size of the 2067, but didnt care too much for the scanner
>itself. (Didn't like that i'd have to order a $70 package to program
>it with the computer, either)
>
>Anyway, what are you guys using in your cars? I'd like to find
>something maybe a little more compact than the 780....or....maybe I'll
>just move the 780 to the car and get a new base scanner. Hmmmm
>decisions decisions!

If you're unhappy with the 2067, sounds like the only choice you have
that remotely compares to that is another 780. I just bought a 2067
for my car, and I'm fine with it (but I'm using freeware and a
home-made cable). But it sure ain't a 780, although it is $100 less.

4394. Re: looking to buy a portable race scanner; need advise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-16 22:38:41 UTC
From: Chairborne <Chairborne@lzboy.com>
Message-ID: <1c4qgukt0pe87ub0k2aedkd6spqeoo2jbk@4ax.com>
Source record: 47009

On 15 Jun 2002 21:43:49 -0700, geo@oncontact.com (George) wrote:

>I am very new to this, thus my question will be fairly basic... I club
>race (SCCA) and would like to be able to listen to corner workers
>about the stuff that is happening on the track. What is the best unit
>for me? is the the Radio Shack PRO-89, or is there a comparable Uniden
>model or a different brand scanner ?
>
>Thanks in advance!
>George
>Milwaukee WI

At Mid-Ohio, I've used a variety of handhelds, all of which will work fine for race control, safety and all that. Even the cheapest, oldest scanner can handle a few common freqs. But, do you want to listen to the other guys while they're racing? If so, maybe you want something with alpha tags, like the Pro-92 or -93, where you can put the drivers name in with the freq so you know who you're monitoring (unless you have a really good memory).

4395. Re: Rat Shack - NEED Mica Insulators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-16 22:54:47 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <764qgu4q417k3e5kb4834a39eo716ern12@4ax.com>
Source record: 47010

Fresh from a command appearance on The View, Gray-Beard <gray-beard@guess.net> moaned...

>Then you need to change to a different Rat Shack.
>Around here (Denver area) if you're in their computer database you are given a
>catalog for FREE.

It's no use arguing with him, he'll find SOME excuse for every option you offer him.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

4396. Re: Thinking about a 2nd scanner for the car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-16 22:55:40 UTC
From: JT <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <MH8P8.223832$cQ3.8410@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 47011

I am in the same boat; constantly moving the 780 between car and house. I am going to get the 785 when it debuts, and I'll put the 780 in the car, which I think is the only option after getting used to the 780.

JT

"Chairborne" <Chairborne@lzboy.com> wrote in message news:l64qgukt41l935do8tk639mq2ijh7v8flo@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 16 Jun 2002 22:20:43 GMT, Greg <not@shown.here> wrote:
>
> >I am looking to get a new scanner to use in the car - tired of moving
> >the ol bc780xlt between there and the house.
> >
> > Actually, I picked up a PRO-2067 this morning, but ended up taking it
> >back - I wasn't real happy with it.
> >
> >I was sorta thinking of another 780, but its kind of big. I liked the
> >small size of the 2067, but didnt care too much for the scanner
> >itself. (Didn't like that i'd have to order a $70 package to program
> >it with the computer, either)
> >
> >Anyway, what are you guys using in your cars? I'd like to find
> >something maybe a little more compact than the 780....or....maybe I'll
> >just move the 780 to the car and get a new base scanner. Hmmmm
> >decisions decisions!
>
> If you're unhappy with the 2067, sounds like the only choice you have
> that remotely compares to that is another 780. I just bought a 2067
> for my car, and I'm fine with it (but I'm using freeware and a
> home-made cable). But it sure ain't a 780, although it is $100 less.

4397. Re: Thinking about a 2nd scanner for the car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-16 22:59:35 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox.net>
Message-ID: <hf5qguo46egbspdi4jhskpo2k91bmphat0@4ax.com>
Source record: 47012

On Sun, 16 Jun 2002 22:20:43 GMT, Greg <not@shown.here> wrote:

[snip]
>small size of the 2067, but didnt care too much for the scanner
>itself. (Didn't like that i'd have to order a $70 package to program
>it with the computer, either)
[snip]

The $70 package has been discontinued. But that doesn't matter,
because you can do better for less than half that.

Visit www.pro-92.com, and look for the software and PC interface
pages.

Ken Plotkin

4398. Free Logging Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-16 23:13:35 UTC
From: "www.ar3000.info" <tommcqDELETETHESECAPS@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <3d0d19a9_3@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 47013
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Free Logging Database built with Access2000 available, complete with Station
Locator containing
over 5000 International Broadcast Stations.

Perfectly suitable for both HAM operators and Shortwave Listeners alike.
With the "UserID" field, will also suit Radio Clubs.

Records the following:

* User ID
* Date
* RX Freq
* TX Freq
* Pwr
* Mode
* Station ID
* Station Class
* Tx Site
* Tx Type
* Country
* SoundRecording Path
* SINPO
* Equipment Used
* QSL Record
* Free-Hand Comments
* AND MUCH MORE

In addition to the above, there are a wide variety of printable REPORTS
based on the information logged.

Feel free to find out more at :
http://web.ukonline.co.uk./sadmutha/ar3000/mcqlog.htm

It's FREE - no silly adverts or registration!
--

Regards, Tom.
*..• ●●●)) -:•:-
•.●● .●●●●))
((●●.●● .●● ..-:•:- * www.ar3000.info * -:•:-
-:•:- ((●●.●●*

4399. Re: Thinking about a 2nd scanner for the car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-16 23:24:30 UTC
From: Greg <not@shown.here>
Message-ID: <2e7qguc3omfi8b5s8t03vkaip70cfj175v@4ax.com>
Source record: 47014

On Sun, 16 Jun 2002 22:55:40 GMT, "JT" <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com>
wrote:

>I am in the same boat; constantly moving the 780 between car and house. I am
>going to get the 785 when it debuts, and I'll put the 780 in the car, which
>I think is the only option after getting used to the 780.

I was thinking about holding out for the 785, but I don't need the
digital option.... and I'm also a little torqued off at uniden for
making a 1000 channel scanner with only 10 banks. Seems wasteful to
me.

I'll probably end up getting another 780.

4400. Re: Thinking about a 2nd scanner for the car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-17 00:37:57 UTC
From: Greg <not@shown.here>
Message-ID: <7pbqgug3u0nua3bn3v261mi4cu87b99u35@4ax.com>
Source record: 47015

On Sun, 16 Jun 2002 23:24:30 GMT, Greg <not@shown.here> wrote:

>On Sun, 16 Jun 2002 22:55:40 GMT, "JT" <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com>
>wrote:
>
>>I am in the same boat; constantly moving the 780 between car and house. I am
>>going to get the 785 when it debuts, and I'll put the 780 in the car, which
>>I think is the only option after getting used to the 780.
>
>I was thinking about holding out for the 785, but I don't need the
>digital option.... and I'm also a little torqued off at uniden for
>making a 1000 channel scanner with only 10 banks. Seems wasteful to
>me.
>
>
>I'll probably end up getting another 780.

Hmmm hadnt thought about this before but the 895 might work in the
car.... it's a bit cheaper than another 780

4401. Re: Thinking about a 2nd scanner for the car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-17 01:11:54 UTC
From: me@nospam.com
Message-ID: <1103_1024276283@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 47016

On Sun, 16 Jun 2002 22:20:43 GMT, Greg <not@shown.here> wrote:
> I am looking to get a new scanner to use in the car - tired of moving
> the ol bc780xlt between there and the house.
>
> Actually, I picked up a PRO-2067 this morning, but ended up taking it
> back - I wasn't real happy with it.
>
> I was sorta thinking of another 780, but its kind of big. I liked the
> small size of the 2067, but didnt care too much for the scanner
> itself. (Didn't like that i'd have to order a $70 package to program
> it with the computer, either)

I got a second 780 to use in the house because like you I got tired of moving the first one back and forth. There
just isn't a better scanner out there right now.

4402. Re: PCR 1K Probs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-17 01:33:15 UTC
From: maystar <maystar@abuse.com>
Message-ID: <ugqf2v7k7hke26@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47017

Go to http://www.qth.net and read through the PCR-1000 message forum archives. There are at least 2 freeware programs out there that will reset your radio's eprom with factory defaults. The freeware program PCR1000BUR will do this and also will unblock 800 MHz frequencies if your radio has an early serial number. Check out the forum for further info.

"John" <jwschn@ntr.net> wrote in message news:ugp20agq4cmb8c@corp.supernews.com...
> My 5 year old PCR1K has gone kapoot. I can' seem to receive anything but
> static. I don't know if the eprom was corrupted or if something more serious
> (lighting jolt) has done it.

4403. Re: Thinking about a 2nd scanner for the car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-17 01:55:00 UTC
From: Greg <not@shown.here>
Message-ID: <73gqguc8nc2d5q2v897ub7bk3f6p46joo6@4ax.com>
Source record: 47018

On Mon, 17 Jun 2002 01:11:54 GMT, me@nospam.com wrote:

>On Sun, 16 Jun 2002 22:20:43 GMT, Greg <not@shown.here> wrote:
>> I am looking to get a new scanner to use in the car - tired of moving
>> the ol bc780xlt between there and the house.
>>
>> Actually, I picked up a PRO-2067 this morning, but ended up taking it
>> back - I wasn't real happy with it.
>>
>> I was sorta thinking of another 780, but its kind of big. I liked the
>> small size of the 2067, but didnt care too much for the scanner
>> itself. (Didn't like that i'd have to order a $70 package to program
>> it with the computer, either)
>
>I got a second 780 to use in the house because like you I got tired of moving the first
one back and forth. There
>just isn't a better scanner out there right now.
>

I hear ya there.... I think the 780 has spoiled me. It's easy to use,
easy to program via the computer (no funky cables needed, just the
serial cord), reception is pretty good. it trunk-tracks, what more
could you want?

Well I do wish it held more channels, but oh well. I'd hop on that 785
in a heartbeat when it comes out, if only they'd split those 1100
channels into more than 10 banks!

4404. crystals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-17 01:58:01 UTC
From: Evan Olberding <flipteam15@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3d0d42ae@news.mhogaming.com>
Source record: 47019

I had a thought i would just like to share and see what others think. My local RadioSchack has a 1 channel cb radio on sale for $4.00 I was going to buy it, open it up and replace the crystal with one from a broken cordless phone, or maybe find a crystal thats the same freq as a local McDonalds. This is just so i could transmit and have some fun. Looking for others thoughts.

4405. Re: crystals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-17 02:08:26 UTC
From: Harry <marnells@cox.net>
Message-ID: <uwbP8.18948$Ok1.1288280@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 47020

My thoughts? I think you just announced - quite literally to the entire world - that you intend to break the law if you can. Besides which, a CB radio will no doubt NOT transmit on a McDonald's frequency even IF you could get a crystal for it AND the proper CTCSS or digital tone that most fast-food radios use.

I think you need to get a life.
--
Harry
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications History

"Evan Olberding" <flipteam15@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3d0d42ae@news.mhogaming.com...
> I had a thought i would just like to share and see what others think. My
> local RadioSchack has a 1 channel cb radio on sale for $4.00 I was going to
> buy it, open it up and replace the crystal with one from a broken cordless
> phone, or maybe find a crystal thats the same freq as a local McDonalds.
> This is just so i could transmit and have some fun. Looking for others
> thoughts.
>
>

4406. Re: Powerful scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-17 02:11:57 UTC
From: Devin <bob@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <NzbP8.29643$vo2.988665@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 47021
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
time for some more fun eh ?
```

"Devin" <bob@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:hOWO8.21305$mh.852432@news1.telusplanet.net...
> hmm. KS0F has been gone for a while. welcome back....
>
>
> "SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> news:erifgug3a9knjqml6an5ue3utfdeo9l13c@4ax.com...
> > "KS0F" wrote:
> >
> > >At the time of your post, I had only made 2 posts on this subject.
> > >
> > >Please, be honest in the future.
> >
> > Anyone who wants to see how "honest" this stalker is can run a search
> > on Google Groups in rec.radio.scanner (might be more in
> > alt.radio.scanner) for BUD JAMISON.
> >
> > Tons of posts going back almost 5 years.
> >
> > He's a stalker alright.
>
>

4407. Re: looking to buy a portable race scanner; need advise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-17 02:37:53 UTC
From: johnb <borregoj@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3D0D4D10.1070807@sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 47022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

i think the uniden "sport cat" might be the sort of thing you are looking for. It seems to be designed for racing monitoring and doesn't have all the air/public safety sort of stuff that other machines do (like trunking, milair frquencies....) but others here who actually do racing listening might know more.

George wrote:

> I am very new to this, thus my question will be fairly basic... I club
> race (SCCA) and would like to be able to listen to corner workers
> about the stuff that is happening on the track. What is the best unit
> for me? is the the Radio Shack PRO-89, or is there a comparable Uniden
> model or a different brand scanner ?
>
> Thanks in advance!
> George
> Milwaukee WI
>

4408. Re: FA - 3 CB Radios all 40 channels in Excellent Condition For the price of 1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-17 02:55:11 UTC
From: dxlover574738846@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020616225511.02782.00000640@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 47023

>Tell me please - what the FUCK does this have to do with scanners?

I agree......minus the f word. ;-)
But, it is a pretty good deal.

4409. Re: Antenna in the car?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-17 03:39:36 UTC
From: Just_me <martian10@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <YRcP8.42680$831.15138@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 47024

I asked this question months ago...
A lot of people said that I would screw up my scanner..??

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aecrn0$5qman$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...

> http://www.grove-ent.com/page36.html top left item on page. Allows you
to
> plug your car antenna into both your car radio and your scanner at the
same
> time.
>
> I've never used one so can't say, but shouldn't have too much loss if it's
> made well.
>
> Tom
>
>
>
>

4410. Re: Rat Shack - NEED Mica Insulators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-17 03:47:22 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aejm2g$7f1k9$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47025

"Gray-Beard" <gray-beard@guess.net> wrote in message
news:3D0CF83C.D8625B55@guess.net...
> Then you need to change to a different Rat Shack.
> Around here (Denver area) if you're in their computer database you are
given a
> catalog for FREE.
> GB

Not here. I'm in their database but don't even get their flyers.

Tom

4411. Re: Thinking about a 2nd scanner for the car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-17 03:55:57 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aejmik$7a3o5$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47026

"Greg" <not@shown.here> wrote in message
news:7i3qgusucs1eu02cu2oiqfnjvualqqt69d@4ax.com...

> Anyway, what are you guys using in your cars?

Right now I'm using a RS PRO-2026. Another good travel scanner is the Uniden BC700XLT. It has 50 memory channels, plus will scan service scan at the same time. The scanner doesn't have a keypad, so memory channels have to be entered by tuning to the freq and then pressing the enter button. This is a good scanner for mobile use as you don't have to keep entering different frequencies when you move from region to region.

The 2026 also has service scan, plus keypad and 50 more memory channels. It is only slightly larger than the BC700. The only thing I don't like is that the display & buttons seems to be a mite too small for mobile use.

Tom

4412. Re: crystals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-17 04:03:54 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aejn1h$7gtng$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47027

"Harry" <marnells@cox.net> wrote in message
news:uwbP8.18948$Ok1.1288280@news2.west.cox.net...
> My thoughts? I think you just announced - quite literally to the entire
> world - that you intend to break the law if you can. Besides which, a
> CB radio will no doubt NOT transmit on a McDonald's frequency even IF
> you could get a crystal for it AND the proper CTCSS or digital tone that
> most fast-food radios use.

Also, the CB will transmit in AM and the McDonald's drive through uses FM mode. Crystals aren't really that easy to find for the right frequency, and if you do find them they're usually somewhat expensive. I believe that the RS handheld CBs use crystals with a 455 kHz offset, so it may be unlikely he'll find the right crystal.

Tom

4413. Re: Antenna in the car?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-17 04:05:47 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aejn51$7eivt$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 47028

"Just_me" <martian10@rogers.com> wrote in message
news:YRcP8.42680$831.15138@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> I asked this question months ago...
> A lot of people said that I would screw up my scanner..??

I really don't see how. The only way you would damage your scanner is if you hooked it up to a transmitter with the same antenna. That would fry your scanner when you transmit. But two receivers would work fine without any problems.

Tom

4414. Re: Thinking about a 2nd scanner for the car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-17 05:54:45 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <KMeP8.760$p07.37106@sooner.brightok.net>
Source record: 47029

Well, I put a 2067 in my mobile, and have been mostly pleased with it. After I bought the RS programming pkg, I picked up a second one (for the cable - spare) for FIVE DOLLARS at the Ham-Com hamfest in Dallas! I'm not sure, but I think these are the people: www.shopjubilee.net give them a try and see if they have any more. The 2067 has some great features, and a few drawbacks, depends on your needs.

Mark

"Greg" <not@shown.here> wrote in message
news:7i3qgusucs1eu02cu2oiqfnjvualqqt69d@4ax.com...
> I am looking to get a new scanner to use in the car - tired of moving
> the ol bc780xlt between there and the house.
>
> Actually, I picked up a PRO-2067 this morning, but ended up taking it
> back - I wasn't real happy with it.
>
> I was sorta thinking of another 780, but its kind of big. I liked the
> small size of the 2067, but didnt care too much for the scanner
> itself. (Didn't like that i'd have to order a $70 package to program
> it with the computer, either)
>
> Anyway, what are you guys using in your cars? I'd like to find
> something maybe a little more compact than the 780....or....maybe I'll
> just move the 780 to the car and get a new base scanner. Hmmmm
> decisions decisions!

4415. Re: Rat Shack - NEED Mica Insulators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-17 06:27:00 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ugr0cmibe8hne0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47030

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aejm2g$7f1k9$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Gray-Beard" <gray-beard@guess.net> wrote in message
> news:3D0CF83C.D8625B55@guess.net...
> > Then you need to change to a different Rat Shack.
> > Around here (Denver area) if you're in their computer database you are
> given a
> > catalog for FREE.
> > GB
>
> Not here. I'm in their database but don't even get their flyers.
>
I'm "lucky" enough to get those... But down with you if you ever thought of
getting a FREE catalog! Cheap bastards around here. I think the only
reason I get the flyer is because it's not personally mailed out by the
local store...

PH

4416. Re: Rat Shack - NEED Mica Insulators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-17 06:29:53 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ugr0i89pviln19@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47031

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:764qgu4q417k3e5kb4834a39eo716ern12@4ax.com...
> Fresh from a command appearance on The View, Gray-Beard
<gray-beard@guess.net> moaned...
>
> >Then you need to change to a different Rat Shack.
> >Around here (Denver area) if you're in their computer database you are
given a
> >catalog for FREE.
>
> It's no use arguing with him, he'll find SOME excuse for every option you
offer him.
>
I only provide the facts; there are no excuses. I haven't added anything
more to this "argument" then I had already stated, plain as day, in my
initial posting. It's not my fault those of you doing the "arguing" can't
read!

PH

4417. Re: Thinking about a 2nd scanner for the car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-17 06:32:52 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ugr0nn707e9r4b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47032

"Greg" <not@shown.here> wrote in message
news:7pbqgug3u0nua3bn3v261mi4cu87b99u35@4ax.com...

> Hmmm hadnt thought about this before but the 895 might work in the
> car.... it's a bit cheaper than another 780

And a bit bigger...

PH

4418. Re: Thinking about a 2nd scanner for the car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-17 06:39:03 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ugr13jbvfpdpbc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47033

Currently I use a BCT 7. It's not the best of scanners, but is effective. Eventually I'd like to move up to a "real" scanner (One I can program what I want to scan, not just a generally search). The replies to your posting have been somewhat helpful to myself so far.

Good luck on your search,
PH

"Greg" <not@shown.here> wrote in message news:7i3qgusucs1eu02cu2oiqfnjvualqqt69d@4ax.com...
> I am looking to get a new scanner to use in the car - tired of moving
> the ol bc780xlt between there and the house.
>
> Actually, I picked up a PRO-2067 this morning, but ended up taking it
> back - I wasn't real happy with it.
>
> I was sorta thinking of another 780, but its kind of big. I liked the
> small size of the 2067, but didnt care too much for the scanner
> itself. (Didn't like that i'd have to order a $70 package to program
> it with the computer, either)
>
> Anyway, what are you guys using in your cars? I'd like to find
> something maybe a little more compact than the 780....or....maybe I'll
> just move the 780 to the car and get a new base scanner. Hmmmm
> decisions decisions!

4419. Re: Rat Shack - NEED Mica Insulators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-17 07:26:16 UTC
From: Devin <bob@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <sagP8.23504$mh.943558@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 47034

In short, In canada and the US. RS is slowly removing their electronic parts
off their items list.

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:ugr0cmibe8hne0@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:aejm2g$7f1k9$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> >
> > "Gray-Beard" <gray-beard@guess.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D0CF83C.D8625B55@guess.net...
> > > Then you need to change to a different Rat Shack.
> > > Around here (Denver area) if you're in their computer database you are
> > given a
> > > catalog for FREE.
> > > GB
> >
> > Not here. I'm in their database but don't even get their flyers.
> >
> I'm "lucky" enough to get those... But down with you if you ever thought
of
> getting a FREE catalog! Cheap bastards around here. I think the only
> reason I get the flyer is because it's not personally mailed out by the
> local store...
>
> PH
>
>
>

4420. Re: Rat Shack - NEED Mica Insulators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-17 08:12:01 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ugr6hp8g4g3qa4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 47035

"Devin" <bob@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:sagP8.23504$mh.943558@news1.telusplanet.net...
> In short, In canada and the US. RS is slowly removing their electronic
parts
> off their items list.
>

It's a sad trend, but it does seem that way... I find that if I need
something, Radio Shack doesn't have it; and it's not just electronic
components either.

I think it's high time for a name change for them. They are so far from a
"radio shack", that it's not even funny. They scarcely stock anything that
anybody would use in their radio shack. It's turned into a junk store for
the RCA brand name...

PH

4421. Re: FA - 3 CB Radios all 40 channels in Excellent Condition For the price of 1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-17 13:27:29 UTC
From: wilfkelly@rogers.com
Message-ID: <1e9d473c.0206170527.4405902c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47037

"Ken Bessler" <kg0wx@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<9a8P8.43856$Hn4.1458058@news1.east.cox.net>...
> "Wilf" <wilfkelly@rogers.com> wrote in message
> news:1e9d473c.0206160822.19223fec@posting.google.com...
> > I have 3 CB Radios and selling at a buy it now feature on ebay with
> > the price of less than it would cost new.Take a look Please Thanks
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1360172029
>
> Tell me please - what the FUCK does this have to do with scanners?

Obviously you are a very low class person to be using language like
this on the site.But for your info.I am willing to take a trade of a
good base scanner.I sell and bye scanners on this site and many
others.Get a life and stop trying to ruin these sites with your
uneducated foul mouth.

4422. Re: FA - 3 CB Radios all 40 channels in Excellent Condition For the price of 1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-17 13:27:30 UTC
From: wilfkelly@rogers.com
Message-ID: <1e9d473c.0206170527.25b4862c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47036

"Ken Bessler" <kg0wx@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<9a8P8.43856$Hn4.1458058@news1.east.cox.net>...
> "Wilf" <wilfkelly@rogers.com> wrote in message
> news:1e9d473c.0206160822.19223fec@posting.google.com...
> > I have 3 CB Radios and selling at a buy it now feature on ebay with
> > the price of less than it would cost new.Take a look Please Thanks
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1360172029
>
> Tell me please - what the FUCK does this have to do with scanners?

Obviously you are a very low class person to be using language like
this on the site.But for your info.I am willing to take a trade of a
good base scanner.I sell and bye scanners on this site and many
others.Get a life and stop trying to ruin these sites with your
uneducated foul mouth.

4423. Re: FA - 3 CB Radios all 40 channels in Excellent Condition For the price of 1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-17 14:36:06 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020617103606.02479.00001224@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 47038

>Tell me please - what the **** does this have to do with scanners?

Well, if you rotate the channel dials really fast, it would kind of be like
listening to a scanner.. :)
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

4424. PRO-2022 LCD went---what are my options for repair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-17 16:07:46 UTC
From: atlsvo@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11095-3D0E0952-193@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 47039

I have a PRO-2022 Rat Shack scanner and the LCD display went last night---what could have happened and what options do I have for repair? Many thanks!!

4425. Re: Rat Shack - NEED Mica Insulators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-17 17:01:01 UTC
From: Devin <bob@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <hBoP8.23599$mh.967254@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 47040

I find it funny. on our RS canada site. they have this posted.

RadioShack Canada is blowing out thousands of electronics at incredibly low prices. These products must go! These items include the hottest names in computers, audio sound, video, gaming and more. Now is the time to get that new stereo, upgrade your printer, or get a better answering machine. Chances are we'll have just what you're looking for. However, these Inventory Blow-Out items are available in-store only. Limited quantities, No Rain Checks.

I really don't mind the price on the 2046 though.

$129.99
Save $170.00
reg $299.99

But I guess the electronic store is better now.

Dev

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:ugr6hp8g4g3qa4@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Devin" <bob@nowhere.com> wrote in message
> news:sagP8.23504$mh.943558@news1.telusplanet.net...
> > In short, In canada and the US. RS is slowly removing their electronic
> parts
> > off their items list.
> >
>
> It's a sad trend, but it does seem that way... I find that if I need
> something, Radio Shack doesn't have it; and it's not just electronic
> components either.
>
> I think it's high time for a name change for them. They are so far from a
> "radio shack", that it's not even funny. They scarcely stock anything
that
> anybody would use in their radio shack. It's turned into a junk store for
> the RCA brand name...
>
> PH
>
>
>
>

4426. Is Motorola SmartNet Trunking MSK or FSK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-17 17:02:42 UTC
From: johnfofawn@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d89364bd.0206170902.476080e1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 47041
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I know lots of people use an FM discriminator to demodulate Smartnet,
but I've been looking at some plots of signals I recorded and it looks
more like MSK than FSK. Can anyone else confirm this?

I am sampling the IF output of a receiver so there is no FM demod
taking place prior to my sampling. I brought the signal in to Matlab
which I'm using for analysis.

Smartnet is 3600 bits per second (baud) and the tone spacing is
3600Hz. Right?

Thanks,
John

4427. Re: PRO-2022 LCD went---what are my options for repair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-17 18:28:17 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20020617142817.23816.00001839@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 47042

Not sure what you need but here are some part numbers. Never can tell. you might get lucky and find that RS still has what you need.

10800266 LCD,ELECTROLUMINESCENT
10800266 PIECE BEHIND THE LCD

10800274 LCD ASSY

10880839 FLAT PAK

4428. Re: Is Motorola SmartNet Trunking MSK or FSK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-17 18:54:22 UTC
From: Peter Hunt <news@peterhunt.zoetermeernet.nl>
Message-ID: <aelb93$5cl$1@news1.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 47043
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi John,

Take a look at the forums on http://www.trunkedradio.net
If you ask those kind of questions on that forum, I'm quite
sure someone can help you !

> Thanks,
> John

Bye, Peter Hunt.

4429. FS: AOR 8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-17 21:02:10 UTC
From: Network Dude <sgiron@adelphiaX.net>
Message-ID: <m7sP8.647$8w5.361160@news3.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 47044

AOR 8000 Wide Band Reciever
AOR Protective case
Orignal manual No box
NO coverage gaps
1/8 stereo jack added for Scout tuning

$300 shipped to you in the US

remove X in address to reply

4430. Radio Shack pro 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-17 23:01:04 UTC
From: SugarBroker@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23784-3D0E6A30-423@storefull-2196.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 47046

Has this unit been successfully adapted to use in a car? Thanks in advance.

4431. Re: BC780xlt - BC895xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-17 23:27:42 UTC
From: Jeff Seale <jseale18@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9230C5CFE44D8jseale18insightbbcom@204.127.202.16>
Source record: 46947

Mike G (gmike@hotsprings.orgcom) wrote in news:3d062914.6232772@news-east.teranews.com:

> On Mon, 10 Jun 2002 07:39:13 -0400, Jerry <nospam@bigfoot.com> wrote:
>
>
>>
>>These radios are not in the same class as the 780. They are suited for
>>people on a budget. The 780 blows them away in every way possible.
>>They do not trunk better than a 780.
>
> The only difference is price, Mil-air, I-call and some bells and
> whistles. The 780 is rather weak in 800 sensitivty and you have to pay
> another $50 for an external speaker because the audio sucks. And the
> 780 is rather slow because it stays on the control channel for one
> second which is way too long. The 780 is nice but overated.
>

Let's not forget that the 780 has a 'normal' (DB9) serial port on it. You don't need an adaptor anymore to connect the serial cable from the 780 to your PC. In fact, you're almost better off running the 780 from a PC because of all the settings involved. Not to be putting down the 780's user interface, it's quite intuitive once you get used to it.

4432. Re: Radio Shack pro 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 00:03:43 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20020617200343.10418.00000897@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 46948

>Has this unit been successfully adapted to use in a car?<

You could run a 2006 in a submarine, Joking,Joking!

I've used mine temporally in the car and have never had any problems. I think
Grove used to make/sell a mount to put the 06 in a car.

Rob Mills ~~~

4433. Re: Radio Shack pro 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 00:31:00 UTC
From: shewscan@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020617203100.07957.00002124@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 46949

i currently have mine as a mobile and it has damn good reception. been having
it since the early 90's

4434. Re: BC780xlt - BC895xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 00:55:00 UTC
From: Jeff Seale <jseale18@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9230D499086DBjseale18insightbbcom@204.127.68.17>
Source record: 46950

SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in
news:84usguce39rgsk3s2361rsr453do1rhfkg@4ax.com:

> "Jeff Seale" wrote:
>
>>Not to be putting down the 780's user
>>interface, it's quite intuitive once you get used to it.
>
> "Intuitive" isn't supposed to have a "get used to it" phase.
>

Good grief! :O

4435. Re: San Francisco Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 01:11:25 UTC
From: Gray-Beard <gray-beard@guess.net>
Message-ID: <3D0E88BD.3413DC39@guess.net>
Source record: 46951
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Here ya go. This is a great site for frequencies.
I hope it helps for your trip to San Francisco, as they appear to be in
the process of changing over to digital.
GB

http://www.trunkedradio.net/cgi-bin/display.pl

ve7ggj@nospam.rac.ca wrote:

> I will be in downtown San Francisco later this summer.
>
> Can someone point me to frequencies for Police, Fire
> and EHS?

4436. Re: crystals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 01:46:38 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <2iwP8.31188$vo2.1119471@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 46952

Cordless phones are up at 49 MHz and that would be quite a stretch for a Crybaby Band radio. I am glad that someone else pointed out that you would be breaking the law so I won't go their. Save your $4.00 and like someone else said buy a life.

"Evan Olberding" <flipteam15@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3d0d42ae@news.mhogaming.com...
> I had a thought i would just like to share and see what others think. My
> local RadioSchack has a 1 channel cb radio on sale for $4.00 I was going to
> buy it, open it up and replace the crystal with one from a broken cordless
> phone, or maybe find a crystal thats the same freq as a local McDonalds.
> This is just so i could transmit and have some fun. Looking for others
> thoughts.
>
>

4437. Western Forest/WildFire Info URL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 01:59:46 UTC
From: Ben Kaplan <bkapporsheguy5@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <muwP8.1758$uH2.539@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 46953
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

click here: http://www.nifc.gov/

The National Interagency Fire and Coordination Centre.

Latest info on the Wildfires in the Western States.

A good related resource for the locations affected.

4438. Re: BC780xlt - BC895xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 02:01:34 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3D0E947E.2A81333C@optonline.net>
Source record: 46954

Of course, all the new laptops are missing a DB9 serial port, and only
have USB ports. So one still needs an adapter, just a different one,
USB-to-DB9, which may, or may not, work well.

Walt

Jeff Seale wrote:
>
>
> Let's not forget that the 780 has a 'normal' (DB9) serial port on it. You
> don't need an adaptor anymore to connect the serial cable from the 780 to
> your PC. In fact, you're almost better off running the 780 from a PC
> because of all the settings involved. Not to be putting down the 780's user
> interface, it's quite intuitive once you get used to it.

4439. Re: So Cal 2mtr Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 02:05:24 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <EzwP8.31195$vo2.1120469@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 46955

Talk to your local Ham clubs or go into one of the local Ham toy stores. The ARRL is also a wealth of info at http://www.arrl.org/ .

"Mark Mark" <mark12085@aol.comSPAMMO> wrote in message news:20020616181755.09380.00000711@mb-mq.aol.com...
> Is there a list of active Southern California 2-meter repeaters anywhere?

4440. Re: Radio Shack pro 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 02:24:41 UTC
From: sphipps <sphipps@nospam.joplin.com>
Message-ID: <ugt6f6oserf4eb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 46956

I have a 2006 mounted in the console storage compartment of my 2000 Grand Prix. Secured it with a few pieces of foam rubber to eliminate vibration and hooked up a Radio Shack amplified speaker mounted under the driver's seat. It's a bit hard to see the display, but works great! When you close the storage compartment lid, you can't even tell it's in the car.

"Joseph Blanpain" <SugarBroker@webtv.net> wrote in message news:23784-3D0E6A30-423@storefull-2196.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Has this unit been successfully adapted to use in a car? Thanks in
> advance.

4441. Re: Radio Shack pro 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 02:36:08 UTC
From: SugarBroker@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24425-3D0E9C98-474@storefull-2198.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 46957

Thanks for your kind reply in regard setting up 2006 as mobile. Can you tell me how it is set up.Power supply,Antenna? Thanks.

4442. FS: Maxrad BNC Antennas for Air/150/160/MilAir/UHF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 05:04:28 UTC
From: Rafman&#65533; <rafman2u@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <wbzP8.2075$Fv1.247252@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 46958
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

I am offering Maxrad BNC antennas (NEW) for sale:

I have VHF-Airband (122.90MHz), 140-150, 150-160(public service),
160-170MHz(railband, WX, Federal) $9.50 each

UHF-Mil-Air $8.50

UHF 430-450, 450-470MHz stub ducks $7.50 each

They are available in straight BNC (exposed or covered BNC) or right angle
BNC (for rear of scanner mounting). Covered boot covers below BNC by 0.085"
forming a water-resistant seal & added support of antenna!

Add $3.50 USPS Priority Shipping for up to 6 antennas...

JPGs available (specify type of interest) via email. Please reserve yours
today via email along with your shipping address for further details &
payment information.

Write me for all your antenna needs or to order a special frequency!
Emails, orders & questions promptly answered!

Thank You,

Chris
rafman2u@yahoo.com
"Quality Signal Reception Is Our Specialty"

4443. FS: Realistic Pro-34 (800 Mhz.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 05:05:58 UTC
From: W Mac <wgm1@usa.net>
Message-ID: <WczP8.42428$LC3.3227164@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 46959

For Sale: Realistic Pro-34 200 channel scanner (With FULL 800 Mhz!)
Including the original box, manual and AC power supply. Works great! A 9
out of 10. $175 + shipping.

Wayne
wgm1@usa.net

4444. Re: My new scanner is driving me crazy...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 05:20:46 UTC
From: The Other Harry <hc.me@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <acetgu8fv5buieumrqtg2jta7embeur3vm@4ax.com>
Source record: 46960

[On Fri, 31 May 2002 21:38:55 -0400, The Other Harry
<hc.me@ix.netcom.com> wrote:]

> I recently bought a Radio Shack Pro-2053 scanner. I don't think the
> brand or model # matters, but that's what it is.
>
> I have most of the active local frequencies set in memory. There
> aren't a lot of those. Maybe 20.
>
> Invariably, whenever I hear something that might be interesting
> going down outside the window -- sirens -- the scanner locks up on
> bullshit talk on some other channel.
>
> It makes me want to smash it on the floor.
>
> Any advice on how to deal with this?

Okay, now I'll follow-up on my own message.

First off, thanks to those of you who took the time to give me serious answers. I had read the manual, but homing in on a particular category of potential activity (local fire sirens, for instance) hasn't been all that intuitive. It hasn't been for me, anyway, It isn't like changing channels on the television. The scanner does too much, too fast. (Just like it's supposed to do.)

Simply bumping the scan button doesn't get it. Unless something happens to be going on at that particular instant on the frequency(ies) that I'm wanting to listen to, all that usually does is to take me right back to the same bullshit conversation I had previously been locked up on and was trying to get away from. Either there, or to some other bullshit conversation.

The key seems to be in organizing the frequency hierarchary into sensible banks, and then being able to quickly lock into / out of entire banks. The scanner is designed so that is capable of doing this. It's mostly a problem of setting things up logically -- and again, this isn't all that intuitive. Not at first.

On the next level down, the problem seems to be to lock-out specific channels or to go manually to a particular channel. This isn't by any means difficult to do, but it's not something that I think any newbie can do right out of the box. They might know how to do it in a technical sense, but they probably can't actually pull it off in the real world until they get familiar with the local frequencies.

I still don't have it all worked out yet, but I'm getting there.

I do think it will be a big help to be able to hook the scanner up to the computer for memory organization.

Thanks again to those who answered seriously.

Harry ;)

4445. Re: My new scanner is driving me crazy...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 06:01:16 UTC
From: Devin <bob@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <M0AP8.21357$Aa4.1122296@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 46961

usually on some RS scanners. if it locks on a channel. press scann again. it
should not stop it. just go to scannin again. then press manual or whatever
the name of the button is on yours to stop it. You can always just press
manual and manual scann to that channel.

"The Other Harry" <hc.me@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:acetgu8fv5buieumrqtg2jta7embeur3vm@4ax.com...
> [On Fri, 31 May 2002 21:38:55 -0400, The Other Harry
> <hc.me@ix.netcom.com> wrote:]
>
> > I recently bought a Radio Shack Pro-2053 scanner. I don't think the
> > brand or model # matters, but that's what it is.
> >
> > I have most of the active local frequencies set in memory. There
> > aren't a lot of those. Maybe 20.
> >
> > Invariably, whenever I hear something that might be interesting
> > going down outside the window -- sirens -- the scanner locks up on
> > bullshit talk on some other channel.
> >
> > It makes me want to smash it on the floor.
> >
> > Any advice on how to deal with this?
>
>
> Okay, now I'll follow-up on my own message.
>
> First off, thanks to those of you who took the time to give me
> serious answers. I had read the manual, but homing in on a
> particular category of potential activity (local fire sirens, for
> instance) hasn't been all that intuitive. It hasn't been for me,
> anyway, It isn't like changing channels on the television. The
> scanner does too much, too fast. (Just like it's supposed to do.)
>
> Simply bumping the scan button doesn't get it. Unless something
> happens to be going on at that particular instant on the
> frequency(ies) that I'm wanting to listen to, all that usually does
> is to take me right back to the same bullshit conversation I had
> previously been locked up on and was trying to get away from.
> Either there, or to some other bullshit conversation.
>
> The key seems to be in organizing the frequency hierarchary into
> sensible banks, and then being able to quickly lock into / out of
> entire banks. The scanner is designed so that is capable of doing

> this. It's mostly a problem of setting things up logically -- and
> again, this isn't all that intuitive. Not at first.
>
> On the next level down, the problem seems to be to lock-out specific
> channels or to go manually to a particular channel. This isn't by
> any means difficult to do, but it's not something that I think any
> newbie can do right out of the box. They might know how to do it in
> a technical sense, but they probably can't actually pull it off in
> the real world until they get familiar with the local frequencies.
>
> I still don't have it all worked out yet, but I'm getting there.
>
> I do think it will be a big help to be able to hook the scanner up
> to the computer for memory organization.
>
> Thanks again to those who answered seriously.
>
> Harry ;)

4446. Re: My new scanner is driving me crazy...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 06:52:14 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aeml92$848vc$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 46962

"The Other Harry" <hc.me@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:acetgu8fv5buieumrqtg2jta7embeur3vm@4ax.com...

> Simply bumping the scan button doesn't get it. Unless something
> happens to be going on at that particular instant on the
> frequency(ies) that I'm wanting to listen to, all that usually does
> is to take me right back to the same bullshit conversation I had
> previously been locked up on and was trying to get away from.
> Either there, or to some other bullshit conversation.

Part of your problem is that by the time you hear sirens, the call has already been dispatched. So you've missed the initial dispatch which will give you all the basic information about the incident.

Once you hear sirens and have already missed the dispatch, the logical step would be to manually select the channel you have the local PD, FD, ambulance, etc on. I normally keep them in the priority channels, so if I hear sirens I just have to press the PRI button. Once units get on scene, you can normally figure out what kind of call it is from their ensuing traffic.

Tom

4447. Re: Houston, Texas freqs wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 07:48:14 UTC
From: Steve Matzura <number6@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <vcptguo2bmmamr4luh0okgm1smnil9k107@4ax.com>
Source record: 46963

On Sun, 16 Jun 2002 19:47:42 GMT, mjlabo2@eatel.net (Bayou Biscuit)
wrote:

>Go to this site. It has ALL the Houston Freqs there. Houston PD is good
>listening, as well as NASA.
>http://www.clarc.org/%7Ekg5ai/freqs/hou_freq.html

Thanks. It's a monster list. Might need two scanners! ;g

4448. How do I get into service mode on my pro-46?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 08:11:49 UTC
From: JAMES E COLLINS <jamesc1@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9XBP8.2069$OR7.86715495@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 46965
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.scanner

I need to know how you get into service mode on my pro-46 scanner?
It's a combination of keystrokes that enter pre-determined frequencies into the scanner.
I've attempted to find the info on the web, but all the pages seem to be removed.
Thanks to any and all who can help.
James

4449. How do I get into service mode on my pro-46?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 08:15:37 UTC
From: JAMES E COLLINS <jamesc1@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <J_BP8.2071$oV7.86731826@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 46964
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I need to know how to get into the "service mode" on my pro-46 scanner.
It's a combination of keystrokes the program predetermined frequencies into
the scanner.
I've tried searching the web but all the pages seem to be removed.
thanks to any and all who can help.
JAmes

4450. FA: PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 10:34:42 UTC
From: JohnL <xyz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aen1sl$8ekna$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 46966
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hi all,

I have posted my last PRO-2006 up on ebay for auction. This is the nicest one I've had, and the nicest used one I've seen. It's truely in near new condition. I'm offering a warranty on it if the buyer is not satisfied. Please have a look at:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1360940145

thanks!

--
John L
Note the email address used for posting is not valid.
Sent with PAN 0.11.94 running on Mandrake Linux 8.2

4451. Re: How do I get into service mode on my pro-46?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 11:15:54 UTC
From: Hiram <temple@mtmoria.com>
Message-ID: <KDEP8.2474$uH2.597@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 46967
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Turn off the power. While holding down the 2, 9, and L/Out keys, turn the power back on.

"JAMES E COLLINS" <jamesc1@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:9XBP8.2069$OR7.86715495@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> I need to know how you get into service mode on my pro-46 scanner?
> It's a combination of keystrokes that enter pre-determined frequencies into
> the scanner.
> I've attempted to find the info on the web, but all the pages seem to be
> removed.
> Thanks to any and all who can help.
> James
>
>
>

4452. who has the BC780 cell.mod article?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 12:18:06 UTC
From: andyth2002@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <448e2c0d.0206180418.159f970e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 46968

I know there is a mod, read it on Butel's ARC780 software site, but they don't want to send me the article...

So my mailbox is open...

4453. Shuttle Landing - Live Audio of NASA & CAP Patrols

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 12:36:50 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3D0F2962.2010008@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 46969
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner,rec.models.rockets

At 11:55 AM STS-111 should be (weather permitting) landing at the
Kennedy Space Center. Starting now www.MilAirComms.com is
broadcasting live scanner audio of NASA and CAP (Combat Air Patrol)
frequencies until either the land or until todays attempt is scrubbed.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <-- Live NASA Audio and MORE!

4454. Antenna MIssing 1 Element...will it work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 13:07:45 UTC
From: Anthony Gaspro <gaspro@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <54cugu06bn44vk8p3ufkbm86g52mvv0j4g@4ax.com>
Source record: 46970

I have recently required a Radio Shack Discone antenna. One of the long elements is missing, but all the rest are there. Any idea how this will effect reception?

Tony

4455. Re: Antenna MIssing 1 Element...will it work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 13:17:43 UTC
From: Anthony Gaspro <gaspro@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <5ocugu87s30ngtng2a9mds05burrae9cel@4ax.com>
Source record: 46971

Acquired...not required.....I need coffee

On Tue, 18 Jun 2002 13:07:45 GMT, Anthony Gaspro <gaspro@adelphia.net>
wrote:

>I have recently required a Radio Shack Discone antenna. One of the
>long elements is missing, but all the rest are there. Any idea how
>this will effect reception?
>
>Tony

4456. Re: How do I get into service mode on my pro-46?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 13:36:34 UTC
From: Peter Baran <pbaran@sk.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <ugudo4ek1cva45@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 46972
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.frn.net/tech/mods/qrz/pro51.txt

"JAMES E COLLINS" <jamesc1@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:9XBP8.2069$OR7.86715495@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> I need to know how you get into service mode on my pro-46 scanner?
> It's a combination of keystrokes that enter pre-determined frequencies
into
> the scanner.
> I've attempted to find the info on the web, but all the pages seem to be
> removed.
> Thanks to any and all who can help.
> James
>
>

4457. Re: FS: Realistic Pro-34 (800 Mhz.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 13:58:28 UTC
From: Me <m@e.com>
Message-ID: <d3fugugrrabcspesbme6q2rf3otrj541vm@4ax.com>
Source record: 46973

WHAT A RIP-OFF!!!

On Tue, 18 Jun 2002 05:05:58 GMT, "W Mac" <wgm1@usa.net> wrote:

>For Sale: Realistic Pro-34 200 channel scanner (With FULL 800 Mhz!)
>Including the original box, manual and AC power supply. Works great! A 9
>out of 10. $175 + shipping.
>
>Wayne
>wgm1@usa.net
>

4458. Re: FS: Realistic Pro-34 (800 Mhz.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 14:13:52 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020618101352.16117.00001924@mb-ce.aol.com>
Source record: 46974

>For Sale: Realistic Pro-34 200 channel scanner (With FULL 800 Mhz!)
>Including the original box, manual and AC power supply. Works great! A 9
>out of 10. $175 + shipping.

Stop smoking the crack! You can get a Pro-93 or Pro-2053 on sale at Radio Shack for around $160 to $180. These sales come up very often and these scanners have complete trunking abilities, alpha numeric tagging, computer programing and more... lower that price quite a bit and you might sell it.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

4459. Re: My new scanner is driving me crazy...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 14:16:38 UTC
From: The Other Harry <hc.me@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <qsfugu0co1ddae111u8cc5isgli1shahl5@4ax.com>
Source record: 46975

[On Tue, 18 Jun 2002 01:52:14 -0500, "Tom Sevart"
<n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote:]

> Part of your problem is that by the time you hear sirens, the call has
> already been dispatched. So you've missed the initial dispatch which will
> give you all the basic information about the incident.

This is one of the things that was frustrating me.

Probably due to having watched too many television shows, I expected
that the fire (or police) vehicles would be almost continuously
transmitting while they were in route.

Not so.

The initial emergency dispatch calls typically go down very fast, so
they can be easy to miss. And there are rarely any in-route
communications. Usually none at all.

I should have mentioned in my previous post that I also did not have
my priority function sorted out well. That's an understatement -- I
actually had it set to catch the trains that run through town.
Since I like trains, doing that seemed to make sense at the time.

Another problem I have is that I live in central Virginia. This may
not make sense to people who live in urban areas in the North, but
Southerners can be: a) maddeningly slow when they're not in a hurry;
and b) extraordinarily fast when they are in a hurry.

The upshot of this is that it's perfectly possible for, for
instance, a Sheriff's unit to take several minutes to run a routine
28 on a couple kids, while a fire dispatch call can go down in a
heartbeat. The scanner would keep going back and catching the
danged deputy, who invariably seemed to be talking as slowly as
humanly possible -- pausing, sniffing, and correcting himself while
leaving his mike keyed.

The dispatchers -- who are usually females here -- are usually much
better. They tend to be more consistently efficient than the boys.
But there are a few of them that are every bit as bad, if not worse.
There's one who seems to think she's on a cell phone.

Yet one more mistake I think I made (as a newbie) was to try to scan
too many jurisdictions / frequencies. My main real interest is in
the very local stuff, but I had plugged every possible frequency
into the scan banks.

The scanner is so sophisticated that it was very easy to hunt down
all the active frequencies within listening distance -- without
having any idea who or what they are associated with -- and then to
collect them into memory. So, that's exactly what I did.

In retrospect, I think this was a mistake. I had more going on than
I was capable of listening to or of managing. I think most newbies
would be better starting off with a smaller, more targeted bag than
I did. Once they (we) get used to operating the scanner, then that
bag can get bigger.

> Once you hear sirens and have already missed the dispatch, the logical step
> would be to manually select the channel you have the local PD, FD,
> ambulance, etc on. I normally keep them in the priority channels, so if I
> hear sirens I just have to press the PRI button. Once units get on scene,
> you can normally figure out what kind of call it is from their ensuing
> traffic.

This is what I'm now figuring out how to do,

They do consistently report their arrival, and there are usually
some follow-up calls that give the location and so forth.

Based on all the above, the strategy that I'm going to is this:

- Reduce the number of frequencies that I scan

- Organize the banks in a way that works for me

- Get the "right" local emergency frequencies on the priority
function

- Get good at locking-out and locking-in

I used to work for a newspaper, and I have some thoughts about doing
that again. So, the next steps might involve being prepared to get
to scene with a camera and a press-pass. Those are every bit as
complicated, but I'm more familiar with how to accomplish them.

Thanks!

Harry ;)

4460. Re: Antenna MIssing 1 Element...will it work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 14:38:34 UTC
From: John P Vassel <john@vassel.net>
Message-ID: <3d0f45ea$0$1428$2c3e98f8@news.voyager.net>
Source record: 46976

Anthony Gaspro <gaspro@adelphia.net> wrote in
news:5ocugu87s30ngtng2a9mds05burrae9cel@4ax.com:

> Acquired...not required.....I need coffee
>
> On Tue, 18 Jun 2002 13:07:45 GMT, Anthony Gaspro <gaspro@adelphia.net>
> wrote:
>
>>I have recently required a Radio Shack Discone antenna. One of the
>>long elements is missing, but all the rest are there. Any idea how
>>this will effect reception?
>>
>>Tony
>
>

If it's the long antenna whip for the top, it will reduce your reception on the low bands (30-50mhz). If it's got elements similar to it, in the actual cone shape of the antenna, it's loss will probably not be missed much for reception purposes.

--
John Vassel
KC8SKE
RTFD Volunteer Firefighter

4461. Re: Antenna MIssing 1 Element...will it work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 15:23:15 UTC
From: Anthony Gaspro <gaspro@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <v2kugugslg2lovpfs525ahfmi5h55m4ep5@4ax.com>
Source record: 46977

Yea, its on of the downward pointing elements in the cone. THe upward
element is still there as well as all of the horizontal elements at
the base of the whip.

On 18 Jun 2002 14:38:34 GMT, John P Vassel <john@vassel.net> wrote:

>Anthony Gaspro <gaspro@adelphia.net> wrote in
>news:5ocugu87s30ngtng2a9mds05burrae9cel@4ax.com:
>
>> Acquired...not required.....I need coffee
>>
>> On Tue, 18 Jun 2002 13:07:45 GMT, Anthony Gaspro <gaspro@adelphia.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>I have recently required a Radio Shack Discone antenna. One of the
>>>long elements is missing, but all the rest are there. Any idea how
>>>this will effect reception?
>>>
>>>Tony
>>
>>
>
>If it's the long antenna whip for the top, it will reduce your reception
>on the low bands (30-50mhz). If it's got elements similar to it, in the
>actual cone shape of the antenna, it's loss will probably not be missed
>much for reception purposes.

4462. Re: Realistic Pro-34 (800 Mhz.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 16:20:02 UTC
From: Martin <nothinghere@none.com>
Message-ID: <n5JP8.3118$TL6.898827@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 46980

$175 my ASS! Retard.

W Mac <wgm1@usa.net> wrote in message
news:WczP8.42428$LC3.3227164@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> For Sale: Realistic Pro-34 200 channel scanner (With FULL 800 Mhz!)
> Including the original box, manual and AC power supply. Works great! A 9
> out of 10. $175 + shipping.
>
> Wayne
> wgm1@usa.net
>
>

4463. BC 147 XLT repair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 16:25:50 UTC
From: Lou <tech@dp.net>
Message-ID: <aenod7$2esu$1@news.dp.net>
Source record: 46981

Uniden Bearcat - BC 147 XLT

Anyone have any repair info I can buy a copy of? OR lead me to in an e-mail to correct a problem? Any help appreciated. Please reply direct.

Thanks

Lou/Tech@DP.NET

4464. need fregs in colorado

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 17:38:30 UTC
From: woodford_jim@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <b1debc8e.0206180938.71f9377a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 46982

can some one help me in gettting fregs for arvada colo. pd or
jefferson county colo. sheriff dpt. thanks

4465. Re: need fregs in colorado

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 17:58:51 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <vxKP8.5795$781.3831@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 46983

"pykhnter" <woodford_jim@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:b1debc8e.0206180938.71f9377a@posting.google.com...
> can some one help me in gettting fregs for arvada colo. pd or
> jefferson county colo. sheriff dpt. thanks

Try http://www.cityfreq.com:81/co/arvada/ and by the way, its f-r-e-q not
freg.

4466. Re: My new scanner is driving me crazy...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 18:17:19 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aentdi$7uvf0$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 46984

"The Other Harry" <hc.me@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:qsfugu0co1ddae111u8cc5isgli1shahl5@4ax.com...
>
> The upshot of this is that it's perfectly possible for, for
> instance, a Sheriff's unit to take several minutes to run a routine
> 28 on a couple kids, while a fire dispatch call can go down in a
> heartbeat. The scanner would keep going back and catching the
> danged deputy, who invariably seemed to be talking as slowly as
> humanly possible -- pausing, sniffing, and correcting himself while
> leaving his mike keyed.

One of my pet peeves is officers who clear from a minor call, such as a noise complaint or parking complaint, and give me a two-minute disposition when "10-8, problem resolved" would work. It seems like they always do that when I'm waiting to dispatch an alarm or domestic call or something, or else the phone is ringing.

Tom

4467. WTB: SONY AIR-8 for Parts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 18:28:54 UTC
From: Roger Gough <rgough@bev.net>
Message-ID: <houuguo8br9ieg4o0ho02vqp4m7ig9bmnj@4ax.com>
Source record: 46985
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.aviation.misc,rec.aviation.products

I am interested in purchasing a used SONY AIR-8 PSB/AIR/FM/AM Receiver for parts. The unit need not be working but should be physically intact. Please contact me directly via email.

4468. WTB: SONY AIR-8 for Parts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 18:32:49 UTC
From: Roger Gough <rgough@bev.net>
Message-ID: <o5vugukmphjuitcqrbh1c2pedlm3e3rbrr@4ax.com>
Source record: 46986
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.aviation.misc,rec.aviation.products

I am interested in purchasing a used SONY AIR-8 PSB/AIR/FM/AM Receiver
for parts. The unit need not be working but should be physically
intact. Please contact me directly via email <rgough@bev.net>

4469. FREQS for wildfires in Colorado

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 18:41:32 UTC
From: bntjnk@REMOVEhotmail.com
Message-ID: <3d0f7da8.4219073@news.frontier.net>
Source record: 46987

Friends--

Can someone supply known freqs for air support, fed fire management,
and anything else useful. Please. We are starved for information in
the Durango area during the Missionary Ridge fire (summer 2002).

Besides the regular SO listed frequencies, the only useful one I've
found is 155.400 MHz, for the OEM channel, the local (and amazing)
county emergency management folks. The feds have command
of this fire now, and scanning has not found anything useful.

Any help is greatly appreciated.

Ben

4470. bc 100xlt weather radio FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 20:15:38 UTC
From: john sanders <jdsand@cowtown.net>
Message-ID: <ugv4pvjn4mb0d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 46988

can't program any other frequiencies in but weather channel works great and looks just great.
would like $20.00 or can anyone repair?

4471. *��=NIGGER Shoots_Burns White People_Brooklyn=��*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 21:17:39 UTC
From: Roger Ebert <r.ebert@suntimes.com>
Message-ID: <iq8vgug03j9qrhgc5pv7vcgnkmgke4r29m@4ax.com>
Source record: 46989
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

##

3 Shot in Racist Rampage
2 women overpower gunman
on suicide mission

By ALICE McQUILLAN, DEREK ROSE and BILL HUTCHINSON
Daily News Staff Writers

A heavily armed black man screaming, "White people are going to burn tonight!" shot three people at a trendy East Village bar yesterday and doused patrons with kerosene before he was overpowered, police said.

Steven Johnson, 34, of Brooklyn, was on a suicide mission when he burst into Bar Veloce on Second Ave. and 11th St. with guns blazing and hate spewing from his mouth, said Police Commissioner Raymond Kelly.

"I wanted to kill as many white people as I could," Johnson told detectives after the 40-minute siege, which left Johnson and three victims with bullet wounds.

Patrons said that after he poured kerosene on them, Johnson yelled, "Everyone's wearing gas shirts now!"

"Do you want it hot?" Johnson asked terrified hostages as he began flicking a barbecue lighter.

Before leaving home, Johnson wrote a suicide note to his 10-year-old son and scrawled on the wall of his Williamsburg apartment: "Tell the boys in blue, I won't go easy," cops said.

"This individual is clearly deranged" said Kelly, adding Johnson was carrying three guns, a 30-inch samurai sword, 153 rounds of ammunition, a box cutter, 100 plastic handcuffs, a kerosene-filled bottle and the lighter.

Johnson ï¿½ whose rap sheet for weapon and drug arrests dates to 1985 ï¿½ also was wearing knee and elbow pads, police said.

"He wasn't very eloquent, but he made it clear it was racially motivated," said Ann-Margaret Gidley, 23, one of two women police praised for tackling Johnson and ending the standoff. "We all knew he had a gun and a lighter and we didn't want to die."

The drama unfolded around 2 a.m. when Johnson accosted two men and two women at Second Ave. and 11th St., cops said.

Johnson ordered Jonah Brander, 28, of Fort Lee, N.J., to hand over his wallet. But as Brander reached into his pocket, Johnson said, "I have a problem with you" and shot him in the torso, police said.

As Brander staggered into Bar Veloce, Johnson grabbed one of the women, Elin Juselius, 20, and followed him, firing wildly into the air. Before reaching the front door of the popular wine bar, Juselius broke free and ran.

New York University student Melanie Kaye, 20, was seated near the front door when Brander stumbled in holding his stomach and pleading for help. "Everyone thought it was a joke," said Kaye. "Then the shooter came in."

Kaye said Johnson shot Brander again in the torso and began screaming, "Get back!"

Some customers ran into the basement, broke through window bars and escaped. Others ran into a back dishwashing area, but there was no way out.

Johnson grabbed Robin Arzon, 20, by the hair and ordered her to bind people's hands with plastic handcuffs, witnesses said. He began pouring kerosene on people and threatening to set them ablaze, witnesses said.

At one point, Johnson attacked a born-again Christian woman who cursed Satan, witnesses said. "Don't you mention Jesus again!" Johnson told the woman, knocking her down and kicking her in the head. "Where's God now?"

Iso Shoji, 54, owner of a restaurant next door, heard the commotion and went to investigate. Shoji said that when he poked his head in the rear door of Bar Veloce he was shot.

Steven Johnson
"It happened in one second," said Shoji, who suffered a bullet wound to the left wrist.

After Shoji was shot, Gidley sprang into action. The slender, 5-foot-4 blond jumped on Johnson's back and another hostage, Annie Hubbard, 34, of Manhattan helped tackle him.

During the scuffle one of Johnson's guns went off, wounding Hubbard in the right shin.

Cops then stormed the restaurant and one Emergency Service Unit officer fired a single shot that grazed Johnson in the head.

"It was do something or die," Gidley said yesterday. "I didn't think it was time to go yet."

"They were very brave," Kelly said of Gidley and Hubbard.

Brander was in critical condition at Bellevue Hospital. Johnson and the two other wounded victims were in stable condition there.

http://www.nydailynews.com/2002-06-17/News_and_Views/Crime_File/a-154631.asp?last6days=1

4472. Re: Antenna MIssing 1 Element...will it work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 22:00:10 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <l8bvgugb1v41eua4bdrd4bcttfgp66jp3i@4ax.com>
Source record: 46990

Fresh from a command appearance on The View, Anthony Gaspro <gaspro@adelphia.net> moaned...

>I have recently required a Radio Shack Discone antenna. One of the
>long elements is missing, but all the rest are there. Any idea how
>this will effect reception?

One missing won't hurt it greatly, but it will reduce the reception somewhat in that direction.

You can order the radials from Radio Shack, about $7 each, last time I checked.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

4473. Re: FS: Realistic Pro-34 (800 Mhz.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 22:02:31 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <7fbvgu4daod2a1k082g216ip9at41icjmt@4ax.com>
Source record: 46991

Fresh from a command appearance on The View, "W Mac" <wgm1@usa.net> moaned...

>For Sale: Realistic Pro-34 200 channel scanner (With FULL 800 Mhz!)
>Including the original box, manual and AC power supply. Works great! A 9
>out of 10. $175 + shipping.

Gee, only about $75 too high....

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

4474. Re:NIGGER Shoots_Burns White People_Brooklyn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 22:20:55 UTC
From: Pappy@USA.net
Message-ID: <3D0FB247.9B6AB12F@USA.net>
Source record: 46992
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

In fact what the hell does it have to do with any of newsgroups listed?

Your need to get your head and your ass wired together.

Col wrote:

> On Tue, 18 Jun 2002 14:17:39 -0700, Roger Ebert <r.ebert@suntimes.com> wrote:
>
> >##
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >3 Shot in Racist Rampage
> > >2 women overpower gunman
> > >on suicide mission
> > >
>
> Pray tell me, you caterwauling snotgobbler , what the fuck has this to do with
> cricket.
>
> --
> Col
>
> The primary responsibility for a child's
> education is apparent.

4475. Re: *��=NIGGER Shoots_Burns White People_Brooklyn=��*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 22:58:05 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <1WOP8.43341$LC3.3304577@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 46993
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Col" <Fux0r@the.parlour.com> wrote in message
news:fK8PPcsMAQ0aIASiFwD=S3poI8GX@4ax.com...
>
> Pray tell me, you caterwauling snotgobbler , what the fuck has this to
do with
> cricket.
>
>
> --
> Col

This a fairly classic neo-Nazi recruiting post. And our winner of the DFC (dummkopf, First Class) has this strange agglomeration of groups assigned to him. If he succeeds, his grupenfuehrer has promised him promotion to ueberkellner.

Best leave him to goose-step in silence.

4476. Re: *��=NIGGER Shoots_Burns White People_Brooklyn=��*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-18 23:44:57 UTC
From: El Santo <paranda@sonicisp.net>
Message-ID: <3D0FC5F9.D8113F6B@sonicisp.net>
Source record: 46994
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

I think that dude shot the wrong people, ..he should had gone for this poster instead.

Richard McCollum wrote:

> "Col" <Fux0r@the.parlour.com> wrote in message
> news:fK8PPcsMAQ0aIASiFwD=S3poI8GX@4ax.com...
> >
> > Pray tell me, you caterwauling snotgobbler , what the fuck has this to
> do with
> > cricket.
> >
> >
> > --
> > Col
>
> This a fairly classic neo-Nazi recruiting post. And our winner of the DFC
> (dummkopf, First Class) has this strange agglomeration of groups assigned
> to him. If he succeeds, his grupenfuehrer has promised him promotion to
> ueberkellner.
>
> Best leave him to goose-step in silence.

4477. Re: *��=NIGGER Shoots_Burns White People_Brooklyn=��*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 00:05:58 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <GVPP8.43419$LC3.3311754@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 46995
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

You going for unterueberkellner??

"El Santo" <paranda@sonicisp.net> wrote in message
news:3D0FC5F9.D8113F6B@sonicisp.net...
> I think that dude shot the wrong people, ..he should had gone for this
poster
> instead.
>
> Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> > "Col" <Fux0r@the.parlour.com> wrote in message
> > news:fK8PPcsMAQ0aIASiFwD=S3poI8GX@4ax.com...
> > >
> > > Pray tell me, you caterwauling snotgobbler , what the fuck has this
to
> > do with
> > > cricket.
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > Col
> >
> > This a fairly classic neo-Nazi recruiting post. And our winner of the
DFC
> > (dummkopf, First Class) has this strange agglomeration of groups
assigned
> > to him. If he succeeds, his grupenfuehrer has promised him promotion
to
> > ueberkellner.
> >
> > Best leave him to goose-step in silence.
>
>
>

4478. Re: Realistic Pro-34 (800 Mhz.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 00:06:15 UTC
From: myers1 <myers1@abuse.net>
Message-ID: <ugvinmsomo6424@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 46996

It was worth $175 five years ago, but with everyone wanting trunking and cell phones going digital, values for older scanner have been on the decline. I've picked up PRO-34s at hamfests for $35 to $50 over the last few years and that price range is dirt cheap for one. I'd expect $100 to $125 would be suitable.

"W Mac" <wgm1@usa.net> wrote in message news:WczP8.42428$LC3.3227164@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> For Sale: Realistic Pro-34 200 channel scanner (With FULL 800 Mhz!)

4479. Re: who has the BC780 cell.mod article?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 00:22:50 UTC
From: chevysno1@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <360461b3.0206181622.6ed3293@posting.google.com>
Source record: 46897

it was in the scanning usa magazine 2-3 months ago

4480. FA Archer 3 element Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 00:32:13 UTC
From: Alex <sgrubor@shaw.caNSPM>
Message-ID: <hiQP8.32768$s82.2671497@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 46898
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1361110811

I have three of them but could not list dutch....

--
*** Remove NSPM to reply ***

4481. Re: FA Archer 3 element Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 01:24:17 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20020618212417.18391.00002259@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 46899

That's a 20-014, it's a monster as far as scanner antennas go and had great write ups in the scanning magazines. Radio Shack closed them out about 2 - 3 years ago.
I picked one up but still have not got it up yet.

RM~

4482. Re: ��--=NIGGER Shoots_Burns White People_Brooklyn=--��

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 01:57:32 UTC
From: Hymie <hymie@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <bERP8.29024$%p4.1321348@weber.videotron.net>
Source record: 46900
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

****

"Roger Ebert" <r.ebert@suntimes.com> wrote in message
news:eq2vgugi7p8q785318p1d4m8io76fe5ga3@4ax.com...
> ==========
> ==========
> 3 Shot in Racist Rampage
> 2 women overpower gunman
> on suicide mission
>
> By ALICE McQUILLAN, DEREK ROSE and BILL HUTCHINSON
> Daily News Staff Writers
>
> A heavily armed black man screaming, "White people are going to burn
> tonight!" shot three people at a trendy East Village bar yesterday and
> doused patrons with kerosene before he was overpowered, police said.
>
>
> Steven Johnson, 34, of Brooklyn, was on a suicide mission when he burst
> into Bar Veloce on Second Ave. and 11th St. with guns blazing and hate
> spewing from his mouth, said Police Commissioner Raymond Kelly.
>
> "I wanted to kill as many white people as I could," Johnson told
> detectives after the 40-minute siege, which left Johnson and three victims
> with bullet wounds.
>
> Patrons said that after he poured kerosene on them, Johnson yelled,
> "Everyone's wearing gas shirts now!"
>
> "Do you want it hot?" Johnson asked terrified hostages as he began
> flicking a barbecue lighter.
>
> Before leaving home, Johnson wrote a suicide note to his 10-year-old son
> and scrawled on the wall of his Williamsburg apartment: "Tell the boys in
> blue, I won't go easy," cops said.
>
> "This individual is clearly deranged" said Kelly, adding Johnson was
> carrying three guns, a 30-inch samurai sword, 153 rounds of ammunition, a
> box cutter, 100 plastic handcuffs, a kerosene-filled bottle and the
> lighter.
>
>
> Johnson - whose rap sheet for weapon and drug arrests dates to 1985 - also

> was wearing knee and elbow pads, police said.
>
> "He wasn't very eloquent, but he made it clear it was racially motivated,"
> said Ann-Margaret Gidley, 23, one of two women police praised for tackling
> Johnson and ending the standoff. "We all knew he had a gun and a lighter
> and we didn't want to die."
>
> The drama unfolded around 2 a.m. when Johnson accosted two men and two
> women at Second Ave. and 11th St., cops said.
>
> Johnson ordered Jonah Brander, 28, of Fort Lee, N.J., to hand over his
> wallet. But as Brander reached into his pocket, Johnson said, "I have a
> problem with you" and shot him in the torso, police said.
>
> As Brander staggered into Bar Veloce, Johnson grabbed one of the women,
> Elin Juselius, 20, and followed him, firing wildly into the air. Before
> reaching the front door of the popular wine bar, Juselius broke free and
> ran.
>
> New York University student Melanie Kaye, 20, was seated near the front
> door when Brander stumbled in holding his stomach and pleading for help.
> "Everyone thought it was a joke," said Kaye. "Then the shooter came in."
>
> Kaye said Johnson shot Brander again in the torso and began screaming,
> "Get back!"
>
> Some customers ran into the basement, broke through window bars and
> escaped. Others ran into a back dishwashing area, but there was no way
> out.
>
> Johnson grabbed Robin Arzon, 20, by the hair and ordered her to bind
> people's hands with plastic handcuffs, witnesses said. He began pouring
> kerosene on people and threatening to set them ablaze, witnesses said.
>
> At one point, Johnson attacked a born-again Christian woman who cursed
> Satan, witnesses said. "Don't you mention Jesus again!" Johnson told the
> woman, knocking her down and kicking her in the head. "Where's God now?"
>
> Iso Shoji, 54, owner of a restaurant next door, heard the commotion and
> went to investigate. Shoji said that when he poked his head in the rear
> door of Bar Veloce he was shot.
>
>
> Steven Johnson
> "It happened in one second," said Shoji, who suffered a bullet wound to
> the left wrist.
>
> After Shoji was shot, Gidley sprang into action. The slender, 5-foot-4
> blond jumped on Johnson's back and another hostage, Annie Hubbard, 34, of
> Manhattan helped tackle him.

>
> During the scuffle one of Johnson's guns went off, wounding Hubbard in the
> right shin.
>
> Cops then stormed the restaurant and one Emergency Service Unit officer
> fired a single shot that grazed Johnson in the head.
>
> "It was do something or die," Gidley said yesterday. "I didn't think it
> was time to go yet."
>
> "They were very brave," Kelly said of Gidley and Hubbard.
>
> Brander was in critical condition at Bellevue Hospital. Johnson and the
> two other wounded victims were in stable condition there.
>
>
http://www.nydailynews.com/2002-06-17/News_and_Views/Crime_File/a-154631.asp?last6days=1

4483. FA: Icom IC-W32A Dual Band Transceiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 02:28:47 UTC
From: Richard Kempe <r.kempe@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <z%RP8.49461$UT.3350750@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 46901

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1361140158

Low reserve. Thanks for looking!

4484. Uniden Bearcat Scanner BC140 on eBay 6/19/02 Last day!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 03:05:33 UTC
From: checkin@mail.com
Message-ID: <66c18f7d.0206181905.5c57ba60@posting.google.com>
Source record: 46902

Great condition. Read all about it and place a bid. Go to:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1359795340

This model BC140 can be made to scan 16 channels with a simple mod.

4485. forsale in mint condition receiver realistic dx -160 with speaker 150.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 03:57:16 UTC
From: JJJ <oakvillecub@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <DNIP8.78274$Yt.3008057@read1.cgocable.net>
Source record: 46978

```
for sale in mint condition:

realistic receiver dx 160 with optional speaker

150.00US includes basic shipping
sorry no manual

thanks
oakvillecub@yahoo.ca
```

4486. Re: need fregs in colorado

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 03:57:58 UTC
From: Gray-Beard <gray-beard@guess.net>
Message-ID: <3D100146.97C1FE8@guess.net>
Source record: 46903

JeffCo sheriff has, since the Columbine mess, gone digital. They don't want anyone listening to their screw ups anymore.
Arvada is on the same system as Westminister.
The whole front range, that you can get with an analog scanner, is on this page;

http://www.radiohacker.com/scanlist/Scan200202.txt

GB

pykhnter wrote:

> can some one help me in gettting fregs for arvada colo. pd or
> jefferson county colo. sheriff dpt. thanks

4487. f.s. yaseu frg 9600 highend reciever with monitor card 325.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 03:58:38 UTC
From: JJJ <oakvillecub@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <ENIP8.57396$op.5322859@read2.cgocable.net>
Source record: 46979

for sale in excellent condition

yaseu frg 9600 with optional video card
comes with the service manual

325.00US includes shipping

thanks
oakvillecub@yahoo.ca

4488. realistic receiver dx 160 with speaker 100.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 11:19:48 UTC
From: Nolan Machan <nmachan1@home.com>
Message-ID: <gOZP8.57523$op.5401942@read2.cgocable.net>
Source record: 46904

for sale:

previously posted as 150.00 - too much I
think.

in excellent condition
do 160 high receiver

100.00US includes shipping

thanks
monitorguy2002@yahoo.ca

4489. frg 9600 with tv card 275.00US includes shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 11:22:13 UTC
From: Nolan Machan <nmachan1@home.com>
Message-ID: <PRZP8.78377$Yt.3034405@read1.cgocable.net>
Source record: 46905

for sale in mint condition
with power and service manual.

yaseu frg 9600 scanner
(highend) with full coverage

inlcuded is television card to see
video from frequencies

275.00US inlcludes shipping

thanks
monitorguy2002@yahoo.ca

4490. Re: frg 9600 with tv card 275.00US includes shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 11:23:20 UTC
From: Nolan Machan <nmachan1@home.com>
Message-ID: <ARZP8.57524$op.5401665@read2.cgocable.net>
Source record: 46906

i meant to say with powersupply

"Nolan Machan" <nmachan1@home.com> wrote in message
news:PRZP8.78377$Yt.3034405@read1.cgocable.net...
> for sale in mint condition
> with power and service manual.
>
> yaseu frg 9600 scanner
> (highend) with full coverage
>
> inlcuded is television card to see
> video from frequencies
>
> 275.00US inlcludes shipping
>
> thanks
> monitorguy2002@yahoo.ca
>
>
>

4491. Icom R2 and USB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 13:20:33 UTC
From: DP <jettaway21@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <By%P8.3836$H67.20520@tor-nn1.netcom.ca>
Source record: 46907

Hi,

Does anyone know if I can use my Icom R2 to receive HF broadcasts on USB?

Thanks

Darryl

4492. Re: ??--=NIGGER Shoots_Burns White People_Brooklyn=--??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 14:09:17 UTC
From: berchtoldaj@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <6c79121d.0206190609.30035bcf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 46908
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

This is not a defense for thai mans actions, but I have inserted 2
lines "Hymie" chose to omit from the article when he/she pasted it
from the online newspaper. I have also posted a follow-up article by
the NY time into the shooters life.

Let us firs seek to understand.

"Hymie" <hymie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<bERP8.29024$%p4.1321348@weber.videotron.net>...
> ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½
>
> "Roger Ebert" <r.ebert@suntimes.com> wrote in message
> news:eq2vgugi7p8q785318p1d4m8io76fe5ga3@4ax.com...
> > ==========
> > ==========
> > 3 Shot in Racist Rampage
> > 2 women overpower gunman
> > on suicide mission
> >
> > By ALICE McQUILLAN, DEREK ROSE and BILL HUTCHINSON
> > Daily News Staff Writers
> >
> > A heavily armed black man screaming, "White people are going to burn
> > tonight!" shot three people at a trendy East Village bar yesterday and
> > doused patrons with kerosene before he was overpowered, police said.
> >
> >
> > Steven Johnson, 34, of Brooklyn, was on a suicide mission when he burst
> > into Bar Veloce on Second Ave. and 11th St. with guns blazing and hate
> > spewing from his mouth, said Police Commissioner Raymond Kelly.
> >
> > "I wanted to kill as many white people as I could," Johnson told
> > detectives after the 40-minute siege, which left Johnson and three victims
> > with bullet wounds.
> >
> > Patrons said that after he poured kerosene on them, Johnson yelled,
> > "Everyone's wearing gas shirts now!"
> >
> > "Do you want it hot?" Johnson asked terrified hostages as he began
> > flicking a barbecue lighter.

Police said Johnson's rampage may have been sparked by his battle with
AIDS and the March 4 death of his wife, who also had the disease.

> >
> > Before leaving home, Johnson wrote a suicide note to his 10-year-old son
> > and scrawled on the wall of his Williamsburg apartment: "Tell the boys in
> > blue, I won't go easy," cops said.
> >
> > "This individual is clearly deranged

possibly as a result of his wife dying,"

" said Kelly, adding Johnson was
> > carrying three guns, a 30-inch samurai sword, 153 rounds of ammunition, a
> > box cutter, 100 plastic handcuffs, a kerosene-filled bottle and the
> > lighter.
> >
> >
> > Johnson - whose rap sheet for weapon and drug arrests dates to 1985 - also
> > was wearing knee and elbow pads, police said.
> >
> > "He wasn't very eloquent, but he made it clear it was racially motivated,"
> > said Ann-Margaret Gidley, 23, one of two women police praised for tackling
> > Johnson and ending the standoff. "We all knew he had a gun and a lighter
> > and we didn't want to die."
> >
> > The drama unfolded around 2 a.m. when Johnson accosted two men and two
> > women at Second Ave. and 11th St., cops said.
> >
> > Johnson ordered Jonah Brander, 28, of Fort Lee, N.J., to hand over his
> > wallet. But as Brander reached into his pocket, Johnson said, "I have a
> > problem with you" and shot him in the torso, police said.
> >
> > As Brander staggered into Bar Veloce, Johnson grabbed one of the women,
> > Elin Juselius, 20, and followed him, firing wildly into the air. Before
> > reaching the front door of the popular wine bar, Juselius broke free and
> > ran.
> >
> > New York University student Melanie Kaye, 20, was seated near the front
> > door when Brander stumbled in holding his stomach and pleading for help.
> > "Everyone thought it was a joke," said Kaye. "Then the shooter came in."
> >
> > Kaye said Johnson shot Brander again in the torso and began screaming,
> > "Get back!"
> >
> > Some customers ran into the basement, broke through window bars and
> > escaped. Others ran into a back dishwashing area, but there was no way
> > out.
> >
> > Johnson grabbed Robin Arzon, 20, by the hair and ordered her to bind
> > people's hands with plastic handcuffs, witnesses said. He began pouring
> > kerosene on people and threatening to set them ablaze, witnesses said.
> >
> > At one point, Johnson attacked a born-again Christian woman who cursed
> > Satan, witnesses said. "Don't you mention Jesus again!" Johnson told the

> > woman, knocking her down and kicking her in the head. "Where's God now?"
> >
> > Iso Shoji, 54, owner of a restaurant next door, heard the commotion and
> > went to investigate. Shoji said that when he poked his head in the rear
> > door of Bar Veloce he was shot.
> >
> >
> > Steven Johnson
> > "It happened in one second," said Shoji, who suffered a bullet wound to
> > the left wrist.
> >
> > After Shoji was shot, Gidley sprang into action. The slender, 5-foot-4
> > blond jumped on Johnson's back and another hostage, Annie Hubbard, 34, of
> > Manhattan helped tackle him.
> >
> > During the scuffle one of Johnson's guns went off, wounding Hubbard in the
> > right shin.
> >
> > Cops then stormed the restaurant and one Emergency Service Unit officer
> > fired a single shot that grazed Johnson in the head.
> >
> > "It was do something or die," Gidley said yesterday. "I didn't think it
> > was time to go yet."
> >
> > "They were very brave," Kelly said of Gidley and Hubbard.
> >
> > Brander was in critical condition at Bellevue Hospital. Johnson and the
> > two other wounded victims were in stable condition there.
> >
> >
> http://www.nydailynews.com/2002-06-17/News_and_Views/Crime_File/a-154631.asp?last6days=1

For Suspect in Rampage, Depression, and an Arrest Record
By ANDY NEWMAN

bout a week before a rampage in the East Village in which the police say Steven Johnson shot three people and used kerosene and a lighter to terrorize a bar filled with hostages, he called his father in North Carolina.

"He seemed to be doing good," Samuel Johnson said yesterday. "I asked him if he had any problems, and he said `Yes,' but nothing he couldn't take care of."

Advertisement

The elder Mr. Johnson knew from his daughter Michelle that Steven, who the authorities say has a long arrest record, had been very upset by the recent death of his girlfriend from AIDS. "He'd been quite depressed since that woman died," Mr. Johnson said. "He could walk through the house, hear her voice talking, he could smell her."

On Saturday, Samuel Johnson said, Steven visited Michelle at her apartment a few blocks from his home in Williamsburg, Brooklyn. "He was in good spirits," Samuel Johnson said. "He was laughing."

Later that night, the police say, Steven Johnson, 34, carrying three guns, a sword, and a spray bottle of kerosene, shot a man on East 11th Street in Manhattan. He then stormed into a crowded wine bar shouting anti-white invective, took hostages, doused patrons with kerosene, and shot two more people before he was tackled by two women, the police said. Mr. Johnson was shot twice by the police who arrived during the mayhem. None of the injuries were life-threatening.

Law enforcement officials said drugs appeared to play a part in the explosion of violence. "He is a lifetime drug abuser and criminal for 20 years," one official said, adding that Mr. Johnson's arrest record dates back to 1982.

Mr. Johnson was once a sought-after barber in Williamsburg. But Luis Marrero, a barbershop owner, said that in recent years he refused to hire Mr. Johnson because he appeared to have been using crack.

In addition to dealing with the death of his girlfriend, the mother of his 10-year-old son, in March, Mr. Johnson has AIDS and may have learned this only recently, the authorities said. But no one could account for the rampage the police said he took part in, or for the comments witnesses reported him making, that he wanted to kill as many white people as he could. Half a dozen people who have known him over the past 20 years said that as far as they knew, Mr. Johnson, who is black, had not expressed anger toward whites.

Samuel Johnson, a retired bus mechanic, said his son had no love for schoolwork as a boy, but that he took a haircutting course at a vocational high school and found his niche.

"He used to cut my hair," Mr. Marrero said as he stood outside his Quikuts barbershop in Williamsburg. "He was real good." Mr. Johnson, he said, was one of the first in the neighborhood to master the shaved-side haircut known as the fade.

"Back in the day," said Andy Cardona, who works at another shop owned by Mr. Marrero, "people would say, `I'm going to go get my hair cut by Money Steve.' "

Fred Rodriguez, the owner of the Metro Flicks video store across the street from where Mr. Johnson once lived, said he had a different haircut almost every time he came in.

While friends described him as peaceful, Mr. Johnson had at least 10 arrests, for robbery, weapons possession and drugs, the police said. In 1999, he caused a disturbance in Orlando, Fla., and was charged with disorderly conduct and resisting arrest, the authorities said. His most recent arrest was a drug charge in Brooklyn in February.

Sometime before he left his apartment in a public housing building on Humboldt Street in Williamsburg over the weekend, Mr. Johnson scrawled on the wall, "Tell the boys in blue I won't be easy" and left what appeared to be a suicide note telling his son he loved him, the police said.

Around 2 a.m. Sunday, the police said, Mr. Johnson burst into Bar Veloce on Second Avenue in the East Village. As he barked out orders and threatened kerosene-soaked hostages with a lighter, a 23-year-old waitress named Ann-Margret Gidley said she jumped on him.

"I saw the headlines, `Sixteen people go up in flames,' " she said last night. "I thought to myself, `This is not what my mother's going to see tomorrow morning.' " She slid out of the plastic restraints he had put on her and pushed herself into him.

"The sheer force of me didn't knock him over, so I started hitting him," she said. "He fell to the ground face down, and everyone who had arms uncuffed just started grabbing at him."

4493. Re: Icom R2 and USB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 14:16:57 UTC
From: Jim Hackett <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <tn0Q8.5055$Fv1.491990@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 46909

The R2 has NO SSB capabilities.

--
Remove "beforeflight" to reply
"DP" <jettaway21@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:By%P8.3836$H67.20520@tor-nn1.netcom.ca...
> Hi,
>
> Does anyone know if I can use my Icom R2 to receive HF broadcasts on USB?
>
> Thanks
>
> Darryl
>
>
>

4494. Grove Power Antenna II ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 14:23:44 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <7v41hu88lji26affpsdc1uupftbj05mrlf@4ax.com>
Source record: 46910

anybody know if these are used for scanning or shortwave or ????

http://members.mint.net/sky444/grove.htm

4495. Re: looking to buy a portable race scanner; need advise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 14:56:20 UTC
From: ThreeWide <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <oY0Q8.1215$CZ4.422082@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 46911
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I've used my Uniden SC200 at races for a couple of years now and absolutely love it. My dad has a RELM which is a fine and more expensive unit, but mine has all of his features and then some.

With 200 channels and Alpha tags for labeling, it has all of the features you need in a race scanner including CTCSS and DSS.

I also have the WinScan Sport software which allows you to program the scanner directly from your PC. Several services provide downloadable files with all of the racing frequencies you need, especially for NASCAR. This software allows me to save and load different profiles for my scanner, as my channel setup at home is much different from the race setup.

"George" <geo@oncontact.com> wrote in message
news:9bfdb4c5.0206152043.7db40d19@posting.google.com...
> I am very new to this, thus my question will be fairly basic... I club
> race (SCCA) and would like to be able to listen to corner workers
> about the stuff that is happening on the track. What is the best unit
> for me? is the the Radio Shack PRO-89, or is there a comparable Uniden
> model or a different brand scanner ?
>
> Thanks in advance!
> George
> Milwaukee WI

4496. Re: *��=NIGGER Shoots_Burns White People_Brooklyn=��*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 15:07:19 UTC
From: Ben Gussey <bgussey@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d109cd5$0$28008$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 46912
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:1WOP8.43341$LC3.3304577@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Col" <Fux0r@the.parlour.com> wrote in message
> news:fK8PPcsMAQ0aIASiFwD=S3poI8GX@4ax.com...
> >
> > Pray tell me, you caterwauling snotgobbler , what the fuck has this to
> do with
> > cricket.
> >
> >
> > --
> > Col
>
> This a fairly classic neo-Nazi recruiting post. And our winner of the DFC
> (dummkopf, First Class) has this strange agglomeration of groups assigned
> to him. If he succeeds, his grupenfuehrer has promised him promotion to
> ueberkellner.
>
> Best leave him to goose-step in silence.

ROLF.

--
Cheers Ben.

4497. Colorado wildfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 16:01:43 UTC
From: adfdsa@adsfdsaf.com
Message-ID: <3d10aad4.60805986@news.frontier.net>
Source record: 46913

Looking for freqs for air support and Type I Incident teams, or
anything else useful for the Colorado wildfires of 2002.

TIA
Citizen

4498. Re: FREQS for wildfires in Colorado

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 16:12:31 UTC
From: BruceKC0AQU@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20560-3D10AD6F-59@storefull-2374.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 46916

You might try these. I have had luck with most:

Divide Fire: 155.985
Florissant Fire 154.190

4499. Re: FREQS for wildfires in Colorado

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 16:18:40 UTC
From: BruceKC0AQU@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20560-3D10AEE0-61@storefull-2374.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 46917

More Frequencies:
NE Teller C. Fire 154.400
Teller Co. Sherriff 155.580
Woodland Park Police 154.755
Divide Vol. Fire 159.015

You might also try the ham Freqs:
Pikes Peak fm Ass. 146.970
Teller Co FM Ass. 146.820, 147.015
Lake George 146.685
Woodland Park 145.415

good luck
Bruce

4500. Re: Grove Power Antenna II ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 16:33:44 UTC
From: JT <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <In2Q8.78620$R61.26584@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 46914

I remember them selling something similar in the early 90's that I used on my scanner; preamp and antenna kind of deal. Really, it just added more noise and didn't help much (although I live in a more urban area, so it may be of some use out in the sticks).

JT

"rugerp85 @adelphia.net>" <rugerp85<remove> wrote in message news:7v41hu88lji26affpsdc1uupftbj05mrlf@4ax.com...
> anybody know if these are used for scanning or shortwave or ????
>
> http://members.mint.net/sky444/grove.htm

4501. Re: ??--=NIGGER Shoots_Burns White People_Brooklyn=--??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 16:37:21 UTC
From: JT <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <5r2Q8.80115$nZ3.34356@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 46915
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Regardless of this guy's background, what he did was inexcusable, and I wonder if he'll be charged with a "hate crime".

This whole thread is stupid, and has no place in any of our newsgroups. The original poster should have his ass kicked for the subject that he posted. If he wanted to pass on some news, he definitely is going about it in an ignorant manner.

"Skydivin Al" <berchtoldaj@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:6c79121d.0206190609.30035bcf@posting.google.com...
> This is not a defense for thai mans actions, but I have inserted 2
> lines "Hymie" chose to omit from the article when he/she pasted it
> from the online newspaper. I have also posted a follow-up article by
> the NY time into the shooters life.
>
> Let us firs seek to understand.
>
>
>
>
>
> "Hymie" <hymie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<bERP8.29024$%p4.1321348@weber.videotron.net>...
> > ����������
> >
> > "Roger Ebert" <r.ebert@suntimes.com> wrote in message
> > news:eq2vgugi7p8q785318p1d4m8io76fe5ga3@4ax.com...
> > > ==========
> > > ==========
> > > 3 Shot in Racist Rampage
> > > 2 women overpower gunman
> > > on suicide mission
> > >
> > > By ALICE McQUILLAN, DEREK ROSE and BILL HUTCHINSON
> > > Daily News Staff Writers
> > >
> > > A heavily armed black man screaming, "White people are going to burn
> > > tonight!" shot three people at a trendy East Village bar yesterday and
> > > doused patrons with kerosene before he was overpowered, police said.
> > >
> > >
> > > Steven Johnson, 34, of Brooklyn, was on a suicide mission when he
burst

> > > into Bar Veloce on Second Ave. and 11th St. with guns blazing and hate
> > > spewing from his mouth, said Police Commissioner Raymond Kelly.
> > >
> > > "I wanted to kill as many white people as I could," Johnson told
> > > detectives after the 40-minute siege, which left Johnson and three
victims
> > > with bullet wounds.
> > >
> > > Patrons said that after he poured kerosene on them, Johnson yelled,
> > > "Everyone's wearing gas shirts now!"
> > >
> > > "Do you want it hot?" Johnson asked terrified hostages as he began
> > > flicking a barbecue lighter.
>
>
> Police said Johnson's rampage may have been sparked by his battle with
> AIDS and the March 4 death of his wife, who also had the disease.
>
>
> > >
> > > Before leaving home, Johnson wrote a suicide note to his 10-year-old
son
> > > and scrawled on the wall of his Williamsburg apartment: "Tell the boys
in
> > > blue, I won't go easy," cops said.
> > >
> > > "This individual is clearly deranged
>
> possibly as a result of his wife dying,"
>
> " said Kelly, adding Johnson was
> > > carrying three guns, a 30-inch samurai sword, 153 rounds of
ammunition, a
> > > box cutter, 100 plastic handcuffs, a kerosene-filled bottle and the
> > > lighter.
> > >
> > >
> > > Johnson - whose rap sheet for weapon and drug arrests dates to 1985 -
also
> > > was wearing knee and elbow pads, police said.
> > >
> > > "He wasn't very eloquent, but he made it clear it was racially
motivated,"
> > > said Ann-Margaret Gidley, 23, one of two women police praised for
tackling
> > > Johnson and ending the standoff. "We all knew he had a gun and a
lighter
> > > and we didn't want to die."
> > >

> > > The drama unfolded around 2 a.m. when Johnson accosted two men and two
> > > women at Second Ave. and 11th St., cops said.
> > >
> > > Johnson ordered Jonah Brander, 28, of Fort Lee, N.J., to hand over his
> > > wallet. But as Brander reached into his pocket, Johnson said, "I have
a
> > > problem with you" and shot him in the torso, police said.
> > >
> > > As Brander staggered into Bar Veloce, Johnson grabbed one of the
women,
> > > Elin Juselius, 20, and followed him, firing wildly into the air.
Before
> > > reaching the front door of the popular wine bar, Juselius broke free
and
> > > ran.
> > >
> > > New York University student Melanie Kaye, 20, was seated near the
front
> > > door when Brander stumbled in holding his stomach and pleading for
help.
> > > "Everyone thought it was a joke," said Kaye. "Then the shooter came
in."
> > >
> > > Kaye said Johnson shot Brander again in the torso and began screaming,
> > > "Get back!"
> > >
> > > Some customers ran into the basement, broke through window bars and
> > > escaped. Others ran into a back dishwashing area, but there was no way
> > > out.
> > >
> > > Johnson grabbed Robin Arzon, 20, by the hair and ordered her to bind
> > > people's hands with plastic handcuffs, witnesses said. He began
pouring
> > > kerosene on people and threatening to set them ablaze, witnesses said.
> > >
> > > At one point, Johnson attacked a born-again Christian woman who cursed
> > > Satan, witnesses said. "Don't you mention Jesus again!" Johnson told
the
> > > woman, knocking her down and kicking her in the head. "Where's God
now?"
> > >
> > > Iso Shoji, 54, owner of a restaurant next door, heard the commotion
and
> > > went to investigate. Shoji said that when he poked his head in the
rear
> > > door of Bar Veloce he was shot.
> > >
> > >
> > > Steven Johnson

> > > "It happened in one second," said Shoji, who suffered a bullet wound
to
> > > the left wrist.
> > >
> > > After Shoji was shot, Gidley sprang into action. The slender, 5-foot-4
> > > blond jumped on Johnson's back and another hostage, Annie Hubbard, 34,
of
> > > Manhattan helped tackle him.
> > >
> > > During the scuffle one of Johnson's guns went off, wounding Hubbard in
the
> > > right shin.
> > >
> > > Cops then stormed the restaurant and one Emergency Service Unit
officer
> > > fired a single shot that grazed Johnson in the head.
> > >
> > > "It was do something or die," Gidley said yesterday. "I didn't think
it
> > > was time to go yet."
> > >
> > > "They were very brave," Kelly said of Gidley and Hubbard.
> > >
> > > Brander was in critical condition at Bellevue Hospital. Johnson and
the
> > > two other wounded victims were in stable condition there.
> > >
> > >
> >
http://www.nydailynews.com/2002-06-17/News_and_Views/Crime_File/a-154631.asp
?last6days=1
>
> For Suspect in Rampage, Depression, and an Arrest Record
> By ANDY NEWMAN
>
>
> bout a week before a rampage in the East Village in which the police
> say Steven Johnson shot three people and used kerosene and a lighter
> to terrorize a bar filled with hostages, he called his father in North
> Carolina.
>
> "He seemed to be doing good," Samuel Johnson said yesterday. "I asked
> him if he had any problems, and he said `Yes,' but nothing he couldn't
> take care of."
>
> Advertisement
>
>
>

>
>
>
> The elder Mr. Johnson knew from his daughter Michelle that Steven, who
> the authorities say has a long arrest record, had been very upset by
> the recent death of his girlfriend from AIDS. "He'd been quite
> depressed since that woman died," Mr. Johnson said. "He could walk
> through the house, hear her voice talking, he could smell her."
>
> On Saturday, Samuel Johnson said, Steven visited Michelle at her
> apartment a few blocks from his home in Williamsburg, Brooklyn. "He
> was in good spirits," Samuel Johnson said. "He was laughing."
>
> Later that night, the police say, Steven Johnson, 34, carrying three
> guns, a sword, and a spray bottle of kerosene, shot a man on East 11th
> Street in Manhattan. He then stormed into a crowded wine bar shouting
> anti-white invective, took hostages, doused patrons with kerosene, and
> shot two more people before he was tackled by two women, the police
> said. Mr. Johnson was shot twice by the police who arrived during the
> mayhem. None of the injuries were life-threatening.
>
> Law enforcement officials said drugs appeared to play a part in the
> explosion of violence. "He is a lifetime drug abuser and criminal for
> 20 years," one official said, adding that Mr. Johnson's arrest record
> dates back to 1982.
>
> Mr. Johnson was once a sought-after barber in Williamsburg. But Luis
> Marrero, a barbershop owner, said that in recent years he refused to
> hire Mr. Johnson because he appeared to have been using crack.
>
> In addition to dealing with the death of his girlfriend, the mother of
> his 10-year-old son, in March, Mr. Johnson has AIDS and may have
> learned this only recently, the authorities said. But no one could
> account for the rampage the police said he took part in, or for the
> comments witnesses reported him making, that he wanted to kill as many
> white people as he could. Half a dozen people who have known him over
> the past 20 years said that as far as they knew, Mr. Johnson, who is
> black, had not expressed anger toward whites.
>
> Samuel Johnson, a retired bus mechanic, said his son had no love for
> schoolwork as a boy, but that he took a haircutting course at a
> vocational high school and found his niche.
>
> "He used to cut my hair," Mr. Marrero said as he stood outside his
> Quikuts barbershop in Williamsburg. "He was real good." Mr. Johnson,
> he said, was one of the first in the neighborhood to master the
> shaved-side haircut known as the fade.
>
> "Back in the day," said Andy Cardona, who works at another shop owned

> by Mr. Marrero, "people would say, `I'm going to go get my hair cut by
> Money Steve.' "
>
> Fred Rodriguez, the owner of the Metro Flicks video store across the
> street from where Mr. Johnson once lived, said he had a different
> haircut almost every time he came in.
>
> While friends described him as peaceful, Mr. Johnson had at least 10
> arrests, for robbery, weapons possession and drugs, the police said.
> In 1999, he caused a disturbance in Orlando, Fla., and was charged
> with disorderly conduct and resisting arrest, the authorities said.
> His most recent arrest was a drug charge in Brooklyn in February.
>
> Sometime before he left his apartment in a public housing building on
> Humboldt Street in Williamsburg over the weekend, Mr. Johnson scrawled
> on the wall, "Tell the boys in blue I won't be easy" and left what
> appeared to be a suicide note telling his son he loved him, the police
> said.
>
> Around 2 a.m. Sunday, the police said, Mr. Johnson burst into Bar
> Veloce on Second Avenue in the East Village. As he barked out orders
> and threatened kerosene-soaked hostages with a lighter, a 23-year-old
> waitress named Ann-Margret Gidley said she jumped on him.
>
> "I saw the headlines, `Sixteen people go up in flames,' " she said
> last night. "I thought to myself, `This is not what my mother's going
> to see tomorrow morning.' " She slid out of the plastic restraints he
> had put on her and pushed herself into him.
>
> "The sheer force of me didn't knock him over, so I started hitting
> him," she said. "He fell to the ground face down, and everyone who had
> arms uncuffed just started grabbing at him."

4502. Re: Grove Power Antenna II ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 17:28:58 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20020619132858.19730.00001246@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 46918

>anybody know if these are used for scanning or shortwave or ????

I'm thinking scanner because I don't believe they would have used the Motorola type jacks on any thing for HF/shortwave. Plus it looks like it was intended for mobile use which also makes me think scanner. Did you have the antenna that was supposed to be used with it?

4503. Kenwood ts430 help?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 17:35:01 UTC
From: XTC <jeflol@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aeqf9nqeg1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net>
Source record: 46919
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Great rig but developped this problem.Any ideas,help appreciated.When rig is switched on all ok but the moment ptt is pressed and released the on air light stays on dimmer and reception goes off freq.the only way to bypass is to keep the rit activated.traced the prob to the relay under the radio on rf board.when ptt pressed 2 relays kick in one at the back and this one on rf board.when ptt released both also release again,but the one on the rf board doesnt anymore and seem to have something to do with the att to because that kicked in the same relay but now also not functional.Any ideas or Help?Please email me at jefbay@ananzi.co.za

4504. Re: Kenwood ts430 help?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 17:53:45 UTC
From: Don Baker <DocDB@excite.com>
Message-ID: <YC3Q8.1098$qZ.8618@news.abs.net>
Source record: 46920
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

430's have a "known failure mode" for the final output transistors. This is a combination of a circuit board that is a little to light duty and riveted transistor connections. I have fixed 2 of these, and one acted very much like yours with a pll / vfo "unlock".

Don

"XTC" <jeflol@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:aeqf9nqeg1@ctb-nnrp2.saix.net...
> Great rig but developped this problem.Any ideas,help appreciated.When rig is
> switched on all ok but the moment ptt is pressed and released the on air
> light stays on dimmer and reception goes off freq.the only way to bypass is
> to keep the rit activated.traced the prob to the relay under the radio on rf
> board.when ptt pressed 2 relays kick in one at the back and this one on rf
> board.when ptt released both also release again,but the one on the rf board
> doesnt anymore and seem to have something to do with the att to because that
> kicked in the same relay but now also not functional.Any ideas or
> Help?Please email me at jefbay@ananzi.co.za
>
>

4505. Re: New Icom r/c software available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 18:03:30 UTC
From: arnoldjimjimREMOVE@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3d10c6d9.79608618@news.sk.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 46921
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks very much. It was my computer illiteracy in setting up the batch file. I didn't have the SET line. It's kind of like when my wife asks me to do something, unless I get specific instructions I'll blow it for sure. Thanks again. -Jim Arnold

On Sat, 15 Jun 2002 21:28:04 -0500, Bob_Parnass_AJ9S <bob@parnassXcutX.org> posted:

>:Hi Jim,
>:
>:Appears that you need to add a line to your
>:C:\autoexec.bat file, then reboot:
>:
>: SET tk75=C:\tk75
>:
>:If you are running Windows XP, or do not want to
>:alter your autoexec.bat file, here's a different strategy:
>:
>:Create a new ASCII batch file, named C:\tk75\tk75.bat
>:
>:It should contain these lines:
>:
>: SET tk75=C:\tk75
>: "C:\tcl\bin\wish83.exe" "C:\tk75\tk75.tcl"
>:
>:You can run this batch file, C:\tk75\tk75.bat, to start the program.
>:
>:If you create a shortcut to the batch file
>:the shortcut works best if it is set up to run minimized
>:and close on exit.
>:
>:Good luck,
>:Bob Parnass, AJ9S
>:
>:On Sat, 15 Jun 2002 15:26:28 -0500, James Arnold wrote:
>:
>:> On Thu, 13 Jun 2002 22:31:43 GMT, Steve Matzura <number6@speakeasy.net>
>:> posted:
>:>
>:>>:Check out http://www.parnass.org for some new Icom radio-controllerware
>:>>:from Bob Parnass, a top-knotch/top-level developer, technical writer
>:>>:and amateur radio operator. I don't own any Icom radios so I can't
>:>>:vouch for how any of this software is, but I've read some of Bob's
>:>>:articles so I don't think you'll go wrong in trying them out.

>:>>:
>:> I thought I'd post this versus bothering the author of the program, b/c
>:> no doubt the problem is mine versus the program(!). I installed exactly
>:> like it told me and I've been through the instructions about 8 times.
>:> I'm getting the following error:
>:>
>:> can't read "env(tk75)": no such variable while executing "set Libdir
>:> $env(tk75)"
>:> (file "C:\tk75\tk75.tcl" line 79)
>:>
>:> Thx
>:> -J. Arnold

4506. Re: Shuttle Landing - Live Audio of NASA & CAP Patrols

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 18:06:01 UTC
From: Philip <plpb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <dK3Q8.5189$uH2.3710@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 46922
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner,rec.models.rockets

Got the double sonic boom of the Space Shuttle passin gby on it's way to
landing at Edwards AFB, CA, 'bout 5 minutes ago.

--
pb
"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3D0F2962.2010008@licensed4fun.com...
> At 11:55 AM STS-111 should be (weather permitting) landing at the
> Kennedy Space Center. Starting now www.MilAirComms.com is
> broadcasting live scanner audio of NASA and CAP (Combat Air Patrol)
> frequencies until either the land or until todays attempt is scrubbed.
>
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com <-- Live NASA Audio and MORE!
>
>
>

4507. Grove power antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 18:16:41 UTC
From: SugarBroker@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28894-3D10CA89-31@storefull-2197.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 46923

Having received Grove material for many years I would almost bet
anything that power antennas were to be used on shortwave radios.

4508. Re: My new scanner is driving me crazy...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 20:43:17 UTC
From: frothingslosh@postmaster.co.uk
Message-ID: <6b452a6c.0206191243.7678c89@posting.google.com>
Source record: 46924

The Other Harry <hc.me@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<en8gfu0i1r2os784prjg72bad5e7efkalc@4ax.com>...
> I recently bought a Radio Shack Pro-2053 scanner. I don't think the
> brand or model # matters, but that's what it is.
>
> I have most of the active local frequencies set in memory. There
> aren't a lot of those. Maybe 20.
>
> Invariably, whenever I hear something that might be interesting
> going down outside the window -- sirens -- the scanner locks up on
> bullshit talk on some other channel.
>
> It makes me want to smash it on the floor.
>
> Any advice on how to deal with this?

Heh heh heh ... I think every scanner buff has felt like this at one point.

First, instead of putting channels in the banks by service ("Fire," "Police," etc) try putting them in there by geographic area. You may have to duplicate some channels that cross city boundaries, but in a scanner with 100 channels or more, that's rarely a problem.

The scanner in my car is set up for the areas I drive through. Bank 1 is A-town, Bank 2 is southwest part of county, Bank 3 is northwest part of county, Bank 4 is interstate highway patrol, etc. As I drive, I turn on different channel banks. For a home scanner, set up one bank that is only for your immediate neighborhood ... police, fire, EMS, etc.

Second, I have an old 8-channel crystal scanner that's only crystalled for the local dispatch channels. Most of the time it's silent, until something happens.

Then, when I want to hear what's going on down the street, rather than scanning through 200 channels (where I'm more likely to hear some yokel school bus driver) I only have to scan 8 channels at a time. The programmable scanner can chatter away all it wants in the background.

Crystal scanners can be picked up for $5 or so, with crystals $3 to $5. Alternatively, you can find an old programmable 10 to 20 channel scanner and do the same thing.

Good luck. With patience, you will soon get better at the hobby (like any hobby)!

Sir Reggie
Upper-Crudney-on-the-Thames

4509. Re: Icom R2 and USB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 22:10:55 UTC
From: "Al, N2NKB"
Message-ID: <Pj7Q8.51231$UT.3440997@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 46925

Even if it did have SSB capabilities, it would probably
not work to well (especially with narrow band crowded stuff) because of it's poor
selectivity.
But it is a great little receiver for what it does.
Al

"Jim Hackett" <jimhackbeforeflight@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:tn0Q8.5055$Fv1.491990@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> The R2 has NO SSB capabilities.
>
> --
> Remove "beforeflight" to reply
> "DP" <jettaway21@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:By%P8.3836$H67.20520@tor-nn1.netcom.ca...
> > Hi,
> >
> > Does anyone know if I can use my Icom R2 to receive HF broadcasts on USB?
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> > Darryl
> >
> >
> >
>
>

4510. I got a...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 22:20:36 UTC
From: summitlt@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <aac782c8.0206191420.79eef76a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 46926

I've got a Uniden Bearcat 210 XLT scanner, and was wondering where I can get a Manual, or someone can tell me how to use the thing, also will a CB antenna work on it? Ive got one and the connecter fits. ANY HELP IS APPRECHIATED

4511. Re: FA - 3 CB Radios all 40 channels in Excellent Condition For the price of 1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 22:24:49 UTC
From: wilfkelly@rogers.com
Message-ID: <1e9d473c.0206191424.5915494@posting.google.com>
Source record: 46927

vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux (VHFRadioBuff) wrote in message
news:<20020617103606.02479.00001224@mb-cj.aol.com>...
> >Tell me please - what the **** does this have to do with scanners?
>
> Well, if you rotate the channel dials really fast, it would kind of be like
> listening to a scanner.. :)
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

Please Delete.FA only now Thanks

4512. FA: More Archer 3 Element Scanner Antennae

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 22:51:39 UTC
From: Alex <sgrubor@shaw.caNSPM>
Message-ID: <%V7Q8.38797$ia2.3255109@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 46928
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

I have three of them so feel free to bid on any. Archer All-Band 3 element antenna. This antenna covers 30-60, 140-180, 400-450 and 450-512 MHz band. Assembled antenna is 70" tall and has ground plane 13' in diameter. Antenna is NEW and it is packaged in original box 4'x4" aproximately. It has PL-259 conncetor type for connection to scanner. No coax included.

http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewSellersOtherItems&userid=salle2&include=0&since=-1&sort=3&rows=25

or
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1361313358
and
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1361313254

Thanks

--
*** Remove NSPM to reply ***

4513. Re: who has the BC780 cell.mod article?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 22:59:23 UTC
From: Billy <bill@gates.co.uk>
Message-ID: <aer2f2$qb8$1@cyan.nl.gxn.net>
Source record: 46929

> There isn't a cell mode for the 780.

O yes there is.. only one switch and works fine...

4514. Tacoma, WA TRS listening?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 23:02:36 UTC
From: wilrobnson@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020619190236.09519.00000956@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 46930

ANyone tracking the Fort Lewis system? How about Tacoma School District #10 or Tacoma Public Utilities? Like to exchange notes?

Brett
Wilrobnson@aol.com

4515. Re: who has the BC780 cell.mod article?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-19 23:56:21 UTC
From: JT <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <FS8Q8.83031$nZ3.35123@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 46931

So kick it down.

"Billy" <bill@gates.co.uk> wrote in message
news:aer2f2$qb8$1@cyan.nl.gxn.net...
>
> > There isn't a cell mode for the 780.
>
> O yes there is.. only one switch and works fine...
>
>
>

4516. Re: Educating Fools about MURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 00:15:14 UTC
From: randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com
Message-ID: <b03b87e2.0206191615.4b4a727d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 46932
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

G. M. Alf <gmalf.ears@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:<vqb7guopd6rq055omhijomc7sm79geidf4@4ax.com>...
> You'll have a lot of fun with it.
>
> I'm using an 8' VHF marine antenna about 25 feet in the air (not on a
> hill) and can talk all over my city (base to mobile) and into many
> surrounding cities. I'm using a few Radio Shack VHF mobiles set to 2
> watts.
>
> Mike

How do you set the RS mobile to 2 watts?

Randy

4517. ## Interface RS-232 for R-5000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 00:36:32 UTC
From: kml@digi.com.br
Message-ID: <3d110ee8.9128075@news.digi.com.br>
Source record: 46933

Hi Fellows:

A closed friend give me an interface RS-232 for
Kenwood R-5000. But, I don't know how to connect
that unit in my PC.... any software avaliable???
I have no instructions manual for unit and I would
like to get any help. Thanks in advance.
Vy best wishes & 73,

<><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><>
Karl Leite
Natal, RN, Brasil
eMail: kl@samnet.com.br
LightHouse PostCard Collector

4518. ID Tracker Freeware Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 00:42:02 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <au9Q8.11619$YO4.567774@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 46934
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

New Features / Improvements:

- "Resume on Identified" feature now supported on BC780XLT and BC245XLT.
- "New ID Alerts" now set for each bank.
- Added backup file creation. A backup copy of each file is created before the new file is saved.
- Improved program response and reduced CPU usage by 50 to 75%.

The last improvement in my opinion is the biggest improvement. The performance was tested on 2 different systems. On one system the cpu usage was reduced from 18% to 5%. On another system the usage was reduced from 8% to 4%. These improvements go toward my original goal of have a low impact program. These improvements were not gained by sacrificing performance but actually increased program response time.

ID Tracker Version 1.8.0 and VB5 source code can be found at:

http://personal.atl.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
For replies, use my callsign @bellsouth.net or @arrl.net.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

4519. picking up boston in NH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 01:14:17 UTC
From: juan <yes@yessiree.bob>
Message-ID: <yes-428381.21141419062002@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 46935

We're in Rye NH with a bearcat handheld and all of a sudden we're getting ambulance/police calls from places in and around boston, i.e., charlestown, brighton, etc. Anyone have any idea why this is happening. Is it going to continue? tia

4520. Re: Educating Fools about MURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 01:24:17 UTC
From: "G. M. Alf" <gmalf.ears@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <eeb2hu0d5biupo4ch5c670sucjqosl40pf@4ax.com>
Source record: 46936
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner

On 19 Jun 2002 17:15:14 -0700, randy_NO_SPAM_PLEASE_@frs-usa.com
(Randy A. Hefner) wrote:

>G. M. Alf <gmalf.ears@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:<vqb7guopd6rq055omhijomc7sm79geidf4@4ax.com>...
>> You'll have a lot of fun with it.
>>
>> I'm using an 8' VHF marine antenna about 25 feet in the air (not on a
>> hill) and can talk all over my city (base to mobile) and into many
>> surrounding cities. I'm using a few Radio Shack VHF mobiles set to 2
>> watts.
>>
>> Mike
>
>How do you set the RS mobile to 2 watts?
>
>Randy

Open the case and you'll find a blue pot labeled "low pwr" or
something similar. Connect a power meter and dummy load and adjust
the pot till you get 2 watts. Power can be set anywhere from almost
nothing to 5 watts.

Mike

4521. Re: looking to buy a portable race scanner; need advise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 02:43:43 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox.net>
Message-ID: <oqf2huk37ufqlbvoi043p0klm7inhkl6q1@4ax.com>
Source record: 46937
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Mon, 17 Jun 2002 02:37:53 GMT, johnb <borregoj@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>i think the uniden "sport cat" might be the sort of thing you are
>looking for. It seems to be designed for racing monitoring and doesn't
>have all the air/public safety sort of stuff that other machines do
>(like trunking, milair frquencies....) but others here who actually do
>racing listening might know more.

That looks like a good choice.

I've got a PRO-92, which has alpha tags and performs quite well at
races. But it's kind of on the heavy side. I played with a firend's
SC200 for a couple of days, writing software for it (got that about
90% done), and was impressed with how much lighter it is than the 92.
Does less stuff, but it does all you need for race scanning.
Including alpha tags.

And writing software for it was *much* easier than writing for the 92.

Ken Plotkin

4522. Re: who has the BC780 cell.mod article?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 03:25:56 UTC
From: Jeff Santee <Jeff352@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <8XbQ8.83580$R61.29607@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 46938

> > There isn't a cell mode for the 780.
>
> O yes there is.. only one switch and works fine...
>

If this is true then Uniden has violated federal law, which
states that newly manufactured scanners must not be able to
be "readily" modifiable to recieve these freqs. And if it is
true Im sure there are lots of people who would love to know
what "little" sw. does the job, so indulge us all with your knowledge.

Jeff

4523. Re: who has the BC780 cell.mod article?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 03:53:32 UTC
From: Greg <not@shown.here>
Message-ID: <7bk2hu8a7ogopfjje8j873ofl6ejusuqij@4ax.com>
Source record: 46939

On Thu, 20 Jun 2002 03:25:56 GMT, "Jeff Santee" <Jeff352@mchsi.com>
wrote:

> If this is true then Uniden has violated federal law, which
>states that newly manufactured scanners must not be able to
>be "readily" modifiable to recieve these freqs. And if it is
>true Im sure there are lots of people who would love to know
>what "little" sw. does the job, so indulge us all with your knowledge.

I remember reading the article up at Barnes & Noble a few months ago.
It had something to do with modifying the radio to allow you to
monitor a resonant frequency or something. (I skimmed thru it, didn't
buy the magazine, so I don't remember for sure)

4524. Re: who has the BC780 cell.mod article?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 05:15:42 UTC
From: Devin <bob@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <2ydQ8.27028$Aa4.1287408@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 46940

then all you are listening to is the image. not the actual frequency then.

"Greg" <not@shown.here> wrote in message
news:7bk2hu8a7ogopfjje8j873ofl6ejusuqij@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 20 Jun 2002 03:25:56 GMT, "Jeff Santee" <Jeff352@mchsi.com>
> wrote:
>
> > If this is true then Uniden has violated federal law, which
> >states that newly manufactured scanners must not be able to
> >be "readily" modifiable to recieve these freqs. And if it is
> >true Im sure there are lots of people who would love to know
> >what "little" sw. does the job, so indulge us all with your knowledge.
>
> I remember reading the article up at Barnes & Noble a few months ago.
> It had something to do with modifying the radio to allow you to
> monitor a resonant frequency or something. (I skimmed thru it, didn't
> buy the magazine, so I don't remember for sure)

4525. Re: Tacoma, WA TRS listening?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 05:24:42 UTC
From: Scott Berringer <scanman@scanland.org>
Message-ID: <Xns9233E6A13504scanmanscanlandorg@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 46941

wilrobnson@aol.com (WilRobnson) wrote in
news:20020619190236.09519.00000956@mb-mn.aol.com:

> ANyone tracking the Fort Lewis system? How about Tacoma School
> District #10 or Tacoma Public Utilities? Like to exchange notes?
>
> Brett
> Wilrobnson@aol.com
>

try here:

http://www.trunkedradio.net/cgi-bin/display.pl

or

http://www.trunkedradio.net and select TRS Database on left side of screen

4526. BC 895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 06:22:22 UTC
From: drcap@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27364-3D11749E-182@storefull-2158.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 46943

Just ordered BC 895 XLT . Interested to know what problems I might experience. For example can it monitor conventionsal freq. as well as trunked freq. at the same time? Thanks.

4527. Icom R10 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 06:42:37 UTC
From: nickle911@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020620024237.01370.00000980@mb-mi.aol.com>
Source record: 46942

Whats a good interface and software for this jewel.Phil

4528. Re: Grove Power Antenna II ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 07:03:18 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <iev2huskj0srl2i37fr9119vtj5fo96hcf@4ax.com>
Source record: 46944

no I don't have the antenna but I did get a hold of Grove and yes they
are for scanners....here's what they sent back,I do appreciate your
response at 100%

The unit is a Preamplifier for VHF-UHF reception, the same as our Pre4
which
was manufactured ages ago and has since been discontinued. You need 1
patch
cord. You connect your scanner antenna to the antenna in side and a
small
patch cord from the receiver side to your unit.

On 19 Jun 2002 17:28:58 GMT, jrobmills@aol.comrx320 (J Rob) wrote:

>>anybody know if these are used for scanning or shortwave or ????
>
>I'm thinking scanner because I don't believe they would have used the Motorola
>type jacks on any thing for HF/shortwave. Plus it looks like it was intended
>for mobile use which also makes me think scanner. Did you have the antenna that
>was supposed to be used with it?

4529. Re: Grove power antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 07:04:23 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <5jv2hu41v1bvs1e30e9e0psddvbtsj8d6c@4ax.com>
Source record: 46945

I did get a hold of Grove and yes they are for scanners....here's what
they sent back,I do appreciate your response at 100%

The unit is a Preamplifier for VHF-UHF reception, the same as our Pre4
which
was manufactured ages ago and has since been discontinued. You need 1
patch
cord. You connect your scanner antenna to the antenna in side and a
small
patch cord from the receiver side to your unit.

On Wed, 19 Jun 2002 13:16:41 -0500 (CDT), SugarBroker@webtv.net
(Joseph Blanpain) wrote:

>Having received Grove material for many years I would almost bet
>anything that power antennas were to be used on shortwave radios.

4530. Re: Houston, Texas freqs wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 07:21:55 UTC
From: Steve Matzura <number6@speakeasy.net>
Message-ID: <9j03hu8s4iii57n1egp8pdk94240q13nnl@4ax.com>
Source record: 46946

On Sun, 16 Jun 2002 16:23:04 -0500, "Lurking Fool" <Lurker@Home.Com>
wrote:

>What radio do you have?

A BC245XLT and a 780XLT with external battery. Don't know if I'll
take them both, though.

4531. Re: Antenna MIssing 1 Element...will it work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 12:35:53 UTC
From: swardfoto@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020620083553.17723.00000002@mb-ft.aol.com>
Source record: 46847

I had the same problem (as does so many other people). I ended up taking my RS discone down and replaced the radials (hollow tubes crimped onto theaded studs) with "all-thread". I don't remember the size, but I think it was a no. 6 or 8 all-thread. I bought pre-cut lengths (36") from a local hardware store (Home Depot) and just threaded them in.

The reception does not seem to be affected at all. And, I don't have to worry about the radials falling off any more.

Good luck,

sward

4532. Cheap Air-band antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 12:58:19 UTC
From: anonymous@spamhole.com
Message-ID: <940a228f.0206200458.230305aa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 46848

Hello-

I see that Radio Shack is selling their "High-Gain FM-Stereo Antenna",
part #15-2163, for $19.95. The catalog claims 120 mile reception.

I recall an article in Monitoring Times or Popular Communications
which talked about using FM-radio and TV antennas for scanner use.

My question, would this make a good cheapie-antenna for VHF aviation
listening, if mounted on my rooftop?

THANKS

Bill

4533. Looking for Shack Photos

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 13:48:23 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3D11DD27.4050905@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 46849
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

Hello All,
I'm looking for onLine photos of other peoples radio shacks. I'm starting to accumulate a lot of radio equipment and my table just isn't working out (too small, no shelf space). I want to see how others have their radios arranged.

Plus this might be fun as it will get some URL's of shack pictures out where others can get some ideas.

I'll start with mine at http://www.MilAirComms.com/shack.html

Thanks
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

4534. Re: BC 895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 14:43:49 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <FSIQ8.18392$781.17758@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 46850

"brad sullivan" <drcap@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:27364-3D11749E-182@storefull-2158.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Just ordered BC 895 XLT . Interested to know what problems I might
> experience. For example can it monitor conventionsal freq. as well as
> trunked freq. at the same time? Thanks.
>

It can't do that. I had a 895 and I really hated that. That's why I bought a
780

4535. Re: BC 895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 14:54:34 UTC
From: Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <q2r3husu8aau717a3r4abi8u9ttco5h6ho@4ax.com>
Source record: 46851

On Thu, 20 Jun 2002 02:22:22 -0400 (EDT), drcap@webtv.net (brad sullivan) wrote:

>Just ordered BC 895 XLT . Interested to know what problems I might >experience. For example can it monitor conventionsal freq. as well as >trunked freq. at the same time? Thanks.

If you use a large external antenna, it might overload the radio.
No, it can not trunk and do conventional freqs at the same time.

4536. Re: Free Logging Database .....doesnt work!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 15:41:10 UTC
From: bob allen <bob@bergen.online.no.spam>
Message-ID: <qImQ8.876$6r.31372@news.world-online.no>
Source record: 46852
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
>
> >Free Logging Database built with Access2000 available, complete with
Station
> >Locator containing
> >over 5000 International Broadcast Stations.
> >
> >Perfectly suitable for both HAM operators and Shortwave Listeners alike.
> >With the "UserID" field, will also suit Radio Clubs.
> >
>
> But it doesnt work on Windows 98 downwards!!!
>
> Who's gonna use it?

well.....after windows 98 was 98se - millenium - windows2000 and now windows
XP....
seeing as the programme declares " Access2000 available"....perhaps you
should upgrade your software..after all windows95 is a bunny hop away from
windows 3.1 and who uses that these days.

and please...x posting to all those groups isn't really good form...
IMHO.

bob
* Quantum Mechanic *
* - no job too small - *
```

4537. FA: PRO-37 handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 16:22:42 UTC
From: GaryH <webpub@fastmail.ca>
Message-ID: <_7nQ8.26299$jT.6098251@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 46853

HI:

I am selling the rest of my scanning equipment.
This is a nice working PR-37

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1361445791

Thanks

4538. Several radios F/S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 16:41:59 UTC
From: Nighthawk1959 <rroewer1@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <aQQSPcoLvSoLojDRWBmL5wFWVSow@4ax.com>
Source record: 46854

Due to some changes in my situation I'm having to reduce my
radios just a tad bit and am offering the following for sale:

1: Sony "Wavehawk" 300 channel handheld. Great coverage,
receives military air. Radio is in mint condition. Includes
original box, antenna, and wall-wart. Sorry, I cannot find
manual but will certainly forward it to buyer if I should find
it in the future. $135.00 plus $10.00 shipping and insurance.

2. Uniden Bearcat BC780XLT Trucnktracker III base scanner.
500 channel with alpha tagging. Many more wonderful features.
Radio is in mint condition and in fact has less than 10 hours
total use on it. Box, instructions, wall-wart, and antenna are
all included. $275.00 plus $10.00 shipping and insurance.

3: Radio Shack Dx394 table top shortwave radio with Kiwa
modifications. I hate to part with this radio, but haven't the
time to use it at all anymore. Radio is in mint condition.
I do not have the original box. The instuction manual is
is nicely looseleaf bound and included the service
manual. Also included is original screw-in antenna.
$175.00 plus $10.00 shipping and insurance..

I'll sell all three for $550.00 plus $25.00 shipping and insurance.
I can only accept United States Post Office money orders and will
only sell/ship to continetal United States.
If interested, please contact me via e-mail at
rroewer1@tampabay.rr.com

Best regards,
Ray Roewert
Clearwater FL

4539. Recomindation for an Air Band scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 17:12:28 UTC
From: Boy Outlook <Boy@Outlook.com>
Message-ID: <aet2hf$9u8e6$1@ID-129582.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 46855

I'm thinking about listening to the Air Band, but most scanners I find have all or some of the band blocked out.

Anybody monitoring the band that can share their scanner recommendations????

--
Charlie Hardy--The Computer Geek!
"Never attribute to malice that which can be adequately explained by stupidity."

4540. Pensacola Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 17:24:23 UTC
From: "Craig S. Young" <ka5bou@flash.net>
Message-ID: <bdoQ8.3177$1V2.121834650@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 46859

Lookin for frequencies for Pensacola area.

Thanks.

4541. Re: who has the BC780 cell.mod article?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 17:35:44 UTC
From: Billy <bill@gates.co.uk>
Message-ID: <aet3v1bhd1@cyan.nl.gxn.net>
Source record: 46857

"Devin" <bob@nowhere.com> schreef in bericht
news:2ydQ8.27028$Aa4.1287408@news0.telusplanet.net...
> then all you are listening to is the image. not the actual frequency then.

No, it's the actual freq. The mod transfers them to 400 Mhz range. read the
article....

4542. Re: who has the BC780 cell.mod article?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 17:37:14 UTC
From: Billy <bill@gates.co.uk>
Message-ID: <aet3v2bhd2@cyan.nl.gxn.net>
Source record: 46856

> If this is true then Uniden has violated federal law, which
> states that newly manufactured scanners must not be able to
> be "readily" modifiable to recieve these freqs. And if it is
> true Im sure there are lots of people who would love to know
> what "little" sw. does the job, so indulge us all with your knowledge.

Goto scanning usa website and order the article or the magazine. Or search yahoogroups.....

4543. FS: BC780XLT & BC80XLT Package

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 17:38:47 UTC
From: Anthony Gaspro <gaspro@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <do44hu88csnfj94pnvr08gdrtqh4aadadp@4ax.com>
Source record: 46858

FOR SALE:
- Uniden BC780XLT Trunking Scanner w/ All original
accessories/manual/box

- Uniden BC80XLT Handheld Scanner w/ all original
accessories/manual/box

Both for $350.00

tony@nwburgers.com
zhatka@yahoo.com

4544. WTB: Regency ACT-R1 Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 18:10:23 UTC
From: JohnS <w6ibl@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <jUoQ8.8456$uH2.7345@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 46860

Looking for Regency ACT-R1 single channel monitor receiver, VHF Hi, VHF Lo, or UHF.
This is probably 20 year-old technology and is NOT a scanner. I'm hoping there are some sitting around collecting dust. Prefer rcvr with 1 uv or better sensitivity.

Thank you.

John

4545. Re: Recomindation for an Air Band scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 18:10:32 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <m454hugdeo81av97pfn4k4n13dd9jnerp5@4ax.com>
Source record: 46861

On Thu, 20 Jun 2002 13:12:28 -0400, "Boy Outlook" <Boy@Outlook.com>
wrote:

>I'm thinking about listening to the Air Band, but most scanners I find have
>all or some of the band blocked out.
>
>Anybody monitoring the band that can share their scanner recommendations????

Part of the reason why the band is "blocked" is that aviation radio
communications use Amplitude Modulation and many scanners only receive
Frequency Modulation.

Before you decide to listen in to the band, ask yourself what you're
scanning for --otherwise what you hear will be a bunch of
incomprehensible gibberish and you'll get bored pretty quickly.

First, consider that unless you're very close to the ground based
transmitters, you probably won't hear them. However, you will hear
virtually every aircraft for at least 200 km in every direction.

Second, unless you have a reasonably up to date aviation chart of your
vicinity, you still won't have much idea what's going on. The charts
typically depict approach procedures, airways, intersections,
checkpoints, frequencies, altitudes of various airspaces and so forth.

Most airports have a general aviation terminal or office where they'll
be glad to sell you charts, and airport directories. If you're really
cheap, and you happen to know a pilot, you may be able to get some out
of date books and charts (I often throw away my out of date charts and
book). They change, but not all that frequently.

Good Luck and Happy Listening!

Jake Brodsky, mailto:frussle@erols.com
PP ASEL IA, Cessna Cardinal N30946, Based @ FME
Amateur Radio Station AB3A

4546. Re: FS: BC780XLT & BC80XLT Package

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 18:17:16 UTC
From: Anthony Gaspro <gaspro@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <p074hu0nlotoel5jc68tv0nqm8ftkmofu5@4ax.com>
Source record: 46862

That was quick. They're sold.

On Thu, 20 Jun 2002 17:38:47 GMT, Anthony Gaspro <gaspro@adelphia.net>
wrote:

>FOR SALE:
>- Uniden BC780XLT Trunking Scanner w/ All original
>accessories/manual/box
>
>- Uniden BC80XLT Handheld Scanner w/ all original
>accessories/manual/box
>
>Both for $350.00
>
>tony@nwburgers.com
>zhatka@yahoo.com

4547. Re: Recomindation for an Air Band scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 18:20:11 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3D121CDB.1010707@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 46863

Jake Brodsky wrote:

> On Thu, 20 Jun 2002 13:12:28 -0400, "Boy Outlook" <Boy@Outlook.com>
> wrote:
>
>
>>I'm thinking about listening to the Air Band, but most scanners I find have
>>all or some of the band blocked out.
>>
>>Anybody monitoring the band that can share their scanner recommendations????
>>
>
> Part of the reason why the band is "blocked" is that aviation radio
> communications use Amplitude Modulation and many scanners only receive
> Frequency Modulation.
>
> Before you decide to listen in to the band, ask yourself what you're
> scanning for --otherwise what you hear will be a bunch of
> incomprehensible gibberish and you'll get bored pretty quickly.
>
> First, consider that unless you're very close to the ground based
> transmitters, you probably won't hear them. However, you will hear
> virtually every aircraft for at least 200 km in every direction.
>
> Second, unless you have a reasonably up to date aviation chart of your
> vicinity, you still won't have much idea what's going on. The charts
> typically depict approach procedures, airways, intersections,
> checkpoints, frequencies, altitudes of various airspaces and so forth.
>
> Most airports have a general aviation terminal or office where they'll
> be glad to sell you charts, and airport directories. If you're really
> cheap, and you happen to know a pilot, you may be able to get some out
> of date books and charts (I often throw away my out of date charts and
> book). They change, but not all that frequently.
>
> Good Luck and Happy Listening!

Since 99.9% of my listening is Aviation (both Civil and Mil) I can say that it is more exciting than monitoring Police or fire comms! Out of 10 scanners in my shack only 1 is programmed with police/fire, the other 9 are aviation related freqs.

Not sure if you're looking for hand held or base but if you go to http://www.milaircomms.com/shack.html you'll see all the scanners I use. Everyone on that list is capable of receiving the Civil Air Band

(108-136 MHz). Most on my list can receive the MilAir freqs as well (225-400 MHz).

For a base scanner my favorite is the BC 780XLT, covers both MilAir and civil air. If you're looking for a handheld the PRO-94 does great for Civil air but lacks MilAir band.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

4548. FA *Mint* Unblocked Pro-2042 ends Sat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 18:38:10 UTC
From: ~The-Wizard~ <spamWizard@walesuk.net>
Message-ID: <aet7ei$9vk$1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 46864

http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1359707721

.....

4549. Re: who has the BC780 cell.mod article?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 18:47:09 UTC
From: Devin <bob@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <NqpQ8.29906$mh.1216686@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 46865

oh well. I won't have to worry. living in Canada, all our non Uniden and RS scanners are unblocked.+ cells are boring.

"Billy" <bill@gates.co.uk> wrote in message news:aet3v1bhd1@cyan.nl.gxn.net...
>
> "Devin" <bob@nowhere.com> schreef in bericht
> news:2ydQ8.27028$Aa4.1287408@news0.telusplanet.net...
> > then all you are listening to is the image. not the actual frequency then.
>
> No, it's the actual freq. The mod transfers them to 400 Mhz range. read the
> article....
>
>
>
>
>

4550. Re: Recomindation for an Air Band scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 19:20:29 UTC
From: Boy Outlook <Boy@Outlook.com>
Message-ID: <aet9uq$9qik4$1@ID-129582.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 46866

I live within sight of Logan Airport.

I'm looking for a scanner that can recieve the air band, and am looking for people's recomindations on a scanner model to do this.

"Jake Brodsky" <frussle@erols.com> wrote in message news:m454hugdeo81av97pfn4k4n13dd9jnerp5@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 20 Jun 2002 13:12:28 -0400, "Boy Outlook" <Boy@Outlook.com>
> wrote:
>
> >I'm thinking about listening to the Air Band, but most scanners I find have
> >all or some of the band blocked out.
> >
> >Anybody monitoring the band that can share their scanner recommendations????
>
> Part of the reason why the band is "blocked" is that aviation radio
> communications use Amplitude Modulation and many scanners only receive
> Frequency Modulation.
>
> Before you decide to listen in to the band, ask yourself what you're
> scanning for --otherwise what you hear will be a bunch of
> incomprehensible gibberish and you'll get bored pretty quickly.
>
> First, consider that unless you're very close to the ground based
> transmitters, you probably won't hear them. However, you will hear
> virtually every aircraft for at least 200 km in every direction.
>
> Second, unless you have a reasonably up to date aviation chart of your
> vicinity, you still won't have much idea what's going on. The charts
> typically depict approach procedures, airways, intersections,
> checkpoints, frequencies, altitudes of various airspaces and so forth.
>
> Most airports have a general aviation terminal or office where they'll
> be glad to sell you charts, and airport directories. If you're really
> cheap, and you happen to know a pilot, you may be able to get some out
> of date books and charts (I often throw away my out of date charts and
> book). They change, but not all that frequently.
>
> Good Luck and Happy Listening!
>
>
>
> Jake Brodsky, mailto:frussle@erols.com

> PP ASEL IA, Cessna Cardinal N30946, Based @ FME
> Amateur Radio Station AB3A

4551. Re: Free Logging Database .....doesnt work!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 19:53:19 UTC
From: "www.ar3000.info" <tommcqDELETETHESECAPS@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <3d1230ad$1_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 46867
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Windows98 downwards??? Surely you don't mean that you're running
Windows95????? Don't be daft! Next, you'll be telling me you're running with
a Cyrix processor. You're havin' a laugh :-)))

Tom.

"NE1" <1@here.com> wrote in message
news:13r3hu0pt3v0levu86m80jf24obhb82e81@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 17 Jun 2002 00:13:35 +0100, "www.ar3000.info"
> <tommcqDELETETHESECAPS@tiscali.co.uk> wrote:
>
> >Free Logging Database built with Access2000 available, complete with
Station
> >Locator containing
> >over 5000 International Broadcast Stations.
> >
> >Perfectly suitable for both HAM operators and Shortwave Listeners alike.
> >With the "UserID" field, will also suit Radio Clubs.
> >
>
> But it doesnt work on Windows 98 downwards!!!
>
> Who's gonna use it?

4552. Re: Free Logging Database .....doesnt work!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 20:09:33 UTC
From: Abb Naklie <abbnaklie@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <hDqQ8.7452$YG5.1504009@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 46868
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi,

> But it doesn't work on Windows 98 downwards!!!

You bet it does! I'm running Windows 98 and it works fine. If you're having problems try re-installing Access 2000. I've done that a few times.

--
Take Care
Abb
VE3003SWL
(SWL CallSign)

4553. The AU-013 is actually which Yupiteru scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 21:09:56 UTC
From: BE <ok2nuke@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <EwrQ8.6386$YC3.329980009@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 46869

Who knows the real Yupiteru model number of this unit? I can't
tell from the photo. Thanks.

4554. Re: Free Logging Database .....doesnt work!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 21:11:55 UTC
From: proma1 <proma1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <3DrQ8.19897$AZ6.2742712@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 46870
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

until a few weeks ago i was working for a computer company and we were using 95 still.

but although of no use to me i use micosoft works for my databases,people like Tom should be congratulated for trying to help people with there hobby and for free.of course it is ot going to work for everyone,if yiou are running an older OS then fair enough thats your choice,but dont moan about lack of software.

i am sure if you offer Tom £5000 he will design somethingt just for you

regards

--

Paul Wey[PROMA1]

moderator of following scanning groups

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promaofftopic

for PROMA PMR database and mil and civil airband,and much more click below

www.scanuk.net

"www.ar3000.info" <tommcqDELETETHESECAPS@tiscali.co.uk> wrote in message news:3d1230ad$1_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> Windows98 downwards??? Surely you don't mean that you're running
> Windows95????? Don't be daft! Next, you'll be telling me you're running with
> a Cyrix processor. You're havin' a laugh :-)))
>
> Tom.
>
> "NE1" <1@here.com> wrote in message
> news:13r3hu0pt3v0levu86m80jf24obhb82e81@4ax.com...
> > On Mon, 17 Jun 2002 00:13:35 +0100, "www.ar3000.info"
> > <tommcqDELETETHESECAPS@tiscali.co.uk> wrote:
> >
> > >Free Logging Database built with Access2000 available, complete with

> Station
> > >Locator containing
> > >over 5000 International Broadcast Stations.
> > >
> > >Perfectly suitable for both HAM operators and Shortwave Listeners
alike.
> > >With the "UserID" field, will also suit Radio Clubs.
> > >
> >
> > But it doesnt work on Windows 98 downwards!!!
> >
> > Who's gonna use it?
>
>

4555. Re: who has the BC780 cell.mod article?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 21:38:00 UTC
From: Greg <not@shown.here>
Message-ID: <cni4hu87sp4gl32451hnvdbmi33j98l9do@4ax.com>
Source record: 46871

On Thu, 20 Jun 2002 18:47:09 GMT, "Devin" <bob@nowhere.com> wrote:

>oh well. I won't have to worry. living in Canada, all our non Uniden and RS
>scanners are unblocked.+ cells are boring.

I think it's kinda funny how much people clamor to modify their
scanners to hear cell phones. Back in the day when I still had my old
scanner that could pick them up, I never, ever, heard anything
interesting.

Perhaps it's just the "forbidden fruit" aspect of hearing them that
draws people?

4556. Re: who has the BC780 cell.mod article?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 21:53:05 UTC
From: me@nospam.com
Message-ID: <1103_1024609972@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 46872

On Thu, 20 Jun 2002 21:36:13 GMT, SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote:
> "Greg" wrote:
>
> >Perhaps it's just the "forbidden fruit" aspect of hearing them that
> >draws people?
>
> I tried it once with the 2006 ... B O R I N G.
>
> I'm sure there are people who get off on eavesdropping a private call.
> I'd call them "twisted".

Not to mention that about 80% of cell phones are now digital. Anyone who is a frequent user of a cell phone is
going to be using a new digital phone.

4557. Re: Free Logging Database .....doesnt work!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 22:15:57 UTC
From: proma1 <proma1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <1zsQ8.20081$AZ6.2785591@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 46873
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

we were part of Fujitsu/ICL and there engineers kept saying 95 is the best.

i had 8 pcs in my area,all capable of differant things,all set up in
differant ways,what you could do on one,you could not on another,the
shipping software we was using was designed in 1989 and regularly crashed.

never mind,not my problem any more

--

Paul Wey[PROMA1]

moderator of following scanning groups

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promaofftopic

for PROMA PMR database and mil and civil airband,and much more click below

www.scanuk.net

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:iji4hugcrmu3a9lv4a213o3ldn1qp700oe@4ax.com...
> "proma1" wrote:
>
> >until a few weeks ago i was working for a computer company and we were
using
> >95 still.
>
> Musta been S O M E "computer company"! Did it still use rotary-dial
> telephones - or did it have a woman at a switchboard in the reception
> area who connected all the calls?

4558. Re: who has the BC780 cell.mod article?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 22:26:17 UTC
From: Devin <bob@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <dEsQ8.36896$vo2.1486275@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 46874

well. you must be on flat land. here in the rockies. I hear still alot of traffic on analog. due to the fact of all the fringe area's. Here. you are 1 second on digital. 1 foot over. analog, then one foot over you are on digital again. almost every analog channel. besides the CDPD ones are used all the time here. the odd day like sunday. but. it is boring. and since it isn't illegal here. Makes it more boring. Much better to hear people you know. Then atleast you know if they dispise you and are plotting things on you.

Dev

<me@nospam.com> wrote in message news:1103_1024609972@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Thu, 20 Jun 2002 21:36:13 GMT, SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote:
> > "Greg" wrote:
> >
> > >Perhaps it's just the "forbidden fruit" aspect of hearing them that
> > >draws people?
> >
> > I tried it once with the 2006 ... B O R I N G.
> >
> > I'm sure there are people who get off on eavesdropping a private call.
> > I'd call them "twisted".
>
> Not to mention that about 80% of cell phones are now digital. Anyone who is a frequent user of a cell phone is
> going to be using a new digital phone.
>
>
>

4559. Re: FREQS for wildfires in Colorado

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 22:28:55 UTC
From: adfdsa@adsfdsaf.com
Message-ID: <3d1255d6.82711949@news.frontier.net>
Source record: 46875

Thanks Bruce. Also found the following:
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/9952/nirsc.htm

Seems extensive, but may not be current.

For archival information, note that the original post was visible on my ISP's upstream NG server for less than 24 hours. I don't know if there's a moderator for the group, but it looks like someone knocked it out. It's surprising that this request has generated so little response....

B

On Wed, 19 Jun 2002 10:18:40 -0600 (MDT), BruceKC0AQU@webtv.net (Bruce L) wrote:

>More Frequencies:
>NE Teller C. Fire 154.400
>Teller Co. Sherriff 155.580
>Woodland Park Police 154.755
>Divide Vol. Fire 159.015
>
>You might also try the ham Freqs:
>Pikes Peak fm Ass. 146.970
>Teller Co FM Ass. 146.820, 147.015
>Lake George 146.685
>Woodland Park 145.415
>
>good luck
>Bruce
>

4560. Blue Angels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 22:35:10 UTC
From: fvarecka@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020620183510.29121.00000001@mb-ce.aol.com>
Source record: 46876

Does any one have the operational frquencies fo the Navy Blue Angel flight team? Please send to me, would like to have them before Sundays show in Rochester,NY.
Thank you

4561. Re: Looking for Shack Photos

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 23:27:04 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <NItQ8.296$HT1.911862@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 46877
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

Ok, here's mine.

http://personal.atl.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis/Equipment.htm

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
For replies, use my callsign @bellsouth.net or @arrl.net.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3D11DD27.4050905@licensed4fun.com...
> Hello All,
> I'm looking for onLine photos of other peoples radio shacks. I'm
> starting to accumulate a lot of radio equipment and my table just isn't
> working out (too small, no shelf space). I want to see how others have
> their radios arranged.
>
> Plus this might be fun as it will get some URL's of shack pictures out
> where others can get some ideas.
>
> I'll start with mine at http://www.MilAirComms.com/shack.html
>
> Thanks
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com
>
>

4562. FIELD PROGRAMABLE VHF 40CH PORTABLE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-20 23:34:56 UTC
From: David M Schertzer <dschertzer@hfx.eastlink.ca>
Message-ID: <mkp4hu88ijenvmbf9dgkkjcqao0g13bi0i@4ax.com>
Source record: 46878

(1) ICOM V68 *40ch* 5-watt -VHF-

*** DTMF (User programmable - Front face) ****

(1) ICOM BC75A Rapid Desk Charger
(1) ICOM BP160 Rechargeable Battery pack
(1) ICOM BP130 Rechargeable Battery pack
(1) ICOM "AA" Emergency Battery pack (6- AA type batteries)
(1) EM46 Sprk-mic
(1) CP13L Cigarette Lighter adaptor with Noise filter
(1) Org. Instruction Manual + boxes

$175USD +ship Payment via USPS International M/O.

Email for questions or info, spec sheet avail also.
This is the equiv. to the Motorola GP68 version.

4563. Re: who has the BC780 cell.mod article?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 00:01:53 UTC
From: Devin <bob@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <R1uQ8.37026$vo2.1496268@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 46879

lol

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:f1q4huskv33lhlumeqpcvhqegh2rjhmt66@4ax.com...

> Like I said: twisted.

4564. Re: Free Logging Database .....doesnt work!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 00:19:08 UTC
From: proma1 <proma1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <ymuQ8.20334$AZ6.2868335@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 46880
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

ANOTHER SADDO

who gives a fucking shit about spelling you silly fucking cretin,why dont
you stuff your head up your arse.

what has my spelling or punctuation to do with anythng,i have disabled my
spellchecker a waste of time,the only people who ever moan are people like
yourself who obviously have nothing better to do.

for your information my keyboard is actually sticking on certain letters,but
even so,the internet is for everyone not techno nerds.

join an english language appreciation group,if you try and join any of my
groups as soon as you post anything like that i will ban you.

so there good bye and good night

--

Paul Wey[PROMA1]

moderator of following scanning groups

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promaofftopic

for PROMA PMR database and mil and civil airband,and much more click below

www.scanuk.net

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:q3q4hu8ehupc5m5040fddoudh30j697fuj@4ax.com...
> "proma1" wrote:
>
> >never mind,not my problem any more
>
> Your spelling is. Did you know that modern computers and software
> have a thing called a spell-checker? ;->

4565. Re: Free Logging Database .....doesnt work!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 00:37:04 UTC
From: proma1 <proma1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <lDuQ8.20455$AZ6.2881128@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 46882
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

sorry for the swearing but it makes my blood boil,he does no know me or any dissabilities i may have,or learning problems or whatever,i do not go on the net to be judged on typing abilities/language or internet etiquette.

regards

--

Paul Wey[PROMA1]

moderator of following scanning groups

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promaofftopic

for PROMA PMR database and mil and civil airband,and much more click below

www.scanuk.net

"H" <hford@networks218.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:aetsicrmq1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk...
> well said Paul.
> The info is there for the taking and all "he" can do is criticise.
>
> Happy Scanning
> H
> Call or txt to 07867-96-71-72
> www.southcheshirescanning.freeserve.co.uk
>
> And for a great deal on PC's and all Peripherals check
> www.microstartcomputers.com
>
>
>
> "proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
> news:ymuQ8.20334$AZ6.2868335@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com...
> > ANOTHER SADDO
> >
> > who gives a fucking shit about spelling you silly fucking cretin,why
dont

> > you stuff your head up your arse.
> >
> > what has my spelling or punctuation to do with anythng,i have disabled
my
> > spellchecker a waste of time,the only people who ever moan are people
like
> > yourself who obviously have nothing better to do.
> >
> >
> > for your information my keyboard is actually sticking on certain
> letters,but
> > even so,the internet is for everyone not techno nerds.
> >
> > join an english language appreciation group,if you try and join any of
my
> > groups as soon as you post anything like that i will ban you.
> >
> > so there good bye and good night
>
>

4566. Re: Free Logging Database .....doesnt work!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 00:38:28 UTC
From: H <hford@networks218.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <aetsicrmq1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 46881
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

well said Paul.
The info is there for the taking and all "he" can do is criticise.

Happy Scanning
H&#65533;
Call or txt to 07867-96-71-72
www.southcheshirescanning.freeserve.co.uk

And for a great deal on PC's and all Peripherals check
www.microstartcomputers.com

"proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:ymuQ8.20334$AZ6.2868335@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com...
> ANOTHER SADDO
>
> who gives a fucking shit about spelling you silly fucking cretin,why dont
> you stuff your head up your arse.
>
> what has my spelling or punctuation to do with anythng,i have disabled my
> spellchecker a waste of time,the only people who ever moan are people like
> yourself who obviously have nothing better to do.
>
>
> for your information my keyboard is actually sticking on certain
letters,but
> even so,the internet is for everyone not techno nerds.
>
> join an english language appreciation group,if you try and join any of my
> groups as soon as you post anything like that i will ban you.
>
> so there good bye and good night

4567. Canton, OH - "MAPS" Military Air Expo this weekend?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 00:47:19 UTC
From: Mike Mayer <mayermh@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <aetu01$r3j$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 46883

Does anyone have frequencies for this event? Is there a "show control" and "safety crew" frequency?

It's this weekend, Sat & Sun. http://www.mapsairmuseum.org/expo.htm

Aside from CAK's normal aviation freqs?

4568. Re: I got a...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 01:08:37 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aetu8i$9vthl$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 46884

"TJ Poseno" <summitlt@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aac782c8.0206191420.79eef76a@posting.google.com...
> I've got a Uniden Bearcat 210 XLT scanner, and was wondering where I
> can get a Manual, or someone can tell me how to use the thing, also
> will a CB antenna work on it? Ive got one and the connecter fits. ANY
> HELP IS APPRECHIATED

Yes, a CB antenna will work fine, especially with low-band stuff. However,
it may not work as well for the UHF & 800 MHZ stuff.

Tom

4569. Re: Cheap Air-band antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 01:16:56 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aetuo5$a1j8d$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 46885

"." <anonymous@spamhole.com> wrote in message
news:940a228f.0206200458.230305aa@posting.google.com...
> Hello-
>
> I see that Radio Shack is selling their "High-Gain FM-Stereo Antenna",
> part #15-2163, for $19.95. The catalog claims 120 mile reception.

> My question, would this make a good cheapie-antenna for VHF aviation
> listening, if mounted on my rooftop?

I wouldn't see why not. The FM broadcast band is just above the aero band,
so you won't have to worry much about tuning the antenna.

However, if you're into building antennas, you can build one for next to
nothing.

Tom

4570. Re: Looking for Shack Photos

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 01:20:13 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aetuua$a08h2$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 46886
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3D11DD27.4050905@licensed4fun.com...
> Hello All,
> I'm looking for onLine photos of other peoples radio shacks.

Mine is located here: http://photos.yahoo.com/n2uhc

Tom

4571. Re: who has the BC780 cell.mod article?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 01:46:27 UTC
From: Hoser <Hoser@doofus.mn>
Message-ID: <tg05huksl5a7v25r789i9eo4d5d9j9grd7@4ax.com>
Source record: 46888

In article <cni4hu87sp4gl32451hnvdbmi33j98l9do@4ax.com>, Greg
<not@shown.here> wrote:

>On Thu, 20 Jun 2002 18:47:09 GMT, "Devin" <bob@nowhere.com> wrote:
>
>>oh well. I won't have to worry. living in Canada, all our non Uniden and RS
>>scanners are unblocked.+ cells are boring.
>
>I think it's kinda funny how much people clamor to modify their
>scanners to hear cell phones. Back in the day when I still had my old
>scanner that could pick them up, I never, ever, heard anything
>interesting.
>
>Perhaps it's just the "forbidden fruit" aspect of hearing them that
>draws people?

In some places you need it if you really want to know what the cops
are up to. Where I live most of the the cops carry cell phones and use
them a lot and many of them are analog. Many of the conversations are
of the type that would otherwise only be conducted face to face,
including ass chewings, politically incorrect ethnic references,
potentially libelous suspicions, etc. They mistakenly seem to think
that it is more private than the encrypted digital mode that is
available on most of their car radios.

4572. Good Directional Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 02:04:18 UTC
From: Anthony Gaspro <gaspro@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <rb25huggjh86g9bhdej3mv7m77uc02qugh@4ax.com>
Source record: 46889

Anyone know of a good, handheld, directional antenna that can be used
with a couple of IC-R3's for DF purposes?

4573. Re: BC 895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 03:56:20 UTC
From: Jim Finney <fendelhessi@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D12A3E3.86AB510C@yahoo.com>
Source record: 46890

Brad,

If you hook your BC-895 to a computer and use WinScan 895, you can do both conventional and trunking at the same time. I use it and it works great. WinScan is about $60....

Good luck,

Jim

Darrin wrote:

> On Thu, 20 Jun 2002 02:22:22 -0400 (EDT), drcap@webtv.net (brad
> sullivan) wrote:
>
> >Just ordered BC 895 XLT . Interested to know what problems I might
> >experience. For example can it monitor conventionsal freq. as well as
> >trunked freq. at the same time? Thanks.
>
> If you use a large external antenna, it might overload the radio.
> No, it can not trunk and do conventional freqs at the same time.

4574. Re: who has the BC780 cell.mod article?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 04:07:25 UTC
From: Devin <bob@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <1ExQ8.37093$vo2.1535185@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 46891

that is what they used to do here. Just like you said. till they went to CDPD for their conversations and stuff. they used to ragchew on tact channels too. but not no more. :(

"Hoser" <Hoser@doofus.mn> wrote in message news:tg05huksl5a7v25r789i9eo4d5d9j9grd7@4ax.com...
> In article <cni4hu87sp4gl32451hnvdbmi33j98l9do@4ax.com>, Greg
> <not@shown.here> wrote:
>
> >On Thu, 20 Jun 2002 18:47:09 GMT, "Devin" <bob@nowhere.com> wrote:
> >
> >>oh well. I won't have to worry. living in Canada, all our non Uniden and RS
> >>scanners are unblocked.+ cells are boring.
> >
> >I think it's kinda funny how much people clamor to modify their
> >scanners to hear cell phones. Back in the day when I still had my old
> >scanner that could pick them up, I never, ever, heard anything
> >interesting.
> >
> >Perhaps it's just the "forbidden fruit" aspect of hearing them that
> >draws people?
>
> In some places you need it if you really want to know what the cops
> are up to. Where I live most of the the cops carry cell phones and use
> them a lot and many of them are analog. Many of the conversations are
> of the type that would otherwise only be conducted face to face,
> including ass chewings, politically incorrect ethnic references,
> potentially libelous suspicions, etc. They mistakenly seem to think
> that it is more private than the encrypted digital mode that is
> available on most of their car radios.

4575. Re: Radio Shack pro 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 04:26:48 UTC
From: abbicus <onthewayto@dot.com>
Message-ID: <aetv8i$a77er$1@ID-121301.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 46887

Sure you can use it mobile. Radio Shack used to sell a mount for it that wrapped around it and was made of metal with a rubber liner. Best you can do without it is just put it into a box and mount the box. I keep mine in a box in the passinger seat, attached to the back of the seat with string so it won't fly down and break when I stop suddenly. The wire for a 12 volt unit like this is about $4 at Radio Shack. No voltage adapter is needed. And the antenna could be a magnetic mount from Radio Shack, or you could get about a 30 inch whip from a local radio installer company, or buy an antenna from one of the internet sites that sell scanner antennas.

4576. Searching for technical manual for Regency MX4200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 04:55:08 UTC
From: f1rcq@club-internet.fr
Message-ID: <3d12b1aa.796309@news.club-internet.fr>
Source record: 46892
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner

Hello

I'm searching for the user and technical manual for Regency MX4200

Copies welcome

Best 73's from France

Denis F1RCQ

4577. Advice on scanner for police/fire bands

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 05:08:39 UTC
From: Christopher Schmitt <cschmitt@kc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <xwyQ8.3095$DF5.110732@twister.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 46893

Hi all,
I would like to pick up a new scanner to monitor local police/fire bands. I would like something with ample memory and a decent scan rate. Which scanner in todays market would fill the bill? Any websites with reviews you can point me to?

Thanks in advance!

4578. Re: Advice on scanner for police/fire bands

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 05:28:13 UTC
From: Devin <bob@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <NPyQ8.37334$vo2.1545557@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 46894

all depends if your emergency teams are digital or trunked or still our
normal analog. Some fire, police, ems are. Should give some info on what
town you are in or close to. someone should be able to help you.

"Christopher Schmitt" <cschmitt@kc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:xwyQ8.3095$DF5.110732@twister.kc.rr.com...
> Hi all,
> I would like to pick up a new scanner to monitor local police/fire bands.
I
> would like something with ample memory and a decent scan rate. Which
> scanner in todays market would fill the bill? Any websites with reviews
you
> can point me to?
>
> Thanks in advance!
>
>
>
>

4579. Re: Free Logging Database .....doesnt work!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 05:28:33 UTC
From: "K.chattenton" <k.chattenton@btopenwold.com>
Message-ID: <aeudi1bkm1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 46895
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi from Ken,
"proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:lDuQ8.20455$AZ6.2881128@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com...
> sorry for the swearing but it makes my blood boil,he does no know me or
any
> dissabilities i may have,or learning problems or whatever,i do not go on
the
> net to be judged on typing abilities/language or internet etiquette.
>
> regards
>
Calm down Paul.

Don't let it get to you, your too much of a Gentleman and you have friends
who know you via your postings and web sites for what you
are.....cheers, Ken, G4KIR.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.371 / Virus Database: 206 - Release Date: 13/06/2002

4580. Q: ID 160.9050 MHz Broadcast at NJ Shore???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 05:41:04 UTC
From: miketeachr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020621014104.00996.00002239@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 46896

Hello!

Im located in Monmouth County, NJ
Im getting on 160.9050 MHz Unknown Police Dispatch; but it sounds like New York City

Any info on this?

PS: I'm new at this so bear with me. I got a used Radio Shack PRO-2035. Great little unit, and was looking to get a nice indoor antenna. Tried a few, then bought CCrane 'Coaxial Scanner Antenna' today. 15 feet of coax on a BNC connector; then the coax splits into two different length sections; which you pin to a wall. Anyway, my reception distance/clarity seems to have doubled. Impressive.
PPS: Im clueless on getting AM Radio [770 AM, WABC New York, for example]; on the Proscan.

4581. Re: Looking for Shack Photos

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 08:08:50 UTC
From: Bradley Stone <nb9mNOSPAM@reliable-net.net>
Message-ID: <uh72vub7to64f3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 46810
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

Nice shack, George! Clean and well laid-out. For grins, here's some pictures
of my shack (somewhat dated):
http://www.reliable-net.net/~nb9m/shack/shack_1.JPG
http://www.reliable-net.net/~nb9m/shack/shack_2.JPG
http://www.reliable-net.net/~nb9m/shack/shack_3.JPG
73,
Brad, NB9M

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aetuua$a08h2$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
> news:3D11DD27.4050905@licensed4fun.com...
> > Hello All,
> > I'm looking for onLine photos of other peoples radio shacks.
>
> Mine is located here: http://photos.yahoo.com/n2uhc
>
> Tom
>
>
>
>

4582. Re: Good Directional Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 10:01:23 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <20020621060124266-0400@news.det.sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 46797

Ebay has some very small, 2.4GHz directional antennas on a regular basis.

Bill Crocker

In <rb25huggjh86g9bhdej3mv7m77uc02qugh@4ax.com> Anthony Gaspro wrote:
> Anyone know of a good, handheld, directional antenna that can be used
> with a couple of IC-R3's for DF purposes?
>

4583. Re: Looking for Shack Photos

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 10:58:37 UTC
From: atg <telexish@nettaxi.com>
Message-ID: <3d13067a.0@news1.mweb.co.za>
Source record: 46798
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

Isn't it weird that probably some of the biggest household noise generators are plonked right in the middle of the "modern" shack. Some even have a few different noise generators, just for good measure.

I'm talking about PC CPU's, PC CRT monitors, TV's, electric heaters, etc.

When I started SWL 22 years ago, there were no home PC's and TV's were not to common either (not one in every room, anyway).

When I got back into SWL 8 months ago, I started in my garage with no noise, then moved to my study with lots of noise from CPU/CRT.

Since then, I've made my receivers portable (with external battery and easily deployed antenna) and I hear loads more. In the garden, in the garage, in the spare room, in my car up the mountain or at the beach. It's a whole new world.

Break away from your PC's and explore! (carry your WRTH or a notepad for ID's on RLDB later)

Todd

GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message news:3D11DD27.4050905@licensed4fun.com...
> Hello All,
> I'm looking for onLine photos of other peoples radio shacks. I'm
> starting to accumulate a lot of radio equipment and my table just isn't
> working out (too small, no shelf space). I want to see how others have
> their radios arranged.
>
> Plus this might be fun as it will get some URL's of shack pictures out
> where others can get some ideas.
>
> I'll start with mine at http://www.MilAirComms.com/shack.html
>
> Thanks
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com
>
>

4584. Re: Looking for Shack Photos

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 11:15:45 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3D130AE1.4000008@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 46799
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

atg wrote:

> Isn't it weird that probably some of the biggest household noise generators
> are plonked right in the middle of the "modern" shack. Some even have a few
> different noise generators, just for good measure.
>
> I'm talking about PC CPU's, PC CRT monitors, TV's, electric heaters, etc.
>
> When I started SWL 22 years ago, there were no home PC's and TV's were not
> to common either (not one in every room, anyway).
>
> When I got back into SWL 8 months ago, I started in my garage with no noise,
> then moved to my study with lots of noise from CPU/CRT.
>
> Since then, I've made my receivers portable (with external battery and
> easily deployed antenna) and I hear loads more. In the garden, in the
> garage, in the spare room, in my car up the mountain or at the beach. It's a
> whole new world.
>
> Break away from your PC's and explore! (carry your WRTH or a notepad for
> ID's on RLDB later)
>
> Todd

If done correctly PC's and Scanners/SWL Receivers can live together with no noise. My shack photo (http://www.milaircomms.com/shack.html) only shows one PC, however on the other side of the same room there are 3 other PC's. All are connected together via a network and a Hub (from what I"m told Hubs are very noisy RFI generators).

I have yet to have any Computer generated RFI problems. I think the big thing is to get the antennas outside and use well shielded Coax. I do have a Discone in the attic (right above the shack) and it does pickup some very minor RFI however the rest of the 10 scanners and 4 HF rigs don't.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

4585. Re: Advice on scanner for police/fire bands

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 12:19:43 UTC
From: Christopher Schmitt <cschmitt@kc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <FQEQ8.3403$DF5.119814@twister.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 46800

I'm located in Overland Park KS. zipcode 66282. I believe emergency
services here are digitally trunked.

Thanks
Chris

"Devin" <bob@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:NPyQ8.37334$vo2.1545557@news2.telusplanet.net...
> all depends if your emergency teams are digital or trunked or still our
> normal analog. Some fire, police, ems are. Should give some info on what
> town you are in or close to. someone should be able to help you.
>
>
> "Christopher Schmitt" <cschmitt@kc.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:xwyQ8.3095$DF5.110732@twister.kc.rr.com...
> > Hi all,
> > I would like to pick up a new scanner to monitor local police/fire
bands.
> I
> > would like something with ample memory and a decent scan rate. Which
> > scanner in todays market would fill the bill? Any websites with reviews
> you
> > can point me to?
> >
> > Thanks in advance!
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

4586. Re: who has the BC780 cell.mod article?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 12:20:36 UTC
From: Greg <not@shown.here>
Message-ID: <ff66hugormhp7s5b67s45qpsrpb0dvi4l0@4ax.com>
Source record: 46801

On 21 Jun 2002 01:46:27 GMT, Hoser <Hoser@doofus.mn> wrote:

>In some places you need it if you really want to know what the cops
>are up to. Where I live most of the the cops carry cell phones and use
>them a lot and many of them are analog. Many of the conversations are
>of the type that would otherwise only be conducted face to face,
>including ass chewings, politically incorrect ethnic references,
>potentially libelous suspicions, etc. They mistakenly seem to think
>that it is more private than the encrypted digital mode that is
>available on most of their car radios.

Ok that's true... cops here do go to Cell Phone or MDT whenever
there's anything *good* to talk about hehehe

4587. Re: Advice on scanner for police/fire bands

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 15:33:19 UTC
From: Red TR1 <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8HHQ8.64$eH2.192128@ruti.visi.com>
Source record: 46802

If your area is digital you are out of luck. Uniden is in the process of getting scanners on the market to do digital but they are not out yet. The best scanner site is

http://www.strongsignals.net

Greg
"Christopher Schmitt" <cschmitt@kc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:FQEQ8.3403$DF5.119814@twister.kc.rr.com...
> I'm located in Overland Park KS. zipcode 66282. I believe emergency
> services here are digitally trunked.
>
> Thanks
> Chris
>
> "Devin" <bob@nowhere.com> wrote in message
> news:NPyQ8.37334$vo2.1545557@news2.telusplanet.net...
> > all depends if your emergency teams are digital or trunked or still our
> > normal analog. Some fire, police, ems are. Should give some info on what
> > town you are in or close to. someone should be able to help you.
> >
> >
> > "Christopher Schmitt" <cschmitt@kc.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:xwyQ8.3095$DF5.110732@twister.kc.rr.com...
> > > Hi all,
> > > I would like to pick up a new scanner to monitor local police/fire
> bands.
> > I
> > > would like something with ample memory and a decent scan rate. Which
> > > scanner in todays market would fill the bill? Any websites with
reviews
> > you
> > > can point me to?
> > >
> > > Thanks in advance!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

4588. Re: Q: ID 160.9050 MHz Broadcast at NJ Shore???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 15:41:39 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3D134933.C4F60BAC@optonline.net>
Source record: 46803

The frequencies in the range of 160.215 to 161.565 MHz are assigned to railroads. 160.905 is Railroad Channel 53.

The 2002 Police Call lists that frequency as being used by the NYC Transit Police.

Walt

MikeTEACHR wrote:
>
> Hello!
>
> Im located in Monmouth County, NJ
> Im getting on 160.9050 MHz Unknown Police Dispatch; but it sounds like New
> York City
>
> Any info on this?
>
> PS: I'm new at this so bear with me. I got a used Radio Shack PRO-2035. Great
> little unit, and was looking to get a nice indoor antenna. Tried a few, then
> bought CCrane 'Coaxial Scanner Antenna' today. 15 feet of coax on a BNC
> connector; then the coax splits into two different length sections; which you
> pin to a wall. Anyway, my reception distance/clarity seems to have doubled.
> Impressive.
> PPS: Im clueless on getting AM Radio [770 AM, WABC New York, for example]; on
> the Proscan.

4589. Re: New Icom r/c software available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 16:49:30 UTC
From: arnoldjimjimREMOVE@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3d12a028.200784774@news.sk.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 46804
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 13 Jun 2002 22:31:43 GMT, Steve Matzura
<number6@speakeasy.net> posted:

>:Check out http://www.parnass.org for some new Icom
>:radio-controllerware from Bob Parnass, a top-knotch/top-level
>:developer, technical writer and amateur radio operator. I don't own
>:any Icom radios so I can't vouch for how any of this software is, but
>:I've read some of Bob's articles so I don't think you'll go wrong in
>:trying them out.
>:
After trying the software with the R-75 and Bob Parnass' helpful
response to installation trouble, I can say that the software is
great. Especially good is the very fast response b/n program and
radio, logical menus, and that you can control everything with the
program. Well done all around IMHO. Thank-you Bob Parnass, well
done.
-J. Arnold

4590. Re: x10 camera system for sale complete 200US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 17:09:32 UTC
From: prc2u <prc2u@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <r9n6hukcgii5tqpclin7dsj83gs86b8olp@4ax.com>
Source record: 46805

I also have an X10 steup for sale. This package has three camera's, 1 receiver, 1 battery pack, 3 modules for remote operation and many extra's. I paid over $300 from X10 at the time. In my apartment complex the distance I needed the signal to travel was to far so now I have all this equipment sitting. Will sell for $150 and you pay shipping from Wisconsin. email me at prc2u@aol.com and please be patient for a response.

On 12 Jun 2002 17:00:50 GMT, allanstern@aol.com (AllanStern) wrote:

>Please tell me more about the camera.
>
>Thanks.
>
>AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
>AllanStern@aol.com
>321-777-2018

4591. REQ: modifications for cellular PRO94 and PRO64

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 17:12:04 UTC
From: prc2u <prc2u@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <fhn6hus973fs5hoogs8tr0927iogj2tsqe@4ax.com>
Source record: 46806

[No readable article body recovered]

4592. Re: BC 895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 17:58:26 UTC
From: "Mike G"
Message-ID: <3d136929.13467294@news-east.teranews.com>
Source record: 46807

On Thu, 20 Jun 2002 10:54:34 -0400, Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com> wrote:

>
>If you use a large external antenna, it might overload the radio.
>No, it can not trunk and do conventional freqs at the same time.

I think it can with computer software.

4593. Re: BC 895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 18:21:13 UTC
From: Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ljr6hu490j66jm90nomfhlrobue757jb98@4ax.com>
Source record: 46808

On Fri, 21 Jun 2002 17:58:26 GMT, Mike G (gmike@hotsprings.orgcom)
wrote:

>On Thu, 20 Jun 2002 10:54:34 -0400, Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com> wrote:
>
>
>>
>>If you use a large external antenna, it might overload the radio.
>>No, it can not trunk and do conventional freqs at the same time.
>
>I think it can with computer software.

It can with the PC. The scanner itself can't.

4594. Re: Free Logging Database .....doesnt work!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 19:29:02 UTC
From: "www.ar3000.info" <tommcqDELETETHESECAPS@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <3d137c7a_2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 46809
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Thanks Paul. You know the old saying.....

You can please some of the people all of the time, and
Win95 users none of the time :-))

Tom www.ar3000.info

"proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:3DrQ8.19897$AZ6.2742712@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com...
> until a few weeks ago i was working for a computer company and we were
using
> 95 still.
>
>
> but although of no use to me i use micosoft works for my databases,people
> like Tom should be congratulated for trying to help people with there
hobby
> and for free.of course it is ot going to work for everyone,if yiou are
> running an older OS then fair enough thats your choice,but dont moan about
> lack of software.
>
>
>
>
>
> i am sure if you offer Tom £5000 he will design somethingt just for you
>
>
> regards
>
> --
>
>
>
> Paul Wey[PROMA1]
>
> moderator of following scanning groups
>
>
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio

>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk
>
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promaofftopic
>
> for PROMA PMR database and mil and civil airband,and much more click below
>
> www.scanuk.net
>
> "www.ar3000.info" <tommcqDELETETHESECAPS@tiscali.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:3d1230ad$1_1@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> > Windows98 downwards??? Surely you don't mean that you're running
> > Windows95????? Don't be daft! Next, you'll be telling me you're running
> with
> > a Cyrix processor. You're havin' a laugh :-)))
> >
> > Tom.
> >
> > "NE1" <1@here.com> wrote in message
> > news:13r3hu0pt3v0levu86m80jf24obhb82e81@4ax.com...
> > > On Mon, 17 Jun 2002 00:13:35 +0100, "www.ar3000.info"
> > > <tommcqDELETETHESECAPS@tiscali.co.uk> wrote:
> > >
> > > >Free Logging Database built with Access2000 available, complete with
> > Station
> > > >Locator containing
> > > >over 5000 International Broadcast Stations.
> > > >
> > > >Perfectly suitable for both HAM operators and Shortwave Listeners
> alike.
> > > >With the "UserID" field, will also suit Radio Clubs.
> > > >
> > >
> > > But it doesnt work on Windows 98 downwards!!!
> > >
> > > Who's gonna use it?
> >
> >
>
>

4595. Re: Free Logging Database .....doesnt work!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 20:27:08 UTC
From: Nick <Nick@nospam.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <DcLidSAcw4E9Ewtp@greenhse.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 46813
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <ymuQ8.20334$AZ6.2868335@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>,
proma1 <proma1@ntlworld.com> writes
>ANOTHER SADDO
>
>who gives a fucking shit about spelling you silly fucking cretin,why dont
>you stuff your head up your arse.
>
>what has my spelling or punctuation to do with anythng,i have disabled my
>spellchecker a waste of time,the only people who ever moan are people like
>yourself who obviously have nothing better to do.
>
>
>for your information my keyboard is actually sticking on certain letters,but
>even so,the internet is for everyone not techno nerds.
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>
>join an english language appreciation group,if you try and join any of my
>groups as soon as you post anything like that i will ban you.
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

"the internet is for everyone" in once sentence and "as soon as you post
anything like that I will ban you" in another. You have just shown with
your abusive language and net-nazi tendencies to be no better than those
you complain about!

Nick
--

SuSE Linux user
http://www.suse.co.uk

4596. PRO-2032 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 20:29:17 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <k037huo274npkfuprkpovihumvlrd9mogf@4ax.com>
Source record: 46811

Pro-2032 in Mint Condition with manual
Mod can be done also (cell)

$175 Best Offer

Paypal or money order 1st RIPOFF's take a hike

4597. Re: Free Logging Database .....doesnt work!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 20:30:53 UTC
From: Nick <Nick@nospam.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <DMDiZcA9z4E9EwMR@greenhse.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 46812
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <lDuQ8.20455$AZ6.2881128@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>,
proma1 <proma1@ntlworld.com> writes
>sorry for the swearing but it makes my blood boil,he does no know me or any
>dissabilities i may have,or learning problems or whatever,i do not go on the
>net to be judged on typing abilities/language or internet etiquette.

Hey, I was certified dyslexic at age 11 and it shows in some posts where
the spell checker doesn't rescue me, but it's still no excuse for bad
punctuation or actually not bothering to use a spell checker.
Punctuation has a purpose, it makes things easier to read. Whilst
criticising bad punctuation is ignorant, failing to use punctuation *at
all* is just laziness!
--

Nick@nospam.demon.co.uk
SuSE Linux user
http://www.suse.co.uk

4598. New guy with a question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 20:52:50 UTC
From: Mountain Bob <rtiberiNOSPAM@csusb.edu>
Message-ID: <3d139153.23697486@news.calstate.edu>
Source record: 46814

Just got a RS PRO-93 and Police Call for California. Trying to figure out how to program the scanner so as to monitor the Riverside County sheriff, which is Ericsson. I got the Motorola stuff figured out fairly quickly

Can anyone point me to some good solid instructions? or drop me an email at rtiberi@csusb.edu.

Thanks in advance

MT Bob
Always looking for Corvettes and VWs

4599. FS: Alinco DJ-X2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 21:10:46 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <jonvanallen@msn.com>
Message-ID: <3D139656.7BEF74FF@msn.com>
Source record: 46815
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Alinco DJ-X2000 (US Version). Used very little, excellent condtion.
With original accessories, soft case manual, box, etc. Also included
optional 2700 mAh Ni-MH battery pack, far better than the original.

Paid $600, yours for $400 shipped & insured CONUS.

Email me at jonvanallen@msn.com if interested.

Thanks,
Jon

4600. WTB GE Mastr Pro Cables HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 21:35:04 UTC
From: abbylind@aol.com
Message-ID: <b1bfa7c.0206211335.4f4c88d5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 46816
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Hi
Restoring an old police car
Looking for power and control cables for GE Mastr Pro VHF radio
The control cable I need is for 4 channel scan head

Thanks In advance
Fowler
Abbylind@aol.com

4601. Re: Free Logging Database .....doesnt work!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 22:58:18 UTC
From: proma1 <proma1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <MgOQ8.7549$RY1.201355@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 46817
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

whats wrong with laziness its my right to write how i want,i do not have any problems like that,what i meant is thatyou have no right to critiscize people for spelling or punctuation.

net nazi i think that applies to you and the guy who critisized my spelling and punctuation.

i dont believe in being tied down by coventional language,thats in the past get real we are in a new world nowi am in a hurry i dont have time to waste adding in ll the crap i do from time to time but as long as youn can rea it and of course you canif not it dos notr say much dfor you,my stuck keyboard is a pain in the arse but again you can read it so whats the problem????

--

Paul Wey[PROMA1]

moderator of following scanning groups

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promaofftopic

for PROMA PMR database and mil and civil airband,and much more click below

www.scanuk.net

"Nick" <Nick@nospam.demon.co.uk> wrote in message
news:DMDiZcA9z4E9EwMR@greenhse.demon.co.uk...
> In article <lDuQ8.20455$AZ6.2881128@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>,
> proma1 <proma1@ntlworld.com> writes
> >sorry for the swearing but it makes my blood boil,he does no know me or
any
> >dissabilities i may have,or learning problems or whatever,i do not go on
the
> >net to be judged on typing abilities/language or internet etiquette.
>
> Hey, I was certified dyslexic at age 11 and it shows in some posts where
> the spell checker doesn't rescue me, but it's still no excuse for bad
> punctuation or actually not bothering to use a spell checker.
> Punctuation has a purpose, it makes things easier to read. Whilst

> criticising bad punctuation is ignorant, failing to use punctuation *at
> all* is just laziness!
> --
>
> Nick@nospam.demon.co.uk
> SuSE Linux user
> http://www.suse.co.uk

4602. Re: Icom R10 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-21 23:48:04 UTC
From: Hank <hnowak@pvtnetworks.net>
Message-ID: <uh7f527vp0i351@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 46819

The only software I'm aware of is a program called "Windows Cloning Software" by RT Systems, Inc. out of Kennesaw, GA. I wouldn't call it good, just fair. The main problem I have is when loading frequencies into the software program, only one bank can be bulk loaded from an outside file. Any more banks have to be loaded one-channel-at-a-time. However, the price was right...free, and it's easier to hand enter each freq on my PC rather than entering using those tiny keys on the receiver. Those outside files of frequencies can be obtained through the Icom website.
"Nickle911" <nickle911@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020620024237.01370.00000980@mb-mi.aol.com...
> Whats a good interface and software for this jewel.Phil

4603. Re: Free Logging Database .....doesnt work!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-22 01:02:04 UTC
From: CW <clinton.magers@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <g0QQ8.128211$6m5.109310@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 46820
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In other words, it's lack of education.
"proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:MgOQ8.7549$RY1.201355@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net...
>
>
> i dont believe in being tied down by coventional language,thats in the
past
> get real we are in a new world nowi am in a hurry i dont have time to
waste
> adding in ll the crap i do from time to time but as long as youn can rea
it
> and of course you canif not it dos notr say much dfor you,my stuck
keyboard
> is a pain in the arse but again you can read it so whats the problem????
>
>
>
>
> --
>
>
>

4604. AOR AR900 batt. pack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-22 01:44:23 UTC
From: PenskeRules <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <XDQQ8.54500$UT.3697468@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 46821
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm looking for replacement battery pack for AOR AR900 portable. AOR no longer stocks them, does anyone have any idea where I might them?
thnx

4605. Re: Free Logging Database .....doesnt work!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-22 02:22:03 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3D13DF4B.9A95C617@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 46822
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> i dont believe in being tied down by coventional language,thats in the past
> get real we are in a new world nowi am in a hurry i dont have time to waste
> adding in ll the crap i do from time to time but as long as youn can rea it
> and of course you canif not it dos notr say much dfor you,my stuck keyboard
> is a pain in the arse but again you can read it so whats the problem????
>
> --
>

Eh?

4606. For Sale - Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-22 02:42:51 UTC
From: Just_me <martian10@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <LuRQ8.432298$t8_.36399@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 46823

RADIO SHACK Pro Scan 34 - 200 channel - handheld...

4607. Questions about Radio Shack Pro-90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-22 02:44:20 UTC
From: jeff <equinox@thegame.com>
Message-ID: <210620022144206389%equinox@thegame.com>
Source record: 46824

Hi there. I may be on the brink of buying one of these and I just have a few questions. For one, what is the equivalent Bearcat model #? There usually is one as I recall. Two, is it a decent unit? Three, can it be interfaced with a computer? And can it imultaneously track a trunked and non-trunked system at the same time? Can it scan two different trunked systems at the same time? Can it store more than one trunked system at a time so I can use it for listening to, say, local cops and then cops on a trunked system in some other city without having to reprogram it?

Oh yeah, what did these sell for new?

Thanks.

Jeff

Please post a response here but also e mail one to me at swordfish@grandecom.net. I may not ghet to check back here soon and my server is iffy about getting my posts delivered to it's own NGs.

--

4608. FS radio shack pro 90 truck tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-22 08:06:55 UTC
From: Magnum45 <Magnum45@mn.rr.com>
Message-ID: <CeWQ8.6771$DF5.193610@twister.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 46825
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

This scanner is in very good condition and works great.
300 channel trunk tracker hand-held scanner.
29 to 956mhz
Has new nickel metal hydride batteries.
Comes with ac/charger antenna new belt clip and service manual.
$100 shipped lower 48 states ups insured.
E-mail me direct or for picks of the scanner.

4609. Re: x10 camera system for sale complete 200US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-22 11:14:26 UTC
From: JJJ <oakvillecub@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <ptOQ8.78739$Yt.3102378@read1.cgocable.net>
Source record: 46818

Well if thats all you get for 150.00 then mine is
a much better deal.

prc2u <prc2u@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:r9n6hukcgii5tqpclin7dsj83gs86b8olp@4ax.com...
> I also have an X10 steup for sale. This package has three camera's, 1
> receiver, 1 battery pack, 3 modules for remote operation and many
> extra's. I paid over $300 from X10 at the time. In my apartment
> complex the distance I needed the signal to travel was to far so now I
> have all this equipment sitting. Will sell for $150 and you pay
> shipping from Wisconsin. email me at prc2u@aol.com and please be
> patient for a response.
>
> On 12 Jun 2002 17:00:50 GMT, allanstern@aol.com (AllanStern) wrote:
>
> >Please tell me more about the camera.
> >
> >Thanks.
> >
> >AL STERN Satellite Beach FL
> >AllanStern@aol.com
> >321-777-2018
>

4610. BC780 cell mod works! Tnx!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-22 11:21:23 UTC
From: andyth2002@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <448e2c0d.0206220321.61e38eff@posting.google.com>
Source record: 46826

Got the article by e-mail and it works great!

Now just wait for the new ARC780 software version so VC displays the
right frequencies...

andyth2002@hotmail.com (Andy Thompson) wrote in message
news:<448e2c0d.0206180418.159f970e@posting.google.com>...
> I know there is a mod, read it on Butel's ARC780 software site, but
> they don't want to send me the article...
>
> So my mailbox is open...

4611. Re: BC780 cell mod works! Tnx!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-22 12:24:13 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uh8r76ooucblbf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 46827

Share and share alike... Give it a post...

PH

"Andy Thompson" <andyth2002@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:448e2c0d.0206220321.61e38eff@posting.google.com...
> Got the article by e-mail and it works great!
>
> Now just wait for the new ARC780 software version so VC displays the
> right frequencies...
>
>
> andyth2002@hotmail.com (Andy Thompson) wrote in message
news:<448e2c0d.0206180418.159f970e@posting.google.com>...
> > I know there is a mod, read it on Butel's ARC780 software site, but
> > they don't want to send me the article...
> >
> > So my mailbox is open...

4612. Re: Questions about Radio Shack Pro-90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-22 12:52:48 UTC
From: Frank346 <frank346@groznyk.com>
Message-ID: <pq_Q8.9980$17.4403636@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 46828

"jeff" <equinox@thegame.com> wrote in message
news:210620022144206389%equinox@thegame.com...
> Hi there. I may be on the brink of buying one of these and I just have
> a few questions. For one, what is the equivalent Bearcat model #?

BC235

> Two, is it a decent unit?

Yes

>Three, can it be
> interfaced with a computer?

No

>And can it imultaneously track a trunked
> and non-trunked system at the same time?

No

>Can it scan two different
> trunked systems at the same time?

No

>Can it store more than one trunked
> system at a time so I can use it for listening to, say, local cops and
> then cops on a trunked system in some other city without having to
> reprogram it?

Yes, all 10 banks can be used to store trunked systems.

> Oh yeah, what did these sell for new?

$299.99 in the 1999 Radio Shack catalog.

There are two versions of the PRO-90. Catalog number 20-520A added an
attenuator and tone disconnect detector disable.

I have a mint 20-520A with extra battery pack that I'd consider selling.
Post back here if you are interested.

4613. Re: Cheap Air-band antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-22 15:14:11 UTC
From: Den6N <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <uh951ci3347icf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 46829

I checked that model, and it's a directional antenna, which means that you'll get a good signal
in the direction you point it at the expense of whatever's in some other direction. You need an
'omnidirectional' antenna, and RS is selling what you're looking for at the same price. It may not
pick up many things 120 miles away, but it's what you'd need for general scanning and will greatly improve your reception. The catalog # is 20-176. Take a look at it on the RS website.

You WILL get quite a range of distance on aircraft frequencies, if the aircraft is at high altitude, but generally a directional antenna has more gain (but only in the one direction) than
an omni antenna. You could use it with a rotor, but you're complicating matters going that route.

I live north of NYC, and with a roof antenna, I can hear aircraft talking to various Centers - Boston,
NY, Washington, and even Cleveland. The higher the altitude, the further the signal will travel - it's line
of sight. You won't hear the ground transmissions for very far, though.

Use good coax, like RG-6QS (quad-shield), also available at RS, and you should do very well with
the omni. And get a roll of 'Coax-Seal' (again at RS), to protect the connections from the weather,
so you don't have a problem with the coax degrading from moisture.

Den6N
Putnam Co NY
==========
"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aetuo5$a1j8d$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "." <anonymous@spamhole.com> wrote in message
> news:940a228f.0206200458.230305aa@posting.google.com...
> > Hello-
> >
> > I see that Radio Shack is selling their "High-Gain FM-Stereo Antenna",
> > part #15-2163, for $19.95. The catalog claims 120 mile reception.
>
> > My question, would this make a good cheapie-antenna for VHF aviation
> > listening, if mounted on my rooftop?
>
> I wouldn't see why not. The FM broadcast band is just above the aero band,

> so you won't have to worry much about tuning the antenna.
>
> However, if you're into building antennas, you can build one for next to
> nothing.
>
> Tom
>
>

4614. Re: Q: ID 160.9050 MHz Broadcast at NJ Shore???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-22 15:29:51 UTC
From: Den6N <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <uh95v1m4r8kebb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 46830

That's what it is - they're also on 160.305, 160.500, 160.695, and 160.965...

Den6N
Putnam Co NY
==========
"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3D134933.C4F60BAC@optonline.net...
> The frequencies in the range of 160.215 to 161.565 MHz are assigned to
> railroads. 160.905 is Railroad Channel 53.
>
> The 2002 Police Call lists that frequency as being used by the NYC
> Transit Police.
>
> Walt
>
> MikeTEACHR wrote:
> >
> > Hello!
> >
> > Im located in Monmouth County, NJ
> > Im getting on 160.9050 MHz Unknown Police Dispatch; but it sounds like New
> > York City
> >
> > Any info on this?
> >
> > PS: I'm new at this so bear with me. I got a used Radio Shack PRO-2035. Great
> > little unit, and was looking to get a nice indoor antenna. Tried a few, then
> > bought CCrane 'Coaxial Scanner Antenna' today. 15 feet of coax on a BNC
> > connector; then the coax splits into two different length sections; which you
> > pin to a wall. Anyway, my reception distance/clarity seems to have doubled.
> > Impressive.
> > PPS: Im clueless on getting AM Radio [770 AM, WABC New York, for example]; on
> > the Proscan.

4615. FA: Icom W32A - Last Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-22 16:11:05 UTC
From: Rick Kempe <r.kempe@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <tk1R8.49086$LC3.3754423@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 46831

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1361140158

Last day, currently at $227.50. Thanks for looking!

4616. Question:Illegal to sell scanner in USA ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-22 16:45:49 UTC
From: curiosly_strong <cac@rconnect.com>
Message-ID: <1R1R8.17262$uH2.5686@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 46832

Is it illegal to sell to a private party a used cell capable scanner in the USA ?

Thank You

4617. Canadian Scanner Source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-22 17:14:43 UTC
From: SugarBroker@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1962-3D14B083-171@storefull-2194.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 46833

Recently an address was given for a supplier in Canada where an inside back window antenna was available.Can someone be kind enough to post again supplier . I seem to have lost address.Thanks for our help.

4618. Re: Newbie Scanner...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-22 18:23:07 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <20020622142307556-0400@news.det.sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 46834

The Radio Shack PRO-94 is a good choice, and may still be on sale for
$199.00.

Bill Crocker

In <3d13ff8e$1_5@nopics.sjc> Nick Casagrande wrote:
> I am looking for a scanner around 200 channels, handheld, trucked (so
> I can pickup local cops) and something I can modify to pickup cell
> calls (I would like to listen to my own cell calls, hehe). I am new
> to this hobby and am overwehelmed by the amount of info and need some
> help. If you can offer any advice, websites where I can buy one, or a
> make / model so I can search eBay it would be great. Thanks to anyone
> who can offer some advice for a new guy!
>
> Nick
>
>
>

4619. Sarasota

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-22 18:36:19 UTC
From: drcap@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1986-3D14C3A3-57@storefull-2158.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 46835

Does anyone have the police, fire & rescue frequencies for Sarasota, FL?

4620. Scanning in Maine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-22 20:49:32 UTC
From: Jeff Seale <jseale18@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9235AAFB37651jseale18insightbbcom@216.148.227.77>
Source record: 46836

Two questions:

Does Portland have the only trunked local government system in the state?

Does Nextel or any independent SMR operate in or near the Farmington area?

I've noticed that Nextel has nine transmitters in Portland, one of which appears to have once been licensed to an independent SMR.

I'm spending the last full week of July in Farmington. Flying from Louisville, KY to Manchester, NH and driving from there, going through the Portland area on the way.

4621. Re: Questions about Radio Shack Pro-90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-22 21:01:09 UTC
From: jeff <equinox@thegame.com>
Message-ID: <220620021601096827%equinox@thegame.com>
Source record: 46837

Okay I guess your email doesn't work. Get a hold of me about your scanner at swordfish@grandecom.net. BTW, does the PRO-90 cover the GE/EDACS trunked scanning systems or just the Motorolas?

Jeff

4622. Re: Sarasota

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-22 21:34:12 UTC
From: mcjackietron@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <3d14ed0f.17420046@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 46839

On Sat, 22 Jun 2002 14:36:19 -0400 (EDT),
drcap@webtv.net (brad sullivan) wrote:
>
>Does anyone have the police, fire & rescue frequencies for Sarasota, FL?
>

The city and county (fire, ems, and pd) are 800 digital. You might
want to check out the Gulf Coast & Central Florida Scanner Frequency
Page at this URL: http://tampascan.home.dyndns.org/

I think you might find it helpful. Just click on the Sarasota link
once you are on the site.

-jackie

Jackie McElroy
Kissimmee, Florida USA
http://www.reedycreekdispatch.webservepro.com
http://www.mcjackie.com

4623. Monitenna 5094A New In The Box Channel Master

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-22 21:34:20 UTC
From: FleaBag <fleabag@aol.com>
Message-ID: <X%5R8.15741$7Q2.1163491@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 46838

Super Hard to find Channel Master Monitenna 5094 Brand New In The Original Box.
Buy Now for $100.00
Not giving this one away !!!! There are NO More !!

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1361578998

4624. Re: Recomindation for an Air Band scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-22 23:00:04 UTC
From: markinillinois@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5306-3D150F84-23@storefull-2394.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 46747

I don't have a 2002 Radio Shack catalog, but every single one of the base & hand-held scanners in their 2001 catalog covered the 118-136 MHz aircraft band. The 225-400 MHz aircraft band was eliminated from their equipment in the late 1990's, however.

Aircraft two-way radio communications is all that I've listened to since 1975 and I've owned many good Radio Shack scanners over the years. Scanner World & Grove both sell excellent equipment also, but if you decide to purchase something from Radio Shack, you don't have to wait a minimum of 2-3 days to receive it. The biggest decision depends on how much you're willing to spend.

Listening to aircraft communications isn't limited to just the vicinity of an airport. On a Monday-Friday basis, 800+ airline flights & freighters plus another 150+ business jets & military aircraft are heard within a 250 mile radius of me in the ARTCC high sectors above 24,000 feet each day. Being able to track airline flights at one or more websites adds to the enjoyment of the hobby for me.

Mark Holmes
Marion, IL

Aircraft Listener, Airplane Watcher,
Air Refueling & Military Airshows
Scanning the Skies from Southern Illinois

4625. What trunking system used in my area? (San Marcos, Texas)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-22 23:09:31 UTC
From: jeff <equinox@thegame.com>
Message-ID: <2206200218093111131%equinox@thegame.com>
Source record: 46840

I live in Hays county, town of San Marcos, Texas. I know for certain that the cops in my area are on a trunked system that is part of the LCRA (Lower Colorado River Authority) radio system, while most other San Marcos municipal radio usuing agencies (fire, ambulance, etc.) are not. Thus, what kind of system the LCRA uses (EDACS, Motorola??) makes all the difference in the world as to what kind of scanner I'll have to buy. I'd rather not invest heavily in a new frequency guide or onlline freq service until I know if I'll even be using a scanner in this area. So could someone please look in their "police call" book or wherever they may have such info and let me know if they use GE/EDACS or Motorola?

I do not need the actual frequiencies or other data.

Thanks, any help appreciated.

Jeff

--

4626. pro-25 power problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-22 23:16:40 UTC
From: Hackman <hackman@flashmail.com>
Message-ID: <iu0ahu4gu97qaikmvfris2p7eo44o6hoal@4ax.com>
Source record: 46841
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi,
im using a realistic pro-25 scanner which has served me well for a few years.... that is until I plugged it into my car cigarette lighter socket (using the correct voltage adaptor). I turned the car engine over and the screen went blank.

Now when i plug it into its normal power supply, or run it from batteries the screen does the same thing - it goes blank, theres no sound but it makes a click when i turn it off.
The only way I found to make it work is to run it from a very well regulated +5v supply.

Im guessing that the voltage regulator IC has gone, but I need some schematics so that I can do some testing. I'd assume that all of the power supply parts of the circuit would be the same in most realistic scanners, so if anyone has anything that could help us out would you mind sendnig it to us?
Or even if someone has had similar problems please get back to me..

Thanks

4627. Re: Monitenna 5094A New In The Box Channel Master

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 00:17:27 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <0s4ahu8cmme70nl94k1egj7qss1atphu0d@4ax.com>
Source record: 46845

Fresh from a command appearance on The View, "FleaBag" <fleabag@aol.com> moaned...

>Super Hard to find Channel Master Monitenna 5094 Brand New In The Original
>Box.
>Buy Now for $100.00
>Not giving this one away !!!! There are NO More !!

3 days with no bids, getting kind of desperate??

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

4628. Re: Questions about Radio Shack Pro-90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 00:17:47 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <3t4ahuc24slaq0l4c4u84r801on8kucv11@4ax.com>
Source record: 46846

Fresh from a command appearance on The View, jeff <equinox@thegame.com> moaned...

>Okay I guess your email doesn't work. Get a hold of me about your
>scanner at swordfish@grandecom.net. BTW, does the PRO-90 cover the
>GE/EDACS trunked scanning systems or just the Motorolas?

Only Motorola.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

4629. F/S PRO 2053 SCANNER Like new in box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 00:18:09 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5t8R8.12327$PW.4347@news2.central.cox.net>
Source record: 46844
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Selling a like new Pro 2053 for $130 including shipping UPS ground. I accept money orders or checks (clear). It includes everything when it was new. Used for about 2 months. Mint condition from a non smoker. Lower 48 only please.

4630. Re: looking to buy a portable race scanner; need advise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 01:32:38 UTC
From: jbix00@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5e232a7a.0206221732.3801a4fd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 46842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Whichever scanner you end up buying, look into obtaing a good headset
to use with it. You will need a "sound attenuating" headset - not the
regular type you would use in the home. It is well worth the
investment! I use mine at Nascar races. It blocks out the car noises
so I can hear the radio communications nice and clear.

Jeff
Great Mills, MD

>
> "George" <geo@oncontact.com> wrote in message
> news:9bfdb4c5.0206152043.7db40d19@posting.google.com...
> > I am very new to this, thus my question will be fairly basic... I club
> > race (SCCA) and would like to be able to listen to corner workers
> > about the stuff that is happening on the track. What is the best unit
> > for me? is the the Radio Shack PRO-89, or is there a comparable Uniden
> > model or a different brand scanner ?
> >
> > Thanks in advance!
> > George
> > Milwaukee WI

4631. Re: who has the BC780 cell.mod article?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 01:36:57 UTC
From: vstream_02@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18411-3D152639-73@storefull-2375.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 46748

Most phones cant's switch from Digital to Analog during a call...

Re: who has the BC780 cell.mod article?

Group: rec.radio.scanner Date: Thu, Jun 20, 2002, 10:26pm (CDT+5) From:
bob@nowhere.com (Devin)
well. you must be on flat land. here in the rockies. I hear still alot
of traffic on analog. due to the fact of all the fringe area's. Here.
you are 1 second on digital. 1 foot over. analog, then one foot over you
are on digital again. almost every analog channel. besides the CDPD ones
are used all the time here. the odd day like sunday. but. it is boring.
and since it isn't illegal here. Makes it more boring. Much better to
hear people you know. Then atleast you know if they dispise you and are
plotting things on you.
Dev
<me@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:1103_1024609972@netnews.attbi.com...
On Thu, 20 Jun 2002 21:36:13 GMT, SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote:
"Greg" wrote:
Perhaps it's just the "forbidden fruit" aspect of hearing them that
draws people?
I tried it once with the 2006 ... B O R I N G.
I'm sure there are people who get off on eavesdropping a private call.
I'd call them "twisted".
Not to mention that about 80% of cell phones are now digital. Anyone who
is a frequent user of a cell phone is
going to be using a new digital phone.

--
"It's the static on your cellphone ma'am."
-Sprint PCS man
--
http://www.angelfire.com/tx4/tt/Nokia3390.html
Read my review on the Nokia 3390 Gold
--
http://angelfire.com/tx4/tt/index.html
Visit My Site
--
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/nokia3390ringtones/
--
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/nokia5190

4632. Re: FS radio shack pro 90 truck tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 02:47:44 UTC
From: Magnum45 <Magnum45@mn.rr.com>
Message-ID: <nFaR8.8818$DF5.240417@twister.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 46749
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

That is a good one.
I ment trunk tracker
"Magnum45" <Magnum45@mn.rr.com> wrote in message
news:CeWQ8.6771$DF5.193610@twister.kc.rr.com...
> This scanner is in very good condition and works great.
> 300 channel trunk tracker hand-held scanner.
> 29 to 956mhz
> Has new nickel metal hydride batteries.
> Comes with ac/charger antenna new belt clip and service manual.
> $100 shipped lower 48 states ups insured.
> E-mail me direct or for picks of the scanner.
>
>
>

4633. Speech Inversion descrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 04:41:06 UTC
From: ctpds49@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020623004106.07537.00000638@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 46750

Speech Inversion is accomplished by mixing the speech spectrum
(typically 300-3000 Hz) with a tone (commonly 3500 Hz) to produce some
audio frequencies (3800-6500 Hz) then filtering to 3200-500 Hz
constituting the speech inversion.

members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html

4634. Re: FREQS for wildfires in Colorado

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 10:01:28 UTC
From: Izzy <sumpin@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <s_gR8.5$Fb7.3420@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 46751

Some that I have found for Hayman and Snaking fire and others:

FS:
168.725
168.625
168.600
166.675

Unknown org, possibly FS:
171.120
172.375

Air to air:
126.025
125.025
124.660
123.720
122.920
127.725

And a whole host of local fire/police depts in the 151 to 159 range
depending on the local area.

"B" <adfdsa@adsfdsaf.com> wrote in message
news:3d1255d6.82711949@news.frontier.net...
> Thanks Bruce. Also found the following:
> http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/9952/nirsc.htm
>
> Seems extensive, but may not be current.
>
> For archival information, note that the original post was visible on
> my ISP's upstream NG server for less than 24 hours. I don't know if
> there's a moderator for the group, but it looks like someone knocked
> it out. It's surprising that this request has generated so little
> response....
>
> B
>
> On Wed, 19 Jun 2002 10:18:40 -0600 (MDT), BruceKC0AQU@webtv.net (Bruce
> L) wrote:
>
> >More Frequencies:
> >NE Teller C. Fire 154.400
> >Teller Co. Sherriff 155.580
> >Woodland Park Police 154.755
> >Divide Vol. Fire 159.015

> >
> >You might also try the ham Freqs:
> >Pikes Peak fm Ass. 146.970
> >Teller Co FM Ass. 146.820, 147.015
> >Lake George 146.685
> >Woodland Park 145.415
> >
> >good luck
> >Bruce
> >
>
>

4635. Re: picking up boston in NH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 11:16:26 UTC
From: John Mullaney <john@minutemancomm.com>
Message-ID: <e6iR8.288512$352.27118@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 46752

Which frequency are you picking up Boston EMS on?

John
http://www.scanboston.com

"juan" <yes@yessiree.bob> wrote in message
news:yes-428381.21141419062002@netnews.attbi.com...
> We're in Rye NH with a bearcat handheld and all of a sudden we're
> getting ambulance/police calls from places in and around boston, i.e.,
> charlestown, brighton, etc. Anyone have any idea why this is happening.
> Is it going to continue? tia

4636. Re: F/S PRO 2053 SCANNER Like new in box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 11:30:33 UTC
From: Chairborne <Chairborne@lzboy.com>
Message-ID: <q0cbhu81fukent39bkjjkgpt5jhuoc3cr5@4ax.com>
Source record: 46753
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Sold! You have mail.

On Sun, 23 Jun 2002 00:18:09 GMT, "Bruce" <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Selling a like new Pro 2053 for $130 including shipping UPS ground. I accept
>money orders or checks (clear). It includes everything when it was new. Used
>for about 2 months. Mint condition from a non smoker. Lower 48 only please.
>

4637. Re: Canadian Scanner Source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 12:10:47 UTC
From: JJJ <oakvillecub@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <Vm8R8.58508$op.5758802@read2.cgocable.net>
Source record: 46843

try www.ontscan.com

Joseph Blanpain <SugarBroker@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:1962-3D14B083-171@storefull-2194.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Recently an address was given for a supplier in Canada where an inside
> back window antenna was available.Can someone be kind enough to post
> again supplier . I seem to have lost address.Thanks for our help.
>

4638. Re: Recomindation for an Air Band scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 12:48:48 UTC
From: swardfoto@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020623084848.27950.00000319@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 46754

To answer your question regarding which scanners can pick up aviation bands (both military and civil), I use a BC780XLT and a BC9000XLT. Both of these scanners can pick up both the mil and civil bands in AM.

I am currently trying to sell my BC9000XLT for $200 US, free shipping in US. If you would like, I could even program one of the banks with of the BOS freqs.

Good luck,

sward

4639. Re: Speech Inversion descrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 14:03:37 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <20020623100337858-0400@news.det.sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 46755

I don't think anyone uses this anymore...it wasn't secure at all. If
any scrambling is used at all, its digital.

Bill Crocker

In <20020623004106.07537.00000638@mb-mv.aol.com> CTPDS49 wrote:
> Speech Inversion is accomplished by mixing the speech spectrum
> (typically 300-3000 Hz) with a tone (commonly 3500 Hz) to produce some
> audio frequencies (3800-6500 Hz) then filtering to 3200-500 Hz
> constituting the speech inversion.
>
> members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html
>

4640. Re: Question:Illegal to sell scanner in USA ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 14:11:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <billcrocker@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <20020623101101532-0400@news.det.sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 46756

If it was un-blocked from the factory, or if it is capable of being un-blocked, but has not been modified, then it would be perfectly legal to sell to anyone in the U.S.

If it is capable of being un-blocked, and has been modified to receive Cellular telephones, then it "might" be a problem. The current laws say it is illegal to un-block a scanner. So if you're selling one that is un-blocked, and someone wanted to make an issue of it, they might be able to cause you some greif. But I think it would be very unlikely.

Bill Crocker

In <1R1R8.17262$uH2.5686@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> curiosly_strong wrote:
> Is it illegal to sell to a private party a used cell capable scanner
> in the USA ?
>
> Thank You
>
>
>
>
>

4641. police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 14:45:57 UTC
From: Rick <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <FaIR8.4985$U83.36985072@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 46757
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

HI all
I would like to know what you think about limited police communications being allowed to be monitored legally.

This group is set up to discuss weather it would be a good idea for limited police transmissions to be openly monitored.

E.G. A car is stolen, an obviations call goes out... would it not be better for a couple of hundred truckers on the roads to look out for it rather than ten or so police patrols ???

So not to clog up this group or annoy any un interested party's I have set up a group at http://groups.yahoo.com/group/policecoms/ for the sole purpose of this discussion.

RICK

--
http://www.wdcs.org.uk/ http://www.redwings.co.uk/default.asp
http://www.cats.org.uk/ http://www.bornfree.org.uk/
http://www.nspcc.org.uk/

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.370 / Virus Database: 205 - Release Date: 05/06/02

4642. Re: police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 14:50:27 UTC
From: Rick <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <TelR8.4996$8a3.37044415@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 46758
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

SORRY about the oblivious mistake

RICK

"Rick" <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in message
news:FalR8.4985$U83.36985072@news-text.cableinet.net...
> HI all
> I would like to know what you think about limited police communications
> being allowed to be monitored legally.
>
> This group is set up to discuss weather it would be a good idea for
limited
> police transmissions to be openly monitored.
>
> E.G. A car is stolen, an obviations call goes out... would it not be
better
> for a couple of hundred truckers on the roads to look out for it rather
than
> ten or so police patrols ???
>
> So not to clog up this group or annoy any un interested party's I have set
> up a group at http://groups.yahoo.com/group/policecoms/ for the sole
> purpose of this discussion.
>
> RICK
>
> --
> http://www.wdcs.org.uk/ http://www.redwings.co.uk/default.asp
> http://www.cats.org.uk/ http://www.bornfree.org.uk/
> http://www.nspcc.org.uk/
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.370 / Virus Database: 205 - Release Date: 05/06/02
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).

Version: 6.0.370 / Virus Database: 205 - Release Date: 05/06/02

4643. Re: What trunking system used in my area? (San Marcos, Texas)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 15:45:15 UTC
From: Scott Berringer <scanman@scanland.org>
Message-ID: <Xns923677B48C578scanmanscanlandorg@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 46759

The LCRA uses a 900 MHz EDACS Wide-Area system.

You can find information out about this system and others at
http://www.trunkedradio.net

"nokiatech" <nokiatek@cofs.net> wrote in news:3d15d505$1@news.:

> Not sure of you answer, but why not buy a scanner that does both? I
> know my 93 has this capability
> "jeff" <equinox@thegame.com> wrote in message
> news:220620021809311131%equinox@thegame.com...
>> I live in Hays county, town of San Marcos, Texas. I know for certain
>> that the cops in my area are on a trunked system that is part of the
>> LCRA (Lower Colorado River Authority) radio system, while most other
>> San Marcos municipal radio usuing agencies (fire, ambulance, etc.)
>> are not. Thus, what kind of system the LCRA uses (EDACS, Motorola??)
>> makes all the difference in the world as to what kind of scanner I'll
>> have to buy. I'd rather not invest heavily in a new frequency guide
>> or onlline freq service until I know if I'll even be using a scanner
>> in this area. So could someone please look in their "police call"
>> book or wherever they may have such info and let me know if they use
>> GE/EDACS or Motorola?
>>
>> I do not need the actual frequiencies or other data.
>>
>> Thanks, any help appreciated.
>>
>> Jeff
>>
>> --
>
>
>

4644. FS: Police Call Frequency Guide CD Second Ed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 15:47:00 UTC
From: arcieri@ureach.com
Message-ID: <923671000arcierieticommnet@204.213.252.15>
Source record: 46760
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

Police Call Frequency Guide CD Second Ed ...

Gene Hughes' POLICE CALL CD-ROM, Second Edition, (c) 2000, Frequency Guide, Trunking Guide, 500,000 Frequencies, 17,000 Radio Codes, 10,000 Trunking ID's, ALL VOLUMES on ONE DISK. This CD is used but in very good condition ... if you have any questions feel free to email me.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1361939351

4645. Re: Speech Inversion descrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 15:50:39 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uhbrmrcsouglea@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 46761

They use it here... Small, extremely corrupt, city in Michigan...

PH

"Bill Crocker" <billcrocker@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:20020623100337858-0400@news.det.sbcglobal.net...
> I don't think anyone uses this anymore...it wasn't secure at all. If
> any scrambling is used at all, its digital.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> In <20020623004106.07537.00000638@mb-mv.aol.com> CTPDS49 wrote:
> > Speech Inversion is accomplished by mixing the speech spectrum
> > (typically 300-3000 Hz) with a tone (commonly 3500 Hz) to produce some
> > audio frequencies (3800-6500 Hz) then filtering to 3200-500 Hz
> > constituting the speech inversion.
> >
> > members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html
> >

4646. Scanner Mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 16:12:23 UTC
From: Nick Casagrande <nickcasa@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d15f367$1_3@nopics.sjc>
Source record: 46762

Anybody have a website where I can get scanner mods? Particuarly for a RadioShack Pro94? Thanks y'all

4647. Marine Freqs - BC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 16:13:01 UTC
From: Pete Ritter <cpritter@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <hsmR8.123735$R61.42392@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 46763
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone have a good list of marine freqs for the coast of BC? Especially between VI and the mainland and the "inside passage" Port Hardy - Prince Rupert and the ferries that ply those waters?

Thanks.

--
*
* This Space For Hire
*

4648. Re: police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 16:33:14 UTC
From: rickets@knac.com
Message-ID: <3d15ea26.9404613@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 46764
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

We pay for the police. We should be able to monitor their routine
communications.

On Sun, 23 Jun 2002 14:45:57 GMT, "Rick" <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk>
wrote:

>HI all
>I would like to know what you think about limited police communications
>being allowed to be monitored legally.
>
>This group is set up to discuss weather it would be a good idea for limited
>police transmissions to be openly monitored.
>
>E.G. A car is stolen, an obviations call goes out... would it not be better
>for a couple of hundred truckers on the roads to look out for it rather than
>ten or so police patrols ???
>
>So not to clog up this group or annoy any un interested party's I have set
>up a group at http://groups.yahoo.com/group/policecoms/ for the sole
>purpose of this discussion.
>
>RICK
>
>--
>http://www.wdcs.org.uk/ http://www.redwings.co.uk/default.asp
>http://www.cats.org.uk/ http://www.bornfree.org.uk/
>http://www.nspcc.org.uk/
>
>
>
>
>---
>Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
>Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
>Version: 6.0.370 / Virus Database: 205 - Release Date: 05/06/02
>
>

4649. Re: Cheap Air-band antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 16:57:28 UTC
From: Network Dude <netdude@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Y5nR8.1925$wD6.856231@news3.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 46765

FM Broadcast ~88-108mhz is BELOW the aircraft band of 110-137mhz. Phone (voice) communications in the aircraft band is between 118-136mhz 110-118 is for navigation.
Also, the aircraft band uses AM, so the FM amplifier most likely won't help.

The radio shack discone (20-043) is a wideband antenna that does pretty good in the aircraft band.

- Steve

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:aetuo5$a1j8d$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "." <anonymous@spamhole.com> wrote in message
> news:940a228f.0206200458.230305aa@posting.google.com...
> > Hello-
> >
> > I see that Radio Shack is selling their "High-Gain FM-Stereo Antenna",
> > part #15-2163, for $19.95. The catalog claims 120 mile reception.
>
> > My question, would this make a good cheapie-antenna for VHF aviation
> > listening, if mounted on my rooftop?
>
> I wouldn't see why not. The FM broadcast band is just above the aero band,
> so you won't have to worry much about tuning the antenna.
>
> However, if you're into building antennas, you can build one for next to
> nothing.
>
> Tom
>
>

4650. Re: Monitenna 5094A New In The Box Channel Master

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 16:58:17 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3D15FE29.4070004@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 46766

Never anonymous Bud wrote:

> Fresh from a command appearance on The View, "FleaBag" <fleabag@aol.com> moaned...
>
>
>>Super Hard to find Channel Master Monitenna 5094 Brand New In The Original
>>Box.
>>Buy Now for $100.00
>>Not giving this one away !!!! There are NO More !!
>>
>
>
> 3 days with no bids, getting kind of desperate??
>

Looks very simular to the AntennaWarehouse's Scantenna for $37.00. Waht
is special about the 5094?
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

4651. Re: police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 18:04:16 UTC
From: Rick <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <A4oR8.5255$SO3.38799614@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 46767
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

good point, make it on the group and see what the others say.

RICK
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/policecoms/

"Dave" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:3d15ea26.9404613@news.earthlink.net...
> We pay for the police. We should be able to monitor their routine
> communications.
>
> On Sun, 23 Jun 2002 14:45:57 GMT, "Rick" <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk>
> wrote:
>
> >HI all
> >I would like to know what you think about limited police communications
> >being allowed to be monitored legally.
> >
> >This group is set up to discuss weather it would be a good idea for
limited
> >police transmissions to be openly monitored.
> >
> >E.G. A car is stolen, an obviations call goes out... would it not be
better
> >for a couple of hundred truckers on the roads to look out for it rather
than
> >ten or so police patrols ???
> >
> >So not to clog up this group or annoy any un interested party's I have
set
> >up a group at http://groups.yahoo.com/group/policecoms/ for the sole
> >purpose of this discussion.
> >
> >RICK
> >
> >--
> >http://www.wdcs.org.uk/ http://www.redwings.co.uk/default.asp
> >http://www.cats.org.uk/ http://www.bornfree.org.uk/
> >http://www.nspcc.org.uk/
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >---
> >Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.

> >Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> >Version: 6.0.370 / Virus Database: 205 - Release Date: 05/06/02
> >
> >
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.370 / Virus Database: 205 - Release Date: 05/06/02

4652. Re: police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 18:06:11 UTC
From: Philip <plpb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <n6oR8.24046$Fv1.1381321@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 46768
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I would rather be paying for the increased police effectiveness of
maintaining stealth and the advantage of surprise. Why risk tipping off the
crooks?

For example, if I was being held hostage/kidnap for ransom I would not want
my captors to be able to monitor Police or SWAT commo adn put a gun tomy
head as SWAT was about to execute an entry.

Another example is consensually monitored (wired), undercover ops. In many
situations it would be very dangerous for the undercover agent if bad guys
to be able to monitor undercover ops.

--
pb
"Dave" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:3d15ea26.9404613@news.earthlink.net...
> We pay for the police. We should be able to monitor their routine
> communications.
>
> On Sun, 23 Jun 2002 14:45:57 GMT, "Rick" <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk>
> wrote:
>
> >HI all
> >I would like to know what you think about limited police communications
> >being allowed to be monitored legally.
> >
> >This group is set up to discuss weather it would be a good idea for
limited
> >police transmissions to be openly monitored.
> >
> >E.G. A car is stolen, an obviations call goes out... would it not be
better
> >for a couple of hundred truckers on the roads to look out for it rather
than
> >ten or so police patrols ???
> >
> >So not to clog up this group or annoy any un interested party's I have
set
> >up a group at http://groups.yahoo.com/group/policecoms/ for the sole
> >purpose of this discussion.
> >
> >RICK
> >
> >--

> >http://www.wdcs.org.uk/ http://www.redwings.co.uk/default.asp
> >http://www.cats.org.uk/ http://www.bornfree.org.uk/
> >http://www.nspcc.org.uk/
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >---
> >Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> >Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> >Version: 6.0.370 / Virus Database: 205 - Release Date: 05/06/02
> >
> >
>
>

4653. Re: police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 18:07:19 UTC
From: Rick <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <r7oR8.5259$MM3.38714398@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 46769
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

good point, make it on the group and see what the others say.

RICK
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/policecoms/

"Philip" <plpb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:n6oR8.24046$Fv1.1381321@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I would rather be paying for the increased police effectiveness of
> maintaining stealth and the advantage of surprise. Why risk tipping off
the
> crooks?
>
> For example, if I was being held hostage/kidnap for ransom I would not
want
> my captors to be able to monitor Police or SWAT commo adn put a gun tomy
> head as SWAT was about to execute an entry.
>
> Another example is consensually monitored (wired), undercover ops. In many
> situations it would be very dangerous for the undercover agent if bad guys
> to be able to monitor undercover ops.
>
> --
> pb
> "Dave" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> news:3d15ea26.9404613@news.earthlink.net...
> > We pay for the police. We should be able to monitor their routine
> > communications.
> >
> > On Sun, 23 Jun 2002 14:45:57 GMT, "Rick" <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >HI all
> > >I would like to know what you think about limited police communications
> > >being allowed to be monitored legally.
> > >
> > >This group is set up to discuss weather it would be a good idea for
> limited
> > >police transmissions to be openly monitored.
> > >
> > >E.G. A car is stolen, an obviations call goes out... would it not be
> better
> > >for a couple of hundred truckers on the roads to look out for it rather
> than
> > >ten or so police patrols ???

> > >
> > >So not to clog up this group or annoy any un interested party's I have
> set
> > >up a group at http://groups.yahoo.com/group/policecoms/ for the sole
> > >purpose of this discussion.
> > >
> > >RICK
> > >
> > >--
> > >http://www.wdcs.org.uk/ http://www.redwings.co.uk/default.asp
> > >http://www.cats.org.uk/ http://www.bornfree.org.uk/
> > >http://www.nspcc.org.uk/
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >---
> > >Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > >Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > >Version: 6.0.370 / Virus Database: 205 - Release Date: 05/06/02
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.370 / Virus Database: 205 - Release Date: 05/06/02

4654. Re: police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 18:08:43 UTC
From: Rick <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <L8oR8.5261$MM3.38714398@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 46770
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Can I remind you all I am only talking about things such as stolen cars...
Nothing else.
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/policecoms/

RICK

"Philip" <plpb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:n6oR8.24046$Fv1.1381321@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I would rather be paying for the increased police effectiveness of
> maintaining stealth and the advantage of surprise. Why risk tipping off
the
> crooks?
>
> For example, if I was being held hostage/kidnap for ransom I would not
want
> my captors to be able to monitor Police or SWAT commo adn put a gun tomy
> head as SWAT was about to execute an entry.
>
> Another example is consensually monitored (wired), undercover ops. In many
> situations it would be very dangerous for the undercover agent if bad guys
> to be able to monitor undercover ops.
>
> --
> pb
> "Dave" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> news:3d15ea26.9404613@news.earthlink.net...
> > We pay for the police. We should be able to monitor their routine
> > communications.
> >
> > On Sun, 23 Jun 2002 14:45:57 GMT, "Rick" <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >HI all
> > >I would like to know what you think about limited police communications
> > >being allowed to be monitored legally.
> > >
> > >This group is set up to discuss weather it would be a good idea for
> limited
> > >police transmissions to be openly monitored.
> > >
> > >E.G. A car is stolen, an obviations call goes out... would it not be
> better
> > >for a couple of hundred truckers on the roads to look out for it rather
> than

> > >ten or so police patrols ???
> > >
> > >So not to clog up this group or annoy any un interested party's I have
> set
> > >up a group at http://groups.yahoo.com/group/policecoms/ for the sole
> > >purpose of this discussion.
> > >
> > >RICK
> > >
> > >--
> > >http://www.wdcs.org.uk/ http://www.redwings.co.uk/default.asp
> > >http://www.cats.org.uk/ http://www.bornfree.org.uk/
> > >http://www.nspcc.org.uk/
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >---
> > >Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > >Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > >Version: 6.0.370 / Virus Database: 205 - Release Date: 05/06/02
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.370 / Virus Database: 205 - Release Date: 05/06/02

4655. Re: police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 18:46:49 UTC
From: rickets@knac.com
Message-ID: <3d1608e5.17276154@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 46771
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Those are not "routine" communications.

Referring to a black neighborhood as "gorillas in the mist" was a
routine communication.

Cops cannot operate in a vacuum.

On Sun, 23 Jun 2002 18:06:11 GMT, "Philip" <plpb@earthlink.net> wrote:

>I would rather be paying for the increased police effectiveness of
>maintaining stealth and the advantage of surprise. Why risk tipping off the
>crooks?
>
>For example, if I was being held hostage/kidnap for ransom I would not want
>my captors to be able to monitor Police or SWAT commo adn put a gun tomy
>head as SWAT was about to execute an entry.
>
>Another example is consensually monitored (wired), undercover ops. In many
>situations it would be very dangerous for the undercover agent if bad guys
>to be able to monitor undercover ops.
>
>--
>pb
>"Dave" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>news:3d15ea26.9404613@news.earthlink.net...
>> We pay for the police. We should be able to monitor their routine
>> communications.
>>

4656. Wanted: Icom PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 18:55:28 UTC
From: G24017 <G24017@att.net>
Message-ID: <AQoR8.50450$LC3.3899158@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 46772

Hello: -- I am looking for a PCR-1000 -- should be in pretty good condition -- cell issue not important ...

Geoff

4657. Re: Question:Illegal to sell scanner in USA ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 19:24:44 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <0gpR8.40279$vo2.1825967@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 46773

I don't know about the US but a case a few years ago in Canada got Burnaby Radio Commutations in hot water. They were selling un-blocked Ham transceivers to the fishing boats. After 2 were found on the police band the store got nailed with a $500.00 fine but is still in operation they will tell you how to un-block the radio yourself and let you do it. They also sell 11 meter amps and un-blocked scanners, (un-blocked scanners are not illegal in Canada).

"Bill Crocker" <billcrocker@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:20020623101101532-0400@news.det.sbcglobal.net...
> If it was un-blocked from the factory, or if it is capable of being un-
> blocked, but has not been modified, then it would be perfectly legal to
> sell to anyone in the U.S.
>
> If it is capable of being un-blocked, and has been modified to receive
> Cellular telephones, then it "might" be a problem. The current laws say
> it is illegal to un-block a scanner. So if you're selling one that is
> un-blocked, and someone wanted to make an issue of it, they might be
> able to cause you some greif. But I think it would be very unlikely.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
> In <1R1R8.17262$uH2.5686@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> curiosly_
> strong wrote:
> > Is it illegal to sell to a private party a used cell capable scanner
> > in the USA ?
> >
> > Thank You
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

4658. Re: police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 19:59:15 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <nMpR8.40430$vo2.1827590@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 46774
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

A case in Vancouver BC Canada a few years ago led to cops getting killed because of a scanner in the wrong hands. The tactical teem was about to force entry and when they did they were shot in the heads. The bad guy knew when they were coming in and ware. He also knew that they were using body armor so he did head shots and took a few out. He was listening on the scanner. Take a look at the movie The Fast and the Furious and see what a scanner can be used for in street racing. There is also the chance of vigilantism and the trucker or someone listening may try to stop the bad guy themselves and put their life or other innocent lives in danger. If the police want to tell us they can phone the radio station and put out a broadcast that way. I have seen cases of shootings and home invasions ware the news media listening on a scanner arrive before the police and pull up in front of the house to get the best view. Look at what happened in Waco Texas with the media tipping off the people in the compound. Many car thieves here use scanners to rip off cars and out maneuver police by knowing ware the cops are setting up road blocks or if a neighbor has seen them. I use to use my scanner when I drove Taxi to shoot around police road blocks and it works very well. Time for me to get off my soap box now. Happy Scanning

"Philip" <plpb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:n6oR8.24046$Fv1.1381321@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I would rather be paying for the increased police effectiveness of
> maintaining stealth and the advantage of surprise. Why risk tipping off
the
> crooks?
>
> For example, if I was being held hostage/kidnap for ransom I would not
want
> my captors to be able to monitor Police or SWAT commo adn put a gun tomy
> head as SWAT was about to execute an entry.
>
> Another example is consensually monitored (wired), undercover ops. In many
> situations it would be very dangerous for the undercover agent if bad guys
> to be able to monitor undercover ops.
>
> --
> pb
> "Dave" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> news:3d15ea26.9404613@news.earthlink.net...
> > We pay for the police. We should be able to monitor their routine
> > communications.
> >

> > On Sun, 23 Jun 2002 14:45:57 GMT, "Rick" <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >HI all
> > >I would like to know what you think about limited police communications
> > >being allowed to be monitored legally.
> > >
> > >This group is set up to discuss weather it would be a good idea for
> limited
> > >police transmissions to be openly monitored.
> > >
> > >E.G. A car is stolen, an obviations call goes out... would it not be
> better
> > >for a couple of hundred truckers on the roads to look out for it rather
> than
> > >ten or so police patrols ???
> > >
> > >So not to clog up this group or annoy any un interested party's I have
> set
> > >up a group at http://groups.yahoo.com/group/policecoms/ for the sole
> > >purpose of this discussion.
> > >
> > >RICK
> > >
> > >--
> > >http://www.wdcs.org.uk/ http://www.redwings.co.uk/default.asp
> > >http://www.cats.org.uk/ http://www.bornfree.org.uk/
> > >http://www.nspcc.org.uk/
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >---
> > >Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > >Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > >Version: 6.0.370 / Virus Database: 205 - Release Date: 05/06/02
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

4659. anyone boadcasting the stuff from eastern arizona

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 20:01:29 UTC
From: fishsmell <wb6shi@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v8achusgudmcahc333e5caooce1domea9h@4ax.com>
Source record: 46775

anyone broadcasting the radio traffic from the fire in eastern
arizona?

4660. Re: police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 20:12:15 UTC
From: proma1 <proma1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <c1qR8.1664$um.170030@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 46780
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

in the UK police do not use roadblocks.

anything we use can be used by someone for criminal purposes.

why not ban hammers,someone may attack someone one day,or cars armed robbers use them to get away from the police.

but at the end of the day the situation in the UK will not change apart form the digital and secure Tetra.

scanning has been generally tolerated by the authorities for everal years now,most prosecutions have involved the scanner operator being involved in some other crime.

many police controllers have in fact been helped by scanner operators phoning in and helping and that is the right way to do it,no need for groups do go around doing it,just if you hear a report and you are in a position to help with location of an offender then do it,you do not have to say how you know what has happened or indeed give your details

regards

--

Paul Wey[PROMA1]

moderator of following scanning groups

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promaofftopic

for PROMA PMR database and mil and civil airband,and much more click below

www.scanuk.net

"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
news:nMpR8.40430$vo2.1827590@news2.telusplanet.net...
> A case in Vancouver BC Canada a few years ago led to cops getting killed
> because of a scanner in the wrong hands. The tactical teem was about to
> force entry and when they did they were shot in the heads. The bad guy
knew
> when they were coming in and ware. He also knew that they were using body

> armor so he did head shots and took a few out. He was listening on the
> scanner. Take a look at the movie The Fast and the Furious and see what a
> scanner can be used for in street racing. There is also the chance of
> vigilantism and the trucker or someone listening may try to stop the bad
guy
> themselves and put their life or other innocent lives in danger. If the
> police want to tell us they can phone the radio station and put out a
> broadcast that way. I have seen cases of shootings and home invasions ware
> the news media listening on a scanner arrive before the police and pull up
> in front of the house to get the best view. Look at what happened in Waco
> Texas with the media tipping off the people in the compound. Many car
> thieves here use scanners to rip off cars and out maneuver police by
knowing
> ware the cops are setting up road blocks or if a neighbor has seen them. I
> use to use my scanner when I drove Taxi to shoot around police road blocks
> and it works very well. Time for me to get off my soap box now. Happy
> Scanning
>
> "Philip" <plpb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:n6oR8.24046$Fv1.1381321@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > I would rather be paying for the increased police effectiveness of
> > maintaining stealth and the advantage of surprise. Why risk tipping off
> the
> > crooks?
> >
> > For example, if I was being held hostage/kidnap for ransom I would not
> want
> > my captors to be able to monitor Police or SWAT commo adn put a gun tomy
> > head as SWAT was about to execute an entry.
> >
> > Another example is consensually monitored (wired), undercover ops. In
many
> > situations it would be very dangerous for the undercover agent if bad
guys
> > to be able to monitor undercover ops.
> >
> > --
> > pb
> > "Dave" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> > news:3d15ea26.9404613@news.earthlink.net...
> > > We pay for the police. We should be able to monitor their routine
> > > communications.
> > >
> > > On Sun, 23 Jun 2002 14:45:57 GMT, "Rick" <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk>
> > > wrote:
> > >
> > > >HI all
> > > >I would like to know what you think about limited police
communications
> > > >being allowed to be monitored legally.

> > > >
> > > >This group is set up to discuss weather it would be a good idea for
> > limited
> > > >police transmissions to be openly monitored.
> > > >
> > > >E.G. A car is stolen, an obviations call goes out... would it not be
> > better
> > > >for a couple of hundred truckers on the roads to look out for it
rather
> > than
> > > >ten or so police patrols ???
> > > >
> > > >So not to clog up this group or annoy any un interested party's I
have
> > set
> > > >up a group at http://groups.yahoo.com/group/policecoms/ for the sole
> > > >purpose of this discussion.
> > > >
> > > >RICK
> > > >
> > > >--
> > > >http://www.wdcs.org.uk/ http://www.redwings.co.uk/default.asp
> > > >http://www.cats.org.uk/ http://www.bornfree.org.uk/
> > > >http://www.nspcc.org.uk/
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >---
> > > >Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > > >Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > > >Version: 6.0.370 / Virus Database: 205 - Release Date: 05/06/02
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

4661. BC 147 XLT REPAIR INFO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 20:29:32 UTC
From: Lou <tech@dp.net>
Message-ID: <af5dkj$2bte$1@news.dp.net>
Source record: 46776

UNIDEN BC 147XLT

Last time.....ANYONE have a schematic or more preferably, the repair manual I can obtain a copy of? I've got a problem with one here. Need to try to get it repaired by this coming weekend or at least know that the info is available so I can stretch it a week.

IF you have said information in your midst, please e-mail me direct. Thanks!

Lou/Tech@DP.NET

4662. Re: police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 21:11:30 UTC
From: Rick <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <6QqR8.5483$Qv4.40839239@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 46777
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

ever get the feeling things are getting blown out of proportion and people
are missing the point ???

RICK

"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
news:nMpR8.40430$vo2.1827590@news2.telusplanet.net...
> A case in Vancouver BC Canada a few years ago led to cops getting killed
> because of a scanner in the wrong hands. The tactical teem was about to
> force entry and when they did they were shot in the heads. The bad guy
knew
> when they were coming in and ware. He also knew that they were using body
> armor so he did head shots and took a few out. He was listening on the
> scanner. Take a look at the movie The Fast and the Furious and see what a
> scanner can be used for in street racing. There is also the chance of
> vigilantism and the trucker or someone listening may try to stop the bad
guy
> themselves and put their life or other innocent lives in danger. If the
> police want to tell us they can phone the radio station and put out a
> broadcast that way. I have seen cases of shootings and home invasions ware
> the news media listening on a scanner arrive before the police and pull up
> in front of the house to get the best view. Look at what happened in Waco
> Texas with the media tipping off the people in the compound. Many car
> thieves here use scanners to rip off cars and out maneuver police by
knowing
> ware the cops are setting up road blocks or if a neighbor has seen them. I
> use to use my scanner when I drove Taxi to shoot around police road blocks
> and it works very well. Time for me to get off my soap box now. Happy
> Scanning
>
> "Philip" <plpb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:n6oR8.24046$Fv1.1381321@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > I would rather be paying for the increased police effectiveness of
> > maintaining stealth and the advantage of surprise. Why risk tipping off
> the
> > crooks?
> >
> > For example, if I was being held hostage/kidnap for ransom I would not
> want
> > my captors to be able to monitor Police or SWAT commo adn put a gun tomy
> > head as SWAT was about to execute an entry.
> >
> > Another example is consensually monitored (wired), undercover ops. In
many

> > situations it would be very dangerous for the undercover agent if bad
guys
> > to be able to monitor undercover ops.
> >
> > --
> > pb
> > "Dave" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> > news:3d15ea26.9404613@news.earthlink.net...
> > > We pay for the police. We should be able to monitor their routine
> > > communications.
> > >
> > > On Sun, 23 Jun 2002 14:45:57 GMT, "Rick" <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk>
> > > wrote:
> > >
> > > >HI all
> > > >I would like to know what you think about limited police
communications
> > > >being allowed to be monitored legally.
> > > >
> > > >This group is set up to discuss weather it would be a good idea for
> > limited
> > > >police transmissions to be openly monitored.
> > > >
> > > >E.G. A car is stolen, an obviations call goes out... would it not be
> > better
> > > >for a couple of hundred truckers on the roads to look out for it
rather
> > than
> > > >ten or so police patrols ???
> > > >
> > > >So not to clog up this group or annoy any un interested party's I
have
> > set
> > > >up a group at http://groups.yahoo.com/group/policecoms/ for the sole
> > > >purpose of this discussion.
> > > >
> > > >RICK
> > > >
> > > >--
> > > >http://www.wdcs.org.uk/ http://www.redwings.co.uk/default.asp
> > > >http://www.cats.org.uk/ http://www.bornfree.org.uk/
> > > >http://www.nspcc.org.uk/
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >---
> > > >Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > > >Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > > >Version: 6.0.370 / Virus Database: 205 - Release Date: 05/06/02

> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.370 / Virus Database: 205 - Release Date: 05/06/02

4663. Re: police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 21:56:35 UTC
From: rickets@knac.com
Message-ID: <3d163601.28825570@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 46778
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The only point I get is that SWAT should use a scrambler.

On Sun, 23 Jun 2002 21:11:30 GMT, "Rick" <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk>
wrote:

>ever get the feeling things are getting blown out of proportion and people
>are missing the point ???
>
>RICK
>
>"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
>news:nMpR8.40430$vo2.1827590@news2.telusplanet.net...
>> A case in Vancouver BC Canada a few years ago led to cops getting killed
>> because of a scanner in the wrong hands. The tactical teem was about to
>> force entry and when they did they were shot in the heads. The bad guy
>knew
>> when they were coming in and ware. He also knew that they were using body
>> armor so he did head shots and took a few out. He was listening on the
>> scanner. Take a look at the movie The Fast and the Furious and see what a
>> scanner can be used for in street racing. There is also the chance of
>> vigilantism and the trucker or someone listening may try to stop the bad
>guy
>> themselves and put their life or other innocent lives in danger. If the
>> police want to tell us they can phone the radio station and put out a
>> broadcast that way. I have seen cases of shootings and home invasions ware
>> the news media listening on a scanner arrive before the police and pull up
>> in front of the house to get the best view. Look at what happened in Waco
>> Texas with the media tipping off the people in the compound. Many car
>> thieves here use scanners to rip off cars and out maneuver police by
>knowing
>> ware the cops are setting up road blocks or if a neighbor has seen them. I
>> use to use my scanner when I drove Taxi to shoot around police road blocks
>> and it works very well. Time for me to get off my soap box now. Happy
>> Scanning
>>
>> "Philip" <plpb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:n6oR8.24046$Fv1.1381321@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>> > I would rather be paying for the increased police effectiveness of
>> > maintaining stealth and the advantage of surprise. Why risk tipping off
>> the
>> > crooks?
>> >
>> > For example, if I was being held hostage/kidnap for ransom I would not
>> want

>> > my captors to be able to monitor Police or SWAT commo adn put a gun tomy
>> > head as SWAT was about to execute an entry.
>> >
>> > Another example is consensually monitored (wired), undercover ops. In
>many
>> > situations it would be very dangerous for the undercover agent if bad
>guys
>> > to be able to monitor undercover ops.
>> >
>> > --
>> > pb
>> > "Dave" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>> > news:3d15ea26.9404613@news.earthlink.net...
>> > > We pay for the police. We should be able to monitor their routine
>> > > communications.
>> > >
>> > > On Sun, 23 Jun 2002 14:45:57 GMT, "Rick" <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk>
>> > > wrote:
>> > >
>> > > >HI all
>> > > >I would like to know what you think about limited police
>communications
>> > > >being allowed to be monitored legally.
>> > > >
>> > > >This group is set up to discuss weather it would be a good idea for
>> > limited
>> > > >police transmissions to be openly monitored.
>> > > >
>> > > >E.G. A car is stolen, an obviations call goes out... would it not be
>> > better
>> > > >for a couple of hundred truckers on the roads to look out for it
>rather
>> > than
>> > > >ten or so police patrols ???
>> > > >
>> > > >So not to clog up this group or annoy any un interested party's I
>have
>> > set
>> > > >up a group at http://groups.yahoo.com/group/policecoms/ for the sole
>> > > >purpose of this discussion.
>> > > >
>> > > >RICK
>> > > >
>> > > >--
>> > > >http://www.wdcs.org.uk/ http://www.redwings.co.uk/default.asp
>> > > >http://www.cats.org.uk/ http://www.bornfree.org.uk/
>> > > >http://www.nspcc.org.uk/
>> > > >
>> > > >
>> > > >

>> > > >
>> > > >---
>> > > >Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
>> > > >Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
>> > > >Version: 6.0.370 / Virus Database: 205 - Release Date: 05/06/02
>> > > >
>> > > >
>> > >
>> > >
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>
>---
>Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
>Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
>Version: 6.0.370 / Virus Database: 205 - Release Date: 05/06/02
>
>

4664. Re: Seems ok for the Canadian govt to use jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-23 23:50:14 UTC
From: Eddie <Eddie@DummyEmail.for-spammers.gov>
Message-ID: <3d165ebb$0$21005$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 46779
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

I would be interested if getting feedback from people local to these areas,
as to the extent -freq bandwidth, power, modes affected; ie GPS 2M, police
freqs, or just cellphones.
If the emergency / public services freqs are affected and the jamming
contributed to an "event", who would be sued by the affected parties?

http://www.theglobeandmail.com/servlet/ArticleNews/printarticle/gam/20020621/UJAMMNSB2

4665. Re: police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 00:04:51 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dim.com>
Message-ID: <bgochuk697hmcl1lup2hpgtrbdkhh7vji9@4ax.com>
Source record: 46791
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Rick" <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

> good point, make it on the group and see what the others say.
>
> RICK
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/policecoms/

I might suggest that Phillip have a look at this, as well:

http://mrtmag.com/ar/radio_scanner_users_vs/index.htm

...seems he's an admirer of the iron-fist "rule of law" we
seem to be getting closer and closer to... guilty until
proven innocent...

>
> "Philip" <plpb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:n6oR8.24046$Fv1.1381321@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > I would rather be paying for the increased police effectiveness of
> > maintaining stealth and the advantage of surprise. Why risk tipping off
> the
> > crooks?
> >
> > For example, if I was being held hostage/kidnap for ransom I would not
> want
> > my captors to be able to monitor Police or SWAT commo adn put a gun tomy
> > head as SWAT was about to execute an entry.
> >
> > Another example is consensually monitored (wired), undercover ops. In many
> > situations it would be very dangerous for the undercover agent if bad guys
> > to be able to monitor undercover ops.
> >
> > --
> > pb
> > "Dave" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
> > news:3d15ea26.9404613@news.earthlink.net...
> > > We pay for the police. We should be able to monitor their routine
> > > communications.
> > >
> > > On Sun, 23 Jun 2002 14:45:57 GMT, "Rick" <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk>
> > > wrote:
> > >
> > > >HI all
> > > >I would like to know what you think about limited police communications
> > > >being allowed to be monitored legally.

> > > >
> > > >This group is set up to discuss weather it would be a good idea for
> > limited
> > > >police transmissions to be openly monitored.
> > > >
> > > >E.G. A car is stolen, an obviations call goes out... would it not be
> > better
> > > >for a couple of hundred truckers on the roads to look out for it rather
> > than
> > > >ten or so police patrols ???
> > > >
> > > >So not to clog up this group or annoy any un interested party's I have
> > set
> > > >up a group at http://groups.yahoo.com/group/policecoms/ for the sole
> > > >purpose of this discussion.
> > > >
> > > >RICK
> > > >
> > > >--
> > > >http://www.wdcs.org.uk/ http://www.redwings.co.uk/default.asp
> > > >http://www.cats.org.uk/ http://www.bornfree.org.uk/
> > > >http://www.nspcc.org.uk/
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >---
> > > >Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > > >Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > > >Version: 6.0.370 / Virus Database: 205 - Release Date: 05/06/02
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.370 / Virus Database: 205 - Release Date: 05/06/02
>

--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@dim.com
"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

4666. Scanning While Camping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 01:24:04 UTC
From: DP <jettaway21@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <UwuR8.29034$Z6.337710@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 46781

Hi Folks,

I have an antenna question for the experts.

My wife and I do a lot camping in our pop-up trailer (hard top tent trailer) and I always bring along my Icom R2 to pass the time. It still has the stock ducky antenna and it works quite well for the most part. I have been tossing around the idea of buying a base antenna (such as a discone) and setting it up on the roof of my camper when I arrive at the campground. Most of my scanning is in the aero frequencies and some of the trunked 800mHz frequencies, but a general purpose antenna would be best.

I have never owned a base antenna and was wondering if it is feasible to transport/setup/takedown these types of antennas? They look quite fragile.

Any advise would be greatly appreciated. Thanks

Darryl

4667. FA: AOR 8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 01:40:41 UTC
From: Network Dude <netdude@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <tMuR8.80$Un3.77469@news3.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 46782

AOR 8000 , No coverage gaps. Case, manual, power supply.
Custom jack for scout reaction tuning, and scout cable.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1362199223

4668. Re: police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 02:04:29 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam-cox.net>
Message-ID: <j8vchu83fdekd4j3k9q0u5e7b39t553rvs@4ax.com>
Source record: 46783

On Sun, 23 Jun 2002 21:11:30 GMT, "Rick" <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk>
wrote:

>ever get the feeling things are getting blown out of proportion and people
>are missing the point ???

Not by usenet standards. :-)

4669. Re: Scanning While Camping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 02:11:37 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3D167FD9.9777A77B@mitre.org>
Source record: 46784

Just strip back about 25 inchs of CO-AX cable and leave to outer brad hanging down to make a Di pole. Then pull this up into a tree with string. Or get a plastic PVC pipe about 10 to 20 feet or wooden pole as a mast to hold up the CO-AX if your camping on flat, open country with out trees nearby. The R-2 will work ALL BANDS! You can do the same with the discone, and the mast lashed to the side of your camper.
Jim

DP wrote:

> Hi Folks,
>
> I have an antenna question for the experts.
>
> My wife and I do a lot camping in our pop-up trailer (hard top tent trailer)
> and I always bring along my Icom R2 to pass the time. It still has the
> stock ducky antenna and it works quite well for the most part. I have been
> tossing around the idea of buying a base antenna (such as a discone) and
> setting it up on the roof of my camper when I arrive at the campground.
> Most of my scanning is in the aero frequencies and some of the trunked
> 800mHz frequencies, but a general purpose antenna would be best.
>
> I have never owned a base antenna and was wondering if it is feasible to
> transport/setup/takedown these types of antennas? They look quite fragile.
>
> Any advise would be greatly appreciated. Thanks
>
> Darryl

4670. Re: police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 02:21:59 UTC
From: Bob the Printer <bdolson@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <bnvR8.105362$_j6.5330255@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 46785
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Rick" <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in message
news:FalR8.4985$U83.36985072@news-text.cableinet.net...
> HI all
> This group is set up to discuss weather it would be a good idea for
limited
> police transmissions to be openly monitored.

'Weather' ???? Do you mean 'whether' ?

And you have it spelled the same wrong way on the Yahoo site! What do we
have nowadays? A bunch of illiterates?

4671. Re: police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 02:23:50 UTC
From: Bob the Printer <bdolson@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <WovR8.412881$Oa1.29472668@bin8.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 46786
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Rick" <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in message
news:FalR8.4985$U83.36985072@news-text.cableinet.net...

> E.G. A car is stolen, an obviations call goes out... would it not be
better
> for a couple of hundred truckers on the roads to look out for it rather
than
> ten or so police patrols ???

WHAT the heck is an 'obviations' call????? I gotta give you some credit for
consistency though, since you have the same thing on the web site..

4672. Re: F/S PRO 2053 SCANNER Like new in box SOLD SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 02:26:13 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9rvR8.14542$PW.11327@news2.central.cox.net>
Source record: 46787
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

This scanner is sold
"Bruce" <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:5t8R8.12327$PW.4347@news2.central.cox.net...
> Selling a like new Pro 2053 for $130 including shipping UPS ground. I
accept
> money orders or checks (clear). It includes everything when it was new.
Used
> for about 2 months. Mint condition from a non smoker. Lower 48 only
please.
>
>

4673. Re: police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 03:30:07 UTC
From: Garry <emergencymonitor@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <gRDR8.19976$Hj3.63142@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 46793
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I don't know if you guys are interested, but, if you want to have a quick listen to some police audio from Australia, we have some on our site.

The recordings are from Newcastle, New South Wales. They can be found at http://newcastlescan.tripod.com

just click on the "soundbytes" links to take you to the area

Garry

4674. Re: police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 03:36:22 UTC
From: R <none@none.com>
Message-ID: <3D1693B6.9851C246@none.com>
Source record: 46788
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Our tac teams here have currently UHF radios on scrambled frequencies.
When we switch to 800 trunk in a few months, they will not only have
scrambled frequencies, they will be digitally encrypted. Otherwise, the
normal day to day patrol talk groups are open to anyone who has a trunking
scanner, and the ID codes to block out the dog catcher, and the electric dept
LOL

WG wrote:

> A case in Vancouver BC Canada a few years ago led to cops getting killed
> because of a scanner in the wrong hands. The tactical teem was about to
> force entry and when they did they were shot in the heads. The bad guy knew
> when they were coming in and ware. He also knew that they were using body
> armor so he did head shots and took a few out. He was listening on the
> scanner.

4675. FA: PRO-2006 w/ warranty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 03:48:49 UTC
From: JohnL <xyz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <af64a2$blohv$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 46789

Hi all,

Just a reminder that the auction for my last PRO-2006 ends in just under
24 hours from now. I'm offering a warranty on this one since it's in such
nice shape. See the auction for details at:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1360940145

thanks!

--
John L
Note the email address used for posting is not valid.
Sent with PAN 0.11.94 running on Mandrake Linux 8.2

4676. PRO-2032 "In Stereo" (Yep)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 04:45:15 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <st8dhu03905pj4gbip0nfqchi236l94t58@4ax.com>
Source record: 46790

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1362133848

4677. Re: Scanner Mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 11:26:31 UTC
From: RayC <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz>
Message-ID: <DnDR8.1815$Io5.209970@news02.tsnz.net>
Source record: 46792

http://www.mods.dk

"Nick Casagrande" <nickcasa@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3d15f367$1_3@nopics.sjc...
> Anybody have a website where I can get scanner mods? Particuarly for a
> RadioShack Pro94? Thanks y'all
>
>

4678. Re: FA: PRO-2006 w/ warranty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 12:20:55 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <4j3ehuo5kv3kfi0dh9l013llnvmoabqhrn@4ax.com>
Source record: 46794

Fresh from a command appearance on The View, JohnL <xyz@hotmail.com> moaned...

>Hi all,
>
>Just a reminder that the auction for my last PRO-2006 ends in just under
>24 hours from now. I'm offering a warranty on this one since it's in such
>nice shape. See the auction for details at:

And if you miss on that one,
I have a 2006 that I just don't use enough,
so I'm willing to sell it.

Great condition, standard mods done, display light still working.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

4679. 780 display screen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 13:02:26 UTC
From: Randy <bearcat@astound.net>
Message-ID: <3D171862.7070107@astound.net>
Source record: 46795

I just bought a used 780 a week ago. Worked fine until yesterday. Cannot read the display screen. Just a bright yellow screen. Any suggestions on fixes for this or will it have to go back to uniden?
Thank
Randy

4680. Re: 780 display screen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 13:30:58 UTC
From: Greg <not@shown.here>
Message-ID: <qm7ehu4mnvlbgdvn5k0olnvreta8ish5hc@4ax.com>
Source record: 46796

On Mon, 24 Jun 2002 08:02:26 -0500, Randy <bearcat@astound.net> wrote:

>I just bought a used 780 a week ago. Worked fine until yesterday. Cannot
>read the display screen. Just a bright yellow screen. Any suggestions on
>fixes for this or will it have to go back to uniden?
>Thank
>Randy

You might want to pop the cover off and check to see that the ribbon
connectors are attached to the screen ok

4681. Re: Scanning While Camping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 13:56:37 UTC
From: DP <jettaway21@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <pyFR8.4288$H67.22580@tor-nn1.netcom.ca>
Source record: 46697

Jim,

Many thanks for the suggestion on the antenna (the price looks great as well ;-).

If you don't mind, I have a couple of questions about this antenna. How did you come up with the 25" ? Is that length optimized for 800 mHz or ~125 mHz? Also, I was telling a friend about this and he suggested connecting a disk (coffee can bottom, or similar) to the braid. Do you have any thoughts on this?

Thanks again.

Darryl

"Jim Mac Donald" <jmacdon@mitre.org> wrote in message news:3D167FD9.9777A77B@mitre.org...
> Just strip back about 25 inchs of CO-AX cable and leave to outer brad hanging
> down to make a Di pole. Then pull this up into a tree with string. Or get a
> plastic PVC pipe about 10 to 20 feet or wooden pole as a mast to hold up the
> CO-AX if your camping on flat, open country with out trees nearby. The R-2
> will work ALL BANDS! You can do the same with the discone, and the mast lashed
> to the side of your camper.
> Jim
>
> DP wrote:
>
> > Hi Folks,
> >
> > I have an antenna question for the experts.
> >
> > My wife and I do a lot camping in our pop-up trailer (hard top tent trailer)
> > and I always bring along my Icom R2 to pass the time. It still has the
> > stock ducky antenna and it works quite well for the most part. I have been
> > tossing around the idea of buying a base antenna (such as a discone) and
> > setting it up on the roof of my camper when I arrive at the campground.
> > Most of my scanning is in the aero frequencies and some of the trunked
> > 800mHz frequencies, but a general purpose antenna would be best.
> >

> > I have never owned a base antenna and was wondering if it is feasible to
> > transport/setup/takedown these types of antennas? They look quite
fragile.
> >
> > Any advise would be greatly appreciated. Thanks
> >
> > Darryl
>

4682. Re: INFO NEEDED IN DASH SCANNER??? WHO MADE IT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 14:36:37 UTC
From: Jeff Singer <yvszn001@sneakemail.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1780f8808315c2c989688@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 46698

Shinwa SR-001

Long discontinued, but can occasionally be found on ebay.

Jeff Singer

> NEED MANFACTURE AND MODEL THAT MADE THE RADIO IN DASH SCANNER
>
> THANKS N0MNB
>
>
>

4683. Re: Thinking about a 2nd scanner for the car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 14:49:52 UTC
From: Jeff Singer <yvszn001@sneakemail.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1780fb761031fb8b989689@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 46699

[This followup was posted to rec.radio.scanner and a copy was sent to
the cited author.]

Hey Greg,

I use an AOR 8200 MKII handheld which is on a custom gooseneck stalk mount and hard-wired into the car. I also use a RS amplified speaker. The setup works great. I sometimes swap it out for my Yupi MVT-900 which has cell capability, depending on my mood.

The Yupi is much less suceptible to alternator whine (dead quiet, actually), while the AOR is more compact and somewhat easier to use in this application.

Also, I can drive the AOR with an Opto Scout, mounted right alongside.

Jeff Singer

> I am looking to get a new scanner to use in the car - tired of moving
> the ol bc780xlt between there and the house.
>
> Actually, I picked up a PRO-2067 this morning, but ended up taking it
> back - I wasn't real happy with it.
>
> I was sorta thinking of another 780, but its kind of big. I liked the
> small size of the 2067, but didnt care too much for the scanner
> itself. (Didn't like that i'd have to order a $70 package to program
> it with the computer, either)
>
> Anyway, what are you guys using in your cars? I'd like to find
> something maybe a little more compact than the 780....or....maybe I'll
> just move the 780 to the car and get a new base scanner. Hmmmm
> decisions decisions!
>

4684. Re: 780 display screen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 15:14:40 UTC
From: JT <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <AHGR8.307710$cQ3.16501@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 46700

Don't do this!!!!!! I did this when mine had the same problem, and it voided the warranty! I was told by a Uniden dealer that it was OK to open the case and check things out; he was wrong!. When I sent my unit back for repair (it wasn't the cables), they told me the unit had been "tampered with" and that I'd have to pay $56 to get it fixed.

I'd just send it to Uniden; just don't expect it back anytime soon (it took over a month and a half for me to get mine back).

JT
"Greg" <not@shown.here> wrote in message
news:qm7ehu4mnvlbgdvn5k0olnvreta8ish5hc@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 24 Jun 2002 08:02:26 -0500, Randy <bearcat@astound.net> wrote:
>
> >I just bought a used 780 a week ago. Worked fine until yesterday. Cannot
> >read the display screen. Just a bright yellow screen. Any suggestions on
> >fixes for this or will it have to go back to uniden?
> >Thank
> >Randy
>
>
> You might want to pop the cover off and check to see that the ribbon
> connectors are attached to the screen ok

4685. Re: police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 15:26:05 UTC
From: fozztexx@pez.fullsystem.com
Message-ID: <af7dmd$jl8$1@nnrp.atgi.net>
Source record: 46701
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <lq1dhug1dr6atvojsp892hvkpsoqj10tpq@4ax.com>,
SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote:
>Lots of 'em. I especially like the morons who think they're on an IRC
>channel and use all manners of shortcuts and have completely forgotten
>how to use their SHIFT key.

It's called "iBonics." I can't stand it on chat either.

--
DON'T PAY MORE FOR WEB HOSTING THAN WHAT YOU WANT TO PAY
At Full System, Inc. you invent the package *and* the price!
Point your browser to http://custom.fullsystem.com/

4686. Re: Monitenna 5094A New In The Box Channel Master

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 15:31:21 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cXGR8.173$Kc5.102574@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 46702

In article <3D15FE29.4070004@licensed4fun.com>,
av8tor@licensed4fun.com says...
>
>
> Never anonymous Bud wrote:
>
> > Fresh from a command appearance on The View, "FleaBag" <fleabag@aol.com> moaned...
> > >
> > >
> > >>Super Hard to find Channel Master Monitenna 5094 Brand New In The Original
> > >>Box.
> > >>Buy Now for $100.00
> > >>Not giving this one away !!!! There are NO More !!
> > >>
> > >
> > >
> > > 3 days with no bids, getting kind of desperate??
> > >
>
>
> Looks very simular to the AntennaWarehouse's Scantenna for $37.00. Waht
> is special about the 5094?

The people that sell them don't lie about the performance like your
buddy at AntennaWarehouse. You can also ask the people that sell the
5094 questions about their claims and they will answer them, unlike
your buddy at AntennaWarehouse.

If you don't believe it, ask AntennaWarehouse which antennas they
tested their scanner beam against. They made the claim here and on
their WWW page but refuse to provide an answer. Their shill GeorgeF
says they told him the answer but he is sworn to secrecy and can't
reveal it. ROFLMAO!

So to answer your question George, everything is special and anything
is better.

Glenn

4687. Re: police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 16:08:32 UTC
From: Philip <plpb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4uHR8.2525$DQ5.86107@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 46703
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

To ensure operational security and officer safety the destinations and the whereabouts of law enforcement officers should never be openly broadcast.

Reminds me of when the Navy Seals landed on the beach at Somalia bathed in media floodlights.

We should forego our personal scanner entertainment in the interests of ensuring the security of operational data and the safety of others.

Police dispatch gives advance notice of police en-route and tips the bad guys to flee or to otherwise conceal their activities.

In the worst case scenarios when the bad guys are intent on armed confrontation, it provides the bad guys the info they need to set an ambush for the police.

Most police comms are routinely taped and archived for court evidence and for oversight purposes and review if/when questions arise.
--
pb

4688. any suggested online sorces for scanner pirchases?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 16:10:28 UTC
From: Dan <dpipes@elp.rr.com>
Message-ID: <d3hehu8lp6e6kp23k6u9famc7g3t87dov8@4ax.com>
Source record: 46704

Can anyone advise a good/cheap/quality source for buying a new Uniden bc780xlt scanner? Also what software you enjoy the most for this scanner..
thanks

4689. Re: PRO-2032 "In Stereo" (Yep)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 16:32:46 UTC
From: tw��� <.tw>, <>, yahoomsnATTBI�.org.com
Message-ID: <NQHR8.298272$352.29008@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 46705

"rugerp85 @adelphia.net>" <rugerp85<remove> wrote in message
news:st8dhu03905pj4gbip0nfqchi236l94t58@4ax.com...
| http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1362133848

Actually, it is still in mono. Same thing on both speakers.

4690. Re: Cheap Air-band antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 17:04:59 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <af7jd5$bsg71$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 46706

"Network Dude" <netdude@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:Y5nR8.1925$wD6.856231@news3.news.adelphia.net...
> FM Broadcast ~88-108mhz is BELOW the aircraft band of 110-137mhz. Phone
> (voice) communications in the aircraft band is between 118-136mhz 110-118
is
> for navigation.

Well, that's what I meant, but not what I wrote. That's what lack of sleep
does to you.

> Also, the aircraft band uses AM, so the FM amplifier most likely won't
help.

A preamplifier will amplify all signals, regardless of mode.

> The radio shack discone (20-043) is a wideband antenna that does pretty
good
> in the aircraft band.

I was unaware that the antenna the original poster was referring to is
directional, so just about any omnidirectional antenna will work better than
a directional antenna.

Tom

4691. Re: Free Logging Database .....doesnt work!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 17:10:32 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <af7jng$broo4$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 46707
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:MgOQ8.7549$RY1.201355@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net...
> whats wrong with laziness its my right to write how i want,i do not have
any
> problems like that,what i meant is thatyou have no right to critiscize
> people for spelling or punctuation.

> but as long as youn can rea it
> and of course you canif not it dos notr say much dfor you,my stuck
keyboard
> is a pain in the arse but again you can read it so whats the problem????

That's the main problem. If you don't use proper punctuation/capitalization
it makes it very hard for others to understand exactly what you are trying
to say. That's why punctuation and capitalization rules exist.

Tom

4692. Re: Monitenna 5094A New In The Box Channel Master

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 17:19:39 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <af7k8l$c23u6$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 46708

"FleaBag" <fleabag@aol.com> wrote in message
news:X%5R8.15741$7Q2.1163491@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> Super Hard to find Channel Master Monitenna 5094 Brand New In The Original
> Box.
> Buy Now for $100.00
> Not giving this one away !!!! There are NO More !!
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1361578998

I don't mean to gyp you out of a sale, but you can build one of those for next to nothing. I built something similar (bicone antenna) out of a broom handle and wire coat hangers. Total cost around $4.00 ($3.00 for the broom handle, $1.00 for a can of spray paint). It and the plans can be seen at http://photos.yahoo.com/n2uhc.

Tom

4693. Re: police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 17:22:40 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <af7ke9$c188c$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 46709
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Philip" <plpb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:n6oR8.24046$Fv1.1381321@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I would rather be paying for the increased police effectiveness of
> maintaining stealth and the advantage of surprise. Why risk tipping off
the
> crooks?

Most of the time, these comms are carried on discrete frequencies. Our
department has a secret "Channel 16" for use by the SRT (Special Response
Team) that I haven't been able to find yet. And I work as a dispatcher
there.

Tom

4694. Re: Scanning While Camping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 17:28:53 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <af7kpv$bt8i6$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 46710

"DP" <jettaway21@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:UwuR8.29034$Z6.337710@nnrp1.uunet.ca...
>
> I have never owned a base antenna and was wondering if it is feasible to
> transport/setup/takedown these types of antennas? They look quite
fragile.

This past weekend for field day I took along a 2 meter J-pole which I hoisted up into a tree by throwing a rope tied to a heavy fishing weight over a branch. This worked quite well.

Alternatively, you could get two 10' lengths of conduit, say one 1" diameter and the other 7/8" diameter, slide one into the other and use as a pushup pole. Drill two holes in the ends and bolt them together. You would need to find some way to anchor the bottom part of this mast, though. I've seen a mast anchor that someone made that was a mast welded to a flat metal plate. The metal plate was anchored by parking a vehicle on top of it.

Tom

4695. Re: Scanning While Camping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 18:14:29 UTC
From: Tom <cybernaut@mailbox.gr>
Message-ID: <af7nhv$14t3$1@ulysses.noc.ntua.gr>
Source record: 46711

Please can you be a little more specific because I do not speak English very well and I did not understand how
you made this dipole.
A COAX cable has two wires inside,the first wire is like
barbwire and the second is a normal one. Which one wire I have to set in the tree? And what I will do to the other one?
Sorry for the silly question Thanks a lot

"Jim Mac Donald" <jmacdon@mitre.org> wrote in message
news:3D167FD9.9777A77B@mitre.org...
> Just strip back about 25 inchs of CO-AX cable and leave to outer brad hanging
> down to make a Di pole. Then pull this up into a tree with string. Or get a
> plastic PVC pipe about 10 to 20 feet or wooden pole as a mast to hold up the
> CO-AX if your camping on flat, open country with out trees nearby. The R-2
> will work ALL BANDS! You can do the same with the discone, and the mast lashed
> to the side of your camper.
>

4696. Re: police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 18:29:44 UTC
From: Mark Keith <nm5k@wt.net>
Message-ID: <3D176518.5D85@wt.net>
Source record: 46712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Rick wrote:
>
> HI all
> I would like to know what you think about limited police communications
> being allowed to be monitored legally.

I don't know where you live, but here in Houston TX, police comms are legal to monitor. Even when mobile or pedestrian.
There are a few fairly unwritten rules though as enforced by HPD. #1, they don't want you EVER showing up at a "scene". IE: wrecks, crime scenes, etc. #2 They don't want you repeating what is said over other radios, etc. Actually, this rule is probably fcc related. I listen to the police all the time. Even my dual band hand held has all the PD channels in it. Same for my 706g. I also have a 800 mhz trunking radio that I want to rig up for police listenng. "Harris county", etc. The cops here could care less. Now, what will really get you in deep doo-doo around this town is being in possesion of a radio that can *transmit* on those frequencies. IE: motorola sabres, etc, etc. Now, it's not really illegal to have one of these, but HPD has had a problem with "squirrels" on the PD channels. If they catch you with a radio that can transmit, they will throw you in jail and ask questions later. I know a guy who was a police academy grad. He had car trouble and had to go to an auto parts store. While he was gone, the police showed up and checked out his disabled vehicle. They saw a motorola sabre radio on his seat. Now, he had never used it illegally, and also was a licensed ham, but they gave him hell, as they currently had a squirrel problem at that time. They threw him in jail. He eventually got out of it, but it was no fun at all. For this reason, I leave all my radios stock, and not "opened up". If you try to transmit with any of mine, they will error out, and not do a thing. The transmit light will not even come on. That could save my butt if I ever had a cop thinking I could talk on one. My 706 is quite capable , if it were opened up. It can even send the correct pl tones. Same for my HT. I leave all my radios stock just for this reason. Also, I don't want to have an accident, like listening to pd in my car, and sitting on the mike by accident. MK
--
http://web.wt.net/~nm5k

4697. FA Multiband Scanner Antenna 4 hrs left

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 18:32:09 UTC
From: Alex <sgrubor@shaw.caNSPM>
Message-ID: <JAJR8.63438$ia2.5560794@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 46713
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1361313254

--
*** Remove NSPM to reply ***

4698. Re: Radio shack return policy sucks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 18:44:53 UTC
From: vstream_02@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16461-3D1768A5-30@storefull-2377.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 46715

That's what it says in the back of the receipt...If you dont agree with
it...don't buy anything from them.

--
"It's the static on your cellphone ma'am."
-Sprint PCS man
--
http://www.angelfire.com/tx4/tt/Nokia3390.html
Read my review on the Nokia 3390 Gold
--
http://angelfire.com/tx4/tt/index.html
Visit My Site
--
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/nokia3390ringtones/
--
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/nokia5190

4699. Re: police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 19:01:51 UTC
From: nordland37@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3d176501.4237954@news.freeserve.net>
Source record: 46714
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 24 Jun 2002 02:21:59 GMT, "Bob the Printer" <bdolson@comcast.net>
wrote:

>
>"Rick" <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in message
>news:FalR8.4985$U83.36985072@news-text.cableinet.net...
>> HI all
>> This group is set up to discuss weather it would be a good idea for
>limited
>> police transmissions to be openly monitored.
>
>'Weather' ???? Do you mean 'whether' ?
>
>And you have it spelled the same wrong way on the Yahoo site! What do we
>have nowadays? A bunch of illiterates?

Yes.

--

_____
Posted by J.

4700. Re: Monitenna 5094A New In The Box Channel Master

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 19:36:05 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3_NO_HABLA_ESPAM@morisseau.org>
Message-ID: <3D1774A5.26C0BCE5@morisseau.org>
Source record: 46716

Antenna Warehouse's pet shill, GeorgeF wrote:

> Looks very simular to the AntennaWarehouse's Scantenna for $37.00. Waht
> is special about the 5094?

Antenna Warehouse is no different from the guy offering it for bid. They are both grossly overpriced. Radio Shack had virtually the same antenna on closeout for something like $10.00 a couple, three months ago - and there may still be some around. Don't let AW fool you with any puffery about their having "tested" their model and "proved" that it has more gain. Ask them exactly what antennas they tested it against, when, where and how; and to identify exactly what they feel the additional gain actually is. If things run true to course, AW will refuse and Georgy-Porgy will claim that he knows, but is sworn to secrecy. Give me a break, George!

--
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'53", W 90ï¿½22'32", 680'
On the sunrise side of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
"ï¿½Y tu madre tambien!"

4701. NS Frequencies in Allentown, PA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 20:25:53 UTC
From: William Carl Rooney <wrooney@andrew.cmu.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.43L-024.0206241625230.11094-100000@unix6.andrew.cmu.edu>
Source record: 46718

All,

I am trying to find the NS frequencies used in the Allentown, PA
area.

I am particularly interested in
1) Frequency in the main NS Allentown Yard (along the Lehigh River)
2) Frequency of the small yard in Chapman (west end of
Allentown, This is the yard on the branch line that connects to the NS
main at Alburtis)
3) Mainline NS frequencies.

Cheers,
Bill

4702. Re: Where can I get a good Preamplifier, not to expensive

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 20:26:26 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3avehu4hmu7k7qeqkqiu1kq1p3l84bblff@4ax.com>
Source record: 46717

First, ask yourself why you need one.

1) Are you running more than a couple hundred feet of lossy cable?
(such as RG-58)

2) Is your antenna in a very rural/weak signal area?

3) Are you trying to improve reception on just one band?

If you can't answer yes to one of the three questions above, you don't need no steenkin' preamplifier.

If you do answer yes to one of those three questions, you need to consider how much gain you'll need, and whether it should be switchable.

You can't just put a pre-amplifier in front of a scanner and expect the signals to jump out of the noise. If it were that easy, all scanners would be a lot more sensitive. Too much signal from a pre-amp usually makes overall interference levels WORSE. The sad thing is that the problem typically isn't the pre-amp overloading; it's the scanner. The issue is one of dynamic range and it concerns a major technical trade-off of dynamic range versus sensitivity. If you don't understand that issue, I advise you to familiarize yourself with it before plunking down money on a black box that will probably make things worse.

In general, a pre-amplifier usually isn't worth the effort unless the receiver has a very selective front end (not the case with most scanners), the antenna is very directional (as in an eight element yagi), or you are forced to use small transmission line to the antenna for long distances.

The amount of gain you'll need from the pre-amplifier is another issue you'll need to consider. There is no magic "one size fits all" solution here.

Again, unless you know how much gain you need, and some idea of what noise figure you're trying to achieve, I wouldn't waste my money.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

4703. Re: Monitenna 5094A New In The Box Channel Master --- Isn't this spam????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 20:34:57 UTC
From: SlowMoe <SlowMoe@aaa123.net-us.gov>
Message-ID: <7g0fhuo2i0q9htqak7essh8lulilqp2mnq@4ax.com>
Source record: 46719

Isn't it spaming when you list something for sell on several boards
over and over ??????

On Mon, 24 Jun 2002 08:31:21 -0700, Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com> wrote:

>In article <3D15FE29.4070004@licensed4fun.com>,
>av8tor@licensed4fun.com says...
>>
>>
>> Never anonymous Bud wrote:
>>
>> > Fresh from a command appearance on The View, "FleaBag" <fleabag@aol.com> moaned...
>> >
>> >
>> >>Super Hard to find Channel Master Monitenna 5094 Brand New In The Original
>> >>Box.
>> >>Buy Now for $100.00
>> >>Not giving this one away !!!! There are NO More !!
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>> > 3 days with no bids, getting kind of desperate??
>> >
>>
>>
>> Looks very simular to the AntennaWarehouse's Scantenna for $37.00. Waht
>> is special about the 5094?
>
>
>The people that sell them don't lie about the performance like your
>buddy at AntennaWarehouse. You can also ask the people that sell the
>5094 questions about their claims and they will answer them, unlike
>your buddy at AntennaWarehouse.
>
>If you don't believe it, ask AntennaWarehouse which antennas they
>tested their scanner beam against. They made the claim here and on
>their WWW page but refuse to provide an answer. Their shill GeorgeF
>says they told him the answer but he is sworn to secrecy and can't
>reveal it. ROFLMAO!
>
>So to answer your question George, everything is special and anything
>is better.
>

>
>
>Glenn
>
>
>

4704. Re: FA Multiband Scanner Antenna 4 hrs left -- WE GET THE IDEA ALEX.!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 20:36:20 UTC
From: SlowMoe <SlowMoe@aaa123.net-us.gov>
Message-ID: <jk0fhuo9ang8qif4oaf0ol2iv5cl595b80@4ax.com>
Source record: 46720
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

Isn't it spaming when you list something for sell on several boards
over and over ??????

On Mon, 24 Jun 2002 18:32:09 GMT, "Alex" <sgrubor@shaw.caNSPM> wrote:

>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1361313254

4705. Re: FA Multiband Scanner Antenna 4 hrs left -- WE GET THE IDEA ALEX.!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 20:41:30 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3D1783FA.3070107@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 46721
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

SlowMoe wrote:

> Isn't it spaming when you list something for sell on several boards
> over and over ??????
>
>
>
> On Mon, 24 Jun 2002 18:32:09 GMT, "Alex" <sgrubor@shaw.caNSPM> wrote:
>
>
>>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1361313254
>>
>

Not when its related to the groups involved. I would not even remotely consider this one spam compaired to some of the "rant and raves" we see on these groups.
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

4706. Re: Where can I get a good Preamplifier, not to expensive

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 20:44:22 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3D1784A6.1060807@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 46722

> In general, a pre-amplifier usually isn't worth the effort unless the
> receiver has a very selective front end (not the case with most
> scanners), the antenna is very directional (as in an eight element
> yagi), or you are forced to use small transmission line to the antenna
> for long distances.

Ok, I have a 9 element Yagi cut for about 225-400 MHz. When mounted it will be about 30' high supplied with about 60 feed of RG-8/U. I want to get the most gain I can in the MilAir bank (225-400). What do you suggest for a pre-amp?
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

4707. Re: 780 display screen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 21:03:19 UTC
From: Jeff Seale <jseale18@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9237AD54845A4jseale18insightbbcom@216.148.227.77>
Source record: 46723

"JT" <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in
news:AHGR8.307710$cQ3.16501@sccrnsc01:

> Don't do this!!!!!! I did this when mine had the same problem, and it
> voided the warranty! I was told by a Uniden dealer that it was OK to
> open the case and check things out; he was wrong!. When I sent my unit
> back for repair (it wasn't the cables), they told me the unit had been
> "tampered with" and that I'd have to pay $56 to get it fixed.
>
> I'd just send it to Uniden; just don't expect it back anytime soon (it
> took over a month and a half for me to get mine back).
>
> JT
> "Greg" <not@shown.here> wrote in message
> news:qm7ehu4mnvlbgdvn5k0olnvreta8ish5hc@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 24 Jun 2002 08:02:26 -0500, Randy <bearcat@astound.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >I just bought a used 780 a week ago. Worked fine until yesterday.
>> >Cannot read the display screen. Just a bright yellow screen. Any
>> >suggestions on fixes for this or will it have to go back to uniden?
>> >Thank
>> >Randy
>>
>>
>> You might want to pop the cover off and check to see that the ribbon
>> connectors are attached to the screen ok
>
>
>

Have you checked your screen dimmer setting?

4708. Re: police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 21:23:27 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uhf3iq7040ere7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 46724
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Bob the Printer" <bdolson@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:WovR8.412881$Oa1.29472668@bin8.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Rick" <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:FalR8.4985$U83.36985072@news-text.cableinet.net...
>
> > E.G. A car is stolen, an obviations call goes out... would it not be
> better
> > for a couple of hundred truckers on the roads to look out for it rather
> than
> > ten or so police patrols ???
>
> WHAT the heck is an 'obviations' call????? I gotta give you some credit
for
> consistency though, since you have the same thing on the web site..
>
>

It's called Cut/Copy & Paste... No credit should be given...

PH

4709. Re: police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 21:41:39 UTC
From: proma1 <proma1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <2rMR8.3572$k_6.133271@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 46725
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

come to the UK most comms at the moment in the clear using the same freqs
use 15 years ago.

example President Bush comes on visit,SS guys nice and secure,only to have
our special branch and police helicopter giving out all movements on the
same channels

451.325 special branch
138.10625 for chopper

then using the motorola smartnet system as well with 5 special branch
protection talkgroups and 1 royalty protection all in the clear

does not matter whether UK or Foreign dignitaries same freqs used all the
time.

--

Paul Wey[PROMA1]

moderator of following scanning groups

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promaofftopic

for PROMA PMR database and mil and civil airband,and much more click below

www.scanuk.net

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:af7ke9$c188c$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Philip" <plpb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:n6oR8.24046$Fv1.1381321@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > I would rather be paying for the increased police effectiveness of
> > maintaining stealth and the advantage of surprise. Why risk tipping off
> the
> > crooks?
>
> Most of the time, these comms are carried on discrete frequencies. Our
> department has a secret "Channel 16" for use by the SRT (Special Response

> Team) that I haven't been able to find yet. And I work as a dispatcher
> there.
>
> Tom
>
>

4710. Re: Free Logging Database .....doesnt work!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 21:45:57 UTC
From: proma1 <proma1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <kMUR8.109$zU1.20831@news8-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 46740
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

its funny most people can read what i type,i am moderator or joint moderator
on about 8 groups now and its only on here i have the problems,mainly by
americans i hate to say.

they may be able to write english a pity they cant speak it.

--

Paul Wey[PROMA1]

moderator of following scanning groups

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promaofftopic

for PROMA PMR database and mil and civil airband,and much more click below

www.scanuk.net

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:af7jng$broo4$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
> news:MgOQ8.7549$RY1.201355@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net...
> > whats wrong with laziness its my right to write how i want,i do not have
> any
> > problems like that,what i meant is thatyou have no right to critiscize
> > people for spelling or punctuation.
>
> > but as long as youn can rea it
> > and of course you canif not it dos notr say much dfor you,my stuck
> keyboard
> > is a pain in the arse but again you can read it so whats the problem????
>
> That's the main problem. If you don't use proper
punctuation/capitalization
> it makes it very hard for others to understand exactly what you are trying
> to say. That's why punctuation and capitalization rules exist.
>
> Tom

>
>

4711. Re: 780 display screen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 22:05:34 UTC
From: Greg <not@shown.here>
Message-ID: <si5fhusrkub2sdu98v4bbg3ahbth0kadjh@4ax.com>
Source record: 46726

On Mon, 24 Jun 2002 15:14:40 GMT, "JT" <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com>
wrote:

>Don't do this!!!!!! I did this when mine had the same problem, and it voided
>the warranty! I was told by a Uniden dealer that it was OK to open the case
>and check things out; he was wrong!. When I sent my unit back for repair (it
>wasn't the cables), they told me the unit had been "tampered with" and that
>I'd have to pay $56 to get it fixed.
>
>I'd just send it to Uniden; just don't expect it back anytime soon (it took
>over a month and a half for me to get mine back).

Yikes!

We've got one at work that I've taken apart a few times. Last summer,
the foam padding stuff with the sticky backing that's around the
plastic window was starting to slide down in front of the display. I
took it apart and fixed that.

Then a while back, I discovered a problem with the bnc connector for
the antenna - removed that and put in a new one.

(Employees have a habit of puting this thing in work vans and not
mounting it down any way, so it takes a heck of a beating - hence the
problems we've had with that unit)

It recently wouldnt power up, but I didnt feel like fixing it. Sent it
in, and they fixed it. Didn't say anything to us about it being
modified or opened up or anything.

4712. Re: 780 display screen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 22:10:09 UTC
From: JT <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com>
Message-ID: <4NMR8.58207$EP.5789@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 46727

You got lucky. I wish I had been that fortunate.

JT

"Greg" <not@shown.here> wrote in message
news:si5fhusrkub2sdu98v4bbg3ahbth0kadjh@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 24 Jun 2002 15:14:40 GMT, "JT" <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Don't do this!!!!!! I did this when mine had the same problem, and it
voided
> >the warranty! I was told by a Uniden dealer that it was OK to open the
case
> >and check things out; he was wrong!. When I sent my unit back for repair
(it
> >wasn't the cables), they told me the unit had been "tampered with" and
that
> >I'd have to pay $56 to get it fixed.
> >
> >I'd just send it to Uniden; just don't expect it back anytime soon (it
took
> >over a month and a half for me to get mine back).
>
> Yikes!
>
> We've got one at work that I've taken apart a few times. Last summer,
> the foam padding stuff with the sticky backing that's around the
> plastic window was starting to slide down in front of the display. I
> took it apart and fixed that.
>
> Then a while back, I discovered a problem with the bnc connector for
> the antenna - removed that and put in a new one.
>
> (Employees have a habit of puting this thing in work vans and not
> mounting it down any way, so it takes a heck of a beating - hence the
> problems we've had with that unit)
>
> It recently wouldnt power up, but I didnt feel like fixing it. Sent it
> in, and they fixed it. Didn't say anything to us about it being
> modified or opened up or anything.

4713. Re: NS Frequencies in Allentown, PA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 22:17:37 UTC
From: Bigdaddyking <bdk1@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.178165d3725d45f1989816@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 46728

In article <Pine.GSO.4.43L-024.0206241625230.11094-100000
@unix6.andrew.cmu.edu>, wrooney@andrew.cmu.edu says...
>
> All,
>
> I am trying to find the NS frequencies used in the Allentown, PA
> area.
>
> I am particularly interested in
> 1) Frequency in the main NS Allentown Yard (along the Lehigh River)
> 2) Frequency of the small yard in Chapman (west end of
> Allentown, This is the yard on the branch line that connects to the NS
> main at Alburtis)
> 3) Mainline NS frequencies.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill
>
>
>
>

Just search the rail band, 159.885 to 161.565 (15khz spacing, if you can select that) for a while, and it will be pretty obvious what is what. There's some UHF stuff too, but I hardly ever hear anything on it. I've never seen a freq list for rail freqs that really is accurate.

BDK

4714. Re: Where can I get a good Preamplifier, not to expensive

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 22:35:23 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3D179EAB.10D83E94@optonline.net>
Source record: 46729

Jake,

I question whether your item 2) is a valid reason for using a preamp.
Unless the preamp has a lower noise figure than the scanner rf amp
(unlikely, since they most likely use the same class/type transistors),
or there is loss between the antenna and the scanner rf amp (possible),
a preamp isn't going to improve the signal to noise ratio. Much better
to get a better, higher gain antenna. Or, if you are marginally
line-of-sight, raise the antenna on a mast.

Walt

Jake Brodsky wrote:
>
> First, ask yourself why you need one.
>
> 1) Are you running more than a couple hundred feet of lossy cable?
> (such as RG-58)
>
> 2) Is your antenna in a very rural/weak signal area?
>
> 3) Are you trying to improve reception on just one band?
>
> If you can't answer yes to one of the three questions above, you don't
> need no steenkin' preamplifier.
>
> If you do answer yes to one of those three questions, you need to
> consider how much gain you'll need, and whether it should be
> switchable.
>
> You can't just put a pre-amplifier in front of a scanner and expect
> the signals to jump out of the noise. If it were that easy, all
> scanners would be a lot more sensitive. Too much signal from a
> pre-amp usually makes overall interference levels WORSE. The sad
> thing is that the problem typically isn't the pre-amp overloading;
> it's the scanner. The issue is one of dynamic range and it concerns a
> major technical trade-off of dynamic range versus sensitivity. If you
> don't understand that issue, I advise you to familiarize yourself with
> it before plunking down money on a black box that will probably make
> things worse.
>
> In general, a pre-amplifier usually isn't worth the effort unless the
> receiver has a very selective front end (not the case with most
> scanners), the antenna is very directional (as in an eight element
> yagi), or you are forced to use small transmission line to the antenna

> for long distances.
>
> The amount of gain you'll need from the pre-amplifier is another issue
> you'll need to consider. There is no magic "one size fits all"
> solution here.
>
> Again, unless you know how much gain you need, and some idea of what
> noise figure you're trying to achieve, I wouldn't waste my money.
>
> 73,
>
> Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> "Beware of the massive impossible!"

4715. Re: NS Frequencies in Allentown, PA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 23:23:53 UTC
From: wf3h@ptd.net
Message-ID: <3d17a9c6.74544997@news.ptdprolog.net>
Source record: 46730

On Mon, 24 Jun 2002 16:25:53 -0400 (EDT), William Carl Rooney
<wrooney@andrew.cmu.edu> wrote:

>
>All,
>
>I am trying to find the NS frequencies used in the Allentown, PA
>area.
>
>I am particularly interested in
>1) Frequency in the main NS Allentown Yard (along the Lehigh River)
>2) Frequency of the small yard in Chapman (west end of
>Allentown, This is the yard on the branch line that connects to the NS
>main at Alburtis)
>3) Mainline NS frequencies.
>
>Cheers,
>Bill
>

i thought we only used mack trucks in allentown :-)
>

To find out who 'wf3h' is, go to 'qrz.com'
and enter 'wf3h' in the field.

lat. 40 41.288N
long. 75 32.177W

4716. Re: Monitenna 5094A New In The Box Channel Master --- Isn't this spam????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-24 23:41:01 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <K5OR8.320$Kc5.230015@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 46731

Now let's get this correct.

George is a shill for AntennaWarehouse, I don't know about a
spammer....you have a point though.

In article <7g0fhuo2i0q9htqak7essh8lulilqp2mnq@4ax.com>,
SlowMoe@aaa123.net-us.gov says...
> Isn't it spaming when you list something for sell on several boards
> over and over ??????
>
>
>
>
> On Mon, 24 Jun 2002 08:31:21 -0700, Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >In article <3D15FE29.4070004@licensed4fun.com>,
> >av8tor@licensed4fun.com says...
> >>
> >>
> >> Never anonymous Bud wrote:
> >>
> >> > Fresh from a command appearance on The View, "FleaBag" <fleabag@aol.com> moaned...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >>Super Hard to find Channel Master Monitenna 5094 Brand New In The Original
> >> >>Box.
> >> >>Buy Now for $100.00
> >> >>Not giving this one away !!!! There are NO More !!
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > 3 days with no bids, getting kind of desperate??
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> >> Looks very simular to the AntennaWarehouse's Scantenna for $37.00. Waht
> >> is special about the 5094?
> >
> >
> >The people that sell them don't lie about the performance like your
> >buddy at AntennaWarehouse. You can also ask the people that sell the
> >5094 questions about their claims and they will answer them, unlike
> >your buddy at AntennaWarehouse.

> >
> >If you don't believe it, ask AntennaWarehouse which antennas they
> >tested their scanner beam against. They made the claim here and on
> >their WWW page but refuse to provide an answer. Their shill GeorgeF
> >says they told him the answer but he is sworn to secrecy and can't
> >reveal it. ROFLMAO!
> >
> >So to answer your question George, everything is special and anything
> >is better.
> >
> >
> >
> >Glenn
> >
> >
> >
>
>

4717. Re: police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-25 01:49:40 UTC
From: rickets@knac.com
Message-ID: <3d17bdec.18555030@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 46732
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Secure comms in the US are often digital cell phones. Detectives and
narcs love 'em because they AREN'T recorded.

On Mon, 24 Jun 2002 22:41:39 +0100, "proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com>
wrote:

>come to the UK most comms at the moment in the clear using the same freqs
>use 15 years ago.
>
>
>
>example President Bush comes on visit,SS guys nice and secure,only to have
>our special branch and police helicopter giving out all movements on the
>same channels
>
>451.325 special branch
>138.10625 for chopper
>
>then using the motorola smartnet system as well with 5 special branch
>protection talkgroups and 1 royalty protection all in the clear
>
>
>does not matter whether UK or Foreign dignitaries same freqs used all the
>time.
>
>
>
>--
>
>
>
>Paul Wey[PROMA1]
>
>moderator of following scanning groups
>
>
>
>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk
>
>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio
>
>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech
>
>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk

>
>
>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promaofftopic
>
>for PROMA PMR database and mil and civil airband,and much more click below
>
>www.scanuk.net
>
>"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:af7ke9$c188c$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>>
>> "Philip" <plpb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:n6oR8.24046$Fv1.1381321@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>> > I would rather be paying for the increased police effectiveness of
>> > maintaining stealth and the advantage of surprise. Why risk tipping off
>> the
>> > crooks?
>>
>> Most of the time, these comms are carried on discrete frequencies. Our
>> department has a secret "Channel 16" for use by the SRT (Special Response
>> Team) that I haven't been able to find yet. And I work as a dispatcher
>> there.
>>
>> Tom
>>
>>
>
>

4718. Collectors:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-25 02:00:43 UTC
From: ssolton <ssolton@attglobal.net>
Message-ID: <jbQR8.813$Qf5.277702@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 46733

Hi all I appologize if I am violating your charter by posting this here. I hate to get rid of these but the wife is bugging me to clean out my storage. I have One old Tube type regency scanner. And a
great SBE Card Reader scanner. The SBE is rellay cool. They are both in working condition and the SBE has one card in the reader slot. If anyone is interested in these please drop me a line. I can answer all questions and can provide pics as well. Thanks

Steve

4719. Re: Scanning While Camping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-25 02:13:26 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3D17D1C6.4CB393C4@mitre.org>
Source record: 46734

The center wire is strung up into the tree or hung from atop a pole. The outer barb wire or braid is just hung loose
down below the antenna that is the center wire. Putting some weighed object on the braid may help to
keep the di-pole straight. If your can try to pull the stripped braid back down over the co-ax, this makes for
a neat looking antenna. As for 25 niches this more for VHF. If you want 800 Mhz go with 6 to 8 inches
there are formulas for cutting antennas for maximum performance the above is just off the cut specs.
For the past several seasons I've used a six (6) foot length of computer co-ax stripped back about 18 inches placed or
should I say shoved into the roof rafter of the lean-to where I'm camping. This is a slight improvement over the
rubber ducky on a RELM HS 200, for air band through UHF. Not much 800 Mhz in northern New England!
Down at the lake shore around dusk the BBC came in great on the ICOM R-2 using the stock antenna.
Jim
Tom wrote:

> Please can you be a little more specific because I do not speak English very
> well and I did not understand how
> you made this dipole.
> A COAX cable has two wires inside,the first wire is like
> barbwire and the second is a normal one. Which one wire I have to set in the
> tree? And what I will do to the other one?
> Sorry for the silly question Thanks a lot
>
> "Jim Mac Donald" <jmacdon@mitre.org> wrote in message
> news:3D167FD9.9777A77B@mitre.org...
> > Just strip back about 25 inchs of CO-AX cable and leave to outer brad
> hanging
> > down to make a Di pole. Then pull this up into a tree with string. Or
> get a
> > plastic PVC pipe about 10 to 20 feet or wooden pole as a mast to hold up
> the
> > CO-AX if your camping on flat, open country with out trees nearby. The
> R-2
> > will work ALL BANDS! You can do the same with the discone, and the mast
> lashed
> > to the side of your camper.
> >

4720. Re: NS Frequencies in Allentown, PA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-25 02:24:49 UTC
From: nvavro@ptd.net
Message-ID: <3d17d526.9650501@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 46735

On Mon, 24 Jun 2002 16:25:53 -0400 (EDT), William Carl Rooney
<wrooney@andrew.cmu.edu> wrote:

>
>All,
>
>I am trying to find the NS frequencies used in the Allentown, PA
>area.
>
>I am particularly interested in
>1) Frequency in the main NS Allentown Yard (along the Lehigh River)
>2) Frequency of the small yard in Chapman (west end of
>Allentown, This is the yard on the branch line that connects to the NS
>main at Alburtis)
>3) Mainline NS frequencies.
>
>Cheers,
>Bill
>
>
>Rail Freqs can be found In Police Call found at Radio Shack stores. Here in Pa. I hear alot on 160.800 and 161.745. Nick

4721. Re: Free Logging Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-25 03:11:46 UTC
From: Burr <pitzpho@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D17DFB0.8219DD71@earthlink.net>
Source record: 46736
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yo, Need some help.
Install won't install. Who can help me "Tom"

"www.ar3000.info" wrote:

> Free Logging Database built with Access2000 available, complete with Station
> Locator containing
> over 5000 International Broadcast Stations.
>
> Perfectly suitable for both HAM operators and Shortwave Listeners alike.
> With the "UserID" field, will also suit Radio Clubs.
>
> Records the following:
>
> * User ID
> * Date
> * RX Freq
> * TX Freq
> * Pwr
> * Mode
> * Station ID
> * Station Class
> * Tx Site
> * Tx Type
> * Country
> * SoundRecording Path
> * SINPO
> * Equipment Used
> * QSL Record
> * Free-Hand Comments
> * AND MUCH MORE
>
> In addition to the above, there are a wide variety of printable REPORTS
> based on the information logged.
>
> Feel free to find out more at :
> http://web.ukonline.co.uk./sadmutha/ar3000/mcqlog.htm
>
> It's FREE - no silly adverts or registration!
> --
>
> Regards, Tom.
> *..ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½)) -:ï¿½:-
> ï¿½.ï¿½ï¿½ .ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½))

> ((ï¿½ï¿½.ï¿½ï¿½ .ï¿½ï¿½ ..-:ï¿½:- * www.ar3000.info * -:ï¿½:-
> -:ï¿½:- ((ï¿½ï¿½.ï¿½ï¿½*

4722. FA:Ramsey - Like FM transmitter in Strong Metal Case $3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-25 04:00:43 UTC
From: ve7ggj@rac.nospam.ca
Message-ID: <LVRR8.44650$vo2.2003927@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 46737
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

FM transmitter housed in a very strong metal project box.
Fully assembled.

Currently tuned to the North American FM Broadcast band.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1362455625

Opening bid is $3. No reserve.

4723. Re: Recomindation for an Air Band scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-25 05:33:49 UTC
From: Craig Davidson <nospam@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <1hTR8.3868$DQ5.206958@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 46738

You can get frequency info for airports at www.airnav.com and Lat/Lon info
which is useful if you have a GPS.

you can see the charts at:
http://www.aeroplanner.com/

and you can see approach plates at:

http://www.aeroplanner.com/flightplanning/approachplates.cfm

have fun

Jake Brodsky wrote in message ...
>On Thu, 20 Jun 2002 13:12:28 -0400, "Boy Outlook" <Boy@Outlook.com>
>wrote:
>
>>I'm thinking about listening to the Air Band, but most scanners I find
have
>>all or some of the band blocked out.
>>
>>Anybody monitoring the band that can share their scanner
recommendations????
>
>Part of the reason why the band is "blocked" is that aviation radio
>communications use Amplitude Modulation and many scanners only receive
>Frequency Modulation.
>
>Before you decide to listen in to the band, ask yourself what you're
>scanning for --otherwise what you hear will be a bunch of
>incomprehensible gibberish and you'll get bored pretty quickly.
>
>First, consider that unless you're very close to the ground based
>transmitters, you probably won't hear them. However, you will hear
>virtually every aircraft for at least 200 km in every direction.
>
>Second, unless you have a reasonably up to date aviation chart of your
>vicinity, you still won't have much idea what's going on. The charts
>typically depict approach procedures, airways, intersections,
>checkpoints, frequencies, altitudes of various airspaces and so forth.
>
>Most airports have a general aviation terminal or office where they'll
>be glad to sell you charts, and airport directories. If you're really
>cheap, and you happen to know a pilot, you may be able to get some out
>of date books and charts (I often throw away my out of date charts and
>book). They change, but not all that frequently.
>

>Good Luck and Happy Listening!
>
>
>
>Jake Brodsky, mailto:frussle@erols.com
>PP ASEL IA, Cessna Cardinal N30946, Based @ FME
>Amateur Radio Station AB3A
>

4724. Re: Questions about Radio Shack Pro-90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-25 05:52:36 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020625015236.26765.00000910@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 46739

Look at the NEW Pro-93 at RS. I think it is around $170 (on sale)
Eric G.
education CANNOT buy common sense

4725. Re: satellite listening

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-25 11:51:34 UTC
From: J <jca1954@iprimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <3d185ae7_1@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 46741

take care as to use that dish you need to power the lnb
"JohnK" <nospam.greekfreak@acmemail.net> wrote in message
news:aefht2psk1@usenet.otenet.gr...
> Hi
>
> I have a satellite dish with a KU band LNB.
> What can I listen if I connect my ICOM IC-R2
> to the satellite dish?
>
> Thanks
>
>

4726. Re: Seems ok for the Canadian govt to use jammers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-25 13:02:34 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3D1869EA.719A0EAD@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 46742
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

> Eddie wrote:
>
> I would be interested if getting feedback from people local to these
> areas,
> as to the extent -freq bandwidth, power, modes affected; ie GPS 2M,
> police
> freqs, or just cellphones.
> If the emergency / public services freqs are affected and the jamming
> contributed to an "event", who would be sued by the affected parties?
>
> http://www.theglobeandmail.com/servlet/ArticleNews/printarticle/gam/20020621/UJAMMNSB2

Seems like a strange place for a lawyer to hang out, but I guess you
just can't get away from them!

Dave

4727. Re: police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-25 13:16:37 UTC
From: "Robert M. Bratcher Jr" <bratcher@pdq.net>
Message-ID: <CA462A9A32D35812.3C975271E19F4AD6.C18FF8193F98DC96@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 46743
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 24 Jun 2002 13:29:44 -0500, Mark Keith <nm5k@wt.net> wrote:

. Now, what will really get you in deep doo-doo
>around this town is being in possesion of a radio that can *transmit* on
>those frequencies. IE: motorola sabres, etc, etc. Now, it's not really
>illegal to have one of these, but HPD has had a problem with "squirrels"
>on the PD channels. If they catch you with a radio that can transmit,
>they will throw you in jail and ask questions later. I know a guy who
>was a police academy grad. He had car trouble and had to go to an auto
>parts store. While he was gone, the police showed up and checked out his
>disabled vehicle. They saw a motorola sabre radio on his seat. Now, he
>had never used it illegally, and also was a licensed ham, but they gave
>him hell, as they currently had a squirrel problem at that time. They
>threw him in jail. He eventually got out of it, but it was no fun at
>all.

I hope he sued the daylights out of them for false arrest or something
similer.

Besides cops are stupid. They busted a basketball star for DWI (just
because he ran a red light) and he wasn't drunk on the police tape
shown in court. Yep saw it on the news last night.

I don't like cops. Why? They never solved some crimes that happened to
me even though I reported them.

My truck stolen.
An apartment broken into.
I was even robbed at gunpoint with witnesses (they called the police)
and they never caught the robbers.

So Why The Fuck should I listen to them on a scanner?

4728. Re: Where can I get a good Preamplifier, not to expensive

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-25 13:38:24 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <c9mghug8k8febrlt9hlces3fgoudlrk69a@4ax.com>
Source record: 46744

On Mon, 24 Jun 2002 16:44:22 -0400, GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
wrote:

>Ok, I have a 9 element Yagi cut for about 225-400 MHz. When mounted it
>will be about 30' high supplied with about 60 feed of RG-8/U. I want to
>get the most gain I can in the MilAir bank (225-400). What do you
>suggest for a pre-amp?

Nothing, yet. You don't have enough loss to overcome. Going through
100' of RG-8 amounts to maybe 6 dB of loss. Most preamplifiers have
much more gain than that. The extra gain would not help your
situation.

Incidentally, I've never heard of a "225 to 400 MHz Yagi." Assuming
the frequency range is correct, I suspect you're really talking about
a log-periodic antenna. They're not the same. A real nine element
yagi antenna would be more narrowband and it would have a much more
focussed beam. Log periodic antennas, though they do have gain, tend
to have more side lobes and thus may not be as good a candidate for
weak signal reception.

So the issue is really dynamic range. A preamplifier will often make
a mess of most scanners. Even high end scanners such as the ICOM
R-8500 can't do much better than 80 dB of dynamic range at 220 MHz.
Most higher end short-wave receivers, however, can manage 100 dB and
better. Take a look at the AOR 7030 for example. My source for this
comparison is the ARRL Product Review testing, Two tone third order
IMD dynamic range. This is why a preamplifier is so much more
commonly used on lower bands.

Please understand, I'm not trashing scanners in general. They have
their place in the world of wide band signal acquisition. However,
their wide coverage and bandwidth comes at a price: dynamic range. If
you're scanning specifically for certain types of signals and you're
willing to go the distance of erecting a narrow-beam antenna and
installing a pre-amplifier, then you really ought to reconsider what
receiver you're using.

My suggestion to those of you who really want weak signal reception
(biggest bang for buck items first)

1) Put your antenna up higher, as high as you can get it.

2) Use better coaxial cable. If you're using more than 100' of RG-58,
switch to RG-8X or RG-213.

3) Weatherproof your connectors and cable! Most signal loss problems are really the weathering of connectors.

4) Get a decent directional antenna. A log periodic antenna, set vertically polarized will work wonders.

And finally, if you've done steps 1 through 4 and you want to try a pre-amplifier, do it; but don't be surprised if it doesn't help much.

If you're really rabid about low noise reception at this point, I'd consider building a low noise down-converter at the antenna and then using a SW receiver. However, if you're going this far, you'd better have some pretty specific goals in mind, because you won't get good performance doing this AND keeping the system broad band.

If you'd like to see one company's preamplifier offerings, see
http://www.advancedreceiver.com/page21.html
I have no stake in the company except that I was a customer many years ago and I have no complaint regarding the quality of their products.

One final parting note: unless your feedline losses are around 10 dB or more, I doubt the preamplifiers will help more than they hurt.

Good Luck and Happy Listening!

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

4729. MANUAL PRO80 Sony

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-25 14:17:56 UTC
From: John Arduino <john.arduino@hp.com>
Message-ID: <3D187A2D.1000303@hp.com>
Source record: 46745

Looking for manual for the Sony PRO80.

thanks,
//John

4730. Re: 780 display screen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-25 14:54:56 UTC
From: Randy <bearcat@astound.net>
Message-ID: <3D188440.8090501@astound.net>
Source record: 46746

I did check the dimmer settings (high, medium, off) and nothing. I emailed uniden but no reply yet. I did open the case and check the connectors (to late) so will have to suck up what ever they say. Maybe I will get lucky. Thanks for all the responses.
Randy

Jeff Seale wrote:

> "JT" <ff-micp@spamsuxattbi.com> wrote in
> news:AHGR8.307710$cQ3.16501@sccrnsc01:
>
>
>>Don't do this!!!!!! I did this when mine had the same problem, and it
>>voided the warranty! I was told by a Uniden dealer that it was OK to
>>open the case and check things out; he was wrong!. When I sent my unit
>>back for repair (it wasn't the cables), they told me the unit had been
>>"tampered with" and that I'd have to pay $56 to get it fixed.
>>
>>I'd just send it to Uniden; just don't expect it back anytime soon (it
>>took over a month and a half for me to get mine back).
>>
>>JT
>>"Greg" <not@shown.here> wrote in message
>>news:qm7ehu4mnvlbgdvn5k0olnvreta8ish5hc@4ax.com...
>>
>>>On Mon, 24 Jun 2002 08:02:26 -0500, Randy <bearcat@astound.net>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>I just bought a used 780 a week ago. Worked fine until yesterday.
>>>>Cannot read the display screen. Just a bright yellow screen. Any
>>>>suggestions on fixes for this or will it have to go back to uniden?
>>>>Thank
>>>>Randy
>>>>
>>>
>>>You might want to pop the cover off and check to see that the ribbon
>>>connectors are attached to the screen ok
>>>
>>
>>
>
> Have you checked your screen dimmer setting?
>

4731. Re: Free Logging Database .....doesnt work!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-25 15:18:59 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <uhh2eq9ktrvj22@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 46647
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Please be advised your message is off topic. The purpose of this group is
the discussion of antennas. Please move this message to:

alt.rantagainstamericans

That being said, I would sugggest you engage in an autoerotic experience...

"proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:kMUR8.109$zU1.20831@news8-gui.server.ntli.net...
> its funny most people can read what i type,i am moderator or joint
moderator
> on about 8 groups now and its only on here i have the problems,mainly by
> americans i hate to say.
>
>
> they may be able to write english a pity they cant speak it.
>
>
>
> --
>
>
>
> Paul Wey[PROMA1]
>
> moderator of following scanning groups
>
>
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Metradio
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promatech
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Tetrauk
>
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/promaofftopic
>
> for PROMA PMR database and mil and civil airband,and much more click below
>
> www.scanuk.net
>

> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:af7jng$broo4$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> >
> > "proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
> > news:MgOQ8.7549$RY1.201355@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net...
> > > whats wrong with laziness its my right to write how i want,i do not
have
> > any
> > > problems like that,what i meant is thatyou have no right to critiscize
> > > people for spelling or punctuation.
> >
> > > but as long as youn can rea it
> > > and of course you canif not it dos notr say much dfor you,my stuck
> > keyboard
> > > is a pain in the arse but again you can read it so whats the
problem????
> >
> > That's the main problem. If you don't use proper
> punctuation/capitalization
> > it makes it very hard for others to understand exactly what you are
trying
> > to say. That's why punctuation and capitalization rules exist.
> >
> > Tom
> >
> >
>
>

4732. Re: FS:Bearcat 895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-25 16:39:05 UTC
From: The Good Bohemian <neex2@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <neex2-59DE4F.12391225062002@nntp>
Source record: 46648
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,tor.forsale,tor.forsale.misc

In article <MPG.17603cda57b25864989681@nntp>, Jason
<t1speed@rogers.com> wrote:

> I have for sale a BC895XLT TRUNKTRACKER 300 Channel Base Station /
> Mobile Scanning Radio with box, Manual, Antenna and AC Adaptor, Mobile
> Mouting Bracket
>
> Unit is in excellent to mint condition
>
> Asking $400 Canadian or best reasonable offer ($400 CDN is approx $267
> USD)
>
> I'm located near Toronto, Ontario Canada
>
> If you are interested please e-mail me
>
>

Sorry to piggyback on your post but if anyone wants a BCT-350A mobil
unit with brackets, antenna, and mounting/wiring hardware, I have one
for $100.

Andrew.

4733. PRO-2032 (Yep)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-25 17:04:46 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <0l8hhukb6u9jdcthm7dddpk7b8hshj8ofc@4ax.com>
Source record: 46649

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1362133848

4734. Re: Where can I get a good Preamplifier, not to expensive

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-25 18:39:40 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3D18B8EC.1090307@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 46650

Jake Brodsky wrote:

> On Mon, 24 Jun 2002 16:44:22 -0400, GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
> wrote:
>
>
>>Ok, I have a 9 element Yagi cut for about 225-400 MHz. When mounted it
>>will be about 30' high supplied with about 60 feed of RG-8/U. I want to
>>get the most gain I can in the MilAir bank (225-400). What do you
>>suggest for a pre-amp?
>>
>
> Nothing, yet. You don't have enough loss to overcome. Going through
> 100' of RG-8 amounts to maybe 6 dB of loss. Most preamplifiers have
> much more gain than that. The extra gain would not help your
> situation.
>
> Incidentally, I've never heard of a "225 to 400 MHz Yagi." Assuming
> the frequency range is correct, I suspect you're really talking about
> a log-periodic antenna. They're not the same. A real nine element
> yagi antenna would be more narrowband and it would have a much more
> focussed beam. Log periodic antennas, though they do have gain, tend
> to have more side lobes and thus may not be as good a candidate for
> weak signal reception.

I bought this from someone who makes them in his home. Here is the
picture/advertisement from which I purchased it from:
http://www.milaircomms.com/images/yagi1.jpg

The next two images I just took (5 minutes ago) of the actual antenna on
my "test pole", sorry for the crap pictures but its been cloudy today.
http://www.milaircomms.com/images/yagi2.jpg
http://www.milaircomms.com/images/yagi3.jpg

There are three driven elements, no reflectors. The length of the three
driven elements are 16 1/2", 15 1/4", and 14 7/16".

You tell me, more like a Yagi or more like a Log? I thought Logs were
all fed from the front (I have a log which is fed from the front, but
this ant is fed in the back.)

All three driven elements are connected together with the horizonal wire
as you can see in the photo.

It does VERY well for only being mounted 10' high right now. I want to
take measurements and reconstruct another one myself and put up on my 30

mast which has a rotor.

Thoughts??
George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

4735. Re: Where can I get a good Preamplifier, not to expensive

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-25 19:18:59 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7kfhhuolr6a8gce3buhdeqtklpkb7jr4lr@4ax.com>
Source record: 46651

On Tue, 25 Jun 2002 14:39:40 -0400, GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
wrote:

>You tell me, more like a Yagi or more like a Log? I thought Logs were
>all fed from the front (I have a log which is fed from the front, but
>this ant is fed in the back.)

I can't tell what the guy was thinking. It may have gain, it may not.
However, it's neither a Log Periodic nor a Yagi. The problem is that
a log periodic antenna requires that the phases shift 180 degrees
between elements. Your antenna has only the back three elements fed
in phase. A Yagi antenna has only one driven element. Yours has
three. I'm stumped.

I don't have any modeling software handy, so I really can't tell what
you have there or whether it's any good or not. I suspect that if you
are getting gain, that it probably isn't 18 dBi of gain and it may not
be all that broadbanded. But that's just a relatively uneducated
guess.

>It does VERY well for only being mounted 10' high right now. I want to
>take measurements and reconstruct another one myself and put up on my 30
>mast which has a rotor.

Higher will be better. If the antenna works for you, good. I suggest
looking at something a bit more, er, conventional...

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

4736. FS: Pro 92 HH scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-25 19:48:15 UTC
From: TiNy <w9zro@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3D18CC23.D6C4C9F2@netscape.net>
Source record: 46652
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Hi, I have a Pro92A version for sale
I just do not use it anymore since I got my 780
I have the leather case(from Radioshack)
"H" adaptaplug(no powerpack just plug) belt clip, battery cases
and 6 1600 MAh NIMHS
unit is in great shape and works fine
I cannot find the manual and box since I moved
I would like $150 Shipped for this radio
US ONLY
I have pictures up at these addresses
http://www.ameritech.net/users/tiny2nz/1600battp92.JPG
http://www.ameritech.net/users/tiny2nz/PR92disp.JPG
thanks for looking
I take CASH, money orders, or personal checks(wait to clear)
NO PAYPAL
thanks TiNy
w9zro@netscape.net

4737. Re: police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-25 21:38:00 UTC
From: "Robert M. Bratcher Jr" <bratcher@pdq.net>
Message-ID: <2FDB9174C22EFAB8.AF33648949A29A7C.6549EC4348E92374@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 46653
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 25 Jun 2002 18:26:18 GMT, SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote:

>"Robert M. Bratcher Jr" wrote:
>
>>I don't like cops. Why? They never solved some crimes that happened to
>>me even though I reported them.
>
>EVEN THOUGH YOU REPORTED THEM???

Yep. They dropped the ball every time.

>How did they do on the ones you didn't report?

It was the armed robbery & they didn't try to catch them either. Just
dropped the ball as usual...

4738. Re: Free Logging Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-25 21:54:25 UTC
From: Karrl Richardson <Karrl@g7aay.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <EzRyyRHRaOG9EwfG@g7aay.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 46655
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This is an access database and does not require any form of
installation.
PLACE IN A FOLDER OR ON YOUR DESKTOP

As long as you have MS Access 2000 installed double click the file and
away you go.

I have downloaded and currently using it as a backup to my log book..

UPDATE WISH

In the next version perhaps a button to remove the example data for
inexperienced users would be a nice function...

I did this by going into Database design and removing unwanted data..

Other than that have found no faults or problems.

Run under Win XP pro, Win ME, Win98se

Best regards

In message <3D17DFB0.8219DD71@earthlink.net>, Burr
<pitzpho@earthlink.net> writes
>Yo, Need some help.
> Install won't install. Who can help me "Tom"
>
>"www.ar3000.info" wrote:
>
>> Free Logging Database built with Access2000 available, complete with Station
>> Locator containing
>> over 5000 International Broadcast Stations.
>>
>> Perfectly suitable for both HAM operators and Shortwave Listeners alike.
>> With the "UserID" field, will also suit Radio Clubs.
>>
>> Records the following:
>>
>> * User ID
>> * Date
>> * RX Freq
>> * TX Freq
>> * Pwr
>> * Mode
>> * Station ID
>> * Station Class

>> * Tx Site
>> * Tx Type
>> * Country
>> * SoundRecording Path
>> * SINPO
>> * Equipment Used
>> * QSL Record
>> * Free-Hand Comments
>> * AND MUCH MORE
>>
>> In addition to the above, there are a wide variety of printable REPORTS
>> based on the information logged.
>>
>> Feel free to find out more at :
>> http://web.ukonline.co.uk./sadmutha/ar3000/mcqlog.htm
>>
>> It's FREE - no silly adverts or registration!
>> --
>>
>> Regards, Tom.
>> *..ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½)) -:ï¿½:-
>> ï¿½.ï¿½ï¿½ .ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½))
>> ((ï¿½ï¿½.ï¿½ï¿½ .ï¿½ï¿½ ..-:ï¿½:- * www.ar3000.info * -:ï¿½:-
>> -:ï¿½:- ((ï¿½ï¿½.ï¿½ï¿½*
>

--
Karrl Richardson
G7AAY
QTH Northampton IO92NG

4739. Real Canadian

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-25 22:07:06 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <eQ5S8.38449$Aa4.1857986@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 46654

Does anyone have the security frequencies for the Real Canadian Superstores in British Columbia Canada? I use to have them but lost them. They were around 400.000 MHz low power hand held. I check this NG regularly so please post. Thanks

4740. Alinco Clone Port

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-25 22:18:14 UTC
From: Simon Twigg <stwigg@btopenworld.com>
Message-ID: <afaq75rev1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 46656

Hello :-)

Can anyone help me with the 2.5mm jack pin outs on an alinco dj-x2000 clone port?

Cheers,

Si.

4741. Re: police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-25 22:58:46 UTC
From: arnoldjimjimREMOVE@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3d18f572.5967165@news.sk.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 46657
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 23 Jun 2002 18:04:16 GMT, "Rick" <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk>
posted:

>:good point, make it on the group and see what the others say.
>:
>:RICK
>:http://groups.yahoo.com/group/policecoms/
>:
Except that Yahoo is really really annoying. To many pop ups.
-J. Arnold

4742. Re: Free Logging Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 00:35:40 UTC
From: "www.ar3000.info" <tommcqDELETETHESECAPS@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <3d190a51_3@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 46658
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Okay Karrl,
Got your suggestion for removing the sample data. I suppose I reckoned that those people who had Access2000 had enough working knowledge to know how to remove all records, but sure enough, there'll be some people who bought Office without ever having touched the "Access" portion of it.

Kind regards, Tom
www.ar3000.info

"Karrl Richardson" <Karrl@g7aay.demon.co.uk> wrote in message news:EzRyyRHRaOG9EwfG@g7aay.demon.co.uk...
>
> This is an access database and does not require any form of
> installation.
> PLACE IN A FOLDER OR ON YOUR DESKTOP
>
> As long as you have MS Access 2000 installed double click the file and
> away you go.
>
> I have downloaded and currently using it as a backup to my log book..
>
> UPDATE WISH
>
> In the next version perhaps a button to remove the example data for
> inexperienced users would be a nice function...
>
> I did this by going into Database design and removing unwanted data..
>
> Other than that have found no faults or problems.
>
> Run under Win XP pro, Win ME, Win98se
>
> Best regards
>
> In message <3D17DFB0.8219DD71@earthlink.net>, Burr
> <pitzpho@earthlink.net> writes
> >Yo, Need some help.
> > Install won't install. Who can help me "Tom"
> >
> >"www.ar3000.info" wrote:
> >
> >> Free Logging Database built with Access2000 available, complete with
Station

> >> Locator containing
> >> over 5000 International Broadcast Stations.
> >>
> >> Perfectly suitable for both HAM operators and Shortwave Listeners
alike.
> >> With the "UserID" field, will also suit Radio Clubs.
> >>
> >> Records the following:
> >>
> >> * User ID
> >> * Date
> >> * RX Freq
> >> * TX Freq
> >> * Pwr
> >> * Mode
> >> * Station ID
> >> * Station Class
> >> * Tx Site
> >> * Tx Type
> >> * Country
> >> * SoundRecording Path
> >> * SINPO
> >> * Equipment Used
> >> * QSL Record
> >> * Free-Hand Comments
> >> * AND MUCH MORE
> >>
> >> In addition to the above, there are a wide variety of printable REPORTS
> >> based on the information logged.
> >>
> >> Feel free to find out more at :
> >> http://web.ukonline.co.uk./sadmutha/ar3000/mcqlog.htm
> >>
> >> It's FREE - no silly adverts or registration!
> >> --
> >>
> >> Regards, Tom.
> >> *..� ���)) -:�:-
> >> ..-:-:-:

4743. Re: Free Logging Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 00:36:56 UTC
From: "www.ar3000.info" <tommcqDELETETHESECAPS@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <3d190a9c$1_3@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 46659
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi Burr,
Karrl has provided you with the answer you seek. I was about to reply much earlier on today but got pushed off the net by "her indoors" :-))

Hope you find the database useful.

Kind regards,

Tom www.ar3000.info

"Burr" <pitzpho@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D17DFB0.8219DD71@earthlink.net...
> Yo, Need some help.
> Install won't install. Who can help me "Tom"
>
> "www.ar3000.info" wrote:
>
> > Free Logging Database built with Access2000 available, complete with
Station
> > Locator containing
> > over 5000 International Broadcast Stations.
> >
> > Perfectly suitable for both HAM operators and Shortwave Listeners alike.
> > With the "UserID" field, will also suit Radio Clubs.
> >
> > Records the following:
> >
> > * User ID
> > * Date
> > * RX Freq
> > * TX Freq
> > * Pwr
> > * Mode
> > * Station ID
> > * Station Class
> > * Tx Site
> > * Tx Type
> > * Country
> > * SoundRecording Path
> > * SINPO
> > * Equipment Used
> > * QSL Record
> > * Free-Hand Comments
> > * AND MUCH MORE

> >
> > In addition to the above, there are a wide variety of printable REPORTS
> > based on the information logged.
> >
> > Feel free to find out more at :
> > http://web.ukonline.co.uk./sadmutha/ar3000/mcqlog.htm
> >
> > It's FREE - no silly adverts or registration!
> > --
> >
> > Regards, Tom.
> > *..<illegible> -:<illegible>:-
> > <illegible>.<illegible> .<illegible>))
> > ((<illegible>.<illegible> .<illegible> ..-:<illegible>:- * www.ar3000.info * -:<illegible>:-
> > -:<illegible>:- ((<illegible>.<illegible>*
>

4744. Re: police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 00:55:34 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ai8S8.29837$PW.24129@news2.central.cox.net>
Source record: 46660
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"James Arnold" <arnoldjimjimREMOVE@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3d18f572.5967165@news.sk.sympatico.ca...
> >:
> Except that Yahoo is really really annoying. To many pop ups.
> -J. Arnold

James try Pop up stopper at: http://www.panicware.com/

73's

4745. ScannerBase XE for Windows XP?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 01:23:35 UTC
From: Mike Mayer <mayermh@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <afb55f$4c9$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 46661

ScannerBase XE is a pretty nifty frequency database, however now that I have XP it won't run. Is there an XP version?

Here's the web site...I didn't see a version for XP: www.csp-tech.com

Thanks,

Mike

4746. Siemens 4215 Gigaset 2.4 GHz Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 02:35:58 UTC
From: 3518693@mail.com
Message-ID: <740cebc3.0206251835.6c21aaba@posting.google.com>
Source record: 46662

Question:

Does anyone know if this phone is true digital transmission? Is the actual transmission digitized, then spread spectrum, or some kind of 1/2 baked system like the old Panasonic phones, where they were digital one way, analog the other.

In short, if one were to break out their scanner and pop it up to 2.4ghz, could you hear the phone call, or sefments of it?

I am interested in buying one, but as a radio freak, know how easy it is to listen in to these things (no, I don't need the "it's illegal" lecture... I know... and its BORING too, but some people do it, and I want to know I am secure.)

Thanks

MW

4747. Re: Real Canadian

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 02:46:27 UTC
From: Gerry Mahar <x769v@unb.ca>
Message-ID: <7W9S8.10847$f52.1586505@news-nb00s0.nbnet.nb.ca>
Source record: 46663

you might try searching Industry Canada's frequency license database.. it's
based on latitude/longitude, and radius from that location
here's the link
http://spectrumdirect.ic.gc.ca/engdoc/main.html
it appears that there is also an option to search by licensee name now
Gerry
"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
news:eQ5S8.38449$Aa4.1857986@news0.telusplanet.net...
> Does anyone have the security frequencies for the Real Canadian
Superstores
> in British Columbia Canada? I use to have them but lost them. They were
> around 400.000 MHz low power hand held. I check this NG regularly so
please
> post. Thanks
>
>

4748. Re: Alinco Clone Port

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 03:25:18 UTC
From: Steve Bossert <kc2gog@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <uuaS8.15440$5M2.827486@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 46664

i purchased a 3/32''(2.5mm)male to 1/8''(3.5mm) female stereo adapter along with a computer cable that radioshack makes for its scanners altogether for just under $20 to program my dj-x10 which is the same as the x2000. you can build your own cable quite easily from schematics available on the web. if you want to clone just get two of the above mentioned adapters and one 3.5mm to 3.5mm cable. hope this helps you out

"Simon Twigg" <stwigg@btopenworld.com> wrote in message news:afaq75rev1@helle.btinternet.com...
> Hello :-)
>
> Can anyone help me with the 2.5mm jack pin outs on an alinco dj-x2000 clone
> port?
>
> Cheers,
>
> Si.
>
>

4749. Re: Real Canadian

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 03:40:54 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <aJaS8.47477$vo2.2182991@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 46665

Already tried IC. The trouble is that they maybe registered under a
different company name or the license maybe registered at an other store or
even in another province.
"Gerry Mahar" <x769v@unb.ca> wrote in message
news:7W9S8.10847$f52.1586505@news-nb00s0.nbnet.nb.ca...
> you might try searching Industry Canada's frequency license database..
it's
> based on latitude/longitude, and radius from that location
> here's the link
> http://spectrumdirect.ic.gc.ca/engdoc/main.html
> it appears that there is also an option to search by licensee name now
> Gerry
> "WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
> news:eQ5S8.38449$Aa4.1857986@news0.telusplanet.net...
> > Does anyone have the security frequencies for the Real Canadian
> Superstores
> > in British Columbia Canada? I use to have them but lost them. They were
> > around 400.000 MHz low power hand held. I check this NG regularly so
> please
> > post. Thanks
> >
> >
>
>

4750. Re: Siemens 4215 Gigaset 2.4 GHz Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 03:55:01 UTC
From: Stan <swperk@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D193B12.B07BDFBE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 46666

M Wilson wrote:
>
> Question:
>
> Does anyone know if this phone is true digital transmission? Is the
> actual transmission digitized, then spread spectrum, or some kind of
> 1/2 baked system like the old Panasonic phones, where they were
> digital one way, analog the other.
>
> In short, if one were to break out their scanner and pop it up to
> 2.4ghz, could you hear the phone call, or sefments of it?
>
> I am interested in buying one, but as a radio freak, know how easy it
> is to listen in to these things (no, I don't need the "it's illegal"
> lecture... I know... and its BORING too, but some people do it, and I
> want to know I am secure.)
>
> Thanks
>
> MW

Hi,

The Siemens web site says it's a 2.4 GHz full digital frequency hopping spread spectrum system.

I tuned my spectrum analyzer to 2.4 GHz and with my 4215 held next to the input I saw the frequency hopping spread spectrum pattern. Zero spanning on one of the frequencies showed a pulse (digital) modulation waveform. I don't have a scanner that goes up to 2.4 GHz, but I would assume that one would hear nothing intelligible.

Regards,
Stan

4751. Re: FT: Icom R3 + Accessories for 300-500mhz Laptop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 03:56:32 UTC
From: Anthony Gaspro <gaspro@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <creihuk1hlk386ajhr589t1hhr21irkee7@4ax.com>
Source record: 46667
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

>Looking to trade an Icom R3 with all original accessories + Protective
>case and Car DC Adapter for a 300-500Mhz laptop. Greater Portland
>Area/SW Washington a plus.
>
>tony@nwburgers.com

4752. Uniden Turbo Scan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 06:07:49 UTC
From: Book <book16@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3d195a38$0$31829$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 46668

I'm yet to figure out just how 'turbo scan' on Unidens (namely 3000XLT)
actually makes it faster....

Does anyone know?

Thanks,
--
Book

4753. The best handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 09:39:44 UTC
From: Trondheim <937275212@terra.es>
Message-ID: <AZfS8.415130$s6.2964564@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 46669
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

What is the best handheld scanner?
ICOM IC-R10 or YAESU VR-500, without considering the king of the hand scanners the AOR AR8200 (but with the problems of short autonomy of his batteries and his expensive price).

I want to scanner all mode (AM, N/FM, SSB & CW), handheld, that cover frequencies between 0,5 MHz and 1300 MHz minimum, and that has a good selectivity and sensitivity, these two models that I expose are the firm candidates, and only models that sell where I live (I do not want to buy at distance, by the problems of repairs while this in guarantee, either to buy used scanners, unless one says to me that the ICOM IC-R10 and the YAESU VR-500 aren't a good election).

Thanks,

Trondheim

4754. Re: The best handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 10:47:11 UTC
From: Nigel Hewitt <news@REMOVETHISnigelhewitt.net>
Message-ID: <afc63f$oo6$1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 46670
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

"Trondheim" wrote
> I want to scanner all mode (AM, N/FM, SSB & CW), handheld, that cover
> frequencies between 0,5 MHz and 1300 MHz minimum, and that has a good
> selectivity and sensitivity,

I don't know much about scanners but this got
crossposted to a group I read and I'm perplexed.

If I set up a scanner to scan from 0.5 Mhz upwards
stopping on each AM, FM, SSB and CW signal for long
enough for me to decide if I am interested or not....

On what date does it reach 1300MHz?

nigelH

4755. Re: Uniden Turbo Scan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 12:48:49 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <afcd4i$da3ne$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 46671

"Book" <book16@optusnet.com.au> wrote in message
news:3d195a38$0$31829$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> I'm yet to figure out just how 'turbo scan' on Unidens (namely 3000XLT)
> actually makes it faster....
>
> Does anyone know?

The difference between normal scan and turbo scan on Uniden scanners is that under normal scan, the scanner will scan each channel sequentially, i.e. Channels 1, 2, 3, 4, etc. In turbo scan, the scanner scans each channel by frequency, picking the lowest frequency in each bank and scanning upwards to the highest programmed freq in each bank, then moving to the next bank and doing the same thing. Scanning by frequency must save a lot of time in the processor, giving a much faster scan rate.

Tom

4756. Re: Uniden Turbo Scan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 14:03:06 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uhjign5g5bji97@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 46672

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:afcd4i$da3ne$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Book" <book16@optusnet.com.au> wrote in message
> news:3d195a38$0$31829$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> > I'm yet to figure out just how 'turbo scan' on Unidens (namely 3000XLT)
> > actually makes it faster....
> >
> > Does anyone know?
>
> The difference between normal scan and turbo scan on Uniden scanners is
that
> under normal scan, the scanner will scan each channel sequentially, i.e.
> Channels 1, 2, 3, 4, etc. In turbo scan, the scanner scans each channel
by
> frequency, picking the lowest frequency in each bank and scanning upwards
to
> the highest programmed freq in each bank, then moving to the next bank and
> doing the same thing. Scanning by frequency must save a lot of time in
the
> processor, giving a much faster scan rate.
>
> Tom
>
I had noticed that frequency scanning, however I never knew that was the
reason for it. I noticed it in my BC895... Always wondered about that...

Thanks for the info!
PH

4757. Sounds from Newcastle - Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 15:48:47 UTC
From: Garry <emergencymonitor@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <0zlS8.21580$Hj3.67925@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 46674

We have audio recordings of a situation that unfolded in our region yesterday.

A Police officer was shot and a high speed pursuit followed which ended in a siege with more shots being fired at and by police.

The police officer is in hospital and the offender is now deceased.

go to http://newcastlescan.tripod.com
click on the soundbytes link.

Please sign our guest book

4758. Re: The best handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 15:50:04 UTC
From: keith <mke65@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <c6ojhu8fau8i3mbr3krc1t9haiei8l9jb6@4ax.com>
Source record: 46673
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

Having owned the aor 8000, 8200, yupi 9000 and the alinco dj-x2000 I
give the nudge to the alinco. the radio has many usefull features
that are not included or cost extra on the other radios. Having built
in record function for 2.5 minutes if useful, speech descrambler, ctss
decode, 2000 channels, exellent bank breakdown (40 channels per),
excellent construction, computer control, the list goes on. Only weak
spot is scan sped is slow but this is remedied by grouping
frequencies ie, 450 mhz in one bank, 150 in another, etc... or at
least keep them consecutive within the same bank. The scanner slows
when moving from one frequency range to another. Keith
On Wed, 26 Jun 2002 09:39:44 GMT, "Trondheim" <937275212@terra.es>
wrote:

>What is the best handheld scanner?
>ICOM IC-R10 or YAESU VR-500, without considering the king of the hand
>scanners the AOR AR8200 (but with the problems of short autonomy of his
>batteries and his expensive price).
>
>I want to scanner all mode (AM, N/FM, SSB & CW), handheld, that cover
>frequencies between 0,5 MHz and 1300 MHz minimum, and that has a good
>selectivity and sensitivity, these two models that I expose are the firm
>candidates, and only models that sell where I live (I do not want to buy at
>distance, by the problems of repairs while this in guarantee, either to buy
>used scanners, unless one says to me that the ICOM IC-R10 and the YAESU
>VR-500 aren't a good election).
>
>Thanks,
>
>Trondheim
>
>
>

4759. Re: Where can I get a good Preamplifier, not to expensive

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 16:55:01 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4lmS8.261$6C5.109169@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 46675

GeorgeF says.....
>
> I bought this from someone who makes them in his home. Here is the
> picture/advertisement from which I purchased it from:
> http://www.milaircomms.com/images/yagi1.jpg
>
> The next two images I just took (5 minutes ago) of the actual antenna on
> my "test pole", sorry for the crap pictures but its been cloudy today.
> http://www.milaircomms.com/images/yagi2.jpg
> http://www.milaircomms.com/images/yagi3.jpg
>
> There are three driven elements, no reflectors. The length of the three
> driven elements are 16 1/2", 15 1/4", and 14 7/16".
>
> You tell me, more like a Yagi or more like a Log? I thought Logs were
> all fed from the front (I have a log which is fed from the front, but
> this ant is fed in the back.)
>
> All three driven elements are connected together with the horizonal wire
> as you can see in the photo.
>
> It does VERY well for only being mounted 10' high right now. I want to
> take measurements and reconstruct another one myself and put up on my 30
> mast which has a rotor.
>
> Thoughts??

Sure. You have a "Log Periodic Scanner Antenna" from AntennaWarehouse, the place you constantly shill. Now your buddy Tim at AntennaWarehouse claims in his ad that his "Log Periodic Scanner Antenna" "...have higher performance in the...225-400 MHz. Military Aircraft and Satellite band...than any other antenna we have tested in it's price class, and rival the performance of logs costing many times their price." I recall he posted on here he spent a summer testing this antenna. He to this day refuses to disclose the antennas he tested against but what do you expect from such a fraud?

Why the hell would you waste your money on anything else George? According to AntennaWarehouse you have the best already. Why look any further?

Even funnier is you talking about building an antenna. You all but filled your drawers when I suggested you drill 2 holes in a TV antenna to change polarization to vertical. Remember that George? I think you said you were incapable of drilling holes to turn a $15 TV antenna

into a AntennaWarehouse ""Log Periodic Scanner Antenna" " clone. You are a real funny guy George.

Glenn

4760. Re: Where can I get a good Preamplifier, not to expensive

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 17:17:07 UTC
From: grossmanms@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020626131707.17605.00000714@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 46676

On the subject of directional antennas. I have a military surplus AS-1405 antenna that is a 225-400mhz beam antenna made for the PRC-41 radio. I use it mainly for monitoring the UHFSATCOM stuff in the 260mhz region, but it is also fantastic for monitoring the 225-400 military airband. They sell for $90 at Murphy's Surplus in California. Back to the pre-amp subject.... for my Satcom stuff I am forced to use a 30db 240-270 pre-amp, but for my other monitoring I just use good coax and if I am on a 100foot or longer run I use the litle inline Radio Shack 10db pre-amp. On the average, I get better results without the pre-amp and only use it when I have a specific frequency I am keeping an eye on.

4761. Re: The best handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 17:22:07 UTC
From: Noel Glass <noel@171lowstreet.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <afct7q$3oo$1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 46677
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

What about Yupi MVT 7100 (Still the best handheld scanner to date so I am
told)

"keith" <mke65@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:c6ojhu8fau8i3mbr3krc1t9haiei8l9jb6@4ax.com...
> Having owned the aor 8000, 8200, yupi 9000 and the alinco dj-x2000 I
> give the nudge to the alinco. the radio has many usefull features
> that are not included or cost extra on the other radios. Having built
> in record function for 2.5 minutes if useful, speech descrambler, ctss
> decode, 2000 channels, exellent bank breakdown (40 channels per),
> excellent construction, computer control, the list goes on. Only weak
> spot is scan sped is slow but this is remedied by grouping
> frequencies ie, 450 mhz in one bank, 150 in another, etc... or at
> least keep them consecutive within the same bank. The scanner slows
> when moving from one frequency range to another. Keith
> On Wed, 26 Jun 2002 09:39:44 GMT, "Trondheim" <937275212@terra.es>
> wrote:
>
> >What is the best handheld scanner?
> >ICOM IC-R10 or YAESU VR-500, without considering the king of the hand
> >scanners the AOR AR8200 (but with the problems of short autonomy of his
> >batteries and his expensive price).
> >
> >I want to scanner all mode (AM, N/FM, SSB & CW), handheld, that cover
> >frequencies between 0,5 MHz and 1300 MHz minimum, and that has a good
> >selectivity and sensitivity, these two models that I expose are the firm
> >candidates, and only models that sell where I live (I do not want to buy
at
> >distance, by the problems of repairs while this in guarantee, either to
buy
> >used scanners, unless one says to me that the ICOM IC-R10 and the YAESU
> >VR-500 aren't a good election).
> >
> >Thanks,
> >
> >Trondheim
> >
> >
> >
>

4762. Re: The best handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 17:47:46 UTC
From: Howard Long <howard@howardlongxxx.com>
Message-ID: <afcuo2$do5$1@venus.btinternet.com>
Source record: 46621
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

> ICOM IC-R10 or YAESU VR-500, without considering the king of the hand
> scanners the AOR AR8200 (but with the problems of short autonomy of his
> batteries and his expensive price).

If you use it for Ham use, the IC-R10 IMHO. Why?

After all, the 8200 has a far better SSB filter (the R10 & VR500 use their 6kHz AM filters for SSB so they're not that good on a busy band).

So as the 8200 has a half decent SSB filter (still not that good), why do I prefer the R10? One reason. The tuning control.

The 8200 has a useless tuning mechanism with a thumbwheel rather than a proper tuning knob. Not having a tuning knob in the conventional sense renders this radio useless for Ham use. Somebody better tell AOR that you need a tuning knob on a radio.

The IC-R10 & 8200 come with rechargeables and recharger in the UK but the VR-500 doesn't.

The computer interface on the R10 utilises the standard ICOM CIV interface so not only is it fully featured but there's lots of software that will work for it.

The 8200 has a fairly good computer interface too.

The VR500's computer interface is only there for cloning and programming the memories. No 'real time' mode like the 8200 & R10 have.

The VR500 is smaller than the R10 which is, in turn, smaller than the 8200.

The user interface of both the 8200 and the VR500 leave me very confused.

The 8200 has a better stock antenna as it is a telescopic whip. The other two have rubber ducks. The 8200 also comes with a small ferrite coil antenna which, unless you already have a decent antenna, is essential if you want to have chance at picking up anything below about 14MHz.

I noticed lots of internally generated microcontroller hash on the 8200 (mark 2 version) I've owned.

Compared to other vendors, AOR should be congratulated on their web product support for all their products.

At one time or another over the past three years I've used all these radios fairly intensively. I purchased the R10 first. And it's still the R10 that I prefer, and is the only one I still own and use regularly.

Howard G6LVB

4763. Scanning St. Louis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 19:28:17 UTC
From: Jeff Seale <jseale18@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92399D36F189Djseale18insightbbcom@63.240.76.16>
Source record: 46678

I'm heading to St. Louis this weekend to see the Reds play the Cards. I know TWA Dome and America's Center have a trunked system they share amongst themselves, but what about the Busch? Are they still on a conventional system?

4764. Scanning Southern Indiana

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 19:32:24 UTC
From: Jeff Seale <jseale18@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92399DE943998jseale18insightbbcom@63.240.76.16>
Source record: 46679

Is Evansville's ISP station the only one in southern Indiana that's trunked?

Need to know because me and my dad are riding through that area on my way from Louisville, KY to St. Louis.

I already have the info regarding Vanderburgh County's trunk.

4765. Atlanta area airport frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 20:03:40 UTC
From: rlowryjones@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3d1a1db3.2088901@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 46680

I need freqs for Herndorn, DFK and other Atlanta area airports.

4766. Re: Atlanta area airport frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 20:27:14 UTC
From: b7spectra <webmaster@scanatlanta.com>
Message-ID: <CspS8.161957$R61.57694@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 46681

Go to www.scanatlanta.com and check Aviation link.

--

/\/\|k.e

Michael B. Martin
Webmaster@ScanAtlanta.com
mm505@mindspring.com

<rlowryjones@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3d1a1db3.2088901@news.mindspring.com...
> I need freqs for Herndorn, DFK and other Atlanta area airports.

4767. Freq finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 20:37:13 UTC
From: SugarBroker@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8259-3D1A25F9-6@storefull-2198.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 46682

Just wondering if a site exist where one can enter a freq and find
assigne. Thanks .

4768. Re: The best handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 20:56:40 UTC
From: JRW <jrw@0spam.gmx.co.uk>
Message-ID: <tKpS8.11096$VP6.827621@stones>
Source record: 46683
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

The Yupiteru MVT7100 is fantastic and very sensitive on receive. 10 band scan, am, nfm, wfm, usb, ssb, 1000 memories, 50hz, 100hz, 1khz, 5khz, 6.25khz, 9khz, 10khz, 12.5khz, 25khz, 50khz, 100khz steps, direct frequency entry via keypad, squelch knob, tuning knob, priority channel, battery save, uses 4xAA batteries, bnc atenna connection. 500khz to 1.3GHz tuning continuously That's all I can remember at the moment.

John
Noel Glass <noel@171lowstreet.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:afct7q$3oo$1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk...
> What about Yupi MVT 7100 (Still the best handheld scanner to date so I am
> told)
>
>
> "keith" <mke65@attbi.com> wrote in message
> news:c6ojhu8fau8i3mbr3krc1t9haiei8l9jb6@4ax.com...
> > Having owned the aor 8000, 8200, yupi 9000 and the alinco dj-x2000 I
> > give the nudge to the alinco. the radio has many usefull features
> > that are not included or cost extra on the other radios. Having built
> > in record function for 2.5 minutes if useful, speech descrambler, ctss
> > decode, 2000 channels, exellent bank breakdown (40 channels per),
> > excellent construction, computer control, the list goes on. Only weak
> > spot is scan sped is slow but this is remedied by grouping
> > frequencies ie, 450 mhz in one bank, 150 in another, etc... or at
> > least keep them consecutive within the same bank. The scanner slows
> > when moving from one frequency range to another. Keith
> > On Wed, 26 Jun 2002 09:39:44 GMT, "Trondheim" <937275212@terra.es>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >What is the best handheld scanner?
> > >ICOM IC-R10 or YAESU VR-500, without considering the king of the hand
> > >scanners the AOR AR8200 (but with the problems of short autonomy of his
> > >batteries and his expensive price).
> > >
> > >I want to scanner all mode (AM, N/FM, SSB & CW), handheld, that cover
> > >frequencies between 0,5 MHz and 1300 MHz minimum, and that has a good
> > >selectivity and sensitivity, these two models that I expose are the
firm
> > >candidates, and only models that sell where I live (I do not want to
buy
> at
> > >distance, by the problems of repairs while this in guarantee, either to
> buy

> > >used scanners, unless one says to me that the ICOM IC-R10 and the YAESU
> > >VR-500 aren't a good election).
> > >
> > >Thanks,
> > >
> > >Trondheim
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>

4769. Re: AOR AR900 batt. pack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 20:58:30 UTC
From: mrjefferson99@wmconnect.comrichter
Message-ID: <20020626165830.25489.00001001@mb-cd.wmconnect.com>
Source record: 46684

>I'm looking for replacement battery pack for AOR AR900 portable. AOR no
>longer stocks them, does anyone have any idea where I might them?
>thnx

I purchased 5 AA NiMHyd cells and made my own for around $15.
A good source for these is www.allelectronics.com

You can use your original charger and expect double the operation time from
these cells vs the NiCad that AOR supplied.

4770. Re: Monitenna 5094A New In The Box Channel Master

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 21:56:18 UTC
From: dxlover574738846@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020626175618.01023.00003446@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 46685

>I don't mean to gyp you out of a sale, but you can build one of those for
>next to nothing. I built something similar (bicone antenna) out of a broom
>handle and wire coat hangers.
*****rest snipped*****

Tom, I was looking at your *projects* on your site and I have a question.

I have a 4:1 balun that I had at one time used with the thinking of
transmitting on it (which I never did) as well as receiving.

I have approx 200 ft. of wire outside, would it help at all in the receiving
part, and if so......how?

Would it serve any purpose to hook up that balun at the end of the wire by the
receiver like you did on your "bicone"? :-)

4771. Re: Freq finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 22:02:14 UTC
From: Harry <marnells@cox.net>
Message-ID: <GRqS8.44642$Ok1.3705978@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 46686
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Sure, the FCCs search site(s) at either:
http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts
or
http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts
or
http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm
or
http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm

Best choice for that kind of search would be to choose either the
"State/County/Frequency" search or the "Frequency/State" search.
Choose and/or fill in the State (and county) and frequency. When the
return comes back, click on the "ULS DATABASE" ... it may take a while
to return, especially if it's a popular frequency, so give it time.

--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications
History

"Joseph Blanpain" <SugarBroker@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:8259-3D1A25F9-6@storefull-2198.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Just wondering if a site exist where one can enter a freq and find
> assigne. Thanks .
>

4772. Re: Atlanta area airport frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 22:04:16 UTC
From: Harry <marnells@cox.net>
Message-ID: <ATqS8.44657$Ok1.3706130@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 46687
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Go to www.airnav.com , click on "Airports" and enter the airport name
or 3-digit code number.

--
Harry Marnell
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm <~~ LAPD Communications
History

<rlowryjones@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3d1a1db3.2088901@news.mindspring.com...
> I need freqs for Herndorn, DFK and other Atlanta area airports.

4773. Now that it's here in my apartment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 22:21:02 UTC
From: Len Beecroft <lbeecroft8041@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <i7rS8.1959$MIK.756@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 46688

Now that the ALINCO DJ-X3 is here in my apartment, I went through the
booklet.

I can turn it on and search and stuff but how how how do you program it???.

Grrrrrrr!!!!..... Easy to opperate, yup easy to tunn on and set the vol.

anyway please help me out okay?

Thank-You

4774. Re: police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 22:28:50 UTC
From: Bill Baka <bbaka@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3D1A4027.7B6FAF8A@pacbell.net>
Source record: 46689
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Ken Bessler wrote:

> "James Arnold" <arnoldjimjimREMOVE@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3d18f572.5967165@news.sk.sympatico.ca...
> > >:
> > Except that Yahoo is really really annoying. To many pop ups.
> > -J. Arnold
>
> James try Pop up stopper at: http://www.panicware.com/

Pop up stopper is good but too agressive sometimes, blocking links from
e mail to open a second window. Off topic, but...

>
>
> 73's

4775. Re: Where can I get a good Preamplifier, not to expensive

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 22:29:56 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3D1A4064.8040607@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 46690

Glenn wrote:

> GeorgeF says.....
>
>>I bought this from someone who makes them in his home. Here is the
>>picture/advertisement from which I purchased it from:
>>http://www.milaircomms.com/images/yagi1.jpg
>>
>>The next two images I just took (5 minutes ago) of the actual antenna on
>>my "test pole", sorry for the crap pictures but its been cloudy today.
>>http://www.milaircomms.com/images/yagi2.jpg
>>http://www.milaircomms.com/images/yagi3.jpg
>>
>>There are three driven elements, no reflectors. The length of the three
>>driven elements are 16 1/2", 15 1/4", and 14 7/16".
>>
>>You tell me, more like a Yagi or more like a Log? I thought Logs were
>>all fed from the front (I have a log which is fed from the front, but
>>this ant is fed in the back.)
>>
>>All three driven elements are connected together with the horizonal wire
>>as you can see in the photo.
>>
>>It does VERY well for only being mounted 10' high right now. I want to
>>take measurements and reconstruct another one myself and put up on my 30
>>mast which has a rotor.
>>
>>Thoughts??
>>
>
> Sure. You have a "Log Periodic Scanner Antenna" from AntennaWarehouse,
> the place you constantly shill. Now your buddy Tim at AntennaWarehouse
> claims in his ad that his "Log Periodic Scanner Antenna" "...have
> higher performance in the...225-400 MHz. Military Aircraft and
> Satellite band...than any other antenna we have tested in it's price
> class, and rival the performance of logs costing many times their
> price." I recall he posted on here he spent a summer testing this
> antenna. He to this day refuses to disclose the antennas he tested
> against but what do you expect from such a fraud?
>
> Why the hell would you waste your money on anything else George?
> According to AntennaWarehouse you have the best already. Why look any
> further?
>

> Even funnier is you talking about building an antenna. You all but
> filled your drawers when I suggested you drill 2 holes in a TV antenna
> to change polarization to vertical. Remember that George? I think you
> said you were incapable of drilling holes to turn a $15 TV antenna
> into a AntennaWarehouse ""Log Periodic Scanner Antenna" " clone. You
> are a real funny guy George.
>

You "think" wrong. I did not say that I was incapable of drilling holes to turn a $15.00 TV antenna into a scanner antenna. If you will pull up the posts from that "go-around" you'll see that I told you that $15.00 TV antennas do not exist in our local stores including Home Depot as you suggested.

You will also note that AntennaWarehouse stated "higher performance than any other antenna we have tested in it's price class". Key word Glenn is Price Class. They never said it was the best antenna period.

I do own an AntennaWarehouse Scanner Beam and am very happy with mine. It is the best commerically available antenna I have yet to own. However as with most people we look to improve what we have, not put down what we don't......

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

4776. Terre Haute, IN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 22:42:54 UTC
From: markinillinois@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13887-3D1A436E-77@storefull-2391.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 46695

The Terre Haute airshow is Saturday & Sunday, July 13-14. If anyone is going on Saturday and would be interested in hooking up, please send me an e-mail.

Mark Holmes
Marion, IL

Aircraft Listener, Airline Enthusiast,
Air Refueling & Military Airshows
Scanning the Skies from Southern Illinois

4777. Re: PCR 1K Probs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 22:44:35 UTC
From: Brian Short <bshort4@cox.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.178454b08fb696d3989688@news.west.cox.net>
Source record: 46691

Where is this program PCR1000BUR available?

In article <ugqf2v7k7hke26@corp.supernews.com>, maystar@abuse.com says...
> Go to http://www.qth.net and read through the PCR-1000 message forum
> archives. There are at least 2 freeware programs out there that will reset
> your radio's eprom with factory defaults. The freeware program PCR1000BUR
> will do this and also will unblock 800 MHz frequencies if your radio has an
> early serial number. Check out the forum for further info.
>
> "John" <jwschn@ntr.net> wrote in message
> news:ugp20agq4cmb8c@corp.supernews.com...
> > My 5 year old PCR1K has gone kapoot. I can' seem to receive anything but
> > static. I don't know if the eprom was corrupted or if something more
> serious
> > (lighting jolt) has done it.

--
People who think they know it all are annoying
to those of us who do.
--
See my web page: http://www.k7on.com/
--

4778. Re: police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 23:00:41 UTC
From: Joe <joe.amp@NOSPAMverizon.net>
Message-ID: <tIrS8.28873$cE5.24052@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 46692
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

George Phillips of Meridian, Mississippi was going up to bed when his wife told him that he'd left the light on in the garden shed, which she could see from the bedroom window.
George opened the back door to go turn off the light but saw that there were people in the shed stealing things. He phoned the police, who asked "Is someone in your house?" and he said no.
Then they said that all patrols were busy, and that he should simply lock his door and an officer would be along when available. George said "Okay," hung up, counted to 30, and phoned the police again. "Hello. I just called you a few seconds ago because there were people in my shed. Well, you don't have to worry about them now cause I've just shot them all." Then he hung up.

Within five minutes three police cars, an Armed Response unit, and an ambulance showed up at the Phillips residence.
Of course, the police caught the burglars red-handed. One of the policemen said to George: "I thought you said that you'd shot them!"
George said, "I thought you said there was nobody available

Ken Bessler wrote:
"James Arnold" <arnoldjimjimREMOVE@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3d18f572.5967165@news.sk.sympatico.ca...
> >:
> Except that Yahoo is really really annoying. To many pop ups.
> -J. Arnold
James try Pop up stopper at: http://www.panicware.com/
Pop up stopper is good but too agressive sometimes, blocking links from e mail to open a second window. Off topic, but...

73's

4779. Re: AOR 8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 23:08:31 UTC
From: Network Dude <netdude@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <PPrS8.394$ka6.220005@news3.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 46693

2 days left on this auction. One more image added to the listing.
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1362199223

"Network Dude" <netdude@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:tMuR8.80$Un3.77469@news3.news.adelphia.net...
> AOR 8000 , No coverage gaps. Case, manual, power supply.
> Custom jack for scout reaction tuning, and scout cable.
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1362199223
>
>

4780. Re: Now that it's here in my apartment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 23:13:11 UTC
From: Red TR1 <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <iUrS8.2102$eH2.1687364@ruti.visi.com>
Source record: 46694

The only fairly easy way to program any of the keyless scanners is to program them by computer. Buy the programming cable and the software is a free download from Alinco. With the X3 you need to do a lot of dial spinning to get to the frequency and then enter it into memory. The easiest way to set up your banks is by frequency rather than by service.

Greg
"Len Beecroft" <lbeecroft8041@rogers.com> wrote in message news:i7rS8.1959$MIK.756@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> Now that the ALINCO DJ-X3 is here in my apartment, I went through the
> booklet.
>
> I can turn it on and search and stuff but how how how do you program it???.
>
> Grrrrrrr!!!!..... Easy to opperate, yup easy to tunn on and set the vol.
>
> anyway please help me out okay?
>
> Thank-You
>
>

4781. Re: police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-26 23:16:34 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brandad@kornet.net>
Message-ID: <afdgtc$c97$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 46696
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

All you have to do is hold the Control key down to allow those pop-ups, if you know they are going to be there. :)
Pop up stopper is good but too agressive sometimes, blocking links from e mail to open a second window. Off topic, but...

73's

4782. Re: FA Multiband Scanner Antenna 4 hrs left -- WE GET THE IDEA ALEX.!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 00:08:17 UTC
From: Wayne <wayneleake@wayneleake.net>
Message-ID: <uhklri1aur0h53@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 46597
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

Of course it's spamming.
He needs something to eet

--
Wayne. <|:^)>
W6YNE@charter.net
http://www.wayneleake.net

"SlowMoe" <SlowMoe@aaa123.net-us.gov> wrote in message
news:jk0fhuo9ang8qif4oaf0ol2iv5cl595b80@4ax.com...
> Isn't it spaming when you list something for sell on several boards
> over and over ??????
>
>
>
> On Mon, 24 Jun 2002 18:32:09 GMT, "Alex" <sgrubor@shaw.caNSPM> wrote:
>
> >http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1361313254
>

4783. Re: FA Multiband Scanner Antenna 4 hrs left -- WE GET THE IDEA ALEX.!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 00:33:33 UTC
From: 2w8ji@contesting.com
Message-ID: <3d1a5bc1.728599711@news.akorn.net>
Source record: 46598
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 27 Jun 2002 00:13:12 GMT, SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote:

>"Wayne" wrote:
>
>>Of course it's spamming.
>
>It's not spamming, you idiot.
>
>Go here and do some reading.
>
>http://spam.abuse.net/overview/whatisspam.shtml

But the FAQ list for some of these groups specifically ask people not
post for sale or for auction items.

4784. Re: PCR 1K Probs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 01:26:50 UTC
From: sphipps <sphipps@joplin.com>
Message-ID: <uhkqembl1hku55@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 46599

Offhand I can't think of the websites, but if you go back about 2 months in the PCR-1000 archives at http://www.qth.com you'll find a thread that gives several ftp addresses and websites for it.

"Brian Short" <bshort4@cox.net> wrote in message news:MPG.178454b08fb696d3989688@news.west.cox.net...
>
> Where is this program PCR1000BUR available?

4785. FA Rohde & Schwarz Spectrum Monitor w/GIANT 9" screen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 02:00:43 UTC
From: "Kevin D. Murray" <murray@spybusters.com>
Message-ID: <3D1A71CB.D8D95593@spybusters.com>
Source record: 46600
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

R&S Model EZM - RF/IF Spectrum Monitor
ï¿½ Useful for TSCM bug hunting / signal analysis, ham / amateur radio.
ï¿½ RF / IF Signal Analysis
ï¿½ 1 kHz to 2 MHz display range.
ï¿½ 10.7 MHz & 75 MHz inputs.
ï¿½ Use with your receiver
or...
ï¿½ Made to mate with R&S ESH3 or ESVP receivers.

Must see photos...
Just imagine this beauty - center stage - in your shop or shack.
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1744636489

Transit shipping case included at no extra charge.

Thanks for looking!

4786. Re: ScannerBase XE for Windows XP?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 02:07:26 UTC
From: Mike Mayer <mayermh@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <afds24blo3@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 46601

Thanks for the tip. I've heard about that - how do I get it to run in Win98 compatibility mode? Is there an XP system setting on a per-file basis to do that?

Thanks,

Mike

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:4l9ihug18gj6h6ab08pl5od13nociu8vnf@4ax.com...
> "Mike Mayer" wrote:
>
> >ScannerBase XE is a pretty nifty frequency database, however now that I have
> >XP it won't run. Is there an XP version?
>
> I've not used it, but have you tried running it in Compatibility Mode
> for Win98??

4787. TRUNK CODES QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 02:36:55 UTC
From: cpb412@cs.com
Message-ID: <20020626223655.01601.00000983@mb-ck.news.cs.com>
Source record: 46602

Hi can someone explain what trunk codes are and how to use them? Here is an example:

I want to hear the scpd 4th precinct dispatch. There is no exact 800mhz code for this but there is a "fleet id". (54576) When I enter this into the scanner it says error. (i guess its not a frequency) How do I listen to this?
Please let me know, Thanks
Chris

4788. Re: Monitenna 5094A New In The Box Channel Master

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 02:47:21 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <afdu8q$dnive$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 46603

"DXlover574738846" <dxlover574738846@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020626175618.01023.00003446@mb-bj.aol.com...

>
> Tom, I was looking at your *projects* on your site and I have a question.
>
> I have a 4:1 balun that I had at one time used with the thinking of
> transmitting on it (which I never did) as well as receiving.
>
> I have approx 200 ft. of wire outside, would it help at all in the
receiving
> part, and if so......how?

A 9:1 balun is best for a wire for receiving, as it tends to be low-noise. It will help with matching impedances, but I have used a wire attached directly to the center conductor of the coax without any problems. I think it does work better with the balun attached.

> Would it serve any purpose to hook up that balun at the end of the wire by
the
> receiver like you did on your "bicone"? :-)

I didn't hook up a balun to the bicone, just a random wire. The balun was a 1:1 balun I had laying around. If I had one, I would have used a 9:1 balun on the random wire. But the bicone antenna is attached to both sides of the coax.

Tom

4789. FA: Icom IC-R2 Compact, Hand-held Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 02:50:53 UTC
From: Rick Kempe <r.kempe@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <h4vS8.63252$UT.4316523@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 46604

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1362846670

Thanks for looking!

4790. Re: TRUNK CODES QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 03:12:27 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3D1A829B.1D73DC64@optonline.net>
Source record: 46605

Do you have a "trunk tracking" capable scanner?

The manual will tell you this.

And should provide instructions for entering the System Type (Motorola,
EDACs), the frequencies, and the ID codes.

Cpb412 wrote:
>
> Hi can someone explain what trunk codes are and how to use them? Here is an
> example:
>
> I want to hear the scpd 4th precinct dispatch. There is no exact 800mhz code
> for this but there is a "fleet id". (54576) When I enter this into the scanner
> it says error. (i guess its not a frequency) How do I listen to this?
> Please let me know, Thanks
> Chris

4791. Re: Monitenna 5094A New In The Box Channel Master

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 03:30:01 UTC
From: dxlover574738846@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020626233001.19341.00000494@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 46606

>A 9:1 balun is best for a wire for receiving, as it tends to be low-noise.
>It will help with matching impedances, but I have used a wir

Gotcha....have one of those also. :-)

4792. Re: The best handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 04:07:39 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <5r3lhuge3oofnm30rhenoiov9m60t49uug@4ax.com>
Source record: 46607
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

Fresh from a command appearance on The View, "JRW" <jrw@0spam.gmx.co.uk> moaned...

>The Yupiteru MVT7100 is fantastic and very sensitive on receive.

But it doesn't do trunking.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

4793. Re: NS Frequencies in Allentown, PA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 05:35:31 UTC
From: mahatmakanejeeves@swissinfo.org
Message-ID: <92d33cb.0206262135.7a35e824@posting.google.com>
Source record: 46608

William Carl Rooney <wrooney@andrew.cmu.edu> wrote in message
news:<Pine.GSO.4.43L-024.0206241625230.11094-100000@unix6.andrew.cmu.edu>...
> All,
>
> I am trying to find the NS frequencies used in the Allentown, PA
> area.

Have you tried http://groups.yahoo.com/group/RailScan/ ? It's a busy group.

4794. Re: Uniden Turbo Scan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 06:11:48 UTC
From: Book <book16@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3d1aaca5$0$31825$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 46609

Many thanks, I've been trying to figure that out for a while.

--
Book

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:afcd4i$da3ne$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Book" <book16@optusnet.com.au> wrote in message
> news:3d195a38$0$31829$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> > I'm yet to figure out just how 'turbo scan' on Unidens (namely 3000XLT)
> > actually makes it faster....
> >
> > Does anyone know?
>
> The difference between normal scan and turbo scan on Uniden scanners is
that
> under normal scan, the scanner will scan each channel sequentially, i.e.
> Channels 1, 2, 3, 4, etc. In turbo scan, the scanner scans each channel
by
> frequency, picking the lowest frequency in each bank and scanning upwards
to
> the highest programmed freq in each bank, then moving to the next bank and
> doing the same thing. Scanning by frequency must save a lot of time in
the
> processor, giving a much faster scan rate.
>
> Tom
>
>

4795. Re: Where can I get a good Preamplifier, not to expensive

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 06:17:53 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <W5yS8.11$qd6.11488@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 46610

GeorgeF says......

> You "think" wrong. I did not say that I was incapable of drilling holes
> to turn a $15.00 TV antenna into a scanner antenna. If you will pull
> up the posts from that "go-around" you'll see that I told you that
> $15.00 TV antennas do not exist in our local stores including Home Depot
> as you suggested.

Oh, and ordering one on the Internet wouldn't work either. (wink wink
grin). I get it George.

> You will also note that AntennaWarehouse stated "higher performance than
> any other antenna we have tested in it's price class". Key word Glenn
> is Price Class. They never said it was the best antenna period.

That's not what they said George. This is where you get deceptive like
Tim at AntennaWarehouse. It makes you look slimey and dishonest
George. The rest of the sentence you clipped is "and rival the
performance of logs costing many times their price." Which logs are
those that it rivals George? What is your definition of "rivals"
George? How do you interpret Tim's claim of "and rival the
performance of logs costing many times their price."? Is he saying
his isn't near as good? No. Is he giving the impression his is
inferior to logs costing many times their price George? I don't think
so. Is he trying to say he and his scanner beam is in the same league
as "logs costing many times their price."? Please George, clear this
up.

> I do own an AntennaWarehouse Scanner Beam and am very happy with mine.
> It is the best commerically available antenna I have yet to own.
> However as with most people we look to improve what we have, not put
> down what we don't......

What have I put down other than your's and AntennaWarehouse's
dishonesty. I have a KMA antenna and I have used TV antenna converted
to vertical (that is what you are using and Tim sells). The TV antenna
does not rival it, at all.

George you are a bold faced liar and are attacking me to cover your
part in perpetuating a lie. On YOUR web site YOU claim in YOUR product
review and I quote:

"Create antennas are well over $400.00 and KLM's over $300.00 for the
same frequency coverage"

Not true George. Is this scanner beam resonant on 6 meters? Nope. A
log periodic that claims to "have higher performance in the 30-50 MHz.

VHF low band....than any other antenna we have tested in it's price class, and rival the performance of logs costing many times their price." would be resonant on 6 meters.

4796. REQ: El Cajon fire frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 07:00:07 UTC
From: Michael Kellogg <michael@kelloggs.org>
Message-ID: <Xns923A51F872mk13579@199.45.49.11>
Source record: 46611

There seem to be an awful lot of freq's out there in use by San Bernardino Fire, in general. Does anyone know the freq's or trunk channels being used to coordinate action on the Cajon Pass wildfire?

Secondly, I have not seen any emergency HF ham frequencies being activated for this fire, as in the Arizona blaze, but if anyone knows of any, please pass them along. Thanks!

-Mike

p.s. - pls cc. tia.

4797. Re: FA Multiband Scanner Antenna 4 hrs left -- WE GET THE IDEA ALEX.!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 10:36:10 UTC
From: Uncle Peter <radioconnectionNO@cox.netSPAM>
Message-ID: <uUBS8.100511$Hn4.3541557@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 46612
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

This "newbie twit" wonders what the effectiveness of an "4 hrs. left"
post is, other than a waste of bandwidth. Are all the participants,
or any significant portion, scanning the new posts every hour to make
sure we don't miss out on a last opportunity to bid?

BTW, newsgroups have FAQs to determine what is proper and
what is not.

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:mlnkhu4fgoga9g1qmnjbkt54q0ddpd5ijs@4ax.com...
> "SB" wrote:
>
> >Only a newbie twit would object to such a listing if it's in line with
> >the group's discussion topics.
>
> And I might add that only a newbie/twit would post the objection in
> public.
>
> Who do such idiots think they're impressing, anyway?

4798. digital data

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 10:44:37 UTC
From: Tom <viliakis@otenet.gr>
Message-ID: <afeqa7$1u9p$1@ulysses.noc.ntua.gr>
Source record: 46613
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hi

I have an ICOM IC-R2 scanner and I want to ask if I connect a TNC to my scanner, is it possible to receive digital data such as APRS SSTV RTTY? If yes, which TNC I sould buy?

Thanks

4799. Re: ScannerBase XE for Windows XP?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 11:53:10 UTC
From: Terry <nepvetm@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <G0DS8.17272$iU2.766293@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 46614

Mike Mayer <mayermh@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:afds24blo3@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> Thanks for the tip. I've heard about that - how do I get it to run in
Win98
> compatibility mode? Is there an XP system setting on a per-file basis to
do
> that?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Mike

It should be somewhere under the properties of the main EXE.

> "SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> news:4l9ihug18gj6h6ab08pl5od13nociu8vnf@4ax.com...
> > "Mike Mayer" wrote:
> >
> > >ScannerBase XE is a pretty nifty frequency database, however now that I
> have
> > >XP it won't run. Is there an XP version?
> >
> > I've not used it, but have you tried running it in Compatibility Mode
> > for Win98??
>
>

4800. Re: FA Multiband Scanner Antenna 4 hrs left -- WE GET THE IDEA ALEX.!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 12:55:42 UTC
From: shutup_cartman@remove.hotmail.nospam.com.oink
Message-ID: <3d1b0ac6.4796382@news.aei.ca>
Source record: 46615
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 24 Jun 2002 20:36:20 GMT, SlowMoe <SlowMoe@aaa123.net-us.gov>
wrote:

>Isn't it spaming when you list something for sell on several boards
>over and over ??????

I fail to see the point in spamming an auction "4 hours left" message
all over when Usenet message distribution is quite low priority and
can take in excess of a day to be distributed...

By the time many of us read the post it was already too late... (not
that I would EVER buy anything from a spammer anyways).

LV

4801. Re: Where can I get a good Preamplifier, not to expensive

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 13:16:23 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3D1B1027.3060009@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 46616

Glenn wrote:

> GeorgeF says......
>
>
>>You "think" wrong. I did not say that I was incapable of drilling holes
>>to turn a $15.00 TV antenna into a scanner antenna. If you will pull
>>up the posts from that "go-around" you'll see that I told you that
>>$15.00 TV antennas do not exist in our local stores including Home Depot
>>as you suggested.
>>
>
> Oh, and ordering one on the Internet wouldn't work either. (wink wink
> grin). I get it George.

Why order it on the internet for more that Antennawarehouse is selling

them for? And Antennawarehouse already has the work done...

>
>
>
>>You will also note that AntennaWarehouse stated "higher performance than
>>any other antenna we have tested in it's price class". Key word Glenn
>>is Price Class. They never said it was the best antenna period.
>>
>
> That's not what they said George. This is where you get deceptive like
> Tim at AntennaWarehouse. It makes you look slimey and dishonest
> George. The rest of the sentence you clipped is "and rival the
> performance of logs costing many times their price." Which logs are
> those that it rivals George? What is your definition of "rivals"
> George? How do you interpret Tim's claim of "and rival the
> performance of logs costing many times their price."? Is he saying
> his isn't near as good? No. Is he giving the impression his is
> inferior to logs costing many times their price George? I don't think
> so. Is he trying to say he and his scanner beam is in the same league
> as "logs costing many times their price."? Please George, clear this
> up.
>

Define "rival". Rival doesn't necessory mean beat, it simply means

"close" which if you believe Grove's dB rating for the antenna it does.

Grove is claiming the antenna as a Max dBi of 12 dBi. When I was

looking at Create and KMA the Create claims a Max dBi of 13 dBi and

KMA claims 8 dBi gain. Now I would say that by looking at the Gain number that the Scanner Beam does rival Create and KMA in terms of gain. The above numbers are what is CURRENTLY advertised on Grove's and KMA's website.

>
> What have I put down other than your's and AntennaWarehouse's
> dishonesty. I have a KMA antenna and I have used TV antenna converted
> to vertical (that is what you are using and Tim sells). The TV antenna
> does not rival it, at all.

Do you mean that GROVE is liar???? HE advertised a max gain of 12 dBi for the ScannerBeam (converted TV Antenna so you say). He also advertised a max gain of only 13 dBi for the Create Log! only 1 dBi difference however the Create is many times more money.

Yep, I guess the cats out of the bank, Grove advertises a max gain of 12 dBi and on Groves page he advertises the Create as only having a max of 13 dBi. Now if you call AntennaWarehouse a liar you must also call Grove a liar as they both said the same thing just in different ways.

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

4802. Re: digital data

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 13:33:21 UTC
From: Steve Bossert <kc2gog@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <ZuES8.29494$5M2.1454815@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 46617
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

you can hook into a TNC to decode APRS or packet. slow scan and pretty much
any mode.

i have connected my vr-120 and other scanners to my kpc-3 with no problems.
just make sure you start with volume off and work your way up, so you dont
blow the tnc.

it might be easier to use your computer sound card to decode signals by
using one of the many programs found on the web

73

steve KC2GOG
"Tom" <viliakis@otenet.gr> wrote in message
news:afeqa7$1u9p$1@ulysses.noc.ntua.gr...
> Hi
>
> I have an ICOM IC-R2 scanner and I want to ask if I connect a TNC to my
> scanner, is it possible to receive digital data such as APRS SSTV RTTY?
> If yes, which TNC I sould buy?
>
> Thanks
>
>

4803. Re: FA Multiband Scanner Antenna 4 hrs left -- WE GET THE IDEA ALEX.!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 14:24:25 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3D1B2019.4000306@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 46618
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

Lord Velveeta wrote:

> On Mon, 24 Jun 2002 20:36:20 GMT, SlowMoe <SlowMoe@aaa123.net-us.gov>
> wrote:
>
>
>>Isn't it spaming when you list something for sell on several boards
>>over and over ??????
>>
>
> I fail to see the point in spamming an auction "4 hours left" message
> all over when Usenet message distribution is quite low priority and
> can take in excess of a day to be distributed...

You better get a better Usenet Provider.....Its rare that my posts don't reach the entire US in less than an hour....

>
> By the time many of us read the post it was already too late... (not
> that I would EVER buy anything from a spammer anyways).
>

I really don't think his post was spam, it was related to the groups it was posted in...

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

4804. Re: digital data

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 14:35:58 UTC
From: John <nospam.greekfreak@acmemail.net>
Message-ID: <aff7s4ejb1@usenet.otenet.gr>
Source record: 46619
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

I think I am not able to decode SSTV with IC-R2 and software because it does not have SSB mode so I need TNC

> it might be easier to use your computer sound card to decode signals by
> using one of the many programs found on the web
>

4805. Re: Recomindation for an Air Band scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 14:55:46 UTC
From: Boy Outlook <Boy@Outlook.com>
Message-ID: <aff92i$do8sa$1@ID-129582.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 46620

I guess I just got it confused in my head about the CIVILIAN BAND and the
MILITARY band.
I believe I've seen freq list, with freq's for LOGAN in the 300mhz range,
this is why I thought that my scanners didn't pick up all the AIR BAND.

Clarification made, as the saying goes: Light dawn's on marble---head!

I would like to get a scanner that covers BOTH civvie and military band's,
any recommendations???

"Mark Holmes" <markinillinois@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:5306-3D150F84-23@storefull-2394.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I don't have a 2002 Radio Shack catalog, but every single one of the
> base & hand-held scanners in their 2001 catalog covered the 118-136 MHz
> aircraft band. The 225-400 MHz aircraft band was eliminated from their
> equipment in the late 1990's, however.
>
> Aircraft two-way radio communications is all that I've listened to since
> 1975 and I've owned many good Radio Shack scanners over the years.
> Scanner World & Grove both sell excellent equipment also, but if you
> decide to purchase something from Radio Shack, you don't have to wait a
> minimum of 2-3 days to receive it. The biggest decision depends on how
> much you're willing to spend.
>
> Listening to aircraft communications isn't limited to just the vicinity
> of an airport. On a Monday-Friday basis, 800+ airline flights &
> freighters plus another 150+ business jets & military aircraft are heard
> within a 250 mile radius of me in the ARTCC high sectors above 24,000
> feet each day. Being able to track airline flights at one or more
> websites adds to the enjoyment of the hobby for me.
>
> Mark Holmes
> Marion, IL
>
>
> Aircraft Listener, Airplane Watcher,
> Air Refueling & Military Airshows
> Scanning the Skies from Southern Illinois
>

4806. Re: digital data

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 16:21:01 UTC
From: Robert Maskill <robertmaskill@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3D1B3B6D.1080809@netscape.net>
Source record: 46622
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

John wrote:
> I think I am not able to decode SSTV with IC-R2 and software because it does
> not have SSB mode so I need TNC
>
>
>>it might be easier to use your computer sound card to decode signals by
>>using one of the many programs found on the web
>>
>
>
>
>

The above top posted comment has lost me!

If you want to receive SSTV sent using SSB on a receiver without a BFO
or SSB setting then you are on a looser TNC or not.

On VHF SSTV is transmitted using FM mainly with most activity in the UK
being on 144.5000 MHz

--
Robert Maskill G4PYR
Peterborough Cambridgeshire
Personal web site www.coastal-radio.org.uk
Business web site www.clayton-internet.co.uk

4807. Re: Where can I get a good Preamplifier, not to expensive

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 17:01:34 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uxHS8.131$qd6.110804@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 46623

In article <3D1B1027.3060009@licensed4fun.com>,
av8tor@licensed4fun.com says...
>
>
> Glenn wrote:
>
> > GeorgeF says......
> >
> >
> >>You "think" wrong. I did not say that I was incapable of drilling holes
> >>to turn a $15.00 TV antenna into a scanner antenna. If you will pull
> >>up the posts from that "go-around" you'll see that I told you that
> >>$15.00 TV antennas do not exist in our local stores including Home Depot
> >>as you suggested.
> >>
> >
> > Oh, and ordering one on the Internet wouldn't work either. (wink wink
> > grin). I get it George.
>
>
> Why order it on the internet for more that Antennawarehouse is selling
>
> them for?

AntennaWarehouse sells them for $15-25 George?

> And Antennawarehouse already has the work done...

$40 to drill two holes George?

> >>You will also note that AntennaWarehouse stated "higher performance than
> >>any other antenna we have tested in it's price class". Key word Glenn
> >>is Price Class. They never said it was the best antenna period.
> >>
> >
> > That's not what they said George. This is where you get deceptive like
> > Tim at AntennaWarehouse. It makes you look slimey and dishonest
> > George. The rest of the sentence you clipped is "and rival the
> > performance of logs costing many times their price." Which logs are
> > those that it rivals George?

Which ones George?

> > What is your definition of "rivals"
> > George? How do you interpret Tim's claim of "and rival the
> > performance of logs costing many times their price."? Is he saying

> > his isn't near as good? No. Is he giving the impression his is
> > inferior to logs costing many times their price George? I don't think
> > so. Is he trying to say he and his scanner beam is in the same league
> > as "logs costing many times their price."? Please George, clear this
> > up.
> >
>
>
> Define "rival". Rival doesn't necessory mean beat, it simply means
>
> "close"

Yes George , that is what I said above. Close or in the same league.
That is a lie George. You can keep trying to defend Antennawarehouse's
deception by pointing at Grove but it proves nothing other than you
can't defend your own review on your web site.

> which if you believe Grove's dB rating for the antenna it does.
>
> Grove is claiming the antenna as a Max dBi of 12 dBi. When I was
>
> looking at Create and KMA the Create claims a Max dBi of 13 dBi and
>
> KMA claims 8 dBi gain. Now I would say that by looking at the Gain
> number that the Scanner Beam does rival Create and KMA in terms of
> gain. The above numbers are what is CURRENTLY advertised on Grove's
> and KMA's website.

We aren't talking Grove George, we are talking Antennawarehouse. Are
you now admitting that Antennawarehouse is claiming to compare their
beam to Create and KMA or KLM's?

> >
> > What have I put down other than your's and AntennaWarehouse's
> > dishonesty. I have a KMA antenna and I have used TV antenna converted
> > to vertical (that is what you are using and Tim sells). The TV antenna
> > does not rival it, at all.
> >
>
>
> Do you mean that GROVE is liar????

So if you constantly say Grove is a liar that makes Antennawarehouse
lies better?

> HE advertised a max gain of 12 dBi
> for the ScannerBeam (converted TV Antenna so you say). He also
> advertised a max gain of only 13 dBi for the Create Log! only 1 dBi
> difference however the Create is many times more money.
>
> Yep, I guess the cats out of the bank, Grove advertises a max gain of
> 12 dBi and on Groves page he advertises the Create as only having a max
> of 13 dBi. Now if you call AntennaWarehouse a liar you must also call

> Grove a liar as they both said the same thing just in different ways.

This discussion had nothing to do with Grove but it seems to be your only defense for Tim and Antennawarehouse's lies.

Yes George, the cat is out of the bag. You are a fraud. For some reason you selectively replied. Why is that George? Run out of lies and excuses. Let's see what George couldn't find a Grove excuse for.

****** George snipped **********
George you are a bold faced liar and are attacking me to cover your part in perpetuating a lie. On YOUR web site YOU claim in YOUR product review and I quote:

"Create antennas are well over $400.00 and KLM's over $300.00 for the same frequency coverage"

Not true George. Is this scanner beam resonant on 6 meters? Nope. A log periodic that claims to "have higher performance in the 30-50 MHz. VHF low band....than any other antenna we have tested in it's price class, and rival the performance of logs costing many times their price." would be resonant on 6 meters. These others are. What does that tell us George?
****

Why so silent over this George? No defense for YOUR lie?????

4808. Re: The best handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 17:10:51 UTC
From: Trondheim <you@you.com>
Message-ID: <vGHS8.478638$s6.3468647@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 46624
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

Many thanks to all people who have helped me in the election of an handheld scanner.

I understand that scanner that better one adapts to my necessities is the YUPITERU MVT-7100, thanks for the recommendations, and specially to who (sorry I forgot his name) gave me the url http://www.strongsignals.net for the reviews of some of the diverse existing models in the market.

Greetings,

Trondheim ;-)

"Trondheim" <937275212@terra.es> escribi&#65533; en el mensaje news:AZfS8.415130$s6.2964564@telenews.teleline.es...
> What is the best handheld scanner?
> ICOM IC-R10 or YAESU VR-500, without considering the king of the hand
> scanners the AOR AR8200 (but with the problems of short autonomy of his
> batteries and his expensive price).
>
> I want to scanner all mode (AM, N/FM, SSB & CW), handheld, that cover
> frequencies between 0,5 MHz and 1300 MHz minimum, and that has a good
> selectivity and sensitivity, these two models that I expose are the firm
> candidates, and only models that sell where I live (I do not want to buy
at
> distance, by the problems of repairs while this in guarantee, either to
buy
> used scanners, unless one says to me that the ICOM IC-R10 and the YAESU
> VR-500 aren't a good election).
>
> Thanks,
>
> Trondheim
>
>
>
>

4809. Re: FA Multiband Scanner Antenna 4 hrs left -- WE GET THE IDEA ALEX.!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 17:19:50 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uhmidifp0rubd1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 46625
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:ck9mhuc6tuvihgo04qv5jj9kh3udniv45j@4ax.com...
> "Lord Velveeta" wrote:
>
> >By the time many of us read the post it was already too late...
>
> Many, not all, cheesebrain.

Isn't that what he said? You must not read well...

4810. FA: Icom R2 (Updated URL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 18:03:01 UTC
From: Rick Kempe <r.kempe@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <prIS8.64029$UT.4384419@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 46626

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1362981565

Thanks for looking!

4811. Re: REQ: El Cajon fire frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 18:33:29 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <agmmhuoclhfhctn6qg3kf9ccafqifr9b43@4ax.com>
Source record: 46627

Fresh from a command appearance on The View, Michael Kellogg <michael@kelloggs.org> moaned...

>There seem to be an awful lot of freq's out there in use by San Bernardino
>Fire, in general. Does anyone know the freq's or trunk channels being used
>to coordinate action on the Cajon Pass wildfire?

The fire is mostly in the Angeles Nat'l Forest, and the San Bernardino Nat'l Forest, so the Berdoo trunked system hasn't been a big factor.

But if you subscribe to socalfire @ yahoogroups, you'll find a number of people near the fire who can provide more info.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

4812. Re: El Cajon fire frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 18:34:44 UTC
From: Harry <marnells@cox.net>
Message-ID: <8VIS8.50398$Ok1.3895990@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 46628

(EL Cajon is in San Diego, this is plain ol Cajon Pass)

Live feed is on http://www.live365.com/stations/253137/

They're using these, at least... (in no particular order)

154.325 - San Bernardino County VHF Fire "RED"
168.050 - Ground Tactics (Forest Service TAC 1)
168.600 - Ground Tactics (Forest service TAC 3)
123.075 - Helicopter Victor
123.975 - San Bernardino & Ryan Air Base Victor
169.150 - Air to Air for Tankers (Air Tactics 2)
168.625 - Air Guard
170.000 - Louisiana Air Attack (US Forest Service Air to Ground)
171.475 - Louisiana IC (San Bernardino National Forest Dispatch)
168.200 - Ground tactics
166.5625 - Region 5 SouthOPS
415.525 - Region 5 SouthOPS UHF Repeater Link

--
Harry
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/lafire.htm <~~ Fire Communications,
Links and News

"Michael Kellogg" <michael@kelloggs.org> wrote in message
news:Xns923A51F872mk13579@199.45.49.11...
> There seem to be an awful lot of freq's out there in use by San
Bernardino
> Fire, in general. Does anyone know the freq's or trunk channels being
used
> to coordinate action on the Cajon Pass wildfire?
>
> Secondly, I have not seen any emergency HF ham frequencies being
activated
> for this fire, as in the Arizona blaze, but if anyone knows of any,
please
> pass them along. Thanks!
>
> -Mike
>
> p.s. - pls cc. tia.

4813. FA Pro-64 with PC Lead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 18:56:44 UTC
From: ~The-Wizard~ <spamWizard@walesuk.net>
Message-ID: <affn5b$6ee$1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 46629

http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1362996572

.....

4814. Re: REQ: El Cajon fire frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 19:52:13 UTC
From: Mountain Bob <rtiberiNOSPAM@csusb.edu>
Message-ID: <3d1b6cbe.19409877@news.calstate.edu>
Source record: 46630

On Thu, 27 Jun 2002 07:00:07 GMT, Michael Kellogg
<michael@kelloggs.org> wrote:

>There seem to be an awful lot of freq's out there in use by San Bernardino
>Fire, in general. Does anyone know the freq's or trunk channels being used
>to coordinate action on the Cajon Pass wildfire?
>

I got a lot of information off the CHP freqs as well as the SBSO
Mountain freqs...

MT Bob
Always looking for Corvettes and VWs

4815. FS: Uniden Bearcat 9000XLT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 20:15:52 UTC
From: Jason <t1speed@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.17853c03d4ac705989681@nntp>
Source record: 46631
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,tor.forsale,tor.forsale.misc

I have a Uniden Bearcat 9000XLT base/mobile scanner for sale.

Unit is in excellent condition, comes with printed manual, antenna, AC adaptor, box.

Asking $300CDN FIRM

t1speed@rogers.com

4816. Re: Recomindation for an Air Band scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 20:32:02 UTC
From: squirrelmaster@hushmail.com
Message-ID: <466f1411.0206271232.7987c246@posting.google.com>
Source record: 46632

And for the hardcore listener that must have it all may I suggest the 108-118 MHz band. This is where VORs and localizers are located. You can listen to the Morris code ID and somtimes aviation weather (HIWAS and TWEB) of the VOR frequency, although you have to be very close to the station to receive it. Once again, this is only for the purist. Consult a VFR sectional for VOR locations and frequencies.

For some reason many scanners start the airband at 118 MHz. The PRO-94 does start at the lower 108 MHz.

SM

4817. Re: digital data

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 21:33:16 UTC
From: g4rga@sideband.remove-this-bit.fsnet.co.uk
Message-ID: <3d1b45f2.1094546@news.freeserve.net>
Source record: 46633
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 27 Jun 2002 13:44:37 +0300, "Tom" <viliakis@otenet.gr> wrote:

>Hi
>
>I have an ICOM IC-R2 scanner and I want to ask if I connect a TNC to my
>scanner, is it possible to receive digital data such as APRS SSTV RTTY?
>If yes, which TNC I sould buy?

No need to buy a TNC. There are programs on the Web which
will decode all you want using the computer's soundcard.

--

_____
Posted by J.

4818. Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 21:45:28 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <jonvanallen@msn.com>
Message-ID: <3D1B8778.4A8D9A45@msn.com>
Source record: 46634

[No readable article body recovered]

4819. Re: Scanning Southern Indiana

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 21:48:14 UTC
From: n9mku@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23220-3D1B881E-163@storefull-2353.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 46637

You might try www.indyscan.com for info about what cities are trunked .
Indyscan is the best site around for Indiana freqs & info related to
scanning the Hoosier State.

Ken R
Look and you will find it-what is unsought will go
undetected....Sophocles

4820. Re: The best handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 22:05:15 UTC
From: "Michael R." <noemail@forspam.com>
Message-ID: <v_LS8.43793$xy.14613702@twister.socal.rr.com>
Source record: 46635
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

Too bad the Yupi MVT 7100 isn't sold anywhere on-line :(
I had to settle for the yr-500. I can only scan 12 channels per second

"Noel Glass" <noel@171lowstreet.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:afct7q$3oo$1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk...
> What about Yupi MVT 7100 (Still the best handheld scanner to date so I am
> told)
>
>
> "keith" <mke65@attbi.com> wrote in message
> news:c6ojhu8fau8i3mbr3krc1t9haiei819jb6@4ax.com...
> > Having owned the aor 8000, 8200, yupi 9000 and the alinco dj-x2000 I
> > give the nudge to the alinco. the radio has many usefull features
> > that are not included or cost extra on the other radios. Having built
> > in record function for 2.5 minutes if useful, speech descrambler, ctss
> > decode, 2000 channels, exellent bank breakdown (40 channels per),
> > excellent construction, computer control, the list goes on. Only weak
> > spot is scan sped is slow but this is remedied by grouping
> > frequencies ie, 450 mhz in one bank, 150 in another, etc... or at
> > least keep them consecutive within the same bank. The scanner slows
> > when moving from one frequency range to another. Keith
> > On Wed, 26 Jun 2002 09:39:44 GMT, "Trondheim" <937275212@terra.es>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >What is the best handheld scanner?
> > >ICOM IC-R10 or YAESU VR-500, without considering the king of the hand
> > >scanners the AOR AR8200 (but with the problems of short autonomy of his
> > >batteries and his expensive price).
> > >
> > >I want to scanner all mode (AM, N/FM, SSB & CW), handheld, that cover
> > >frequencies between 0,5 MHz and 1300 MHz minimum, and that has a good
> > >selectivity and sensitivity, these two models that I expose are the
firm
> > >candidates, and only models that sell where I live (I do not want to
buy
> at
> > >distance, by the problems of repairs while this in guarantee, either to
> buy
> > >used scanners, unless one says to me that the ICOM IC-R10 and the YAESU
> > >VR-500 aren't a good election).
> > >
> > >Thanks,
> > >

> > >Trondheim
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>

4821. BC 780XLT Mod and PC Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 22:05:46 UTC
From: Goose <Bob@no.com>
Message-ID: <it2nhuolhcfc00k370h06in2hvtn84i6j4@4ax.com>
Source record: 46636

Looking for a mod to the BC 780XLT to prevent the horn type sound that comes over on some trunking frequencies. I'm also looking for the cable pin out and any software available for PC programming of the BC780XLT scanner.

TIA

4822. Re: Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 22:38:57 UTC
From: tw<illegible> <.tw>, <>, yahoomsnATTBI<illegible>.org.com
Message-ID: <5uMS8.208386$6m5.168653@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 46638

"Jon Van Allen" <jonvanallen@msn.com> wrote in message news:3D1B8778.4A8D9A45@msn.com...
|

Please test at news:alt.test not here. Thanks.

4823. ID Tracker Freeware Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 23:20:35 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <J1NS8.13497$Ji6.1702865@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 46639
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

ID Tracker has been updated to version 1.8.2.

http://personal.atl.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm

Updated items for this version:

* Decreased search times for existing IDs.
* Added check to prevent multiple alerts for the same ID.
* Corrected problem that prevented the ID list from being updated if the current ID was already first in the list.
* Removed sleep parameter in Settings screen. Performance is now self adjusting.

I have also started a list (short) of possible future enhancements.

http://personal.atl.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm#The Future

I would like to hear from anyone running or attempting to run ID Tracker on Windows NT, XP, or 2000.

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
http://personal.bellsouth.net/~kd5eis

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
For replies, use my callsign @bellsouth.net or @arrl.net.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

4824. Re: digital data

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-27 23:59:22 UTC
From: SSTVSWL <SSTVSWL@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <uFNS8.180826$nZ3.84507@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 46640
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.muenster.de/~welp/sb.htm

4825. Looking for new JFK VHF freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-28 00:51:19 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3d1bb2e0.12057147@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 46641

NYC area has new long range VHF freqs for ATC
Anyone got the freqs?

4826. WTB: SMALL SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-28 00:52:07 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3d1bb309.12098643@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 46642

Need a really small handheld scanner. Anyone got one or sale, or any suggestions? Audio volume not an issue, will be used with earphones.

4827. ce-232

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-28 02:00:54 UTC
From: whiskeybar@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <5a074e26.0206271800.2da08ebe@posting.google.com>
Source record: 46643

I am looking to build a CE-232 but I am not having luck finding the
motorola IC. Anyone have any sources?
Thanks

4828. Re: SMALL SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-28 02:27:56 UTC
From: Red TR1 <regencytr1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <VQPS8.2330$eH2.2086847@ruti.visi.com>
Source record: 46644

The smallest of the bunch is the Alinco DJ X2, the DJ X3 is also pretty small along with the Icom R2 and Yaesu VR 500 and VR 120. The X2 does a nice job but programming is a pain with out number keys. The only one out of that group that has number keys is the VR 500. All of them can be programmed by computer which is nice for the ones without number keys.

Greg
"Bruce Markowitz" <scosgt@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:3d1bb309.12098643@news-server.optonline.net...
> Need a really small handheld scanner. Anyone got one or sale, or any
> suggestions? Audio volume not an issue, will be used with earphones.

4829. FS: Bearcat 780XLT Barely used in box with all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-28 02:39:35 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <H%PS8.43375$PW.19370@news2.central.cox.net>
Source record: 46645
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi- Am putting a like new, few hours used 780 xlt up for sale. It has everything that came with it in the box. Perfect condition- no flaws. I pay UPS shipping. Price is $270 shipped. No trades please. Perfect 142 on Ebay. (cat508)

4830. Re: FA: PRO-2006 w/ warranty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-28 03:45:57 UTC
From: JohnL <xyz@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <afgljk$dslhl$1@ID-127914.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 46646

On Mon, 24 Jun 2002 08:20:55 -0400, Never anonymous Bud wrote:

> And if you miss on that one,
> I have a 2006 that I just don't use enough, so I'm willing to sell it.
>
> Great condition, standard mods done, display light still working.

I tried selling here in the group twice before I posted it to ebay. I
never got a response. Yet, the scanner went for just as much on ebay
(more, technically) as I was asking. Go figure.

--
John L
Note the email address used for posting is not valid.
Sent with PAN 0.12 running on Mandrake Linux 8.2

4831. Re: BC 780XLT Mod and PC Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-28 05:16:58 UTC
From: Gary Weller <gweller@iprimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <r5snhuk2mju4ndikasu4v38m51m6o8jas0@4ax.com>
Source record: 46547

Do you actually own or have any experience with these radios, cas if
you do you would know that the computer programming socket installed
is a regular 9 pin RS232 and only requires a REGULAR 9 pin to 9 pin
cable to hook u pto your computer.

As for trunking, If by HORN type sound you mean CONTROL CHANNELS, then
no there is not a MOD as this is a valid data carrying channels and if
you were operating your scanner properly you would know that you cant
hear this sound whilt the radio is in trunking mode.

On Thu, 27 Jun 2002 22:05:46 GMT, Goose <Bob@no.com> wrote:

>Looking for a mod to the BC 780XLT to prevent the horn type sound that
>comes over on some trunking frequencies. I'm also looking for the
>cable pin out and any software available for PC programming of the
>BC780XLT scanner.
>
>TIA

4832. Re: The best handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-28 06:24:39 UTC
From: Noel Glass <noel@171lowstreet.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <afgvetfqm1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 46548
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

> Too bad the Yupi MVT 7100 isn't sold anywhere on-line :(

www.lowe.co.uk www.nevada.co.uk www.wsplc.com

you can still buy this scanner online in the UK.

4833. Re: Usenet abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-28 06:48:18 UTC
From: "W.G Ewald" <wgewald@att.net>
Message-ID: <uk1ohus68gbfavmn4bthtd4hutpueg9qga@4ax.com>
Source record: 46549
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 27 Jun 2002 10:24:25 -0400, GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
wrote:

>>
>> I fail to see the point in spamming an auction "4 hours left" message
>> all over when Usenet message distribution is quite low priority and
>> can take in excess of a day to be distributed...
>
>
>You better get a better Usenet Provider.....Its rare that my posts don't
>reach the entire US in less than an hour....

I think his emphasis was on the part about spamming, which is bad
to begin with, and worse when you can do it quickly.

4834. Re: The best handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-28 07:00:44 UTC
From: "Guglielmo Marconi"
Message-ID: <3d1c095b$1_2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 46550
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

For any _REAL_ Radio Ham (This thread is, after all, SPAMMed into Ham Radio groups) the best hand-held scanner is the one that you design and make yourself.

4835. Re: The best handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-28 10:24:48 UTC
From: DrBoris <drborisnoyuckymeat@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <WMWS8.6315$l6.1698274@newsfep2-win.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 46551
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

Guglielmo Marconi <@> wrote in message
news:3d1c095b$1_2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> For any _REAL_ Radio Ham (This thread is, after
> all, SPAMMed into Ham Radio groups) the best
> hand-held scanner is the one that you design and
> make yourself.
>
>
What a stupid comment. How many people do you know who
have designed and built a multimode scanner running from
LF to microwaves? (I bet you haven't). Go and put on another
sock puppet Mr. Radio Ham.

4836. Re: The best handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-28 10:45:45 UTC
From: dan obrien <brianobrien@eircom.net>
Message-ID: <fbXS8.55$i5.2972@news.indigo.ie>
Source record: 46553
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

Check out www.thiecom.de for online sales. Site is mainly in German
language. Prices 20-30% less than UK. I'm not connected, just a satisfied
customer. I just bought a Yupi 7100 from them for 314 euros including
delivery to Ireland - I think that's a pretty good deal.
One snag - they dont take credit cards outside Germany - u can order online
but u need to pay by post or cash on delivery.

Brian

"Trondheim" <you@you.com> wrote in message
news:vGHS8.478638$s6.3468647@telenews.teleline.es...
> Many thanks to all people who have helped me in the election of an
handheld
> scanner.
>
> I understand that scanner that better one adapts to my necessities is the
> YUPITERU MVT-7100, thanks for the recommendations, and specially to who
> (sorry I forgot his name) gave me the url http://www.strongsignals.net for
> the reviews of some of the diverse existing models in the market.
>
> Greetings,
>
> Trondheim ;-)
>
> "Trondheim" <937275212@terra.es> escribi&#65533; en el mensaje
> news:AZfS8.415130$s6.2964564@telenews.teleline.es...
> > What is the best handheld scanner?
> > ICOM IC-R10 or YAESU VR-500, without considering the king of the hand
> > scanners the AOR AR8200 (but with the problems of short autonomy of his
> > batteries and his expensive price).
> >
> > I want to scanner all mode (AM, N/FM, SSB & CW), handheld, that cover
> > frequencies between 0,5 MHz and 1300 MHz minimum, and that has a good
> > selectivity and sensitivity, these two models that I expose are the firm
> > candidates, and only models that sell where I live (I do not want to buy
> at
> > distance, by the problems of repairs while this in guarantee, either to
> buy
> > used scanners, unless one says to me that the ICOM IC-R10 and the YAESU
> > VR-500 aren't a good election).
> >
> > Thanks,
> >

> > Trondheim
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

4837. Re: WTB: SMALL SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-28 10:51:53 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3D1C3FC9.798707E2@mitre.org>
Source record: 46552

If you purchase one of the computer programmable small units get the
cable and
software, if the cable is not included at the time of purchase!
Grove has a ICON IC R-2 used for $129.99 check the BARGAIN BIN

<http://www.grove-ent.com>

They carry them new, along with the other small wide band radios
right now ICOM offers free cable and soft ware
with the purchase of the R 2 or R 10. I got an IC R-2 last summer and
had
a great time at the air shows with it, along with a RELM HS 200 and PRO
-90 for trunking.
Keeping several diskettes with different frequency files for the R 2
helps to make each event unique.
The reason for the IC R-2 is the Military aircraft band coverage and the
ability to select
the mode I. E. AM in the 138 Mhz - 143.990 band. Other scanners don't in
this spectrum BUT receive only in nFM. The R-2 does CTCCS squelch but
not Digital tone squelch
and it will search out and lock onto the CTCCS tones , which can be used
with any frequency,in any channel!
It also has a frequency off set, press a button and go to a repeater in
put or other half of
duplex pair!
The Uniden BC 245 XLT is supplied with a computer cable and software is
widely available
THe PRO - 93 is programmable but the computer interface cable has to be
purchased separately.
US NiMH batteries they last 30 % longer and one thing about the BC 245
XLT is it's battery
pack. But Lee Electronics and Metro West sell After market NiMH packs
for the Uniden BC 245
and PRO - 90 also Uniden's Sportcat hand held radios all these us the
BP 1600 NiMH pack
Jim

Bruce Markowitz wrote:

> Need a really small handheld scanner. Anyone got one or sale, or any
> suggestions? Audio volume not an issue, will be used with earphones.

4838. Decode digital signals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-28 11:28:25 UTC
From: sampett456@yahoo.co.uk
Message-ID: <d8f8142b.0206280328.3ce9bd57@posting.google.com>
Source record: 46554

How to decode digitally encoded signals on 43/46 MHz cordless
phones using Icom R2 scanner ?

Thanks

4839. Re: ce-232

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-28 11:56:46 UTC
From: Frank346 <none@all.org>
Message-ID: <U9YS8.10108$17.4552174@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 46555

The Motorola MC68HC11F1CFN3 cpu is a good substitute for the original
discontinued type. You can get it at Digi-Key for around $10.

"bleh" <whiskeybar@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:5a074e26.0206271800.2da08ebe@posting.google.com...
> I am looking to build a CE-232 but I am not having luck finding the
> motorola IC. Anyone have any sources?
> Thanks

4840. Re: The best handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-28 12:11:28 UTC
From: "TX & RX News"
Message-ID: <3d1c5215$1_2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com>
Source record: 46556
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

I see that THE GOOD DOCTOR's infantile
temper tantrum because he persistently makes himself
look a complete fool (doesn't require help from us!)
continues.

STUPID Boy.

I was thinking of approaching Nottingham University for
a job reference for you. Would they be surprised to hear that
you're thinking of leaving?

DrBoris <drborisnoyuckymeat@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:WMWS8.6315$l6.1698274@newsfep2-win.server.ntli.net...
>
> Guglielmo Marconi <@> wrote in message
> news:3d1c095b$1_2@mk-nntp-1.news.uk.worldonline.com...
> > For any _REAL_ Radio Ham (This thread is, after
> > all, SPAMMed into Ham Radio groups) the best
> > hand-held scanner is the one that you design and
> > make yourself.
> >
> >
> What a stupid comment. How many people do you know who
> have designed and built a multimode scanner running from
> LF to microwaves? (I bet you haven't). Go and put on another
> sock puppet Mr. Radio Ham.
>
>

4841. Re: BC 780XLT Mod and PC Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-28 12:49:27 UTC
From: Randy <bearcat@astound.net>
Message-ID: <3D1C5B57.9010602@astound.net>
Source record: 46557

Go to www.strongsignals.net and follow the menu to the 780 page. You
will find a list if different software for the 780. Also, yahoo groups
has a few 780 groups you can check out for info.
Randy

Goose wrote:

> Looking for a mod to the BC 780XLT to prevent the horn type sound that
> comes over on some trunking frequencies. I'm also looking for the
> cable pin out and any software available for PC programming of the
> BC780XLT scanner.
>
> TIA
>
>

4842. Re: FA Multiband Scanner Antenna 4 hrs left -- WE GET THE IDEA ALEX.!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-28 12:59:08 UTC
From: shutup_cartman@remove.hotmail.nospam.com.oink
Message-ID: <3d1c5cfd.5125805@news.aei.ca>
Source record: 46558
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 27 Jun 2002 14:38:38 GMT, SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote:

>"Lord Velveeta" wrote:
>
>>By the time many of us read the post it was already too late...
>Many, not all, cheesebrain.

Thats exactly what I wrote...

So when did you flunk out of school? Kindergarden or did you make it
all the way to first grade?

LV

4843. Re: FA Multiband Scanner Antenna 4 hrs left -- WE GET THE IDEA ALEX.!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-28 13:06:18 UTC
From: shutup_cartman@remove.hotmail.nospam.com.oink
Message-ID: <3d1c5db0.5305414@news.aei.ca>
Source record: 46559
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 27 Jun 2002 10:24:25 -0400, GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
wrote:

>You better get a better Usenet Provider.....Its rare that my posts don't
>reach the entire US in less than an hour....

Whoever said anything about the entire US. Usenet = world. (yeah I
know most americans can't imagine there is a world outside their
borders).

>I really don't think his post was spam, it was related to the groups it
>was posted in...

SPAM = Unsolicited advertising or advertising where it doesn't belong.

It was posted (over and over) to: "alt.radio.scanner,
rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.scanner". I do not see any "for
sale" in those group names, do you?

SPAM SPAM SPAM SPAM SPAM Eggs bacon and SPAM!

LV

4844. BC78-XLT - trunk scanning problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-28 14:18:52 UTC
From: Dan <dpipes@elp.rr.com>
Message-ID: <svrohugpi637ka279a5atjg7nu8p5g1mpk@4ax.com>
Source record: 46560

Can anyone help I can not see the talkgroups when I scan . If you happened to know what I may be doing wrong let me know I have the ID (talkgroup) tags in the scanner yet when I try to "trunk" scan it appears to scan but i get nothing.. When I shut off trunk it appears to work I hear the conversations.. yet I can not get it to show any talk group IDs.... I have my pro93 set and it works good with the Mor 800 Type II system as "offset" before I switched it to "offset" it acted similar to this.. But, with the Uniden I can not see where to set it as "offset"

Any help is apprecuited..

4845. Re: ce-232

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-28 14:48:28 UTC
From: Len <Len.C@sympNOCRAPatico.ca>
Message-ID: <7ntohu4uivinraluq8t4e6sj9ivsm1gmoj@4ax.com>
Source record: 46561

Someone on here had some for sale a while ago, might wanna do a search
for them. They are out there, what have you got now, do you have the
program that goes on the chip?

On 27 Jun 2002 19:00:54 -0700, whiskeybar@hotmail.com (bleh) wrote:

>I am looking to build a CE-232 but I am not having luck finding the
>motorola IC. Anyone have any sources?
>Thanks

REMOVE the NOCRAP in my address to reply.

4846. Re: ce-232

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-28 16:42:16 UTC
From: jvillafane@aol.com1iwilly
Message-ID: <20020628124216.16394.00001417@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 46562

go to commtronic enginnerring
email cindy cheek asking her what you need she should be able to help you enjoy

jose
jvillafane

4847. Re: ce-232

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-28 16:54:27 UTC
From: jvillafane@aol.com1iwilly
Message-ID: <20020628125427.06331.00000940@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 46563

here is the url
http://www.comtronics.net/
jvillafane

4848. Trade For Icom R75 w/DSP or Drake R8A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-28 17:38:13 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <9a1T8.118606$zh2.25915159@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 46564
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Looking to trade for MINT Icom R75 or Drake R8 or R8A. Have to trade the following:

Uniden UBC 3000XLT bought new this year, full 800 MHz, 30 kHz steps for cell band, beautiful case, telescoping antenna with swivel, manual, battery pack, PS, barely used.

Also have to trade a Sony 7600GR w/Sony PS, manual, reel antenna, in excellent cond.

Also a Sony AN-LP1 antenna bought new this year.
DeWayne KE9K

4849. Re: Bearcat 780XLT Barely used in box with all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-28 18:14:16 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <YH1T8.45768$PW.9523@news2.central.cox.net>
Source record: 46565
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have enough interested parties on this scanner. thank you
"Bruce" <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:H%PS8.43375$PW.19370@news2.central.cox.net...
> Hi- Am putting a like new, few hours used 780 xlt up for sale. It has
> everything that came with it in the box. Perfect condition- no flaws. I
pay
> UPS shipping. Price is $270 shipped. No trades please. Perfect 142 on
Ebay.
> (cat508)
>
>
>

4850. WTB: /\/\ HT1000 UHF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-28 18:31:19 UTC
From: emtspruitt@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020628143119.09435.00004504@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 46566

WTB: HT1000 UHF, prefer 2 channel due to price. No acc. needed Please email with price and condition. Prefer 403-470, but 450-470 OK. EMTSPruitt@aol.com

4851. Re: FA: PRO-2006 w/ warranty

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-28 18:57:02 UTC
From: ~The-Wizard~ <spamWizard@walesuk.net>
Message-ID: <afibhu$8r1$1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 46567

My sentiments exactly, No disrespect intended to the folks in the US, but a lot of them will pay vast amounts of money just to have the 800/900MHz on the scanner. I don't think I have heard a mobile phone on ANY of my UK scanners for months and months, everybody's gone over to digital phones now. The bands are simply dead.

--
~The Wizard~

Please remove the obvious for reply

.....
"SB" <StogieButt@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:qrmohu046our432c8t2ktlht5vjngq94lr@4ax.com...
> "JohnL" wrote:
>
> >
> >I tried selling here in the group twice before I posted it to ebay. I
> >never got a response. Yet, the scanner went for just as much on ebay
> >(more, technically) as I was asking. Go figure.
>
> A little thought might provide the answer: I'd guess the folks here
> are more experienced and probably already own newer equipment.
>
> AND they've already figured out that listening to cordless phones is
> BORING.

4852. Re: Icom W32A - Last Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-28 20:25:14 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <8r3T8.7240$N8.3790348@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 46568

This is nuts. This thing went for $267.75 + $10.00 shipping. AES has it brand new for $279.99 and you might
even be able to get it cheeper elsewhere. I don't blame the seller but I would think the buyer would check
prices first.

"Rick Kempe" <r.kempe@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:tk1R8.49086$LC3.3754423@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1361140158
>
> Last day, currently at $227.50. Thanks for looking!
>
>

4853. Re: Decode digital signals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-28 21:00:30 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <O74T8.42814$Aa4.2111345@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 46569

To the best of my knowledge their are no scanners capable of decoding digital signals. Uniden, (Radio Shack) is working on one but it's not on the market yet. It will not be able to do encrypted digital and will carry a heavy price tag.

"sampetten" <sampett456@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in message news:d8f8142b.0206280328.3ce9bd57@posting.google.com...
> How to decode digitally encoded signals on 43/46 MHz cordless
> phones using Icom R2 scanner ?
>
> Thanks

4854. Re: FA Multiband Scanner Antenna 4 hrs left -- WE GET THE IDEA ALEX.!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-28 21:23:17 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3D1CD3C5.3040408@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 46570
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

Lord Velveeta wrote:

> On Thu, 27 Jun 2002 10:24:25 -0400, GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
> wrote:
>
>
>>You better get a better Usenet Provider.....Its rare that my posts don't
>>reach the entire US in less than an hour....
>>
>
> Whoever said anything about the entire US. Usenet = world. (yeah I
> know most americans can't imagine there is a world outside their
> borders).
>
>
>>I really don't think his post was spam, it was related to the groups it
>>was posted in...
>>
>
> SPAM = Unsolicited advertising or advertising where it doesn't belong.
>
>
> It was posted (over and over) to: "alt.radio.scanner,
> rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.scanner". I do not see any "for
> sale" in those group names, do you?
>
> SPAM SPAM SPAM SPAM SPAM Eggs bacon and SPAM!
>
> LV

You really are an ass, you call this guys post SPAM however you have yet
to respond to the "I thought I was under the Limit" SPAM.....

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com

4855. 2066 discriminator tap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-28 21:54:44 UTC
From: jimsworld1999@lycos.com
Message-ID: <7a301442.0206281354.7f77f22d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 46571

Hi all,

Does anyone have any info on where to tap the 2066 for a discriminator output? I've done all the usual searches and haven't been able to come up with anything? Any thoughts?

Thanks,
Jim

4856. Re: Decode digital signals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-28 22:35:33 UTC
From: Harry <marnells@cox.net>
Message-ID: <Vw5T8.52852$Ok1.4131449@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 46572

And it won't be designed to decode any digital signals other that "APCO P25" type, which will mostly be public safety agencies' communications. Railroads are supposedly considering using APCO 25 as well. The vast majority of digital stuff - and ALL encrypted communications (digital or analog) - will remain unavailable. I doubt cordless or cellphones will go to APCO P25... they have no reason to.
--
Harry
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/glossary.htm <~~
ASTRO/Digital/Trunking Glossary of Terms
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/uniden-digital.htm <~ news about Uniden's proposed digital-capable scanners

"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
news:O74T8.42814$Aa4.2111345@news0.telusplanet.net...
> To the best of my knowledge their are no scanners capable of decoding
> digital signals. Uniden, (Radio Shack) is working on one but it's not
on the
> market yet. It will not be able to do encrypted digital and will carry
a
> heavy price tag.
>
> "sampetten" <sampett456@yahoo.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:d8f8142b.0206280328.3ce9bd57@posting.google.com...
> > How to decode digitally encoded signals on 43/46 MHz cordless
> > phones using Icom R2 scanner ?
> >
> > Thanks
>
>

4857. Cleveland Botanical Gardens?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-29 00:05:30 UTC
From: Mike Mayer <mayermh@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <afitkk$l7q$2@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 46573

Anyone been able to snag this frequency? They use handhelds at the botanical gardens and the Hershey's children's garden. It's in University Circle. Bound to be either in the 151MHz range or the 430MHz range, judging by the radios.

Mike

4858. New website - ScanManitoba.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-29 00:59:09 UTC
From: Shaun <usenet@the-isp.com>
Message-ID: <ED7T8.171$A72.5913@news1.mts.net>
Source record: 46574

Hi,

I opened a new website this afternoon which covers the 120-site, 3-zone, SmartZone Omnilink system here in Manitoba, Canada. I believe this is the largest SmartZone system in North America.

There is some info regarding digital scanning / monitoring in the equipment and talkgroup sections for those who are in to astro stuff.

http://www.scanmanitoba.com

Shaun

4859. Looking for log periodic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-29 02:12:52 UTC
From: Richard <translations@qdnet.pl>
Message-ID: <afj533cag1@news.tpi.pl>
Source record: 46575

Hi I am looking for sources where I cam buy a reasonably priced log periodic aerial. One could be 30mhz to @ 1ghz and the other 100 mhz to 1ghz

TIA

Richard

4860. Re: BC 780XLT Mod and Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-29 03:11:29 UTC
From: Ralph <nospam@myspam.xxx>
Message-ID: <Bz9T8.815$cj2.355450929@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 46576
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm not sure of the horn type sound. I can say I have never heard it. try using www.google.com for searching for bc-780 software. It can amaze some people that they found so many things just by searching. Try it some time. it may help you.

"Goose" <Bob@no.com> wrote in message news:kqumhuki6ld9rudpoubjtk0p2bdp8390ev@4ax.com...
> Looking for a mod to the BC 780XLT to prevent the horn type sound that
> comes over on some trunking frequencies. I'm also looking for the
> cable pin out and any software available for PC programming of the
> BC780XLT scanner.
>
> TIA
>

4861. Re: BC 780XLT Mod and PC Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-29 03:14:46 UTC
From: Ralph <nospam@myspam.xxx>
Message-ID: <GC9T8.817$EI2.355601456@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 46577

Hah, I just replied to this guy in another newsgroup. I wonder if he has a clue? Spelling and grammar was correct. It makes me wonder!

R

"Gary Weller" <gweller@iprimus.com.au> wrote in message news:r5snhuk2mju4ndikasu4v38m51m6o8jas0@4ax.com...
> Do you actually own or have any experience with these radios, cas if
> you do you would know that the computer programming socket installed
> is a regular 9 pin RS232 and only requires a REGULAR 9 pin to 9 pin
> cable to hook u pto your computer.
>
> As for trunking, If by HORN type sound you mean CONTROL CHANNELS, then
> no there is not a MOD as this is a valid data carrying channels and if
> you were operating your scanner properly you would know that you cant
> hear this sound whilt the radio is in trunking mode.
>
>
>
> On Thu, 27 Jun 2002 22:05:46 GMT, Goose <Bob@no.com> wrote:
>
> >Looking for a mod to the BC 780XLT to prevent the horn type sound that
> >comes over on some trunking frequencies. I'm also looking for the
> >cable pin out and any software available for PC programming of the
> >BC780XLT scanner.
> >
> >TIA
>

4862. Re: WTB: SMALL SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-29 03:30:48 UTC
From: sphipps <sphipps@joplin.com>
Message-ID: <uhqafcjk4c7297@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 46578

I've got an almost new (purchased 1 month ago) Icom R2 with original Icom LC-146 leather case. Would sell for $125 plus shipping. Includes promotion flyer for free computer cable and software. That's over a $60 savings off new. Nice little scanner, but I've got too many radios. Let me know if you're interested.

Steve
sphipps@joplin.com
"Jim Mac Donald" <jmacdon@mitre.org> wrote in message news:3D1C3FC9.798707E2@mitre.org...
If you purchase one of the computer programmable small units get the cable and
software, if the cable is not included at the time of purchase!
Grove has a ICON IC R-2 used for $129.99 check the BARGAIN BIN

4863. Re: Decode digital signals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-29 04:39:58 UTC
From: "Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca>
Message-ID: <Pine.A41.4.05.10206282239130.5722-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca>
Source record: 46579

Have you even tried to scan these frequencies with an analog scanner? It doesn't sound as though you have yet.

On 28 Jun 2002, sampetten wrote:

> How to decode digitally encoded signals on 43/46 MHz cordless
> phones using Icom R2 scanner ?
>
> Thanks
>
>

4864. Re: El Cajon fire frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-29 05:50:45 UTC
From: Michael Kellogg <michael@kelloggs.org>
Message-ID: <Xns923BE85179705mk13579@199.45.49.11>
Source record: 46580

You are right, of course. Funny, I saw a fire truck on the news that said "El Cajon Fire Department" on it, or City of El Cajon, or something. The engineer was talking with one of the residents whose home had just been saved. There IS a city, or area, there called "Cajon", obviously where the Cajon Pass got its name.

Thx for the help. I actually was able to get some traffic from 171.475 mainly, out here on the west end of the IE.

-mike

"Harry" <marnells@cox.net> wrote in news:8VIS8.50398$Ok1.3895990 @news2.west.cox.net:

> (EL Cajon is in San Diego, this is plain ol Cajon Pass)

4865. Re: REQ: El Cajon fire frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-29 05:54:47 UTC
From: Michael Kellogg <michael@kelloggs.org>
Message-ID: <Xns923BE900570F3mk13579@199.45.49.11>
Source record: 46582

Hadn't considered the CHP freq's, but should have, obviously. I have always had trouble hearing much from the CHP, and have never once heard a mobile transmission on those freq's. The ones I'm using are right down around 6 meters, just under 50 MHz. I think CHP has used them for a long time, but a friend of mine told me they had switched to using repeaters, which obviously would be terrific for monitoring. I have no info at all on that. Since I've had so much trouble hearing much of anything on CHP, I don't monitor them very much. Thx for the help!

-mike

"Mountain Bob" <rtiberiNOSPAM@csusb.edu> (Mountain Bob) wrote in news:3d1b6cbe.19409877@news.calstate.edu:

> I got a lot of information off the CHP freqs as well as the SBSO
> Mountain freqs...

4866. FS: Icom R7000 Mint!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-29 05:56:11 UTC
From: ronm@direcway.com
Message-ID: <3d1d4be8.59699570@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 46581

This receiver is excellent and still outperforms all my other
scanner/receivers, includes manual and receiver. Asking $750.00

Thanks for looking
Ron M

4867. Re: police coms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-29 06:05:24 UTC
From: Michael Kellogg <michael@kelloggs.org>
Message-ID: <Xns923BEACCF5D8Cmk13579@199.45.49.11>
Source record: 46583
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I would hope that the cops who showed up would cart this idiot off to the station for filing a false police report. Like any efficient organization, cops will prioritize calls and respond accordingly. How about those folks who had more urgent matters happening, who did not get the appropriate attention due to this person claiming he'd shot some people?

One time a friend of mine at the gas station I worked in got into a shoving match with a customer, and hit the panic button. When the cops showed up expecting an armed robbery, guns drawn, they read him the riot act.

-mk

"Joe" <joe.amp@NOSPAMverizon.net> wrote in news:tIrS8.28873$cE5.24052 @nwrddc02.gnilink.net:

> George Phillips of Meridian, Mississippi was going up to bed when his
> wife told him that he'd left the light on in the garden shed, which she
> could see from the bedroom window.
> George opened the back door to go turn off the light but saw that there
> were people in the shed stealing things. He phoned the police, who asked
> "Is someone in your house?" and he said no.
> Then they said that all patrols were busy, and that he should simply
> lock his door and an officer would be along when available. George said
> "Okay," hung up, counted to 30, and phoned the police again. "Hello. I
> just called you a few seconds ago because there were people in my shed.
> Well, you don't have to worry about them now cause I've just shot them
> all." Then he hung up.
>
> Within five minutes three police cars, an Armed Response unit, and an
> ambulance showed up at the Phillips residence.
> Of course, the police caught the burglars red-handed. One of the
> policemen said to George: "I thought you said that you'd shot them!"
> George said, "I thought you said there was nobody available

4868. Re: The best handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-29 11:29:51 UTC
From: Trondheim <937275212@terra.es>
Message-ID: <PSgT8.28616$BK6.252934@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 46584
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

Thank you very much my friend, it's true, in www.thiecom.de, the YUPITERU MVT-7100 is cheaper than other sites, 298 ? without delivery.

I have found another site more cheap, in an Australian store: http://e5.eon.net.au/E5.cgi?ST=syncro&CMD=Category&Category=Scanners&Company=Yupiteru
282,15 ? (without delivery), but I prefer to buy it here in Europe, so possibly I buy it in www.thiecom.de, for only 16 ? plus ;-)

I do not have sure is if the repairs within the guarantee period, can be also carried out in our own country, having bought scanner in Germany.

Many Thanks,

Trondheim

"dan obrien" <brianobrien@eircom.net> escribi&#65533; en el mensaje news:fbXS8.55$i5.2972@news.indigo.ie...
> Check out www.thiecom.de for online sales. Site is mainly in German
> language. Prices 20-30% less than UK. I'm not connected, just a satisfied
> customer. I just bought a Yupi 7100 from them for 314 euros including
> delivery to Ireland - I think that's a pretty good deal.
> One snag - they dont take credit cards outside Germany - u can order online
> but u need to pay by post or cash on delivery.
>
> Brian
>
> "Trondheim" <you@you.com> wrote in message
> news:vGHS8.478638$s6.3468647@telenews.teleline.es...
> > Many thanks to all people who have helped me in the election of an
> handheld
> > scanner.
> >
> > I understand that scanner that better one adapts to my necessities is the
> > YUPITERU MVT-7100, thanks for the recommendations, and specially to who
> > (sorry I forgot his name) gave me the url http://www.strongsignals.net for
> > the reviews of some of the diverse existing models in the market.
> >
> > Greetings,
> >
> > Trondheim ;-)

> >
> > "Trondheim" <937275212@terra.es> escribi&#65533; en el mensaje
> > news:AZfS8.415130$s6.2964564@telenews.teleline.es...
> > > What is the best handheld scanner?
> > > ICOM IC-R10 or YAESU VR-500, without considering the king of the hand
> > > scanners the AOR AR8200 (but with the problems of short autonomy of
his
> > > batteries and his expensive price).
> > >
> > > I want to scanner all mode (AM, N/FM, SSB & CW), handheld, that cover
> > > frequencies between 0,5 MHz and 1300 MHz minimum, and that has a good
> > > selectivity and sensitivity, these two models that I expose are the
firm
> > > candidates, and only models that sell where I live (I do not want to
buy
> > at
> > > distance, by the problems of repairs while this in guarantee, either
to
> > buy
> > > used scanners, unless one says to me that the ICOM IC-R10 and the
YAESU
> > > VR-500 aren't a good election).
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > >
> > > Trondheim
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

4869. Re: Cleveland Botanical Gardens?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-29 14:49:18 UTC
From: teeavee@aol.comGETLOST
Message-ID: <20020629104918.26065.00002377@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 46585

Mike is this security or the workers there that you are looking for the freq?..I may be up there today sometime with my scout and see if I can grab it. Since that area is under so much construction I dont walk around there too much..My wife and I used to walk the area every saturday for years....I'll let you know if i snag anything......Tony

4870. Re: El Cajon fire frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-29 15:19:36 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <4ujrhug6vtt27lb527q8862ohkqo1m5s2t@4ax.com>
Source record: 46586

Fresh from a command appearance on The View, Michael Kellogg <michael@kelloggs.org> moaned...

>You are right, of course. Funny, I saw a fire truck on the news that said
>"El Cajon Fire Department" on it, or City of El Cajon, or something. The
>engineer was talking with one of the residents whose home had just been
>saved. There IS a city, or area, there called "Cajon", obviously where the
>Cajon Pass got its name.

El Cajon is in east San Diego County, and that unit went as part of a strike team.

Cajon means 'drawer'.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

4871. Radios FS or Trade For R75 or R8

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-29 16:13:31 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <L0lT8.119820$zh2.26899749@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 46587
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Have the following items for sale or trade for Mint Icom R75 w/DSP or Drake R8 or R8A:

Uniden UBC 3000XLT bought new this year, barely used, beautiful case, ps, swivel telescoping antenna, manual, full 800 MHz, 30 kHz step mod, $285 + shipping or trade

Sony 7600GR, Sony PS, manual, reel antenna, case, $145 + shipping or trade

Sony AN-LP1 loop antenna with all accessories, bought new recently, trade only

Looking to trade even if possible.

DeWayne KE9K

4872. GeorgeF, F is for Fraud (was Re: Where can I get a good Preamplifier, not to expensive)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-29 16:31:15 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <SIlT8.47$NF6.104085@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 46588

George, the other day you exibited what you are worth with your phone call to my house.

Have you called your Lawyer yet George? I mean you didn't once defend or complain about my accusation that you are a liar and a shill but you threatened me with your Lawyer if I called you a FRAUD again? If you don't like the moniker don't makes claims you can't back up.

Throughout our phone conversation your only defense was "have you seen what Grove does" or "Grove does it". Wait you did make the claim that all you have to do is go on the Web and get specs of antennas to compare them. Even funnier is you claimed to me this was as good as actually testing them side by side. You are a fraud and a shill George.

You are a FRAUD George. The review on your web page is you parroting Tim with your addition of misinformation. You are a FRAUD when you say your AntennaWarehouse scanner beam rivals antennas costing many times more (IOW with 2 to 3 times the boom length and 2 to 3 times the number of elements). You also are a FRAUD when you claim the AntennaWarehouse scanner beam has the same frequency coverage even though it's longest elements are 2 to 3 times shorter than the antennas you are comparing it to. How does this new physics of your work GeorgeF (F for Fraud)?????

I don't give a rat's ass about Grove. All I ever asked is for Tim at AntennaWarehouse to reveal the antennas he claims he spent a summer comparing his scanner beam to. Tim posted that claim here to this group. Seems really odd that he wouldn't back that claim up followed by his spam being replaced by you. Why would he (and you) be afraid to reveal which antennas he used in his Summer long test GeorgeF (F is for FRAUD)? FRAUD?

BTW: You really showed what a squeaky voiced (you sound like a prepubescent girl or Mickey Mouse with his balls in a vice) POS you really are. I cornered you with your own bullsh*t and all you could do is make a remark about my wife, by name, and hang up. What is with that crap GeorgeF (F is for Fraud)?

YOU are a FRAUD a Liar and a creep GeorgeF.

Glenn

4873. Radio Shack PRO-23 Scanner - Cellular Modification Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-29 16:53:08 UTC
From: Charles & Kathy Houser <ch42958@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <3D1DE5F4.118EE74C@alltel.net>
Source record: 46589

I have a PRO-23 Radio Shack Scanner. It has a date code of 2A4. Model No. 20-504.

I have looked for pro-23 cellular modifications and I can't get them to work. I was just wondering which pro-23 scanners can be modified. Hold 2-9-L/O when i turn it on doesn't work. I have heard that there is a way to get cellular frequencies on a pro-23 that the 2-9-L/O mod doesn;t work on, but it requires to cut a wire from the daughter board that blocks them frequencies. I was just wondering if anyone has any information on that either.

If anyone has anyother answers to my questions they will be greatly appreciated.

thanks for any help

patrick

4874. Discriminator output

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-29 19:54:28 UTC
From: John Ecklund <j.ecklund@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <UfoT8.67843$UT.4681307@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 46590

I have an RCA output from my discriminator tap and need to know how to interface between the RCA out put to the computer?

Thanks

4875. Re: Radios FS or Trade For R75 or R8

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-29 20:33:46 UTC
From: Gary Jacek <gary-jacek@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <10890017ROBOTLX@198.80.55.250>
Source record: 46591
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

DeWayne

You offered to sell me the AN-LP1 for $80US plus postage
and I accepted your offer. Please complete the transaction
per our private emails.

...Gary

> Have the following items for sale or trade for Mint Icom R75 w/DSP or Drake
> R8 or R8A:
>
> Uniden UBC 3000XLT bought new this year, barely used, beautiful case, ps,
> swivel telescoping antenna, manual, full 800 MHz, 30 kHz step mod, $285 +
> shipping or trade
>
> Sony 7600GR, Sony PS, manual, reel antenna, case, $145 + shipping or trade
>
> Sony AN-LP1 loop antenna with all accessories, bought new recently, trade
> only
>
> Looking to trade even if possible.
>
> DeWayne KE9K
>

4876. Re: Radios FS or Trade For R75 or R8

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-29 20:50:46 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <G4pT8.120776$zh2.27124736@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 46592
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Gary Jacek" <gary-jacek@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:10890017ROBOTLX@198.80.55.250...
DeWayne

You offered to sell me the AN-LP1 for $80US plus postage
and I accepted your offer. Please complete the transaction
per our private emails.

My first intention is to trade the Sony. If I don't work a trade then I
might sell it. My first ad was to trade the Sony. Yes, I told you I'd sell
it but didn't really want to do that. My mistake. You have what I want? I'll
consider a trade.
DeWayne KE9K

...Gary

> Have the following items for sale or trade for Mint Icom R75 w/DSP or
Drake
> R8 or R8A:
>
> Uniden UBC 3000XLT bought new this year, barely used, beautiful case, ps,
> swivel telescoping antenna, manual, full 800 MHz, 30 kHz step mod, $285 +
> shipping or trade
>
> Sony 7600GR, Sony PS, manual, reel antenna, case, $145 + shipping or trade
>
> Sony AN-LP1 loop antenna with all accessories, bought new recently, trade
> only
>
> Looking to trade even if possible.
>
> DeWayne KE9K
>

4877. Re: Radios FS or Trade For R75 or R8

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-29 21:18:46 UTC
From: Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <du8shu849q092m6lb7q2vs4ae9u7vi91cl@4ax.com>
Source record: 46593
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sat, 29 Jun 2002 20:33:46 GMT, Gary Jacek <gary-jacek@shaw.ca>
wrote:

>DeWayne
>
>You offered to sell me the AN-LP1 for $80US plus postage
>and I accepted your offer. Please complete the transaction
>per our private emails.
>
>...Gary
>

FWIW, Universal Radio still had some of these in stock for $80, but
you had to phone in your order.

4878. Cedar Point OH Freq's (and that Area)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-29 21:57:06 UTC
From: gkeithhood@aol.common
Message-ID: <20020629175706.04601.00000591@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 46595

I'll be visiting the Cedar point Amusement Park in Sandusky OH in a couple of weeks and woul be interested in the freq's used around the park.
Also any Vessel Freq's for Lkae Erie would be appreciated.
Thanks,
Keith

4879. Re: Discriminator output

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-29 22:19:36 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uhsco6cjreg8d0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 46497

See reply in A.R.S...

PH

"John Ecklund" <j.ecklund@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:UfoT8.67843$UT.4681307@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I have an RCA output from my discriminator tap and need to know how to
> interface between the RCA out put to the computer?
>
> Thanks
>
>
>

4880. Re: Radios FS or Trade For R75 or R8

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 01:02:20 UTC
From: finalquest <finalquest@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <wMsT8.3237$FG5.282456@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 46499
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Geez.... This almost sounds like the Dave Munroe fraud case up in Canada.

"DeWayne" <ke9k@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
news:L0lT8.119820$zh2.26899749@twister.neo.rr.com...
> Have the following items for sale or trade for Mint Icom R75 w/DSP or
Drake
> R8 or R8A:
>
> Uniden UBC 3000XLT bought new this year, barely used, beautiful case, ps,
> swivel telescoping antenna, manual, full 800 MHz, 30 kHz step mod, $285 +
> shipping or trade
>
> Sony 7600GR, Sony PS, manual, reel antenna, case, $145 + shipping or trade
>
> Sony AN-LP1 loop antenna with all accessories, bought new recently, trade
> only
>
> Looking to trade even if possible.
>
> DeWayne KE9K
>
>
>

4881. Re: Radios FS or Trade For R75 or R8

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 01:13:08 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <EWsT8.121344$zh2.27338192@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 46500
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"finalquest" <finalquest@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:wMsT8.3237$FG5.282456@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Geez.... This almost sounds like the Dave Munroe fraud case up in Canada.

Don't know what that means but I'm in Indiana.
DeWayne KE9K

>
> "DeWayne" <ke9k@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:L0lT8.119820$zh2.26899749@twister.neo.rr.com...
> > Have the following items for sale or trade for Mint Icom R75 w/DSP or
> Drake
> > R8 or R8A:
> >
> > Uniden UBC 3000XLT bought new this year, barely used, beautiful case,
ps,
> > swivel telescoping antenna, manual, full 800 MHz, 30 kHz step mod, $285
+
> > shipping or trade
> >
> > Sony 7600GR, Sony PS, manual, reel antenna, case, $145 + shipping or
trade
> >
> > Sony AN-LP1 loop antenna with all accessories, bought new recently,
trade
> > only
> >
> > Looking to trade even if possible.
> >
> > DeWayne KE9K
> >
> >
> >
>
>

4882. A New Pcr 1000 Control Program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 01:19:44 UTC
From: CrazyC <crazy__c@hot_mail.com>
Message-ID: <Q0tT8.116702$Hn4.3994588@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 46501

Hi All,
I have just finished uploaded my Pcr1k program to my website:
http://members.fortunecity.com/fiftyonefifty/

Take A look and let me know how it works for you...

4883. Re: Looking for log periodic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 01:24:26 UTC
From: Dale Parfitt <parinc@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3D1E5C21.C5ACAB9F@gte.net>
Source record: 46502

Richard wrote:

> Hi I am looking for sources where I cam buy a reasonably priced log periodic
> aerial. One could be 30mhz to @ 1ghz and the other 100 mhz to 1ghz
>
> TIA
>
> Richard

A well designed log periodic can function over a 2:1 frequency range- perhaps 3:1 before its characteristics degrade. So, if you want to cover 30 Mhz, the upper end will be 60- 90MHz. Hoping for 30MHz -->1GHz or even 100MHz-->1GHz is out of the question.

Dale W4OP

4884. Scanner Battery Pack for older Radio Shack scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 01:40:13 UTC
From: allanstern@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020629214013.01536.00001486@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 46503

Radio Shack sells a "Scanner Battery Pack with Charging Stand" (Item
23-288).
The Battery Pack fits into the following scanners:
Pro-30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 36, 37, 39, 42, 43, 44, 50, 60, and 62.
The Charging Stand accepts the battery pack (outside of the scanner), and
uses the normal wall wart for power.
I have several of the above types of scanners. So I keep at least one
battery pack in the charging stand at any time.
The unit costs under $20, so it is about the same cost as decent nicads,
but offers the convenience of allowing you to be charging a pack as you are
using another pack in the radio. When on sale they are $14.99.
The packs are rated at 600 mAh.
The unit appears on p. 104 of Radio Shack's 2002 catalog.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL

4885. Re: Looking for log periodic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 03:16:50 UTC
From: Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <uvtshuss42936jd19kgtg4ai0k95pvf3f3@4ax.com>
Source record: 46504

Fresh from a command appearance on The View, Dale Parfitt <parinc@gte.net> moaned...

>A well designed log periodic can function over a 2:1 frequency range- perhaps
>3:1 before its characteristics degrade. So, if you want to cover 30 Mhz, the
>upper end will be 60- 90MHz. Hoping for 30MHz -->1GHz or even 100MHz-->1GHz is
>out of the question.

Most log periodic antennas have a MUCH wider bandwidth than 2:1 or even 3:1.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

4886. Icom R-8500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 03:28:32 UTC
From: jr <jerryham@pobox.com>
Message-ID: <3D1E7AE0.3098E299@pobox.com>
Source record: 46505

We have a Icom R8500. This has about 50 frequencies in 4 or 5 banks programed and working. Somehow recently whenever we choose a frequency within a bank, if something is on that frequency, this radio starts scanning up in freq. I called Icom and have tried the normal scan modes and have not been able to stop this from happening. Icom suggests a CPU clear, I would rather not do that yet.

Any help would be appreciated

4887. Re: Cedar Point OH Freq's (and that Area)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 03:46:49 UTC
From: Craig Hauke <medic3@bright.net>
Message-ID: <hbvT8.581$pv6.83767@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 46506

Keith,

Check out http://www.scanohio.com/special/parks.html

That site, should have all of the frequencies for Cedar Point and then you can also check out http://www.scanohio.com and find scanner frequencies for other agencies in Ohio. (Such as the fire departments, hospitals, ems, police, etc of the areas that you are visiting).

Thanks!

"Keith" <gkeithhood@aol.common> wrote in message news:20020629175706.04601.00000591@mb-cb.aol.com...
> I'll be visiting the Cedar point Amusement Park in Sandusky OH in a couple of
> weeks and woul be interested in the freq's used around the park.
> Also any Vessel Freq's for Lkae Erie would be appreciated.
> Thanks,
> Keith

4888. Re: Looking for log periodic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 04:30:49 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8ZvT8.181$NF6.229205@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 46508

In article <uvtshuss42936jd19kgtg4ai0k95pvf3f3@4ax.com>,
thekat@san.rxyzr.com says...
> Fresh from a command appearance on The View, Dale Parfitt <parinc@gte.net> moaned...
>
> >A well designed log periodic can function over a 2:1 frequency range- perhaps
> >3:1 before its characteristics degrade. So, if you want to cover 30 Mhz, the
> >upper end will be 60- 90MHz. Hoping for 30MHz -->1GHz or even 100MHz-->1GHz is
> >out of the question.
>
> Most log periodic antennas have a MUCH wider bandwidth than 2:1 or even 3:1.
>

I was thinking he same thing Bud. I have a KMA4113 that is wide enough
to transmit from 54 MHz to 440 MHz. If my math serves me that is a bit
more than 3 to 1. The factory says 41-1300 MHz. I have never been able
to test it above 800 MHz other than listening but the upper end seems
to be there.

Glenn

4889. Re: Radios FS or Trade For R75 or R8

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 04:31:13 UTC
From: Gary Jacek <gary-jacek@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <11411598ROBOTLX@198.80.55.250>
Source record: 46507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I wouldn't acuse DeWayne of being another David Munroe.

I am waiting for him to advise postage cost so I can
send payment. No fraud. Just reluctance to complete a sale.

> Geez.... This almost sounds like the Dave Munroe fraud case up in Canada.
>

4890. Re: Real Canadian

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 04:51:40 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.com>
Message-ID: <w7wT8.51028$vo2.2697085@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 46509

They are usually under the owners name, holding name. etc. Let me go thru the tafl and I will let ya know. remind me if I do not get back to you right away. I gotta download em again.

Dev

WG <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message news:aJaS8.47477$vo2.2182991@news2.telusplanet.net...
> Already tried IC. The trouble is that they maybe registered under a
> different company name or the license maybe registered at an other store or
> even in another province.
> "Gerry Mahar" <x769v@unb.ca> wrote in message
> news:7W9S8.10847$f52.1586505@news-nb00s0.nbnet.nb.ca...
> > you might try searching Industry Canada's frequency license database..
> it's
> > based on latitude/longitude, and radius from that location
> > here's the link
> > http://spectrumdirect.ic.gc.ca/engdoc/main.html
> > it appears that there is also an option to search by licensee name now
> > Gerry
> > "WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
> > news:eQ5S8.38449$Aa4.1857986@news0.telusplanet.net...
> > > Does anyone have the security frequencies for the Real Canadian
> > Superstores
> > > in British Columbia Canada? I use to have them but lost them. They were
> > > around 400.000 MHz low power hand held. I check this NG regularly so
> > please
> > > post. Thanks
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

4891. Re: Decode digital signals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 04:53:49 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.com>
Message-ID: <x9wT8.51029$vo2.2697234@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 46510

43 / 46 cordlesses are not digital. if you do get one that you think is digital. I can honestly say it is most likely voice inverted. get voice invert or voice invert 2 for the computer. or buy hardware.

Ian W. Douglas <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca> wrote in message news:Pine.A41.4.05.10206282239130.5722-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca...
>
> Have you even tried to scan these frequencies with an analog scanner? It
> doesn't sound as though you have yet.
>
> On 28 Jun 2002, sampetten wrote:
>
> > How to decode digitally encoded signals on 43/46 MHz cordless
> > phones using Icom R2 scanner ?
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> >
>

4892. Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 05:33:00 UTC
From: drcap@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16228-3D1E980C-111@storefull-2155.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 46512

Need help between Pro 2052 & BC780.
Would appreciate comments on both befoe buying. I've heard pros & cons
on both sides

4893. Icom R7100 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 05:40:04 UTC
From: ogcallme@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020630014004.14524.00001352@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 46511

I see from the manual for the Icom R7100 receiver that when in the PROGRAMMED SEARCH mode you have a capability to lockout 100 frequencies and these are stored in channels "700 to 799"

Which leads to my question - - - When the 100th skip frequency has been entered does the R7100 go back to the beginning of the skip frequencies and start overwriting and replacing old skip frequencies? I do not see anything in the manual that says one way or the other.

Your help is GREATLY appreciated.

Jim in the City of Roses "Portland, Oregon"

4894. 11.895.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 06:10:25 UTC
From: dxlover574738846@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020630021025.16793.00001810@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 46513

QTH :Cincinnati,Ohio

**sounds like something good to sleep to.

Radio Morocco? Melodic chants

4895. Re: 11.895.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 06:12:14 UTC
From: dxlover574738846@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020630021214.16793.00001811@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 46514

>QTH :Cincinnati,Ohio
>
>**sounds like something good to sleep to.
>
>Radio Morocco? Melodic chants

Wrong group, my bad.

4896. Irish scanning laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 06:42:18 UTC
From: Mac Rosevelt <rapture@calweb.com>
Message-ID: <3d1ea7e6_2@news3.calweb.com>
Source record: 46515

I am seriously considering living in Ireland (Galway, to be specific) for a couple of months next year, so I am wondering what the law there is on scanning, and how the police and public feel about scanners. I know in some countries scanners are illegal or require a permit to use, and in the USA many private security firms are hostile to scannists and many public, non-scanning folk think scanners are used only to listen to the cops or phone conversations, which can be quite annoying to hear people complain about.

4897. Re: Icom R2 and USB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 08:04:23 UTC
From: badfood4@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020630040423.01566.00001469@mb-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 46516

does the book say you can / i do not know ask www.icom.com/the ones who make it so hard to get downloads for you radioscanner/the ones who refuse to give you replacement scanner for the ir-3 the ones who market the junk and the ones that have it never had problems with them /have never got memory errs on there scanner./most do not know that there radio did not have the bad chips in them

4898. PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 08:10:24 UTC
From: craig <craig42@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <S3zT8.15202$l6.3368318@newsfep2-win.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 46517

anybody know where i can get a copy of Radiocom for the Icom PCR1000 which runs on WinXP.

thanks.

4899. forsale in mint condition with manual and ext speaker receiver realistic dx160

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 09:57:30 UTC
From: JJJ <oakvillecub@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <85qT8.80350$Yt.3300410@read1.cgocable.net>
Source record: 46594

for sale in mint condition with manual and external
speaker

realistic receiver
dx 160

125.00US includes basic shipping
thanks
oakvillecub@cogeco.ca

4900. for sale: yaseu frg9600 with opt video card, service manual,ant and dc supply 200.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 10:12:35 UTC
From: JJJ <oakvillecub@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <gjqT8.80353$Yt.3300568@read1.cgocable.net>
Source record: 46596

for sale in verygood condition:

yaseu scanner frg 9600 with optional video card,
service manual, ant, and dc powersupply.

200.00US includes shipping

thanks
oakvillecub@cogeco.ca

4901. Re: Radios FS or Trade For R75 or R8

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 10:18:33 UTC
From: ~The-Wizard~ <spamWizard@walesuk.net>
Message-ID: <afmlto$a0f$1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 46518
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Do you have trouble understanding DeWayne. He said *he might* sell it.
or would trade for something if you have what he wants.
If you want to be legally bound by terms of sale, try eBay

--
~The Wizard~

Please remove the obvious for reply

.....
"Gary Jacek" <gary-jacek@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:11411598ROBOTLX@198.80.55.250...
I wouldn't acuse DeWayne of being another David Munroe.

I am waiting for him to advise postage cost so I can
send payment. No fraud. Just reluctance to complete a sale.

> Geez.... This almost sounds like the Dave Munroe fraud case up in Canada.
>

4902. Re: for sale: yaseu frg9600 with opt video card, service manual,ant and dc supply 200.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 11:09:14 UTC
From: JJJ <oakvillecub@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <17rT8.63034$op.6298655@read2.cgocable.net>
Source record: 46498

tentatively sold

JJJ <oakvillecub@cogeco.ca> wrote in message
news:gjqT8.80353$Yt.3300568@read1.cgocable.net...
> for sale in verygood condition:
>
> yaseu scanner frg 9600 with optional video card,
> service manual, ant, and dc powersupply.
>
>
> 200.00US includes shipping
>
> thanks
> oakvillecub@cogeco.ca
>
>
>

4903. ACARS Online site menu

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 12:26:45 UTC
From: mark.avey@virgin.net
Message-ID: <21fc4b52.0206300426.3f191b7a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 46519

For anyone that may be experiencing problems viewing the site (some
Netscape users have reported problems seeing the new menu system),
there is a HTML version of the site navigation at
http://www.acarsonline.co.uk/HTMLMENU.htm

Cheers,

Mark

4904. Re: Scanner Battery Pack for older Radio Shack scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 12:34:10 UTC
From: n9mku@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27731-3D1EFAC2-38@storefull-2358.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 46520

Thanks for the heads up ! ive been looking for something like that for
my radios for sometime now :)

Ken R
Look and you will find it-what is unsought will go
undetected....Sophocles

4905. Trunked handheld volume question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 13:56:26 UTC
From: Terry <nepvetm@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <e6ET8.10252$p85.416425@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 46521

I sometimes work in a loud noise environment (i'm with a local VFD) and I need a trunked handheld with the loudest volume I can get. I have a pro-93 but even at max volume next to my ear it's hard to hear. I know there's not many trunked handhelds but what about maybe an earlier or a uniden one?

4906. Re: ce-232

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 14:46:21 UTC
From: whiskeybar@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <5a074e26.0206300646.13ea9dc0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 46522

Len <Len.C@sympNOCRAPatico.ca> wrote in message
news:<7ntohu4uivinraluq8t4e6sj9ivsm1gmoj@4ax.com>...
> Someone on here had some for sale a while ago, might wanna do a search
> for them. They are out there, what have you got now, do you have the
> program that goes on the chip?
>
>

Well, all I have is the shematic/ PCB layout as in cheek 3. I do not
have the program.

4907. Re: ce-232

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 14:47:25 UTC
From: whiskeybar@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <5a074e26.0206300647.62821e4e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 46523

"Frank346" <none@all.org> wrote in message
news:<U9YS8.10108$17.4552174@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>...
> The Motorola MC68HC11F1CFN3 cpu is a good substitute for the original
> discontinued type. You can get it at Digi-Key for around $10.
>

Thanks a lot!

4908. Re: A New Pcr 1000 Control Program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 14:53:26 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3D1F1B66.4BB0B5AA@optonline.net>
Source record: 46524

Hmmm, IE can't get to the site and closes with an error.
Netscape gets a blank page on the screenshots.

Is the program better than your web page?

CrazyC wrote:
>
> Hi All,
> I have just finished uploaded my Pcr1k program to my website:
> http://members.fortunecity.com/fiftyonefifty/
>
> Take A look and let me know how it works for you...

4909. Re: Trunked handheld volume question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 16:33:14 UTC
From: Shaun <usenet@the-isp.com>
Message-ID: <hpGT8.272$A72.9533@news1.mts.net>
Source record: 46525

Hey Terry,

Get an earphone to use! Problem solved. If you need loud outside audio, use an extension speaker with a built-in amplifier.

Shaun

"Terry" <nepvetm@gt.rr.com> wrote in message news:e6ET8.10252$p85.416425@twister.austin.rr.com...
> I sometimes work in a loud noise environment (i'm with a local VFD) and I
> need a trunked handheld with the loudest volume I can get. I have a pro-93
> but even at max volume next to my ear it's hard to hear. I know there's not
> many trunked handhelds but what about maybe an earlier or a uniden one?
>
>

4910. Re: ce-232

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 16:39:12 UTC
From: jvillafane@aol.com1iwilly
Message-ID: <20020630123912.01604.00001909@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 46526

why waste time go to comtronics engineering email cindy cheek she has what you need that simple.enjoy
jvillafane

4911. Re: GeorgeF, F is for Fraud (was Re: Where can I get a good Preamplifier, not to expensive)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 17:15:24 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3D1F3CAC.8020905@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 46527

Glenn wrote:

Glenn, this is my final reponse to you, PAY YOUR TAXES..... That's right after a search of public records there is something missing, not just one, not just two but for several years there is something missing....TAXES.....

Over and out, never to respond to Mr. Hansen.....

4912. Re: A New Pcr 1000 Control Program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 17:21:33 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <g7hid@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <gRR62VAd4zH9EwBq@g7hid.lineone.net>
Source record: 46528

In article <800B1C2159A00558.41F421F3E6586FC3.81AAA8B80C658EA8@lp.airnew
s.net>, SB <StogieButt@ameritech.net> writes
>"Walt Bertram" wrote:
>
>>Hmmm, IE can't get to the site and closes with an error.
>>Netscape gets a blank page on the screenshots.
>
>That is one BAD page!!

Surprisingly once you get past the web page and download and run the
application, it is quite good, TalkPCR with extras..

--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
: don't fix it !

4913. Re: GeorgeF, F is for Fraud (was Re: Where can I get a good Preamplifier, not to expensive)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 17:32:32 UTC
From: billy8156@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d8c04b3f.0206300932.17a7880f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 46529

Glenn stop putting down the few people left in this hobby who are willing to help others getting started. I'm new to this hobby and got more help from George than all the others on here combined. I live 50 miles from George and he welcomed me to his shack, spend 2 hours there and learned more in those 2 hours about scanning then from any other source.

After seeing his setup I bought one of the antennawarehouse scanner beams. It turned out to be the best antenna I have. After being in this hobby for about 2 years with a main interest in military frequencies I have found one thing, getting information from the long time pros is very hard. Its like the good ole boys club. It is that kind of attitude which kills most hobbys and I'm grateful that George doesn't have that attitude as he share more info and frequencies other the others on here. I have seen many frequency lists but when I use his I hear more activity than lists published by others on the net.

Unless you are going to contribute to this hobby keep your thoughts to yourself. After searching UseNet posts I see that you not only stir up trouble on rec.radio.scanner but you are well known on many other newsgroups for stirring up trouble and starting flames.

Bill

4914. Re: Looking for log periodic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 19:21:40 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <afnlkh$fu1kf$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 46530

"Glenn" <ggrrhh@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:8ZvT8.181$NF6.229205@newsfeed.slurp.net...

> I was thinking he same thing Bud. I have a KMA4113 that is wide enough
> to transmit from 54 MHz to 440 MHz. If my math serves me that is a bit
> more than 3 to 1. The factory says 41-1300 MHz. I have never been able
> to test it above 800 MHz other than listening but the upper end seems
> to be there.

Yes, it was always my understanding that the LPA is a wideband beam.
Speaking of which, anyone know of any good websites that have plans to build
one?

Tom

4915. Re: GeorgeF, F is for Fraud (was Re: Where can I get a good Preamplifier, not to expensive)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 19:47:36 UTC
From: ~The-Wizard~ <spamWizard@walesuk.net>
Message-ID: <afnn8o$164$1@helle.btinternet.com>
Source record: 46531

If he can get away without paying taxes, then good luck to the Guy,
Proves he is more clever than you are.

--
~The Wizard~

Please remove the obvious for reply

.....
"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3D1F3CAC.8020905@licensed4fun.com...
> Glenn wrote:
>
> Glenn, this is my final reponse to you, PAY YOUR TAXES..... That's
> right after a search of public records there is something missing, not
> just one, not just two but for several years there is something
> missing....TAXES.......
>
> Over and out, never to respond to Mr. Hansen.....
>
>

4916. Steve Fossett- Spirit of Freedom Balloon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 21:27:14 UTC
From: Pat Cox <peacox@gloryroad.net>
Message-ID: <3D1F77B2.40509F9C@gloryroad.net>
Source record: 46532

Anyone hearing Steve on this trip? I know he is in touch via satellite phone with ground crew in St. Louis but he should be using the LDOC ssb frequencies during the transoceanic part of the flight. Nothing listed at www.spiritoffreedom.com. pcox

4917. Re: Where can I get a good Preamplifier, not to expensive

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 21:59:17 UTC
From: Devin <a@b.com>
Message-ID: <VaLT8.10823$PN5.97899@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 46533

why do you have rg-8 running for UHF. The best if you have a yagi here and a 9 elemen't under 100 feet or so. get some rg-213 or some LM-9913 stuff. Rg-8 is about as bad as 6 or58 in UHF

Ok, I have a 9 element Yagi cut for about 225-400 MHz. When mounted it
> will be about 30' high supplied with about 60 feed of RG-8/U. I want to
> get the most gain I can in the MilAir bank (225-400). What do you
> suggest for a pre-amp?
> George
> http://www.MilAirComms.com
>
>

4918. Re: GeorgeF, F is for Fraud (was Re: Where can I get a good Preamplifier, not to expensive)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 21:59:19 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <pbLT8.152$KO6.141119@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 46534

In article <3D1F3CAC.8020905@licensed4fun.com>,
av8tor@licensed4fun.com says...
> Glenn wrote:
>
> Glenn, this is my final reponse to you, PAY YOUR TAXES..... That's
> right after a search of public records there is something missing, not
> just one, not just two but for several years there is something
> missing....TAXES.......
>
> Over and out, never to respond to Mr. Hansen.....

You are a fraud George and personal attacks and lies don't change
that.

You called me up and threatened to sue me George. After I confronted
you with your shills and lies you made remarks about my wife by name
and hung up.

You won't hear from me if you don't try and pass your lies on as
truth. If you don't defend a dishonest businessman with more lies
fraud and deception.

I said nothing untrue and your cheap response shows what you are made
of George. The same thing the little man that called me up and made
remarks about my wife is made of.

4919. Re: GeorgeF, F is for Fraud (was Re: Where can I get a good Preamplifier, not to expensive)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 22:09:52 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <klLT8.154$KO6.143152@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 46535

In article <d8c04b3f.0206300932.17a7880f@posting.google.com>,
billy8156@yahoo.com says...
> Glenn stop putting down the few people left in this hobby who are
> willing to help others getting started. I'm new to this hobby and
> got more help from George than all the others on here combined. I
> live 50 miles from George and he welcomed me to his shack, spend 2
> hours there and learned more in those 2 hours about scanning then from
> any other source.
>
> After seeing his setup I bought one of the antennawarehouse scanner
> beams. It turned out to be the best antenna I have. After being in
> this hobby for about 2 years with a main interest in military
> frequencies I have found one thing, getting information from the long
> time pros is very hard. Its like the good ole boys club. It is that
> kind of attitude which kills most hobbys and I'm grateful that George
> doesn't have that attitude as he share more info and frequencies other
> the others on here. I have seen many frequency lists but when I use
> his I hear more activity than lists published by others on the net.
>
> Unless you are going to contribute to this hobby keep your thoughts to
> yourself. After searching UseNet posts I see that you not only stir
> up trouble on rec.radio.scanner but you are well known on many other
> newsgroups for stirring up trouble and starting flames.
>
> Bill
>

Tell me Bill.

What do you think of a business that advertises they spent a summer
testing antennas costing many times more than theirs but refuse to
disclose these antennas. AntennaWarehouse still claims on their web
site their beam rivals antennas costing many times more but they
refuse to say which one. How do you explain that Bill?

I never said the scanner beam did work. What I have said is the claims
made on the web site are false.

See George's defense for his actions Bill? A personal attack because
he can't defend his actions. He is a fraud and a creep. If you don't
like what I say you can easily filter me.

Yes Bill, I have been in a few flames. I speak my mind when it comes
to creeps like George. I actually thought him above that but I was

wrong.

Glenn

4920. Re: A New Pcr 1000 Control Program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 22:14:44 UTC
From: CrazyC <crazy__c@hot_mail.com>
Message-ID: <opLT8.120840$Hn4.4182593@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 46536

Yep, It seems FortuneCity did it to me again... They disable my site whenever I
upload a new program of mine...

Anywho, I uploaded all content to ICQ Free Web Hosting at:
http://104452.home.icq.com
The file is now in One Zip (2.4 megs) :)

4921. FS Mint Yupiteru MVT-9000 M3.5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 22:22:51 UTC
From: Glenn Lahde <jetmaker11@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <%wLT8.239428$6m5.203697@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 46537

I am selling my Yupiteru MVT-9000 M3.5 scanner. It is loaded with all the bells and whistles and complete unblocked coverage. It is in mint condition used maybe 10 hours or less all indoors. It comes with all original packing, manual, belt clip, handstrap, 9K stock antenna, and nicads (never used them). It also comes with the optional case that has been on it since I've had it. I am also including a Watson W-899 Wideband antenna, Radio Shack power adapter and Rich Wells Yupiteru MVT-9000 owner's guide. The M3.5 is a modification from the dealer Bandercom where I purchased the scanner. It scans 37 channels/sec and searches 37 steps/sec versus stock 30 and 30. Also reduced 10.8 Mhz intermodulation inteference by 70-95% (which also reduces the number of birdies). You can check it out at Bandercom (http://bander.com/export/exscan.htm) if you are not familiar with it. This is an amazing scanner and I am only selling it because I want to buy a Garmin GPS V receiver. I prefer payment by money order, USA only. If you have any questions can email me at jetmaker@hotmail.com. Thanks Glenn.

For sale: MINT condition Yupiteru MVT-9000 M3.5
$400.00 (firm) plus shipping/ins, USA only please

Yupiteru MVT-9000Mk2 Modified M3.5
$579.00
Watson W-889 Wideband Receive (25MHz-1900MHz) antenna $29.95
OP-90 case
$23.00
Radio Shack Model: 273-1773 power adapter
$12.95
Rich Wells Yupiteru MVT-9000 Owner's Guide
$21.45

Total $666.35

Here's info on the scanner

Range: 0.5000-2039 MHz (no gaps)
Channels: 1000
Banks: 20
Modes: AM, NAM, FM, WFM, USB, LSB, CW
Priority: 10 channels
Conversion: Triple
Scan: 37 channels/sec (with mod.)
Search: 37 steps/sec (with mod.)
Power: 4 AAs 100V AC 12V DC
Size: 2.6"(W) x 6.1"(H) x 1.6"(D)
Weight: 410g

Features: 2 VFOs, band scope w/marker, auto-store, alpha-tagging, S-meter search-skip, attenuator

4922. Steve Fossett Spirit of Freedom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 23:27:44 UTC
From: SugarBroker@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23955-3D1F93F0-206@storefull-2194.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 46539

Have been in conversation with Bob Grove orginization and they say it s
all satelitte .

4923. FIELD PROGRAMABLE VHF 40CH PORTABLE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 23:36:14 UTC
From: David M Schertzer <dschertzer@hfx.eastlink.ca>
Message-ID: <cf5vhus2ku5sbhoo2ub140dha1hd3h99le@4ax.com>
Source record: 46538

(1) ICOM V68 *40ch* 5-watt -VHF-

*** DTMF (User programmable - Front face) ****

(1) ICOM BC75A Rapid Desk Charger
(1) ICOM BP160 Rechargeable Battery pack
(1) ICOM BP130 Rechargeable Battery pack
(1) ICOM "AA" Emergency Battery pack (6- AA type batteries)
(1) EM46 Sprk-mic
(1) CP13L Cigarette Lighter adaptor with Noise filter
(1) Org. Instruction Manual + boxes

$175USD +ship Payment via USPS International M/O.

Email for questions or info, spec sheet avail also.
This is the equiv. to the Motorola GP68 version.

4924. Re: Looking for log periodic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2002-06-30 23:59:44 UTC
From: Glenn <ggrrhh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <YYMT8.179$KO6.161117@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 46540

In article <afnlkh$fu1kf$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>,
n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com says...
>
> "Glenn" <ggrrhh@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:8ZvT8.181$NF6.229205@newsfeed.slurp.net...
>
> > I was thinking he same thing Bud. I have a KMA4113 that is wide enough
> > to transmit from 54 MHz to 440 MHz. If my math serves me that is a bit
> > more than 3 to 1. The factory says 41-1300 MHz. I have never been able
> > to test it above 800 MHz other than listening but the upper end seems
> > to be there.
>
> Yes, it was always my understanding that the LPA is a wideband beam.
> Speaking of which, anyone know of any good websites that have plans to build
> one?

There is some information at:

http://www.cebik.com/lpd.html

Bill Orr's antenna book had some decent plans in it as I recall.

Glenn